

SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORP  
Form 10QSB  
August 15, 2005

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-QSB**

(Mark One)

- QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2005

- TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number: 000-08149

**SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION**

(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

**New Mexico**

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**85-0169650**

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

**14505 21st Avenue North, Suite 220, Minneapolis, MN 55447**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**(763) 476-8271**

(Issuer's telephone number)

Check whether the Issuer (1) filed all reports to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.  
Yes  No

The Issuer had 12,216,068 shares of Common Stock, no par value, outstanding as of July 31, 2005.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (Check one): Yes  No

SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

FORM 10-QSB

Table of Contents

PART I.	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	3
Item 1.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	3
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation</u>	10
Item 3.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	14
PART II.	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	15
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	15
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	15
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	15
Item 4.	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	15
Item 5.	<u>Other Information</u>	15
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	15
	<u>SIGNATURE</u>	16
	<u>EXHIBIT</u>	17
	<u>INDEX</u>	

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(unaudited)

Edgar Filing: SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10QSB

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
REVENUES	\$ 451,119	\$ 1,752,159	\$ 955,338	\$ 3,001,943
COST OF GOODS SOLD	230,636	489,387	466,266	841,987
GROSS PROFIT	220,483	1,262,772	489,072	2,159,956
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Selling, general and administrative	453,704	528,216	1,093,456	1,092,388
Research and development	31,670	95,002	77,760	188,292
Legal fees	139,422	64,025	629,812	132,528
	624,796	687,243	1,801,028	1,413,208
INCOME (LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS	(404,313)	575,529	(1,311,956)	746,748
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)				
Other income (expense), net	2,087	11,510	4,173	333,942
Interest expense	(7,772)	(54,616)	(14,435)	(206,195)
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES	(409,998)	532,423	(1,322,218)	874,495
INCOME TAXES	700	0	1,900	1,800
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ (410,698)	\$ 532,423	\$ (1,324,118)	\$ 872,695
NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE BASIC	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.11)	\$ 0.08
NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE DILUTED	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.04	\$ (0.11)	\$ 0.07
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING BASIC	12,136,818	10,432,785	12,082,776	10,429,755
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING DILUTED	12,136,818	12,418,094	12,082,776	11,756,315

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
	(unaudited)	(audited)
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,372,088	\$ 1,455,423
Accounts receivable, less allowance of \$40,000	967,872	1,518,477
Inventories, less allowances of \$117,000 and \$63,000	1,770,036	1,850,852
Prepaid expenses	30,566	52,431
<b>TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS</b>	<b>4,140,562</b>	<b>4,877,183</b>
<b>PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net</b>	<b>37,313</b>	<b>42,239</b>
<b>PATENT RIGHTS, net</b>	<b>190,398</b>	<b>221,274</b>
<b>OTHER</b>	<b>28,819</b>	<b>9,590</b>
	<b>\$ 4,397,092</b>	<b>\$ 5,150,286</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>		
Bank line of credit	\$ 490,000	\$ 490,000
Accounts payable	573,461	404,838
Accrued expenses	120,605	149,804
<b>TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>	<b>1,184,066</b>	<b>1,044,642</b>
<b>COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES</b>		
<b>STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
Preferred stock, no par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding		
Common stock, no par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized; 12,216,068 and 11,991,068 shares issued and outstanding	6,434,551	5,783,627
Warrants	643,741	863,165
Note receivable for common stock	(153,900)	(153,900)
Accumulated deficit	(3,711,366)	(2,387,248)
	<b>3,213,026</b>	<b>4,105,644</b>
	<b>\$ 4,397,092</b>	<b>\$ 5,150,286</b>

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2005	2004
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,324,118)	\$ 872,695
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash used by operating activities:		
Depreciation	10,564	10,244
Amortization of patent rights	30,876	30,876
Stock option compensation expense	33,000	
Amortization of deferred financing costs		176,822
Lawsuit settlement		(322,432)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	550,605	(387,368)
Inventories	80,816	(733,484)
Prepaid expenses and other	2,636	(10,758)
Accounts payable	168,623	261,272
Accrued expenses	(29,199)	65,723
Customer deposits		(20,940)
Net cash used by operating activities	(476,197)	(57,350)
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITY</b>		
Purchases of property and equipment	(5,638)	(17,478)
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net payments on bank line of credit		(11,000)
Payments on notes payable		(113,340)
Proceeds from the exercise of warrants and stock options	398,500	53,239
Proceeds from notes receivable for common stock		275,000
Net cash provided by financing activities	398,500	203,899
<b>NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	(83,335)	129,071
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>		
Beginning of period	1,455,423	170,082
End of period	\$ 1,372,088	\$ 299,153

Six Months Ended June 30,

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION		
Capital expenditures		
Interest	\$ 14,435	\$ 32,444
Income taxes	1,900	1,800
Noncash financing activities:		
Warrants exercised and expired	219,424	14,157

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

5

SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information. They do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2005 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2005. For further information, refer to the financial statements and footnotes for the year ended December 31, 2004 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB.

Nature of Business

The Company invents, develops and markets machine-vision inspection products that are used in the semiconductor industry for the inspection of integrated circuits. The Company's customer base is small in numbers and global in location.

Principles of Consolidation

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Scanner Technologies Corporation and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Scanner Technologies Corporation International, incorporated in the United States and registered in Singapore. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue is earned primarily through sales of vision inspection products to distributors and to third party customers. For sales to distributors, revenue is recognized upon shipment as the distributors have no acceptance provisions and title passes at shipment. For sales to third party customers, title passes at shipment; however, the customer has certain acceptance provisions relating to installation and training. These provisions require the Company to defer revenue recognition until the equipment is installed and the customer's personnel are trained. As a result, revenue is recognized for third party customers once the product has been shipped, installed and customer personnel are trained. This process typically is completed within two weeks to a month after shipment.

Estimates

The preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and disclosures in the

## Edgar Filing: SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10QSB

condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant management estimates relate to the valuation allowance on deferred tax assets.

### Cash Equivalents

All highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less are considered to be cash equivalents.

### Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable arise from the normal course of selling products on credit to customers. An allowance for doubtful accounts has been provided for estimated uncollectable accounts. Accounts receivable balances, historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer creditworthiness, current economic trends and changes in customer payment terms and practices are analyzed when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. Individual accounts are charged against the allowance when collection efforts have been exhausted.

6

---

### Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of financial instruments consisting of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, bank line of credit, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate their fair values.

### Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market with cost determined on the first-in, first-out method. The Company has provided an allowance for estimated excess and obsolete inventories equal to the difference between the cost of inventories and the estimated fair value based on assumptions about future demand and market conditions.

### Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is provided using accelerated methods. Leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the useful life or lease term.

### Patent Rights

Patent rights are stated at cost less accumulated amortization. Amortization is provided using the straight-line method over six years, the deemed useful lives of the patents.

### Long-Lived Assets

All long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of such assets may not be recoverable. This evaluation is performed at least annually. An impairment loss is recognized when estimated cash flows to be generated by those assets are less than the carrying value of the assets. When an impairment loss is recognized, the carrying amount is reduced to its estimated fair value, based on appraisals or other reasonable methods to estimate value.

### Product Warranty

An accrual is provided for estimated incurred but unidentified product warranty issues based on historical activity. The warranty accrual and related expenses were not significant.

### Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has a stock-based employee compensation plan consisting of stock options and warrants. The Company has not adopted Statement of Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 or SFAS No. 123R which expense stock options and continues to apply the intrinsic

## Edgar Filing: SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10QSB

value method under Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations in accounting for employee/director stock options and warrants. Accordingly, any compensation cost for stock options and warrants is measured as the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the Company's stock at the measurement date over the employee's/director's option and warrant exercise price. Any resulting compensation expense is amortized ratably over the related vesting period. Options and warrants to non-employees/directors are accounted for as required by SFAS No. 123.

The Company estimates the fair value of options and warrants at the grant date using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The model takes into consideration weighted average assumptions related to the following: risk-free interest rate; expected life years; expected volatility; and expected dividend rate. Since the Company has not yet adopted SFAS No. 123R and the Company's stock is thinly traded, volatility is set at 0% as permitted by SFAS No. 123.

7

If the Company recognized warrant and stock option compensation expense based on fair value at date of grant, consistent with the methods prescribed by SFAS No. 123, the pro forma net income (loss) per share basic and diluted would remain the same as reported for all periods. The net income (loss) for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 would change to the pro forma amounts below:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Net income (loss):				
As reported	\$ (410,698)	\$ 532,423	\$ (1,324,118)	\$ 872,695
Warrant and stock option amortization cost	(12,454)	(13,280)	(24,907)	(20,931)
Pro forma	\$ (423,152)	\$ 519,143	\$ (1,349,025)	\$ 851,764

### Income Taxes

The Company is taxed as a domestic U.S. corporation under the Internal Revenue Code. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the consolidated financial statements or tax returns. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using currently enacted tax rates in effect for the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. Deferred tax assets are evaluated and a valuation allowance is established if it is more likely than not that all or a portion of the tax asset will not be utilized.

### Credit Risk

The Company maintains cash and cash equivalents in bank accounts which may exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses on such accounts and believes it is not exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalents.

Significant concentrations of credit risk exist in accounts receivable, which are due from customers located primarily in the Far East and the United States.

### Net Income (Loss) Per Share

Basic net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) by the weighted-average common shares outstanding for the reported period. Diluted net income (loss) per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if holders of warrants and options that are not antidilutive converted their holdings into common stock. The dilutive effect of options and warrants included 1,985,309 and 1,326,560 additional shares in the three and six months ended June 30, 2004, respectively.



## Edgar Filing: SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10QSB

Options and warrants to purchase 5,358,182 and 375,000 shares of common stock with a weighted average exercise price of \$1.92 and \$2.77 were excluded from the diluted computation for the three months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, because they were antidilutive. Options and warrants to purchase 5,453,849 and 672,225 shares of common stock with a weighted average exercise price of \$1.92 and \$2.59 were excluded from the diluted computation for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, because they were antidilutive.

### 2. Contingencies and Uncertainty

In an agreement dated April 19, 2002, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer (President) forgave the payment of his accrued salary of \$1,254,575 and released the Company, its successors, its officers and directors from any liability in connection with the accrued salary. In exchange, the Company agreed that its President will receive certain proceeds, if any, that Scanner may receive out of litigation involving patents that Scanner had licensed. Under the agreement, the Company keeps 60% of any proceeds of the currently ongoing litigation and pays its President 40% of such proceeds until the Company has been reimbursed for all attorney fees and other expenses incurred in connection with the current litigation, and its President has received the total of \$1,254,575. If one party receives all the amounts owing to such party before the other party's claim under this provision is satisfied, the other party receives 100% of the proceeds until its claim is satisfied. If any proceeds remain after such payment, the Company's President receives 50% of such remainder. He also has a right to receive part of the proceeds, if any, the Company may receive out of any subsequent litigation involving the licensed patents. The Company keeps 60% of any such proceeds until its attorney fees and other expenses incurred in connection with the current and any subsequent litigation have been reimbursed, and its President receives 40% of any such proceeds until he has received a total of \$1,254,575 of the proceeds of the currently ongoing and any subsequent litigation. If any proceeds of the subsequent litigation remain after such distribution, the Company will pay 25% of such remaining proceeds to its President.

8

---

To provide the Company's Senior Vice President with an incentive to continue his employment with the Company, and to compensate him for compensation in recent years which the Company believes was less than he might have received in a comparable position elsewhere, the Senior Vice President was also a party to the agreement regarding the distribution of litigation proceeds. The Company agreed to pay him 20% of the remaining proceeds, if any, Scanner receives out of the current ongoing litigation, and 25% of the remaining proceeds, if any, that Scanner may receive out of any future litigation involving the licensed patents, and that remain after the aforesaid payments to the Company and its President have been made out of such proceeds.

In 2000, the Company instituted a lawsuit against ICOS Vision Systems Corp. N.V., a Belgian corporation ( ICOS ) for infringement of two of its patents. The patents relate to three-dimensional ball array inspection apparatus and methods. In June 2003, the Company reached a settlement with ICOS concerning one-illumination source inspection systems. In 2003, pursuant to the settlement agreement, ICOS made a one-time payment of \$400,000 to the Company to settle all issues with regard to these one-light source inspection systems. The District Court found no infringement with regard to the two-illumination source devices that ICOS sold. The Company agreed to the settlement agreement with respect to one-light source devices in order to allow it to immediately appeal the court's ruling concerning inspection systems involving two-light sources, eliminating the need, delay and expense of a trial with regard to these systems at this stage. In connection with the settlement, the Company paid its President \$160,000 pursuant to the agreement noted above. The Company recorded the \$400,000 settlement less the \$160,000 paid to its President in other income in its consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2003. On April 23, 2004, the United States Court of Appeals ruled in the Company's favor with regard to the two-illumination source devices, finding that the claim terms an illumination apparatus and illuminating in the Company's patents encompass one or more illumination sources and overturned the District Court's entry of summary judgment of no infringement. A trial, to be decided by the judge, was held in March 2005 in the U. S. District Court for the Southern District of New York regarding the Company's ongoing litigation with ICOS. Scanner's prayer for relief includes requests for damages in the form of lost profits, a trebling of damages pursuant to 35 USC 284, and attorneys' fees and costs. In its answer to the complaint, ICOS included counterclaims alleging various forms of unfair competition as well as seeking a declaration that the patents are invalid and not infringed. In addition, ICOS is requesting attorneys' fees and costs. It is not known when the judge will issue his decision on the case. The Company intends to continue to vigorously enforce its patent rights and expects to incur significant additional expenses in 2005 to pursue its claims.

In 2002, the Company brought suit against two law firms that previously represented the Company in the patent litigation described above. The Company demanded a full and complete accounting for the fees and expenses charged by these firms in connection with the patent litigation. The Company paid the law firms the total amount of \$558,652 in legal fees and costs. The law firms claimed that the Company owed them an additional \$402,984. The trial took place in December 2003. In January 2004, the court decided that the number of hours billed by the law firms was grossly excessive and, therefore, reduced the amount still payable to the law firms by \$322,432. At December 31, 2003, the entire amount requested by the law firms of \$402,984 was included in accounts payable in the consolidated balance sheet. Scanner reflected the reduction in accounts payable and recorded other income in its consolidated financial statements for

the quarter ended March 31, 2004.

In July 2005, ICOS Vision Systems Corp. N.V. and ICOS Vision Systems, Inc. (collectively, ICOS ) filed a lawsuit against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. ICOS is seeking a declaratory judgment with respect to certain patents held by Scanner finding that ICOS does not infringe such patents and that such patents are invalid. ICOS is also seeking a declaratory judgment finding that U.S. companies that purchase electronic components such as Ball Grid Array devices inspected on ICOS systems in foreign countries are not liable as infringers of such patents and injunctive relief enjoining Scanner from threatening such purchasers with claims of infringement. ICOS is seeking damages of unspecified amounts, as well as costs, expenses and attorney's fees. The Company believes that this lawsuit is without merit and intends to vigorously defend itself and the Company's intellectual property rights.

## Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ( Exchange Act ). These statements are based on our beliefs and assumptions and on information currently available to us. Forward-looking statements include, among others, the information concerning possible or assumed future results of operations of Scanner Technologies Corporation and its subsidiary (Scanner) set forth under the heading Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation. Forward-looking statements also include statements in which words such as may, will, should, could, expect, anticipate, intend, plan, believe, estimate, predict, potential, or similar expressions are used. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance. Our future results and shareholder values may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements. We caution you not to put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements included in this document.

### GENERAL

The Company generates revenues from the sale of machine-vision inspection products used in the semiconductor industry for the inspection of integrated circuits. The products include machine-vision modules sold to original equipment manufacturers that use the modules as a component of inspection systems they sell to end users, as well as complete machine-vision inspection systems that the Company sells to end users. Because the Company sells relatively few of its devices each year, the Company's business is characterized by uneven quarterly results that are dependent on the timing of sales and revenue recognition.

During recent years, the Company's operations were adversely affected by a lack of demand in the semiconductor marketplace, which caused many of the Company's potential customers to cease or defer purchases of capital equipment such as the inspection equipment offered by the Company. According to information provided by Semiconductor Equipment and Materials International ( SEMI ), a trade association of semiconductor equipment and material manufacturers, sales of semiconductor equipment for 2004 totaled \$37.11 billion, but are expected to decline in 2005 to a total of \$32.63 billion. SEMI expects the market for semiconductor equipment to bounce back in 2006 and to increase by 8.17% to \$35.28 billion, before growing 10.16% in 2007, to \$38.86 billion. The Company believes the general improvement in industry conditions contributed to the improvement in the Company's operations in 2004, whereas operations in 2005 are adversely affected by a lack of demand in the semiconductor marketplace. The Company will continue to be subject to the cyclical nature of the semiconductor marketplace.

In addition to general trends in the semiconductor marketplace, the Company must compete for sales with other providers of machine-vision inspection equipment, most of whom are larger, better financed and offer a broader selection of products. The Company must compete on the basis of price, product performance including speed and size of defects detected, ease of use and technological advancement. During 2003, the Company commenced sales of its VisionFlex inspection systems. The Company must continue research and development to improve existing products and introduce new products in order to compete effectively with other providers of inspection equipment.

The Company is reviewing the possibility of licensing its technologies to third parties to provide additional revenues. There is, however, no assurance that the Company will be successful in obtaining licenses on financially advantageous terms, and the Company may need to initiate lawsuits, and incur legal fees and other costs, to force potential licensees to acknowledge our proprietary rights and enter into appropriate licenses.

The Company's working capital position improved in 2004 primarily due to profitable operations and to the sale of common stock and warrants in a private placement, the exercise of warrants and the payments of notes receivable for common stock. During the six months ended June 30, 2005, the working capital position decreased primarily due to the net effect of the operating loss offset by the cash proceeds from the exercise of stock options and warrants. The Company believes that its working capital at June 30, 2005 is adequate for at least the next twelve months of operations and does not currently anticipate a need for additional financing.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is based upon the condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. The Company evaluates, on an on-going basis, its estimates and judgments, including those related to bad debts, excess inventories, warranty obligations, income taxes, contingencies and litigation. Its estimates are based on historical experience and assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

The Company believes the following critical accounting policies affect its more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of its condensed consolidated financial statements.

- Revenue recognition;
- Allowances for doubtful accounts and excess and obsolete inventories;
- Patent rights;
- Accounting for income taxes;
- Accounting and valuation of options and warrants;

Revenue is earned primarily through sales of vision inspection products to distributors and to third party customers. For sales to distributors, revenue is recognized upon shipment as the distributors have no acceptance provisions and title passes at shipment. For sales to third party customers, title passes at shipment; however, the customer has certain acceptance provisions relating to installation and training. These provisions require the Company to defer revenue recognition until the equipment is installed and the customer's personnel are trained. As a result, revenue is recognized for third party customers once the product has been shipped, installed and customer personnel are trained. This process typically is completed within two weeks to a month after shipment.

Accounts receivable arise from the normal course of selling products on credit to customers. An allowance for doubtful accounts has been provided for estimated uncollectable accounts. Accounts receivable balances, historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer creditworthiness, current economic trends and changes in customer payment terms and practices are analyzed when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. Individual accounts are charged against the allowance when collection efforts have been exhausted.

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market with cost determined on the first-in, first-out method. The Company has provided an allowance for estimated excess and obsolete inventories equal to the difference between the cost of inventories and the estimated fair value based on assumptions about future demand and market conditions.

Patent rights are stated at cost less accumulated amortization. Amortization is provided using the straight-line method over six years. Patent rights are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of such assets may not be recoverable. This evaluation is performed at least annually. An impairment loss is recognized when estimated cash flows to be generated by those assets are less than the carrying value of the assets. When impairment loss is recognized, the carrying amount is reduced to its estimated fair value, based on appraisals or other reasonable methods to estimate value.

## Edgar Filing: SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10QSB

The Company is taxed as a domestic U.S. corporation under the Internal Revenue Code. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the consolidated financial statements or tax returns. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using currently enacted tax rates in effect for the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. Deferred tax assets are evaluated and a valuation allowance is established if it is more likely than not that all or a portion of the tax asset will not be utilized.

The Company accounts for employee stock options under Accounting Principles Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and provides the disclosures required by Statement of Financial Standards (SFAS) No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. Options and warrants to non-employees are accounted for as required by SFAS No. 123.

The Company estimates the fair value of warrants at the grant date using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The model takes into consideration weighted average assumptions related to the following: risk-free interest rate; expected life years; expected volatility; and expected dividend rate. Since the Company has not yet adopted SFAS No. 123R and the Company's stock is thinly traded, the Company is essentially a nonpublic entity. Therefore, volatility is set at 0% as permitted by SFAS No. 123.

### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2005 COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2004

Sales for the three months ended June 30, 2005, were \$451,119 compared to \$1,752,159 for the three months ended June 30, 2004. The sales decrease in 2005 relates primarily to decreased sales of the Company's robotic inspection systems to end users and a decrease in the purchases of capital equipment by customers in the semiconductor industry.

Cost of goods sold decreased by \$258,751 to \$230,636 in the three months ended June 30, 2005, from \$489,387 in 2004. Cost of goods sold as a percentage of sales increased by 23.2% to 51.1% in 2005 compared to 27.9% in 2004. In 2005, material cost increased 5.9% as a percent of sales. This increase was supplemented by an increase in manufacturing costs as a percent of sales primarily because of the large decrease in sales, which caused fixed manufacturing costs to be spread over a smaller base.

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased by \$74,512 to \$453,704 for the three months ended June 30, 2005, compared to \$528,216 in the same quarter of 2004. The decrease in expenses related primarily to decreases in salaries and related expenses of approximately \$54,000 because of fewer personnel and decreases in marketing related expenses of approximately \$50,000 offset by increases in professional fees of approximately \$39,000.

Research and development expenses were \$31,670 in the three months ended June 30, 2005 compared to \$95,002 for the three months ended June 30, 2004. The research and development activities related to the Company's development and improvement of its own line of robotic inspection systems for sale to end users. The decrease in expenses was related primarily to reduced personnel costs. The development process is continuing.

Legal fees increased by \$75,397 to \$139,422 in the three months ended June 30, 2005, from \$64,025 in the same quarter of 2004. A significant portion of the legal fees in both periods related to the patent infringement claims brought by the Company against a competitor, which claims went to trial in March 2005.

Other expense was \$5,685 in the three months ended June 30, 2005, compared to \$43,106 in the same quarter of 2004. Interest expense was \$46,844 lower in the second quarter of 2005 than it was in the second quarter of 2004, due primarily to the difference in amortization of the warrant valuation related to the renewal of the line of credit in 2003.

The Company recognized no income tax benefit to offset the loss before income taxes in the three months ended June 30, 2005, as no tax benefit was available to the Company. The Company recognized no federal income tax expense to offset the income before income taxes in the three months ended June 30, 2004 because the income was offset by operating loss carryforwards. The taxes provided in the three months ended June 30, 2005 represented minimum state taxes.

## Edgar Filing: SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10QSB

The net loss for the three months ended June 30, 2005 was \$410,698, or \$.03 per share, compared to net income of \$532,423, or \$.05 per share in the same quarter of 2004. The change was the result of decreased gross profit of \$1,042,289 and an increase of \$700 in income taxes, offset by decreased operating expenses of \$62,447 and decreased net other expense of \$37,421.

### SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2005 COMPARED TO SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2004

Sales for the six months ended June 30, 2005, were \$955,338 compared to \$3,001,943 for the six months ended June 30, 2004. The sales decrease in 2005 relates primarily to decreased sales of the Company's robotic inspection systems to end users and a decrease in the purchases of capital equipment by customers in the semiconductor industry.

Cost of goods sold decreased by \$375,721 to \$466,266 in the six months ended June 30, 2005, from \$841,987 in 2004. Cost of goods sold as a percentage of sales increased by 20.8% to 48.8% in 2005 compared to 28.0% in 2004. In 2005, material cost increased 2.1% as a percent of sales. This increase was supplemented by an increase in manufacturing costs as a percent of sales primarily because of the large decrease in sales, which caused fixed manufacturing costs to be spread over a smaller base.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by \$1,068 to \$1,093,456 for the six months ended June 30, 2005, compared to \$1,092,388 in the same period of 2004. The change in expenses related primarily to increases in professional fees of approximately \$88,000, relating to Company marketing and financing activities and directors fees, and an increase of \$33,000 in stock option compensation expense offset by decreased salaries and related expenses of approximately \$79,000 because of fewer personnel and reduced marketing related expenses of approximately \$38,000.

Research and development expenses were \$77,760 the six months ended June 30, 2005 compared to \$188,292 for the six months ended June 30, 2004. The research and development activities related to the Company's development and improvement of its own line of robotic inspection systems for sale to end users. The decrease in expenses was related primarily to reduced personnel costs. The development process is continuing.

Legal fees increased by \$497,284 to \$629,812 in the six months ended June 30, 2005, from \$132,528 in the same period of 2004. A significant portion of the legal fees in both periods related to the patent infringement claims brought by the Company against a competitor, which claims went to trial in March 2005.

Other income (expense) was (\$10,262) in the six months ended June 30, 2005, compared to \$127,747 in the same period of 2004. In 2004, the Company won a lawsuit relating to fees and expenses charged by two law firms previously handling its patent infringement claim. The court ruled that the legal fees and expenses billed by the firms were excessive in the amount of \$322,432. This amount, which was included in accounts payable at December 31, 2003, was recorded as other income in the three months ended March 31, 2004. Interest expense was \$191,760 lower in the first six months of 2005 than it was in the first six months of 2004, due primarily to the difference in amortization of the warrant valuation related to the renewal of the line of credit in 2003.

The Company recognized no income tax benefit to offset the loss before income taxes in the six months ended June 30, 2005, as no tax benefit was available to the Company. The Company recognized no federal income tax expense to offset the income before income taxes in the six months ended June 30, 2004 because the income was offset by operating loss carryforwards. The taxes provided in both periods represented minimum state taxes.

The net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2005 was \$1,324,118, or \$.11 per share, compared to net income of \$872,695, or \$.08 per share in the same period of 2004. The change was the result of decreased gross profit of \$1,670,884, increased operating expenses of \$387,820, decreased net other income of \$138,009 and increased income taxes of \$100.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES (FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2005)

In 2004, the Company renewed its previous line of credit through August 1, 2005. The line was decreased by \$600,000 from \$1,300,000 to \$700,000 with an interest rate at prime (6.25% at June 30, 2005) and the Company provided the bank with a security interest in its general business assets. The line is guaranteed by five individuals who received three-year warrants to purchase 175,000 shares of common stock at \$3.50 per share for their financial support. The Company's outstanding indebtedness under the line was \$490,000 at June 30, 2005.

The Company believes that its existing working capital will be adequate to satisfy projected operating and capital requirements for the next 12 months.

Net cash used by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2005 totaled \$476,197. Negative operating cashflows resulted primarily from the net loss of \$1,324,118 for the period being offset by net non-cash adjustments of \$74,440 relating primarily to stock option compensation expense and depreciation and amortization and by net changes in operating assets and liabilities of \$773,481 relating primarily to decreases in receivables and inventories and an increase in accounts payable.

Net cash used by investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2005 totaled \$5,638. The funds were used to purchase property and equipment.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2005 totaled \$398,500. The amount relates to proceeds from the exercise of stock options and warrants.

### Item 3. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, regarding the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rules 13a-15(b) of the Exchange Act. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information that is required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the rules of the Securities Exchange Commission. There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

The Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that the disclosure controls and procedures will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Due to the lack of sufficient accounting personnel, there was an ineffective segregation of duties in the preparation of the financial statements to prevent or detect errors. This control deficiency could result in material misstatements to annual or interim financial statements that would not be prevented or detected if left unremediated. Accordingly, management determined that this control deficiency constitutes a material weakness. Because of this material weakness, management believes that, as of June 30, 2005, we did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting based on the COSO criteria.

## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

In July 2005, ICOS Vision Systems Corp. N.V. and ICOS Vision Systems, Inc. (collectively, "ICOS") filed a lawsuit against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. ICOS is seeking a declaratory judgment with respect to certain patents held by Scanner finding that ICOS does not infringe such patents and that such patents are invalid. ICOS is also seeking a declaratory judgment finding that U.S. companies that purchase electronic components such as Ball Grid Array devices inspected on ICOS systems in foreign countries are not liable as infringers of such patents and injunctive relief enjoining Scanner from threatening such purchasers with claims of infringement. ICOS is seeking damages of unspecified amounts, as well as costs, expenses and attorney's fees. The

## Edgar Filing: SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10QSB

Company believes that this lawsuit is without merit and intends to vigorously defend itself and the Company's intellectual property rights.

### Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Between May 10, 2005 and May 16, 2005, the Company issued 155,000 shares of common stock to five warrant holders upon the exercise of warrants at \$2.00 per share. The Company relied upon Section 4(2) of the Securities Act for an exemption for transactions not involving a public offering.

### Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

### Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

The Company held its Annual Meeting of Stockholders on June 9, 2005. The Company solicited proxies pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and the shareholders voted on the following matters:

- (i) Set the number of directors at four (4):

For: 9,294,075    Against: 46,803    Abstain: 134

- (ii) Election of Directors:

	<u>For</u>	<u>Withheld</u>
Elwin M. Beaty	9,288,163	52,849
David P. Mork	9,293,005	48,007
Betsy Brenden Radtke	9,334,812	6,200
Michael A. Thorsland	9,334,812	6,200

- (iii) Approve an increase of shares from 1,000,000 to 2,300,000 under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan:

For: 8,762,174    Against: 250,845    Abstain: 327,993

- (iv) Ratify the appointment of Lurie Besikof Lapidus & Company, LLP as independent auditors for the current fiscal year:

For: 9,316,483    Against: 0    Abstain: 24,529

### Item 5. Other Information

None.

### Item 6. Exhibits

See Exhibit Index on page following signature page.

---

SIGNATURE

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: August 15, 2005

By: /s/ Elwin M. Beaty

---

Elwin M. Beaty  
Chief Executive Officer and  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal executive officer and principal  
financial and accounting officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

FORM 10-QSB FOR QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
3.1	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 15, 2002
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 15, 2002
31*	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32*	Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

\*Filed herewith.



