

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP
Form 10-K
February 25, 2016

United States
Securities and Exchange Commission
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
for the fiscal year ended: December 31, 2015

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
for the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission File Number: 001-34624

Umpqua Holdings Corporation
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)
OREGON 93-1261319
(State or Other Jurisdiction (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)
of Incorporation or Organization)
One SW Columbia Street, Suite 1200
Portland, Oregon 97258
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)(Zip Code)

(503) 727-4100
(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:
Title of each class Name of each exchange on which registered

NONE
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: Common Stock

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
 Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.
 Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.
 Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).
 Yes No

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer", and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

[X] Large accelerated filer [] Accelerated filer [] Non-accelerated filer [] Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
[] Yes [X] No

The aggregate market value of the voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2015, based on the closing price on that date of \$17.99 per share, and 218,594,607 shares held was \$3,932,516,980.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding for each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practical date:

The number of shares of the Registrant's common stock (no par value) outstanding as of January 31, 2016 was 220,302,009.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Proxy Statement for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Umpqua Holdings Corporation ("Proxy Statement") are incorporated by reference in this Form 10-K in response to Part III, Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14.

Table of Contents

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION
FORM 10-K CROSS REFERENCE INDEX

| | | |
|----------------------|---|------------|
| <u>PART I</u> | | <u>3</u> |
| | <u>ITEM 1. BUSINESS</u> | <u>3</u> |
| | <u>ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS</u> | <u>17</u> |
| | <u>ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u> | <u>23</u> |
| | <u>ITEM 2. PROPERTIES</u> | <u>23</u> |
| | <u>ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u> | <u>24</u> |
| | <u>ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES</u> | <u>24</u> |
| <u>PART II</u> | | <u>25</u> |
| | <u>ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u> | <u>25</u> |
| | <u>ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u> | <u>29</u> |
| | <u>ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u> | <u>31</u> |
| | <u>ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u> | <u>53</u> |
| | <u>ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u> | <u>58</u> |
| | <u>ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u> | <u>130</u> |
| | <u>ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u> | <u>130</u> |
| | <u>ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | <u>131</u> |
| <u>PART III</u> | | <u>131</u> |
| | <u>ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u> | <u>131</u> |
| | <u>ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u> | <u>131</u> |
| | <u>ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u> | <u>131</u> |
| | <u>ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u> | <u>131</u> |
| | <u>ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES</u> | <u>131</u> |
| <u>PART IV</u> | | <u>131</u> |
| | <u>ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u> | <u>131</u> |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | | <u>132</u> |
| <u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u> | | <u>134</u> |

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS.

In this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we refer to Umpqua Holdings Corporation as the "Company," "Umpqua," "we," "us," "our," or similar references; to Sterling Financial Corporation as "Sterling"; and to the merger of Sterling with and into Umpqua effective as of April 18, 2014, as the "Sterling merger" or the "Merger." This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements, within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which are intended to be covered by the safe harbor for "forward-looking statements" provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements may include statements that expressly or implicitly predict future results, performance or events. Statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. You can find many of these statements by looking for words such as "anticipates," "expects," "believes," "estimates" and "intends" and words or phrases of similar meaning. We make forward-looking statements regarding projected sources of funds; availability of acquisition and growth opportunities; dividends; adequacy of our allowance for loan and lease losses, reserve for unfunded commitments and provision for loan and lease losses; performance of troubled debt restructurings; our commercial real estate portfolio and subsequent chargeoffs; the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") indemnification asset; the benefits of the mergers and acquisitions; the Sterling merger integration and system conversions; and the impact of Basel III on our capital. Forward-looking statements involve substantial risks and uncertainties, many of which are difficult to predict and are generally beyond our control. There are many factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by these forward-looking statements. Risks and uncertainties that could cause our financial performance to differ materially from our goals, plans, expectations and projections expressed in forward-looking statements include those set forth in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and the following:

- our ability to attract new deposits and loans and leases;
- demand for financial services in our market areas;
- competitive market pricing factors;
- our ability to effectively develop and implement new technology;
- deterioration in economic conditions that could result in increased loan and lease losses;
- risks associated with concentrations in real estate related loans;
- market interest rate volatility;
- compression of our net interest margin;
- stability of funding sources and continued availability of borrowings;
- changes in legal or regulatory requirements or the results of regulatory examinations that could increase expenses or restrict growth;
- our ability to recruit and retain key management and staff;
- availability of, and competition for acquisition opportunities;
- risks associated with merger and acquisition integration;
- significant decline in the market value of the Company that could result in an impairment of goodwill;
- our ability to raise capital or incur debt on reasonable terms;
- regulatory limits on the Bank's ability to pay dividends to the Company;
- the impact of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act ("Dodd-Frank Act") on the Company's business operations, including the impact of provisions and regulations related to FDIC deposit insurance, interchange fees, stress testing and executive compensation that could affect our compliance costs, interest expense, revenue and ability to recruit executives;
- the impact of the "Basel III" capital rules issued by federal banking regulators ("Basel III Rules");
- benefits from the Merger may not be fully realized or may take longer to realize than expected due to Merger integration delays, diversion of resources including management time and effort from other activities, and disruption to customers and employees; and
- competition, including from financial technology companies.

Table of Contents

For a more detailed discussion of some of the risk factors, see the section entitled "Risk Factors" below. We do not intend to update any factors, except as required by SEC rules, or to publicly announce revisions to any of our forward-looking statements. Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date that such statement was made. You should consider any forward looking statements in light of this explanation, and we caution you about relying on forward-looking statements.

Introduction

Umpqua Holdings Corporation, an Oregon corporation, was formed as a bank holding company in March 1999. At that time, we acquired 100% of the outstanding shares of South Umpqua Bank, an Oregon state-chartered bank formed in 1953. We became a financial holding company in March 2000 under the provisions of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 ("GLB Act"). Umpqua has two principal operating subsidiaries, Umpqua Bank (the "Bank") and Umpqua Investments, Inc. ("Umpqua Investments").

We file annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. You may obtain these reports, and any amendments, from the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. You may obtain copies of these reports, and any amendments, through our website at www.umpquaholdingscorp.com. These reports are available through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after they are filed electronically with the SEC.

General Background

Headquartered in Roseburg, Oregon, Umpqua Bank is considered one of the most innovative community banks in the United States, recognized nationally and internationally for its unique company culture and customer experience strategy, which differentiate the Company from its competition. The Bank provides a broad range of banking, wealth management, mortgage and other financial services to corporate, institutional, and individual customers, and also has a wholly-owned subsidiary, Financial Pacific Leasing Inc., a commercial equipment leasing company.

Umpqua Investments is a registered broker-dealer and registered investment advisor with offices in Portland, Lake Oswego, and Medford, Oregon, Vancouver, Washington, and Santa Rosa, California, and also offers products and services through Umpqua Bank stores. The firm is one of the oldest investment companies in the Northwest and is actively engaged in the communities it serves. Umpqua Investments offers a full range of investment products and services including: stocks, fixed income securities (municipal, corporate, and government bonds, CDs, and money market instruments), mutual funds, annuities, options, retirement planning, money management services and life insurance.

In 2015, we formed Pivotus Ventures, Inc. as a subsidiary of Umpqua Holdings Corporation. Pivotus will use small cross-functional teams with a startup dynamic to validate, develop, and test new bank platforms that could have a significant impact on the experience and economics of banking. The collaborative model will enhance its ability to imagine and develop disruptive technologies, test them with a broad range of customers, and deliver them at scale.

Along with its subsidiaries, the Company is subject to the regulations of state and federal agencies and undergoes periodic examinations by these regulatory agencies.

Recent Developments

As of the close of business on April 18, 2014, the Company completed its merger with Sterling. The results of Sterling's operations are included in the Company's financial results beginning April 19, 2014 and the combined company's banking operations are operating under the Umpqua Bank name and brand.

Business Strategy

Umpqua Bank's primary objective is to become the leading community-oriented financial services organization throughout the Western United States. The Sterling merger expanded Umpqua Bank's footprint into Southern California, Eastern Washington, Eastern Oregon, and Idaho markets. We intend to continue to grow our assets and

increase profitability and shareholder value by differentiating ourselves from competitors through the following strategies:

Capitalize on Innovative Product Delivery System. Our philosophy has been to create a unique delivery model that transforms banking from a chore into an experience that's both relevant to customers and highly differentiated from other financial institutions. With this approach in mind, in 1995 we introduced a bank store concept designed to reflect customer and community preferences and drive revenue growth by making the Bank's products and services more tangible and accessible. We've continued to evolve this model, introducing the next generation of our Neighborhood Store in the Capitol Hill area of Seattle, Washington, in 2010, and in 2013, rolling out the next generation of our flagship store in San Francisco.

Table of Contents

In April 2014 we completed the largest acquisition in Umpqua's history, merging with Sterling Financial Corporation. The Sterling acquisition was a strategic opportunity to enhance shareholder value through a transformative business combination. It allowed us to accelerate significantly our objective of creating something unique in the financial services industry: an organization that offers the products and expertise of a large bank but delivers them with the personal service and commitment of a community bank. As the landscape of the financial services industry is being reshaped by technological advances and the introduction of new digital customer delivery channels and technology-driven products and services, we believe the alignment of our physical and digital customer delivery channels is crucial in creating an exceptional customer experience. Doing so will allow us to drive stronger customer retention, greater penetration of "share of wallet," and improved new business growth. With that in mind, during 2015, we focused on completing the integration of Sterling and realizing the financial benefits of the merger, as well as growing the combined bank and launching Pivotal Ventures, Inc.

Focus on Customer Experience. At every level of the Company, from the Board of Directors to our newest associates, and across all customer service delivery channels, we are focused on delivering an extraordinary customer experience. It's an integral part of our culture, and we believe we are among the first banks to introduce a measurable quality service program. Under our Return on Quality or ROQ program, the performance of each sales associate and store is evaluated based on specific measurable factors such as the "sales effectiveness ratio" that totals the average number of banking products purchased by each new customer. The evaluations also encompass factors such as the number of new loan and deposit accounts generated in each store, reports by incognito "mystery shoppers" and customer surveys. Based on scores achieved, Umpqua's ROQ program rewards both individual sales associates and store teams with financial incentives. Through such programs, we are able to measure the quality of the experience provided to our customers and maintain employee focus on quality customer service.

Establish Strong Brand Awareness. As a financial services retailer, we devote considerable resources to developing the "Umpqua Bank" brand. This is done through design strategy, marketing, merchandising, and delivery through our customer-facing channels, as well as through active public relations, social media and community based events and initiatives. From Bank-branded bags of custom roasted coffee beans and Umpqua-branded ice cream trucks, to educational seminars, in-store events and social giving campaigns, Umpqua's goal is to engage our customers and communities in fresh and engaging ways. The unique look and feel of our stores and interactive displays help demonstrate our commitment to being an innovative, customer-friendly retailer of financial products and services, and our active community engagement and investments stand out with commercial customers. Our brand activation approach is based on actions not just advertising, and builds strong consumer awareness of our products and services.

Use Technology to Retain and Expand Customer Base. As consumer preferences evolve with technological changes, our strategy remains consistent: deliver an extraordinary experience across all customer touchpoints. As a result, we continue to expand user-friendly, technology-based systems that reflect and complement the distinct customer experience the company is known for. We believe this positions Umpqua well to adapt quickly as customer use of physical and digital channels evolves. We offer technology-based services including remote deposit capture, online banking, bill pay and treasury services, mobile banking, voice response banking, automatic payroll deposit programs, advanced function ATMs, interactive product kiosks, and a robust web site. We believe the combination of physical and electronic banking services enhances our ability to attract a broader range of customers and wrap our value proposition across all channels.

Increase Market Share in Existing Markets and Expand Into New Markets. As a result of our innovative retail product orientation, measurable quality service program, strong brand awareness, and distinct customer experience across all delivery channels, we believe there is significant potential to increase business with current customers, to attract new customers in our existing markets and continue entering new markets.

Prudently Manage Capital. An important part of our strategy is to continue to manage capital prudently, and to employ excess capital in a thoughtful and opportunistic manner that improves shareholder returns. We accomplish this through dividends, share repurchases, and pursuing strategic acquisitions, which could include technology-driven enterprises or banks and financial services companies in markets where we see growth potential.

Marketing and Sales

Our goal of increasing our share of financial services in our market areas is driven by a marketing, communications and sales strategy with the following key components:

Integrated Marketing and Communications. Our comprehensive marketing and communications strategy aims to strengthen the Umpqua Bank brand and generate public awareness through innovative marketing and PR initiatives that stand out in our markets and our industry. The Bank has been recognized nationally for its use of new media and unique approach. From the Bank's Local Spotlight program, ice cream trucks and social giving platform, to interactive initiatives like Made to Grow, Umpqua is leveraging both traditional and emerging media channels in new ways to advance the brand and create meaningful connections with consumers.

Table of Contents

Retail Store Concept. As a financial services provider, we believe that the physical environment plays a critical role both in creating awareness for the Company and in successfully selling products and services. Like retailers, who display merchandise in their stores to encourage customers to purchase their products, Umpqua Bank believes this same concept can be applied to financial institutions. As a result, we've designed our physical locations to display financial services and products in ways that are highly tactile and engaging. Unlike many financial institutions, we encourage all in our communities to visit our stores, where they are greeted by well-trained sales associates and encouraged to browse and to make "impulse purchases." Our "Next Generation" store model includes features like free wireless, free use of laptop computers, open rooms with refrigerated beverages and innovative product packaging. The stores host a variety of after-hours events, from poetry readings and yoga classes to movie nights and seminars on how to build an art collection. To bring financial services to our customers in a cost-effective way, we introduced "Neighborhood Stores." We build these stores in established neighborhoods and design them to be neighborhood hubs. These stand-alone, full-service stores are smaller and emphasize advanced technology. To strengthen brand recognition, all Neighborhood Stores are similar in appearance. In 2013, Umpqua Bank launched our flagship store in San Francisco which received international recognition as the Retail Design Institutes 2013 Store of the Year award, the first time in the organization's history that a financial services institution received the award.

Service Culture. We believe strongly that if we lead with a service culture, we will have more opportunity to sell our products and services and to create deeper customer relationships across all divisions, from retail to mortgage and commercial. Although a successful marketing program will attract customers to visit, a highly tuned service environment and well-trained sales team are critical to selling products and services. Umpqua's service culture has become well established throughout the organization due to a clear focus and ongoing training of our associates on all aspects of sales and service. We provide training at our in-house training facility, known as "The World's Greatest Bank University," to recognize and celebrate exceptional service, and pay commissions for the sale of the Bank's products and services. This service culture has become iconic in our industry, and is a key element in our ability to attract both talented associates and loyal customers.

Products and Services

We offer a vast array of traditional and digital financial products to meet the banking needs of our market area and target customers. To ensure the ongoing viability of our product offerings, we regularly examine the desirability and profitability of existing and potential new products. To make it easy for new prospective customers to bank with us and access our products, we offer a "Switch Kit," which allows a customer to open a primary checking account with Umpqua Bank quickly and easily. Other avenues through which customers can access our products include our web site, mobile banking app, and our 24-hour telephone voice response system.

Deposit Products. We offer a traditional array of deposit products, including non-interest bearing checking accounts, interest bearing checking and savings accounts, money market accounts and certificates of deposit. These accounts earn interest at rates established by management based on competitive market factors and management's desire to increase certain types or maturities of deposit liabilities. Our approach is to tailor fit products and bundle those that meet the customer's needs. This approach is designed to add value for the customer, increase products per household and generate related fee income.

Private Bank. Umpqua Private Bank serves high net worth individuals and nonprofits, providing trust and investment services. The private bank is designed to augment Umpqua's existing high-touch customer experience, and works collaboratively with the Bank's affiliate Umpqua Investments to offer a comprehensive, integrated approach that meets clients' financial goals, including financial planning, trust services, and investments.

Broker Dealer and Investment Advisory Services. In its combined role as a broker/dealer and a registered investment advisor, Umpqua Investments may provide comprehensive financial planning advice to its clients as well as investment services. This advice can include cash management, risk management (insurance planning/sales), investment planning (including investment advice and/or portfolio checkups), retirement planning (for employees and employers), and/or estate planning. The broker/dealer side of Umpqua Investments offers a full range of brokerage services including equity and fixed income products, mutual funds, annuities, options and life insurance products. At

December 31, 2015, Umpqua Investments has 42 Series 7-licensed financial advisors serving clients at five stand-alone retail brokerage offices, one location within a retirement facility, and "Investment Opportunity Centers" located in many Bank stores.

Commercial Loans and Leases and Commercial Real Estate Loans. We offer a broad array of specialized loans for business and commercial customers, including accounts receivable and inventory financing, multi-family loans, equipment loans, commercial equipment leases, international trade, real estate construction loans and permanent financing and Small Business Administration ("SBA") program financing as well as capital markets and treasury management services. Additionally, we offer specially designed loan products for small businesses through our Small Business Lending Center, and have a business banking division to increase lending to small and mid-sized businesses. Ongoing credit management activities continue to focus on commercial real estate loans given this is a significant portion of our loan portfolio. We are also engaged in initiatives that continue to diversify the loan portfolio including a strong focus on commercial and industrial loans in addition to financing owner-occupied properties.

Table of Contents

Residential Real Estate Loans. Real estate loans are available for the construction, purchase, and refinancing of residential owner-occupied and rental properties. Borrowers can choose from a variety of fixed and adjustable rate options and terms. We sell most residential real estate loans that we originate into the secondary market. Servicing is retained on the majority of these loans. We also support the Home Affordable Refinance Program and Home Affordable Modification Program.

Consumer Loans. We provide loans to individual borrowers for a variety of purposes, including secured and unsecured personal loans, home equity and personal lines of credit and motor vehicle loans. Loans may be made directly to borrowers or through Umpqua's dealer banking department.

Market Area and Competition

The geographic markets we serve are highly competitive for deposits, loans, leases and retail brokerage services. We compete with traditional banking institutions, as well as non-bank financial service providers, such as credit unions, brokerage firms and mortgage companies. In our primary market areas of Oregon, Washington, California, Idaho, and Nevada, major banks and large regional banks generally hold dominant market share positions. By virtue of their larger capital bases, these institutions have significantly larger lending limits than we do and generally have more expansive branch networks. Competition also includes other commercial banks that are community-focused.

As the industry becomes increasingly oriented toward technology-driven delivery systems, permitting transactions to be conducted by telephone, computer and the internet, non-bank institutions are able to attract funds and provide lending and other financial services even without offices located in our primary service area. Some insurance companies and brokerage firms compete for deposits by offering rates that are higher than may be appropriate for the Bank in relation to its asset and liability management objectives. However, we offer a wide array of deposit products and believe we can compete effectively through rate-driven product promotions. We also compete with full service investment firms for non-bank financial products and services offered by Umpqua Investments.

Credit unions present a significant competitive challenge for our banking services and products. As credit unions currently enjoy an exemption from income tax, they are able to offer higher deposit rates and lower loan rates than banks can on a comparable basis. Credit unions are also not currently subject to certain regulatory constraints, such as the Community Reinvestment Act ("CRA"), which, among other things, requires us to implement procedures to make and monitor loans throughout the communities we serve. Adhering to such regulatory requirements raises the costs associated with our lending activities, and reduces potential operating profits. Accordingly, we seek to compete by focusing on building customer relationships, providing superior service and offering a wide variety of commercial banking products, such as commercial real estate loans, inventory and accounts receivable financing, and SBA program loans for qualified businesses.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the Bank's market share percentage for total deposits as of June 30, 2015, in each county where we have operations. The table also indicates the ranking by deposit size in each market. All information in the table was obtained from SNL Financial, which compiles deposit data published by the FDIC as of June 30, 2015 and updates the information for any bank mergers and acquisitions completed subsequent to the reporting date.

| Oregon | | | | Washington | | | |
|------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|
| County | Market Share | Market Rank | Number of Stores | County | Market Share | Market Rank | Number of Stores |
| Baker | 27.4 | %2 | 1 | Adams | 22.6 | %3 | 2 |
| Benton | 7.6 | %7 | 2 | Asotin | 17.3 | %3 | 1 |
| Clackamas | 2.3 | %8 | 6 | Benton | 6.4 | %7 | 2 |
| Columbia | 16.2 | %3 | 1 | Clallam | 6.7 | %7 | 2 |
| Coos | 34.5 | %1 | 5 | Clark | 18.6 | %3 | 12 |
| Curry | 49.6 | %1 | 4 | Columbia | 24.9 | %3 | 1 |
| Deschutes | 6.5 | %7 | 9 | Douglas | 11.0 | %3 | 1 |
| Douglas | 70.5 | %1 | 9 | Franklin | 10.7 | %3 | 1 |
| Grant | 21.6 | %3 | 1 | Garfield | 57.2 | %1 | 1 |
| Harney | 22.4 | %3 | 1 | Grant | 8.3 | %7 | 2 |
| Jackson | 19.0 | %1 | 10 | Grays Harbor | 19.4 | %2 | 3 |
| Josephine | 21.7 | %1 | 5 | King | 2.3 | %8 | 24 |
| Klamath | 28.1 | %2 | 4 | Kitsap | 0.8 | %12 | 1 |
| Lake | 28.9 | %3 | 1 | Kittitas | 16.4 | %3 | 2 |
| Lane | 16.8 | %2 | 10 | Klickitat | 51.0 | %1 | 2 |
| Lincoln | 7.3 | %7 | 2 | Lewis | 17.0 | %2 | 4 |
| Linn | 12.7 | %4 | 3 | Okanogan | 22.1 | %2 | 2 |
| Malheur | 21.9 | %2 | 3 | Pierce | 3.7 | %8 | 12 |
| Marion | 7.8 | %6 | 4 | Skamania | 100.0 | %1 | 1 |
| Multnomah | 3.4 | %6 | 20 | Snohomish | 1.4 | %13 | 2 |
| Polk | 6.5 | %7 | 1 | Spokane | 9.3 | %5 | 9 |
| Tillamook | 31.5 | %2 | 2 | Thurston | 3.9 | %11 | 4 |
| Umatilla | 5.4 | %6 | 2 | Walla Walla | 4.4 | %6 | 2 |
| Union | 23.8 | %1 | 3 | Whatcom | 2.6 | %11 | 4 |
| Wallowa | 24.1 | %2 | 1 | Whitman | 6.1 | %6 | 3 |
| Washington | 6.6 | %6 | 7 | | | | |
| Yamhill | 3.4 | %8 | 1 | | | | |

Table of Contents

| California | | | | Idaho | | | |
|-----------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|------------------|
| County | Market Share | Market Rank | Number of Stores | County | Market Share | Market Rank | Number of Stores |
| Amador | 5.9 | %6 | 1 | Ada | 0.6 | %17 | 2 |
| Butte | 2.5 | %10 | 2 | Adams | 32.0 | %2 | 1 |
| Calaveras | 38.7 | %1 | 4 | Benewah | 20.8 | %3 | 1 |
| Colusa | 41.7 | %1 | 2 | Idaho | 47.5 | %1 | 3 |
| Contra Costa | 0.5 | %16 | 3 | Kootenai | 2.9 | %10 | 3 |
| El Dorado | 8.0 | %4 | 5 | Latah | 25.8 | %1 | 3 |
| Glenn | 29.0 | %2 | 2 | Nez Perce | 16.1 | %3 | 2 |
| Humboldt | 23.0 | %1 | 7 | Valley | 21.8 | %3 | 2 |
| Lake | 17.5 | %2 | 2 | | | | |
| Los Angeles | 0.0 | %73 | 3 | Nevada | | | |
| Marin | 1.9 | %10 | 3 | Washoe | 3.0 | %7 | 4 |
| Mendocino | 3.2 | %7 | 1 | | | | |
| Napa | 9.0 | %4 | 6 | | | | |
| Orange | 0.6 | %25 | 1 | | | | |
| Placer | 4.4 | %6 | 9 | | | | |
| Sacramento | 0.7 | %16 | 6 | | | | |
| San Diego | 0.1 | %35 | 3 | | | | |
| San Francisco | 0.0 | %35 | 2 | | | | |
| San Joaquin | 0.5 | %18 | 1 | | | | |
| San Luis Obispo | 0.3 | %11 | 1 | | | | |
| Santa Clara | 0.0 | %40 | 1 | | | | |
| Shasta | 1.9 | %8 | 1 | | | | |
| Solano | 3.2 | %8 | 4 | | | | |
| Sonoma | 5.2 | %7 | 9 | | | | |
| Stanislaus | 0.8 | %15 | 2 | | | | |
| Sutter | 12.3 | %3 | 2 | | | | |
| Tehama | 16.7 | %1 | 2 | | | | |
| Trinity | 29.4 | %2 | 1 | | | | |
| Tuolumne | 15.5 | %3 | 5 | | | | |
| Ventura | 0.1 | %24 | 1 | | | | |
| Yolo | 2.3 | %12 | 1 | | | | |
| Yuba | 26.6 | %3 | 2 | | | | |

Lending and Credit Functions

The Bank makes both secured and unsecured loans to individuals and businesses. At December 31, 2015, commercial real estate, commercial, residential, and consumer and other represented approximately 55.3%, 19.0%, 22.6%, and 3.1%, respectively, of the total loan and lease portfolio.

Inter-agency guidelines adopted by federal bank regulators mandate that financial institutions establish real estate lending policies with maximum allowable real estate loan-to-value limits, subject to an allowable amount of non-conforming loans as a percentage of capital. We have adopted as loan policy loan-to-value limits that range from 5% to 10% less than the federal guidelines for each category; however, policy exceptions are permitted for real estate loan customers with strong financial credentials.

Table of Contents

Loans and Leases

We manage asset quality and control credit risk through diversification of the loan and lease portfolio and the application of policies designed to promote sound underwriting and loan and lease monitoring practices. The Bank's Credit Quality Group is charged with monitoring asset quality, establishing credit policies and procedures and enforcing the consistent application of these policies and procedures across the Bank. The provision for loan and lease losses charged to earnings is based upon management's judgment of the amount necessary to maintain the allowance at a level adequate to absorb probable incurred losses. The amount of provision charged is dependent upon many factors, including loan and lease growth, net charge-offs, changes in the composition of the loan and lease portfolio, delinquencies, management's assessment of loan and lease portfolio quality, general economic conditions that can impact the value of collateral, and other trends. The evaluation of these factors is performed through an analysis of the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses. Reviews of non-performing, past due loans and leases and larger credits, designed to identify potential charges to the allowance for loan and lease losses, and to determine the adequacy of the allowance, are conducted on a quarterly basis. These reviews consider such factors as the financial strength of borrowers, the value of the applicable collateral, loan and lease loss experience, estimated loan and lease losses, growth in the loan and lease portfolio, prevailing economic conditions and other factors.

Employees

As of December 31, 2015, we had a total of 4,491 full-time equivalent employees. None of the employees are subject to a collective bargaining agreement and management believes its relations with employees to be good. Information regarding employment agreements with our executive officers is contained in Item 11 below, which item is incorporated by reference to our proxy statement for the 2016 annual meeting of shareholders.

Government Policies

The operations of our subsidiaries are affected by state and federal legislative and regulatory changes and by policies of various regulatory authorities, including, domestic monetary policies of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("Federal Reserve"), United States fiscal policy, and capital adequacy and liquidity constraints imposed by federal and state regulatory agencies.

Supervision and Regulation

General. We are extensively regulated under federal and state law. These laws and regulations are generally intended to protect depositors and customers, not shareholders. To the extent that the following information describes statutory or regulatory provisions, it is qualified in its entirety by reference to the particular statute or regulation. Any change in applicable laws or regulations may have a material effect on our business and prospects. We cannot accurately predict the nature or the extent of the effects on our business and earnings that fiscal or monetary policies, or new federal or state legislation or regulation may have in the future. Umpqua is subject to the disclosure and other requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and rules promulgated thereunder and administered by the Securities and Exchange Commission. As a listed company on NASDAQ, Umpqua is subject to NASDAQ rules for listed companies.

Holding Company Regulation. We are a registered financial holding company under the GLB Act, and are subject to the supervision of, and regulation by the Federal Reserve. As a financial holding company, we are examined by and file reports with the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve expects a bank holding company to serve as a source of financial and managerial strength to its subsidiary bank and, under appropriate circumstances, to commit resources to support the subsidiary bank.

Financial holding companies are bank holding companies that satisfy certain criteria and are permitted to engage in activities that traditional bank holding companies are not. The qualifications and permitted activities of financial holding companies are described below under "Regulatory Structure of the Financial Services Industry."

Federal and State Bank Regulation. Umpqua Bank, as a state chartered bank with deposits insured by the FDIC, is primarily subject to the supervision and regulation of the Oregon Department of Consumer and Business Services Division of Finance and Corporate Securities ("DCBS"), the Washington Department of Financial Institutions ("DFI"), the California Department of Business Oversight ("DBO"), the Idaho Department of Finance Banking Section, the Nevada Division of Financial Institutions, the FDIC and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau

("CFPB"). These agencies may prohibit the Bank from engaging in what they believe constitute unsafe or unsound banking practices. Our primary state regulator, DCBS, regularly examines the Bank or participates in joint examinations with the FDIC.

Table of Contents

The CRA requires that, in connection with examinations of financial institutions within its jurisdiction, the FDIC evaluate the records of the financial institutions in meeting the credit needs of their local communities, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, consistent with the safe and sound operation of those institutions. These factors are also considered in evaluating mergers, acquisitions and applications to open a branch or new facility. A less than "Satisfactory" rating would result in the suspension of any growth of the Bank through acquisitions or opening de novo branches until the rating is improved. As of the most recent CRA examination, the Bank's CRA rating was "Satisfactory."

Banks are also subject to certain restrictions imposed by the Federal Reserve Act on extensions of credit to executive officers, directors, principal shareholders or any related interest of such persons. Extensions of credit must be made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, and follow credit underwriting procedures that are not less stringent than, those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with persons not affiliated with the bank, and must not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other unfavorable features. Banks are also subject to certain lending limits and restrictions on overdrafts to such persons. A violation of these restrictions may result in the assessment of substantial civil monetary penalties on the affected bank or any officer, director, employee, agent or other person participating in the conduct of the affairs of that bank, the imposition of a cease and desist order, and other regulatory sanctions.

The Federal Reserve Act and related Regulation W limit the amount of certain loan and investment transactions between the Bank and its affiliates, require certain levels of collateral for such loans, and limit the amount of advances to third parties that may be collateralized by the securities of Umpqua or its subsidiaries. Regulation W requires that certain transactions between the Bank and its affiliates be on terms substantially the same, or at least as favorable to the Bank, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with or involving nonaffiliated companies or, in the absence of comparable transactions, on terms and under circumstances, including credit standards, that in good faith would be offered to or would apply to nonaffiliated companies. Umpqua and its subsidiaries have adopted an Affiliate Transactions Policy and have entered into various affiliate agreements in compliance with Regulation W. The Federal Reserve and the FDIC have adopted non-capital safety and soundness standards for institutions. These standards cover internal controls, information and internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate exposure, asset growth, compensation, fees and benefits, and standards for asset quality, earnings and stock valuation. An institution that fails to meet these standards must develop a plan acceptable to the agency, specifying the steps that it will take to meet the standards. Failure to submit or implement such a plan may subject the institution to regulatory sanctions. We believe that the Bank is in compliance with these standards.

Federal Deposit Insurance. Substantially all deposits with Umpqua Bank are insured up to applicable limits by the Deposit Insurance Fund ("DIF") of the FDIC and are subject to deposit insurance assessments to maintain the DIF. In October 2010, the FDIC adopted a new DIF restoration plan to ensure that the fund reserve ratio reaches 1.35% by September 30, 2020, as required by the Dodd-Frank Act. At least semi-annually, the FDIC will update its loss and income projections for the DIF and, if needed, increase or decrease assessment rates.

On February 7, 2011, the FDIC adopted a final rule modifying the risk-based assessment system from a domestic deposit base to a scorecard based assessment system, effective April 1, 2011. As of April 1, 2011, the Bank was categorized as a large institution as the Bank has more than \$10 billion in assets. The initial base assessment rates range from 5 to 35 basis points. After potential adjustments related to unsecured debt and brokered deposit balances, the final total assessment rates range from 2.5 to 45 basis points. Initial base assessment rates for large institutions ranged from 5 to 35 basis points. Increases in the assessment rate could have a material adverse effect on our earnings, depending upon the amount of the increase.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act permanently raised the standard maximum federal deposit insurance amount from \$100,000 to \$250,000 per qualified account.

The FDIC may terminate the deposit insurance of any insured depository institution if it determines that the institution has engaged in or is engaging in unsafe and unsound banking practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition or has violated any applicable law, regulation or order or any condition imposed in writing by, or pursuant to, any written agreement with the FDIC. The termination of deposit insurance for the Bank would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Dividends. Under the Oregon Bank Act and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 ("FDICIA"), the Bank is subject to restrictions on the payment of cash dividends to its parent company. A bank may not pay cash dividends if that payment would reduce the amount of its capital below that necessary to meet minimum applicable regulatory capital requirements. In addition, under the Oregon Bank Act, the amount of the dividend paid by the Bank may not be greater than net unreserved retained earnings, after first deducting to the extent not already charged against earnings or reflected in a reserve, all bad debts, which are debts on which interest is unpaid and past due at least six months unless the debt is fully secured and in the process of collection; all other assets charged-off as required by Oregon bank regulators or a state or federal examiner; and all accrued expenses, interest and taxes of the Bank. In addition, state and federal regulatory authorities are authorized to prohibit banks and holding companies from paying dividends that would constitute an unsafe or unsound banking practice. The Federal Reserve has issued a policy statement on the payment of cash dividends by bank holding companies, which expresses the Federal Reserve's view that a bank holding company should pay cash dividends only to the extent that its net income for the past year is sufficient to cover both the cash dividends and a rate of earnings retention that is consistent with the holding company's capital needs, asset quality, and overall financial condition.

Capital Adequacy. The federal and state bank regulatory agencies use capital adequacy guidelines in their examination and regulation of holding companies and banks. If capital falls below the minimum levels established by these guidelines, a holding company or a bank may be denied approval to acquire or establish additional banks or non-bank businesses or to open new facilities.

The FDIC and Federal Reserve have adopted risk-based capital guidelines for holding companies and banks. The risk-based capital guidelines are designed to make regulatory capital requirements more sensitive to differences in risk profile among holding companies and banks, to account for off-balance sheet exposure and to minimize disincentives for holding liquid assets. Assets and off-balance sheet items are assigned to broad risk categories, each with appropriate weights. The resulting capital ratios represent capital as a percentage of total risk-weighted assets and off-balance sheet items. The capital adequacy guidelines limit the degree to which a holding company or bank may leverage its equity capital.

Federal regulations establish minimum requirements for the capital adequacy of depository institutions, such as the Bank. Banks with capital ratios below the required minimums are subject to certain administrative actions, including prompt corrective action, the termination of deposit insurance upon notice and hearing, or a temporary suspension of insurance without a hearing.

On July 2, 2013, federal banking regulators approved final rules that revise the regulatory capital rules to incorporate certain revisions by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision to the Basel capital framework ("Basel III"). The phase-in period for the final rules began for the Company on January 1, 2015, with full compliance with the final rules entire requirement phased in on January 1, 2019.

The final rules, among other things, include a new common equity Tier 1 capital ("CET1") to risk-weighted assets ratio, including a capital conservation buffer, which will gradually increase from 4.5% on January 1, 2015 to 7.0% on January 1, 2019. The final rules also raise the minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets from 4.0% to 6.0% on January 1, 2015 to 8.5% on January 1, 2019, as well as require a minimum leverage ratio of 4.0%.

Under the final rules, as Umpqua grew above \$15.0 billion in assets as a result of an acquisition, the combined trust preferred security debt issuances are required to be phased out of Tier 1 and into Tier 2 capital (75% starting in the first quarter of 2015 and 100% starting in the first quarter of 2016). The final rules also provide for a number of adjustments to and deductions from the new CET1. Under Basel III, the effects of certain accumulated other comprehensive items are not excluded; however, the Company and the Bank, have made a one-time permanent election to continue to exclude these items in order to avoid significant variations in the level of capital depending upon the impact of interest rate fluctuations on the fair value of the Company's securities portfolio. In addition, deductions include, for example, the requirement that mortgage servicing rights, certain deferred tax assets not dependent upon future taxable income and significant investments in non-consolidated financial entities be deducted from CET1 to the extent that any one such category exceeds 10% of CET1 or all such categories in the aggregate

exceed 15% of CET1.

FDICIA requires federal banking regulators to take "prompt corrective action" with respect to a capital-deficient institution, including requiring a capital restoration plan and restricting certain growth activities of the institution. Umpqua could be required to guarantee any such capital restoration plan required of the Bank if the Bank became undercapitalized. Pursuant to FDICIA, regulations were adopted defining five capital levels: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, severely undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized. Under the regulations, the Bank is considered "well capitalized" as of December 31, 2015.

Federal and State Regulation of Broker-Dealers. Umpqua Investments is a fully disclosed introducing broker-dealer clearing through First Clearing LLC. Umpqua Investments is regulated by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA"), as well as the SEC, and has deposits insured through the Securities Investors Protection Corp ("SIPC") as well as third party insurers. FINRA and the SEC perform regular examinations of the Umpqua Investments that include reviews of policies, procedures, recordkeeping, trade practices, and customer protection as well as other inquiries.

12

Table of Contents

SIPC protects client securities and cash up to \$500,000, including \$100,000 for cash with additional coverage provided through First Clearing who maintains additional coverage through Lexington Insurance Company, for the remaining net equity balance in a brokerage account, if any. This coverage does not include losses in investment accounts.

Broker-Dealer and Related Regulatory Supervision. Umpqua Investments is a member of, and is subject to the regulatory supervision of, FINRA. Areas subject to FINRA oversight review include compliance with trading rules, financial reporting, investment suitability, and compliance with stock exchange rules and regulations.

Effects of Government Monetary Policy. Our earnings and growth are affected not only by general economic conditions, but also by the fiscal and monetary policies of the federal government, particularly the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve implements national monetary policy for such purposes as curbing inflation and combating recession, through its open market operations in U.S. Government securities, control of the discount rate applicable to borrowings from the Federal Reserve, and establishment of reserve requirements against certain deposits. These activities influence growth of bank loans, investments and deposits, and also affect interest rates charged on loans or paid on deposits. The nature and impact of future changes in monetary policies and their impact on us cannot be predicted with certainty.

Regulation of the Financial Services Industry. Federal laws and regulations governing banking and financial services underwent significant changes in recent years and we believe will continue to undergo significant changes in the future. From time to time, legislation is introduced in the United States Congress that contains proposals for altering the structure, regulation, and competitive relationships of the nation's financial institutions. If enacted into law, these proposals could increase or decrease the cost of doing business, limit or expand permissible activities, or affect the competitive balance among banks, savings associations, and other financial institutions. Whether or in what form any such legislation may be adopted or the extent to which our business might be affected thereby cannot be predicted. The GLB Act, enacted in November 1999, repealed sections of the Banking Act of 1933, commonly referred to as the Glass-Steagall Act, that prohibited banks from engaging in securities activities, and prohibited securities firms from engaging in banking. The GLB Act created a new form of holding company, known as a financial holding company, that is permitted to acquire subsidiaries that are variously engaged in banking, securities underwriting and dealing, and insurance underwriting.

A bank holding company, if it meets specified requirements, may elect to become a financial holding company by filing a declaration with the Federal Reserve, and may thereafter provide its customers with a broader spectrum of products and services than a traditional bank holding company is permitted to do. A financial holding company may, through a subsidiary, engage in any activity that is deemed to be financial in nature and activities that are incidental or complementary to activities that are financial in nature. These activities include traditional banking services and activities previously permitted to bank holding companies under Federal Reserve regulations, but also include underwriting and dealing in securities, providing investment advisory services, underwriting and selling insurance, merchant banking (holding a portfolio of commercial businesses, regardless of the nature of the business, for investment), and arranging or facilitating financial transactions for third parties.

To qualify as a financial holding company, the bank holding company must be deemed to be well-capitalized and well-managed, as those terms are used by the Federal Reserve. In addition, each subsidiary bank of a bank holding company must also be well-capitalized and well-managed and be rated at least "satisfactory" under the CRA. A bank holding company that does not qualify, or has not chosen, to become a financial holding company must limit its activities to traditional banking activities and those non-banking activities the Federal Reserve has deemed to be permissible because they are closely related to the business of banking.

The GLB Act also includes provisions to protect consumer privacy by prohibiting financial services providers, whether or not affiliated with a bank, from disclosing non-public personal, financial information to unaffiliated parties without the consent of the customer, and by requiring annual disclosure of the provider's privacy policy.

The Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994 ("Riegle-Neal Act"), which became effective in 1995, permits interstate banking and branching, which allows banks to expand nationwide through acquisition, consolidation or merger. Under this law, an adequately capitalized bank holding company may acquire banks in any state or merge banks across state lines if permitted by state law. Further, banks may establish and operate

branches in any state subject to the restrictions of applicable state law. Under Oregon law, an out-of-state bank or bank holding company may merge with or acquire an Oregon state chartered bank or bank holding company upon receipt of approval from the Director of the Oregon Department of Consumer and Business Services. The Bank now has the ability to open additional de novo branches in the states of Oregon, California, Washington, Idaho, and Nevada.

Table of Contents

Section 613 of the Dodd-Frank Act eliminated interstate branching restrictions that were implemented as part of the Riegle-Neal Act, and removed many restrictions on de novo interstate branching by national and state-chartered banks. The FDIC and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency now have authority to approve applications by insured state nonmember banks and national banks, respectively, to establish de novo branches in states other than the bank's home state if "the law of the State in which the branch is located, or is to be located, would permit establishment of the branch, if the bank were a State bank chartered by such State." The enactment of this Section 613 may significantly increase interstate banking by community banks in western states, where barriers to entry were previously high.

Anti-Terrorism Legislation. The Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act ("USA Patriot Act"), enacted in 2001:

- prohibits banks from providing correspondent accounts directly to foreign shell banks;
- imposes due diligence requirements on banks opening or holding accounts for foreign financial institutions or wealthy foreign individuals;
- requires financial institutions to establish an anti-money-laundering ("AML") compliance program; and
- generally eliminates civil liability for persons who file suspicious activity reports.

The USA Patriot Act also increases governmental powers to investigate terrorism, including expanded government access to account records. The Department of the Treasury is empowered to administer and make rules to implement the Act, which to some degree, affects our record-keeping and reporting expenses. Should the Bank's AML compliance program be deemed insufficient by federal regulators, we would not be able to grow through acquiring other institutions or opening de novo branches.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 addresses public company corporate governance, auditing, accounting, executive compensation and enhanced and timely disclosure of corporate information.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act represents significant federal involvement in matters traditionally left to state regulatory systems, such as the regulation of the accounting profession, and regulation of the relationship between a Board of Directors and management and between a Board of Directors and its committees.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act provides for, among other things:

- prohibition on personal loans by Umpqua to its directors and executive officers except loans made by the Bank in accordance with federal banking regulations;
- independence requirements for Board audit committee members and our external auditor;
- certification of reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") by the chief executive officer, chief financial officer and principal accounting officer;
- disclosure of off-balance sheet transactions;
- expedited reporting of stock transactions by insiders; and
- increased criminal penalties for violations of securities laws.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act also requires:

- management to establish, maintain, and evaluate disclosure controls and procedures;
- management to report on its annual assessment of the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting;
- our external auditor to attest to the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting.

The SEC has adopted regulations to implement various provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, including disclosures in periodic filings pursuant to the Exchange Act. Also, in response to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, NASDAQ adopted new standards for listed companies.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act. On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act was signed, which was a sweeping overhaul of financial industry regulation. Among other provisions, the Act:

- Created a systemic-risk council of top regulators, the Financial Stability Oversight Council (FSOC), whose purpose is to identify risks and respond to emerging threats to the financial stability of the U.S. arising from large, interconnected bank holding companies or nonbank financial companies;

Table of Contents

Gave the FDIC authority to unwind large failing financial firms. Treasury would supply funds to cover the up-front costs of winding down the failed firm, but the government would have to put a "repayment plan" in place. Regulators will recoup any losses incurred from the wind-down afterwards by assessing fees on financial firms with more than \$50 billion in assets;

Directed the FDIC to base deposit-insurance assessments on assets minus tangible capital instead of on domestic deposits and requires the FDIC to increase premium rates to raise the Deposit Insurance Fund's ("DIF") minimum reserve ratio from 1.15% to 1.35% by September 30, 2020. Banks, like Umpqua, with consolidated assets greater than \$10 billion would pay the increased premiums;

Permanently increased FDIC deposit-insurance coverage to \$250,000, retroactive to January 1, 2008. The act also eliminated the 1.5% cap on the DIF reserve ratio and automatic dividends when the ratio exceeds 1.35%. The FDIC also has discretion on whether to provide dividends to DIF members;

Authorized banks to pay interest on business checking accounts;

Created the CFPB, housed under the Federal Reserve and led by a director appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate. All existing consumer laws and regulations enforcement will be transferred to this agency and each existing regulatory agency will contribute their respective consumer regulatory and exam staffs to the CFPB;

Gave the CFPB the authority to write consumer protection rules for banks and nonbank financial firms offering consumer financial services or products and to ensure that consumers are protected from "unfair, deceptive, or abusive" acts or practices. The CFPB also now has authority to examine and enforce regulations for banks with greater than \$10 billion in assets;

Authorized the CFPB to require banks to compile and provide reports relating to its consumer lending, marketing and other consumer business activities and to make that information available to the public if doing so is "in the public interest";

Directed the Federal Reserve to set interchange fees for debit card transactions charged by banks with more than \$10 billion in assets. The Federal Reserve must establish what it determines are reasonable fees by factoring in their transaction costs compared to those for checks;

Requires loan originators to retain 5% of any loan sold and securitized, unless it is a "qualified residential mortgage", which includes standard 30 and 15 year fixed rate loans. It also specifically exempts from risk retention FHA, VA, Farmer Mac and Rural Housing Service loans;

Adopted additional various mortgage lending and predatory lending provisions;

Required federal regulators jointly to prescribe regulations mandating that financial institutions with more than \$1 billion in assets to disclose to their regulators their incentive compensation plans to permit the regulators to determine whether the plans provide executive officers, employees, directors or principal shareholders with excessive compensation, fees or benefits, or could lead to material financial loss to the institution;

Imposed a number of requirements related to executive compensation that apply to all public companies, such as prohibition of broker discretionary voting in connection with a shareholder vote on executive compensation; mandatory shareholder "say on pay" (every one to three years) and "say on golden parachutes"; and clawback of incentive compensation from current or former executive officers following any accounting restatement;

Established a modified version of the "Volcker Rule" and generally prohibits banks from engaging in proprietary trading or holding or obtaining an interest in a hedge fund or private equity fund, to the extent that it would exceed 3% of the bank's Tier 1 capital. A bank's interest in any single hedge fund or private equity fund may not exceed 3% of the assets of that fund.

Stress Testing and Capital Planning. Umpqua is subject to the annual Dodd-Frank Act capital stress testing (DFAST) requirements of the Federal Reserve and the FDIC. As part of the DFAST process, Umpqua will release certain results from stress testing exercises, generally in June of each year.

Table of Contents

CFPB Regulation and Supervision. As noted above, the Dodd-Frank Act gives the CFPB authority to examine Umpqua and Umpqua Bank for compliance with a broad range of federal consumer financial laws and regulations, including the laws and regulations that relate to credit card, deposit, mortgage and other consumer financial products and services the Bank offers. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act gives the CFPB broad authority to take corrective action against Umpqua and Umpqua Bank as it deems appropriate. The CFPB is authorized to issue regulations and take enforcement actions to prevent and remedy acts and practices relating to consumer financial products and services that it deems to be unfair, deceptive or abusive. The agency also has authority to impose new disclosure requirements for any consumer financial product or service. These authorities are in addition to the authority the CFPB assumed on July 21, 2011 under existing consumer financial law governing the provision of consumer financial products and services. The CFPB has concentrated much of its initial rulemaking efforts on a variety of mortgage related topics required under the Dodd-Frank Act, including ability-to-repay and qualified mortgage standards, mortgage servicing standards, loan originator compensation standards, high-cost mortgage requirements, appraisal and escrow standards and requirements for higher-priced mortgages.

In January 2014, new rules issued by the CFPB for mortgage origination and mortgage servicing became effective. The rules require lenders to conduct a reasonable and good faith determination at or before consummation of a residential mortgage loan that the borrower will have a reasonable ability to repay the loan. The regulations also define criteria for making Qualified Mortgages which entitle the lender and any assignee to either a conclusive or rebuttable presumption of compliance with the ability to repay rule. The new mortgage servicing rules include new standards for notices to consumers, loss mitigation procedures, and consumer requests for information. Both the origination and servicing rules create new private rights of action for consumers in the event of certain violations. In addition to the exercise of its rulemaking authority, the CFPB is continuing its ongoing examination and supervisory activities with respect to a number of consumer businesses and products.

On October 3, 2015, the CFPB's final rules on integrated mortgage disclosures under the Truth in Lending Act and the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act became effective. Throughout 2015, the CFPB continued its focus on fair lending practices of indirect automobile lenders. This focus led to some lenders to enter into consent orders with the CFPB and Department of Justice. Indirect automobile lenders have also received continued pressure from the CFPB to limit or eliminate discretionary pricing by dealers. Banking regulatory agencies have increasingly used their authority under Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act to take supervisory or enforcement action with respect to unfair or deceptive acts or practices (UDAP) by banks under standards developed many years ago by the Federal Trade Commission in order to address practices that may not necessarily fall within the scope of a specific banking or consumer finance law. The Dodd-Frank Act also gave to the CFPB similar authority to take action in connection with unfair, deceptive, or abusive acts or practices (UDAAP) by entities subject to CFPB supervisory or enforcement authority. Banks face considerable uncertainty as to the regulatory interpretation of "abusive" practices. Financial services companies face increased regulation and exposure under the new Military Lending Act (MLA) final rules issued by the Department of Defense that become effective for new loans entered into on and after October 3, 2016. The new rules dramatically expand the scope of coverage of the MLA and compliance with the new rules will affect operations of more financial services companies than under the previous rules. We continue to monitor, evaluate, and implement new regulations.

Joint Agency Guidance on Incentive Compensation. On June 21, 2010, federal banking regulators issued final joint agency guidance on Sound Incentive Compensation Policies. This guidance applies to executive and non-executive incentive compensation plans administered by banks. The guidance says that incentive compensation programs must:

- Provide employees incentives that appropriately balance risk and reward.
- Be compatible with effective controls and risk- management; and
- Be supported by strong corporate governance, including active and effective oversight by the board;

The Federal Reserve reviews, as part of the regular, risk-focused examination process, the incentive compensation arrangements of the Company and other banking organizations. The findings of the supervisory initiatives are included in reports of examination and any deficiencies will be incorporated into the Company's supervisory ratings, which can affect the Company's ability to make acquisitions and take other actions.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed below. These factors could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, liquidity, results of operations and capital position, and could cause our actual results to differ materially from our historical results or the results contemplated by the forward-looking statements contained in this report.

Difficult market and weak economic conditions have adversely affected us in the past and may adversely affect the financial services industry and our business, financial condition and results of operations in the future.

Our business and financial performance are vulnerable to weak economic conditions, primarily in the United States and especially in the western United States. The severe conditions from 2007 to 2009 had a significant negative impact on the financial services industry, and on Umpqua, including significant write-downs of asset values, bank failures and volatile financial markets. A deterioration in economic conditions or a prolonged delay in economic recovery in our primary market areas could result in the following consequences, any of which could materially and adversely affect our business: loan delinquencies may increase; problem assets and foreclosures may increase putting further price pressures on valuations generally; demand for our products and services may decrease; low cost or noninterest bearing deposits may decrease; and collateral for loans made by us, especially real estate, may decline in value, in turn reducing customers' borrowing power, and reducing the value of assets and collateral associated with our existing loans. In addition, we could face the following risks in connection with these events:

Increased regulation of our industry, including the continued implementation of regulations under the Dodd-Frank Act. Compliance with such regulation would increase our costs, reduce existing sources of revenue and may limit our ability to pursue business opportunities.

Our ability to assess the creditworthiness of our customers may be impaired if the models and approaches we use to select, manage, and underwrite our customers become less predictive of future performance.

The process we use to estimate losses inherent in our loan portfolio requires difficult, subjective, and complex judgments, including forecasts of economic conditions and how these economic predictions might impair the ability of our borrowers to repay their loans, which process may no longer be capable of accurate estimation and may, in turn, impact its reliability.

There may be downward pressure on our stock price.

We may face increased competition due to intensified consolidation of the financial services industry.

The majority of our assets are loans, which if not repaid would result in losses to the Bank.

The Bank, like other lenders, is subject to credit risk, which is the risk of losing principal or interest due to borrowers' failure to repay loans in accordance with their terms. Underwriting and documentation controls cannot mitigate all credit risk. A downturn in the economy or the real estate market in our market areas or a rapid increase in interest rates could have a negative effect on collateral values and borrowers' ability to repay. To the extent loans are not paid timely by borrowers, the loans are placed on non-accrual status, thereby reducing interest income. Further, under these circumstances, an additional provision for loan and lease losses or unfunded commitments may be required. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations- "Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Unfunded Commitments", "Provision for Loan and Lease Losses" and "Asset Quality and Non-Performing Assets".

A large percentage of our loan portfolio is secured by real estate, in particular commercial real estate. Deterioration in the real estate market or other segments of our loan portfolio would lead to additional losses, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

As of December 31, 2015, approximately 78% of our total loan portfolio is secured by real estate, the majority of which is commercial real estate. Increases in commercial and consumer delinquency levels or declines in real estate market values would require increased net charge-offs and increases in the allowance for loan and lease losses, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and prospects.

Completing the final Sterling merger-related projects may be more difficult, costly or time consuming than expected and the anticipated benefits and cost savings of the Merger may not be realized.

The success of the Merger, including anticipated benefits and cost savings, depends, in part, on Umpqua's ability to successfully combine and integrate the businesses of Umpqua and Sterling in a manner that permits growth opportunities and does not materially disrupt the existing customer relations nor result in decreased revenues due to loss of customers. It is possible that the process of converting systems and consolidating operations could result in the loss of key employees, disrupt our ongoing businesses or adversely affect our ability to maintain customer relationships or to achieve the anticipated benefits and cost savings of the Merger. If we experience difficulties completing the final integration-related systems conversion, the anticipated benefits of the Merger may not be realized fully and management's attention and Company resources could be diverted.

Table of Contents

A rapid change in interest rates, or maintenance of rates at historically high or low levels for an extended period, could make it difficult to maintain our current interest income spread and could result in reduced earnings.

Our earnings are largely derived from net interest income, which is interest income and fees earned on loans and investments, less interest paid on deposits and other borrowings. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond the control of our management, including general economic conditions and the policies of various governmental and regulatory authorities. The actions of the Federal Reserve influence the rates of interest that we charge on loans and that we pay on borrowings and interest-bearing deposits. We cannot predict the nature or timing of future changes in monetary, tax and other policies or the effects that they may have on our activities and financial results. As interest rates change, net interest income is affected. With fixed rate assets (such as fixed rate loans and most investment securities) and liabilities (such as certificates of deposit), the effect on net interest income depends on the cash flows associated with the maturity of the asset or liability. Asset/liability management policies may not be successfully implemented and from time to time our risk position is not balanced. An unanticipated rapid decrease or increase in interest rates could have an adverse effect on the spreads between the interest rates earned on assets and the rates of interest paid on liabilities, and therefore on the level of net interest income. For instance, any rapid increase in interest rates in the future could result in interest expense increasing faster than interest income because of fixed rate loans and longer-term investments. Historically low rates for an extended period of time result in reduced returns from the investment and loan portfolios. The current very low interest rate environment, which is expected to continue with the potential for slight increases over time, could affect consumer and business behavior in ways that are adverse to us and negatively impact our ability to increase our net interest income. In late 2015, the Federal Reserve increased the rate by 25 basis points. Further, substantially higher interest rates generally reduce loan demand and may result in slower loan growth than previously experienced. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-"Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk".

Changes in interest rates could reduce the value of mortgage servicing rights (MSR).

We acquire MSR when we keep servicing rights after we sell originated residential mortgage loans. We initially measure MSR at fair value and subsequently amortize MSR in proportion to, and over the period of, estimated net servicing income. Fair value is the present value of estimated future net servicing income, calculated based on a number of variables, including assumptions about the likelihood of prepayment by borrowers. Changes in interest rates can affect prepayment assumptions and consequently MSR fair value. When interest rates fall, borrowers are usually more likely to prepay their mortgage loans by refinancing them at a lower rate. As the likelihood of prepayment increases, MSR fair value can decrease, which reduces earnings in the period in which the decrease occurs.

Our mortgage banking revenue can fluctuate significantly.

We earn revenue from fees received for originating and servicing mortgage loans. Generally, if interest rates rise, the demand for mortgage loans tends to fall, reducing the revenue we receive from originations. At the same time, revenue from MSR can increase through increases in fair value. When interest rates decline, originations tend to increase and the value of MSR tends to decline, also with some offsetting revenue effect. The negative effect on revenue from a decrease in the fair value of residential MSR is immediate, but any offsetting revenue benefit from more originations and the MSR relating to new loans accrues over time. It is also possible that even if interest rates were to fall, mortgage originations may also fall or any increase in mortgage originations may not be enough to offset the decrease in the MSR value caused by the lower rates.

We depend upon programs administered by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae.

Our ability to generate revenues in our home lending group depends on programs administered by government-sponsored entities that play an important role in the residential mortgage industry. During 2015, we sold 65% of our mortgage loans to, or through programs sponsored by, Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae. We service loans on behalf of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, as well as loans that have been securitized pursuant to securitization programs sponsored by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae. A majority of our mortgage servicing rights and loans serviced through subservicing agreements relate to these servicing activities. These entities establish the base service fee to compensate us for servicing loans as well as the assessment of fines and penalties that may be imposed upon us for failing to meet servicing standards. Our status as a Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae approved seller and servicer is subject to compliance with guidelines and failure to meet such guidelines could result in the unilateral termination of our status as an approved seller or servicer. Changes in the existing government-sponsored mortgage programs or servicing eligibility standards through legislation or otherwise, or our failure to maintain a relationship with each of Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae, could materially and adversely affect our business, financial position, results of operations and cash flows through negative impact on the pricing of mortgage related assets in the secondary market, higher mortgage rates to borrowers, or lower mortgage origination volumes and margins.

Table of Contents

The financial services industry is highly competitive.

We face pricing competition for loans and deposits. We also face competition with respect to customer convenience, product lines, accessibility of service and service capabilities. Our most direct competition comes from other banks, brokerages, mortgage companies and savings institutions, but more recently has also come from financial technology (or "fintech") companies that rely on technology to provide financial services. We also face competition from credit unions, government-sponsored enterprises, mutual fund companies, insurance companies and other non-bank businesses. The significant competition in attracting and retaining deposits and making loans as well as in providing other financial services throughout our market area may impact future earnings and growth. Our success depends, in part, on the ability to adapt products and services to evolving industry standards. There is increasing pressure to provide products and services at lower prices, which can reduce net interest income and non-interest income from fee-based products and services. New technology-driven products and services are often introduced and adopted, including innovative ways that customers can make payments, access products and manage accounts. We could be required to make substantial capital expenditures to modify or adapt existing products and services or develop new products and services. We may not be successful in introducing new products and services or those new products may not achieve market acceptance. We could lose business, be forced to price products and services on less advantageous terms to retain or attract clients, or be subject to cost increases if we do not effectively develop and implement new technology. In addition, advances in technology such as telephone, text, and on-line banking; e-commerce; and self-service automatic teller machines and other equipment, as well as changing customer preferences to access our products and services through digital channels, could decrease the value of our store network and other assets. We may close or sell certain stores and restructure or reduce our remaining stores and work force. These actions could lead to losses on assets, expense to reconfigure stores and loss of customers in certain markets. As a result, our business, financial condition or results of operations may be adversely affected.

Interest rate volatility and credit risk adjusted rate spreads may impact our financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value, particularly the fair value of our junior subordinated debentures.

The widening of the credit risk adjusted rate spreads on potential new issuances of junior subordinated debentures above our contractual spreads and reductions in three month LIBOR rates have contributed to the cumulative positive fair value adjustment in our junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value. Tightening of these credit risk adjusted rate spreads and interest rate volatility may result in recognizing negative fair value adjustments charged to earnings in the future.

The Dodd-Frank Act and other legislative and regulatory initiatives contain numerous provisions and requirements that could detrimentally affect the Company's business.

The Dodd-Frank Act and related regulations subject us and other financial institutions to additional restrictions, oversight, reporting obligations, which have significantly increased costs and could have an adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations or the price of our common stock. Increased regulation of the financial services industry restricts the ability of firms within the industry to conduct business consistent with historical practices, including aspects such as compensation, interest rates, new and inconsistent consumer protection regulations and mortgage regulation, among others. Congress or state legislatures could also adopt laws reducing the amount that borrowers are otherwise contractually required to pay under existing loan contracts, require lenders to extend or restructure certain loans or limit foreclosure and collection remedies. Federal and state regulatory agencies also frequently adopt changes to their regulations or change the manner in which existing regulations are applied. We cannot predict the substance or impact of pending or future legislation or regulation, or the application thereof. Compliance with such current and potential regulation and scrutiny could significantly increase our costs, impede the efficiency of our internal business processes, may require us to increase our regulatory capital and may limit our ability to pursue business opportunities in an efficient manner. In response, we may be required to or choose to raise

additional capital, which could have a dilutive effect on the existing holders of our common stock and adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

We are subject to extensive regulation under federal and state laws. These laws and regulations are primarily intended to protect customers, depositors and the deposit insurance fund, rather than shareholders. The Bank is an Oregon state-chartered commercial bank whose primary regulator is the DCBS. The Bank is also subject to the supervision by and the regulations of the DFI, the DBO, the Idaho Department of Finance Banking Section, the Nevada Division of Financial Institutions, the FDIC, which insures bank deposits and the CFPB. Umpqua Investments is subject to extensive regulation by the SEC and the FINRA. Umpqua is subject to regulation and supervision by the Federal Reserve and the SEC and must comply with NASDAQ listing standards. Federal and state regulations may place banks and brokerage firms at a competitive disadvantage compared to less regulated competitors such as finance companies, credit unions, mortgage banking companies and leasing companies. There is also the possibility that laws could be enacted that would prohibit a company from controlling both an FDIC-insured bank and a broker-dealer, or restrict their activities if under common ownership. If we receive less than satisfactory results on regulatory examinations, we could be restricted from making acquisitions, adding new stores, developing new lines of business, or otherwise continuing our growth strategy for a period of time. Future changes in federal and state banking and brokerage regulations could adversely affect our operating results and ability to continue to compete effectively.

Table of Contents

We may be required to raise additional capital in the future, but that capital may not be available when it is needed, or it may only be available on unacceptable terms, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We are required by federal and state regulatory authorities to maintain adequate levels of capital to support our operations. Our ability to raise additional capital, if needed, will depend on conditions in the capital markets at that time, which are outside our control, and on our financial performance. Accordingly, we may not be able to raise additional capital, if needed, on terms acceptable to us. If we cannot raise additional capital when needed, our ability to further expand our operations and pursue our growth strategy could be materially impaired. We and the Bank are currently well capitalized under applicable regulatory guidelines. However, our business could be negatively affected if we or the Bank failed to remain well capitalized. For example, because Umpqua Bank is well capitalized and we otherwise qualify as a financial holding company, we are permitted to engage in a broader range of activities than are permitted to a bank holding company. Loss of financial holding company status could require that we cease these broader activities. The banking regulators are authorized (and sometimes required) to impose a wide range of requirements, conditions, and restrictions on banks, thrifts, and bank holding companies that fail to maintain adequate capital levels.

New rules will require increased capital and restrict TRUPS as a component of Tier 1 Capital.

In June 2013, federal banking regulators jointly issued the Basel III Rules. The rules impose new capital requirements and implement Section 171 of the Dodd Frank Act. The new rules are to be phased in through 2019, beginning January 1, 2015. Among other things, the rules will require that we maintain a common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 4.5%, a Tier 1 capital ratio of 6%, a total capital ratio of 8%, and a leverage ratio of 4%. In addition, we will have to maintain an additional capital conservation buffer of 2.5% of total risk weighted assets or be subject to limitations on dividends and other capital distributions, as well as limiting discretionary bonus payments to executive officers. The new rules also restrict trust preferred securities/junior subordinated debentures ("TRUPS") from comprising more than 25% of our Tier 1 capital. TRUPS now constitute approximately 22% of our Tier 1 capital. Our trust preferred issuances will be completely phased out of Tier 1 and into Tier 2 capital in 2016. It is possible the Company may accelerate redemption of the existing junior subordinated debentures. This could result in adjustments to the fair value of these instruments including the acceleration of losses on junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value within non-interest income. The Company currently does not intend to redeem the junior subordinated debentures in order to support regulatory total capital levels. The new rules may require us to raise more common capital or other capital that qualifies as Tier 1 capital. The application of more stringent capital requirements could, among other things, result in lower returns on invested capital and result in regulatory actions if we were to be unable to comply with such requirements. But based on the current components and levels of our capital and assets, we believe that we will be in compliance with the new capital requirements.

Conditions in the financial markets may limit our access to additional funding to meet our liquidity needs.

Liquidity is essential to our business. An inability to raise funds through deposits, borrowings, the sale or pledging as collateral of loans and other assets could have a substantial negative effect on our liquidity. Our access to funding sources in amounts adequate to finance our activities could be impaired by factors that affect us specifically or the financial services industry in general. An adverse regulatory action against us could detrimentally impact our access to liquidity sources. Our ability to borrow could also be impaired by factors that are nonspecific to us, such as severe disruption of the financial markets or negative news and expectations about the prospects for the financial services industry as a whole as evidenced by turmoil in the domestic and worldwide credit markets.

Our wholesale funding sources may prove insufficient to support our future growth or an unexpected reduction in deposits.

We must maintain sufficient funds to respond to the needs of depositors and borrowers. As a part of our liquidity management, we use a number of funding sources in addition to core deposit growth and repayments and maturities of loans and investments. If we grow more rapidly than any increase in our deposit balances, we are likely to become more dependent on these sources, which include Federal Home Loan Bank advances, proceeds from the sale of loans and liquidity resources at the holding company. Our financial flexibility will be severely constrained if we are unable to maintain our access to funding or if adequate financing is not available to accommodate future growth at acceptable interest rates. If we are required to rely more heavily on more expensive funding sources to support future growth, our revenues may not increase proportionately to cover our costs, and our profitability would be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

As a bank holding company that conducts substantially all of our operations through the Bank, our ability to pay dividends, repurchase our shares or to repay our indebtedness depends upon liquid assets held by the holding company and the results of operations of our subsidiaries.

The Company is a separate and distinct legal entity from our subsidiaries and it receives substantially all of its revenue from dividends paid from the Bank. There are legal limitations on the extent to which the Bank may extend credit, pay dividends or otherwise supply funds to, or engage in transactions with, us. Our inability to receive dividends from the Bank could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Our net income depends primarily upon the Bank's net interest income, which is the income that remains after deducting from total income generated by earning assets the expense attributable to the acquisition of the funds required to support earning assets (primarily interest paid on deposits). The amount of interest income is dependent on many factors including the volume of earning assets, the general level of interest rates, the dynamics of changes in interest rates and the levels of nonperforming loans. All of those factors affect the Bank's ability to pay dividends to the Company.

Various statutory provisions restrict the amount of dividends the Bank can pay to us without regulatory approval. The Bank may not pay cash dividends if that payment could reduce the amount of its capital below that necessary to meet the "adequately capitalized" level in accordance with regulatory capital requirements. It is also possible that, depending upon the financial condition of the Bank and other factors, regulatory authorities could conclude that payment of dividends or other payments, including payments to us, is an unsafe or unsound practice and impose restrictions or prohibit such payments. Under Oregon law, the Bank may not pay dividends in excess of unreserved retained earnings, deducting there from, to the extent not already charged against earnings or reflected in a reserve, the following: (1) all bad debts, which are debts on which interest is past due and unpaid for at least six months, unless the debt is fully secured and in the process of collection; (2) all other assets charged-off as required by Oregon bank regulators or a state or federal examiner; and (3) all accrued expenses, interest and taxes of the institution. The Federal Reserve has issued a policy statement on the payment of cash dividends by bank holding companies, which expresses the Federal Reserve's view that a bank holding company should pay cash dividends only to the extent that its net income for the past year is sufficient to cover both the cash dividends and a rate of earnings retention that is consistent with the holding company's capital needs, asset quality and overall financial condition.

Our business is highly reliant on technology and our ability to manage the operational risks associated with technology.

Our business involves storing and processing sensitive consumer and business customer data. A cyber security breach may result in theft of such data or disruption of our transaction processing systems. We depend on internal systems and outsourced technology to support these data storage and processing operations. Our inability to use or access these information systems at critical points in time could unfavorably impact the timeliness and efficiency of our business operations. A material breach of customer data security may negatively impact our business reputation and cause a loss of customers, result in increased expense to contain the event and/or require that we provide credit monitoring services for affected customers, result in regulatory fines and sanctions and/or result in litigation. Cyber security risk management programs are expensive to maintain and will not protect the Company from all risks associated with maintaining the security of customer data and the Company's proprietary data from external and internal intrusions, disaster recovery and failures in the controls used by our vendors. In addition, Congress and the legislatures of states in which we operate regularly consider legislation that would impose more stringent data privacy requirements.

Our business is highly reliant on third party vendors and our ability to manage the operational risks associated with outsourcing those services.

We rely on third parties to provide services that are integral to our operations. These vendors provide services that support our operations, including the storage and processing of sensitive consumer and business customer data, as well as our sales efforts. A cyber security breach of a vendor's system may result in theft of our data or disruption of business processes. A material breach of customer data security at a service provider's site may negatively impact our business reputation and cause a loss of customers; result in increased expense to contain the event and/or require that we provide credit monitoring services for affected customers, result in regulatory fines and sanctions and/or result in litigation. In most cases, we will remain primarily liable to our customers for losses arising from a breach of a vendor's data security system. We rely on our outsourced service providers to implement and maintain prudent cyber security controls. We have procedures in place to assess a vendor's cyber security controls prior to establishing a contractual relationship and to periodically review assessments of those control systems; however, these procedures are not infallible and a vendor's system can be breached despite the procedures we employ. We have alliances with other companies that assist in our sales efforts. In our wealth management business, we have an alliance with Ferguson Wellman, a registered investment advisor to whom we refer customers for investment advice and asset management services. We cannot be sure that we will be able to maintain these relationships on favorable terms. In addition, some of our data processing services are provided by companies associated with our competitors. The loss of these vendor relationships could disrupt the services we provide to our customers and cause us to incur significant expense in connection with replacing these services.

Table of Contents

Damage to our brand and reputation could significantly harm our business and prospects.

Our brand and reputation are important assets. Our relationship with many of our customers is predicated upon our reputation as a high quality provider of financial services that adheres to the highest standards of ethics, service quality and regulatory compliance. We believe that our brand has been, and continues to be, well received in our industry, with current and potential customers, investors and employees. Our ability to attract and retain customers, investors and employees depends upon external perceptions of us. Damage to our reputation among existing and potential customers, investors and employees could cause significant harm to our business and prospects and may arise from numerous sources, including litigation or regulatory actions, failing to deliver minimum standards of service and quality, lending practices, inadequate protection of customer information, sales and marketing efforts, compliance failures, unethical behavior and the misconduct of employees. Adverse developments with respect to our industry may also, by association, negatively impact our reputation or result in greater regulatory or legislative scrutiny or litigation against us.

A decline in the Company's stock price or expected future cash flows, or a material adverse change in our results of operations or prospects, could result in impairment of our goodwill.

From time to time, the Company's common stock has traded at a price below its book value, including goodwill and other intangible assets. A significant and sustained decline in our stock price and market capitalization, a significant decline in our expected future cash flows, a significant adverse change in the business climate or slower growth rates could result in impairment of our goodwill. If impairment was deemed to exist, a write down of goodwill would occur with a charge to earnings.

Deterioration in the real estate market could result in restructured loans becoming delinquent and classified as non-accrual loans.

We restructured loans, primarily during the recent recession, in response to borrower financial difficulty, by providing modification of loan repayment terms. Loans are reported as restructured when we grant significant concessions to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties that we would not otherwise consider. Examples of such concessions include forgiveness of principal or accrued interest, extending loan maturity dates or providing a lower interest rate than would be normally available for a transaction of similar risk. In exchange for these concessions, at the time of restructure, we require additional collateral to bring the loan to value to at most 100%. A decline in the economic conditions in our general market areas and other factors affecting the specific borrower could adversely impact borrowers with restructured loans and cause borrowers to become delinquent or otherwise default or call into question their ability to repay full interest and principal in accordance with the restructured terms, which would result in the restructured loan being reclassified as a non-accrual loan.

We have a gross deferred tax asset position of \$308.4 million at December 31, 2015, and we are required to assess the recoverability of this asset on an ongoing basis.

Deferred tax assets are evaluated on a quarterly basis to determine if they are expected to be recoverable in the future. Our evaluation considers positive and negative evidence to assess whether it is more likely than not that a portion of the asset will not be realized. The risk of a valuation allowance increases if continuing operating losses are incurred. Future negative operating performance or other negative evidence may result in a valuation allowance being recorded against some or all of this amount. A valuation allowance on our deferred tax asset could have a material adverse impact on our capital and results of operations.

We are pursuing an aggressive growth strategy that could include mergers and acquisitions.

Umpqua is among the fastest-growing community financial services organizations in the United States. Over the past several years, we have completed the acquisition and integration of multiple other financial institutions. There is no assurance that future acquisitions will be successfully integrated. We continue to pursue traditional merger and acquisition transactions, expand into new markets and open new stores or offices to continue our growth strategy. If we pursue our growth strategy too aggressively, or if factors beyond management's control divert attention away from our integration plans, we might not be able to realize some or all of the anticipated benefits. Moreover, we depend on the efforts of key personnel to achieve the synergies associated with our acquisitions. The loss of one or more of our key persons could have a material adverse effect upon our ability to achieve the anticipated benefits.

Table of Contents

Involvement in non-bank business creates risks associated with the securities industry.

Umpqua Investments' retail brokerage operations present special risks not borne by community banks that focus exclusively on community banking. For example, the brokerage industry is subject to fluctuations in the stock market that may have a significant adverse impact on transaction fees, customer activity and investment portfolio gains and losses. Likewise, additional or modified regulations may adversely affect Umpqua Investments' operations. Umpqua Investments is also dependent on a small number of established brokers, whose departure could result in the loss of a significant number of customer accounts. A significant decline in fees and commissions or trading losses suffered in the investment portfolio could adversely affect Umpqua Investments' income and potentially require the contribution of additional capital to support its operations. Umpqua Investments is subject to claim arbitration risk arising from customers who claim their investments were not suitable or that their portfolios were too actively traded. These risks increase when the market, as a whole, declines. The risks associated with retail brokerage may not be supported by the income generated by those operations. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-"Non-interest Income".

The value of the securities in our investment securities portfolio may be negatively affected by continued disruptions in securities markets.

The market for some of the investment securities held in our portfolio has become extremely volatile over the past three years. Volatile market conditions or deteriorating financial performance of the issuer or obligor may detrimentally affect the value of these securities. There can be no assurance that the declines in market value associated with these disruptions will not result in other-than-temporary or permanent impairments of these assets, which would lead to accounting charges that could have a material adverse effect on our net income and capital levels.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

The executive offices of Umpqua and Umpqua Investments are located at One SW Columbia Street in Portland, Oregon in office space that is leased. The Bank's headquarters, located in Roseburg, Oregon, is owned. At December 31, 2015, the Bank conducted community banking activities or operated Commercial Banking Centers at 382 locations, in California, Oregon and Washington along the I-5 corridor; in the San Francisco Bay area, Inland Foothills, Napa, and Coastal regions in California; in Bend and along the Pacific Coast of Oregon; in greater Seattle and Bellevue, Washington, and in Idaho and Reno, Nevada, of which 145 are owned and 237 are leased under various agreements. As of December 31, 2015, the Bank also operated 22 facilities for the purpose of administrative and other functions, such as back-office support, of which 3 are owned and 19 are leased. All facilities are in a good state of repair and appropriately designed for use as banking or administrative office facilities. As of December 31, 2015, Umpqua Investments leased four stand-alone offices from unrelated third parties, one stand-alone office from the Bank, and also leased space in 15 Bank stores under lease agreements based on market rates.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

Due to the nature of our business, we are involved in legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of our business. While the outcome of these matters is currently not determinable, we do not expect that the ultimate costs to resolve these matters will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, cash flows, or our ability to close the proposed Sterling merger.

The Company assumed, as successor-in-interest to Sterling, the defense of litigation matters pending against Sterling. Sterling previously reported that on December 11, 2009, a putative securities class action complaint captioned City of Roseville Employees' Retirement System v. Sterling Financial Corp., et al., No. CV 09-00368-EFS, was filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Washington against Sterling and certain of its current and former officers. On June 18, 2010, lead plaintiff filed a consolidated complaint alleging that the defendants violated sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and SEC Rule 10b-5 by making false and misleading statements concerning Sterling's business and financial results. Plaintiffs sought unspecified damages and attorneys' fees and costs. On August 30, 2010, Sterling moved to dismiss the Complaint, and the court granted the motion to dismiss without prejudice on August 5, 2013. On October 11, 2013, the lead plaintiff filed an amended consolidated complaint with the same defendants, class period, alleged violations, and relief sought. On January 24, 2014, Sterling moved to dismiss the amended consolidated complaint, and on September 17, 2014, the court entered an order dismissing the amended consolidated complaint in its entirety with no further leave to amend. On October 24, 2014, plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit from the district court's order granting the motion to dismiss the amended consolidated complaint. Appellant filed its opening brief on April 3, 2015 and the Company filed its reply brief on June 17, 2015; additional appellate briefing was filed in the third quarter 2015.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.

Not applicable

Table of Contents

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

(a) Our common stock is traded on The NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "UMPQ." As of December 31, 2015, there were 400,000,000 common shares authorized for issuance. The following table presents the high and low sales prices of our common stock for each period, based on inter-dealer prices that do not include retail mark-ups, mark-downs or commissions, and cash dividends declared for each period:

| Quarter Ended | High | Low | Cash Dividend Per Share |
|--------------------|----------|----------|-------------------------------|
| December 31, 2015 | \$ 18.05 | \$ 15.52 | \$0.16 |
| September 30, 2015 | \$ 18.89 | \$ 15.53 | \$0.16 |
| June 30, 2015 | \$ 18.92 | \$ 16.82 | \$0.15 |
| March 31, 2015 | \$ 17.50 | \$ 14.70 | \$0.15 |
| December 31, 2014 | \$ 17.98 | \$ 14.94 | \$0.15 |
| September 30, 2014 | \$ 18.39 | \$ 16.22 | \$0.15 |
| June 30, 2014 | \$ 19.36 | \$ 19.00 | \$0.15 |
| March 31, 2014 | \$ 19.60 | \$ 16.50 | \$0.15 |

As of December 31, 2015, our common stock was held by approximately 5,247 shareholders of record, a number that does not include beneficial owners who hold shares in "street name", or shareholders from previously acquired companies that have not exchanged their stock. At December 31, 2015, a total of 472,000 stock options, 1,376,000 shares of restricted stock and 263,000 restricted stock units were outstanding.

The payment of future cash dividends is at the discretion of our Board of Directors and subject to a number of factors, including results of operations, general business conditions, growth, financial condition and other factors deemed relevant by the Board of Directors. Further, our ability to pay future cash dividends is subject to certain regulatory requirements and restrictions discussed in the Supervision and Regulation section in Item 1 above.

During 2015, Umpqua's Board of Directors approved a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.15 per common share for first and second quarters and \$0.16 for third and fourth quarters. These dividends were made pursuant to our existing dividend policy and in consideration of, among other things, earnings, regulatory capital levels, the overall payout ratio and expected asset growth. We expect that the dividend rate will be reassessed on a quarterly basis by the Board of Directors in accordance with the dividend policy.

We have a dividend reinvestment plan that permits shareholder participants to purchase shares at the then-current market price in lieu of the receipt of cash dividends. Shares issued in connection with the dividend reinvestment plan are purchased in open market transactions.

Table of Contents

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth information about equity compensation plans that provide for the award of securities or the grant of options to purchase securities to employees and directors of Umpqua and its subsidiaries and predecessors by merger that were in effect at December 31, 2015.

(shares in thousands)

| Plan category | Equity Compensation Plan Information | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| | (A) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | (B) Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights ⁽³⁾ | (C) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans excluding securities reflected in column (A) |
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | | | |
| 2013 Stock Incentive Plan ⁽¹⁾ | — | \$— | 923 |
| 2003 Stock Incentive Plan ⁽¹⁾ | 449 | \$ 15.90 | — |
| Other ⁽²⁾ | 325 | \$ 17.39 | — |
| Total | 774 | \$ 16.52 | 923 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | — | \$— | — |
| Total | 774 | \$ 16.52 | 923 |

At the annual meeting on April 16, 2013, shareholders approved the Company's 2013 Incentive Plan (the "2013 Plan"), which, among other things, authorizes the issuance of equity awards to directors and employees and reserves 4 million shares of the Company's common stock for issuance under the plan (up to 2 million shares for "full value awards" as described below). With the adoption of the 2013 Plan, no additional awards will be issued from the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan or the 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan. Under the terms of the 2013 Plan, options and awards generally vest ratably over a period of three to five years, the exercise price of each option (1) equals the market price of the Company's common stock on the date of the grant, and the maximum term is ten years. The 2013 Plan weights "full value awards" (restricted stock and performance share awards) as two shares issued from the total authorized under the 2013 Plan; we have issued only full value awards under the 2013 Plan. For purposes of column (C) above, the total number of shares available for future issuance under the 2013 Plan for full value awards was 462,000 at December 31, 2015. At December 31, 2015, 1.3 million shares issued under the 2013 Plan as restricted stock/performance share awards were outstanding, but subject to forfeiture in the event time or performance based conditions are not met.

(2) Includes other Umpqua stock plans and stock plans assumed through previous mergers.

(3) Weighted average exercise price is based solely on securities with an exercise price.

(b) Not applicable.

(c) The following table provides information about repurchases of common stock by the Company during the quarter ended December 31, 2015:

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-K

| Period | Total number of Common Shares Purchased (1) | Average Price Paid per Common Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan (2) | Maximum Number of Remaining Shares that May be Purchased at Period End under the Plan |
|--------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| 10/1/15 - 10/31/15 | 184 | 16.31 | — | 11,503,429 |
| 11/1/15 - 11/30/15 | 61,932 | 17.79 | 61,000 | 11,442,429 |
| 12/1/15 - 12/31/15 | 364 | 16.23 | — | 11,442,429 |
| Total for quarter | 62,480 | 17.78 | 61,000 | |

26

Table of Contents

(1) Common shares repurchased by the Company during the quarter consist of cancellation of 1,195 shares to be issued upon vesting of restricted stock awards and 285 shares to be issued upon vesting of restricted stock units to pay withholding taxes. During the three months ended December 31, 2015, 61,000 shares were repurchased pursuant to the Company's publicly announced corporate stock repurchase plan described in (2) below.

(2) The Company's share repurchase plan, which was first approved by the Board and announced in August 2003, was amended on September 29, 2011 to increase the number of common shares available for repurchase under the plan to 15 million shares. The repurchase program has been extended multiple times by the board with the current expiration date of July 31, 2017. As of December 31, 2015, a total of 11.4 million shares remained available for repurchase. The Company repurchased 571,000 shares under the repurchase plan during 2015, repurchased no shares in 2014, and 98,027 shares under the repurchase plan in 2013. The timing and amount of future repurchases will depend upon the market price for our common stock, securities laws restricting repurchases, asset growth, earnings, and our capital plan.

There were 51,693 and 161,568 shares tendered in connection with option exercises during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Restricted shares cancelled to pay withholding taxes totaled 135,474 and 107,131 shares during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. There were 86,048 restricted stock units cancelled to pay withholding taxes during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 129,766 in 2014, respectively.

Table of Contents

Stock Performance Graph

The following chart, which is furnished not filed, compares the yearly percentage changes in the cumulative shareholder return on our common stock during the five fiscal years ended December 31, 2015, with (i) the Total Return Index for NASDAQ Bank Stocks (ii) the Total Return Index for The Nasdaq Stock Market (U.S. Companies) and (iii) the Standard and Poor's 500. This comparison assumes \$100.00 was invested on December 31, 2010, in our common stock and the comparison indices, and assumes the reinvestment of all cash dividends prior to any tax effect and retention of all stock dividends. Price information from December 31, 2010 to December 31, 2015, was obtained by using the NASDAQ closing prices as of the last trading day of each year.

| | Period Ending | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 12/31/2010 | 12/31/2011 | 12/31/2012 | 12/31/2013 | 12/31/2014 | 12/31/2015 |
| Umpqua Holdings Corporation | \$100.00 | \$103.69 | \$101.52 | \$169.97 | \$156.39 | \$151.88 |
| Nasdaq Bank Stocks | \$100.00 | \$89.54 | \$106.14 | \$149.99 | \$157.21 | \$170.98 |
| Nasdaq U.S. | \$100.00 | \$99.23 | \$116.80 | \$163.38 | \$187.42 | \$200.69 |
| S&P 500 | \$100.00 | \$102.09 | \$118.30 | \$156.21 | \$177.32 | \$179.76 |

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.

Umpqua Holdings Corporation
Annual Financial Trends

| (in thousands, except per share data) | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Interest income | \$929,866 | \$822,521 | \$442,846 | \$456,085 | \$501,753 |
| Interest expense | 58,232 | 48,693 | 37,881 | 48,849 | 73,301 |
| Net interest income | 871,634 | 773,828 | 404,965 | 407,236 | 428,452 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 36,589 | 40,241 | 10,716 | 29,201 | 62,361 |
| Non-interest income | 275,724 | 181,174 | 122,895 | 138,304 | 85,217 |
| Non-interest expense | 718,060 | 601,746 | 355,825 | 357,314 | 338,611 |
| Merger related expenses | 45,582 | 82,317 | 8,836 | 2,338 | 360 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 347,127 | 230,698 | 152,483 | 156,687 | 112,337 |
| Provision for income taxes | 124,588 | 83,040 | 54,192 | 54,768 | 38,046 |
| Net income | 222,539 | 147,658 | 98,291 | 101,919 | 74,291 |
| Dividends and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities | 357 | 484 | 788 | 682 | 356 |
| Net earnings available to common shareholders | \$222,182 | \$147,174 | \$97,503 | \$101,237 | \$73,935 |
| YEAR END | | | | | |
| Assets | \$23,387,205 | \$22,609,903 | \$11,632,604 | \$11,792,004 | \$11,559,391 |
| Earning assets | 20,290,398 | 19,370,349 | 10,267,981 | 10,465,505 | 10,263,923 |
| Loans and leases ⁽¹⁾ | 16,847,360 | 15,327,732 | 7,728,166 | 7,176,433 | 6,524,869 |
| Deposits | 17,707,189 | 16,892,099 | 9,117,660 | 9,379,275 | 9,236,690 |
| Term debt | 888,769 | 1,006,395 | 251,494 | 253,605 | 255,676 |
| Junior subordinated debentures, at fair value | 255,457 | 249,294 | 87,274 | 85,081 | 82,905 |
| Junior subordinated debentures, at amortized cost | 101,254 | 101,576 | 101,899 | 110,985 | 102,544 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 3,849,334 | 3,777,626 | 1,723,917 | 1,720,600 | 1,668,946 |
| Common shares outstanding | 220,171 | 220,161 | 111,973 | 111,890 | 112,165 |
| AVERAGE | | | | | |
| Assets | \$22,905,541 | \$19,169,098 | \$11,507,688 | \$11,499,499 | \$11,600,435 |
| Earning assets | 19,727,031 | 16,484,664 | 10,224,606 | 10,252,167 | 10,332,242 |
| Loans and leases ⁽¹⁾ | 15,938,127 | 13,003,762 | 7,367,602 | 6,707,194 | 6,430,797 |
| Deposits | 17,250,810 | 14,407,331 | 9,057,673 | 9,124,619 | 9,301,978 |
| Term debt | 923,992 | 815,017 | 252,546 | 254,601 | 257,496 |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 352,872 | 301,525 | 189,237 | 187,139 | 184,115 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 3,820,505 | 3,137,858 | 1,729,083 | 1,701,403 | 1,671,893 |
| Basic common shares outstanding | 220,327 | 186,550 | 111,938 | 111,935 | 114,220 |
| Diluted common shares outstanding | 221,045 | 187,544 | 112,176 | 112,151 | 114,409 |
| PER COMMON SHARE DATA | | | | | |
| Basic earnings | \$1.01 | \$0.79 | \$0.87 | \$0.90 | \$0.65 |
| Diluted earnings | 1.01 | 0.78 | 0.87 | 0.90 | 0.65 |
| Book value | 17.48 | 17.16 | 15.40 | 15.38 | 14.88 |
| Tangible book value ⁽²⁾ | 9.16 | 8.79 | 8.46 | 9.25 | 8.84 |
| Cash dividends declared | 0.62 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.34 | 0.24 |

Table of Contents

(dollars in thousands)

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---|
| PERFORMANCE RATIOS | | | | | | |
| Return on average assets ⁽³⁾ | 0.97 | %0.77 | %0.85 | %0.88 | %0.64 | % |
| Return on average common shareholders' equity ⁽⁴⁾ | 5.82 | %4.69 | %5.64 | %5.95 | %4.42 | % |
| Return on average tangible common shareholders' equity ⁽⁵⁾ | 11.22 | %9.17 | %9.77 | %9.88 | %7.45 | % |
| Efficiency ratio ⁽⁶⁾ | 66.19 | %71.23 | %66.83 | %64.94 | %65.37 | % |
| Average common shareholders' equity to average assets | 16.68 | %16.37 | %15.03 | %14.80 | %14.41 | % |
| Leverage ratio ⁽⁷⁾ | 9.73 | %10.99 | %10.90 | %11.44 | %10.91 | % |
| Net interest margin (fully tax equivalent) ⁽⁸⁾ | 4.44 | %4.73 | %4.01 | %4.02 | %4.19 | % |
| Non-interest income to total net revenue ⁽⁹⁾ | 24.03 | %18.97 | %23.28 | %25.35 | %16.59 | % |
| Dividend payout ratio ⁽¹⁰⁾ | 61.39 | %75.95 | %68.97 | %37.78 | %36.92 | % |
| ASSET QUALITY | | | | | | |
| Non-performing loans and leases | \$44,384 | \$59,553 | \$35,321 | \$70,968 | \$91,383 | |
| Non-performing assets | 66,691 | 97,495 | 59,256 | 98,480 | 145,049 | |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | 130,322 | 116,167 | 95,085 | 103,666 | 107,288 | |
| Net charge-offs | 22,434 | 19,159 | 19,297 | 32,823 | 59,715 | |
| Non-performing loans and leases to loans and leases | 0.26 | %0.39 | %0.46 | %0.99 | %1.40 | % |
| Non-performing assets to total assets | 0.29 | %0.43 | %0.51 | %0.84 | %1.25 | % |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to total loans and leases | 0.77 | %0.76 | %1.23 | %1.44 | %1.64 | % |
| Allowance for credit losses to loans and leases | 0.79 | %0.78 | %1.25 | %1.46 | %1.66 | % |
| Net charge-offs to average loans and leases | 0.14 | %0.15 | %0.26 | %0.49 | %0.93 | % |

(1) Excludes loans held for sale

Average common shareholders' equity less average intangible assets (excluding MSR) divided by shares outstanding at the end of the year. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation - "Results of Operations - Overview" for the reconciliation of non-GAAP financial measures, in Item 7 of this report.

(3) Net earnings available to common shareholders divided by average assets.

(4) Net earnings available to common shareholders divided by average common shareholders' equity.

Net earnings available to common shareholders divided by average common shareholders' equity less average intangible assets. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - "Results of Operations - Overview" for the reconciliation of non-GAAP financial measures, in Item 7 of this report.

(6) Non-interest expense divided by the sum of net interest income (fully tax equivalent) and non-interest income.

Tier 1 capital divided by leverage assets. Leverage assets are defined as quarterly average total assets, net of goodwill, intangibles and certain other items as required by the Federal Reserve.

Net interest margin (fully tax equivalent) is calculated by dividing net interest income (fully tax equivalent) by average interest earnings assets.

(9) Non-interest income divided by the sum of non-interest revenue and net interest income.

(10) Dividends declared per common share divided by basic earnings per common share.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS AND RISK FACTORS

See the discussion of forward-looking statements and risk factors in Part I Item 1 and Item 1A of this report.
EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

Significant items for the year ended December 31, 2015 were as follows:

Financial Performance

Net earnings available to common shareholders per diluted common share were \$1.01 for the year ended December 31, 2015, as compared to \$0.78 for the year ended December 31, 2014. Operating earnings per diluted common share, defined as earnings available to common shareholders before net gains or losses on junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value, net of tax and merger related expenses, net of tax, divided by the same diluted share total used in determining diluted earnings per common share, were \$1.15 for the year ended December 31, 2015, as compared to operating income per diluted common share of \$1.08 for the year ended December 31, 2014. Operating income per diluted share is considered a "non-GAAP" financial measure. More information regarding this measurement and reconciliation to the comparable GAAP measurement is provided under the heading Results of Operations - Overview below.

Net interest margin, on a tax equivalent basis, was 4.44% for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to 4.73% for the year ended December 31, 2014. The decrease in net interest margin is primarily attributable to the lower level of accretion of the credit discount recorded on loans acquired from Sterling, as well as lower average yields on interest-earning assets.

Residential mortgage banking revenue was \$124.7 million for 2015, compared to \$77.3 million for 2014. The increase was the result of an increase in closed sale mortgage volume, which increased by 63% as compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase was offset by \$20.7 million in negative fair value adjustments to the mortgage servicing rights ("MSR") asset as well as a decrease in the gain on sale margin.

Total gross loans and leases were \$16.8 billion as of December 31, 2015, an increase of \$1.5 billion, or 9.9%, as compared to December 31, 2014. This increase is primarily the result of strong loan growth in both the commercial and consumer portfolios.

Total deposits were \$17.7 billion as of December 31, 2015, an increase of \$815.1 million, or 4.8%, as compared to December 31, 2014. The increase resulted primarily from growth in demand, money market and savings deposits, partially offset by a decrease in time deposits.

Total consolidated assets were \$23.4 billion as of December 31, 2015, as compared to \$22.6 billion at December 31, 2014.

Credit Quality

Non-performing assets decreased to \$66.7 million, or 0.29% of total assets, as of December 31, 2015, as compared to \$97.5 million, or 0.43% of total assets, as of December 31, 2014. Non-performing loans and leases decreased to \$44.4 million, or 0.26% of total loans and leases, as of December 31, 2015, as compared to \$59.6 million, or 0.39% of total loans and leases as of December 31, 2014.

•

Net charge-offs on loans were \$22.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, or 0.14% of average loans and leases, as compared to net charge-offs of \$19.2 million, or 0.15% of average loans and leases, for the year ended December 31, 2014.

The provision for loan and lease losses was \$36.6 million for 2015, as compared to \$40.2 million recognized for 2014. The decrease is primarily due to decreasing credit factors used in the calculation of the allowance for loan and lease losses due to the improved credit quality of the portfolio, offset by the increase in the provision relating to new originations.

Table of Contents

Capital and Growth Initiatives

Based on Basel III rules, the Company's total risk based capital was 14.3% and its Tier 1 common to risk weighted assets ratio was 11.4% as of December 31, 2015. As of December 31, 2014, which is based on Basel I rules, the Company's total risk based ratio was 15.2% and its Tier 1 common to risk weighted assets ratio was 11.5%.

Declared cash dividends of \$0.62 per common share for 2015 and \$0.60 per common share for 2014.

Completed the Sterling merger in April 2014 with significant system conversion activities completed in 2015.

SUMMARY OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The SEC defines "critical accounting policies" as those that require application of management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain and may change in future periods. Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this report. Not all of these significant accounting policies require management to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments or estimates. Management believes that the following policies would be considered critical under the SEC's definition.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Unfunded Commitments

The Bank performs regular credit reviews of the loan and lease portfolio to determine the credit quality and adherence to underwriting standards. When loans and leases are originated, they are assigned a risk rating that is reassessed periodically during the term of the loan through the credit review process. The Bank's risk rating methodology assigns risk ratings ranging from 1 to 10, where a higher rating represents higher risk. The 10 risk rating categories are a primary factor in determining an appropriate amount for the allowance for loan and lease losses. The Bank has a management Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses ("ALLL") Committee, which is responsible for, among other things, regularly reviewing the ALLL methodology, including loss factors, and ensuring that it is designed and applied in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The ALLL Committee reviews and approves loans and leases recommended for impaired status. The ALLL Committee also approves removing loans and leases from impaired status. The Bank's Audit and Compliance Committee provides board oversight of the ALLL process and reviews and approves the ALLL methodology on a quarterly basis.

Each risk rating is assessed an inherent credit loss factor that determines the amount of the allowance for loan and lease losses provided for that group of loans and leases with similar risk rating. Credit loss factors may vary by region based on management's belief that there may ultimately be different credit loss rates experienced in each region. Regular credit reviews of the portfolio also identify loans that are considered potentially impaired. Potentially impaired loans are referred to the ALLL Committee which reviews and approves designated loans as impaired. A loan is considered impaired when based on current information and events, we determine that we will probably not be able to collect all amounts due according to the loan contract, including scheduled interest payments. When we identify a loan as impaired, we measure the impairment using discounted cash flows, except when the sole remaining source of the repayment for the loan is the liquidation of the collateral. In these cases, we use the current fair value of the collateral, less selling costs, instead of discounted cash flows. If we determine that the value of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, we either recognize an impairment reserve as a specific component to be provided for in the allowance for loan and lease losses or charge-off the impaired balance on collateral dependent loans if it is determined that such amount represents a confirmed loss. The combination of the risk rating-based allowance component and the impairment reserve allowance component lead to an allocated allowance for loan and lease losses.

The Bank may also maintain an unallocated allowance amount to provide for other credit losses inherent in a loan and lease portfolio that may not have been contemplated in the credit loss factors. This unallocated amount generally comprises less than 5% of the allowance, but may be maintained at higher levels during times of economic conditions characterized by falling real estate values. The unallocated amount is reviewed periodically based on trends in credit losses, the results of credit reviews and overall economic trends. As of December 31, 2015, there was no unallocated allowance amount.

The reserve for unfunded commitments ("RUC") is established to absorb inherent losses associated with our commitment to lend funds, such as with a letter or line of credit. The adequacy of the ALLL and RUC are monitored on a regular basis and are based on management's evaluation of numerous factors. These factors include the quality of the current loan portfolio; the trend in the loan portfolio's risk ratings; current economic conditions; loan concentrations; loan growth rates; past-due and non-performing trends; evaluation of specific loss estimates for all significant problem loans; historical charge-off and recovery experience; and other pertinent information.

Table of Contents

Management believes that the ALLL was adequate as of December 31, 2015. There is, however, no assurance that future loan losses will not exceed the levels provided for in the ALLL and could possibly result in additional charges to the provision for loan and lease losses. In addition, bank regulatory authorities, as part of their periodic examination of the Bank, may require additional charges to the provision for loan and lease losses in future periods if warranted as a result of their review. Approximately 78% of our loan portfolio is secured by real estate, and a significant decline in real estate market values may require an increase in the allowance for loan and lease losses.

Acquired Loans including Covered Loans and FDIC Indemnification Asset

Acquired loans and leases are recorded at their fair value at the acquisition date. For purchased non-impaired loans, the difference between the fair value and unpaid principal balance of the loan at the acquisition date is amortized or accreted to interest income using the effective interest method over the remaining contractual period to maturity.

The acquired loans that are purchased impaired loans are aggregated into pools based on individually evaluated common risk characteristics and aggregate expected cash flows were estimated for each pool. A pool is accounted for as a single asset with a single interest rate, cumulative loss rate and cash flow expectation. The cash flows expected to be received over the life of the pool were estimated by management. These cash flows were input into an accounting loan system which calculates the carrying values of the pools and underlying loans, book yields, effective interest income and impairment, if any, based on actual and projected events. Default rates, loss severity, and prepayment speeds assumptions are periodically reassessed and updated within the accounting model to update our expectation of future cash flows. The excess of the cash flows expected to be collected over a pool's carrying value is considered to be the accretable yield and is recognized as interest income over the estimated life of the loan or pool using the effective yield method. The accretable yield may change due to changes in the timing and amounts of expected cash flows. Changes in the accretable yield are disclosed quarterly.

Residential Mortgage Servicing Rights ("MSR")

The Company determines its classes of servicing assets based on the asset type being serviced along with the methods used to manage the risk inherent in the servicing assets, which includes the market inputs used to value the servicing assets. The Company measures its residential mortgage servicing assets at fair value and reports changes in fair value through earnings. Fair value adjustments encompass market-driven valuation changes and the runoff in value that occurs from the passage of time, which are separately reported. Under the fair value method, the MSR is carried in the balance sheet at fair value and the changes in fair value are reported in earnings under the caption residential mortgage banking revenue in the period in which the change occurs.

Retained mortgage servicing rights are measured at fair values as of the date of the related loan sale. We use quoted market prices when available. Subsequent fair value measurements are determined using a discounted cash flow model. In order to determine the fair value of the MSR, the present value of expected net future cash flows is estimated. Assumptions used include market discount rates, anticipated prepayment speeds, delinquency and foreclosure rates, and ancillary fee income net of servicing costs. This model is periodically validated by an independent external model validation group. The model assumptions and the MSR fair value estimates are also compared to observable trades of similar portfolios as well as to MSR broker valuations and industry surveys, as available.

Valuation of Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized but instead are periodically tested for impairment. Management performs an impairment analysis for the intangible assets with indefinite lives on an annual basis as of December 31. Additionally, goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives are evaluated on an

interim basis when events or circumstances indicate impairment potentially exists. The impairment analysis requires management to make subjective judgments. Events and factors that may significantly affect the estimates include, among others, competitive forces, customer behaviors and attrition, changes in revenue growth trends, cost structures, technology, changes in discount rates and specific industry and market conditions. There can be no assurance that changes in circumstances, estimates or assumption may result in additional impairment of all, or some portion of, goodwill.

The Company performed its annual goodwill impairment analysis of the Community Banking reporting segment as of December 31, 2015. The Company assessed qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events and circumstances indicated that it is more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired, and determined no factors indicated an impairment. Based on this analysis, no further testing was determined to be necessary.

Table of Contents

Stock-based Compensation

We recognize expense in the income statement for the grant-date fair value of stock options and other equity-based forms of compensation issued to employees over the employees' requisite service period (generally the vesting period). The requisite service period may be subject to performance conditions. The fair value of each grant is estimated as of the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model or a Monte Carlo simulation pricing model, as required by the features of the grants. Management assumptions utilized at the time of grant impact the fair value of the option calculated under the pricing model, and ultimately, the expense that will be recognized over the expected service period related to each option.

Fair Value

A hierarchical disclosure framework associated with the level of pricing observability is utilized in measuring financial instruments at fair value. The degree of judgment utilized in measuring the fair value of financial instruments generally correlates to the level of pricing observability. Financial instruments with readily available active quoted prices or for which fair value can be measured from actively quoted prices generally will have a higher degree of pricing observability and a lesser degree of judgment utilized in measuring fair value. Conversely, financial instruments rarely traded or not quoted will generally have little or no pricing observability and a higher degree of judgment utilized in measuring fair value. Pricing observability is impacted by a number of factors, including the type of financial instrument, whether the financial instrument is new to the market and not yet established and the characteristics specific to the transaction.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Information regarding Recent Accounting Pronouncements is included in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 below.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS-OVERVIEW

For the year ended December 31, 2015, net earnings available to common shareholders were \$222.2 million, or \$1.01 per diluted common share, as compared to net earnings available to common shareholders of \$147.2 million, or \$0.78 per diluted common share for the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase in net earnings available to common shareholders in 2015 is principally attributable to net income contribution from the full year of the operations acquired from Sterling, increased residential mortgage banking revenue resulting from the current mortgage interest rate environment, gain on sale of portfolio loans, and lower merger related expenses.

For the year ended December 31, 2014, net earnings available to common shareholders were \$147.2 million, or \$0.78 per diluted common share, as compared to net earnings available to common shareholders of \$97.5 million, or \$0.87 per diluted common share for the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase in net earnings available to common shareholders in 2014 is principally attributable to the merger with Sterling which increased net interest income. The increase in net interest income was offset by the increase in non-interest expense, including merger-related expenses, which are also attributable to the merger with Sterling.

Umpqua recognizes gains or losses on our junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value resulting from the estimated market credit risk adjusted spread and changes in interest rates that do not directly correlate with the Company's operating performance. Also, Umpqua incurs significant expenses related to the completion and integration of mergers and acquisitions. Additionally, we may recognize goodwill impairment losses that have no direct effect on the Company's or the Bank's cash balances, liquidity, or regulatory capital ratios. Lastly, Umpqua may recognize one-time bargain purchase gains on certain acquisitions that are not reflective of Umpqua's on-going

earnings power. Accordingly, management believes that our operating results are best measured on a comparative basis excluding the impact of gains or losses on junior subordinated debentures measured at fair value, net of tax, merger-related expenses, net of tax, and other charges related to business combinations such as goodwill impairment charges or bargain purchase gains, net of tax. We define operating earnings as earnings available to common shareholders before gains or losses on junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value, net of tax, bargain purchase gains on acquisitions, net of tax, merger related expenses, net of tax, and goodwill impairment, and we calculate operating earnings per diluted share by dividing operating earnings by the same diluted share total used in determining diluted earnings per common share. Operating earnings and operating earnings per diluted share are considered "non-GAAP" financial measures. Although we believe the presentation of non-GAAP financial measures provides a better indication of our operating performance, readers of this report are urged to review the GAAP results as presented in the Financial Statements and Supplementary Data in Item 8 below.

Table of Contents

The following table provides the reconciliation of earnings available to common shareholders (GAAP) to operating earnings (non-GAAP), and earnings per diluted common share (GAAP) to operating earnings per diluted share (non-GAAP) for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013:

Reconciliation of Net Earnings Available to Common Shareholders to Operating Earnings
Years Ended December 31,

| (in thousands, except per share data) | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net earnings available to common shareholders | \$222,182 | \$147,174 | \$97,503 |
| Adjustments: | | | |
| Net loss on junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value, net of tax (1) | 3,783 | 3,054 | 1,318 |
| Merger-related expenses, net of tax (1) | 27,349 | 52,311 | 6,820 |
| Operating earnings | \$253,314 | \$202,539 | \$105,641 |
| Per diluted share: | | | |
| Net earnings available to common shareholders | \$1.01 | \$0.78 | \$0.87 |
| Adjustments: | | | |
| Net loss on junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value, net of tax (1) | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| Merger-related expenses, net of tax (1) | 0.12 | 0.28 | 0.06 |
| Operating earnings | \$1.15 | \$1.08 | \$0.94 |

(1) Adjusted for income tax effect of pro forma operating earnings of 40% for tax-deductible items.

The following table presents the returns on average assets, average common shareholders' equity and average tangible common shareholders' equity for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013. For each of the periods presented, the table includes the calculated ratios based on reported net earnings available to common shareholders and operating income as shown in the table above. Our return on average common shareholders' equity is negatively impacted as the result of capital required to support goodwill. To the extent this performance metric is used to compare our performance with other financial institutions that do not have merger and acquisition-related intangible assets, we believe it beneficial to also consider the return on average tangible common shareholders' equity. The return on average tangible common shareholders' equity is calculated by dividing net earnings available to common shareholders by average shareholders' common equity less average goodwill and intangible assets, net (excluding MSRs). The return on average tangible common shareholders' equity is considered a non-GAAP financial measure and should be viewed in conjunction with the return on average common shareholders' equity.

Return on Average Assets, Common Shareholders' Equity and Tangible Common Shareholders' Equity
For the Years Ended December 31,

| (dollars in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|-------|---------|---------|
| Returns on average assets: | | | |
| Net earnings available to common shareholders | 0.97 | % 0.77 | % 0.85 |
| Operating earnings | 1.11 | % 1.06 | % 0.92 |
| Returns on average common shareholders' equity: | | | |
| Net earnings available to common shareholders | 5.82 | % 4.69 | % 5.64 |
| Operating earnings | 6.63 | % 6.45 | % 6.11 |
| Returns on average tangible common shareholders' equity: | | | |
| Net earnings available to common shareholders | 11.22 | % 9.17 | % 9.78 |
| Operating earnings | 12.79 | % 12.62 | % 10.60 |
| Calculation of average common tangible shareholders' equity: | | | |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| Average common shareholders' equity | \$3,820,505 | \$3,137,858 | \$1,729,083 |
| Less: average goodwill and other intangible assets, net | (1,839,599) | (1,533,403) | (731,525) |
| Average tangible common shareholders' equity | \$1,980,906 | \$1,604,455 | \$997,558 |

35

Table of Contents

Additionally, management believes tangible common equity and the tangible common equity ratio are meaningful measures of capital adequacy. Umpqua believes the exclusion of certain intangible assets in the computation of tangible common equity and tangible common equity ratio provides a meaningful base for period-to-period and company-to-company comparisons, which management believes will assist investors in analyzing the operating results and capital of the Company. Tangible common equity is calculated as total shareholders' equity less preferred stock and less goodwill and other intangible assets, net (excluding MSRs). In addition, tangible assets are total assets less goodwill and other intangible assets, net (excluding MSRs). The tangible common equity ratio is calculated as tangible common shareholders' equity divided by tangible assets. The tangible common equity and tangible common equity ratio is considered a non-GAAP financial measure and should be viewed in conjunction with the total shareholders' equity and the total shareholders' equity ratio.

The following table provides a reconciliation of ending shareholders' equity (GAAP) to ending tangible common equity (non-GAAP), and ending assets (GAAP) to ending tangible assets (non-GAAP) as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

Reconciliations of Total Shareholders' Equity to Tangible Common Shareholders' Equity and Total Assets to Tangible Assets

| (dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2015 | December 31, 2014 | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|------|---|
| Total shareholders' equity | \$3,849,334 | \$3,777,626 | | |
| Subtract: | | | | |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets, net | 1,833,301 | 1,842,958 | | |
| Tangible common shareholders' equity | \$2,016,033 | \$1,934,668 | | |
| Total assets | \$23,387,205 | \$22,609,903 | | |
| Subtract: | | | | |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets, net | 1,833,301 | 1,842,958 | | |
| Tangible assets | \$21,553,904 | \$20,766,945 | | |
| Tangible common equity ratio | 9.35 | % | 9.32 | % |

Non-GAAP financial measures have inherent limitations, are not required to be uniformly applied, and are not audited. Although we believe these non-GAAP financial measure are frequently used by stakeholders in the evaluation of a company, they have limitations as analytical tools, and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for analyses of results as reported under GAAP.

NET INTEREST INCOME

Net interest income is the largest source of our operating income. Net interest income for 2015 was \$871.6 million, an increase of \$97.8 million or 12.6% compared to the same period in 2014. The increase in net interest income in 2015 as compared to 2014 is attributable to an increase in average interest-earning assets, primarily loans, loans held for sale and investment securities, partially offset by a lower level of accretion of the credit discount recorded on loans acquired from Sterling.

Net interest income for 2014 was \$773.8 million, an increase of \$368.9 million or 91.1% compared to the same period in 2013. The increase in net interest income in 2014 as compared to 2013 is attributable to the merger with Sterling in April 2014, which resulted in an increase in average interest-earning assets, primarily loans and investment securities, and an increase in net interest margin, partially offset by an increase in average deposits and other average interest-bearing liabilities.

The net interest margin (net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets) on a fully tax equivalent basis was 4.44% for 2015, a decrease of 29 basis points as compared to the same period in 2014. The decrease in net interest margin primarily resulted from the lower level of accretion of the credit discount recorded on loans acquired from Sterling, as well as decreased yields on earning assets. The yield on loans and leases decreased by 43 basis points. The cost of interest-bearing liabilities remained relatively unchanged in 2015, as compared to 2014. The total cost of interest-bearing liabilities for 2015 was 0.42%, representing an increase of 1 basis point compared 2014. The cost of time deposits was 0.64% in 2015 compared to 0.58% in 2014.

The net interest margin on a fully tax-equivalent basis was 4.73% for 2014, an increase of 72 basis points as compared to the same period in 2013. The increase in net interest margin primarily resulted from the increase in loan yields, the increase in average loans and leases, offset by an increase in average deposits and interest-bearing liabilities.

Table of Contents

Our net interest income is affected by changes in the amount and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, as well as changes in the yields earned on interest-earning assets and rates paid on deposits and borrowed funds. The following table presents condensed average balance sheet information, together with interest income and yields on average interest-earning assets, and interest expense and rates paid on average interest-bearing liabilities for years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

Average Rates and Balances

| (dollars in thousands) | 2015 | | | 2014 | | | 2013 | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Average Balance | Interest Income or Expense | Average Yields or Rates | Average Balance | Interest Income or Expense | Average Yields or Rates | Average Balance | Interest Income or Expense | Average Yields or Rates |
| INTEREST-EARNING ASSETS: | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans held for sale | \$333,455 | \$12,407 | 3.72% | \$205,580 | \$8,337 | 4.06% | \$138,383 | \$4,835 | 3.49% |
| Loans and leases (1) | 15,938,127 | 857,026 | 5.38% | 13,003,762 | 755,466 | 5.81% | 7,367,602 | 393,379 | 5.34% |
| Taxable securities | 2,275,512 | 48,550 | 2.13% | 2,072,936 | 46,109 | 2.22% | 1,952,611 | 34,398 | 1.76% |
| Non-taxable securities (2) | 310,684 | 14,684 | 4.73% | 301,535 | 15,692 | 5.20% | 247,010 | 13,477 | 5.46% |
| Temporary investments and interest-bearing deposits | 869,253 | 2,236 | 0.26% | 900,851 | 2,264 | 0.25% | 519,000 | 1,336 | 0.26% |
| Total interest earning assets | 19,727,031 | 934,903 | 4.74% | 16,484,664 | 827,868 | 5.02% | 10,224,606 | 447,425 | 4.38% |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | (126,063) | | | (96,513) | | | (86,227) | | |
| Other assets | 3,304,573 | | | 2,780,947 | | | 1,369,309 | | |
| Total assets | \$22,905,541 | | | \$19,169,098 | | | \$11,507,688 | | |
| INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES: | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing checking | \$2,080,126 | \$1,957 | 0.09% | \$1,721,452 | \$950 | 0.06% | \$1,176,841 | \$978 | 0.08% |
| Money market deposits | 6,376,178 | 9,491 | 0.15% | 5,255,622 | 6,991 | 0.13% | 3,277,780 | 3,485 | 0.11% |
| Savings deposits | 1,063,151 | 1,105 | 0.10% | 829,737 | 426 | 0.05% | 521,387 | 321 | 0.06% |
| Time deposits | 2,715,847 | 17,286 | 0.64% | 2,649,091 | 15,448 | 0.58% | 1,796,669 | 15,971 | 0.89% |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 12,235,302 | 29,839 | 0.24% | 10,455,902 | 23,815 | 0.23% | 6,772,677 | 20,755 | 0.31% |
| Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements | 321,079 | 173 | 0.05% | 303,358 | 346 | 0.11% | 177,888 | 141 | 0.08% |
| Term debt | 923,992 | 14,470 | 1.57% | 815,017 | 12,793 | 1.57% | 252,546 | 9,248 | 3.66% |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 352,872 | 13,750 | 3.90% | 301,525 | 11,739 | 3.89% | 189,237 | 7,737 | 4.09% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 13,833,245 | 58,232 | 0.42% | 11,875,802 | 48,693 | 0.41% | 7,392,348 | 37,881 | 0.51% |
| Non-interest-bearing deposits | 5,015,508 | | | 3,951,429 | | | 2,284,996 | | |
| Other liabilities | 236,283 | | | 204,009 | | | 101,261 | | |
| Total liabilities | 19,085,036 | | | 16,031,240 | | | 9,778,605 | | |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Common equity | 3,820,505 | 3,137,858 | 1,729,083 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$22,905,541 | \$19,169,098 | \$11,507,688 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME | \$876,671 | \$779,175 | \$409,544 |
| NET INTEREST SPREAD | 4.32% | 4.61% | 3.87% |
| AVERAGE YIELD ON EARNING ASSETS (1), (2) | 4.74% | 5.02% | 4.38% |
| INTEREST EXPENSE TO EARNING ASSETS | 0.30% | 0.30% | 0.37% |
| NET INTEREST INCOME TO EARNING ASSETS OR NET INTEREST MARGIN (1), (2) | 4.44% | 4.73% | 4.01% |

(1) Non-accrual loans and leases are included in the average balance.

Tax-exempt income has been adjusted to a tax equivalent basis at a 35% tax rate. The amount of such adjustment (2) was an addition to recorded income of approximately \$5.0 million, \$5.3 million, and \$4.6 million for the years ended 2015, 2014, and 2013, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth a summary of the changes in tax equivalent net interest income due to changes in average asset and liability balances (volume) and changes in average rates (rate) for 2015 as compared to 2014 and 2014 compared to 2013. Changes in tax equivalent interest income and expense, which are not attributable specifically to either volume or rate, are allocated proportionately between both variances.

| (in thousands) | 2015 compared to 2014 | | | 2014 compared to 2013 | | |
|---|--|-------------|----------|--|-----------|-----------|
| | Increase (decrease) in interest income and expense due to changes in | | | Increase (decrease) in interest income and expense due to changes in | | |
| | Volume | Rate | Total | Volume | Rate | Total |
| INTEREST-EARNING ASSETS: | | | | | | |
| Loans held for sale | \$4,808 | \$(738) | \$4,070 | \$2,631 | \$871 | \$3,502 |
| Loans and leases | 160,934 | (59,374) | 101,560 | 324,701 | 37,386 | 362,087 |
| Taxable securities | 4,376 | (1,935) | 2,441 | 2,225 | 9,486 | 11,711 |
| Non-taxable securities (1) | 465 | (1,473) | (1,008) | 2,862 | (647) | 2,215 |
| Temporary investments and interest bearing deposits | (80) | 52 | (28) | 961 | (33) | 928 |
| Total (1) | 170,503 | (63,468) | 107,035 | 333,380 | 47,063 | 380,443 |
| INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES: | | | | | | |
| Interest bearing demand | 231 | 776 | 1,007 | 365 | (393) | (28) |
| Money market | 1,605 | 895 | 2,500 | 2,476 | 1,030 | 3,506 |
| Savings | 147 | 532 | 679 | 165 | (60) | 105 |
| Time deposits | 397 | 1,441 | 1,838 | 6,067 | (6,590) | (523) |
| Repurchase agreements and federal funds | 20 | (193) | (173) | 126 | 79 | 205 |
| Term debt | 1,706 | (29) | 1,677 | 11,232 | (7,687) | 3,545 |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 2,001 | 10 | 2,011 | 4,388 | (386) | 4,002 |
| Total | 6,107 | 3,432 | 9,539 | 24,819 | (14,007) | 10,812 |
| Net increase (decrease) in net interest income (1) | \$164,396 | \$(66,900) | \$97,496 | \$308,561 | \$61,070 | \$369,631 |

(1) Tax exempt income has been adjusted to a tax equivalent basis at a 35% tax rate.

PROVISION FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES

The provision for loan and lease losses was \$36.6 million for 2015, as compared to \$40.2 million for 2014, and \$10.7 million for 2013. As a percentage of average outstanding loans and leases, the provision for loan and lease losses recorded for 2015 was 0.23%, a decrease of 8 basis points from 2014 and an increase of 8 basis points from 2013.

The decrease in the provision for loan and lease losses in 2015 as compared to 2014 is principally attributable to improved portfolio quality, offset by the increase in the loan portfolio, as well as an increase in net charge-offs for the 2015 period. The decrease in the provision relates primarily to decreasing credit factors used in the calculation of the allowance for loan and lease losses due to the improving credit quality of the portfolio, offset by the increase in the provision relating to new originations. The economy in the Pacific Northwest has improved causing the risk ratings of many of our borrowers to improve as well as the value of the underlying collateral for real estate collateral loans to improve as compared to prior years. The loan portfolio increased by \$1.5 billion since December 31, 2014. For 2015, \$375,000 of the provision for loan and lease losses related to previously acquired loans that were not purchased credit impaired as compared to \$1.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. Net-charge offs for 2015 were \$22.4 million compared to \$19.2 million for 2014.

The increase as compared to 2013 is principally attributable to originations of new loans and leases by the legacy Sterling and FinPac lending teams. The allowance allocated to the commercial category increased in 2014 as compared to 2013 due primarily to the increased volume of leases originated by FinPac and the additional allowance on the new leases given the increased risk of loss in that portfolio compared to other commercial loans. The percentage of commercial as compared to the total loans decreased due the overall increase in all loan categories from the Sterling merger. As no allowance is brought over with the loan balances at the time of acquisition, the allocation as compared to the percentage of loans by category has unusual variations.

Table of Contents

The Company recognizes the charge-off of impairment reserves on impaired loans in the period they arise for collateral dependent loans. Therefore, the non-accrual loans of \$29.2 million as of December 31, 2015 have already been written-down to their estimated fair value, less estimated costs to sell, and are expected to be resolved with no additional material loss, absent further decline in market prices.

NON-INTEREST INCOME

Non-interest income for the 2015 was \$275.7 million, an increase of \$94.6 million, or 52.2%, as compared to the same period in 2014. Non-interest income for 2014 was \$181.2 million, a decrease of \$58.3 million, or 47.4%, as compared to 2013. The following table presents the key components of non-interest income for years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

Non-Interest Income
Years Ended December 31,
(dollars in thousands)

| | 2015 compared to 2014 | | | | 2014 compared to 2013 | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------|------------------|-------------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | Change Amount | Change Percent | 2014 | 2013 | Change Amount | Change Percent |
| Service charges on deposits | \$59,740 | \$54,700 | \$5,040 | 9 % | \$54,700 | \$30,952 | \$23,748 | 77 % |
| Brokerage revenue | 18,481 | 18,133 | 348 | 2 % | 18,133 | 14,736 | 3,397 | 23 % |
| Residential mortgage banking revenue, net | 124,722 | 77,265 | 47,457 | 61 % | 77,265 | 78,885 | (1,620) | (2)% |
| Gain on investment securities, net | 2,922 | 2,904 | 18 | 1 % | 2,904 | 209 | 2,695 | nm |
| Gain on sale of loans, net | 22,380 | 15,113 | 7,267 | 48 % | 15,113 | 2,744 | 12,369 | nm |
| Loss on junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value | (6,306) | (5,090) | (1,216) | 24 % | (5,090) | (2,197) | (2,893) | 132 % |
| Change in FDIC indemnification asset | (853) | (15,151) | 14,298 | (94)% | (15,151) | (25,549) | 10,398 | (41)% |
| BOLI income | 8,351 | 6,835 | 1,516 | 22 % | 6,835 | 3,035 | 3,800 | 125 % |
| Other income | 46,287 | 26,465 | 19,822 | 75 % | 26,465 | 20,080 | 6,385 | 32 % |
| Total | \$275,724 | \$181,174 | \$94,550 | 52 % | \$181,174 | \$122,895 | \$58,279 | 47 % |

nm = not meaningful

The overall increase in non-interest income is primarily the result of the merger with Sterling in April 2014. In 2015, the Company benefited from the legacy Sterling operations contributing for the full year, whereas in 2014 the legacy Sterling operations only contributed to the period subsequent to the acquisition date of April 18, 2014. The increase in deposit service charges in 2015 compared to 2014 is primarily the result of organic growth in deposit balances during 2015. The increase in deposit service charges in 2014 compared to 2013 is primarily the result of the additional deposits brought on from the Sterling acquisition.

Brokerage commissions and fees in 2015 increased due to the increase in managed account fees and new balances at Umpqua Investments. In 2015, assets under management at Umpqua Investments increased to \$3.2 billion as compared to \$2.8 billion at December 31, 2014. Brokerage commissions and fees in 2014 increased due to the increase in managed account fees and new balances at Umpqua Investments. In 2014, assets under management at Umpqua Investments increased to \$2.8 billion as compared to \$2.6 billion at December 31, 2013.

Residential mortgage banking revenue for the year ended December 31, 2015 increased due to an increase in production, partially offset by losses related to the change in fair value of MSR which were higher in 2015 as compared to 2014. Closed mortgage volume for sale for 2015 was \$3.5 billion, representing a 63% increase compared to 2014 production of \$2.1 billion. The gain on sale margin was 3.36% compared to 3.40% for 2014. Cash flows received from the servicing of the mortgage servicing rights' underlying loans over the course of the year, as well as lower mortgage interest rates compared to the same period of the prior year has contributed to a \$20.7 million decline in fair value on the MSR asset in 2015, compared to a \$16.6 million decline in fair value recognized in 2014. As of December 31, 2015, the Company serviced \$13.0 billion of mortgage loans for others, and the related mortgage servicing right asset is valued at \$131.8 million, or 1.01% of the total serviced portfolio principal balance.

In connection with the sale of investment securities, we recognized a gain on sale of \$2.9 million in 2015 and 2014, and a gain on sale of \$209,000 for 2013. During 2015, the Company sold investment securities to fund loan growth as well as to reduce the price risk of the portfolio if interest rates were to increase significantly.

The gain on loan sales for the year ended December 31, 2015, increased by \$7.3 million due to portfolio loan sales of \$266.4 million during the period, with the majority of loans sales being residential mortgage loans.

Table of Contents

A loss of \$6.3 million was recognized in 2015, compared to a loss of \$5.1 million for 2014, and a loss of \$2.2 million for 2013, which represents the change of fair value on the junior subordinated debentures recorded at fair value. The increase in the loss during 2014 was the result of the fair value election on the junior subordinated debentures assumed in the Sterling merger, which the Company elected to account for at fair value on a recurring basis.

The change in FDIC indemnification asset represents a change in cash flows expected to be recoverable under the loss-share agreements entered into with the FDIC in connection with FDIC-assisted acquisitions.

BOLI income increased to \$8.4 million in 2015. The increase in 2015 as compared to 2014 relates to increased cash surrender value associated with BOLI assets. The increase in 2014 as compared to 2013 related to the additional BOLI acquired in the Sterling merger.

Other income in 2015 compared to 2014 increased by \$19.8 million, with increases attributable to various fees that have increased due to the increase in loans. Other income also increased due to increased swap revenue of \$8.4 million as compared to 2014, as well as, BOLI death benefits received in 2015 of \$5.4 million. Other income in 2014 as compared to 2013 increased by \$6.4 million due to additional activity from the Sterling merger.

NON-INTEREST EXPENSE

Non-interest expense for 2015 was \$763.6 million, an increase of \$79.6 million, or 11.6%, as compared to 2014. Non-interest expense for 2014 was \$684.1 million, an increase of \$319.4 million, or 87.6%, as compared to 2013. The following table presents the key elements of non-interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

Non-Interest Expense
Years Ended December 31,
(dollars in thousands)

| | 2015 compared to 2014 | | | | 2014 compared to 2013 | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------|------------------|-------------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | Change Amount | Change Percent | 2014 | 2013 | Change Amount | Change Percent |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$430,936 | \$355,379 | \$75,557 | 21 % | \$355,379 | \$209,991 | \$145,388 | 69 % |
| Occupancy and equipment, net | 142,975 | 111,263 | 31,712 | 29 % | 111,263 | 62,067 | 49,196 | 79 % |
| Communications | 20,615 | 14,728 | 5,887 | 40 % | 14,728 | 11,974 | 2,754 | 23 % |
| Marketing | 11,419 | 9,504 | 1,915 | 20 % | 9,504 | 6,062 | 3,442 | 57 % |
| Services | 46,379 | 49,086 | (2,707) | (6)% | 49,086 | 25,483 | 23,603 | 93 % |
| FDIC assessments | 13,480 | 10,998 | 2,482 | 23 % | 10,998 | 6,954 | 4,044 | 58 % |
| Loss on other real estate owned, net | 1,894 | 4,116 | (2,222) | (54)% | 4,116 | 1,248 | 2,868 | 230 % |
| Intangible amortization | 11,225 | 10,207 | 1,018 | 10 % | 10,207 | 4,781 | 5,426 | 113 % |
| Merger related expenses | 45,582 | 82,317 | (36,735) | (45)% | 82,317 | 8,836 | 73,481 | 832 % |
| Other expenses | 39,137 | 36,465 | 2,672 | 7 % | 36,465 | 27,265 | 9,200 | 34 % |
| Total | \$763,642 | \$684,063 | \$79,579 | 12 % | \$684,063 | \$364,661 | \$319,402 | 88 % |

Salaries and employee benefits costs increased \$75.6 million as compared to the same period prior year primarily as a result of the full year of compensation expense relating to the employees who joined the Bank through the Sterling merger which was completed in April 2014. In addition, salaries and employee benefit costs also increased due to increased fixed and variable compensation expense associated with higher than expected mortgage banking originations. The increase from 2013 to 2014 related to an increase of full-time equivalent employees primarily from the merger with Sterling.

Net occupancy and equipment expense increased in 2015 as compared to the prior year due a full year of rent expense and depreciation expense related to the full year of activity from Sterling related operations, partially offset by store consolidations in 2015. The increase for 2014 as compared to 2013 was to the Sterling merger adding additional stores, partially offset by store consolidations that occurred in the second half of 2014.

Communications costs increased in 2015 compared to 2014, and in 2014 compared to 2013, primarily due to increased data processing cost as a result of the Company's continued growth and expansion. Marketing expense increased in 2015 compared to 2014 and 2013 due to costs associated with branding initiatives. Services expense decreased in 2015 compared to 2014 primarily due to fluctuations related to managed hosting servicing fees.

Table of Contents

FDIC assessments increased in 2015 compared to 2014 due to the increase in the assets from organic growth. In 2014 compared to 2013, the increase was a result of the increase in the assets as a result of the Sterling merger.

In the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company recognized a net loss (which includes loss on sale and valuation adjustments) on OREO properties of \$1.9 million, as compared to a net loss on OREO properties of \$4.1 million and \$1.2 million in the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The decrease in 2015 is primarily the result of improving real estate values, allowing for better realization of market values of existing OREO properties. The increase in 2014 is primarily due a depressed valuation of a single OREO property in the fourth quarter of 2014.

We incur significant expenses in connection with the completion and integration of bank acquisitions that are not capitalizable. These merger related expenses are recorded in accordance with a Board approved accounting policy with respect to merger related charges, including internal and external charges. These expenses include acquisition related expenses, facility closure related costs, customer communications, restructuring expenses (including associate severance and retention charges) and expenses related to conversions of systems, including consulting costs. The merger-related expenses incurred in 2013, primarily relate to the acquisition of FinPac and the merger with Sterling; and in 2014 and 2015, primarily relate to the merger with Sterling.

Merger-Related Expense
Years Ended December 31,
(in thousands)

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|------------------------------|----------|----------|---------|
| Legal and professional | \$21,849 | \$22,276 | \$5,648 |
| Personnel | 11,564 | 18,837 | 225 |
| Premises and Equipment | 6,640 | 3,677 | 17 |
| Communication | 2,309 | 2,522 | 49 |
| Contract termination | 154 | 10,378 | 66 |
| Charitable contributions | — | 10,000 | 28 |
| Investment banking fees | — | 9,573 | 2,042 |
| Other | 3,066 | 5,054 | 761 |
| Total merger-related expense | \$45,582 | \$82,317 | \$8,836 |

Other non-interest expense increased in 2015 as compared to 2014 and 2013 due to increased costs of the additional stores and associates added from the Sterling merger.

INCOME TAXES

Our consolidated effective tax rate as a percentage of pre-tax income for 2015 was 35.9%, compared to 36.0% for 2014 and 35.6% for 2013. The effective tax rates differed from the federal statutory rate of 35% and the apportioned state rate of 5.3% (net of the federal tax benefit) principally because of the relative amount of income we earn in each state jurisdiction, non-taxable income arising from bank-owned life insurance, income on tax-exempt investment securities, nondeductible merger expenses and tax credits arising from low income housing investments.

Table of Contents

FINANCIAL CONDITION

INVESTMENT SECURITIES

The composition of our investment securities portfolio reflects management's investment strategy of maintaining an appropriate level of liquidity while providing a relatively stable source of interest income. The investment securities portfolio also mitigates interest rate and credit risk inherent in the loan portfolio, while providing a vehicle for the investment of available funds, a source of liquidity (by pledging as collateral or through repurchase agreements) and collateral for certain public funds deposits.

Trading securities consist of securities held in inventory by Umpqua Investments for sale to its clients and securities invested in trust for the benefit of certain executives or former employees of acquired institutions as required by agreements. Trading securities were \$9.6 million at December 31, 2015, as compared to \$10.0 million at December 31, 2014. This decrease is principally attributable to a decrease in Rabbi Trusts balances.

Investment securities available for sale were \$2.5 billion as of December 31, 2015 compared to \$2.3 billion at December 31, 2014. The increase is due to purchases of investment securities of \$1.1 billion of investment securities available for sale, and a decrease in fair value of investments securities available for sale of \$23.8 million, offset by paydowns of \$805.6 million and amortization of net purchase price premiums of \$23.5 million.

Investment securities held to maturity were \$4.6 million as of December 31, 2015 as compared to holdings of \$5.2 million at December 31, 2014. The change primarily relates paydowns and maturities of investment securities held to maturity of \$598,000.

The following table presents the available for sale and held to maturity investment securities portfolio by major type as of December 31 for each of the last three years:

Summary of Investment Securities

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| AVAILABLE FOR SALE | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and agencies | \$— | \$229 | \$268 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 313,117 | 338,404 | 235,205 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 2,207,420 | 1,957,852 | 1,553,541 |
| Investments in mutual funds and other equity securities | 2,002 | 2,070 | 1,964 |
| | \$2,522,539 | \$2,298,555 | \$1,790,978 |
| HELD TO MATURITY | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | \$4,609 | \$5,088 | \$5,563 |
| Other investment securities | — | 123 | — |
| | \$4,609 | \$5,211 | \$5,563 |

Table of Contents

The following table presents information regarding the amortized cost, fair value, average yield and maturity structure of the investment portfolio at December 31, 2015.

Investment Securities Composition*

December 31, 2015

| (dollars in thousands) | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Average Yield | |
|--|-------------------|---------------|------------------|---|
| OBLIGATIONS OF STATES AND POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS | | | | |
| One year or less | \$42,098 | \$42,654 | 5.70 | % |
| One to five years | 193,709 | 202,873 | 5.68 | % |
| Five to ten years | 55,054 | 56,498 | 4.18 | % |
| Over ten years | 10,137 | 11,092 | 5.28 | % |
| | 300,998 | 313,117 | 5.40 | % |
| OTHER SECURITIES | | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 2,228,351 | 2,213,010 | 2.18 | % |
| Other investment securities | 1,959 | 2,002 | 2.12 | % |
| Total securities | \$2,531,308 | \$2,528,129 | 2.58 | % |

*Weighted average yields are stated on a federal tax-equivalent basis of 35%. Weighted average yields for available for sale investments have been calculated on an amortized cost basis.

The mortgage-related securities in the table above include both pooled mortgage-backed issues and high-quality collateralized mortgage obligation structures, with an average duration of 3.5 years. These mortgage-related securities provide yield spread to U.S. Treasury or agency securities; however, the cash flows arising from them can be volatile due to refinancing of the underlying mortgage loans.

The equity security in "Other investment securities" in the table above at December 31, 2015 and 2014, principally represents an investment in a Community Reinvestment Act investment fund comprised largely of mortgage-backed securities, although funds may also invest in municipal bonds, certificates of deposit, repurchase agreements, or securities issued by other investment companies.

We review investment securities on an ongoing basis for the presence of other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") or permanent impairment, taking into consideration current market conditions, fair value in relationship to cost, extent and nature of the change in fair value, issuer rating changes and trends, whether we intend to sell a security or if it is likely that we will be required to sell the security before recovery of our amortized cost basis of the investment, which may be maturity, and other factors.

Gross unrealized losses in the available for sale investment portfolio was \$24.2 million at December 31, 2015. This consisted primarily of unrealized losses on residential mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations of \$23.5 million. The unrealized losses were primarily caused by interest rate increases subsequent to the purchase of the securities, and not credit quality. In the opinion of management, these securities are considered only temporarily impaired due to changes in market interest rates or the widening of market spreads subsequent to the initial purchase of the securities, and not due to concerns regarding the underlying credit of the issuers or the underlying collateral.

RESTRICTED EQUITY SECURITIES

Restricted equity securities were \$46.9 million at December 31, 2015 and \$119.3 million at December 31, 2014. The decrease is attributable to redemptions of Federal Home Loan Banks ("FHLB") stock. Of the \$46.9 million at December 31, 2015, \$45.5 million represent the Bank's investment in the FHLBs of Des Moines and San Francisco. FHLB stock is carried at par and does not have a readily determinable fair value. Ownership of FHLB stock is restricted to the FHLB and member institutions, and can only be purchased and redeemed at par.

Table of Contents

LOANS AND LEASES

Loans and Leases, net

Total loans and leases outstanding at December 31, 2015 were \$16.8 billion, an increase of \$1.5 billion as compared to year-end 2014. This increase is principally attributable to net new loan and lease originations of \$1.8 billion, partially offset by charge-offs of \$35.6 million, transfers to other real estate owned of \$9.1 million, and loans sold of \$266.4 million during the period.

The following table presents the composition of the loan and lease portfolio, net of deferred fees and costs, as of December 31 for each of the last five years.

Loan and Lease Portfolio Composition

As of December 31,

| (dollars in thousands) | 2015 | | 2014 | | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage |
| Commercial real estate, net | \$9,309,035 | 55.3 % | \$8,903,660 | 58.1 % | \$4,630,155 | 59.9 % | \$4,582,768 | 63.9 % | \$4,308,889 | 66.0 % |
| Commercial, net | 3,197,246 | 19.0 % | 2,948,823 | 19.2 % | 2,142,213 | 27.7 % | 1,757,660 | 24.5 % | 1,513,905 | 23.2 % |
| Residential, net | 3,813,890 | 22.6 % | 3,086,213 | 20.2 % | 903,423 | 11.7 % | 792,367 | 11.0 % | 655,055 | 10.1 % |
| Consumer & other, net | 527,189 | 3.1 % | 389,036 | 2.5 % | 52,375 | 0.7 % | 43,638 | 0.6 % | 47,020 | 0.7 % |
| Total loans and leases, net | \$16,847,360 | 100.0 % | \$15,327,732 | 100.0 % | \$7,728,166 | 100.0 % | \$7,176,433 | 100.0 % | \$6,524,869 | 100.0 % |

Loan and Lease Concentrations

The following table presents the concentration distribution of our loan and lease portfolio by major type:

| (dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2015 | | December 31, 2014 | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage |
| Commercial real estate | | | | |
| Non-owner occupied term, net | \$3,140,845 | 18.6 % | \$3,290,610 | 21.5 % |
| Owner occupied term, net | 2,691,921 | 16.0 % | 2,633,864 | 17.2 % |
| Multifamily, net | 3,074,918 | 18.3 % | 2,638,618 | 17.2 % |
| Construction & development, net | 301,892 | 1.8 % | 258,722 | 1.7 % |
| Residential development, net | 99,459 | 0.6 % | 81,846 | 0.5 % |
| Commercial | | | | |
| Term, net | 1,425,009 | 8.5 % | 1,396,089 | 9.1 % |
| LOC & other, net | 1,043,076 | 6.2 % | 1,029,620 | 6.7 % |
| Leases and equipment finance, net | 729,161 | 4.3 % | 523,114 | 3.4 % |
| Residential | | | | |
| Mortgage, net | 2,890,223 | 17.1 % | 2,233,735 | 14.6 % |
| Home equity loans & lines, net | 923,667 | 5.5 % | 852,478 | 5.6 % |
| Consumer & other, net | 527,189 | 3.1 % | 389,036 | 2.5 % |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------|---|--------------|-------|---|
| Total, net of deferred fees and costs | \$16,847,360 | 100.0 | % | \$15,327,732 | 100.0 | % |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------|---|--------------|-------|---|

44

Table of Contents

Maturities and Sensitivities of Loans to Changes in Interest Rates

The following table presents the maturity distribution of our commercial loan portfolios and the sensitivity of these loans to changes in interest rates as of December 31, 2015:

(in thousands)

| | By Maturity | | | Total | Loans Over One Year by Rate Sensitivity | |
|------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|--|-------------|
| | One Year | One | Over Five | | Fixed | Floating |
| | or Less | Through Five Years | Years | | Rate | Rate |
| Commercial real estate | \$651,289 | \$2,062,789 | \$6,594,957 | \$9,309,035 | \$1,768,888 | \$6,888,858 |
| Commercial (1) | \$1,202,238 | \$687,754 | \$578,093 | \$2,468,085 | \$738,934 | \$526,913 |

(1) Excludes the lease and equipment finance portfolio.

ASSET QUALITY AND NON-PERFORMING ASSETS

The following table summarizes our non-performing assets and restructured loans:

Non-Performing Assets

As of December 31,

| (dollars in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|---|
| Loans and leases on non-accrual status | \$29,215 | \$52,041 | \$31,891 | \$66,736 | \$80,562 | |
| Loans and leases past due 90 days or more and accruing | 15,169 | 7,512 | 3,430 | 4,232 | 10,821 | |
| Total non-performing loans and leases | 44,384 | 59,553 | 35,321 | 70,968 | 91,383 | |
| Other real estate owned | 22,307 | 37,942 | 23,935 | 27,512 | 53,666 | |
| Total non-performing assets | \$66,691 | \$97,495 | \$59,256 | \$98,480 | \$145,049 | |
| Restructured loans (1) | \$31,355 | \$54,836 | \$68,791 | \$70,602 | \$80,563 | |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | \$130,322 | \$116,167 | \$95,085 | \$103,666 | \$107,288 | |
| Reserve for unfunded commitments | 3,574 | 3,539 | 1,436 | 1,223 | 940 | |
| Allowance for credit losses | \$133,896 | \$119,706 | \$96,521 | \$104,889 | \$108,228 | |
| Asset quality ratios: | | | | | | |
| Non-performing assets to total assets | 0.29 | % 0.43 | % 0.51 | % 0.84 | % 1.25 | % |
| Non-performing loans and leases to total loans and leases | 0.26 | % 0.39 | % 0.46 | % 0.99 | % 1.40 | % |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to total loans and leases | 0.77 | % 0.76 | % 1.23 | % 1.44 | % 1.64 | % |
| Allowance for credit losses to total loans and leases | 0.79 | % 0.78 | % 1.25 | % 1.46 | % 1.66 | % |
| Allowance for credit losses to total non-performing loans and leases | 302 | % 201 | % 273 | % 148 | % 118 | % |

(1) Represents accruing restructured loans performing according to their restructured terms.

Under acquisition accounting rules, loans (including those considered non-performing) acquired from Sterling were recorded at their estimated fair value. The Company recognized the loan portfolio acquired from Sterling at fair value as of the acquisition date, which resulted in a discount to the loan portfolio's previous carrying value. Neither the credit portion nor any other portion of the fair value mark is reflected in the reported allowance for loan and lease

losses, or related allowance coverage ratios, but we believe should be considered when comparing the current period ratios to similar ratios in periods prior to the acquisition of Sterling due to the impact of the purchase credit impaired loans not being included in non-performing loans, however, these acquired loans are included in the total loans and leases. In addition, the allowance for credit loss ratios have declined from periods prior to the acquisition of Sterling due to the acquired loans being included in total loans and leases, but not having a related allowance due to the application of the credit discount.

Table of Contents

The purchased non-credit impaired loans had remaining credit discount that will accrete into interest income over the life of the loans of \$72.8 million and \$122.4 million, as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The purchased impaired loan pools had remaining credit discount of \$44.4 million and \$66.5 million, as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Loans acquired with deteriorating credit quality are accounted for as purchased credit impaired pools. Typically this would include loans that were considered non-performing or restructured as of acquisition date. Accordingly, subsequent to acquisition, loans included in the purchased credit impaired pools are not reported as non-performing loans based upon their individual performance status, so the categories of nonaccrual, impaired and 90 days past due and accruing do not include any purchased credit impaired loans.

Restructured Loans

At December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, impaired loans of \$31.4 million and \$54.8 million were classified as performing restructured loans, respectively. The restructurings were granted in response to borrower financial difficulty, by providing modification of loan repayment terms. The performing restructured loans on accrual status represent principally the only impaired loans accruing interest at December 31, 2015. In order for a restructured loan to be considered performing and on accrual status, the loan's collateral coverage generally will be greater than or equal to 100% of the loan balance, the loan must be current on payments, and the borrower must either prefund an interest reserve or demonstrate the ability to make payments from a verified source of cash flow. There were no available commitments for troubled debt restructurings outstanding as of December 31, 2015 and \$1.0 million as of December 31, 2014.

The following table presents a distribution of our performing restructured loans by year of maturity, according to the restructured terms, as of December 31, 2015:

(in thousands)

| Year | Amount |
|------------|----------|
| 2016 | \$22,315 |
| 2017 | 846 |
| 2018 | — |
| 2019 | 218 |
| 2020 | 182 |
| Thereafter | 7,794 |
| Total | \$31,355 |

ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES AND RESERVE FOR UNFUNDED COMMITMENTS

The allowance for loan and lease losses ("ALLL") totaled \$130.3 million at December 31, 2015, an increase of \$14.2 million from the \$116.2 million at December 31, 2014. The increase in the ALLL from the prior year-end is a result of loan and lease growth, partially offset by improving credit quality characteristics of the lease and loan portfolio.

Table of Contents

The following table provides a summary of activity in the ALLL by major loan type, net of deferred fees for each of the five years ended December 31:

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

| (dollars in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$116,167 | \$95,085 | \$103,666 | \$107,288 | \$104,642 | |
| Loans charged-off: | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate, net | (6,194) | (8,030) | (9,748) | (25,270) | (39,188) | |
| Commercial, net | (15,875) | (16,824) | (20,810) | (13,822) | (21,731) | |
| Residential, net | (879) | (1,855) | (3,655) | (5,878) | (7,990) | |
| Consumer & other, net | (12,623) | (3,469) | (1,285) | (2,158) | (2,828) | |
| Total loans charged-off | (35,571) | (30,178) | (35,498) | (47,128) | (71,737) | |
| Recoveries: | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate, net | 2,682 | 2,539 | 4,436 | 6,673 | 7,254 | |
| Commercial, net | 5,001 | 6,744 | 10,445 | 6,089 | 3,860 | |
| Residential, net | 641 | 462 | 569 | 999 | 381 | |
| Consumer & other, net | 4,813 | 1,274 | 751 | 544 | 527 | |
| Total recoveries | 13,137 | 11,019 | 16,201 | 14,305 | 12,022 | |
| Net charge-offs | (22,434) | (19,159) | (19,297) | (32,823) | (59,715) | |
| Provision charged to operations | 36,589 | 40,241 | 10,716 | 29,201 | 62,361 | |
| Balance, end of period | \$130,322 | \$116,167 | \$95,085 | \$103,666 | \$107,288 | |
| As a percentage of average loans and leases: | | | | | | |
| Net charge-offs | 0.14 | % 0.15 | % 0.26 | % 0.49 | % 0.93 | % |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 0.23 | % 0.31 | % 0.15 | % 0.44 | % 0.97 | % |
| Recoveries as a percentage of charge-offs | 36.93 | % 36.51 | % 45.64 | % 30.35 | % 16.76 | % |

The unallocated portion of ALLL provides for coverage of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio but not captured in the credit loss factors that are utilized in the risk rating-based component, or in the specific impairment reserve component of the allowance for loan and lease losses, and acknowledges the inherent imprecision of all loss prediction models. At both December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, there was no unallocated allowance for loan and lease losses.

The following table sets forth the allocation of the allowance for loan and lease losses and percent of loans and leases in each category to total loans and leases, net of deferred fees, as of December 31:

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses Composition

As of December 31,

| (dollars in thousands) | 2015 | | 2014 | | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|
| | Amount | % | Amount | % | Amount | % | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| Commercial real estate, net | \$54,085 | 55.3 % | \$55,184 | 58.1 % | \$59,538 | 59.9 % | \$67,038 | 63.9 % | \$68,513 | 66.1 % |
| Commercial, net | 47,695 | 19.0 % | 41,216 | 19.2 % | 27,028 | 27.7 % | 27,905 | 24.5 % | 24,449 | 23.2 % |
| Residential, net | 22,017 | 22.6 % | 15,922 | 20.2 % | 7,487 | 11.7 % | 7,729 | 11.0 % | 8,616 | 10.0 % |
| Consumer & other, net | 6,525 | 3.1 % | 3,845 | 2.5 % | 1,032 | 0.7 % | 994 | 0.6 % | 1,293 | 0.7 % |
| Unallocated | — | | — | | — | | — | | 4,417 | |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | \$130,322 | | \$116,167 | | \$95,085 | | \$103,666 | | \$107,288 | |

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2015, the recorded investment in loans classified as impaired totaled \$52.1 million, with a corresponding valuation allowance (included in the allowance for loan and lease losses) of \$788,000. The valuation allowance on impaired loans represents the impairment reserves on performing current and former restructured loans and nonaccrual loans. At December 31, 2014, the total recorded investment in impaired loans was \$102.6 million, with a corresponding valuation allowance (included in the allowance for loan and lease losses) of \$1.4 million. The valuation allowance on impaired loans represents the impairment reserves on performing current and former restructured loans and nonaccrual loans at December 31, 2014.

The following table presents a summary of activity in the reserve for unfunded commitments ("RUC"):

Summary of Reserve for Unfunded Commitments Activity

Years Ended December 31,

(in thousands)

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$3,539 | \$1,436 | \$1,223 |
| Net change to other expense | 35 | (1,863 |) 213 |
| Acquired reserve | — | 3,966 | — |
| Balance, end of period | \$3,574 | \$3,539 | \$1,436 |

We believe that the ALLL and RUC at December 31, 2015 are sufficient to absorb losses inherent in the loan and lease portfolio and credit commitments outstanding as of that date based on the best information available. This assessment, based in part on historical levels of net charge-offs, loan and lease growth, and a detailed review of the quality of the loan and lease portfolio, involves uncertainty and judgment. Therefore, the adequacy of the ALLL and RUC cannot be determined with precision and may be subject to change in future periods. In addition, bank regulatory authorities, as part of their periodic examination of the Bank, may require additional charges to the provision for loan and lease losses in future periods if warranted as a result of their review.

RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE SERVICING RIGHTS

The following table presents the key elements of our residential mortgage servicing rights asset as of December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013:

Summary of Residential Mortgage Servicing Rights

Years Ended December 31,

(in thousands)

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$117,259 | \$47,765 | \$27,428 |
| Acquired/purchased MSR | — | 62,770 | — |
| Additions for new MSR capitalized | 35,284 | 23,311 | 17,963 |
| Changes in fair value: | | | |
| Due to changes in model inputs or assumptions(1) | (380 |) (5,757 |) 5,688 |
| Other(2) | (20,346 |) (10,830 |) (3,314 |
| Balance, end of period | \$131,817 | \$117,259 | \$47,765 |

(1) Principally reflects changes in discount rates and prepayment speed assumptions, which are primarily affected by changes in interest rates.

(2) Represents changes due to collection/realization of expected cash flows over time.

Information related to our serviced loan portfolio as of December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013 was as follows:

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-K

| (dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2015 | December 31, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Balance of loans serviced for others | \$13,047,266 | \$11,590,310 | \$4,362,499 |
| MSR as a percentage of serviced loans | 1.01 | % 1.01 | % 1.09 |

48

Table of Contents

Residential mortgage servicing rights are adjusted to fair value quarterly with the change recorded in residential mortgage banking revenue. The value of residential mortgage servicing rights is impacted by market rates for mortgage loans. Historically low market rates can cause prepayments to increase as a result of refinancing activity. To the extent loans are prepaid sooner than estimated at the time servicing assets are originally recorded, it is possible that certain residential mortgage servicing rights assets may decrease in value. Generally, the fair value of our residential mortgage servicing rights will increase as market rates for mortgage loans rise and decrease if market rates fall.

GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, we had goodwill of \$1.8 billion. Goodwill is recorded in connection with business combinations and represents the excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of the net assets acquired. At December 31, 2014, we had recorded goodwill of \$1.8 billion, as compared to \$764.3 million at December 31, 2013, with the increase due to the Sterling merger.

At December 31, 2015, we had other intangible assets of \$45.5 million, as compared to \$56.7 million at December 31, 2014. As part of a business acquisition, the fair value of identifiable intangible assets such as core deposits, which includes all deposits except certificates of deposit, are recognized at the acquisition date. Intangible assets with definite useful lives are amortized to their estimated residual values over their respective estimated useful lives, and are also reviewed for impairment. We amortize other intangible assets on an accelerated or straight-line basis over an estimated ten to fifteen year life. Other intangible assets decreased in 2015 from 2014 as a result of amortization of the other intangible assets of \$11.2 million during the year. No impairment losses have been recognized in the periods presented.

DEPOSITS

Total deposits were \$17.7 billion at December 31, 2015, an increase of \$815.1 million, or 4.8%, as compared to year-end 2014 due to growth in demand, money market and savings deposits, partially offset by a decrease in total time deposits.

The following table presents the deposit balances by major category as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

Deposits

| (dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2015 | | December 31, 2014 | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage |
| Non-interest bearing | \$5,318,591 | 30 % | \$4,744,804 | 28 % |
| Interest bearing demand | 2,157,376 | 12 % | 2,054,994 | 12 % |
| Money market | 6,599,516 | 37 % | 6,113,138 | 36 % |
| Savings | 1,136,809 | 6 % | 971,185 | 6 % |
| Time, \$100,000 or greater | 1,604,446 | 9 % | 1,765,721 | 10 % |
| Time, less than \$100,000 | 890,451 | 6 % | 1,242,257 | 8 % |
| Total | \$17,707,189 | 100 % | \$16,892,099 | 100 % |

The following table presents the scheduled maturities of time deposits of \$100,000 and greater as of December 31, 2015:

Maturities of Time Deposits of \$100,000 and Greater

| (in thousands) | Amount |
|----------------------|-----------|
| Three months or less | \$494,891 |

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|
| Over three months through six months | 299,599 |
| Over six months through twelve months | 310,738 |
| Over twelve months | 499,218 |
| Time, \$100,000 and over | \$1,604,446 |

The Company has brokered deposits, including Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service ("CDARS") included in time and money market deposits. These products are designed to enhance our ability to attract and retain customers and increase deposits, by providing additional FDIC coverage to customers. At December 31, 2015, the Company's brokered deposits, including CDARS, were \$758.9 million compared to \$866.2 million as of December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

BORROWINGS

At December 31, 2015, the Bank had outstanding \$304.6 million of securities sold under agreements to repurchase and no outstanding federal funds purchased balances. The Bank had outstanding term debt of \$888.8 million at December 31, 2015, primarily with the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB"). Term debt outstanding as of December 31, 2015 decreased \$117.6 million since December 31, 2014 as a result of maturity payoffs. Advances from the FHLB are secured by investment securities and loans secured by real estate. The FHLB advances have coupon interest rates ranging from 0.54% to 7.10% and mature in 2016 through 2030.

JUNIOR SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES

We had junior subordinated debentures with carrying values of \$356.7 million and \$350.9 million at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. The increase is due to the change in fair value for the junior subordinated debentures elected to be carried at fair value. As of December 31, 2015, the majority of the junior subordinated debentures had interest rates that are adjustable on a quarterly basis based on a spread over three month LIBOR.

LIQUIDITY AND CASH FLOW

The principal objective of our liquidity management program is to maintain the Bank's ability to meet the day-to-day cash flow requirements of our customers who either wish to withdraw funds or to draw upon credit facilities to meet their cash needs.

We monitor the sources and uses of funds on a daily basis to maintain an acceptable liquidity position. One source of funds includes public deposits. Individual state laws require banks to collateralize public deposits, typically as a percentage of their public deposit balance in excess of FDIC insurance. Public deposits represent 10.6% and 11.7% of total deposits at December 31, 2015 and at December 31, 2014, respectively. The amount of collateral required varies by state and may also vary by institution within each state, depending on the individual state's risk assessment of depository institutions. Changes in the pledging requirements for uninsured public deposits may require pledging additional collateral to secure these deposits, drawing on other sources of funds to finance the purchase of assets that would be available to be pledged to satisfy a pledging requirement, or could lead to the withdrawal of certain public deposits from the Bank. In addition to liquidity from core deposits and the repayments and maturities of loans and investment securities, the Bank can utilize established uncommitted federal funds lines of credit, sell securities under agreements to repurchase, borrow on a secured basis from the FHLB or issue brokered certificates of deposit.

The Bank had available lines of credit with the FHLB totaling \$5.4 billion at December 31, 2015 subject to certain collateral requirements, namely the amount of pledged loans and investment securities. The Bank had available lines of credit with the Federal Reserve totaling \$333.3 million subject to certain collateral requirements, namely the amount of certain pledged loans. The Bank had uncommitted federal funds line of credit agreements with additional financial institutions totaling \$450.0 million at December 31, 2015. Availability of lines is subject to federal funds balances available for loan and continued borrower eligibility. These lines are intended to support short-term liquidity needs, and the agreements may restrict consecutive day usage.

The Company is a separate entity from the Bank and must provide for its own liquidity. Substantially all of the Company's revenues are obtained from dividends declared and paid by the Bank. There were \$153.0 million of dividends paid by the Bank to the Company in 2015. There are statutory and regulatory provisions that could limit the ability of the Bank to pay dividends to the Company. We believe that such restrictions will not have an adverse impact on the ability of the Company to fund its quarterly cash dividend distributions to common shareholders and meet its ongoing cash obligations, which consist principally of debt service on the outstanding junior subordinated debentures. As of December 31, 2015, the Company did not have any borrowing arrangements of its own.

As disclosed in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, net cash provided by operating activities was \$376.7 million during 2015, with the difference between cash provided by operating activities and net income largely consisting of proceeds from the sale of loans held for sale of \$3.5 billion, offset by originations of loans held for sale of \$3.5 billion. This compares to net cash provided by operating activities of \$359.2 million during 2014, with the difference between cash provided by operating activities and net income largely consisting of proceeds from the sale of loans held for sale of \$2.3 billion, offset by originations of loans held for sale of \$2.1 billion.

Net cash of \$1.8 billion used by investing activities during the 2015 consisted principally of \$1.8 billion of net changes in loans and \$1.1 billion of purchases of investment securities available for sale, partially offset by proceeds from investment securities available for sale of \$805.6 million and proceeds from sale of loans and leases of \$288.8 million. This compares to net cash of \$242.1 million provided by investing activities during 2014, which consisted principally of proceeds from investment securities available for sale of \$1.2 billion, proceeds from the sale of loans and leases of \$356.5 million, net cash acquired in acquisition of \$116.9 million, partially offset by net loan and lease originations of \$943.1 million and purchases of investment securities available for sale of \$363.1 million.

Table of Contents

Net cash of \$548.7 million provided by financing activities during 2015 primarily consisted of \$820.2 million increase in net deposits, partially offset by dividends paid on common stock of \$134.6 million, and repayment of debt of \$265.0 million. This compares to net cash of \$213.4 million provided by financing activities during 2014, which consisted primarily of \$905.4 million increase in net deposits, partially offset by \$496.3 million decrease in net securities sold under agreements to repurchase, \$99.2 million of dividends paid on common stock, and repayment of term debt of \$97.0 million.

Although we expect the Bank's and the Company's liquidity positions to remain satisfactory during 2016, it is possible that our deposit balances for 2016 may not be maintained at previous levels due to pricing pressure or, in order to generate deposit growth, our pricing may need to be adjusted in a manner that results in increased interest expense on deposits.

OFF-BALANCE-SHEET-ARRANGEMENTS

Information regarding Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements is included in Note 19 and 20 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 below.

The following table presents a summary of significant contractual obligations extending beyond one year as of December 31, 2015 and maturing as indicated:

Future Contractual Obligations

As of December 31, 2015:

| (in thousands) | Less than 1 Year | 1 to 3 Years | 3 to 5 Years | More than 5 Years | Total |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------|
| Deposits (1) | \$ 16,868,492 | \$ 535,346 | \$ 294,942 | \$ 8,409 | \$ 17,707,189 |
| Term debt | 525,016 | 205,000 | 150,000 | 5,151 | 885,167 |
| Junior subordinated debentures (2) | — | — | — | 475,427 | 475,427 |
| Operating leases | 30,822 | 49,562 | 36,436 | 43,852 | 160,672 |
| Other long-term liabilities (3) | 3,710 | 6,925 | 7,637 | 52,222 | 70,494 |
| Total contractual obligations | \$ 17,428,040 | \$ 796,833 | \$ 489,015 | \$ 585,061 | \$ 19,298,949 |

(1) Deposits with indeterminate maturities, such as demand, savings and money market accounts, are reflected as obligations due in less than one year.

(2) Represents the issued amount of all junior subordinated debentures.

(3) Includes maximum payments related to employee benefit plans, assuming all future vesting conditions are met. Additional information about employee benefit plans is provided in Note 18 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 below.

The table above does not include interest payments or purchase accounting adjustments related to deposits, term debt or junior subordinated debentures.

As of December 31, 2015, the Company has a liability for unrecognized tax benefits in the amount of \$3.3 million, which includes accrued interest of \$428,000. As the Company is not able to estimate the period in which this liability will be paid in the future, this amount is not included in the future contractual obligations table above.

CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK

Information regarding Concentrations of Credit Risk is included in Note 3, 5, and 19 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 below.

CAPITAL RESOURCES

Shareholders' equity at December 31, 2015 was \$3.8 billion, an increase of \$71.7 million from December 31, 2014. The increase in shareholders' equity during the year ended was principally due to net income of \$222.5 million, offset by other comprehensive loss, net of tax, of \$14.6 million and common stock dividends declared of \$137.5 million.

The Federal Reserve Board has in place guidelines for risk-based capital requirements applicable to U.S. banks and bank/financial holding companies. These risk-based capital guidelines take into consideration risk factors, as defined by regulation, associated with various categories of assets, both on and off-balance sheet.

Table of Contents

On July 2, 2013, the federal banking regulators approved the final proposed rules that revise the regulatory capital rules to incorporate certain revisions by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision to the Basel capital framework ("Basel III"). The phase-in period for the final rules began for the Company on January 1, 2015, with full compliance with the final rules entire requirement phased in on January 1, 2019.

The final rules, among other things, include a new common equity Tier 1 capital ("CET1") to risk-weighted assets ratio, including a capital conservation buffer, which will gradually increase from 4.5% on January 1, 2015 to 7.0% on January 1, 2019. The final rules also raise the minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets from 4.0% to 6.0% on January 1, 2015 to 8.5% on January 1, 2019, as well as require a minimum leverage ratio of 4.0%.

Under the final rule, as Umpqua is above \$15.0 billion in assets as a result of an acquisition, the combined trust preferred security debt issuances are required to be phased out of Tier 1 and into Tier 2 capital (75% starting in the first quarter of 2015 and 100% starting in the first quarter of 2016). It is possible the Company may accelerate redemption of the existing junior subordinated debentures. This could result in adjustments to the carrying value of these instruments, including the acceleration of losses on junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value within non-interest income. The Company currently does not intend to redeem the junior subordinated debentures in order to support regulatory total capital levels.

The final rules also provide for a number of adjustments to and deductions from the new CET1. Under Basel III, the effects of certain accumulated other comprehensive items are not excluded; however, the Company and the Bank have made a one-time permanent election to continue to exclude these items in order to avoid significant variations in the level of capital depending on the impact of interest rate fluctuations on the fair value of the Company's securities portfolio. In addition, deductions include, for example, the requirement that mortgage servicing rights, certain deferred tax assets not dependent upon future taxable income and significant investments in non-consolidated financial entities be deducted from CET1 to the extent that any one such category exceeds 10% of CET1 or all such categories in the aggregate exceed 15% of CET1.

Under the BASEL III guidelines, capital strength is measured in three tiers, which are used in conjunction with risk-adjusted assets to determine the risk-based capital ratios. The guidelines require an 8% total risk-based capital ratio, of which 6% must be Tier 1 capital and 4.5% must be CET1. Our CET1 capital primarily includes shareholders' equity less certain deductions for goodwill and other intangibles, net of taxes, net unrealized gains (losses) on AFS securities, net of tax, and certain DTAs that arise from tax loss and credit carryforwards, and totaled \$2.0 billion at December 31, 2015. Tier 1 capital is primarily comprised of common equity Tier 1 capital and qualifying trust preferred securities, less certain additional deductions applied during the phase-in period, totaled \$2.1 billion at December 31, 2015. Tier 2 capital components include all, or a portion of, the allowance for loan and lease losses and the portion of trust preferred securities in excess of Tier 1 statutory limits. The total of Tier 1 capital plus Tier 2 capital components is referred to as Total Risk-Based Capital, and was \$2.6 billion at December 31, 2015. The percentage ratios, as calculated under the guidelines, were 11.35%, 11.65% and 14.34% for CET1, Tier 1 and Total Risk-Based Capital, respectively, at December 31, 2015. The Tier 1 and Total Risk-Based Capital ratios at December 31, 2014 were 14.44% and 15.20%, respectively.

A minimum leverage ratio is required in addition to the risk-based capital standards and is defined as period-end shareholders' equity and qualifying trust preferred securities, less accumulated other comprehensive income, goodwill and deposit-based intangibles, divided by average assets as adjusted for goodwill and other intangible assets. Although a minimum leverage ratio of 4% is required for the highest-rated financial holding companies that are not undertaking significant expansion programs, the Federal Reserve Board may require a financial holding company to maintain a leverage ratio greater than 4% if it is experiencing or anticipating significant growth or is operating with less than well-diversified risks in the opinion of the Federal Reserve Board. The Federal Reserve Board uses the leverage and risk-based capital ratios to assess capital adequacy of banks and financial holding companies. Our consolidated leverage ratios at December 31, 2015 and 2014 were 9.73% and 10.99%, respectively. As of December 31, 2015, the

most recent notification from the FDIC categorized the Bank as "well-capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Bank's regulatory capital category.

During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company made no contributions to the Bank. At December 31, 2015, all four of the capital ratios of the Bank exceeded the minimum ratios required by federal regulation. Management monitors these ratios on a regular basis to ensure that the Bank remains within regulatory guidelines.

During 2015, Umpqua's Board of Directors approved a cash dividend of \$0.15 in the first and second quarters and \$0.16 in the third and fourth quarters. These dividends were made pursuant to our existing dividend policy and in consideration of, among other things, earnings, regulatory capital levels, the overall payout ratio and expected asset growth. We expect that the dividend rate will be reassessed on a quarterly basis by the Board of Directors in accordance with the dividend policy.

Table of Contents

There is no assurance that future cash dividends on common shares will be declared or increased. The following table presents cash dividends declared and dividend payout ratios (dividends declared per common share divided by basic earnings per common share) for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

Cash Dividends and Payout Ratios per Common Share

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | | |
|------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---|--|
| Dividend declared per common share | \$0.62 | \$0.60 | \$0.60 | | |
| Dividend payout ratio | 61 | % 76 | % 69 | % | |

The Company's share repurchase plan, which was first approved by the Board and announced in August 2003, provided authority to repurchase up to 15 million shares of our common stock. In 2015, the Board extended the repurchase program for two years to July 31, 2017. As of December 31, 2015, a total of 11.4 million shares remained available for repurchase. The Company repurchased 571,000 shares under the repurchase plan in 2015. The timing and amount of future repurchases will depend upon the market price for our common stock, securities laws restricting repurchases, asset growth, earnings, and our capital plan. In addition, our stock plans provide that option and award holders may pay for the exercise price and tax withholdings in part or whole by tendering previously held shares.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our market risk arises primarily from credit risk and interest rate risk inherent in our investment, lending and financing activities. To manage our credit risk, we rely on various controls, including our underwriting standards and loan policies, internal loan monitoring and periodic credit reviews as well as our allowance of loan and lease losses ("ALLL") methodology, all of which are administered by the Bank's Credit Quality Group or ALLL Committee. Additionally, the Company's Enterprise Risk and Credit Committee provides board oversight over the Company's loan portfolio risk management functions, the Company's Finance and Capital Committee provides board oversight over the Company's investment portfolio and hedging risk management functions, and the Bank's Audit and Compliance Committee provides board oversight of the ALLL process and reviews and approves the ALLL methodology. Interest rate risk is the potential for loss resulting from adverse changes in the level of interest rates on the Company's net interest income. The absolute level and volatility of interest rates can have a significant impact on our profitability. The objective of interest rate risk management is to identify and manage the sensitivity of net interest income to changing interest rates to achieve our overall financial objectives. Based on economic conditions, asset quality and various other considerations, management establishes tolerance ranges for interest rate sensitivity and manages within these ranges. Net interest income and the fair value of financial instruments are greatly influenced by changes in the level of interest rates. We manage exposure to fluctuations in interest rates through policies that are established by the Asset/Liability Management Committee ("ALCO"). The ALCO meets monthly and has responsibility for developing asset/liability management policy, formulating and implementing strategies to improve balance sheet positioning and earnings and reviewing interest rate sensitivity. The Board of Directors' Finance and Capital Committee provides oversight of the asset/liability management process, reviews the results of the interest rate risk analyses prepared for the ALCO and approves the asset/liability policy on an annual basis.

We measure our interest rate risk position on at least a quarterly basis using three methods: (i) gap analysis, (ii) net interest income simulation; and (iii) economic value of equity (fair value of financial instruments) modeling. The results of these analyses are reviewed by ALCO and the Finance and Capital Committee quarterly. If hypothetical changes to interest rates cause changes to our simulated net interest income simulation or economic value of equity modeling outside of our pre-established internal limits, we may adjust the asset and liability size or mix in an effort to bring our interest rate risk exposure within our established limits.

Table of Contents

Gap Analysis

A gap analysis provides information about the volume and repricing characteristics and relationship between the amounts of interest-sensitive assets and interest-bearing liabilities at a particular point in time. An effective interest rate strategy attempts to match how the volume of interest sensitive assets and interest bearing liabilities respond to changes in interest rates within an acceptable timeframe, thereby minimizing the impact of interest rate changes on net interest income. Gap analysis measures interest rate sensitivity at a point in time as the difference between the estimated volumes of asset and liability cash flows or repricing characteristics across various time horizons: immediate to three months, four to twelve months, one to five years, over five years, and on a cumulative basis. The differences are known as interest sensitivity gaps. The main focus of this interest rate management tool is the gap sensitivity identified as the cumulative one year gap. The table below sets forth interest sensitivity gaps for these different intervals as of December 31, 2015.

Interest Sensitivity Gap

| (in thousands) | By Estimated Cash Flow or Repricing Interval | | | | | Non-Rate-Sensitive | Total |
|---|--|----------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------|
| | 0-3 Months | 4-12 Months | 1-5 Years | Over 5 Years | | | |
| ASSETS | | | | | | | |
| Interest bearing cash and temporary investments | \$496,080 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$496,080 |
| Trading account assets | 9,586 | — | — | — | — | — | 9,586 |
| Securities held to maturity | 1,844 | 106 | 133 | 5,330 | (2,804) | 4,609 | |
| Securities available for sale | 103,706 | 290,507 | 1,104,882 | 949,777 | 73,667 | 2,522,539 | |
| Loans held for sale | 340,302 | — | — | — | 22,973 | 363,275 | |
| Loans and leases | 4,739,762 | 2,373,255 | 7,355,645 | 2,350,753 | 27,945 | 16,847,360 | |
| Non-interest earning assets | — | — | — | — | 3,143,756 | 143,756 | |
| Total assets | 5,691,280 | 2,663,868 | 8,460,660 | 3,305,860 | 3,265,538 | 23,387,205 | |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | | | | | | |
| Interest bearing demand deposits | \$2,157,376 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$2,157,376 | |
| Money market deposits | 6,599,516 | — | — | — | — | 6,599,516 | |
| Savings deposits | 1,136,809 | — | — | — | — | 1,136,809 | |
| Time deposits | 682,328 | 980,120 | 824,299 | 8,150 | — | 2,494,897 | |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 304,560 | — | — | — | — | 304,560 | |
| Term debt | 150,159 | 425,041 | 305,132 | 5,331 | 3,106 | 888,769 | |
| Junior subordinated debentures, at fair value | 379,390 | — | — | — | (123,933) | 255,457 | |
| Junior subordinated debentures, at amortized cost | 85,572 | — | — | 10,465 | 5,217 | 101,254 | |
| Non-interest bearing liabilities and shareholders' equity | — | — | — | — | 9,448,569 | 9,448,567 | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | 11,495,710 | 1,405,161 | 1,129,431 | 23,946 | 9,332,952 | 23,387,205 | |
| Interest rate sensitivity gap | (5,804,430) | 1,258,707 | 7,331,229 | 3,281,914 | (6,067,420) | | |
| Cumulative interest rate sensitivity gap | \$(5,804,430) | \$(4,545,723) | \$2,785,506 | \$6,067,420 | \$— | | |
| | (29 |)%(22 |)%14 | %30 | % | | |

Cumulative gap as a % of earning
assets

54

Table of Contents

The gap table has inherent limitations and actual results may vary significantly from the results suggested by the gap table. The gap table is unable to incorporate certain balance sheet characteristics or factors. The gap table assumes a static balance sheet and looks at the repricing of existing assets and liabilities without consideration of new loans and deposits that reflect a more current interest rate environment. Changes in the mix of earning assets or supporting liabilities can either increase or decrease the net interest margin without affecting interest rate sensitivity. In addition, the interest rate spread between an asset and its supporting liability can vary significantly, while the timing of repricing for both the asset and the liability remains the same, thus impacting net interest income. This characteristic is referred to as basis risk and generally relates to the possibility that the repricing characteristics of short-term assets tied to the prime rate are different from those of short-term funding sources such as certificates of deposit. Varying interest rate environments can create unexpected changes in prepayment levels of assets and liabilities that are not reflected in the interest rate sensitivity analysis. These prepayments may have a significant impact on our net interest margin.

For example, unlike the net interest income simulation, the interest rate risk profile of certain deposit products and floating rate loans that have reached their floors cannot be captured effectively in a gap table. Although the table shows the amount of certain assets and liabilities scheduled to reprice in a given time frame, it does not reflect when or to what extent such repricings may actually occur. For example, interest-bearing checking, money market and savings deposits are shown to reprice in the first three months, but we may choose to reprice these deposits more slowly and incorporate only a portion of the movement in market rates based on market conditions at that time. Alternatively, a loan which has reached its floor may not reprice upwards even though market interest rates increase causing such loan to act like a fixed rate loan regardless of its scheduled repricing date. The gap table as presented cannot factor in the flexibility we believe we have in repricing deposits or the floors on our loans.

Because of these factors, an interest sensitivity gap analysis may not provide an accurate or complete assessment of our exposure to changes in interest rates. We believe the estimated effect of a change in interest rates is better reflected in our net interest income and economic value of equity simulations.

Net Interest Income Simulation

Interest rate sensitivity is a function of the repricing characteristics of our interest earnings assets and interest bearing liabilities. These repricing characteristics are the time frames within which the interest bearing assets and liabilities are subject to change in interest rates either at replacement, repricing or maturity during the life of the instruments. Interest rate sensitivity management focuses on the maturity structure of assets and liabilities and their repricing characteristics during periods of changes in market interest rates.

Management utilizes an interest rate simulation model to estimate the sensitivity of net interest income to changes in market interest rates. This model is an interest rate risk management tool and the results are not necessarily an indication of our future net interest income. This model has inherent limitations and these results are based on a given set of rate changes and assumptions at one point in time. These estimates are based upon a number of assumptions for each scenario, including changes in the size or mix of the balance sheet, new volume rates for new balances, the rate of prepayments, and the correlation of pricing to changes in the interest rate environment. For example, for interest bearing deposit balances we may choose to reprice these balances more slowly and incorporate only a portion of the movement in market rates based on market conditions at that time. Our primary analysis assumes a static balance sheet, both in terms of the total size and mix of our balance sheet, meaning cash flows from the maturity or repricing of assets and liabilities are redeployed in the same instrument at modeled rates. Additionally, the Bank incorporates a three month lag on money market and interest bearing demand deposits. The incorporation of a lag is a result of a deposit pricing study performed in 2015 which shows the Bank historically has been able to lag market rate increases. The Bank did not incorporate deposit pricing lags in 2014 or 2013 analyses.

Changes that could vary significantly from our assumptions include loan and deposit growth or contraction, changes in the mix of our earning assets or funding sources, the performance of loans accounted for under the expected cash flow method, and future asset/liability management decisions, all of which may have significant effects on our net interest income. Also, some of the assumptions made in the simulation model may not materialize and unanticipated events and circumstances may occur. In addition, the simulation model does not take into account any future actions management could undertake to mitigate the impact of interest rate changes or the impact a change in interest rates

may have on our credit risk profile, loan prepayment estimates and spread relationships, which can change regularly. Actions we could undertake include, but are not limited to, growing or contracting the balance sheet, changing the composition of the balance sheet, or changing our pricing strategies for loans or deposits.

Table of Contents

The estimated impact on our net interest income over a time horizon of one year as of December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013 are indicated in the table below. For the scenarios shown, the interest rate simulation assumes a parallel and sustained shift in market interest rates ratably over a twelve-month period and no change in the composition or size of the balance sheet. For example, the "up 200 basis points" scenario is based on a theoretical increase in market rates of 16.7 basis points per month for twelve months applied to the balance sheet of December 31 for each respective year.

Interest Rate Simulation Impact on Net Interest Income

As of December 31,

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | |
|-----------------------|-------|----------|-----------|----|
| Up 300 basis points | 2.5 | % 0.3 | % 0.8 | % |
| Up 200 basis points | 1.9 | % 0.5 | % 0.8 | % |
| Up 100 basis points | 1.2 | % 0.5 | % 0.6 | % |
| Down 100 basis points | (2.7) |)% (2.4) |)% (2.9) |)% |
| Down 200 basis points | (5.7) |)% (5.2) |)% (6.8) |)% |
| Down 300 basis points | (7.8) |)% (7.3) |)% (10.1) |)% |

Asset sensitivity indicates that in a rising interest rate environment the Company's net interest margin would increase and in decreasing interest rate environment a Company's net interest margin would decrease. Liability sensitivity indicates that in a rising interest rate environment a Company's net interest margin would decrease and in a decreasing interest rate environment a Company's net interest margin would increase. For all years presented, we were "asset-sensitive" meaning we expect our net interest income to increase as market rates increase. The relative level of asset sensitivity as of December 31, 2015 has increased from the prior periods presented due to a key model assumption change to incorporate a three month lag on money market and interest bearing demand accounts. In the decreasing interest rate environments, we show a decline in net interest income as interest bearing assets re-price lower and deposits remain at or near their floors. It should be noted that although net interest income simulation results are presented through the down 300 basis points interest rate environments, we do not believe the down 200 and 300 basis point scenarios are plausible given the current level of interest rates.

Interest rate sensitivity in the first year of the net interest income simulation for increasing interest rate scenarios is negatively impacted by the cost of non-maturity deposit repricing immediately while interest earnings assets (primarily the loan and leases held for investment portfolio) reprice at a slower rate based upon the instrument level repricing characteristics (refer to the Interest Sensitivity Gap table above). As a result, interest sensitivity in increasing interest rates scenarios improves in subsequent years as these assets reprice. Management also prepares and reviews the longer term trends of the net interest income simulation to measure and monitor risk. This analysis assumes the same rate shift over the first year of the scenario as described above, and holding steady thereafter. The estimated impact on our net interest income over the first and second year time horizons as it relates to our balance sheet as of December 31, 2015 is indicated in the table below.

Interest Rate Simulation Impact on Net Interest Income

As of December 31, 2015

| | Year 1 | Year 2 | |
|-----------------------|--------|-----------|----|
| Up 300 basis points | 2.5 | % 0.7 | % |
| Up 200 basis points | 1.9 | % 1.1 | % |
| Up 100 basis points | 1.2 | % 0.9 | % |
| Down 100 basis points | (2.7) |)% (7.3) |)% |
| Down 200 basis points | (5.7) |)% (15.4) |)% |
| Down 300 basis points | (7.8) |)% (20.6) |)% |

In general, we view the net interest income model results as more relevant to the Company's current operating profile (a going concern), and we primarily manage our balance sheet based on this information.

Economic Value of Equity

Another interest rate sensitivity measure we utilize is the quantification of economic value changes for all financial assets and liabilities, given an increase or decrease in market interest rates. This approach provides a longer-term view of interest rate risk, capturing all future expected cash flows. Assets and liabilities with option characteristics are measured based on different interest rate path valuations using statistical rate simulation techniques. The projections are by their nature forward-looking and therefore inherently uncertain, and include various assumptions regarding cash flows and discount rates.

Table of Contents

The table below illustrates the effects of various instantaneous market interest rate changes on the fair values of financial assets and liabilities (excluding mortgage servicing rights) as compared to the corresponding carrying values and fair values:

Interest Rate Simulation Impact on Fair Value of Financial Assets and Liabilities

As of December 31,

| | 2015 | | 2014 | |
|-----------------------|------|----|------|----|
| Up 300 basis points | (8.1 |)% | (4.8 |)% |
| Up 200 basis points | (4.6 |)% | (2.2 |)% |
| Up 100 basis points | (1.9 |)% | (0.4 |)% |
| Down 100 basis points | 0.6 | % | 7.8 | % |
| Down 200 basis points | 3.4 | % | 7.1 | % |
| Down 300 basis points | 2.9 | % | 6.6 | % |

As of December 31, 2015, our economic value of equity model indicates a liability sensitive profile. This suggests a sudden or sustained increase in market interest rates would result in a decrease in our estimated economic value of equity. Our overall sensitivity to market interest rate changes as of December 31, 2015 has increased as compared to December 31, 2014. As of December 31, 2015, our estimated economic value of equity (fair value of financial assets and liabilities) exceeded our book value of equity. This result is primarily based on the value placed on the Company's significant amount of noninterest bearing and low cost interest bearing deposits and fixed rates or floors characteristics included in the Company's loan portfolio. While noninterest bearing deposits do not impact the net interest income simulation, the value of these deposits has a significant impact on the economic value of equity model, particularly when market rates are assumed to rise.

IMPACT OF INFLATION AND CHANGING PRICES

A financial institution's asset and liability structure is substantially different from that of an industrial firm in that primarily all assets and liabilities of a bank are monetary in nature, with relatively little investment in fixed assets or inventories. Inflation has an important impact on the growth of total assets and the resulting need to increase equity capital at higher than normal rates in order to maintain appropriate capital ratios. We believe that the impact of inflation on financial results depends on management's ability to react to changes in interest rates and, by such reaction, reduce the inflationary impact on performance. We have an asset/liability management program which attempts to manage interest rate sensitivity. In addition, periodic reviews of banking services and products are conducted to adjust pricing in view of current and expected costs.

Our financial statements included in Item 8 below have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, which requires us to measure financial position and operating results principally in terms of historic dollars. Changes in the relative value of money due to inflation or recession are generally not considered. The primary effect of inflation on our results of operations is through increased operating costs, such as compensation, occupancy and business development expenses. In management's opinion, changes in interest rates affect the financial condition of a financial institution to a far greater degree than changes in the rate of inflation. Although interest rates are greatly influenced by changes in the inflation rate, they do not necessarily change at the same rate or in the same magnitude as the inflation rate. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond our control, including U.S. fiscal and monetary policy and general national and global economic conditions.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders
Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015. We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Report of Management on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the consolidated financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Umpqua Holdings Corporation and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Also in our opinion, Umpqua Holdings Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

/s/ Moss Adams LLP
Portland, Oregon
February 25, 2016

Table of Contents

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

December 31, 2015 and 2014

(in thousands, except shares)

| | December 31, 2015 | December 31, 2014 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$277,645 | \$282,455 |
| Interest bearing cash and temporary investments | 496,080 | 1,322,716 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 773,725 | 1,605,171 |
| Investment securities | | |
| Trading, at fair value | 9,586 | 9,999 |
| Available for sale, at fair value | 2,522,539 | 2,298,555 |
| Held to maturity, at amortized cost | 4,609 | 5,211 |
| Loans held for sale, at fair value | 363,275 | 286,802 |
| Loans and leases | 16,847,360 | 15,327,732 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | (130,322) | (116,167) |
| Net loans and leases | 16,717,038 | 15,211,565 |
| Restricted equity securities | 46,949 | 119,334 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 328,734 | 317,834 |
| Goodwill | 1,787,793 | 1,786,225 |
| Other intangible assets, net | 45,508 | 56,733 |
| Residential mortgage servicing rights, at fair value | 131,817 | 117,259 |
| Other real estate owned | 22,307 | 37,942 |
| FDIC indemnification asset | 855 | 4,417 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 291,892 | 294,296 |
| Deferred tax asset, net | 138,082 | 230,442 |
| Other assets | 202,496 | 228,118 |
| Total assets | \$23,387,205 | \$22,609,903 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Deposits | | |
| Noninterest bearing | \$5,318,591 | \$4,744,804 |
| Interest bearing | 12,388,598 | 12,147,295 |
| Total deposits | 17,707,189 | 16,892,099 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 304,560 | 313,321 |
| Term debt | 888,769 | 1,006,395 |
| Junior subordinated debentures, at fair value | 255,457 | 249,294 |
| Junior subordinated debentures, at amortized cost | 101,254 | 101,576 |
| Other liabilities | 280,642 | 269,592 |
| Total liabilities | 19,537,871 | 18,832,277 |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (NOTE 19) | | |
| SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Common stock, no par value, shares authorized: 400,000,000 as of December 31, 2015 and 2014; issued and outstanding: 220,171,091 in 2015 and 220,161,120 in 2014 | 3,520,591 | 3,519,316 |
| Retained earnings | 331,301 | 246,242 |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income | (2,558 |) 12,068 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 3,849,334 | 3,777,626 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$23,387,205 | \$22,609,903 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements

59

Table of Contents

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

For the Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| INTEREST INCOME | | | |
| Interest and fees on loans and leases | \$869,433 | \$763,803 | \$398,214 |
| Interest and dividends on investment securities: | | | |
| Taxable | 47,842 | 45,784 | 34,146 |
| Exempt from federal income tax | 9,647 | 10,345 | 8,898 |
| Dividends | 708 | 325 | 252 |
| Interest on temporary investments and interest bearing deposits | 2,236 | 2,264 | 1,336 |
| Total interest income | 929,866 | 822,521 | 442,846 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE | | | |
| Interest on deposits | 29,839 | 23,815 | 20,755 |
| Interest on securities sold under agreement to repurchase | 173 | 346 | 141 |
| Interest on term debt | 14,470 | 12,793 | 9,248 |
| Interest on junior subordinated debentures | 13,750 | 11,739 | 7,737 |
| Total interest expense | 58,232 | 48,693 | 37,881 |
| Net interest income | 871,634 | 773,828 | 404,965 |
| PROVISION FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES | 36,589 | 40,241 | 10,716 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan and lease losses | 835,045 | 733,587 | 394,249 |
| NON-INTEREST INCOME | | | |
| Service charges on deposits | 59,740 | 54,700 | 30,952 |
| Brokerage revenue | 18,481 | 18,133 | 14,736 |
| Residential mortgage banking revenue, net | 124,722 | 77,265 | 78,885 |
| Gain on investment securities, net | 2,922 | 2,904 | 209 |
| Gain on loan sales, net | 22,380 | 15,113 | 2,744 |
| Loss on junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value | (6,306) | (5,090) | (2,197) |
| Change in FDIC indemnification asset | (853) | (15,151) | (25,549) |
| BOLI income | 8,351 | 6,835 | 3,035 |
| Other income | 46,287 | 26,465 | 20,080 |
| Total non-interest income | 275,724 | 181,174 | 122,895 |
| NON-INTEREST EXPENSE | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 430,936 | 355,379 | 209,991 |
| Occupancy and equipment, net | 142,975 | 111,263 | 62,067 |
| Communications | 20,615 | 14,728 | 11,974 |
| Marketing | 11,419 | 9,504 | 6,062 |
| Services | 46,379 | 49,086 | 25,483 |
| FDIC assessments | 13,480 | 10,998 | 6,954 |
| Loss on other real estate owned, net | 1,894 | 4,116 | 1,248 |
| Intangible amortization | 11,225 | 10,207 | 4,781 |
| Merger related expenses | 45,582 | 82,317 | 8,836 |
| Other expenses | 39,137 | 36,465 | 27,265 |
| Total non-interest expense | 763,642 | 684,063 | 364,661 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 347,127 | 230,698 | 152,483 |
| Provision for income taxes | 124,588 | 83,040 | 54,192 |
| Net income | \$222,539 | \$147,658 | \$98,291 |

Table of Contents

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Continued)

For the Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Net income | \$222,539 | \$147,658 | \$98,291 |
| Dividends and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities | 357 | 484 | 788 |
| Net earnings available to common shareholders | \$222,182 | \$147,174 | \$97,503 |
| Earnings per common share: | | | |
| Basic | \$1.01 | \$0.79 | \$0.87 |
| Diluted | \$1.01 | \$0.78 | \$0.87 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: | | | |
| Basic | 220,327 | 186,550 | 111,938 |
| Diluted | 221,045 | 187,544 | 112,176 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

For the Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013

(in thousands)

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net income | \$222,539 | \$147,658 | \$98,291 |
| Available for sale securities: | | | |
| Unrealized (losses) gains arising during the period | (20,860) | 31,215 | (48,755) |
| Reclassification adjustment for net gains realized in earnings (net of tax expense \$1,125, \$1,162, and \$84 in 2015, 2014, and 2013, respectively) | (1,797) | (1,742) | (125) |
| Income tax benefit (expense) related to unrealized (losses) gains | 8,031 | (12,486) | 19,502 |
| Net change in unrealized (losses) gains | (14,626) | 16,987 | (29,378) |
| Held to maturity securities: | | | |
| Accretion of unrealized losses related to factors other than credit to investment securities held to maturity (net of tax benefit of \$37 for both 2014 and 2013, respectively) | — | 57 | 56 |
| Net change in unrealized losses related to factors other than credit | — | 57 | 56 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax | (14,626) | 17,044 | (29,322) |
| Comprehensive income | \$207,913 | \$164,702 | \$68,969 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

For the Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013

(in thousands, except shares)

| | Common Stock | | Retained | Accumulated Other Comprehensive | Total |
|---|--------------|-------------|------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Earnings | Income (Loss) | |
| BALANCE AT JANUARY 1, 2013 | 111,889,959 | \$1,512,400 | \$187,293 | \$24,346 | \$1,724,039 |
| Cumulative Effect Adjustment ASU 2014-01 | | | (3,439) | | (3,439) |
| Restated balance at January 1, 2013 | | | 183,854 | | 1,720,600 |
| Net income | | | 98,291 | | 98,291 |
| Other comprehensive loss, net of tax | | | | (29,322) | (29,322) |
| Stock-based compensation | | 5,017 | | | 5,017 |
| Stock repurchased and retired | (584,677) | (9,360) | | | (9,360) |
| Issuances of common stock under stock plans and related net tax benefit | 667,921 | 6,428 | | | 6,428 |
| Cash dividends on common stock (\$0.60 per share) | | | (67,737) | | (67,737) |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | 111,973,203 | \$1,514,485 | \$214,408 | \$(4,976) | \$1,723,917 |
| BALANCE AT JANUARY 1, 2014 | 111,973,203 | \$1,514,485 | \$214,408 | \$(4,976) | \$1,723,917 |
| Net income | | | 147,658 | | 147,658 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax | | | | 17,044 | 17,044 |
| Stock issued in connection with merger ⁽¹⁾ | 104,385,087 | 1,989,030 | | | 1,989,030 |
| Stock-based compensation | | 15,292 | | | 15,292 |
| Stock repurchased and retired | (403,828) | (7,183) | | | (7,183) |
| Issuances of common stock under stock plans and related net tax benefit ⁽²⁾ | 4,206,658 | 7,692 | | | 7,692 |
| Cash dividends on common stock (\$0.60 per share) | | | (115,824) | | (115,824) |
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | 220,161,120 | \$3,519,316 | \$246,242 | \$12,068 | \$3,777,626 |
| BALANCE AT JANUARY 1, 2015 | 220,161,120 | \$3,519,316 | \$246,242 | \$12,068 | \$3,777,626 |
| Net income | | | 222,539 | | 222,539 |
| Other comprehensive loss, net of tax | | | | (14,626) | (14,626) |
| Stock-based compensation | | 14,383 | | | 14,383 |
| Stock repurchased and retired | (844,215) | (14,589) | | | (14,589) |
| Issuances of common stock under stock plans and related net tax benefit | 854,186 | 1,481 | | | 1,481 |
| Cash dividends on common stock (\$0.62 per share) | | | (137,480) | | (137,480) |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | 220,171,091 | \$3,520,591 | \$331,301 | \$(2,558) | \$3,849,334 |

⁽¹⁾ The amount of common stock issued in connection with the merger is net of \$784,000 of issuance costs.⁽²⁾ The shares issued include 2,889,996 warrants exercised.

See notes to consolidated financial statements

63

Table of Contents

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW

For the Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013

| (in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Net income | \$222,539 | \$147,658 | \$98,291 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Deferred income tax expense | 99,966 | 80,027 | 8,045 |
| Amortization of investment premiums, net | 23,544 | 20,822 | 32,663 |
| Gain on sale of investment securities, net | (2,922) | (2,904) | (209) |
| Gain on sale of other real estate owned | (888) | (127) | (912) |
| Valuation adjustment on other real estate owned | 2,782 | 3,728 | 2,160 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 36,589 | 40,241 | 10,716 |
| Change in cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance | (8,501) | (9,713) | (4,280) |
| Change in FDIC indemnification asset | 853 | 15,151 | 25,549 |
| Depreciation, amortization and accretion | 51,593 | 39,209 | 23,636 |
| Loss on sale of premises and equipment | 3,655 | 1,482 | 639 |
| Increase in residential mortgage servicing rights | (35,284) | (23,311) | (17,963) |
| Change in residential mortgage servicing rights carried at fair value | 20,726 | 16,587 | (2,374) |
| Change in junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value | 6,163 | 5,849 | 2,193 |
| Stock-based compensation | 14,383 | 15,292 | 5,017 |
| Net decrease (increase) in trading account assets | 413 | 452 | (2,211) |
| Gain on sale of loans | (150,855) | (93,294) | (65,644) |
| Change in loans held for sale carried at fair value | 696 | (9,688) | 14,503 |
| Origination of loans held for sale | (3,497,920) | (2,146,829) | (1,599,683) |
| Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale | 3,549,226 | 2,267,471 | 1,863,548 |
| Excess tax benefits from the exercise of stock options | (556) | (1,626) | (65) |
| Change in other assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Net decrease (increase) in other assets | 25,248 | (45,913) | 31,902 |
| Net increase (decrease) in other liabilities | 15,290 | 38,632 | (7,589) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 376,740 | 359,196 | 417,932 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Purchases of investment securities available for sale | (1,074,205) | (363,064) | (51,191) |
| Purchases of investment securities held to maturity | — | — | (2,126) |
| Proceeds from investment securities available for sale | 805,640 | 1,238,676 | 803,866 |
| Proceeds from investment securities held to maturity | 598 | 741 | 1,353 |
| Redemption of restricted equity securities | 72,442 | 5,615 | 2,758 |
| Net change in loans and leases | (1,816,164) | (943,075) | (391,185) |
| Proceeds from sales of loans | 288,805 | 356,464 | 63,042 |
| Proceeds from insurance settlement on loss of property | — | — | 575 |
| Net change in premises and equipment | (69,341) | (59,514) | (34,224) |
| Proceeds from bank owned life insurance death benefit | 5,351 | 3,723 | 1,173 |
| Proceeds from redemption of bank owned life insurance cash surrender value | 6,476 | — | — |
| Net change in proceeds from FDIC indemnification asset | 684 | (2,667) | 5,332 |
| Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned | 22,803 | 15,931 | 26,522 |
| Net cash paid in divestiture | — | (127,557) | — |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|--------------|---------|------------|
| Net cash acquired (paid) in acquisition, net of consideration paid | — | 116,867 | (149,658) |
| Net cash (used) provided by investing activities | (1,756,911) | 242,140 | 276,237 |

64

Table of Contents

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW (Continued)

For the Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013

(in thousands)

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Net increase (decrease) in deposit liabilities | 820,210 | 905,396 | (261,184) |
| Net (decrease) increase in securities sold under agreements to repurchase | (8,761) | (496,307) | 87,807 |
| Proceeds from term debt borrowings | 150,000 | — | — |
| Repayment of term debt borrowings | (264,998) | (97,003) | (211,727) |
| Repayment of junior subordinated debentures | — | — | (8,764) |
| Dividends paid on common stock | (134,618) | (99,233) | (50,768) |
| Excess tax benefits from stock based compensation | 556 | 1,626 | 65 |
| Proceeds from stock options exercised | 925 | 6,116 | 6,398 |
| Repurchases and retirement of common stock | (14,589) | (7,183) | (9,360) |
| Net cash provided (used) by financing activities | 548,725 | 213,412 | (447,533) |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (831,446) | 814,748 | 246,636 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 1,605,171 | 790,423 | 543,787 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$773,725 | \$1,605,171 | \$790,423 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: | | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | | |
| Interest | \$67,884 | \$55,235 | \$40,826 |
| Income taxes | \$13,263 | \$7,098 | \$41,993 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | |
| Change in unrealized losses on investment securities available for sale, net of taxes | \$(14,626) | \$16,987 | \$(29,378) |
| Change in unrealized losses on investment securities held to maturity related to factors other than credit, net of taxes | \$— | \$57 | \$56 |
| Cash dividend declared on common stock and payable after period-end | \$35,281 | \$33,109 | \$16,936 |
| Transfer of loans to other real estate owned | \$9,062 | \$24,873 | \$24,193 |
| Transfer from FDIC indemnification asset to due from FDIC and other Acquisitions: | | | |
| Assets acquired | \$— | \$9,877,572 | \$376,071 |
| Liabilities assumed | \$— | \$8,767,025 | \$219,961 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 – Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Operations-Umpqua Holdings Corporation (the "Company") is a financial holding company with headquarters in Portland, Oregon, that is engaged primarily in the business of commercial and retail banking and the delivery of retail brokerage services. The Company provides a wide range of banking, wealth management, mortgage and other financial services to corporate, institutional and individual customers through its wholly-owned banking subsidiary Umpqua Bank (the "Bank"). The Company engages in the retail brokerage business through its wholly-owned subsidiary Umpqua Investments, Inc. ("Umpqua Investments"). The Bank also has a wholly-owned subsidiary, Financial Pacific Leasing Inc., a commercial equipment leasing company. In 2015, we formed Pivotus Ventures, Inc. as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Umpqua Holdings Corporation. Pivotus will focus on advancing bank innovation by developing new bank platforms that could have a significant impact on the experience and economics of banking.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to regulation by certain federal and state agencies and undergo periodic examination by these regulatory agencies.

Basis of Financial Statement Presentation-The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and with prevailing practices within the banking and securities industries. In preparing such financial statements, management is required to make certain estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the balance sheet and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses for the reporting period. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change relate to the determination of the allowance for loan and lease losses, the valuation of mortgage servicing rights, the fair value of junior subordinated debentures, and the valuation of goodwill and other intangible assets.

Consolidation-The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, the Bank, Umpqua Investments, and Pivotus. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. As of December 31, 2015, the Company had 25 wholly-owned trusts ("Trusts") that were formed to issue trust preferred securities and related common securities of the Trusts. The Company has not consolidated the accounts of the Trusts in its consolidated financial statements. As a result, the junior subordinated debentures issued by the Company to the Trusts are reflected on the Company's consolidated balance sheet as junior subordinated debentures.

Subsequent events-The Company has evaluated events and transactions subsequent to December 31, 2015 for potential recognition or disclosure.

Cash and Cash Equivalents-Cash and cash equivalents include cash and due from banks, and temporary investments which are federal funds sold and interest bearing balances due from other banks. Cash and cash equivalents generally have a maturity of 90 days or less at the time of purchase.

Trading Account Securities-Debt and equity securities held for resale are classified as trading account securities and reported at fair value. Realized and unrealized gains or losses are recorded in non-interest income.

Investment Securities-Debt securities are classified as held to maturity if the Company has both the intent and ability to hold those securities to maturity regardless of changes in market conditions, liquidity needs or changes in general economic conditions. These securities are carried at cost adjusted for amortization of purchase premiums and accretion of purchase discounts, computed by the effective interest method over their contractual lives.

Securities are classified as available for sale if the Company intends and has the ability to hold those securities for an indefinite period of time, but not necessarily to maturity. Any decision to sell a security classified as available for sale would be based on various factors, including significant movements in interest rates, changes in the maturity mix of assets and liabilities, liquidity needs, regulatory capital considerations and other similar factors. Securities available for sale are carried at fair value. Unrealized holding gains or losses are included in other comprehensive income ("OCI") as a separate component of shareholders' equity, net of tax. Realized gains or losses, determined on the basis of the cost of specific securities sold, are included in earnings. Premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted over

the life of the related investment security as an adjustment to yield using the effective interest method. Dividend and interest income are recognized when earned.

Transfers of securities from available for sale to held to maturity are accounted for at fair value as of the date of the transfer. The difference between the fair value and the par value at the date of transfer is considered a premium or discount and is accounted for accordingly. Any unrealized gain or loss at the date of the transfer is reported in OCI, and is amortized over the remaining life of the security as an adjustment of yield in a manner consistent with the amortization of any premium or discount, and will offset or mitigate the effect on interest income of the amortization of the premium or discount for that held to maturity security.

Table of Contents

We review investment securities on an ongoing basis for the presence of other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") or permanent impairment, taking into consideration current market conditions, fair value in relationship to cost, extent and nature of the change in fair value, issuer rating changes and trends, whether we intend to sell a security or if it is likely that we will be required to sell the security before recovery of our amortized cost basis of the investment, which may be maturity, and other factors. For debt securities, if we intend to sell the security or it is likely that we will be required to sell the security before recovering its cost basis, the entire impairment loss would be recognized in earnings as an OTTI. If we do not intend to sell the security and it is not likely that we will be required to sell the security but we do not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security, only the portion of the impairment loss representing credit losses would be recognized in earnings. The credit loss on a security is measured as the difference between the amortized cost basis and the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected. Projected cash flows are discounted by the original or current effective interest rate depending on the nature of the security being measured for potential OTTI. The remaining impairment related to all other factors, the difference between the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected and fair value, is recognized as a charge to other comprehensive income. Impairment losses related to all other factors are presented as separate categories within OCI. For investment securities held to maturity, this amount is accreted over the remaining life of the debt security prospectively based on the amount and timing of future estimated cash flows. The accretion of the OTTI amount recorded in OCI will increase the carrying value of the investment, and would not affect earnings. If there is an indication of additional credit losses, the security is re-evaluated.

Loans Held for Sale-The Company has elected to account for loans held for sale, which is comprised of residential mortgage loans, at fair value. Fair value is determined based on quoted secondary market prices for similar loans, including the implicit fair value of embedded servicing rights. The change in fair value of loans held for sale is primarily driven by changes in interest rates subsequent to loan funding and changes in the fair value of related servicing asset, resulting in revaluation adjustments to the recorded fair value. The inputs used in the fair value measurements are considered Level 2 inputs. The use of the fair value option allows the change in the fair value of loans to more effectively offset the change in the fair value of derivative instruments that are used as economic hedges to loans held for sale. Loan origination fees and direct origination costs are recognized immediately in net income in accordance with the fair value option accounting requirements. Interest income on loans held for sale is included in interest income in the Consolidated Statements of Income and recognized when earned. Loans held for sale are placed on nonaccrual in a manner consistent with loans held for investment.

Acquired Loans and Leases-Purchased loans and leases are recorded at their fair value at the acquisition date. Credit discounts are included in the determination of fair value; therefore, an allowance for loan and lease losses is not recorded at the acquisition date. Acquired loans are evaluated upon acquisition and classified as either purchased impaired or purchased non-impaired. Purchased impaired loans reflect credit deterioration since origination such that it is probable at acquisition that the Company will be unable to collect all contractually required payments.

Purchased impaired loans are aggregated into pools based on individually evaluated common risk characteristics and aggregate expected cash flows were estimated for each pool. A pool is accounted for as a single asset with a single interest rate, cumulative loss rate and cash flow expectation. The risk characteristics used to aggregate the purchased impaired loans into different pools include risk rating, underlying collateral, type of interest rate (fixed or adjustable), types of amortization, loan purpose, and other similar factors. A loan will be removed from a pool of loans only if the loan is sold, foreclosed, or assets are received in full satisfaction of the loan, and will be removed from the pool at its carrying value. If an individual loan is removed from a pool of loans, the difference between its relative carrying amount and its cash, fair value of the collateral, or other assets received will be recognized in income immediately as interest income on loans and would not affect the effective yield used to recognize the accretable yield on the remaining pool. If, at acquisition, the loans are collateral dependent and acquired primarily for the rewards of ownership of the underlying collateral, or if cash flows expected to be collected cannot be reasonably estimated, accrual of income is inappropriate.

The cash flows expected to be received over the life of the pool were estimated by management. These cash flows were input into a loan accounting system which calculates the carrying values of the pools and underlying loans, book yields, effective interest income and impairment, if any, based on actual and projected events. Default rates, loss

severity, and prepayment speed assumptions will be periodically reassessed and updated within the accounting system to update our expectation of future cash flows. The excess of the cash flows expected to be collected over a pool's carrying value is considered to be the accretable yield and is recognized as interest income over the estimated life of the pool using the effective yield method. The accretable yield may change due to changes in the timing and amounts of expected cash flows. Changes in the accretable yield are disclosed quarterly.

The excess of the undiscounted contractual balances due over the cash flows expected to be collected is considered to be the nonaccretable difference. The nonaccretable difference represents our estimate of the credit losses expected to occur and was considered in determining the fair value of the loans as of the acquisition date. Subsequent to the acquisition date, any increases in expected cash flows over those expected at purchase date in excess of fair value are adjusted through a change to the accretable yield on a prospective basis. Any subsequent decreases in expected cash flows attributable to credit deterioration are recognized by recording a provision for loan losses. The purchased impaired loans acquired are and will continue to be subject to the Company's internal and external credit review and monitoring.

Table of Contents

The purchased impaired loan portfolio also includes revolving lines of credit with funded and unfunded commitments. The funded portion of these loans, representing the balances outstanding at the time of acquisition, are accounted for as purchased impaired. The unfunded portion of these loans as of the acquisition date as well as any additional advances on these loans subsequent to the acquisition date are not classified as purchased impaired, and are accounted for similar to newly originated loans.

For purchased non-impaired loans, the difference between the fair value and unpaid principal balance of the loan at the acquisition date is amortized or accreted to interest income using the effective interest method over the remaining period to contractual maturity or until repayment in full or sale of the loan.

For purchased leases and equipment finance loans, the difference in the cash flows expected to be collected over the initial allocation of fair value to the acquired leases and loans is accreted into interest income over their related term based on the effective interest method.

Originated Loans and Leases-Loans are stated at the amount of unpaid principal, net of unearned income and any deferred fees or costs. All discounts and premiums are recognized over the estimated life of the loan as yield adjustments. Leases are recorded at the amount of minimum future lease payments receivable and estimated residual value of the leased equipment, net of unearned income and any deferred fees. Initial direct costs related to lease originations are deferred as part of the investment in direct financing leases and amortized over their term using the effective interest method. Unearned lease income is amortized over their term using the effective interest method. Loans are classified as impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal and interest when due, in accordance with the terms of the original loan agreement. The carrying value of impaired loans is based on the present value of expected future cash flows (discounted at each loan's effective interest rate), estimated note sale price, or, for collateral dependent loans, at fair value of the collateral, less selling costs. If the measurement of each impaired loans' value is less than the recorded investment in the loan, we recognize this impairment and adjust the carrying value of the loan to fair value through the allowance for loan and lease losses. This can be accomplished by charging off the impaired portion of the loan or establishing a specific component to be provided for in the allowance for loan and lease losses.

FDIC Indemnification Asset-The Company accounts for amounts receivable under the loss-share agreement as an indemnification asset. The FDIC indemnification asset is initially recorded at fair value, based on the discounted value of expected future cash flows under the loss-share agreement. The difference between the present value and the undiscounted cash flows the Company expects to collect from the FDIC will be accreted into non-interest income over the life of the FDIC indemnification asset.

Subsequent to initial recognition, the FDIC indemnification asset is reviewed quarterly and adjusted for any changes in expected cash flows based on recent performance and expectations for future performance of the covered portfolio. These adjustments are measured on the same basis as the related covered loans, at a pool level, and covered other real estate owned. Generally, any increases in cash flow of the covered assets over those previously expected will result in prospective increases in the loan pool yield and amortization of the FDIC indemnification asset. Any decreases in cash flow of the covered assets under those previously expected will trigger impairments on the underlying loan pools and will result in a corresponding gain of the FDIC indemnification asset. Increases and decreases to the FDIC indemnification asset are recorded as adjustments to non-interest income. The resulting carrying value of the indemnification asset represents the amounts recoverable from the FDIC for future expected losses, and the amounts due from the FDIC for claims related to covered losses the Company has incurred less amounts due back to the FDIC relating to shared recoveries.

Income Recognition on Non-Accrual and Impaired Loans- Loans, including impaired loans, are classified as non-accrual if the collection of principal and interest is doubtful. Generally, this occurs when a loan is past due as to maturity or payment of principal or interest by 90 days or more, unless such loans are well-secured and in the process of collection. Generally, if a loan or portion thereof is partially charged-off, the loan is considered impaired and classified as non-accrual. Loans that are less than 90 days past due may also be classified as non-accrual if repayment

in full of principal and/or interest is in doubt.

Generally, when a loan is classified as non-accrual, all uncollected accrued interest is reversed to interest income and the accrual of interest income is terminated. Generally, any cash payments are applied as a reduction of principal outstanding. In cases where the future collectability of the principal balance in full is expected, interest income may be recognized on a cash basis. A loan may be restored to accrual status when the borrower's financial condition improves so that full collection of future contractual payments is considered likely. For those loans placed on non-accrual status due to payment delinquency, return to accrual status will generally not occur until the borrower demonstrates repayment ability over a period of not less than six months.

Loans and leases are reported as past due when installment payments, interest payments, or maturity payments are past due based on contractual terms. All loans and leases determined to be impaired are individually assessed for impairment except for homogeneous loans which are collectively evaluated for impairment. The specific factors considered in determining that a loan or lease is impaired include borrower financial capacity, current economic, business and market conditions, collection efforts, collateral position and other factors deemed relevant. Generally, impaired loans and leases are placed on non-accrual status and all cash receipts are applied to the

Table of Contents

principal balance. Continuation of accrual status and recognition of interest income on impaired loans and leases is generally limited to performing restructured loans.

Loans are reported as restructured when the Bank grants a more than insignificant concession(s) to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties that it would not otherwise consider. Examples of such concessions include forgiveness of principal or accrued interest, extending the maturity date or providing a lower interest rate than would be normally available for a transaction of similar risk. As a result of these concessions, restructured loans are impaired as the Bank will not collect all amounts due, both principal and interest, in accordance with the terms of the original loan agreement. Impairment reserves on non-collateral dependent restructured loans are measured by comparing the present value of expected future cash flows on the restructured loans discounted at the interest rate of the original loan agreement to the loan's carrying value. These impairment reserves are recognized as a specific component to be provided for in the allowance for loan and lease losses.

The decision to classify a loan as impaired is made by the Bank's Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses ("ALLL") Committee. The ALLL Committee meets regularly to review the status of all problem and potential problem loans. If the ALLL Committee concludes a loan is impaired but recovery of principal and interest is expected, an impaired loan may remain on accrual status.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses- The Bank performs regular credit reviews of the loan and lease portfolio to determine the credit quality of the portfolio and the adherence to underwriting standards. When loans and leases are originated, they are assigned a risk rating that is reassessed periodically during the term of the loan through the credit review process. The Company's risk rating methodology assigns risk ratings ranging from 1 to 10, where a higher rating represents higher risk. The 10 risk rating categories are a primary factor in determining an appropriate amount for the allowance for loan and lease losses. The Bank has a management ALLL Committee, which is responsible for, among other things, regularly reviewing the ALLL methodology, including loss factors, and ensuring that it is designed and applied in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The ALLL Committee reviews and approves loans and leases recommended for impaired status. The ALLL Committee also approves removing loans and leases from impaired status. The Bank's Audit and Compliance Committee provides board oversight of the ALLL process and reviews and approves the ALLL methodology on a quarterly basis. Each risk rating is assessed an inherent credit loss factor that determines the amount of the allowance for loan and lease losses provided for that group of loans and leases with similar risk rating.

Regular credit reviews of the portfolio also identify loans that are considered potentially impaired. Potentially impaired loans are referred to the ALLL Committee which reviews and approves designated loans as impaired. A loan is considered impaired when based on current information and events, we determine that we will more than likely not be able to collect all amounts due according to the loan contract, including scheduled interest payments. When we identify a loan as impaired, we measure the impairment using discounted cash flows or estimated note sale price, except when the sole remaining source of the repayment for the loan is the liquidation of the collateral. In these cases, we use the current fair value of the collateral, less selling costs, instead of discounted cash flows. If we determine that the value of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, we either recognize this impairment reserve as a specific component to be provided for in the allowance for loan and lease losses or charge-off the impaired balance on collateral dependent loans if it is determined that such amount represents a confirmed loss. The combination of the risk rating-based allowance component and the impairment reserve allowance component lead to an allocated allowance for loan and lease losses.

The Bank may also maintain an unallocated allowance amount to provide for other credit losses inherent in a loan and lease portfolio that may not have been contemplated in the credit loss factors. This unallocated amount generally comprises less than 5% of the allowance, but may be maintained at higher levels during times of economic conditions characterized by falling real estate values. The unallocated amount is reviewed periodically based on trends in credit losses, the results of credit reviews and overall economic trends.

As adjustments become necessary, they are reported in earnings in the periods in which they become known as a change in the provision for loan and lease losses and a corresponding charge to the allowance. Loans, or portions thereof, deemed uncollectible are charged to the allowance. Provisions for losses, and recoveries on loans previously charged-off, are added to the allowance.

The adequacy of the ALLL is monitored on a regular basis and is based on management's evaluation of numerous factors. These factors include the quality of the current loan portfolio; the trend in the loan portfolio's risk ratings; current economic conditions; loan concentrations; loan growth rates; past-due and non-performing trends; evaluation of specific loss estimates for all significant problem loans; historical charge-off and recovery experience; and other pertinent information.

Management believes that the ALLL was adequate as of December 31, 2015. There is, however, no assurance that future loan losses will not exceed the levels provided for in the ALLL and could possibly result in additional charges to the provision for loan and lease losses. In addition, bank regulatory authorities, as part of their periodic examination of the Bank, may require additional charges to the provision for loan and lease losses in future periods if warranted as a result of their review.

Table of Contents

Reserve for Unfunded Commitments-A reserve for unfunded commitments ("RUC") is maintained at a level that, in the opinion of management, is adequate to absorb probable losses associated with the Bank's commitment to lend funds under existing agreements, such as letters or lines of credit. Management determines the adequacy of the reserve for unfunded commitments based upon reviews of individual credit facilities, current economic conditions, the risk characteristics of the various categories of commitments and other relevant factors. The reserve is based on estimates, and ultimate losses may vary from the current estimates. These estimates are evaluated on a regular basis and, as adjustments become necessary, they are reported in earnings in the periods in which they become known. Draws on unfunded commitments that are considered uncollectible at the time funds are advanced are charged to the allowance for loan and lease losses. Provisions for unfunded commitment losses are added to the reserve for unfunded commitments, which is included in the Other Liabilities section of the consolidated balance sheets.

Loan and Lease Fees and Direct Loan Origination Costs-Origination and commitment fees and direct loan origination costs for loans and leases held for investment are deferred and recognized as an adjustment to the yield over the life of the portfolio loans and leases.

Restricted Equity Securities-Restricted equity securities were \$46.9 million and \$119.3 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Federal Home Loan Bank stock amounted to \$45.5 million and \$117.9 million of the total restricted securities as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Federal Home Loan Bank stock represents the Bank's investment in the Federal Home Loan Banks of Des Moines and San Francisco ("FHLB") stock and is carried at par value, which reasonably approximates its fair value. Management periodically evaluates FHLB stock for other-than-temporary or permanent impairment. Management's determination of whether these investments are impaired is based on its assessment of the ultimate recoverability of cost rather than by recognizing temporary declines in value. The determination of whether a decline affects the ultimate recoverability of cost is influenced by criteria such as (1) the significance of any decline in net assets of the FHLB as compared to the capital stock amount for the FHLB and the length of time the situation has persisted, (2) commitments by the FHLB to make payments required by law or regulation and the level of such payments in relation to the operating performance of the FHLB, (3) the impact of legislative and regulatory changes on institutions and, accordingly, the customer base of the FHLB, and (4) the liquidity position of the FHLB.

As a member of the FHLB system, the Bank is required to maintain a minimum level of investment in FHLB stock based on specific percentages of its outstanding mortgages, total assets, or FHLB advances. At December 31, 2015, the Bank's minimum required investment in FHLB stock was \$45.5 million. The Bank may request redemption at par value of any stock in excess of the minimum required investment. Stock redemptions are at the discretion of the FHLB. The remaining restricted equity securities balance primarily represents an investment in Pacific Coast Bankers' Bancshares stock.

Premises and Equipment-Premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is provided over the estimated useful life of equipment, generally three to ten years, on a straight-line or accelerated basis. Depreciation is provided over the estimated useful life of premises, up to 39 years, on a straight-line or accelerated basis. Generally, leasehold improvements are amortized over the life of the related lease, or the life of the related asset, whichever is shorter. Expenditures for major renovations and betterments of the Company's premises and equipment are capitalized. The Company purchases, as well as internally develops and customizes, certain software to enhance or perform internal business functions. Software development costs incurred in the preliminary project stages are charged to non-interest expense. Costs associated with designing software configuration, installation, coding programs and testing systems are capitalized and amortized using the straight-line method over three years.

Management reviews long-lived assets any time that a change in circumstance indicates that the carrying amount of these assets may not be recoverable. Recoverability of these assets is determined by comparing the carrying value of the asset to the forecasted undiscounted cash flows of the operation associated with the asset. If the evaluation of the forecasted cash flows indicates that the carrying value of the asset is not recoverable, the asset is written down to fair value.

Goodwill and Other Intangibles-Intangible assets are comprised of goodwill and other intangibles acquired in business combinations. Goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives are not amortized. Intangible assets with

definite useful lives are amortized to their estimated residual values over their respective estimated useful lives, and also reviewed for impairment. Amortization of intangible assets is included in non-interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The Company performs a goodwill impairment analysis on an annual basis as of December 31. On at least an annual basis, we assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform a quantitative impairment test. Additionally, the Company performs a goodwill impairment evaluation on an interim basis when events or circumstances indicate impairment potentially exists. A significant amount of judgment is involved in determining if an indicator of impairment has occurred. Such indicators may include, among others, a significant decline in our expected future cash flows; a sustained, significant decline in our stock price and market capitalization; a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate; adverse action or assessment by a regulator; and unanticipated competition.

Table of Contents

Residential Mortgage Servicing Rights ("MSR")- The Company determines its classes of servicing assets based on the asset type being serviced along with the methods used to manage the risk inherent in the servicing assets, which includes the market inputs used to value the servicing assets. The Company measures its residential mortgage servicing assets at fair value and reports changes in fair value through earnings. Fair value adjustments that encompass market-driven valuation changes and the runoff in value that occurs from the passage of time, are each separately reported. Under the fair value method, the MSR is carried in the balance sheet at fair value and the changes in fair value are reported in earnings under the caption residential mortgage banking revenue in the period in which the change occurs.

Retained MSR are measured at fair value as of the date of sale. Subsequent fair value measurements are determined using a discounted cash flow model. In order to determine the fair value of the MSR, the present value of net expected future cash flows is estimated. Assumptions used include market discount rates, anticipated prepayment speeds, delinquency and foreclosure rates, and ancillary fee income net of servicing costs. This model is periodically validated by an independent external model validation group. The model assumptions and the MSR fair value estimates are also compared to observable trades of similar portfolios as well as to MSR broker valuations and industry surveys, as available.

The expected life of the loan can vary from management's estimates due to prepayments by borrowers, especially when rates fall. Prepayments in excess of management's estimates would negatively impact the recorded value of the residential mortgage servicing rights. The value of the residential mortgage servicing rights is also dependent upon the discount rate used in the model, which management reviews on an ongoing basis using current market rates. A significant increase in the discount rate would reduce the value of residential mortgage servicing rights.

SBA/USDA Loans Sales, Servicing, and Commercial Servicing Asset-The Bank, on a limited basis, sells or transfers loans, including the guaranteed portion of Small Business Administration ("SBA") and Department of Agriculture ("USDA") loans (with servicing retained) for cash proceeds equal to the principal amount of loans, as adjusted to yield interest to the investor based upon the current market rates. The Bank records a servicing asset when it sells a loan and retains the servicing rights. The servicing asset is recorded at fair value upon sale, and the fair value is estimated by discounting estimated net future cash flows from servicing using discount rates that approximate current market rates and using estimated prepayment rates. Subsequent to initial recognition, the servicing rights are carried at the lower of amortized cost or fair market value, and are amortized in proportion to, and over the period of, the estimated net servicing income.

For purposes of evaluating and measuring impairment, the fair value of Commercial and SBA servicing rights are measured using a discounted estimated net future cash flow model as described above. Any impairment is measured as the amount by which the carrying value of servicing rights for an interest rate-stratum exceeds its fair value. No impairment charges were recorded for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, related to these servicing assets.

A premium over the adjusted carrying value is received upon the sale of the guaranteed portion of an SBA or USDA loan. The Bank's investment in an SBA or USDA loan is allocated among the sold and retained portions of the loan based on the relative fair value of each portion at the time of loan origination, adjusted for payments and other activities. Because the portion retained does not carry an SBA or USDA guarantee, part of the gain recognized on the sold portion of the loan is deferred and amortized as a yield enhancement on the retained portion in order to obtain a market equivalent yield.

Other Real Estate Owned- Other real estate owned ("OREO") represents real estate which the Bank has taken control of in partial or full satisfaction of loans. At the time of foreclosure, OREO is recorded at fair value less costs to sell the property, which becomes the property's new basis. Any write-downs at the date of acquisition are charged to the allowance for loan and lease losses. After foreclosure, management periodically performs valuations such that the real estate is carried at the lower of its new cost basis or fair value, net of estimated costs to sell. Subsequent valuation adjustments are recognized within net loss on OREO. Revenue and expenses from operations are included in other non-interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

In some instances, the Bank may make loans to facilitate the sales of other real estate owned. Management reviews all sales for which it is the lending institution to determine if it meets the criteria to recognize the sale for accounting

purposes. Any gains related to sales of other real estate owned may be deferred until the buyer has a sufficient initial and continuing investment in the property.

Income Taxes-Income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method. Under this method a deferred tax asset or liability is determined based on the enacted tax rates which will be in effect when the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and tax basis of existing assets and liabilities are expected to be reported in the Company's income tax returns. The effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Valuation allowances are established to reduce the net carrying amount of deferred tax assets if it is determined to be more likely than not, that all or some portion of the potential deferred tax asset will not be realized.

Table of Contents

Derivatives-The Bank enters into forward delivery contracts to sell residential mortgage loans or mortgage-backed securities to broker/dealers at specific prices and dates in order to hedge the interest rate risk in its portfolio of mortgage loans held for sale and its residential mortgage loan commitments. The commitments to originate mortgage loans held for sale and the related forward delivery contracts are considered derivatives. The Bank also executes interest rate swaps with commercial banking customers to facilitate their respective risk management strategies. Those interest rate swaps are hedged by simultaneously entering into an offsetting interest rate swap that the Bank executes with a third party, such that the Bank minimizes its net risk exposure. The Company considers all free-standing derivatives as economic hedges and recognizes these derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the balance sheet, and requires measurement of those instruments at fair value through adjustments to current earnings. None of the Company's derivatives are designated as hedging instruments.

The fair value of the derivative residential mortgage loan commitments is estimated using the net present value of expected future cash flows. Assumptions used include pull-through rate assumption based on historical information, current mortgage interest rates, the stage of completion of the underlying application and underwriting process, direct origination costs yet to be incurred, the time remaining until the expiration of the derivative loan commitment, and the expected net future cash flows related to the associated servicing of the loan.

Operating Segments- Public enterprises are required to report certain information about their operating segments in its financial statements. They are also required to report certain enterprise-wide information about the Company's products and services, its activities in different geographic areas, and its reliance on major customers. The basis for determining the Company's operating segments is the manner in which management operates the business.

Management has identified two primary business segments, Community Banking and Home Lending.

Share-Based Payment- We recognize in the income statement the grant-date fair value of stock options and other equity-based forms of compensation issued to employees over the employees' requisite service period (generally the vesting period). The requisite service period may be subject to performance conditions.

Stock options and restricted stock awards generally vest ratably over three to five years and are recognized as expense over that same period of time. The exercise price of each option equals the market price of the Company's common stock on the date of the grant, and the maximum term is ten years.

The fair value of each stock option grant is estimated as of the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model or a Monte Carlo simulation pricing model. Expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the price of the Company's common stock. The Company uses historical data to estimate option exercise and stock option forfeiture rates within the valuation model. The expected term of options granted is determined based on historical experience with similar options, giving consideration to the contractual terms and vesting schedules, and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The expected dividend yield is based on dividend trends and the market value of the Company's common stock at the time of grant. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant corresponding to the estimated expected term of the options granted.

Restricted stock unit grants and certain restricted stock awards are subject to performance-based and market-based vesting as well as other approved vesting conditions and cliff vest based on those conditions. Compensation expense is recognized over the service period to the extent restricted stock units are expected to vest.

Earnings per Share ("EPS")-Nonvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents are participating securities and are included in the computation of EPS pursuant to the two-class method. The two-class method is an earnings allocation formula that determines earnings per share for each class of common stock and participating security according to dividends declared (or accumulated) and participation rights in undistributed earnings. Certain of the Company's nonvested restricted stock awards qualify as participating securities. Net income is allocated between the common stock and participating securities pursuant to the two-class method, based on their rights to receive dividends, participate in earnings or absorb losses. Basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing net earnings available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period, excluding participating nonvested restricted shares.

Table of Contents

Diluted earnings per common share is computed in a similar manner, except that first the denominator is increased to include the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if potentially dilutive common shares, excluding the participating securities, were issued using the treasury stock method. For all periods presented, stock options, certain restricted stock awards and restricted stock units are potentially dilutive non-participating instruments issued by the Company. For a portion of 2014, the Company had warrants that also were potentially dilutive. Next, we determine and include in diluted earnings per common share calculation the more dilutive effect of the participating securities using the treasury stock method or the two-class method. Undistributed losses are not allocated to the nonvested share-based payment awards (the participating securities) under the two-class method as the holders are not contractually obligated to share in the losses of the Company.

Fair Value Measurements- Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. There is a three-level hierarchy for disclosure of assets and liabilities measured or disclosed at fair value. The classification of assets and liabilities within the hierarchy is based on whether the inputs to the valuation methodology used for measurement are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market-derived or market-based information obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect our estimates about market data. In general, fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities traded in active markets that the Company has the ability to access. Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

Application of New Accounting Guidance

As of January 1, 2015, Umpqua adopted the Financial Accounting Standards Board's ("FASB") Accounting Standard Update ("ASU") No. 2014-01, Investments - Equity Method and Joint Ventures (Topic 323): Accounting for Investments in Qualified Affordable Housing Projects. Application of ASU No. 2014-01 provides for a consistent accounting method for our investments in qualified affordable housing projects using a proportional amortization method. As required by ASU No. 2014-01, the new accounting methodology has been retrospectively applied resulting in changes to other non-interest income, tax expense, and net income, deferred tax asset, other assets, and retained earnings in the prior periods presented. The effect of this change was a decrease in net income of \$440,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015, an increase in net income of \$138,000 for the year ended December 31, 2014 and a decrease of \$70,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013. Retained earnings as of January 1, 2013, has been adjusted down by \$3.4 million for the effect of the retroactive application of the new standard.

As of January 1, 2015, Umpqua applied FASB ASU No. 2014-04, Receivables -Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40): Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure. ASU 2014-04 clarifies when a repossession or foreclosure has occurred. Additionally, the amendments require interim and annual disclosure of both (1) the amount of foreclosed residential real estate property held by the creditor and (2) the recorded investment in consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property that are in the process of foreclosure according to local requirements of the applicable jurisdiction. Umpqua has applied this ASU by adding additional disclosures to Note 10, Other Real Estate Owned, Net.

Table of Contents

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements-

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606), which creates Topic 606 and supersedes Topic 605, Revenue Recognition. In August 2015, FASB issued ASU No. 2015-14, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606), which postponed the effective date of 2014-09. The core principle of Topic 606 is that an entity recognizes revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. In general, the new guidance requires companies to use more judgment and make more estimates than under current guidance, including identifying performance obligations in the contract, estimating the amount of variable consideration to include in the transaction price and allocating the transaction price to each separate performance obligation. The standard is effective for public entities for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017; early adoption is not permitted. For financial reporting purposes, the standard allows for either full retrospective adoption, meaning the standard is applied to all of the periods presented, or modified retrospective adoption, meaning the standard is applied only to the most current period presented in the financial statements with the cumulative effect of initially applying the standard recognized at the date of initial application. The Company is currently evaluating the provisions of ASU No. 2014-09 to determine the potential impact the new standard will have on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12, Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period. The ASU requires that a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period be treated as a performance condition. A reporting entity should apply existing guidance in Topic 718, Compensation – Stock Compensation, as it relates to awards with performance conditions that affect vesting to account for such awards. The performance target should not be reflected in estimating the grant-date fair value of the award. Compensation cost should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that the performance target will be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the period(s) for which the requisite service has already been rendered. The amendments in this ASU can be applied prospectively or retrospectively and are effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015 with early adoption permitted. The Company does not expect the ASU to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In November 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-16, Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Determining Whether the Host Contract in a Hybrid Financial Instrument Issued in the Form of a Share is More Akin to Debt or to Equity. The ASU clarifies how current guidance should be interpreted in evaluating the characteristics and risks of a host contract in a hybrid financial instrument issued in the form of a share. One criterion requires evaluating whether the nature of the host contract is more akin to debt or to equity and whether the economic characteristics and risks of the embedded derivative feature are "clearly and closely related" to the host contract. In making that evaluation, an issuer or investor must consider all terms and features in a hybrid financial instrument including the embedded derivative feature that is being evaluated for separate accounting or may consider all terms and features in the hybrid financial instrument except for the embedded derivative feature that is being evaluated for separate accounting. This ASU is effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015 with early adoption permitted. The Company does not expect the ASU to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-01, Income Statement —Extraordinary and Unusual Items (Subtopic 225-20). The objective of this ASU is to simplify the income statement presentation requirements in Subtopic 225-20 by eliminating the concept of extraordinary items. Extraordinary items are events and transactions that are distinguished by their unusual nature and by the infrequency of their occurrence. Eliminating the extraordinary classification simplifies income statement presentation by altogether removing the concept of extraordinary items from consideration. This ASU is effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods

beginning after December 15, 2015 with early adoption permitted. The Company does not expect this ASU to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-02, Consolidation (Topic 810): Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis, which is intended to improve targeted areas of consolidation guidance for legal entities such as limited partnerships, limited liability corporations, and securitization structures (collateralized debt obligations, collateralized loan obligations, and mortgage-backed security transactions). The ASU focuses on simplifying the consolidation evaluation for reporting organizations that are required to evaluate whether they should consolidate certain legal entities by reducing the number of consolidation model from four to two, among other changes. The ASU will be effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2015, while early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect this ASU to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-03, Interest - Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs. The amendments in this ASU require that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. The recognition and measurement guidance for debt issuance costs are not affected. ASU No. 2015-03 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years. ASU No. 2015-03 should be

Table of Contents

applied on a retrospective basis. The adoption of ASU No. 2015-03 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In September 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-16, Business Combinations (Topic 805). This ASU simplifies the accounting for adjustments made to provisional amounts recognized in a business combination during the measurement period. The amendments in this Update require that the acquirer recognize the adjustments to provisional amounts in the reporting period in which the adjustment amount is determined. The acquirer must also record in the same period's financial statements, the effect on earnings of changes in depreciation, amortization or other income effects, if any, as a result of the change to the provisional amounts, calculated as if the accounting had been completed at the acquisition date. This ASU has a potential impact on the Company, depending on any future business combinations.

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-01, Financial Instruments – Overall (Subtopic 825-10): Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities. The new guidance is intended to improve the recognition and measurement of financial instruments. This ASU requires equity investments (except those accounted for under the equity method of accounting, or those that result in consolidation of the investee) to be measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in net income. In addition, the amendment requires public business entities to use the exit price notion when measuring the fair value of financial instruments for disclosure purposes and requires separate presentation of financial assets and financial liabilities by measurement category and form of financial asset (i.e., securities or loans and receivables) on the balance sheet or the accompanying notes to the financial statements. This ASU also eliminates the requirement for public business entities to disclose the method(s) and significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value that is required to be disclosed for financial instruments measured at amortized cost on the balance sheet. The amendment also requires a reporting organization to present separately in other comprehensive income the portion of the total change in the fair value of a liability resulting from a change in the instrument specific credit risk (also referred to as "own credit") when the organization has elected to measure the liability at fair value in accordance with the fair value option for financial instruments. ASU No. 2016-01 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted for certain provisions. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this ASU on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Reclassifications- Certain amounts reported in prior years' financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation. The results of the reclassifications are not considered material and have no effect on previously reported net earnings available to common shareholders and earnings per common share.

Note 2 – Business Combinations

Sterling Financial Corporation

As of the close of business on April 18, 2014, the Company completed its merger with Sterling Financial Corporation, a Washington corporation ("Sterling"). The results of Sterling's operations are included in the Company's financial results beginning April 19, 2014 and the combined company's banking operations are operating under the Umpqua Bank name and brand.

The structure of the transaction was as follows:

- Sterling merged with and into the Company (the "Merger" or the "Sterling Merger") with the Company as the surviving corporation in the Merger;
- Immediately following the Merger, Sterling's wholly owned banking subsidiary, Sterling Savings Bank merged with and into the Bank (the "Bank Merger"), with the Bank as the surviving bank in the Bank Merger;
- Holders of shares of common stock of Sterling had the right to receive 1.671 shares of the Company's common stock and \$2.18 in cash for each share of Sterling common stock.
-

Each outstanding warrant issued by Sterling converted into a warrant exercisable for 1.671 shares of the Company's common stock and \$2.18 in cash for each warrant when exercised;

Each outstanding option to purchase a share of Sterling common stock converted into an option to purchase 1.7896 shares of Company's common stock, subject to vesting conditions; and

Each outstanding restricted stock unit in respect of Sterling common stock converted into a restricted stock unit in respect of 1.7896 shares the Company common stock, subject to vesting conditions.

Table of Contents

A summary of the consideration paid, the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the Merger are presented below:
(in thousands)

| | Sterling April 18, 2014 |
|--|----------------------------|
| Fair value of consideration to Sterling shareholders: | |
| Cash paid | \$ 136,200 |
| Liability recorded for warrants' cash payment per share | 6,453 |
| Fair value of common shares issued | 1,939,497 |
| Fair value of warrants, common stock options, and restricted stock exchanged | 50,317 |
| Total consideration | 2,132,467 |
| Fair value of assets acquired: | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 253,067 |
| Investment securities | 1,378,300 |
| Loans held for sale | 214,911 |
| Loans and leases | 7,124,553 |
| Premises and equipment | 116,576 |
| Residential mortgage servicing rights | 62,770 |
| Other intangible assets | 54,562 |
| Other real estate owned | 8,666 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 193,246 |
| Deferred tax asset | 299,477 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 23,553 |
| Other assets | 148,906 |
| Total assets acquired | 9,878,587 |
| Fair value of liabilities assumed: | |
| Deposits | 7,086,052 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 584,746 |
| Term debt | 854,737 |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 156,171 |
| Other liabilities | 87,902 |
| Total liabilities assumed | \$ 8,769,608 |
| Net assets acquired | 1,108,979 |
| Goodwill | \$ 1,023,488 |

Amounts recorded are the estimated fair value. The primary reason for the Merger was to continue the Company's growth strategy, including expanding our geographic footprint in markets throughout the West Coast. All of the goodwill recorded has been attributed to the Community Banking segment and reporting unit. The Community Banking segment will benefit from the cost saves and greater economy of scales to deliver financial solutions to its customers. None of the goodwill will be deductible for income tax purposes.

Subsequent to acquisition, the Company repaid securities sold under agreements to repurchase acquired of \$500.0 million, funded through the sale of acquired investment securities in the second quarter of 2014. On June 20, 2014, the Company completed the required divestiture of six stores acquired in the Merger to another financial institution. The divestiture of the six stores included \$211.5 million of deposits and \$88.3 million of loans.

As of April 18, 2014, the unpaid principal balance on purchased non-impaired loans was \$7.0 billion. The fair value of the purchased non-impaired loans was \$6.7 billion, resulting in a discount of \$230.5 million being recorded on these loans.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the acquired purchased impaired loans as of the acquisition date:

| | | |
|--|--|--------------------|
| (in thousands) | | Purchased impaired |
| Contractually required principal and interest payments | | \$604,136 |
| Nonaccretable difference | | (95,614) |
| Cash flows expected to be collected | | 508,522 |
| Accretable yield | | (110,757) |
| Fair value of purchased impaired loans | | \$397,765 |

The operations of Sterling are included in our operating results beginning on April 19, 2014, and contributed an estimated net interest income of \$339.3 million and net income of \$64.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. For the year ended December 31, 2015, the operations of attributed to Sterling contributed an estimated net interest income of \$435.5 million and net income of \$132.0 million.

We incur significant expenses in connection with the completion and integration of bank acquisitions that are not capitalizable. These merger related expenses are recorded in accordance with a Board approved accounting policy with respect to merger related charges, including internal and external charges. These expenses include acquisition related expenses, facility closure related costs, customer communications, restructuring expenses (including associate severance and retention charges) and expenses related to conversions of systems, including consulting costs. The following table provides a breakout of Merger related expense for the year ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.

| | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| (in thousands) | Year ended December 31, | |
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Legal and professional | \$21,849 | \$22,276 |
| Personnel | 11,564 | 18,837 |
| Premises and equipment | 6,640 | 3,677 |
| Communication | 2,309 | 2,522 |
| Contract termination | 154 | 10,378 |
| Charitable contributions | — | 10,000 |
| Investment banking fees | — | 9,573 |
| Other | 3,066 | 5,054 |
| Total Merger related expense | \$45,582 | \$82,317 |

Table of Contents

The following table presents unaudited pro forma results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, as if the Sterling Merger had occurred on January 1, 2013. The pro forma results have been prepared for comparative purposes only and are not necessarily indicative of the results that would have been obtained had the acquisition actually occurred on January 1, 2013. The pro forma results include the impact of certain acquisition accounting adjustments including accretion of loan discount, intangible assets amortization and deposit, borrowing premium accretion, and other reclasses of expenses between years. These adjustments increased pro forma net income by \$54.4 million and \$12.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

| (in thousands, except per share data) | Pro Forma | | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Net interest income | \$910,715 | \$873,972 | (1),(2),(3) |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 40,241 | 10,716 | |
| Non-interest income | 205,557 | 242,609 | (4),(5),(6) |
| Non-interest expense | 750,069 | 802,371 | (7), (8) |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 325,962 | 303,494 | |
| Provision for income taxes | 118,679 | 98,603 | |
| Net income | 207,283 | 204,891 | |
| Dividends and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities | 484 | 788 | |
| Net earnings available to common shareholders | \$206,799 | \$204,103 | |
| Earnings per share: | | | |
| Basic | \$0.91 | \$0.94 | |
| Diluted | \$0.90 | \$0.93 | |
| Average shares outstanding: | | | |
| Basic | 227,807 | 216,025 | |
| Diluted | 229,690 | 218,508 | |

(1) Includes \$31.9 million and \$127.5 million of incremental loan discount accretion for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

(2) Includes a reduction of interest income of \$1.8 million and \$6.6 million related to investment securities premium amortization for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

(3) Includes a reduction of interest expense related to amortization of deposit and borrowing premium of \$5.9 million and \$22.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

(4) Includes a reduction of service charges on deposit of \$1.7 million and \$5.8 million as a result of passing the \$10 billion asset threshold for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

(5) Includes a loss on junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value of \$1.1 million and \$3.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

(6) Includes the reversal of the \$7.0 million loss on the required divestiture of six Sterling stores in connection with the Merger for the year ended December 31, 2014.

(7) Includes \$2.1 million and \$7.8 million of core deposit intangible amortization for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

(8) The year ended December 31, 2014 included a net decrease of \$52.6 million of merger expenses. The year ended December 31, 2013 was adjusted to include \$98.2 million of merger expenses.

Financial Pacific Holding Corp.

On July 1, 2013, the Bank acquired Financial Pacific Holding Corp. ("FPHC") based in Federal Way, Washington, and its subsidiary, Financial Pacific Leasing, Inc ("FinPac Leasing"), and its subsidiaries, Financial Pacific Funding, Inc. ("FPF"), Financial Pacific Funding II, Inc. ("FPF II") and Financial Pacific Funding III, Inc. ("FPF III"). As part

of the same transaction, the Company acquired two related entities, FPC Leasing Corporation ("FPC") and Financial Pacific Reinsurance Co., Ltd. ("FPR"). FPHC, FinPac Leasing, FPF, FPF II, FPF III, FPC and FPR are collectively referred to herein as "FinPac". FinPac provides business-essential commercial equipment leases to various industries throughout the United States and Canada. It originates leases through its brokers, lessors, and direct marketing programs. The results of FinPac's operations are included in the consolidated financial statements as of July 1, 2013.

Table of Contents

The aggregate consideration for the FinPac purchase was \$158.0 million. Of that amount, \$156.1 was distributed in cash, and \$1.9 million was exchanged for restricted shares of the Company stock. The restricted shares were issued from the Company's 2013 Incentive Plan pursuant to employment agreements between the Company and certain executives of FinPac, vest over a period of either two or three years, and will be recognized over that time period within the salaries and employee benefits line item on the Consolidated Statements of Income. The structure of the transaction was as follows:

The Bank acquired all of the outstanding stock of FPHC, a shell holding company, which was the sole shareholder of FinPac Leasing, the primary operating subsidiary of FinPac that engages in equipment leasing and financing activities. FinPac Leasing was also the sole shareholder of FPF, FPF II and FPF III, which are bankruptcy-remote entities that formerly served as lien holder for certain leases. FPF, FPF II and FPF III had no assets and have been dissolved. With the dissolution of FPHC, the Bank holds all of the outstanding stock of FinPac Leasing.

The Company acquired all of the outstanding stock of FPC, a Canadian leasing subsidiary, and FPR, a corporation organized in the Turks & Caicos Islands that reinsures a portion of the liability risk of each insurance policy that is issued by a third party insurance company on leased equipment when the lessee fails to meet its contractual obligations under the lease or financing agreement to obtain insurance on the leased equipment.

A summary of consideration paid, and the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their fair values, in the acquisition of FinPac are presented below.

| (in thousands) | FinPac July 1, 2013 | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Fair value of consideration: | | |
| Cash | | \$156,110 |
| Fair value of assets acquired: | | |
| Cash and equivalents | \$6,452 | |
| Loans and leases, net | 264,336 | |
| Premises and equipment | 491 | |
| Other assets | 8,015 | |
| Total assets acquired | \$279,294 | |
| Fair value of liabilities assumed: | | |
| Term debt | 211,204 | |
| Other liabilities | 8,757 | |
| Total liabilities assumed | \$219,961 | |
| Net assets acquired | | 59,333 |
| Goodwill | | \$96,777 |

The acquisition provides diversification, and a scalable platform that is consistent with expansion initiatives that the Bank has completed over the last three years, including growth in the business banking, agricultural lending and home builder lending groups. The transaction leverages excess capital of the Company and deploys excess liquidity into significantly higher yielding assets, provides growth and diversification, and is anticipated to increase profitability. There is no tax deductible goodwill or other intangibles.

The operations of FinPac are included in our operating results from July 1, 2013, and added revenue of \$66.1 million, non-interest expense of \$15.9 million, and net income of \$18.7 million net of tax, for the year ended December 31, 2013. FinPac's results of operations prior to the acquisition are not included in our operating results. There are \$110,000 FinPac merger related expenses for the year ended December 31, 2014. FinPac merger related expenses were \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Leases acquired from FinPac are presented below as of acquisition date:

| (in thousands) | FinPac July 1, 2013 |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Contractually required payments | \$350,403 |
| Purchase adjustment for credit | \$(20,520) |
| Balance of loans and leases, net | \$264,336 |

The following tables present unaudited pro forma results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2013 as if the acquisition of FinPac had occurred on January 1, 2013. The proforma results have been prepared for comparative purposes only and are not necessarily indicative of the results that would have been obtained had the acquisition actually occurred on January 1, 2013. The pro forma results include the impact of certain acquisition accounting adjustments which reduced pro forma earnings available to common shareholders by \$4.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2013.

| (in thousands, except per share data) | Pro Forma Year ended December 31, 2013 |
|--|--|
| Net interest income | \$423,600 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 13,988 |
| Non-interest income | 122,753 |
| Non-interest expense | 373,181 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 159,184 |
| Provision for income taxes | 55,879 |
| Net income | 103,305 |
| Dividends and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities | 829 |
| Net earnings available to common shareholders | \$102,476 |
| Earnings per share: | |
| Basic | \$0.92 |
| Diluted | \$0.91 |
| Average shares outstanding: | |
| Basic | 111,938 |
| Diluted | 112,176 |

Note 3 – Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Bank is required to maintain an average reserve balance with the Federal Reserve Bank or maintain such reserve balance in the form of cash. The amount of required reserve balance at December 31, 2015 and 2014 was approximately \$130.5 million and \$80.7 million, respectively, and was met by holding cash and maintaining an average balance with the Federal Reserve Bank.

Umpqua had restricted cash included in cash and due from banks on the balance sheet of \$58.8 million as of December 31, 2015, and \$43.7 million as of December 31, 2014, relating mostly to collateral required on interest rate swaps as discussed in Note 20. Restricted cash included in interest bearing cash and temporary investments on the balance sheet was \$3.9 million as of December 31, 2015, and \$4.0 million as of December 31, 2014, relating to collateral requirements for derivatives for mortgage banking activities.

Table of Contents

Note 4 – Investment Securities

The following table presents the amortized costs, unrealized gains, unrealized losses and approximate fair values of investment securities at December 31, 2015 and 2014:

| December 31, 2015 (in thousands) | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| AVAILABLE FOR SALE: | | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$300,998 | \$12,741 | \$(622) | \$313,117 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 2,223,742 | 7,218 | (23,540) | 2,207,420 |
| Investments in mutual funds and other equity securities | 1,959 | 43 | — | 2,002 |
| | \$2,526,699 | \$20,002 | \$(24,162) | \$2,522,539 |
| HELD TO MATURITY: | | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | \$4,609 | \$981 | \$— | \$5,590 |
| | \$4,609 | \$981 | \$— | \$5,590 |
| | | | | |
| December 31, 2014 (in thousands) | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| AVAILABLE FOR SALE: | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and agencies | \$213 | \$16 | \$— | \$229 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 325,189 | 14,056 | (841) | 338,404 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 1,951,514 | 17,398 | (11,060) | 1,957,852 |
| Investments in mutual funds and other equity securities | 2,016 | 54 | — | 2,070 |
| | \$2,278,932 | \$31,524 | \$(11,901) | \$2,298,555 |
| HELD TO MATURITY: | | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | \$5,088 | \$358 | \$(15) | \$5,431 |
| Other investment securities | 123 | — | — | 123 |
| | \$5,211 | \$358 | \$(15) | \$5,554 |

Table of Contents

Investment securities that were in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 are presented in the following tables, based on the length of time individual securities have been in an unrealized loss position. In the opinion of management, these securities are considered only temporarily impaired due to changes in market interest rates or the widening of market spreads subsequent to the initial purchase of the securities, and not due to concerns regarding the underlying credit of the issuers or the underlying collateral.

December 31, 2015

| (in thousands) | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or Longer | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| AVAILABLE FOR SALE: | | | | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$2,530 | \$83 | \$8,208 | \$539 | \$10,738 | \$622 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 1,256,994 | 14,465 | 334,981 | 9,075 | 1,591,975 | 23,540 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$1,259,524 | \$14,548 | \$343,189 | \$9,614 | \$1,602,713 | \$24,162 |

December 31, 2014

| (in thousands) | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or Longer | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| AVAILABLE FOR SALE: | | | | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 11,100 | 547 | 8,550 | 294 | 19,650 | 841 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 220,577 | 815 | 495,096 | 10,245 | 715,673 | 11,060 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$231,677 | \$1,362 | \$503,646 | \$10,539 | \$735,323 | \$11,901 |
| HELD TO MATURITY: | | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | \$224 | \$15 | \$— | \$— | \$224 | \$15 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$224 | \$15 | \$— | \$— | \$224 | \$15 |

The unrealized losses on obligations of states and political subdivisions were caused by changes in market interest rates or the widening of market spreads subsequent to the initial purchase of these securities. Management monitors published credit ratings of these securities and no adverse ratings changes have occurred since the date of purchase of obligations of states and political subdivisions which are in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2015. Because the decline in fair value is attributable to changes in interest rates or widening market spreads and not credit quality, and because the Bank does not intend to sell the securities in this class and it is not more likely than not that the Bank will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may include holding each security until maturity, these investments are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired.

All of the available for sale residential mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations portfolio in an unrealized loss position at December 31, 2015 are issued or guaranteed by government sponsored enterprises. The unrealized losses on residential mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations were caused by changes in market interest rates or the widening of market spreads subsequent to the initial purchase of these securities, and not concerns regarding the underlying credit of the issuers or the underlying collateral. It is expected that these securities will not be settled at a price less than the amortized cost of each investment. Because the decline in fair value is attributable to changes in interest rates or widening market spreads and not credit quality, and because the Bank does not intend to sell the securities in this class and it is not more likely than not that the Bank will be

required to sell these securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may include holding each security until contractual maturity, these investments are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the maturities of investment securities at December 31, 2015:

| (in thousands) | Available For Sale | | Held To Maturity | |
|---|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| AMOUNTS MATURING IN: | | | | |
| Three months or less | \$4,648 | \$4,673 | \$— | \$— |
| Over three months through twelve months | 72,886 | 73,615 | 6 | 8 |
| After one year through five years | 1,646,982 | 1,648,510 | 257 | 760 |
| After five years through ten years | 602,427 | 595,462 | 332 | 808 |
| After ten years | 197,797 | 198,277 | 4,014 | 4,014 |
| Other investment securities | 1,959 | 2,002 | — | — |
| | \$2,526,699 | \$2,522,539 | \$4,609 | \$5,590 |

The amortized cost and fair value of collateralized mortgage obligations and mortgage-backed securities are presented by expected average life, rather than contractual maturity, in the preceding table. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers have the right to prepay underlying loans without prepayment penalties.

The following table presents the gross realized gains and gross realized losses on the sale of securities available for sale for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

| (in thousands) | 2015 | | 2014 | | 2013 | |
|--|---------|--------|---------|--------|-------|--------|
| | Gains | Losses | Gains | Losses | Gains | Losses |
| U.S. Treasury and agencies | \$13 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 631 | — | 3 | 1 | 10 | 1 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 3,119 | 841 | 2,902 | — | — | — |
| Other debt securities | — | — | — | — | 200 | — |
| | \$3,763 | \$841 | \$2,905 | \$1 | \$210 | \$1 |

The following table presents, as of December 31, 2015, investment securities which were pledged to secure borrowings, public deposits, and repurchase agreements as permitted or required by law:

| (in thousands) | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|---------------|
| To Federal Home Loan Bank to secure borrowings | \$816 | \$834 |
| To state and local governments to secure public deposits | 1,719,779 | 1,719,098 |
| Other securities pledged principally to secure repurchase agreements | 470,883 | 467,936 |
| Total pledged securities | \$2,191,478 | \$2,187,868 |

Table of Contents

Note 5 – Loans and Leases

The following table presents the major types of loans and leases, net of deferred fees and costs, as of December 31, 2015 and 2014:

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2015 | December 31, 2014 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Commercial real estate | | |
| Non-owner occupied term, net | \$3,140,845 | \$3,290,610 |
| Owner occupied term, net | 2,691,921 | 2,633,864 |
| Multifamily, net | 3,074,918 | 2,638,618 |
| Construction & development, net | 301,892 | 258,722 |
| Residential development, net | 99,459 | 81,846 |
| Commercial | | |
| Term, net | 1,425,009 | 1,396,089 |
| LOC & other, net | 1,043,076 | 1,029,620 |
| Leases and equipment finance, net | 729,161 | 523,114 |
| Residential | | |
| Mortgage, net | 2,890,223 | 2,233,735 |
| Home equity loans & lines, net | 923,667 | 852,478 |
| Consumer & other, net | 527,189 | 389,036 |
| Total loans, net of deferred fees and costs | \$16,847,360 | \$15,327,732 |

The loan balances are net of deferred fees and costs of \$47.0 million and \$26.3 million as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. As of December 31, 2015, loans totaling \$9.9 billion were pledged to secure borrowings and available lines of credit. Net loans include discounts on acquired loans of \$105.6 million and \$236.6 million as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The outstanding contractual unpaid principal balance of purchased impaired loans, excluding acquisition accounting adjustments, was \$540.4 million and \$770.9 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The carrying balance of purchased impaired loans was \$438.1 million and \$562.9 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the changes in the accretable yield for purchased impaired loans for the year ended December 31, 2015, and 2014:

(in thousands)

| | Year ended December 31, 2015 | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| | Evergreen | Rainer | Nevada Security | Circle | Sterling | Total |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$9,466 | \$49,989 | \$23,666 | \$796 | \$117,782 | \$201,699 |
| Accretion to interest income | (4,521) | (14,981) | (8,445) | (262) | (31,856) | (60,065) |
| Disposals | (3,642) | (6,689) | (4,150) | (342) | (17,763) | (32,586) |
| Reclassifications from nonaccretable difference | 3,806 | 9,599 | 2,853 | 37 | 7,486 | 23,781 |
| Balance, end of period | \$5,109 | \$37,918 | \$13,924 | \$229 | \$75,649 | \$132,829 |

| | Year ended December 31, 2014 | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| | Evergreen | Rainer | Nevada Security | Circle | Sterling | Total |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$20,063 | \$71,789 | \$34,632 | \$1,140 | \$— | \$127,624 |
| Additions | — | — | — | — | 110,757 | 110,757 |
| Accretion to interest income | (11,340) | (18,264) | (13,791) | (344) | (18,408) | (62,147) |
| Disposals | (5,457) | (11,217) | (5,841) | — | (9,951) | (32,466) |
| Reclassifications from nonaccretable difference | 6,200 | 7,681 | 8,666 | — | 35,384 | 57,931 |
| Balance, end of period | \$9,466 | \$49,989 | \$23,666 | \$796 | \$117,782 | \$201,699 |

The following table presents the net investment in direct financing leases and loans, net as of December 31, 2015 and 2014:

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2015 | December 31, 2014 |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Minimum lease payments receivable | \$345,495 | \$283,942 |
| Estimated guaranteed and unguaranteed residual values | 38,447 | 9,158 |
| Initial direct costs - net of accumulated amortization | 12,336 | 9,140 |
| Unearned income | (71,696) | (55,868) |
| Equipment finance loans, including unamortized deferred fees and costs | 404,364 | 275,639 |
| Accretable yield/purchase accounting adjustments | 215 | 1,103 |
| Net investment in direct financing leases and loans | \$729,161 | \$523,114 |
| Allowance for credit losses | (23,265) | (14,369) |
| Net investment in direct financing leases and loans - net | \$705,896 | \$508,745 |

Table of Contents

The following table presents the scheduled minimum lease payments receivable, excluding equipment finance loans, as of December 31, 2015:

(in thousands)

| Year | Amount |
|------------|-----------|
| 2016 | \$122,812 |
| 2017 | 96,059 |
| 2018 | 65,473 |
| 2019 | 36,122 |
| 2020 | 13,984 |
| Thereafter | 11,045 |
| | \$345,495 |

Loans and leases sold

In the course of managing the loan and lease portfolio, at certain times, management may decide to sell loans and leases. The following table summarizes loans and leases sold by loan portfolio during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014:

| (in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Commercial real estate | | |
| Non-owner occupied term | \$7,955 | \$15,500 |
| Owner occupied term | 49,991 | 87,385 |
| Multifamily | 435 | 60,508 |
| Construction & development | — | 566 |
| Residential development | — | 800 |
| Commercial | | |
| Term | 6,212 | 30,497 |
| LOC & other | 750 | 6,061 |
| Residential | | |
| Mortgage | 201,081 | 108,246 |
| Home equity loans & lines | — | 24,445 |
| Consumer & other | — | 7,344 |
| Total | \$266,424 | \$341,352 |

Note 6 – Allowance for Loan and Lease Loss and Credit Quality

The Bank's methodology for assessing the appropriateness of the Allowance for Loan and Lease Loss consists of three key elements: 1) the formula allowance; 2) the specific allowance; and 3) the unallocated allowance. By incorporating these factors into a single allowance requirement analysis, we believe all risk-based activities within the loan and lease portfolios are simultaneously considered.

Formula Allowance

When loans and leases are originated or acquired, they are assigned a risk rating that is reassessed periodically during the term of the loan or lease through the credit review process. The Bank's risk rating methodology assigns risk ratings ranging from 1 to 10, where a higher rating represents higher risk. The 10 risk rating categories are a primary factor in determining an appropriate amount for the formula allowance.

The formula allowance is calculated by applying risk factors to various segments of pools of outstanding loans and leases. Risk factors are assigned to each portfolio segment based on management's evaluation of the losses inherent within each segment. Segments or regions with greater risk of loss will therefore be assigned a higher risk factor.

Base risk – The portfolio is segmented into loan categories, and these categories are assigned a Base risk factor based on an evaluation of the loss inherent within each segment.

Table of Contents

Extra risk – Additional risk factors provide for an additional allocation of ALLL based on the loan and lease risk rating system and loan delinquency, and reflect the increased level of inherent losses associated with more adversely classified loans and leases.

Risk factors may be changed periodically based on management's evaluation of the following factors: loss experience; changes in the level of non-performing loans and leases; regulatory exam results; changes in the level of adversely classified loans and leases; improvement or deterioration in local economic conditions; and any other factors deemed relevant.

Specific Allowance

Regular credit reviews of the portfolio identify loans that are considered potentially impaired. Potentially impaired loans are referred to the ALLL Committee which reviews and approves designated loans as impaired. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, we determine that we will probably not be able to collect all amounts due according to the loan contract, including scheduled interest payments. When we identify a loan as impaired, we measure the impairment using discounted cash flows or estimated note sale price, except when the sole remaining source of the repayment for the loan is the liquidation of the collateral. In these cases, we use the current fair value of the collateral, less selling costs, instead of discounted cash flows. If we determine that the value of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, we either recognize an impairment reserve as a specific allowance to be provided for in the allowance for loan and lease losses or charge-off the impaired balance on collateral-dependent loans if it is determined that such amount represents a confirmed loss. Loans determined to be impaired are excluded from the formula allowance so as not to double-count the loss exposure. The non-accrual impaired loans as of period-end have already been partially charged-off to their estimated net realizable value, and are expected to be resolved over the coming quarters with no additional material loss, absent further decline in market prices.

The combination of the formula allowance component and the specific allowance component represents the allocated allowance for loan and lease losses.

Management believes that the ALLL was adequate as of December 31, 2015. There is, however, no assurance that future loan and lease losses will not exceed the levels provided for in the ALLL and could possibly result in additional charges to the provision for loan and lease losses.

The RUC is established to absorb inherent losses associated with our commitment to lend funds, such as with a letter or line of credit. The adequacy of the ALLL and RUC are monitored on a regular basis and are based on management's evaluation of numerous factors. These factors include the quality of the current loan portfolio; the trend in the loan portfolio's risk ratings; current economic conditions; loan concentrations; loan growth rates; past-due and non-performing trends; evaluation of specific loss estimates for all significant problem loans; historical charge-off and recovery experience; and other pertinent information.

There have been no significant changes to the Bank's ALLL methodology or policies in the periods presented.

Table of Contents

Activity in the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

The following table summarizes activity related to the allowance for loan and lease losses by loan and lease portfolio segment for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014:

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2015 | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| | Commercial | | | Consumer | |
| | Real Estate | Commercial | Residential | & Other | Total |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$55,184 | \$41,216 | \$15,922 | \$3,845 | \$116,167 |
| Charge-offs | (6,194) | (15,875) | (879) | (12,623) | (35,571) |
| Recoveries | 2,682 | 5,001 | 641 | 4,813 | 13,137 |
| Provision | 2,413 | 17,353 | 6,333 | 10,490 | 36,589 |
| Balance, end of period | \$54,085 | \$47,695 | \$22,017 | \$6,525 | \$130,322 |
| | December 31, 2014 | | | | |
| | Commercial | | | Consumer | |
| | Real Estate | Commercial | Residential | & Other | Total |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$59,538 | \$27,028 | \$7,487 | \$1,032 | \$95,085 |
| Charge-offs | (8,030) | (16,824) | (1,855) | (3,469) | (30,178) |
| Recoveries | 2,539 | 6,744 | 462 | 1,274 | 11,019 |
| Provision (recapture) | 1,137 | 24,268 | 9,828 | 5,008 | 40,241 |
| Balance, end of period | \$55,184 | \$41,216 | \$15,922 | \$3,845 | \$116,167 |

The valuation allowance on purchased impaired loans was increased by provision expense, which includes amounts related to subsequent deterioration of purchased impaired loans of \$2.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, and \$2.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, respectively. The increase due to the provision expense of the valuation allowance on purchased impaired loans was offset by recaptured provision of \$2.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, and \$1.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, respectively.

The following table presents the allowance and recorded investment in loans and leases by portfolio segment and balances individually or collectively evaluated for impairment as of December 31, 2015 and 2014:

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2015 | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|
| | Commercial | | | Consumer | |
| | Real Estate | Commercial | Residential | & Other | Total |
| Allowance for loans and leases: | | | | | |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | \$51,085 | \$46,941 | \$21,215 | \$6,423 | \$125,664 |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | 281 | 507 | — | — | 788 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | 2,719 | 247 | 802 | 102 | 3,870 |
| Total | \$54,085 | \$47,695 | \$22,017 | \$6,525 | \$130,322 |
| Loans and leases: | | | | | |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | \$8,941,213 | \$3,141,682 | \$3,750,023 | \$524,225 | \$16,357,143 |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | 28,119 | 23,994 | — | — | 52,113 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | 339,703 | 31,570 | 63,867 | 2,964 | 438,104 |
| Total | \$9,309,035 | \$3,197,246 | \$3,813,890 | \$527,189 | \$16,847,360 |

Table of Contents

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2014 | | | | Total |
|---|------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|
| | Commercial Real Estate | Commercial | Residential | Consumer & Other | |
| Allowance for loans and leases: | | | | | |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | \$49,243 | \$38,201 | \$15,858 | \$3,118 | \$106,420 |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | 1,088 | 320 | — | — | 1,408 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | 4,853 | 2,695 | 64 | 727 | 8,339 |
| Total | \$55,184 | \$41,216 | \$15,922 | \$3,845 | \$116,167 |
| Loans and leases: | | | | | |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | \$8,374,716 | \$2,884,388 | \$3,084,633 | \$318,572 | \$14,662,309 |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | 69,636 | 32,936 | — | — | 102,572 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | 459,308 | 31,499 | 1,580 | 70,464 | 562,851 |
| Total | \$8,903,660 | \$2,948,823 | \$3,086,213 | \$389,036 | \$15,327,732 |

Summary of Reserve for Unfunded Commitments Activity

The following table presents a summary of activity in the RUC and unfunded commitments for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014:

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2015 | December 31, 2014 |
|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$3,539 | \$1,436 |
| Net change to other expense | 35 | (1,863) |
| Acquired reserve | — | 3,966 |
| Balance, end of period | \$3,574 | \$3,539 |

| (in thousands) | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| Unfunded loan and lease commitments: | |
| December 31, 2015 | \$3,723,520 |
| December 31, 2014 | \$3,000,505 |

Asset Quality and Non-Performing Loans and Leases

We manage asset quality and control credit risk through diversification of the loan and lease portfolio and the application of policies designed to promote sound underwriting and loan and lease monitoring practices. The Bank's Credit Quality Administration is charged with monitoring asset quality, establishing credit policies and procedures and enforcing the consistent application of these policies and procedures across the Bank. Reviews of non-performing, past due loans and leases and larger credits, designed to identify potential charges to the allowance for loan and lease losses, and to determine the adequacy of the allowance, are conducted on an ongoing basis. These reviews consider such factors as the financial strength of borrowers, the value of the applicable collateral, loan and lease loss experience, estimated loan and lease losses, growth in the loan and lease portfolio, prevailing economic conditions and other factors.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, we determine it is probable that we will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the loan contract, including scheduled interest payments. Generally, when loans are identified as impaired, they are moved to the Special Assets Department. When we identify

a loan as impaired, we measure the loan for potential impairment using discounted cash flows, except when the sole remaining source of the repayment for the loan is the liquidation of the collateral. In these cases, we will use the current fair value of collateral, less selling costs. The starting point for determining the fair value of collateral is through obtaining external appraisals. Generally, external appraisals are updated every 12 months. We obtain appraisals from a pre-approved list of independent, third party, local appraisal firms. Approval and addition to the list is based on experience, reputation, character, consistency and knowledge of the respective real estate market. In certain cases appraisals will be reviewed by our Real Estate Valuation Services group to ensure the quality of the appraisal and the expertise and independence of the

Table of Contents

appraiser. Upon receipt and review, an external appraisal is utilized to measure a loan for potential impairment. Our impairment analysis documents the date of the appraisal used in the analysis, whether the officer preparing the report deems it current, and, if not, allows for internal valuation adjustments with justification. Typical justified adjustments might include discounts for continued market deterioration subsequent to appraisal date, adjustments for the release of collateral contemplated in the appraisal, or the value of other collateral or consideration not contemplated in the appraisal. An appraisal over one year old in most cases will be considered stale dated and an updated or new appraisal will be required. Any adjustments from appraised value to net realizable value are detailed and justified in the impairment analysis, which is reviewed and approved by senior credit quality officers and the Bank's ALLL Committee. Although an external appraisal is the primary source to value collateral-dependent loans, we may also utilize values obtained through purchase and sale agreements, negotiated short sales, broker price opinions, or the sales price of the note. These alternative sources of value are used only if deemed to be more representative of value based on updated information regarding collateral resolution. Impairment analyses are updated, reviewed and approved on a quarterly basis at or near the end of each reporting period. Appraisals or other alternative sources of value received subsequent to the reporting period, but prior to our filing of periodic reports, are considered and evaluated to ensure our periodic filings are materially correct and not misleading. Based on these processes, we do not believe there are significant time lapses for the recognition of additional loan loss provisions or charge-offs from the date they become known.

Non-Accrual Loans and Leases and Loans and Leases Past Due

The following table summarizes our non-accrual loans and leases and loans and leases past due by loan and lease class as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2015 | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| | Greater Than 30 to 59 Days Past Due | 60 to 89 Days Past Due | Greater Than 90 Days and Accruing | Total Past Due | Non-accrual | Current & Other (1) | Total Loans and Leases |
| Commercial real estate | | | | | | | |
| Non-owner occupied term, net | \$1,312 | \$2,776 | \$137 | \$4,225 | \$2,633 | \$3,133,987 | \$3,140,845 |
| Owner occupied term, net | 2,394 | 1,150 | 423 | 3,967 | 5,928 | 2,682,026 | 2,691,921 |
| Multifamily, net | 408 | — | — | 408 | — | 3,074,510 | 3,074,918 |
| Construction & development, net | — | 2,959 | — | 2,959 | — | 298,933 | 301,892 |
| Residential development, net | — | — | — | — | — | 99,459 | 99,459 |
| Commercial | | | | | | | |
| Term, net | 298 | 333 | — | 631 | 15,185 | 1,409,193 | 1,425,009 |
| LOC & other, net | 1,907 | 92 | 8 | 2,007 | 664 | 1,040,405 | 1,043,076 |
| Leases and equipment finance, net | 2,933 | 3,499 | 822 | 7,254 | 4,801 | 717,106 | 729,161 |
| Residential | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage, net | 31 | 2,444 | 10,057 | 12,532 | — | 2,877,691 | 2,890,223 |
| Home equity loans & lines, net | 1,084 | 643 | 3,080 | 4,807 | — | 918,860 | 923,667 |
| Consumer & other, net | 3,271 | 889 | 642 | 4,802 | 4 | 522,383 | 527,189 |
| Total, net of deferred fees and costs | \$13,638 | \$14,785 | \$15,169 | \$43,592 | \$29,215 | \$16,774,553 | \$16,847,360 |

(1) Other includes purchased credit impaired loans of \$438.1 million.

90

Table of Contents

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2014 | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| | Greater Than 30 to 59 Days Past Due | 60 to 89 Days Past Due | Greater Than 90 Days and Accruing | Total Past Due | Non-accrual | Current & Other (1) | Total Loans and Leases |
| Commercial real estate | | | | | | | |
| Non-owner occupied term, net | \$452 | \$— | \$283 | \$735 | \$8,957 | \$3,280,918 | \$3,290,610 |
| Owner occupied term, net | 2,304 | 347 | — | 2,651 | 8,292 | 2,622,921 | 2,633,864 |
| Multifamily, net | — | 512 | — | 512 | 300 | 2,637,806 | 2,638,618 |
| Construction & development, net | 1,091 | — | — | 1,091 | — | 257,631 | 258,722 |
| Residential development, net | 6,155 | — | — | 6,155 | — | 75,691 | 81,846 |
| Commercial | | | | | | | |
| Term, net | 1,098 | 242 | 3 | 1,343 | 19,097 | 1,375,649 | 1,396,089 |
| LOC & other, net | 1,637 | 1,155 | 1,223 | 4,015 | 8,825 | 1,016,780 | 1,029,620 |
| Leases and equipment finance, net | 1,482 | 1,695 | 695 | 3,872 | 5,084 | 514,158 | 523,114 |
| Residential | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage, net | 8 | 1,224 | 4,289 | 5,521 | 655 | 2,227,559 | 2,233,735 |
| Home equity loans & lines, net | 1,924 | 702 | 749 | 3,375 | 615 | 848,488 | 852,478 |
| Consumer & other, net | 2,133 | 498 | 270 | 2,901 | 216 | 385,919 | 389,036 |
| Total, net of deferred fees and costs | \$18,284 | \$6,375 | \$7,512 | \$32,171 | \$52,041 | \$15,243,520 | \$15,327,732 |

(1) Other includes purchased credit impaired loans of \$562.9 million.

Impaired Loans

Loans with no related allowance reported generally represent non-accrual loans. The Bank recognizes the charge-off on impaired loans in the period it arises for collateral dependent loans. Therefore, the non-accrual loans as of December 31, 2015 have already been written-down to their estimated net realizable value and are expected to be resolved with no additional material loss, absent further decline in market prices. The valuation allowance on impaired loans primarily represents the impairment reserves on performing restructured loans, and is measured by comparing the present value of expected future cash flows on the restructured loans discounted at the interest rate of the original loan agreement to the loan's carrying value. The only impaired loans accruing interest at each respective date represent certain restructured loans on accrual status. In order for a restructured loan to be considered for accrual status, the loan's collateral coverage generally will be greater than or equal to 100% of the loan balance, the loan is current on payments, and the borrower must either prefund an interest reserve or demonstrate the ability to make payments from a verified source of cash flow.

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize our impaired loans, including average recorded investment and interest income recognized on impaired loans, by loan class for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014:

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2015 | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Unpaid Principal Balance | Recorded Investment Without Allowance | Recorded Investment With Allowance | Related Allowance |
| Commercial real estate | | | | |
| Non-owner occupied term, net | \$8,633 | \$1,946 | \$6,260 | \$91 |
| Owner occupied term, net | 7,476 | 4,340 | 3,072 | 40 |
| Multifamily, net | 3,519 | — | 3,519 | 49 |
| Construction & development, net | 1,091 | — | 1,091 | 11 |
| Residential development, net | 7,889 | — | 7,891 | 90 |
| Commercial | | | | |
| Term, net | 26,106 | 14,788 | 6,220 | 283 |
| LOC & other, net | 3,470 | 664 | 2,322 | 224 |
| Residential | | | | |
| Mortgage, net | — | — | — | — |
| Home equity loans & lines, net | — | — | — | — |
| Consumer & other, net | — | — | — | — |
| Total, net of deferred fees and costs | \$58,184 | \$21,738 | \$30,375 | \$788 |

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2014 | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Unpaid Principal Balance | Recorded Investment Without Allowance | Recorded Investment With Allowance | Related Allowance |
| Commercial real estate | | | | |
| Non-owner occupied term, net | \$42,793 | \$16,916 | \$22,190 | \$502 |
| Owner occupied term, net | 16,339 | 8,290 | 7,655 | 364 |
| Multifamily, net | 4,040 | 300 | 3,519 | 49 |
| Construction & development, net | 2,655 | — | 1,091 | 7 |
| Residential development, net | 9,670 | — | 9,675 | 166 |
| Commercial | | | | |
| Term, net | 31,733 | 18,701 | 256 | 12 |
| LOC & other, net | 18,761 | 8,575 | 5,404 | 308 |
| Residential | | | | |
| Mortgage, net | — | — | — | — |
| Home equity loans & lines, net | 626 | — | — | — |
| Consumer & other, net | 152 | — | — | — |
| Total, net of deferred fees and costs | \$126,769 | \$52,782 | \$49,790 | \$1,408 |

Table of Contents

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2015 | | December 31, 2014 | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized |
| Commercial real estate | | | | |
| Non-owner occupied term, net | \$21,010 | \$632 | \$50,589 | \$1,619 |
| Owner occupied term, net | 12,356 | 240 | 12,781 | 282 |
| Multifamily, net | 3,579 | 123 | 2,954 | 145 |
| Construction & development, net | 1,091 | 54 | 6,156 | 44 |
| Residential development, net | 8,634 | 338 | 13,237 | 463 |
| Commercial | | | | |
| Term, net | 21,873 | 223 | 15,401 | 15 |
| LOC & other, net | 6,183 | 152 | 5,138 | — |
| Residential | | | | |
| Mortgage, net | — | — | — | — |
| Home equity loans & lines, net | — | 7 | — | — |
| Consumer & other, net | — | — | 26 | — |
| Total, net of deferred fees and costs | \$74,726 | \$1,769 | \$106,282 | \$2,568 |

The impaired loans for which these interest income amounts were recognized primarily relate to accruing restructured loans.

Table of Contents

Credit Quality Indicators

As previously noted, the Bank's risk rating methodology assigns risk ratings ranging from 1 to 10, where a higher rating represents higher risk. The Bank differentiates its lending portfolios into homogeneous loans and leases and non-homogeneous loans and leases. The 10 risk rating categories can be generally described by the following groupings for non-homogeneous loans and leases:

Minimal Risk—A minimal risk loan or lease, risk rated 1, is to a borrower of the highest quality. The borrower has an unquestioned ability to produce consistent profits and service all obligations and can absorb severe market disturbances with little or no difficulty.

Low Risk—A low risk loan or lease, risk rated 2, is similar in characteristics to a minimal risk loan. Margins may be smaller or protective elements may be subject to greater fluctuation. The borrower will have a strong demonstrated ability to produce profits, provide ample debt service coverage and to absorb market disturbances.

Modest Risk—A modest risk loan or lease, risk rated 3, is a desirable loan or lease with excellent sources of repayment and no currently identifiable risk associated with collection. The borrower exhibits a very strong capacity to repay the credit in accordance with the repayment agreement. The borrower may be susceptible to economic cycles, but will have reserves to weather these cycles.

Average Risk—An average risk loan or lease, risk rated 4, is an attractive loan or lease with sound sources of repayment and no material collection or repayment weakness evident. The borrower has an acceptable capacity to pay in accordance with the agreement. The borrower is susceptible to economic cycles and more efficient competition, but should have modest reserves sufficient to survive all but the most severe downturns or major setbacks.

Acceptable Risk—An acceptable risk loan or lease, risk rated 5, is a loan or lease with lower than average, but still acceptable credit risk. These borrowers may have higher leverage, less certain but viable repayment sources, have limited financial reserves and may possess weaknesses that can be adequately mitigated through collateral, structural or credit enhancement. The borrower is susceptible to economic cycles and is less resilient to negative market forces or financial events. Reserves may be insufficient to survive a modest downturn.

Watch—A watch loan or lease, risk rated 6, is still pass-rated, but represents the lowest level of acceptable risk due to an emerging risk element or declining performance trend. Watch ratings are expected to be temporary, with issues resolved or manifested to the extent that a higher or lower rating would be appropriate. The borrower should have a plausible plan, with reasonable certainty of success, to correct the problems in a short period of time.

Special Mention—A special mention loan or lease, risk rated 7, has potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the asset or the institution's credit position at some future date. They contain unfavorable characteristics and are generally undesirable. Loans and leases in this category are currently protected but are potentially weak and constitute an undue and unwarranted credit risk, but not to the point of a substandard classification. A special mention loan or lease has potential weaknesses, which if not checked or corrected, weaken the asset or inadequately protect the Bank's position at some future date.

Substandard—A substandard asset, risk rated 8, is inadequately protected by the current worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Assets so classified must have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Loss potential, while existing in the aggregate amount of substandard assets, does not have to exist in individual assets classified substandard. Loans and leases are classified as substandard

when they have unsatisfactory characteristics causing unacceptable levels of risk. A substandard loan or lease normally has one or more well-defined weaknesses that could jeopardize repayment of the debt. The likely need to liquidate assets to correct the problem, rather than repayment from successful operations is the key distinction between special mention and substandard.

Doubtful—Loans or leases classified as doubtful, risk rated 9, have all the weaknesses inherent in one classified substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable. The possibility of loss is extremely high, but because of certain important and reasonably specific pending factors, which may work towards strengthening of the asset, classification as a loss (and immediate charge-off) is deferred until more exact status may be determined. Pending factors include proposed merger, acquisition, liquidation procedures, capital injection, and perfection of liens on additional collateral and refinancing plans. In certain circumstances, a doubtful rating will be temporary, while the Bank is awaiting an updated collateral valuation. In these cases, once the collateral is valued and appropriate margin applied, the remaining un-collateralized portion will be charged-off. The remaining balance, properly margined, may then be upgraded to substandard, however must remain on non-accrual.

Table of Contents

Loss—Loans or leases classified as loss, risk rated 10, are considered un-collectible and of such little value that the continuance as an active Bank asset is not warranted. This rating does not mean that the loan or lease has no recovery or salvage value, but rather that the loan or lease should be charged-off now, even though partial or full recovery may be possible in the future.

Impaired—Loans are classified as impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal and interest when due, in accordance with the terms of the original loan agreement, without unreasonable delay. This generally includes all loans classified as non-accrual and troubled debt restructurings. Impaired loans are risk rated for internal and regulatory rating purposes, but presented separately for clarification.

Homogeneous loans and leases are not risk rated until they are greater than 30 days past due, and risk rating is based on the past due status of the loan or lease. The risk rating categories can be generally described by the following groupings for commercial and commercial real estate homogeneous loans and leases:

Special Mention—A homogeneous special mention loan or lease, risk rated 7, is greater than 30 to 59 days past due from the required payment date at month-end.

Substandard—A homogeneous substandard loan or lease, risk rated 8, is 60 to 89 days past due from the required payment date at month-end.

Doubtful—A homogeneous doubtful loan or lease, risk rated 9, is 90 to 179 days past due from the required payment date at month-end.

Loss—A homogeneous loss loan or lease, risk rated 10, is 180 days and more past due from the required payment date. These loans are generally charged-off in the month in which the 180 day time period elapses.

The risk rating categories can be generally described by the following groupings for residential and consumer and other homogeneous loans:

Special Mention—A homogeneous retail special mention loan, risk rated 7, is greater than 30 to 89 days past due from the required payment date at month-end.

Substandard—A homogeneous retail substandard loan, risk rated 8, is an open-end loan 90 to 180 days past due from the required payment date at month-end or a closed-end loan 90 to 120 days past due from the required payment date at month-end.

Loss—A homogeneous retail loss loan, risk rated 10, is a closed-end loan that becomes past due 120 cumulative days or an open-end retail loan that becomes past due 180 cumulative days from the contractual due date. These loans are generally charged-off in the month in which the 120 or 180 day period elapses.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our internal risk rating by loan and lease class for the loan and lease portfolio, including purchased credit impaired loans, as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2015 | | | | | | Total |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------|----------|--------------|--------------|
| | Pass/Watch | Special Mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Loss | Impaired (1) | |
| Commercial real estate | | | | | | | |
| Non-owner occupied term, net | \$2,963,339 | \$82,538 | \$86,213 | \$270 | \$279 | \$8,206 | \$3,140,845 |
| Owner occupied term, net | 2,553,909 | 60,042 | 68,522 | 675 | 1,361 | 7,412 | 2,691,921 |
| Multifamily, net | 3,047,889 | 5,641 | 17,869 | — | — | 3,519 | 3,074,918 |
| Construction & development, net | 288,018 | 10,659 | 2,124 | — | — | 1,091 | 301,892 |
| Residential development, net | 89,706 | 507 | 1,355 | — | — | 7,891 | 99,459 |
| Commercial | | | | | | | |
| Term, net | 1,369,230 | 13,620 | 20,953 | 36 | 162 | 21,008 | 1,425,009 |
| LOC & other, net | 1,004,946 | 19,183 | 15,959 | 1 | 1 | 2,986 | 1,043,076 |
| Leases and equipment finance, net | 716,190 | 3,849 | 3,499 | 4,889 | 734 | — | 729,161 |
| Residential | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage, net | 2,871,516 | 3,557 | 2,019 | — | 13,131 | — | 2,890,223 |
| Home equity loans & lines, net | 917,919 | 2,189 | 803 | — | 2,756 | — | 923,667 |
| Consumer & other, net | 522,339 | 4,174 | 458 | — | 218 | — | 527,189 |
| Total, net of deferred fees and costs | \$16,345,001 | \$205,959 | \$219,774 | \$5,871 | \$18,642 | \$52,113 | \$16,847,360 |

(1) The percentage of impaired loans classified as pass/watch, special mention and substandard was 5.0%, 4.6%, and 90.4%, respectively, as of December 31, 2015.

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2014 | | | | | | Total |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------|--------|--------------|-------------|
| | Pass/Watch | Special Mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Loss | Impaired (1) | |
| Commercial real estate | | | | | | | |
| Non-owner occupied term, net | \$3,027,777 | \$99,556 | \$123,350 | \$821 | \$— | \$39,106 | \$3,290,610 |
| Owner occupied term, net | 2,475,944 | 58,425 | 81,567 | 309 | 1,674 | 15,945 | 2,633,864 |
| Multifamily, net | 2,610,039 | 9,583 | 15,177 | — | — | 3,819 | 2,638,618 |
| Construction & development, net | 248,547 | 4,081 | 5,003 | — | — | 1,091 | 258,722 |
| Residential development, net | 68,789 | 963 | 2,419 | — | — | 9,675 | 81,846 |
| Commercial | | | | | | | |
| Term, net | 1,346,148 | 12,661 | 17,901 | 198 | 224 | 18,957 | 1,396,089 |
| LOC & other, net | 991,209 | 17,665 | 6,399 | 280 | 88 | 13,979 | 1,029,620 |
| Leases and equipment finance, net | 513,104 | 2,554 | 3,809 | 3,255 | 392 | — | 523,114 |
| Residential | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage, net | 2,215,956 | 2,330 | 4,497 | — | 10,952 | — | 2,233,735 |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|------------|------------|----------|-----------|------------|---------------|
| Home equity loans & lines, net | 846,277 | 3,271 | 1,079 | — | 1,851 | — | 852,478 |
| Consumer & other, net | 385,754 | 2,717 | 198 | — | 367 | — | 389,036 |
| Total, net of deferred fees and costs | \$ 14,729,544 | \$ 213,806 | \$ 261,399 | \$ 4,863 | \$ 15,548 | \$ 102,572 | \$ 15,327,732 |

(1) The percentage of impaired loans classified as pass/watch, special mention, substandard, doubtful and loss was 5.6%, 15.1%, 77.9%, 0.1% and 1.3% respectively, as of December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

Troubled Debt Restructurings

At December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, impaired loans of \$31.4 million and \$54.8 million were classified as accruing restructured loans, respectively. The restructurings were granted in response to borrower financial difficulty, and generally provide for a temporary modification of loan repayment terms. The restructured loans on accrual status represent the only impaired loans accruing interest. In order for a restructured loan to be considered for accrual status, the loan's collateral coverage generally will be greater than or equal to 100% of the loan balance, the loan is current on payments, and the borrower must either prefund an interest reserve or demonstrate the ability to make payments from a verified source of cash flow. Impaired restructured loans carry a specific allowance and the allowance on impaired restructured loans is calculated consistently across the portfolios.

There were no available commitments for troubled debt restructurings outstanding as of December 31, 2015 and \$1.0 million as of December 31, 2014.

The following tables present troubled debt restructurings by accrual versus non-accrual status and by loan class as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2015 | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | Accrual Status | Non-Accrual Status | Total Modifications |
| Commercial real estate, net | \$17,895 | \$1,324 | \$19,219 |
| Commercial, net | 8,543 | 8,528 | 17,071 |
| Residential, net | 4,917 | — | 4,917 |
| Total, net of deferred fees and costs | \$31,355 | \$9,852 | \$41,207 |

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2014 | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | Accrual Status | Non-Accrual Status | Total Modifications |
| Commercial real estate, net | \$48,817 | \$2,319 | \$51,136 |
| Commercial, net | 5,404 | 9,541 | 14,945 |
| Residential, net | 615 | — | 615 |
| Total, net of deferred fees and costs | \$54,836 | \$11,860 | \$66,696 |

The Bank's policy is that loans placed on non-accrual will typically remain on non-accrual status until all principal and interest payments are brought current and the prospect for future payment in accordance with the loan agreement appears relatively certain. The Bank's policy generally refers to six months of payment performance as sufficient to warrant a return to accrual status.

Table of Contents

The following tables present newly restructured loans that occurred during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014:

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2015 | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| | Rate Modifications | Term Modifications | Interest Only Modifications | Payment Modifications | Combination Modifications | Total Modifications |
| Commercial real estate, net | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$ 1,374 | \$ 1,374 |
| Commercial, net | — | — | — | — | 11,737 | 11,737 |
| Residential, net | — | 74 | — | 122 | 3,990 | 4,186 |
| Consumer & other, net | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total, net of deferred fees and costs | \$— | \$ 74 | \$— | \$ 122 | \$ 17,101 | \$ 17,297 |

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2014 | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| | Rate Modifications | Term Modifications | Interest Only Modifications | Payment Modifications | Combination Modifications | Total Modifications |
| Commercial real estate, net | \$— | \$ 2,332 | \$— | \$— | \$ 3,519 | \$ 5,851 |
| Commercial, net | — | 8,359 | — | — | 5,410 | 13,769 |
| Residential, net | — | — | — | — | 138 | 138 |
| Consumer & other, net | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total, net of deferred fees and costs | \$— | \$ 10,691 | \$— | \$— | \$ 9,067 | \$ 19,758 |

For the periods presented in the tables above, the outstanding recorded investment was the same pre and post modification.

There were \$434,000 financing receivables modified as troubled debt restructurings within the previous 12 months for which there was a payment default during the year ended December 31, 2015. There were no financing receivables modified as troubled debt restructurings within the previous 12 months for which there was a payment default during the year ended December 31, 2014.

Note 7—Premises and Equipment

The following table presents the major components of premises and equipment at December 31, 2015 and 2014:

| (in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 | Estimated useful life |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|
| Land | \$45,762 | \$46,415 | |
| Buildings and improvements | 232,635 | 218,326 | 7-39 years |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 156,031 | 132,249 | 4-20 years |
| Software | 70,195 | 40,785 | 3 years |
| Construction in progress | 13,781 | 32,313 | |
| Total premises and equipment | 518,404 | 470,088 | |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (189,670) | (152,254) | |
| Premises and equipment, net | \$328,734 | \$317,834 | |

Depreciation expense totaled \$47.6 million, \$36.9 million and \$20.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Umpqua's subsidiaries have entered into a number of non-cancelable lease agreements with respect to premises and equipment. See Note 19 for more information regarding rental expense, net of rent income, and minimum annual rental commitments under non-cancelable lease agreements.

Table of Contents

Note 8—Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The following table summarizes the changes in the Company's goodwill and other intangible assets for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2014 and 2015.

(in thousands)

| | Goodwill | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| | Community Banking | | |
| | Gross | Accumulated Impairment | Total |
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | \$781,106 | \$(112,934) | \$668,172 |
| Net additions | 96,777 | — | 96,777 |
| Reductions | (644) | — | (644) |
| Balance, December 31, 2013 | 877,239 | (112,934) | 764,305 |
| Net additions | 1,021,920 | — | 1,021,920 |
| Reductions | — | — | — |
| Balance, December 31, 2014 | 1,899,159 | (112,934) | 1,786,225 |
| Net additions | 1,568 | — | 1,568 |
| Reductions | — | — | — |
| Balance, December 31, 2015 | \$1,900,727 | \$(112,934) | \$1,787,793 |
| | | | |
| | Other Intangible Assets | | |
| | Gross | Accumulated Amortization | Net |
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | \$58,909 | \$(41,750) | \$17,159 |
| Net additions | — | — | — |
| Amortization | — | (4,781) | (4,781) |
| Balance, December 31, 2013 | 58,909 | (46,531) | 12,378 |
| Net additions | 54,562 | — | 54,562 |
| Amortization | — | (10,207) | (10,207) |
| Balance, December 31, 2014 | 113,471 | (56,738) | 56,733 |
| Net additions | — | — | — |
| Amortization | — | (11,225) | (11,225) |
| Balance, December 31, 2015 | \$113,471 | \$(67,963) | \$45,508 |

Goodwill additions in 2015 and 2014 relate to the Sterling Merger and additions in 2013 relate to the FinPac acquisition. Goodwill represents the excess of the total acquisition price paid over the fair value of the assets acquired, net of the fair value of liabilities assumed. Additional information on the acquisition and purchase price allocation is provided in Note 2. The addition to goodwill in 2015 of \$1.6 million relates to correcting immaterial errors in acquisition accounting adjustments. The reduction to goodwill in 2013 of \$644,000 relates to acquisition accounting adjustments.

Intangible asset additions in 2014 relate to the Sterling Merger and represent the value of core deposits, which includes all deposits except certificates of deposit. Core deposit intangible asset values were determined by an analysis of the cost differential between the core deposits inclusive of estimated servicing costs and alternative funding sources. The core deposit intangible recorded in connection with the Merger will be amortized on an accelerated basis over a period of 10 years. No impairment losses separate from the scheduled amortization have been recognized in the periods presented.

The Company conducted its annual evaluation of goodwill for impairment at both December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The Company assessed qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events and circumstances indicated that it is more likely than not that the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired, and determined no factors indicated an impairment. Based on this analysis, no further testing was determined to be necessary.

Table of Contents

The table below presents the forecasted amortization expense for intangible assets at December 31, 2015:

| (in thousands) | Expected Amortization |
|----------------|-----------------------|
| Year | |
| 2016 | \$8,622 |
| 2017 | 6,756 |
| 2018 | 6,166 |
| 2019 | 5,618 |
| 2020 | 4,986 |
| Thereafter | 13,360 |
| | \$45,508 |

Note 9 – Residential Mortgage Servicing Rights

The following table presents the changes in the Company's residential mortgage servicing rights ("MSR") for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

| (in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$117,259 | \$47,765 | \$27,428 |
| Acquired/purchased MSR | — | 62,770 | — |
| Additions for new MSR capitalized | 35,284 | 23,311 | 17,963 |
| Changes in fair value: | | | |
| Due to changes in model inputs or assumptions(1) | (380 |) (5,757 |) 5,688 |
| Other(2) | (20,346 |) (10,830 |) (3,314 |
| Balance, end of period | \$131,817 | \$117,259 | \$47,765 |

(1) Principally reflects changes in discount rates and prepayment speed assumptions, which are primarily affected by changes in interest rates.

(2) Represents changes due to collection/realization of expected cash flows over time.

Information related to our serviced loan portfolio as of December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 is as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | December 31, 2015 | December 31, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Balance of loans serviced for others | \$13,047,266 | \$11,590,310 | \$4,362,499 |
| MSR as a percentage of serviced loans | 1.01 | % 1.01 | % 1.09 |

The amount of contractually specified servicing fees, late fees and ancillary fees earned, recorded in residential mortgage banking revenue on the Consolidated Statements of Income, was \$28.0 million, \$20.8 million, and \$10.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Key assumptions used in measuring the fair value of MSR as of December 31 were as follows:

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|-------------------------------|-------|---------|---------|
| Constant prepayment rate | 11.70 | % 12.39 | % 12.74 |
| Discount rate | 9.68 | % 9.17 | % 8.69 |
| Weighted average life (years) | 6.5 | 6.4 | 6.0 |

Table of Contents

A sensitivity analysis of the current fair value to changes in discount and prepayment speed assumptions as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 is as follows:

| | December 31, 2015 | | December 31, 2014 | |
|--|----------------------|---|----------------------|---|
| Constant prepayment rate | | | | |
| Effect on fair value of a 10% adverse change | \$(5,337 |) | \$(4,965 |) |
| Effect on fair value of a 20% adverse change | \$(10,283 |) | \$(9,547 |) |
| Discount rate | | | | |
| Effect on fair value of a 100 basis point adverse change | \$(4,936 |) | \$(4,539 |) |
| Effect on fair value of a 200 basis point adverse change | \$(9,494 |) | \$(8,771 |) |

The sensitivity analysis presents the hypothetical effect on fair value of the MSR. The effect of such hypothetical change in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in an assumption to the change in fair value is not linear. Additionally, in the analysis, the impact of an adverse change in one assumption is calculated independent of any impact on other assumptions. In reality, changes in one assumption may change another assumption.

Note 10 – Other Real Estate Owned, Net

The following table presents the changes in other real estate owned ("OREO") for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

| (in thousands) | 2015 | | 2014 | | 2013 |
|--------------------------------------|----------|---|----------|---|----------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$37,942 | | \$23,935 | | \$27,512 |
| Additions to OREO due to acquisition | — | | 8,666 | | — |
| Additions to OREO | 9,062 | | 24,873 | | 24,193 |
| Dispositions of OREO | (21,915 |) | (15,804 |) | (25,610 |
| Valuation adjustments in the period | (2,782 |) | (3,728 |) | (2,160 |
| Balance, end of period | \$22,307 | | \$37,942 | | \$23,935 |

As of December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, the Company had valuation allowances on its OREO balances of \$4.1 million, \$5.6 million, and \$1.0 million, respectively. Valuation allowances on OREO balances are based on updated appraisals of the underlying properties as received during a period or management's authorization to reduce the selling price of a property during the period. As of December 31, 2015, Umpqua had \$2.2 million of foreclosed residential real estate property held as other real estate owned. Umpqua's recorded investment in consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property in process of foreclosure was \$5.3 million as of December 31, 2015.

Note 11 - Other Assets

Other assets consisted of the following at December 31, 2015 and 2014:

| (in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Accrued interest receivable | \$52,835 | \$48,343 |
| Derivative assets | 43,549 | 29,210 |
| Low-income housing tax credit investments | 15,889 | 12,311 |
| Prepaid expenses | 15,021 | 44,031 |
| Investment in unconsolidated trust subsidiaries | 14,277 | 14,296 |
| Income taxes receivable | 10,715 | 18,663 |
| Commercial servicing asset | 7,944 | 9,329 |
| Other | 42,266 | 51,935 |
| Total | \$202,496 | \$228,118 |

Table of Contents

The Company invests in limited partnerships that operate qualified affordable housing projects to receive tax benefits in the form of tax deductions from operating losses and tax credits. The Company accounts for the investments using the proportional amortization method. The Company adopted ASU 2014-01 in the first quarter of 2015; amortization of the investment in qualified affordable housing projects is now recorded in the provision for income taxes together with the tax credits and benefits received. Previously, the amortization was recorded as other non-interest income. The Company recognized \$1.7 million as a component of income tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2015 and recognized \$1.4 million in affordable housing tax credits and other tax benefits during the year. After restatement, the Company recognized \$1.9 million as a component of income tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2014 and recognized \$2.0 million in affordable housing tax credits and other tax benefits during the previous year. The Company has federal low income housing tax credits of \$7.1 million as of December 31, 2015 and 2014. The Company's remaining capital commitments to these partnerships at December 31, 2015 and 2014 were approximately \$7.1 million and \$5.3 million, respectively. Such amounts are included in other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets.

Note 12 – Income Taxes

The following table presents the components of income tax provision included in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31:

| (in thousands) | Current | Deferred | Total |
|-------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|
| YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015: | | | |
| Federal | \$22,914 | \$81,267 | \$104,181 |
| State | 1,708 | 18,699 | 20,407 |
| | \$24,622 | \$99,966 | \$124,588 |
| YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014: | | | |
| Federal | \$1,968 | \$70,583 | \$72,551 |
| State | 1,045 | 9,444 | 10,489 |
| | \$3,013 | \$80,027 | \$83,040 |
| YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013: | | | |
| Federal | \$37,960 | \$7,722 | \$45,682 |
| State | 8,187 | 323 | 8,510 |
| | \$46,147 | \$8,045 | \$54,192 |

The following table presents a reconciliation of income taxes computed at the Federal statutory rate to the actual effective rate for the years ended December 31:

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | |
|--------------------------------------|-------|----------|----------|----|
| Statutory Federal income tax rate | 35.0 | % 35.0 | % 35.0 | % |
| State tax, net of Federal income tax | 4.0 | % 3.5 | % 4.4 | % |
| Tax-exempt income | (1.8) |)% (2.5) |)% (3.2) |)% |
| Tax credits | (0.1) |)% (0.8) |)% (1.8) |)% |
| Nondeductible merger expenses | — | % 1.2 | % 1.0 | % |
| BOLI | (1.1) |)% (1.6) |)% (0.8) |)% |
| Other | (0.1) |)% 1.2 | % 1.0 | % |
| Effective income tax rate | 35.9 | % 36.0 | % 35.6 | % |

Table of Contents

The following table reflects the effects of temporary differences that give rise to the components of the net deferred tax assets recorded on the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31:

| (in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|------------|------------|
| DEFERRED TAX ASSETS: | | |
| Net operating loss carryforwards | \$ 137,302 | \$ 197,227 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | 54,048 | 41,133 |
| Loan discount | 40,068 | 90,287 |
| Accrued severance and deferred compensation | 28,893 | 28,216 |
| Tax credits | 15,778 | 21,966 |
| Other | 32,350 | 44,493 |
| Total gross deferred tax assets | 308,439 | 423,322 |
| DEFERRED TAX LIABILITIES: | | |
| Residential mortgage servicing rights | 54,112 | 48,496 |
| Fair market value adjustment on preferred securities | 48,407 | 50,549 |
| Intangibles | 17,417 | 15,074 |
| Other | 49,331 | 75,395 |
| Total gross deferred tax liabilities | 169,267 | 189,514 |
| Valuation allowance | (1,090 |) (3,366 |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$ 138,082 | \$ 230,442 |

The Company acquired a \$276.8 million net deferred tax asset before acquisition accounting adjustments in the Merger, including \$238.4 million of federal and state NOL and tax credit carry-forwards. The Merger triggered an "ownership change" as defined in Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Service Code ("Section 382"). As a result of being subject to Section 382, the Company will be limited in the amount of NOL carry-forwards that can be used annually to offset future taxable income. The Company believes it is more likely than not that it will be able to fully realize the benefit of its federal NOL carry-forwards. The Company also believes that it is more likely than not that the benefit from certain state NOL and tax credit carry-forwards will not be realized and therefore has provided a valuation allowance of \$1.1 million as of December 31, 2015 and \$3.4 million as of December 31, 2014 on the deferred tax assets relating to these state NOL and tax credit carry-forwards. The Company has determined that no other valuation allowance for the remaining deferred tax assets is required as management believes it is more likely than not that the remaining gross deferred tax assets of \$307.3 million and \$420.0 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, will be realized principally through future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences. Management further believes that future taxable income will be sufficient to realize the benefits of temporary deductible differences that cannot be realized through carry-back to prior years or through the reversal of future temporary taxable differences.

The tax credits consist of state tax credits of \$6.6 million and \$8.9 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and federal low income housing and alternative minimum tax credits of \$9.1 million and \$13.0 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The state tax credits will be utilized to offset future state income taxes. Most of the state tax credits benefit a five-year period, with an eight-year carry-forward allowed. Federal low income housing credits have a twenty-year carry forward and the alternative minimum tax credits may be carried forward indefinitely.

The Company and its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, as well as the majority of states and Canada. The Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal tax examinations for years before 2012, and no longer subject to other state tax authorities examinations for years before 2011, except in California, for years before

2005, and for Canadian tax authority examinations for years before 2012.

The Company periodically reviews its income tax positions based on tax laws and regulations and financial reporting considerations, and records adjustments as appropriate. This review takes into consideration the status of current taxing authorities' examinations of the Company's tax returns, recent positions taken by the taxing authorities on similar transactions, if any, and the overall tax environment.

Table of Contents

The Company had gross unrecognized tax benefits in the amounts of \$2.9 million and \$2.7 million recorded as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. If recognized, the unrecognized tax benefit would reduce the 2015 annual effective tax rate by 1%. The Company accrued \$29,000 and \$206,000 of interest related to unrecognized tax benefits during 2015 and 2014, respectively. Interest on unrecognized tax benefits is reported by the Company as a component of tax expense. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the accrued interest related to unrecognized tax benefits is \$428,000 and \$399,000, respectively.

Detailed below is a reconciliation of the Company's unrecognized tax benefits, gross of any related tax benefits, for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively:

| (in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|---------|---------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$2,671 | \$602 |
| Effectively settled positions | — | (86) |
| Changes for tax positions of current year | 178 | 146 |
| Changes for tax positions of prior years/assumed in merger | 574 | 2,009 |
| Lapse of statute of limitations | (535) |) — |
| Balance, end of period | \$2,888 | \$2,671 |

Note 13 – Interest Bearing Deposits

The following table presents the major types of interest bearing deposits at December 31, 2015 and 2014:

| (in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Interest bearing demand | \$2,157,376 | \$2,054,994 |
| Money market | 6,599,516 | 6,113,138 |
| Savings | 1,136,809 | 971,185 |
| Time, \$100,000 and over | 1,604,446 | 1,765,721 |
| Time less than \$100,000 | 890,451 | 1,242,257 |
| Total interest bearing deposits | \$12,388,598 | \$12,147,295 |

As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company had time deposits of \$689.3 million and \$859.7 million, respectively, that meet or exceed the FDIC insurance limit. The following table presents the scheduled maturities of time deposits as of December 31, 2015:

| (in thousands) | Amount |
|---------------------|-------------|
| Year | |
| 2016 | \$1,656,200 |
| 2017 | 447,947 |
| 2018 | 87,399 |
| 2019 | 157,283 |
| 2020 | 137,659 |
| Thereafter | 8,409 |
| Total time deposits | \$2,494,897 |

The following table presents the remaining maturities of time deposits of \$100,000 or more as of December 31, 2015:

| (in thousands) | Amount |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|
| Three months or less | \$494,891 |
| Over three months through six months | 299,599 |
| Over six months through twelve months | 310,738 |
| Over twelve months | 499,218 |
| Time, \$100,000 and over | \$1,604,446 |

Table of Contents

Note 14 – Securities Sold Under Agreements To Repurchase

The following table presents information regarding securities sold under agreements to repurchase at December 31, 2015 and 2014:

| (dollars in thousands) | Repurchase Amount | Weighted Average Interest Rate | Carrying Value of Underlying Assets | Market Value of Underlying Assets |
|------------------------|----------------------|---|--|--|
| December 31, 2015 | \$304,560 | 0.02 | % \$402,003 | \$402,003 |
| December 31, 2014 | \$313,321 | 0.04 | % \$411,569 | \$411,569 |

The securities underlying agreements to repurchase entered into by the Bank are for the same securities originally sold, with a one-day maturity. In all cases, the Bank maintains control over the securities. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase averaged approximately \$321.1 million, \$189.5 million, and \$177.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The maximum amount outstanding at any month end for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, was \$334.6 million, \$313.3 million, and \$233.8 million, respectively. Investment securities are pledged as collateral in an amount equal to or greater than the repurchase agreements.

Note 15 – Federal Funds Purchased

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company had no outstanding federal funds purchased balances. The Bank had available lines of credit with the FHLB totaling \$5.4 billion at December 31, 2015 subject to certain collateral requirements. The Bank had available lines of credit with the Federal Reserve totaling \$333.3 million subject to certain collateral requirements, namely the amount of certain pledged loans at December 31, 2015. The Bank had uncommitted federal funds line of credit agreements with additional financial institutions totaling \$450.0 million at December 31, 2015. At December 31, 2015, the lines of credit had interest rates ranging from 0.2% to 0.9%. Availability of the lines is subject to federal funds balances available for loan and continued borrower eligibility and are reviewed and renewed periodically throughout the year. These lines are intended to support short-term liquidity needs, and the agreements may restrict consecutive day usage.

Note 16 – Term Debt

The Bank had outstanding secured advances from the FHLB and other creditors at December 31, 2015 and 2014 with carrying values of \$888.8 million and \$1.0 billion, respectively.

The following table summarizes the future contractual maturities of borrowed funds as of December 31, 2015: (in thousands)

| Year | Amount | |
|----------------------|-----------|-----|
| 2016 | \$525,016 | |
| 2017 | 155,000 | |
| 2018 | 50,000 | |
| 2019 | — | |
| 2020 | 150,000 | |
| Thereafter | 5,151 | |
| Total borrowed funds | \$885,167 | (1) |

(1) Amount shows contractual borrowings, excluding acquisition accounting adjustments.

The maximum amount outstanding from the FHLB under term advances at month end during 2015 was \$1.0 billion and during 2014 was \$1.1 billion. The average balance outstanding during 2015 was \$919.1 million and during 2014 was \$842.1 million. The average contractual interest rate on the borrowings was 1.9% in 2015 and 1.6% in 2014. The FHLB requires the Bank to maintain a required level of investment in FHLB and sufficient collateral to qualify for

secured advances. The Bank has pledged as collateral for these secured advances all FHLB stock, all funds on deposit with the FHLB, and its investments and commercial real estate portfolios, accounts, general intangibles, equipment and other property in which a security interest can be granted by the Bank to the FHLB.

105

Table of Contents

Note 17 – Junior Subordinated Debentures

Following is information about the Company's wholly-owned trusts ("Trusts") as of December 31, 2015:

(dollars in thousands)

| Trust Name | Issue Date | Issued Amount | Carrying Value (1) | Rate (2) | Effective Rate (3) | Maturity Date |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------------|---|--------------------|----------------|
| AT FAIR VALUE: | | | | | | |
| Umpqua Statutory Trust II | October 2002 | \$20,619 | \$15,427 | Floating rate, LIBOR plus 3.35%, adjusted quarterly | 4.91% | October 2032 |
| Umpqua Statutory Trust III | October 2002 | 30,928 | 23,320 | Floating rate, LIBOR plus 3.45%, adjusted quarterly | 5.06% | November 2032 |
| Umpqua Statutory Trust IV | December 2003 | 10,310 | 7,319 | Floating rate, LIBOR plus 2.85%, adjusted quarterly | 4.47% | January 2034 |
| Umpqua Statutory Trust V | December 2003 | 10,310 | 7,292 | Floating rate, LIBOR plus 2.85%, adjusted quarterly | 4.77% | March 2034 |
| Umpqua Master Trust I | August 2007 | 41,238 | 24,268 | Floating rate, LIBOR plus 1.35%, adjusted quarterly | 3.16% | September 2037 |
| Umpqua Master Trust IB | September 2007 | 20,619 | 14,063 | Floating rate, LIBOR plus 2.75%, adjusted quarterly | 4.78% | December 2037 |
| Sterling Capital Trust III | April 2003 | 14,433 | 11,311 | Floating rate, LIBOR plus 3.25%, adjusted quarterly | 4.57% | April 2033 |
| Sterling Capital Trust IV | May 2003 | 10,310 | 7,994 | Floating rate, LIBOR plus 3.15%, adjusted quarterly | 4.53% | May 2033 |
| Sterling Capital Statutory Trust V | May 2003 | 20,619 | 16,040 | Floating rate, LIBOR plus 3.25%, adjusted quarterly | 4.95% | June 2033 |
| Sterling Capital Trust VI | June 2003 | 10,310 | 7,969 | Floating rate, LIBOR plus 3.20%, adjusted quarterly | 4.45% | September 2033 |
| Sterling Capital Trust VII | June 2006 | 56,702 | 34,540 | Floating rate, LIBOR plus 1.53%, adjusted quarterly | 3.34% | June 2036 |
| Sterling Capital Trust VIII | September 2006 | 51,547 | 31,708 | Floating rate, LIBOR plus 1.63%, adjusted quarterly | 3.48% | December 2036 |
| Sterling Capital Trust IX | July 2007 | 46,392 | 27,241 | Floating rate, LIBOR plus 1.40%, adjusted quarterly | 2.94% | October 2037 |
| Lynnwood Financial Statutory Trust I | March 2003 | 9,279 | 7,144 | Floating rate, LIBOR plus 3.15%, adjusted | 4.87% | March 2033 |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|--|-------|----------------|
| Lynnwood Financial Statutory Trust II | June 2005 | 10,310 | 6,599 | quarterly Floating rate, LIBOR plus 1.80%, adjusted | 3.61% | June 2035 |
| Klamath First Capital Trust I | July 2001 | 15,464 | 13,222 | quarterly Floating rate, LIBOR plus 3.75%, adjusted | 4.95% | July 2031 |
| | | 379,390 | 255,457 | semiannually | | |
| AT AMORTIZED COST: | | | | | | |
| HB Capital Trust I | March 2000 | 5,310 | 6,106 | 10.875% | 8.54% | March 2030 |
| Humboldt Bancorp Statutory Trust I | February 2001 | 5,155 | 5,741 | 10.200% | 8.48% | February 2031 |
| Humboldt Bancorp Statutory Trust II | December 2001 | 10,310 | 11,164 | Floating rate, LIBOR plus 3.60%, adjusted | 3.33% | December 2031 |
| | | | | quarterly | | |
| Humboldt Bancorp Statutory Trust III | September 2003 | 27,836 | 30,083 | Floating rate, LIBOR plus 2.95%, adjusted | 2.78% | September 2033 |
| | | | | quarterly | | |
| CIB Capital Trust | November 2002 | 10,310 | 11,044 | Floating rate, LIBOR plus 3.45%, adjusted | 3.16% | November 2032 |
| | | | | quarterly | | |
| Western Sierra Statutory Trust I | July 2001 | 6,186 | 6,186 | Floating rate, LIBOR plus 3.58%, adjusted | 3.91% | July 2031 |
| | | | | quarterly | | |
| Western Sierra Statutory Trust II | December 2001 | 10,310 | 10,310 | Floating rate, LIBOR plus 3.60%, adjusted | 4.13% | December 2031 |
| | | | | quarterly | | |
| Western Sierra Statutory Trust III | September 2003 | 10,310 | 10,310 | Floating rate, LIBOR plus 2.90%, adjusted | 3.22% | September 2033 |
| | | | | quarterly | | |
| Western Sierra Statutory Trust IV | September 2003 | 10,310 | 10,310 | Floating rate, LIBOR plus 2.90%, adjusted | 3.22% | September 2033 |
| | | | | quarterly | | |
| | | 96,037 | 101,254 | | | |
| | Total | \$475,427 | \$356,711 | | | |

Includes acquisition accounting adjustments, net of accumulated amortization, for junior subordinated (1) debentures assumed in connection with previous mergers as well as fair value adjustments related to trusts recorded at fair value.

(2) Contractual interest rate of junior subordinated debentures.

(3) Effective interest rate based upon the carrying value as of December 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

The Trusts are reflected as junior subordinated debentures in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The common stock issued by the Trusts is recorded in other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, and totaled \$14.3 million at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014. As of December 31, 2015, all of the junior subordinated debentures were redeemable at par, at their applicable quarterly or semiannual interest payment dates.

The Company selected the fair value measurement option for junior subordinated debentures originally issued by the Company (the Umpqua Statutory Trusts) and for junior subordinated debentures acquired from Sterling.

Absent changes to the significant inputs utilized in the discounted cash flow model used to measure the fair value of these instruments, the discounts will reverse over time in a manner similar to the effective interest rate method as if these instruments were accounted for under the amortized cost method. Losses recorded resulting from the change in the fair value of these instruments were \$6.3 million, \$5.1 million and \$2.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Note 18 – Employee Benefit Plans

Employee Savings Plan-Substantially all of the Bank's and Umpqua Investments' employees are eligible to participate in the Umpqua Bank 401(k) and Profit Sharing Plan (the "Umpqua 401(k) Plan"), a defined contribution and profit sharing plan sponsored by the Company. Employees may elect to have a portion of their salary contributed to the plan in conformity with Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. At the discretion of the Company's Board of Directors, the Company may elect to make matching and/or profit sharing contributions to the Umpqua 401(k) Plan based on profits of the Bank. The Company's contributions charged to expense amounted to \$7.4 million, \$5.0 million, and \$3.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Supplemental Retirement Plans-The Company has established the Umpqua Holdings Corporation Deferred Compensation & Supplemental Retirement Plan (the "DC/SRP"), a nonqualified deferred compensation plan to help supplement the retirement income of certain highly compensated executives selected by resolution of the Company's Board of Directors. The DC/SRP has two components, a supplemental retirement plan ("SRP") and a deferred compensation plan ("DCP"). The Company may make discretionary contributions to the SRP. For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, the Company's matching contribution charged to expense for these supplemental plans totaled \$178,000, \$140,000, and \$123,000, respectively. The SRP plan balances at December 31, 2015 and 2014 were \$889,000 and \$812,000, respectively, and are recorded in other liabilities. Under the DCP, eligible officers may elect to defer up to 50% of their salary into a plan account. The DCP plan balance was \$4.9 million and \$3.9 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. In addition, the Company has established a supplemental retirement plan for the CEO. The plan balance for this plan was \$8.7 million as of both December 31, 2015 and 2014.

Acquired Plans- In connection with prior acquisitions, the Bank assumed liability for certain salary continuation, supplemental retirement, and deferred compensation plans for key employees, retired employees and directors of acquired institutions. Subsequent to the effective date of these acquisitions, no additional contributions were made to these plans. These plans are unfunded, and provide for the payment of a specified amount on a monthly basis for a specified period (generally 10 to 20 years) after retirement. In the event of a participant employee's death prior to or during retirement, the Bank in most cases is obligated to pay to the designated beneficiary the benefits set forth under the plans. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, liabilities recorded for the estimated present value of future plan benefits totaled \$36.1 million and \$42.3 million, respectively, and are recorded in other liabilities. For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, expense recorded for these plan's benefits totaled \$1.1 million, \$2.9 million, and \$849,000, respectively.

Rabbi Trusts-The Bank has established, for the DC/SRP plan noted above, and sponsors, for some deferred compensation plans assumed in connection with prior mergers, irrevocable trusts commonly referred to as "Rabbi Trusts." The trust assets (generally cash and trading assets) are consolidated in the Company's balance sheets and the

associated liability (which equals the related asset balances) is included in other liabilities. The asset and liability balances related to these trusts as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 were \$9.6 million and \$9.1 million, respectively. The Bank has purchased, or acquired through mergers, life insurance policies in connection with the implementation of certain executive supplemental income, salary continuation and deferred compensation retirement plans. These policies provide protection against the adverse financial effects that could result from the death of a key employee and provide tax-exempt income to offset expenses associated with the plans. It is the Bank's intent to hold these policies as a long-term investment. However, there will be an income tax impact if the Bank chooses to surrender certain policies. Although the lives of individual current or former management-level employees are insured, the Bank is the owner and sole or partial beneficiary. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, the cash surrender value of these policies was \$291.9 million and \$294.3 million, respectively. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Bank also had liabilities for post-retirement benefits payable to other partial beneficiaries under some of these life insurance policies of \$6.2 million and \$6.2 million, respectively. The Bank is exposed to credit risk to the extent an insurance company is unable to fulfill its financial

Table of Contents

obligations under a policy. In order to mitigate this risk, the Bank uses a variety of insurance companies and regularly monitors their financial condition.

Note 19 – Commitments and Contingencies

Lease Commitments — The Bank leases 277 sites under non-cancelable operating leases. The leases contain various provisions for increases in rental rates, based either on changes in the published Consumer Price Index or a predetermined escalation schedule. Substantially all of the leases provide the Company with the option to extend the lease term one or more times following expiration of the initial term.

Rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$37.8 million, \$33.1 million, and \$19.1 million. Rent expense was offset by rent income for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 of \$1.4 million, \$512,000 and \$785,000.

The following table sets forth, as of December 31, 2015, the future minimum lease payments under non-cancelable operating leases and future minimum income receivable under non-cancelable operating subleases:

| (in thousands) | Lease Payments | Sublease Income |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Year | | |
| 2016 | \$30,822 | \$1,291 |
| 2017 | 26,488 | 1,461 |
| 2018 | 23,074 | 1,167 |
| 2019 | 20,259 | 1,152 |
| 2020 | 16,177 | 1,168 |
| Thereafter | 43,852 | 4,759 |
| Total | \$160,672 | \$10,998 |

Financial Instruments with Off-Balance-Sheet Risk — The Company's financial statements do not reflect various commitments and contingent liabilities that arise in the normal course of the Bank's business and involve elements of credit, liquidity, and interest rate risk.

The following table presents a summary of the Bank's commitments and contingent liabilities:

| (in thousands) | As of December 31, 2015 |
|--|----------------------------|
| Commitments to extend credit | \$3,658,614 |
| Commitments to extend overdrafts | \$808,216 |
| Forward sales commitments | \$556,568 |
| Commitments to originate loans held for sale | \$341,263 |
| Standby letters of credit | \$64,906 |

The Bank is a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet credit risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit and financial guarantees. Those instruments involve elements of credit and interest-rate risk similar to the risk involved in on-balance sheet items recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The contract or notional amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of the Bank's involvement in particular classes of financial instruments.

The Bank's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit, and financial guarantees written, is represented by the

contractual notional amount of those instruments. The Bank uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any covenant or condition established in the applicable contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. While most standby letters of credit are not utilized, a significant portion of such utilization is on an immediate payment basis. The Bank evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if it is deemed necessary by the Bank upon extension of credit, is based on management's

Table of Contents

credit evaluation of the counterparty. Collateral varies but may include cash, accounts receivable, inventory, premises and equipment and income-producing commercial properties.

Standby letters of credit and written financial guarantees are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including international trade finance, commercial paper, bond financing and similar transactions. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. The Bank holds cash, marketable securities, or real estate as collateral supporting those commitments for which collateral is deemed necessary. The Bank was not required to perform on any financial guarantees in connection with standby letters of credit during the year ended December 31, 2015 or December 31, 2014. At December 31, 2015, approximately \$39.8 million of standby letters of credit expire within one year, and \$25.1 million expire thereafter. Upon issuance, the Bank recognizes a liability equivalent to the amount of fees received from the customer for these standby letter of credit commitments. Fees are recognized ratably over the term of the standby letter of credit. During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Bank collected approximately \$950,000 in fees associated with standby letters of credit.

Residential mortgage loans sold into the secondary market are sold with limited recourse against the Company, meaning that the Company may be obligated to repurchase or otherwise reimburse the investor for incurred losses on any loans that suffer an early payment default, are not underwritten in accordance with investor guidelines or are determined to have pre-closing borrower misrepresentations. As of December 31, 2015, the Company had a residential mortgage loan repurchase reserve liability of \$2.2 million.

Legal Proceedings—The Bank owns 483,806 shares of Class B common stock of Visa Inc. which are convertible into Class A common stock at a conversion ratio of 1.6483 per Class A share. As of December 31, 2015, the value of the Class A shares was \$77.55 per share. Utilizing the conversion ratio, the value of unredeemed Class A equivalent shares owned by the Bank was \$61.8 million as of December 31, 2015, and has not been reflected in the accompanying financial statements. The shares of Visa Inc. Class B common stock are restricted and may not be transferred. Visa member banks are required to fund an escrow account to cover settlements, resolution of pending litigation and related claims. If the funds in the escrow account are insufficient to settle all the covered litigation, Visa Inc. may sell additional Class A shares and use the proceeds to settle litigation, thereby reducing the conversion ratio. If funds remain in the escrow account after all litigation is settled, the Class B conversion ratio will be increased to reflect that surplus.

On July 13, 2012, Visa, Inc. announced that it had entered into a memorandum of understanding obligating it to enter into a settlement agreement to resolve the multi-district interchange litigation brought by the class plaintiffs in the matter styled In re Payment Card Interchange Fee and Merchant Discount Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 5-MD-1720 (JG) (JO) in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York. The claims originally were brought by a class of U.S. retailers in 2005. The settlement was approved by the Court on December 13, 2013, and Visa's share of the settlement to be paid is estimated at \$4.4 billion. However, the December 13, 2013, decision of the Court is currently being appealed, thus the ultimate effect of this settlement on the value of the Bank's Class B common stock is unknown at this time. The Second Circuit Court of Appeals held a hearing on September 28, 2015 regarding the appeal of the settlement. The matter has now been submitted to the Court for decision. It is not known when the Second Circuit will issue its decision.

In the ordinary course of business, various claims and lawsuits are brought by and against the Company and its subsidiaries, including the Bank and Umpqua Investments. In the opinion of management, there is no pending or threatened proceeding in which an adverse decision could result in a material adverse change in the Company's consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Concentrations of Credit Risk— The Bank grants real estate mortgage, real estate construction, commercial, agricultural and installment loans and leases to customers throughout Oregon, Washington, California, Idaho, and Nevada. In management's judgment, a concentration exists in real estate-related loans, which represented approximately 78% and 80% of the Bank's loan and lease portfolio at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014. Commercial real estate concentrations are managed to assure wide geographic and business diversity. Although management believes such concentrations have no more than the normal risk of collectability, a substantial decline in the economy in general, material increases in interest rates, changes in tax policies, tightening credit or refinancing markets, or a decline in real estate values in the Bank's primary market areas in particular, could have an adverse impact on the repayment of these loans. Personal and business incomes, proceeds from the sale of real property, or proceeds from refinancing, represent the primary sources of repayment for a majority of these loans.

The Bank recognizes the credit risks inherent in dealing with other depository institutions. Accordingly, to prevent excessive exposure to any single correspondent, the Bank has established general standards for selecting correspondent banks as well as internal limits for allowable exposure to any single correspondent. In addition, the Bank has an investment policy that sets forth limitations that apply to all investments with respect to credit rating and concentrations with an issuer.

Table of Contents

Note 20 – Derivatives

The Bank may use derivatives to hedge the risk of changes in the fair values of interest rate lock commitments, residential mortgage loans held for sale, and residential mortgage servicing rights. None of the Company's derivatives are designated as hedging instruments. Rather, they are accounted for as free-standing derivatives, or economic hedges, with changes in the fair value of the derivatives reported in income. The Company primarily utilizes forward interest rate contracts in its derivative risk management strategy.

The Bank enters into forward delivery contracts to sell residential mortgage loans or mortgage-backed securities to broker/dealers at specific prices and dates in order to hedge the interest rate risk in its portfolio of mortgage loans held for sale and its residential mortgage loan commitments. Credit risk associated with forward contracts is limited to the replacement cost of those forward contracts in a gain position. There were no counterparty default losses on forward contracts in 2015, 2014, and 2013. Market risk with respect to forward contracts arises principally from changes in the value of contractual positions due to changes in interest rates. The Bank limits its exposure to market risk by monitoring differences between commitments to customers and forward contracts with broker/dealers. In the event the Company has forward delivery contract commitments in excess of available mortgage loans, the Company completes the transaction by either paying or receiving a fee to or from the broker/dealer equal to the increase or decrease in the market value of the forward contract. At December 31, 2015, the Bank had commitments to originate mortgage loans held for sale totaling \$341.3 million and forward sales commitments of \$556.6 million, which are used to hedge both on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet exposures.

The Bank's mortgage banking derivative instruments do not have specific credit risk-related contingent features. The forward sales commitments do have contingent features that may require transferring collateral to the broker/dealers upon their request. However, this amount would be limited to the net unsecured loss exposure at such point in time and would not materially affect the Company's liquidity or results of operations.

The Bank executes interest rate swaps with commercial banking customers to facilitate their respective risk management strategies. Those interest rate swaps are hedged by simultaneously entering into an offsetting interest rate swap that the Bank executes with a third party, such that the Bank minimizes its net risk exposure. As of December 31, 2015, the Bank had 381 interest rate swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$1.9 billion related to this program. As of December 31, 2014, the Bank had 302 interest rate swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$1.4 billion related to this program.

In connection with the interest rate swap program with commercial customers, the Bank has agreements with its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where if the Bank defaults on any of its indebtedness, including default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, then the Bank could also be declared in default on its derivative obligations. The Bank also has agreements with its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where if the Bank fails to maintain its status as a well/adequately capitalized institution, then the counterparty could terminate the derivative positions and the Bank would be required to settle its obligations under the agreements. Similarly, the Bank could be required to settle its obligations under certain of its agreements if specific regulatory events occur, such as if the Bank were issued a prompt corrective action directive or a cease and desist order, or if certain regulatory ratios fall below specified levels. If the Bank had breached any of these provisions at December 31, 2015, it could have been required to settle its obligations under the agreements at the termination value.

As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the termination value of derivatives in a net liability position, which includes accrued interest but excludes any adjustment for nonperformance risk, related to these agreements was \$40.2 million and \$29.0 million, respectively. The Bank has collateral posting requirements for initial or variation margins with its clearing members and clearing houses and has been required to post collateral against its obligations under these

agreements of \$58.7 million and \$43.5 million as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The fair value of the interest rate swaps is determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash receipts (or payments) and the discounted expected variable cash payments (or receipts). The variable cash payments (or receipts) are based on the expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observed market interest rate curves. In addition, to comply with the provisions of ASC 820, the Bank incorporates credit valuation adjustments ("CVA") to appropriately reflect nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements of its derivatives. The CVA is calculated by determining the total expected exposure of the derivatives (which incorporates both the current and potential future exposure) and then applying the counterparties' credit spreads to the exposure. For derivatives with two-way exposure, specifically, the Bank's interest rate swaps, the counterparty's credit spread is applied to the Bank's exposure to the counterparty, and the Bank's own credit spread is applied to the counterparty's exposure to the Bank, and the net CVA is reflected in the Bank's derivative valuations. The total expected exposure of a derivative is derived using market-observable inputs, such as yield curves and volatilities. For the Bank's own credit spread and for counterparties having publicly available credit information, the credit spreads over LIBOR used in the calculations represent implied credit default swap spreads obtained from a third party credit data provider. For counterparties without publicly available credit information, which are primarily commercial banking customers, the credit spreads over LIBOR used in the calculations are estimated by the Bank based

Table of Contents

on current market conditions, including consideration of current borrowing spreads for similar customers and transactions, review of existing collateralization or other credit enhancements, and changes in credit sector and entity-specific credit information. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Bank has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements. The Company made an accounting policy election to use the exception commonly referred to as the "portfolio exception" with respect to measuring counterparty credit risk for its interest rate swap derivative instruments that are subject to master netting agreements with commercial banking customers that are hedged with offsetting interest rate swaps with third parties.

The following tables summarize the types of derivatives, separately by assets and liabilities and the fair values of such derivatives as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

| (in thousands) | Asset Derivatives | | Liability Derivatives | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| | December 31, 2015 | December 31, 2014 | December 31, 2015 | December 31, 2014 |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instrument | | | | |
| Interest rate lock commitments | \$3,631 | \$2,867 | \$— | \$— |
| Interest rate forward sales commitments | 1,155 | 16 | 971 | 2,627 |
| Interest rate swaps | 38,567 | 26,327 | 40,238 | 28,158 |
| Foreign currency derivative | 196 | 565 | 305 | 103 |
| Total | \$43,549 | \$29,775 | \$41,514 | \$30,888 |

The following table summarizes the types of derivatives and the gains (losses) recorded during the 2015, 2014, and 2013:

| (in thousands) | December 31, | | |
|--|--------------|------------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instrument | | | |
| Interest rate lock commitments | \$763 | \$2,000 | \$(772) |
| Interest rate forward sales commitments | (4,752) | (23,463) | 13,225 |
| Interest rate swaps | 162 | (3,232) | 1,243 |
| Foreign currency derivative | 1,011 | 890 | 602 |
| Total | \$(2,816) | \$(23,805) | \$14,298 |

The Bank incorporates credit valuation adjustment ("CVA") to appropriately reflect nonperformance risk in the fair value measurement of its derivatives. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the net CVA decreased the settlement values of the Bank's net derivative assets by \$1.9 million and \$1.9 million, respectively. The gains (losses) above on the interest rate swaps relate to CVAs. Various factors impact changes in the CVA over time, including changes in the credit spreads of the parties to the contracts, as well as changes in market rates and volatilities, which affect the total expected exposure of the derivative instruments.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the derivatives that have a right of offset as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

| (in thousands) | Gross Amounts of Recognized Assets/Liabilities | Gross Amounts Offset in the Statement of Financial Position | Net Amounts of Assets/Liabilities presented in the Statement of Financial Position | Financial Instruments | Collateral Posted | Net Amount |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--|-----------------------|-------------------|------------|
| December 31, 2015 | | | | | | |
| Derivative Assets | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | \$ 38,567 | \$— | \$ 38,567 | \$(198) | \$— | \$38,369 |
| Foreign currency derivative | 196 | — | 196 | — | — | 196 |
| Derivative Liabilities | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | \$ 40,238 | \$— | \$ 40,238 | \$(198) | \$(40,040) | \$— |
| Foreign currency derivative | 305 | — | 305 | — | — | 305 |
| December 31, 2014 | | | | | | |
| Derivative Assets | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | \$ 26,327 | \$— | \$ 26,327 | \$(131) | \$— | \$26,196 |
| Foreign currency derivative | 565 | — | 565 | — | — | 565 |
| Derivative Liabilities | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | \$ 28,158 | \$— | \$ 28,158 | \$(131) | \$(28,027) | \$— |
| Foreign currency derivative | 103 | — | 103 | — | — | 103 |

Note 21 – Stock Compensation and Share Repurchase Plan

On April 18, 2014, the Company completed the Merger with Sterling. The details of the conversion of Sterling common stock, stock options, and restricted stock units are included in Note 2. The conversion resulted in the issuance of 104,385,087 shares of common stock, 994,214 restricted stock units, and 439,921 stock options granted. Additionally, the 2,960,238 outstanding Sterling warrants were converted into warrants exercisable to receive 1.671 shares of Umpqua stock per warrant, with an exercise price of \$12.88 as of the merger date. In November 2014, the warrants were net exercised in full for 2,889,896 shares and \$6.6 million. As of December 31, 2014, the warrants were no longer outstanding.

At a special meeting on February 25, 2014, the Company's shareholders approved an amendment to the Company's articles of incorporation, effective on April 18, 2014, increasing the number of authorized shares of common stock to 400,000,000.

At the annual meeting on April 16, 2013, shareholders approved the Company's 2013 Incentive Plan (the "2013 Plan"), which, among other things, authorizes the issuance of equity awards to directors and employees and reserves 4,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock for issuance under the plan. With the adoption of the 2013 Plan, no additional awards will be issued from the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan or the 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan.

Stock-Based Compensation

The compensation cost related to stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units (included in salaries and employee benefits) was \$13.6 million, \$12.5 million and \$5.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, respectively. The total income tax benefit recognized related to stock-based compensation was \$5.2 million, \$4.8 million and \$1.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2014, vesting was accelerated for certain restricted stock units and stock options issued in connection with the Sterling Merger, resulting in \$2.8 million of accelerated compensation expense which was recorded in merger related expense.

As of December 31, 2015, there was \$111,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock options which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.40 years. As of December 31, 2015, there was \$8.7 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested restricted stock awards which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.13 years. As of December 31, 2015, there was \$3.9 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested restricted stock units which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.50 years, assuming the current expectation of performance conditions are met.

Table of Contents

Stock Options

The following table summarizes information about stock option activity for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

| (shares in thousands) | 2015 | | 2014 | | 2013 | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Options Outstanding | Weighted-Avg Exercise Price | Options Outstanding | Weighted-Avg Exercise Price | Options Outstanding | Weighted-Avg Exercise Price |
| Balance, beginning of period | 807 | \$ 16.80 | 981 | \$ 16.17 | 1,850 | \$ 15.37 |
| Granted/assumed | — | \$— | 440 | \$ 12.12 | — | \$— |
| Exercised | (83) | \$ 11.06 | (572) | \$ 11.93 | (515) | \$ 12.42 |
| Forfeited/expired | (252) | \$ 22.88 | (42) | \$ 19.28 | (354) | \$ 17.46 |
| Balance, end of period | 472 | \$ 14.58 | 807 | \$ 16.80 | 981 | \$ 16.17 |
| Options exercisable, end of period | 437 | \$ 14.78 | 676 | \$ 17.71 | 627 | \$ 18.86 |

The following table summarizes information about outstanding stock options issued under all plans as of December 31, 2015:

| (shares in thousands) | Options Outstanding | | | Options Exercisable | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|
| | Options Outstanding | Weighted Avg. Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Weighted Avg. Exercise Price | Options Exercisable | Weighted Avg. Exercise Price |
| Range of Exercise Prices | | | | | |
| \$9.23 to \$11.89 | 217 | 4.27 | \$11.18 | 217 | \$11.18 |
| \$11.98 to \$15.50 | 180 | 4.78 | \$13.54 | 145 | \$13.90 |
| \$26.12 to \$28.43 | 75 | 0.80 | \$26.89 | 75 | \$26.89 |
| | 472 | 3.91 | \$14.58 | 437 | \$14.78 |

The total intrinsic value (which is the amount by which the stock price exceeds the exercise price) as of December 31, 2015, was \$1.4 million for options outstanding and \$1.3 million options exercisable.

The weighted average remaining contractual term of options exercisable was 3.7 years as of December 31, 2015.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$535,000, \$3.1 million, and \$2.3 million, in the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

During the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, the amount of cash received from the exercise of stock options was \$195,000, \$4.6 million, and \$159,000 and total consideration was \$925,000, \$6.8 million, and \$6.4 million, respectively.

The fair value of each option grant is estimated as of the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. In 2014, there were stock options assumed in the Sterling Merger, however, no additional stock options were granted. There were no stock options granted in 2015 and 2013. The following weighted average assumptions were used to determine the fair value at the acquisition date of stock option grants assumed from the Sterling Merger during the year ended December 31, 2014:

| | | |
|---|--------|---|
| Dividend yield | 2014 | |
| | 3.25 | % |
| Expected life (years) | 6.8 | |
| Expected volatility | 31 | % |
| Risk-free rate | 0.91 | % |
| Weighted average fair value of options on date of grant | \$3.22 | |

The above items for 2015 and 2013 are not applicable as no stock options were granted in 2015 or 2013.

Table of Contents

Restricted Shares

The Company grants restricted stock periodically for the benefit of employees and directors. Restricted shares generally vest over a three years period, subject to time or time plus performance vesting conditions. The following table summarizes information about nonvested restricted share activity for the year ended December 31:

| (shares in thousands) | 2015 | | 2014 | | 2013 | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| | Restricted Shares Outstanding | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Restricted Shares Outstanding | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Restricted Shares Outstanding | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
| Balance, beginning of period | 1,386 | \$15.39 | 992 | \$12.79 | 763 | \$12.39 |
| Granted | 639 | \$15.83 | 839 | \$17.33 | 467 | \$13.04 |
| Vested/released | (516) | \$14.58 | (399) | \$12.42 | (153) | \$12.17 |
| Forfeited/expired | (133) | \$15.22 | (46) | \$12.99 | (85) | \$11.74 |
| Balance, end of period | 1,376 | \$16.18 | 1,386 | \$15.39 | 992 | \$12.79 |

The total fair value of restricted shares vested was \$8.6 million, \$7.1 million, and \$2.0 million, for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Restricted Stock Units

The Company granted restricted stock units as a part of the 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan for the benefit of certain executive officers. Restricted stock unit grants are subject to performance-based vesting as well as other approved vesting conditions. The total number of restricted stock units granted represents the maximum number of restricted stock units eligible to vest based upon the performance and service conditions set forth in the grant agreements.

The following table summarizes information about nonvested restricted shares outstanding at December 31:

| (shares in thousands) | 2015 | | 2014 | | 2013 | |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|
| | Restricted Stock Units Outstanding | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Restricted Stock Units Outstanding | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Restricted Stock Units Outstanding | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
| Balance, beginning of period | 675 | \$18.03 | 95 | \$10.41 | 130 | \$10.41 |
| Assumed | — | \$— | 994 | \$18.58 | — | \$— |
| Released | (254) | \$17.99 | (342) | \$16.91 | — | \$— |
| Forfeited/expired | (158) | \$18.48 | (72) | \$18.58 | (35) | \$10.42 |
| Balance, end of period | 263 | \$18.58 | 675 | \$18.03 | 95 | \$10.41 |

The total fair value of restricted stock units vested and released was \$4.4 million and \$4.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and none for the year ended December 31, 2013.

For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, the Company received income tax benefits of \$5.2 million, \$6.3 million, and \$1.7 million, respectively, related to the exercise of non-qualified employee stock options, disqualifying dispositions in the exercise of incentive stock options, the vesting of restricted shares and the vesting of restricted stock units.

For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, the Company had a net excess tax benefit resulting from tax deductions greater than the compensation cost recognized of \$552,000, \$1.2 million and \$148,000, respectively. Only cash flows from gross excess tax benefits are classified as financing cash flows.

Share Repurchase Plan- The Company's share repurchase plan, which was first approved by the Board and announced in August 2003, and amended in September 2011 authorized the repurchase of up to 15 million shares of common stock. In 2015, the Board extended the plan to run through July 31, 2017. As of December 31, 2015, a total of 11.4 million shares remained available for repurchase. The Company repurchased 571,000 shares under the repurchase plan in 2015, repurchased no shares under the repurchase plan in 2014, and repurchased 98,027 shares under the repurchase plan in 2013. The timing and amount of future repurchases will depend upon the market price for our common stock, securities laws restricting repurchases, asset growth, earnings, and our capital plan.

We also have certain stock option and restricted stock plans which provide for the payment of the option exercise price or withholding taxes by tendering previously owned or recently vested shares. During the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, there were

Table of Contents

51,693 and 161,568 shares tendered in connection with option exercises, respectively. Restricted shares cancelled to pay withholding taxes totaled 135,474 and 107,131 shares during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. There were 86,048 restricted stock units cancelled to pay withholding taxes for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 129,766 in 2014.

Note 22 – Regulatory Capital

The Company is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possible additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a material effect on the Company's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines, the Company must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Company's assets, liabilities, and certain off balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company's capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about risk components, asset risk weighting, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total capital, Tier 1 capital and Tier 1 common to risk-weighted assets (as defined in the regulations), and of Tier 1 capital to average assets (as defined in the regulations). Management believes, as of December 31, 2015, that the Company meets all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject.

Table of Contents

The Company's capital amounts and ratios, as calculated under regulatory guidelines of Basel III at December 31, 2015 and as calculated under regulatory guidelines of Basel I at December 31, 2014 are presented in the following table:

(dollars in thousands)

| | Actual | | For Capital Adequacy purposes | | To be Well Capitalized | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------|-------|---|--|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | | |
| As of December 31, 2015 | | | | | | | | |
| Total Capital | | | | | | | | |
| (to Risk Weighted Assets) | | | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$2,553,161 | 14.34 | % \$1,424,127 | 8.00 | % \$1,780,159 | 10.00 | % | |
| Umpqua Bank | \$2,368,213 | 13.32 | % \$1,422,495 | 8.00 | % \$1,778,118 | 10.00 | % | |
| Tier 1 Capital | | | | | | | | |
| (to Risk Weighted Assets) | | | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$2,073,402 | 11.65 | % \$1,068,096 | 6.00 | % \$1,424,127 | 8.00 | % | |
| Umpqua Bank | \$2,234,458 | 12.57 | % \$1,066,871 | 6.00 | % \$1,422,495 | 8.00 | % | |
| Tier 1 Common | | | | | | | | |
| (to Risk Weighted Assets) | | | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$2,020,814 | 11.35 | % \$801,072 | 4.50 | % \$1,157,104 | 6.50 | % | |
| Umpqua Bank | \$2,234,458 | 12.57 | % \$800,153 | 4.50 | % \$1,155,777 | 6.50 | % | |
| Tier 1 Capital | | | | | | | | |
| (to Average Assets) | | | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$2,073,402 | 9.73 | % \$852,091 | 4.00 | % \$1,065,114 | 5.00 | % | |
| Umpqua Bank | \$2,234,458 | 10.50 | % \$851,554 | 4.00 | % \$1,064,443 | 5.00 | % | |
| As of December 31, 2014 | | | | | | | | |
| Total Capital | | | | | | | | |
| (to Risk Weighted Assets) | | | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$2,391,267 | 15.20 | % \$1,258,198 | 8.00 | % \$1,572,747 | 10.00 | % | |
| Umpqua Bank | \$2,181,776 | 13.90 | % \$1,255,819 | 8.00 | % \$1,569,774 | 10.00 | % | |
| Tier 1 Capital | | | | | | | | |
| (to Risk Weighted Assets) | | | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$2,271,563 | 14.44 | % \$629,099 | 4.00 | % \$943,648 | 6.00 | % | |
| Umpqua Bank | \$2,062,151 | 13.14 | % \$627,910 | 4.00 | % \$941,864 | 6.00 | % | |
| Tier 1 Capital | | | | | | | | |
| (to Average Assets) | | | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$2,271,563 | 10.99 | % \$827,128 | 4.00 | % \$1,033,910 | 5.00 | % | |
| Umpqua Bank | \$2,062,151 | 9.96 | % \$828,061 | 4.00 | % \$1,035,076 | 5.00 | % | |

The Company is a registered financial holding company under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 (the "GLB Act"), and is subject to the supervision of, and regulation by, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve"). The Bank is an Oregon state chartered bank with deposits insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"), and is subject to the supervision and regulation of the FDIC and the Director of the Oregon Department of Consumer and Business Services, administered through the Division of Finance and Corporate Securities, as well as to the supervision and regulation of the California, Washington, Idaho, and Nevada banking regulators. As of December 31, 2015, the most recent notification from the FDIC categorized the Bank as "well-capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Bank's regulatory capital category.

On July 2, 2013, the federal banking regulators approved the final proposed rules that revise the regulatory capital rules to incorporate certain revisions by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision to the Basel capital framework ("Basel III"). The phase-in period for the final rules began for the Company on January 1, 2015, with full compliance

with the final rules entire requirement phased in on January 1, 2019.

Table of Contents

The final rules, among other things, include a new common equity Tier 1 capital ("CET1") to risk-weighted assets ratio, including a capital conservation buffer, which will gradually increase from 4.5% on January 1, 2015 to 7.0% on January 1, 2019. The final rules also raise the minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets from 4.0% to 6.0% on January 1, 2015 to 8.5% on January 1, 2019, as well as require a minimum leverage ratio of 4.0%.

Under the final rule, as Umpqua grew above \$15.0 billion in assets as a result of an acquisition, the combined trust preferred security debt issuances are required to be phased out of Tier 1 and into Tier 2 capital (75% starting in the first quarter of 2015 and 100% starting in the first quarter of 2016). It is possible the Company may accelerate redemption of the existing junior subordinated debentures. This could result in adjustments to the carrying value of these instruments, including the acceleration of losses on junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value within non-interest income. The Company currently does not intend to redeem the junior subordinated debentures in order to support regulatory total capital levels.

The final rules also provide for a number of adjustments to and deductions from the new CET1. Under Basel III, the effects of certain accumulated other comprehensive items are not excluded; however, the Company and the Bank have made a one-time permanent election to continue to exclude these items in order to avoid significant variations in the level of capital depending on the impact of interest rate fluctuations on the fair value of the Company's securities portfolio. In addition, deductions include, for example, the requirement that mortgage servicing rights, certain deferred tax assets not dependent upon future taxable income and significant investments in non-consolidated financial entities be deducted from CET1 to the extent that any one such category exceeds 10% of CET1 or all such categories in the aggregate exceed 15% of CET1.

Note 23 – Fair Value Measurement

The following table presents estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, whether or not recognized or recorded at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheets:

| (in thousands) | Level | December 31, 2015 | | December 31, 2014 | |
|--|-------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
| FINANCIAL ASSETS: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 1 | \$773,725 | \$773,725 | \$1,605,171 | \$1,605,171 |
| Trading securities | 1,2 | 9,586 | 9,586 | 9,999 | 9,999 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 2 | 2,522,539 | 2,522,539 | 2,298,555 | 2,298,555 |
| Investment securities held to maturity | 3 | 4,609 | 5,590 | 5,211 | 5,554 |
| Loans held for sale, at fair value | 2 | 363,275 | 363,275 | 286,802 | 286,802 |
| Loans and leases, net | 3 | 16,717,038 | 16,641,903 | 15,211,565 | 15,252,083 |
| Restricted equity securities | 1 | 46,949 | 46,949 | 119,334 | 119,334 |
| Residential mortgage servicing rights | 3 | 131,817 | 131,817 | 117,259 | 117,259 |
| Bank owned life insurance assets | 1 | 291,892 | 291,892 | 294,296 | 294,296 |
| FDIC indemnification asset | 3 | 855 | 201 | 4,417 | 2,058 |
| Derivatives | 2,3 | 43,549 | 43,549 | 29,775 | 29,775 |
| Visa Class B common stock | 3 | — | 58,751 | — | 49,663 |
| FINANCIAL LIABILITIES: | | | | | |
| Deposits | 1,2 | \$17,707,189 | \$17,709,555 | \$16,892,099 | \$16,893,890 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 2 | 304,560 | 304,560 | 313,321 | 313,321 |
| Term debt | 2 | 888,769 | 890,852 | 1,006,395 | 1,018,948 |
| | 3 | 255,457 | 255,457 | 249,294 | 249,294 |

Junior subordinated debentures, at fair value

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| Junior subordinated debentures, at amortized cost | 3 | 101,254 | 75,654 | 101,576 | 73,840 |
| Derivatives | 2 | 41,514 | 41,514 | 30,888 | 30,888 |

117

Table of Contents

Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities Measured on a Recurring Basis

The following tables present information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

| (in thousands) Description | December 31, 2015 | | | |
|--|-------------------|---------|-------------|-----------|
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Trading securities | | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$75 | \$— | \$75 | \$— |
| Equity securities | 9,511 | 9,511 | — | — |
| Investment securities available for sale | | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 313,117 | — | 313,117 | — |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 2,207,420 | — | 2,207,420 | — |
| Investments in mutual funds and other equity securities | 2,002 | — | 2,002 | — |
| Loans held for sale, at fair value | 363,275 | — | 363,275 | — |
| Residential mortgage servicing rights, at fair value | 131,817 | — | — | 131,817 |
| Derivatives | | | | |
| Interest rate lock commitments | 3,631 | — | — | 3,631 |
| Interest rate forward sales commitments | 1,155 | — | 1,155 | — |
| Interest rate swaps | 38,567 | — | 38,567 | — |
| Foreign currency derivative | 196 | — | 196 | — |
| Total assets measured at fair value | \$3,070,766 | \$9,511 | \$2,925,807 | \$135,448 |
| Junior subordinated debentures, at fair value | \$255,457 | \$— | \$— | \$255,457 |
| Derivatives | | | | |
| Interest rate forward sales commitments | 971 | — | 971 | — |
| Interest rate swaps | 40,238 | — | 40,238 | — |
| Foreign currency derivative | 305 | — | 305 | — |
| Total liabilities measured at fair value | \$296,971 | \$— | \$41,514 | \$255,457 |

Table of Contents

| (in thousands) Description | December 31, 2014 | | | |
|--|-------------------|----------|--------------|------------|
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Trading securities | | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 124 | \$— | \$ 124 | \$— |
| Equity securities | 5,283 | 5,283 | — | — |
| Other investments securities(1) | 4,592 | — | 4,592 | — |
| Investment securities available for sale | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and agencies | 229 | — | 229 | — |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 338,404 | — | 338,404 | — |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 1,957,852 | — | 1,957,852 | — |
| Investments in mutual funds and other equity securities | 2,070 | — | 2,070 | — |
| Loans held for sale, at fair value | 286,802 | — | 286,802 | — |
| Residential mortgage servicing rights, at fair value | 117,259 | — | — | 117,259 |
| Derivatives | | | | |
| Interest rate lock commitments | 2,867 | — | — | 2,867 |
| Interest rate forward sales commitments | 16 | — | 16 | — |
| Interest rate swaps | 26,327 | — | 26,327 | — |
| Foreign currency derivative | 565 | — | 565 | — |
| Total assets measured at fair value | \$ 2,742,390 | \$ 5,283 | \$ 2,616,981 | \$ 120,126 |
| Junior subordinated debentures, at fair value | \$ 249,294 | \$— | \$— | \$ 249,294 |
| Derivatives | | | | |
| Interest rate forward sales commitments | 2,627 | — | 2,627 | — |
| Interest rate swaps | 28,158 | — | 28,158 | — |
| Foreign currency derivative | 103 | — | 103 | — |
| Total liabilities measured at fair value | \$ 280,182 | \$— | \$ 30,888 | \$ 249,294 |

(1) Principally represents U.S. Treasury and agencies or residential mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by governmental agencies.

The following methods were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument above:

Cash and Cash Equivalents - For short-term instruments, including cash and due from banks, and interest bearing deposits with banks, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Securities - Fair values for investment securities are based on quoted market prices when available or through the use of alternative approaches, such as matrix or model pricing, or broker indicative bids, when market quotes are not readily accessible or available. Management periodically reviews the pricing information received from the third-party pricing service and compares it to a secondary pricing service, evaluating significant price variances between services to determine an appropriate estimate of fair value to report.

Loans Held for Sale - Fair value is determined based on quoted secondary market prices for similar loans, including the implicit fair value of embedded servicing rights.

Loans and Leases - Fair values are estimated for portfolios of loans with similar financial characteristics. Loans are segregated by type, including commercial, real estate and consumer loans. Each loan category is further segregated by fixed and adjustable rate loans. The fair value of loans is calculated by discounting expected cash flows at rates which similar loans are currently being made. These amounts are discounted further by embedded probable losses expected to be realized in the portfolio.

Restricted Equity Securities - The carrying value of restricted equity securities approximates fair value as the shares can only be redeemed by the issuing institution at par.

Residential Mortgage Servicing Rights - The fair value of MSR is estimated using a discounted cash flow model. Assumptions used include market discount rates, anticipated prepayment speeds, delinquency and foreclosure rates, and ancillary fee income net of servicing costs. This model is periodically validated by an independent external model validation group. The model assumptions and

Table of Contents

the MSR fair value estimates are also compared to observable trades of similar portfolios as well as to MSR broker valuations and industry surveys, as available. Management believes the significant inputs utilized are indicative of those that would be used by market participants.

Bank Owned Life Insurance Assets - Fair values of insurance policies owned are based on the insurance contract's cash surrender value.

FDIC Indemnification Asset - The FDIC indemnification asset is calculated as the expected future cash flows under the loss-share agreement discounted by a rate reflective of the creditworthiness of the FDIC as would be required from the market.

Visa Class B Common Stock - The fair value of Visa Class B common stock is estimated by applying a 5% discount to the value of the unredeemed Class A equivalent shares. The discount primarily represents the risk related to the further potential reduction of the conversion ratio between Class B and Class A shares and a liquidity risk premium.

Deposits - The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as non-interest bearing deposits, savings and interest checking accounts, and money market accounts, is equal to the amount payable on demand. The fair value of certificates of deposit is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is estimated using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Securities Sold under Agreements to Repurchase - For short-term instruments, including securities sold under agreements to repurchase and federal funds purchased, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Term Debt - The fair value of term notes is calculated based on the discounted value of the contractual cash flows using current rates at which such borrowings can currently be obtained.

Junior Subordinated Debentures - The fair value of junior subordinated debentures is estimated using an income approach valuation technique. The significant inputs utilized in the estimation of fair value of these instruments are the credit risk adjusted spread and three month LIBOR. The credit risk adjusted spread represents the nonperformance risk of the liability, contemplating the inherent risk of the obligation. The Company periodically validates the reasonableness of the fair value. The ending carrying (fair) value of the junior subordinated debentures measured at fair value represents the estimated amount that would be paid to transfer these liabilities in an orderly transaction amongst market participants. Due to credit concerns in the capital markets and inactivity in the trust preferred markets that have limited the observability of market spreads, we have classified this as a Level 3 fair value measure.

Derivative Instruments - The fair value of the interest rate lock commitments and forward sales commitments are estimated using quoted or published market prices for similar instruments, adjusted for factors such as pull-through rate assumptions based on historical information, where appropriate. The pull-through rate assumptions are considered Level 3 valuation inputs and are significant to the interest rate lock commitment valuation; as such, the interest rate lock commitment derivatives are classified as Level 3. The fair value of the interest rate swaps is determined using a discounted cash flow technique incorporating credit valuation adjustments to reflect nonperformance risk in the measurement of fair value. Although the Bank has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its interest rate swap derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the CVA associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties. However, as of December 31, 2015, the Bank has assessed the significance of the impact of the CVA on the overall valuation of its interest rate swap positions and has determined that the CVA are not significant to the overall valuation of its interest rate swap derivatives. As a result, the Bank has classified its interest rate swap derivative valuations in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Table of Contents

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)

The following table provides a description of the valuation technique, significant unobservable input, and qualitative information about the unobservable inputs for the Company's assets and liabilities classified as Level 3 and measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2015:

| Financial Instrument | Valuation Technique | Unobservable Input | Weighted Average |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| Residential mortgage servicing rights | Discounted cash flow | Constant Prepayment Rate | 11.70% |
| | | Discount Rate | 9.68% |
| Interest rate lock commitment | Internal Pricing Model | Pull-through rate | 86.1% |
| | | Credit Spread | 5.84% |
| Junior subordinated debentures | Discounted cash flow | | |
| | | | |

Generally, any significant increases in the constant prepayment rate and discount rate utilized in the fair value measurement of the residential mortgage servicing rights will result in negative fair value adjustments (and a decrease in the fair value measurement). Conversely, a decrease in the constant prepayment rate and discount rate will result in a positive fair value adjustment (and increase in the fair value measurement).

An increase in the pull-through rate utilized in the fair value measurement of the interest rate lock commitment derivative will result in positive fair value adjustments (and an increase in the fair value measurement.) Conversely, a decrease in the pull-through rate will result in a negative fair value adjustment (and a decrease in the fair value measurement.)

Management believes that the credit risk adjusted spread utilized in the fair value measurement of the junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value is indicative of the nonperformance risk premium a willing market participant would require under current market conditions, that is, the inactive market. Management attributes the change in fair value of the junior subordinated debentures during the period to market changes in the nonperformance expectations and pricing of this type of debt, and not as a result of changes to our entity-specific credit risk. The widening of the credit risk adjusted spread above the Company's contractual spreads has primarily contributed to the positive fair value adjustments. Future contractions in the credit risk adjusted spread relative to the spread currently utilized to measure the Company's junior subordinated debentures at fair value as of December 31, 2015, or the passage of time, will result in negative fair value adjustments. Generally, an increase in the credit risk adjusted spread and/or a decrease in the three month LIBOR swap curve will result in positive fair value adjustments (and decrease the fair value measurement). Conversely, a decrease in the credit risk adjusted spread and/or an increase in the three month LIBOR swap curve will result in negative fair value adjustments (and increase the fair value measurement).

Table of Contents

The following table provides a reconciliation of assets and liabilities measured at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) on a recurring basis during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.

| (in thousands) | Beginning Balance | Change included in earnings | Purchases and issuances | Sales and settlements | Ending Balance | Net change in unrealized gains or (losses) relating to items held at end of period |
|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--|
| 2015 | | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage servicing rights, at fair value | \$ 117,259 | \$(20,726) | \$35,284 | \$— | \$ 131,817 | \$(14,270) |
| Interest rate lock commitment | 2,867 | 851 | 47,764 | (47,851) | 3,631 | 3,631 |
| Junior subordinated debentures, at fair value | 249,294 | 16,005 | — | (9,842) | 255,457 | 16,005 |
| 2014 | | | | | | |
| Residential mortgage servicing rights, at fair value | \$47,765 | \$(16,587) | \$86,081 | \$— | \$ 117,259 | \$(13,430) |
| Interest rate lock commitment | 706 | (3,716) | 28,350 | (22,473) | 2,867 | 2,867 |
| Junior subordinated debentures, at fair value | 87,274 | 12,303 | 156,840 | (7,123) | 249,294 | 12,303 |

Gains (losses) on residential MSR carried at fair value are recorded in residential mortgage banking revenue within non-interest income. Gains (losses) on interest rate lock commitments carried at fair value are recorded in residential mortgage banking revenue within non-interest income. Gains (losses) on junior subordinated debentures carried at fair value are recorded within other non-interest income. The contractual interest expense on the junior subordinated debentures is recorded on an accrual basis as interest on junior subordinated debentures within interest expense. Settlements related to the junior subordinated debentures represent the payment of accrued interest that is embedded in the fair value of these liabilities.

Additionally, from time to time, certain assets are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. These adjustments to fair value generally result from the application of lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or write-downs of individual assets due to impairment.

Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

The following table presents information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis for which a nonrecurring change in fair value has been recorded during the reporting period. The amounts disclosed below represent the fair values at the time the nonrecurring fair value measurements were made, and not necessarily the fair value as of the dates reported upon.

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2015 | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|-----------|
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Loans and leases | \$24,690 | \$— | \$— | \$24,690 |
| Other real estate owned | 802 | — | — | 802 |
| | \$25,492 | \$— | \$— | \$25,492 |
| (in thousands) | December 31, 2014 | | | |
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Loans and leases | \$ 14,720 | \$— | \$— | \$ 14,720 |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------|-----|-----|----------|
| Other real estate owned | 12,741 | — | — | 12,741 |
| | \$27,461 | \$— | \$— | \$27,461 |

122

Table of Contents

The following table presents the losses resulting from nonrecurring fair value adjustments for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

| (in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Loans and leases | \$29,083 | \$10,265 | \$27,171 |
| Other real estate owned | 2,782 | 3,728 | 2,160 |
| Total loss from nonrecurring measurements | \$31,865 | \$13,993 | \$29,331 |

The following provides a description of the valuation technique and inputs for the Company's assets and liabilities classified as Level 3 and measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Unobservable inputs and qualitative information about the unobservable inputs are not presented as the fair value is determined by third-party information. The loans and leases amount above represents impaired, collateral dependent loans that have been adjusted to fair value. When we identify a collateral dependent loan as impaired, we measure the impairment using the current fair value of the collateral, less selling costs. Depending on the characteristics of a loan, the fair value of collateral is generally estimated by obtaining external appraisals. If we determine that the value of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, we recognize this impairment and adjust the carrying value of the loan to fair value through the allowance for loan and lease losses. The loss represents charge-offs or impairments on collateral dependent loans for fair value adjustments based on the fair value of collateral. The carrying value of loans fully charged-off is zero.

The other real estate owned amount above represents impaired real estate that has been adjusted to fair value. Other real estate owned represents real estate which the Bank has taken control of in partial or full satisfaction of loans. At the time of foreclosure, other real estate owned is recorded at the lower of the carrying amount of the loan or fair value less costs to sell, which becomes the property's new basis. Any write-downs based on the asset's fair value at the date of acquisition are charged to the allowance for loan and lease losses. After foreclosure, management periodically performs valuations such that the real estate is carried at the lower of its new cost basis or fair value, net of estimated costs to sell. Fair value adjustments on other real estate owned are recognized within net loss on real estate owned. The loss represents impairments on other real estate owned for fair value adjustments based on the fair value of the real estate.

Fair Value Option

The following table presents the difference between the aggregate fair value and the aggregate unpaid principal balance of loans held for sale accounted for under the fair value option as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2015 | | | December 31, 2014 | | |
|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|--|-------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| | Fair Value | Aggregate Unpaid Principal Balance | Fair Value Less Aggregate Unpaid Principal Balance | Fair Value | Aggregate Unpaid Principal Balance | Fair Value Less Aggregate Unpaid Principal Balance |
| Loans held for sale | \$363,275 | \$351,414 | \$11,861 | \$286,802 | \$274,245 | \$12,557 |

Residential mortgage loans held for sale accounted for under the fair value option are measured initially at fair value with subsequent changes in fair value recognized in earnings. Gains and losses from such changes in fair value are reported as a component of residential mortgage banking revenue, net in the Consolidated Statements of Income. For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, the Company recorded a net decrease of \$696,000, a net increase of \$6.4 million, and a net decrease of \$14.5 million, respectively, representing the change in fair value reflected in earnings.

There were no nonaccrual mortgage loans held for sale or mortgage loans held for sale 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.

The Company selected the fair value measurement option for existing junior subordinated debentures (the Umpqua Statutory Trusts) and for junior subordinated debentures acquired from Sterling. The remaining junior subordinated debentures were acquired through previous business combinations and were measured at fair value at the time of acquisition and subsequently measured at amortized cost.

Table of Contents

Accounting for the selected junior subordinated debentures at fair value enables us to more closely align our financial performance with the economic value of those liabilities. Additionally, we believe it improves our ability to manage the market and interest rate risks associated with the junior subordinated debentures. The junior subordinated debentures measured at fair value and amortized cost are presented as separate line items on the balance sheet. The ending carrying (fair) value of the junior subordinated debentures measured at fair value represents the estimated amount that would be paid to transfer these liabilities in an orderly transaction amongst market participants under current market conditions as of the measurement date.

Due to inactivity in the junior subordinated debenture market and the lack of observable quotes of our, or similar, junior subordinated debenture liabilities or the related trust preferred securities when traded as assets, we utilize an income approach valuation technique to determine the fair value of these liabilities using our estimation of market discount rate assumptions. The Company monitors activity in the trust preferred and related markets, to the extent available, changes related to the current and anticipated future interest rate environment, and considers our entity-specific creditworthiness, to validate the reasonableness of the credit risk adjusted spread and effective yield utilized in our discounted cash flow model. Regarding the activity in and condition of the junior subordinated debt market, we noted no observable changes in the current period as it relates to companies comparable to our size and condition, in either the primary or secondary markets. Relating to the interest rate environment, we considered the change in slope and shape of the forward LIBOR swap curve in the current period, the effects of which did not result in a significant change in the fair value of these liabilities.

Note 24 – Earnings Per Common Share

The following is a computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

| (in thousands, except per share data) | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|------------|------------|-----------|
| NUMERATORS: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 222,539 | \$ 147,658 | \$ 98,291 |
| Less: | | | |
| Dividends and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities (1) | 357 | 484 | 788 |
| Net earnings available to common shareholders | \$ 222,182 | \$ 147,174 | \$ 97,503 |
| DENOMINATORS: | | | |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding - basic | 220,327 | 186,550 | 111,938 |
| Effect of potentially dilutive common shares (2) | 718 | 994 | 238 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding - diluted | 221,045 | 187,544 | 112,176 |
| EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.01 | \$ 0.79 | \$ 0.87 |
| Diluted | \$ 1.01 | \$ 0.78 | \$ 0.87 |

(1) Represents dividends paid and undistributed earnings allocated to nonvested restricted stock awards.

(2) Represents the effect of the assumed exercise of stock options, vesting of non-participating restricted shares, and vesting of restricted stock units, based on the treasury stock method.

The following table presents the weighted average outstanding securities that were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per common share because their effect would be anti-dilutive for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

| (in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|----------------|------|------|------|
|----------------|------|------|------|

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|------------------|----|-----|-----|
| Stock options | 95 | 323 | 669 |
| Restricted stock | 3 | 443 | 3 |

124

Table of Contents

Note 25 – Segment Information

The Company operates two primary segments: Community Banking and Home Lending. The Community Banking segment's principal business focus is the offering of loan and deposit products to business and retail customers in its primary market areas. As of December 31, 2015, the Community Banking segment operated 382 locations throughout Oregon, California, Washington, Idaho, and Nevada.

The Home Lending segment, which operates as a division of the Bank, originates, sells and services residential mortgage loans.

In the second quarter of 2014, the Company combined its Wealth Management segment into the Community Banking segment as Wealth Management no longer met the definition of an operating segment. The segment results for comparable periods have been modified to reflect the current period presentation.

Summarized financial information concerning the Company's reportable segments and the reconciliation to the consolidated financial results is shown in the following tables:

Year Ended December 31, 2015

| (in thousands) | Community Banking | Home Lending | Consolidated |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Interest income | \$823,885 | \$105,981 | \$929,866 |
| Interest expense | 49,081 | 9,151 | 58,232 |
| Net interest income | 774,804 | 96,830 | 871,634 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 32,808 | 3,781 | 36,589 |
| Non-interest income | 130,877 | 144,847 | 275,724 |
| Non-interest expense | 646,492 | 117,150 | 763,642 |
| Income before income taxes | 226,381 | 120,746 | 347,127 |
| Provision for income taxes | 81,252 | 43,336 | 124,588 |
| Net income | 145,129 | 77,410 | 222,539 |
| Total assets | \$20,195,322 | \$3,191,883 | \$23,387,205 |
| Total loans and leases | \$14,164,743 | \$2,682,617 | \$16,847,360 |
| Total deposits | \$17,689,815 | \$17,374 | \$17,707,189 |

Year Ended December 31, 2014

| (in thousands) | Community Banking | Home Lending | Consolidated |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Interest income | \$755,374 | \$67,147 | \$822,521 |
| Interest expense | 43,077 | 5,616 | 48,693 |
| Net interest income | 712,297 | 61,531 | 773,828 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 40,241 | — | 40,241 |
| Non-interest income | 93,177 | 87,997 | 181,174 |
| Non-interest expense | 615,275 | 68,788 | 684,063 |
| Income before income taxes | 149,958 | 80,740 | 230,698 |
| Provision for income taxes | 54,427 | 28,613 | 83,040 |
| Net income | 95,531 | 52,127 | 147,658 |
| Total assets | \$20,095,189 | \$2,514,714 | \$22,609,903 |
| Total loans and leases | \$13,181,463 | \$2,146,269 | \$15,327,732 |
| Total deposits | \$16,850,682 | \$41,417 | \$16,892,099 |

Table of Contents

Year Ended December 31, 2013

(in thousands)

| | Community Banking | Home Lending | Consolidated |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Interest income | \$420,854 | \$21,992 | \$442,846 |
| Interest expense | 35,367 | 2,514 | 37,881 |
| Net interest income | 385,487 | 19,478 | 404,965 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 10,716 | — | 10,716 |
| Non-interest income | 43,556 | 79,339 | 122,895 |
| Non-interest expense | 325,743 | 38,918 | 364,661 |
| Income before income taxes | 92,584 | 59,899 | 152,483 |
| Provision for income taxes | 30,232 | 23,960 | 54,192 |
| Net income | 62,352 | 35,939 | 98,291 |
| | | | |
| Total assets | \$10,945,541 | \$687,062 | \$11,632,603 |
| Total loans and leases | \$7,196,137 | \$532,029 | \$7,728,166 |
| Total deposits | \$9,090,959 | \$26,701 | \$9,117,660 |

Note 26 – Related Party Transactions

In the ordinary course of business, the Bank has made loans to its directors and executive officers (and their associated and affiliated companies). All such loans have been made in accordance with regulatory requirements.

The following table presents a summary of aggregate activity involving related party borrowers for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

| (in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Loans outstanding at beginning of year | \$19,718 | \$13,307 | \$12,272 |
| New loans and advances | 7,165 | 11,392 | 3,584 |
| Less loan repayments | (16,506 |) (2,490 |) (2,213 |
| Reclassification ⁽¹⁾ | (75 |) (2,491 |) (336 |
| Loans outstanding at end of year | \$10,302 | \$19,718 | \$13,307 |

(1) Represents loans that were once considered related party but are no longer considered related party, or loans that were not related party that subsequently became related party loans.

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, deposits of related parties amounted to \$9.5 million and \$13.7 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Note 27 – Parent Company Financial Statements

Condensed Balance Sheets

| December 31, (in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Non-interest bearing deposits with subsidiary bank | \$91,354 | \$102,102 |
| Investments in: | | |
| Bank subsidiary | 4,132,630 | 4,050,917 |
| Nonbank subsidiaries | 44,976 | 38,776 |
| Other assets | 3,742 | 4,079 |
| Total assets | \$4,272,702 | \$4,195,874 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Payable to bank subsidiary | \$36 | \$33 |
| Other liabilities | 66,621 | 67,345 |
| Junior subordinated debentures, at fair value | 255,457 | 249,294 |
| Junior subordinated debentures, at amortized cost | 101,254 | 101,576 |
| Total liabilities | 423,368 | 418,248 |
| Shareholders' equity | 3,849,334 | 3,777,626 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$4,272,702 | \$4,195,874 |

Condensed Statements of Income

| Year Ended December 31, (in thousands) | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|-----------|-----------|----------|
| INCOME | | | |
| Dividends from subsidiaries | \$153,437 | \$250,848 | \$62,241 |
| Other income | (6,272) | (5,196) | (2,321) |
| Total income | 147,165 | 245,652 | 59,920 |
| EXPENSES | | | |
| Management fees paid to subsidiaries | 447 | 533 | 501 |
| Other expenses | 15,564 | 12,966 | 8,885 |
| Total expenses | 16,011 | 13,499 | 9,386 |
| Income before income tax benefit and equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries | 131,154 | 232,153 | 50,534 |
| Income tax benefit | (7,269) | (7,336) | (4,446) |
| Net income before equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries | 138,423 | 239,489 | 54,980 |
| Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries | 84,116 | (91,831) | 43,311 |
| Net income | 222,539 | 147,658 | 98,291 |
| Dividends and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities | 357 | 484 | 788 |
| Net earnings available to common shareholders | \$222,182 | \$147,174 | \$97,503 |

Table of Contents

Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

Year Ended December 31,

(in thousands)

OPERATING ACTIVITIES:

| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net income | \$222,539 | \$147,658 | \$98,291 |
| Adjustment to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries | (84,116) | 91,831 | (43,311) |
| Depreciation, amortization and accretion | (322) | (322) | (322) |
| Change in fair value of junior subordinated debentures | 6,163 | 5,849 | 2,193 |
| Net decrease (increase) in other assets | 617 | (6,020) | (92) |
| Net decrease in other liabilities | (2,903) | (8,708) | (1,361) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 141,978 | 230,288 | 55,398 |

INVESTING ACTIVITIES:

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|------------|----------|
| Investment in subsidiaries | (5,000) | 6 | (2,928) |
| Acquisitions | — | (102,143) | — |
| Net cash used by investing activities | (5,000) | (102,137) | (2,928) |

FINANCING ACTIVITIES:

| | | | |
|--|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Net decrease in payables to subsidiaries | — | (4) | (8,448) |
| Dividends paid on common stock | (134,618) | (99,233) | (50,767) |
| Stock repurchased | (14,589) | (7,183) | (9,356) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 1,481 | 7,692 | 6,397 |
| Net cash used by financing activities | (147,726) | (98,728) | (62,174) |

| | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Change in cash and cash equivalents | (10,748) | 29,423 | (9,704) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 102,102 | 72,679 | 82,383 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$91,354 | \$102,102 | \$72,679 |

Table of Contents

Note 28 – Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)

The following tables present the summary results for the eight quarters ending December 31, 2015:

| (in thousands, except per share information) | 2015 | | | | Four Quarters |
|--|-------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| | December 31 | September 30 | June 30 | March 31 | |
| Interest income | \$235,205 | \$233,802 | \$231,788 | \$229,071 | \$929,866 |
| Interest expense | 15,371 | 14,587 | 14,322 | 13,952 | 58,232 |
| Net interest income | 219,834 | 219,215 | 217,466 | 215,119 | 871,634 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 4,545 | 8,153 | 11,254 | 12,637 | 36,589 |
| Non-interest income | 69,345 | 61,372 | 81,102 | 63,905 | 275,724 |
| Non-interest expense | 185,911 | 183,194 | 201,918 | 192,619 | 763,642 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 98,723 | 89,240 | 85,396 | 73,768 | 347,127 |
| Provision for income taxes | 35,704 | 31,633 | 30,612 | 26,639 | 124,588 |
| Net income | 63,019 | 57,607 | 54,784 | 47,129 | 222,539 |
| Dividends and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities | 96 | 84 | 93 | 84 | 357 |
| Net earnings available to common shareholders | \$62,923 | \$57,523 | \$54,691 | \$47,045 | \$222,182 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$0.29 | \$0.26 | \$0.25 | \$0.21 | |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$0.28 | \$0.26 | \$0.25 | \$0.21 | |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$0.16 | \$0.16 | \$0.15 | \$0.15 | |
| (in thousands, except per share information) | 2014 | | | | Four Quarters |
| | December 31 | September 30 | June 30 | March 31 | |
| Interest income | \$242,151 | \$239,523 | \$224,967 | \$115,880 | \$822,521 |
| Interest expense | 14,136 | 13,807 | 12,708 | 8,042 | 48,693 |
| Net interest income | 228,015 | 225,716 | 212,259 | 107,838 | 773,828 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 5,241 | 14,333 | 14,696 | 5,971 | 40,241 |
| Non-interest income | 50,305 | 62,165 | 45,466 | 23,238 | 181,174 |
| Non-interest expense | 190,856 | 182,558 | 214,131 | 96,518 | 684,063 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 82,223 | 90,990 | 28,898 | 28,587 | 230,698 |
| Provision for income taxes | 29,641 | 32,107 | 11,356 | 9,936 | 83,040 |
| Net income | 52,582 | 58,883 | 17,542 | 18,651 | 147,658 |
| Dividends and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities | 146 | 142 | 83 | 113 | 484 |
| Net earnings available to common shareholders | \$52,436 | \$58,741 | \$17,459 | \$18,538 | \$147,174 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$0.24 | \$0.27 | \$0.09 | \$0.17 | |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$0.24 | \$0.27 | \$0.09 | \$0.16 |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$0.15 | \$0.15 | \$0.15 | \$0.15 |

129

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

Not applicable.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

On a quarterly basis, we carry out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer, and Principal Accounting Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. As of December 31, 2015, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer, and Principal Accounting Officer, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in timely alerting them to material information relating to us that is required to be included in our periodic SEC filings.

Although we change and improve our internal controls over financial reporting on an ongoing basis, we do not believe that any such changes occurred in the fourth quarter 2015 that materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

REPORT OF MANAGEMENT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The management of Umpqua Holdings Corporation is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Company's internal control system is designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management and Board of Directors regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

- Pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the Company's assets;

- Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with the authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and

- Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015. In making this assessment, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013). Based on our assessment and those criteria, we believe that, as of December 31, 2015, the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting. The Company's independent registered public accounting firm has audited the Company's consolidated financial statements that are included in this annual report and the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015 and issued their Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, appearing under Item 8. The attestation report expresses an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015.

February 25, 2016

Table of Contents

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION.

Not Applicable

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The response to this item is incorporated by reference to Umpqua's Proxy Statement for the 2016 annual meeting of shareholders under the captions "Item 1. Election of Directors," "Information About Executive Officers," "Corporate Governance Overview" and "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance."

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

The response to this item is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement, under the captions "Director Compensation," "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," "Compensation Committee Report," and "Compensation Tables."

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The response to this item is set forth in Part II, Item 5, "Equity Compensation Plan Information" of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement, under the caption "Security Ownership of Management and Others."

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The response to this item is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement, under the captions "Item 1. Election of Directors" and "Related Party Transactions."

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES.

The response to this item is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement, Item 2-Ratification of Auditor Appointment under the caption "Item 3. Ratification (Non-Binding) of Registered Public Accounting Firm Appointment - Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm."

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.

(1) Financial Statements:

The consolidated financial statements are included as Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules:

All schedules have been omitted because the information is not required, not applicable, not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule, or is included in the financial statements or notes thereto.

(3) The exhibits filed as part of this report and incorporated herein by reference to other documents are listed on the Exhibit Index to this annual report on Form 10-K, immediately following the signatures.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Umpqua Holdings Corporation has duly caused this Form 10-K to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on February 25, 2016.

UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORPORATION (Registrant)

| | | |
|---|---|---------------------------|
| /s/ Raymond P. Davis Raymond P. Davis, President and Chief Executive Officer | | February 25, 2016 |
| Signature /s/ Raymond P. Davis Raymond P. Davis | Title President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer) | Date February 25, 2016 |
| /s/ Ronald L. Farnsworth Ronald L. Farnsworth | Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) | February 25, 2016 |
| /s/ Neal T. McLaughlin Neal T. McLaughlin | Executive Vice President, Treasurer (Principal Accounting Officer) | February 25, 2016 |
| /s/ Ellen R.M. Boyer Ellen R.M. Boyer | Director | February 25, 2016 |
| /s/ Luanne Calvert Luanne Calvert | Director | February 25, 2016 |
| /s/ Robert C. Donegan Robert C. Donegan | Director | February 25, 2016 |
| /s/ Peggy Y. Fowler Peggy Y. Fowler | Director | February 25, 2016 |
| /s/ Stephen M. Gambee Stephen M. Gambee | Director | February 25, 2016 |
| /s/ James S. Greene James S. Greene | Director | February 25, 2016 |
| /s/ Luis F. Machuca Luis F. Machuca | Director | February 25, 2016 |
| /s/ Maria M. Pope Maria M. Pope | Director | February 25, 2016 |
| /s/ John F. Schultz John F. Schultz | Director | February 25, 2016 |

Table of Contents

| | | |
|--|----------|-------------------|
| /s/ Susan F. Stevens Susan F. Stevens | Director | February 25, 2016 |
| /s/ Hilliard C. Terry, III Hilliard C. Terry, III | Director | February 25, 2016 |
| /s/ Bryan L. Timm Bryan L. Timm | Director | February 25, 2016 |

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit # | Description | Location |
|-----------|---|---|
| 3.1 | Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 10-Q filed May 7, 2014 |
| 3.2 | Bylaws, as amended | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Form 8-K filed April 22, 2008 |
| 4.1 | Specimen Common Stock Certificate | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 (No. 333-77259) filed with the SEC on April 28, 1999 |
| 4.2 | The Company agrees to furnish upon request to the Commission a copy of each instrument defining the rights of holders of senior and subordinated debt of the Company. | |
| 10.1** | Third Restated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan effective April 16, 2008 between the Company and Raymond P. Davis | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K/A filed April 22, 2008 |
| 10.2** | Employment Agreement dated July 1, 2003, between the Company and Raymond P. Davis | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Form 10-Q filed August 14, 2003 |
| 10.3** | Split-Dollar Insurance Agreement dated April 16, 2008 between the Company and Raymond P. Davis | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to Form 8-K filed April 22, 2008 |
| 10.4** | 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, effective March 5, 2007 | Incorporated by reference to Appendix A to Form DEF 14A filed March 14, 2007 |
| 10.5** | Form of Employment Agreement with executive officers Farnsworth, and McLaughlin | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed March 7, 2008 |
| 10.6** | Form of First Amendment to form of Employment Agreement with executive officers Farnsworth, McLaughlin and Neal | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed January 14, 2013 |
| 10.7** | Employment Agreement dated effective March 21, 2010 between the Company and Cort O'Haver | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q filed November 4, 2010 |
| 10.8** | First Amendment to Employment Agreement with Cort O'Haver dated effective December 1, 2014 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to Form 10-K filed February 23, 2015. |
| 10.9** | Employment Agreement dated effective June 1, 2010 between the Company and David Shotwell | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q filed November 4, 2010 |
| 10.10** | | Filed herewith |

Edgar Filing: UMPQUA HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-K

Umpqua Holdings Corporation 2013 Incentive Plan,
effective December 14, 2012, amended January 20,
2015

| | | |
|---------|--|--|
| 10.11** | Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement under 2013 Incentive Plan (Service Vesting) | Filed herewith |
| 10.12** | Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement under 2013 Incentive Plan (Performance Vesting) | Filed herewith |
| 10.13** | Employment Agreement, dated September 11, 2013, by and between the Company and J. Gregory Seibly | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form S-4 filed November 15, 2013 (Registration No. 333-192346) |
| 10.14** | First Amendment to Employment Agreement with J. Gregory Seibly | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q filed August 5, 2014 |
| 10.15** | Sterling Financial Corporation 2010 Long-Term Incentive Plan | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 of Sterling Financial Corporation filed December 9, 2010 |
| 10.16** | Employment Agreement between the Company and Andrew Ognall dated as of May 1, 2014 | Filed herewith |
| 12.0 | Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges | Filed herewith |
| 21.1 | Subsidiaries of the Registrant | Filed herewith |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit # | Description | Location |
|-----------|--|----------------|
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm - Moss Adams LLP | Filed herewith |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Filed herewith |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Filed herewith |
| 31.3 | Certification of Principal Accounting Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Filed herewith |
| 32 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Filed herewith |

101.INS XBRL Instance Document *

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document *

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document *

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document *

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document *

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document *

* Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

**Indicates compensatory plan or arrangement