

KVH INDUSTRIES INC \DE\
Form DEF 14A
April 25, 2018

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

**Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934
(Amendment No.)**

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, For Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under Rule 14a-12

KVH INDUSTRIES, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

Edgar Filing: KVH INDUSTRIES INC \DE\ - Form DEF 14A

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

KVH Industries, Inc.
50 Enterprise Center
Middletown, RI 02842

April 26, 2018

Dear Fellow Stockholder:

We are pleased to invite you to attend the KVH 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The meeting will take place on Tuesday, June 5, 2018 at 11:00 a.m. local time in our world headquarters at 50 Enterprise Center, Middletown, Rhode Island.

Our strategy focuses on delivering performance and creating a competitive advantage for growing markets by integrating hardware, software and service in seamless ways that delight our customers. We deliver high performance mobile connectivity and inertial navigation products and services for maritime operation optimization and crew welfare, satellite TV for leisure or land customers, and autonomous applications focused on accuracy. We intend to continue to enhance our current products and services and expand our offerings to support this strategy and transform KVH into growth. We are confident that we have in place a highly capable management team and group of directors who are well qualified to oversee the success of the business and to represent all stockholders. We believe that our current strategy will create significant long-term value for KVH stockholders.

In addition to this proxy statement, we encourage you to read our 2017 Annual Report for a more complete picture of our performance and how we are working to increase stockholder value.

Finally, we encourage you to vote regardless of the size of your share holdings. Every vote is important, and your participation helps us do a better job of listening and acting on what matters to you as a stockholder. You can vote over the Internet, by telephone, or by mailing a completed proxy card as outlined in the accompanying proxy statement.

On behalf of all of us at KVH, we want to thank you for your continued support and ownership of KVH. I hope to see you at the annual meeting.

Sincerely,

Martin A. Kits van Heyningen
*President, Chief Executive Officer and
Chairman of the Board of Directors*

Table of Contents

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Date and Time: Tuesday, June 5, 2018 at 11:00 a.m.

Place: The Offices of KVH Industries, Inc.
World Headquarters
50 Enterprise Center
Middletown, Rhode Island

Items of Business:

Proposal 1: To vote upon the election of two Class I directors to a three-year term;

Proposal 2: To approve, in a non-binding "say on pay" vote, the compensation of our named executive officers;

Proposal 3: To ratify the appointment of Grant Thornton LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm; and

To transact such further business as may properly come before the annual meeting or any adjournment of the meeting.

Record Date: Our Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on Friday, April 13, 2018, as the record date for the determination of the stockholders entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the annual meeting and any adjournment of the meeting. Only stockholders of record on April 13, 2018 are entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the annual meeting or any adjournment of the meeting.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Felise Feingold
Secretary

April 26, 2018

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT

Mail

Please sign and return the enclosed proxy, whether

Telephone

Use the toll-free telephone number on

Internet

Visit the website noted on your proxy card to vote

In Person

Attend the meeting in person.

or not you plan to attend your proxy card to vote via the Internet.
the annual meeting. by telephone.

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials
for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be Held on June 5, 2018**

This proxy statement and our 2017 annual report to stockholders are available on the Internet at
www.kvh.com/annual.

You can read, print, download and search these materials at that website.
The website does not use "cookies" or other tracking devices to identify visitors.

You can obtain directions to be able to attend the meeting and vote in person at www.kvh.com/annual.

None of the information on our website or elsewhere on the Internet forms a part of this proxy statement or is
incorporated by reference into this proxy statement.

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<u>Proxy Summary</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Questions and Answers About the Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Voting</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Proposal 1 Election of Directors</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Proposal 2 Non-Binding "Say on Pay" Vote</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>Proposal 3 Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>Directors and Executive Officers</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Compensation of Directors and Executive Officers</u>	<u>17</u>
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	<u>17</u>
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	<u>25</u>
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested During 2017</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>Pay Ratio Disclosure</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>Director Compensation</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Director Compensation Table</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Outstanding Director Equity Awards</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Equity Compensation Plans</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Board of Directors and Committees of the Board</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Director Independence</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Board Meetings</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Board Leadership Structure</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Risk Management</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Board Committees</u>	<u>36</u>

Edgar Filing: KVH INDUSTRIES INC \DE\ - Form DEF 14A

<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Director Candidates and Selection Processes</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Corporate Governance</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>Communications with our Board of Directors</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>Code of Ethics</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>Certain Relationships and Related-Party Transactions</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Fees for Professional Services</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Stockholder Proposals</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Available Information</u>	<u>42</u>

Table of Contents

PROXY SUMMARY

The proxy summary is an overview of information that you will find elsewhere in this proxy statement and our 2017 annual report. As this section is only a summary, we encourage you to read the entire proxy statement for more information about these topics before you vote.

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Tuesday, **June 5, 2018** at 11:00 a.m.

The Offices of KVH Industries, Inc.
World Headquarters
50 Enterprise Center
Middletown, Rhode Island

VOTING MATTERS

1. Election of Directors	FOR the Nominees	7
2. Non-Binding "Say on Pay" Vote	FOR	9
3. Ratification of Appointment of Independent Public Accounting Firm	FOR	11

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The following table provides summary information about our nominees for election to the Board as Class I Directors. Additional information for all Directors, including the nominees, may be found on pages 7 and 12-13.

Mark S. Ain	75	1997	Yes	Audit Committee Compensation Committee Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee
Stanley K. Honey	63	1997	Yes	Audit Committee Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

NON-BINDING "SAY ON PAY" VOTE

We are asking our stockholders to approve, in a non-binding vote, the compensation awarded to our named executive officers for 2017. As described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors oversees our executive compensation program, which is designed to motivate our executives to increase profitability and stockholder returns, to tie pay to performance effectively, and to compete effectively for and retain managerial talent.

Edgar Filing: KVH INDUSTRIES INC \DE\ - Form DEF 14A

We are asking our stockholders to indicate their support for our named executive officer compensation. We believe the information we have provided in this proxy statement demonstrates that our executive compensation program was designed appropriately and is working to ensure that management's interests are aligned with our stockholders' interests to support long-term value creation.

This "say on pay" vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our named executive officers and the philosophy, policies and procedures described in this proxy statement. While this vote

Table of Contents

PROXY SUMMARY

is advisory and not binding, the Board and the Compensation Committee will consider the outcome of the vote, along with other relevant factors, when considering future executive compensation decisions.

Additional information regarding the non-binding "say on pay" vote may be found on pages 9 to 10.

COMMITMENT TO GOOD CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Our Board of Directors monitors best practices in governance and adopts measures it determines to be in the best interest of stockholders. Highlights of our governance practices include:

- ü Our directors are elected by majority voting for three-year terms
- ü We have a majority of independent directors
- ü All of the members of our audit, compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees are independent
- ü We have appointed a lead independent director to serve as a liason between our independent directors and our Chairman of the Board, who is our President and Chief Executive Officer
- ü Executive sessions of independent directors are held at each regularly scheduled Board meeting
- ü Our independent directors attended 100% of the meetings of the Board in 2017
- ü We rotated our independent registered public accounting firm in June 2014
- ü We conduct a stockholder vote to ratify the selection of our independent registered public accounting firm
- ü The majority of director compensation is in the form of KVH common stock
- ü We have a strong pay-for-performance executive compensation philosophy
- ü We conduct annual non-binding "say on pay" votes regarding our executive compensation program
- ü We do not have any executive employment agreements or change in control agreements
- ü Our independent compensation consultant is hired by the compensation committee
- ü We conduct annual board and committee self-assessments

Table of Contents

PROXY SUMMARY

2017 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION HIGHLIGHTS

The 2017 compensation program for our named executive officers was comprised of three elements – base salary, cash-based incentive compensation and annual equity grants. We believe the compensation program for our named executive officers included key features that aligned the interests of our executives with KVH's business strategies and goals and stockholders' interests.

What We Do

What We Don't Do

<p>ii</p> <p>Offer competitive compensation that attracts and retains executive talent</p> <p>ii</p> <p>Align the interests of our named executive officers with those of our stockholders and reward the creation of long-term value for KVH stockholders through equity grants</p> <p>ii</p> <p>Emphasize variable performance-based compensation over fixed compensation</p> <p>ii</p> <p>Align payout of annual incentives to drivers of stockholder value, such as revenue and adjusted EBITDA</p> <p>ii</p> <p>Balance the importance of achieving long-term strategic priorities and critical short-term goals linked to long-term objectives</p> <p>ii</p> <p>Align individual performance goals with our business strategy</p> <p>ii</p> <p>Cap incentive payments</p> <p>ii</p> <p>Benchmark compensation against that of a broad group of companies</p> <p>ii</p> <p>Cash and equity awards under our 2016 Equity and Incentive Plan have clawback provisions</p>	<p>No long-term employment agreements or change of control agreements</p> <p>No guaranteed severance programs</p> <p>No tax gross-ups</p> <p>No guaranteed salary increases</p> <p>No guaranteed bonuses</p> <p>No cash-based incentive compensation programs that are not tied to performance</p> <p>No repricing of stock options or stock appreciation rights</p> <p>No discounted stock options or stock appreciation rights</p>
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Compensation Mix

Compensation mix for our named executive officers during 2017 reflects these objectives, as shown in the chart. The percentages shown represent percentages of average total target compensation, not average total actual compensation, and as a result do not match the percentages calculable from actual compensation reflected in the Summary Compensation Table on page 26. Fixed pay represents the sum of salary, holiday bonus and perquisites; variable pay represents equity awards and non-equity incentive plan compensation.

Table of Contents

PROXY SUMMARY

Non-equity incentive compensation for our named executive officers for 2017 was based on the Compensation Committee's assessment of the degree of achievement of both corporate and business unit performance goals and individual performance goals for that year. We did not achieve the minimum threshold for our corporate target for adjusted EBITDA, and we achieved 89% of our target for business unit profit for our mobile broadband business unit. We did not achieve our corporate performance goal for revenue growth or our mobile broadband business unit performance goal for revenue growth. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee did not make any awards with respect to the portion of each named executive officer's incentive compensation target attributable to corporate performance and, based on the sliding scale used in our 2017 plan, awarded 81% of the portion of the one named executive officer's incentive compensation target attributable to mobile broadband business unit performance. Considering discretionary awards for individual performance, the cash-based incentive compensation actually received by our CEO for 2017 was 23% of his base salary, rather than the targeted 90% of his base salary, and the cash-based incentive compensation actually received by our other named executives ranged from approximately 9% to 39% of their respective base salaries, rather than the targeted range of 35% to 60% of their respective base salaries.

**Actual Annual Incentive Payment Versus Target
for the Chief Executive Officer
(Percentage of Base Salary)**

**Actual Annual Incentive Payment Versus Target
for other Named Executive Officers
(Average Percentage of Base Salary)**

Table of Contents

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS AND VOTING

What is the purpose of the annual meeting?

At the annual meeting, we will submit the following proposals to our stockholders:

Proposal 1 To elect two Class I directors to a three-year term.

Proposal 2 To approve, in a non-binding "say on pay" vote, the compensation of our named executive officers.

Proposal 3 To ratify the appointment of Grant Thornton LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm. Our Board of Directors does not intend to present to the annual meeting any business other than the proposals described in this proxy statement. Our Board of Directors was not aware, a reasonable time before mailing this proxy statement to stockholders, of any other business that may be properly presented for action at the annual meeting. If any other business should come before the annual meeting, the persons present will have discretionary authority to vote the shares they own or represent by proxy in accordance with their judgment, to the extent authorized by applicable regulations.

When is the record date?

Our Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on Friday, April 13, 2018, as the record date for the annual meeting. Only stockholders of record as of the close of business on that date are entitled to receive notice of the annual meeting, and to vote at, the annual meeting. At the close of business on the record date, there were 17,506,737 shares of our common stock outstanding. Each share of common stock outstanding on the record date will be entitled to cast one vote.

What are the methods of voting?

The shares represented by your properly signed proxy card will be voted in accordance with your directions. If you do not specify a choice with respect to a proposal for which our Board of Directors has made a recommendation, the shares covered by your signed proxy card will be voted as recommended in this proxy statement. We encourage you to vote on all matters to be considered.

By signing and returning the proxy card in the enclosed envelope, you are enabling each individual named on the proxy card (known as a "proxy") to vote your shares at the meeting in the manner you indicate. We encourage you to sign and return the proxy card even if you plan to attend the meeting. In this way, your shares will be voted even if you are unable to attend the meeting. If you received more than one proxy card, it is an indication that your shares are held in multiple accounts. Please sign and return all proxy cards to ensure that all of your shares are voted.

To vote by telephone, please follow the instructions included on your proxy card. If you vote by telephone, you do not need to complete and mail your proxy card.

Edgar Filing: KVH INDUSTRIES INC \DE\ - Form DEF 14A

To vote on the Internet, please follow the instructions included on your proxy card. If you vote on the Internet, you do not need to complete and mail your proxy card.

If you plan to attend the meeting and vote in person, we will provide you with a ballot at the meeting. If your shares are registered directly in your name, you are considered the stockholder of record and you have the right to vote in person at the meeting. If your shares are held in the name of your broker or other nominee, you are considered the beneficial owner of the shares held in street name. If you wish to vote shares held in street name at the meeting, you will need to bring with you to the meeting a legal proxy from your broker or other nominee authorizing you to vote your shares.

What constitutes a quorum? What is a broker "non-vote"?

Our by-laws provide that a quorum consists of a majority of the shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the annual meeting. Shares of common stock represented by a properly signed and returned proxy (including shares properly voted by telephone or on the Internet) will be treated as present at the annual meeting for purposes of determining the existence of a quorum at the annual meeting. Abstentions and broker "non-votes" are counted as present or represented for purposes of determining the existence of a quorum at the annual meeting. A "non-vote" occurs when a broker or nominee holding shares for a beneficial owner returns a proxy but does not vote that owner's shares on a proposal because the broker or nominee does not have discretionary voting power and has not received instructions from that owner.

Table of Contents

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS AND VOTING

What vote is required for approval?

A majority of the votes properly cast at the annual meeting will be necessary to elect each Class I director to a three-year term (proposal 1), to approve, in the non-binding "say on pay" vote, the compensation of our named executive officers (proposal 2), and to approve the ratification of the appointment of Grant Thornton LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm (proposal 3). A majority of the votes properly cast at the annual meeting will be necessary to approve any other matter to be acted upon at the annual meeting. For more information on majority voting, please see "Board of Directors and Committees of the Board Corporate Governance Majority Voting."

What effect do abstentions and broker non-votes have?

Abstentions and broker "non-votes" will not be included in calculating the number of votes cast on any proposal. As a result, abstentions and broker "non-votes" will not have any effect on the outcome of the vote on any proposal.

Who will count the votes?

Our transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., will separately tabulate the votes on each matter presented to the stockholders at the annual meeting.

Who is soliciting my vote? Are they paid solicitors?

We are soliciting proxies on behalf of our Board of Directors. No compensation will be paid by any person for our solicitation of proxies. We will reimburse brokers, banks and other nominees for the out-of-pocket expenses and other reasonable clerical expenses they incur in obtaining instructions from beneficial owners of our common stock. In addition to our solicitation by mail, our directors, officers and employees may make special solicitations of proxies personally or by telephone, facsimile, courier or e-mail. We expect that the expense of any special solicitation will be nominal. We will pay all expenses incurred in connection with this solicitation.

How can a proxy be revoked?

You may revoke your proxy at any time before it is voted at the meeting. In order to revoke your proxy, you must either:

- ii sign and return another proxy card with a later date;
- ii provide written notice of the revocation of your proxy to our secretary;
- ii if you voted by Internet or telephone, by following the instructions for revocation provided by Internet or telephone; or
- ii attend the meeting and vote in person.

6 KVH Industries, Inc. 2018 Proxy Statement

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Proposal 1 concerns the election of two Class I directors for a three-year term.

Our Board of Directors currently consists of six directors and is divided into three classes. We refer to these classes as Class I, Class II and Class III. The term of one class of directors expires each year at the annual meeting of stockholders. Each director also continues to serve as a director until his or her successor is duly elected

and qualified. This year, the term of our Class I directors, Mark S. Ain and Stanley K. Honey, is expiring.

Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has nominated Messrs. Ain and Honey to serve as Class I directors for another three-year term. Our stockholders last elected Messrs. Ain and Honey at our annual meeting of stockholders in May 2015.

Director Nominees

Term Ending 2018

Mark S. Ain

Age: 75

Director

Committee Membership:

Compensation Committee Chairman

Audit Committee Member

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Chairman

Mark S. Ain has served as one of our directors since 1997, the Chairman of our Compensation Committee since 1997, a member of our Audit Committee since 2000, a member of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee since February 2004, the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee since February 2015 and the Lead Independent Director of the Board since 2012. He serves on the Board of Directors of Kronos Incorporated, which he founded in 1977 and served as CEO until 2005. Mr. Ain also serves on the Board of

Edgar Filing: KVH INDUSTRIES INC \DE\ - Form DEF 14A

Directors of Xcerra Corporation and various other private companies and charitable organizations. He received a B.S. from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and an M.B.A. from the University of Rochester. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee determined that Mr. Ain should serve as a director because of his 21 years of experience as a member of our Board of Directors combined with his executive and management experience serving as founder, chief executive officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors of Kronos Incorporated as well as his experience as a member of the Board of Directors of Xcerra Corporation and various private companies.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Stanley K. Honey

Age: 63

Director

Committee Membership:

Audit Committee Member

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Member

Stanley K. Honey has served as one of our directors since 1997 and a member of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee since February 2004. Mr. Honey was a member of the Audit Committee from 1997 to 2003 and was reappointed in February 2011. Mr. Honey served as the Director of Technology for the America's Cup Event Authority from April 2011 through December 2013, and as a consultant thereafter. From January 2004 through January 2005, Mr. Honey served as the chief scientist of Sportvision Systems, LLC, which he co-founded in November 1997. He served as president and chief technology officer of Sportvision Systems, LLC, from 2000 to January 2004 and as its executive vice president and chief technology officer from 1998 to 2000. From 1993 to 1997, Mr. Honey served as executive vice president of technology for the New Technology Group of News Corporation. From 1989 to 1993, Mr. Honey served as president and chief executive officer of ETAK, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of News Corporation. Mr. Honey founded ETAK in 1983 and served as its executive vice president of engineering until News Corporation acquired it in 1989. Mr. Honey received a B.S. from Yale University and an M.S. from Stanford University. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee determined that Mr. Honey should serve as a director because of his 21 years of experience as a member of our Board of Directors as well as his executive and management experience serving in numerous senior level executive positions, his experience as co-founder of Sportvision Systems, LLC and founder of ETAK and his extensive knowledge of our marine customer base and the industry.

Proxies will not be voted at the 2018 Annual meeting for more than two candidates.

Messrs. Ain and Honey have agreed to serve if elected, and we have no reason to believe that they will be unable to serve. If either of them is unable or declines to serve as a director at the time of the annual meeting, proxies will be voted for another nominee that our Board of Directors will designate at that time.

A majority of the votes properly cast at the annual meeting will be necessary to elect each Class I director to a three-year term. In accordance with our director resignation policy, each of Messrs. Ain and Honey has submitted his resignation in advance of the annual meeting, and his

resignation will only become effective if (a) he fails to receive a majority of the votes properly cast on his re-election and (b) our Board accepts his resignation. For more information about majority voting and our director resignation policy, please see "Board of Directors and Committees of the Board Corporate Governance Majority Voting."

Our Board of Directors recommends that you vote **FOR the election of Messrs. Mark S. Ain and Stanley K. Honey as our Class I directors.**

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 2: NON-BINDING "SAY ON PAY" VOTE

Proposal 2 concerns an advisory vote on our executive compensation program.

Under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or the Dodd-Frank Act, and Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, our stockholders are entitled to vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers at least once every three years. This proposal is commonly referred to as a "say on pay" proposal.

At our 2017 annual meeting of stockholders, our stockholders voted in favor of holding future "say on pay" votes every year. At the 2017 annual meeting, approximately 84% of the votes cast on the non-binding proposal to approve our executive compensation for 2016 were voted in favor of approval. As described in our "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," the executive compensation tables and the accompanying narrative disclosure set forth in this proxy statement, we design our executive compensation program to provide an appropriate mix of fixed and variable pay, to balance rewards for achieving short-term operational performance and long-term stockholder value, and to encourage executive recruitment and retention. Our 2017 executive compensation program provided for (a) fixed compensation in the form of salaries designed to provide a competitive baseline of compensation, (b) short-term variable compensation in the form of a cash-based incentive compensation program designed to reward achievement of our financial and business goals for 2017 and (c) long-term variable compensation in the form of equity awards designed to reward our executives primarily through increases in the price of our common stock. We believe that our executive compensation program appropriately implemented our pay-for-performance philosophy and gave appropriate incentives to our named executive officers to increase stockholder value.

Highlights of our executive compensation program include the following:

Base Salary. Base salaries of our named executive officers provide fixed compensation to reward individual value that the executive brings to us through experience and past and expected future contributions to our success while factoring in our specific needs and the base salaries of executives with comparable responsibilities at similar organizations. With the assistance of Radford Surveys and Consulting, an Aon Consulting Company, or Radford, the Compensation Committee reviewed the base salaries of our named executive officers against those of a peer group of companies and other survey data. We refer to the peer group data and the survey data collectively as the survey data. For 2017, the Compensation Committee concluded that the 2016 base salaries of our named executive officers

averaged 2% above the 50th percentile of the survey data and therefore determined to make no material changes to those base salaries other than an approximately 3% merit increase. We believe that aligning the base salaries of our named executive officers with appropriate benchmarks is especially critical to a competitive compensation program, as other elements of our compensation are determined as a percentage of base salary.

Annual Cash-based Incentive Compensation. In 2017, we utilized a cash-based incentive compensation plan that tied executive pay to the achievement of our annual business and financial goals and certain individual performance goals. This incentive program awarded compensation based on the degree to which our actual financial results met the financial goals of our internal business plan and the degree to which the executives met their individual performance goals. In 2017, the Compensation Committee approved two formulas for calculating our cash-based incentive compensation for our named executive officers. For the named executive officer responsible for our mobile broadband business unit, the Compensation Committee desired to tie his incentive compensation more directly to the performance of that business unit. Accordingly, 50% of his target incentive compensation was based on the degree of achievement of his business unit performance goals, 25% was based on the degree of achievement of our corporate performance goals and 25% was based on the degree of achievement of individual performance goals.

For all other named executive officers, 75% of each executive's target incentive compensation was based on the degree of achievement of our corporate performance goals and 25% was based on the degree of achievement of individual performance goals. The Compensation Committee gave greater weight in the formula to corporate performance (including business unit performance for the executive in charge of the mobile broadband business unit) because it wished to align our executives' interest with strong corporate and business unit performance, accountability for budgeted targets and to promote cooperation among them. In 2017, the target incentive compensation (as a percentage of base salary)

Edgar Filing: KVH INDUSTRIES INC \DE\ - Form DEF 14A

selected for the named executive officers averaged 4% below the median of our survey data. The corporate performance goal was based on the degree of achievement of our goals for revenue and earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization, acquisition-related expenses, equity-based compensation expenses and any one-time charges approved by the Compensation Committee, or adjusted EBITDA. The mobile broadband business unit performance goal was based on the degree of achievement of our goals for business unit revenue and business unit profit, which was defined as business unit revenue less cost

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 2: NON-BINDING "SAY ON PAY" VOTE

of goods sold and direct operating expenses attributable to the operation of that business unit, but without deductions for depreciation, amortization, acquisition-related expenses, equity-based compensation expenses, or any one-time charges approved by the Compensation Committee, and without any allocation for corporate engineering, marketing or administrative costs. The Compensation Committee selected revenue, adjusted EBITDA and business unit profit as performance measures because it believed that they are strong operating measurements of how well or poorly we performed from a financial standpoint. In 2017, we did not achieve our corporate target for adjusted EBITDA, and we achieved 88.6%% of our target for business unit profit for our mobile broadband business unit. We did not achieve our corporate performance goal for revenue growth or our mobile broadband business unit performance goal for revenue growth. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee did not make any awards with respect to the portion of each named executive officer's incentive compensation target attributable to corporate performance and, based on the sliding scale used in our 2017 non-equity incentive plan, awarded 80.6% of the portion of the one named executive officer's incentive compensation target attributable to mobile broadband business unit performance. Overall, the named executive officers received an average of [38%] of their target performance incentive compensation for 2017.

Long-Term Equity Incentives. Equity incentives are designed to reward the achievement of long-term growth in the price of our common stock. The equity grants to our named executive officers in 2017 consisted of both options and restricted stock awards, with four-year vesting periods designed to encourage the executives to focus on the long-term performance of our stock price. The Compensation Committee decided to grant a mix of restricted stock and option awards in order to balance the benefit of option awards, which more closely align the interests of our executives with the interests of our shareholders because options generate cash value only with stock price appreciation, with the benefit of restricted stock awards, which offer greater executive retention while aligning the executive's compensation with shareholder interests. The Compensation Committee believed that granting equity incentives was the best method of motivating the named executive officers to manage our operations in a manner that is consistent with the long-term interests of our stockholders. The grant date fair values of the equity awards granted to the named executive officers in 2017 remained below the

25th percentile of the survey data reviewed by the Compensation Committee and ranged from approximately 52% to 59% of the survey data median.

Pay Practices. We do not use certain executive pay practices that stockholder advocates consider to be problematic. For example, we do not provide extensive perquisites to our named executive officers, we do not have long-term employment agreements, we do not have guaranteed severance programs, and we do not provide any tax gross-ups. We have no guaranteed salary increases, no guaranteed bonuses and no cash-based incentive compensation programs that are not tied to performance.

Consultant Independence. Our Compensation Committee's independent consultant is retained directly by the Compensation Committee, provides no other services to us, and has provided the Committee with a written attestation of its independence from management.

The Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 17 of this proxy statement further describes our compensation program for our named executive officers and the decisions made by the Compensation Committee with respect to 2017.

Stockholders are being asked to vote on the following resolution:

RESOLVED: That the stockholders of KVH Industries, Inc. hereby approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the corporation's named executive officers, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, the executive compensation tables and the accompanying narrative disclosure set forth in the corporation's proxy statement for the 2018 annual meeting of stockholders.

Approval of this proposal requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the votes cast on the proposal at the annual meeting.

As an advisory vote, this proposal is not binding. The outcome of this advisory vote will not determine or overrule any decision by our directors or officers, create or imply any change to the fiduciary duties of our directors or officers or create or imply any additional fiduciary duties for our

directors or officers. However, our Compensation Committee and Board of Directors value the opinions expressed by our stockholders in their vote on this proposal and will consider the outcome of the vote when making future compensation decisions for named executive officers.

Our Board of Directors unanimously recommends that you vote **FOR the approval of the compensation of our named executive officers, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, the executive compensation tables and the accompanying narrative disclosure set forth in this proxy statement.**

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 3 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Proposal 3 concerns the ratification of the appointment of Grant Thornton LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2018.

In accordance with its charter, the Audit Committee has selected the firm of Grant Thornton LLP, a registered public accounting firm, to be our independent auditor for the year ending December 31, 2018 and, with the endorsement of the Board of Directors, recommends that stockholders ratify such appointment. Grant Thornton LLP has served in this

capacity since June 6, 2014. We expect that representatives of Grant Thornton LLP will be present at the annual meeting. They will have an opportunity to make a statement if they wish to do so and, if present, will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

A majority of the votes properly cast at the annual meeting will be necessary to ratify the selection by the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors of Grant Thornton LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2018.

Our Board of Directors unanimously recommends that you vote **FOR the proposed ratification of the appointment by our Audit Committee of Grant Thornton LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2018.**

Table of Contents

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Our executive officers are appointed by, and serve at the discretion of, our Board of Directors. Kathleen Keating, our senior director of creative and customer experience, is the wife of Martin A. Kits van Heyningen.

Information regarding Mark S. Ain and Stanley K. Honey, our Class I directors being renominated for election, whose term expires at the 2018 annual meeting, is presented above under the heading "Proposal 1 Election of Directors." Our other directors and executive officers are as follows:

Directors serving a term expiring at the 2019 annual meeting (Class II directors):

Martin A. Kits van Heyningen

Age: 59

President

Chief Executive Officer

Chairman of the Board of Directors

Martin A. Kits van Heyningen, one of our founders, has served as our president and a director since 1982, chief executive officer since 1990, and as our chairman of the Board of Directors since 2007. From 1980 to 1982, Mr. Kits van Heyningen was employed by the New England Consulting Group, a marketing consulting firm, as a marketing consultant. Mr. Kits van Heyningen received a B.A., cum laude, from Yale University and has been issued six patents. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee determined that Mr. Kits van Heyningen should serve as a director because of his more than 31 years of industry experience as well as his executive leadership and management experience as our founder, president, chief executive officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors.

Charles R. Trimble

Age: 76

Director

Committee Membership:

Audit Committee Member

Compensation Committee Member

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Member

Charles R. Trimble has served as one of our directors since 1999, a member of our Audit Committee since 2001, a member of our Compensation Committee since 2000 and a member of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee since February 2004. From 1981 to 1998, he served as the president and chief executive officer of Trimble Navigation Limited, a GPS company that he founded in 1978. Previously, he served as the manager of integrated circuit research and development at Hewlett-Packard's Santa Clara Division. Mr. Trimble is an elected member of the National Academy of Engineering, and he was Chairman of the United States GPS Industry Council from 1996 to 2013. In addition, Mr. Trimble is a member of the California Institute of Technology (Caltech) Board of Trustees. He received a B.S. in engineering physics, with honors, and an M.S. in electrical engineering from the California Institute of Technology. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee determined that Mr. Trimble should serve as a director because of his 19 years of experience as a member of our Board of Directors combined with his executive leadership and management experience as co-founder, president and chief executive officer of Trimble Navigation Limited as well as his experience as an elected member of the National Academy of Engineering, Chairman of the United States GPS Industry Council and a member of the California Institute of Technology Board of Trustees.

Table of Contents

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Bruce J. Ryan

Age: 74

Director

Committee Membership:

Audit Committee Chairman

Compensation Committee Member

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Member

Bruce J. Ryan has served as one of our directors, the Chairman of our Audit Committee, and a member of our Compensation Committee since July 2003. He has also been a member of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee since February 2004. Mr. Ryan is currently involved in private consulting. From February 1998 to November 2002, he served as executive vice president and chief financial officer of Global Knowledge Network, a provider of information technology and computer software training programs and certifications. From 1994 to 1998, he served as the executive vice president and chief financial officer of Amdahl Corporation, a provider of information technology solutions. Mr. Ryan previously had a 25-year career at Digital Equipment Corporation, where he served in various executive positions, including senior vice president of the financial services, government and professional services business group. Since 2013, Mr. Ryan has served on the Board of Directors of Kronos, Inc., a private company. His other experience includes serving as a director on various other private and public companies. He received a B.S. in business administration from Boston College and an M.B.A. from Suffolk University. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee determined that Mr. Ryan should serve as a director because of his more than 14 years of experience as a member of our Board of Directors combined with his experience as a member of the Board of Directors for numerous private and public companies, his familiarity with accounting matters, as well as his executive and management experience serving as executive vice president and chief financial officer of Global Knowledge Network and Amdahl Corporation, both providers of information technology, and his 25 years of experience at Digital Equipment Corporation.

James S. Dodez

Age: 59

Director

James S. Dodez has served as one of our directors since June 2017. He also served as our Strategy Advisor from May 2015 to April 2017. He served as our Senior Vice President of Marketing and Strategic Planning from March 2013 to May 2015, our Vice President of Marketing and Strategic Planning from March 2007 to February 2013, our Vice President of Marketing from October 1998 to March 2007, our Vice President of Marketing and Reseller Sales from 1995 to October 1998 and our Marketing Director from 1986 to 1995. Before joining us, Mr. Dodez was the Marketing Director at Magratten Wooley, Inc., an advertising agency, where he managed KVH's account from 1983 to 1986. Mr. Dodez received a B.S. in business with an emphasis in marketing from Miami University. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee determined that Mr. Dodez should serve as a director because of his current experience as a member of our Board of Directors combined with more than 30 years of industry experience and his knowledge of the strategic challenges faced by our company.

Table of Contents

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Our executive officers who are not also directors are listed below:

Donald W. Reilly

Age: 59

Chief Financial Officer

Donald W. Reilly has served as our chief financial officer since December 2016. Before joining us, Mr. Reilly served as vice president, corporate controller and chief accounting officer of Nortek, Inc., a publicly traded manufacturer and distributor of products and systems for use in building and remodeling homes and commercial structures, from November 2011 until its acquisition by Melrose Industries PLC in September 2016. From January 2011 to November 2011, Mr. Reilly served as chief financial officer of Evergreen Solar, Inc., a publicly traded manufacturer of solar panels, wafers and cells. In August 2011, Evergreen Solar filed a voluntary petition for reorganization under the United States Bankruptcy Code. From 2000 until January 2011, Mr. Reilly held several senior financial positions at GTECH Corporation, a gaming technology and services company acquired by Lottomatica, SpA in August 2006 (and now part of International Game Technology PLC), including chief financial officer of GTECH from June 2007 to January 2011 and vice president of business and financial planning from 2000 to 2007. Before joining GTECH, Mr. Reilly served as vice president and chief financial officer of Amtrol, Inc., a manufacturer of water system solutions and HVAC products, from 1998 to 2000 and as corporate controller and director of finance for A.T. Cross Company, a publicly traded manufacturer and distributor of fine writing instruments, from 1992 to 1997. Mr. Reilly began his career at Ernst & Young LLP, where he served as a senior audit manager in Providence, Rhode Island and later at its national office in Cleveland, Ohio. He holds a B.S. in accounting from Providence college and is a certified public accountant in Rhode Island.

Brent C. Bruun

Age: 52

Chief Operating Officer

Brent C. Bruun has served as our chief operating officer since July 2016. From November 2012 to June 2016, Mr. Bruun served as our executive vice president of mobile broadband. From January 2011 to November 2012, he served as our senior vice president of global sales and business development. He served as our vice president of global sales and business development from July 2008 to December 2010. From January 2008 until joining KVH, Mr. Bruun worked as a private consultant. From January 2007 until January 2008, Mr. Bruun served as senior vice president of strategic initiatives for SES AMERICOM, a satellite operator providing services via its fleet of 16 geosynchronous satellites covering North America. In this position, he concentrated on global mobile broadband opportunities with particular emphasis on the maritime and aeronautical markets. Other positions held at SES AMERICOM included president of Americom's Managed Solutions Division from July 2004 until December 2006 and senior vice president of business development from July 2002 until June 2004. Previously, Mr. Bruun held positions at KPMG LLP and General Electric. Mr. Bruun holds a B.S. in accounting from Alfred University and is a certified public accountant.

Table of Contents

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Daniel R. Conway

Age: 64

Executive Vice President, Inertial Navigation

Daniel R. Conway has served as our executive vice president of inertial navigation (formerly guidance and stabilization) since November 2012. From January 2003 to November 2012, he served as our vice president of business development for military and industrial products. From March 2000 to December 2002, Mr. Conway was the vice president of sales and marketing at BENTHOS Inc., an oceanographic technology company with customers in the marine, oil and gas, government and scientific markets. From 1980 to January 2000, he served in a variety of positions at Anteon (formerly Analysis & Technology), including vice president for new business development and acquisition integration from 1997 to January 2000 and vice president of operations for the Newport, Rhode Island operation from 1991 to 1997. Mr. Conway served for five years as a member of the U.S. Navy nuclear submarine force and was a Commander in the U.S. Naval Reserve (Naval Intelligence) for more than 10 years. He is a graduate of the U.S. Naval Academy with post-graduate studies in nuclear engineering, and he received an M.B.A. from the University of Rhode Island.

Elizabeth Jackson

Age: 47

Chief Marketing Officer & Senior Vice President of Strategy

Elizabeth Jackson has served as our chief marketing officer and senior vice president of strategy since November 2017. Before joining us, from March 2015 to November 2017, she held the position of chief marketing officer at DOTS Technology Corp., a company building a novel protein detection platform for consumer and industrial use. Ms. Jackson held chief marketing officer positions from February 2014 to March 2015 at HookLogic, Inc., an advertising technology performance marketing company, and from May 2011 to December 2013 at Summer Infant Inc., a publicly traded durable goods company. From 2007 to 2010, she was a consultant for Playtex Baby, a baby products division of Playtex Products Inc. Ms. Jackson has a B.A. from Princeton University and an M.B.A. from INSEAD in France.

Robert J. Balog

Age: 54

Senior Vice President, Engineering

Robert J. Balog has served as our senior vice president of engineering since October 2008. Previously, he served as our vice president of engineering, satellite products from February 2005 to October 2008. From June 2003 to January 2005, Mr. Balog served as president of his own engineering contract services company, Automation Services, Inc., a contract product development and services group specializing in a wide range of automation solutions. From June 2001 to May 2003, Mr. Balog served as vice president of engineering at ADE Corporation. From 1989 to April 2001, Mr. Balog held a number of positions at Speedline Technologies, Inc., a supplier of capital equipment to the electronics assembly industry, including general manager and vice president of research and development. He has served on the Board of Directors of the Surface Mount Equipment Manufacturers Association, serving as Chairman and numerous other positions. Mr. Balog is the recipient of 12 U.S. patents. Mr. Balog holds a B.S. in Computer Science from Purdue University.

Table of Contents

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Felise B. Feingold

Age: 48

**Vice President, General Counsel, Compliance Officer
and Secretary**

Felise B. Feingold has served as our vice president and general counsel since August 2007 and as our compliance officer since December 2017. Before joining us, from January 2004 until July 2007, she held the position of vice president and general counsel for The Jean Coutu Group (PJC) USA, Inc., which operated the Brooks/Eckerd pharmacy chain, comprising more than 1,800 stores. Her other experience includes six years, from September 1998 to December 2004, as an attorney with the international law firm of McDermott, Will & Emery. Ms. Feingold holds a B.A. in government from Cornell University, a J.D. from Hofstra University School of Law, and an M.B.A. from Boston University Graduate School of Management.

Jennifer Baker

Age: 40

Chief Accounting Officer and Corporate Controller

Jennifer L. Baker has served as our chief accounting officer and corporate controller since September 2016, becoming our principal accounting officer in May 2017. Previously, from November 2014 to September 2016, she served as our corporate controller. From October 2013 to November 2014, she served as our assistant controller. From December 2012 to September 2013, Ms. Baker served as director of corporate accounting and SEC reporting at Lionbridge Technologies, Inc., then a publicly traded provider of professional translation and localization services. From December 2010 to December 2012, Ms. Baker served as senior manager at The Corporate Finance Group, Inc., a financial consulting firm providing advisory services on a variety of complex accounting, reporting, and tax issues. Her other experience includes over nine years at KPMG LLP, leaving the firm as an audit senior manager. Ms. Baker is a certified public accountant and holds a B.S. in accounting and masters of accountancy from the Pamplin College of Business at Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overview of Executive Compensation Program

Our executive compensation program is overseen and administered by the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors, which is comprised entirely of independent directors as determined in accordance with various NASDAQ Stock Market, Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, and Internal Revenue Code rules. None of its members is a current or former employee of ours. It is the goal of the Compensation Committee to create policies and practices that provide total compensation for executive officers that is fair, reasonable and competitive. The Compensation Committee operates under a written charter adopted by our Board.

All principal elements of compensation paid to our executive officers are subject to approval by the Compensation Committee. Specifically, our Board has delegated authority to the Compensation Committee to determine and approve (1) our compensation philosophy, including evaluating risk management and incentives that create risk, (2) annual base salaries, cash-based incentive compensation and equity-based compensation for our executive officers, and (3) equity-based compensation for our non-executive employees.

There are no material differences in the compensation policies, objectives or program elements and administration for our named executive officers, except that the compensation for our President, Chief Executive Officer, and Chairman of the Board of Directors, or CEO, is determined exclusively by the Compensation Committee, whereas the compensation of our other named executive officers is determined by the Compensation Committee but also takes into account the recommendations of our CEO.

Executive Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

Our executive compensation program is designed to attract, retain and motivate highly qualified executives and align their interests with the interests of our stockholders. The ultimate goal of our executive compensation program is to increase stockholder value by providing executives with appropriate incentives to achieve our business goals. In recent years, our executive compensation program has had three principal elements: annual base salary, annual cash-based incentive compensation, and long-term equity-based compensation.

Our executive compensation objectives are to:

offer fair and competitive compensation that attracts and retains superior executive talent;

directly and substantially link rewards to measurable corporate performance;

align the interests of executive officers with those of stockholders by providing executive officers with an equity stake in our company;

optimize the cost to the company and value to executives; and

promote long-term career commitments that support a long-standing internal culture of loyalty and dedication to our interests.

The three principal elements of our executive compensation program seek to provide the following rewards:

Base salaries provide fixed compensation to reward the individual value that an executive officer brings to us through experience and past and expected future contributions to our success, while factoring in our specific needs and comparable responsibilities at similar organizations.

Annual cash-based incentive compensation is designed to reward the achievement of our pre-established annual business and financial goals generally set at the beginning of each year, as well as individual performance, determined on a discretionary basis. This incentive program generally awards compensation based on the degree to which our actual financial results meet the financial goals of our internal business plan and on the individual performance of each executive. In recent years, our annual incentive compensation program has been designed to reward achievement of specific targets for internal financial metrics, such as revenue and adjusted EBITDA, over which our executives have more direct control, rather than external metrics, such as stock price performance or total shareholder return, which are influenced by factors extrinsic to our performance, such as broad market trends or the performance of our industry or competitors, over which our executives have no direct control.

Equity grants are designed to reward the achievement of long-term growth in our stock price and retain our executives. Restricted stock awards were granted with no payment of cash consideration. Options grants were added in 2017 in order to more closely align the interests of our executives with the interests of our shareholder

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

because options generate cash value only with stock price appreciation. The equity awards generally vest in four equal annual installments over a four year period. For a company of our size, we believe that the use of these executive compensation elements strengthens our ability to attract and retain highly qualified executives. We believe this combination of programs provides an appropriate mix of fixed and variable pay, balances short-term operational performance with long-term stockholder value, and encourages executive recruitment and retention.

Our equity incentive program is a key retention tool and our vehicle for offering long-term incentives. Equity incentives with four-year vesting periods are generally granted annually to executive officers to attract, motivate and retain these executives. We grant equity incentives to executive officers to encourage executive officers to work with a long-term view in the interest of stockholders and to reward the achievement of long-term growth in our stock price. We believe that granting equity incentives is the best method of motivating the executive officers to perform in a manner that is consistent with the long-term interests of our stockholders.

We do not use certain executive pay practices that stockholder advocates consider to be problematic. For example, we do not provide extensive perquisites to our named executive officers, we do not have long-term employment agreements or change-of-control agreements, we do not have guaranteed severance programs, and we do not provide any tax gross-ups. We have no guaranteed salary increases, no guaranteed bonuses and no cash-based incentive compensation programs that are not tied to our performance.

The Compensation Committee also noted that, at our 2017 annual meeting of stockholders, which is the most recent meeting at which our stockholders conducted a "say on pay" vote, our stockholders approved the compensation of our named executive officers for 2016 by a favorable vote of approximately 84% of the votes cast. Partially as a result of this feedback, our Compensation Committee has continued to employ compensation packages having a similar basic structure to the compensation package used in 2016.

Compensation Decision-making Process

Our executives are compensated principally through a combination of base salary, cash-based incentive compensation paid in the first quarter of the following year and annual equity grants. In addition, we may also grant an initial equity award to new executive officers when they commence employment. From time to time, we may offer a signing or retention bonus to attract a new executive officer.

The base salary and equity award for each executive, together with the overall cash-based incentive compensation plan for all executives, are generally established within the first quarter of each fiscal year at meetings of the Compensation Committee held for this purpose. These meetings generally follow one or more informal presentations or discussions of our financial performance, including achievement of performance targets, for the prior fiscal year and an internal business plan for the then-current fiscal year for goal-setting purposes. The annual performance goals actually used for the cash-based incentive compensation plan for 2017 were presented to the Compensation Committee in March 2017. In deciding the compensation to be awarded to the executive officers other than the CEO for the current year and cash-based incentive compensation earned during the prior fiscal year, the Compensation Committee typically reviews and evaluates recommendations from the CEO. The CEO and the members of the Compensation Committee discuss the CEO's recommendations. In deciding the compensation to be awarded to the CEO for the current year and the cash-based incentive compensation earned by the CEO during the prior year, the Compensation Committee typically receives a written self-assessment from the CEO and recommendations from the Chairman of the Compensation Committee. The members of the Compensation Committee then discuss the Chairman's recommendations. The CEO is not present at the time of these deliberations. The Compensation Committee may accept or adjust any recommendations, and the Compensation Committee makes all final compensation decisions.

Our cash-based incentive compensation program comprises corporate performance goals and, for executives in charge of business units, business unit performance goals, as well as a discretionary element of individual performance for all executives. Under this program, our Compensation Committee approved two formulas for 2017: one formula for executive officers responsible for our mobile broadband and inertial navigation business units and one formula for other executive officers. For executive officers in charge of business units, the Compensation Committee desired to tie their incentive compensation more directly to the performance of their respective business units. For executives in charge of a business unit, 50% of each executive's target incentive compensation was based on the degree of achievement of applicable business unit performance goals for 2017, 25% was based on the degree of achievement of our corporate performance goals for 2017, and 25% was based on individual performance, as determined by the Compensation Committee in its discretion. In 2017, the mobile broadband business unit was led by a named executive officer, but the inertial navigation business unit was not. Accordingly, this discussion

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

and analysis does not further address the performance of the inertial navigation business unit. For other executive officers, 75% of each executive's target incentive compensation was based on the degree of achievement of our corporate performance goals for 2017 and 25% was based on individual performance, as determined by the Compensation Committee in its discretion. The performance portions of the cash-based incentive compensation program are generally based on formulas approved by the Compensation Committee at the start of each year, but the Compensation Committee has the discretion to award incentive compensation that differs from the formula-based amounts.

Compensation Consultant

Since 2005, the Compensation Committee has engaged Radford as its independent compensation consultant. The Compensation Committee has engaged Radford to advise on matters related to our executive compensation program and to assist in creating an effective and competitive executive compensation program. In 2017, Radford assisted the Compensation Committee by providing comparative market data on compensation practices and programs based on an analysis of executive compensation data.

Radford also provided guidance on industry best practices. In 2017, Radford advised the Compensation Committee in (1) determining base salaries for executives, (2) determining the targets for total cash-based incentive compensation as a percentage of base salary, and (3) designing and determining individual equity grants for the long-term incentive plan for executives.

Radford's competitive assessment in the 2017 report with respect to base salary, cash-based incentive compensation and equity-based compensation was taken into consideration by the Compensation Committee when setting base salaries and making changes to the cash-based incentive compensation and equity-based compensation components of the executive compensation program for 2017. Neither Radford nor any of its affiliates provided any services to us in 2017 other than Radford's services to the Compensation Committee.

Survey Data

With the assistance of Radford, the Compensation Committee compared our executive officers' compensation to data based on the 2015 Radford Global Technology Survey, which included approximately 107 public high technology companies as of October 1, 2015 with revenues between \$50 million and \$300 million and median revenue of approximately \$178 million. This information was updated by Radford in February 2017 using a 3% aging

factor to reflect the change in market valuations. Radford indicated that using a 3% aging factor to update the 2015 survey results should reasonably approximate 2017 executive total direct compensation market values. For the Chief Operating Officer position, Radford did a full market review since this position was added in 2016.

Compensation Benchmarking Relative to Market

In 2017, the Compensation Committee relied on information provided by Radford in early 2017 for purposes of benchmarking our executive compensation relative to market. Radford provided the Compensation Committee with a comparison of the compensation of our executives to the estimated compensation of executives in benchmark positions with similar titles and level of experience based on the updated survey data described above. The comparison did not consider executive tenure, skill or performance. The Radford data reviewed by the Compensation Committee included market data taken from the aforementioned survey data, which was gathered at the 25th, 50th, and 75th percentiles for (1) base salaries, (2) short-term incentive opportunity, (3) target total cash compensation (base salary plus short-term incentive opportunity), (4) long-term incentives (Black-Scholes value of stock options and full value of other awards), and (5) target total direct compensation (target total cash compensation and long-term incentives).

Historically, the Compensation Committee has generally targeted approximately the median base salary level (50th percentile) of the base salaries of executives in the survey data used by the Compensation Committee as the basis for comparison. Adjustments to the median base salary levels were made in 2017 based on comparisons to the survey data, market median salary increases and evaluation of other factors, such as executive tenure, skill and performance relative to expectations for average performance for comparable executives, which are not reflected in the survey data. These factors reflected the value each individual brought to us through experience, education and training, our specific needs, and the individual's past and expected future contributions to our success. Radford advised the Compensation Committee that base salary levels

Edgar Filing: KVH INDUSTRIES INC \DE\ - Form DEF 14A

are considered to be competitive if they fall within 10% of the desired market position. In 2017, the Compensation Committee concluded that our 2016 base salaries for our named executive officers approximated the market 50th percentile, averaging 2% above the 50th percentile of the survey data, with base salaries ranging from 5% below to 13% above the 50th percentile for their respective positions. The NEO whose base salary was 13% over the base salary 50th percentile was 2% under the 50th percentile for total direct compensation.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The Compensation Committee believes that benchmarking and aligning base salaries is especially critical to a competitive compensation program. Other elements of our compensation are affected by changes in base salary. For example, our annual cash-based incentive compensation is targeted and paid out as a percentage of base salary.

Our compensation program allows executives to participate in an annual cash-based incentive compensation program.

Consistent with prior years, the payouts for our executives for achieving the expected level of corporate performance for 2017 (as a percentage of base salary) were targeted at approximately the median (50th percentile) of payouts for executives in the survey data reviewed by the Compensation Committee in 2017. Radford advised the Compensation Committee that cash-based incentive compensation targets are considered to be competitive if they fall within 15% of the desired market position. As a percentage of base salary, targets for cash-based incentive compensation for the named executive officers for 2017 averaged 4% below the 50th percentile of the survey data for their respective positions, with targets ranging from 23% below the 50th percentile to 36% above the 50th percentile. The cash incentive target for the Chief Operating Officer position was 36% above the 50th percentile; however, the total direct compensation for this position was 2% below the market median. The cash incentive target for our newly hired Chief Financial Officer was 23% below the 50th percentile. The Compensation Committee regarded these targets, as a percentage of base salary, as competitive with the market and therefore made no changes to these targets, as a percentage of base salary, from 2016 to 2017.

Our compensation program allows executives to receive equity incentive awards under our equity incentive plans. Our primary goal is to create long-term value for stockholders, and accordingly the Compensation Committee believes that equity incentive awards provide an additional incentive to executive officers to work to maximize stockholder value. Radford advised the Compensation Committee that Radford considers long-term incentive values to be competitive if they fall within 30% of the desired market position. In 2016, the grant date fair values of the equity awards granted to our named executive officers had averaged 53% below market median, ranging from 44% below to 63% below the market median. Considering this information, the Compensation Committee decided in 2017 to target equity award fair values closer to the survey median than the restricted stock awards granted in 2016. The fair values of the equity awards granted to our named executive officers for 2017 averaged 45% below market median, ranging from 41% to 52% below the market median. Despite this increase, the

combined grant date fair value of the restricted stock and option awards remained below the 25th percentile of the survey data reviewed by the Compensation Committee for all positions, including for the CEO. In deciding the number of restricted stock awards and options granted, the Compensation Committee took into account the number of shares available for grant under our equity compensation plans and our historical burn rate for grants under those plans, which together placed certain limitations on the number of restricted stock awards and options available for grant.

Base Salary

The Compensation Committee defines base salary as the annualized regular cash compensation of an employee, excluding cash bonus awards, corporate contributions to employee benefit plans, and other compensation not designated as salary. As described above, base salaries are set for our named executive officers at a meeting of our Compensation Committee which is generally held for that purpose in the first quarter of the year.

In establishing base salaries for our named executive officers for 2017, the Compensation Committee took into account the value each individual brings to us through experience, education and training, our specific needs and the individual's past and expected future contributions to our success, as well as our overall corporate performance, the market guidance from the Radford survey data and the recommendations of our CEO (for named executive officers other than himself). The Compensation Committee also considered appropriate merit adjustments. For 2017, the Compensation Committee granted merit increases for our named executives (excluding our Chief Financial Officer) averaging 3% of base salaries, ranging from 1% to 3%. The 1% increase was a 3% prorated merit increase for our Chief Operating Officer, who was promoted to that position in 2016. The Chief Financial Officer did not receive a merit increase in early 2017 because his salary had been established only a few months earlier in December 2016, when he was hired. The merit adjustments were effective as of January 1, 2017.

Annual Cash-based Incentive Compensation

Edgar Filing: KVH INDUSTRIES INC \DE\ - Form DEF 14A

Our management incentive plan is designed to reward our executives for the achievement of annual goals, principally, achievement of corporate financial goals, and, secondarily, achievement of individual goals. It is our philosophy that the executives be rewarded for their performance as a team. We believe this is important to align our executive officers' interests with strong corporate performance and to promote cooperation among them. The executives also are rewarded for achieving individual goals for the year.

20 [KVH Industries, Inc. 2018 Proxy Statement](#)

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Formula for Cash-based Incentive Compensation

The management incentive plan for 2017 was adopted based on our historical financial performance, planned strategic initiatives and the existing economic environment. Annual cash-based incentive compensation opportunities as a percentage of base salary were targeted at 90% of base salary for the CEO and 35% to 60% of base salary for the other named executive officers. In 2017, we only had one named executive officer responsible for a business unit, the mobile broadband business unit. The Compensation Committee approved two formulas for calculating the cash-based incentive compensation with respect to the named executive officers, one formula for the executive responsible for our mobile broadband business unit and one formula for all other named executive officers. The incentive compensation formula for the executive in charge of our mobile broadband business unit for 2017 was based 50% on mobile broadband business unit performance goals, 25% on corporate performance goals and 25% on individual performance. The formula for determining the bonus of all other named executive officers was based 75% on corporate performance goals and 25% on individual performance. The formula for the executive in charge of the mobile broadband business unit gave significantly greater weight to the performance of the mobile broadband business unit, rather than overall corporate performance, in order to emphasize his unique responsibility for the success of that unit.

The portion of the bonus based on corporate performance was based on the degree of achievement of our corporate goals for (i) earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization, acquisition-related expenses, equity-based compensation expenses, and any one-time charges approved by the Compensation Committee, or adjusted EBITDA, and (ii) revenue. Our corporate goal for adjusted EBITDA was \$11.9 million, and our corporate goal for revenue was \$186.5 million. The threshold for payment of the portion of the bonus for corporate performance for the named executive officers was our achievement of 75% of the adjusted EBITDA target, and at this level of achievement, 50% of the target bonus for corporate performance would have been earned. The bonus payment for corporate performance would have been 100% for the named executive officers if we had achieved 100% of our target for adjusted EBITDA. The bonus payment would have increased if we had exceeded our target for corporate revenue by 5%. The maximum bonus payment for corporate performance would have been awarded if we had exceeded the targets for adjusted EBITDA and revenue by 25% and 15%, respectively, in which case the payment would have been 233% of the target bonus for corporate performance. The portion of the bonus plan based on achieving mobile broadband business unit performance goals used the same general structure as the portion based

on corporate performance goals but was based on the degree of achievement of that business unit's goals for (i) business unit profit, which was defined as business unit revenue less cost of goods sold and direct operating expenses attributable to the operation of that business unit, but without deductions for depreciation, amortization, acquisition-related expenses, equity-based compensation expenses, or any one-time charges approved by the Compensation Committee, and without any allocation for corporate engineering, marketing or administrative costs, and (ii) revenue. Our goal for mobile broadband business unit profit was \$17.2 million, and our goal for mobile broadband business unit revenue was \$111.8 million. The threshold for payment of the portion of the bonus for the mobile broadband business was the same as the threshold for corporate performance. The Compensation Committee selected adjusted EBITDA, business unit profit and revenue as performance measures because it believed that adjusted EBITDA, business unit profit and revenue are strong operating measurements of how well or poorly we performed from a financial standpoint in 2017. The Compensation Committee believed that sufficient increases in adjusted EBITDA, business unit profit and revenue should have a favorable impact on the value of our common stock, considering the degree to which improvements in these metrics typically influence the valuations of investors and stock market analysts.

The portion of the bonus based on individual performance for named executive officers was based solely on the discretion of the Compensation Committee.

Incentive Compensation Awarded

The Compensation Committee awarded incentive compensation for 2017 based on its assessment of the degree of achievement of performance goals for 2016, as well as individual performance in that year.

The Compensation Committee determined that we did not achieve our corporate minimum targets for adjusted EBITDA or revenue. The Compensation Committee determined that we achieved 89% of our target for mobile broadband business unit profit and 89% of our target for mobile broadband business unit revenue. Accordingly, based on the sliding scale used in our 2017 non-equity incentive plan, the Compensation Committee did not make any awards with respect to the portion of each named executive officer's incentive compensation target attributable to corporate performance and awarded 81% of the portion of the one named executive officer's incentive compensation target attributable to mobile

broadband business unit performance. Individual performance bonuses were awarded based on the Compensation Committee's consideration of qualitative factors (such as the executive's contribution to corporate results and

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

attainment of business unit goals); contribution towards strategic initiatives; and other priorities. For 2017, the bonuses actually awarded for individual performance for the named executive officers were 100% of individual performance targets. Accordingly, total cash-based incentive compensation actually awarded to the named executive officers for 2017 performance was, in the case of our CEO, 23% of his base salary, rather than the targeted 90% of base salary, and, in the case of our other named

executive officers, ranged from approximately 9% to 39% of their respective base salaries, rather than the targeted range of 35% to 60% of their respective base salaries. The following table provides information regarding the target non-equity incentive compensation for our named executive officers participating in the 2017 plan and the amount of non-equity incentive compensation actually awarded to them under the plan.

2017 Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation

Martin A. Kits van Heyningen	443,539	110,885
Brent C. Bruun	212,898	139,057
Donald W. Reilly	150,000	37,500
Robert J. Balog	114,001	28,500
Felise B. Feingold	95,057	23,764

In determining the individual performance bonus for each named executive officer, the Compensation Committee considers individual achievements throughout the year. The following summarizes some of the individual achievements of our named executive officers considered by the Compensation Committee in determining the amounts awarded for individual performance for 2017:

Martin A. Kits van Heyningen, President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board

Continued strong leadership and vision for the company, both in the industry and internally, including the creation of strategic growth initiatives for 2018 and a 2020 vision.

Strong focus on new product development, including future technology platforms to support our strategic growth initiatives, including the breakthrough development with the photonic chip.

Transformed KVH's leadership/organizational structure and processes to position KVH for future growth while managing short-term performance expectations, beating quarterly guidance in each of the four quarters of 2017.

Recruited a new Chief Marketing Officer with demonstrated achievements at both Fortune 100 and small/start-up companies.

Brent C. Bruun, Chief Operating Officer

Launched next-generation network using HTS mini-VSAT Broadband service.

Introduced Connectivity as a Service with the launch of AgilePlans with all-inclusive, no commitment service.

Completed integration of: 1) Mobile Communications business units with corporate functional departments, and 2) commercial sales teams.

Managed satellite capacity to ensure flexibility to meet varying market conditions.

Donald W. Reilly, Chief Financial Officer

Developed budgeting strategy that assigns resources based on strategic priorities.

Completed the implementation of a new enterprise performance management tool.

Developed a monthly reporting process to provide better and timely visibility into operating performance and implemented a robust, monthly forecasting process to monitor business performance.

Developed multi-year financial plan corresponding to financial incentives.

Robert J. Balog, Senior Vice President, Engineering

Implemented the automation of test and performance data collection on mobile connectivity and inertial navigation products.

Met release schedules and spending goals for all significant new product developments, including HTS iDirect, TACNAV 3D, and fiber optic gyros.

Standardized development processes including product approval, design reviews, scrum demos and product releases.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Successfully managed engineering resources within budget to achieve competing development goals.

Felise B. Feingold, Vice President, General Counsel, Secretary and Compliance Officer

Achieved continued success in her role as partner and advisor to senior management on legal and compliance matters.

Successfully guided management through numerous contract negotiations critical to our strategic initiatives.

Enhanced our compliance and corporate governance program for the international legal environment in which we operate.

Assumed greater responsibility for data privacy, information security, and human resource compliance matters.

Equity Incentive Program

As noted above, for 2017 the Compensation Committee decided to grant a mix of restricted stock and option awards to our named executive officers (other than the CFO) with aggregate fair values closer to (but still well below) the median of the survey data than those of the restricted stock awards granted in 2016. The CFO received a restricted stock grant in 2017 as part of the compensation package we negotiated with him when we hired him in December 2016. The average aggregate fair value of the combined awards to our named executive officers increased from 53% below the survey data median in 2016 to 45% below the survey data median in 2017 to bring the value of the awards closer to our goals for competitive compensation. The compensation committee decided to grant a mix of restricted stock and option awards in order to balance the benefit of options, which more closely align the interests of our executives with the interests of our shareholders because options generate cash value only with stock price appreciation, with the benefit of restricted stock, which offer greater executive retention while aligning the executive's compensation with shareholder interests. The equity grants to our CEO in 2017 were based upon the Radford survey data for grants to other chief executive officers, the CEO's prior performance, the value of equity awards previously granted and unvested, the importance of retaining the CEO's services and the CEO's total target direct compensation relative to the applicable benchmark.

When granting equity incentives to our other named executive officers in 2017, the Compensation Committee considered the Radford survey data for executives in comparable positions, the importance of retaining their services, their roles, responsibilities and contribution to our

business success, and their total target direct compensation.

The Compensation Committee believed that granting equity incentives in this manner would motivate our executive team to perform in a manner consistent with the long-term interests of our stockholders.

Timing of Equity Grants

We typically grant equity incentives to executives in the first quarter of each fiscal year, usually in conjunction with the annual review of the performance of our executive officers.

We grant restricted stock awards or stock options to new hires on a case-by-case basis. In addition, we typically grant restricted stock awards or stock options to certain non-executive employees each year.

Vesting of Equity Awards

Restricted stock awards are granted with no payment of cash consideration. Stock options are granted with an exercise price equal to the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant. The restricted stock and option awards granted to our named executive officers in 2017 vest in four equal annual installments.

Other Compensation and Perquisites

Our named executive officers are eligible to receive the same health and welfare benefits that are available to other employees in the same jurisdiction and a contribution to their benefit premium that is the same percentage as provided to other employees in the same jurisdiction. These benefit programs include health and dental insurance, life insurance, supplemental life insurance, and long-term disability insurance, and certain other benefits. In general, our employees pay between 30% and 34% of the health insurance premium due.

We maintain an Employee Stock Purchase Plan and a tax-qualified 401(k) plan, which provides for broad-based employee participation. Under the 401(k) plan, all of our U.S. employees, including executive officers, are eligible to receive matching contributions from us. We match 50% of all employee 401(k) plan contributions up to 6% of salary, with no maximum annual corporate match per employee. We do not provide defined benefit pension plans or defined contribution retirement plans to our named executive officers or other U.S. employees other than the 401(k) plan.

We provided automobile and/or housing allowances to three named executive officers in 2016. Martin A. Kits van Heyningen's automobile allowance was \$12,386 and Robert J. Balog's automobile allowance was \$6,000. Brent C. Bruun received \$15,000 in 2017 for automobile and housing allowances. No other named executive officers

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

received any other perquisites or other personal benefits or property from us during 2017.

Compensation Recovery

We are currently entitled to recover compensation paid to certain named executive officers pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Our 2016 Equity and Incentive Plan, or the 2016 Plan, also includes broad rights to recover both cash and equity incentives awarded under the plan. Specifically, the 2016 Plan provides that all awards under the plan are subject to recoupment or clawback of compensation under any provision of applicable law, any term of any award agreement and any policy that we may adopt from time to time. The administrator is entitled to take whatever action it determines to be necessary or appropriate to recover all or any portion of an award or any stock, payment or other compensation acquired or received in respect of that award arising or resulting from any misconduct, accounting restatement to correct an error, or any other miscalculation, error or mistake.

Equity Ownership by Executives

We do not currently have a formal stock ownership requirement for executives or any related hedging policies. However, stock ownership by executives is encouraged on a voluntary basis. Each of our executive officers holds both vested and unvested stock options and/or restricted stock awards to the extent shown in the table entitled "Outstanding Equity Awards as of December 31, 2017." The Compensation Committee reviews the vested and unvested stock options and restricted stock awards held by the executives each year.

Tax and Accounting Considerations

For 2017, Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code limited our ability to deduct annual compensation in excess of \$1,000,000 that was paid to each of our CEO and our three most highly paid executive officers (other than the CEO and the CFO) (each, a "2017 covered employee"), unless that compensation was "performance-based" within the meaning of Section 162(m) and the regulations

promulgated thereunder. The restricted stock awards that we granted in 2017 under the 2016 Plan did not qualify as performance-based compensation. We believe that all of our stock options granted in 2017 did so qualify and therefore were not subject to the deduction limitation of Section 162(m). The salary and bonuses paid to our executive officers in 2017 were not exempt from this deduction limit. Accordingly, we were unable to deduct some of the amounts recognized as ordinary income by our executive officers.

For 2018, Section 162(m) will limit our ability to deduct annual compensation in excess of \$1,000,000 that is paid to each of our CEO, CFO, and the three other most highly paid executive officers, as well as anyone who was a 2017 covered employee. There will no longer be any exception for performance-based compensation, except that the performance-based compensation exception described above will remain available for any payments made pursuant to binding agreements that were in place on November 2, 2017 and that are not materially modified. Accordingly, we expect that stock options granted on or before that date will continue to be exempt from the Section 162(m) deduction limit, but options granted after that date will not be exempt.

For 2017, we considered tax deductibility in the design and administration of our executive officer compensation plans and programs, but we did not regard deductibility as a necessary requirement for compensation arrangements otherwise designed to promote our strategic goals. For 2018 and future years, we will consider the deduction limitation imposed by Section 162(m) and its impact on our taxable income.

Rules under generally accepted accounting principles determine the manner in which we account for grants of equity-based compensation to our employees in our consolidated financial statements. Our accounting policies for equity-based compensation are further discussed in note 7 of our audited consolidated financial statements in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017, as filed with the SEC on March 2, 2018.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT⁽¹⁾

The Compensation Committee established by our Board of Directors is currently composed of Messrs. Ain, Ryan and Trimble. Our Board of Directors adopted a charter for the Compensation Committee in April 2004, which was most recently revised in February 2017. Under the charter, the Compensation Committee is responsible for recommending to the Board the compensation philosophy and policies that we should follow, particularly with respect to the compensation of the members of our senior management. The Committee is responsible for reviewing and approving the compensation of our executive officers, including our Chief Executive Officer. In addition, the Board has delegated to the Committee the authority to administer, review and make recommendations with respect to our incentive compensation plans and our equity-based plans.

The Compensation Committee has submitted the following report for inclusion in this proxy statement:

Our Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained in this proxy statement. Based on our Committee's review of, and the discussions with management with respect to the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, our Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement and incorporated by reference in our company's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017.

Compensation Committee

Mark S. Ain (Chairman)
Bruce J. Ryan
Charles R. Trimble

(1)

The material in this report is not soliciting material, is not deemed filed with the SEC and is not incorporated by reference in any of our filings under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, whether made on, before, or after the date of this proxy statement and irrespective of any incorporation language in such filing.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Summary Compensation Table For 2017

The following table provides information concerning the compensation earned by our CEO, our CFO and each of our three most highly compensated executive officers other than the CEO and CFO (collectively, "named executive officers") during 2017. In addition, we are providing information regarding the compensation earned by Robert W.B. Kits van Heyningen, who during a portion of 2017 served as a member of our Board of Directors and is the brother of Martin A. Kits van Heyningen, our President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors. We are treating Robert W.B. Kits van Heyningen as a "named executive officer" for purposes of our executive compensation disclosures (other than the Compensation Discussion and Analysis) in lieu of the information that we would otherwise provide in response to the disclosure requirements for director compensation and related-party transactions.

In 2017, the salary and bonus (including the non-equity incentive plan compensation) of our named executive officers as a percentage of total compensation ranged from 59% to 64%.

Martin A. Kits van Heyningen	2017	492,821	1,000	291,227	291,037	110,885	19,652
President, Chief Executive Officer and	2016	480,801	1,000	437,000		108,180	20,023
Chairman of the Board of Directors	2015	466,797	1,000		426,348	309,837	23,600
Donald W. Reilly(6)	2017	300,000	1,000	226,600		37,500	7,615
Chief Financial Officer	2016	9,863					
Brent C. Bruun	2017	354,830	1,000	127,201	127,118	139,057	23,100
Chief Operating Officer	2016	311,261	1,000	174,800		106,624	22,950
	2015	266,560	1,000	168,828		102,959	22,950
Robert J. Balog	2017	285,004	1,000	100,142	100,077	28,500	14,100
Senior Vice President, Engineering	2016	275,552	1,000	174,800		26,453	13,950
	2015	267,526	1,000	168,828		78,920	13,898
Felise B. Feingold	2017	271,590	1,000	95,825	95,765	23,764	6,025
Vice President, General Counsel	2016	263,636	1,000	174,800		23,072	6,035
	2015	255,957	1,000	168,828		66,080	6,047
Robert W.B. Kits van Heyningen(6)	2017	60,000	1,000				1,800
Vice President, Research and	2016	190,260	1,000	174,800			5,708
Development and Director	2015	251,844	1,000	168,828		62,804	6,557

(1)

Reflects annual holiday bonus earned and paid in 2017, 2016 and 2015.

(2)

Amounts shown do not reflect compensation actually received by the named executive officer. Instead, the amounts shown represent the aggregate grant date fair value, computed using the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification 718, *Compensation Stock Compensation* (ASC 718), of restricted stock awards granted during each year, excluding the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions.

- (3) Amounts shown do not reflect compensation actually received by the named executive officer. Instead, the amounts shown represent the aggregate grant date fair value, computed using the Black-Scholes option pricing model in accordance with ASC 718, of options granted during each year excluding the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. The assumptions made to determine the value of these awards are set forth in Note 7 of our Consolidated Financial Statements included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017, as filed with the SEC on March 2, 2018.
- (4) For 2017, the table reflects amounts that were earned under our management incentive plan for 2017 performance and that were determined and paid in March 2018. For 2016, the table reflects amounts that were earned under our management incentive plan for 2016 performance and that were determined and paid in March 2017. For 2015, the table reflects amounts that were earned under our management incentive plan for 2015 performance and that were determined and paid in March 2016.
- (5) Reflects the value of 401(k) matching contributions and auto and housing allowances. See "Compensation Discussion and Analysis – Other Compensation and Perquisites" for more information on these allowances. Named executive officers did not receive any other perquisites, personal benefits or property.
- (6) During 2017, Mr. Robert W.B. Kits van Heyningen worked on a part-time basis, at a base salary of \$5,000 per month, as approved by the Compensation Committee. Mr. Robert W.B. Kits van Heyningen did not participate in our non-equity incentive compensation plan for 2017.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Grants of Plan-Based Awards For 2017

The following table provides information regarding grants of plan-based awards made to our named executive officers during 2017.

Martin A. Kits van Heyningen	3/27/2017	443,539	885,969	37,099	117,843	7.85	291,
	3/30/2017						291,
Donald W. Reilly	3/30/2017	150,000	299,625	22,000			226,
	3/27/2017						226,
Brent C. Bruun	2/17/2017	212,898	425,263	16,204	51,471	7.85	127,
	3/27/2017						127,
Robert J. Balog	3/30/2017	114,001	227,718	12,757	40,522	7.85	100,
	3/27/2017						100,
Felise B. Feingold	3/30/2017	95,057	189,876	12,207	38,776	7.85	95,
	3/27/2017						95,
Robert W.B. Kits van Heyningen	3/30/2017						95,

(1) Reflects the respective dates on which the grants and 2017 management incentive plan were approved by the Compensation Committee.

(2) The amounts shown in these columns represent the executives' annual incentive opportunity under the 2017 management incentive plan, which has corporate performance goals, business unit performance goals and an element of individual performance, as determined by the Compensation Committee in its discretion. The thresholds for achievement of corporate performance goals and business unit performance goals vary by goal. All target and maximum amounts reflect payment of 100% of the bonus opportunity for individual performance. See "Compensation Discussion and Analysis – Annual Cash-based Incentive Compensation" for more information regarding this plan.

(3) Represents the grant of restricted stock awards under the 2016 Plan. The restricted stock awards were received without payment of cash consideration. The restricted stock awards vest in four equal installments on the dates below for each of the following named executive officers: Martin A. Kits van Heyningen: 4/03/2018,

3/26/2019, 4/03/2020 and 3/26/2021; Donald W. Reilly: 2/17/2018, 2/17/2019, 2/17/2020 and 2/17/2021
Brent C. Bruun: 4/10/2018, 3/19/2019, 4/10/2020 and 3/19/2021; Robert J. Balog: 4/12/2018, 3/17/2019,
4/12/2020 and 3/17/2021; and Felise Feingold: 4/07/2018, 3/22/2019, 4/07/2020 and 3/22/2021. See
"Compensation Discussion and Analysis – Equity Incentive Program" for more information regarding these
grants.

(4)

Represents the grant of non-qualified stock options under the 2016 Plan. Options represent the right to purchase shares of common stock at the price per share (equal to fair market value on the date of grant) indicated in the table. The options vest and become exercisable in four equal installments on the dates below for each of the following named executive officers: Martin A. Kits van Heyningen: 4/24/2018, 3/4/2019, 4/25/2020 and 3/4/2021; Brent C. Bruun: 4/17/2018, 3/11/2019, 4/18/2020 and 3/11/2021; Robert J. Balog: 4/15/2018, 3/13/2019, 4/16/2020 and 3/13/2021; and Felise Feingold: 4/20/2018, 3/8/2019, 4/21/2020 and 3/8/2021. See "Compensation Discussion and Analysis – Equity Incentive Program" for more information regarding these grants.

(5)

Reflects the grant date fair value of restricted stock awards granted to our named executive officers computed using the market price on the date of grant in accordance with ASC 718 and the grant date fair value of options granted to our named executive officers computed using the Black-Scholes option pricing model in accordance with ASC 718, in each case excluding the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2017

The following table provides information concerning outstanding equity awards held by the named executive officers on December 31, 2017.

Martin A. Kits van Heyningen					3/12/2014	11,313	117,090
					2/17/2016	37,500	388,125
					3/30/2017	37,099	383,975
	45,000	45,000	12.79	3/9/2020			
		117,843	7.85	3/30/2022			
Donald W. Reilly					2/17/2017	22,000	227,700
Brent C. Bruun					3/12/2014	3,300	34,155
					3/9/2015	6,600	68,310
					2/17/2016	15,000	155,250
		51,471	7.85	3/30/2022	3/30/2017	16,204	167,711
Robert J. Balog					3/12/2014	3,300	34,155
					3/9/2015	6,600	68,310
					2/17/2016	15,000	155,250
		40,522	7.85	3/30/2022	3/30/2017	12,757	132,035
Felise B. Feingold					3/12/2014	3,300	34,155
					3/9/2015	6,600	68,310
					2/17/2016	15,000	155,250
		38,776	7.85	3/30/2022	3/30/2017	12,207	126,342
Robert W.B. Kits van Heyningen					3/12/2014	3,300	34,155
					3/9/2015	6,600	68,310
					2/17/2016	15,000	155,250

(1)

Options granted before 2017 vest and become exercisable in equal installments on the first four anniversaries of the grant date. Options granted in 2017 vest and become exercisable as described in the footnotes to the "Grants of Plan-Based Awards for 2017" table.

(2)

Each option was granted five years prior to the option expiration date and has a five-year term.

- (3) Restricted stock awards granted before 2017 vest in equal installments on the first four anniversaries of the grant date. Restricted stock awards granted in 2017 vest as described in the footnotes to the "Grants of Plan-Based Awards for 2017" table.
- (4) Market value is calculated by multiplying the number of restricted stock awards that have not vested by \$10.35, which was the closing price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on December 29, 2017, the last trading day of 2017.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Option Exercises and Stock Vested During 2017

The following table provides information regarding the exercise of stock options and the vesting of restricted stock awards for each of our named executive officers during 2017.

Martin A. Kits van Heyningen	87,500	61,657	35,064	320,544
Donald W. Reilly				
Brent C. Bruun			14,881	134,334
Robert J. Balog			14,881	134,334
Felise B. Feingold			14,881	134,334
Robert W.B. Kits van Heyningen	26,250	33,199	14,881	134,334

(1)

The value realized equals the difference between the option exercise price and the closing price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on the date of exercise, multiplied by the number of shares for which the option was exercised.

(2)

Value realized is calculated by multiplying the number of restricted stock awards vested by the closing price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on the vesting date.

We have no pension plan or nonqualified deferred compensation plan, and accordingly the tables of pension benefits and nonqualified deferred compensation are omitted.

Pay Ratio Disclosure

In this section, we disclose the ratio of (i) the median of the annual total compensation for all of our full-time, part-time, temporary and seasonal employees (including employees of our consolidated U.S. and non-U.S. subsidiaries except as described below) other than our CEO for 2017, to (ii) the annual total compensation of our CEO for 2017. For 2017, we estimate that the ratio of the annual total compensation of our CEO to the median of the annual total compensation of all of our other employees was approximately 24 to 1. This pay ratio is a reasonable estimate calculated in a manner consistent with Item 402(u) of Regulation S-K using the data and assumptions summarized below. Because SEC rules for identifying the median compensated employee for purposes of this disclosure allow companies to adopt various methodologies and utilize various assumptions, the pay ratios reported by other companies may not be comparable to our pay ratio.

Date Used to Identify Median Employee

December 31, 2017

Employee Pool Used to Identify Median Employee

Our employee population on December 31, 2017 consisted of approximately 620 individuals representing 358 U.S. employees and 262 non-U.S. employees. In determining the median employee, we excluded employees from certain non-U.S. countries under the de minimis exemption under applicable SEC regulations. The list of

excluded countries, together with the number of employees excluded in each country, was as follows: Cyprus (3); Germany (1); Hong Kong (1); Japan (1); Norway (8); Singapore (11); and Spain (1). In total, we excluded 26 employees under the de minimis exemption, representing approximately 4% of our total employee population as of December 31, 2017. Our employee pool for purposes of calculating our pay ratio included 594 employees, representing 358 U.S. employees and 236 non-U.S. employees.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Measure Used to Identify Median Employee

Total salary plus bonus as derived from our payroll records. For purposes of determining the median employee, we annualized the compensation of full-time and part-time employees who commenced employment after January 1, 2017 or who had an unpaid leave of absence during 2017, but we did not annualize the compensation of any seasonal or temporary employees. For non-U.S. employees, we translated compensation paid in non-U.S. currencies to U.S. dollars using the December 31 exchange rate.

Median Employee Annual Total Compensation

\$52,079. We calculated the median employee's annual total compensation in the same manner as we calculated the annual total compensation of our CEO in the Summary Compensation Table and then added an estimate of the value of the median employee's health and welfare benefits.

CEO Annual Total Compensation

\$1,225,357, which is \$18,735 more than the amount disclosed in the Summary Compensation Table. The additional amount reflects an estimate of the value of our CEO's health and welfare benefits that under SEC rules are not required to be reported in the Summary Compensation Table.

Pay Ratio

24:1

Director Compensation

Our director compensation program for 2017 was unchanged from 2016. At the first meeting of the Board of Directors following the annual meeting of stockholders, continuing non-employee directors automatically receive a restricted stock award of 5,000 shares of our common stock. Each restricted stock award vests in four equal quarterly installments after the date of grant. In accordance with this policy, each of Messrs. Ain, Honey, Ryan and Trimble, our non-employee directors, received a restricted stock award of 5,000 shares of common stock effective August 1, 2017, the fair value of which was \$54,000 on the date of grant.

In addition, each non-employee director who is appointed to serve on the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors will receive, on the date of his or her initial appointment, a restricted stock award of 5,000 shares of our common stock and an additional restricted stock award of 5,000 shares on each annual reappointment to the Audit Committee. Each restricted stock award vests in four equal quarterly installments after the date of grant. In accordance with this policy, each of Messrs. Ain, Honey, Ryan and Trimble received a restricted stock award of 5,000 shares of common stock effective August 1, 2017, the fair value of which was \$54,000 on the date of grant.

Each newly elected non-employee director will automatically receive on the date of his or her election a

restricted stock award of 10,000 shares of our common stock. Each initial grant will vest in four equal quarterly installments after the date of grant. In accordance with this policy, Mr. Dodez, who was newly elected to our Board of Directors on June 7, 2017, received a restricted stock award of 10,000 shares of common stock on that date, the fair value of which was \$95,000 on the date of grant.

We also paid our non-employee directors a \$26,250 annual retainer and \$2,625 for each regularly scheduled quarterly Board meeting attended during 2017.

Non-employee directors who also served as members of the Audit and Compensation Committees received additional annual compensation of \$3,150 and \$2,100, respectively, except that the Chairman of each of the Audit and Compensation Committees received additional annual compensation of \$6,825 and \$3,150, respectively, during 2017. No other cash compensation was paid for attending any other Board or Committee meetings. Directors who are employees did not receive separate fees for their services as directors.

Edgar Filing: KVH INDUSTRIES INC \DE\ - Form DEF 14A

We paid compensation to Martin A. Kits van Heyningen and Robert W.B. Kits van Heyningen as set forth in the tables entitled "Summary Compensation Table For 2017," "Grants of Plan-Based Awards For 2017," and "Option Exercises and Stock Vested During 2017".

30 KVH Industries, Inc. 2018 Proxy Statement

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Director Compensation Table for 2017

The following table provides information regarding the compensation of our directors who are not named executive officers for 2017.

Bruce J. Ryan	45,675	108,000	153,675
Mark S. Ain	43,050	108,000	151,150
Charles R. Trimble	42,000	108,000	150,000
Stanley K. Honey	39,900	108,000	147,900
James S. Dodez	34,125	95,000	129,125

(1)

Amounts shown do not reflect compensation actually received by the director. Instead, the amounts shown represent the aggregate grant date fair value, computed using the market price on the date of grant in accordance with ASC 718, of restricted stock awards granted during 2017, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures.

(2)

Amounts shown reflect actual cash earned during 2017 as well as the aggregate grant-date fair value of stock awards granted during 2017. Refer to the "Outstanding Director Equity Awards" table for information concerning outstanding equity awards held by our non-employee directors.

Outstanding Director Equity Awards at December 31, 2017

The following table provides information concerning outstanding equity awards held by our directors who were not named executive officers on December 31, 2017.

Bruce J. Ryan	8/1/2017	7,500 ⁽²⁾	77,625
Mark S. Ain	8/1/2017	7,500 ⁽²⁾	77,625
Charles R. Trimble	8/1/2017	7,500 ⁽²⁾	77,625
Stanley K. Honey	8/1/2017	7,500 ⁽²⁾	77,625
James S. Dodez	6/7/2017	5,000 ⁽²⁾	51,750

(1)

Value is calculated by multiplying the number of restricted stock awards that have not vested by \$10.35, the closing price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on December 29, 2016, the last trading day of 2017.

(2)

Other than for Mr. Dodez, amounts reflect restricted stock awards granted on August 1, 2017, which vest in four equal quarterly installments, the first of which vested on November 1, 2017. For Mr. Dodez, the amount reflects a restricted stock award granted on June 7, 2017, which vests in four equal quarterly installments, the first two of which vested on September 7, 2017 and December 7, 2017, respectively.

Table of Contents**EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS**

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2017 regarding shares authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plans, including individual compensation arrangements.

The equity compensation plans approved by our stockholders as of December 31, 2017 were our 2016 Equity and Incentive Plan (2016 Plan), our 2006 Plan, and our Amended and Restated 2003 Incentive and Nonqualified Stock Option Plan. Under the 2016 Plan, each share issued under awards other than options and stock appreciation rights reduces the number of shares reserved for issuance by two shares (but reduces the maximum annual number of shares that may be granted to a participant only by one share), and each share issued under options or stock appreciation rights reduces the number of shares reserved for issuance by one share. The following

table does not reflect grants from January 1, 2018 through April 13, 2018 of 20,000 restricted stock awards with a weighted-average grant-date fair value of \$10.00 per share. The restricted stock awards and stock options reflected in the table were granted on the following terms as determined by the Compensation Committee: (a) in the case of restricted stock awards, the grantee received the restricted stock award without payment of cash consideration, (b) in the case of stock options, the exercise price per share of the stock option was equal to the closing price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on the date of the grant, and (c) the total number of shares subject to the award will vest in four equal annual installments, the first of which vests on the first anniversary of the grant date. As of December 31, 2017, we did not have any equity compensation plans not approved by our stockholders.

Equity Compensation Plan Information as of December 31, 2017

Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders	1,064,223 ⁽¹⁾	10.06	2,668,317 ⁽²⁾
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders			
Total	1,064,223 ⁽¹⁾	10.06	2,668,317 ⁽²⁾

(1)

Does not include 604,295 shares of restricted stock granted under the 2016 Plan which were not vested as of December 31, 2017 and therefore subject to forfeiture. The weighted-average grant-date fair value of these shares of restricted stock was \$9.59.

(2)

Each share issued under awards other than options or stock appreciation rights reduces the number of shares reserved for issuance by two shares (but reduces the maximum annual number of shares that may be granted to a participant only by one share), and each share issued under options or stock appreciation rights reduces the shares reserved for issuance by one share. Includes 953,578 shares of common stock reserved for issuance under our Amended and Restated 1996 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

Table of Contents

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

At the close of business on April 13, 2018, there were 17,506,737 shares of our common stock outstanding. On April 13, 2018, the closing price of our common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market was \$10.75 per share.

Principal stockholders

The following table provides, to the knowledge of management, information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of April 13, 2018, or as otherwise noted, by:

each person known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than five percent of our common stock;

each of our directors;

each executive officer named in the summary compensation table; and

all of our current directors and executive officers as a group.

Table of Contents**SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT**

The persons named in this table have sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares listed, except as otherwise indicated. The inclusion of shares listed as beneficially owned does not constitute an admission of beneficial ownership. Shares included in the "Right to acquire" column consist of shares that may be purchased through the exercise of options that are vested or will vest within 60 days after April 13, 2018.

5% Stockholders

Vintage Capital Management, LLC(1) 4705 S. Apopka Vineland Road, Suite 206 Orlando, FL 32819	1,700,000	1,700,000	9.7	
Systematic Financial Management, LP(2) 300 Frank W. Burr Blvd., Glenpointe East, 7th Floor Teaneck, NJ 07666	1,443,468	1,443,468	8.3	
Dimensional Fund Advisors LP(3) Building One 6300 Bee Cave Road Austin, TX 78746	1,266,228	1,266,228	7.2	
BlackRock, Inc.(4) 55 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10055	1,133,537	1,133,537	6.5	
Needham Investment Management, L.L.C.(5) 445 Park Avenue New York, NY 10022	1,116,973	1,116,973	6.4	
Directors				
Martin A. Kits van Heyningen(6)	640,610	96,961	737,571	4.2
Stanley K. Honey(7)	136,875	2,500	139,375	*
Mark S. Ain(8)	133,246	2,500	135,746	*
Charles R. Trimble(9)	102,000	2,500	104,500	*
Bruce J. Ryan	90,000	2,500	92,500	*

James S. Dodez(10)	11,400	2,500	13,900	*
Other Named Executive Officers				
Brent C. Bruun	104,700	12,868	117,568	*
Robert J. Balog	75,398	10,131	85,529	*
Felise B. Feingold	43,036	9,694	52,730	*
Donald W. Reilly	20,007		20,007	*
All current directors and executive officers as a group (13 persons)(11)	1,472,906	153,850	1,626,756	9.2

*

Less than one percent.

(1)

Information is based on a Schedule 13G/A filed jointly by Vintage Capital Management, LLC, Kahn Capital Management, LLC, and Brian R. Kahn with the SEC on January 29, 2018. The Schedule 13G/A indicates that Kahn Capital Management, LLC is a member and majority owner of Vintage Capital Management, LLC and that Brian R. Kahn is the manager and a member of Vintage Capital Management, LLC and the manager and sole member of Kahn Capital Management, LLC. The Schedule 13G/A states that each reporting person may be deemed to share voting and dispositive power for all 1,700,000 shares.

(2)

Information is based on a Schedule 13G filed by Systematic Financial Management, L.P. with the SEC on February 9, 2018. The Schedule 13G states that Systematic Financial Management, L.P. has sole voting power for 1,099,806 shares and sole dispositive power for 1,443,468 shares.

(3)

Information is based on a Schedule 13G/A filed by Dimensional Fund Advisors LP with the SEC on February 9, 2018. The Schedule 13G/A states that Dimensional Fund Advisors LP has sole voting power for 1,216,560 shares and sole dispositive power for 1,266,228 shares.

(4)

Information is based on a Schedule 13G/A filed by BlackRock, Inc. with the SEC on January 25, 2018. The Schedule 13G/A states that BlackRock, Inc. has sole voting power for 1,103,888 shares and sole dispositive power for 1,133,537 shares.

(5)

Information is based on a Schedule 13G/A filed jointly by Needham Investment Management L.L.C., Needham Asset Management, LLC and George A. Needham with the SEC on February 14, 2018. The Schedule 13G/A indicates that Needham Asset Management, LLC is the managing member of Needham Investment Management L.L.C. and that George A. Needham is a control person of Needham Asset Management, LLC. The Schedule 13G/A states that each reporting person may be deemed to share voting and dispositive power for all 1,116,973 shares.

(6)

Includes 9,869 shares of common stock held by Martin A. Kits van Heyningen's spouse, who is our creative director.

(7) Includes 128,000 shares of common stock held in trust for Stanley K. Honey and spouse.

(8) Includes 43,000 shares of common stock held in trust for Mark S. Ain.

(9) Includes 93,500 shares of common stock held by Charles R. Trimble's spouse.

(10) Includes 1,400 shares of common stock held by James S. Dodez's spouse / child.

(11) Includes 2,523 shares of common stock held by Daniel R. Conway's spouse.

Table of Contents

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our executive officers and directors and persons who beneficially own more than ten percent of our common stock to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC. SEC regulations require executive officers, directors and greater-than-ten-percent stockholders to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

Based solely upon a review of Forms 3, 4, and 5, and amendments thereto, furnished to us with respect to 2017, we believe that all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to our executive officers, directors and greater than-ten-percent stockholders were fulfilled in a timely manner, except that James S. Dodez did not timely file a Form 3 in connection with his election as a director.

Table of Contents

BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD

Director Independence

A majority of our directors are independent directors under the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market. Our Board of Directors has determined that our independent directors are Messrs. Ain, Honey, Ryan and Trimble.

Board Meetings

During 2017, our Board of Directors met seven times. Each incumbent director, other than Robert Kits van Heyningen (who was on a medical leave of absence), attended at least 75% of the total number of meetings held by the Board and the committees of the Board on which he served during 2017. To the extent reasonably practicable, directors are expected to attend Board meetings, meetings of committees on which they serve, and our annual meeting of stockholders. Last year, one of the six individuals then serving as directors attended the annual meeting.

Board Leadership Structure

Martin A. Kits van Heyningen currently serves as our President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board. The Board has determined that, at present, combining the positions of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer serves the best interests of KVH and our stockholders. The Board believes that the CEO's extensive knowledge of our businesses, expertise and leadership skills make him a more effective Chairman than an independent director.

The functions of the Board are carried out by the full Board, and when delegated, by the Board committees. The Board has delegated significant authority to the Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees, each of which is comprised entirely of independent directors. The independent directors typically meet in an executive session at regularly scheduled Board meetings and additional executive sessions may be convened at any time at the request of a director.

The independent directors have designated Mr. Ain to serve as our Lead Independent Director. The Lead Independent Director will, among other functions, preside at all meetings of the Board at which the Chairman is not present and will serve as a liaison between the CEO and the independent directors. The Lead Independent Director also presides at executive sessions of the independent directors.

Risk Management

Our Board of Directors administers its risk oversight role both directly and through its Committee structure. The Board consists of only six directors, four of whom are independent directors and one of whom is our President and CEO. Of the four independent directors, three serve on each of the three principal Board committees, which makes them knowledgeable about the aspects of our business under the jurisdiction of those committees. The Board's Audit Committee meets frequently during the year and discusses with management, our CFO and our independent auditor: (a) current business trends affecting us; (b) the major risk exposures that we face; (c) the steps management has taken to monitor and control these risks; and (d) the adequacy of internal controls that could significantly affect our financial statements. The Board also receives regular reports from senior management about business plans and opportunities, as well as the challenges and risks associated with implementing those plans and taking advantage of new opportunities.

Board Committees

Our Board of Directors has three standing committees: the Audit Committee, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and the Compensation Committee. Each member of the Audit Committee, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and the Compensation Committee meets the independence requirements of the NASDAQ Stock Market for membership on the committees on which he serves. The Audit Committee, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Compensation Committee each have the authority to retain independent advisors and consultants. We pay the fees and expenses of these advisors. Our Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for each of the Audit Committee, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Compensation Committee. We have

Edgar Filing: KVH INDUSTRIES INC \DE\ - Form DEF 14A

made each of these charters available through the Investors Relations page of our website at <http://kvh.com/ircharters>.

Audit Committee

As of December 31, 2017, our Audit Committee was comprised of Messrs. Ain, Honey, Ryan, and Trimble. Our Audit Committee provides the opportunity for direct contact between our independent registered public accounting firm and members of the Board of Directors;

Table of Contents

BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD

the auditors report directly to the Committee. The Committee assists the Board in overseeing the integrity of our financial statements, our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, our independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence, and the performance of our independent registered public accounting firm. The Committee is directly responsible for appointing, compensating, evaluating and, when necessary, terminating our independent registered public accounting firm. Our Audit Committee has established procedures for the treatment of complaints regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, including procedures for the confidential and anonymous submission by our employees of concerns regarding questionable accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters. Our Board has determined that Mr. Ryan is an Audit Committee financial expert under the rules of the SEC. Our Audit Committee met six times during 2017. For additional information regarding the Audit Committee, please see "Report of the Audit Committee."

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

As of December 31, 2017, our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee was comprised of Messrs. Ain, Honey, Ryan and Trimble. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee's responsibilities include providing recommendations to our Board of Directors regarding nominees for director and membership on the committees of our Board. An additional function of the committee is to develop corporate governance practices to recommend to our Board and to assist our Board in complying with those practices. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met once during 2017.

Compensation Committee

As of December 31, 2017, our Compensation Committee was comprised of Messrs. Ain, Ryan and Trimble. The Compensation Committee's responsibilities include providing recommendations to our Board regarding the compensation levels of directors, reviewing and approving the compensation levels of executive officers, providing recommendations to our Board regarding compensation programs, administering our incentive-compensation plans and equity-based plans, authorizing grants under our stock option and incentive plans, and authorizing other equity compensation arrangements. Our Compensation Committee met twice during 2017. For more information regarding the authority of the Compensation Committee, the extent of delegation to the Compensation Committee, our processes and procedures for determining executive compensation and the role of executive officers and compensation consultants in determining or recommending the amount or form of compensation for

directors and executive officers, please see "Compensation Discussion and Analysis."

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During 2017, the members of our Compensation Committee were Messrs. Ain, Ryan and Trimble. No member of our Compensation Committee has ever been an officer or employee of ours or any of our subsidiaries. None of our executive officers serves as a director or member of the compensation committee of another entity in a case where an executive officer of such other entity serves as a director of ours or a member of our Compensation Committee.

Director Candidates and Selection Processes

The process followed by our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to identify and evaluate director candidates includes, as necessary, requests to our Board members and others for recommendations, meetings from time to time to evaluate biographical information and background materials relating to potential candidates, and interviews of selected candidates by members of the Committee and other members of our Board. The Committee may also solicit the opinions of third parties with whom the potential candidate has had a business relationship. Once the committee is satisfied that it has collected sufficient information on which to base a judgment, the committee votes on the candidate or candidates under consideration.

In evaluating the qualifications of any candidate for director, the Committee considers, among other factors, the candidate's depth of business experience, reputation for personal integrity, understanding of financial matters, familiarity with the periodic financial reporting process, reputation, degree of independence from management, possible conflicts of interest and willingness and ability to serve. The Committee also

Edgar Filing: KVH INDUSTRIES INC \DE\ - Form DEF 14A

considers whether the candidate will add diversity to the Board, including the degree to which the candidate's skills, experience and background complement or duplicate those of our existing directors and the long-term interests of our stockholders. In the case of incumbent directors whose terms are set to expire, the Committee also gives consideration to each director's prior contributions to the Board. The minimum qualifications that each director must possess consist of general familiarity with fundamental financial statements, ten years of relevant business experience, no identified conflicts of interest, no convictions in a criminal proceeding

Table of Contents

BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD

during the five years prior to the date of selection and the willingness to execute and comply with our code of ethics. Although the Committee considers diversity as a factor in assessing any nomination, the Board does not have a formal policy with regard to diversity in identifying director nominees. In selecting candidates to recommend for nomination as a director, the Committee abides by our company-wide non-discrimination policy.

The Committee will consider director candidates recommended by stockholders and use the same process to evaluate candidates regardless of whether the candidates were recommended by stockholders, directors, management or others. The Committee has not adopted any particular method that stockholders must follow to make a recommendation. We suggest that stockholders make recommendations by writing to the Chairman of the Board who will in turn forward the nomination to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, in care of our offices, with sufficient information about the candidate, his or her work experience, his or her qualifications for director, and his or her references as will enable the Committee to evaluate the candidacy properly. We also suggest that stockholders make their recommendations well in advance of the anticipated mailing date of our next proxy statement so as to provide our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee an adequate opportunity to complete a thorough evaluation of the candidacy, including personal interviews. We remind stockholders of the separate requirements set forth in our by-laws for nominating individuals to serve as directors, which we discuss elsewhere in this proxy statement.

Corporate Governance

Our board believes that our corporate governance practices have been fundamental to our success. We seek to ensure that good governance and responsible business principles and practices are part of our culture and values and the way we do business. To maintain and enhance our corporate governance, the Board of Directors and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee periodically refine our corporate governance policies, procedures and practices.

Majority Voting in Uncontested Director Elections

Our by-laws provide for majority voting in uncontested director elections. A contested election is an election in which the number of director candidates exceeds the number of available director positions. Our by-laws require that, in order for a nominee for election to the Board of Directors in an uncontested election to be elected, he or she must receive a majority of the votes properly cast at the meeting. Ballots for uncontested elections, including the elections at the 2018 Annual meeting, will allow

stockholders to vote "FOR" or "AGAINST" each nominee and will also allow stockholders to abstain from voting on any nominee. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of any election for director. Under our by-laws and in accordance with Delaware law, an incumbent director's term extends until his or her successor is duly elected and qualified, or until he or she resigns or is removed from office. Thus, an incumbent director who fails to receive the required vote for re-election at our annual meeting would continue serving as a director (sometimes referred to as a "holdover director") until his or her term ends for one of the foregoing reasons. In order to address the situation where an incumbent director receives more votes "AGAINST" his or her re-election than votes "FOR" his or her re-election, the Board has adopted a policy to the effect that, in order for an incumbent director in an uncontested election to be nominated for re-election, that director should tender a resignation that would become effective only upon both (i) the failure to obtain the requisite majority vote and (ii) the acceptance of the resignation by the Board of Directors. If an incumbent director were to fail to obtain the requisite majority vote for re-election, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (or another appropriate committee) and the Board would consider the resignation in light of the surrounding circumstances. The policy adopted by the Board states that the Board will publicly announce its decision regarding the resignation within 90 days after certification of the results of the applicable annual meeting.

Communications with our Board of Directors

Our Board, including all of the independent directors, has established a process for facilitating stockholder communications with our Board. Stockholders wishing to communicate with our Board should send written correspondence to the attention of our corporate secretary, Felise B. Feingold, KVH Industries, Inc., 50 Enterprise Center, Middletown, RI 02842, USA, and should include with the correspondence evidence that the sender of the communication is one of our stockholders. Satisfactory evidence would include, for example, contemporaneous correspondence from a brokerage firm indicating the identity of the stockholder and the number of shares held. Our secretary will forward all mail to each member of our Board of Directors.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics that applies to all of our directors, executive officers and employees, including our principal executive officer and principal financial and accounting officer. The code of ethics includes provisions

38 KVH Industries, Inc. 2018 Proxy Statement

Table of Contents

BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD

covering compliance with laws and regulations, insider trading practices, conflicts of interest, confidentiality, protection and proper use of our assets, accounting and record keeping, fair competition and fair dealing, business gifts and entertainment, payments to government personnel and the reporting of illegal or unethical behavior.

You can obtain a copy of our code of ethics through the Investor Relations page of our website at <http://kvh.com/ircoe>.

Certain Relationships and Related-Party Transactions

Pursuant to our Code of Ethics, our executive officers, directors and employees are to avoid conflicts of interest, except with the approval of the Board of Directors. A related-party transaction would be a conflict of interest. Pursuant to its charter, the Audit Committee must review and approve in advance all related-party transactions. It is our policy that the Audit Committee review and approve transactions involving us and "related parties" (which includes our directors, director nominees and executive officers and their immediate family members, as well as stockholders known by us to own five percent or more of our common stock and their immediate family members). The policy applies to any transaction in which we are a participant and any related party has a direct or indirect material interest, where the amount involved in the transaction exceeds \$120,000 in a single calendar year, excluding transactions in which standing pre-approval has been given. Pre-approved transactions include:

compensation of directors and executive officers provided that such compensation is approved by the Board of Directors or Compensation Committee or such compensation plan or other arrangement is generally available to full-time employees in the same jurisdiction; and

transactions where the related party's interest arises solely from ownership of our common stock and such interest is proportionate to the interests of stockholders. The Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing the material facts of all related-party transactions, subject to the exceptions described above. The Audit Committee

will either approve or disapprove the entry into the related-party transaction. If advance approval is not feasible, the transaction will be considered and, if the Audit Committee determines it to be appropriate, ratified at the Audit Committee's next regularly scheduled meeting. In determining whether to approve or ratify a transaction with a related party, the Audit Committee will take into account, among other factors that it determines to be appropriate:

whether the transaction is on terms no less favorable than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third party under the same or similar circumstances;

the business reasons for the transaction;

whether the transaction would impair the independence of an outside director; and

the extent of the related party's interest in the transaction.

Except as stated below, as of the date of this proxy statement there have been no reportable related-party transactions since January 1, 2017, nor are there any pending related-party transactions.

Edgar Filing: KVH INDUSTRIES INC \DE\ - Form DEF 14A

Kathleen Keating, the spouse of Mr. Martin A. Kits van Heyningen, serves as our senior director of creative and customer experience. For fiscal year 2017, total individual compensation for Kathleen Keating, based on total salary, bonus, aggregate grant date fair value of stock option awards granted during the year and all other compensation, as calculated in a manner consistent with our Summary Compensation Table for 2017, was approximately \$205,455.

Mark S. Ain, a director, is a minority owner of and advisor to ETS International, a ground transportation service company. In 2017, we paid ETS International \$30,220 for services rendered in 2017. The Audit Committee has determined such services are reasonable, in our best interest and on terms no less favorable than could be obtained from an unrelated third party. In assessing Mr. Ain's independence, our Board of Directors was aware of this information and concluded that it had no impact on his independence as a director.

Table of Contents

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT⁽¹⁾

The Board of Directors appointed an Audit Committee to monitor the integrity of our company's consolidated financial statements, its system of internal control over financial reporting and the independence and performance of our independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee also selects our company's independent registered public accounting firm. Our Board of Directors adopted a charter for the Audit Committee in February 2004, which was most recently revised in February 2017. The Audit Committee currently consists of four independent directors. Each member of the Audit Committee meets the independence requirements of the NASDAQ Stock Market for membership on the Audit Committee.

Our company's management is responsible for the financial reporting process, including the system of internal control over financial reporting, and for the preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Our company's independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for auditing those consolidated financial statements and auditing the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to monitor and review these processes. We have relied, without independent verification, on the information provided to us and on the representations made by our company's management and independent registered public accounting firm.

In fulfilling our oversight responsibilities, we discussed with representatives of Grant Thornton LLP, our company's independent registered public accounting firm, the overall scope and plans for their audit of our company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2017. We met with them, with and without our company's management present, to discuss the results of their audits of our consolidated financial statements and of our company's internal control over financial reporting and to discuss with them the overall quality of our company's financial reporting.

We reviewed and discussed the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2017 with management and the independent registered public accounting firm.

We discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) Auditing Standard No. 16, *Communications with Audit Committees*, as amended, codified at PCAOB AS 1301. In addition, we have discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm its independence from our company and our company's management, including the matters in the written disclosures and letter which we received from the independent registered public accounting firm under applicable requirements of the PCAOB. We also considered whether the independent registered public accounting firm's performance of non-audit services for our company is compatible with the auditors' independence, and concluded that the performance of such non-audit services did not impair the auditors' independence.

Based on our review and these meetings, discussions and reports, and subject to the limitations on our role and responsibilities referred to above and in the Audit Committee charter, we recommended to the Board of Directors that our company's audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2017 be included in our company's annual report on Form 10-K for that year.

The Audit Committee

Bruce J. Ryan (Chairman)
Mark S. Ain
Stanley K. Honey
Charles R. Trimble

(1)

The material in this report is not soliciting material, is not deemed filed with the SEC and is not incorporated by reference in any of our filings under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, whether made on, before, or after the date of this proxy statement and irrespective of any incorporation language in such filing.

Table of Contents**PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES**

We expect that representatives of Grant Thornton LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, will be present at the annual meeting. They will have an opportunity to make a statement if they wish and, if present, will be available to respond to appropriate questions from stockholders.

Fees for Professional Services

The following table provides a summary of the fees for professional services rendered by Grant Thornton LLP for 2017 and 2016.

Audit fees ⁽¹⁾	\$ 959,670	\$ 760,617
Audit-related fees ⁽²⁾	21,017	21,740
Tax fees ⁽³⁾	89,099	68,495
Total fees	\$ 1,069,786	\$ 850,851

(1)

Audit fees consist of amounts billed for professional services rendered for the integrated audit of our consolidated financial statements, including compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, review of the interim condensed consolidated financial statements included in quarterly reports, the statutory audits of our foreign locations, including additional audit procedures associated with the new revenue accounting standard, ASC 606, and the new Tax Cuts and Jobs Act.

(2)

Audit-related fees for 2017 consist of amounts billed arising from the filing of a registration statement on Form S-3, and audit-related fees for 2016 consist of amounts billed for translation of statutory statements for our Denmark location, an SEC comment letter and the filing of a registration statement on Form S-8.

(3)

Tax fees consist of amounts billed arising from services rendered for tax compliance for our Denmark, Norway, Singapore, Cyprus, United Kingdom and Hong Kong locations.

We did not engage Grant Thornton LLP to provide any other services during or with respect to 2017 or 2016.

Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

Our Audit Committee approves each engagement for audit or non-audit services before we engage our independent registered public accounting firm to provide those services.

Our Audit Committee has not established any pre-approval policies or procedures that would allow our management to engage our independent registered public accounting firm to provide any specified services with only an obligation to notify the Audit Committee of the engagement for those services.

Table of Contents

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

Stockholder proposals for inclusion in our proxy materials relating to our 2019 annual meeting of stockholders must be received by us at our executive offices no later than December 27, 2018 or, if the date of that meeting is more than 30 calendar days before or after June 5, 2019, a reasonable time before we begin to print and mail our proxy materials with respect to that meeting.

In addition, our by-laws provide that a stockholder desiring to bring business before any meeting of stockholders or to nominate any person for election to the Board of Directors must give timely written notice to our secretary in accordance with the procedural requirements set forth in our by-laws. In the case of an annual meeting (including a special meeting in lieu of an annual meeting), written notice must be delivered to or mailed and received at our principal executive offices not earlier than the 120th day prior to the "Specified Date" and not later than the close of business on the 90th day prior to the "Specified Date," regardless of any postponements, deferrals or adjournments, must describe the nomination or other business to be brought before the meeting and must provide specific information about, among other things, the stockholder, other

supporters of the proposal, their stock ownership and their interest in the proposed business. Under our by-laws, the "Specified Date" is the first Wednesday in May in each year (unless that day is a legal holiday in the meeting location, in which case it is the next succeeding day that is not a legal holiday). For example, if we were to hold our 2019 annual meeting on May 1, 2019, in order to bring an item of business before the 2019 annual meeting in accordance with our by-laws, a stockholder would be required to have delivered the requisite notice of that item of business to us not earlier than December 31, 2018 and not later than the close of business on January 31, 2019. If we were to hold our 2019 annual meeting before May 1, 2019, and if we were to give less than 105 days' notice or prior public disclosure of the date of that meeting, then the stockholder's notice must be delivered to or mailed and received at our principal executive offices not later than the close of business on the tenth day after the earlier of (1) the day on which we mailed notice of the date of the meeting and (2) the day on which we publicly disclosed the date of the meeting.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Stockholders of record on April 13, 2018 will receive a proxy statement and our annual report to stockholders, which contains detailed financial information about us. The annual report is not incorporated herein and is not deemed a part of this proxy statement.

