LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP Form 10-K February 28, 2007

### **Table of Contents**

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 Form 10-K

p ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006

۸r

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from to

Commission file number 001-32373

#### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

27-0099920

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

3355 Las Vegas Boulevard South Las Vegas, Nevada 89109

(Zip Code)

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant s telephone number, including Area Code: (702) 414-1000

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:** 

**Title of Each Class** 

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common Stock (\$0.001 par value)

New York Stock Exchange

# Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports); and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. No b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer b Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No b

As of June 30, 2006, the aggregate market value of the registrant s common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$8,218,219,702 based on the closing sale price on that date as reported on the New York Stock Exchange.

The Company had 354,682,930 shares of common stock outstanding as of February 23, 2007.

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

### **Description of document**

Part of the Form 10-K

Portions of the definitive Proxy Statement to be used in connection with the registrant s 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Part III (Item 10 through Item 14)

# Las Vegas Sands Corp.

		Page
PART I		1
<u>ITEM 1.</u>	BUSINESS	1
<u>ITEM 1A.</u>	RISK FACTORS	22
<u>ITEM 1B.</u>	UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	39
<u>ITEM 2.</u>	<u>PROPERTIES</u>	39
<u>ITEM 3.</u>	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	40
<u>ITEM 4.</u>	SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS	41
<u>PART II</u>		42
ITEM 5.	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER	
	MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	42
<u>ITEM 6.</u>	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	43
<u>ITEM 7.</u>	MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION	
	AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	45
<u>ITEM 7A.</u>	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	68
<u>ITEM 8.</u>	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	70
<u>ITEM 9.</u>	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING	
	AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	123
ITEM 9A.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	123
ITEM 9B.	OTHER INFORMATION	124
PART III		124
<u>ITEM 10.</u>	DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	124
<u>ITEM 11.</u>	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	124
<u>ITEM 12.</u>	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND	
	MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	124
<u>ITEM 13.</u>	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR	
	INDEPENDENCE	124
<u>ITEM 14.</u>	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES	124
PART IV		125
<u>ITEM 15.</u>	EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	125
<u>EX-4.4</u>		
EX-10.74		
EX-10.76 EX-10.77		
EX-10.78		
EX-21.1		
EX-23.1		
EX-31.1 EX-31.2		
EX-32.1		
EX-32.2		

#### **Table of Contents**

#### PART I

#### ITEM 1. BUSINESS

#### Overview

Las Vegas Sands Corp. and its subsidiaries ( we or the Company ) own and operate The Venetian Resort Hotel Casino (also referred to as The Venetian ) and The Sands Expo and Convention Center (also referred to as The Sands Expo Center ) in Las Vegas, Nevada, and The Sands Macao Casino (also referred to as The Sands Macao ) in Macao, China. We are also in the process of developing additional integrated resorts and properties in Las Vegas and Macao, including The Palazzo Resort Hotel Casino (also referred to as The Palazzo ), which will be adjacent to and connected with The Venetian, The Venetian Macao Resort Hotel Casino (also referred to as The Venetian Macao ) and other casino resort properties on the Cotai Strip<sup>TM</sup> in Macao. We recently were awarded licenses to develop Marina Bay Sands, an integrated resort in Singapore, and Sands Bethworks in Bethlehem, Pennsylvania. We also are exploring other integrated resort opportunities in Asia, Europe and the United States.

### **Our Company**

Las Vegas Sands Corp. was incorporated as a Nevada corporation in August 2004. Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange (the NYSE) under the symbol LVS. Immediately prior to our initial public offering in December 2004, we acquired 100% of the capital stock of Las Vegas Sands, Inc., a Nevada corporation and the direct or indirect owner and operator of The Venetian, The Sands Expo Center and The Sands Macao, by merging Las Vegas Sands, Inc. with and into our wholly-owned subsidiary, with Las Vegas Sands, Inc. as the surviving subsidiary. Las Vegas Sands, Inc. was incorporated in Nevada in April 1988. In July 2005, Las Vegas Sands, Inc. was converted into a limited liability company and changed its name to Las Vegas Sands, LLC.

Our principal executive office is located at 3355 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Las Vegas, Nevada 89109. Our telephone number at that address is (702) 414-1000. Our website address is *www.lasvegassands.com*. The information on our website is not part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements and other Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) filings, and any amendments to those reports that we file with or furnish to the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are made available free of charge on our website as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC.

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains certain forward-looking statements. See Item 7 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.

We review the results of operations based on the following geographic segments: (1) Las Vegas, which includes The Venetian, The Sands Expo Center and The Palazzo (currently under construction) and (2) Macao, which includes The Sands Macao, The Venetian Macao (currently under construction) and other development projects. In addition, Singapore, which includes the Marina Bay Sands (currently in development), will be reported as a separate segment. See Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Note 15 Segment Information.

### **Operations**

# The Venetian

The Venetian opened in May 1999. The Venetian currently has 4,027 single and multiple bedroom suites situated in a 3,014 suite 35-story, three-winged tower rising above the casino and the 1,013 suite, 12-story Venezia tower situated above a parking garage. During 2006, the average daily room rate at The Venetian was \$239 and the average daily occupancy was 98.7%.

The casino at The Venetian has approximately 120,000 gross square feet of gaming space and is situated adjacent to the hotel lobby. The Venetian casino floor is accessible from each of the hotel, The Grand Canal Shops mall, The Congress Center, The Sands Expo Center and the Las Vegas Strip. The Venetian casino and its adjacent

1

#### **Table of Contents**

amenities are stylized with architectural and interior design features reminiscent of Venice s Renaissance era. The gaming facilities include approximately 1,700 slot machines of various denominations, including popular multi-property, linked progressive games and a sportsbook room. The Venetian casino s 135 table games feature the traditional games of blackjack, craps, baccarat and roulette, Asian games such as Pai Gow and Pai Gow Poker, and popular progressive table games such as Caribbean Stud and Let It Ride. Our new poker room opened in April 2006 with 39 poker tables, as well as convenient food service for players. For its premium customers, The Venetian recently expanded its gaming salon, which includes baccarat, blackjack and roulette. This facility provides Asian influenced private dining rooms, direct access to private cash-out windows at the casino cage and direct access to the casino s credit department.

The Venetian also contains numerous restaurants and two food courts (the majority of which were sold to General Growth Partners (GGP) as part of The Grand Canal Shops mall sale in 2004), and a theater/entertainment complex. In October 2005, the Blue Man Group performance art production opened in a new theater at The Venetian. The Broadway musical Phantom-The Las Vegas Spectacular opened in a new state-of-the-art theater in June 2006. In October 2006, we opened an additional show, Gordie Brown at The Venetian. In addition, The Venetian also provides a variety of amenities for its guests, including the Canyon Ranch Spa, which is operated by Canyon Ranch.

The Venetian has an exhibition space that houses the Guggenheim Hermitage Museum, an art museum featuring masterpiece collections from the Guggenheim Museum in New York, the State Hermitage Museum in St. Petersburg, Russia and other museums.

### The Sands Expo Center and The Congress Center

With approximately 1.2 million gross square feet of exhibit and meeting space, including four exhibit halls and approximately 20 meeting rooms, The Sands Expo Center is one of the largest overall trade show and convention facilities in the United States (as measured by net leasable square footage). We also own and operate The Congress Center, an approximately 1.1 million gross square foot meeting and conference facility that links The Sands Expo Center and the rest of The Venetian. The Congress Center includes extensive ballroom facilities, a meeting complex and an exhibition hall. Together, The Sands Expo Center and The Congress Center offer approximately 2.3 million gross square feet of state-of-the-art exhibition and meeting facilities, which can be configured to provide small, mid-size or large meeting rooms and/or accommodate large-scale multi-media events or trade shows. Management believes that these combined facilities, together with the on-site amenities offered by The Venetian, offer a flexible and expansive space for large-scale trade shows and conventions.

Management markets The Congress Center to complement the operations of The Sands Expo Center for business conferences and upscale business events typically held during the mid-week period, thereby generating room-night demand and driving average daily room rates during the weekday move-in/move-out phases of The Sands Expo Center s events. Events at The Sands Expo Center and The Congress Center typically take place during the week when Las Vegas hotels and casinos experience lower demand, unlike weekends and holidays during which occupancy and room rates are at their peak. Our goal is to draw from attendees and exhibitors at The Sands Expo Center and The Congress Center to maintain mid-week demand at the hotel from this higher budget market segment, when room demand would otherwise be derived from the mid-week lower-budget tour and travel group market segment. In 2006, approximately 1.1 million visitors attended trade shows and conventions at The Sands Expo Center during approximately 160 show days.

### The Sands Macao

We own and operate The Sands Macao, the first Las Vegas-style casino in Macao, pursuant to a 20-year gaming subconcession. The Sands Macao is situated near the Macao-Hong Kong Ferry Terminal on a waterfront parcel

centrally located between the Gonbei border gate and the central business district. This location provides The Sands Macao primary access to a large customer base, particularly the approximately 6.6 million visitors who arrived in Macao by ferry in 2006. The Sands Macao includes approximately 229,000 square feet of gaming facilities. The Sands Macao has approximately 790 table games, including baccarat, Sic-Bo, Fan-Tan, 3 card baccarat, 3 card poker, stud poker, blackjack and roulette, and approximately 1,380 slot machines or similar electronic gaming

2

#### **Table of Contents**

devices. The Sands Macao also includes numerous restaurants, a spacious Paiza Club offering services and amenities to premium customers, luxurious VIP suites and spa facilities, private VIP gaming room facilities, a theater and other high end services and amenities. We are currently building The Sands Macao hotel tower which will consist of approximately 240 additional rooms and is expected to open in September 2007.

### **United States Development Projects**

#### The Palazzo

We are building The Palazzo, which will be situated adjacent to and north of The Venetian. The Palazzo will be directly connected to both The Venetian and The Sands Expo Center and to a pedestrian bridge over Sands Avenue to the sidewalk adjacent to the Wynn Las Vegas resort. The Palazzo is scheduled to open in fall 2007. The Palazzo hotel will be a 50-floor luxury tower with approximately 3,025 suites and will include over 375 concierge-level suites. The Palazzo will also include an enclosed shopping, dining and entertainment complex of approximately 450,000 square feet (the Phase II mall ).

The casino at The Palazzo is expected to cover approximately 105,000 square feet and have approximately 120 table games and 1,350 slot machines. The Palazzo s casino will be differentiated from The Venetian s casino in terms of look, feel and experience. The Palazzo casino s design is also expected to attract a large number of walk-in players given its proximity to both The Venetian and the Las Vegas Strip. The Palazzo casino s table games will feature the traditional games of blackjack, craps, baccarat and roulette, Asian games such as Pai Gow and Pai Gow Poker, and progressive table games such as Caribbean Stud and Let It Ride. The Palazzo s casino will target high-end table games customers and premium slot customers, and will feature a high-end slot area with special products and services. The casino at The Palazzo will be accessible from each of The Palazzo s hotel, the Phase II mall, The Congress Center, The Sands Expo Center and the Las Vegas Strip. The Palazzo also will include a theater that is expected to host a major production or Broadway show.

We have contracted to sell the Phase II mall to GGP at its completion. The Phase II mall will connect directly with The Grand Canal Shops mall and will offer approximately 450,000 net leasable square feet of shopping, dining and entertainment space in two levels located within The Palazzo s main structure, between the casino level and the hotel tower. Visitors and guests will be able to access the Phase II mall from several different locations, including from the Las Vegas Strip, The Palazzo s hotel and casino, The Grand Canal Shops mall, The Sands Expo Center and The Congress Center.

We are in the early stages of constructing a high rise residential condominium tower which will consist of approximately 270 luxury condominiums and will be situated between The Palazzo and The Venetian. The condominium tower is currently expected to open in late fall 2008 at an estimated cost ranging from \$600.0 million to \$700.0 million.

#### Sands Bethworks

On December 20, 2006, the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board announced that our subsidiary, Sands Bethworks Gaming, LLC (Sands Bethworks Gaming), had been awarded a Pennsylvania gaming license. The award of the license is subject to appeals and the actual license will be awarded after the appeal period ends. We intend to develop a gaming, hotel, shopping and dining complex (the Sands Bethworks) located on the site of the Historic Bethlehem Steel Works in Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, which is about 70 miles from midtown Manhattan, New York. In its first phase, the 124-acre development is expected to feature a 300-room hotel, 200,000 square feet of retail space, 3,000 slot machines, and a variety of dining options. An additional 2,000 slot machines will be added in a subsequent phase. The complex is also expected be home to the National Museum of Industrial History, an arts and cultural center, and

the broadcast home of the local PBS affiliate. We currently expect the cost to develop and construct the Sands Bethworks will be approximately \$600.0 million and expect to open the complex in 2008.

### **Macao Development Projects**

# The Cotai Strip

We are building The Venetian Macao on the Cotai Strip. The Venetian Macao will be an all-suites hotel, casino and convention center complex with a Venetian-style theme similar to that of The Venetian in Las Vegas. The

3

#### **Table of Contents**

Venetian Macao will also feature a 39-floor luxury hotel tower of approximately 3,000 suites, approximately 1.0 million square feet of retail and dining offerings, and a convention center and meeting room complex of approximately 1.2 million square feet. The Venetian Macao is scheduled to open in summer 2007.

In addition to the development of The Venetian Macao, we are developing multiple other properties on the Cotai Strip. We have submitted development plans to the Macao government for six casino-resort developments in addition to The Venetian Macao on an area of approximately 200 acres located on the Cotai Strip (which we refer to as parcels 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8). The developments are expected to include hotels, exhibition and conference facilities, casinos, showrooms, shopping malls, spas, world-class restaurants and entertainment facilities and other attractions and amenities, as well as common public areas. We have commenced construction or pre-construction on all seven parcels of the Cotai Strip. We plan to own and operate all of the casinos in these developments under our Macao gaming subconcession. More specifically, we intend to develop our Cotai Strip properties as follows:

Parcel 2 is intended to be a Four Seasons hotel and casino, which will be adjacent to The Venetian Macao and is expected to be a boutique hotel with approximately 400 luxury hotel rooms, approximately 800,000 square feet of Four Seasons-serviced luxury apartments, distinctive dining experiences, a full service spa and other amenities, an approximately 45,000 square foot casino and approximately 210,000 square feet of upscale retail offerings. We will own the entire development. We have entered into an exclusive non-binding letter of intent and are currently negotiating definitive agreements under which Four Seasons Hotels Inc. will manage the hotel and serviced luxury apartments under its Four Seasons brand.

Parcel 5 is intended to include a three-hotel complex with approximately 2,450 luxury and mid-scale hotel rooms, serviced luxury apartments, a casino and a retail shopping mall. We will own the entire development and have entered into a management agreement with Shangri-La Hotels and Resorts to manage two hotels under its Shangri-La and Traders brands. In addition, we are negotiating with Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide to manage a hotel and serviced luxury apartments under its St. Regis brand.

Parcel 6 is intended to include a two-hotel complex with approximately 4,000 luxury and mid-scale hotel rooms, a casino and a retail shopping mall physically connected to the mall in the Shangri-La/Traders hotel podium. We will own the entire development and are negotiating with Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide to manage the hotels under its Sheraton brand.

Parcels 7 and 8 are each intended to include a two-hotel complex with approximately 3,000 luxury and mid-scale hotel rooms on each parcel, serviced luxury vacation suites, a casino and retail shopping malls that are physically connected. We will own the entire development and have entered into non-binding agreements with Hilton Hotels to manage Hilton and Conrad brand hotels and serviced luxury vacation suites on parcel 7 and Fairmont Raffles Holdings to manage Fairmont and Raffles brand hotel complexes and serviced luxury vacation suites on parcel 8. We are currently negotiating definitive agreements with Hilton Hotels and Fairmont Raffles Holdings.

For parcel 3, we have signed a non-binding memorandum of agreement with an independent developer. We are currently negotiating the definitive agreement pursuant to which we will partner with this developer to build a multi-hotel complex, which may include a Cosmopolitan hotel. In addition, we have signed a non-binding letter of intent with Intercontinental Hotels Group to manage hotels under the Intercontinental and Holiday Inn International brands, and serviced luxury vacation suites under the Intercontinental brand, on the site. We are currently negotiating definitive agreements with Intercontinental Hotels Group. In total, the multi-hotel complex is intended to include approximately 3,600 hotel rooms, serviced luxury vacation suites, a casino and a retail shopping mall.

The casino at The Venetian Macao is currently planned to have approximately 850 table games and 4,100 slot machines when it opens in summer 2007, and is designed to have a final capacity of approximately 1,150 table games and 7,000 slot machines. The Four Seasons resort is currently planned to feature approximately 130 table games and 400 slot machines. The casinos on parcels 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8 are each currently planned to include approximately 325 table games and 1,750 slot machines. Upon completion, our developments on the Cotai Strip are currently planned to feature total gaming capacity of approximately 2,900 table games and 16,000 slot machines.

4

#### **Table of Contents**

In February 2007, we received the final draft of the land concession agreement from the Macao government pursuant to which we were awarded a concession by lease for parcels 1, 2 and 3 on the Cotai Strip, including the sites on which we are building The Venetian Macao and the Four Seasons hotel. We have accepted the conditions of the draft land concession and have made an initial premium payment of \$106.5 million towards the aggregate land premium of \$323.7 million. Additionally, \$24.1 million has been paid or will be paid in the form of the cost of the reclamation work and other works done on the land and the installation costs of an electrical substation with the remaining amount payable over time. The land concession will not become effective until the date it is published in Macao s Official Gazette. Once the land concession is effective, we will be required to make additional land premium and annual rent payments relating to parcels 1, 2 and 3 in the amounts and at the times specified in the land concession. We currently estimate that the cost of developing and building The Venetian Macao will be approximately \$2.4 billion (exclusive of the aggregate land concession payment of \$323.7 million for parcels 1, 2 and 3). During May 2006, our subsidiary, Venetian Macau Limited, and its subsidiaries ( VML ) obtained a \$2.5 billion credit facility to fund The Sands Macao expansion and to partially fund the design, development, construction and pre-opening costs for The Venetian Macao, the Four Seasons hotel and some of our other development projects on the Cotai Strip, and to pay related fees and expenses. Currently, we expect the total cost of development on the Cotai Strip to be in the range of \$9.0 billion to \$11.0 billion. We will need to arrange additional debt financing to finance those costs as well.

We do not yet have all the necessary Macao government approvals that we will need in order to develop the Cotai Strip developments. We have commenced construction on our other Cotai Strip properties on land for which we have not yet been granted land concessions. If we do not obtain land concessions, we could lose all or a substantial part of our investment in these other Cotai Strip properties. As of December 31, 2006, we have invested approximately \$100.0 million in our other Cotai Strip properties.

### **Hengqin Island Development Project**

The Company has entered into a non-binding letter of intent with the Zhuhai Municipal People s Government of the People s Republic of China to work with it to create a master plan for, and develop, a leisure and convention destination resort on Hengqin Island, located approximately one mile from the Cotai Strip, but within mainland China. The Company is actively preparing design concepts for the destination resort. On January 10, 2007, the Zhuhai Government established a Project Coordination Committee to act as a government liaison empowered to work directly with the Company to advance the development of the project. We have interfaced with this committee and are actively working with the committee as we continue to advance our plans. The project remains subject to a number of conditions, including further governmental approvals.

#### **Singapore Development Project**

In August 2006, the Company s wholly-owned subsidiary, Marina Bay Sands Pte. Ltd. (MBS), entered into a development agreement (the Development Agreement) with the Singapore Tourism Board (STB) to build and operate an integrated resort called Marina Bay Sands in Singapore. The Marina Bay Sands will be a large integrated resort that includes three 54-story hotel towers (totaling approximately 2,600 suites) linked at their roofs by a Skypark with pools, cafes and other recreation facilities, a casino, an enclosed retail, dining and entertainment complex of approximately 750,000 net leasable square feet, a convention center and meeting room complex of approximately 1.2 million square feet, theaters, and a landmark iconic structure at the bay-front promenade that contains an approximately 150,000 square foot Art/Science museum. We expect the cost to develop and construct the Marina Bay Sands integrated resort will be approximately \$3.6 billion, inclusive of the land premiums, taxes and other fees. The Marina Bay Sands is expected to open in 2009.

#### **Table of Contents**

### **United Kingdom Development Projects**

In December 2006, the Company announced that one of its affiliates and Cantor Gaming, an affiliate of the global financial services company Cantor Fitzgerald, have agreed to launch an online casino and poker site initially aimed at serving the United Kingdom market. Cantor Gaming will provide an online casino and poker destination featuring Las Vegas Sands brands. The site will offer casino games, including blackjack, roulette, baccarat, video poker, slots and online poker. The offering will be part of a full end-to-end gaming service, including customer age and location verification, online payment processing and customer services. The site is expected to be launched during the second quarter of 2007. The site will be hosted, and the operator will be licensed, in compliance with the laws of Alderney, British Channel Islands. It will not accept U.S. customers.

The United Kingdom government recently announced that the country s first regional super casino would be built in Manchester. A tender process for the operator of that facility is to be undertaken and we intend to participate in the tender process. In addition, we have existing agreements to develop and lease gaming and entertainment facilities with Sheffield United and Glasgow Rangers football clubs in the United Kingdom. Our ability to eventually develop and lease gaming and entertainment facilities under these agreements is subject to a number of conditions, including the passage of legislation to expand the number of authorized regional casinos and our ability to obtain a gaming license.

### **Other Development Projects**

We are currently exploring the possibility of operating integrated resorts in additional Asian jurisdictions, the United States and Europe.

### The Las Vegas Market

The Las Vegas market has shown consistent growth over both the near and long terms in both visitation and expenditures and has one of the highest hotel occupancy rates of any major market in the United States. According to the Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority (LVCVA), the number of visitors traveling to Las Vegas has increased at a steady and significant rate over the last five years, from approximately 35.0 million visitors in 2001 to approximately 38.9 million visitors in 2006. We believe that the growth in the Las Vegas market has been enhanced by:

the increased capacity of the city to host large-scale trade shows and conventions;

the introduction of large luxury and themed destination resorts in Las Vegas that attract new visitors to Las Vegas while also gaining share from older, smaller and/or undifferentiated resorts; and

the increased capacity of McCarran International Airport.

### Las Vegas as a Trade Show, Convention and Meeting Destination

According to the LVCVA, Las Vegas has been among the most popular trade show and convention destinations in the United States in recent years. The LVCVA reports that trade show attendance rose from approximately 5.0 million to approximately 6.3 million between 2001 and 2006.

The majority of the room demand from trade show and convention attendees is generated during weekdays while tourist visits to Las Vegas are higher on weekends. As a result, the trade show convention market segments have been specifically targeted as prime avenues for driving mid-week traffic to Las Vegas.

Trade shows are held for the purpose of getting sellers and buyers of products or services together in order to conduct business. Trade shows differ from conventions in that trade shows typically require substantial amounts of space for exhibition purposes and participant circulation. Conventions generally are gatherings of companies or groups that require less space for breakout meetings and general meetings of the overall group. Las Vegas offers trade shows and conventions a unique infrastructure for handling the world slargest shows, including extensive hotel and motel facilities, three convention centers (the Las Vegas Convention Center (the LVCC), the Mandalay Bay Convention Center and The Sands Expo Center), convenient air service from major cities throughout the United States and other countries, and significant entertainment opportunities.

6

#### **Table of Contents**

### **Expanding Hotel Market**

Las Vegas has been among the most popular travel destinations in the United States in recent years, with hotel occupancy rates among the highest of any major market in the country. To accommodate this popularity, Las Vegas has experienced a period of rapid hotel development, with the number of hotel and motel rooms in Las Vegas increasing from approximately 126,600 in 2001 to approximately 132,600 in 2006 according to the LVCVA.

### Growth of Las Vegas Retail Sector and Non-Gaming Revenues

An increasing number of destination resorts are developing non-gaming entertainment to complement their gaming activities in order to draw additional visitors and increase the spend per visitor. According to the LVCVA, while gaming revenues in Clark County increased from approximately \$7.6 billion in 2001 to approximately \$10.6 billion in 2006 (a 7.0% compound annual growth rate), non-gaming tourist revenues increased from approximately \$24.3 billion in 2001 to approximately \$28.8 billion in 2006 (a 3.5% compound annual growth rate). The newer, large luxury and themed Las Vegas destination resorts have been designed to capitalize on this growth by providing better quality hotel rooms at higher rates and by providing expanded shopping, dining and entertainment venues, as well as meeting facilities, to their patrons.

### Infrastructure Improvements

Clark County and metropolitan Las Vegas have completed several infrastructure improvements to accommodate the increase in travel to Las Vegas by all modes of transportation. According to the LVCVA, in 2005 (the last full year for which data is available) visitors to Las Vegas arrived by the following methods of transportation: 47% by air; 53% by ground, including auto, bus and recreational vehicle.

During recent years, the facilities of McCarran International Airport have been expanded to accommodate the increased number of airlines and passengers that it services. The number of passengers traveling through McCarran International Airport has increased from approximately 35.2 million in 2001 to approximately 46.2 million in 2006.

### Competition for Our Las Vegas Operations

The hotel/casino industry is highly competitive. Hotels on the Las Vegas Strip compete with other hotels on and off the Las Vegas Strip, including with hotels in downtown Las Vegas. The Venetian also competes with a large number of hotels and motels near Las Vegas. Many of our competitors are subsidiaries or divisions of large public companies and may have significant financial and other competitive resources. In particular, the acquisition of Mandalay Resort Group by MGM MIRAGE and the acquisition of Caesars Entertainment, Inc. by Harrah s Entertainment, Inc. created two of the world s largest gaming companies as measured by revenues.

### Hotel/Casino Properties

Competitors of The Venetian include resorts on the Las Vegas Strip, such as the Bellagio, the Mandalay Bay Resort & Casino, Wynn Las Vegas and Caesars, and properties off the Las Vegas Strip. Several large projects also are expected to open in the next several years. Some of these facilities are or will be operated by companies that may have significant name recognition and financial and marketing resources and may target the same demographic groups as we do.

We also compete with legalized gaming from casinos located on Native American tribal lands. The governor of California has entered into compacts with numerous tribes in California and has announced the execution of a number

of new compacts with no limits on the number of gaming machines, which was limited under the prior compacts. The federal government has approved numerous compacts in California and casino-style gaming is now legal on those tribal lands. While the competitive impact on our operations in Las Vegas from the continued growth of Native American gaming establishments in California remains uncertain, the proliferation of gaming in California and other areas located in the same region as The Venetian could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The hotel/casino operation of The Venetian also competes, to some extent, with other hotel/casino facilities in Nevada and in Atlantic City, hotel/casino and other resort facilities elsewhere in the country and the world, Internet

7

#### **Table of Contents**

gaming websites and state lotteries. In addition, certain states have legalized, and others may legalize, casino gaming in specific areas. The continued proliferation of gaming venues could significantly and adversely affect our business. In particular, the legalization of casino gaming in or near major metropolitan areas from which we traditionally attract customers, such as New York, Los Angeles, San Francisco, Chicago and Boston, could have a material adverse effect on our business. The current global trend toward liberalization of gaming restrictions and the resulting proliferation of gaming venues could result in a decrease in the number of visitors to our Las Vegas facilities, by attracting customers close to home and away from Las Vegas, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

#### Trade Show and Convention Facilities

Las Vegas generally competes with trade show and convention facilities located in and around major U.S. cities, including Atlanta, Chicago, New York and Orlando. Within Las Vegas, The Sands Expo Center and The Congress Center compete with the LVCC, which is located off the Las Vegas Strip and currently has approximately 3.2 million gross square feet of convention and exhibit facilities. In addition to the LVCC, the Mandalay Bay Resort & Casino has nearly 1.0 million square feet of convention space. The MGM Grand Hotel and Casino has a conference and meeting facility of approximately 881,000 square feet and the Mirage has approximately 170,000 gross square feet of meeting space. The Wynn Las Vegas Resort has 200,000 square feet of convention, meeting and reception space and plans to have additional convention space at its proposed Encore facility. The conference and meeting facilities at these hotel resorts are The Congress Center s primary Las Vegas competition. Boyd Gaming Corporation s Echelon Place is expected to include approximately 1.0 million square feet of convention and meeting space when it opens in 2010. The LVCC and the Mandalay Bay Convention Center are the primary competitors of The Sands Expo Center. A major expansion project for the LVCC is expected to be completed no earlier than 2010. We believe the LVCC expansion project will make it more competitive with private convention and meeting providers like us. To the extent that any of the competitors of The Venetian can offer a hotel/casino experience that is integrated with substantial trade show and convention, conference and meeting facilities, The Venetian s competitive advantage in attracting trade show and convention, conference and meeting attendees could be adversely affected. In addition, other American cities are in the process of developing, or have announced plans to develop, convention center and other meeting, trade and exhibition facilities that may compete with ours.

### The Macao Market

#### Introduction

Macao is regarded as one of the largest and fastest-growing gaming markets in the world. Macao benefits from being the only market in China to offer legalized casino gaming.

### Macao as a Gaming and Resort Destination

In May 2004, The Sands Macao became the first Las Vegas-style casino to open in Macao. Our high-quality gaming product has enabled us to capture a meaningful share of the overall market, including the VIP player market segment, in Macao.

Gaming revenues in Macao in 2006 reached a record \$6.98 billion, a 22.0% increase over 2005. Visits to Macao were up 17.6% in 2006, compared to 2005. According to Macao government statistics, during 2006, 48.6% of visitors traveling to Macao stayed overnight in hotels and guestrooms and, for those who stayed overnight in hotels and guestrooms, the average length of stay was only 1 or 2 nights. We expect this length of stay to increase with increased visitation, the expansion of gaming and non-gaming amenities including retail and entertainment offerings, and the addition of upscale hotel resort accommodations in Macao.

Table games are the dominant form of gaming in Asia. Baccarat is the most popular game, followed by other traditional U.S. and Asian games. Slot machines are offered in Macao, but they are few in number because the structure of the gaming market in Macao has historically favored table gaming. However, with the increase in the mass market gaming in Macao, this is changing and slot machines of international standards are becoming an important feature of the market. We expect the slot machine business to grow in Macao and we intend to continue to introduce more modern and popular products to appeal to the Asian marketplace.

8

#### **Table of Contents**

We believe that as new facilities and standards of service are introduced, Macao will become an even more desirable tourist destination. The improved experience of visitors to Macao should lead to longer stays and an increased number of return trips from existing feeder markets and the opening of several new feeder markets. In addition, we believe that a wealthier Chinese middle class will lead to increased travel to Macao and generate increased demand for gaming entertainment and casino resort offerings. We also believe that the combination of less onerous travel restrictions, greater ability of Chinese citizens to bring renminbi (the Chinese currency) to Macao, increasing regional wealth and the opening of world-class facilities will convert Macao from primarily a day-trip market to a multi-day travel destination similar to Las Vegas, where the LVCVA estimates that the average visitor stays approximately three nights.

### Proximity to Major Asian Cities

Approximately 1.0 billion people are estimated to live within a three-hour flight from Macao and approximately 3.0 billion people are estimated to live within a five-hour flight from Macao. According to Macao government statistics, 86.0% of the tourists who visited Macao in 2006 came from Hong Kong or mainland China and the dominant feeder markets to Macao have been and continue to be Hong Kong and China. Although the absolute number of visitors from Hong Kong continues to grow, that market has shrunk as a percentage of the total visitor distribution from 67.2% in 1997 to 31.6% in 2006, while visitors from mainland China made up 54.5% of total visitors in 2006. The number of visitors from mainland China has exhibited significant growth from 1997 to 2006, with a 43.6% compound annual growth rate in the number of visitors for that period. Until recently, mainland Chinese were only permitted to visit Macao as part of a tour group. Now that these travel restrictions have eased for mainland Chinese from most urban centers and economically developed regions, individual travel to Macao is expected to increase, generating increased demand for casino offerings.

Gaming customers from Hong Kong, southeast China, Taiwan and other locations in Asia can reach Macao in a relatively short period of time, using a variety of methods of transportation, and visitors from more distant locations in Asia can take advantage of short travel times by air to Macao, Zhuhai, Shenzhen or to Hong Kong (followed by a road, ferry or helicopter trip to Macao). The relatively easy access from major population centers promotes Macao as a popular gaming destination in Asia.

Macao draws a significant number of gaming customers from both visitors and residents of Hong Kong. One of the major methods of transportation to Macao from Hong Kong is the jetfoil ferry service. Macao is also accessible from Hong Kong by helicopter. In addition, the proposed bridge linking Hong Kong, Macao and Zhuhai is expected to reduce the travel time between central Hong Kong and Macao. The bridge is expected be completed somewhere between 2011 and 2015.

Macao International Airport provides direct air service to many major cities in Asia, such as Manila, Singapore, Taipei, Bangkok, Beijing, Seoul and Shanghai, with links to numerous other major Asian destinations.

The Macao pataca and the Hong Kong dollar are linked to each other and, in many cases, are used interchangeably in Macao. However, currency exchange controls and restrictions on the export of currency by certain countries may negatively impact the success of our operations. For example, there are currently existing currency exchange controls and restrictions on the export of the renminbi, the currency of China. In addition, restrictions on the export of the renminbi may impede the flow of gaming customers from China to Macao, inhibit the growth of gaming in Macao and negatively impact our gaming operations.

### Competition in Macao

Gaming in Macao is administered through government-sanctioned concessions awarded to three different concessionaires. The Macao government is precluded by contract from granting any additional gaming concessions until 2009. In addition, the current laws only permit three gaming concessions, although future subconcessions are permitted. However, the laws could change and permit the Macao government to grant additional gaming concessions before 2009. If the Macao government were to allow additional competitors to operate in Macao through the grant of additional concessions or subconcessions, we would face additional competition, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

9

#### **Table of Contents**

SJM holds one of the three concessions. SJM, controlled by Stanley Ho, currently operates 17 facilities throughout Macao. Historically, SJM was the only gaming operator in Macao, with over 40 years of operating experience in Macao. Many of its 17 casinos are relatively small facilities that are offered as amenities in hotels, however a number are large operations enjoying significant recognition by gaming customers in the marketplace. SJM was obligated to invest at least approximately 4.7 billion patacas (approximately \$586.8 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) by March 31, 2009 under its concession agreement with the government of Macao. SJM s projects include the Grand Lisboa, the upgrade of the Lisboa Hotel, Macao s largest hotel which opened in February 2007, the Fisherman s Wharf entertainment complex, which opened in December 2005, and other projects. In addition, MGM MIRAGE has entered into a joint venture agreement with Stanley Ho s daughter, Pansy Ho Chiu-king, to develop, build and operate two major hotel casino resorts in Macao. Pursuant to this agreement, in April 2005, MGM Grand Paradise Limited, a joint venture between Pansy Ho Chiu-king and MGM MIRAGE, obtained a subconcession allowing it to conduct gaming operations in Macao. The MGM Grand Macau is scheduled to open in the fourth quarter of 2007. The resort will feature approximately 600 rooms, 345 table games, 1,035 slot machines, restaurants and entertainment amenities.

Galaxy Casino Company Limited (Galaxy) holds a concession and has the ability to operate casino properties independent of us. Galaxy is obligated to invest at least 4.4 billion patacas (approximately \$549.3 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) by June 2012 under its concession agreement with the government of Macao. Galaxy currently operates five casinos in Macao. Galaxy s StarWorld Hotel & Casino opened in October 2006. The property has over 500 hotel rooms and a 140,000 square foot gaming floor with approximately 300 table games and 370 slot machines.

Wynn Resorts (Macau), S.A. (Wynn Macau), a subsidiary of Wynn Resorts, Limited, holds the third concession. Wynn Macau opened in September 2006 and includes an approximately 600 room hotel, a casino and other non-gaming amenities. Wynn Macau has announced plans to expand the property to include additional gaming space. In 2006, Wynn Macau sold its subconcession right under its gaming concession to an affiliate of Publishing and Broadcasting Limited (PBL) for \$900.0 million. The subconcession right permits the PBL affiliate to receive a gaming subconcession from the Macao government.

We will also face competition from casinos located in other areas of Asia, such as the major gaming and resort destination Genting Highlands Resort, located outside of Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia and casinos in South Korea and the Philippines, as well as pachinko and pachislot parlors in Japan. We will also encounter competition from other major gaming centers located around the world, such as Australia, New Zealand and Las Vegas, and cruise ships that offer gaming.

### **Advertising and Marketing**

We advertise in many types of media, including television, radio, newspapers, magazines and billboards, to promote general market awareness of The Venetian as a unique vacation, business and convention destination due to our first-class hotel, casino, retail stores, restaurants and other amenities. The Sands Macao also provides advertising and direct marketing of its casino. We actively engage in direct marketing, which is targeted at specific market segments, including the premium slot and table games markets.

### **Regulation and Licensing**

State of Nevada

The ownership and operation of casino gaming facilities in the State of Nevada are subject to the Nevada Gaming Control Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder (collectively, the Nevada Act ) and various local regulations. Our gaming operations are also subject to the licensing and regulatory control of the Nevada Gaming Commission (the Nevada Commission ), the Nevada Gaming Control Board (the Nevada Board ) and the Clark County Liquor and Gaming Licensing Board (the CCLGLB and together with the Nevada Commission and the Nevada Board, the Nevada Gaming Authorities ).

10

#### **Table of Contents**

The laws, regulations and supervisory procedures of the Nevada Gaming Authorities are based upon declarations of public policy that are concerned with, among other things:

the prevention of unsavory or unsuitable persons from having a direct or indirect involvement with gaming at any time or in any capacity;

the establishment and maintenance of responsible accounting practices and procedures;

the maintenance of effective controls over the financial practices of licensees, including the establishment of minimum procedures for internal fiscal affairs and the safeguarding of assets and revenues, providing reliable record-keeping and requiring the filing of periodic reports with the Nevada Gaming Authorities;

the prevention of cheating and fraudulent practices; and

the establishment of a source of state and local revenues through taxation and licensing fees.

Any change in such laws, regulations and procedures could have an adverse effect on our gaming operations or on the operation of The Venetian and The Palazzo.

Las Vegas Sands, LLC is licensed by the Nevada Gaming Authorities to operate The Venetian. The gaming license requires the periodic payment of fees and taxes and is not transferable. Las Vegas Sands, LLC is also registered as an intermediary company of Venetian Casino Resort, LLC. Venetian Casino Resort, LLC is licensed as a manufacturer and distributor of gaming devices. Las Vegas Sands, LLC and Venetian Casino Resort, LLC are collectively referred to as the licensed subsidiaries. Las Vegas Sands Corp. is registered with the Nevada Commission as a publicly-traded corporation (the registered corporation). As such, we must periodically submit detailed financial and operating reports to the Nevada Gaming Authorities and furnish any other information that the Nevada Gaming Authorities may require. No person may become a stockholder of, or receive any percentage of the profits from the licensed subsidiaries without first obtaining licenses and approvals from the Nevada Gaming Authorities. Additionally, the CCLGLB has taken the position that it has the authority to approve all persons owning or controlling the stock of any corporation controlling a gaming licensee. We and the licensed subsidiaries possess all state and local government registrations, approvals, permits and licenses required in order for us to engage in gaming activities at The Venetian. We will apply for all state and local government registrations, approvals, permits and licenses that may be required in order for us to engage in gaming activities at The Palazzo.

The Nevada Gaming Authorities may investigate any individual who has a material relationship to or material involvement with us or the licensed subsidiaries to determine whether such individual is suitable or should be licensed as a business associate of a gaming licensee. Officers, directors and certain key employees of the licensed subsidiaries must file applications with the Nevada Gaming Authorities and may be required to be licensed by the Nevada Gaming Authorities. Our officers, directors and key employees who are actively and directly involved in the gaming activities of the licensed subsidiaries may be required to be licensed or found suitable by the Nevada Gaming Authorities.

The Nevada Gaming Authorities may deny an application for licensing or a finding of suitability for any cause they deem reasonable. A finding of suitability is comparable to licensing; both require submission of detailed personal and financial information followed by a thorough investigation. The applicant for licensing or a finding of suitability, or the gaming licensee by whom the applicant is employed or for whom the applicant serves, must pay all the costs of the investigation. Changes in licensed positions must be reported to the Nevada Gaming Authorities, and in addition to their authority to deny an application for a finding of suitability or licensure, the Nevada Gaming Authorities have jurisdiction to disapprove a change in a corporate position.

If the Nevada Gaming Authorities were to find an officer, director or key employee unsuitable for licensing or to have an inappropriate relationship with us or the licensed subsidiaries, we would have to sever all relationships with such person. In addition, the Nevada Commission may require us or the licensed subsidiaries to terminate the employment of any person who refuses to file appropriate applications. Determinations of suitability or questions pertaining to licensing are not subject to judicial review in Nevada.

11

#### **Table of Contents**

We and the licensed subsidiaries are required to submit periodic detailed financial and operating reports to the Nevada Commission. Substantially all of our and our licensed subsidiaries material loans, leases, sales of securities and similar financing transactions must be reported to or approved by the Nevada Commission.

If it were determined that we or a licensed subsidiary violated the Nevada Act, the registration and gaming licenses we then hold could be limited, conditioned, suspended or revoked, subject to compliance with certain statutory and regulatory procedures. In addition, we and the persons involved could be subject to substantial fines for each separate violation of the Nevada Act at the discretion of the Nevada Commission. Further, a supervisor could be appointed by the Nevada Commission to operate the casinos, and, under certain circumstances, earnings generated during the supervisor s appointment (except for the reasonable rental value of the casinos) could be forfeited to the State of Nevada. Limitation, conditioning or suspension of any gaming registration or license or the appointment of a supervisor could (and revocation of any gaming license would) materially adversely affect our gaming operations.

Any beneficial holder of our voting securities, regardless of the number of shares owned, may be required to file an application, be investigated, and have its suitability as a beneficial holder of our voting securities determined if the Nevada Commission has reason to believe that such ownership would otherwise be inconsistent with the declared policies of the State of Nevada. The applicant must pay all costs of investigation incurred by the Nevada Gaming Authorities in conducting any such investigation.

The Nevada Act requires any person who acquires more than 5% of our voting securities to report the acquisition to the Nevada Commission. The Nevada Act requires that beneficial owners of more than 10% of our voting securities apply to the Nevada Commission for a finding of suitability within thirty days after the Chairman of the Nevada Board mails the written notice requiring such filing. Under certain circumstances, an institutional investor as defined in the Nevada Act, which acquires more than 10% but not more than 15% of our voting securities, may apply to the Nevada Commission for a waiver of such finding of suitability if such institutional investor holds the voting securities only for investment purposes.

An institutional investor will be deemed to hold voting securities only for investment purposes if it acquires and holds the voting securities in the ordinary course of business as an institutional investment and not for the purpose of causing, directly or indirectly, the election of a majority of the members of our board of directors, any change in our corporate charter, by-laws, management, policies or our operations or any of our gaming affiliates, or any other action which the Nevada Commission finds to be inconsistent with holding our voting securities only for investment purposes. Activities that are deemed consistent with holding voting securities only for investment purposes include:

voting on all matters voted on by stockholders;

making financial and other inquiries of management of the type normally made by securities analysts for informational purposes and not to cause a change in management, policies or operations; and

such other activities as the Nevada Commission may determine to be consistent with such investment intent.

If the beneficial holder of voting securities who must be found suitable is a corporation, partnership or trust, it must submit detailed business and financial information including a list of beneficial owners. If the beneficial holder of nonvoting securities who must be licensed or found suitable is a corporation, partnership or trust, it must submit detailed business and financial information including a list of beneficial owners. The applicant is required to pay all costs of investigation.

Any person who fails or refuses to apply for a finding of suitability or a license within thirty days after being ordered to do so by the Nevada Commission or the Chairman of the Nevada Board may be found unsuitable. The same restrictions apply to a record owner if the record owner, after request, fails to identify the beneficial owner. Any stockholder found unsuitable and who holds, directly or indirectly, any beneficial ownership of the common stock of a registered corporation beyond such period of time as may be prescribed by the Nevada Commission may be guilty of a criminal offense. We are subject to disciplinary action if, after we receive notice that a person is

12

#### **Table of Contents**

unsuitable to be a stockholder or to have any other relationship with us or a licensed subsidiary, we, or any of the licensed subsidiaries:

allow that person to exercise, directly or indirectly, any voting right conferred through securities held by that person;

pay remuneration in any form to that person for services rendered or otherwise; or

fail to pursue all lawful efforts to require such unsuitable person to relinquish his or her voting securities for cash at fair market value.

Our charter documents include provisions intended to help us comply with these requirements.

The Nevada Commission may, in its discretion, require the holder of any debt security of a registered corporation to file an application, be investigated and be found suitable to own the debt security of such registered corporation. If the Nevada Commission determines that a person is unsuitable to own such security, then pursuant to the Nevada Act, the registered corporation can be sanctioned, including the loss of its approvals, if without the prior approval of the Nevada Commission, it:

pays to the unsuitable person any dividend, interest, or any distribution whatsoever;

recognizes any voting right by such unsuitable person in connection with such securities;

pays the unsuitable person remuneration in any form; or

makes any payment to the unsuitable person by way of principal, redemption, conversion, exchange, liquidation or similar transaction.

We are required to maintain a current stock ledger in Nevada that may be examined by the Nevada Gaming Authorities at any time. If any securities are held in trust by an agent or by a nominee, the record holder may be required to disclose the identity of the beneficial owner to the Nevada Gaming Authorities and we are also required to disclose the identity of the beneficial owner to the Nevada Gaming Authorities. A failure to make such disclosure may be grounds for finding the record holder unsuitable. We are also required to render maximum assistance in determining the identity of the beneficial owner. The Nevada Commission has the power to require our stock certificates to bear a legend indicating that such securities are subject to the Nevada Act. However, to date the Nevada Commission has not imposed such a requirement on us.

We cannot make a public offering of any securities without the prior approval of the Nevada Commission if the securities or the proceeds from the offering are intended to be used to construct, acquire or finance gaming facilities in Nevada, or to retire or extend obligations incurred for such purposes. On November 16, 2006, the Nevada Commission granted us prior approval to make public offerings for a period of two years, subject to certain conditions (the shelf approval ). The shelf approval includes prior approval by the Nevada Commission permitting us to place restrictions on the transfer of the membership interests and to enter into agreements not to encumber the membership interests of Las Vegas Sands, LLC. However, the shelf approval may be rescinded for good cause without prior notice upon the issuance of an interlocutory stop order by the Chairman of the Nevada Board. The shelf approval does not constitute a finding, recommendation, or approval by the Nevada Commission or the Nevada Board as to the investment merits of any securities offered under the shelf approval. Any representation to the contrary is unlawful.

Changes in our control through a merger, consolidation, stock or asset acquisition, management or consulting agreement, or any act or conduct by any person whereby he or she obtains control, shall not occur without the prior approval of the Nevada Commission. Entities seeking to acquire control of a registered corporation must satisfy the Nevada Board and the Nevada Commission concerning a variety of stringent standards prior to assuming control of such registered corporation. The Nevada Commission may also require controlling stockholders, officers, directors and other persons having a material relationship or involvement with the entity proposing to acquire control, to be investigated and licensed as part of the approval process of the transaction.

The Nevada legislature has declared that some corporate acquisitions opposed by management, repurchases of voting securities and corporate defense tactics affecting Nevada gaming licensees, and registered corporations that

13

#### **Table of Contents**

are affiliated with those operations, may be injurious to stable and productive corporate gaming. The Nevada Commission has established a regulatory scheme to ameliorate the potentially adverse effects of these business practices upon Nevada s gaming industry and to further Nevada s policy to:

assure the financial stability of corporate gaming operators and their affiliates;

preserve the beneficial aspects of conducting business in the corporate form; and

promote a neutral environment for the orderly governance of corporate affairs.

Approvals are, in certain circumstances, required from the Nevada Commission before we can make exceptional repurchases of voting securities above the current market price thereof and before a corporate acquisition opposed by management can be consummated.

The Nevada Act also requires prior approval of a plan of recapitalization proposed by the board of directors in response to a tender offer made directly to the registered corporation s stockholders for the purposes of acquiring control of the registered corporation.

License fees and taxes, computed in various ways depending upon the type of gaming or activity involved, are payable to the State of Nevada and to Clark County, Nevada. Depending upon the particular fee or tax involved, these fees and taxes are payable either monthly, quarterly or annually and are based upon:

a percentage of the gross revenues received;

the number of gaming devices operated; or

the number of table games operated.

The tax on gross revenues received is generally 6.75%. In addition, an excise tax is paid by us on charges for admission to any facility where certain forms of live entertainment are provided. Venetian Casino Resort, LLC, is also required to pay certain fees and taxes to the State of Nevada as a licensed manufacturer and distributor.

Any person who is licensed, required to be licensed, registered, required to be registered, or under common control with such persons (collectively, licensees), and who proposes to become involved in a gaming operation outside of Nevada, is required to deposit with the Nevada Board, and thereafter maintain, a revolving fund in the amount of \$10,000 to pay the expenses of any investigation by the Nevada Board into their participation in such foreign gaming operation. The revolving fund is subject to increase or decrease at the discretion of the Nevada Commission.

Thereafter, licensees are also required to comply with certain reporting requirements imposed by the Nevada Act.

Licensees are also subject to disciplinary action by the Nevada Commission if they knowingly violate any laws of any foreign jurisdiction pertaining to such foreign gaming operation, fail to conduct such foreign gaming operation in accordance with the standards of honesty and integrity required of Nevada gaming operations, engage in activities that are harmful to the State of Nevada or its ability to collect gaming taxes and fees, or employ a person in such foreign operation who has been denied a license or a finding of suitability in Nevada on the ground of personal unsuitability or who has been found guilty of cheating at gambling.

The sale of alcoholic beverages by the licensed subsidiaries on the casino premises and The Sands Expo Center is subject to licensing, control and regulation by the applicable local authorities. Our licensed subsidiaries have obtained the necessary liquor licenses to sell alcoholic beverages. All licenses are revocable and are not transferable. The agencies involved have full power to limit, condition, suspend or revoke any such licenses, and any such disciplinary

action could (and revocation of such licenses would) have a material adverse effect upon our operations.

### Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Sands Bethworks Gaming is subject to the rules and regulations promulgated by the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board ( PaGCB ).

In December 2005, we submitted a proposal to obtain one of two category 2 at large gaming licenses available in Pennsylvania. When the applications were considered by the PaGCB in December 2006, there were five applicants for the two at large licenses. On December 20, 2006, we were awarded one of the licenses and a

14

#### **Table of Contents**

location in the Pocono Mountains was awarded the other category 2 at large license. On the same day, two category 2 licenses were awarded to applicants for locations in Philadelphia, one category 2 license was awarded to an applicant in Pittsburgh, and six race tracks were awarded permanent category 1 licenses. The principal difference between category 1 and category 2 licenses is that the former is available only to certain race tracks. A category 1 or category 2 licensee is authorized to open with up to 3,000 slot machines and to increase to up to 5,000 slot machines upon approval of the PaGCB, which may not take effect earlier than six months after opening. Although the PaGCB announced the award of our license on December 20, 2006, we have not been issued the license because the PaGCB stated that the license would not be issued until all appeals were decided. To date, one of the unsuccessful applicants for a category 2 at large license has announced its intention to file an appeal, which will be heard by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court. Issuance of the license requires, among other things, the payment of a \$50.0 million license fee. Just prior to opening of Sands Bethworks, we will be required to make a deposit of \$5.0 million to cover weekly withdrawals of our appropriate share of the cost of regulation and the amount withdrawn must be replenished weekly.

We must notify the PaGCB if we become aware of any proposed or contemplated change of more than 5% of the ownership interests of Sands Bethworks Gaming or of more than 5% of the ownership interests of any entity that owns, directly or indirectly, at least 20% of Sands Bethworks Gaming, including Las Vegas Sands Corp. The acquisition of more than 20% of the ownership interests of Sands Bethworks Gaming or of any entity that owns, directly or indirectly, at least 20% of Sands Bethworks Gaming would be defined as a change of control under applicable Pennsylvania gaming law and regulations. Upon a change of control, the acquirer of the ownership interests would be required to qualify for licensure and to pay a new license fee of \$50.0 million. The PaGCB retains the discretion to eliminate the need for qualification and may reduce the license fee upon a change of control. Any acquirer of membership interests in connection with a change of control that is found to be not qualified for licensure must divest its acquired interests within 120 days or the time period specified by the PaGCB.

#### Macao Concession and Our Subconcession

In June 2002, the Macao government granted a concession to operate casinos in Macao to Galaxy. Galaxy was one of three entities to be granted a casino license in Macao. During December 2002, we entered into a subconcession agreement with Galaxy, which was approved by the Macao government. The subconcession agreement allows us to develop and operate certain casino projects in Macao, including The Sands Macao and The Venetian Macao separately from Galaxy. Under the subconcession agreement, we are obligated to develop and open The Venetian Macao and a convention center by December 2007. We are also obligated to operate casino games of chance or games of other forms in Macao and to invest, or cause to be invested, at least 4.4 billion patacas (approximately \$549.3 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) in various development projects in Macao by June 2009. We have been informed by the Macao government that the construction and development costs of The Sands Macao can be applied to the fulfillment of this total investment obligation. As a result, as of December 31, 2005, we had invested the required amounts. We are currently scheduled to open The Venetian Macao in summer 2007. If we fail to meet the December 2007 deadline under our subconcession, the Macao government has the right, after consultation with our concessionaire, Galaxy, to unilaterally terminate our subconcession to operate The Sands Macao or any of our other casino operations in Macao, without compensation to us. If this occurs, we may lose our right to continue to operate The Sands Macao and our investment to date in the construction of The Venetian Macao. See

Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Business There are significant risks associated with our planned construction projects, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows from these planned facilities

If the Galaxy concession is terminated for any reason, the subconcession will remain in effect. The subconcession may be terminated by agreement between ourselves and Galaxy. Galaxy is not entitled to terminate the subconcession unilaterally. However, the Macao government, with the consent of Galaxy, may terminate the subconcession under certain circumstances. Galaxy will develop hotel and casino projects separately from us. In October 2006, Galaxy

opened its StarWorld Hotel & Casino in Macao. The property has over 500 hotel rooms and a 140,000 square foot gaming floor with approximately 300 table games and 370 slot machines.

We are subject to licensing and control under applicable Macao law. We are required to be licensed by the Macao gaming authorities to operate a casino. We must pay periodic fees and taxes, and our gaming license is not

15

#### **Table of Contents**

transferable. We must periodically submit detailed financial and operating reports to the Macao gaming authorities and furnish any other information that the Macao gaming authorities may require. No person may acquire any rights over the shares or assets of VML without first obtaining the approval of the Macao gaming authorities. Similarly, no person may enter into possession of its premises or operate them through a management agreement or any other contract or through step in rights without first obtaining the approval of, and receiving a license from, the Macao gaming authorities. The transfer or creation of encumbrances over ownership of shares representing the share capital of VML or other rights relating to such shares, and any act involving the granting of voting rights or other stockholders—rights to persons other than the original owners, would require the approval of the Macao government and the subsequent report of such acts and transactions to the Macao gaming authorities.

Our subconcession agreement requires approval of the Macao government for transfers of shares, or of any rights over such shares, in any of the direct or indirect stockholders in VML, including us, provided that such shares or rights are directly or indirectly equivalent to an amount that is equal or higher than 5% of the share capital in VML. This approval requirement will not apply, however, if the securities are listed and tradable on a stock market. In addition, this agreement requires that the Macao government be given notice of the creation of any encumbrance or the grant of voting rights or other stockholder s rights to persons other than the original owners on shares in any of the direct or indirect stockholders in VML, including us, provided that such shares or rights are indirectly equivalent to an amount that is equal or higher than 5% of the share capital in VML. This notice requirement will not apply, however, to securities listed and tradable on a stock exchange.

The Macao gaming authorities may investigate any individual who has a material relationship to, or material involvement with, us to determine whether our suitability and/or financial capacity is affected by this individual. Our shareholders with 5% or more of the share capital, directors and some of our key employees must apply for and undergo a finding of suitability process and maintain due qualification during the subconcession term, and accept the persistent and long-term inspection and supervision exercised by the Macao government. VML is required to immediately notify the Macao government should VML become aware of any fact that may be material to the appropriate qualification of any shareholder who owns 5% of the share capital, or any director or key employee. Changes in licensed positions must be reported to the Macao gaming authorities, and in addition to their authority to deny an application for a finding of suitability or licensure, the Macao gaming authorities have jurisdiction to disapprove a change in corporate position. If the Macao gaming authorities were to find one of our officers, directors or key employees unsuitable for licensing, we would have to sever all relationships with that person. In addition, the Macao gaming authorities may require us to terminate the employment of any person who refuses to file appropriate applications.

Any person who fails or refuses to apply for a finding of suitability after being ordered to do so by the Macao gaming authorities may be found unsuitable. Any stockholder found unsuitable and who holds, directly or indirectly, any beneficial ownership of the common stock of a registered corporation beyond the period of time prescribed by the Macao gaming authorities may lose his rights to the shares. We will be subject to disciplinary action if, after we receive notice that a person is unsuitable to be a stockholder or to have any other relationship with us, we:

pay that person any dividend or interest upon its shares;

allow that person to exercise, directly or indirectly, any voting right conferred through shares held by that person;

pay remuneration in any form to that person for services rendered or otherwise; or

fail to pursue all lawful efforts to require that unsuitable person to relinquish its shares.

The Macao gaming authorities also have the authority to approve all persons owning or controlling the stock of any corporation holding a gaming license.

The Macao gaming authorities also require prior approval for the creation of liens and encumbrances over VML s assets and restrictions on stock in connection with any financing.

The Macao gaming authorities must give their prior approval to changes in control of VML through a merger, consolidation, stock or asset acquisition, management or consulting agreement or any act or conduct by any person

16

### **Table of Contents**

whereby he or she obtains control. Entities seeking to acquire control of a registered corporation must satisfy the Macao gaming authorities concerning a variety of stringent standards prior to assuming control. The Macao Gaming Commission may also require controlling stockholders, officers, directors and other persons having a material relationship or involvement with the entity proposing to acquire control, to be investigated and licensed as part of the approval process of the transaction.

The Macao gaming authorities may consider that some management opposition to corporate acquisitions, repurchases of voting securities and corporate defense tactics affecting Macao gaming licensees, and registered corporations that are affiliated with those operations, may be injurious to stable and productive corporate gaming.

The Macao gaming authorities also have the power to supervise gaming licensees in order to:

assure the financial stability of corporate gaming operators and their affiliates;

preserve the beneficial aspects of conducting business in the corporate form; and

promote a neutral environment for the orderly governance of corporate affairs.

The subconcession agreement requires the Macao gaming authorities prior approval of any recapitalization plan proposed by VML s board of directors. The Chief Executive of Macao could also require VML to increase its share capital if he deemed it necessary.

Non-compliance with these obligations could lead to the revocation of VML s gaming subconcession.

The Sands Macao was constructed and is operated, and The Venetian Macao Hotel Resort Casino is being constructed and will be operated, under our subconcession agreement. This subconcession excludes the following gaming activities: mutual bets, lotteries, raffles, interactive gaming and games of chance or other gaming, betting or gambling activities on ships or planes. Our subconcession is exclusively governed by Macao law. We are subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of Macao in case of any potential dispute or conflict relating to our subconcession.

Our subconcession agreement expires on June 26, 2022. Unless our subconcession is extended, on that date, all our casino operations and related equipment in Macao will automatically be transferred to the Macao government without compensation to us and we will cease to generate any revenues from these operations. Beginning on June 27, 2017, the Macao government may redeem our subconcession by giving us at least one year prior notice and by paying us fair compensation or indemnity. The amount of such compensation or indemnity will be determined based on the amount of revenue generated during the tax year prior to the redemption. See Risk Factors Risks Associated with Our International Operations We will stop generating any revenues from our Macao gaming operations if we cannot secure an extension of our subconcession in 2022 or if the Macao government exercises its redemption right at any time beginning on December 26, 2017.

The Macao government also has the right, after consultation, to unilaterally terminate, without compensation to us, the subconcession at any time upon the occurrence of specified events of default. See Risk Factors Risks Associated with Our International Operations The Macao government can terminate our subconcession under certain circumstances without compensation to us, which would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. The subconcession agreement does not provide a specific cure period within which any such events of default may be cured. We must rely on consultations and negotiations with the Macao government to give us an opportunity to remedy any such default. Accordingly, we are dependent on our continuing communications and good faith negotiations with the Macao government to ensure that we are performing our obligations under the subconcession in a manner that would avoid a default thereunder.

The subconcession agreement contains various general covenants and obligations and other provisions, the compliance with which is subjective. We have the following obligations under the subconcession agreement:

ensure the proper operation and conduct of casino games;

employ people with appropriate qualifications;

17

### **Table of Contents**

operate and conduct casino games of chance in a fair and honest manner without the influence of criminal activities; and

safeguard and ensure Macao s interests in tax revenue from the operation of casinos and other gaming areas.

In addition, the subconcession agreement requires us to maintain a certain minimum level of insurance. Failure to satisfy these requirements could result in a default under the subconcession. We are also subject to certain reporting requirements in Macao, including to the Macao Gambling Inspection and Coordination Bureau.

Under the subconcession, we are obligated to pay to the Macao government an annual premium with a fixed portion and a variable portion based on the number and type of gaming tables employed and gaming machines operated by us. The fixed portion of the premium is equal to 30.0 million patacas (approximately \$3.7 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006). The variable portion is equal to 300,000 patacas per gaming table reserved exclusively for certain kinds of games or players, 150,000 patacas per gaming table not so reserved and 1,000 patacas per electrical or mechanical gaming machine, including slot machines (approximately \$37,454, \$18,727 and \$125, respectively, at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006), subject to a minimum of 45.0 million patacas (or \$5.6 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006). We also have to pay a special gaming tax of 35% of gross gaming revenues and applicable withholding taxes. We must also contribute 4% of our gross gaming revenue to utilities designated by the Macao government, a portion of which must be used for promotion of tourism in Macao. This percentage will be subject to change in 2010.

Currently, the gaming tax in Macao is calculated as a percentage of gross gaming revenue. However, unlike Nevada, gross gaming revenue does not include deductions for credit losses. As a result, if we extend credit to our customers in Macao and are unable to collect on the related receivables from them, we have to pay taxes on our winnings from these customers even though we were unable to collect on the related receivables from them. We are currently offering credit to customers in Macao on a very limited basis. If the laws are not changed, our business in Macao may not be able to realize the full benefits of extending credit to our customers. Although there are proposals to revise the gaming tax laws in Macao, there can be no assurance that the laws will be changed.

We have received an exemption from Macao s corporate income tax on profits generated by the operation of casino games of chance for the five-year period ending December 31, 2008. See Risk Factors Risks Associated with Our International Operations We are currently not required to pay corporate income taxes on our casino gaming operations in Macao. This tax exemption expires at the end of 2008.

### **Employees**

We directly employ approximately 6,300 employees in connection with The Venetian, approximately 180 employees in connection with The Sands Expo Center and approximately 8,800 employees in connection with The Sands Macao. In addition, we hire temporary employees on an as needed basis at The Venetian. The Venetian s employees are not covered by collective bargaining agreements. Most, but not all, major casino resorts situated on the Las Vegas Strip have collective bargaining contracts covering at least some of the labor force at such sites. We believe that we have good relations with our employees.

The unions currently on the Las Vegas Strip include Local 226 Culinary, Workers Union of the Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union, the Operating Engineers Union and the Teamsters Union. Prior to and since the opening of The Venetian, Local 226 has requested that we recognize it as the bargaining agent for employees of The Venetian. We have declined to do so, believing that current and future employees are entitled to select their own bargaining agent, if any. In the past, when other hotel-casino operators have taken a similar position, Local 226

has engaged in certain confrontational and obstructive tactics, including contacting potential customers, tenants, and investors, objecting to various administrative approvals and picketing. Local 226 has engaged in these types of tactics with respect to The Venetian and may continue to do so. Although we believe we will be able to operate despite such dispute, no assurance can be given that we will be able to do so or that the failure to do so would not result in a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. Although no assurances can be given, if employees decide to be represented by labor unions, management does not believe that such representation would have a material impact upon our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

18

### **Table of Contents**

We are not aware of any union activity at The Sands Macao.

Certain culinary personnel are hired from time to time for trade shows and conventions at The Sands Expo Center and are covered under a collective bargaining agreement between Local 226 and The Sands Expo Center. This collective bargaining agreement expired in December 2000. As a result, The Sands Expo Center is operating under the terms of the expired bargaining agreement with respect to these employees.

### **Intellectual Property**

Our principal intellectual property consists of, among others, the Sands, Venetian, Palazzo and Paiza trademarks, a of which have been registered in various classes in the United States. In addition, we have also applied to register the marks Cotai Strip, Macau Strip, and Asia s Las Vegas, among others, in connection with our development projects in Macao and other marks in connection with our Singapore and Pennsylvania projects. We have also registered and/or applied to register many of our trademarks in various foreign jurisdictions. These trademarks are brand names under which we market our properties and services. We consider these brand names to be important to our business since they have the effect of developing brand identification. We believe that the name recognition, reputation and image that we have developed attract customers to our facilities. Once granted, our trademark registrations are of perpetual duration so long as they are periodically renewed. It is our intent to maintain our trademark registrations.

### **Agreements Relating to the Malls**

# The Grand Canal Shops Mall Sale and Lease Agreement

On April 12, 2004, we entered into an agreement with GGP to sell The Grand Canal Shops mall and lease to GGP certain restaurant and other retail space at the casino level of The Venetian for approximately \$766.0 million. We completed the sale of The Grand Canal Shops mall on May 17, 2004. In addition, on the same date we leased to GGP 19 spaces on the casino level of The Venetian currently occupied by various retail and restaurant tenants for 89 years with annual rent of one dollar per year, and GGP assumed our interest as landlord under the various space leases associated with these 19 spaces. In addition, on the same date we agreed with GGP to:

continue to be obligated to fulfill certain lease termination and asset purchase agreements;

lease the Blue Man Group Theater space located within The Grand Canal Shops mall from GGP for a period of 25 years, subject to an additional 50 years of extension options, with initial fixed minimum rent of \$3.3 million per year;

lease the gondola retail store and the canal space located within The Grand Canal Shops mall from GGP for a period of 25 years, subject to an additional 50 years of extension options, with initial fixed minimum rent of \$3.5 million per year; and

lease certain office space from GGP for a period of 10 years, subject to an additional 65 years of extension options, with initial annual rent of \$0.9 million.

The lease payments relating to the Blue Man Group Theater, the canal space within The Grand Canal Shops mall and the office space from GGP are subject to automatic increases of 5% in the sixth lease year and each subsequent fifth lease year.

### **Development Agreement**

A subsidiary of The Palazzo and GGP entered into a development agreement whereby The Palazzo subsidiary agreed to construct the Phase II mall, and GGP agreed to buy 100% of the membership interests in Phase II Mall Subsidiary, LLC, which will own the Phase II mall when it opens, on the terms described below. The Palazzo subsidiary has assigned substantially all of its obligations under the development agreement to Phase II Mall Holding, LLC, but has agreed to remain jointly and severally liable to GGP for all such obligations. The Palazzo

19

### **Table of Contents**

subsidiary agreed to substantially complete construction of the Phase II mall (subject to *force majeure* and certain other delays) no later than the earlier of:

36 months after the date when The Palazzo subsidiary receives sufficient permits to begin construction of the Phase II mall; and

March 1, 2008.

In the event that the Phase II mall is not substantially completed on or before the stated date, GGP is entitled to receive liquidated damages in the amount of \$5,000 per day for the first six months and \$10,000 per day for an additional six months after the completion deadline has passed. If substantial completion has not occurred on or before one year after the above deadline, Phase II Mall Holding, LLC and The Palazzo subsidiary will be jointly and severally obligated to pay GGP liquidated damages in the amount of \$100.0 million.

In the event that Phase II Mall Holding, LLC, and The Palazzo subsidiary comply with all of their obligations under the development agreement and GGP fails to acquire the membership interests in the entity owning the Phase II mall, Phase II Mall Holding, LLC will be entitled to:

sue GGP for specific performance;

liquidated damages in the amount of \$100.0 million; or

purchase the interest of GGP in The Grand Canal Shops mall for (a) the lesser of (i) \$766.0 million and (ii) the fair market value minus (b) \$100.0 million.

The purchase price that GGP has agreed to pay for the Phase II mall is the greater of (i) \$250.0 million and (ii) the Phase II mall s net operating income for months 19 through 30 of its operations (assuming that the rent due from all tenants in month 30 was actually due in each of months 19 through 30) divided by a capitalization rate. The capitalization rate is 0.06 for every dollar of net operating income up to \$38.0 million and 0.08 for every dollar of net operating income above \$38.0 million. On the date the Phase II mall opens to the public, GGP will be obligated to make an initial purchase price payment based on projected net operating income for the first 12 months of operations (but in no event less than \$250.0 million). Every six months thereafter until the 24 month anniversary of the opening date, the required purchase price will be adjusted (up or down, but never to less than \$250.0 million) based on projected net operating income for the upcoming 12 months. The final purchase price adjustment (subject to audit thereafter) will be made on the 30-month anniversary of the Phase II mall s opening date and will be based on the formula described in the first two sentences of this paragraph. For all purchase price and purchase price adjustment calculations, net operating income will be calculated by using the accrual method of accounting and, for purposes of calculating the final purchase price adjustment, by applying the base rent payable by all tenants in the last month of the applicable 12-month period to the entire 12-month period.

Disputes under the development agreement will be resolved by arbitration or an independent expert selected by the parties.

### **Cooperation Agreement**

Our business plan calls for each of The Venetian, The Congress Center, The Grand Canal Shops mall, The Sands Expo Center, The Palazzo and the Phase II mall, though separately owned, to be integrally related components of one facility. In establishing the terms for the integrated operation of these components, the cooperation agreement sets forth agreements regarding, among other things, encroachments, easements, operating standards, maintenance

requirements, insurance requirements, casualty and condemnation, joint marketing, the construction of The Palazzo, and the sharing of some facilities and related costs. Subject to applicable law, the cooperation agreement binds all current and future owners of The Sands Expo Center, The Venetian, The Grand Canal Shops mall, The Palazzo, The Congress Center and the Phase II mall, and has priority over the liens securing Las Vegas Sands, LLC s amended and restated senior secured credit facility (the Senior Secured Credit Facility) and any liens securing any indebtedness of The Grand Canal Shops mall, The Sands Expo Center, The Palazzo or Phase II mall. Accordingly, subject to applicable law, the obligations in the cooperation agreement will run with the land if any of the components change hands. Although certain of the provisions in the cooperation agreement apply only to The Sands Expo Center, The Venetian and The Grand Canal Shops mall, it is contemplated that similar

20

### **Table of Contents**

provisions will be added to the cooperation agreement with respect to The Palazzo and the Phase II mall prior to their opening.

Operating Covenants. The cooperation agreement regulates certain aspects of the operation of The Sands Expo Center, The Grand Canal Shops mall and The Venetian. For example, under the cooperation agreement, we are obligated to operate The Venetian continuously and to use it exclusively in accordance with standards of first-class Las Vegas Boulevard-style hotels and casinos. We are also obligated to operate and to use The Sands Expo Center exclusively in accordance with standards of first-class convention, trade show and exposition centers. The owner of The Grand Canal Shops mall is obligated to operate The Grand Canal Shops mall exclusively in accordance with standards of first-class restaurant and retail complexes. For so long as The Venetian is operated in accordance with a Venetian theme, the owner of The Grand Canal Shops mall must operate The Grand Canal Shops mall in accordance with the overall Venetian theme.

Maintenance and Repair. We must maintain The Venetian as well as some common areas and common facilities that are to be shared with The Grand Canal Shops mall. The cost of maintenance of all shared common areas and common facilities is to be shared between us and the owner of The Grand Canal Shops mall. We must also maintain, repair, and restore The Sands Expo Center and certain common areas and common facilities located in The Sands Expo Center. The owner of The Grand Canal Shops mall must maintain, repair, and restore The Grand Canal Shops mall and certain common areas and common facilities located in The Grand Canal Shops mall.

*Insurance*. We and the owner of The Grand Canal Shops mall must also maintain minimum types and levels of insurance, including property damage, general liability and business interruption insurance. The cooperation agreement establishes an insurance trustee to assist in the implementation of the insurance requirements.

Parking. The cooperation agreement also addresses issues relating to the use of The Venetian s parking facilities, the use of parking facilities planned in connection with The Palazzo and easements for access. The Venetian, The Grand Canal Shops mall and The Sands Expo Center may use the parking spaces in The Venetian s parking garage on a first come, first served basis, as long as each property retains use of sufficient spaces to comply with specified minimum parking standards. This means that each property shall have the right to use, at a minimum, sufficient spaces to comply with applicable laws and to conduct its business as permitted under the cooperation agreement. The Venetian s parking garage is owned, maintained, and operated by us, with the proportionately allocated operating costs billed to the owner of The Grand Canal Shops mall. After the completion of the parking garage to be built in connection with The Palazzo, The Venetian, The Grand Canal Shops mall, The Sands Expo Center and, when completed, the Phase II mall will have the right to use The Palazzo parking garage, with the operating costs proportionately allocated among each facility. Each party to the cooperation agreement has granted to the others non-exclusive easements and rights to use the roadways and walkways on each other s properties for vehicular and pedestrian access to the parking garages.

*Utility Easement.* All property owners have also granted each other all appropriate and necessary easement rights to utility lines servicing The Venetian, The Grand Canal Shops mall, The Palazzo, the Phase II mall and The Sands Expo Center.

Consents, Approvals and Disputes. If any current or future party to the cooperation agreement has a consent or approval right or has discretion to act or refrain from acting, the consent or approval of such party will only be granted and action will be taken or not taken only if a commercially reasonable owner would do so and such consent, approval, action or inaction would not have a material adverse effect on the property owned by such property owner. The cooperation agreement provides for the appointment of an independent expert to resolve some disputes between the parties, as well as for expedited arbitration for other disputes.

Sale of The Grand Canal Shops mall by GGP. We have a right of first offer in connection with any proposed sale of The Grand Canal Shops mall by GGP. We also have the right to receive notice of any default of GGP sent by its mortgagee, if any, and the right to cure such default subject to our meeting certain net worth tests.

# **HVAC Services Agreement and Related Documents**

Atlantic-Pacific Las Vegas, LLC, a subsidiary of Thermal Western Holdings, Inc., is the heating, ventilation and air conditioning ( HVAC ) provider to The Venetian, The Sands Expo Center, Venezia tower and The Palazzo

21

### **Table of Contents**

(collectively referred to as the Property ). Thermal energy (i.e., heating and air conditioning) is provided to the Property by the HVAC provider using certain heating and air conditioning-related and other equipment (the HVAC Equipment ). In addition, the HVAC provider provides us with other energy-related services. The central HVAC plant is located on land owned by us, which has been leased to the HVAC provider for a nominal annual rent. Except for equipment added since the opening of The Venetian, the HVAC plant and equipment is owned by the HVAC provider, and the HVAC provider has been granted appropriate easements and other rights so as to be able to use the HVAC plant and the HVAC equipment to supply thermal energy to the Property, including The Grand Canal Shops mall. The HVAC provider paid all costs ( HVAC costs ) in connection with the purchase and installation of the HVAC plant and equipment in connection with the original construction of The Venetian, which costs totaled \$70.0 million. The HVAC provider has entered into separate service contracts (collectively, the HVAC service agreements ) with Venetian Casino Resort, LLC (which as amended includes The Palazzo), Interface Group-Nevada, Inc. ( Interface Group-Nevada ) and the owner of The Grand Canal Shops mall, for the provision of heat and cooling requirements at agreed-to rates. The charges payable by all users include a fixed component that enables the HVAC provider to recover 85% of the HVAC costs over the initial term of the service contracts, with interest at a fixed annual rate of 7.1%. In addition, the users reimburse the HVAC provider for the annual cost of operating and maintaining the HVAC equipment and providing certain other energy related services, and pay the HVAC provider a management fee of \$0.7 million per year. Each user is allocated a portion of the total agreed-to charges and fees through its service contract, which portion includes paying 100% of the cost of services in connection with the HVAC equipment relating solely to such user. Each user is not liable for the obligations of the other users; provided, however, that the owner of The Grand Canal Shops mall is liable for the obligations of each mall tenant. The HVAC service agreements expire in 2009, at which time the users will have the right, but not the obligation, to collectively either extend the term of their agreements for five years (with a second, additional five-year renewal option) each or purchase the HVAC plant and equipment in accordance with purchase provisions set forth in the HVAC service agreements.

### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the risk factors set forth below as well as the other information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K in connection with evaluating the Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial may also materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. Certain statements in Risk Factors are forward-looking statements. See Item 7 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.

### **Risks Related to Our Business**

Our business is particularly sensitive to reductions in discretionary consumer spending as a result of downturns in the economy.

Consumer demand for hotel casino resorts, trade shows and conventions and for the type of luxury amenities we offer may be particularly sensitive to downturns in the economy. Changes in consumer preferences or discretionary consumer spending brought about by factors such as fears of war, future acts of terrorism, general economic conditions, disposable consumer income, fears of recession and changes in consumer confidence in the economy could reduce customer demand for the luxury products and leisure services we offer, thus imposing practical limits on pricing and harming our operations.

Our business is sensitive to the willingness of our customers to travel. Acts of terrorism, regional political events and developments in the conflicts in Iraq, Afghanistan and elsewhere could cause severe disruptions in air travel that reduce the number of visitors to our facilities, resulting in a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We are dependent on the willingness of our customers to travel. A substantial number of our customers for The Venetian use air travel to come to Las Vegas. On September 11, 2001, acts of terrorism occurred in New York City, Pennsylvania and Washington, D.C. As a result of these terrorist acts, domestic and international travel was severely disrupted, which resulted in a decrease in customer visits to Las Vegas, including to The Venetian and The Sands

22

### **Table of Contents**

Expo Center. In addition, developments in the conflicts in Iraq, Afghanistan and elsewhere, and regional issues such as tension between the People s Republic of China and Taiwan and issues relating to North Korea could have a similar effect on domestic and international travel. Most of our customers travel to reach either The Venetian or The Sands Macao. Only a small amount of our business is generated by local residents. Management cannot predict the extent to which disruptions in air or other forms of travel as a result of any further terrorist act, outbreak of hostilities or escalation of war would adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

An outbreak of highly infectious disease could adversely affect the number of visitors to our facilities and disrupt our operations, resulting in a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In 2003, Taiwan, China, Hong Kong, Singapore and certain other regions experienced an outbreak of a highly contagious form of atypical pneumonia now known as severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). As a result of the outbreak, there was a decrease in travel to and from, and economic activity in, affected regions, including Macao. In addition, there have been recent fears concerning the spread of an avian flu in Asia. Potential future outbreaks of SARS, avian flu or other highly infectious diseases may adversely affect the number of visitors to The Sands Macao, The Venetian, The Sands Expo Center and other properties we are developing in Las Vegas or Macao and our other projects. Furthermore, an outbreak might disrupt our ability to adequately staff our business and could generally disrupt our operations. If any of our customers or employees is suspected of having contracted certain highly contagious diseases, we may be required to quarantine these customers or employees or the affected areas of our facilities and temporarily suspend part or all of our operations at affected facilities. Any new outbreak of such a highly infectious disease could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

There are significant risks associated with our planned construction projects, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows from these planned facilities.

Our ongoing and future construction projects, such as The Palazzo, The Venetian Macao, Marina Bay Sands and Sands Bethworks, entail significant risks. Construction activity requires us to obtain qualified contractors and subcontractors, the availability of which may be uncertain. Construction projects are subject to cost overruns and delays caused by events outside of our control or, in certain cases, our contractors—control, such as shortages of materials or skilled labor, unforeseen engineering, environmental and/or geological problems, work stoppages, weather interference, unanticipated cost increases and unavailability of construction materials or equipment. Construction, equipment or staffing problems or difficulties in obtaining any of the requisite materials, licenses, permits, allocations and authorizations from governmental or regulatory authorities could increase the total cost, delay, jeopardize or prevent the construction or opening of such projects or otherwise affect the design and features of The Palazzo, The Venetian Macao, Marina Bay Sands, Sands Bethworks or other projects. In addition, the number of ongoing projects and their locations throughout the world present unique challenges and risks to our management structure. If our management is unable to successfully manage our worldwide construction projects, it could have an adverse impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We have not entered into a fixed-price or guaranteed maximum price contract with a single construction manager or general contractor for the construction of The Palazzo, The Venetian Macao or Marina Bay Sands. As a result, we will rely heavily on our in-house development and construction team to manage construction costs and coordinate the work of the various trade contractors. The lack of any fixed-price contract with a construction manager or general contractor will put more of the risk of cost-overruns on us. If we are unable to manage costs or we are unable to raise additional capital required to complete The Palazzo, The Venetian Macao, Marina Bay Sands or Sands Bethworks, we may not be able to open or complete these projects, which may have an adverse impact on our business and prospects for growth.

The anticipated costs and completion dates for The Palazzo, The Venetian Macao, Marina Bay Sands, Sands Bethworks and our other projects are based on budgets, designs, development and construction documents and schedule estimates that we have prepared with the assistance of architects and other construction development consultants and that are subject to change as the design, development and construction documents are finalized and more actual construction work is performed. A failure to complete The Palazzo, The Venetian Macao, Marina Bay

23

### **Table of Contents**

Sands, Sands Bethworks or our other projects on budget or on schedule may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. See Risks Associated with our International Operations We are required to build and open The Venetian Macao and a convention center by December 2007. Unless we meet this deadline or obtain an extension, we may lose our right to continue to operate The Sands Macao or any other facilities developed under the subconcession.

In May 2006, we entered into a \$2.5 billion facility for the partial financing of The Sands Macao expansion, The Venetian Macao and our other Cotai Strip developments. A significant portion of The Sands Macao s cash flows will also be used to finance the construction of The Venetian Macao. If The Sands Macao s cash flows and the credit facility are not sufficient, additional equity or debt financings may be needed to finance the remainder of the construction of The Venetian Macao.

In addition, this credit facility will not cover all of the costs of our other Cotai Strip developments. We expect that the construction of the other Cotai Strip developments will require significant additional debt and/or equity financings. We cannot assure you that we will obtain all the financing required for the construction and opening of The Sands Macao expansion, The Venetian Macao or our other Cotai Strip developments.

In addition, the debt agreements to fund the construction of The Palazzo and The Venetian Macao contain significant conditions that must be satisfied in order for us to be able to continue to use the proceeds available under these facilities, including:

having sufficient funds available so that construction costs of The Palazzo or The Venetian Macao are in balance for purposes of the applicable debt instruments;

obtaining various consents and other agreements from third parties, including trade contractors; and other customary conditions.

We expect the cost to develop and construct the Marina Bay Sands integrated resort to be approximately \$3.6 billion, inclusive of the land premium, taxes and other fees. In August 2006, MBS entered into agreements providing for approximately \$1.44 billion of financing for the Marina Bay Sands. We expect that the construction of the Marina Bay Sands will require significant additional debt and/or equity financings. We cannot assure you that we will obtain all the financing required for the construction and opening of the Marina Bay Sands.

The failure to obtain the necessary financing, or satisfy these funding conditions, could adversely affect our ability to construct The Palazzo, The Venetian Macao, our other planned Cotai Strip developments, Marina Bay Sands, Sands Bethworks and our other development projects.

Because we are currently dependent upon three properties in two markets for all of our cash flow, we will be subject to greater risks than a gaming company with more operating properties or that operates in more markets.

We currently do not have material assets or operations other than The Venetian, The Sands Expo Center and The Sands Macao. As a result, we will be entirely dependent upon these properties for all of our cash flow until we complete the development of other properties.

Given that our operations are currently conducted at two properties in Las Vegas and one property in Macao and that a large portion of our planned future development is in Las Vegas and Macao, we will be subject to greater degrees of risk than a gaming company with more operating properties in more markets. The risks to which we will have a greater degree of exposure include the following:

local economic and competitive conditions;

inaccessibility due to inclement weather, road construction or closure of primary access routes;

decline in air passenger traffic due to higher ticket costs or fears concerning air travel;

changes in local and state governmental laws and regulations, including gaming laws and regulations;

24

### **Table of Contents**

natural and other disasters, including the risk of typhoons in the South China region or outbreaks of infectious diseases;

an increase in the cost of electrical power for our Las Vegas properties as a result of, among other things, power shortages in California or other western states with which Nevada shares a single regional power grid;

changes in the availability of water; and

a decline in the number of visitors to Las Vegas or Macao.

Our substantial debt could impair our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. We may need to incur additional debt to finance our planned construction projects.

We are highly leveraged and have substantial debt service obligations. As of December 31, 2006, we had approximately \$4.14 billion of long-term debt outstanding. We expect that our Cotai Strip developments, Marina Bay Sands and Sands Bethworks will be financed in large part by additional debt. See There are significant risks associated with our planned construction projects, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows from these planned facilities.

This substantial indebtedness could have important consequences to us. For example, it could:

make it more difficult for us to satisfy our debt obligations;

increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;

impair our ability to obtain additional financing in the future for working capital needs, capital expenditures, development projects, acquisitions or general corporate purposes;

require us to dedicate a significant portion of our cash flow from operations to the payment of principal and interest on our debt, which would reduce the funds available for our operations;

limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in the business and the industry in which we operate;

place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt; and

subject us to higher interest expense in the event of increases in interest rates to the extent a portion of our debt is and will continue to be at variable rates of interest.

The terms of our debt instruments may restrict our current and future operations, particularly our ability to finance additional growth, respond to changes or take some actions that may otherwise be in our best interests.

Our and our subsidiaries current debt instruments contain, and any future debt instruments, including the debt instruments for the financing of our other Cotai Strip developments and Marina Bay Sands, likely will contain, a number of restrictive covenants that impose significant operating and financial restrictions on us and our subsidiaries.

Las Vegas Sands, LLC s Senior Secured Credit Facility and the credit facility for the construction of The Venetian Macao include covenants restricting, among other things, the ability of Las Vegas Sands, LLC or VML, respectively,

to:

incur additional debt, including guarantees or credit support;

incur liens securing indebtedness;

dispose of assets;

make certain acquisitions;

pay dividends or make distributions and make other restricted payments, such as purchasing equity interests, repurchasing junior indebtedness or making investments in third parties;

25

### **Table of Contents**

enter into sale and leaseback transactions;

engage in any new businesses;

issue preferred stock; and

enter into transactions with our stockholders and our affiliates.

Las Vegas Sands, LLC s Senior Secured Credit Facility also includes financial covenants, including requirements that Las Vegas Sands, LLC satisfy:

- a minimum consolidated net worth test;
- a maximum consolidated capital expenditure test;
- a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio; and
- a maximum consolidated leverage ratio.

VML s credit facility for the construction of The Venetian Macao also includes financial covenants, including requirements that VML satisfy:

a minimum consolidated EBITDA test for a period of time, and from and after certain construction and operational thresholds are met, a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio test and a maximum consolidated leverage ratio test; and

a maximum consolidated capital expenditure test.

The debt facilities for the Marina Bay Sands contain customary affirmative and negative covenants, including limitations on liens, indebtedness, investments, acquisitions and asset sales, restricted payments, affiliate transactions and use of proceeds from the facilities. The facilities also contain events of defaults, including a nationalization of the Marina Bay Sands, the termination of our Development Agreement with the Singapore Tourism Board, the termination of the lease for the land underlying the Marina Bay Sands or the failure of the Singapore casino license to be awarded.

The indenture governing our \$250.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 6.375% senior notes also restricts, among other things, our ability to incur liens and enter into certain sale and lease-back transactions.

Our future debt or other contracts could contain financial or other covenants more restrictive than those applicable under the above instruments.

Our insurance coverage may not be adequate to cover all possible losses that our properties could suffer. In addition, our insurance costs may increase and we may not be able to obtain the same insurance coverage in the future.

Although we have all-risk property insurance for The Venetian, The Sands Expo Center and The Sands Macao covering damage caused by a casualty loss (such as fire and natural disasters), each policy has certain exclusions. In addition, our property insurance coverage for The Venetian, The Sands Expo Center and The Sands Macao is in an

amount that may be significantly less than the expected replacement cost of rebuilding the complex if there was a total loss. Our level of insurance coverage for The Venetian and The Sands Expo Center may not be adequate to cover all losses in the event of a major casualty. In addition, certain casualty events, such as labor strikes, nuclear events, acts of war, loss of income due to cancellation of room reservations or conventions due to fear of terrorism, deterioration or corrosion, insect or animal damage and pollution, might not be covered at all under our policies. Therefore, certain acts could expose us to heavy, uninsured losses.

We also have builder s risk insurance for many of our projects in Las Vegas, Macao and Singapore, including The Palazzo, The Venetian Macao, The Sands Macao hotel tower expansion and the Marina Bay Sands. Builder s risk insurance provides coverage for projects during their construction for damage caused by a casualty loss (such as fire and natural disasters). In general, our builder s risk coverage is subject to the same exclusions, risks and deficiencies as those described above for our all-risk property coverage. Our level of builder s risk insurance coverage may not be adequate to cover all losses in the event of a major casualty. In addition, delays occasioned by

26

### **Table of Contents**

major casualty events may adversely affect our ability to meet the deadlines imposed by the Macao government to complete The Venetian Macao and the convention center we are building in Macao or our expected opening dates for our other projects. We are not insured against this risk.

In addition, although we currently have insurance coverage for occurrences of terrorist acts with respect to The Venetian, The Sands Expo Center and The Sands Macao and for certain losses that could result from these acts, our terrorism coverage is subject to the same risks and deficiencies as those described above for our all-risk property coverage. The lack of sufficient insurance for these types of acts could expose us to heavy losses in the event that any damages occur, directly or indirectly, as a result of terrorist attacks or otherwise, which could have a significant negative impact on our operations.

In addition to the damage caused to our property by a casualty loss (such as fire, natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism), we may suffer business disruption as a result of these events or be subject to claims by third parties injured or harmed. While we carry business interruption insurance and general liability insurance, this insurance may not be adequate to cover all losses in such event.

We renew our insurance policies on an annual basis. The cost of coverage may become so high that we may need to further reduce our policy limits or agree to certain exclusions from our coverage. Among other factors, it is possible that the situations in Iraq and Afghanistan, regional political tensions, homeland security concerns, other catastrophic events or any change in government legislation governing insurance coverage for acts of terrorism could materially adversely affect available insurance coverage and result in increased premiums on available coverage (which may cause us to elect to reduce our policy limits), additional exclusions from coverage or higher deductibles. Among other potential future adverse changes, in the future we may elect to not, or may not be able to, obtain any coverage for losses due to acts of terrorism.

Our debt instruments and other material agreements require us to maintain a certain minimum level of insurance. Failure to satisfy these requirements could result in an event of default under these debt instruments or material agreements.

We depend on the continued services of key managers and employees. If we do not retain our key personnel or attract and retain other highly skilled employees, our business will suffer.

Our ability to maintain our competitive position is dependent to a large degree on the services of our senior management team, including Sheldon G. Adelson. Mr. Adelson, William P. Weidner, Bradley H. Stone, Robert G. Goldstein, Robert P. Rozek and Scott D. Henry have each entered into employment agreements. However, we cannot assure you that any of these individuals will remain with us. We currently do not have a life insurance policy on any of the members of the senior management team. The death or loss of the services of any of our senior managers or the inability to attract and retain additional senior management personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We are controlled by a principal stockholder whose interest in our business may be different than yours.

Mr. Adelson and trusts for the benefit of Mr. Adelson and/or his family members beneficially own approximately 69% of our outstanding common stock. Accordingly, Mr. Adelson exercises significant influence over our business policies and affairs, including the composition of our board of directors and any action requiring the approval of our stockholders, including the adoption of amendments to our articles of incorporation and the approval of a merger or sale of substantially all of our assets. The concentration of ownership may also delay, defer or even prevent a change in control of our company and may make some transactions more difficult or impossible without the support of Mr. Adelson. Because Mr. Adelson and trusts for the benefit of Mr. Adelson and/or his family members own more

than 50% of the voting power of our company, we are considered a controlled company under the New York Stock Exchange listing standards. As such, the NYSE corporate governance requirements that our board of directors and our compensation committee be independent, do not apply to us. As a result, the ability of our independent directors to influence our business policies and affairs may be reduced. The interests of Mr. Adelson may conflict with your interests.

27

### **Table of Contents**

### We are a parent company and our primary source of cash is and will be distributions from our subsidiaries.

We are a parent company with limited business operations of our own. Our main asset is the capital stock of our subsidiaries. We conduct most of our business operations through our direct and indirect subsidiaries. Accordingly, our primary sources of cash are dividends and distributions with respect to our ownership interests in our subsidiaries that are derived from the earnings and cash flow generated by our operating properties. Our subsidiaries might not generate sufficient earnings and cash flow to pay dividends or distributions in the future. Our subsidiaries payments to us will be contingent upon their earnings and upon other business considerations. In addition, our subsidiaries debt instruments and other agreements, including Las Vegas Sands, LLC s Senior Secured Credit Facility and the Macao credit facility, limit or prohibit certain payments of dividends or other distributions to us. We expect that the debt instruments for the financing of our other developments, including our other Cotai Strip developments and Marina Bay Sands in Singapore, will contain similar restrictions.

# We are currently in the development stage of several projects that are subject to a variety of contingencies that may ultimately prevent the realization of such plans.

We have several new projects in development, including building and operating six casino resort developments on the Cotai Strip in addition to The Venetian Macao. These projects are subject to a number of contingencies. For example, we cannot assure you that the Macao government will approve our master plan for the development of those Cotai Strip properties or that we will raise all the financing required for the completion of these projects. See There are significant risks associated with our planned construction projects, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows from these planned facilities. In addition, although we expect that several of the hotel properties will be managed or developed by third parties, we cannot assure you that we will reach satisfactory agreements with third parties to manage or develop these properties.

We are also exploring opportunities for casino gaming operations in certain other jurisdictions, such as the United Kingdom, and are also exploring the development of a leisure and convention destination resort on Hengqin Island in China. In a number of jurisdictions, current laws do not permit unlimited licenses for casino gaming of the type we propose to develop or we are competing for a limited number of available licenses. These projects are subject to a number of contingencies, including, but not limited to, adverse developments in applicable legislation, our ability to procure necessary governmental licenses and/or approvals, our ability to reach satisfactory, final agreements with necessary third parties or meet the conditions provided for under those agreements, and our ability to raise sufficient financing to fund such projects. In addition, luxury casino resort projects require substantial amounts of capital.

As a result, our various plans for the development of our operations may not ultimately be realized as currently planned, or at all. Even if we are successful in launching any of these ventures, we cannot assure you that any of these projects would be successful, or that their operations would not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

# Risks Associated with Our Las Vegas Operations

We face significant competition in Las Vegas which could materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. Some of our competitors have substantially greater resources and access to capital than we have and several of them are expanding or renovating their facilities. In addition, any significant downturn in the trade show and convention business would significantly and adversely affect our mid-week occupancy rates and business.

The hotel, resort and casino business in Las Vegas is highly competitive. The Venetian competes with a large number of major hotel-casinos and a number of smaller casinos located on and near the Las Vegas Strip and in and near Las Vegas. We also compete, to some extent, with other hotel-casino facilities in Nevada and in Atlantic City, as well as hotel casinos and other resort facilities and vacation destinations elsewhere in the United States and around the world. Many of our competitors are subsidiaries or divisions of large public companies and may have greater financial and other resources than we have. In particular, the acquisition of Mandalay Resort Group by

28

### **Table of Contents**

MGM MIRAGE and the acquisition of Caesars Entertainment Inc. by Harrah s Entertainment, Inc. created two of the world s largest gaming companies as measured by revenues.

In addition, various competitors on the Las Vegas Strip are expanding and renovating their existing facilities. If demand for hotel rooms does not keep up with the increase in the number of hotel rooms, competitive pressures may cause reductions in average room rates.

We also compete with legalized gaming from casinos located on Native American tribal lands, including those located in California. While the competitive impact on our operations in Las Vegas from the continued growth of Native American gaming establishments in California remains uncertain, the proliferation of gaming in California and other areas located in the same region as The Venetian could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

In addition, certain states have legalized, and others may legalize, casino gaming in specific areas, including metropolitan areas from which we traditionally attract customers, such as New York, Los Angeles, San Francisco and Boston. A number of states have permitted or are considering permitting gaming at racinos, on Native American reservations and through expansion of state lotteries. The current global trend toward liberalization of gaming restrictions and resulting proliferation of gaming venues could result in a decrease in the number of visitors to our Las Vegas facilities by attracting customers close to home and away from Las Vegas, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

As a result of the large number of trade shows and conventions held in Las Vegas, The Sands Expo Center and The Congress Center provide recurring demand for mid-week room nights for business travelers who attend these events. The attendance level at the trade shows and conventions that we host contributes to our higher-than-average mid-week occupancy rates. The Sands Expo Center and The Congress Center presently compete with other large convention centers, including convention centers in Las Vegas and other cities. Competition will be increasing for The Congress Center and The Sands Expo Center as a result of planned additional convention and meeting facilities, as well as the enhancement or expansion of existing convention and meeting facilities, in Las Vegas. Also, other American cities are in the process of developing, or have announced plans to develop, convention centers and other meeting, trade and exhibition facilities that may materially adversely affect us. To the extent that these competitors are able to capture a substantially larger portion of the trade show and convention business, there could be a material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The loss of our gaming license or our failure to comply with the extensive regulations that govern our operations could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Our gaming operations and the ownership of our securities are subject to extensive regulation by the Nevada Gaming Commission, the Nevada State Gaming Control Board and the Clark County Liquor and Gaming Licensing Board. The Nevada Gaming Authorities have broad authority with respect to licensing and registration of our business entities and individuals investing in or otherwise involved with us.

Although we currently are registered with, and Las Vegas Sands, LLC and Venetian Casino Resort, LLC currently hold gaming licenses issued by, the Nevada Gaming Authorities, these authorities may, among other things, revoke the gaming license of any corporate entity or the registration of a registered corporation or any entity registered as a holding company of a corporate licensee for violations of gaming regulations.

In addition, the Nevada Gaming Authorities may, under certain conditions, revoke the license or finding of suitability of any officer, director, controlling person, stockholder, noteholder or key employee of a licensed or registered entity. If our gaming licenses were revoked for any reason, the Nevada Gaming Authorities could require the closing of the casino, which would have a material adverse effect on our business. In addition, compliance costs associated with

gaming laws, regulations or licenses are significant. Any change in the laws, regulations or licenses applicable to our business or gaming licenses could require us to make substantial expenditures or could otherwise have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The Nevada State Gaming Control Board investigates or reviews the records of gaming companies for compliance with gaming regulations as part of its regular oversight functions.

29

### **Table of Contents**

In addition, Sands Bethworks will be subject to the rules and regulations promulgated by the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board.

For a more complete description of the gaming regulatory requirements affecting our business, see Item 1 Business Regulation and Licensing.

Certain beneficial owners of our voting securities may be required to file an application with, and be investigated by, the Nevada Gaming Authorities, and the Nevada Gaming Commission may restrict the ability of a beneficial owner to receive any benefit from our voting securities and may require the disposition of shares of our voting securities, if a beneficial owner is found to be unsuitable.

Any person who acquires beneficial ownership of more than 10% of our voting securities will be required to apply to the Nevada Gaming Commission for a finding of suitability within 30 days after the Chairman of the Nevada State Gaming Control Board mails a written notice requiring the filing. Under certain circumstances, an institutional investor as defined under the regulations of the Nevada Gaming Commission, which acquires beneficial ownership of more than 10% but not more than 15% of our voting securities, may apply to the Nevada Gaming Commission for a waiver of such finding of suitability requirement if the institutional investor holds our voting securities only for investment purposes. In addition, any beneficial owner of our voting securities, regardless of the number of shares beneficially owned, may be required at the discretion of the Nevada Gaming Commission to file an application for a finding of suitability as such. In either case, a finding of suitability is comparable to licensing and the applicant must pay all costs of investigation incurred by the Nevada Gaming Authorities in conducting the investigation.

Any person who fails or refuses to apply for a finding of suitability as a beneficial owner of our voting securities within 30 days after being ordered to do so by the Nevada Gaming Authorities may be found unsuitable. Any stockholder found unsuitable by the Nevada Gaming Commission to be a beneficial owner of our voting securities and who continues to hold, directly or indirectly, beneficial ownership of our voting securities beyond the period of time as may be prescribed by the Nevada Gaming Commission may be guilty of a criminal offense. We will be subject to disciplinary action if, after we receive notice that a person is unsuitable to be a beneficial owner of our voting securities or to have any other relationship with us or a licensed subsidiary, we or any of the licensed subsidiaries:

pay that person any dividend or interest upon our voting securities;

allow that person to exercise, directly or indirectly, any voting right conferred through our voting securities held by that person;

pay that person any remuneration in any form for services rendered or otherwise; or

fail to pursue all lawful efforts to require that person to relinquish our voting securities for cash at fair market value.

For a more complete description of the Nevada gaming regulatory requirements applicable to beneficial owners of our voting securities, see Item 1 Business Regulation and Licensing State of Nevada.

The construction and operation of The Palazzo could have an adverse effect on The Venetian.

We have commenced construction on The Palazzo, which will consist of a hotel, casino, dining and entertainment complex, condominium tower and meeting and conference center space on an approximately 14 acre site adjacent to The Venetian. Although we intend to construct The Palazzo with minimal impact on The Venetian, we cannot

guarantee that the construction will not disrupt the operations of The Venetian or that it will be implemented as planned. Therefore, the construction of The Palazzo may adversely impact the businesses, operations and revenues of The Venetian. We also cannot assure you that The Palazzo will be as financially successful as The Venetian. If demand for the additional hotel rooms at The Palazzo is not strong, the lack of demand may adversely affect the occupancy rates and room rates realized by us. In addition, because the business concept for The Palazzo is very similar to that of The Venetian, there may not be enough demand to fill the combined hotel room capacity of The Palazzo and The Venetian.

30

### **Table of Contents**

Our failure to substantially complete construction of the Phase II mall by an agreed-upon deadline will result in our having to pay substantial liquidated damages and cause an event of default under our debt instruments.

Under our agreement with GGP, we have agreed to substantially complete construction of the Phase II mall before the earlier of 36 months after the date on which sufficient permits are received to begin construction of the Phase II mall and March 1, 2008. These dates may be extended due to force majeure or certain other delays. In the event that we do not substantially complete construction of the Phase II mall on or before the earlier of these two dates (as these dates may be extended as described in the preceding sentence), we must pay liquidated damages of \$5,000 per day, for up to six months, until substantial completion (increasing to \$10,000 per day, for up to the next six months, if substantial completion does not occur by the end of six months after the completion deadline). If substantial completion has not occurred on or before one year after the deadline, we will be required to pay total liquidated damages in the amount of \$100.0 million. In addition, failure to substantially complete construction of the Phase II mall by the agreed-upon deadline would constitute an event of default under Las Vegas Sands, LLC s Senior Secured Credit Facility.

If we are unable to maintain an acceptable working relationship with GGP and/or if GGP breaches any of its material agreements with us, there could be a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We have entered into agreements with GGP under which, among other things:

GGP has agreed to purchase the Phase II mall from us;

GGP has agreed to operate The Grand Canal Shops mall subject to, and in accordance with, the cooperation agreement;

leases for the Phase II mall, a joint opening date of the Phase II mall and The Palazzo and certain aspects of the design of the Phase II mall must be jointly approved by us and GGP; and

we lease from GGP certain office space and space located within The Grand Canal Shops mall, in which we built the Blue Man Group theater (which opened in October 2005) and in which the canal and the gondola retail store are located.

Each of the above-described agreements with GGP could be adversely affected in ways that could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows if we do not maintain an acceptable working relationship with GGP. For example:

if we are unable to agree with GGP on leases for the Phase II mall, the purchase price we will ultimately be paid for the Phase II mall could be substantially reduced, and there would, at least for a certain period of time, be an empty or partially empty mall within The Palazzo;

the success of the opening of The Palazzo may be adversely affected if there is not an agreed-upon joint opening date for The Palazzo and the Phase II mall;

completion of the construction of the Phase II mall would be delayed during any period of time that we are not in agreement with GGP as to certain design elements of the Phase II mall; and

the cooperation agreement that will govern the relationship between the Phase II mall and The Palazzo requires that the owners cooperate in various ways and take various joint actions, which will be more difficult to

accomplish, especially in a cost-effective manner, if the parties do not have an acceptable working relationship.

There could be similar material adverse consequences to us if GGP breaches any of its agreements to us, such as its agreement to purchase the Phase II mall from us, its agreement under the cooperation agreement to operate The Grand Canal Shops mall consistent with the standards of first-class restaurant and retail complexes and the overall Venetian theme, and its various obligations as our landlord under the leases described above. Although the various agreements with GGP do provide us with various remedies in the event of any breaches by GGP and also include various dispute-resolution procedures and mechanisms, these remedies, procedures and mechanisms may

31

### **Table of Contents**

be inadequate to prevent a material adverse effect on our operations and financial condition if breaches by GGP occur or if we do not maintain an acceptable working relationship with GGP.

We extend credit to a large portion of our customers and we may not be able to collect gaming receivables from our credit players.

We conduct our gaming activities on a credit basis as well as a cash basis. This credit is unsecured. Table games players typically are extended more credit than slot players, and high-stakes players typically are extended more credit than patrons who tend to wager lower amounts. High-end gaming is more volatile than other forms of gaming, and variances in win-loss results attributable to high-end gaming may have a significant positive or negative impact on cash flow and earnings in a particular quarter.

At The Venetian, credit play is significant while at The Sands Macao table games play is primarily cash play. We extend credit to those customers whose level of play and financial resources warrant, in the opinion of management, an extension of credit. For the year ended December 31, 2006, our table games drop at The Venetian was approximately 62.6% from credit-based guest wagering. These large receivables could have a significant impact on our operating results if deemed uncollectible.

While gaming debts evidenced by a credit instrument, including what is commonly referred to as a marker, and judgments on gaming debts are enforceable under the current laws of Nevada, and Nevada judgments on gaming debts are enforceable in all states under the Full Faith and Credit Clause of the U.S. Constitution, other jurisdictions may determine that enforcement of gaming debts is against public policy. Although courts of some foreign nations will enforce gaming debts directly and the assets in the United States of foreign debtors may be reached to satisfy a judgment, judgments on gaming debts from U.S. courts are not binding on the courts of many foreign nations.

# **Risks Associated with Our International Operations**

Conducting business in Macao and Singapore has certain political and economic risks which may affect the financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of our Asian operations.

We currently own and operate a casino in Macao and are developing and plan to operate one or more hotels, additional casinos and convention centers in Macao, including The Venetian Macao. We also plan to own and operate the Marina Bay Sands in Singapore. Accordingly, our business development plans, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows may be materially and adversely affected by significant political, social and economic developments in Macao, throughout the rest of China and in Singapore, and by changes in policies of the governments or changes in laws and regulations or the interpretations thereof. Our operations in Macao are, and our operations in Singapore will be, also exposed to the risk of changes in laws and policies that govern operations of companies based in those countries. Tax laws and regulations may also be subject to amendment or different interpretation and implementation, thereby adversely affecting our profitability after tax. Further, the percentage of our gross gaming revenues that we must contribute annually to the Macao authorities is subject to change in 2010. These changes may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

As we expect a significant number of consumers to come to The Sands Macao and The Venetian Macao from China, general economic conditions and policies in China could have a significant impact on our financial prospects. Any slowdown in economic growth or reversal of China s current policies of liberalizing restrictions on travel and currency movements could adversely impact the number of visitors from China to our Macao properties as well as the amounts they are willing to spend in the casino.

Current Macao laws and regulations concerning gaming and gaming concessions are, for the most part, fairly recent and there is little precedent on the interpretation of these laws and regulations. We believe that our organizational structure and operations are in compliance in all material respects with all applicable laws and regulations of Macao. However, these laws and regulations are complex and a court or an administrative or regulatory body may in the future render an interpretation of these laws and regulations, or issue regulations, that differs from our interpretation, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. The Marina Bay Sands will be the first gaming facility to open in Singapore following the

32

### **Table of Contents**

government s adoption of gaming legislation in 2005. Accordingly, the laws and regulations relating to gaming and their interpretations are untested.

In addition, our activities in Macao are, and our operations in Singapore will be, subject to administrative review and approval by various government agencies. We cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain all necessary approvals, which may materially affect our long-term business strategy and operations. Macao and Singapore laws permit redress to the courts with respect to administrative actions. However, such redress is largely untested in relation to gaming issues.

We are required to build and open The Venetian Macao and a convention center by December 2007. Unless we meet this deadline or obtain an extension, we may lose our right to continue to operate The Sands Macao or any other facilities developed under the subconcession.

Under our subconcession agreement, we are obligated to develop and open The Venetian Macao and a convention center by December 2007. Construction of The Venetian Macao is subject to significant development and construction risks, including construction, equipment and staffing problems or delays and difficulties in obtaining required materials, licenses, permits and authorizations from governmental regulatory authorities, not all of which have been obtained. Construction projects are subject to cost overruns and delays caused by events not within our control or, in certain cases, our contractors control, such as shortages of materials or skilled labor, unforeseen engineering, environmental and/or geological problems, work stoppages, weather interference, unanticipated cost increases and unavailability of construction materials or equipment. We have obtained a \$2.5 billion credit facility for the financing of The Venetian Macao and our other Cotai Strip developments. In addition, our ability to incur additional debt or to make positive investments in the entity constructing The Venetian Macao is limited under the terms of the debt instruments of Las Vegas Sands, LLC and may prevent us from fulfilling our construction obligations. See Related to Our Business The terms of our debt instruments may restrict our current and future operations, particularly our ability to finance additional growth, respond to changes or take some actions that may otherwise be in our best Risks Related to Our Business There are significant risks associated with our planned construction projects, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows from these planned facilities. We are currently scheduled to open The Venetian Macao in summer 2007. We have received an extension of the original completion deadline from the Macao authorities. Although we believe that we will be able to complete these projects by the December 2007 deadline or obtain another extension of the deadline, if we fail to do so, the Macao government has the right, after consultation with our concessionaire, Galaxy, to unilaterally terminate our subconcession to operate The Sands Macao or any of our other casino operations in Macao, without compensation to us. The loss of our subconcession would prohibit us from conducting gaming operations in Macao, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We are constructing some of our Cotai Strip properties on land for which we have not yet been granted concessions. If we do not obtain land concessions, we could lose all or a substantial part of our investment in these sites and would not be able to open and operate the projects as planned.

We have not yet obtained land concessions from the Macao government for the sites we refer to as parcels 5, 6, 7 and 8. If we do not obtain land concessions for these sites, we will not be able to open and operate these projects and we could lose all or a substantial part of our investment in these other Cotai Strip properties. As of December 31, 2006, we have invested approximately \$100.0 million in these Cotai Strip properties.

33

### **Table of Contents**

The Macao government can terminate our subconcession under certain circumstances without compensation to us, which would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The Macao government has the right, after consultation with Galaxy, to unilaterally terminate our subconcession in the event of serious non-compliance by VML with its basic obligations under the subconcession and applicable Macao laws. The following reasons for termination are included in the subconcession:

the operation of gaming without permission or operation of business which does not fall within the business scope of the subconcession;

suspension of operations of our gaming business in Macao without reasonable grounds for more than seven consecutive days or more than 14 non-consecutive days within one calendar year;

unauthorized transfer of all or part of our gaming operations in Macao;

failure to pay taxes, premiums, levies or other amounts payable to the Macao government;

failure to resume operations following the temporary assumption of operations by the Macao government;

repeated failure to comply with decisions of the Macao government;

failure to provide or supplement the guarantee deposit or the guarantees specified in the subconcession within the prescribed period;

bankruptcy or insolvency of VML;

fraudulent activity by VML;

serious and repeated violation by VML of the applicable rules for carrying out casino games of chance or games of other forms or the operation of casino games of chance or games of other forms;

the grant to any other person of any managing power over VML; or

failure by a controlling shareholder in VML to dispose of its interest in VML following notice from the gaming authorities of another jurisdiction in which such controlling shareholder is licensed to operate casino games of chance to the effect that such controlling shareholder can no longer own shares in VML.

These events could lead to the termination of our subconcession without compensation to us regardless of whether they occurred with respect to us or with respect to our affiliates who will operate our Macao properties. Upon such termination, all of our casino gaming operations and related equipment in Macao would be automatically transferred to the Macao government without compensation to us and we would cease to generate any revenues from these operations. In many of these instances, the subconcession agreement does not provide a specific cure period within which any such events may be cured and, instead, we would rely on consultations and negotiations with the Macao government to give us an opportunity to remedy any such default. In addition, the subconcession agreement contains various general covenants and obligations and other provisions, the determination as to compliance with which is subjective. We cannot assure you that we will perform such covenants in a way that satisfies the requirements of the Macao government and, accordingly, we will be dependent on our continuing communications and good faith negotiations with the Macao government to ensure that we are performing our obligations under the subconcession in

a manner that would avoid a default thereunder.

Our subconcession also allows the Macao government to request various changes in the plans and specifications of our Macao properties and to make various other decisions and determinations that may be binding on us. For example, the Macao government has the right to require that we contribute additional capital to our Macao subsidiaries or that we provide certain deposits or other guarantees of performance in any amount determined by the Macao government to be necessary. VML is limited in its ability to raise additional capital by the need to first obtain the approval of the Macao gaming and governmental authorities before raising certain debt or equity. As a result, we cannot assure you that we will be able to comply with these requirements or any other requirements of the Macao government or with the other requirements and obligations imposed by our subconcession.

34

#### **Table of Contents**

Furthermore, pursuant to the subconcession agreement, we are obligated to comply not only with the terms of that agreement, but also with laws and regulations that the Macao government might promulgate in the future. We cannot assure you that we will be able to comply with any such order or that any such order would not adversely affect our ability to construct or operate our Macao properties. If any disagreement arises between us and the Macao government regarding the interpretation of, or our compliance with, a provision of the subconcession agreement, we will be relying on the consultation process with the applicable Macao governmental agency described above. During any such consultation, however, we will be obligated to comply with the terms of the subconcession agreement as interpreted by the Macao government.

Our failure to comply with the terms of our subconcession in a manner satisfactory to the Macao government could result in the termination of our subconcession. Under our subconcession, we would not be compensated if the Macao government decided to terminate the subconcession because of our failure to perform. The loss of our subconcession would prohibit us from conducting gaming operations in Macao, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We will stop generating any revenues from our Macao gaming operations if we cannot secure an extension of our subconcession in 2022 or if the Macao government exercises its redemption right at any time beginning on December 26, 2017.

Our subconcession agreement expires on June 26, 2022. Unless our subconcession is extended, on that date, all of our casino operations and related equipment in Macao will be automatically transferred to the Macao government without compensation to us and we will cease to generate any revenues from these operations. Beginning on December 26, 2017, the Macao government may redeem the subconcession agreement by providing us at least one year prior notice. In the event the Macao government exercises this redemption right, we are entitled to fair compensation or indemnity. The amount of such compensation or indemnity will be determined based on the amount of revenue generated during the tax year prior to the redemption. We cannot assure you that we will be able to renew or extend our subconcession agreement on terms favorable to us or at all. We also cannot assure you that if our subconcession is redeemed, the compensation paid will be adequate to compensate us for the loss of future revenues.

Our Macao operations face intense competition, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The hotel, resort and casino businesses are highly competitive. Our Macao operations currently compete with numerous other casinos located in Macao. In addition, we expect competition to increase in the near future from local and foreign casino operators. SJM, which currently operates 17 gaming facilities in Macao, had a commitment to invest at least 4.7 billion patacas (approximately \$586.8 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) in gaming, entertainment and related projects in Macao by March 31, 2009. These projects include the Grand Lisboa, the upgrade of the Lisboa Hotel, Macao s largest hotel, the Fisherman s Wharf entertainment complex, which opened in December 2005, and a number of additional new casino hotel projects. In addition, MGM MIRAGE has entered into a joint venture agreement with Stanley Ho s daughter, Pansy Ho Chiu-king, to develop, build and operate two major hotel-casino resorts in Macao. In April 2005, MGM Grand Paradise Limited, a joint venture between Pansy Ho Chiu-king and MGM MIRAGE, obtained a subconcession allowing it to conduct gaming operations in Macao. The MGM Grand Macau is scheduled to open in the fourth quarter of 2007. The resort will feature approximately 600 rooms, 345 table games, 1,035 slot machines, restaurants and entertainment amenities.

In addition, Wynn Macau, a subsidiary of our competitor, Wynn Resorts, Limited, has also received a concession from the Macao government. Wynn Macau opened in September 2006 and includes an approximately 600-room hotel, a casino and other non-gaming amenities. Wynn Macau has announced plans to expand the property to include

additional gaming space. The expansion is scheduled to open by the third quarter of 2007. In 2006, Wynn Macau sold its subconcession right under its gaming concession to an affiliate of PBL for \$900 million. The subconcession right permits the PBL affiliate to receive a gaming subconcession from the Macao government.

Under its concession, Galaxy is also obligated to invest 4.4 billion patacas (approximately \$549.3 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) in development projects in Macao by June 2012. Galaxy currently

35

#### **Table of Contents**

operates five casinos in Macao. In October 2006, Galaxy s StarWorld Hotel & Casino opened. The property has over 500 hotel rooms and a 140,000 square foot gaming floor with approximately 300 table games and 370 slot machines.

We will also compete to some extent with casinos located elsewhere in Asia, such as Malaysia s Genting Highlands, as well as gaming venues in Australia, New Zealand and elsewhere in the world, including Las Vegas. In addition, certain countries have legalized, and others may in the future legalize, casino gaming, including Hong Kong, Japan, Taiwan and Thailand. We also expect competition from cruise ships operating out of Hong Kong and other areas of Asia that offer gaming. The proliferation of gaming venues in Southeast Asia could significantly and adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The Macao and Singapore governments could grant additional rights to conduct gaming in the future, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We hold a subconcession under one of only three gaming concessions authorized by the Macao government to operate casinos in Macao. The Macao government is precluded from granting any additional gaming concessions until 2009. However, we cannot assure you that the laws will not change and permit the Macao government to grant additional gaming concessions before 2009. In addition, the Macao government permits existing concessionaires to grant subconcessions. If the Macao government were to allow additional competitors to operate in Macao through the grant of additional concessions or subconcessions, we would face additional competition, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We hold one of two licenses granted by the Singapore government to develop an integrated resort, including a casino. The Singapore government has said that it will not license another casino for at least ten years. If the Singapore government were to license additional casinos before then, we would face additional competition which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We may not be able to attract and retain professional staff necessary for our existing and future properties in Macao and our operations in Singapore.

Our success depends in large part upon our ability to attract, retain, train, manage and motivate skilled employees. There is significant competition in Macao for employees with the skills required to perform the services we offer and competition for such persons is likely to increase. We expect competition in Singapore for employees with the skills we require as we develop and open the Marina Bay Sands. There can be no assurance that a sufficient number of skilled employees will continue to be available, or that we will be successful in training, retaining and motivating current or future employees. If we are unable to attract, retain and train skilled employees, our ability to adequately manage and staff our existing and planned casino and resort properties in Macao and Singapore could be impaired, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We are dependent upon gaming junket operators for a significant portion of our gaming revenues in Macao.

Junket operators, who organize tours, or junkets, for high roller customers to casinos, are responsible for a significant portion of our gaming revenues in Macao. With the rise in gaming in Macao, the competition for relationships with junket operators has increased. While we are undertaking initiatives to strengthen our relationships with our current junket operators, there can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain, or grow, our relationships with junket operators. If we are unable to maintain or grow our relationships with junket operators, our ability to grow our gaming revenues will be hampered and we may seek alternative ways to develop relationships with high roller customers, which may not be as profitable as our junket programs.

In addition, the quality of junket operators is important to our reputation and our ability to continue to operate in compliance with our gaming licenses. While we strive for excellence in our associations with junket operators, we cannot assure you that the junket operators with whom we are associated will meet the high standards we insist

36

#### **Table of Contents**

upon. If a junket operator falls below our standards, we may suffer reputational harm, as well as worsening relationships with, and possibly sanctions from, gaming regulators with authority over our operations.

Our business could be adversely affected by the limitations of the pataca exchange markets and restrictions on the export of the renminbi.

Our revenues in Macao are denominated in patacas, the legal currency of Macao, and Hong Kong dollars. Although currently permitted, we cannot assure you that patacas will continue to be freely exchangeable into U.S. dollars. Also, because the currency market for patacas is relatively small and undeveloped, our ability to convert large amounts of patacas into U.S. dollars over a relatively short period may be limited. As a result, we may experience difficulty in converting patacas into U.S. dollars.

We are currently prohibited from accepting wagers in renminbi, the currency of China. There are currently restrictions on the export of the renminbi outside of mainland China, including to Macao. Restrictions on the export of the renminbi may impede the flow of gaming customers from China to Macao, inhibit the growth of gaming in Macao and negatively impact our gaming operations.

On July 21, 2005, the People s Bank of China announced that the renminbi will no longer be pegged to the U.S. dollar, but will be allowed to float in a band (and, to a limited extent, increase in value) against a basket of foreign currencies. The Macao pataca is pegged to the Hong Kong dollar. Certain Asian countries have publicly asserted their desire to eliminate the peg of the Hong Kong dollar to the U.S. dollar. As a result, we cannot assure you that the Hong Kong dollar and the Macao pataca will continue to be pegged to the U.S. dollar or that the current peg rate for these currencies will remain at the same level. The floating of the renminbi and possible changes to the peg of the Hong Kong dollar may result in severe fluctuations in the exchange rate for these currencies. Any change in such exchange rates could have a material adverse effect on our operations and on our ability to make payments on certain of our debt instruments. We do not currently hedge for foreign currency risk.

Certain gaming laws apply to our planned gaming activities and associations in other jurisdictions where we operate or plan to operate.

Certain Nevada gaming laws also apply to our gaming activities and associations in jurisdictions outside the State of Nevada. We are required to comply with certain reporting requirements concerning our proposed gaming activities and associations occurring outside the State of Nevada, including Macao and other jurisdictions. We will also be subject to disciplinary action by the Nevada Gaming Commission if we:

knowingly violate any laws of the foreign jurisdiction pertaining to the foreign gaming operation;

fail to conduct the foreign gaming operation in accordance with the standards of honesty and integrity required of Nevada gaming operations;

engage in any activity or enter into any association that is unsuitable for us because it poses an unreasonable threat to the control of gaming in Nevada, reflects or tends to reflect discredit or disrepute upon the State of Nevada or gaming in Nevada, or is contrary to the gaming policies of Nevada;

engage in any activity or enter into any association that interferes with the ability of the State of Nevada to collect gaming taxes and fees; or

employ, contract with or associate with any person in the foreign gaming operation who has been denied a license or a finding of suitability in Nevada on the ground of personal unsuitability, or who has been found

guilty of cheating at gambling.

In addition, if the Nevada State Gaming Control Board determines that one of our actual or intended activities or associations in a foreign gaming operation may violate one or more of the foregoing, we can be required by it to file an application with the Nevada Gaming Commission for a finding of suitability of such activity or association. If the Nevada Gaming Commission finds that the activity or association in the foreign gaming operation is unsuitable or prohibited, we will either be required to terminate the activity or association, or will be prohibited from undertaking the activity or association. Consequently, should the Nevada Gaming Commission find that our gaming

37

#### **Table of Contents**

activities or associations in Macao or certain other jurisdictions where we operate are unsuitable, we may be prohibited from undertaking our planned gaming activities or associations in those jurisdictions.

The Macao gaming authorities exercise similar powers for purposes of assessing suitability in relation to our activities in jurisdictions outside of Macao.

## We may not be able to monetize some of our real estate assets.

Part of our business strategy in Macao relies upon our ability to profitably operate and/or sell certain of our real estate assets once developed, including vacation suites and retail malls, and to use the proceeds of these operations and sales to refinance, or repay in part our construction loans for these assets, as well as to provide investment capital for additional development both in Macao and elsewhere. Our ability to sell these assets will be subject to market conditions, the receipt of necessary government approvals and other factors. If we are unable to profitably operate and/or monetize these real estate assets, we will have to seek alternative sources of capital to refinance in part our construction loans and for other investment capital. These alternative sources of capital may not be available on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

# VML may have financial and other obligations to foreign workers hired by its contractors under government labor quotas.

The Macao government has granted VML a quota to permit it to hire foreign workers. VML has effectively allocated this quota to its contractors for the construction of The Venetian Macao and other projects on the Cotai Strip. VML, however, remains ultimately liable for all employer obligations relating to these employees, including for payment of wages and taxes and compliance with labor and workers compensation laws. VML requires each contractor to whom it has allocated part of its labor quota to indemnify VML for any costs or liabilities VML incurs as a result of such contractor s failure to fulfill employer obligations. VML s agreements with its contractors also contain provisions that permit it to retain some payments for up to one year after the contractors complete work on the projects. We cannot assure you that VML s contractors will fulfill their obligations to employees hired under the labor quotas or to VML under the indemnification agreements, or that the amount of any indemnification will be sufficient to pay for any obligations VML may owe to employees hired by contractors under VML s quotas. Until we make final payments to our contractors, we have offset rights to collect amounts they may owe us, including amounts owed under the indemnities relating to employer obligations. After we have made the final payments, it may be more difficult for us to enforce any unpaid indemnity obligations.

## The transportation infrastructure in Macao may need to be expanded to meet increased visitation in Macao.

Macao is in the process of expanding its transportation infrastructure to service the increased number of visitors to Macao. If the planned expansions of transportation facilities to and from Macao are delayed or not completed, and Macao s transportation infrastructure is insufficient to meet the demands of an increased volume of visitors to Macao, the desirability of Macao as a gaming and tourist destination, as well as the results of operations of our Macao properties, could be negatively impacted.

# We are currently not required to pay corporate income taxes on our casino gaming operations in Macao. This tax exemption expires at the end of 2008.

We have had the benefit of a temporary corporate tax exemption in Macao, effective May 18, 2004, which exempts us from paying corporate income tax on profits generated by the operation of casino games. We will continue to benefit from this tax exemption through the end of 2008. We cannot assure you that this tax exemption will be extended beyond the expiration date and we do not expect this tax exemption to apply to our non-gaming activities.

# Macao is susceptible to severe typhoons that may disrupt operations.

Macao is susceptible to severe typhoons. Macao consists of a peninsula and two islands off the coast of mainland China. On some occasions, typhoons have caused a considerable amount of damage to Macao s

38

#### **Table of Contents**

infrastructure and economy. In the event of a major typhoon or other natural disaster in Macao, our business may be severely disrupted and our results of operations could be adversely affected. Although we have insurance coverage with respect to these events, we cannot assure you that our coverage will be sufficient to fully indemnify us against all direct and indirect costs, including loss of business, that could result from substantial damage to, or partial or complete destruction of, our Macao properties or other damage to the infrastructure or economy of Macao.

Our Singapore concession can be terminated under certain circumstances without compensation to us, which would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The Development Agreement between MBS and the STB contains events of default which could permit the STB to terminate the agreement without compensation to us. If the Development Agreement is terminated under certain circumstances, we could lose our right to open and operate the Marina Bay Sands and our investment in Marina Bay Sands could be lost.

## ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

#### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We own an approximately 60-acre parcel of land on which The Venetian and The Sands Expo Center sit and on which The Palazzo is being constructed. We own this parcel of land in fee simple, subject to certain easements, encroachments and other non-monetary encumbrances and the security interests described below.

Las Vegas Sands, LLC s Senior Secured Credit Facility is, subject to certain exceptions, secured by a first priority security interest (subject to permitted liens) in substantially all of Las Vegas Sands, LLC s property. The Phase II mall construction loan is secured by first priority security interests in substantially all of the assets of Phase II Mall Subsidiary, LLC and Phase II Mall Holding, LLC. The Sands Expo Center mortgage loan is secured by a first priority mortgage on The Sands Expo Center and by certain other related collateral.

We have received a concession from the Macao government to use a six acre land site for The Sands Macao. We do not own the land site in Macao. However, the land concession, which will expire in 2028 and is renewable, grants us exclusive use of the land. The land concession requires us to pay a premium which is payable over a number of years. In addition, we are also obligated to pay rent annually for the term of the land concession. The rent amount may be revised every five years by the Macao government. See Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Note 11 Commitments and Contingencies Macao Concession and Subconcession for more information on our payment obligation under this land concession.

In February 2007, we received the final draft of the land concession agreement from the Macao government pursuant to which we were awarded a concession by lease for parcels 1, 2 and 3 on the Cotai Strip, including the sites on which we are building The Venetian Macao and the Four Seasons hotel. We have accepted the conditions of the draft land concession and have made an initial premium payment of \$106.5 million towards the aggregate land premium of \$323.7 million. Additionally, \$24.1 million has been paid or will be paid in the form of the cost of the reclamation work and other works done on the land and the installation costs of an electrical substation with the remaining amount payable over time. The land concession will not become effective until the date it is published in Macao s Official Gazette. Once the land concession is effective, we will be required to make additional land premium and annual rent payments relating to parcels 1, 2 and 3 in the amounts and at the times specified in the land concession. The land concession has a 25-year term and is renewable.

We do not yet have all the necessary Macao government approvals that we will need in order to develop the Cotai Strip developments. We have commenced construction on our other Cotai Strip properties on land for which we have not yet been granted land concessions. If we do not obtain land concessions, we could lose all or a substantial part of our investment in these other Cotai Strip properties.

39

# **Table of Contents**

In August 2006, MBS entered into the Development Agreement with STB to build and operate an integrated resort called Marina Bay Sands in Singapore. Under the Development Agreement, the Company paid SGD\$1.2 billion (approximately US\$782.5 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) in premium payments for the lease of the land on which the resort will be built plus an additional SGD\$105.6 million (approximately US\$68.9 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) for various taxes and other fees. Of this combined amount, \$806.0 million has been capitalized on the balance sheet as leasehold interest in land with \$4.8 million amortized as of December 31, 2006. The Company will amortize this asset over 60 years, which is the length of the lease agreement.

The Sands Bethworks development will be located on the approximately 124-acre site of the Historic Bethlehem Steel Works in Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, which is about 70 miles from midtown Manhattan, New York. The property is owned by the Company through its joint venture with Bethworks Now, LLC.

In 2004, we entered into a long-term lease with a third party for airspace in which part of the Phase II mall will be constructed. In addition, in December 2006 and subject to recording a certain commercial subdivision map, we closed on an agreement to acquire the airspace above that leased space in order to build the proposed condominium tower.

## ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In addition to the matters described below, we are party to various legal matters and claims arising in the ordinary course of business. Management has made certain estimates for potential litigation costs based upon consultation with legal counsel. Actual results could differ from these estimates; however in the opinion of management, such litigation and claims will not have a material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

# The Palazzo Construction Litigation

Lido Casino Resort, LLC ( Lido ), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, and its construction manager, Taylor International Corp. ( Taylor ), filed suit in March 2006 in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada (the District Court ) against Malcolm Drilling Company, Inc. (Malcolm ), the contractor on The Palazzo project responsible for completing certain foundation work (the District Court Case ). Lido and Taylor claim in the District Court Case that Malcolm was in default of its contract for performing defective work, failing to correct defective work, failing to complete its work and causing delay to the project. Malcolm responded by filing a Notice of a Lien with the Clerk of Clark County, Nevada in March 2006 in the amount of approximately \$19.0 million (the Lien ). In April 2006, Lido and Taylor moved in the District Court Case to strike or, in the alternative, to reduce the amount of, the Lien, claiming, among other things, that the Lien was excessive for including claims for disruption and delay, which Lido and Taylor claim are not lienable under Nevada law (the Lien Motion ). Malcolm responded in April 2006 by filing a complaint against Lido and Taylor in District Court of Clark County, Nevada seeking to foreclose on the Lien against Taylor, claiming breach of contract, a cardinal change in the underlying contract, unjust enrichment against Lido and Taylor and bad faith and fraud against Taylor (the State Court Case ), and simultaneously filed a motion in the District Court Case, seeking to dismiss the District Court Case on abstention grounds (the Abstention Motion ). In response, in June 2006, Lido filed a motion to dismiss the State Court Case based on the principle of the prior pending District Court Case (the Motion to Dismiss ). In June 2006, the Abstention Motion was granted in part by the United States District Court, the District Court Case was stayed pending the outcome of the Motion to Dismiss in the State Court Case and the Lien Motion was denied without prejudice. Lido and Malcolm then entered into a stipulation under which Lido withdrew the Motion to Dismiss, and in July 2006 filed a replacement lien motion in the State Court Case. The lien motion in the State Court Case was denied in August 2006 and Lido and Taylor filed a permitted interlocutory notice of appeal to the Supreme Court of Nevada in September 2006. This matter is in the preliminary stages and based upon the advice of legal counsel, management has determined that based on proceedings

to date, it is currently unable to determine the probability of the outcome of this matter. Lido intends to defend itself against the claims pending in the State Court Case.

40

#### **Table of Contents**

## Litigation Relating to Macao Operations

On October 15, 2004, Richard Suen and Round Square Company Limited filed an action against Las Vegas Sands Corp., Las Vegas Sands Inc., Sheldon G. Adelson and William P. Weidner in the District Court of Clark County, Nevada, asserting a breach of an alleged agreement to pay a success fee of \$5.0 million and 2.0% of the net profit from the Company s Macao resort operations to the plaintiffs as well as other related claims. In March 2005, Las Vegas Sands Corp. was dismissed as a party without prejudice based on a stipulation to do so between the parties. On May 17, 2005, the plaintiffs filed their first amended complaint. On February 2, 2006, defendants filed a motion for partial summary judgment with respect to plaintiffs fraud claims against all the defendants. On March 16, 2006, an order was filed by the court granting defendants motion for partial summary judgment. Pursuant to the order filed March 16, 2006, plaintiffs fraud claims set forth in the first amended complaint were dismissed with prejudice as against all defendants. The order also dismissed with prejudice the first amended complaint against defendants Sheldon G. Adelson and William P. Weidner. This action is in a preliminary stage and based upon the advice of legal counsel, management has determined that based on proceedings to date, it is currently unable to determine the probability of the outcome of this matter. The Company intends to defend this matter vigorously.

On January 26, 2006, Clive Basset Jones, Darryl Steven Turok (a/k/a Dax Turok) and Cheong Jose Vai Chi (a/k/a Cliff Cheong), filed an action against Las Vegas Sands Corp., Las Vegas Sands, LLC, Venetian Venture Development, LLC and various unspecified individuals and companies in the District Court of Clark County, Nevada. The plaintiffs assert breach of an agreement to pay a success fee in an amount equal to 5% of the ownership interest in the entity that owns and operates the Macau SAR gaming subconcession as well as other related claims. In April 2006, Las Vegas Sands Corp. was dismissed as a party without prejudice based on a stipulation to do so between the parties. Other than the complaint which has been filed, and the Company s answer, there is currently no pending activity in the matter. This action is in a preliminary stage and discovery has begun. Based upon the advice of legal counsel, management has determined that based on proceedings to date, it is currently unable to determine the probability of the outcome of this matter. The Company intends to defend this matter vigorously.

On February 5, 2007, Asian American Entertainment Corporation, Limited ( AAEC ) filed an action against Las Vegas Sands, Inc. ( LVSI ), Venetian Casino Resort, LLC ( VCR ), Venetian Venture Development, LLC ( Venetian Venture Development ), William P. Weidner and David Friedman in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. The plaintiffs assert breach of contract by LVSI, VCR and Venetian Venture Development of an agreement under which AAEC would work to obtain a gaming license in Macao and, if successful, AAEC would jointly operate a casino, hotel and related facilities in Macao with Venetian Venture Development and Venetian Venture Development would receive fees and a minority equity interest in the venture and breach of fiduciary duties by all of the defendants. The plaintiffs have requested an unspecified amount of actual, compensatory and punitive damages, disgorgement of profits related to our Macao gaming license. Other than the complaint which has been filed, there is currently no pending activity in the matter. This action is in a preliminary stage. Based upon the advice of legal counsel, management has determined that based on proceedings to date, it is currently unable to determine the probability of the outcome of this matter. The Company intends to defend this matter vigorously.

## ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

41

#### **Table of Contents**

## **PART II**

# ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

#### **Market Information**

The Company s common stock began trading on the NYSE on December 14, 2004 under the symbol LVS. The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices for the common stock on the NYSE for the fiscal quarter indicated.

	High	Low
2005		
First Quarter	\$ 51.40	\$ 41.41
Second Quarter	\$ 45.34	\$ 33.10
Third Quarter	\$ 40.73	\$ 30.87
Fourth Quarter	\$ 46.44	\$ 29.08
2006		
First Quarter	\$ 58.03	\$ 38.44
Second Quarter	\$ 78.90	\$ 54.68
Third Quarter	\$ 77.86	\$ 57.68
Fourth Quarter	\$ 97.25	\$ 66.06
2007		
First Quarter (through February 23, 2007)	\$ 109.45	\$ 89.88

As of February 23, 2007, there were 354,682,930 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding that were held by 214 stockholders of record.

## **Dividends**

We have not declared or paid any dividends since our formation in August 2004. We do not expect to pay dividends on our common stock in the future. We expect to retain our future earnings, if any, for use in the operation and expansion of our business. Our board of directors will determine whether to pay dividends in the future based on conditions then existing, including our earnings, financial condition and capital requirements, as well as economic and other conditions our board may deem relevant.

Our ability to declare and pay dividends on our common stock is subject to the requirements of Nevada law. In addition, we are a parent company with limited business operations of our own. Accordingly, our primary sources of cash are dividends and distributions with respect to our ownership interest in our subsidiaries that are derived from the earnings and cash flow generated by our operating properties.

Our subsidiaries long-term debt arrangements place material restrictions on those companies ability to pay cash dividends to the Company. This will restrict our ability to pay cash dividends other than from cash on hand. See Item 7 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Restrictions on Distributions and Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Notes to

Consolidated Financial Statements Note 8 Long-Term Debt.

In 2004, Las Vegas Sands, Inc. declared and paid \$107.9 million of dividends as tax distributions to all of its stockholders at the time, including its principal stockholder. In 2004, Las Vegas Sands, Inc. also declared a \$21.1 million dividend to its stockholders which was paid in January 2005. These tax distributions were made in order to provide these stockholders with funds to pay taxes attributable to taxable income of Las Vegas Sands, Inc. (including taxable income of Las Vegas Sands, Inc. associated with the sale of The Grand Canal Shops mall) that flowed through to them by virtue of Las Vegas Sands, Inc. s status as a subchapter S corporation for income tax purposes. As a result of its conversion to a taxable C corporation for income tax purposes, Las Vegas Sands, Inc. (now known as Las Vegas Sands, LLC) is no longer making these tax distributions.

42

#### **Table of Contents**

Immediately prior to the July 29, 2004 acquisition of Interface Group Holding Company, Inc. (Interface Holding) by Las Vegas Sands, Inc., Interface Holding distributed approximately \$15.2 million to its sole stockholder. The distribution was comprised of \$12.9 million of cash, \$1.9 million of receivables due from the principal stockholder of Interface Holding and \$0.4 million of certain fixed and other assets.

## **Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities**

There has not been any sales by the Company of equity securities in the last fiscal year that have not been registered under the Securities Act of 1933.

# **Performance Graph**

The following performance graph compares the performance of our Common Stock with the performance of the Standard & Poor s 500 Index and a peer group of companies, during the period from the Company s initial public offering on December 15, 2004 through December 31, 2006. The selected peer group for 2006 is comprised of three gaming companies considered to be the Company s closest competitors: Harrah s Entertainment, Inc., MGM MIRAGE and Wynn Resorts Limited. The selected peer group for 2004 included these three companies, as well as Caesar s Entertainment, Inc. and Mandalay Resort Group. In 2005, Caesar s Entertainment Inc. was acquired by Harrah s Entertainment, Inc. and Mandalay Resort Group merged with MGM MIRAGE. The graph plots the changes in value of an initial \$100 investment over the indicated time period, assuming all dividends are reinvested.

	Cumulative Total Return						
	12/15/04	12/31/04	12/31/05	12/31/06			
Las Vegas Sands Corp.	\$ 100.00	\$ 103.09	\$ 84.77	\$ 192.18			
S&P 500	\$ 100.00	\$ 103.40	\$ 108.48	\$ 125.62			
Peer Group	\$ 100.00	\$ 104.38	\$ 102.83	\$ 148.30			

The performance graph should not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any other Company filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent the Company specifically incorporates the performance graph by reference therein.

## ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The historical selected financial data set forth below should be read in conjunction with Item 7 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The statements of

43

## **Table of Contents**

operations and cash flow data for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, and the balance sheet data at December 31, 2006 and 2005 are derived from, and are qualified by reference to, the audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The statements of operations and cash flow data for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the balance sheet data at December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 are derived from the Company s audited consolidated financial statements that do not appear herein. The historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected in the future.

		2006		2005		ed Decembo 2004 <sup>(1)</sup> except per s		2003		2002
STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS DATA										
Gross revenues <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	2,340,178	\$	1,824,225	\$	1,258,570	\$	736,610	\$	657,544
Promotional allowances	Ψ	(103,319)	φ	(83,313		(61,514)	Ψ	(44,856)	Ψ	(34,208)
1 follotional allowances		(103,319)		(05,515	,	(01,314)		(44,030)		(34,200)
Net revenues		2,236,859		1,740,912		1,197,056		691,754		623,336
Operating expenses		1,662,762		1,251,461		578,588		505,628		463,401
operating expenses		1,002,702		1,201,101		270,200		202,020		102,101
Operating income		574,097		489,451		618,468		186,126		159,935
Interest expense, net		(69,662)		(63,181	)	(130,337)		(120,317)		(121,432)
Other income (expense)		(189)		(1,334		(131)		825		1,045
Loss on early retirement of debt <sup>(2)</sup>		()		(137,000	*	(6,553)				(51,392)
zoss on early remement of dest				(157,000	,	(0,000)				(01,0)2)
Income (loss) before income taxes		504,246		287,936		481,447		66,634		(11,844)
Benefit (provision) for income		301,210		201,730		101,117		00,031		(11,011)
taxes <sup>(3)</sup>		(62,243)		(4,250	)	13,736				
taxes		(02,243)		(4,230	)	13,730				
Net income (loss)	\$	442,003	\$	283,686	\$	495,183	\$	66,634	\$	(11,844)
	_	,	_			,	_		_	(, )
Per Share Data <sup>(4)</sup>										
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$	1.25	\$	0.80	\$	1.52	\$	0.21	\$	(0.04)
8 ( 11 ) r					·		·		·	()
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$	1.24	\$	0.80	\$	1.52	\$	0.20	\$	(0.04)
<b>3</b> ( ) 1										, ,
Dividends declared per share	\$		\$		\$	0.44	\$	0.01	\$	
OTHER DATA										
Capital expenditures	\$	1,925,291	\$	860,621	\$	465,748	\$	279,948	\$	136,740
					At De	cember 31,				
		2006		2005		2004		2003		2002
					(In t	housands)				
BALANCE SHEET DATA										
Total assets	\$ 7	7,126,458	\$ 3	3,879,739	\$ 3	3,601,478	\$ 1	,917,035	\$	1,606,762

Long-term debt	\$ 4,136,152	\$ 1,625,901	\$ 1,485,064	\$ 1,525,116	\$ 1,343,762
Stockholders equity	\$ 2.075.154	\$ 1.609.538	\$ 1.316.001	\$ 162,108	\$ 100.384

- (1) The Sands Macao opened on May 18, 2004.
- (2) In April 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 145 Rescission of FASB Statements Nos. 4, 44 and 64 and Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13. SFAS No. 145 addresses the presentation for losses on early retirements of debt in the statement of operations to the extent they do not meet the requirements of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 30. The Company has adopted SFAS No. 145 and no longer presents losses on early retirements of debt as an extraordinary item.
- (3) Prior to December 2004, Las Vegas Sands, Inc. had elected to be taxed as an S corporation and its wholly-owned subsidiaries were either limited liability companies or S corporations, each of which was a pass-through entity for federal income tax purposes.

44

## **Table of Contents**

(4) Earnings (loss) per share and shares outstanding for all periods presented retroactively reflect the impact of the Company s first quarter 2002 stock split and 2004 pre-initial public offering stock split. The 2002 stock split increased the number of shares of common stock outstanding from 246,080,299 to 266,032,755. The 2004 acquisition of Interface Holding from our principal stockholder increased the number of shares of common stock outstanding to 326,188,348. The 2004 initial public offering and stock option exercises increased the number of shares of common stock outstanding by 28,910,907 to 354,160,692. The impact of outstanding options to purchase 1,463,180 shares of the Company s common stock has not been included in the computation of diluted earnings (loss) per share for the year ended December 31, 2002, as their impact would have been antidilutive.

# ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified in its entirety by, the audited consolidated financial statements, and the notes thereto and other financial information included in this Form 10-K.

Certain statements in this Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations are forward-looking statements. See Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.

## **Operations**

We own and operate The Venetian, a Renaissance Venice-themed resort situated on the Las Vegas Strip (the Strip). The Venetian includes the first all-suites hotel on the Strip with 4,027 suites; a gaming facility of approximately 120,000 gross square feet; an enclosed retail, dining and entertainment complex of approximately 440,000 net leasable square feet (The Grand Canal Shops or the Mall), which was sold to a third party in 2004; a meeting and conference facility of approximately 1.1 million square feet; and The Sands Expo Center with approximately 1.2 million square feet. Approximately 42.9% of our gross revenue at The Venetian for the year ended December 31, 2006 was derived from gaming and 57.1% was derived from hotel rooms, food and beverage, and other sources. The percentage of non-gaming revenue for The Venetian reflects the resort s emphasis on the group convention and trade show business and the resulting higher occupancy and room rates during mid-week periods.

We also own and operate The Sands Macao, a Las Vegas-style casino in Macao, China, which opened on May 18, 2004. The Sands Macao now offers over 229,000 square feet of gaming facilities after our expansion, which was completed in August 2006, as well as several restaurants, VIP facilities, a theatre and other high-end amenities. In addition, we continue to progress according to plan on our expansion of the hotel tower, which we expect to complete during summer 2007 and to cost approximately \$100.1 million. Approximately 96.2% of The Sands Macao s gross revenue for the year ended December 31, 2006 was derived from gaming activities, with the remainder primarily derived from food and beverage services.

## **United States Development Projects**

## The Palazzo

We are currently constructing The Palazzo, a second resort similar in size to The Venetian, which is situated on a 14-acre site next to The Venetian and The Sands Expo Center. The Palazzo is expected to consist of an all-suites, 50-floor luxury hotel tower with approximately 3,025 suites, a gaming facility of approximately 105,000 square feet and an enclosed shopping, dining and entertainment complex of approximately 450,000 square feet, which we have contracted to sell to a third party. The Palazzo is expected to open in fall 2007 at a cost estimated to be approximately \$1.85 billion (exclusive of land, furniture, fixtures and equipment), of which the Phase II mall is expected to cost approximately \$280.0 million (exclusive of certain incentive payments to executives made in July 2004). In addition,

we expect that additional capital expenditures will be required to build out stores and restaurants to be located in the Phase II mall. In connection with the sale of The Grand Canal Shops mall, we entered into an agreement with GGP, the purchaser of The Grand Canal Shops mall, to sell GGP the Phase II mall upon completion of construction. The purchase price that GGP has agreed to pay for the Phase II mall is the greater of (i) \$250.0 million and (ii) the Phase II mall s net operating income for months 19 through 30 of its operations

45

## **Table of Contents**

divided by a capitalization rate. The capitalization rate is 6.0% on the first \$38.0 million of net operating income and 8.0% on the net operating income above \$38.0 million.

We are in the early stages of constructing a high rise residential condominium tower which will consist of approximately 270 luxury condominiums and will be situated between The Palazzo and The Venetian. The condominium tower is currently expected to open in late fall 2008 at an estimated cost ranging from \$600.0 million to \$700.0 million.

#### Sands Bethworks

On December 20, 2006, the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board announced that our subsidiary, Sands Bethworks Gaming, had been awarded a Pennsylvania gaming license. The award of the license is subject to appeals and the actual license will be awarded once the appeal period ends. We intend to develop a gaming, hotel, shopping and dining complex located on the site of the Historic Bethlehem Steel Works in Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, which is about 70 miles from midtown Manhattan, New York. In its first phase, the 124-acre development is expected to feature a 300-room hotel, 200,000 square feet of retail space, 3,000 slot machines and a variety of dining options. An additional 2,000 slot machines will be added in a subsequent phase. We currently expect the cost to develop and construct the Sands Bethworks will be approximately \$600.0 million and expect the complex to open in 2008.

# **Macao Development Projects**

## The Cotai Strip

We are building The Venetian Macao in Macao, China, an approximately 3,000 all-suites hotel, casino and convention center complex with a Venetian-style theme similar to that of The Venetian in Las Vegas. Under our gaming subconcession in Macao, we are obligated to develop and open The Venetian Macao and a convention center by December 2007. We currently expect to open The Venetian Macao in summer 2007. If we fail to meet the December 2007 deadline and that deadline is not extended, we could lose our right to continue to operate The Sands Macao or any other facilities developed under our Macao gaming subconcession, and our investment to date in The Venetian Macao could be lost.

In addition to the development of The Venetian Macao, we are developing multiple other properties on the Cotai Strip. We have submitted development plans to the Macao government for six casino-resort developments in addition to The Venetian Macao on an area of approximately 200 acres located on the Cotai Strip (parcels 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8). The developments are expected to include hotels, exhibition and conference facilities, casinos, showrooms, shopping malls, spas, world-class restaurants and entertainment facilities and other attractions and amenities, as well as common public areas. We have commenced construction or pre-construction on all seven parcels of the Cotai Strip. We plan to own and operate all of the casinos in these developments under our Macao gaming subconcession. More specifically, we intend to develop our Cotai Strip properties as follows:

Parcel 2 is intended to be a Four Seasons hotel and casino, which will be adjacent to The Venetian Macao and is expected to be a boutique hotel with approximately 400 luxury hotel rooms, approximately 800,000 square feet of Four Seasons-serviced luxury apartments, distinctive dining experiences, a full service spa and other amenities, an approximately 45,000 square foot casino and approximately 210,000 square feet of upscale retail offerings. We will own the entire development. We have entered into an exclusive non-binding letter of intent and are currently negotiating definitive agreements under which Four Seasons Hotels Inc. will manage the hotel and serviced luxury apartments under its Four Seasons brand.

Parcel 5 is intended to include a three-hotel complex with approximately 2,450 luxury and mid-scale hotel rooms, serviced luxury apartments, a casino and a retail shopping mall. We will own the entire development and have entered into a management agreement with Shangri-La Hotels and Resorts to manage two hotels under its Shangri-La and Traders brands. In addition, we are negotiating with Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide to manage a hotel and serviced luxury apartments under its St. Regis brand.

Parcel 6 is intended to include a two-hotel complex with approximately 4,000 luxury and mid-scale hotel rooms, a casino and a retail shopping mall physically connected to the mall in the Shangri-La/Traders hotel

46

## **Table of Contents**

podium. We will own the entire development and are negotiating with Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide to manage the hotels under its Sheraton brand.

Parcels 7 and 8 are intended to each include a two-hotel complex with approximately 3,000 luxury and mid-scale hotel rooms on each parcel, serviced luxury vacation suites, a casino and retail shopping malls that are physically connected. We will own the entire development and have entered into non-binding agreements with Hilton Hotels to manage Hilton and Conrad brand hotels and serviced luxury vacation suites on parcel 7 and Fairmont Raffles Holdings to manage Fairmont and Raffles brand hotel complexes and serviced luxury vacation suites on parcel 8. We are currently negotiating definitive agreements with Hilton Hotels and Fairmont Raffles Holdings.

For parcel 3, we have signed a non-binding memorandum of agreement with an independent developer. We are currently negotiating the definitive agreement pursuant to which we will partner with this developer to build a multi-hotel complex, which may include a Cosmopolitan hotel. In addition, we have signed a non-binding letter of intent with Intercontinental Hotels Group to manage hotels under the Intercontinental and Holiday Inn International brands, and serviced luxury vacation suites under the Intercontinental brand, on the site. We are currently negotiating definitive agreements with Intercontinental Hotels Group. In total, the multi-hotel complex is intended to include approximately 3,600 hotel rooms, serviced luxury vacation suites, a casino and a retail shopping mall.

The casino at The Venetian Macao is currently planned to have approximately 850 table games and 4,100 slot machines when it opens in summer 2007, and is designed to have a final capacity of approximately 1,150 table games and 7,000 slot machines. The Four Seasons resort is currently planned to feature approximately 130 table games and 400 slot machines. The casinos on sites 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8 are each currently planned to include approximately 325 table games and 1,750 slot machines. Upon completion, our developments on the Cotai Strip are currently planned to feature total gaming capacity of approximately 2,900 table games and 16,000 slot machines.

In February 2007, we received the final draft of the land concession agreement from the Macao government pursuant to which we were awarded a concession by lease for parcels 1, 2 and 3 on the Cotai Strip, including the sites on which we are building The Venetian Macao and the Four Seasons hotel. We have accepted the conditions of the draft land concession and have made an initial premium payment of \$106.5 million towards the aggregate land premium of \$323.7 million. Additionally, \$24.1 million has been paid or will be paid in the form of the cost of the reclamation work and other works done on the land and the installation costs of an electrical substation with the remaining amount payable over time. The land concession will not become effective until the date it is published in Macao s Official Gazette. Once the land concession is effective, we will be required to make additional land premium and annual rent payments relating to parcels 1, 2 and 3 in the amounts and at the times specified in the land concession. We have also commenced construction on our other Cotai Strip properties on land for which we have not yet been granted land concessions. If we do not obtain land concessions, we could lose all or a substantial part of our investment in these other Cotai Strip properties.

We currently estimate that the cost of developing and building The Venetian Macao will be approximately \$2.4 billion (exclusive of the aggregate land concession payment of \$323.7 million for parcels 1, 2 and 3). During May 2006, VML obtained a \$2.5 billion credit facility to fund The Sands Macao expansion and to partially fund the design, development, construction and pre-opening costs for The Venetian Macao, the Four Seasons hotel and some of our other development projects on the Cotai Strip, and to pay related fees and expenses. Currently, we expect the total cost of development on the Cotai Strip to be in the range of \$9.0 billion to \$11.0 billion. We will need to arrange additional debt financing to finance those costs as well.

We do not yet have all the necessary Macao government approvals that we will need in order to develop the Cotai Strip developments. We have commenced construction on our other Cotai Strip properties on land for which we have not yet been granted land concessions. If we do not obtain land concessions, we could lose all or a substantial part of our investment in these other Cotai Strip properties. As of December 31, 2006, we have invested approximately \$100.0 million in our other Cotai Strip properties.

47

#### **Table of Contents**

## **Hengqin Island Development Project**

We have entered into a non-binding letter of intent with the Zhuhai Municipal People s Government of the People s Republic of China to work with it to create a master plan for, and develop, a leisure and convention destination resort on Hengqin Island, located approximately one mile from the Cotai Strip, but within mainland China. We are actively preparing preliminary design concepts for presentation to the government. On January 10, 2007, the Zhuhai Government established a Project Coordination Committee to act as a government liaison empowered to work directly with the Company to advance the development of the project. We have interfaced with this committee and are actively working with the committee as we continue to advance our plans. The project remains subject to a number of conditions, including further governmental approvals.

## **Singapore Development Project**

In August 2006, our wholly-owned subsidiary, MBS, entered into the Development Agreement with the STB to build and operate an integrated resort called Marina Bay Sands in Singapore. The Marina Bay Sands will be a large integrated resort that includes three 54-story hotel towers (totaling approximately 2,600 suites) linked at their roofs by a Skypark with pools, cafes and other recreation facilities, a casino, an enclosed retail, dining and entertainment complex of approximately 750,000 net leasable square feet, a convention center and meeting room complex of approximately 1.2 million square feet, theaters, and a landmark iconic structure at the bay-front promenade that contains an approximately 150,000 square foot Art/Science museum.

Under the Development Agreement, we paid \$1.2 billion Singapore dollars (SGD) (approximately US\$782.5 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) in premium payments for the lease of the land on which the resort will be built plus an additional SGD\$105.6 million (approximately US\$68.9 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) for various taxes and other fees. Of this combined amount, \$806.0 million has been capitalized on the balance sheet as a leasehold interest in land with \$4.8 million amortized as of December 31, 2006. We will amortize this asset over 60 years, which is the length of the lease agreement. Of the remaining \$45.4 million, \$39.7 million was recorded as a receivable (which was collected in January 2007) and \$5.7 million has been capitalized on the balance sheet as construction in progress. In addition to the fees above, we provided a deposit of SGD\$192.6 million (approximately US\$125.6 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) as a security deposit for the construction of the integrated resort, which is currently being satisfied by bank guarantees. Also in August 2006, MBS entered into a two-year SGD\$2.21 billion (approximately US\$1.44 billion at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) bridge facility to finance the above payments and to provide for near-term development expenditures. We expect the cost to develop and construct the Marina Bay Sands integrated resort will be approximately \$3.6 billion, inclusive of the land premium, taxes and other fees discussed above. The Marina Bay Sands is expected to open in 2009.

## **United Kingdom Development Projects**

In December 2006, we announced that one of our affiliates and Cantor Gaming, an affiliate of the global financial services company Cantor Fitzgerald, have agreed to launch an online casino and poker site initially aimed at serving the United Kingdom market. Cantor Gaming will provide an online casino and poker destination featuring Las Vegas Sands brands. The site will offer casino games, including blackjack, roulette, baccarat, video poker, slots and online poker. The offering will be part of a full end-to-end gaming service, including customer age and location verification, online payment processing and customer services. The site is expected to be launched during the second quarter of 2007. The site will be hosted, and the operator will be licensed, in compliance with the laws of Alderney, British Channel Islands. It will not accept U.S. customers.

The United Kingdom government recently announced that the country s first regional super casino would be built in Manchester. A tender process for the operator of that facility is to be undertaken and we intend to participate in the tender process. In addition, we have existing agreements to develop and lease gaming and entertainment facilities with Sheffield United and Glasgow Rangers football clubs in the United Kingdom. Our ability to eventually develop and lease gaming and entertainment facilities under these agreements is subject to a number of conditions, including the passage of legislation to expand the number of authorized regional casinos and our ability to obtain a gaming license.

48

#### **Table of Contents**

## **Other Development Projects**

We are currently exploring the possibility of operating integrated resorts in additional Asian jurisdictions, the United States and Europe.

## **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires our management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. These estimates and judgments are based on historical information, information that is currently available to us and on various other assumptions that management believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could vary from those estimates and we may change our estimates and assumptions in future evaluations. Changes in these estimates and assumptions may have a material effect on our results of operations and financial condition. We believe that the critical accounting policies discussed below affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

## Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We maintain an allowance, or reserve, for doubtful accounts at our operating casino resorts, The Venetian and The Sands Macao. We regularly evaluate the allowance for doubtful accounts. At The Venetian, where credit or marker play is significant, we apply standard reserve percentages to aged account balances under a specified dollar amount and specifically analyze the collectibility of each account with a balance over the specified dollar amount, based upon the age of the account, the customer s financial condition, collection history and any other known information. We also monitor regional and global economic conditions and forecasts in our evaluation of the adequacy of the recorded reserves. At The Sands Macao, where credit or marker play is not significant, we apply a standard reserve percentage to aged account balances. The mix of credit play as a percentage of total casino play has decreased significantly since 2005 due to the continued growth of The Sands Macao where table games play is primarily cash play, while The Venetian credit table games play represents approximately 62.6% of total table games play. Our allowance for doubtful accounts was 22.8% and 26.9% of gross casino and hotel accounts receivable for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

## Self-Insurance Accruals

We maintain accruals for health and workers compensation self-insurance, which are classified in other accrued liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. We determine the adequacy of these accruals by periodically evaluating the historical experience and projected trends related to these accruals and in consultation with outside actuarial experts. If such information indicates that the accruals are overstated or understated, or if business conditions indicate we should adjust the assumptions utilized, we will reduce or provide for additional accruals as appropriate.

## Litigation Accrual

We are subject to various claims and legal actions. We estimate the accruals for these claims and legal actions in accordance with SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, and include such accruals in other accrued liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets.

# Property and Equipment

At December 31, 2006, we had net property and equipment of \$4.58 billion, representing 64.3% of our total assets. We depreciate property and equipment on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives. The estimated useful lives are based on the nature of the assets as well as current operating strategy and legal considerations such as contractual life. Future events, such as property expansions, property developments, new competition, or new regulations, could result in a change in the manner in which we use certain assets requiring a change in the estimated useful lives of such assets.

49

## **Table of Contents**

For assets to be held and used, fixed assets are reviewed for impairment whenever indicators of impairment exist. If an indicator of impairment exists, the Company first groups its assets with other assets and liabilities at the lowest level for which identifiable cash flows are largely independent of the cash flows of other assets and liabilities (the asset group). Secondly, the Company estimates the undiscounted future cash flows that are directly associated with and expected to arise from the use of and eventual disposition of such asset group. The Company estimates the undiscounted cash flows over the remaining useful life of the primary asset within the asset group. If the undiscounted cash flows exceed the carrying value, no impairment is indicated. If the undiscounted cash flows do not exceed the carrying value, then an impairment is measured based on fair value compared to carrying value, with fair value typically based on a discounted cash flow model. If an asset is still under development, future cash flows include remaining construction costs.

## Stock-Based Compensation

SFAS No. 123R, Share-Based Payment, requires the recognition of compensation expense in the consolidated statements of operations related to the fair value of employee stock-based compensation. Determining the fair value of stock-based awards at the grant date requires judgment, including estimating the expected term that stock options will be outstanding prior to exercise, the associated volatility and the expected dividends. Expected volatilities are based on the historical volatilities from a selection of companies from our peer group due to our lack of historical information. We used the simplified method for estimating expected option life, as the options qualify as plain-vanilla options. We believe that the valuation technique and the approach utilized to develop the underlying assumptions are appropriate in calculating the fair values of our stock options granted. Judgment is also required in estimating the amount of stock-based awards expected to be forfeited prior to vesting. If actual forfeitures differ significantly from these estimates, stock-based compensation expense could be materially impacted. Prior to adopting SFAS No. 123R, we applied APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related Interpretations, in accounting for our stock-based compensation plans. All employee stock options were granted with an exercise price equal to the fair market value (as defined in the Company s 2004 Equity Award Plan). The Company adopted SFAS No. 123R effective January 1, 2006. During the year ended December 31, 2006, we recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$14.7 million. No such expense was recorded in 2005 and 2004. As of December 31, 2006, there was \$55.8 million of unrecognized compensation cost, net of estimated forfeitures of 8.0%, related to nonvested stock options and there was \$2.1 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested restricted stock. The stock option and restricted stock costs are expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.2 years and 1.9 years, respectively.

## **Income Taxes**

We are subject to income taxes in the United States, and in several states and foreign jurisdictions in which we operate. We account for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. Under SFAS No. 109, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized based on differences between financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates. SFAS No. 109 requires the recognition of deferred tax assets, net of any applicable valuation allowances, related to net operating loss carryforwards, tax credits and other temporary differences. The standard requires recognition of a future tax benefit to the extent that realization of such benefit is more likely than not; otherwise, a valuation allowance is applied.

Our income tax returns are subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and other tax authorities. While positions taken in tax returns are sometimes subject to uncertainty in the tax laws, we do not take such positions unless we have substantial authority to do so under the Internal Revenue Code and applicable regulations. We may take positions on our tax returns based on substantial authority that are not ultimately accepted by the IRS.

We assess potential unfavorable outcomes based on the criteria of SFAS No. 5. We establish a tax reserve if an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of the unfavorable outcome can be reasonably estimated. We assess the potential outcomes of tax uncertainties on a quarterly basis. In determining whether the probable criterion of SFAS No. 5 is met, we presume that the taxing authority will focus on the exposure and we assess the probable outcome of a particular issue based upon the relevant legal and technical merits. We also apply our judgment regarding the potential actions by the tax authorities and resolution through the settlement process.

50

#### **Table of Contents**

We maintain required tax reserves until such time as the underlying issue is resolved. When actual results differ from reserve estimates, we will adjust the income tax provision and our tax reserves in the period resolved. For tax years that are examined by taxing authorities, we will adjust tax reserves in the year the tax examinations are settled. For tax years that are not examined by taxing authorities, we will adjust tax reserves in the year that the statute of limitations expires. Our estimate of the potential outcome for any uncertain tax issue is highly judgmental, and we believe we have adequately provided for any reasonable and foreseeable outcomes related to uncertain tax matters.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2006, the FASB ratified the consensus reached on Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 06-03, How Sales Collected from Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement (that is, Gross Versus Net Presentation). The EITF reached a consensus that the presentation of taxes on either a gross or net basis is an accounting policy decision that requires disclosure. EITF Issue No. 06-03 is effective for the first interim or annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2006. Taxes collected from the our customers are and have been recorded on a net basis. We have no intention of modifying this accounting policy. As such, the adoption of EITF Issue No. 06-03 will not have an effect on our results from operations or financial position.

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation (FIN) No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, which provides guidance for the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in the financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109. FIN No. 48 provides guidance on the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN No. 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosures, and transition. FIN No. 48 will require entities to assess the likelihood that uncertain tax positions will be accepted by the applicable taxing authority and then measure the amount of benefit to be recognized for these purposes which are considered greater than 50% likely to be sustained. FIN No. 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We will adopt FIN No. 48 as of January 1, 2007, as required. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting this standard, but believe that there will be a reduction to opening retained earnings in an amount that will not exceed \$12.0 million.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements , which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurement. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements and we do not expect the application of this standard to change its current practices. The provisions of SFAS No. 157 are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years.

## **Summary Financial Results**

The following table summarizes our results of operations:

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2006	Percent Change (In thousan	2005 nds, except for p	Percent Change ercentages)	2004	
Net revenues Operating expenses	\$ 2,236,859 1,662,762	28.5% 32.9%	\$ 1,740,912 1,251,461	45.4% 116.3%	\$ 1,197,056 578,588	

Edgar Filing: LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP - Form 10-K

Operating income	574,097	17.3%	489,451	(20.9)%	618,468
Income before income taxes	504,246	75.1%	287,936	(40.2)%	481,447
Net income	442,003	55.8%	283,686	(42.7)%	495,183

51

#### **Table of Contents**

		f Net Revenu d December	
	2006	2005	2004
Operating expenses	74.3%	71.9%	48.3%
Operating income	25.7%	28.1%	51.7%
Income before income taxes	22.5%	16.5%	40.2%
Net income	19.8%	16.3%	41.4%

Our historical financial results during the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 will not be indicative of our future results, among other things, for the following items which are not anticipated to occur to this magnitude in the near future: we sold The Grand Canal Shops mall on May 17, 2004 and recognized a gain of \$417.6 million; we paid incentive payments of \$63.2 million related to the Phase II mall sale to certain of our executives in July 2004; we incurred a loss on disposal of assets of \$31.6 million in 2004 related primarily to demolition of space to accommodate the construction of a showroom; we incurred a stock-based compensation charge of \$49.2 million related to our initial public offering in 2004; and we incurred a loss on retirement of debt of \$137.0 million during 2005 related to the redemption of the 11% Mortgage Notes and VML s senior secured notes.

# Key operating revenue measurements

The Venetian s operating revenue is dependent upon the volume of customers who stay at the hotel, which affects the price that can be charged for hotel rooms and the volume of table games and slot machine play. The Sands Macao is almost wholly dependent on casino customers that visit the casino on a daily basis. Hotel revenues are not material for The Sands Macao. Visitors to The Sands Macao arrive by ferry, automobile, bus, airplane or helicopter from Hong Kong, cities in China and other Southeast Asian cities in close proximity to Macao.

The following are the key measurements we use to evaluate operating revenue:

Casino revenue measurements for Las Vegas: Table games drop and slot handle are volume measurements. Win or hold percentage represents the percentage of drop or handle that is won by the casino and recorded as casino revenue. Table games drop represents the sum of markers issued (credit instruments) less markers paid at the table, plus cash deposited in the table drop box. Slot handle is the gross amount wagered or coin placed into slot machines in aggregate for the period cited. Drop and handle are abbreviations for table games drop and slot handle. Based upon our mix of table games, our table games produce a statistical average table win percentage (calculated before discounts) as measured as a percentage of table game drops of 20.0% to 22.0% and slot machines produce a statistical average slot machine win percentage (calculated before slot club cash incentives) as measured as a percentage of slot machine handle generally between 6.0% and 7.0%.

Casino revenue measurements for Macao: We view Macao table games as being segregated into two groups, consistent with the Macao market's convention: Rolling Chip play (all VIP play) and Non-Rolling Chip play (mostly non-VIP players). The volume measurement for Rolling Chip play is non-negotiable gaming chips wagered. The volume measurement for Non-Rolling Chip is table games drop as described above. Rolling Chip volume and Non-Rolling Chip volume are not equivalent because, since Rolling Chip volume is a measure of amounts wagered versus dropped, Rolling Chip volume is substantially higher than drop. Slot handle at The Sands Macao is the gross amount wagered or coins placed into slot machines in aggregate for the period cited.

We view Rolling Chip table games win as a percentage of Rolling Chip volume and we view Non-Rolling Chip table games win as a percentage of drop. Win or hold percentage represents the percentage of Rolling Chip volume,

Non-Rolling Chip drop or slot handle that is won by the casino and recorded as casino revenue. Based upon our mix of table games in Macao, our Rolling Chip table games win percentage (calculated before discounts and commissions) as measured as a percentage of Rolling Chip volume is expected to be 2.7% to 3.0% and our Non-Rolling Chip table games are expected to produce a statistical average table win percentage as measured as a percentage of table game drop (before discounts and commissions) of 18.0% to 20.0%. Similar to Las Vegas, our Macao slot machines produce a statistical average slot machine win percentage as measured as a percentage of slot machine handle of generally between 6.0% and 7.0%.

52

#### **Table of Contents**

Actual win may vary from the statistical average. Generally, slot machine play at The Venetian and The Sands Macao is conducted on a cash basis. The Venetian stable games revenue is approximately 62.6% from credit based guests wagering for the year ended December 31, 2006 and The Sands Macao stable game play is conducted primarily on a cash basis.

Hotel revenue measurements: Hotel occupancy rate, which is the average percentage of available hotel rooms occupied during a period, and average daily room rate, which is the average price of occupied rooms per day, are used as performance indicators. Revenue per available room represents a summary of hotel average daily room rates and occupancy. Because not all available rooms are occupied, average daily room rates are higher than revenue per available room.

## Year Ended December 31, 2006 compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2005

## **Operating Revenues**

Our net revenues consisted of the following:

	Ye	Year Ended December 31,						
		Percent						
	2006	2005	Change					
	(In thou	sands, except for pe	rcentages)					
Net Revenues								
Casino	\$ 1,676,061	\$ 1,250,090	34.1%					
Rooms	350,606	323,560	8.4%					
Food and beverage	187,819	147,510	27.3%					
Convention, retail and other	125,692	103,065	22.0%					
	\$ 2,340,178	\$ 1,824,225	28.3%					
Less promotional allowances	(103,319)	(83,313)	(24.0)%					
Total net revenues	\$ 2,236,859	\$ 1,740,912	28.5%					

Consolidated net revenues were \$2.24 billion for the year ended December 31, 2006, an increase of \$495.9 million compared to \$1.74 billion for the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase in net revenues was due primarily to an increase in casino revenue of \$426.0 million. This increase is primarily attributable to the growth of our operations at The Sands Macao due primarily to the formal introduction of our Rolling Chip program in March 2005 and the casino expansion in August 2006.

Casino revenues for the year ended December 31, 2006 increased \$426.0 million as compared the year ended December 31, 2005. Of the increase, \$382.1 million was attributable to the growth of our casino operations at The

#### **Table of Contents**

Sands Macao due primarily to the formal introduction of our Rolling Chip program in March 2005 and casino expansion in August 2006. The following table summarizes the results of our casino revenue activity:

	Year Ended December 31,					
		2006		2005	Change	
		(In thousands	s, ex	cept for percer	tages)	
The Sands Macao						
Total casino revenues	\$	1,264,290	\$	882,175	43.3%	
Non-Rolling Chip table games drop	\$	4,178,655	\$	4,002,635	4.4%	
Non-Rolling Chip table games win percentage		18.6%		16.5%	2.1pts	
Rolling Chip volume	\$	17,114,962	\$	9,982,942	71.4%	
Rolling Chip win percentage		3.2%		2.4%	0.8pts	
Slot handle	\$	1,048,795	\$	720,085	45.6%	
Slot hold percentage		7.7%		8.4%	(0.7)pts	
The Venetian					_	
Total casino revenues	\$	411,771	\$	367,915	11.9%	
Table games drop	\$	1,266,931	\$	1,184,468	7.0%	
Table games win percentage		22.0%		20.0%	2.0pts	
Slot handle	\$	2,136,267	\$	2,039,224	4.8%	
Slot hold percentage		6.2%		6.3%	(0.1)pts	

In our experience, average win percentages remain steady when measured over extended periods of time, but can vary considerably within shorter time periods as a result of the statistical variances that are associated with games of chance in which large amounts are wagered.

Room revenues for the year ended December 31, 2006 increased \$27.0 million as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase was attributable to the increase in the average daily room rate as well as a slight increase in the occupancy rate. The following table summarizes the results of our room revenue activity:

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2006	2005	Change			
The Venetian						
Average daily room rate	\$ 239	\$ 225	6.2 %			
Occupancy rate	98.7%	97.3%	1.4 pts			
Revenue per available room	\$ 236	\$ 218	8.3 %			

Food and beverage revenues were \$187.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, an increase of \$40.3 million as compared to \$147.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase was primarily attributable to food and beverage revenues at The Venetian, which increased \$32.2 million due to increased group business resulting primarily from approximately 450,000 square feet of additional meeting space at the property.

Convention, retail and other revenues for the year ended December 31, 2006 increased \$22.6 million as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase in primarily attributable to \$7.6 million of additional convention revenues from The Sands Expo Center and \$10.4 million in revenues associated with the Blue Man Group, the Phantom of the Opera and the Gordie Brown performances, which began in October 2005, June 2006 and October

#### **Operating Expenses**

The breakdown of operating expenses is as follows:

	Year Ended December 31, Percent							
	2006 2005 (In thousands, except for pe							
<b>Operating Expenses</b>								
Casino	\$ 925,033	\$	656,590	40.9%				
Rooms	85,651		82,058	4.4%				
Food and beverage	89,113		76,736	16.1%				
Convention, retail and other	64,315		58,068	10.8%				
Provision for doubtful accounts	18,067		9,358	93.1%				
General and administrative	230,355		192,806	19.5%				
Corporate expense	59,570		38,297	55.5%				
Rental expense	13,478		14,841	(9.2)%				
Pre-opening expense	37,673		3,732	909.5%				
Development expense	26,112		22,238	17.4%				
Depreciation and amortization	110,771		95,296	16.2%				
Loss on disposal of assets	2,624		1,441	82.1%				
Total operating expenses	\$ 1,662,762	\$	1,251,461	32.9%				

Operating expenses were \$1.66 billion for the year ended December 31, 2006, an increase of \$411.3 million as compared to \$1.25 billion for the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase in operating expenses was primarily attributable to the higher operating revenues and growth of our operating businesses in Macao and to a lesser extent in Las Vegas, as more fully described below.

Casino department expenses for the year ended December 31, 2006 increased \$268.4 million as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005. Of the increase in casino expenses, \$176.1 million was due to the 39.0% gross win tax on casino revenues in Macao. Despite the higher gross win tax, casino operating margins at The Sands Macao are similar to those at The Venetian primarily because of lower labor, marketing and sales expenses in Macao. As the Rolling Chip volume increases as a percentage of our total gaming operations, casino margins will decrease due to the commissions paid under the Rolling Chip program. The remaining increase was primarily attributable to the additional payroll related expenses related to the continued growth of our operations at The Sands Macao and the casino expansion in August 2006.

Food and beverage expense increased \$12.4 million and convention, retail and other expense increased \$6.2 million. These increases were primarily due to the associated increase in the respective revenue categories as noted above.

The provision for doubtful accounts was \$18.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared to \$9.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, due primarily to an increase in casino and hotel receivables during the year. The amount of this provision can vary over short periods of time because of factors specific to the customers who owe us money from gaming activities at any given time. We believe that the amount of our provision

for doubtful accounts in the future will depend upon the state of the economy, our credit standards, our risk assessments and the judgment of our employees responsible for granting credit.

General and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2006 increased \$37.6 million as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase was attributable to the growth of our operating businesses in Las Vegas and Macao as well as \$7.1 million related to stock-based compensation expense recorded in connection with the adoption of SFAS No. 123R.

Corporate expense for the year ended December 31, 2006 increased \$21.3 million as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005. Of the increase in corporate expense, \$19.5 million was related to payroll and other

55

#### **Table of Contents**

operating expenses as we increase our headcount in the corporate area to support our continued expansion activities and \$5.4 million related to stock-based compensation recorded in connection with the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, partially offset by a \$5.0 million charitable contribution that was made in 2005 that did not recur in 2006.

Pre-opening and development expenses were \$37.7 million and \$26.1 million, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared to \$3.7 million and \$22.2 million, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2005. Pre-opening expense represents personnel and other costs incurred prior to the opening of new ventures which are expensed as incurred. Pre-opening expenses for the year ended December 31, 2006 were primarily related to The Venetian Macao project and to the expansion of The Sands Macao. Development expense includes the costs associated with the Company s evaluation and pursuit of new business opportunities, which are also expensed as incurred. Development expenses for the year ended December 31, 2006 were primarily related to our activities in Singapore, Pennsylvania and Europe. We expect that pre-opening and development expenses will continue to increase as we progress with The Venetian Macao and other Cotai Strip projects in Macao, The Palazzo in Las Vegas, Marina Bay Sands in Singapore, Hengqin Island and Pennsylvania, as well as our continued pursuit of development opportunities elsewhere.

Depreciation and amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2006 increased \$15.5 million as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase was primarily due to additional depreciation expense as a result of capital improvements at The Venetian and The Sands Macao.

## Interest Expense

The following table summarizes information related to interest expense on long-term debt:

	Year Ended December 31, 2006 2005 (In thousands, except for percentages)							
Interest cost Less: Capitalized interest	\$	230,447 (94,594)	\$	118,992 (22,700)				
Interest expense, net	\$	135,853	\$	96,292				
Cash paid for interest Average total debt balance Weighted average interest rate	\$ \$	215,975 2,898,936 7.9%	\$ \$	111,066 1,520,913 7.8%				

Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized, for the year ended December 31, 2006 increased \$39.6 million as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005. This increase is primarily attributable to an increase in our average long-term debt balances resulting primarily from the completion of the \$2.5 billion Macao credit facility, in May 2006, to support our development activities in Macao and the \$1.44 billion Singapore bridge facility, in August 2006, to support the development of the Marina Bay Sands. We expect interest expense will continue to increase as our long-term debt balances and interest rates increase. This increase was offset by the capitalization of \$94.6 million of interest during the year ended December 31, 2006, compared to \$22.7 million of capitalized interest during the year ended December 31, 2005. We expect capitalized interest will continue to increase as The Venetian Macao and The Palazzo projects approach their anticipated 2007 opening dates and as we increase our construction activities on the Cotai Strip, at Marina Bay Sands and Sands Bethworks.

## Other Factors Affecting Earnings

Interest income for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$66.2 million, an increase of \$33.1 million as compared to \$33.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase was attributable to additional invested cash balances, primarily from our borrowings under the Senior Secured Credit Facility and the Macao credit facility.

The loss on early retirement of debt of \$137.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2005 was the result of the redemption of Las Vegas Sands, Inc.  $\,$ s \$843.6 million in aggregate principal amount of 11% mortgage notes and VML  $\,$ s \$120.0 million in aggregate principal amount of senior secured notes.

56

Our effective income tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2006 was 12.3%. The effective tax rate for the year was significantly lower than the federal statutory rate due primarily to a zero effective tax rate on our Macao net income as a result of a temporary income tax exemption in Macao on gaming operations, which is set to expire at the end of 2008. The effective tax rate was 1.5% for the year ended December 31, 2005 primarily due to the tax benefit associated with the loss on early retirement of debt in the 2005 period, as well as the application of the aforementioned Macao temporary income tax exemption.

#### Year Ended December 31, 2005 compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2004

#### **Operating Revenues**

Our net revenues consisted of the following:

	Year Ended December 31,							
	200 (I		2004 ls, except for pe	Percent Change ercentages)				
Net Revenues								
Casino	\$ 1,25	),090 \$	708,564	76.4%				
Rooms	32	3,560	312,003	3.7%				
Food and beverage	14	7,510	121,566	21.3%				
Convention, retail and other <sup>(1)</sup>	10	3,065	116,437	(11.5)%				
	\$ 1,82	4,225 \$	5 1,258,570	44.9%				
Less promotional allowances	(8	3,313)	(61,514)	(35.4)%				
Total net revenues	\$ 1,74	),912 \$	5 1,197,056	45.4%				

Consolidated net revenues were \$1.74 billion for the year ended December 31, 2005, an increase of \$543.9 million compared to \$1.2 billion for the year ended December 31, 2004. The increase in net revenues was due primarily to an increase in casino revenue of \$541.5 million. This increase is attributable to our operation of The Sands Macao for a full year in 2005, compared to just over seven months in 2004. The increase in net revenues was partially offset by a decrease in convention, retail and other revenue of \$13.4 million, primarily as a result of the sale of The Grand Canal Shops mall and the lease of certain other retail and restaurant venues on May 17, 2004.

Casino revenues for the year ended December 31, 2005 increased \$541.5 million as compared to the year ended December 31, 2004. Of the increase, \$494.6 million was attributable to the operation of The Sands Macao for a full year in 2005, compared to just over seven months in 2004 and the increased volumes associated with the introduction of the Rolling Chip program in March 2005. In addition, there was a \$46.9 million increase at The Venetian due to an increase in table game drop of \$161.6 million and an increase of 2.7 percentage points in our win percentage. In our experience, average win percentages remain steady when measured over extended periods of time, but can vary

<sup>(1)</sup> The Grand Canal Shops mall was sold and certain other retail and restaurant venues were leased to GGP on May 17, 2004.

considerably within shorter time periods as a result of the statistical variances that are associated with games of chance in which large amounts are wagered.

Room revenues for the year ended December 31, 2005 increased \$11.6 million as compared to the year ended December 31, 2004. The increase was attributable to the increase in average daily room rate from \$220 in 2004 to \$225 in 2005 as well as a slight increase in occupancy rate from 97.0% in 2004 to 97.3% in 2005 at The Venetian. The Venetian generated revenue per available room of \$218 for the year ended December 31, 2005 as compared to \$213 for the year ended December 31, 2004.

Food and beverage revenues for the year ended December 31, 2005 increased \$25.9 million as compared to the year ended December 31, 2004. Of this increase, \$15.2 million was attributable to increased business volumes at The Sands Macao as well as a full year of operations versus just over seven months in the prior year. Food and beverage revenues at The Venetian increased \$10.7 million due to increased hotel occupancy and general group business at the property.

57

#### **Table of Contents**

Convention, retail and other revenues for the year ended December 31, 2005 decreased \$13.4 million as compared to the year ended December 31, 2004. Convention, retail and other revenues during 2004 include revenue of \$15.9 million related to the operations of The Grand Canal Shops mall and the lease of retail outlets in The Venetian. The Grand Canal Shops mall was sold and certain other retail and restaurant venues were leased to GGP on May 17, 2004.

#### **Operating Expenses**

The breakdown of operating expenses is as follows:

	Yea	ar Ended Decembe	r 31,							
		Percent								
	2005	Change								
	(In thousa	2005 2004 Change (In thousands, except for percentages)								
Operating Expenses										
Casino	\$ 656,590	\$ 340,241	93.0%							
Rooms	82,058	77,249	6.2%							
Food and beverage	76,736	64,176	19.6%							
Convention, retail and other <sup>(1)</sup>	58,068	60,055	(3.3)%							
Provision for doubtful accounts	9,358	7,959	17.6%							
General and administrative	192,806	173,088	11.4%							
Corporate expense	38,297	126,356	(69.7)%							
Rental expense	14,841	12,033	23.3%							
Pre-opening expense	3,732	19,025	(80.4)%							
Development expense	22,238	14,901	49.2%							
Depreciation and amortization	95,296	69,432	37.3%							
Loss on disposal of assets	1,441	31,649	(95.4)%							
Gain on sale of The Grand Canal Shops mall		(417,576)	. ,							
Total operating expenses	\$ 1,251,461	\$ 578,588	116.3%							

Operating expenses were \$1.25 billion for the year ended December 31, 2005, compared to \$578.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. Excluding the gain on the sale of The Grand Canal Shops mall, total operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2004 were \$996.2 million. The increase in operating expenses was primarily attributable to the higher operating revenues and business volumes associated with the opening and operations of The Sands Macao. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in corporate expense of \$88.1 million, related to \$63.2 million of incentive payments paid to certain of our executives in July 2004 from the Phase II mall sale and a \$49.2 million stock-based compensation expense resulting from stock options granted during July 2004.

Casino department expenses for the year ended December 31, 2005 increased \$316.3 million as compared to the year ended December 31, 2004. The increase was primarily attributable to the additional casino expenses related to the

<sup>(1)</sup> The Grand Canal Shops mall was sold and certain other retail and restaurant venues were leased to GGP on May 17, 2004.

opening of The Sands Macao in May 2004, a full year of expenses from that property during the 2005 period and increased slot machine and table games volume at The Venetian. Of the \$316.3 million increase in casino expenses, \$229.6 million was due to the 39.0% gross win tax on casino revenues in Macao. Despite the higher gross win tax, casino operating margins at The Sands Macao are similar to those at The Venetian primarily because of lower labor, marketing and sales expenses in Macao. Food and beverage expense increased \$12.6 million, primarily related to the increased food and beverage revenue noted above.

The provision for doubtful accounts was \$9.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, compared to \$8.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. The amount of this provision can vary over short periods of time because of factors specific to the customers who owe us money from gaming activities at any given time. We believe

58

#### **Table of Contents**

that the amount of our provision for doubtful accounts in the future will depend upon the state of the economy, our credit standards, our risk assessments and the judgment of our employees responsible for granting credit.

General and administrative costs increased \$19.7 million, primarily as a result of the full year of operations for The Sands Macao in 2005 as compared to just over seven months in 2004.

Corporate expense for the year ended December 31, 2005 decreased \$88.1 million as compared to the year ended December 31, 2004. The decrease was primarily the result of \$112.4 million of expenses related to incentive payments paid to certain of our executives in July 2004 from the Phase II mall sale and stock-based compensation expense resulting from stock options granted during July 2004, partially offset by a \$5.0 million charitable contribution during the first quarter of 2005 and the addition of corporate staff in the 2005 period, including the reassignment of some employees from Venetian Casino Resort, LLC to Las Vegas Sands Corp. as we built our corporate infrastructure as a new public company.

Pre-opening and development expenses were \$3.7 million and \$22.2 million, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2005, compared to \$19.0 million and \$14.9 million, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2004. Pre-opening expense for the year ended December 31, 2004 included \$18.0 million related to The Sands Macao which opened in May 2004. Pre-opening expense for the year ended December 31, 2005 primarily related to The Venetian Macao and The Palazzo projects. We expect that pre-opening expense will increase as these projects get closer to their 2007 opening dates. The increase in development expenses was primarily related to our activities in Macao, the United Kingdom, Singapore and Pennsylvania.

Depreciation and amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2005 increased \$25.9 million as compared to the year ended December 31, 2004. The increase was primarily the result of placing into service assets of The Sands Macao during the second quarter of 2004 and a full year of depreciation expense from that property during 2005 and due to various expansion projects placed into service at The Venetian, including new luxury suites, an entertainment theater and meeting rooms. In addition, there was \$7.0 million of cumulative depreciation expense related to amounts capitalized in connection with litigation settlements related to the original construction of The Venetian recorded during 2005.

The loss on disposal of assets for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$1.4 million as compared to \$31.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. The loss on disposal of assets of \$31.6 million in 2004 resulted primarily from the demolition of space to accommodate the construction of a showroom at The Venetian.

#### Interest Expense

The following table summarizes information related to interest expense on long-term debt:

	1	Teal Ended December 31,					
		2005		2004			
		(In thousand percer	-				
Interest cost Less: Capitalized interest	\$	118,992 (22,700)	\$	142,678 (4,601)			
Interest expense, net	\$	96,292	\$	138,077			

Voor Ended December 31

 Cash paid for interest
 \$ 111,066
 \$ 128,641

 Average total debt balance
 \$ 1,520,913
 \$ 1,620,134

 Weighted average interest rate
 7.8%
 8.8%

Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized, for the year ended December 31, 2005 decreased \$41.8 million as compared to the year ended December 31, 2004. Of the net interest expense incurred for the year ended December 31, 2005, \$70.8 million was related to The Venetian, \$4.7 million was related to The Sands Macao, \$12.7 million was related to litigation settlements and \$8.1 million was related to The Sands Expo Center. This decrease is primarily attributable to the replacement of a higher fixed rate debt instrument with lower variable rate bank debt. During the first quarter of 2005, we retired Las Vegas Sands, Inc. s \$843.6 million in aggregate principal amount of 11% mortgage notes and VML s \$120.0 million in aggregate principal amount of senior secured notes. In

59

#### **Table of Contents**

addition, during the first quarter of 2005, we increased our borrowings under our Senior Secured Credit Facility and issued \$250.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 6.375% Senior Notes. The decrease was also due to the capitalization of \$22.7 million of interest during the year ended December 31, 2005, compared to \$4.6 million of capitalized interest during the year ended December 31, 2004. We capitalized interest costs associated with our construction projects, principally The Venetian Macao and The Palazzo. We expect that capitalized interest will continue to increase as the projects approach their planned openings in 2007.

#### Other Factors Affecting Earnings

Interest income for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$33.1 million, an increase of \$25.4 million as compared to \$7.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. The increase was due to the increase in invested cash and cash equivalent balances, primarily from our December 2004 initial public offering and our 2005 borrowings under Las Vegas Sands, LLC s Senior Secured Credit Facility.

The loss on early retirement of debt of \$137.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2005 was the result of the redemption of Las Vegas Sands, Inc. s \$843.6 million in aggregate principal amount of 11% mortgage notes and VML s \$120.0 million in aggregate principal amount of senior secured notes.

Our effective income tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2005 was 1.5%. The effective tax rate for the year is significantly lower than the federal statutory rate due primarily to a zero effective tax rate on our Macao net income as a result of a temporary income tax exemption in Macao, which is to expire at the end of 2008. Prior to December 2004, Las Vegas Sands, Inc. had elected to be taxed as an S corporation and its wholly-owned subsidiaries were either limited liability companies or S corporations, each of which was a pass-through entity for federal income tax purposes.

60

#### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

#### Cash Flows Summary

Our cash flows consisted of the following:

	Year 2006	led December 2005 thousands)	31,	2004
Net cash provided by (used in) operations	\$ (196,720)	\$ 589,916	\$	373,369
Investing cash flows:				
Proceeds from sale of The Grand Canal Shops mall, net of				
transaction costs				649,568
Capital expenditures	(1,925,291)	(860,621)		(465,748)
Change in restricted cash	(310,565)	(265,386)		(235,675)
Change in receivables from shareholders				205
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,235,856)	(1,126,007)		(51,650)
Financing cash flows:				
Proceeds from initial public offering of common stock, net of				
transactions costs		(487)		739,193
Dividends paid to stockholders		(21,052)		(125,027)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	7,226	313		11,964
Repayments of long-term debt	(132,746)	(969,127)		(561,566)
Proceeds from long term-debt	2,619,995	812,222		785,000
Other	(51,493)	(124,587)		(29,178)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	2,442,982	(302,718)		820,386
Effect of exchange rate on cash	814	757		
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 11,220	\$ (838,052)	\$	1,142,105

## Cash Flows Operating Activities

The Venetian s slot machine and retail hotel rooms businesses are generally conducted on a cash basis, its table games and group hotel businesses are conducted on a cash and credit basis and its banquet business is conducted primarily on a credit basis resulting in operating cash flows being generally affected by changes in operating income and accounts receivables. The Sands Macao table games and slot machine play is currently conducted primarily on a cash basis. Net cash used by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$196.7 million, a decrease of \$786.6 million as compared to the net cash provided by operating activities of \$589.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. The primary factor contributing to the net cash used by operating activities was a one-time \$786.7 million land concession payments made to the Singapore government for the Marina Bay Sands project in

conjunction with the signing of the development agreement in August 2006.

#### Cash Flows Investing Activities

Capital expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2006 totaled \$1.93 billion. This includes \$98.5 million for construction and development activities at The Sands Macao, \$1.02 billion for construction and development activities at The Venetian Macao, \$100.7 million in construction and development activities at the other Macao development projects, \$530.5 million for construction and development activities at The Palazzo, \$109.1 million on expansions, improvements and maintenance capital expenditures at The Venetian and The Sands Expo Center in Las Vegas, \$49.5 million for corporate activities and \$13.1 million for construction and development activities in Singapore.

61

## **Table of Contents**

Restricted cash increased \$310.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, primarily as a result of adding \$465.4 million in net restricted cash from the Macao credit facility to be used for Macao related construction, offset by a decrease of \$174.8 million in net restricted cash used for The Palazzo related construction.

#### Cash Flows Financing Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2006, net cash flows provided from financing activities were \$2.44 billion, which were primarily attributable to net borrowings of \$1.3 billion under the Macao credit facility, \$892.1 million under the Singapore credit facility, \$229.1 million under the senior secured revolving facility and \$86.0 million from the Phase II Mall construction loan, \$34.8 million from the FF&E credit facilities, offset by the repayment of the \$50.0 million credit facility of Venetian Venture Development Intermediate Limited.

### Capital and Liquidity

As of December 31, 2006, we held unrestricted cash and cash equivalents of \$468.1 million. We expect to fund our operations, capital expenditures at The Venetian, The Sands Expo Center and The Sands Macao (other than The Sands Macao expansion construction) and debt service requirements from existing cash balances, operating cash flow and borrowings under our Las Vegas and Macao revolving credit facilities. We have a \$450.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility in Las Vegas, of which \$189.9 million was available as of December 31, 2006. We have a \$500.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility in Macao for working capital needs; however under the Macao credit facility, we are required to secure the land concession in order to fully draw against the facility. We have asked our lenders to amend the Macao Credit Facility to remove this requirement, among others.

We are constructing The Palazzo and currently estimate that construction will be completed in fall 2007 and that our cost to develop and construct The Palazzo could reach as high as approximately \$1.85 billion (exclusive of land, furniture, fixtures and equipment), of which the Phase II mall is expected to cost approximately \$280.0 million (exclusive of certain incentive payments to executives made in July 2004). In addition, we expect that additional capital expenditures will be required to build out stores and restaurants located in The Palazzo. As of December 31, 2006, we had paid approximately \$1.04 billion in design, development and construction costs for The Palazzo. We intend to use \$374.8 million (plus the interest earnings) of the proceeds from the \$970.0 million Term B Facility and \$200.0 million from the Term B Delayed Draw Facility from the Senior Secured Credit Facility, \$135.5 million of proceeds from the Phase II Mall construction loan, cash on hand, borrowings under the revolving facility under the Senior Secured Credit Facility and operating cash flow to fund the remaining development and construction costs for The Palazzo (including the Phase II mall) and to pay related fees and expenses.

In December 2006, the Company and a group of lenders, with General Electric Capital Corporation, as administrative agent for the lenders, entered into a \$142.9 million credit facility, which included the refinancing of the previous FF&E facility of \$7.9 million (the FF&E Term Funded Credit Facility ) and an additional \$135.0 million (the FF&E Term Delayed Draw Credit Facility were and will be used to finance certain equipment, fixtures, furniture and other goods (the Specified FF&E ) at The Palazzo and The Venetian and the facility is secured by the Specified FF&E and guaranteed by certain domestic subsidiaries of the Company. The FF&E Term Delayed Draw Credit Facility provides for a 54-month delayed draw loan. Interest on this term loan is either three-month LIBOR plus 2.0% or base rate plus 1.0% and is payable quarterly. The FF&E Term Delayed Draw Credit Facility is subject to ten quarterly principal payments beginning on April 1, 2008 in an amount equal to 5.0% of the aggregate principal amount as of April 1, 2008, with the remaining amount due in four equal installments on October 1, 2010, January 1, 2011, April 1, 2011 and June 15, 2011. As of December 31, 2006, \$37.6 million has been drawn under the FF&E Term Delayed Draw Credit Facility.

We are in the early stages of constructing a high rise residential condominium tower, which will consist of approximately 270 luxury condominiums and will be situated between The Palazzo and The Venetian. The condominium tower is currently expected to open in late fall 2008 at an estimated cost ranging from \$600.0 million to \$700.0 million. We intend to obtain long-term financing in an amount necessary to fund the construction of the condominium tower.

On May 25, 2006, two of our subsidiaries, VML US Finance LLC (the Borrower ) and Venetian Macau Limited, as guarantor, entered into a credit agreement (the Macao Credit Facility ) for the funding of The Sands

62

#### **Table of Contents**

Macao expansion, and partial funding for the construction of The Venetian Macao and some of our other Cotai Strip developments. The Macao Credit Facility consists of a \$1.2 billion funded term B loan (the Macao Term B Facility ), a \$700.0 million delayed draw term B loan (the Macao Term B Delayed Draw Facility ), a \$100.0 million funded local currency term loan (the Macao Local Term Facility ) and a \$500.0 million revolving credit facility (the Macao Revolving Facility ). As of December 31, 2006, \$1.3 billion has been drawn under the Macao Term B Facility and the Macao Local Term Facility. As of December 31, 2006, no amounts are outstanding under the Macao Revolving Facility and no amounts have been drawn under the Macao Term B Delayed Draw Facility. In addition, the majority of The Sands Macao s cash flows are expected to be used to finance a portion of the construction of The Venetian Macao and certain other Macao developments.

In February 2007, we received the final draft of the land concession agreement from the Macao government pursuant to which we were awarded a concession by lease for parcels 1, 2 and 3 on the Cotai Strip, including the sites on which we are building The Venetian Macao and the Four Seasons hotel. We have accepted the conditions of the draft land concession and have made an initial premium payment of \$106.5 million towards the aggregate land premium of \$323.7 million. Additionally, \$24.1 million has been paid or will be paid in the form of the cost of the reclamation work and other works done on the land and the installation costs of an electrical substation with the remaining amount payable over time. The land concession will not become effective until the date it is published in Macao s Official Gazette. Once the land concession is effective, we will be required to make additional land premium and annual rent payments relating to parcels 1, 2 and 3 in the amounts and at the times specified in the land concession. We currently estimate that the cost of developing and building The Venetian Macao will be approximately \$2.4 billion (exclusive of the aggregate land concession payment of \$323.7 million for parcels 1, 2 and 3). If we are unable to obtain the amendment to the Macao Credit Facility described above, we will not be able to draw any further funds from the Macao Credit Facility in order to fund construction activities and we will have to seek additional financing for this purpose. Although we have not yet finalized our estimate of the costs of our other Cotai Strip developments, we expect the total cost of development on the Cotai Strip to be in the range of \$9.0 billion to \$11.0 billion. We will have to incur additional debt to finance completion of our Cotai Strip developments.

On August 18, 2006, MBS entered into agreements (together, the Singapore Credit Facility ) providing for a SGD\$1.1 billion (approximately US\$717.3 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) floating rate notes facility (the Singapore Floating Rate Notes ) and a SGD\$1.1 billion (approximately US\$717.3 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) term loan facility (the Singapore Term Loan). The Singapore Floating Rate Notes consist of a funded SGD\$788.6 million (approximately US\$514.2 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) facility and a SGD\$315.4 million (approximately US\$205.7 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) delayed draw facility. The Singapore Term Loan consists of a funded SGD\$596.0 million (approximately US\$388.7 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) facility, a SGD\$315.4 million (approximately US\$205.7 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) delayed draw facility, and a SGD\$192.6 million (approximately US\$125.6 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) facility to provide bank guarantees for a security deposit required to be delivered to the STB under the Development Agreement. As of December 31, 2006, SGD\$798.2 million (approximately US\$520.5 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) has been drawn on the Singapore Floating Rate Notes, SGD\$603.5 million (approximately US\$393.5 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) has been drawn on the Singapore Term Loan, and SGD\$192.6 million (approximately US\$125.6 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) under the Singapore Term Loan has been committed to provide a guarantee for a security deposit required to be delivered to the STB under the Development Agreement. The Singapore Credit Facility matures in August 2008.

We currently expect the cost to develop and construct the Marina Bay Sands will be approximately \$3.6 billion, inclusive of the land premium, taxes and other fees previously paid. We entered into the Singapore Credit Facility to satisfy near-term development costs and some of our obligations under the Development Agreement. We intend to obtain long-term financing in an amount necessary to fund the construction of the Marina Bay Sands.

On December 20, 2006, the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board announced that Sands Bethworks Gaming had been awarded a Pennsylvania gaming license. We will develop a gaming, hotel, shopping and dining complex located on the site of the Historic Bethlehem Steel Works in Bethlehem, Pennsylvania. We currently expect the cost

63

to develop and construct the complex will be approximately \$600.0 million. We intend to obtain long-term financing in an amount necessary to fund the construction of Sands Bethworks.

We have announced that we have agreed to purchase ten ferries at a cost of approximately \$153.8 million to bring customers to and from the Cotai Strip, including The Venetian Macao and our other Cotai Strip developments. The first ferries are scheduled to be delivered in late 2007. We intend to obtain long-term financing in an amount sufficient to fund the purchase of the ferries.

#### **Aggregate Indebtedness and Other Known Contractual Obligations**

Our total long-term indebtedness and other known contractual obligations are summarized below as of December 31, 2006:

		Pay	ments Due by l	Period	
	Less than	_	-	More than	
	1 Year	2-3 Years	4-5 Years (In thousands	5 Years	Total
			(III tilousalius	)	
Long-Term Debt Obligations					
Senior Secured Credit Facility Term					
$B^{(1)}$	\$	\$ 16,975	\$ 953,025	\$	\$ 970,000
Senior Secured Credit Facility Term B		·	·		•
Delayed <sup>(1)</sup>		3,500	196,500		200,000
Senior Secured Credit Facility		,	,		,
Revolving Facility <sup>(1)</sup>			260,128		260,128
FF&E Credit Facility Term Funded)	1,800	5,595	,		7,395
FF&E Credit Facility Term Delayed	,	,			,
Draw <sup>(2)</sup>		13,154	24,428		37,582
Phase II Mall Construction Loan <sup>(3)</sup>		114,500	,		114,500
Macao Credit Facility Term (\$\mathbf{B}^1)		18,000	24,000	1,158,000	1,200,000
Macao Credit Facility Local Terra		37,500	62,500		100,000
Singapore Credit Facility Term Loan		393,510	·		393,510
Singapore Credit Facility Floating Rate					
Notes <sup>(5)</sup>		520,502			520,502
The Sands Expo Center Mortgage					
Loan <sup>(6)</sup>	4,686	86,182			90,868
6.375% Senior Notes <sup>(7)</sup>				248,153	248,153
Fixed interest payments	15,937	31,875	31,875	50,469	130,156
Variable interest payments <sup>(8)</sup>	271,430	454,962	291,916	103,469	1,121,777
<b>Contractual Obligations</b>					
HVAC Provider fixed payments <sup>(9)</sup>	6,826	10,238			17,064
Former Tenants <sup>(10)</sup>	650	1,300	1,300	8,027	11,277
Employment Agreements <sup>(11)</sup>	8,380	15,560			23,940
Macao Subsidiary Land Lease <sup>(12)</sup>	2,988	3,150	324	2,758	9,220
Mall Leases <sup>(13)</sup>	7,660	15,544	16,086	137,611	176,901
Macao Fixed Gaming Tax <sup>(14)</sup>	9,363	18,727	18,727	98,317	145,134
Ferries Purchase Commitment <sup>(15)</sup>	99,735	35,574			135,309
Parking Lot Lease <sup>(16)</sup>	1,200	2,400	2,400	110,400	116,400

Other Operating Leases<sup>(17)</sup> 16,584 20,411 1,526 2,757 41,278

Total \$ 447,239 \$ 1,819,159 \$ 1,884,735 \$ 1,919,961 \$ 6,071,094

(1) The Senior Secured Credit Facility consists of a \$970.0 million single draw term B loan facility, a \$200.0 million term B delayed draw facility that was fully drawn on August 19, 2005 and a \$450.0 million revolving credit facility. At December 31, 2006, the amounts borrowed were \$1.17 billion under the Term B facilities (including the delayed draw) and \$260.1 million under the revolving credit facility. The term B

64

## **Table of Contents**

facility and delayed draw facility will mature on June 15, 2011 and are subject to quarterly amortization payments commencing in the first quarter after substantial completion of The Palazzo. The revolving credit facility matures on February 22, 2010 and has no interim amortization. As of December 31, 2006, the amount available for borrowing under the revolving credit facility was \$189.9 million.

- (2) The FF&E Credit Facility consists of a \$7.9 million single draw term facility and a \$135.0 million delayed draw term facility. At December 31, 2006, the amounts borrowed were \$45.0 million under the facilities. The single draw term facility will mature on July 1, 2008 and is subject to quarterly amortization payments with one final payment of \$3.7 million on October 1, 2008. The delayed draw term facility is subject to ten quarterly principal payments beginning on April 1, 2008 in an amount equal to 5.0% of the aggregate principal amount as of April 1, 2008, with the remaining amount due in four equal installments on October 1, 2010, January 1, 2011, April 1, 2011 and June 15, 2011.
- (3) The Phase II Mall Construction Loan commitment is \$250.0 million and is due March 30, 2008.
- (4) Amount represents the borrowings under the Macao Credit Facility, which consists of a \$1.2 billion funded term B loan (the Macao Term B Facility), a \$700.0 million delayed draw term B loan (the Macao Term B Delayed Draw Facility), a \$100.0 million funded local currency term loan (the Macao Local Term Facility) and a \$500.0 million revolving credit facility (the Macao Revolving Facility). As of December 31, 2006, no amounts are outstanding under the Macao Revolving Facility and no amounts have been drawn under the Macao Term B Delayed Draw Facility. The Macao Revolving Facility and the Macao Local Term Facility have a five year maturity. The Macao Term B Delayed Draw Facility and the Macao Term B Facility mature in six and seven years, respectively. The Macao Term B Delayed Draw Facility and the Macao Term B Facility are subject to nominal amortization for the first five and six years, respectively, in the first quarter following substantial completion of The Venetian Macao, with the remainder of the loans payable in four equal installments in the last year immediately preceding their respective maturity dates. Following the substantial completion of The Venetian Macao, the Macao Local Term Facility is subject to quarterly amortization in an amount of approximately \$6.3 million per quarter, with the remainder of the loan payable in four equal installments in the last year immediately preceding the maturity date.
- (5) Amount represents the borrowings under the Singapore Credit Facility, which consists of a SGD\$1.1 billion (approximately US\$717.3 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) floating rate notes facility (the Singapore Floating Rate Notes) and a SGD\$1.1 billion (approximately US\$717.3 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) term loan facility (the Singapore Term Loan). The Singapore Floating Rate Notes consist of a funded SGD\$788.6 million (approximately US\$514.2 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) facility and a SGD\$315.4 million (approximately US\$205.7 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) delayed draw facility. The Singapore Term Loan consists of a funded SGD\$596.0 million (approximately US\$388.7 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) facility, a SGD\$315.4 million (approximately US\$205.7 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) delayed draw facility, and a SGD\$192.6 million (approximately US\$125.6 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) facility to provide bank guarantees in relation to a security deposit required to be delivered to the STB under the Development Agreement. The Singapore Credit Facility matures in full on August 22, 2008.
- (6) Principal payments will increase should Interface Group-Nevada achieve certain cash flow levels as defined in the loan agreement. The Sands Expo Center mortgage loan will mature on February 10, 2009 if all renewal options are exercised with monthly amortization payments.
- (7) The 6.375% Senior Notes are due on February 15, 2015.

- (8) Based on December 31, 2006 LIBOR rates of 5.4% plus the applicable interest rate spread in accordance with the respective debt agreements.
- (9) We are party to a services agreement with a third party for HVAC services for The Venetian. The total remaining payment obligation under this arrangement was \$17.1 million as of December 31, 2006, payable in equal monthly installments through July 1, 2009. We have the right to terminate the agreement based upon the failure of the HVAC provider under this agreement to provide HVAC services. Upon the sale of The Grand Canal Shops mall on May 17, 2004, GGP assumed the responsibility for \$1.6 million of annual payments to this HVAC provider.

65

#### **Table of Contents**

- (10) We are party to tenant lease termination and asset purchase agreements. The total remaining payment obligation under these arrangements was \$11.3 million as of December 31, 2006. Under the agreement for The Grand Canal Shops mall sale, we are obligated to fulfill the lease termination and asset purchase agreements.
- (11) We are party to employment agreements with seven of our senior executives, with remaining terms of one to three years.
- (12) VML is party to a long-term land lease of 25 years. The total remaining payment obligation under this lease was \$9.2 million as of December 31, 2006.
- (13) We are party to certain leaseback agreements for the Blue Man Group theater, gondola and certain office space related to The Grand Canal Shops mall sale. The total remaining payments due as of December 31, 2006 were \$176.9 million.
- (14) In addition to the 39% gross gaming win tax in Macao (which is not included in this table as the amount we pay is variable in nature), we are required to pay an annual fixed gaming tax of approximately \$9.4 million per year to the government of Macao through the termination of the gaming subconcession.
- (15) During 2006, we entered in commitments to purchase ten ferries to be built over the next two years for our Macao operations. The total remaining payment obligation as of December 31, 2006 was \$135.3 million.
- (16) We are party to a long-term lease agreement of 99 years for a parking structure located adjacent to The Venetian. As of December 31, 2006, total remaining payments due were \$116.4 million.
- (17) We are party to certain operating leases for real estate, various equipment and service arrangements. The total remaining payments due as of December 31, 2006 were \$41.3 million.

#### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We have not entered into any transactions with special purpose entities, nor have we engaged in any derivative transactions other than simple interest rate caps. During 1997, we entered into operating lease arrangements with the HVAC provider. Under the terms of these operating lease agreements, we will purchase HVAC energy and services over initial terms expiring in 2009 with an option to collectively extend the terms of these agreements for two consecutive five-year periods. We have fixed payment obligations due during the next twelve months of \$6.8 million under the operating lease agreements with the HVAC provider.

The total remaining payment obligations under these arrangements was \$17.1 million as of December 31, 2006, payable in equal monthly installments through July 1, 2009. We have the right to terminate the agreements based upon the failure of the HVAC provider to provide HVAC services. Upon the sale of The Grand Canal Shops mall on May 17, 2004, GGP assumed the responsibility for \$1.6 million of annual payments to the HVAC provider. We have no other off-balance sheet arrangements.

#### **Dividends**

In 2004, Las Vegas Sands, Inc. declared and paid \$107.9 million of dividends as tax distributions to all of its stockholders at the time, including its principal stockholder. In 2004, Las Vegas Sands, Inc. also declared a \$21.1 million dividend to its stockholders which was paid in January 2005. These tax distributions were made in order to provide these stockholders with funds to pay taxes attributable to taxable income of Las Vegas Sands, Inc.

(including taxable income of Las Vegas Sands, Inc. associated with the sale of The Grand Canal Shops mall) that flowed through to them by virtue of Las Vegas Sands, Inc. s status as a subchapter S corporation for income tax purposes. As a result of its conversion to a taxable C corporation for income tax purposes, Las Vegas Sands, Inc. (now known as Las Vegas Sands, LLC) is no longer making these tax distributions.

Immediately prior to the July 29, 2004 acquisition of Interface Group Holding Company, Inc. (Interface Holding) by Las Vegas Sands, Inc., Interface Holding distributed approximately \$15.2 million to its sole stockholder. The distribution was comprised of \$12.9 million of cash, \$1.9 million of receivables due from the principal stockholder of Interface Holding and \$0.4 million of certain fixed and other assets.

66

#### **Restrictions on Distributions**

We are a parent company with limited business operations. Our main asset is the stock and membership interests of our subsidiaries. The debt instruments of our principal operating subsidiary, Las Vegas Sands, LLC, contain significant restrictions on the payment of dividends and distributions to us by Las Vegas Sands, LLC. In particular, the Senior Secured Credit Facility prohibits Las Vegas Sands, LLC from paying dividends or making distributions to us, or investing in us, with limited exceptions. Las Vegas Sands, LLC may make certain distributions to us to cover taxes and certain reasonable and customary operating costs. In addition, Las Vegas Sands, LLC may make distributions to us in order to enable us to pay dividends on our common stock so long as construction of The Palazzo is substantially complete and certain financial leverage tests are satisfied, which distributions may not exceed \$25.0 million or \$50.0 million during any twelve-month period depending on our financial leverage ratio at the time of such distributions.

In addition, the debt instrument of our subsidiary, Phase II Mall Subsidiary, LLC (the Phase II Mall Subsidiary ), restricts the payment of dividends and distributions to us. Subject to limited exceptions, the Phase II mall construction loan prohibits the Phase II Mall Subsidiary from paying dividends or making distributions to us, or making investments in us, other than tax distributions and a limited basket amount.

The debt instruments of our subsidiaries, including the Macao Credit Facility for the construction of The Venetian Macao and the Singapore Credit Facility for the construction of the Marina Bay Sands contain certain restrictions that, among other things, limit the ability of our company and/or certain subsidiaries to incur additional indebtedness, issue disqualified stock or equity interests, pay dividends or make other distributions, repurchase equity interests or certain indebtedness, create certain liens, enter into certain transactions with affiliates, enter into certain mergers or consolidations or sell some or all of our assets or the assets of the applicable company without prior approval of the lenders or noteholders. Financial covenants included in our Senior Secured Credit Facility and our Macao Credit Facility include a minimum interest coverage ratio, a maximum leverage ratio, a minimum net worth covenant and maximum capital expenditure limitations. See Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Note 8 Long-Term Debt.

### Inflation

We believe that inflation and changing prices have not had a material impact on our net sales, revenues or income from continuing operations during the past three fiscal years.

#### **Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements**

This report contains forward-looking statements that are made pursuant to the Safe Harbor Provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements include the discussions of our business strategies and expectations concerning future operations, margins, profitability, liquidity, and capital resources. In addition, in certain portions included in this report, the words: anticipates, believes, estimates, seeks, expects, intends and similar expressions, as they relate to our company or its management, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Although we believe that these forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot assure you that any forward-looking statements will prove to be correct. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements.

These factors include, among others, the risks associated with:

general economic and business conditions which may impact levels of disposable income, consumer spending and pricing of hotel rooms;

the uncertainty of tourist behavior related to spending and vacationing at casino resorts in Las Vegas and Macao;

disruptions or reductions in travel due to conflicts with Iraq and any future terrorist incidents;

outbreaks of infectious diseases, such as severe acute respiratory syndrome or avian flu, in our market areas;

67

#### **Table of Contents**

our dependence upon three properties in two markets for all of our cash flow;

new developments, construction and ventures, including The Palazzo, The Venetian Macao and other Cotai Strip developments, Marina Bay Sands in Singapore, and Sands Bethworks;

our ability to obtain sufficient funding for our developments, including our developments on the Cotai Strip and in Singapore;

the passage of new legislation and receipt of governmental approvals for our proposed developments in Macao, Singapore and other jurisdictions where we are planning to operate;

our substantial leverage and debt service (including sensitivity to fluctuations in interest rates and other capital markets trends);

our insurance coverage, including the risk that we have not obtained sufficient coverage against acts of terrorism or will only be able to obtain additional coverage at significantly increased rates;

government regulation of the casino industry, including gaming license regulation, the legalization of gaming in certain domestic jurisdictions, including Native American reservations, and regulation of gaming on the Internet;

increased competition and additional construction in Las Vegas, including recent and upcoming increases in hotel rooms, meeting and convention space and retail space;

fluctuations in the demand for all-suites rooms, occupancy rates and average daily room rates in Las Vegas;

the popularity of Las Vegas as a convention and trade show destination;

new taxes or changes to existing tax rates;

our ability to meet certain development deadlines in Macao and Singapore;

our ability to maintain our gaming subconcession in Macao;

the completion of infrastructure projects in Macao;

increased competition and other planned construction projects in Macao; and

any future litigation.

All future written and verbal forward-looking statements attributable to us or any person acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to in this section. New risks and uncertainties arise from time to time, and it is impossible for us to predict these events or how they may affect us. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. We assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statements after the date of this report as a result of new information, future events or developments, except as required by federal securities laws.

#### ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and commodity prices. Our primary exposure to market risk is interest rate risk associated with our long-term debt. We attempt to manage our interest rate risk by managing the mix of our long-term fixed-rate borrowings and variable rate borrowings, and by use of interest rate cap agreements. The ability to enter into interest rate cap agreements allows us to manage our interest rate risk associated with our variable rate debt. We do not hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes and do not enter into derivative transactions that would be considered speculative positions. Our derivative financial instruments consist exclusively of interest rate cap agreements, which do not qualify for hedge accounting. Interest differentials resulting from these agreements are recorded on an accrual basis as an adjustment to interest expense.

To manage exposure to counterparty credit risk in interest rate cap agreements, we enter into agreements with highly rated institutions that can be expected to fully perform under the terms of such agreements. Frequently, these institutions are also members of the bank group providing our credit facilities, which management believes further minimizes the risk of nonperformance.

68

#### **Table of Contents**

The table below provides information about our financial instruments that are sensitive to changes in interest rates. For debt obligations, the table presents notional amounts and weighted average interest rates by contractual maturity dates. Notional amounts are used to calculate the contractual payments to be exchanged under the contract. Weighted average variable rates are based on December 31, 2006 LIBOR rates plus the applicable interest rate spread in accordance with the respective debt agreements. The information is presented in U.S. dollar equivalents, which is the Company s reporting currency, for the years ending December 31:

	2	007	2008	2	2009 (In	2010 illions, exc	2011 of for perc	 nereafter tages)	Total	•	Fair Value <sup>(1)</sup>
LIABILITIES Short-term debt Variable rate Average interest	\$	6.5	\$	\$		\$	\$	\$	\$ 6.5	\$	6.5
rate <sup>(2)</sup>		8.6%							8.6%		8.6%
Fixed rate Average interest rate <sup>(2)</sup>	\$		\$	\$		\$	\$	\$ 250.0 6.4%	\$ 250.0 6.4%	\$	243.4 6.8%
Variable rate Average interest	\$		\$ 1,153.1	\$	56.2	\$	\$ 622.9	\$ 1,158.0	\$ 3,887.9	\$	3,887.9
rate <sup>(2)</sup> ASSETS			5.6%		7.2%	7.1%	7.1%	8.1%	7.0%		7.0%
Cap Agreements <sup>(3)</sup>	\$		\$ 0.1	\$	0.5	\$	\$	\$	\$ 0.6	\$	0.6

- (1) The fair values are based on the borrowing rates currently available for debt instruments with similar terms and maturities and market quotes of our publicly traded debt.
- (2) Based upon contractual interest rates for fixed rate indebtedness or current LIBOR rates for variable rate indebtedness.
- (3) As of December 31, 2006, we have five interest rate cap agreements with a fair value of \$0.6 million based on a quoted market value from the institution holding the agreement.

Borrowings under the Senior Secured Credit Facility bear interest at our election at LIBOR plus 1.75% or the base rate plus 0.75% per annum, subject to downward adjustments based upon our credit rating. The weighted average interest rate for the Senior Secured Credit Facility was 7.0% for the year ended December 31, 2006. Borrowings under the \$250.0 million Phase II mall construction loan facility bear interest at our election at either a base rate plus 0.75% per annum or at LIBOR plus 1.75% per annum. The weighted average interest rate for the Phase II mall construction loan was 7.1% for the year ended December 31, 2006. Borrowings under The Sands Expo Center mortgage loan bear interest at an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus 3.75%. The weighted average interest rate for The Sands Expo Center mortgage loan was 8.8% for the year ended December 31, 2006. Borrowings under the Macao Credit Facility bear interest at our election, at either an adjusted Eurodollar rate (or in the case of the Local Term Loan, adjusted HIBOR) plus 2.75% per annum or at an alternative base rate plus 1.75% per annum, and is subject to a downward adjustment of 0.25% per annum from the beginning of the first interest period following the substantial

completion of The Venetian Macao. The weighted average interest rates for the Macao Local Term Facility and the Macao Term B Facility were 6.9% and 8.1%, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2006. Borrowings under the Singapore Credit Facility bear interest at the Singapore SWAP Offer Rate plus a spread of 1.35% per annum during the first twelve months that amounts are outstanding and a spread of 1.6% per annum during the second twelve months that amounts are outstanding. The weighted average interest rate for the Singapore Floating Rate Notes and the Singapore Term loan was 5.0% for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Foreign currency transaction gains and losses were not material to our results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2006, but may be in future periods in relation to activity associated with our Macao and Singapore subsidiaries. Therefore, we may be vulnerable to changes in U.S. dollar/pataca and U.S. dollar/Singapore dollar exchange rates. We do not hedge our exposure to foreign currency; however, we maintain a significant amount of our operating funds in the same currencies in which we have obligations thereby reducing our exposure to currency fluctuations.

See also Liquidity and Capital Resources and Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Note 8 Long Term Debt.

69

## ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

#### INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## **Financial Statements:**

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	71
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2006 and 2005	73
Consolidated Statements of Operations for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006	74
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity and Comprehensive Income for each of the three years in	
the period ended December 31, 2006	75
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006	76
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	78
Financial Statement Schedule:	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Financial Statement Schedule	121
Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	122

The financial information included in the financial statement schedule should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements. All other financial statement schedules have been omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is included in the consolidated financial statements or the notes thereto.

70

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Directors and Stockholders of Las Vegas Sands Corp.

We have completed integrated audits of Las Vegas Sands Corp. s 2006 and 2005 consolidated financial statements and of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 and an audit of its 2004 consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Our opinions, based on our audits, are presented below.

#### Consolidated financial statements

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index, present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Las Vegas Sands Corp. and its subsidiaries (the Company) at December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit of financial statements includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed the manner in which it accounts for share-based payments in 2006.

## Internal control over financial reporting

Also, in our opinion, management s assessment, included in Management s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A, that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 based on criteria established in *Internal Control* Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ( COSO ), is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on those criteria. Furthermore, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal* Control Integrated Framework issued by the COSO. The Company s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on management s assessment and on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We conducted our audit of internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. An audit of internal control over financial reporting includes obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management s assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we consider necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made

71

#### **Table of Contents**

only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Las Vegas, Nevada February 27, 2007

72

# LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP.

## **Consolidated Balance Sheets**

	(	Decer 2006 In thousand d	2005
ASSETS			
Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents Restricted cash Accounts receivable, net Inventories Deferred income taxes	\$	468,066 398,762 173,683 12,291 15,688	\$ 456,846 71,717 84,778 9,967 7,946
Prepaid expenses and other		25,067	13,452
Total current assets Property and equipment, net Deferred financing costs, net Restricted cash Deferred income taxes		1,093,557 4,582,325 70,381 555,132	644,706 2,600,468 30,973 571,143 11,332
Leasehold interest in land, net		801,195	24.44
Other assets, net		23,868	21,117
Total assets	\$	7,126,458	\$ 3,879,739
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQ	UITY		
Current liabilities: Accounts payable Construction payables Accrued interest payable Other accrued liabilities Income taxes payable Current maturities of long-term debt	\$	51,038 329,375 8,496 318,901 20,352 6,486	\$ 34,803 163,932 7,918 246,390 7,325
Total current liabilities Other long-term liabilities Deferred income taxes Deferred gain on sale of The Grand Canal Shops mall Deferred rent from The Grand Canal Shops mall transaction		734,648 10,742 324 64,665 104,773	460,368 9,804 68,129 105,999
Long-term debt		4,136,152	1,625,901
Total liabilities		5,051,304	2,270,201

354

Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)

Stockholders equity:

Common stock, \$.001 par value, 1,000,000,000 shares authorized, 354,492,452 and 354,179,580 shares issued and outstanding 354 Capital in excess of par value 990,429 964,660

Deferred compensation (150)Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) 1,726 (580)Retained earnings 1,084,951 642,948

Total stockholders equity 2,075,154 1,609,538

Total liabilities and stockholders equity \$ 7,126,458 \$ 3,879,739

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

73

## LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP.

# **Consolidated Statements of Operations**

	Year Ended December 31, 2006 2005 2004								
	(In thousands, except share and per share data								
Revenues:									
Casino	\$	1,676,061	\$	1,250,090	\$	708,564			
Rooms	Ψ	350,606	Ψ	323,560	Ψ	312,003			
Food and beverage		187,819		147,510		121,566			
Convention, retail and other		125,692		103,065		116,437			
		2,340,178		1,824,225		1,258,570			
Less-promotional allowances		(103,319)		(83,313)		(61,514)			
Net revenues		2,236,859		1,740,912		1,197,056			
Operating expenses:									
Casino		925,033		656,590		340,241			
Rooms		85,651		82,058		77,249			
Food and beverage		89,113		76,736		64,176			
Convention, retail and other		64,315		58,068		60,055			
Provision for doubtful accounts		18,067		9,358		7,959			
General and administrative		230,355		192,806		173,088			
Corporate expense		59,570		38,297		126,356			
Rental expense		13,478		14,841		12,033			
Pre-opening expense		37,673		3,732		19,025			
Development expense		26,112		22,238		14,901			
Depreciation and amortization		110,771		95,296		69,432			
Loss on disposal of assets		2,624		1,441		31,649			
Gain on sale of The Grand Canal Shops mall						(417,576)			
		1,662,762		1,251,461		578,588			
Operating income Other income (expense):		574,097		489,451		618,468			
Interest income		66,191		33,111		7,740			
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized		(135,853)		(96,292)		(138,077)			
Other expense		(189)		(1,334)		(131)			
Loss on early retirement of debt		(10))		(137,000)		(6,553)			
Income before income taxes		504,246		287,936		481,447			
Benefit (provision) for income taxes		(62,243)		(4,250)		13,736			
Net income	\$	442,003	\$	283,686	\$	495,183			

Edgar Filing: LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP - Form 10-K

Basic earnings per share	\$	1.25	\$	0.80	\$	1.52
Diluted earnings per share	\$	1.24	\$	0.80	\$	1.52
Dividends declared per share	\$		\$		\$	0.44
Weighted average shares outstanding: Basic	35	54,277,941	354,161,165		326,486,740	
Diluted	35	55,264,444	64,444 354,526,604			326,848,911
Unaudited pro forma data (reflecting change in tax status):  Net income before income taxes  Provision for income taxes					\$	481,447 (141,737)
Net income					\$	339,710
Pro forma net income per share of common stock (reflecting change in tax status):						
Basic					\$	1.04
Diluted					\$	1.04

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

74

## LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP.

# 

	Common S Number of Shares		Receivable from tStockholde	of Par	( Deferred Compensat	ion (Loss)		Total
Salance at January 1, 004	324,658,394	\$ 325	\$ (2,113	5) \$ 155,607	7 \$	\$	\$ 8,289	\$ 162,108
let income apital contributions				420	)		495,183	495,183 420
Dividends leceivables from				120	,		(144,210)	(144,210)
tockholders			2,113	ı				2,113
ssuances of stock options				49,230				49,230
xercises of stock options ssuance of common stock n connection with initial ublic offering, net of ansaction costs of	2,121,345	2		11,962	2			11,964
54,855	27,380,953	27		739,166	Ó			739,193
alance at December 31,								
<b>004</b> Tet income  Turrency translation	354,160,692	354		956,385	5		359,262 283,686	1,316,001 283,686
djustment						1,726		1,726
otal comprehensive								205 412
ncome xercises of stock options ax benefit from stock	10,800			313	3			285,412 313
ption exercises				8,149	)			8,149
ssuance of restricted stock mortization of deferred	8,088			300	(300)			·
ompensation nitial public offering					150			150
ansaction costs				(487	")			(487)
Salance at December 31,	254 170 500	254		064.666	(150)	1 70(	642.049	1 600 500
<b>005</b> let income	354,179,580	354		964,660	) (150)	1,726	642,948 442,003	1,609,538 442,003

alance at December 31, 006	354,492,452	\$ 354	\$ \$ 990,429	\$	\$ (580)	\$ 1,084,951	\$ 2,075,154
ssuance of restricted stock	71,960						
tock-based compensation			16,667	150			16,817
ax benefit from stock ption exercises			1,876				1,876
xercises of stock options	240,912		7,226				7,226
otal comprehensive ncome							439,697
djustment					(2,306)		(2,306)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

75

## LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP.

## **Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

	Year 2006	2004	
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 442,003	\$ 283,686 \$	495,183
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by			
(used in) operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	110,771	95,296	69,432
Amortization of deferred financing costs and original issue			
discount	13,894	9,192	9,818
Amortization of deferred gain and rent	(4,690)	(4,692)	(2,926)
Deferred rent from The Grand Canal Shops mall transaction			109,220
Loss on early retirement of debt		137,000	6,553
Loss on disposal of assets	2,624	1,441	31,649
Stock-based compensation expense	14,728	150	49,230
Gain on sale of The Grand Canal Shops mall			(417,576)
Provision for doubtful accounts	18,067	9,358	7,959
Tax benefit from stock option exercises	(1,401)	8,149	
Deferred income taxes	3,914	(5,542)	(13,736)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(106,972)	(37,554)	(10,344)
Inventories	(2,324)	(1,957)	(1,759)
Prepaid expenses and other	(13,124)	(2,457)	(17,746)
Leasehold interest in land	(786,700)		
Accounts payable	16,235	1,420	13,479
Accrued interest payable	578	(1,269)	4,378
Other accrued liabilities	73,449	97,695	40,555
Income taxes payable	22,228		
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(196,720)	589,916	373,369
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Proceeds from sale of The Grand Canal Shops mall, net of			
transaction costs			649,568
Change in restricted cash	(310,565)	(265,386)	(235,675)
Change in receivables from stockholders	( )	· - / /	205
Capital expenditures	(1,925,291)	(860,621)	(465,748)
	·		
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,235,856)	(1,126,007)	(51,650)

# **Cash flows from financing activities:**

Proceeds from initial public offering of common stock, net of			
transaction costs		(487)	739,193
Dividends paid to shareholders		(21,052)	(125,027)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	7,226	313	11,964
Contributions from shareholders			420
Tax benefit from stock option exercises	1,401		
Repayments on 11% mortgage notes		(843,640)	(6,360)
Proceeds from 6.375% senior notes, net of discount		247,722	
Proceeds from senior secured credit facility-term B		305,000	665,000
Proceeds from senior secured credit facility-term B delayed		200,000	
Proceeds from Venetian Intermediate credit facility			10,000
Proceeds from Venetian Macao Limited revolver			10,000
Proceeds from The Sands Expo Center mortgage loan			100,000

76

## **Table of Contents**

	Year Ended December 31,					,
		2006		2005		2004
			(In	thousands)		
Proceeds from Macao credit facility		1,350,000				
Proceeds from Singapore credit facility		892,076				
Proceeds from senior secured credit facility-revolver		254,129		31,000		
Proceeds from phase II mall construction loan		86,000		28,500		
Proceeds from FF&E credit facility and other long term debt		37,790		20,200		
Repayments on Venetian Intermediate credit facility		(50,000)				
Repayments on Macao credit facility		(50,000)				
Repayments on senior secured credit facility-revolver		(25,000)				
Repayments on The Sands Expo Center mortgage loan		(4,733)		(3,687)		(711)
Repayments on FF&E credit facility and other long-term debt		(3,013)		(1,800)		(2,400)
Repayments on secured mall facility		(=,===)		(-,)		(120,000)
Repayments on senior secured credit facility-term A and B-prior						(294,583)
Repayments on Venetian Macao Limited senior secured notes-						(=> 1,0 00)
tranches A and B				(120,000)		
Repayments on Venetian Macao Limited revolver				(,)		(10,000)
Repayments on Interface Group-Nevada note payable						(127,512)
Repurchase premiums incurred in connection with refinancing						( ',- ',
transactions				(113,311)		
Payments of deferred financing costs		(52,894)		(11,276)		(29,598)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		2,442,982		(302,718)		820,386
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		, ,		(= - ,,		,
Effect of exchange rate on cash		814		757		
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		11,220		(838,052)		1,142,105
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		456,846		1,294,898		152,793
		,				,,,,-
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$	468,066	\$	456,846	\$	1,294,898
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:						
Cash payments for interest	\$	215,975	\$	111,066	\$	128,641
1.0		- ,	·	,		-,-
Cash payments for taxes	\$	34,750	\$		\$	
Non-cash investing and financing activities:						
Property and equipment asset acquisitions included in						
construction payables	\$	329,375	\$	163,932	\$	87,376
construction payables	Ψ	327,373	Ψ	103,732	Ψ	07,570
Utilization of deposit to purchase property and equipment	\$		\$	10,000	\$	
Property and equipment acquisitions included in accounts payable	\$		\$		\$	3,225
	<b>.</b>					2.222
Non-cash distribution to principal shareholder	\$		\$		\$	2,329

Edgar Filing: LAS	<b>VEGAS SANDS</b>	CORP - Form	10-K
-------------------	--------------------	-------------	------

Declared and unpaid dividends included in accrued liabilities	\$ \$	\$ 21,052
Deferred gain on sale of The Grand Canal Shops mall	\$ \$	\$ 77,217
Decrease in other assets related to The Grand Canal Shops mall sale	\$ \$	\$ 13,569

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

77

## LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## **Note 1** Organization and Business of Company

Las Vegas Sands Corp. ( LVSC or the Company ) was incorporated in Nevada during August 2004 and completed an initial public offering of its common stock in December 2004. Immediately prior to the initial public offering LVSC acquired 100% of the capital stock of Las Vegas Sands, Inc., which was converted into a Nevada limited liability company, Las Vegas Sands, LLC ( LVSLLC ) in July 2005. The acquisition of LVSLLC by LVSC has been accounted for as a reorganization of entities under common control, in a manner similar to pooling-of-interests. LVSC s common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol LVS.

## **Operations**

The Company owns and operates The Venetian Resort Hotel Casino ( The Venetian ), a Renaissance Venice-themed resort situated on the Las Vegas Strip (the Strip ). The Venetian includes the first all-suites hotel on the Strip with 4,027 suites; a gaming facility of approximately 120,000 gross square feet; an enclosed retail, dining and entertainment complex of approximately 440,000 net leasable square feet ( The Grand Canal Shops or the Mall ), which was sold to a third party in 2004; a meeting and conference facility of approximately 1.1 million square feet; and an expo and convention center of approximately 1.2 million square feet ( The Sands Expo Center ).

The Company also owns and operates The Sands Macao Casino ( The Sands Macao ), the first Las Vegas-style casino in Macao, China, which opened on May 18, 2004. The Sands Macao now offers over 229,000 square feet of gaming facilities after its expansion, which was completed in August 2006, as well as several restaurants, VIP facilities and other high-end amenities. In addition, the Company continues to progress according to plan on the expansion of the hotel tower, which is expected to be completed in September 2007.

### **United States Development Projects**

### The Palazzo

The Company is currently constructing The Palazzo Resort Hotel Casino ( The Palazzo ), a second resort similar in size to The Venetian, which is situated on a 14-acre site next to The Venetian and The Sands Expo Center. The Palazzo is expected to consist of an all-suites, 50-floor luxury hotel tower with approximately 3,025 suites, a gaming facility of approximately 105,000 square feet and an enclosed shopping, dining and entertainment complex of approximately 450,000 square feet (the Phase II mall ), which the Company has contracted to sell to a third party. The Palazzo is expected to open in fall 2007. In connection with the sale of The Grand Canal Shops mall, the Company entered into an agreement with General Growth Partners ( GGP ), the purchaser of The Grand Canal Shops mall, to sell GGP the Phase II mall upon completion of construction. The purchase price that GGP has agreed to pay for the Phase II mall is the greater of (i) \$250.0 million and (ii) the Phase II mall s net operating income for months 19 through 30 of its operations divided by a capitalization rate. The capitalization rate is 6.0% on the first \$38.0 million of net operating income and 8.0% on the net operating income above \$38.0 million.

The Company is currently constructing a high rise residential condominium tower, which will consist of approximately 270 luxury condominiums and will be situated between The Palazzo and The Venetian. The condominium tower is currently expected to open in late fall 2008.

### Sands Bethworks

On December 20, 2006, the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board announced that a subsidiary, Sands Bethworks Gaming, LLC (Sands Bethworks Gaming), had been awarded a Pennsylvania gaming license. The award of the license is subject to appeal and the actual license will be awarded after the appeal period ends. Sands Bethworks Gaming will develop a gaming, hotel, shopping and dining complex (the Sands Bethworks) located on the site of the Historic Bethlehem Steel Works in Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, which is about 70 miles from midtown Manhattan, New York. In its first phase, the 124-acre development is expected to feature a 300-room hotel, 200,000 square feet of retail space, 3,000 slot machines and a variety of dining options. An additional 2,000 slot

78

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

machines will be added in a subsequent phase. The Sands Bethworks is also expected be home to the National Museum of Industrial History, an arts and cultural center, and the broadcast home of the local PBS affiliate. The Company expects to open Sands Bethworks in 2008.

## Macao Development Projects

The Cotai Strip

The Company is building The Venetian Macao Resort Hotel Casino ( The Venetian Macao ) in Macao, China, an approximately 3,000 all-suites hotel, casino and convention center complex with a Venetian-style theme similar to that of The Venetian in Las Vegas. Under its gaming subconcession in Macao, the Company is obligated to develop and open The Venetian Macao and a convention center by December 2007. The Company currently expects to open The Venetian Macao in summer 2007. If the Company fails to meet the December 2007 deadline and that deadline is not extended, the Company could lose its right to continue to operate The Sands Macao or any other facilities developed under its Macao gaming subconcession, and its investment to date in The Venetian Macao and its other Cotai Strip<sup>TM</sup> developments could be lost.

In February 2007, the Company received the final draft of the land concession agreement from the Macao government pursuant to which the Company was awarded a concession by lease for parcels 1, 2 and 3 on the Cotai Strip, including the sites on which it is building The Venetian Macao and the Four Seasons hotel. The Company has accepted the conditions of the draft land concession and has made an initial premium payment of \$106.5 million towards the aggregate land premium of \$323.7 million. Additionally, \$24.1 million has been paid or will be paid in the form of the cost of the reclamation work and other works done on the land and the installation costs of an electrical substation with the remaining amount payable over time. The land concession will not become effective until the date it is published in Macao s Official Gazette. Once the land concession is effective, the Company will be required to make additional land premium and annual rent payments relating to parcels 1, 2 and 3 in the amounts and at the times specified in the land concession. The Company has also commenced construction on its other Cotai Strip properties on land for which it has not yet been granted land concessions. If the Company does not obtain land concessions, it could lose all or a substantial part of its investment in these other Cotai Strip properties.

In addition to the development of The Venetian Macao, the Company is developing multiple other properties on the Cotai Strip. The Company submitted development plans to the Macao government for six casino-resort developments in addition to The Venetian Macao on an area of approximately 200 acres located on the Cotai Strip (which are referred to as parcels 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8). The developments are expected to include hotels, exhibition and conference facilities, casinos, showrooms, shopping malls, spas, world-class restaurants and entertainment facilities and other attractions and amenities, as well as common public areas. The Company has commenced construction or pre-construction on all seven parcels of the Cotai Strip. The Company plans to own and operate all of the casinos in these developments under its Macao gaming subconcession. More specifically, the Company intends to develop its other Cotai Strip properties as follows:

Parcel 2 is intended to be a Four Seasons hotel and casino, which will be adjacent to The Venetian Macao and is expected to be a boutique hotel with approximately 400 luxury hotel rooms, approximately 800,000 square feet of Four Seasons-serviced luxury apartments, distinctive dining experiences, a full service spa and other amenities, an approximately 45,000 square foot casino and approximately 210,000 square feet of upscale retail offerings. The Company will own the entire development. The Company has entered into an exclusive

non-binding letter of intent and is currently negotiating definitive agreements under which Four Seasons Hotels Inc. will manage the hotel and serviced luxury apartments under its Four Seasons brand.

Parcel 5 is intended to include a three-hotel complex with approximately 2,450 luxury and mid-scale hotel rooms, serviced luxury apartments, a casino and a retail shopping mall. The Company will own the entire development and has entered into a management agreement with Shangri-La Hotels and Resorts to manage

79

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

two hotels under its Shangri-La and Traders brands. In addition, the Company is negotiating with Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide to manage a hotel and serviced luxury apartments under its St. Regis brand.

Parcel 6 is intended to include a two-hotel complex with approximately 4,000 luxury and mid-scale hotel rooms, a casino and a retail shopping mall physically connected to the mall in the Shangri-La/Traders hotel podium. The Company will own the entire development and is negotiating with Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide to manage the hotels under its Sheraton brand.

Parcels 7 and 8 are intended to each include a two-hotel complex with approximately 3,000 luxury and mid-scale hotel rooms on each parcel, serviced luxury vacation suites, a casino and retail shopping malls that are physically connected. The Company will own the entire development and has entered into non-binding agreements with Hilton Hotels to manage Hilton and Conrad brand hotels and serviced luxury vacation suites on parcel 7 and Fairmont Raffles Holdings to manage Fairmont and Raffles brand hotel complexes and serviced luxury vacation suites on parcel 8. The Company is currently negotiating definitive agreements with Hilton Hotels and Fairmont Raffles Holdings.

For parcel 3, the Company has signed a non-binding memorandum of agreement with an independent developer. The Company is currently negotiating the definitive agreement pursuant to which it will partner with this developer to build a multi-hotel complex, which may include a Cosmopolitan hotel. In addition, the Company has signed a non-binding letter of intent with Intercontinental Hotels Group to manage hotels under the Intercontinental and Holiday Inn International brands, and serviced luxury vacation suites under the Intercontinental brand, on the site. The Company is currently negotiating definitive agreements with Intercontinental Hotels Group. In total, the multi-hotel complex is intended to include approximately 3,600 hotel rooms, serviced luxury vacation suites, a casino and a retail shopping mall.

## Hengqin Island Development Project

The Company has entered into a non-binding letter of intent with the Zhuhai Municipal People s Government of the People s Republic of China to work with it to create a master plan for, and develop, a leisure and convention destination resort on Hengqin Island, located approximately one mile from the Cotai Strip, but within mainland China. The Company is actively preparing design concepts for the destination resort. On January 10, 2007, the Zhuhai Government established a Project Coordination Committee to act as a government liaison empowered to work directly with the Company to advance the development of the project. The Company has interfaced with this committee and is actively working with the committee as the Company continues to advance its plans. The project remains subject to a number of conditions, including further governmental approvals.

## Singapore Development Project

In August 2006, the Company s wholly-owned subsidiary, Marina Bay Sands Pte. Ltd. (MBS), entered into a development agreement (the Development Agreement) with the Singapore Tourism Board (STB) to build and operate an integrated resort called Marina Bay Sands in Singapore. The Marina Bay Sands will be a large integrated resort that includes three 54-story hotel towers (totaling approximately 2,600 suites) linked at their roofs by a Skypark with pools, cafes and other recreation facilities, a casino, an enclosed retail, dining and entertainment complex of approximately 750,000 net leasable square feet, a convention center and meeting room complex of approximately 1.2 million square feet, theaters, and a landmark iconic structure at the bay-front promenade that contains an

approximately 150,000 square foot Art/Science museum.

Under the Development Agreement, the Company paid \$1.2 billion Singapore dollars (SGD) (approximately US\$782.5 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) in premium payments for the lease of

80

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

the land on which the resort will be built plus an additional SGD\$105.6 million (approximately US\$68.9 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) for various taxes and other fees. Of this combined amount, \$806.0 million has been capitalized on the balance sheet as a leasehold interest in land with \$4.8 million amortized as of December 31, 2006. The Company will amortize this asset over 60 years, which is the length of the lease agreement. Of the remaining \$45.4 million, \$39.7 million was recorded as a receivable (which was collected in January 2007 and further discussed at Note 4 — Accounts Receivable, Net) and \$5.7 million has been capitalized on the balance sheet as construction in progress. In addition to the fees above, the Company provided a deposit of SGD\$192.6 million (approximately US\$125.6 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) as a security deposit for the construction of the integrated resort, which is currently being satisfied by bank guarantees. Also in August 2006, MBS entered into a two-year SGD\$2.21 billion (approximately US\$1.44 billion at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) bridge facility to finance the above payments and to provide for near-term development expenditures. See Note 8 — Long-Term Debt — Singapore Credit Facility.

### **United Kingdom Development Projects**

In December 2006, the Company announced that one of its affiliates and Cantor Gaming, an affiliate of the global financial services company Cantor Fitzgerald, have agreed to launch an online casino and poker site initially aimed at serving the United Kingdom market. Cantor Gaming will provide an online casino and poker destination featuring Las Vegas Sands brands. The site will offer casino games, including blackjack, roulette, baccarat, video poker, slots and online poker. The offering will be part of a full end-to-end gaming service, including customer age and location verification, online payment processing, and customer services. The site is expected to be launched during the second quarter of 2007. The site will be hosted, and the operator will be licensed, in compliance with the laws of Alderney, British Channel Islands. It will not accept U.S. customers.

## **Other Development Projects**

The Company is currently exploring the possibility of operating integrated resorts in additional Asian jurisdictions, the United States and Europe.

## **Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

## Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

## Use of Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. These estimates and judgments are based on historical information, information that is currently available to the Company and on various other assumptions that the Company believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could vary from those estimates.

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash and short-term investments with original maturities of less than 90 days. Such investments are carried at cost which approximates their fair value. Cash equivalents are placed with high credit quality financial institutions and are primarily in money market funds.

81

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Accounts Receivable and Credit Risk

Accounts receivable are principally comprised of casino and hotel receivables, which do not bear interest and are recorded at cost. The Company extends credit to approved casino customers following background checks and investigations of creditworthiness. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, 88.7% and 85.6%, respectively, of the Company s casino receivables were due from customers residing in foreign countries. Business or economic conditions, the legal enforceability of gaming debts, or other significant events in these countries could affect the collectibility of such receivables.

The allowance for doubtful accounts represents the Company s best estimate of the amount of probable credit losses in the Company s existing accounts receivable. The Company determines the allowance based on specific customer information, historical write-off experience and current industry and economic data. Account balances are charged off against the allowance when the Company believes it is probable the receivable will not be recovered. Management believes that there are no concentrations of credit risk for which an allowance has not been established. Although management believes that the allowance is adequate, it is possible that the estimated amount of cash collections with respect to accounts receivable could change.

#### Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined by the first-in, first-out and specific identification methods. Inventories consist primarily of food, beverage and retail products and operating supplies.

## **Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation and amortization are provided on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which do not exceed the lease term for leasehold improvements, as:

Building and improvements	15 to 40 years
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	3 to 15 years
Leasehold improvements	5 to 10 years
Airplanes	20 years

Maintenance and repairs that neither materially add to the value of the property nor appreciably prolong its life are charged to expense as incurred. Gains or losses on disposition of property and equipment are included in the consolidated statements of operations.

The Company evaluates its property and equipment and other long-lived assets for impairment in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board s (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. For assets to be disposed of, the Company recognizes the asset to be sold at the lower of carrying value or fair value less costs of disposal. Fair value for assets to be disposed of is estimated based on comparable asset sales, solicited offers, or a discounted cash flow model.

For assets to be held and used, fixed assets are reviewed for impairment whenever indicators of impairment exist. If an indicator of impairment exists, the Company first groups its assets with other assets and liabilities at the lowest level for which identifiable cash flows are largely independent of the cash flows of other assets and liabilities (the asset group). Secondly, the Company estimates the undiscounted future cash flows that are directly associated with and expected to arise from the use of and eventual disposition of such asset group. The Company estimates the undiscounted cash flows over the remaining useful life of the primary asset within the asset group. If the undiscounted cash flows exceed the carrying value, no impairment is indicated. If the undiscounted cash flows do not exceed the carrying value, then an impairment is measured based on fair value compared to carrying value, with fair value typically based on a discounted cash flow model. If an asset is still under development, future cash flows include remaining construction costs.

82

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Capitalized Interest

Interest costs associated with major construction projects are capitalized and included in the cost of the projects. When no debt is incurred specifically for construction projects, interest is capitalized on amounts expended using the weighted-average cost of the Company s outstanding borrowings. Capitalization of interest ceases when the project is substantially complete or construction activity is suspended for more than a brief period. During the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company capitalized interest expense of \$94.6 million and \$22.7 million, respectively.

## Deferred Financing Costs and Original Issue Discounts

Deferred financing costs and original issue discounts are amortized to interest expense based on the terms of the related debt instruments using the effective interest method.

### Leasehold Interest in Land

Leasehold interest in land represents payments made for the use of land over an extended period of time. The leasehold interest will be amortized on a straight-line basis over the length of the lease agreement. Such assets are not qualifying assets for purposes of capitalizing interest and as such, are not included in the base which is used to determine capitalized interest.

### Revenue Recognition and Promotional Allowances

Casino revenue is the aggregate of gaming wins and losses. Cash discounts and other cash incentives to customers related to gaming play are recorded as a reduction of gross casino revenues. Hotel revenue recognition criteria are met at the time of occupancy. Food and beverage revenue recognition criteria are met at the time of service. Deposits for future hotel occupancy or food and beverage services contracts are recorded as deferred income until revenue recognition criteria are met. Cancellation fees for hotel and food and beverage services are recognized upon cancellation by the customer. Convention revenues are recognized when the related service is rendered or the event is held. Minimum rental revenues are included in convention, retail and other revenue and are recognized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the related lease.

In accordance with industry practice, the retail value of accommodations, food and beverage, and other services furnished to hotel/casino guests without charge is included in gross revenue and then deducted as promotional allowances. The estimated retail value of such promotional allowances is included in operating revenues as follows (in thousands):

	Revenue						
	Year Ended December 31,						
	2006	2005	2004				
Food and beverage	\$ 44,768	\$ 34,760	\$ 22,042				
Rooms	48,005	42,354	36,994				

Convention, retail and other 10,546 6,199 2,478

83

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The estimated departmental cost of providing such promotional allowances is included primarily in casino operating expenses as follows (in thousands):

	Estimated Cost Year Ended December 31,						
			2006 2			2004	
Food and beverage	\$	29,302	\$	23,153	\$	12,715	
Rooms		11,505		10,862		9,292	
Convention, retail and other		5,040		5,973		2,413	
	\$	45,847	\$	39,988	\$	24,420	

### Frequent Players Program

The Company has established promotional clubs to encourage repeat business from frequent and active slot machine customers and table games patrons. Members earn points based on gaming activity and such points can be redeemed for cash. The Company accrues for club points as a reduction to gaming revenue based upon the estimates for expected redemptions.

### **Pre-Opening and Development Expenses**

The Company accounts for costs incurred in the development and pre-opening phases of new ventures in accordance with Statement of Position 98-5, Reporting on the Costs of Start-Up Activities. Pre-opening expense represents personnel and other costs incurred prior to the opening of new ventures and are expensed as incurred. Development expense includes the costs associated with the Company s evaluation and pursuit of new business opportunities, which are also expensed as incurred.

### **Advertising Costs**

Costs for advertising are expensed as incurred. Advertising costs included in general and administrative expense were \$6.0 million, \$4.6 million and \$3.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

## **Currency Translation**

The Company accounts for currency translation in accordance with SFAS No. 52, Foreign Currency Translation. Balance sheet accounts are translated at the exchange rate in effect at each balance sheet date and income statement accounts are translated at the average exchange rates during the year. Translation adjustments resulting from this process are charged or credited to other comprehensive income.

### Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income includes net income and all other non-stockholder changes in equity, or other comprehensive income. Elements of the Company s comprehensive income are reported in the accompanying consolidated statements of stockholders equity and comprehensive income, and the cumulative balance of these elements consisted solely of foreign currency translation adjustments.

84

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Earnings Per Share

The weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares used in the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share consisted of the following:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2006	2005	2004	
Weighted-average common shares outstanding (used in the calculation of basic earnings per share) Potential dilution from stock options and restricted stock	354,277,941 986,503	354,161,165 365,439	326,486,740 362,171	
Weighted-average common and common equivalent shares (used in the calculations of diluted earnings per share)	355,264,444	354,526,604	326,848,911	

For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, outstanding options to purchase 882,900 and 42,820 of common stock, respectively, were not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share because their effect was antidilutive. There were no antidilutive options for the year ended December 31, 2004.

### Stock-Based Employee Compensation

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123R, Share-Based Payment , which establishes accounting for equity instruments exchanged for employee services. Under the provisions of SFAS No. 123R, stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date, based on the calculated fair value of the award, and is recognized over the employee s requisite service period (generally the vesting period of the equity grant). Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for stock-based compensation to employees in accordance with Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25 Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees , and related interpretations. The Company also followed the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, as amended by SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure. The Company elected to adopt the modified prospective application transition method as provided by SFAS No. 123R and, accordingly, financial statement amounts for the prior periods presented in this Form 10-K have not been restated to reflect the fair value method of recording stock-based compensation. The Company s stock-based employee compensation plan is more fully discussed in Note 12 Stock-Based Employee Compensation.

85

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company had previously adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123, as amended by SFAS No. 148, for disclosure purposes only. Had the Company accounted for the plan under the fair value method allowed by SFAS No. 123, the Company s net income and earnings per share would have been adjusted to the following pro forma amounts (dollars in thousands, except per share data):

	Year Ended December 31,			
		2005		2004
Net income, as reported Add: Stock-based compensation expense included in reported net income, net of tax Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under the	\$	283,686 96	\$	495,183 49,230
minimum value method				(57,310)
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under Black-Scholes option-pricing model, net of tax		(3,791)		(222)
Pro forma net income	\$	279,991	\$	486,881
Basic earnings per share, as reported	\$	0.80	\$	1.52
Basic earnings per share, pro forma	\$	0.79	\$	1.49
Diluted earnings per share, as reported	\$	0.80	\$	1.52
Diluted earnings per share, pro forma	\$	0.79	\$	1.49

## **Income Taxes**

Prior to its merger into a wholly-owned subsidiary of LVSC (the Merger ) in December 2004 and its conversion into a LLC in 2005, LVSLLC had elected to be taxed as an S corporation and its wholly-owned subsidiaries were either limited liability companies or S corporations, each of which was a pass-through entity for federal income tax purposes. Nevada does not levy a corporate income tax and the Company has a temporary income tax exemption in Macao through 2008. Accordingly, no provision for federal, state, or foreign income taxes is included in the consolidated statements of operations for the period from January 1, 2004 through the Merger in December 2004. LVSLLC s debt instruments provided for dividends to be paid to stockholders to pay income taxes associated with its taxable income attributable to each stockholder during the period LVSLLC was taxed as an S corporation. During 2004, LVSLLC declared and accrued \$129.0 million of tax dividends.

As a result of the Merger and the completion of LVSC s initial public offering in December 2004, the Company is now subject to federal and certain state income taxes. For information purposes, the consolidated statements of operations also include unaudited pro forma amounts for the income taxes that would have been recorded if the Company had historically been a C corporation.

The Company adopted SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, effective with the date of the Merger. Under SFAS No. 109, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized based on differences between financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates. SFAS No. 109 requires the recognition of deferred tax assets, net of any applicable valuation allowances, related to net operating loss carryforwards, tax credits and other temporary deductible differences. The standard requires recognition of a future tax benefit to the extent that realization of such benefit is more likely than not; otherwise, a valuation allowance is applied.

The Company s income tax returns are subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and other tax authorities. While positions taken in tax returns are sometimes subject to uncertainty in the tax laws, the Company does not take such positions unless it has substantial authority to do so under the Internal Revenue Code and applicable regulations. The Company may take positions on its tax returns based on substantial authority that are not ultimately accepted by the IRS. There are currently no income tax returns being examined by the IRS.

86

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company assesses potential unfavorable outcomes based on the criteria of SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies. The Company establishes a tax reserve if an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of the unfavorable outcome can be reasonably estimated. The Company assesses the potential outcomes of tax uncertainties on a quarterly basis. In determining whether the probable criterion of SFAS No. 5 is met, the Company presumes that the taxing authority will focus on the exposure and it assesses the probable outcome of a particular issue based upon the relevant legal and technical merits. The Company also applies judgment regarding the potential actions by the tax authorities and resolution through the settlement process.

The Company maintains required tax reserves until such time as the underlying issue is resolved. When actual results differ from reserve estimates, the Company will adjust the income tax provision and its tax reserves in the period resolved. For tax years that are examined by taxing authorities, the Company will adjust tax reserves in the year the tax examinations are settled. For tax years that are not examined by taxing authorities, the Company will adjust tax reserves in the year that the statute of limitations expires. The Company s estimate of the potential outcome for any uncertain tax issue is highly judgmental, and it believes it has adequately provided for any reasonable and foreseeable outcomes related to uncertain tax matters.

## Tax Indemnification

In connection with the conversion of LVSLLC from a subchapter S corporation to a taxable C corporation for income tax purposes, LVSLLC entered into an indemnification agreement pursuant to which it agreed to:

indemnify those of the Company s stockholders who were stockholders of Las Vegas Sands, Inc. prior to the 2004 initial public offering against certain tax liabilities incurred by these stockholders as a result of adjustments (pursuant to a determination by, or a settlement with, a taxing authority or court, or pursuant to the filing of an amended tax return) to the taxable income of Las Vegas Sands, Inc. with respect to taxable periods during which Las Vegas Sands, Inc. was a subchapter S corporation for income tax purposes; and

indemnify the Principal Stockholder against certain tax liabilities incurred by him as a result of adjustments (pursuant to a determination by, or a settlement with, a taxing authority or court, or pursuant to the filing of an amended tax return) to the taxable income of Interface Holdings with respect to taxable periods during which Interface Holdings was a subchapter S corporation for income tax purposes.

## Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

Generally accepted accounting principles require that an entity recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the statement of financial position and measure those instruments at fair value. If specific conditions are met, a derivative may be specifically designated as a hedge of specific financial exposures. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative depends on the intended use of the derivative and, if used in hedging activities, it depends on its effectiveness as a hedge.

The Company has a policy aimed at managing interest rate risk associated with its current and anticipated future borrowings. This policy enables the Company to use any combination of interest rate swaps, futures, options, caps and similar instruments. To the extent the Company employs such financial instruments pursuant to this policy, and the instruments qualify for hedge accounting, they are accounted for as hedging instruments. In order to qualify for hedge

accounting, the underlying hedged item must expose the Company to risks associated with market fluctuations and the financial instrument used must be designated as a hedge and must reduce the Company s exposure to market fluctuation throughout the hedge period. If these criteria are not met, a change in the market value of the financial instrument is recognized as a gain or loss in results of operations in the period of change. Otherwise, gains and losses are recognized in comprehensive income or loss except to the extent that the financial instrument is disposed of prior to maturity. Net interest paid or received pursuant to the financial instrument is included as interest expense in the period.

87

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2006, the FASB ratified the consensus reached on Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 06-03, How Sales Collected from Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement (that is, Gross Versus Net Presentation). The EITF reached a consensus that the presentation of taxes on either a gross or net basis is an accounting policy decision that requires disclosure. EITF Issue No. 06-03 is effective for the first interim or annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2006. Taxes collected from the Company s customers are and have been recorded on a net basis. The Company has no intention of modifying this accounting policy. As such, the adoption of EITF Issue No. 06-03 will not have an effect on the Company s results from operations or financial position.

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation (FIN) No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, which provides guidance for the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in the financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109. FIN No. 48 provides guidance on the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN No. 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosures, and transition. FIN No. 48 will require entities to assess the likelihood that uncertain tax positions will be accepted by the applicable taxing authority and then measure the amount of benefit to be recognized for these purposes which are considered greater than 50% likely to be sustained. FIN No. 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company will adopt FIN No. 48 as of January 1, 2007, as required. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this standard, but believes that there will be a reduction to opening retained earnings in an amount that will not exceed \$12.0 million.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurement. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements and the Company does not expect the application of this standard to change its current practices. The provisions of SFAS No. 157 are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years.

## Reclassifications

The consolidated financial statements for prior years reflect certain reclassifications to conform to the current year presentation, which have no effect on amounts previously reported in the Company s balance sheets, statements of operations or statements of cash flows. Casino discounts of \$19.5 million previously included in the allowance for doubtful accounts and discounts is now offset against gross casino accounts receivable.

### Note 3 Restricted Cash

As required by the Company's Senior Secured Credit Facility (See Note 8 Long-Term Debt Senior Secured Credit Facility), certain proceeds pursuant to draws under this facility have been deposited into restricted accounts, invested in cash and pledged to a disbursement agent for the Senior Secured Credit Facility lenders. This restricted cash amount will be used as required for The Palazzo project costs under disbursement terms specified in this facility. The disbursement account is subject to a security interest in favor of the lenders under the Senior Secured Credit Facility. As of December 31, 2006 and 2005, The Palazzo disbursement account balance was \$374.8 million and

\$571.1 million, respectively.

As required by the Company s Macao credit facility entered into in May 2006 (See Note 8 Long-Term Debt Macao Credit Facility), certain proceeds pursuant to draws under this facility have been deposited into restricted accounts, invested in cash and pledged to a disbursement agent for the Macao credit facility lenders. This restricted cash amount will be used as required for The Sands Macao, The Venetian Macao and other Cotai Strip project costs under disbursement terms specified in this facility. The disbursement account is subject to a security

88

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

interest in favor of the lenders under the Macao credit facility. As of December 31, 2006, the restricted cash balance was \$465.4 million.

Restricted cash also includes \$19.3 million and \$21.7 million consisting primarily of advance customer deposits for convention facility rentals that have been paid pursuant to contractual terms for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and are classified as restricted in accordance with The Sands Expo Center mortgage loan (See Note 8 Long-Term Debt The Sands Expo Center Mortgage Loan). In addition, restricted cash includes a restricted cash deposit of \$50.0 million related to the gaming license in Pennsylvania as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, \$19.6 million related to the Marina Bay Sands project in Singapore as of December 31, 2006 and \$24.8 million related to The Palazzo and the Phase II mall as of December 31, 2006.

## Note 4 Accounts Receivable, Net

Accounts receivable consists of the following (in thousands):

	At December 31,			
	2006	2005		
Casino	\$ 119,514	\$ 79,815		
Hotel	36,160	29,943		
Other	53,485	4,500		
	209,159	114,258		
Less: allowance for doubtful accounts	(35,476)	(29,480)		
	\$ 173,683	\$ 84,778		

At December 31, 2006, other receivables include a \$39.7 million receivable relating to goods and services taxes paid in connection with obtaining the leasehold interest in land, which the Company has recovered from the Singapore government in January 2007.

## Note 5 Property and Equipment, Net

Property and equipment consists of the following (in thousands):

	At December 31,			
		2006		2005
Land and land improvements	\$	207,144	\$	202,285
Building and improvements		1,622,783		1,454,462
Equipment, furniture, fixtures and leasehold improvements		528,882		351,219
Construction in progress		2,694,180		957,752

 Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization
 5,052,989 (365,718 (470,664))
 (365,250)

\$ 4,582,325 \$ 2,600,468

89

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Construction in progress consists of the following (in thousands):

	At	At December 31,		
	200	6	2005	
The Sands Macao	\$ 17	7,443 \$	22,153	
The Venetian Macao	1,544	4,622	448,830	
Other Macao Development Projects	130	0,355	31	
The Palazzo and Phase II Mall	910	5,302	454,227	
Other	83	5,458	32,511	
	\$ 2,694	4,180 \$	957,752	

## Note 6 Leasehold Interest in Land, Net

In August 2006, MBS entered into the Development Agreement with STB to build and operate an integrated resort called Marina Bay Sands in Singapore. Under the Development Agreement, the Company paid SGD\$1.2 billion (approximately US\$782.5 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) in premium payments for the lease of the land on which the resort will be built, plus an additional SGD\$105.6 million (approximately US\$68.9 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) for various taxes and other fees. Of this combined amount, \$806.0 million has been capitalized on the balance sheet as leasehold interest in land with \$4.8 million amortized as of December 31, 2006. The Company will amortize this asset on a straight-line basis over 60 years, which is the length of the lease agreement (approximately US\$13.4 million annually at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006).

### **Note 7 Other Accrued Liabilities**

Other accrued liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

	At December 31,		
	2006		2005
Customer deposits	\$ 78,313	\$	72,274
Payroll and related	65,350		43,301
Taxes and licenses	78,922		49,407
Outstanding gaming chips and tokens	51,752		46,033
Other accruals	44,564		35,375
	\$ 318,901	\$	246,390

Table of Contents 176

90

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## Note 8 Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consists of the following (in thousands):

	At December 31,			31,
	2	2006		2005
Corporate and U.S. Related:				
Senior Secured Credit Facility Term B	\$	970,000	\$	970,000
Senior Secured Credit Facility Term B delayed		200,000		200,000
Senior Secured Credit Facility Revolving Facility		260,128		31,000
6.375% Senior Notes (net of original issue discount of \$1,847 and \$2,075,				
respectively)		248,153		247,925
The Sands Expo Center Mortgage Loan		90,868		95,601
Phase II Mall Construction Loan		114,500		28,500
FF&E Credit Facility Term Funded		7,395		10,200
FF&E Credit Facility Term Delayed Draw		37,582		
Macao Related:				
Macao Credit Facility Term B	1,	,200,000		
Macao Credit Facility Local Term		100,000		
Venetian Intermediate Credit Facility				50,000
Singapore Related:				
Singapore Credit Facility Term Loan		393,510		
Singapore Credit Facility Floating Rate Notes		520,502		
	4,	,142,638		1,633,226
Less: current maturities		(6,486)		(7,325)
Total long-term debt	\$ 4,	,136,152	\$	1,625,901

## Senior Secured Credit Facility

On February 22, 2005, LVSLLC and Venetian Casino Resort, LLC entered into an amended and restated senior secured credit facility with a syndicate of lenders in an aggregate amount of \$1.62 billion (the Senior Secured Credit Facility ). The Senior Secured Credit Facility amended and restated an existing senior secured credit facility and provides for a \$970.0 million senior secured funded term loan facility (the Term B Facility ), a \$200.0 million senior secured delayed draw facility (the Term B Delayed Draw Facility ), which was drawn in full in August 2005; and a \$450.0 million senior secured revolving facility (the Revolving Facility ) of which \$260.1 million was drawn as of December 31, 2006.

The Term B Facility and Term B Delayed Draw Facility mature on June 15, 2011 and are subject to quarterly amortization payments in the amount of \$2.9 million from the first full fiscal quarter following substantial completion of The Palazzo until June 30, 2010, followed by approximately four equal quarterly amortization payments of

approximately \$284.5 million each until the maturity date. The Revolving Facility matures in February 2010 and has no interim amortization. As a result of the \$260.1 million draw, the amount available for working capital loans under the Revolving Facility is \$189.9 million as of December 31, 2006.

The indebtedness under the Senior Secured Credit Facility is guaranteed by certain of the Company s subsidiaries (the Guarantors). The obligations under the Senior Secured Credit Facility and the guarantees of the Guarantors are secured by a first-priority security interest in substantially all of LVSLLC s and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Venetian Casino Resort, LLC s (the owner of The Venetian), and the Guarantors assets, other than

91

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

capital stock. Venetian Macau Limited, Venetian Cotai Limited, Venetian Intermediate and the Company's other Macao subsidiaries are not guarantors or restricted subsidiaries under the Senior Secured Credit Facility. Borrowings under the Senior Secured Credit Facility bear interest, at the Company's option, at either an adjusted Eurodollar rate or at an alternative base rate, plus a spread of 1.75% or 0.75%, respectively, which spreads will decrease by 0.25% if the loans achieve a rating of Ba2 or higher by Moody's and BB or higher by Standard & Poor's, subject to certain additional conditions. The Company will also pay a standby fee of 0.5% per annum on the undrawn amounts under the Revolving Facility. The Senior Secured Credit Facility contains affirmative, negative and financial covenants customary to such financings. These covenants include restrictions on, among other things, the ability of the borrowers to incur additional debt, dispose of assets, and enter into sale and leaseback transactions. The financial covenants include a minimum consolidated net worth test, a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio, a maximum consolidated capital expenditure test and a maximum consolidated leverage ratio. In addition, there are provisions that limit or prohibit certain payments of dividends or other distributions to LVSC. At December 31, 2006, the net assets of LVSLLC were \$1.92 billion, a substantial portion of which were restricted under the terms of the Senior Secured Credit Facility.

The weighted average interest rate for the Senior Secured Credit Facility was 7.0% and 5.3% during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The Company is required to hedge 50% of the outstanding indebtedness, which is achieved through interest rate cap agreements to limit the impact of increases in interest rates on its floating rate debt derived from the Senior Secured Credit Facility. If the fixed portion of the Company's outstanding indebtedness falls below 50%, then the Company is obligated to fix a portion of its floating rate debt to meet the 50% requirement. To meet this requirement the Company entered into an interest rate cap agreement during 2005 with a \$500.0 million notional amount that expires on March 30, 2008 and a second interest rate cap agreement during 2006 with a \$50.0 million notional amount that also expires on March 30, 2008. The provisions of the interest rate cap agreements entitle the Company to receive from the counterparties the amounts, if any, by which the selected market interest rates exceed the strike rates of 5.75% and 6.5% as stated in the respective agreements. There was no net effect on interest expense as a result of the interest rate cap agreements for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005.

### Senior Notes

On February 10, 2005, LVSC sold in a private placement transaction \$250.0 million in aggregate principal amount of its 6.375% Senior Notes due 2015 (the Senior Notes) with an original issue discount of \$2.3 million. Net proceeds after offering costs and original issue discount were \$244.8 million. The Senior Notes will mature on February 15, 2015. LVSC has the option to redeem all or a portion of the Senior Notes at any time prior to February 15, 2010 at a make-whole redemption price. Thereafter, LVSC has the option to redeem all or a portion of the Senior Notes at any time at fixed prices that decline ratably over time. In addition, before February 15, 2008, LVSC may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the Senior Notes with the proceeds of certain equity offerings at a redemption price equal to 106.375% of the principal amount of the Senior Notes. The Senior Notes are unsecured senior obligations of LVSC and are jointly and severally guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by certain of LVSC s existing domestic subsidiaries (including LVSLLC and Venetian Casino Resort, LLC). The indenture governing the Senior Notes contains covenants that, subject to certain exceptions and conditions, limit the ability of LVSC and the subsidiary guarantors to enter into sale and leaseback transactions in respect of their principal properties, create liens on their principal properties and consolidate, merge or sell all or substantially all their assets. The net proceeds of the Senior Notes offering were utilized to complete the retirement of the 11% Mortgage Notes as further described below. In June 2005, the Senior Notes were exchanged for substantially similar Senior Notes, which had been registered

under the federal securities laws.

92

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# The Sands Expo Center Mortgage Loan

On July 30, 2004, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, Interface Group Holding Company, Inc. (Interface), entered into a \$100.0 million mortgage loan ( The Sands Expo Center Mortgage Loan ). Interface s obligations under the loan are secured by a first priority mortgage on The Sands Expo Center and by certain other related collateral. On July 31, 2006, Interface exercised its first one-year renewal option, extending the maturity date to August 1, 2007, unless it exercises its remaining one-year and subsequent six-month renewal options. If Interface exercises all of the renewal options then the loan must be repaid no later than January 30, 2009. The loan amortizes pursuant to a 20-year mortgage schedule, based on a 9.25% interest rate amortization. If cash flow of Interface (as defined by the loan agreement) is available after the payment of interest and mandatory amortization, tax and insurance reserve amounts, operating expenses, capital expenditures and a reserve for advanced customer deposits, additional principal payments must be made equal to the difference between (i) the principal payments necessary to amortize the loan pursuant to a 15-year schedule, based on a 7.0% interest rate and (ii) the amortization payment required by the aforementioned 9.25% amortization schedule. The loan bears interest at an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus 3.75%. The loan may be prepaid in whole or in part at par. The weighted average interest rate on The Sands Expo Center Mortgage Loan was 8.8% and 6.9% during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. At December 31, 2006, the Company has classified this debt as long-term because it has both the ability and intent to exercise the second one-year renewal option.

#### Phase II Mall Construction Loan

On September 30, 2004, two wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company, Phase II Mall Holding, LLC and Phase II Mall Subsidiary, LLC (the Phase II Mall Subsidiary), entered into a construction loan agreement with a group of lenders. The agreement provides for delayed draw loans in an aggregate principal amount of \$250.0 million. The proceeds are being used to fund the design, development and construction of the Phase II mall. The loan is secured by a first-priority security interest in substantially all of the borrowers assets, other than capital stock. The loan bears interest, at the borrowers option, at either an adjusted Eurodollar rate plus 1.75% or an alternative base rate plus 0.75%. Interest is payable on the base rate loans on a quarterly basis and is payable on Eurodollar rate loans at the end of the applicable interest period. The loan is due in full upon the earlier of March 31, 2008 or the sale of the Phase II mall and there is no interim amortization. As of December 31, 2006, there was \$114.5 million outstanding under this facility. The Phase II Mall Subsidiary will also pay a standby fee of 0.375% per annum on the undrawn amounts under the construction loan. The weighted average interest rate on the Phase II mall construction loan was 7.1% and 5.5% for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

To meet the requirements of the Phase II mall construction loan, the Company entered into an interest rate cap agreement during December 2004 (the Phase II Mall Cap Agreement ) with a maximum \$125.0 million notional amount for a term equal to the term of the Phase II mall construction loan. The provisions of the Phase II Mall Cap Agreement entitle the Company to receive from the counterparties the amounts, if any, by which the selected market interest rates exceed the strike rate of 6.0% as stated in such agreement. There was no net effect on interest expense as a result of the Phase II Mall Cap Agreement for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005. The notional amount of the Phase II Mall Cap Agreement (which expires on June 1, 2007) at December 31, 2006 was \$107.0 million.

### FF&E Financing

In September 2003, the Company and a lender entered into a credit facility (the FF&E Term Funded Credit Facility ) to provide \$15.0 million of financing for an expansion of The Venetian. The proceeds from the FF&E Term Funded Credit Facility were used to finance certain furniture, fixtures and equipment (the Term Funded Specified FF&E ) for this expansion and the facility was secured by the Term Funded Specified FF&E. The FF&E Term Funded Credit Facility provides for a 60-month basic term loan. Interest on this term loan is three-month LIBOR plus 3.0% and is payable quarterly. In December 2006, this facility was refinanced as part of the new credit

93

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

facility discussed below. In connection with the refinancing, the terms of the remaining amounts outstanding under the FF&E Term Funded Credit Facility were amended to change the interest rate to three-month LIBOR plus 2.0% and to capitalize the interest due for the fourth quarter of 2006 to the principal balance of this term loan. The FF&E Term Funded Credit Facility is subject to nineteen quarterly amortization payments of \$600,000 beginning January 1, 2004, and one final payment of approximately \$3.7 million on October 1, 2008. The weighted average interest rate for the FF&E Term Funded Credit Facility was 8.0% and 6.3% during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

In December 2006, the Company and a group of lenders, with General Electric Capital Corporation, as administrative agent to the lenders, entered into an additional \$135.0 million of furniture, fixture and equipment financing (the FF&E Term Delayed Draw Credit Facility ). The proceeds from the FF&E Term Delayed Draw Credit Facility were and will be used to finance certain equipment, fixtures, furniture and other goods (the Term Delayed Draw Specified FF&E ) at The Palazzo and The Venetian and the facility is secured by the Term Delayed Draw Specified FF&E. The FF&E Term Delayed Draw Credit Facility provides for a 54-month delayed draw loan. Interest on this term loan is either three-month LIBOR plus 2.0% or base rate plus 1.0% and is payable quarterly. The FF&E Term Delayed Draw Credit Facility is subject to ten quarterly principal payments beginning on April 1, 2008 in an amount equal to 5% of the aggregate principal amount as of April 1, 2008, with the remaining amount due in four equal installments on October 1, 2010, January 1, 2011, April 1, 2011 and June 15, 2011. The Company will also pay a standby fee of 0.75% per annum on the undrawn amounts under the FF&E Term Delayed Draw Credit Facility. The weighted average interest rate for the FF&E Term Delayed Draw Credit Facility was 7.5% during the year ended December 31, 2006.

### Macao Credit Facility

On May 25, 2006, two subsidiaries of the Company, VML US Finance, LLC (the Borrower) and Venetian Macau Limited, as guarantor, entered into a credit agreement (the Macao Credit Facility). The Macao Credit Facility consists of a \$1.2 billion funded term B loan (the Macao Term B Facility), a \$700.0 million delayed draw term B loan (the Macao Term B Delayed Draw Facility), a \$100.0 million funded local currency term loan (the Macao Local Term Facility) and a \$500.0 million revolving credit facility (the Macao Revolving Facility). As of December 31, 2006, no amounts are outstanding under the Macao Revolving Facility and no amounts have been drawn under the Macao Term B Delayed Draw Facility. Under the Macao Credit Facility, the Company is required to secure the land concession in order to fully draw against the facility. In February 2007, the Company has asked its lenders to amend the Macao Credit Facility to remove this requirement, among others.

In February 2007, the Company received the final draft of the land concession agreement from the Macao government pursuant to which the Company was awarded a concession by lease for parcels 1, 2 and 3 on the Cotai Strip, including the sites on which it is building The Venetian Macao and the Four Seasons hotel. The Company has accepted the conditions of the draft land concession and has made an initial premium payment of \$106.5 million towards the aggregate land premium of \$323.7 million. Additionally, \$24.1 million has been paid or will be paid in the form of the cost of the reclamation work and other works done on the land and the installation costs of an electrical substation with the remaining amount payable over time. The land concession will not become effective until the date it is published in Macao s Official Gazette. Once the land concession is effective, the Company will be required to make additional land premium and annual rent payments relating to parcels 1, 2 and 3 in the amounts and at the times specified in the land concession.

The indebtedness under the Macao Credit Facility is guaranteed by Venetian Macau Limited, Venetian Cotai Limited and certain of the Company s other foreign subsidiaries (the Macao Guarantors). The obligations under the Macao Credit Facility and the guarantees of the Macao Guarantors are secured by a first-priority security interest in substantially all of the Borrower s and the Macao Guarantors assets, other than (1) capital stock of the Borrower and the Macao Guarantors, (2) assets that will secure permitted furniture, fixtures and equipment financings, (3) Venetian Macau Limited s gaming subconcession contract and (4) certain other assets.

94

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Borrowings under the Macao Credit Facility bear interest, at the Company s option, at either an adjusted Eurodollar rate (or, in the case of the Macao Local Term Facility, adjusted HIBOR) or at an alternative base rate, plus a spread of 2.75% or 1.75%, respectively (6.7% for the Macao Local Term Facility and 8.1% for the Macao Term B Facility at December 31, 2006). These spreads will be decreased by 0.25% from the beginning of the first interest period following the substantial completion of The Venetian Macao. The Borrower will also pay standby fees of 0.5% and 1.375% per annum on the undrawn amounts under the Macao Revolving Facility and the Macao Term B Delayed Draw Facility, respectively.

The weighted average interest rates for the Macao Local Term Facility and the Macao Term B Facility were 6.9% and 8.1%, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2006.

To meet the requirements of the Macao Credit Facility, the Company entered into an interest rate cap agreement during September 2006 (the Macao Cap Agreement ) with a \$1.0 billion notional amount which expires on September 21, 2009. The provisions of the Macao Cap Agreement entitle the Company to receive from the counterparties the amounts, if any, by which the selected market interest rates exceed the strike rate of 6.75% as stated in such agreement. There was no net effect on interest expense as a result of the Macao Cap Agreement for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The Macao Revolving Facility and the Macao Local Term Facility have a five year maturity. The Macao Term B Delayed Draw Facility and the Macao Term B Facility mature in six and seven years, respectively. The Macao Term B Delayed Draw Facility and the Macao Term B Facility are subject to nominal amortization for the first five and six years, respectively, in the first quarter following substantial completion of The Venetian Macao, with the remainder of the loans payable in four equal installments in the last year immediately preceding their respective maturity dates. Following the substantial completion of The Venetian Macao, the Macao Local Term Facility is subject to quarterly amortization in an amount of approximately \$6.3 million per quarter, with the remainder of the loan payable in four equal installments in the last year immediately preceding the maturity date.

The Macao Credit Facility contains affirmative and negative covenants customary for such financings, including, but not limited to, limitations on incurring additional liens, incurring additional indebtedness, making certain investments, paying dividends and other restricted payments, and acquiring and selling assets. The Macao Credit Facility also requires the Borrower and the Macao Guarantors to comply with financial covenants, including, but not limited to, minimum EBITDA for a period of time and, thereafter, ratios of EBITDA to interest expense and total indebtedness to EBITDA, as well as maximum capital expenditures. The Macao Credit Facility also contains events of default customary for such financings.

### Singapore Credit Facility

On August 18, 2006, MBS entered into agreements (together, the Singapore Credit Facility ) providing for a SGD\$1.1 billion (approximately US\$717.3 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) floating rate notes facility (the Singapore Floating Rate Notes ) and a SGD\$1.1 billion (approximately US\$717.3 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) term loan facility (the Singapore Term Loan ). The Singapore Floating Rate Notes consist of a funded SGD\$788.6 million (approximately US\$514.2 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) facility and a SGD\$315.4 million (approximately US\$205.7 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) delayed draw facility. The Singapore Term Loan consists of a funded SGD\$596.0 million (approximately US\$388.7 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) facility, a SGD\$315.4 million

(approximately US\$205.7 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) delayed draw facility, and a SGD\$192.6 million (approximately US\$125.6 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) facility to provide bank guarantees for a security deposit required to be delivered to the STB under the Development Agreement. As of December 31, 2006, SGD\$798.2 million (approximately US\$520.5 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) has been drawn on the Singapore Floating Rate Notes, SGD\$603.5 million (approximately US\$393.5 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) has been drawn on the Singapore Term Loan, and SGD\$192.6 million (approximately US\$125.6 million at exchange

95

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

rates in effect on December 31, 2006) under the Singapore Term Loan has been committed to provide a guarantee for a security deposit required to be delivered to the STB under the Development Agreement.

The indebtedness under the Singapore Floating Rate Notes is guaranteed by LVSC on an unsecured basis and the indebtedness under the Singapore Term Loan is secured by a first-priority security interest in substantially all of MBS assets, other than capital stock and certain other assets.

Borrowings under both the Singapore Floating Rate Notes and the Singapore Term Loan bear interest at the Singapore SWAP Offer Rate plus a spread of 1.35% per annum during the first twelve months that amounts are outstanding under such facilities and a spread of 1.60% per annum during the second twelve months that amounts are outstanding (5.0% at December 31, 2006). MBS will also pay a standby fee of 0.375% per annum on the undrawn amounts under the Singapore Credit Facility. The Singapore Credit Facility has a two year maturity and the aggregate amount outstanding matures in full on August 22, 2008. MBS is permitted, at its option, to redeem or prepay all or a portion of the outstanding Singapore Credit Facility, at par, without premium or penalty, under certain circumstances. The weighted average interest rate for the Singapore Floating Rate Notes and the Singapore Term Loan was 5.0% for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The Singapore Credit Facility contains affirmative and negative covenants customary for such financings, including, but not limited to, limitations on liens, indebtedness, investments, acquisitions and asset sales, restricted payments, affiliate transactions and use of proceeds from the facility, as well as requirements to comply with applicable law and maintain adequate insurance.

## Mortgage Notes

On June 4, 2002, LVSLLC and Venetian Casino Resort, LLC issued \$850.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 11% mortgage notes due 2010 (the Mortgage Notes). The Mortgage Notes bore interest at 11%, payable each June 15th and December 15th. The Mortgage Notes were redeemable at the option of LVSLLC and Venetian Casino Resort, LLC at prices ranging from 100% to 105.5% commencing on or after June 15, 2006, as set forth in the Mortgage Notes and the indenture pursuant to which the Mortgage Notes were issued (the Indenture). Prior to June 15, 2006, LVSLLC and Venetian Casino Resort, LLC could redeem the Mortgage Notes at their principal amount plus an applicable make-whole premium. On or prior to June 15, 2005, the Company could redeem up to 35% of the Mortgage Notes with the net cash proceeds of one or more offerings of equity securities at a redemption price of 111% of the principal amount of the Mortgage Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

As a result of the consummation of the Mall Sale on May 17, 2004 (as further described in Note 10 Mall Sale), LVSLLC and Venetian Casino Resort, LLC were obligated to use the Excess Proceeds (as defined under the Indenture) from the Mall Sale to make an offer to purchase the maximum principal amount of Mortgage Notes that could be purchased out of the Excess Proceeds of the Mall Sale at an offer price in cash equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Mortgage Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest and liquidated damages, if any, to the closing date of the offer (the Asset Sale Offer ). The Asset Sale Offer closed on June 6, 2004, and \$6.4 million of Mortgage Notes were tendered and re-purchased by the Company.

During February 2005, LVSLLC and Venetian Casino Resort, LLC exercised an equity claw back under the Indenture pursuant to which the Company retired \$291.1 million of the Mortgage Notes and paid \$32.0 million of redemption premiums with the proceeds from LVSC s initial public offering. Additionally, LVSLLC and Venetian Casino Resort, LLC retired \$542.3 million in aggregate principal amount of the Mortgage Notes pursuant to a tender offer plus a

make-whole premium and accrued interest of \$90.3 million, with proceeds from the Senior Notes offering, cash on hand and proceeds from the Senior Secured Credit Facility. The total consideration paid to the tendering holders was \$1,166.56 per \$1,000 principal amount of Mortgage Notes (including a consent payment of \$30 per \$1,000 principal amount of Mortgage Notes tendered prior to February 1, 2005). During March 2005, LVSLLC and Venetian Casino Resort, LLC redeemed the remaining \$10.2 million aggregate principal amount of

96

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

the outstanding Mortgage Notes at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof plus a make-whole premium and accrued interest.

The Company incurred a charge of approximately \$132.8 million for loss on early retirement of indebtedness during 2005 as a result of retiring the Mortgage Notes.

## Venetian Intermediate Credit Facility

On March 27, 2003, Venetian Intermediate entered into a credit agreement (the Venetian Intermediate Credit Agreement ) with a lender to provide \$50.0 million of financing for The Sands Macao. The credit facility was paid in full during 2006.

### Scheduled Maturities of Long-Term Debt

Maturities of long-term debt outstanding at December 31, 2006 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

2007	\$ 6,486
2008	1,153,139
2009	56,279
2010	897,650
2011	622,931
Thereafter	1,408,000

\$ 4,144,485

### Fair Values of Long-Term Debt

The fair value of the Senior Notes as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 were \$243.4 million and \$241.3 million, respectively. The fair value of the Senior Notes is based on quoted market prices. The fair values of other indebtedness approximate their respective carrying amounts based on the nature of these variable interest rate facilities. The fair value of the interest rate cap agreements is based upon quotes from brokers which was \$0.6 million as of December 31, 2006 and 2005.

#### **Note 9** Income Taxes

The components of the (benefit) provision for income taxes are as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2006	2005	2004	
Federal:				
Current	\$ 58,329	\$ 1,627	\$	

Deferred Recognition of net deferred tax assets upon C Corporation conversion	3,914	2,623	47 (13,783)
Total income tax (benefit) provision	\$ 62,243	\$ 4,250	\$ (13,736)

97

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The reconciliation of the statutory federal income tax rate and the Company s effective tax rate for the years ended December 31 and from the C Corporation conversion date, December 17, 2004, is as follows:

			Period Ended
	Year En		
	Decembe	er 31,	December 31,
	2006	2005	2004
Statutory federal income tax rate	35.00%	35.00%	35.00%
Increase (decrease) in tax rate resulting from:			
Foreign and U.S. tax rate differential	(16.41)%	(23.14)%	(25.45)%
Tax exempt income of foreign subsidiary (Macao)	(10.20)%	(14.07)%	(13.67)%
Valuation allowance	1.26%	2.61%	4.44%
Other, net	2.69%	1.08%	0.22%
Net deferred tax assets recognized upon termination of			
S corporation election			(158.64)%
Effective tax rate	12.34%	1.48%	(158.10)%

Consolidated income before taxes for U.S. and international operations for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 and from the C Corporation conversion date, December 17, 2004, through December 31, 2004 is as follows (in thousands):

		Ended ber 31,	ember 31,
	2006	2005	2004
Domestic International	\$ 162,592 341,654	\$ 3,271 284,665	\$ 80 8,608
Total	\$ 504,246	\$ 287,936	\$ 8,688

The primary tax affected components of the Company s net deferred tax assets are as follows (in thousands):

At December 31, 2006 2005

Deferred tax assets

Bad debt reserve Accrued expenses Deferred gain on the sale of the Mall Net operating loss carryforward	\$ 12,170 7,314 60,945	\$	10,230 3,525 62,587 3,053
Other Net operating loss carryforward of foreign subsidiaries	4,712 23,582		2,825 1,565 17,386
Less: Valuation allowance  Total deferred tax assets	(23,582) 85,141		(17,386)
Deferred tax liabilities	·		83,785
Property and equipment Prepaid expenses	(67,807) (1,970)		(62,698) (1,809)
Total deferred tax liabilities  Net deferred tax asset	\$ (69,777) 15,364	\$	(64,507) 19,278
	 - ,	,	- ,

98

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Domestic operating loss carryforwards were \$8.7 million and \$8.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. These losses were fully utilized during the year ended December 31, 2006. Operating loss carryforwards of the foreign subsidiaries were \$195.2 million, \$118.3 million and \$29.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. These losses begin to expire in 2007.

At December 31, 2006 and 2005, there was a \$23.6 million and \$17.4 million, respectively, valuation allowance provided on the foreign net operating loss carryforwards and other foreign deferred tax assets because management believes these assets do not meet the more likely than not criteria for recognition under SFAS No. 109. Management believes all other deferred tax assets are more likely than not to be realized because of the future reversal of existing taxable temporary differences and expected future taxable income. Accordingly, there are no other valuation allowances provided at December 31, 2006 and 2005.

Undistributed earnings of a subsidiary are accounted for as a temporary difference, except that deferred tax liabilities are not recorded for undistributed earnings of a foreign subsidiary that are deemed to be indefinitely reinvested in the foreign jurisdiction. The Company has a plan for reinvestment of undistributed earnings of its foreign subsidiaries which demonstrates that such earnings will be indefinitely reinvested in the applicable jurisdictions. Should the Company change its plans, it would be required to record a significant amount of deferred tax liabilities. For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the amount of undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries that the Company does not intend to repatriate was \$719.1 million and \$373.1 million, respectively. Should these earnings be distributed in the form of dividends or otherwise, the distributions would be subject to U.S. federal income tax at the statutory rate of 35%, less foreign tax credits applicable to distributions, if any. In addition, such distributions would be subject to withholding taxes in the various tax jurisdictions.

As mentioned in Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, the Company has a temporary income tax exemption in Macao through 2008. Had the Company been required to pay income taxes in Macao, consolidated net income would have been reduced by \$45.2 million and \$35.3 million, and diluted earning per share would have been reduced by \$0.12 and \$0.10 per share for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

#### Note 10 Mall Sale

### Mall Sale and Related Matters

On April 12, 2004, the Company entered into an agreement to sell The Grand Canal Shops mall and lease certain restaurant and other retail space at the casino level of The Venetian (the Master Lease ) to GGP for approximately \$766.0 million (the Mall Sale ). The Mall Sale closed on May 17, 2004 and the Company realized a gain of \$417.6 million in connection with the Mall Sale. In conjunction with the Mall Sale, the Company repaid all of its \$120.0 million secured Mall facility and redeemed \$6.4 million of the Mortgage Notes pursuant to the Asset Sale Offer. Under the Master Lease agreement, The Venetian leased nineteen spaces on the casino level of The Venetian currently occupied by various tenants to GGP for 89 years with annual rent of one dollar per year and GGP assumed the various leases. Under generally accepted accounting principles, the Master Lease agreement does not qualify as a sale of the related assets, which were not separately legally demised. Accordingly, \$109.2 million of the transaction has been deferred as prepaid operating lease payments to The Venetian, which will amortize into income on a straight-line basis over the 89-year lease term. During the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, \$1.2 million, \$1.2 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, of this deferred item was amortized and is included in convention, retail and other revenue. In addition, the Company agreed with GGP to: (i) continue to be obligated to fulfill certain lease termination and asset purchase agreements as further described in Note 11 Commitments and

Contingencies Other Ventures and Commitments; (ii) lease the Blue Man Group Theater space located within The Grand Canal Shops mall from GGP for a period of 25 years with fixed minimum rent of \$3.3 million per year with cost of living adjustments; (iii) operate the Gondola ride under an operating agreement for a period of 25 years for an annual fee of \$3.5 million; and (iv) lease certain office space from GGP for a period of 10 years, subject to extension options for a period of up to 65 years, with annual rent of approximately \$0.9 million. The lease payments

99

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

under clauses (ii) through (iv) above are subject to automatic increases beginning on the sixth lease year. The net present value of the lease payments under clauses (ii) through (iv) is \$77.2 million. Under generally accepted accounting principles, a portion of the transaction must be deferred in an amount equal to the present value of the minimum lease payments set forth in the lease back agreements. This deferred gain will be amortized to reduce lease expense on a straight-line basis over the life of the leases. During the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, \$3.5 million, \$3.5 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, of this deferred item was amortized and is included as an offset to convention, retail and other expense.

As of December 31, 2006, the Company was obligated under (ii), (iii), and (iv) above to make future payments as follows (in thousands):

2007	\$	7,660
2008		7,660
2009		7,884
2010		8,043
2011		8,043
Thereafter	1	137,611

\$ 176,901

### Phase II Mall

The Company formed the Phase II Mall Subsidiary on July 1, 2004 to develop and construct the Phase II mall. In connection with the Mall Sale, the Company entered into an agreement with GGP to construct and sell the Phase II mall for an amount equal to the greater of (i) \$250.0 million; or (ii) the Phase II mall s net operating income for months 19 through 30 of its operations (assuming that the rent due from all tenants in month 30 was actually due in each of months 19 through 30) divided by a capitalization rate. The capitalization rate is 0.06 for every dollar of net operating income up to \$38.0 million and 0.08 for every dollar of net operating income above \$38.0 million. On the date the Phase II mall opens to the public, GGP will be obligated to make an initial purchase price payment based on projected net operating income for the first 12 months of operations (but in no event less than \$250.0 million). Every six months thereafter until the 24 month anniversary of the opening date, the required purchase price will be adjusted (up or down, but never to less than \$250.0 million) based on projected net operating income for the upcoming 12 months. The final purchase price adjustment (subject to audit thereafter) will be made on the 30-month anniversary of the Phase II mall s opening date based on the formula described above. For all purchase price and purchase price adjustment calculations, net operating income will be calculated by using the accrual method of accounting and, for purposes of calculating the final purchase price adjustment, by applying the base rent payable by all tenants in the last month of the applicable 12-month period to the entire 12-month period. The Phase II mall is expected to cost approximately \$280.0 million (excluding incentive payments described below). Under the Mall Sale agreement, the Company has agreed to substantially complete construction of the Phase II mall before the earlier of 36 months after the date on which sufficient permits are received to allow the Phase II Mall Subsidiary to begin construction of the Phase II mall or March 1, 2008. These dates may be extended due to force majeure or certain other delays. In the event that the Company does not substantially complete construction of the Phase II mall on or before the earlier of these two dates (as such dates may be extended as described in the preceding sentences), the Company must pay

liquidated damages of \$5,000 per day for the first six months and \$10,000 per day for the following six months if substantial completion does not occur by the end of six months after the completion deadline. If substantial completion has not occurred on or before one year after the deadline, the Company will be required to pay total liquidated damages in the amount of \$100.0 million. In addition, failure to substantially complete construction of the Phase II mall before the agreed-upon deadline would constitute an event of default under the Senior Secured Credit Facility and the Company s disbursement agreement.

100

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

In the event that the Company complies with all of its obligations under the aforementioned agreement with GGP concerning the Phase II mall, and GGP fails to acquire the membership interests in the entity owning the Phase II mall, the Company will be entitled to:

sue GGP for specific performance;

liquidated damages in the amount of \$100.0 million; or

purchase the interest of GGP in The Grand Canal Shops mall for the lesser of (i) \$766.0 million and (ii) the fair market value minus \$100.0 million.

The Company made an equity contribution to the Phase II Mall Subsidiary of \$63.2 million on July 15, 2004, which was used to make certain incentive payments and pay related payroll taxes to the Principal Stockholder and other senior executives of the Company for their work in connection with the Phase II mall sale and related financing transactions. The Company made additional equity contributions of \$25.8 million during 2004 as required under the Phase II mall construction loan agreement (See Note 8 Long-Term Debt Phase II Mall Construction Loan) and further equity contributions of \$7.9 million and \$13.0 million during 2005 and 2006, respectively.

### Note 11 Commitments and Contingencies

### Litigation

The Company is involved in other litigation in addition to those noted below, arising in the normal course of business. Management has made certain estimates for potential litigation costs based upon consultation with legal counsel. Actual results could differ from these estimates; however, in the opinion of management, such litigation and claims will not have a material effect on the Company s financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The Palazzo Construction Litigation

Lido Casino Resort, LLC ( Lido ), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, and its construction manager, Taylor International Corp. ( Taylor ), filed suit in March 2006 in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada (the District Court ) against Malcolm Drilling Company, Inc. ( Malcolm ), the contractor on The Palazzo project responsible for completing certain foundation work (the District Court Case ). Lido and Taylor claim in the District Court Case that Malcolm was in default of its contract for performing defective work, failing to correct defective work, failing to complete its work and causing delay to the project. Malcolm responded by filing a Notice of a Lien with the Clerk of Clark County, Nevada in March 2006 in the amount of approximately \$19.0 million (the Lien ). In April 2006, Lido and Taylor moved in the District Court Case to strike or, in the alternative, to reduce the amount of, the Lien, claiming, among other things, that the Lien was excessive for including claims for disruption and delay, which Lido and Taylor claim are not lienable under Nevada law (the Lien Motion ). Malcolm responded in April 2006 by filing a complaint against Lido and Taylor in District Court of Clark County, Nevada seeking to foreclose on the Lien against Taylor, claiming breach of contract, a cardinal change in the underlying contract, unjust enrichment against Lido and Taylor and bad faith and fraud against Taylor (the State Court Case ), and simultaneously filed a motion in the District Court Case, seeking to dismiss the District Court Case on abstention grounds (the Abstention Motion ). In response, in June 2006, Lido filed a motion to dismiss the State Court Case based on the principle of the

prior pending District Court Case (the Motion to Dismiss ). In June 2006, the Abstention Motion was granted in part by the United States District Court, the District Court Case was stayed pending the outcome of the Motion to Dismiss in the State Court Case and the Lien Motion was denied without prejudice. Lido and Malcolm then entered into a stipulation under which Lido withdrew the Motion to Dismiss, and in July 2006 filed a replacement lien motion in the State Court Case. The lien motion in the State Court Case was denied in August 2006 and Lido and Taylor filed a permitted interlocutory notice of appeal to the Supreme Court of Nevada in September 2006. This matter is in the preliminary stages and based upon the advice of legal counsel, management has determined that based on proceedings to date, it is currently unable to determine the probability of the outcome of this matter. Lido intends to defend itself against the claims pending in the State Court Case.

101

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Litigation Relating to Macao Casino

On October 15, 2004, Richard Suen and Round Square Company Limited filed an action against Las Vegas Sands Corp., Las Vegas Sands Inc., Sheldon G. Adelson and William P. Weidner in the District Court of Clark County, Nevada, asserting a breach of an alleged agreement to pay a success fee of \$5.0 million and 2.0% of the net profit from the Company s Macao resort operations to the plaintiffs as well as other related claims. In March 2005, Las Vegas Sands Corp. was dismissed as a party without prejudice based on a stipulation to do so between the parties. On May 17, 2005, the plaintiffs filed their first amended complaint. On February 2, 2006, defendants filed a motion for partial summary judgment with respect to plaintiffs fraud claims against all the defendants. On March 16, 2006, an order was filed by the court granting defendants motion for partial summary judgment. Pursuant to the order filed March 16, 2006, plaintiffs fraud claims set forth in the first amended complaint were dismissed with prejudice as against all defendants. The order also dismissed with prejudice the first amended complaint against defendants Sheldon G. Adelson and William P. Weidner. This action is in a preliminary stage and based upon the advice of legal counsel, management has determined that based on proceedings to date, it is currently unable to determine the probability of the outcome of this matter. The Company intends to defend this matter vigorously.

On January 26, 2006, Clive Basset Jones, Darryl Steven Turok (a/k/a Dax Turok) and Cheong Jose Vai Chi (a/k/a Cliff Cheong), filed an action against Las Vegas Sands Corp., Las Vegas Sands, LLC, Venetian Venture Development, LLC and various unspecified individuals and companies in the District Court of Clark County, Nevada. The plaintiffs assert breach of an agreement to pay a success fee in an amount equal to 5% of the ownership interest in the entity that owns and operates the Macau SAR gaming subconcession as well as other related claims. In April 2006, Las Vegas Sands Corp. was dismissed as a party without prejudice based on a stipulation to do so between the parties. Other than the complaint which has been filed, and the Company s answer, there is currently no pending activity in the matter. This action is in a preliminary stage and discovery has begun. Based upon the advice of legal counsel, management has determined that based on proceedings to date, it is currently unable to determine the probability of the outcome of this matter. The Company intends to defend this matter vigorously.

On February 5, 2007, Asian American Entertainment Corporation, Limited ( AAEC ) filed an action against Las Vegas Sands, Inc. ( LVSI ), Venetian Casino Resort, LLC ( VCR ), Venetian Venture Development, LLC ( Venetian Venture Development ), William P. Weidner and David Friedman in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. The plaintiffs assert breach of contract by LVSI, VCR and Venetian Venture Development of an agreement under which AAEC would work to obtain a gaming license in Macao and, if successful, AAEC would jointly operate a casino, hotel and related facilities in Macao with Venetian Venture Development and Venetian Venture Development would receive fees and a minority equity interest in the venture and breach of fiduciary duties by all of the defendants. The plaintiffs have requested an unspecified amount of actual, compensatory and punitive damages, disgorgement of profits related to the Company s Macao gaming license. Other than the complaint which has been filed, there is currently no pending activity in the matter. This action is in a preliminary stage and based upon the advice of legal counsel, management has determined that based on proceedings to date, it is currently unable to determine the probability of the outcome of this matter. The Company intends to defend this matter vigorously.

### Macao Concession and Subconcession

On June 26, 2002, the Macao government granted a concession to operate casinos in Macao through June 26, 2022, subject to certain qualifications, to Galaxy Casino Company Limited ( Galaxy ), a consortium of Macao and Hong Kong-based investors. During December 2002, Venetian Macau Limited ( Venetian Macau ) and Galaxy entered into a

subconcession agreement which was recognized and approved by the Macao government and allows Venetian Macau to develop and operate casino projects, including The Sands Macao, separately from Galaxy. Beginning on December 26, 2017, the Macao government may redeem the subconcession agreement by providing the Company at lease one year prior notice.

102

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Under the subconcession agreement, Venetian Macau was obligated to develop and open The Venetian Macao by June 2006 and a convention center by December 2006, and invest, or cause to be invested, at least 4.4 billion patacas (approximately \$549.3 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) in various development projects in Macao by June 2009. The Company has spent more than the required minimum amount. In February 2006, the Company received an extension of the June and December 2006 construction deadlines for The Venetian Macao and the convention center to December 2007. The Company currently expects to open The Venetian Macao and the convention center in summer 2007. If the Company fails to meet the December 2007 deadline, the Company could lose its right to continue to operate The Sands Macao or any other facilities developed under its Macao gaming subconcession and its investment to date in construction of The Venetian Macao and other Cotai Strip properties could be lost. To support this obligation, a Macao bank and a subsidiary of the Company, Lido Casino Resort Holding Company, LLC, have guaranteed 500.0 million patacas (approximately \$62.4 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006) of Venetian Macau s legal and contractual obligations to the Macao government until March 31, 2007. Venetian Macau has granted a junior lien on the Venetian Macau s rights over the land upon which The Sands Macao is constructed to support the guarantee issued by the Macao bank under the Venetian Macau subconcession.

Under the subconcession, the Company is obligated to pay to the Macao government an annual premium with a fixed portion and a variable portion based on the number and type of gaming tables it employs and gaming machines it operates. The fixed portion of the premium is equal to 30.0 million patacas (approximately \$3.7 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006). The variable portion is equal to 300,000 patacas per gaming table reserved exclusively for certain kinds of games or players, 150,000 patacas per gaming table not so reserved and 1,000 patacas per electrical or mechanical gaming machine, including slot machines (approximately \$37,454, \$18,727 and \$125, respectively, at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006), subject to a minimum of 45.0 million patacas (or \$5.6 million at exchange rates in effect on December 31, 2006). The Company is also obligated to pay a special gaming tax of 35% of gross gaming revenues and applicable withholding taxes. The Company must also contribute 4% of its gross gaming revenue to utilities designated by the Macao government, a portion of which must be used for promotion of tourism in Macao. As of December 31, 2006, the Company was obligated under its subconcession to make minimum future payments of approximately \$9.4 million in each of the next five years and approximately \$98.3 million thereafter through June 2022. These amounts are expected to increase substantially as the Company completes The Venetian Macao in 2007, which is planned to have approximately 850 table games and approximately 4,100 slots with a final capacity of approximately 1,150 table games and 7,000 slot machines, and the other Cotai Strip properties, which are planned to have approximately 1,750 table games and approximately 9,000 slot machines in total.

Currently, the gaming tax in Macao is calculated as a percentage of gross gaming revenue. However, unlike Nevada, gross gaming revenue does not include deductions for credit losses. As a result, if the Company extends credit to its customers in Macao and is unable to collect on the related receivables, the Company must pay taxes on its winnings from these customers even though it was unable to collect on the related receivables. If the laws are not changed, the Company s business in Macao may not be able to realize the full benefits of extending credit to its customers. Although there are proposals to revise the gaming tax laws in Macao, there can be no assurance that the laws will be changed.

### Singapore Development Project

On August 23, 2006, the Company entered into the Development Agreement, which requires it to construct and operate the Marina Bay Sands in accordance with the Company s proposal for this integrated resort and in accordance

with that agreement. Based on the proposal the Company submitted to the Singapore government, it will cost approximately \$3.6 billion, inclusive of the land premium, taxes and other fees previously paid to develop and construct the Marina Bay Sands. As discussed in Note 8 Long-Term Debt Singapore Credit Facility, the Company entered into the Singapore Credit Facility to satisfy near-term development costs and to satisfy some of its

103

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

obligations under the Development Agreement. The Company intends to obtain long-term financing in an amount necessary to fund the construction of the Marina Bay Sands.

#### Leases

#### Venetian Macau

During 2003, Venetian Macau entered into a 25-year land lease agreement with the Macao government for a six acre parcel of land on which The Sands Macao was constructed. The land concession will expire in 2028 and is renewable. The land concession requires the Company to pay a premium which is payable over a number of years. In addition, the Company is also obligated to pay rent annually for the term of the land concession. The rent amount may be revised every five years by the Macao government. As of December 31, 2006, Venetian Macau was obligated under its leases to make future payments as follows (in thousands):

2007	\$ 2,988
2008	2,988
2009	162
2010	162
2011	162
Thereafter	2,758
	\$ 9,220

During the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company recorded \$0.8 million, \$0.7 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, in rental expense related to this land lease.

In February 2007, the Company received the final draft of the land concession agreement from the Macao government pursuant to which the Company was awarded a concession by lease for parcels 1, 2 and 3 on the Cotai Strip, including the sites on which it is building The Venetian Macao and the Four Seasons hotel. The Company has accepted the conditions of the draft land concession and has made an initial premium payment of \$106.5 million towards the aggregate land premium of \$323.7 million. Additionally, \$24.1 million has been paid or will be paid in the form of the cost of the reclamation work and other works done on the land and the installation costs of an electrical substation with the remaining amount payable over time. The land concession will not become effective until the date it is published in Macao s Official Gazette. Once the land concession is effective, the Company will be required to make additional land premium and annual rent payments relating to parcels 1, 2 and 3 in the amounts and at the times specified in the land concession.

### **Energy Services Agreements**

During 1997, Venetian Casino Resort, LLC, Interface and others entered into separate energy service agreements with a heating, ventilation and air conditioning ( HVAC ) provider (the HVAC Provider ). Under the terms of the energy services agreement and other separate energy services agreements, HVAC energy and services will be purchased by Venetian Casino Resort, LLC, Interface and others over initial terms expiring in 2009 with an option to collectively

extend the terms of their agreements for two consecutive five-year periods. The HVAC plant was constructed on land owned by the Company and leased to the HVAC Provider. The HVAC equipment is owned by the HVAC Provider, which paid all costs ( HVAC Costs ) in connection with the purchase and installation of the HVAC equipment. The total HVAC Costs were \$70.0 million. The charges payable under the separate energy services agreements include a fixed component applied to the HVAC Costs paid by the HVAC Provider, reimbursement of operational and related costs and a management fee.

104

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

As of December 31, 2006, Venetian Casino Resort, LLC and Interface were obligated under the energy services agreements to make future minimum payments as follows (in thousands):

2007	\$ 6,826
2008	6,826
2009	3,412
Total minimum payments	\$ 17,064

Expenses incurred under the energy services agreements were \$6.8 million, \$6.8 million and \$7.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

### Operating Lease Agreements

The Company leases real estate and various equipment under operating lease arrangements and is also party to several service agreements with terms in excess of one year.

At December 31, 2006, the Company was obligated under non-cancelable operating leases to make future minimum lease payments as follows (in thousands):

2007	\$	17,784
2008	-	14,667
2009		8,144
2010		2,528
2011		1,398
Thereafter		113,157
Total minimum payments	\$	157,678

Expenses incurred under these operating lease agreements totaled \$8.3 million, \$7.0 million and \$2.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The Company is party to other operating lease agreements, which are short-term and variable-rate in nature. Expenses incurred under these operating lease agreements totaled \$1.5 million, \$1.6 million and \$1.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

### Other Ventures and Commitments

The Company has entered into employment agreements with seven of the Company s senior executives, with remaining terms of one to three years. As of December 31, 2006, the Company was obligated to make future

payments as follows (in thousands):

2007 2008 2009	\$ 8,380 8,123 7,437	
Total minimum payments	\$ 23,940	

During 2003, the Company entered into three lease termination and asset purchase agreements with The Grand Canal Shops mall tenants. In each case, the Company has obtained title to leasehold improvements and other fixed assets, which were originally purchased by The Grand Canal Shops mall tenants, and which have been recorded at estimated fair market value, which approximated the discounted present value of the Company s obligation to the former tenants. As of December 31, 2006, the Company was obligated under these agreements to make future payments of \$0.7 million for each of the next five years and \$8.0 million thereafter.

105

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

During 2006, the Company entered into commitments to purchase ferries to be built over the next two years for the Company s Macao operations. As of December 31, 2006, the Company was obligated to make future payments of \$99.7 million and \$35.6 million during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008, respectively.

### **Note 12 Stock-Based Employee Compensation**

The Company has two nonqualified stock option plans, the 1997 Plan and the 2004 Plan, which are described below. The plans provide for the granting of stock options pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and regulations. The compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$14.7 million, which is comprised of \$13.4 million from stock options and \$1.3 million from restricted stock. In accordance with APB Opinion No. 25, the Company did not recognize compensation expense for employee stock option awards for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, for those options where the exercise price of the Company s employee stock awards equaled the market price of the underlying stock on the date of grant. The total income tax benefit recognized in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2006 for stock-based compensation arrangements was \$3.6 million. Compensation cost associated with individuals responsible for construction activities was capitalized as part of property and equipment in the amount of \$2.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. For the year ended December 31, 2006, basic and diluted earnings per share were \$0.03 lower than if the Company had continued to account for stock-based compensation under APB Opinion No. 25.

### LVSLLC 1997 Fixed Stock Option Plan

The 1997 Plan provides for 19,952,457 shares (on a post-split basis) of common stock of LVSLLC to be reserved for issuance to officers and other key employees or consultants of LVSLLC or any LVSLLC Affiliates or Subsidiaries (each as defined in the 1997 Plan) pursuant to options granted under the 1997 Plan.

The 1997 Plan provides that the Principal Stockholder may, at any time, assume the 1997 Plan or certain obligations under the 1997 Plan, in which case the Principal Stockholder will have all the rights, powers and responsibilities granted LVSLLC or its board of directors under the 1997 Plan with respect to such assumed obligations. The Principal Stockholder assumed LVSLLC s obligations under the 1997 Plan to sell shares to optiones upon the exercise of their options with respect to options granted prior to July 15, 2004. LVSLLC is responsible for all other obligations under the 1997 Plan. LVSC assumed all of the obligations of LVSLLC and the Principal Stockholder under the 1997 Plan (other than the obligation of the Principal Stockholder to issue 984,321 shares under options granted prior to July 15, 2004), in connection with its initial public offering.

On July 30, 2004, fully vested options to purchase 3,052,460 shares of common stock were granted to employees of the Company by the board of directors under the Company s stock option plan at an exercise price of \$5.64 per share. The fair value of the common stock at the dates of grant for the stock options granted during July 2004 was originally estimated by management based principally upon a May 31, 2004 valuation of the fair value of the common stock of LVSLLC and its subsidiaries by an unaffiliated valuation specialist. The Company did not deem it necessary to obtain an additional third party valuation at the time of the option grants in July because it had already received an independent valuation as of a date (May 31) very close in time to the option grant dates. However, in retrospective review and given the proximity of the July 2004 grant dates to the proposed initial public offering date, the Company believed at the time it prepared its third quarter financial statements that the fair value of its common stock of \$21.77 per share, based upon the mid-point of a preliminary estimated range for the proposed valuation in connection with the initial public offering, was the best estimate of the fair value of the common stock underlying the options at

their date of grant. As a result, the intrinsic value of the fully vested options granted during the year ended December 31, 2004 of \$49.2 million (\$16.13 per share) was recorded as compensation expense and is included in corporate expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The principal factors used to determine the mid-point of the preliminary estimated range of the shares to be sold in the Company s initial public offering were (i) the projections of the Company s three operating properties, The Venetian, The Sands Macao and The Sands Expo Center, and two future projects, The Venetian Macao and The Palazzo, (ii) the trading

106

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

multiples of gaming, hospitality and other leisure industry companies and (iii) discount rates appropriate for comparable projects.

The fully vested options to purchase the 3,052,460 shares could only be exercised by the delivery of cash or check, or its equivalent. Four employees of the Company received options to purchase 942,820, 707,115, 471,410, and 931,115, respectively, shares of common stock. On August 2, 2004, one employee exercised all of the options granted to him. Another employee exercised options granted to him to acquire 353,558 shares of common stock on August 2, 2004 and 353,557 shares of common stock on November 30, 2004. Another employee exercised options granted to him to purchase 235,705 shares of common stock on August 2, 2004 and 235,705 shares of common stock on November 30, 2004. The final employee exercised all of the options granted to him during 2005. The Board of Directors agreed not to grant any additional stock options under the 1997 Plan following the initial public offering and there were no options outstanding under it during the year ended December 31, 2006.

The weighted average grant date value of 3,052,460 options granted under the 1997 Plan during 2004 was \$21.44 per share and was computed under the minimum value method with the following weighted average assumptions; risk free interest rate of 3.84%; no expected dividends; and an expected life of 1/2 year. The total intrinsic value of options exercised under the 1997 Plan during the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 were \$38.2 million and \$34.2 million, respectively.

### Las Vegas Sands Corp. 2004 Equity Award Plan

The Company adopted the 2004 Plan for grants of option to purchase its common stock. The purpose of the 2004 Plan is to give the Company a competitive edge in attracting, retaining, and motivating employees, directors and consultants and to provide the Company with a stock plan providing incentives directly related to increases in its stockholder value. Any of the Company s subsidiaries or affiliates employees, directors or officers and many of its consultants are eligible for awards under the 2004 Plan. The 2004 Plan provides for an aggregate of 26,344,000 shares of the Company s common stock to be available for awards. The 2004 Plan has a term of ten years and no further awards may be granted after the expiration of the term. The compensation committee may grant awards of nonqualified stock options, incentive (qualified) stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, stock bonus awards, performance compensation awards or any combination of the foregoing. As of December 31, 2006, there were 21,436,738 shares available for grant under the 2004 Plan.

Stock option awards are granted with an exercise price equal to the fair market value (as defined in the 2004 Plan) of the Company s stock on the date of grant. The outstanding stock options generally vest over four years and have 10-year contractual terms. Compensation cost for all stock option grants, which all have graded vesting, is net of estimated forfeitures and is recognized on a straight-line basis over the awards—respective requisite service periods. The Company estimates the fair value of stock options using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Expected volatilities are based on the historical volatilities from a selection of companies from the Company—s peer group due to the Company—s lack of historical information. The Company used the simplified method for estimating expected option life, as the options qualify as—plain-vanilla—options. The risk-free interest rate for periods equal to the expected term of the stock option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

The fair value of each option grant was estimated on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

Edgar Filing: LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP - Form 10-K

		2006	2005	2004
Weighted average volatility Expected term (in years) Risk-free rate Expected dividends		31.25% 6.0 4.54%	31.45% 6.0 4.14%	40.00% 6.0 3.66%
	107			

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The weighted average grant date fair value of 3,164,243 options, 304,820 options and 2,185,783 options granted under the 2004 Plan during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, was \$21.24, \$13.87 and \$12.78 per share, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised under the 2004 Plan during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$10.3 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.

A summary of the status of the Company s 2004 Plan for the year ended December 31, 2006 is presented below:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price		Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2006	2,097,960	\$	29.83		
Granted	3,164,243		53.48		
Exercised	(240,912)		30.01		
Forfeited	(445,789)		35.67		
Outstanding at December 31, 2006	4,575,502	\$	45.61	8.83	\$ 200,727,273
Exercisable at December 31, 2006	352,075	\$	29.29	7.97	\$ 21,191,394

### Restricted Stock Awards

A summary of the status of the Company s nonvested restricted shares for the year ended December 31, 2006 is presented below:

	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Nonvested at January 1, 2006	8,088	\$ 37.09
Granted	77,829	44.00
Vested	(8,088)	37.09
Forfeited	(5,869)	42.59
Nonvested at December 31, 2006	71,960	\$ 44.12

As of December 31, 2006, there was \$55.8 million of unrecognized compensation cost, net of estimated forfeitures of 8.0%, related to nonvested stock options and there was \$2.1 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested restricted stock. The stock option and restricted stock costs are expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.2 years and 1.9 years, respectively.

For the year ended December 31, 2006, cash received from stock option exercises was \$7.2 million and the tax benefit realized for the tax deductions from those exercises totaled \$1.9 million. For the year ended December 31, 2005, no cash was received from stock option exercises; however, the tax benefit realized for the tax deduction from those exercises totaled \$8.1 million.

## Note 13 Employee Benefit Plans

The Company is self-insured for health care and workers compensation benefits for its employees. The liability for claims filed and estimates of claims incurred but not filed is included in other accrued liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet.

Participation in the Venetian Casino Resort, LLC 401(k) employee savings plan is available for all full-time employees. The savings plan allows participants to defer, on a pre-tax basis, a portion of their salary and accumulate

108

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

tax-deferred earnings as a retirement fund. The Company matches 150% of the first \$390 of employee contributions and 50% of employee contributions in excess of \$390 up to a maximum of 5% of participating employee s eligible gross wages. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company s matching contributions under the savings plan were \$4.5 million, \$3.1 million and \$2.7 million, respectively.

Participation in Venetian Macau s provident retirement fund is available for all permanent employees after a three-month probation period. Venetian Macau contributes 5% of each employee s basic salary to the fund and the employee is eligible to receive 30% of these contributions after working for three consecutive years, gradually increasing to 100% after working for ten years. For the year ended December 31, 2006, Venetian Macau s contributions into the provident fund were \$4.9 million. No contributions were made during 2005 and 2004.

### **Note 14 Related Party Transactions**

The Principal Stockholder is a partner in four entities that operate restaurants in The Venetian. The terms and conditions of the leases granted by the Company for such restaurants were at amounts which management believed would be no more favorable than those negotiated with independent third parties. Valentino Las Vegas, LLC and Night Market, LLC paid The Venetian \$0.5 million and Postrio Las Vegas, LLC and Carnevale Coffee Bar, LLC paid the Grand Canal Shops II, LLC \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. The Company purchased the lease interest and assets of Carnevale Coffee Bar, LLC during 2003 for \$3.1 million, payable in installments of \$0.6 million during 2003, and \$0.3 million annually over ten years, beginning in 2004 through September 1, 2013. As a result of the sale of the Mall (See Note 10 Mall Sale), there were no amounts paid to the Company for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 from the entities noted above.

The Company paid approximately \$4.3 million, \$3.0 million and \$3.1 million during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, to a travel agent and charter tour operator for travel related services, which is controlled by the Principal Stockholder.

During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Principal Stockholder purchased certain banquet room and catering goods and services from The Venetian of approximately \$1.0 million. No such goods or services were purchased during 2006.

The Company purchased hotel guest amenities from a company that is controlled by the Principal Stockholder s brother. The total amount paid was approximately \$1.2 million, \$1.8 million and \$2.4 million during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. In 2004, the Company also paid the Principal Stockholder s brother a finder s fee of \$1.3 million in connection with securing an agreement with a laundry provider.

During the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company incurred and paid certain expenses totaling \$1.3 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, to its Principal Stockholder related to the Company s use of his personal aircraft for business purposes. In addition, during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company charged and received from the Principal Stockholder \$3.3 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, related to aviation costs incurred by the Company for the Principal Stockholder s use of Company aviation personnel and assets for personal purposes.

As of December 31, 2004, the Company incurred certain expenses and had certain payables totaling \$1.7 million and \$0.9 million, respectively to its Principal Stockholder related to the Company s use of his personal aircraft for business purposes.

109

### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### **Note 15** Segment Information

The Company reviews the results of operations based on the following geographic segments: (1) Las Vegas, which includes The Venetian, The Sands Expo Center and The Palazzo (currently under construction) and (2) Macao, which includes The Sands Macao, The Venetian Macao (currently under construction) and other development projects. In addition, Singapore, which includes the Marina Bay Sands (currently in development), will be reported as a separate segment. Effective April 1, 2006, the Company changed its segments based upon changes in the information used by the chief operating decision maker to include The Sands Expo Center within the Las Vegas segment. The information for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 has been reclassified to conform to the current presentation. The Company s segment information is as follows for the three years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 (in thousands):

		Year Ended December 31,					
	20		2006 2005			2004	
Net Revenues							
Las Vegas	\$	959,700	\$	844,313	\$	799,846	
Macao		1,277,159		896,599		397,210	
Total net revenues	\$	2,236,859	\$	1,740,912	\$	1,197,056	
Adjusted EBITDAR <sup>(1)</sup>							
Las Vegas	\$	368,570	\$	323,549	\$	314,759	
Macao		455,755		341,747		159,529	
Total adjusted EBITDAR		824,325		665,296		474,288	
Other Operating Costs and Expenses							
Corporate expense		(59,570)		(38,297)		(126,356)	
Rental expense		(13,478)		(14,841)		(12,033)	
Depreciation and amortization		(110,771)		(95,296)		(69,432)	
Gain (loss) on disposal of assets		(2,624)		(1,441)		385,927	
Pre-opening expense		(37,673)		(3,732)		(19,025)	
Development expense		(26,112)		(22,238)		(14,901)	
Operating income		574,097		489,451		618,468	
Other Non-Operating Costs and Expenses							
Interest income		66,191		33,111		7,740	
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized		(135,853)		(96,292)		(138,077)	
Other expense		(189)		(1,334)		(131)	
Loss on early retirement of debt				(137,000)		(6,553)	
Income before income taxes		504,246		287,936		481,447	
Benefit (provision) for income taxes		(62,243)		(4,250)		13,736	

Net income \$ 442,003 \$ 283,686 \$ 495,183

(1) Adjusted EBITDAR is net income before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, pre-opening expense, development expense, other expense, gain (loss) on disposal of assets, loss on early retirement of debt, rental expense and corporate expense. Adjusted EBITDAR is used by management as the primary measure of operating performance of its properties and to compare the operating performance of its properties with those of its competitors.

110

#### LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

	Year I	Ende	d Decembe	r 31	,
	2006		2005		2004
Capital Expenditures					
Las Vegas Sands Corp. and Other	\$ 49,506	\$	529	\$	40,032
Las Vegas:					
The Venetian	109,119		138,015		117,578
The Palazzo	530,455		333,835		110,342
Macao:					
The Sands Macao	98,498		39,486		190,049
The Venetian Macao	1,023,861		348,305		7,747
Other Development Projects	100,695		451		
Singapore	13,157				
Total capital expenditures	\$ 1,925,291	\$	860,621	\$	465,748

	Year Ended 2006	December 31, 2005
Total Assets		
Las Vegas Sands Corp. and Other	\$ 209,701	\$ 307,679
Las Vegas:		
The Venetian	1,991,566	2,080,931
The Palazzo	1,179,157	605,320
Macao:		
The Sands Macao	537,990	425,597
The Venetian Macao	2,138,535	459,333
Other Development Projects	170,441	879
Singapore	899,068	
Total consolidated assets	\$ 7,126,458	\$ 3,879,739

#### Note 16 Dividends

Immediately prior to the July 29, 2004 acquisition of Interface by LVSLLC, Interface distributed approximately \$15.2 million to its sole stockholder, who is also the Principal Stockholder of LVSC. The distribution was comprised of \$12.9 million of cash, \$1.9 million of receivables due from the Principal Stockholder and \$0.4 million of certain fixed and other assets. Additionally, as further described in Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, the Company declared tax distributions to its stockholders of \$129.0 million during 2004. There were no dividends declared during 2006 and 2005.

## Note 17 Condensed Consolidating Financial Information

LVSC is the obligor under the 6.375% Senior Notes due 2015 issued by LVSC on February 10, 2005. LVSLLC, Venetian Casino Resort, LLC, Mall Intermediate Holding Company, LLC, Venetian Venture Development, LLC, Venetian Transport, LLC, Venetian Marketing, Inc., Lido Intermediate Holding Company, LLC and Lido Casino Resort, LLC (collectively, the Guarantor Subsidiaries ) have jointly and severally guaranteed the 6.375% Senior Notes on a full and unconditional basis.

The condensed consolidating financial information of the Company, the Guarantor Subsidiaries and the non-guarantor subsidiaries on a combined basis as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006, is as follows (in thousands).

111

**Table of Contents** 

## LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS

## **December 31, 2006**

	Las Vegas ands Corp.	Guarantor Ibsidiaries	n-Guarantor ubsidiaries	onsolidating/ Climinating Entries	Total
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 69,100	\$ 84,581	\$ 314,385	\$	\$ 468,066
Restricted cash	50,076	67,742	280,944		398,762
Intercompany receivables	170,844	59,004		(229,848)	
Accounts receivable, net	137	120,707	52,839		173,683
Intercompany notes receivable	73,154	52,736		(125,890)	
Inventories		10,100	2,191		12,291
Deferred income taxes	1,583	14,171		(66)	15,688
Prepaid expenses and other	1,793	7,826	15,448		25,067
Total current assets	366,687	416,867	665,807	(355,804)	1,093,557
Property and equipment, net	85,758	2,231,110	2,265,457		4,582,325
Investment in subsidiaries	1,919,079	831,931		(2,751,010)	
Deferred financing costs, net	1,176	23,113	46,092		70,381
Restricted cash		328,556	226,576		555,132
Deferred income taxes		907	4,141	(5,048)	
Leasehold interest in land, net			801,195		801,195
Other assets, net	78	12,468	11,322		23,868
Total assets	\$ 2,372,778	\$ 3,844,952	\$ 4,020,590	\$ (3,111,862)	\$ 7,126,458
Accounts payable	\$ 884	\$ 26,749	\$ 23,405	\$	\$ 51,038
Construction payables	674	67,068	261,633		329,375
Intercompany payables		43,261	186,587	(229,848)	·
Accrued interest payable	5,977	763	1,756		8,496
Other accrued liabilities	13,231	138,312	167,358		318,901
Intercompany notes payable			125,890	(125,890)	
Income taxes payable	20,352				20,352
Deferred income taxes			66	(66)	
Current maturities of long-term					
debt		1,800	4,686		6,486
Total current liabilities	41,118	277,953	771,381	(355,804)	734,648
Other long-term liabilities	2,981	174,675	2,524		180,180
Deferred income taxes	5,372			(5,048)	324
Long-term debt	248,153	1,473,245	2,414,754		4,136,152

220

Edgar Filing: LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP - Form 10-K

Total liabilities	297,624	1,925,873	3,188,659	(360,852)	5,051,304
Stockholders equity	2,075,154	1,919,079	831,931	(2,751,010)	2,075,154
Total stockholders equity and liabilities	\$ 2,372,778	\$ 3,844,952	\$ 4,020,590	\$ (3,111,862)	\$ 7,126,458

112

## LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS

## **December 31, 2005**

	Las Vegas ands Corp.	Guarantor ubsidiaries	n-Guarantor ubsidiaries	onsolidating/ Climinating Entries	Total
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 202,196	\$ 87,173	\$ 167,477	\$	\$ 456,846
Restricted cash	50,052	3	21,662		71,717
Intercompany receivables	2,207	3,373	4,195	(9,775)	
Accounts receivable, net	245	81,204	3,329		84,778
Intercompany notes receivable	121,784			(121,784)	
Inventories		8,584	1,383		9,967
Deferred income taxes	11,748	(2,871)	(931)		7,946
Prepaid expenses and other	436	6,141	6,875		13,452
Total current assets	388,668	183,607	203,990	(131,559)	644,706
Property and equipment, net	38,471	1,744,352	817,645		2,600,468
Investment in subsidiaries	1,441,500	480,619		(1,922,119)	
Deferred financing costs, net	1,322	26,442	3,209		30,973
Restricted cash		571,143			571,143
Deferred income taxes	3,130	5,852	2,350		11,332
Other assets, net	79	12,485	8,553		21,117
Total assets	\$ 1,873,170	\$ 3,024,500	\$ 1,035,747	\$ (2,053,678)	\$ 3,879,739
Accounts payable	\$ 50	\$ 20,614	\$ 14,139	\$	\$ 34,803
Construction payables		54,234	109,698		163,932
Intercompany payables			9,775	(9,775)	
Accrued interest payable	5,977	1,157	784		7,918
Other accrued liabilities	8,053	116,029	122,308		246,390
Intercompany notes payable Current maturities of long-term			121,784	(121,784)	
debt		2,400	4,925		7,325
Total current liabilities	14,080	194,434	383,413	(131,559)	460,368
Other long-term liabilities	1,627	179,766	2,539		183,932
Long-term debt	247,925	1,208,800	169,176		1,625,901
Total liabilities	263,632	1,583,000	555,128	(131,559)	2,270,201
Stockholders equity	1,609,538	1,441,500	480,619	(1,922,119)	1,609,538

Total stockholders equity and

liabilities \$ 1,873,170 \$ 3,024,500 \$ 1,035,747 \$ (2,053,678) \$ 3,879,739

113

## LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

## For the year ended December 31, 2006

	Las Vegas Sands	Guarantor	Non-Guarantor	Consolidating/ Eliminating	
	Corp.	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries	Entries	Total
Revenues:					
Casino	\$	\$ 411,771	\$ 1,264,290	\$	\$ 1,676,061
Rooms		343,995	6,611		350,606
Food and beverage		141,284	51,129	(4,594)	187,819
Convention, retail and other	33,408	55,842	72,275	(35,833)	125,692
Total revenues	33,408	952,892	1,394,305	(40,427)	2,340,178
Less promotional allowances	(625)	(66,140)	(36,554)		(103,319)
Net revenues	32,783	886,752	1,357,751	(40,427)	2,236,859
Operating expenses:					
Casino		187,431	737,839	(237)	925,033
Rooms		85,420	231		85,651
Food and beverage		66,524	24,107	(1,518)	89,113
Convention, retail and other		34,464	35,036	(5,185)	64,315
Provision for doubtful accounts		17,645	422		18,067
General and administrative	<b>7</b> 0.000	178,682	85,160	(33,487)	230,355
Corporate expense	59,220	11.041	350		59,570
Rental expense		11,841	1,637		13,478
Pre-opening expense	2.200	1,369	36,304		37,673
Development expense	3,280	(35)	22,867		26,112
Depreciation and amortization	2,906	64,567	43,298		110,771
Loss on disposal of assets		684	1,940		2,624
	65,406	648,592	989,191	(40,427)	1,662,762
Operating income (loss) Other income (expense):	(32,623)	238,160	368,560		574,097
Interest income	12,457	31,571	30,186	(8,023)	66,191
Interest expense, net of amounts	12,437	31,371	30,100	(0,023)	00,171
capitalized	(16,921)	(73,615)	(53,340)	8,023	(135,853)
Other income (expense)	2,422	(478)	(2,133)	0,023	(189)
Income from equity investment in	2, 122	(170)	(2,133)		(10))
subsidiaries	470,823	342,579		(813,402)	

Edgar Filing: LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP - Form 10-K

Income before income taxes Benefit (provision) for income	436,158	538,217	343,273	(813,402)	504,246		
taxes	5,845	(67,394)	(694)				(62,243)
Net income	\$ 442,003	\$ 470,823	\$ 342,579	\$	(813,402)	\$	442,003
		114					

## LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

## For the year ended December 31, 2005

Las Vegas	Guarantor	Non-Guarantor	Consolidating/ Eliminating	
Corp.	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries	Entries	Total
\$	\$ 367,915	\$ 882,175	\$	\$ 1,250,090
	318,830	4,730		323,560
	119,301	31,108	(2,899)	147,510
17,909	39,047	65,328	(19,219)	103,065
17,909	845,093	983,341	(22,118)	1,824,225
(762)	(56,951)	(25,600)		(83,313)
17,147	788,142	957,741	(22,118)	1,740,912
	166,912	489,678		656,590
	81,778			82,058
	,		(255)	76,736
			(3,954)	58,068
	•			9,358
	148,043		(17,909)	192,806
38,200				38,297
		·		14,841
				3,732
				22,238
2,037				95,296
	1,117	324		1,441
40,883	578,216	654,480	(22,118)	1,251,461
(23,736)	209,926	303,261		489,451
12,365	20,005	9,775	(9,034)	33,111
(9.178)	(71.271)	(24.877)	9.034	(96,292)
(2,2,3)			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(1,334)
				(137,000)
298,967	284,534	( , - = )	(583,501)	( ) <del>- /</del> /
	Sands Corp. \$ 17,909 17,909 (762) 17,147  38,200  646 2,037  40,883 (23,736) 12,365 (9,178)	\$ 367,915 318,830 119,301 17,909 39,047  17,909 845,093 (762) (56,951)  17,147 788,142  166,912 81,778 62,819 29,317 9,101 148,043 38,200 13,280 678 646 217 2,037 64,954 1,117  40,883 578,216 (23,736) 209,926 12,365 20,005 (9,178) (71,271) (1,211) (132,834)	Sands Corp.         Subsidiaries         Subsidiaries           \$ 367,915   \$18,830   4,730   119,301   31,108   17,909   39,047   65,328   17,909   845,093   (762)   (56,951)   (25,600)   (25,600)   17,147   788,142   957,741   166,912   489,678   81,778   280   62,819   14,172   29,317   32,705   9,101   257   148,043   62,672   97   13,280   1,561   678   3,054   2,037   64,954   28,305   1,117   324   40,883   578,216   654,480   (23,736)   209,926   303,261   12,365   20,005   9,775   (9,178)   (71,271)   (123)   (132,834)   (4,166)	Las Vegas Sands Corp.         Guarantor Subsidiaries         Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries         Eliminating Entries           \$ 367,915 318,830 4,730 119,301 31,108 (2,899) 17,909 39,047 65,328 (19,219)         (2,899) (19,219)           17,909 845,093 983,341 (762) (56,951) (25,600)         (22,118)           17,147 788,142 957,741 (22,118)         (22,118)           166,912 489,678 81,778 280 62,819 14,172 (255) 29,317 32,705 (3,954) 9,101 257 148,043 62,672 (17,909)         (17,909) 38,200 97           38,200 646 646 217 21,375 2,037 64,954 28,305 1,117 324         1,561 668 3,054 28,305 1,117 324           40,883 578,216 654,480 (22,118)         (23,736) 209,926 303,261           12,365 20,005 9,775 (9,034) (9,178) (71,271) (24,877) (1,211) (123) (132,834) (4,166)

Edgar Filing: LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP - Form 10-K

Income from equity investment in subsidiaries

Income before income taxes Benefit (provision) for income	278,418	309,149	283,870	(583,501)	287,936
taxes	5,268	(10,182)	664		(4,250)
Net income	\$ 283,686	\$ 298,967	\$ 284,534	\$ (583,501)	\$ 283,686

115

**Table of Contents** 

## LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

## For the year ended December 31, 2004

	Las Vegas Sands	Guarantor	Non-Guarantor	Consolidating/ Eliminating	
	Corp.	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries	Entries	Total
Revenues:					
Casino	\$	\$ 320,990	\$ 387,574	\$	\$ 708,564
Rooms		311,680	323		312,003
Food and beverage		108,511	15,896	(2,841)	121,566
Convention, retail and other		41,037	78,597	(3,197)	116,437
Total revenues		782,218	482,390	(6,038)	1,258,570
Less promotional allowances		(53,210)	(8,304)		(61,514)
Net revenues		729,008	474,086	(6,038)	1,197,056
Operating expenses:					
Casino		143,925	196,427	(111)	340,241
Rooms		77,108	141		77,249
Food and beverage		55,599	10,367	(1,790)	64,176
Convention, retail and other		25,763	37,561	(3,269)	60,055
Provision for doubtful accounts		7,959			7,959
General and administrative		128,535	44,953	(400)	173,088
Corporate expense		62,793	64,031	(468)	126,356
Rental expense		9,869	2,164		12,033
Pre-opening expense		995	18,030		19,025
Development expense		3,741	11,160		14,901
Depreciation and amortization		51,524	17,908		69,432
Loss on disposal of assets		31,536	113		31,649
Gain on sale of The Grand Canal Shops		(417,576)			(417,576)
		181,771	402,855	(6,038)	578,588
Operating income Other income (expense):		547,237	71,231		618,468
Interest income	506	7,114	4,924	(4,804)	7,740
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized		(119,983)	(22,898)	4,804	(138,077)

228

Edgar Filing: LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP - Form 10-K

Other expense Loss on early retirement of debt Preferred return on Redeemable Preferred Interest in Venetian		(5,406)	(131) (1,147)		(131) (6,553)
Casino Resort, LLC Income from equity investment in subsidiaries	494,677	(16,826) 69,572	16,826	(564,249)	
Income before income taxes Benefit for income taxes	495,183	481,708 12,969	68,805 767	(564,249)	481,447 13,736
Net income	\$ 495,183	\$ 494,677	\$ 69,572	\$ (564,249)	\$ 495,183
		116			

## LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## For the year ended December 31, 2006

	Las Vega Sands	S	Guarantor	Noi	n-Guarantor	Consolidating/ Eliminating	
	Corp.	\$	Subsidiaries	S	ubsidiaries	Entries	Total
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ (28,16	67)	\$ 182,485	\$	(351,038)	\$	\$ (196,720)
Cash flows from investing activities: Change in restricted cash	(2	24)	174,848		(485,389)		(310,565)
Capital expenditures Notes receivable to	(49,5)	19)	(542,665)		(1,333,107)		(1,925,291)
Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries Repayment of notes receivable	(115,00	00)	(75,000)			190,000	
from Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries Intercompany receivable to Las	165,00	00	25,000			(190,000)	
Vegas Sands Corp. Repayment of receivable from Las			20,000			(20,000)	
Vegas Sands Corp. Intercompany receivable to			(20,000)			20,000	
Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries Capital contributions to	(104,46	54)	(31,408)			135,872	
subsidiaries	(9,54	49)	(6,993)			16,542	
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(113,55	56)	(456,218)		(1,818,496)	152,414	(2,235,856)
Cash flows from financing activities: Proceeds from exercise of stock							
options Tax benefit from stock option	7,22	26					7,226
exercises Capital contributions received Borrowings from Las Vegas	1,40	01	9,549		6,993	(16,542)	1,401
Sands Corp. Borrowings from Guarantor					219,464	(219,464)	
Subsidiaries	20,00				106,408 (25,000)	(126,408) 45,000	

Edgar Filing: LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP - Form 10-K

Repayment on borrowings from Guarantor Subsidiaries Repayment on borrowings from Las Vegas Sands Corp.			(165,000)	165,000	
Proceeds from Macao credit facility Proceeds from Singapore credit			1,350,000		1,350,000
facility			892,076		892,076
Proceeds from senior secured credit facility-revolver		254,129			254,129
Proceeds from phase II mall construction loan Proceeds from FF&E credit			86,000		86,000
facility and other long-term debt		37,715	75		37,790
Repayments on Venetian Intermediate credit facility Repayments on Macao credit			(50,000)		(50,000)
facility  Parayment on conion secured			(50,000)		(50,000)
Repayment on senior secured credit facility-revolver Repayments on FF&E credit		(25,000)			(25,000)
facility and other long-term debt Repayments on The Sands Expo		(2,999)	(14)		(3,013)
Center mortgage loan			(4,733)		(4,733)
Payments of deferred financing costs		(2,253)	(50,641)		(52,894)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	8,627	271,141	2,315,628	(152,414)	2,442,982
Effect of exchange rate on cash			814		814
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(133,096)	(2,592)	146,908		11,220
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	202,196	87,173	167,477		456,846
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 69,100	\$ 84,581	\$ 314,385	\$	\$ 468,066
		117			

## LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## For the year ended December 31, 2005

	Las Vegas Sands	Guarantor Non-Guaranton		Consolidating/ Eliminating		
	Corp.	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries	Entries	Total	
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ (4,102)	\$ 218,117	\$ 375,901	\$	\$ 589,916	
Cash flows from investing activities: Change in restricted cash Capital expenditures Capital contributions to	(50,052) (1,217)	(213,007) (429,103)	(430,301)		(265,386) (860,621)	
subsidiaries Note receivable from Las Vegas	(564,260)	(63,741)		628,001		
Sands Corp. Intercompany payment for airplane	(121,784)			121,784		
transfer	(40,000)	40,000				
Net cash used in investing activities	(777,313)	(665,851)	(432,628)	749,785	(1,126,007)	
Cash flows from financing activities: Transaction costs, initial public offering Dividends paid to shareholders	(487)	(21,052)			(487) (21,052)	
Proceeds from exercise of stock	313	(21,032)			313	
options Capital contributions received	313	564,260	63,741	(628,001)	313	
Borrowings from Las Vegas Sands Corp.			121,784	(121,784)		
Repayments on 11% mortgage notes		(843,640)			(843,640)	
Proceeds from 6.375% senior note, net of discount	247,722				247,722	
Proceeds from senior secured credit facility-term B		305,000			305,000	
Proceeds from senior secured credit facility-term B delayed		200,000			200,000	

Proceeds from phase II mall construction loan Repayments on Venetian Macao			28,500		28,500
senior secured notes-tranches A and B			(120,000)		(120,000)
Proceeds from senior secured			(,)		
credit facility-revolver Repayments on FF&E credit		31,000			31,000
facility		(1,800)			(1,800)
Repayments on The Sands Expo			(0.605)		(2 (07)
Center mortgage loan Repurchase premiums incurred in			(3,687)		(3,687)
connection with refinancing					
transactions		(113,311)			(113,311)
Payments of deferred financing costs	(1,438)	(9,783)	(55)		(11,276)
Net change in intercompany	(1,130)	(),(05)	(33)		(11,270)
accounts	(7,426)	35,895	(28,469)		
Net cash provided by (used in)					
financing activities	238,684	146,569	61,814	(749,785)	(302,718)
Effect of exchange rate on cash			757		757
Increase (decrease) in cash and					
cash equivalents	(542,731)	(301,165)	5,844		(838,052)
Cash and cash equivalents at	744 007	200 220	161 622		1 204 000
beginning of year	744,927	388,338	161,633		1,294,898
Cash and cash equivalents at end of					
year	\$ 202,196	\$ 87,173	\$ 167,477	\$	\$ 456,846
		118			

## LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## For the year ended December 31, 2004

	Las Vegas Sands	Guarantor	Non-Guarantor	Consolidating/ Eliminating	
	Corp.	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries	Entries	Total
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 515	\$ 156,232	\$ 216,622	\$	\$ 373,369
Cash flows from investing activities: Proceeds from sale of The Grand Canal Shops, net of					
transaction costs		649,568			649,568
Change in restricted cash Notes receivable from		(356,018)	120,343		(235,675)
stockholders		843	(638)		205
Capital expenditures		(210,926)	(254,822)		(465,748)
Capital contributions to		, , ,	, , ,		, , ,
subsidiaries		(183,895)		183,895	
Net cash used in investing activities		(100,428)	(135,117)	183,895	(51,650)
Cash flows from financing activities: Proceeds from initial public					
offering of common stock, net of					
transaction costs Dividends paid to shareholders Proceeds from exercise of stock	739,193	(112,107)	(12,920)		739,193 (125,027)
		11,964			11,964
options Contributions from shareholders		11,904	420		420
Capital contribution from			04.002	(04.882)	
Venetian Casino Resort, LLC			94,882	(94,882)	
Capital contribution from Las Vegas Sands, Inc.			89,013	(89,013)	
Repayments on 11% mortgage notes		(6,360)			(6,360)
Repayments on secured mall facility			(120,000)		(120,000)

Repayments on senior secured credit facility-term A and B		(2	294,583)			(294,583)
Proceeds from senior secured						667.000
credit facility-term B		(	665,000			665,000
Proceeds from Venetian Macao				10,000		10,000
Limited revolver				10,000		10,000
Repayments on Venetian Macao Limited revolver				(10,000)		(10,000)
Proceeds from Venetian				(10,000)		(10,000)
Intermediate credit facility				10,000		10,000
Repayments on FF&E credit				10,000		10,000
facility			(2,400)			(2,400)
Repayments on Interface			(2,100)			(2,100)
Nevada note payable				(127,512)		(127,512)
Proceeds from The Sands Expo				( - )- /		( ',- ',
Center mortgage loan				100,000		100,000
Repayments on The Sands Expo						
Center mortgage loan				(711)		(711)
Payments of deferred financing						
costs			(22,396)	(7,202)		(29,598)
Net change in intercompany						
accounts	5,219		(9,187)	3,968		
Net cash provided by financing	=			••••	(102.005)	00000
activities	744,412	-	229,931	29,938	(183,895)	820,386
Increase in cash and cash						
equivalents	744,927	,	285,735	111,443		1,142,105
Cash and cash equivalents at	144,921	•	205,755	111,443		1,142,103
beginning of year			102,603	50,190		152,793
beginning of year			102,003	30,170		132,773
Cash and cash equivalents at end						
of year	\$ 744,927					
•	,					