

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.
Form 10-Q
May 24, 2016
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark one)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended April 30, 2016

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission file number 0-18225

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

California 77-0059951

(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Identification Number)

170 West Tasman Drive

San Jose, California 95134

(Address of principal executive office and zip code)

(408) 526-4000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Number of shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding as of May 19, 2016: 5,029,711,978

Table of Contents

Cisco Systems, Inc.

Form 10-Q for the Quarter Ended April 30, 2016

INDEX

| | Page |
|--|-----------|
| Part I | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Financial Information</u> | <u>3</u> |
| Item 1. | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015</u> | <u>4</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Nine Months Ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015</u> | <u>5</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015</u> | <u>6</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Equity for the Nine Months Ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015</u> | <u>7</u> |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>8</u> |
| Item 2. | <u>45</u> |
| <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>45</u> |
| Item 3. | <u>75</u> |
| <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>75</u> |
| Item 4. | <u>77</u> |
| <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>77</u> |
| Part II. | <u>77</u> |
| <u>Other Information</u> | <u>77</u> |
| Item 1. | <u>77</u> |
| <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>77</u> |
| Item 1A. | <u>79</u> |
| <u>Risk Factors</u> | <u>79</u> |
| Item 2. | <u>96</u> |
| <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>96</u> |
| Item 3. | <u>97</u> |
| <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | <u>97</u> |
| Item 4. | <u>97</u> |
| <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>97</u> |
| Item 5. | <u>97</u> |
| <u>Other Information</u> | <u>97</u> |
| Item 6. | <u>97</u> |
| <u>Exhibits</u> | <u>97</u> |
| <u>Signature</u> | <u>98</u> |

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in millions, except par value)

(Unaudited)

| | April 30, 2016 | July 25, 2015 |
|---|-------------------|------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$8,895 | \$6,877 |
| Investments | 54,617 | 53,539 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$244 at April 30, 2016 and \$302 at July 25, 2015 | 4,047 | 5,344 |
| Inventories | 1,343 | 1,627 |
| Financing receivables, net | 4,716 | 4,491 |
| Deferred tax assets | 2,723 | 2,915 |
| Other current assets | 2,230 | 1,490 |
| Total current assets | 78,571 | 76,283 |
| Property and equipment, net | 3,529 | 3,332 |
| Financing receivables, net | 3,900 | 3,858 |
| Goodwill | 26,762 | 24,469 |
| Purchased intangible assets, net | 2,744 | 2,376 |
| Other assets | 3,148 | 3,163 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$118,654 | \$113,481 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Short-term debt | \$4,164 | \$3,897 |
| Accounts payable | 1,007 | 1,104 |
| Income taxes payable | 152 | 62 |
| Accrued compensation | 2,745 | 3,049 |
| Deferred revenue | 9,662 | 9,824 |
| Other current liabilities | 6,273 | 5,687 |
| Total current liabilities | 24,003 | 23,623 |
| Long-term debt | 24,431 | 21,457 |
| Income taxes payable | 891 | 1,876 |
| Deferred revenue | 5,610 | 5,359 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 1,361 | 1,459 |
| Total liabilities | 56,296 | 53,774 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 12) | | |
| Equity: | | |
| Cisco shareholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, no par value: 5 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding | — | — |
| Common stock and additional paid-in capital, \$0.001 par value: 20,000 shares authorized; 5,034 and 5,085 shares issued and outstanding at April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015, respectively | 44,137 | 43,592 |
| Retained earnings | 18,448 | 16,045 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | (227) |) 61 |
| Total Cisco shareholders' equity | 62,358 | 59,698 |
| Noncontrolling interests | — | 9 |

| | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Total equity | 62,358 | 59,707 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | \$118,654 | \$113,481 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(in millions, except per-share amounts)
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 |
| REVENUE: | | | | |
| Product | \$8,875 | \$9,326 | \$27,702 | \$27,839 |
| Service | 3,125 | 2,811 | 8,907 | 8,479 |
| Total revenue | 12,000 | 12,137 | 36,609 | 36,318 |
| COST OF SALES: | | | | |
| Product | 3,214 | 3,584 | 10,547 | 11,309 |
| Service | 1,065 | 1,028 | 3,077 | 3,061 |
| Total cost of sales | 4,279 | 4,612 | 13,624 | 14,370 |
| GROSS MARGIN | 7,721 | 7,525 | 22,985 | 21,948 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES: | | | | |
| Research and development | 1,626 | 1,547 | 4,695 | 4,659 |
| Sales and marketing | 2,447 | 2,449 | 7,176 | 7,272 |
| General and administrative | 566 | 510 | 1,281 | 1,504 |
| Amortization of purchased intangible assets | 81 | 70 | 221 | 213 |
| Restructuring and other charges | 17 | 24 | 255 | 411 |
| Total operating expenses | 4,737 | 4,600 | 13,628 | 14,059 |
| OPERATING INCOME | 2,984 | 2,925 | 9,357 | 7,889 |
| Interest income | 270 | 190 | 732 | 558 |
| Interest expense | (175) | (139) | (496) | (417) |
| Other income (loss), net | 4 | 59 | (67) | 238 |
| Interest and other income (loss), net | 99 | 110 | 169 | 379 |
| INCOME BEFORE PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES | 3,083 | 3,035 | 9,526 | 8,268 |
| Provision for income taxes | 734 | 598 | 1,600 | 1,606 |
| NET INCOME | \$2,349 | \$2,437 | \$7,926 | \$6,662 |
| Net income per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$0.47 | \$0.48 | \$1.57 | \$1.30 |
| Diluted | \$0.46 | \$0.47 | \$1.56 | \$1.29 |
| Shares used in per-share calculation: | | | | |
| Basic | 5,032 | 5,102 | 5,060 | 5,110 |
| Diluted | 5,065 | 5,148 | 5,095 | 5,154 |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$0.26 | \$0.21 | \$0.68 | \$0.59 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. | | | | |

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
 (in millions)
 (Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended April 30, | | Nine Months Ended April 30, | |
|--|------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Net income | \$2,349 | \$2,437 | \$7,926 | \$6,662 |
| Available-for-sale investments: | | | | |
| Change in net unrealized gains, net of tax benefit (expense) of \$(146) and \$59 for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2016, respectively, and \$(57) and \$(34) for the corresponding periods of fiscal 2015, respectively | 217 | 72 | (95) | 80 |
| Net (gains) losses reclassified into earnings, net of tax (benefit) expense of \$(2) and \$(9) for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2016, and \$16 and \$42 for the corresponding periods of fiscal 2015, respectively | 4 | (28) | 17 | (78) |
| | 221 | 44 | (78) | 2 |
| Cash flow hedging instruments: | | | | |
| Change in unrealized gains and losses, net of tax benefit (expense) of \$(2) and \$2 for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2016, respectively, and \$2 and \$8 for the corresponding periods of fiscal 2015, respectively | 19 | (30) | (1) | (155) |
| Net (gains) losses reclassified into earnings, net of tax (benefit) expense of \$(2) and \$(4) for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2016, and \$(2) and \$(5) for the corresponding periods of fiscal 2015, respectively | 7 | 62 | 13 | 89 |
| | 26 | 32 | 12 | (66) |
| Net change in cumulative translation adjustment and actuarial gains and losses net of tax benefit (expense) of \$(9) and \$(43) for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2016, respectively, and \$14 and \$50 for the corresponding periods of fiscal 2015, respectively | 326 | (80) | (231) | (423) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | 573 | (4) | (297) | (487) |
| Comprehensive income | 2,922 | 2,433 | 7,629 | 6,175 |
| Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests | 7 | 5 | 9 | (3) |
| Comprehensive income attributable to Cisco Systems, Inc. | \$2,929 | \$2,438 | \$7,638 | \$6,172 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in millions)
(Unaudited)

| | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|----------------------|-------------------|
| | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$7,926 | \$6,662 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation, amortization, and other | 1,546 | 1,799 |
| Share-based compensation expense | 1,101 | 1,044 |
| Provision for receivables | (27) | 82 |
| Deferred income taxes | 229 | 438 |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation | (103) | (102) |
| (Gains) losses on divestitures, investments and other, net | (279) | (231) |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and divestitures: | | |
| Accounts receivable | 1,412 | 97 |
| Inventories | 189 | (235) |
| Financing receivables | (296) | 36 |
| Other assets | (94) | (349) |
| Accounts payable | (114) | 101 |
| Income taxes, net | (723) | (511) |
| Accrued compensation | (318) | (324) |
| Deferred revenue | 7 | 217 |
| Other liabilities | (704) | (310) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 9,752 | 8,414 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchases of investments | (36,366) | (30,617) |
| Proceeds from sales of investments | 23,806 | 13,890 |
| Proceeds from maturities of investments | 11,790 | 11,632 |
| Acquisition of businesses, net of cash and cash equivalents acquired | (3,161) | (238) |
| Proceeds from business divestiture | 372 | — |
| Purchases of investments in privately held companies | (202) | (155) |
| Return of investments in privately held companies | 74 | 274 |
| Acquisition of property and equipment | (880) | (907) |
| Proceeds from sales of property and equipment | 11 | 8 |
| Other | (195) | (115) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (4,751) | (6,228) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Issuances of common stock | 771 | 1,584 |
| Repurchases of common stock—repurchase program | (3,154) | (3,325) |
| Shares repurchased for tax withholdings on vesting of restricted stock units | (469) | (415) |
| Short-term borrowings, original maturities less than 90 days, net | (4) | 496 |
| Issuances of debt | 6,978 | — |
| Repayments of debt | (3,863) | (507) |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation | 103 | 102 |
| Dividends paid | (3,441) | (3,017) |

| | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| Other | 96 | 40 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (2,983) | (5,042) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 2,018 | (2,856) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 6,877 | 6,726 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$8,895 | \$3,870 |

Supplemental cash flow information:

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Cash paid for interest | \$691 | \$646 |
| Cash paid for income taxes, net | \$2,093 | \$1,680 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY
(in millions, except per-share amounts)
(Unaudited)

| Nine Months Ended April 30, 2016 | Shares of Common Stock | Common Stock | | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total Cisco Shareholders' Equity | Non-control Interests | Total Equity |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|---|--|--------------------------|-----------------|
| | | and Additional Paid-In Capital | | | | | | |
| BALANCE AT JULY 25, 2015 | 5,085 | \$ 43,592 | \$ 16,045 | \$ 61 | \$ 59,698 | \$ 9 | \$ 59,707 | |
| Net income | | | 7,926 | | 7,926 | | 7,926 | |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | | | | (288) | (288) | (9) | (297) | |
| Issuance of common stock | 87 | 771 | | | 771 | | 771 | |
| Repurchase of common stock | (120) | (1,036) | (2,082) | | (3,118) | | (3,118) | |
| Shares repurchased for tax withholdings on vesting of restricted stock units | (18) | (469) | | | (469) | | (469) | |
| Cash dividends declared (\$0.68 per common share) | | | (3,441) | | (3,441) | | (3,441) | |
| Tax effects from employee stock incentive plans | | 32 | | | 32 | | 32 | |
| Share-based compensation expense | | 1,101 | | | 1,101 | | 1,101 | |
| Purchase acquisitions | | 146 | | | 146 | | 146 | |
| BALANCE AT APRIL 30, 2016 | 5,034 | \$ 44,137 | \$ 18,448 | \$ (227) | \$ 62,358 | \$ — | \$ 62,358 | |

| Nine Months Ended April 25, 2015 | Shares of Common Stock | Common Stock | | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total Cisco Shareholders' Equity | Non-control Interests | Total Equity |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|---|--|--------------------------|-----------------|
| | | and Additional Paid-In Capital | | | | | | |
| BALANCE AT JULY 26, 2014 | 5,107 | \$ 41,884 | \$ 14,093 | \$ 677 | \$ 56,654 | \$ 7 | \$ 56,661 | |
| Net income | | | 6,662 | | 6,662 | | 6,662 | |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | | | | (490) | (490) | 3 | (487) | |
| Issuance of common stock | 123 | 1,584 | | | 1,584 | | 1,584 | |
| Repurchase of common stock | (120) | (994) | (2,235) | | (3,229) | | (3,229) | |
| Shares repurchased for tax withholdings on vesting of restricted stock units | (17) | (415) | | | (415) | | (415) | |
| Cash dividends declared (\$0.59 per common share) | | | (3,017) | | (3,017) | | (3,017) | |
| Tax effects from employee stock incentive plans | | 27 | | | 27 | | 27 | |
| Share-based compensation expense | | 1,044 | | | 1,044 | | 1,044 | |
| Purchase acquisitions | | 3 | | | 3 | | 3 | |
| BALANCE AT APRIL 25, 2015 | 5,093 | \$ 43,133 | \$ 15,503 | \$ 187 | \$ 58,823 | \$ 10 | \$ 58,833 | |

Supplemental Information

In September 2001, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program. As of April 30, 2016, the Company's Board of Directors had authorized an aggregate repurchase of up to \$112 billion of common stock

under this program with no termination date. For additional information regarding stock repurchase, see Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The stock repurchases since the inception of this program and the related impacts on Cisco shareholders' equity are summarized in the following table (in millions):

| | Shares of Common Stock | Common Stock and Additional Paid-In Capital | Retained Earnings | Total Cisco Shareholders' Equity |
|--|------------------------|---|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Repurchases of common stock under the repurchase program | 4,563 | \$ 23,651 | \$72,146 | \$ 95,797 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

The fiscal year for Cisco Systems, Inc. (the “Company” or “Cisco”) is the 52 or 53 weeks ending on the last Saturday in July. Fiscal 2016 is a 53-week fiscal year, and fiscal 2015 was a 52-week fiscal year. The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Cisco and its subsidiaries. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. The Company conducts business globally and is primarily managed on a geographic basis in the following three geographic segments: the Americas; Europe, Middle East, and Africa (EMEA); and Asia Pacific, Japan, and China (APJC).

The accompanying financial data as of April 30, 2016 and for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015 has been prepared by the Company, without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (GAAP) have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. The July 25, 2015 Consolidated Balance Sheet was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. However, the Company believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. These Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the notes thereto included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 25, 2015.

The Company consolidates its investments in a venture fund managed by SOFTBANK Corp. and its affiliates (“SOFTBANK”) as this is a variable interest entity and the Company is the primary beneficiary. The noncontrolling interests attributed to SOFTBANK are presented as a separate component from the Company’s equity in the equity section of the Consolidated Balance Sheets. SOFTBANK’s share of the earnings in the venture fund are not presented separately in the Consolidated Statements of Operations as these amounts are not material for any of the fiscal periods presented.

In the opinion of management, all normal recurring adjustments necessary to present fairly the consolidated balance sheet as of April 30, 2016; the results of operations and the statements of comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015; and the statements of cash flows and equity for the nine months ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015, as applicable, have been made. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2016 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for the full fiscal year or any future periods.

Certain reclassifications have been made to the amounts in prior periods in order to conform to the current period’s presentation. The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the date that the financial statements were issued.

2. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Recent Accounting Standards or Updates Not Yet Effective

Revenue Recognition In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an accounting standard update related to revenue from contracts with customers, which will supersede nearly all current U.S. GAAP guidance on this topic and eliminate industry-specific guidance. The underlying principle is to recognize revenue when promised goods or services are transferred to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration that is expected to be received for those goods or services. This accounting standard update, as amended, will be effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2019. The new revenue standard may be applied retrospectively to each prior period presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect recognized as of the date of adoption. Early adoption is permitted, but no earlier than fiscal 2018. The Company expects to adopt this accounting standard update in the first quarter of fiscal 2019, and it is currently evaluating the impact of this accounting standard update on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

Consolidation of Certain Types of Legal Entities In February 2015, the FASB issued an accounting standard update that changes the analysis that a reporting entity must perform to determine whether it should consolidate certain types of legal entities. The accounting standard update will be effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2017, and early adoption is permitted. The application of this accounting standard update is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

Classification of Deferred Taxes In November 2015, the FASB issued an accounting standard update that requires that all deferred tax assets and liabilities, along with any related valuation allowance, be classified as noncurrent on the balance sheet. The accounting standard update will be effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2018, and early adoption is permitted. The accounting standard update is a change in balance sheet presentation only.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Financial Instruments In January 2016, the FASB issued an accounting standard update that changes the accounting for equity investments, financial liabilities under the fair value option, and the presentation and disclosure requirements for financial instruments. The accounting standard update will be effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2019, and early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this accounting standard update on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

Leases In February 2016, the FASB issued an accounting standard update related to leases requiring lessees to recognize operating and financing lease liabilities on the balance sheet, as well as corresponding right-of-use assets. The new lease standard also makes some changes to lessor accounting and aligns key aspects of the lessor accounting model with the revenue recognition standard. In addition, disclosures will be required to enable users of financial statements to assess the amount, timing, and uncertainty of cash flows arising from leases. The accounting standard update will be effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2020 on a modified retrospective basis, and early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this accounting standard update on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

Share-Based Compensation In March 2016, the FASB issued an accounting standard update that impacts the accounting for share-based payment transactions, including income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, and classification on the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. The accounting standard will be effective for the Company beginning the first quarter of fiscal 2018, and early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this accounting standard update on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

3. Acquisitions and Divestitures

The Company completed 12 acquisitions during the nine months ended April 30, 2016. A summary of the allocation of the total purchase consideration is presented as follows (in millions):

| | Purchase Consideration | Net Tangible Assets Acquired (Liabilities Assumed) | Purchased Intangible Assets | Goodwill |
|------------------------|---------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|----------|
| MaintenanceNet | \$ 105 | \$ (21) | \$ 65 | \$ 61 |
| OpenDNS | 545 | (9) | 61 | 493 |
| Lancope | 410 | (34) | 121 | 323 |
| Acano | 528 | (27) | 103 | 452 |
| Leaba | 219 | (18) | 96 | 141 |
| Jasper | 1,234 | 5 | 361 | 868 |
| CliQr | 225 | (3) | 69 | 159 |
| Others (five in total) | 112 | (17) | 64 | 65 |
| Total | \$ 3,378 | \$ (124) | \$ 940 | \$ 2,562 |

On August 6, 2015, the Company completed its acquisition of privately held MaintenanceNet, Inc. ("MaintenanceNet"), a provider of a cloud-based software platform that uses data analytics and automation to manage renewals of recurring customer contracts. This acquisition is a component of the Company's strategy for its Services organization to simplify and digitize its business processes.

On August 26, 2015, the Company completed its acquisition of privately held OpenDNS, Inc. ("OpenDNS"), a provider of advanced threat protection for endpoint devices. With the OpenDNS acquisition, the Company aims to strengthen its security offerings by adding broad visibility and threat intelligence delivered through a software-as-a-service platform. Revenue from the OpenDNS acquisition has been included in the Company's Security product category.

On December 21, 2015, the Company completed its acquisition of privately held Lancope, Inc. ("Lancope"), a provider of network behavior analytics, threat visibility, and security intelligence. With the Lancope acquisition, the Company aims to advance its "security everywhere" strategy with an additional capability of network behavior analytics that extend protection further into the network. Revenue from the Lancope acquisition has been included in the Company's Security product category.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

On January 29, 2016, the Company completed its acquisition of privately held, London-based Acano (UK) Limited ("Acano"), a collaboration infrastructure and conferencing software provider. With the Acano acquisition, the Company aims to enhance its collaboration strategy to deliver video across both cloud and hybrid environments. Revenue from the Acano acquisition has been included in the Company's Collaboration product category.

On March 3, 2016, the Company completed its acquisition of privately held Leaba Semiconductor, Ltd. ("Leaba"), an Israeli-based fabless semiconductor provider whose semiconductor expertise is expected to be leveraged to accelerate the Company's next-generation product portfolio. This acquisition is a component of the Company's strategy to enhance its product offerings in the networking chipset market.

On March 18, 2016, the Company completed its acquisition of privately held Jasper Technologies, Inc. ("Jasper"), a provider of a cloud-based Internet of Things (IoT) software-as-a-service platform to help enterprises and service providers launch, manage, and monetize IoT services on a global scale. With the Jasper acquisition, the Company aims to offer an IoT solution that is interoperable across devices and works with IoT service providers, application developers, and an ecosystem of partners. Revenue from the Jasper acquisition has been included in the Company's Other product category.

On April 15, 2016, the Company completed its acquisition of privately held CliQr Technologies, Inc. ("CliQr"), an application-defined cloud orchestration platform provider. With the CliQr acquisition, the Company aims to help its customers simplify and accelerate their private, public, and hybrid cloud deployments.

The total purchase consideration related to the Company's acquisitions completed during the nine months ended April 30, 2016 consisted of cash consideration and the assumption of vested share-based awards. The total cash and cash equivalents acquired from these business combinations was approximately \$44 million. Total transaction costs related to the Company's acquisition activities were \$29 million and \$5 million for the nine months ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015, respectively. These transaction costs were expensed as incurred in general and administrative expenses ("G&A") in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The Company's purchase price allocation for acquisitions completed during recent periods is preliminary and subject to revision as additional information about fair value of assets and liabilities becomes available. Additional information that existed as of the acquisition date but at that time was unknown to the Company may become known to the Company during the remainder of the measurement period, a period not to exceed 12 months from the acquisition date. Adjustments in the purchase price allocation may require a recasting of the amounts allocated to goodwill retroactive to the period in which the acquisition occurred.

The goodwill generated from the Company's acquisitions completed during the nine months ended April 30, 2016 is primarily related to expected synergies. The goodwill is generally not deductible for income tax purposes.

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the operating results of each acquisition from the date of acquisition. Pro forma results of operations for the acquisitions completed during the nine months ended April 30, 2016 have not been presented because the effects of the acquisitions, individually and in the aggregate, were not material to the Company's financial results.

Divestiture of SP Video CPE Business On November 20, 2015, the Company completed the sale of the assets comprising the customer premises equipment portion of its Service Provider Video connected devices business ("SP Video CPE Business") to Technicolor SA. As a result of the transaction, the Company received aggregate consideration of \$542 million consisting of \$372 million in cash and \$170 million in Technicolor stock (as of the divestiture date) and the transaction resulted in a gain of \$285 million, net of certain transaction costs incurred to date.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

4. Goodwill and Purchased Intangible Assets

(a) Goodwill

The following table presents the goodwill allocated to the Company's reportable segments as of and during the nine months ended April 30, 2016 (in millions):

| | Balance at July 25, 2015 | Acquisitions | Divestiture | Other | Balance at April 30, 2016 |
|----------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------|------------------------------------|
| Americas | \$15,212 | \$ 1,607 | \$ (126) | \$(80) | \$16,613 |
| EMEA | 5,791 | 554 | (12) | (31) | 6,302 |
| APJC | 3,466 | 401 | (3) | (17) | 3,847 |
| Total | \$24,469 | \$ 2,562 | \$ (141) | \$(128) | \$26,762 |

"Other" in the table above primarily consists of foreign currency translation, as well as immaterial purchase accounting adjustments.

(b) Purchased Intangible Assets

The following table presents details of the Company's intangible assets acquired through acquisitions completed during the nine months ended April 30, 2016 (in millions, except years):

| | FINITE LIVES | | | INDEFINITE LIVES | | TOTAL Amount | | |
|------------------------|--|---------------------------|--------|------------------|--------|-----------------|--|--------|
| | TECHNOLOGY | CUSTOMER RELATIONSHIPS | OTHER | IPR&D | Amount | | | |
| | Weighted- Average Useful Life (in Years) | | Amount | | | | Weighted- Average Useful Life (in Years) | Amount |
| MaintenanceNet | 5.0 | \$ 50 | 5.0 | \$ 2 | 2.0 | \$ 2 | \$ 11 | \$ 65 |
| OpenDNS | 5.0 | 43 | 7.0 | 15 | 1.0 | 2 | 1 | 61 |
| Lancopé | 5.0 | 79 | 6.0 | 29 | 3.0 | 3 | 10 | 121 |
| Acano | 5.0 | 9 | 5.0 | 12 | 0.0 | — | 82 | 103 |
| Leaba | 0.0 | — | 0.0 | — | 0.0 | — | 96 | 96 |
| Jasper | 6.0 | 240 | 7.0 | 75 | 2.0 | 23 | 23 | 361 |
| CliQr | 6.0 | 65 | 6.0 | 3 | 2.0 | 1 | — | 69 |
| Others (five in total) | 4.1 | 58 | 6.3 | 6 | 0.0 | — | — | 64 |
| Total | | \$ 544 | | \$ 142 | | \$ 31 | \$ 223 | \$ 940 |

The following tables present details of the Company's purchased intangible assets (in millions):

| April 30, 2016 | Gross | Accumulated Amortization | Net |
|--|---------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Purchased intangible assets with finite lives: | | | |
| Technology | \$3,103 | \$(1,297) | \$1,806 |
| Customer relationships | 1,821 | (1,156) | 665 |
| Other | 85 | (36) | 49 |
| Total purchased intangible assets with finite lives | 5,009 | (2,489) | 2,520 |
| In-process research and development, with indefinite lives | 224 | — | 224 |
| Total | \$5,233 | \$(2,489) | \$2,744 |

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

| July 25, 2015 | Gross | Accumulated Amortization | Net |
|--|---------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Purchased intangible assets with finite lives: | | | |
| Technology | \$3,418 | \$ (1,818) | \$1,600 |
| Customer relationships | 1,699 | (971) | 728 |
| Other | 55 | (24) | 31 |
| Total purchased intangible assets with finite lives | 5,172 | (2,813) | 2,359 |
| In-process research and development, with indefinite lives | 17 | — | 17 |
| Total | \$5,189 | \$ (2,813) | \$2,376 |

Purchased intangible assets include intangible assets acquired through acquisitions as well as through direct purchases or licenses. In fiscal 2015, the Company, along with a number of other companies, entered into an agreement to obtain a license to the patents owned by the Rockstar Consortium, and the Company paid approximately \$300 million, of which \$188 million was expensed to product cost of sales in the first quarter of fiscal 2015 related to the settlement of patent infringement claims, and the remainder was capitalized as an intangible asset to be amortized over its estimated useful life.

Impairment charges related to purchased intangible assets for the three months ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015 were \$7 million and \$1 million, respectively. Impairment charges related to purchased intangible assets for the nine months ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015 were \$44 million and \$57 million, respectively.

The following table presents the amortization of purchased intangible assets (in millions):

| | Three Months | | Nine Months | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| | Ended April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | Ended April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 |
| Amortization of purchased intangible assets: | | | | |
| Cost of sales | \$134 | \$ 187 | \$419 | \$ 618 |
| Operating expenses | 81 | 70 | 221 | 213 |
| Total | \$215 | \$ 257 | \$640 | \$ 831 |

The estimated future amortization expense of purchased intangible assets with finite lives as of April 30, 2016 is as follows (in millions):

| Fiscal Year | Amount |
|-------------------------------|----------|
| 2016 (remaining three months) | \$ 211 |
| 2017 | 742 |
| 2018 | 595 |
| 2019 | 502 |
| 2020 | 276 |
| Thereafter | 194 |
| Total | \$ 2,520 |

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

5. Restructuring and Other Charges

Fiscal 2015 Plan The Company announced a restructuring action in August 2014 (the "Fiscal 2015 Plan"), in order to realign its workforce towards key growth areas of its business such as data center, software, security, and cloud. The Company's aggregate pre-tax estimated charges pursuant to the restructuring plan are expected to be approximately \$750 million, consisting of severance and other one-time termination benefits and other associated costs, and the Company has incurred cumulative charges of approximately \$743 million in connection with this plan, which is substantially complete. The Company incurred charges of \$18 million and \$24 million for the three months ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015, respectively, and \$254 million, net of a \$2 million credit to cost of sales, and \$411 million for the nine months ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015, respectively.

Fiscal 2014 Plan In connection with a restructuring action announced in August 2013 (the "Fiscal 2014 Plan"), the Company incurred cumulative charges of approximately \$417 million, of which a \$1 million credit was recognized during the three and nine months ended April 30, 2016. No charges were incurred in the corresponding periods of fiscal 2015. The Company completed the Fiscal 2014 Plan at the end of fiscal 2014.

The following table summarizes the activities related to the restructuring and other charges as discussed above (in millions):

| | FISCAL 2014 PLAN | | FISCAL 2015 PLAN | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|-------|------------------------|--------|---------|
| | Employee Severance | Other | Employee Severance | Other | Total |
| Liability as of July 25, 2015 | \$ 11 | \$ 14 | \$ 49 | \$ 15 | \$ 89 |
| Charges | — | (1) | 224 | 32 | 255 |
| Cash payments | (11) | (3) | (227) | (10) | (251) |
| Non-cash items | — | — | — | (21) | (21) |
| Liability as of April 30, 2016 | \$ — | \$ 10 | \$ 46 | \$ 16 | \$ 72 |

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

6. Balance Sheet Details

The following tables provide details of selected balance sheet items (in millions):

| | April 30, July 25, | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Inventories: | | |
| Raw materials | \$ 108 | \$ 114 |
| Work in process | — | 2 |
| Finished goods: | | |
| Distributor inventory and deferred cost of sales | 513 | 610 |
| Manufactured finished goods | 469 | 593 |
| Total finished goods | 982 | 1,203 |
| Service-related spares | 234 | 258 |
| Demonstration systems | 19 | 50 |
| Total | \$ 1,343 | \$ 1,627 |
| Property and equipment, net: | | |
| Gross property and equipment: | | |
| Land, buildings, and building and leasehold improvements | \$4,706 | \$4,495 |
| Computer equipment and related software | 1,384 | 1,310 |
| Production, engineering, and other equipment | 5,700 | 5,753 |
| Operating lease assets | 325 | 372 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 527 | 497 |
| Total gross property and equipment | 12,642 | 12,427 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization | (9,113) | (9,095) |
| Total | \$3,529 | \$3,332 |
| Other assets: | | |
| Deferred tax assets | \$1,345 | \$1,648 |
| Investments in privately held companies | 976 | 897 |
| Other | 827 | 618 |
| Total | \$3,148 | \$3,163 |
| Deferred revenue: | | |
| Service | | \$9,866 \$9,757 |
| Product: | | |
| Unrecognized revenue on product shipments and other deferred revenue | 4,987 | 4,766 |
| Cash receipts related to unrecognized revenue from two-tier distributors | 419 | 660 |
| Total product deferred revenue | 5,406 | 5,426 |
| Total | \$15,272 | \$15,183 |
| Reported as: | | |
| Current | | \$9,662 \$9,824 |
| Noncurrent | | 5,610 5,359 |
| Total | | \$15,272 \$15,183 |

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

7. Financing Receivables and Operating Leases

(a) Financing Receivables

Financing receivables primarily consist of lease receivables, loan receivables, and financed service contracts and other. Lease receivables represent sales-type and direct-financing leases resulting from the sale of the Company's and complementary third-party products and are typically collateralized by a security interest in the underlying assets. Loan receivables represent financing arrangements related to the sale of the Company's products and services, which may include additional funding for other costs associated with network installation and integration of the Company's products and services. Lease receivables consist of arrangements with terms of four years on average, while loan receivables generally have terms of up to three years. The financed service contracts and other category includes financing receivables related to technical support and advanced services, as well as receivables related to financing of certain indirect costs associated with leases. Revenue related to the technical support services is typically deferred and included in deferred service revenue and is recognized ratably over the period during which the related services are to be performed, which typically ranges from one to three years.

A summary of the Company's financing receivables is presented as follows (in millions):

| April 30, 2016 | Lease Receivables | Loan Receivables | Financed Service Contracts and Other | Total |
|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|---------|
| Gross | \$ 3,245 | \$ 2,223 | \$ 3,504 | \$8,972 |
| Residual value | 205 | — | — | 205 |
| Unearned income | (178) | — | — | (178) |
| Allowance for credit loss | (250) | (93) | (40) | (383) |
| Total, net | \$ 3,022 | \$ 2,130 | \$ 3,464 | \$8,616 |
| Reported as: | | | | |
| Current | \$ 1,495 | \$ 1,052 | \$ 2,169 | \$4,716 |
| Noncurrent | 1,527 | 1,078 | 1,295 | 3,900 |
| Total, net | \$ 3,022 | \$ 2,130 | \$ 3,464 | \$8,616 |
| | | | Financed Service Contracts and Other | |
| July 25, 2015 | Lease Receivables | Loan Receivables | Financed Service Contracts and Other | Total |
| Gross | \$ 3,361 | \$ 1,763 | \$ 3,573 | \$8,697 |
| Residual value | 224 | — | — | 224 |
| Unearned income | (190) | — | — | (190) |
| Allowance for credit loss | (259) | (87) | (36) | (382) |
| Total, net | \$ 3,136 | \$ 1,676 | \$ 3,537 | \$8,349 |
| Reported as: | | | | |
| Current | \$ 1,468 | \$ 856 | \$ 2,167 | \$4,491 |
| Noncurrent | 1,668 | 820 | 1,370 | 3,858 |
| Total, net | \$ 3,136 | \$ 1,676 | \$ 3,537 | \$8,349 |

As of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015, the deferred service revenue related to "Financed Service Contracts and Other" was \$1,822 million and \$1,853 million, respectively.

Future minimum lease payments to the Company on lease receivables as of April 30, 2016 are summarized as follows (in millions):

| Fiscal Year | Amount |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 2016 (remaining three months) | \$ 408 |
| 2017 | 1,430 |

| | |
|------------|----------|
| 2018 | 833 |
| 2019 | 402 |
| 2020 | 152 |
| Thereafter | 20 |
| Total | \$ 3,245 |

Actual cash collections may differ from the contractual maturities due to early customer buyouts, refinancings, or defaults.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

(b) Credit Quality of Financing Receivables

Gross receivables, excluding residual value, less unearned income categorized by the Company's internal credit risk rating as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015 are summarized as follows (in millions):

| | INTERNAL CREDIT RISK RATING | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|--------------|--|---------|
| | 1 to 4 | 5 to 6 | 7 and Higher | | |
| April 30, 2016 | | | | | |
| Lease receivables | \$1,677 | \$1,276 | \$ 114 | | \$3,067 |
| Loan receivables | 991 | 1,079 | 153 | | 2,223 |
| Financed service contracts and other | 2,216 | 1,242 | 46 | | 3,504 |
| Total | \$4,884 | \$3,597 | \$ 313 | | \$8,794 |

| | INTERNAL CREDIT RISK RATING | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|--------------|--|---------|
| | 1 to 4 | 5 to 6 | 7 and Higher | | |
| July 25, 2015 | | | | | |
| Lease receivables | \$1,688 | \$1,342 | \$ 141 | | \$3,171 |
| Loan receivables | 788 | 823 | 152 | | 1,763 |
| Financed service contracts and other | 2,133 | 1,389 | 51 | | 3,573 |
| Total | \$4,609 | \$3,554 | \$ 344 | | \$8,507 |

The Company determines the adequacy of its allowance for credit loss by assessing the risks and losses inherent in its financing receivables by portfolio segment. The portfolio segment is based on the types of financing offered by the Company to its customers, which consist of the following: lease receivables, loan receivables, and financed service contracts and other.

The Company's internal credit risk ratings of 1 through 4 correspond to investment-grade ratings, while credit risk ratings of 5 and 6 correspond to non-investment grade ratings. Credit risk ratings of 7 and higher correspond to substandard ratings.

In circumstances when collectibility is not deemed reasonably assured, the associated revenue is deferred in accordance with the Company's revenue recognition policies, and the related allowance for credit loss, if any, is included in deferred revenue. The Company also records deferred revenue associated with financing receivables when there are remaining performance obligations, as it does for financed service contracts. Total allowances for credit loss and deferred revenue as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015 were \$2,225 million and \$2,253 million, respectively, and they were associated with total financing receivables before allowance for credit loss of \$8,999 million and \$8,731 million as of their respective period ends.

The following tables present the aging analysis of gross receivables, excluding residual value and less unearned income as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015 (in millions):

| | DAYS PAST DUE (INCLUDES BILLED AND UNBILLED) | | | | Total Past Due | Current | Total | Nonaccrual Financing Receivables | Impaired Financing Receivables |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------|-------|--------|-------------------|---------|--------|--|--------------------------------------|
| | 31-60 | 61-90 | 91+ | | | | | | |
| April 30, 2016 | | | | | | | | | |
| Lease receivables | \$41 | \$44 | \$181 | \$ 266 | \$2,801 | \$3,067 | \$ 67 | \$ 65 | |
| Loan receivables | 51 | 11 | 78 | 140 | 2,083 | 2,223 | 47 | 47 | |
| Financed service contracts and other | 79 | 115 | 237 | 431 | 3,073 | 3,504 | 36 | 16 | |
| Total | \$171 | \$170 | \$496 | \$ 837 | \$7,957 | \$8,794 | \$ 150 | \$ 128 | |

DAYS PAST DUE
(INCLUDES BILLED AND UNBILLED)

Edgar Filing: CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. - Form 10-Q

| July 25, 2015 | 31-60 | 61-90 | 91+ | Total Past Due | Current | Total | Nonaccrual Financing Receivables | Impaired Financing Receivables |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|---------|---------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Lease receivables | \$90 | \$27 | \$185 | \$302 | \$2,869 | \$3,171 | \$73 | \$73 |
| Loan receivables | 21 | 3 | 25 | 49 | 1,714 | 1,763 | 32 | 32 |
| Financed service contracts and other | 396 | 152 | 414 | 962 | 2,611 | 3,573 | 29 | 9 |
| Total | \$507 | \$182 | \$624 | \$1,313 | \$7,194 | \$8,507 | \$134 | \$114 |

Past due financing receivables are those that are 31 days or more past due according to their contractual payment terms. The data in the preceding tables is presented by contract, and the aging classification of each contract is based on the oldest outstanding receivable, and therefore past due amounts also include unbilled and current receivables within the same contract. The balances

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

of either unbilled or current financing receivables included in the category of 91 days plus past due for financing receivables were \$298 million and \$496 million as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015, respectively. As of April 30, 2016, the Company had financing receivables of \$165 million, net of unbilled or current receivables from the same contract, that were in the category of 91 days plus past due but remained on accrual status as they are well-secured and in the process of collection. Such balance was \$70 million as of July 25, 2015.

(c) Allowance for Credit Loss Rollforward

The allowances for credit loss and the related financing receivables are summarized as follows (in millions):

| Three months ended April 30, 2016 | CREDIT LOSS ALLOWANCES | | | |
|--|------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|
| | Lease Receivables | Loan Receivables | Financed Service Contracts and Other | Total |
| Allowance for credit loss as of January 23, 2016 | \$248 | \$ 80 | \$ 37 | \$365 |
| Provisions | 7 | 8 | 2 | 17 |
| Recoveries (write-offs), net | (6) | — | — | (6) |
| Foreign exchange and other | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 |
| Allowance for credit loss as of April 30, 2016 | \$250 | \$ 93 | \$ 40 | \$383 |

| Nine months ended April 30, 2016 | CREDIT LOSS ALLOWANCES | | | |
|--|------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|
| | Lease Receivables | Loan Receivables | Financed Service Contracts and Other | Total |
| Allowance for credit loss as of July 25, 2015 | \$259 | \$ 87 | \$ 36 | \$382 |
| Provisions | 3 | 2 | 7 | 12 |
| Recoveries (write-offs), net | (10) | — | (4) | (14) |
| Foreign exchange and other | (2) | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Allowance for credit loss as of April 30, 2016 | \$250 | \$ 93 | \$ 40 | \$383 |

| Three months ended April 25, 2015 | CREDIT LOSS ALLOWANCES | | | |
|--|------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|
| | Lease Receivables | Loan Receivables | Financed Service Contracts and Other | Total |
| Allowance for credit loss as of January 24, 2015 | \$250 | \$ 85 | \$ 40 | \$375 |
| Provisions | (4) | (5) | (2) | (11) |
| Recoveries (write-offs), net | (1) | — | — | (1) |
| Foreign exchange and other | (3) | — | (1) | (4) |
| Allowance for credit loss as of April 25, 2015 | \$242 | \$ 80 | \$ 37 | \$359 |

| Nine months ended April 25, 2015 | CREDIT LOSS ALLOWANCES | | | |
|--|------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|
| | Lease Receivables | Loan Receivables | Financed Service Contracts and Other | Total |
| Allowance for credit loss as of July 26, 2014 | \$233 | \$ 98 | \$ 18 | \$349 |
| Provisions | 25 | (15) | 21 | 31 |
| Recoveries (write-offs), net | (6) | 1 | — | (5) |
| Foreign exchange and other | (10) | (4) | (2) | (16) |
| Allowance for credit loss as of April 25, 2015 | \$242 | \$ 80 | \$ 37 | \$359 |

The Company assesses the allowance for credit loss related to financing receivables on either an individual or a collective basis. The Company considers various factors in evaluating lease and loan receivables and the earned portion of financed service contracts for possible impairment on an individual basis. These factors include the Company's historical experience, credit quality and age of the receivable balances, and economic conditions that may affect a customer's ability to pay. When the evaluation indicates that it is probable that all amounts due pursuant to the contractual terms of the financing agreement, including scheduled interest payments, are unable to be collected, the financing receivable is considered impaired. All such outstanding amounts, including

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

any accrued interest, will be assessed and fully reserved at the customer level. The Company's internal credit risk ratings are categorized as 1 through 10, with the lowest credit risk rating representing the highest quality financing receivables.

Typically, the Company also considers receivables with a risk rating of 8 or higher to be impaired and will include them in the individual assessment for allowance. These balances, as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015, are presented under "(b) Credit Quality of Financing Receivables" above.

The Company evaluates the remainder of its financing receivables portfolio for impairment on a collective basis and records an allowance for credit loss at the portfolio segment level. When evaluating the financing receivables on a collective basis, the Company uses expected default frequency rates published by a major third-party credit-rating agency as well as its own historical loss rate in the event of default, while also systematically giving effect to economic conditions, concentration of risk, and correlation.

(d) Operating Leases

The Company provides financing of certain equipment through operating leases, and the amounts are included in property and equipment in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Amounts relating to equipment on operating lease assets and the associated accumulated depreciation are summarized as follows (in millions):

| | April 30, July 25, | |
|--|--------------------|--------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Operating lease assets | \$ 325 | \$ 372 |
| Accumulated depreciation (184) (205) | | |
| Operating lease assets, net | \$ 141 | \$ 167 |

Minimum future rentals on noncancelable operating leases as of April 30, 2016 are summarized as follows (in millions):

| Fiscal Year | Amount |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 2016 (remaining three months) | \$ 54 |
| 2017 | 189 |
| 2018 | 129 |
| 2019 | 39 |
| 2020 | 8 |
| Thereafter | 5 |
| Total | \$ 424 |

8. Investments(a) Summary of Available-for-Sale Investments

The following tables summarize the Company's available-for-sale investments (in millions):

| April 30, 2016 | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|---|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Fixed income securities: | | | | |
| U.S. government securities | \$ 24,003 | \$ 44 | \$ (3) | \$24,044 |
| U.S. government agency securities | 2,883 | 5 | — | 2,888 |
| Non-U.S. government and agency securities | 1,063 | 2 | — | 1,065 |
| Corporate debt securities | 23,193 | 160 | (37) | 23,316 |
| U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities | 1,746 | 16 | — | 1,762 |
| Total fixed income securities | 52,888 | 227 | (40) | 53,075 |
| Publicly traded equity securities | 1,354 | 218 | (30) | 1,542 |
| Total | \$ 54,242 | \$ 445 | \$ (70) | \$54,617 |

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

| July 25, 2015 | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Fixed income securities: | | | | |
| U.S. government securities | \$ 29,904 | \$ 41 | \$ (6) | \$29,939 |
| U.S. government agency securities | 3,662 | 2 | (1) | 3,663 |
| Non-U.S. government and agency securities | 1,128 | 1 | (1) | 1,128 |
| Corporate debt securities | 15,802 | 34 | (53) | 15,783 |
| U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities | 1,456 | 8 | (3) | 1,461 |
| Total fixed income securities | 51,952 | 86 | (64) | 51,974 |
| Publicly traded equity securities | 1,092 | 480 | (7) | 1,565 |
| Total | \$ 53,044 | \$ 566 | \$ (71) | \$53,539 |

Non-U.S. government and agency securities include agency and corporate debt securities that are guaranteed by non-U.S. governments.

(b) Gains and Losses on Available-for-Sale Investments

The following table presents the gross realized gains and gross realized losses related to the Company's available-for-sale investments (in millions):

| | Three Months Ended April 30, 2016 | | Nine Months Ended April 30, 2015 | |
|-----------------------|--|-------|---|--------|
| Gross realized gains | \$68 | \$ 55 | \$119 | \$ 168 |
| Gross realized losses | (74) | (11) | (145) | (48) |
| Total | \$(6) | \$ 44 | \$(26) | \$ 120 |

The following table presents the realized net gains (losses) related to the Company's available-for-sale investments by security type (in millions):

| | Three Months Ended April 30, 2016 | | Nine Months Ended April 30, 2015 | |
|--|--|-------|---|--------|
| Net gains/(losses) on investments in publicly traded equity securities | \$25 | \$ 38 | \$18 | \$ 94 |
| Net gains/(losses) on investments in fixed income securities | (31) | 6 | (44) | 26 |
| Total | \$(6) | \$ 44 | \$(26) | \$ 120 |

For the three and nine months ended April 30, 2016, the realized net losses related to the Company's available-for-sale investments included impairment charges of zero and \$3 million, respectively, for fixed income securities. The impairment charges were due to a decline in the fair value of those securities below their cost basis that were determined to be other than temporary. There were no impairment charges on available-for-sale investments for the corresponding periods in fiscal 2015.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

The following tables present the breakdown of the available-for-sale investments with gross unrealized losses and the duration that those losses had been unrealized at April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015 (in millions):

| | UNREALIZED LOSSES LESS THAN 12 MONTHS | | UNREALIZED LOSSES 2 MONTHS OR GREATER | | TOTAL | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|
| | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses |
| April 30, 2016 | | | | | | |
| Fixed income securities: | | | | | | |
| U.S. government securities | \$ 4,315 | \$ (3) | \$ — | \$ — | \$4,315 | \$ (3) |
| U.S. government agency securities | 287 | — | — | — | 287 | — |
| Non-U.S. government and agency securities | 192 | — | — | — | 192 | — |
| Corporate debt securities | 5,145 | (27) | 1,089 | (10) | 6,234 | (37) |
| U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities | 137 | — | 18 | — | 155 | — |
| Total fixed income securities | 10,076 | (30) | 1,107 | (10) | 11,183 | (40) |
| Publicly traded equity securities | 320 | (30) | — | — | 320 | (30) |
| Total | \$ 10,396 | \$ (60) | \$ 1,107 | \$ (10) | \$11,503 | \$ (70) |
| July 25, 2015 | | | | | | |
| Fixed income securities: | | | | | | |
| U.S. government securities | \$ 6,412 | \$ (6) | \$ — | \$ — | \$6,412 | \$ (6) |
| U.S. government agency securities | 1,433 | (1) | — | — | 1,433 | (1) |
| Non-U.S. government and agency securities | 515 | (1) | 4 | — | 519 | (1) |
| Corporate debt securities | 9,552 | (49) | 312 | (4) | 9,864 | (53) |
| U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities | 579 | (3) | — | — | 579 | (3) |
| Total fixed income securities | 18,491 | (60) | 316 | (4) | 18,807 | (64) |
| Publicly traded equity securities | 108 | (7) | 2 | — | 110 | (7) |
| Total | \$ 18,599 | \$ (67) | \$ 318 | \$ (4) | \$18,917 | \$ (71) |

As of April 30, 2016, for fixed income securities that were in unrealized loss positions, the Company has determined that (i) it does not have the intent to sell any of these investments and (ii) it is not more likely than not that it will be required to sell any of these investments before recovery of the entire amortized cost basis. In addition, as of April 30, 2016, the Company anticipates that it will recover the entire amortized cost basis of such fixed income securities and has determined that no other-than-temporary impairments associated with credit losses were required to be recognized during the nine months ended April 30, 2016.

The Company has evaluated its publicly traded equity securities as of April 30, 2016 and has determined that there was no indication of other-than-temporary impairments in the respective categories of unrealized losses. This determination was based on several factors, which include the length of time and extent to which fair value has been less than the cost basis, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and the Company's intent and ability to hold the publicly traded equity securities for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

(c) Maturities of Fixed Income Securities

The following table summarizes the maturities of the Company's fixed income securities as of April 30, 2016 (in millions):

| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|---------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Less than 1 year | \$ 13,883 | \$ 13,890 |
| Due in 1 to 2 years | 17,460 | 17,487 |
| Due in 2 to 5 years | 19,610 | 19,745 |
| Due after 5 years | 1,935 | 1,953 |
| Total | \$ 52,888 | \$ 53,075 |

Actual maturities may differ from the contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay certain obligations. The remaining contractual principal maturities for mortgage-backed securities were allocated assuming no prepayments.

(d) Securities Lending

The Company periodically engages in securities lending activities with certain of its available for sale investments. These transactions are accounted for as a secured lending of the securities, and the securities are typically loaned only on an overnight basis. The average daily balance of securities lending for the nine months ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015 was \$0.9 billion and \$0.5 billion, respectively. The Company requires collateral equal to at least 102% of the fair market value of the loaned security and that the collateral be in the form of cash or liquid, high-quality assets. The Company engages in these secured lending transactions only with highly creditworthy counterparties, and the associated portfolio custodian has agreed to indemnify the Company against collateral losses. The Company did not experience any losses in connection with the secured lending of securities during the periods presented. As of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015, the Company had no outstanding securities lending transactions.

(e) Investments in Privately Held Companies

The carrying value of the Company's investments in privately held companies was included in other assets. For such investments that were accounted for under the equity and cost method as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015, the amounts are summarized in the following table (in millions):

| | April 30, July 25, | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|--------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Equity method investments | \$ 609 | \$ 578 |
| Cost method investments | 367 | 319 |
| Total | \$ 976 | \$ 897 |

For additional information on impairment charges related to investments in privately held companies, see Note 9. **Variable Interest Entities** In the ordinary course of business, the Company has investments in privately held companies and provides financing to certain customers. These privately held companies and customers may be considered to be variable interest entities. The Company evaluates on an ongoing basis its investments in these privately held companies and its customer financings and has determined that as of April 30, 2016, except as disclosed herein, there were no variable interest entities required to be consolidated in the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

9. Fair Value

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining the fair value measurements for assets and liabilities required or permitted to be either recorded or disclosed at fair value, the Company considers the principal or most advantageous market in which it would transact, and it also considers assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability.

(a) Fair Value Hierarchy

The accounting guidance for fair value measurement requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard establishes a fair value hierarchy based on the level of independent, objective evidence surrounding the inputs used to measure fair value. A financial instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

Level 1 applies to assets or liabilities for which there are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 applies to assets or liabilities for which there are inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in markets with insufficient volume or infrequent transactions (less active markets); or model-derived valuations in which significant inputs are observable or can be derived principally from, or corroborated by, observable market data.

Level 3 applies to assets or liabilities for which there are unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology that are significant to the measurement of the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

(b) Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015 were as follows (in millions):

| | APRIL 30, 2016 | | | | JULY 25, 2015 | | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------|---------|---------------|-------------------------|----------|---------|---------------|
| | FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS | | | | FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS | | | |
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total Balance | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total Balance |
| Assets: | | | | | | | | |
| Cash equivalents: | | | | | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$7,178 | \$— | \$— | \$—\$7,178 | \$5,336 | \$— | \$— | \$—\$5,336 |
| Corporate debt securities | — | 3 | — | 3 | — | 14 | — | 14 |
| Available-for-sale investments: | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. government securities | — | 24,044 | — | 24,044 | — | 29,939 | — | 29,939 |
| U.S. government agency securities | — | 2,888 | — | 2,888 | — | 3,663 | — | 3,663 |
| Non-U.S. government and agency securities | — | 1,065 | — | 1,065 | — | 1,128 | — | 1,128 |
| Corporate debt securities | — | 23,316 | — | 23,316 | — | 15,783 | — | 15,783 |
| U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities | — | 1,762 | — | 1,762 | — | 1,461 | — | 1,461 |
| Publicly traded equity securities | 1,542 | — | — | 1,542 | 1,565 | — | — | 1,565 |
| Derivative assets | — | 345 | — | 345 | — | 214 | 4 | 218 |
| Total | \$8,720 | \$53,423 | \$— | \$—\$62,143 | \$6,901 | \$52,202 | \$4 | \$—\$59,107 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | | | |
| Derivative liabilities | \$— | \$4 | \$— | \$—\$4 | \$— | \$12 | \$— | \$—\$12 |
| Total | \$— | \$4 | \$— | \$—\$4 | \$— | \$12 | \$— | \$—\$12 |

Level 1 publicly traded equity securities are determined by using quoted prices in active markets for identical assets. Level 2 fixed income securities are priced using quoted market prices for similar instruments or nonbinding market prices that are corroborated by observable market data. The Company uses inputs such as actual trade data, benchmark yields, broker/dealer quotes, and other similar data, which are obtained from quoted market prices, independent pricing vendors, or other sources, to determine the ultimate fair value of these assets and liabilities. The Company uses such pricing data as the primary input to make its assessments and

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

determinations as to the ultimate valuation of its investment portfolio and has not made, during the periods presented, any material adjustments to such inputs. The Company is ultimately responsible for the financial statements and underlying estimates. The Company's derivative instruments are primarily classified as Level 2, as they are not actively traded and are valued using pricing models that use observable market inputs. The Company did not have any transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements during the periods presented.

Level 3 assets include certain derivative instruments, the values of which are determined based on discounted cash flow models using inputs that the Company could not corroborate with market data.

(c) Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

The following table presents the Company's recognized losses for the indicated periods, for assets that were measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis (in millions):

| | LOSSES FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED | | LOSSES FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED | |
|--|---|----------------------|--|----------------------|
| | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 |
| Investments in privately held companies (impaired) | \$(7) | \$(17) | \$(63) | \$(20) |
| Purchased intangible assets (impaired) | (7) | (1) | (44) | (57) |
| Property held for sale - land and buildings | — | (5) | — | (5) |
| Total | \$(14) | \$(23) | \$(107) | \$(82) |

These assets were measured at fair value due to events or circumstances the Company identified as having significant impact on their fair value during the respective periods. To arrive at the valuation of these assets, the Company considers any significant changes in the financial metrics and economic variables and also uses third-party valuation reports to assist in the valuation as necessary.

The fair value measurement of the impaired investments was classified as Level 3 because significant unobservable inputs were used in the valuation due to the absence of quoted market prices and inherent lack of liquidity. Significant unobservable inputs, which included financial metrics of comparable private and public companies, financial condition and near-term prospects of the investees, recent financing activities of the investees, and the investees' capital structure as well as other economic variables, reflected the assumptions market participants would use in pricing these assets. The impairment charges, representing the difference between the net book value and the fair value as a result of the evaluation, were recorded to other income (loss), net. The remaining carrying value of the investments that were impaired was \$20 million and \$4 million as of April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015, respectively. The fair value for purchased intangibles for which the carrying amount was not deemed to be recoverable was determined using the future discounted cash flows that the assets are expected to generate. The difference between the estimated fair value and the carrying value of the assets was recorded as an impairment charge, which was included in product cost of sales and operating expenses as applicable. See Note 4. The remaining carrying value of the specific purchased intangible assets that were impaired was zero as of each April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015.

The fair value of property held for sale was measured with the assistance of third-party valuation models which used discounted cash flow techniques as part of their analysis. The fair value measurement was categorized as Level 3, as significant unobservable inputs were used in the valuation report. The impairment charges as a result of the valuations, which represented the difference between the fair value less cost to sell and the carrying amount of the assets held for sale, were included in G&A expenses. The remaining carrying value of property held for sale was zero and \$11 million as of April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015, respectively.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

(d) Other Fair Value Disclosures

The carrying value of the Company's investments in privately held companies that were accounted for under the cost method was \$367 million and \$319 million as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015, respectively. It was not practicable to estimate the fair value of this portfolio.

The fair value of the Company's short-term loan receivables and financed service contracts approximates their carrying value due to their short duration. The aggregate carrying value of the Company's long-term loan receivables and financed service contracts and other as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015 was \$2.4 billion and \$2.2 billion, respectively. The estimated fair value of the Company's long-term loan receivables and financed service contracts and other approximates their carrying value. The Company uses significant unobservable inputs in determining discounted cash flows to estimate the fair value of its long-term loan receivables and financed service contracts, and therefore they are categorized as Level 3.

As of April 30, 2016, the estimated fair value of the short-term debt approximates its carrying value due to the short maturities. As of April 30, 2016, the fair value of the Company's senior notes and other long-term debt was \$30.6 billion with a carrying amount of \$28.6 billion. This compares to a fair value of \$26.6 billion and a carrying amount of \$25.4 billion as of July 25, 2015. The fair value of the senior notes and other long-term debt was determined based on observable market prices in a less active market and was categorized as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

10. Borrowings

(a) Short-Term Debt

The following table summarizes the Company's short-term debt (in millions, except percentages):

| | April 30, 2016 | | July 25, 2015 | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| | Amount | Effective Rate | Amount | Effective Rate |
| Current portion of long-term debt | \$4,163 | 0.94 % | \$3,894 | 2.48 % |
| Other short-term debt | 1 | 2.08 % | 3 | 2.44 % |
| Total | \$4,164 | | \$3,897 | |

The effective interest rate on the current portion of long-term debt includes the impact of interest rate swaps, as discussed further in "(b) Long-Term Debt." Other notes and borrowings consist of the short-term portion of secured borrowings associated with customer financing arrangements. These notes and credit facilities were subject to various terms and foreign currency market interest rates pursuant to individual financial arrangements between the financing institution and the applicable foreign subsidiary.

On September 3, 2015, the Company repaid an aggregate principal amount of \$850 million upon the maturity of its 2015 Floating-Rate Notes. On February 22, 2016, the Company repaid an aggregate principal amount of \$3.0 billion upon the maturity of its 2016 Fixed Rate Notes.

The Company has established a short-term debt financing program of up to \$3.0 billion through the issuance of commercial paper notes. The Company uses the proceeds from the issuance of commercial paper notes for general corporate purposes. The Company had no commercial paper notes outstanding as of each of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

(b) Long-Term Debt

The following table summarizes the Company's long-term debt (in millions, except percentages):

| | Maturity Date | April 30, 2016 | | July 25, 2015 | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| | | Amount | Effective Rate | Amount | Effective Rate |
| Senior notes: | | | | | |
| Floating-rate notes: | | | | | |
| Three-month LIBOR plus 0.05% | September 3, 2015 | \$— | — | \$850 | 0.43% |
| Three-month LIBOR plus 0.28% | March 3, 2017 | 1,000 | 0.98% | 1,000 | 0.63% |
| Three-month LIBOR plus 0.60% | February 21, 2018 (1) | 1,000 | 1.30% | — | — |
| Three-month LIBOR plus 0.31% | June 15, 2018 | 900 | 1.01% | 900 | 0.65% |
| Three-month LIBOR plus 0.50% | March 1, 2019 | 500 | 1.20% | 500 | 0.84% |
| Fixed-rate notes: | | | | | |
| 5.50% | February 22, 2016 | — | — | 3,000 | 3.07% |
| 1.10% | March 3, 2017 | 2,400 | 0.84% | 2,400 | 0.59% |
| 3.15% | March 14, 2017 | 750 | 1.20% | 750 | 0.85% |
| 1.40% | February 28, 2018 (1) | 1,250 | 1.47% | — | — |
| 1.65% | June 15, 2018 | 1,600 | 1.72% | 1,600 | 1.72% |
| 4.95% | February 15, 2019 | 2,000 | 4.76% | 2,000 | 4.70% |
| 1.60% | February 28, 2019 (1) | 1,000 | 1.67% | — | — |
| 2.125% | March 1, 2019 | 1,750 | 1.05% | 1,750 | 0.80% |
| 4.45% | January 15, 2020 | 2,500 | 3.21% | 2,500 | 3.01% |
| 2.45% | June 15, 2020 | 1,500 | 2.54% | 1,500 | 2.54% |
| 2.20% | February 28, 2021 (1) | 2,500 | 2.30% | — | — |
| 2.90% | March 4, 2021 | 500 | 1.21% | 500 | 0.96% |
| 3.00% | June 15, 2022 | 500 | 1.46% | 500 | 1.21% |
| 2.60% | February 28, 2023 (1) | 500 | 2.68% | — | — |
| 3.625% | March 4, 2024 | 1,000 | 1.33% | 1,000 | 1.08% |
| 3.50% | June 15, 2025 | 500 | 1.62% | 500 | 1.37% |
| 2.95% | February 28, 2026 (1) | 750 | 3.01% | — | — |
| 5.90% | February 15, 2039 | 2,000 | 6.11% | 2,000 | 6.11% |
| 5.50% | January 15, 2040 | 2,000 | 5.67% | 2,000 | 5.67% |
| Other long-term debt | | — | | 1 | 2.08% |
| Total | | 28,400 | | 25,251 | |
| Unaccreted discount/issuance costs | | (141) | | (131) | |
| Hedge accounting fair value adjustments | | 335 | | 231 | |
| Total | | \$28,594 | | \$25,351 | |

Reported as:

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Current portion of long-term debt | \$4,163 | \$3,894 |
| Long-term debt | 24,431 | 21,457 |
| Total | \$28,594 | \$25,351 |

(1) In February 2016, the Company issued senior notes for an aggregate principal amount of \$7.0 billion.

To achieve its interest rate risk management objectives, the Company entered into interest rate swaps in prior periods with an aggregate notional amount of \$9.9 billion designated as fair value hedges of certain of its fixed-rate senior notes. In effect, these swaps convert the fixed interest rates of the fixed-rate notes to floating interest rates based on the London InterBank Offered Rate (LIBOR). The gains and losses related to changes in the fair value of the interest

rate swaps substantially offset changes in the fair value of the hedged portion of the underlying debt that are attributable to the changes in market interest rates. For additional information, see Note 11.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

The effective rates for the fixed-rate debt include the interest on the notes, the accretion of the discount and issuance costs, and, if applicable, adjustments related to hedging. Interest is payable semiannually on each class of the senior fixed-rate notes and payable quarterly on the floating-rate notes. Each of the senior fixed-rate notes is redeemable by the Company at any time, subject to a make-whole premium.

The senior notes rank at par with the commercial paper notes that may be issued in the future pursuant to the Company's short-term debt financing program, as discussed above under "(a) Short-Term Debt." As of April 30, 2016, the Company was in compliance with all debt covenants.

As of April 30, 2016, future principal payments for long-term debt, including the current portion, are summarized as follows (in millions):

| Fiscal Year | Amount |
|-------------------------------|----------|
| 2016 (remaining three months) | \$— |
| 2017 | 4,150 |
| 2018 | 4,750 |
| 2019 | 5,250 |
| 2020 | 4,000 |
| Thereafter | 10,250 |
| Total | \$28,400 |

(c) Credit Facility

On May 15, 2015, the Company entered into a credit agreement with certain institutional lenders that provides for a \$3.0 billion unsecured revolving credit facility that is scheduled to expire on May 15, 2020. Any advances under the credit agreement will accrue interest at rates that are equal to, based on certain conditions, either (i) the highest of (a) the Federal Funds rate plus 0.50%, (b) Bank of America's "prime rate" as announced from time to time, or (c) LIBOR, or a comparable or successor rate that is approved by the Administrative Agent ("Eurocurrency Rate"), for an interest period of one-month plus 1.00%, or (ii) the Eurocurrency Rate, plus a margin that is based on the Company's senior debt credit ratings as published by Standard & Poor's Financial Services, LLC and Moody's Investors Service, Inc., provided that in no event will the Eurocurrency Rate be less than zero. The credit agreement requires the Company to comply with certain covenants, including that it maintain an interest coverage ratio as defined in the agreement. The Company may also, upon the agreement of either the then-existing lenders or additional lenders not currently parties to the agreement, increase the commitments under the credit facility by up to an additional \$2.0 billion and/or extend the expiration date of the credit facility up to May 15, 2022. The Company was in compliance with the required interest coverage ratio and the other covenants, and the Company had not borrowed any funds under the credit facility.

11. Derivative Instruments

(a) Summary of Derivative Instruments

The Company uses derivative instruments primarily to manage exposures to foreign currency exchange rate, interest rate, and equity price risks. The Company's primary objective in holding derivatives is to reduce the volatility of earnings and cash flows associated with changes in foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates, and equity prices. The Company's derivatives expose it to credit risk to the extent that the counterparties may be unable to meet the terms of the agreement. The Company does, however, seek to mitigate such risks by limiting its counterparties to major financial institutions. In addition, the potential risk of loss with any one counterparty resulting from this type of credit risk is monitored. Management does not expect material losses as a result of defaults by counterparties.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

The fair values of the Company's derivative instruments and the line items on the Consolidated Balance Sheets to which they were recorded are summarized as follows (in millions):

| | DERIVATIVE ASSETS | | | DERIVATIVE LIABILITIES | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| | Balance Sheet Line Item | April 30, 2016 | July 25, 2015 | Balance Sheet Line Item | April 30, 2016 | July 25, 2015 |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency derivatives | Other current assets | \$ 13 | \$ 10 | Other current liabilities | \$ 3 | \$ 11 |
| Interest rate derivatives | Other assets | 332 | 202 | Other long-term liabilities | — | — |
| Total | | 345 | 212 | | 3 | 11 |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments: | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency derivatives | Other current assets | — | 2 | Other current liabilities | 1 | 1 |
| Equity derivatives | Other assets | — | 4 | Other long-term liabilities | — | — |
| Total | | — | 6 | | 1 | 1 |
| Total | | \$ 345 | \$ 218 | | \$ 4 | \$ 12 |

The effects of the Company's cash flow and net investment hedging instruments on other comprehensive income (OCI) and the Consolidated Statements of Operations are summarized as follows (in millions):

| GAINS (LOSSES) RECOGNIZED IN OCI ON DERIVATIVES FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED (EFFECTIVE PORTION) | GAINS (LOSSES) RECLASSIFIED FROM AOCI INTO INCOME FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED (EFFECTIVE PORTION) | | Line Item in Statements of Operations | GAINS (LOSSES) RECLASSIFIED FROM AOCI INTO INCOME FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED (EFFECTIVE PORTION) | |
|--|--|----------------|---|---|----------------|
| | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 |
| Derivatives designated as cash flow hedging instruments: | | | | | |
| Foreign currency derivatives | \$ 21 | \$ (32) | Operating expenses | \$ (7) | \$ (50) |
| | | | Cost of sales—service | (2) | (14) |
| Total | \$ 21 | \$ (32) | | \$ (9) | \$ (64) |
| Derivatives designated as net investment hedging instruments: | | | | | |
| Foreign currency derivatives | \$ (15) | \$ 2 | Other income (loss), net | \$ — | \$ — |
| GAINS (LOSSES) RECOGNIZED IN OCI ON DERIVATIVES FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED (EFFECTIVE PORTION) | | | | | |
| | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | Line Item in Statements of Operations | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 |

Derivatives designated as cash flow hedging instruments:

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------------|----------|----------|
| Foreign currency derivatives | \$ (3) | \$ (163) | Operating expenses | \$ (13) | \$ (74) |
| | | | Cost of sales—service | (4) | (20) |
| Total | \$ (3) | \$ (163) | | \$ (17) | \$ (94) |

Derivatives designated as net investment hedging instruments:

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|-------|--------------------------|------|------|
| Foreign currency derivatives | \$ (4) | \$ 46 | Other income (loss), net | \$ — | \$ — |
|------------------------------|---------|-------|--------------------------|------|------|

As of April 30, 2016, the Company estimates that approximately \$9 million of net derivative gains related to its cash flow hedges included in accumulated other comprehensive income/loss (AOCI) will be reclassified into earnings within the next 12 months when the underlying hedged item impacts earnings.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

The effect on the Consolidated Statements of Operations of derivative instruments designated as fair value hedges and the underlying hedged items is summarized as follows (in millions):

| | Line Item in Statements of Operations | GAINS (LOSSES) ON DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED | | GAINS (LOSSES) RELATED TO HEDGED ITEMS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED | |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|----------------|---|----------------|
| | | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 |
| Derivatives Designated as Fair Value Hedging Instruments | | | | | |
| Equity derivatives | Other income (loss), net | \$ — | \$ (8) | \$ — | \$ 8 |
| Interest rate derivatives | Interest expense | 19 | (9) | (18) | 9 |
| Total | | \$ 19 | \$ (17) | \$ (18) | \$ 17 |
| | | GAINS (LOSSES) ON DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED | | GAINS (LOSSES) RELATED TO HEDGED ITEMS FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED | |
| | | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 |
| Derivatives Designated as Fair Value Hedging Instruments | | | | | |
| Equity derivatives | Other income (loss), net | \$ — | \$ (20) | \$ — | \$ 20 |
| Interest rate derivatives | Interest expense | 130 | 122 | (125) | (125) |
| Total | | \$ 130 | \$ 102 | \$ (125) | \$ (105) |

The effect on the Consolidated Statements of Operations of derivative instruments not designated as hedges is summarized as follows (in millions):

| | Line Item in Statements of Operations | GAINS (LOSSES) FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED | | GAINS (LOSSES) FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|----------------|--|----------------|
| | | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 |
| Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | | | | | |
| Foreign currency derivatives | Other income (loss), net | \$ 80 | \$ (56) | \$ 26 | \$ (165) |
| Total return swaps—deferred compensation | Operating expenses | 46 | 23 | (8) | 23 |
| Equity derivatives | Other income (loss), net | 1 | 6 | 14 | 10 |
| Total | | \$ 127 | \$ (27) | \$ 32 | \$ (132) |

The notional amounts of the Company's outstanding derivatives are summarized as follows (in millions):

April 30, July 25,
2016 2015

Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:

Edgar Filing: CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Foreign currency derivatives—cash flow hedges | \$ 958 | \$ 1,201 |
| Interest rate derivatives | 9,900 | 11,400 |
| Net investment hedging instruments | 180 | 192 |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments: | | |
| Foreign currency derivatives | 1,925 | 2,023 |
| Total return swaps—deferred compensation | 470 | 462 |
| Total | \$ 13,433 | \$ 15,278 |

28

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

(b) Offsetting of Derivative Instruments

The Company presents its derivative instruments at gross fair values in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. However, the Company's master netting and other similar arrangements with the respective counterparties allow for net settlement under certain conditions, which are designed to reduce credit risk by permitting net settlement with the same counterparty. To further limit credit risk, the Company also enters into collateral security arrangements related to certain derivative instruments whereby cash is posted as collateral between the counterparties based on the fair market value of the derivative instrument. Information related to these offsetting arrangements is summarized as follows (in millions):

| | April 30, 2016 | | | Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, but with Legal Rights to Offset | | |
|-------------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------|------------|
| | Gross Amounts Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets | | | Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, but with Legal Rights to Offset | | |
| | Gross Amounts Recognized | Gross Amounts Offset | Net Amounts Presented | Gross Derivative Amounts | Cash Collateral | Net Amount |
| Derivatives assets | \$345 | \$ — | \$ 345 | \$(4) | \$(247) | \$ 94 |
| Derivatives liabilities | \$4 | \$ — | \$ 4 | \$(4) | \$ — | \$ — |
| | July 25, 2015 | | | Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, but with Legal Rights to Offset | | |
| | Gross Amounts Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets | | | Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, but with Legal Rights to Offset | | |
| | Gross Amounts Recognized | Gross Amounts Offset | Net Amounts Presented | Gross Derivative Amounts | Cash Collateral | Net Amount |
| Derivatives assets | \$218 | \$ — | \$ 218 | \$(12) | \$(124) | \$ 82 |
| Derivatives liabilities | \$12 | \$ — | \$ 12 | \$(12) | \$ — | \$ — |

(c) Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

The Company conducts business globally in numerous currencies. Therefore, it is exposed to adverse movements in foreign currency exchange rates. To limit the exposure related to foreign currency changes, the Company enters into foreign currency contracts. The Company does not enter into such contracts for trading purposes.

The Company hedges forecasted foreign currency transactions related to certain operating expenses and service cost of sales with currency options and forward contracts. These currency options and forward contracts, designated as cash flow hedges, generally have maturities of less than 18 months. The Company assesses effectiveness based on changes in total fair value of the derivatives. The effective portion of the derivative instrument's gain or loss is initially reported as a component of AOCI and subsequently reclassified into earnings when the hedged exposure affects earnings. The ineffective portion, if any, of the gain or loss is reported in earnings immediately. During the periods presented, the Company did not discontinue any cash flow hedges for which it was probable that a forecasted transaction would not occur.

The Company enters into foreign exchange forward and option contracts to reduce the short-term effects of foreign currency fluctuations on assets and liabilities such as foreign currency receivables, including long-term customer financings, investments, and payables. These derivatives are not designated as hedging instruments. Gains and losses

on the contracts are included in other income (loss), net, and substantially offset foreign exchange gains and losses from the remeasurement of intercompany balances or other current assets, investments, or liabilities denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of the reporting entity.

The Company hedges certain net investments in its foreign operations with forward contracts to reduce the effects of foreign currency fluctuations on the Company's net investment in those foreign subsidiaries. These derivative instruments generally have maturities of up to six months.

(d) Interest Rate Risk

Interest Rate Derivatives, Investments The Company's primary objective for holding fixed income securities is to achieve an appropriate investment return consistent with preserving principal and managing risk. To realize these objectives, the Company may utilize interest rate swaps or other derivatives designated as fair value or cash flow hedges. As of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015, the Company did not have any outstanding interest rate derivatives related to its fixed income securities.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Interest Rate Derivatives Designated as Fair Value Hedges, Long-Term Debt In fiscal 2016, the Company did not enter into any interest rate swaps. In prior fiscal years, the Company entered into interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges related to fixed-rate senior notes that are due in fiscal years 2017 through 2025. Under these interest rate swaps, the Company receives fixed-rate interest payments and makes interest payments based on LIBOR plus a fixed number of basis points. The effect of such swaps is to convert the fixed interest rates of the senior fixed-rate notes to floating interest rates based on LIBOR. The gains and losses related to changes in the fair value of the interest rate swaps are included in interest expense and substantially offset changes in the fair value of the hedged portion of the underlying debt that are attributable to the changes in market interest rates. The fair value of the interest rate swaps was reflected in other assets and other long-term liabilities.

(e) Equity Price Risk

The Company may hold equity securities for strategic purposes or to diversify its overall investment portfolio. The publicly traded equity securities in the Company's portfolio are subject to price risk. To manage its exposure to changes in the fair value of certain equity securities, the Company has entered into equity derivatives that are designated as fair value hedges. The changes in the value of the hedging instruments are included in other income (loss), net, and offset the change in the fair value of the underlying hedged investment. In addition, the Company periodically enters into equity derivatives that are not designated as accounting hedges. The changes in the fair value of these derivatives are also included in other income (loss), net.

The Company is also exposed to variability in compensation charges related to certain deferred compensation obligations to employees. Although not designated as accounting hedges, the Company utilizes derivatives such as total return swaps to economically hedge this exposure.

(f) Hedge Effectiveness

For the periods presented, amounts excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness were not material for fair value, cash flow, and net investment hedges. In addition, hedge ineffectiveness for fair value, cash flow, and net investment hedges was not material for any of the periods presented.

12. Commitments and Contingencies**(a) Operating Leases**

The Company leases office space in many U.S. locations. Outside the United States, larger leased sites include sites in Belgium, Canada, China, France, Germany, India, Israel, Japan, Poland and the United Kingdom. The Company also leases equipment and vehicles. Future minimum lease payments under all noncancelable operating leases with an initial term in excess of one year as of April 30, 2016 are as follows (in millions):

| Fiscal Year | Amount |
|-------------------------------|----------|
| 2016 (remaining three months) | \$ 95 |
| 2017 | 288 |
| 2018 | 220 |
| 2019 | 130 |
| 2020 | 106 |
| Thereafter | 229 |
| Total | \$ 1,068 |

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

(b) Purchase Commitments with Contract Manufacturers and Suppliers

The Company purchases components from a variety of suppliers and uses several contract manufacturers to provide manufacturing services for its products. During the normal course of business, in order to manage manufacturing lead times and help ensure adequate component supply, the Company enters into agreements with contract manufacturers and suppliers that either allow them to procure inventory based upon criteria as defined by the Company or establish the parameters defining the Company's requirements. A significant portion of the Company's reported purchase commitments arising from these agreements consists of firm, noncancelable, and unconditional commitments. In certain instances, these agreements allow the Company the option to cancel, reschedule, and adjust the Company's requirements based on its business needs prior to firm orders being placed. As of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015, the Company had total purchase commitments for inventory of \$3,794 million and \$4,078 million, respectively.

The Company records a liability for firm, noncancelable, and unconditional purchase commitments for quantities in excess of its future demand forecasts consistent with the valuation of the Company's excess and obsolete inventory. As of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015, the liability for these purchase commitments was \$160 million and \$156 million, respectively, and was included in other current liabilities.

(c) Other Commitments

In connection with the Company's acquisitions, the Company has agreed to pay certain additional amounts contingent upon the achievement of certain agreed-upon technology, development, product, or other milestones or upon the continued employment with the Company of certain employees of the acquired entities.

The following table summarizes the compensation expense related to acquisitions (in millions):

| | Three Months Ended April 30, 2016 | Three Months Ended April 25, 2015 | Nine Months Ended April 30, 2016 | Nine Months Ended April 25, 2015 |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| Compensation expense related to acquisitions | \$68 | \$72 | \$212 | \$264 |

As of April 30, 2016, the Company estimated that future cash compensation expense of up to \$400 million may be required to be recognized pursuant to the applicable business combination agreements, which included the remaining potential compensation expense related to Insieme Networks, Inc. ("Insieme"), as more fully discussed immediately below.

Insieme Networks, Inc. In the third quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company made an investment in Insieme, an early stage company focused on research and development in the data center market. As set forth in the agreement between the Company and Insieme, this investment included \$100 million of funding and a license to certain of the Company's technology. Immediately prior to the call option exercise and acquisition described below, the Company owned approximately 83% of Insieme as a result of these investments and consolidated the results of Insieme in its Consolidated Financial Statements. In connection with this investment, the Company and Insieme entered into a put/call option agreement that provided the Company with the right to purchase the remaining interests in Insieme. In addition, the noncontrolling interest holders could require the Company to purchase their shares upon the occurrence of certain events.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2014, the Company exercised its call option and entered into an agreement to purchase the remaining interests in Insieme. The acquisition closed in the second quarter of fiscal 2014, at which time the former noncontrolling interest holders became eligible to receive up to two milestone payments, which will be determined using agreed-upon formulas based primarily on revenue for certain of Insieme's products. The Company recorded compensation expense of \$35 million and \$51 million during the three months ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015, respectively, and \$136 million and \$155 million during the nine months ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015, respectively, related to the fair value of the vested portion of amounts that were earned or are expected to be earned by the former noncontrolling interest holders. Continued vesting and changes to the fair value of the

amounts probable of being earned will result in adjustments to the recorded compensation expense in future periods. Based on the terms of the agreement, the Company has determined that the maximum amount that could be recorded as compensation expense by the Company is approximately \$839 million (which includes the \$759 million that has been expensed to date), net of forfeitures.

The former noncontrolling interest holders earned the maximum amount related to the first milestone payment and were paid approximately \$375 million for a portion of this amount during the nine months ended April 30, 2016. The balance of the first milestone payment is expected to be paid primarily through the end of fiscal 2016. The second milestone payment, to the extent earned, is expected to be paid primarily during the first half of fiscal 2017.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

The Company also has certain funding commitments, primarily related to its investments in privately held companies and venture funds, some of which are based on the achievement of certain agreed-upon milestones, and some of which are required to be funded on demand. The funding commitments were \$199 million and \$205 million as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015, respectively.

(d) Product Warranties

The following table summarizes the activity related to the product warranty liability (in millions):

| | Nine Months Ended | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 449 | \$ 446 |
| Provision for warranties issued | 512 | 517 |
| Payments | (524) | (512) |
| Divestitures | (28) | — |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 409 | \$ 451 |

The Company accrues for warranty costs as part of its cost of sales based on associated material product costs, labor costs for technical support staff, and associated overhead. The Company's products are generally covered by a warranty for periods ranging from 90 days to five years, and for some products the Company provides a limited lifetime warranty.

(e) Financing and Other Guarantees

In the ordinary course of business, the Company provides financing guarantees for various third-party financing arrangements extended to channel partners and end-user customers. Payments under these financing guarantee arrangements were not material for the periods presented.

Channel Partner Financing Guarantees The Company facilitates arrangements for third-party financing extended to channel partners, consisting of revolving short-term financing, generally with payment terms ranging from 60 to 90 days. These financing arrangements facilitate the working capital requirements of the channel partners, and, in some cases, the Company guarantees a portion of these arrangements. The volume of channel partner financing was \$6.4 billion and \$6.3 billion for the three months ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015, respectively, and was \$19.8 billion and \$19.0 billion for the nine months ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015, respectively. The balance of the channel partner financing subject to guarantees was \$1.0 billion and \$1.2 billion as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015, respectively.

End-User Financing Guarantees The Company also provides financing guarantees for third-party financing arrangements extended to end-user customers related to leases and loans, which typically have terms of up to three years. The volume of financing provided by third parties for leases and loans as to which the Company had provided guarantees was \$18 million and \$22 million for the three months ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015, respectively, and was \$57 million and \$87 million for the nine months ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015, respectively.

Financing Guarantee Summary The aggregate amounts of financing guarantees outstanding at April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015, representing the total maximum potential future payments under financing arrangements with third parties along with the related deferred revenue, are summarized in the following table (in millions):

| | April 30, July 25, 2016 2015 | |
|---|---------------------------------|--------|
| Maximum potential future payments relating to financing guarantees: | | |
| Channel partner | \$ 228 | \$ 288 |
| End user | 104 | 129 |
| Total | \$ 332 | \$ 417 |

Edgar Filing: CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. - Form 10-Q

Deferred revenue associated with financing guarantees:

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Channel partner | \$ (98) | \$ (127) |
| End user | (82) | (107) |
| Total | \$ (180) | \$ (234) |
| Maximum potential future payments relating to financing guarantees, net of associated deferred revenue | \$ 152 | \$ 183 |

32

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Other Guarantees The Company's other guarantee arrangements as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015 that were subject to recognition and disclosure requirements were not material.

(f) Supplier Component Remediation Liability

The Company has recorded in other current liabilities a liability for the expected remediation cost for certain products sold in prior fiscal years containing memory components manufactured by a single supplier between 2005 and 2010. These components were widely used across the industry and are included in a number of the Company's products. Defects in some of these components have caused products to fail after a power cycle event. Defect rates due to this issue have been and are expected to be low. However, the Company has seen a small number of its customers experience a growing number of failures in their networks as a result of this component problem. Although the majority of these products was beyond the Company's warranty terms, the Company has been proactively working with customers on mitigation. Prior to the second quarter of fiscal 2014, the Company had a liability of \$63 million related to this issue for expected remediation costs based on the intended approach at that time. In February 2014, on the basis of the growing number of failures described above, the Company decided to expand its approach, which resulted in a charge to product cost of sales of \$655 million being recorded for the second quarter of fiscal 2014. During the third quarters of fiscal 2016 and 2015, adjustments to product cost of sales of \$74 million and \$164 million, respectively, were recorded to reduce the liability, reflecting net lower than previously estimated future costs to remediate the impacted customer products. The supplier component remediation liability as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015 was \$286 million and \$408 million, respectively.

(g) Indemnifications

In the normal course of business, the Company indemnifies other parties, including customers, lessors, and parties to other transactions with the Company, with respect to certain matters. The Company has agreed to hold such parties harmless against losses arising from a breach of representations or covenants or out of intellectual property infringement or other claims made against certain parties. These agreements may limit the time within which an indemnification claim can be made and the amount of the claim.

The Company is providing such indemnifications, among other cases, in matters involving certain of the Company's service provider customers that are subject to patent infringement claims asserted by Sprint Communications Company, L.P. ("Sprint") now pending in Kansas and Delaware. Sprint alleges that the service provider customers infringe Sprint's patents by offering Voice over Internet Protocol-based telephone services utilizing products provided by the Company and other manufacturers. Sprint seeks monetary damages. Sprint's cases in Kansas include claims against Comcast and Time Warner Cable, and the case in Delaware for which the Company is providing indemnification involves Cox Communications. On May 15, 2015, the judge in Sprint's Delaware action against Cox ruled invalid six of the asserted patents and a final judgment was entered on August 27, 2015, of invalidity, which Sprint appealed on October 1, 2015. In light of the invalidity rulings against Sprint in Delaware, the judge in Sprint's Kansas actions stayed the Kansas actions until resolution of Sprint's appeal from the Delaware action. On March 21, 2016, the judge in Sprint's Delaware action also granted a partial summary judgment for Cox, finding that Cox does not literally infringe five of the remaining patents Sprint has asserted against Cox. Additionally, Comcast has also won a judgment of non-infringement, now being appealed by Sprint, in a separate case brought against it by Sprint in Delaware.

The Company believes that the service providers have strong defenses and that its products do not infringe the patents subject to the claims and/or that Sprint's patents are invalid. Due to the uncertainty surrounding the litigation process, which involves numerous defendants, the Company is unable to reasonably estimate the ultimate outcome of this litigation at this time. Should the plaintiff prevail in litigation, mediation, or settlement, the Company, in accordance with its agreements, may have an obligation to indemnify its service provider customers for damages, mediation awards, or settlement amounts arising from their use of Cisco products.

In addition, the Company has entered into indemnification agreements with its officers and directors, and the Company's Amended and Restated Bylaws contain similar indemnification obligations to the Company's agents.

It is not possible to determine the maximum potential amount under these indemnification agreements due to the Company's limited history with prior indemnification claims and the unique facts and circumstances involved in each particular agreement. Historically, payments made by the Company under these agreements have not had a material effect on the Company's operating results, financial position, or cash flows.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

(h) Legal Proceedings

Brazil Brazilian authorities have investigated the Company's Brazilian subsidiary and certain of its current and former employees, as well as a Brazilian importer of the Company's products, and its affiliates and employees, relating to alleged evasion of import taxes and alleged improper transactions involving the subsidiary and the importer.

Brazilian tax authorities have assessed claims against the Company's Brazilian subsidiary based on a theory of joint liability with the Brazilian importer for import taxes, interest, and penalties. In addition to claims asserted by the Brazilian federal tax authorities in prior fiscal years, tax authorities from the Brazilian state of Sao Paulo have asserted similar claims on the same legal basis in prior fiscal years. In the first quarter of fiscal 2013, the Brazilian federal tax authorities asserted an additional claim against the Company's Brazilian subsidiary based on a theory of joint liability with respect to an alleged underpayment of income taxes, social taxes, interest, and penalties by a Brazilian distributor. This claim was dismissed on its merits during the third quarter of fiscal 2016.

The asserted claims by Brazilian federal tax authorities which remain are for calendar years 2003 through 2007, and the asserted claims by the tax authorities from the state of Sao Paulo are for calendar years 2005 through 2007. The total asserted claims by Brazilian state and federal tax authorities aggregate to \$233 million for the alleged evasion of import and other taxes, \$1.2 billion for interest, and \$1.1 billion for various penalties, all determined using an exchange rate as of April 30, 2016. The Company has completed a thorough review of the matters and believes the asserted claims against the Company's Brazilian subsidiary are without merit, and the Company is defending the claims vigorously. While the Company believes there is no legal basis for the alleged liability, due to the complexities and uncertainty surrounding the judicial process in Brazil and the nature of the claims asserting joint liability with the importer, the Company is unable to determine the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome against its Brazilian subsidiary and is unable to reasonably estimate a range of loss, if any. The Company does not expect a final judicial determination for several years.

Russia and the Commonwealth of Independent States At the request of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and the U.S. Department of Justice, the Company is conducting an investigation into allegations which the Company and those agencies received regarding possible violations of the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act involving business activities of the Company's operations in Russia and certain of the Commonwealth of Independent States, and by certain resellers of the Company's products in those countries. The Company takes any such allegations very seriously and is fully cooperating with and sharing the results of its investigation with the SEC and the Department of Justice. While the outcome of the Company's investigation is currently not determinable, the Company does not expect that it will have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. The countries that are the subject of the investigation collectively comprise less than 2% of the Company's revenues.

Backflip Software Backflip Software, Inc. ("Backflip") has asserted contract, tort, and fraud claims against the Company in Santa Clara County, California Superior Court. The proceeding was instituted on March 5, 2013. Backflip alleges that Cisco conspired with Backflip's then-CEO to allow the Company to access and use Backflip's source code via a pre-existing source code escrow agreement, and that, subsequently, the Company used that source code in violation of trade secret law and the parties' software license agreement. Backflip has also sued the escrow company, NCC Group, Inc., for breach of contract based on the same allegations. Backflip seeks compensatory and punitive damages. Trial is set for September 12, 2016. The Company believes that it has strong arguments that it was entitled to the source code under the parties' software license agreement. In addition, if the jury were to find for Backflip on some or all of its claims, the Company believes that damages would not be material given the minimal value of Backflip and its intellectual property that the Company is alleged to have misappropriated. However, due to the uncertainty surrounding the litigation process, the Company is unable to reasonably estimate the ultimate outcome of this litigation at this time.

SRI International On September 4, 2013, SRI International, Inc. ("SRI") asserted patent infringement claims against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware, accusing Cisco products and services in the area

of network intrusion detection of infringing two U.S. patents. SRI sought monetary damages of at least a reasonable royalty and enhanced damages. The trial on these claims began on May 2, 2016 and on May 12, 2016 the jury returned a verdict finding willful infringement of the asserted patents. The jury awarded SRI damages of \$23.7 million and the Court will decide whether to award enhanced damages and attorneys' fees and whether an ongoing royalty should be awarded through the expiration of the patents in 2018. In June 2016, the Company plans to file post-trial motions and the Company also intends to pursue an appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit on various grounds. The Company believes it has strong arguments to overturn the jury verdict and/or reduce the damages award. While the ultimate outcome of the case may still result in a loss, the Company does not expect it to be material.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

SSL SSL Services, LLC (“SSL”) has asserted claims for patent infringement against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. The proceeding was instituted on March 25, 2015. SSL alleges that the Company's AnyConnect products that include Virtual Private Networking functions infringed a U.S. patent owned by SSL. SSL seeks money damages from the Company. A trial is set for September 6, 2016. The Company believes it has strong arguments that its products do not infringe and the patent is invalid. If a jury were to find that the Company's AnyConnect products infringe and the patent is not invalid, the Company believes damages, as appropriately measured, would be immaterial. Due to uncertainty surrounding the litigation process, however, the Company is unable to reasonably estimate the ultimate outcome of this litigation at this time. The Company also notes that on February 23, 2016, a multi-judge panel of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“PTAB”) of the United States Patent and Trademark Office instituted proceedings to review whether the patent SSL has asserted against the Company is valid over prior art. The PTAB found a reasonable likelihood that the Company would prevail in showing that the patent claims are unpatentable. The PTAB has scheduled the hearing on the Company's review petition for November 16, 2016.

Kangtega Cisco Systems GmbH (“Cisco GmbH”) is subject to patent claims by Kangtega GmbH (“Kangtega”), instituted on June 6, 2013, alleging that Cisco GmbH infringes in Germany a European Patent by marketing, in Germany, network intrusion-detection, or firewall, products known as the “ASA” firewall offering. On April 29, 2014, the Mannheim Regional Court dismissed the infringement action finding no infringement by Cisco GmbH of the asserted patent. On July 13, 2016, a court of appeal in Germany (Oberlandesgericht Karlsruhe) will hear an appeal of that judgment. Kangtega seeks an injunction which would prohibit Cisco GmbH's activities in Germany with respect to the ASA firewall offering unless Cisco GmbH takes a license from Kangtega or the Company redesigns the products. The Company believes that the lower court ruling was correct and should be affirmed. The Company does not anticipate that the outcome of the case would be material. However, due to uncertainty surrounding the litigation process, the Company is unable to reasonably estimate the outcome of the appeal and any subsequent appeals to a higher court at this time.

In addition, we are subject to legal proceedings, claims, and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business, including intellectual property litigation. While the outcome of these matters is currently not determinable, we do not expect that the ultimate costs to resolve these matters will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

13. Shareholders' Equity**(a) Cash Dividends on Shares of Common Stock**

During the nine months ended April 30, 2016, the Company declared and paid cash dividends of \$0.68 per common share, or \$3.4 billion, on the Company's outstanding common stock. During the nine months ended April 25, 2015, the Company declared and paid cash dividends of \$0.59 per common share, or \$3.0 billion, on the Company's outstanding common stock.

Any future dividends will be subject to the approval of the Company's Board of Directors.

(b) Stock Repurchase Program

In September 2001, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program. As of April 30, 2016, the Company's Board of Directors had authorized an aggregate repurchase of up to \$112 billion of common stock under this program, and the remaining authorized repurchase amount was \$16.2 billion, with no termination date. A summary of the stock repurchase activity under the stock repurchase program, reported based on the trade date, is summarized as follows (in millions, except per-share amounts):

| | Shares Repurchased | Weighted- Average Price per Share | Amount Repurchased |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Cumulative balance at July 25, 2015 | 4,443 | \$ 20.86 | \$ 92,679 |

| | | | |
|--|-------|----------|-----------|
| Repurchase of common stock under the stock repurchase program ⁽¹⁾ | 120 | 25.93 | 3,118 |
| Cumulative balance at April 30, 2016 | 4,563 | \$ 20.99 | \$ 95,797 |

⁽¹⁾ There were no stock repurchases pending settlement as of April 30, 2016. There were \$36 million of stock repurchases that were pending settlement as of July 25, 2015.

The purchase price for the shares of the Company's stock repurchased is reflected as a reduction to shareholders' equity. The Company is required to allocate the purchase price of the repurchased shares as (i) a reduction to retained earnings and (ii) a reduction of common stock and additional paid-in capital. Issuance of common stock and the tax benefit related to employee stock incentive plans are recorded as an increase to common stock and additional paid-in capital.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

(c) Restricted Stock Unit Withholdings

For the nine months ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015, the Company repurchased approximately 18 million and 17 million shares, or \$469 million and \$415 million, of common stock, respectively, in settlement of employee tax withholding obligations due upon the vesting of restricted stock or stock units.

14. Employee Benefit Plans

(a) Employee Stock Incentive Plans

Stock Incentive Plan Program Description As of April 30, 2016, the Company had three stock incentive plans: the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2005 Plan"); the Cisco Systems, Inc. SA Acquisition Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "SA Acquisition Plan"); and the Cisco Systems, Inc. WebEx Acquisition Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "WebEx Acquisition Plan"). In addition, the Company has, in connection with the acquisitions of various companies, assumed the share-based awards granted under stock incentive plans of the acquired companies or issued share-based awards in replacement thereof. Share-based awards are designed to reward employees for their long-term contributions to the Company and provide incentives for them to remain with the Company. The number and frequency of share-based awards are based on competitive practices, operating results of the Company, government regulations, and other factors. The Company's primary stock incentive plans are summarized as follows:

2005 Plan As of April 30, 2016, the maximum number of shares issuable under the 2005 Plan over its term was 694 million shares, plus the 1996 Stock Incentive Plan, the SA Acquisition Plan, and the WebEx Acquisition Plan that are forfeited or are terminated for any other reason before being exercised or settled. If any awards granted under the 2005 Plan are forfeited or are terminated for any other reason before being exercised or settled, the unexercised or unsettled shares underlying the awards will again be available under the 2005 Plan. Starting November 19, 2013, shares withheld by the Company from an award other than a stock option or stock appreciation right to satisfy withholding tax liabilities resulting from such award will again be available for issuance, based on the fungible share ratio in effect on the date of grant.

Pursuant to an amendment approved by the Company's shareholders on November 12, 2009, the number of shares available for issuance under the 2005 Plan is reduced by 1.5 shares for each share awarded as a stock grant or a stock unit, and any shares underlying awards outstanding under the 1996 Stock Incentive Plan, the SA Acquisition Plan, and the WebEx Acquisition Plan that expire unexercised at the end of their maximum terms become available for reissuance under the 2005 Plan. The 2005 Plan permits the granting of stock options, restricted stock, and restricted stock units ("RSUs"), the vesting of which may be performance-based or market-based along with the requisite service requirement, and stock appreciation rights to employees (including employee directors and officers), consultants of the Company and its subsidiaries and affiliates, and non-employee directors of the Company. Stock options and stock appreciation rights granted under the 2005 Plan have an exercise price of at least 100% of the fair market value of the underlying stock on the grant date and prior to November 12, 2009 have an expiration date no later than nine years from the grant date. The expiration date for stock options and stock appreciation rights granted subsequent to the amendment approved on November 12, 2009 shall be no later than 10 years from the grant date. The stock options will generally become exercisable for 20% or 25% of the option shares one year from the date of grant and then ratably over the following 48 months or 36 months, respectively. Time-based stock grants and time-based RSUs will generally vest with respect to 20% or 25% of the shares or share units covered by the grant annually over the vesting period. The majority of the performance-based and market-based RSUs vests at the end of the three-year requisite service period or earlier if the award recipient meets certain retirement eligibility conditions. Certain performance-based RSUs, that are based on the achievement of financial and/or non-financial operating goals, typically vest upon the achievement of milestones (and may require subsequent service periods), with overall vesting of the shares underlying the award ranging from six months to three years. The Compensation and Management Development Committee of the Board of Directors has the discretion to use different vesting schedules. Stock appreciation rights may be awarded in combination with stock options or stock grants, and such awards shall provide

that the stock appreciation rights will not be exercisable unless the related stock options or stock grants are forfeited. Stock grants may be awarded in combination with non-statutory stock options, and such awards may provide that the stock grants will be forfeited in the event that the related non-statutory stock options are exercised.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Acquisition Plans In connection with the Company's acquisitions of Scientific-Atlanta, Inc. ("Scientific-Atlanta") and WebEx Communications, Inc. ("WebEx"), the Company adopted the SA Acquisition Plan and the WebEx Acquisition Plan, respectively, each effective upon completion of the applicable acquisition. These plans constitute assumptions, amendments, restatements, and renamings of the 2003 Long-Term Incentive Plan of Scientific-Atlanta and the WebEx Communications, Inc. Amended and Restated 2000 Stock Incentive Plan, respectively. The plans permit the grant of stock options, stock, stock units, and stock appreciation rights to certain employees of the Company and its subsidiaries and affiliates who had been employed by Scientific-Atlanta or its subsidiaries or WebEx or its subsidiaries, as applicable. As a result of the shareholder approval of the amendment and extension of the 2005 Plan, as of November 15, 2007, the Company will no longer make stock option grants or direct share issuances under either the SA Acquisition Plan or the WebEx Acquisition Plan.

(b) Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The Company has an Employee Stock Purchase Plan, which includes its subplan named the International Employee Stock Purchase Plan (together, the "Purchase Plan"), under which 621 million shares of the Company's common stock have been reserved for issuance as of April 30, 2016. Eligible employees are offered shares through a 24-month offering period, which consists of four consecutive 6-month purchase periods. Employees may purchase a limited number of shares of the Company's stock at a discount of up to 15% of the lesser of the market value at the beginning of the offering period or the end of each 6-month purchase period. The Purchase Plan is scheduled to terminate on January 3, 2020. The Company issued 14 million shares under the Purchase Plan during each of the nine months ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015. As of April 30, 2016, 134 million shares were available for issuance under the Purchase Plan.

(c) Summary of Share-Based Compensation Expense

Share-based compensation expense consists primarily of expenses for stock options, stock purchase rights, restricted stock, and restricted stock units granted to employees. The following table summarizes share-based compensation expense (in millions):

| | Three Months | | Nine Months | |
|--|--------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| | Ended | | Ended | |
| | April 30, | April 25, | April 30, | April 25, |
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Cost of sales—product | \$21 | \$ 12 | \$50 | \$34 |
| Cost of sales—service | 37 | 44 | 110 | 115 |
| Share-based compensation expense in cost of sales | 58 | 56 | 160 | 149 |
| Research and development | 130 | 114 | 351 | 338 |
| Sales and marketing | 148 | 147 | 413 | 408 |
| General and administrative | 59 | 50 | 163 | 151 |
| Restructuring and other charges | — | — | 14 | (2) |
| Share-based compensation expense in operating expenses | 337 | 311 | 941 | 895 |
| Total share-based compensation expense | \$395 | \$ 367 | \$1,101 | \$1,044 |
| Income tax benefit for share-based compensation | \$91 | \$ 88 | \$289 | \$267 |

As of April 30, 2016, the total compensation cost related to unvested share-based awards not yet recognized was \$2.8 billion, which is expected to be recognized over approximately 2.5 years on a weighted-average basis.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

(d) Share-Based Awards Available for Grant

A summary of share-based awards available for grant is as follows (in millions):

| | Share-Based Awards Available for Grant |
|---|---|
| BALANCE AT JULY 26, 2014 | 310 |
| Restricted stock, stock units, and other share-based awards granted | (101) |
| Share-based awards canceled/forfeited/expired | 40 |
| Shares withheld for taxes and not issued | 27 |
| BALANCE AT JULY 25, 2015 | 276 |
| Restricted stock, stock units, and other share-based awards granted | (68) |
| Share-based awards canceled/forfeited/expired | 26 |
| Shares withheld for taxes and not issued | 26 |
| Other | 1 |
| BALANCE AT APRIL 30, 2016 | 261 |

As reflected in the preceding table, for each share awarded as restricted stock or subject to a restricted stock unit award under the 2005 Plan, an equivalent of 1.5 shares was deducted from the available share-based award balance. For restricted stock units that were awarded with vesting contingent upon the achievement of future financial performance or market-based metrics, the maximum awards that can be achieved upon full vesting of such awards were reflected in the preceding table.

(e) Restricted Stock and Stock Unit Awards

A summary of the restricted stock and stock unit activity, which includes time-based and performance-based or market-based restricted stock units, is as follows (in millions, except per-share amounts):

| | Restricted Stock/ Stock Units | Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value per Share | Aggregate Fair Value |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| UNVESTED BALANCE AT JULY 26, 2014 | 149 | \$ 19.54 | |
| Granted and assumed | 67 | 25.29 | |
| Vested | (57) | 19.82 | \$ 1,517 |
| Canceled/forfeited | (16) | 20.17 | |
| UNVESTED BALANCE AT JULY 25, 2015 | 143 | 22.08 | |
| Granted and assumed | 51 | 24.73 | |
| Vested | (46) | 20.33 | \$ 1,188 |
| Canceled/forfeited | (12) | 22.75 | |
| UNVESTED BALANCE AT APRIL 30, 2016 | 136 | \$ 23.60 | |

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

(f) Stock Option Awards

A summary of the stock option activity is as follows (in millions, except per-share amounts):

| | STOCK OPTIONS OUTSTANDING | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| | Number Outstanding | Weighted-Average Exercise Price per Share |
| BALANCE AT JULY 26, 2014 | 187 | \$ 26.03 |
| Assumed from acquisitions | 1 | 2.60 |
| Exercised | (71) | 21.15 |
| Canceled/forfeited/expired | (14) | 29.68 |
| BALANCE AT JULY 25, 2015 | 103 | 28.68 |
| Assumed from acquisitions | 18 | 5.14 |
| Exercised | (25) | 19.60 |
| Canceled/forfeited/expired | (13) | 29.62 |
| BALANCE AT APRIL 30, 2016 | 83 | \$ 26.16 |

The following table summarizes significant ranges of outstanding and exercisable stock options as of April 30, 2016 (in millions, except years and share prices):

| Range of Exercise Prices | STOCK OPTIONS OUTSTANDING | | | STOCK OPTIONS EXERCISABLE | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------|
| | Number Outstanding | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (in Years) | Weighted-Average Exercise Price per Share | Aggregate Intrinsic Value | Number Exercisable | Weighted-Average Exercise Price per Share | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
| \$ 0.01 – 20.00 | 17 | 6.4 | \$ 5.49 | \$ 366 | 6 | \$ 5.11 | \$ 138 |
| \$ 20.01 – 25.00 | 2 | 0.6 | 22.97 | 7 | 2 | 22.97 | 7 |
| \$ 25.01 – 30.00 | 7 | 0.7 | 26.88 | 8 | 7 | 26.88 | 8 |
| \$ 30.01 – 35.00 | 57 | 0.4 | 32.16 | — | 57 | 32.16 | — |
| Total | 83 | 1.6 | \$ 26.16 | \$ 381 | 72 | \$ 29.12 | \$ 153 |

The aggregate intrinsic value in the preceding table represents the total pretax intrinsic value, based on the Company's closing stock price of \$27.49 as of April 29, 2016, that would have been received by the option holders had those option holders exercised their stock options as of that date. The total number of in-the-money stock options exercisable as of April 30, 2016 was 12 million. As of July 25, 2015, 102 million outstanding stock options were exercisable and the weighted-average exercise price was \$29.02.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

(g) Valuation of Employee Share-Based Awards

Time-based restricted stock units and performance-based restricted stock units ("PRSU") that are based on the Company's financial performance metrics or non-financial operating goals are valued using the market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant, discounted for the present value of expected dividends. On the date of grant, the Company estimated the fair value of the total shareholder return (TSR) component of the PRSUs using a Monte Carlo simulation model. The assumptions for the valuation of time-based RSUs and PRSUs are summarized as follows:

| | RESTRICTED STOCK UNITS | | PERFORMANCE RESTRICTED STOCK UNITS | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------|
| Three Months Ended | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 |
| Number of shares granted (in millions) | 2 | 33 | 1 | 1 |
| Grant date fair value per share | \$24.01 | \$25.31 | \$23.32 | \$25.87 |
| Weighted-average assumptions/inputs: | | | | |
| Expected dividend yield | 3.6 % | 2.8 % | 3.6 % | 3.0 % |
| Range of risk-free interest rates | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.0% |
| | - 1.1% | - 1.4% | - 1.1% | - 1.4% |
| Range of expected volatilities for index | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | RESTRICTED STOCK UNITS | | PERFORMANCE RESTRICTED STOCK UNITS | |
| Nine Months Ended | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 |
| Number of shares granted (in millions) | 38 | 44 | 5 | 8 |
| Grant date fair value per share | \$24.87 | \$24.83 | \$24.56 | \$23.97 |
| Weighted-average assumptions/inputs: | | | | |
| Expected dividend yield | 3.2 % | 2.9 % | 3.0 % | 3.0 % |
| Range of risk-free interest rates | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | - 1.2% | - 1.8% | - 1.2% | - 1.8% |
| Range of expected volatilities for index | N/A | N/A | 15.3% – 54.3% | 14.4% – 70.0% |

The PRSUs granted during the periods presented are contingent on the achievement of the Company's financial performance metrics, its comparative market-based returns, or the achievement of financial and non-financial operating goals. For the awards based on financial performance metrics or comparative market-based returns, generally 50% of the PRSUs are earned based on the average of annual operating cash flow and earnings per share goals established at the beginning of each fiscal year over a three-year performance period. Generally, the remaining 50% of the PRSUs are earned based on the Company's TSR measured against the benchmark TSR of a peer group over the same period. Each PRSU recipient could vest in 0% to 150% of the target shares granted contingent on the achievement of the Company's financial performance metrics or its comparative market-based returns and 0% to 100% of the target shares granted contingent on the achievement of non-financial operating goals.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

15. Comprehensive Income

The components of AOCI, net of tax, and the other comprehensive income (loss), excluding noncontrolling interest, for the nine months ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015 are summarized as follows (in millions):

| | Net Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Available-for-Sale Investments | Net Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Cash Flow Hedging Instruments | Cumulative Translation Adjustment and Actuarial Gains and Losses | Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) |
|--|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| BALANCE AT JULY 25, 2015 | \$ 310 | \$ (16) | \$ (233) | \$ 61 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications attributable to Cisco Systems, Inc. | (145) | (3) | (190) | (338) |
| (Gains) losses reclassified out of AOCI | 26 | 17 | 2 | 45 |
| Tax benefit (expense) | 50 | (2) | (43) | 5 |
| BALANCE AT APRIL 30, 2016 | \$ 241 | \$ (4) | \$ (464) | \$ (227) |
| | | | | |
| | Net Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Available-for-Sale Investments | Net Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Cash Flow Hedging Instruments | Cumulative Translation Adjustment and Actuarial Gains and Losses | Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) |
| BALANCE AT JULY 26, 2014 | \$ 424 | \$ (12) | \$ 265 | \$ 677 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications attributable to Cisco Systems, Inc. | 111 | (163) | (473) | (525) |
| (Gains) losses reclassified out of AOCI | (120) | 94 | — | (26) |
| Tax benefit (expense) | 8 | 3 | 50 | 61 |
| BALANCE AT APRIL 25, 2015 | \$ 423 | \$ (78) | \$ (158) | \$ 187 |

The net gains (losses) reclassified out of AOCI into the Consolidated Statements of Operations, with line item location, during each period were as follows (in millions):

| | Three Months Ended April 30, 2016 | Nine Months Ended April 25, 2015 | Line Item in Statements of Operations |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Comprehensive Income Components | Income Before Taxes | Income Before Taxes | |
| Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale investments | \$ (6) \$ 44 | \$ (26) \$ 120 | Other income (loss), net |

| | | | | |
|--|---------|----------|---------|-----------------------------|
| Net unrealized losses on cash flow hedging instruments | | | | |
| Foreign currency derivatives | (7) | (50) | (13) | (74) Operating expenses |
| Foreign currency derivatives | (2) | (14) | (4) | (20) Cost of sales—service |
| | (9) | (64) | (17) | (94) |
| Cumulative translation adjustment and actuarial gains and losses | | | | |
| | (1) | — | (2) | — Operating expenses |
| Total amounts reclassified out of AOCI | \$ (16) | \$ (20) | \$ (45) | \$ 26 |

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

16. Income Taxes

The following table provides details of income taxes (in millions, except percentages):

| | Three Months | | Nine Months | |
|--|--------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| | Ended | | Ended | |
| | April 30, | April 25, | April 30, | April 25, |
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | \$3,083 | \$3,035 | \$9,526 | \$8,268 |
| Provision for income taxes | \$734 | \$598 | \$1,600 | \$1,606 |
| Effective tax rate | 23.8 % | 19.7 % | 16.8 % | 19.4 % |

As discussed further below, the effective tax rate for the nine months ended April 30, 2016 reflected the Company's recognition of total benefits of approximately \$558 million related to a tax settlement with the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") in January 2016 and the reinstatement of the U.S. federal research and development ("R&D") tax credit on December 18, 2015.

In the second quarter of fiscal 2016, the Protecting Americans from Tax Hikes Act of 2015 reinstated the U.S. federal R&D tax credit permanently. As a result, the effective tax rate for the nine months ended April 30, 2016 reflected a tax benefit of \$107 million related to fiscal 2016 R&D expenses and a tax benefit of \$84 million related to fiscal 2015 R&D expenses.

In the second quarter of fiscal 2016, the IRS and the Company settled all outstanding items related to the audit of the Company's federal income tax returns for the fiscal years ended July 26, 2008 through July 31, 2010. As a result of the settlement, the Company recognized a net benefit to the provision for income taxes of \$367 million, which included a reduction in interest expense of \$21 million. The Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal income tax audit through fiscal 2010.

As a result of the IRS tax settlement, the amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits was reduced in the second quarter of fiscal 2016 by approximately \$563 million, of which \$188 million became certain as a result of completing the audit. As of April 30, 2016, the Company had \$1.6 billion of unrecognized tax benefits, of which \$1.4 billion, if recognized, would favorably impact the effective tax rate. The Company regularly engages in discussions and negotiations with tax authorities regarding tax matters in various jurisdictions. The Company believes it is reasonably possible that certain foreign and state tax matters may be concluded in the next 12 months. Specific positions that may be resolved include issues involving transfer pricing and various other matters. The Company estimates that the unrecognized tax benefits at April 30, 2016 could be reduced by approximately \$150 million in the next 12 months.

17. Segment Information and Major Customers

(a) Revenue and Gross Margin by Segment

The Company conducts business globally and is primarily managed on a geographic basis consisting of three segments: the Americas, EMEA, and APJC. The Company's management makes financial decisions and allocates resources based on the information it receives from its internal management system. Sales are attributed to a segment based on the ordering location of the customer. The Company does not allocate research and development, sales and marketing, or general and administrative expenses to its segments in this internal management system because management does not include the information in its measurement of the performance of the operating segments. In addition, the Company does not allocate amortization and impairment of acquisition-related intangible assets, share-based compensation expense, significant litigation and other contingencies, impacts to cost of sales from purchase accounting adjustments to inventory, charges related to asset impairments and restructurings, and certain other charges to the gross margin for each segment because management does not include this information in its measurement of the performance of the operating segments.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

Summarized financial information by segment for the three and nine months ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015, based on the Company's internal management system and as utilized by the Company's Chief Operating Decision Maker ("CODM"), is as follows (in millions):

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 |
| Revenue: | | | | |
| Americas | \$7,062 | \$7,252 | \$21,773 | \$21,854 |
| EMEA | 3,001 | 3,119 | 9,176 | 9,212 |
| APJC | 1,937 | 1,766 | 5,660 | 5,252 |
| Total | \$12,000 | \$12,137 | \$36,609 | \$36,318 |
| Gross margin: | | | | |
| Americas | \$4,684 | \$4,560 | \$14,046 | \$13,776 |
| EMEA | 1,966 | 1,949 | 5,953 | 5,774 |
| APJC | 1,170 | 1,080 | 3,437 | 3,157 |
| Segment total | 7,820 | 7,589 | 23,436 | 22,707 |
| Unallocated corporate items (99) (64) (451) (759) | | | | |
| Total | \$7,721 | \$7,525 | \$22,985 | \$21,948 |

Revenue in the United States was \$6.2 billion and \$6.4 billion for the three months ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015, respectively, and was \$19.2 billion and \$19.1 billion for the nine months ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015, respectively.

(b) Revenue for Groups of Similar Products and Services

The Company designs and sells broad lines of products, provides services, and delivers integrated solutions to develop and connect networks around the world, building the Internet. The Company groups its products and technologies into the following categories: Switching, NGN Routing, Collaboration, Data Center, Service Provider Video, Wireless, Security, and Other Products. These products, primarily integrated by Cisco IOS Software, link geographically dispersed local-area networks (LANs), metropolitan-area networks (MANs), and wide-area networks (WANs).

The following table presents revenue for groups of similar products and services (in millions):

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 |
| Revenue: | | | | |
| Switching | \$3,447 | \$3,560 | \$10,952 | \$11,021 |
| NGN Routing | 1,894 | 1,999 | 5,532 | 5,712 |
| Collaboration | 1,069 | 974 | 3,203 | 2,915 |
| Data Center | 811 | 801 | 2,492 | 2,339 |
| Service Provider Video ⁽¹⁾ | 468 | 914 | 1,980 | 2,561 |
| Wireless | 615 | 611 | 1,873 | 1,827 |
| Security | 482 | 412 | 1,429 | 1,283 |
| Other | 89 | 55 | 241 | 181 |
| Product | 8,875 | 9,326 | 27,702 | 27,839 |
| Service | 3,125 | 2,811 | 8,907 | 8,479 |
| Total | \$12,000 | \$12,137 | \$36,609 | \$36,318 |

⁽¹⁾ Includes SP Video CPE Business revenue of zero and \$519 million for the third quarters of fiscal 2016 and 2015, respectively and \$504 million and \$1,359 million for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 and 2015, respectively. The Company has made certain reclassifications to the product revenue amounts for prior periods to conform to the current period's presentation.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

(c) Additional Segment Information

The majority of the Company's assets, excluding cash and cash equivalents and investments, were attributable to its U.S. operations as of each of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015. The Company's total cash and cash equivalents and investments held by various foreign subsidiaries were \$57.2 billion and \$53.4 billion as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015, respectively, and the remaining \$6.3 billion and \$7.0 billion at the respective period ends were available in the United States.

Property and equipment information is based on the physical location of the assets. The following table presents property and equipment information for geographic areas (in millions):

| | April 30, July 25, | |
|------------------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Property and equipment, net: | | |
| United States | \$ 2,823 | \$ 2,733 |
| International | 706 | 599 |
| Total | \$ 3,529 | \$ 3,332 |

18. Net Income per Share

The following table presents the calculation of basic and diluted net income per share (in millions, except per-share amounts):

| | Three Months | | Nine Months | |
|--|--------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| | Ended | | Ended | |
| | April 30, | April 25, | April 30, | April 25, |
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Net income | \$2,349 | \$ 2,437 | \$7,926 | \$ 6,662 |
| Weighted-average shares—basic | 5,032 | 5,102 | 5,060 | 5,110 |
| Effect of dilutive potential common shares | 33 | 46 | 35 | 44 |
| Weighted-average shares—diluted | 5,065 | 5,148 | 5,095 | 5,154 |
| Net income per share—basic | \$0.47 | \$ 0.48 | \$ 1.57 | \$ 1.30 |
| Net income per share—diluted | \$0.46 | \$ 0.47 | \$ 1.56 | \$ 1.29 |
| Antidilutive employee share-based awards, excluded | 75 | 75 | 149 | 156 |

Employee equity share options, unvested shares, and similar equity instruments granted by the Company are treated as potential common shares outstanding in computing diluted earnings per share. Diluted shares outstanding include the dilutive effect of in-the-money options, unvested restricted stock, and restricted stock units. The dilutive effect of such equity awards is calculated based on the average share price for each fiscal period using the treasury stock method. Under the treasury stock method, the amount the employee must pay for exercising stock options, the amount of compensation cost for future service that the Company has not yet recognized, and the amount of tax benefits that would be recorded in additional paid-in capital when the award becomes deductible are collectively assumed to be used to repurchase shares.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contains forward-looking statements regarding future events and our future results that are subject to the safe harbors created under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). All statements other than statements of historical facts are statements that could be deemed forward-looking statements. These statements are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts, and projections about the industries in which we operate and the beliefs and assumptions of our management. Words such as "expects," "anticipates," "targets," "goals," "projects," "intends," "plans," "believes," "momentum," "seeks," "estimates," "endeavors," "strives," "may," variations of such words, and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. In addition, any statements that refer to projections of our future financial performance, our anticipated growth and trends in our businesses, and other characterizations of future events or circumstances are forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned that these forward-looking statements are only predictions and are subject to risks, uncertainties, and assumptions that are difficult to predict, including those identified below, under "Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors," and elsewhere herein. Therefore, actual results may differ materially and adversely from those expressed in any forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements for any reason.

OVERVIEW

Cisco designs and sells broad lines of products, provides services and delivers integrated solutions to develop and connect networks around the world, building the Internet. Over the last 30-plus years, we have been the world's leader in connecting people, things and technologies - to each other and to the Internet - realizing our vision of changing the way the world works, lives, plays and learns.

Today, we have over 70,000 employees in over 400 offices worldwide who design, produce, sell, and deliver integrated products, services, and solutions. Over time, we have expanded to new markets that are a natural extension of our core networking business, as the network has become the platform for automating, orchestrating, integrating, and delivering an ever-increasing array of information technology (IT)-based products and services. A summary of our results is as follows (in millions, except percentages and per-share amounts):

| | Three Months Ended | | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|
| | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | Variance | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | Variance |
| Revenue ⁽¹⁾ | \$12,000 | \$12,137 | (1.1)% | \$36,609 | \$36,318 | 0.8 % |
| Gross margin percentage | 64.3 % | 62.0 % | 2.3 pts | 62.8 % | 60.4 % | 2.4 pts |
| Research and development | \$1,626 | \$1,547 | 5.1 % | \$4,695 | \$4,659 | 0.8 % |
| Sales and marketing | \$2,447 | \$2,449 | (0.1)% | \$7,176 | \$7,272 | (1.3)% |
| General and administrative | \$566 | \$510 | 11.0 % | \$1,281 | \$1,504 | (14.8)% |
| Total research and development, sales and marketing, general and administrative | \$4,639 | \$4,506 | 3.0 % | \$13,152 | \$13,435 | (2.1)% |
| Total as a percentage of revenue | 38.7 % | 37.1 % | 1.6 pts | 35.9 % | 37.0 % | (1.1) pts |
| Amortization of purchased intangible assets included in operating expenses | \$81 | \$70 | 15.7 % | \$221 | \$213 | 3.8 % |
| Restructuring and other charges included in operating expenses | \$17 | \$24 | (29.2)% | \$255 | \$411 | (38.0)% |
| Operating income as a percentage of revenue | 24.9 % | 24.1 % | 0.8 pts | 25.6 % | 21.7 % | 3.9 pts |
| Income tax percentage | 23.8 % | 19.7 % | 4.1 pts | 16.8 % | 19.4 % | (2.6) pts |
| Net income | \$2,349 | \$2,437 | (3.6)% | \$7,926 | \$6,662 | 19.0 % |
| Net income as a percentage of revenue | 19.6 % | 20.1 % | (0.5) pts | 21.7 % | 18.3 % | 3.4 pts |
| Earnings per share—diluted | \$0.46 | \$0.47 | (2.1)% | \$1.56 | \$1.29 | 20.9 % |

⁽¹⁾ During the second quarter of fiscal 2016, we completed the sale of the Customer Premises Equipment portion of our Service Provider Video Connected Devices business ("SP Video CPE Business"). As a result, revenue from this

portion of the Service Provider Video product category will not recur in future periods. Includes SP Video CPE Business revenue of \$519 million for the third quarter of fiscal 2015 and \$504 million and \$1,359 million for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 and 2015, respectively.

45

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Three Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Three Months Ended April 25, 2015

In the third quarter of fiscal 2016, our revenue decreased by 1% as compared with the third quarter of fiscal 2015. Within total revenue, product revenue decreased 5%, while service revenue increased 11%. In the second quarter of fiscal 2016, on November 20, 2015, we completed the sale of our SP Video CPE Business. Total company revenue for the third quarter of fiscal 2016 increased 3% not including revenue from SP Video CPE products in the prior year period. The third quarter of fiscal 2016 had 14 weeks, compared with 13 weeks in the third quarter of fiscal 2015, thus our results for the third quarter and the first nine months of fiscal 2016 reflect an extra week compared with the corresponding periods in fiscal 2015. We estimate that the additional revenue associated with the extra week was approximately \$265 million, \$200 million of which was from our services subscriptions, and \$65 million from our software-as-a-service offerings (SaaS) such as WebEx, and a small amount from product distribution. Total gross margin increased by 2.3 percentage points, driven by the sale of the lower margin SP Video CPE Business, along with higher service gross margin. As a percentage of revenue, research and development, sales and marketing, and general and administrative expenses, collectively, increased by 1.6 percentage points due in large part to the impact of the extra week in the third quarter of fiscal 2016. Operating income as a percentage of revenue increased by 0.8 percentage points, driven by the factors discussed above. Diluted earnings per share decreased by 2% from the prior year, driven by a 4% decrease in net income.

In the third quarter of fiscal 2016, revenue from the Americas decreased by \$0.2 billion compared with the third quarter of fiscal 2015, driven in large part by the sale of the SP Video CPE Business which led to lower product revenue in the United States. EMEA revenue decreased by \$0.1 billion, led by product revenue declines in Russia and the United Kingdom. Revenue in our APJC segment increased \$0.2 billion, led by product revenue growth in China. We saw improvements in our revenue from many emerging countries, and in particular we experienced increased product revenue growth in the emerging countries of China and India of 44% and 16%, respectively. Overall, the "BRICM" countries experienced, in the aggregate, product revenue growth of 5%, despite decreased product revenue in Russia, Mexico and Brazil of 31%, 26% and 20%, respectively.

From a customer market standpoint, in the third quarter of fiscal 2016 we experienced a significant product revenue decline in the service provider market due to the sale of the SP Video CPE Business. Product revenue also declined in the enterprise market, which we believe was impacted by uncertainty in the macro environment, which led to a slowdown in customer spending. These decreases were partially offset by increased product revenue from the public sector and commercial markets.

From a product category perspective, total company product revenue, not including SP Video CPE products in the prior year period, increased 1% year over year. This increase was led by product revenue growth in Security and Collaboration products which grew 17% and 10%, respectively. We also experienced an 18% increase in revenue from Service Provider Video (excluding the CPE Business from the prior year period), as well as Data Center and Wireless products which each grew by 1%. Offsetting these increases were product revenue decreases in our core NGN Routing and Switching categories which declined by 5% and 3%, respectively. The decline in sales of NGN Routing products was driven primarily by a decrease in sales of our high-end router products. In addition, we believe a cautious Service Provider capital expenditure spending environment negatively impacted sales in this product category. The decline in sales of our Switching products was driven in large part by lower sales of switches used in campus environments (which comprises the majority of this product category), and we believe this was largely driven by the continued uncertainty in the macro environment, which led to a slowdown in customer spending.

Nine Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Nine Months Ended April 25, 2015

Diluted earnings per share increased by 21% from the prior year, a result of a 19% increase in net income. Revenue increased 1%, with service revenue increasing 5% and product revenue decreasing slightly. Total gross margin increased by 2.4 percentage points driven by the sale of our lower margin SP Video CPE Business, lower amortization expense and impairment charges related to acquisition-related intangible assets and the Rockstar patent portfolio

charge of \$188 million (or 0.8 percentage points of the prior period revenue) recorded in the first quarter of fiscal 2015. As a percentage of revenue, research and development, sales and marketing, and general and administrative expenses collectively decreased by 1.1 percentage points driven by the \$285 million pre-tax gain from the sale of our SP Video CPE Business and a decrease in restructuring and other charges related to the restructuring action announced in August 2014. Operating income as a percentage of revenue increased by 3.9 percentage points. During the prior two fiscal years we have encountered business challenges in the service provider market and certain emerging countries. Although we saw positive business momentum in these areas during the first nine months of fiscal 2016, we continue to monitor and assess the sustainability of improvements in these areas.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Strategy and Focus Areas

We see our customers, in every industry, increasingly using technology—and, specifically, the network—to grow their business, drive efficiencies, and try to gain a competitive advantage. In this increasingly digital world, data is the most strategic asset and is increasingly distributed across every organization and ecosystem—on customer premises, at the edge of the network, and in the cloud. The network also plays an increasingly important role enabling our customers to aggregate, automate, and draw insights from this highly distributed data where there is a premium on security and speed. This is driving them to adopt entirely new IT architectures and organizational structures. We understand how technology can deliver the outcomes our customers want to achieve, and our strategy is to lead our customers in their digital transition with solutions, including pervasive, industry-leading security and analytics, that intelligently connect nearly everything that can be connected.

To deliver on our strategy, we are focused on providing highly secure, automated and intelligent solutions built on infrastructure that connects data that is highly distributed (globally dispersed across organizations). Together with our ecosystem of partners and developers, we aim to provide the technology, services, and solutions that we believe will enable our customers to gain insight and advantage from this distributed data with scale, security and agility.

For a full discussion of our strategy and focus areas, see Item 1. Business in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended July 25, 2015.

Other Key Financial Measures

The following is a summary of our other key financial measures for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2016 (in millions, except days sales outstanding in accounts receivable (DSO) and annualized inventory turns):

| | April 30, July 25, 2016 2015 | |
|--|---|-----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents and investments | \$ 63,512 | \$ 60,416 |
| Deferred revenue | \$ 15,272 | \$ 15,183 |
| DSO | 33 days | 38 days |
| Inventories | \$ 1,343 | \$ 1,627 |
| Annualized inventory turns | 12.0 | 12.1 |
| | Nine Months Ended April 30 April 25, 2016 2015 | |
| Cash provided by operating activities | \$ 9,752 | \$ 8,414 |
| Repurchases of common stock—stock repurchase program | \$ 3,118 | \$ 3,229 |
| Dividends | \$ 3,441 | \$ 3,017 |

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make judgments, assumptions, and estimates that affect the amounts reported in the Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes. Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended July 25, 2015, as updated as applicable in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements herein, describes the significant accounting policies and methods used in the preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements. The accounting policies described below are significantly affected by critical accounting estimates. Such accounting policies require significant judgments, assumptions, and estimates used in the preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements, and actual results could differ materially from the amounts reported based on these policies.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue is recognized when all of the following criteria have been met:

- Persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists. Contracts, Internet commerce agreements, and customer purchase orders are generally used to determine the existence of an arrangement.
- Delivery has occurred. Shipping documents and customer acceptance, when applicable, are used to verify delivery.
- The fee is fixed or determinable. We assess whether the fee is fixed or determinable based on the payment terms associated with the transaction and whether the sales price is subject to refund or adjustment.
- Collectibility is reasonably assured. We assess collectibility based primarily on the creditworthiness of the customer as determined by credit checks and analysis, as well as the customer's payment history.

In instances where final acceptance of the product, system, or solution is specified by the customer, revenue is deferred until all acceptance criteria have been met. When a sale involves multiple deliverables, such as sales of products that include services, the multiple deliverables are evaluated to determine the unit of accounting, and the entire fee from the arrangement is allocated to each unit of accounting based on the relative selling price. Revenue is recognized when the revenue recognition criteria for each unit of accounting are met. For hosting arrangements, we recognize subscription revenue ratably over the subscription period, while usage revenue is recognized based on utilization. Software subscription revenue is deferred and recognized ratably over the subscription term upon delivery of the first product and commencement of the term.

The amount of product and service revenue recognized in a given period is affected by our judgment as to whether an arrangement includes multiple deliverables and, if so, our valuation of the units of accounting for multiple deliverables. According to the accounting guidance prescribed in Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 605, Revenue Recognition, we use vendor-specific objective evidence of selling price (VSOE) for each of those units, when available. We determine VSOE based on our normal pricing and discounting practices for the specific product or service when sold separately. In determining VSOE, we require that a substantial majority of the historical standalone transactions have the selling prices for a product or service fall within a reasonably narrow pricing range, generally evidenced by approximately 80% of such historical standalone transactions falling within plus or minus 15% of the median rates. When VSOE does not exist, we apply the selling price hierarchy to applicable multiple-deliverable arrangements. Under the selling price hierarchy, third-party evidence of selling price (TPE) will be considered if VSOE does not exist, and estimated selling price (ESP) will be used if neither VSOE nor TPE is available. Generally, we are not able to determine TPE because our go-to-market strategy differs from that of others in our markets, and the extent of our proprietary technology varies among comparable products or services from those of our peers. In determining ESP, we apply significant judgment as we weigh a variety of factors, based on the facts and circumstances of the arrangement. We typically arrive at an ESP for a product or service that is not sold separately by considering company-specific factors such as geographies, competitive landscape, internal costs, profitability objectives, pricing practices used to establish bundled pricing, and existing portfolio pricing and discounting. Some of our sales arrangements have multiple deliverables containing software and related software support components. Such sales arrangements are subject to the accounting guidance in ASC 985-605, Software-Revenue

Recognition.

As our business and offerings evolve over time, our pricing practices may be required to be modified accordingly, which could result in changes in selling prices, including both VSOE and ESP, in subsequent periods. There were no material impacts during the first nine months of fiscal 2016, nor do we currently expect a material impact in the next 12 months on our revenue recognition due to any changes in our VSOE, TPE, or ESP.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Revenue deferrals relate to the timing of revenue recognition for specific transactions based on financing arrangements, service, support, and other factors. Financing arrangements may include sales-type, direct-financing, and operating leases, loans, and guarantees of third-party financing. Our deferred revenue for products was \$5.4 billion as of each of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015. Technical support services revenue is deferred and recognized ratably over the period during which the services are to be performed, which typically is from one to three years. Advanced services revenue is recognized upon delivery or completion of performance milestones. Our deferred revenue for services was \$9.9 billion and \$9.8 billion as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015, respectively. We make sales to distributors which we refer to as two-tier systems of sales to the end customer. Revenue from distributors is recognized based on a sell-through method using information provided by them. Our distributors participate in various cooperative marketing and other programs, and we maintain estimated accruals and allowances for these programs. If actual credits received by our distributors under these programs were to deviate significantly from our estimates, which are based on historical experience, our revenue could be adversely affected.

Allowances for Receivables and Sales Returns

The allowances for receivables were as follows (in millions, except percentages):

| | April 30, July 25, | |
|--|--------------------|--------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 244 | \$ 302 |
| Percentage of gross accounts receivable | 5.7 % | 5.4 % |
| Allowance for credit loss—lease receivables | \$ 250 | \$ 259 |
| Percentage of gross lease receivables ⁽¹⁾ | 7.2 % | 7.2 % |
| Allowance for credit loss—loan receivables | \$ 93 | \$ 87 |
| Percentage of gross loan receivables | 4.2 % | 4.9 % |

⁽¹⁾ Calculated as allowance for credit loss on lease receivables as a percentage of gross lease receivables and residual value before unearned income.

The allowance for doubtful accounts is based on our assessment of the collectibility of customer accounts. We regularly review the adequacy of these allowances by considering internal factors such as historical experience, credit quality and age of the receivable balances as well as external factors such as economic conditions that may affect a customer's ability to pay and expected default frequency rates, which are published by major third-party credit-rating agencies and are generally updated on a quarterly basis. We also consider the concentration of receivables outstanding with a particular customer in assessing the adequacy of our allowances for doubtful accounts. If a major customer's creditworthiness deteriorates, if actual defaults are higher than our historical experience, or if other circumstances arise, our estimates of the recoverability of amounts due to us could be overstated, and additional allowances could be required, which could have an adverse impact on our operating results.

The allowance for credit loss on financing receivables is also based on the assessment of collectibility of customer accounts. We regularly review the adequacy of the credit allowances determined either on an individual or a collective basis. When evaluating the financing receivables on an individual basis, we consider historical experience, credit quality and age of receivable balances, and economic conditions that may affect a customer's ability to pay. When evaluating financing receivables on a collective basis, we use expected default frequency rates published by a major third-party credit-rating agency as well as our own historical loss rate in the event of default, while also systematically giving effect to economic conditions, concentration of risk and correlation. Determining expected default frequency rates and loss factors associated with internal credit risk ratings, as well as assessing factors such as economic conditions, concentration of risk, and correlation, are complex and subjective. Our ongoing consideration of all these factors could result in an increase in our allowance for credit loss in the future, which could adversely affect our operating results. Both accounts receivable and financing receivables are charged off at the point when they are considered uncollectible.

A reserve for future sales returns is established based on historical trends in product return rates. The reserve for future sales returns as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015 was \$119 million and \$129 million, respectively, and was recorded as a reduction of our accounts receivable. If the actual future returns were to deviate from the historical data on which the reserve had been established, our revenue could be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Inventory Valuation and Liability for Purchase Commitments with Contract Manufacturers and Suppliers

Our inventory balance was \$1.3 billion and \$1.6 billion as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015, respectively. Inventory is written down based on excess and obsolete inventories, determined primarily by future demand forecasts. Inventory write-downs are measured as the difference between the cost of the inventory and market, based upon assumptions about future demand, and are charged to the provision for inventory, which is a component of our cost of sales. At the point of the loss recognition, a new, lower cost basis for that inventory is established, and subsequent changes in facts and circumstances do not result in the restoration or increase in that newly established cost basis.

We record a liability for firm, noncancelable, and unconditional purchase commitments with contract manufacturers and suppliers for quantities in excess of our future demand forecasts consistent with the valuation of our excess and obsolete inventory. As of April 30, 2016, the liability for these purchase commitments was \$160 million, compared with \$156 million as of July 25, 2015, and was included in other current liabilities.

Our provision for inventory was \$55 million and \$42 million for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 and 2015, respectively. The provision for the liability related to purchase commitments with contract manufacturers and suppliers was \$108 million and \$79 million for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 and 2015, respectively. If there were to be a sudden and significant decrease in demand for our products, or if there were a higher incidence of inventory obsolescence because of rapidly changing technology and customer requirements, we could be required to increase our inventory write-downs, and our liability for purchase commitments with contract manufacturers and suppliers, and accordingly our profitability, could be adversely affected. We regularly evaluate our exposure for inventory write-downs and the adequacy of our liability for purchase commitments. Inventory and supply chain management remain areas of focus as we balance the need to maintain supply chain flexibility to help ensure competitive lead times with the risk of inventory obsolescence, particularly in light of current macroeconomic uncertainties and conditions and the resulting potential for changes in future demand forecast.

Loss Contingencies and Product Warranties

We are subject to the possibility of various losses arising in the ordinary course of business. We consider the likelihood of impairment of an asset or the incurrence of a liability, as well as our ability to reasonably estimate the amount of loss, in determining loss contingencies. An estimated loss contingency is accrued when it is probable that an asset has been impaired or a liability has been incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. We regularly evaluate information available to us to determine whether such accruals should be made or adjusted and whether new accruals are required.

Third parties, including customers, have in the past and may in the future assert claims or initiate litigation related to exclusive patent, copyright, trademark, and other intellectual property rights to technologies and related standards that are relevant to us. These assertions have increased over time as a result of our growth and the general increase in the pace of patent claims assertions, particularly in the United States. If any infringement or other intellectual property claim made against us by any third party is successful, or if we fail to develop non-infringing technology or license the proprietary rights on commercially reasonable terms and conditions, our business, operating results, and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

We have recorded a liability for the expected remediation cost for certain products sold in prior fiscal years containing memory components manufactured by a single supplier between 2005 and 2010. In February 2014, on the basis of the growing number of failures as described in Note 12 (f) to the Consolidated Financial Statements, we decided to expand our approach, which resulted in a charge to product cost of sales of \$655 million being recorded for the second quarter of fiscal 2014. During the third quarters of fiscal 2016 and 2015, we recorded adjustments to product cost of sales of \$74 million and \$164 million, respectively to reduce the liability, reflecting net lower than previously estimated future costs to remediate the impacted customer products. Estimating this liability is complex and subjective, and if we experience changes in a number of underlying assumptions and estimates such as a change in claims compared with our expectations, or if the cost of servicing these claims is different than expected, our estimated liability may be impacted.

Our liability for product warranties, included in other current liabilities, was \$409 million as of April 30, 2016, compared with \$449 million as of July 25, 2015. Our products are generally covered by a warranty for periods ranging from 90 days to five years, and for some products we provide a limited lifetime warranty. We accrue for warranty costs as part of our cost of sales based on associated material costs, technical support labor costs, and associated overhead. Material cost is estimated based primarily upon historical trends in the volume of product returns within the warranty period and the cost to repair or replace the equipment. Technical support labor cost is estimated based primarily upon historical trends in the rate of customer cases and the cost to support the customer cases within the warranty period. Overhead cost is applied based on estimated time to support warranty activities.

The provision for product warranties during the first nine months of fiscal 2016 and 2015 was \$512 million and \$517 million, respectively. If we experience an increase in warranty claims compared with our historical experience, or if the cost of servicing warranty claims is greater than expected, our profitability could be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Fair Value Measurements

Our fixed income and publicly traded equity securities, collectively, are reflected in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at a fair value of \$54.6 billion as of April 30, 2016, compared with \$53.5 billion as of July 25, 2015. Our fixed income investment portfolio as of April 30, 2016 consisted primarily of high quality investment-grade securities. See Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

As described more fully in Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, a valuation hierarchy is based on the level of independent, objective evidence available regarding the value of the investments. It encompasses three classes of investments: Level 1 consists of securities for which there are quoted prices in active markets for identical securities; Level 2 consists of securities for which observable inputs other than Level 1 inputs are used, such as quoted prices for similar securities in active markets or quoted prices for identical securities in less active markets and model-derived valuations for which the variables are derived from, or corroborated by, observable market data; and Level 3 consists of securities for which there are unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology that are significant to the measurement of the fair value.

Our Level 2 securities are valued using quoted market prices for similar instruments or nonbinding market prices that are corroborated by observable market data. We use inputs such as actual trade data, benchmark yields, broker/dealer quotes, and other similar data, which are obtained from independent pricing vendors, quoted market prices, or other sources to determine the ultimate fair value of our assets and liabilities. We use such pricing data as the primary input, to which we have not made any material adjustments during the periods presented, to make our assessments and determinations as to the ultimate valuation of our investment portfolio. We are ultimately responsible for the financial statements and underlying estimates.

The inputs and fair value are reviewed for reasonableness, may be further validated by comparison to publicly available information, and could be adjusted based on market indices or other information that management deems material to its estimate of fair value. The assessment of fair value can be difficult and subjective. However, given the relative reliability of the inputs we use to value our investment portfolio, and because substantially all of our valuation inputs are obtained using quoted market prices for similar or identical assets, we do not believe that the nature of estimates and assumptions affected by levels of subjectivity and judgment was material to the valuation of the investment portfolio as of April 30, 2016. Level 3 assets do not represent a significant portion of our total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015.

Other-than-Temporary Impairments

We recognize an impairment charge when the declines in the fair values of our fixed income or publicly traded equity securities below their cost basis are judged to be other than temporary. The ultimate value realized on these securities, to the extent unhedged, is subject to market price volatility until they are sold.

If the fair value of a debt security is less than its amortized cost, we assess whether the impairment is other than temporary. An impairment is considered other than temporary if (i) we have the intent to sell the security, (ii) it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its entire amortized cost basis, or (iii) we do not expect to recover the entire amortized cost of the security. If an impairment is considered other than temporary based on (i) or (ii) described in the prior sentence, the entire difference between the amortized cost and the fair value of the security is recognized in earnings. If an impairment is considered other than temporary based on condition (iii), the amount representing credit loss, defined as the difference between the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected and the amortized cost basis of the debt security, will be recognized in earnings, and the amount relating to all other factors will be recognized in other comprehensive income (OCI). In estimating the amount and timing of cash flows expected to be collected, we consider all available information, including past events, current conditions, the remaining payment terms of the security, the financial condition of the issuer, expected defaults, and the value of underlying collateral.

For publicly traded equity securities, we consider various factors in determining whether we should recognize an impairment charge, including the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than our cost basis,

the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and our intent and ability to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value.

There were no impairment charges on our investments in publicly traded equity securities in the first nine months of fiscal 2016 and 2015. For the first nine months of fiscal 2016, we recognized impairment charges of \$3 million on our investments in fixed income securities, while there were no such impairment charges recognized in the first nine months of fiscal 2015. Our ongoing consideration of all the factors described previously could result in additional impairment charges in the future, which could adversely affect our net income.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

We also have investments in privately held companies, some of which are in the startup or development stages. Our investments in privately held companies as of April 30, 2016 were \$976 million, compared with \$897 million as of July 25, 2015, and were included in other assets. We monitor these investments for events or circumstances indicative of potential impairment, and we make appropriate reductions in carrying values if we determine that an impairment charge is required, based primarily on the financial condition and near-term prospects of these companies. These investments are inherently risky because the markets for the technologies or products these companies are developing are typically in the early stages and may never materialize. Our impairment charges on investments in privately held companies were \$63 million and \$20 million for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Goodwill and Purchased Intangible Asset Impairments

Our methodology for allocating the purchase price relating to purchase acquisitions is determined through established valuation techniques. Goodwill represents a residual value as of the acquisition date, which in most cases results in measuring goodwill as an excess of the purchase consideration transferred plus the fair value of any noncontrolling interest in the acquired company over the fair value of net assets acquired, including contingent consideration. We perform goodwill impairment tests on an annual basis in the fourth fiscal quarter and between annual tests in certain circumstances for each reporting unit. The assessment of fair value for goodwill and purchased intangible assets is based on factors that market participants would use in an orderly transaction in accordance with the new accounting guidance for the fair value measurement of nonfinancial assets.

The goodwill recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015 was \$26.8 billion and \$24.5 billion, respectively. In response to changes in industry and market conditions, we could be required to strategically realign our resources and consider restructuring, disposing of, or otherwise exiting businesses, which could result in an impairment of goodwill. There was no impairment of goodwill in the first nine months of fiscal 2016 and 2015.

We make judgments about the recoverability of purchased intangible assets with finite lives whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that an impairment may exist. Recoverability of purchased intangible assets with finite lives is measured by comparing the carrying amount of the asset to the future undiscounted cash flows the asset is expected to generate. We review indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. If the asset is considered to be impaired, the amount of any impairment is measured as the difference between the carrying value and the fair value of the impaired asset. Assumptions and estimates about future values and remaining useful lives of our purchased intangible assets are complex and subjective. They can be affected by a variety of factors, including external factors such as industry and economic trends, and internal factors such as changes in our business strategy and our internal forecasts. Our impairment charges on purchased intangible assets were \$44 million and \$57 million for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 and 2015, respectively. Our ongoing consideration of all the factors described previously could result in additional impairment charges in the future, which could adversely affect our net income.

Income Taxes

We are subject to income taxes in the United States and numerous foreign jurisdictions. Our effective tax rates differ from the statutory rate, primarily due to the tax impact of state taxes, foreign operations, R&D tax credits, domestic manufacturing deductions, tax audit settlements, nondeductible compensation, international realignments, and transfer pricing adjustments. Our effective tax rate was 23.8% and 19.7% in the third quarter of fiscal 2016 and 2015, respectively. Our effective tax rate was 16.8% and 19.4% in the first nine months of fiscal 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Significant judgment is required in evaluating our uncertain tax positions and determining our provision for income taxes. Although we believe our reserves are reasonable, no assurance can be given that the final tax outcome of these matters will not be different from that which is reflected in our historical income tax provisions and accruals. We adjust these reserves in light of changing facts and circumstances, such as the closing of a tax audit or the refinement of an estimate. To the extent that the final tax outcome of these matters is different than the amounts recorded, such

differences will impact the provision for income taxes in the period in which such determination is made. The provision for income taxes includes the impact of reserve provisions and changes to reserves that are considered appropriate, as well as the related net interest and penalties.

Significant judgment is also required in determining any valuation allowance recorded against deferred tax assets. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, we consider all available evidence, including past operating results, estimates of future taxable income, and the feasibility of tax planning strategies. In the event that we change our determination as to the amount of deferred tax assets that can be realized, we will adjust our valuation allowance with a corresponding impact to the provision for income taxes in the period in which such determination is made.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Our provision for income taxes is subject to volatility and could be adversely impacted by earnings being lower than anticipated in countries that have lower tax rates and higher than anticipated in countries that have higher tax rates; by changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities; by changes to domestic manufacturing deduction laws, regulations, or interpretations thereof; by expiration of or lapses in tax incentives; by transfer pricing adjustments, including the effect of acquisitions on our intercompany R&D cost-sharing arrangement and legal structure; by tax effects of nondeductible compensation; by tax costs related to intercompany realignments; by changes in accounting principles; or by changes in tax laws and regulations, treaties, or interpretations thereof, including possible changes to the taxation of earnings of our foreign subsidiaries, the deductibility of expenses attributable to foreign income, or the foreign tax credit rules. Significant judgment is required to determine the recognition and measurement attributes prescribed in the accounting guidance for uncertainty in income taxes. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), an international association comprised of 34 countries, including the United States, has recently made changes to numerous long-standing tax principles. There can be no assurance that these changes, once adopted by countries, will not have an adverse impact on our provision for income taxes. As a result of certain of our ongoing employment and capital investment actions and commitments, our income in certain countries is subject to reduced tax rates. Our failure to meet these commitments could adversely impact our provision for income taxes. In addition, we are subject to the continuous examination of our income tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and other tax authorities. We regularly assess the likelihood of adverse outcomes resulting from these examinations to determine the adequacy of our provision for income taxes. There can be no assurance that the outcomes from these continuous examinations will not have an adverse impact on our operating results and financial condition.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Revenue

The following table presents the breakdown of revenue between product and service (in millions, except percentages):

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Nine Months Ended | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | Variance in Dollars | Variance in Percent | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | Variance in Dollars | Variance in Percent |
| Revenue: | | | | | | | | |
| Product | \$8,875 | \$9,326 | \$ (451) | (4.8)% | \$27,702 | \$27,839 | \$ (137) | (0.5)% |
| Percentage of revenue | 74.0 | % 76.8 | % | | 75.7 | % 76.7 | % | |
| Service | 3,125 | 2,811 | 314 | 11.2 % | 8,907 | 8,479 | 428 | 5.0 % |
| Percentage of revenue | 26.0 | % 23.2 | % | | 24.3 | % 23.3 | % | |
| Total | \$12,000 | \$12,137 | \$ (137) | (1.1)% | \$36,609 | \$36,318 | \$ 291 | 0.8 % |

We manage our business primarily on a geographic basis, organized into three geographic segments. Our revenue, which includes product and service for each segment, is summarized in the following table (in millions, except percentages):

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Nine Months Ended | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | Variance in Dollars | Variance in Percent | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | Variance in Dollars | Variance in Percent |
| Revenue: | | | | | | | | |
| Americas | \$7,062 | \$7,252 | \$ (190) | (2.6)% | \$21,773 | \$21,854 | \$ (81) | (0.4)% |
| Percentage of revenue | 58.9 | % 59.7 | % | | 59.5 | % 60.2 | % | |
| EMEA | 3,001 | 3,119 | (118) | (3.8)% | 9,176 | 9,212 | (36) | (0.4)% |
| Percentage of revenue | 25.0 | % 25.7 | % | | 25.1 | % 25.4 | % | |
| APJC | 1,937 | 1,766 | 171 | 9.7 % | 5,660 | 5,252 | 408 | 7.8 % |
| Percentage of revenue | 16.1 | % 14.6 | % | | 15.4 | % 14.4 | % | |
| Total | \$12,000 | \$12,137 | \$ (137) | (1.1)% | \$36,609 | \$36,318 | \$ 291 | 0.8 % |

Three Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Three Months Ended April 25, 2015

For the third quarter of fiscal 2016, as compared with the third quarter of fiscal 2015, total revenue decreased by 1%. Total company revenue not including SP Video CPE products increased 3%. Product revenue decreased by 5% in total, but increased by 1% for total company product revenue not including SP Video CPE products in the prior year period. Service revenue increased by 11%. The third quarter of fiscal 2016 had 14 weeks, compared with 13 weeks in the third quarter of fiscal 2015, thus our results for the third quarter of fiscal 2016 reflect an extra week compared with the third quarter of fiscal 2015. We estimate that the additional revenue associated with the extra week was approximately \$265 million, \$200 million of which was from our services subscriptions, and \$65 million from our SaaS offerings such as WebEx, and a small amount from product distribution. Our total revenue grew in our APJC geographic segment, while revenue declined in the Americas and EMEA geographic segments. The emerging countries of BRICM, in the aggregate, experienced 5% product revenue growth, with growth in China and India, partially offset by decreases in the other three BRICM countries.

We conduct business globally in numerous currencies. The direct effect of foreign currency fluctuations on revenue has not been material because our revenue is primarily denominated in U.S. dollars. However, if the U.S. dollar strengthens relative to other currencies, as was the case during the third quarter of fiscal 2016 on a year-over year basis, such strengthening could have an indirect effect on our revenue to the extent it raises the cost of our products to non-U.S. customers and thereby reduces demand. A weaker U.S. dollar could have the opposite effect. However, the precise indirect effect of currency fluctuations is difficult to measure or predict because our revenue is influenced by

many factors in addition to the impact of such currency fluctuations. Our revenue in the third quarter of fiscal 2016 was adversely affected on a year-over-year basis by the depreciation of certain currencies relative to the U.S. dollar and especially currencies in certain emerging countries, although the indirect effects are difficult to measure.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

In addition to the impact of macroeconomic factors, including a reduced IT spending environment and reductions in spending by government entities, revenue by segment in a particular period may be significantly impacted by several factors related to revenue recognition, including the complexity of transactions such as multiple-element arrangements; the mix of financing arrangements provided to our channel partners and customers; and final acceptance of the product, system, or solution, among other factors. In addition, certain customers tend to make large and sporadic purchases, and the revenue related to these transactions may also be affected by the timing of revenue recognition, which in turn would impact the revenue of the relevant segment. As has been the case in certain of our emerging countries from time to time, customers require greater levels of financing arrangements, service, and support, and these activities may occur in future periods, which may also impact the timing of the recognition of revenue.

Nine Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Nine Months Ended April 25, 2015

For the first nine months of fiscal 2016, as compared with the first nine months of fiscal 2015, total revenue increased by 1%. Product revenue decreased slightly, while service revenue increased by 5%. Our total revenue grew in our APJC geographic segment, while revenue slightly declined in the Americas and EMEA geographic segments. The emerging countries of BRICM, in the aggregate, experienced 8% product revenue growth, with revenue growth in China, India and Mexico partially offset by decreases in the other two BRICM countries.

Product Revenue by Segment

The following table presents the breakdown of product revenue by segment (in millions, except percentages):

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Nine Months Ended | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | Variance in Dollars | Variance in Percent | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | Variance in Dollars | Variance in Percent |
| Product revenue: | | | | | | | | |
| Americas | \$5,056 | \$5,429 | \$ (373) | (6.9)% | \$16,001 | \$16,356 | \$ (355) | (2.2)% |
| Percentage of product revenue | 57.0 % | 58.2 % | | | 57.8 % | 58.8 % | | |
| EMEA | 2,315 | 2,512 | (197) | (7.8)% | 7,245 | 7,388 | (143) | (1.9)% |
| Percentage of product revenue | 26.1 % | 26.9 % | | | 26.1 % | 26.5 % | | |
| APJC | 1,504 | 1,385 | 119 | 8.6 % | 4,456 | 4,095 | 361 | 8.8 % |
| Percentage of product revenue | 16.9 % | 14.9 % | | | 16.1 % | 14.7 % | | |
| Total | \$8,875 | \$9,326 | \$ (451) | (4.8)% | \$27,702 | \$27,839 | \$ (137) | (0.5)% |

During the second quarter of fiscal 2016, on November 20, 2015, we completed the sale of our SP Video CPE Business. As a result, revenue from this portion of the Service Provider Video product category will not recur in future periods. SP Video CPE Business revenue for the third quarter of fiscal 2015 was \$519 million. Such revenue was \$504 million and \$1,359 million for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Americas

Three Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Three Months Ended April 25, 2015

The decrease in product revenue for the Americas segment was driven in large part by the absence of product sales related to our SP Video CPE Business in the third quarter of fiscal 2016. We had \$439 million in product sales related to our SP Video CPE Business in the third quarter of fiscal 2015. From a customer markets perspective, the decrease in product revenue in the Americas segment of 7% was led by a significant decline in the service provider market and, to a lesser extent, a decline in the enterprise market. The product revenue decline in the service provider market was due to the sale of the SP Video CPE Business. We experienced product revenue growth in the public sector and

commercial markets. The product revenue growth in the public sector market was due primarily to higher sales to state and local governments and, to a lesser extent, higher sales to the U.S. federal government. From a country perspective, product revenue decreased by 5% in the United States, 26% in Mexico, 20% in Brazil and 19% in Canada.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Nine Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Nine Months Ended April 25, 2015

Product revenue in the Americas segment decreased by 2%, led by a significant decline in the service provider market partially offset by product revenue growth in the commercial, public sector and, to a lesser extent, in the enterprise market. The decline in revenue in the service provider market was due to lower revenue from SP Video CPE products, due to the sale of this business. From a country perspective, product revenue decreased by 35% in Brazil and 24% in Canada partially offset by increases of 1% in the United States and 13% in Mexico.

EMEA

Three Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Three Months Ended April 25, 2015

The decrease in product revenue for the EMEA segment was driven by the absence of product sales related to our SP Video CPE Business in the third quarter of fiscal 2016. We had \$68 million in product sales related to our SP Video CPE Business in the third quarter of fiscal 2015. Product revenue in the EMEA segment decreased by 8%, with declines across all customer markets. The decline in sales to the service provider market was due primarily to the sale of the SP Video CPE Business. Product revenue from emerging countries within EMEA decreased by 19%, led by a decline in Russia of 31%. Product revenue for the remainder of the EMEA segment, which primarily consists of countries in Western Europe, decreased by 4%.

Nine Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Nine Months Ended April 25, 2015

Product revenue in the EMEA segment decreased by 2%, led by declines in the service provider, public sector and enterprise markets. The decline in sales to the service provider market was due primarily to the sale of the SP Video CPE Business. We experienced product revenue growth in the commercial market in this segment. Product revenue from the emerging countries within EMEA declined by 8%, led by a 33% decrease in Russia, while the product revenue in the remainder of EMEA was flat.

APJC

Three Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Three Months Ended April 25, 2015

The increase in product revenue in the APJC segment of 9% was led by strong growth in the service provider market and, to a lesser extent, growth in the enterprise market. These increases were partially offset by declines in the public sector and commercial markets. From a country perspective, product revenue increased by 44% in China, 16% in India, and 1% in Japan, partially offset by a product revenue decrease of 25% in Australia. Product sales for this geographic segment were adversely impacted by a \$12 million decrease in product sales related to the absence of our SP Video CPE Business.

Nine Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Nine Months Ended April 25, 2015

Product revenue in the APJC segment increased by 9%. The product revenue growth was led by strong growth in the service provider market and, to a lesser extent, growth in the commercial and enterprise markets. Product revenue growth in the public sector market was flat. From a country perspective, product revenue increased by 37% in China and 20% in India, partially offset by product revenue declines of 6% in Japan and 5% in Australia.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Product Revenue by Groups of Similar Products

In addition to the primary view on a geographic basis, we also prepare financial information related to groups of similar products and customer markets for various purposes. Our product categories consist of the following categories (with subcategories in parentheses): Switching (fixed switching, modular switching, and storage); NGN Routing (high-end routers, mid-range and low-end routers, and other NGN Routing products); Collaboration (unified communications, Cisco TelePresence, and conferencing); Data Center; Service Provider Video (video software and solutions, and cable access); Wireless; Security; and Other Products. The Other Products category consists primarily of emerging technology products and other networking products.

The following table presents revenue for groups of similar products (in millions, except percentages):

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Nine Months Ended | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | Variance in Dollars | Variance in Percent | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | Variance in Dollars | Variance in Percent |
| Product revenue: | | | | | | | | |
| Switching | \$3,447 | \$3,560 | \$ (113) | (3.2)% | \$10,952 | \$11,021 | \$ (69) | (0.6)% |
| Percentage of product revenue | 38.8 % | 38.2 % | | | 39.5 % | 39.6 % | | |
| NGN Routing | 1,894 | 1,999 | (105) | (5.3)% | 5,532 | 5,712 | (180) | (3.2)% |
| Percentage of product revenue | 21.4 % | 21.4 % | | | 20.0 % | 20.5 % | | |
| Collaboration | 1,069 | 974 | 95 | 9.8 % | 3,203 | 2,915 | 288 | 9.9 % |
| Percentage of product revenue | 12.1 % | 10.4 % | | | 11.6 % | 10.5 % | | |
| Data Center | 811 | 801 | 10 | 1.2 % | 2,492 | 2,339 | 153 | 6.5 % |
| Percentage of product revenue | 9.1 % | 8.6 % | | | 9.0 % | 8.4 % | | |
| Service Provider Video ⁽¹⁾ | 468 | 914 | (446) | (48.8)% | 1,980 | 2,561 | (581) | (22.7)% |
| Percentage of product revenue | 5.3 % | 9.8 % | | | 7.1 % | 9.2 % | | |
| Wireless | 615 | 611 | 4 | 0.7 % | 1,873 | 1,827 | 46 | 2.5 % |
| Percentage of product revenue | 6.9 % | 6.6 % | | | 6.8 % | 6.6 % | | |
| Security | 482 | 412 | 70 | 17.0 % | 1,429 | 1,283 | 146 | 11.4 % |
| Percentage of product revenue | 5.4 % | 4.4 % | | | 5.2 % | 4.6 % | | |
| Other | 89 | 55 | 34 | 61.8 % | 241 | 181 | 60 | 33.1 % |
| Percentage of product revenue | 1.0 % | 0.6 % | | | 0.8 % | 0.6 % | | |
| Total | \$8,875 | \$9,326 | \$ (451) | (4.8)% | \$27,702 | \$27,839 | \$ (137) | (0.5)% |

⁽¹⁾ Includes SP Video CPE Business revenue of \$519 million for the third quarter of fiscal 2015 and \$504 million and \$1,359 million for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior period amounts to conform to the current period's presentation.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Switching

Three Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Three Months Ended April 25, 2015

We believe the decrease in revenue in our Switching product category of 3%, or \$113 million was driven in large part by the uncertainty in the macro environment which led to a slowdown of customer spending, impacting sales for our Switching products used in campus environments which comprise the majority of this portfolio. This impact was partially offset by an increase in sales of our Switching products used in data center environments which reflects strength in our Application Centric Infrastructure portfolio.

In terms of subcategories, the decrease was driven by a 17%, or \$188 million, decrease in revenue from our modular switches due primarily to lower sales of most of our Cisco Catalyst Series Switches and also due to lower sales of our Cisco Nexus 7000 Series Switches, partially offset by sales growth in Cisco Nexus 9500 Series Switches within this product category. We experienced an increase in revenue from LAN fixed-configuration switches of 2%, or \$51 million, and an increase in revenue of a 27%, or \$24 million, in sales of storage products. Revenue from our LAN-fixed configuration switches increased due primarily to higher sales of our Cisco Catalyst 3850 Series Switches, Cisco Catalyst 3650 Series Switches, Cisco Nexus 9300 Series Switches, and Cisco Nexus 3000 Series Switches, partially offset by a decrease in sales of certain other products in this portfolio.

Nine Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Nine Months Ended April 25, 2015

Revenue in our Switching product category decreased by 1%, or \$69 million, driven by a 10%, or \$336 million, decrease in revenue from our modular switches and an 18%, or \$71 million, decrease in sales of storage products, partially offset by a 5%, or \$337 million, increase in revenue from our LAN fixed-configuration switches. Revenue from our modular switches decreased driven by lower sales of Cisco Catalyst 6500-E Series Switches and Cisco Nexus 7000 Series Switches, partially offset by growth in Cisco Nexus 9500 Series Switches within this product category. Revenue from LAN fixed-configuration switches increased due to higher sales of our Cisco Catalyst 3850 Series Switches, Cisco Catalyst 3650 Series Switches, Cisco Nexus 9300 Series Switches and Cisco Nexus 3000 Series Switches partially offset by a decrease in sales of certain other products in this portfolio.

NGN Routing

Three Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Three Months Ended April 25, 2015

We believe a cautious Service Provider capital expenditure spending environment negatively impacted sales in this product category. Revenue in our NGN Routing product category decreased by 5%, or \$105 million, driven by an 8%, or \$97 million, decrease in revenue from our high-end router products and a decrease in revenue from what we categorize as other NGN Routing products. The decrease in revenue from our high-end router products was driven by a decrease in revenue of our Cisco Carrier Routing System (CRS) products, Cisco Network Convergence System (NCS) platform and our legacy high-end router products. The decrease in revenue from other NGN Routing products was due in large part to lower sales of certain optical networking products. Revenue from our midrange and low-end router products was flat, with higher sales of our Cisco Integrated Services Router (ISR) products being offset by lower sales of certain of our access products.

Nine Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Nine Months Ended April 25, 2015

The decrease in revenue in our NGN Routing product category of 3%, or \$180 million, was driven by a 7%, or \$254 million, decrease in revenue from our high-end router products, partially offset by a 12%, or \$39 million, increase in revenue from other NGN Routing products and a 2%, or \$35 million, increase in revenue from our midrange and low-end router products. Revenue from high-end router products decreased due to a decrease in revenue from most of our high-end router products partially offset by higher sales of our CRS-X products. Revenue from other NGN Routing products increased due to higher sales of certain optical networking products. The increase in revenue from our midrange and low-end router products was due to higher sales of our Cisco ISR products and certain of our access products.

Collaboration

Three Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Three Months Ended April 25, 2015

Revenue from our Collaboration product category increased by 10%, or \$95 million, driven by growth broadly across the various subcategories within this product category. The increase in Unified Communications revenue was driven by higher software revenue and increased revenue from phones due to customer migration to our newer endpoints. The growth in Conferencing revenue resulted from higher usage and recurring revenue from WebEx. Revenue from Cisco TelePresence products grew due to higher revenue in endpoint products as a result of new product introductions. We continue to increase the amount of deferred revenue and the proportion of recurring revenue related to our Collaboration product category.

58

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Nine Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Nine Months Ended April 25, 2015

Revenue in our Collaboration product category increased by 10%, or \$288 million, driven by similar factors as discussed in the three-month period immediately above.

Data Center

Three Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Three Months Ended April 25, 2015

The increase in revenue in our Data Center product category of 1%, or \$10 million, was primarily driven by an increase in sales of our Cisco Unified Computing System products, with growth in the public sector and enterprise markets substantially offset by declines in the service provider and commercial markets. We believe the uncertainty in the macro environment led to a slowdown of customer spending. Additionally, we are seeing a market transition with computing workloads shifting from blade server systems to rack-based systems. Both of these factors we believe adversely impacted the sales of this product category.

Nine Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Nine Months Ended April 25, 2015

Revenue in our Data Center product category grew by 7%, or \$153 million, with sales growth of our Cisco Unified Computing System products occurring across all geographic segments and customer markets.

Service Provider Video

Three Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Three Months Ended April 25, 2015

The decrease in revenue from our Service Provider Video product category of 49%, or \$446 million, was driven by a decrease in product sales of \$519 million related to our SP Video CPE Business which we sold during the second quarter of fiscal 2016. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in revenue from our video software and solutions driven in large part by strength in APJC, particularly in China, as well as an increase in revenue from certain of our cable access products.

Nine Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Nine Months Ended April 25, 2015

Revenue from our Service Provider Video product category decreased by 23%, or \$581 million, due to a decrease in sales of \$855 million related to the SP Video CPE Business, partially offset by an increase in revenue from Service Provider Video software and solutions and cable access products.

Wireless

Three Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Three Months Ended April 25, 2015

Revenue in our Wireless product category increased by 1%, or \$4 million, due primarily to continued growth in sales of Meraki products within this product category, partially offset by a decrease in sales of our controllers and access point products. We continue to increase the amount of deferred revenue and the proportion of recurring revenue related to our Wireless product category.

Nine Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Nine Months Ended April 25, 2015

Revenue in our Wireless product category increased by 3%, or \$46 million, driven by similar factors as discussed in the three-month period immediately above.

Security

Three Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Three Months Ended April 25, 2015

Revenue in our Security product category was up 17%, or \$70 million, driven by higher sales of unified threat management, advanced threat security and web security products. We continue to increase the amount of deferred revenue and the proportion of recurring revenue related to our Security product category.

Nine Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Nine Months Ended April 25, 2015

Revenue from our Security product category grew 11%, or \$146 million, driven by higher sales of unified threat management, advanced threat security and web security products, partially offset by a decrease in revenue from our traditional firewall products.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Service Revenue by Segment

The following table presents the breakdown of service revenue by segment (in millions, except percentages):

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Nine Months Ended | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | Variance in Dollars | Variance in Percent | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | Variance in Dollars | Variance in Percent |
| Service revenue: | | | | | | | | |
| Americas | \$2,006 | \$1,823 | \$ 183 | 10.0 % | \$5,772 | \$5,498 | \$ 274 | 5.0 % |
| Percentage of service revenue | 64.2 % | 64.8 % | | | 64.8 % | 64.9 % | | |
| EMEA | 686 | 607 | 79 | 13.0 % | 1,931 | 1,824 | 107 | 5.9 % |
| Percentage of service revenue | 21.9 % | 21.6 % | | | 21.7 % | 21.5 % | | |
| APJC | 433 | 381 | 52 | 13.6 % | 1,204 | 1,157 | 47 | 4.1 % |
| Percentage of service revenue | 13.9 % | 13.6 % | | | 13.5 % | 13.6 % | | |
| Total | \$3,125 | \$2,811 | \$ 314 | 11.2 % | \$8,907 | \$8,479 | \$ 428 | 5.0 % |

Three Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Three Months Ended April 25, 2015

Service revenue grew 11%, which includes the \$200 million, or a 7% year over year increase as a result of the impact of the extra week in the third quarter of fiscal 2016. Service revenue had solid growth across all of our geographic segments. Worldwide technical support services revenue and worldwide advanced services revenue each increased by 11%. Technical support services revenue increased across all geographic segments. Renewals and technical support service contract initiations associated with product sales provided an installed base of equipment being serviced which, in concert with new service offerings, were the primary factors driving the revenue increases. Advanced services revenue, which relates to professional services for specific customer network needs, grew across all geographic segments.

Nine Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Nine Months Ended April 25, 2015

Service revenue increased across all of our geographic segments. Worldwide technical support services revenue increased 4% and worldwide advanced services revenue increased 9% revenue growth. Technical support services revenue experienced relatively balanced growth across all geographic segments. Advanced services revenue had a solid growth in the Americas and EMEA segments but was flat in the APJC segment.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Gross Margin

The following table presents the gross margin for products and services (in millions, except percentages):

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Nine Months Ended | | | |
|---------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | AMOUNT | | PERCENTAGE | | AMOUNT | | PERCENTAGE | |
| | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 |
| Gross margin: | | | | | | | | |
| Product | \$5,661 | \$5,742 | 63.8 % | 61.6 % | \$17,155 | \$16,530 | 61.9 % | 59.4 % |
| Service | 2,060 | 1,783 | 65.9 % | 63.4 % | 5,830 | 5,418 | 65.5 % | 63.9 % |
| Total | \$7,721 | \$7,525 | 64.3 % | 62.0 % | \$22,985 | \$21,948 | 62.8 % | 60.4 % |

Product Gross Margin

The following table summarizes the key factors that contributed to the change in product gross margin percentage for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2016 as compared with the corresponding prior year period:

| | Product Gross Margin Percentage | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Three Months Ended | Nine Months Ended |
| Fiscal 2015 | 61.6 % | 59.4 % |
| Productivity ⁽¹⁾ | 3.3 % | 3.6 % |
| SP Video CPE Business impact | 2.7 % | 1.3 % |
| Amortization of purchased intangible assets | 0.5 % | 0.7 % |
| Rockstar patent portfolio charge | — % | 0.7 % |
| Product pricing | (2.4)% | (2.2)% |
| Mix of products sold | (0.9)% | (1.2)% |
| Supplier component remediation adjustment | (0.9)% | (0.3)% |
| Other | (0.1)% | (0.1)% |
| Fiscal 2016 | 63.8 % | 61.9 % |

⁽¹⁾ Productivity includes overall manufacturing-related costs, such as component costs, warranty expense, provisions for inventory, freight, logistics, shipment volume, and other items not categorized elsewhere.

Three Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Three Months Ended April 25, 2015

Product gross margin increased by 2.2 percentage points as compared with the third quarter of fiscal 2015. The increase in product gross margin was due to productivity improvements, which were driven by value engineering efforts; favorable component pricing; and continued operational efficiency in manufacturing operations. Value engineering is the process by which production costs are reduced through component redesign, board configuration, test processes, and transformation processes. Our product gross margin also benefited from the sale during the second quarter of fiscal 2016 of our lower margin SP Video CPE Business, and lower amortization expense related to acquisition-related intangible assets. The various factors contributing to the product gross margin increase were partially offset by unfavorable impacts from product pricing, which were driven by typical market factors and impacted each of our geographic segments and customer markets, an unfavorable mix of products sold and a lower supplier component remediation adjustment. The unfavorable mix of products sold was due to a negative mix impact from our relatively lower margin Cisco Unified Computing System products, higher sales of Service Provider Video products (not including the CPE Business) and lower sales of Switching products.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Our future gross margins could be impacted by our product mix and could be adversely affected by further growth in sales of products that have lower gross margins, such as Cisco Unified Computing System products. Our gross margins may also be impacted by the geographic mix of our revenue and, as was the case in the first nine months of fiscal 2016 and in fiscal 2015, may be adversely affected by product pricing attributable to competitive factors. Additionally, our manufacturing-related costs may be negatively impacted by constraints in our supply chain, which in turn could negatively affect gross margin. If any of the preceding factors that in the past have negatively impacted our gross margins arise in future periods, our gross margins could continue to decline.

Nine Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Nine Months Ended April 25, 2015

Product gross margin increased by 2.5 percentage points as compared with the first nine months of fiscal 2015. The increase was due to productivity improvements driven by similar factors as discussed in the three-month period immediately above. Additionally, gross margin increased due to the impact of the sale during the second quarter of fiscal 2016 of our lower margin SP Video CPE Business, lower amortization expense and impairment charges related to acquisition-related intangible assets and a \$188 million charge to product cost of sales recorded in the first quarter of fiscal 2015 related to the Rockstar patent portfolio. These factors were partially offset by unfavorable impacts from product pricing, unfavorable mix of products sold and the impact of a lower supplier component remediation adjustment. The unfavorable product mix impact was due to an unfavorable mix impact from our core products, increased revenue from our relatively lower margin Cisco Unified Computing System products and higher sales from our Service Provider Video products (not including the SP Video CPE Business).

Service Gross Margin

Three Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Three Months Ended April 25, 2015

Our service gross margin percentage increased by 2.5 percentage points as compared with the third quarter of fiscal 2015 due to higher sales volume and, to a lesser extent, lower headcount-related costs. These benefits to gross margin were partially offset by increased outside services costs and partner delivery costs.

Our service gross margin normally experiences some fluctuations due to various factors such as the timing of contract initiations in our renewals, our strategic investments in headcount, and the resources we deploy to support the overall service business. Other factors include the mix of service offerings, as the gross margin from our advanced services is typically lower than the gross margin from technical support services.

Nine Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Nine Months Ended April 25, 2015

Service gross margin percentage increased by 1.6 percentage points, as compared with the first nine months of fiscal 2015, due to higher sales volume and decreased headcount-related costs. These benefits to gross margin were partially offset by increased partner delivery costs and unfavorable mix. The mix impacts were due to our lower gross margin advanced services business contributing a higher proportion of service revenue for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 as compared with the first nine months of fiscal 2015

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Gross Margin by Segment

The following table presents the total gross margin for each segment (in millions, except percentages):

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Nine Months Ended | | | |
|--|--------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | AMOUNT | | PERCENTAGE | | AMOUNT | | PERCENTAGE | |
| | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 |
| Gross margin: | | | | | | | | |
| Americas | \$4,684 | \$4,560 | 66.3 % | 62.9 % | \$14,046 | \$13,776 | 64.5 % | 63.0 % |
| EMEA | 1,966 | 1,949 | 65.5 % | 62.5 % | 5,953 | 5,774 | 64.9 % | 62.7 % |
| APJC | 1,170 | 1,080 | 60.4 % | 61.2 % | 3,437 | 3,157 | 60.7 % | 60.1 % |
| Segment total | 7,820 | 7,589 | 65.2 % | 62.5 % | 23,436 | 22,707 | 64.0 % | 62.5 % |
| Unallocated corporate items ⁽¹⁾ | (99) | (64) | | | (451) | (759) | | |
| Total | \$7,721 | \$7,525 | 64.3 % | 62.0 % | \$22,985 | \$21,948 | 62.8 % | 60.4 % |

⁽¹⁾ The unallocated corporate items for the periods presented include the effects of amortization and impairments of acquisition-related intangible assets, share-based compensation expense, significant litigation and other contingencies, charges related to asset impairments and restructurings, and certain other charges. We do not allocate these items to the gross margin for each segment because management does not include such information in measuring the performance of the operating segments.

Three Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Three Months Ended April 25, 2015

The Americas segment experienced a gross margin percentage increase due primarily to the sale of the lower margin SP Video CPE Business. In this geographic segment, productivity improvements were substantially offset by unfavorable impacts from pricing and product mix. The unfavorable mix of products sold was due to a negative mix impact from our relatively lower margin Cisco Unified Computing System products and from Service Provider Video products (not including the SP Video CPE Business).

The gross margin percentage increase in our EMEA segment was due primarily to the impact of productivity improvements in this geographic segment and the sale of the SP Video CPE Business. Partially offsetting these favorable impacts to gross margin were negative impacts from pricing and an unfavorable product mix. Higher service gross margin also contributed to the increase in the overall gross margin in this geographic segment.

Our APJC segment gross margin percentage decreased primarily due to unfavorable impacts from pricing and mix partially offset by productivity improvements. The mix impact was driven primarily by unfavorable mix within our NGN routing products and an increase in revenue from our relatively lower margin Cisco Unified Computing System products.

The gross margin percentage for a particular segment may fluctuate, and period-to-period changes in such percentages may or may not be indicative of a trend for that segment. Our product and service gross margins may be impacted by economic downturns or uncertain economic conditions as well as our movement into new market opportunities, and could decline if any of the factors that impact our gross margins are adversely affected in future periods.

Nine Months Ended April 30, 2016 Compared with Nine Months Ended April 25, 2015

We experienced a gross margin percentage increase in our Americas segment due to productivity improvements and the sale of the SP Video CPE Business, partially offset by unfavorable impacts from pricing and mix. The product mix impact was due to an unfavorable mix impact from our core products, increased revenue from our relatively lower margin Cisco Unified Computing System products and higher sales from our Service Provider Video products (not including the SP Video CPE Business).

The gross margin percentage increase in our EMEA segment was due primarily to the impact of productivity improvements in this geographic segment and the sale of the lower margin SP Video CPE Business. Partially offsetting these favorable impacts to gross margin were negative impacts from pricing and an unfavorable product mix. The unfavorable mix of products sold was due to increased revenue from our relatively lower margin Cisco

Unified Computing System products and lower sales of Switching products. Higher service gross margin also contributed to the increase in the overall gross margin in this geographic segment.

The APJC segment gross margin percentage increased due to productivity improvements partially offset by unfavorable impacts from pricing and mix. The product mix impact was due to an unfavorable mix impact from our core products, higher sales from our Service Provider Video products (not including the SP Video CPE Business) and increased revenue from our relatively lower margin Cisco Unified Computing System products.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Factors That May Impact Revenue and Gross Margin

Product revenue may continue to be affected by factors, including global economic downturns and related market uncertainty, that have resulted in reduced IT-related capital spending in certain segments within our enterprise, service provider, public sector, and commercial markets; changes in the geopolitical environment and global economic conditions; competition, including price-focused competitors from Asia, especially from China; new product introductions; sales cycles and product implementation cycles; changes in the mix of our customers between service provider and enterprise markets; changes in the mix of direct sales and indirect sales; variations in sales channels; and final acceptance criteria of the product, system, or solution as specified by the customer. Sales to the service provider market have been and may be in the future characterized by large and sporadic purchases, especially relating to our NGN Routing sales and sales of certain products within our Collaboration, Data Center, and Service Provider Video product categories. In addition, service provider customers typically have longer implementation cycles; require a broader range of services, including network design services; and often have acceptance provisions that can lead to a delay in revenue recognition. Certain of our customers in certain emerging countries also tend to make large and sporadic purchases, and the revenue related to these transactions may similarly be affected by the timing of revenue recognition. As we focus on new market opportunities, customers may require greater levels of financing arrangements, service, and support, especially in certain emerging countries, which in turn may result in a delay in the timing of revenue recognition. To improve customer satisfaction, we continue to focus on managing our manufacturing lead-time performance, which may result in corresponding reductions in order backlog. A decline in backlog levels could result in more variability and less predictability in our quarter-to-quarter revenue and operating results.

Product revenue may also be adversely affected by fluctuations in demand for our products, especially with respect to telecommunications service providers and Internet businesses, whether or not driven by any slowdown in capital expenditures in the service provider market; price and product competition in the communications and information technology industry; introduction and market acceptance of new technologies and products; adoption of new networking standards; and financial difficulties experienced by our customers. We may, from time to time, experience manufacturing issues that create a delay in our suppliers' ability to provide specific components, resulting in delayed shipments. To the extent that manufacturing issues and any related component shortages result in delayed shipments in the future, and particularly in periods when we and our suppliers are operating at higher levels of capacity, it is possible that revenue for a quarter could be adversely affected if such matters are not remediated within the same quarter. For additional factors that may impact product revenue, see "Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors."

Our distributors participate in various cooperative marketing and other programs. Increased sales to our distributors generally result in greater difficulty in forecasting the mix of our products and, to a certain degree, the timing of orders from our customers. We recognize revenue for sales to our distributors generally based on a sell-through method using information provided by them, and we maintain estimated accruals and allowances for all cooperative marketing and other programs.

Product gross margin may be adversely affected in the future by changes in the mix of products sold, including periods of increased growth of some of our lower margin products; introduction of new products, including products with price-performance advantages and new business models for our offerings such as other-as-a-service ("XaaS"); our ability to reduce production costs; entry into new markets, including markets with different pricing structures and cost structures, as a result of internal development or through acquisitions; changes in distribution channels; price competition, including competitors from Asia, especially those from China; changes in geographic mix of our product revenue; the timing of revenue recognition and revenue deferrals; sales discounts; increases in material or labor costs, including share-based compensation expense; excess inventory and obsolescence charges; warranty costs; changes in shipment volume; loss of cost savings due to changes in component pricing; effects of value engineering; inventory holding charges; and the extent to which we successfully execute on our strategy and operating plans. Additionally, our manufacturing-related costs may be negatively impacted by constraints in our supply chain. Service gross margin

may be impacted by various factors such as the change in mix between technical support services and advanced services; the timing of technical support service contract initiations and renewals; share-based compensation expense; and the timing of our strategic investments in headcount and resources to support this business.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Research and Development ("R&D"), Sales and Marketing, and General and Administrative ("G&A") Expenses
R&D, sales and marketing, and G&A expenses are summarized in the following table (in millions, except percentages):

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Nine Months Ended | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | Variance in Dollars | Variance in Percent | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | Variance in Dollars | Variance in Percent |
| Research and development | \$ 1,626 | \$ 1,547 | \$ 79 | 5.1 % | \$ 4,695 | \$ 4,659 | \$ 36 | 0.8 % |
| Percentage of revenue | 13.6 % | 12.7 % | | | 12.8 % | 12.8 % | | |
| Sales and marketing | 2,447 | 2,449 | (2) | (0.1)% | 7,176 | 7,272 | (96) | (1.3)% |
| Percentage of revenue | 20.4 % | 20.2 % | | | 19.6 % | 20.0 % | | |
| General and administrative | 566 | 510 | 56 | 11.0 % | 1,281 | 1,504 | (223) | (14.8)% |
| Percentage of revenue | 4.7 % | 4.2 % | | | 3.5 % | 4.1 % | | |
| Total | \$ 4,639 | \$ 4,506 | \$ 133 | 3.0 % | \$ 13,152 | \$ 13,435 | \$ (283) | (2.1)% |
| Percentage of revenue | 38.7 % | 37.1 % | | | 35.9 % | 37.0 % | | |

Our third quarter of fiscal 2016 had an extra week compared with the corresponding period of fiscal 2015. We estimate that the extra week contributed approximately \$116 million of the year-over-year increase in total operating expenses (not including share-based compensation expense discussed below) during the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2016.

R&D Expenses

R&D expenses increased for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2016, as compared with the corresponding periods of fiscal 2015, primarily due to higher headcount-related expenses attributable in large part to the impact of the extra week in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2016 and, to a lesser extent, higher share-based compensation expense and higher contracted services. These increases were partially offset by lower acquisition-related costs and lower discretionary spending.

We continue to invest in R&D in order to bring a broad range of products to market in a timely fashion. If we believe that we are unable to enter a particular market in a timely manner with internally developed products, we may purchase or license technology from other businesses, or we may partner with or acquire businesses as an alternative to internal R&D.

Sales and Marketing Expenses

Sales and marketing expenses decreased for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2016, compared with the corresponding periods of fiscal 2015, due to lower discretionary spending and lower contracted services partially offset by higher headcount-related expenses. The extra week in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2016 contributed to the increased headcount-related expenses.

G&A Expenses

G&A expenses increased in the third quarter of fiscal 2016, as compared with the third quarter of fiscal 2015, due to higher headcount-related expenses and, to a lesser extent, higher share-based compensation expense. The extra week in the third quarter of fiscal 2016 contributed to the increased headcount-related expenses. The timing of corporate-level expenses, which tend to vary from period to period, also contributed to the increase. Corporate-level expenses included operational infrastructure activities such as IT project implementations, which included investments in our global data center infrastructure, and investments related to operational and financial systems.

G&A expenses decreased in the first nine months of fiscal 2016, as compared with the first nine months of fiscal 2015, primarily due to the \$285 million pre-tax gain from the sale of our SP Video CPE Business and, to a lesser extent, lower contracted services partially offset by higher headcount-related expenses and higher share-based compensation expense. The extra week in the first nine months of fiscal 2016 contributed to the increased headcount-related expenses.

Effect of Foreign Currency

In the third quarter of fiscal 2016, foreign currency fluctuations, net of hedging, decreased the combined R&D, sales and marketing, and G&A expenses by approximately \$125 million, or approximately 2.8%, compared with the third quarter of fiscal 2015.

In the first nine months of fiscal 2016, foreign currency fluctuations, net of hedging, decreased the combined R&D, sales and marketing, and G&A expenses by approximately \$481 million, or approximately 3.6%, compared with the first nine months of fiscal 2015.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Headcount

Our headcount as of April 30, 2016 was 73,104, which represents an increase of 1,447 employees in the third quarter of fiscal 2016 and an increase of 1,271 employees in the first nine months of fiscal 2016, as compared with the total headcount at the end of fiscal 2015. The increase for both periods was due to headcount additions from targeted hiring in key growth areas in engineering, services and sales, and headcount additions from our recent acquisitions. These headcount additions were partially offset by headcount reductions from attrition, from our restructuring plan announced in August 2014, and from the sale of the SP Video CPE Business.

Share-Based Compensation Expense

The following table presents share-based compensation expense (in millions):

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|--------|-------------------|---------|
| | April 30, 2016 | | April 25, 2015 | |
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Cost of sales—product | \$21 | \$ 12 | \$50 | \$ 34 |
| Cost of sales—service | 37 | 44 | 110 | 115 |
| Share-based compensation expense in cost of sales | 58 | 56 | 160 | 149 |
| Research and development | 130 | 114 | 351 | 338 |
| Sales and marketing | 148 | 147 | 413 | 408 |
| General and administrative | 59 | 50 | 163 | 151 |
| Restructuring and other charges | — | — | 14 | (2) |
| Share-based compensation expense in operating expenses | 337 | 311 | 941 | 895 |
| Total share-based compensation expense | \$395 | \$ 367 | \$1,101 | \$1,044 |

The increase in share-based compensation expense in the third quarter of fiscal 2016, as compared with the third quarter of fiscal 2015, was due primarily to the impact of the extra week in the third quarter of fiscal 2016 and higher expense related to equity awards assumed with respect to our recent acquisitions. The increase in share-based compensation expense in the first nine months of fiscal 2016, as compared with the corresponding period of fiscal 2015, was due primarily to the timing of the RSU grants and the impact of the extra week in the first nine months of fiscal 2016, partially offset by lower expense associated with accelerated and modified awards.

Amortization of Purchased Intangible Assets

The following table presents the amortization of purchased intangible assets (in millions):

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|
| | April 30, 2016 | | April 25, 2015 | |
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Amortization of purchased intangible assets: | | | | |
| Cost of sales | \$134 | \$ 187 | \$419 | \$ 618 |
| Operating expenses | 81 | 70 | 221 | 213 |
| Total | \$215 | \$ 257 | \$640 | \$ 831 |

Amortization of purchased intangible assets decreased for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2016, as compared with the corresponding periods of fiscal 2015, due to certain purchased intangible assets having become fully amortized or impaired partially offset by amortization of purchased intangible assets from our recent acquisitions. Lower impairment charges for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 also contributed to the decrease.

The fair value of acquired technology and patents, as well as acquired technology under development, is determined at acquisition date primarily using the income approach, which discounts expected future cash flows to present value.

The discount rates used in the present value calculations are typically derived from a weighted-average cost of capital

analysis and then adjusted to reflect risks inherent in the development lifecycle as appropriate. We consider the pricing model for products related to these acquisitions to be standard within the high-technology communications industry, and the applicable discount rates represent the rates that market participants would use for valuation of such intangible assets.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Restructuring and Other Charges

In the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2016, we incurred restructuring and other charges of approximately \$17 million and \$253 million, net of a \$2 million credit to cost of sales, respectively. These charges were related primarily to employee severance charges for employees impacted by the restructuring action announced in August 2014, which is substantially complete. We plan to reinvest substantially all of the cost savings from the restructuring actions in key growth areas of our business such as data center, software, security, and cloud. The overall cost savings from these restructuring actions were not material for the periods presented and are not expected to be material for future periods.

In the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2015, we incurred restructuring and other charges of approximately \$24 million and \$411 million, respectively, which were related primarily to employee severance charges for employees impacted by the restructuring action announced in August 2014. See Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Operating Income

The following table presents our operating income and our operating income as a percentage of revenue (in millions, except percentages):

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 |
| Operating income | \$2,984 | \$2,925 | \$9,357 | \$7,889 |
| Operating income as a percentage of revenue | 24.9 % | 24.1 % | 25.6 % | 21.7 % |

For the third quarter of fiscal 2016, as compared with the third quarter of fiscal 2015, operating income increased by 2%, and as a percentage of revenue operating income increased by 0.8 percentage points. The increase as a percentage of revenue resulted primarily from a gross margin increase driven by the sale of the lower margin SP Video CPE Business during the second quarter of fiscal 2016 and lower amortization expense related to acquisition-related intangible assets.

For the first nine months of fiscal 2016, as compared with the first nine months of fiscal 2015, operating income increased by 19%, and as a percentage of revenue operating income increased by 3.9 percentage points. The increase resulted from the following: an increase in revenue; a gross margin percentage increase, driven by similar factors as discussed in the three month period immediately above and in part by the Rockstar patent portfolio charge of \$188 million (or 0.8 percentage points of the prior period revenue); the \$285 million pre-tax gain from the sale of our SP Video CPE Business; and a decrease in restructuring and other charges related to the restructuring action announced in August 2014.

Interest and Other Income (Loss), Net

Interest Income (Expense), Net The following table summarizes interest income and interest expense (in millions):

| | Three Months Ended | | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | Variance in Dollars | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | Variance in Dollars |
| Interest income | \$270 | \$190 | \$80 | \$732 | \$558 | \$174 |
| Interest expense | (175) | (139) | (36) | (496) | (417) | (79) |
| Interest income (expense), net | \$95 | \$51 | \$44 | \$236 | \$141 | \$95 |

For the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2016, interest income increased compared with the corresponding periods in fiscal 2015, driven by an increase in our portfolio of cash, cash equivalents, and fixed income investments as well as higher yields on our portfolio of cash and investments. Interest expense in the third quarter and first nine

months of fiscal 2016, compared with the corresponding periods in fiscal 2015, was higher driven by higher average debt balances.

67

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Other Income (Loss), Net The components of other income (loss), net, are summarized as follows (in millions):

| | Three Months Ended | | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | Variance in Dollars | April 30, 2016 | April 25, 2015 | Variance in Dollars |
| Gains (losses) on investments, net: | | | | | | |
| Publicly traded equity securities | \$25 | \$ 38 | \$ (13) | \$18 | \$ 94 | \$ (76) |
| Fixed income securities | (31) | 6 | (37) | (44) | 26 | (70) |
| Total available-for-sale investments | (6) | 44 | (50) | (26) | 120 | (146) |
| Privately held companies | 22 | 3 | 19 | (25) | 104 | (129) |
| Net gains (losses) on investments | 16 | 47 | (31) | (51) | 224 | (275) |
| Other gains (losses), net | (12) | 12 | (24) | (16) | 14 | (30) |
| Other income (loss), net | \$4 | \$ 59 | \$ (55) | \$(67) | \$ 238 | \$ (305) |

The change in total net gains (losses) on available-for-sale investments in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2016, as compared with the corresponding periods in fiscal 2015, was primarily attributable to lower realized gains on publicly traded equity securities and higher losses on fixed income securities in the current periods as a result of market conditions and the timing of sales of these securities.

The change in net gains (losses) on investments in privately held companies for the third quarter of fiscal 2016, as compared with the third quarter of fiscal 2015, was primarily due to higher realized gains from equity method investments and lower impairment charges on investments in privately held companies. The change in net gains (losses) on investments in privately held companies for the first nine months of fiscal 2016, as compared with the first nine months of fiscal 2015, was primarily due to a gain of \$126 million related to the reorganization of our investment in VCE Company, LLC, which was recorded in the second quarter of fiscal 2015, higher impairment charges on investments in privately held companies, and lower realized gains from cost method investments partially offset by higher realized gains from equity method investments.

The change in other gains (losses), net in the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2016, as compared with the corresponding periods in fiscal 2015, was driven by net unfavorable foreign exchange impacts, higher donation expenses and impacts from equity derivatives.

Provision for Income Taxes

Our provision for income taxes is subject to volatility and could be adversely impacted by earnings being lower than anticipated in countries that have lower tax rates, higher than anticipated in countries that have higher tax rates, and expiration of or lapses in tax incentives. Our provision for income taxes does not include provisions for U.S. income taxes and foreign withholding taxes associated with the repatriation of undistributed earnings of certain foreign subsidiaries that we intend to reinvest indefinitely in our foreign subsidiaries. If these earnings were distributed from the foreign subsidiaries to the United States in the form of dividends or otherwise, or if the shares of the relevant foreign subsidiaries were sold or otherwise transferred, we would be subject to additional U.S. income taxes (subject to an adjustment for foreign tax credits) and foreign withholding taxes. Further, as a result of certain of our ongoing employment and capital investment actions and commitments, our income in certain countries is subject to reduced tax rates. Our failure to meet these commitments could adversely impact our provision for income taxes.

The provision for income taxes resulted in an effective tax rate of 23.8% for the third quarter of fiscal 2016 compared with 19.7% for the third quarter of fiscal 2015, a net 4.1 percentage point increase in the effective tax rate for the third quarter of fiscal 2016 as compared with the third quarter of fiscal 2015. The increase in the effective tax rates was primarily due to an increase in net tax charges associated with tax settlements in certain foreign jurisdictions and a decrease in tax benefits related to lapses in statute of limitations in certain foreign jurisdictions.

The provision for income taxes resulted in an effective tax rate of 16.8% for the first nine months of fiscal 2016, as compared with an effective tax rate of 19.4% for the first nine months of fiscal 2015, a net 2.6 percentage point

decrease in the effective tax rate for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 as compared with the first nine months of fiscal 2015. The decrease in the effective tax rates was primarily due to the recognition of a net benefit to the provision for income taxes of \$367 million related to our settlement with the IRS in January 2016, offset by a decrease in foreign income taxed at lower than U.S. rates. See Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The following sections discuss the effects of changes in our balance sheet, our capital allocation strategy including stock repurchase program and dividends, our contractual obligations, and certain other commitments and activities on our liquidity and capital resources.

Balance Sheet and Cash Flows

Cash and Cash Equivalents and Investments The following table summarizes our cash and cash equivalents and investments (in millions):

| | April 30, July 25, Increase | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | (Decrease) |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 8,895 | \$ 6,877 | \$ 2,018 |
| Fixed income securities | 53,075 | 51,974 | 1,101 |
| Publicly traded equity securities | 1,542 | 1,565 | (23) |
| Total | \$ 63,512 | \$ 60,416 | \$ 3,096 |

The net increase in cash and cash equivalents and investments in the first nine months of fiscal 2016 was primarily the result of cash provided by operating activities of \$9.8 billion, a net increase in debt of \$3.1 billion, net proceeds from issuance of common stock of \$0.8 billion pursuant to employee stock incentive and purchase plans, and net proceeds from the sale of our SP Video CPE Business of \$0.4 billion. These sources of cash were partially offset by cash returned to shareholders in the form of cash dividends of \$3.4 billion and repurchase of common stock of \$3.2 billion under the stock repurchase program, net cash paid for acquisitions of \$3.2 billion, and capital expenditures of \$0.9 billion.

Our total in cash and cash equivalents and investments held by various foreign subsidiaries was \$57.2 billion and \$53.4 billion as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015, respectively. Under current tax laws and regulations, if these assets were to be distributed from the foreign subsidiaries to the United States in the form of dividends or otherwise, we would be subject to additional U.S. income taxes (subject to an adjustment for foreign tax credits) and foreign withholding taxes. The balance of cash and cash equivalents and investments available in the United States as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015 was \$6.3 billion and \$7.0 billion, respectively.

We maintain an investment portfolio of various holdings, types, and maturities. We classify our investments as short-term investments based on their nature and their availability for use in current operations. We believe the overall credit quality of our portfolio is strong, with our cash equivalents and our fixed income investment portfolio consisting primarily of high quality investment-grade securities. We believe that our strong cash and cash equivalents and investments position allows us to use our cash resources for strategic investments to gain access to new technologies, for acquisitions, for customer financing activities, for working capital needs, and for the repurchase of shares of common stock and payment of dividends as discussed below.

Free Cash Flow and Capital Allocation As part of our capital allocation strategy, we intend to return a minimum of 50% of our free cash flow annually to our shareholders through cash dividends and repurchases of common stock. We define free cash flow as net cash provided by operating activities less cash used to acquire property and equipment. The following table reconciles our net cash provided by operating activities to free cash flow (in millions):

| | Nine Months | | |
|---|---------------------|----------|--|
| | Ended | | |
| | April 30, April 25, | | |
| | 2016 | 2015 | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$9,752 | \$ 8,414 | |
| Acquisition of property and equipment | (880) | (907) | |
| Free cash flow | \$ 8,872 | \$ 7,507 | |

We expect that cash provided by operating activities may fluctuate in future periods as a result of a number of factors, including fluctuations in our operating results, the rate at which products are shipped during the quarter (which we

refer to as shipment linearity), the timing and collection of accounts receivable and financing receivables, inventory and supply chain management, deferred revenue, excess tax benefits resulting from share-based compensation, and the timing and amount of tax and other payments. For additional discussion, see “Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors” in this report.

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

We consider free cash flow to be a liquidity measure that provides useful information to management and investors because of our intent to return a stated percentage of free cash flow to shareholders in the form of dividends and stock repurchases. We further regard free cash flow as a useful measure because it reflects cash that can be used to, among other things, invest in our business, make strategic acquisitions, repurchase common stock, and pay dividends on our common stock, after deducting capital investments. A limitation of the utility of free cash flow as a measure of financial performance and liquidity is that the free cash flow does not represent the total increase or decrease in our cash balance for the period. In addition, we have other required uses of cash, including repaying the principal of our outstanding indebtedness. Free cash flow is not a measure calculated in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and should not be regarded in isolation or as an alternative for net income provided by operating activities or any other measure calculated in accordance with such principles, and other companies may calculate free cash flow in a different manner than we do.

The following table summarizes the dividends paid and stock repurchases (in millions, except per-share amounts):

| Quarter Ended | DIVIDENDS | | STOCK REPURCHASE PROGRAM | | | TOTAL |
|------------------|-----------|----------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|----------|
| | Per Share | Amount | Shares | Weighted-Average Price per Share | Amount | |
| Fiscal 2016 | | | | | | |
| April 30, 2016 | \$0.26 | \$ 1,308 | 27 | \$ 24.08 | \$ 649 | \$ 1,957 |
| January 23, 2016 | \$0.21 | \$ 1,065 | 48 | \$ 26.12 | \$ 1,262 | \$ 2,327 |
| October 24, 2015 | \$0.21 | \$ 1,068 | 45 | \$ 26.83 | \$ 1,207 | \$ 2,275 |

Fiscal 2015

| | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------|----------|----|----------|----------|----------|
| July 25, 2015 | \$0.21 | \$ 1,069 | 35 | \$ 28.62 | \$ 1,005 | \$ 2,074 |
| April 25, 2015 | \$0.21 | \$ 1,070 | 35 | \$ 28.39 | \$ 1,008 | \$ 2,078 |
| January 24, 2015 | \$0.19 | \$ 974 | 44 | \$ 27.63 | \$ 1,208 | \$ 2,182 |
| October 25, 2014 | \$0.19 | \$ 973 | 41 | \$ 24.58 | \$ 1,013 | \$ 1,986 |

Any future dividends will be subject to the approval of our Board of Directors.

Accounts Receivable, Net The following table summarizes our accounts receivable, net (in millions), and DSO:

| | April 30, July 25, Increase | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|-------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | (Decrease) |
| Accounts receivable, net | \$ 4,047 | \$ 5,344 | \$ (1,297) |
| DSO | 33 | 38 | (5) |

Our accounts receivable net, as of April 30, 2016 decreased by approximately 24% compared with the end of fiscal 2015. Our DSO as of April 30, 2016 was lower by five days as compared with the end of fiscal 2015, primarily due to product and service billings being more linear in the third quarter of fiscal 2016 compared with the fourth quarter of fiscal 2015.

Inventory Supply Chain The following table summarizes our inventories and purchase commitments with contract manufacturers and suppliers (in millions, except annualized inventory turns):

| | April 30, July 25, Increase | | |
|--|-----------------------------|----------|------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | (Decrease) |
| Inventories | \$ 1,343 | \$ 1,627 | \$ (284) |
| Annualized inventory turns | 12.0 | 12.1 | (0.1) |
| Purchase commitments with contract manufacturers and suppliers | \$ 3,794 | \$ 4,078 | \$ (284) |

Inventory as of April 30, 2016 decreased by 17% from our inventory balance at the end of fiscal 2015, and for the same period purchase commitments with contract manufacturers and suppliers decreased by approximately 7%. On a combined basis, inventories and purchase commitments with contract manufacturers and suppliers decreased by 10%

compared with the end of fiscal 2015. The decrease in inventory and purchase commitments with contract manufacturers and suppliers was due in part to the sale of the SP Video CPE Business. We believe our inventory and purchase commitments levels are in line with our current demand forecasts.

70

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Our finished goods consist of distributor inventory and deferred cost of sales and manufactured finished goods. Distributor inventory and deferred cost of sales are related to unrecognized revenue on shipments to distributors and retail partners as well as shipments to customers. Manufactured finished goods consist primarily of build-to-order and build-to-stock products.

We purchase components from a variety of suppliers and use several contract manufacturers to provide manufacturing services for our products. During the normal course of business, in order to manage manufacturing lead times and help ensure adequate component supply, we enter into agreements with contract manufacturers and suppliers that allow them to procure inventory based upon criteria as defined by us or that establish the parameters defining our requirements and our commitment to securing manufacturing capacity. A significant portion of our reported purchase commitments arising from these agreements are firm, noncancelable, and unconditional commitments. In certain instances, these agreements allow us the option to cancel, reschedule, and adjust our requirements based on our business needs prior to firm orders being placed. Our purchase commitments are for short-term product manufacturing requirements as well as for commitments to suppliers to secure manufacturing capacity.

We record a liability, included in other current liabilities, for firm, noncancelable, and unconditional purchase commitments for quantities in excess of our future demand forecasts consistent with the valuation of our excess and obsolete inventory. The purchase commitments for inventory are expected to be primarily fulfilled within one year. Inventory and supply chain management remain areas of focus as we balance the need to maintain supply chain flexibility to help ensure competitive lead times with the risk of inventory obsolescence because of rapidly changing technology and customer requirements. We believe the amount of our inventory and purchase commitments is appropriate for our revenue levels.

Financing Receivables and Guarantees We measure our net balance sheet exposure position related to our financing receivables and financing guarantees by reducing the total of gross financing receivables and financing guarantees by the associated allowances for credit loss and deferred revenue. As of April 30, 2016, our net balance sheet exposure position related to financing receivables and financing guarantees was as follows (in millions):

| | FINANCING RECEIVABLES | | | | FINANCING GUARANTEES | | Total | TOTAL |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|----------------------|--------------------|--------|----------|
| | Lease Receivables | Loan Receivables | Financed Service Contracts and Other | Total | Channel Partner | End-User Customers | | |
| April 30, 2016 | | | | | | | | |
| Financing receivables and guarantees | \$3,450 | \$ 2,223 | \$ 3,504 | \$9,177 | \$228 | \$ 104 | \$332 | \$9,509 |
| Unearned income | (178) | — | — | (178) | — | — | — | (178) |
| Allowance for credit loss | (250) | (93) | (40) | (383) | — | — | — | (383) |
| Deferred revenue | (4) | (16) | (1,822) | (1,842) | (98) | (82) | (180) | (2,022) |
| Net balance sheet exposure | \$3,018 | \$ 2,114 | \$ 1,642 | \$6,774 | \$130 | \$ 22 | \$152 | \$6,926 |

Financing Receivables Financing receivables less unearned income increased by 3% compared with the end of fiscal 2015. The change was due to a 26% increase in loan receivables partially offset by a 4% decrease in lease receivables and a 2% decrease in financed service contracts and other. We provide financing to certain end-user customers and channel partners to enable sales of our products, services, and networking solutions. These financing arrangements include leases, financed service contracts, and loans. Arrangements related to leases are generally collateralized by a security interest in the underlying assets. Lease receivables include sales-type and direct-financing leases. We also provide certain qualified customers financing for long-term service contracts, which primarily relate to technical support services. Our loan financing arrangements may include not only financing the acquisition of our products and services but also providing additional funds for other costs associated with network installation and integration of our products and services. We expect to continue to expand the use of our financing programs in the near term.

Financing Guarantees In the normal course of business, third parties may provide financing arrangements to our customers and channel partners under financing programs. The financing arrangements to customers provided by third parties are related to leases and loans and typically have terms of up to three years. In some cases, we provide guarantees to third parties for these lease and loan arrangements. The financing arrangements to channel partners consist of revolving short-term financing provided by third parties, generally with payment terms ranging from 60 to 90 days. In certain instances, these financing arrangements result in a transfer of our receivables to the third party. The receivables are derecognized upon transfer, as these transfers qualify as true sales, and we receive payments for the receivables from the third party based on our standard payment terms.

The volume of channel partner financing was \$19.8 billion and \$19.0 billion for the first nine months of fiscal 2016 and 2015, respectively. These financing arrangements facilitate the working capital requirements of the channel partners, and in some cases, we guarantee a portion of these arrangements. The balance of the channel partner financing subject to guarantees was \$1.0 billion and \$1.2 billion as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015, respectively. We could be called upon to make payments under these

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

guarantees in the event of nonpayment by the channel partners or end-user customers. Historically, our payments under these arrangements have been immaterial. Where we provide a guarantee, we defer the revenue associated with the channel partner and end-user financing arrangement in accordance with revenue recognition policies, or we record a liability for the fair value of the guarantees. In either case, the deferred revenue is recognized as revenue when the guarantee is removed.

Deferred Revenue Related to Financing Receivables and Guarantees The majority of the deferred revenue in the preceding table is related to financed service contracts. The majority of the revenue related to financed service contracts, which primarily relates to technical support services, is deferred as the revenue related to financed service contracts is recognized ratably over the period during which the related services are to be performed. A portion of the revenue related to lease and loan receivables is also deferred and included in deferred product revenue based on revenue recognition criteria not currently having been met.

Borrowings

Senior Notes The following table summarizes the principal amount of our senior notes (in millions):

| | Maturity Date | April 30, July 25, 2016 2015 | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Senior notes: | | | |
| Floating-rate notes: | | | |
| Three-month LIBOR plus 0.05% | September 3, 2015 | \$— | \$850 |
| Three-month LIBOR plus 0.28% | March 3, 2017 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Three-month LIBOR plus 0.60% | February 21, 2018 (1) | 1,000 | — |
| Three-month LIBOR plus 0.31% | June 15, 2018 | 900 | 900 |
| Three-month LIBOR plus 0.50% | March 1, 2019 | 500 | 500 |
| Fixed-rate notes: | | | |
| 5.50% | February 22, 2016 | — | 3,000 |
| 1.10% | March 3, 2017 | 2,400 | 2,400 |
| 3.15% | March 14, 2017 | 750 | 750 |
| 1.40% | February 28, 2018 (1) | 1,250 | — |
| 1.65% | June 15, 2018 | 1,600 | 1,600 |
| 4.95% | February 15, 2019 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| 1.60% | February 28, 2019 (1) | 1,000 | — |
| 2.125% | March 1, 2019 | 1,750 | 1,750 |
| 4.45% | January 15, 2020 | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| 2.45% | June 15, 2020 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| 2.20% | February 28, 2021 (1) | 2,500 | — |
| 2.90% | March 4, 2021 | 500 | 500 |
| 3.00% | June 15, 2022 | 500 | 500 |
| 2.60% | February 28, 2023 (1) | 500 | — |
| 3.625% | March 4, 2024 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 3.50% | June 15, 2025 | 500 | 500 |
| 2.95% | February 28, 2026 (1) | 750 | — |
| 5.90% | February 15, 2039 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| 5.50% | January 15, 2040 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| Total | | \$28,400 | \$25,250 |

(1) In February 2016, we issued senior notes with aggregate principal amount of \$7.0 billion

Interest is payable semiannually on each class of the senior fixed-rate notes, each of which is redeemable by us at any time, subject to a make-whole premium. Interest is payable quarterly on the floating-rate notes. We were in

compliance with all debt covenants as of April 30, 2016.

We repaid the fixed-rate notes (5.50%) due on February 22, 2016 for an aggregate principal amount of \$3.0 billion upon maturity.

We repaid the floating-rate notes due on September 3, 2015 for an aggregate principal amount of \$850 million upon maturity.

72

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

Other Debt Other debt as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015 includes secured borrowings associated with customer financing arrangements. The amount of borrowings outstanding under these arrangements was \$1 million and \$4 million as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015, respectively.

Commercial Paper We established a short-term debt financing program of up to \$3.0 billion through the issuance of commercial paper notes. We use the proceeds from the issuance of commercial paper notes for general corporate purposes. We had no commercial paper notes outstanding as of each of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015.

Credit Facility On May 15, 2015, we entered into a credit agreement with certain institutional lenders that provides for a \$3.0 billion unsecured revolving credit facility that is scheduled to expire on May 15, 2020. Any advances under the credit agreement will accrue interest at rates that are equal to, based on certain conditions, either (i) the highest of (a) the Federal Funds rate plus 0.50%, (b) Bank of America's "prime rate" as announced from time to time, or (c) LIBOR, or a comparable or successor rate that is approved by the Administrative Agent ("Eurocurrency Rate"), for an interest period of one month plus 1.00%, or (ii) the Eurocurrency Rate, plus a margin that is based on our senior debt credit ratings as published by Standard & Poor's Financial Services, LLC and Moody's Investors Service, Inc., provided that in no event will the Eurocurrency Rate be less than zero. The credit agreement requires that we comply with certain covenants, including that it maintains an interest coverage ratio as defined in the agreement.

We may also, upon the agreement of either the then-existing lenders or additional lenders not currently parties to the agreement, increase the commitments under the credit facility by up to an additional \$2.0 billion and/or extend the expiration date of the credit facility up to May 15, 2022. We were in compliance with the required interest coverage ratio and the other covenants, and we had not borrowed any funds under the credit facility.

Deferred Revenue The following table presents the breakdown of deferred revenue (in millions):

| | April 30, 2016 | July 25, 2015 | Increase (Decrease) |
|--------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Service | \$9,866 | \$9,757 | \$ 109 |
| Product | 5,406 | 5,426 | (20) |
| Total | \$ 15,272 | \$ 15,183 | \$ 89 |
| Reported as: | | | |
| Current | \$9,662 | \$9,824 | \$ (162) |
| Noncurrent | 5,610 | 5,359 | 251 |
| Total | \$ 15,272 | \$ 15,183 | \$ 89 |

The 1% increase in deferred service revenue was driven by the timing of multiyear arrangements, the impact of ongoing amortization of deferred service revenue and the impact of the extra week in the third quarter of fiscal 2016. Deferred product revenue was flat as increased deferrals related to subscription revenue arrangements were offset by lower deferred revenue related to two-tier distributors.

Contractual Obligations**Operating Leases**

We lease office space in many U.S. locations. Outside the United States, larger leased sites include sites in Belgium, Canada, China, France, Germany, India, Israel, Japan, Poland and the United Kingdom. We also lease equipment and vehicles. The future minimum lease payments under all of our noncancelable operating leases with an initial term in excess of one year as of April 30, 2016 were \$1.1 billion.

Other Commitments

In connection with our acquisitions and asset purchases, we have agreed to pay certain additional amounts contingent upon the achievement of certain agreed-upon technology, development, product, or other milestones or the continued employment with us of certain employees of the acquired entities. See Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Insieme Networks, Inc. In the third quarter of fiscal 2012, we made an investment in Insieme, an early stage company focused on research and development in the data center market. As set forth in the agreement between Cisco and

Insieme, this investment included \$100 million of funding and a license to certain of our technology. Immediately prior to the call option exercise and acquisition described below, we owned approximately 83% of Insieme as a result of these investments and have consolidated the results of Insieme in our Consolidated Financial Statements. In connection with this investment, we entered into a put/call option

73

Table of Contents

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

agreement that provided us with the right to purchase the remaining interests in Insieme. In addition, the noncontrolling interest holders could require us to purchase their shares upon the occurrence of certain events. During the first quarter of fiscal 2014, we exercised our call option and entered into an agreement to purchase the remaining interests in Insieme. The acquisition closed in the second quarter of fiscal 2014, at which time the former noncontrolling interest holders became eligible to receive up to two milestone payments, which will be determined using agreed-upon formulas based primarily on revenue for certain of Insieme's products. During the nine months ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015, we recorded compensation expense of \$136 million and \$155 million, respectively, related to the fair value of the vested portion of amounts that were earned or are expected to be earned by the former noncontrolling interest holders. Continued vesting and changes to the fair value of the amounts probable of being earned will result in adjustments to the recorded compensation expense in future periods. Based on the terms of the agreement, we have determined that the maximum amount that could be recorded as compensation expense by us is approximately \$839 million (which includes the \$759 million that has been expensed to date), net of forfeitures. The former noncontrolling interest holders earned the maximum amount related to the first milestone payment and were paid approximately \$375 million for a portion of this amount during the first nine months of fiscal 2016. The balance of the first milestone payment is expected to be paid primarily through the end of fiscal 2016. The second milestone payment, to the extent earned, is expected to be paid primarily during the first half of fiscal 2017.

Other Funding Commitments We also have certain funding commitments primarily related to our investments in privately held companies and venture funds, some of which are based on the achievement of certain agreed-upon milestones, and some of which are required to be funded on demand. The funding commitments were \$199 million as of April 30, 2016, compared with \$205 million as of July 25, 2015.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We consider our investments in unconsolidated variable interest entities to be off-balance sheet arrangements. In the ordinary course of business, we have investments in privately held companies and provide financing to certain customers. These privately held companies and customers may be considered to be variable interest entities. We evaluate on an ongoing basis our investments in these privately held companies and customer financings, and we have determined that as of April 30, 2016 there were no material unconsolidated variable interest entities.

On an ongoing basis, we reassess our investments in privately held companies and customer financings to determine if they are variable interest entities and if we would be regarded as the primary beneficiary pursuant to the applicable accounting guidance. As a result of this ongoing assessment, we may be required to make additional disclosures or consolidate these entities. Because we may not control these entities, we may not have the ability to influence these events.

We provide financing guarantees, which are generally for various third-party financing arrangements extended to our channel partners and end-user customers. We could be called upon to make payments under these guarantees in the event of nonpayment by the channel partners or end-user customers. See the previous discussion of these financing guarantees under "Financing Receivables and Guarantees."

Securities Lending

We periodically engage in securities lending activities with certain of our available for sale investments. These transactions are accounted for as a secured lending of the securities, and the securities are typically loaned only on an overnight basis. The average daily balance of securities lending for the nine months ended April 30, 2016 and April 25, 2015 was \$0.9 billion and \$0.5 billion, respectively. We require collateral equal to at least 102% of the fair market value of the loaned security and that the collateral be in the form of cash or liquid, high-quality assets. We engage in these secured lending transactions only with highly creditworthy counterparties, and the associated portfolio custodian has agreed to indemnify us against collateral losses. As of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015, we had no outstanding securities lending transactions. We believe these arrangements do not present a material risk or impact to our liquidity requirements.

Liquidity and Capital Resource Requirements

Based on past performance and current expectations, we believe our cash and cash equivalents, investments, cash generated from operations, and ability to access capital markets and committed credit lines will satisfy, through at least the next 12 months, our liquidity requirements, both in total and domestically, including the following: working capital needs, capital expenditures, investment requirements, stock repurchases, cash dividends, contractual obligations, commitments, principal and interest payments on debt, future customer financings, and other liquidity requirements associated with our operations. There are no other transactions, arrangements, or relationships with unconsolidated entities or other persons that are reasonably likely to materially affect the liquidity and the availability of, as well as our requirements for, capital resources.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our financial position is exposed to a variety of risks, including interest rate risk, equity price risk, and foreign currency exchange risk.

Interest Rate Risk

Fixed Income Securities We maintain an investment portfolio of various holdings, types, and maturities. Our primary objective for holding fixed income securities is to achieve an appropriate investment return consistent with preserving principal and managing risk. At any time, a sharp rise in market interest rates could have a material adverse impact on the fair value of our fixed income investment portfolio. Conversely, declines in interest rates, including the impact from lower credit spreads, could have a material adverse impact on interest income for our investment portfolio. We may utilize derivative instruments designated as hedging instruments to achieve our investment objectives. We had no outstanding hedging instruments for our fixed income securities as of April 30, 2016. Our fixed income investments are held for purposes other than trading. Our fixed income investments are not leveraged as of April 30, 2016. We monitor our interest rate and credit risks, including our credit exposures to specific rating categories and to individual issuers. As of April 30, 2016, approximately 51% of our fixed income securities balance consisted of U.S. government and U.S. government agency securities. We believe the overall credit quality of our portfolio is strong.

Financing Receivables As of April 30, 2016, our financing receivables had a carrying value of \$8.6 billion, compared with \$8.3 billion as of July 25, 2015. As of April 30, 2016, a hypothetical 50 basis points (“BPS”) increase or decrease in market interest rates would change the fair value of our financing receivables by a decrease or increase of approximately \$0.1 billion, respectively.

Debt As of April 30, 2016, we had \$28.4 billion in principal amount of senior notes outstanding, which consisted of \$3.4 billion floating-rate notes and \$25.0 billion fixed-rate notes. The carrying amount of the senior notes was \$28.6 billion, and the related fair value based on market prices was \$30.6 billion. As of April 30, 2016, a hypothetical 50 BPS increase or decrease in market interest rates would change the fair value of the fixed-rate debt, excluding the \$9.9 billion of hedged debt, by a decrease or increase of approximately \$0.6 billion, respectively. However, this hypothetical change in interest rates would not impact the interest expense on the fixed-rate debt that is not hedged.

Equity Price Risk

The fair value of our equity investments in publicly traded companies is subject to market price volatility. We may hold equity securities for strategic purposes or to diversify our overall investment portfolio. Our equity portfolio consists of securities with characteristics that most closely match the Standard & Poor’s 500 Index or NASDAQ Composite Index. These equity securities are held for purposes other than trading. To manage our exposure to changes in the fair value of certain equity securities, we may enter into equity derivatives designated as hedging instruments.

Publicly Traded Equity Securities The following tables present the hypothetical fair values of publicly traded equity securities as a result of selected potential decreases and increases in the price of each equity security in the portfolio, excluding hedged equity securities, if any. Potential fluctuations in the price of each equity security in the portfolio of plus or minus 10%, 20%, and 30% were selected based on potential near-term changes in those security prices. The hypothetical fair values as of April 30, 2016 and July 25, 2015 are as follows (in millions):

| | VALUATION OF SECURITIES GIVEN AN X% DECREASE IN EACH STOCK’S PRICE | | | FAIR VALUE AS OF APRIL 30, 2016 | VALUATION OF SECURITIES GIVEN AN X% INCREASE IN EACH STOCK’S PRICE | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------|---------|--|---|---------|---------|
| | (30)% | (20)% | (10)% | | 10% | 20% | 30% |
| Publicly traded equity securities | \$1,079 | \$1,234 | \$1,388 | \$ 1,542 | \$1,696 | \$1,850 | \$2,005 |

| | VALUATION OF SECURITIES GIVEN AN X% DECREASE IN | FAIR VALUE AS OF JULY 25, 2015 | VALUATION OF SECURITIES GIVEN AN X% INCREASE IN |
|--|--|---|--|
|--|--|---|--|

Edgar Filing: CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | EACH STOCK'S PRICE | EACH STOCK'S PRICE |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| Publicly traded equity securities | \$30.96 \$20.52 \$10.49 \$ 1,565 | \$0.22 \$0.878 \$0.035 |

75

Table of Contents

Investments in Privately Held Companies We have also invested in privately held companies. These investments are recorded in other assets in our Consolidated Balance Sheets and are accounted for using primarily either the cost or the equity method. As of April 30, 2016, the total carrying amount of our investments in privately held companies was \$976 million, compared with \$897 million at July 25, 2015. Some of the privately held companies in which we invested are in the startup or development stages. These investments are inherently risky because the markets for the technologies or products these companies are developing are typically in the early stages and may never materialize. We could lose our entire investment in these companies. Our evaluation of investments in privately held companies is based on the fundamentals of the businesses invested in, including, among other factors, the nature of their technologies and potential for financial return.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

Our foreign exchange forward and option contracts outstanding as of respective period-ends are summarized in U.S. dollar equivalents as follows (in millions):

| | April 30, 2016 | | July 25, 2015 | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|------------|
| | Notional Amount | Fair Value | Notional Amount | Fair Value |
| Forward contracts: | | | | |
| Purchased | \$2,063 | \$ 7 | \$1,988 | \$ (5) |
| Sold | \$498 | \$ — | \$614 | \$ 2 |
| Option contracts: | | | | |
| Purchased | \$265 | \$ 3 | \$422 | \$ 6 |
| Sold | \$237 | \$ (1) | \$392 | \$ (3) |

We conduct business globally in numerous currencies. The direct effect of foreign currency fluctuations on revenue has not been material because our revenue is primarily denominated in U.S. dollars. However, if the U.S. dollar strengthens relative to other currencies, as was the case during the first nine months of fiscal 2016 on a year-over-year basis, such strengthening could have an indirect effect on our revenue to the extent it raises the cost of our products to non-U.S. customers and thereby reduces demand. A weaker U.S. dollar could have the opposite effect. However, the precise indirect effect of currency fluctuations is difficult to measure or predict because our revenue is influenced by many factors in addition to the impact of such currency fluctuations.

Approximately 70% of our operating expenses are U.S.-dollar denominated. In the first nine months of fiscal 2016, foreign currency fluctuations, net of hedging, decreased our combined R&D, sales and marketing, and G&A expenses by approximately \$481 million, or approximately 3.6%, compared with the first nine months of fiscal 2015. To reduce variability in operating expenses and service cost of sales caused by non-U.S.-dollar denominated operating expenses and costs, we hedge certain forecasted foreign currency transactions with currency options and forward contracts.

These hedging programs are not designed to provide foreign currency protection over long time horizons. In designing a specific hedging approach, we consider several factors, including offsetting exposures, significance of exposures, costs associated with entering into a particular hedge instrument, and potential effectiveness of the hedge. The gains and losses on foreign exchange contracts mitigate the effect of currency movements on our operating expenses and service cost of sales.

We also enter into foreign exchange forward and option contracts to reduce the short-term effects of foreign currency fluctuations on receivables and payables that are denominated in currencies other than the functional currencies of the entities. The market risks associated with these foreign currency receivables, investments, and payables relate primarily to variances from our forecasted foreign currency transactions and balances. Our forward and option contracts generally have the following maturities:

| | Maturities |
|--|-----------------|
| Forward and option contracts—forecasted transactions related to operating expenses and service cost of sales | Up to 18 months |
| Forward contracts—current assets and liabilities | Up to 3 months |
| Forward contracts—net investments in foreign subsidiaries | Up to 6 months |
| Forward contracts—long-term customer financings | Up to 2 years |

We do not enter into foreign exchange forward or option contracts for trading purposes.

76

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. Based on our management's evaluation (with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer), as of the end of the period covered by this report, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the "Exchange Act")) are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in internal control over financial reporting. There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during our third quarter of fiscal 2016 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Brazil Brazilian authorities have investigated our Brazilian subsidiary and certain of its current and former employees, as well as a Brazilian importer of our products, and its affiliates and employees, relating to alleged evasion of import taxes and alleged improper transactions involving the subsidiary and the importer. Brazilian tax authorities have assessed claims against our Brazilian subsidiary based on a theory of joint liability with the Brazilian importer for import taxes, interest, and penalties. In addition to claims asserted by the Brazilian federal tax authorities in prior fiscal years, tax authorities from the Brazilian state of Sao Paulo have asserted similar claims on the same legal basis in prior fiscal years. In the first quarter of fiscal 2013, the Brazilian federal tax authorities asserted an additional claim against our Brazilian subsidiary based on a theory of joint liability with respect to an alleged underpayment of income taxes, social taxes, interest, and penalties by a Brazilian distributor. This claim was dismissed on its merits during the third quarter of fiscal 2016.

The asserted claims by Brazilian federal tax authorities which remain are for calendar years 2003 through 2007, and the asserted claims by the tax authorities from the state of Sao Paulo are for calendar years 2005 through 2007. The total asserted claims by Brazilian state and federal tax authorities aggregate to \$233 million for the alleged evasion of import and other taxes, \$1.2 billion for interest, and \$1.1 billion for various penalties, all determined using an exchange rate as of April 30, 2016. We have completed a thorough review of the matters and believe the asserted claims against our Brazilian subsidiary are without merit, and we are defending the claims vigorously. While we believe there is no legal basis for the alleged liability, due to the complexities and uncertainty surrounding the judicial process in Brazil and the nature of the claims asserting joint liability with the importer, we are unable to determine the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome against our Brazilian subsidiary and are unable to reasonably estimate a range of loss, if any. We do not expect a final judicial determination for several years.

Russia and the Commonwealth of Independent States At the request of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and the U.S. Department of Justice, we are conducting an investigation into allegations which we and those agencies received regarding possible violations of the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act involving business activities of our operations in Russia and certain of the Commonwealth of Independent States, and by certain resellers of our products in those countries. We take any such allegations very seriously and are fully cooperating with and sharing the results of our investigation with the SEC and the Department of Justice. While the outcome of our investigation is currently not determinable, we do not expect that it will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. The countries that are the subject of the investigation collectively comprise less than 2% of our revenues.

Backflip Software Backflip Software, Inc. ("Backflip") has asserted contract, tort, and fraud claims against us in Santa Clara County, California Superior Court. The proceeding was instituted on March 5, 2013. Backflip alleges that we conspired with Backflip's then-CEO to allow us to access and use Backflip's source code via a pre-existing source

code escrow agreement, and that, subsequently, we used that source code in violation of trade secret law and the parties' software license agreement. Backflip has also sued the escrow company, NCC Group, Inc., for breach of contract based on the same allegations. Backflip seeks compensatory and punitive damages. Trial is set for September 12, 2016. We believe that we have strong arguments that we were entitled to the source code under the parties' software license agreement. In addition, if the jury were to find for Backflip on some or all of its claims, we believe that damages would not be material given the minimal value of Backflip and its intellectual property that we are alleged to have misappropriated. However, due to the uncertainty surrounding the litigation process, we are unable to reasonably estimate the ultimate outcome of this litigation at this time.

Table of Contents

SRI International On September 4, 2013, SRI International, Inc. (“SRI”) asserted patent infringement claims against us in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware, accusing our products and services in the area of network intrusion detection of infringing two U.S. patents. SRI sought monetary damages of at least a reasonable royalty and enhanced damages. The trial on these claims began on May 2, 2016 and on May 12, 2016 the jury returned a verdict finding willful infringement of the asserted patents. The jury awarded SRI damages of \$23.7 million and the Court will decide whether to award enhanced damages and attorneys’ fees and whether an ongoing royalty should be awarded through the expiration of the patents in 2018. In June 2016, we plan to file post-trial motions and also intend to pursue an appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit on various grounds. We believe we have strong arguments to overturn the jury verdict and/or reduce the damages award. While the ultimate outcome of the case may still result in a loss, we do not expect it to be material.

SSL SSL Services, LLC (“SSL”) has asserted claims for patent infringement against us in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. The proceeding was instituted on March 25, 2015. SSL alleges that our AnyConnect products that include Virtual Private Networking functions infringed a U.S. patent owned by SSL. SSL seeks money damages from us. A trial is set for September 6, 2016. We believe we have strong arguments that our products do not infringe and the patent is invalid. If a jury were to find that our AnyConnect products infringe and the patent is not invalid, we believe damages, as appropriately measured, would be immaterial. Due to uncertainty surrounding the litigation process, however, we are unable to reasonably estimate the ultimate outcome of this litigation at this time. We also note that on February 23, 2016, a multi-judge panel of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“PTAB”) of the United States Patent and Trademark Office instituted proceedings to review whether the patent SSL has asserted against us is valid over prior art. The PTAB found a reasonable likelihood that we would prevail in showing that the patent claims are unpatentable. The PTAB has scheduled the hearing on our review petition for November 16, 2016.

Kangtega Cisco Systems GmbH (“Cisco GmbH”) is subject to patent claims by Kangtega GmbH (“Kangtega”), instituted on June 6, 2013, alleging that Cisco GmbH infringes in Germany a European Patent by marketing, in Germany, network intrusion-detection, or firewall, products known as the “ASA” firewall offering. On April 29, 2014, the Mannheim Regional Court dismissed the infringement action finding no infringement by Cisco GmbH of the asserted patent. On July 13, 2016, a court of appeal in Germany (Oberlandesgericht Karlsruhe) will hear an appeal of that judgment. Kangtega seeks an injunction which would prohibit Cisco GmbH’s activities in Germany with respect to the ASA firewall offering unless Cisco GmbH takes a license from Kangtega or Cisco redesigns the products. We believe the lower court ruling was correct and should be affirmed. We do not anticipate that the outcome of the case would be material. However, due to uncertainty surrounding the litigation process, we are unable to reasonably estimate the outcome of the appeal and any subsequent appeals to a higher court at this time.

In addition, we are subject to legal proceedings, claims, and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business, including intellectual property litigation. While the outcome of these matters is currently not determinable, we do not expect that the ultimate costs to resolve these matters will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. For additional information regarding intellectual property litigation, see “Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors-We may be found to infringe on intellectual property rights of others” herein.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Set forth below and elsewhere in this report and in other documents we file with the SEC are descriptions of the risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from the results contemplated by the forward-looking statements contained in this report. The descriptions below include any material changes to and supersede the description of the risk factors affecting our business previously disclosed in “Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors” of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 25, 2015.

OUR OPERATING RESULTS MAY FLUCTUATE IN FUTURE PERIODS, WHICH MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR STOCK PRICE

Our operating results have been in the past, and will continue to be, subject to quarterly and annual fluctuations as a result of numerous factors, some of which may contribute to more pronounced fluctuations in an uncertain global economic environment. These factors include:

- Fluctuations in demand for our products and services, especially with respect to telecommunications service providers and Internet businesses, in part due to changes in the global economic environment
- Changes in sales and implementation cycles for our products and reduced visibility into our customers’ spending plans and associated revenue
 - Our ability to maintain appropriate inventory levels and purchase commitments
- Price and product competition in the communications and networking industries, which can change rapidly due to technological innovation and different business models from various geographic regions
- The overall movement toward industry consolidation among both our competitors and our customers
- The introduction and market acceptance of new technologies and products and our success in new and evolving markets, including in our newer product categories such as data center and collaboration and in emerging technologies, as well as the adoption of new standards
- New business models for our offerings, such as XaaS, where costs are borne up front while revenue is recognized over time
- Variations in sales channels, product costs, or mix of products sold
- The timing, size, and mix of orders from customers
- Manufacturing and customer lead times
 - Fluctuations in our gross margins, and the factors that contribute to such fluctuations, as described below

- The ability of our customers, channel partners, contract manufacturers and suppliers to obtain financing or to fund
- capital expenditures, especially during a period of global credit market disruption or in the event of customer, channel partner, contract manufacturer or supplier financial problems
 - Share-based compensation expense
 - Actual events, circumstances, outcomes, and amounts differing from judgments, assumptions, and estimates used in determining the values of certain assets (including the amounts of related valuation allowances), liabilities, and other items reflected in our Consolidated Financial Statements
 - How well we execute on our strategy and operating plans and the impact of changes in our business model that could result in significant restructuring charges
 - Our ability to achieve targeted cost reductions
 - Benefits anticipated from our investments in engineering, sales, service, and marketing
 - Changes in tax laws or accounting rules, or interpretations thereof

Table of Contents

As a consequence, operating results for a particular future period are difficult to predict, and, therefore, prior results are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected in future periods. Any of the foregoing factors, or any other factors discussed elsewhere herein, could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition that could adversely affect our stock price.

OUR OPERATING RESULTS MAY BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY UNFAVORABLE ECONOMIC AND MARKET CONDITIONS AND THE UNCERTAIN GEOPOLITICAL ENVIRONMENT

Challenging economic conditions worldwide have from time to time contributed, and may continue to contribute, to slowdowns in the communications and networking industries at large, as well as in specific segments and markets in which we operate, resulting in:

- Reduced demand for our products as a result of continued constraints on IT-related capital spending by our customers, particularly service providers, and other customer markets as well
- Increased price competition for our products, not only from our competitors but also as a consequence of customers disposing of unutilized products
- Risk of excess and obsolete inventories
- Risk of supply constraints
- Risk of excess facilities and manufacturing capacity
- Higher overhead costs as a percentage of revenue and higher interest expense

The global macroeconomic environment has been challenging and inconsistent. Instability in the global credit markets, the impact of uncertainty regarding global central bank monetary policy, the instability in the geopolitical environment in many parts of the world, the current economic challenges in China, including global economic ramifications of Chinese economic difficulties, and other disruptions may continue to put pressure on global economic conditions. If global economic and market conditions, or economic conditions in key markets, remain uncertain or deteriorate further, we may experience material impacts on our business, operating results, and financial condition. Our operating results in one or more segments may also be affected by uncertain or changing economic conditions particularly germane to that segment or to particular customer markets within that segment. For example, sales in several of our emerging countries decreased in recent periods, including in fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2015, and although we did see improvement in sales in several of our emerging countries in the first nine months of fiscal 2016, we continue to assess the sustainability of improvements in these countries.

In addition, reports of certain intelligence gathering methods of the U.S. government could affect customers' perception of the products of IT companies which design and manufacture products in the United States. Trust and confidence in us as an IT supplier is critical to the development and growth of our markets. Impairment of that trust, or foreign regulatory actions taken in response to reports of certain intelligence gathering methods of the U.S. government, could affect the demand for our products from customers outside of the United States and could have an adverse effect on our operating results.

WE HAVE BEEN INVESTING AND EXPECT TO CONTINUE TO INVEST IN KEY GROWTH AREAS AS WELL AS MAINTAINING LEADERSHIP IN ROUTING, SWITCHING AND SERVICES, AND IF THE RETURN ON THESE INVESTMENTS IS LOWER OR DEVELOPS MORE SLOWLY THAN WE EXPECT, OUR OPERATING RESULTS MAY BE HARMED

We expect to realign and dedicate resources into key growth areas, such as data center virtualization, software, security, and cloud, while also focusing on maintaining leadership in routing, switching and services. However, the return on our investments may be lower, or may develop more slowly, than we expect. If we do not achieve the benefits anticipated from these investments (including if our selection of areas for investment does not play out as we expect), or if the achievement of these benefits is delayed, our operating results may be adversely affected.

OUR REVENUE FOR A PARTICULAR PERIOD IS DIFFICULT TO PREDICT, AND A SHORTFALL IN REVENUE MAY HARM OUR OPERATING RESULTS

As a result of a variety of factors discussed in this report, our revenue for a particular quarter is difficult to predict, especially in light of a challenging and inconsistent global macroeconomic environment and related market uncertainty.

Table of Contents

Our revenue may grow at a slower rate than in past periods, or decline as it did in fiscal 2014 on a year-over-year basis. Our ability to meet financial expectations could also be adversely affected if the nonlinear sales pattern seen in some of our past quarters recurs in future periods. We have experienced periods of time during which shipments have exceeded net bookings or manufacturing issues have delayed shipments, leading to nonlinearity in shipping patterns. In addition to making it difficult to predict revenue for a particular period, nonlinearity in shipping can increase costs, because irregular shipment patterns result in periods of underutilized capacity and periods in which overtime expenses may be incurred, as well as in potential additional inventory management-related costs. In addition, to the extent that manufacturing issues and any related component shortages result in delayed shipments in the future, and particularly in periods in which our contract manufacturers are operating at higher levels of capacity, it is possible that revenue for a quarter could be adversely affected if such matters occur and are not remediated within the same quarter.

The timing of large orders can also have a significant effect on our business and operating results from quarter to quarter, primarily in the United States and in emerging countries. From time to time, we receive large orders that have a significant effect on our operating results in the period in which the order is recognized as revenue. The timing of such orders is difficult to predict, and the timing of revenue recognition from such orders may affect period to period changes in revenue. As a result, our operating results could vary materially from quarter to quarter based on the receipt of such orders and their ultimate recognition as revenue.

Inventory management remains an area of focus. We have experienced longer than normal manufacturing lead times in the past which have caused some customers to place the same order multiple times within our various sales channels and to cancel the duplicative orders upon receipt of the product, or to place orders with other vendors with shorter manufacturing lead times. Such multiple ordering (along with other factors) or risk of order cancellation may cause difficulty in predicting our revenue and, as a result, could impair our ability to manage parts inventory effectively. In addition, our efforts to improve manufacturing lead-time performance may result in corresponding reductions in order backlog. A decline in backlog levels could result in more variability and less predictability in our quarter-to-quarter revenue and operating results. In addition, when facing component supply-related challenges, we have increased our efforts in procuring components in order to meet customer expectations which in turn contribute to an increase in purchase commitments. Increases in our purchase commitments to shorten lead times could also lead to excess and obsolete inventory charges if the demand for our products is less than our expectations.

We plan our operating expense levels based primarily on forecasted revenue levels. These expenses and the impact of long-term commitments are relatively fixed in the short term. A shortfall in revenue could lead to operating results being below expectations because we may not be able to quickly reduce these fixed expenses in response to short-term business changes.

Any of the above factors could have a material adverse impact on our operations and financial results.

WE EXPECT GROSS MARGIN TO VARY OVER TIME, AND OUR LEVEL OF PRODUCT GROSS MARGIN MAY NOT BE SUSTAINABLE

Although our product gross margin increased in the first nine months of fiscal 2016, our level of product gross margins have declined in certain prior periods and could decline in future quarters due to adverse impacts from various factors, including:

- Changes in customer, geographic, or product mix, including mix of configurations within each product group
 - Introduction of new products, including products with price-performance advantages, and new business models for our offerings such as XaaS
- Our ability to reduce production costs
 - Entry into new markets or growth in lower margin markets, including markets with different pricing and cost structures, through acquisitions or internal development
- Sales discounts
 - Increases in material, labor or other manufacturing-related costs, which could be significant especially during periods of supply constraints
- Excess inventory and inventory holding charges
- Obsolescence charges
- Changes in shipment volume

Table of Contents

- The timing of revenue recognition and revenue deferrals
 - Increased cost, loss of cost savings or dilution of savings due to changes in component pricing or charges incurred
- due to inventory holding periods if parts ordering does not correctly anticipate product demand or if the financial health of either contract manufacturers or suppliers deteriorates
- Lower than expected benefits from value engineering
- Increased price competition, including competitors from Asia, especially from China
- Changes in distribution channels
- Increased warranty costs
- Increased amortization of purchased intangible assets, especially from acquisitions
- How well we execute on our strategy and operating plans

Changes in service gross margin may result from various factors such as changes in the mix between technical support services and advanced services, as well as the timing of technical support service contract initiations and renewals and the addition of personnel and other resources to support higher levels of service business in future periods.

SALES TO THE SERVICE PROVIDER MARKET ARE ESPECIALLY VOLATILE, AND WEAKNESS IN SALES ORDERS FROM THIS INDUSTRY MAY HARM OUR OPERATING RESULTS AND FINANCIAL CONDITION

Sales to the service provider market have been characterized by large and sporadic purchases, especially relating to our router sales and sales of certain products in our newer product categories such as Data Center, Collaboration, and Service Provider Video, in addition to longer sales cycles. Sales to the service provider market decreased in the first and third quarters of fiscal 2016, and at various times in the past, including in fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2015, we experienced significant weakness in sales to service providers. We could again experience declines in sales to the service provider market and, as has been the case in the past, such sales weakness could persist over extended periods of time given fluctuating market conditions. Sales activity in this industry depends upon the stage of completion of expanding network infrastructures; the availability of funding; and the extent to which service providers are affected by regulatory, economic, and business conditions in the country of operations. Weakness in orders from this industry, including as a result of any slowdown in capital expenditures by service providers (which may be more prevalent during a global economic downturn or periods of economic uncertainty), could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, and financial condition. Such slowdowns may continue or recur in future periods. Orders from this industry could decline for many reasons other than the competitiveness of our products and services within their respective markets. For example, in the past, many of our service provider customers have been materially and adversely affected by slowdowns in the general economy, by overcapacity, by changes in the service provider market, by regulatory developments, and by constraints on capital availability, resulting in business failures and substantial reductions in spending and expansion plans. These conditions have materially harmed our business and operating results in the past, and some of these or other conditions in the service provider market could affect our business and operating results in any future period. Finally, service provider customers typically have longer implementation cycles; require a broader range of services, including design services; demand that vendors take on a larger share of risks; often require acceptance provisions, which can lead to a delay in revenue recognition; and expect financing from vendors. All these factors can add further risk to business conducted with service providers.

DISRUPTION OF OR CHANGES IN OUR DISTRIBUTION MODEL COULD HARM OUR SALES AND MARGINS

If we fail to manage distribution of our products and services properly, or if our distributors' financial condition or operations weaken, our revenue and gross margins could be adversely affected.

A substantial portion of our products and services is sold through our channel partners, and the remainder is sold through direct sales. Our channel partners include systems integrators, service providers, other resellers, and distributors. Systems integrators and service providers typically sell directly to end users and often provide system installation, technical support, professional services, and other support services in addition to network equipment sales. Systems integrators also typically integrate our products into an overall solution, and a number of service providers are also systems integrators. Distributors stock inventory and typically sell to systems integrators, service providers, and other resellers. We refer to sales through distributors as our two-tier system of sales to the end

customer. Revenue from distributors is generally recognized based on a sell-through method using information provided by them. These distributors are generally given business terms that allow them to return a portion of inventory, receive credits for changes in selling prices, and participate in various cooperative marketing programs. If sales through indirect channels

82

Table of Contents

increase, this may lead to greater difficulty in forecasting the mix of our products and, to a degree, the timing of orders from our customers.

Historically, we have seen fluctuations in our gross margins based on changes in the balance of our distribution channels. Although variability to date has not been significant, there can be no assurance that changes in the balance of our distribution model in future periods would not have an adverse effect on our gross margins and profitability. Some factors could result in disruption of or changes in our distribution model, which could harm our sales and margins, including the following:

- We compete with some of our channel partners, including through our direct sales, which may lead these channel partners to use other suppliers that do not directly sell their own products or otherwise compete with them
- Some of our channel partners may demand that we absorb a greater share of the risks that their customers may ask them to bear
- Some of our channel partners may have insufficient financial resources and may not be able to withstand changes and challenges in business conditions
- Revenue from indirect sales could suffer if our distributors' financial condition or operations weaken

In addition, we depend on our channel partners globally to comply with applicable regulatory requirements. To the extent that they fail to do so, that could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, and financial condition. Further, sales of our products outside of agreed territories can result in disruption to our distribution channels.

THE MARKETS IN WHICH WE COMPETE ARE INTENSELY COMPETITIVE, WHICH COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR ACHIEVEMENT OF REVENUE GROWTH

The markets in which we compete are characterized by rapid change, converging technologies, and a migration to networking and communications solutions that offer relative advantages. These market factors represent a competitive threat to us. We compete with numerous vendors in each product category. The overall number of our competitors providing niche product solutions may increase. Also, the identity and composition of competitors may change as we increase our activity in newer product categories such as data center and collaboration and in key growth areas. For example, as products related to network programmability, such as software-defined-networking products, become more prevalent, we expect to face increased competition from companies that develop networking products based on commoditized hardware, referred to as "white box" hardware, to the extent customers decide to purchase those product offerings instead of ours. In addition, the growth in demand for technology delivered as a service enables new competitors to enter the market.

As we continue to expand globally, we may see new competition in different geographic regions. In particular, we have experienced price-focused competition from competitors in Asia, especially from China, and we anticipate this will continue. Our competitors include Amazon Web Services LLC; Arista Networks, Inc.; ARRIS Group, Inc.; Avaya Inc.; Blue Jeans Networks, Brocade Communications Systems, Inc.; Check Point Software Technologies Ltd.; Citrix Systems, Inc.; Dell Inc.; LM Ericsson Telephone Company; Extreme Networks, Inc.; F5 Networks, Inc.; FireEye, Inc.; Fortinet, Inc.; Hewlett-Packard Enterprise Company; Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd.; International Business Machines Corporation; Juniper Networks, Inc.; Lenovo Group Limited; Microsoft Corporation; Nokia Corporation; Palo Alto Networks, Inc.; Polycom, Inc.; Riverbed Technology, Inc.; Ruckus Wireless, Inc.; Symantec Corporation; Ubiquiti Networks and VMware, Inc.; among others.

Some of these companies compete across many of our product lines, while others are primarily focused in a specific product area. Barriers to entry are relatively low, and new ventures to create products that do or could compete with our products are regularly formed. In addition, some of our competitors may have greater resources, including technical and engineering resources, than we do. As we expand into new markets, we will face competition not only from our existing competitors but also from other competitors, including existing companies with strong technological, marketing, and sales positions in those markets. We also sometimes face competition from resellers and distributors of our products. Companies with which we have strategic alliances in some areas may be competitors in other areas, and in our view this trend may increase.

For example, the enterprise data center is undergoing a fundamental transformation arising from the convergence of technologies, including computing, networking, storage, and software, that previously were segregated. Due to several

factors, including the availability of highly scalable and general purpose microprocessors, application-specific integrated circuits (ASICs) offering advanced services, standards based protocols, cloud computing and virtualization, the convergence of technologies within the enterprise data center is spanning multiple, previously independent, technology segments. Also, some of our current and potential competitors for enterprise data center business have made acquisitions, or announced new strategic alliances, designed to position them to provide end-to-end technology solutions for the enterprise data center. As a result of all of these developments, we face

83

Table of Contents

greater competition in the development and sale of enterprise data center technologies, including competition from entities that are among our long-term strategic alliance partners. Companies that are strategic alliance partners in some areas of our business may acquire or form alliances with our competitors, thereby reducing their business with us. The principal competitive factors in the markets in which we presently compete and may compete in the future include:

- The ability to provide a broad range of networking and communications products and services
- Product performance
- Price
- The ability to introduce new products, including products with price-performance advantages
- The ability to reduce production costs
 - The ability to provide value-added features such as security, reliability, and investment protection
- Conformance to standards
- Market presence
- The ability to provide financing
- Disruptive technology shifts and new business models

We also face competition from customers to which we license or supply technology and suppliers from which we transfer technology. The inherent nature of networking requires interoperability. As such, we must cooperate and at the same time compete with many companies. Any inability to effectively manage these complicated relationships with customers, suppliers, and strategic alliance partners could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, and financial condition and accordingly affect our chances of success.

OUR INVENTORY MANAGEMENT RELATING TO OUR SALES TO OUR TWO-TIER DISTRIBUTION CHANNEL IS COMPLEX, AND EXCESS INVENTORY MAY HARM OUR GROSS MARGINS

We must manage our inventory relating to sales to our distributors effectively, because inventory held by them could affect our results of operations. Our distributors may increase orders during periods of product shortages, cancel orders if their inventory is too high, or delay orders in anticipation of new products. They also may adjust their orders in response to the supply of our products and the products of our competitors that are available to them, and in response to seasonal fluctuations in end-user demand. Revenue to our distributors generally is recognized based on a sell-through method using information provided by them, and they are generally given business terms that allow them to return a portion of inventory, receive credits for changes in selling price, and participate in various cooperative marketing programs. Inventory management remains an area of focus as we balance the need to maintain strategic inventory levels to ensure competitive lead times against the risk of inventory obsolescence because of rapidly changing technology and customer requirements. When facing component supply-related challenges, we have increased our efforts in procuring components in order to meet customer expectations. If we ultimately determine that we have excess inventory, we may have to reduce our prices and write down inventory, which in turn could result in lower gross margins.

Table of Contents

SUPPLY CHAIN ISSUES, INCLUDING FINANCIAL PROBLEMS OF CONTRACT MANUFACTURERS OR COMPONENT SUPPLIERS, OR A SHORTAGE OF ADEQUATE COMPONENT SUPPLY OR MANUFACTURING CAPACITY THAT INCREASED OUR COSTS OR CAUSED A DELAY IN OUR ABILITY TO FULFILL ORDERS, COULD HAVE AN ADVERSE IMPACT ON OUR BUSINESS AND OPERATING RESULTS, AND OUR FAILURE TO ESTIMATE CUSTOMER DEMAND PROPERLY MAY RESULT IN EXCESS OR OBSOLETE COMPONENT SUPPLY, WHICH COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR GROSS MARGINS

The fact that we do not own or operate the bulk of our manufacturing facilities and that we are reliant on our extended supply chain could have an adverse impact on the supply of our products and on our business and operating results:

- Any financial problems of either contract manufacturers or component suppliers could either limit supply or increase costs
- Reservation of manufacturing capacity at our contract manufacturers by other companies, inside or outside of our industry, could either limit supply or increase costs
- Industry consolidation occurring within one or more component supplier markets, such as the semiconductor market, could either limit supply or increase costs

A reduction or interruption in supply; a significant increase in the price of one or more components; a failure to adequately authorize procurement of inventory by our contract manufacturers; a failure to appropriately cancel, reschedule, or adjust our requirements based on our business needs; or a decrease in demand for our products could materially adversely affect our business, operating results, and financial condition and could materially damage customer relationships. Furthermore, as a result of binding price or purchase commitments with suppliers, we may be obligated to purchase components at prices that are higher than those available in the current market. In the event that we become committed to purchase components at prices in excess of the current market price when the components are actually used, our gross margins could decrease. We have experienced longer than normal lead times in the past. Although we have generally secured additional supply or taken other mitigation actions when significant disruptions have occurred, if similar situations occur in the future, they could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition. See the risk factor above entitled “Our revenue for a particular period is difficult to predict, and a shortfall in revenue may harm our operating results.”

Our growth and ability to meet customer demands depend in part on our ability to obtain timely deliveries of parts from our suppliers and contract manufacturers. We have experienced component shortages in the past, including shortages caused by manufacturing process issues, that have affected our operations. We may in the future experience a shortage of certain component parts as a result of our own manufacturing issues, manufacturing issues at our suppliers or contract manufacturers, capacity problems experienced by our suppliers or contract manufacturers including capacity or cost problems resulting from industry consolidation, or strong demand in the industry for those parts. Growth in the economy is likely to create greater pressures on us and our suppliers to accurately project overall component demand and component demands within specific product categories and to establish optimal component levels and manufacturing capacity, especially for labor-intensive components, components for which we purchase a substantial portion of the supply, or the re-ramping of manufacturing capacity for highly complex products. During periods of shortages or delays the price of components may increase, or the components may not be available at all, and we may also encounter shortages if we do not accurately anticipate our needs. We may not be able to secure enough components at reasonable prices or of acceptable quality to build new products in a timely manner in the quantities or configurations needed. Accordingly, our revenue and gross margins could suffer until other sources can be developed. Our operating results would also be adversely affected if, anticipating greater demand than actually develops, we commit to the purchase of more components than we need, which is more likely to occur in a period of demand uncertainties such as we are currently experiencing. There can be no assurance that we will not encounter these problems in the future. Although in many cases we use standard parts and components for our products, certain components are presently available only from a single source or limited sources, and a global economic downturn and related market uncertainty could negatively impact the availability of components from one or more of these sources, especially during times such as we have recently seen when there are supplier constraints based on labor and other actions taken during economic downturns. We may not be able to diversify sources in a timely manner, which could

harm our ability to deliver products to customers and seriously impact present and future sales.

85

Table of Contents

We believe that we may be faced with the following challenges in the future:

- New markets in which we participate may grow quickly, which may make it difficult to quickly obtain significant component capacity
- As we acquire companies and new technologies, we may be dependent, at least initially, on unfamiliar supply chains or relatively small supply partners
- We face competition for certain components that are supply-constrained, from existing competitors, and companies in other markets

Manufacturing capacity and component supply constraints could continue to be significant issues for us. We purchase components from a variety of suppliers and use several contract manufacturers to provide manufacturing services for our products. During the normal course of business, in order to improve manufacturing lead-time performance and to help ensure adequate component supply, we enter into agreements with contract manufacturers and suppliers that either allow them to procure inventory based upon criteria as defined by us or that establish the parameters defining our requirements. In certain instances, these agreements allow us the option to cancel, reschedule, and adjust our requirements based on our business needs prior to firm orders being placed. When facing component supply-related challenges, we have increased our efforts in procuring components in order to meet customer expectations which in turn contributes to an increase in purchase commitments. Increases in our purchase commitments to shorten lead times could also lead to excess and obsolete inventory charges if the demand for our products is less than our expectations. If we fail to anticipate customer demand properly, an oversupply of parts could result in excess or obsolete components that could adversely affect our gross margins. For additional information regarding our purchase commitments with contract manufacturers and suppliers, see Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

WE DEPEND UPON THE DEVELOPMENT OF NEW PRODUCTS AND ENHANCEMENTS TO EXISTING PRODUCTS, AND IF WE FAIL TO PREDICT AND RESPOND TO EMERGING TECHNOLOGICAL TRENDS AND CUSTOMERS' CHANGING NEEDS, OUR OPERATING RESULTS AND MARKET SHARE MAY SUFFER

The markets for our products are characterized by rapidly changing technology, evolving industry standards, new product introductions, and evolving methods of building and operating networks. Our operating results depend on our ability to develop and introduce new products into existing and emerging markets and to reduce the production costs of existing products. Many of our strategic initiatives and investments we have made, and our architectural approach, are designed to enable the increased use of the network as the platform for automating, orchestrating, integrating, and delivering an ever-increasing array of IT-based products and services. For example, several years ago we launched our Cisco Unified Computing System (UCS), our next-generation enterprise data center platform architected to unite computing, network, storage access and virtualization resources in a single system, which is designed to address the fundamental transformation occurring in the enterprise data center. While our Cisco UCS offering remains a significant focus area for us, several market transitions are also shaping our strategies and investments.

One such market transition we are focusing on is the move towards more programmable, flexible and virtual networks. In our view, this evolution is in its very early stages, and we believe the successful products and solutions in this market will combine application-specific integrated circuits (ASICs), hardware and software elements together. Other examples include our focus on the market transition to the Internet of Everything (IoE), a potentially significant transition in the IT industry, and a transition in cloud where we are architecting the Cisco Intercloud solution.

The process of developing new technology, including technology related to more programmable, flexible and virtual networks and technology related to other market transitions, including IoE and cloud, is complex and uncertain, and if we fail to accurately predict customers' changing needs and emerging technological trends our business could be harmed. We must commit significant resources, including the investments we have been making in our priorities to developing new products before knowing whether our investments will result in products the market will accept. In particular, if our model of the evolution of networking does not emerge as we believe it will, or if the industry does not evolve as we believe it will, or if our strategy for addressing this evolution is not successful, many of our strategic initiatives and investments may be of no or limited value. For example, if we do not introduce products related to network programmability, such as software-defined-networking products, in a timely fashion, or if product offerings in this market that ultimately succeed are based on technology, or an approach to technology, that differs from ours, such as, for example, networking products based on "white box" hardware, our business could be harmed. Similarly, our

business could be harmed if we fail to develop, or fail to develop in a timely fashion, offerings to address other transitions, or if the offerings addressing these other transitions that ultimately succeed are based on technology, or an approach to technology, different from ours.

Our strategy is to lead our customers in their digital transition with solutions including pervasive, industry-leading security that intelligently connect nearly everything that can be connected. Over the last few years, we have been transforming our business to move from selling individual products and services to selling products and services integrated into architectures and solutions. As a part of this transformation, we continue to make changes to how we are organized and how we build and deliver our technology.

Table of Contents

If our strategy for addressing our customer needs, or the architectures and solutions we develop do not meet those needs, or the changes we are making in how we are organized and how we build and deliver or technology is incorrect or ineffective, our business could be harmed.

Furthermore, we may not execute successfully on our vision or strategy because of challenges with regard to product planning and timing, technical hurdles that we fail to overcome in a timely fashion, or a lack of appropriate resources. This could result in competitors, some of which may also be our strategic alliance partners, providing those solutions before we do and loss of market share, revenue, and earnings. In addition, the growth in demand for technology delivered as a service enables new competitors to enter the market. The success of new products depends on several factors, including proper new product definition, component costs, timely completion and introduction of these products, differentiation of new products from those of our competitors, and market acceptance of these products. There can be no assurance that we will successfully identify new product opportunities, develop and bring new products to market in a timely manner, or achieve market acceptance of our products or that products and technologies developed by others will not render our products or technologies obsolete or noncompetitive. The products and technologies in our other product categories and key growth areas may not prove to have the market success we anticipate, and we may not successfully identify and invest in other emerging or new products.

CHANGES IN INDUSTRY STRUCTURE AND MARKET CONDITIONS COULD LEAD TO CHARGES RELATED TO DISCONTINUANCES OF CERTAIN OF OUR PRODUCTS OR BUSINESSES, ASSET IMPAIRMENTS AND WORKFORCE REDUCTIONS OR RESTRUCTURINGS

In response to changes in industry and market conditions, we may be required to strategically realign our resources and to consider restructuring, disposing of, or otherwise exiting businesses. Any resource realignment, or decision to limit investment in or dispose of or otherwise exit businesses, may result in the recording of special charges, such as inventory and technology-related write-offs, workforce reduction or restructuring costs, charges relating to consolidation of excess facilities, or claims from third parties who were resellers or users of discontinued products. Our estimates with respect to the useful life or ultimate recoverability of our carrying basis of assets, including purchased intangible assets, could change as a result of such assessments and decisions. Although in certain instances our supply agreements allow us the option to cancel, reschedule, and adjust our requirements based on our business needs prior to firm orders being placed, our loss contingencies may include liabilities for contracts that we cannot cancel with contract manufacturers and suppliers. Further, our estimates relating to the liabilities for excess facilities are affected by changes in real estate market conditions. Additionally, we are required to perform goodwill impairment tests on an annual basis and between annual tests in certain circumstances, and future goodwill impairment tests may result in a charge to earnings.

In August 2014, as part of our strategy of continuing to invest in growth, innovation and talent, while also managing costs and driving efficiencies, we announced a restructuring plan. We began taking action under this plan in the first quarter of fiscal 2015 and the plan has been substantially completed. The implementation of this restructuring plan may be disruptive to our business, and following completion of the restructuring plan our business may not be more efficient or effective than prior to implementation of the plan. Our restructuring activities, including any related charges and the impact of the related headcount restructurings, could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, and financial condition.

OVER THE LONG TERM WE INTEND TO INVEST IN ENGINEERING, SALES, SERVICE AND MARKETING ACTIVITIES, AND THESE INVESTMENTS MAY ACHIEVE DELAYED, OR LOWER THAN EXPECTED, BENEFITS WHICH COULD HARM OUR OPERATING RESULTS

While we intend to focus on managing our costs and expenses, over the long term, we also intend to invest in personnel and other resources related to our engineering, sales, service and marketing functions as we realign and dedicate resources on key growth areas, such as data center virtualization, software, security, and cloud, and we also intend to focus on maintaining leadership in routing, switching and services. We are likely to recognize the costs associated with these investments earlier than some of the anticipated benefits, and the return on these investments may be lower, or may develop more slowly, than we expect. If we do not achieve the benefits anticipated from these investments, or if the achievement of these benefits is delayed, our operating results may be adversely affected.

OUR BUSINESS SUBSTANTIALLY DEPENDS UPON THE CONTINUED GROWTH OF THE INTERNET AND INTERNET-BASED SYSTEMS

A substantial portion of our business and revenue depends on growth and evolution of the Internet, including the continued development of the Internet and the anticipated transition to IoE, and on the deployment of our products by customers who depend on such continued growth and evolution. To the extent that an economic slowdown or uncertainty and related reduction in capital spending adversely affect spending on Internet infrastructure, including spending or investment related to IoE, we could experience material harm to our business, operating results, and financial condition.

Because of the rapid introduction of new products and changing customer requirements related to matters such as cost-effectiveness and security, we believe that there could be performance problems with Internet communications in the future, which could receive

Table of Contents

a high degree of publicity and visibility. Because we are a large supplier of networking products, our business, operating results, and financial condition may be materially adversely affected, regardless of whether or not these problems are due to the performance of our own products. Such an event could also result in a material adverse effect on the market price of our common stock independent of direct effects on our business.

WE HAVE MADE AND EXPECT TO CONTINUE TO MAKE ACQUISITIONS THAT COULD DISRUPT OUR OPERATIONS AND HARM OUR OPERATING RESULTS

Our growth depends upon market growth, our ability to enhance our existing products, and our ability to introduce new products on a timely basis. We intend to continue to address the need to develop new products and enhance existing products through acquisitions of other companies, product lines, technologies, and personnel. Acquisitions involve numerous risks, including the following:

- Difficulties in integrating the operations, systems, technologies, products, and personnel of the acquired companies, particularly companies with large and widespread operations and/or complex products
- Diversion of management's attention from normal daily operations of the business and the challenges of managing larger and more widespread operations resulting from acquisitions
- Potential difficulties in completing projects associated with in-process research and development intangibles
- Difficulties in entering markets in which we have no or limited direct prior experience and where competitors in such markets have stronger market positions
- Initial dependence on unfamiliar supply chains or relatively small supply partners
- Insufficient revenue to offset increased expenses associated with acquisitions
- The potential loss of key employees, customers, distributors, vendors and other business partners of the companies we acquire following and continuing after announcement of acquisition plans

Acquisitions may also cause us to:

- Issue common stock that would dilute our current shareholders' percentage ownership
- Use a substantial portion of our cash resources, or incur debt, as we did in fiscal 2006 when we issued and sold \$6.5 billion in senior unsecured notes to fund our acquisition of Scientific-Atlanta
- Significantly increase our interest expense, leverage and debt service requirements if we incur additional debt to pay for an acquisition
- Assume liabilities
- Record goodwill and intangible assets that are subject to impairment testing on a regular basis and potential periodic impairment charges
- Incur amortization expenses related to certain intangible assets
- Incur tax expenses related to the effect of acquisitions on our intercompany R&D cost sharing arrangement and legal structure
- Incur large and immediate write-offs and restructuring and other related expenses
- Become subject to intellectual property or other litigation

Mergers and acquisitions of high-technology companies are inherently risky and subject to many factors outside of our control, and no assurance can be given that our previous or future acquisitions will be successful and will not materially adversely affect our business, operating results, or financial condition. Failure to manage and successfully integrate acquisitions could materially harm our business and operating results. Prior acquisitions have resulted in a wide range of outcomes, from successful introduction of new products and technologies to a failure to do so. Even when an acquired company has already developed and marketed

Table of Contents

products, there can be no assurance that product enhancements will be made in a timely fashion or that pre-acquisition due diligence will have identified all possible issues that might arise with respect to such products.

From time to time, we have made acquisitions that resulted in charges in an individual quarter. These charges may occur in any particular quarter, resulting in variability in our quarterly earnings. In addition, our effective tax rate for future periods is uncertain and could be impacted by mergers and acquisitions. Risks related to new product development also apply to acquisitions. See the risk factors above, including the risk factor entitled “We depend upon the development of new products and enhancements to existing products, and if we fail to predict and respond to emerging technological trends and customers’ changing needs, our operating results and market share may suffer” for additional information.

ENTRANCE INTO NEW OR DEVELOPING MARKETS EXPOSES US TO ADDITIONAL COMPETITION AND WILL LIKELY INCREASE DEMANDS ON OUR SERVICE AND SUPPORT OPERATIONS

As we focus on new market opportunities and key growth areas, we will increasingly compete with large telecommunications equipment suppliers as well as startup companies. Several of our competitors may have greater resources, including technical and engineering resources, than we do. Additionally, as customers in these markets complete infrastructure deployments, they may require greater levels of service, support, and financing than we have provided in the past, especially in emerging countries. Demand for these types of service, support, or financing contracts may increase in the future. There can be no assurance that we can provide products, service, support, and financing to effectively compete for these market opportunities.

Further, provision of greater levels of services, support and financing by us may result in a delay in the timing of revenue recognition. In addition, entry into other markets has subjected and will subject us to additional risks, particularly to those markets, including the effects of general market conditions and reduced consumer confidence.

For example, as we add direct selling capabilities globally to meet changing customer demands, we will face increased legal and regulatory requirements.

INDUSTRY CONSOLIDATION MAY LEAD TO INCREASED COMPETITION AND MAY HARM OUR OPERATING RESULTS

There has been a trend toward industry consolidation in our markets for several years. We expect this trend to continue as companies attempt to strengthen or hold their market positions in an evolving industry and as companies are acquired or are unable to continue operations. For example, some of our current and potential competitors for enterprise data center business have made acquisitions, or announced new strategic alliances, designed to position them with the ability to provide end-to-end technology solutions for the enterprise data center. Companies that are strategic alliance partners in some areas of our business may acquire or form alliances with our competitors, thereby reducing their business with us. We believe that industry consolidation may result in stronger competitors that are better able to compete as sole-source vendors for customers. This could lead to more variability in our operating results and could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, and financial condition.

Furthermore, particularly in the service provider market, rapid consolidation will lead to fewer customers, with the effect that loss of a major customer could have a material impact on results not anticipated in a customer marketplace composed of more numerous participants.

PRODUCT QUALITY PROBLEMS COULD LEAD TO REDUCED REVENUE, GROSS MARGINS, AND NET INCOME

We produce highly complex products that incorporate leading-edge technology, including both hardware and software. Software typically contains bugs that can unexpectedly interfere with expected operations. There can be no assurance that our pre-shipment testing programs will be adequate to detect all defects, either ones in individual products or ones that could affect numerous shipments, which might interfere with customer satisfaction, reduce sales opportunities, or affect gross margins. From time to time, we have had to replace certain components and provide remediation in response to the discovery of defects or bugs in products that we had shipped. There can be no assurance that such remediation, depending on the product involved, would not have a material impact. An inability to cure a product defect could result in the failure of a product line, temporary or permanent withdrawal from a product or market, damage to our reputation, inventory costs, or product reengineering expenses, any of which could have a

material impact on our revenue, margins, and net income. For example, in the second quarter of fiscal 2014, we recorded a pre-tax charge of \$655 million related to the expected remediation costs for certain products sold in prior fiscal years containing memory components manufactured by a single supplier between 2005 and 2010. The corresponding liability was reduced by \$164 million and \$74 million related to adjustments recorded in the third quarter of fiscal 2015 and 2016, respectively.

Table of Contents

DUE TO THE GLOBAL NATURE OF OUR OPERATIONS, POLITICAL OR ECONOMIC CHANGES OR OTHER FACTORS IN A SPECIFIC COUNTRY OR REGION COULD HARM OUR OPERATING RESULTS AND FINANCIAL CONDITION

We conduct significant sales and customer support operations in countries around the world. As such, our growth depends in part on our increasing sales into emerging countries. We also depend on non-U.S. operations of our contract manufacturers, component suppliers and distribution partners. Although sales in several of our emerging countries decreased in recent periods, including in fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2015, several of our emerging countries generally have been relatively fast growing, and we have announced plans to expand our commitments and expectations in certain of those countries. Although we saw positive business momentum in certain emerging countries during the first nine months of fiscal 2016, we continue to assess the sustainability of improvements in these countries. Our future results could be materially adversely affected by a variety of political, economic or other factors relating to our operations inside and outside the United States, including impacts from global central bank monetary policy; issues related to the political relationship between the United States and other countries that can affect the willingness of customers in those countries to purchase products from companies headquartered in the United States; and the challenging and inconsistent global macroeconomic environment, any or all of which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition, including, among others, the following:

- Foreign currency exchange rates
- Political or social unrest

Economic instability or weakness or natural disasters in a specific country or region, including the current economic challenges in China and global economic ramifications of Chinese economic difficulties; environmental and trade

- protection measures and other legal and regulatory requirements, some of which may affect our ability to import our products, to export our products from, or sell our products in various countries

- Political considerations that affect service provider and government spending patterns
- Health or similar issues, such as a pandemic or epidemic
- Difficulties in staffing and managing international operations
- Adverse tax consequences, including imposition of withholding or other taxes on our global operations

WE ARE EXPOSED TO THE CREDIT RISK OF SOME OF OUR CUSTOMERS AND TO CREDIT EXPOSURES IN WEAKENED MARKETS, WHICH COULD RESULT IN MATERIAL LOSSES

Most of our sales are on an open credit basis, with typical payment terms of 30 days in the United States and, because of local customs or conditions, longer in some markets outside the United States. We monitor individual customer payment capability in granting such open credit arrangements, seek to limit such open credit to amounts we believe the customers can pay, and maintain reserves we believe are adequate to cover exposure for doubtful accounts.

Beyond our open credit arrangements, we have also experienced demands for customer financing and facilitation of leasing arrangements. We expect demand for customer financing to continue, and recently we have been experiencing an increase in this demand as the credit markets have been impacted by the challenging and inconsistent global macroeconomic environment, including increased demand from customers in certain emerging countries.

We believe customer financing is a competitive factor in obtaining business, particularly in serving customers involved in significant infrastructure projects. Our loan financing arrangements may include not only financing the acquisition of our products and services but also providing additional funds for other costs associated with network installation and integration of our products and services.

Our exposure to the credit risks relating to our financing activities described above may increase if our customers are adversely affected by a global economic downturn or periods of economic uncertainty. Although we have programs in place that are designed to monitor and mitigate the associated risk, including monitoring of particular risks in certain geographic areas, there can be no assurance that such programs will be effective in reducing our credit risks.

In the past, there have been significant bankruptcies among customers both on open credit and with loan or lease financing arrangements, particularly among Internet businesses and service providers, causing us to incur economic or financial losses. There can be no assurance that additional losses will not be incurred. Although these losses have not been material to date, future losses, if incurred, could harm our business and have a material adverse effect on our

operating results and financial condition. A portion of our sales is derived through our distributors. These distributors are generally given business terms that allow them to return a

90

Table of Contents

portion of inventory, receive credits for changes in selling prices, and participate in various cooperative marketing programs. We maintain estimated accruals and allowances for such business terms. However, distributors tend to have more limited financial resources than other resellers and end-user customers and therefore represent potential sources of increased credit risk, because they may be more likely to lack the reserve resources to meet payment obligations. Additionally, to the degree that turmoil in the credit markets makes it more difficult for some customers to obtain financing, those customers' ability to pay could be adversely impacted, which in turn could have a material adverse impact on our business, operating results, and financial condition.

WE ARE EXPOSED TO FLUCTUATIONS IN THE MARKET VALUES OF OUR PORTFOLIO INVESTMENTS AND IN INTEREST RATES; IMPAIRMENT OF OUR INVESTMENTS COULD HARM OUR EARNINGS

We maintain an investment portfolio of various holdings, types, and maturities. These securities are generally classified as available-for-sale and, consequently, are recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value with unrealized gains or losses reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax. Our portfolio includes fixed income securities and equity investments in publicly traded companies, the values of which are subject to market price volatility to the extent unhedged. If such investments suffer market price declines, as we experienced with some of our investments in the past, we may recognize in earnings the decline in the fair value of our investments below their cost basis when the decline is judged to be other than temporary. For information regarding the sensitivity of and risks associated with the market value of portfolio investments and interest rates, refer to the section titled "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk." Our investments in private companies are subject to risk of loss of investment capital. These investments are inherently risky because the markets for the technologies or products they have under development are typically in the early stages and may never materialize. We could lose our entire investment in these companies.

WE ARE EXPOSED TO FLUCTUATIONS IN CURRENCY EXCHANGE RATES THAT COULD NEGATIVELY IMPACT OUR FINANCIAL RESULTS AND CASH FLOWS

Because a significant portion of our business is conducted outside the United States, we face exposure to adverse movements in foreign currency exchange rates. These exposures may change over time as business practices evolve, and they could have a material adverse impact on our financial results and cash flows. Historically, our primary exposures have related to nondollar-denominated sales in Japan, Canada, and Australia and certain nondollar-denominated operating expenses and service cost of sales in Europe, Latin America, and Asia, where we sell primarily in U.S. dollars. Additionally, we have exposures to emerging market currencies, which can have extreme currency volatility. An increase in the value of the dollar could increase the real cost to our customers of our products in those markets outside the United States where we sell in dollars, and a weakened dollar could increase the cost of local operating expenses and procurement of raw materials to the extent that we must purchase components in foreign currencies.

Currently, we enter into foreign exchange forward contracts and options to reduce the short-term impact of foreign currency fluctuations on certain foreign currency receivables, investments, and payables. In addition, we periodically hedge anticipated foreign currency cash flows. Our attempts to hedge against these risks may result in an adverse impact on our net income.

OUR PROPRIETARY RIGHTS MAY PROVE DIFFICULT TO ENFORCE

We generally rely on patents, copyrights, trademarks, and trade secret laws to establish and maintain proprietary rights in our technology and products. Although we have been issued numerous patents and other patent applications are currently pending, there can be no assurance that any of these patents or other proprietary rights will not be challenged, invalidated, or circumvented or that our rights will, in fact, provide competitive advantages to us. Furthermore, many key aspects of networking technology are governed by industrywide standards, which are usable by all market entrants. In addition, there can be no assurance that patents will be issued from pending applications or that claims allowed on any patents will be sufficiently broad to protect our technology. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries may not protect our proprietary rights to the same extent as do the laws of the United States. The outcome of any actions taken in these foreign countries may be different than if such actions were determined under the laws of the United States. Although we are not dependent on any individual patents or group of patents for particular segments of the business for which we compete, if we are unable to protect our proprietary rights to the

totality of the features (including aspects of products protected other than by patent rights) in a market, we may find ourselves at a competitive disadvantage to others who need not incur the substantial expense, time, and effort required to create innovative products that have enabled us to be successful.

WE MAY BE FOUND TO INFRINGE ON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS OF OTHERS

Third parties, including customers, have in the past and may in the future assert claims or initiate litigation related to exclusive patent, copyright, trademark, and other intellectual property rights to technologies and related standards that are relevant to us. These assertions have increased over time as a result of our growth and the general increase in the pace of patent claims assertions, particularly in the United States. Because of the existence of a large number of patents in the networking field, the secrecy of some pending patents, and the rapid rate of issuance of new patents, it is not economically practical or even possible to determine in

Table of Contents

advance whether a product or any of its components infringes or will infringe on the patent rights of others. The asserted claims and/or initiated litigation can include claims against us or our manufacturers, suppliers, or customers, alleging infringement of their proprietary rights with respect to our existing or future products or components of those products. Regardless of the merit of these claims, they can be time-consuming, result in costly litigation and diversion of technical and management personnel, or require us to develop a non-infringing technology or enter into license agreements. Where claims are made by customers, resistance even to unmeritorious claims could damage customer relationships. There can be no assurance that licenses will be available on acceptable terms and conditions, if at all, or that our indemnification by our suppliers will be adequate to cover our costs if a claim were brought directly against us or our customers. Furthermore, because of the potential for high court awards that are not necessarily predictable, it is not unusual to find even arguably unmeritorious claims settled for significant amounts. If any infringement or other intellectual property claim made against us by any third party is successful, if we are required to indemnify a customer with respect to a claim against the customer, or if we fail to develop non-infringing technology or license the proprietary rights on commercially reasonable terms and conditions, our business, operating results, and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected. For additional information regarding our indemnification obligations, see Note 12(g) to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our exposure to risks associated with the use of intellectual property may be increased as a result of acquisitions, as we have a lower level of visibility into the development process with respect to such technology or the care taken to safeguard against infringement risks. Further, in the past, third parties have made infringement and similar claims after we have acquired technology that had not been asserted prior to our acquisition.

WE RELY ON THE AVAILABILITY OF THIRD-PARTY LICENSES

Many of our products are designed to include software or other intellectual property licensed from third parties. It may be necessary in the future to seek or renew licenses relating to various aspects of these products. There can be no assurance that the necessary licenses would be available on acceptable terms, if at all. The inability to obtain certain licenses or other rights or to obtain such licenses or rights on favorable terms, or the need to engage in litigation regarding these matters, could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, and financial condition. Moreover, the inclusion in our products of software or other intellectual property licensed from third parties on a nonexclusive basis could limit our ability to protect our proprietary rights in our products.

OUR OPERATING RESULTS MAY BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED AND DAMAGE TO OUR REPUTATION MAY OCCUR DUE TO PRODUCTION AND SALE OF COUNTERFEIT VERSIONS OF OUR PRODUCTS

As is the case with leading products around the world, our products are subject to efforts by third parties to produce counterfeit versions of our products. While we work diligently with law enforcement authorities in various countries to block the manufacture of counterfeit goods and to interdict their sale, and to detect counterfeit products in customer networks, and have succeeded in prosecuting counterfeiters and their distributors, resulting in fines, imprisonment and restitution to us, there can be no guarantee that such efforts will succeed. While counterfeiters often aim their sales at customers who might not have otherwise purchased our products due to lack of verifiability of origin and service, such counterfeit sales, to the extent they replace otherwise legitimate sales, could adversely affect our operating results.

OUR OPERATING RESULTS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS COULD BE MATERIALLY HARMED BY UNCERTAINTIES OF REGULATION OF THE INTERNET

Currently, few laws or regulations apply directly to access or commerce on the Internet. We could be materially adversely affected by regulation of the Internet and Internet commerce in any country where we operate. Such regulations could include matters such as voice over the Internet or using IP, encryption technology, sales or other taxes on Internet product or service sales, and access charges for Internet service providers. The adoption of regulation of the Internet and Internet commerce could decrease demand for our products and, at the same time, increase the cost of selling our products, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, and financial condition.

CHANGES IN TELECOMMUNICATIONS REGULATION AND TARIFFS COULD HARM OUR PROSPECTS AND FUTURE SALES

Changes in telecommunications requirements, or regulatory requirements in other industries in which we operate, in the United States or other countries could affect the sales of our products. In particular, we believe that there may be future changes in U.S. telecommunications regulations that could slow the expansion of the service providers' network infrastructures and materially adversely affect our business, operating results, and financial condition, including "net neutrality" rules to the extent they impact decisions on investment in network infrastructure.

Future changes in tariffs by regulatory agencies or application of tariff requirements to currently untariffed services could affect the sales of our products for certain classes of customers. Additionally, in the United States, our products must comply with various requirements and regulations of the Federal Communications Commission and other regulatory authorities. In countries outside

Table of Contents

of the United States, our products must meet various requirements of local telecommunications and other industry authorities. Changes in tariffs or failure by us to obtain timely approval of products could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, and financial condition.

FAILURE TO RETAIN AND RECRUIT KEY PERSONNEL WOULD HARM OUR ABILITY TO MEET KEY OBJECTIVES

Our success has always depended in large part on our ability to attract and retain highly skilled technical, managerial, sales, and marketing personnel. Competition for these personnel is intense, especially in the Silicon Valley area of Northern California. Stock incentive plans are designed to reward employees for their long-term contributions and provide incentives for them to remain with us. Volatility or lack of positive performance in our stock price or equity incentive awards, or changes to our overall compensation program, including our stock incentive program, resulting from the management of share dilution and share-based compensation expense or otherwise, may also adversely affect our ability to retain key employees. As a result of one or more of these factors, we may increase our hiring in geographic areas outside the United States, which could subject us to additional geopolitical and exchange rate risk. The loss of services of any of our key personnel; the inability to retain and attract qualified personnel in the future; or delays in hiring required personnel, particularly engineering and sales personnel, could make it difficult to meet key objectives, such as timely and effective product introductions. In addition, companies in our industry whose employees accept positions with competitors frequently claim that competitors have engaged in improper hiring practices. We have received these claims in the past and may receive additional claims to this effect in the future.

ADVERSE RESOLUTION OF LITIGATION OR GOVERNMENTAL INVESTIGATIONS MAY HARM OUR OPERATING RESULTS OR FINANCIAL CONDITION

We are a party to lawsuits in the normal course of our business. Litigation can be expensive, lengthy, and disruptive to normal business operations. Moreover, the results of complex legal proceedings are difficult to predict. For example, Brazilian authorities have investigated our Brazilian subsidiary and certain of its current and former employees, as well as a Brazilian importer of our products, and its affiliates and employees, relating to alleged evasion of import taxes and alleged improper transactions involving the subsidiary and the importer. Brazilian tax authorities have assessed claims against our Brazilian subsidiary based on a theory of joint liability with the Brazilian importer for import taxes, interest, and penalties. In the first quarter of fiscal 2013, the Brazilian federal tax authorities asserted an additional claim against our Brazilian subsidiary based on a theory of joint liability with respect to an alleged underpayment of income taxes, social taxes, interest, and penalties by a Brazilian distributor. This claim was dismissed on its merits during the third quarter of fiscal 2016. The asserted claims by Brazilian federal tax authorities which remain are for calendar years 2003 through 2007, and the asserted claims by the tax authorities from the state of Sao Paulo are for calendar years 2005 through 2007. The total asserted claims by Brazilian state and federal tax authorities aggregate to \$233 million for the alleged evasion of import and other taxes, \$1.2 billion for interest, and \$1.1 billion for various penalties, all determined using an exchange rate as of April 30, 2016. We have completed a thorough review of the matters and believe the asserted claims against our Brazilian subsidiary are without merit, and we are defending the claims vigorously. While we believe there is no legal basis for the alleged liability, due to the complexities and uncertainty surrounding the judicial process in Brazil and the nature of the claims asserting joint liability with the importer, we are unable to determine the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome against our Brazilian subsidiary and are unable to reasonably estimate a range of loss, if any. We do not expect a final judicial determination for several years. An unfavorable resolution of lawsuits or governmental investigations could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, or financial condition. For additional information regarding certain of the matters in which we are involved, see Item 1, "Legal Proceedings," contained in Part II of this report.

CHANGES IN OUR PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES OR ADVERSE OUTCOMES RESULTING FROM EXAMINATION OF OUR INCOME TAX RETURNS COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR RESULTS

Our provision for income taxes is subject to volatility and could be adversely affected by earnings being lower than anticipated in countries that have lower tax rates and higher than anticipated in countries that have higher tax rates; by changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities; by changes to domestic manufacturing deduction laws, regulations, or interpretations thereof; by expiration of or lapses in tax incentives; by transfer pricing adjustments, including the effect of acquisitions on our intercompany R&D cost sharing arrangement and legal

structure; by tax effects of nondeductible compensation; by tax costs related to intercompany realignments; by changes in accounting principles; or by changes in tax laws and regulations, treaties, or interpretations thereof, including possible changes to the taxation of earnings of our foreign subsidiaries, the deductibility of expenses attributable to foreign income, or the foreign tax credit rules. Significant judgment is required to determine the recognition and measurement attribute prescribed in the accounting guidance for uncertainty in income taxes. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), an international association comprised of 34 countries, including the United States, has recently made changes to numerous long-standing tax principles. There can be no assurance that these changes, once adopted by countries, will not have an adverse impact on our provision for income taxes. Further, as a result of certain of our ongoing employment and capital investment actions and commitments, our income in certain countries is subject to reduced tax rates. Our failure to meet these commitments could adversely impact our provision for income taxes. In addition, we are subject to the

Table of Contents

continuous examination of our income tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service and other tax authorities. We regularly assess the likelihood of adverse outcomes resulting from these examinations to determine the adequacy of our provision for income taxes. There can be no assurance that the outcomes from these continuous examinations will not have an adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

OUR BUSINESS AND OPERATIONS ARE ESPECIALLY SUBJECT TO THE RISKS OF EARTHQUAKES, FLOODS, AND OTHER NATURAL CATASTROPHIC EVENTS

Our corporate headquarters, including certain of our research and development operations are located in the Silicon Valley area of Northern California, a region known for seismic activity. Additionally, a certain number of our facilities are located near rivers that have experienced flooding in the past. Also certain of our suppliers and logistics centers are located in regions that have been or may be affected by earthquake, tsunami and flooding activity which in the past has disrupted, and in the future could disrupt, the flow of components and delivery of products. A significant natural disaster, such as an earthquake, a hurricane, volcano, or a flood, could have a material adverse impact on our business, operating results, and financial condition.

MAN-MADE PROBLEMS SUCH AS CYBER-ATTACKS, DATA PROTECTION BREACHES, COMPUTER VIRUSES OR TERRORISM MAY DISRUPT OUR OPERATIONS, HARM OUR OPERATING RESULTS AND DAMAGE OUR REPUTATION, AND CYBER-ATTACKS OR DATA PROTECTION BREACHES ON OUR CUSTOMERS' NETWORKS, OR IN CLOUD-BASED SERVICES PROVIDED BY OR ENABLED BY US, COULD RESULT IN LIABILITY FOR US, DAMAGE OUR REPUTATION OR OTHERWISE HARM OUR BUSINESS

Despite our implementation of network security measures, the products and services we sell to customers, and our servers, data centers and the cloud-based solutions on which our data, and data of our customers, suppliers and business partners are stored, are vulnerable to cyber-attacks, data protection breaches, computer viruses, and similar disruptions from unauthorized tampering or human error. Any such event could compromise our networks or those of our customers, and the information stored on our networks or those of our customers could be accessed, publicly disclosed, lost or stolen, which could subject us to liability to our customers, suppliers, business partners and others, and could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, and financial condition and may cause damage to our reputation. Efforts to limit the ability of malicious third parties to disrupt the operations of the Internet or undermine our own security efforts may be costly to implement and meet with resistance, and may not be successful. Breaches of network security in our customers' networks, or in cloud-based services provided by or enabled by us, regardless of whether the breach is attributable to a vulnerability in our products or services, could result in liability for us, damage our reputation or otherwise harm our business.

In addition, the continued threat of terrorism and heightened security and military action in response to this threat, or any future acts of terrorism, may cause further disruptions to the economies of the United States and other countries and create further uncertainties or otherwise materially harm our business, operating results, and financial condition. Likewise, events such as loss of infrastructure and utilities services such as energy, transportation, or telecommunications could have similar negative impacts. To the extent that such disruptions or uncertainties result in delays or cancellations of customer orders or the manufacture or shipment of our products, our business, operating results, and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

IF WE DO NOT SUCCESSFULLY MANAGE OUR STRATEGIC ALLIANCES, WE MAY NOT REALIZE THE EXPECTED BENEFITS FROM SUCH ALLIANCES AND WE MAY EXPERIENCE INCREASED COMPETITION OR DELAYS IN PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT

We have several strategic alliances with large and complex organizations and other companies with which we work to offer complementary products and services and have established a joint venture to market services associated with our Cisco Unified Computing System products. These arrangements are generally limited to specific projects, the goal of which is generally to facilitate product compatibility and adoption of industry standards. There can be no assurance we will realize the expected benefits from these strategic alliances or from the joint venture. If successful, these relationships may be mutually beneficial and result in industry growth. However, alliances carry an element of risk because, in most cases, we must compete in some business areas with a company with which we have a strategic alliance and, at the same time, cooperate with that company in other business areas. Also, if these companies fail to

perform or if these relationships fail to materialize as expected, we could suffer delays in product development or other operational difficulties. Joint ventures can be difficult to manage, given the potentially different interests of joint venture partners.

OUR STOCK PRICE MAY BE VOLATILE

Historically, our common stock has experienced substantial price volatility, particularly as a result of variations between our actual financial results and the published expectations of analysts and as a result of announcements by our competitors and us. Furthermore, speculation in the press or investment community about our strategic position, financial condition, results of operations, business, security of our products, or significant transactions can cause changes in our stock price. In addition, the stock market has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market price of many technology companies, in particular, and that

Table of Contents

have often been unrelated to the operating performance of these companies. These factors, as well as general economic and political conditions and the announcement of proposed and completed acquisitions or other significant transactions, or any difficulties associated with such transactions, by us or our current or potential competitors, may materially adversely affect the market price of our common stock in the future. Additionally, volatility, lack of positive performance in our stock price or changes to our overall compensation program, including our stock incentive program, may adversely affect our ability to retain key employees, virtually all of whom are compensated, in part, based on the performance of our stock price.

THERE CAN BE NO ASSURANCE THAT OUR OPERATING RESULTS AND FINANCIAL CONDITION WILL NOT BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY OUR INCURRENCE OF DEBT

As of the end of the third quarter of fiscal 2016, we have senior unsecured notes outstanding in an aggregate principal amount of \$28.4 billion that mature at specific dates from calendar year 2017 through 2040. We have also established a commercial paper program under which we may issue short-term, unsecured commercial paper notes on a private placement basis up to a maximum aggregate amount outstanding at any time of \$3.0 billion, and we had no commercial paper notes outstanding under this program as of April 30, 2016. The outstanding senior unsecured notes bear fixed-rate interest payable semiannually, except \$3.4 billion of the notes which bears interest at a floating rate payable quarterly. The fair value of the long-term debt is subject to market interest rate volatility. The instruments governing the senior unsecured notes contain certain covenants applicable to us and our wholly-owned subsidiaries that may adversely affect our ability to incur certain liens or engage in certain types of sale and leaseback transactions. In addition, we will be required to have available in the United States sufficient cash to service the interest on our debt and repay all of our notes on maturity. There can be no assurance that our incurrence of this debt or any future debt will be a better means of providing liquidity to us than would our use of our existing cash resources, including cash currently held offshore. Further, we cannot be assured that our maintenance of this indebtedness or incurrence of future indebtedness will not adversely affect our operating results or financial condition. In addition, changes by any rating agency to our credit rating can negatively impact the value and liquidity of both our debt and equity securities, as well as the terms upon which we may borrow under our commercial paper program or future debt issuances.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(a) None.

(b) None.

(c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities (in millions, except per-share amounts):

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|---|
| January 24, 2016 to February 27, 2016 | 24 | \$ 23.73 | 24 | \$ 16,283 |
| February 28, 2016 to March 26, 2016 | 3 | \$ 26.87 | 3 | \$ 16,203 |
| March 27, 2016 to April 30, 2016 | — | \$ — | — | \$ 16,203 |
| Total | 27 | \$ 24.08 | 27 | |

On September 13, 2001, we announced that our Board of Directors had authorized a stock repurchase program. As of April 30, 2016, our Board of Directors had authorized the repurchase of up to \$112 billion of common stock under this program. As of April 30, 2016, we had repurchased and retired 4.6 billion shares of our common stock at an average price of \$20.99 per share for an aggregate purchase price of \$95.8 billion since inception of the stock repurchase program, and the remaining authorized amount for stock repurchases under this program was \$16.2 billion with no termination date.

For the majority of restricted stock units granted, the number of shares issued on the date the restricted stock units vest is net of shares withheld to meet applicable tax withholding requirements. Although these withheld shares are not issued or considered common stock repurchases under our stock repurchase program and therefore are not included in the preceding table, they are treated as common stock repurchases in our financial statements as they reduce the number of shares that would have been issued upon vesting (see Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

Table of Contents

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

The following documents are filed as exhibits to this report:

- 4.1 Form of Officer's Certificate setting forth the terms of the Notes (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Form 8-K (File No. 000-18225) filed February 29, 2016)
- 10.1 Cisco Systems, Inc. 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (including related form agreements) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Form 10-Q (File No. 000-18225) filed February 18, 2016)
- 10.2 Underwriting Agreement, dated February 22, 2016, among the Company and Barclays Capital Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, as representatives of the several underwriters named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 of Form 8-K (File No. 000-18225) filed February 24, 2016)
- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer
- 32.1 Section 1350 Certification of Principal Executive Officer
- 32.2 Section 1350 Certification of Principal Financial Officer
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

