

GWG Holdings, Inc.
Form S-1/A
January 07, 2015

Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 7, 2015

Registration Nos. 333-197227 and
333-197227-01

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

AMENDMENT NO. 6 TO

FORM S-1

REGISTRATION STATEMENT
Under the Securities Act of 1933

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC.
GWG LIFE, LLC**

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
Delaware

26-2222607
20-4356955

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

220 South Sixth Street, Suite 1200
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
Tel: (612) 746-1944
Fax: (612) 746-0445

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of Registrant's principal executive offices)

Jon R. Sabes
Chief Executive Officer
220 South Sixth Street, Suite 1200
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
Tel: (612) 746-1944

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

Copies to:
Paul D. Chestovich, Esq.
Maslon Edelman Borman &
Brand, LLP
3300 Wells Fargo Center
90 South Seventh Street
Minneapolis, Minnesota
55402
Tel: (612) 672-8200

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public: from time to time after the effective date of this registration statement.

If the only securities being registered on this Form are being offered pursuant to dividend or interest reinvestment plans, please check the following box.

If any of the securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, other than securities offered only in connection with dividend or interest reinvestment plans, check the following box.

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, please check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

| Title of Each Class of Securities to Be Registered | Amount to be Registered | Proposed Maximum Offering Price Per Unit | Proposed Maximum Aggregate Offering Price | Amount of Registration Fee ⁽²⁾ |
|--|-------------------------|--|---|---|
| L Bonds | \$1,000,000,000 | (1) | \$1,000,000,000 | \$ 128,800.00 |

(1) The L Bonds will be issued in minimum denominations of \$25,000 and in \$1,000 increments in excess of such minimum amount.

(2) Previously paid.

The registrant hereby amends this registration statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this registration statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until the registration statement shall become effective on such date as the Securities and Exchange Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

Table of Contents

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted

Subject to Completion, dated January 7, 2015

GWG HOLDINGS, INC.

\$1,000,000,000 of L Bonds

GWG Holdings, Inc., through its subsidiaries, invests in life insurance assets in the secondary marketplace. Our objective is to earn returns from our investments in life insurance assets that are greater than the costs necessary to purchase, finance and service those policies to their maturity.

We are offering up to \$1,000,000,000 in L Bonds (the L Bonds) in this offering. This is a continuous offering and there is no minimum amount of L Bonds that must be sold before we can use any of the proceeds. The proceeds from the sale of the L Bonds will be paid directly to us following each sale and will not be placed in an escrow account. We will use the net proceeds from the offering of the L Bonds primarily to purchase and finance additional life insurance assets, and to service and retire other outstanding debt obligations. The minimum investment in L Bonds is \$25,000. Investments in excess of such minimum amount may be made in \$1,000 increments. The L Bonds will be sold with varying maturity terms, interest rates and frequency of interest payments, all as set forth in this prospectus and in supplements we publish from time to time. Depending on our capital needs and the amount of your investment, L Bonds with certain terms may not always be available. Although we will periodically establish and change interest rates on unsold L Bonds offered pursuant to this prospectus, once an L Bond is sold, its interest rate will not change during its term (subject, however, to the extension and renewal provisions contained in such L Bond). Upon maturity, subject to the terms and conditions described in this prospectus, the L Bonds will be automatically renewed for the same term at the interest rate we are offering at that time to other investors with similar aggregate L Bond portfolios for L Bonds of the same maturity, unless redeemed upon maturity at our or your election.

The L Bonds are secured by the assets of GWG Holdings, Inc. and a pledge of all of the common stock by our largest stockholders. Importantly, GWG Holdings' most significant assets are cash and its investment in subsidiaries. Obligations under the L Bonds will be guaranteed by our subsidiary GWG Life, LLC, which guarantee will involve the grant of a security interest in all of the assets of such subsidiary. The majority of our life insurance assets are held in our subsidiary GWG DLP Funding II, LLC, which is a direct subsidiary of GWG Life. The life insurance assets held by GWG DLP Funding II will not be collateral for obligations under the L Bonds although the guarantee and collateral provided by GWG Life will include its ownership interest in GWG DLP Funding II. These facts present the risk to investors that the collateral security we and our subsidiary have granted for our obligations under the L Bonds may be insufficient to repay the L Bonds upon an event of default. The security offered for the L Bonds will provide rights as to collateral that are pari passu with the holders of other secured debt previously issued by GWG Life and GWG Holdings. This generally means that claims for payment and entitlement to security among the holders of L Bonds and such other secured debt previously issued by GWG Life and GWG Holdings will be treated equally and without preference.

We may call and redeem the entire outstanding principal and accrued but unpaid interest of any or all of the L Bonds at any time without penalty or premium. L Bond holders will have no right to put (that is, require us to redeem) any L Bond prior to the due date unless in the case of death, bankruptcy or total disability. In the event we agree to redeem an L Bond upon the request of an L Bond holder other than after death, bankruptcy or total disability of such holder we will impose a redemption fee of 6% against the outstanding principal balance of the redeemed L Bond. This redemption fee will be subtracted from the amount paid.

We do not intend to list our L Bonds on any securities exchange during the offering period, and we do not expect a secondary market in the L Bonds to develop. As a result, you should not expect to be able to resell your L Bonds regardless of how we perform. Accordingly, an investment in our L Bonds is not suitable for investors that require liquidity in advance of their L Bond's maturity date.

We maintain a senior borrowing arrangement that subordinates the right to payment on, and shared collateral securing, the L Bonds to our senior secured lender. From time to time we may add or replace senior lenders and the particular arrangements under which we borrow from them. In addition, these borrowing arrangements with senior lenders restrict, and are expected to continue to restrict, our cash flows and, subject to certain exceptions, distributions from our operating subsidiaries. These provisions will restrict cash flows available for payment of principal and interest on the L Bonds.

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

We are an emerging growth company under applicable law and are subject to reduced public company reporting requirements. Please read the disclosures on page 8 of this prospectus for more information. Investing in our L Bonds may be considered speculative and involves a high degree of risk, including the risk of losing your entire investment. See Risk Factors beginning on page 19 to read about the risks you should consider before buying our L Bonds. You should carefully consider the risk factors set forth in this prospectus. The L Bonds are only suitable for persons with substantial financial resources and with no need for liquidity in this investment.

Please read this prospectus before investing and keep it for future reference. We file annual, quarterly and current reports with the SEC. This information will be available free of charge by contacting us at 220 South Sixth Street, Suite 1200, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 or by phone at (612) 746-1944 or on our website at www.gwglife.com. The SEC also maintains a website at www.sec.gov that contains such information.

Neither the SEC nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this prospectus is _____, 2015

Table of Contents

The L Bonds will be offered and sold on a best-efforts basis by Emerson Equity LLC, a registered broker-dealer and member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA). Emerson Equity will be our placement agent for the L Bonds in this offering for purposes of the Securities Act of 1933. Emerson Equity may retain other dealers to act as an agent on its behalf in the course of offering and selling L Bonds in this offering. We will pay Emerson Equity a selling commission ranging from 0.75% to 6.00% of the principal amount of L Bonds sold, depending on the L Bonds maturity date. We will also pay Emerson Equity additional compensation consisting of a dealer-manager fee, a wholesaling fee (payable only to wholesaling dealers), and an accountable expense allowance. Emerson Equity will share its commissions and accountable expense allowance with other dealers who may participate in the offering. We have also agreed to reimburse Emerson Equity for certain pre-offering expenses that we anticipate will aggregate to no more than \$30,000. The total amount of the selling commissions and additional compensation (including reimbursements, non-transaction-based and non-cash selling compensation) paid to Emerson Equity and any other FINRA member in the course of offering and selling L Bonds will not exceed 8.00% of the aggregate L Bonds. See Plan of Distribution and Use of Proceeds for further information.

| | Price to Investor | Aggregate Commissions, Fees, and Expense Allowances (1) (2) | Net Proceeds to Company |
|---------------------------|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Minimum Investment | \$ 25,000 | \$ 1,812 | \$ 23,188(3) |
| Offering | \$ 1,000,000,000 | \$ 80,000,000 | \$ 920,000,000(4) |

(1) Assumes an average sales commission of 5.00%, average dealer-manager fee of 0.50%, average wholesaling fees of 1.30%, and average accountable expense allowance of 1.20%. As explained above, actual commissions will vary based on the term of the L Bonds sold. Nevertheless, the total amount of selling commissions and additional compensation (consisting of dealer-manager fees, wholesaling fees and accountable expense allowance, together with non-transaction-based and non-cash selling compensation, if any) paid to the placement agent will not exceed 8.00% of the aggregate gross offering proceeds we receive from the sale of the L Bonds sold. Accordingly, and assuming our sale of all \$1,000,000,000 in principal amount of bonds offered hereby, the maximum amount of selling commissions we can pay is 6.00% of the gross offering proceeds we receive from the sale of the L Bonds (or \$60,000,000), the maximum dealer-manager fee we can pay is 0.50% of the gross offering proceeds we receive from the sale of the L Bonds (or \$5,000,000), and the maximum amount of aggregated accountable expenses, wholesaling fees, non-transaction-based and non-cash selling compensation we can pay will not exceed 2.50% of the aggregate gross offering proceeds we receive from the sale of the L Bonds. If all L Bonds sold have seven-year maturities resulting in sales commissions of 6.00%, then the maximum amounts of aggregate accountable expenses, wholesaling fees, non-transaction-based and non-cash selling compensation will not exceed 1.5%.

(2) Emerson Equity has agreed to offer the L Bonds on a best efforts basis.

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

- (3) Net Proceeds to Company based on the Minimum Investment are calculated after deducting (i) selling commissions and (ii) additional compensation (consisting of a dealer-manager fee, wholesaling fee, an accountable expense allowance and non-transaction-based and non-cash selling compensation). We expect that our own offering expenses, consisting of legal, accounting, printing, mailing, registration, qualification and associated securities offering filing costs and expenses, will through the course of the offering aggregate to approximately \$1,500,500, but for purposes of illustrating the Net Proceeds to Company based on the Minimum Investment, those offering expenses of \$1,500,500 are not reflected.
- (4) Net Proceeds to Company based on the offering of \$1,000,000,000 in principal amount of L Bonds are calculated as described in fn. 3 above, but also after deducting our own expected offering expenses of \$1,500,500.

We will issue the L Bonds in book-entry form, certificated form, or in the form of a global certificate deposited with a depository. Depending on the manner in which you purchase L Bonds, you may not receive a physical certificate representing your L Bonds. In all cases, however, we will deliver written confirmation to purchasers of L Bonds. Bank of Utah will act as trustee for the L Bonds.

The initial interest rates for the L Bonds based on the applicable maturity thereof is set forth in the table below.

| <u>Maturity Term</u> | <u>Interest Rate (%)</u> |
|----------------------|--------------------------|
| 6 months | 4.25 |
| 1 year | 5.00 |
| 2 years | 6.50 |
| 3 years | 7.50 |
| 5 years | 8.50 |
| 7 years | 9.00 |

We may change the interest rates applicable to unsold L Bonds from time to time during this offering, in which case the applicable interest rates will be set forth in an interest rate supplement to this prospectus. Once an L Bond is sold, the interest rate will not change during its term (subject, however, to the extension and renewal provisions contained in such L Bond).

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| <u>INDUSTRY AND MARKET DATA</u> | 2 |
| <u>HOW TO PURCHASE L BONDS</u> | 2 |
| <u>PROSPECTUS SUMMARY</u> | 4 |
| <u>RISK RELATING TO FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u> | 18 |
| <u>RISK FACTORS</u> | 19 |
| <u>USE OF PROCEEDS</u> | 35 |
| <u>CAPITALIZATION</u> | 37 |
| <u>SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | 38 |
| <u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION</u> | 39 |
| <u>BUSINESS</u> | 55 |
| <u>MANAGEMENT AND DIRECTORS</u> | 79 |
| <u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AND RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTION DISCLOSURES</u> | 85 |
| <u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</u> | 89 |
| <u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT</u> | 90 |

| | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| <u>DESCRIPTION OF THE L BONDS</u> | 92 |
| <u>PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION</u> | 109 |
| <u>MATERIAL FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS</u> | 113 |
| <u>STATE, LOCAL AND FOREIGN TAXES</u> | 118 |
| <u>ERISA CONSIDERATIONS</u> | 118 |
| <u>LEGAL MATTERS</u> | 120 |
| <u>EXPERTS</u> | 120 |
| <u>WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION</u> | 120 |
| <u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u> | F-1 |

GWG Holdings, Inc.
 220 South Sixth Street, Suite 1200
 Minneapolis, MN 55402
 Tel: (612) 746-1944
 Fax: (612) 746-0445

Table of Contents

ABOUT THIS PROSPECTUS

We have prepared this prospectus as part of a registration statement that we filed with the SEC for our continuous offering of L Bonds. Periodically, as we make material investments or have other material developments, we will provide a prospectus supplement that may add to, update or change information contained in this prospectus. We will endeavor to avoid interruptions in the continuous offering of our L Bonds. Nonetheless, our continuous offering may be suspended while the SEC or FINRA reviews certain amendments to our registration statement, until ultimately declared effective by the SEC.

Any statement that we make in this prospectus will be modified or superseded by any inconsistent statement made by us in a subsequent prospectus supplement. The registration statement we filed with the SEC includes exhibits that provide more detailed descriptions of the matters discussed in this prospectus. You should read this prospectus, the related exhibits filed with the SEC, and any prospectus supplement, together with additional information described below under Where You Can Find More Information. In this prospectus, we use the term day to refer to a calendar day, and we use the term business day to refer to any day other than Saturday, Sunday, a legal holiday or a day on which banks in New York City are authorized or required to close.

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus. Neither we nor the dealer-manager have authorized any other person to provide you with any information different from that contained in this prospectus or information furnished by us upon request as described herein. The information contained in this prospectus is complete and accurate only as of the date of this prospectus, regardless of the time of delivery of this prospectus or sale of our L Bonds. This prospectus contains summaries of certain other documents, which summaries contain all material terms of the relevant documents and are believed to be accurate, but reference is hereby made to the full text of the actual documents for complete information concerning the rights and obligations of the parties thereto. Such information necessarily incorporates significant assumptions, as well as factual matters. All documents relating to this offering and related documents and agreements, if readily available to us, will be made available to a prospective investor or its representatives upon request. During the course of this offering and prior to sale, each prospective L Bond holder is invited to ask questions of and obtain additional information from us concerning the terms and conditions of this offering, our company, the L Bonds and any other relevant matters, including but not limited to additional information necessary or desirable to verify the accuracy of the information set forth in this prospectus. We will provide the information to the extent it possesses such information or can obtain it without unreasonable effort or expense. If there is a material change in the affairs of our company, we will supplement this

prospectus or amend the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part.

No information contained herein, nor in any prior, contemporaneous or subsequent communication should be construed by a prospective investor as legal or tax advice. Each prospective investor should consult its, his or her own legal, tax and financial advisors to ascertain the merits and risks of the transactions described herein prior to purchasing the L Bonds. This written communication is not intended to be written advice as defined in Circular 230 published by the U.S. Treasury Department.

The L Bonds will be issued under an indenture, as amended or supplemented from time to time (referred to herein collectively as the indenture). This prospectus is qualified in its entirety by the terms of that indenture filed with SEC as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part. All material terms of the indenture are summarized in this prospectus. You may obtain a copy of the indenture upon written request to us or online at www.sec.gov.

The indenture trustee did not participate in the preparation of this prospectus and makes no representations concerning the L Bonds, the collateral, or any other matter stated in this prospectus. The indenture trustee has no duty or obligation to pay the L Bonds from their funds, assets or capital or to make inquiry regarding, or investigate the use of, amounts disbursed from any account.

Table of Contents

INDUSTRY AND MARKET DATA

The industry and market data used throughout this prospectus have been obtained from our own research, surveys or studies conducted by third parties and industry or general publications. Industry publications and surveys generally state that they have obtained information from sources believed to be reliable, but do not guarantee the accuracy and completeness of such information. We believe that each of these studies and publications is reliable.

HOW TO PURCHASE L BONDS

If, after carefully reading this entire prospectus, obtaining any other information requested and available, and being fully satisfied with the results of pre-investment due-diligence activities, you would like to purchase L Bonds, you will have two different ways in which to consummate a purchase: (1) DTC settlement, and (2) direct settlement with the Company.

1. *Depository Trust Company Settlement (DTC settlement)*. If your broker-dealer is a participant in the DTC system and makes DTC settlement available to you, then you can place an order for the purchase of L Bonds through your broker-dealer. A broker-dealer using this service will have an account with DTC in which your funds will be placed to facilitate the monthly closing cycle. Orders will be executed by your broker-dealer electronically and you must coordinate with your broker-dealer's registered representative to pay the full purchase price for the L Bonds by the final settlement date. Orders may be placed at any time, and the final settlement date will be the date on which your subscription agreement is accepted. You will be credited with ownership of an L Bond on the first business day following the month in which the subscription is made. However, interest will begin to accrue from the final settlement date. Your purchase price for L Bonds purchased in this way will not be held in escrow.

2. *Direct Settlement with the Company*. If you wish to purchase L Bonds through direct settlement with the Company, then you must complete, execute and return the Subscription Agreement to us together with a certified check or personal check payable to the order of GWG Holdings, Inc. Subscription Account (or wire sent to the Subscription Account) equal to the principal amount of L Bonds you wish to purchase. If you are working with a broker-dealer, your subscription materials and the certified check or personal check should be delivered to your broker-dealer, who will deliver it to us at the following address:

**GWG Holdings, Inc.
220 South Sixth Street, Suite 1200
Minneapolis, MN 55402**

Wire Instructions

GWG Holdings, Inc. Subscription Account
Account: 500023916
Routing: 091310521

Bank Name: Bell State Bank & Trust

Your purchase is subject to our acceptance. All information provided is confidential and will be disclosed only to our officers, affiliates, managing broker-dealer, legal counsel and, if required, to governmental authorities and self-regulatory organizations or as otherwise required by law. For your purchase to be effective as of the first business day of a calendar month, your completed and executed Subscription Agreement, together with your related funds, must be received by the final settlement date (i.e., the last business day of the prior calendar month).

Upon our receipt of the signed Subscription Agreement and acceptance of your purchase, we will notify you of such acceptance. We may, in our sole discretion, accept or reject any purchase, in whole or in part. In the event we do not accept your purchase of L Bonds for any reason, we will promptly return your payment. We may terminate or suspend this offering at any time, for any reason or no reason, in our sole discretion. You may obtain a copy of the Subscription Agreement from our website at www.gwglife.com, your broker-dealer (if you are working with one), or from us by contacting us at 1-877-494-2388.

2

Table of Contents

COVERED SECURITY

Our L Bonds are a covered security. The term covered security applies to securities exempt from state registration pursuant to Section 18 of the Securities Act of 1933. Generally, securities listed on national exchanges are the most common type of covered security exempt from state registration. A non-traded security also can be a covered security if it has a seniority greater than or equal to other securities from the same issuer that are listed on a national exchange. Our L Bonds are a covered security because they will be senior to our common stock, which is listed on The Nasdaq Capital Market.

Although the status of our L Bonds as a covered security will facilitate their purchase and sale to a broader range of investors than would otherwise be available to us, and although the offer and sale of a covered security generally involves fewer issuance costs to the issuer of such securities, our L Bonds are not a suitable purchase for all investors. Investors are urged to read carefully the risk factors relating to our business and our Company contained in the Risk Factors section of this prospectus beginning on page 19. In addition, investors should understand that because our L Bonds are a covered security exempt from state securities regulations, neither our Company, the L Bonds, or any other aspects of this offering have been the subject of any merit-based review by state securities regulators.

3

Table of Contents

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary highlights some of the information in this prospectus. It is not complete and may not contain all of the information that you may want to consider. To understand this offering fully, you should carefully read the entire prospectus, including the section entitled Risk Factors, before making a decision to invest in our L Bonds. Unless otherwise noted or unless the context otherwise requires, the terms we, us, our, the Company and GWG refers to GWG Holdings, Inc. together with its wholly owned subsidiaries. In instances where we refer emphatically to GWG Holdings or GWG Holdings, Inc., or where we refer to a specific subsidiary of ours by name, we are referring only to that specific legal entity.

Our Company

We provide financial services to consumers in the emerging secondary market for life insurance settlements. We target our financial service offerings toward consumers owning life insurance who can benefit from realizing the actuarial value of their life insurance policy. We believe the value proposition of our services to the consumers we serve is very high, and these consumers represent the fastest growing demographic in

the United States according to the U.S. Census Bureau. To address this growing need, we recently have expanded our services by offering consumers a range of options to access the actuarial value of their life insurance, including purchasing (i) all or a portion of their life insurance policy for cash, (ii) all or a portion of their life insurance policy in exchange for a different asset, and (iii) all or a portion of their life insurance policy in an installment sale that provides the selling consumer with a stream of cash flow. All of our services involve our purchase or financing of life insurance assets from consumers in the secondary market at a discount to the face value of the life insurance asset we obtain. In cases where we purchase a life insurance policy, we continue paying the policy premiums until maturity, in order to collect the policy benefit upon the insured's mortality. In this way, we hope to profit from the difference between our cost of obtaining and financing a life insurance asset, and the policy benefit we ultimately receive upon the mortality of the insured.

In addition to our goal of providing consumers with value-added services based upon the actuarial value of their life insurance policies, we seek to build a profitable and large portfolio of life insurance assets that are well diversified in terms of insurance carriers, mortality profiles and the medical conditions of insureds. We believe that successfully diversifying our assets will lower our overall risk exposure and provide our portfolio of life insurance assets with greater actuarial stability and more reliable returns. To obtain the growth and diversification we seek, we have raised capital through a variety of financing efforts that have included the public offering of our common stock, private and public offerings of structured debt securities, private offerings of preferred stock, and the use of a senior secured revolving credit facility. This offering of L Bonds is an extension of that strategy, and presents investors with an opportunity to participate in our business and the opportunity presented by the secondary market for life insurance. We believe that this investment opportunity is unique and attractive in that potential investment returns from life insurance assets are not correlated to general economic or financial market conditions.

According to the American Council of Life Insurers Fact Book 2013 (ACLI), individuals owned over \$11.22 trillion of face value of life insurance policies in the United States in 2012. This figure includes all types of policies, including term and permanent insurance known as whole life, universal life, variable life, and variable universal life. The ACLI reports that the lapse and surrender rate of individual life insurance policies for 2012 was 5.9%, over \$649 billion in face value of policy benefits in 2012 alone. These figures do not include group-owned life insurance, such as employer-provided life insurance, the market for which totaled over \$8.01 trillion of face value of life insurance policies in the United States in 2012, and the policies of which exhibit similar lapse and surrender rates, according to the ACLI. Consumers owning life insurance generally allow policies to lapse or surrender the policies for a variety of reasons, including: (i) the life insurance is no longer needed; (ii) unrealistic original earnings assumptions made when the policy was purchased; (iii) increasing premium payment obligations as the insured ages; (iv) changes in financial status or outlook which cause the insured to no longer require life insurance; (v) other financial needs that make the insurance unaffordable; or (vi) a desire to maximize the policy's investment value.

Table of Contents

The secondary market for life insurance has developed in response to the large volume of policy lapses and surrenders. Rather than allowing a policy to lapse as worthless, or surrendering a life insurance policy at a fraction of its inherent value, the secondary market can be a source of significant value to consumers. The inherent actuarial value of a policy in the life insurance secondary market often exceeds the cash surrender value offered by the insurance carrier. Without the development of the secondary market, insurance carriers would maintain monopsony power over the options offered to consumers who no longer want, need or can afford their life insurance.

Although still relatively new and still emerging, Conning Research & Consulting (Conning) reports that the secondary market for life insurance policies grew from \$2 billion in face value of benefits purchased in 2002, to over \$12 billion in face value of benefits purchased in 2008. During and after the 2009 credit crisis, the secondary market for life insurance contracted significantly, evidenced by Conning's report that investors purchased approximately \$2 billion in face value of life insurance benefits in 2012. Nevertheless, Conning reports that consumer demand for continued development of the secondary market remains strong, and there are indications of strengthening interest among investors. Conning maintains that, given the current economic condition and investor sentiment, the secondary market will likely increase, and the market's largest growth will likely come from companies that attract capital to purchase the assets. We believe that socio-economic and demographic trends further support the long-term development and growth of the secondary market for life insurance, and that the secondary market for life insurance represents a significant and expanding market opportunity. In support of this belief, Conning reports that the net market potential for policies sold in the secondary market exceeded \$109 billion in 2012, and is expected to grow to \$151 billion by 2019.

We believe that we are uniquely positioned to capitalize on this opportunity by providing value-added services to the consumers we serve, and new investment opportunities for investors, where both participants can profit. To participate and compete in our growing market, we have spent and intend to continue to spend significant resources: (i) developing a robust operational platform and systems for originating, purchasing, and servicing life insurance policies; (ii) obtaining requisite licensure to participate in the life insurance secondary market; (iii) developing financing resources, strategies, and capabilities for servicing a large portfolio of life insurance policies; (iv) recruiting and developing a professional

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

management team; and (v) establishing strategic relationships for delivering our services.

Since our formation in 2006, we have evaluated over 36,000 policies and acquired over \$1.7 billion in face value of life insurance policy benefits in the secondary market. In 2008, after selling approximately \$1 billion in face value of life insurance policy benefits, we adopted our current buy-and-hold strategy of investing in a portfolio life insurance assets and offering investors the opportunity to finance our ownership of the portfolio. As of September 30, 2014, we owned approximately \$788 million in face value of life insurance policy benefits with an aggregate cost basis (i.e., acquisition and ongoing premiums and financing costs) of approximately \$270 million.

A summary of our portfolio of life insurance assets as of September 30, 2014, is set forth in the table below:

Life Insurance Portfolio Summary

| | |
|---|------------------------|
| Total portfolio face value of policy benefits | \$ 787,964,000 |
| Average face value per policy | \$ 2,727,000 |
| Average face value per insured life | \$ 2,996,000 |
| Average age of insured (yrs.) * | 82.6 |
| Average life expectancy estimate (yrs.) * | 6.69 |
| Total number of policies | 289 |
| Number of unique lives | 263 |
| Demographics | 68% Males; 32% Females |
| Number of smokers | 3 insureds are smokers |
| Largest policy as % of total portfolio | 1.27% |
| Average policy as % of total portfolio | 0.35% |
| Average Annual Premium as % of face value | 3.31% |

5

Table of Contents

All of our services are premised on financial and actuarial modeling that assigns a present value to the face value of an insurance policy benefit. In this regard, the value we assign to a life insurance asset in the secondary market is primarily a function of: (i) the face value of the life insurance policy or portion thereof we may wish to acquire; (ii) the estimated life expectancy of the individual insured under the policy; (iii) the premiums expected to be paid over the life of the insured; (iv) market competition from other purchasers in the secondary market; and (v) the particular underwriting characteristics of the policy, relative to the characteristics of our portfolio of life insurance assets as a whole.

The types of policies for which we provide services are typically, but not always, universal life insurance policies. Universal life insurance is a type of permanent life insurance in which premium payments above the cost of insurance are credited to the cash value of the policy. The cash value is credited each month with interest based on the terms of the insurance policy agreement. If a universal life insurance policy were to lapse, the insured or other owner of the policy would nonetheless have a right to receive the cash surrender value of the policy. The cash surrender value is the cash value of the policy, less any surrender charges imposed by the insurance company for removing the cash value. Our services provide greatest value to a consumer when the actuarial value of the life insurance policy benefit exceeds the cash surrender value of the policy which is often the case. We also provide services to consumers who own term life insurance. Unlike permanent universal life insurance, term life insurance does not have a cash value associated with it. Nevertheless, most term insurance policies permit the policy to be converted into permanent universal life insurance. In the future, we may consider offering services in conjunction with variable universal life insurance, which differs from universal insurance in that the variable component of the policy involves the ownership of securities inside the policy. Regardless of the type of policy, we generally seek to purchase life insurance policies issued by rated life insurance carriers with investment grade credit ratings by Standard & Poor's (AAA through BBB), Moody's (Aaa through Baa3), or A.M. Best Company (aaa through bbb). As of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2014, over 93.5% and 95.2%, respectively, of life insurance policies within our portfolio were issued by companies rated A- or better under Standard & Poor's rating system.

Before acquiring a life insurance asset, we value the related life insurance policy by conducting an underwriting review. Our present underwriting review process generally involves obtaining two life expectancy estimates on each insured from third-party medical-actuarial

firms, and then averaging these two estimates. On occasion, we may obtain more than two life expectancy estimates, in which case we average the two life expectancy estimates that we believe are the most reliable, based on our own analyses and conclusions. In this regard, the two life expectancy estimates we ultimately choose to average may not always be the most conservative estimates we obtain. From time to time and as permitted by applicable borrowing covenants, we may modify our underwriting review process. For example, in anticipation of our planned marketing efforts, we recently changed our definition of a small face policy from \$250,000 in policy benefits to \$1,000,000 in policy benefits. For small face policies, rather than obtaining life expectancy estimates from third-party medical-actuarial firms, we may employ a modified underwriting review process involving the use of a combination of standard mortality tables, actuarial or medical consultants, and our own analysis to develop a life expectancy estimate for an insured.

We generally transact directly with the policy owner who originally purchased the life insurance in the primary market. Historically, we have purchased policies in the secondary market through a network of life insurance agents, life insurance brokers, and licensed providers who assist policy owners in accessing the secondary market. We expect to expand our origination practice by marketing directly to consumers through various marketing initiatives.

We have built our business with what we believe to be the following competitive strengths:

Industry Experience: We have actively participated in the development of the secondary market of life insurance as a principal purchaser and financier within the asset class since 2006. Our position within the marketplace has allowed us to gain a deep understanding of the life insurance secondary market. We have participated in the leadership of various industry associations and forums, including the Life Insurance Settlement Association (LISA) and the Insurance Studies Institute (ISI). Our experience gives

Table of Contents

us confidence in building a company to compete in the industry and acquire a portfolio of life insurance policies that will perform to our expectations.

Operational Platform: We have built and continue to refine and develop an operational platform and systems for efficiently tracking, processing, and servicing life insurance policies that we believe provide competitive advantages when participating in the life insurance secondary marketplace.

Origination and Underwriting Practices: We seek to use underwriting review processes and file documentation standards that generally meet published guidelines for rated securitizations of life insurance portfolios. We purchase life insurance policies we consider to be non-contestable and that meet our underwriting criteria and reviews. We consider a life insurance policy to be non-contestable once applicable state law prohibits the insurer from challenging the validity of the policy due to fraud. In this regard, state non-contestability laws generally require a period of one to two years to elapse after the initial issuance of the policy before that policy is considered non-contestable under state law. Non-contestability laws do not, however, prevent an insurer from challenging the validity of a policy procured by fraud for lack of an insurable interest at the time at which the policy was purchased, such as is the case with so-called stranger-originated life insurance policies. To the extent we use modified methodologies for estimating life expectancies for small face policies, those modified methodologies may not meet published guidelines for rated securitizations of life insurance portfolios.

Origination Relationships and Strategies: We have established origination relationships with life insurance policy brokers and insurance agents who submit policies for our purchase or financing. Our referral base knows our underwriting standards for purchasing life insurance policies in the secondary market, which provides confidence in our bidding and closing processes and streamlines our own due-diligence process. We expect to expand our origination methodology and channels with the proceeds of this offering (e.g., the addition of consumer marketing).

Life Expectancy Methodology: We generally rely on two life expectancy estimates obtained from independent third-party medical-actuarial underwriting firms to arrive at a life expectancy estimate we use for valuing a life insurance asset. For a majority of our life insurance asset purchases, we rely on estimates obtained from 21st Services and AVS Underwriting to develop our life expectancy estimate. We may, however, also obtain and use life expectancy estimates from other medical-actuarial underwriting firms. As explained above, we may from time to time modify our underwriting review processes, including our methodology for arriving at life expectancy estimates we use in ascribing value to a life insurance asset.

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

Pricing Software and Methodology: To calculate our expected returns on the investments we make in life insurance assets, we use actuarial pricing methodologies and software tools built by a leading independent actuarial service firm and currently supported by Modeling Actuarial Pricing Systems, Inc. (MAPS).

Financing Strategy: We have actively developed diversified financing strategy for accessing capital markets in support of our buy-and-hold strategy for our portfolio of life insurance policies, ranging from institutional bank financing to a network of broker-dealers registered with the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA), many of whom have participated in one or more of our Series I Secured note financing, our Series A preferred stock financing, or our earlier L Bond financing (such L Bonds originally issued under the name Renewable Secured Debentures and to be renamed L Bonds upon the effectiveness of the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part) (referred to in this prospectus as the previously issued L Bonds or the Renewable Secured Debentures). If in the future we determine to offer different kinds of investment products, we expect to leverage the network of broker-dealers that we have built over time.

7

Table of Contents

On the other hand, our business involves a number of challenges and risks described in more detail elsewhere in this prospectus, including the following:

Relatively New Market: Investing in life insurance assets in the secondary market is a relatively new and evolving market. Our ability to source and invest in life insurance assets at attractive prices materially depends on the continued growth of the secondary market for life insurance and the continued solvency of the life insurance companies that pay the face value of life insurance policy benefits.

Asset Valuation Assumptions: The valuation of our portfolio life insurance assets the principal asset on our balance sheet requires us to make material assumptions that may ultimately prove to be incorrect. These assumptions include appropriate discount rates, cash flow projections, and the life expectancy estimates we use for these purposes, any of which may ultimately prove to be inaccurate.

Ability to Expand Our Portfolio: Our business model requires us to achieve actual results that are in line with those we expect to attain from our investments in life insurance assets. In this regard, we believe that the larger the portfolio of life insurance assets we own, the greater likelihood there is that we will achieve results matching our expectations. Although we plan to expand the number of investments in life insurance assets using proceeds from the sale of our L Bonds, we may be unable to meet this goal. Furthermore, even if we successfully grow our portfolio of life insurance assets, we nevertheless may not achieve the results we expect.

Reliance on Financing: We have chosen to finance our business almost entirely through the issuance of debt, including the sale of L Bonds, previously issued L Bonds, Series I Secured notes, and our use of a senior secured revolving credit facility. Our business model expects that we will have continued access to financing (including financing to expand or replace our existing financing) in order to purchase and finance a large and diversified portfolio of life insurance assets, and thereafter pay the attendant premiums and financing costs of maintaining that portfolio. We will be required to rely on our access to financing to pay premiums and interest until such time as we experience a significant amount of mortality within our portfolio and begin receiving significant revenues from the receipt of life insurance policy benefits. Even if we obtain the financing we require, we may not receive life insurance policy benefits that match our cash flow projections or meet them in time to earn profits after the payment of financing costs.

Risk of Investment in Life Insurance Assets: Our investments in life insurance assets have inherent risks, including fraud and legal challenges to the validity of the life insurance policies. Examples of fraud include the possibility that the seller of a policy may have provided us with inaccurate or misleading information during the underwriting review process.

Effects of Regulation: Our business is subject to complex state and federal regulation. Changes in state or federal laws and regulations governing our business, or changes in the interpretation of such laws and regulations, could materially and negatively affect our business.

Our business also involves certain other challenges and risks described in the Risk Factors section of this prospectus.

Implications of Being an Emerging Growth Company

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

As a public reporting company with less than \$1 billion in revenue during our last fiscal year, we qualify as an emerging growth company under the Jumpstart our Business Startups Act of 2012, or the JOBS Act. An emerging growth company may take advantage of certain reduced reporting requirements and is relieved of certain other significant requirements that are otherwise generally applicable to public companies. In particular, as an emerging growth company we:

are not required to obtain an attestation and report from our auditors on our management's assessment of our internal control over financial reporting pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002;

8

Table of Contents

are not required to provide a detailed narrative disclosure discussing our compensation principles, objectives and elements and analyzing how those elements fit with our principles and objectives (commonly referred to as compensation discussion and analysis);

are not required to obtain a non-binding advisory vote from our stockholders on executive compensation or golden parachute arrangements (commonly referred to as the say-on-pay, say-on-frequency and say-on-golden-parachute votes);

are exempt from certain executive compensation disclosure provisions requiring a pay-for-performance graph and CEO pay ratio disclosure;

may present only two years of audited financial statements and only two years of related Management's Discussion & Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, or MD&A; and

are eligible to claim longer phase-in periods for the adoption of new or revised financial accounting standards under §107 of the JOBS Act.

We intend to take advantage of all of these reduced reporting requirements and exemptions, including the longer phase-in periods for the adoption of new or revised financial accounting standards under §107 of the JOBS Act. Our election to use the phase-in periods may make it difficult to compare our financial statements to those of non-emerging growth companies and other emerging growth companies that have opted out of the phase-in periods under §107 of the JOBS Act. Please see Risk Factors, page 32 (*We are an 'emerging growth company'*).

Certain of these reduced reporting requirements and exemptions were already available to us due to the fact that we also qualify as a smaller reporting company under SEC rules. For instance, smaller reporting companies are not required to obtain an auditor attestation and report regarding management's assessment of internal control over financial reporting; are not required to provide a compensation discussion and analysis; are not required to provide a pay-for-performance graph or CEO pay ratio disclosure; and may present only two years of audited financial statements and related MD&A disclosure.

Under the JOBS Act, we may take advantage of the above-described reduced reporting requirements and exemptions for up to five years after our initial sale of common equity pursuant to a registration statement declared effective under the Securities Act of 1933, or such earlier time that we no longer meet the definition of an emerging growth company. In this regard, the JOBS Act provides that we would cease to be an emerging growth company if we have more than \$1 billion in annual revenues, have more than \$700 million in market value of our common stock held by non-affiliates, or issue more than \$1 billion in principal amount of non-convertible debt over a three-year period. Furthermore, under current SEC rules we will continue to qualify as a smaller reporting company for so long as we have a public float (i.e., the market value of common equity held by non-affiliates) of less than \$75 million as of the last business day of our most recently completed second fiscal quarter.

9

Table of Contents

Corporate Organization

Our business was organized in February 2006. As a parent holding company, GWG Holdings was incorporated on March 19, 2008 as a limited liability company. On June 10, 2011, GWG Holdings converted from a Delaware limited liability company to a Delaware corporation through the filing of statutory articles of conversion. In connection with the conversion, each class of limited liability company membership interests in GWG Holdings, LLC was converted into shares of common stock of GWG Holdings, Inc. Our corporate structure, including our principal subsidiaries, is as follows:

GWG Life, LLC (a Delaware limited liability company formerly known as GWG Life Settlements, LLC), is a licensed life/viatical settlement provider and the guarantor of the obligations of GWG Holdings under our previously issued L Bonds. GWG DLP Funding II, LLC (a Delaware limited liability company), or DLP Funding II, is a wholly owned special-purpose subsidiary owning life insurance policies and is the borrower under our revolving line of credit from Autobahn/DZ Bank. The life insurance assets owned by DLP Funding II are held in the GWG DLP Master Trust II (a Delaware statutory trust). The trust exists solely to hold the collateral security (i.e., life insurance policies) granted to Autobahn/DZ Bank under our revolving line of credit. DLP Funding II is the beneficiary under that trust.

On June 24, 2014, we effected a 1-for-2 share combination (reverse stock split) of our issued and outstanding common stock. Unless otherwise expressly indicated herein, all common share figures contained in this prospectus have been adjusted to reflect the effectiveness of this share combination.

On September 24, 2014, we consummated an initial public offering of our common stock that involved the listing of our common stock on The Nasdaq Capital Market effective September 25, 2014.

Our principal executive offices are located at 220 South Sixth Street, Suite 1200, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 and our telephone number is (612) 746-1944. Our website address is www.gwglife.com. The information on or accessible through our website is not part of this prospectus.

Table of Contents

The Offering

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Issuer | GWG Holdings, Inc. |
| Indenture Trustee | Bank of Utah |
| Paying Agent | GWG Holdings, Inc. |
| Securities Offered | We are offering up to \$1,000,000,000 in principal amount of our L Bonds. The L Bonds are being sold on a continuous basis. |
| Method of Purchase | We will sell L Bonds using two different closing or settlement services, whenever available. The first service is DTC settlement, and the second is direct settlement with the Company. For more information, see Plan of Distribution. |
| Denomination | The minimum purchase of L Bonds is \$25,000 in principal amount. Additional L Bonds in excess of \$25,000 may be purchased in increments of |

\$1,000.

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Offering Price | 100% of the principal of the L Bond. |
| Limited Rescission Right | If you are purchasing L Bonds through direct settlement with the Company and your Subscription Agreement is accepted at a time when we have determined that a post-effective amendment to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part must be filed with the SEC, but such post-effective amendment has not yet been declared effective, you will have a limited time within which to rescind your investment subject to the conditions set forth in this prospectus. See Description of the L Bonds Limited Rescission Right for additional information. |
| Maturity | You may generally choose maturities for your L Bonds of six months or 1, 2, 3, 5, or 7 years. Nevertheless, depending on our capital requirements, we may not offer and sell L Bonds of all maturities at all times during this offering. |
| Interest Rates | The interest rate of the L Bonds will be established at the time of your purchase, or at the time of renewal, based upon the rates we are offering in this prospectus or our latest interest rate supplement to this prospectus (i.e., any prospectus supplement containing interest rate information for L Bonds of different maturities), and will remain fixed throughout the term of the L Bond. We may offer higher rates of interest to investors with larger aggregate L Bond portfolios, but only as set forth in the then-current interest rate supplement. |
| Interest Payments | We will pay interest on the L Bonds based on the terms you choose, which may be monthly or annually. Interest will accrue from the effective date of the L Bond. Interest payments will generally be made on the 15th day immediately following the last day of the month to the |

11

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|---|
| Principal Payments | L Bond holder of record as of the last day of that interest-payment period. Interest will be paid without any compounding. |
| Principal Payments | The maturity date for the L Bonds will be the last day of the month during which the L Bond matures. We are obligated to pay the principal on the L Bond by the fifth day of the month next following its maturity (or the first business day following such date). |
| Payment Method | Principal and interest payments will be made by direct deposit to the account you designate in your Subscription Agreement if you purchase L Bonds through direct settlement with the Company. If you purchase L Bonds through DTC settlement, principal and interest payments will be made to your brokerage or custodial account through DTC. |
| Renewal or Redemption at Maturity | Upon maturity, the L Bonds will be automatically renewed for the same term at the interest rate we are offering at that time to other investors with similar aggregate L Bond portfolios for L Bonds of the same maturity, unless repaid upon maturity at our or your election. In this regard, we will notify you at |

least 30 days prior to the maturity date of your L Bonds. In the notice, we will advise you if we intend to repay the L Bonds or else remind you that your L Bonds will be automatically renewed unless you exercise your option, at least 15 days prior to the maturity date, to elect to have your L Bonds repaid. If applicable, a new certificate will be issued.

If we determine that a post-effective amendment to the registration statement covering the offer and sale of L Bonds must be filed during your 15-day repayment election period, we will extend your election period until ten days following the postmark date of our notice to you that the amendment has become effective.

For any L Bonds offered hereby that mature after the three-year anniversary of the commencement of this offering, we expect that the renewal of such L Bonds may require us to file a new registration statement. In such a case, the new registration statement must be declared effective before we will be able to renew your L Bond. In this event, if the new registration statement has not yet been filed or become effective, we will extend your election period until ten days following the date of our notice to you that the new registration statement has become effective, which notice will include a new prospectus.

If L Bonds with similar terms are not being offered at the time of renewal, (i) the interest rate upon renewal will be

12

Table of Contents

(a) the rate specified by us in writing on or before the maturity date or (b) if no such rate is specified, the rate of your existing L Bonds, and (ii) the maturity will, if L Bonds of the same maturity are being offered at the time of renewal, be the same or, if not, the next earliest maturity. Accordingly, you should understand that the interest rate offered upon renewal may differ from the interest rate applicable to your L Bonds prior to maturity. See Description of the L Bonds Renewal or Redemption on Maturity.

Call and Redemption Prior to

Maturity

We may call and redeem the entire outstanding principal balance and accrued but unpaid interest of any or all of the L Bonds at any time without penalty or premium. L Bond holders will have no right to require us to redeem any L Bond prior to maturity unless the request is due to death, bankruptcy or total disability. In our sole discretion, we may accommodate other requests to redeem any L Bond prior to maturity. If we agree to redeem an L Bond upon the request of an L Bond holder, we will impose a redemption fee of 6% against the outstanding principal balance of the L Bond redeemed, which fee will be subtracted from the amount paid.

Ranking

The L Bonds will constitute secured debt of GWG Holdings. The payment of principal and interest on the L Bonds will be:

pari passu with respect to payment on and collateral securing the approximately \$28.2 million in outstanding principal amount of Series I Secured notes previously issued by our subsidiary GWG Life, and the previously issued L Bonds, of which approximately \$173.2 million in principal amount is outstanding as of September 30, 2014 (see the caption

Collateral Security (below);

structurally junior to the present and future obligations owed by our subsidiary DLP Funding II under our current revolving credit facility with Autobahn/DZ Bank (including the approximately \$79 million presently outstanding under such facility), and structurally or contractually junior to any future obligations that DLP Funding II or other primary obligors or guarantors may have under future senior secured borrowing facilities; and

structurally junior to the present and future claims of other creditors of DLP Funding II, including trade creditors.

The indenture will permit us to issue other forms of debt, including senior and secured debt, in the future. Any such secured senior debt will have priority over L Bonds with respect to claims for payment and claims for any

13

Table of Contents

collateral that is shared as between the holders of L Bonds and such senior secured debt.

To fully understand the foregoing summary, you should understand that *pari passu* means that claims for payment and entitlement to security among the holders of L Bonds, the holders of previously issued L Bonds, and the holders of Series I Secured notes previously issued by GWG Life, together with the holders of any later-created class of *pari passu* debt of ours, will generally be treated equally and without preference. We expect to continue our offering of Series I Secured notes and previously issued L Bonds for purposes of processing renewals only, and any such debt issued on a *pari passu* basis in the future would also be treated equally and without preference in respect of the L Bonds and any secured debt issued by GWG Life. Thus, in the event of any default on the L Bonds (or any other debt securities of ours that is *pari passu* with the L Bonds) resulting in claims for payment or claims on collateral security, the holders of the L Bonds and all such other debt securities that are *pari passu* with the L Bonds would share in payment or collateral in proportion to the amount of principal and interest owed on each such debt instrument. See *Description of the L Bonds Ranking* for further information.

Guarantee

The payment of principal and interest on the L Bonds is fully and unconditionally guaranteed by GWG Life. This guarantee, together with the accompanying grant of a security interest in all of the assets of GWG Life and the terms and conditions of an intercreditor agreement, makes the L Bonds *pari passu*, with respect to payment and collateral, with the Series I Secured notes issued by GWG Life and the previously issued L Bonds issued by GWG Holdings. On September 30, 2014, there was approximately \$28.2 million in outstanding principal amount owed on the Series I Secured notes and approximately \$173.2 million in outstanding principal amount of previously issued L Bonds.

Collateral Security

The L Bonds are secured by the assets of GWG Holdings, Inc. We have granted a security interest in all of our assets to the indenture trustee for the

benefit of the L Bond holders. Our assets consist primarily of our investments in our subsidiaries and any cash proceeds we receive from life insurance assets of our subsidiaries, and all other cash and investments we hold in various accounts.

The majority of our life insurance assets are held in our subsidiary DLP Funding II, LLC and its associated master trust. The L Bonds' security interest will be structurally subordinate to the security interest in favor

14

Table of Contents

of our senior secured lender under the revolving credit facility, together with any future senior secured lenders of ours. The assets of GWG Life, including proceeds it receives as distributions from DLP Funding II and derived from the insurance policies owned by DLP Funding II, are collateral for GWG Life's guarantee of the repayment of principal and interest on the L Bonds. As indicated above under Collateral, this security interest will be pari passu to other issued and outstanding debt of GWG Life and GWG Holdings, including our Series I Secured notes and previously issued L Bonds, respectively. The L Bonds are also secured by a pledge of a majority of our outstanding common stock from our largest stockholders, which pledge is pari passu with the pledge of such common stock to the holders of Series I Secured notes issued by GWG Life and to the holders of previously issued L Bonds. For a description of the meaning of the term pari passu, please refer to the caption Ranking above.

Indenture Covenants

The indenture governing the L Bonds places restrictive covenants and affirmative obligations on us. For example, our debt coverage ratio may not exceed 90%.

The indenture defines the debt coverage ratio as a percentage calculated by the ratio of (A) obligations owing by us and our subsidiaries on all outstanding debt for borrowed money (including the L Bonds), over (B) the net present asset value of all life insurance assets we own, directly or indirectly, plus any cash held in our accounts. For this purpose, the net present asset value of our life insurance assets is equal to the present value of the cash flows derived from the face value of policy benefit assets we own, discounted at a rate equal to the weighted-average cost of capital for all our indebtedness for the prior month.

We are required to notify the indenture trustee in the event that we violate this restrictive covenant for a period of 30 consecutive days. An event of default will exist under the indenture if a violation of this covenant persists for a period of 60 calendar days after the trustee's notice to us of a breach, or such a notice received from the holders of at least 25% in principal amount of outstanding L Bonds.

The indenture also places limitations on our ability to engage in a merger or sale of all of our assets. See Description of the Indentures' Events of Default and Consolidation Mergers or Sales for more information.

Use of Proceeds

If all the L Bonds are sold, we would expect to receive up to approximately \$918.5 million of net proceeds from this offering after paying estimated

offering and related expenses and after paying our estimated average

Table of Contents

selling commissions, dealer-manager fees, accountable expense allowance, wholesale commissions and our offering expenses. There is no minimum amount of L Bonds that must be sold before we access investor funds. The exact amount of proceeds we receive may vary considerably depending on a variety of factors, including how long the L Bonds are offered.

We intend to use a substantial majority of the net proceeds from this offering to invest in life insurance policies assets. We intend to use the remaining balance of the net proceeds from this offering for certain other expenditures we anticipate incurring in connection with this offering and in connection with our business. See **Use of Proceeds** for additional information.

No Market for L Bonds;

Transferability

There is no existing market for the L Bonds and we do not anticipate that a secondary market for the L Bonds will develop. We do not intend to apply for listing of the L Bonds on any securities exchange or for quotation of the L Bonds in any automated dealer quotation system. Nevertheless, you will be able to freely transfer or pledge L Bonds. See **Description of the L Bonds Transfers**.

Book Entry

The L Bonds may be issued in book-entry form, certificated form, or in the form of a global certificate deposited with a depository. See **Description of the L Bonds Registration and Exchange**.

Covered Security

Our L Bonds are a covered security. The term covered security applies to securities exempt from state registration because of their oversight by federal authorities and national-level regulatory bodies pursuant to Section 18 of the Securities Act of 1933. Generally, securities listed on national exchanges are the most common type of covered security exempt from state registration. A non-traded security also can be a covered security if it has a seniority greater than or equal to other securities from the same issuer that are listed on a national exchange. Our L Bonds are a covered security because they are senior to our common stock, which is listed on The Nasdaq Capital Market, and therefore our offering of L Bonds is exempt from state registration.

Although the status of our L Bonds as a covered security will facilitate their purchase and sale to a broader range of investors than would otherwise be available to us, and although the offer and sale of a covered security generally involves fewer issuance costs to the issuer of such securities, our L Bonds are not a suitable purchase for all investors. In this regard, please carefully review the Risk Factors contained in this prospectus, as well as the disclosures on page 3 under the heading Covered Security.

Table of Contents

Risk Factors

An investment in the L Bonds involves significant risks, including the risk of losing your entire investment, and may be considered speculative. Importantly, we maintain a senior borrowing arrangement that subordinates the right to payment on, and shared collateral securing, the L Bonds to our senior secured lender. From time to time we may add or replace senior lenders and the particular arrangements under which we borrow from them. In addition, these borrowing arrangements with senior lenders restrict, and are expected to continue to restrict, our cash flows and, subject to certain exceptions, distributions from our operating subsidiaries. These provisions will restrict cash flows available for payment of principal and interest on the L Bonds. For a summary of risks relating to this offering and our Company and business, please see Risk Factors, page 19.

Table of Contents

RISK RELATING TO FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain matters discussed in this prospectus contain forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions about our operations and the investments we make, including, among other things, factors discussed under the heading Risk Factors in this prospectus and the following:

- changes in the secondary market for life insurance;
- our limited operating history;
- the valuation of assets reflected on our financial statements;
- the reliability of assumptions underlying our actuarial models;
- the reliability of assumptions underlying our life expectancy estimates;
- our reliance on debt financing;
- risks relating to the validity and enforceability of the life insurance policies we purchase;
- our reliance on information provided and obtained by third parties;
- federal and state regulatory matters;
- additional expenses, not reflected in our operating history, related to being a public reporting company;
- competition in the secondary life insurance market;
- the relative illiquidity of life insurance policies;
- life insurance company credit exposure;

economic outlook;

performance of our investments in life insurance policies;

financing requirements;

litigation risks; and

restrictive covenants contained in borrowing agreements.

Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words like believes, could, possibly, probably, anticipates, estimates, project, expects, may, will, should, seek, intend, plan, expect, or consider or the negative of these expressions or other variations, or by a strategy that involve risks and uncertainties. All forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual transactions, results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future transactions, results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. We base these forward-looking statements on current expectations and projections about future events and the information currently available to us. Although we believe that the assumptions for these forward-looking statements are reasonable, any of the assumptions could prove to be inaccurate. Consequently, no representation or warranty can be given that the estimates, opinions, or assumptions made in or referenced by this prospectus will prove to be accurate. Some of the risks, uncertainties and assumptions are identified in the discussion entitled Risk Factors in this prospectus. We caution you that the forward-looking statements in this prospectus are only estimates and predictions, or statements of current intent. Actual results or outcomes, or actions that we ultimately undertake, could differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements due to risks, uncertainties or actual events differing from the assumptions underlying these statements. These risks, uncertainties and assumptions include, but are not limited to, those discussed in this prospectus.

18

Table of Contents

RISK FACTORS

An investment in the L Bonds involves a high degree of risk. Before purchasing L Bonds, you should carefully consider the following risk factors in conjunction with the other information contained in this prospectus. The risks discussed in this prospectus can materially harm our operations, operating results, financial condition or future results. If any of these risks materialize or occur, the value of our L Bonds could decline and could cause you to lose part or all of your investment. You should review the risks of this investment with your legal and financial advisors prior to purchasing L Bonds.

Risks Related to Our Company and Our Industry

Material changes in the life insurance secondary market, a relatively new and evolving market, may adversely affect our operating results, business prospects and our ability to repay our obligations under the L Bonds.

Our sole business is currently the purchase and ownership of life insurance policies acquired in the secondary market, which is a relatively new and evolving market. The success of our business and our ability to repay the principal and interest on our obligations, including our L Bonds, depends in large part on the continued development of the secondary market for life insurance, including the solvency of life insurance companies to pay the face value of the life insurance benefits, both of which will critically impact the performance of the life insurance assets we own. We expect that the development of the secondary market will primarily be impacted by a variety of factors such as the interpretation of existing laws and regulations (including laws relating to insurable interests), the passage of new legislation and regulations, mortality improvement rates, and actuarial understandings and methodologies. Importantly, all of the factors that we believe will most significantly affect the development of the life insurance secondary market are beyond our control. Any material and adverse development in the life insurance secondary market could adversely affect our operating results, our access to capital, our ability to repay our various obligations, including our L Bonds, and our business prospects and viability. Because of this, an investment in the L Bonds generally involves greater risk as compared to investments offered by companies with more diversified business operations in more established markets.

We have a relatively limited history of operations and our earnings and cash flows may be volatile, resulting in future losses and uncertainty about our ability to service and repay our debt when and as it comes due.

We are a company with a limited history, which makes it difficult to accurately forecast our earnings and cash flows. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we incurred a net loss of \$195,000, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, we incurred a net loss of \$7,888,058. Our lack of a significant history and the evolving nature of our market make it likely that there are risks inherent in our business that are yet to be recognized by us or others, or not fully appreciated, and that could result in us earning less than we anticipate or even suffering further losses. As a result of the foregoing, an investment in the L Bonds necessarily involves uncertainty about the stability of our earnings, cash flows and, ultimately, our ability to service and repay our debt. Accordingly, there is a risk that you could lose your entire investment.

The valuation of our principal assets on our balance sheet requires us to make material assumptions that may ultimately prove to be incorrect. In such an event, we could suffer significant losses that could materially and adversely affect our results of operations and eventually cause us to be in default of restrictive covenants contained in our borrowing agreements.

Our principal asset is a portfolio of life insurance policies purchased in the secondary market, comprising approximately 85% of our total assets at December 31, 2013 and 89% of our total assets at September 30, 2014. Those assets are considered Level 3 fair value measurements under ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, as there is currently no active market where we are able to observe quoted prices for identical assets. As a result, our valuation of those assets incorporates significant inputs that are not observable. Fair value is defined as an exit price representing the amount that would be received if assets

Table of Contents

were sold or that would be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

A Level 3 fair value measurement is inherently uncertain and creates additional volatility in our financial statements that are not necessarily related to the performance of the underlying assets. As of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2014, we estimated the fair value discount rate for our portfolio to be 11.69% and 11.56%, respectively. If in the future we determine that a higher discount rate is required to ascribe fair value to a similarly situated portfolio of life insurance policies, we could experience significant losses materially affecting our results of operations. It is also possible that significant losses of this nature could at some point cause us to fall out of compliance with certain borrowing covenants contained in our various borrowing agreements. This could result in acceleration of our loan balances under the revolving credit facility or our Series I Secured notes and the L Bonds, which we may not be able to repay. We may be forced to seek additional debt or equity financing to repay such debt amounts, which may not be available on terms acceptable to us, if at all. If we are unable to repay when debt comes due, then our senior lender or the holders of our Series I Secured notes and the L Bonds, or both, would have the right to foreclose on our assets.

In an effort to present operating results not subject to the valuation volatility associated with the discount rate we choose, we intend to provide additional non-GAAP financial disclosures, on a consistent basis, presenting the actuarial economic gain we expect within our portfolio of life insurance policies at the expected internal rate of return against the costs we incur over the same period. We report these very same non-GAAP financial measures to the lender under our revolving credit facility pursuant to financial covenants in the related borrowing documents. Nevertheless, our reported GAAP earnings may in the future be volatile for reasons that do not bear an immediate relationship to the cash flows we experience.

For further disclosure relating to the risks associated with the valuation of our assets, see the risk factor below *If actuarial assumptions we obtain from third-party providers* on page 24.

Our expected results from our life insurance portfolio will not match actual results, which could adversely affect our ability to service and grow our portfolio for diversification.

Our business model relies on achieving actual results that are in line with the results we expect to attain from our investments in life insurance assets. In this regard, we believe that the larger portfolio we own, the greater the likelihood that we will achieve our expected results. To our knowledge, rating agencies generally suggest that portfolios of life insurance policies be diversified enough to achieve actuarial stability in receiving expected cash flows from underlying mortality. For instance, in a study published in 2012, A.M. Best concluded that at least 300 lives are necessary to narrow the band of cash flow volatility and achieve actuarial stability, while Standard & Poor's has indicated that stability is

unlikely to be achieved with a pool of less than 1,000 lives. As of December 31, 2013, we owned \$741 million in face value of life insurance policies covering 239 lives. As of September 30, 2014, we owned approximately \$788 million in face value of life insurance policies covering 263 lives. Accordingly, while there is risk with a portfolio of any size that our actual yield may be less than expected, we believe that the risk we face is presently more significant given the relative lack of diversification in our current portfolio as compared to rating agency recommendations.

Although we plan to expand the number of life insurance policies we own using proceeds raised from our offering of the L Bonds, we may be unable to meet this goal if sufficient financing from capital sources is not available or is available only on unfavorable or unacceptable terms. Furthermore, even if our portfolio reaches the size we desire, we still may experience material differences between the actuarial models we use and actual mortalities.

Differences between our expectations and actuarial models (which include life expectancy estimates) on the one hand, and actual mortality results on the other hand, could have a materially adverse effect on our operating results and cash flow. In such a case, we may face liquidity problems, including difficulties servicing our remaining portfolio of policies and servicing our outstanding debt obligations. Continued or material failures to meet our expected results could decrease the attractiveness of our securities in the eyes of

Table of Contents

potential investors, making it even more difficult to obtain capital needed to service our portfolio, grow the portfolio to obtain desired diversification, and service our existing debt.

We critically rely on debt financing for our business. Any inability to borrow could adversely affect our business operations, our ability to satisfy our obligations under the L Bonds, and ultimately our viability.

To date, we have chosen to finance our business principally through the issuance of debt, including debt incurred by DLP Funding II under a senior revolving credit facility provided by Autobahn/DZ Bank (which we refer to throughout this prospectus as our revolving credit facility), our Series I Secured notes and the L Bonds. Our revolving credit facility is secured by all of the assets of DLP Funding II, has a maximum amount of \$100 million, and the outstanding balance at both December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2014 was approximately \$79 million. Obligations under the revolving credit facility have a scheduled maturity date of December 31, 2016, and obligations under our Series I Secured notes and the L Bonds have scheduled maturities as indicated below in the risk factor *If a significant number of holders . . .*, on page 29. Our debt arrangements comprise the most important sources of financing on which our business critically relies to grow our portfolio of life insurance policies and maintain those policies.

Our business model expects that we will have continued access to financing in order to purchase and finance a large and diversified portfolio of life insurance policies and pay the attendant premiums and costs of maintaining the portfolio, all while satisfying our current interest and principal repayment obligations under our revolving credit facility and other indebtedness. We expect to refinance our revolving credit facility, either through renewal or replacement, when it comes due on December 31, 2016. Pending the due date or refinancing of our revolving credit facility, we expect that proceeds from our life insurance policies will first be used to satisfy our obligations under that facility, as required by the agreement governing the revolving credit facility. Accordingly, until we achieve cash flows derived from our portfolio of life insurance policies, we expect to rely primarily on the proceeds from this offering of L Bonds to satisfy our ongoing financing and liquidity needs. Nevertheless, continued access to financing and liquidity is not guaranteed. For example, general economic conditions could limit our access to financing, as could regulatory or legal pressures exerted on us, our financiers or those involved in our general plan of financing such as brokers, dealers and registered investment advisors. If we are unable to borrow under the revolving credit facility or otherwise for any reason, or to renew or replace the revolving credit facility when it comes due in December 2016, our business would be adversely impacted and our ability to service and repay our obligations would be compromised.

Our investments in life insurance policies have inherent risks, including fraud and legal challenges to the validity of the policies, which we will be unable to eliminate and which may adversely affect our results of operations.

When we purchase a life insurance policy, we underwrite the purchase of the policy to mitigate certain risks associated with insurance fraud and other legal challenges to the validity of the life insurance policy. For example, to the extent that the insured is not aware of the existence of the policy, the insured him or herself does not exist, or the insurance company does not recognize the policy, the insurance company may cancel or rescind the policy thereby causing the loss of an investment in that policy. In addition, if medical records have been altered in such a way as to shorten a life expectancy report, this may cause us to overpay for the related policy. Finally, we may experience legal challenges from insurance

companies claiming that the insured failed to have an insurable interest at the time the policy was originally purchased or that the policy owner made fraudulent disclosures to the insurer at the time the policy was purchased (e.g., disclosures pertaining to the health status of the insured or the existence or sources of premium financing), or challenges from the beneficiaries of an insurance policy claiming, upon mortality of the insured that the sale of the policy to us was invalid.

To mitigate these risks, we require a current verification of coverage from the insurance company, complete a due-diligence investigation of the insured and accompanying medical records, review the life insurance policy application, require a policy to have been in force for at least two years before purchasing, and require a legal review of any premium financing associated with the life insurance policy to determine whether an insurable interest existed at the time the policy was originally purchased in the primary market.

Table of Contents

Nevertheless, we do not expect that these steps will eliminate the risk of fraud or legal challenges to the life insurance policies we purchase. Furthermore, changes in laws or regulations, or the interpretation of existing laws or regulations, may prove our current due-diligence and risk-mitigation efforts inadequate for us to have confidence that our portfolio of life insurance policies are unlikely to be successfully challenged or to purchase new policies with such confidence. If a significant face amount of policies were invalidated for reasons of fraud or any other reason, our results of operations would be adversely affected, perhaps materially.

Every acquisition of a life insurance policy necessarily requires us to materially rely on information provided or obtained by third parties. Any misinformation or negligence in the course of obtaining information could materially and adversely affect the value of the policies we own.

The acquisition of each life insurance policy is negotiated based on variables and particular facts that are unique to the life insurance policy itself and the health of the insured. The facts we obtain about the policies and the insured at the time at which the policy is applied for and obtained are based on factual representations made to the insurance company by the insured, and the facts the insurance company independently obtains in the course of its own due-diligence examination, such as facts concerning the health of the insured and whether or not there is an insurable interest present when the policy was issued. Any misinformation or negligence in the course of obtaining or supplying information relating to an insurance policy or insured could materially and adversely impact the value of the life insurance policies we own and could, in turn, adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, and the value of any investment in our company.

Our business is subject to state regulation, and changes in state laws and regulations governing our business, or changes in the interpretation of such laws and regulations, could negatively affect our business.

When we purchase a life insurance policy, we are subject to state insurance regulations. Over the past years, we have seen a dramatic increase in the number of states that have adopted legislation and regulations from a model law promulgated by either the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) or by the National Conference of Insurance Legislators (NCOIL). These laws are essentially consumer protection statutes responding to abuses that arose early in the development of our industry, some of which may persist. Today, almost every state has adopted some version of either the NAIC or NCOIL model laws, which generally require the licensing of purchasers of and brokers for life insurance policies, the filing and approval of purchase agreements, and the disclosure of transaction fees. These laws also require various periodic reporting requirements and prohibit certain business practices deemed to be abusive.

State statutes typically provide state regulatory agencies with significant powers to interpret, administer and enforce the laws relating to the purchase of life insurance policies. Under statutory authority, state regulators have broad discretionary power and may impose new licensing requirements, interpret or enforce existing regulatory requirements in different ways or issue new administrative rules, even if not contained in state statutes. State regulators may also impose rules that are generally adverse to our industry. Because the life insurance secondary market is relatively new and because of the history of certain abuses in the industry, we believe it is likely that state regulation will increase and grow more complex during the foreseeable future. We cannot, however, predict what any new regulation would specifically involve.

Any adverse change in present laws or regulations, or their interpretation, in one or more states in which we operate (or an aggregation of states in which we conduct a significant amount of business) could result in our curtailment or termination of operations in such jurisdictions, or cause us to modify our operations in a way that adversely affects our profitability. Any such action could have a corresponding material and negative impact on our results of operations and financial condition, primarily through a material decrease in revenues, and could also negatively affect our general business prospects.

Table of Contents

If federal or state regulators or courts conclude that the purchase of life insurance in the secondary market constitutes, in all cases, a transaction in securities, we could be in violation of existing covenants under our revolving credit facility, which could result in significantly diminished access to capital. We could also face increased operational expenses. The materialization of any of these risks could adversely affect our operating results and possibly threaten the viability of our business.

Some states and the SEC have, on occasion, attempted to regulate the purchase of non-variable universal life insurance policies as transactions in securities under federal or state securities laws. In July 2010, the SEC issued a Staff Report of its Life Settlement Task Force. In that report, the Staff recommended that certain types of purchased life insurance policies be classified as securities. The SEC has not taken any position on the Staff Report, and there is no indication if the SEC will take or advocate for any action to implement the recommendations of the Staff Report. In addition, there have been several federal court cases in which transactions involving the purchase and fractionalization of life insurance contracts have been held to be transactions in securities under the federal Securities Act of 1933. We believe that the matters discussed in the Staff Report, and existing case law, do not presently impact our current business model since our purchases of life settlements are currently distinguishable from those cases that have been held by courts, and advocated by the Staff Report, to be transactions in securities. For example, presently neither we nor any of our affiliates are involved in the fractionalization of any life insurance policies, and we do not presently purchase variable life insurance policies.

With respect to state securities laws, almost all states currently treat the sale of a life insurance policy as a securities transaction under state laws, although some states exclude from the definition of security the original sale from the insured or the policy owner to the life settlement provider. To date, due to the manner in which we conduct and structure our activities and the availability, in certain instances, of exceptions and exemptions under those state laws, such laws have not adversely impacted our business model.

As a practical matter, the widespread application of federal securities laws to our purchases of life insurance policies, either through the expansion of the definition of what constitutes a security, the expansion of the types of transactions in life insurance policies that would constitute transactions in securities, or the elimination or limitation of available exemptions and exceptions (whether by statutory change, regulatory change, or administrative or court interpretation) could burden us and other companies operating in the life insurance secondary market through the imposition of additional processes in the purchase of life insurance policies or the imposition of additional corporate governance and operational requirements through the application of the federal Investment Company Act of 1940. Any such burdens could be material. Among the particular repercussions for us would be a violation of existing covenants under our revolving credit facility requiring us to not be an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, which could in the short or long term affect our liquidity and increase our cost of capital and operational expenses, all of which would adversely affect our operating results. It is possible that such an outcome could threaten the viability of our business and our ability to satisfy our obligations as they come due, including obligations under our L Bonds.

Being a public company results in additional expenses and diverts management's attention, and could also adversely affect our ability to attract and retain qualified directors.

We have been a public reporting company since January 31, 2012. As a public reporting company, we are subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These requirements generate significant accounting, legal and financial compliance costs, and make some activities more difficult, time consuming or costly, and may place significant strain on our personnel and resources. The Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires, among other things, that we maintain effective disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting. In order to establish the requisite disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting, significant resources and management oversight are required.

As a result, management's attention may be diverted from other business concerns, which could have an adverse and even material effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. These rules and regulations may also make it more difficult and expensive for us to obtain director and officer liability

Table of Contents

insurance. If we are unable to obtain appropriate director and officer insurance, our ability to recruit and retain qualified officers and directors, especially those directors who may be deemed independent, could be adversely impacted.

Our business and prospects may be adversely affected by changes, lack of growth or increased competition in the life insurance secondary market.

The growth of the life insurance policy secondary market and our expansion within the market may be negatively affected by a variety of factors beyond our control, including:

- the inability to locate sufficient numbers of life insurance policy sellers and agents to source such sellers;
- the inability to convince life insurance policy owners of the benefits of selling their life insurance policy;
- competition from other companies in the life insurance secondary market;
- negative publicity about the market based on actual or perceived abuses; and
- the adoption of additional governmental regulation.

The relatively new and evolving nature of the market in which we operate makes these risks unique and difficult to quantify. Nevertheless, contractions in the secondary market for life insurance policies, whether resulting from general economic conditions, regulatory or legal pressures or otherwise (including regulatory pressures exerted on us or others involved in the secondary market for life insurance or involved with participants in that market), could make participation in that market generally less desirable. This could, in turn, depress the prices at which life insurance policies on the secondary market are bought and sold. As indicated elsewhere in this prospectus, decreases in the value of life insurance policies on the secondary market could negatively affect our results of operations and our financial condition since the value of our policy portfolio is marked to market on a quarterly basis.

Changes in general economic conditions could adversely impact our business.

Changes in general economic conditions, including, for example, interest rates, investor sentiment, changes specifically affecting the insurance industry, competition, technological developments, political and diplomatic events, tax laws, and other factors not known to us today, can substantially and adversely affect our business and prospects. For example, changes in interest rates may increase our cost of capital and ability to raise capital, and have a corresponding adverse impact on our operating results. While we may engage in certain hedging activities to mitigate the impact of these changes, none of these conditions are or will be within our control.

If actuarial assumptions we obtain from third-party providers and rely on to model our expected returns on our investments in life insurance policies change, our operating results and cash flow could be adversely affected, as well as the value of our collateral and our ability to service our debt obligations, including obligations owed to the holders of L Bonds.

The expected internal rate of return we calculate we will earn when purchasing a life insurance policy is based upon a probability curve estimate of how long the insured will live an actuarial life expectancy estimate. We presently obtain actuarial life expectancy estimates from third-party medical-actuarial underwriting companies. In the case of small face policies, which we currently define as life insurance policies with less than \$1,000,000 in face value of policy benefits, we may choose not to obtain life expectancies from third-party medical-actuarial firms, but rather use standard mortality tables to develop our own life expectancy of an insured. These actuarial life expectancies are subject to interpretation and change based on evolving medical technology, actuarial data and analytical techniques. Any increase in the actuarial life expectancy estimates of insureds within our portfolio could have a materially adverse effect on our operating results and cash flow. Adverse impacts on the value of our life insurance policy portfolio or our cash flow could in turn

impair the value of the collateral we have pledged to our creditors, including the holders of our L Bonds, and our ability to service our debt and obligations as they come due.

For example, on January 22, 2013, 21st Services, an independent provider of life expectancy analysis and related services for the life settlement industry in general, announced advancements in its underwriting methodology, resulting in revised life expectancy mortality tables for life settlement transactions. Based on information publicly released by 21st Services, the revised tables incorporate significantly more older-age mortality data than earlier versions commonly used by the life insurance industry, resulting in a far greater ability to:

assess the magnitude of impact that hundreds of different types of health impairments have on senior mortality on a case-by-case basis;

apply credits and debits during the underwriting process in a manner that accounts for the different impacts of the same impairments for males and females; and

reflect the difference in mortality between insureds who have sold policies and the group of 90,000 insureds underwritten by 21st Services, most of whom did not ultimately sell their policies in the life settlement market (such difference is frequently referred to in the life-settlement industry as anti-selection).

21st Services reported that the revised mortality tables reflected an average 19% increase in the life expectancy of insureds. Nevertheless, 21st Services representatives have also advised us that generalizations could not be gleaned from their report as the changes that were made were very granular and dependent upon the specific medical conditions of an insured, as well as other factors. More specifically, mortality tables increased the general life expectancies most significantly for people leading an active lifestyle. The revised tables also generally reflect increased life expectancies for non-smoking men and women. 21st Services representatives have further advised us that (i) certain medical conditions have resulted in increased life expectancies (e.g., cardiovascular disease) and some conditions resulted in decreased life expectancies, and (ii) the revised tables also have greater impact on the life expectancies of insureds who are younger.

For a majority of our life insurance policy purchases, we use 21st Services life expectancy estimates as one of two such estimates we generally obtain prior to purchasing life insurance policies on the secondary market and average those estimates for our life expectancy estimate. The life expectancy of an insured has an inverse relationship to the expected internal rate of return to be generated from life insurance policies purchased in the secondary market. A reduced internal rate of return may reduce the value of a life insurance policy available for purchase on the secondary market, and the value of life insurance policies already purchased by us and being serviced in our portfolio.

As of December 31, 2012, we increased all life expectancy reports provided by 21st Services by an average of 8.67%. The impact of this adjustment to the fair value of our portfolio was a decrease of \$12.4 million as of December 31, 2012, and the impact on our expected internal rate of return was a decrease from 14.27% to 12.84%. In February 2013, we began the process of evaluating the impact of 21st Services' revised mortality tables upon our portfolio. We concluded that the adjustments we made a year ago were reasonable based upon the updated life expectancy estimates we have received as of December 31, 2013.

We generally rely on two life expectancy estimates from independent third-party medical-actuarial underwriting firms to develop our own life expectancy estimate. In some cases, we may obtain more than two life expectancy estimates. In those cases, we average the two life expectancy estimates that we believe are the most reliable of those we have received, based on our own analyses and conclusions. In this regard, the two life expectancy estimates we ultimately choose to average may not always be the most conservative ones we obtain.

In addition to actuarial life expectancies, we rely on pricing and premium forecasting software models developed by third-party actuarial companies for the valuation of policies we purchase, future mortality revenues, and the calculation of anticipated internal rates of return. These pricing models forecast the estimated future premiums due, as well as the future mortalities based on the survival probabilities of the

Table of Contents

insureds over their life expectancies. It is possible that the actuarial tables we presently use will again change in the future or that the mortality assumptions will fail substantially to meet actuarial estimates, and that any such failure could have a materially adverse effect on our business.

On September 15, 2014, 21st Services announced changes to its mortality tables primarily for insureds age 90 and older, as well as updated adjustment factors designed to better underwrite seniors with multiple impairments. These changes represent small portions of 21st Services historical underwritings and were not material to the company's portfolio of life insurance policies. We expect medical-actuarial underwriting firms to continue improving and refining their underwriting methodology. We will continue to monitor developments in medical-actuarial methodologies. We generally expect to incorporate such changes to our portfolio when we update our life expectancy reports.

We rely on estimated rates of mortality for the actuarial assumptions we use when valuing life insurance policies and forecasting the performance of our portfolio, and we also rely on other estimates derived from statistical methodologies for projecting our future cash flows, among other things. If our estimates prove to be incorrect, it could materially and adversely affect our ability to satisfy our debt service and repayment obligations, including our obligations under the L Bonds.

If we assume we will receive cash inflows from policies sooner than we actually do, we may not be able to make payment on our debt obligations, including our L Bonds, in a timely manner, or at all. Moreover, a significant discovery that results in mortality improvements among seniors, above historically predicted rates by medical actuaries providing life expectancies, could have a material adverse effect on our life insurance policy investments.

For example, we use a modeling method for projecting cash flows known as the probabilistic method. This is an actuarial method that uses a mortality curve to project the likely flow of policy benefits to us, and attempts to reflect the probability that each premium must be paid. We have in fact experienced fewer cash flows from policy benefits than projected in the early stages of ownership of our current life insurance policy portfolio using this method. We had expected to receive approximately \$65.7 million in cumulative policy benefits as of December 31, 2013, and in fact received \$28.6 million. This has resulted in greater than expected premium payments, increasing from an expected \$58.6 million to \$61.0 million. Barring significant mortality improvements (i.e., medical advancements relating to the medical conditions of insureds), however, the fact that actual results have differed from the expectations derived from the probabilistic method of projecting cash flows should ordinarily result in greater cash flows later in the portfolio's servicing period.

We update and revise our projected future cash flows each month using the probabilistic method to reflect the actual experience within our life insurance policy portfolio to date. We use the current future cash flow projection to generate our expected internal rate of return on the life insurance policy portfolio we own. We would expect to change our method of calculating our future cash flows only if leading actuarial firms no longer believed such methodology was the most appropriate means of generating projected cash flows from a life insurance policy portfolio. Any change to the pricing model, methodology, premium forecasting assumptions, cash flow projections, or the mortality assumptions accompanied therewith that increase the projected cost of insurance premiums or decrease the probability of mortality could have a material and adverse impact on our results of operations and cash flows. Ultimately, this could adversely affect our ability to meet our debt service and repayment obligations, including our obligations under the L Bonds.

Risks Related to This Offering and Our Company

We may not be able to raise the capital that we are seeking in this offering or in our offering of previously issued L Bonds, and may be unable to meet our overall business objectives of growing a larger, more statistically diverse portfolio of life insurance policies without the proceeds from the sale of such securities.

Emerson Equity LLC serves as our placement agent and managing broker-dealer in this offering of L Bonds on a best-efforts basis. Although Emerson Equity, LLC has agreed to use its best efforts in the offer and sale of the L Bonds, there is no minimum aggregate principal amount of L Bonds that we must sell prior

Table of Contents

to accessing investor funds, and we may not be able to sell the L Bonds that we are seeking to sell in this offering. Consequently, the additional capital we are seeking may not ultimately be obtained.

Our offering of previously issued L Bonds has been the principal means by which we have raised the funds needed to meet our goal of growing a larger and more statistically diverse portfolio that is more likely to meet our cash flow projections. While we plan to continue financing our business and meet this goal through this offering of L Bonds, if we are unable to continue this offering for any reason we may be unable to meet our goal. In addition, if holders of our Series I Secured notes or previously issued L Bonds were to fail to renew those securities with the frequency we have historically experienced, and actual cash flows from our portfolio of life insurance policies do not occur as our actuarial

projections have forecasted, we could be forced to sell our investments in life insurance policies in order to service or satisfy our debt-related obligations. If we are forced to sell investments in life insurance policies or our entire portfolio, we may be unable to sell them at prices we believe are appropriate, and may not be able to sell them at prices that approximate the discount rate we have applied to value our portfolio, particularly if our sale of policies occurs at a time when we are (or are perceived to be) in distress. In any such event, our business and the value of our securities, including our debt securities and common stock, may be materially and adversely impacted. Accordingly, there is a risk that you could lose your entire investment.

We depend upon cash distributions from our subsidiaries, and contractual restrictions on distributions to us or adverse events at one of our operating subsidiaries could materially and adversely affect our ability to pay our debts, including our obligations under the L Bonds, and to continue to operate our business.

GWG Holdings, Inc. is a holding company. As a holding company, we conduct our operations through our operating subsidiaries, and our only significant assets are the capital stock of our subsidiaries. Accordingly, our ability to meet our cash obligations, including our obligations under the L Bonds, depends in material part upon the ability of our subsidiaries to make cash distributions to us. In this regard, the ability of our subsidiaries to make distributions to us is, and will continue to be, restricted by certain negative covenants in the agreement governing our revolving credit facility. DLP Funding II is the borrower under our revolving credit facility (see note 6 to our consolidated financial statements). The significant majority of the insurance policies we own are subject to a collateral arrangement with the agent for our revolving credit lender, as described in note 2 to our consolidated financial statements. Under this arrangement, collection and escrow accounts are used to fund purchases of and premiums for the insurance policies and to pay interest and other charges under the revolving credit facility. The lender and its agent must authorize all disbursements from these accounts, including any distributions to GWG Life. Distributions are limited to an amount that would result in the borrowers (us) realizing an annualized rate of return on the equity funded amount for such assets of not more than 18%, as determined by the agent. After such amount is reached, the credit agreement requires that excess funds be used to fund repayments or a reserve account in certain amount, before any additional distributions may be made.

If any of the above limitations were to materially impede the flow of cash to us, such fact would materially and adversely affect our ability to service and repay our debt, including obligations under the L Bonds and Series I Secured notes. In addition, any adverse event at the subsidiary level, such as a declaration of bankruptcy, liquidation or reorganization or an event of default under our revolving credit facility, could materially and adversely affect the ability of our subsidiaries to make cash distributions to us. Just as with a material contractual impediment to cash flow, any such subsidiary corporate event would materially and adversely affect our ability to service and repay our debt, including obligations under the L Bonds, and negatively impact our ability to continue operations.

Table of Contents

Subordination provisions contained in the indenture will restrict the ability of the trustee or the L Bond holders to enforce their rights against us under the indenture, including the right to payment on the L Bonds, if a default then exists under our revolving credit facility.

The L Bonds will be subordinate in right of payment to any claims of the senior lender under our revolving credit facility. In this regard, subordination provisions limiting the right of L Bond holders to enforce their rights are contained in the indenture. These provisions include:

a prohibition on challenging any enforcement action taken by a senior lender or interfering with any legal action or suits undertaken by a senior lender against us and our affiliates;

a 180-day standstill period during which there may not be brought any action to enforce an event of default against us or our affiliates unless our revolving credit facility has been repaid in full, which period may be extended if the credit facility provider takes action during such standstill period; and

a prohibition on filing a bankruptcy or insolvency case against us or our affiliates for at least one year plus one day after the revolving credit facility lender has been paid in full.

Furthermore, in the event of a default, we will be prohibited from making any payment, direct or indirect (whether for interest, principal, as a result of any redemption or repayment at maturity, on default, or otherwise), on the L Bonds and any other indebtedness, and neither the holders of the L Bonds nor the trustee will have the right, directly or indirectly, to sue to enforce the indenture or the L Bonds, if a default or event of default under any senior credit facility has occurred and is continuing, or if any default or event of default under any senior credit facility would result from such payment. This payment restriction will generally remain in effect unless and until: (i) the default and event of default respecting

the senior credit facility has been cured or waived or has ceased to exist; and (ii) the end of the period commencing on the date the indenture trustee receives written notice of default from a holder of such credit facility and ending on the earlier of the indenture trustee's receipt of (1) a valid waiver of default from the holder of a credit facility, or (2) a written notice from the holder of a credit facility terminating the payment blockage period.

Other provisions of the indenture permit the trustee to take action to enforce the right of L Bond holders to payment after 179 days have passed since the trustee's receipt of notice of default from the senior lender, but in such case any funds paid as a result of any such suit or enforcement action shall be applied toward the senior credit facility until the facility is indefeasibly paid in full before being applied to the L Bonds. These subordination provisions present the risk that, upon any default by us on obligations owed under our senior debt, the holders of the L Bonds will be unable to enforce their right to payment.

If the 180-day standstill period noted above or any other limitation on the rights of the trustee or L Bond holders to assert their rights to payment of principal or interest under the indenture or L Bonds is ultimately determined to conflict with provisions of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939 (most notably sections 316(b) and 317(a) of that Act), then the trustee, as well as any holder who shall not have earlier consented to such subordination provisions, shall (notwithstanding such provision contained in the indenture) be authorized to institute a lawsuit for the enforcement of any payment of principal or interest after their respective due dates.

The collateral granted as security for our obligations under the L Bonds and Series I Secured notes may be insufficient to repay the indebtedness upon an event of default.

Our L Bonds and Series I Secured notes are structurally subordinate to all obligations of our wholly owned subsidiary DLP Funding II. Importantly in this regard, DLP Funding II owns most of our life insurance policies and is the borrower under the credit facility. This means that holders of the L Bonds and Series I Secured notes will have a junior position to the claims of our senior credit facility provider.

Thus, L Bonds and Series I Secured notes are subordinate to all senior secured debt we have or may incur, to the extent of the value of the assets securing that debt. Importantly, as the issuers of the L Bonds and Series I Secured notes which have granted a general security interest in its assets as collateral security for those obligations, GWG Holdings and GWG Life's most significant assets are cash and their investments in subsidiaries. GWG Holdings' total assets at September 30, 2014 were approximately \$311 million, of which

Table of Contents

approximately \$172 million was its investment in subsidiaries. While the indenture agreements governing the L Bonds limits the amount of debt we and our subsidiaries can incur (through the debt coverage ratio covenant contained in Section 6.1 of such indenture), the indenture permits us and our subsidiaries to incur secured debt (subject to the debt coverage ratio) that may be senior to the L Bonds. For more information relating to the debt coverage ratio, please refer to the risk factor below captioned *Because we intend to hold our life insurance policies to their maturity*, page 30.

As indicated above, as of September 30, 2014, we had approximately \$79 million of outstanding secured indebtedness under our revolving credit facility that is senior to the L Bonds. For a description of the ranking of the L Bonds, see *Description of the L Bonds Ranking* in this prospectus. In addition, the guarantee and associated grant of collateral security by GWG Life for our obligations under the L Bonds may offer security that is insufficient to fully satisfy obligations under the L Bonds. Like GWG Holdings, GWG Life's most significant asset is its investment in its subsidiaries (in this case, DLP Funding II). GWG Life's total assets at September 30, 2014 were approximately \$203 million, of which approximately \$199 million was its investment in subsidiaries.

Because of the foregoing, and because of the fact that 75.8% of our life insurance policies representing approximately 78.6% of the face value of our life insurance policy benefits as of September 30, 2014 are held in our DLP Funding II subsidiary or its associated master trust (and all of those assets serve as collateral security for our obligations under the revolving credit facility), L Bond holders risk the possibility that the collateral security we have granted for our obligations under such securities may be insufficient to repay those securities upon an event of default.

If a significant number of holders of our Series I Secured notes and previously issued L Bonds demand repayment of those instruments instead of renewing them, and at such time we do not have sufficient capital on hand to fund such repayment (and do not otherwise have access to sufficient capital), we may be forced to liquidate some of our life insurance assets, which could have a material and adverse impact on our results of operations.

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

Our direct and wholly owned subsidiary, GWG Life, had issued and outstanding approximately \$29.7 million and \$28.2 million in Series I Secured notes as of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2014, respectively. By virtue of GWG Life's full and unconditional guarantee of obligations under the L Bonds, and other agreements contained in or made in connection with the indenture, the L Bonds are pari passu in right of payment and collateral with the Series I Secured notes. The indenture governing the L Bonds, and the note issuance and security agreement governing the Series I Secured notes, each provide for cross defaults upon an event of default under the provisions of the other agreement (i.e., an event of default under the note issuance and security agreement will constitute an event of default under the indenture for the L Bonds, and vice versa).

The terms of the Series I Secured notes have renewal features. Since we first issued our Series I Secured notes, we have experienced \$131,774,000 in maturities, of which \$102,260,000 has renewed for an additional term as of September 30, 2014. This has provided us with an aggregate renewal rate of approximately 78% for investments in our Series I Secured notes. Future contractual maturities of Series I Secured notes payable at September 30, 2014 are as follows:

Years Ending December 31,

| | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Three months ending December 31, 2014 | \$ 1,925,000 |
| 2015 | 12,729,000 |
| 2016 | 8,217,000 |
| 2017 | 4,427,000 |
| 2018 | 754,000 |
| Thereafter | 141,000 |
| | \$28,193,000 |

29

Table of Contents

The terms of the previously issued L Bonds also have renewal features. Since we first issued our previously issued L Bonds, we have experienced \$51,493,000 in maturities, of which \$34,105,000 has renewed for an additional term as of September 30, 2014. This has provided us with an aggregate renewal rate of approximately 66% for investments in the previously issued L Bonds. Future contractual maturities of previously issued L Bonds at September 30, 2014 are as follows:

Years Ending December 31,

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Three months ending December 31, 2014 | \$ 16,086,000 |
| 2015 | 55,676,000 |
| 2016 | 43,434,000 |
| 2017 | 21,950,000 |
| 2018 | 10,730,000 |
| Thereafter | 25,369,000 |
| | \$173,245,000 |

If investors holding existing indebtedness with short-term maturities do not elect to renew and we do not, at such time, have access to sufficient capital or have not raised sufficient capital by other financing efforts, we may need to liquidate some of our investments in life insurance policies earlier than anticipated. In such an event, we may be unable to sell those life insurance policies at prices we believe are fair or otherwise appropriate and such sales could have a material and adverse impact on our results of operations.

Because we intend to hold our life insurance policies to their maturity, we therefore measure our debt coverage ratio against our current cost of financing, which may not reflect the sale price of our life insurance policies if we were to liquidate them.

We intend and expect to hold the life insurance policy investments until they are paid out at the mortality of the insured. As a result, we measure our debt coverage ratio based on the portfolio's gross expected yield against the interest cost of our total debt obligations to finance the portfolio. The debt coverage ratio, expressed as a percentage, is defined as the ratio of (i) total amounts outstanding on any indebtedness for borrowed money, over (ii) the net present asset value of all life insurance assets we own, plus any cash held in our accounts. For this purpose, the net present asset value is calculated as the present value of the life insurance portfolio's expected future cash flows discounted at the weighted-average interest rate of the indebtedness for the previous month. Under the indenture, the maximum amount of such securities we may issue at any time is limited to an amount such that our debt coverage ratio does not exceed 90%. This limitation is designed to provide some comfort to holders of our debt that the value of our assets exceeds our obligations to those holders. Nevertheless, the debt coverage ratio (as calculated) is not based on the fair value of our life insurance assets, which may be different—greater or less—than the amount we would receive if we were forced to sell those assets in the marketplace. Furthermore, mere compliance with the debt coverage ratio does not contemplate or account for the significant transactional costs that could be associated with a sale of all or any significant portion of our portfolio. Please see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Debt Financings Summary for more information.

We have no obligation to redeem L Bonds prior to their maturity date except in narrowly limited circumstances.

We will have no obligation, and L Bond holders will have no right to require us, to redeem any L Bond prior to its maturity date. The only exceptions exist for situations in which an individual natural person investor suffers a total permanent disability or a bankruptcy, or dies. In any such event, we will be required to redeem the L Bond of such person so long as certain procedural requirements are met. Outside these narrow exceptions, we may nonetheless agree, in our sole and absolute discretion, to accommodate requests to redeem an L Bond prior to its maturity in other cases. If we do agree to redeem an L Bond, we will assess a 6% redemption fee for such transaction. For more information, see Description of the L Bonds—Call and

Table of Contents

Redemption Prior to Stated Maturity. As a result, any investment in an L Bond should be considered illiquid and unable to be redeemed until its stated maturity.

Fraudulent transfer statutes may limit your rights under the guarantee of the L Bonds.

Our obligations under the L Bonds will be fully and unconditionally guaranteed by our direct wholly owned subsidiary, GWG Life. The guarantee may be subject to review under various laws for the protection of creditors. It is possible that other creditors of GWG Life may challenge the guarantee as a fraudulent transfer under relevant federal and state laws. Under certain circumstances, including a finding that GWG Life was insolvent at the time its guarantee was issued, a court could hold that the obligations of GWG Life under the guarantee may be voided or are subordinate to other obligations of GWG Life, or that the amount for which GWG Life is liable under its guarantee of the L Bonds may be limited. Different jurisdictions define insolvency differently, and we cannot assure you as to what standard a court would apply to determine whether GWG Life was insolvent. If a court were to determine that GWG Life was insolvent on the date on which it guaranteed the L Bonds, or that the guarantee constituted a fraudulent transfer on other legal grounds, the claims of creditors of GWG Life would effectively have priority with respect to GWG Life's assets and earnings over the claims of the holders of the L Bonds.

Our controlling stockholders and principal executives are involved in a litigation—clawback—claim made by a bankruptcy trustee to an affiliate, and it is possible that the trustee may assert claims against our company.

Our Chief Executive Officer, Jon R. Sabes, and our Executive Vice President of Originations and Servicing and Secretary, Steven F. Sabes, who together beneficially own or control approximately 74.81% of our common stock, are subject to litigation relating to claims by a bankruptcy trustee for loan payments made to an affiliate, Opportunity Finance, LLC. The litigation stems from the 2010 conviction of an individual operating a fraudulent business scheme which filed for bankruptcy in 2008. The bankruptcy trustee alleges that loan repayments to Opportunity Finance were avoidable transfers under preference or other legal theories and seeks to recover amounts for other creditors of the bankruptcy estate. Such payments may ultimately be deemed to be avoidable transfers under preference or other legal theories. Case No. 08-45257 (U.S. Bankruptcy Court District of Minnesota). In addition, GWG Holdings invested \$1.0 million in Opportunity Finance, LLC in 2006 and was repaid and received \$176,948 of interest income from that investment in 2007. To date, no claim has been made against GWG Holdings.

Although we believe there are numerous meritorious defenses to the claims made by the bankruptcy trustee, and we are advised that the defendants in that action will vigorously defend against the trustee's claims, such defendants may not prevail in the litigation with the bankruptcy

trustee. If the bankruptcy trustee sought to sell or transfer the equity interests of Jon R. Sabes or Steven F. Sabes as a result of the litigation, there could be a change in control of the Company, and our business together with all of our investors, including investors in our common stock, could be materially and adversely impacted. Such adverse results would likely arise in connection with negative change-in-control covenants contained in our revolving credit facility agreements, the breach of those covenants and an ensuing event of default under such facility. Finally, regardless of the outcome of this litigation, these matters are likely to distract management and reduce the time and attention that they are able to devote to our business.

We have no obligation to contribute to a sinking fund to retire the L Bonds, nor are the L Bonds guaranteed by any governmental agency.

We have no obligation to contribute funds to a sinking fund to repay principal or interest on the L Bonds upon maturity or default. The L Bonds are not certificates of deposit or similar obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Further, no governmental entity insures or guarantees payment on the L Bonds if we do not have enough funds to make principal or interest payments.

Table of Contents

The loss of the services of our current executives or other key employees, or the failure to attract additional key individuals, would materially and adversely affect our business operations and prospects.

Our financial success is dependent to a significant degree upon the efforts of our current executive officers and other key employees. In addition, our revolving credit facility requires Messrs. Jon R. Sabes and Steven F. Sabes to generally remain active within the business. We have entered into employment agreements with Messrs. Jon R. Sabes, Steven F. Sabes, Paul A. Siegert, William Acheson and Jon Gangelhoff. Nevertheless, there can be no assurance that these individuals will continue to provide services to us. A voluntary or involuntary termination of employment could have materially adverse effect on our business operations if we were not able to attract qualified replacements in a timely manner. At present, we do not maintain key-man life insurance policies for any of these individuals. In addition, our success and viability is also dependent to a significant extent upon our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel in all areas of our business, especially our sales, policy acquisition, and financial management team. If we were to lose the members of these service teams, we would need to replace them with qualified individuals in a timely manner or our business operations and prospects could be adversely impacted.

We have the discretion to purchase assets, including life insurance policies, through different subsidiaries, and to transfer assets among our subsidiaries. Any decision to purchase or hold title to assets in one subsidiary, as opposed to a different subsidiary, may affect the value of collateral security for our obligation under the L Bonds.

We may at our discretion direct the purchase of policies by, and the sale of policies and other assets amongst, different subsidiaries of GWG Holdings as a method of asset and liability management and to attempt to maintain diversification and certain ratios in our investment portfolio. Purchases of assets in, or movements of assets amongst, different subsidiaries could affect the value of the collateral security for obligations under the L Bonds. For example, purchases through, or transfers of life insurance policies to, DLP Funding II would cause the policies acquired by DLP Funding II to become collateral for our revolving credit facility, whereas purchases through, or transfers of life insurance policies to, GWG Life would cause the policies acquired by GWG Life to become collateral for the L Bonds. Accordingly, purchases of assets such as life insurance policies through, or transfers of such assets to, different subsidiaries may affect the value of collateral security for different classes of holders of our debt, including the L Bonds. In the case of a liquidation, any of these discretionary decisions may affect the value of and amount you may ultimately be entitled to receive with respect to your L Bonds.

We are an emerging growth company and our election to delay adoption of new or revised accounting standards applicable to public companies may result in our financial statements not being comparable to those of some other public companies. As a result of this and other reduced disclosure requirements applicable to emerging growth companies, our securities may be less attractive to investors.

As a public reporting company with less than \$1.0 billion in revenue during our last fiscal year, we qualify as an emerging growth company under the Jumpstart our Business Startups Act of 2012, or the JOBS Act. An emerging growth company may take advantage of certain reduced reporting requirements and is relieved of certain other significant requirements that are otherwise generally applicable to public companies. In particular, as an emerging growth company we:

are not required to obtain an attestation and report from our auditors on our management's assessment of our internal control over financial reporting pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002;

are not required to provide a detailed narrative disclosure discussing our compensation principles, objectives and elements and analyzing how those elements fit with our principles and objectives (commonly referred to as compensation discussion and analysis);

are not required to obtain a non-binding advisory vote from our stockholders on executive compensation or golden parachute arrangements (commonly referred to as the say-on-pay, say-on-frequency and say-on-golden-parachute votes);

Table of Contents

are exempt from certain executive compensation disclosure provisions requiring a pay-for-performance graph and CEO pay ratio disclosure;

may present only two years of audited financial statements and only two years of related Management's Discussion & Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, or MD&A; and

are eligible to claim longer phase-in periods for the adoption of new or revised financial accounting standards under §107 of the JOBS Act.

We intend to take advantage of all of these reduced reporting requirements and exemptions, including the longer phase-in periods for the adoption of new or revised financial accounting standards under §107 of the JOBS Act. Our election to use the phase-in periods may make it difficult to compare our financial statements to those of non-emerging growth companies and other emerging growth companies that have opted out of the phase-in periods under §107 of the JOBS Act.

Certain of these reduced reporting requirements and exemptions were already available to us due to the fact that we also qualify as a smaller reporting company under SEC rules. For instance, smaller reporting companies are not required to obtain an auditor attestation and report regarding management's assessment of internal control over financial reporting; are not required to provide a compensation discussion and analysis; are not required to provide a pay-for-performance graph or CEO pay ratio disclosure; and may present only two years of audited financial statements and related MD&A disclosure.

Under the JOBS Act, we may take advantage of the above-described reduced reporting requirements and exemptions for up to five years after our initial sale of common equity pursuant to a registration statement declared effective under the Securities Act of 1933, or such earlier time that we no longer meet the definition of an emerging growth company. In this regard, the JOBS Act provides that we would cease to be an emerging growth company if we have more than \$1.0 billion in annual revenues, have more than \$700 million in market value of our common stock held by non-affiliates, or issue more than \$1.0 billion in principal amount of non-convertible debt over a three-year period. Furthermore, under current SEC rules we will continue to qualify as a smaller reporting company for so long as we have a public float (i.e., the market value of common equity held by non-affiliates) of less than \$75 million as of the last business day of our most recently completed second fiscal quarter.

We cannot predict if investors will find our securities less attractive due to our reliance on these exemptions. If investors were to find our securities less attractive as a result of our election, we may have difficulty raising all of the proceeds we seek in this offering.

We do not expect a market to exist that will enable you to sell your L Bonds.

Although we are a public reporting company that files information with the SEC, the L Bonds will not be readily resalable or transferable. No public market for the L Bonds exists and none is expected to develop. As a result, the transferability of the L Bonds will be limited. Accordingly, the purchase of L Bonds is not suitable for investors desiring liquidity at any time prior to the maturity of the L Bonds.

We cannot know the tax implications of an investment in the L Bonds for the L Bond holder.

The section of this prospectus entitled Material Federal Income Tax Considerations sets forth a summary of federal income tax consequences to the purchasers of the L Bonds. No information is provided concerning tax consequences under any other federal, state, local or foreign laws that may apply to the purchasers of the L Bonds. Prospective investors or their representatives should read that section very carefully in order to properly evaluate the federal income tax risks of an investment in the L Bonds. Each prospective investor should consult his personal counsel, accountant and other business advisors as to the federal, state, local and foreign tax consequences of an investment in the L Bonds. L Bond

holders will receive an IRS Form 1099-INT in connection with their receipt of interest payments.

Table of Contents

Advances previously made to members of our executive management and outstanding at the time that we initially filed the registration statement for our offering of previously issued L Bonds may be deemed violations of Section 402 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. That law prohibits public reporting companies from extending or maintaining credit to directors or executive officers in the form of a personal loan. Any such violations could have a material and adverse effect upon our reputation and business.

Prior to our conversion from a limited liability company to a corporation and the filing of the registration statement for our offering of previously issued L Bonds, we made certain advances to our executive management personnel, Messrs. Jon R. Sabes, Steven F. Sabes and Paul A. Siegert, that were to be repaid by such individuals upon or in connection with operating distributions to be paid by us when the Company had cash flow sufficient to make distributions on account of their ownership interests in the Company. For further information, please refer to the Executive Compensation section of this prospectus the Summary Compensation Table, Employment Agreements and Change-in-Control Provisions, and Related-Party Transactions captions thereunder.

Each of Messrs. Jon R. Sabes, Steven F. Sabes and Paul A. Siegert have repaid all outstanding advances, including all interest accrued thereon. Nevertheless, because such loan advances remained outstanding at the time that we initially filed such registration statement with the SEC, we may be deemed to have inadvertently violated Section 402 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, which prohibits issuers from extending or maintaining credit to directors or executive officers in the form of a personal loan. As defined under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the term issuer includes, in addition to public companies, a company that has filed a registration statement that has not yet become effective under the Securities Act of 1933 and that has not been withdrawn. Although we believe that the loan advances constitute business loans, as opposed to personal loans, regulatory authorities may not agree with this assessment if the matter is investigated and claims alleging a violation are pursued. On July 27, 2011, Messrs. Jon R. Sabes, Steven F. Sabes and Paul A. Siegert repaid their loan balances.

Violations of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 could result in significant penalties, including censure, cease and desist orders, revocation of registration and fines. It is also possible that the criminal penalties could exist, although criminal penalties require a related violation to have been willful, and not the result of an innocent mistake, negligence or inadvertence. In the end, it is possible that we could face any of these potential penalties or results, and any action by administrative authorities, whether or not ultimately successful, could have a material and adverse effect upon our reputation and business.

Table of Contents

USE OF PROCEEDS

If all of the L Bonds are sold, we expect to receive up to approximately \$918.5 million of net proceeds from this offering after paying estimated offering and related expenses and after paying our estimated average selling and wholesale commissions, dealer-manager fees, and accountable expense allowance. The estimated commissions, dealer-manager fees, accountable expense allowance and wholesale commissions of our selling group members aggregate to approximately \$80 million based on expected average selling commissions of \$50 million (5.00%), dealer-manager fees of \$5 million (0.50%), and accountable expenses, wholesale commissions, and any non-transaction-based and non-cash selling compensation aggregating to \$25 million (2.50%), assuming the sale of all of the L Bonds. We have also agreed to reimburse Emerson Equity for certain pre-offering expenses that we expect will aggregate to no more than \$180,500. In addition, we expect that our offering expenses, consisting of legal, accounting, printing, mailing, registration, qualification and associated securities offering filing costs and expenses, will aggregate to approximately \$1,350,000 through the course of this offering.

As explained elsewhere in this prospectus, the maximum amount of commissions, fees and allowances (including non-transaction-based and non-cash selling compensation, if any) payable to FINRA selling members is 8.00% of the aggregate principal amount of L Bonds sold. Therefore, if all of the L Bonds were sold and the maximum commissions, fees and allowances and reimbursements were paid, we estimate that the net proceeds to us, after paying our own estimated offering and related expenses, would be approximately \$918.5 million. Nevertheless, because we do not know the total principal amount of L Bonds that will be ultimately sold, we are unable to accurately forecast the total net

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

proceeds that will be generated by this offering. For more information about dealer-manager fees, selling commissions, and accountable expenses payable to our selling group in connection with the sale of L Bonds, as well as our own offering and related expenses, please see Plan of Distribution.

There is no minimum amount of L Bonds that must be sold before we access investor funds. The exact amount of proceeds we receive may vary considerably depending on a variety of factors, including how long the L Bonds are offered.

Our goal is to use a majority of the net proceeds from the sale of L Bonds to purchase additional life insurance policy assets in the secondary market. The amount of proceeds we apply towards purchasing additional life insurance policy assets will depend, among other things, on how long the L Bonds are offered, the amount of net proceeds that we receive from the sale of L Bonds being offered, the existence and timing of opportunities to expand our portfolio of insurance policy assets, our cash needs for certain other expenditures (summarized below) we anticipate incurring in connection with this offering and in connection with our business, and the availability of other sources of cash (e.g., our revolving credit facility). These certain other expenditures, listed in order of priority, include:

paying premiums on life insurance assets we own;

paying principal at maturity, interest and fees to our lenders, including under our revolving credit facility, the Series I Secured notes, the previously issued L Bonds and the L Bonds offered hereby; and paying fees and expenses of the trustees of certain trusts associated with our Series I Secured notes, the previously issued L Bonds and the L Bonds offered hereby; and

providing funds for portfolio operations and working capital purposes.

Our use of funds for portfolio operations is expected to include, but not be limited to, expenditures such as (i) obtaining life expectancy reports, (ii) mortality tracking and (iii) legal and collections expenses; and our use of funds for working capital purposes is expected to include, but not be limited to, (iv) sales and marketing expenses, (v) general and administrative expenses, as well as (vi) tax liabilities, and (vii) interest rate caps, swaps or hedging instruments for our life insurance policy portfolio or our indebtedness.

As indicated above, the extent to which we will use proceeds from this offering for these other purposes, and the amounts and timing of such expenditures will depend on, among other things, how long the L Bonds are offered, the amount of net proceeds that we receive from the sale of L Bonds being offered, the existence

Table of Contents

and timing of opportunities to expand our portfolio of insurance policy assets, the availability of funds from other sources, including borrowings from our revolving credit facility and cash generated from our operations, and certain other factors. We currently expect to allocate net offering proceeds (assuming the maximum amount of commissions, fees, allowances and any other items of selling compensation equal to 8.00% of the aggregate principal amount of L Bonds sold) as follows, based upon various assumed amounts of gross proceeds that we receive from the sale of L Bonds:

| | Gross Offering Proceeds | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|------|----------------|------|----------------|------|
| | \$ 1,000,000,000 | | \$ 500,000,000 | | \$ 250,000,000 | |
| Net Offering Proceeds | 918,500,000 | 100% | 458,500,000 | 100% | 228,500,000 | 100% |
| Purchase Policies | 661,320,000 | 72% | 330,120,000 | 72% | 141,670,000 | 62% |
| Payment of Premiums | 91,850,000 | 10% | 45,850,000 | 10% | 34,275,000 | 15% |
| Payment of Principal and Interest | 119,405,000 | 13% | 59,605,000 | 13% | 41,130,000 | 18% |
| Other Expenditures | 45,925,000 | 5% | 22,925,000 | 5% | 11,425,000 | 5% |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

Net offering proceeds not immediately applied to the uses summarized above will be invested in short-term investments such as money market funds, commercial paper, U.S. Treasury Bills and similar securities investments pending their use. We may also purchase interest rate hedges to lock in our cost of capital, or longevity hedges to lock in our expected return from our portfolio.

As indicated above, we may use some of the net proceeds from this offering to pay premiums on life insurance assets we own. Our aggregate premium obligations over the next five years for life insurance assets that we own as of September 30, 2014 are set forth in the table below. These premium obligations do not take into account the expectation of mortality over the periods presented.

| Year | Premiums |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|
| Three months ending December 31, 2014 | \$ 6,364,000 |
| 2015 | 26,728,000 |
| 2016 | 29,354,000 |
| 2017 | 32,829,000 |
| 2018 | 35,753,000 |
| Total | \$ 131,028,000 |

Also as indicated above, we may use some of the net proceeds from this offering to pay principal amounts owing under our Series I Secured notes or previously issued L Bonds when such amounts become due and payable. The amount of such securities that we would repay with proceeds of this offering will depend on whether the holders of such notes elect repayment rather than renewal of such securities, as well as whether we elect to use other sources of repayment. We believe it is most likely that such payments, if any, would relate to securities that mature within the first three years after the initial effective date of the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part (i.e., the maximum period of time during which we may offer securities under the registration statement). Of the Series I Secured notes presently scheduled to mature on or prior to December 31, 2017, such notes have an aggregate outstanding principal amount of approximately \$27.3 million and a weighted-average interest rate of 8.33% as of September 30, 2014. Of the previously issued L Bonds presently scheduled to mature on or prior to December 31, 2017, such securities have an aggregate outstanding principal amount of approximately \$137.1 million and a weighted-average interest rate of 7.07% as of September 30, 2014. We do not intend to use any net proceeds from this offering to repurchase Series I Secured notes or previously issued L Bonds prior to their maturity.

Some of the outstanding previously issued L Bonds due to mature within the next year may have been issued within the prior year (i.e., less than one year ago). In such a case, we used the proceeds of such debt to purchase life insurance policies or finance the servicing of such policies.

36

Table of Contents

CAPITALIZATION

The following table sets forth, as of September 30, 2014, our consolidated debt and stockholders' equity on an actual basis and as adjusted to give effect to the sale of the maximum amount of L Bonds offered hereby. You should read this table in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto which are incorporated herein by this reference.

| Debt: | At September 30, 2014 | |
|-------------------------------|---|--------------|
| | Actual | As Adjusted |
| | (Dollars in thousands, except per-share amounts) (Unaudited) | |
| L Bonds offered hereby | \$ 0 | \$ 1,000,000 |
| L Bonds previously issued (1) | 169,187 | 169,187 |
| Series I Secured notes (2) | 27,702 | 27,702 |
| Revolving credit facility (3) | 79,000 | 79,000 |

At September 30, 2014

| | | |
|--|------------|--------------|
| Total debt | \$ 275,889 | \$ 1,275,889 |
| Stockholders equity (accumulated deficit): | | |
| Series A Convertible Redeemable Preferred (par value \$0.001; shares authorized 40,000,000; shares issued and outstanding 2,710,214; liquidation preference of \$20,327,000 on September 30, 2014) | \$ 20,218 | \$ 20,218 |
| Common stock (par value \$0.001 per share; shares authorized 210,000,000; 5,871,798 shares issued and 5,870,193 shares outstanding on September 30, 2014) | \$ 6 | \$ 6 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 16,324 | 16,324 |
| Accumulated Deficit | (16,327) | (16,327) |
| Total stockholders equity (Deficit) | \$ 20,221 | \$ 20,221 |
| Total debt, preferred stock and common stockholders equity | \$ 296,110 | \$ 1,296,110 |

- (1) The total outstanding face amount of L Bonds previously issued by GWG Holdings, Inc. (originally under the name Renewable Secured Debentures) outstanding at September 30, 2014 was \$173,245,000 plus \$1,679,000 of subscriptions in process, less unamortized selling costs of \$5,737,000. The weighted average interest rate of our outstanding L Bonds at September 30, 2014 was approximately 7.49%, and the weighted average maturity was approximately 3.50 years. Upon effectiveness of the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part, all securities originally issued as Renewable Secured Debentures will be renamed L Bonds.
- (2) The total outstanding face amount of Series I Secured notes outstanding at September 30, 2014 was \$28,193,000, less unamortized selling costs of \$491,000. The weighted-average interest rate of our outstanding Series I Secured notes at September 30, 2014 was approximately 8.37%, and the weighted-average maturity was approximately 1.92 years.
- (3) The interest rate of our revolving credit line floats in conjunction with advances made thereunder. The weighted-average interest rate payable under our revolving credit line at September 30, 2014 was approximately 6.22%. Amounts owing under our revolving credit line come due on December 31, 2016.
- (4) As of September 30, 2014, we had 2,710,000 preferred shares outstanding with a gross consideration of \$20,327,000 (including cash proceeds, conversion of Series I Secured notes and accrued interest on Series I notes, conversion of preferred dividends payable and conversion of preferred stock to common stock) net of redemptions. We incurred Series A preferred stock issuance costs of \$2,838,000, of which \$2,729,000 was amortized to additional paid in capital as of September 30, 2014, resulting in a carrying amount of \$20,218,000.

For more discussion and information relating to the retirement of Series I Secured notes, please refer to the Use of Proceeds section of this prospectus.

Table of Contents

SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following tables set forth our summary consolidated financial information. The summary statement of operations data for fiscal years 2013 and 2012 and the selected balance sheet data as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this prospectus. This selected consolidated financial information should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified by reference to, our consolidated financial statements and related notes contained herein and the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section of this prospectus.

Balance Sheet Data:

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| | September 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Total Assets | \$ 311,492,676 | \$ 275,380,476 | \$ 197,948,035 |
| Investment in Portfolio | 276,381,979 | 234,672,794 | 164,317,183 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | 29,511,989 | 33,449,793 | 27,497,044 |
| Restricted Cash | 2,144,734 | 5,832,970 | 2,093,092 |
| Total Liabilities | 291,271,867 | 256,149,798 | 175,303,946 |
| Revolving Credit Facility | 79,000,000 | 79,000,000 | 71,000,000 |
| Series I Secured notes (1) | 27,701,842 | 29,275,202 | 37,844,711 |
| L Bonds previously issued (2) | 169,186,917 | 131,646,062 | 55,718,950 |
| Stockholder Preferred and Common Equity | 20,220,809 | 19,530,678 | 22,644,089 |

- (1) The total outstanding face amount of Series I Secured notes outstanding at September 30, 2014 was \$28,193,000, less unamortized selling costs of \$491,000.
- (2) The total outstanding face amount of L Bonds previously issued by GWG Holdings, Inc. (originally under the name Renewable Secured Debentures) outstanding at September 30, 2014 was \$173,245,000 plus \$1,679,000 of subscriptions in process, less unamortized selling costs of \$5,737,000. Upon effectiveness of the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part, all securities originally issued as Renewable Secured Debentures will be renamed L Bonds.

Income Statement Data:

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 | Year Ended December 31, 2013 | Year Ended December 31, 2012 |
|----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Total Revenue | \$ 16,143,492 | \$ 33,064,774 | \$ 17,525,798 |
| Gain on Life Insurance Contracts | 16,119,517 | 29,513,642 | 17,436,743 |
| Interest Expense | 19,731,327 | 20,762,644 | 10,878,627 |
| Net Loss | (7,888,000) | (194,955) | (1,012,899) |

Table of Contents

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION**

You should read the following discussion in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes and the information contained in other sections of this prospectus, particularly under the headings "Risk Factors" and "Business." This discussion and analysis is based on the beliefs of our management, as well as assumptions made by, and information currently available to, our management. The statements in this discussion and analysis concerning expectations regarding our future performance, liquidity and capital resources, as well as other non-historical statements, are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those suggested or implied by any forward-looking statements. Please see the "Risk Relating to Forward-Looking Statements" section of this prospectus.

Overview

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

We are engaged in the emerging secondary market for life insurance policies. We acquire life insurance policies in the secondary market from policy owners desiring to sell their policies at a discount to the face value of the insurance benefit. Once we purchase a policy, we continue paying the policy premiums in order to ultimately collect the face value of the insurance benefit. We generally seek to hold the individual policies to maturity, in order to ultimately collect the policy's face value upon the insured's mortality. Our strategy is to build a profitable and large (greater than 300 lives) portfolio of policies that is diversified in terms of insurance carriers and the medical conditions of insureds. We believe that diversification among insureds, insurers and medical conditions will lower our overall risk exposure, and that a larger number of individual policies (diversification in overall number) will provide our portfolio with greater actuarial stability.

In 2013, we recognized \$12,036,000 of revenue from the receipt of \$16,600,000 in policy benefits. In addition, we recognized revenue from the change in fair value of our life insurance policies, net of premiums and carrying costs, of \$17,478,000. In 2013, interest expense, including amortization of the deferred financing costs and preferred stock dividends, was \$20,763,000, and selling, general and administrative expenses were \$10,323,000. Income tax expense in 2013 was \$2,174,000. Our net loss in 2013 was \$195,000.

In the first nine months of 2014, we recognized revenue from the change in fair value of our life insurance policies, net of premiums and carrying costs, of \$16,119,000. Interest expense, including amortization of the deferred financing costs and preferred stock dividends, was \$19,731,000, and selling, general and administrative expenses were \$8,430,000. Income tax benefit for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was \$4,130,000. Our net loss for the first nine months of 2014 was \$7,888,000.

To date, we have financed our business principally through the issuance of debt, including debt incurred by our subsidiary DLP Funding II under a senior revolving credit facility provided by Autobahn/DZ Bank, Series I Secured notes issued by our subsidiary GWG Life and our registered public offering of Renewable Secured Debentures (to be renamed L Bonds upon the effectiveness of the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part). See the Liquidity and Capital Resources caption below. As of September 30, 2014, the outstanding shares of our preferred stock (equaling 2,710,214 preferred shares), may be converted at the election of the holders of preferred stock into an aggregate of approximately 2,032,661 shares of our common stock.

Critical Accounting Policies

Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in accordance with the Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) requires us to make judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We base our judgments, estimates and assumptions on historical experience and on various other factors believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates. We evaluate our judgments, estimates and assumptions on

Table of Contents

a regular basis and make changes accordingly. We believe that the judgments, estimates and assumptions involved in the accounting for the valuation of investments in life insurance policies have the greatest potential impact on our consolidated financial statements and accordingly believe these to be our critical accounting estimates. Below we discuss the critical accounting policies associated with these estimates as well as certain other critical accounting policies.

Ownership of Life Insurance Policies – Fair Value Option

Our primary business involves the purchasing and financing of life insurance policies. As such, we account for the purchase of life insurance policies in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board's Accounting Standards Codification (FASB ASC) 325-30, *Investments in Insurance Contracts*, which requires us to use either the investment method or the fair value method. We have elected to account for these life insurance policies as investments using the fair value method.

We initially record our purchase of life insurance policies at the transaction price, which is the amount paid for the policy, inclusive of all fees and costs associated with the acquisition. The fair value of our investment in the portfolio of insurance policies is evaluated at the end of each reporting period. Changes in the fair value of the portfolio of life insurance policies are based on periodic evaluations and are recorded as changes in fair value of life insurance policies in our consolidated and combined statement of operations. The fair value is determined as the net present value of the life insurance portfolio's future expected cash flows that incorporates current life expectancy estimates and discount rate

assumptions.

In addition to reporting our results of operations and financial condition based on the fair value of our life insurance policies as required by GAAP, management also makes calculations based on the weighted average expected internal rate of return of the policies. See Non-GAAP Financial Measures below.

Valuation of Life Insurance Policies

Unobservable inputs, as discussed below, are a critical component of our estimate for the fair value of our investments in life insurance policies. We currently use a probabilistic method of estimating and valuing the projected cash flows of our portfolio of life insurance policies, which we believe to be the preferred and most prevalent valuation method in the industry. In this regard, the most significant assumptions we make are the life expectancy estimates of the insureds and the discount rate applied to the projected cash flows to be derived from our portfolio.

In determining life expectancy estimates, we generally use actuarial medical reviews from independent medical underwriters. These medical underwriters summarize the health of the insured by reviewing historical and current medical records. The medical underwriters evaluate the health condition of the insured in order to produce an estimate of the insured’s mortality a life expectancy report. In the case of a small face policy (\$1,000,000 face value or less), we may use one life expectancy report or estimate life expectancy based on a modified methodology which does not use actuarial medical reviews from independent medical underwriters. The life expectancy estimate represents a range of probabilities for the insured’s mortality against a group of cohorts with the same age, sex and smoking status. These mortality probabilities represent a mathematical curve known as a mortality curve, which is then used to generate a series of expected cash flows from the life insurance policy over the expected lifespan of the insured. A discount rate is used to calculate the net present value of the expected cash flows. The discount rate represents the internal rate of return we expect to earn on investments in a policy or in the portfolio as a whole at the stated fair value. The discount rate used to calculate fair value of our portfolio incorporates the guidance provided by ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*. Many of our current underwriting review processes, including our policy of obtaining actuarial medical reviews from independent medical underwriters as described above, are undertaken in satisfaction of obligations under our revolving credit facility. As a result, we may in the future modify our underwriting review processes if permitted under our borrowing arrangements.

Table of Contents

The table below provides the discount rate used to estimate the fair value of our portfolio of life insurance policies for the period ending:

| September 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|--------------------|-------------------|
| 11.56% | 11.69% |

The change in the discount rate incorporates current information about discount rates applied by other reporting companies owning portfolios of life insurance policies, discount rates observed in the life insurance secondary market, market interest rates, the credit exposure to the issuing insurance companies and our estimate of the risk premium a purchaser would require to receive the future cash flows derived from our portfolio of life insurance policies. Because we use the discount rate to arrive at the fair value of our portfolio, the rate we choose necessarily assumes an orderly and arms-length transaction (i.e., a non-distressed transaction in which neither seller nor buyer is compelled to engage in the transaction).

We engaged a third party, Model Actuarial Pricing Systems (MAPS), to prepare a third-party valuation of our life settlement portfolio. MAPS owns and maintains the portfolio pricing software we use. MAPS processed policy data, future premium data, life expectancy estimate data, and other actuarial information we supply to calculate a net present value for our portfolio using the specified discount rate of 11.56%. MAPS independently calculated the net present value of our portfolio of 289 policies to be \$276,381,979, which is the same fair value estimate we used on the balance sheet as of September 30, 2014, and furnished us with a letter documenting its calculation. A copy of such letter is filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part.

JOBS Act

On April 5, 2012, the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012, or JOBS Act, was enacted. Section 107 of the JOBS Act provides that an emerging growth company can take advantage of the extended transition period provided in Section 7(a)(2)(B) of the Securities Act of 1933 for complying with new or revised accounting standards. This means that an emerging growth company can make an election to delay the adoption

of certain accounting standards until those standards would apply to private companies. We have elected to delay such adoption of new or revised accounting standards and, as a result, we may not comply with new or revised accounting standards at the same time as other public reporting companies that are not emerging growth companies. This exemption will apply for a period of five years following our first sale of common equity securities under an effective registration statement or until we no longer qualify as an emerging growth company as defined under the JOBS Act, whichever is earlier.

Deferred Income Taxes

FASB ASC 740, Income Taxes, requires us to recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. A valuation allowance is established for any portion of deferred tax assets that is not considered more likely than not to be realized.

We have provided a valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset related to a note receivable because we believe that, when realized for tax purposes, it will result in a capital loss that will not be utilized because we have no expectation of generating a capital gain within the applicable carryforward period. Therefore, we do not believe that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset will be realized.

We have also provided a valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset related to a tax basis capital loss generated with respect to its settlement and subsequent disposal of our investment in Athena Structured Funds PLC (see Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Note 10). As we have no expectation of generating capital gains within the applicable carry-forward period, we do not believe that it is more likely than not that the deferred asset will be realized.

A valuation allowance is required to be recognized to reduce deferred tax assets to an amount that is more likely than not to be realized. Realization of deferred tax assets depends upon having sufficient past or future taxable income in periods to which the deductible temporary differences are expected to be recovered

Table of Contents

or within any applicable carryback or carryforward periods. We believe that it is more likely than not that we will be able to realize all of our deferred tax assets other than that which is expected to result in a capital loss.

Deferred Financing and Issuance Costs

Financing costs incurred to obtain financing under the revolving credit facility have been capitalized and are amortized using the straight-line method over the term of the revolving credit facility. The Series I Secured note obligations are reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method over the term of each respective borrowing. The Renewable Secured Debentures are reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method over the term of each respective borrowing. The Series A preferred stock is reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions, including the fair value of warrants issued, and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method as interest expense over the three-year redemption period.

Principal Revenue and Expense Items

We earn revenues from three primary sources as described below.

Policy Benefits Realized. We recognize the difference between the death benefits and carrying values of the policy when an insured event has occurred and we determine that settlement and ultimate collection of the death benefits is realizable and reasonably assured. Revenue from a transaction must meet both criteria in order to be recognized. We generally collect the face value of the life insurance policy from the insurance company within 45 days of the insured's mortality.

Change in Fair Value of Life Insurance Policies. We have elected to carry our investments in life insurance policies at fair value in accordance with ASC 325-30, *Investments in Life Insurance Contracts*. Accordingly, we value our investments in our portfolio of life insurance policies each reporting period in accordance with the fair value principles discussed herein, which includes the expected payment of premiums for future periods.

Sale of a Life Insurance Policy or a Portfolio of Life Insurance Policies. In an event of a sale of a policy, we recognize gain or loss as the difference between the sale price and the carrying value of the policy on the date of the receipt of payment on such sale.

Our main components of expense are summarized below.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. We recognize and record expenses incurred in the operations of the purchasing and servicing of life insurance policies. These expenses include professional fees, salaries, and sales and marketing expenditures.

Interest Expense. We recognize and record interest expenses associated with the costs of financing our life insurance portfolio for the current period. These expenses include interest paid to our senior lender under our revolving credit facility, as well as all interest paid on our debentures and other outstanding indebtedness such as our subsidiary secured notes and dividends on convertible, redeemable preferred stock. When we issue long-term indebtedness, we amortize the issuance costs associated with such indebtedness over the outstanding term of the financing, and classify it as interest expense.

Results of Operations 2013 Compared to 2012

The following is our analysis of the results of operations for the periods indicated below. This analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes.

Revenue. Revenue recognized from the receipt of policy benefits was \$12,036,000 and \$6,283,000 in 2013 and 2012, respectively. Revenue recognized from the change in fair value of our life insurance policies, net of premiums and carrying costs, was \$17,478,000 in 2013 and \$11,154,000 in 2012. That portion of the change in fair value related to new policies acquired during 2013 and 2012 was \$27,475,000 and \$12,242,000,

Table of Contents

respectively. In each case, the increases in fair value were due to changes in the discount rates we applied to calculate the net present value of cash flows expected from our portfolio of life insurance policies, change in fair value of policies acquired during the period, and aging of the policies. The discount rate incorporates current information about market interest rates, credit exposure to the insurance companies that issued the life insurance policies in our portfolio and our estimate of the risk premium an investor would require to receive the future cash flows from our portfolio of life insurance policies. The discount rate applied to estimate the fair value of the portfolio of life insurance policies we own was 11.69% as of December 31, 2013, compared to 12.08% for the same date in 2012. The decrease in discount rate was due to an increase in the size of the portfolio and the diversity of policies held in our portfolio of life insurance policies that resulted in a lower anticipated risk premium to a potential buyer. The carrying value of policies acquired during each quarterly reporting period are adjusted to their current fair value using the fair value discount rate applied to the entire portfolio as of that reporting date.

Gain Upon Termination of Agreement with Athena Securities Ltd. In June 2013, we entered into a Purchase and Sale Agreement with Athena Securities Ltd. and Athena Securities Group Ltd. This agreement effected the termination of an earlier agreement that the parties had entered into in 2011. That 2011 agreement had contemplated cooperative efforts by the parties aimed at developing a security and related offering in Europe or Ireland, the proceeds of which would be used to finance the acquisition of life-insurance related assets in the United States. We sought to terminate the 2011 agreement due to a changing regulatory environment in Europe that negatively affected the likelihood of consummating the contemplated offering of securities, and due to our dissatisfaction with Athena's performance under the 2011 agreement. Accordingly, the June 2013 agreement contained mutual general releases of claims and substantially unwound certain capital stock transactions that had been effected under the earlier agreement. In particular, Athena returned to us for redemption 865,000 shares of our common stock, and retained 124,000 common shares in recognition of their earlier efforts under the 2011 agreement. For our part, we sold back to Athena Securities Group Ltd. all of our ownership in Athena Structured Funds, PLC that we had originally acquired under the 2011 agreement. As a result of the termination effected by our June 2013 agreement with Athena and our re-acquisition of 865,000 shares of our common stock, we recorded a non-cash gain on the transaction of \$3,252,000. Presently, we have no ongoing business relationship with Athena.

Expenses. Interest expense, including amortization of the deferred financing costs and preferred stock dividends, was \$20,763,000 during 2013 compared to \$10,879,000 during 2012, an increase of \$9,884,000. The increase was due to increased average debt outstanding and increased issuance costs being amortized during 2013. Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$10,323,000, and \$6,467,000 for 2013 and 2012, respectively, representing an increase of \$3,856,000. Employee compensation and benefits increased by \$2,140,000. This increase partially resulted from \$825,000 in bonuses paid to the original members of the Company (prior to its conversion to a corporation) equal to the tax effect of the conversion from an LLC to a corporation, and a \$449,000 increase related to the implementation of a new incentive compensation plan. Legal expenses increased by \$745,000 due in part to increased compliance work. Sales and marketing expenses increased by \$971,000 due to increased activity related to our public offering of Renewable Secured Debentures and increased effort at procuring life insurance policies for our investment portfolio.

Income Tax Expense. Income tax expense was \$2,174,000 and \$1,193,000 in 2013 and 2012, respectively. The effective tax rate for the 12 months ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, was 109.8% and 661.8%, respectively, compared to a statutory rate of 40.5%. In 2013 and 2012, there were significant permanent differences between income before income taxes and taxable income. The primary permanent differences between our effective tax rate and the statutory federal rate result from the accrual of preferred stock dividend expense, state taxes, and other non-deductible expenses. The dividends charged to interest expense were \$2,528,000 and \$2,227,000 in 2013 and 2012, respectively. Excluding the impact of the dividends and other permanent differences, the effective tax rate for 2013 and 2012 would have been 40.5%.

The most significant temporary differences between GAAP net income and taxable net income are the treatment of interest costs with respect to the acquisition of the life insurance policies and revenue recognition with respect to the mark-to-market of life insurance portfolio.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 Compared to the Same Period in 2013

The following is our analysis of the results of operations for the periods indicated below. This analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes.

Revenue. Revenue recognized from the receipt of policy benefits was \$2,070,000 and \$2,301,000 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. Revenue recognized from the receipt of policy benefits was \$3,668,000 and \$11,396,000 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. Revenue recognized from the change in fair value of our life insurance policies, net of premiums and carrying costs, was \$3,049,000 and \$13,819,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively and \$1,769,000 and \$10,115,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2013, we purchased a higher volume of life insurance policies than we did during the same period in 2014. The change in fair value related to new policies acquired during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2014 was \$964,000 and \$7,523,000 respectively, and \$6,732,000 and \$19,762,000 for those acquired during the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2013, respectively. In each case, the increases in fair value were due to changes in the discount rates we use to calculate the net present value of cash flows expected from our portfolio of life insurance policies, change in fair value of policies acquired during the period, and aging of the policies. The discount rate incorporates current information about discount rates applied by other reporting companies owning portfolios of life insurance policies, discount rates observed in the life insurance secondary market, market interest rates, the credit exposure to the insurance company that issued the life insurance policy and management's estimate of the risk premium a purchaser would require to receive the future cash flows derived from our portfolio of life insurance policies. The discount rate used to estimate the fair value of the life insurance policies we own was 11.56% as of September 30, 2014, compared to 11.77% as of September 30, 2013. The decrease in discount rate was due to changes in a variety of factors in our fair value methodology. The carrying value of policies acquired during each quarterly reporting period are adjusted to their current fair value using the fair value discount rate applied to the portfolio as of that reporting date.

Expenses. Interest expense, including amortization of the deferred financing costs as well as preferred stock dividends, were \$6,797,000 and \$19,731,000 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$5,537,000 and \$14,946,000 during the same periods of 2013, increases of \$1,260,000 and \$4,785,000, respectively. The increase was due to the increased debt outstanding that increased from \$225,362,000 at September 30, 2013 to \$280,438,000 at September 30, 2014, principally to fund acquisition of policies, make premium payments, pay interest and principal on the outstanding debt, and fund operating expenses. Selling, general, and administrative expenses were \$3,590,000 and \$8,430,000 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to \$2,276,000 and \$8,191,000 during the same periods of 2013, an increase of \$1,314,000 and \$239,000, respectively. The third quarter of 2014 over the same quarter of 2013 selling, general and administrative expenses increase was due to \$277,000 increase of employee expenses, \$339,000 increase in legal and professional fees, and \$698,000 increase in marketing, recruiting and other fees.

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

Income Tax Expense. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, we had a loss of \$3,400,000 and \$7,888,000 before income taxes and recorded income tax benefit of \$1,858,000 and \$4,130,000, respectively, or 35.3% and 34.4%, respectively. In the same periods of 2013, we recorded income tax benefit of \$657,000 (or 28.7% of income before taxes) and income tax expense of \$1,711,000 (or 89.8% of income before taxes). The primary differences between our effective tax rate and the statutory federal rate are the accrual of preferred stock dividend expense, state taxes, and other non-deductible expenses. Excluding the impact of the dividends and other permanent differences, the effective tax rate for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 would have been 43.8% and 40.5%, respectively.

The following table provides a reconciliation of our income tax expense at the statutory federal tax rate to our actual income tax expense:

44

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 | | Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 | |
|--|--|--------|---|--------|--|--------|---|-------|
| Statutory federal income tax | \$ (1,788,000) | 34.0% | \$ (4,086,000) | 34.0% | \$ 777,000 | 34.0% | \$ (648,000) | 34.0% |
| State income taxes, net of federal benefit | (297,000) | 5.6% | (649,000) | 5.4% | 105,000 | 4.6% | (251,000) | 13.2% |
| Series A preferred stock dividends | 216,000 | (4.1)% | 650,000 | (5.4)% | (215,000) | (9.4)% | (645,000) | 33.9% |
| Other permanent differences | 11,000 | (0.2)% | (45,000) | 0.4% | (10,000) | (0.5)% | (167,000) | 8.7% |
| Total income tax expense | \$ (1,858,000) | 35.3% | \$ (4,130,000) | 34.4% | \$ 657,000 | 28.7% | \$ (1,711,000) | 89.8% |

The most significant temporary differences between GAAP net income and taxable net income are the treatment of interest costs with respect to the acquisition of the life insurance policies and revenue recognition with respect to the mark-to-market of life insurance portfolio.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We finance our business through a combination of policy benefit revenues, origination fees, equity offerings, debt offerings, and a credit facility. We have used our debt offerings and credit facility primarily for policy acquisition, policy servicing and portfolio related financing expenditures. We charge an intercompany origination fee in the amount of one to four percent of the face value of a life insurance policy's benefit when we acquire the related life insurance policy. The origination fee we charge is included in the total purchase price we pay for a life insurance policy for purposes of our valuation and expected internal rate of return calculations, but is not netted against the purchase price we pay to a seller of an insurance policy. We generated cash flows of \$153,000 and \$1,462,000 from origination fees during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, and \$1,004,000 and \$2,818,000 during the same periods in 2013. Profit from intra-company origination fees for life insurance policies retained by the Company are eliminated from our consolidated statements of operations. As such, the origination fees collected under our life insurance policy financing arrangements are reflected in our consolidated statements of cash flows as cash flows from financing activities as they are received from borrowings used to finance the acquisition of life insurance policies. Our revolving bank line allows DLP II to borrow the funds necessary to pay origination fees to GWG Life. Our borrowing agreements allow us to use net proceeds of the Renewable Secured Debentures for policy acquisition, which includes origination fees. If the policy acquisition is not financed, no fees are included in the consolidated cash flows. See *Cash Flows* below for further information. We determine the purchase price of life insurance policies in accordance with ASC 325-30, *Investments in Insurance Contracts*, using the fair value method. Under the fair value method, the initial investment is recorded at the transaction price. Because the origination fees are paid from a wholly owned subsidiary to the parent company, these fees are not included in the transaction price as reflected in our consolidated financial statements. For further discussion on our accounting policies for life

settlements, please refer to note 1 to our consolidated financial statements.

As of September 30, 2014, we had approximately \$41.1 million in combined available cash and available borrowing base surplus capacity under our revolving credit facility for the purpose of purchasing additional life insurance policies, paying premiums on existing policies, paying portfolio servicing expenses, and paying principal and interest on our outstanding financing obligations.

As of December 31, 2013, we had approximately \$43.2 million in combined available cash and available borrowing base surplus capacity under our revolving credit facility for the purpose of purchasing additional life insurance policies, paying premiums on existing policies, paying portfolio servicing expenses, and paying principal and interest on our outstanding financing obligations.

In September 2012, we concluded a Series A preferred stock offering, receiving an aggregate \$24.6 million in subscriptions for our Series A preferred stock. These subscriptions consisted of \$14.0 million in

Table of Contents

conversions of outstanding Series I Secured notes and \$10.6 million of new investments. We have used the proceeds from the sale of our Series A preferred stock, together with the origination fees we received to purchase and finance life insurance policies to fund our operational expenditures.

In June 2011, we registered a \$250.0 million debt offering of our Renewable Secured Debentures with the SEC. The registration became effective on January 31, 2012. Through September 30, 2014, the total amount of Renewable Secured Debentures sold, including renewals, is \$225.0 million. As of September 30, 2014, we had approximately \$173.2 million in principal amount of Renewable Secured Debentures outstanding.

On September 24, 2014, GWG consummated an initial public offering of its common stock which resulted in the sale of 800,000 shares of common stock at \$12.50 per share. The sale resulted in net proceeds of approximately \$9.03 million after the deduction of underwriting commissions, discounts and expense reimbursements. The company intends to use the net proceeds from the offering to promote and advertise the opportunities for consumers owning life insurance and investors to profit from participating in the secondary market for life insurance policies, purchase additional life insurance policies in the secondary market, pay premiums on the company's life insurance policy assets, fund its portfolio operations, and for working capital purposes.

Additionally, our wholly owned subsidiary GWG Life issued Series I Secured notes beginning in November 2009 on a private placement basis to accredited investors only. As of September 30, 2014, we had approximately \$28.2 million in principal amount of Series I Secured notes outstanding. This offering was closed in November 2011.

The weighted-average interest rate of our outstanding Series I Secured notes as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was 8.37% and 8.35%, respectively, and the weighted average maturity at those dates was 1.92 and 2.49 years, respectively. The Series I Secured notes have renewal features. Since we first issued our Series I Secured notes, we have experienced \$131,774,000 in maturities, of which as of September 30, 2014, \$102,260,000 has renewed for an additional term. This has provided us with an aggregate renewal rate of approximately 78% for investments in our subsidiary secured notes. Future contractual maturities of Series I Secured notes payable at September 30, 2014 are:

Years Ending December 31,

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Three months ending December 31, 2014 | \$ 1,925,000 |
| 2015 | 12,729,000 |
| 2016 | 8,217,000 |
| 2017 | 4,427,000 |
| 2018 | 754,000 |
| Thereafter | 141,000 |
| | \$28,193,000 |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

The weighted-average interest rate of our outstanding Renewable Secured Debentures as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was 7.49% and 7.53%, respectively, and the weighted average maturity at those dates was 3.50 and 3.69 years, respectively. Our Renewable Secured Debentures have renewal features. Since we first issued our Renewable Secured Debentures, we have experienced \$51,493,000 in maturities, of which as of September 30, 2014, \$34,105,000 has renewed for an additional term. This has provided us with an aggregate renewal rate of approximately 66% for investments in our Renewable Secured Debentures. Future contractual maturities of Renewable Secured Debentures at September 30, 2014 are:

Years Ending December 31,

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Three months ending December 31, 2014 | \$ 16,086,000 |
| 2015 | 55,676,000 |
| 2016 | 43,434,000 |
| 2017 | 21,950,000 |
| 2018 | 10,730,000 |
| Thereafter | 25,369,000 |
| | \$ 173,245,000 |

46

Table of Contents

The Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes are secured by all our assets, and are subordinate to our revolving credit facility with Autobahn/DZ Bank. The Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes are pari passu with respect to our assets pursuant to an intercreditor agreement (see notes 7 and 8 to our consolidated financial statements).

We maintain a \$100 million revolving credit facility with Autobahn/DZ Bank through GWG Life's wholly owned subsidiary DLP II. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 we had \$79.0 million outstanding under the revolving credit facility and maintained an available borrowing base surplus of \$11.6 and \$3.9 million, respectively (see note 6 to our consolidated financial statements).

We expect to meet our ongoing operational capital needs through a combination of policy benefit revenues, origination fees, and proceeds from financing transactions. We expect to meet our policy acquisition, servicing, and financing capital needs principally from the receipt of policy benefit revenues from our portfolio of life insurance policies, net proceeds from our offering of Renewable Secured Debentures, and from our revolving credit facility. Because we only receive origination fees when we purchase a policy, our receipt of those fees is contingent upon our consummation of policy purchases, which is, in turn, contingent upon our receipt of external funding. Despite recent adverse capital market conditions, including a prolonged credit crisis, we have demonstrated continued access to credit and financing markets. Furthermore, we expect that the insurance benefit payments, to be received on our portfolio of life insurance policies, to increase as the average age of the insureds increase and mortality events occur over time which we expect to begin more significantly in 2015 and steadily increasing until 2018. As a result of the foregoing, we estimate that our liquidity and capital resources are sufficient for our current and projected financial needs. Nevertheless, if we are unable to continue our offering of Renewable Secured Debentures for any reason (or if we become unsuccessful in selling debentures), and we are unable to obtain capital from other sources, we expect that our business would be materially and adversely affected. In addition, our business would be materially and adversely affected if we did not receive the policy benefits we forecast and if holders of our Renewable Secured Debentures or Series I Secured notes failed to renew with the frequency we have historically experienced. In such a case, we could be forced to sell our investments in life insurance policies to service or satisfy our debt-related obligations and continue to pay policy premiums.

Capital expenditures have historically not been material and we do not anticipate making material capital expenditures in 2014 or beyond.

Debt Financings Summary

We had the following outstanding debt balances as of September 30, 2014:

| Issuer/Borrower | Principal Amount Outstanding | Weighted Average Interest Rate |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| GWG Holdings, Inc. Renewable Secured Debentures | \$ 173,245,000 | 7.49% |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| Issuer/Borrower | Principal Amount Outstanding | Weighted Average Interest Rate |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| GWG Life Settlements, LLC Series I Secured notes | 28,193,000 | 8.37% |
| GWG DLP Funding II, LLC Revolving credit facility | 79,000,000 | 6.22% |
| Total | \$ 280,438,000 | 7.22% |

Our total credit facility and other indebtedness balance as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was \$280,438,000 and \$243,635,000, respectively. At September 30, 2014, the total outstanding face amount under our Series I Secured notes outstanding was \$28,193,000, less unamortized selling costs of \$491,000, resulting in a carrying amount of \$27,702,000. At December 31, 2013, the total outstanding face amount under our Series I Secured notes outstanding was \$29,744,000, less unamortized selling costs of \$469,000, resulting in a carrying amount of \$29,275,000. At September 30, 2014, the total outstanding face amount of Renewable Secured Debentures was \$173,245,000 plus \$1,679,000 of subscriptions in process, less unamortized selling costs of \$5,737,000, resulting in a carrying amount of \$169,187,000. At December 31, 2013, the total outstanding face amount of Renewable Secured Debentures outstanding was \$134,891,000 plus \$1,902,000 of subscriptions in process, less unamortized selling costs of \$5,147,000, resulting in a carrying

Table of Contents

amount of \$131,646,000. At September 30, 2014, the fair value of our investments in life insurance policies of \$276,382,000 plus our cash balance of \$29,512,000 and our restricted cash balance of \$2,145,000, totaled \$308,039,000, representing an excess of portfolio assets over secured indebtedness of \$27,601,000. At December 31, 2013, the fair value of our investments in life insurance policies of \$234,673,000 plus our cash balance of \$33,450,000 and our restricted cash balance of \$5,833,000, totaled \$273,956,000, representing an excess of portfolio assets over secured indebtedness of \$30,321,000. The Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes are secured by all our assets and are subordinate to our revolving credit facility with Autobahn/DZ Bank. The Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes are pari passu with respect to shared collateral pursuant to an intercreditor agreement.

The following forward-looking table seeks to illustrate the impact of the sale of our portfolio of life insurance assets at various discount rates in order to satisfy our debt obligations as of September 30, 2014. In all cases, the sale of the life insurance assets owned by DLP II will be used first to satisfy all amounts owing under the revolving credit facility with Autobahn/ DZ Bank. The net sale proceeds remaining after satisfying all obligations under the revolving credit facility would be applied to Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes on a pari passu basis.

| Portfolio Discount Rate | 10% | 11% | 12% | 13% | 14% |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Value of portfolio | \$ 298,167,000 | \$ 283,889,000 | \$ 270,713,000 | \$ 258,529,000 | \$ 247,240,000 |
| and cash equivalents | 31,657,000 | 31,657,000 | 31,657,000 | 31,657,000 | 31,657,000 |
| Life assets | 329,824,000 | 315,546,000 | 302,370,000 | 290,186,000 | 278,897,000 |
| Revolving credit facility Autobahn/DZ Bank | 79,000,000 | 79,000,000 | 79,000,000 | 79,000,000 | 79,000,000 |
| After revolving credit facility | 250,824,000 | 236,546,000 | 223,370,000 | 211,186,000 | 199,897,000 |
| Series I Secured notes and Renewable Secured Debentures | 201,438,000 | 201,438,000 | 201,438,000 | 201,438,000 | 201,438,000 |
| After Series I Secured notes and Renewable Secured Debentures | \$ 49,386,000 | \$ 35,108,000 | \$ 21,932,000 | \$ 9,748,000 | \$ (1,541,000) |
| Impairment to Series I Secured notes and Renewable Secured Debentures | No impairment | No impairment | No impairment | No impairment | Impairment |

The table illustrates that our ability to fully satisfy amounts owing under the Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes would likely be impaired upon the sale of all our life insurance assets at a price equivalent to a discount rate of approximately 13.86% or higher. The discount rates used to calculate the fair value of our portfolio for mark-to-market accounting were 11.56% and 11.69% as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The table does not include any allowance for transactional fees and expenses associated with a portfolio sale (which expenses and fees could be substantial), and is provided to demonstrate how various discount rates used to value our portfolio could

affect our ability to satisfy amounts owing under our debt obligations, in light of our senior secured lender's right to priority payments. You should read the above table in conjunction with the information contained in other sections of this report, including our discussion of discount rates included under the Critical Accounting Policies Valuation of Insurance Policies caption above. This discussion and analysis is based on the beliefs of our management, as well as assumptions made by, and information currently available to, our management. The forward-looking presentation above is subject to numerous risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those suggested or implied by the above table. Please see the caption Risk Relating to Forward-Looking Statements above. Bond offering, a pending amendment to the indenture will rename our outstanding Renewable Secured Debentures as L Bonds.

Cash Flows

The payment of premiums and servicing costs to maintain life insurance policies represents our most significant requirement for cash disbursement. When a policy is purchased, we are able to calculate the minimum premium payments required to maintain the policy in-force. Over time as the insured ages, premium payments will increase; however, the probability of actually needing to pay the premiums decreases since mortality becomes more likely. These scheduled premiums and associated probabilities are factored into our

48

Table of Contents

expected internal rate of return and cash-flow modeling described herein. Beyond premiums, we incur policy servicing costs, including annual trustee and tracking costs, and debt servicing costs, including principal and interest payments. Until we receive a stable amount of proceeds from the policy benefits, we intend to pay these costs from our credit facility, when permitted, and through the issuance of debt securities, including the L Bonds.

For the quarter end dates set forth below, the following table illustrates the total amount of face value of policy benefits owned, and the trailing 12 months of life insurance policy benefits collected and premiums paid on our portfolio. The trailing 12-month benefits/premium coverage ratio indicates the ratio of policy benefits received to premiums paid over the trailing 12-month period from our portfolio of life insurance policies.

| Quarter End Date | Portfolio Face Amount | 12-Month Trailing Benefits Collected | 12-Month Trailing Premiums Paid | 12-Month Trailing Benefits/Premium Coverage Ratio |
|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| March 31, 2012 | \$482,455,000 | \$ 4,203,000 | \$ 14,977,000 | 28.06% |
| June 30, 2012 | 489,255,000 | 8,703,000 | 15,412,000 | 56.47% |
| September 30, 2012 | 515,661,000 | 7,833,000 | 15,837,000 | 49.46% |
| December 31, 2012 | 572,245,000 | 7,350,000 | 16,597,000 | 44.28% |
| March 31, 2013 | 639,755,000 | 11,350,000 | 18,044,000 | 62.90% |
| June 30, 2013 | 650,655,000 | 13,450,000 | 19,182,000 | 70.11% |
| September 30, 2013 | 705,069,000 | 18,450,000 | 20,279,000 | 90.98% |
| December 31, 2013 | 740,648,000 | 16,600,000 | 21,733,000 | 76.38% |
| March 31, 2014 | 771,940,000 | 12,600,000 | 21,930,000 | 57.46% |
| June 30, 2014 | 784,652,000 | 6,300,000 | 22,598,000 | 27.88% |
| September 30, 2014 | 787,964,000 | 4,300,000 | 23,121,000 | 18.60% |

We believe that the portfolio cash flow results set forth above represent our general investment thesis: that the life insurance policy benefits we receive will continue to increase over time in relation to the premiums we are required to pay on the remaining policies in the portfolio. Nevertheless, we expect that our portfolio cash flow results will remain inconsistent until such time we achieve our goal of acquiring a larger, more diversified portfolio of life insurance policies in order to obtain more normalized actuarial results. For example, we had expected to receive a greater amount of insurance benefits for the periods ended December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2014 than we actually experienced. As our receipt of life insurance policy benefits increase, we expect to begin servicing and paying down our outstanding indebtedness, or alternatively

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

purchasing additional life insurance policies, from these cash flows. As indicated above under Liquidity and Capital Resources, we presently expect that in 2015, the cash inflows from the receipt of policy benefits will exceed the 2015 premium obligations on the remaining life insurance policies held within the portfolio as of September 30, 2014.

The amount of payments for anticipated premiums and servicing costs that we will be required to make over the next five years to maintain our current portfolio, assuming no mortalities, is set forth in the table below.

| Year | Premiums and Servicing |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Three months ending December 31, 2014 | \$ 6,711,000 |
| 2015 | 27,075,000 |
| 2016 | 29,701,000 |
| 2017 | 33,176,000 |
| 2018 | 36,100,000 |
| Total | \$132,763,000 |

49

Table of Contents

The life insurance policies owned by DLP II are subject to a collateral arrangement with the agent to our revolving credit lender, as described in note 6 to the consolidated financial statements. Under this arrangement, collection and escrow accounts are used to fund purchases and premiums of the insurance policies and to pay interest and other charges under our revolving credit facility. The lender and its agent must authorize all disbursements from these accounts, including any distributions to GWG Life or GWG Holdings. Distributions are limited to an amount that would result in the borrowers (DLP II, GWG Life, and GWG Holdings) realizing an annualized rate of return on the equity funded amount for such assets of not more than 18%, as determined by the agent. After such amount is reached, the credit agreement requires that excess funds be used to fund repayments or a reserve account in a certain amount before any additional distributions may be made. In the future, these arrangements may restrict the cash flows available for payment of principal and interest on our debt obligations.

Inflation

Changes in inflation do not necessarily correlate with changes in interest rates. We presently do not foresee any material impact of inflation on our results of operations in the periods presented in our consolidated financial statements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Operating Lease We entered into an office lease with U.S. Bank National Association as the landlord. The lease was effective April 22, 2012 with a term through August 31, 2015. The lease is for 11,695 square feet of office space located at 220 South Sixth Street, Minneapolis, Minnesota. We are obligated to pay base rent plus common area maintenance and a share of the building operating costs. Minimum lease payments under the lease are as follows:

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Three months ending December 31, 2014 | \$26,000 |
| 2015 | 70,000 |
| Total | \$96,000 |

Credit Risk

We review the credit risk associated with our portfolio of life insurance policies when estimating its fair value. In evaluating the policies' credit risk we consider insurance company solvency, credit risk indicators, economic conditions, ongoing credit evaluations, and company positions. We attempt to manage our credit risk related to life insurance policies typically by purchasing policies issued only from companies with an

investment grade credit rating by either Standard & Poor's, Moody's, or A.M. Best Company. As of September 30, 2014, 99.08% of our life insurance policies, by face value benefits, were issued by companies that maintained an investment grade rating (BBB or better) by Standard & Poor's.

Interest Rate Risk

Our credit facility is floating-rate financing. In addition, our ability to offer interest rates that attract capital (including in the offer and sale of Renewable Secured Debentures) is generally impacted by prevailing interest rates. Furthermore, while our other indebtedness provides us with fixed-rate financing, our debt coverage ratio is calculated in relation to our total cost of financing. Therefore, fluctuations in interest rates impact our business by increasing our borrowing costs, and reducing availability under our debt financing arrangements. Furthermore, we calculate our portfolio earnings based upon the spread generated between the return on our life insurance portfolio and the cost of our financing. As a result, increases in interest rates will reduce the earnings we expect to achieve from our investments in life insurance policies.

50

Table of Contents

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

We use non-GAAP financial measures when evaluating our financial results, for planning and forecasting purposes, and for maintaining compliance with covenants contained in our borrowing agreements. Non-GAAP financial measures disclosed by management are provided as additional information to investors in order to provide them with an alternative method for assessing our financial condition and operating results. These non-GAAP financial measures are not in accordance with GAAP and may be different from non-GAAP measures used by other companies, including other companies within our industry. This presentation of non-GAAP financial information is not meant to be considered in isolation or as a substitute for comparable amounts prepared in accordance with GAAP. See the notes to our consolidated financial statements and our audited financial statements contained herein.

We have elected to carry our investments in life insurance policies at fair value in accordance with ASC 325-30, *Investments in Life Insurance Contracts*. Accordingly, we value our investments in life insurance policies at the conclusion of each reporting period in accordance with GAAP fair value accounting principles. In addition to GAAP, we are required to report non-GAAP financial measures to Autobahn/DZ Bank under certain financial covenants made to that lender under our revolving credit facility. As indicated above, we also use non-GAAP financial reporting to manage and evaluate the financial performance of our business.

The election to record our investments in life insurance policies at fair value, which by its nature, is based upon Level 3 measurements that are unobservable. As a result, this accounting treatment imports financial market volatility and subjective inputs into our financial reporting. We believe this type of accounting reporting is at odds with one of the key attractions for purchasing and owning a portfolio life insurance policies: the non-correlated nature of the returns to be derived from such policies. Therefore, in contrast to a GAAP-based fair valuation, we seek to measure the accrual of the actuarial gain occurring within the portfolio of life insurance policies at their expected internal rate of return based on statistical mortality probabilities for the insureds (using primarily the insured's age, sex and smoking status). The expected internal rate of return tracks actuarial gain occurring within the policies according to a mortality table as the insured's age increases. By comparing the actuarial gain accruing within our portfolio of life insurance policies against our costs during the same period, we can estimate, manage and evaluate the overall financial profitability of our business without regard to mark-to-market volatility. We use this information to balance our life insurance policy purchasing and manage our capital structure, including the issuance of debt and utilization of our other sources of capital, and to monitor our compliance with borrowing covenants. We believe that these non-GAAP financial measures provide information that is useful for investors to understand period-over-period operating results separate and apart from fair value items that may, or could, have a disproportionately positive or negative impact on results in any particular period.

Our credit facility requires us to maintain a positive net income and tangible net worth each of which are calculated on an adjusted non-GAAP basis on the method described above, without regard to GAAP-based fair value measures. In addition, our revolving credit facility requires us to maintain an excess spread, which is the difference between (i) the weighted average of our expected internal rate of return of our portfolio of life insurance policies and (ii) the weighted average of our credit facility's interest rate. These calculations are made using non-GAAP measures in the method described below, without regard to GAAP-based fair value measures.

In addition, our Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes require us to maintain a debt coverage ratio designed to ensure that the expected cash flows from our portfolio of life insurance policies is able to adequately service our total outstanding indebtedness. In addition, our Renewable Secured Debentures requires us to maintain a subordination ratio which limits the total amount of indebtedness that can be issued senior in rank to the Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes. These ratios are calculated using non-GAAP measures in the

method described below, without regard to GAAP-based fair value measures.

Adjusted Non-GAAP Net Income. Our credit facility requires us to maintain a positive net income calculated on an adjusted non-GAAP basis. We calculate the adjusted net income by recognizing the actuarial gain accruing within our life insurance policies at the expected internal rate of return of the policies we own

Table of Contents

without regard to fair value. We net this actuarial gain against our costs during the same period to calculate our net income on a non-GAAP basis.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| GAAP net income (loss) | \$ (3,400,000) | \$ (1,629,000) | \$ (7,888,000) | \$ 195,000 |
| Unrealized fair value gain (1) | (8,762,000) | (6,960,000) | (30,973,000) | (25,904,000) |
| Adjusted cost basis increase (2) | 10,982,000 | 9,360,000 | 33,156,000 | 28,256,000 |
| Accrual of unrealized actuarial gain (3) | 8,082,000 | 5,208,000 | 23,209,000 | 15,406,000 |
| Total adjusted non-GAAP income (4) | \$ 6,902,000 | \$ 5,979,000 | \$ 17,504,000 | \$ 17,953,000 |

- (1) Reversal of unrealized fair value gain of life insurance policies for current period.
- (2) Adjusted cost basis is increased to include those acquisition and servicing expenses which are not capitalized by GAAP.
- (3) Accrual of actuarial gain at expected internal rate of return based on investment cost basis for the period.
- (4) We must maintain an annual positive consolidated net income, calculated on a non-GAAP basis, to maintain compliance with our revolving credit facility with DZ Bank/Autobahn.

Adjusted Non-GAAP Tangible Net Worth. Our revolving credit facility requires us to maintain a tangible net worth in excess of \$15 million calculated on an adjusted non-GAAP basis. We calculate the adjusted tangible net worth by recognizing the actuarial gain accruing within our life insurance policies at the expected internal rate of return of the policies we own without regard to fair value. We net this actuarial gain against our costs during the same period to calculate our tangible net worth on a non-GAAP basis.

| | As of September 30, 2014 | As of December 31, 2013 |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| GAAP net worth (1) | \$ 20,221,000 | \$ 19,231,000 |
| Less intangible assets (2) | (8,237,000) | (6,068,000) |
| GAAP tangible net worth | 11,984,000 | 13,163,000 |
| Unrealized fair value gain (3) | (145,717,000) | (114,744,000) |
| Adjusted cost basis increase (4) | 139,094,000 | 106,201,000 |
| Accrual of unrealized actuarial gain (5) | 72,875,000 | 49,666,000 |
| Total adjusted non-GAAP tangible net worth (6) | \$ 78,236,000 | \$ 54,286,000 |

-
- (1) Includes termination of redeemable member's interest prior to corporate conversion and preferred stock classified as temporary equity.
 - (2) Unamortized portion of deferred financing costs and pre-paid insurance.
 - (3) Reversal of cumulative unrealized fair value gain or loss of life insurance policies.
 - (4) Adjusted cost basis is increased by acquisition and servicing expenses which are not capitalized under GAAP.
 - (5) Accrual of cumulative actuarial gain at expected internal rate of return based on investment cost basis.
 - (6) We must maintain a total adjusted non-GAAP tangible net worth of \$15 million to maintain compliance with our revolving credit facility with DZ Bank/Autobahn.

Excess Spread. Our revolving credit facility requires us to maintain a 2.00% excess spread between our weighted-average expected internal rate of return of our portfolio of life insurance policies and the credit facility's interest rate. A presentation of our excess spread and our total excess spread is set forth below. Management uses the total excess spread to gauge expected profitability of our investments, and uses the excess spread to monitor compliance with our borrowing.

Table of Contents

| | As of September 30, 2014 | As of December 31, 2013 |
|---|---|--|
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Weighted-average expected IRR (1) | 11.88% | 12.21% |
| Weighted-average revolving credit facility interest rate (2) | 6.22% | 6.19% |
| Excess spread (3) | 5.66% | 6.02% |
| Total weighted-average interest rate on indebtedness for borrowed money (4) | 7.22% | 7.20% |
| Total excess spread | 4.66% | 5.01% |

-
- (1) This represents the weighted-average expected internal rate of return of the life insurance policies as of the measurement date based upon our investment cost basis of the insurance policies and the expected cash flows from the life insurance portfolio. Our investment cost basis is calculated as our cash investment in the life insurance policies, without regard to GAAP-based fair value measurements, and is set forth below:

| | As of September 30, 2014 | As of December 31, 2013 |
|----------------------------------|---|--|
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| <i>Investment Cost Basis</i> | | |
| GAAP fair value | \$ 276,382,000 | \$ 234,673,000 |
| Unrealized fair value gain (A) | (145,717,000) | (114,744,000) |
| Adjusted cost basis increase (B) | 139,094,000 | 106,201,000 |
| Investment cost basis (C) | \$ 269,759,000 | \$ 226,130,000 |

- (A) This represents the reversal of cumulative unrealized GAAP fair value gain of life insurance policies.
- (B) Adjusted cost basis is increased to include those acquisition and servicing expenses that are not capitalized by GAAP.
- (C) This is the full cash investment cost basis in life insurance policies from which our expected internal rate of return is calculated.
- (2) This is the weighted-average revolving credit relating to our revolving credit facility interest rate as of the measurement date.
- (3) We must maintain an excess spread of 2.00% relating to our revolving credit facility to maintain compliance under such facility.
- (4) Represents the weighted-average interest rate paid on all outstanding indebtedness as of the measurement date, determined as follows:

| | As of September 30, 2014 | As of December 31, 2013 |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <i>Outstanding Indebtedness</i> | | |
| Revolving credit facility | \$ 79,000,000 | \$ 79,000,000 |
| Series I Secured notes | 28,193,000 | 29,744,000 |
| Renewable Secured Debentures (1) | 173,245,000 | 134,891,000 |
| Total | \$280,438,000 | \$243,635,000 |

- (1) Upon effectiveness of the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part, all securities originally issued as Renewable Secured Debentures will be renamed L Bonds.

| | As of September 30, 2014 | As of December 31, 2014 |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <i>Interest Rates on Indebtedness</i> | | |
| Revolving credit facility | 6.22% | 6.19% |
| Series I Secured notes | 8.37% | 8.35% |
| Renewable Secured Debentures | 7.49% | 7.53% |
| Weighted-average interest rates on indebtedness | 7.22% | 7.20% |

Table of Contents

Debt Coverage Ratio and Subordination Ratio. Our Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes require us to maintain a debt coverage ratio of less than 90%. The debt coverage ratio is calculated by dividing the sum of our total indebtedness by the sum of our cash and cash equivalents and the net present value of the life insurance portfolio. The subordination ratio for our Renewable Secured Debentures is calculated by dividing the total indebtedness that is senior to Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes by the sum of the company's cash and cash equivalents and the net present value of the life insurance portfolio. The subordination ratio must be less than 50%. For purposes of both ratio calculations, the net present value of the life insurance portfolio is calculated using a discount rate equal to the weighted average interest rate of all indebtedness.

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| | As of September 30, 2014 | As of December 31, 2013 |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Life insurance portfolio policy benefits | \$ 787,964,000 | \$ 740,648,000 |
| Discount rate of future cash flows | 7.22% | 7.20% |
| Net present value of Life insurance portfolio policy benefits | \$ 344,774,000 | \$ 302,761,000 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 31,657,000 | 39,283,000 |
| Total Coverage | 376,431,000 | 342,044,000 |
| Revolving credit facility | 79,000,000 | 79,000,000 |
| Series I Secured notes | 28,193,000 | 29,744,000 |
| Renewable Secured Debentures | 173,245,000 | 134,891,000 |
| Total Indebtedness | \$ 280,438,000 | \$ 243,635,000 |
| Debt Coverage Ratio | 74.50% | 71.23% |
| Subordination Ratio | 20.99% | 23.10% |

As of September 30, 2014, we were in compliance with both the debt coverage ratio and the subordination ratio as required under our related financing agreements for Renewable Secured Debentures and Series I Secured notes.

Table of Contents

BUSINESS

Overview

We provide financial solutions to consumers in the emerging secondary market for life insurance settlements. We target our financial solution offerings toward consumers owning life insurance who can benefit from realizing the actuarial value of their life insurance policy. We believe the value proposition of our services to the consumers we serve is compelling and these consumers represent the fastest growing demographic in the United States according to the U.S. Census Bureau. To address this growing need, we recently have expanded our services by offering consumers a range of options to access the actuarial value of their life insurance, including purchasing (i) all or a portion of their life insurance policy for cash, (ii) all or a portion of their life insurance policy in exchange for a different asset, and (iii) all or a portion of their life insurance policy in an installment sale that provides the selling consumer with a stream of cash flow. All of our services involve our purchase or financing of life insurance assets from consumers in the secondary market at a discount to the face value of the life insurance asset we obtain. In cases where we purchase a life insurance policy, we continue paying the policy premiums until maturity, in order to collect the policy benefit upon the insured's mortality. In this way, we hope to profit from the difference between our cost of obtaining and financing a life insurance asset, and the policy benefit we ultimately receive upon the mortality of the insured.

In addition to our goal of providing consumers with value-added services based upon the actuarial value of their life insurance policies, we seek to build a profitable and large portfolio of life insurance assets that are well diversified in terms of insurance carriers, mortality profiles and the medical conditions of insureds. We believe that successfully diversifying our assets will lower our overall risk exposure and provide our portfolio of life insurance assets with greater actuarial stability and more reliable returns. To obtain the growth and diversification we seek, we have raised capital through a variety of financing efforts that have included the private and public offerings of structured debt securities, private offerings of preferred stock, and the use of a senior secured revolving credit facility. This offering of common stock is an extension of that strategy.

As of September 30, 2014, we owned approximately \$788 million in face value of life insurance policy benefits covering 263 lives with an aggregate non-GAAP cost basis of approximately \$270 million. Aggregate cost basis includes our acquisition costs and ongoing maintenance and financing costs. We have acquired this portfolio through a combination of the issuance of debt in particular, the sale of previously issued L Bonds by GWG Holdings, the sale of Series I Secured notes by GWG Life, and the use of a senior secured revolving credit facility provided to our subsidiary GWG DLP Funding II, as borrower. Our objective is to earn returns from our life insurance assets that are greater than the costs necessary to purchase and finance those policy assets to their maturity. We expect to accomplish our objective by:

- purchasing life insurance policy assets which generate expected internal rates of returns in excess of our cost of capital;
- paying the life insurance asset's premiums and costs until the insured's mortality;
- obtaining a large and diverse portfolio of insurance policy assets to mitigate actuarial risk;
- maintaining diversified funding sources to reduce our overall cost of financing;
- maintaining rigorous portfolio monitoring and servicing practices; and
- if appropriate, engaging in hedging strategies that reduce potential volatility to our cost of financing.

We intend to apply the majority of proceeds of this offering, along with amounts we receive under arrangements with senior lenders, to expand the portfolio of insurance assets we own, and finance those assets until their maturity. See also Use of Proceeds.

In the future, we may determine to create other kinds of investment products that may relate to or be based upon, or otherwise be offered and sold for the purpose of permitting us to become involved in, industries and financing opportunities other than life insurance. Although we presently have no definitive plans

Table of Contents

%

to do this, we have begun the effort of identifying other industries that present potentially viable financing opportunities. Any decision to become involved in other industries would likely involve a separate financing effort on our part, and we would expect to leverage the network of broker-dealers that have participated in our earlier financing efforts and with whom we have developed relationships.

Market

According to the American Council of Life Insurers Fact Book 2013 (ACLI), individuals owned over \$11.22 trillion of face value of life insurance policies in the United States in 2012. This figure includes all types of policies, including term and permanent insurance known as whole life, universal life, variable life, and variable universal life. The ACLI reports that the lapse and surrender rate of individual life insurance policies for 2012 was 5.9%, over \$649 billion in face value of policy benefits in 2012 alone. These figures do not include group-owned life insurance, such as employer-provided life insurance, the market for which totaled over \$8.01 trillion of face value of life insurance policies in the United States in 2012, and the policies of which exhibit similar lapse and surrender rates, according to the ACLI. Consumers owning life insurance generally allow policies to lapse or surrender the policies for a variety of reasons, including: (i) the life insurance is no longer needed; (ii) unrealistic original earnings assumptions made when the policy was purchased; (iii) increasing premium payment obligations as the insured ages; (iv) changes in financial status or outlook which cause the insured to no longer require life insurance; (v) other financial needs that make the insurance unaffordable; or (vi) a desire to maximize the policy's investment value.

The secondary market for life insurance has developed in response to the large volume of policy lapses and surrenders. Rather than allowing a policy to lapse as worthless, or surrendering a life insurance policy at a fraction of its inherent value, the secondary market can be a source of significant value to consumers. The inherent actuarial value of a policy in the life insurance secondary market often exceeds the cash surrender value offered by the insurance carrier. Life insurance companies earn substantial revenue windfalls due to the lapse and surrender of many insurance policies. These revenue windfalls have enabled life insurance companies to issue policies with reduced premiums. The profit opportunity for a purchaser of a life insurance asset in the secondary market is the difference, or spread, between (i) the cost of obtaining and maintaining a life insurance policy over the insured's lifetime, and (ii) the face value of the policy's benefit that will be paid upon the insured's mortality. The secondary market for life insurance policies has also been driven by the creation of life insurance policy pricing tools and actuarial modeling techniques developed by investors. Without the development of the secondary market, insurance carriers would maintain monopsony power over the options offered to consumers who no longer want, need or can afford their life insurance.

Although still relatively new and still emerging, Conning Research & Consulting (Conning) reports that the secondary market for life insurance policies grew from \$2 billion in face value of benefits purchased in 2002, to over \$12 billion in face value of benefits purchased in 2007. During and after the 2009 credit crisis, the secondary market for life insurance contracted significantly, evidenced by Conning's report that investors purchased approximately \$2 billion in face value of life insurance benefits in 2012. Nevertheless, Conning reports that consumer demand for continued development of the secondary market remains strong, and there are indications of strengthening interest among investors. Conning maintains that, given the current economic environment and investor sentiment, the secondary market will likely increase. We believe that the market's largest growth will likely come from companies that attract capital to purchase the assets. Conning reports that the net market potential for policies sold in the secondary market exceeded \$109 billion in 2012, and is expected to grow to \$151 billion by 2019.

We believe that socio-economic and demographic trends further support the long-term development and growth of the secondary market for life insurance, and that the secondary market for life insurance represents a significant and expanding market opportunity. According to the United States Census Bureau (Bureau) the population age 65 and older is expected to more than double between 2012 and 2060, from 43.1 million to 92.0 million. The Bureau projects that the increase in the number of the oldest old will be even more dramatic those 85 and older are projected to more than triple from 5.9 million to 18.2 million, reaching 4.3 percent of the total population. We believe that this older demographic, 85 years and older, may be particularly well served by the services we offer.

Table of Contents

In addition to changing demographics, we believe there is a growing need for services that address the post-retirement financial needs of consumers in general. Research published by Natixis Global Asset Management (NGAM) reports that retirees will likely be required to finance a larger portion of their retirement as the government's ability to support them fades. In response to this growing need, the States of Texas and Kentucky adopted legislation enabling individuals to help finance their long-term care needs through the value of their life insurance by allowing individuals to enter the Medicaid program so long as they use the proceeds from the sale of their life insurance policy in the secondary market for long-term care needs. Additional states are considering similar legislation to deal with the increasing costs of providing long-term care to the growing population of seniors.

As the life insurance secondary market has grown, a regulatory framework has been established to oversee the industry participants and protect consumers. Since 2007, there has been a dramatic increase in the number of states that have adopted legislation and regulations. Today, almost every state has adopted some version of model laws prohibiting business practices deemed to be abusive and generally requiring the licensing of life insurance purchasers and brokers, the filing and approval of purchase agreements, disclosure of transaction fees and periodic reporting requirements. The widespread adoption of this regulatory framework by states has brought about standardized practices and procedures for industry participants in the secondary market. In addition, several states have modified their laws to adopt notice requirements for the benefit of consumers owning life insurance, alerting them to the existence of the secondary market before they surrender their life insurance policy or allow it to lapse.

We believe the strengthened regulatory framework, along with the emergence of best practices adopted by industry participants within the life insurance secondary market, will lead to a growing awareness of the secondary market among life insurance agents and financial advisors serving the financial needs of consumers. We expect this growing awareness, along with the demographic factors described above, will lead to the continued growth of services related to the secondary market for life insurance policies.

We believe that the secondary market for life insurance policies has also attracted global investor interest because investments in life insurance policy assets can provide non-correlated investment diversification. The ability for investors to invest in the life insurance asset class comes as a result of the development of life insurance policy pricing tools and actuarial modeling techniques for valuing portfolios of life insurance policies. Standardized life insurance pricing tools and actuarial modeling software, including life expectancies, have provided foundational support for the development of services related to the life insurance secondary market. The appeal for investors to achieve non-correlated diversification appears strong, particularly after the global recession of 2008. The appeal of non-correlation is that the underlying investment return is independent of the factors contributing to economic downturns such as real estate values, commodity prices, and stock market indices. In addition, many life insurance policies represent payment obligations from highly rated life insurance companies. As a result, investors can evaluate the expected risk premium they receive for investing in the asset class as compared to the credit profile of the underlying insurance company. The risk premium offered by the asset class, along with the non-correlated return profile has attracted a large number of investors seeking investment opportunities in the life insurance secondary market. As innovation and investor awareness of the secondary market for life insurance increases, we expect continued investor interest in the asset class.

We believe that we are well positioned to capitalize on this opportunity by providing value-added services to the consumers we serve and by leveraging our retail alternative investment distribution network. To participate and compete in our growing market, we have spent and intend to continue to spend significant resources: (i) developing a robust operational platform and systems for originating, purchasing, and servicing life

insurance policies; (ii) obtaining requisite licensure to participate in the life insurance secondary market; (iii) developing financing resources, strategies, and capabilities for servicing a large portfolio of life insurance policies; (iv) recruiting and developing a professional management team; and (v) establishing strategic relationships for delivering our services.

Table of Contents

Company History

We were founded in 2006 to develop a platform to evaluate, purchase, service, and track life insurance policies purchased in the secondary market. Our original model was to operate as a joint venture with WestLB, AG, a large German commercial bank, with the goal of having the bank securitize and sell investments in the life insurance portfolio we purchased. During 2006 and 2007, we built an institutional platform to underwrite, purchase, service, and track life insurance policies purchased in the secondary market in conjunction with a \$250 million revolving credit facility provided by WestLB. In 2008, however, WestLB informed us that they were abandoning their effort to securitize and sell investments backed by our life insurance portfolio in light of the global economic and financial crisis. This resulted in a material change to our business plan, as we had earlier purchased the portfolio of life insurance policies with the expectation these policies would be sold through a securitization organized by WestLB. Subsequently, in 2010 we sold the original portfolio that had been financed entirely by WestLB.

Since 2008, we have focused on establishing diversified funding sources whose investment expectation is based on the purchase and finance of life insurance policies to their maturity a buy-and-hold strategy as opposed to the securitized sale of those assets prior to maturity. In 2009, our subsidiary GWG Life, LLC, or GWG Life, began selling Series I Secured notes to further finance our buy-and-hold strategy. In January 2012, we registered a public offering of our previously issued L Bonds in order to continue to grow and diversify the portfolio we started acquiring with the sale of Series I Secured notes. In addition to the Series I Secured notes and previously issued L Bonds, we have utilized a \$100 million senior secured revolving credit facility provided by Autobahn Funding Company, LLC, a bank-sponsored commercial paper conduit administered by DZ Bank AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank, or DZ Bank. This credit facility is provided to our wholly owned subsidiary GWG DLP Funding II, LLC, or DLP Funding II, as borrower. DLP Funding II holds title to substantially all of our life insurance assets. We expect to maintain and expand our access to credit in conjunction with the stated goal of growing and expanding our portfolio of life insurance policies through the proceeds of our common stock offering. On September 24, 2014, we consummated an initial public offering of our common stock that involved the listing of our common stock on The Nasdaq Capital Market effective September 25, 2014.

Our Business Model

All of our services are premised on financial and actuarial modeling that assigns a present value to the face value of an insurance policy benefit. In this regard, the value we assign to a life insurance asset in the secondary market is primarily a function of: (i) the face value of the life insurance policy or portion thereof we may wish to acquire; (ii) the estimated life expectancy of the individual insured under the policy; (iii) the premiums expected to be paid over the life of the insured; (iv) market competition from other purchasers in the secondary market; and (v) the particular underwriting characteristics of the policy, relative to the characteristics of our portfolio of life insurance assets as a whole.

The types of policies for which we provide services are typically, but not always, universal life insurance policies. Universal life insurance is a type of permanent life insurance in which premium payments above the cost of insurance are credited to the cash value of the policy. The cash value is credited each month with interest based on the terms of the insurance policy agreement. If a universal life insurance policy were to lapse, the insured or other owner of the policy would nonetheless have a right to receive the cash surrender value of the policy. The cash surrender value is the cash value of the policy, less any surrender charges imposed by the insurance company for removing the cash value. Our services provide greatest value to a consumer when the actuarial value of the life insurance policy benefit exceeds the cash surrender value of the policy which is often the case. We also provide services to consumers who own term life insurance. Unlike permanent universal life insurance, term life insurance does not have a cash value associated with it. Nevertheless, most term insurance policies permit the policy to be converted into permanent universal life insurance. In the future, we may consider offering services in conjunction with variable universal life insurance, which differs from universal insurance in that the variable component of the policy involves the ownership of securities inside the policy. Regardless of the type of policy, we generally seek to purchase life insurance policies issued by rated life insurance carriers with investment grade credit ratings by Standard &

Table of Contents

Poor's (AAA through BBB), Moody's (Aaa through Baa3), or A.M. Best Company (aaa through bbb). As of December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2014, over 93.5% and 95.2%, respectively, of life insurance policies within our portfolio were issued by companies rated A- or better under Standard & Poor's rating system.

Before acquiring a life insurance asset, we value the related life insurance policy by conducting an underwriting review. Our present underwriting review process generally involves obtaining two life expectancy estimates on each insured from third-party medical-actuarial firms, and then averaging these two estimates. On occasion, we may obtain more than two life expectancy estimates, in which case we average the two life expectancy estimates that we believe are the most reliable, based on our own analyses and conclusions. In this regard, the two life expectancy estimates we ultimately choose to average may not always be the most conservative estimates we obtain. From time to time and as permitted by applicable borrowing covenants, we may modify our underwriting review process. For example, in anticipation of our planned marketing efforts, we recently changed our definition of a small face policy from \$250,000 in policy benefits to \$1,000,000 in policy benefits. For small face policies, rather than obtaining life expectancy estimates from third-party medical-actuarial firms, we may employ a modified underwriting review process involving the use of a combination of standard mortality tables, actuarial or medical consultants, and our own analysis to develop a life expectancy estimate for an insured.

We generally transact directly with the policy owner who originally purchased the life insurance in the primary market. Historically, we have purchased policies in the secondary market through a network of life insurance agents, life insurance brokers, and licensed providers who assist policy owners in accessing the secondary market. We expect to expand our origination practice by marketing directly to consumers through various marketing initiatives.

We have built our business with what we believe to be the following competitive strengths:

Industry Experience: We have actively participated in the development of the secondary market of life insurance as a principal purchaser and financier within the asset class since 2006. Our position within the marketplace has allowed us to gain a deep understanding of the life insurance secondary market. We have participated in the leadership of various industry associations and forums, including the Life Insurance Settlement Association (LISA) and the Insurance Studies Institute (ISI). Our experience gives us confidence in building a company to compete in the industry and acquire a portfolio of life insurance policies that will perform to our expectations.

Operational Platform: We have built and continue to refine and develop an operational platform and systems for efficiently tracking, processing, and servicing life insurance policies that we believe provide competitive advantages when participating in the life insurance secondary marketplace.

Origination and Underwriting Practices: We seek to use underwriting review processes and file documentation standards that generally meet published guidelines for rated securitizations of life insurance portfolios. We purchase life insurance policies we consider to be non-contestable and that meet our underwriting criteria and reviews. We consider a life insurance policy to be non-contestable once applicable state law prohibits the insurer from challenging the validity of the policy due to fraud. In this regard, state non-contestability laws generally require a period of one to two years to elapse after the initial issuance of the policy before that policy is considered non-contestable under state law. Non-contestability laws do not, however, prevent an insurer from challenging the validity of a policy procured by fraud for lack of an insurable interest at the time at which the policy was purchased, such as is the case with so-called stranger-originated life insurance policies. To the extent we use modified methodologies for estimating life expectancies for small face policies, those modified methodologies may not meet published guidelines for rated securitizations of life insurance portfolios.

Origination Relationships and Strategies: We have established origination relationships with life insurance policy brokers and insurance agents who submit policies for our purchase or financing. Our referral base knows our underwriting standards for purchasing life insurance policies in the secondary market, which provides confidence in our bidding and closing processes and streamlines our own

Table of Contents

due-diligence process. We expect to expand our origination methodology and channels with the proceeds of this offering (e.g., the addition of consumer marketing).

Life Expectancy Methodology: We generally rely on two life expectancy estimates obtained from independent third-party medical-actuarial underwriting firms to arrive at a life expectancy estimate we use for valuing a life insurance asset. For a majority of our life insurance asset purchases, we rely on estimates obtained from 21st Services and AVS Underwriting to develop our life expectancy estimate. We may, however, also obtain and use life expectancy estimates from other medical-actuarial underwriting firms. As explained above, we may from time to time modify our underwriting review processes, including our methodology for arriving at life expectancy estimates we use in ascribing value to a life insurance asset.

Pricing Software and Methodology: To calculate our expected returns on the investments we make in life insurance assets, we use actuarial pricing methodologies and software tools built by a leading independent actuarial service firm and currently supported by Modeling Actuarial Pricing Systems, Inc. (MAPS).

Financing Strategy: We have actively developed diversified financing strategy for accessing capital markets in support of our buy-and-hold strategy for our portfolio of life insurance policies, ranging from institutional bank financing to a network of broker-dealers registered with the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA), many of whom have participated in one or more of our Series I Secured note financing, our Series A preferred stock financing, or our Renewable Secured Debenture (previously issued L Bonds) financing. If in the future we determine to offer different kinds of investment products, we expect to leverage the network of broker-dealers that we have built over time.

On the other hand, our business involves a number of challenges and risks described in more detail elsewhere in this prospectus, including the following:

Relatively New Market: Investing in life insurance assets in the secondary market is a relatively new and evolving market. Our ability to source and invest in life insurance assets at attractive prices materially depends on the continued growth of the secondary market for life insurance and the continued solvency of the life insurance companies that pay the face value of life insurance policy benefits.

Asset Valuation Assumptions: The valuation of our portfolio life insurance assets the principal asset on our balance sheet requires us to make material assumptions that may ultimately prove to be incorrect. These assumptions include appropriate discount rates, cash flow projections, and the life expectancy estimates we use for these purposes, any of which may ultimately prove to be inaccurate.

Ability to Expand Our Portfolio: Our business model requires us to achieve actual results that are in line with those we expect to attain from our investments in life insurance assets. In this regard, we believe that the larger the portfolio of life insurance assets we own, the greater likelihood there is that we will achieve results matching our expectations. Although we plan to expand the number of investments in life insurance assets using proceeds from the sale of our common stock, we may be unable to meet this goal. Furthermore, even if we successfully grow our portfolio of life insurance assets, we nevertheless may not achieve the results we expect.

Reliance on Financing: We have chosen to finance our business almost entirely through the issuance of debt, including the sale of previously issued L Bonds, Series I Secured notes, and our use of a senior secured revolving credit facility. Our business model expects that we will have continued access to financing (including financing to expand or replace our existing financing) in order to purchase and finance a large and diversified portfolio of life insurance assets, and thereafter pay the attendant premiums and financing costs of maintaining that portfolio. We will be required to rely on our access to financing to pay premiums and interest until such time as we experience a significant amount of mortality within our portfolio and begin receiving significant revenues from the receipt of life insurance policy benefits. Even if we obtain the financing we require, we may not receive life insurance policy benefits that match our cash flow projections or meet them in time to earn profits after the payment of financing costs.

Table of Contents

Risk of Investment in Life Insurance Assets: Our investments in life insurance assets have inherent risks, including fraud and legal challenges to the validity of the life insurance policies. Examples of fraud include the possibility that the seller of a policy may have provided us with inaccurate or misleading information during the underwriting review process.

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

Effects of Regulation: Our business is subject to complex state and federal regulation. Changes in state or federal laws and regulations governing our business, or changes in the interpretation of such laws and regulations, could materially and negatively affect our business.

Our business also involves certain other challenges and risks described in the **Risk Factors** section of this prospectus.

Our Portfolio

Our portfolio of life insurance policies, owned by our subsidiaries as of September 30, 2014, is summarized below:

Life Insurance Portfolio Summary

| | |
|---|------------------------|
| Total portfolio face value of policy benefits | \$ 787,964,000 |
| Average face value per policy | \$ 2,727,000 |
| Average face value per insured life | \$ 2,996,000 |
| Average age of insured (yrs.) * | 82.6 |
| Average life expectancy estimate (yrs.) * | 6.69 |
| Total number of policies | 289 |
| Number of unique lives | 263 |
| Demographics | 68% Males; 32% Females |
| Number of smokers | 3 insureds are smokers |
| Largest policy as % of total portfolio | 1.27% |
| Average policy as % of total portfolio | 0.35% |
| Average Annual Premium as % of face value | 3.31% |

* Averages presented in the table are weighted averages.

Our portfolio of life insurance policies, owned by our subsidiaries as of September 30, 2014, organized by the insured's current age and the associated policy benefits, is summarized below:

Distribution of Policy Benefits by Current Age of Insured

| Min Age | Max Age | Policy Benefits | Weighted Average Life Expectancy (yrs.) | Distribution |
|--------------|---------|-----------------------|---|----------------|
| 65 | 69 | \$ 9,157,000 | 7.66 | 1.16% |
| 70 | 74 | 47,767,000 | 8.72 | 6.06% |
| 75 | 79 | 172,048,000 | 8.38 | 21.83% |
| 80 | 84 | 289,260,000 | 7.20 | 36.71% |
| 85 | 89 | 237,464,000 | 4.82 | 30.14% |
| 90 | 95 | 32,268,000 | 3.59 | 4.10% |
| Total | | \$ 787,964,000 | 6.69 | 100.00% |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

Our portfolio of life insurance policies, owned by our subsidiaries as of September 30, 2014, organized by the insured's current age and number of policies owned, is summarized below:

Distribution of Policies by Current Age of Insured

| Min Age | Max Age | Policies | Weighted Average Life Expectancy (yrs.) | Distribution |
|--------------|---------|------------|---|----------------|
| 65 | 69 | 8 | 7.66 | 2.77% |
| 70 | 74 | 20 | 8.72 | 6.92% |
| 75 | 79 | 57 | 8.38 | 19.72% |
| 80 | 84 | 99 | 7.20 | 34.26% |
| 85 | 89 | 90 | 4.82 | 31.14% |
| 90 | 95 | 15 | 3.59 | 5.19% |
| Total | | 289 | 6.69 | 100.00% |

Our portfolio of life insurance policies, owned by our subsidiaries as of September 30, 2014, organized by the insured's estimated life expectancy estimates and associated policy benefits, is summarized below:

Distribution of Policy Benefits by Current Life Expectancies of Insured

| Min LE (Months) | Max LE (Months) | Policy Benefits | Distribution |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| 144 | 167 | \$ 9,000,000 | 1.14% |
| 120 | 143 | 69,656,000 | 8.84% |
| 96 | 119 | 175,024,000 | 22.21% |
| 72 | 95 | 203,081,000 | 25.77% |
| 48 | 71 | 209,573,000 | 26.60% |
| 16 | 47 | 121,630,000 | 15.44% |
| Total | | \$ 787,964,000 | 100.00% |

We track concentrations of pre-existing medical conditions among insured individuals within our portfolio based on information contained in life expectancy reports. We track these medical conditions with ten primary disease categories: (1) cardiovascular, (2) cerebrovascular, (3) dementia, (4) cancer, (5) diabetes, (6) respiratory disease, (7) neurological disorders, (8) other, no disease, or multiple. Our primary disease categories are summary generalizations based on the ICD-9 codes we track on each insured individuals within our portfolio. ICD-9 codes, published by the World Health Organization, are used worldwide for medical diagnoses and treatment systems, as well as morbidity and mortality statistics. Currently, cardiovascular is the only primary disease category within our portfolio that represents a concentration over 10%.

Table of Contents

Our portfolio of life insurance policies, owned by our subsidiaries as of September 30, 2014, organized by the primary disease categories of the insured and associated policy benefits, is summarized below:

Distribution of Policy Benefits by Primary Disease Category

| Primary Disease Category | Policy Benefits | Distribution |
|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------|
|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------|

| <u>Primary Disease Category</u> | <u>Policy Benefits</u> | <u>Distribution</u> |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Cancer | \$ 57,450,000 | 7.29% |
| Cardiovascular | 141,874,000 | 18.00% |
| Cerebrovascular | 21,960,000 | 2.79% |
| Dementia | 62,699,000 | 7.96% |
| Diabetes | 63,617,000 | 8.07% |
| Multiple | 202,109,000 | 25.65% |
| Neurological Disorders | 16,104,000 | 2.04% |
| No Disease | 94,468,000 | 11.99% |
| Other | 86,483,000 | 10.98% |
| Respiratory Diseases | 41,200,000 | 5.23% |
| Total Policy Benefits | \$787,964,000 | 100.00% |

The primary disease category represents a general category of impairment. Within the primary disease category, there are a multitude of sub-categorizations defined more specifically by ICD-9 codes. For example, a primary disease category of cardiovascular includes subcategorizations such as atrial fibrillation, heart valve replacement, coronary atherosclerosis, etc. In addition, individuals may have more than one ICD-9 code describing multiple medical conditions within one or more primary disease categories. Where an individual's ICD-9 codes indicate medical conditions in more than one primary disease categories, we categorize the individual as having multiple primary disease categories. We expect to continue to develop and refine our identification and tracking on the insured individuals medical conditions as we manage our portfolio of life insurance policies.

Table of Contents

The complete detail of the portfolio of all life insurance policies, owned by our subsidiaries as of September 30, 2014, organized by the current age of the insured and the associated policy benefits, sex, estimated life expectancy, issuing insurance carrier, and the credit rating of the issuing insurance carrier is set forth below.

Life Insurance Portfolio Detail (as of September 30, 2014)

| | <u>Face Amount</u> | <u>Gender</u> | <u>Age (ALB)</u> | <u>LE (mo.)</u> | <u>Insurance Company</u> | <u>S&P Rating</u> |
|----|--------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------|
| 1 | \$ 1,100,000 | M | 94 | 31.7 | ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company | A- |
| 2 | \$ 4,000,000 | M | 93 | 37.5 | MetLife Investors USA Insurance Company | AA- |
| 3 | \$ 1,770,726 | F | 93 | 35.7 | Aviva Life Insurance Company | A- |
| 4 | \$ 3,200,000 | M | 93 | 61.0 | West Coast Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 5 | \$ 1,000,000 | F | 92 | 41.9 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 6 | \$ 264,000 | F | 92 | 27.5 | Lincoln Benefit Life Company | BBB+ |
| 7 | \$ 2,500,000 | M | 91 | 25.4 | Columbus Life Insurance Company | AA |
| 8 | \$ 500,000 | M | 91 | 20.6 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 9 | \$ 2,000,000 | F | 91 | 19.3 | Pruco Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 10 | \$ 250,000 | M | 91 | 24.9 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 11 | \$ 1,682,773 | F | 90 | 56.9 | Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance Company | BBB+ |
| 12 | \$ 3,000,000 | M | 90 | 53.4 | West Coast Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 13 | \$ 5,000,000 | F | 90 | 58.7 | American General Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 14 | \$ 5,000,000 | F | 90 | 38.5 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| | Face Amount | Gender | Age (ALB) | LE (mo.) | Insurance Company | S&P Rating |
|----|--------------|--------|-----------|----------|---|------------|
| 15 | \$ 1,000,000 | F | 90 | 35.7 | Protective Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 16 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 89 | 37.9 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 17 | \$ 3,500,000 | F | 89 | 62.7 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 18 | \$ 3,100,000 | F | 89 | 39.6 | Lincoln Benefit Life Company | BBB+ |
| 19 | \$ 1,500,000 | F | 89 | 72.9 | Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 20 | \$ 1,000,000 | M | 89 | 31.9 | State Farm Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 21 | \$ 3,000,000 | F | 89 | 39.2 | Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 22 | \$ 5,000,000 | F | 89 | 46.1 | ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company | A- |
| 23 | \$ 5,000,000 | F | 89 | 23.4 | Lincoln National Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 24 | \$ 1,000,000 | M | 89 | 13.1 | ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company | A- |
| 25 | \$ 1,203,520 | M | 89 | 49.9 | Columbus Life Insurance Company | AA |
| 26 | \$ 1,350,000 | F | 89 | 41.6 | Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 27 | \$ 600,000 | F | 89 | 26.9 | Columbus Life Insurance Company | AA |
| 28 | \$ 5,000,000 | F | 88 | 54.6 | Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company | AA+ |
| 29 | \$ 2,500,000 | F | 88 | 54.3 | American General Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 30 | \$ 2,500,000 | M | 88 | 45.7 | Pacific Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 31 | \$ 1,000,000 | F | 88 | 56.9 | United of Omaha Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 32 | \$ 1,000,000 | F | 88 | 72.4 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 33 | \$ 250,000 | F | 88 | 72.4 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 34 | \$ 1,750,000 | M | 88 | 27.4 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 35 | \$ 2,500,000 | F | 88 | 13.1 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 36 | \$ 2,500,000 | F | 88 | 13.1 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 37 | \$ 8,985,000 | M | 88 | 37.6 | Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company | AA+ |
| 38 | \$ 715,000 | F | 88 | 66.7 | Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 39 | \$ 2,225,000 | F | 88 | 91.3 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 40 | \$ 2,000,000 | F | 88 | 34.8 | American General Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 41 | \$ 3,500,000 | F | 88 | 47.2 | Lincoln National Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 42 | \$ 500,000 | F | 87 | 75.0 | Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.) | AA- |
| 43 | \$ 250,000 | M | 87 | 79.0 | Metropolitan Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 44 | \$ 4,000,000 | F | 87 | 79.0 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |

64

Table of Contents

| | Face Amount | Gender | Age (ALB) | LE (mo.) | Insurance Company | S&P Rating |
|----|--------------|--------|-----------|----------|---|------------|
| 45 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 87 | 59.7 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 46 | \$ 1,500,000 | M | 87 | 47.5 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 47 | \$ 1,500,000 | M | 87 | 47.5 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 48 | \$ 1,050,000 | M | 87 | 49.9 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 49 | \$ 5,000,000 | F | 87 | 37.9 | Penn Mutual Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 50 | \$ 1,000,000 | M | 87 | 61.5 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 51 | \$ 500,000 | M | 87 | 68.1 | Lincoln National Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 52 | \$ 4,785,380 | F | 87 | 49.2 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| | Face Amount | Gender | Age (ALB) | LE (mo.) | Insurance Company | S&P Rating |
|----|--------------|--------|-----------|----------|---|------------|
| 53 | \$ 1,803,455 | F | 87 | 55.5 | Metropolitan Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 54 | \$ 1,529,270 | F | 87 | 55.5 | Metropolitan Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 55 | \$ 800,000 | M | 87 | 77.0 | Lincoln National Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 56 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 87 | 58.4 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 57 | \$ 3,000,000 | F | 87 | 88.7 | Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company | AA+ |
| 58 | \$ 200,000 | M | 87 | 54.9 | Lincoln Benefit Life Company | BBB+ |
| 59 | \$ 4,445,467 | M | 87 | 65.2 | Penn Mutual Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 60 | \$ 1,500,000 | M | 87 | 52.3 | Union Central Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 61 | \$ 7,500,000 | M | 87 | 57.1 | Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 62 | \$ 3,600,000 | F | 87 | 64.4 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 63 | \$ 3,000,000 | M | 87 | 50.4 | Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 64 | \$ 2,000,000 | M | 87 | 45.6 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 65 | \$ 3,000,000 | M | 87 | 39.3 | American General Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 66 | \$ 1,000,000 | M | 86 | 67.7 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 67 | \$ 2,000,000 | M | 86 | 67.7 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 68 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 86 | 55.6 | Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 69 | \$ 5,000,000 | F | 86 | 40.8 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 70 | \$ 3,000,000 | M | 86 | 58.8 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 71 | \$ 1,200,000 | M | 86 | 67.0 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 72 | \$ 6,000,000 | F | 86 | 63.0 | Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.) | AA- |
| 73 | \$ 3,000,000 | M | 86 | 90.5 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 74 | \$ 5,570,000 | F | 86 | 53.8 | ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company | A- |
| 75 | \$ 5,570,000 | F | 86 | 53.8 | ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company | A- |
| 76 | \$ 1,000,000 | F | 86 | 42.0 | New York Life Insurance Company | AA+ |
| 77 | \$10,000,000 | F | 86 | 79.1 | West Coast Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 78 | \$ 2,500,000 | M | 86 | 54.4 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 79 | \$ 1,000,000 | F | 86 | 57.6 | West Coast Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 80 | \$ 2,000,000 | F | 86 | 57.6 | West Coast Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 81 | \$ 500,000 | F | 86 | 62.1 | Beneficial Life Insurance Company | N/A |
| 82 | \$ 800,000 | M | 86 | 61.9 | National Western Life Insurance Company | A |
| 83 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 86 | 88.1 | Lincoln National Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 84 | \$ 1,000,000 | F | 86 | 33.7 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 85 | \$ 4,513,823 | F | 86 | 29.3 | Aviva Life Insurance Company | A- |
| 86 | \$ 2,000,000 | M | 86 | 105.6 | ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company | A- |
| 87 | \$ 2,000,000 | M | 86 | 105.6 | ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company | A- |
| 88 | \$ 2,000,000 | M | 86 | 105.6 | ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company | A- |
| 89 | \$ 2,000,000 | F | 86 | 82.2 | U.S. Financial Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 90 | \$ 1,365,000 | F | 85 | 92.1 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 91 | \$ 1,000,000 | F | 85 | 91.6 | ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company | A- |
| 92 | \$ 1,000,000 | M | 85 | 46.2 | Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company | AA+ |
| 93 | \$ 2,000,000 | M | 85 | 91.8 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 94 | \$ 8,500,000 | M | 85 | 88.1 | Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company | AA+ |
| 95 | \$ 2,328,547 | M | 85 | 50.8 | Metropolitan Life Insurance Company | AA- |

Table of Contents

| | Face Amount | Gender | Age (ALB) | LE (mo.) | Insurance Company | S&P Rating |
|-----|--------------|--------|--------------|-------------|---|---------------|
| 96 | \$ 2,000,000 | M | 85 | 50.8 | Metropolitan Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 97 | \$ 1,000,000 | M | 85 | 31.5 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 98 | \$ 500,000 | M | 85 | 88.9 | Metropolitan Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 99 | \$ 2,000,000 | M | 85 | 68.6 | Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 100 | \$ 3,000,000 | F | 85 | 78.1 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 101 | \$ 1,800,000 | M | 85 | 58.7 | John Hancock Variable Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 102 | \$ 2,000,000 | M | 85 | 71.2 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 103 | \$ 1,750,000 | M | 85 | 71.2 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 104 | \$ 2,000,000 | M | 85 | 40.9 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 105 | \$ 1,425,000 | M | 85 | 89.1 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 106 | \$ 1,500,000 | M | 84 | 55.9 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 107 | \$ 1,500,000 | F | 84 | 116.2 | Lincoln Benefit Life Company | BBB+ |
| 108 | \$ 3,750,000 | M | 84 | 82.8 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 109 | \$ 1,000,000 | M | 84 | 66.8 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 110 | \$ 2,000,000 | F | 84 | 92.1 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 111 | \$ 1,000,000 | M | 84 | 60.9 | ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company | A- |
| 112 | \$ 3,000,000 | F | 84 | 90.6 | Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.) | AA- |
| 113 | \$ 829,022 | F | 84 | 31.1 | Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance Company | BBB+ |
| 114 | \$ 1,500,000 | M | 84 | 86.3 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 115 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 84 | 96.2 | ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company | A- |
| 116 | \$ 1,500,000 | M | 84 | 55.7 | ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company | A- |
| 117 | \$ 1,500,000 | M | 84 | 55.7 | ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company | A- |
| 118 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 84 | 80.1 | ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company | A- |
| 119 | \$ 500,000 | M | 84 | 47.6 | Genworth Life Insurance Company | A- |
| 120 | \$ 1,000,000 | M | 84 | 53.8 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 121 | \$ 4,000,000 | F | 84 | 56.2 | ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company | A- |
| 122 | \$ 5,000,000 | F | 84 | 99.7 | American General Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 123 | \$ 3,500,000 | F | 84 | 115.0 | Lincoln Benefit Life Company | BBB+ |
| 124 | \$ 5,000,000 | F | 83 | 104.2 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 125 | \$ 1,000,000 | F | 83 | 90.6 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 126 | \$ 6,000,000 | F | 83 | 118.0 | American General Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 127 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 83 | 72.6 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 128 | \$ 2,000,000 | M | 83 | 46.1 | National Life Insurance Company | A |
| 129 | \$ 4,200,000 | F | 83 | 126.5 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 130 | \$ 750,000 | M | 83 | 96.1 | West Coast Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 131 | \$ 4,000,000 | M | 83 | 43.3 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 132 | \$ 1,000,000 | M | 83 | 89.0 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 133 | \$ 2,000,000 | F | 83 | 105.8 | Lincoln Benefit Life Company | BBB+ |
| 134 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 83 | 81.8 | Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 135 | \$ 2,700,000 | M | 83 | 68.6 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 136 | \$ 7,600,000 | F | 83 | 105.3 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 137 | \$ 2,500,000 | F | 83 | 70.5 | American General Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 138 | \$ 2,500,000 | M | 83 | 66.3 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 139 | \$ 3,000,000 | M | 83 | 66.3 | Lincoln National Life Insurance Company | AA- |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| | Face Amount | Gender | Age (ALB) | LE (mo.) | Insurance Company | S&P Rating |
|-----|--------------|--------|-----------|----------|---|------------|
| 140 | \$ 2,000,000 | M | 83 | 93.2 | Pacific Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 141 | \$ 3,000,000 | F | 83 | 50.3 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 142 | \$ 1,703,959 | M | 83 | 74.5 | Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 143 | \$ 3,000,000 | M | 83 | 68.2 | Metropolitan Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 144 | \$ 500,000 | M | 83 | 23.9 | Great Southern Life Insurance Company | N/A |
| 145 | \$ 1,000,000 | M | 83 | 64.8 | Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance Company | BBB+ |
| 146 | \$10,000,000 | F | 83 | 64.6 | American National Insurance Company | A |

66

Table of Contents

| | Face Amount | Gender | Age (ALB) | LE (mo.) | Insurance Company | S&P Rating |
|-----|--------------|--------|-----------|----------|---|------------|
| 147 | \$ 500,000 | M | 83 | 30.8 | West Coast Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 148 | \$ 3,500,000 | F | 82 | 100.1 | Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 149 | \$ 500,000 | M | 82 | 108.1 | Metropolitan Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 150 | \$ 1,000,000 | M | 82 | 76.1 | Lincoln National Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 151 | \$ 3,000,000 | M | 82 | 46.9 | U.S. Financial Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 152 | \$ 1,900,000 | M | 82 | 73.4 | American National Insurance Company | A |
| 153 | \$ 500,000 | M | 82 | 52.5 | New York Life Insurance Company | AA+ |
| 154 | \$ 500,000 | M | 82 | 52.5 | New York Life Insurance Company | AA+ |
| 155 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 82 | 80.7 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 156 | \$ 250,000 | M | 82 | 38.5 | Jackson National Life Insurance Company | AA |
| 157 | \$ 1,500,000 | M | 82 | 83.0 | Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 158 | \$ 3,500,000 | F | 82 | 96.2 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 159 | \$ 5,000,000 | F | 82 | 83.1 | Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.) | AA- |
| 160 | \$ 3,000,000 | F | 82 | 101.5 | MetLife Investors USA Insurance Company | AA- |
| 161 | \$ 750,000 | M | 82 | 89.4 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 162 | \$ 4,500,000 | M | 82 | 81.3 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 163 | \$ 1,250,000 | F | 82 | 68.4 | Columbus Life Insurance Company | AA |
| 164 | \$ 2,275,000 | M | 82 | 98.7 | ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company | A- |
| 165 | \$10,000,000 | M | 82 | 86.7 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 166 | \$ 2,300,000 | M | 82 | 26.8 | American General Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 167 | \$ 3,500,000 | M | 82 | 79.7 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 168 | \$ 6,217,200 | F | 82 | 113.9 | Phoenix Life Insurance Company | B+ |
| 169 | \$ 2,500,000 | F | 82 | 79.6 | ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company | A- |
| 170 | \$ 5,000,000 | F | 82 | 64.4 | Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company | AA+ |
| 171 | \$ 1,500,000 | M | 82 | 31.1 | Pacific Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 172 | \$ 2,000,000 | F | 82 | 105.6 | Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 173 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 82 | 91.5 | Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 174 | \$ 3,000,000 | M | 81 | 75.7 | Protective Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 175 | \$ 1,500,000 | M | 81 | 75.7 | American General Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 176 | \$ 2,000,000 | F | 81 | 124.9 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| | Face Amount | Gender | Age (ALB) | LE (mo.) | Insurance Company | S&P Rating |
|-----|---------------|--------|-----------|----------|---|------------|
| 177 | \$ 1,500,000 | M | 81 | 63.6 | Pacific Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 178 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 81 | 118.4 | American General Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 179 | \$ 1,995,000 | F | 81 | 88.2 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 180 | \$ 4,000,000 | M | 81 | 63.8 | Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 181 | \$ 10,000,000 | M | 81 | 88.8 | New York Life Insurance Company | AA+ |
| 182 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 81 | 83.4 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 183 | \$ 2,000,000 | M | 81 | 78.9 | Ohio National Life Assurance Corporation | AA- |
| 184 | \$ 1,000,000 | M | 81 | 78.9 | Ohio National Life Assurance Corporation | AA- |
| 185 | \$ 350,000 | M | 81 | 42.5 | Reassure America Life Insurance Company | AA |
| 186 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 80 | 101.2 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 187 | \$ 8,000,000 | M | 80 | 92.6 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 188 | \$ 550,000 | M | 80 | 113.1 | Genworth Life Insurance Company | A- |
| 189 | \$ 1,680,000 | F | 80 | 77.0 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 190 | \$ 1,000,000 | F | 80 | 106.5 | Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 191 | \$ 1,250,000 | M | 80 | 110.3 | Metropolitan Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 192 | \$ 1,000,000 | M | 80 | 74.7 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 193 | \$ 1,250,000 | F | 80 | 84.1 | Principal Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 194 | \$ 1,000,000 | M | 80 | 65.2 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 195 | \$ 3,000,000 | M | 80 | 108.6 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 196 | \$ 2,000,000 | M | 80 | 48.1 | Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 197 | \$ 1,750,000 | M | 80 | 92.6 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |

67

Table of Contents

| | Face Amount | Gender | Age (ALB) | LE (mo.) | Insurance Company | S&P Rating |
|-----|---------------|--------|-----------|----------|---|------------|
| 198 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 80 | 82.0 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 199 | \$ 250,000 | M | 80 | 89.6 | American General Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 200 | \$ 10,000,000 | M | 80 | 124.0 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 201 | \$ 3,000,000 | M | 80 | 121.4 | Principal Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 202 | \$ 1,210,000 | M | 80 | 73.2 | Lincoln National Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 203 | \$ 3,000,000 | F | 80 | 116.7 | West Coast Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 204 | \$ 7,000,000 | M | 80 | 96.6 | Genworth Life Insurance Company | A- |
| 205 | \$ 3,000,000 | M | 79 | 101.6 | ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company | A- |
| 206 | \$ 4,000,000 | M | 79 | 91.0 | Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 207 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 79 | 101.8 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 208 | \$ 2,000,000 | M | 79 | 35.0 | Metropolitan Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 209 | \$ 6,000,000 | M | 79 | 134.7 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 210 | \$ 130,000 | M | 79 | 59.2 | Genworth Life Insurance Company | A- |
| 211 | \$ 1,000,000 | M | 79 | 135.7 | Empire General Life Assurance Corporation | AA- |
| 212 | \$ 2,000,000 | F | 79 | 99.9 | Pacific Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 213 | \$ 4,300,000 | F | 79 | 121.9 | American National Insurance Company | A |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| | Face Amount | Gender | Age (ALB) | LE (mo.) | Insurance Company | S&P Rating |
|-----|--------------|--------|-----------|----------|---|------------|
| 214 | \$ 2,000,000 | F | 79 | 98.4 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 215 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 79 | 104.0 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 216 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 79 | 104.0 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 217 | \$ 500,000 | M | 79 | 56.8 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 218 | \$ 3,000,000 | M | 78 | 51.4 | Pacific Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 219 | \$ 3,000,000 | M | 78 | 51.4 | Minnesota Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 220 | \$ 3,000,000 | M | 78 | 51.4 | Prudential Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 221 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 78 | 89.4 | Pacific Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 222 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 78 | 89.4 | Pacific Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 223 | \$ 3,601,500 | M | 78 | 105.6 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 224 | \$ 1,000,000 | M | 78 | 102.3 | Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.) | AA- |
| 225 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 78 | 100.4 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 226 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 78 | 125.2 | Principal Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 227 | \$ 1,009,467 | M | 78 | 58.7 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 228 | \$ 7,000,000 | M | 78 | 97.4 | Lincoln Benefit Life Company | BBB+ |
| 229 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 78 | 66.8 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 230 | \$ 476,574 | M | 78 | 83.2 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 231 | \$ 2,250,000 | M | 78 | 105.9 | Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company | AA+ |
| 232 | \$ 1,000,000 | M | 78 | 122.6 | Metropolitan Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 233 | \$ 6,000,000 | M | 78 | 119.1 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 234 | \$ 5,000,000 | F | 78 | 129.0 | ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company | A- |
| 235 | \$ 750,000 | M | 78 | 80.6 | Lincoln National Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 236 | \$ 3,000,000 | M | 78 | 107.4 | Principal Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 237 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 77 | 131.0 | Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 238 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 77 | 89.3 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 239 | \$ 500,000 | M | 77 | 77.7 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 240 | \$ 1,000,000 | M | 77 | 121.3 | Metropolitan Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 241 | \$ 4,000,000 | M | 77 | 59.8 | MetLife Investors USA Insurance Company | AA- |
| 242 | \$ 2,500,000 | M | 77 | 99.5 | Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company | AA+ |
| 243 | \$ 2,500,000 | M | 77 | 99.5 | Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company | AA+ |
| 244 | \$ 500,000 | F | 77 | 128.9 | Columbus Life Insurance Company | AA |
| 245 | \$ 1,750,000 | M | 77 | 73.6 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 246 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 77 | 116.1 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 247 | \$ 3,750,000 | M | 77 | 68.8 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 248 | \$ 2,000,000 | F | 77 | 67.4 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |

Table of Contents

| | Face Amount | Gender | Age (ALB) | LE (mo.) | Insurance Company | S&P Rating |
|-----|--------------|--------|-----------|----------|---|------------|
| 249 | \$ 2,840,000 | M | 76 | 111.0 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 250 | \$ 4,000,000 | M | 76 | 79.4 | Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company | AA+ |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| | Face Amount | Gender | Age (ALB) | LE (mo.) | Insurance Company | S&P Rating |
|-----|---------------|--------|-----------|----------|--|------------|
| 251 | \$ 750,000 | M | 76 | 16.5 | U.S. Financial Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 252 | \$ 1,000,000 | F | 76 | 87.2 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 253 | \$ 490,620 | M | 76 | 99.6 | Ameritas Life Insurance Corporation | A+ |
| 254 | \$ 600,000 | M | 76 | 96.6 | Protective Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 255 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 75 | 163.8 | Prudential Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 256 | \$ 3,000,000 | M | 75 | 117.7 | Protective Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 257 | \$ 2,000,000 | F | 75 | 133.9 | Aviva Life Insurance Company | A- |
| 258 | \$ 7,000,000 | F | 75 | 137.0 | Pacific Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 259 | \$ 850,000 | M | 75 | 79.4 | New York Life Insurance Company | AA+ |
| 260 | \$ 1,000,000 | M | 75 | 96.3 | Pacific Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 261 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 75 | 71.4 | West Coast Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 262 | \$ 3,000,000 | M | 74 | 67.6 | Aviva Life Insurance Company | A- |
| 263 | \$ 200,000 | M | 74 | 83.0 | ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company | A- |
| 264 | \$ 8,000,000 | M | 74 | 117.6 | Metropolitan Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 265 | \$ 4,000,000 | F | 74 | 159.5 | American General Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 266 | \$ 5,000,000 | M | 74 | 42.8 | Lincoln Benefit Life Company | BBB+ |
| 267 | \$ 3,000,000 | F | 74 | 131.0 | General American Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 268 | \$ 300,000 | M | 73 | 25.3 | Lincoln National Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 269 | \$ 2,000,000 | M | 73 | 113.5 | American General Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 270 | \$ 500,000 | M | 72 | 47.1 | Midland National Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 271 | \$ 3,000,000 | M | 72 | 89.0 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 272 | \$ 1,000,000 | M | 72 | 82.5 | United of Omaha Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 273 | \$ 2,500,000 | M | 71 | 113.2 | American General Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 274 | \$ 1,167,000 | M | 71 | 38.7 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 275 | \$ 1,500,000 | M | 71 | 128.3 | Metropolitan Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 276 | \$ 3,000,000 | M | 70 | 91.5 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 277 | \$ 2,000,000 | M | 70 | 118.8 | New York Life Insurance Company | AA+ |
| 278 | \$ 2,000,000 | M | 70 | 118.8 | New York Life Insurance Company | AA+ |
| 279 | \$ 2,500,000 | M | 70 | 130.2 | Lincoln National Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 280 | \$ 2,500,000 | M | 70 | 130.2 | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 281 | \$ 600,000 | M | 70 | 101.9 | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 282 | \$ 500,000 | M | 69 | 109.6 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 283 | \$ 500,000 | M | 69 | 109.6 | North American Company for Life And Health Insurance | A+ |
| 284 | \$ 2,000,000 | M | 67 | 131.7 | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 285 | \$ 1,000,000 | M | 67 | 131.7 | Genworth Life Insurance Company | A- |
| 286 | \$ 156,538 | F | 67 | 123.9 | New York Life Insurance Company | AA+ |
| 287 | \$ 2,000,000 | M | 67 | 64.0 | MetLife Investors USA Insurance Company | AA- |
| 288 | \$ 2,000,000 | M | 67 | 64.0 | MetLife Investors USA Insurance Company | AA- |
| 289 | \$ 1,000,000 | M | 65 | 61.5 | Lincoln National Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| | \$787,963,841 | | | | | |

(1) The insured's age is current as of the measurement date.

(2)

The insured's life expectancy estimate, other than for a small face value insurance policy benefit, is the average of two life expectancy estimates provided by independent third-party medical-actuarial underwriting firms at the time of purchase, actuarially adjusted through the measurement date. Numbers in this column represent months. For more information, see disclosure under the caption *Pricing Life Insurance Policies*.

Table of Contents

Obtaining Life Insurance Assets

We seek to offer our services nationwide. In general, we work directly with consumers in states where we hold proper licensure, and in states where we are not licensed we work through other licensed providers. Historically, sourcing policy assets typically begins with life insurance agents and financial advisors (agents) that identify consumers owning life insurance who could benefit from the extraction of value from their life insurance in the secondary market. The agents typically work with professional life insurance policy brokers specializing in packaging the policies for presentation to participants in the secondary market. Their packaging includes obtaining medical records on the insured, life expectancy estimates from medical-actuarial firms, current insurance policy illustrations, and other information needed to properly evaluate the policy. The only parties able to evaluate the policies are regulated licensed providers. Once the providers have evaluated the policy, offers are made to the owner through a competitive auction process whereby brokers facilitate competing offers from providers, concurrently negotiating fees.

We maintain membership affiliations and representation within key industry groups, such as the Life Insurance Settlement Association. Our Executive Chairman, Paul Siegert, currently serves on the board of the Life Insurance Settlement Association. We typically sponsor events and/or maintain a trade booth at events where we are able to maintain contacts with existing life settlement brokers and meet new brokers to submit policies for purchase.

In the future, we expect to develop new channels for obtaining life insurance assets by soliciting consumers directly, which may eliminate fees we pay brokers and competition we experience when a policy is auctioned through a broker. While these new channels are unproven, we believe that consumer awareness of the life insurance secondary market is relatively low while the consumer value proposition is very high and, as a result, provides a significant growth opportunity for our business.

Life Insurance Policy Underwriting and Investment Process

The process used to value and underwrite life insurance policies is relatively new and continues to be refined. We underwrite and service all the life insurance policies that we purchase. When we identify a life insurance policy that meets our criteria, we seek to invest in the policy at a discount sufficient to provide us with an expected internal rate of return that meets our internal guidelines. Once our offer to invest in a policy is accepted, we enter into a purchase agreement with the seller. This agreement gives us the right to, among other things, pay premiums, collect policy benefits, file collateral assignments, change the ownership, and obtain medical records. The general terms and conditions of the agreement are standardized and regulated by most states.

We maintain an underwriting department with experience in underwriting life insurance policies for investment. The underwriting due diligence process consists of a careful review and analysis of available materials related to a life insurance policy and the covered individual. The goal of the underwriting process is to make an informed investment decision with respect to the life insurance policy. While we believe that our underwriting policies and practices are consistent with industry best practices, it is possible that the processes may change or may not accurately reflect actual mortality experience or catch fraud or deception by sellers. To the extent the underwriting is not accurate or we are subject to fraud or deception by sellers, the performance of policies may be different from the expected results, which could adversely affect profitability.

Life Insurance Policy Characteristics

We typically invest in universal life insurance policies whose insureds are 65 years or older and whose actuarial life expectancies are estimated to be less than 168 months. In some cases, however, we invest in term life insurance policies that are convertible into universal life insurance policies, depending on the analysis of the life insurance policy and the insured's life expectancy estimate. The life expectancy estimate is the number of months the insured is expected to live based upon 50% mortality (meaning roughly half of the individuals with similar age, sex, smoking and medical statuses will have died within that number of months), which is in turn based upon actuarial tables.

Table of Contents

We invest only in life insurance assets that have been in force for more than two years from the policy issuance date and meet our other underwriting guidelines. We reserve the right to disqualify some life insurance companies or categories of life insurance policies for purchasing in our sole discretion.

Pricing Life Insurance Policies

Pricing involves an analysis of both the policy and the insured. An analysis of the insurance policy starts with an illustration obtained from the insurance company providing a schedule of level premium payments until the insured reaches age 125. Then, utilizing pricing software now owned by Modeling Actuarial Pricing Systems, Inc. (MAPS), we reverse engineer the premium schedule of the policy to determine a premium schedule that provides for the minimum payments required to keep the policy in effect. An analysis of the insured involves an actuarial evaluation of the insured's probable mortality at different points in the future—the mortality curve. This analysis covers the insured's entire projected lifespan using life expectancy estimates generated by third-party medical-actuarial underwriting firms or generated from base actuarial tables in the case of small face policies.

In determining the life expectancy estimate, we presently require two life expectancy estimates from independent third-party medical-actuarial underwriting firms, unless the life insurance policy is a small face policy (defined as a policy with \$1,000,000 in face value benefits or less), in which case we may use a life expectancy estimate derived from base actuarial mortality table assumptions. When a life expectancy estimate is obtained from a medical-actuarial firm, the health of the insured is summarized by the underwriters in a written health assessment based on the review of the insured's historical and current medical records. Underwriting assesses the characteristics and health risks of the insured in order to quantify the health into a mortality rating that represents their life expectancy estimate. We currently average the life expectancy estimates provided by two independent medical-actuarial underwriting firms to form our life expectancy estimate for life insurance policies other than small face policies. In some cases, we may obtain more than two life expectancy estimates. In those cases, we average the two life expectancy estimates that we believe are the most reliable of those we have received, based on our own analyses and conclusions. In this regard, the two life expectancy estimates we ultimately choose to average may not always be the most conservative. If in the future our we believe our business model will benefit from changes in our underwriting process and are permitted under our borrowing covenants, we may change our underwriting processes and policies, including our present policy under which we generally obtain two life expectancy estimates from independent third-party medical-actuarial firms (other than for small face policies).

By combining the optimized premiums and the insured's life expectancy estimate within the MAPS software, we generate detailed information, including the expected mortality curve over the insured's total projected lifespan; the expected premiums and related costs over the insured's total projected lifespan; the expected policy benefit paid over the insured's total projected lifespan; the account values within the policy; and the expected internal rate of return we will achieve at various investment amounts. From this information, we are able to calculate the present value of the life insurance policy by discounting the anticipated cash flows at the targeted internal rate of return using the probabilistic pricing methodology employed by the MAPS program. The actuarial value of the life insurance policy asset is the present value of the policy's cash flows discounted at an expected internal rate of return. We expect that our investments in life insurance assets will generate yields in excess of our borrowing and operating costs.

On January 22, 2013, one of the independent medical-actuarial underwriting firms we utilize, 21st Services, announced advancements in its underwriting methodology, resulting in revised estimated life expectancy mortality tables for life settlement transactions. We were advised by 21st Services that the changes are very granular and relate to both specific medical conditions and lifestyles of insureds. These changes resulted from the application of additional medical information gathered by 21st Services over a period of time. While we do not believe these revised methodologies indicate the previous estimated life expectancies were inaccurate, we believe the revised methodologies provide additional information that should be considered in updating our estimate of the life expectancies of the insureds within our portfolio. Based upon our evaluation and analysis of data made available by 21st Services, as well as information regarding the insureds within our portfolio, we have estimated the impact of the changes in 21st Services' methodologies for

Table of Contents

determining life expectancies on a policy-by-policy basis within our portfolio as of December 31, 2012 and applied such changes to the life expectancy inputs used to estimate fair value. We have adjusted the original life expectancies provided by 21st Services based on four factors, the impact of each analyzed individually for each insured in the GWG portfolio. The four factors are gender, anti-selection, age, and primary impairment. GWG applied this set of adjustments to all 21st Services life expectancy reports used in valuation of the portfolio as of December 31, 2012. At that time, the portfolio contained 211 policies on 194 insured lives. Of those 211 policies, 199 were valued using a 21st Services life expectancy report as part of the pricing life expectancy estimate calculation. While the analysis and adjustments were applied on an individual policy basis, the result was an average overall increase in the original life expectancy estimates of 8.67%. We have a standard practice of obtaining two third-party life expectancy estimates for each policy in our portfolio. As a result, the effective change in life expectancy on the portfolio as of December 31, 2012 was an average of approximately 4.33%, which resulted in an aggregate decrease in the fair value of our life settlements portfolio of \$12.4 million as of December 31, 2012. Life expectancy reports by their very nature are estimates.

During 2013, we sought to update our life expectancy estimates from all four of the major independent third-party medical-actuarial underwriting firms (including 21st Services) with updated medical records on all of the 211 policies we originally used a life expectancy report from 21st Services. As of December 31, 2013, we had successfully procured new life expectancy reports on 176 of the 211 policies owned as of December 31, 2012. We experienced ten mortalities in 2013 for which no updated life expectancy reports were necessary. We also had two small face policies in our portfolio for which we did not update life expectancy reports. Accordingly, as of December 31, 2013 we had updated our life expectancy estimates based on updated life expectancy reports on all but 22 policies (covering 21 people) in our portfolio that we are still seeking to update.

In order to assess the reasonableness of our adjustments made effective December 31, 2012, we compared the life expectancy estimates including any adjustments used on December 31, 2012 to the updated life expectancy estimates used on December 31, 2013. Because an additional year has elapsed since the December 31, 2012 date, the older set of adjusted life expectancy estimates were rolled down to shorter numbers based on an actuarial calculation to make them comparable to the updated life expectancy estimates used on December 31, 2013. The average amount of roll down to account for the 12-month passage of time was eight and one-half months. We concluded that our the adjustments we made a year ago were reasonable when we the compared the rolled down life expectancy estimates from December 31, 2012 to the updated life expectancy estimates on December 31, 2013. The average rolled down life expectancy estimate from December 31, 2012 is 80.9 months. The average updated life expectancy estimate obtained from updated life expectancy reports as of December 31, 2013 is 79.4 months, shorter by one and one-half months. We see no need to make any further adjustments to our life expectancy estimates at this time.

On September 15, 2014, 21st Services announced changes to its mortality tables primarily for insureds age 90 and older, as well as updated adjustment factors designed to better underwrite seniors with multiple impairments. These changes represent small portions of 21st Services historical underwritings. We expect medical-actuarial underwriting firms to continue improving and refining their underwriting methodology. We generally expect to incorporate such changes to our portfolio when we update our life expectancy reports.

Portfolio Administration

We have developed a comprehensive administration and servicing platform to manage the life insurance assets we own. This allows us to safeguard our life insurance assets and to process and report on the assets in our portfolio. We regularly contact each insurance company on every policy we own to verify policy account values, confirm the correct application of premium payments made, and the resulting account values inside the life insurance policy after application of the premium payment and the deduction of the cost of insurance. We typically maintain little account value inside the policy and seek to make only minimum premium payments necessary to keep the life insurance policy in force until the next scheduled premium payment.

Table of Contents

In addition to policy servicing, we monitor insureds by periodically contacting them directly, or their appointed representatives, to confirm their location and health status. We monitor the social security database for mortalities as well as online obituary databases. When we are notified of an insured's mortality, we are required to obtain a copy of the death certificate and present it to the life insurance company for payment of the face value of the policy benefit.

Portfolio Management

We realize profits by earning a spread between our investment cost in our life insurance assets and the face value of the policy benefits that will be paid upon the insured's mortality. We believe that building and managing a profitable portfolio of life insurance policies is complex, requires

considerable technical knowledge and resources, and is subject to numerous regulations. We have developed extensive experience and disciplines to work toward a stable and profitable portfolio. We update our actuarial projections each month for the portfolio based on the life expectancy estimates, premium payments made, and mortalities experienced. These data points combine to provide us with future forecasted cash flows with respect to our portfolio of life insurance assets. These forecasted future cash flows, along with our current financial position, are combined in a comprehensive model that includes detailed assumptions as to interest rates, financing costs, life insurance asset acquisitions, and capital markets activities. This comprehensive financial model enables us to closely monitor and manage our necessary capital reserves and attempt to project our future profitability.

While we believe our portfolio of life insurance assets represents a balanced and stable portfolio of life insurance assets, we seek to grow the size of the portfolio in order to further mitigate risk and improve our profitability. In order to assess the stability of our portfolio, we analyze longevity risk, which is the risk of the insured living longer than his/her life expectancy estimate. Longevity risk is the single largest variable affecting the returns on an investment in life insurance assets and the ability to predict the portfolio's value over time. Research by A.M. Best and others indicates that, as the number of insured lives increase within a portfolio of life insurance policies, there is a decrease in the standard deviation of the value of the portfolio i.e., the stability of longevity risk increases with an increase in the number of insured lives. While Standard & Poor's has indicated that statistical credibility is unlikely to be achieved with a pool of less than 1,000 lives, a study published in 2014 by A.M. Best concluded that at least 300 lives are necessary to narrow the band of expected cash flow volatility using the Monte Carlo simulations, which is the same methodology we use to evaluate our portfolios. Our internal analysis of our portfolio, which as of September 30, 2014 consisted of 263 lives, resulted in a standard deviation that is comparable with the A.M. Best measurement for a portfolio of 200 lives. We believe this result is due to the specific portfolio make up of our portfolio relative to the variation in underlying life expectancy estimates. Further, A.M. Best suggests that no one life should comprise more than 3.33% of the face value of an entire portfolio or collateral pool. As of September 30, 2014, the largest face value policy on one life in our portfolio represented approximately 1.27% of the total portfolio. We intend to maintain a well-diversified portfolio as we continue to expand our investments in life insurance assets.

We also believe our portfolio represents a profitable portfolio. In order to assess the profitability, we analyze the future cash flows expected from our portfolio of life insurance assets. The standard practice within the insurance industry is to analyze the timing of uncertain future cash flows through stochastic modeling, or Monte Carlo simulations. We continue to analyze the expected internal rates of return and spread against borrowing costs represented by our portfolio. As of December 31, 2013, the expected internal rate of return on our portfolio of life insurance assets was 12.21% and our weighted-average borrowing costs to finance our portfolio was 7.20%.

Portfolio Credit Risk Management

The life insurance assets that we invest in represent obligations of third-party life insurance companies to pay the benefits under the relevant policy. Because we finance life insurance policies, we rely on the payments from the face value of policy benefits from life insurance companies for revenue collections. We rely on the face value of the life insurance policy benefit at maturity as the exclusive form of payment.

Table of Contents

The possible insolvency or loss by a life insurance company is a significant risk to our business. To manage this risk, we seek to invest in life insurance assets that are issued by insurance companies with investment-grade ratings from either A.M. Best, Moody's or Standard & Poor's. To further mitigate risk, we seek to limit the face value of policy benefits issued by any one life insurance company within the total portfolio to 20%. State guaranty funds generally guaranty policy benefits up to \$200,000. In addition, to assure diversity and stability in our portfolio, we regularly review the various metrics of our portfolio relating to credit risk. We track industry rating agency reports and industry journals and articles in order to gain insight into possible financial problems of life insurance companies. Recently, some of the credit ratings on insurance companies were downgraded and we will no longer consider purchasing policies issued by these insurance companies. Finally, we will only invest in those life insurance policies that meet the underwriting standards established in the indenture governing our debt securities, as applicable.

As of September 30, 2014, 99.87% of insurance companies in our portfolio hold an investment-grade rating by Standard & Poor's (BBB- or better), and the face value of policy benefits issued by one life insurance company within the portfolio was 15.66%. Of the 44 insurance companies that insure the policies we own, ten companies insure approximately 72.80% of total face value of insurance benefits and the remaining 34 insurance companies insure the remaining approximately 27.20% of total face value of insurance benefits. The concentration risk of our ten largest insurance company holdings as of September 30, 2014 is set forth in the table below.

| <u>Rank</u> | <u>Policy Benefits</u> | <u>Percentage of Policy Benefit Amt.</u> | <u>Insurance Company</u> | <u>Ins. Co. S&P Rating</u> |
|-------------|------------------------|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
|-------------|------------------------|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------|

| | | | | |
|----|----------------|--------|---|-----|
| 1 | \$ 123,380,000 | 15.66% | AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 2 | \$ 89,470,000 | 10.35% | John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A) | AA- |
| 3 | \$ 73,920,000 | 9.38% | Transamerica Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 4 | \$ 58,769,000 | 7.46% | Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 5 | \$ 56,215,000 | 7.13% | ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company | A- |
| 6 | \$ 43,550,000 | 5.53% | American General Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 7 | \$ 42,735,000 | 5.42% | Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company | AA+ |
| 8 | \$ 30,500,000 | 3.87% | Pacific Life Insurance Company | A+ |
| 9 | \$ 28,450,000 | 3.61% | West Coast Life Insurance Company | AA- |
| 10 | \$ 26,661,000 | 3.38% | Metropolitan Life Insurance Company | AA- |

Servicing Agents

We have contracted with Wells Fargo Bank to provide servicing, collateral agent, and trustee services with respect to certain life insurance policies owned by DLP Funding II. We have contracted with Bank of Utah to provide servicing, collateral agent, and trustee services with respect to all other life insurance policies we own. Wells Fargo Bank and Bank of Utah provide services for certain life insurance policies in connection with ownership and tracking of life insurance policies we own, including paying premiums, posting of payments (receipts) of the life insurance policies, certain monitoring, enforcement of rights and payer notifications, and related services. We reserve the right to service and provide collateral agent services for certain life insurance policies directly, or appoint additional third-party servicers in the future.

Competition

We encounter significant competition in the life insurance purchasing and financing business from numerous companies, including hedge funds, investment banks, secured lenders, specialty life insurance finance companies and life insurance companies themselves. Many of these competitors have greater financial and other resources than we do and may have significantly lower cost of funds because they have greater access to insured deposits or the capital markets. Moreover, some of these competitors have significant cash reserves and can better fund shortfalls in collections that might have a more pronounced impact on companies such as ours. They also have greater market share. In the event that the life insurance companies make a significant effort to compete against the business, we would experience significant challenges with our business model.

Table of Contents

Competition can take many forms, including the pricing of the financing, transaction structuring, timeliness and responsiveness in processing a seller's application and customer service. Some of the competitors may outperform us in these areas. Some competitors target the same type of life insurance clients as we do and generally have operated in the markets we service for a longer period of time. Increased competition may result in increased costs of purchasing policies, or it may affect the availability and quality of policies that are available for our purchase. These factors could adversely affect our profitability by reducing our return on investment or increasing our risk.

Government Regulation

The life insurance sector is highly regulated at both the federal and state levels. We are subject to federal and state regulation and supervision in the life insurance purchasing and finance business. There are significant regulations in many states that require us to obtain specific licenses or approvals to be able to purchase life insurance policies in those states. We continually research and monitor regulations and apply for the appropriate licenses in the required states.

Governments at both the federal and state levels have continued to review the impact of the business on the life insurance industry. Moreover, recent federal government actions with respect to insurance companies have increased the federal government's role in regulating the insurance industry. Recently we have seen legislative efforts by state governments to mandate the sale or liquidation of a life insurance policy as part of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act in order to increase the number of Americans covered by health insurance and decrease the cost

of health care. The legislative effort is designed to monetize all assets of the insured prior to eligibility under the health care provided under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act. These efforts may affect the number of life insurance policies available for purchase and their attractiveness.

State statutes typically provide state regulatory agencies with significant powers to interpret, administer and enforce the laws relating to the purchase of life insurance policies in those states. Under statutory authority, state regulators have broad discretionary power and may impose new licensing requirements, interpret or enforce existing regulatory requirements in different ways or issue new administrative rules, even if not contained in state statutes. State regulators may also impose rules that are generally adverse to our industry. Because the life insurance secondary market is relatively new and because of the history of certain abuses in the industry, we believe it is likely that state regulation will increase and grow more complex in the foreseeable future. We cannot, however, predict what any new regulation would specifically involve.

Any adverse change in present laws or regulations, or their interpretation, in one or more states in which we operate (or an aggregation of states in which we conduct a significant amount of business) could result in our curtailment or termination of operations in such jurisdictions, or cause us to modify our operations in a way that adversely affects our ultimate profitability. Any such action could have a corresponding material and negative impact on our results of operations and financial condition, primarily through a material decrease in revenues, and could also negatively affect our general business prospects.

Some states and the SEC have, on occasion, attempted to regulate the purchase of non-variable life insurance policies as transactions in securities under federal or state securities laws. In July 2010, the SEC issued a Staff Report of its Life Settlement Task Force. In that report, the Staff recommended that certain types of purchased life insurance policies be classified as securities. The SEC has not taken any position on the Staff Report, and there is no indication if the SEC will take or advocate for any action to implement the recommendations of the Staff Report. In addition, there have been several federal court cases in which transactions involving the purchase and fractionalization of life insurance contracts have been held to be transactions in securities under the federal Securities Act of 1933. We believe that the matters discussed in the Staff Report, and existing case law, do not impact our current business model since our purchases of life settlements are distinguishable from those cases that have been held by courts, and advocated by the Staff Report, to be transactions in securities. For example, we are not involved in fractionalization of any life insurance policies, and we do not purchase variable life insurance policies.

Table of Contents

If federal law were to change, whether by action of the Congress or through the courts, with the result that purchases of non-fractionalized and non-variable life insurance policies would be considered transactions in securities, we would be in violation of existing covenants under our revolving credit facility requiring us to not be an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940. This could in the short-term or long-term affect our liquidity and increase our cost of capital and operational expenses, all of which would adversely affect our operating results. It is possible that such an outcome could threaten the viability of our business and our ability to satisfy our obligations as they come due.

With respect to state securities laws, almost all states currently treat the sale of a life insurance policy as a securities transaction under state laws, although some states exclude from the definition of a security the original sale from the insured or the policy owner to the life settlement provider. To date, due to the manner in which we conduct and structure our activities and the availability, in certain instances, of exceptions and exemptions under securities laws, such laws have not adversely impacted our business model.

State Life Settlement License Requirements

State laws differ as to the extent to which purchasers of life insurance policies are required to be licensed by a state regulatory agency. We may elect to conduct life insurance policy purchasing only in those states in which we are licensed or where no licensure is required. The licensing requirements differ from state to state, but where they exist, they typically require the payment of licensing fees, periodic reporting, and submission to audit by state regulators. We do not intend to purchase any life insurance policies in any states that require a license or similar qualification without first obtaining such license or qualification or purchasing through a licensed provider in that state.

The table below identifies all states (and the District of Columbia) in which we can conduct business directly with the seller of a life insurance policy or through a licensed provider. An asterisk (*) indicates that the state does not require licensing. In those states identified in the right-hand column, we can purchase policies through our provider relationships with Magna Administrative Services, Inc. Abacus Settlements, LLC, and Lotus Life, LLC. If our relationships with either Magna Administrative Services, Abacus Settlements or Lotus Life were to end, for any reason, we believe we would be able to replace that relationship quickly.

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| States Where We Conduct Business Directly | States Where We Conduct Business Through Other Licensed Providers |
|--|---|
| Alabama* | Colorado |
| Arizona | Georgia |
| Arkansas | Indiana |
| California | Nevada |
| Connecticut | New Jersey |
| Delaware | Utah |
| District of Columbia* | |
| Florida | |
| Illinois | |
| Iowa | |
| Kansas | |
| Kentucky | |
| Louisiana | |
| Maine | |
| Maryland | |
| Massachusetts | |
| Michigan* | |
| Minnesota | |
| Mississippi | |
| Missouri* | |

Table of Contents

| States Where We Conduct Business Directly | States Where We Conduct Business Through Other Licensed Providers |
|--|---|
| Nebraska | |
| New Mexico* | |
| New York | |
| North Carolina | |
| Ohio | |
| Oklahoma | |
| Oregon | |
| Pennsylvania | |
| Rhode Island | |
| South Carolina* | |
| South Dakota* | |
| Tennessee | |
| Texas | |
| Virginia | |
| Washington | |
| Wisconsin | |
| Wyoming* | |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

We are not presently able to conduct business in the following states due to the fact that we neither have a license to operate in that state nor do we have a relationship with another licensed provider in that state: Alaska, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, New Hampshire, North Dakota, Vermont, and West Virginia.

Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA)

HIPAA requires that holders of medical records maintain such records and implement procedures in ways designed to assure the privacy of patient records. HIPAA has precipitated widespread changes in record keeping, including patient consent forms and access restrictions in data processing software. In order to carry out the business, we receive medical records and obtain a release to share such records with a defined group of persons. We are entitled to have access to patient information, take on the responsibility for preserving the privacy of that information, and use the information only for purposes related to the life insurance policies.

Regulatory Matters

As previously disclosed in a Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on October 30, 2013, the SEC conducted a private investigation of the Company and its offering of previously issued L Bonds. On November 5, 2014, the staff of the SEC, Division of Enforcement, advised us in writing that its investigation has been closed and that the staff does not intend to recommend any enforcement action by the SEC against the Company.

Employees

We employ approximately 40 employees.

Properties

Our principal executive offices are located at 220 South Sixth Street, Suite 1200, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402. At that location, we lease 11,695 square feet of space for a lease term expiring in August 2015. We believe that these facilities are adequate for our current needs and that suitable additional space will be available as needed.

77

Table of Contents

Legal Proceedings

Our Chief Executive Officer, Jon R. Sabes, and Executive Vice President of Originations and Servicing and Secretary, Steven F. Sabes, who together beneficially own approximately 74.81% of our common stock, are subject to litigation relating to claims by a bankruptcy trustee for loan payments made to an affiliate, Opportunity Finance, LLC. The litigation stems from the 2010 conviction of an individual operating a fraudulent business scheme which filed for bankruptcy in 2008. The bankruptcy trustee alleges that loan repayments to Opportunity Finance were avoidable transfers under preference or other legal theories and seeks to recover amounts for other creditors of the bankruptcy estate. Such payments may ultimately be deemed to be avoidable transfers under preference or other legal theories. Case No. 08-45257 (U.S. Bankruptcy Court District of Minnesota). In addition, GWG Holdings invested \$1.0 million in Opportunity Finance, LLC in 2006 and was repaid and received \$176,948 of interest income from that investment in 2007. To date, no claim has been made against us.

While we believe there are numerous meritorious defenses to the claims made by the bankruptcy trustee, and we are advised that the defendants in that action will vigorously defend against the trustee's claims, such defendants may not prevail in the litigation with the bankruptcy trustee. If the bankruptcy trustee sought to sell or transfer the equity interests of Jon R. Sabes or Steven F. Sabes as a result of the litigation, there could be a change in control of the Company and our business together with all of our investors, including investors in our debentures, could be materially and adversely impacted. Such adverse results would likely arise in connection with negative change-in-control covenants contained in our revolving credit facility agreements, the breach of those covenants and an ensuing event of default under such facility. In addition, if the bankruptcy trustee sought to sell or transfer the equity interests of Jon R. Sabes or Steven F. Sabes as a result of the litigation, such transfers would adversely affect holders of our previously issued L Bonds by reducing the number of shares of common stock of GWG Holdings that have been pledged as collateral security for our obligations under the debentures. Finally, regardless of the outcome of this litigation, these matters are likely to distract management and reduce the time and attention that they are able to devote to our business.

78

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT AND DIRECTORS****Directors and Executive Officers**

The name, age and positions of our current executive officers and directors are as follows:

| Name | Age | Positions |
|------------------------|------------|---|
| Jon R. Sabes | 47 | Chief Executive Officer and Director |
| Paul A. Siegert | 75 | Director (Executive Chairman) Executive Vice President of Originations and Servicing, Secretary and Director |
| Steven F. Sabes | 45 | Chief Financial Officer |
| William Acheson | 49 | Chief Operating Officer |
| Jon Gangelhoff | 56 | President |
| Michael D. Freedman | 51 | Director |
| Jeffrey L. McGregor | 61 | Director |
| Charles H. Maguire III | 71 | Director |
| David H. Abramson | 73 | Director |
| Shawn R. Gensch | 45 | Director |

Jon R. Sabes, co-founder and Chief Executive Officer of our company, is a financial professional with over 20 years of experience in the fields of finance, venture capital, business development, managerial operations, and federal taxation. Since 1999, Mr. Sabes has served as Chief Executive Officer of Opportunity Finance, LLC, a family investment company specializing in structured finance. Over his career, Mr. Sabes has been active in receivable financing, life insurance financing, and casualty insurance financing, structuring over \$900 million in financing commitments for his related businesses. Mr. Sabes' experience includes co-founding and leading the development of two leading insurance-related finance companies: GWG Life, a company in the life insurance finance industry founded in 2006, and MedFinance, an innovator in casualty insurance and healthcare finance founded in 2005. Through these companies, Mr. Sabes has developed and applied financial structuring techniques, underwriting algorithms, and business modeling aspects to the insurance industry. Mr. Sabes' education includes a Juris Doctor degree cum laude from the University of Minnesota Law School; and a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics, from the University of Colorado. Over his career, Mr. Sabes has held several licenses and professional association memberships including FINRA Series 7, Series 63, Minnesota State Bar Association, and American Bar Association. In addition to being an active father of three, Mr. Sabes serves on the boards of Saving Children and Building Families, and the Insurance Studies Institute. Mr. Sabes is the brother of Steven F. Sabes. Mr. Sabes has served as our Chief Executive Officer, and a director, since 2006.

Paul A. Siegert, co-founder of our company, has over 50 years of experience in national and international business with focus on general business, financial and investment strategies, management practices, fiscal controls, profit incentives, systems and corporate structuring and governance. Over his career, Mr. Siegert has consulted to Fortune 500 corporations, regional firms, emerging businesses, government and education, and has served as director, general partner and advisor to partnerships and corporations, including restructuring of economically troubled businesses. Mr. Siegert has provided written testimony to the Senate Finance Committee regarding SEC practices and created two companies registered under the Investment Advisors Act of 1940. Mr. Siegert was an active participant in the formation and direction of the Colorado Institute for Artificial Intelligence at the University of Colorado. Mr. Siegert's education includes studies toward a Master of Business Administration, University of Chicago; and Bachelor of Science and Industrial Management, Purdue University. His insurance-related experiences include the creation of one of the nation's first employer self-funded life, medical and disability insurance programs; designing medical, life insurance and social security opt-out programs for educational institutions; incorporation of financial analysis disciplines in life insurance and estate planning; and strategizing of key-man insurance plans and life insurance in business continuation planning for corporations and senior executives. From 1979 to 1986, Mr. Siegert was nationally recognized as a tax and estate planning expert. In 1999, Mr. Siegert retired from active business to engage in various personal financial and investment endeavors. In 2004, he founded Great West Growth, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company and a predecessor to GWG Life, to purchase life insurance policies. In his

Table of Contents

capacities with GWG Life, he created an insurance policy valuation and pricing model, created life insurance policy purchase documentation, undertook state licensing and compliance and developed operating and marketing systems. Mr. Siegert currently serves as the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Insurance Studies Institute, which he founded in 2007, and also serves on the Board of Directors of the Life Insurance Settlement Association. Mr. Siegert currently serves as Director and Executive Chairman of the Board of GWG Holdings, Inc., and served as its President until May 30, 2014. He has been active in a variety of charities and foundations, including Rotary International.

Steven F. Sabes, co-founder, Executive Vice President of Originations and Servicing and Secretary of our company, is responsible for various managerial aspects of our business, with a specific focus on treasury and financial operations, life insurance policy purchasing, and specialty finance operations. Since 1998, Mr. Sabes has served as a Managing Director of Opportunity Finance, LLC, a family investment company specializing in structured finance. Mr. Sabes holds a Master of Science and Doctor of Philosophy in organic chemistry from the University of Minnesota, as well as a Bachelor of Arts degree from The Colorado College. Mr. Sabes is the brother of Jon Sabes. Mr. Sabes has served as our Secretary, and a director, since 2006. He also served as our Chief Operating Officer from 2006 until May 30, 2014, when he was appointed as our President. On November 13, 2014, Mr. Sabes resigned from the position of President and was appointed Executive Vice President of Originations and Servicing.

William Acheson became our Chief Financial Officer on May 30, 2014. Prior to joining us, Mr. Acheson served as Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President of Strategic Development for The Homeownership Preservation Foundation, a residential real estate foreclosure prevention organization seeded by GMAC, from 2009 through 2013. Prior to that, Mr. Acheson served as Managing Director of Global Structured Finance and Investments at Merrill Lynch in London, England, from 2007 through 2008. From 1991 to 2007, Mr. Acheson spent his career at GMAC-RESCAP, where he served as Managing Director for a number of business units, concluding his career as Chief Financial Officer of the United Kingdom division from 2005 through 2007. Mr. Acheson earned a Bachelor of Science degree in accounting from the College of St. Thomas in St. Paul, Minnesota, and earned his Certified Public Accountant certificate in 1991 while working for Ernst & Young in Minneapolis, Minnesota.

Jon Gangelhoff, our Chief Operating Officer, served rapidly growing businesses in several industries as chief financial officer with a strong focus on business operations since 1986. Prior to joining our company as Chief Financial Officer in March 2009, he served as chief financial officer for Northern Metal Recycling, a metal recycling firm the sales of which exceeded \$500 million annually, from 2006 to 2008. Mr. Gangelhoff's responsibilities at Northern Metal Recycling included acquisition and related integration operations focused on finance, information systems, and human resources functions. Prior to that, from 2003 to 2006, Mr. Gangelhoff served as the chief financial officer of Kuhlman Company, formerly a public reporting company, where he established corporate infrastructure, developed financial reporting and internal control systems, and managed the SEC reporting process. During his 25-year career, Mr. Gangelhoff has used an integrated hands-on and financial management approach to improve the performance of the companies he served in a variety of industries. Mr. Gangelhoff holds a Bachelor of Arts degree from Mankato State University. Mr. Gangelhoff was appointed as our Chief Operating Officer on May 30, 2014.

Michael Freedman, our President, joined GWG in September 2014 and was appointed President in November. For over a decade, he has been the life settlement industry's chief advocate for laws promoting life settlements as a way for seniors to fund their retirement and long-term care needs. Mr. Freedman was a driving force behind the development of life settlement laws in 42 states and more than 60 different pieces of legislation, including several Federal laws. Also in 2014, Mr. Freedman was elected to the Board of Directors of the Life Insurance Settlement Association for a three-year term, and he founded Sentinel Solutions, LLC, a provider of strategic services to clients in the life settlement and related industries, including insurance and financial services. Previously he served as the Senior Vice President of Government Affairs at Coventry First, LLC, a participant in the life settlement industry, from June 2002 to December 2013. Mr. Freedman received his Juris Doctor from the University at Buffalo School of Law in 1993, for which he served for two years as the Graduate Fellow in Public Interest/Public Service. Mr. Freedman was appointed as our President on November 13, 2014.

Table of Contents

Jeffrey L. McGregor has had an extensive career in the insurance and financial services industry, serving as President for three major financial sales and distribution companies. Mr. McGregor has 34 years of experience in sales, distribution strategies and leadership with a proven track record in sales and growth of annuity, life insurance, and mutual fund products. Mr. McGregor has been a quoted industry source for Ignites, Foxfire, Dalbar, Mutual Fund Forum and Investment News, and has served on numerous industry boards and associations, including the Life and Annuity Advisory Board, the Mutual Fund Forum, and the International Association for Financial Planning. Mr. McGregor has written, published and presented a number of papers focused on the insurance and financial industry. Throughout his career, Mr. McGregor's primary

focus has been to promote successful collaboration with employees, clients and colleagues to create respectful, profitable, and long-term relationships.

Mr. McGregor has lead teams that represented all traditional life insurance products term, whole life, universal life, disability insurance, long-term care, along with high-net worth and estate planning strategies that maximize the protection and tax advantages that life insurance products provide. Mr. McGregor has worked closely with product development teams in determining the risk and required sales results necessary to meet profitability targets. Mr. McGregor professional career encompasses the oversight and creation of marketing, sales presentations and advisor/only materials, seeking a balanced approach to the risks and rewards of the insurance, annuity and asset management products offered.

From 2005 to 2010, Mr. McGregor served as the President of RiverSource Distributors and Senior Vice President of Ameriprise Financial, Inc. During his tenure as the President of RiverSource Distributors, he was responsible for the sales and distribution of all insurance, annuity and asset management product lines of Ameriprise through existing and new channels. In this position, Mr. McGregor identified and greatly influenced strategy, compliance, profitability and the success of multiple insurance and investment products offered by Ameriprise.

From 2001 to 2004, Mr. McGregor was President of AXA Distributors, where he was responsible for the sales and distribution of insurance and annuity products manufactured by AXA Financial. In 2003, Mr. McGregor's sales team achieved annuity sales of \$7.0 billion. This record sales year resulted in AXA Distributors market share position going from number six in 2002 to number two in 2003.

From 1988 to 1998, Mr. McGregor served in a variety of senior leadership positions for Colonial Investment Services. Mr. McGregor was named President of Colonial Investment Services in 1990 and joined Colonial's Board of Directors. During his tenure, assets under management grew from \$9.0 billion to \$24.0 billion. During Mr. McGregor's leadership, Colonial earned a number one rating in wholesaler and marketing support three times, according to Dalbar Survey.

Over his career, Mr. McGregor has also worked with American Capital, Prudential-Bache Securities, Planco and IDS, where he began his career as a financial advisor in 1978. Mr. McGregor has earned numerous industry degrees and certifications, including LUTC CFP, CLU, and NASD licenses Series 7 and 24. Mr. McGregor received his B.S. and M.B.A. from California Coast University. In 2012, Mr. McGregor authored a life experience and motivational book *A Spirit Never Tires* which echoes his results driven style to inspire others through passion, energy, courage and a positive attitude.

Charles H. Maguire III, a registered FINRA Arbitrator, has over 35 years of experience in the financial services industry. The core of Mr. Maguire's career has been with Merrill Lynch and Company from 1969 to 2004. In one of his last positions with Merrill Lynch, Mr. Maguire served as Director of Financial Institutions Services Group, where he had complete responsibility for the Merrill Lynch's institutional client services within its domestic branch office system. In addition, Mr. Maguire oversaw the professional teams responsible for product creation and had oversight of an institutional trading desk in New York City. Mr. Maguire's most notable contribution to Merrill Lynch was the creation of the Consults Product, which to this day is one of the most profitable products at Merrill Lynch. In addition to serving as Director of Financial Institutions Services Group, Mr. Maguire held a variety of sales and management roles at Merrill Lynch, including Sales Manager, Resident Vice President, Regional Sales Manager, Senior Resident Vice President, and Managing Director.

Table of Contents

From 2009 to 2011, Mr. Maguire served as a leadership consultant for the University of Cincinnati School of Medicine and the Economic Center, University of Cincinnati. From 2005 to 2007, Mr. Maguire also served as the Senior Advisor on Staff to the Governor of the State of South Carolina, the Director of Cabinet Affairs, and the Chief of Staff of the Department of Commerce for the State of South Carolina. During his tenure as Director of Cabinet Affairs for the Governor of the State of Carolina, Mr. Maguire was fully responsible for overseeing the operations of all agencies that reported to the Governor of South Carolina. In his role as Chief of Staff of the South Carolina Department of Commerce, Mr. Maguire was responsible for the daily operations of the Department of Commerce. During his tenure with the Department of Commerce, Mr. Maguire led the restructuring of the Department of Commerce, which led to South Carolina becoming one of the top three states for job creation and corporate relocations.

Mr. Maguire has served on the boards (or similar functions) of over 25 nonprofit organizations, including services as a trustee for Centre College, trustee for The Seven Hills School, member of the Charter Review Committee of Cincinnati, trustee for the Queen City Foundation, trustee and executive committee member for St. Elizabeth Medical Center, and President for the Joy Outdoor Education Center. Mr. Maguire holds a B.A. from Centre College.

David H. Abramson, a certified public accountant, is presently the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of David Abramson & Associates, LLC, an executive search and leadership development and financial consulting firm that he founded in 2002. The firm provides retained executive search services at the senior leadership levels as well as senior leadership mentoring and coaching. In addition, the firm provides financial and other consulting services to clients.

In 2001, Mr. Abramson was a Senior Vice President of AXA Financial/Equitable Life Insurance based in New York City, and served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Grant Thornton Advisors, a joint venture of AXA Financial and Grant Thornton. Required by his responsibility, Mr. Abramson held NASD series 7, 24 and 66 licenses during his tenure at Grant Thornton Advisors. From 1999 to 2001 Mr. Abramson was Grant Thornton's National Managing Partner of Financial Advisory Services where he led the design of the vision, strategy, governance and operational planning for Grant Thornton Advisors. Grant Thornton Advisors was designed to offer personal financial and estate planning, and investment and insurance products and services to middle-market companies, their owners and officers and other high net worth individuals.

The core of Mr. Abramson's career was as a Partner in Grant Thornton from 1972 until 2001. In 1972, Mr. Abramson became an Audit Partner and the Minneapolis Office Managing Partner, and he continued serving in those roles throughout most of his time at Grant Thornton. Mr. Abramson also became a member of Grant Thornton's National Senior Leadership Team in 1982 and continued in that role until 2001. In this regard, his primary responsibility was Regional Managing Partner with direct line responsibility over assigned operating offices throughout the country. From 1988 to 1990, Mr. Abramson was Grant Thornton's National Managing Director of Client Services directly responsible for Accounting, Tax, Management Consulting, Human Resources, Marketing and Strategic Planning. During the 1990s, Mr. Abramson also led the development and implementation of the Manufacturing/Distribution Services practice. Mr. Abramson's partners at Grant Thornton elected him to serve on Grant Thornton's 11-person Partnership Board for three terms from 1982 to 1990. This board provided oversight and direction related to governance, partner admission and compensation, financial and strategic issues.

Mr. Abramson previously served on the Board of Directors of Southwest Casino Corporation, and served as Chairman of that board's Audit Committee and a member of its Governance and Nominating Committee from 2006 to 2009. Mr. Abramson has also served as a board member, Chairman or President of a number of nonprofit organizations, including President of the Minnesota Society of CPAs, Chairman of The Greater Minneapolis Chamber of Commerce, and President of Temple Israel. He currently is a Member of the University of Minnesota Carlson School Of Management Alumni Board, and an Advisory Board Member of the University of Minnesota Carlson Consulting Enterprise.

Mr. Abramson received his B.S. degree (Accounting) from the University of Minnesota and his M.B.A. from the University of Michigan.

Table of Contents

Shawn R. Gensch is a financial and marketing executive with over 20 years of professional experience. Previously, Mr. Gensch was the Senior Vice President, Marketing, at Target Corporation, a role he held from 2012 through 2013, and in which he led, among other things, that corporation's media strategy, public relations, events and lifestyle marketing efforts. In previous marketing roles with Target, beginning in 2008, Mr. Gensch led brand marketing, storewide and seasonal campaigns, agency management, and marketing finance, production and technology teams. Prior to 2008, Marketing, Mr. Gensch served as the initial President of Target Bank (2003-2007), served as Vice President, Financial Product Design & Development, at Target Financial Services (2005-2008), and served as Director of New Business Development (2003-2005). Prior to joining Target Corporation in 2003, Mr. Gensch worked in various roles in the structured finance, insurance, banking and related consulting industries, including work as Vice President and Assistant Treasurer of Green Tree Financial Corporation (Conseco Finance), in which role he led that corporation's commercial paper program and asset-based funding conduits, syndicated banking lines and structured-finance securitization efforts across a variety of asset classes. Mr. Gensch began his career with KPMG as an Assurance Accountant in 1992.

Mr. Gensch presently serves as a director of Anser Innovation, a technology company developing Internet-based software and hardware to enhance remote interaction, and is currently also Vice-Chair of the Board of Directors of Avenues for Homeless Youth based in Minneapolis, Minnesota. He previously served on the Board of Directors of the Walker Art Center, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Mr. Gensch graduated from the University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire with a B.S. degree in accounting.

Director Qualifications, Independence and Board Committees

When considering whether directors have the experience, qualifications, attributes and skills to enable the Board of Directors to satisfy its oversight responsibilities effectively in light of the Company's business and structure, the Board of Directors focuses primarily on the information discussed in each of the directors' individual biographies set forth above. With regard to Mr. Jon R. Sabes, the board considered his significant experience, expertise and background with regard to financial matters, and his demonstrated experience and skills in managing the

Company's business. With respect to Mr. Siegert, the board considered his significant experience in securities and finance, and his background in secondary life insurance market. With regard to Mr. Steven F. Sabes, the board considered his background and experience with the Company and its business. With regard to Mr. McGregor, the board considered his experience in the financial and insurance industries, and in particular his sales, marketing and leadership experience relative to those industries. In the case of Mr. Maguire, the board considered his extensive background in the financial services industry and service in various leadership positions for multiple organizations. With regard to Mr. Abramson, the board considered his extensive background and knowledge of accounting and finance, his focus on wealth management, and prior leadership positions. With regard to Mr. Gensch, the board considered his finance background as well as his marketing expertise.

The Board of Directors periodically reviews relationships that directors have with the Company to determine whether the directors are independent. Directors are considered independent as long as they do not accept any consulting, advisory or other compensatory fee (other than director fees) from the Company, are not an affiliated person of the Company or its subsidiaries (e.g., an officer or a greater-than-ten-percent stockholder) and are independent within the meaning of applicable laws, regulations and the NASDAQ listing rules. In this latter regard, the Board of Directors uses the NASDAQ listing rules (specifically, Section 5605(a)(2) of such rules) as a benchmark for determining which, if any, of its directors are independent, solely in order to comply with applicable SEC disclosure rules.

The Board of Directors has determined that, of its current directors, Messrs. Abramson, McGregor, Maguire III and Gensch are independent within the meaning of the NASDAQ listing rule cited above. In the case of Mr. Siegert, his position as an executive officer of the Company precludes him from being considered independent. In the case of both Messrs. Jon R. and Steven F. Sabes, their positions as executive officers of the Company, together with their beneficial ownership of more than ten percent of the common stock of the Company, similarly precludes them from being considered independent within the meaning of the cited NASDAQ listing rule.

Table of Contents

Our Board of Directors has an Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nomination and Corporate Governance Committee. The Audit Committee is composed of Messrs. Abramson (Chair), McGregor, Maguire and Gensch. The Compensation Committee is composed of Messrs. Maguire (Chair), Abramson and Gensch. The Nomination and Corporate Governance Committee is composed of Messrs. McGregor (Chair) and Abramson.

Our Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, and Nomination and Corporate Governance Committee each comply with the listing requirements of The NASDAQ Marketplace rules. At least one member of the Audit Committee, Mr. Abramson, is an audit committee financial expert, as that term is defined in Item 401(h)(2) of Regulation S-K, and is independent as that term is defined in Rule 5605(a) of the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules.

Indemnification of Directors and Executive Officers

Section 145 of the Delaware General Corporation Law provides for, under certain circumstances, the indemnification of our officers, directors, employees and agents against liabilities that they may incur in such capacities. A summary of the circumstances in which such indemnification provided for is contained herein, but that description is qualified in its entirety by reference to the relevant Section of the Delaware General Corporation Law.

In general, the statute provides that any director, officer, employee or agent of a corporation may be indemnified against expenses (including attorneys' fees), judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement, actually and reasonably incurred in a proceeding (including any civil, criminal, administrative or investigative proceeding) to which the individual was a party by reason of such status. Such indemnity may be provided if the indemnified person's actions resulting in the liabilities: (i) were taken in good faith; (ii) were reasonably believed to have been in or not opposed to our best interest; and (iii) with respect to any criminal action, such person had no reasonable cause to believe the actions were unlawful. Unless ordered by a court, indemnification generally may be awarded only after a determination of independent members of the Board of Directors or a committee thereof, by independent legal counsel or by vote of the stockholders that the applicable standard of conduct was met by the individual to be indemnified.

The statutory provisions further provide that to the extent a director, officer, employee or agent is wholly successful on the merits or otherwise in defense of any proceeding to which he was a party, he is entitled to receive indemnification against expenses, including attorneys' fees, actually and reasonably incurred in connection with the proceeding.

Indemnification in connection with a proceeding by or in the right of GWG Holdings, Inc. in which the director, officer, employee or agent is successful is permitted only with respect to expenses, including attorneys' fees actually and reasonably incurred in connection with the defense.

In such actions, the person to be indemnified must have acted in good faith, in a manner believed to have been in our best interest and must not have been adjudged liable to us unless and only to the extent that the Court of Chancery or the court in which such action or suit was brought shall determine upon application that, despite the adjudication of liability, in view of all the circumstances of the case, such person is fairly and reasonably entitled to indemnity for such expense which the Court of Chancery or such other court shall deem proper. Indemnification is otherwise prohibited in connection with a proceeding brought on behalf of GWG Holdings, Inc. in which a director is adjudged liable to us, or in connection with any proceeding charging improper personal benefit to the director in which the director is adjudged liable for receipt of an improper personal benefit.

Delaware law authorizes us to reimburse or pay reasonable expenses incurred by a director, officer, employee or agent in connection with a proceeding in advance of a final disposition of the matter. Such advances of expenses are permitted if the person furnishes to us a written agreement to repay such advances if it is determined that he is not entitled to be indemnified by us.

The statutory section cited above further specifies that any provisions for indemnification of or advances for expenses does not exclude other rights under our Certificate of Incorporation, corporate bylaws, resolutions of our stockholders or disinterested directors, or otherwise. These indemnification provisions continue for a

Table of Contents

person who has ceased to be a director, officer, employee or agent of the corporation and inure to the benefit of the heirs, executors and administrators of such persons.

The statutory provision cited above also grants the power to GWG Holdings, Inc. to purchase and maintain insurance policies that protect any director, officer, employee or agent against any liability asserted against or incurred by him in such capacity arising out of his status as such. Such policies may provide for indemnification whether or not the corporation would otherwise have the power to provide for it.

Article 6 of our corporate bylaws provides that we shall indemnify our directors, officers, employees and agents to the fullest extent permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law. Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 may be permitted to directors, officers or persons controlling GWG Holdings, Inc. pursuant to the foregoing provisions, we understand that in the opinion of the SEC such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in that Act and is therefore unenforceable.

We have purchased directors and officers liability insurance in order to limit the exposure to liability for indemnification of directors and officers, including liabilities under the Securities Act of 1933.

**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION
AND RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTION DISCLOSURES**

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth the cash and non-cash compensation awarded to or earned by: (i) each individual who served as the principal executive officer and principal financial officer of GWG Holdings during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013; and (ii) each other individual that served as an executive officer of either GWG Holdings or GWG Life, Inc. at the conclusion of the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 and who received more than \$100,000 in the form of salary and bonus during such fiscal year. For purposes of this document, these individuals are collectively the named executives of the Company.

In the table below, the amounts listed for 2014 bonuses include only the amounts awarded and paid in 2014 under the Company's incentive compensation plan through September 30, 2014 (with the exception of Michael D. Freedman's bonus, which was awarded outside such plan). Any additional bonus amounts to be awarded for the fourth quarter of 2014 will be determined in March 2015.

| <u>Name and Principal Position</u> | | <u>Salary</u> | <u>Bonus (1)</u> | <u>All Other Compensation (2)</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|------------------------------------|------|---------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Jon R. Sabes | 2014 | 372,602 | 38,980 | 14,690 | 426,272 |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| Name and Principal Position | | Salary | Bonus (1) | All Other Compensation (2) | Total |
|--|------|------------|------------|----------------------------|------------|
| Chief Executive Officer | 2013 | \$ 350,000 | \$ 544,581 | \$ 16,905 | \$ 911,486 |
| Jon Gangelhoff | 2014 | 223,629 | 24,155 | 9,852 | 257,636 |
| Chief Operating Officer (3) | 2013 | \$ 120,000 | \$ 57,276 | \$ 13,244 | \$ 190,520 |
| Paul A. Siegert | 2014 | 187,529 | 20,199 | 20,317 | 228,045 |
| Executive Chairman (4) | 2013 | \$ 150,000 | \$ 54,236 | \$ 2,631 | \$ 206,867 |
| Steven F. Sabes | 2014 | 195,774 | 20,199 | 17,240 | 233,213 |
| Executive Vice President of Originations and Servicing and Secretary (5) | 2013 | \$ 150,000 | \$ 426,836 | \$ 11,063 | \$ 587,899 |
| Michael D. Freedman | 2014 | 87,564 | 102,921 | 4,934 | 195,419 |
| President (6) | | | | | |
| William B. Acheson | 2014 | 115,953 | 5,491 | 3,395 | 124,839 |
| Chief Financial Officer (7) | | | | | |

- (1) In 2013, Messrs. Jon R. Sabes, Steven F. Sabes, and Paul A. Siegert each received a discretionary bonus related to the tax impact of the conversion of the Company from a limited liability company to

Table of Contents

a corporation. In this regard, Mr. Jon R. Sabes received a discretionary tax-related bonus of \$436,700, Mr. Steven F. Sabes received a discretionary tax-related bonus of \$380,600, and Mr. Paul A. Siegert received a discretionary tax-related bonus of \$8,000. In addition, each named executive received a cash bonus under the Company's incentive compensation plan. Mr. Jon R. Sabes received a \$107,881 cash bonus, Mr. Gangelhoff received a \$57,276 cash bonus, Mr. Siegert received a \$46,236 cash bonus, and Mr. Steven F. Sabes received a \$46,236 cash bonus, under that incentive compensation plan. In 2014, there were no discretionary tax-related bonuses paid by the Company to any of the named executives. All amounts listed for 2014 relate to bonuses paid under the Company's incentive compensation plan (with the exception of Michael D. Freedman's bonus, which was awarded outside such plan).

- (2) All Other Compensation includes payment of unused and accrued vacation, and premiums paid by the Company that are reported on the named executives' W-2 forms as a component of gross income.
- (3) Mr. Gangelhoff previously served as our Chief Financial Officer from March 2009 until May 30, 2014.
- (4) Mr. Siegert previously served as our President until May 30, 2014.
- (5) Mr. Steven F. Sabes previously served as our Chief Operating Officer from 2006 until May 30, 2014, and as our President from May 30, 2014 until November 13, 2014.
- (6) Mr. Freedman joined the Company in September 2014 and became our President in November 2014.

(7) Mr. Acheson became our Chief Financial Officer on May 30, 2014.

Employment Agreements and Change-in-Control Provisions

In June 2011, we entered into employment agreements with each of Messrs. Jon R. Sabes, Steven F. Sabes, Paul A. Siegert and Jon Gangelhoff. Mr. Jon R. Sabes is our Chief Executive Officer; Mr. Steven F. Sabes is our Executive Vice President of Originations and Servicing and Secretary and previously served as our President and our Chief Operating Officer; Mr. Siegert previously served as our President and Chairman of the Board and is currently the Executive Chairman of the Board; and Mr. Gangelhoff previously served as our Chief Financial Officer and is currently our Chief Operating Officer. On May 30, 2014, we entered into an employment agreement with William Acheson coincident with his appointment as our new Chief Financial Officer. These employment agreements establish key employment terms (including reporting responsibilities, base salary, discretionary and bonus opportunity and other benefits), provide for severance benefits in certain situations, and contain non-competition, non-solicitation and confidentiality covenants.

Under their respective employment agreements, Mr. Jon R. Sabes receives an annual base salary of \$480,000 (effective December 1, 2014), Messrs. Steven F. Sabes, William Acheson and Paul A. Siegert receive an annual base salary of \$200,000, and Mr. Gangelhoff receives an annual base salary of \$250,000. The employment agreements contain customary provisions prohibiting the executives from soliciting our employees for a period of 12-18 months after any termination of employment, and from competing with the Company for either two years (if the executive is terminated for good cause or if he resigns without good reason) or one year (if we terminate the executive's employment without good cause or if he resigns with good reason). In the case of Mr. Acheson, his employment agreement prohibits him from competing against the Company for a one-year period after his termination of employment, regardless of the circumstances relating to that termination. If an executive's employment is terminated by us without good cause or if the executive voluntarily resigns with good reason, then the executive will be entitled to (i) severance pay for a period of 12 months and (ii) reimbursement for health insurance premiums for his family if he elects continued coverage under COBRA.

The employment agreements for Messrs. Jon R. Sabes, Steve F. Sabes and Paul A. Siegert also provide that we will reimburse them for any legal costs they incur in enforcing their rights under the employment agreement and, to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, indemnify them for claims, costs and expenses arising in connection with their employment, regardless of the outcome of any such legal contest, as well as interest at the prime rate on any payments under the employment agreements that are determined to be past due, unless prohibited by law.

All of the foregoing executive employment agreements include a provision allowing us to reduce their severance payments and any other payments to which the executive becomes entitled as a result of our change

86

Table of Contents

in control to the extent needed for the executive to avoid paying an excise tax under Code Section 280G, unless the named executive officer is better off, on an after-tax basis, receiving the full amount of such payments and paying the excise taxes due.

In September 2014, we entered into an employment agreement with Michael D. Freedman, who was appointed as our President in November 2014. Under his employment agreement, Mr. Freedman receives an annual base salary of \$350,000 and a signing bonus of \$200,000. His employment agreement contains customary provisions prohibiting him from soliciting our employees or customers for a one-year period after his termination of employment, regardless of the circumstances relating to that termination. If Mr. Freedman's employment is terminated without cause or if he voluntarily resigns with good reason, then he will be entitled to (i) severance pay equal to one-half of his annual salary then in effect (if such termination or resignation occurs one year or less from the date he was first employed by the Company) or his annual salary then in effect (if such termination or resignation occurs more than one year from the date he was first employed by the Company) 12 months and (ii) reimbursement for health insurance premiums for his family if he elects continued coverage under COBRA for 6 months (if such termination or resignation occurs one year or less from the date he was first employed by the Company) or for 12 months (if such termination or resignation occurs more than one year from the date he was first employed by the Company).

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End

As of December 31, 2014, our named executives had the following outstanding options to purchase common stock:

| | Vested Shares | Un-Vested Shares | Total Shares |
|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Jon R. Sabes | 2,000 | 19,000 | 21,000 |
| Steven F. Sabes | 25,833 | 16,667 | 42,500 |
| Paul Siegert | 25,834 | 11,666 | 37,500 |
| Jon Gangelhoff | 59,000 | 33,000 | 92,000 |
| Michael D. Freedman | | 318,000 | 318,000 |
| William B. Acheson | 2,500 | 40,000 | 42,500 |
| | 115,167 | 438,333 | 553,500 |

2013 Stock Incentive Plan

In April 2013, our Board of Directors and our stockholders adopted the 2013 Stock Incentive Plan and reserved 1,000,000 shares of common stock for issuance under that plan. The 2013 Stock Incentive Plan permits the grant of both incentive and non-statutory stock options. As of the date of this prospectus, there are 649,851 common shares issuable upon exercise of outstanding incentives granted under the plan. The Board of Directors adopted the 2013 Stock Incentive Plan to provide a means by which our employees, directors, officers and consultants may be granted an opportunity to purchase our common stock, to assist in retaining the services of such persons, to secure and retain the services of persons capable of filling such positions and to provide incentives for such persons to exert maximum efforts for our success.

In September 2014, we granted an option outside the 2013 Stock Incentive Plan, exercisable into an aggregate of 318,000 shares of common stock, to Michael D. Freedman, who was then a new management employee as an inducement grant.

Related-Party Transactions

As explained above under Employment Agreements and Change-in-Control Provisions, we were party to an arrangement with each of Jon R. Sabes, Paul A. Siegert and Steven F. Sabes whereby those individuals received loan advances that accrued interest at rates ranging from 4.2% to 5.0% per annum. Under this arrangement, made during the time when GWG Holdings was a limited liability company, these advance amounts were to be repaid upon or in connection with operating distributions made by us. From inception through June 13, 2011, advances aggregating approximately \$981,167 were made to Jon R. Sabes with

Table of Contents

cumulative interest owed of \$114,496, \$287,500 to Paul A. Siegert with cumulative interest owed of \$22,708, and \$861,976 were made to Steven F. Sabes with cumulative interest owed of \$94,438. On July 27, 2011, Messrs. Jon R. Sabes, Steven F. Sabes and Paul A. Siegert repaid their loan balances.

In May 2008, our affiliate, Insurance Strategies Fund, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company beneficially owned by Mr. Jon R. Sabes, our Chief Executive Officer, agreed to make discretionary unsecured

general working capital loans to GWG Holdings for short-term working capital needs. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, we owed no amounts to Insurance Strategies Fund. Nevertheless, an Amended and Restated Investment Agreement with Insurance Strategies Fund, dated as of September 3, 2009, remains in place. That agreement permits Insurance Strategies Fund to make additional discretionary unsecured short-term work capital loans in the future.

Effective July 14, 2008, we entered into an Addendum No. 1 to Sub-Sublease Agreement with Opportunity Finance, LLC, a limited liability company of which Jon R. Sabes, our Chief Executive Officer, also serves as Chief Executive Officer. Pursuant to the Addendum, Opportunity Finance, LLC assigned to us, and we assumed, all of Opportunity Finance's rights and obligations under a Sub-Sublease Agreement between Opportunity Finance and an unrelated third party. The Sub-Sublease Agreement relates to the facilities in which we conduct our business operations. Under the Sub-Sublease Agreement, as assigned, we assumed the obligation to make monthly payments of base rent that range from

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

\$7,310 (from the commencement date through July 31, 2009) to \$8,770 (for the period from August 1, 2011 through the April 20, 2012 expiration of the Sub-Sublease Agreement). In addition, the Sub-Sublease Agreement, as assigned, requires that we pay additional monthly amounts in respect of operating costs as additional rent. We made aggregate payments under the Sub-Sublease Agreement of \$0 and \$50,000 for the calendar years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

On July 11, 2011, we entered into a Purchase and Sale Agreement with Athena Securities Group, Ltd. and Athena Structured Funds PLC. Under this agreement, we issued to Athena Securities Group, Ltd. (Athena) 494,500 shares of common stock, which was equal to 9.9% of our then-outstanding shares, in exchange for shares equal to 9.9% of the outstanding shares in Athena Structured Funds, PLC and cash of \$5,000. This 2011 agreement had contemplated cooperative efforts by the parties aimed at developing a security and related offering in Europe or Ireland, the proceeds of which would be used to finance the acquisition of life-insurance related assets in the United States. In 2013, we sought to terminate the 2011 agreement due to a changing regulatory environment in Europe that negatively affected the likelihood of consummating the contemplated offering of securities, and our dissatisfaction with Athena's performance under the 2011 agreement. As a result, in June 2013 we entered into a second Purchase and Sale Agreement with Athena Securities Ltd. and Athena. This agreement effected the termination of the 2011 agreement. The June 2013 agreement contained mutual general releases of claims and substantially unwound certain capital stock transactions that had been effected under the 2011 agreement. In particular, Athena returned to us for redemption 432,500 shares of our common stock, and retained 62,000 common shares in recognition of their earlier efforts under the 2011 agreement. For our part, we sold back to Athena all of our ownership in Athena Structured Funds, PLC that we had originally acquired under the 2011 agreement. Presently, we have no ongoing business relationship with Athena.

Related-Party Transaction Policy

In all cases, we abide by applicable state corporate law when approving all transactions, including transactions involving officers, directors or affiliates. More particularly, our policy is to have any related-party transactions (i.e., transactions involving a director, an officer or an affiliate of the Company) be approved solely by a majority of the disinterested and independent directors serving on our Board of Directors. Presently, we have four independent directors on the board, and intend to maintain a board on which independent directors comprise a majority of directors serving on the board.

88

Table of Contents

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth the cash and non-cash compensation awarded to or earned by each individual who served as a member of the board of directors of GWG Holdings during the year ended December 31, 2014.

| <u>Director's Name</u> | <u>Fees Earned or Paid in Cash 2014</u> |
|----------------------------|---|
| Paul A. Siegert (Chairman) | \$20,000 |
| Jon R. Sabes | \$20,000 |
| Steven F. Sabes | \$20,000 |
| David H. Abramson | \$44,000 |
| Shawn R. Gensch | \$ 14,000 |
| Charles H. Maguire III | \$32,000 |
| Jeffrey L. McGregor | \$32,000 |

On June 23, 2014, Mr. Shawn R. Gensch was appointed to the board. Each independent board member receives base compensation of \$5,000 and an option to purchase 1,000 shares of the Company's common stock per quarter. In addition, the chairman of the audit committee receives \$4,000 and an option to purchase up to 1,000 shares of the Company's common stock per quarter. The chairmen of the compensation committee and the corporate governance committee each receive \$2,000 and an option to purchase up to 500 shares of the Company's common stock per quarter. Also each non-chair member of committees receive \$1,000 and an option to purchase up to 250 shares of the Company's common stock per quarter.

Table of Contents**SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF
CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT**

The following table sets forth the number and percentage of outstanding common shares beneficially owned as of January 7, 2015, by:

each person known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than five percent of our outstanding common stock

each of our current directors

each our current executive officers and any other persons identified as a named executive in the Summary Compensation Table above, and

all current executive officers and directors as a group.

Shares beneficially owned and percentage ownership is based on 5,870,193 shares of common stock outstanding. Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC, and includes general voting power and/or investment power with respect to securities. Shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options or warrants that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of the record date, and shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of other securities currently convertible or convertible within 60 days, are deemed outstanding for computing the beneficial ownership percentage of the person holding such securities but are not deemed outstanding for computing the beneficial ownership percentage of any other person. Under the applicable SEC rules, each person's beneficial ownership is calculated by dividing the total number of shares with respect to which they possess beneficial ownership by the total number of outstanding shares of the Company. In any case where an individual has beneficial ownership over securities that are not outstanding, but are issuable upon the exercise of options or warrants or similar rights within the next 60 days, that same number of shares is added to the denominator in the calculation described above. Because the calculation of each person's beneficial ownership set forth in the Percentage of Common Shares column of the table may include shares that are not presently outstanding, the sum total of the percentages set forth in such column may exceed 100%. Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each of the following persons is 220 South Sixth Street, Suite 1200, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402, and each such person has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares set forth opposite his, her or its name.

| <u>Name and Address</u> | <u>Shares Beneficially Owned</u> | <u>Percentage of Shares Beneficially Owned</u> |
|---|--|--|
| Jon R. Sabes (1) | 2,514,395 | 42.82% |
| Steven S. Sabes (2) | 2,487,081 | 42.18% |
| Paul A. Siegert (3) | 226,279 | 3.84% |
| Jon Gangelhoff (4) | 59,000 | * |
| William B. Acheson (5) | 2,500 | * |
| Michael D. Freedman (6) | 2,700 | * |
| David H. Abramson (7) | 15,000 | * |
| Jeffrey L. McGregor (8) | 10,500 | * |
| Charles H. Maguire III (9) | 10,500 | * |
| Shawn R. Gensch (10) | 3,000 | * |
| All current directors and officers as a group | 4,741,869 | 78.71% |

* less than one percent.

- (1) Mr. Sabes is our Chief Executive Officer and a director of the Company. Shares reflected in the table include 1,077,276 shares held individually, 489,086 shares held by Opportunity Finance, LLC, a Minnesota limited liability company of which Mr. Sabes is a manager and member, 169,671 shares held by Jon Sabes 1992 Trust No.1, a trust of which Mr. Sabes is the beneficiary, 168,801 shares held by Esther Sabes 1992 Trust FBO Jon Sabes, a trust of which Mr. Sabes is a beneficiary, 241,632 shares held by Moe Sabes 1982 Trust FBO Jon Sabes, a trust of which Mr. Sabes is a beneficiary, and 163,738

Table of Contents

shares held by Moe Sabes 1976 Trust FBO Jon Sabes, a trust of which Mr. Sabes is a beneficiary. Also includes 102,191 shares held by Mr. Sabes immediate family members. The trustees of each of the trusts are Robert W. Sabes, Steve F. Sabes and Ross A. Sabes. The number of shares also includes 2,000 stock options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days granted pursuant to stock option agreements for 21,000 shares at a per-share exercise price ranging from \$8.28 to \$9.01. Figures also include 100,000 shares held by Insurance Strategies Fund, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company over whose securities each of Jon R. and Steven F. Sabes exercise voting and dispositive control. Jon R. and Steve F. Sabes disclaim beneficial ownership over the shares held by Insurance Strategies Fund, LLC except to the extent of their pecuniary interest in such shares.

- (2) Mr. Sabes is our Executive Vice President of Originations and Servicing, Secretary and a director of the Company. Shares reflected in the table include 799,779 shares held individually, 489,086 shares held by Opportunity Finance, LLC, a Minnesota limited liability company of which Mr. Sabes is a manager and member, 521,158 shares held by Moe Sabes 1982 Trust FBO Steven Sabes, a trust of which Mr. Sabes is the beneficiary, 350,779 shares held by Esther Sabes 1992 Trust FBO Steven Sabes, a trust of which Mr. Sabes is a beneficiary, and 200,445 shares held by Moe Sabes 1976 Trust FBO Steven Sabes, a trust of which Mr. Sabes is a beneficiary. The trustees of each of the trusts are Robert W. Sabes, Jon R. Sabes and Ross A. Sabes. The number of shares also includes 25,834 stock options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days granted pursuant to stock option agreements for 42,500 shares at a per-share exercise price ranging from \$8.28 to \$9.01 vesting over a three-year period. Figures also includes 100,000 shares held by Insurance Strategies Fund, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company over whose securities each of Jon R. and Steven F. Sabes exercise voting and dispositive control. Jon R. and Steve F. Sabes disclaim beneficial ownership over the shares held by Insurance Strategies Fund except to the extent of their pecuniary interest in such shares.
- (3) Mr. Siegert is a director of the Company (Executive Chairman). Shares reflected in the table include 200,445 shares held individually and 25,834 stock options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days granted pursuant to stock option agreements for 27,500 shares at a per-share exercise price ranging from \$7.52 to \$9.01 vesting over a three-year period.
- (4) Mr. Gangelhoff is our Chief Operating Officer. Shares reflected in the table include 59,000 stock options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days granted pursuant to stock option agreements for 92,000 shares at a per-share exercise price ranging from \$7.52 to \$9.01 vesting over a three-year period.
- (5) Mr. Acheson is our Chief Financial Officer. Shares reflected in the table include 2,500 of stock options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days granted pursuant to stock option agreements for 42,500 shares at a per-share exercise price ranging from \$7.52 to \$9.01 and vesting over a three-year period.
- (6) Mr. Freedman is our President. Mr. Freedman owns 2,700 shares directly. He was granted an option outside the 2013 Stock Option Plan, for an aggregate of 318,000 shares vesting over three years, none of which are currently exercisable or exercisable in the next 60 days.
- (7) Mr. Abramson is a director of the Company. Shares reflected in the table include 15,000 stock options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days granted pursuant to a stock option agreement dated October 28, 2013 for 30,000 shares at a per-share exercise price of \$7.52 and vesting quarterly over a three-year period.
- (8) Mr. McGregor is a director of the Company. Shares reflected in the table include 10,500 stock options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days granted pursuant to a stock option agreement dated November 12, 2013 for 21,000 shares at a per-share exercise price of \$7.52 and vesting quarterly over a three-year period.
- (9) Mr. Maguire is a director of the Company. Shares reflected in the table include 10,500 stock options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days granted pursuant to a stock option agreement dated November 12, 2013 for 21,000 shares at a per-share exercise price of

\$7.52 and vesting quarterly over a three-year period.

- (10) Mr. Gensch is a director of the Company. Shares reflected in the table include 3,000 stock options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days granted pursuant to a stock option agreement dated July 1, 2014 for 18,000 shares at a per-share exercise price of \$7.52 and vesting quarterly over a three-year period.

Table of Contents

DESCRIPTION OF THE L BONDS

General

The L Bonds will be secured obligations of GWG Holdings. The L Bonds will be issued under the indenture between us and Bank of Utah as the indenture trustee, dated October 19, 2011, as amended or supplemented from time to time, including by that certain Amendment No. 2 to Indenture to be entered into in connection with this offering of L Bonds (referred to collectively herein as the indenture). The terms and conditions of the L Bonds include those stated in the indenture and those made part of the indenture by reference to the Trust Indenture Act of 1939. The following is a summary of the material provisions of the indenture. For a complete understanding of the L Bonds, you should review the definitive terms and conditions contained in the indenture, which include definitions of certain terms used below. A copy of the indenture has been filed with the SEC as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part, and is available from us at no charge upon request.

The following is a summary of the material terms associated with the L Bonds:

The L Bonds are general secured obligations of GWG Holdings. The obligations are secured by a grant of a security interest in all of the assets of GWG Holdings, which assets will serve as collateral for our obligations under the L Bonds. This grant of a security interest is effected pursuant to a pledge and security agreement attached to the indenture.

The L Bonds are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by our wholly owned direct subsidiary, GWG Life, but otherwise are not guaranteed by any other person or entity. The guarantee is backed by a grant of a security interest in all of the assets of GWG Life, which assets will serve as additional collateral for our obligations under the L Bonds. Chief among these assets is GWG Life's ownership interest in DLP Funding II. This guarantee is effected pursuant to provisions contained in the indenture.

The L Bonds are also secured by a pledge of the equity ownership interests in GWG Holdings by its principal stockholders Jon R. Sabes and Steven F. Sabes which pledge is effected pursuant to a pledge and security agreement attached to the indenture.

The collateral granted for our obligations under the L Bonds (i.e., the security interest in all of the assets of GWG Holdings, and the guarantee by GWG Life and corresponding security interest in all of its assets including a pledge of the equity ownership interests in DLP Funding II), together with (i) certain covenants contained in the documents relating to our earlier issued Series I Secured notes (of which approximately \$28.2 million was outstanding as of September 30, 2014), and (ii) an intercreditor agreement, as amended, between Bank of Utah (on behalf of the L Bond holders, and on behalf of the previously issued L Bonds) and Lord Securities Corporation (the collateral trustee for the Series I Secured notes), make the L Bonds pari passu with the Series I Secured notes and the previously issued L Bonds (of which approximately \$173.2 million was outstanding as of September 30, 2014) with respect to payment, security and collateral. The intercreditor agreement is attached to the indenture.

The L Bonds will be junior to the \$100 million revolving credit facility of DLP Funding II with Autobahn/DZ Bank, which currently has an outstanding balance of approximately \$79 million. The L Bonds will also be junior to any other senior lending facility we may later obtain.

The L Bonds are not savings accounts, certificates of deposit (CDs) or other forms of deposits, and are not insured by the FDIC or any other governmental agency.

The L Bonds are not directly secured by any life insurance assets not owned by GWG Life. A significant amount of our life insurance assets (75.8% of our policies, representing approximately 78.6% of the face value of policy benefits as of September 30, 2014) are held

by our DLP Funding II subsidiary. Although GWG Life's equity ownership interests in DLP Funding II is an asset in which GWG Life has pursuant to its guarantee granted a security interest to serve as collateral for

Table of Contents

obligations under the L Bonds, the payment on such equity interests will be subordinate to the interests of creditors of DLP Funding II, including our senior creditor Autobahn/DZ Bank.

The L Bonds do not have the benefit of a sinking fund for the retirement of principal.

The L Bonds are not convertible into our capital stock or other securities.

We have the option to call and redeem the entire outstanding principal balance and accrued but unpaid interest of any L Bonds at any time and without premium or penalty. If we elect to call and redeem your L Bonds, those redeemed L Bonds will cease to accrue interest after the redemption date under the terms and subject to the conditions of the indenture.

Except in limited circumstances (death, bankruptcy or total disability), L Bond holders will have no right to require us to redeem any L Bond prior to its maturity date. Any early redemption will be for the total outstanding principal balance and accrued but unpaid interest. If we in our sole discretion nonetheless elect to accommodate a redemption request, we will redeem the entire (but not less than the entire) outstanding principal balance and accrued but unpaid interest of the L Bonds and impose a redemption fee of 6% against the outstanding principal balance of the L Bond redeemed. This fee will be subtracted from the amount paid to you.

The L Bonds will be denominated in U.S. dollars and we intend to sell the L Bonds at 100% of their principal face amount. The minimum investment amount in the L Bonds will be \$25,000. Above that minimum amount, L Bonds may be purchased in \$1,000 increments. Subject to the minimum investment amount, you may select the principal amount and term of the L Bonds (ranging from six months to seven years) you would like to purchase when you subscribe. The interest rate of your L Bond will remain fixed until maturity. Depending on our capital requirements, we may not, however, always offer L Bonds with the particular terms you seek. See [Description of the L Bonds](#) [Interest Rate and Maturity](#) below.

Upon acceptance of your subscription, we will create an account in a book-entry registration and transfer system for you, and credit the principal amount of your subscription to your account. We will also send you a purchase confirmation that will indicate our acceptance of your subscription. If your subscription is rejected, all funds deposited will be promptly returned to you without any interest. See [Registration and Exchange](#) below. Alternatively, you may subscribe for L Bonds as a direct participant with Depository Trust Company (DTC settlement). See [Plan of Distribution](#) [Settlement Procedures](#) for more information.

Investors whose subscriptions for L Bonds have been accepted and anyone who subsequently acquires L Bonds in a qualified transfer are referred to as [holders](#) or [registered holders](#) in this prospectus. We may modify or supplement the terms of the L Bonds described in this prospectus from time to time in a supplement to the indenture and a supplement to this prospectus. Except as set forth under [Amendment, Supplement and Waiver](#) below, any modification or amendment will not affect L Bonds outstanding at the time of such modification or amendment.

The L Bonds are transferable pursuant to the terms of the indenture. The L Bonds may be transferred or exchanged for other L Bonds of the same series and class of a like aggregate principal amount subject to limitations contained in the indenture. We will not charge a fee for any registration, transfer or exchange of L Bonds. However, we may require the holder to pay any tax, assessment fee, or other governmental charge required in connection with any registration, transfer or exchange of L Bonds. The registered holder of an L Bond will be treated as its owner for all purposes.

Denomination

You may purchase L Bonds in the minimum principal amount of \$25,000, and in whole increments of \$1,000 above \$25,000. You will determine the original principal amount of each L Bond you purchase when you subscribe. You may not cumulate purchases of multiple L Bonds with principal amounts less than \$25,000 to satisfy the minimum requirement. In our discretion, we may waive the \$25,000 minimum

purchase requirement for any investor.

Table of Contents

Term

We may offer L Bonds with the following terms to maturity:

| | |
|------------|-------------|
| six months | three years |
| one year | five years |
| two years | seven years |

You will select the term of each L Bond you purchase when you subscribe. You may purchase multiple L Bonds with different terms by filling in investment amounts for more than one term on your Subscription Agreement. Nevertheless, during this offering we may not always offer L Bonds with each of the maturity terms outlined above.

The actual maturity date will be on the last day of the month in which the L Bond matures (i.e., the month in which the L Bond's term ends). For example, if you select a one-year term and your L Bond becomes effective on January 1, 2015, the actual maturity date will be January 31, 2016. After actual maturity, we will pay all outstanding principal and accrued but unpaid interest on the L Bond no later than the fifth day of the calendar month next following its maturity (or the first business day following the fifth day of such month). So, in the case of an L Bond with a maturity date of January 31, 2016, actual payment will be made on or prior to February 5, 2016 (unless such date is not a business day, in which case actual payment will be made on the next business day). The L Bonds do not earn interest after the maturity date or any date set for prepayment.

Should the original L Bond holder (x) no longer be the holder of the L Bond or (y) be unavailable, or a change in payee be necessary, such as in the case of a surviving estate, we may require a copy of the executed assignment to any transferee, or an order from a court or probate commission, as the case may be, in order that we know the principal is returned to the rightful party.

Interest Rate

The rate of interest we will offer to pay on L Bonds at any particular time will vary based upon market conditions, and will be determined by the term to maturity of the L Bonds, our capital requirements and other factors described below. The interest rate on a particular L Bond will be determined at the time of subscription or renewal and then remain fixed for the original or renewal term of the L Bond. We will establish and may change the interest rates payable for L Bonds of various terms and at various investment levels in an interest rate supplement to this prospectus.

We may offer L Bonds that earn incrementally higher interest rates when, at the time they are purchased or renewed, the aggregate principal amount of the L Bond portfolio of the holder increases. If applicable, the interest rates payable at each level of investment will be set forth in an interest rate supplement to this prospectus. We may change the interest rate for any or all maturities to reflect market conditions at any time by supplementing this prospectus. If we change the interest rates, the interest rate on L Bonds issued before the date of the change will not be affected.

Payments on the L Bonds; Paying Agent and Registrar

Investors will have the opportunity to select whether interest on their L Bonds will be paid monthly or annually. For investors using direct settlement with the Company, this selection opportunity will be presented in the Subscription Agreement.

Interest will accrue on the L Bonds at the stated rate from and including the effective date of the L Bond until maturity. The effective date of an L Bond will be as follows:

If you purchase an L Bond through DTC settlement, the first business day after the monthly closing cycle with DTC. In this regard, you should be aware that the final settlement date for participating in a closing cycle will generally require you to have paid your

subscription no later than the last business day of the prior calendar month. So for example, to participate in a closing cycle on which L Bonds will be issued on May 1, your DTC settlement subscription must be effected and paid for no later than April 30 (if April 30 is a business day, and if not then the last business day prior to April 30).

Table of Contents

If you purchase an L Bond through direct settlement with the Company, the effective date of your bond will be the following, as applicable: (i) in cases where you pay for your bond via wire transfer directly to us, the first business day of the next calendar month after which we receive the wire; (ii) in cases where you pay for your bond by bank draft directly to us, the first business day of the next calendar month after which we receive the draft; or (iii) in cases where you pay for your bond by personal check, the first business day of the calendar month that is at least five full business days after which we receive the check. In all cases involving direct settlement with the Company, we must also have received your completed and executed Subscription Agreement.

Interest payments on L Bonds will be paid on the 15th day immediately following the last day of the applicable interest payment period. Interest will be paid without any compounding. The first payment of interest will include interest for the partial period in which the purchase occurred. The indenture provides that all interest will be calculated based on a year with twelve 30-day months.

If you purchase your L Bond through direct settlement, we will pay the principal of, and interest on, L Bonds by direct deposit to the account you specify in your Subscription Agreement. We will not accept subscriptions from investors who are not willing to receive their interest payments via direct deposit. If the foregoing payment method is not available, principal and interest payments on the L Bonds will be payable at our principal executive office or at such other place as we may designate for payment purposes. If you purchase your L Bond through DTC settlement, our payments of principal and interest will be paid to the depository (DTC) and then be credited to your brokerage or custodial account through the DTC procedures followed by your brokerage firm or custodian. For more information, please see [Registration and Exchange Global Certificates Deposited with DTC](#) below.

We will withhold 28% of any interest payable to any investor who has not provided us with a social security number, employer identification number, or other satisfactory equivalent in the Subscription Agreement (or another document) or where the IRS has notified us that backup withholding is otherwise required. Please see [Material Federal Income Tax Considerations Backup Withholding and Information Reporting](#).

Registration and Exchange

The L Bonds that we settle directly will generally be issued in book-entry form, which means that no physical L Bond is created, subject, however, to limited exceptions described in the indenture. The L Bonds settled through DTC settlement will be represented by global certificates deposited with the depository as described below.

Book-Entry Registration

Evidence of your ownership will be provided by written confirmation. As described below, holders may, under certain circumstance described below, opt to receive physical delivery of a certificated security that evidences their L Bonds. Otherwise, the issuance and transfer of L Bonds will be accomplished exclusively through the crediting and debiting of the appropriate accounts in our book-entry registration and transfer system.

The holders of the accounts established upon the purchase or transfer of L Bonds will be deemed to be the owners of the L Bonds under the indenture. The holder of the L Bonds must rely upon the procedures established by the trustee to exercise any rights of a holder of L Bonds under the indenture. We will regularly provide the trustee with information regarding the establishment of new accounts and the transfer of existing accounts.

On or prior to any interest payment date or upon redemption, we will also provide the trustee with information regarding the total amount of any principal and interest due to holders of L Bonds. On each interest payment date, we will credit interest due on each account and direct payments to the holders. We will determine the interest payments to be made to the book-entry accounts and maintain, supervise and review any records relating to book-entry accounts for the L Bonds.

Table of Contents

Book-entry notations in the accounts evidencing ownership of the L Bonds are exchangeable for certificated L Bonds only: (i) at the request of the holder, at the end of the Company's next fiscal quarter; or (ii) after the occurrence of an event of default under the indenture, if holders of more than 50% of the aggregate outstanding principal amount of the L Bonds advise the trustee in writing that the continuation of a book-entry system is no longer in the best interests of the holders of L Bonds. In its discretion, the Company may elect to terminate the book-entry system and replace book-entry notations with physical certificates.

Global Certificates Deposited with DTC

L Bonds may be issued in the form fully registered global certificates deposited with, or on behalf of, The Depository Trust Company (DTC), New York, NY, and registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee of DTC. Unless and until exchanged, in whole or in part, for L Bonds in definitive registered form, a global certificate may not be transferred except as a whole by the depository to a nominee of such depository, by a nominee of such depository to such depository or another nominee of such depository, or by such depository or any nominee of such depository to a successor of such depository or a nominee of such successor.

DTC is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a banking organization within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a clearing corporation within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code and a clearing agency registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Exchange Act. DTC was created to hold securities of its participants and to facilitate the clearance and settlement of securities transactions, such as transfers and pledges, among its participants in such securities through electronic computerized book-entry changes in accounts of the participants, thereby eliminating the need for physical movement of securities certificates. DTC's participants include securities brokers and dealers (including the managing broker-dealer), banks, trust companies, clearing corporations and certain other organizations, some of whom own DTC. Access to DTC's book-entry system is also available to others, such as banks, brokers, dealers and trust companies that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a participant, either directly or indirectly. The rules applicable to DTC and its participants are on file with the SEC.

If available, purchases of the L Bonds within the DTC system must be made by or through direct participants, which will receive a credit for the L Bonds on DTC's records. The ownership interest of each beneficial owner of the L Bonds will be recorded on the direct and indirect participants' records. Beneficial owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchases, but beneficial owners are expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transactions, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the direct or indirect participants through which the beneficial owners entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the L Bonds are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of participants acting on behalf of beneficial owners.

To facilitate subsequent transfers, all L Bonds deposited by participants with DTC will be registered in the name of DTC's nominee, Cede & Co. The deposit of the L Bonds with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. will effect no change in beneficial ownership. DTC will have no knowledge of the actual beneficial owners of the L Bonds. DTC's records will reflect only the identity of the direct participants to whose accounts such notes are credited, which may or may not be the beneficial owners. The participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.

Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to direct participants, by direct participants to indirect participants and by direct and indirect participants to beneficial owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time.

We will make payments due on the notes to Cede & Co., as nominee of DTC, in immediately available funds. DTC's practice is to credit direct participants' accounts, upon DTC's receipt of funds and corresponding detailed information, on the relevant payment date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records. Payments by participants to beneficial owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the account of customers in bearer form or

Table of Contents

registered in street name, and will be the responsibility of such participant and not our responsibility or DTC, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Payment to Cede & Co. is our responsibility. Disbursement of such payments to direct participants is the responsibility of Cede & Co. Disbursement of such payments to the beneficial owners is the responsibility of direct and indirect participants.

Except as provided herein, a beneficial owner of an interest in a global certificate will not be entitled to receive physical delivery of the L Bonds. Accordingly, each beneficial owner must rely on the procedures of DTC to exercise any rights under the L Bonds. The laws of some jurisdictions require that certain purchasers of securities take physical delivery of securities in definitive form. Such laws may impair the ability to transfer beneficial interests in a global certificate.

As long as the depository, or its nominee, is the registered holder of a global certificate, the depository or such nominee will be considered the sole owner and holder of the L Bonds represented thereby for all purposes under the L bonds and the indenture. Except in the limited circumstances referred to below, owners of beneficial interests in a global certificate will not be entitled to have such global certificate or any L Bonds represented thereby registered in their names, will not receive or be entitled to receive physical delivery of certificated L Bonds in exchange for the global certificate and will not be considered to be the owners or holders of such global certificate or any certificates represented thereby for any purpose under the L Bonds or the indenture. Accordingly, each person owning a beneficial interest in such global certificate must rely on the procedures of the depository and, if such person is not a participant, on the procedures of the participant through which such person owns its interest to exercise any rights of a holder under the indenture.

If the depository for a global certificate representing L Bonds is at any time unwilling or unable to continue as depository and a successor depository is not appointed by us within 90 days, we will issue L Bonds in definitive form in exchange for such global certificate. In addition, we may at any time and in our sole discretion determine not to have the L Bonds represented by one or more global certificates and, in such event, we will issue the notes in definitive form in exchange for all of the global certificates representing the L Bonds. Finally, if an event of default, or an event which with the giving of notice or lapse of time or both would constitute an event of default, with respect to the L Bonds represented by a global certificate has occurred and is continuing, then we will issue L Bonds in definitive form in exchange for all of the global certificates representing the notes.

Although DTC has agreed to the procedures provided above in order to facilitate transfers, it is under no obligation to perform these procedures, and these procedures may be modified or discontinued at any time.

Limited Rescission Right

If you are purchasing L Bonds through direct settlement with the Company and your Subscription Agreement is accepted at a time when we have determined that a post-effective amendment to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part must be filed with the SEC, but such post-effective amendment has not yet been declared effective, we will send to you at your registered address a notice and a copy of the related prospectus once it has been declared effective. You will thereupon have the right to rescind your investment upon written request within ten business days from the postmark date of the notice we send to you that the post-effective amendment has been declared effective (and containing the related prospectus). We will promptly return any funds sent with a Subscription Agreement that is properly rescinded without penalty, although any interest previously paid on a rescinded L Bond will be deducted from the funds returned to you upon rescission. A written request for rescission, except in the case of a mailed rescission, must be postmarked on or before the tenth business day after our notice to you (described above). If you notify us other than by mail, we must actually receive your rescission request on or before the tenth business day after our notice to you.

We will not accept purchases of L Bonds through DTC settlement if, as of the end of the monthly closing for DTC settlement, we have determined that a post-effective amendment to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part must be filed with the SEC, but such post-effective amendment has not yet

Table of Contents

been declared effective. In any such case, settlement of your L Bond purchase must occur in the following month.

Renewal or Repayment on Maturity

At least 30 days prior to the maturity of your L Bond, we will provide you with a notice indicating that your L Bond is about to mature and whether we will allow automatic renewal of your L Bond. If we allow you to renew your L Bond, we will also provide to you the then-current form of prospectus, which may include an interest rate or prospectus supplement and any other updates to the information contained in this prospectus. The prospectus, or the interest rate or prospectus supplement, will set forth the interest rates then in effect. The notice will recommend that you review the then-current prospectus, including any interest rate or prospectus supplement, prior to exercising one of the below options. If we do not provide you a new prospectus because the prospectus has not changed since the delivery of this prospectus in connection with your original investment or any prior renewal, we will nonetheless send you a new copy of the prospectus upon your request. Unless the election period is extended as described below, you will have until 15 days prior to the maturity date to exercise one of the following options:

You can do nothing, in which case (subject to applicable law) your L Bond will automatically renew for a new term equal to the original term but at the interest rate in effect at the time of renewal. Interest on renewed L Bonds will be paid on the same schedule (i.e., monthly or annually) as the original L Bond. If applicable, a new certificate will be issued.

You can elect repayment of your L Bond, in which case the principal amount will be repaid in full along with any accrued but unpaid interest. If you choose this option, your L Bond will not earn interest on or after the maturity date.

You can elect repayment of your L Bond and use all or part of the proceeds to purchase a new L Bond with a different term or principal amount. To exercise this option, you will need to complete a new Subscription Agreement for the new L Bond and mail it along with your request, or else work with your broker if you wish to purchase your new L Bond through DTC settlement. Any proceeds from the old L Bond that are not applied to the new L Bond will be sent to you.

The foregoing options will be available to holders unless and until terminated under the indenture. Interest will accrue from the first day of each renewed term. Each renewed L Bond will retain all its original provisions, including provisions relating to payment, except that the interest rate payable during any renewal term will be the interest rate that is being offered at that time to other holders with similar aggregate L Bond portfolios for L Bonds of the same term as set forth in the interest rate supplement delivered with the maturity notice. If similar L Bonds are not then being offered, the (i) interest rate upon renewal will be the rate specified by us on or before the maturity date, or the rate of the existing L Bond if no such rate is specified, and (ii) the maturity will, if L Bonds of the same maturity are being offered at the time of renewal, be the same or, if not, the next earliest maturity.

If we notify the holder of our intention to repay an L Bond at maturity, or if the holder timely requests repayment, we will pay the principal and all accrued but unpaid interest on the L Bond on or prior to the fifth day of the calendar month after the maturity date (or the first business day following the fifth day of such month). Thus, in the case of an L Bond with a maturity date of January 31, 2015, actual payment will be made on or prior to February 5, 2015 (unless such date is not a business day, in which case actual payment will be made on the next business day). No interest will accrue after the maturity date. You should be aware that because payment is made by ACH transfer, funds may not be received in the holder's account for two to three business days.

We will be required from time to time to file post-effective amendments to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part to update the information it contains. If you would otherwise be entitled to renew your L Bonds upon their stated maturity at a time when we have determined that a post-effective amendment must be filed with the SEC, but such post-effective amendment has not yet been declared effective, then the period during which you can elect renewal (or repayment) will be automatically extended

Table of Contents

until ten days following the postmark date of our notice to you that the post-effective amendment has been declared effective, which notice shall contain a copy of the related prospectus. All other provisions relating to the renewal or redemption of L Bonds upon their stated maturity described above shall remain unchanged.

For any L Bonds offered hereby that mature on or after the three-year anniversary of the date on which the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part shall have been declared effective, we expect that the renewal of such L Bonds may require us to file a new registration statement. In such a case, the new registration statement must be declared effective before we can renew your L Bond. In this event, if the new registration statement has not yet been filed or become effective, we will extend your election period until ten days following the date of our notice to you that the new registration statement has become effective, which notice will include a new prospectus.

Call and Redemption Prior to Stated Maturity

We may call and redeem, in whole or in part, principal amount and accrued but unpaid interest on any L Bonds prior to their stated maturity only as set forth in the indenture and described below. The holder has no right to put or otherwise require us to redeem any L Bond prior to its maturity date (as originally stated or as it may be extended), except as indicated in the indenture and described below.

Our Voluntary Redemption

We have the right to redeem any L Bond, in whole or in part, at any time prior to its stated maturity upon at least 30 days written notice to the holder of the L Bond. The holder of the L Bond being redeemed will be paid a redemption price equal to the outstanding principal amount thereof plus accrued but unpaid interest up to but not including the date of redemption without any penalty or premium. We may use any criteria we choose to determine which L Bonds we will redeem if we choose to do so. We are not required to redeem L Bonds on a pro rata basis.

Holder's Put Election Upon Death, Bankruptcy or Total Permanent Disability

L Bonds may be redeemed prior to maturity at the election of a holder who is a natural person (including L Bonds held in an individual retirement account and the holders of a beneficial interest in a global certificate held by a depository or its nominee), by giving us written notice within 45 days following the holder's total permanent disability or bankruptcy, as established to our satisfaction, or at the election of the holder's estate, by giving written notice within 45 days following the death of the holder. Subject to the limitations described below, we will redeem the L Bonds not later than the 15th day of the month next following the month in which we establish to our satisfaction the holder's death, bankruptcy or total permanent disability. In the event that the 15th day of the month next following the month in which we so establish such facts is not a business day, we will redeem the L Bonds on the next business day. The redemption price, in the event of such a death, bankruptcy or total permanent disability, will be the entire principal amount of the L Bonds, plus accrued but unpaid interest thereon up to and through the last day of the calendar month preceding the redemption date.

If spouses are joint registered holders of an L Bond, the right to elect to have us redeem L Bonds will apply when either registered holder dies, files bankruptcy or suffers a total permanent disability. If the L Bond is held jointly by two or more persons who are not legally married, none of these persons will have the right to request that we redeem the L Bonds unless all joint holders have died, filed bankruptcy or suffered a total permanent disability. If the L Bond is held by a trust, partnership, corporation or other similar entity, the right to request redemption upon death or total permanent disability does not apply.

Redemption at Request of Holder

We have no obligation to redeem any L Bonds other than upon maturity, or upon the death, bankruptcy or total permanent disability of a natural person holder. Nevertheless, at our sole discretion we may agree from time to time, at the written request of a holder (including the holder of a beneficial interest in a global certificate held by a depository or its nominee), to redeem an L Bond, subject, however, to a redemption fee

Table of Contents

of 6.0% of the principal amount of such L Bond. If we so redeem any L Bond prior to maturity, we will redeem the entire principal amount of such L Bond together with accrued but unpaid interest thereon. The redemption fee will be subtracted from the amount paid to you.

Transfers

The L Bonds will be transferable in accordance with the indenture. For L Bonds that are issued solely in book-entry form, transfers will be effective only upon the delivery to us of an executed assignment or other conveyance instrument in customary form. For L Bonds that are represented by a global certificate held by a depository or its nominee, transfers of beneficial interests in such certificate must be effected in accordance with the procedures and rules of the depository.

Upon transfer of an L Bond, we will provide the new holder of the L Bond with a purchase confirmation that will evidence the transfer of the account on our records. If applicable (e.g., if transferred to a custodial account), a new certificate will be issued. No written confirmations will be provided with respect to transfers of beneficial interests in a global certificate held by a depository or its nominee.

Quarterly Statements

We will provide holders of the L Bonds with quarterly statements, which will indicate, among other things, the account balance at the end of the quarter, interest credited, redemptions made, if any, and the interest rate paid during the quarter. These statements will be sent electronically on or prior to the 10th business day after the end of each calendar quarter. If a holder is unwilling or unable to receive quarterly statements electronically, we will mail the statements to the address of record on or prior to the 10th business day after the end of each calendar quarter. In such a case, we may charge such holders a reasonable fee to cover our expenses incurred in mailing the statements.

Ranking

The L Bonds will constitute secured debt of GWG Holdings. The payment of principal and interest on the L Bonds will be:

pari passu with respect to payment and collateral securing the approximately \$28.2 million in outstanding principal amount of Series I Secured notes previously issued by our subsidiary GWG Life, and the L Bonds previously issued by GWG Holdings, Inc. (originally under the name Renewable Secured Debentures and to be renamed L Bonds upon the effectiveness of the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part) (referred to in this prospectus as the previously issued L Bonds or the Renewable Secured Debentures), of which approximately \$173.2 million in principal amount is outstanding as of September 30, 2014 (see the caption Collateral Security below);

structurally junior to the present and future obligations owed by our subsidiary DLP Funding II under the senior secured revolving credit facility with Autobahn/DZ Bank (including the approximately \$79 million outstanding under such facility as of September 30, 2014), and structurally or contractually junior to any future obligations that DLP Funding II or other primary obligors or guarantors may have under future senior secured borrowing facilities; and

structurally junior to the present and future claims of creditors of our subsidiaries, other than GWG Life, including trade creditors, including trade creditors.

The indenture will permit us to issue other forms of debt, including secured and senior debt, in the future.

Pari passu means that claims for payment and entitlement to security among the holders of L Bonds, the holders of previously issued L Bonds, and the holders of Series I Secured notes previously issued by GWG Life, together with the holders of any later-created class of pari passu debt, will be treated equally and without preference. Although we have no present intention of causing GWG Life to issue additional

Table of Contents

secured debt in the future, any such debt issued on a pari passu basis in the future would also be treated equally and without preference in respect of the L Bonds, the previously issued L Bonds and Series I Secured notes. We may continue our offering of Series I Secured Notes and previously issued L Bonds for renewals only, and any such debt issued on a pari passu basis in the future would also be treated equally and without preference in respect of the L Bonds and any secured debt issued by GWG Life. Thus, in the event of any default on the L Bonds (or any other debt securities of ours that is pari passu with the L Bonds) resulting in claims for payment or claims on collateral security, the holders of the L Bonds and all such other debt securities pari passu with the L Bonds would share in payment or collateral in proportion to the amount of principal and interest owed on each such debt instrument.

Guarantee by GWG Life Subsidiary

The payment of principal and interest on the L Bonds is fully and unconditionally guaranteed by GWG Life. This guarantee, together with (i) the accompanying grant of a security interest in all of the assets of GWG Life, including GWG Life's entire ownership interest in DLP Funding II, (ii) the pledge of ownership interests in GWG Holdings, Inc. by our principal stockholders, and (iii) an intercreditor agreement, as amended, between Bank of Utah (on behalf of the L Bond holders and on behalf of the holders of previously issued L Bonds) and Lord Securities Corporation (the collateral trustee for the Series I Secured notes), make the L Bonds pari passu with the Series I Secured notes and the previously issued L Bonds with respect to payment, security and collateral. For an explanation of the term pari passu, see Ranking above. There were approximately \$28.2 million in principal amount of Series I Secured notes and approximately \$173.2 million in principal amount of

previously issued L Bonds outstanding as of September 30, 2014.

Collateral Security

The L Bonds are secured by the assets of GWG Holdings, Inc. We will grant a security interest in all of the assets of GWG Holdings to the indenture trustee for the benefit of the L Bond holders. The assets of GWG Holdings consist, and are expected to consist, primarily of (i) any cash proceeds received from its subsidiaries as distributions derived from life insurance assets of subsidiaries, (ii) all other cash and investments held in various accounts, (iii) the equity ownership interests in subsidiaries of GWG Holdings, including the equity ownership interest in GWG Life, together with (iv) all proceeds from the foregoing. This collateral security granted by us is referred to as the GWG Holdings Assets Collateral.

As indicated above, our direct and wholly owned subsidiary, GWG Life, will fully and unconditionally guarantee our obligations under the L Bonds. This guarantee will be supported by GWG Life's grant of a security interest in all of its assets. The assets of GWG Life consist, and are expected to consist, primarily of (i) certain life insurance assets, (ii) any cash proceeds received from life insurance assets owned by GWG Life or received from its direct subsidiary DLP Funding II as distributions derived from life insurance policies owned by that subsidiary, (iii) all other cash and investments held by GWG Life in its various accounts, (iv) GWG Life's equity ownership interest in its direct subsidiary DLP Funding II, together with (v) all proceeds from the foregoing. The collateral security granted by GWG Life pursuant to its guarantee of our obligations under the L Bonds is referred to as the GWG Life Assets Collateral.

In addition, Messrs. Jon R. Sabes and Steven F. Sabes, our principal stockholders beneficially holding approximately 74.81% of the outstanding shares of our common stock, have pledged all of the shares they own in GWG Holdings to further secure our obligations under the L Bonds. This collateral security granted by Messrs. Jon R. Sabes and Steven F. Sabes is referred to as the GWG Holdings Equity Collateral.

Together, the GWG Holdings Assets Collateral, GWG Life Assets Collateral and GWG Holdings Equity Collateral comprise all of the collateral security for our obligations under the L Bonds. To the extent that we subsequently establish one or more wholly owned subsidiaries of GWG Holdings or GWG Life, the L Bonds will have a security interest in the equity ownership interests of those subsidiaries if and to the extent owned by GWG Holdings or GWG Life.

The guarantee by GWG Life is contained in the indenture, and the grant of security interests in the GWG Holdings Assets Collateral, GWG Life Assets Collateral and GWG Holdings Equity Collateral is effected

Table of Contents

through a Pledge and Security Agreement that is an exhibit to the indenture and has been amended in connection with this offering of L Bonds. The grant of collateral security comprising the GWG Life Assets Collateral and GWG Holdings Equity Collateral is designed to afford the holders of L Bonds with rights to the same payment and collateral as that granted to holders of our Series I Secured notes and the holders of our previously issued L Bonds on a pari passu basis. To effect this arrangement, the trustee under the indenture, Bank of Utah (to whom the security grant for L Bonds and the previously issued L Bonds are made under the Pledge and Security Agreement, as amended), has entered into an Intercreditor Agreement with Lord Securities Corporation (the trustee for our Series I Secured notes). This intercreditor agreement is an exhibit to the indenture and has been amended in connection with this offering of L Bonds. Neither the indenture nor the Pledge and Security Agreement contain any provision preventing a pledging party from disposing of any collateral in the ordinary course of business. In this regard, the Pledge and Security Agreement permits the disposition of GWG Holdings Equity Collateral to the extent the number of shares continuing to constitute such collateral represents at least 10% of the number of shares held by each individual grantor as of the date of the Pledge and Security Agreement.

A significant amount of our life insurance assets (75.8% of our policies, representing approximately 78.6% of the face value of policy benefits as of September 30, 2014) are held in our subsidiary GWG DLP Funding II, LLC, which we refer to throughout this prospectus as DLP Funding II. The L Bonds will not be directly secured by any security interest in the assets of DLP Funding II. Instead, the L Bonds will be secured by a pledge of the equity ownership interests in DLP Funding II owned by GWG Life by virtue of the guarantee provisions in the indenture and the Pledge and Security Agreement referenced above. An equity ownership interest is, by its very nature, subordinate to the interests of creditors. **Therefore, although investors in the L Bonds will have a security interest in the ownership of DLP Funding II, any claim they may have to the assets owned by such entity will be subordinate to the interests of creditors of that entity, including (i) Autobahn/DZ Bank which is the lender to DLP Funding II under our revolving credit facility, and (ii) all other creditors of DLP Funding II, including trade creditors. In addition, there is the risk that the collateral security granted for our obligations under the L Bonds may be insufficient to**

repay the L Bonds upon an event of default. See **Risk Factors**, page 28 (*The collateral granted as security...*).

Subordination; Other Indebtedness

Our obligations under the L Bonds will be subordinate to all our senior debt. For this purpose, our senior debt presently includes all indebtedness of our subsidiaries with respect to which the L Bonds are not pari passu with respect to payment and collateral (i.e., other than our Series I Secured notes and previously issued L Bonds). In this regard, our subsidiary DLP Funding II has, as of September 30, 2014, approximately \$79 million of debt outstanding under our revolving credit facility. With respect to pari passu indebtedness, as of September 30, 2014 our subsidiary GWG Life has approximately \$28.2 million of debt outstanding under our Series I Secured notes, and we had approximately \$173.2 million of debt outstanding under our previously issued L Bonds.

The maximum amount of debt, including the L Bonds, we may issue is limited by the indenture. In particular, the indenture prohibits us from issuing debt in an amount such that our debt coverage ratio would exceed 90%. The indenture defines the debt coverage ratio as a percentage calculated by the ratio of (A) obligations owing by us and our subsidiaries on all outstanding debt for borrowed money (including the L Bonds), over (B) the net present asset value of all life insurance assets we own, directly or indirectly, plus any cash held in our accounts. For this purpose, the net present asset value of our life insurance assets is equal to the present value of the cash flows derived from the face value of policy benefit assets we own, discounted at a rate equal to the weighted average cost of capital for all our indebtedness for the prior month.

We are required to notify the indenture trustee in the event that we violate this restrictive covenant. An event of default will exist under the indenture if a violation of this covenant persists for a period of 30 calendar days after our initial notice to the trustee.

Table of Contents

The L Bonds are guaranteed by GWG Life but otherwise are not guaranteed by any of our subsidiaries, affiliates or control persons. Neither indenture nor the Pledge and Security Agreement prevent holders of debt issued by our subsidiaries from disposing of, or exercising any other rights with respect to, any or all of the collateral securing that debt. Accordingly, in the event of a liquidation or dissolution of one of our subsidiaries (other than GWG Life), creditors of that subsidiary that are senior in rank will be paid in full, or provision for such payment will be made, from the assets of that subsidiary prior to distributing any remaining assets to us as an equity owner of that subsidiary.

The indenture also contains specific subordination provisions, benefitting lenders under senior credit facilities to our operating subsidiaries, restricting the right of L Bond holders to enforce certain of their rights in certain circumstances, including:

- a prohibition on challenging any enforcement action taken by a senior lender or interfering with any legal action or suits undertaken by the senior lender against us and our affiliates;
- a 180-day standstill period during which there may not be brought any action to enforce an event of default against us or our affiliates unless our revolving credit facility has been repaid in full, which period may be extended if the credit facility provider takes action during such standstill period; and
- a prohibition on filing a bankruptcy or insolvency case against us or our affiliates for at least one year plus one day after the revolving credit facility lender has been paid in full.

We will not make any payment, direct or indirect (whether for interest, principal, as a result of any redemption or repayment at maturity, on default, or otherwise), on the L Bonds and any other indebtedness, and neither the holders of the L Bonds nor the trustee will have the right, directly or indirectly, to sue to enforce the indenture or the L Bonds, if a default or event of default under any senior credit facility has occurred and is continuing, or if any default or event of default under any senior credit facility would result from such payment, in each case unless and until:

- the default and event of default has been cured or waived or has ceased to exist; and
- the end of the period commencing on the date the indenture trustee receives written notice of default from a holder of such credit facility and ending on the earlier of the indenture trustee's receipt of (i) a valid waiver of default from the holder of a credit facility, or (ii) a written notice from the holder of a credit facility terminating the payment blockage period.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, if any of the blockage events described above have occurred and 179 days have passed since the indenture trustee's receipt of the notice of default without the occurrence of the cure, waiver, termination, or extension of all blockage periods described above, the trustee may thereafter sue on and enforce the indenture and our obligations thereunder and under the L Bonds as long as any funds paid as a result of any such suit or enforcement action shall be paid toward the senior credit facility until it is indefeasibly paid in full before being applied to the L Bonds. The indenture contains provisions whereby each investor in the L Bonds consents to the subordination provisions contained in the indenture and related agreements governing collateral security.

If the 180-day standstill period noted above or any other limitation on the rights of the trustee or L Bond holders to assert their rights to payment of principal or interest under the indenture or L Bonds is ultimately determined to conflict with provisions of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939 (most notably sections 316(b) and 317(a) of that Act), then the trustee, as well as any holder who shall not have earlier consented to such subordination provisions, shall (notwithstanding such provision contained in the indenture) be authorized to institute a lawsuit for the enforcement of any payment of principal or interest after their respective due dates.

No Sinking Fund

The L Bonds are not associated with any sinking fund. A sinking fund is generally any account to which contributions will be made, from which payments of principal or interest owed on the L Bonds will be made. See Risk Factors, page 19.

103

Table of Contents

Restrictive Covenants

The indenture contains covenants that restrict us from certain actions as described below. In particular, the indenture provides that:

we will not declare or pay any dividends or other payments of cash or other property solely in respect of our capital stock to our stockholders (other than a dividend paid in shares of our capital stock on a pro rata basis to all our stockholders) unless no default and no event of default with respect to the L Bonds exists or would exist immediately following the declaration or payment of the dividend or other payment;

to the extent legally permissible, we will not at any time insist upon, plead, or in any manner whatsoever claim or take the benefit or the performance of the indenture;

our Board of Directors will not adopt a plan of liquidation that provides for, contemplates or the effectuation of which is preceded by (a) the sale, lease, conveyance or other disposition of all or substantially all of our assets, otherwise than (i) substantially as an entirety, or (ii) in a qualified sales and financing transaction, and (b) the distribution of all or substantially all of the proceeds of such sale, lease, conveyance or other disposition and of our remaining assets to the holders of our capital stock, unless, prior to making any liquidating distribution pursuant to such plan, we make provision for the satisfaction of our obligations under the renewable unsecured subordinated notes; and

our debt coverage ratio may not exceed 90%.

The indenture defines the debt coverage ratio as a percentage calculated by the ratio of (A) obligations owing on all outstanding debt for borrowed money (including the L Bonds), over (B) the net present asset value of all life insurance assets we own, plus any cash held in our accounts. For this purpose, the net present asset value of our life insurance assets is equal to the present value of the face value of policy benefit assets we own, discounted at a rate equal to the weighted-average cost of capital for all our indebtedness for the prior month.

Importantly, we are not restricted from entering into qualified sale and financing transactions as defined in the indenture, or incurring additional indebtedness, including additional senior debt.

Consolidation, Mergers or Sales

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

The indenture generally permits a consolidation or merger between us and another entity. It also permits the sale or transfer by us of all or substantially all of our property and assets. These transactions are permitted if:

the resulting or acquiring entity, if other than us, is a United States corporation, limited liability company or limited partnership and assumes all of our responsibilities and liabilities under the indenture, including the payment of all amounts due on the notes and performance of the covenants in the indenture; and

immediately after the transaction, and after giving effect to the transaction, no event of default shall exist under the indenture.

If we consolidate or merge with or into any other entity or sell or lease all or substantially all of our assets, according to the terms and conditions of the indenture, the resulting or acquiring entity will be substituted for us in the indenture with the same effect as if it had been an original party to the indenture. As a result, the successor entity may exercise our rights and powers under the indenture in our name, and we (as an entity) will be released from all our liabilities and obligations under the indenture and under the L Bonds. Nevertheless, no such transaction will by itself eliminate or modify the collateral that we have provided as security for our obligations under the indenture.

104

Table of Contents

Events of Default and Remedies

The indenture provides that each of the following constitutes an event of default:

the failure to pay interest or principal on any L Bond for a period of 30 days after it becomes due and payable;

a failure to observe or perform any material covenant, condition or agreement in the indenture, but only after notice of failure from the indenture trustee and such failure is not cured within 60 days;

our debt coverage ratio exceeds 90% for a period of 30 consecutive calendar days, but only after notice of such breach from the indenture trustee and such breach is not cured within 60 days;

certain events of bankruptcy, insolvency or reorganization with respect to us; or

the cessation of our business.

In addition, the indenture provides that for so long as any Series I Secured notes or previously issued L Bonds remain outstanding, an event of default under the borrowing agreements relating to the Series I Secured notes or the previously issued L Bonds (as the same may from time to time be amended) will constitute an event of default under the indenture. In this regard, a default under the Series I Secured note or previously issued L Bonds borrowing agreements includes a default under our revolving credit facility. As explained in other parts of this prospectus, our revolving credit facility is currently provided by Autobahn Funding Company, LLC, as lender, and DZ Bank AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank, as agent, pursuant to a Credit and Security Agreement dated July 15, 2008, which was amended and restated effective as of January 29, 2013. DLP Funding II is the borrower under the line of credit, and GWG Holdings is a party to the facility as performance guarantor (primarily for the obligations of GWG Life, as the servicer of policy assets).

The maximum line of credit is \$100 million subject to a borrowing base, which permits us to borrow up to 70% of the amount of eligible policies purchased and held in our portfolio. As of September 30, 2014, approximately \$79 million was outstanding under the line of credit. Proceeds of the line of credit may be used to purchase policies and loans. The credit facility matures in December 31, 2016. Advances under the line of credit bear interest based either at the commercial paper rates available to the lender at the time of funding or at the lender's cost of borrowing plus an applicable margin.

The line of credit is secured by a pledge of substantially all of each borrower's assets and requires GWG Holdings to provide certain indemnities to the lender. In addition, the borrowers are required to maintain a reserve account for the benefit of the lenders. If at any time the ratio of outstanding borrowings under the line of credit, together with accrued and unpaid interest and fees, exceeds 50% of the borrower's net eligible

receivables balance (as defined in the loan agreement), collections from the maturity of life insurance policies are required to be deposited in the reserve account.

The line of credit is subject to customary affirmative and negative covenants. In addition, we must maintain certain financial covenants, including a positive consolidated net income measured annually and, at all times, a tangible net worth in excess of \$15,000,000 (calculated on a prescribed non-GAAP basis). In addition, the line of credit requires us to maintain a reserve equal to 12 months of certain projected expenditures, including anticipated premium payments required to service our life insurance portfolio.

Finally, the line of credit is subject to certain customary events of default (e.g., payment defaults, covenant defaults, cross-defaults, material adverse change, changes in control and changes in management) and certain events of default specifically relating to our business, including but not limited to (i) portfolio defaults in excess of 10% on an annualized basis, (ii) failure to obtain an unqualified opinion on our annual consolidated financial statements, (iii) failure to maintain certain hedge transactions or replace hedge counterparties under any certain hedging transactions required under the credit agreement, (iv) any governmental authority directs that the purchase and/or servicing of loans be terminated or any law, rule or regulation makes it unlawful to originate, purchase and/or service loans, (v) the performance guaranty of GWG Holdings shall cease to be in full force and effect (vi) a deficiency in the borrowing base, as calculated

105

Table of Contents

under the credit agreement, or (vii) any default in the payment when due of other indebtedness in excess of \$100,000.

The indenture requires that we give immediate notice to the indenture trustee upon the occurrence of an event of default, unless it has been cured or waived. The indenture trustee may then provide notice to the L Bond holders or withhold the notice if the indenture trustee determines in good faith that withholding the notice is in your best interest, unless the default is a failure to pay principal or interest on any L Bond.

If an event of default occurs, the indenture trustee or the holders of at least 25% in principal amount of the outstanding L Bonds, may by written notice to us declare the unpaid principal and all accrued but unpaid interest on the L Bonds to be immediately due and payable. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the indenture limits the ability of the L Bond holders to enforce certain rights under the indenture in certain circumstances. These limitations are required subordination provisions under our revolving credit facility and are summarized above under Subordination; Other Indebtedness. The Pledge and Security Agreement permits the trustee to exercise on behalf of the holders of L Bonds all rights and remedies as are available to a secured creditor under applicable law, subject to any limitations in the indenture, that agreement or the intercreditor agreement. In this regard, the trustee is not authorized under the Pledge and Security Agreement to distribute in kind any collateral in its possession to the holders of L Bonds.

Amendment, Supplement and Waiver

Except as provided in this prospectus or the indenture, the terms of the indenture or the L Bonds then outstanding may be amended, supplemented or waived with the consent of the holders of at least a majority in principal amount of the L Bonds then outstanding (which consent will be presumed if a holder does not object within 30 days of a request for consent), and any existing default or compliance with any provision of the indenture or the L Bonds may be waived with the affirmative consent of the holders of a majority in principal amount of the then outstanding L Bonds.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, an amendment or waiver will not be effective with respect to the L Bonds held by a holder who him, her or itself has not consented if such amendment or waiver:

reduces the principal of, or changes the fixed maturity of, any L Bond;

reduces the rate of or changes the time for payment of interest, including default interest, on any L Bond;

waives a default or event of default in the payment of principal or interest on the L Bonds, except for a rescission or withdrawal of acceleration of the L Bonds made by the holders of at least a majority in aggregate principal amount of the then-outstanding L Bonds and a waiver of the payment default that resulted from such acceleration;

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

makes any change in the provisions of the indenture relating to waivers of past defaults or the rights of holders of L Bonds to receive payments of principal of or interest on the L Bonds; or

makes any change to the subordination provisions of the indenture that has a material adverse effect on holders of L Bonds.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the following kinds of amendments or supplements to the indenture may be effected by us and the trustee without any consent of any holder of the L Bonds:

to cure any ambiguity, defect or inconsistency;

to provide for assumption of our obligations to holders of the L Bonds in the case of a merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets;

to provide for additional uncertificated or certificated L Bonds;

to make any change that does not materially and adversely affect the legal rights under the indenture of any holder of L Bonds, including but not limited to an increase in the aggregate dollar amount of L Bonds which may be outstanding under the indenture and limited in amount thereunder;

106

Table of Contents

to modify or eliminate our policy regarding redemptions elected by a holder of L Bonds prior to maturity, including our obligation to redeem L Bonds upon the death, bankruptcy or total permanent disability of any holder of the L Bonds, but only so long as such modifications do not materially and adversely affect any then-existing obligations under pending repurchase commitments for L Bonds;

to comply with requirements of the SEC in order to effect or maintain the qualification of the indenture under the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, or to comply with other applicable federal or state laws or regulations;

to comply with the rules or policies of a depository of the L Bonds; or

in connection with an amendment, extension, replacement, renewal or substitution of any senior debt, to amend the subordination provisions of the indenture to conform to the reasonable requirements of the holder or holders of such senior debt.

Rights of L Bond Holders

As an L Bond holder, you have limited rights to vote on our actions as they are limited by the indenture. In general, you will have the right to vote on whether or not to approve some amendments to the indenture. For a description of these rights, see Amendment, Supplement and Waiver above. You will also have the right to direct some actions that the trustee takes if there is an event of default with respect to the L Bonds. For a description of these rights, see above under the caption Events of Default. For a complete description of your rights as an L Bond holder, we encourage you to read a copy of the indenture, which is filed as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part. We will also provide you with a copy of the indenture upon your request.

The trustee and the L Bond holders will have the right to direct the time, method and place of conducting any proceeding for some of the remedies available, except as otherwise provided in the indenture. The trustee may require reasonable indemnity, satisfactory to the trustee, from L Bond holders before acting at their direction. You will not have any right to pursue any remedy with respect to the indenture or the L Bonds unless you satisfy the conditions contained in the indenture.

The Indenture Trustee

General

Bank of Utah has agreed to be the trustee under the indenture. The indenture contains certain limitations on the rights of the trustee, should it become one of our creditors, to obtain payment of claims in certain cases, or to realize on certain property received in respect of any claim as security or otherwise. The trustee will be permitted to engage in other transactions with us.

Subject to certain exceptions, the holders of a majority in principal amount of the then-outstanding L Bonds will have the right to direct the time, method and place of conducting any proceeding for exercising any remedy available to the trustee. The indenture provides that if an event of default specified in the indenture shall occur and not be cured, the trustee will be required, in the exercise of its power, to use the degree of care of a reasonable person in the conduct of his own affairs. Subject to such provisions, the trustee will be under no obligation to exercise any of its rights or powers under the indenture at the request of any holder of L Bonds, unless the holder shall have offered to the trustee security and indemnity satisfactory to it against any loss, liability or expense.

Resignation or Removal of the Trustee

The trustee may resign at any time, or may be removed by the holders of a majority of the aggregate principal amount of the outstanding L Bonds. In addition, we may remove the trustee for certain failures in its duties, including the insolvency of the trustee or the trustee's ineligibility to serve as trustee under the Trust Indenture Act of 1939. However, no resignation or removal of the trustee may become effective until a successor trustee has accepted the appointment as provided in the indenture.

107

Table of Contents

Reports to Trustee

We will provide the trustee with (i) a calculation date report by the 15th day of each month containing a calculation of the debt coverage ratio that includes a summary of all cash, life insurance policy investments serving as collateral, as well as our total outstanding indebtedness including outstanding principal balances, interest credited and paid, transfers made, any redemption or repayment and interest rate paid; (ii) copies of our audited annual financials, no earlier than when the same become a matter of public record; and (iii) any additional information reasonably requested by the trustee.

Certain Charges

We and our servicing agents, if any, may assess service charges for changing the registration of any L Bond to reflect a change in name of the holder, multiple changes in interest payment dates or transfers (whether by operation of law or otherwise) of an L Bond by the holder to another person. The indenture permits us to set off, against amounts otherwise payable to you under the L Bonds, the amount of these charges.

Variations in Terms and Conditions

We may from time to time vary the terms and conditions of the L Bonds offered, including but not limited to minimum initial principal investment amount requirements, maximum aggregate principal amount limits, interest rates, minimum denominations, service and other fees and charges, and redemption provisions. Terms and conditions may be varied by state, locality, principal amount, type of investor (for example, new or current investor) or as otherwise permitted under the indenture governing the securities offered by this prospectus. No change in terms, however, will apply to any L Bonds already issued and outstanding at the time of such change.

Satisfaction and Discharge of Indenture

The indenture shall cease to be of further effect upon the payment in full of all of the outstanding L Bonds and the delivery of an officer's certificate to the trustee stating that we do not intend to issue additional L Bonds under the indenture or, with certain limitations, upon deposit with the trustee of funds sufficient for the payment in full of all of the outstanding L Bonds.

Reports

We will publish annual reports containing financial statements and quarterly reports containing financial information for the first three quarters of each fiscal year. We will send copies of these reports, at no charge, to any holder of L Bonds who sends us a written request.

Table of Contents**PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION****General**

We are offering up to \$1,000,000,000 in principal amount of L Bonds on a continuous basis. The L Bonds will be sold at their face value and in amounts of \$25,000 or more in principal. There is no minimum amount of L Bonds that must be sold before we access and use the proceeds. The proceeds of new sales of L Bonds will be paid directly to us promptly following each sale and will not be placed in an escrow account. Even if we sell less than the entire \$1,000,000,000 in L Bonds being offered, the L Bonds that we sell will be issued, and the proceeds of those L Bond sales will be invested, as described in this prospectus.

The L Bonds will be offered and sold on a best efforts basis by Emerson Equity LLC (our dealer manager) and any participating broker-dealers it engages for this purpose (together the selling group). Emerson Equity LLC will be the placement agent for our L Bonds. The L Bonds will be offered to the public on the terms set forth in this prospectus and any prospectus supplements we may file from time to time. Both we and the selling group plan to market the L Bonds directly to the public primarily through presentations, the Internet, and personal contacts made by us and through the selling group. We may also sell L Bonds to registered investment advisors. Neither our dealer manager nor any other broker-dealer participating in our selling group will have any obligation to take or purchase any L Bonds. Our dealer manager and each broker-dealer member of our selling group is expected to assist in the offering by: (1) conducting informational meetings for subscribers and other qualified potential purchasers; (2) keeping records of all subscriptions; and (3) training and educating employees regarding the mechanics and regulatory requirements of the offering process.

Members of the selling group will receive sales commissions of up to 6.00% of the gross offering proceeds depending upon the maturity of the L Bond sold, of which up to 1.00% may be retained by the selling broker-dealer firm. In addition, members of our selling group may receive up to 3.00% of the gross offering proceeds as additional compensation consisting of (i) an accountable expense allowance, (ii) a dealer-manager fee (payable only to Emerson Equity LLC) for managing and coordinating the offering, and (iii) a wholesaling fee (payable only to wholesaling dealers). We have also agreed to reimburse Emerson Equity for certain pre-offering expenses that we expect will aggregate to no more than \$30,000. In the event that the offering is terminated or abandoned such that the pre-offering monthly retainer paid to the dealer manager exceeds the amount of permitted accountable expenses, the dealer manager will reimburse us for such excess amount. We will not pay referral or similar fees to any accountants, attorneys or other persons in connection with the distribution of the L Bonds. Nevertheless, the aggregate selling commissions and additional compensation we pay to our placement agent and other FINRA members in the course of this offering will not exceed 8.00% of the aggregate gross offering proceeds we receive from the sale of L Bonds.

Our dealer manager will enter into participating dealer agreements with certain other broker-dealers that are members of FINRA, referred to as selling group members, to authorize such broker-dealers to sell our L Bonds. Upon the sale of L Bonds by such broker-dealers, the broker-dealer effecting the sale will receive selling commissions and additional compensation in connection therewith.

As part of the accountable expense allowance, the dealer manager and members of the selling group are expected to be reimbursed for accountable out-of-pocket expenses incurred by them during the course of the offering. Expenses eligible for reimbursement may include travel, lodging, meals and other reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred by participating broker-dealers and their personnel, and reimbursement of actual costs of third-party professionals retained to by them. In no event will the total selling commissions, additional compensation and accountable due diligence expenses (including reimbursements), together with non-transaction-based and non-cash selling compensation, if any, exceed 8.00% of the aggregate gross offering proceeds we receive from the sale of L Bonds.

Our L Bonds will also be distributed through registered investment advisors who are generally compensated on a fee-for-service basis by the investor. In the event of the sale of L Bonds in our primary offering through an investment advisor compensated on a fee-for-service basis by the investor, our selling group member will waive its right to a commission.

Table of Contents

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

In addition to the sales commissions, fees, allowances, reimbursements and expenses described above, we expect to pay approximately \$1,500,500 in offering and related costs and expenses in connection with this offering. These kinds of expenses include all expenses to be paid by us in connection with the offering (other than sales commissions, additional compensation, and expense allowances and reimbursement to our selling group members), including but not limited to legal, accounting, printing and mailing expenses, registration, qualification and associated securities filing fees and other costs and expenses.

The table below sets forth the maximum amount of sales commissions and additional compensation (consisting of accountable expense allowances, a dealer-manager fee (payable only to Emerson Equity LLC), and a wholesaling fee (payable only to wholesaling dealers), and more fully described in fn. 2 to the table below) we may pay in connection with this offering.

| L Bond Term | Sales Commission (1) | Additional Compensation (2) | Total |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|--|--------------|
| 6 months | 0.75% | 3.00% | 3.75% |
| 1 year | 1.50% | 3.00% | 4.50% |
| 2 years | 4.00% | 3.00% | 7.00% |
| 3 years | 5.00% | 3.00% | 8.00% |
| 5 years | 5.90% | 2.10% | 8.00% |
| 7 years | 6.00% | 2.00% | 8.00% |

- (1) Up to 1.00% of the sales commission may be retained by the selling broker-dealer firm with which any registered representative is associated.
- (2) As described above, additional compensation includes: (i) a dealer-manager fee payable to Emerson Equity in an amount equal to 0.50% of the principal amount of all L Bonds sold; and (ii) an accountable expense allowance, wholesaling fee, any non-transaction-based selling compensation and non-cash selling compensation that when aggregated will not exceed the lesser of 2.50% of the aggregate gross offering proceeds of all L Bonds sold or such lower amount that, when added to sales commissions and the dealer-manager fee, will not exceed 8.00% of the aggregate gross offering proceeds of all L Bonds sold. If all L Bonds sold have seven-year maturities resulting in sales commissions of 6.00%, then the maximum amounts of aggregates accountable expenses, wholesaling fees and non-transaction-based and non-cash selling compensation will not exceed 1.5%.

The line items reflected in the table below are our current estimates of average sales commissions and additional compensation (including accountable expenses) that we will pay. Specifically, we estimate that the average sales commission will be 5.00%, or \$50,000,000 based on \$1,000,000,000 in principal amount of L Bonds sold, and the average additional compensation will be 3.00%, or \$30,000,000 based on \$1,000,000,000 in principal amount of L Bonds sold. The components of additional compensation are detailed in fn. 1 to the table below. Actual costs may differ from the percentages and amounts shown in the table below, subject, however, to the limitations noted above.

| L Bonds Sold | Sales Commission | Additional Compensation (1) | Total |
|---------------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|
| \$300,000,000 | \$ 15,000,000 | \$ 9,000,000 | 8.00% |
| 500,000,000 | 25,000,000 | 15,000,000 | 8.00% |
| 1,000,000,000 | 50,000,000 | 30,000,000 | 8.00% |

- (1) Additional compensation consists of all selling compensation (other than sales commissions) paid in the form of an accountable expense allowance, a dealer-manager fee, wholesale commissions, plus any non-transaction-based and non-cash selling compensation. Additional compensation consists of all selling compensation paid to our placement agent (and other FINRA members) other than selling commissions. Such additional compensation will be paid in the form of a dealer-manager fee, wholesaling fee, if applicable, an accountable expense allowance, plus any non-transaction-based and non-cash selling compensation. For purposes of the table above, we have assumed our payment of the maximum amount of such additional compensation.

Table of Contents

Our dealer manager holds the FINRA licenses for wholesalers employed by us, who attend local, regional and national conferences of the participating broker-dealers and who contact participating broker-dealers and their registered representatives to make presentations concerning us and this offering and to encourage them to sell our L Bonds. The wholesalers will receive a portion of their base salaries as compensation for their selling efforts. We host training and education meetings for broker-dealers and their representatives. The costs of the training and education meetings will be borne by us.

In accordance with FINRA rules, in no event will our total compensation to FINRA members, including but not limited to sales commissions, the dealer-manager fee and accountable and non-accountable expense and other reimbursements to our dealer manager and selling group broker-dealers (including non-transaction-based and non-cash selling compensation), exceed 8.00% of our gross offering proceeds, in the aggregate.

We will indemnify the participating broker-dealers and our dealer manager against some civil liabilities, including certain liabilities under the Securities Act of 1933 and liabilities arising from breaches of our representations and warranties contained in the Managing Broker-Dealer Agreement. If we are unable to provide this indemnification, we may contribute to payments the indemnified parties may be required to make in respect of those liabilities.

The foregoing is a summary of the material terms relating to the plan of distribution of the L Bonds contained in the Managing Broker-Dealer Agreement. Any amendment to the Managing Broker-Dealer Agreement will be filed as an exhibit to an amendment to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part.

Settlement Procedures

If you purchase L Bonds through a broker-dealer who is a DTC participant and offers DTC settlement, then you can place an order for the purchase of L Bonds through your broker-dealer. A broker-dealer using this service will have an account with DTC in which your funds will be placed to facilitate the anticipated monthly closing cycle. Orders will be executed by your broker-dealer electronically and you must coordinate with your broker-dealer's registered representative to pay the full purchase price of the L Bonds by the final settlement date. Orders may be placed at any time, and the final settlement date will be the date on which your subscription agreement is accepted. You will be credited with ownership of an L Bond on the first business day following the month in which the subscription is made. However, interest will begin to accrue from the final settlement date. If you purchase your L Bonds in this manner, your purchase price will not be held in escrow.

You also have the option to elect to settle your purchase directly with us, the Company. If you elect to use direct settlement with us, you should complete and sign a Subscription Agreement similar to the one filed as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part. A form of Subscription Agreement is available from your broker-dealer's registered representative. Once completed and signed, your Subscription Agreement should be provided to your broker-dealer who will deliver it to us to be held, together with your related subscription funds, until our acceptance of your subscription. In connection with a direct settlement subscription, you should pay the full purchase price of the L Bonds to us as set forth in the Subscription Agreement. Subscribers may not withdraw funds from the subscription account. Subscriptions will be effective upon our acceptance of your Subscription Agreement and related funds, and we reserve the right to reject any subscription in whole or in part.

Covered Security

Our L Bonds are a covered security. The term covered security applies to securities exempt from state registration because of their oversight by federal authorities and national-level regulatory bodies pursuant to Section 18 of the Securities Act of 1933. Generally, securities listed on national exchanges are the most common type of covered security exempt from state registration. A non-traded security also can be a covered security if it has a seniority greater than or equal to other securities from the same issuer that are listed on a national exchange. Our L Bonds are a covered security because they are senior to our common stock, which was listed on The Nasdaq Capital Market on September 25, 2014, and therefore our offering of L Bonds will be exempt from state registration.

Table of Contents

Although the status of our L Bonds as a covered security will facilitate their purchase and sale to a broader range of investors than would otherwise be available to us, and although the offer and sale of a covered security generally involves fewer issuance costs to the issuer of such securities, our L Bonds are not a suitable purchase for all investors. In this regard, please carefully review the Risk Factors contained in this prospectus, as well as the disclosures on page 3 under the heading Covered Security.

112

Table of Contents

MATERIAL FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS

The following is a general discussion of the material United States (U.S.) federal income tax considerations relating to the initial purchase, ownership and disposition of the L Bonds by U.S. and non-U.S. holders. This discussion is a summary only and is not a complete analysis of all the potential tax considerations relating to the purchase, ownership and disposition of the L Bonds. We have based this summary on current provisions of the Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), applicable U.S. Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder, judicial opinions, and published rulings of the Internal Revenue Service (the IRS), all as in effect on the date of this prospectus. However, these laws and other guidance are subject to differing interpretations or change, possibly with retroactive effect. In addition, we have not sought, and will not seek, a ruling from the IRS or an opinion of counsel with respect to any tax consequences of purchasing, owning or disposing of L Bonds. Thus, the IRS could take a different position regarding one or more of the tax consequences or matters described in this prospectus; and there can be no assurance that any position taken by the IRS would not be sustained.

This discussion is limited to purchasers of L Bonds who acquire the L Bonds from us in this offering and hold the L Bonds as capital assets for federal income tax purposes. This discussion does not address all possible tax consequences that may be applicable to you in light of your specific circumstances. For instance, this discussion does not address the alternative minimum tax provisions of the Code, or special rules applicable to some categories of investors such as financial institutions, insurance companies, tax-exempt organizations, dealers in securities, real estate investment trusts, regulated investment companies, or persons who hold L Bonds as part of a hedge, conversion or constructive sale transaction, straddle or other risk reduction transaction that may be subject to special rules. This discussion also does not address the tax consequences arising under the laws of any foreign, state or local jurisdiction; or any U.S. estate or gift tax laws.

If you are considering the purchase of an L Bond, you should consult your own tax advisors as to the particular tax consequences to you of acquiring, holding or otherwise disposing of the L Bonds, including the effect and applicability of state, local or foreign tax laws, or any U.S. estate and gift tax laws.

As used in this discussion, the term U.S. holder means a holder of an L Bond that is:

- (i) for United States federal income tax purposes, a citizen or resident of the United States;
- (ii) a corporation, partnership or other entity created or organized in or under the laws of the United States or of any political subdivision thereof or other entity characterized as a corporation or partnership for federal income tax purposes;
- (iii) an estate, the income of which is subject to United States federal income taxation regardless of its source; or
- (iv) a trust, the administration of which is subject to the primary supervision of a court within the United States and which has one or more United States persons with authority to control all substantial decisions, or if the trust was in existence on August 20, 1996, and has elected to continue to be treated as a United States trust.

For the purposes of this discussion, a non-U.S. holder means any holder of L Bonds other than a U.S. holder. Any L Bond purchaser who is not a U.S. citizen will be required to furnish documentation, on IRS Form W-8BEN, that clearly states whether it is subject to U.S. withholding taxes, in accordance with applicable requirements of the United States taxing authority.

Characterization of the L Bonds

The federal income tax consequences of owning L Bonds depend on characterization of the L Bonds as debt for federal income tax purposes, rather than as equity interests or a partnership among the holders of the L Bonds. We believe that the L Bonds have been structured in a manner that will allow the L Bonds to be characterized as debt for federal income tax purposes. However, this is only our belief; and no ruling from the

Table of Contents

IRS or an opinion of counsel has been sought in this regard. Thus, the IRS could successfully challenge this characterization.

If the L Bonds were treated as equity interests, there could be adverse effects on some holders. For example, payments on the L Bonds could (1) if paid to non-U.S. holders, be subject to federal income tax withholding; (2) constitute unrelated business taxable income to some tax-exempt entities, including pension funds and some retirement accounts (if the relationship were characterized as a partnership for tax purposes); and (3) cause the timing and amount of income that accrues to holders of L Bonds to be different from that described below.

Because of these potential adverse effects, you are urged to consult your own tax advisors as to the tax consequences that may apply to your particular situation in the event the L Bonds are re-characterized as equity interests; and as to the likelihood that the L Bonds could be so re-characterized. The remainder of this discussion assumes that the L Bonds are characterized as debt.

Taxation of U.S. Holders

Stated Interest

Under general federal income tax principles, you must include stated interest in income in accordance with the method of accounting you use for federal income tax purposes. Accordingly, if you are using the accrual method of tax accounting, you must include stated interest in income as it accrues. If you are using the cash method of tax accounting, you must include stated interest in income as it is actually or constructively received. Payments of interest to taxable holders of L Bonds will constitute portfolio income, and not passive activity income, for the purposes of the passive loss limitations of the Code. Accordingly, income arising from payments on the L Bonds will not be subject to reduction by losses from passive activities of a holder.

Income attributable to interest payments on the L Bonds may be offset by investment expense deductions, subject to the limitation that individual investors may only deduct miscellaneous itemized deductions, including investment expenses other than interest, to the extent these deductions exceed 2% of the investor's adjusted gross income.

If a partnership (or other entity or arrangement treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes) holds L Bonds, the tax treatment of a partner generally will depend upon the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. If you are a partner in a partnership purchasing L Bonds, we urge you to consult your tax advisor.

Disposition of L Bonds

In general, a U.S. holder will recognize gain or loss upon the sale, exchange or other taxable disposition of an L Bond measured by the difference between (1) the sum of the cash and the fair market value of all other property received on such disposition, excluding any portion of the payment that is attributable to accrued interest on the L Bonds; and (2) your adjusted tax basis in the L Bond. A U.S. holder's adjusted tax basis in an L Bond generally will be equal to the price the U.S. holder paid for the L Bond. Any of this gain or loss generally will be long-term capital gain or loss if, at the time of any such taxable disposition, the L Bond was a capital asset in the hands of the holder and was held for more than one year. Net long-term capital gain recognized by individual U.S. holders is eligible for a reduced rate of taxation. The deductibility of capital losses is subject to annual limitations.

The terms of the L Bonds may be modified upon the consent of a specified percentage of holders and, in some cases, without consent of the holders. In addition, the L Bonds may be assumed upon the occurrence of specific transactions. The modification or assumption of an L Bond could, in some instances, give rise to a deemed exchange of an L Bond for a new debt instrument for federal income tax purposes. If an exchange is deemed to occur by reason of a modification or assumption, you could realize gain or loss without receiving any cash.

Table of Contents

Additional Tax on Net Investment Income

If you are a U.S. holder other than a corporation, you generally will be subject to a 3.8% additional tax on the lesser of (1) your net investment income for the taxable year, and (2) the excess of your modified adjusted gross income for the taxable year over a certain threshold. Your net investment income generally will include any income or gain recognized by you with respect to our L Bonds, unless such income or gain is derived in the ordinary course of the conduct of your trade or business (other than a trade or business that consists of certain passive or trading activities).

Considerations for Tax-Exempt Holders of L Bonds

Tax-exempt entities, including charitable corporations, pension plans, profit sharing or stock bonus plans, individual retirement accounts and some other employee benefit plans are subject to federal income tax on unrelated business taxable income. For example, net income derived from the conduct of a trade or business regularly carried on by a tax-exempt entity or by a partnership in which it is a partner is treated as unrelated business taxable income.

A \$1,000 special deduction is allowed in determining the amount of unrelated business taxable income subject to tax. Tax-exempt entities taxed on their unrelated business taxable income are also subject to the alternative minimum tax for items of tax preference which enter into the computation of unrelated business taxable income.

In general, interest income does not constitute unrelated business taxable income. However, under the debt-financed property rules, if tax-exempt holders of L Bonds finance the acquisition or holding of L Bonds with debt, interest on the L Bonds will be taxable as unrelated business taxable income. The L Bonds will be treated as debt-financed property if the debt was incurred to acquire the L Bonds or was incurred after the acquisition of the L Bonds, so long as the debt would not have been incurred but for the acquisition and, at the time of the acquisition, the incurrence of the debt has already occurred or was foreseeable.

Non-U.S. Holders

The following discussion is a summary of the principal U.S. federal income consequences resulting from the ownership of the L Bonds by non-U.S. holders. However, application of the U.S. federal income tax rules associated with non-U.S. holders is complex and factually sensitive. Thus, if you could be considered to be a non-U.S. holder, you are urged to consult your own tax advisors with respect to the application of the federal income tax rules for your particular situation.

Payments of Interest to Non-U.S. Holders

Subject to the discussion below under Backup Withholding and Information Reporting, payments of interest received by a non-U.S. holder generally will not be subject to U.S. federal withholding tax, provided (1) that (a) the non-U.S. holder does not own, actually or constructively, 10% or more of the total combined voting power of all classes of our stock entitled to vote; (b) the non-U.S. holder is not a controlled foreign corporation, actually or constructively, through stock ownership; and (c) the beneficial owner of the L Bond complies with the certification requirements, including delivery of a statement, signed by the holder under penalties of perjury, certifying that the holder is a foreign person and provides its name and address; or (2) that the non-U.S. holder is entitled to the benefits of an income tax treaty under which the interest is exempt from U.S. withholding tax and the non-U.S. holder complies with the reporting requirements. If an L Bond is held through a securities clearing organization or other specified financial institutions (an Intermediary), the Intermediary may provide the relevant signed statement and, unless the Intermediary is a qualified intermediary as defined under the Code, the signed statement provided by the Intermediary must be accompanied by a copy of a valid Form W-8BEN provided by the non-U.S. beneficial holder of the L Bond.

Payments of interest not exempt from United States federal withholding tax as described above will be subject to a withholding tax at the rate of 30%, subject to reduction under an applicable income tax treaty.

Table of Contents

Payments of interest on an L Bond to a non-U.S. holder generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax, as opposed to withholding tax, unless the income is effectively connected with the conduct by the non-U.S. holder of a trade or business in the United States. To claim the benefit of a lower treaty withholding rate, a non-U.S. holder must provide a properly executed IRS Form W-8BEN to us or our paying agent before the payment of stated interest; and may be required to obtain a U.S. taxpayer identification number and provide documentary evidence issued by foreign governmental authorities to prove residence in the foreign country. You should consult your own tax advisor to determine the effects of the application of the U.S. federal withholding tax to your particular situation.

Disposition of the L Bonds by Non-U.S. Holders

Subject to the discussion below under Backup Withholding and Information Reporting, a non-U.S. holder generally will not be subject to United States federal income tax, and generally no tax will be withheld with respect to gains realized on the disposition of an L Bond, unless (a) the gain is effectively connected with a United States trade or business conducted by the non-U.S. holder or (b) the non-U.S. holder is an individual who is present in the United States for 183 or more days during the taxable year of the disposition and other requirements are satisfied.

Non-U.S. Holders Subject to U.S. Income Taxation

If interest and other payments received by a non-U.S. holder with respect to the L Bonds, including proceeds from the disposition of the L Bonds, are effectively connected with the conduct by the non-U.S. holder of a trade or business within the United States, or the non-U.S. holder is otherwise subject to United States federal income taxation on a net basis with respect to the holder's ownership of the L Bonds, or are individuals that have by operation of law become residents in the United States for federal income tax purposes, the non-U.S. holder generally will be subject to the rules described above applicable to U.S. holders of L Bonds, subject to any modification provided under an applicable income tax treaty. If any of these non-U.S. holders is a corporation, it may also be subject to a U.S. branch profits tax at a 30% rate.

Backup Withholding and Information Reporting

Non-corporate U.S. holders may be subject to backup withholding at a rate of 28% on payments of principal, premium, and interest on, and the proceeds of the disposition of, the L Bonds. In general, backup withholding will be imposed only if the U.S. holder (1) fails to furnish its taxpayer identification number (TIN), which for an individual would be his or her Social Security number; (2) furnishes an incorrect TIN; (3) is notified by the IRS that it has failed to report payments of interest or dividends; or (4) under some circumstances, fails to certify under penalty of perjury that it has furnished a correct TIN and has been notified by the IRS that it is subject to backup withholding tax for failure to report interest or dividend payments. In addition, the payments of principal and interest to U.S. holders generally will be subject to information reporting. You should consult your tax advisors regarding your qualification for exemption from backup withholding and the procedure for obtaining an exemption, if applicable.

Backup withholding generally will not apply to payments made to a non-U.S. holder of an L Bond who provides the certification that it is a non-U.S. holder, and the payor does not have actual knowledge that a certificate is false, or otherwise establishes an exemption from backup withholding. Payments by United States office of a broker of the proceeds of a disposition of the L Bonds generally will be subject to backup withholding at a rate of 28% unless the non-U.S. holder certifies it is a non-U.S. holder under penalties of perjury or otherwise establishes an exemption. In addition, if a foreign office of a foreign custodian, foreign nominee or other foreign agent of the beneficial owner, or if a foreign office of a foreign broker pays the proceeds of the sale of an L Bond to the seller, backup withholding and information reporting will not apply; provided that the nominee, custodian, agent or broker is not a United States related person, or a person which derives more than 50% of its gross income for some periods from the conduct of a trade or business in the United States or is a controlled foreign corporation. The payment by a foreign office of a broker that is a United States person or a United States related person of the proceeds of the sale of L Bonds will not be subject to backup withholding, but will be subject to information reporting unless the broker has documentary

Table of Contents

evidence in its records that the beneficial owner is not a United States person for purposes of the backup withholding and information reporting requirements and other conditions are met, or the beneficial owner otherwise establishes an exemption.

The amount of any backup withholding imposed on a payment to a holder of an L Bond will be allowed as a credit against the holder's United States federal income tax liability and may entitle the holder to a refund; provided that the required information is furnished to the IRS.

Table of Contents**STATE, LOCAL AND FOREIGN TAXES**

We make no representations regarding the tax consequences of the purchase, ownership or disposition of the L Bonds under the tax laws of any state, locality or foreign country. You should consult your own tax advisors regarding these state and foreign tax consequences.

ERISA CONSIDERATIONS**General**

Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (ERISA), and Section 4975 of the Code impose restrictions on employee benefit plans that are subject to ERISA, or plans or arrangements that are subject to Code Section 4975, and on persons who are parties in interest or disqualified persons with respect to those plans or arrangements. Some employee benefit plans, like governmental plans and church plans (if no election has been made under Section 410(d) of the Code), are not subject to the restrictions of Title I of ERISA or Code Section 4975, and assets of these plans may be invested in the L Bonds without regard to the ERISA considerations described below, subject to the Code and other applicable federal and state laws affecting tax-exempt organizations generally. Any plan fiduciary that proposes to cause a plan to acquire any of the L Bonds should consult with its counsel with respect to the potential consequences under ERISA and the Code of the plan's acquisition and ownership of the L Bonds. Investments by plans are also subject to ERISA's and the Code's general fiduciary requirements, including the requirement of investment prudence and diversification and the requirement that a plan's investments be made in accordance with the documents governing the plan.

Prohibited Transactions***General***

Section 406 of ERISA and Section 4975 of the Code prohibits certain parties in interest and disqualified persons with respect to a plan from engaging in select transactions involving a plan and its assets unless a statutory, regulatory or administrative exemption applies to the transaction. Section 4975 of the Code imposes excise taxes on parties in interest that engage in non-exempt prohibited transactions. Section 502(i) of ERISA requires the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Labor (Labor) to assess a civil penalty against a fiduciary who breaches any fiduciary responsibility under, or commits any other violation of, part 4 of Title I of ERISA, or any other person who knowingly participates in a breach or violation.

Plan Asset Regulations

Labor has issued regulations concerning the definition of what constitutes the assets of a plan for purposes of ERISA and the prohibited transaction provisions of the Code. The plan asset regulations describe the circumstances where the assets of an entity in which a plan invests will be considered to be plan assets, so that any person who exercises control over the assets would be subject to ERISA's fiduciary standards. Generally, under the plan asset regulation, when a plan invests in another entity, the plan's assets do not include, solely by reason of the investment, any of the underlying assets of the entity. However, the plan asset regulation provides that, if a plan acquires an equity interest in an entity that is neither a publicly-offered security nor a security issued by an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 the assets of the entity will be treated as assets of the plan investor unless exceptions apply.

Under the plan asset regulations the term equity interest is defined as any interest in an entity other than an instrument that is treated as indebtedness under applicable local law and that has no substantial equity features. Although the plan asset regulation is silent with respect to the question of which law constitutes applicable local law for this purpose, Labor has stated that these determinations should be made under the state law governing interpretation of the instrument in question. In the preamble to the plan asset regulation, Labor declined to provide a precise definition of what features are equity features or the circumstances under which the features would be considered substantial, noting that the question of whether

Table of Contents

a plan's interest has substantial equity features is an inherently factual one, but that in making that determination it would be appropriate to take into account whether the equity features are such that a plan's investment would be a practical vehicle for the indirect provision of investment management services. We believe that the L Bonds will be classified as indebtedness without substantial equity features for ERISA purposes.

Under the plan asset regulations the term "publicly-offered security" is defined as a security that is (i) freely transferable, (ii) part of a class of securities that is widely held, and (iii) either (A) part of a class of securities registered under section 12(b) or 12(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or (B) sold to the plan as part of an offering of securities to the public pursuant to an effective registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933 and the class of securities of which such security is a part is registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year of the issuer during which the offering of such securities to the public occurred. For purposes of the above, a class of securities is considered to be "widely held" if it is owned by 100 or more investors independent of the issuer and of one another. In the case of this offering, while the offer and sale of the L Bonds have been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, the L Bonds themselves have not been registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. For this reason, we believe that the L Bonds will not likely meet the definition for "publicly-offered security" under the plan asset regulations.

In light of the foregoing, if the L Bonds were deemed to be equity interests for this purpose and no statutory, regulatory, or administrative exception applies, we could be considered to hold plan assets by reason of a plan's investment in the L Bonds. These plan assets would include an undivided interest in all of our assets. In this case, we may be considered a fiduciary with respect to the investing plans. We would be subject to the fiduciary responsibility provisions of Title I of ERISA, including the prohibited transaction provisions of Section 406 of ERISA and Section 4975 of the Code, and to Section 4975 of the Code with respect to transactions involving any of our assets. The ERISA fiduciary standards could affect the way we conduct the business, which would have consequences for all investors, not just those that are employee benefit plans.

Depending on the relevant facts and circumstances, prohibited transaction exemptions may apply to the purchase or holding of the L Bonds. See, for example, Prohibited Transaction Class Exemption (PTE) 96-23, which exempts some transactions effected on behalf of a plan or by an in-house asset manager; PTE 95-60, which exempts some transactions between insurance company general accounts and parties in interest; PTE 91-38, which exempts some transactions between bank collective investment funds and parties in interest; PTE 90-1, which exempts some transactions between insurance company pooled separate accounts and parties in interest; or PTE 84-14, which exempts some transactions effected on behalf of a plan by a qualified professional asset manager. However, there can be no assurance that any of these exemptions will apply with respect to any plan's investment in the L Bonds, or that the exemption, if it did apply, would apply to all prohibited transactions that may occur in connection with the investment.

Any plan fiduciary considering whether to purchase L Bonds on behalf of a plan should consult with its counsel regarding the applicability of the fiduciary responsibility and prohibited transaction provisions of ERISA and the Code. Before purchasing any L Bonds, a fiduciary of a plan should make its own determination as to (1) whether GWG Holdings, as issuer of and borrower under the L Bonds, is a "party in interest" under ERISA or a "disqualified person" under the Code with respect to the plan; (2) the availability of the relief provided in the plan asset regulation and (3) the availability of any other prohibited transaction exemptions. In addition, purchasers that are insurance companies should consult their own ERISA counsel with respect to their fiduciary responsibilities associated with their purchase and ownership of the L Bonds, including any responsibility under the Supreme Court case *John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Co. v. Harris Trust and Savings Bank*.

Table of Contents

LEGAL MATTERS

Certain legal matters in connection with the L Bonds will be passed upon for us by Maslon Edelman Borman & Brand, LLP, of Minneapolis, Minnesota.

EXPERTS

The consolidated financial statements of GWG Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013, included in this prospectus and in the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part, have been audited by Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm. The consolidated financial statements of GWG Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012, included in this prospectus and in the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part, have been

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

audited by Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C., an independent registered public accounting firm. As indicated in their reports with respect thereto, these consolidated financial statements are included in this prospectus in reliance upon the authority of such firms as experts in auditing and accounting, with respect to each such respective report.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

We have filed with the SEC a registration statement on Form S-1 under the Securities Act with respect to the L Bonds to be offered and sold pursuant to the prospectus which is a part of that registration statement. This prospectus does not contain all the information contained in the registration statement. For further information with respect to us and the L Bonds to be sold in this offering, we refer you to the registration statement, including the agreements, other documents and schedules filed as exhibits to the registration statement.

We file annual, quarterly and current reports, and other information with the SEC. We intend to make these filings available on our website at www.gwglife.com. Information on our website is not incorporated by reference in this prospectus. We maintain an office at 220 South Sixth Street, Suite 1200, Minneapolis, MN 55402 where all records concerning the L Bonds are to be retained. L Bond holders and their representatives can request information regarding the L Bonds by contacting our office by mail at our address or by telephone at (612) 746-1944 or by fax at (612) 746-0445. Upon request, we will provide copies of our filings with the SEC free of charge to our investors. Our SEC filings, including the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part, will also be available on the SEC's Internet site at <http://www.sec.gov>. You may read and copy all or any portion of the registration statement or any reports, statements or other information we file at the SEC's public reference room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. In addition, you may call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the operation of the public reference room. You may receive copies of these documents upon payment of a duplicating fee by writing to the SEC.

120

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC.

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| <u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms</u> | F-2 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012</u> | F-4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012</u> | F-5 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012</u> | F-6 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012</u> | F-7 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | F-9 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2014 (unaudited) and December 31, 2013</u> | F-30 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (unaudited)</u> | F-31 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (unaudited)</u> | F-32 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity</u> | F-34 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | F-35 |

F-1

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

To the Shareholders, Audit Committee and Board of Directors
GWG Holdings, Inc.
Minneapolis, MN

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of GWG Holdings, Inc. as of December 31, 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of its internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of GWG Holdings, Inc. as of December 31, 2013 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP
Minneapolis, Minnesota
March 19, 2014, except for Note 18, as to which the date is June 24, 2014

F-2

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of GWG Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries (Company) as of December 31, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' deficit, and cash flows for the year then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of GWG Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C.

Minneapolis, MN

March 30, 2013, except for Note 18 as to which the date is June 24, 2014

F-3

Table of Contents**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 33,449,793 | \$ 27,497,044 |
| Restricted cash | 5,832,970 | 2,093,092 |
| Due from related parties | | 8,613 |
| Investment in life settlements, at fair value | 234,672,794 | 164,317,183 |
| Deferred financing costs, net | 357,901 | 97,040 |
| Death benefits receivable | | 2,850,000 |
| Other assets | 1,067,018 | 1,085,063 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$275,380,476 | \$197,948,035 |
| LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) | | |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Revolving credit facility | \$ 79,000,000 | \$ 71,000,000 |
| Series I Secured notes payable | 29,275,202 | 37,844,711 |
| Renewable Secured Debentures | 131,646,062 | 55,718,950 |
| Accounts payable | 839,869 | 470,059 |
| Interest payable | 7,209,408 | 3,477,320 |
| Other accrued expenses | 504,083 | 1,291,499 |
| Deferred taxes, net | 7,675,174 | 5,501,407 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 256,149,798 | 175,303,946 |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (NOTES 14 AND 15) | | |
| CONVERTIBLE, REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK (par value \$0.001; shares authorized 40,000,000; shares issued and outstanding 3,368,109 and 3,361,076; liquidation preference of \$25,261,000 and \$25,208,000 on December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively) | | |
| | 24,722,693 | 23,905,878 |
| STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) | | |
| Common stock (par value \$0.001; shares authorized 210,000,000; shares issued and outstanding 4,562,000 and 4,994,500 on December 31, 2013 and 2012) | 4,562 | 4,995 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 2,942,000 | 6,976,838 |
| Accumulated deficit | (8,438,577) | (8,243,622) |
| TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) | (5,492,015) | (1,261,789) |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| TOTAL LIABILITIES & EQUITY (DEFICIT) | \$275,380,476 | \$197,948,035 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-4

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

| | Year Ended | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
| REVENUE | | |
| Gain on life settlements, net | \$29,513,642 | \$17,436,743 |
| Gain upon termination of agreement with Athena Securities Ltd. | \$ 3,252,400 | |
| Interest and other income | 298,732 | 89,055 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | 33,064,774 | 17,525,798 |
| EXPENSES | | |
| Interest expense | 20,762,644 | 10,878,627 |
| Employee compensation and benefits | 5,043,848 | 2,903,373 |
| Legal and professional fees | 1,754,209 | 1,076,694 |
| Other expenses | 3,525,261 | 2,486,813 |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | 31,085,962 | 17,345,507 |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 1,978,812 | 180,291 |
| INCOME TAX EXPENSE | 2,173,767 | 1,193,190 |
| NET LOSS | (194,955) | (1,012,899) |
| Accretion of preferred stock to liquidation value | (806,624) | (1,578,405) |
| LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS | \$ (1,001,579) | \$ (2,591,304) |
| NET LOSS PER COMMON SHARE (BASIC AND DILUTED) | | |
| Net loss | \$ (0.04) | \$ (0.20) |
| Accretion of preferred stock to liquidation value | \$ (0.17) | \$ (0.32) |
| Net loss per share attributable to common shareholders | \$ (0.21) | \$ (0.52) |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING | | |
| Basic and diluted | 4,758,699 | 4,994,500 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT)

| | Common Shares | Common Stock (par) | Additional Paid-in Capital | Accumulated Deficit | Total Equity |
|---|------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2011 | 4,994,500 | \$ 4,995 | \$ 8,174,297 | \$ (7,230,723) | \$ 948,569 |
| Net loss | | | | (1,012,899) | (1,012,899) |
| Issuance of warrants to purchase common stock | | | 380,946 | | 380,946 |
| Accretion of preferred stock to liquidation value | | | (1,578,405) | | (1,578,405) |
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | 4,994,500 | 4,995 | 6,976,838 | (8,243,622) | (1,261,789) |
| Net loss | | | | (194,955) | (194,955) |
| Repurchase of common stock | (432,500) | (433) | (3,251,967) | | (3,252,400) |
| Stock-based compensation | | | 23,753 | | 23,753 |
| Accretion of preferred stock to liquidation value | | | (806,624) | | (806,624) |
| Balance, December 31, 2013 | 4,562,000 | \$ 4,562 | \$ 2,942,000 | \$ (8,438,577) | \$ (5,492,015) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| | Year Ended | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net loss | \$ (194,955) | \$ (1,012,899) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash flows used in operating activities: | | |
| Gain on life settlements | (39,337,542) | (27,856,374) |
| Amortization of deferred financing and issuance costs | 2,470,390 | 1,908,930 |
| Deferred income taxes | 2,173,767 | 1,193,190 |
| Convertible, redeemable preferred stock issued in lieu of cash dividends | 623,899 | 567,478 |
| Convertible, redeemable preferred stock dividends payable | 255 | 338,695 |
| Gain upon termination of agreement with Athena Securities Ltd. | (3,252,400) | |

| | Year Ended | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|
| (Increase) decrease in operating assets: | | |
| Due from related parties | 8,613 | (6,348) |
| Death benefits receivable | 2,850,000 | (2,850,000) |
| Other assets | (566,418) | (869,165) |
| Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | 369,809 | (257,708) |
| Interest payable | 3,418,432 | 1,744,599 |
| Other accrued expenses | 50,642 | (69,292) |
| NET CASH FLOWS USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES | (31,385,508) | (27,168,894) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Investment in life settlements | (34,997,500) | (15,067,495) |
| Proceeds from settlement of life settlements | 4,563,896 | 1,067,210 |
| NET CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES | (30,433,604) | (14,000,285) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net proceeds from revolving credit facility | 8,000,000 | 11,000,000 |
| Payments for redemption of Series I Secured notes payable | (8,671,624) | (7,477,197) |
| Proceeds from issuance of Renewable Secured Debentures | 85,260,976 | 58,553,280 |
| Payment of deferred issuance costs for Renewable Secured Debentures | (4,320,542) | (3,024,545) |
| Payments for redemption of Renewable Secured Debentures | (8,143,363) | (112,500) |
| Proceeds from (uses of) restricted cash | (3,739,878) | 2,701,210 |
| Issuance (redemption) of convertible, redeemable preferred stock | (613,708) | 6,414,273 |
| Payments of issuance cost for convertible, redeemable preferred stock | | (1,266,647) |
| NET CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES | 67,771,861 | 66,787,874 |
| NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 5,952,749 | 25,618,695 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | | |
| BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 27,497,044 | 1,878,349 |
| END OF PERIOD | \$ 33,449,793 | \$ 27,497,044 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-7

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS CONTINUED

| | Year Ended | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |

| | <u>Year Ended</u> | |
|---|-------------------|--------------|
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION | | |
| Interest and preferred dividends paid | \$ 13,627,000 | \$ 6,280,000 |
| NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Non-cash conversion of Series I Secured notes | \$ 912,000 | \$ 4,220,000 |
| Non-cash conversion of accrued interest payable on Series I Secured notes | \$ | \$ 6,000 |
| Warrants issued to purchase common stock | \$ | \$ 381,000 |
| Options issued to purchase common stock | \$ 24,000 | \$ |
| Accrued interest payable on Series I Secured notes added to principal | \$ 185,000 | \$ 142,000 |
| Accrued interest payable on Renewable Secured Debentures added to principal | \$ 141,000 | \$ 13,000 |
| Unsettled life settlements included in accounts payable | \$ | \$ 292,000 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-8

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) Nature of business and summary of significant accounting policies

Nature of business GWG Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries, located in Minneapolis, Minnesota, facilitates the purchase of life insurance policies for its own investment portfolio through its wholly owned subsidiary, GWG Life Settlements, LLC (GWG Life), and its subsidiaries, GWG Trust (Trust), GWG DLP Funding II, LLC (DLP II) and its wholly owned subsidiary, GWG DLP Master Trust II (the Trust II). Our wholly owned subsidiary, GWG Broker Services, LLC (Broker Services), was formed to earn fees for brokering policy transactions between market participants. Our wholly owned subsidiary United Lending, LLC (United Lending) and its wholly owned subsidiary United Lending SPV, LLC (United Lending SPV) were formed to finance life settlement premiums and policy loans. All of these entities are legally organized in Delaware. Unless the context otherwise requires or we specifically so indicate, all references in this report to we, us, our, our Company, GWG, or the Company refer to these entities collectively. GWG Member, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary formed November 2010 to facilitate the acquisition of policies, has not commenced operations as of December 31, 2013. The entities were legally organized in Delaware and are collectively referred herein to as GWG, or the Company.

Use of estimates The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The Company regularly evaluates estimates and assumptions. The Company bases its estimates and assumptions on current facts, historical experience, and various other factors that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities and the accrual of costs and expenses that are not readily apparent from other sources. The actual results experienced by the Company may differ materially and adversely from the Company's estimates. To the extent there are material differences between the estimates and the actual results, future results of operations will be affected. The most significant estimates with regard to these consolidated financial statements relates to (1) the determination of the assumptions used in estimating the fair value of the investment in life insurance policies, and (2) the value of deferred tax assets and liabilities.

Cash and cash equivalents The Company considers cash in demand deposit accounts and temporary investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Company maintains its cash and cash equivalents with highly rated financial institutions. From time to time, the Company's balances in its bank accounts exceed Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation limits. The Company periodically evaluates the risk of exceeding insured levels and may transfer funds as it deems appropriate. The Company has not experienced any losses with regards to balances in excess of insured limits or as a result of other concentrations of credit risk.

Life settlements ASC 325-30, Investments in Insurance Contracts, allows a reporting entity the election to account for its investments in life settlements using either the investment method or the fair value method. The election shall be made on an instrument-by-instrument basis and is irrevocable. Under the investment method, an investor shall recognize the initial investment at the purchase price plus all initial direct costs. Continuing costs (policy premiums and direct external costs, if any) to keep the policy in force shall be capitalized. Under the fair value method, an investor shall recognize the initial investment at the purchase price. In subsequent periods, the investor shall re-measure the investment at fair value in its entirety at each reporting period and shall recognize the change in fair value in current period income net of premiums paid. The Company uses the fair value method to account for all life settlements.

The Company recognizes realized gains (revenue) from life settlement contracts upon one of the two following events:

- 1) Receipt of death notice or verified obituary of insured
- 2) Sale of policy and filing of change of ownership forms and receipt of payment

F-9

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company recognizes the difference between the death benefits and carrying values of the policy when an insured event has occurred and the Company determines that settlement and ultimate collection of the death benefits is realizable and reasonably assured. Revenue from a transaction must meet both criteria in order to be recognized. In an event of a sale of a policy the Company recognizes gain or loss as the difference between the sale price and the carrying value of the policy on the date of the receipt of payment on such sale.

Deposits and initial direct costs advanced on unsettled policy acquisitions are recorded as other assets until policy ownership has been transferred to the Company. Such deposits and direct cost advances were \$201,000 and \$785,000 at December 31, 2013 and 2012 respectively.

Deferred financing and issuance costs Costs incurred to obtain financing under the revolving credit facility, as described in note 6, have been capitalized and are amortized using the straight-line method over the term of the revolving credit facility. Amortization of deferred financing costs was \$455,000 and \$233,000 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The future amortization is expected to be \$358,000 for the year ending December 31, 2014. The Series I Secured notes payable, as described in note 7, are reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method over the term of each respective borrowing. The Renewable Secured debentures, as described in note 8, are reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method over the term of each respective borrowing. The Series A preferred stock, as described in note 9, is reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions, including the fair value of warrants issued, and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method as interest expense over the three-year redemption period.

Earnings (loss) per share Basic per share earnings (loss) attributable to non-redeemable interests is calculated using the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is calculated based on the potential dilutive impact, if any, of the Company's convertible, redeemable preferred stock, and outstanding warrants, and stock options.

Subsequent events Subsequent events are events or transactions that occur after the balance sheet date but before consolidated financial statements are issued. The Company recognizes in the consolidated financial statements the effects of all subsequent events that provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet, including the estimates inherent in the process of preparing the consolidated financial statements. The Company's consolidated financial statements do not recognize subsequent events that provide evidence about conditions that did not exist at the date of the balance sheet but arose after the balance sheet date and before the consolidated financial statements are available to be issued. The Company evaluates subsequent events and transactions that occur after the balance sheet date up to the date that the consolidated financial statements are filed for potential recognition or disclosure.

Recently adopted pronouncements Pronouncements issued by the FASB or other authoritative accounting standards groups with future effective dates are either not applicable or are not expected to be significant to the Company.

(2) Restrictions on cash

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

The Company is required by its lenders to maintain collection and escrow accounts. These accounts are used to fund the acquisition, pay annual premiums of insurance policies, pay interest and other charges under the revolving credit facility, and collect policy benefits. DZ Bank AG, as agent for Autobahn Funding Company, LLC, the lender for the revolving credit facility as described in note 6, authorizes the disbursements from these accounts. At December 31, 2013 and 2012 there was a balance of \$5,833,000, and \$2,093,000, respectively, maintained in these restricted cash accounts.

F-10

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(3) Investment in life insurance policies

The life insurance policies (Level 3 fair value measurements) are valued based on unobservable inputs that are significant to the overall fair value measurement. Changes in the fair value of these instruments are recorded in gain or loss on life insurance policies in the consolidated statements of operations (net of the cash premiums paid on the policies). The fair value is determined on a discounted cash flow basis that incorporates life expectancy assumptions. Life expectancy reports have been obtained from widely accepted life expectancy providers. The discount rate incorporates current information about market interest rates, the credit exposure to the insurance company that issued the life insurance policy and our estimate of the risk premium an investor in the policy would require. As a result of management's analysis, discount rates of 11.69% and 12.08% were applied to the portfolio as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

A summary of the Company's life insurance policies accounted for under the fair value method and their estimated maturity dates, based on remaining life expectancy is as follows:

| Years Ending December 31, | As of December 31, 2013 | | | As of December 31, 2012 | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | Number of Contracts | Estimated Fair Value | Face Value | Number of Contracts | Estimated Fair Value | Face Value |
| 2014 | | \$ | \$ | | \$ | \$ |
| 2015 | 4 | 5,065,000 | 6,750,000 | | | |
| 2016 | 8 | 8,174,000 | 13,750,000 | 2 | 1,163,000 | 2,000,000 |
| 2017 | 25 | 33,345,000 | 63,916,000 | 13 | 11,608,000 | 22,229,000 |
| 2018 | 33 | 37,243,000 | 80,318,000 | 17 | 21,155,000 | 53,439,000 |
| 2019 | 34 | 32,844,000 | 89,295,000 | 31 | 28,252,000 | 75,668,000 |
| 2020 | 34 | 27,741,000 | 75,644,000 | 35 | 26,947,000 | 84,579,000 |
| Thereafter | 125 | 90,261,000 | 410,975,000 | 113 | 75,192,000 | 334,331,000 |
| Totals | 263 | \$234,673,000 | \$740,648,000 | 211 | \$164,317,000 | \$572,246,000 |

The Company recognized death benefits of \$16,600,000 and \$7,350,000 during 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to policies with a carrying value of \$4,564,000 and \$1,067,000, respectively. The Company recorded realized gains of \$12,036,000 and \$6,283,000 on such policies.

Reconciliation of gain on life settlements:

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Change in fair value | \$ 39,338,000 | \$ 27,856,000 |
| Premiums and other annual fees | (21,860,000) | (16,702,000) |
| Policy maturities | 12,036,000 | 6,283,000 |
| Gain on life settlements, net | \$ 29,514,000 | \$ 17,437,000 |

The estimated expected premium payments to maintain the above life insurance policies in force for the next five years, assuming no mortalities, are as follows:

Years Ending December 31,

| | |
|------|----------------|
| 2014 | \$ 22,739,000 |
| 2015 | 25,056,000 |
| 2016 | 27,508,000 |
| 2017 | 30,653,000 |
| 2018 | 33,509,000 |
| | \$ 139,465,000 |

F-11

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Management anticipates funding the estimated premium payments as noted above with proceeds from the DZ Bank revolving credit facility and through additional debt and equity financing as well as from cash proceeds from maturities of life insurance policies. The proceeds of these capital sources are also intended to be used for the purchase, financing, and maintenance of additional life insurance policies.

(4) Fair value definition and hierarchy

ASC 820 establishes a hierarchical disclosure framework which prioritizes and ranks the level of market price observability used in measuring assets and liabilities at fair value. Market price observability is affected by a number of factors, including the type of investment, the characteristics specific to the investment and the state of the marketplace including the existence and transparency of transactions between market participants. Assets and liabilities with readily available active quoted prices or for which fair value can be measured from actively quoted prices in an orderly market generally will have a higher degree of market price observability and a lesser degree of judgment used in measuring fair value. ASC 820 establishes a three-level valuation hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company's assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (i.e., the exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

The hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the observability of inputs as follows:

Level 1 Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Since valuations are based on quoted prices that are readily and regularly available in an active market, valuation of these products does not entail a significant degree of judgment.

Level 2 Valuations based on one or more quoted prices in markets that are not active or for which all significant inputs are observable, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 Valuations based on inputs that are unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement.

The availability of observable inputs can vary by types of assets and liabilities and is affected by a wide variety of factors, including, for example, whether an instrument is established in the marketplace, the liquidity of markets and other characteristics particular to the transaction. To the extent that valuation is based on models or inputs that are less observable or unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment. Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised by management in determining fair value is greatest for assets and liabilities categorized in Level 3.

Level 3 Valuation Process

The estimated fair value of the Company's portfolio of life settlements is determined on a quarterly basis by the Company's portfolio management committee, taking into consideration changes in discount rate assumptions, estimated premium payments and life expectancy estimate assumptions, as well as any changes in economic and other relevant conditions. These inputs are then used to estimate the discounted cash flows using the Model Actuarial Pricing System (MAPS), probabilistic portfolio pricing model, which estimates the cash flows using various probabilities and scenarios. The valuation process includes a review by senior management as of each valuation date. Management has also engaged a third party expert to independently test the accuracy of the valuations using the inputs provided by management on a quarterly basis.

F-12

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Life insurance policies, as well as the portfolio taken as a whole, represent financial instruments recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. The following table reconciles the beginning and ending fair value of the Company's Level 3 investments in its portfolio of life insurance policies for the years ending December 31, as follows:

| | <u>2013</u> | <u>2012</u> |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Beginning balance | \$ 164,317,000 | \$ 122,169,000 |
| Purchases | 35,582,000 | 15,359,000 |
| Maturities (acquisition cost) | (4,564,000) | (1,067,000) |
| Gross unrealized gains | 39,338,000 | 28,055,000 |
| Gross unrealized losses | | (199,000) |
| Ending balance | \$ 234,673,000 | \$ 164,317,000 |

The fair value of a portfolio of life insurance policies is based on information available to the Company at the reporting date. Fair value is based upon a discounted cash flow model that incorporates life expectancy estimate assumptions. Life expectancy estimates are obtained from independent, third-party widely accepted life expectancy estimate providers at policy acquisition. The life expectancy values of each insured, as determined at policy acquisition, are rolled down monthly for the passage of time by the MAPS actuarial software the Company uses for ongoing valuation of its portfolio of life insurance policies. The discount rate incorporates current information about discount rates applied by other reporting companies owning portfolios of life insurance policies, discount rates observed in the life insurance secondary market, market interest rates, the credit exposure to the insurance company that issued the life insurance policy and management's estimate of the risk premium a purchaser would require to receive the future cash flows derived from our portfolio of life insurance policies.

On January 22, 2013, one of the independent medical actuarial underwriting firms we utilize, 21st Services, announced advancements in its underwriting methodology, resulting in revised estimated life expectancy mortality tables for life settlement transactions. We have been advised by 21st Services that the changes are very granular and relate to both specific medical conditions and lifestyles of insureds. These changes are the result of the application of additional medical information that has been gathered by 21st Services over a period of time, and which has now been applied to the inputs and methodologies used to develop the actuarial life expectancies. While we do not believe these revised methodologies indicate the previous estimated life expectancies were inaccurate, we believe the revised methodologies provide additional information that should be considered in updating our estimate of the life expectancies of the insureds within our portfolio of life settlement contracts as of December 31, 2012. Based upon our evaluation and analysis of data made available by 21st Services, as well as information regarding the insureds within our portfolio, we have estimated the impact of the changes in 21st Services' methodologies for determining life expectancies on a policy-by-policy basis within our portfolio as of December 31, 2012 and applied such changes to the life expectancy inputs used to estimate fair value. We have adjusted the original life expectancies provided by 21st Services based on four factors, the impact of each

analyzed individually for each insured in the GWG portfolio. The four factors are gender, anti-selection, age, and primary impairment. GWG applied this set of adjustments to all 21st Services LEs used in valuation of the portfolio as of December 31, 2012. At that time, the portfolio contained 211 policies on 194 insured lives. Of those 211 policies, 199 were valued using a 21st Services LE as part of the pricing LE calculation. While the analysis and adjustments were applied on an individual policy basis, the result was an average overall increase in the original life expectancy estimates of 8.67%. We have a standard practice of obtaining two third-party life expectancy estimates for each policy in our portfolio. As a result, the effective change in life expectancy on the portfolio was an average of approximately 4.33%, which resulted in an aggregate decrease in the fair value of our life settlements portfolio of \$12.4 million. Life expectancy reports by their very nature are estimates.

F-13

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The fair value of life insurance policies is estimated using present value calculations of estimated cash flows based on the data specific to each individual life insurance policy. Estimated future policy premium payments are calculated based on the terms of the policy and the premium payment history. The following summarizes the unobservable inputs utilized in estimating the fair value of the portfolio of life insurance policies:

| | As of December 31, 2013 | As of December 31, 2012 |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Weighted average age of insured | 82.1 | 81.3 |
| Weighted average life expectancy, months* | 87.0 | 91.6 |
| Average face amount per policy | \$2,816,000 | \$2,712,064 |
| Discount rate | 11.69% | 12.08% |

* Standard life expectancy as adjusted for insured's specific circumstances.

These assumptions are, by their nature, inherently uncertain and the effect of changes in estimates may be significant. The techniques used in estimating the present value of estimated cash flows are derived from valuation techniques generally used in the industry that include inputs for the asset that are not based on observable market data. The extent to which the fair value could reasonably vary in the near term has been quantified by evaluating the effect of changes in significant underlying assumptions used to estimate the fair value. If the life expectancy estimates were increased or decreased by four and eight months on each outstanding policy and the discount factors were increased or decreased by 1% and 2%, while all other variables are held constant, the fair value of the investment in life insurance policies would increase or (decrease) by the amounts summarized below:

| | Change in life expectancy | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | plus 8 months | minus 8 months | plus 4 months | minus 4 months |
| Investment in life policies | | | | |
| December 31, 2013 | \$(34,382,000) | \$36,152,000 | \$(17,417,000) | \$17,865,000 |
| December 31, 2012 | \$(24,072,000) | \$25,268,000 | \$(12,185,000) | \$12,484,000 |

Change in discount rate

Change in discount rate

| | plus 2% | minus 2% | plus 1% | minus 1% |
|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| Investment in life policies | | | | |
| December 31, 2013 | \$(22,944,000) | \$27,063,000 | \$(11,933,000) | \$12,959,000 |
| December 31, 2012 | \$(16,811,000) | \$19,978,000 | \$(8,759,000) | \$9,547,000 |

Other Fair Value Considerations

Carrying value of receivables, prepaid expenses, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate fair value due to their short-term maturities and low credit risk. The estimated fair value of the Company's Series I Secured notes payable is approximately \$33,067,000 based on a weighted-average market interest rate of 7.51% based on an income approach. The Company began issuing Renewable Secured Debentures in the first quarter of 2012. The current interest rates on the Renewable Secured Debentures approximate market rates. The carrying value of the Renewable Secured Debentures approximates fair value. The carrying value of the revolving credit facility reflects interest charged at the commercial paper rate plus an applicable margin. The margin represents our credit risk, and the strength of the portfolio of life insurance policies collateralizing the debt. The overall rate reflects market, and the carrying value of the revolver approximates fair value. All of the financial instruments are level 3 fair value measurements.

The Company has issued warrants to purchase common stock in connection with the issuance of its convertible, redeemable preferred stock. Warrants were determined by the Company as permanent equity. The fair value measurements associated with the warrants, measured at issuance represent level 3 instruments.

F-14

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

As of December 31, 2013:

| <u>Month issued</u> | <u>Warrants issued</u> | <u>Fair value per share</u> | <u>Risk free rate</u> | <u>Volatility</u> | <u>Term</u> |
|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| December 2011 | 68,937 | \$0.22 | 0.42% | 25.25% | 3 years |
| March 2012 | 38,130 | \$0.52 | 0.38% | 36.20% | 3 years |
| June 2012 | 161,841 | \$1.16 | 0.41% | 47.36% | 3 years |
| July 2012 | 144,547 | \$1.16 | 0.41% | 47.36% | 3 years |
| September 2012 | 2,500 | \$0.72 | 0.31% | 40.49% | 3 years |
| | 415,955 | | | | |

Volatility is based upon the weekly percentage change in the stock price of selected comparable insurance companies. In June 2012, we evaluated the comparable companies used, and made certain changes to those used. The percentage change is calculated on the average price of those selected stocks at the weekly close of business for the year preceding the balance sheet date. We compare annual volatility based on this weekly information.

(5) Notes receivable from related parties

As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had receivables totaling \$5,000,000 due from an affiliate, Opportunity Finance, LLC, which were fully reserved. Opportunity Finance ceased operations in 2008.

(6) Credit facilities

Revolving credit facility Autobahn Funding Company LLC

On July 15, 2008, DLP II and United Lending entered into a revolving credit facility pursuant to a Credit and Security Agreement (Agreement) with Autobahn Funding Company LLC (Autobahn), providing the Company with a maximum borrowing amount of \$100,000,000. Autobahn is a commercial paper conduit that issues commercial paper to investors to provide funding to DLP II and United Lending. DZ Bank AG acts as the agent for Autobahn. The original Agreement was to expire on July 15, 2013. On January 29, 2013, Holdings, together with GWG Life and DLP II, entered into an Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement with Autobahn, extending the facility expiration date to December 31, 2014, and removing United Lending as a party to the amended and restated Agreement. The amount outstanding under this facility as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, was \$79,000,000 and \$71,000,000, respectively.

The Agreement requires DLP II to pay, on a monthly basis, interest at the commercial paper rate plus an applicable margin, as defined in the Agreement. The effective rate was 6.19% and 2.02% at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The weighted average effective interest rate (excluding the unused line fee) was 6.14% and 2.14% for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Agreement also requires payment of an unused line fee of 0.30% on the unfunded amount under the revolving credit facility. The note is secured by substantially all of DLP II assets which consist primarily of life settlement policies.

The Agreement has certain financial and nonfinancial covenants. The Company was in compliance with these covenants at December 31, 2013 and 2012. The Agreement generally prohibits the Company from:

changing its corporate name, offices, and jurisdiction of incorporation

changing any deposit accounts or payment instructions to insurers;

changing any operating policies and practices such that it would be reasonably likely to adversely affect the collectability of any asset in any material respect;

F-15

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

merging or consolidating with, or selling all or substantially all of its assets to, any third party;

selling any collateral or creating or permitting to exist any adverse claim upon any collateral;

engaging in any other business or activity than that contemplated by the Agreement;

incurring or guaranteeing any debt for borrowed money;

amending the Company's certificate of incorporation or bylaws, making any loans or advances to, investments in, or paying any dividends to, any person unless both before and after any such loan, advance, investment or dividend there exists no actual event of default, potential event of default or termination event;

removing an independent director on the board of directors except for cause or with the consent of the lender; or

making payment on or issuing any subsidiary secured notes or debentures, or amending any agreements respecting such notes or debentures, if an event of default, potential event of default or termination event exists or would arise from any such action.

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

In addition, the Company has agreed to maintain (i) a positive consolidated net income (as defined and calculated under the Agreement) for each complete fiscal year and (ii) a tangible net worth (again, as defined and calculated under the Agreement) of not less than \$15 million, and (iii) maintain a borrowing base surplus or cash cushion sufficient to pay three to twelve months (increasing throughout 2013) of premiums and facility fees.

Consolidated net income and tangible net worth as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013, as calculated under the agreement, was \$20,916,000 and \$54,286,000 respectively.

Advances under the Agreement are subject to a borrowing base formula, which limits the availability of advances on the borrowing base calculation based on attributes of policies pledged to the facility. Over-concentration of policies by insurance carrier, over-concentration of policies by insurance carriers with ratings below a AA- rating, and the premiums and facility fees reserve are the three primary factors with the potential of limiting availability of funds on the facility. Total funds available for additional borrowings under the borrowing base formula criteria at December 31, 2013 and 2012, were \$3,937,000 and \$15,043,000 respectively.

On July 15, 2008, Holdings delivered a performance guaranty in favor of Autobahn pursuant to which it guaranteed the obligations of GWG Life, in its capacity as the seller and master servicer, under the Credit and Security Agreement and related documents. On January 29, 2013 and in connection with the Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement, Holdings delivered a reaffirmation of its performance guaranty. The obligations of Holdings under the performance guaranty and subsequent reaffirmation do not extend to the principal and interest owed by DLP II as the borrower under the credit facility.

(7) Series I Secured notes payable

Series I Secured notes payable have been issued in conjunction with the GWG Series I Secured notes private placement memorandum dated August 25, 2009 (last revised November 15, 2010). On June 14, 2011 the Company closed the offering to additional investors, however, existing investors may elect to continue advancing amounts outstanding upon maturity subject to the Company's option. Series I Secured notes have maturity dates ranging from six months to seven years with fixed interest rates varying from 5.65% to 9.55% depending on the term of the note. Interest is payable monthly, quarterly, annually or at maturity depending on the terms of the note. At December 31, 2013 and 2012 the weighted average interest rates of Series I Secured notes were 8.35% and 8.22%, respectively. The notes are secured by assets of GWG Life. The principal amount outstanding under these Series I Secured notes was \$29,744,000 and \$38,570,000 at December 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively. The difference between the amount outstanding on the Series I

F-16

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Secured notes and the carrying amount on the consolidated balance sheet is due to netting of unamortized deferred issuance costs. Overall, interest expense includes amortization of deferred financing and issuance costs of \$606,000 and \$1,170,000 in 2013 and 2012, respectively. Future expected amortization of deferred financing costs is \$468,000 over the next six years.

On November 15, 2010, Jon Sabes and Steve Sabes pledged their ownership interests in the Company to the Series I Trust as security for advances under the Series I Trust arrangement.

The use of proceeds from the issuances of Series I Secured notes was limited to the following: (1) payment of commissions of Series I Secured note sales, (2) purchase life insurance policies, (3) pay premiums of life insurance policies, (4) pay principal and interest to Senior Liquidity Provider (DZ Bank), (5) pay portfolio or note operating fees or costs, (6) pay trustee (Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.), (7) pay servicer and collateral fees, (8) pay principal and interest on Series I Secured notes, (9) make distributions to equity holders for tax liability related to portfolio, (10) purchase interest rate caps, swaps, or hedging instruments, (11) pay GWG Series I Trustee fees, and (12) pay offering expenses.

On November 1, 2011, GWG entered into a Third Amended and Restated Note Issuance and Security Agreement with Lord Securities Corporation after receiving majority approval from the holders of Series I Secured notes. Among other things, the amended and restated agreement modified the use of proceeds and certain provisions relating to the distribution of collections and subordination of cash flow. Under the amended and restated agreement, GWG is no longer restricted as to its use of proceeds or subject to restrictions on certain distributions of collections and subordination of cash flows. Under the amended and restated agreement, GWG may extend the maturity of Series I Secured

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

notes of a six month term for up to two additional six month terms, and Series I Secured notes of a one year term for up to six months.

Future contractual maturities of Series I Secured notes payable at December 31, 2013 are as follows:

Years Ending December 31,

| | |
|------------|---------------|
| 2014 | \$ 16,111,000 |
| 2015 | 6,700,000 |
| 2016 | 2,030,000 |
| 2017 | 4,085,000 |
| 2018 | 754,000 |
| Thereafter | 64,000 |
| | \$ 29,744,000 |

(8) Renewable Secured Debentures

The Company has registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission, effective January 2012, the offer and sale of \$250,000,000 of secured debentures. Renewable Secured Debentures have maturity dates ranging from six months to seven years with fixed interest rates varying from 4.75% to 9.50% depending on the term of the note. Interest is payable monthly, annually or at maturity depending on the terms of the debenture. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the weighted average interest rate of Renewable Secured Debentures was 7.53% and 7.65%, respectively. The debentures are secured by assets of GWG Life and GWG Holdings. The amount outstanding under these Renewable Secured Debentures was \$134,891,000 and \$57,609,000 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The difference between the amount outstanding on the Renewable Secured Debentures and the carrying amount on the consolidated balance sheet is due to netting of unamortized deferred issuance costs and cash receipts for new issuances in process at December 31, 2013 and 2012. Amortization of deferred issuance costs was \$1,843,000 and \$506,000 in 2013 and 2012, respectively. Future expected amortization of deferred financing costs is \$5,147,000. Subsequent to December 31, 2013, the Company has issued approximately an additional \$17,715,000 in principal amount of these Renewable Secured Debentures.

F-17

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The use of proceeds from the issuances of Renewable Secured Debentures is limited to the following: (1) payment of commissions on sales of Renewable Secured Debentures, (2) payment of offering expenses, (3) purchase of life insurance policies, (4) Payment of premiums on life insurance policies, (5) payment of principal and interest on Renewable Secured Debentures, (6) payment of portfolio operations expenses, and (7) for general working capital.

Future contractual maturities of Renewable Secured Debentures at December 31, 2013 are as follows:

Years Ending December 31,

| | |
|------------|----------------|
| 2013 | \$ 34,258,000 |
| 2014 | 41,509,000 |
| 2015 | 29,152,000 |
| 2016 | 7,667,000 |
| 2017 | 5,381,000 |
| Thereafter | 16,924,000 |
| | \$ 134,891,000 |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

The Company entered into an Indenture effective October 19, 2011 with Holdings as obligor, GWG Life as guarantor, and Bank of Utah as trustee for the benefit of the debenture holders. The Indenture has certain financial and nonfinancial covenants. The Company was in compliance with these covenants at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

(9) Convertible, redeemable preferred stock

The Company began offering 3,333,333 shares of convertible redeemable preferred stock (Series A preferred stock) for sale to accredited investors in a private placement on July 31, 2011. The offering of Series A preferred stock concluded on September 2, 2012 and resulted in 3,278,000 shares being issued for gross consideration of \$24,582,000. As of December 31, 2013, 166,000 shares have been issued as a result of conversion of \$1,163,000 in dividends into shares of Series A preferred stock. The Series A preferred stock was sold at an offering price of \$7.50 per share. Series A preferred stock has a preferred dividend yield of 10% per annum, and each share has the right to convert into 0.75 shares of the Company's common stock. The Company may elect to automatically convert the Series A preferred stock to common stock as described below. Series A preferred shareholders also received three-year warrants to purchase, at an exercise price per share of \$12.50, one share of common stock for every 40 shares of Series A preferred stock purchased. The warrants are exercisable immediately. In the Certificate of Designations for the Series A preferred stock dated July 31, 2011, the Company agreed to permit preferred shareholders to sell their shares back to the Company for the stated value of \$7.50 per share, plus accrued dividends, according to the following schedule:

Up to 33% of the holder's unredeemed shares one year after issuance:

Up to 66% of the holder's unredeemed shares two years after issuance; and

Up to 100% of the holder's unredeemed shares three years after issuance.

The Company's obligation to redeem Series A preferred shares will terminate upon the Company completing a registration of its common stock with the SEC. The Company may redeem the Series A preferred shares at a price equal to 110% of their liquidation preference (\$7.50 per share) at any time after December 15, 2012.

At the election of the Company, the Series A preferred shares may be automatically converted into the common stock of the Company in the event of either (1) a registered offering of the Company's common stock with the SEC aggregating gross proceeds of at least \$5.0 million at a price equal to or greater than \$11.00 per share of common stock, or (2) the consent of shareholders holding at least a majority of the then-outstanding shares of Series A preferred stock. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had issued 3,450,000

F-18

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

preferred shares resulting in gross consideration of \$25,799,000 (including cash proceeds, conversion of Series I Secured notes and accrued interest on Series I notes, and conversion of preferred dividends payable). In 2013, the Company redeemed 82,000 shares valued at \$614,000 resulting in 3,368,000 shares outstanding with the gross value of \$25,176,000. The Company incurred Series A preferred stock issuance costs of \$2,838,000, of which \$2,385,000 was amortized to additional paid in capital as of December 31, 2013, resulting in a carrying amount of \$24,723,000.

The Company determined that the grant date fair value of the outstanding warrants attached to the Series A preferred stock was \$395,000 for warrants issued through December 31, 2013. The Company may redeem outstanding warrants prior to their expiration, at a price of \$0.01 per share upon 30 days written notice to the investors at any time after (i) the Company has completed a registration of its common stock with the SEC and (ii) the weighted-average sale price per share of common stock equals or exceeds 14.00 per share for ten consecutive trading days ending on the third business day prior to proper notice of such redemption. Total warrants outstanding as of December 31, 2013, were 415,955 with a weighted-average remaining life of 1.34 years. Total warrants outstanding at December 31, 2012, were 415,955 with a weighted-average remaining life of 2.34 years.

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

Dividends on the Series A preferred stock may be paid in either cash or additional shares of Series A preferred stock at the election of the holder and approval of the Company. The dividends are reported as an expense and included in the caption interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

The Company declared and accrued dividends of \$2,528,000 and \$2,227,000 in 2013 and 2012, respectively, pursuant to a board resolution declaring the dividend. 89,000 and 81,000 shares of Series A preferred stock were issued in lieu of cash dividends in 2013 and 2012. The shares issued in lieu of cash dividends were issued at \$7.00 per share. As of December 31, 2013, Holdings has \$629,000 of accrued preferred dividends which were paid or converted to shares of Series A preferred stock on January 15, 2014.

(10) Income taxes

The Company did not have any current income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2013 or 2012. The components of deferred income tax expense for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, consisted of the following:

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Income tax provision: | | |
| Deferred: | | |
| Federal | \$ 1,826,000 | \$ 1,002,000 |
| State | 348,000 | 191,000 |
| Total income tax expense | \$ 2,174,000 | \$ 1,193,000 |

The following table provides a reconciliation of our income tax expense at the statutory federal tax rate to our actual income tax expense:

| | 2013 | | 2012 | |
|--|--------------|--------|--------------|--------|
| Statutory federal income tax | \$ 673,000 | 34.0% | \$ 61,000 | 34.0% |
| State income taxes, net of federal benefit | 298,000 | 15.1% | 165,000 | 91.2% |
| Series A preferred stock dividends | 860,000 | 43.4% | 757,000 | 420.1% |
| Other permanent differences | 343,000 | 17.3% | 210,000 | 116.5% |
| Total income tax expense | \$ 2,174,000 | 109.8% | \$ 1,193,000 | 661.8% |

The most significant temporary differences between GAAP net income and taxable net income are the treatment of interest costs with respect to the acquisition of the life insurance policies and revenue recognition with respect to the mark-to-market of life insurance portfolio.

F-19

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to deferred income taxes were as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|-----------|--------------|
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Athena Securities Group, LTD, advisory services | \$ | \$ 1,455,000 |
| Note receivable from related party | 2,023,000 | 2,023,000 |
| Net operating loss carryforwards | 2,596,000 | 1,671,000 |
| Other assets | 164,000 | 20,000 |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Subtotal | 4,783,000 | 5,169,000 |
| Valuation allowance | (2,164,000) | (2,023,000) |
| Net deferred tax asset | 2,619,000 | 3,146,000 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Investment in life settlements | (10,294,000) | (8,647,000) |
| Net deferred tax liability | \$ (7,675,000) | \$ (5,501,000) |

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had federal net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards of \$4,182,000 and \$4,129,000, respectively, which will begin to expire in 2031. Future utilization of NOL carryforwards is subject to limitation under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. This section generally relates to a more than 50 percent change in ownership over a three-year period. We currently do not believe that any issuance of common stock has resulted in an ownership change under Section 382.

The Company provides for a valuation allowance when it is not considered more likely than not that our deferred tax assets will be realized. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, based upon all available evidence, the Company has provided a valuation allowance of \$2,164,000, and 2,023,000, respectively, against deferred tax assets related to the likelihood of recovering the tax benefit of a capital loss on a note receivable from a related entity. The change was \$141,000 and \$0 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Management believes all other deferred tax assets are recoverable.

ASC 740, Income Taxes, requires the reporting of certain tax positions which do not meet a threshold of more-likely-than-not to be recorded as uncertain tax benefits. It is management's responsibility to determine whether it is more-likely-than-not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation, based upon the technical merits of the position. Management has reviewed all income tax positions taken or expected to be taken for all open years and determined that the income tax positions are appropriately stated and supported. The Company does not anticipate that the total unrecognized tax benefits will significantly change prior to December 31, 2014.

Under the Company's accounting policies, interest and penalties on unrecognized tax benefits, as well as interest received from favorable tax settlements are recognized as components of income tax expense. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company has recorded no accrued interest or penalties related to uncertain tax positions.

The Company's income tax returns for tax years ended December, 31 2013, 2012 and 2011 remain open to examination by the Internal Revenue Service and various state taxing jurisdictions.

(11) Common Stock

On July 11, 2011, the Company entered into a Purchase and Sale Agreement with Athena Securities Group, LTD and Athena Structured Funds PLC. Under this agreement, Holdings issued to Athena Securities Group, LTD (Athena) 494,500 shares of common stock, which was equal to 9.9% of the outstanding shares in the Company, in exchange for shares equal to 9.9% of the outstanding shares in Athena Structured

F-20

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Funds, PLC (Athena Funds) and cash of \$5,000. In accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 505-50, the Company recorded the share-based payment transaction with Athena at the fair value of the Company's 494,500 shares of common stock issued as it was the most reliable measurable form of consideration in this exchange the total value ascribed to the common stock issued to Athena was \$3.6 million. The \$5,000 cash paid by Athena, which represents the fair value of the shares of Athena Funds, is included in financing activities of the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows.

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

On June 28, 2013, GWG Holdings, Inc. entered into a new Purchase and Sale Agreement with Athena Securities Limited and Athena Securities Group Limited. The June 28, 2013 agreement terminated the parties' original Purchase and Sale Agreement dated July 11, 2011. Under the new agreement, Holdings appointed Athena Securities Group Limited (i) as Holdings' exclusive representative for the offer and sale of Holdings' Renewable Secured Debentures in Ireland, and (ii) as a distributor for the offer and sale of those debentures in Europe and the Middle East, in each case until May 8, 2014. Any compensation payable to Athena Securities Group Limited will be in accordance with the compensation disclosures set forth in Holdings' prospectus for the offering filed with the SEC on dated June 4, 2013, as the same may be supplemented or amended from time to time. In addition, the new agreement effected the sale by Athena Securities Limited to Holdings of 432,500 shares of Holdings' common stock, and Holdings' sale back to Athena Securities Group Limited of certain shares of GWG Securities International Public Limited Company (formerly known as Athena Structured Funds PLC) originally transacted under the original July 11, 2011 agreement. The Company recorded a non-cash gain on the transaction of \$3,252,000.

(12) Stock Incentive Plan

The Company adopted the GWG Holdings, Inc. 2013 Stock Incentive Plan on March 27, 2013. The plan shall be administered by Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company. The Company's Chief Executive Officer may, on a discretionary basis and without committee review or approval, grant incentives to new employees of the Company who are not Officers of the Company. Incentives under the plan may be granted in one or a combination of the following forms: (a) incentive stock options and non-statutory stock options; (b) stock appreciation rights; (c) stock awards; (d) restricted stock; (e) restricted stock units; and (f) performance shares. Eligible participants include officers and employees of the company, members of the Board of Directors, and consultants or other independent contractors. 1,000,000 shares are issuable under the plan. No person shall receive grants of stock options and SARs under the plan that exceed, in the aggregate 200,000 shares of common stock in any one year. The term of each stock option shall be determined by the committee but shall not exceed ten years. Vested stock options may be exercised in whole or part by the holder giving notice to the Company. The holder of the option may provide payment for the exercise price or surrender shares equal to the exercise price.

The Company issued stock options for 433,250 shares of common stock to employees, officers, and directors of the Company in 2013. Options for 208,750 shares vested immediately, and the remaining options vested over three years. The shares were issued with an exercise price of \$7.52, which is equal to the estimated market price of the shares on the date of grant valued using Black-Scholes Binomial option pricing model. The expected volatility used in the Black-Scholes model valuation of options issued during the year was 19.73% annualized. The annual volatility rate is based on the standard deviation of the average continuously compounded rate of return of five selected comparable companies over the previous 52 weeks. Forfeiture rate of 15% is based on historical company information and expected future trend. In 2013 stock options for 52,750 shares were forfeited.

F-21

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Stock options granted during the year ended December 31, 2013:

| Grant Date | Exercise Price | Shares | Vesting | Binomial Value | Forfeiture Factor | Compensation Expense |
|------------|----------------|------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| 9/5/2013 | \$ 7.46 | 1,250.00 | Immediate | 0.36 | 0.87 | 391.50* |
| 9/5/2013 | \$ 7.52 | 142,500.00 | Immediate | 0.36 | 0.87 | 44,631.00* |
| 9/5/2013 | \$ 8.28 | 25,000.00 | Immediate | 0.36 | 0.87 | 7,830.00* |
| 9/5/2013 | \$ 7.46 | 1,500.00 | 1 year | 0.36 | 0.85 | 459.00 |
| 9/5/2013 | \$ 7.52 | 44,338.50 | 1 year | 0.36 | 0.85 | 13,567.58 |
| 9/5/2013 | \$ 8.28 | 2,833.50 | 1 year | 0.36 | 0.85 | 867.05 |
| 9/5/2013 | \$ 7.46 | 1,500.00 | 2 years | 0.6 | 0.7225 | 650.25 |
| 9/5/2013 | \$ 7.52 | 44,333.00 | 2 years | 0.6 | 0.7225 | 19,218.36 |
| 9/5/2013 | \$ 8.28 | 2,833.50 | 2 years | 0.6 | 0.7225 | 1,228.32 |
| 9/5/2013 | \$ 7.46 | 1,500.00 | 3 years | 0.82 | 0.6141 | 755.34 |
| 9/5/2013 | \$ 7.52 | 44,328.50 | 3 years | 0.82 | 0.6141 | 22,322.15 |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| Grant Date | Exercise Price | Shares | Vesting | Binomial Value | Forfeiture Factor | Compensation Expense |
|------------|----------------|------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| 9/5/2013 | \$ 8.28 | 2,833.00 | 3 years | 0.82 | 0.6141 | 1,426.59 |
| 9/30/2013 | \$ 7.52 | 4,000.00 | Immediate | 0.66 | 0.87 | 2,296.80* |
| 10/28/2013 | \$ 7.52 | 4,250.00 | Immediate | 0.66 | 0.87 | 2,440.35* |
| 10/28/2013 | \$ 7.52 | 17,000.00 | 1 year | 0.66 | 0.85 | 9,537.00 |
| 10/28/2013 | \$ 7.52 | 17,000.00 | 2 years | 0.92 | 0.7225 | 11,299.90 |
| 10/28/2013 | \$ 7.52 | 12,750.00 | 3 years | 1.14 | 0.6141 | 8,925.94 |
| 11/11/2013 | \$ 7.52 | 4,167.00 | 1 year | 0.66 | 0.85 | 2,337.69 |
| 11/11/2013 | \$ 7.52 | 4,166.50 | 2 years | 0.92 | 0.7225 | 2,769.47 |
| 11/11/2013 | \$ 7.52 | 4,166.50 | 3 years | 1.14 | 0.6141 | 2,916.86 |
| 11/12/2013 | \$ 7.52 | 1,750.00 | Immediate | 0.66 | 0.87 | 1,004.85* |
| 11/12/2013 | \$ 7.52 | 7,000.00 | 1 year | 0.66 | 0.85 | 3,927.00 |
| 11/12/2013 | \$ 7.52 | 7,000.00 | 2 years | 0.92 | 0.7225 | 4,652.90 |
| 11/12/2013 | \$ 7.52 | 5,250.00 | 3 years | 1.14 | 0.6141 | 3,675.39 |
| 12/12/2013 | \$ 7.52 | 30,000.00 | Immediate | 0.66 | 0.87 | 17,226.00* |
| | | 433,250.00 | | | | |

* Amounts reflected in current period earnings.

Outstanding stock options:

| | Vested | Un-vested | Total |
|---------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Balance as of December 31, 2012 | | | |
| Granted during the year | 208,750 | 224,500 | 433,250 |
| Exercised during the year | | | |
| Forfeited during the year | (13,750) | (14,250) | (28,000) |
| Expired during the year | | | |
| Balance as of December 31, 2013 | 195,000 | 210,250 | 405,250 |

Compensation expense related to un-vested options not yet recognized is \$104,851. We expect to recognize this compensation expense over the next 2.7 years.

(13) Net loss per common share

The Company began issuing Series A preferred stock September, 1, 2011, as described in note 9. The Series A preferred stock is anti-dilutive to the net loss per common share calculation at December 31, 2013 and 2012. The Company has also issued warrants to purchase common stock in conjunction with the sale of convertible preferred stock, as discussed in note 9. The warrants are anti-dilutive at December 31, 2013 and 2012 and have not been included in the fully diluted net loss per common share calculation.

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| NET LOSS | \$ (194,955) | \$(1,012,899) |
| Accretion of preferred stock to liquidation value | (806,624) | (1,578,405) |
| LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS | \$(1,001,579) | \$(2,591,304) |
| Basic and diluted weighted average shares outstanding | 4,758,699 | 4,999,500 |
| NET LOSS PER COMMON SHARE (BASIC AND DILUTED) | | |
| Net loss | \$ (0.04) | \$ (0.20) |
| Accretion of value to preferred stock | \$ (0.17) | \$ (0.32) |
| Net loss attributable to common shareholders | \$ (0.21) | \$ (0.52) |

(14) Commitments

The Company entered into an office lease with U.S. Bank National Association as the landlord. The lease was effective April 22, 2012 with a term through August 31, 2015. The lease is for 11,695 square feet of office space located at 220 South Sixth Street, Minneapolis, Minnesota. The Company is obligated to pay base rent plus common area maintenance and a share of the building operating costs. Rent expenses under this and previous agreements were \$200,000 and \$162,000 in years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Minimum lease payments under the lease agreement effective April 22, 2012 are as follows:

| | |
|-------|------------|
| 2014 | 104,000 |
| 2015 | 70,000 |
| Total | \$ 174,000 |

(15) Contingencies

Litigation In the normal course of business, the Company is involved in various legal proceedings. In the opinion of management, any liability resulting from such proceedings would not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Opportunity Finance, LLC, owned by Jon Sabes and Steven Sabes, is subject to litigation clawback claims by the bankruptcy trustee for third-party matters for payments that may have been deemed preference payments. In addition, Jon Sabes and Steven Sabes are subject to litigation clawback claims by the bankruptcy trustee for payments received from Opportunity Finance that may have been deemed preference payments. If the parties are unsuccessful in defending against these claims, their equity ownership in the Company may be sold or transferred to other parties to satisfy such claims. In addition, the Company loaned \$1,000,000 to Opportunity Finance, LLC, and was repaid in full plus interest of \$177,000. This investment amount may also be subject to clawback claims by the bankruptcy court.

(16) Guarantees of secured debentures

Holdings has registered with the SEC the offer and sale \$250,000,000 of secured debentures as described in note 8. The secured debentures are secured by the assets of Holdings as described in note 8 and a pledge of all the common stock by the largest shareholders. Obligations under the debentures are guaranteed by GWG Life. This guarantee involves the grant of a security interest in all the assets of GWG Life. The payment of principal and interest on the secured debentures is fully and unconditionally guaranteed by GWG Life. Substantially all of the Company's life insurance policies are held by DLP II and the Trust. The policies held by DLP II are not collateral for the debenture obligations as such policies are collateral for the credit facility.

The consolidating financial statements are presented in lieu of separate financial statements and other related disclosures of the subsidiary guarantors and issuer because management does not believe that separate financial statements and related disclosures would be material to investors. There are currently no significant

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

restrictions on the ability of Holdings or GWG Life, the guarantor subsidiary, to obtain funds from its subsidiaries by dividend or loan, except as follows. DLP II is a borrower under a credit agreement with Autobahn, with DZ Bank AG as agent, as described in note 6. The significant majority of insurance policies owned by the Company are subject to a collateral arrangement with DZ Bank AG described in notes 3 and 6. Under this arrangement, collection and escrow accounts are used to fund premiums of the insurance policies and to pay interest and other charges under the revolving credit facility. DZ Bank AG and Autobahn must authorize all disbursements from these accounts, including any distributions to GWG Life. Distributions are limited to an amount that would result in the borrowers (DLP II, GWG Life and Holdings) realizing an annualized rate of return on the equity funded amount for such assets of not more than 18%, as determined by DZ Bank AG. After such amount is reached, the credit agreement requires that excess funds be used for repayments of borrowings before any additional distributions may be made.

The following represents consolidating financial information as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, with respect to the financial position, and for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 with respect to results of operations and cash flows of Holdings and its subsidiaries. The parent column presents the financial information of Holdings, the primary obligor of the secured debentures. The guarantor subsidiary column presents the financial information of GWG Life, the guarantor subsidiary of the secured debentures, presenting its investment in DLP II and Trust under the equity method. The non-guarantor subsidiaries column presents the financial information of all non-guarantor subsidiaries including DLP II, United Lending, GWG Broker Services and the Trust.

Consolidating Balance Sheets

| December 31, 2013 | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiary | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| ASSETS | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 32,711,636 | \$ 738,157 | \$ | \$ | \$ 33,449,793 |
| Restricted cash | | 1,420,000 | 4,412,970 | | 5,832,970 |
| Investment in life settlements, at fair value | | | 234,672,794 | | 234,672,794 |
| Deferred financing costs, net | | | 357,901 | | 357,901 |
| Other assets | 381,883 | 484,510 | 200,625 | | 1,067,018 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 129,839,241 | 159,798,490 | | (289,637,731) | |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 162,932,760 | \$ 162,441,157 | \$ 239,644,290 | \$(289,637,731) | \$ 275,380,476 |

LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY
(DEFICIT)

LIABILITIES

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|----|---------------|
| Revolving credit facility | \$ | \$ | \$ 79,000,000 | \$ | \$ 79,000,000 |
| Series I Secured notes payable | | 29,275,202 | | | 29,275,202 |
| Renewable Secured Debentures | 131,646,062 | | | | 131,646,062 |
| Accounts payable | 233,214 | 106,655 | 500,000 | | 839,869 |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| December 31, 2013 | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiary | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Interest payable | 3,806,820 | 3,065,465 | 337,123 | | 7,209,408 |
| Other accrued expenses | 340,812 | 154,594 | 8,677 | | 504,083 |
| Deferred taxes | 7,675,174 | | | | 7,675,174 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 143,702,082 | 32,601,916 | 79,845,800 | | 256,149,798 |
| CONVERTIBLE, REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK | 24,722,693 | | | | 24,722,693 |

F-24

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Consolidating Balance Sheets (continued)

| December 31, 2013 | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiary | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) | | | | | |
| Member capital | | 129,839,241 | 159,798,490 | (289,637,731) | |
| Common stock | 4,562 | | | | 4,562 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 2,942,000 | | | | 2,942,000 |
| Accumulated deficit | (8,438,577) | | | | (8,438,577) |
| TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) | (5,492,015) | 129,839,241 | 159,798,490 | (289,637,731) | (5,492,015) |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) | | | | | |
| | \$ 162,932,760 | \$ 162,441,157 | \$ 239,644,290 | \$ (289,637,731) | \$ 275,380,476 |

| December 31, 2012 | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiary | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| ASSETS | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 25,035,579 | \$ 2,461,465 | \$ | \$ | \$ 27,497,044 |
| Restricted cash | | 1,748,700 | 344,392 | | 2,093,092 |
| Due from related parties | | 8,613 | | | 8,613 |
| Investment in life settlements, at fair value | | | 164,317,183 | | 164,317,183 |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| December 31, 2012 | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiary | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Deferred financing costs, net | | | 97,040 | | 97,040 |
| Death benefits receivable | | | 2,850,000 | | 2,850,000 |
| Other assets | 96,994 | 202,979 | 785,090 | | 1,085,063 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 60,608,585 | 96,914,613 | | (157,523,198) | |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 85,741,158 | \$ 101,336,370 | \$ 168,393,705 | \$(157,523,198) | \$ 197,948,035 |
| <u>LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT)</u> | | | | | |
| LIABILITIES | | | | | |
| Revolving credit facility | \$ | \$ | \$ 71,000,000 | \$ | \$ 71,000,000 |
| Series I Secured notes payable | | 37,844,711 | | | 37,844,711 |
| Renewable Secured Debentures | 55,718,950 | | | | 55,718,950 |
| Accounts payable | 73,084 | 104,975 | 292,000 | | 470,059 |
| Interest payable | 905,017 | 2,444,097 | 128,206 | | 3,477,320 |
| Other accrued expenses | 898,611 | 382,522 | 10,366 | | 1,291,499 |
| Deferred taxes | 5,501,407 | | | | 5,501,407 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 63,097,069 | 40,776,305 | 71,430,572 | | 175,303,946 |
| CONVERTIBLE, REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK | 23,905,878 | | | | 23,905,878 |
| STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) | | | | | |
| Member capital | | 60,560,065 | 96,963,133 | (157,523,198) | |
| Common stock | 4,995 | | | | 4,995 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 6,976,838 | | | | 6,976,838 |
| Accumulated deficit | (8,243,622) | | | | (8,243,622) |
| TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) | (1,261,789) | 60,560,065 | 96,963,133 | (157,523,198) | (1,261,789) |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) | \$ 85,741,158 | \$ 101,336,370 | \$ 168,393,705 | \$(157,523,198) | \$ 197,948,035 |

F-25

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Consolidating Statements of Operations

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| For the year ended December 31, 2013 | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiary | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| REVENUE | | | | | |
| Contract servicing fees | \$ | \$ 3,710,737 | \$ | \$ (3,710,737) | \$ |
| Gain on life settlements, net | | | 29,513,642 | | 29,513,642 |
| Gain upon termination of agreement with Athena Securities Ltd. | \$ 3,252,400 | | | | \$ 3,252,400 |
| Interest and other income | 81,931 | 2,612,420 | 79,767 | (2,475,386) | 298,732 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | 3,334,331 | 6,323,157 | 29,593,409 | (6,186,123) | 33,064,774 |
| EXPENSES | | | | | |
| Origination and servicing fees | | | 3,710,737 | (3,710,737) | |
| Interest expense | 11,800,718 | 3,684,811 | 5,277,115 | | 20,762,644 |
| Employee compensation and benefits | 3,424,383 | 1,619,465 | | | 5,043,848 |
| Legal and professional fees | 1,206,520 | 514,728 | 32,961 | | 1,754,209 |
| Other expenses | 2,004,636 | 1,463,084 | 2,532,927 | (2,475,386) | 3,525,261 |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | 18,436,257 | 7,282,088 | 11,553,740 | (6,186,123) | 31,085,962 |
| INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE EQUITY IN INCOME OF SUBSIDIARIES | | | | | |
| | (15,101,926) | (958,931) | 18,039,669 | | 1,978,812 |
| EQUITY IN INCOME OF SUBSIDIARIES | | | | | |
| | 17,080,738 | 18,088,189 | | (35,168,927) | |
| NET INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | | | | | |
| | 1,978,812 | 17,129,258 | 18,039,669 | (35,168,927) | 1,978,812 |
| INCOME TAX EXPENSE | | | | | |
| | 2,173,767 | | | | 2,173,767 |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | | | | | |
| | (194,955) | 17,129,258 | 18,039,669 | (35,168,927) | (194,955) |
| Accretion of preferred stock to liquidation value | | | | | |
| | (806,624) | | | | (806,624) |
| LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS | | | | | |
| | \$ (1,001,579) | \$ 17,129,258 | \$ 18,039,669 | \$ (35,168,927) | \$ (1,001,579) |

| For the year ended December 31, 2012 | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiary | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| REVENUE | | | | | |
| Contract servicing fees | \$ | \$ 2,539,437 | \$ | \$ (2,539,437) | \$ |
| Gain on life settlements, net | | | 17,436,743 | | 17,436,743 |
| Interest and other income | 42,668 | 223,311 | 42,747 | (219,671) | 89,055 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | 42,668 | 2,762,748 | 17,479,490 | (2,759,108) | 17,525,798 |
| EXPENSES | | | | | |
| Origination and servicing fees | | | 2,539,437 | (2,539,437) | |
| Interest expense | 4,311,719 | 4,833,058 | 1,953,521 | (219,671) | 10,878,627 |
| Employee compensation and benefits | | 2,903,373 | | | 2,903,373 |
| Legal and professional fees | 899,588 | 162,323 | 14,783 | | 1,076,694 |
| Other expenses | 937,562 | 1,496,752 | 52,499 | | 2,486,813 |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | 6,148,869 | 9,395,506 | 4,560,240 | (2,759,108) | 17,345,507 |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| For the year ended December 31, 2012 | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiary | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE EQUITY IN INCOME OF SUBSIDIARIES | | | | | |
| INCOME OF SUBSIDIARIES | (6,106,201) | (6,632,758) | 12,919,250 | | 180,291 |
| EQUITY IN INCOME OF SUBSIDIARIES | 6,286,492 | 13,035,698 | | (19,322,190) | |
| NET INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | | | | | |
| NET INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 180,291 | 6,402,940 | 12,919,250 | (19,322,190) | 180,291 |
| INCOME TAX EXPENSE | 1,193,190 | | | | 1,193,190 |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | (1,012,899) | 6,402,940 | 12,919,250 | (19,322,190) | (1,012,899) |
| Accretion of preferred stock to liquidation value | (1,578,405) | | | | (1,578,405) |
| LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS | | | | | |
| LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS | \$(2,591,304) | \$ 6,402,940 | \$ 12,919,250 | \$(19,322,190) | \$ (2,591,304) |

F-26

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows

| For the year ended December 31, 2013 | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiary | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (194,955) | \$ 17,129,258 | \$ 18,039,669 | \$(35,168,927) | \$ (194,955) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to cash flows from operating activities: | | | | | |
| Equity of subsidiaries | (17,080,738) | (18,088,189) | | 35,168,927 | |
| Gain on life settlements | | | (39,337,542) | | (39,337,542) |
| Amortization of deferred financing and issuance costs | 1,908,248 | 823,004 | (260,861) | | 2,470,391 |
| Deferred income taxes | 2,173,767 | | | | 2,173,767 |
| Preferred stock issued for dividends | 623,899 | | | | 623,899 |
| Convertible, redeemable preferred stock dividends payable | 255 | | | | 255 |
| Gain upon termination of agreement with Athena Securities Ltd. | (3,252,400) | | | | (3,252,400) |
| (Increase) decrease in operating assets: | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| For the year ended December 31, 2013 | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiary | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Due from related parties | | 8,613 | | | 8,613 |
| Death benefits receivable | | | 2,850,000 | | 2,850,000 |
| Other assets | (51,522,808) | (45,077,218) | | 96,033,606 | (566,420) |
| Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities: | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | 160,130 | 1,680 | 208,000 | | 369,810 |
| Interest payable | 2,399,975 | 809,540 | 208,918 | | 3,418,433 |
| Other accrued expenses | 277,321 | (224,990) | (1,690) | | 50,641 |
| NET CASH FLOWS USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES | (64,507,306) | (44,618,302) | (18,293,506) | 96,033,606 | (31,385,508) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | | | |
| Investment in life settlements | | | (34,997,500) | | (34,997,500) |
| Proceeds from settlement of life settlements | | | 4,563,896 | | 4,563,896 |
| NET CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | (30,433,604) | | (30,433,604) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | | | |
| Net proceeds from revolving credit facility | | | 8,000,000 | | 8,000,000 |
| Payments for redemption of Series I Secured notes payable | | (8,671,624) | | | (8,671,624) |
| Proceeds from issuance of debentures | 85,260,976 | | | | 85,260,976 |
| Payments for issuance of debentures | (4,320,542) | | | | (4,320,542) |
| Payments for redemption of debentures | (8,143,363) | | | | (8,143,363) |
| Proceeds (payments) from restricted cash | | 328,700 | (4,068,578) | | (3,739,878) |
| Issuance of member capital | | 51,237,918 | 44,795,688 | (96,033,606) | |
| Payments for redemption of preferred stock | (613,708) | | | | (613,708) |
| NET CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES | 72,183,363 | 42,894,994 | 48,727,110 | (96,033,606) | 67,771,861 |
| NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 7,676,057 | (1,723,308) | | | 5,952,749 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | | | | | |
| BEGINNING OF THE YEAR | 25,035,579 | 2,461,465 | | | 27,497,044 |
| END OF THE YEAR | \$ 32,711,636 | \$ 738,157 | \$ | \$ | \$ 33,449,793 |

F-27

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows (continued)

| <u>For the year ended December 31, 2012</u> | <u>Parent</u> | <u>Guarantor Subsidiary</u> | <u>Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries</u> | <u>Eliminations</u> | <u>Consolidated</u> |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (1,012,899) | \$ 6,402,940 | \$ 12,919,250 | \$(19,322,190) | \$ (1,012,899) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to cash flows from operating activities: | | | | | |
| Equity of subsidiaries | (6,286,492) | (13,035,698) | | 19,322,190 | |
| Gain on life settlements | | | (27,856,374) | | (27,856,374) |
| Amortization of deferred financing and issuance costs | 506,279 | 1,169,755 | 232,896 | | 1,908,930 |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,193,190 | | | | 1,193,190 |
| Preferred stock issued for dividends | 567,478 | | | | 567,478 |
| Convertible, redeemable preferred stock dividends payable | 338,695 | | | | 338,695 |
| (Increase) decrease in operating assets: | | | | | |
| Due from related parties | | (6,348) | | | (6,348) |
| Death benefits receivable | | | (2,850,000) | | (2,850,000) |
| Other assets | (33,137,100) | (22,587,090) | (772,090) | 55,627,115 | (869,165) |
| Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities: | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | (306,373) | 48,665 | | | (257,708) |
| Interest payable | 918,374 | 806,058 | 20,167 | | 1,744,599 |
| Other accrued expenses | (55,890) | (16,352) | 2,950 | | (69,292) |
| NET CASH FLOWS USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES | (37,274,738) | (27,218,070) | (18,303,201) | 55,627,115 | (27,168,894) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | | | |
| Investment in life settlements | | | (15,067,495) | | (15,067,495) |
| Proceeds from settlement of life settlements | | | 1,067,210 | | 1,067,210 |
| NET CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | (14,000,285) | | (14,000,285) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | | | |
| Net proceeds from revolving credit facility | | | 11,000,000 | | 11,000,000 |
| Payments for redemption of Series I Secured notes payable | | (7,477,197) | | | (7,477,197) |
| Proceeds from issuance of debentures | 58,553,280 | | | | 58,553,280 |
| Payments for issuance of debentures | (3,024,545) | | | | (3,024,545) |
| Payments for redemption of debentures | (112,500) | | | | (112,500) |
| Proceeds (payments) from restricted cash | | (926,473) | 3,627,683 | | 2,701,210 |
| Issuance of member capital | | 37,951,312 | 17,675,803 | (55,627,115) | |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| For the year ended December 31, 2012 | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiary | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Issuance of preferred stock | 6,414,273 | | | | 6,414,273 |
| Payments for issuance of preferred stock | (1,266,647) | | | | (1,266,647) |
| NET CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES | 60,563,861 | 29,547,642 | 32,303,486 | (55,627,115) | 66,787,874 |
| NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 23,289,123 | 2,329,572 | | | 25,618,695 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | | | | | |
| BEGINNING OF THE YEAR | 1,746,456 | 131,893 | | | 1,878,349 |
| END OF THE YEAR | \$ 25,035,579 | \$ 2,461,465 | \$ | \$ | \$ 27,497,044 |

F-28

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(17) Concentration

GWG purchases life insurance policies written by life insurance companies having investment grade ratings by independent rating agencies. As a result there may be certain concentrations of contracts with life insurance companies. The following summarizes the face value of insurance contracts with specific life insurance companies exceeding 10% of the total face value held by the Company.

| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | % | % |
| Life insurance company | | |
| Company A | 16.58 | 16.96 |
| Company B | 11.34 | 13.80 |
| Company C | * | 11.36 |

* percentage does not exceed 10% of the total face value.

The following summarizes the number of insurance contracts held in specific states exceeding 10% of the total face value held by the Company:

| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | % | % |
| State of residence | | |

| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| California | 28.14 | 28.44 |
| Florida | 15.59 | 13.27 |
| New York | 10.65 | 11.85 |

(18) Subsequent events

Stock split On June 24, 2014, the Company's Board of Directors and majority stockholders approved a joint resolution to effect an amendment to the Company's Certificate of Incorporation in the state of Delaware whereby the company shall enact a reverse split of the common stock such that for every two (2) shares of common stock issued and outstanding immediately prior to the effective date shall, automatically and without any action on the part of the respective holders thereof, be combined and converted into one (1) share of common stock. The effective date of the amendment and reverse stock split is June 24, 2014. In lieu of fractional shares, stockholders received cash payments in an amount equal to the fraction to which the stockholder would otherwise be entitled multiplied by the price of the common stock, as determined by the Board of Directors of the Corporation, but adjusted so as to give effect to the reverse stock split. The par value of the common stock remained at \$0.001 per share.

All share and per-share information presented elsewhere in these financial statements and corresponding notes have been adjusted to reflect the stock split.

F-29

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

| | September 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | (unaudited) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 29,511,989 | \$ 33,449,793 |
| Restricted cash | 2,144,734 | 5,832,970 |
| Investment in life settlements, at fair value | 276,381,979 | 234,672,794 |
| Other assets | 3,453,974 | 1,424,919 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$311,492,676 | \$275,380,476 |
| LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) | | |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Revolving credit facility | \$ 79,000,000 | \$ 79,000,000 |
| Series I Secured notes payable | 27,701,842 | 29,275,202 |
| Renewable Secured Debentures | 169,186,917 | 131,646,062 |
| Interest payable | 9,768,218 | 7,209,408 |
| Accounts payable and other accrued expenses | 2,069,386 | 1,343,952 |
| Deferred taxes, net | 3,545,504 | 7,675,174 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 291,271,867 | 256,149,798 |
| CONVERTIBLE, REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK (par value \$0.001; shares authorized 40,000,000; shares issued and outstanding 3,368,109; liquidation preference of \$25,261,000) | | 24,722,693 |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| | September 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| on December 31, 2013) | | |
| STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) | | |
| CONVERTIBLE, REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK (par value \$0.001; shares authorized 40,000,000; shares issued and outstanding 2,710,214; liquidation preference of \$20,327,000 on September 30, 2014) | 20,217,836 | |
| COMMON STOCK (par value \$0.001: shares authorized 210,000,000; 5,871,798 shares issued and 5,870,193 shares outstanding on September 30 , 2014 and 4,562,000 shares both issued and outstanding on December 31, 2013) | 5,870 | 4,562 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 16,323,738 | 2,942,000 |
| Accumulated deficit | (16,326,635) | (8,438,577) |
| TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) | 20,220,809 | (5,492,015) |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) | \$311,492,676 | \$275,380,476 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

F-30

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(unaudited)**

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | September 30, 2014 | September 30, 2013 | September 30, 2014 | September 30, 2013 |
| REVENUE | | | | |
| Gain on life settlements, net | \$ 5,118,423 | \$ 5,437,580 | \$ 16,119,517 | \$21,511,182 |
| Interest and other income | 10,229 | 89,927 | 23,975 | 3,531,922 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | 5,128,652 | 5,527,507 | 16,143,492 | 25,043,104 |
| EXPENSES | | | | |
| Employee compensation and benefits | 1,376,710 | 1,100,159 | 3,524,274 | 4,101,502 |
| Legal and professional fees | 760,130 | 420,874 | 1,627,769 | 1,134,181 |
| Interest expense | 6,796,736 | 5,537,326 | 19,731,327 | 14,946,484 |
| Other expenses | 1,453,367 | 755,066 | 3,277,850 | 2,955,237 |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | 10,386,943 | 7,813,425 | 28,161,220 | 23,137,404 |
| INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES | (5,258,291) | (2,285,918) | (12,017,728) | 1,905,700 |
| INCOME TAX (BENEFIT) EXPENSE | (1,858,100) | (656,968) | (4,129,670) | 1,710,826 |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | \$ (3,400,191) | \$ (1,628,950) | \$ (7,888,058) | \$ 194,874 |
| Accretion of preferred stock to liquidation value | (117,674) | (191,663) | (344,658) | (658,303) |
| LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS | \$ (3,517,865) | \$ (1,820,613) | \$ (8,232,716) | \$ (463,429) |
| NET LOSS PER SHARE | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.76) | \$ (0.40) | \$ (1.80) | \$ (0.10) |
| Diluted | \$ (0.76) | \$ (0.24) | \$ (1.80) | \$ (0.06) |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING | | | | |
| Basic | 4,633,097 | 4,562,000 | 4,579,920 | 4,867,962 |
| Diluted | 4,633,097 | 7,489,000 | 4,579,920 | 7,803,947 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

F-31

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(unaudited)**

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | September 30, 2014 | September 30, 2013 | September 30, 2014 | September 30, 2013 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (3,400,191) | \$ (1,628,950) | \$ (7,888,058) | \$ 194,874 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash flows from operating activities: | | | | |
| Life settlements change in fair value | (8,761,912) | (6,960,335) | (30,973,250) | (25,904,240) |
| Amortization of deferred financing and issuance costs | 863,217 | 1,125,913 | 2,570,881 | 3,056,793 |
| Deferred income taxes | (1,858,100) | (655,968) | (4,129,670) | 1,710,826 |
| Convertible, redeemable preferred stock dividends payable | 186,182 | 185,231 | 575,513 | 443,486 |
| (Increase) decrease in operating assets: | | | | |
| Other assets | (847,221) | 432,671 | (2,096,140) | (3,181,461) |
| Increase in operating liabilities: | | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 490,496 | 470,395 | 3,658,659 | 2,168,874 |
| NET CASH FLOWS USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES | (13,327,529) | (7,031,043) | (38,282,065) | (21,510,848) |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | | |
| Investment in life settlements | (680,000) | (14,030,797) | (11,559,435) | (26,916,790) |
| Proceeds from settlement of life settlements | 930,625 | 1,331,743 | 999,125 | 4,203,895 |
| NET CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES | 250,625 | (12,699,054) | (10,560,310) | (22,712,895) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | | |
| Net proceeds from revolving credit facility | | | | 8,000,000 |
| Payments for redemption of Series I Secured notes payable | (509,004) | (2,311,710) | (2,047,928) | (6,242,586) |
| Proceeds from issuance of Renewable Secured Debentures | 15,281,809 | 19,617,094 | 48,516,296 | 62,056,755 |
| Payments for issuance costs and redemption of Renewable Secured Debentures | (4,494,383) | (4,305,558) | (13,816,794) | (9,642,129) |
| Proceeds from (uses of) restricted cash | 665,699 | 954,217 | 3,688,236 | (1,416,558) |
| Issuance (repurchase) of common stock | 9,030,000 | | 9,030,000 | (3,252,400) |
| Redemptions of convertible, redeemable preferred stock | (445,183) | (35,285) | (465,239) | (347,089) |
| NET CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES | 19,528,938 | 13,918,758 | 44,904,571 | 49,155,993 |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 6,452,034 | (5,811,339) | (3,937,804) | 4,932,250 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | | | | |
| BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 23,059,955 | 38,240,633 | 33,449,793 | 27,497,044 |
| END OF PERIOD | \$ 29,511,989 | \$ 32,429,294 | \$ 29,511,989 | \$ 32,429,294 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

F-32

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS CONTINUED
(unaudited)**

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | September 30, 2014 | September 30, 2013 | September 30, 2014 | September 30, 2013 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION | | | | |
| Interest paid | \$5,095,000 | \$3,605,000 | \$12,877,000 | \$10,117,000 |
| NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | | |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| Series I secured notes: | | | | |
| Non-cash conversion of Series I secured notes | \$ | \$ 350,000 | \$ | \$ 350,000 |
| Non-cash conversion of accrued interest and commissions payable to principal | \$ 47,000 | \$ 39,000 | \$ 152,000 | \$ 190,000 |
| Renewable secured debentures: | | | | |
| Non-cash conversion of accrued interest and commission payable to principal | \$ 133,000 | \$ 91,000 | \$ 415,000 | \$ 191,000 |
| Convertible, redeemable preferred stock | | | | |
| Non-cash conversion to common stock | \$4,957,000 | \$ | \$ 4,957,000 | \$ |
| Non-cash conversion of dividends payable | \$ 192,000 | \$ 182,000 | \$ 573,000 | \$ 443,000 |
| Non-cash accretion of convertible, redeemable preferred stock to redemption value | \$ 118,000 | \$ 192,000 | \$ 345,000 | \$ 658,000 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

F-33

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**

| | Preferred Stock Shares | Preferred Stock | Common Shares | Common Stock (par) | Additional Paid-in Capital | Accumulated Deficit | Total Equity |
|--|------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | | \$ | 4,994,500 | \$4,995 | \$ 6,976,838 | \$ (8,243,622) | \$ (1,261,789) |
| Net loss | | | | | | (194,955) | (194,955) |
| Repurchase of common stock | | | (432,500) | (433) | (3,251,967) | | (3,252,400) |
| Issuance of stock options | | | | | 23,753 | | 23,753 |
| Accretion of preferred stock to liquidation value | | | | | (806,624) | | (806,624) |
| Balance, December 31, 2013 | | \$ | 4,562,000 | \$4,562 | \$ 2,942,000 | \$ (8,438,577) | \$ (5,492,015) |
| Net loss | | | | | | (7,888,058) | (7,888,058) |
| Issuance of common stock | | | 800,000 | 800 | 8,653,605 | | 8,654,405 |
| Conversion of preferred stock | | | 508,193 | 508 | 4,956,591 | | 4,957,099 |
| Reclassification of preferred stock from temporary equity to permanent | 2,710,214 | 20,217,836 | | | | | 20,217,836 |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| | Preferred Stock Shares | Preferred Stock | Common Shares | Common Stock (par) | Additional Paid-in Capital | Accumulated Deficit | Total Equity |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| equity due to initial public offering (*) | | | | | | | |
| Issuance of stock options | | | | | 116,200 | | 116,200 |
| Accretion of preferred stock to liquidation value | | | | | (344,658) | | (344,658) |
| Balance, September 30, 2014 | 2,710,214 | \$ 20,217,836 | 5,870,193 | \$ 5,870 | \$ 16,323,738 | \$ (16,326,635) | \$ 20,220,809 |

* Subject to the terms of the Certificate of Designation for Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, the listing of our common stock on The Nasdaq Capital Market on September 25, 2014 resulted in the termination of a redemption right in favor of the holders of such preferred stock. Preferred stock that is not redeemable by a shareholder is treated as stockholders' equity as shown in the table above.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

F-34

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)**

(1) Nature of business and summary of significant accounting policies

Nature of business GWG Holdings, Inc. (GWG Holdings) and subsidiaries, located in Minneapolis, Minnesota, facilitates the purchase of life insurance policies for its own investment portfolio through its wholly owned subsidiary, GWG Life, LLC (GWG Life), and its subsidiaries, GWG Trust (Trust), GWG DLP Funding II, LLC (DLP II) and its wholly owned subsidiary, GWG DLP Master Trust II (the Trust II). Our wholly owned subsidiary, GWG Broker Services, LLC (Broker Services), was formed to earn fees for brokering policy transactions between market participants. Our wholly owned subsidiary United Lending, LLC (United Lending) and its wholly owned subsidiary United Lending SPV, LLC (United Lending SPV) were formed to finance life settlement premiums and policy loans. All of these entities are legally organized in Delaware. Unless the context otherwise requires or we specifically so indicate, all references in this report to we, us, our, our Company, GWG or the Company refer to these entities collectively. GWG Member, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary formed November 2010 to facilitate the acquisition of policies, has not commenced operations as of September 30, 2014.

Basis of presentation The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2014, the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, and the related information presented in these notes, have been prepared by management in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X, without audit. To the extent that information and notes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements are contained in or are consistent with the consolidated audited financial statements in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, such information and notes have not been duplicated herein. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation of results have been included. The condensed consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2013 was derived from the audited consolidated financial statements as of that date. Operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year

ending December 31, 2014. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Use of estimates The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The Company regularly evaluates estimates and assumptions. The Company bases its estimates and assumptions on current facts, historical experience, and various other factors that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities and the accrual of costs and expenses that are not readily apparent from other sources. The actual results experienced by the Company may differ materially and adversely from the Company's estimates. To the extent there are material differences between the estimates and the actual results, future results of operations will be affected. The most significant estimates with regard to these consolidated financial statements relates to (1) the determination of the assumptions used in estimating the fair value of the investment in life insurance policies, and (2) the value of deferred tax assets.

Life settlements Financial Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 325-30, *Investments in Insurance Contracts*, allows a reporting entity the election to account for its investments in life settlements using either the investment method or the fair value method. The election shall be made on an instrument-by-instrument basis and is irrevocable. Under the investment method, an investor shall recognize the initial investment at the purchase price plus all initial direct costs. Continuing costs (policy premiums and direct external costs, if any) to keep the policy in force shall be capitalized. Under the fair value method, an investor

F-35

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

shall recognize the initial investment at the purchase price. In subsequent periods, the investor shall remeasure the investment at fair value in its entirety at each reporting period and shall recognize the change in fair value in current period income net of premiums paid. The Company uses the fair value method to account for all life settlements.

The Company recognizes realized gains (revenue) from life settlement contracts upon one of the two following events:

- 1) Receipt of death notice or verified obituary of insured
- 2) Sale of policy and filing of change of ownership forms and receipt of payment

The Company recognizes the difference between the death benefits and carrying values of the policy when an insured event has occurred and the Company determines that settlement and ultimate collection of the death benefits is realizable and reasonably assured. Revenue from a transaction must meet both criteria in order to be recognized. In an event of a sale of a policy the Company recognizes gain or loss as the difference between the sale price and the carrying value of the policy on the date of the receipt of payment on such sale.

Deposits and initial direct costs advanced on unsettled policy acquisitions are recorded as other assets until policy ownership has been transferred to the Company. Such deposits and direct cost advances were \$25,000 and \$201,000 at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Deferred financing and issuance costs Costs incurred to obtain financing under the revolving credit facility, as described in note 6, have been capitalized and are amortized using the straight-line method over the term of the revolving credit facility. Amortization of deferred financing costs was \$89,000 for both the three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, and \$268,000 and \$365,000 for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The future amortization is expected to be \$89,000 for the three months ending December 31, 2014. The Series I Secured notes payable, as described in note 7, are reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method over the term of each respective borrowing. The Renewable Secured Debentures, as described in note 8, are reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method over the

term of each respective borrowing. The Series A preferred stock, as described in note 9, is reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions, including the fair value of warrants issued, and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method as interest expense over the three-year redemption period.

Earnings (loss) per share Basic per share earnings (loss) attributable to non-redeemable interests is calculated using the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is calculated based on the potential dilutive impact, if any, of the Company's convertible, redeemable preferred stock, and outstanding warrants, and stock options.

Subsequent events Subsequent events are events or transactions that occur after the balance sheet date but before consolidated financial statements are issued. The Company recognizes in the consolidated financial statements the effects of all subsequent events that provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet, including the estimates inherent in the process of preparing the consolidated financial statements. The Company's consolidated financial statements do not recognize subsequent events that provide evidence about conditions that did not exist at the date of the balance sheet but arose after the balance sheet date and before the consolidated financial statements are available to be issued. The Company evaluates subsequent events and transactions that occur after the balance sheet date up to the date that the consolidated financial statements are filed for potential recognition or disclosure.

F-36

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)**

Recently adopted pronouncements In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, which converges the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board standard on revenue recognition. Areas of revenue recognition that will be affected include, but are not limited to, transfer of control, variable consideration, allocation of transfer pricing, licenses, time value of money, contract costs and disclosures. This is effective for the fiscal years and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. We are currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of ASU 2014-09 will have on our consolidated financial statements or related disclosures.

(2) Restrictions on cash

The Company is required by its lenders to maintain collection and escrow accounts. These accounts are used to fund the acquisition, pay annual premiums of insurance policies, pay interest and other charges under the revolving credit facility, and collect policy benefits. DZ Bank AG, as agent for Autobahn Funding Company, LLC, the lender for the revolving credit facility as described in note 6, authorizes the disbursements from these accounts. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 there was a balance of \$2,145,000, and \$5,833,000, respectively, maintained in these restricted cash accounts.

(3) Investment in life insurance policies

The life insurance policies (Level 3 fair value measurements) are valued based on unobservable inputs that are significant to the overall fair value measurement. Changes in the fair value of these instruments are recorded in gain or loss on life insurance policies in the consolidated statements of operations (net of the cash premiums paid on the policies). The fair value is determined on a discounted cash flow basis that incorporates life expectancy assumptions. Life expectancy reports have been obtained from widely accepted life expectancy providers. The discount rate incorporates current information about market interest rates, the credit exposure to the insurance company that issued the life insurance policy and our estimate of the risk premium an investor in the policy would require. As a result of management's analysis, discount rates of 11.56% and 11.69% were applied to the portfolio as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

A summary of the Company's life insurance policies accounted for under the fair value method and their estimated maturity dates, based on remaining life expectancy, is as follows:

| <u>Years Ending December 31,</u> | <u>As of September 30, 2014</u> | | | <u>As of December 31, 2013</u> | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| | <u>Number of Contracts</u> | <u>Estimated Fair Value</u> | <u>Face Value</u> | <u>Number of Contracts</u> | <u>Estimated Fair Value</u> | <u>Face Value</u> |
| | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| | As of September 30, 2014 | | | As of December 31, 2013 | | |
|------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | \$ | \$ | | \$ | \$ |
| 2014 | | | | | | |
| 2015 | 3 | 4,936,000 | 6,000,000 | 4 | 5,065,000 | 6,750,000 |
| 2016 | 10 | 10,198,000 | 14,700,000 | 8 | 8,174,000 | 13,750,000 |
| 2017 | 21 | 29,061,000 | 49,630,000 | 25 | 33,345,000 | 63,916,000 |
| 2018 | 30 | 36,645,000 | 71,167,000 | 33 | 37,243,000 | 80,318,000 |
| 2019 | 43 | 46,015,000 | 109,295,000 | 34 | 32,844,000 | 89,295,000 |
| 2020 | 40 | 39,560,000 | 96,224,000 | 34 | 27,741,000 | 75,644,000 |
| Thereafter | 142 | 109,967,000 | 440,948,000 | 125 | 90,261,000 | 410,975,000 |
| Totals | 289 | \$ 276,382,000 | \$ 787,964,000 | 263 | \$ 234,673,000 | \$ 740,648,000 |

The Company recognized policy benefits of \$3,000,000 and \$5,000,000 during the three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, related to policies with a carrying value of \$931,000 and \$1,332,000, respectively. The Company recorded realized gains of \$2,069,000 and \$3,668,000 on such policies. During the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company recognized policy benefits of \$3,300,000 and \$15,600,000, respectively, related to policies with carrying value of \$999,000 and

F-37

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)**

\$4,204,000, respectively. The Company recorded realized gains of \$2,301,000 and \$11,396,000 on such policies. Subsequent to September 30, 2014, four policies with combined insurance benefits of \$13,000,000 have matured.

Reconciliation of gain on life settlements:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Change in fair value | \$ 8,762,000 | \$ 6,960,000 | \$ 30,973,000 | \$ 25,904,000 |
| Premiums and other annual fees | (5,713,000) | (5,191,000) | (17,155,000) | (15,789,000) |
| Policy maturities | 2,069,000 | 3,668,000 | 2,301,000 | 11,396,000 |
| Gain on life settlements, net | \$ 5,118,000 | \$ 5,437,000 | \$ 16,119,000 | \$ 21,511,000 |

The estimated expected premium payments to maintain the above life insurance policies in force through 2018, assuming no mortalities, are as follows:

Years Ending December 31,

| | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Three months ending December 31, 2014 | \$ 6,364,000 |
| 2015 | 26,728,000 |
| 2016 | 29,354,000 |
| 2017 | 32,829,000 |

Years Ending December 31,

| | |
|------|----------------|
| 2018 | 35,753,000 |
| | \$ 131,028,000 |

Management anticipates funding the estimated premium payments as noted above with proceeds from the DZ Bank revolving credit facility and through additional debt and equity financing as well as from cash proceeds from maturities of life insurance policies. The proceeds of these capital sources are also intended to be used for the purchase, financing, and maintenance of additional life insurance policies.

(4) Fair value definition and hierarchy

ASC 820 establishes a hierarchical disclosure framework which prioritizes and ranks the level of market price observability used in measuring assets and liabilities at fair value. Market price observability is affected by a number of factors, including the type of investment, the characteristics specific to the investment and the state of the marketplace including the existence and transparency of transactions between market participants. Assets and liabilities with readily available active quoted prices or for which fair value can be measured from actively quoted prices in an orderly market generally will have a higher degree of market price observability and a lesser degree of judgment used in measuring fair value. ASC 820 establishes a three-level valuation hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company's assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (i.e., the exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

F-38

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)

The hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the observability of inputs as follows:

Level 1 Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Since valuations are based on quoted prices that are readily and regularly available in an active market, valuation of these products does not entail a significant degree of judgment.

Level 2 Valuations based on one or more quoted prices in markets that are not active or for which all significant inputs are observable, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 Valuations based on inputs that are unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement.

The availability of observable inputs can vary by types of assets and liabilities and is affected by a wide variety of factors, including, for example, whether instrument is established in the marketplace, the liquidity of markets and other characteristics particular to the transaction. To the extent that valuation is based on models or inputs that are less observable or unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment. Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised by management in determining fair value is greatest for assets and liabilities categorized in Level 3.

Level 3 Valuation Process

The estimated fair value of the Company's life settlements are determined on a quarterly basis by the Company taking into consideration changes in discount rate assumptions, estimated premium payments and life expectancy assumptions, as well as any changes in economic and other

relevant conditions. These inputs are then used to estimate the discounted cash flows using the Model Actuarial Pricing System (MAPS) probabilistic portfolio pricing model, which estimates the cash flows using various different probabilities and scenarios. The valuation process includes a review by senior management as of each valuation date. Management also engages a third party expert to independently test the accuracy of the valuations using the inputs provided by management.

Life insurance policies represent financial instruments recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. The following table reconciles the beginning and ending fair value of the Company's Level 3 investments in life insurance policies for the three and nine-month periods ending September 30, 2014 and 2013, as follows:

| | Three month ended September 30, | | Nine Months ended September 30, | |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Beginning balance | \$ 267,896,000 | \$ 193,892,000 | \$ 234,673,000 | \$ 164,317,000 |
| Purchases | 655,000 | 14,646,000 | 11,735,000 | 28,149,000 |
| Maturities (cash in excess of carrying value) | (931,000) | (1,332,000) | (999,000) | (4,204,000) |
| Net change in fair value | 8,762,000 | 6,960,000 | 30,973,000 | 25,904,000 |
| Ending balance | \$ 276,382,000 | \$ 214,166,000 | \$ 276,382,000 | \$ 214,166,000 |

The fair value of a portfolio of life insurance policies is based on information available to the Company at the reporting date. Fair value is based upon a discounted cash flow model that incorporates life expectancy estimate assumptions. Life expectancy estimates are obtained from independent, third-party, widely accepted life expectancy estimate providers at policy acquisition. The life expectancy values of each insured, as determined at policy acquisition, are rolled down monthly for the passage of time by the MAPS actuarial software the Company uses for ongoing valuation of its portfolio of life insurance policies. The discount rate incorporates current information about discount rates applied by other reporting companies owning portfolios of life insurance policies, discount rates observed in the life insurance secondary market, market interest rates, the credit exposure to the insurance company that issued the life insurance policy and management's estimate

F-39

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)**

of the risk premium a purchaser would require to receive the future cash flows derived from our portfolio of life insurance policies.

On January 22, 2013, one of the independent medical actuarial underwriting firms we utilize, 21st Services, announced advancements in its underwriting methodology, resulting in revised estimated life expectancy mortality tables for life settlement transactions. We were advised by 21st Services that the changes are very granular and relate to both specific medical conditions and lifestyles of insureds. These changes were the result of the application of additional medical information gathered by 21st Services over a period of time, and which were applied to the inputs and methodologies used to develop the actuarial life expectancies. While we do not believe these revised methodologies indicate the previous estimated life expectancies were inaccurate, we believe the revised methodologies provide additional information that should be considered in updating our estimate of the life expectancies of the insureds within our portfolio. Based upon our evaluation and analysis of data made available by 21st Services, as well as information regarding the insureds within our portfolio, we have estimated the impact of the changes in 21st Services' methodologies for determining life expectancies on a policy-by-policy basis within our portfolio as of December 31, 2012 and applied such changes to the life expectancy inputs used to estimate fair value. We have adjusted the original life expectancies provided by 21st Services based on four factors, the impact of each analyzed individually for each insured in the GWG portfolio. The four factors are gender, anti-selection, age, and primary impairment. GWG applied this set of adjustments to all 21st Services life expectancy reports used in valuation of the portfolio as of December 31, 2012. At that time, the portfolio contained 211 policies on 194 insured lives. Of those 211 policies, 199 were valued using a 21st Services life expectancy report as part of the pricing life expectancy estimate calculation. While the analysis and adjustments were applied on an individual policy basis, the result was an average overall increase in the original life expectancy estimates of 8.67%. We have

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

a standard practice of obtaining two third-party life expectancy estimates for each policy in our portfolio. As a result, the effective change in life expectancy on the portfolio as of December 31, 2012 was an average of approximately 4.33%, which resulted in an aggregate decrease in the fair value of our life settlements portfolio of \$12.4 million as of December 31, 2012. Life expectancy reports by their very nature are estimates.

During 2013, we sought to update our life expectancy estimates from all four of the major independent third-party medical-actuarial underwriting firms (including 21st Services) with updated medical records on all of the 211 policies we originally used a life expectancy report from 21st Services. As of December 31, 2013, we had successfully procured new life expectancy reports on 176 of the 211 policies owned as of December 31, 2012. We experienced ten mortalities in 2013 for which no updated life expectancy reports were necessary. We also had two small face policies (\$1 million face value or less) in our portfolio for which we did not update life expectancy reports. Accordingly, as of September 30, 2014 we had updated our life expectancy estimates based on updated life expectancy reports on all but 10 policies (covering 9 people) in our portfolio that we are still seeking to update.

In order to assess the reasonableness of our adjustments, made effective December 31, 2012, we compared the life expectancy estimates including any adjustments used on December 31, 2012 to the updated life expectancy estimates used on December 31, 2013. Because an additional year has elapsed since the December 31, 2012 date, the older set of adjusted life expectancy estimates were rolled down to shorter numbers based on an actuarial calculation to make them comparable to the updated life expectancy estimates used on December 31, 2013. The average amount of roll down to account for the 12-month passage of time was eight and one-half months.

We concluded that the adjustments we made effective December 31, 2012, were reasonable when we compared the rolled down life expectancy estimates from December 31, 2012 to the updated life expectancy estimates on December 31, 2013. The average rolled down life expectancy estimate from December 31, 2012

F-40

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

is 80.9 months. The average updated life expectancy estimate obtained from updated life expectancy reports as of December 31, 2013 is 79.4 months, shorter by one and one-half months.

On September 15, 2014, 21st Services announced changes to its mortality tables primarily for insureds age 90 and older, as well as updated adjustment factors designed to better underwrite seniors with multiple impairments. These changes represent small portions of 21st Services historical underwritings. We expect medical-actuarial underwriting firms to continue improving and refining their underwriting methodology.

The fair value of life insurance policies is estimated using present value calculations of estimated cash flows based on the data specific to each individual life insurance policy. Estimated future policy premium payments are calculated based on the terms of the policy and the premium payment history. The following summarizes the unobservable inputs utilized in estimating the fair value of the portfolio of life insurance policies:

| | As of September 30, 2014 | As of December 31, 2013 |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Weighted average age of insured | 82.6 | 82.1 |
| Weighted average life expectancy, months* | 80.3 | 87.0 |
| Average face amount per policy | \$2,727,000 | \$2,816,000 |
| Discount rate | 11.56% | 11.69% |

* Standard life expectancy as adjusted for insured s specific circumstances.

These assumptions are, by their nature, inherently uncertain and the effect of changes in estimates may be significant. The techniques used in estimating the present value of estimated cash flows are derived from valuation techniques generally used in the life settlement industry that include inputs for the asset that are not based on observable market data. The extent to which the fair value could reasonably vary in the near term has been quantified by evaluating the effect of changes in significant underlying assumptions used to estimate the fair value. If the life expectancy estimates were increased or decreased by four and eight months on each outstanding policy and the discount rates were increased or decreased by 1% and 2%, while all other variables are held constant, the fair value of the investment in life insurance policies would increase or (decrease) by the amounts summarized below:

Changes in fair value of life insurance policies

| Change in life expectancy estimates | plus 8 months | minus 8 months | plus 4 months | minus 4 months |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| September 30, 2014 | \$(38,876,000) | \$40,795,000 | \$(19,680,000) | \$20,166,000 |
| December 31, 2013 | \$(34,382,000) | \$36,152,000 | \$(17,417,000) | \$17,865,000 |

| Change in discount rate | plus 2% | minus 2% | plus 1% | minus 1% |
|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| September 30, 2014 | \$(24,279,000) | \$28,449,000 | \$(12,608,000) | \$13,646,000 |
| December 31, 2013 | \$(22,944,000) | \$27,063,000 | \$(11,933,000) | \$12,959,000 |

Other Fair Value Considerations

Carrying value of receivables, prepaid expenses, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate fair value due to their short-term maturities and low credit risk. The estimated fair value of the Company's Series I Secured notes payable and Renewable Secured Debentures is approximately \$203,920,000 based on a weighted-average market interest rate of 7.16% based on an income approach, the combined face value of these notes is \$201,439,000 as of September 30, 2014. The carrying value of the revolving credit facility reflects interest charged at the commercial paper rate plus an applicable margin. The margin represents our

F-41

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)**

credit risk, and the strength of the portfolio of life insurance policies collateralizing the debt. The overall rate reflects market, and the carrying value of the revolver approximates fair value. All of the financial instruments are level 3 fair value measurements.

The Company has issued warrants to purchase common stock in connection with the issuance of its convertible, redeemable preferred stock. Warrants are classified as permanent equity. The fair value measurements associated with the warrants, measured at issuance represent level 3 instruments.

As of September 30, 2014:

| Month issued | Warrants issued | Fair value per share | Risk free rate | Volatility | Term |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------|------------|------|
|--------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------|------------|------|

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| <u>Month issued</u> | <u>Warrants issued</u> | <u>Fair value per share</u> | <u>Risk free rate</u> | <u>Volatility</u> | <u>Term</u> |
|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| December 2011 | 68,937 | \$0.22 | 0.42% | 25.25% | 3 years |
| March 2012 | 38,130 | \$0.52 | 0.38% | 36.20% | 3 years |
| June 2012 | 161,840 | \$1.16 | 0.41% | 47.36% | 3 years |
| July 2012 | 144,547 | \$1.16 | 0.41% | 47.36% | 3 years |
| September 2012 | 2,500 | \$0.72 | 0.31% | 40.49% | 3 years |
| | 415,954 | | | | |

Volatility is based upon the weekly percentage change in the stock price of selected comparable insurance companies. In June 2012, we evaluated the comparable companies used, and made certain changes to those used. The percentage change is calculated on the average price of those selected stocks at the weekly close of business for the year preceding the balance sheet date. We compare annual volatility based on this weekly information.

In conjunction with the Company's recent initial public offering the Company issued a warrant for the purchase of up to 16,000 shares of the Company's common stock to the underwriters of the initial public offering.

(5) Notes receivable from related parties

As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had receivables totaling \$5,000,000 due from an affiliate, Opportunity Finance, LLC, which were fully reserved. Opportunity Finance ceased operations in 2008.

(6) Credit facilities

Revolving credit facility – Autobahn Funding Company LLC

On July 15, 2008, DLP II and United Lending entered into a revolving credit facility pursuant to a Credit and Security Agreement (Agreement) with Autobahn Funding Company LLC (Autobahn), providing the Company with a maximum borrowing amount of \$100,000,000. Autobahn is a commercial paper conduit that issues commercial paper to investors in order to provide funding to DLP II and United Lending. DZ Bank AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank (DZ Bank) acts as the agent for Autobahn. The original Agreement was to expire on July 15, 2013. On January 29, 2013, GWG Holdings, together with GWG Life and DLP II, entered into an Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement with Autobahn, extending the facility expiration date to December 31, 2014, and removing United Lending as a party to the amended and restated agreement. On May 29, 2014, GWG Holdings, together with GWG Life and DLP II, entered into an Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement with Autobahn and DZ Bank (as committed lender and Agent). The amendment was entered into for the purpose of extending the maturity date.

F-42

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)**

for borrowings under the agreement to December 31, 2016. The amount outstanding under this facility as of both September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was \$79,000,000.

The Agreement requires DLP II to pay, on a monthly basis, interest at the commercial paper rate plus an applicable margin, as defined in the Agreement. The effective rate was 6.22% at September 30, 2014 and 6.19% at December 31, 2013. The weighted average effective interest rate was 6.23% (excluding the unused line fee) for both three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. The Agreement also requires payment of an unused line fee on the unfunded amount under the revolving credit facility. The note is secured by substantially all of DLP II assets which consist primarily of life insurance policies.

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

The Agreement has certain financial and nonfinancial covenants. The Company was in compliance with these covenants at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The Agreement generally prohibits the Company from:

changing its corporate name, offices, and jurisdiction of incorporation;

changing any deposit accounts or payment instructions to insurers;

changing any operating policies and practices such that it would be reasonably likely to adversely affect the collectability of any asset in any material respect;

merging or consolidating with, or selling all or substantially all of its assets to, any third party;

selling any collateral or creating or permitting to exist any adverse claim upon any collateral;

engaging in any other business or activity than that contemplated by the Agreement;

incurring or guaranteeing any debt for borrowed money;

amending the Company's certificate of incorporation or bylaws, making any loans or advances to, investments in, or paying any dividends to, any person unless both before and after any such loan, advance, investment or dividend there exists no actual event of default, potential event of default or termination event;

removing an independent director on the board of directors except for cause or with the consent of the lender; or

making payment on or issuing any subsidiary secured notes or debentures, or amending any agreements respecting such notes or debentures, if an event of default, potential event of default or termination event exists or would arise from any such action.

In addition, the Company has agreed to maintain (i) a positive consolidated net income on a Non-GAAP basis (as defined and calculated under the Agreement) for each complete fiscal year and (ii) a tangible net worth on a Non-GAAP basis (as defined and calculated under the Agreement) of not less than \$15 million, and (iii) maintain a borrowing base surplus or cash cushion sufficient to pay 12 months of premiums and facility fees.

Consolidated net income and tangible net worth as of and for the four quarters ended September 30, 2014, as calculated under the agreement, was \$20,467,000 and \$78,236,000 respectively.

Advances under the Agreement are subject to a borrowing base formula, which limits the availability of advances based on attributes of policies pledged to the facility. Over-concentration of policies by insurance carrier, over-concentration of policies by insurance carriers with ratings below a AA- rating, and the premiums and facility fees reserve are the three primary factors with the potential of limiting availability of funds on the

F-43

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

facility. Total funds available for additional borrowings under the borrowing base formula criteria at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, were \$11,576,000 and \$3,937,000 respectively.

On July 15, 2008, GWG Holdings delivered a performance guaranty in favor of Autobahn pursuant to which it guaranteed the obligations of GWG Life, in its capacity as the seller and master servicer, under the Credit and Security Agreement and related documents. On January 29, 2013 and in connection with the Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement, GWG Holdings delivered a reaffirmation of its performance guaranty. The obligations of GWG Holdings under the performance guaranty and subsequent reaffirmation do not extend to the principal and interest owed by DLP II as the borrower under the credit facility.

(7) Series I Secured notes payable

Series I Secured notes payable have been issued in conjunction with the GWG Series I Secured notes private placement memorandum dated August 25, 2009 (last revised November 15, 2010). On June 14, 2011, the Company closed the offering to additional investors; however, existing investors may elect to continue advancing amounts outstanding upon maturity subject to the Company's option. Series I Secured notes have maturity dates ranging from six months to seven years with fixed interest rates varying from 5.65% to 9.55% depending on the term of the note. Interest is payable monthly, quarterly, annually or at maturity depending on the terms of the note. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the weighted-average interest rate of Series I Secured notes was 8.37% and 8.35%, respectively. The notes are secured by assets of GWG Life. The principal amount outstanding under these Series I Secured notes was \$28,193,000 and \$29,744,000 at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The difference between the amount outstanding on the Series I Secured notes and the carrying amount on the consolidated balance sheet is due to netting of unamortized deferred issuance costs. Overall, interest expense includes amortization of deferred financing and issuance costs of \$126,000 and \$427,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively, and \$165,000 and \$606,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. Future expected amortization of deferred financing costs is \$491,000 over the next six years.

Future contractual maturities of Series I Secured notes payable at September 30, 2014 are as follows:

Years Ending December 31,

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Three months ending December 31, 2014 | \$ 1,925,000 |
| 2015 | 12,729,000 |
| 2016 | 8,217,000 |
| 2017 | 4,427,000 |
| 2018 | 754,000 |
| Thereafter | 141,000 |
| | \$ 28,193,000 |

(8) Renewable Secured Debentures

The Company has registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission, effective January 2012, the offer and sale of \$250,000,000 of secured debentures. Renewable Secured Debentures have maturity dates ranging from six months to seven years with fixed interest rates varying from 4.75% to 9.50% depending on the term of the note. Interest is payable monthly, annually or at maturity depending on the terms of the debenture. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the weighted-average interest rate of Renewable Secured Debentures was 7.49% and 7.53%, respectively. The debentures are secured by assets of GWG Life and GWG Holdings. The amount outstanding under these Renewable Secured Debentures was \$173,245,000 and \$134,891,000 at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The difference between the amount outstanding on the Renewable Secured Debentures and the carrying amount on the consolidated

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)**

balance sheets is due to netting of unamortized deferred issuance costs and cash receipts for new issuances in process. Amortization of deferred issuance costs was \$829,000 and \$2,584,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively, and \$630,000 and \$1,253,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. Future expected amortization of deferred financing costs as of

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

September 30, 2014 is \$5,737,000. Subsequent to September 30, 2014, the Company has issued approximately an additional \$7,300,000 in principal amount of these Renewable Secured Debentures.

The use of proceeds from the issuances of Renewable Secured Debentures is limited to the following: (1) payment of commissions on sales of Renewable Secured Debentures, (2) payment of offering expenses, (3) purchase of life insurance policies, (4) payment of premiums on life insurance policies, (5) payment of principal and interest on Renewable Secured Debentures, (6) payment of portfolio operations expenses, and (7) for general working capital.

Future contractual maturities of Renewable Secured Debentures at September 30, 2014 are as follows:

Years Ending December 31,

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|
| Three months ending December 31, 2014 | \$ 16,086,000 |
| 2015 | 55,676,000 |
| 2016 | 43,434,000 |
| 2017 | 21,950,000 |
| 2018 | 10,730,000 |
| Thereafter | 25,369,000 |
| | \$ 173,245,000 |

The Company entered into an indenture effective October 19, 2011 with GWG Holdings as obligor, GWG Life as guarantor, and Bank of Utah as trustee for the benefit of the debenture holders. The Indenture has certain financial and nonfinancial covenants. The Company was in compliance with these covenants at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

(9) Convertible, redeemable preferred stock

The Company offered 3,333,333 shares of convertible redeemable preferred stock (Series A preferred stock) for sale to accredited investors in a private placement on July 31, 2011. The offering of Series A preferred stock concluded on September 2, 2012 and resulted in 3,278,000 shares being issued for gross consideration of \$24,582,000. As of September 30, 2014, 248,000 shares have been issued as a result of conversion of \$1,735,000 in dividends into shares of Series A preferred stock and 678,000 shares have been converted to 508,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The Series A preferred stock was sold at an offering price of \$7.50 per share. Series A preferred stock has a preferred yield of 10% per annum, and each share has the right to convert into 0.75 shares of the Company's common stock. Series A preferred shareholders also received three-year warrants to purchase, at an exercise price per share of \$12.50, one share of common stock for every 40 shares of Series A preferred stock purchased. The warrants are exercisable immediately. Upon their original issuance, these warrants had a three-year exercise period. Effective August 1, 2014, the Board of Directors authorized the extension of the warrant exercise period for an additional two years. In the Certificate of Designations for the Series A preferred stock dated July 31, 2011, the Company has agreed to permit preferred shareholders to sell their shares back to the Company for the stated value of \$7.50 per share, plus accrued dividends, according to the following schedule:

Up to 33% of the holder's unredeemed shares one year after issuance:

Up to 66% of the holder's unredeemed shares two years after issuance; and

Up to 100% of the holder's unredeemed shares three years after issuance.

F-45

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

The Company's obligation to redeem Series A preferred shares terminated upon the Company completing a registration of its common stock with the SEC which occurred on September 24, 2014 (See Note 11). As such, the convertible redeemable preferred stock was reclassified from temporary equity to permanent equity. The Company may redeem the Series A preferred shares at a price equal to 110% of their liquidation preference (\$7.50 per share) at any time after December 15, 2012. As of September 30, 2014, the Company had redeemed an aggregate of 145,000 shares of Series A preferred stock.

The Series A preferred shares (i) were convertible, at the election of the Company, into common stock of the Company in the event of either a registered offering of the Company's common stock with the SEC aggregating gross proceeds of at least \$5.0 million and at a price equal to or greater than \$11.00 per share; (ii) remain convertible at the option of each holder; and (iii) are required to be converted upon the consent of shareholders holding at least a majority of the then-outstanding Series A preferred stock. In connection with the Company's initial public offering, the Company elected to cause the conversion of 677,566 shares of preferred stock into 508,193 shares of common stock. As of September 30, 2014, the Company had 2,710,000 shares of Series A preferred stock outstanding with gross consideration of \$20,327,000 (including cash proceeds, conversion of Series I Secured notes and accrued interest on Series I notes, and conversion of preferred dividends payable). The Company incurred Series A preferred stock issuance costs of \$2,838,000, of which \$2,729,000 was amortized to additional paid in capital through September 30, 2014, resulting in a carrying amount of \$20,218,000.

The Company determined that the grant date fair value of the outstanding warrants attached to the Series A preferred stock was \$395,000 for warrants outstanding as of September 30, 2014. The Company may redeem outstanding warrants prior to their expiration, at a price of \$0.01 per share upon 30 days written notice to the investors at any time after (i) the Company has completed a registration of its common stock with the SEC and (ii) the volume of weighted-average sale price per share of common stock equals or exceeds \$14.00 per share for ten consecutive trading days ending on the third business day prior to proper notice of such redemption. Total warrants outstanding as of September 30, 2014, were 415,955 with a weighted-average remaining life of 2.59 years. Total warrants outstanding at December 31, 2013, were 415,955 with a weighted-average remaining life of 1.34 years. As of September 30, 2014, none of these warrants have been exercised.

Dividends on the Series A preferred stock may be paid in either cash or additional shares of Series A preferred stock at the election of the holder and approval of the Company. The dividends are reported as an expense and included in the caption interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations. The Company declared and accrued dividends of \$632,000 during both the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, and \$1,912,000 and \$1,897,000 during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, pursuant to a board resolution declaring the dividend. 27,000 and 26,000 shares of Series A preferred stock were issued in lieu of cash dividends in the three month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, and 82,000 and 63,000 shares of Series A preferred stock were issued in lieu of cash dividends in the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The shares issued in lieu of cash dividends were issued at \$7.00 per share. As of September 30, 2014, GWG Holdings has \$632,000 of accrued preferred dividends which were paid or converted to shares of Series A preferred stock on October 15, 2014.

(10) Income taxes

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company recorded income tax benefit of \$1,858,000 and \$4,130,000, or 35.3% and 34.4%, respectively, of income before taxes, compared to the recognition of an income tax benefit of \$657,000, or 28.7% of income before taxes for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and recording an income tax expense of \$1,711,000, or 89.8% of income before taxes, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The primary differences between the Company's September 30,

F-46

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

2014 effective tax rate and the statutory federal rate are the accrual of nondeductible preferred stock dividend expense of \$1,912,000, state taxes, and other non-deductible expenses.

The most significant temporary differences between GAAP net income and taxable net income are the treatment of interest costs with respect to the acquisition of the life insurance policies and revenue recognition with respect to the mark-to-market of life insurance portfolio.

(11) Common Stock

On September 24, 2014, GWG consummated an initial public offering of its common stock which resulted in the sale of 800,000 shares of common stock at \$12.50 per share. The sale resulted in net proceeds of approximately \$9.03 million after the deduction of underwriting commissions, discounts and expense reimbursements. In connection with this offering, the Company started listing its common stock on The NASDAQ Capital Market under the ticker symbol GWGH effective September 25, 2014. The Company intends to use the net proceeds from the offering to promote and advertise the opportunities for consumers owning life insurance and investors to profit from participating in the secondary market for life insurance policies, purchase additional life insurance policies in the secondary market, pay premiums on the Company's life insurance policy assets, fund its portfolio operations, and for working capital purposes.

On July 11, 2011, we entered into a Purchase and Sale Agreement with Athena Securities Group, Ltd. and Athena Structured Funds PLC. Under this agreement, we issued to Athena Securities Group, Ltd. (Athena) 494,500 shares of common stock, which was equal to 9.9% of our outstanding shares, in exchange for shares equal to 9.9% of the outstanding shares in Athena Structured Funds, PLC and cash of \$5,000. This 2011 agreement had contemplated cooperative efforts by the parties aimed at developing a security and related offering in Europe or Ireland, the proceeds of which would be used to finance the acquisition of life-insurance related assets in the United States. In 2013, we sought to terminate the 2011 agreement due to a changing regulatory environment in Europe that negatively affected the likelihood of consummating the contemplated offering of securities, and our dissatisfaction with Athena's performance under the 2011 agreement. As a result, in June 2013 we entered into a second Purchase and Sale Agreement with Athena Securities Ltd. and Athena. This agreement effected the termination of the 2011 agreement. The June 2013 agreement contained mutual general releases of claims and substantially unwound certain capital stock transactions that had been effected under the 2011 agreement. In particular, Athena returned to us for redemption 432,500 shares of our common stock, and retained 62,000 common shares in recognition of their earlier efforts under the 2011 agreement. For our part, we sold back to Athena all of our ownership in Athena Structured Funds, PLC that we had originally acquired under the 2011 agreement. Presently, we have no ongoing business relationship with Athena.

Stock split On June 24, 2014, the Company's Board of Directors and majority stockholders approved a joint resolution to effect an amendment to the Company's Certificate of Incorporation to effect a reverse split of the issued and outstanding common stock on a 2-for-1 basis. The effective date of the amendment and reverse stock split was June 24, 2014. In lieu of fractional shares, stockholders received cash payments in an amount equal to the fraction to which the stockholder would otherwise be entitled multiplied by the price of the common stock, as determined by the Board of Directors of the Corporation, but adjusted so as to give effect to the reverse stock split. The par value of the common stock remained at \$0.001 per share.

(12) Stock Incentive Plan

The Company adopted the GWG Holdings, Inc. 2013 Stock Incentive Plan on March 27, 2013. The plan is administered by Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company. The Company's Chief Executive Officer may, on a discretionary basis and without committee review or approval, grant incentives to

F-47

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

new employees of the Company who are not Officers of the Company. Incentives under the plan may be granted in one or a combination of the following forms: (a) incentive stock options and non-statutory stock options; (b) stock appreciation rights; (c) stock awards; (d) restricted stock; (e) restricted stock units; and (f) performance shares. Eligible participants include officers and employees of the company, members of the Board of Directors, and consultants or other independent contractors. 1,000,000 shares are issuable under the plan. No person shall receive grants of stock options and SARs under the plan that exceed, in the aggregate 200,000 shares of common stock in any one year. The term of each stock option shall be determined by the committee but shall not exceed ten years. Vested stock options may be exercised in whole or part by the holder giving notice to the Company. The holder of the option may provide payment for the exercise price or surrender shares equal to the exercise price.

The Company issued stock options for 632,351 shares of common stock to employees, officers, and directors of the Company through September 30, 2014. Options for 268,455 shares have vested, and the remaining options will have vested over three years. The options were issued with an exercise price between \$8.20 and \$8.71 for those owning more than 10% of the Company's stock and between \$7.46 and \$7.92 for others, which is equal to the estimated market price of the shares on the date of grant valued using Black-Scholes Binomial option pricing

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

model. The expected volatility used in the Black-Scholes model valuation of options issued during the year was 17.03% annualized. The annual volatility rate is based on the standard deviation of the average continuously compounded rate of return of five selected comparable companies over the previous 52 weeks. Forfeiture rate of 15% is based on historical company information and expected future trend. As of September 30, 2014, stock options for 32,750 shares were forfeited.

In September 2014, we entered into a stock option agreement (the Agreement) with a new management employee (the Employee) granting the Employee the right to purchase up to 318,000 of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$12.50. The grant of such rights to purchase the Company's common stock was treated as an inducement grant is not included The GWG Holdings Inc. 2013 Stock Incentive plan. The Agreement specifies that, among other things, options to purchase 159,000 shares of the Company's common stock will vest with the Employee ratably on the first, second and third anniversary of the date of the Agreement. The remaining 159,000 options will vest quarterly using a formula based upon the closing price of the Company's common stock on the last business day of such quarter. The maximum number of these remaining options that will vest with the Employee is 53,000 in each successive one year period beginning on the date of the Agreement.

Stock options granted through September 30, 2014:

| <u>Date Granted</u> | <u>Exercise Price</u> | <u>Number of Shares</u> | <u>Vesting</u> | <u>Binomial Value</u> | <u>Forfeiture Factor</u> | <u>Compensation Expense</u> |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 9/5/2013 | \$7.52 | 143,750 | Vested | 0.36 | 0.87 | \$45,023 |
| 9/5/2013 | \$8.28 | 25,000 | Vested | 0.36 | 0.87 | \$ 7,830 |
| 9/5/2013 | \$7.52 | 45,838 | 1 years | 0.36 | 0.85 | \$14,027 |
| 9/5/2013 | \$8.28 | 2,834 | 1 years | 0.36 | 0.85 | \$ 867 |
| 9/5/2013 | \$7.52 | 45,833 | 2 years | 0.6 | 0.72 | \$19,869 |
| 9/5/2013 | \$8.28 | 2,833 | 2 years | 0.6 | 0.72 | \$ 1,228 |
| 9/5/2013 | \$7.52 | 45,829 | 3 years | 0.82 | 0.61 | \$23,078 |
| 9/5/2013 | \$8.28 | 2,833 | 3 years | 0.82 | 0.61 | \$ 1,427 |
| 9/30/2013 | \$7.52 | 4,000 | Vested | 0.66 | 0.87 | \$ 2,297 |
| 10/28/2013 | \$7.52 | 6,000 | Vested | 0.66 | 0.87 | \$ 3,445 |
| 10/28/2013 | \$7.52 | 24,000 | 1 years | 0.66 | 0.85 | \$13,464 |
| 10/28/2013 | \$7.52 | 24,000 | 2 years | 0.92 | 0.72 | \$15,953 |
| 10/28/2013 | \$7.52 | 18,000 | 3 years | 1.14 | 0.61 | \$12,602 |
| 11/11/2013 | \$7.52 | 4,167 | 1 years | 0.66 | 0.85 | \$ 2,338 |

F-48

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)

| <u>Date Granted</u> | <u>Exercise Price</u> | <u>Number of Shares</u> | <u>Vesting</u> | <u>Binomial Value</u> | <u>Forfeiture Factor</u> | <u>Compensation Expense</u> |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 11/11/2013 | \$ 7.52 | 4,166 | 2 years | 0.92 | 0.72 | \$ 2,769 |
| 11/11/2013 | \$ 7.52 | 4,167 | 3 years | 1.14 | 0.61 | \$ 2,917 |
| 12/12/2013 | \$ 7.52 | 30,000 | Vested | 0.66 | 0.87 | \$ 17,226 |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| Date Granted | Exercise Price | Number of Shares | Vesting | Binomial Value | Forfeiture Factor | Compensation Expense |
|--------------|----------------|------------------|---------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| 4/1/2014 | \$ 7.46 | 1,935 | Vested | 0.468 | 0.87 | \$ 788 |
| 4/1/2014 | \$ 7.46 | 11,007 | 1 years | 1.028 | 0.85 | \$ 9,618 |
| 4/1/2014 | \$ 7.46 | 10,999 | 2 years | 1.24 | 0.72 | \$ 9,854 |
| 4/1/2014 | \$ 7.46 | 10,994 | 3 years | 1.292 | 0.61 | \$ 8,723 |
| 4/7/2014 | \$ 7.46 | 3,334 | 1 years | 1.028 | 0.85 | \$ 2,913 |
| 4/7/2014 | \$ 8.20 | 3,334 | 1 years | 1.028 | 0.85 | \$ 2,913 |
| 4/7/2014 | \$ 7.46 | 3,333 | 2 years | 1.24 | 0.72 | \$ 2,986 |
| 4/7/2014 | \$ 8.20 | 3,333 | 2 years | 1.24 | 0.72 | \$ 2,986 |
| 4/7/2014 | \$ 7.46 | 3,333 | 3 years | 1.292 | 0.61 | \$ 2,645 |
| 4/7/2014 | \$ 8.20 | 3,333 | 3 years | 1.292 | 0.61 | \$ 2,645 |
| 4/28/2014 | \$ 7.46 | 5,000 | Vested | 0.468 | 0.87 | \$ 2,036 |
| 4/28/2014 | \$ 7.46 | 2,500 | 1 years | 1.028 | 0.85 | \$ 2,185 |
| 4/28/2014 | \$ 7.46 | 2,500 | 2 years | 1.24 | 0.72 | \$ 2,240 |
| 4/28/2014 | \$ 7.46 | 2,500 | 3 years | 1.292 | 0.61 | \$ 1,984 |
| 5/27/2014 | \$ 7.46 | 2,500 | Vested | 0.468 | 0.87 | \$ 1,018 |
| 5/27/2014 | \$ 7.46 | 10,000 | 1 years | 1.028 | 0.85 | \$ 8,738 |
| 5/27/2014 | \$ 7.46 | 10,000 | 2 years | 1.24 | 0.72 | \$ 8,959 |
| 5/27/2014 | \$ 7.46 | 10,000 | 3 years | 1.292 | 0.61 | \$ 7,934 |
| 6/20/2014 | \$ 7.46 | 3,000 | Vested | 0.468 | 0.87 | \$ 1,221 |
| 6/20/2014 | \$ 7.46 | 6,000 | 1 years | 1.028 | 0.85 | \$ 5,243 |
| 6/20/2014 | \$ 7.46 | 6,000 | 2 years | 1.24 | 0.72 | \$ 5,375 |
| 6/20/2014 | \$ 7.46 | 3,000 | 3 years | 1.292 | 0.61 | \$ 2,380 |
| 9/2/2014 | \$ 7.92 | 15,000 | Vested | 2.33 | 0.85 | \$ 29,708 |
| 9/2/2014 | \$ 7.92 | 16,229 | 1 years | 2.383 | 0.85 | \$ 32,873 |
| 9/2/2014 | \$ 8.71 | 3,334 | 1 years | 1.694 | 0.85 | \$ 4,801 |
| 9/2/2014 | \$ 7.92 | 16,220 | 2 years | 2.564 | 0.72 | \$ 30,068 |
| 9/2/2014 | \$ 8.71 | 3,334 | 2 years | 1.95 | 0.72 | \$ 4,700 |
| 9/2/2014 | \$ 7.92 | 166 | 3 years | 2.232 | 0.61 | \$ 227 |
| 9/2/2014 | \$ 7.92 | 16,035 | 3 years | 2.804 | 0.61 | \$ 27,607 |
| 9/2/2014 | \$ 8.71 | 1,666 | 3 years | 2.232 | 0.61 | \$ 2,283 |
| 9/2/2014 | \$ 8.71 | 1,666 | 3 years | 2.804 | 0.61 | \$ 2,868 |
| 9/3/2014 | \$ 7.92 | 2,515 | Vested | 2.33 | 0.85 | \$ 4,981 |
| 9/8/2014 | \$ 7.92 | 1,667 | 1 years | 2.383 | 0.85 | \$ 3,377 |
| 9/8/2014 | \$ 7.92 | 1,667 | 2 years | 2.564 | 0.72 | \$ 3,090 |
| 9/8/2014 | \$ 7.92 | 1,667 | 3 years | 2.804 | 0.61 | \$ 2,870 |
| 9/22/2014 | \$12.50 | 13,250 | 0 years | 2.25 | 0.85 | \$ (25,341) |
| 9/22/2014 | \$12.50 | 13,250 | 1 years | 0.118 | 0.72 | \$ 1,130 |
| 9/22/2014 | \$12.50 | 39,750 | 1 years | 0.118 | 0.85 | \$ 3,987 |
| 9/22/2014 | \$12.50 | 53,000 | 1 years | 2.383 | 0.85 | \$107,354 |
| 9/22/2014 | \$12.50 | 13,250 | 2 years | 0.339 | 0.61 | \$ 2,758 |
| 9/22/2014 | \$12.50 | 39,750 | 2 years | 0.339 | 0.72 | \$ 9,743 |
| 9/22/2014 | \$12.50 | 53,000 | 2 years | 2.564 | 0.72 | \$ 98,250 |
| 9/22/2014 | \$12.50 | 39,750 | 3 years | 0.585 | 0.61 | \$ 14,281 |
| 9/22/2014 | \$12.50 | 53,000 | 3 years | 2.804 | 0.61 | \$ 91,248 |
| | | 950,351 | | | | |

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)

Outstanding stock options:

| | <u>Vested</u> | <u>Un-vested</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|----------------------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|
| Balance as of December 31, 2013 | 195,000 | 210,250 | 405,250 |
| Granted during the year | 29,950 | 487,151 | 517,101 |
| Vested during the year | 53,505 | (53,505) | |
| Forfeited during the year | (10,000) | (22,750) | (32,750) |
| Balance as of September 30, 2014 | 268,455 | 621,146 | 889,601 |

Compensation expense related to un-vested options not yet recognized is \$588,000. We expect to recognize this compensation expense over the next 3.50 years. Stock-based compensation cost for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 was \$44,000 and \$116,000, respectively.

(13) Net loss per common share

The Company began issuing Series A preferred stock September, 1, 2011, as described in note 9. The Series A preferred stock is anti-dilutive to the net loss per common share calculation at September 30, 2014 and dilutive at September 30, 2013. The Company has also issued warrants to purchase common stock in conjunction with the sale of convertible preferred stock, as discussed in note 9. The warrants are anti-dilutive at September 30, 2014 and 2013, and have not been included in the fully diluted net loss per common share calculation.

(14) Commitments

The Company entered into an office lease with U.S. Bank National Association as the landlord. The lease was effective April 22, 2012 with a term through August 31, 2015. The lease is for 11,695 square feet of office space located at 220 South Sixth Street, Minneapolis, Minnesota. The Company is obligated to pay base rent plus common area maintenance and a share of the building operating costs. Rent expenses under this agreement were \$157,000 and \$149,000 during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and \$56,000 and \$51,000 during the three-month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Minimum lease payments under the lease agreement effective April 22, 2012 are as follows:

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| Three months ending December 31, 2014 | \$ 26,000 |
| 2015 | 70,000 |
| Total | \$96,000 |

(15) Contingencies

Litigation In the normal course of business, the Company is involved in various legal proceedings. In the opinion of management, any liability resulting from such proceedings would not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Opportunity Finance, LLC, owned by Jon Sabes and Steven Sabes, is subject to litigation clawback claims by the bankruptcy trustee for third-party matters for payments that may have been deemed preference payments. In addition, Jon Sabes and Steven Sabes are subject to litigation clawback claims by the bankruptcy trustee for third-party matters for payments received from Opportunity Finance that may have been deemed preference payments. If the parties are unsuccessful in defending against these claims, their equity ownership in the Company may be sold or transferred to other parties to satisfy such claims. In addition, the Company loaned \$1,000,000 to Opportunity Finance, LLC, and was

repaid in full plus interest of \$177,000. This investment amount may also be subject to clawback claims by the bankruptcy court.

F-50

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)**

(16) Guarantees of secured debentures

GWG Holdings has registered with the SEC the offer and sale \$250,000,000 of secured debentures as described in note 8. The secured debentures are secured by the assets of GWG Holdings as described in note 8 and a pledge of all the common stock by the largest shareholders. Obligations under the debentures are guaranteed by GWG Life. This guarantee involves the grant of a security interest in all the assets of GWG Life. The payment of principal and interest on the secured debentures is fully and unconditionally guaranteed by GWG Life. Substantially all of the Company's life insurance policies are held by DLP II and the Trust. The policies held by DLP II are not collateral for the debenture obligations as such policies are collateral for the credit facility.

The consolidating financial statements are presented in lieu of separate financial statements and other related disclosures of the subsidiary guarantors and issuer because management does not believe that separate financial statements and related disclosures would be material to investors. There are currently no significant restrictions on the ability of GWG Holdings or GWG Life, the guarantor subsidiary, to obtain funds from its subsidiaries by dividend or loan, except as follows. DLP II is a borrower under a credit agreement with Autobahn, with DZ Bank AG as agent, as described in note 6. The significant majority of insurance policies owned by the Company are subject to a collateral arrangement with DZ Bank AG described in note 6. Under this arrangement, collection and escrow accounts are used to fund premiums of the insurance policies and to pay interest and other charges under the revolving credit facility. DZ Bank AG and Autobahn must authorize all disbursements from these accounts, including any distributions to GWG Life. Distributions are limited to an amount that would result in the borrowers (DLP II, GWG Life and GWG Holdings) realizing an annualized rate of return on the equity funded amount for such assets of not more than 18%, as determined by DZ Bank AG. After such amount is reached, the credit agreement requires that excess funds be used for repayments of borrowings before any additional distributions may be made.

The following represents consolidating financial information as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, with respect to the financial position, and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 with respect to results of operations and cash flows of GWG Holdings and its subsidiaries. The parent column presents the financial information of GWG Holdings, the primary obligor of the secured debentures. The guarantor subsidiary column presents the financial information of GWG Life, the guarantor subsidiary of the secured debentures, presenting its investment in DLP II and Trust under the equity method. The non-guarantor subsidiaries column presents the financial information of all non-guarantor subsidiaries including DLP II and Trust.

F-51

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)**

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets

| September 30, 2014 | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiary | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| September 30, 2014 | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiary | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| ASSETS | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 27,051,595 | \$ 2,460,394 | \$ | \$ | \$ 29,511,989 |
| Restricted cash | | | 2,144,734 | | 2,144,734 |
| Investment in life settlements, at fair value | | | 276,381,979 | | 276,381,979 |
| Other assets | 1,336,301 | 1,753,198 | 364,475 | | 3,453,974 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 171,512,960 | 198,872,078 | | (370,385,038) | |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 199,900,856 | \$ 203,085,670 | \$ 278,891,188 | \$(370,385,038) | \$ 311,492,676 |
| LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) | | | | | |
| LIABILITIES | | | | | |
| Revolving credit facility | \$ | \$ | \$ 79,000,000 | \$ | \$ 79,000,000 |
| Series I Secured notes payable | | 27,701,842 | | | 27,701,842 |
| Renewable Secured Debentures | 169,186,917 | | | | 169,186,917 |
| Interest payable | 5,903,351 | 3,354,424 | 510,443 | | 9,768,218 |
| Accounts payable and other accrued expenses | 1,044,275 | 516,444 | 508,667 | | 2,069,386 |
| Deferred taxes | 3,545,504 | | | | 3,545,504 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 179,680,047 | 31,572,710 | 80,019,110 | | 291,271,867 |
| STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) | | | | | |
| Member capital | | 171,512,960 | 198,872,078 | (370,385,038) | |
| Convertible, redeemable preferred stock | 20,217,836 | | | | 20,217,836 |
| Common stock | 5,870 | | | | 5,870 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 16,323,738 | | | | 16,323,738 |
| Accumulated deficit | (16,326,635) | | | | (16,326,635) |
| TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) | 20,220,809 | 171,512,960 | 198,872,078 | (370,385,038) | 20,220,809 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) | \$ 199,900,856 | \$ 203,085,670 | \$ 278,891,188 | \$(370,385,038) | \$ 311,492,676 |

F-52

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)**

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets (continued)

| December 31, 2013 | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiary | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| ASSETS | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 32,711,636 | \$ 738,157 | \$ | \$ | \$ 33,449,793 |
| Restricted cash | | (1,420,000) | 4,412,970 | | 5,832,970 |
| Investment in life settlements, at fair value | | | 234,672,794 | | 234,672,794 |
| Other assets | 381,883 | 484,510 | 558,526 | | 1,424,919 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 129,839,241 | 159,798,490 | | (289,637,731) | |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 162,932,760 | \$ 162,441,157 | \$ 239,644,290 | \$(289,637,731) | \$ 275,380,476 |
| LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) | | | | | |
| LIABILITIES | | | | | |
| Revolving credit facility | \$ | \$ | \$ 79,000,000 | \$ | \$ 79,000,000 |
| Series I Secured notes payable | | 29,275,202 | | | 29,275,202 |
| Renewable Secured Debentures | 131,646,062 | | | | 131,646,062 |
| Interest payable | 3,806,820 | 3,065,465 | 337,123 | | 7,209,408 |
| Accounts payable and other accrued expenses | 574,026 | 261,249 | 508,677 | | 1,343,952 |
| Deferred taxes, net | 7,675,174 | | | | 7,675,174 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 143,702,082 | 32,601,916 | 79,845,800 | | 256,149,798 |
| CONVERTIBLE, REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK | 24,722,693 | | | | 24,722,693 |
| STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) | | | | | |
| Member capital | | 129,839,241 | 159,798,490 | (289,637,731) | |
| Common stock | 9,124 | | | | 9,124 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 2,937,438 | | | | 2,937,438 |
| Accumulated deficit | (8,438,577) | | | | (8,438,577) |
| TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) | (5,492,015) | 129,839,241 | 159,798,490 | (289,637,731) | (5,492,015) |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT) | \$ 162,932,760 | \$ 162,441,157 | \$ 239,644,290 | \$(289,637,731) | \$ 275,380,476 |

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations

| <u>For the nine months ended September 30, 2014</u> | <u>Parent</u> | <u>Guarantor Subsidiary</u> | <u>Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries</u> | <u>Eliminations</u> | <u>Consolidated</u> |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------|
| REVENUE | | | | | |
| Contract servicing fees | \$ | \$ 1,462,376 | \$ | \$ (1,462,376) | \$ |
| Gain on life settlements, net | | | 16,119,517 | | 16,119,517 |
| Interest and other income | 17,501 | 229,348 | 5,218 | (228,092) | 23,975 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | 17,501 | 1,691,724 | 16,124,735 | (1,690,468) | 16,143,492 |
| EXPENSES | | | | | |
| Origination and servicing fees | | | 1,462,376 | (1,462,376) | |
| Employee compensation and benefits | 1,992,554 | 1,531,720 | | | 3,524,274 |
| Legal and professional fees | 1,476,213 | 141,470 | 10,086 | | 1,627,769 |
| Interest expense | 13,371,300 | 2,334,097 | 4,025,930 | | 19,731,327 |
| Other expenses | 1,937,674 | 1,299,666 | 268,602 | (228,092) | 3,277,850 |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | 18,777,741 | 5,306,953 | 5,766,994 | (1,690,468) | 28,161,220 |
| INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE EQUITY IN INCOME OF SUBSIDIARIES | | | | | |
| | (18,760,240) | (3,615,229) | 10,357,741 | | (12,017,728) |
| EQUITY IN INCOME OF SUBSIDIARY NET INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 6,742,512 | 10,357,741 | | (17,100,253) | |
| | (12,017,728) | 6,742,512 | 10,357,741 | (17,100,253) | (12,017,728) |
| INCOME TAX BENEFIT | (4,129,670) | | | | (4,129,670) |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | \$ (7,888,058) | \$ 6,742,512 | \$ 10,357,741 | \$ (17,100,253) | \$ (7,888,058) |

| <u>For the nine months ended September 30, 2013</u> | <u>Parent</u> | <u>Guarantor Subsidiary</u> | <u>Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries</u> | <u>Eliminations</u> | <u>Consolidated</u> |
|--|-------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| REVENUE | | | | | |
| Contract servicing fees | \$ | \$ 2,818,309 | \$ | \$ (2,818,309) | \$ |
| Gain on life settlements, net | | | 21,511,182 | | 21,511,182 |
| Interest and other income | 3,324,771 | 1,956,863 | 69,943 | (1,819,655) | 3,531,922 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | 3,324,771 | 4,775,172 | 21,581,125 | (4,637,964) | 25,043,104 |
| EXPENSES | | | | | |
| Origination and servicing fees | | | 2,818,309 | (2,818,309) | |
| Employee compensation and benefits | 2,801,017 | 1,300,485 | | | 4,101,502 |
| Legal and professional fees | 766,785 | 367,396 | | | 1,134,181 |
| Interest expense | 8,249,849 | 2,763,918 | 3,932,717 | | 14,946,484 |
| Other expenses | 1,752,769 | 1,164,968 | 1,857,155 | (1,819,655) | 2,955,237 |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | 13,570,420 | 5,596,767 | 8,608,181 | (4,637,964) | 23,137,404 |
| INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE EQUITY IN INCOME OF SUBSIDIARIES | | | | | |
| | (10,245,649) | (821,595) | 12,972,944 | | 1,905,700 |
| EQUITY IN INCOME OF SUBSIDIARY | 12,151,349 | 13,021,463 | | (25,172,812) | |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiary | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--|------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| NET INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 1,905,700 | 12,199,868 | 12,972,944 | (25,172,812) | 1,905,700 |
| INCOME TAX EXPENSE | 1,710,826 | | | | 1,710,826 |
| NET INCOME | \$ 194,874 | \$ 12,199,868 | \$ 12,972,944 | \$ (25,172,812) | \$ 194,874 |

F-54

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)**

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations (continued)

| For the three months ended September 30, 2014 | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiary | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| REVENUE | | | | | |
| Contract servicing fees | \$ | \$ 153,470 | \$ | \$ (153,470) | \$ |
| Gain on life settlements, net | | | 5,118,423 | | 5,118,423 |
| Interest and other income | 4,572 | 59,372 | 5,156 | (58,871) | 10,229 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | 4,572 | 212,842 | 5,123,579 | (212,341) | 5,128,652 |
| EXPENSES | | | | | |
| Origination and servicing fees | | | 153,470 | (153,470) | |
| Employee compensation and benefits | 737,475 | 639,235 | | | 1,376,710 |
| Legal and professional fees | 734,024 | 16,020 | 10,086 | | 760,130 |
| Interest expense | 4,679,311 | 761,828 | 1,355,597 | | 6,796,736 |
| Other expenses | 850,122 | 587,745 | 74,371 | (58,871) | 1,453,367 |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | 7,000,932 | 2,004,828 | 1,593,524 | (212,341) | 10,386,943 |
| INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE EQUITY IN INCOME OF SUBSIDIARIES | (6,996,360) | (1,791,986) | 3,530,055 | | (5,258,291) |
| EQUITY IN INCOME OF SUBSIDIARY | 1,738,069 | 3,530,055 | | (5,268,124) | |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES | (5,258,291) | 1,738,069 | 3,530,055 | (5,268,124) | (5,258,291) |
| INCOME TAX BENEFIT | (1,858,100) | | | | (1,858,100) |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | \$ (3,400,191) | \$ 1,738,069 | \$ 3,530,055 | \$ (5,268,124) | \$ (3,400,191) |

| For the three months ended September 30, 2013 | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiary | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|--------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| REVENUE | | | | | |
| Contract servicing fees | \$ | \$ 1,004,107 | \$ | \$ (1,004,107) | \$ |
| Gain on life settlements, net | | | 5,437,580 | | 5,437,580 |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| <u>For the three months ended September 30, 2013</u> | <u>Parent</u> | <u>Guarantor Subsidiary</u> | <u>Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries</u> | <u>Eliminations</u> | <u>Consolidated</u> |
|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Interest and other income | 57,317 | 979,007 | 32,322 | (978,719) | 89,927 |
| TOTAL REVENUE | 57,317 | 1,983,114 | 5,469,902 | (1,982,826) | 5,527,507 |
| EXPENSES | | | | | |
| Origination and servicing fees | | | 1,004,107 | (1,004,107) | |
| Employee compensation and benefits | 587,961 | 512,198 | | | 1,100,159 |
| Legal and professional fees | 164,334 | 256,540 | | | 420,874 |
| Interest expense | 3,273,250 | 914,056 | 1,350,020 | | 5,537,326 |
| Other expenses | 399,634 | 342,932 | 991,219 | (978,719) | 755,066 |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | 4,425,179 | 2,025,726 | 3,345,346 | (1,982,826) | 7,813,425 |
| INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE EQUITY IN INCOME OF SUBSIDIARIES | (4,367,862) | (42,612) | 2,124,556 | | (2,285,918) |
| EQUITY IN INCOME OF SUBSIDIARY | 2,081,944 | 2,124,556 | | (4,206,500) | |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES | (2,285,918) | 2,081,944 | 2,124,556 | (4,206,500) | (2,285,918) |
| INCOME TAX EXPENSE (BENEFIT) | (656,968) | | | | (656,968) |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | \$(1,628,950) | \$2,081,944 | \$2,124,556 | \$(4,206,500) | \$(1,628,950) |

F-55

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)**

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows

| <u>For the nine months ended September 30, 2014</u> | <u>Parent</u> | <u>Guarantor Subsidiary</u> | <u>Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries</u> | <u>Eliminations</u> | <u>Consolidated</u> |
|--|----------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (7,888,058) | \$ 6,742,512 | \$ 10,357,741 | \$ (17,100,253) | \$ (7,888,058) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to cash flows from operating activities: | | | | | |
| (Equity) loss of subsidiaries | (6,742,512) | (10,357,741) | | 17,100,253 | |
| Life settlements change in fair value | | | (30,973,250) | | (30,973,250) |
| Amortization of deferred financing and issuance costs | 2,125,269 | 427,187 | 18,425 | | 2,570,881 |
| Deferred income taxes | (4,129,670) | | | | (4,129,670) |
| Preferred stock issued for dividends | 575,513 | | | | 575,513 |
| (Increase) in operating assets: | | | | | |
| Other assets | (35,758,660) | (29,984,534) | | 63,647,054 | (2,096,140) |
| Increase in operating liabilities: | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| For the nine months ended September 30, 2014 | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiary | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|----------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------|----------------------|
| Accounts payable and other accrued expenses | 2,893,814 | 591,534 | 173,311 | | 3,658,659 |
| NET CASH FLOWS USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES | (48,924,304) | (32,581,042) | (20,423,773) | 63,647,054 | (38,282,065) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | | | |
| Investment in life settlements | | | (11,559,435) | | (11,559,435) |
| Proceeds from settlement of life settlements | | | 999,125 | | 999,125 |
| NET CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | (10,560,310) | | (10,560,310) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | | | |
| Payments for redemption of Series I Secured notes payable | | (2,047,928) | | | (2,047,928) |
| Proceeds from issuance of debentures | 48,516,296 | | | | 48,516,296 |
| Payments for issuance costs and redemption of Renewable Secured Debentures | (13,816,794) | | | | (13,816,794) |
| Proceeds from restricted cash | | 1,420,000 | 2,268,236 | | 3,688,236 |
| Proceeds from sale of common stock | 9,030,000 | | | | 9,030,000 |
| Payments for redemption of preferred stock | (465,239) | | | | (465,239) |
| Issuance of member capital | | 34,931,207 | 28,715,847 | (63,647,054) | |
| NET CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES | 43,264,263 | 34,303,279 | 30,984,083 | (63,647,054) | 44,904,571 |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | (5,666,041) | 1,722,237 | | | (3,937,804) |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | | | | | |
| BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 32,711,636 | 738,157 | | | 33,449,793 |
| END OF PERIOD | \$ 27,051,595 | \$ 2,460,394 | \$ | \$ | \$ 29,511,989 |

F-56

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)**

Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows (continued)

| For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiary | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|---------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| <u>For the nine months ended September 30, 2013</u> | <u>Parent</u> | <u>Guarantor Subsidiary</u> | <u>Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries</u> | <u>Eliminations</u> | <u>Consolidated</u> |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| Net income | \$ 194,874 | \$ 12,199,868 | \$ 12,972,944 | \$(25,172,812) | \$ 194,874 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash: | | | | | |
| Equity income of subsidiaries | 1,099,118 | 1,256,636 | | (2,355,754) | |
| (Gain) loss on life settlements | | | (25,904,240) | | (25,904,240) |
| Amortization of deferred financing and issuance costs | 1,368,147 | 599,431 | 1,089,215 | | 3,056,793 |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,710,826 | | | | 1,710,826 |
| Preferred stock issued for dividends | 443,486 | | | | 443,486 |
| (Increase) decrease in operating assets: | | | | | |
| Other assets | (49,033,785) | (46,664,803) | (2,830,802) | 95,347,929 | (3,181,461) |
| Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities: | | | | | |
| Accounts payable and other accrued expenses | 1,914,318 | 614,418 | (359,862) | | 2,168,874 |
| NET CASH FLOWS USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES | (42,303,016) | (31,994,450) | (15,032,745) | 67,819,363 | (21,510,848) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | | | |
| Investment in life settlements | | | (26,916,790) | | (26,916,790) |
| Proceeds from settlement of life settlements | | | 4,203,895 | | 4,203,895 |
| NET CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | (22,712,895) | | (22,712,895) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | | | |
| Net proceeds from revolving credit facility | | | 8,000,000 | | 8,000,000 |
| Payments for redemption of Series I Secured notes payable | | (6,242,586) | | | (6,242,586) |
| Proceeds from issuance of debentures | 62,056,755 | | | | 62,056,755 |
| Payments from redemption and issuance of debentures | (9,642,129) | | | | (9,642,129) |
| Proceeds (payments) from restricted cash | | 1,094,415 | (2,510,973) | | (1,416,558) |
| Issuance of member capital | | 35,562,750 | 32,256,613 | (67,819,363) | |
| Repurchase of common stock | | (3,252,400) | | | (3,252,400) |
| Payments for redemption of preferred stock | (347,089) | | | | (347,089) |
| NET CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES | 48,815,137 | 30,414,579 | 37,745,640 | (67,819,363) | 49,155,993 |
| NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 6,512,121 | (1,579,871) | | | 4,932,250 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | | | | | |
| BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 25,035,579 | 2,461,465 | | | 27,497,044 |
| END OF PERIOD | \$ 31,547,700 | \$ 881,594 | \$ | \$ | \$ 32,429,294 |

F-57

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)

Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows (continued)

| For the three months ended September 30, 2014 | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiary | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (3,400,191) | \$ 1,738,069 | \$ 3,530,055 | \$ (5,268,124) | \$ (3,400,191) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash: | | | | | |
| (Equity) loss of subsidiaries | (1,738,069) | (3,530,055) | | 5,268,124 | |
| Life settlements change in fair value | | | (8,761,912) | | (8,761,912) |
| Amortization of deferred financing and issuance costs | 397,659 | 126,083 | 339,475 | | 863,217 |
| Deferred income taxes | (1,858,100) | | | | (1,858,100) |
| Preferred stock issued for dividends | 186,182 | | | | 186,182 |
| (Increase) in operating assets: | | | | | |
| Other assets | (9,136,310) | (5,329,716) | 300,000 | 13,318,805 | (847,221) |
| Increase in operating liabilities: | | | | | |
| Accounts payable and other accrued expenses | 922,271 | 289,132 | (720,907) | | 490,496 |
| NET CASH FLOWS USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES | (14,626,558) | (6,706,487) | (5,313,289) | 13,318,805 | (13,327,529) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | | | |
| Investment in life settlements | | | (680,000) | | (680,000) |
| Proceeds from settlement of life settlements | | | 930,625 | | 930,625 |
| NET CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | 250,625 | | 250,625 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | | | |
| Payments for redemption of Series I Secured notes payable | | (509,004) | | | (509,004) |
| Proceeds from issuance of debentures | 15,281,809 | | | | 15,281,809 |
| Payments for issuance costs and redemption of Renewable Secured Debentures | (4,494,383) | | | | (4,494,383) |
| Proceeds from restricted cash | | 565,000 | 100,699 | | 665,699 |
| Proceeds from sale of common stock | 9,030,000 | | | | 9,030,000 |
| Payments for redemption of preferred stock | (445,183) | | | | (445,183) |
| Issuance of member capital | | 8,356,840 | 4,961,965 | (13,318,805) | |
| NET CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES | 19,372,243 | 8,412,836 | 5,062,664 | (13,318,805) | 19,528,938 |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 4,745,685 | 1,706,349 | | | 6,452,034 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | | | | | |
| BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 22,305,910 | 754,045 | | | 23,059,955 |
| END OF PERIOD | \$ 27,051,595 | \$ 2,460,394 | \$ | \$ | \$ 29,511,989 |

Table of Contents

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)

Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows (continued)

| <u>For the three months ended September 30, 2013</u> | <u>Parent</u> | <u>Guarantor Subsidiary</u> | <u>Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries</u> | <u>Eliminations</u> | <u>Consolidated</u> |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | | | |
| Net income | \$ (1,628,950) | \$ 2,081,944 | \$ 2,124,556 | \$ (4,206,500) | \$ (1,628,950) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash: | | | | | |
| Equity income of subsidiaries | 2,081,944 | 2,124,556 | | (4,206,500) | |
| (Gain) loss on life settlements | | | (6,960,335) | | (6,960,335) |
| Amortization of deferred financing and issuance costs | 630,188 | 165,000 | 330,725 | | 1,125,913 |
| Deferred income taxes | (655,968) | | | | (655,968) |
| Preferred stock issued for dividends | 185,231 | | | | 185,231 |
| (Increase) decrease in operating assets: | | | | | |
| Other assets | (21,755,694) | (22,309,588) | 500,000 | 43,997,953 | 432,671 |
| Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities: | | | | | |
| Accounts payable and other accrued expenses | 425,529 | 494,915 | (450,049) | | 470,395 |
| NET CASH FLOWS USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES | (20,717,720) | (17,443,173) | (4,455,103) | 35,584,953 | (7,031,043) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | | | |
| Investment in life settlements | | | (14,030,797) | | (14,030,797) |
| Proceeds from settlement of life settlements | | | 1,331,743 | | 1,331,743 |
| NET CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | (12,699,054) | | (12,699,054) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | | | |
| Payments for redemption of Series I Secured notes payable | | (2,311,710) | | | (2,311,710) |
| Proceeds from issuance of debentures | 19,617,094 | | | | 19,617,094 |
| Payments from redemption and issuance of debentures | (4,305,558) | | | | (4,305,558) |
| Proceeds (payments) from restricted cash | | 1,847,215 | (892,998) | | 954,217 |
| Issuance of member capital | | 17,537,798 | 18,047,155 | (35,584,953) | |
| Payments for redemption of preferred stock | (35,285) | | | | (35,285) |
| NET CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES | 15,276,251 | 17,073,303 | 17,154,157 | (35,584,953) | 13,918,758 |
| NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | (5,441,469) | (369,870) | | | (5,811,339) |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | | | | | |

| For the three months ended September 30, 2013 | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiary | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 36,989,169 | 1,251,464 | | | 38,240,633 |
| END OF PERIOD | \$ 31,547,700 | \$ 881,594 | \$ | \$ | \$ 32,429,294 |

F-59

Table of Contents

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)**

(17) Concentrations

GWG purchases life insurance policies written by life insurance companies having investment grade ratings by independent rating agencies. As a result there may be certain concentrations of contracts with life insurance companies. The following summarizes the face value of insurance contracts with specific life insurance companies exceeding 10% of the total face value held by the Company.

| | September 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | % | % |
| Life insurance company | | |
| Company A | 15.66 | 16.58 |
| Company B | 11.35 | 11.34 |

The following summarizes the number of insurance contracts held in specific states exceeding 10% of the total face value held by the Company:

| | September 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | % | % |
| State of residence | | |
| California | 28.72 | 28.14 |
| Florida | 17.65 | 15.59 |
| New York | 10.03 | 10.65 |

F-60

Table of Contents

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.]

Table of Contents

\$1,000,000,000

GWG HOLDINGS, INC.

L Bonds

—————
PROSPECTUS
—————

, 2015

Table of Contents

PART II

INFORMATION NOT REQUIRED IN PROSPECTUS

ITEM 13. OTHER EXPENSES OF ISSUANCE AND DISTRIBUTION

Set forth below are expenses (other than the selling agent's commissions, dealer-manager fees, allowance expenses and reimbursements) we expect to incur in connection with the issuance and distribution of the securities registered hereby. None of these expenses are reimbursements to or payments on behalf of our selling group. With the exception of the Securities and Exchange Commission registration fee and the FINRA filing fee, the amounts set forth below are estimates and actual expenses may vary considerably from these estimates depending upon how long the notes are offered and other factors:

| | |
|---|--------------------|
| Securities and Exchange Commission registration fee | \$ 128,800 |
| FINRA filing fee | \$ 150,500 |
| Accounting fees and expenses | \$ 200,000 |
| Legal fees and expenses | \$ 551,200 |
| Blue sky fees and expenses | \$ 20,000 |
| Printing expenses | \$ 200,000 |
| Trustee fees and expenses | \$ 150,000 |
| Miscellaneous | \$ 100,000 |
| Total | \$1,500,500 |

ITEM 14. INDEMNIFICATION OF DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

Section 145 of the Delaware General Corporation Law provides for, under certain circumstances, the indemnification of our officers, directors, employees and agents against liabilities that they may incur in such capacities. A summary of the circumstances in which such indemnification provided for is contained herein, but that description is qualified in its entirety by reference to the relevant Section of the Delaware General Corporation Law.

In general, the statute provides that any director, officer, employee or agent of a corporation may be indemnified against expenses (including attorneys' fees), judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement, actually and reasonably incurred in a proceeding (including any civil, criminal, administrative or investigative proceeding) to which the individual was a party by reason of such status. Such indemnity may be provided if the indemnified person's actions resulting in the liabilities: (i) were taken in good faith; (ii) were reasonably believed to have been in or not opposed to our best interest; and (iii) with respect to any criminal action, such person had no reasonable cause to believe the actions were unlawful. Unless ordered by a court, indemnification generally may be awarded only after a determination of independent members of the Board of Directors or a committee thereof, by independent legal counsel or by vote of the stockholders that the applicable standard of conduct was met by the individual to be indemnified.

The statutory provisions further provide that to the extent a director, officer, employee or agent is wholly successful on the merits or otherwise in defense of any proceeding to which he was a party, he is entitled to receive indemnification against expenses, including attorneys' fees, actually and reasonably incurred in connection with the proceeding.

Indemnification in connection with a proceeding by or in the right of GWG Holdings, Inc. (the Company) in which the director, officer, employee or agent is successful is permitted only with respect to expenses, including attorneys' fees actually and reasonably incurred in connection with the defense. In such actions, the person to be indemnified must have acted in good faith, in a manner believed to have been in our best interest and must not have been adjudged liable to us unless and only to the extent that the Court of Chancery or the court in which such action or suit was brought shall determine upon application that, despite the adjudication of liability, in view of all the circumstances of the case, such person is fairly and reasonably entitled to indemnity for such expense which the Court of Chancery or such other court shall deem proper.

Table of Contents

Indemnification is otherwise prohibited in connection with a proceeding brought on behalf of the Company in which a director is adjudged liable to us, or in connection with any proceeding charging improper personal benefit to the director in which the director is adjudged liable for receipt

of an improper personal benefit.

Delaware law authorizes us to reimburse or pay reasonable expenses incurred by a director, officer, employee or agent in connection with a proceeding in advance of a final disposition of the matter. Such advances of expenses are permitted if the person furnishes to us a written agreement to repay such advances if it is determined that he is not entitled to be indemnified by us.

The statutory section cited above further specifies that any provisions for indemnification of or advances for expenses does not exclude other rights under our certificate of incorporation, corporate bylaws, resolutions of our stockholders or disinterested directors, or otherwise. These indemnification provisions continue for a person who has ceased to be a director, officer, employee or agent of the corporation and inure to the benefit of the heirs, executors and administrators of such persons.

The statutory provision cited above also grants the power to the Company to purchase and maintain insurance policies that protect any director, officer, employee or agent against any liability asserted against or incurred by him in such capacity arising out of his status as such. Such policies may provide for indemnification whether or not the corporation would otherwise have the power to provide for it.

Article 6 of our corporate bylaws provides that we shall indemnify our directors, officers, employees and agents to the fullest extent permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law. Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 may be permitted to directors, officers or persons controlling the Company pursuant to the foregoing provisions, we understand that in the opinion of the SEC such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in that Act and is therefore unenforceable.

We have purchased directors and officers liability insurance in order to limit the exposure to liability for indemnification of directors and officers, including liabilities under the Securities Act of 1933.

ITEM 15. RECENT SALES OF UNREGISTERED SECURITIES

In 2011, the Company's wholly owned subsidiary, GWG Life, LLC (GWG Life), sold \$13,537,876 in principal amount of Series I Secured notes for cash. In addition, \$61,782 in principal amount of such notes were sold in consideration of reinvested interest payable on account of issued notes. The Company is a guarantor of GWG Life's obligations under the Series I Secured notes. The notes were offered and sold solely to accredited investors in a private placement under Section 4(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, and Regulation D/Rule 506 thereunder. Arque Capital Ltd. was the managing broker-dealer for the offering of the notes and received customary sales commissions aggregating \$387,048.

In 2011, the Company sold a total of 1,858,891 shares of Series A Preferred Stock for aggregate cash consideration of \$13,941,683. In addition, 2,387 preferred shares were issued as in-kind dividends payable on account of the preferred stock. In connection with the sales of preferred stock, the Company issued three-year warrants for the purchase of up to 137,874 shares of common stock at the per-share price of \$6.25. The preferred stock and warrants were offered and sold solely to accredited investors in a private placement under Section 4(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, and Regulation D/Rule 506 thereunder. Arque Capital Ltd. was the managing broker-dealer for the offering of the preferred stock and received customary sales commissions aggregating \$1,447,127.

In 2012, the Company's wholly owned subsidiary, GWG Life, sold \$50,000 in principal amount of Series I Secured notes for cash. In addition, \$141,052 in principal amount of such notes were sold in consideration of reinvested interest payable on account of earlier issued notes. The Company is a guarantor of GWG Life's obligations under the Series I Secured notes. The notes were offered and sold solely to accredited investors in a private placement under Section 4(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, and Regulation D/Rule 506 thereunder.

In 2012, the Company sold a total of 855,240 shares of Series A Preferred Stock for aggregate cash consideration of \$6,414,300. In addition, 563,467 preferred shares were sold in consideration of converted

Table of Contents

principal and interest owing under Series I Secured notes, and 82,323 preferred shares were issued as in-kind dividends payable on account of the preferred stock. In connection with the sales of preferred stock, the Company issued three-year warrants for the purchase of up to 694,034 shares of common stock at the per-share price of \$6.25. The preferred stock and warrants were offered and sold solely to accredited investors in a private placement under Section 4(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, and Regulation D/Rule 506 thereunder. Arque Capital Ltd. was the managing broker-dealer for the offering of the preferred stock and received customary sales commissions aggregating \$1,051,000.

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

In 2013, the Company's wholly owned subsidiary, GWG Life, sold \$196,484 in principal amount of Series I Secured notes in consideration of reinvested interest payable on account of earlier issued notes. The Company is a guarantor of GWG Life's obligations under the Series I Secured notes. The notes were offered and sold solely to accredited investors in a private placement under Section 4(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, and Regulation D/Rule 506 thereunder. Arque Capital Ltd. was the managing broker-dealer for the offering of the notes.

In 2013, the Company issued 82,606 shares of Series A Preferred Stock as in-kind dividends payable on account of the preferred stock. The preferred stock was issued sold solely to accredited investors in a private placement under Section 4(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, and Regulation D/Rule 506 thereunder.

ITEM 16. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) **Exhibits.** The exhibits listed below are filed as a part of this registration statement.

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|----------------|--|
| 1.1 | Form of Managing Broker-Dealer Agreement with Emerson Equity LLC (16) |
| 3.1 | Certificate of Incorporation (1) |
| 3.2 | Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation, dated July 29, 2011 (2) |
| 3.3 | Certificate of Designations for Series A Convertible Preferred Stock (2) |
| 3.4 | Bylaws (1) |
| 3.5 | Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation, dated June 24, 2014 (13) |
| 4.1 | Indenture with Bank of Utah, dated October 19, 2011 (3) |
| 4.2 | Pledge and Security Agreement by and among GWG Holdings, Inc., GWG Life Settlements, LLC, Jon R. Sabes, Steven F. Sabes, and Bank of Utah, dated October 19, 2011 (3) |
| 4.3 | Intercreditor Agreement by and between Bank of Utah and Lord Securities Corporation, dated October 19, 2011 (3) |
| 4.4 | Amendment No. 1 to Indenture with Bank of Utah, dated December 15, 2011 (6) |
| 4.5 | Amendment No. 1 to Pledge and Security Agreement, dated December 15, 2011 (6) |
| 4.6 | Form of Amendment No. 2 to Indenture with Bank of Utah for L Bonds (15) |
| 4.7 | Form of L Bond (14) |
| 4.8 | Form of Subscription Agreement for L Bonds (15) |
| 4.9 | Form of Amendment No. 2 to Pledge and Security Agreement by and among GWG Holdings, Inc., GWG Life, LLC, Jon R. Sabes, Steven F. Sabes, and Bank of Utah (filed herewith) |
| 4.10 | Form of Amendment No. 1 to Intercreditor Agreement by and between Bank of Utah and Lord Securities Corporation (filed herewith) |
| 5.1 | Opinion of Maslon Edelman Borman & Brand, LLP (14) |
| 10.1 | Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement with DZ Bank AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank (as agent), and Autobahn Funding Company LLC (as lender), dated effective January 25, 2013 (7)** |
| 10.2 | Performance Guaranty of GWG Holdings, LLC dated July 15, 2008, delivered in favor of DZ Bank AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank (as agent), and Autobahn Funding Company LLC (as lender) (2) |

II-3

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|----------------|--|
| 10.3 | General Reaffirmation and Modification Agreement dated effective January 29, 2013 delivered in favor of DZ Bank AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank (as agent), and Autobahn Funding Company LLC (as lender) (7)** |
| 10.4 | Third Amended and Restated Note Issuance and Security Agreement dated November 1, 2011, with Lord Securities Corporation (as trustee), GWG LifeNotes Trust (as secured party), and noteholders (11) |
| 10.5 | Pledge Agreement dated November 15, 2010, among Jon R. Sabes, Steven F. Sabes, Opportunity Finance, LLC, SFS Trust 1976, SFS Trust 1992 Esther, SFS Trust 1982, Mokeson, LLC (collectively as pledgors), and Lord Securities |

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|----------------|---|
| | Corporation (as trustee and pledgee) (2) |
| 10.6 | Amended and Restated Investment Agreement with Insurance Strategies Fund, LLC, dated as of September 3, 2009 (2) |
| 10.7 | Addendum No. 1 to Sub-Sublease Agreement effective as of July 14, 2008 by Opportunity Finance, LLC and GWG Life, LLC (5) |
| 10.8 | Employment Agreement with Jon R. Sabes, dated June 14, 2011 (4) |
| 10.9 | Employment Agreement with Steven F. Sabes, dated June 14, 2011 (4) |
| 10.10 | Employment Agreement with Paul A. Siegert, dated June 14, 2011 (4) |
| 10.11 | Purchase and Sale Agreement with Athena Securities Group Ltd. and Athena Structured Funds PLC, dated July 11, 2011 (2) |
| 10.12 | Shareholders Agreement with respect to Athena Structured Funds PLC, dated July 11, 2011 (2) (10) |
| 10.13 | Amendment to Third Amended and Restated Note Issuance and Security Agreement, dated as of November 18, 2013, with Lord Securities Corporation (as trustee for the GWG LifeNotes Trust) (11) |
| 10.14 | Purchase and Sale Agreement among GWG Holdings, Inc., Athena Securities Group Limited and GWG Securities International Public Limited Company, dated June 28, 2013 (9) |
| 10.15 | 2013 Stock Incentive Plan dated March 27, 2013 (8) |
| 10.16 | Form of Stock Option Agreement used under 2013 Stock Incentive Plan (revised June 2014) (12)**** |
| 10.17 | Employment Agreement with William Acheson, dated May 30, 2014 (12) |
| 10.18 | Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Credit and Security Agreement with DZ Bank AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank and Autobahn Funding Company LLC, dated May 29, 2014 (12) |
| 10.19 | Employment Agreement with Michael D. Freedman, dated September 22, 2014 (16) |
| 10.20 | Stock Option Agreement with Michael D. Freedman, dated September 22, 2014 (16) |
| 21 | List of Subsidiaries (8) |
| 23.1 | Consent of Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C. (filed herewith) |
| 23.2 | Consent of Baker Tilly Virchow Krause, LLP (filed herewith) |
| 23.3 | Consent of Maslon Edelman Borman & Brand, LLP (contained within Exhibit 5.1 above) |
| 25 | Statement of Eligibility of Trustee (16) |

- (1) Incorporated by reference to Form S-1 Registration Statement filed on June 14, 2011 (File No. 333-174887).
- (2) Incorporated by reference to Form S-1/A Registration Statement filed on August 23, 2011 (File No. 333-174887).
- (3) Incorporated by reference to Form S-1/A Registration Statement filed on October 20, 2011 (File No. 333-174887).
- (4) Incorporated by reference to Form S-1/A Registration Statement filed on September 20, 2011 (File No. 333-174887).
- (5) Incorporated by reference to Form S-1/A Registration Statement filed on July 26, 2011 (File No. 333-174887).

II-4

Table of Contents

- (6) Incorporated by reference to Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Form S-1/A filed on April 30, 2012 (File No. 333-174887).
- (7) Incorporated by reference to Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 1, 2013.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013, filed on March 20, 2014.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 8, 2013.
- (10) Agreement was terminated effective June 28, 2013.
- (11) Incorporated by reference to Post-Effective Amendment No. 8 to Form S-1/A filed on November 12, 2013 (File No. 333-174887).
- (12) Incorporated by reference to Form S-1/A Registration Statement filed on June 6, 2014 (File No. 333-195505).
- (13)

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

- Incorporated by reference to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014, filed on August 8, 2014.
- (14) Incorporated by reference to Form S-1/A Registration Statement filed on October 30, 2014 (File No. 333-197227).
- (15) Incorporated by reference to Form S-1/A Registration Statement filed on November 4, 2014 (File No. 333-197227) .
- (16) Incorporated by reference to Form S-1/A Registration Statement filed on December 18, 2014 (File No. 333-197227).
- * The registrant has earlier filed the original Credit and Security Agreement dated July 15, 2008, Consent and Amendment No. 1 to the Credit and Security Agreement dated December 14, 2010, and Consent and Amendment No. 2 to the Credit and Security Agreement dated June 10, 2011. These documents were filed as Exhibits 10.1, 10.2 and 10.3, respectively, to the Form S-1/A Registration Statement filed on August 23, 2011.
- ** The registrant has earlier filed a Reaffirmation of Guaranty dated as of June 10, 2011, which was filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Form S-1/A Registration Statement filed on August 23, 2011.
- *** The registrant has earlier filed a Managing Broker-Dealer Agreement dated August 14, 2011, an amended Managing Broker-Dealer Agreement dated October 19, 2011, an Amended and Restated Managing Broker-Dealer Agreement dated November 16, 2011, and a Second Amended and Restated Managing Broker-Dealer Agreement dated effective as of November 16, 2011. These documents were filed as Exhibits 10.8 to the Form S-1/A Registration Statements filed on August 23, October 20, November 28 and December 15, 2011, respectively.
- **** The registrant has earlier filed a Form of Stock Option Agreement for use under the 2013 Stock Incentive Plan, which was filed as Exhibit 10.17 to the registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 20, 2014.

II-5

Table of Contents

ITEM 17. UNDERTAKINGS

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") may be permitted to directors, officers and controlling persons of the registrant pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, the registrant has been advised that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act, and is, therefore, unenforceable. In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities (other than the payment by the registrant of expenses incurred or paid by a director, officer or controlling person of the registrant in the successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding) is asserted by such director, officer or controlling person in connection with the securities being registered, the registrant will, unless in the opinion of its counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate jurisdiction the question whether such indemnification by it is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue.

The undersigned registrant hereby undertakes:

- (1) To file, during any period in which offers or sales are being made, a post-effective amendment to this registration statement:
 - (i) to include any prospectus required by section 10(a)(3) of the Securities Act of 1933;
 - (ii) to reflect in the prospectus any facts or events arising after the effective date of the registration statement (or the most recent post-effective amendment thereof) which, individually or in the aggregate, represent a fundamental change in the information set forth in the registration statement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, an increase or decrease in volume of securities offered (if the total dollar value of securities offered would not exceed that which was registered) and any deviation from the low or high end of the estimated maximum offering range may be reflected in the form of prospectus filed with the Commission pursuant to Rule 424(b) if, in the aggregate, the changes in volume and price represent no more than a 20% change in the maximum aggregate offering price set forth in the "Calculation of Registration Fee" table in the effective registration statement;
 - (iii) to include any material information with respect to the plan of distribution not previously disclosed in the registration statement or any material change to such information in the registration statement.
- (2) That, for the purpose of determining any liability under the Securities Act of 1933, each such post-effective amendment shall be deemed to be a new registration statement relating to the securities offered therein, and the offering of such securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial bona fide offering thereof.

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

- (3) To remove from registration by means of a post-effective amendment any of the securities being registered which remain unsold at the termination of the offering.
- (4) [intentionally omitted]
- (5) For the purpose of determining any liability under the Securities Act to any purchaser, each prospectus filed pursuant to Rule 424(b) as part of a registration statement relating to an offering, other than registration statements relying on Rule 430B or other than prospectuses filed in reliance on Rule 430A, shall be deemed to be part of and included in the registration statement as of the date it is first used after effectiveness. Provided, however, that no statement made in a registration statement or prospectus that is part of the registration statement or made in a document incorporated or deemed incorporated by reference into the registration statement or prospectus that is part of the registration statement will, as to a purchaser with a time of contract of sale prior to such first use, supersede or modify any statement that was made in the registration statement or prospectus that was part of the registration statement or made in any such document immediately prior to such date of first use.

II-6

Table of Contents

- (6) That, for the purpose of determining liability of the registrant under the Securities Act of 1933 to any purchaser in the initial distribution of the securities: The undersigned registrant undertakes that in a primary offering of securities of the undersigned registrant pursuant to this registration statement, regardless of the underwriting method used to sell the securities to the purchaser, if the securities are offered or sold to such purchaser by means of any of the following communications, the undersigned registrant will be a seller to the purchaser and will be considered to offer or sell such securities to such purchaser:
 - (i) Any preliminary prospectus or prospectus of the undersigned registrant relating to the offering required to be filed pursuant to Rule 424;
 - (ii) Any free writing prospectus relating to the offering prepared by or on behalf of the undersigned registrant or used or referred to by the undersigned registrant;
 - (iii) The portion of any other free writing prospectus relating to the offering containing material information about the undersigned registrant or its securities provided by or on behalf of the undersigned registrant; and
 - (iv) Any other communication that is an offer in the offering made by the undersigned registrant to the purchaser.

II-7

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, the Registrant has duly caused this Amendment No. 6 to Registration Statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Minneapolis, State of Minnesota, on January 7, 2015.

GWG HOLDINGS, INC.

By: /s/ Jon R. Sabes
Chief Executive Officer

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, this Amendment No. 6 to Registration Statement has been signed, as of January 7, 2015, by the following persons in the capacities indicated below.

| <u>Name</u> | <u>Title</u> |
|---|---|
| /s/ Jon R. Sabes Jon R. Sabes | Director, Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) |
| /s/ Paul A. Siegert* Paul A. Siegert | Director, Executive Chairman |
| /s/ William Acheson William Acheson | Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) |
| /s/ Steven F. Sabes* Steven F. Sabes | Director, President and Secretary |
| /s/ David H. Abramson* David H. Abramson | Director |
| /s/ Shawn R. Gensch* Shawn R. Gensch | Director |
| /s/ Charles H. Maguire III* Charles H. Maguire III | Director |
| /s/ Jeffrey L. McGregor* Jeffrey L. McGregor | Director |

* Signed pursuant to power of attorney held by Jon R. Sabes.

II-8

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, the Registrant has duly caused this Amendment No. 6 to Registration Statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Minneapolis, State of Minnesota, on January 7, 2015.

GWG LIFE, LLC

By: /s/ Jon R. Sabes
Chief Executive Officer

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form S-1/A

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, this Amendment No. 6 to Registration Statement has been signed, as of January 7, 2015, by the following persons in the capacities indicated below.

| Name | Title |
|--|---|
| /s/ Jon R. Sabes Jon R. Sabes | Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) |
| /s/ William Acheson William Acheson | Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) |
| /s/ Jon R. Sabes Jon R. Sabes | Manager of GWG Life, LLC |