

SAFEGUARD SCIENTIFICS INC

Form 10-Q

July 29, 2016

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2016

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Transition Period From _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-5620

Safeguard Scientifics, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Pennsylvania

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

23-1609753

(I.R.S. Employer ID No.)

170 North Radnor-Chester Road

Suite 200

Radnor, PA

19087

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(610) 293-0600

Registrant's telephone number, including area code

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes " No }p

Number of shares outstanding as of July 27, 2016

Common Stock 20,224,506

SAFEGUARD SCIENTIFICS, INC.
QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

	Page
Item 1 – Financial Statements:	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited) – June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) – Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (unaudited) – Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) – Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity (unaudited) – Six Months Ended June 30, 2016</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>Item 2 – Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>Item 3 – Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Item 4 – Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>32</u>
PART II – OTHER INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1 – Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Item 1A – Risk Factors</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Item 2 – Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Item 3 – Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Item 4 – Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Item 5 – Other Information</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Item 6 – Exhibits</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>34</u>

SAFEGUARD SCIENTIFICS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Unaudited - In thousands, except per share data)

	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$66,231	\$ 32,838
Marketable securities	14,462	31,020
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,345	5,810
Total current assets	83,038	69,668
Property and equipment, net	2,055	2,145
Ownership interests in and advances to partner companies	176,059	171,601
Loan participations receivable	2,845	2,649
Long-term marketable securities	10,680	9,743
Other assets	992	1,037
Total Assets	\$275,669	\$ 256,843
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 155	\$ 290
Accrued compensation and benefits	2,556	3,338
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	2,550	2,789
Total current liabilities	5,261	6,417
Other long-term liabilities	4,010	3,965
Convertible senior debentures	51,740	50,956
Total Liabilities	61,011	61,338
Commitments and contingencies		
Equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.10 par value; 1,000 shares authorized	—	—
Common stock, \$0.10 par value; 83,333 shares authorized; 21,573 shares issued at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015	2,157	2,157
Additional paid-in capital	817,614	817,434
Treasury stock, at cost; 1,351 and 993 shares at June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively	(23,759)	(19,570)
Accumulated deficit	(581,118)	(604,270)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(236)	(246)
Total Equity	214,658	195,505
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$275,669	\$ 256,843
See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.		

SAFEGUARD SCIENTIFICS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited - In thousands, except per share data)

	Three months ended		Six months ended June 30,	
	June 30, 2016	2015	2016	2015
General and administrative expense	\$4,849	\$4,754	\$10,077	\$9,634
Operating loss	(4,849)	(4,754)	(10,077)	(9,634)
Other income (loss), net	659	(15)	659	(403)
Interest income	527	640	947	1,089
Interest expense	(1,155)	(1,128)	(2,304)	(2,250)
Equity income (loss)	43,794	(13,765)	34,299	(22,427)
Net income (loss) before income taxes	38,976	(19,022)	23,524	(33,625)
Income tax benefit (expense)	—	—	—	—
Net income (loss)	\$38,976	\$(19,022)	\$23,524	\$(33,625)
Net income (loss) per share:				
Basic	\$1.92	\$(0.91)	\$1.15	\$(1.61)
Diluted	\$1.70	\$(0.91)	\$1.09	\$(1.61)
Weighted average shares used in computing income (loss) per share:				
Basic	20,333	20,895	20,391	20,878

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****Operating Statistics**

	Year
	2005
Operating revenues (in thousands):	
Base revenues:	
Retail:	
Residential	\$ 183,667
Commercial and industrial, small	167,241
Commercial and industrial, large	41,321
Sales to public authorities	73,677
Total retail base revenues (1)	465,906
Wholesale:	
Sales for resale	1,687
Total base revenues	467,593
Fuel Revenues:	
Recovered from customer during the period	164,500
Change in deferred fuel revenues	79,539
Total fuel revenues	244,039
Off-system sales	78,209
Other	14,072
Total operating revenues	\$ 803,913
Number of customers (end of year):	
Residential	304,031
Commercial and industrial, small	31,969
Commercial and industrial, large	61
Other	4,792
Total	340,853
Average annual kWh use per residential customer	6,936
Energy supplied, net, kWh (in thousands):	
Generated	7,500,144
Purchased and interchanged	1,258,469
Total	8,758,613
Energy sales, kWh (in thousands):	
Retail:	
Residential	2,090,098
Commercial and industrial, small	2,126,918
Commercial and industrial, large	1,165,506
Sales to public authorities	1,270,116
Total retail	6,652,638

Wholesale:	
Sales for resale	41,883
Off-system sales	1,420,778
Total wholesale	1,462,661
Total energy sales	8,115,299
Losses and Company use	643,314
Total	8,758,613
Native system:	
Peak load, kW	1,376,000
Net generating capacity for peak, kW	1,500,000
Total system:	
Peak load, kW (3)	1,628,000
Net generating capacity for peak, kW (4)	1,500,000
System capacity factor (5)	57.8%

(1) Includes fuel recovered through New Mexico base rates of \$29.4 million, \$28.0 million, and \$28.0 million for 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively.

(2) Revised to conform with new 2004 large commercial and industrial billing system which bills by service location rather than by meter. This change did not affect sales or revenues.

(3) Includes spot firm sales and net losses of 252,000 kW, 243,000 kW and 360,000 kW for 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

(4) Excludes 103,000 kW of firm on and off-peak purchases for 2005, 2004 and 2003.

(5) System capacity factor includes average firm system purchases of 103,000 kW for 2005, 2004 and 2003.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Regulation

General

In 1999, both the Texas and New Mexico legislatures enacted electric utility industry competition in certain functions of the industry and ultimately in the Company's service territory. The Company is exempt from the requirements of the Texas Restructuring Law, including utility restructuring, until the expiration of the original Texas Freeze Period, which occurred in August 2005. The Texas Restructuring Act rule that further delays competition in the Company's Texas service territory until a regional transmission organization (RTO) begins operation in its relevant power market. The Texas Restructuring Act was repealed and as a result, the Company's operations in New Mexico are no longer regulated. The Company cannot predict at this time the effect electric restructuring will have on the Company. The Company will be required to ultimately implement the Texas Restructuring Law.

Federal Regulatory Matters

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. The FERC has been conducting an investigation into the Company's electricity prices in the western United States during 2000 and 2001. On August 13, 2001, the FERC issued a Power Act (FPA) investigation into the Company's wholesale power trading in the western United States in 2001 to determine whether the Company and Enron engaged in misconduct and, if so, to determine appropriate remedies. The Company reached settlements with the FERC and other parties in 2002 and 2003. The FERC's final order approving the settlement resolved all issues between the FERC and the other parties. Pursuant to the settlements, the Company agreed to refund \$15.5 million and to make wholesale sales pursuant to its market-based authority rather than its market-based rate authority for the period December 1, 2002 to December 31, 2004. The agreement allowed the Company to sell power into wholesale markets at its incremental market-based rate to the extent that wholesale market prices exceeded these agreed upon amounts, the Company will receive these additional revenues. This provision did not have a significant impact on the Company's earnings for the period December 31, 2004. The Company's ability to make wholesale sales pursuant to its market-based authority was restored on January 1, 2005.

RTOs. FERC's rule (Order 2000) on RTOs strongly encourages, but does not require, the formation of RTOs. The Company is an active participant in the development of WestConnect, formerly the Southwest Transmission and Reliability Operator. A WestConnect Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) was signed by the Company and nine other transmission owners on October 2, 2001. On November 21, 2005 an eleventh member joined. This MOU obligates the parties to participate in ongoing joint efforts, including involvement with stakeholders, customers, local transmission providers, state personnel, and other Western Grid transmission providers to identify, develop and implement market enhancements on a voluntary, phased-in basis to add value in transmission access, efficiency and reliability for wholesale users of the Western Grid. These enhancements are necessary for the formation of an RTO. WestConnect will continue to work with the FERC and two other proposed RTOs to develop a seamless market structure.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

The Company, however, is approximately a 7% participant in WestConnect and cannot develop WestConnect as an RTO will not be operational for several years. The establishment of an RTO in the Company's service area is a prerequisite for the Company to be considered a "regulated utility" as defined in the Texas Restructuring Law. The timing of the operations of WestConnect in the Company's Texas service territory is deregulated under the Texas Restructuring Law.

Department of Energy. The DOE regulates the Company's exports of power to the California market. The DOE has granted by the DOE and a presidential permit. The DOE has determined that all such exports of power through transmission lines shall be made in accordance with Order No. 888, which established the "open access" transmission line market.

The DOE is authorized to assess operators of nuclear generating facilities a share of the costs of DOE's uranium enrichment facilities and for the ultimate costs of disposal of spent nuclear fuel. The DOE is currently reviewing the costs of spent fuel storage and disposal at the Palo Verde Station Spent Fuel Storage Facility for discussion of spent fuel storage and disposal costs.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission. The NRC has jurisdiction over the Company's license to operate nuclear generating stations to protect the health and safety of the public and the environment. The NRC also has the authority to grant license extensions pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954.

Texas Regulatory Matters

The rates and services of the Company are regulated in Texas by municipalities and by the Texas Public Utility Commission. The largest municipality in the Company's service area is the City of El Paso ("City"). The City has appellate jurisdiction to review municipal orders and ordinances regarding rates and services. The City also has original jurisdiction over certain other activities of the Company. The decisions of the City are subject to judicial review.

Deregulation. The Texas Restructuring Law required certain investor-owned electric utilities to separate their generation activities and retail service activities from transmission and distribution activities. As of that date, retail competition for generation services was instituted in some parts of Texas. The Texas Restructuring Law, however, specifically recognized and preserved the Company's Texas Rate Stipulation. The Texas Restructuring Law, by, among other things, exempting the Company's Texas service area from retail competition. On October 13, 2004, the Texas Commission approved a rule further delaying the implementation of retail competition in the Company's Texas service territory. The rule approved by the Texas Commission sets forth a series of milestones for the Company to reach before competition can begin. The first milestone is the approval by the FERC, and commencement of independent operation of an RTO in the Company's Texas service territory, including the development of retail market protocols to facilitate the transition to retail competition would occur upon the completion of the last milestone. The Texas Commission's final evaluation of the market's readiness to offer fair competition and to protect the interests of customers. The Company believes that adoption of this rule will likely delay retail competition for several years. There is substantial uncertainty about both the regulatory framework and market conditions.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

conditions that will exist if and when retail competition is implemented in the Company's Texas service territory, the Company may incur substantial preparatory, restructuring and other costs that may not be recoverable. There can be no assurance that deregulation would not adversely affect the future operations of the Company.

Renewables and Energy Efficiency Programs. Notwithstanding the Texas Commission's delaying competition in the Company's Texas service territory, the Company became subject to the energy efficiency requirements of the Texas Restructuring Law on January 1, 2006. Under the requirements, the Company will have to annually obtain its pro rata share of renewable energy credits from the Program Administrator (the Electric Reliability Council of Texas) appointed by the Texas Commission. The total Texas retail sales subject to renewable energy credit allocation. During the 2005-2006 period, the statewide obligation to increase renewable energy capacity was raised from an additional 5,000 MW of additional renewable generating capacity in Texas by 2015. The Company's cost to obtain renewable energy credits will not be known until January 31 of the year following the end of the freeze period. The Company will have until March 31 to obtain, if necessary, and submit to the Program Administrator. The Company estimates that its Texas retail sales will represent approximately 2% of the total Texas retail sales subject to renewable energy credit allocation. In addition, by January 1, 2007, the Company will be required to fund incentives for energy efficiency savings to achieve the goal of meeting 5% of its growth in demand through energy efficiency savings every year thereafter, that goal is 10% of the Company's growth in demand through energy efficiency savings. Preparatory costs incurred by the Company to meet these requirements may not be recoverable. The New Texas Freeze Period during the New Texas Freeze Period which expires June 2010. Pursuant to the Energy Efficiency Plan filed with the Texas Commission, the Company estimates it will incur costs for incentive payments to achieve its energy efficiency goal.

New Texas Freeze Period and Franchise Agreement. On July 21, 2005, the Company entered into a Franchise Agreement with the City of Dallas, the City Rate Agreement, to extend its existing freeze period for an additional five years during the New Texas Freeze Period. Under the City Rate Agreement which became effective as of July 21, 2005, base rates will remain at their current level for the next five years. If, during the term of the City Rate Agreement, the return on equity falls below the bottom of a defined range, the Company has the right to initiate a rate adjustment to base rates. If the Company's return on equity exceeds the top of the range, the Company, in the City's direction, an amount equal to 50% of the pre-tax return in excess of the ceiling of the range. The Company's current rates, would be a range of approximately 8% to 12%.

Pursuant to the City Rate Agreement, the Company will share with its Texas customers a portion of the transmission and wheeling revenues. Under the prior rate agreement, the Company shared 50% of the transmission and wheeling revenues with Texas customers. The City Rate Agreement requires a variance from the prior rate agreement regarding the sharing of margins. The Company has sought Texas Commission approval regarding the sharing of margins. The Company has sought Texas Commission approval regarding the sharing of margins. Docket No. 32289 filed on January 17, 2006 of the margin sharing provisions of the City Rate Agreement, the Company does not approve the margin sharing provisions of the City Rate Agreement, the Company will continue to negotiate in good faith to amend the rate agreement to achieve a similar economic result.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

parties. The Company is unable to predict when or if the Texas Commission will appeal the Commission decision is expected in the second quarter of 2006.

In addition, the Company has committed to spend at least 0.3% of its El Paso revenue within the City. The Company and the City have agreed to engage at the Company's expense an independent consultant to review the reasonableness of certain operating expenses of the City. If the consultant finds such expenses to be unreasonable, the parties will seek to negotiate an appropriate remedy. If the parties fail to agree on a remedy, the agreement will terminate at the end of one year, and the City will return to traditional rate regulation. The City has retained a consultant to conduct this review in the second quarter of 2006. Consistent with the prior rate agreement, the City Rate Agreement allows the City in the event of a merger or change in control of the Company to seek rate adjustments to reflect synergy savings.

The City also granted to the Company a new 25-year franchise which became effective January 1, 2004. The franchise fee payments from 2% to 3.25% of gross receipts earned within the City limit the Company's usage of City-owned property and the payment of franchise fees.

Fuel and Purchased Power Costs. Although the Company's base rates are frozen under the City Rate Agreement pursuant to Texas Commission rules and the City Rate Agreement, the Company's fuel and purchased power costs are passed on to its customers. In January and July of each year, the Company can request adjustments to its base rates to reflect projected energy costs associated with providing electricity, seek recovery of past overcollections of fuel revenues, and refund past overcollections of fuel revenues. All such fuel revenue and purchased power costs are subject to periodic final review by the Texas Commission in fuel reconciliation proceedings.

The Company reconciled its Texas jurisdictional fuel costs for the period January 1, 2002 through February 29, 2004 in PUC Docket No. 26194, and on May 5, 2004, the Texas Commission issued its final decision. The Commission's decision requested to recover an additional \$15.8 million, before interest, from its Texas customers for fuel and purchased power undercollections from January 1999 through December 2001. The Texas Commission also disallowed \$4.5 million of Texas jurisdictional expenses, before interest, consisting primarily of (i) \$4.2 million of purchased power expenses which the Texas Commission characterized as imputed capacity charges and (ii) approximately \$0.3 million in fees which were deemed to be administrative costs. The Commission's decision disallowing \$4.5 million was recorded as a reduction of fuel revenue during the fourth quarter of 2004. The Commission's decision is not eligible for recovery as fuel expenses but are to be recovered through the Company's base rates were frozen during the period in which the imputed capacity charges were disallowed. The \$4.2 million of imputed capacity charges were therefore permanently disallowed and not recoverable from customers. The Texas Commission's decision has been appealed by two parties and the Company is unable to predict the ultimate outcome of the appeals.

On August 31, 2004, the Company filed an application to reconcile Texas jurisdictional fuel costs for the period January 1, 2002 through February 29, 2004 in PUC Docket No. 30143. The Company's decision is not eligible for recovery as fuel expenses but are to be recovered through the Company's base rates were frozen during the period in which the imputed capacity charges were disallowed. The \$4.2 million of imputed capacity charges were therefore permanently disallowed and not recoverable from customers. The Texas Commission's decision has been appealed by two parties and the Company is unable to predict the ultimate outcome of the appeals.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

No. 26194 during the period covered by this fuel reconciliation case. The Company bore the cost of the fuel purchased power costs during the reconciliation period covered by PUC Docket No. 30143. The Texas Commission's decision in PUC Docket No. 26194. However, the Texas Commission is currently in a generic rulemaking proceeding to determine a statewide policy for the appropriate recovery of fuel costs in purchased power contracts. There can be no assurance as to the outcome of this proceeding and its impact on the Company with respect to fuel recovery in future reconciliation periods, including PUC Docket No. 30143. Additionally, intervenors in PUC Docket No. 30143 filed testimony disputing the Company's requested fuel and purchased power costs. A stipulation resolving all issues in the fuel reconciliation case was filed on January 27, 2006. The stipulation provides for a \$9.0 million disallowance of the eligible fuel costs of the Company. The Company recorded a reserve including \$1.5 million in the third quarter of 2005 for the stipulated \$9.0 million in fuel disallowances in PUC Docket No. 30143. The Texas Commission issued an order on March 8, 2006 which was consistent with the stipulation.

On July 8, 2005, the Company filed a petition (PUC Docket No. 31332) with the Texas Commission to increase its fixed fuel factors and to surcharge under-recovered fuel costs as a result of higher natural gas prices. The Company requested an increase in its Texas jurisdiction fixed fuel factors of \$30.6 million or 23% annually, based on a cost of natural gas of \$7.28 per MMBtu. The Company also requested a fuel surcharge to recover \$28.2 million of fuel undercollections through the end of May 2005. On September 13, 2005, the Company filed a petition to seek additional fuel under-recoveries through August 2005 and requested that the Texas Commission award \$53.6 million, including interest as of the end of the under-recovery period, based on the cost of natural gas of \$9.35 per MMBtu. On September 14, 2005, the Company filed a unanimous stipulation to approve the requested fuel surcharge. The fixed fuel factor and surcharge were implemented effective with the August 2005 bills. Approval from the Texas Commission was received in November 2005.

On January 5, 2006, the Company filed a petition (PUC Docket No. 32240) with the Texas Commission to increase its fixed fuel factors and to surcharge under-recovered fuel costs as a result of higher natural gas prices. The Company requested an increase in its Texas jurisdiction fixed fuel factors of \$30.8 million or 16% annually, based on a cost of natural gas of \$9.35 per MMBtu. The Company also requested a fuel surcharge to recover \$34 million of fuel undercollections, including interest, for undercollections from September 2005 through November 2005. The requested fuel factor and fuel surcharge were implemented on an interim basis subject to refund effective with February 2006 bills to customers. The Company is currently negotiating with parties on a settlement to resolve this proceeding. Any settlement will be subject to approval from the Texas Commission.

Palo Verde Performance Standards. The Texas Commission established performance standards for Palo Verde pursuant to which each Palo Verde unit is evaluated annually to determine whether the unit's capacity factor entitles the Company to a reward or subjects it to a penalty. The capacity factor is defined as the ratio of actual generation to maximum possible generation. If the capacity factor, as measured over a consecutive 24-month period, should fall below 35%, the parties to the City Rate Agreement will be required to provide special treatment for Palo Verde. The removal of Palo Verde from rate base could have a significant impact on the Company's earnings.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

impact on the Company's revenues and financial condition. Under the performance s earned a performance reward nor incurred a penalty for the 2005 reporting period. The performance rewards for the reporting periods ending in 2004 and 2003 to be approxi \$0.8 million, respectively. The 2003 reward was included in the Texas fuel reconciliati along with energy costs incurred and fuel revenues billed. The 2004 reward will be inc incurred and fuel revenue billed as part of the Texas Commission's review during a f proceeding as discussed above. Performance rewards are not recorded on the Compan Commission has ordered a final determination in a fuel proceeding or comparable evi Performance penalties are recorded when assessed as probable by the Company.

In compliance with the Texas Commission's final order in PUC Docket No. 20450, th November 2004 in the amount of \$5.8 million of Palo Verde performance rewards fun Assistance Agency and Big Bend Community Center Committee, Inc. to assist low-in bills. In further compliance with the Texas Commission's order, the Company sought El Paso City Council on January 3, 2006 to remit to the City approximately \$5.8 milli rewards funds to fund demand side management programs such as weatherization wit small business and commercial customers.

New Mexico Regulatory Matters

The rates and services of the Company are regulated in New Mexico by the NMPRC. Company's New Mexico service area is the City of Las Cruces. The NMPRC has jur with municipalities regarding utility rates and services in New Mexico. The decisions judicial review.

Deregulation. In April 2003, the New Mexico Restructuring Act was repealed, and as in New Mexico will continue to be fully regulated.

New Mexico Rate Stipulation. On June 1, 2004, the Company implemented new rates Stipulation whereby, among other things, the Company agreed for a period of three ye (i) freeze base rates after an initial non-fuel base rate reduction of 1%; (ii) fix fuel and with 10% of the Company's jurisdictional retail sales in New Mexico at \$0.021 per k reconciliation the remaining 90% of the Company's New Mexico jurisdictional fuel a collected in base rates; (iv) continue the collection of a portion of fuel and purchased p presently collected in the amount of \$0.01949 per kWh; (v) price power provided from its availability at an 80% nuclear, 20% gas fuel mix; and (vi) deem reconciled, for the May 31, 2004, the Company's fuel and purchased power costs for the New Mexico ju Company must also make a New Mexico filing to set rates to be effective by June 1, 2

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Fuel and purchased power costs. In April 2004, the NMPRC, as part of the New Mexico purchased power cost adjustment clause. The Company will continue to recover fuel and purchased power costs at rates in the amount of \$0.01949 per kWh and continue the fuel and purchased power cost recovery for the remaining fuel and purchased power costs. Fuel and purchased power costs associated with the Company's jurisdictional retail sales in New Mexico are fixed at \$0.021 per kWh.

On August 29, 2005, the Company filed the annual reconciliation of its Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Clause (FPPCAC) for the period June 1, 2004 through May 31, 2005 in compliance with the NMPRC's Final Order in NMPRC Case No. 03-00302-UT. The Company requested recovery of purchased power costs for this period, and requested recovery of \$1.3 million for the recovery of purchased power capacity costs consistent with its interpretation of NMPRC rules. The Company also recognized deferred fuel revenue through December 2005 to reflect recovery of these costs. Although a hearing date has not been established for this proceeding, the Company expects to complete the case in the first half of 2006. While the Company believes that it has fully supported the recovery of fuel and purchased power costs, the Company cannot predict when or how the NMPRC will rule. A ruling by the NMPRC could have a material negative effect on the Company's results.

Renewables. The New Mexico Renewable Energy Act of 2004 requires that, by January 1, 2011, renewable energy sources comprise no less than 5% of the Company's total retail sales to New Mexico customers. The Company is required to file annually until January 1, 2011, when the renewable portfolio standard shall reach a level of 5% of total retail sales to New Mexico customers and will remain fixed at such level thereafter. On August 29, 2005, the Company filed its Procurement Plan detailing its proposed actions to comply with the Renewable Energy Act.

The NMPRC approved the Company's 2005 Annual Procurement Plan in December 2005. The Company's plan includes (i) enter into a contract to purchase renewable energy certificates (RECs) for full recovery of purchased power costs for approximately 50% of the Company's requirements in 2008 through 2011 and (ii) to recover from customers up to \$0.2 million for costs related to the issuance of a dividend to meet the remaining requirements in the 2008 to 2011 timeframe and thereafter. Costs to purchase RECs to meet the requirements of the New Mexico Renewable Energy Act are being recovered from customers as purchased power costs from New Mexico customers pursuant to the Renewable Energy Act. The NMPRC's decision in this case has been appealed to the New Mexico Supreme Court by Industrial Energy Consumers. The Company is unable to predict what, if any, action the Supreme Court may take in this proceeding.

Sales for Resale

The Company provides up to 10 MW of firm capacity, associated energy, and transmission services to the Electric Cooperative pursuant to an ongoing contract which requires a two-year notice period for termination to be received.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Power Sales Contracts

On November 3, 2005, the Company entered into a transaction for the sale of 25 MW period in 2006, excluding the month of April. The Company has entered into additional duration (three months or less).

Franchises and Significant Customers

City of El Paso Franchise

The Company's largest franchise agreement is with the City. The franchise agreement fee and allows the Company to utilize public rights-of-way necessary to serve its retail franchise with the City extends through July 31, 2030.

Las Cruces Franchise

In February 2000, the Company and Las Cruces entered into a seven-year franchise agreement with a franchise fee (approximately \$1.3 million per year) for the provision of electric distribution. The Company is prohibited during this seven-year period from taking any action to condemn or otherwise interfere with the Company's distribution system, or attempt to operate or build its own electric distribution system. At the end of the Company's seven-year franchise agreement, Las Cruces has a 90-day non-assignable option at the end of the Company's seven-year franchise agreement to purchase the Company's distribution system that serves Las Cruces at a purchase price of 130% of book value at that time. The Company must provide the book values of the assets covered by this agreement to Las Cruces by July 31, 2006. If Las Cruces exercises this option, it is prohibited from operating the system for two years. If Las Cruces fails to exercise this option, the franchise and standstill agreement will be extended for an additional two years.

Military Installations

The Company currently serves Holloman Air Force Base (Holloman), White Sands Air Force Base, and the United States Army Air Defense Center at Fort Bliss (Ft. Bliss). The Company's sales to these military installations represent approximately 3% of annual operating revenues. The Company signed a contract with Ft. Bliss which Ft. Bliss will take retail electric service from the Company through December 2006. In 2005, the Company entered into a ten-year contract to provide retail electric service to White Sands Air Force Base. The Company signed a new contract, subject to regulatory approval, with Holloman that provides retail electric service and limited wheeling services to Holloman for a ten-year term with

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Like other companies in our industry, our consolidated financial results will be impacted by a number of factors, including changes in demand in our service territory, fuel prices, the performance of our customers and the decisions of our stockholders. Our stock price and creditworthiness will be affected by national and international macroeconomic conditions and the expectations of the investment community, all of which are largely beyond our control. The following statements highlight risk factors that may affect our consolidated financial operations. These are not intended to be an exhaustive discussion of all such risks, and should be read together with factors discussed elsewhere in this document and in our other filings with the SEC.

Our Costs Could Increase or We Could Experience Reduced Revenue

There are Problems at the Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station

A significant percentage of our generating capacity, off-system sales margins, assets and liabilities are attributable to Palo Verde. Our 15.8% interest in each of the three Palo Verde units totals approximately 46% of our available net generating capacity. Palo Verde represents approximately 40% of our available net generating capacity and approximately 46% of our available energy for the twelve months ended December 31, 2006. Palo Verde expenses comprise a significant portion of our total net plant-in-service and Palo Verde expenses comprise a significant portion of our total net plant-in-service expenses. We face the risk of additional or unanticipated costs at Palo Verde resulting from (i) increased maintenance expenses; (ii) the replacement of steam generators in Palo Verde Unit 3; (iii) the replacement of vessel heads at the Palo Verde units; (iv) an extended outage of any of the Palo Verde units; (v) decommissioning costs; (vi) the storage of radioactive waste, including spent nuclear fuel; (vii) reduced generating output; (viii) insolvency of other Palo Verde Participants; and (ix) compliance with rules and regulations governing commercial nuclear generating stations. At the same time, our base rates in Texas are effectively capped through June 2010. As a result, we cannot raise our base rates in Texas to cover increased non-fuel costs or loss of revenue unless our return on equity falls below the bottom of the range of 8% to 12%, which the bottom of the range is approximately 8%. Additionally, should retail competition increase, we could experience competitive pressure on our rates which could reduce profitability. We cannot assure that we will be able to recover any increased costs, including any increased costs in connection with Palo Verde, as a result of inflation, changes in tax laws or regulatory requirements, or other causes.

Typically, the Company realizes between 40% and 50% of its off-system sales margins during the first half of each calendar year when the Company's native load is lower than at other times of the year. The availability of the wholesale market of relatively larger amounts of off-system energy generated from nuclear power plants is an important factor in realizing these off-system sales margins. The Company's reduced output and upcoming outages at Palo Verde Unit 1, together with lower than originally expected output, will result in reduced off-system sales margins of approximately \$12 to \$18 million for the first half of 2006. The Company cautions that results would differ from its estimates to the extent that the Company's Palo Verde Unit 1 operations and other factors vary from its assumptions. The adverse financial impact of continued reduced output and outages at Palo Verde Unit 1 could increase and would result in lower off-system sales margins, higher capital and/or operating costs and increased purchased power and other costs.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Our City Rate Agreement with El Paso Could Terminate

Under our City Rate Agreement, we agreed to engage the services of an independent consultant to determine the reasonableness of certain operating expenses. If the consultant finds such expenses to be unreasonable, we may seek to negotiate an appropriate remedy. If the parties are unable to agree on a remedy, the agreement would expire on June 30, 2006. If that were to occur, we would be subject to traditional rate-making and appellate review by the Texas Commission beginning July 1, 2006. In such event, we would not be able to maintain our Texas rates thereafter. In addition, the early termination or denial by the Texas Commission to approve the fuel provision of the City Rate Agreement would not be entitled to retain 75% of our margins from off-system sales retroactive to July 1, 2006. If such a termination leads to lower rates or reduced off-system sales margin retention, there would be a potential negative impact on our revenues, earnings, cash flows and financial position.

We May Not Be Able to Pass Through All of Our Fuel Expenses

In general, by law, we are entitled to pass through our prudently incurred fuel and purchased power costs to our customers in Texas and New Mexico. Nevertheless, we agreed in 2004 to a fixed fuel cost recovery plan for kilowatt-hours of our retail customers in New Mexico pursuant to a base rate freeze that was in effect at that time. To the extent that this indirect hedge does not perfectly track our costs, we are subject to the risk that such costs would not be recoverable. The portion of fuel expense that is not fixed is subject to review and approval by the Texas Commission and the NMPRC. Prior to the completion of a reconciliation, we record fuel and purchased power revenues equal fuel expense except for the portion fixed in New Mexico. In the event of a reconciliation proceeding, the amounts recorded for fuel and purchased power expenses would be reduced if we are not allowed to collect from our customers and we would incur a loss to the extent of the unrecovered costs.

In New Mexico, the fuel adjustment clause allows us to reflect current fuel cost in the rates. In Texas, fuel cost recoveries and refund over-recoveries with a two month lag. In Texas, fuel cost recovery is based on a fuel cost factor that may be adjusted two times per year. If we materially under-recover fuel costs, we may not recover those costs at the time of the next fuel factor filing. During periods of significant fuel cost volatility, such as occurred in 2004 and 2005, the Company realizes a lag in the ability to reflect current fuel costs through its recovery mechanisms. As a result, the cash flow is impacted due to the lag in payment of fuel costs from customers. At December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, the Company had fuel cost recovery surcharges of \$92 million and \$19 million, respectively. A surcharge to collect fuel under-recoveries in Texas for a 12-month period was placed into effect in Texas in October 2005. A second surcharge was placed into effect in Texas in February 2006 to collect \$34 million over a twelve month period. To the extent that such surcharges in Texas and New Mexico do not provide for the timely recovery of fuel costs, the Company may experience a negative impact on its cash flow.

To insure that we have adequate liquidity we have recently begun the process of replacing our existing revolving credit facility with a new \$150 million revolving credit facility. The new revolving credit facility will be added to the existing revolving credit facility and will provide up to \$70 million for nuclear fuel purchases. The new facility will not be borrowed for nuclear fuel purchases.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

available for use for working capital. The Company expects, but has no assurance, that the program will be in place by the second quarter of 2006.

Equipment Failures and Other External Factors Can Adversely Affect Operations

The generation and transmission of electricity require the use of expensive and complex equipment. Even with a regular maintenance program in place, generating plants are subject to unplanned outages because they are particularly vulnerable to this due to the advanced age of several of our gas-fired generating plants. In addition, we are seeking to extend the lives of these plants. In the event of unplanned outages, we may be forced to purchase power from others at unpredictable costs in order to supply our customers and comply with our regulatory obligations. This can materially increase our costs and prevent us from selling excess power at wholesale prices. In addition, decisions or mistakes by other utilities may adversely affect our ability to use our own power or to import power, thus subjecting us to unexpected expenses or to the cost and uncertainty of purchasing power. We are particularly vulnerable to this because a significant portion of our available energy is located hundreds of miles from El Paso and Las Cruces and must be delivered to our customers through long transmission lines. These factors, as well as weather, interest rates, economic conditions, and regulatory changes, are largely beyond our control, but may have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position.

Competition and Deregulation Could Result in a Loss of Customers

As a result of changes in federal law, our wholesale and large retail customers already have access to other sources of economical power, including co-generation of electric power. Texas has recently enacted legislation requiring us to separate our transmission and distribution functions, which would separate our power generation and energy services businesses, which would operate in a competitive market. On October 13, 2004, the Texas Commission approved a rule delaying retail competition until 2007. There is substantial uncertainty about both the regulatory framework and market conditions that will exist when retail competition is implemented in our Texas service territory, and we may incur substantial costs and other costs that may not ultimately be recoverable. There can be no assurance that these changes will not affect our future operations, cash flows and financial condition.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

We do not have unresolved SEC staff comments to disclose.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****Executive Officers of the Registrant**

The executive officers of the Company as of February 2, 2006, were as follows:

Name	Age	Current Position and Business Experience
Gary R. Hedrick	51	Chief Executive Officer, President and Director since November 2001; President, Chief Financial and Administrative Officer from August 2001 to November 2001.
J. Frank Bates	55	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer since November 2005; President and Chief Operations Officer from November 2003 to November 2005; President, Transmission and Distribution from August 1996 to November 2003.
Scott D. Wilson	52	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial and Chief Accounting Officer since February 2006; Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer from February 2003 to February 2006; Vice President - Corporate Planning and Controller from February 2001 to February 2003; Controller from September 2003 to February 2005; Owner, Wilson Electric, Inc. from June 1992 to September 2003.
Steven P. Busser	37	Vice President - Regulatory Affairs and Treasurer since February 2003 to February 2005; Assistant Chief Financial Officer from February 2003 to February 2005; Vice President - International Controller from August 2001 to June 2002; Vice President - International Business Affairs, MiraSol Processing Company, Inc. from June 2000 to August 2002.
David G. Carpenter	50	Vice President - Corporate Planning and Controller since February 2003 to February 2005; Vice President - Regulatory Services for American Electric Power Service Corporation from August 2005 with responsibility for all regulatory activities; Vice President - American Electric Power Co., Inc. electric utility subsidiary from August 2003 to August 2005.
Fernando J. Gireud	48	Vice President - Safety, Environmental, Power Market and Regulatory Affairs since February 2006; Vice President - Power Marketing and Sales from February 2003 to February 2006; Vice President - International Business Affairs from February 2003 to February 2006; Director - International Business Affairs, MiraSol from February 2003 to February 2006.
Helen Knopp	63	Vice President - Customer and Public Affairs since April 2003 to February 2006.
Kerry B. Lore	46	Vice President - Administration since May 2003; Controller from May 2003 to February 2006.
Robert C. McNeil	59	Vice President - New Mexico Affairs since December 2003 to February 2006.
Hector R. Puente	49	Vice President - Distribution since February 2006; Vice President - Distribution from April 2001 to February 2006; Manager - Substations and Distribution from April 2001 to February 2006.
Andres Ramirez	45	Vice President - Power Generation since February 2006; Vice President - Environmental and Resource Planning from July 2005 to February 2006; Director - Operations for Sempra Energy Texas Service from February 2003 to February 2006; Senior Vice President - Power Production for Austin Energy from February 2003 to February 2006.
Gary Sanders	47	General Counsel since February 2006; Assistant General Counsel from July 2004 to February 2006; Assistant General Counsel from February 2004 to February 2006; Shareholder in law firm of Gordon & Mott PC from February 2004 to February 2006.
Guillermo Silva, Jr.	52	Corporate Secretary since February 2006; Vice President - Administration from February 2003 to February 2006; Corporate Secretary from February 2003 to February 2006.

John A. Whitacre 56 Vice President Transmission since February 2006; Vi
Distribution from July 2002 to February 2006; Assistan
Operations from August 1989 to July 2002.
The executive officers of the Company are elected annually and serve at the discretion

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Item 2. Properties

The principal properties of the Company are described in Item 1, Business, and such properties are referred to by reference. Transmission lines are located either on private rights-of-way, easements or on public property with public consent. Substantially all of the Company's utility plant is subject to liens to secure the Company's Series H First Mortgage Bonds.

In addition, the Company leases executive and administrative offices in El Paso, Texas under a lease which expires in May 2007 and certain warehouse facilities in El Paso, Texas under a lease which expires in May 2007 with concurrent renewal options of six months each.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The Company is a party to various legal actions. In many of these matters, the Company has obtained insurance coverage that covers the various claims, actions and complaints. Based upon a review of the Company's insurance coverage, to the extent that the Company has been able to reach a conclusion, the Company believes that none of these claims will have a material adverse effect on the financial position or cash flows of the Company.

On January 16, 2003, the Company was served with a complaint on behalf of a purported class of investors claiming violations of the federal securities laws (*Roth v. El Paso Electric Company, et al.*, No. 03-10001) which was filed in the El Paso Division of the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas. The complaint seeks undisclosed compensatory damages for the class as well as costs and attorneys' fees. On July 2, 2003, the Pension Fund of Illinois, filed a consolidated amended complaint on July 2, 2003, alleging that the Company and certain of its current and former directors and officers violated securities laws. The complaint alleges that some of the Company's revenues and income were derived from an allegedly unlawful power market. The allegations arise out of the FERC investigation of the power markets in the western United States. The Company previously settled with the FERC Trial Staff and certain intervenors. On November 13, 2003, the Company and the individual defendants filed a motion to dismiss the complaint for lack of due diligence which relief can be granted. On November 26, 2003, the Court denied the motion to dismiss the complaint as to the Company and granted the motion to dismiss as to two individual defendants. On December 11, 2003, the Court granted a motion of the Company and the remaining individual defendants requesting a stay of the Court's interlocutory appeal to the U. S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit regarding certain aspects of the Court's denial of the motion to dismiss the complaint as to those defendants. On April 1, 2004, the Court's order staying the district court proceedings until the Fifth Circuit completed its review of the appeal was denied which automatically lifted the stay in the district court. The Court's order staying the lawsuit was without merit, the parties reached a settlement to resolve this case. The parties entered into a Settlement with the Court on June 2, 2005, and the Court issued a final order approving the settlement on June 2, 2005. The settlement was paid by the Company's insurance carrier since the deductibles were not met. The settlement imposes no further charge to the Company's earnings.

On May 21, 2003, the Company was served with a complaint by the Port of Seattle seeking damages under the Sherman Act, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organization Act, and state

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

antitrust laws, as well as for fraud (*Port of Seattle v. Avista Corporation, et al.*, No. C filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington. The c indirectly through its dealings with Enron, conspired with the other named defendants energy market, which had the effect of artificially inflating the price that the Port of S Company, together with several other defendants, filed a motion to dismiss. On May Company's motion, and the suit was dismissed. The Port of Seattle has filed an appeal U. S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. The parties are awaiting a hearing and de Company believes that these matters are without merit, the Company is unable to predic possible loss.

On May 5, 2004, Wah Chang, a specialty metals manufacturer which operates a plant Company and other defendants in the United States District Court for the District of C (*Corporation, et al.*, No. 04-619AS). The complaint makes substantially the same alleg *Seattle* and seeks the same types of damages. In addition, on June 7, 2004, the City of Company and other defendants in the United States District Court for the Western Dis (*Tacoma v. American Electric Power Service Corp., et al.*, C04-5325RBL). This comp same allegations as were made in *Port of Seattle* and seeks civil damages (including tr and the other defendants for violations of certain antitrust provisions under the Sherm transferred to the same court that heard and dismissed the *Port of Seattle* lawsuit and o granted the Company's motion to dismiss both cases. Wah Chang and the City of Tac appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. The parties have filed bri hearing and decision. While the Company believes that these matters are without meri vigorously, the Company is unable to predict the outcome or range of possible loss.

See Regulation for discussion of the effects of government legislation and regulatio

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matter was submitted to vote of the Company's security holders through the solici the fourth quarter of 2005.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuance of Equity Securities

The Company's common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol SAF. The sales prices for the Company's common stock, as reported in the consolidated reports filed with the Exchange for the periods indicated below were as follows:

2004

First Quarter

Second Quarter

Third Quarter

Fourth Quarter

2005

First Quarter

Second Quarter

Third Quarter

Fourth Quarter

As of January 31, 2006, there were 4,293 holders of record of the Company's common stock. The Company does not anticipate paying dividends on its common stock in the near-term. The Company intends to continue its share repurchase programs with the goal of maintaining or improving its capital structure, bond ratings, and other financial metrics.

Since the inception of the stock repurchase programs in 1999, the Company has repurchased 15.3 million shares of its common stock at an aggregate cost of \$175.6 million, including 1.7 million shares remain authorized to be repurchased under the currently authorized repurchase program. The Company may continue making purchases of its stock pursuant to the repurchase plan at open market prices and may engage in private transactions, where appropriate. The Company also has available for issuance under employee benefit and stock option plans, or may be retired.

For Equity Compensation Plan Information see Part III, Item 12 Security Ownership of Certain Officers and Directors and Management.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

As of and for the following periods (in thousands except for share data):

	Years Ended De		
	2005	2004	2003
Operating revenues	\$ 803,913	\$ 708,628	\$ 664
Operating income	\$ 107,883	\$ 93,071	\$ 79
Income before cumulative effect of accounting change and extraordinary item	\$ 36,615	\$ 33,369	\$ 20
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax	\$ (1,093)	\$	\$ 39
Extraordinary gain on re-application of SFAS No. 71, net of tax	\$	\$ 1,802	\$
Net income	\$ 35,522	\$ 35,171	\$ 59
Basic earnings per share:			
Income before cumulative effect of accounting change and extraordinary item	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.70	\$
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax	\$ (0.02)	\$	\$
Extraordinary gain on re-application of SFAS No. 71, net of tax	\$	\$ 0.04	\$
Net income	\$ 0.75	\$ 0.74	\$
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	47,711,894	47,426,813	48,424
Diluted earnings per share:			
Income before cumulative effect of accounting change and extraordinary item	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.69	\$
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax	\$ (0.02)	\$	\$
Extraordinary gain on re-application of SFAS No. 71, net of tax	\$	\$ 0.04	\$
Net income	\$ 0.74	\$ 0.73	\$
Weighted average number of shares and dilutive potential shares outstanding	48,307,910	48,019,721	48,814
Cash additions to utility property, plant and equipment	\$ 88,263	\$ 72,092	\$ 77
Total assets	\$ 1,665,449	\$ 1,580,835	\$ 1,596
Long-term debt and financing and capital lease obligations, net of current portion	\$ 611,018	\$ 379,636	\$ 608
Common stock equity	\$ 556,439	\$ 532,147	\$ 495

Certain amounts presented for prior years have been reclassified to conform with the 2

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

As you read this Management's Discussion and Analysis, please refer to our Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes, which contain our operating results.

Summary of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Note A to the Consolidated Financial Statements contains a summary of significant accounting policies. The preparation of our financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and related notes for the periods presented and amounts reported for future periods from those estimates. Critical accounting policies and estimates are both important to understanding our financial condition and results of operations and require complex, subjective judgment.

SFAS No. 71

Collection of fuel expense

Value of net utility plant in service

Decommissioning costs and estimated asset retirement obligation

Future pension and other postretirement obligations

Reserves for tax dispute

SFAS No. 71

Regulated electric utilities typically prepare their financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting standard, certain recoverable costs are shown as either assets or liabilities (depending on whether the regulator provides assurance that these costs will be charged to and collected from the utility's customers, if permitted such cost recovery). The resulting regulatory assets or liabilities are amortized over their respective amortization periods in a utility's cost of service.

Beginning in 1991, we discontinued the application of SFAS No. 71 to our financial statements. On our determination that our rates were no longer designed to recover our costs of providing service, upon emerging from bankruptcy in 1996, we again concluded that we did not meet the criteria for SFAS No. 71 because of the ten-year rate freeze in Texas and our ongoing intention not to seek changes in rates, which had been established in 1990. Although we believe the rates established in 1990 were reasonable for the service, the unusual length of the rate freeze period created substantial uncertainty as to whether we would be able to recover our costs over the entire freeze period. Consequently, we determined that we should not re-apply SFAS No. 71 in New Mexico and New Mexico jurisdictions at the time we emerged from bankruptcy in February 1996.

During 2004, we determined that we met the criteria necessary to re-apply SFAS No. 71 to our New Mexico jurisdictional operations. Two key events transpired in New Mexico that, when considered together, led to our decision to re-apply SFAS No. 71. In April of 2004, we received a final order approving our rates. The order established new base and fuel rates for our New Mexico customers which were implemented in May 2004. The rates were based upon our cost of providing service in New Mexico. That event, coupled with our determination that we met the criteria necessary to re-apply SFAS No. 71, led to our decision to re-apply SFAS No. 71.

electric utility

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

industry restructuring law which occurred in April of 2003, resulted in us meeting the SFAS No. 71 to New Mexico, beginning July 1, 2004. The re-application of SFAS No. 71 to New Mexico jurisdiction resulted in the recording of \$18.5 million of regulatory assets, \$5.0 million of regulatory income tax assets, \$16.2 million of regulatory liabilities, \$5.5 million in related accumulated income tax assets, and \$1.8 million extraordinary gain, net of tax, or \$0.04 basic and diluted earnings per share.

We have not reapplied SFAS No. 71 to our Texas jurisdiction. However, we are currently applying SFAS No. 71 to our Texas jurisdiction based upon the expiration of the ten year rate freeze period in competition in 2004, and a new rate settlement agreement with the City of El Paso. In 2004, we entered into a settlement agreement with the City ("City Rate Agreement") which provides for a new rate freeze period until June 30, 2010. The City Rate Agreement specifically provides for our rate of return on equity falls below a range around a calculated return on equity under current market conditions. During the Freeze Period, we may seek to increase rates. Likewise, if our return on equity exceeded the range, we must be paid to the City. The City Rate Agreement provides for the City to conduct a rate of return study and provides for revision of the rate agreement if they are not determined to be within the utility industry. Also, the City Rate Agreement allows us to retain 75% of off-system sales margin provision, up from previous 50%. While the City Rate Agreement has been approved by the City, in order for it to be implemented, the Texas Commission must approve the sharing of off-system sales margin provision for the entire agreement for the Texas customers outside the City. Once the City Rate Agreement is approved by the Texas Commission, we will complete the evaluation as to whether SFAS No. 71 should be re-applied to our Texas jurisdiction. The re-application of SFAS No. 71 will result in the recognition of regulatory assets and liabilities, which will have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements. However, the re-application of SFAS No. 71 will not have a material effect on our cash flow.

Collection of Fuel Expense

In general, through regulation, our fuel and purchased power expenses are passed through to our customers. Later, in times of rising fuel prices, we experience a lag in recovery of higher fuel costs through rate of return reconciliation by the Texas Commission and the NMPRC. Prior to the completion of a reconciliation proceeding, transactions such that fuel revenues equal fuel expense except for the fixed portion in the rate of return. If a disallowance occurs during a reconciliation proceeding, the amounts recorded for fuel expenses could differ from the amounts we are allowed to collect from our customers, and we could experience a net disallowance.

Value of Net Utility Plant in Service

In 1996, when we emerged from bankruptcy, we recast our financial statements by applying SFAS No. 90-7 Financial Reporting by Entities in Reorganization under the Bankruptcy Code. In this process, we attributed value to our integrated utility system after we had determined the forma capital structure based on management's estimates of future operating results. The book value of our depreciated value of our assets would be approximately equal to their estimated fair value.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

The depreciation of the fresh-start asset value was completed in July 2005. If at any time undiscounted future net cash flows from the operations of our assets are not sufficient to cover the cost of the assets, then we will be required to write down the value of these assets to their fair values. Any such write-downs will be charged to earnings. We currently believe that our rates are sufficient and that future cash flows will be sufficient to recover their net book values.

Decommissioning Costs and Estimated Asset Retirement Obligation

Pursuant to the ANPP Participation Agreement and federal law, we must fund our share of the cost to decommission Palo Verde Units 1, 2 and 3 and associated common areas. We recorded an asset for the fair value of our decommissioning obligation upon implementation of SFAS 143, *Asset Retirement Obligations*. We will adjust the liability to its present value periodically and the asset will be depreciated over its useful life. The determination of the estimated liability is based on assumptions pertaining to decommissioning costs, escalation and discount rates.

We and other Palo Verde Participants rely upon decommissioning cost studies and market data, including inflation projections to determine funding requirements and estimate liabilities related to decommissioning. Each year outside engineers perform a study to estimate decommissioning costs associated with Palo Verde Units 1, 2 and 3 and associated common areas. We determine how we will fund our share of those estimated costs based on assumptions about future investment returns and future decommissioning cost escalation. We fund our share of these costs through professionally managed investment trust accounts. We are required to establish a minimum funding level in our decommissioning trust accounts at the end of each annual reporting period pursuant to the ANPP Participation Agreement. If actual decommissioning costs exceed our estimates, we will be required to increase our funding to the decommissioning trust accounts. Although we have performed cost studies, we believe that the liability we have recorded for our decommissioning costs is a reasonable estimate of the costs, assuming that Palo Verde Units 1, 2 and 3 operate over their remaining life (including the probability of a license extension) and that the DOE assumes responsibility for the cost of a plant shut down. We believe that our current annual funding levels of the decommissioning trust accounts are sufficient for the cash requirements associated with decommissioning. Historically, regulated utilities have been able to collect in rates in Texas and New Mexico the costs of nuclear decommissioning. Should the DOE's Texas Restructuring Law, we will be able to collect from regulated transmission and distribution utilities the costs of decommissioning. Reference is made to Note D, *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations*, in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Future Pension and Other Postretirement Obligations

Our obligations to retirees under various benefit plans are recorded as a liability on the balance sheet. The liability is calculated on the basis of significant assumptions regarding discount rate, expected return on plan assets, compensation increase and health care cost inflation. Our assumptions as well as a sensitivity analysis of hypothetical changes in certain assumptions are set forth in detail in Note K, *Employee Benefit Plans*, in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Financial Statements. Changes in these assumptions could have a material impact on the amount of liabilities reflected on the consolidated balance sheets.

In developing the assumptions, management makes judgments based on the advice of actuaries and our review of third-party and market-based data. These sources include life expectancy and health care cost trends, and historical and expected return data on various categories of investments. The discount rate applied to future plan obligations is based at each measuring date on the yield of high quality (AA and better) corporate bonds that would provide future cash flows as they become due, as well as on publicly available bond issues. We regularly review these assumptions and reassessment at least once a year. We do not expect that any such change in assumptions would have a material impact on net income for 2006.

Reserves for Tax Dispute

Our federal income tax returns for the years 1999 through 2002 have been examined by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). On May 9, 2005, we received a notice of proposed deficiency from the IRS. The notice proposed by the IRS related to (i) whether we were entitled to currently deduct payments for the Verde Unit 2 steam generators or whether these payments should be capitalized and depreciated, and (ii) whether we were entitled to currently deduct payments related to the dry cask storage facilities for spent nuclear fuel. The proposed IRS adjustments go to the ultimate deductibility for federal tax purposes. We have protested the audit adjustments and believe that our treatment of the payments is supported by substantial legal authority. If the IRS prevails, the resulting income tax and interest payments could be material to our operations. We are performing an examination of the 2003 and 2004 income tax returns. We have established a reserve to re-evaluate, an estimated contingent tax liability on our consolidated balance sheet to cover the potential adverse outcomes in tax proceedings. Although the ultimate outcome of the appeals cannot be predicted with certainty, we believe that, as of December 31, 2005, adequate reserves are maintained for additional tax that may be due.

Overview

The following is an overview of our results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003. Income for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 is shown below:

Net income before cumulative effect of accounting change and extraordinary item (in thousands)
Basic earnings per share before cumulative effect of accounting change and extraordinary item

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

The following table and accompanying explanation show the primary factors affecting income before cumulative effect of accounting changes and extraordinary item between the years ended 2004 and 2003, and 2003 and 2002 (in thousands):

	2005
Prior year December 31 income before cumulative effect of accounting change and extraordinary item	\$ 33,369
Change in (net of tax):	
Decreased (increased) depreciation and amortization expense	6,760
Increased retail base revenues	5,905
Decreased interest charges on long-term debt	5,212
Coal reclamation liability adjustment (d)	1,902
Increased (decreased) off-system sales margins	456
Decreased (increased) maintenance at coal and gas-fired generating plants	147
Impairment loss (e)	
Texas fuel disallowances (f)	
FERC settlements (g)	
Decreased sales for resale	
Decreased (increased) loss on extinguishments of debt	(8,807)
2004 IRS settlement (j)	(6,200)
Increased Palo Verde operations and maintenance expense	(2,189)
Decreased (increased) taxes other than income taxes	(1,514)
Increased ARO accretion	(259)
Other	1,833
Current year December 31 net income before cumulative effect of accounting change and extraordinary item	\$ 36,615

- (a) Depreciation and amortization decreased due to completing the recovery of certain related assets over the term of the Texas Rate Stipulation which ended in July 2003.
- (b) Retail base revenues increased in 2005 compared to 2004 primarily due to (i) increased customers reflecting growth in the number of customers served and (ii) favorable rate of return.
- (c) Interest charges decreased due to lower interest expense on long-term debt and the refinancing of first mortgage bonds with long-term senior notes and the August 2003 refinancing of pollution control bonds at lower interest rates.
- (d) The coal reclamation liability adjustment pertains to the updated 2004 reclamation liability for the Four Corners power plant. We had previously recorded this liability for the liability in December 2004. An additional true-up was recorded in September 2005.
- (e) We abandoned the development of a customer information system project and recorded a loss in the third quarter of 2003.

Edgar Filing: SAFEGUARD SCIENTIFICS INC - Form 10-Q

- (f) Texas fuel disallowance in Docket No. 26194 was recorded in 2003.
- (g) The FERC settlements relate to the settlements with FERC Trial Staff and principal agreed to refund revenues we earned on wholesale power transactions in 2000 and recorded in December 2002.
- (h) The 2003 decrease in wholesale sales revenue relates primarily to the expiration of
- (i) Loss on extinguishments of debt in 2005 increased compared to 2004, reflecting our first mortgage bonds in June 2005.
- (j) A benefit was recorded in the third quarter of 2004 from a settlement of an IRS audit with no comparable amount in 2005.
- (k) Palo Verde operations and maintenance expense increased in 2005 when compared to operations and maintenance expense at Unit 1 during the planned replacement of an outage in late 2005, and increased administrative and general expenses.
- (l) Taxes other than income taxes increased in 2005 compared to 2004 due to an increase in the fee rate which took effect on August 2, 2005, partially offset by a decrease in property
- (m) Accretion expense pursuant to SFAS No. 143 was first recognized in 2003.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Historical Results of Operations

The following discussion includes detailed descriptions of factors affecting individual operations. The amounts presented below are presented on a pre-tax basis.

Operating revenues

We realize revenue from the sale of electricity to retail customers at regulated rates and in the wholesale power market generally at market based prices. Sales for resale (which are in interstate territory) accounted for less than 1% of revenues. Off-system sales are wholesale sales in interstate territory. Off-system sales are primarily made in off-peak periods when we have capacity available after meeting our regulated service obligations. Under the terms of our City of Dallas contract, we pass 25% of our off-system sales margins and wheeling revenues to Texas customers.

Revenues from the sale of electricity include fuel costs, which are substantially passed through to customers via adjustment mechanisms in Texas and New Mexico and a portion through base revenues. Deferred fuel revenues for the difference between fuel costs and fuel revenues until such time as they are refunded to customers. Base revenues refers to our revenues from the sale of electricity in Texas and for a portion of fuel costs in New Mexico.

Retail base revenues. Retail base revenues increased by \$9.5 million or 2.1% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2005 when compared to the same period in 2004. Retail kilowatt-hour sales in the twelve month period ended December 31, 2005 were 1.1% higher than the twelve month period ended December 31, 2004. The average number of retail customers served in 2005 accounted for most of the growth in sales. Cooler weather in the summer of 2005 (increased cooling degree days) resulted in higher sales, they were offset by cooler weather earlier in 2005 (decreased heating degree days).

Retail base revenues increased by \$3.1 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2004 compared to the same period in 2003. Retail kilowatt-hour sales in the twelve month period ended December 31, 2004 were 2.7% higher than the twelve month period ended December 31, 2003. A 2.7% growth in the average number of retail customers served in 2004 accounted for most of the growth in sales. Cooler weather in the summer of 2004 (increased cooling degree days) resulted in higher sales and were only partially offset by the colder winter weather in 2004 (decreased heating degree days).

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Retail base revenue percentages by customer class are presented below:

Residential
Commercial and industrial, small
Commercial and industrial, large
Sales to public authorities

Total base revenues

No retail customer accounted for more than 2% of our base revenues during such period. Residential and small commercial customers comprise 75% of our revenues. While this is also more sensitive to changes in weather conditions. As a result, our business is seasonal during the summer cooling season. The following table sets forth the percentage of our revenues for the periods presented:

January 1 to March 31
April 1 to June 30
July 1 to September 30
October 1 to December 31

Total

Heating and cooling degree days can be used to evaluate the effect of weather on energy. When outdoor temperature varies from a standard of 65 degrees Fahrenheit a degree day is recorded. Below, combined heating and cooling degree days were below average in 2004 and 2005.

Heating degree days
Cooling degree days

Fuel revenues. Fuel revenues consists of two parts, revenues collected from customers approved by the state commissions, and deferred fuel revenues which are comprised of fuel revenues collected from customers. In New Mexico, the fuel adjustment clause allows us to recover fuel costs in the clause and to recover under or refund over-recoveries in the clause with a fixed fuel factor that may be adjusted two times per year. In other states, we over-recover fuel costs, we must seek to refund the over-recovery, and if we materially over-recover, we seek a surcharge to recover those costs. Natural gas prices increased significantly in 2004 and 2005 due to a significant increase in deferred fuel revenues particularly in Texas due to the lag in retail fuel recovery mechanism. The increase in

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

deferred fuel revenues for the twelve months ended December 31, 2005 when compared to 2004. The increase in deferred fuel revenues for the twelve months ended December 31, 2004 was \$30.6 million.

In July 2005 we filed for an increase in our fixed fuel factor and to surcharge fuel under-recoveries with the Texas Commission. A settlement approved by the Texas Commission has allowed us to increase our surcharge \$53.6 million of fuel under-recoveries, including interest as of the end of the 24-month period. In January 2006, we again filed with the Texas Commission to increase our surcharge approximately \$34 million for additional fuel under-recoveries, including interest through November 2005, over a twelve-month period. We received Commission approval for the fuel factor and surcharge on an interim basis beginning with February 2006 billings.

Fuel revenues recovered from customers increased \$20.8 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2005 compared to 2004 and \$7.7 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2004 compared to 2003. These increases are primarily due to the increased fuel costs that are collected from our New Mexico customers and the increase in Texas fuel factors in October 2005 along with an increase in kWh sales. Fuel revenues also increased for the twelve months ended December 31, 2004 compared to 2003 due to a disallowance in Docket No. 26194 of \$4.5 million that was recorded in 2003 with no effect on 2004.

Off-system sales. Off-system sales are primarily made in off-peak periods when we have capacity available after meeting our regulated service obligations. Off-system sales decreased \$2.0 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2005 when compared to 2004 due to a decline in energy available for sale because of a decline in output at the Palo Verde station due to an extended planned replacement for Unit 1 and unplanned outages at Palo Verde Units 2 and 3. Offsetting this decline were higher average market prices. Off-system sales increased \$2.0 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2004 when compared to 2003 primarily due to higher average market prices.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

Comparisons of kWh sales and operating revenues are shown below (in thousands):

Years Ended December 31:	2005	2004
kWh sales:		
Retail:		
Residential	2,090,098	1,986,000
Commercial and industrial, small	2,126,918	2,115,000
Commercial and industrial, large	1,165,506	1,236,000
Sales to public authorities	1,270,116	1,243,000
Total retail sales	6,652,638	6,581,000
Wholesale:		
Sales for resale	41,883	41,883
Off-system sales	1,420,778	1,838,000
Total wholesale sales	1,462,661	1,879,883
Total kWh sales	8,115,299	8,460,883
Operating revenues:		
Base revenues:		
Retail:		
Residential	\$ 183,667	\$ 174,000
Commercial and industrial, small	167,241	165,000
Commercial and industrial, large	41,321	43,000
Sales to public authorities	73,677	72,000
Total retail base revenues (1)	465,906	456,000
Wholesale:		
Sales for resale	1,687	1,687
Total base revenues	467,593	458,000
Fuel revenues:		
Recovered from customers during the period	164,500	143,000
Change in deferred fuel revenues	79,539	17,000
Total fuel revenues	244,039	161,000
Off-system sales	78,209	78,000
Other	14,072	10,000
Total operating revenues	\$ 803,913	\$ 708,000

(1) Includes fuel recovered through New Mexico base rates of \$29.4 million and \$28.0 million respectively.

Edgar Filing: SAFEGUARD SCIENTIFICS INC - Form 10-Q

- (2) Primarily due to reduced output from Palo Verde.
- (3) Primarily due to an increase in recoverable fuel expenses as a result of an increase in gas burned and an increase in purchased power costs.
- (4) Represents revenues with no related kWh sales.
- (5) Primarily due to increased transmission revenues.

37

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

Years Ended December 31:	2004	2003
kWh sales:		
Retail:		
Residential	1,986,085	1,932,
Commercial and industrial, small	2,115,822	2,096,
Commercial and industrial, large	1,236,426	1,197,
Sales to public authorities	1,243,003	1,224,
Total retail sales	6,581,336	6,450,
Wholesale:		
Sales for resale	41,094	67,
Off-system sales	1,838,467	1,920,
Total wholesale sales	1,879,561	1,988,
Total kWh sales	8,460,897	8,439,
Operating revenues:		
Base revenues:		
Retail:		
Residential	\$ 174,752	\$ 171,
Commercial and industrial, small	165,760	165,
Commercial and industrial, large	43,150	43,
Sales to public authorities	72,720	73,
Total retail base revenues (1)	456,382	453,
Wholesale:		
Sales for resale	1,675	3,
Total base revenues	458,057	456,
Fuel revenues:		
Recovered from customers during the period	143,692	135,
Change in deferred fuel revenues	17,360	(13,
Total fuel revenues	161,052	122,
Off-system sales	78,533	76,
Other	10,986	8,
Total operating revenues	\$ 708,628	\$ 664,

(1) Includes fuel recovered through New Mexico base rates of \$28.0 million and \$27.0 million in 2004 and 2003, respectively.

(2) Primarily due to 2003 CFE wholesale power sales with no comparable sales in 2004.

- (3) Primarily due to increase in recoverable fuel expenses as a result of an increase in gas burned and an increase in purchased power costs.
- (4) Represents revenues with no related kWh sales.
- (5) Primarily due to increased transmission revenues.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements***Energy expenses*

Our energy sources are derived from nuclear fuel, natural gas, coal, and purchased power approximately 40% of our available net generating capacity and approximately 46% of our net capacity for the twelve months ended December 31, 2005.

Our energy expenses increased \$82.0 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2005 compared to 2004 primarily due to (i) increased natural gas costs of \$72.2 million due to increased prices and volume burned; (ii) increased costs of purchased power of \$13.6 million due to higher market prices; and (iii) a \$0.7 million decrease to our coal reclamation liability record in 2005 compared to our coal reclamation costs recorded in 2004. Energy expenses increased \$39.9 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2004 compared to 2003 primarily due to (i) increased natural gas costs of \$21.9 million due to higher prices and volume burned; (ii) increased costs for purchased power of \$10.9 million due to higher average market prices; and (iii) a \$2.2 million increase in our coal reclamation liability record in 2003.

Fuel Type	2005		
	Cost (in thousands)	MWh	Cost per MWh
Natural Gas	\$ 230,900	2,643,584	\$ 87.34
Coal	11,003(b)	779,002	14.12
Nuclear	21,619	4,077,558	5.30
Total	263,522	7,500,144	35.14
Purchased power	80,040	1,258,469	63.60
Total energy	\$ 343,562	8,758,613	39.23

(a) Excludes a \$0.7 million contract termination fee.

(b) Excludes a reduction of \$0.7 million and an increase of \$2.2 million to our coal reclamation liability record in 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Other operations expense

Other operations expense increased \$4.8 million in 2005 compared to 2004 primarily due to increased other postretirement benefit costs of \$2.0 million and increased regulatory expenses of \$3.1 million; (ii) increased other postretirement benefit costs of \$2.0 million and increased regulatory expenses of \$1.9 million. These increases were partially offset by decreased regulatory expenses of \$1.9 million and the receipt of a sales tax refund of \$0.9 million in 2005 with no comparable amount in 2004.

Other operations expense increased \$5.7 million in 2004 compared to 2003 primarily due to increased other postretirement benefit costs of \$3.1 million and increased regulatory expenses of \$2.6 million; (ii) increased other postretirement benefit costs of \$3.1 million and increased regulatory expenses of \$2.6 million. These increases were partially offset by decreased regulatory expenses of \$1.7 million and decreased customer accounts expense of \$1.5 million.

Maintenance expense

Maintenance expense increased \$2.1 million in 2005 compared to 2004 primarily due to expenses of \$1.2 million related to remediation projects and increased maintenance at

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Maintenance expense decreased \$3.1 million in 2004 compared to 2003 primarily due to expense at our gas-fired generating plants of \$5.4 million offset by increased maintenance due to the timing of scheduled refueling and maintenance outages.

Impairment loss on CIS project

We abandoned a customer information system (CIS) project and recognized an asset impairment loss of \$1.0 million in September 2003.

Depreciation and amortization expense

Depreciation and amortization expense decreased \$10.9 million in 2005 compared to 2004 primarily due to the recovery of certain fresh-start accounting related assets over the term of the Texas franchise fees in July 2005. The decrease was partially offset by higher depreciation due to increases in depreciation expense. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$5.8 million in 2004 compared to 2003 primarily due to depreciation on new Palo Verde Unit 2 steam generators of \$2.2 million, the implementation of new depreciation study resulting in an increase of \$1.9 million and increased other depreciation expense of \$1.7 million.

Taxes other than income taxes

Taxes other than income taxes increased by \$2.4 million, or 5.7%, in 2005 compared to 2004 primarily due to increases in the El Paso city franchise fees which took effect August 2, 2005, which was partially offset by a decrease in New Mexico occupation street rental tax. As a result of a June 2004 change in New Mexico law, the street rental tax on retail sales of electricity is now collected directly from retail customers and is not included in the street rental tax. Taxes other than income taxes were relatively unchanged in 2004 compared to 2003.

Other income (deductions)

Other income (deductions) decreased \$12.8 million in 2005 compared to 2004 primarily due to the extinguishment of debt of \$14.2 million, as a result of the refinancing of our first mortgage in 2005. This decrease was partially offset by increased interest income in 2005 of \$2.0 million and a \$1.1 million adjustment to reduce interest income associated with the resolution of the Texas fuel rate Docket No. 26194 recorded in 2004 with no comparable activity in 2005, and the receipt of a sales tax refund in 2005.

Other income (deductions) decreased \$4.9 million in 2004 compared to 2003 primarily due to the extinguishment of debt of \$5.4 million recorded in 2004 with no comparable activity in 2003, a reduction in interest income in 2004 associated with the resolution of the Texas fuel rate Docket No. 26194; and (iii) \$1.0 million related to certain tax refunds received in 2003 with no comparable activity in 2004. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of \$2.4 million in investment and decommissioning trust fund.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Interest charges (credits)

Interest charges (credits) decreased \$10.6 million in 2005 compared to 2004 due to an increase in interest expense on long-term debt and financing obligations resulting from (i) the repurchase and retirement of our first mortgage bonds in August 2004 and (ii) the May 2005 issuance of unsecured senior notes at a lower interest rate than the first mortgage bonds. Interest charges (credits) increased \$2.4 million in 2004 compared to 2003 due to increased capitalized interest of \$2.4 million due to an increase in construction costs for Palo Verde Unit 1 and Unit 3 steam generators. Interest charges (credits) decreased \$1.8 million in 2003 compared to 2002 primarily due to decreased interest expense of \$2.2 million due to a reduction of outstanding debt, partially offset by market purchases of our first mortgage bonds, partially offset by a reduction in capitalized interest due to the result of transferring new Palo Verde Unit 2 steam generators to plant in service.

Income tax expense

Income tax expense, before the cumulative effect of an accounting change and an extraordinary gain, decreased \$9.4 million in 2005 compared to 2004 and decreased \$4.0 million in 2004 compared to 2003. The decrease in 2004 was due to a \$6.2 million benefit from the IRS settlement recorded in the third quarter of 2004 and certain permanent differences.

Cumulative effect of accounting change

The cumulative effect of accounting change for 2005 of \$1.1 million, net of tax, relates to the adoption of Interpretation No. 47, Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations, which provides guidance on the recognition and measurement of liabilities associated with the obligations of tangible long-lived assets not already accounted for under SFAS No. 143. The cumulative effect of accounting change for 2003 relates to the adoption of SFAS No. 143 for the disposal obligations of our fuel oil storage tanks, water wells, evaporative ponds, and other assets at generating stations. The cumulative effect of accounting change for 2003 relates to the adoption of SFAS No. 143 on January 1, 2003, which also provides guidance on the recognition and measurement of liabilities associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets. SFAS No. 143 affected the accounting for the obligations of the Palo Verde and Four Corners Stations and changed the method used to report the obligations.

Extraordinary gain

The extraordinary gain on re-application of SFAS No. 71 relates to our third quarter 2004. The gain was due to the criteria necessary to re-apply SFAS No. 71 to our New Mexico jurisdiction. The decision by the NMPRC's approval for new rates that were based upon our cost of service and the fact that we are an electric utility restructuring law. The re-application of SFAS No. 71 to our New Mexico jurisdiction resulted in the recording of a \$1.8 million extraordinary gain, net of tax, in the third quarter of 2004.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

New accounting standards

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, *Inventory Costs* an amendment to SFAS No. 43, (ARB No. 43), (*Inventory Pricing*). ARB No. 43 previously stated that idle facility expense, excessive spoilage, double freight and rehandling costs may be stated as current period charges. SFAS No. 151 requires that those items be recognized as current period charges, whether they meet the criterion of so abnormal. The provisions of this statement are effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2005. We do not believe SFAS No. 151 will have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, *Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets* and Principles Board Opinion No. 29 (APB No. 29), *Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions*. SFAS No. 153 is based on the principle that exchanges of nonmonetary assets should be measured at fair value, unless the assets exchanged, with certain exceptions. SFAS No. 153 eliminates the exception for exchanges of nonmonetary productive assets and replaces it with a general exception for exchanges of nonmonetary assets that lack commercial substance. A nonmonetary exchange has commercial substance if the fair value of the asset expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange. The provisions of this statement are effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2005. We do not believe SFAS No. 153 will have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued a revision of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*. SFAS No. 123 (revised) focuses primarily on accounting for transactions in which an entity awards equity instruments for share-based payment transactions. SFAS No. 123 (revised) requires a public entity to recognize the cost of services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award (with some limited exceptions). That cost will be recognized over the period during which the entity receives the requisite service in exchange for the award (the requisite service period (typically the vesting period) for the award) and is recognized for equity instruments for which employees do not render the requisite service until the award is effective for public entities that do not file as small business issuers as of the beginning of the reporting period that begins after December 15, 2005. SFAS No. 123 (revised) applies to awards granted on or after the required effective date and to awards modified, repurchased or cancelled after that date. The provisions of SFAS No. 123 (revised) for outstanding awards for which the requisite service has not been rendered as of the required effective date, if the requisite service is rendered on or after the required effective date. The compensation cost for those awards shall be based on the grant-date fair value of those awards as calculated for pro forma purposes. The Company anticipates using the modified perspective method of adopting SFAS No. 123 (revised). The Company estimated the ultimate impact that this new pronouncement will have on our financial statements to be approximately \$1.0 million and do not expect this statement to have an effect materially different than that provided in Note A *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Estimates* to our *Financial Statements*.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*, and FASB Statement No. 3, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*. SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application of statements of changes in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to determine the cumulative effect of the change.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

SFAS No. 154 also requires that retrospective application of a change in accounting principle be made to the effects of the change. Indirect effects of a change in accounting principle, such as a change in the timing of payments resulting from an accounting change, should be recognized in the period of change. SFAS No. 154 also requires that a change in depreciation, amortization, or depletion method be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate affected by a change in accounting principle in the period of change. SFAS No. 154 is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors beginning after December 15, 2005. We will adopt the provisions of SFAS No. 154, effective January 1, 2006.

For the last several years, inflation has been relatively low and, therefore, has had little effect on our operations and financial condition.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal liquidity requirements in the near-term are expected to consist of the interest on our indebtedness, capital expenditures related to our generating facilities and transmission facilities, and operating expenses including fuel costs and taxes. We expect that cash flows from operations will be sufficient for our purposes, assuming that we receive timely recognition of recent increases in natural gas prices. As of December 31, 2005, we had approximately \$8.0 million in cash and cash equivalents, compared to the balance of \$29.4 million on December 31, 2004.

Capital Requirements. Substantial increases in the cost of natural gas during 2005 and 2006, along with increases in costs in fixed fuel factors in Texas have led to the under-recovery of the Texas jurisdiction of approximately \$84.9 million, including interest, for the period from March 2004 to December 2005. The Texas Public Utility Commission approved a settlement of a fuel factor filing to (i) surcharge fuel under-recoveries over August 2005 which then totaled \$53.6 million; (ii) surcharge the under-recovery over the period from March 2004 to August 2005; and (iii) approve new fuel factors which reflected natural gas costs of \$7.28 per mmbtu. We will implement the increase in the fuel factor and the fuel surcharge on an interim basis beginning in January 2006.

In January 2006, we filed a request with the Texas Commission for an additional increase in the fuel surcharge approximately \$34 million for fuel under-recoveries including interest for the period from November 2005 over a twelve-month period. The requested fuel factor and fuel surcharge will be implemented on an interim basis subject to refund effective with February 2006 bills to customers. We are currently seeking a settlement to resolve this proceeding. Any settlement will be subject to final approval by the Texas Commission. Until the balance of fuel under-recoveries is recovered from customers, we will be recovering our fuel costs from internal sources of cash rather than use such cash for other purposes.

Our long-term capital requirements consist primarily of construction of electric utility facilities, the issuance of new debt, and refinancing of debt. Utility construction expenditures will consist primarily of transmission and distribution systems, addition of new generation, and the cost of capital equipment replacements at Palo Verde and other generating facilities, including the replacement of Unit 3. See Part I, Item 1, "Business Operations," for more information.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Business Construction Program. We expect that all of our construction expenditures will be funded from various sources of funds through 2008.

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2005, we generated \$89.2 million of federal income tax loss carryforwards and \$42.0 million of state income tax loss carryforwards as a result of (i) net operating losses that are not taxable until collected; (ii) deductible premiums on retired debt; and (iii) income tax expense adjustments. We anticipate method changes primarily related to tax depreciation and repair allowances. We anticipate that our federal income tax loss carryforwards will be fully utilized in 2006 and our cash flow requirements for 2006 are expected to increase over that required in recent years.

We continually evaluate our funding requirements related to our retirement plans, other than the pension and decommissioning trust funds. We have contributed \$19.9 million and \$15.7 million to the pension trust for the twelve months ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. We have also contributed \$6.2 million to the postretirement benefit plan for both 2005 and 2004 and \$6.2 million and \$5.9 million to the decommissioning trust during the twelve months ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The Company does not pay dividends on common stock. Since 1999, the Company has repurchased 15.3 million shares of common stock at an aggregate cost of \$175.6 million, including under a share repurchase plan. The Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 2 million shares of common stock in February 2004 of which 1,705,158 shares remain available to be repurchased. No shares have been repurchased. We may continue making purchases of our stock pursuant to our stock repurchase plan. We may also engage in private transactions, where appropriate. The repurchased shares will be available for use in our benefit and stock option plans, or may be retired. Common stock equity as a percentage of total debt, including the current portion of long-term debt and financing obligations, was 47% as of December 31, 2005.

Capital Sources. We filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 with the SEC which became effective in 2005. The shelf registration statement enables us to offer and issue debt securities, first mortgage bonds, and certain other securities from time to time in one or more offerings of up to \$1.0 billion. Under this shelf registration, we issued \$400.0 million of 6% Senior Notes (the "Notes") in 2005. The net proceeds from the issuance of the Notes were \$397.7 million, net of a \$2.3 million discount and the expense of the offering. In anticipation of issuing the Notes, we entered into treasury rate lock agreements to hedge the treasury reference interest rates. These treasury rate locks expired during the second quarter of 2005. They fell after we entered into these agreements, and as a result, we made a cash payment of \$2.3 million to settle the treasury rate locks at the termination of these agreements in May 2005, which are being recorded as interest on related debt.

During the second quarter of 2005, we tendered for and/or exercised our right to legally defease our 9.40% Series D First Mortgage Bonds due February 1, 2006 and our 9.40% Series E First Mortgage Bonds due February 1, 2006 (collectively, the "Bonds"). The net proceeds from the issuance of the Bonds was approximately \$359.4 million. The net proceeds from the issuance of the Bonds will be used for the retirement of the Bonds.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

On August 1, 2005, we issued three series of pollution control bonds in the amounts of \$37.1 million. The \$59.2 million bonds, which mature in 2040, were issued with a fixed effective interest rate of 5.27% after considering related insurance and issuance costs. The \$37.1 million bonds, which also mature in 2040, were issued with a variable rate that matures in 2040. We also remarketed \$33.3 million of pollution control bonds, which mature on August 1, 2012, which is the date the bonds are due to be remarketed. The effective interest rate after considering related insurance and issuance costs. The issuance and remarketing of these bonds were subject to mandatory tender or remarketing as of August 1, 2005.

Our \$100 million revolving credit facility provides up to \$70 million for nuclear fuel purchases. Borrowing for nuclear fuel purchases are available for working capital needs. As of December 31, 2005, \$41.9 million had been drawn for nuclear fuel purchases and no borrowings were outstanding for working capital needs. The revolving credit facility was renewed for a five-year term in December 2005. Under the agreement, the revolving credit facility may be increased to \$150 million.

Given the favorable movements of interest rates in the bank markets and the increased volatility in the natural gas markets, we have recently begun the process of replacing our \$100 million revolving credit facility with a new \$150 million revolving credit facility. The new revolving credit facility will provide up to \$70 million for nuclear fuel purchases and \$80 million for nuclear fuel purchases available for use for working capital. The Company expects, but does not guarantee, that the new revolving credit facility will be in place by the second quarter of 2006.

Contractual Obligations. Our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2005 are as follows:

	Total	2006
Long-Term Debt (including interest):		
Senior notes	\$ 1,106,000	\$ 24,000
Pollution control bonds (1)(2)	421,295	7,697
Financing Obligations (including interest):		
Nuclear fuel (3)	44,037	22,831
Purchase Obligations:		
Capacity power contract	264,808	11,320
Fuel contracts:		
Coal (4)	78,792	7,504
Gas (4)	91,182	53,419
Nuclear fuel (5)	11,404	11,404
Retirement Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits (6)	5,124	5,124
Decommissioning trust funds (7)	266,045	6,686
Operating lease (8)	2,200	1,300
Total	\$ 2,290,887	\$ 151,285

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

- (1) The \$33.3 million series of pollution control bonds is scheduled for remarketing
- (2) Two series of the pollution control bonds are remarketed and the interest rates are
series of pollution control bonds are scheduled for remarketing and/or mandatory
- (3) Interest on nuclear fuel is based on actual interest rates at the end of 2005.
- (4) Amount is based on the minimum volumes per the contract and market price at the
includes a gas storage contract for 2006 and 2007, with an option to renew annual
- (5) Some of the nuclear fuel contracts are based on a fixed price adjusted for an index
index at the end of 2005.
- (6) These obligations include our minimum contractual funding requirements for the
plan and the other postretirement benefits for 2006. We have no minimum contractual
to our retirement income plan for 2006. However, we may decide to fund at a higher
contractual funding amounts and expect to contribute \$13.7 million and \$3.4 million
postretirement benefit plan in 2006, as disclosed in Part II, Item 8, Notes to Consolidated
K, Employee Benefits. Minimum contractual funding requirements for 2007 and
uncertainty of interest rates and the related return on assets.
- (7) These obligations represent funding requirements under the ANPP Participation
rate of return on investments.
- (8) We have an operating lease for administrative offices which expires in May 2007
a warehouse which expires in December 2009 with three concurrent renewal options

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a
financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations
expenditures or capital resources.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The following discussion regarding our market-risk sensitive instruments contains forward-looking information involving risks and uncertainties. The statements regarding potential gains and losses are estimates and may not occur in the future. Actual future results may differ materially from those estimates due to the risks and uncertainties involved.

We are exposed to market risk due to changes in interest rates, equity prices and commodity prices. The financial instruments and positions we hold are held for purposes other than trading and are not intended to be sold in the near term.

Interest Rate Risk

Our long-term debt obligations are all fixed-rate obligations with varying maturities, except for our control bond series which are repriced weekly and our revolving credit facility, which is variable rate and working capital, and is based on floating rates.

On August 1, 2005, we issued two series of pollution control bonds in the amounts of \$63.5 million and \$37.1 million with a variable rate that is repriced weekly until they mature in 2040. These pollution control bonds were on our balance sheet at their face value. At December 31, 2005 the variable interest rates were 5.25% and 4.75%, respectively. A hypothetical 10% increase in interest rates, annualized from the December 31, 2005 rate, would cause an approximate \$0.3 million expense.

Interest rate risk, if any, related to the revolving credit facility is substantially mitigated by the Texas Commission and NMPRC rules which establish energy cost recovery clauses (including fuel clauses, energy costs, including interest expense on nuclear fuel financing, except for New Mexico Regulatory Matters Fuel, are passed through to customers.

Our decommissioning trust funds consist of equity securities and fixed income instruments. We face interest rate risk on the fixed income instruments, which consist primarily of corporate bonds and which were valued at \$39.3 million and \$57.3 million as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. A hypothetical 10% increase in interest rates would reduce the fair value of these funds by \$0.8 million based on their fair values at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Equity Price Risk

Our decommissioning trust funds include marketable equity securities of approximately \$11.3 million and \$6.4 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. A hypothetical 20% decrease in equity prices would reduce the fair value of these funds by \$11.3 million and \$6.4 million based on their fair values at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Commodity Price Risk

We utilize contracts of various durations for the purchase of natural gas, uranium contracts to manage our available fuel portfolio. These agreements contain variable pricing provisions for delivery. The fuel contracts with variable pricing provisions, as well as substantially all other requirements, are exposed to fluctuations in prices due to unpredictable factors, including worldwide events, which impact supply and demand. However, our exposure to fuel price risk is substantially mitigated through the operation of the Texas Commission and NMPRC rules, as discussed previously.

In the normal course of business, we enter into contracts of various durations for the purchase of electricity to effectively manage our available generating capacity and supply needs. Such contracts for the sale of generating capacity and energy during periods when our available capacity exceeds the requirements of our retail native load and sales for resale. They also include the purchase of wholesale capacity and energy during periods when the market price of electricity exceeds incremental power production costs or to supplement our generating capacity when demand exceeds available capacity. As of January 31, 2006, we had entered into forward sales and purchase contracts. See Part I, Item 1, Business Energy Sources Purchased Power and Regulation F, for a discussion of these contracts, which are generally fixed-priced contracts which qualify for the normal purchases and normal sales exception under SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, and SFAS No. 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, including any effective implementation of the FASB Derivatives Implementation Group and are not recorded at their fair value in our financial statements. In the operation of the Texas Commission and NMPRC rules and our fuel clauses, these contracts do not expose us to significant commodity price risk.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Management Report on Internal Control Over Financial

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) or 15d Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as a process designed by, or under the supervision of and principal financial officers and affected by the Company's board of directors, management and principal financial officers and affected by the Company's board of directors, management and principal financial officers, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and internal control procedures that:

Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;

Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that all Company assets are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and

Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that conditions will change or inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the provisions of the internal control will deteriorate.

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005. In making this assessment, the Company's management used the framework established by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control

Based on its assessment, management believes that, as of December 31, 2005, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP, has issued an audit report on its assessment of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. This report appears

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2005 and 2004

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Operations for the years ended December

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Common Stock Equity for the years ended De
and 2003

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

El Paso Electric Company:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of El Paso Electric Company as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in common stock equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2005. The consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. We issued our opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Standards Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance that the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial reporting process. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material aspects, the financial position of El Paso Electric Company and subsidiary as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2005, in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note D to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed its pension and other retirement obligations in 2005 and 2003.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Standards Board (United States), the effectiveness of El Paso Electric Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated March 10, 2006, on our opinion on management's assessment of, and the effective operation of, internal control over financial reporting.

KPMG LLP

El Paso, Texas

March 10, 2006

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

El Paso Electric Company:

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Reporting, that El Paso Electric Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by COSO. El Paso Electric Company is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for providing the information necessary to conduct an audit of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Standards Board (PCAOB) (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. This audit involves understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment of the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we believe necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external reporting in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions and events are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with the authorization of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding the prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could result in a material misstatement of the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that the control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the controls may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that El Paso Electric Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by COSO. Also, in our opinion, El Paso Electric Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by COSO.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Standards Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of El Paso Electric Company and subsidiary companies and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive operations, changes in equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2005, and we have expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

KPMG LLP

El Paso, Texas

March 10, 2006

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

ASSETS
(In thousands)

Utility plant:

Electric plant in service

Less accumulated depreciation and amortization

Net plant in service

Construction work in progress

Nuclear fuel; includes fuel in process of \$6,990 and \$7,128, respectively

Less accumulated amortization

Net nuclear fuel

Net utility plant

Current assets:

Cash and temporary investments

Accounts receivable, principally trade, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2,400 and \$3,071, respectively

Accumulated deferred income taxes

Inventories, at cost

Under collection of fuel revenues

Income taxes receivables

Prepayments and other

Total current assets

Deferred charges and other assets:

Decommissioning trust funds

Regulatory assets

Under collection of fuel revenues, non-current

Other

Total deferred charges and other assets

Total assets

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Continued)

CAPITALIZATION AND LIABILITIES
(In thousands)

Capitalization:

Common stock, stated value \$1 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 63,382,456
62,665,550 shares issued, and 124,973 and 102,630 restricted shares, respectively
Capital in excess of stated value
Deferred and unearned compensation
Retained earnings
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax

Treasury stock, 15,365,108 shares at cost

Common stock equity

Long-term debt, net of current portion

Financing obligations, net of current portion

Total capitalization

Current liabilities:

Current portion of long-term debt and financing obligations

Accounts payable, principally trade

Taxes accrued other than federal income taxes

Interest accrued

Other

Total current liabilities

Deferred credits and other liabilities:

Accumulated deferred income taxes

Accrued postretirement benefit liability

Asset retirement obligation

Accrued pension liability

Regulatory liabilities

Other

Total deferred credits and other liabilities

Commitments and contingencies

Total capitalization and liabilities

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(In thousands except for share data)**

	2005	2004
Operating revenues	\$ 803,913	803,913
Energy expenses:		
Fuel	262,870	262,870
Purchased and interchanged power	80,040	80,040
	342,910	342,910
Operating revenues net of energy expenses	461,003	461,003
Other operating expenses:		
Other operations	178,287	178,287
Maintenance	47,338	47,338
Impairment loss on CIS project		47,338
Depreciation and amortization	82,468	82,468
Taxes other than income taxes	45,027	45,027
	353,120	353,120
Operating income	107,883	107,883
Other income (deductions):		
Investment and interest income, net	5,625	5,625
Loss on extinguishments of debt	(19,561)	(19,561)
Miscellaneous non-operating income	1,121	1,121
Miscellaneous non-operating deductions	(4,186)	(4,186)
	(17,001)	(17,001)
Interest charges (credits):		
Interest on long-term debt and financing obligations	40,762	40,762
Other interest	699	699
Capitalized interest and AFUDC	(5,783)	(5,783)
	35,678	35,678
Income before income taxes, cumulative effect of accounting change and extraordinary item	55,204	55,204
Income tax expense	18,589	18,589
Income before cumulative effect of accounting change and extraordinary item	36,615	36,615

Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax	(1,093)
Extraordinary gain on re-application of SFAS No. 71, net of tax	
Net income	\$ 35,522
Basic earnings (losses) per share:	
Income before cumulative effect of accounting change and extraordinary item	\$ 0.77
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax	(0.02)
Extraordinary gain on re-application of SFAS No. 71, net of tax	
Net income	\$ 0.75
Diluted earnings (losses) per share:	
Income before cumulative effect of accounting change and extraordinary item	\$ 0.76
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax	(0.02)
Extraordinary gain on re-application of SFAS No. 71, net of tax	
Net income	\$ 0.74
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	47,711,894
Weighted average number of shares and dilutive potential shares outstanding	48,307,910

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(In thousands)

Net income

Other comprehensive income (loss):

Minimum pension liability adjustment

Net unrealized gains (losses) on marketable securities:

Net holding gains (losses) arising during period

Reclassification adjustments for net (gains) losses included in net income

Net losses on cash flow hedges:

Losses arising during period

Reclassification adjustment for interest expense included in net income

Total other comprehensive income (loss) before income taxes

Income tax benefit (expense) related to items of other comprehensive income (loss):

Minimum pension liability adjustment

Net unrealized gains (losses) on marketable securities

Losses on cash flow hedges

Total income tax benefit (expense)

Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax

Comprehensive income

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of ContentsIndex to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN COMMON EQUITY

(In thousands except for share data)

	Common Stock		Capital	Deferred	Retained	Accumulated
	Shares	Amount	in Excess	and	Earnings	Other
			of Stated	Unearned		Comprehensive
			Value	Compensation		Income
						(Loss)
						Net of
						Tax
Balances at December 31, 2002	62,592,461	\$ 62,592	\$ 262,480	\$ (1,442)	\$ 290,982	\$ (14,400)
Grants of restricted common stock	63,090	63	661	(724)		
Deferred compensation-restricted stock				1,288		
Stock awards withheld for taxes	(21,799)	(22)	(209)			
Deferred taxes on stock incentive plan			1,008			
Adjustment to federal valuation allowance			295			
Net income					59,957	
Other comprehensive income						4,800
Treasury stock acquired, at cost						
Balances at December 31, 2003	62,633,752	62,633	264,235	(878)	350,939	(9,600)
Grants of restricted common stock	56,413	56	756	(812)		
Deferred compensation-restricted stock and performance shares				2,804		
Stock awards withheld for taxes	(12,753)	(12)	(160)			
Forfeitures of restricted common stock	(1,074)	(1)	(12)	13		
Deferred taxes on stock incentive plan			(409)			
Stock options exercised	91,842	92	981			
Adjustment to federal valuation allowance			3,380			

Edgar Filing: SAFEGUARD SCIENTIFICS INC - Form 10-Q

Net income							35,171
Other comprehensive loss							(9,522)
Treasury stock acquired, at cost							

Balances at December 31, 2004	62,768,180	62,768	268,771	1,127	386,110	(10,522)
Grants of restricted common stock	104,907	105	1,870	(1,975)		
Deferred compensation-restricted stock and performance shares					2,926	
Stock awards withheld for taxes	(7,907)	(8)	(144)			
Forfeitures of restricted common stock	(4,251)	(4)	(68)	72		
Deferred taxes on stock incentive plan				170		
Stock options exercised	646,500	646	4,794			
Net income						35,522
Other comprehensive loss						(19,600)

Balances at December 31, 2005	63,507,429	\$ 63,507	\$ 275,393	\$ 2,150	\$ 421,632	\$(30,100)
--------------------------------------	------------	-----------	------------	----------	------------	------------

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(In thousands)**

	2008
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:	
Net income	\$ 35
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	
Depreciation and amortization of electric plant in service	82
Impairment loss on CIS project	
Amortization of nuclear fuel	15
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax	1
Extraordinary gain on the re-application of SFAS No. 71, net of tax	
Deferred income taxes, net	25
Loss on extinguishments of debt	19
Other amortization and accretion	11
Gain on sale of asset	
Other operating activities	
Change in:	
FERC settlements payable	
Accounts receivable	(5)
Inventories	
Net (under)/overcollection of fuel revenues	(73)
Prepayments and other	
Accounts payable	12
Taxes accrued other than federal income taxes	
Interest accrued	(9)
Other current liabilities	
Deferred charges and credits	(7)
Net cash provided by operating activities	106
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:	
Cash additions to utility property, plant and equipment	(88)
Cash additions to nuclear fuel	(15)
Proceeds from sale of asset	1
Capitalized interest and AFUDC:	
Utility property, plant and equipment	(5)
Nuclear fuel	
Decommissioning trust funds:	
Purchases, including funding of \$6.2 million, \$5.9 million and \$10.4 million, respectively	(42)
Sales and maturities	33
Other investing activities	
Net cash used for investing activities	(117)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:	

Proceeds from exercise of stock options	5
Repurchases of treasury stock	
Settlement on derivative instruments classified as cash flow hedges	(22)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term notes payable	397
Repurchases of and payments on first mortgage bonds	(381)
Pollution control bonds:	
Proceeds	193
Payments	(193)
Financing obligations:	
Proceeds	18
Payments	(17)
Other financing activities	(9)
Net cash used for financing activities	(10)
Net decrease in cash and temporary investments	(21)
Cash and temporary investments at beginning of period	29
Cash and temporary investments at end of period	\$ 7

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

INDEX TO NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note A. Summary of Critical Accounting Policies

Note B. Regulation

Note C. Utility Plant, Palo Verde and Other Jointly-Owned Utility Plant

Note D. Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations

Note E. Common Stock

Note F. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Note G. Long-Term Debt and Financing Obligations

Note H. Income Taxes

Note I. Commitments, Contingencies and Uncertainties

Note J. Litigation

Note K. Employee Benefits

Note L. Franchises and Significant Customers

Note M. Financial Instruments and Investments

Note N. Supplemental Statements of Cash Flow Disclosures

Note O. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

60

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

A. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

General. El Paso Electric Company is a public utility engaged in the generation, transmission and distribution of electricity in an area of approximately 10,000 square miles in west Texas and southern New Mexico. The Company also serves wholesale customers in Texas and periodically in the Republic of Mexico.

Principles of Consolidation. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary, MiraSol Energy Services, Inc. (MiraSol) (collectively referred to as the Company). MiraSol began operations as a separate subsidiary in March 2001, provided energy efficiency programs and services provided by the Company's Energy Services Business Group. On July 19, 2002, all services provided by MiraSol remains a going concern in order to satisfy current contracts and warranty obligations for certain installed projects. See Note I. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Basis of Presentation. The Company maintains its accounts in accordance with the Uniform System of Accounts prescribed by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (the FERC).

Application of SFAS No. 71. Regulated electric utilities typically prepare their financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 71, Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation. Under this standard, recoverable costs are shown as either assets or liabilities on a utility's balance sheet if the utility is certain that these costs will be charged to and collected from its customers (or has already performed such actions) and the resulting regulatory assets or liabilities are amortized in subsequent periods based upon the periods in a utility's cost of service.

Beginning in 1991, the Company discontinued the application of SFAS No. 71 to its financial statements. This was based on the Company's determination that its rates were no longer designed to recover its costs from its customers. Upon emerging from bankruptcy in 1996, the Company again concluded that it should apply SFAS No. 71 because of the ten-year rate freeze in Texas and its ongoing interconnection with New Mexico rates, which had been established in 1990. Although the Company believes that its rates were based upon its costs of service, the unusual length of the rate freeze period created a delay in the ultimate recovery of its costs over the entire freeze period. Consequently, the

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Company determined that it should not re-apply SFAS No. 71 to its Texas and New Mexico operations that emerged from bankruptcy in February 1996.

During 2004, the Company determined that it met the criteria necessary to re-apply SFAS No. 71 to its New Mexico jurisdictional operations. Two key events transpired in New Mexico that, when considered together, resulted in the Company's decision to re-apply SFAS No. 71. In April of 2004, the Company received a unanimous stipulation which established new base and fuel rates for its New Mexico jurisdictional operations on June 1, 2004. The Company's approved rates were based upon its cost of providing electric service. This event, coupled with the repeal of New Mexico's electric utility industry restructuring legislation, resulted in the Company meeting the criteria for the re-application of SFAS No. 71 to its New Mexico jurisdictional operations in 2004. The re-application of SFAS No. 71 to the Company's New Mexico jurisdictional operations resulted in the recognition of \$18.5 million of regulatory assets, \$5.0 million in related accumulated deferred income tax liabilities, \$5.5 million in related accumulated deferred tax liabilities and a net increase in earnings of \$7.0 million, or \$0.04 basic and diluted earnings per share.

The Company has not reapplied SFAS No. 71 to its Texas jurisdiction. However, the Company is evaluating the reapplication of SFAS No. 71 to its Texas jurisdiction based upon the expiration of the delay of retail competition in 2004, and a new rate settlement agreement with the City of El Paso. The Company entered into a settlement agreement with the City (City Rate Agreement) which provides for a rate freeze (New Texas Freeze Period) until June 30, 2010. The City Rate Agreement specifies that rates to be cost based. If the Company's return on equity falls below a range around a target return on equity, the Company's return on equity exceeds the range, 50% of the excess must be paid to the City. The City Rate Agreement provides for the City to conduct a review of the Company's operating expenses and provide for a rate adjustment if they are not determined to be within a reasonable range compared to the target return on equity. The City Rate Agreement provides for the Company to retain 75% of off-system sales margins rather than 50%. The City Rate Agreement has been approved by the City, in order to fully implement the agreement, the City must approve the sharing of off-system sales margins provisions of the agreement and the Texas customers outside the City. Once the City Rate Agreement is approved by the City, the Company will complete the evaluation as to whether SFAS No. 71 should be reapplied to its Texas jurisdiction. The re-application of SFAS No. 71 will result in the recognition of regulatory assets and liabilities and have a positive effect on our consolidated financial statements. However, the re-application of SFAS No. 71 will not have a direct effect on our cash flow.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Comprehensive Income. Certain gains and losses that are not recognized currently in the operations are reported as other comprehensive income in accordance with SFAS No. 130, Comprehensive Income.

Utility Plant. Depreciation is provided on a straight-line basis over the estimated remaining useful life (from 5 to 31 years), except for approximately \$298 million of reorganization value allocated to transmission, distribution and general plant in service. This amount was depreciated over a ten-year period of the Texas Rate Stipulation which ended in July 2005. For all other assets, Mexico depreciation lives are the same.

In conjunction with a certain regulatory filing in the New Mexico jurisdiction, the Company adopted depreciation rates effective January 1, 2004. The new rates had the effect of increasing depreciation expense by approximately \$1.9 million and decreasing net income, after tax, by approximately \$1.9 million and diluted earnings per share for the year ending December 31, 2004 compared to the year ending December 31, 2003.

The Company charges the cost of repairs and minor replacements to the appropriate expense account and capitalizes the cost of renewals and betterments. When property subject to composite depreciation is disposed of in the normal course of business, its original cost together with the cost of accumulated depreciation. For other property dispositions, the applicable cost and accumulated depreciation is removed from the balance sheet accounts and a gain or loss is recognized.

The cost of nuclear fuel is amortized to fuel expense on a units-of-production basis. Amortization costs is charged to expense based on requirements of the Department of Energy (the amortization rate is approximately one-tenth of one cent on each kWh generated. The Company is also amortizing the cost associated with on-site spent fuel storage casks at Palo Verde over the burn period of the fuel in the storage casks. See Note C.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets. In accordance with SFAS No. 144, Accounting for Impairment of Long-Lived Assets, long-lived assets, such as property, plant, and equipment and purchased intangible assets, amortization, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is determined by comparing the carrying amount of an asset to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be received from the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated undiscounted future cash flows, an impairment loss is the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset.

Capitalized Interest. The Company capitalizes interest cost to construction work in progress in accordance with SFAS No. 34, Capitalization of Interest Cost for its Texas jurisdictional operations. For New Mexico jurisdictional operations, the Company capitalizes interest

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

and common equity costs to construction work in progress and nuclear fuel in process. The Company uses the Uniform System of Accounts as provided for in SFAS No. 71. The amount of the equity costs capitalized to construction work in progress was \$0.9 million and \$0.3 million for the years ended 2003 and 2004, respectively.

Asset Retirement Obligation. Effective January 1, 2003, the Company adopted SFAS No. 143, *Asset Retirement Obligations*. SFAS No. 143 sets forth accounting requirements for the recognition and measurement of liabilities associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets. An asset retirement liability is recognized for a liability with long-lived assets included within the scope of SFAS No. 143 is that for which a legal or constructive obligation has been incurred under the terms of enacted laws, statutes, written or oral contracts, including obligations arising under the terms of a lease agreement. Under the statement, these liabilities are recognized as incurred if a reasonable estimate of the fair value of the liability can be determined and are capitalized as part of the cost of the related tangible long-lived assets. In January 2003, the Company began recording the increase in the ARO due to the passage of time as an operating expense. On December 31, 2005, the Company adopted FASB Interpretation No. 47, *Accounting for Obligations to Redeem Equity Instruments*, (FIN 47). FIN 47 clarifies that the term "conditional" as used in SFAS No. 143 means that an entity may perform an asset retirement activity even if the timing and/or settlement are conditional, provided that the entity may not be within the control of an entity. See Note D.

Cash and Cash Equivalents. All temporary cash investments with an original maturity of three months or less are considered cash equivalents.

Investments. The Company's marketable securities, included in decommissioning trust funds, are reported at fair market value and consist primarily of equity securities and municipal bonds. Such marketable securities are classified as available-for-sale securities and, as such, unrealized gains and losses are included in other comprehensive income as a separate component of common stock equity. However, if declines in fair value below original cost basis are determined to be other than temporary, then the declines are recorded in the consolidated statement of operations and a new cost basis is established for the affected securities. See Note M.

Derivative Accounting. As of January 1, 2001, the Company adopted SFAS No. 133, *Statement of Financial Accounting Standards Board (SFAS) No. 133, Derivatives and Hedging - Instruments and Hedging Activities*, as amended by SFAS No. 149, *Statement of Financial Accounting Standards Board (SFAS) No. 149, Amendments to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards Board (SFAS) No. 133, Derivatives and Hedging - Instruments and Hedging Activities*, including any effective implementation guidance issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Derivatives Implementation Group. This standard requires the recognition of derivatives in the balance sheet with measurement of those instruments at fair value. Any changes in fair value are recorded in earnings or other comprehensive income. See Note M.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Inventories. Inventories, primarily parts, materials, supplies and fuel oil are stated at actual cost less a reserve for uncollectible amounts and other recoverable cost.

Operating Revenues Net of Energy Expenses. The Company accrues revenues for services rendered and electric service revenues. Energy expenses are stated at actual cost incurred. The Company's revenues are presently being billed under a fixed fuel factor approved by the Public Utility Commission of New Mexico (the "Commission"). As of June 2003, the Company's New Mexico retail customers are billed under a fuel cost recovery clause which is adjusted monthly, as approved by the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission. Effective January 1, 2004, the Company's recovery of energy expenses in these jurisdictions is subject to the Commission's energy expenses incurred to actual fuel revenues collected. The difference between energy expenses incurred to actual fuel revenues collected and the difference between energy revenues charged to the Company's Texas and New Mexico customers, as determined by the Commission's NMPRC rules, is reflected as net over/undercollection of fuel revenues in the consolidated financial statements. Amounts not expected to be collected within the next twelve months are classified as non-current.

Unbilled Revenues. Accounts receivable include accrued unbilled revenues of \$16.4 million as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. Additions, deductions and balances for allowance for doubtful accounts for 2004 and 2003 are as follows (in thousands):

Balance at beginning of year
Additions:
Charged to costs and expense
Recovery of previous write-offs
Uncollectible receivables written off

Balance at end of year

Income Taxes. The Company accounts for federal and state income taxes under the accrual method of accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred income taxes are recognized for the tax consequences of temporary differences by applying enacted statutory tax rates for the future years to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax carrying amounts of assets and liabilities. The Company records a valuation allowance to reduce its deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that such deferred tax assets will not be realized. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rate is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Earnings per Share. Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the number of shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the number of shares and the dilutive impact of the sum of unvested restricted stock and the stock options outstanding at the end of the period with the amount of outstanding options calculated by using the treasury stock method.

Stock Options and Restricted Stock. The Company has two stock-based long-term incentive plans, which are accounted for under the recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Options," and related interpretations. Stock options have typically been granted with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the stock at the date of grant and, accordingly, no compensation expense is recorded by the Company for stock options granted at fair market value. Accordingly, the Company recognizes compensation expense for restricted stock based on the market value of the restricted stock determined at the date of grant over the restriction period. Compensation expense for the option portion of the plans had been determined based on the fair market value of the stock at the grant date and amortized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period, consistent with the provisions of APB Opinion No. 25.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, the Company's net earnings and earnings per share are presented on a pro forma basis to the pro forma amounts presented below:

Net income, as reported
Deduct: Compensation expense, net of tax

Pro forma net income

Basic earnings per share:

As reported

Pro forma

Diluted earnings per share:

As reported

Pro forma

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The fair value for these options was estimated at the grant date using the Black-Scholes model. Options were granted in 2005. Weighted average assumptions and grant-date fair value are shown below:

Risk-free interest rate
Expected life, in years
Expected volatility
Expected dividend yield
Fair value per option

Restricted Stock. Restricted stock has been granted at fair market value. Compensation expense for restricted stock awards is recognized on a fair value basis and is measured by referencing the quoted market price of the Company's stock at the grant date, amortized ratably over the restriction period. Unearned compensation related to restricted stock is a contra-equity reduction of common stock equity and included in deferred and unearned compensation on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

Performance Shares. Subject to meeting certain performance criteria, performance shares are granted to Company officers under the Company's existing long-term incentive plan on January 1, 2006 and are accounted for as equity awards. The Company recognizes the related compensation expense by ratably amortizing the current fair market value of the shares granted based on the current performance of the Company over the performance cycle. Compensation expense is determined using APB Opinion No. 25, compensation expense for performance shares determined using the fair value method, adjusted for subsequent changes (such as the number of shares to be granted, if any, and the fair value of the Company's stock) in the expected outcome of the performance-related conditions until the end of the performance period. Any such adjustments are accounted for as a change in estimate, and the cumulative effect of such adjustments in prior periods is recognized in the period of the change.

Other New Accounting Standards. In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, *Inventory Costs* (SFAS No. 151), (Accounting Research Bulletin No. 43 (ARB No. 43), (Inventory Pricing). ARB No. 43 required that, in some circumstances, items such as idle facility expense, excessive spoilage, double freight, and other costs be treated as so abnormal as to require treatment as current period charges. SFAS No. 151 requires that such costs be treated as current-period charges regardless of whether they meet the criterion of "so abnormal." SFAS No. 151 is effective for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. The Company expects that SFAS No. 151 will have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, *Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets* (SFAS No. 153), (Principles Board Opinion No. 29 (APB No. 29), Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions). SFAS No. 29 is based on the principle that exchanges of nonmonetary assets should be measured at fair value, with certain exceptions. SFAS No. 153 eliminates the exception for exchanges of nonmonetary assets that are productive of future revenue.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

assets and replaces it with a general exception for exchanges of nonmonetary assets that have commercial substance. A nonmonetary exchange has commercial substance if the future cash flows are expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange. The provisions of this statement are effective for public entities beginning after June 15, 2005. The Company does not believe SFAS No. 153 will have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued a revision of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*. SFAS No. 123 (revised) focuses primarily on accounting for transactions in which an entity awards equity instruments for services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of those awards (with some limited exceptions). That cost will be recognized over the period during which the employee renders the requisite service in exchange for the award (the requisite service period—typically the vesting period). For public entities that do not file as small business issuers as of the beginning of the reporting period that begins after December 15, 2005, SFAS No. 123 (revised) applies to awards granted on or after the required effective date and to awards modified, repurchased or cancelled after that date. For public entities that do not file as small business issuers as of the beginning of the reporting period that begins after December 15, 2005, the required effective date for outstanding awards for which the requisite service has not been rendered as of the required effective date is the date the requisite service is rendered on or after the required effective date. The compensation cost for awards granted on or after the required effective date shall be based on the grant-date fair value of those awards as calculated for pro forma disclosures. The Company anticipates using the modified perspective method of adopting SFAS No. 123 (revised) and estimates that this new pronouncement will have an impact on its financial statements of approximately \$1.0 million and do not expect this statement to have an effect materially different than that disclosed in the information provided above.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*, which is effective for public entities beginning after December 15, 2005. SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application of changes in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to determine the cumulative effect of the change. SFAS No. 154 also requires that retrospective application of a change in accounting principle be limited to the direct effects of the change. Indirect effects of a change in accounting principle, such as a change in contractual bonus payments resulting from an accounting change, should be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate. SFAS No. 154 also requires that a change in depreciation, amortization or depletion method for long-lived, nonfinancial assets be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate and recognized in the period of change. SFAS No. 154 is effective for accounting changes made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The Company will adopt SFAS No. 154, if applicable, beginning in 2006.

Reclassification. Certain amounts in the consolidated financial statements for 2004 and 2005 have been reclassified to conform with the 2005 presentation.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

B. Regulation

General

In 1999, both the Texas and New Mexico legislatures enacted electric utility industry competition in certain functions of the industry and ultimately in the Company's service territory. The Company is exempt from the requirements of the Texas Restructuring Law, including utility restructuring, until the expiration of the original Texas Freeze Period, which occurred in August 2005. The Texas Restructuring Act provides a rule that further delays competition in the Company's Texas service territory until a regional transmission organization (RTO) begins operation in its relevant power market. The Texas Restructuring Act was repealed and as a result, the Company's operations in New Mexico are no longer regulated. The Company cannot predict at this time the effect electric restructuring will have on the Company. The Company will be required to ultimately implement the Texas Restructuring Law.

Federal Regulatory Matters

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. The FERC has been conducting an investigation into the Company's electricity prices in the western United States during 2000 and 2001. On August 13, 2002, the FERC issued a Federal Power Act (FPA) investigation into the Company's wholesale power trading in the western United States during 2001 to determine whether the Company and Enron engaged in misconduct and, if so, to determine appropriate remedies. The Company reached settlements with the FERC and other parties in 2002 and 2003. The FERC's Order approving the settlement resolved all issues between the FERC and the other parties. Pursuant to the settlements, the Company agreed to refund \$15.5 million and to make wholesale sales pursuant to its market-based rate authority rather than its market-based rate authority for the period December 1, 2002 to December 31, 2004. The agreement allowed the Company to sell power into wholesale markets at its incremental market-based rate to the extent that wholesale market prices exceeded these agreed upon amounts, the Company will receive these additional revenues. This provision did not have a significant impact on the Company's earnings for the period December 31, 2004. The Company's ability to make wholesale sales pursuant to its market-based rate authority was restored on January 1, 2005.

RTOs. FERC's rule (Order 2000) on RTOs strongly encourages, but does not require, the formation of RTOs. The Company is an active participant in the development of WestConnect, formerly the Southwest Transmission and Reliability Operator. A WestConnect Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) was signed on the October 2, 2001 MOU, was signed by the Company and nine other transmission owners. On November 21, 2005 an eleventh member joined. This MOU obligates the parties to participate in ongoing joint efforts, including involvement with stakeholders, customers, local, state, and federal government personnel, and other Western Grid transmission providers to identify, develop and implement a transmission plan for the Western Grid.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

cost-effective wholesale market enhancements on a voluntary, phased-in basis to add to the efficiency and reliability of the Western Grid. The Company's goals include formation of an RTO. WestConnect will continue to work with the FERC and other stakeholders to achieve a seamless market structure. The Company, however, is approximately 50% owned by the Western Grid and cannot control the terms or timing of its development. WestConnect as an RTO will be established over a period of several years. The establishment of an independent RTO in the Company's service area is a project that is not yet approved by the FERC and is not yet considered part of a Qualified Power Region as defined in the Texas Restructuring Law. The establishment of an independent RTO in the Company's Texas service territory is not expected to be completed until after the Texas Restructuring Law.

Department of Energy. The DOE regulates the Company's exports of power to the California market. The DOE has determined that all such exports must be granted by the DOE and a presidential permit. The DOE has determined that all such exports must be made in accordance with Order No. 888, which established the requirements for interstate transmission lines.

The DOE is authorized to assess operators of nuclear generating facilities a share of the costs of the DOE's uranium enrichment facilities and for the ultimate costs of disposal of spent nuclear fuel. The DOE has also conducted a discussion of spent fuel storage and disposal costs.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission. The NRC has jurisdiction over the Company's license for the operation of nuclear generating stations to protect the health and safety of the public. The NRC also has the authority to grant license extensions pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954.

Texas Regulatory Matters

The rates and services of the Company are regulated in Texas by municipalities and by the Public Utility Commission of Texas (PUC). The largest municipality in the Company's service area is the City of El Paso (City). The PUC has appellate jurisdiction to review municipal orders and ordinances regarding rates and services. The PUC also has original jurisdiction over certain other activities of the Company. The decisions of the PUC are subject to judicial review.

Deregulation. The Texas Restructuring Law required certain investor-owned electric utilities to separate their generation activities and retail service activities from transmission and distribution activities. As of that date, retail competition for generation services was instituted in some parts of Texas. The Texas Restructuring Law, however, specifically recognized and preserved the Company's Texas Rate Stipulation. The Texas Restructuring Law, by, among other things, exempting the Company's Texas service area from retail competition. On October 13, 2004, the Texas Commission approved a rule further delaying the implementation of retail competition in the Company's Texas service territory. The rule approved by the Texas Commission sets the date for the implementation of retail competition in the Company's Texas service territory.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

identifies various milestones for the Company to reach before competition can begin. development, approval by the FERC, and commencement of independent operation of the Company's service territory, including the development of retail market protocols. complete transition to retail competition would occur upon the completion of the last Texas Commission's final evaluation of the market's readiness to offer fair competition to customers. The Company believes that adoption of this rule will likely delay retail competition by several years. There is substantial uncertainty about both the regulatory framework and market conditions when retail competition is implemented in the Company's service territory, and the Company's preparatory, restructuring and other costs that may not ultimately be recoverable. The Company believes that deregulation would not adversely affect the future operations, cash flows and financial performance.

Renewables and Energy Efficiency Programs. Notwithstanding the Texas Commission's decision delaying competition in the Company's Texas service territory, the Company became subject to the energy efficiency requirements of the Texas Restructuring Law on January 1, 2006. Under the requirements, the Company will have to annually obtain its pro rata share of renewable energy credits from the Program Administrator (the Electric Reliability Council of Texas) appointed by the Texas Commission. The total Texas retail sales subject to renewable energy credit allocation. During the 2005-2015 period, the statewide obligation to increase renewable energy capacity was raised from an additional 5,000 MW of additional renewable generating capacity in Texas by 2015. The Company's obligation to obtain renewable energy credits will not be known until January 31 of the year following the end of the period. The Company will have until March 31 to obtain, if necessary, and submit to the Program Administrator. The Company estimates that its Texas retail sales will represent approximately 2% of the total Texas retail sales. In addition, by January 1, 2007, the Company will be required to fund incentives for energy efficiency savings to achieve the goal of meeting 5% of its growth in demand through energy efficiency savings every year thereafter, that goal is 10% of the Company's growth in demand through energy efficiency savings. Preparatory costs incurred by the Company to meet these requirements may not be recoverable in the Company's service territory during the New Texas Freeze Period which expires June 2010. Pursuant to the Energy Efficiency Plan filed with the Texas Commission, the Company estimates it will incur approximately \$10 million for incentive payments to achieve its energy efficiency goal.

New Texas Freeze Period and Franchise Agreement. On July 21, 2005, the Company entered into a Franchise Agreement with the City of El Paso, the City Rate Agreement, to extend its existing freeze period for an additional five years during the New Texas Freeze Period. Under the City Rate Agreement which became effective as of July 21, 2005, the rates will remain at their current level for the next five years. If, during the term of the Franchise Agreement, the return on equity falls below the bottom of a defined range, the Company has the right to initiate

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

adjustment to base rates. If the Company's return on equity exceeds the top of the range in the City's direction, an amount equal to 50% of the pre-tax return in excess of the ceiling of current rates, would be a range of approximately 8% to 12%.

Pursuant to the City Rate Agreement, the Company will share with its Texas customers a portion of its transmission and wheeling revenues. Under the prior rate agreement, the Company shared 50% of its transmission and wheeling revenues with Texas customers. The City Rate Agreement requires a variance from the prior agreement. The Texas Commission regarding the sharing of margins. The Company has sought Texas Commission approval of Docket No. 32289 filed on January 17, 2006 of the margin sharing provisions of the agreement. The Company does not approve the margin sharing provisions of the City Rate Agreement, the Company will continue to negotiate in good faith to amend the rate agreement to achieve a similar economic result. The Company is unable to predict when or if the Texas Commission will approve such provisions. A Texas Commission decision is expected in the second quarter of 2006.

In addition, the Company has committed to spend at least 0.3% of its El Paso revenue to fund certain projects within the City. The Company and the City have agreed to engage at the Company's expense an independent consultant to review the reasonableness of certain operating expenses of the Company. If the consultant finds such expenses to be unreasonable, the parties will seek to negotiate an appropriate remedy. If the parties fail to agree on a remedy, the agreement will terminate at the end of one year, and, thereafter, the Company will revert to traditional rate regulation. The City has retained a consultant to conduct this review in the second quarter of 2006. Consistent with the prior rate agreement, the City Rate Agreement allows the City by the City in the event of a merger or change in control of the Company to seek rate adjustments to reflect synergy savings.

The City also granted to the Company a new 25-year franchise which became effective on January 1, 2006. The franchise fee payments from 2% to 3.25% of gross receipts earned within the City limit the Company's usage of City-owned property and the payment of franchise fees.

Fuel and Purchased Power Costs. Although the Company's base rates are frozen under the agreement pursuant to Texas Commission rules and the City Rate Agreement, the Company's fuel costs are passed to its customers. In January and July of each year, the Company can request adjustments to its base rates to reflect projected energy costs associated with providing electricity, seek recovery of purchased power costs, revenues, and refund past overcollections of fuel revenues. All such fuel revenue and purchased power costs are subject to periodic final review by the Texas Commission in fuel reconciliation proceedings.

The Company reconciled its Texas jurisdictional fuel costs for the period January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004. On PUC Docket No. 26194, and on May 5, 2004, the Texas Commission

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

issued its final order. At issue was the Company's request to recover an additional \$1 million from Texas customers as a surcharge due to fuel undercollections from January 1999 through December 2004. The Texas Commission disallowed approximately \$4.5 million of Texas jurisdictional expenses, primarily of (i) approximately \$4.2 million of purchased power expenses which the Texas Commission imputed capacity charges, and (ii) approximately \$0.3 million in fees which were disallowed as not recoverable as fuel. This disallowance was recorded as a reduction of fuel revenue during the period. In Texas, capacity charges are not eligible for recovery as fuel expenses but are to be recovered through base rates. As the Company's base rates were frozen during the period in which the imputed capacity charges have been incurred, the \$4.2 million of imputed capacity charges were therefore permitted to be recovered from its Texas customers. The Texas Commission's decision has been appealed by the Company, and the Company is unable to predict the ultimate outcome of the appeals.

On August 31, 2004, the Company filed an application to reconcile Texas jurisdictional fuel costs from January 1, 2002 through February 29, 2004 in PUC Docket No. 30143. The Company's fuel costs similar to those that were at issue in PUC Docket No. 26194 during the period covered by the Texas Commission's decision in that case. The Company believes that it has accounted for its purchased power costs during the period covered by PUC Docket No. 30143 in a manner consistent with the Texas Commission's decision. However, the Texas Commission is currently conducting a generic rulemaking proceeding to determine policy for the appropriate recovery mechanism for such capacity costs in purchased power contracts. The Company has no assurance as to the outcome of the rulemaking and its potential impact on the Company's ability to recover its future reconciliation periods, including that in PUC Docket No. 30143. Additionally, the Texas Commission's decision in PUC No. 30143 filed testimony disputing as much as \$44 million of the requested fuel and capacity charges. A stipulation resolving all issues in the fuel reconciliation was filed on January 27, 2006, which provided for a \$9.0 million disallowance of the eligible fuel costs requested by the Company. The Company's fuel costs including \$1.5 million in the third quarter of 2005, sufficient to provide for the stipulated disallowances in PUC Docket No. 30143. The Texas Commission approved a final order consistent with the stipulation.

On July 8, 2005, the Company filed a petition (PUC Docket No. 31332) with the Texas Commission to increase fuel factors and to surcharge under-recovered fuel costs as a result of higher natural gas prices. The Company requested an increase in its Texas jurisdiction fixed fuel factors of \$30.6 million or 23% annually. The Company's natural gas costs of \$7.28 per MMBtu. The Company also requested a fuel surcharge to recover a period \$28.2 million of fuel undercollections through the end of May 2005. On September 14, 2005, the Company amended its petition to seek additional fuel under-recoveries through August 2005 and to increase its fuel factors to under-recoveries of \$53.6 million, including interest as of the end of the under-recovery period. On September 14, 2005, the Company filed a unanimous stipulation

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

to approve the requested fixed fuel factor and amended fuel surcharge. The fixed fuel factor was implemented effective with billings in October 2005 and final approval from the Texas Commission was received in November 2005.

On January 5, 2006, the Company filed a petition (PUC Docket No. 32240) with the Texas Commission to request fixed fuel factors and to surcharge under-recovered fuel costs as a result of higher natural gas prices. The Company requested an increase in its Texas jurisdiction fixed fuel factors of \$30.8 million or 16% of the cost of natural gas of \$9.35 per MMBtu. The Company also requested a fuel surcharge for the reporting period approximately \$34 million of fuel undercollections, including interest, for undercollections from September 2005 through November 2005. The requested fuel factor and fuel surcharge will be implemented on an interim basis subject to refund effective with February 2006 bills to customers. The Company is currently in discussions with parties on a settlement to resolve this proceeding. Any settlement will be subject to the approval of the Texas Commission.

Palo Verde Performance Standards. The Texas Commission established performance standards for Palo Verde pursuant to which each Palo Verde unit is evaluated annually to determine whether the unit's capacity factor entitles the Company to a reward or subjects it to a penalty. The capacity factor is defined as the ratio of actual generation to maximum possible generation. If the capacity factor, as measured over a consecutive 24-month period, should fall below 35%, the parties to the City Rate Agreement will be required to provide special treatment for Palo Verde. The removal of Palo Verde from rate base could have a significant impact on the Company's revenues and financial condition. Under the performance standards the Company has not received a performance reward nor incurred a penalty for the 2005 reporting period. The Company has received performance rewards for the reporting periods ending in 2004 and 2003 to be approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. The 2003 reward was included in the Texas fuel reconciliation in PUC Docket No. 20450 for energy costs incurred and fuel revenues billed. The 2004 reward will be included along with the 2005 fuel revenue billed as part of the Texas Commission's review during a future periodic review of the Company's fuel costs discussed above. Performance rewards are not recorded on the Company's books until a final determination in a fuel proceeding or comparable evidence of collectibility is obtained. Performance rewards are recorded when assessed as probable by the Company.

In compliance with the Texas Commission's final order in PUC Docket No. 20450, the Company has received performance rewards for the reporting period ending in November 2004 in the amount of \$5.8 million of Palo Verde performance rewards from the Texas Commission, the Texas Energy Assistance Agency and Big Bend Community Center Committee, Inc. to assist low-income customers with their utility bills. In further compliance with the Texas Commission's order, the Company sought approval from the El Paso City Council on January 3, 2006 to remit to the City approximately \$5.8 million of Palo Verde performance rewards.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

funds to fund demand side management programs such as weatherization with a focus on residential business and commercial customers.

New Mexico Regulatory Matters

The rates and services of the Company are regulated in New Mexico by the NMPRC. The Company's New Mexico service area is the City of Las Cruces. The NMPRC has jurisdiction with municipalities regarding utility rates and services in New Mexico. The decisions of the NMPRC are subject to judicial review.

Deregulation. In April 2003, the New Mexico Restructuring Act was repealed, and as a result, utility rates in New Mexico will continue to be fully regulated.

New Mexico Rate Stipulation. On June 1, 2004, the Company implemented new rates under a Rate Stipulation whereby, among other things, the Company agreed for a period of three years to: (i) freeze base rates after an initial non-fuel base rate reduction of 1%; (ii) fix fuel and purchased power costs at 10% of the Company's jurisdictional retail sales in New Mexico at \$0.021 per kWh; (iii) reconcile the remaining 90% of the Company's New Mexico jurisdictional fuel and purchased power costs collected in base rates; (iv) continue the collection of a portion of fuel and purchased power costs presently collected in the amount of \$0.01949 per kWh; (v) price power provided from nuclear based on its availability at an 80% nuclear, 20% gas fuel mix; and (vi) deem reconciled, for the period ending May 31, 2004, the Company's fuel and purchased power costs for the New Mexico jurisdiction. The Company must also make a New Mexico filing to set rates to be effective by June 1, 2004.

Fuel and purchased power costs. In April 2004, the NMPRC, as part of the New Mexico Restructuring Act, adopted a fuel and purchased power cost adjustment clause. The Company will continue to recover fuel and purchased power costs at rates in the amount of \$0.01949 per kWh and continue the fuel and purchased power cost recovery on the remaining fuel and purchased power costs. Fuel and purchased power costs associated with the Company's jurisdictional retail sales in New Mexico are fixed at \$0.021 per kWh.

On August 29, 2005, the Company filed the annual reconciliation of its Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Clause (FPCCAC) for the period June 1, 2004 through May 31, 2005 in compliance with the NMPRC's Final Order in NMPRC Case No. 03-00302-UT. The Company requested recovery of fuel and purchased power costs for this period, and requested recovery of \$1.3 million for the recovery of purchased power capacity costs consistent with its interpretation of NMPRC rules. The Company also recognized deferred fuel revenue through December 2005 to reflect recovery of these costs. Although a hearing date has not been established for this proceeding, the

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Company expects a final order in this case in the first half of 2006. While the Company supported the recovery of all of its applicable fuel and purchased power costs, the Commission and the NMPRC will rule on this case. An adverse ruling by the NMPRC could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations.

Renewables. The New Mexico Renewable Energy Act of 2004 requires that, by January 1, 2011, renewable energy sources comprise no less than 5% of the Company's total retail sales to New Mexico customers. The Company is required to file annually until January 1, 2011, when the renewable portfolio standard shall reach a level of 5% of total retail sales to New Mexico customers and will remain fixed at such level thereafter. On December 15, 2005, the Company filed its Procurement Plan detailing its proposed actions to comply with the Renewable Energy Act.

The NMPRC approved the Company's 2005 Annual Procurement Plan in December 2005. The Plan requires the Company to (i) enter into a contract to purchase renewable energy certificates (RECs) for full retail sales to New Mexico customers approximately 50% of the Company's requirements in 2008 through 2011 and (ii) to recover from customers up to \$0.2 million for costs related to the issuance of a dividend refund. The Company is required to meet the remaining requirements in the 2008 to 2011 timeframe and thereafter. Costs incurred to purchase RECs to meet the requirements of the New Mexico Renewable Energy Act are recoverable from customers as purchased power costs from New Mexico customers pursuant to the Renewable Energy Act. The NMPRC's decision in this case has been appealed to the New Mexico Supreme Court by the Industrial Energy Consumers. The Company is unable to predict what, if any, action the Commission may take in this proceeding.

Sales for Resale

The Company provides up to 10 MW of firm capacity, associated energy, and transmission services to the Electric Cooperative pursuant to an ongoing contract which requires a two-year notice to terminate. No such notice has been received.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

C. Utility Plant, Palo Verde and Other Jointly-Owned Utility Plant

The table below presents the balance of each major class of depreciable assets at December 31, 2005:

	Gross
Nuclear production	\$ 63
Steam and other	26
Total production	89
Transmission	34
Distribution	58
General	7
Intangible and other	1
Total	\$ 1,91

Amortization of intangible plant (software) is provided on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life (ranging from 3 to 10 years). The amortization expense for intangible plant was \$1.9 million for 2005, \$0.8 million for 2004 and 2003, respectively. The table below presents the estimated amortization expense for the next five years (in thousands):

2006
2007
2008
2009
2010

The Company owns a 15.8% interest in each of the three nuclear generating units and Palo Verde, in Wintersburg, Arizona. The Palo Verde Participants include the Company, Arizona Public Service Company (APS), Southern California Edison Company (SCE), Fort Collins and Loveland (FCL), Public Service Company of New Mexico (PNM), Southern California Public Power Authority, Salt River Project Agricultural Center and Irrigation (SRP) and the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power. APS serves as operator of Palo Verde and the relationship among the Palo Verde Participants is governed by the Palo Verde Power Project Participation Agreement (the ANPP Participation Agreement).

Pursuant to the ANPP Participation Agreement, the Palo Verde Participants share costs of the Palo Verde generating units in the same proportion as their percentage interests in the generating units, and each participant's share of direct costs of fuel, other operations, maintenance and capital costs. The Company's share of direct costs of jointly-owned utility plants is reflected in fuel expense, other operations expense, maintenance expense, other deductions, and taxes other than income taxes in the Company's consolidated statements of income.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Participation Agreement provides that if a participant fails to meet its payment obligations, the participant shall pay its proportionate share of the payments owed by the defaulting participant. Because it is impracticable to predict defaulting participants, the Company cannot estimate the maximum amount of payment, if any, which could be required under this provision.

Other jointly-owned utility plant includes a 7% interest in Units 4 and 5 at Four Corners (Four Corners) and certain other transmission facilities. A summary of the Company's investment in utility plant, excluding fuel, at December 31, 2005 and 2004 is as follows (in thousands):

	December 31, 2005	
	Palo Verde	Other
Electric plant in service	\$ 633,620	\$ 1,000,000
Accumulated depreciation	(136,119)	(1,000,000)
Construction work in progress	28,501	
Total	\$ 526,002	\$ 0

Palo Verde

Decommissioning. Pursuant to the ANPP Participation Agreement and federal law, the Company is required to estimate the estimated costs to decommission Palo Verde Units 1, 2 and 3, including the Company's share of the costs of their respective operating licenses. The Company's decommissioning costs are estimated based on engineering cost studies performed by outside engineers retained by APS.

In accordance with the ANPP Participation Agreement, the Company is required to maintain a minimum funding level in its decommissioning account at the end of each annual period and a minimum funding level in its decommissioning account at the end of each annual period for the plant. The Company was above its minimum funding level as of December 31, 2005. The Company will continue to monitor the status of its decommissioning funds and adjust its deposits, if necessary, to meet the minimum accumulation requirements in the future.

The Company has established external trusts with an independent trustee, which enable the Company to claim a tax deduction for federal income tax purposes of a portion of amounts funded. As of December 31, 2005, the market value of the trust funds was approximately \$96.0 million and \$89.4 million, respectively. The Company's consolidated balance sheets in deferred charges and other assets.

In 2005, the Palo Verde Participants approved the 2004 Palo Verde decommissioning cost estimates. The calculations occurred between the prior 2001 study and the 2004 study. The 2004 study estimated that the fund approximately \$335.7 million (stated in 2004 dollars) to cover its share of decommissioning costs. The cost estimate from the 2001 study estimated that the

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Company needed to fund approximately \$311.6 million (stated in 2001 dollars). Had a cost estimate calculated for the 2001 study in 2004 dollars, based upon the same 3.6% escalation rate as the previous estimate would have been \$346.5 million. See *Spent Fuel Storage* below.

Although the 2004 study was based on the latest available information, there can be no assurance that cost estimates will not continue to increase in the future or that regulatory requirements for a new low-level radioactive waste repository opens and operates for a number of years. The costs of low-level radioactive waste are subject to significant uncertainty. The decommissioning of Palo Verde is expected to be complete in the second quarter of 2008. See *Waste* below.

Historically, regulated utilities such as the Company have been permitted to collect in Texas the costs of nuclear decommissioning. The Company, through an affiliated transmission utility, is able to continue to collect from customers the costs of decommissioning if and when it is required by the Restructuring Law. The collection mechanism utilized in Texas is a non-bypassable charge levied on customers, even those who choose to purchase energy from a supplier other than the Company. The Company is required to pay a fee, which includes the cost of nuclear decommissioning, to the Company's distribution utility. In the Company's case, collection of the fee through the Company's distribution utility will begin in Texas if and when retail competition is implemented in the Company's service area. See Note B *Texas Regulatory Matters - Deregulation* for further discussion.

Spent Fuel Storage. The original spent fuel storage facilities at Palo Verde had sufficient capacity to store spent fuel discharged from normal operation of all three Palo Verde units through 2003. Alternative spent fuel storage casks have been constructed to supplement the original facilities. In March 2003, APS transferred spent fuel from the original facilities as necessary, and placing it in special storage casks which are stored at the DOE site accepted by the DOE for permanent disposal. The 2004 decommissioning study assumes that spent fuel storage will become the responsibility of the DOE after 2037. APS believes that spent fuel storage facilities are available to allow each Palo Verde unit to continue to operate through the term of its operating license.

Pursuant to the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, as amended in 1987 (the *Waste Act*), the DOE is required to accept and dispose of all spent nuclear fuel and other high-level radioactive waste generated by nuclear power reactors. In accordance with the Waste Act, the DOE entered into a spent nuclear fuel agreement with other Palo Verde Participants. The DOE has previously reported that its spent nuclear fuel storage facility will be in operation until 2010. Subsequent judicial decisions required the DOE to start accepting spent nuclear fuel on January 31,

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1998. The DOE did not meet that deadline, and the Company cannot currently predict when the DOE's permanent disposal site will commence.

The Company expects to incur significant costs for on-site spent fuel storage during the term of the license. The Company believes are the responsibility of the DOE. These costs are identified to fuel cycle costs and amortized as that fuel is burned until an agreement is reached with the DOE. In December 2003, APS, in conjunction with other nuclear plant operators, filed suit against the DOE and Palo Verde Participants to recover monetary damages associated with the delay in the DOE's permanent disposal site. The Company is unable to predict the outcome of these matters at this time.

Disposal of Low-Level Radioactive Waste. Congress has established requirements for the disposal of low-level radioactive waste generated within its borders. Arizona, California, North Dakota and Texas entered into a compact (the Southwestern Compact) for the disposal of low-level radioactive waste. The first host state of the Southwestern Compact, and Arizona will serve as the second host state. The opening of the California low-level radioactive waste disposal site in Ward Valley has been delayed by public hearings, disputes over environmental issues and review of technical issues related to the site. Palo Verde is projected to undergo decommissioning during the period in which Arizona and Texas are unable to open the Southwestern Compact. The opposition, delays, uncertainty and costs experienced in the past with the Southwestern Compact roadblocks that may be encountered when Arizona seeks to open its own waste repository. Interim low-level waste storage methods are or will be available to allow each Palo Verde unit to store safely low-level waste until a permanent disposal facility is available.

Steam Generators. Because of degradation in the steam generator tubes of each unit, the Palo Verde steam generators are reassessed by APS periodically in conjunction with the unit's outages at the Palo Verde units. New steam generators were installed at Unit 2 during 2005 at a cost of approximately \$45.4 million. During 2005 Palo Verde completed the installation of new steam generators at a cost to the Company of approximately \$36.8 million. The steam generator replacement is expected to provide an economic benefit from expected improved performance of the respective units and the increased production from the units over their full licensed lives. The output from Palo Verde Unit 2 has increased 17 to 25% since the unit returned to service after replacement of the steam generators. The unit's output has been limited due to excess vibration in one of the shutdown cooling lines. APS has initiated plans for scheduling a one week outage in late March 2006 to install monitoring equipment in place of the current equipment beginning in June 2006 to modify the cooling line in an attempt to eliminate the excess vibration.

Typically, the Company realizes between 40% and 50% of its off-system sales margin during the first calendar year when the Company's native load is lower than at other times of the year. During the remainder of the year, the Company sells relatively large amounts of off-system energy

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

generated from nuclear fuel resources. Palo Verde's availability is an important factor in the Company's operating margins. The Company estimates that the reduced output and upcoming outages at Palo Verde, along with lower than originally forecast wholesale energy prices, will result in reduced off-system sales margins of \$12 to \$18 million for the period January through July 2006. The Company cautions that these estimates are based on assumptions to the extent that actual market prices, Palo Verde Unit 1 operations and other factors differ from the assumptions. The adverse financial impact on the Company from continued reduced output at Palo Verde Unit 1 could increase and would include foregone off-system sales margins, higher costs of purchased power and other costs.

APS has identified accelerated degradation in the steam generator tubes in Unit 3 and Unit 2 steam generators at this unit in 2007. The eventual total project cash expenditures for steam generator tubes in Units 2 and 3 are currently estimated to be \$720.6 million in direct costs (the Company's share of the costs). As of December 31, 2005, the Company has paid approximately \$71.1 million of such costs. The remaining costs will be funded with internally generated cash. See also Part II, Item 7, "Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Overview."

Reactor Vessel Heads. In accordance with applicable NRC requirements, APS conducts periodic inspections of reactor vessel heads at Palo Verde Units 1, 2 and 3. In an effort to reduce long-term operating costs, APS plans to conduct inspection of the reactor heads, related equipment, and possible repair costs, APS plans to replace reactor vessel heads at Palo Verde. Reactor vessel head replacement is scheduled to occur at Units 1, 2 and 3 respectively. The Company's share of the costs for this project is estimated to be \$210 million.

Liability and Insurance Matters. The Palo Verde participants have insurance for public liability and nuclear energy hazards to the full limit of liability under federal law. This potential liability is covered by the insurance provided by commercial insurance carriers in the amount of \$300 million and is subject to a retrospective assessment program. If losses at any nuclear power plant covered by the program exceed the funds, the Company could be assessed retrospective premium adjustments. Under the program, the maximum potential assessment per reactor under the program for each nuclear incident is approximately \$101 million. The Company's maximum potential assessment per incident for all three units is approximately \$47.9 million. The Company's maximum potential assessment per incident for all three units is approximately \$47.9 million, with a limitation of approximately \$4.7 million.

The Palo Verde participants maintain all risk (including nuclear hazards) insurance for the Palo Verde units. The Palo Verde participants have secured insurance for the stabilization and decontamination of, property at Palo Verde in the aggregate amount of \$2.75 billion. The insurance proceeds will first be applied to stabilization and decontamination. The Company has also secured insurance for the increased cost of generation or purchased power and business interruption resulting from the outage of any of the three units. The

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

insurance coverage discussed in this and the previous paragraph is subject to certain p

D. Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations

Effective January 1, 2003, the Company adopted SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations. The adoption of SFAS No. 143 primarily affected the accounting for the decommissioning of Palo Verde and Four Corners Stations and changed the method used to report the decommissioning of Palo Verde. Prior to the bankruptcy in 1996, the Company was required under fresh-start reporting to adopt the accounting method in draft of the SFAS No. 143 project and accordingly, recognized the present value of its asset retirement costs as both a component of its capitalized cost of Palo Verde and as a depreciation expense. In 1996 and through 2002, the Company recognized accretion of the Palo Verde ARO liability as a depreciation expense and depreciation of the Palo Verde asset retirement cost as depreciation expense. Upon adoption of SFAS No. 143, the net difference between the amounts recorded under SFAS No. 143 and the Company's previous method of accounting for such activities was recognized as a net increase of \$30.9 million, a decrease in net plant in service of \$30.9 million, and a cumulative effect of accounting change of \$25.0 million, net of related taxes of \$25.0 million. The cumulative effect of accounting change consisted of (i) using a longer discount period (i.e., longer remaining life) as a result of assessing the remaining life extension at Palo Verde and (ii) a change in the discount rate used. In January 2003, the Company recognized an increase in the ARO due to the passage of time as an operating expense (accretion expense). The Company has the responsibility for the permanent disposal of spent fuel, spent fuel costs have not been accrued. The Company has six external trust funds with an independent trustee which are legal entities established for Palo Verde. The fair value of the funds at December 31, 2005 is \$96.0 million.

A reconciliation of the Company's ARO liability recorded is as follows (in thousands):

ARO liability at beginning of year
Liabilities incurred
Liabilities settled
Revisions to estimate
Accretion expense

ARO liability at end of year

(1) Results from the implementation of FIN 47 (see discussion below).

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company has transmission and distribution lines which are operated under various easements. If the easements were to be released, the Company may have a legal obligation to remove the lines. The Company has assessed the likelihood of this occurring as remote. The majority of these easements are for utility purposes, which the Company routinely exercises.

In 2005, the Palo Verde Participants approved the 2004 Palo Verde decommissioning cost calculations occurred between the prior 2001 study and the 2004 study. The 2004 study estimated the Company would fund approximately \$335.7 million (stated in 2004 dollars) to cover its share of decommissioning costs (the 2001 study estimated that the Company needed to fund approximately \$346.5 million (stated in 2001 dollars). Had an equivalent estimate been calculated for the 2001 study in 2004 dollars using the escalation rate utilized in the 2001 study, the previous estimate would have been \$346.5 million. The difference between the 2004 study and the 2001 study under the 2004 study differs from the ARO liability of \$63.5 million the Company recorded in 2004. This difference can be attributed to how SFAS No. 143 measures the ARO liability, reflecting the inherent assumption in SFAS No. 143 that Palo Verde will operate until the end of its estimated useful life (rather than an assessment of the probability of a license extension). The ARO liability calculation based on the estimate referenced above, then escalates that cost over the remaining life of the plant at a credit-risk adjusted discount rate. Since the Company assumed an escalation rate of 9.5% in the original calculation of the ARO liability, the ARO liability is higher than the Company's share of the current estimated cost to decommission Palo Verde in 2004 dollars. At the end of its estimated useful life, the difference between the ARO liability and future decommissioning costs over time due to the accretion of the ARO liability.

SFAS No. 143 requires the Company to revise its previously recorded ARO for any change in the estimated cash flows. Any changes that result in an upward revision to estimated cash flows shall be treated as a revision to the estimated cash flows results in a reduction to the previously recorded ARO liability. A downward revision in the estimated cash flows for decommissioning costs from the 2004 study resulted in a \$1.8 million reduction to its ARO asset and liability in the third quarter of 2005. Accretion of the ARO related to the ARO will decrease approximately \$0.3 million annually as a result of the downward revision.

Effective December 31, 2005, the Company adopted FASB Interpretation No. 47, "Liabilities for Retirement Obligations," (FIN 47). FIN 47 clarifies that the term "conditional" asset retirement obligation to perform an asset retirement activity even if the timing and/or settlement of the obligation that may or may not be within the control of an entity. Accordingly, the entity must recognize an asset retirement obligation if the fair value of the obligation can be reasonably estimated. The adoption primarily affected the accounting for the disposal obligations of the Company's fuel gas processing plant.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

evaporative ponds and asbestos found at the Company's gas-fired generating plants. As of December 31, 2005, the Company recognized an increase in its ARO of \$2.7 million, a decrease of \$0.9 million, and a cumulative effect of accounting change resulting in a loss of \$1.0 million of December 31, 2004 and 2003, the pro forma ARO liability related to FIN 47 would have been \$2.5 million, respectively.

Amounts recorded under SFAS No. 143 including amounts recorded under FIN 47 are based on assumptions and determinations such as (i) whether a legal obligation exists to remove assets; (ii) the estimated costs of removal; (iii) when final removal will occur; (iv) future changes in decommissioning costs; (v) the credit-adjusted interest rates to be utilized in discounting future liabilities. Changes in assumptions or determinations regarding these assumptions and determinations will change amounts recorded in the future. If the Company incurs or assumes any liability in retiring any asset at the end of its useful life, it will record such retirement costs as incurred.

E. Common Stock

Overview

The Company's common stock has a stated value of \$1 per share, with no cumulative dividends. Holders of the common stock have the right to elect the Company's directors and to vote on

Long-Term Incentive Plans

The Company's shareholders have approved the adoption of two stock-based long-term incentive plans. The first plan was approved in 1996 (the "1996 Plan") and authorized the issuance of up to 3.5 million shares of common stock for the benefit of officers, key employees and directors. The second plan was approved in 1999 and authorized the issuance of up to two million shares of common stock for the benefits of directors, officers, key employees and consultants. The common stock may be issued through the award or grant of restricted stock, incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, bonus stock and performance shares.

Stock Options. Stock options have been granted at exercise prices equal to or greater than the fair market value of the underlying shares at the date of grant. The options expire ten years from the date of grant. The following table summarizes the transactions of the Company's stock options for the year ended December 31, 2003:

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Unexercised options outstanding at December 31, 2002

Options granted

Options forfeited

Unexercised options outstanding at December 31, 2003

Options granted

Options exercised

Options forfeited

Unexercised options outstanding at December 31, 2004

Options exercised

Options forfeited

Unexercised options outstanding at December 31, 2005

Stock option awards provide for vesting periods of up to six years. Stock options outstanding at December 31, 2005 are set forth in the following table:

Exercise Price Range	Number Outstanding	Options Outstanding
		Average Remaining Contractual Life in Years
\$5.56 - \$8.125	480,000	1.4
9.50 - 13.85	549,448	6.1
13.94 - 14.95	325,000	5.5
	1,354,448	

The number of stock options exercisable and the weighted average exercise price of the

	2005
Number of stock options exercisable	1,044,448
Weighted average exercise price	\$ 10.42

Restricted Stock. The Company has awarded vested and unvested restricted stock awards. Restrictions from resale generally lapse, and unvested awards vest, over periods of time. The expense of vested restricted stock awards is expensed at the time of grant. The market value of unvested restricted stock awards on the date of grant is recorded as deferred and unearned compensation and is shown as a separate line item on the balance sheet. The expense is amortized to equity and is amortized to expense over the restriction period. During 2005, 2004 and

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

\$1.4 million, \$1.2 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, related to restricted stock awards. The following table summarizes the vested and unvested restricted stock awards for 2005,

Restricted shares outstanding at December 31, 2002

Restricted stock awards

Lapsed restrictions and vesting

Restricted shares outstanding at December 31, 2003

Restricted stock awards

Lapsed restrictions and vesting

Forfeitures

Restricted shares outstanding at December 31, 2004

Restricted stock awards

Lapsed restrictions and vesting

Forfeitures

Restricted shares outstanding at December 31, 2005

The weighted average market values at grant date for restricted stock awarded during 2005 and 2004 were \$14.40 and \$11.47, respectively.

The holder of a restricted stock award has rights as a shareholder of the Company, including the right to vote and, if applicable, receive cash dividends on restricted stock, except that certain restricted stock awards require the holder to deliver a dividend on restricted stock to be delivered to the Company in exchange for additional shares of the Company having an equivalent market value.

Performance Shares. On January 1, 2006 and 2007, subject to meeting certain performance conditions, performance shares will be granted to certain officers under the Company's existing long-term incentive plan. The Company recognizes the related compensation expense by ratably amortizing the current fair market value of the shares granted based on the current performance of the Company over the performance cycle. The Company's accounting is based on APB Opinion No. 25, compensation expense for performance shares determined using the fair value method, adjusted for subsequent changes (such as the number of shares to be granted, if any, and the fair value of the Company's stock) in the expected outcome of the performance-related conditions until the end of the performance cycle. Any such adjustments are accounted for as a change in estimate, and the cumulative effect of such adjustments in prior periods is recognized in the period of the change. The actual number of shares granted during 2005 was 285,000 shares. During 2005 and 2004, the Company expensed \$1.5 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, for performance stock awards.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Common Stock Repurchase Program

Since the inception of the stock repurchase programs in 1999, the Company has repurchased 15.3 million shares of its common stock at an aggregate cost of \$175.6 million, including 1.7 million shares remain authorized to be repurchased under the currently authorized repurchase program. The Company may continue making purchases of its common stock in the open market at open market prices and may engage in private transactions, where appropriate. The Company may also repurchase common stock available for issuance under employee benefit and stock option plans, or may be retired.

Reconciliation of Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share

The reconciliation of basic and diluted earnings per share before cumulative effect of extraordinary item is presented below:

	(In millions)
Basic earnings per share:	
Income before cumulative effect of accounting change and extraordinary item	\$
Effect of dilutive securities:	
Unvested restricted stock	
Stock options	
Diluted earnings per share:	
Income before cumulative effect of accounting change and extraordinary item	\$

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	(In thousands)
Basic earnings per share:	
Income before cumulative effect of accounting change and extraordinary item	\$ 3,000
Effect of dilutive securities:	
Unvested restricted stock	(100)
Stock options	(100)
Diluted earnings per share:	
Income before cumulative effect of accounting change and extraordinary item	\$ 2,800

	(In thousands)
Basic earnings per share:	
Income before cumulative effect of accounting change and extraordinary item	\$ 3,000
Effect of dilutive securities:	
Unvested restricted stock	(100)
Stock options	(100)
Diluted earnings per share:	
Income before cumulative effect of accounting change and extraordinary item	\$ 2,800

Options excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share because the exercise price is greater than the average market price for the periods presented are as follows:

	2005
Options excluded	\$ 1,000
Exercise price range	\$ 10.00 - 15.00

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****F. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) consists of the following component

	Net Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Marketable Securities	Minimum Pension Liability Adjustment
Balance at December 31, 2002	\$ (955)	\$ (13,4
Other comprehensive income (loss)	9,486	(4,2
Income tax (expense) benefit	(2,117)	1,6
Balance at December 31, 2003	6,414	(16,0
Other comprehensive loss	(74)	(1,4
Income tax benefit	15	5
Balance at December 31, 2004	6,355	(16,9
Other comprehensive loss	(2,359)	(6,1
Income tax benefit	472	2,2
Balance at December 31, 2005	\$ 4,468	\$ (20,7

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

G. Long-Term Debt and Financing Obligations

Outstanding long-term debt and financing obligations are as follows:

Long-Term Debt:

First Mortgage Bonds (1):

8.90% Series D, issued 1996, due 2006

9.40% Series E, issued 1996, due 2011

Pollution Control Bonds (2):

2005 Series B refunding bonds, due 2040

4.80% 2005 Series A refunding bonds, due 2040

2005 Series C, due 2040

4.00% 2002 Series A refunding bonds, due 2032

Senior Notes (3):

Senior Notes, net of discount

Promissory note, due 2005 (4)

Total long-term debt

Financing Obligations:

Nuclear fuel (\$21,727 due in 2006) (5)

Total long-term debt and financing obligations

Current Portion (amount due within one year)

(1) First Mortgage Bonds

Substantially all of the Company's utility plant is subject to liens under the First Mortgage Indenture imposes certain limitations on the ability of the Company to (i) declare or prepay (ii) incur additional indebtedness or liens on mortgaged property and (iii) enter into a sale of assets. At December 31, 2005, the Company had \$100 million of Collateral Series First Mortgage Bonds under the First Mortgage Indenture which secures its credit facility, as discussed below.

In May 2005, the Company commenced a cash tender offer for any and all of its 8.90% Series D First Mortgage Bonds due February 1, 2006 and its 9.40% Series E First Mortgage Bonds due May 1, 2011, and all of its 2005 Series B and C Pollution Control Bonds beginning on February 1, 2006 (collectively the "Bonds"). The total outstanding amount of the Bonds subject to the offer was approximately \$359.4 million. On June 3, 2005, the Company announced the offer and

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

paid approximately \$289.9 million for principal, premium and accrued and unpaid interest not yet accepted for payment. On June 7, 2005, the Company exercised its right to legally defeasance the bonds tendered by the expiration date of the tender offer by depositing approximately \$95.7 million of principal, premium and accrued interest through February 1, 2006. The cash tender of mortgage bonds was financed through the issuance of Senior Notes (see below). As a result of the legal defeasance, the Company has concluded that the liabilities associated with the bonds are extinguished in accordance with SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Services of Financial Instruments, and are classified as Liabilities.

Repurchases of First Mortgage Bonds made during 2004 and 2003 are as follows (in thousands):

8.25% Series C
8.90% Series D
9.40% Series E

Total

Internally generated funds were used for the repurchases in 2004 and 2003. A loss of \$1.2 million relating to these repurchases and include premiums paid and unamortized issuance costs.

(2) Pollution Control Bonds

The Company has four series of tax exempt Pollution Control Bonds in an aggregate principal amount of \$193.1 million. Upon the occurrence of certain events which includes the remarketing of the bonds, the bonds are required to be repurchased at the holder's option or are subject to mandatory redemption. The Company reissued three series of pollution control bonds in the amounts of \$63.5 million, \$37.1 million and \$37.1 million. The \$59.2 million bonds which mature in 2040, were reissued with a fixed effective interest rate of 5.27% after considering related insurance and issuance costs. The \$37.1 million bonds, which also mature in 2040, were reissued with a variable rate that resets to 3.25% at December 31, 2005, respectively. The Company also remarketed \$33.3 million of bonds which bear a fixed interest rate of 4% until August 1, 2012 which is the date the bonds mature. The effective interest rate for these bonds is 4.70% after considering related insurance and issuance costs and will remain at its current fixed interest rate until remarketing in August 2012. The reissuance of the four series of bonds which were subject to mandatory tender or remarketing as of August 1, 2005.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(3) Senior Notes

The Company filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 with the Securities and Exchange Commission which became effective in May 2005. The shelf registration statement enables the Company to issue up to \$1.0 billion of first mortgage bonds, shares of stock and certain other securities from time to time in the future.

In May 2005, the Company issued \$400.0 million aggregate principal amount of its 6.75% Senior Notes (the "Notes") under its shelf registration statement. The proceeds from the issuance of the Notes, net of a \$2.3 million discount, were used to fund the retirement of the First Mortgage Bonds.

(4) Promissory Note

The note was paid in full in 2005.

(5) Nuclear Fuel Financing

The Company has available a \$100 million credit facility that was renewed for a five-year term in 2005. The credit facility provides for up to \$70 million for the financing of nuclear fuel, which is used to acquire and process the nuclear fuel. The Company is obligated to make scheduled borrowings with interest and has secured this obligation with Collateral Series First Mortgage Bonds. In its financial statements, the assets and liabilities of the trust are reported as assets and liabilities of the Company. Amounts not borrowed by the trust may be borrowed by the Company for working capital needs.

The \$100 million credit facility requires compliance with certain total debt and interest coverage ratios. The Company was in compliance with these requirements throughout 2005. No amounts are currently borrowed under the facility for working capital needs.

Excluding future obligations and maturities related to nuclear fuel purchase commitments, the Company's scheduled maturities of long-term debt and financing obligations for the next five years are as follows:

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

H. Income Taxes

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 are presented below (in thousands):

Deferred tax assets:

Alternative minimum tax credit carryforward
Pensions and benefits
Benefits of tax loss carryforwards
Asset retirement obligation
Investment tax credit carryforward
Other

Total gross deferred tax assets
Less federal valuation allowance

Net deferred tax assets

Deferred tax liabilities:

Plant, principally due to depreciation and basis differences
Decommissioning
Deferred fuel
Other

Total gross deferred tax liabilities

Net accumulated deferred income taxes

The deferred tax asset valuation allowance decreased by approximately \$2.9 million in 2005, increased \$0.5 million in 2004, and decreased \$0.8 million in 2003. The 2005 valuation allowance decrease of \$2.9 million consists of \$2.0 million of expired investment tax credits of \$5.7 million less deferred tax benefits of \$2.0 million and \$0.7 million of other temporary differences. The 2004 valuation allowance increase of \$0.6 million consists of a revaluation of investment tax credits as a result of a valuation allowance decrease of \$0.8 million consists of (i) a \$0.3 million adjustment in accordance with Statement of Position (SOP) 90-7, Financial Reporting by Entities in Bankruptcy Code to recognize a tax benefit for valuation allowance that was not used that were utilized in 2003 and (ii) a \$0.5 million write-down related to expired investment tax credits. The 2003 valuation allowance decrease of \$0.8 million consists of \$0.3 million of deferred tax benefits of \$0.3 million.

Based on the average annual book income before taxes for the prior three years, excluding unusual or infrequent items, the Company believes that the net deferred tax assets and liabilities are based on the average annual levels of book and taxable income.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company recognized income taxes as follows (in thousands):

Income tax expense:

Federal:

Current

Deferred

Total federal income tax

State:

Current

Deferred

Total state income tax

Total income tax expense

Tax benefit (expense) classified as cumulative effect of accounting change

Tax expense classified as extraordinary gain on re-application of SFAS No. 71

Total income tax expense before cumulative effect of accounting change or extraordinary item

The current federal income tax benefit for 2005 results primarily from a reversal of all prior years as a result of increased tax deductions due to several method changes primarily repair allowances. The current income tax expense for 2004 and 2003 results primarily from a significant increase in 2004 from 2003 primarily relates to a settlement with the IRS on 2003 federal income tax returns which resulted in additional current tax expense and a reduction in deferred federal income tax. Deferred federal income tax includes an offsetting AMT expense of \$6.7 million for 2004 of \$18.9 million and \$2.1 million for 2004 and 2003, respectively. The state income tax expense is primarily from the state effects of the re-application of SFAS No. 71 to the Company's New Mexico operations from the IRS settlement.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Federal income tax provisions differ from amounts computed by applying the statutory rate before federal income tax as follows (in thousands):

	2005
Federal income tax expense computed on income at statutory rate	\$ 18
Difference due to:	
State taxes, net of federal benefit	
State taxes, net of federal benefit on re-application of SFAS No. 71	
Other tax regulatory assets and liabilities on re-application of SFAS No. 71	
Reduction in estimated contingent tax liability	
Other	
Total income tax expense	17
Tax benefit (expense) classified as cumulative effect of accounting change	
Tax expense classified as extraordinary gain on re-application of SFAS No. 71	
Total income tax expense before cumulative effect of accounting change and extraordinary income	\$ 18

Effective income tax rate

Effective income tax rate without IRS settlement

The effective income tax rate without IRS settlement excludes the tax benefit associated with the re-application of SFAS No. 71, the research and development credit, and the contingent tax liability of \$3.5 million and state taxes net of federal benefit of \$2.7 million.

As of December 31, 2005, the Company had \$91.2 million of federal and \$42.0 million of state net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards, \$44.8 million of AMT credit carryforwards, \$2.3 million of wind energy credits, and \$0.2 million of wind energy credits. If unused, the NOL carryforwards would expire at the end of 2010, the research and development credit would expire at the end of 2011 through 2018, the wind energy carryforwards would expire at the end of 2011 through 2018, the wind energy carryforwards would expire at the end of 2011 through 2018, the wind energy carryforwards would expire at the end of 2011 through 2018, and the AMT credit carryforwards have an unlimited life.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****I. Commitments, Contingencies and Uncertainties****Power Contracts**

As of December 31, 2005, the Company had entered into the following significant agreements with counterparties for forward firm purchases and sales of electricity:

Type of Contract	Quantity	Term
Sale Off-peak Energy	25 MW	2006 (excluding first quarter)
Purchase Capacity	133 MW	2006 through 2008

In addition to the above transactions, the Company has also entered into several agreements for the forward firm purchases and sales of electricity during the first quarter of 2006:

Type of Contract	Quantity	Term
Purchase Off-peak Energy	50 MW	1st Quarter 2006
Sale On-peak Energy	25 MW	1st Quarter 2006
Sale Off-peak Energy	175 MW	1st Quarter 2006

Environmental Matters

The Company is subject to regulation with respect to air, soil and water quality, solid waste and other environmental matters by federal, state, tribal and local authorities. Those authorities have continuing jurisdiction over facility modifications. Failure to comply with the requirements can result in actions by regulatory agencies or other authorities that might include administrative, civil, and/or criminal penalties. If the United States regulates green house gas emissions from fossil fuel generation assets will be faced with the additional cost of monitoring, controlling and reducing emissions. Because a significant portion of the Company's generation assets is nuclear, the Company does not believe such regulations would impose greater burdens on the Company than on non-nuclear generation. In addition, unauthorized releases of pollutants or contaminants into the environment can result in obligations that are subject to enforcement by the regulatory agencies. Environmental regulations are often difficult to predict. While the Company strives to prepare for and implement changing environmental regulations, substantial expenditures may be required for the implementation of the regulations in the future.

The Company analyzes the costs of its obligations arising from environmental matters and provisions for them. It has made adequate provision in its financial statements to meet such obligations. As of December 31, 2005, the Company has a provision for environmental remediation obligations of approximately \$10 million, which is related to compliance with

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

federal and state environmental standards. However, unforeseen expenses associated with the remediation of the SESCO site could have a material adverse effect on the future operations and financial condition of the Company.

The Company incurred the following expenditures to comply with federal environmental

Clean Air Act

Clean Water Act (1)

(1) Includes \$1.0 million and \$0.6 million in remediation costs for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003 and 2004, respectively.

Along with many other companies, the Company received from the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) a request for information in 2003 in connection with environmental conditions at the SESCO site. TCEQ has proposed the SESCO site for listing on the registry of Texas state superfund sites and has notified approximately 100 other parties, including the Company, indicating that TCEQ considers each of these parties to be potentially liable for cleanup of the SESCO site. The Company received from the SESCO working group a settlement offer in January 2006 for remediation and other expenses expected to be incurred by the Company at the SESCO site. The Company's position is that any liability it may have related to the SESCO site is not its liability but is the liability of the SESCO site. The Company's position is that any liability it may have related to the SESCO site is not its liability but is the liability of the SESCO site. The Company's position is that any liability it may have related to the SESCO site is not its liability but is the liability of the SESCO site. At this time, the Company has not agreed to the settlement offer and is unable to predict the outcome of this matter. While the Company does not currently present to believe that it will incur material liabilities in connection with the SESCO site, the Company cannot rule out potential costs related to this matter.

Except as described herein, the Company is not aware of any other active investigation or enforcement of environmental requirements by the Environmental Protection Agency, the TCEQ or the Texas Department of Health and Human Services which is expected to result in any material liability. Furthermore, except as described herein, the Company is not aware of any unresolved, potentially material liability it would face pursuant to the Superfund, Comprehensive Liability Act of 1980, also known as the Superfund law.

Tax Matters

The Company's federal income tax returns for the years 1999 through 2002 have been audited by the IRS. In 2005, the Company received the IRS notice of proposed deficiency. The primary audit issues related to (i) whether the Company was entitled to currently deduct payments related to the SESCO site and (ii) whether the Company was entitled to currently deduct payments related to Unit 2 steam generators or whether these payments should be capitalized and depreciated. The Company is currently disputing the IRS position and is not currently aware of any potential costs related to this matter.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

deduct payments related to the dry cask storage facilities for spent nuclear fuel or when they are capitalized and depreciated. The proposed IRS adjustments would affect the timing of deductibility for federal tax purposes. The Company has protested the audit adjustments and believes that its treatment of the payments is supported by substantial legal authority. If the IRS prevails, the resulting income tax and interest payments could be material to the Company. The Company is currently performing an examination of the 2003 and 2004 income tax returns.

The Company has established, and periodically reviews and re-evaluates, an estimated liability on its consolidated balance sheet to provide for the possibility of adverse outcomes in tax proceedings. The outcome of the ongoing examination cannot be predicted with certainty, and while the fact be sufficient, the Company believes that the amount of contingent tax liability recorded is a reasonable estimate of any additional tax that may be due.

MiraSol Warranty Obligations

MiraSol is an energy services subsidiary which offered a variety of services to reduce costs. MiraSol was not a power marketer. On July 19, 2002, all sales activities of MiraSol were discontinued in order to satisfy current contracts and warranty and service obligations. As of December 31, 2005, the Company has a reserve for warranty claims in the amount of \$10.0 million. Accruals, charges and balances for the reserve for warranty claims are as follows:

Balance at beginning of year
Accrual of warranty costs
Charges for work performed

Balance at end of year

While no other probable warranty liabilities have been identified at this time, if it is determined that MiraSol has further obligations to any customer, and contributions from MiraSol, its subsidiaries or other parties are insufficient to honor the warranty obligations, the Company intends to honor these obligations after making appropriate regulatory filings, if any.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Customer Information System

During 2003, the Company completed an assessment of the Customer Information System project alternatives to completion of the project. This assessment included analyzing the impact of implementation of deregulation and resulting changes in billing requirements, and the project specification. Based on this assessment and on events related to the project which occurred during 2003, the Company recognized an asset impairment loss of approximately \$17.6 million.

Lease Agreements

The Company has operating leases for administrative offices and certain warehouse facilities. One lease has a 10-year term ending May 31, 2007. The minimum lease payments are \$1.0 million each year by 50% of the percentage change of the Consumer Price Index. The warehouse lease expires December 2009 and has three concurrent renewal options of one year each. The lease agreements do not impose any restrictions relating to issuance of dividends or entering into other lease arrangements. The Company has no significant

The Company's total annual rental expense related to operating leases was \$1.1 million in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. As of December 31, 2005, the Company's minimum lease payments for the next five years are as follows (in thousands):

2006
2007
2008
2009
2010

Union Matters

The collective bargaining agreement with existing union employees expires in June 2006. The Company is presently conducting collective bargaining negotiations with an additional union representing meter reading and collections area, facilities services area and customer service area in 2003 and 2004.

J. Litigation

The Company is a party to various legal actions. In many of these matters, the Company has insurance coverage that covers the various claims, actions and complaints. Based upon a review of the insurance coverage, to the extent that the Company has been able to reach a conclusion, the Company believes that none of these claims will have a material adverse effect on the financial position or cash flows of the Company.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

On January 16, 2003, the Company was served with a complaint on behalf of a purported class of investors claiming violations of the federal securities laws (*Roth v. El Paso Electric Company, et al.*, No. 03-117OP). The complaint was filed in the El Paso Division of the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas. The complaint seeks undisclosed compensatory damages for the class as well as costs and attorneys' fees. On July 2, 2003, the Pension Fund of Illinois, filed a consolidated amended complaint on July 2, 2003, alleging that the Company and certain of its current and former directors and officers violated securities laws. The complaint alleges that some of the Company's revenues and income were derived from an allegedly unlawful practice. The allegations arise out of the FERC investigation of the power markets in the western United States. The complaint which the Company previously settled with the FERC Trial Staff and certain intervenors. On July 2, 2003, the Company and the individual defendants filed a motion to dismiss the complaint for which relief can be granted. On November 26, 2003, the Court denied the motion to dismiss the complaint as to the Company and the individual defendants and granted the motion to dismiss as to two individual defendants. On December 1, 2003, the Court granted a motion of the Company and the remaining individual defendants requesting a stay of the proceedings and an interlocutory appeal to the U. S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit regarding certain aspects of the Court's denial of the motion to dismiss the complaint as to those defendants. On April 1, 2004, the Court issued an order staying the district court proceedings until the Fifth Circuit completed its review of the appeal. On May 12, 2004, the Court of Appeals denied the appeal which automatically lifted the stay in the district court. The lawsuit was without merit, the parties reached a settlement to resolve this case. The parties entered into a Settlement with the Court on June 2, 2005, and the Court issued a final order approving the settlement on June 2, 2005. The settlement was paid by the Company's insurance carrier since the deductibles were not covered by any further charge to the Company's earnings.

On May 21, 2003, the Company was served with a complaint by the Port of Seattle seeking damages under the Sherman Act, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organization Act, and state antitrust laws (*Port of Seattle v. Avista Corporation, et al.*, No. CV03-117OP). The complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington. The complaint alleges that the Company, individually and in concert with Enron, conspired with the other named defendants to manipulate the California energy market by artificially inflating the price that the Port of Seattle paid for electricity. The Company and the other named defendants filed a motion to dismiss. On May 12, 2004, the Court granted the Company's motion to dismiss. The Port of Seattle has filed an appeal of the Court's decision with the U. S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. The parties are awaiting a hearing and decision on that appeal. While the Company's motion to dismiss was without merit, the Company is unable to predict the outcome or range of any possible damages.

On May 5, 2004, Wah Chang, a specialty metals manufacturer which operates a plant in the United States, filed a complaint against the Company and other defendants in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia (*Wah Chang Corporation, et al.*, No. 04-619AS). The complaint makes substantially similar allegations to those made in the *Port of Seattle* case.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

the same allegations as were made in *Port of Seattle* and seeks the same types of damages. The City of Tacoma filed suit against the Company and other defendants in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington (*City of Tacoma v. American Electric Power Service Corporation*). This complaint also makes substantially the same allegations as were made in *Port of Seattle* (including treble damages) from the Company and the other defendants for violations under the Sherman Act. Both of these matters were transferred to the same court that heard the *Seattle* lawsuit and on February 11, 2005, the Court granted the Company's motion to dismiss. The City of Tacoma has filed notices of appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. While the Company believes the City's claims are without merit and intends to defend itself vigorously, the Company is unable to estimate the amount of possible loss.

See Note B for discussion of the effects of government legislation and regulation on the Company's operations.

K. Employee Benefits

Retirement Plans

The Company's Retirement Income Plan (the "Retirement Plan") covers employees who are full-time employees of the Company and work at least a minimum number of hours each year. The Retirement Plan is a noncontributory defined benefit plan. Upon retirement or death of a vested plan participant, the Company's assets are used to pay benefit obligations under the Retirement Plan. Contributions from the Company and investment earnings are used to fund the Retirement Plan, as actuarially determined. The Retirement Plan assets are invested in equity securities, debt securities and cash equivalents by investment managers appointed by the Company.

The Company's non-qualified retirement income plan for 2003 is a non-funded defined benefit plan for certain former employees of the Company. During 2004, the Company adopted a new non-qualified retirement income plan for certain active employees of the Company. The benefit cost for the non-qualified plan is determined on substantially the same actuarial methods and economic assumptions as those used for the Retirement Plan.

The Company uses a measurement date of December 31 for its retirement plans. The Retirement Plan and the non-qualified retirement income plans under SFAS No. 87, "Accounting for Pensions," are accounted for under SFAS No. 87. In 2003, the Company adopted SFAS No. 132 (revised 2003), "Employers' Disclosures of Postretirement Benefits," (SFAS No. 132 revised) which expands the original disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 87.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The obligations and funded status of the plans are presented below (in thousands):

	2005	2004
	Retirement Income Plan	Quota Reti In P
Change in benefit obligation:		
Benefit obligation at end of prior year	\$ 165,281	\$ 165,281
Service cost	5,021	
Interest cost	9,351	
Amendments		
Actuarial loss	6,528	
Benefits paid	(4,990)	
Benefit obligation at end of year	181,191	
Change in plan assets:		
Fair value of plan assets at end of prior year	105,682	
Actual return on plan assets	4,500	
Employer contribution	18,300	
Benefits paid	(4,990)	
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	123,492	
Funded status at end of year	(57,699)	
Unrecognized net actuarial loss	62,433	
Unrecognized prior service cost	153	
Prepaid/(Accrued) benefit cost	\$ 4,887	\$ 4,887

Amounts recognized in the Company's consolidated balance sheets consist of the following:

	2005	2004
	Retirement Income Plan	Quota Reti In P
Prepaid benefit cost	\$	\$
Accrued benefit cost	(24,976)	(24,976)

Edgar Filing: SAFEGUARD SCIENTIFICS INC - Form 10-Q

Intangible assets	153
Accumulated other comprehensive income	29,710
Net amount recognized	\$ 4,887 \$ (

102

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The accumulated benefit obligation for all retirement plans was \$169.4 million and \$169.4 million as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets is as follows (in thousands):

	2005	2004
	Retirement Income Plan	Retirement Income Plan
Projected benefit obligation	\$ (181,191)	\$ (181,191)
Accumulated benefit obligation	(148,468)	(148,468)
Fair value of plan assets	123,492	123,492

The following are the weighted-average actuarial assumptions used to determine the benefit obligation:

	2005	December 31, 2004
	Retirement Income Plan	Non- Qualified Retirement Income Plans
Discount rate	5.50%	5.50%
Rate of compensation increase	5.00%	5.00%

The components of net periodic benefit cost are presented below (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
	Retirement Income Plan	Non- Qualified Retirement Income Plans	Retirement Income Plan
Service cost	\$ 5,021	\$ 143	\$ 4,382
Interest cost	9,351	1,281	8,891
Expected return on plan assets	(9,426)		(7,926)
Amortization of:			
Net loss	3,938	291	3,329
Prior service cost	21	94	21
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 8,905	\$ 1,809	\$ 8,697

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The increase in minimum liability included in other comprehensive income is as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
	Retirement Income Plan	Non- Qualified Retirement Income Plans	Retirement Income Plan
Increase in minimum liability included in other comprehensive income	\$ 5,757	\$ 371	\$ 775

The following are the weighted-average actuarial assumptions used to determine the minimum liability as of January 1:

	2005		2004	
	Retirement Income Plan	Non- Qualified Retirement Income Plans	Retirement Income Plan	Non- Qualified Retirement Income Plans
Discount rate	5.75%	5.75%	6.00%	6.00%
Expected long-term return on plan assets	8.50%	N/A	8.50%	N/A
Rate of compensation increase	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%

The Company reassesses various actuarial assumptions at least on an annual basis. The measurement date based on prevailing market interest rates inherent in high-quality (Aaa) bonds would provide the future cash flow needed to pay the benefits included in the benefit plan, as well as on publicly available bond indices. The Company changed its discount rate to 5.50% from 5.75% at December 31, 2005. For determining 2006 benefit costs, the Company expects the rate to change. A 1.0% decrease in the discount rate would increase the 2005 retirement plan liability by 16%. A 1.0% increase in the discount rate would decrease the 2005 retirement plans liability by 16%.

The Company's overall expected long-term rate of return on assets is 8.50%, which is based on pension funds are generally not subject to income tax. The expected long-term rate of return on assets is based on expected returns on individual asset categories with a target asset allocation of 65% equity and 35% fixed income. The expected returns for equity securities are based on historical risk premiums above the expected returns for the debt securities are based on the portfolio's yield to maturity.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Given recent market conditions, the Company has emphasized capital preservation and growth. The asset allocations for December 31, 2005 and 2004 do not reflect the targeted long-term asset allocation which is set forth in the Company's Retirement Plan weighted-average asset allocations by asset category are as follows:

Asset Category:

Equity securities

Debt securities

Cash equivalents

Total

The Company's investment goals for the Retirement Plan are to maximize returns subject to a prudent level of risk. Its risk management policies permit investments in equity and debt securities, cash equivalents and prohibit direct investments in fixed income derivatives, foreign debt securities, hedge funds, private placements and tax-exempt debt of state and local governments. The Company uses mutual funds by the use of mutual fund investments whose underlying investments are in domestic equity securities and domestic fixed income securities. The liquidity of these funds is enhanced through the use of derivatives securities.

The contributions for the Retirement Plan, as actuarially calculated, are at least the minimum required by the IRS. The Company expects to contribute \$13.7 million to its retirement plans in 2006 and meet the 2006 minimum funding requirements for the Retirement Plan.

The following benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate (in thousands):

2006

2007

2008

2009

2010

2011-2015

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Other Postretirement Benefits

The Company provides certain health care benefits for retired employees and their eligible dependents. The Company also provides certain life insurance benefits for retired employees only. Substantially all of the Company's employees may elect to continue their health care benefits if they retire while working for the Company. Those benefits are accounted for under Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions. Contributions from the Company are based on the amounts established in the Texas Rate Stipulation. The assets of the plan are invested in equities, fixed income securities and cash equivalents and are managed by professional investment managers appointed by the Company. The Company uses a measurement date of December 31 for its other postretirement benefits plan.

In December 2003, the Company elected to defer recognition of the potential effect of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (the "Act") until authoritative guidance on the Medicare Part D subsidy was issued. In May 2004, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. 106-2, "Accounting for Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003: Requirements Related to the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003," which provided guidance on the accounting for the effects of the Act for employers that have a defined benefit postretirement healthcare plan for which the employer has concluded that the benefits available under the plan are actuarially equivalent to the Medicare Part D benefit and the employer is required to reduce the employer's share of the cost of the benefit. The Company determined that the benefits available under the plan were actuarially equivalent to the Medicare Part D benefit. FSP 106-2 requires measurement of the benefit obligation, the plan assets, and the net periodic postretirement benefit cost to reflect the effect of the Act.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The following table contains a reconciliation of the change in the benefit obligation, the funded status of the plans shown with and without the recognition of Medicare Part D

	Including December 31, 2005	2004
Change in benefit obligation:		
Benefit obligation at end of prior year	\$ 114,637	\$ 114,637
Service cost	4,749	4,749
Interest cost	6,667	6,667
Amendments	(22,711)	(22,711)
Actuarial loss (gain)	11,703	11,703
Benefits paid	(2,650)	(2,650)
Retiree contributions	374	374
Benefit obligation at end of year	112,769	112,769
Change in plan assets:		
Fair value of plan assets at end of prior year	23,207	23,207
Actual return on plan assets	364	364
Employer contribution	3,422	3,422
Benefits paid	(2,650)	(2,650)
Retiree contributions	374	374
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	24,717	24,717
Funded status	(88,052)	(88,052)
Unrecognized net actuarial loss (gain)	7,284	7,284
Unrecognized prior service benefit	(24,316)	(24,316)
Accrued postretirement cost	\$ (105,084)	\$ (105,084)

Amounts recognized in the Company's consolidated balance sheets consist of accrued postretirement cost of \$105.1 million and \$98.8 million for 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The following are the weighted-average actuarial assumptions used to determine the a

Discount rate at end of year
Rate of compensation increase
Trend rates:
Initial
Ultimate
Years ultimate reached

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The components of net periodic benefit cost shown including and excluding the Medicare Part D benefit are shown below (in thousands):

Service cost
Interest cost
Expected return on plan assets
Amortization of:
Prior service cost
Net gain

Net periodic benefit cost

Service cost
Interest cost
Expected return on plan assets
Amortization of:
Prior service cost
Net loss

Net periodic benefit cost

The following are the weighted-average actuarial assumptions used to determine the net periodic benefit cost (the assumptions are the same including and excluding Medicare Part D)

Discount rate at beginning of year
Expected long-term return on plan assets
Rate of compensation increase

The Company reassesses various actuarial assumptions at least on an annual basis. The discount rate is determined as of the measurement date based on prevailing market interest rates inherent in high-quality (AAA) corporate bonds. The expected long-term return on plan assets is based on the expected return on a portfolio of assets that would provide the future cash flow needed to pay the benefits included in the benefit plan, as well as on publicly available bond indices. At December 31, 2005, the Company changed the expected long-term return on plan assets to 5.50% for the other postretirement benefits plan. For determining 2006 benefit cost, the

expected to change. A 1.0% decrease in the discount rate would increase the 2005 acc

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

postretirement benefit obligation by 18.1%. A 1.0% increase in the discount rate would increase the postretirement benefit obligation by 14.2%.

For measurement purposes, a 9.6% annual rate of increase in the per capita cost of coverage was assumed for 2006; the rate was assumed to decrease gradually to 6% for 2009 and remain constant thereafter. Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported. A 1% change in these assumed health care cost trend rates would increase or decrease the net periodic benefit cost by \$18.6 million or \$15.0 million, respectively. In addition, such a 1% change would increase the service and interest cost components of the net periodic benefit cost by \$2.1 million or decrease them by \$1.9 million, respectively.

The Company's overall expected long-term rate of return on assets, on an after-tax basis, is based on the sum of the expected returns on individual asset categories with a target asset allocation. The expected returns for equity securities are based on historical risk premium rates, while the expected returns for the debt securities are based on the portfolio yield rate.

Given recent market conditions, the Company has emphasized capital preservation and income. The December 31, 2005 and 2004 do not reflect the targeted long-term asset allocation which is based on the Company's other postretirement benefits plan weighted average asset allocations by asset category.

Asset Category:

Equity securities

Debt securities

Cash equivalents

Total

The Company's investment goals for the postretirement benefits plan are to maximize the value of the plan assets in accordance with its risk management policies. Its risk management policies permit investments in equity and cash/cash equivalents and prohibit direct investments in fixed income derivatives, foreign securities, commingled funds and private placements. The Company's investment policies and procedures for the postretirement benefits plan are based on target allocations for individual asset categories. The Company's investment policies also permit the use of mutual fund investments whose underlying investments are in domestic and foreign equity securities and domestic fixed income securities. The liquidity of these funds is enhanced through the use of derivatives.

The Company expects to contribute \$3.4 million to its other postretirement benefits plan for 2006.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate (in thousands):

	Including Medicare Part D Subsidy
2006	\$ 2,733
2007	3,202
2008	3,621
2009	4,100
2010	4,795
2011-2015	33,273

401(k) Defined Contribution Plans

The Company sponsors 401(k) defined contribution plans covering substantially all employees. The Company has provided a 50 percent matching contribution up to 6 percent of the employee's salary, subject to other limits. Total matching contributions made to the savings plans for the years 2006, 2007 and 2008 were \$1.5 million, \$1.3 million and \$1.3 million, respectively.

Annual Short-Term Bonus Plan

The Annual Short-Term Bonus Plan (the "Bonus Plan") provided for the payment of bonuses to employees, including each of its named executive officers. Payment of awards was based on performance measures reviewed and approved by the Company's Board of Directors. Generally, these performance measures were based on meeting certain financial, operational and safety goals. For 2005, the financial performance goals were based on earnings per share and safety goals were based on safety and customer satisfaction. If a certain level of earnings per share and safety goals were attained, bonuses would have been paid under the Bonus Plan. The Company was able to attain the required earnings per share and the safety goals for low risk employees which resulted in a 2006 bonus of \$3.5 million. The Company was also able to attain the required levels of improvement in earnings per share and safety goals which resulted in a bonus of \$1.0 million. The Company was also able to attain the required levels of improvement in the safety performance measures for medium and high risk employees in 2005, 2006 and 2007 with similar goals.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

L. Franchises and Significant Customers

City of El Paso Franchise

The Company's largest franchise agreement is with the City. The franchise agreement provides for a franchise fee and allows the Company to utilize public rights-of-way necessary to serve its retail franchise with the City extends through July 31, 2030.

Las Cruces Franchise

In February 2000, the Company and Las Cruces entered into a seven-year franchise agreement for a franchise fee (approximately \$1.3 million per year) for the provision of electric distribution services. The Company is prohibited during this seven-year period from taking any action to condemn or otherwise acquire the City's distribution system, or attempt to operate or build its own electric distribution system. The Company has a 90-day non-assignable option at the end of the Company's seven-year franchise agreement to purchase the City's distribution system that serves Las Cruces at a purchase price of 130% of book value at that time. The Company must provide the book values of the assets covered by this agreement to the City by July 31, 2006. If Las Cruces exercises this option, it is prohibited from operating the system for two years. If Las Cruces fails to exercise this option, the franchise and standstill agreement will be extended for an additional two years.

Military Installations

The Company currently serves Holloman Air Force Base (Holloman), White Sands Air Force Base, and the United States Army Air Defense Center at Fort Bliss (Ft. Bliss). The Company's sales to these military installations represent approximately 3% of annual operating revenues. The Company signed a contract with Ft. Bliss which Ft. Bliss will take retail electric service from the Company through December 2006. In 2006, the Company entered into a ten-year contract to provide retail electric service to White Sands Air Force Base. The Company signed a new contract, subject to regulatory approval, with Holloman that provides for retail electric service and limited wheeling services to Holloman for a ten-year term with an option to extend for an additional ten years.

M. Financial Instruments and Investments

SFAS No. 107, "Disclosure about Fair Value of Financial Instruments," requires the Company to disclose fair values for its financial instruments. The Company has determined that cash and temporary investments, accounts receivable, decommissioning trust funds, long-term debt and financing obligations, accounts payable, and deposits meet the definition of financial instruments. The carrying amounts of cash and temporary investments, accounts receivable, accounts payable and

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

customer deposits approximate fair value because of the short maturity of these items, carried at market value.

The fair values of the Company's long-term debt and financing obligations, including accrued interest, are based on estimated market prices for similar issues and are presented below (in thousands):

	2005
	Carrying Amount
First Mortgage Bonds	\$
Pollution Control Bonds	193,135
Senior Notes	397,703
Nuclear Fuel Financing (1)	41,907
Total	\$ 632,745

(1) The interest rate on the Company's financing for nuclear fuel purchases is reset to market rates. Consequently, the carrying value approximates fair value.

Treasury Rate Locks. During the first quarter of 2005, the Company entered into treasury rate lock agreements against potential movements in the treasury reference interest rate pending the issuance of new debt. The locks were terminated on May 11, 2005. The treasury rate lock agreements met the criteria for hedge accounting and were designated as a cash flow hedge. In accordance with cash flow hedge accounting, the Company recognized an expense associated with the fair value of the cash flow hedge of approximately \$14.0 million, which was recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss. In May 2005, the Company began to recognize an expense (expense) the accumulated other comprehensive loss associated with the cash flow hedge of approximately \$0.3 million of this accumulated other comprehensive loss item in the first quarter of 2005, which was recorded in expense.

Contracts and Derivative Accounting. The Company uses commodity contracts to manage price and availability risks for fuel purchases and power sales and purchases and these contracts are accounted for as derivatives. The Company does not trade or use these instruments with the objective of speculation or to hedge commodity price fluctuations. The Company has determined that all such contracts, except for commodity contracts with optionality features, that had the characteristics of derivatives, were normal sales exception provided in SFAS No. 133, and, as such, were not required to be accounted for as derivatives pursuant to SFAS No. 133 and other guidance.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The Company determined that certain of its natural gas commodity contracts with optional termination rights, which are subject to the normal purchases exception and, therefore, are required to be accounted for as derivatives under SFAS No. 133. However, as of December 31, 2005, the variable, market-based pricing of these contracts is such that these derivative instruments have no significant fair value.

Marketable Securities. The Company's marketable securities, included in decommissioned securities schedules, are reported at fair value which was \$96.0 million at December 31, 2005. Gross unrealized losses on marketable securities and the fair value of the related securities, aggregated by investment category, are as follows. Marketable securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at December 31, 2005, with the following:

	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or More
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Description of Securities:	Value	Losses	Value
U.S. Treasury Obligations and Direct Obligations of U.S. Government Agencies	\$ 15,151	\$ (309)	\$ 1,301
Federal Agency Mortgage Backed Securities	650	(13)	1,812
Municipal Obligations	5,213	(79)	1,130
Corporate Obligations	4,145	(33)	2,098
Total debt securities	25,159	(434)	6,341
Common stock	26,789	(2,084)	840
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 51,948	\$ (2,518)	\$ 7,181

The total impaired securities are comprised of approximately 130 investments that are in an unrealized loss position. The Company monitors the length of time the investment trades below its cost basis along with the unrealized loss in determining if a decline in fair value of marketable securities is other than temporary. In addition, the Company will research the future prospects of the securities, if necessary. As a result of these factors, as well as the Company's intent and ability to sell the securities when the market price recovers, these investments are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired. There is no requirement to expend monies held in trust before 2024 or a later period when the Company redeems the securities. For 2005 the Company realized a \$0.1 million gain on the sale of investments that were previously considered impaired. During the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company realized temporary impairment losses of marketable securities of \$0.3 million and \$0.6 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

N. Supplemental Statements of Cash Flows Disclosures

Cash paid for:

Interest on long-term debt and financing obligations

Income taxes

Other interest

Non-cash investing and financing activities:

Grants of restricted shares of common stock

Change in federal and state deferred tax valuation allowance credited to capital in excess of stated value (1)

Plant in service acquired through incurring obligations subject to a service agreement

(1) See Note H.

114

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****O. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)**

	2005 Quarters				
	4th	3rd	2nd	1st	4th
	(In thousands except for shares)				
Operating revenues (1)	\$ 213,397	\$ 242,031	\$ 189,300	\$ 159,185	\$ 165,620
Operating income	15,611	51,278	22,333	18,661	7,577
Income (loss) before cumulative effect of accounting change and extraordinary item	7,808	28,012	(3,962)	4,757	(1,180)
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax	(1,093)				
Extraordinary gain on re-application of SFAS No. 71, net of tax					
Net income (loss)	6,715	28,012	(3,962)	4,757	(1,180)
Basic earnings per share:					
Income (loss) before cumulative effect of accounting change and extraordinary item	0.16	0.59	(0.08)	0.10	(0.02)
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax	(0.02)				
Extraordinary gain on re-application of SFAS No. 71, net of tax					
Net income (loss)	0.14	0.59	(0.08)	0.10	(0.02)
Diluted earnings per share:					
Income (loss) before cumulative effect of accounting change and extraordinary item	0.16	0.58	(0.08)	0.10	(0.02)
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax	(0.02)				
Extraordinary gain on re-application of SFAS No. 71, net of tax					
Net income (loss)	0.14	0.58	(0.08)	0.10	(0.02)

(1) Operating revenues are seasonal in nature, with the peak sales periods generally occurring in the first and second months. Comparisons among quarters of a year may not represent overall trends.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Reporting.
None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. During the period covered by this report, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, after evaluating the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15) (the Evaluation Date), concluded that as of the Evaluation Date, our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in paragraph (b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rules 13a-15 or 15d-15) were not effective. No material information relating to us and our consolidated subsidiary would be made known to us by our disclosure controls and procedures.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Included in our 2005 Management Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting on page 49 of the report.

Changes in internal control over financial reporting. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting in connection with the evaluation required by paragraph (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rules 13a-15 or 15d-15, that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2005, that management reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

Information regarding directors is incorporated herein by reference from our definitive Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the 2006 Proxy Statement) under the heading N Information regarding our executive officers, included herein under the caption Exec Part I, Item 1 above, is incorporated herein by reference.

The information concerning the identification of our standing audit committee requires reference from the 2006 Proxy Statement under the caption Committees under the Compensation, Committees, Independence and Corporate Governance Matters, and Report.

The information concerning our audit committee financial experts required by this Item the 2006 Proxy Statement under the caption Committees under the heading Direct Committees, Independence and Corporate Governance Matters.

The information concerning compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires reference from the 2006 Proxy Statement under the caption Section 16(a) Beneficial under the heading Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

We have adopted a Code of Ethics that is incorporated by reference from the 2006 Proxy Corporate Governance Matters under the heading Directors Meetings, Compensation Corporate Governance Matters.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Incorporated herein by reference from the 2006 Proxy Statement under the caption E heading Certain Additional Information.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

Incorporated herein by reference from the 2006 Proxy Statement under the heading S Beneficial Owners and Management.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Plan Category	Number of securities	
	to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights
	(a)	(b)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	1,354,448	\$ 11
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders		
Total	1,354,448	\$ 11

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Incorporated herein by reference from the 2006 Proxy Statement under the heading (C)

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Incorporated herein by reference from the 2006 Proxy Statement under the heading (D)

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) Documents filed as a part of this report:

1. Financial Statements:

See Index to Financial Statements

2. Financial Statement Schedules:

All schedules are omitted as the required information is not applicable or inapplicable to the financial statements or related notes thereto.

3. Exhibits

Certain of the following documents are filed herewith. Certain other of the following documents are filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and, pursuant to Rule 12b-32 and Reg

herein by reference.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

Exhibit	Number	Title
Exhibit 3		Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws:
	3.01	Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Company, dated February 1, 1996. (Exhibit 3.01 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995)
	3.02	Bylaws of the Company, dated February 6, 1996. (Exhibit 3.02 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995)
Exhibit 4		Instruments Defining the Rights of Security Holders, including Indentures:
	4.01	General Mortgage Indenture and Deed of Trust, dated as of February 1, 1996, including form of Series A through Series C Bonds. (Exhibit 4.01 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995)
	4.01-01	Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 19, 1997, to Exhibit 4.01. (Exhibit 4.01-01 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1997)
	4.01-02	Fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 17, 2004, to Exhibit 4.01. (Exhibit 4.01-02 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2004)
	4.01-03	Sixth Supplemental Indenture to Exhibit 4.01, dated as of May 5, 2005, and Deed of Trust dated as of February 1, 1996 between the Company and the trustee. (Exhibit 4.01-03 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005)
	4.02	Reserved
	4.03	Indenture of Trust between Maricopa County, Arizona Pollution Control Board of California, N.A. as Trustee dated as of July 1, 2005 relating to \$59,230,000 of Pollution Control Corporation Pollution Control Refunding Revenue Bonds (Company Palo Verde Project). (Exhibit 4.30 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005)
	4.04	Loan Agreement dated July 1, 2005 between Maricopa County, Arizona and El Paso Electric Company relating to the Pollution Control Bonds. (Exhibit 4.31 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005)

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

Exhibit	
Number	Title
4.05	Representation and Indemnity Agreement dated July 27, 2005 among Citigroup Global Markets Inc., BNY Capital Markets, Inc., J.P. Morgan & Co., Maricopa County, Arizona Pollution Control Corporation, relating to the Pollution Control Refunding Revenue Bonds (Exhibit 4.03). (Exhibit 4.32 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005)
4.06	Indenture of Trust between Maricopa County, Arizona Pollution Control Corporation and Bank of California, N.A. as Trustee dated as of July 1, 2005 relating to \$63,500,000 of Pollution Control Refunding Revenue Bonds (Exhibit 4.06). (Exhibit 4.33 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005)
4.07	Loan Agreement dated July 1, 2005 between Maricopa County, Arizona Pollution Control Corporation and El Paso Electric Company relating to the Pollution Control Refunding Revenue Bonds (Exhibit 4.06). (Exhibit 4.34 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005)
4.08	Indenture of Trust between Maricopa County, Arizona Pollution Control Corporation and Bank of California, N.A. as Trustee dated as of July 1, 2005 relating to \$37,100,000 of Pollution Control Refunding Revenue Bonds (Exhibit 4.08). (Exhibit 4.35 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005)
4.09	Loan Agreement dated July 1, 2005 between Maricopa County, Arizona Pollution Control Corporation and El Paso Electric Company relating to the Pollution Control Refunding Revenue Bonds (Exhibit 4.08). (Exhibit 4.35 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005)
4.10	Remarketing Agreement dated August 1, 2005 between El Paso Electric Company and Citigroup Global Markets Inc. relating to the Pollution Control Bonds referred to in Exhibit 4.06. (Exhibit 4.37 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005)
4.11	Tender Agreement dated August 1, 2005 between El Paso Electric Company and Citigroup Global Markets Inc. relating to the Pollution Control Bonds referred to in Exhibit 4.06. (Exhibit 4.38 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005)

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

Exhibit	Title
Number	Title
4.12	Broker-Dealer Agreement dated August 1, 2005 among The Bank of California, N.A., as Trustee and The Bank of New York, as Auction Agent and El Paso Electric Company, relating to the Pollution Control Bonds referred to in Exhibits 4.06 and 4.08. (Exhibit 4.12 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005)
4.13	Auction Agent Agreement dated as of August 1, 2005 among El Paso Electric Company, The Bank of California, N.A., as Trustee and The Bank of New York, as Auction Agent, relating to the Pollution Control Bonds referred to in Exhibits 4.06 and 4.08. (Exhibit 4.13 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005)
4.14	Representation and Indemnity Agreement dated July 27, 2005 among El Paso Electric Company, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., BNY Capital Markets, Inc., J.P. Morgan Chase & Co., and the County of Pima, Arizona Pollution Control Corporation, relating to the Pollution Control Bonds referred to in Exhibits 4.06 and 4.08. (Exhibit 4.14 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005)
4.15	Remarketing and Purchase Agreement dated July 27, 2005 among El Paso Electric Company, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., as remarketing agent, and Citigroup Global Markets, Inc., and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. relating to the Pollution Control Bonds referred to in Exhibits 4.06 and 4.08. (Exhibit 4.15 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, and Exhibit 4.15 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005)
4.16	Tender Agreement dated August 1, 2005 between El Paso Electric Company and Citigroup Global Markets Inc. relating to the Pollution Control Bonds referred to in Exhibits 4.06 and 4.08. (Exhibit 4.16 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, and Exhibit 4.16 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005)
4.17	Remarketing Agreement dated August 1, 2005 between El Paso Electric Company and Citigroup Global Markets Inc. relating to the Pollution Control Bonds referred to in Exhibits 4.06 and 4.08. (Exhibit 4.17 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, and Exhibit 4.17 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005)

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

Exhibit	Title
Number	Title
4.18	Ordinance No. 2002-1134 adopted by the City Council of Farmington, New Mexico, authorizing and providing for the issuance by the City of Farmington, New Mexico, of the principal amount of its Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, 2002 (Company Four Corners Project). (Exhibit 4.22 to the Company's Quarterly Report for the quarter ended September 30, 2002)
Exhibit 10	Material Contracts:
10.01	Co-Tenancy Agreement, dated July 19, 1966, and Amendments No. 1 through 10 thereto, between the Participants of the Four Corners Project, defining the respective owners and interests of the Parties. (Exhibit 10.01 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995)
10.01-01	Amendment No. 6, dated February 3, 2000, to Exhibit 10.01. (Exhibit 10.01-01 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002)
10.02	Supplemental and Additional Indenture of Lease, dated May 27, 1995, and amendments thereto, supplements to original Lease Four Corners Units 1, 2 and 3, between the Company and the Arizona Public Service Company, and including new Lease Four Corners Units 4 and 5, between the Company, Navajo Tribe of Indians and Arizona Public Service Company, the Company and the Navajo Tribe of Indians, New Mexico, Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District, Southern California Edison Company and Tucson Gas & Electric Company. (Exhibit 4-e to Registration Statement on Form S-9)
10.02-01	Amendment and Supplement No. 1, dated March 21, 1985, to Exhibit 10.02. (Exhibit 10.02-01 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1997)
10.03	El Paso Electric Company 1996 Long-Term Incentive Plan. (Exhibit 10.03 to the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-17971 on Form S-8)
10.04	Four Corners Project Operating Agreement, dated May 15, 1969, between the Company, the Arizona Public Service Company, the Company, Public Service Company of New Mexico, Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District, Southern California Edison Company, and Amendments 1 through 10 thereto. (Exhibit 10.04 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995)
10.04-01	Amendment No. 11, dated May 23, 1997, to Exhibit 10.04. (Exhibit 10.04-01 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1997)

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Title
Number	Title
10.04-02	Amendment No. 12, dated February 3, 2000, to Exhibit 10.04. (E Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002)
10.05	Arizona Nuclear Power Project Participation Agreement, dated A Public Service Company, Public Service Company of New Mexico, Sal Improvement and Power District, Tucson Gas & Electric Company and respective participation ownerships of the various utilities having undiv Nuclear Power Project and in general terms defining the respective own construction and operating arrangements of the Parties, and Amendmen (Exhibit 10.05 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the
10.05-01	Amendment No. 14, dated June 20, 2000, to Exhibit 10.05. (Exhi Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002)
10.06	ANPP Valley Transmission System Participation Agreement, dat Amendments No. 1 and 2 thereto. APS Contract No. 2253-419.00. (Exh Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995)
10.07	Arizona Nuclear Power Project High Voltage Switchyard Particip 1981. APS Contract No. 2252-419.00. (Exhibit 20.14 to the Company s the year ended December 31, 1981)
10.07-01	Amendment No. 1, dated November 20, 1986, to Exhibit 10.07. (Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1986)
10.08	Firm Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station Transmission Servic Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District and the Company (Exhibit 19.12 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the
10.09	Interconnection Agreement, as amended, dated December 8, 198 Southwestern Public Service Company, and Service Schedules A throug Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

Exhibit	Title
Number	Title
10.10	Amrad to Artesia 345 KV Transmission System and DC Termination Agreement, dated December 8, 1981, between the Company and Texas-New Mexico Power Company; and the Third Supplemental Agreements thereto. (Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995)
10.11	Reserved
10.12	Interconnection Agreement and Amendment No. 1, dated July 19, 1982, between the Company and Public Service Company of New Mexico. (Exhibit 19.01 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1982)
10.13	Southwest New Mexico Transmission Project Participation Agreement, dated July 19, 1982, between the Company, Public Service Company of New Mexico, Community Public Service Company, and the Company. (Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995)
10.13-01	Amendment No. 6, dated as of June 17, 1999, to Exhibit 10.16. (Exhibit 10.13-01 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1999)
10.14	Tucson-El Paso Power Exchange and Transmission Agreement, dated August 14, 1986, between the Company, Tucson Electric Power Company and the Company. (Exhibit 19.26 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1982)
10.15	Southwest Reserve Sharing Group Participation Agreement, dated August 14, 1986, between the Company, Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Arizona Public Service Company, Los Alamos County, Nevada Power Company, Plains Electric G&T Company, and the Company. (Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1986)
10.16	Arizona Nuclear Power Project Transmission Project Westwing Service Agreement, dated August 14, 1986, between The United States of America, the Company; Department of Water and Power of the City of Los Angeles; Arizona Electric Power Cooperative; Public Service Company of New Mexico; Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power Company; Tucson Electric Power Company; and the Company. (Exhibit 10.72 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1986)
10.17	Form of Indemnity Agreement, between the Company and its directors and officers. (Exhibit 10.17 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995)

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

Exhibit		Title
Number		
10.18	Interchange Agreement, executed April 14, 1982, between Comisi	Company. (Exhibit 19.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10
		1991)
10.19	Trust Agreement, dated as of February 12, 1996, between the Com	National Association, as Trustee of the Rio Grande Resources Trust II. (I
		Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995)
10.20	Purchase Contract, dated as of February 12, 1996, between the Co	National Association, as Trustee of the Rio Grande Resources Trust II. (I
		Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995)
10.21	Form of Stock Option Agreement, dated as of June 11, 1996, betw	Hedrick and J. Frank Bates; officers of the Company. (Exhibit 99.07 to th
		Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996)
10.22	Decommissioning Trust Agreement, dated as of December 18, 200	of America, N.A., as Decommissioning Trustee for Palo Verde Unit 1.
10.23	Decommissioning Trust Agreement, dated as of December 18, 200	of America, N.A., as Decommissioning Trustee for Palo Verde Unit 2.
10.24	Decommissioning Trust Agreement, dated as of December 18, 200	of America, N.A., as Decommissioning Trustee for Palo Verde Unit 3.
10.25	Employment Agreement for Helen Knopp, dated April 30, 1999. (I	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999)
10.26	Amended and Restated Change in Control Agreement between the	of the Company. (Exhibit 10.02 to the Company's Quarterly Report on I
		September 2005)
10.27	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement between the Compan	Company. (Exhibit 99.04 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 1
		1998)
10.28	Form of Stock Option Agreement between the Company and certa	(Exhibit 99.01 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

Exhibit	Title
Number	
10.29	Form of Directors Restricted Stock Award Agreement between of the Company. (Exhibit 10.07 to the Company s Quarterly Report June 30, 1999)
10.30	Form of Directors Stock Option Agreement between the Com Company. (Exhibit 99.17 to the Company s Annual Report on Form December 31, 1997)
10.31	El Paso Electric Company 1999 Long-Term Incentive Plan. (E No. 333-82129 on Form S-8)
10.32	Settlement Agreement, dated as of February 24, 2000, with the to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter en
10.33	Franchise Agreement, dated April 3, 2000, between the Compa (Exhibit 10.02 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for
10.34	Employment Agreement for Hector Puente, dated April 23, 20 Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for quarter ended June 30, 2001)
10.35	Shiprock Four Corners Project 345 kV Switchyard Interconn 2002. APS Contract No. 51999. (Exhibit 10.06 to the Company s Q quarter ended March 31, 2002)
10.36	Interconnection Agreement dated as of May 23, 2002, between Service Company of New Mexico. (Exhibit 10.09 to the Company s the quarter ended June 30, 2002)
10.36-01	First Amended and Restated Interconnection Agreement, dated (Exhibit 10.52.01 to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K fo 2003)
10.37	Reserved
10.38	Credit Agreement dated as of December 17, 2004, among the Trustee, the lenders party hereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank as Admi and Issuing Bank.
10.39	Eight Treasury Rate Lock agreements between the Company a International. (Exhibit 10.02 to the Company s Quarterly Report on March 31, 2005)

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

Exhibit	Number	Title
	10.40	Master Power Purchase and Sale Agreement and Transaction Agreement between the Company and Southwestern Public Service Company. (Excluded from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005)
	10.41	Rate Agreement between the Company and the City of El Paso, Texas
	*10.42	Power Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated as of December 16, 2004, between the Company and Phelps Dodge Energy Services, LLC.
Exhibit 21		Subsidiaries of the Company:
	21.01	MiraSol Energy Services, Inc., a Delaware corporation
Exhibit 23		Consent of Experts:
	*23.01	Consent of KPMG LLP (set forth on page 133 of this report)
Exhibit 24		Power of Attorney:
	*24.01	Power of Attorney (set forth on page 132 of the Original Form 10-Q)
	*24.02	Certified copy of resolution authorizing signatures pursuant to the Power of Attorney
Exhibit 31 and 32		Certifications:
	*31.01	Certifications pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
	*32.01	Certifications pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
Exhibit 99		Additional Exhibits:
	99.01	Agreed Order, entered August 30, 1995, by the Public Utility Commission of New Mexico to Registration Statement No. 33-99744 on Form S-1)
	99.02	Stock Option Agreement, dated as of January 17, 1997, with David A. Lippert, Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company, as set forth in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997
	99.03	Final Order, entered September 24, 1998, by the New Mexico Public Utility Commission
	99.31	Final Order, entered September 24, 1998, by the New Mexico Public Utility Commission to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998
	99.04	Final Order, entered June 8, 1999, by the Public Utility Commission of New Mexico to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1999

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Title
Number	Title
99.05	Final Order, entered January 8, 2002, by the New Mexico Public Utility Commission, in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.
99.06	News Release, dated as of December 5, 2002, by the El Paso Electric Company, with the FERC Trial Staff. (Exhibit 99.01 to the Company's Form 8-K, dated December 5, 2002).
99.07	Stipulated Facts and Remedies, dated as of December 5, 2002, by the Company, as part of its written testimony. (Exhibit 99.02 to the Company's Form 8-K, dated December 5, 2002).

* Filed herewith.

Eleven agreements, dated March 10, 2005, substantially identical in all material respects to the agreements entered into with Gary R. Hedrick; J. Frank Bates; Scott D. Wilson; Steven J. Lore; Kerry B. Lore; Robert C. McNiel; Hector Puente; Guillermo Silva, Jr.; John A. Williams Knopp; officers of the Company.

One agreement, dated July 11, 2005, substantially identical in all material respects to the agreement entered into with Andy Ramirez, officer of the Company.

One agreement, dated August 10, 2005, substantially identical in all material respects to the agreement entered into with David G. Carpenter, officer of the Company.

Eight agreements, dated as of February 28, 2001, substantially identical in all material respects to the agreements entered into with Terry D. Bassham; J. Frank Bates; Gary R. Hedrick; John C. Horne; Helen Williams Knopp; Kerry B. Lore; Robert C. McNiel; and Guillermo Silva; officers of the Company.

One agreement, dated as of November 8, 2001, identical in all material respects to the agreement entered into with Gary R. Hedrick; officer of the Company.

Nine agreements, dated as of February 28, 2002, substantially identical in all material respects to the agreements entered into with J. Frank Bates; Gary R. Hedrick; Kathryn Hood; Helen Williams Knopp; Robert C. McNiel; Hector R. Puente; and Guillermo Silva; officers of the Company.

Two agreements, dated as of July 15, 2002, substantially identical in all material respects to the agreements entered into with Fernando J. Gireud and John A. Whitacre; officers of the Company.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit

Number

Title

Two agreements, dated as of December 4, 2003, substantially identical to Exhibits 101 and 102, have been entered into with Steven P. Busser and Scott D. Wilson; officers of the Company.

Two agreements, dated January 3, 1998, identical in all material respects to Exhibits 101 and 102, were entered into with J. Frank Bates and Gary R. Hedrick; officers of the Company.

One agreement, dated as of May 28, 1999, identical in all material respects to Exhibits 101 and 102, was entered into with Helen Knopp; officer of the Company.

One agreement, dated as of January 3, 2000, identical in all material respects to Exhibits 101 and 102, was entered into with John C. Horne; officer of the Company.

One agreement, dated as of April 23, 2001, identical in all material respects to Exhibits 101 and 102, was entered into with Hector Puente; officer of the Company.

One agreement, dated as of November 5, 2001, identical in all material respects to Exhibits 101 and 102, was entered into with Gary R. Hedrick; officer of the Company.

One agreement, dated as of November 26, 2001, identical in all material respects to Exhibits 101 and 102, was entered into with J. Frank Bates; officer of the Company.

Three agreements, dated as of May 10, 2001, identical in all material respects to Exhibits 101 and 102, were entered into with Kathryn Hood, Kerry B. Lore and Guillermo Silva, Jr.

Two agreements, dated as of July 15, 2002, identical in all material respects to Exhibits 101 and 102, were entered into with Fernando J. Gireud and John A. Whitacre; officers of the Company.

Two agreements, dated as of December 4, 2003, identical in all material respects to Exhibits 101 and 102, were entered into with Steven P. Busser and Scott D. Wilson; officers of the Company.

In lieu of non-employee director cash compensation, three agreements, dated as of April 1, 2004, substantially identical in all material respects to this Exhibit 101, were entered into with Kenneth R. Heitz; Patricia Z. Holland-Branch; and Charles A. Yamarone; directors of the Company.

Eleven agreements, dated as of May 5, 2004, substantially identical in all material respects to this Exhibit 101, were entered into with George W. Edwards, Jr.; Ramiro Guzman; James W. Cicconi; Patricia Z. Holland-Branch; Michael K. Parks; Eric J. Brown; Charles A. Yamarone; and J. Robert Brown; directors of the Company.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

Exhibit	
Number	Title
	In lieu of non-employee director cash compensation, four agreements, dated October 1, 2004, substantially identical in all material respects to this Exhibit, have been filed herewith with Kenneth R. Heitz and Patricia Z. Holland-Branch; directors of the Company.
	In lieu of non-employee director cash compensation, four agreements, dated October 1, 2005, substantially identical in all material respects to this Exhibit, have been filed herewith with Kenneth R. Heitz and Patricia Z. Holland-Branch directors of the Company.
	In lieu of non-employee director cash compensation, eleven agreements, dated October 1, 2005, substantially identical in all material respects to this Exhibit, were entered into with James W. Cicconi; George W. Edwards, Jr.; Ramiro Guzman; James W. Edwards; Patricia Z. Holland-Branch; Michael K. Parks; Eric B. Siegel; Stephen N. Wertheimer; Charles A. Yamarone; directors of the Company.
	In lieu of non-employee director cash compensation, four agreements, dated October 1, 2005, substantially identical in all material respects to this Exhibit, have been filed herewith with Kenneth R. Heitz; and Patricia Z. Holland-Branch; directors of the Company.
	Eight agreements, dated as of May 8, 1997, identical in all material respects to this Exhibit, were entered into with George W. Edwards, Jr.; Ramiro Guzman; James W. Edwards; Michael K. Parks; Eric B. Siegel; Stephen N. Wertheimer and Charles A. Yamarone; directors of the Company.
	Ten agreements, dated as of May 29, 1998, identical in all material respects to this Exhibit, were entered into with George W. Edwards, Jr.; James W. Cicconi; Ramiro Guzman; James W. Edwards; Kenneth R. Heitz; Patricia Z. Holland-Branch; Michael K. Parks; Eric B. Siegel; Stephen N. Wertheimer and Charles A. Yamarone; directors of the Company.
	In lieu of non-employee director cash compensation, two agreements, dated October 1, 2002, substantially identical in all material respects to this Exhibit, have been filed herewith with Kenneth R. Heitz; director of the Company.
	In lieu of non-employee director cash compensation, two agreements, dated October 1, 2003, substantially identical in all material respects to this Exhibit, have been filed herewith with Kenneth R. Heitz; director of the Company.
	Confidential treatment has been requested and received for the redacted portions of this Exhibit filed herewith omits the information subject to the confidentiality request. ****. A complete version of this Exhibit has been filed separately with the SEC and the Commission.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

UNDERTAKING

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 may be required of the registrant or any person who is or was at any time a director, officer or controlling person of the registrant pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or other provisions of the Securities Act of 1933, the registrant and each such person is advised that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission such indemnification is not enforceable under the Securities Act of 1933 and is, therefore, unenforceable. In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities (other than the payment by the registrant of expenses incurred by a controlling person of the registrant in the successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding) is asserted against a director, officer or controlling person in connection with the securities being registered hereunder, the registrant and each such person, in its or his own opinion of its counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a final and exclusive arbitration of the question of whether such indemnification by it is against public policy as expressed by the final adjudication of such issue.

131

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that each of El Paso Electric Company, undersigned directors and officers of El Paso Electric Company, hereby constitutes and appoints Scott D. Wilson, J. Frank Bates and Gary D. Sanders, its, his or her true and lawful attorney-in-fact, agent, proxy, and substitute, him or her and its, his or her name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, with full power, sole authority and authority to do all things and execute all reports, reports and any and all amendments to this report, and to file each such amendment to this report and any and all documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, its said attorneys-in-fact and agents, and each of them, full power and authority to do and perform all things requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as he or she might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact and agents and each of them may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY

By:

President

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

Signature	Title
<p>/s/ GARY R. HEDRICK (Gary R. Hedrick)</p>	<p>President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) and</p>
<p>/s/ SCOTT D. WILSON (Scott D. Wilson)</p>	<p>Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)</p>
<p>/s/ DAVID G. CARPENTER (David G. Carpenter)</p>	<p>Vice President, Corporate Planning and Controller</p>
<p>/s/ J. ROBERT BROWN (J. Robert Brown)</p>	<p>Director</p>
<p>/s/ JAMES W. CICCONI</p>	<p>Director</p>

Edgar Filing: SAFEGUARD SCIENTIFICS INC - Form 10-Q

(James W. Cicconi)

/s/ GEORGE W. EDWARDS, JR. Director

(George W. Edwards, Jr.)

/s/ RAMIRO GUZMAN Director

(Ramiro Guzman)

/s/ JAMES W. HARRIS Director

(James W. Harris)

/s/ KENNETH R. HEITZ Director

(Kenneth R. Heitz)

/s/ PATRICIA Z. HOLLAND-BRANCH Director

(Patricia Z. Holland-Branch)

/s/ MICHAEL K. PARKS Director

(Michael K. Parks)

/s/ ERIC B. SIEGEL Director

(Eric B. Siegel)

/s/ STEPHEN N. WERTHEIMER Director

(Stephen N. Wertheimer)

/s/ CHARLES A. YAMARONE Director

(Charles A. Yamarone)

132