

ATWOOD OCEANICS INC  
Form 10-Q  
August 02, 2013

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20549

---

Form 10-Q

---

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT  
OF 1934

FOR QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED June 30, 2013

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT  
OF 1934

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 1-13167

---

ATWOOD OCEANICS, INC.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

---

TEXAS	74-1611874
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

---

15835 Park Ten Place Drive Houston, Texas	77084
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)

281-749-7800  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months, and (2) has been subject to such filings requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).  
Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).  
Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of July 31, 2013:  
63,963,904 shares of common stock, \$1.00 par value

Table of Contents

ATWOOD OCEANICS, INC.  
 FORM 10-Q  
 For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2013  
 INDEX

Part I. Financial Information

Item 1.	<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	Page
a)	<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>3</u>
b)	<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Nine Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>4</u>
c)	<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012</u>	<u>5</u>
d)	<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the Nine Months Ended June 30, 2013</u>	<u>6</u>
e)	<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>7</u>
f)	<u>Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>8</u>
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>15</u>
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	<u>24</u>
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>25</u>

Part II. Other Information

Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>26</u>
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>26</u>
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>26</u>
	<u>Signatures</u>	<u>27</u>

Table of Contents

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM I. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Atwood Oceanics, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
<b>REVENUES:</b>				
Contract drilling	\$272,688	\$178,603	\$770,942	\$534,896
<b>COSTS AND EXPENSES:</b>				
Contract drilling	117,963	87,374	337,352	245,060
Depreciation	30,352	15,650	86,412	46,419
General and administrative	13,663	11,856	44,152	37,502
Other, net	(55	) 274	(68	) 1,137
	161,923	115,154	467,848	330,118
<b>OPERATING INCOME</b>	<b>\$110,765</b>	<b>\$63,449</b>	<b>\$303,094</b>	<b>\$204,778</b>
<b>OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):</b>				
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest	(5,692	) (1,517	) (16,647	) (1,623
Interest income	57	58	202	258
	(5,635	) (1,459	) (16,445	) (1,365
<b>INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES</b>	<b>105,130</b>	<b>61,990</b>	<b>286,649</b>	<b>203,413</b>
<b>PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES</b>	<b>15,149</b>	<b>10,279</b>	<b>38,318</b>	<b>26,768</b>
<b>NET INCOME</b>	<b>\$89,981</b>	<b>\$51,711</b>	<b>\$248,331</b>	<b>\$176,645</b>
<b>EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE (NOTE 2):</b>				
Basic	\$1.38	\$0.79	\$3.79	\$2.71
Diluted	\$1.37	\$0.79	\$3.75	\$2.69
<b>WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING (NOTE 2):</b>				
Basic	65,062	65,362	65,441	65,221
Diluted	65,858	65,823	66,180	65,715

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Atwood Oceanics, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net income	\$89,981	\$51,711	\$248,331	\$176,645
Other comprehensive gains (losses), net of tax:				
Interest rate swaps :				
Unrealized holding gain (loss)	51	(822 )	3	(2,316 )
Reclassification adjustment for loss included in net income	441	266	1,316	1,200
Total other comprehensive gain (loss)	492	(556 )	1,319	(1,116 )
Comprehensive income	\$90,473	\$51,155	\$249,650	\$175,529

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Atwood Oceanics, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands)	June 30, 2013	September 30, 2012
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$221,877	\$77,871
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$4,000 and \$0 at June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, respectively	179,980	167,186
Income tax receivable	7,141	5,750
Inventories of materials and supplies	100,825	80,290
Prepaid expenses and deferred costs	23,226	39,437
Total current assets	533,049	370,534
Property and equipment, net	3,035,572	2,537,340
Other receivables	11,869	11,875
Deferred costs and other assets	22,823	24,013
Total assets	\$3,603,313	\$2,943,762
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Accounts payable	\$72,622	\$83,592
Accrued liabilities	32,340	24,478
Notes payable	—	5,148
Income tax payable	16,022	9,711
Deferred credits	13,773	13,738
Total current liabilities	134,757	136,667
Long-term debt	1,338,500	830,000
Deferred income taxes	8,186	8,791
Deferred credits	1,322	8,928
Other	21,867	19,954
Total long-term liabilities	1,369,875	867,673
Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)		
Preferred stock, no par value, 1,000 shares authorized, none outstanding	—	—
Common stock, \$1.00 par value, 90,000 shares authorized with 63,952 and 65,452 issued and outstanding at June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, respectively	63,952	65,452
Paid-in capital	176,909	160,540
Retained earnings	1,859,512	1,716,441
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,692	) (3,011 )
Total shareholders' equity	2,098,681	1,939,422
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$3,603,313	\$2,943,762

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Atwood Oceanics, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
 UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED  
 STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Common Stock		Paid-in	Retained	Accumulated	Total
(In thousands)	Shares	Amount	Capital	Earnings	Other Comprehensive Loss	Stockholders' Equity
September 30, 2012	65,452	\$65,452	\$160,540	\$1,716,441	\$ (3,011 )	\$1,939,422
Net income				248,331	—	248,331
Other comprehensive gain				—	1,319	1,319
Restricted stock awards	162	162	(162 )	—	—	—
Exercise of employee stock options	338	338	6,113	—	—	6,451
Stock option and restricted stock award compensation expense		—	10,418	—	—	10,418
Repurchase and retirement of common shares	(2,000 )	(2,000 )		(105,260 )		(107,260 )
June 30, 2013	63,952	\$63,952	\$176,909	\$1,859,512	\$ (1,692 )	\$2,098,681

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsAtwood Oceanics, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED  
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)	Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$248,331	\$176,645
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	86,412	46,419
Amortization of debt issuance costs and bond premium	3,083	2,590
Amortization of deferred items	1,227	3,736
Provision for doubtful accounts	3,510	540
Provision for inventory obsolescence	1,530	615
Deferred income tax benefit	(605	) (513
Share-based compensation expense	10,418	7,709
Other, net	(67	) 1,137
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(18,297	) 2,253
Income tax receivable	(1,391	) 890
Inventory	(22,065	) (15,200
Prepaid expenses	12,788	6,186
Deferred costs and other assets	(9,519	) (22,343
Accounts payable	(10,970	) 20,467
Accrued liabilities	(6,745	) 2,356
Bond premium	8,500	—
Income tax payable	6,311	(4,859
Deferred credits and other liabilities	9,989	10,006
Net cash provided by operating activities	322,440	238,634
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Capital expenditures	(569,696	) (594,789
Proceeds from sale of assets	129	7,513
Net cash used in investing activities	(569,567	) (587,276
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from issuance of bonds	200,000	450,000
Proceeds from bank credit facilities	400,000	135,000
Principal payments on bank credit facilities	(100,000	) (450,000
Principal payments on notes payable	(5,148	) (5,461
Repurchase and retirement of common shares	(107,260	) —
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	6,451	3,262
Debt issuance costs paid	(2,910	) (181
Net cash provided by financing activities	391,133	132,620
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$144,006	\$(216,022
Cash and cash equivalents, at beginning of period	\$77,871	\$295,002
Cash and cash equivalents, at end of period	\$221,877	\$78,980

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.





Table of Contents

Atwood Oceanics, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## NOTE 1—UNAUDITED INTERIM INFORMATION

The unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements of Atwood Oceanics, Inc. and its subsidiaries as of June 30, 2013, and for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, included herein, have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions for Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Unless otherwise indicated, references to “we”, “us”, “our” and the “Company” refer collectively to Atwood Oceanics, Inc. and subsidiaries. The year-end condensed consolidated balance sheet data was derived from the audited financial statements as of September 30, 2012. Although these financial statements and related information have been prepared without audit, and certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted, we believe that the note disclosures are adequate to make the information not misleading. The interim financial results may not be indicative of results that could be expected for a full fiscal year. It is suggested that these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2012. In our opinion, the unaudited interim financial statements reflect all adjustments considered necessary for a fair statement of our financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented.

## NOTE 2—EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

The computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

(In thousands, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	Net Income	Shares	Per Share Amount	Net Income	Shares	Per Share Amount
June 30, 2013						
Basic earnings per share	\$89,981	65,062	\$1.38	\$248,331	65,441	\$3.79
Effect of dilutive securities:						
Stock options	—	304	\$—	—	367	\$(0.02 )
Restricted stock	—	492	\$(0.01 )	—	372	\$(0.02 )
Diluted earnings per share	\$89,981	65,858	\$1.37	\$248,331	66,180	\$3.75
June 30, 2012						
Basic earnings per share	\$51,711	65,362	\$0.79	\$176,645	65,221	\$2.71
Effect of dilutive securities:						
Stock options	—	191	\$—	—	281	\$(0.01 )
Restricted stock	—	270	\$—	—	213	\$(0.01 )
Diluted earnings per share	\$51,711	65,823	\$0.79	\$176,645	65,715	\$2.69

The calculation of diluted earnings per share for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 excludes shares of common stock related to approximately 283,000 and 672,000 outstanding stock options, respectively, because such options were anti-dilutive. These options could potentially dilute basic earnings per share in the future.

## NOTE 3—SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

Share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date, based on the calculated fair value of the award, and is recognized as an expense over the requisite service period, which is generally the vesting period of the equity award. As of June 30, 2013, unrecognized compensation cost, net of estimated forfeitures, related to stock options and restricted stock awards was approximately \$4.3 million and \$18.7 million, respectively, which we expect to recognize over a weighted average period of approximately 2.0 years.

On December 7, 2012, our Board of Directors adopted, and our shareholders subsequently approved on February 14, 2013, the Atwood Oceanics, Inc. 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "2013 Plan"). Under the 2013 Plan, up to 2,200,000 shares of common stock were authorized for issuance to eligible participants in the form of restricted stock and restricted stock unit

Table of Contents

awards (which we refer to as "restricted stock awards") or upon exercise of stock options granted pursuant to the 2013 Plan. We also maintain two other stock incentive plans, the Atwood Oceanics, Inc. Amended and Restated 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan (as amended, the "2007 Plan") and the Atwood Oceanics, Inc. Amended and Restated 2001 Stock Incentive Plan (as amended, the "2001 Plan"). Up to 4,000,000 shares of common stock were authorized for issuance under each of the 2007 Plan and the 2001 Plan to eligible participants in the form of restricted stock awards or upon exercise of stock options granted. No additional awards of any kind have or will be made under the 2007 Plan as a result of the implementation of the 2013 Plan. Likewise, no additional awards of any kind were made under the 2001 Plan since the implementation of the 2007 Plan. All stock incentive plans currently in effect have been approved by our shareholders.

## Stock Options

Under our stock incentive plans, the exercise price of each stock option must be equal to or greater than the fair market value of one share of our common stock on the date of grant, with all outstanding options having a maximum term of 10 years. Options vest ratably over a period ranging from the end of the first to the fourth year from the date of grant for stock options. Each option is for the purchase of one share of our common stock.

A summary of stock option activity during the nine months ended June 30, 2013 is as follows:

	Number of Options (000s)	Wtd. Avg. Exercise Price	Wtd. Avg. Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (000s)
Outstanding at October 1, 2012	1,450	\$29.74	6.1	\$22,663
Granted	—	\$—		
Exercised	(338)	\$19.08		\$11,546
Forfeited	(20)	\$39.31		
Outstanding at June 30, 2013	1,092	\$32.81	6.1	\$21,022
Exercisable at June 30, 2013	726	\$29.33	5.2	\$16,487

## Restricted Stock

We have awarded restricted stock to certain employees and to our non-employee directors. All current awards of restricted stock to employees are subject to a vesting and restriction period ranging from three to four years, subject to acceleration upon certain events as set forth in the terms of the grant. In addition, certain awards of restricted stock to employees are subject to market-based performance conditions. The number of shares that vest based on market-based performance conditions will depend on the degree of achievement of specified corporate performance criteria which are strictly market-based. All awards of restricted stock to non-employee directors are subject to a vesting and restriction period of a minimum of 13 months, subject to acceleration upon certain events as set forth in the terms of the grant. We value restricted stock awards based on the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant and also adjust to fair market value for any awards subject to market-based performance conditions, where applicable.

A summary of restricted stock activity for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 is as follows:

	Number of Shares (000s)	Wtd. Avg. Fair Value
Unvested at October 1, 2012	701	\$38.54
Granted	347	\$46.69
Vested	(162)	\$35.49

Forfeited	(27)	\$41.82
Unvested at June 30, 2013	859	\$42.31

9

---

Table of Contents

## NOTE 4—PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

A summary of property and equipment by classification is as follows:

(In thousands)	June 30, 2013	September 30, 2012
Drilling vessels and equipment	\$2,968,358	\$2,523,895
Construction work in progress	573,010	438,081
Drill pipe	21,439	20,576
Office equipment and other	23,007	19,610
Cost	3,585,814	3,002,162
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(550,242 )	(464,822 )
Drilling and other property and equipment, net	\$3,035,572	\$2,537,340

Property and equipment are recorded at cost. Interest incurred related to property under construction is capitalized as a component of construction costs. Interest capitalized during the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was approximately \$8.2 million and \$9.8 million, respectively, and the nine months ended June 30, 2013, and 2012, was \$23.7 million and \$25.8 million, respectively.

## Construction Projects

As of June 30, 2013, we had expended approximately \$560 million towards our four newbuild drilling units contracted for construction. Total remaining firm commitments for those drilling units were approximately \$1.6 billion at June 30, 2013.

## NOTE 5—LONG-TERM DEBT

A summary of long-term debt is as follows:

(In thousands)	June 30, 2013	September 30, 2012
Senior Notes, bearing fixed interest at 6.5% per annum, net of unamortized premium	\$658,500	\$450,000
2011 Revolving Credit Facility, bearing interest at approximately 3.0% <sup>(1)</sup> per annum at June 30, 2013 and 3.2% <sup>(1)</sup> per annum at September 30, 2012	680,000	380,000
(1) After the impact of our interest rate swaps.	\$1,338,500	\$830,000

## 6.50% Senior Notes due 2020

On June 21, 2013, we issued an additional \$200 million aggregate principal amount (the "Additional Notes") of our 6.50% Senior Notes due 2020 (the "Senior Notes"). The Additional Notes constitute an issuance under the same indenture governing the \$450 million aggregate principal amount of Senior Notes issued on January 18, 2012, which together form a single series with an aggregate principal amount of \$650 million. We received net proceeds from the Additional Notes, after deducting underwriting discounts and offering expenses, of approximately \$211.6 million. This amount includes \$5.1 million of accrued interest due from February 1, 2013 through the date of issuance. The net proceeds also include a premium of \$8.5 million to be amortized through maturity on February 1, 2020. The receipt of a premium results in an effective interest rate of 5.72% for the Additional Notes and an effective interest rate of 6.26% on the aggregate principal amount of \$650 million.

## 2011 Revolving Credit Facility

As of June 30, 2013, we had \$680 million of outstanding borrowings under our five-year \$750 million senior secured revolving credit facility (the "Credit Facility"). The Credit Facility was entered into in May 2011 and matures in May 2016. Our wholly-owned subsidiary, Atwood Offshore Worldwide Limited ("AOWL"), is the borrower under the Credit Facility, and we and certain of our other subsidiaries are guarantors under the facility. Except as described below, borrowings under the Credit Facility bear interest at the Eurodollar rate plus a margin of 2.5%. Currently, certain borrowings effectively bear interest at a fixed rate due to our interest rate swaps (See Note 6).

Table of Contents

We were in compliance with all financial covenants under the Credit Facility at June 30, 2013.

In July 2013, we and AOWL amended the Credit Facility to, among other things, eliminate all scheduled commitment reductions totaling \$200 million under the Credit Facility. In addition, AOWL entered into an Incremental Commitment Agreement (the "Incremental Commitment Agreement") further modifying the Credit Facility. The Incremental Commitment Agreement provides for an additional tranche of commitments and increases the amount of the Credit Facility by \$350 million to an aggregate of \$1.1 billion. The maturity date of all borrowings under the Credit Facility remains May 6, 2016. Borrowings under the incremental tranche of commitments bear interest at the Eurodollar rate plus a margin ranging from 2.00% to 2.25%, based on our corporate credit ratings. In connection with the Incremental Commitment Agreement, we mortgaged as additional collateral under the Credit Facility the Atwood Condor, as well as pledged the equity interests in our subsidiaries that own, directly or indirectly, the Atwood Condor. No other terms of the Credit Facility were amended by the Incremental Commitment Agreement, and all other terms and conditions of the Credit Facility, including the financial and other restrictive covenants set forth therein, are applicable to the incremental tranche of commitments.

Subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions precedent and the agreement by the lenders, the Credit Facility accordion may be exercised further to increase commitments by up to an additional \$200 million for a total commitment of up to \$1.3 billion.

## NOTE 6—INTEREST RATE SWAPS

Our Credit Facility exposes us to short-term changes in market interest rates as our interest obligations on these instruments are periodically re-determined based on the prevailing Eurodollar rate. We enter into interest rate swaps to limit our exposure to fluctuations and volatility in interest rates. We do not engage in derivative transactions for speculative or trading purposes and we are not a party to leveraged derivatives.

At June 30, 2013, we had five \$50 million notional interest rate swaps in effect. These interest rate swaps effectively fix the interest rate on \$250 million of borrowings under the Credit Facility at a weighted average interest rate of 3.4% through September 2014.

## Fair Value of Derivatives

The following table presents the carrying amount of our cash flow hedge derivative contracts included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012:

(In thousands)		June 30, 2013	September 30, 2012
Type of Contract	Balance Sheet Classification		
Short term interest rate swaps	Accrued liabilities	\$1,498	\$1,705
Long term interest rate swaps	Other long-term liabilities	305	1,414
Total derivative contracts, net		\$1,803	\$3,119

We record the interest rate derivative contracts at fair value on our consolidated balance sheets (See Note 9). Hedging effectiveness is evaluated each quarter end using the "Dollar Off-Set Method". Each quarter, changes in the fair values will adjust the balance sheet asset or liability, with an offset to Other Comprehensive Income ("OCI") for the effective portion of the hedge.

For the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013, we recognized a gain of approximately \$0.5 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, in OCI as a result of changes in fair value of our interest rate derivatives as well as realized

losses associated with the effective portion of the hedge. These realized losses, \$0.4 million and \$1.3 million, for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, were reclassified out of accumulated OCI and were classified on our Consolidated Statement of Operations as interest expense, net of capitalized interest. As of June 30, 2013, the estimated amount of unrealized losses associated with our interest rate derivative contracts that will be reclassified to earnings during the next twelve months totals \$1.5 million. The unrealized losses associated with these interest rate derivative contracts will be reclassified to interest expense, net of capitalized interest.

For interest rate swaps, we compare all material terms between the swap and the underlying debt obligation to evaluate effectiveness. Any change in fair value resulting from ineffectiveness is recognized immediately in earnings. For the quarter



Table of Contents

ended June 30, 2013, no loss was recognized on our Consolidated Statement of Operations due to hedge ineffectiveness. Further, no loss was recognized due to hedge ineffectiveness for the quarter ended June 30, 2012.

NOTE 7—INCOME TAXES

Our consolidated effective income tax rate for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 was approximately 14% and 13%, respectively. Our effective tax rates were lower than the U.S. statutory rate of 35% as a result of working in certain lower tax jurisdictions outside the United States. We record estimated accrued interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as income tax expense. At June 30, 2013, we had approximately \$8.3 million of reserves for uncertain tax positions, including estimated accrued interest and penalties of \$2.7 million, which are included in Other Long Term Liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. None of our reserves for uncertain tax positions relate to timing differences. All \$8.3 million of the net uncertain tax liabilities would affect the effective tax rate if recognized.

Our United States tax returns for fiscal year 2010 and subsequent years remain subject to examination by tax authorities. As we conduct business globally, we have various tax years that remain open to examination in certain international tax jurisdictions. Although we cannot predict the outcome of ongoing or future tax examinations, we do not anticipate that the ultimate resolution of these examinations will have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

NOTE 8—CAPITAL STOCK

Repurchase and Retirement of Common Shares

On May 23, 2013, we entered into a stock purchase agreement with Helmerich & Payne International Drilling Co. ("H&P"), under which we agreed to repurchase 2,000,000 shares of our common stock from H&P and to make a payment at closing to H&P of \$107.1 million. On June 13, 2013, we and H&P amended the agreement to extend the closing date from June 13, 2013 to June 27, 2013 resulting in an increase to the amount paid at closing to H&P by \$200,000. The share repurchase closed on June 27, 2013. Following the share repurchase, we have canceled these shares.

NOTE 9—FAIR VALUE

We have certain assets and liabilities that are required to be measured and disclosed at fair value in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date.

The established GAAP fair value hierarchy prioritizes inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three levels. Priority is given to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). Assets and liabilities measured at fair value are classified based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment, which may affect the valuation of the fair value of assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels. The determination of the fair values, stated below, takes into account the market for our financial assets and liabilities, the associated credit risk and other considerations.

We have classified and disclosed fair value measurements using the following levels of the fair value hierarchy:

Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs which are observable, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3: Measurement based on prices or valuation models that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and less observable for objective sources (i.e., supported by little or no market activity).

#### Fair value of Certain Assets and Liabilities

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value because of their short term maturities.

#### Fair Value of Financial Instruments

12

---

Table of Contents

The fair value of financial instruments is determined by using quoted market prices when available. When quoted prices are not available, independent third party services may be used to determine the fair value with reference to observable inputs used.

The following table sets forth the estimated fair value of certain financial instruments at June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, which are measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis:

(In thousands)		June 30, 2013 Fair Value Measurements				Estimated Fair Value
		Carrying Amount	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Type of Contract	Balance Sheet Classification					
Short term interest rate swaps	Accrued liabilities	\$1,498	\$—	\$1,498	\$—	\$1,498
Long term interest rate swaps	Other long-term liabilities	305	—	305	—	305
Total derivative contracts, net		\$1,803	\$—	\$1,803	\$—	\$1,803
(In thousands)		September 30, 2012 Fair Value Measurements				Estimated Fair Value
		Carrying Amount	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Type of Contract	Balance Sheet Classification					
Short term interest rate swaps	Accrued liabilities	\$1,705	\$—	\$1,705	\$—	\$1,705
Long term interest rate swaps	Other long-term liabilities	1,414	—	1,414	—	1,414
Total derivative contracts, net		\$3,119	\$—	\$3,119	\$—	\$3,119

Interest rate swaps - The fair values of our interest rate swaps are based upon valuations calculated by an independent third party. The derivatives were valued according to the "Market approach" where possible, and the "Income approach" otherwise. A third party independently valued each instrument using forward price data obtained from Bloomberg credit default swaps indexed to one month USD LIBOR as of June 28, 2013. It was determined that the contribution of the credit valuation adjustment to total fair value is less than 1% for all derivatives and is therefore not significant. Based on valuation inputs for fair value measurement and independent review performed by third party consultants, we have classified our derivative contracts as Level 2.

Long-term Debt – Our long-term debt consists of both our Senior Notes and our Credit Facility.

Credit Facility – The carrying amounts of our variable-rate debt approximates fair value because such debt bears short-term, market-based interest rates. We have classified this instrument as Level 2 as valuation inputs for purposes of determining our fair value disclosure are readily available published Eurodollar rates.

Senior Notes – The carrying value of our Senior Notes, net of unamortized premium, is \$658.5 million (\$650 million principal amount) while the fair value of those Senior Notes is \$663.9 million, based upon a valuation calculated by an independent third party. The third party conducted independent research concerning interest rates and credit risk and relied on market sources to assess the LIBOR swap curve data as well as information provided in the debt purchase agreement. We have classified this instrument as Level 2 as valuation inputs for fair value measurements are quoted market prices as of June 30, 2013 that can only be obtained from independent third party sources. The fair value

amount has been calculated using these quoted prices. However, no assurance can be given that the fair value would be the amount realized in an active market exchange.

**NOTE 10—RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-11, "Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities" for an entity to provide enhanced disclosures that will enable users of its financial statements to evaluate the effect or potential effect of netting arrangements on an entity's financial position. Further, in January 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-01, "Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities" to address implementation issues and unintended consequences with regard to the scope of ASU 2011-11. We adopted the amendments in

Table of Contents

both ASU 2011-11 and ASU 2013-01 effective January 1, 2013, with no material impact on our financial statements or disclosures in our financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, "Other Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income" requiring an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by respective line items of net income if required under GAAP to be reclassified in its entirety to net income or by cross-reference to other disclosures that provide additional detail for those amounts not required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income in the same reporting period. We adopted the amendments in ASU 2013-02 effective January 1, 2013, with no material impact on our consolidated financial statements or disclosures in our financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-04, "Liabilities (Topic 405): Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation is Fixed at the Reporting Date" to provide guidance for the recognition, measurement, and disclosure of obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation is fixed at the reporting date. This would include debt arrangements, other contractual obligations, and settled litigation and judicial rulings. We will adopt the amendments in ASU 2013-04 effective October 1, 2014. We do not expect that our adoption will have a material impact on our financial statements or disclosures in our financial statements.

NOTE 11—COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Litigation

We are party to a number of lawsuits which are ordinary, routine litigation incidental to our business, the outcome of which is not expected to have, either individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Other Matters

The Atwood Beacon operated in India from early December 2006 to the end of July 2009. A service tax was enacted in India in 2004 on revenues derived from seismic and exploration activities. This service tax law was subsequently amended in June 2007 and again in May 2008 to state that revenues derived from mining services and drilling services were specifically subject to this service tax. The contract terms with our customer in India provided that any liability incurred by us related to any taxes pursuant to laws not in effect at the time the contract was executed in 2005 was to be reimbursed by our customer. We believe any service taxes assessed by the Indian tax authorities under the 2007 or 2008 amendments are an obligation of our customer. Our customer is disputing this obligation on the basis of its contention that revenues derived from drilling services were taxable under the initial 2004 law, and are, therefore, our obligation.

After reviewing the status of the drilling services we provided to our customer, the Indian tax authorities assessed service tax obligations on revenues derived from the Atwood Beacon commencing on June 1, 2007. The relevant Indian tax authority issued an extensive written ruling setting forth the application of the June 1, 2007 service tax regulation and confirming the position that drilling services, including the services performed under our contract with our customer prior to June 1, 2007, were not covered by the 2004 service tax law. In August 2012, the Indian Custom Excise and Service Tax Appellate Tribunal issued an Order in our favor confirming our position that service tax did not apply to drilling services performed prior to June 1, 2007. The Indian Service Tax Authority has appealed this ruling to the Indian Supreme Court.

As of June 30, 2013, we had paid to the Indian government \$10.1 million in service taxes and have accrued \$1.8 million of additional service tax obligations in accrued liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets, for a total of \$11.9 million relating to service taxes. We recorded a corresponding \$11.9 million long-term other receivable due

from our customer relating to service taxes due under the contract. We continue to pursue collection of such amounts from our customer and expect to collect the amount recorded as receivable.

## Table of Contents

### ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations should be read in conjunction with the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2013 and for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 included elsewhere herein, and with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. The following discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including those set forth under "Risk Factors" in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report. See "Forward-Looking Statements" below.

#### OVERVIEW

The following discussion is intended to assist in understanding our financial position at June 30, 2013, and our results of operations for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. Financial and operating results for the three months ended June 30, 2013, include:

- Operating revenues totaling \$273 million on 973 operating days as compared to operating revenues of \$179 million on 594 operating days for the three months ended June 30, 2012;
- Net income of \$90 million as compared to net income of \$52 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012;
- Net cash provided by operating activities of \$118 million as compared to net cash provided by operating activities of \$82 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012; and
- Capital expenditures of \$197 million as compared to capital expenditures of \$197 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012.

#### MARKET OUTLOOK

##### Industry Conditions

The level of activity in the offshore drilling industry, which affects profitability, is highly correlated to the price of oil and, to a lesser extent, natural gas. The price of oil is determined by the balance between global or regional supply and demand. The state of the global economy and associated economic growth are important factors in determining the level of demand for commodities, including oil and natural gas. The strength and sustainability of the global economic recovery remains uncertain, with negative economic indicators, such as the slowing of China's economic growth and the continuing challenges to economic growth within the Eurozone, being partially offset by more positive economic indicators from the U.S. In addition, recent geopolitical developments have threatened the sustainability of oil supplies from certain regions, strengthening international crude oil prices. Although oil prices have continued to be volatile they remain relatively strong compared to historical price levels, with the price of Brent trading currently above \$100 per barrel. While future oil prices are difficult to predict, we believe that the current oil price levels are sufficient to support continued strong demand for offshore drilling services.

Global economic issues have not yet meaningfully impacted demand for offshore drilling services as rig demand remains strong in all major global markets and across most rig types. Strong demand for offshore drilling rigs, as evidenced by high levels of marketed rigs under contract, has led to sustained favorable day rates in the ultra-deepwater segment and increasing day rates in the deepwater and jackup segments. We continue to see variability in day rates depending on geographic location, rig age and specifications and contract term. Following a number of ultra-deepwater rig orders in the first three quarters of 2011, the pace of new ultra-deepwater rig orders has since declined dramatically. Since January 1, 2013, the industry has placed 15 additional floater orders as compared to 44 orders in 2012. Access to equity and debt capital, combined with shortages in highly skilled offshore and onshore personnel, continue to provide a significant entry barrier for the ultra-deepwater rig market. As a result, the majority of ultra-deepwater drilling rigs currently under construction have been ordered by established

drilling companies.

In contrast to the ultra-deepwater market, 37 high specification jackups have been ordered since January 1, 2013. Eighteen of these rigs are being constructed in Chinese shipyards, indicating a shifting trend towards lower cost yards with back-end weighted payment terms. Globally, the strength in all regional jackup markets is being driven by operator demand for newer, more capable rigs to replace the existing old and less capable fleet.

The high level of drilling activity, together with the backlog of rigs under construction, is creating capacity constraints in the global offshore rig equipment supply chain. As a result, equipment delivery lead times are being extended and equipment

15

---



## Table of Contents

prices are increasing which we expect to lead to delayed deliveries. Additionally, the industry is facing a shortage of skilled personnel, which could increase operating costs in certain operating areas in the future.

### Ultra-deepwater and Deepwater Rig Markets

Industry-wide, the percentage of marketed ultra-deepwater rigs under contract remains at approximately 100%, while the percentage of marketed deepwater rigs under contract remains at approximately 94%. For calendar year 2013, the vast majority of both ultra-deepwater and deepwater rigs are contracted for the respective industry-wide fleets with only one of the remaining 18 newbuild floaters being delivered through the remainder of 2013 still uncontracted. Some recent contract fixtures suggest that the day rates and demand for deepwater rigs and older, less capable ultra-deepwater rigs may be impacted negatively by the increased supply of newer ultra-deepwater rigs, with the older, less capable rigs having to price more aggressively to retain or attract demand by operators.

As of July 22, 2013, there were 104 ultra-deepwater drillships and semisubmersibles under construction for delivery through May 2020. This number includes 29 ultra-deepwater rigs to be constructed in shipyards located in Brazil, all of which are under long-term contracts with Petrobras. Of the remaining 75 ultra-deepwater rigs under construction, 38 are currently contracted.

### Our Ultra-deepwater Rig and Deepwater Rig Contract Status

The Atwood Condor, an ultra-deepwater semisubmersible, is operating in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico and is contracted through November 2016. The Atwood Osprey, an ultra-deepwater semisubmersible, is operating offshore Australia and is contracted through May 2017.

The Atwood Eagle and Atwood Falcon, both deepwater semisubmersibles, are operating offshore Australia and are contracted through June 2016 and November 2014, respectively. The Atwood Hunter, a deepwater semisubmersible, is operating offshore Equatorial Guinea and is contracted through October 2013.

The Atwood Advantage, Atwood Achiever, and Atwood Admiral, DP-3 dynamically-positioned, dual derrick, ultra-deepwater drillships rated to operate in water depths up to 12,000 feet, are currently under construction at the Daewoo Shipbuilding and Marine Engineering Co., Ltd. ("DSME") shipyard in South Korea. These drillships will have enhanced technical capabilities, including two seven-ram BOPs, three 100-ton knuckle boom cranes, a 165-ton active heave "tree-running" knuckle boom crane and 200 person accommodations. The Atwood Advantage, Atwood Achiever and Atwood Admiral are scheduled to be delivered in October 2013, June 2014 and March 2015, respectively, at a total cost, including project management, drilling and handling tools and spares, of approximately \$635 million each. Upon delivery from the shipyard, the Atwood Advantage will mobilize to the Eastern Mediterranean Sea to commence a three-year drilling program. The Atwood Achiever will mobilize to Morocco to commence a three-year drilling program upon its delivery from the shipyard.

In June 2013, we entered into a turnkey construction contract with DSME to construct a fourth ultra-deepwater drillship, the Atwood Archer, at the DSME shipyard in South Korea. The Atwood Archer is expected to be delivered by December 31, 2015. The design of the Atwood Archer will be substantially identical to the previously ordered Atwood Advantage, Atwood Achiever and Atwood Admiral.

We retain an option to build an additional ultra-deepwater drillship with DSME that expires March 31, 2014. At this time, we have not made any determination as to whether the option will be exercised or not. In determining whether to exercise the option, we will consider several factors, including oil and natural gas prices, the magnitude of our contract drilling revenue backlog, current and prospective supply and demand dynamics of the ultra-deepwater drilling market, current ultra-deepwater contract day rates, newbuild drillship construction prices and our ability to access the debt capital markets to finance the construction contract.

Although, presently, we do not have drilling contracts for the Atwood Admiral or the Atwood Archer, we expect that the long-term demand for ultra-deepwater drilling services in established and emerging basins should provide us with opportunities to contract these two rigs prior to their respective delivery dates.

### Jackup Rig Market

Bifurcation in day rates and utilization between high specification jackups and standard jackups continues to characterize contracting activity in the jackup market. We expect this bifurcation trend to become more pronounced in the future. The percentage of marketed high specification jackup rigs (i.e., rigs equal to or greater than 350-foot water depth capability) under contract is approximately 98% as compared to 93% for the remainder of the global jackup fleet. Despite the expected increase in global jackup supply due to the continued delivery of high specification newbuild rigs through the end of 2014, we expect

Table of Contents

demand for high specification jackup rigs will remain elevated as operators continue to prefer contracting newer, more capable rigs for their drilling programs.

As a result of newbuild jackup construction programs initiated in 2005 and continuing through 2013, the jackup supply continues to increase. As of July 22, 2013, there were 112 newbuild jackup rigs under construction. Thirty-six of these jackups are scheduled for delivery during the remainder of 2013, of which 11 are contracted and eight are not considered high specification. The remaining 76 rigs are scheduled for delivery in 2014 and 2015.

**Our Jackup Rig Contract Status**

The Atwood Mako, Atwood Manta and Atwood Orca, all high specification jackups, are operating offshore Thailand and are contracted through September 2014, December 2013 and May 2015, respectively. The Atwood Aurora, a high specification jackup, is operating offshore West Africa and is contracted through February 2015. The Atwood Beacon, a high specification jackup, is operating in the eastern Mediterranean Sea and is contracted into September 2015. The Vicksburg, a standard jackup, is also operating offshore Thailand and is contracted through December 2013.

**Idled Rigs**

The Atwood Southern Cross and Seahawk remain idle. We anticipate these two units will not return to service during fiscal year 2013 due to the lack of sufficient continuous demand, and thus, we are not actively marketing these rigs at this time.

**Contract Backlog**

We maintain a backlog of commitments for contract drilling revenues. Our contract backlog at June 30, 2013 was approximately \$3.9 billion, representing an 86% increase compared to our contract backlog of \$2.1 billion at June 30, 2012. We calculate our contract backlog by multiplying the day rate under our drilling contracts by the number of days remaining under the contract, assuming full utilization. The calculation does not include any revenues related to other fees such as for mobilization, demobilization, contract preparation, customer reimbursables and bonuses. The amount of actual revenues earned and the actual periods during which revenues are earned will be different from the amounts disclosed in our backlog calculations due to a lack of predictability of various factors, including newbuild rig delivery dates, unscheduled repairs, maintenance requirements, weather delays and other factors. Such factors may result in lower applicable day rates than the full contractual day rate and/or delays in receiving the full contractual operating rate. In addition, under certain circumstances, our customers may seek to terminate or renegotiate our contracts. See "Risks Related to our Business-Our business may experience reduced profitability if our customers terminate or seek to renegotiate our drilling contracts" under Part I., Item 1A. in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012.

The following table sets forth, as of June 30, 2013, the amount of our contract drilling revenue backlog and the percent of available operating days committed for our actively-marketed drilling units for the periods indicated:

Contract Drilling Revenue Backlog	Remaining Fiscal 2013	Fiscal 2014	Fiscal 2015	Fiscal 2016	Fiscal 2017 and thereafter	Total
(Dollars in millions)						
Ultra-deepwater and Deepwater Jackups	\$209	\$949	\$1,013	\$951	\$363	\$3,485
	81	253	113	—	—	447
	\$290	\$1,202	\$1,126	\$951	\$363	\$3,932
Percent of Available Operating Days Committed	100	% 81	% 53	% 34	% 12	%



Table of Contents

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Contract Drilling Revenues— Contract drilling revenues for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 increased approximately \$94.1 million, or 53%, and \$236.1 million, or 44%, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012. A comparative analysis of revenues is as follows:

(In millions)	REVENUES Three Months Ended June 30,			Nine Months Ended June 30,		
	2013	2012	Variance	2013	2012	Variance
Atwood Condor	\$42.5	\$—	\$42.5	\$122.3	\$—	\$122.3
Atwood Osprey	44.5	43.5	1.0	123.8	120.5	3.3
Atwood Eagle	31.5	31.1	0.4	93.9	100.2	(6.3 )
Atwood Falcon	32.1	17.6	14.5	101.5	58.1	43.4
Atwood Hunter	38.7	47.4	(8.7 )	110.8	140.1	(29.3 )
Atwood Aurora	12.2	14.2	(2.0 )	36.4	39.3	(2.9 )
Atwood Beacon	14.0	10.3	3.7	42.8	31.0	11.8
Atwood Mako	13.2	—	13.2	39.5	—	39.5
Atwood Manta	13.0	—	13.0	28.8	—	28.8
Atwood Orca	9.9	—	9.9	9.9	—	9.9
Vicksburg	9.9	8.1	1.8	29.0	25.4	3.6
Reimbursable	11.2	6.4	4.8	32.3	20.3	12.0
	\$272.7	\$178.6	\$94.1	\$771.0	\$534.9	\$236.1

Our newest ultra-deepwater, semisubmersible drilling rig, the Atwood Condor, commenced its contract in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico at the end of fiscal year 2012 and thus earned no revenue during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to a full three and nine months of revenue for the periods ended June 30, 2013.

While revenues are comparable for the Atwood Eagle for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, revenues are lower for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 as a result of working on a lower day rate contract offshore Australia compared to the prior fiscal period when the rig was also working offshore Australia under a different contract. In addition, the rig incurred 18 zero rate days while undergoing regulatory inspections, planned maintenance, and upgrades in December 2012.

Revenues are higher for the Atwood Falcon for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 as a result of working on a higher day rate contract offshore Australia compared to the prior fiscal periods when the rig was working at a lower day rate offshore Malaysia, in addition to the rig undergoing a shipyard upgrade project from February 2012 to May 2012 during which time no revenues were earned.

The decrease in revenues for the Atwood Hunter for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 is primarily due to the rig working at a lower day rate offshore West Africa under a new drilling contract as compared to the prior fiscal periods.

The increase in revenues for the Atwood Beacon during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 is primarily due to the rig working on a higher day rate contract offshore Israel as compared to the prior fiscal periods when the rig was working at a lower day rate offshore South America.

Our newest active jackup drilling units, the Atwood Mako, the Atwood Manta and the Atwood Orca were delivered from the shipyard and commenced drilling operations offshore Thailand in September 2012, December 2012 and May 2013, respectively, and thus earned no revenue during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012 while the rigs

were under construction.

18

---

Table of Contents

Contract Drilling Costs—Contract drilling costs for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013, increased approximately \$30.6 million, or 35%, and \$92.4 million, or 38%, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012. An analysis of contract drilling costs by rig is as follows:

(In millions)	CONTRACT DRILLING COSTS			CONTRACT DRILLING COSTS		
	Three Months Ended June 30,			Nine Months Ended June 30,		
	2013	2012	Variance	2013	2012	Variance
Atwood Condor	\$16.4	\$—	\$16.4	\$45.3	\$—	\$45.3
Atwood Osprey	16.8	15.3	1.5	47.5	46.6	0.9
Atwood Eagle	15.5	14.5	1.0	50.5	46.3	4.2
Atwood Falcon	16.3	20.5	(4.2)	44.0	38.3	5.7
Atwood Hunter	11.0	11.5	(0.5)	32.6	35.0	(2.4)
Atwood Aurora	6.1	7.1	(1.0)	18.7	23.8	(5.1)
Atwood Beacon	7.0	7.4	(0.4)	25.6	21.4	4.2
Atwood Mako	4.8	—	4.8	15.4	—	15.4
Atwood Manta	4.8	—	4.8	12.0	—	12.0
Atwood Orca	3.7	—	3.7	4.0	—	4.0
Vicksburg	4.9	4.7	0.2	14.2	14.8	(0.6)
Reimbursable	8.5	2.5	6.0	23.4	11.9	11.5
Other	2.2	3.9	(1.7)	4.2	6.9	(2.7)
	\$118.0	\$87.4	\$30.6	\$337.4	\$245.0	\$92.4

The Atwood Condor commenced its contract in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico at the end of fiscal year 2012 and thus incurred a full three and nine months of contract drilling costs for the periods ended June 30, 2013 as compared to no drilling costs incurred during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012 while the rig was under construction.

While contract drilling costs are comparable for the Atwood Eagle for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, the increase in drilling costs for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 is primarily due to the rig undergoing regulatory inspections, planned maintenance, and upgrades in December 2012.

The decrease in contract drilling costs for the Atwood Falcon for the three months ended June 30, 2013 is primarily due to lower equipment related costs associated with maintenance projects. Higher maintenance costs were incurred in the prior fiscal quarter during the shipyard project from February 2012 to May 2012. The increase in contract drilling costs for the Atwood Falcon for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 is primarily the result of working offshore Australia, which has significantly higher personnel costs as compared to working offshore Malaysia in the prior fiscal period.

While contract drilling costs are comparable for the Atwood Hunter for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, the decrease in contract drilling costs for the Atwood Hunter for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 is primarily due to lower equipment related costs associated with maintenance projects incurred in the current fiscal year as compared to the prior fiscal year.

The decrease in contract drilling costs for the Atwood Aurora for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 is primarily attributable to amortization charges relating to mobilization to West Africa recorded in the prior fiscal periods as compared to none in the current fiscal periods.

While contract drilling costs are comparable for the Atwood Beacon for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, the increase in drilling costs for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 is primarily due to monthly

amortization charges relating to mobilization from South America to the Mediterranean Sea in the current fiscal period as compared to none in the prior fiscal period.

The Atwood Mako, the Atwood Manta and the Atwood Orca were delivered from the shipyard and commenced drilling operations offshore Thailand in September 2012, December 2012, and May 2013, respectively, and thus incurred no contract drilling costs during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012 while the rigs were under construction.



Table of Contents

Depreciation—Depreciation expense for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 increased approximately \$14.7 million, or 94%, and \$40.0 million, or 86%, respectively, compared to the three and nine months ended June 30, 2012. A comparative analysis of depreciation expense by rig is as follows:

(In millions)	DEPRECIATION EXPENSE			DEPRECIATION EXPENSE		
	Three Months Ended June 30,			Nine Months Ended June 30,		
	2013	2012	Variance	2013	2012	Variance
Atwood Condor	\$8.0	\$—	\$8.0	\$24.0	\$—	\$24.0
Atwood Osprey	6.7	6.2	0.5	19.9	18.7	1.2
Atwood Eagle	1.4	1.4	—	4.2	4.1	0.1
Atwood Falcon	2.0	1.8	0.2	6.0	4.3	1.7
Atwood Hunter	1.7	1.6	0.1	5.0	4.8	0.2
Atwood Aurora	1.8	1.8	—	5.5	5.6	(0.1)
Atwood Beacon	1.5	1.2	0.3	4.1	3.7	0.4
Atwood Mako	1.9	—	1.9	5.7	—	5.7
Atwood Manta	1.9	—	1.9	4.5	—	4.5
Atwood Orca	1.3	—	1.3	1.3	—	1.3
Vicksburg	0.6	0.5	0.1	1.6	1.4	0.2
Other	1.6	1.2	0.4	4.6	3.8	0.8
	\$30.4	\$15.7	\$14.7	\$86.4	\$46.4	