

Phillips 66  
Form 10-Q  
May 02, 2013  
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
FORM 10-Q  
(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number: 001-35349

Phillips 66

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

45-3779385

(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

3010 Briarpark Drive, Houston, Texas 77042

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

281-293-6600

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

The registrant had 619,232,443 shares of common stock, \$.01 par value, outstanding as of March 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

PHILLIPS 66

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>Part I – Financial Information</u>	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Consolidated Statement of Income</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheet</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Part II – Other Information</u>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>Signature</u>	<u>46</u>

---

Table of Contents

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Consolidated Statement of Income	Phillips 66	
	Millions of Dollars Three Months Ended March 31	
	2013	2012
Revenues and Other Income		
Sales and other operating revenues*	\$41,263	45,783
Equity in earnings of affiliates	1,039	734
Net gain on dispositions	1	2
Other income	23	1
Total Revenues and Other Income	42,326	46,520
Costs and Expenses		
Purchased crude oil and products	35,264	40,328
Operating expenses	978	1,092
Selling, general and administrative expenses	332	349
Depreciation and amortization	245	216
Impairments	24	43
Taxes other than income taxes*	3,324	3,420
Accretion on discounted liabilities	6	5
Interest and debt expense	70	13
Foreign currency transaction (gains) losses	2	(15)
Total Costs and Expenses	40,245	45,451
Income before income taxes	2,081	1,069
Provision for income taxes	671	431
Net income	1,410	638
Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	3	2
Net Income Attributable to Phillips 66	\$1,407	636
Net Income Attributable to Phillips 66 Per Share of Common Stock (dollars)**		
Basic	\$2.25	1.01
Diluted	2.23	1.00
Dividends Paid Per Share of Common Stock (dollars)	\$0.3125	—
Average Common Shares Outstanding (in thousands)**		
Basic	625,030	627,628
Diluted	631,288	634,645
* Includes excise taxes on petroleum products sales:	\$3,258	3,321
**See Note 10—Earnings Per Share.		
See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.		

Table of Contents

Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income

Phillips 66

	Millions of Dollars		
	Three Months Ended		
	March 31		
	2013	2012	
Net Income	\$1,410	638	
Other comprehensive income (loss)			
Defined benefit plans			
Actuarial gain/loss:			
Amortization to net income of net actuarial loss	26	2	
Plans sponsored by equity affiliates	(13	)3	
Income taxes on defined benefit plans	(3	)(2	)
Defined benefit plans, net of tax	10	3	
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(322	)54	
Income taxes on foreign currency translation adjustments	4	(20	)
Foreign currency translation adjustments, net of tax	(318	)34	
Hedging activities by equity affiliates	—	1	
Income taxes on hedging activities by equity affiliates	—	—	
Hedging activities by equity affiliates, net of tax	—	1	
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), Net of Tax	(308	)38	
Comprehensive Income	1,102	676	
Less: comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	3	2	
Comprehensive Income Attributable to Phillips 66	\$1,099	674	
See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.			

Table of Contents

## Consolidated Balance Sheet

## Phillips 66

	Millions of Dollars	
	March 31 2013	December 31 2012
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$4,753	3,474
Accounts and notes receivable (net of allowance of \$49 million in 2013 and \$50 million in 2012)	8,388	8,593
Accounts and notes receivable—related parties	1,522	1,810
Inventories	5,811	3,430
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	696	655
Total Current Assets	21,170	17,962
Investments and long-term receivables	10,498	10,471
Net properties, plants and equipment	15,257	15,407
Goodwill	3,344	3,344
Intangibles	727	724
Other assets	155	165
Total Assets	\$51,151	48,073
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$11,920	9,731
Accounts payable—related parties	1,218	979
Short-term debt	13	13
Accrued income and other taxes	1,010	901
Employee benefit obligations	238	441
Other accruals	552	417
Total Current Liabilities	14,951	12,482
Long-term debt	6,958	6,961
Asset retirement obligations and accrued environmental costs	703	740
Deferred income taxes	5,507	5,444
Employee benefit obligations	1,339	1,325
Other liabilities and deferred credits	315	315
Total Liabilities	29,773	27,267
Equity		
Common stock (2,500,000,000 shares authorized at \$.01 par value) Issued (2013—633,238,946 shares; 2012—631,149,613 shares)		
Par value	6	6
Capital in excess of par	18,775	18,726
Treasury stock (at cost: 2013—14,006,503 shares; 2012—7,603,896 shares)	(738	) (356
Retained earnings	3,923	2,713
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(622	) (314
Total Stockholders' Equity	21,344	20,775
Noncontrolling interests	34	31
Total Equity	21,378	20,806
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$51,151	48,073
See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.		



Table of Contents

## Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows

Phillips 66

	Millions of Dollars	
	Three Months Ended	
	March 31	
	2013	2012
Cash Flows From Operating Activities		
Net income	\$1,410	638
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities		
Depreciation and amortization	245	216
Impairments	24	43
Accretion on discounted liabilities	6	5
Deferred taxes	81	169
Undistributed equity earnings	77	(349)
Net gain on dispositions	(1)	(2)
Other	(34)	(178)
Working capital adjustments		
Decrease (increase) in accounts and notes receivable	285	(1,291)
Decrease (increase) in inventories	(2,442)	(1,518)
Decrease (increase) in prepaid expenses and other current assets	(71)	(183)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	2,466	1,996
Increase (decrease) in taxes and other accruals	167	93
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities	2,213	(361)
Cash Flows From Investing Activities		
Capital expenditures and investments	(387)	(218)
Proceeds from asset dispositions	9	6
Collection of advances/loans—related parties	55	—
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	(323)	(212)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities		
Contributions from ConocoPhillips	—	891
Issuance of debt	—	5,794
Repayment of debt	(3)	(7)
Change in restricted cash	—	(6,050)
Issuance of common stock	(6)	—
Repurchase of common stock	(382)	—
Dividends paid on common stock	(194)	—
Other	—	(55)
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	(585)	573
Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents	(26)	—
Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents	1,279	—
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	3,474	—
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$4,753	—
See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.		





Table of Contents

## Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity

Phillips 66

Millions of Dollars  
Attributable to Phillips 66

Common Stock

Capital  
Par in Treasury Retained  
Value Excess Stock Earnings  
of ParNet Parent Accum. Other  
Company Comprehensive  
Investment Income (Loss)Noncontrolling  
Interests

Total

December 31, 2011	\$—	—	—	—	23,142	122	29	23,293
Net income	—	—	—	—	636	—	2	638
Net transfers from ConocoPhillips	—	—	—	—	974	—	—	974
Other comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	38	—	38
March 31, 2012	\$—	—	—	—	24,752	160	31	24,943
December 31, 2012	\$6	18,726	(356	)2,713	—	(314	)31	20,806
Net income	—	—	—	1,407	—	—	3	1,410
Other comprehensive loss	—	—	—	—	—	(308	)—	(308 )
Cash dividends paid on common stock	—	—	—	(194	)—	—	—	(194 )
Repurchase of common stock	—	—	(382	)—	—	—	—	(382 )
Benefit plan activity	—	52	—	(3	)—	—	—	49
Distributions to noncontrolling interests and other	—	(3	)—	—	—	—	—	(3 )
March 31, 2013	\$6	18,775	(738	)3,923	—	(622	)34	21,378

Shares in Thousands

Common  
Stock Issued

Treasury Stock

December 31, 2012

631,150

7,604

Repurchase of common stock

—

6,403

Shares issued—stock-based compensation

2,089

—

March 31, 2013

633,239

14,007

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Phillips 66

Note 1—Separation and Basis of Presentation

The Separation

On April 4, 2012, the ConocoPhillips Board of Directors approved the separation of its downstream businesses (as defined below) into an independent, publicly traded company named Phillips 66. In accordance with the Separation and Distribution Agreement, the two companies were separated by ConocoPhillips distributing to its stockholders all 625,272,302 shares of common stock of Phillips 66 after the market closed on April 30, 2012 (the Separation). Each ConocoPhillips stockholder received one share of Phillips 66 stock for every two shares of ConocoPhillips stock. Following the Separation, ConocoPhillips retained no ownership interest in Phillips 66, and each company has had separate public ownership, boards of directors and management.

Basis of Presentation

Prior to the Separation, our results of operations, financial position and cash flows consisted of ConocoPhillips' refining, marketing and transportation operations; its natural gas gathering, processing, transmission and marketing operations, primarily conducted through its equity investment in DCP Midstream, LLC (DCP Midstream); its petrochemical operations, conducted through its equity investment in Chevron Phillips Chemical Company LLC (CPCChem); its power generation operations; and an allocable portion of its corporate costs (together, the "downstream businesses"). These financial statements have been presented as if the downstream businesses had been combined for the 2012 period presented. All intercompany transactions and accounts within the downstream businesses were eliminated. The statement of income for the period prior to the Separation includes expense allocations for certain corporate functions historically performed by ConocoPhillips and not allocated to its operating segments, including allocations of general corporate expenses related to executive oversight, accounting, treasury, tax, legal, procurement and information technology. These allocations were based primarily on specific identification of time and/or activities associated with the downstream businesses, employee headcount or capital expenditures, and our management believes the assumptions underlying the allocations were reasonable. The combined financial statements may not necessarily reflect all of the actual expenses that would have been incurred had we been a stand-alone company during the period presented prior to the Separation. All financial information presented after the Separation represents the consolidated results of operations, financial position and cash flows of Phillips 66. Accordingly:

Our consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income and cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2013, consist entirely of the consolidated results of Phillips 66. Our consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income and cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2012, consist entirely of the combined results of the downstream businesses.

Our consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, consists of the consolidated balances of Phillips 66.

Effective January 1, 2013, we changed the organizational structure of the internal financial information reviewed by our chief executive officer, and determined this resulted in a change in the composition of our operating segments. The primary effects of this reporting reorganization were:

We disaggregated the former Refining and Marketing (R&M) segment into two separate operating segments titled "Refining" and "Marketing and Specialties."

We moved our transportation and power businesses from the former R&M segment to the Midstream and Marketing and Specialties (M&S) segments, respectively.

The new segment alignment is presented for the first quarter of 2013, with the prior period recast for comparability.

Table of Contents

Note 2—Interim Financial Information

The interim-period financial information presented in the financial statements included in this report is unaudited and includes all known accruals and adjustments necessary, in the opinion of management, for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial position of Phillips 66 and its results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. Unless otherwise specified, all such adjustments are of a normal and recurring nature. Certain notes and other information have been condensed or omitted from the interim financial statements included in this report. Therefore, these interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes included in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013, are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

Note 3—Variable Interest Entities (VIEs)

We hold significant variable interests in VIEs that have not been consolidated because we are not considered the primary beneficiary. Information on these VIEs follows:

Merey Sweeny, L.P. (MSLP) is a limited partnership that owns a delayed coker and related facilities at the Sweeny Refinery. As discussed more fully in Note 6—Investments, Loans and Long-Term Receivables, in August 2009 a call right was exercised to acquire the 50 percent ownership interest in MSLP of the co-venturer, Petróleos de Venezuela S.A. (PDVSA). That exercise has been challenged, and the dispute is being arbitrated. Because the exercise has been challenged by PDVSA, we continue to use the equity method of accounting for MSLP, and the VIE analysis below is based on the ownership and governance structure in place prior to the exercise of the call right. MSLP is a VIE because, in securing lender consents in connection with the Separation, we provided a 100 percent debt guarantee to the lender of the 8.85% senior notes issued by MSLP. PDVSA did not participate in the debt guarantee. In our VIE assessment, this disproportionate debt guarantee, plus other liquidity support provided jointly by us and PDVSA independently of equity ownership, results in MSLP not being exposed to all potential losses. We have determined we are not the primary beneficiary while our call exercise is in dispute because under the partnership agreement the co-venturers jointly direct the activities of MSLP that most significantly impact economic performance. At March 31, 2013, our maximum exposure represented the outstanding principal debt balance of \$233 million. Our book value in MSLP at March 31, 2013, was \$59 million.

We have a 50 percent ownership interest with a 50 percent governance interest in Excel Paralubes (Excel). Excel is a VIE because, in securing lender consents in connection with the Separation, ConocoPhillips provided a 50 percent debt guarantee to the lender of the 7.43% senior secured bonds issued by Excel. We provided a full indemnity to ConocoPhillips for this debt guarantee. Our co-venturer did not participate in the debt guarantee. In our assessment of the VIE, this debt guarantee, plus other liquidity support up to \$60 million provided jointly by us and our co-venturer independently of equity ownership, results in Excel not being exposed to all potential losses. We have determined we are not the primary beneficiary because we and our co-venturer jointly direct the activities of Excel that most significantly impact economic performance. We continue to use equity method accounting for this investment. At March 31, 2013, our maximum exposure represented 50 percent of the outstanding principal debt balance of \$164 million, or \$82 million, plus half of the \$60 million liquidity support, or \$30 million. Our book value in Excel at March 31, 2013, was \$110 million.

Note 4—Inventories

Inventories consisted of the following:

	Millions of Dollars	
	March 31 2013	December 31 2012
Crude oil and petroleum products	\$5,517	3,138
Materials and supplies	294	292
	\$5,811	3,430

Table of Contents

Inventories valued on the last-in, first-out (LIFO) basis totaled \$5,387 million and \$2,987 million at March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively. The estimated excess of current replacement cost over LIFO cost of inventories amounted to approximately \$8,100 million and \$7,700 million at March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively.

## Note 5—Assets Held for Sale or Sold

In the first quarter of 2013, we entered into an agreement to sell our E-Gas™ Technology business. The business is included in our M&S segment and at March 31, 2013, had a net carrying value of approximately \$13 million, including a goodwill allocation.

In March 2013, corporate property with a carrying amount of \$50 million was classified as held for sale and included in the "Prepaid expenses and other current assets" line of our consolidated balance sheet.

## Note 6—Investments, Loans and Long-Term Receivables

## Equity Investments

Summarized 100 percent financial information for WRB Refining LP (WRB) and CPChem were as follows:

	Millions of Dollars	
	Three Months Ended	
	March 31	
	2013	2012
Revenues	\$8,137	8,535
Income before income taxes	1,768	1,099
Net income	1,750	1,082

## Loans and Long-Term Receivables

In 2012, we entered into a market-based shareholder financing agreement for up to \$100 million with the Malaysian Refining Company Sdn. Bhd. (MRC). At December 31, 2012, MRC had drawn the total \$100 million facility. In the first quarter of 2013, MRC remitted \$55 million and at March 31, 2013, the balance on the facility was \$45 million. On April 19, 2013, MRC repaid the outstanding loan balance. The advance was recorded as a short-term related party advance with interest income recorded in equity earnings to offset the corresponding interest expense by MRC.

## Other

MSLP owns a delayed coker and related facilities at the Sweeny Refinery. MSLP processes long residue, which is produced from heavy sour crude oil, for a processing fee. Fuel-grade petroleum coke is produced as a by-product and becomes the property of MSLP. Prior to August 28, 2009, MSLP was owned 50/50 by ConocoPhillips and PDVSA. Under the agreements that govern the relationships between the partners, certain defaults by PDVSA with respect to supply of crude oil to the Sweeny Refinery triggered the right to acquire PDVSA's 50 percent ownership interest in MSLP, which was exercised on August 28, 2009. PDVSA has initiated arbitration with the International Chamber of Commerce challenging the exercise of the call right and claiming it was invalid. The arbitral tribunal held hearings on the merits of the dispute in December 2012, and post-hearing briefs were exchanged in March 2013. We expect a final ruling in the third quarter of 2013. We continue to use the equity method of accounting for our investment in MSLP.



Table of Contents

## Note 7—Properties, Plants and Equipment

Our investment in properties, plants and equipment (PP&E), with the associated accumulated depreciation and amortization (Accum. D&A), was:

	Millions of Dollars			December 31, 2012		
	March 31, 2013		Net PP&E	December 31, 2012		Net PP&E
	Gross PP&E	Accum. D&A		Gross PP&E	Accum. D&A	
Midstream	\$2,533	1,045	1,488	2,460	1,016	1,444
Chemicals	—	—	—	—	—	—
Refining	18,216	6,219	11,997	17,989	5,913	12,076
Marketing and Specialties	2,274	922	1,352	2,500	1,078	1,422
Corporate and Other	762	342	420	880	415	465
	\$23,785	8,528	15,257	23,829	8,422	15,407

## Note 8—Goodwill

Effective January 1, 2013, we realigned our operating segments and determined that goodwill (which, prior to the realignment, had been assigned 100 percent to our former R&M segment) should now be assigned to three of the realigned operating segments—Midstream, Refining and M&S. We further determined that, for the Midstream segment, Transportation constituted a reporting unit. For Refining and M&S segments, we determined the goodwill reporting unit was at the operating segment level, due to the economic similarities of the components of those segments.

Goodwill was reassigned to the realigned reporting units using a relative fair value approach. Goodwill impairment testing was completed and no impairment recognition was required.

The carrying amount of goodwill reflecting the segment realignment was as follows:

	Millions of Dollars	
	March 31 2013	December 31 2012
Midstream	\$518	518
Refining	1,934	1,934
Marketing and Specialties	892	892
	\$3,344	3,344



Table of Contents

## Note 9—Impairments

The three-month periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, included the following before-tax impairment charges:

	Millions of Dollars	
	Three Months Ended March 31	
	2013	2012
Midstream	\$—	1
Refining	—	42
Marketing and Specialties	15	—
Corporate and Other	9	—
	\$24	43

During the first quarter of 2013, we recorded a \$15 million held-for-use impairment in our M&S segment, primarily related to PP&E associated with our planned exit from the composite graphite business.

During the first quarter of 2012, we recorded a \$42 million held-for-sale impairment in our Refining segment related to equipment formerly associated with the canceled Wilhelmshaven Refinery upgrade project.

## Note 10—Earnings Per Share

The numerator of basic earnings per share (EPS) is net income attributable to Phillips 66, reduced by noncancelable dividends paid on unvested share-based employee awards during the vesting period (participating securities). The denominator of basic EPS is the sum of the daily weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the periods presented and fully vested stock and unit awards that have not yet been issued as common stock. The numerator of diluted EPS is also based on net income attributable to Phillips 66, which is reduced only by dividend equivalents paid on participating securities for which the dividends are more dilutive than the participation of the awards in the earnings of the periods presented. To the extent unvested stock, unit or option awards and vested unexercised stock options are dilutive, they are included with the weighted-average common shares outstanding in the denominator. Treasury stock is excluded from the denominator in both basic and diluted EPS.

On April 30, 2012, 625.3 million shares of our common stock were distributed to ConocoPhillips stockholders in conjunction with the Separation. For comparative purposes, and to provide a more meaningful calculation of weighted-average shares outstanding, we have assumed this amount to be outstanding as of the beginning of each period prior to the Separation presented in the calculation of weighted-average shares. In addition, we have assumed the fully vested stock and unit awards outstanding at April 30, 2012, were also outstanding for each of the periods presented prior to the Separation, resulting in a weighted-average basic share count of 627.6 million shares; and we have assumed the dilutive securities outstanding at April 30, 2012, were also outstanding for each period prior to the Separation, resulting in a weighted-average dilutive share count of 634.6 million shares.

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended March 31	
	2013	2012
Basic EPS Calculation		
Allocation of earnings:		
Net income attributable to Phillips 66 (millions)	\$1,407	636
Income allocated to participating securities (millions)	(1	) —
Income available to common stockholders (millions)	\$1,406	636
Weighted-average common shares outstanding—basic (thousands)	625,030	627,628
Earnings per share—basic	\$2.25	1.01
Diluted EPS Calculation		
Allocation of earnings:		
Net income attributable to Phillips 66 (millions)	\$1,407	636
Income allocated to participating securities (millions)	—	—
Income available to common stockholders (millions)	\$1,407	636
Weighted-average common shares outstanding—basic (thousands)	625,030	627,628
Dilutive effect of stock-based compensation (thousands)	6,258	7,017
Weighted-average common shares outstanding—diluted (thousands)	631,288	634,645
Earnings per share—diluted	\$2.23	1.00

## Note 11—Debt

At both March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, we had no direct outstanding borrowings under our \$4.0 billion revolving credit agreement or our \$1.2 billion trade receivables securitization facility. However, as of both March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, \$51 million in letters of credit had been issued that were supported by the revolving credit agreement, and \$166 million in letters of credit had been issued that were collateralized by trade receivables held by a subsidiary under our trade receivables securitization facility. Accordingly, as of March 31, 2013, we had an aggregate \$5.0 billion of total capacity available under these facilities.

## Note 12—Guarantees

At March 31, 2013, we were liable for certain contingent obligations under various contractual arrangements as described below. We recognize a liability, at inception, for the fair value of our obligation as a guarantor for newly issued or modified guarantees. Unless the carrying amount of the liability is noted below, we have not recognized a liability either because the guarantees were issued prior to December 31, 2002, or because the fair value of the obligation is immaterial. In addition, unless otherwise stated, we are not currently performing with any significance under the guarantee and expect future performance to be either immaterial or have only a remote chance of occurrence.

## Guarantees of Joint Venture Debt

In April 2012, in connection with the Separation, we issued a guarantee for 100 percent of the 8.85% senior notes issued by MSLP in July 1999. At March 31, 2013, the maximum potential amount of future payments to third parties

under the guarantee is estimated to be \$233 million, which could become payable if MSLP fails to meet its obligations under the senior note agreement.

## Table of Contents

At March 31, 2013, we had other guarantees outstanding for our portion of certain joint venture debt obligations, which have terms of up to 12 years. The maximum potential amount of future payments under the guarantees is approximately \$108 million. Payment would be required if a joint venture defaults on its debt obligations.

### Other Guarantees

We have residual value guarantees associated with leases with maximum future potential payments totaling approximately \$275 million. We have other guarantees with maximum future potential payment amounts totaling \$379 million, which consist primarily of guarantees to fund the short-term cash liquidity deficits of certain joint ventures, third parties related to prior asset dispositions, and guarantees of the lease payment obligations of a joint venture. These guarantees generally extend up to 11 years or life of the venture.

### Indemnifications

Over the years, we have entered into various agreements to sell ownership interests in certain corporations, joint ventures and assets that gave rise to qualifying indemnifications. Agreements associated with these sales include indemnifications for taxes, litigation, environmental liabilities, permits and licenses, and employee claims, and real estate indemnity against tenant defaults. The terms of these indemnifications vary greatly. The majority of these indemnifications are related to environmental issues, the term is generally indefinite, and the maximum amount of future payments is generally unlimited. The carrying amount recorded for indemnifications at March 31, 2013, was \$328 million. We amortize the indemnification liability over the relevant time period, if one exists, based on the facts and circumstances surrounding each type of indemnity. In cases where the indemnification term is indefinite, we will reverse the liability when we have information the liability is essentially relieved or amortize the liability over an appropriate time period as the fair value of our indemnification exposure declines. Although it is reasonably possible future payments may exceed amounts recorded, due to the nature of the indemnifications, it is not possible to make a reasonable estimate of the maximum potential amount of future payments. Included in the recorded carrying amount were \$129 million of environmental accruals for known contamination that are included in asset retirement obligations and accrued environmental costs at March 31, 2013. For additional information about environmental liabilities, see Note 13—Contingencies and Commitments.

### Indemnification and Release Agreement

In conjunction with, and effective as of, the Separation, we entered into the Indemnification and Release Agreement with ConocoPhillips. This agreement governs the treatment between ConocoPhillips and us of aspects relating to indemnification, insurance, litigation responsibility and management, and litigation document sharing and cooperation arising in connection with the Separation. Generally, the agreement provides for cross-indemnities principally designed to place financial responsibility for the obligations and liabilities of our business with us and financial responsibility for the obligations and liabilities of ConocoPhillips' business with ConocoPhillips. The agreement also establishes procedures for handling claims subject to indemnification and related matters.

### Note 13—Contingencies and Commitments

A number of lawsuits involving a variety of claims have been made against Phillips 66 that arose in the ordinary course of business. We also may be required to remove or mitigate the effects on the environment of the placement, storage, disposal or release of certain chemical, mineral and petroleum substances at various active and inactive sites. We regularly assess the need for accounting recognition or disclosure of these contingencies. In the case of all known contingencies (other than those related to income taxes), we accrue a liability when the loss is probable and the amount is reasonably estimable. If a range of amounts can be reasonably estimated and no amount within the range is a better estimate than any other amount, then the minimum of the range is accrued. We do not reduce these liabilities for potential insurance or third-party recoveries. If applicable, we record receivables for probable insurance or other third-party recoveries. In the case of income-tax-related contingencies, we use a cumulative probability-weighted loss

accrual in cases where sustaining a tax position is less than certain.

Based on currently available information, we believe it is remote that future costs related to known contingent liability exposures will exceed current accruals by an amount that would have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial statements. As we learn new facts concerning contingencies, we reassess our position both with respect to accrued liabilities and other potential exposures. Estimates particularly sensitive to future changes include contingent liabilities recorded for environmental remediation, tax and legal matters. Estimated future environmental remediation costs are subject to change due to such factors as the uncertain magnitude of cleanup costs, the unknown time and extent of such remedial actions that may be required, and the determination of our liability in proportion to that of other responsible parties. Estimated future costs related to tax and legal matters are subject to change as events evolve and as additional information becomes available during the administrative and litigation processes.

## Table of Contents

### Environmental

We are subject to international, federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations. When we prepare our consolidated financial statements, we record accruals for environmental liabilities based on management's best estimates, using all information available at the time. We measure estimates and base liabilities on currently available facts, existing technology, and presently enacted laws and regulations, taking into account stakeholder and business considerations. When measuring environmental liabilities, we also consider our prior experience in remediation of contaminated sites, other companies' cleanup experience, and data released by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or other organizations. We consider unasserted claims in our determination of environmental liabilities, and we accrue them in the period they are both probable and reasonably estimable.

Although liability of those potentially responsible for environmental remediation costs is generally joint and several for federal sites and frequently so for state sites, we are usually only one of many companies cited at a particular site. Due to the joint and several liabilities, we could be responsible for all cleanup costs related to any site at which we have been designated as a potentially responsible party. We have been successful to date in sharing cleanup costs with other financially sound companies. Many of the sites at which we are potentially responsible are still under investigation by the EPA or the state agencies concerned. Prior to actual cleanup, those potentially responsible normally assess the site conditions, apportion responsibility and determine the appropriate remediation. In some instances, we may have no liability or may attain a settlement of liability. Where it appears that other potentially responsible parties may be financially unable to bear their proportional share, we consider this inability in estimating our potential liability, and we adjust our accruals accordingly. As a result of various acquisitions in the past, we assumed certain environmental obligations. Some of these environmental obligations are mitigated by indemnifications made by others for our benefit and some of the indemnifications are subject to dollar and time limits.

We are currently participating in environmental assessments and cleanups at numerous federal Superfund and comparable state sites. After an assessment of environmental exposures for cleanup and other costs, we make accruals on an undiscounted basis (except those acquired in a purchase business combination, which we record on a discounted basis) for planned investigation and remediation activities for sites where it is probable future costs will be incurred and these costs can be reasonably estimated. At March 31, 2013, our consolidated balance sheet included a total environmental accrual of \$515 million, compared with \$530 million at December 31, 2012. We expect to incur a substantial amount of these expenditures within the next 30 years. We have not reduced these accruals for possible insurance recoveries. In the future, we may be involved in additional environmental assessments, cleanups and proceedings.

### Legal Proceedings

Our legal organization applies its knowledge, experience and professional judgment to the specific characteristics of our cases, employing a litigation management process to manage and monitor the legal proceedings against us. Our process facilitates the early evaluation and quantification of potential exposures in individual cases. This process also enables us to track those cases that have been scheduled for trial and/or mediation. Based on professional judgment and experience in using these litigation management tools and available information about current developments in all our cases, our legal organization regularly assesses the adequacy of current accruals and determines if adjustment of existing accruals, or establishment of new accruals, are required.

### Other Contingencies

We have contingent liabilities resulting from throughput agreements with pipeline and processing companies not associated with financing arrangements. Under these agreements, we may be required to provide any such company with additional funds through advances and penalties for fees related to throughput capacity not utilized.

At March 31, 2013, we had performance obligations secured by letters of credit of \$1,683 million (of which \$166 million were issued under the trade receivables securitization facility, \$51 million were issued under the provisions of

our revolving credit facility, and the remainder were issued as direct bank letters of credit) related to various purchase and other commitments incident to the ordinary conduct of business.

Table of Contents

## Note 14—Derivatives and Financial Instruments

## Derivative Instruments

We use financial and commodity-based derivative contracts to manage exposures to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates and commodity prices or to capture market opportunities. Since we are not currently using cash-flow hedge accounting, all gains and losses, realized or unrealized, from commodity derivative contracts have been recognized in the consolidated statement of income. Gains and losses from derivative contracts held for trading not directly related to our physical business, whether realized or unrealized, have been reported net in “Other income” on our consolidated statement of income. Cash flows from all our derivative activity for the periods presented appear in the operating section of the consolidated statement of cash flows.

Purchase and sales contracts with fixed minimum notional volumes for commodities that are readily convertible to cash (e.g., crude oil and gasoline) are recorded on the balance sheet as derivatives unless the contracts are eligible for, and we elect, the normal purchases and normal sales exception (i.e., contracts to purchase or sell quantities we expect to use or sell over a reasonable period in the normal course of business). We generally apply this normal purchases and normal sales exception to eligible crude oil, refined product, natural gas and power commodity purchase and sales contracts; however, we may elect not to apply this exception (e.g., when another derivative instrument will be used to mitigate the risk of the purchase or sales contract but hedge accounting will not be applied, in which case both the purchase or sales contract and the derivative contract mitigating the resulting risk will be recorded on the balance sheet at fair value).

Our derivative instruments are held at fair value on our consolidated balance sheet. For further information on the fair value of derivatives, see Note 15—Fair Value Measurements.

Commodity Derivative Contracts—We operate in the worldwide crude oil, refined products, natural gas liquids (NGL), natural gas and electric power markets and are exposed to fluctuations in the prices for these commodities. These fluctuations can affect our revenues, as well as the cost of operating, investing and financing activities. Generally, our policy is to remain exposed to the market prices of commodities; however, we use futures, forwards, swaps and options in various markets to balance physical systems, meet customer needs, manage price exposures on specific transactions, and do a limited, immaterial amount of trading not directly related to our physical business. We also use the market knowledge gained from these activities to capture market opportunities such as moving physical commodities to more profitable locations, storing commodities to capture seasonal or time premiums, and blending commodities to capture quality upgrades. Derivatives may be used to optimize these activities, which may move our risk profile away from market average prices.

The following table indicates the balance sheet line items that include the fair values of commodity derivative assets and liabilities presented net (i.e., commodity derivative assets and liabilities with the same counterparty are netted where the right of setoff exists); however, the balances in the following table are presented gross. For information on the impact of counterparty netting and collateral netting, see Note 15—Fair Value Measurements.

	Millions of Dollars	
	March 31 2013	December 31 2012
Assets		
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$814	767
Other assets	9	3
Liabilities		
Other accruals	825	766
Other liabilities and deferred credits	12	3



Hedge accounting has not been used for any items in the table.

Table of Contents

The gains (losses) from commodity derivatives incurred, and the line items where they appear on our consolidated statement of income, were:

	Millions of Dollars	
	Three Months Ended	
	March 31	
	2013	2012
Sales and other operating revenues	\$ (6	) (166
Equity in earnings of affiliates	2	—
Other income	3	7
Purchased crude oil and products	89	21

Hedge accounting has not been used for any item in the table.

The table below summarizes our material net exposures resulting from outstanding commodity derivative contracts. These financial and physical derivative contracts are primarily used to manage price exposure on our underlying operations. The underlying exposures may be from non-derivative positions such as inventory volumes. Financial derivative contracts may also offset physical derivative contracts, such as forward sales contracts. As of March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, the percentage of our derivative contract volume expiring within the next 12 months was 99 percent for both periods.

	Open Position	
	Long/(Short)	
	March 31	December 31
	2013	2012
Commodity		
Crude oil, refined products and NGL (millions of barrels)	(21	) (8

**Credit Risk**

Financial instruments potentially exposed to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of over-the-counter (OTC) derivative contracts and trade receivables.

The credit risk from our OTC derivative contracts, such as forwards and swaps, derives from the counterparty to the transaction. Individual counterparty exposure is managed within predetermined credit limits and includes the use of cash-call margins when appropriate, thereby reducing the risk of significant nonperformance. We also use futures, swaps and option contracts that have a negligible credit risk because these trades are cleared with an exchange clearinghouse and subject to mandatory margin requirements until settled; however, we are exposed to the credit risk of those exchange brokers for receivables arising from daily margin cash calls, as well as for cash deposited to meet initial margin requirements.

Our trade receivables result primarily from the sale of products from, or related to, our refinery operations and reflect a broad national and international customer base, which limits our exposure to concentrations of credit risk. The majority of these receivables have payment terms of 30 days or less. We continually monitor this exposure and the creditworthiness of the counterparties and recognize bad debt expense based on historical write-off experience or specific counterparty collectability. Generally, we do not require collateral to limit the exposure to loss; however, we will sometimes use letters of credit, prepayments, and master netting arrangements to mitigate credit risk with counterparties that both buy from and sell to us, as these agreements permit the amounts owed by us or owed to others

to be offset against amounts due us.

Certain of our derivative instruments contain provisions that require us to post collateral if the derivative exposure exceeds a threshold amount. We have contracts with fixed threshold amounts and other contracts with variable threshold amounts that are contingent on our credit rating. The variable threshold amounts typically decline for lower credit ratings, while both the variable and fixed threshold amounts typically revert to zero if our credit ratings fall below investment grade. Cash is the primary collateral in all contracts; however, many contracts also permit us to post letters of credit as collateral.

The aggregate fair values of all derivative instruments with such credit-risk-related contingent features that were in a liability position were not material at March 31, 2013, or December 31, 2012.

## Table of Contents

### Note 15—Fair Value Measurements

#### Fair Values of Financial Instruments

We used the following methods and assumptions to estimate the fair value of financial instruments:

• **Cash and cash equivalents:** The carrying amount reported on the balance sheet approximates fair value.

• **Accounts and notes receivable:** The carrying amount reported on the balance sheet approximates fair value.

• **Debt:** The carrying amount of our floating-rate debt approximates fair value. The fair value of our fixed-rate debt is estimated based on quoted market prices as a Level 2 fair value.

• **Commodity swaps:** Fair value is estimated based on forward market prices and approximates the exit price at period end. When forward market prices are not available, fair value is estimated using the forward prices of a similar commodity with adjustments for differences in quality or location.

• **Futures:** Fair values are based on quoted market prices obtained from the New York Mercantile Exchange, the InterContinental Exchange Futures, or other traded exchanges.

• **Forward-exchange contracts:** Fair values are estimated by comparing the contract rate to the forward rate in effect at the end of the respective reporting periods and approximating the exit price at those dates.

We carry certain assets and liabilities at fair value, which we measure at the reporting date using an exit price (i.e., the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability), and disclose the quality of these fair values based on the valuation inputs used in these measurements under the following hierarchy:

• **Level 1:** Fair value measured with unadjusted quoted prices from an active market for identical assets or liabilities.

• **Level 2:** Fair value measured with: 1) adjusted quoted prices from an active market for similar assets; or 2) other valuation inputs that are directly or indirectly observable.

• **Level 3:** Fair value measured with unobservable inputs that are significant to the measurement.

We classify the fair value of an asset or liability based on the lowest level of input significant to its measurement; however, the fair value of an asset or liability initially reported as Level 3 will be subsequently reported as Level 2 if the unobservable inputs become inconsequential to its measurement or corroborating market data becomes available. Conversely, an asset or liability initially reported as Level 2 will be subsequently reported as Level 3 if corroborating market data becomes unavailable. We made no material transfers in or out of Level 1 during the three-month period ending March 31, 2013.

#### Recurring Fair Value Measurements

Financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis consist primarily of investments to support nonqualified deferred compensation plans and derivative instruments. The deferred compensation investments are measured at fair value using unadjusted prices available from national securities exchanges; therefore, these assets are categorized as Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy. We value our exchange-traded commodity derivatives using closing prices provided by the exchange as of the balance sheet date, and these are classified as Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy. Where exchange-provided prices are adjusted, non-exchange quotes are used, or when the instrument lacks sufficient liquidity, we generally classify those exchange-cleared contracts as Level 2. OTC financial swaps and physical commodity forward purchase and sales contracts are generally valued using quotations provided by brokers and price index developers such as Platts and Oil Price Information Service. These quotes are corroborated with market data and are classified as Level 2. In certain less liquid markets or for longer-term contracts, forward prices are not as readily available. In these circumstances, OTC swaps and physical commodity purchase and sales contracts are valued using internally developed methodologies that consider historical relationships among various commodities that result in management's best estimate of fair value. These contracts are classified as Level 3. Financial OTC and

physical commodity options are valued using industry-standard models that consider various assumptions, including quoted forward prices for commodities, time value, volatility factors, and contractual prices for the underlying instruments, as well as other relevant economic measures. The degree to which these inputs are observable in the forward markets determines whether the options are classified as Level 2 or 3. We use a mid-market pricing convention (the mid-point between bid and ask prices). When appropriate, valuations are adjusted to reflect credit considerations, generally based on available market evidence.

Table of Contents

The following tables display the fair value hierarchy for our material financial assets and liabilities either accounted for or disclosed at fair value on a recurring basis. These values are determined by treating each contract as the fundamental unit of account; therefore, derivative assets and liabilities with the same counterparty are shown gross (i.e., without the effect of netting where the legal right of setoff exists) in the hierarchy sections of these tables. These tables also show that our Level 3 activity is not material.

We have master netting arrangements for all of our exchange-cleared derivative instruments, the majority of our OTC derivative instruments, and certain physical commodity forward contracts (primarily pipeline crude oil deliveries). The following tables show these contracts on a net basis in the column “Effect of Counterparty Netting.” We have no contracts that are subject to master netting arrangements that are reflected gross on the balance sheet.

The carrying values and fair values by hierarchy of our material financial instruments, either carried or disclosed at fair value, and derivative assets and liabilities, including any effects of master netting agreements or collateral, were:

Millions of Dollars March 31, 2013 Fair Value Hierarchy									
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total Fair Value of Gross Assets & Liabilities	Effect of Counterparty Netting	Effect of Collateral Netting	Difference in Carrying Value and Fair Value	Net Carrying Value Presented on the Balance Sheet	Cash Collateral Received or Paid, Not Offset on Balance Sheet
<b>Commodity</b>									
<b>Derivative Assets</b>									
Exchange-cleared instruments	\$513	217	—	730	(723	)(3	)—	4	(3 )
OTC instruments	—	22	—	22	(14	)—	—	8	—
Physical forward contracts*	—	69	2	71	—	—	—	71	—
Rabbi trust assets	58	—	—	58	N/A	N/A	—	58	N/A
	\$571	308	2	881	(737	)(3	)—	141	
<b>Commodity</b>									
<b>Derivative</b>									
<b>Liabilities</b>									
Exchange-cleared instruments	\$530	255	—	785	(723	)(62	)—	—	—
OTC instruments	—	25	—	25	(14	)—	—	11	—
Physical forward contracts*	—	25	2	27	—	—	—	27	—
Floating-rate debt	1,050	—	—	1,050	N/A	N/A	—	1,050	N/A
Fixed-rate debt, excluding capital leases**	—	6,611	—	6,611	N/A	N/A	(696	)5,915	N/A
	\$1,580	6,916	2	8,498	(737	)(62	)(696	)7,003	

\*Physical forward contracts may have a larger value on the balance sheet than disclosed in the fair value hierarchy when the remaining contract term at the reporting date is greater than 12 months and the short-term portion is an asset

while the long-term portion is a liability, or vice versa.

\*\*We carry fixed-rate debt on the balance sheet at amortized cost.

Table of Contents

Millions of Dollars  
December 31, 2012  
Fair Value Hierarchy

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total Fair Value of Gross Assets & Liabilities	Effect of Counterparty Netting	Effect of Collateral Netting	Difference in Carrying Value and Fair Value	Net Carrying Value Presented on the Balance Sheet	Cash Collateral Received or Paid, Not Offset on Balance Sheet
Commodity Derivative Assets									
Exchange-cleared instruments	\$ 380	309	—	689	(672)	)(8	)—	9	—
OTC instruments	—	15	—	15	(7)	)—	—	8	—
Physical forward contracts*	—	61	2	63	4	—	—	67	—
Rabbi trust assets	50	—	—	50	N/A	N/A	—	50	N/A
	\$ 430	385	2	817	(675)	)(8	)—	134	
Commodity Derivative Liabilities									
Exchange-cleared instruments	\$ 392	329	—	721	(672)	)(42	)—	7	(7 )
OTC instruments	—	13	—	13	(7)	)—	—	6	—
Physical forward contracts*	—	31	1	32	4	—	—	36	—
Floating-rate debt	1,050	—	—	1,050	N/A	N/A	—	1,050	N/A
Fixed-rate debt, excluding capital leases**	—	6,508	—	6,508	N/A	N/A	(590	)5,918	N/A
	\$ 1,442	6,881	1	8,324	(675)	)(42	)(590	)7,017	

\*Physical forward contracts may have a larger value on the balance sheet than disclosed in the fair value hierarchy when the remaining contract term at the reporting date is greater than 12 months and the short-term portion is an asset while the long-term portion is a liability, or vice versa.

\*\*We carry fixed-rate debt on the balance sheet at amortized cost.

## Nonrecurring Fair Value Remeasurements

The following table shows the values of assets, by major category, measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in periods subsequent to their initial recognition during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Millions of Dollars				
	Fair Value*	Fair Value Measurements Using Level 1 Inputs	Level 2 Inputs	Level 3 Inputs	Before-Tax Loss
March 31, 2013					



Edgar Filing: Phillips 66 - Form 10-Q

Net properties, plants and equipment (held for use)	\$22	22	—	—	27
March 31, 2012					
Net properties, plants and equipment (held for sale)	\$32	32	—	—	42
*Represents the fair value at the time of the impairment.					

During the first quarter of 2013, net PP&E held for use related to our composite graphite business in our M&S segment, with a carrying amount of \$18 million, was written down to its fair value, resulting in a before-tax loss of \$18 million. Fair value was based on an internal assessment of expected discounted future cash flows. During this same period, Corporate net PP&E with a carrying amount of \$31 million was written down to its fair value of \$22 million, resulting in a before-tax loss of \$9 million. The fair value was primarily determined by a third-party valuation.

During the first quarter of 2012, net PP&E held for sale related to equipment formerly associated with a canceled refinery upgrade project, with a carrying amount of \$74 million, was written down to its fair value of \$32 million, resulting in a before-tax loss of \$42 million. The fair value was primarily determined by a negotiated selling price with a third party.

Table of Contents

## Note 16—Employee Benefit Plans

## Pension and Postretirement Plans

Prior to the Separation, certain of our U.S. and U.K. employees participated in defined benefit pension plans and postretirement benefit plans (Shared Plans) sponsored by ConocoPhillips, which included participants of other ConocoPhillips subsidiaries. Prior to the Separation, we accounted for such Shared Plans as multiemployer benefit plans. Accordingly, we did not record an asset or liability to recognize the funded status of the Shared Plans on our consolidated balance sheet until the Separation.

The allocated benefit cost from Shared Plans, as well as the components of net periodic benefit cost associated with plans sponsored by us for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, is shown in the table below:

	Millions of Dollars					
	Pension Benefits				Other Benefits	
	2013		2012		2013	2012
	U.S.	Int'l.	U.S.	Int'l.		
Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost						
Three Months Ended March 31						
Service cost	\$31	9	—	1	2	—
Interest cost	23	8	—	3	2	—
Expected return on plan assets	(30	) (7	) —	(2	) —	—
Recognized net actuarial loss	21	5	—	2	—	—
Subtotal net periodic benefit cost	45	15	—	4	4	—
Allocated benefit cost from ConocoPhillips	—	—	53	10	—	5
Total net periodic benefit cost	\$45	15	53	14	4	5

During the first three months of 2013, we contributed \$11 million to our U.S. plans and \$12 million to our international plans.

## Note 17—Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The following table depicts changes in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) by component, as well as detail on reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

	Millions of Dollars				Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
	Defined Benefit Plans	Foreign Currency Translation	Hedging		
December 31, 2012	\$(778	) 466	(2	) (314	)
Other comprehensive loss before reclassifications	(8	) (318	) —	(326	)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)*					
Amortization of defined benefit plan items**					
Actuarial losses	18	—	—	18	
Net current period other comprehensive income (loss)	10	(318	) —	(308	)

March 31, 2013 \$ (768 ) 148 (2 ) (622 )

\*There were no significant reclassifications related to foreign currency translation or hedging.

\*\*These accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) components are included in the computation of net periodic benefit cost (see Note 16—Employee Benefit Plans, for additional information).

Table of Contents

## Note 18—Cash Flow Information

	Millions of Dollars Three Months Ended March 31	
	2013	2012
Cash Payments		
Interest	\$ 15	3
Income taxes*	83	30

\*Excludes our share of cash tax payments made directly by ConocoPhillips prior to the Separation.

## Note 19—Related Party Transactions

Significant transactions with related parties were:

	Millions of Dollars Three Months Ended March 31	
	2013	2012
Operating revenues and other income (a)	\$ 1,749	2,137
Purchases (b)	4,288	9,030
Operating expenses and selling, general and administrative expenses (c)	24	99
Interest expense (d)	2	2

(a) We sold crude oil to MRC. NGL and other petrochemical feedstocks, along with solvents, were sold to CPChem, and gas oil and hydrogen feedstocks were sold to Excel. Feedstocks and intermediate products were sold to WRB. In addition, we charged several of our affiliates, including CPChem and MSLP, for the use of common facilities, such as steam generators, waste and water treaters, and warehouse facilities.

(b) We purchased refined products from WRB. We purchased natural gas and NGL from DCP Midstream and CPChem for use in our refinery processes and other feedstocks from various affiliates. We purchased refined products from MRC. We also paid fees to various pipeline equity companies for transporting finished refined products. In addition, we paid a price upgrade to MSLP for heavy crude processing. We purchased base oils and fuel products from Excel for use in our refining and specialty businesses.

(c) We paid utility and processing fees to various affiliates.

(d) We incurred interest expense on a note payable to MSLP. See Note 6—Investments, Loans and Long-Term Receivables, for additional information on loans to affiliated companies.

Also included in the table above are transactions with ConocoPhillips during first-quarter 2012, prior to the Separation. These transactions include crude oil purchased from ConocoPhillips as feedstock for our refineries and power sold to ConocoPhillips from our power generation facilities. Sales to and purchases from ConocoPhillips, while it was a related party, were \$296 million and \$4,216 million, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

For the period prior to the Separation, the consolidated statement of income includes expense allocations for certain corporate functions historically performed by ConocoPhillips and not allocated to its operating segments, including

allocations of general corporate expenses related to executive oversight, accounting, treasury, tax, legal, procurement and information technology. Net charges from ConocoPhillips for these services, reflected in selling, general and administrative expenses, were \$61 million in first-quarter 2012.

Table of Contents

Note 20—Segment Disclosures and Related Information

Effective January 1, 2013, we changed the organizational structure of the internal financial information reviewed by our chief executive officer, and determined this resulted in a change in the composition of our operating segments. The primary effects of this reporting reorganization were:

• We disaggregated the former R&M segment into two separate operating segments titled "Refining" and "Marketing and Specialties."

• We moved our transportation and power businesses from the former R&M segment to the Midstream and Marketing and Specialties segments, respectively.

This realignment resulted in the following operating segments:

1) Midstream—Gathers, processes, transports and markets natural gas; and transports, fractionates and markets NGL in the United States. In addition, this segment transports crude oil and other feedstocks to our refineries and other locations, and delivers refined and specialty products to market. The Midstream segment includes, among other businesses, our 50 percent equity investment in DCP Midstream.

2) Chemicals—Manufactures and markets petrochemicals and plastics on a worldwide basis. The Chemicals segment consists of our 50 percent equity investment in CPChem.

3) Refining—Buys, sells and refines crude oil and other feedstocks at 15 refineries, mainly in the United States, Europe and Asia.

4) Marketing and Specialties—Purchases for resale and markets refined products, mainly in the United States and Europe. In addition, this segment includes the manufacturing and marketing of specialty products (such as lubricants and flow improvers), as well as power generation operations.

Corporate and Other includes general corporate overhead, interest expense, our investments in new technologies and various other corporate activities. Corporate assets include all cash and cash equivalents.

We evaluate performance and allocate resources based on net income attributable to Phillips 66. Intersegment sales are at prices that approximate market.

The new segment alignment is presented for the first quarter of 2013, with the prior period recast for comparability.

Table of Contents

## Analysis of Results by Operating Segment

	Millions of Dollars	
	Three Months Ended	
	March 31	
	2013	2012
Sales and Other Operating Revenues		
Midstream		
Total sales	\$1,583	2,081
Intersegment eliminations	(232)	) (271)
Total Midstream	1,351	1,810
Chemicals	2	3
Refining		
Total sales	29,807	33,732
Intersegment eliminations	(17,857)	) (18,057)
Total Refining	11,950	15,675
Marketing and Specialties		
Total sales	28,223	28,614
Intersegment eliminations	(269)	) (319)
Total Marketing and Specialties	27,954	28,295
Corporate and Other	6	—
Consolidated sales and other operating revenues	\$41,263	45,783
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Phillips 66		
Midstream	\$110	108
Chemicals	282	217
Refining	922	393
Marketing and Specialties	188	(12)
Corporate and Other	(95)	) (70)
Consolidated net income attributable to Phillips 66	\$1,407	636

	Millions of Dollars	
	March 31	December 31
	2013	2012
Total Assets		
Midstream	\$4,719	4,641
Chemicals	3,915	3,816
Refining	28,270	26,834
Marketing and Specialties	8,801	8,012
Corporate and Other	5,446	4,770
Consolidated total assets	\$51,151	48,073

Table of Contents

Note 21—Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate for the first three months of 2013 was 32 percent, compared with 40 percent for the corresponding period of 2012. The decrease in the effective tax rate was primarily due to the absence of U.S. tax expense on foreign dividends, the majority of which was recorded as a result of corporate restructuring to effectuate the Separation.

The effective tax rate varies from the federal statutory rate of 35 percent primarily as a result of the domestic manufacturing deduction and foreign operations partially offset by state income tax.

Note 22—Condensed Consolidating Financial Information

Our \$5.8 billion of Senior Notes were issued by Phillips 66, and are guaranteed by Phillips 66 Company, a 100-percent-owned subsidiary. Phillips 66 Company has fully and unconditionally guaranteed the payment obligations of Phillips 66 with respect to these debt securities. The following condensed consolidating financial information presents the results of operations, financial position and cash flows for:

• Phillips 66 and Phillips 66 Company (in each case, reflecting investments in subsidiaries utilizing the equity method of accounting).

• All other nonguarantor subsidiaries.

• The consolidating adjustments necessary to present Phillips 66's results on a consolidated basis.

This condensed consolidating financial information should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements and notes.



Table of Contents

	Millions of Dollars				
	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013				
Income Statement	Phillips 66	Phillips 66 Company	All Other Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated
<b>Revenues and Other Income</b>					
Sales and other operating revenues	\$—	27,637	13,626	—	41,263
Equity in earnings of affiliates	1,452	1,120	159	(1,692)	1,039
Net gain on dispositions	—	1	—	—	1
Other income	—	15	8	—	23
Intercompany revenues	—	490	4,915	(5,405)	—
<b>Total Revenues and Other Income</b>	<b>1,452</b>	<b>29,263</b>	<b>18,708</b>	<b>(7,097)</b>	<b>42,326</b>
<b>Costs and Expenses</b>					
Purchased crude oil and products	—	24,754	15,799	(5,289)	35,264
Operating expenses	—	786	199	(7)	978
Selling, general and administrative expenses	2	200	153	(23)	332
Depreciation and amortization	—	183	62	—	245
Impairments	—	(3)	27	—	24
Taxes other than income taxes	—	1,208	2,116	—	3,324
Accretion on discounted liabilities	—	5	1	—	6
Interest and debt expense	67	3	86	(86)	70
Foreign currency transaction gains	—	—	2	—	2
<b>Total Costs and Expenses</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>27,136</b>	<b>18,445</b>	<b>(5,405)</b>	<b>40,245</b>
Income before income taxes	1,383	2,127	263	(1,692)	2,081
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	(24)	675	20	—	671
<b>Net income</b>	<b>1,407</b>	<b>1,452</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>(1,692)</b>	<b>1,410</b>
Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	3	—	3
<b>Net Income Attributable to Phillips 66</b>	<b>\$ 1,407</b>	<b>1,452</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>(1,692)</b>	<b>1,407</b>
<b>Comprehensive Income (Loss)</b>	<b>\$ 1,100</b>	<b>1,145</b>	<b>(72)</b>	<b>(1,071)</b>	<b>1,102</b>

	Millions of Dollars				
	Three Months Ended March 31, 2012				
Income Statement	Phillips 66	Phillips 66 Company	All Other Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated
<b>Revenues and Other Income</b>					
Sales and other operating revenues	\$—	30,085	15,698	—	45,783
Equity in earnings of affiliates	636	731	163	(796)	734
Net gain on dispositions	—	2	—	—	2
Other income (loss)	—	5	(4)	—	1
Intercompany revenues	—	964	6,188	(7,152)	—
<b>Total Revenues and Other Income</b>	<b>636</b>	<b>31,787</b>	<b>22,045</b>	<b>(7,948)</b>	<b>46,520</b>
<b>Costs and Expenses</b>					
Purchased crude oil and products	—	28,283	19,188	(7,143)	40,328
Operating expenses	—	917	184	(9)	1,092
	—	254	95	—	349

Edgar Filing: Phillips 66 - Form 10-Q

Selling, general and administrative expenses					
Depreciation and amortization	—	156	60	—	216
Impairments	—	1	42	—	43
Taxes other than income taxes	—	1,281	2,139	—	3,420
Accretion on discounted liabilities	—	3	2	—	5
Interest and debt expense	—	13	—	—	13
Foreign currency transaction gains	—	—	(15	)—	(15
Total Costs and Expenses	—	30,908	21,695	(7,152	)45,451
Income before income taxes	636	879	350	(796	)1,069
Provision for income taxes	—	243	188	—	431
Net income	636	636	162	(796	)638
Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	2	—	2
Net Income Attributable to Phillips 66	\$636	636	160	(796	)636
Comprehensive Income	\$674	674	212	(884	)676

Table of Contents

Balance Sheet	Millions of Dollars					
	At March 31, 2013					
	Phillips 66	Phillips 66 Company	All Other Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated	
<b>Assets</b>						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$—	2,836	1,917	—	4,753	
Accounts and notes receivable	111	2,682	8,692	(1,575)	)9,910	
Inventories	—	3,125	2,686	—	5,811	
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	11	409	276	—	696	
<b>Total Current Assets</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>9,052</b>	<b>13,571</b>	<b>(1,575)</b>	<b>)21,170</b>	
Investments and long-term receivables	29,926	21,444	6,450	(47,322)	)10,498	
Net properties, plants and equipment	—	11,655	3,602	—	15,257	
Goodwill	—	3,344	—	—	3,344	
Intangibles	—	707	20	—	727	
Other assets	39	114	2	—	155	
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$30,087</b>	<b>46,316</b>	<b>23,645</b>	<b>(48,897)</b>	<b>)51,151</b>	
<b>Liabilities and Equity</b>						
Accounts payable	\$84	7,788	6,841	(1,575)	)13,138	
Short-term debt	—	13	—	—	13	
Accrued income and other taxes	—	357	653	—	1,010	
Employee benefit obligations	—	209	29	—	238	
Other accruals	100	310	142	—	552	
<b>Total Current Liabilities</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>8,677</b>	<b>7,665</b>	<b>(1,575)</b>	<b>)14,951</b>	
Long-term debt	6,795	163	—	—	6,958	
Asset retirement obligations and accrued environmental costs	—	528	175	—	703	
Deferred income taxes	—	4,481	1,026	—	5,507	
Employee benefit obligations	—	1,120	219	—	1,339	
Other liabilities and deferred credits	1,902	1,575	6,048	(9,210)	)315	
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>8,881</b>	<b>16,544</b>	<b>15,133</b>	<b>(10,785)</b>	<b>)29,773</b>	
Common stock	18,043	25,935	8,308	(34,243)	)18,043	
Retained earnings	3,923	4,597	327	(4,924)	)3,923	
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(760)	) (760)	) (157)	) 1,055	(622)	)
Noncontrolling interests	—	—	34	—	34	
<b>Total Liabilities and Equity</b>	<b>\$30,087</b>	<b>46,316</b>	<b>23,645</b>	<b>(48,897)</b>	<b>)51,151</b>	

Table of Contents

	Millions of Dollars				
	At December 31, 2012				
Balance Sheet	Phillips 66	Phillips 66 Company	All Other Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated
<b>Assets</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$—	2,410	1,064	—	3,474
Accounts and notes receivable	47	2,889	8,456	(989)	)10,403
Inventories	—	1,938	1,492	—	3,430
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	11	403	241	—	655
<b>Total Current Assets</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>7,640</b>	<b>11,253</b>	<b>(989)</b>	<b>)17,962</b>
Investments and long-term receivables	28,796	20,784	4,403	(43,512)	)10,471
Net properties, plants and equipment	—	11,714	3,693	—	15,407
Goodwill	—	3,344	—	—	3,344
Intangibles	—	710	14	—	724
Other assets	78	114	9	(36)	)165
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$28,932</b>	<b>44,306</b>	<b>19,372</b>	<b>(44,537)</b>	<b>)48,073</b>
<b>Liabilities and Equity</b>					
Accounts payable	\$17	7,014	4,668	(989)	)10,710
Short-term debt	—	13	—	—	13
Accrued income and other taxes	—	245	656	—	901
Employee benefit obligations	—	391	50	—	441
Other accruals	50	279	88	—	417
<b>Total Current Liabilities</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>7,942</b>	<b>5,462</b>	<b>(989)</b>	<b>)12,482</b>
Long-term debt	6,795	165	1	—	6,961
Asset retirement obligations and accrued environmental costs	—	563	177	—	740
Deferred income taxes	—	4,478	1,002	(36)	)5,444
Employee benefit obligations	—	1,094	231	—	1,325
Other liabilities and deferred credits	1,434	1,421	3,936	(6,476)	)315
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>8,296</b>	<b>15,663</b>	<b>10,809</b>	<b>(7,501)</b>	<b>)27,267</b>
Common stock	18,376	25,951	8,287	(34,238)	)18,376
Retained earnings	2,713	3,145	87	(3,232)	)2,713
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(453)	) (453)	)158	434	(314)
Noncontrolling interests	—	—	31	—	31
<b>Total Liabilities and Equity</b>	<b>\$28,932</b>	<b>44,306</b>	<b>19,372</b>	<b>(44,537)</b>	<b>)48,073</b>

Table of Contents

	Millions of Dollars				
	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013				
Statement of Cash Flows	Phillips 66	Phillips 66 Company	All Other Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated
<b>Cash Flows From Operating Activities</b>					
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$585	612	1,016	—	2,213
<b>Cash Flows From Investing Activities</b>					
Capital expenditures and investments	—	(186)	(221)	20	(387)
Proceeds from asset dispositions	—	—	9	—	9
Collection of advances/loans—related parties	—	—	55	—	55
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	—	(186)	(157)	20	(323)
<b>Cash Flows From Financing Activities</b>					
Repayment of debt	—	(3)	—	—	(3)
Issuance of common stock	(6)	—	—	—	(6)
Repurchase of common stock	(382)	—	—	—	(382)
Dividends paid on common stock	(194)	—	—	—	(194)
Other	(3)	3	20	(20)	—
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	(585)	—	20	(20)	(585)
Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents	—	—	(26)	—	(26)
Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents	—	426	853	—	1,279
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	—	2,410	1,064	—	3,474
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$—	2,836	1,917	—	4,753

	Millions of Dollars				
	Three Months Ended March 31, 2012				
Statement of Cash Flows	Phillips 66	Phillips 66 Company	All Other Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated
<b>Cash Flows From Operating Activities</b>					
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities	\$21	(677)	295	—	(361)
<b>Cash Flows From Investing Activities</b>					
Capital expenditures and investments	—	(162)	(56)	—	(218)
Proceeds from asset dispositions	—	(1)	7	—	6
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	—	(163)	(49)	—	(212)
<b>Cash Flows From Financing Activities</b>					

Edgar Filing: Phillips 66 - Form 10-Q

Contributions from (distributions to) ConocoPhillips	290	845	(244	)—	891
Issuance of debt	5,794	—	—	—	5,794
Repayment of debt	—	(5	)(2	)—	(7 )
Change in restricted cash	(6,050	)—	—	—	(6,050 )
Other	(55	)—	—	—	(55 )
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	(21	)840	(246	)—	573
Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents	—	—	—	—	—
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	—	—	—	—	—
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$—	—	—	—	—

## Table of Contents

### Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Management's Discussion and Analysis is the company's analysis of its financial performance, financial condition, and of significant trends that may affect future performance. It should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes. It contains forward-looking statements including, without limitation, statements relating to the company's plans, strategies, objectives, expectations and intentions that are made pursuant to the "safe harbor" provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The words "anticipate," "estimate," "believe," "budget," "continue," "could," "intend," "may," "plan," "potential," "predict," "seek," "should," "will," "would," "expect," "forecast," "goal," "guidance," "outlook," "effort," "target" and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. The company does not undertake to update, revise or correct any of the forward-looking information unless required to do so under the federal securities laws. Readers are cautioned that such forward-looking statements should be read in conjunction with the company's disclosures under the heading: "CAUTIONARY STATEMENT FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE 'SAFE HARBOR' PROVISIONS OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995," beginning on page 42.

The terms "earnings" and "loss" as used in Management's Discussion and Analysis refer to net income (loss) attributable to Phillips 66.

### BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT AND EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

Phillips 66 is an energy manufacturing and logistics company with midstream, chemicals, refining, and marketing and specialties businesses. At March 31, 2013, we had total assets of \$51 billion. Our common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "PSX."

#### The Separation

On April 4, 2012, the ConocoPhillips Board of Directors approved the separation of its downstream businesses (as defined below) into an independent, publicly traded company named Phillips 66. In accordance with the Separation and Distribution Agreement, the two companies were separated by ConocoPhillips distributing to its stockholders all 625,272,302 shares of common stock of Phillips 66 after the market closed on April 30, 2012 (the Separation). Each ConocoPhillips stockholder received one share of Phillips 66 stock for every two shares of ConocoPhillips stock. Following the Separation, ConocoPhillips retained no ownership interest in Phillips 66, and each company has had separate public ownership, boards of directors and management.

#### Basis of Presentation

Prior to the Separation, our results of operations, financial position and cash flows consisted of ConocoPhillips' refining, marketing and transportation operations; its natural gas gathering, processing, transmission and marketing operations, primarily conducted through its equity investment in DCP Midstream, LLC (DCP Midstream); its petrochemical operations, conducted through its equity investment in Chevron Phillips Chemical Company LLC (CPCChem); its power generation operations; and an allocable portion of its corporate costs (together, the "downstream businesses"). Our financial statements have been presented as if the downstream businesses had been combined for the 2012 period presented. All intercompany transactions and accounts within the downstream businesses were eliminated. The statement of income for the period prior to the Separation includes expense allocations for certain corporate functions historically performed by ConocoPhillips and not allocated to its operating segments, including allocations of general corporate expenses related to executive oversight, accounting, treasury, tax, legal, procurement and information technology. These allocations were based primarily on specific identification of time and/or activities

associated with the downstream businesses, employee headcount or capital expenditures, and our management believes the assumptions underlying the allocations were reasonable. The combined financial statements may not necessarily reflect all of the actual expenses that would have been incurred had we been a stand-alone company during the period presented prior to the Separation.



## Table of Contents

Effective January 1, 2013, we changed the organizational structure of the internal financial information reviewed by our chief executive officer, and determined this resulted in a change in the composition of our operating segments. The primary effects of this reporting reorganization were:

• We disaggregated the former Refining and Marketing (R&M) segment into two separate operating segments titled "Refining" and "Marketing and Specialties."

• We moved our transportation and power generation businesses from the former R&M segment to the Midstream and Marketing and Specialties (M&S) segments, respectively.

The new segment alignment is presented for the first quarter of 2013, with the prior period recast for comparability.

### Business Environment

The Midstream segment includes our 50 percent equity investment in DCP Midstream. Earnings of DCP Midstream are closely linked to natural gas liquids (NGL) prices and, to a lesser extent, natural gas and crude oil prices. NGL prices were fairly flat in the first quarter of 2013, compared with the fourth quarter of 2012, while lower compared with the first quarter of 2012, due to relatively higher inventories driven by growing NGL production from liquids-rich shale plays with limited corresponding demand increase from the petrochemical industry and constrained export capacity.

The Chemicals segment consists of our 50 percent equity investment in CPChem. The chemicals and plastics industry is mainly a commodity-based industry where the margins for key products are based on market factors. The chemicals industry experienced improved ethylene margins in regions of the world where production is based upon NGL versus crude-derived feedstocks. In particular, North American ethane-based crackers benefited from the lower-priced feedstocks.

Results for our Refining segment depend largely on refining margins, cost control, refinery throughput, and product yields. The crack spread is a measure of the difference between market prices for refined petroleum products and crude oil, and it is used within our industry as an indicator for refining margins. The U.S. 3:2:1 crack spread (three barrels of crude oil producing two barrels of gasoline and one barrel of diesel) increased in the first quarter of 2013, compared with both the first quarter and the fourth quarter of 2012. The improvement over both periods was driven by improved demand for refined products resulting from ongoing economic recovery and continued net exports to international markets, combined with constrained supplies resulting from higher turnaround activities during the current quarter.

U.S. crude production continued to increase, and limited infrastructure for takeaway options resulted in advantaged crude prices for U.S. refiners with access to these lower-cost crudes. Increasing pressure on inventories in the Midcontinent continued to cause West Texas Intermediate (WTI) crude to trade at a deep discount relative to crudes such as Louisiana Light Sweet (LLS) and Brent during the first quarter of 2013. Refineries capable of processing WTI crude and crude oils that price relative to WTI, primarily the Midcontinent refineries, benefited from these lower regional feedstock prices.

The Northwest Europe benchmark crack spread increased in the first quarter of 2013, compared with the first quarter of 2012, but decreased compared with the fourth quarter of 2012. The improvement over the first quarter of 2012 was driven by improved global demand for refined products resulting from worldwide economic recovery. The decrease relative to the fourth quarter of 2012 was driven by regional factors including higher product supplies due to lower turnaround activities and rising imports, as well as overall weak European demand amid ongoing economic challenges.

Results for our M&S segment depend largely on marketing fuel margins, lubricant margins and other specialty product margins. These margins are primarily based on market factors, largely determined by the relationship between demand and supply. Marketing fuel margins are primarily determined by the trend of the spot prices for refined products. Generally, a downward trend of spot prices has a favorable impact on the marketing fuel margins, while an upward trend of spot prices has an unfavorable impact on the marketing fuel margins.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has implemented a Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) pursuant to the Energy Policy Act of 2005 and the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007. The RFS program sets annual quotas for the quantity of renewable fuels (such as ethanol) that must be blended into motor fuels consumed in the United States. To provide certain flexibility in compliance options available to the industry, a Renewable Identification Number (RIN) is assigned to each gallon of renewable fuel produced in, or imported into, the United States. As a producer of petroleum-based motor fuels, we are obligated to blend renewable fuels into the products we produce at a rate that is at least commensurate to the EPA's quota and, to the extent we do not, we must purchase RINs in the open market to satisfy our obligation under the RFS program.

Table of Contents

During the first quarter of 2013, the price of ethanol-based RINs increased significantly, from less than 10 cents per gallon of ethanol at the beginning of the quarter to a peak of approximately \$1.10 per gallon. This increase has been attributed to, among other items, the impending ethanol “blend wall”—the situation where the EPA's mandated quantities of ethanol to be blended exceeds 10 percent of produced gasoline. While various options to address blend wall concerns have been proposed, such as statutory or regulatory amendments, it is impossible to predict at this time whether and when any such option will be implemented. It is also not possible to reliably determine how much of increased RIN costs may ultimately be included in the selling price of motor fuels. Accordingly, the long-term impact of the EPA's RFS program on our refining margins is uncertain. If sufficient quantities of RINs are unavailable for purchase or we are otherwise unable to meet the EPA's RFS quotas, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Unless otherwise indicated, discussion of results for the three-month period ended March 31, 2013, is based on a comparison with the corresponding period of 2012.

## Consolidated Results

A summary of net income (loss) attributable to Phillips 66 by business segment follows:

	Millions of Dollars Three Months Ended March 31	
	2013	2012
Midstream	\$ 110	108
Chemicals	282	217
Refining	922	393
Marketing and Specialties	188	(12 )
Corporate and Other	(95 )	(70 )
Net income attributable to Phillips 66	\$ 1,407	636

Earnings for Phillips 66 increased \$771 million, or 121 percent, in the first quarter of 2013. The increase was primarily due to improved results from our Refining and M&S segments, mainly resulting from higher refining margins and improved marketing margins. Also contributing to the higher quarterly earnings were improved results from our Chemicals segment, primarily reflecting higher ethylene, polyethylene and benzene margins.

See the “Segment Results” section for additional information on our segment results.

Table of Contents

Income Statement Analysis

Sales and other operating revenues for the first quarter of 2013 decreased 10 percent, and purchased crude oil and products decreased 13 percent. The decreases were mainly due to lower prices for petroleum products, crude oil and NGL.

Equity in earnings of affiliates for the first quarter of 2013 increased 42 percent. The increase primarily resulted from improved earnings from WRB Refining LP (WRB) and CPChem.

Equity in earnings of WRB increased 83 percent, mainly due to higher refining margins in the Central Corridor region, partially offset by lower refining volumes associated with maintenance turnaround activity in the first quarter of 2013.

Equity in earnings of CPChem increased 33 percent, mainly resulting from higher ethylene, polyethylene and benzene margins.

These improvements were partially offset by:

• Lower earnings from Mery Sweeny, L.P. (MSLP), mainly due to lower volumes and higher operating expenses related to turnaround activity in the first quarter of 2013, partially offset by improved margins.

• Lower earnings from Malaysian Refining Company Sdn. Bhd. (MRC), mainly due to lower margins and volumes.

Other income increased \$22 million in the first quarter of 2013. The increase was primarily associated with income received from ConocoPhillips during the first quarter of 2013 for transition services provided by us after the Separation, as well as a loss on early retirement of debt in connection with the Separation recorded in the first quarter of 2012.

Operating expenses decreased 10 percent in the first quarter of 2013, primarily driven by lower maintenance turnaround costs in our refining operations.

Impairments in the first quarter of 2013 were \$24 million, including \$15 million of impairments in our M&S segment, primarily related to an impairment of properties, plants and equipment (PP&E) associated with our planned exit from the composite graphite business. Impairments in the first quarter of 2012 included a \$42 million impairment of equipment formerly associated with the canceled Wilhelmshaven Refinery upgrade project. For additional information on the impairments, see Note 9—Impairments, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Interest and debt expense increased \$57 million in the first quarter of 2013, primarily due to debt issued in connection with the Separation.

Foreign currency transaction (gains) losses for the first quarter of 2013 were a \$2 million loss, compared with a gain of \$15 million for the first quarter of 2012. The unfavorable change in the current quarter was primarily due to the U.S. dollar strengthening against both the British pound and the euro in the first quarter of 2013, compared with the U.S. dollar weakening against both the British pound and the euro during the first quarter of 2012.

See Note 21—Income Taxes, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, for information regarding our provision for income taxes and effective tax rates.



Table of Contents

## Segment Results

## Midstream

	Three Months Ended March 31	
	2013	2012
	Millions of Dollars	
Net Income Attributable to Phillips 66		
DCP Midstream	\$56	60
NGL operations	9	19
Transportation	45	29
Total Midstream	\$110	108
	Dollars per Unit	
Weighted Average NGL Price*		
DCP Midstream (per barrel)	\$31.09	42.10
DCP Midstream (per gallon)	0.74	1.00
*Based on index prices from the Mont Belvieu and Conway market hubs that are weighted by NGL component and location mix.		
	Thousands of Barrels Daily	
Transportation Volumes		
Pipelines*	3,052	2,834
Terminals	1,041	1,134
Other Volumes		
NGL extracted**	198	206
NGL fractionated***	117	105
*Pipelines represent the sum of volumes transported through each separately tariffed pipeline segment, including our share of equity volumes from Yellowstone Pipe Line Company and Lake Charles Pipe Line Company.		
**Includes our share of equity affiliates.		
***Excludes DCP Midstream.		

The Midstream segment purchases raw natural gas from producers and gathers natural gas through an extensive network of pipeline gathering systems. The natural gas is then processed to extract NGL from the raw gas stream. The remaining “residue” gas is marketed to electric utilities, industrial users and gas marketing companies. Most of the NGL are fractionated—separated into individual components such as ethane, propane and butane—and marketed as chemical feedstock, fuel or blendstock. In addition, the Midstream segment includes transportation and terminaling services associated with the movement of crude oil, refined and specialty products, natural gas and NGL.

The Midstream segment consists of our 50 percent equity investment in DCP Midstream, as well as other NGL fractionation, trading and marketing businesses in the United States. In addition, the Midstream segment encompasses pipeline and terminal assets in the United States.

Earnings from the Midstream segment increased \$2 million in the first quarter of 2013. The improvement was driven by higher earnings from our transportation business, partially offset by lower earnings from our NGL operations and

DCP Midstream.

Improved earnings in our transportation business primarily resulted from increased rates and higher transportation volumes, combined with lower operating expenses. Higher transportation volumes were from newly established transportation rates and fees.

Earnings of our NGL operations for the first quarter of 2013 decreased, mainly due to lower margins and NGL inventory impact associated with our exit from the propane marketing business.

32

---

Table of Contents

The decrease in earnings of DCP Midstream in the first quarter of 2013 mainly resulted from lower NGL prices and lower volumes, partially offset by lower depreciation and increased gain from the issuance of limited partner units by DCP Midstream Partners, L.P., as described below. See the “Business Environment and Executive Overview” section for information on market factors affecting NGL prices.

During the second quarter of 2012, DCP Midstream completed a review of the estimated depreciable lives of its major classes of PP&E. As a result of that review, the depreciable lives were extended. This change in accounting estimate was implemented on a prospective basis, effective April 1, 2012.

DCP Midstream Partners, L.P., a subsidiary of DCP Midstream, issues, from time to time, limited partner units to the public. These issuances benefited our equity in earnings from DCP Midstream, on an after-tax basis, by approximately \$27 million in the first quarter of 2013, compared with approximately \$13 million in 2012.

## Chemicals

	Three Months Ended March 31	
	2013	2012
	Millions of Dollars	
Net Income Attributable to Phillips 66	\$282	217

	Millions of Pounds	
CPChem Externally Marketed Sales Volumes*		
Olefins and Polyolefins	4,036	3,640
Specialties, Aromatics and Styrenics	1,496	1,793
	5,532	5,433

\*Represents 100 percent of CPChem's outside sales of produced petrochemical products, as well as commission sales from equity affiliates.

Olefins and Polyolefins Capacity Utilization (percent)	91	%94
--	----	-----

The Chemicals segment consists of our 50 percent interest in CPChem, which we account for under the equity method. CPChem uses NGL and other feedstocks to produce petrochemicals. These products are then marketed and sold or used as feedstocks to produce plastics and other chemicals.

Earnings from the Chemicals segment increased \$65 million, or 30 percent, in the first quarter of 2013. The increase in the first quarter of 2013 was primarily driven by higher ethylene, polyethylene and benzene margins, mainly due to lower feedstock costs, combined with lower interest expense associated with CPChem's retirement of \$1 billion of debt during 2012. Ethylene margins benefited from lower feedstock costs, particularly lower ethane and propane prices during the current quarter. These items were partially offset by higher utility costs due to higher natural gas prices, and higher operating expenses driven by higher turnaround activity. See the “Business Environment and Executive Overview” section for information on market factors impacting this quarter's results.



Table of Contents

## Refining

	Three Months Ended March 31		
	2013	2012	
	Millions of Dollars		
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Phillips 66			
Atlantic Basin/Europe	\$98	(10	)
Gulf Coast	44	(45	)
Central Corridor	589	405	
Western/Pacific	62	(1	)
Other refining	129	44	
Worldwide	\$922	393	
	Dollars Per Barrel		
Refining Margins			
Atlantic Basin/Europe	\$8.61	6.43	
Gulf Coast	8.54	5.95	
Central Corridor	27.29	19.22	
Western/Pacific	9.64	10.70	
Worldwide	13.94	10.39	
	Thousands of Barrels Daily		
Operating Statistics			
Refining operations*			
Atlantic Basin/Europe			
Crude oil capacity	588	588	
Crude oil processed	571	573	
Capacity utilization (percent)	97	% 98	
Refinery production	618	627	
Gulf Coast			
Crude oil capacity	733	733	
Crude oil processed	584	600	
Capacity utilization (percent)	80	% 82	
Refinery production	646	682	
Central Corridor			
Crude oil capacity	475	471	
Crude oil processed	457	479	
Capacity utilization (percent)	96	% 102	
Refinery production	475	496	
Western/Pacific			
Crude oil capacity	440	439	
Crude oil processed	401	375	
Capacity utilization (percent)	91	% 85	
Refinery production	445	403	
Worldwide			
Crude oil capacity	2,236	2,231	
Crude oil processed	2,013	2,027	
Capacity utilization (percent)	90	% 91	

Refinery production

2,184

2,208

\*Includes our share of equity affiliates.

Table of Contents

The Refining segment buys, sells and refines crude oil and other feedstocks into petroleum products (such as gasoline, distillates and aviation fuels) at 15 refineries, mainly in the United States, Europe and Asia.

Refining reported earnings of \$922 million during the first quarter of 2013, an increase of \$529 million, or 135 percent, compared with the first quarter of 2012. See the “Business Environment and Executive Overview” section for information on industry crack spreads and other market factors impacting this quarter’s results.

Earnings for the first quarter of 2013 improved, primarily due to higher worldwide refining margins driven by improved market cracks, especially in the Central Corridor and Atlantic Basin/Europe regions, lower impairments and lower operating expenses from lower maintenance turnaround costs.

The first quarter of 2012 included an after-tax impairment of \$42 million on equipment formerly associated with the canceled Wilhelmshaven Refinery upgrade project. For additional information on the impairment, see Note 9—Impairments, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our worldwide refining crude oil capacity utilization rate was 90 percent in the first quarter of 2013, compared with 91 percent in the first quarter of 2012. The current year decrease was primarily due to higher unplanned downtime mainly caused by a power outage at our Sweeny Refinery and scheduled maintenance.

## Marketing and Specialties

	Three Months Ended March 31	
	2013	2012
	Millions of Dollars	
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Phillips 66		
Marketing and other	\$173	(67 )
Specialties	15	55
Total Marketing and Specialties	\$188	(12 )
	Dollars Per Barrel	
Realized Marketing Fuel Margin*		
U.S.	\$1.02	0.22
International	3.16	2.42
*On third-party petroleum products sales.		
	Dollars Per Gallon	
U.S. Average Wholesale Prices*		
Gasoline	2.93	2.98
Distillates	3.14	3.21
*Excludes excise taxes.		
	Thousands of Barrels Daily	
Marketing Petroleum Products Sales Volumes		
Gasoline	1,105	1,024
Distillates	956	988
Other products	16	15
Total	2,077	2,027



Table of Contents

The M&S segment purchases for resale and markets refined petroleum products (such as gasoline, distillates and aviation fuels), mainly in the United States and Europe. In addition, this segment includes the manufacturing and marketing of specialty products (such as lubricants and flow improvers), as well as power generation operations.

The M&S segment earnings were \$188 million in the first quarter of 2013, an increase of \$200 million compared with the first quarter of 2012. See the “Business Environment and Executive Overview” section for information on marketing fuel margins and other market factors impacting this quarter's results.

The improvement in the first quarter of 2013 was primarily driven by higher realized marketing margins and the absence of unfavorable tax impacts as discussed below. These items were partially offset by after-tax charges of \$34 million in the first quarter of 2013 (including an \$11 million after-tax impairment of PP&E), associated with our planned exit from the composite graphite business. First-quarter 2012 earnings were unfavorably impacted by a higher provision for income taxes associated with foreign dividends.

## Corporate and Other

	Millions of Dollars		
	Three Months Ended		
	March 31		
	2013	2012	
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Phillips 66			
Net interest	\$(43	)(9	)
Corporate general and administrative expenses	(34	)(28	)
Technology	(12	)(13	)
Other	(6	)(20	)
	\$(95	)(70	)

Net interest consists of interest and financing expense, net of interest income and capitalized interest. Net interest increased \$34 million in the first quarter of 2013, primarily due to debt issued in connection with the Separation.

Corporate general and administrative expenses increased \$6 million in the first quarter of 2013. The increase was primarily due to incremental costs and expenses associated with operating as a stand-alone company.

The category “Other” includes certain foreign currency transaction gains and losses, environmental costs associated with sites no longer in operation, income tax expenses and other costs not directly associated with an operating segment. The decrease was primarily due to a higher benefit for income taxes associated with the utilization of our foreign tax credits.

Table of Contents

## CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

## Financial Indicators

	Millions of Dollars Except as Indicated	
	March 31 2013	December 31 2012
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$2,213	4,296
Short-term debt	13	13
Total debt	6,971	6,974
Total equity	21,378	20,806
Percent of total debt to capital*	25	% 25
Percent of floating-rate debt to total debt	15	% 15

\*Capital includes total debt and total equity.

To meet our short- and long-term liquidity requirements, we look to a variety of funding sources, but rely primarily on cash generated from operating activities. During the first quarter of 2013, we generated \$2.2 billion in cash from operations, and received \$55 million from investing activities as a result of the collection of a related party advance. This available cash was primarily used for capital expenditures and investments (\$0.4 billion), repurchases of our common stock (\$0.4 billion) and dividend payments on our common stock (\$0.2 billion). During the first quarter of 2013, cash and cash equivalents increased by \$1.3 billion to \$4.8 billion.

In addition to cash flows from operating activities, we rely on our credit facility programs, asset sales and our shelf registration statement to support our short- and long-term liquidity requirements. We believe current cash and cash equivalents and cash generated by operations, together with access to external sources of funds as described below in the “Significant Sources of Capital” section, will be sufficient to meet our funding requirements in the near and long term, including our capital spending, dividend payments, defined benefit plan contributions, repayment of debt and share repurchases.

## Significant Sources of Capital

## Operating Activities

During the first three months of 2013, cash provided by operating activities was \$2,213 million compared with cash used by operating activities of \$361 million in the first quarter of 2012. The improvement in the 2013 period reflected higher realized refining margins and improved marketing margins and increased distributions from WRB and CPChem. In addition, we had positive working capital impacts from decreased receivables and increased payables, partially offset by inventory builds.

Our short- and long-term operating cash flows are highly dependent upon refining and marketing margins, NGL prices, and chemicals margins. Prices and margins in our industry are typically volatile, and are driven by market conditions over which we have little or no control. Absent other mitigating factors, as these prices and margins fluctuate, we would expect a corresponding change in our operating cash flows.

Generally, demand for gasoline is higher during the spring and summer months than during the fall and winter months in most of our markets due to seasonal changes in highway traffic. As a result, our operating results in the first and fourth quarters are generally lower than in the second and third quarters. However, our cash flow from operations may

not always follow this seasonal trend in operating results, due to working capital fluctuations associated with inventory management. Historically, we have built inventory levels during the first quarter (thus lowering cash flow from operations) and lowered inventory levels in the fourth quarter (increasing cash flow from operations). Our capital resources may impact the level of discretionary inventory activity we fund. We do not believe these inventory decisions will have a material impact on our short- or long-term liquidity.

The level and quality of output from our refineries impacts our cash flows. The output at our refineries is impacted by such factors as operating efficiency, maintenance turnarounds, market conditions, feedstock availability and weather conditions. We actively manage the operations of our refineries and, typically, any variability in their operations has not been as significant to cash flows as that caused by margins and prices.

## Table of Contents

We made a scheduled U.S. federal income tax payment in the fourth quarter of 2012 using the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) safe harbor method for estimated 2012 taxable income. We determined that a portion of that payment was refundable as an overpayment of estimated tax. As disclosed in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, we anticipated a refund from the IRS in the first quarter of 2013; however, we subsequently made a determination to use the overpayment to reduce our April 15, 2013, estimated federal income tax payment. As a result, the approximately \$350 million benefit to cash from operations will be realized in the second quarter of 2013.

Our operating cash flows are also impacted by dividend decisions made by our equity affiliates, including DCP Midstream, CPCChem and WRB. During the first three months of 2013, we received dividends of \$1,116 million from our equity affiliates, compared with \$385 million during the same period of 2012. We cannot control the amount of future dividends from equity affiliates; therefore, future dividend payments by these companies are not assured. For a discussion of distributions by CPCChem, see the “Capital Spending—Chemicals” section.

### Credit Facilities

In February 2012, we entered into a five-year revolving credit agreement with a syndicate of financial institutions. Under the terms of the revolving credit agreement, we have a borrowing capacity of up to \$4.0 billion. We have not borrowed under this facility. However, as of March 31, 2013, \$51 million in letters of credit had been issued that were supported by this facility.

### Trade Receivables Securitization Facility

Our trade receivables securitization facility, which was entered into during April 2012, has a term of three years and an aggregate borrowing capacity of \$1.2 billion. As of March 31, 2013, no amount had been drawn under the facility. However, \$166 million in letters of credit had been issued that were collateralized by trade receivables held by a subsidiary under this facility.

### Shelf Registration

We have a universal shelf registration statement on file with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under which we, as a well-known seasoned issuer, have the ability to issue and sell an indeterminate amount of various types of debt and equity securities.

### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In April 2012, in connection with the Separation, we entered into an agreement to guarantee 100 percent of certain outstanding debt obligations of MSLP. At March 31, 2013, the aggregate principal amount of MSLP debt guaranteed by us was \$233 million.

For additional information about guarantees, see Note 12—Guarantees, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

### Capital Requirements

For information about our capital expenditures and investments, see the “Capital Spending” section.

Our debt balance at both March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, was \$7.0 billion and our debt-to-capital ratio was 25 percent, within our target range of 20-to-30 percent. We have no material scheduled debt maturities in 2013; however, we expect to repay the remaining \$1.0 billion of our term loan, due in 2014, before year-end 2013.



On February 10, 2013, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.3125 per common share. The dividend was paid on March 1, 2013, to holders of record at the close of business on February 21, 2013.

During 2012, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$2 billion of our outstanding common stock. During the first quarter of 2013, we repurchased 6,402,607 shares at a cost of \$382 million. Since our share repurchase program began in the third quarter of 2012, share repurchases totaled 14,006,503 shares at a cost of \$738 million through March 31, 2013. Shares of stock repurchased are held as treasury shares.

Table of Contents

## Capital Spending

	Millions of Dollars	
	Three Months Ended	
	March 31	
	2013	2012
Capital Expenditures and Investments		
Midstream	\$ 110	33
Chemicals	—	—
Refining	136	171
Marketing and Specialties	102	14
Corporate and Other	39	—
	\$ 387	218
Selected Equity Affiliates*		
DCP Midstream	\$ 274	225
CPChem	107	75
WRB	29	13
	\$ 410	313

\*Our share of capital spending, which is self-funded by the equity affiliate.

## Midstream

During the first three months of 2013, DCP Midstream had a self-funded capital program, and thus required no new capital infusions from us or our co-venturer, Spectra Energy Corp. During this period, on a 100 percent basis, DCP Midstream's capital expenditures and investments were approximately \$547 million. During the first three months of 2013, we invested a total of \$75 million in the Sand Hills and Southern Hills pipeline projects, increasing our total direct investment to \$0.6 billion.

## Chemicals

During the first three months of 2013, CPChem had a self-funded capital program, and thus required no new capital infusions from us or our co-venturer. During this period, on a 100 percent basis, CPChem's capital expenditures and investments were \$214 million. In addition, CPChem's advances to equity affiliates, primarily used for project construction and startup activities, were \$45 million and its repayments received from equity affiliates were \$43 million. We are currently forecasting CPChem to remain self-funding through 2013.

## Refining

Capital spending for the Refining segment during the first three months of 2013 was primarily for air emission reduction projects to meet new environmental standards, refinery upgrade projects to improve product yields, improvements to the operating integrity of key processing units and safety-related projects.

Major construction activities in progress include:

- ✦ Installation of facilities to reduce nitrous oxide emissions from the fluid catalytic cracker at the Alliance Refinery.
- ✦ Installation of new coke drums at the Ponca City Refinery.
- ✦ Installation of a tail gas treating unit at the Humber Refinery to reduce emissions from the sulfur recovery units.

Generally, our equity affiliates in the Refining segment are intended to have self-funding capital programs.

Marketing and Specialties

Capital spending for the M&S segment during the first three months of 2013 was primarily for the acquisition of retail sites in the western and Midwestern portions of the United States, reliability and maintenance projects, as well as projects targeted at growing our international Marketing and Specialties businesses.

## Table of Contents

### Contingencies

A number of lawsuits involving a variety of claims have been made against us that arose in the ordinary course of business. We also may be required to remove or mitigate the effects on the environment of the placement, storage, disposal or release of certain chemical, mineral and petroleum substances at various active and inactive sites. We regularly assess the need for accounting recognition or disclosure of these contingencies. In the case of all known contingencies (other than those related to income taxes), we accrue a liability when the loss is probable and the amount is reasonably estimable. If a range of amounts can be reasonably estimated and no amount within the range is a better estimate than any other amount, then the minimum of the range is accrued. We do not reduce these liabilities for potential insurance or third-party recoveries. If applicable, we accrue receivables for probable insurance or other third-party recoveries. In the case of income-tax-related contingencies, we use a cumulative probability-weighted loss accrual in cases where sustaining a tax position is less than certain.

Based on currently available information, we believe it is remote that future costs related to known contingent liability exposures will exceed current accruals by an amount that would have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial statements. As we learn new facts concerning contingencies, we reassess our position both with respect to accrued liabilities and other potential exposures. Estimates particularly sensitive to future changes include contingent liabilities recorded for environmental remediation, tax and legal matters. Estimated future environmental remediation costs are subject to change due to such factors as the uncertain magnitude of cleanup costs, the unknown time and extent of such remedial actions that may be required, and the determination of our liability in proportion to that of other responsible parties. Estimated future costs related to tax and legal matters are subject to change as events evolve and as additional information becomes available during the administrative and litigation processes.

### Legal and Tax Matters

Our legal organization applies its knowledge, experience and professional judgment to the specific characteristics of our cases, employing a litigation management process to manage and monitor the legal proceedings against us. Our process facilitates the early evaluation and quantification of potential exposures in individual cases. This process also enables us to track those cases that have been scheduled for trial and/or mediation. Based on professional judgment and experience in using these litigation management tools and available information about current developments in all our cases, our legal organization regularly assesses the adequacy of current accruals and determines if adjustment of existing accruals, or establishment of new accruals, are required.

### Environmental

We are subject to the same numerous international, federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations as other companies in our industry. For a discussion of the most significant of these environmental laws and regulations, including those with associated remediation obligations, see the "Environmental" section in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations on pages 44, 45 and 46 of the 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We occasionally receive requests for information or notices of potential liability from the EPA and state environmental agencies alleging that we are a potentially responsible party under the Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) or an equivalent state statute. On occasion, we also have been made a party to cost recovery litigation by those agencies or by private parties. These requests, notices and lawsuits assert potential liability for remediation costs at various sites that typically are not owned by us, but allegedly contain wastes attributable to our past operations. As of December 31, 2012, we reported that we had been notified of potential liability under CERCLA and comparable state laws at 48 sites around the United States. During the first three months of 2013, we were notified of 1 new site and determined 13 sites were resolved, leaving 36 unresolved sites with potential liability.

At March 31, 2013, our consolidated balance sheet included a total environmental accrual of \$515 million, compared with \$530 million at December 31, 2012. We expect to incur a substantial amount of these expenditures within the next 30 years.

Notwithstanding any of the foregoing, and as with other companies engaged in similar businesses, environmental costs and liabilities are inherent concerns in our operations and products, and there can be no assurance that material costs and liabilities will not be incurred. However, we currently do not expect any material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial position as a result of compliance with current environmental laws and regulations.

#### Climate Change

There has been a broad range of proposed or promulgated state, national and international laws focusing on greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction. These proposed or promulgated laws apply or could apply in countries where we have interests or may have interests in the future. Laws in this field continue to evolve, and while it is not possible to accurately estimate either a timetable for implementation or our future compliance costs relating to implementation, such laws, if enacted, could have a material

## Table of Contents

impact on our results of operations and financial condition. We previously disclosed that the EPA's announcement on March 29, 2010 (published as "Interpretation of Regulations that Determine Pollutants Covered by Clean Air Act Permitting Programs," 75 Fed. Reg. 17004 (April 2, 2010)), and the EPA's and U.S. Department of Transportation's joint promulgation of a Final Rule on April 1, 2010, that triggers regulation of GHGs under the Clean Air Act, may lead to more climate-based claims for damages, and may result in longer agency review time for development projects to determine the extent of potential climate change. Challenges to both the announcement and rulemaking were denied by the Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit (see *Coalition for Responsible Regulation v. EPA*, 684 F. 3d 102 (D.C. Cir. 2012)), but may be subject to additional legal actions. We continue to monitor other legislative and regulatory actions and legal proceedings globally for potential impacts on our operations.

For examples of legislation or precursors for possible regulation that do or could affect our operations, see the "Climate Change" section in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations on pages 46 and 47 of the 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

### Goodwill

At March 31, 2013, we had \$3.3 billion of goodwill recorded in conjunction with past business combinations. Under the accounting rules for goodwill, this intangible asset is not amortized. Instead, goodwill is subject to at least annual reviews for impairment at a reporting unit level. The reporting unit or units used to evaluate and measure goodwill for impairment are determined primarily from the manner in which the business is managed. A reporting unit is an operating segment or a component that is one level below an operating segment.

Effective January 1, 2013, we realigned our operating segments and determined that goodwill (which, prior to the realignment, had been assigned 100 percent to our former R&M segment) should now be assigned to three of the realigned operating segments—Midstream, Refining and M&S. We further determined that, for the Midstream segment, Transportation constituted a reporting unit. For the Refining and M&S segments, we determined the goodwill reporting unit was at the operating segment level, due to the economic similarities of the components of those segments.

Goodwill was reassigned to the realigned reporting units using a relative fair value approach. Goodwill impairment testing was completed and no impairment recognition was required. In the future, the sale or disposition of a significant asset within a reporting unit will be allocated a portion of that reporting unit's goodwill, based on relative fair values, which will adjust the amount of gain or loss on the sale or disposition.

Because quoted market prices for our reporting units were not available, management applied judgment in determining the estimated fair values of the reporting units for purposes of performing the goodwill impairment test. Management used all available information to make this fair value determination, including the present values of expected future cash flows using discount rates commensurate with the risks involved in the assets and observed market multiples of operating cash flows. At January 1, 2013, the estimated fair value of the Transportation, Refining and M&S reporting units was approximately 200 percent, 30 percent and 70 percent higher than recorded net book values (including goodwill) of the reporting unit, respectively.

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE “SAFE HARBOR” PROVISIONS OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

This report includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. You can identify our forward-looking statements by the words “anticipate,” “estimate,” “believe,” “budget,” “continue,” “could,” “intend,” “may,” “plan,” “potential,” “predict,” “seek,” “should,” “expect,” “objective,” “projection,” “forecast,” “goal,” “guidance,” “outlook,” “effort,” “target” and similar expressions.

We based the forward-looking statements on our current expectations, estimates and projections about us and the industries in which we operate in general. We caution you these statements are not guarantees of future performance as they involve assumptions that, while made in good faith, may prove to be incorrect, and involve risks and uncertainties we cannot predict. In addition, we based many of these forward-looking statements on assumptions about future events that may prove to be inaccurate. Accordingly, our actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what we have expressed or forecast in the forward-looking statements. Any differences could result from a variety of factors, including the following:

- Fluctuations in NGL, crude oil, and natural gas prices and petrochemical and refining margins.
- Failure of new products and services to achieve market acceptance.
- Unexpected changes in costs or technical requirements for constructing, modifying or operating our facilities or transporting our products.
- Unexpected technological or commercial difficulties in manufacturing, refining or transporting our products, including chemicals products.
- Lack of, or disruptions in, adequate and reliable transportation for our NGL, crude oil, natural gas, and refined products.
- The level and success of natural gas drilling around DCP Midstream’s assets, the level and quality of gas production volumes around its assets and its ability to connect supplies to its gathering and processing systems in light of competition.
  - Inability to timely obtain or maintain permits, including those necessary for capital projects; comply with government regulations; or make capital expenditures required to maintain compliance.
- Failure to complete definitive agreements and feasibility studies for, and to timely complete construction of, announced and future capital projects.
- Potential disruption or interruption of our operations due to accidents, weather events, civil unrest, political events, terrorism or cyber attacks.
- International monetary conditions and exchange controls.
- Substantial investment or reduced demand for products as a result of existing or future environmental rules and regulations.
- Liability resulting from litigation or for remedial actions, including removal and reclamation obligations under environmental regulations.
- General domestic and international economic and political developments, including armed hostilities; expropriation of assets; changes in governmental policies relating to NGL, crude oil, natural gas or refined product pricing, regulation or taxation; and other political, economic or diplomatic developments.
- Changes in tax, environmental and other laws and regulations (including alternative energy mandates) applicable to our business.
- Limited access to capital or significantly higher cost of capital related to changes to our credit profile or illiquidity or uncertainty in the domestic or international financial markets.
- The operation, financing and distribution decisions of our joint ventures.
- Domestic and foreign supplies of crude oil and other feedstocks.
- Domestic and foreign supplies of petrochemicals and refined products, such as gasoline, diesel, jet fuel and home heating oil.





Table of Contents

- Overcapacity or under capacity in the midstream, chemicals and refining industries.
- Fluctuations in consumer demand for refined products.
- The factors generally described in Item 1A—Risk Factors in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Information about market risks for the three months ended March 31, 2013, does not differ materially from that discussed under Item 7A in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Act), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. As of March 31, 2013, with the participation of management, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and our Executive Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer carried out an evaluation, pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) of the Act, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Act). Based upon that evaluation, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and our Executive Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were operating effectively as of March 31, 2013.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Act, in the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The following is a description of reportable legal proceedings, including those involving governmental authorities under federal, state and local laws regulating the discharge of materials into the environment, for this reporting period. The following proceedings include those matters that arose during the first quarter of 2013 and any material developments with respect to matters previously reported in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K. While it is not possible to accurately predict the final outcome of these pending proceedings, if any one or more of such proceedings were decided adversely to Phillips 66, we expect there would be no material effect on our consolidated financial position. Nevertheless, such proceedings are reported pursuant to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) regulations.

Our U.S. refineries are implementing two separate consent decrees, regarding alleged violations of the Federal Clean Air Act, with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), six states and one local air pollution agency. Some of the requirements and limitations contained in the decrees provide for stipulated penalties for violations. Stipulated penalties under the decrees are not automatic, but must be requested by one of the agency signatories. As part of periodic reports under the decrees or other reports required by permits or regulations, we occasionally report matters that could be subject to a request for stipulated penalties. If a specific request for stipulated penalties meeting the reporting threshold set forth in SEC rules is made pursuant to these decrees based on a given reported exceedance, we

will separately report that matter and the amount of the proposed penalty.

New Matters

On January 9, 2013, the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) indicated that it was proceeding with enforcement regarding four Notices of Violation (NOVs) issued to the Company that allege violations of air pollution regulations and/or facility permit conditions relating to operations at the Los Angeles Refinery. We are working with SCAQMD to resolve these NOVs.

Table of Contents

## Matters Previously Reported

In October 2011, we were notified by the Attorney General of the State of California that it was conducting an investigation into possible violations of the regulations relating to the operation of underground storage tanks at gas stations in California. On January 3, 2013, we were served with a lawsuit filed by the California Attorney General that alleges such violations. We are contesting these allegations.

On November 28, 2011, the Borger Refinery received a Notice of Enforcement from the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality for alleged emissions events that occurred during inclement weather in January and February 2011. We concluded this matter with a penalty payment of \$15,400.

## Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes from the risk factors disclosed in Item 1A of our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

## Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased*	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs**	Millions of Dollars Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
Jan 1-31, 2013	2,566,188	\$53.18	2,566,188	\$1,508
February 1-28, 2013	1,779,303	62.87	1,779,303	1,396
March 1-31, 2013	2,057,116	65.14	2,057,116	1,262
Total	6,402,607	\$59.72	6,402,607	

\*Includes repurchase of shares of common stock from company employees in connection with the company's broad-based employee incentive plans.

\*\*During 2012, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$2 billion of our outstanding common stock. We began purchases under this authorization, which has no expiration date, in the third quarter of 2012. The shares will be repurchased from time to time in the open market at the company's discretion, subject to market conditions and other factors, and in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements and the Tax Sharing Agreement entered into in connection with the Separation. We are not obligated to acquire any particular amount of common stock and may commence, suspend or discontinue purchases at any time or from time to time without prior notice. Shares of stock repurchased are held as treasury shares.

Table of Contents

Item 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
10.1*	Amendment to the Employee Matters Agreement by and between ConocoPhillips and Phillips 66, dated April 26, 2012.
12*	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
31.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
31.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
32*	Certifications pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH*	XBRL Schema Document.
101.CAL*	XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.LAB*	XBRL Labels Linkbase Document.
101.PRE*	XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF*	XBRL Definition Linkbase Document.

\* Filed herewith.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PHILLIPS 66

/s/ C. Doug Johnson

C. Doug Johnson

Vice President and Controller

(Chief Accounting and Duly Authorized Officer)

May 2, 2013

46