Bunge LTD Form 10-Q November 09, 2010

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2010

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 001-16625

BUNGE LIMITED

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Bermuda (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{98-0231912} \\ \text{(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)} \end{array}$

50 Main Street, White Plains, New York (Address of principal executive offices)

10606 (Zip Code)

(914) 684-2800

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o

On the check if a smaller reporting company

reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Yes o No x

As of November 2, 2010 the number of common shares issued of the registrant was:

Common shares, par value \$.01: 144,889,321

BUNGE LIMITED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION Item 1. **Financial Statements** Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 1 Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 2 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 3 September 30, 2010 and 2009 4 Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements 6 Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward Looking Statements 32 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Item 2. 32 Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 53 Item 4. Controls and Procedures 56 PART II INFORMATION Legal Proceedings 56 Item 1. Item 1A. **Risk Factors** 57 Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds 58 Item 3. **Defaults upon Senior Securities** 59 Item 4. [Reserved] 59 Item 5. Other Information 59 59 **Exhibits** Item 6. **Signatures** 60 **Exhibit Index** 61

Page

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

BUNGE LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Unaudited)

(U.S. dollars in millions, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
		10		2009	2010		2009	
Net sales	-	1,662	\$	11,298	\$ 32,981	\$	31,490	
Cost of goods sold	(1	0,950)		(10,955)	(31,299)		(30,600)	
Gross profit		712		343	1,682		890	
Selling, general and administrative expenses		(357)		(349)	(1,119)		(952)	
Gain on sale of fertilizer nutrients assets		-		-	2,440		-	
Interest income		20		20	62		96	
Interest expense		(62)		(79)	(241)		(212)	
Loss on extinguishment of debt		(90)		-	(90)		-	
Foreign exchange gains (losses)		77		169	(22)		470	
Other income (expense) net		(5)		(4)	(8)		(12)	
Income before income tax and equity in								
earnings of affiliates		295		100	2,704		280	
Income tax (expense) benefit		(97)		97	(648)		52	
Equity in earnings of affiliates		8		-	17		11	
Net income		206		197	2,073		343	
Net loss (income) attributable to								
noncontrolling interest		6		35	(20)		7	
Net income attributable to Bunge		212		232	2,053		350	
Convertible preference share dividends		(19)		-	(58)		(39)	
Net income available to Bunge common shareholders	\$	193	\$	232	\$ 1,995	\$	311	
Earnings per common share basic (Note 19) Earnings to Bunge common shareholders	\$	1.38	\$	1.82	\$ 14.12	\$	2.51	

Edgar Filing: Bunge LTD - Form 10-Q

Earnings per common share diluted (Note 19)

Earnings to Bunge common shareholders	\$ 1.36	\$ 1.62	\$ 13.09	\$ 2.48
Dividends per common share	\$ 0.23	\$ _	\$ 0.67	\$ 0.40

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements

BUNGE LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

(U.S. dollars in millions, except share data)

	•	ember 30, 2010	December 31, 2009		
ASSETS		2010	•	2009	
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	350	\$	553	
Trade accounts receivable (less allowance of \$181 and \$192)	Ψ	3,003	Ψ	2,363	
Inventories (Note 5)		5,568		4.862	
Deferred income taxes		143		506	
Other current assets (Note 6)		4,262		3,499	
Total current assets		13,326		11,783	
Total cultent assets		15,520		11,703	
Property, plant and equipment, net		4,992		5,347	
Goodwill (Note 7)		950		427	
Other intangible assets, net (Note 8)		196		170	
Investments in affiliates		599		622	
Deferred income taxes		1.060		979	
Other non-current assets		1,978		1,958	
Total assets	\$	23,101	\$	21,286	
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY	Ψ	20,101	Ψ.	-1,-00	
Current liabilities:					
Short-term debt	\$	483	\$	166	
Current portion of long-term debt		489		31	
Trade accounts payable		3,856		3,275	
Deferred income taxes		72		100	
Other current liabilities (Note 10)		2,765		2,635	
Total current liabilities		7,665		6,207	
		.,		.,	
Long-term debt		2,378		3,618	
Deferred income taxes		120		183	
Other non-current liabilities		803		913	
Commitments and contingencies (Note 15)					
Communicity and contingencies (100c 13)					
Shareholders equity:					
Mandatory convertible preference shares, par value \$.01; authorized 862,500; issued and outstanding:					
2010 and 2009 862,455 shares (liquidation preference \$1,000 per share)		863		863	
Convertible perpetual preference shares, par value \$.01; authorized issued and outstanding: 2010 and					
2009 6,900,000 shares (liquidation preference \$100 per share)		690		690	
Common shares, par value \$.01; authorized 400,000,000 shares; issued: 2010 144,885,786 shares,					
2009 134,096,906 shares		1		1	
Additional paid-in capital		4,270		3,625	
Retained earnings		5,895		3,996	
Accumulated other comprehensive income		465		319	
Treasury shares, at cost (2010 6,714,573)		(354)		-	
Total Bunge shareholders equity		11,830		9,494	
Noncontrolling interest		305		871	
Total equity		12,135		10,365	
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$	23,101	\$	21,286	
- 1					

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements

BUNGE LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

(U.S. dollars in millions)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2010	2009			
OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Net income	\$ 2,073	\$ 343			
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash used for operating activities:	5 0	(50.4)			
Foreign exchange loss (gain) on debt	53	(594)			
Gain on sale of fertilizer nutrients assets	(2,440)	-			
Impairment of assets	61	-			
Bad debt expense	23	41			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	326	319			
Stock-based compensation expense	47	16			
Recoverable taxes provision	3	41			
Gain on sale of property, plant and equipment	(6)	-			
Deferred income taxes	213	(163)			
Equity in earnings of affiliates	(17)	(11)			
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, excluding the effects of acquisitions:					
Trade accounts receivable	(1,068)	152			
Inventories	(872)	1,619			
Prepaid commodity purchase contracts	(370)	19			
Secured advances to suppliers	71	220			
Trade accounts payable	961	(1,544)			
Advances on sales	102	23			
Unrealized net gain/loss on derivative contracts	(413)	(145)			
Margin deposits	(228)	(348)			
Accrued liabilities	177	4			
Other net	(316)	(539)			
Cash used for operating activities	(1,620)	(547)			
INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Payments made for capital expenditures	(754)	(596)			
Acquisitions of businesses (net of cash acquired)	(138)	(22)			
Proceeds from sales of fertilizer nutrients assets	3,914	-			
Cash disposed in sale of fertilizer nutrients assets	(106)	-			
Related party loans	(17)	(19)			
Proceeds from investments	50	92			
Proceeds from disposal of property, plant and equipment	5	39			
Investments in affiliates	(2)	(6)			
Cash provided by (used for) investing activities	2,952	(512)			
FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Net change in short-term debt with maturities of 90 days or less	467	(198)			
Proceeds from short-term debt with maturities greater than 90 days	396	986			
Repayments of short-term debt with maturities greater than 90 days	(920)	(891)			
Proceeds from long-term debt	168	2,885			
Repayment of long-term debt	(1,156)	(2,359)			
Proceeds from sale of common shares	4	762			
Repurchase of common shares	(354)	-			
Dividends paid to preference shareholders	(58)	(58)			
Dividends paid to common shareholders	(92)	(74)			

Dividends paid to noncontrolling interest	(7)	(8)
Other	36	24
Cash (used for) provided by financing activities	(1,516)	1,069
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(19)	87
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(203)	97
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	553	1,004
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 350	\$ 1,101

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

BUNGE LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

(Unaudited)

(U.S. dollars in millions, except share data)

Convertible Accumulated

	Preference				Additional		Other	Non -	Non -		
	Sha	res	Common	Shares	Paid-in	Retained	Comprehensive	Treasury	Controlling	Total	Comprehensive
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	<u>Capital</u>	Earnings	Income (Loss)	Shares	<u>Interest</u>	Equity	Income (Loss)
Balance, January 1, 2009 Comprehensive income (loss)	7,762,455	\$ 1,553	121,632,456	\$ 1	\$ 2,849	\$ 3,844	\$ (811)	\$ -	\$ 692	\$ 8,128	
2009: Net income (loss) Other comprehensive income (loss):	-	-	-	-	-	350	-	-	(7)	343	\$ 343
Foreign exchange translation adjustment, net of tax expense of \$0 Unrealized gains on commodity	-	-	-	-	-	-	996	-	169	1,165	1,165
futures and foreign exchange contracts, net of tax expense of \$11 Unrealized	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	-	-	30	30
investment gains, net of tax expense of \$1 Reclassification of realized net	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	2
losses, net of tax benefit of \$30 to net income Pension adjustment, net of	-	-	-	-	-	-	56	-	-	56	56
tax benefit of \$5 Total comprehensive	-	-	-	-	-	-	(4)	-	(6)	(10)	(10)
income Dividends on	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		\$ 1,586
preference shares Dividends on	-	-	-	-	-	(39)	-	-	-	(39))
common shares	-	-	-	-	-	(74)	-	-	(17)	(74) (17)	

Dividends paid to nonconrolling interest on subsidiary common stock Return of capital to noncontrolling interest Capital	-		-	-	-	-	-	(43)	(43)
contribution from									
noncontrolling interest Consolidation of	-		-	-	-	-	-	78	78
subsidiary								5	5
Purchase of									
additional shares in subsidiary									
from									
noncontrolling									
interest Stock-based	-		-	(4)	-	-	-	-	(4)
compensation									
expense				16					16
Issuance of common shares: public equity									
offering stock options and	i	12,000,000		761					761
award plans, net of shares									
withheld for taxes	-	- 443,478	-	(4)	-	-	-	-	(4)
Balance September 30,									
2009	7,762,455	\$ 1,553 134,075,934	\$ 1	\$ 3,618	\$ 4,081	\$ 269	\$ -	\$ 871 \$ 1	10,393

(Continued on following page)

BUNGE LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

(Unaudited)

(U.S. dollars in millions, except share data)

Convertible	Accumulated

	Prefei	Preference Additional Other Non -									
	Sha	res	Common	Shares	Paid-in	Retained	Comprehensive	Treasury	Controlling	Total	Comprehensive
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	<u>Capital</u>	Earnings	<u>Income</u>	Shares	<u>Interest</u>	Equity	Income (Loss)
Balance, January 1, 2010 Comprehensive income 2010:	7,762,455	\$ 1,553	134,096,906	\$ 1	\$ 3,625	\$ 3,996	\$ 319	\$ -	\$ 871	\$ 10,365	
Net income Foreign exchange translation	-	-	-	-	-	2,053	-	-	20	2,073	\$ 2,073
adjustment, net of tax expense of \$0 Unrealized gains on commodity	-	-	-	-	-	-	131	-	(28)	103	103
futures and foreign exchange contracts, net of tax expense of \$11 Reclassification of	-	-	-	-	-	-	21	-	-	21	21
realized net gains, net of tax expense of \$7 to net income Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	(8)	-	-	(8)	(8)
postretirement healthcare subsidy tax deduction adjustment Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	2		-	2	2
comprehensive income Dividends on	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 2,191
preference shares Dividends on common shares	-	-	-	-	-	(58) (96)	-	-	-	(58) (96)	
Dividend to noncontrolling interest on subsidiary											
common stock Return of capital to noncontrolling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(10) (9)	(10) (9)	

Edgar Filing: Bunge LTD - Form 10-Q

interest										
Capital										
contribution from										
noncontrolling										
interest	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46	46
Initial										
consolidation of										
subsidiary	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	3	3
Sale of										
non-wholly owned										
subsidiary (Note										
18)									(588)	(588)
Stock-based									` /	, ,
compensation										
expense	-	_	_	_	47	-	-	-	-	47
Repurchase of										
common shares	-	_	(6,714,573)	_	-	-	-	(354)	-	(354)
Issuance of			. , , ,					` /		` /
common shares:										
Business										
acquisition (Note										
3)	-	_	10,315,400	_	600	-	-	-	-	600
stock options and										
award plans, net										
of shares withheld										
for taxes	-	-	473,480	-	(2)	-	-	-	-	(2)
Balance										
September 30,										
2010	7,762,455	\$ 1,553	138,171,213	\$ 1	\$ 4,270	\$ 5,895	\$ 465	\$ (354)	\$ 305	\$ 12,135

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

BUNGE LIMITED AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Bunge Limited and its subsidiaries (Bunge) have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP) for interim financial information and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act). Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2009 has been derived from Bunge s audited consolidated financial statements at that date. Operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the year ending December 31, 2010. The financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2009, forming part of Bunge s 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on March 1, 2010.

Reclassifications Certain reclassifications related to Bunge s change in segments were made to the prior period condensed consolidated financial statements to conform to the current period presentation (see Note 20 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements).

2. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Adoption of New Accounting Pronouncements Amendment to Consolidation In June 2009, the FASB issued a standard that requires an enterprise to (1) determine whether an entity is a variable interest entity (VIE), (2) determine whether the enterprise has a controlling financial interest indicating it is a primary beneficiary of a VIE, which would result in the enterprise being required to consolidate the VIE in its financial statements, and (3) provide enhanced disclosures about the enterprise s involvement in VIEs. As a result of the adoption of this standard on January 1, 2010, Bunge consolidated one of its agribusiness joint ventures (see Note 18 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements).

Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets In June 2009, the FASB issued a standard that amended a previously issued standard to improve the information reported in financial statements related to the transfer of financial assets and the effects of the transfers of such assets on the financial position, results from operations and cash flows of the transferor and a transferor s continuing involvement, if any, with transferred financial assets. In addition, the amendment limits the circumstances in which a financial asset or a portion of a financial asset should be derecognized in the financial statements of the transferor when the transferor has not transferred the entire original financial asset. Upon adoption of this standard on January 1, 2010, all trade accounts receivables sold after that date under Bunge s accounts receivable securitization programs (the securitization programs) are included in trade accounts receivable and the amounts outstanding under the securitization programs are accounted for as secured borrowings and are reflected as short-term debt on Bunge s condensed consolidated balance sheet. As a result of

this adoption, Bunge has reduced its utilization of these programs and either terminated or allowed certain of these programs to expire. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on Bunge s financial position, results from operations or cash flows.

New Accounting Pronouncements Receivables, Disclosures about the Credit of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses In July 2010, the FASB issued a standard that amended a previously issued standard requiring an entity to include additional disaggregated disclosures in their financial statements about their financing receivables, including credit risk disclosures and the allowance for credit losses. Entities with financing receivables will be required to disclose a rollforward of the allowance for credit losses, certain credit quality information, impaired loan information, modification information, and past due information. Trade receivables with maturities of less than one year are excluded from the scope of the new disclosures. The new disclosures are required to be included in the consolidated financial statements for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2010. Bunge is evaluating the impact this standard may have on its consolidated financial statements.

3. BUSINESS ACQUISITIONS

Moema Acquisition In February 2010, Bunge acquired a 100% interest in five Brazilian sugarcane mills in São Paulo and Minas Gerais states that were formerly part of the Moema Group through the acquisition of Usina Moema Patricpacãoes S.A. (Moema Par) and remaining interests in four mills that were not wholly-owned by Moema Par. Bunge collectively refers to the acquired entities as Moema. The purchase consideration for the Moema acquisition was as follows:

(US\$ in	millions)	į
----------	-----------	---

Fair value of Bunge Limited common shares issued	\$ 600
Cash paid	52
Total purchase price	\$ 652

Bunge issued 9,718,632 of its common shares with a fair value of \$570 million and paid 97 million Brazilian *reais* in cash, which equated to approximately \$51 million, at the closing of the transaction. The final purchase price was subject to a post-closing adjustment based on working capital and net debt of the acquired companies at closing under Brazilian generally accepted accounting principles. During the second and third quarters of 2010, Bunge issued 596,768 of its common shares, with a fair value of \$30 million and paid 1 million Brazilian *reais* in cash, which equated to approximately \$1 million, in connection with the finalization of the post-closing purchase price adjustments with the sellers. All purchase price adjustments were settled as of September 30, 2010.

Acquisition related expenses of \$11 million were recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses in the condensed consolidated statements of income for the three months ended March 31, 2010. There were no additional acquisition related expenses recorded in the three months ended September 30, 2010 associated with the Moema acquisition.

The table below includes Bunge s preliminary assessment of the fair values of assets and liabilities acquired and related goodwill, including certain reclassifications made during the three months ended September 30, 2010:

	June 30,		September 30,	
(US\$ in millions)	2010	Reclassifications	2010	
Assets acquired:				
Cash	\$ 3	\$ -	\$ 3	
Inventories	187	-	187	
Other current assets	66	-	66	
Property, plant and equipment	657	-	657	
Other intangible assets	44	-	44	
Other non-current assets	103	62	165	
Total assets	1,060	62	1,122	
Liabilities acquired:				
Short-term debt	(378)	-	(378)	
Other current liabilities	(302)	-	(302)	
Long-term debt	(177)	-	(177)	
Other non-current liabilities	(91)	12	(79)	
Total liabilities	(948)	12	(936)	
Goodwill	539	(73)	466	

Total purchase price \$ 651 \$ 1 \$ 652

Intangible assets consist of the following:

		Useful Life
(US\$ in millions)		
Land lease agreements	\$ 43	7 years
Other	1	2-20 years
Total	\$ 44	

The fair value assigned to intangible assets associated with land lease agreements for the production of sugarcane was determined using the income approach. The fair value of the other intangibles was primarily determined using the market approach. The intangible assets have no expected residual value at the end of their useful lives and are subject to amortization on a straight-line basis. The fair values of tangible assets were derived using a combination of the income approach, the market approach and the cost approach as considered appropriate for the specific assets being valued. None of the acquired assets or liabilities will be measured at fair value on a recurring basis in periods subsequent to the initial recognition.

Moema is a party to a number of claims and lawsuits, primarily civil, labor and environmental claims arising out of the normal course of business. Included in other noncurrent liabilities is \$13 million related to Moema s probable contingencies.

Moema is included in the sugar and bioenergy segment and the goodwill from this acquisition has been assigned to that segment. The acquisition is expected to complement Bunge s existing sugarcane milling and trading and merchandising activities. The acquisition increases Bunge s presence in the sugar and sugarcane-based ethanol industry in Brazil, substantially increasing Bunge s annual sugarcane crushing capacity. The acquired mills form a cluster within a highly productive region for sugarcane in Brazil. The Moema management team s experience in sugarcane agricultural and industrial processes is expected to complement Bunge s expertise in trade and financial risk management. Bunge also expects synergies with its fertilizer business and logistics efficiencies from the acquisition. Goodwill of \$553 million is deductible for tax purposes. In addition, the tax deductible goodwill exceeds the recorded goodwill by approximately \$170 million resulting in total tax deductible goodwill of approximately \$723 million. As a result, a long-term deferred tax asset of approximately \$87 million relating to the excess tax deductible goodwill and a corresponding reduction in goodwill have been recorded in the preliminary purchase price allocation.

Supplemental pro forma financial information is not presented for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 because it is not practical to provide this information as Moema historically did not prepare quarterly financial statements and did not report results under U.S. GAAP.

Included in the condensed consolidated statements of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 are net sales and income from operations before income taxes of \$169 million and \$45 million and \$350 million and \$26 million, respectively.

Argentina Fertilizer Acquisition On January 11, 2010, Bunge acquired the Argentine fertilizer business of Petrobras Energía S.A., a subsidiary of Petroleo Brasileiro S.A. (Petrobras), for approximately \$80 million. The acquired business is included in Bunge s fertilizer segment. This acquisition expands Bunge s presence in the Argentine retail fertilizer market, allowing it to further develop synergies with its grain origination operations through the sale of products to farmers from whom it may purchase commodities. With the preliminary determination of the fair values of assets and liabilities acquired, \$66 million of the purchase price has been allocated to property, plant and equipment, \$6 million to other current assets, \$4 million to other intangible assets, primarily a non-compete agreement, and \$4 million to goodwill. There were no adjustments made to these preliminary allocations during the third quarter of 2010.

Other In the third quarter of 2010, Bunge completed the acquisition of two oilseed processing facilities in Turkey in separate transactions for a total purchase price of approximately \$24 million, which consisted of approximately \$5 million in cash and approximately \$19 million of other prepayments related to existing contractual arrangements. The preliminary purchase price allocations for the combined transactions included \$20 million allocated to property, plant and equipment and \$4 million to goodwill.

In 2010, Bunge finalized the purchase price allocation related to its 2009 acquisition of the European margarine businesses of Raisio plc. The purchase price was 81 million Euros in cash, which equated to approximately \$115 million, net of \$5 million of cash received. Bunge initially recognized \$50 million as goodwill in its edible oil products segment related to this acquisition. Upon completion of final purchase price allocations, goodwill and deferred tax liabilities were each reduced by \$4 million.

4. BUSINESS DIVESTITURE

In January 2010, Bunge and two of its wholly owned subsidiaries entered into a definitive agreement (as amended, the Agreement) with Vale S.A., a Brazil-based global mining company (Vale), and an affiliate of Vale, pursuant to which Vale would acquire Bunge's fertilizer nutrients assets in Brazil, including its interest in Fertilizantes Fosfatados S.A. (Fosfertil). The transaction closed on May 27, 2010, and final settlement of the post-closing adjustment as contemplated in the Agreement occurred on August 13, 2010. Bunge received total cash proceeds of \$3.9 billion and recognized a gain of \$2.4 billion (\$1.9 billion net of tax) in its fertilizer segment related to this transaction. Included in the calculation of the gain are \$152 million of transaction costs incurred in connection with the divestiture. Total income tax expense associated with the transaction was \$539 million, of which approximately \$280 million was paid during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and approximately \$259 million is expected to be offset by deferred tax assets and other tax credits and therefore is not expected to result in cash tax payments.

Approximately \$144 million of transaction costs and \$280 million of withholding taxes are included as a component of cash used for operating activities in Bunge s condensed consolidated statements of cash flows in the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Gross proceeds of \$3.9 billion and cash disposed of \$106 million related to this transaction are included as a component of cash provided by investing activities in Bunge s condensed consolidated statement of cash flows in the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Assets and liabilities disposed of as part of this transaction included approximately \$1,516 million of property, plant and equipment, net, related to fertilizer mining properties and other plants and equipment of the fertilizer nutrients activities.

5. INVENTORIES

Inventories by segment are included in the table below. Readily marketable inventories refers to inventories that are readily convertible to cash because of their commodity characteristics, widely available markets and international pricing mechanisms

(US\$ in millions)	September 2010		December 2009	,
Agribusiness (1)	\$	4,082	\$	3,535
Sugar and Bioenergy (2)		401		89
Fertilizer (3)		566		749
Edible oil products (4)		389		371
Milling products (4)		130		118
Total	\$	5,568	\$	4,862

⁽¹⁾ Includes readily marketable agricultural commodity inventories of \$3,803 million and \$3,197 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Of these agricultural commodity inventories \$3,617 million and \$3,197 million are carried at fair value at September 30, 2010 and December 31,

2009, respective	ely. All other	agribusiness s	egment inv	ventories are	carried at 1	ower of cost	or market.

- (2) Includes readily marketable sugar inventories of \$140 million and \$21 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Of these sugar inventories, \$116 million and \$21 million are carried at fair value at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, in Bunge s trading and merchandising business. Sugar and ethanol inventories in our industrial production business are carried at lower of cost or market.
- (3) Fertilizer inventories are carried at lower of cost or market.
- (4) Edible oil products and milling products inventories are generally carried at lower of cost or market, with the exception of readily marketable inventories of bulk soybean oil and corn, which are carried at fair value in the aggregate amount of \$180 million and \$162 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

6. OTHER CURRENT ASSETS

Other current assets consist of the following:

(US\$ in millions)	Septembe 2010	,	December 2009	,
Prepaid commodity purchase contracts (1)	\$	569	\$	110
Secured advances to suppliers (2)		211		275
Unrealized gains on derivative contracts at fair value		1,434		1,202
Recoverable taxes (3)		407		680
Margin deposits (4)		758		530
Marketable securities		60		15
Other		823		687
Total	\$	4,262	\$	3,499

- (1) Prepaid commodity purchase contracts represent advance payments against fixed priced contracts for future delivery of specified quantities of agricultural commodities. These contracts are recorded at fair value based on prices of the underlying agricultural commodities.
- Bunge makes cash advances to suppliers, primarily Brazilian farmers of soybeans and other agricultural commodities, to finance a portion of the suppliers production costs. These advances are strictly financial in nature. Bunge does not bear any of the costs or risks associated with the related growing crops. The advances are largely collateralized by future crops and physical assets of the suppliers, carry a local market interest rate and settle when the farmer s crop is harvested and sold. In addition to current secured advances, Bunge has non-current secured advances to suppliers, primarily farmers in Brazil, in the amount of \$310 million and \$308 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, net of allowance for uncollectible advances, which are included in other non-current assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The allowance for uncollectible advances totaled \$85 million and \$75 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The repayment terms of the non-current secured advances generally range from two to three years. Included in the secured advances to suppliers recorded in other current assets are advances that were renegotiated from their original terms, equal to an aggregate of \$14 million and \$36 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Included in the secured advances to suppliers recorded in other non-current assets are advances that were renegotiated from their original terms, equal to an aggregate of \$17 million and \$20 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. These renegotiated advances are largely collateralized by future crops and mortgages on assets such as land, buildings and equipment.

Also included in non-current secured advances to suppliers are advances for which Bunge has initiated legal action to collect the outstanding balance or obtain title to the assets pledged by the farmers as collateral, equal to an aggregate of \$281 million and \$264 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Collections being pursued through legal action largely reflect loans made for the 2006 and 2005 crop years.

Interest earned on secured advances to suppliers of \$4 million and \$7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$19 million and \$32 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, is included in net sales in the condensed consolidated statements of income

(3) Bunge has an additional recoverable taxes balance of \$983 million and \$769 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, which is included in other non-current assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The balance of current and non-current recoverable taxes is net of the allowance for recoverable taxes of \$114 million and \$164 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

(4) Margin deposits include U.S. treasury securities at fair value and cash.

7. GOODWILL

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the changes in the carrying value of goodwill by segment are as follows:

		Sugar and		Edible Oil	Milling	
(US\$ in millions)	Agribusiness	Bioenergy	<u>Fertilizer</u>	Products	Products	<u>Total</u>
Balance, December 31, 2009	\$ 204	\$ 130	\$ -	\$ 83	\$ 10	\$ 427
Acquired goodwill (1)	4	466	4	-	-	474
Reallocation of acquired goodwill (1)	-	-	-	(4)	-	(4)
Tax benefit on goodwill amortization (2)	(5)	-	-	-	-	(5)
Foreign exchange translation	6	54	-	(2)	-	58
Balance, September 30, 2010	\$ 209	\$ 650	\$ 4	\$ 77	\$ 10	\$ 950

- (1) See Note 3 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.
- (2) Bunge s Brazilian subsidiary s tax deductible goodwill is in excess of its book goodwill. For financial reporting purposes, for goodwill acquired prior to 2009, the tax benefits attributable to the excess tax goodwill are first used to reduce associated goodwill and then other intangible assets to zero, prior to recognizing any income tax benefit in the condensed consolidated statements of income.

8. OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Other intangible assets, net consist of the following:

(US\$ in millions)	nber 30,)10	ber 31, 009
Trademarks/brands, finite-lived	\$ 126	\$ 130
Licenses	12	12
Other	119	72
	257	214
Less accumulated amortization:		
Trademarks/brands (1)	(52)	(47)
Licenses	(2)	(2)
Other	(35)	(23)
	(89)	(72)
Trademarks/brands, indefinite-lived	28	28
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization	\$ 196	\$ 170

In 2010, Bunge assigned values totaling \$48 million to other intangible assets acquired in business acquisitions, with \$44 million and \$4 million, respectively, in the sugar and bioenergy and fertilizer segments. Finite lives of these assets range from 2 to 20 years. (See Note 3 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements). In addition, \$9 million of other intangible assets, net have been disposed of as part of the sale of the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets (see Note 4 to the condensed consolidated financial statements). Aggregate amortization expense was \$6 million and \$6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$17 million and \$11 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The annual estimated aggregate amortization expense for 2010 is approximately \$23 million with approximately \$22 million estimated per year for 2011 through 2014.

9. IMPAIRMENT AND RESTRUCTURING CHARGES

⁽¹⁾ Bunge s Brazilian subsidiary s tax deductible goodwill in the agribusiness segment is in excess of its book goodwill. For financial reporting purposes, for other intangible assets acquired prior to 2009, before recognizing any income tax benefit of tax deductible goodwill in excess of its book goodwill in the condensed consolidated statements of income and after the related book goodwill has been reduced to zero, any such remaining tax deductible goodwill in excess of its book goodwill is used to reduce other intangible assets to zero.

Impairment Bunge recorded pretax non-cash impairment charges of \$49 million in cost of goods sold in its condensed consolidated statement of income for the three months ended September 30, 2010, which consisted of \$42 million related to the write-down of a European oilseed processing and refining facility that commenced operations in 2008 and has not reached full capacity or profitability, \$5 million related to the closure of an edible oil facility in Europe as part of our plan to improve our European footprint and \$2 million related to the write-down of an administrative office in Brazil. These pretax impairment charges were allocated \$22 million to the agribusiness segment and \$27 million to the edible oil products segment. The fair value of the European oilseed processing and refining facility was determined internally by Bunge s management using a discounted cash flow model. Total pretax non-cash impairment charges of \$61 million recorded in cost of goods sold in Bunge s condensed consolidated statement of income for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, included these charges as well as \$12 million related to the previously reported closure of an older, less efficient oilseed processing facility in the United States and a co-located corn oil extraction line. Declining results of operations at this facility due to local competitive pressures, as well as Bunge s additions of new, larger and better located facilities in recent years led management to decide to permanently close this facility. The fair value of the facility is not material and was determined internally by Bunge s management. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, \$32 million of these charges were allocated to the agribusiness segment.

Restructuring Bunge recorded pretax restructuring charges of zero and \$26 million, respectively, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, restructuring costs of \$5 million, \$1 million, \$1 million, \$3 million and \$4 million in the agribusiness, sugar and bioenergy, milling products, edible oil products and fertilizer segments, respectively, were included in cost of goods sold and related primarily to the closure of the oilseed processing facility in the United States, the consolidation of management and administrative functions in Brazil and restructuring of certain European operations. Additionally, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 restructuring charges consisting primarily of termination benefits related to the consolidation of Bunge s Brazilian operations were recorded as selling, general and administrative expenses with \$4 million, \$3 million, \$3 million and \$2 million allocated to the agribusiness, sugar and bioenergy, milling products and edible oil products segments, respectively.

Termination benefit costs in the agribusiness segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 related to benefit obligations associated with approximately 90 employees related to the closure of the U.S. oilseed processing facility and the consolidation of our operations in Brazil. This consolidation of Brazilian operations also impacted the sugar and bioenergy, fertilizer, edible oil products and milling products segments. Termination benefit costs in our edible oil products segment related to 514 employees in connection with the reorganization of certain of our operations in Europe. Bunge has accrued \$11 million in its condensed consolidated balance sheet related to the Brazilian restructuring as of September 30, 2010. Substantially all of these costs will be paid in 2011 under severance plans that were defined and communicated in 2010. Funding for the payments will be provided by cash flows from operations.

The following tables summarize assets measured at fair value (all of which utilized Level 3 inputs) on a nonrecurring basis subsequent to initial recognition. (For additional information on Level 1, 2 and 3 inputs see Note 11 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements):

Fair Value Measurements Using

(US\$ in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2010		Level 1	Level 2	Leve	13	Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 Total Losses	
Property, plant and equipment	\$	91	\$	\$	\$	91	\$	(49)
			Fair Va	alue Measuremen	ts Using			
(US\$ in millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010		Level 1	Level 2	Leve	13	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 Total Losses	
Property, plant and equipment	\$	91	\$	\$	\$	91	\$	(61)

10. OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES

Other current liabilities consist of the following:

(US\$ in millions)	September 2010	,	December 2009	,
Accrued liabilities	\$	1,212	\$	1,046
Unrealized losses on derivative contracts at fair value		1,106		1,250
Advances on sales		356		253
Other		91		86

Total \$ 2,765 \$ 2,635

11. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Bunge s various financial instruments include certain components of working capital such as cash and cash equivalents, trade accounts receivable and trade accounts payable. Additionally, Bunge uses short- and long-term debt to fund operating requirements and derivative instruments to manage its foreign exchange, interest rate, commodity price, freight and energy cost exposures. Bunge may also use derivative instruments, from time to time, to reduce volatility in its income tax expense that results from foreign exchange gains and losses on certain U.S. dollar-denominated loans in Brazil. Cash and cash equivalents, trade accounts receivable and accounts payable and short-term debt are stated at their carrying value, which is a reasonable estimate of fair value. For long-term debt, see Note 12 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements. All derivative instruments and marketable securities are stated at fair value.

Fair value is the price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in Bunge s principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Bunge determines the fair values of its readily marketable inventories, derivative contracts, and certain other assets based on the fair value hierarchy established under US GAAP, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. Observable inputs are inputs based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity that reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Unobservable inputs are inputs that are developed based on the best information available in circumstances that reflect Bunge s own assumptions based on market data and on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. The standard describes three levels within its hierarchy that may be used to measure fair value.

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 1 assets and liabilities include exchange traded derivative contracts.

Level 2: Observable inputs, including Level 1 prices (adjusted); quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are less active than traded exchanges; and other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets and liabilities include readily marketable inventories and over-the-counter (OTC) commodity purchase and sales contracts and other OTC derivatives whose value is determined using pricing models with inputs that are generally based on exchange traded prices, adjusted for location specific inputs that are primarily observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are a significant component of the fair value of the assets or liabilities. In evaluating the significance of fair value inputs, Bunge gives consideration to items that individually, or when aggregated with other inputs, generally represent more than 10% of the fair value of the assets or liabilities. For such identified inputs, judgments are required when evaluating both quantitative and qualitative factors in the determination of significance for purposes of fair value level classification and disclosure. Level 3 assets and liabilities include assets and liabilities whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as assets and liabilities for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.

The following table sets forth by level Bunge s assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. Bunge s exchange traded agricultural commodity futures are predominantly settled daily generally through its clearing subsidiary and therefore such futures are not included in the table below. Assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is a significant component of the fair value measurement. The lowest level of input is considered Level 3. Bunge s assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment, and may affect the classification of fair value assets and liabilities within the fair value hierarchy levels.

Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using

	September 30, 2010 December 31, 2009										
(US\$ in millions) Assets:	Level 1 (1)	Level 2 (2)	Level 3 (3)	Total	Level 1 (1)	Level 2 (2)	Level 3 (3)	Total			
Readily marketable inventories	_	** ***	****				****				
(Note 5)	\$-	\$3,652	\$261	\$3,913	\$-	\$3,271	\$109	\$3,380			
Unrealized gain on designated derivative contracts (4):											
Interest Rate	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	9			
Foreign Exchange	-	23	-	23	-	11	-	11			
Unrealized gain on undesignated derivative contracts (4):											
Interest Rate	_	4	_	4	_	_	_	_			
Foreign Exchange	_	58	_	58	_	41	3	44			
Commodities	75	981	239	1,295	34	905	94	1,033			
Freight	-	30	5	35	-	68	8	76			
Energy	2	20	6	28	10	22	13	45			
Other (5)	365	81	-	446	138	16	_	154			
Total assets	\$442	\$4,849	\$511	\$5,802	\$182	\$4,343	\$227	\$4,752			
Liabilities:											
Unrealized loss on designated											
derivative contracts (6):											
Interest Rate	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$7	\$-	\$7			
Foreign Exchange	-	21	-	21	-	123	-	123			
Unrealized loss on undesignated											
derivative contracts (6):											
Interest Rate	-	1	-	1	-	2	-	2			
Foreign Exchange	-	61	-	61	7	15	-	22			
Commodities	291	607	71	969	113	693	84	890			
Freight	35	28	-	63	98	106	-	204			
Energy	5	2	9	16	8	7 40 5 3	3	18			
Total liabilities	\$331	\$720	\$80	\$1,131	\$226	\$953	\$87	\$1,266			

(1)	Quoted	prices	in	active	markets	for	identical	assets.
-----	--------	--------	----	--------	---------	-----	-----------	---------

⁽²⁾ Significant other observable inputs.

⁽³⁾ Significant unobservable inputs.

⁽⁴⁾ Unrealized gains on designated and undesignated derivative contracts are generally included in other current assets. At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, \$4 million and \$8 million, respectively, of designated and undesignated derivative contracts are included in other non-current assets.

⁽⁵⁾ Other assets include primarily the fair values of U.S. Treasury securities held as margin deposits.

(6) Unrealized losses on designated and undesignated derivative contracts are generally included in other current liabilities. At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, \$20 million and \$8 million, respectively, of designated and undesignated derivative contracts are included in other non-current liabilities.

Derivatives Exchange traded futures and options contracts are valued based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets and are classified within Level 1. Bunge s forward commodity purchase and sale contracts are classified as derivatives along with other OTC derivative instruments relating primarily to freight, energy, foreign exchange and interest rates, and are classified with Level 2 or Level 3 as described below. Bunge estimates fair values based on exchange quoted prices, adjusted as appropriate for differences in local markets. These differences are generally valued using inputs from broker or dealer quotations, or market transactions in either the listed or OTC markets. In such cases, these derivative contracts are classified within Level 2. Changes in the fair values of these contracts are recognized in the consolidated financial statements as a component of cost of goods sold, foreign exchange gain or loss, other income (expense) or other comprehensive income (loss).

OTC derivative contracts include swaps, options and structured transactions that are valued at fair value and may be offset with similar positions in exchange traded markets. The fair values of OTC derivative instruments are determined using quantitative models that require the use of multiple market inputs including quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets which are not highly active, other observable inputs relevant to the asset or liability, and market inputs corroborated by correlation or other means. These valuation models include inputs such as interest rates, prices and indices to generate continuous yield or pricing curves and volatility factors. Where observable inputs are available for substantially the full term of the asset or liability, the instrument is categorized in Level 2. Certain OTC derivatives trade in less active markets with less availability of pricing information and certain structured transactions can require internally developed model inputs that might not be observable in or corroborated by the market. When unobservable inputs have a significant impact on the measurement of fair value, the instrument is categorized in Level 3.

Bunge designates certain derivative instruments as fair value hedges or cash flow hedges and assesses, both at inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, whether derivatives that are designated as hedges are highly effective in offsetting changes in the hedged items or anticipated cash flows.

Readily marketable inventories The majority of Bunge's readily marketable inventories are valued at fair value. These agricultural commodity inventories are readily marketable, have quoted market prices and may be sold without significant additional processing. Bunge determines fair value based on quoted prices on exchange-traded futures contracts with appropriate adjustments for differences in local markets where the related inventories are located. Changes in the fair values of these inventories are recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of income as a component of cost of goods sold.

Readily marketable inventories at fair value are valued based on commodity futures exchange quotations, broker or dealer quotations, or market transactions in either listed or OTC markets. In such cases, the inventory is classified within Level 2. Certain inventories may utilize significant unobservable data related to local market adjustments to determine fair value. In such cases, the inventory is classified as Level 3.

If Bunge used different methods or factors to determine fair values, amounts reported as unrealized gains and losses on derivative contracts and readily marketable inventories at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets and consolidated statements of income could differ. Additionally, if market conditions change subsequent to the reporting date, amounts reported in future periods as unrealized gains and losses on derivative contracts and readily marketable inventories in the consolidated balance sheets and consolidated statements of income could differ.

Level 3 Valuation Bunge s assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the classification of assets and liabilities within the fair value hierarchy. In evaluating the significance of fair value inputs, Bunge gives consideration to items that individually, or when aggregated with other inputs, represent more than 10% of the fair value of the asset or liability. For such identified inputs, judgments are required when evaluating both quantitative and qualitative factors in the determination of significance for purposes of fair value level classification and disclosure. Because of differences in the availability of market pricing data over their terms, inputs for some assets and liabilities may fall into any one of the three levels in the fair value hierarchy or some combination thereof. While FASB guidance requires Bunge to classify these assets and liabilities in the lowest level in the hierarchy for which inputs are significant to the fair value measurement, a portion of that measurement may be determined using inputs from a higher level in the hierarchy.

Transfers in and/or out of Level 3 represent existing assets or liabilities that were either previously categorized as a higher level for which the inputs to the model became unobservable or assets and liabilities that were previously classified as Level 3 for which the lowest significant input became observable during the period. Bunge did not have significant transfers in and/or out of Level 3 during the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Level 3 Derivatives The fair values of Level 3 derivative instruments are estimated using pricing information that is less observable. Level 3 derivative instruments utilize both market observable and unobservable inputs within the fair value measurements. These inputs include commodity prices, price volatility factors, interest rates, volumes and locations. In addition, with the exception of the exchange-cleared instruments where Bunge clears trades through an exchange, Bunge is exposed to loss in the event of the non-performance by counterparties on over-the-counter derivative instruments and forward purchase and sale contracts. Adjustments are made to fair values on occasions when non-performance risk is determined to represent a significant input in our fair

value determination. These adjustments are based on Bunge's estimate of the potential loss in the event of counterparty non-performance. Bunge did not have significant allowances relating to non-performance by counterparties as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

Level 3 Readily marketable inventories Readily marketable inventories are considered Level 3 when at least one significant assumption or input is unobservable. These assumptions or unobservable inputs include certain management estimations regarding costs of transportation and other local market or location-related adjustments.

The tables below present reconciliations for all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. Level 3 instruments presented in the tables include certain readily marketable inventories and derivatives. These instruments were valued using pricing models that, in management s judgment, reflect the assumptions that would be used by a marketplace participant to determine fair value.

Level 3 Instruments:

(US\$ in millions)	vatives, et (1)	Fair Value Measurements Readily Marketable Inventories	Ţ	Γotal
Balance, July 1, 2010	\$ 47	\$ 354	\$	401
Total gains and losses (realized/unrealized) included in cost of goods sold	156	130		286
Total gains and losses (realized/unrealized) included in foreign exchange				
gains (losses)	(2)	-		(2)
Purchases, issuances and settlements	(37)	(225)		(262)
Transfers into Level 3	5	2		7
Transfers out of Level 3	1	-		1
Balance, September 30, 2010	\$ 170	\$ 261	\$	431

(1) Derivatives, net include Level 3 derivative assets and liabilities.

	Level 3 Instruments:							
	Fair Value Measurements							
(US\$ in millions)	Readily							
	Derivatives, Net (1)		Marketable Inventories					
					Total			
Balance, July 1, 2009	\$	(14)	\$	656	\$	642		
Total gains and losses (realized/unrealized) included in earnings		36		(25)		11		
Purchases, issuances and settlements		17		(443)		(426)		
Transfers in/(out) of Level 3		(3)		(2)		(5)		
Balance, September 30, 2009	\$	36	\$	186	\$	222		

⁽¹⁾ Derivatives, net include Level 3 derivative assets and liabilities.

Level 3 Instruments: Fair Value Measurements Readily Derivatives, Marketable (US\$ in millions) **Inventories Total** Net (1) \$ 31 109 140 Balance, January 1, 2010 Total gains and losses (realized/unrealized) included in cost of goods 141 284 425 Total gains and losses (realized/unrealized) included in foreign exchange gains (losses) (2) (2) Purchases, issuances and settlements (21) (134)(155)37 Transfers into Level 3 35 2 Transfers out of Level 3 (14) (14)Balance, September 30, 2010 170 261 431

(1) Derivatives, net include Level 3 derivative assets and liabilities.

(US\$ in millions)	Level 3 Instruments: Fair Value Measurements Readily Derivatives, Marketable Net (1) Inventories					Total	
Balance, January 1, 2009 Total gains and losses (realized/unrealized) included in cost of goods	\$	(101)	\$	183	\$	82	
sold		97		125		222	
Purchases, issuances and settlements		(61)		(120)		(181)	
Transfers in/(out) of Level 3		101		(2)		99	
Balance, September 30, 2009	\$	36	\$	186	\$	222	

The table below summarizes changes in unrealized gains or losses recorded in earnings during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 for Level 3 assets and liabilities that were held at September 30, 2010 and 2009.

	Level 3 Instruments: Fair Value Measurements Readily						
(US\$ in millions)	Derivatives, Net (1)		Marketable Inventories		Total		
Changes in unrealized gains and (losses) relating to assets and liabilities held at September 30, 2010: Cost of goods sold	\$	194	\$	106	\$	300	

⁽¹⁾ Derivatives, net include Level 3 derivative assets and liabilities.

Foreign exchange gains (losses) Changes in unrealized gains and (losses) relating to	\$ (2)	\$ -	\$ (2)
assets and liabilities held at September 30, 2009: Cost of goods sold	\$ 88	\$ (21)	\$ 67

(1) Derivatives, net include Level 3 derivative assets and liabilities.

The table below summarizes changes in unrealized gains or losses recorded in earnings during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 for Level 3 assets and liabilities that were held at September 30, 2010 and 2009.

	Level 3 Instruments: Fair Value Measurements Readily							
grad to the same of		vatives,	Marketable		_			
(US\$ in millions)	Net (1)		Inventories		Total			
Changes in unrealized gains and (losses) relating to								
assets and liabilities held at September 30, 2010:								
Cost of goods sold	\$	268	\$	159	\$	427		
Foreign exchange gains (losses)	\$	(3)	\$	-	\$	(3)		
Changes in unrealized gains and (losses) relating to								
assets and liabilities held at September 30, 2009:								
Cost of goods sold	\$	98	\$	19	\$	117		

(1) Derivatives, net include Level 3 derivative assets and liabilities.

Derivative Instruments

Interest Rate Derivatives Interest rate swaps used by Bunge as hedging instruments have been recorded at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets with changes in fair value recorded contemporaneously in earnings. Certain of these swap agreements have been designated as fair value hedges. Additionally, the carrying amount of the associated hedged debt is adjusted through earnings for changes in the fair value arising from changes in benchmark interest rates. Ineffectiveness is recognized to the extent that these two adjustments do not offset. Bunge enters into interest rate swap agreements primarily for the purpose of managing certain of its interest rate exposures. In addition, Bunge has entered into certain interest rate basis swap agreements that do not qualify for hedge accounting, and therefore Bunge has not designated these swap agreements as hedge instruments for accounting purposes. As a result, changes in fair value of the interest rate basis swap agreements are recorded as an adjustment to earnings.

On July 7, 2010, Bunge discontinued the hedge relationship between the hedged \$250 million term loan due 2011, which was repaid on the same day, and the interest rate swap agreement with a notional value of \$250 million, which had been hedging that exposure.

The following table summarizes Bunge s outstanding interest rate swap and interest rate basis swap agreements as of September 30, 2010:

(US\$ in millions)	Notional Amount of Hedged Obligation	Notional Amount of Derivative (4)		
Interest rate swap agreements	\$	-	\$	250
Weighted average rate payable 1.18% (1)				
Weighted average rate receivable 4.33% (2)				
Interest rate basis swap agreements	\$	375	\$	375

	ted average rate payable 0.61% (1) ted average rate receivable 0.26% (3)
(1)	Interest is payable in arrears based on the average daily effective Federal Funds rate prevailing during the respective period plus a spread.
(2)	Interest is receivable in arrears based on a fixed interest rate.
(3)	Interest is receivable in arrears based on one-month U.S. dollar LIBOR.
(4)	The interest rate swap agreements mature in 2011.
	18

Foreign exchange derivatives Bunge uses a combination of foreign exchange forward and option contracts in certain of its operations primarily to mitigate the risk from exchange rate fluctuations in connection with anticipated sales denominated in foreign currencies. The foreign exchange forward and option contracts are designated as cash flow hedges. Bunge also uses net investment hedges to partially offset the translation adjustments arising from the remeasurement of its investment in its Brazilian subsidiaries.

Bunge assesses, both at the inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in hedge transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in the hedged items.

The table below summarizes the notional amounts of open foreign exchange positions as of September 30, 2010.

	September 30, 2010					
	Exchange Traded	Non-exc				
	Net (Short)	Traded		Unit of		
(US\$ in millions)	& Long (1)	(Short) (2)	Long (2)	Measure		
Foreign Exchange:						
Options	-	(315)	169	Delta		
Forwards	(80)	(6,701)	6,911	Notional		
Swaps	-	(1,001)	100	Notional		

⁽¹⁾ Exchange traded futures and options are presented on a net (short) and long position basis.

In addition, Bunge has cross-currency interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional principal amount of 10 billion Japanese Yen maturing in 2011 for the purpose of managing its currency exposure associated with its 10 billion Japanese Yen term loan due 2011. Bunge has accounted for these cross-currency interest rate swap agreements as fair value hedges.

The following table summarizes Bunge s outstanding cross-currency interest rate swap agreements as of September 30, 2010.

(US\$ in millions)	Notional Amount of Hedged Obligation	Notional Amount of Derivative (1) (2)
U.S. dollar/Yen cross-currency interest rate swaps	\$ 120	\$ 120

⁽²⁾ Non-exchange traded swaps, options, and forwards are presented on a gross (short) and long position basis.

⁽¹⁾ The cross-currency interest rate swap agreements mature in 2011.

(2) Under the terms of the cross-currency interest rate swap agreements, interest is payable in arrears based on three-month U.S. dollar LIBOR and is receivable in arrears based on three-month Yen LIBOR.

Commodity derivatives Bunge uses derivative instruments primarily to manage its exposure to movements associated with agricultural commodity prices. Bunge generally uses exchange traded futures and options contracts to minimize the effects of changes in the prices of agricultural commodities on its agricultural commodity inventories and forward purchase and sales contracts, but may also from time to time enter into OTC commodity transactions, including swaps, which are settled in cash at maturity or termination based on exchange-quoted futures prices. Changes in fair values of exchange traded futures contracts representing the unrealized gains and/or losses on these instruments are settled daily generally through Bunge s wholly-owned futures clearing subsidiary. Forward purchase and sales contracts are primarily settled through delivery of agricultural commodities. While Bunge considers these exchange traded futures and forward purchase and sales contracts to be effective economic hedges, Bunge does not designate or account for the majority of its commodity contracts as hedges. Changes in fair values of these contracts and related readily marketable agricultural commodity inventories are included in cost of goods sold in the consolidated statements of income. The forward contracts require performance of both Bunge and the contract counterparty in future periods. Contracts to purchase agricultural commodities

generally relate to current or future crop years for delivery periods quoted by regulated commodity exchanges. Contracts for the sale of agricultural commodities generally do not extend beyond one future crop cycle.

In addition, Bunge hedges portions of its forecasted oilseed processing production requirements, including forecasted purchases of soybeans and sales of soy commodity products. The instruments used are generally exchange traded futures contracts. Such contracts hedging U.S. oilseed processing activities qualify and are designated as cash flow hedges. Contracts that are used as economic hedges of other global oilseed processing activities generally do not qualify for hedge accounting as a result of location differences and are therefore not designated as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes.

The table below summarizes the volumes of open agricultural commodities derivative positions as of September 30, 2010.

	September 30, 2010							
	Exchange Traded Net (Short) &	Non-excl Trad	Unit of					
	Long (1)	(Short) (2)	Long (2)	Measure				
Agricultural Commodities								
Futures	(12,360,841)	-	-	Metric Tons				
Options	(1,486,600)	(138,605)	83,207	Metric Tons				
Forwards	-	(19,325,962)	28,239,364	Metric Tons				
Swaps	-	(549,356)	408,234	Metric Tons				

- (1) Exchange traded futures and options are presented on a net (short) and long position basis.
- (2) Non-exchange traded swaps, options and forwards are presented on a gross (short) and long position basis.

Ocean freight derivatives Bunge uses derivative instruments referred to as freight forward agreements, or FFAs, and FFA options, primarily to hedge portions of its current and anticipated ocean freight costs. A portion of the ocean freight derivatives have been designated as fair value hedges of Bunge s firm commitments to purchase time on ocean freight vessels. Changes in the fair value of the ocean freight derivatives that are qualified, designated and highly effective as a fair value hedge, along with the gain or loss on the hedged firm commitments to purchase time on ocean freight vessels that is attributable to the hedged risk, are recorded in earnings. Changes in the fair values of ocean freight derivatives that are not designated as hedges are also recorded in earnings.

The table below summarizes the open ocean freight positions as of September 30, 2010.

Exchange
Cleared

September 30, 2010

Non-exchange

	Net (Short) &	Clear	ed	Unit of		
	Long (1)	(Short) (2)	Long (2)	Measure		
Ocean Freight						
FFA	(7,854)	(917)	1,371	Hire Days		
FFA Options	502	-	-	Hire Days		

- (1) Exchange cleared futures and options are presented on a net (short) and long position basis.
- (2) Non-exchange cleared options, and forwards are presented on a gross (short) and long position basis.

Energy derivatives Bunge uses derivative instruments primarily to manage its exposure to volatility in energy costs. Bunge s operations use substantial amounts of energy, including natural gas, electricity, coal, steam and fuel oil, including bunker fuel.

The table below summarizes the open energy positions as of September 30, 2010.

September	30	2010
SCDICIIDCI	JU.	2010

	Exchange				
	Traded	Non-exchange		Unit of	
	Net (Short) &	Clear	ed	Measure	
	Long (1)	(Short) (2)	Long (2)	(3)	
Natural Gas (3)					
Futures	932,500	-	109,950	MMBtus	
Swaps	-	(35,000)	1,640,125	MMBtus	
Options	1,046,100	(3,463,940)	-	MMBtus	
Energy Other					
Futures	1,452,676	-	-	Metric Tons	
Forwards	-	(1,701,745)	3,126,791	Metric Tons	
Swaps	-	(38,661)	53,512	Metric Tons	
Options	(291,339)	(62,992)	118,110	Metric Tons	

- (1) Exchange traded futures and exchange cleared options are presented on a net (short) and long position basis.
- (2) Non-exchange cleared swaps, options, and forwards are presented on a gross (short) and long position basis.
- (3) Million British Thermal Units (MMBtus) are the standard unit of measurement used to denote the amount of natural gas.

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Statement of Income

The table below summarizes the effect of derivative instruments that are designated as fair value hedges and also derivative instruments that are undesignated on the condensed consolidated statements of income for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative

		;	September 30,		
(US\$ in millions)	Location	2010	_	2009	
Designated Derivative Contracts:					
Freight (1)	Cost of goods sold	\$ -	\$	(9)	
Total		\$ -	\$	(9)	
Undesignated Derivative Contracts:					
Interest Rate	Interest expense	\$ 8	\$		
Interest Rate	Other income (expenses) net	1		(3)	
Foreign Exchange	Foreign exchange gains (losses)	23		(197)	
Foreign Exchange	Cost of goods sold	14		13	
Commodities	Cost of goods sold	261		138	
Freight	Cost of goods sold	(36)		37	
Energy	Cost of goods sold	(9)		(6)	
Total		\$ 262	\$	(18)	

(1) The gain or (loss) on the hedged items is included in cost of goods sold.

The table below summarizes the effect of derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow and net investment hedges on the condensed consolidated statement of income for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

	Notio	onal	Gain o (Loss) Recognize Accumula	ed in				Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Incom on Derivative (2)			
(US\$ in millions)	Amo	ount	OCI (1	.)	Location	Amou	nt	Location Amou		t (3)	
Cash Flow Hedge:											
					Cost of			Cost of			
Foreign Exchange (4)	\$	660	\$	2	goods sold	\$	1	goods sold	\$	5	
					Cost of			Cost of			
Commodities (5)		94		19	goods sold		11	goods sold		3	
Total	\$	754	\$	21		\$	12		\$	8	
Net Investment Hedge (6):											
					Foreign			Foreign			
					exchange			exchange			
Foreign Exchange	\$	-	\$	17	gains (losses)	\$	-	gains (losses)	\$	-	
Total	\$	-	\$	17	-	\$	-		\$	-	

- (2) The gain or (loss) recognized relates to the ineffective portion of the hedging relationship and to the amount excluded from the assessment of hedging effectiveness.
- (3) The amount of gain recognized in income is \$3 million which relates to the ineffective portion of the hedging relationships, and \$5 million, which relates to the amount excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness.
- (4) The foreign exchange forward contracts mature at various dates in 2010 and 2011.
- (5) The changes in the market value of such futures contracts have historically been, and are expected to continue to be, highly effective at offsetting changes in price movements of the hedged items. The commodities futures contracts mature at various dates in 2010 and 2011.
- (6) Bunge pays Brazilian *reais* and receives U.S. dollars using fixed interest rates, offsetting the translation adjustment of its net investment in Brazilian *reais* assets. The swaps mature at various dates in 2010. In July 2010, Bunge de-designated the net investment hedge. Gains or losses due to changes in exchange rates on the de-designated swaps are recorded in the income statement going forward.

The table below summarizes the effect of derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow and net investment hedges on the condensed consolidated statement of income for the nine months ended September 30, 2009:

⁽¹⁾ The gain or (loss) recognized relates to the effective portion of the hedging relationship. At September 30, 2010, Bunge expects to reclassify into income in the next 12 months approximately \$1 million, \$7 million and zero of after tax gains related to its foreign exchange, agricultural commodities and net investment cash flow hedges, respectively.

	Notional	Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Accumulated	Recla Accumu	Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (1)		Recognize		or (Loss) ed in Income ivative (2)	
(US\$ in millions)	Amount	OCI (1)	Location		Amount	Location		Amount (3)	
Cash Flow Hedge:			Foreign exchange			Foreign exchange			
Foreign Exchange (4)	\$ 651	\$ 47	gains (losses) Cost of	\$	(46)	gains (losses) Cost of	\$	(3)	
Commodities (5)	56	(17)	goods sold		(10)	goods sold		3	
Total Net Investment Hedge (6):	\$ 707	\$ 30		\$	(56)		\$	-	
			Foreign exchange			Foreign exchange			
Foreign Exchange	\$ 419	\$ (131)	gains (losses)	\$	-	gains (losses)	\$	-	
Total	\$ 419	\$ (131)	-	\$	-	- '	\$	-	

- (2) The gain or (loss) recognized relates to the ineffective portion of the hedging relationship and to the amount excluded from the assessment of hedging effectiveness.
- (3) The amount of gain or (loss) recognized in income is \$1 million, which relates to the ineffective portion of the hedging relationships and \$(1) million, which relates to the amount excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness.
- (4) The foreign exchange forward contracts mature at various dates in 2009 and 2010.
- (5) The changes in the market value of such futures contracts have historically been, and are expected to continue to be, highly effective at offsetting changes in price movements of the hedged items. The commodities futures contracts mature at various dates in 2009 and 2010.
- (6) Bunge pays Brazilian *reais* and receives U.S. dollars using fixed interest rates, offsetting the translation adjustment of its net investment in Brazilian *reais* assets. The swaps mature at various dates in 2010.

12. DEBT

In July 2010, Bunge redeemed certain senior notes and repaid certain term loans and subsidiary long-term debt with an aggregate principal amount of \$827 million. These transactions resulted in a loss on extinguishment of debt of approximately \$90 million, related to make-whole

⁽¹⁾ The gain or (loss) recognized relates to the effective portion of the hedging relationship. At September 30, 2009, Bunge expects to reclassify into income in the next 12 months approximately \$3 million, \$2 million and zero of after tax losses related to its foreign exchange, commodities and net investment cash flow hedges, respectively.

payments and is included in our condensed consolidated statements of income for the three and nine months ending September 30, 2010.

The following table summarizes the redeemed and repaid long-term debt during the three months ended September 30, 2010:

(US\$ in millions)		Aggregate Principal Amount	Aggregate Repayment Amount
7.44% Guaranteed Senior Notes, Series C, due 2012 (1)	\$	351	\$ 406
7.80% Senior Notes, due 2012 (2)		198	230
Term Loan, fixed interest rate of 4.33%, due 2011 (3)		250	260
Other (4)		28	35
Total	\$	827	\$ 931
	23		

- (1) The aggregate amount paid to the holders included \$48 million related to the make-whole payment and \$7 million to accrued and unpaid interest. Also, in connection with this debt redemption, Bunge recorded a loss of \$4 million related to the non-cash write-off of unamortized losses on treasury rate lock contracts and unamortized issuance costs.
- The aggregate amount paid to the holders included \$28 million related to the make-whole payment and \$4 million to accrued and unpaid interest. Also in connection with this debt redemption, Bunge recorded a loss of \$2 million related to the non-cash write-off of unamortized losses on treasury rate lock and unamortized original issue discount and issuance costs. In February 2010, Bunge repurchased and canceled approximately \$2 million of the then outstanding \$200 million aggregate principal amount of the 7.80% senior notes due 2012.
- (3) The repayment amount consisted of the aggregate principal amount, accrued and unpaid interest to the repayment date and a make-whole amount as provided in the credit agreement. The aggregate amount paid to the participant banks of the syndicated term loan included \$6 million related to the make-whole payment and \$4 million to accrued and unpaid interest. There were no unamortized financing costs related to this debt.
- (4) The redemption price of the senior notes of one of Bunge s subsidiaries consisted of the aggregate principal amount of the senior notes, accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date and a make-whole amount as provided in the note agreement governing the senior notes. The aggregate amount paid to the holders of one of Bunge s subsidiary s senior notes included \$35 million, of which \$7 million related to the make-whole payment. There were no unamortized financing costs related to this debt.

The fair value of Bunge s long-term debt is based on interest rates currently available on comparable maturities to companies with credit standing similar to that of Bunge. The carrying amounts and fair value of long-term debt are as follows:

		Septemb	er 30, 2010		December 31, 2009				
	C	arrying			Carrying				
(US\$ in millions)	Value		Fa	air Value	Value	Fair Value			
Long-term debt, including current portion	\$	2.867	\$	3.149 \$	3.649	\$	3.796		

13. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Bunge purchased soybeans, related soybean commodity products and other commodity products and fertilizer products from its unconsolidated joint ventures, which totaled \$136 million and \$251 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$408 million and \$770 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Bunge also sold soybean commodity products and other commodity products to these joint ventures, which totaled \$115 million and \$122 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$344 million and \$441 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Bunge believes these transactions are recorded at values similar to those with third parties.

14. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

	U.SPension Benefits Three Months Ended September 30,							Foreign-Pension Benefits Three Months Ended September 30,						
(US\$ in millions)		2010			2009		2010		2009					
Service cost	\$	3	3	\$	3	\$		-	\$	1				
Interest cost		ϵ	Ó		5			2		9				
Expected return on plan assets		(6	5)		(5)		(1)		(9)				
Amortization of net loss (gain)		2	2		2			-		(1)				
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	5	5	\$	5	\$		1	\$	-				
			Month	Benefits s Ended				e Mont	ion Bene hs Ended	l				
(US\$ in millions)		2010	Septen	nber 30,	2009		2010	Septe	mber 30,	2009				
	d.				2009 9	¢		2	ď					
Service cost	\$	10		\$	-	\$		2	\$	2				
Interest cost		18			16			2		27				
Expected return on plan assets		(18			(16)		(2			(28)				
Amortization of prior service cost		1			1			-		-				
Amortization of net loss (gain)		4			3			-		(2)				
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	15		\$	13	\$		-	\$	(1)				
		He	althcare ee Mon	etiremen e Benefit ths Ende tember 3	s ed		Не	althcar ree Mon	tretireme e Benefit oths Ende tember 3	s ed				
(US\$ in millions)		2010			2009		2010			2009				
Service cost	\$		-	\$		- \$		-	\$	1	1			
Interest cost			-			-		2		2	2			
Net periodic benefit cost	\$		-	\$		- \$		2	\$	3	3			
		Hea	lthcare e Month	tirement Benefits as Ended ember 30			He	althcare ne Mont	retireme Benefits hs Ended mber 30,	i I				
(US\$ in millions)		2010	Septe		2009		2010	эгри		2009				
Service cost	\$	2010	_	\$		\$	2010	1	\$	1	ı			
Interest cost	Ψ		1	Ψ	1	Ψ		8	Ψ	(
Amortization of prior service cost			1		1			(1)			,			
			-		-		(1		-	•			
Amortization of net loss (gain)	\$		1	¢	1	¢		9	¢	-	- 7			
Net periodic benefit cost	Э		1	\$	1	\$		9	\$,	1			

In the nine months ended September 30, 2010, Bunge made contributions totaling approximately \$1 million and approximately \$11 million to its U.S. and foreign defined benefit pension plans, respectively. In the nine months ended September 30, 2009, Bunge made contributions totaling approximately \$37 million and approximately \$6 million to its U.S. and foreign defined benefit pension plans, respectively.

In the nine months ended September 30, 2010, Bunge made contributions totaling approximately \$2 million and approximately \$6 million to its U.S. and to its foreign postretirement benefit plans, respectively. In the nine months ended September 30, 2009, Bunge made contributions totaling approximately \$2 million and approximately \$5 million to its U.S. and to its foreign postretirement benefit plans, respectively.

15. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Bunge is party to a large number of claims and lawsuits, primarily tax and labor claims in Brazil, arising in the normal course of business. Bunge records liabilities related to its general claims and lawsuits when the exposure item becomes probable and can be reasonably estimated. After taking into account the recorded liabilities for these matters, management believes that the ultimate resolution of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on Bunge s financial condition, results of operations or liquidity. Included in other non-current liabilities at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are the following accrued liabilities:

	September	September 30,				
(US\$ in millions)	2010		2009)		
Tax claims	\$	120	\$	135		
Labor claims		77		97		
Civil and other claims		109		110		
Total	\$	306	\$	342		

Tax Claims The tax claims relate principally to claims against Bunge s Brazilian subsidiaries, including primarily value-added tax claims (ICMS, IPI, PIS and COFINS, of which PIS and COFINS are used by the Brazilian government to fund social contribution programs). The determination of the manner in which various Brazilian federal, state and municipal taxes apply to the operations of Bunge is subject to varying interpretations arising from the complex nature of Brazilian tax law.

Labor Claims The labor claims relate principally to claims against Bunge s Brazilian subsidiaries. The labor claims primarily relate to dismissals, severance, health and safety, salary adjustments and supplementary retirement benefits.

Civil and Other The civil and other claims relate to various disputes with third parties, including suppliers and customers.

Guarantees Bunge has issued or was a party to the following guarantees at September 30, 2010:

	Maximum
	Potential
	Future
(US\$ in millions)	Payments
Customer financing (1)	\$ 95
Unconsolidated affiliates financing (2)	52
Total	\$ 147

Maximum

⁽¹⁾ Bunge has issued guarantees to third parties in Brazil related to amounts owed to these third parties by certain of Bunge s customers. The terms of the guarantees are equal to the terms of the related financing arrangements, which are generally one year or less, with the exception of guarantees issued under certain Brazilian government programs, primarily from 2006, where terms are up to five years. In the event that the customers default on their payments to the third parties and Bunge would be required to perform under the guarantees, Bunge has obtained collateral from the customers. At September 30, 2010, Bunge had

approximately \$72 million of tangible property that had been pledged to Bunge as collateral against certain of these refinancing arrangements. Bunge evaluates the likelihood of the customer repayments of the amounts due under these guarantees based upon an expected loss analysis and records the fair value of such guarantees as an obligation in its condensed consolidated financial statements. The fair value of these guarantees at September 30, 2010 was not significant.

(2) Bunge issued guarantees to certain financial institutions related to debt of certain of its unconsolidated joint ventures. The terms of the guarantees are equal to the terms of the related financings which have maturity dates in 2012 and 2018. There are no recourse provisions or collateral that would enable Bunge to recover any amounts paid under these guarantees. At September 30, 2010, Bunge recorded \$4 million related to the fair value of these guarantees.

In addition, Bunge Limited has provided full and unconditional parent level guarantees of the indebtedness outstanding under certain senior credit facilities and senior notes entered into, or issued by, its 100% owned subsidiaries. At September 30, 2010, debt

with a carrying amount of \$2,627 million related to these guarantees is included in Bunge s condensed consolidated balance sheets. This debt includes the senior notes issued by two of Bunge s 100% owned finance subsidiaries, Bunge Limited Finance Corp. and Bunge N.A. Finance L.P. There are no significant restrictions on the ability of Bunge Limited Finance Corp., Bunge N.A. Finance L.P. or any other Bunge subsidiary to transfer funds to Bunge Limited.

Brazil Tax Law Changes New thin capitalization tax legislation was enacted in Brazil in June 2010, and denies income tax deductions for interest payments with respect to certain debt to the extent a company s debt to equity ratio exceeds a certain threshold or the debt is with related parties located in a tax haven jurisdiction as defined under the law. The thin capitalization legislation provides for an effective date of January 1, 2010 with respect to both the income tax and social contribution tax on income (25% and 9%, respectively, which are reported together as income tax expense in Bunge s condensed consolidated statements of income). However, based on external legal advice Bunge has concluded that under Brazil s Constitution, the new law cannot apply to the income tax portion until January 1, 2011 and the social contribution portion can only apply from March 15, 2010. Because Bunge believes that it is more likely than not that this position will be sustained, it has not accrued any income tax with respect to this new legislation but has accrued the social contribution portion only of \$23 million from March 15, 2010.

16. SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

Share Repurchase Program On June 8, 2010, Bunge announced that its Board of Directors had approved a program for the repurchase of up to \$700 million of Bunge s issued and outstanding common shares. The program runs through December 31, 2011. Bunge has repurchased 6,714,573 common shares, for approximately \$354 million through September 30, 2010.

17. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The following table summarizes the components of comprehensive income (loss):

	Three M Septe	onths Er mber 30		Nine Months Ended September 30,			
(US\$ in millions)	2010		2009	2010		2009	
Net income	\$ 206	\$	197	\$ 2,073	\$	343	
Other comprehensive income (loss):							
Foreign exchange translation adjustment, net of tax expense of \$0	494		468	103		1,165	
Unrealized gains (losses) on commodity futures and foreign							
exchange contracts designated as cash flow hedges, net of tax							
(expense) benefit of \$(11) and \$(11) (2010), \$1 and \$(11) (2009)	20		(2)	21		30	
Unrealized gains on investments, net of tax expense of \$0 (2010),							
\$1 (2009)	1		-	-		2	
Reclassification of realized net losses (gains) to net income, net							
of tax of (benefit) expense \$7 and \$7 (2010), \$(5) and \$(30)							
(2009)	(8)		25	(8)		56	
Pension adjustment, net of tax benefit of \$5	-		-	-		(10)	
Other postretirement healthcare subsidy tax deduction adjustment	-		-	2		-	
Total comprehensive income	713		688	2,191		1,586	
Less: Comprehensive loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling							
interest	(11)		(35)	8		(156)	
Total comprehensive income attributable to Bunge	\$ 702	\$	653	\$ 2,199	\$	1,430	

18. TRANSFERS (TO) FROM NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS

On May 27, 2010, Bunge sold its Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets, including its direct and indirect 54% ownership interest in the voting common shares and 36% interest in the nonvoting preferred shares of Fosfertil (representing Bunge s right to approximately 42% of the earnings of Fosfertil). See Note 4 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements. Prior to this date, Fosfertil was a consolidated subsidiary of Bunge. Effective as of the date of sale and as a result of this transaction, Bunge

27

deconsolidated Fosfertil and derecognized \$588 million of noncontrolling interests, which represented approximately 58% of noncontrolling interest in earnings of Fosfertil.

In 2009, Bunge entered into a joint venture to build and operate a grain terminal in Longview, Washington, U.S. Bunge has a 51% controlling interest in the joint venture, which it consolidates. In the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the noncontrolling interest holders, which have a 49% interest, made a \$46 million capital contribution to this joint venture. Bunge made a proportionate capital contribution, which resulted in no ownership percentage change. In the nine months ended September 30, 2009, Bunge received \$22 million of capital contributions from the noncontrolling interests, of which \$5 million was the initial noncontrolling equity interest upon consolidation by Bunge of this joint venture.

Effective January 1, 2010, Bunge adopted a FASB issued standard that amends the consolidation guidance that applies to variable interest entities (VIEs). As a result of this adoption, Bunge consolidated AGRI-Bunge, LLC, an agribusiness joint venture which originates grains and operates a Mississippi river terminal in the United States in which Bunge has 50% voting power and a 34% interest in the equity and earnings. Bunge recorded \$3 million of noncontrolling equity interest upon its consolidation of this joint venture in the first quarter of 2010.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, certain third party investors redeemed their shares in a private investment fund consolidated by Bunge. The shares were valued at \$7 million and represented 14% of the outstanding shares of the fund and 100% of the ownership interest of these investors in the fund, along with \$2 million of dividends representing their share of the cumulative earnings of the fund. This resulted in Bunge s ownership interest in the fund increasing from 31% at December 31, 2009 to 35% at September 30, 2010. In addition, in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, an inactive joint venture was liquidated resulting in \$2 million of capital returned to noncontrolling interests and dividends of \$8 million were recorded, which represented earnings of a non-wholly owned subsidiary. In the nine months ended September 30, 2009, certain third party investors in the same private investment fund redeemed their shares in the fund. The shares were valued at \$43 million and represented 51% of the outstanding shares of the fund and 100% of the ownership interest of these investors in the fund. Additionally, the investors received \$8 million of dividends, which represented their share of the cumulative earnings of the fund. This transaction resulted in Bunge s ownership interest in the fund increasing from 16% at December 31, 2008 to 31% at September 30, 2009.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, certain of Bunge s Brazilian subsidiaries primarily involved in its sugar business received approximately \$52 million in capital contributions from noncontrolling interests. Bunge made proportionate capital contributions to these subsidiaries, which resulted in no ownership percentage change.

19. EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income available to Bunge common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding, excluding any dilutive effects of stock options, restricted stock unit awards, convertible preference shares and convertible notes during the reporting period. Diluted earnings per share is computed similar to basic earnings per share, except that the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding is increased to include additional shares from the assumed exercise of stock options, restricted stock unit awards and convertible securities and notes, if dilutive. The number of additional shares is calculated by assuming that outstanding stock options, except those which are not dilutive, were exercised and that the proceeds from such exercises were used to acquire common shares at the average market price during the reporting period. In addition, Bunge accounts for the effects of convertible securities and convertible notes, using the if-converted method. Under this method, the convertible securities and convertible notes are assumed to be converted and the related dividend or interest expense, net of tax, is added back to earnings, if dilutive.

Bunge had 862,455 mandatory convertible preference shares outstanding as of September 30, 2010. Each mandatory convertible preference share has a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share. On the mandatory conversion date of December 1, 2010, each mandatory convertible preference share will automatically convert into between 8.2416 and 9.7250 of Bunge Limited common shares, subject to certain additional anti-dilution adjustments, depending on the average daily volume-weighted average price

per common share over the 20-trading day period ending on the third trading day prior to such date. At any time prior to December 1, 2010, holders may elect to convert the mandatory convertible preference shares at the conversion rate of 8.2416 (which represents 7,108,009 Bunge Limited common shares as of September 30, 2010), subject to certain additional anti-dilution adjustments.

In addition, Bunge had 6,900,000 convertible perpetual preference shares outstanding as of September 30, 2010. Each convertible preference share has an initial liquidation preference of \$100 per share and each convertible preference share is convertible, at any time at the holder s option, initially into approximately 1.0891 Bunge Limited common shares based on a conversion price of \$91.82 per convertible preference share (which represents 7,514,790 Bunge Limited common shares as of September 30, 2010), subject in each case to certain anti-dilution specified adjustments.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009:

		Three Mor Septem		Nine Months Ended September 30,				
(US\$ in millions, except for share data)	2	010	2009		2010		2009	
Net income attributable to Bunge	\$	212	\$	232	\$	2,053	\$	350
Convertible preference share dividends (1)		(19)		-		(58)		(39)
Net income available to Bunge common shareholders	\$	193	\$	232	\$	1,995	\$	311
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:								
Basic	139,600,641		127,800,921		141,247,100		123,8	374,575
Effect of dilutive shares:								
Stock options and awards	8	77,885	1,1	151,772		959,061	1,1	133,135
Convertible preference shares	7,5	14,790	14,5	587,437	14,	,622,799	7,4	194,090
Diluted (2)	147,9	93,316	143,5	540,130	156,	828,960	132,5	501,800
Earnings per common share:								
Basic	\$	1.38	\$	1.82	\$	14.12	\$	2.51
Diluted	\$	1.36	\$	1.62	\$	13.09	\$	2.48

⁽¹⁾ Dividends on the convertible preference shares are payable March 1, June 1, September 1 and December 1 of each year. Bunge declared the dividends payable on September 1, 2009 and December 1, 2009, in May and October 2009, respectively.

20. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Approximately 3.5 million outstanding stock options and contingently issuable restricted stock units were not dilutive and not included in the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2010. Approximately 7.1 million weighted average common shares that are issuable upon conversion of the mandatory convertible preference shares were not dilutive and not included in the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the three months ended September 30, 2010. Approximately 2 million outstanding stock options and contingently issuable restricted stock units were not dilutive and not included in the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009. Approximately 7.1 million weighted average common shares that are issuable upon conversion of the mandatory convertible preference shares were not dilutive and not included in the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Sugar and Bioenergy segment Bunge participates in the sugar and sugarcane-based ethanol industries through its sugar, trading and merchandising business, headquartered in London, and its sugarcane milling operations in Brazil. In addition, Bunge has investments in entities that produce corn-based ethanol in the United States. Bunge wholly owns or has majority interests in seven sugarcane mills in Brazil, five of which were acquired in the Moema acquisition in February 2010 (see Note 3 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements). Bunge also has an 80% stake in a greenfield mill which is expected to commence commercial operations in the fourth quarter of 2010. Most of the mills allow Bunge to adjust production, within certain capacity limits, between sugar (raw and crystal) and sugarcane-based ethanol (hydrous and anhydrous), allowing Bunge to readily respond to changes in customer demand and market prices within each of these product lines. Bunge also has cogeneration facilities at all

mills which produce energy through the burning of sugarcane bagasse in boilers, enabling these mills to be self-sufficient for their energy needs and, in most cases, to sell surplus energy to third parties such as local utilities.

In the first quarter of 2010, Bunge began reporting the results of its sugar and bioenergy businesses as a reportable segment. Prior to the first quarter of 2010, sugar and bioenergy results and assets were included in the agribusiness segment. Accordingly, amounts for prior periods presented have been reclassified to conform to the current period segment presentation.

As a result, Bunge has five reportable segments agribusiness, sugar and bioenergy, fertilizer, edible oil products and milling products, which are organized based upon similar economic characteristics and are similar in nature of products and services offered, the nature of production processes, the type and class of customer and distribution methods. The agribusiness segment is characterized by both inputs and outputs being agricultural commodities and thus high volume and low margin. The sugar and bioenergy segment involves sugar origination, milling, trading and merchandising businesses, as well as sugar and sugarcane-based ethanol production and corn-based ethanol investments and activities. Following the completion of the sale of Bunge s Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets in May 2010, the activities of the fertilizer segment include its retail fertilizer business in Brazil as well as its operations in Argentina and the United States (see Note 4 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements). Additionally, Bunge has retained its 50% interest in its fertilizer joint venture in Morocco. The edible oil products segment involves the manufacturing and marketing of products derived from vegetable oils. The milling products segment involves the manufacturing of products derived primarily from wheat and corn.

The Unallocated column in the following table contains the reconciliation between the totals for reportable segments and Bunge consolidated totals, which consists primarily of corporate items not allocated to the operating segments, inter-segment eliminations. Transfers between the segments are generally valued at market. The revenues generated from these transfers are shown in the following table as Inter-segment revenues segments or inter-segment eliminations.

Operating Segment Information

(US\$ in millions)

				Edible			
Three Months Ended		Sugar and		Oil	Milling		
<u>September 30, 2010</u>	Agribusiness	Bioenergy	<u>Fertilizer</u>	Products	Products	Unallocated (1)	<u>Total</u>
Net sales to external customer	\$ 7,783	\$ 1,153	\$ 655	\$ 1,664	\$ 407	\$ -	\$ 11,662
Inter segment revenues	954	-	44	36	-	(1,034)	-
Gross profit	442	63	43	106	58	-	712
Foreign exchange gains (losses)	62	6	8	2	(1)	-	77
Equity in earnings of affiliates	5	(4)	6	-	1	-	8
Noncontrolling interest (1)	(6)	-	-	1	-	11	6
Other income (expense) net	(5)	-	-	(6)	6	-	(5)
Segment EBIT (1) (2)	313	34	14	30	39	(90)	340
Depreciation, depletion and							
amortization	(44)	(33)	(9)	(18)	(7)	-	(111)
Total assets	13,726	4,393	2,196	2,007	693	86	23,101

Three Months Ended

September 30, 2009

Net sales to external customer	\$ 7,453	\$ 680	\$ 1,190	\$ 1,572	\$ 403	\$ -	\$ 11,298
Inter segment revenues	815	8	4	30	1	(858)	-
Gross profit (loss)	333	20	(162)	112	40	-	343
Foreign exchange gains (losses)	107	1	60	2	(1)	-	169
Equity in earnings of affiliates	2	(3)	-	-	1	-	-
Noncontrolling interest (1)	(6)	4	54	(2)	-	(15)	35
Other income (expense) net	(1)	-	(3)	1	(1)	-	(4)
Segment EBIT (2)	284	10	(127)	35	7	-	209
Depreciation, depletion and							
amortization	(44)	(6)	(40)	(18)	(11)	-	(119)
Total assets	10,785	3,001	4,929	2,105	646	394	21,860

(US\$ in millions)

Nine Months Ended		Sugar and		Edible Oil	Milling		
September 30, 2010	Agribusiness	Bioenergy	Fertilizer	Products	Products	Unallocated (1)	Total
Net sales to external customer	\$ 21,834	\$ 3,141	\$ 1,995	\$ 4,815	\$ 1,196	\$ -	\$ 32,981
Inter segment revenues	2,753	18	71	79	41	(2,962)	-
Gross profit	1,041	131	93	291	126	-	1,682
Foreign exchange gains (losses)	(15)	13	(17)	(2)	(1)	-	(22)
Equity in earnings of affiliates	12	(6)	9	-	2	-	17
Noncontrolling interest (1)	(21)	6	(35)	(3)	-	33	(20)
Other income (expense) net	(1)	(5)	(3)	(5)	6	-	(8)
Segment EBIT (1) (2)	463	43	2,343	35	53	(90)	2,847
Depreciation, depletion and							
amortization	(135)	(79)	(33)	(58)	(21)	-	(326)
Total assets	13,726	4,393	2,196	2,007	693	86	23,101
Nine Months Ended							
September 30, 2009							
Net sales to external customer	\$ 21,597	\$ 1,473	\$ 2,730	\$ 4,534	\$ 1,156	\$ -	\$ 31,490
Inter segment revenues	2,524	36	15	103	17	(2,695)	-
Gross profit (loss)	1,033	38	(567)	274	112	-	890
Foreign exchange gains (losses)	224	2	246	(1)	(1)	-	470
Equity in earnings of affiliates	4	(11)	1	14	3	-	11
Noncontrolling interest (1)	(20)	8	36	(6)	-	(11)	7
Other income (expense) net	(3)	2	(7)	(3)	(1)	-	(12)
Segment EBIT (2)	747	13	(442)	67	40	-	425
Depreciation, depletion and							
amortization	(130)	(11)	(106)	(52)	(20)	-	(319)
Total assets	10,785	3,001	4,929	2,105	646	394	21,860

A reconciliation of total segment EBIT to net income attributable to Bunge follows:

Three Months Ended
September 30,
2010
September 30,
2009
September 30,
2010
2009
September 30,
2010
2009

(US\$ in millions)

Reconciliation of total segment earnings before interest and tax:

⁽¹⁾ Includes noncontrolling interest share of interest and tax to reconcile to consolidated noncontrolling interest. In addition, included in unallocated for the three months ended and nine months ended September 30, 2010 is a \$90 million loss on extinguishment of debt (see Note 12 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements).

⁽²⁾ Total segment earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) is an operating performance measure used by Bunge's management to evaluate its segments operating activities. Bunge's management believes total segment EBIT is a useful measure of its segments operating profitability, since the measure reflects equity in earnings of affiliates and noncontrolling interest and excludes income tax. Income tax is excluded as Bunge's management believes income tax is not a key factor in evaluating the operating performance of its segments. In addition, interest income and expense have become less meaningful to the segments operating activities.

Total segment EBIT	\$ 340	\$ 209	\$ 2,847	\$ 425
Interest income	20	20	62	96
Interest expense	(62)	(79)	(241)	(212)
Income tax (expense) benefit	(97)	97	(648)	52
Noncontrolling interest share of interest and tax	11	(15)	33	(11)
Net income attributable to Bunge	\$ 212	\$ 232	\$ 2,053	\$ 350

21. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On November 4, 2010, one of Bunge s U.S. subsidiaries acquired certain grain elevator operations for a total purchase price of approximately \$50 million including property, plant and equipment and working capital.

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward Looking Statements

This report contains both historical and forward looking statements. All statements, other than statements of historical fact are, or may be deemed to be, forward looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act). These forward looking statements are not based on historical facts, but rather reflect our current expectations and projections about our future results, performance, prospects and opportunities. We have tried to identify these forward looking statements by using words including may, will, should, could, expect, anticipate, intend. and similar expressions. These forward looking statements are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause our actual results, performance, prospects or opportunities to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, these forward looking statements. The following important factors, among others, could affect our business and financial performance: changes in governmental policies and laws affecting our business, including agricultural and trade policies, environmental regulations, as well as tax regulations and biofuels legislation; our funding needs and financing sources; changes in foreign exchange policy or rates; the outcome of pending regulatory and legal proceedings; our ability to complete, integrate and benefit from acquisitions, divestitures, joint ventures and strategic alliances; our ability to achieve the efficiencies, savings and other benefits anticipated from our cost reduction, margin improvement and other business optimization initiatives; industry conditions, including fluctuations in supply, demand and prices for agricultural commodities and other raw materials and products that we sell and use in our business, fluctuations in energy and freight costs and competitive developments in our industries; weather conditions and the impact of crop and animal disease on our business; global and regional agricultural, economic, financial and commodities market, political, social, and health conditions; operational risks, including industrial accidents and natural disasters; our ability to reduce costs and improve margins in our business and other factors affecting our business generally.

The forward looking statements included in this report are made only as of the date of this report, and except as otherwise required by federal securities law, we do not have any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward looking statements to reflect subsequent events or circumstances.

You should refer to Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, filed with the SEC on March 1, 2010, and Part II Item 1A. Risk Factors in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for a more detailed discussion of these factors.

ITEM 2. RESULTS OF OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND

Third Quarter 2010 Overview

Foreign Currency Exchange Rates

Due to the global nature of our operations, our operating results can be materially impacted by foreign currency exchange rates. Both translation of our foreign subsidiaries—financial statements and foreign currency transactions can affect our results. On a monthly basis, functional currency-based subsidiary statements of income and cash flows are translated into U.S. dollars for consolidation purposes based on weighted average exchange rates during the month. As a result, fluctuations of functional currencies compared to the U.S. dollar during a monthly period impact our consolidated statements of income and cash flows for that period and also affect comparisons between periods. Subsidiary balance sheets are translated using exchange rates as of the balance sheet date with the resulting translation adjustments reported in our consolidated balance sheets as a component of other comprehensive income (loss).

Additionally, we record transaction gains or losses on monetary assets and liabilities of our foreign subsidiaries that are not denominated in their functional currencies. These amounts are remeasured into their respective subsidiary functional currencies at exchange rates as of the balance sheet date, with the resulting gains or losses included in the subsidiary statement of income and, therefore, in our consolidated statements of income as a foreign exchange gain (loss).

From time to time we also enter into derivative financial instruments, such as foreign currency forward contracts, swaps and options, to limit our exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates with respect to our

foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities and our local currency operating expenses. These derivative instruments are marked to market, with changes in their fair value recognized as a component of foreign exchange gains (losses) in our consolidated statements of income. We may also hedge other foreign currency exposures as management deems appropriate.

During the third quarter of 2010, the U.S. dollar weakened against most global currencies. In particular, the Brazilian *real* appreciated by 6% against the U.S. dollar compared to an appreciation of the *real* by 10% against the U.S. dollar in the third quarter of 2009 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 the Brazilian *real* appreciated by 3% against the U.S. dollar compared to an appreciation of 31% against the U.S. dollar for the same period of 2009. The appreciation of the Brazilian *real* in the third quarter and first nine months of 2010 and 2009 resulted in transaction gains primarily relating to the U.S. dollar-denominated financing of working capital in our Brazilian subsidiaries.

The translation of functional currency costs and expenses at monthly average exchange rates also impacts the comparison of our financial statements between periods. During the third quarter of 2010, the Brazilian *real* was on average 7% stronger against the U.S. dollar compared to the third quarter of 2009 and the Brazilian *real* was on average 17% stronger against the U.S. dollar for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same period of 2009. The impact on our financial statements of the stronger *real* for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same period of 2009 was an increase in translated functional currency costs and expenses.

Segment Overview

Agribusiness Our agribusiness segment EBIT for the third quarter of 2010 improved over the third quarter of 2009. Earnings in grain merchandising were strong as our South American origination businesses benefited from the crop shortages related to the drought in Eastern Europe. Our commercial and risk management strategies performed well during a period of rising prices resulting largely from this drought. Volumes in the quarter were stronger than the third quarter of 2009 as volumes in South America normalized following the 2009 drought in Argentina. Additionally, volumes increased due to increased exports from South America as a result of the Eastern European crop shortages. In the third quarter of 2010, we recorded impairment charges of \$22 million primarily related to the write-down of an oilseed processing and refining facility in Europe. Segment EBIT was also impacted by the foreign exchange translation of functional currency costs into U.S. dollars when compared with the third quarter of 2009.

Sugar and Bioenergy Our sugar and bioenergy segment EBIT for the third quarter of 2010 was stronger than the third quarter of 2009 as higher earnings in our sugarcane milling operations, primarily related to the Moema mills acquired earlier this year and solid results in our trading and merchandising businesses more than offset start-up costs associated with the expansion and construction of our other mills. The results also reflect a mark-to-market charge of \$26 million on derivatives primarily hedging a portion of our 2011 sugar production. Higher SG&A expenses were driven by the addition of the Moema mills. Segment EBIT was also impacted by the foreign exchange translation of functional currency costs into U.S. dollars when compared with the third quarter of 2009.

Fertilizer Our fertilizer segment EBIT improved significantly over the third quarter of 2009, where high inventory costs in a declining product price environment produced losses. EBIT for the third quarter of 2009 included earnings of the Brazilian nutrients assets which were sold in the second quarter of 2010. Fertilizer margins improved when compared to the same period of 2009, but were partially offset by lower retail volumes resulting from lost sales opportunities and other disruptions as we adjust our footprint and business model following the sale of the Brazilian nutrients assets. Fertilizer segment EBIT benefited from our Moroccan fertilizer joint venture with OCP, which was in start-up last year. In addition, our Argentine business performed well in the quarter. Segment EBIT was also impacted by the foreign exchange translation of functional currency costs into U.S. dollars when compared with the third quarter of 2009.

Edible oil products Our edible oil products segment EBIT for the quarter declined from last year as a result of approximately \$27 million of impairment charges related to the write-down of an oilseed processing and refining facility in Europe as well as the closure of an edible oils facility which occurred as part of our plan to improve the efficiency of our European footprint. In addition, margins declined in Europe compared to the same period of 2009 as a result of higher raw material costs from a late sunseed harvest. These items were partially offset by higher margins in our Brazilian packaged oil and margarine businesses and increased volumes in Europe, primarily from our Raisio acquisition in 2009. Segment EBIT was also impacted by the foreign exchange translation of functional currency costs into U.S. dollars when compared with the third quarter of 2009.

Milling products Our milling products segment EBIT for third quarter of 2010 was stronger than the third quarter of 2009, primarily driven by our wheat milling business, which benefited from higher local selling prices and lower raw material costs, as much of our inventory was purchased prior to the rise in global wheat prices. Additionally, segment EBIT included \$6 million related to a gain on the sale of an idled wheat milling facility. Segment EBIT was also impacted by the foreign exchange translation of wheat milling functional currency costs into U.S. dollars when compared with the third quarter of 2009.

Segment Results

A summary of certain items in our condensed consolidated statements of income and volumes by reportable segment for the periods indicated is set forth below.

(USE in millions overat volumes	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,					
(US\$ in millions, except volumes and percentages)		2010		2009	Change		2010		2009	Change
Volumes (in thousands of metric tons):		2010		2009	Change		2010		2009	Change
Agribusiness		28,466		27,738	3%		82,801		85,959	(4)%
Sugar and Bioenergy		1,651		1,256	31%		6,202		4,265	45%
Fertilizer		1,768		3,814	(54)%		6,032		8,301	(27)%
Edible oil products		1,708		1,465	2%		4,427		4,241	4%
Milling products		1,493		1,403	2% 9%		3,564		3,334	4% 7%
Total		34,552		35,344	(2)%		103,026		106,100	(3)%
Net sales:		34,332		33,344	(2)%		103,020		100,100	(3)%
Agribusiness	\$	7,783	\$	7,453	4%	\$	21,834	\$	21,597	1%
Sugar and Bioenergy	Φ	1,153	Φ	680	70%	Ф	3,141	Φ	1,473	113%
Fertilizer		655		1,190	(45)%		1,995		2,730	(27)%
Edible oil products		1.664		1,190	6%		4,815		4,534	6%
Milling products		407		403	1%		1.196		1,156	3%
Total	\$	11,662	\$	11,298	3%	\$	32,981	\$	31,490	5%
Cost of goods sold:	ф	11,002	Ф	11,296	370	Ф	32,961	Ф	31,490	370
Agribusiness	\$	(7,341)	\$	(7,120)	3%	\$	(20,793)	\$	(20,564)	1%
Sugar and Bioenergy	Ф	(1,090)	Þ	(660)	5% 65%	Ф	(3,010)	Þ	(1,435)	110%
Fertilizer		(612)		(1,352)	(55)%		(1,902)		(3,297)	(42)%
Edible oil products		(1,558)		(1,460)	(33)% 7%		(4,524)		(4,260)	(42)% 6%
Milling products		(349)		(363)	(4)%		(4,324) $(1,070)$		(1,044)	2%
Total	\$	(10,950)	¢	(10,955)	-%	\$	` ' '	\$	(30,600)	2%
Gross profit:	Ф	(10,930)	\$	(10,933)	-%	ф	(31,299)	Ф	(30,000)	2%
Agribusiness	\$	442	\$	333	33%	\$	1.041	\$	1,033	1%
Sugar and Bioenergy	Φ	63	Φ	20	215%	Φ	131	Φ	38	245%
Fertilizer		43		(162)	n/m		93		(567)	n/m
Edible oil products		106		112	(5)%		291		274	6%
Milling products		58		40	45%		126		112	13%
Total	\$	712	\$	343	108%	\$	1,682	\$	890	89%
Selling, general and administrative	ф	/12	Ф	343	100%	Ф	1,062	Ф	690	09%
expenses:										
Agribusiness	\$	(185)	\$	(151)	23%	\$	(553)	\$	(491)	13%
Sugar and Bioenergy	Φ	(31)	Φ	(131)	158%	Ф	(96)	Φ	(26)	269%
Fertilizer		(43)		(76)	(43)%		(144)		(151)	(5)%
Edible oil products		(73)		(78)	(6)%		(246)		(211)	17%
Milling products		(25)		(32)	(22)%		(80)		(73)	10%
Total	\$	(357)	\$	(349)	2%	\$	(1,119)	\$	(952)	18%
1 Otal	φ	(331)	φ	(347)	270	φ	(1,119)	φ	(334)	10%

	Three Months Ended September 30,									
(US\$ in millions, except percentages)		2010		2009	Change		2010		2009	Change
Gain on sale of fertilizer nutrients	d.		Ф			ф	2.440	ф		1000
assets	\$	-	\$	-		\$	2,440	\$	-	100%
Foreign exchange gains (losses):	d	(2)	ф	107		ф	(15)	ф	224	
Agribusiness	\$	62	\$	107		\$	(15)	\$	224	
Sugar and Bioenergy		6		1			13		2	
Fertilizer		8		60			(17)		246	
Edible oil products		2		2			(2)		(1)	
Milling products	Φ.	(1)	ф	(1)		ф	(1)	ф	(1)	
Total	\$	77	\$	169		\$	(22)	\$	470	
Equity in earnings of affiliates:	_	_	_	_		_		_		
Agribusiness	\$	5	\$	2	150%	\$	12	\$	4	200%
Sugar and Bioenergy		(4)		(3)	33%		(6)		(11)	(45)%
Fertilizer		6		-	100%		9		1	800%
Edible oil products		-		-	-%		-		14	(100)%
Milling products		1		1	-%		2		3	(33)%
Total	\$	8	\$	-	100%	\$	17	\$	11	55%
Noncontrolling interest:										
Agribusiness	\$	(6)	\$	(6)		\$	(21)	\$	(20)	
Sugar and Bioenergy		-		4			6		8	
Fertilizer		-		54			(35)		36	
Edible oil products		1		(2)			(3)		(6)	
Milling products		-		-			-		-	
Total	\$	(5)	\$	50		\$	(53)	\$	18	
Other income (expense):										
Agribusiness	\$	(5)	\$	(1)		\$	(1)	\$	(3)	
Sugar and Bioenergy		-		-			(5)		2	
Fertilizer		-		(3)			(3)		(7)	
Edible oil products		(6)		1			(5)		(3)	
Milling products		6		(1)			6		(1)	
Total	\$	(5)	\$	(4)		\$	(8)	\$	(12)	
Loss on extinguishment of debt:										
Unallocated	\$	(90)	\$	-		\$	(90)	\$	-	
Segment earnings before interest										
and tax:										
Agribusiness	\$	313	\$	284	10%	\$	463	\$	747	(38)%
Sugar and Bioenergy		34		10	240%		43		13	230%
Fertilizer		14		(127)	n/m		2,343		(442)	n/m
Edible oil products		30		35	(14)%		35		67	(48)%
Milling products		39		7	457%		53		40	33%
Unallocated		(90)		-	100%		(90)		-	100%
Total (1)	\$	340	\$	209	63%	\$	2,847	\$	425	570%
Depreciation, depletion and							,-			
amortization:										
Agribusiness	\$	(44)	\$	(44)	-%	\$	(135)	\$	(130)	4%
Sugar and Bioenergy	-	(33)	-	(6)	450%	7	(79)	-	(11)	618%
Fertilizer		(9)		(40)	(78)%		(33)		(106)	(69)%
Edible oil products		(18)		(18)	-%		(58)		(52)	12%
Milling products		(7)		(11)	(36)%		(21)		(20)	5%
Total	\$	(111)	\$	(119)	(7)%	\$	(326)	\$	(319)	2%
	7	()	+	(/	(,,,,,	+	(520)	47	(5.7)	-,5

(1) Total segment earnings before interest and tax (EBIT) is an operating performance measure used by Bunge s management to evaluate its segments operating activities. Total segment EBIT is a non-GAAP financial measure and is not intended to replace net income (loss), the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure. Bunge s management believes total segment EBIT is a useful measure of its segments operating profitability, since the measure reflects equity in earnings of affiliates and noncontrolling interest and excludes income tax. Income tax is excluded as Bunge s management believes income tax is not material to the operating performance of its segments. In addition, interest income and expense have become less meaningful to the segments operating activities. Total segment EBIT is not a measure of consolidated operating results under U.S. GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative to net income or any other measure of consolidated operating results under U.S. GAAP.

A reconciliation of total segment EBIT to net income follows:

	Three Mo	onths End mber 30,	led		nths Ended nber 30,		
(US\$ in millions)	2010		2009		2010	2	2009
Reconciliation of total segment earnings before interest and tax:							
Total segment EBIT	\$ 340	\$	209	\$	2,847	\$	425
Interest income	20		20		62		96
Interest expense	(62)		(79)		(241)		(212)
Income tax (expense) benefit	(97)		97		(648)		52
Noncontrolling share of interest and tax	11		(15)		33		(11)
Net income attributable to Bunge	\$ 212	\$	232	\$	2,053	\$	350

Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2009

Agribusiness Segment. Agribusiness segment net sales increased by 4% due to the combination of a 3% increase in volumes and higher average selling prices for agricultural commodities, primarily corn and wheat, attributable to stronger global demand for these commodities and products when compared to the third quarter of 2009. Volume increases also related to tight soybean supplies in South America from the prior harvest and slow farmer selling of agricultural commodities in the third quarter of 2009.

Cost of goods sold increased 3% primarily due to the increase in soybean volumes as well as impairment and restructuring charges of \$22 million primarily related to the write-down of a European oilseed processing and refining facility. Cost of goods sold also increased as a result of the impact of the stronger Brazilian *real* on the mark-to-market valuation of readily marketable commodity inventories in Brazil at market prices linked to the U.S. dollar.

Gross profit increased 33% as a result of stronger grain origination and merchandising margins when compared with the third quarter of 2009 when tight South American supplies and slow farmer selling, primarily in Brazil, impacted South American margins. Improved grain origination and merchandising margins were partially offset by lower oilseed processing margins. In addition, risk management performed well in the quarter.

SG&A expenses increased 23% largely due to the higher employee related costs and to the foreign exchange translation of functional currency costs into U.S. dollars when compared to the same period of 2009.

Foreign exchange gains in the third quarters of 2010 and 2009 related primarily to the volatility of the Brazilian *real* during both periods. Foreign exchange gains for both periods were substantially offset by inventory mark-to-market adjustments, which are included in cost of goods sold.

Equity in earnings of affiliates increased to income of \$5 million in the third quarter of 2010 from \$2 million in the same quarter of 2009 due to improved results in Solae, our North American soy-ingredients investment, and our bio-diesel investments in Europe and South America.

Noncontrolling interest was \$(6) million in the third quarters of 2010 and 2009 and represents the noncontrolling interest share of period income, primarily in our European operations.

Segment EBIT increased by \$29 million to \$313 million in the third quarter of 2010 from \$284 million in the third quarter of 2009 largely due to higher gross profit, partially offset by higher SG&A expenses and lower foreign exchange gains.

Sugar and Bioenergy Segment. Sugar and Bioenergy segment net sales increased 70% when compared to the third quarter of 2009 primarily due to the expansion of our sugar and ethanol production activities driven by our 2010 acquisition of the Moema mills. Volumes increased 31%, mainly from this growth in our sugar industrial business.

Cost of goods sold increased 65% due to the increase in our industrial activities. In addition, cost of goods sold included start-up costs related to construction and expansion at certain of our other mills, as well as a mark-to-market loss of \$26 million primarily related to derivatives hedging of a portion of our sugar production for 2011.

Gross profit increased to \$63 million in the third quarter of 2010 from \$20 million in the comparable period of 2009 primarily as a result of the increased volumes and improved margins in our sugar industrial business driven by the acquisition of the Moema mills. Risk management also performed well in the quarter.

SG&A expenses increased to \$31 million in the third quarter of 2010 from \$12 million in the comparable period of 2009 primarily due to the addition of the Moema mills and the expansion of our industrial operations.

Foreign exchange gains in the third quarters of 2010 and 2009 resulted primarily from continued volatility of the Brazilian real.

Equity in earnings of affiliates was a loss of \$4 million in the third quarter of 2010 compared to a loss of \$3 million in the same quarter of 2009 due to weaker results at our North American bioenergy investments.

Noncontrolling interest was negligible in the third quarter of 2010 and \$4 million in the same quarter of 2009 and represents the noncontrolling interest share of period loss at our non-wholly owned Brazilian sugar cane mills.

Segment EBIT increased by \$24 million to \$34 million from \$10 million in the third quarter of 2009 largely due to the acquisition of the Moema mills and increased profits from physical sugar trading activities. Gross profit improvements were partially offset by higher SG&A expenses during the third quarter of 2010.

Fertilizer Segment. Fertilizer segment net sales declined 45% for the third quarter of 2010 when compared to the third quarter of 2009 primarily due to lower sales volumes in our retail operations and the sale of our Brazilian nutrients assets in the second quarter of 2010. Volumes declined 54% compared to the same period last year due primarily to lost sales opportunities and other disruptions as we adjust our footprint and business model following the sale of the Brazilian nutrients assets.

Cost of goods sold decreased 55% primarily as a result of lower volumes and raw material costs compared to the third quarter of 2009, when our inventory costs were high in a declining local price environment.

Gross profit increased to \$43 million in the third quarter of 2010 from a loss of \$162 million in the comparable period of 2009. The increase in gross profit is primarily a result of lower raw material costs compared with the mismatched high inventory costs and declining local product prices of the comparable period of 2009.

SG&A decreased to \$43 million in the third quarter of 2010 from \$76 million in the comparable period of 2009 primarily as a result of lower bad debt expenses and a reduction of costs as a result of the sale of the Brazilian nutrients assets, including Fosfertil, in the second quarter of 2010.

Foreign exchange gains decreased by \$52 million to a gain of \$8 million in the third quarter of 2010 primarily driven by lower U.S. dollar monetary liability positions funding working capital during the third quarter of 2010 when compared to the third quarter of 2009.

Equity in earnings of affiliates increased by \$6 million compared to the third quarter of 2009 due to improved results in our Moroccan phosphate joint venture which was in start-up operations in 2009.

37

There was no noncontrolling interest in the third quarter of 2010. In 2009, noncontrolling interest was \$54 million which was the noncontrolling interest share of period loss at Fosfertil. Our entire interest in Fosfertil was disposed of as part of the Brazilian nutrients assets sale in the second quarter of 2010.

Segment EBIT increased to \$14 million as a result of higher 2010 gross profit compared with losses in the same period of 2009 from high inventory costs in a declining local price environment, and from lower SG&A expenses. The gross profit improvement was partially offset by lower foreign exchange gains.

Edible Oil Products Segment. Edible oil products segment net sales increased 6% compared to the third quarter of 2009 primarily driven by higher selling prices for edible oil products in Brazil, as well as higher volumes in Europe resulting from the Raisio acquisition which was completed in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Cost of goods sold increased 7% primarily due to increased volumes, which was partially offset by lower raw material costs, primarily in Brazil. In addition, the third quarter of 2010 includes impairment charges of approximately \$27 million, primarily related to the write-down of a European oilseed processing and refining facility and the closure of an edible oil products facility in connection with our plans to improve the efficiency of our European footprint.

Gross profit decreased 5% primarily due to the \$27 million of impairment charges recorded in the third quarter of 2010.

SG&A expenses decreased 6% primarily as a result of lower marketing and employee costs, primarily in Brazil and Europe.

Foreign exchange results in the third quarters of 2010 and 2009 were gains of \$2 million in both periods.

Noncontrolling interest of \$1 million in the third quarter of 2010 and \$(2) million in the same quarter of 2009 represented the noncontrolling interest share of period loss (income), primarily in our European operations.

Segment EBIT decreased 14% as a result of lower gross profit driven by impairment charges of \$27 million recorded in the third quarter of 2010.

Milling Products Segment. Milling products segment net sales increased 1% primarily due to higher average selling prices in wheat milling, largely from increased global wheat prices resulting from the drought related crop shortages in Eastern Europe. In addition, volumes increased 9% driven by increased wheat milling volumes.

Cost of goods sold decreased 4% despite the increase of 9% in volumes	s primarily as a result of lower raw material costs driven by wheat milling
as inventories were purchased in advance of the rise in global wheat pri	ices.

Gross profit increased by 45% compared with the third quarter of 2009 primarily due to the combination of higher average selling prices of wheat and related products and lower cost wheat inventories.

SG&A expenses decreased 22% during the third quarter of 2010 when compared to the third quarter of 2009. The third quarter of 2009 included additional amortization expenses related to wheat milling in Brazil.

Other income of \$6 million in the third quarter of 2010 primarily related to a gain on the sale of a wheat milling facility.

Segment EBIT increased 457%, primarily as a result of the combination of higher local selling prices for wheat milling products and low inventory costs related to inventory purchases made before the rise in global wheat prices, which significantly improved gross profit. In addition, SG&A expenses declined when compared to the third quarter of 2009.

Loss on Extinguishment of Debt. In the quarter ended September 30, 2010, we recorded an expense of approximately \$90 million, primarily related to make-whole payments made in connection with the early repayment of approximately \$827 million of debt with a portion of the proceeds from the sale of the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets.

Interest. A summary of consolidated interest income and expense for the periods indicated follows:

	Three Months Ended						
	September 30,						
(US\$ in millions, except percentages)	2010		2009	Change			
Interest income	\$ 20	\$	20	-%			
Interest expense	(62)		(79)	22%			

Interest income was unchanged as higher income from interest bearing receivables was offset by lower average interest bearing cash balances. Interest expense decreased 22% due to the repayment of certain long term debt with higher interest rates than the overall portfolio.

Income Tax (Expense) Benefit. In the quarter ended September 30, 2010, we recorded an income tax expense of \$97 million compared to an income tax benefit of \$97 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2009. The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30 2010 was 33%, compared to a benefit of 97% for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The higher effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2010 resulted primarily from the 63% increase in total segment EBIT compared to the same period last year and the impact of foreign exchange gains of approximately \$197 million related to permanently invested intercompany loans in Brazil on the effective tax rate. These gains are taxable locally and related income tax expense was recorded in the quarter ended September 30, 2010. The 97% benefit for the three months ended September 30, 2009 resulted primarily from a combination of losses in our Brazilian fertilizer operations altering the mix of earnings among tax jurisdictions and tax benefits of approximately \$25 million primarily related to the reversal of a valuation allowance at a European subsidiary and the receipt of a favorable ruling in Brazil regarding an uncertain tax position.

Included in our full year effective tax rate forecast was the \$539 million of tax reported on the gain of the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets sale that occurred in the second quarter of 2010. Also included in our full year effective tax rate forecast was approximately \$23 million of tax expense related to the new thin capitalization tax legislation that was enacted in Brazil in September 2010, which denies income tax deductions for interest payments with respect to certain debt to the extent a company s debt to equity ratio exceeds a certain threshold or the debt is with related parties located in a tax haven jurisdiction as defined under the law.

Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Bunge. For the quarter ended September 30, 2010, net income attributable to Bunge decreased by \$20 million to net income of \$212 million, from \$232 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2009. This resulted from the \$90 million loss on debt extinguishment in the third quarter of 2010.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009

Agribusiness Segment. Agribusiness segment net sales increased by 1% due to an increase in average selling prices for agricultural commodities attributable to stronger global demand. The impact of increased prices was largely offset by lower volumes in our oilseed processing and grain merchandising businesses when compared to the first nine months of 2009. Volumes decreased 4% primarily as a result of tight South American soybean supplies from the prior harvest and slow farmer selling of agricultural commodities in South America and to a lesser extent, Eastern Europe.

Cost of goods sold in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased 1% due primarily to higher average market prices for agricultural commodity raw materials, which were partially offset by lower volumes. In addition, impairment and restructuring costs of \$37 million were recorded in cost of goods sold in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 primarily related to the write-down of a European oilseed processing and refining facility and the closure of an older, less efficient oilseed processing facility in the United States.

Gross profit increased 1% as a result of stronger grain merchandising margins and volumes in the third quarter of 2010. These increased margins and volumes more than offset the weaker grain merchandising margins and volumes experienced in the first half of 2010, which were impacted by slow farmer selling in South America. Oilseed processing margins were weaker than last year for most of the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

SG&A expenses increased 13% primarily due to the higher employee related costs when compared to the same period of 2009 and to the unfavorable impact of a weaker average U.S. dollar on functional currency costs when translated into U.S. dollars. Approximately \$4 million of restructuring charges related to the consolidation of our Brazilian operations were recorded during the second quarter of 2010.

Foreign exchange losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and gains for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 related primarily to the volatility of the Brazilian *real* during both periods. Foreign exchange losses in 2010 and gains in 2009 were substantially offset by inventory mark-to-market adjustments, which are included in cost of goods sold.

Equity in earnings of affiliates increased to income of \$12 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 from \$4 million in the comparable period of 2009 due to improved results in Solae, our North American soy-ingredients investment, and our bio-diesel investments in Europe and South America.

Noncontrolling interest of \$(21) million and \$(20) million, respectively in the first nine months of 2010 and 2009 represents the noncontrolling interest share of period income, primarily in our European operations.

Segment EBIT decreased by \$284 million to \$463 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 from \$747 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009 largely due to foreign exchange losses of \$15 million in 2010 compared with gains of \$224 million in 2009. Higher SG&A expenses further reduced agribusiness segment EBIT.

Sugar and Bioenergy Segment. Sugar and Bioenergy segment net sales increased 113% when compared to the first nine months of 2009 largely due to the expansion of our sugar and ethanol production business in Brazil, driven by our 2010 acquisition of the Moema mills. Volumes increased 45% driven by the Moema acquisition and increased sugar trading and merchandising volumes.

Cost of goods sold increased 110% due to an increase in our industrial activities primarily driven by the acquisition of the Moema mills. In addition, cost of goods sold included start up costs related to construction and expansion at certain of our other mills and a mark-to-market loss of \$26 million primarily related to derivatives hedging of a portion of our sugar production for 2011.

Gross profit increased to \$131 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 from \$38 million in the comparable period of 2009 primarily as a result of our increased sugar industrial business driven by the acquisition of the Moema mills. Commercial and risk management strategies also worked well during the period.

SG&A expenses increased to \$96 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 from \$26 million in the comparable period of 2009 primarily due to the addition of the Moema mills and additional industrial expansion activities.

Foreign exchange gains in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 resulted primarily from continued volatility of the Brazilian *real*.

Equity in earnings of affiliates was a loss of \$6 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to a loss of \$11 million in the same period of 2009 and represents results of our North American bioenergy investments.

Noncontrolling interest of \$6 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and \$8 million in the comparable period of 2009 was the noncontrolling interest share of period loss at our non-wholly owned Brazilian sugar cane mills.

Segment EBIT for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased by \$30 million to \$43 million from \$13 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009 primarily due to the expansion of our industrial activities in Brazil and continued growth of our trading and merchandising business.

Fertilizer Segment. Fertilizer segment net sales declined 27% during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 when compared to the comparable period of 2009 primarily due to the sale of our Brazilian nutrients assets, including our interest in Fosfertil, in the second quarter of 2010. Volumes declined 27% compared to volumes in the same period last year due primarily to lost sales opportunities and other disruptions as we adjust our footprint and business model following the sale of the Brazilian nutrients assets in the second quarter of 2010.

Cost of goods sold decreased 42% primarily as a result of lower volumes and raw material costs compared to the first nine months of 2009 when our inventory costs were high in a declining local price environment.

Gross profit increased to \$93 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 from a loss of \$567 million in the comparable period of 2009. Gross profit in 2010 reflects more normalized fertilizer market conditions compared to the same period of 2009, where fertilizer prices had risen to historical highs at the end of 2008 and then declined continuously throughout the reported period.

SG&A decreased to \$144 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 from \$151 million in the comparable period of 2009 primarily as a result of the elimination of certain costs associated with the Brazilian nutrients assets, including Fosfertil, which we sold in the second quarter of 2010. Bad debt expense also decreased during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared with the same period of 2009.

Gain on sale of fertilizer nutrients assets was \$2,440 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The disposal of our Brazilian nutrients assets including our investment in Fosfertil and Fosbrasil, our

phosphoric acid joint venture, was completed during the second quarter of 2010. The gain has been reported net of approximately \$152 million of transaction related costs.

Foreign exchange losses of \$17 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 decreased from a gain of \$246 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, primarily driven by lower U.S. dollar monetary liability positions funding working capital during 2010 when compared to 2009.

Equity in earnings of affiliates increased by \$8 million to \$9 million when compared to the same period of 2009 due to improved results in our Moroccan phosphate joint venture which was in start up in 2009.

Noncontrolling interest was \$(35) million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, which was the noncontrolling interest share of period income at Fosfertil. In 2009 noncontrolling interest was \$36 million, which was the noncontrolling interest share of period losses at Fosfertil. Our entire investment in Fosfertil was disposed of as part of the Brazilian nutrients asset sale in the second quarter of 2010.

Segment EBIT increased to \$2,343 million as a result of the gain on the sale of the Brazilian nutrients assets and improved gross profit when compared to losses of \$442 million in the first nine months of 2009 when results were impacted by high inventory costs in a declining local price environment.

Edible Oil Products Segment. Edible oil products segment net sales increased 6% in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 primarily driven by higher selling prices for edible oil products in Brazil, as well as higher volumes in Europe resulting from the Raisio acquisition which was completed in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Cost of goods sold increased 6% primarily due to increased volumes, which was partially offset by lower raw material costs, primarily in Brazil. In addition, the first nine months of 2010 includes impairment and restructuring charges of approximately \$30 million, primarily related to the write-down of a European oilseed processing and refining facility and the closure of an edible oil products facility in connection with our plans to improve the efficiency of our European footprint.

Gross profit increased 6% primarily due to stronger margarine margins, primarily in Brazil and to the Raisio acquisition in the fourth quarter of 2009. These were partially offset by \$30 million of impairment and restructuring charges recorded in the first nine months of 2010.

SG&A expenses increased 17% primarily as a result of the foreign exchange translation of functional currency costs into U.S. dollars when compared to the same period of 2009.

Foreign exchange results for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were losses of \$2 million and \$1 million, respectively.

Equity in earnings of affiliates in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was zero compared to \$14 million in nine months ended September 30, 2009 which included earnings from Saipol SAS, a joint venture that was sold during the fourth quarter of 2009.

Noncontrolling interest of \$(3) million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and \$(6) million in the comparable period of 2009 was the noncontrolling interest share of period income, primarily in our European operations.

Segment EBIT decreased to 48% primarily as a result of impairment and restructuring charges of \$30 million recorded in the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Milling Products Segment. Milling products segment net sales increased 3% primarily due to higher average selling prices in the third quarter of 2010 in wheat milling as global wheat prices increased due to drought related crop shortages in Eastern Europe. In addition, volumes increased 7% primarily driven by increases in wheat milling volumes.

Cost of goods sold increased 2% as a result of the increase of 7% in volume. This was largely offset by lower raw material costs as wheat milling inventories were purchased prior to the rise in global wheat prices.

Gross profit increased by 13% in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily due to the combination of higher average selling prices of wheat and related products and lower cost wheat inventories.

SG&A expenses increased 10% during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 when compared to the comparable period of 2009 primarily due to \$3 million of restructuring expenses related to the reorganization of our Brazilian operations and the unfavorable impact of a stronger Brazilian *real* on wheat milling functional currency expenses when translated into U.S. dollars.

Other income of \$6 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 primarily related to a gain on the sale of a wheat milling facility.

Segment EBIT increased 33% primarily as a result of improved gross profit due to higher average selling prices of wheat and related products and lower cost wheat inventories when compared to the first nine months of 2009.

Loss on Extinguishment of Debt. In the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we recorded an expense of approximately \$90 million, primarily related to make-whole payments made in connection with the early repayment of approximately \$827 million of debt with a portion of the proceeds from the sale of the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets.

Interest. A summary of consolidated interest income and expense for the periods indicated follows:

	Nine Months Ended September 30.						
(US\$ in millions, except percentages)		2010		2009	Change		
Interest income	\$	62	\$	96	(35)%		
Interest expense		(241)		(212)	14%		

Interest income decreased 35% primarily due to lower average interest bearing cash balances and lower average interest rates. Interest expense increased 14% primarily due to debt acquired as part of the Moema acquisition which increased average borrowing rates as well as average borrowings when compared to the first nine months of 2009.

Income Tax Expense. In the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we recorded an income tax expense of \$648 million compared to an income tax benefit of \$52 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was 24%, compared to a benefit of 19% for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The higher effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 resulted primarily from the 570% increase in total segment EBIT, including the gain from the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets sale that occurred in the second quarter of 2010. The 19% benefit for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 resulted primarily from a combination of losses in our Brazilian fertilizer operations altering the mix of earnings among tax jurisdictions and tax benefits of approximately \$25 million primarily related to the reversal of a valuation allowance at a European subsidiary and the receipt of a favorable ruling in Brazil regarding an uncertain tax position.

Included in our full year effective tax rate forecast for 2010 was the \$539 million of tax reported on the gain of the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets sale that occurred in the second quarter of 2010 and approximately \$151 million of foreign exchange gains related to permanently invested intercompany loans in Brazil as these gains are taxable locally. Also included in our full year effective tax rate forecast for 2010 was \$23 million of tax expense related to the new thin capitalization tax legislation that was enacted in Brazil in September 2010, which denies income tax deductions for interest payments with respect to certain debt to the extent a company s debt to equity ratio exceeds a certain threshold or the debt is with related parties located in a tax haven jurisdiction as defined under the law.

Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Bunge. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, net income attributable to Bunge increased by \$1,703 million to net income of \$2,053 million from \$350 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was primarily the result of the gain on the sale of the Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets in the second quarter of 2010.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity.

Our primary financial objective is to maintain sufficient liquidity, balance sheet strength and financial flexibility in order to fund the requirements of our business efficiently. We generally finance our ongoing operations with cash flows generated from operations, issuance of commercial paper, borrowings under various revolving credit facilities and, to a lesser extent, term loans, as well as proceeds from the issuance of senior notes. Acquisitions and long-lived assets are generally financed with a combination of equity and long-term debt.

Our current ratio, which is a widely used measure of liquidity, defined as current assets divided by current liabilities was 1.74 and 1.90 at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

Cash and Cash Equivalents Cash and cash equivalents were \$350 million at September 30, 2010 and \$553 million at December 31, 2009. Cash balances are managed in accordance with our investment policy, the objectives of which are to preserve capital, maximize liquidity and provide appropriate returns. Under our policy, cash balances have been primarily invested in bank time deposits with highly-rated financial institutions and U.S. government securities. We received net cash proceeds of \$3.5 billion from the sale of our Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets in the second quarter of 2010. Through September 30, 2010, these funds have been applied to repay short- and long-term debt in the amount of approximately \$1.5 billion and to repurchase approximately \$354 million of our common shares under our share repurchase program. The remaining proceeds have been primarily used to fund working capital needs due to higher commodity prices.

Readily Marketable Inventories Readily marketable inventories are agricultural commodity inventories such as soybeans, soybean meal, soybean oil, corn, wheat and sugar that are readily convertible to cash because of their commodity characteristics, widely available markets and international pricing mechanisms. Readily marketable inventories in our agribusiness segment were \$3,803 million and \$3,197 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Of these agribusiness readily marketable inventories, \$3,617 million and \$3,197 million were at fair value at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The sugar and bioenergy segment included readily marketable sugar inventories of \$140 million and \$21 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Of these readily marketable sugar inventories, \$116 million and \$21 million were inventories carried at fair value at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, in our trading and merchandising business. Sugar inventories in our industrial production business are readily marketable, but are carried at lower of cost or market. Readily marketable inventories at fair value in the aggregate amount of \$180 million and \$162 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, were included in our edible oil products and milling products segment inventories.

We recorded interest expense on debt financing readily marketable inventories of \$56 million and \$62 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Financing Arrangements and Outstanding Indebtedness We conduct most of our financing activities through a centralized financing structure that enables us and our subsidiaries to borrow more efficiently. This structure includes a master trust facility, the primary assets of which consist of intercompany loans made to Bunge Limited and its subsidiaries. Certain of Bunge Limited s 100%-owned finance subsidiaries fund the master trust with long- and short-term debt obtained from third parties, including through our commercial paper program and certain credit facilities, as well as the issuance of senior notes. Borrowings by these finance subsidiaries carry full, unconditional guarantees by Bunge Limited.

<u>Revolving Credit Facilities</u>. At September 30, 2010, we had approximately \$2,807 million of aggregate committed borrowing capacity under our commercial paper program and revolving credit facilities, of which \$2,592 million was unused and available. The following table summarizes these facilities as of the periods presented:

			Гotal				
		Ava	ilability	Borrowings Outstanding			
Commercial Paper Program and Revolving		Septe	ember 30,	Septer	nber 30,	Decem	ber 31,
Credit Facilities	Maturities	_ 2	2010	20	010	20	09
				(US\$ in	millions)		
Commercial Paper	2012	\$	575	\$	215	\$	-
Long-Term Revolving Credit Facilities (1)	2011-2012		2,232		-		-
Total		\$	2,807	\$	215	\$	_

(1) Borrowings under the revolving credit facilities that have maturities greater than one year from the date of the consolidated balance sheets are classified as long-term debt, consistent with the long-term maturity of the underlying facilities. However, individual borrowings under the revolving credit facilities are generally short-term in nature, bear interest at variable rates and can be repaid or renewed as each such individual borrowing matures.

Our commercial paper program is supported by committed back-up bank credit lines (the liquidity facility) equal to the amount of the commercial paper program provided by lending institutions that are rated at least A-1 by Standard & Poors and P-1 by Moody s Investors Services. The liquidity facility, which matures in June 2012, permits Bunge, at its option, to set up direct borrowings or issue commercial paper in an aggregate amount of up to \$575 million. The cost of borrowing under the liquidity facility would typically be higher than the cost of

borrowing under our commercial paper program. At September 30, 2010, \$215 million was outstanding under the commercial paper program.

In addition to the committed facilities discussed above, from time to time, we enter into uncommitted short-term credit lines as necessary based on our liquidity requirements. At September 30, 2010, \$50 million was outstanding under these uncommitted short-term credit lines. At December 31, 2009, there were no borrowings outstanding under these uncommitted short-term credit lines.

Short- and Long-Term Debt. Our short- and long-term debt decreased by \$465 million at September 30, 2010 from December 31, 2009, due to debt repayments made with proceeds received from the sale of our Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets, partially offset by \$555 million of debt assumed in the Moema acquisition, which closed in the first quarter of 2010. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we repaid approximately \$496 million of the debt assumed in the Moema acquisition. In addition, we provided funding of \$161 million to the Moema sellers for repayment of certain of Moema s debt obligations prior to the acquisition closing date (this amount was in addition to the \$496 million of debt repaid post-closing).

For the three months ended September 30, 2010, our average short-and long-term debt outstanding was approximately \$3.3 billion compared to \$3.4 billion at September 30, 2010 due primarily to rising commodity prices during the quarter. Generally, our borrowings increase at times of rising commodity prices as we borrow to acquire inventory and fund margin calls on our short futures positions hedging physical inventories.

Our syndicated \$645 million, 364-day revolving credit agreement with a number of lending institutions expired in accordance with its terms on June 2, 2010, at which time no borrowings were outstanding under such revolving credit facility.

We may from time to time seek to retire or purchase our outstanding debt in open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. Such repurchases, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors. The following table summarizes the debt repayments made using proceeds from the sale of our Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets:

(US\$ in millions)	Aggregate Pr Amoun	•		Aggregate Repayment Amount	Repayment Period
7.44% Guaranteed Senior Notes, Series C, due 2012	\$	351	\$	406	July 2010
7.80% Senior Notes, due 2012		198		230	July 2010
Term Loan, fixed interest rate of 4.33%, due 2011		250		260	July 2010
Other		632		662	May-July 2010
Total	\$	1,431	:	1,558	

On July 1, 2010, we redeemed in full our 7.44% Senior Guaranteed Notes, Series C, due September 30, 2012 (the Senior Guaranteed Notes), which had a principal amount outstanding of \$351 million. The redemption price of the Senior Guaranteed Notes consisted of the principal amount of the Senior Guaranteed Notes, accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date and a make-whole amount as provided in the Note Purchase and Guarantee Agreement, dated as of September 25, 2002, governing the Senior Guaranteed Notes. The aggregate amount paid to the holders of the Senior Guaranteed Notes upon redemption was \$406 million, of which \$48 million related to the make-whole payment and \$7 million to accrued and unpaid interest. Also in July 2010, in connection with this debt redemption, we recorded a loss of \$4 million related to the non-cash write-off of unamortized debt issuance costs and unamortized losses on treasury rate lock contracts.

On July 14, 2010, we redeemed in full our 7.8% Senior Notes due 2012 (the Senior Notes), which had a principal amount outstanding of \$198 million. The redemption price of the Senior Notes consisted of the aggregate principal amount of the Senior Notes, accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date and a make-whole amount as provided in the Indenture. The aggregate amount paid to the holders of the Senior Notes on July 14, 2010 was \$230 million, of which \$28 million related to the make-whole payment and \$4 million to accrued and unpaid interest. Also in July 2010, in connection with this debt redemption, we recorded a loss of \$2 million related to the non-cash write-off of unamortized debt discount and issuance costs and unamortized losses on treasury rate lock contracts. Prior to the redemption of these notes in July 2010, we repurchased and canceled approximately \$2 million of this series of notes in February 2010.

44

On July 7, 2010, we repaid in full a \$250 million syndicated term loan due 2011. The repayment amount of the syndicated term loan consisted of the aggregate principal amount, accrued and unpaid interest to the repayment date and a make-whole amount as provided in the related loan agreement. The aggregate amount paid to the participant banks in the syndicated term loan was \$260 million, of which \$6 million related to the make-whole payment and \$4 million to accrued and unpaid interest.

On July 19, 2010, one of our subsidiaries redeemed certain senior notes issued by it in full, which had an aggregate principal amount of \$28 million. The redemption price of the senior notes consisted of the aggregate principal amount of the senior notes, accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date and a make-whole amount as provided in the note agreement governing the senior notes. The aggregate amount paid to the holders of the senior notes was \$35 million, of which \$7 million related to the make-whole payment. There were no unamortized financing costs related to this debt.

In January 2010, we adopted an FASB issued standard that resulted in amounts outstanding under our securitization programs being accounted for as secured borrowings and reflected as short-term debt on our condensed consolidated balance sheet. See Note 2 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this quarterly report for further information. As a result of this change in accounting standards, we have reduced our utilization of and either terminated or allowed certain of these programs to expire. We have not and do not expect to experience any material liquidity constraints as a result of the termination of these facilities. We are evaluating whether to enter into new securitization programs in the future.

The following table summarizes our short- and long-term indebtedness at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

	September 30,	December 31,
(US\$ in millions)	2010	2009
Short-term debt:		
Short-term debt (1)	\$ 483	\$ 166
Current portion of long-term debt	489	31
Total short-term debt	972	197
Long-term debt (2):		
Term loans due 2011 LIBOR (3) plus 1.25% to 1.75%	475	475
Term loan due 2011 fixed interest rate of 4.33%	-	250
Japanese Yen term loan due 2011 Yen LIBOR (4) plus 1.40%	120	108
7.44% Senior Notes, Series C, due 2012	-	351
7.80% Senior Notes due 2012	-	200
5.875% Senior Notes due 2013	300	300
5.35% Senior Notes due 2014	500	500
5.10% Senior Notes due 2015	382	382
5.90% Senior Notes due 2017	250	250
8.50% Senior Notes due 2019	600	600
BNDES (5) loans, variable interest rate indexed to TJLP (6) plus 3.20% to 4.50% and URTJLP (7) plus		
6.00% to 12.50% payable through 2016	123	106
Others	117	127
Subtotal	2,867	3,649
Less: Current portion of long-term debt	(489)	(31)
Total long-term debt	2,378	3,618
Total debt	\$ 3,350	\$ 3,815

⁽¹⁾ Includes secured debt of \$14 million at September 30, 2010.

(3) One-, three- and six-month LIBORs at September 30, 2010 were 0.26%, 0.29% and 0.46% per annum, respectively, and at December 31, 2009 were 0.23%, 0.25% and 0.43% per annum, respectively.

Includes secured debt of \$128 million and \$98 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

Three-month Yen LIBOR at September 30, 2010 was 0.22% per annum and at December 31, 2009 was 0.28% per annum.

(2)

(4)

- (5) Industrial development loans provided by BNDES, an agency of the Brazilian government.
- (6) TJLP is a long-term interest rate published by the BNDES on a quarterly basis; TJLP as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 was 6.00% and 6.13% per annum, respectively.
- (7) URTJLP is a long-term interest rate derived from the TJLP interest rate published by BNDES on a quarterly basis; URTJLP as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 was TJLP minus 6.00% per annum for both periods.

Credit Ratings. In June 2010, Standard & Poor s Ratings Services (S&P), which had placed Bunge s credit ratings on CreditWatch with negative implications in October 2009, removed the CreditWatch and affirmed its BBB- corporate credit rating on Bunge with a negative outlook. In May 2010, Moody s Investors Service affirmed its Baa2 corporate credit ratings on Bunge and changed the rating outlook to stable from negative. In August 2010, Fitch Ratings revised the outlook on our unsecured guaranteed senior notes to BBB (negative outlook) from BBB (stable outlook). Our debt agreements do not have any credit rating downgrade triggers that would accelerate the maturity of our debt. However, credit rating downgrades would increase our borrowing costs under our credit facilities and, depending on their severity, could impede our ability to obtain credit facilities or access the capital markets in the future on favorable terms. We may also be required to post collateral or provide third-party credit support under certain agreements as a result of such downgrades. A significant increase in our borrowing costs could impair our ability to compete effectively in our business relative to competitors with higher credit ratings.

Our credit facilities and certain senior notes require us to comply with specified financial covenants, including minimum net worth, minimum current ratio, a maximum debt to capitalization ratio and limitations on indebtedness at subsidiaries. We were in compliance with these covenants as of September 30, 2010.

Interest Rate Swap Agreements. We use interest rate swaps as hedging instruments and record the swaps at fair value in the condensed consolidated balance sheets with changes in fair value recorded contemporaneously in earnings. Additionally, the carrying amount of the associated debt is adjusted through earnings for changes in the fair value due to changes in benchmark interest rates. Ineffectiveness, as defined in a FASB issued standard, is recognized to the extent that these two adjustments do not offset.

On July 7, 2010, we discontinued the hedge relationship between the \$250 million term loan due 2011, which was repaid the same day, and the interest rate swap with a notional value of \$250 million which had been hedging that exposure.

The following table summarizes the fair value of our outstanding interest rate swap agreement as of September 30, 2010. The interest rate differential, which settles semi-annually, is recorded as an adjustment to interest expense.

			Fair Valu	ie
			Gain	
	\mathbf{M}	aturity	September 30,	
(US\$ in millions)		2011	2010	
Interest rate swap agreements notional amount	\$	250	\$	4
Weighted average variable rate payable (1)		1.18%		

Weighted average fixed rate receivable	4.33%

(1) Interest is payable in arrears based on the average daily effective Federal Funds rate prevailing during the respective period plus a spread.

The following table summarizes our outstanding interest rate basis swap agreements as of September 30, 2010. These interest rate basis swap agreements do not qualify for hedge accounting and therefore we have not designated these interest rate basis swap agreements as hedge instruments. As a result, changes in fair value of the interest rate basis swap agreements are recorded as an adjustment to earnings.

46

	Fair Valu Maturity Loss September					
(US\$ in millions)	201	2010				
Interest rate basis swap agreements notional amount	\$	375	\$	(1)		
Weighted average rate payable (1)		0.61%				
Weighted average rate receivable (2)		0.26%				

- (1) Interest is payable in arrears based on the average daily effective Federal Funds rate prevailing during the respective period plus a spread.
- (2) Interest is receivable in arrears based on one-month U.S. dollar LIBOR.

In addition, we have cross currency interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional principal amount of 10 billion Japanese Yen maturing in 2011 for the purpose of managing our currency exposure associated with our 10 billion Japanese Yen term loan due 2011. Under the terms of the cross currency interest rate swap agreements, we make U.S. dollar payments based on three-month U.S. dollar LIBOR and receive payments based on three-month Yen LIBOR. We have accounted for these cross currency interest rate swap agreements as fair value hedges. At September 30, 2010, the fair value of the cross-currency interest rate swap agreement was a gain of \$19 million.

Shareholders Equity.

Total equity was \$12,135 million at September 30, 2010, as set forth in the following table:

(US\$ in millions)	September 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
Shareholders equity:				
Mandatory convertible preference shares	\$	863	\$ 863	
Convertible perpetual preference shares		690	690	
Common shares		1	1	
Additional paid-in capital		4,270	3,625	
Retained earnings		5,895	3,996	
Accumulated other comprehensive income		465	319	
Treasury stock		(354)	-	
Total Bunge shareholders equity		11,830	9,494	
Noncontrolling interest		305	871	
Total equity	\$	12,135	\$ 10,365	

Total Bunge shareholders equity increased to \$11,830 million at September 30, 2010 from \$9,494 million at December 31, 2009. The increase in shareholders equity was due primarily to \$600 million attributable to the issuance of Bunge Limited common shares in the Moema acquisition and net earnings for nine months ended September 30, 2010 of \$2,053 million, including the gain on the sale of our fertilizer nutrients assets in Brazil and foreign currency translation gains of \$131 million. The increase was partially offset by dividends declared to common shareholders and preferred shareholders of \$96 million and \$58 million, respectively, and treasury stock of \$354 million resulting from our common share repurchase program announced on June 8, 2010.

Noncontrolling interest decreased to \$305 million at September 30, 2010 from \$871 million at December 31, 2009 due primarily to the deconsolidation of Fosfertil and derecognition of \$588 million of noncontrolling interests resulting from the sale of our Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets. In addition, the noncontrolling interest holders that have a 49% interest in our joint venture that is developing a grain terminal in Longview, Washington, U.S., made a \$46 million capital contribution to this joint venture during the nine months ended September 30, 2010. We have a 51% controlling interest in this joint venture, which we consolidate. We made a proportionate contribution to this joint venture, which resulted in no ownership percentage change.

In the first quarter of 2010, we adopted a FASB issued standard that amends the consolidation guidance that applies to variable interest entities (VIEs). As a result of this adoption, we consolidated a U.S. grain origination joint venture and recorded \$3 million related to its noncontrolling equity interest.

As of September 30, 2010, we had 862,455 5.125% cumulative mandatory convertible preference shares outstanding with an aggregate liquidation preference of \$863 million. Each mandatory convertible preference share has an initial liquidation preference of \$1,000, which will be adjusted for any accumulated and unpaid dividends. The dividend on each mandatory convertible preference share is set at \$51.25 per annum and will be payable quarterly. As a result of adjustments to the initial conversion rates because cash dividends paid on Bunge Limited s common shares exceeded certain specified thresholds, each mandatory convertible preference share will automatically convert on December 1, 2010, into between 8.2416 and 9.7250 of Bunge Limited common shares. Each mandatory convertible preference share is also convertible at any time before December 1, 2010, at the holder s option, into 8.2416 Bunge Limited common shares, subject to certain additional anti-dilution adjustments. The mandatory convertible preference shares are not redeemable by us at any time. For illustrative purposes only, based on the prevailing trading prices of our common shares over the 20 trading day period prior to the filing of this report, the conversion rate for the mandatory convertible preference shares at the mandatory conversion date would be 9.7250 common shares per mandatory convertible preference share, subject to certain anti-dilution adjustments. The actual conversion rate will be determined based on the volume weighted average price of our common shares over a 20 trading day period immediately preceding the mandatory conversion date.

As of September 30, 2010, we had 6,900,000 4.875% cumulative convertible perpetual preference shares outstanding with an aggregate liquidation preference of \$690 million. Each convertible perpetual preference share has an initial liquidation preference of \$100, which will be adjusted for any accumulated and unpaid dividends. The convertible perpetual preference shares carry an annual dividend of \$4.875 per share payable quarterly. As a result of adjustments made to the initial conversion price because cash dividends paid on Bunge Limited s common shares exceeded certain specified thresholds, each convertible perpetual preference share is convertible, at the holder s option, at any time into 1.0891 Bunge Limited common shares, based on the conversion price of \$91.82 per share, subject to certain additional anti-dilution adjustments. At any time on or after December 1, 2011, if the closing price of our common shares equals or exceeds 130% of the conversion price for 20 trading days during any consecutive 30 trading days (including the last trading day of such period), we may elect to cause the convertible perpetual preference shares to be automatically converted into Bunge Limited common shares at the then prevailing conversion price. The convertible preference shares are not redeemable by us at any time.

Cash Flows

Our cash flow from operations varies depending on, among other items, the market prices and timing of the purchase and sale of our inventories. Generally, during periods when commodity prices are rising, our agribusiness operations require increased use of cash to support working capital to acquire inventories and daily settlement requirements on exchange traded futures that we use to minimize price risk related to our inventories.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, our cash and cash equivalents decreased by \$203 million, reflecting the net proceeds of \$3.5 billion (included in cash provided by investing activities), net of \$144 million transaction costs and \$280 million of withholding tax included as a component of cash used for operations, from our Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets sale, offset by utilization of cash to repay debt, repurchase shares and the net impact of cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities. Cash and cash equivalents increased by \$97 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Our operating activities resulted in a use of cash of \$1,620 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to cash used of \$547 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The negative cash flow from operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to \$280 million of withholding taxes and \$144 million of transaction closing costs paid related to the sale

of our Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets, and increased working capital needs.

Our operating subsidiaries are primarily funded with U.S. dollar-denominated debt. The functional currency of our operating subsidiaries is generally the local currency and the financial statements are calculated in the functional currency and translated into U.S. dollars. These U.S. dollar-denominated loans are remeasured into their respective functional currencies at exchange rates at the applicable balance sheet date. The resulting gain or loss is included in our condensed consolidated statements of income as a foreign exchange gain or loss. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, we had losses of \$53 million and gains of \$594 million, respectively, on debt denominated in U.S. dollars at our subsidiaries, which were included as adjustments to reconcile net income to cash used for operating activities in the line item. Foreign exchange loss (gain) on debt in our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows. This adjustment is required because the cash flow impacts of these gains or

losses are recognized as financing activities when the subsidiary repays the underlying U.S. dollar-denominated debt and therefore have no impact on cash flows from operations.

Cash generated from investing activities was \$2,952 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to cash used of \$512 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The positive cash flow reflects net proceeds of \$3.5 billion, net of \$144 million transaction costs and \$280 million of withholding tax included as a component of cash used for operations, received from the sale of our Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets, partially offset by cash used for acquisitions and capital expenditures. During the first nine months of 2010, we paid \$80 million to acquire the fertilizer business of Petrobras Argentina S.A., \$48 million, net of \$3 million cash acquired, in connection with the Moema acquisition, \$5 million representing a purchase price adjustment for the working capital true-up for our 2009 Raisio acquisition in Poland and \$5 million to acquire two crushing plants in Turkey. Total purchase price for the Turkey crushing plants was \$24 million, \$5 million cash and \$19 million of prepaid tolling fees. Payments made for capital expenditures of \$754 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 primarily included investments in property, plant and equipment related to expanding our sugar business and our contractual obligation to continue certain expansion and upgrades of our mining and fertilizer production capacity in Brazil. Payments made for capital expenditures also included investments related to our port facility in Washington state in the U.S. and construction of oilseed processing facilities in Vietnam and China. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we acquired a vegetable shortening business in North America for \$11 million, additional ownership interest in our non-wholly owned subsidiary in Poland for \$4 million in cash, provided financing to certain of our agribusiness joint ventures in the United States and provided cash as collateral in connection with our guarantee to a financial institution for a loan made by that institution to one of our biofuel joint ventu

Cash used for financing activities was \$1,516 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to cash provided of \$1,069 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. In the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we had a net decrease in borrowings of \$1,104 million (excluding \$555 million of debt assumed in the Moema acquisition which is reflected in the opening balance sheet of the acquisition, and including \$496 million of Moema debt repaid following completion of the acquisition). In the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we had a net increase in borrowings of \$423 million to finance working capital requirements. Dividends paid to our common shareholders in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 were \$92 million and \$74 million, respectively. Dividends paid to holders of our convertible preference shares in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, were \$58 million in each period. Dividends of \$7 million were paid to noncontrolling interest shareholders in the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Dividends of \$8 million were paid to certain noncontrolling interest shareholders in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, in connection with our common share repurchase program announced on June 8, 2010, we repurchased 6,714,573 of our common shares at a cost of approximately \$354 million.

On November 4, 2010, Bunge acquired certain U.S. grain elevator operations for a total cash purchase price of approximately \$50 million.

Brazilian Farmer Credit

Background We advance funds to farmers, primarily in Brazil, through secured advances to suppliers and prepaid commodity purchase contracts. We also sell fertilizer to farmers, primarily in Brazil, on credit as described below. The ability of our customers and suppliers to repay these amounts is affected by agricultural economic conditions in the relevant geography, which are, in turn, affected by commodity prices, currency exchange rates, crop input costs and crop quality and yields. Brazilian farm economics in 2006 and 2005 were adversely affected by volatility in soybean prices, a steadily appreciating Brazilian real and, in certain regions, poor crop quality and yields. Certain Brazilian farmers responded to these conditions by delaying payments owed to farm input suppliers and lenders, including Bunge. While Brazilian farm economics have improved, some Brazilian farmers continue to face economic challenges due to high debt levels and a strong Brazilian real. Accordingly, in certain instances, as described further below, we have renegotiated certain past due accounts receivable to extend the customer payment terms over longer periods and have initiated legal proceedings against certain customers to collect amounts owed which are in default. In addition, we have tightened our credit policies to reduce exposure to higher risk accounts, and have increased collateral requirements for certain customers.

Because Brazilian farmer credit exposures are denominated in local currency, reported values are impacted by movements in the value of the Brazilian *real* when translated into U.S. dollars. From December 31, 2009 to September 30, 2010, the Brazilian *real* appreciated by 3%, increasing the reported farmer credit exposure balances when translated into U.S. dollars.

Brazilian Fertilizer Trade Accounts Receivable In our Brazilian fertilizer operations, customer accounts receivable typically have repayment terms ranging from 30 to 180 days. As the farmer s cash flow is seasonal and is typically generated after the crop is harvested, the actual due dates of the accounts receivable are individually determined based upon when a farmer purchases our fertilizer and the anticipated date for the harvest and sale of the farmer s crop. The payment terms for these accounts receivable are sometimes renegotiated if there is a crop failure or the cash flows generated from the harvest are not adequate for the farmer to repay balances due to us.

We periodically evaluate the collectability of our trade accounts receivable and record allowances if we determine that collection is doubtful. We base our determination of the allowance on analyses of credit quality of individual accounts, considering also the economic and financial condition of the farming industry and other market conditions as well as the value of any collateral provided. We continue to monitor the economic environment and events taking place in Brazil and will adjust this allowance in the future depending upon the circumstances.

In addition to our fertilizer trade accounts receivable, we issue guarantees to third parties in Brazil relating to amounts owed these third parties by certain of our customers. These guarantees are discussed under the heading Guarantees .

The table below details our Brazilian fertilizer trade accounts receivable balances and the related allowances for doubtful accounts as of the dates indicated:

(US\$ in millions, except percentages)	Septemb 2010	December 31, 2009		
Trade accounts receivable (current)	\$	211	\$	265
Allowance for doubtful accounts (current)		2		7
Trade accounts receivable (non-current) (1) (2)		263		316
Allowance for doubtful accounts (non-current) (1)		106		160
Total trade accounts receivable (current and non-current)		474		581
Total allowance for doubtful accounts (current and non-current)		108		167
Total allowance for doubtful accounts as a percentage of total trade accounts receivable		23%		29%

- (1) Recorded in other non-current assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheets.
- (2) Includes certain amounts related to defaults on customer financing guarantees.

Secured Advances to Suppliers and Prepaid Commodity Contracts We purchase soybeans through prepaid commodity purchase contracts (advance cash payments to suppliers against contractual obligations to deliver specified quantities of soybeans in the future) and secured advances to suppliers (loans to suppliers against commitments to deliver soybeans in the future), primarily in Brazil. These financing arrangements are typically secured by the farmer s future crop and mortgages on the farmer s land, buildings and equipment, and are generally settled after the farmer s crop is harvested and sold. We also extend secured advances to our suppliers on a long-term basis as producers use these advances to expand planted acreage and to purchase other supplies needed for the production of agricultural commodities. Newly expanded production acreage will generally take two to three years to reach normal yields. The repayment terms of our non-current secured advances to suppliers generally range from two to three years. This program is intended to assure the future supply of agricultural commodities.

Interest earned on secured advances to suppliers of \$4 million and \$7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$19 million and \$32 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, included in net sales in the condensed consolidated statements of income.

The table below shows details of prepaid commodity contracts and secured advances to suppliers outstanding at our Brazilian operations as of the dates indicated:

	Septe	September 30,		December 31,	
(US\$ in millions)	2010		2009		
Prepaid commodity contracts	\$	556	\$	96	
Secured advances to suppliers (current) (1)		211		278	
Total (current)		767		374	
Soybeans not yet priced (2)		(57)		(13)	
Net		710		361	
Secured advances to suppliers (non-current) (1) (3)		310		308	
Total (current and non-current)	\$	1,020	\$	669	
Allowance for uncollectible advances	\$	(85)	\$	(75)	

- (1) Included in the secured advances to suppliers (current) are advances equal to an aggregate of \$14 million and \$36 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, which have been renegotiated from their original terms, mainly due to crop failures in prior years. These amounts represent the portion of the renegotiated balances from prior years that are expected to be collected within the next 12 months based on the renegotiated payment terms. Included in the secured advances to suppliers (non-current) are \$17 million and \$20 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, of renegotiated balances with collection expected to be greater than 12 months based on the renegotiated payment terms.
- (2) Soybeans delivered by suppliers that are yet to be priced are reflected at prevailing market prices at September 30, 2010.
- (3) Included in non-current secured advances to suppliers are advances for which we have initiated legal action to collect the outstanding balance, equal to an aggregate of \$281 million and \$264 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Collections being pursued through legal action largely reflect loans made for the 2006 and 2005 crops.

Guarantees

We have issued or were a party to the following guarantees at September 30, 2010:

		Maximum Potential	
		Future	
(US\$ in millions)		Payments	
Customer financing (1)	\$	95	
Unconsolidated affiliates financing (2)		52	
Total	\$	147	

M----

⁽¹⁾ We have issued guarantees to third parties in Brazil related to amounts owed these third parties by certain of our customers. The terms of the guarantees are equal to the terms of the related financing arrangements, which are generally one year or less, with the exception of guarantees issued under certain Brazilian government programs, primarily from 2006, where terms are up to five years. In the event that the customers default on their payments to the third parties and we would be required to perform under the guarantees, we have obtained collateral from the customers. At September 30, 2010, we had approximately \$72 million of tangible property that had been pledged to us as collateral against certain of these refinancing arrangements. We evaluate the likelihood of the customer repayments of the amounts due under these guarantees based upon an expected loss analysis and record the fair value of such guarantees as an obligation in our condensed consolidated financial statements. The fair value of these guarantees at September 30, 2010 was not significant.

(2) We issued guarantees to certain financial institutions related to debt of certain of our unconsolidated joint ventures. The terms of the guarantees are equal to the terms of the related financings which have maturity dates in 2012 and 2018. There are no recourse provisions or collateral that would enable us to recover any amounts paid under these guarantees. At September 30, 2010, we recorded \$4 million related to the fair values of these guarantees.

In addition, Bunge Limited has provided full and unconditional parent level guarantees of the indebtedness outstanding under certain senior credit facilities and senior notes entered into, or issued by, its 100% owned subsidiaries. At September 30, 2010, debt with a carrying amount of \$2,627 million related to these guarantees is included in our condensed consolidated balance sheet. This debt includes the senior notes issued by two of our 100% owned finance subsidiaries, Bunge Limited Finance Corp. and Bunge N.A.

Finance L.P. There are no significant restrictions on the ability of Bunge Limited Finance Corp., Bunge N.A. Finance L.P. or any other of our subsidiary to transfer funds to Bunge Limited.

Dividends

We paid a regular quarterly cash dividend of \$0.23 per share on September 2, 2010 to common shareholders of record on August 19, 2010. In addition, we paid a quarterly dividend of \$1.21875 per share on our cumulative convertible perpetual preference shares and a quarterly dividend of \$12.8125 per share on our cumulative mandatory convertible preference shares, in each case on September 1, 2010 to convertible preference shareholders of record on August 15, 2010. On August 5, 2010, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved a regular quarterly cash dividend of \$0.23 per common share. The dividend will be payable on December 2, 2010 to common shareholders of record on November 18, 2010. We also announced on August 5, 2010 that we will pay a quarterly cash dividend of \$1.21875 per share on our cumulative convertible perpetual preference shares and \$12.8125 per share on our cumulative mandatory convertible preference shares, in each case on December 1, 2010 to convertible preference shareholders of record on November 15, 2010.

Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies are defined as those policies that are both important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and require management to exercise significant judgment. For a complete discussion of our accounting policies, see our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Inventories Readily marketable inventories consist of agricultural commodity inventories such as soybeans, soybean meal, soybean oil, corn, wheat and sugar that are readily convertible to cash because of their commodity characteristics, widely available markets and international pricing mechanisms. Merchandisable agricultural commodities of our agribusiness, sugar and bioenergy, edible oil products and milling businesses that are freely traded, have quoted market prices, may be sold without significant further processing and have predictable and insignificant disposal costs are generally stated at fair value with the exception of sugar inventories in our industrial production business in Brazil which are carried at lower of cost or market. Changes in the fair values of inventories carried at fair value are recognized in earnings as a component of cost of goods sold.

Inventories other than readily marketable inventories are principally stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined using primarily the weighted-average cost method.

Recent Accounting Pronouncement Adoptions Amendment to Consolidation In June 2009, the FASB issued a standard that requires an enterprise to (1) determine whether an entity is a variable interest entity (VIE), (2) determine whether the enterprise has a controlling financial interest indicating it is a primary beneficiary of a VIE, which would result in the enterprise being required to consolidate the VIE in its financial statements, and (3) provide enhanced disclosures about the enterprise s involvement in VIEs. As a result of the adoption of this standard on January 1, 2010, we consolidated one of our agribusiness joint ventures (see Note 18 of notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements).

Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets In June 2009, the FASB issued a standard that amended a previously issued standard to improve the information reported in financial statements related to the transfer of financial assets and the effects of the transfers of such assets on the financial position, results from operations and cash flows of the transferor and a transferor s continuing involvement, if any, with transferred financial assets. In addition, the amendment limits the circumstances in which a financial asset or a portion of a financial asset should be derecognized in the financial statements of the transferor when the transferor has not transferred the entire original financial asset. Upon adoption of this standard on January 1, 2010, all trade accounts receivables sold after that date under our accounts receivable securitization programs (the securitization programs) are included in trade accounts receivable and the amounts outstanding under the securitization programs are accounted for as secured borrowings and are reflected as short-term debt on our condensed consolidated balance sheet. As a result of this adoption, we have reduced our utilization of these programs and either terminated or allowed certain of these programs to expire. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on our financial position, results from operations or cash flows.

New Accounting Pronouncements Receivables, Disclosures about the Credit of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses
In July 2010, the FASB issued a standard that amended a previously issued standard requiring an entity to include additional disaggregated disclosures in their financial statements about

their financing receivables, including credit risk disclosures and the allowance for credit losses. Entities with financing receivables will be required to disclose a rollforward of the allowance for credit losses, certain credit quality information, impaired loan information, modification information, and past due information. Trade receivables with maturities of less than one year are excluded from the scope of the new disclosures. The new disclosures are required to be included in the consolidated financial statements for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2010. We are evaluating the impact this standard may have on our consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Risk Management

As a result of our global operating and financing activities, we are exposed to changes in, among other things, agricultural commodity prices, transportation costs, foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates and energy costs which may affect our results of operations and financial position. We actively monitor and manage these various market risks associated with our business activities. We have a corporate risk management group, headed by our chief risk officer, which analyzes and monitors our risk exposures globally. Additionally, our board of directors finance and risk policy committee supervises, reviews and periodically revises our overall risk management policies and limits.

We use derivative instruments for the purpose of managing the exposures associated with commodity prices, transportation costs, foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates and energy costs and for positioning our overall portfolio relative to expected market movements in accordance with established policies and procedures. We enter into derivative instruments primarily with major financial institutions, commodity exchanges in the case of commodity futures and options, or shipping companies in the case of ocean freight. While these derivative instruments are subject to fluctuations in value, those fluctuations are generally offset by the changes in fair value of any underlying exposures. The derivative instruments we use for hedging purposes are intended to reduce the volatility on our results of operations; however, the use of derivative instruments can occasionally result in earnings volatility, which may be material. See Note 11 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for a more detailed discussion of the derivative instruments that we used.

Credit and Counterparty Risk

Through our normal business activities, we are subject to significant credit and counterparty risks that arise through normal commercial sales and purchases, including forward commitments to buy or sell, and through various other over-the-counter (OTC) derivative instruments that we utilize to manage risks inherent in our business activities. We define credit and counterparty risk as a potential financial loss due to the failure of a counterparty to honor its obligations. The exposure is measured based upon several factors, including unpaid accounts receivable from counterparties and unrealized gains from OTC derivative instruments (including forward purchase and sale contracts). We actively monitor credit and counterparty risk through credit analysis by local credit staffs and review by various local and corporate committees which monitor counterparty performance. We record provisions for counterparty losses from time to time as a result of our credit and counterparty analysis.

During periods of tight conditions in global credit markets, downturns in regional or global economic conditions, and/or significant price volatility, credit and counterparty risks are heightened. This increased risk is monitored through, among other things, increased communication with key counterparties, management reviews and specific focus on counterparties or groups of counterparties that we may determine as high

risk. In addition, we have limited new credit extensions in certain cases and reduced our use of non exchange-cleared derivative instruments.

Commodities Risk

We operate in many areas of the food industry, from agricultural raw materials to the production and sale of branded food ingredients. As a result, we purchase and produce various materials, many of which are agricultural commodities, including soybeans, soybean oil, soybean meal, softseed (including sunflower seed, rapeseed and canola) and related oil and meal derived from them, wheat, corn and sugar. Agricultural commodities are subject to price fluctuations due to a number of unpredictable factors. We are

also subject to the risk of counterparty non-performance under forward purchase or sale contracts and from time to time have experienced instances of counterparty non-performance, including as a result of counterparty profitability under these contracts declining significantly as a result of significant movements in commodity prices between the time in which the contracts were executed and the contractual forward delivery period.

We enter into various derivative contracts with the primary objective of managing our exposure to adverse price movements in the agricultural commodities used for our business operations. We have established policies that limit the amount of unhedged fixed price agricultural commodity positions permissible for our operating companies, which are generally a combination of volume and value-at-risk (VaR) limits. We measure and review our net commodities position on a daily basis.

Our daily net agricultural commodity position consists of inventory, forward purchase and sale contracts, over-the-counter and exchange traded derivative instruments, including those used to hedge portions of our production requirements. The fair value of that position is a summation of the fair values calculated for each agricultural commodity by valuing all of our commodity positions at quoted market prices for the period where available or utilizing a close proxy. VaR is calculated on the net position and monitored at the 95% and 99% confidence intervals. In addition, scenario analysis and stress testing are performed. For example, one measure of market risk is estimated as the potential loss in fair value resulting from a hypothetical 10% adverse change in prices. The results of this analysis, which may differ from actual results, are as follows:

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010			Year Ended December 31, 2009				
			N	Iarket			M	arket
(US\$ in millions)	Fai	r Value		Risk	Fai	r Value	F	Risk
Highest long position	\$	1,953	\$	(195)	\$	616	\$	(62)
Highest short position		(912)		(91)		(943)		(94)

Ocean Freight Risk

Ocean freight represents a significant portion of our operating costs. The market price for ocean freight varies depending on the supply and demand for ocean vessels, global economic conditions and other factors. We enter into time charter agreements for time on ocean freight vessels based on forecasted requirements for the purpose of transporting agricultural commodities. Our time charter agreements generally have terms ranging from two months to approximately three years. We use financial derivatives, known as freight forward agreements, to hedge portions of our ocean freight costs. The ocean freight derivatives are included in other current assets and other current liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheets at fair value.

A portion of the ocean freight derivatives have been designated as fair value hedges of our firm commitments to purchase time on ocean freight vessels. Changes in the fair value of the ocean freight derivatives that are qualified, designated and highly effective as a fair value hedge, along with the gain or loss on the hedged firm commitments to purchase time on ocean freight vessels that is attributable to the hedged risk, are recorded in earnings. For the three months ended and nine months ended September 30, 2010, we recognized in cost of goods sold in our condensed consolidated statements of income \$0.4 million of gains and \$0.2 million of losses, respectively, on the firm commitments to purchase time on ocean freight vessels, which were offset by \$0.4 million of losses and \$0.2 million of gains, respectively on freight derivative contracts. There was no material gain or loss recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of income for the three months ended and nine months ended September 30, 2010 due to hedge ineffectiveness. In the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, we recognized gains of \$3 million and \$10 million in cost of goods sold in our condensed consolidated statements of income related to the amortization of amounts recorded in current liabilities in our condensed consolidated statements of income related to the amortization of amounts recorded in current liabilities in our condensed consolidated statements of income related to the amortization of amounts recorded in current liabilities in our condensed consolidated statements of income related to the amortization of amounts recorded in current liabilities in our condensed consolidated statements of income related to the amortization of amounts recorded in current liabilities in our condensed consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2010.

Energy Risk

We purchase various energy commodities such as bunker fuel, electricity and natural gas that are used to operate our manufacturing facilities and ocean freight vessels. The energy commodities are subject to price risk. We use financial derivatives, including exchange traded and OTC swaps and options, with the primary objective of

hedging portions of our energy exposure. These energy derivatives are included in other current assets and other current liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheet at fair value.

Currency Risk

Our global operations require active participation in foreign exchange markets. To reduce the risk arising from foreign exchange rate fluctuations, we follow a policy of hedging monetary assets and liabilities and commercial transactions with non-functional currency exposure. Our primary exposure is related to our subsidiaries located in Brazil and Europe and to a lesser extent, Argentina, Canada and Asia. We enter into derivative instruments, such as forward contracts and swaps, and to a lesser extent, foreign currency options primarily to limit exposures to changes in foreign currency exchange rates with respect to our recorded foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities and our functional currency operating expenses. We may also hedge other foreign currency exposures as deemed appropriate.

When determining our exposure, we exclude intercompany loans that are deemed to be permanently invested. The repayments of permanently invested intercompany loans are not planned or anticipated in the foreseeable future and therefore are treated as analogous to equity for accounting purposes. As a result, the foreign exchange gains and losses on these borrowings are excluded from the determination of net income and recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. Included in other comprehensive income (loss) are foreign exchange gains of \$149 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and gains of \$357 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, related to permanently invested intercompany loans.

For risk management purposes and to determine the overall level of hedging required, we further reduce the foreign exchange exposure determined above by the value of our agricultural commodities inventories. Our agricultural commodities inventories, because of their international pricing in U.S. dollars, provide a natural offset to our currency exposure.

Our net currency positions, including currency derivatives, and our market risk, are measured in the following table as the potential loss from an adverse 10% change in foreign currency exchange rates. In addition, we have provided an analysis of our foreign currency exposure after reducing the exposure for our agricultural commodities inventories. Actual results may differ from the information set forth below.

(US\$ in millions)	Se	eptember 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Brazilian Operations (primarily exposure to U.S. dollar):			
Net currency short position, from financial instruments, including derivatives	\$	(2,273)	\$ (1,634)
Market risk		(227)	(163)
Agricultural commodities inventories		1,860	1,133
Net currency short position, less agricultural commodities inventories (1)		(413)	(501)
Market risk (1)	\$	(41)	\$ (50)
Argentine Operations (primarily exposure to U.S. dollar):			
Net currency short position, from financial instruments, including derivatives		(465)	(377)
Market risk		(47)	(38)
Agricultural commodities inventories		457	343
Net currency short position, less agricultural commodities inventories		(8)	(34)
Market risk	\$	(1)	\$ (3)
European Operations (primarily exposure to U.S. dollar):			
Net currency short position, from financial instruments, including derivatives	\$	(504)	\$ (497)
Market risk		(50)	(50)
Agricultural commodities inventories		501	454
Net currency (short) position, less agricultural commodities inventories		(3)	(43)

Market risk	\$	- \$	(4)
(1) The market risk for the Brazilian Operations excludes fertilizer inventories of \$315 mi 2009, respectively, which also provide a natural offset to our currency exposure.	illion and \$374 million at Septe	ember 30, 2010 and Dece	ember 31,
55			

Interest Rate Risk

We have debt in fixed and floating rate instruments. We are exposed to market risk due to changes in interest rates. We enter into interest rate swap agreements to manage our interest rate exposure related to our debt portfolio.

The aggregate fair value of our short- and long-term debt, based on market yields at September 30, 2010, was \$3,632 million with a carrying value of \$3,350 million. There was no significant change in our interest risk at September 30, 2010.

We have used a portion of the proceeds from the sale of our Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets to redeem or repay certain indebtedness. See Liquidity and Capital Resources for further information.

In addition, on July 1, 2010, we discontinued the hedge relationship between a hedged \$250 million term loan due 2011, which was repaid on July 7, 2010, and the interest rate swap agreement with a notional value of \$250 million. The fair value of these swaps was approximately \$7 million on July 1, 2010. The interest rate swaps mature in 2011.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures As of September 30, 2010 we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as that term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the fiscal quarter covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting In February 2010, Bunge acquired Moema. Management is currently in the process of implementing its integration of Moema s internal controls over financial reporting with Bunge s internal controls over financial reporting. Management expects the integration process to be completed within 12 months following the acquisition.

Additionally, in May 2010, we completed the sale of our Brazil fertilizer nutrients assets and began implementing significant restructuring actions related to the consolidation of our activities in Brazil. These activities are expected to lead to changes in internal control over financial reporting for Bunge and the recently acquired entities in future fiscal periods.

Except as described above, there has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the third fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Argentine tax authorities have been conducting a review of income taxes paid by large exporters and processors of cereals and other agricultural commodities in the country. In that regard, in October 2010, the Argentine tax authorities commenced an investigation of our Argentine subsidiary relating to allegations of income tax evasion covering the periods from 2007 to 2009, and carried out related inspections at several of our locations in Argentina. While we believe that the allegations against us are without merit, the investigation is at a preliminary stage and therefore, we are, at this time, unable to predict its outcome, including whether formal charges will ultimately be brought. We are cooperating with the Argentine authorities in relation to this investigation.

In December 2006, Fosfertil announced a corporate reorganization intended to allow it to capture synergies and better compete in the domestic and international fertilizer market. As part of the proposed reorganization, our wholly owned subsidiary Bunge Fertilizantes would become a subsidiary of Fosfertil, and our combined direct and indirect ownership of Fosfertil would increase. The reorganization was subject to approval by Fosfertil shareholders. Certain shareholders of Fosfertil who are affiliated with the Mosaic Company and Yara International

ASA filed legal challenges to the proposed reorganization in the Brazilian courts, including a suit against us that was pending before the highest appellate court in Brazil (Superior Tribunal de Justica, or the Superior Court of Justice) since September 2008. In August 2009, the Superior Court of Justice ruled in our favor on the merits of the case. These minority shareholders filed motions seeking clarification of this court decision, but such motions were rejected by the Superior Court of Justice in December 2009. Subsequently, these minority shareholders filed extraordinary appeals before the Brazilian Constitutional Court (the Supreme Court). The proposed reorganization was also the subject of other lawsuits filed by these shareholders and subject to governmental approvals in Brazil. In May 2010, we completed the sale of our direct and indirect ownership in Fosfertil to Vale. In addition, these minority shareholders have also separately sold their direct and indirect interests in Fosfertil to Vale. In connection with these sale transactions, in June 2010, we and the minority shareholders reached a settlement and agreed to the release and waiver of all claims in these matters. The parties have jointly petitioned the applicable courts for dismissal of these proceedings and, upon approval by the courts, substantially all of which have already been granted, these proceedings will be concluded.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Item 1A. Risk Factors in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The following items update the risk factors in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We may not realize the anticipated benefits of acquisitions, joint ventures and strategic alliances or divestitures. In particular, there are risks associated with the recent Moema acquisition and the divestiture of our Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets.

We have been an active acquirer of other companies, and we have strategic alliances and joint ventures with several partners. Part of our strategy involves acquisitions, alliances and joint ventures designed to expand and enhance our business, such as the recent Moema acquisition. Our ability to benefit from acquisitions, joint ventures and alliances depends on many factors, including our ability to identify suitable prospects, access funding sources on acceptable terms, negotiate favorable transaction terms and successfully consummate and integrate any businesses we acquire. In addition, we may decide, from time to time, to divest certain of our assets or businesses, such as the recently completed sale of our Brazilian fertilizer nutrients assets. Our ability to successfully complete a divestiture will depend on, among other things, our ability to identify buyers that are prepared to acquire such assets or businesses on acceptable terms.

Our acquisition or divestiture activities may involve unanticipated delays, costs and other problems. If we encounter unexpected problems with one of our acquisitions, alliances or divestitures, our senior management may be required to divert attention away from other aspects of our businesses to address these problems. Additionally, we may fail to consummate proposed acquisitions or divestitures, after incurring expenses and devoting substantial resources, including management time, to such transactions.

Acquisitions also pose the risk that we may be exposed to successor liability relating to actions by an acquired company and its management before the acquisition. The due diligence we conduct in connection with an acquisition, and any contractual guarantees or indemnities that we receive from the sellers of acquired companies, may not be sufficient to protect us from, or compensate us for, actual liabilities. A material liability associated with an acquisition could adversely affect our reputation and results of operations and reduce the benefits of the acquisition. Additionally, acquisitions involve other risks, such as differing levels of management and internal control effectiveness at the acquired entities, the risk of impairment charges relating to goodwill and intangible assets recorded in connection with acquisitions, the risk of significant accounting charges resulting from the completion and integration of a sizeable acquisition, the need to fund increased capital expenditures, our ability to retain and motivate employees of acquired entities and other unanticipated problems and liabilities.

Divestitures may also expose us to potential liabilities or claims for indemnification as we may be required to retain certain liabilities or indemnify buyers for certain matters, including environmental or litigation matters, associated with the assets or businesses that we sell. The magnitude of any such retained liability or indemnification obligation may be difficult to quantify at the time of the transaction, and its cost to us could ultimately exceed the proceeds we receive for the divested assets or businesses. Divestitures also

have other inherent risks, including possible delays in closing transactions (including potential difficulties in obtaining regulatory approvals), the risk of lower-than-expected sales proceeds for the divested businesses and unexpected costs or other difficulties associated with the separation of the businesses to be sold from our information technology and other systems and management processes, including the loss of key personnel. Finally, expected cost savings or other anticipated efficiencies or benefits from divestitures may also be difficult to achieve or maximize.

We may not be able to achieve the efficiencies, savings and other benefits anticipated from our cost reduction, margin improvement and other business optimization initiatives.

We are continuously implementing programs throughout the company to reduce costs, increase efficiencies and enhance our business. Initiatives currently in process or implemented in the past year include the outsourcing of certain administrative activities in several regions, rationalization of manufacturing operations, including the closing of facilities and the implementation of a restructuring and consolidation of our operations in Brazil. Unexpected delays, increased costs, adverse effects on our internal control environment, inability to retain and motivate employees or other challenges arising from these initiatives could adversely affect our ability to realize the anticipated savings or other intended benefits of these activities.

The loss of or a disruption in our manufacturing and distribution operations could adversely affect our business.

As a company engaged in manufacturing and distribution activities on a global scale, we are subject to the risks inherent in such activities, including industrial accidents, environmental events, fires, explosions, strikes and other labor or industrial disputes, disruptions in logistics or information systems, as well as natural disasters, pandemics, acts of terrorism and other external factors over which we have no control. For example, in 2010, we have suffered fires or explosions at facilities in Argentina, Germany and the United States, which have resulted in varying levels of property damage and business interruption. While we insure ourselves against many of these types of risks in accordance with industry standards, our level of insurance may not cover all losses. The loss of, or damage to, any of our facilities could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Additionally, the following paragraph supplements the risk factor Government policies and regulations, particularly those affecting the agricultural sector and related industries, could adversely affect our operations and profitability.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act) was signed into law on July 21, 2010. This new law will significantly change the regulation of financial markets. The Dodd-Frank Act requires various federal agencies to adopt a broad range of new implementing rules and regulations, and to prepare numerous studies and reports for Congress. The Dodd-Frank Act will have a significant impact on the derivatives market, including by subjecting large derivatives users, which may include Bunge, to extensive new oversight and regulation. While it is difficult to predict at this time what specific impact the Dodd-Frank Act and related regulations will have on Bunge, they could impose significant additional costs on us relating to derivatives transactions, including operating and compliance costs, and could materially affect the availability, as well as the cost and terms, of certain derivatives transactions.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

In connection with the Moema acquisition, during the three months ended September 30, 2010, we issued an aggregate of 62,505 common shares to certain of the Moema sellers as a result of the determination of post-closing purchase price adjustments as provided in the related acquisition agreements. The shares were issued in a private placement transaction in reliance upon the exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

Issuer Purchase of Equity Securities:

On June 8, 2010, Bunge announced that its Board of Directors approved a program for the repurchase of up to \$700 million of Bunge s issued and outstanding common shares. The program runs through December 31, 2011.

58

The following table sets forth information relating to the share repurchase program:

Period July 1, 2010 July 31, 2010	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased 2,677,413	Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit) \$ 52.40	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs 2,677,413	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs \$ 453,000,000
August 1, 2010 August 31, 2010	1,348,775	53.09	1,348,775	381,000,000
September 1, 2010 September 30, 2010	637,600	55.34	637,600	346,000,000
Total	4,663,788	\$ 53.00	4,663,788	\$ 346,000,000

1 ci iou	1 di chascu	(or cint)	i i ogi ams	i i ogi amo
July 1, 2010 July 31, 2010	2,677,413	\$ 52.40	2,677,413	\$ 453,000,000
August 1, 2010 August 31, 2010	1,348,775	53.09	1,348,775	381,000,000
September 1, 2010 September 30, 2010	637,600	55.34	637,600	346,000,000
Total	4,663,788	\$ 53.00	4,663,788	\$ 346,000,000
ITEM 3.	DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECUR	ITIES		
N				
None.				

ITEM 4.	[RESERVED]

ITEM 5.	OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 6.	EXHIBITS

None.

⁽a) The exhibits in the accompanying Exhibit Index on page E-1 are filed or furnished as part of this Quarterly Report.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BUNGE LIMITED

Date: November 9, 2010 By: /s/ ANDREW J. BURKE

Andrew J. Burke Chief Financial Officer

/s/ KAREN D. ROEBUCK Karen D. Roebuck Controller and Principal Accounting Officer

60

EXHIBIT INDEX

	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as ed pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002
	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as ed pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002
32.1 Oxley Act of 2002	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes
32.2 Oxley Act of 2002	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes
(ii) the Condensed	The following financial information from Bunge Limited s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended 0 formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income, Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, (iv) the Condensed Consolidated eholders Equity, and (v) the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.*

Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

* Users of this interactive data file are advised pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T that this interactive data file is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities