NUVEEN MISSOURI PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND
Form N-CSR
August 05, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

# FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF
REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-7616

Nuveen Missouri Premium Income Municipal Fund
(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

Nuveen Investments
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Kevin J. McCarthy
Nuveen Investments
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (312) 917-7700

Date of fiscal year end: May 31

Date of reporting period: May 31, 2011

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. ss. 3507.

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.

## INVESTMENT ADVISER NAME CHANGE

Effective January 1, 2011, Nuveen Asset Management, the Funds' investment adviser, changed its name to Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc. ("Nuveen Fund Advisors"). Concurrently, Nuveen Fund Advisors formed a wholly-owned subsidiary, Nuveen Asset Management, LLC, to house its portfolio management capabilities.

## NUVEEN INVESTMENTS COMPLETES STRATEGIC COMBINATION WITH FAF ADVISORS

On December 31, 2010, Nuveen Investments completed the strategic combination between Nuveen Asset Management, the largest investment affiliate of Nuveen Investments, and FAF Advisors. As part of this transaction, U.S. Bancorp – the parent of FAF Advisors – received cash consideration and a 9.5% stake in Nuveen Investments in exchange for the long-term investment business of FAF Advisors, including investment management responsibilities for the non-money market mutual funds of the First American Funds family.

The approximately $27 billion of mutual fund and institutional assets managed by FAF Advisors, along with the investment professionals managing these assets and other key personnel, have become part of Nuveen Asset Management, LLC. With these additions to Nuveen Asset Management, LLC, this affiliate now manages more than $100 billion of assets across a broad range of strategies from municipal and taxable fixed income to traditional and specialized equity investments.

This combination does not affect the investment objectives or strategies of the Funds in this report. Over time, Nuveen Investments expects that the combination will provide even more ways to meet the needs of investors who work with financial advisors and consultants by enhancing the multi-boutique model of Nuveen Investments, which also includes highly respected investment teams at HydePark, NWQ Investment Management, Santa Barbara Asset Management, Symphony Asset Management, Tradewinds Global Investors and Winslow Capital. Nuveen Investments managed approximately $206 billion of assets as of March 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

Chairman's

Letter to Shareholders

Dear Shareholders,

In 2010, the global economy recorded another year of recovery from the financial and economic crises of 2008, but many of the factors that caused the downturn still weigh on the prospects for continued improvement. In the U.S., ongoing weakness in housing values has put pressure on homeowners and mortgage lenders. Similarly, the strong earnings recovery for corporations and banks is only slowly being translated into increased hiring or more active lending. Globally, deleveraging by private and public borrowers has inhibited economic growth and that process is far from complete.

Encouragingly, constructive actions are being taken by governments around the world to deal with economic issues. In the U.S., the recent passage of a stimulatory tax bill relieved some of the pressure on the Federal Reserve to promote economic expansion through quantitative easing and offers the promise of sustained economic growth. A number of European governments are undertaking programs that could significantly reduce their budget deficits. Governments across the emerging markets are implementing various steps to deal with global capital flows without undermining international trade and investment.

The success of these government actions could determine whether 2011 brings further economic recovery and financial market progress. One risk associated with the extraordinary efforts to strengthen U.S. economic growth is that the debt of the U.S. government will continue to grow to unprecedented levels. Another risk is that over time there could be inflationary pressures on asset values in the U.S. and abroad, because what happens in the U.S. impacts the rest of the world economy. Also, these various actions are being taken in a setting of heightened global economic uncertainty, primarily about the supplies of energy and other critical commodities. In this challenging environment, your Nuveen investment team continues to seek sustainable investment opportunities and to remain alert to potential risks in a recovery still facing many headwinds. On your behalf, we monitor their activities to assure they maintain their investment disciplines.

As you will note elsewhere in this report, on December 31, 2010, Nuveen Investments completed a strategic combination with FAF Advisors, Inc., the manager of the First American Funds. The combination adds highly respected and distinct investment teams to meet the needs of investors and their advisors and is designed to benefit all fund shareholders by creating a fund organization with the potential for further economies of scale and the ability to draw from even greater talent and expertise to meet those investor needs.

As of the end of June 2011, Nuveen Investments had completed the refinancing of all of the Auction Rate Preferred Securities issued by its taxable closed-end funds and 93% of the MuniPreferred shares issued by its tax-exempt closed-end funds. Please consult the Nuveen Investments web site, www.Nuveen.com, for the current status of this important refinancing program.

As always, I encourage you to contact your financial consultant if you have any questions about your investment in a Nuveen Fund. On behalf of the other members of your Fund Board, we look forward to continuing to earn your trust in the months and years ahead.

Sincerely,

Robert P. Bremner
Chairman of the Board
July 21, 2011

Portfolio Managers' Comments

Nuveen Connecticut Premium Income Municipal Fund (NTC)
Nuveen Connecticut Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NFC)
Nuveen Connecticut Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NGK)
Nuveen Connecticut Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (NGO)
Nuveen Massachusetts Premium Income Municipal Fund (NMT)
Nuveen Massachusetts Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NMB)
Nuveen Insured Massachusetts Tax-Free Advantage Municipal Fund (NGX)
Nuveen Missouri Premium Income Municipal Fund (NOM)

Portfolio managers Michael Hamilton and Chris Drahn review economic and municipal market conditions at the national and state levels, key investment strategies, and the twelve-month performance of these eight Nuveen Funds. With 22 years of investment experience, Michael assumed portfolio management responsibility for the Connecticut and Massachusetts Funds in January 2011 from Cathryn Steeves, who managed these seven Funds from 2006 until December 2010. Chris, who has 31 years of financial industry experience, took on portfolio management responsibility for NOM in January 2011 from Scott Romans, who managed this Fund from 2003 until December 2010.

What factors affected the U.S. economic and municipal market environments during the twelve-month reporting period ended May 31, 2011?

During this period, the U.S. economy demonstrated some signs of modest improvement, supported by the efforts of both the Federal Reserve (Fed) and the federal government. For its part, the Fed continued to hold the benchmark fed funds rate in a target range of zero to 0.25% since cutting it to this record low level in December 2008. At its June 2011 meeting (following the end of this reporting period), the central bank stated that it anticipated keeping the fed funds rate at "exceptionally low levels" for an "extended period." The Fed also completed its second round of quantitative easing, with the purchase of $600 billion in longer-term U.S. Treasury bonds. The goal of this plan was to lower long-term interest rates and thereby stimulate economic activity and create jobs. The federal government continued to focus on implementing the economic stimulus package passed in early 2009 and aimed at providing job creation, tax relief, fiscal assistance to state and local governments, and expansion of unemployment benefits and other federal social welfare programs.

In the first quarter of 2011, the U.S. economy, as measured by the U.S. gross domestic product (GDP), grew at an annualized rate of 1.9%, marking the seventh consecutive quarter of positive growth. The employment situation slowly improved, with the national jobless rate registering 9.1% in May 2011, down from 9.6% a year earlier. While the Fed's longer-term inflation expectations remained stable, inflation over this period

Certain statements in this report are forward-looking statements. Discussions of specific investments are for illustration only and are not intended as recommendations of individual investments. The forward-looking statements and other views expressed herein are those of the portfolio managers as of the date of this report. Actual future results or occurrences may differ significantly from those anticipated in any forward-looking statements, and the views expressed herein are subject to change at any time, due to numerous market and other factors. The Funds disclaim any obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements or views expressed herein.

Any reference to credit ratings for portfolio holdings denotes the highest rating assigned by a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (NRSRO) such as Standard & Poor's (S&P), Moody's or Fitch. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below investment grade. Holdings and ratings may change over time.

posted its largest twelve-month gain since October 2008, as the Consumer Price Index (CPI) rose 3.6% year-over-year as of May 2011. The core CPI (which excludes food and energy) increased 1.5%, staying within the Fed's unofficial objective of 2.0% or lower for this measure. The housing market remained a major weak spot in the economy. For the twelve months ended April 2011 (most recent data available at the time this report was prepared), the average home price in the Standard & Poor's (S&P)/Case-Shiller index of 20 major metropolitan areas lost 4.0%, with six of the 20 metropolitan areas hitting their lowest levels since housing prices peaked in 2006.

The municipal bond market was affected by a significant decline in new tax exempt issuance during this period. One reason for the decrease in new tax-exempt supply was the heavy issuance of taxable municipal debt in 2010 under the Build America Bond (BAB) program, which was created as part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of February 2009 and expired on December 31, 2010. Between the beginning of this reporting period on June 1, 2010, and the end of the BAB program, taxable Build America Bond issuance totaled $74.5 billion, accounting for 28% of new bonds issued in the municipal market.

After rallying strongly during the first part of the period, the municipal market suffered a reversal in mid-November 2010, due largely to investor concerns about inflation, the federal deficit, and the deficit's impact on demand for U.S. Treasury securities. Adding to this market pressure was media coverage of the strained finances of some state and local governments. As a result, money began to flow out of municipal mutual funds as yields rose and valuations declined. As we moved into the second quarter of 2011, we saw the environment in the municipal market improve.

Over the twelve months ended May 31, 2011, municipal bond issuance nationwide—both tax-exempt and taxable—totaled $335.7 billion, a decrease of 15% compared with the issuance of the twelve-month period ended May 31, 2010. For the first five months of 2011, municipal issuance nationwide was down 50% from the first five months of 2010. This decline reflects the heavy issuance of BABs at the end of 2010, as borrowers took advantage of the program's favorable terms before its expiration at year end.

How were the economic and market conditions in Connecticut, Massachusetts and Missouri during this period?

During the twelve-month period, Connecticut's economy gained some ground in its efforts to recover from the recent recession. For 2010, Connecticut posted GDP growth of 3.1%, compared with national growth of 2.6%, which ranked Connecticut 12th in percentage GDP growth by state. As of May 2011, the jobless rate in Connecticut was 9.1%, the same as it was in May 2010. Connecticut's ranking as the state with the highest per capita income in the nation remained a positive for housing and retail trade. The downside included high energy costs, slow population growth, and relatively high business taxes. In the state's housing market, lower prices, a lack of recent residential construction, and pent-up demand were expected to help improve the market for home sales once employment picks up. In May 2011, Connecticut passed a $40.1 billion biennium state budget for fiscal 2012-2013 that provided for the largest tax increase in state history, including a broad array of new taxes and the end of tax exemptions on items such as entertainment, clothes, nonprescription drugs, alcohol and tobacco. The budget also called for $1 billion in wage and benefit concessions from 45,000 unionized state workers. As of May 2011, Moody’s and Standard & Poor’s (S&P) rated Connecticut general obligation debt at Aa2 and AA, respectively, with stable outlooks. Issuance of municipal debt in Connecticut during the twelve months ended May 31, 2011, totaled $4.8 billion, down 29% from the twelve months ended May 31, 2010.

In 2010, Massachusetts’s economy expanded at a rate of 4.2%, compared with national growth of 2.6%, ranking Massachusetts fourth in the nation in percentage GDP growth by state. As of May 2011, the jobless rate in Massachusetts was 7.6%, its lowest reading since February 2009 and down from 8.5% in May 2010. This compared with the national unemployment rate of 9.1% in May 2011. Job growth was reported across all sectors, with professional and business services, education and health services, and finance leading the way. Goods-producing industries also saw small gains in payrolls, as industrial production benefited from a recovery in business spending. Through December 2010, the commonwealth had regained approximately 40% of the industrial jobs lost during the recent recession, compared with 25% nationally. The concentration of colleges and universities also continued to make Massachusetts a significant center for research and development, primarily in the Cambridge area, which has one of the highest concentrations of high-tech jobs in the nation. Despite the commonwealth’s overall progress, the housing sector continued to be a problem area. According to the S&P/Case-Shiller home price index of 20 major metropolitan areas, housing prices in Boston fell 4.2% between April 2010 and April 2011 (most recent data available at the time this report was prepared), partly due to a large increase in the inventory of foreclosed homes, which weighed on prices. In government, Massachusetts’s $30.6 billion budget for fiscal 2012 contained no new taxes, but cut funding for many state agencies and included provisions limiting the collective bargaining powers of public unions—teachers, police, and firefighters—as part of a plan to save cities and towns $100 million annually in health insurance costs. As of May 2011, Moody’s rated Massachusetts general obligation debt at Aa1, with a stable outlook. In February 2011, S&P confirmed its Massachusetts rating at AA and revised its outlook to positive from stable. For the twelve months ended May 31, 2011, new municipal supply in Massachusetts totaled $9.6 billion, a decrease of 19% from the previous twelve months.

For 2010, Missouri posted GDP growth of 1.4%, compared with the national measure of 2.6%, which ranked Missouri 39th in percentage GDP growth by state. Although this represented a significant turnaround from 2009, when Missouri’s economy contracted 3.8%, the state’s heavy reliance on the manufacturing sector has hampered its ability to more fully participate in recovery. In May 2011, the jobless rate in Missouri was 8.9%, its lowest level since March 2009, down from 9.5% in May 2010. In May 2011, the Missouri legislature approved a $23.2 billion state budget for fiscal 2012 that cut funding for colleges and universities by 5.5% and held basic aid for K-12 education flat. As of May 2011, Moody’s and S&P rated Missouri general obligation debt at Aaa and AAA, respectively, with stable outlooks. During the twelve months ended May 31, 2011, municipal issuance in Missouri was down 28% from the previous twelve-month period, to $4.6 billion.

What key strategies were used to manage these Funds during this period?

As previously mentioned, the new issue supply of tax-exempt bonds declined nationally during this period, due largely to the issuance of taxable bonds under the BAB program. The BAB program also significantly affected the availability of tax-exempt bonds in these three states. Between the beginning of this reporting period on June 1, 2010, and the end of the BAB program, BABs accounted for approximately 24% of municipal supply in Connecticut, 31% in Massachusetts, and 33% in Missouri. Since interest payments from BABs represent taxable income, we did not view these bonds as appropriate investment opportunities for these Funds. Further compounding the supply situation was the drop-off in new municipal issuance during the first five months of 2011, when issuance in Connecticut, Massachusetts, and Missouri declined 48%, 51%, and 74%, respectively, from that of the same period in 2010.

For NGX, the tighter supply situation was compounded by the severe decline in the issuance of AAA rated insured bonds. Between May 2010 and May 2011, the supply of new insured paper fell by 50%, accounting for only 6% of issuance nationwide, compared with historical levels of approximately 50%. NGX's investment policies were changed in May 2010 to allow this insured Fund to invest up to 20% of its net assets in uninsured investment-grade credits rated BBB- or higher. However, at least 80% of its net assets must be invested in municipal securities that are covered by insurance from insurers with a claims-paying ability rated at least BBB- at the time of purchase.

In this environment of constrained tax-exempt municipal bond issuance, we continued to take a bottom-up approach to discovering undervalued sectors and individual credits with the potential to perform well over the long term. During this period, the Connecticut and Massachusetts Funds took advantage of attractive opportunities in the market to add to some of the Fund's existing holdings. The Massachusetts Funds also added a new name, the Broad Institute, a medical research center affiliated with MIT and Harvard. In NOM, we purchased bonds issued for the Carroll County public water supply district and Rockhurst University. Because of the general lack of supply in these states, the Connecticut Funds also purchased territorial paper, including sales tax and water and sewer bonds issued by Puerto Rico. NOM also bought Puerto Rican sales tax bonds, while NGX purchased a Guam power utility credit. The purchase of these bonds benefited the Funds by helping to keep them as fully invested as possible, adding diversification, and providing double exemption (i.e., exemption from both federal and state taxes). In addition, the Connecticut Funds bought some securities issued in New York and Oregon.

During this period, the Funds generally focused on purchasing longer bonds in order to take advantage of attractive yields at the longer end of the municipal yield curve. The purchase of longer bonds also provided some protection for the Funds' duration and yield curve positioning in the event that the BAB program was extended and continued to have an impact on tax-exempt issuance. The Connecticut Funds were somewhat hampered in this area due to the generally shorter nature of debt issued in that state.

Cash for new purchases during this period was generated primarily by the proceeds from bond calls and maturing bonds, which we worked to redeploy to keep the Funds fully invested. In addition, the Massachusetts Funds sold some pre-refunded bonds with short maturities (less than two years) to provide additional cash for purchases. In general, selling was minimal because of the challenge of finding appropriate tax-exempt paper.

As of May 31, 2011, all of these Funds continued to use inverse floating rate securities. We employ inverse floaters as a form of leverage for a variety of reasons, including duration management, income enhancement and total return enhancement.

How did the Funds perform?

Individual results for these Nuveen Funds, as well as relevant index and peer group information, are presented in the accompanying table.

Average Annual Total Returns on Common Share Net Asset Value
For periods ended 5/31/11

| | 1-Year | | 5-Year | | 10-Year | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Connecticut Funds | | | | | | |
| NTC | 2.63 | % | 4.72 | % | 5.59 | % |
| NFC | 2.09 | % | 4.87 | % | 6.05 | % |
| NGK | 1.41 | % | 4.81 | % | N/A | |
| NGO | 2.52 | % | 4.59 | % | N/A | |
| | | | | | | |
| Standard & Poor's (S&P) Connecticut Municipal Bond Index1 | 3.28 | % | 4.51 | % | 4.75 | % |
| Standard & Poor's (S&P) National Municipal Bond Index2 | 3.17 | % | 4.46 | % | 5.02 | % |
| Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Average3 | 2.49 | % | 4.18 | % | 5.60 | % |
| | | | | | | |
| Massachusetts Funds | | | | | | |
| NMT | 3.58 | % | 4.82 | % | 5.56 | % |
| NMB | 3.05 | % | 4.21 | % | 6.10 | % |
| | | | | | | |
| Standard & Poor's (S&P) Massachusetts Municipal Bond Index1 | 3.63 | % | 5.12 | % | 5.28 | % |
| Standard & Poor's (S&P) National Municipal Bond Index2 | 3.17 | % | 4.46 | % | 5.02 | % |
| Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Average3 | 2.49 | % | 4.18 | % | 5.60 | % |
| | | | | | | |
| Missouri Fund | | | | | | |
| NOM | 3.22 | % | 3.72 | % | 5.22 | % |
| | | | | | | |
| Standard & Poor's (S&P) Missouri Municipal Bond Index1 | 4.04 | % | 4.60 | % | 5.18 | % |
| Standard & Poor's (S&P) National Municipal Bond Index2 | 3.17 | % | 4.46 | % | 5.02 | % |
| Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Average3 | 2.49 | % | 4.18 | % | 5.60 | % |
| | | | | | | |
| Insured Massachusetts Fund | | | | | | |
| NGX | 2.89 | % | 4.88 | % | N/A | |
| | | | | | | |
| Standard & Poor's (S&P) Massachusetts Municipal Bond Index1 | 3.63 | % | 5.12 | % | 5.28 | % |
| Standard & Poor's (S&P) National Insured Municipal Bond Index2 | 2.92 | % | 4.44 | % | 5.08 | % |
| Lipper Single State Insured Municipal Debt Funds Average4 | 2.28 | % | 4.55 | % | 5.52 | % |

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares.

For additional information, see the individual Performance Overview for your Fund in this report.

1 The Standard & Poor's (S&P) Municipal Bond Indexes for Connecticut, Massachusetts and Missouri are unlever-aged, market value-weighted indexes designed to measure the performance of the tax-exempt, investment-grade Connecticut, Massachusetts, and Missouri municipal bond markets, respectively.These indexes do not reflect any initial or ongoing expenses and are not available for direct investment.

2 The Standard & Poor's (S&P) National Municipal Bond Index is an unleveraged, market value-weighted index designed to measure the performance of the tax-exempt, investment-grade U.S. municipal bond market. The S&P National Insured Municipal Bond Index is an unleveraged, market value-weighted index designed to measure the performance of the insured, tax-exempt segment of the U.S. municipal bond market. These indexes do not reflect any initial or ongoing expenses and are not available for direct investment.

3 The Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Average is calculated using the returns of all closed-end funds in this category for each period as follows: 1-year, 46 funds; 5-year, 46 funds; and 10-years, 27 funds. Lipper returns account for the effects of management fees and assume reinvestment of dividends, but do not reflect any applicable sales charges. The Lipper average is not available for direct investment. Shareholders should note that the performance of the Lipper Other States category represents the overall average of returns for funds from ten different states with a wide variety of municipal market conditions, which may make direct comparisons less meaningful.

4 The Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds Average is calculated using the returns of all closed-end exchange-traded funds in this category for each period as follows: 1-year, 13 funds; 5-year, 13 funds; and 10-year, 8 funds. Lipper returns account for the effects of management fees and assume reinvestment of dividends, but do not reflect any applicable sales charges.The Lipper average is not available for direct investment. Shareholders should note that the performance of the Lipper Single-State average represents the overall average of returns for funds from eight different states with a wide variety of municipal market conditions, which may make direct comparisons less meaningful.

For the twelve months ended May 31, 2011, the total return on common share net asset value (NAV) for NMT performed in line with the Standard & Poor's (S&P) Massachusetts Municipal Bond Index, while the remaining Connecticut, Massachusetts and Missouri Funds underperformed the returns for their respective state's S&P Municipal Bond Index. NMT exceeded the return for the Standard & Poor's (S&P) National Municipal Bond Index, NMB and NOM performed in line with this index, while the four Connecticut Funds lagged this benchmark. NTC, NGO, NMT, NMB and NOM outperformed the average return for the Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Average, while NFC and NGK trailed this Lipper average. For the same period, NGX performed in line with the S&P National Insured Municipal Bond Index and outperformed the average return for the Lipper Single State Insured Municipal Debt Funds Average.

Key management factors that influenced the Funds' returns during this period included duration and yield curve positioning, credit exposure, and sector allocation. The use of leverage also had an impact on the Funds' performance. Leverage is discussed in more detail on page eleven.

During this period, municipal bonds with intermediate maturities generally outperformed other maturity categories, with credits at both the shorter and longer ends of the yield curve posting weaker returns. Overall, duration and yield curve positioning was a positive contributor to the performance of NMT, NMB and NOM. These three Funds were overweighted in the intermediate parts of the yield curve that performed best. NMT and NMB also benefited from being underweighted in the short end of the curve, while NOM was underweight in the underperforming longer end of the curve. Duration and yield curve positioning was generally a neutral factor in NTC, NFC, NGO and NGX. On the other hand, NGK was overweighted in the shorter part of the curve that underperformed, which detracted from the Fund's performance during this period. Some of the maturity weightings in NGK and across the other Connecticut Funds were attributable to the fact that much of the issuance in Connecticut comes to market with shorter maturities.

Credit exposure played a smaller role in performance. During the market reversal of late 2010, as redemption activity in high-yield funds increased and risk aversion mounted, lower-rated credits were negatively impacted. For the period as a whole, bonds rated BBB typically underperformed those rated AAA. In this environment, the Funds' performance generally benefited from their allocations to higher quality credits. As an insured Fund, NGK had the largest exposure to AAA credits and NGX had the smallest allocation of BBB bonds. This overall higher credit quality helped NGX's performance for the period. NGX also had a holding pre-refunded during this period, which benefited the Fund through enhanced credit quality and price appreciation.

Holdings that generally made positive contributions to the Funds' returns during this period included general obligation (GO) and other tax-supported bonds, housing credits and resource recovery bonds. The electric utilities, water and sewer, and leasing sectors also outperformed the municipal market as a whole. All of these Funds were generally underweighted in the tax-supported sector, specifically in state GOs, which restricted their ability to participate in the rally of this sector. One of the reasons these Funds tend to hold fewer state GOs than the market average is that these bonds offer less of a yield advantage than other bonds we can purchase for our portfolios.

In contrast, the industrial development revenue, health care and transportation sectors turned in relatively weaker performance. All of these Funds were hurt by their weightings in health care, with the exception of NOM. Despite the poor performance of the health care sector nationally, credit spreads on Missouri health care bonds remained relatively stable during this period, and NOM's health care holdings performed well. In general, the Connecticut and Massachusetts Funds tended to be underweighted in transportation, which helped their performance. However, the poor performance of NGK's holding in the transportation sector along with the Fund's underweighting of state GOs, was the primary reason NGK underperformed the other Funds in this report.

## IMPACT OF THE FUNDS' LEVERAGE STRATEGIES ON PERFORMANCE

One important factor impacting the returns of all these Funds relative to the comparative indexes was the Funds' use of leverage. The Funds use leverage because their managers believe that, over time, leveraging provides opportunities for additional income and total return for common shareholders. However, use of leverage also can expose common shareholders to additional volatility. For example, as the prices of securities held by a Fund decline, the negative impact of these valuation changes on common share net asset value and common shareholder total return is magnified by the use of leverage. This is what happened in these Funds during the period, as the use of leverage hurt their overall performance.

## APPROVED FUND MERGERS

After the close of this reporting period, the Funds' Board of Trustees approved a series of mergers for all the Connecticut funds included in this report. The mergers are subject to shareholder approval at the Funds' regular shareholder meeting later this year. The mergers are intended to create a single, larger state fund with enhanced trading appeal and lower operating expenses of traded common shares of the fund.

More information on the proposed mergers will be contained in the proxy materials expected to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in the coming weeks. The proposed fund mergers are as follows:

| Acquired Fund | Acquiring Fund |
|---|---|
| Nuveen Connecticut Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NFC) | Nuveen Connecticut Premium Income Municipal Fund (NTC) |
| Nuveen Connecticut Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NGK) | |
| Nuveen Connecticut Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (NGO) | |

## RECENT DEVELOPMENTS REGARDING THE FUNDS' REDEMPTION OF AUCTION RATE PREFERRED SHARES

Shortly after their respective inceptions, each of the Funds issued auction rate preferred shares (ARPS) to create structural leverage. As noted in past shareholder reports, the ARPS issued by many closed-end funds, including these Funds, have been hampered by a lack of liquidity since February 2008. Since that time, more ARPS have been submitted for sale in each of their regularly scheduled auctions than there have been offers to buy.

In fact, offers to buy have been almost completely nonexistent since late February 2008. This means that these auctions have “failed to clear,” and that many, or all, of the ARPS shareholders who wanted to sell their shares in these auctions were unable to do so. This lack of liquidity in ARPS did not lower the credit quality of these shares, and ARPS shareholders unable to sell their shares continued to receive distributions at the “maximum rate” applicable to failed auctions, as calculated in accordance with the pre-established terms of the ARPS. In the recent market, with short term rates at multi-generational lows, those maximum rates also have been low. One continuing implication for common shareholders from the auction failures is that each Fund’s cost of leverage likely has been incrementally higher at times than it otherwise might have been had the auctions continued to be successful. As a result, each Fund’s common share earnings likely have been incrementally lower at times than they otherwise might have been.

As noted in past shareholder reports, the Nuveen funds’ Board of Directors/Trustees authorized several methods that can be used separately or in combination to refinance a portion of the Nuveen funds’ outstanding ARPS. Some funds have utilized tender option bonds (TOBs), also known as inverse floating rate securities, for leverage purposes. The amount of TOBs that a fund may use varies according to the composition of each fund’s portfolio. Some funds have a greater ability to use TOBs than others. Some funds have issued Variable Rate Demand Preferred (VRDP) Shares or Variable MuniFund Term Preferred (VMTP) Shares, which are a floating rate form of preferred stock with a mandatory term redemption. Some funds have issued MuniFund Term Preferred (MTP) Shares, a fixed rate form of preferred stock with a mandatory redemption period of three to five years.

During 2010 and 2011, certain Nuveen leveraged closed-end funds (excluding all the Funds included in this report) received a demand letter from a law firm on behalf of purported holders of common shares of each such fund, alleging that Nuveen and the funds’ officers and Board of Directors/Trustees breached their fiduciary duties related to the redemption at par of the funds’ ARPS. In response, the Board established an ad hoc Demand Committee consisting of certain of its disinterested and independent Board members to investigate the claims. The Demand Committee retained independent counsel to assist it in conducting an extensive investigation. Based upon its investigation, the Demand Committee found that it was not in the best interests of each fund or its shareholders to take the actions suggested in the demand letters, and recommended that the full Board reject the demands made in the demand letters. After reviewing the findings and recommendation of the Demand Committee, the full Board of each fund unanimously adopted the Demand Committee’s recommendation.

Subsequently, the funds that received demand letters were named in a consolidated complaint as nominal defendants in a putative shareholder derivative action captioned Martin Safier, et al. v. Nuveen Asset Management, et al. that was filed in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, Chancery Division (the “Cook County Chancery Court”) on February 18, 2011 (the “Complaint”). The Complaint, filed on behalf of purported holders of each fund’s common shares, also name Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc. as a defendant, together with current and former Officers and interested Directors/Trustees of each of the funds (together with the nominal defendants, collectively, the “Defendants”). The Complaint contains the same basic allegations contained in the demand letters. The suits seek a declaration that the Defendants have breached their fiduciary duties, an order directing the Defendants not to redeem any ARPS at their liquidation value using fund assets, indeterminate monetary damages in favor of the funds and an award of plaintiffs' costs and disbursements in pursuing the action. Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc. believes that the Complaint is without merit, and is defending vigorously against these charges.

As of May 31, 2011, each of the Funds has redeemed all of their outstanding ARPS at par.

MTP Shares

As of May 31, 2011, the following Funds have issued and outstanding MTP Shares, at liquidation value, as shown in the accompanying table.

| Fund | MTP Shares at Liquidation Value |
|---|---|
| NTC | $36,080,000 |
| NFC | 20,470,000 |
| NGK | 16,950,000 |
| NGO | 32,000,000 |
| NMT | 36,645,000 |
| NMB | 14,725,000 |
| NGX | 22,075,000 |
| NOM | 17,880,000 |

The net proceeds from each Fund's issuance of MTP Shares was used to refinance all, or a portion of, the Fund's remaining outstanding ARPS at par. Each Fund's MTP Shares trade on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE). At May 31, 2011, the details on each Fund's series of MTP Shares are as shown in the following table.

| Fund | Series | Shares Issued At Liquidation Value | Annual Interest Rate | NYSE Ticker |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| NTC | 2015 | 18,300,000 | 2.65% | NTC Pr C |
| NTC | 2016 | 17,780,000 | 2.55% | NTC Pr D |
| NFC | 2015 | 20,470,000 | 2.60% | NFC Pr C |
| NGK | 2015 | 16,950,000 | 2.60% | NGK Pr C |
| NGO | 2015 | 32,000,000 | 2.65% | NGO Pr C |
| NMT | 2015 | 20,210,000 | 2.65% | NMT Pr C |
| NMT | 2016 | 16,435,000 | 2.75% | NMT Pr D |
| NMB | 2015 | 14,725,000 | 2.60% | NMB Pr C |
| NGX | 2015 | 22,075,000 | 2.65% | NGX Pr C |
| NOM | 2015 | 17,880,000 | 2.10% | NOM Pr C |

(Refer to Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies and Footnote 4 – Fund Shares for further details on MTP Shares.)

At the time this report was prepared, all 84 of the Nuveen closed-end municipal funds that had issued ARPS have redeemed at par all or a portion of these shares. These redemptions bring the total amount of Nuveen's municipal closed-end funds' ARPS redemptions to approximately $10.3 billion of the approximately $11.0 billion originally outstanding. For up-to-date information, please visit the Nuveen CEF Auction Rate Preferred Resource Center at: http://www.nuveen.com/arps.

Regulatory Matters

During May 2011, Nuveen Securities, LLC, known as Nuveen Investments, LLC prior to April 30, 2011, entered into a settlement with the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) with respect to certain allegations regarding Nuveen-sponsored closed-end fund ARPS marketing brochures. As part of this settlement, Nuveen Securities, LLC neither admitted to nor denied FINRA’s allegations. Nuveen Securities, LLC is the broker-dealer subsidiary of Nuveen Investments.

The settlement with FINRA concludes an investigation that followed the widespread failure of auctions for ARPS and other auction rate securities, which generally began in mid-February 2008. In the settlement, FINRA alleged that certain marketing materials provided by Nuveen Securities, LLC were false and misleading. Nuveen Securities, LLC agreed to a censure and the payment of a $3 million fine.

RISK CONSIDERATIONS

Fund shares are not guaranteed or endorsed by any bank or other insured depository institution, and are not federally insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Shares of closed-end funds are subject to investment risks, including the possible loss of principal invested. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

Price Risk; Common shares of closed-end investment companies like the Funds frequently trade at a discount to their net asset value. The Funds cannot predict whether the common shares will trade at, above or below net asset value. Your common shares at any point in time may be worth less than your original investment, even after taking into account the reinvestment of Fund dividends and distributions.

Leverage Risk; Each Fund’s use of leverage creates the possibility of higher volatility for the Fund’s per share NAV, market price, and distributions. Leverage risk can be introduced through structural leverage (issuing preferred shares or debt borrowings at the Fund level) or through certain derivative investments held in the Fund’s portfolio. Leverage typically magnifies the total return of a Fund’s portfolio, whether that return is positive or negative. There is no assurance that a Fund’s leveraging strategy will be successful.

Credit and Interest Rate Risk; Debt or fixed income securities are subject to credit risk and interest rate risk. The value of, and income generated by debt securities will decrease or increase based on changes in market interest rates. As interest rates rise, bond prices fall. Credit risk refers to an issuer's ability to make interest and principal payments when due.

Common Share Dividend and
Share Price Information

The monthly dividends of all eight Funds in this report remained stable throughout the twelve-month reporting period ended May 31, 2011.

Due to normal portfolio activity, common shareholders of NMT received a long-term capital gains distribution of $0.0376 per share in December 2010.

All of these Funds seek to pay stable dividends at rates that reflect each Fund's past results and projected future performance. During certain periods, each Fund may pay dividends at a rate that may be more or less than the amount of net investment income actually earned by the Fund during the period. If a Fund has cumulatively earned more than it has paid in dividends, it holds the excess in reserve as undistributed net investment income (UNII) as part of the Fund's NAV. Conversely, if a Fund has cumulatively paid dividends in excess of its earnings, the excess constitutes negative UNII that is likewise reflected in the Fund's NAV. Each Fund will, over time, pay all of its net investment income as dividends to shareholders. As of May 31, 2011, all eight of the Funds in this report had positive UNII balances for both tax and financial reporting purposes.

COMMON SHARE REPURCHASES AND SHARE PRICE INFORMATION

Since the inception of the Funds' repurchase program, the Funds have not repurchased any of their outstanding common shares.

As of May 31, 2011, the Funds' common share prices were trading at premiums (+) or (-) discounts to their common share NAVs as shown in the accompanying table.

| Fund | 5/31/11<br>(+) Premium/(-)Discount | 12-Month Average<br>(+) Premium/(-)Discount |
|---|---:|---:|
| NTC | (-)7.31% | (-)4.90% |
| NFC | (-)4.09% | (-)2.01% |
| NGK | (-)3.26% | (+)0.16% |
| NGO | (-)8.39% | (-)4.60% |
| NMT | (-)4.03% | (-)0.56% |
| NMB | (-)3.15% | (+)0.07% |
| NGX | (-)5.15% | (+)0.72% |
| NOM | (+) 5.23% | (+)16.16% |

NTC

Performance OVERVIEW

Nuveen Connecticut Premium Income Municipal Fund

as of May 31, 2011

| Fund Snapshot | |
|---|---|
| Common Share Price | $13.18 |
| Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV) | $14.22 |
| Premium/(Discount) to NAV | -7.31% |
| Market Yield | 5.37% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Yield1 | 7.85% |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares ($000) | $76,284 |

| Average Annual Total Return (Inception 5/20/93) | | |
|---|---|---|
| | On Share Price | On NAV |
| 1-Year | -0.39% | 2.63% |
| 5-Year | 4.04% | 4.72% |
| 10-Year | 3.40% | 5.59% |

| Leverage (as a % of managed assets) | |
|---|---|
| Structural Leverage | 30.78% |
| Effective Leverage | 36.60% |

| Portfolio Composition3 (as a % of total investments) | |
|---|---|
| Education and Civic Organizations | 25.4% |
| Tax Obligation/General | 14.2% |
| Tax Obligation/Limited | 13.7% |
| Health Care | 13.7% |
| Water and Sewer | 8.9% |
| U.S. Guaranteed | 8.1% |
| Housing/Single Family | 6.5% |
| Utilities | 5.7% |
| Other | 3.8% |

Refer to the Glossary of Terms used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 31.6%.

When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.

3 Holdings are subject to change.

NFC

Performance
OVERVIEW

Nuveen Connecticut
Dividend Advantage
Municipal Fund

as of May 31, 2011

| Fund Snapshot | |
|---|---|
| Common Share Price | $13.85 |
| Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV) | $14.44 |
| Premium/(Discount) to NAV | -4.09% |
| Market Yield | 5.55% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Yield[1] | 8.11% |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares ($000) | $37,334 |

| Average Annual Total Return (Inception 1/26/01) | | |
|---|---|---|
| | On Share Price | On NAV |
| 1-Year | -4.38% | 2.09% |
| 5-Year | 2.06% | 4.87% |
| 10-Year | 4.40% | 6.05% |

| Leverage (as a % of managed assets) | |
|---|---|
| Structural Leverage | 34.09% |
| Effective Leverage | 39.42% |

| Portfolio Composition[3] (as a % of total investments) | |
|---|---|
| Education and Civic Organizations | 23.6% |
| Tax Obligation/Limited | 18.3% |
| Health Care | 15.3% |
| U.S. Guaranteed | 10.7% |
| Tax Obligation/General | 10.1% |
| Water and Sewer | 9.5% |
| Housing/Single Family | 5.9% |
| Other | 6.6% |

Refer to the Glossary of Terms used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 31.6%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield

is lower.

2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.

3 Holdings are subject to change.

NGK

Performance
OVERVIEW

Nuveen Connecticut
Dividend Advantage
Municipal Fund 2

as of May 31, 2011

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Fund Snapshot | | |
| Common Share Price | | $13.96 |
| Common Share | | |
| Net Asset Value (NAV) | | $14.43 |
| Premium/(Discount) to NAV | | -3.26% |
| Market Yield | | 5.67% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Yield1 | | 8.29% |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares ($000) | | $33,478 |
| Average Annual Total Return (Inception 3/25/02) | | |
| | On Share Price | On NAV |
| 1-Year | -8.96% | 1.41% |
| 5-Year | 1.90% | 4.81% |
| Since Inception | 4.85% | 5.79% |
| Leverage (as a % of managed assets) | | |
| Structural Leverage | | 32.30% |
| Effective Leverage | | 37.87% |
| Portfolio Composition3 (as a % of total investments) | | |
| Education and Civic Organizations | | 22.4% |
| U.S. Guaranteed | | 17.2% |
| Health Care | | 15.1% |
| Tax Obligation/Limited | | 10.9% |
| Tax Obligation/General | | 8.0% |
| Water and Sewer | | 7.9% |
| Housing/Single Family | | 5.3% |
| Utilities | | 5.1% |
| Other | | 8.1% |

Refer to the Glossary of Terms used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 31.6%.

When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.

3 Holdings are subject to change.

NGO

Performance
OVERVIEW

Nuveen Connecticut
Dividend Advantage
Municipal Fund 3

as of May 31, 2011

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Fund Snapshot | | |
| Common Share Price | | $12.89 |
| Common Share | | |
| Net Asset Value (NAV) | | $14.07 |
| Premium/(Discount) to NAV | | -8.39% |
| Market Yield | | 5.59% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Yield1 | | 8.17% |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares ($000) | | $61,459 |
| | | |
| Average Annual Total Return (Inception 9/26/02) | | |
| | On Share Price | On NAV |
| 1-Year | -3.29% | 2.52% |
| 5-Year | 3.10% | 4.59% |
| Since Inception | 3.29% | 4.75% |
| | | |
| Leverage (as a % of managed assets) | | |
| Structural Leverage | | 32.93% |
| Effective Leverage | | 38.07% |
| | | |
| Portfolio Composition3 (as a % of total investments) | | |
| Education and Civic Organizations | | 19.1% |
| U.S. Guaranteed | | 18.2% |
| Health Care | | 12.7% |
| Tax Obligation/Limited | | 11.3% |
| Water and Sewer | | 10.6% |
| Tax Obligation/General | | 8.5% |
| Long-Term Care | | 6.1% |
| Housing/Single Family | | 5.1% |
| Utilities | | 5.1% |
| Other | | 3.3% |

Refer to the Glossary of Terms used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

1

Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 31.6%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.

3 Holdings are subject to change.

NMT

Performance
OVERVIEW

Nuveen Massachusetts
Premium Income
Municipal Fund

as of May 31, 2011

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Fund Snapshot | | |
| Common Share Price | | $13.59 |
| Common Share | | |
| Net Asset Value (NAV) | | $14.16 |
| Premium/(Discount) to NAV | | -4.03% |
| Market Yield | | 5.74% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Yield1 | | 8.42% |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares ($000) | | $67,605 |
| Average Annual Total Return (Inception 3/18/93) | | |
| | On Share Price | On NAV |
| 1-Year | -3.48% | 3.58% |
| 5-Year | 4.32% | 4.82% |
| 10-Year | 4.30% | 5.56% |
| Leverage (as a % of managed assets) | | |
| Structural Leverage | | 35.15% |
| Effective Leverage | | 37.81% |
| Portfolio Composition4 (as a % of total investments) | | |
| Education and Civic Organizations | | 23.0% |
| Health Care | | 16.9% |
| Tax Obligation/General | | 14.0% |
| Tax Obligation/Limited | | 9.2% |
| Water and Sewer | | 8.0% |
| U.S. Guaranteed | | 7.4% |
| Transportation | | 7.1% |
| Other | | 14.4% |

Refer to the Glossary of Terms used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 31.8%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield

is lower.

2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.

3 The Fund paid shareholders capital gains distributions in December 2010 of $0.0376 per share.

4 Holdings are subject to change.

NMB

Nuveen Massachusetts
Dividend Advantage
Municipal Fund

Performance
OVERVIEW

as of May 31, 2011

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Fund Snapshot | | |
| Common Share Price | | $13.53 |
| Common Share | | |
| Net Asset Value (NAV) | | $13.97 |
| Premium/(Discount) to NAV | | -3.15% |
| Market Yield | | 6.12% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Yield1 | | 8.97% |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares ($000) | | $27,465 |
| Average Annual Total Return (Inception 1/30/01) | | |
| | On Share Price | On NAV |
| 1-Year | 1.87% | 3.05% |
| 5-Year | 2.66% | 4.21% |
| Since Inception | 4.90% | 6.10% |
| Leverage (as a % of managed assets) | | |
| Structural Leverage | | 34.90% |
| Effective Leverage | | 37.95% |
| Portfolio Composition3 (as a % of total investments) | | |
| Education and Civic Organizations | | 30.5% |
| Health Care | | 21.2% |
| Tax Obligation/General | | 10.2% |
| Tax Obligation/Limited | | 7.8% |
| Long-Term Care | | 5.7% |
| Water and Sewer | | 5.6% |
| Housing/Multifamily | | 5.1% |
| U.S. Guaranteed | | 4.0% |
| Utilities | | 3.8% |
| Other | | 6.1% |

Refer to the Glossary of Terms used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 31.8%.

When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.

3 Holdings are subject to change.

NGX

Performance
OVERVIEW

Nuveen Insured
Massachusetts Tax-Free
Advantage Municipal Fund

as of May 31, 2011

| Fund Snapshot | |
|---|---|
| Common Share Price | $13.62 |
| Common Share<br>Net Asset Value (NAV) | $14.36 |
| Premium/(Discount) to NAV | -5.15% |
| Market Yield | 5.55% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Yield3 | 8.14% |
| Net Assets Applicable to<br>Common Shares ($000) | $39,158 |

| Average Annual Total Return<br>(Inception 11/21/02) | | |
|---|---|---|
| | On Share Price | On NAV |
| 1-Year | -9.04% | 2.89% |
| 5-Year | 5.32% | 4.88% |
| Since Inception | 3.94% | 5.22% |

| Leverage<br>(as a % of managed assets) | |
|---|---|
| Structural Leverage | 36.05% |
| Effective Leverage | 37.58% |

| Portfolio Composition5<br>(as a % of total investments) | |
|---|---|
| U.S. Guaranteed | 25.3% |
| Education and Civic Organizations | 17.6% |
| Water and Sewer | 12.2% |
| Tax Obligation/Limited | 11.5% |
| Tax Obligation/General | 8.3% |
| Housing/Multifamily | 7.3% |
| Health Care | 7.1% |
| Industrials | 4.7% |
| Other | 6.0% |

| Insurers5<br>(as a % of total Insured investments) | |
|---|---|
| NPFG4 | 33.7% |
| AMBAC | 20.7% |
| FGIC | 18.3% |
| AGM | 12.2% |

| | |
|---|---|
| AGC | 9.3% |
| SYNCORA GTY | 5.8% |

Refer to the Glossary of Terms used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

1 The Fund intends to invest at least 80% of its managed assets in municipal securities that are covered by insurance guaranteeing the timely payment of principal and interest. See Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies, Insurance, for more information. At the end of the reporting period, 83% of the Fund's total investments are invested in Insured Securities.

2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAArating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC,CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.

3 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 31.8%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

4 MBIA's public finance subsidiary.

5 Holdings are subject to change.

NOM

Performance
OVERVIEW

Nuveen Missouri
Premium Income
Municipal Fund

as of May 31, 2011

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Fund Snapshot | | |
| Common Share Price | | $13.88 |
| Common Share | | |
| Net Asset Value (NAV) | | $13.19 |
| Premium/(Discount) to NAV | | 5.23% |
| Market Yield | | 5.62% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Yield1 | | 8.30% |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares ($000) | | $30,595 |
| Average Annual Total Return (Inception 5/20/93) | | |
| | On Share Price | On NAV |
| 1-Year | -11.29% | 3.22% |
| 5-Year | 1.88% | 3.72% |
| 10-Year | 5.08% | 5.22% |
| Leverage (as a % of managed assets) | | |
| Structural Leverage | | 36.89% |
| Effective Leverage | | 39.65% |
| Portfolio Composition3 (as a % of total investments) | | |
| Health Care | | 20.0% |
| Tax Obligation/Limited | | 18.5% |
| Tax Obligation/General | | 17.0% |
| Transportation | | 10.7% |
| U.S. Guaranteed | | 8.5% |
| Water and Sewer | | 7.5% |
| Long-Term Care | | 6.0% |
| Other | | 11.8% |

Refer to the Glossary of Terms used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 32.3%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.

3 Holdings are subject to change.

Report of Independent
Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Trustees and Shareholders

Nuveen Connecticut Premium Income Municipal Fund
Nuveen Connecticut Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund
Nuveen Connecticut Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2
Nuveen Connecticut Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3
Nuveen Massachusetts Premium Income Municipal Fund
Nuveen Massachusetts Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund
Nuveen Insured Massachusetts Tax-Free Advantage Municipal Fund
Nuveen Missouri Premium Income Municipal Fund

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities, including the portfolios of investments, of Nuveen Connecticut Premium Income Municipal Fund, Nuveen Connecticut Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund, Nuveen Connecticut Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2, Nuveen Connecticut Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3, Nuveen Massachusetts Premium Income Municipal Fund, Nuveen Massachusetts Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund, Nuveen Insured Massachusetts Tax-Free Advantage Municipal Fund, and Nuveen Missouri Premium Income Municipal Fund (the “Funds”) as of May 31, 2011, and the related statements of operations and cash flows for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Funds’ management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Funds’ internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Funds’ internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements and financial highlights, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of May 31, 2011, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers or by other appropriate auditing procedures where replies from brokers were not received. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of Nuveen Connecticut Premium Income Municipal Fund, Nuveen Connecticut Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund, Nuveen Connecticut Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2, Nuveen Connecticut Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3, Nuveen Massachusetts Premium Income Municipal Fund, Nuveen Massachusetts Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund, Nuveen Insured Massachusetts Tax-Free Advantage Municipal Fund, and Nuveen Missouri Premium Income Municipal Fund at May 31, 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended, the changes in their net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Chicago, Illinois
July 28, 2011

NTC Nuveen Connecticut Premium Income Municipal Fund
Portfolio of Investments

May 31, 2011

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Consumer Staples – 1.5% (1.0% of Total Investments) | | | |
| $ 1,280 | Puerto Rico, The Children's Trust Fund, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.375%, 5/15/33 | 5/12 at 100.00 | BBB | $ 1,133,235 |
| | Education and Civic Organizations – 39.0% (25.4% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 925 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Brunswick School, Series 2003B, 5.000%, 7/01/33 – NPFG Insured | 7/13 at 100.00 | Baa1 | 926,499 |
| 500 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Canterbury School, Series 2006B, 5.000%, 7/01/36 – RAAI Insured | 7/16 at 100.00 | N/R | 436,140 |
| 305 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Chase Collegiate School, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 7/01/27 – RAAI Insured | 7/17 at 100.00 | N/R | 286,673 |
| 1,000 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Fairfield University, Series 2010-O, 5.000%, 7/01/40 | 7/20 at 100.00 | A– | 996,160 |
| 750 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Horace Bushnell Memorial Hall, Series 1999A, 5.625%, 7/01/29 – NPFG Insured | 7/11 at 100.00 | Baa1 | 750,075 |
| 800 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Loomis Chaffee School, Series 2005F, 5.250%, 7/01/19 – AMBAC Insured | No Opt. Call | A2 | 918,432 |
| 1,000 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Quinnipiac University, Series 2006H, 5.000%, 7/01/36 – AMBAC Insured | 7/16 at 100.00 | A– | 986,590 |
| 1,595 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Quinnipiac University, Series 2007-I, 5.000%, 7/01/25 – NPFG Insured | 7/17 at 100.00 | A– | 1,651,989 |
| 270 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Renbrook School, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 7/01/37 – AMBAC Insured | 7/17 at 100.00 | N/R | 247,736 |
| 1,375 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Trinity College, Series 2004H, 5.000%, 7/01/21 – NPFG Insured | 7/14 at 100.00 | A+ | 1,478,194 |
| 2,000 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of Hartford, Series 2002E, 5.250%, 7/01/32 – RAAI Insured | 7/12 at 101.00 | BBB– | 1,826,240 |
| 1,050 | | | BBB– | 919,023 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of Hartford, Series 2006G, 5.250%, 7/01/36 – RAAI Insured | 7/16 at 100.00 | | |
| 800 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Wesleyan University, Series 2010G, 5.000%, 7/01/35 | 7/20 at 100.00 | AA | 830,432 |
| 1,500 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Yale University, Series 2003X-1, 5.000%, 7/01/42 (UB) | 7/13 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,518,720 |
| 3,550 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Yale University, Series 2007Z-1, 5.000%, 7/01/42 (UB) | 7/16 at 100.00 | AAA | 3,653,270 |
| 6,150 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Yale University, Series 2007Z-3, 5.050%, 7/01/42 (UB) (4) | 7/17 at 100.00 | AAA | 6,372,692 |
| 245 | Connecticut Higher Education Supplemental Loan Authority, Revenue Bonds, Family Education Loan Program, Series 1999A, 6.000%, 11/15/18 – AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 11/11 at 100.00 | AAA | 247,617 |
| 610 | Connecticut Higher Education Supplemental Loan Authority, Revenue Bonds, Family Education Loan Program, Series 2001A, 5.250%, 11/15/18 – NPFG Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 11/11 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 614,496 |
| 1,000 | University of Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 1/15/18 – NPFG Insured | 1/14 at 100.00 | AA | 1,076,830 |
| 1,220 | University of Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 2/15/17 – AGM Insured | 2/15 at 100.00 | AA+ | 1,374,550 |
| 685 | University of Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 2/15/23 – FGIC Insured | 2/16 at 100.00 | AA | 740,266 |
| 535 | University of Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2010A, 5.000%, 2/15/28 | 2/20 at 100.00 | AA | 580,320 |
| 1,000 | University of Connecticut, Student Fee Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.250%, 11/15/19 – FGIC Insured | 11/12 at 101.00 | Aa2 | 1,068,180 |
| 225 | University of Connecticut, Student Fee Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2010A, 5.000%, 11/15/27 | 11/19 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 244,789 |
| 29,090 | Total Education and Civic Organizations | | | 29,745,913 |

NTC Nuveen Connecticut Premium Income Municipal Fund (continued)
Portfolio of Investments May 31, 2011

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Health Care – 21.0% (13.7% of Total Investments) | | | |
| $ 1,240 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Ascension Health Series 2010A, 5.000%, 11/15/40 | 11/19 at 100.00 | AA+ | $ 1,243,360 |
| | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Bristol Hospital, Series 2002B: | | | |
| 500 | 5.500%, 7/01/21 – RAAI Insured | 7/12 at 101.00 | N/R | 479,800 |
| 700 | 5.500%, 7/01/32 – RAAI Insured | 7/12 at 101.00 | N/R | 626,115 |
| 350 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Health East Series 2010, 4.750%, 11/15/29 | 11/20 at 100.00 | A1 | 343,245 |
| 645 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Eastern Connecticut Health Network, Series 2000A, 6.000%, 7/01/25 – RAAI Insured | 7/11 at 100.00 | N/R | 644,961 |
| | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Griffin Hospital, Series 2005B: | | | |
| 800 | 5.000%, 7/01/20 – RAAI Insured | 7/15 at 100.00 | N/R | 797,080 |
| 500 | 5.000%, 7/01/23 – RAAI Insured | 7/15 at 100.00 | N/R | 476,000 |
| | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Hospital For Special Care, Series 2007C: | | | |
| 385 | 5.250%, 7/01/32 – RAAI Insured | 7/17 at 100.00 | BBB– | 354,577 |
| 150 | 5.250%, 7/01/37 – RAAI Insured | 7/17 at 100.00 | BBB– | 134,168 |
| 2,620 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Middlesex Hospital, Series 2006, 5.000%, 7/01/32 – AGM Insured | 7/16 at 100.00 | Aa3 | 2,614,812 |
| 400 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Stamford Hospital, Series 2010-I, 5.000%, 7/01/30 | 7/20 at 10.00 | A | 404,348 |
| 1,395 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Yale-New Haven Hospital, Series 2006J-1, 5.000%, 7/01/31 – AMBAC Insured | 7/16 at 100.00 | Aa3 | 1,412,730 |
| 425 | | | Aa3 | 431,656 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Yale-New Haven Hospital, Series 2010M, 5.500%, 7/01/40 | 7/20 at 100.00 | | |
| 3,000 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Middlesex Health Services, Series 1997H, 5.125%, 7/01/27 – NPFG Insured | 7/11 at 100.00 | A2 | 2,883,870 |
| 3,050 | Monroe County Industrial Development Corporation, New York, FHA Insured Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Unity Hospital of Rochester Project, Series 2010, 5.500%, 8/15/40 | 2/21 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 3,181,638 |
| 16,160 | Total Health Care | | | 16,028,360 |
| | Housing/Multifamily – 1.2% (0.8% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 960 | Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, Multifamily Housing Mortgage Finance Program Bonds, Series 2006G-2, 4.800%, 11/15/27 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 11/15 at 100.00 | AAA | 926,093 |
| | Housing/Single Family – 9.9% (6.5% of Total Investments) | | | |
| | Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, Housing Mortgage Finance Program Bonds, Series 2001C: | | | |
| 1,000 | 5.300%, 11/15/33 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 11/11 at 100.00 | AAA | 999,910 |
| 500 | 5.450%, 11/15/43 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 8/11 at 100.00 | AAA | 494,725 |
| 1,675 | Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, Housing Mortgage Finance Program Bonds, Series 2004-A5, 5.050%, 11/15/34 | 5/13 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,685,921 |
| | Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, Housing Mortgage Finance Program Bonds, Series 2006-A1: | | | |
| 205 | 4.700%, 11/15/26 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 11/15 at 100.00 | AAA | 198,001 |
| 220 | 4.800%, 11/15/31 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 11/15 at 100.00 | AAA | 207,669 |
| 2,045 | Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, Housing Mortgage Finance Program Bonds, Series 2006D, 4.650%, 11/15/27 | 5/16 at 100.00 | AAA | 2,042,628 |
| 2,000 | Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, Single Family Housing Mortgage Finance Program Bonds, Series 2010-A2, 4.500%, 11/15/30 | 11/19 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,946,840 |
| 7,645 | Total Housing/Single Family | | | 7,575,694 |
| | Long-Term Care – 3.1% (2.0% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 165 | Connecticut Development Authority, First Mortgage Gross Revenue Refunding Healthcare Bonds, Church Homes Inc. – Congregational Avery Heights, Series 1997, 5.700%, 4/01/12 | 10/11 at 100.00 | BBB– | 165,294 |
| 540 | Connecticut Development Authority, First Mortgage Gross Revenue Refunding Healthcare Bonds, Connecticut Baptist Homes Inc., Series 1999, 5.500%, 9/01/15 – RAAI Insured | 9/11 at 100.00 | BBB– | 541,944 |

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Long-Term Care (continued) | | | |
| $ 1,600 | Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, State Supported Special Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2010-16, 5.000%, 6/15/30 | 6/20 at 100.00 | AA | $ 1,643,296 |
| 2,305 | Total Long-Term Care | | | 2,350,534 |
| | Tax Obligation/General – 21.7% (14.2% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 750 | Bridgeport, Connecticut, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.375%, 8/15/19 – FGIC Insured | 8/12 at 100.00 | A1 | 770,528 |
| 1,110 | Connecticut State, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004C, 5.000%, 4/01/23 – FGIC Insured | 4/14 at 100.00 | AA | 1,199,977 |
| 2,000 | Connecticut State, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006A, 4.750%, 12/15/24 | 12/16 at 100.00 | AA | 2,133,880 |
| 1,300 | Connecticut State, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006C, 5.000%, 6/01/23 – AGM Insured | 6/16 at 100.00 | AA+ | 1,408,836 |
| 500 | Connecticut State, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006E, 5.000%, 12/15/20 | 12/16 at 10.00 | AA | 571,395 |
| | Hartford, Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005A: | | | |
| 775 | 5.000%, 8/01/20 – AGM Insured | 8/15 at 100.00 | AA+ | 843,146 |
| 525 | 4.375%, 8/01/24 – AGM Insured | 8/15 at 100.00 | AA+ | 537,364 |
| 700 | Hartford, Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2009A, 5.000%, 8/15/28 – AGC Insured | 8/19 at 100.00 | AA+ | 744,233 |
| 500 | New Haven, Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 11/01/17 – AMBAC Insured | 11/16 at 100.00 | A1 | 554,520 |
| 500 | North Haven, Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 7/15/24 | No Opt. Call | Aa1 | 593,440 |
| 1,380 | Oregon State, General Obligation Bonds, Oregon University System Projects, Series 2011G, 5.000%, 8/01/36 | 8/21 at 100.00 | AA+ | 1,448,931 |
| 1,860 | Puerto Rico, General Obligation and Public Improvement Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.500%, 7/01/20 – NPFG Insured | No Opt. Call | A3 | 1,957,669 |
| 1,420 | Regional School District 16, Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 3/15/16 – AMBAC Insured | 3/13 at 101.00 | A1 | 1,511,136 |
| | Suffield, Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005: | | | |
| 465 | 5.000%, 6/15/17 | No Opt. Call | AA+ | 553,592 |
| 460 | 5.000%, 6/15/19 | No Opt. Call | AA+ | 551,388 |
| 1,000 | 5.000%, 6/15/21 | | AA+ | 1,201,450 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | No Opt. Call | | |
| 15,245 | Total Tax Obligation/General | | | 16,581,485 |
| | Tax Obligation/Limited – 21.1% (13.7% of Total Investments) | | | |
| | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Child Care Facilities Program Revenue | | | |
| | Bonds, Series 2006F: | | | |
| 1,300 | 5.000%, 7/01/31 – AGC Insured | 7/16 at 100.00 | AA+ | 1,326,533 |
| 1,000 | 5.000%, 7/01/36 – AGC Insured | 7/16 at 100.00 | AA+ | 1,010,910 |
| 1,945 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Child Care Facilities | 7/11 at 100.00 | N/R | 1,945,195 |
| | Program, Series 1999C, 5.625%, 7/01/29 – AMBAC Insured | | | |
| 500 | Connecticut, Special Tax Obligation Transportation Infrastructure Purpose Bonds, Series 2003B, | 1/14 at 100.00 | AA | 536,005 |
| | 5.000%, 1/01/23 – FGIC Insured | | | |
| 1,750 | Connecticut, Special Tax Obligation Transportation Infrastructure Purpose Revenue Bonds, | 8/17 at 100.00 | AA | 1,863,890 |
| | Series 2007A, 5.000%, 8/01/27 – AMBAC Insured | | | |
| 1,100 | Harbor Point Infrastructure Improvement District, Connecticut, Special Obligation Revenue | 4/20 at 100.00 | N/R | 1,163,426 |
| | Bonds, Harbor Point Project, Series 2010A, 7.875%, 4/01/39 | | | |
| | Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A: | | | |
| 960 | 0.000%, 7/01/32 – FGIC Insured | No Opt. Call | A3 | 223,459 |
| 2,615 | 0.000%, 7/01/33 – FGIC Insured | No Opt. Call | A3 | 554,380 |
| 2,000 | Puerto Rico Municipal Finance Agency, Series 2002A, 5.250%, 8/01/21 – AGM Insured | 8/12 at 100.00 | AA+ | 2,017,700 |
| 2,400 | Puerto Rico Municipal Finance Agency, Series 2005C, 5.000%, 8/01/16 – AGM Insured | 8/15 at 100.00 | AA+ | 2,577,528 |
| 975 | Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, First Subordinate Series | 2/20 at 100.00 | A+ | 952,107 |
| | 2010A, 5.375%, 8/01/39 | | | |
| 1,000 | Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, Gross Receipts Taxes Loan Note, Series 2003, 5.250%, | 10/14 at 100.00 | AA+ | 1,069,510 |
| | 10/01/19 – AGM Insured | | | |
| 895 | Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, Matching Fund Loan Notes Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien | 10/20 at 100.00 | BBB | 836,798 |
| | Series 2010A, 5.000%, 10/01/29 | | | |
| 18,440 | Total Tax Obligation/Limited | | | 16,077,441 |

NTC Nuveen Connecticut Premium Income Municipal Fund (continued)
Portfolio of Investments May 31, 2011

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | U.S. Guaranteed – 12.4% (8.1% of Total Investments) (5) | | | |
| $ 650 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Loomis Chaffee School, Series 2001D, 5.500%, 7/01/23 (Pre-refunded 7/01/11) | 7/11 at 101.00 | N/R (5) | $ 659,315 |
| 40 | Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1993E, 6.000%, 3/15/12 (ETM) | No Opt. Call | Aa2 (5) | 41,846 |
| 1,500 | Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002B, 5.500%, 6/15/21 (Pre-refunded 6/15/12) | 6/12 at 100.00 | AA (5) | 1,582,695 |
| | Connecticut, Special Tax Obligation Transportation Infrastructure Purpose Bonds, Series 2002B: | | | |
| 2,000 | 5.000%, 12/01/20 (Pre-refunded 12/01/12) – AMBAC Insured | 12/12 at 100.00 | AA (5) | 2,138,320 |
| 1,000 | 5.000%, 12/01/21 (Pre-refunded 12/01/12) – AMBAC Insured | 12/12 at 100.00 | AA (5) | 1,069,160 |
| 1,100 | University of Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.125%, 2/15/21 (Pre-refunded 2/15/13) – NPFG Insured | 2/13 at 100.00 | AA (5) | 1,188,154 |
| 1,000 | Waterbury, Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.375%, 4/01/17 (Pre-refunded 4/01/12) – AGM Insured | 4/12 at 100.00 | AA+ (5) | 1,041,820 |
| 1,500 | West Hartford, Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 10/01/18 (Pre-refunded 10/01/15) | 10/15 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,734,810 |
| 8,790 | Total U.S. Guaranteed | | | 9,456,120 |
| | Utilities – 8.7% (5.7% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 1,150 | Bristol Resource Recovery Facility Operating Committee, Connecticut, Solid Waste Revenue Bonds, Covanta Bristol Inc., Series 2005, 5.000%, 7/01/12 – AMBAC Insured | No Opt. Call | AA | 1,199,243 |
| 1,000 | Connecticut Development Authority, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, Connecticut Light and Power Company, Series 1993A, 5.850%, 9/01/28 | 10/11 at 100.50 | BBB+ | 1,003,190 |
| 175 | Connecticut Development Authority, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, Western Massachusetts Electric Company, Series 1993A, 5.850%, 9/01/28 | 10/11 at 100.50 | BBB+ | 175,558 |
| 1,070 | Connecticut Development Authority, Solid Waste Disposal Facilities Revenue Bonds, PSEG Power LLC Project, Series 2007A, 5.750%, 11/01/37 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 11/12 at 100.00 | Baa1 | 1,029,233 |
| 1,750 | Connecticut Resource Recovery Authority, Revenue Bonds, American Ref-Fuel Company of | 12/11 at 102.00 | Ba1 | 1,751,173 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Southeastern Connecticut LP, Series 1998A-I, 5.500%, 11/15/15 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | | | |
| | Eastern Connecticut Resource Recovery Authority, Solid Waste Revenue Bonds, Wheelabrator | | | |
| | Lisbon Project, Series 1993A: | | | |
| 205 | 5.500%, 1/01/14 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 7/11 at 100.00 | BBB | 205,558 |
| 1,290 | 5.500%, 1/01/20 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 7/11 at 100.00 | BBB | 1,290,026 |
| 6,640 | Total Utilities | | | 6,653,981 |
| | Water and Sewer – 13.7% (8.9% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 500 | Connecticut Development Authority, Water Facility Revenue Bonds, Aquarion Water Company | 9/17 at 100.00 | N/R | 431,705 |
| | Project, Series 2007, 5.100%, 9/01/37 – SYNCORA GTY Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) | | | |
| 1,185 | Connecticut, State Revolving Fund General Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 10/01/16 | 10/13 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,293,546 |
| | Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control Authority, Connecticut, Regional Wastewater System | | | |
| | Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A: | | | |
| 1,520 | 5.000%, 11/15/30 – NPFG Insured | 11/15 at 100.00 | A1 | 1,547,573 |
| 2,260 | 5.000%, 8/15/35 – NPFG Insured | 11/15 at 100.00 | A1 | 2,265,762 |
| 725 | Guam Government Waterworks Authority, Water and Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series 2010, | 7/20 at 100.00 | Ba2 | 640,232 |
| | 5.625%, 7/01/40 | | | |
| 1,000 | Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewerage Authority, Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2008A, | 7/18 at 100.00 | Baa1 | 1,003,079 |
| | 6.000%, 7/01/38 | | | |

28 Nuveen Investments

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Water and Sewer (continued) | | | |
| | South Central Connecticut Regional Water Authority, Water System Revenue Bonds, Eighteenth | | | |
| | Series 2003A: | | | |
| $ 1,000 | 5.000%, 8/01/20 – NPFG Insured | 8/13 at 100.00 | Aa3 | $ 1,039,239 |
| 1,075 | 5.000%, 8/01/33 – NPFG Insured | 8/13 at 100.00 | Aa3 | 1,083,814 |
| 1,100 | Stamford, Connecticut, Water Pollution Control System and Facility Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 11/15/32 | 11/13 at 100.00 | AA+ | 1,119,634 |
| 10,365 | Total Water and Sewer | | | 10,424,584 |
| $ 116,920 | Total Investments (cost $116,039,117) – 153.3% | | | 116,953,440 |
| | Floating Rate Obligations – (10.4)% | | | (7,965,000) |
| | MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (47.3)% (6) | | | (36,080,000) |
| | Other Assets Less Liabilities – 4.4% | | | 3,375,076 |
| | Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100% | | | $ 76,283,516 |

(1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares unless otherwise noted.

(2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.

(3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the highest of Standard & Poor's Group ("Standard & Poor's"), Moody's Investor Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch") rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's, Baa by Moody's or BBB by Fitch are considered to be below investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.

(4) Investment, or portion of investment, has been pledged to collateralize the net payment obligations for investments in inverse floating rate transactions.

(5) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities, which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.

(6) MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is 30.8%.

N/R Not rated.

(ETM) Escrowed to maturity.

(UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction. See Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies, Inverse Floating Rate Securities for more information.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

NFC Nuveen Connecticut Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund
Portfolio of Investments
May 31, 2011

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Education and Civic Organizations – 37.3% (23.6% of Total Investments) | | | |
| $ 250 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Canterbury School, Series 2006B, 5.000%, 7/01/36 – RAAI Insured | 7/16 at 100.00 | N/R | $ 218,070 |
| 150 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Chase Collegiate School, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 7/01/27 – RAAI Insured | 7/17 at 100.00 | N/R | 140,987 |
| 500 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Fairfield University, Series 2010-O, 5.000%, 7/01/40 | 7/20 at 100.00 | A– | 498,080 |
| 440 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Loomis Chaffee School, Series 2005F, 5.250%, 7/01/18 – AMBAC Insured | No Opt. Call | A2 | 506,528 |
| 795 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Quinnipiac University, Series 2007-I, 5.000%, 7/01/25 – NPFG Insured | 7/17 at 100.00 | A– | 823,405 |
| 130 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Renbrook School, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 7/01/37 – AMBAC Insured | 7/17 at 100.00 | N/R | 119,280 |
| 50 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sacred Heart University, Series 1998E, 5.000%, 7/01/28 – RAAI Insured | 7/11 at 100.00 | BBB | 46,363 |
| 350 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Trinity College, Series 2004H, 5.000%, 7/01/17 – NPFG Insured | 7/14 at 100.00 | A+ | 385,837 |
| 1,000 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of Hartford, Series 2002E, 5.250%, 7/01/32 – RAAI Insured | 7/12 at 101.00 | BBB– | 913,120 |
| 500 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of Hartford, Series 2006G, 5.250%, 7/01/36 – RAAI Insured | 7/16 at 100.00 | BBB– | 437,630 |
| 1,600 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Wesleyan University, Series 2010G, 5.000%, 7/01/35 | 7/20 at 100.00 | AA | 1,660,864 |
| 500 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Yale University, Series 2003X-1, 5.000%, 7/01/42 (UB) | 7/13 at 100.00 | AAA | 506,240 |
| 1,800 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Yale University, | 7/16 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,852,362 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Series 2007Z-1, 5.000%, 7/01/42 (UB) | | | |
| 3,050 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Yale University, | 7/17 at 100.00 | AAA | 3,160,441 |
| | Series 2007Z-3, 5.050%, 7/01/42 (UB) (4) | | | |
| 475 | Connecticut Higher Education Supplemental Loan Authority, Revenue Bonds, Family Education | 11/11 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 478,501 |
| | Loan Program, Series 2001A, 5.250%, 11/15/18 – NPFG Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) | | | |
| | Puerto Rico Industrial, Tourist, Educational, Medical and Environmental Control Facilities | | | |
| | Financing Authority, Higher Education Revenue Bonds, Ana G. Mendez University System, | | | |
| | Series 1999: | | | |
| 115 | 5.375%, 2/01/19 | 8/11 at 100.00 | BBB– | 114,991 |
| 270 | 5.375%, 2/01/29 | 8/11 at 100.00 | BBB– | 238,337 |
| 485 | University of Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 2/15/23 – | 2/16 at 100.00 | AA | 524,130 |
| | FGIC Insured | | | |
| 1,070 | University of Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2010A, 5.000%, 2/15/28 | 2/20 at 100.00 | AA | 1,160,640 |
| 115 | University of Connecticut, Student Fee Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2010A, 5.000%, 11/15/27 | 11/19 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 125,114 |
| 13,645 | Total Education and Civic Organizations | | | 13,910,920 |
| | Health Care – 24.1% (15.3% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 1,000 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Ascension Health | 11/19 at 100.00 | AA+ | 1,002,710 |
| | Series 2010A, 5.000%, 11/15/40 | | | |
| 1,400 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Bristol Hospital, | 7/12 at 101.00 | N/R | 1,252,230 |
| | Series 2002B, 5.500%, 7/01/32 – RAAI Insured | | | |
| 175 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Health East | 11/20 at 100.00 | A1 | 171,623 |
| | Series 2010, 4.750%, 11/15/29 | | | |
| 25 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Danbury Hospital, | 7/11 at 100.00 | N/R | 25,017 |
| | Series 1999G, 5.700%, 7/01/22 – AMBAC Insured | | | |
| 840 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Eastern Connecticut | 7/15 at 100.00 | N/R | 757,882 |
| | Health Network, Series 2005, 5.000%, 7/01/25 – RAAI Insured | | | |

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Health Care (continued) | | | |
| | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Griffin Hospital, | | | |
| | Series 2005B: | | | |
| $ 500 | 5.000%, 7/01/20 – RAAI Insured | 7/15 at 100.00 | N/R | $ 498,175 |
| 250 | 5.000%, 7/01/23 – RAAI Insured | 7/15 at 100.00 | N/R | 238,000 |
| 200 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Hospital For Special | 7/17 at 100.00 | BBB– | 184,196 |
| | Care, Series 2007C, 5.250%, 7/01/32 – RAAI Insured | | | |
| 60 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Middlesex Hospital, | 7/16 at 100.00 | Aa3 | 59,881 |
| | Series 2006, 5.000%, 7/01/32 – AGM Insured | | | |
| 240 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Stamford Hospital, | 7/20 at 10.00 | A | 242,609 |
| | Series 2010-I, 5.000%, 7/01/30 | | | |
| 775 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, William W. Backus | 7/18 at 100.00 | AA+ | 782,758 |
| | Hospital, Series 2005F, 5.125%, 7/01/35 – AGM Insured | | | |
| 1,870 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Yale-New Haven | 7/16 at 100.00 | Aa3 | 1,893,768 |
| | Hospital, Series 2006J-1, 5.000%, 7/01/31 – AMBAC Insured | | | |
| 225 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Yale-New Haven | 7/20 at 100.00 | Aa3 | 228,524 |
| | Hospital, Series 2010M, 5.500%, 7/01/40 | | | |
| 1,600 | Monroe County Industrial Development Corporation, New York, FHA Insured Mortgage Revenue | 2/21 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 1,669,056 |
| | Bonds, Unity Hospital of Rochester Project, Series 2010, 5.500%, 8/15/40 | | | |
| 9,160 | Total Health Care | | | 9,006,429 |
| | Housing/Multifamily – 1.2% (0.8% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 480 | Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, Multifamily Housing Mortgage Finance Program Bonds, | 11/15 at 100.00 | AAA | 463,046 |
| | Series 2006G-2, 4.800%, 11/15/27 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | | | |
| | Housing/Single Family – 9.4% (5.9% of Total Investments) | | | |
| | Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, Housing Mortgage Finance Program Bonds, Series 2001C: | | | |
| 1,000 | 5.300%, 11/15/33 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 11/11 at 100.00 | AAA | 999,910 |
| 250 | 5.450%, 11/15/43 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 11/43 at 100.00 | AAA | 247,363 |
| 800 | Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, Housing Mortgage Finance Program Bonds, Series 2004-A5, | 5/13 at 100.00 | AAA | 805,216 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 5.050%, 11/15/34 | | | |
| 685 | Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, Housing Mortgage Finance Program Bonds, Series 2006D, | 5/16 at 100.00 | AAA | 684,205 |
| | 4.650%, 11/15/27 | | | |
| 800 | Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, Single Family Housing Mortgage Finance Program Bonds, | 11/19 at 100.00 | AAA | 773,032 |
| | Series 2010-A2, 4.750%, 11/15/35 | | | |
| 3,535 | Total Housing/Single Family | | | 3,509,726 |
| | Long-Term Care – 2.1% (1.3% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 300 | Connecticut Development Authority, First Mortgage Gross Revenue Healthcare Bonds, Elim Park | 12/11 at 102.00 | BBB+ | 304,482 |
| | Baptist Home Inc., Series 2003, 5.750%, 12/01/23 | | | |
| 110 | Connecticut Development Authority, First Mortgage Gross Revenue Refunding Healthcare Bonds, | 10/11 at 100.00 | BBB– | 110,011 |
| | Church Homes Inc. – Congregational Avery Heights, Series 1997, 5.800%, 4/01/21 | | | |
| 35 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Village for Families | 7/12 at 101.00 | N/R | 30,918 |
| | and Children Inc., Series 2002A, 5.000%, 7/01/32 – AMBAC Insured | | | |
| 250 | Connecticut State Development Authority, Health Facilities Revenue Bonds, Alzheimer's Resource | 8/17 at 100.00 | N/R | 216,803 |
| | Center of Connecticut, Inc., Series 2007, 5.500%, 8/15/27 | | | |
| 105 | Hamden, Connecticut, Facility Revenue Bonds, Whitney Center Project, Series 2009A, | 1/20 at 100.00 | N/R | 108,625 |
| | 7.625%, 1/01/30 | | | |
| 800 | Total Long-Term Care | | | 770,839 |
| | Tax Obligation/General – 15.9% (10.1% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 560 | Connecticut State, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004C, 5.000%, 4/01/23 – FGIC Insured | 4/14 at 100.00 | AA | 605,394 |
| 700 | Connecticut State, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006A, 4.750%, 12/15/24 | 12/16 at 100.00 | AA | 746,858 |
| 100 | Connecticut State, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006C, 5.000%, 6/01/23 – AGM Insured | 6/16 at 100.00 | AA+ | 108,372 |

NFC Nuveen Connecticut Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (continued)
Portfolio of Investments May 31, 2011

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Tax Obligation/General (continued) | | | |
| | Hartford, Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005A: | | | |
| $ 360 | 5.000%, 8/01/21 – AGM Insured | 8/15 at 100.00 | AA+ | $ 387,068 |
| 240 | 4.375%, 8/01/24 – AGM Insured | 8/15 at 100.00 | AA+ | 245,652 |
| 600 | Hartford, Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2009A, 5.000%, 8/15/28 – AGC Insured | 8/19 at 100.00 | AA+ | 637,914 |
| 400 | North Haven, Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 7/15/24 | No Opt. Call | Aa1 | 474,752 |
| 1,850 | Oregon State, General Obligation Bonds, Oregon University System Projects, Series 2011G, 5.000%, 8/01/36 | 8/21 at 100.00 | AA+ | 1,942,408 |
| | Suffield, Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005: | | | |
| 335 | 5.000%, 6/15/17 | No Opt. Call | AA+ | 398,824 |
| 335 | 5.000%, 6/15/19 | No Opt. Call | AA+ | 401,554 |
| 5,480 | Total Tax Obligation/General | | | 5,948,796 |
| | Tax Obligation/Limited – 28.9% (18.3% of Total Investments) | | | |
| | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Child Care Facilities Program Revenue Bonds, Series 2006F: | | | |
| 650 | 5.000%, 7/01/31 – AGC Insured | 7/16 at 100.00 | AA+ | 663,267 |
| 500 | 5.000%, 7/01/36 – AGC Insured | 7/16 at 100.00 | AA+ | 505,455 |
| 1,000 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, New Opportunities for Waterbury Inc., Series 1998A, 6.750%, 7/01/28 | 7/11 at 102.00 | A | 1,033,960 |
| | Connecticut, Certificates of Participation, Juvenile Training School, Series 2001: | | | |
| 600 | 5.000%, 12/15/20 | 12/11 at 101.00 | AA– | 618,024 |
| 1,000 | 5.000%, 12/15/30 | 12/11 at 101.00 | AA– | 1,009,630 |
| 1,475 | Connecticut, Special Tax Obligation Transportation Infrastructure Purpose Bonds, Series 1998B, 5.500%, 11/01/12 – AGM Insured | No Opt. Call | AA+ | 1,582,646 |
| 900 | Connecticut, Special Tax Obligation Transportation Infrastructure Purpose Revenue Bonds, | 8/17 at 100.00 | AA | 958,572 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Series 2007A, 5.000%, 8/01/27 – AMBAC Insured | | | |
| 500 | Harbor Point Infrastructure Improvement District, Connecticut, Special Obligation Revenue | 4/20 at 100.00 | N/R | 528,830 |
| | Bonds, Harbor Point Project, Series 2010A, 7.875%, 4/01/39 | | | |
| 600 | Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, Highway Revenue Bonds, Series 2007N, 5.250%, | No Opt. Call | A3 | 570,672 |
| | 7/01/31 – AMBAC Insured | | | |
| 470 | Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, | No Opt. Call | A3 | 109,402 |
| | 0.000%, 7/01/32 – FGIC Insured | | | |
| 1,200 | Puerto Rico Municipal Finance Agency, Series 2005C, 5.000%, 8/01/16 – AGM Insured | 8/15 at 100.00 | AA+ | 1,288,764 |
| 1,000 | Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, First Subordinate Series | 2/20 at 100.00 | A+ | 976,520 |
| | 2010A, 5.375%, 8/01/39 | | | |
| 750 | Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, Gross Receipts Taxes Loan Note, Series 1999A, | 10/11 at 100.00 | BBB+ | 755,453 |
| | 6.375%, 10/01/19 | | | |
| 210 | Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, Matching Fund Loan Notes Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien | 10/20 at 100.00 | BBB | 196,344 |
| | Series 2010A, 5.000%, 10/01/29 | | | |
| 10,855 | Total Tax Obligation/Limited | | | 10,797,539 |
| | U.S. Guaranteed – 16.9% (10.7% of Total Investments) (5) | | | |
| 1,000 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Loomis Chaffee School, | 7/11 at 101.00 | N/R (5) | 1,014,330 |
| | Series 2001D, 5.500%, 7/01/23 (Pre-refunded 7/01/11) | | | |
| 2,000 | Connecticut, Clean Water Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2001, 5.500%, 10/01/20 | 10/11 at 100.00 | N/R (5) | 2,035,200 |
| | (Pre-refunded 10/01/11) | | | |
| 500 | Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002B, 5.500%, 6/15/21 (Pre-refunded 6/15/12) | 6/12 at 100.00 | AA (5) | 527,565 |
| 500 | East Lyme, Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001, 5.125%, 7/15/20 (Pre-refunded | 7/11 at 102.00 | Aa2 (5) | 513,045 |
| | 7/15/11) – FGIC Insured | | | |
| 220 | New Haven, Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001A, 5.000%, 11/01/20 (Pre-refunded | 11/11 at 100.00 | A1 (5) | 223,073 |
| | 11/01/11) – FGIC Insured | | | |
| 1,000 | Waterbury, Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.375%, 4/01/17 (Pre-refunded | 4/12 at 100.00 | AA+ (5) | 1,041,820 |
| | 4/01/12) – AGM Insured | | | |
| 810 | West Hartford, Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 10/01/18 | 10/15 at 100.00 | AAA | 936,797 |
| | (Pre-refunded 10/01/15) | | | |
| 6,030 | Total U.S. Guaranteed | | | 6,291,830 |

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Utilities – 7.1% (4.5% of Total Investments) | | | |
| $ 575 | Connecticut Development Authority, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, Connecticut Light and Power Company, Series 1993A, 5.850%, 9/01/28 | 10/11 at 100.50 | BBB+ | $ 576,834 |
| 560 | Connecticut Development Authority, Solid Waste Disposal Facilities Revenue Bonds, PSEG Power LLC Project, Series 2007A, 5.750%, 11/01/37 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 11/12 at 100.00 | Baa1 | 538,664 |
| 1,000 | Connecticut Resource Recovery Authority, Revenue Bonds, American Ref-Fuel Company of Southeastern Connecticut LP, Series 1998A-I, 5.500%, 11/15/15 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 12/11 at 102.00 | Ba1 | 1,000,670 |
| 525 | Eastern Connecticut Resource Recovery Authority, Solid Waste Revenue Bonds, Wheelabrator Lisbon Project, Series 1993A, 5.500%, 1/01/14 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 7/11 at 100.00 | BBB | 526,428 |
| 2,660 | Total Utilities | | | 2,642,596 |
| | Water and Sewer – 14.9% (9.5% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 255 | Connecticut Development Authority, Water Facility Revenue Bonds, Aquarion Water Company Project, Series 2007, 5.100%, 9/01/37 – SYNCORA GTY Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 9/17 at 100.00 | N/R | 220,170 |
| 1,185 | Connecticut, State Revolving Fund General Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 10/01/16 | 10/13 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,293,546 |
| | Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control Authority, Connecticut, Regional Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A: | | | |
| 720 | 5.000%, 11/15/30 – NPFG Insured | 11/15 at 100.00 | A1 | 733,061 |
| 1,110 | 5.000%, 8/15/35 – NPFG Insured | 11/15 at 100.00 | A1 | 1,112,830 |
| 140 | Guam Government Waterworks Authority, Water and Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 6.000%, 7/01/25 | 7/15 at 100.00 | Ba2 | 134,592 |
| 375 | Guam Government Waterworks Authority, Water and Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series 2010, 5.625%, 7/01/40 | 7/20 at 100.00 | Ba2 | 331,154 |
| 500 | Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewerage Authority, Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2008A, 6.000%, 7/01/38 | 7/18 at 100.00 | Baa1 | 501,539 |
| | South Central Connecticut Regional Water Authority, Water System Revenue Bonds, Eighteenth Series 2003A: | | | |
| 750 | 5.000%, 8/01/20 – NPFG Insured | | Aa3 | 779,429 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 8/13 at 100.00 | | |
| 470 | 5.000%, 8/01/33 – NPFG Insured | 8/13 at 100.00 | Aa3 | 473,853 |
| 5,505 | Total Water and Sewer | | | 5,580,174 |
| $ 58,150 | Total Investments (cost $58,543,199) – 157.8% | | | 58,921,895 |
| | Floating Rate Obligations – (10.2)% | | | (3,820,000) |
| | MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (54.8)% (6) | | | (20,470,000) |
| | Other Assets Less Liabilities – 7.2% | | | 2,702,589 |
| | Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100% | | | $ 37,334,484 |

(1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares unless otherwise noted.

(2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.

(3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the highest of Standard & Poor's Group ("Standard & Poor's"), Moody's Investor Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch") rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's, Baa by Moody's or BBB by Fitch are considered to be below investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.

(4) Investment, or portion of investment, has been pledged to collateralize the net payment obligations for investments in inverse floating rate transactions.

(5) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities, which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.

(6) MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is 34.7%.

N/R Not rated.

(UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction. See Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies, Inverse Floating Rate Securities for more information.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

NGK Nuveen Connecticut Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2
Portfolio of Investments
May 31, 2011

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Consumer Staples – 1.7% (1.1% of Total Investments) | | | |
| $ 645 | Puerto Rico, The Children's Trust Fund, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.375%, 5/15/33 | 5/12 at 100.00 | BBB | $ 571,044 |
| | Education and Civic Organizations – 35.4% (22.4% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 200 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Canterbury School, Series 2006B, 5.000%, 7/01/36 – RAAI Insured | 7/16 at 100.00 | N/R | 174,456 |
| 135 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Chase Collegiate School, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 7/01/27 – RAAI Insured | 7/17 at 100.00 | N/R | 126,888 |
| 500 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Fairfield University, Series 2010-O, 5.000%, 7/01/40 | 7/20 at 100.00 | A– | 498,080 |
| 310 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Loomis Chaffee School, Series 2005F, 5.250%, 7/01/19 – AMBAC Insured | No Opt. Call | A2 | 355,892 |
| 715 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Quinnipiac University, Series 2007-I, 5.000%, 7/01/25 – NPFG Insured | 7/17 at 100.00 | A– | 740,547 |
| 120 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Renbrook School, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 7/01/37 – AMBAC Insured | 7/17 at 100.00 | N/R | 110,105 |
| | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of Hartford, Series 2002E: | | | |
| 590 | 5.500%, 7/01/22 – RAAI Insured | 7/12 at 101.00 | BBB– | 594,620 |
| 1,000 | 5.250%, 7/01/32 – RAAI Insured | 7/12 at 101.00 | BBB– | 913,120 |
| 500 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, University of Hartford, Series 2006G, 5.250%, 7/01/36 – RAAI Insured | 7/16 at 100.00 | BBB– | 437,630 |
| 800 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Wesleyan University, Series 2010G, 5.000%, 7/01/35 | 7/20 at 100.00 | AA | 830,432 |
| 500 | | | AAA | 506,240 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Yale University, Series 2003X-1, 5.000%, 7/01/42 (UB) | 7/13 at 100.00 | | |
| 1,600 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Yale University, Series 2007Z-1, 5.000%, 7/01/42 (UB) | 7/16 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,646,544 |
| 2,750 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Yale University, Series 2007Z-3, 5.050%, 7/01/42 (UB) (4) | 7/17 at 100.00 | AAA | 2,849,578 |
| | University of Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006A: | | | |
| 450 | 5.000%, 2/15/19 – FGIC Insured | 2/16 at 100.00 | AA | 503,924 |
| 490 | 5.000%, 2/15/23 – FGIC Insured | 2/16 at 100.00 | AA | 529,533 |
| 460 | University of Connecticut, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2010A, 5.000%, 2/15/28 | 2/20 at 100.00 | AA | 498,967 |
| 500 | University of Connecticut, Student Fee Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.250%, 11/15/22 – FGIC Insured | 11/12 at 101.00 | Aa2 | 526,240 |
| 11,620 | Total Education and Civic Organizations | | | 11,842,796 |
| | Health Care – 23.9% (15.1% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 800 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Ascension Health Series 2010A, 5.000%, 11/15/40 | 11/19 at 100.00 | AA+ | 802,168 |
| 300 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Bristol Hospital, Series 2002B, 5.500%, 7/01/32 – RAAI Insured | 7/12 at 101.00 | N/R | 268,335 |
| 175 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Health East Series 2010, 4.750%, 11/15/29 | 11/20 at 100.00 | A1 | 171,623 |
| | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Eastern Connecticut Health Network, Series 2000A: | | | |
| 20 | 6.125%, 7/01/20 – RAAI Insured | 7/11 at 100.00 | N/R | 20,017 |
| 315 | 6.000%, 7/01/25 – RAAI Insured | 7/11 at 100.00 | N/R | 314,981 |
| | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Griffin Hospital, Series 2005B: | | | |
| 400 | 5.000%, 7/01/15 – RAAI Insured | No Opt. Call | N/R | 423,260 |
| 300 | 5.000%, 7/01/20 – RAAI Insured | 7/15 at 100.00 | N/R | 298,905 |
| 300 | 5.000%, 7/01/23 – RAAI Insured | 7/15 at 100.00 | N/R | 285,600 |

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Health Care (continued) | | | |
| $ 170 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Hospital For Special Care, Series 2007C, 5.250%, 7/01/32 – RAAI Insured | 7/17 at 100.00 | BBB– | $ 156,567 |
| 1,190 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Middlesex Hospital, Series 2006, 5.000%, 7/01/32 – AGM Insured | 7/16 at 100.00 | Aa3 | 1,187,644 |
| 1,000 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, St. Francis Hospital and Medical Center, Series 2002D, 5.000%, 7/01/22 – RAAI Insured | 7/12 at 101.00 | N/R | 917,560 |
| 315 | Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Stamford Hospital, Series 2010-I, 5.000%, 7/01/30 | 7/20 at 10.00 | A | 318,424 |