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## PART I

Item 1. Description of Business

## A. General

MAIN STREET TRUST, INC. (the "Company"), an Illinois corporation, is a bank holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the "BHCA"). The Company was incorporated on August 12, 1999, and is the parent company of BankIllinois, The First National Bank of Decatur and FirsTech, Inc.

On March 23, 2000, the Company acquired all of the outstanding stock of BankIllinois, The First National Bank of Decatur, First Trust Bank of Shelbyville and FirsTech, Inc. following the merger of BankIllinois Financial Corporation and First Decatur Bancshares, Inc. into the Company. The merger, which was accounted for as a pooling of interests, was completed on March 23, 2000. Accordingly, prior period consolidated financial data has been restated as though the prior entities had been consolidated for all periods presented. The Company subsequently merged the Company's former banking subsidiary, First Trust Bank of Shelbyville, into BankIllinois effective June 19, 2002.
B. Business of the Company and Subsidiaries

## General

The Company conducts the business of banking and offers trust services through BankIllinois and The First National Bank of Decatur (the "Banks"), and retail payment processing through FirsTech, Inc., its wholly owned subsidiaries. As of December 31, 2002, the Company had consolidated total assets of $\$ 1.123$ billion, shareholders' equity of $\$ 134.470$ million and trust assets under administration of approximately $\$ 1.415$ billion. Substantially all of the income of the Company is currently derived from dividends received from the Banks. The amount of these dividends is directly related to the earnings of the Banks and is subject to various regulatory restrictions. See "Regulation and Supervision."
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## Banking Segment

The Banks conduct a general banking business embracing most of the services, both consumer and commercial, which banks may lawfully provide, including the following principal services: the acceptance of deposits to demand, savings, time and individual retirement accounts and the servicing of such accounts; commercial, consumer and real estate lending, including installment loans and personal lines of credit; safe deposit operations; and additional services tailored to the needs of individual customers, such as the sale of traveler's checks, cashier's checks and other specialized services. The Company offers personalized financial planning services through the PrimeVest Investment Center and through Raymond James, which services include a broad spectrum of investment products, including stocks, bonds, mutual funds and tax advantaged investments. In addition, the trust \& investments division offers a wide range of services such as investment management, acting as trustee, serving as guardian, executor or agent, farm management, 401K administration and miscellaneous consulting.

Commercial lending at the Banks covers such categories as agriculture, manufacturing, capital, inventory, construction, real estate development and commercial mortgages. Commercial lending, particularly loans to small and medium sized businesses, accounts for a major portion of the Banks' loan portfolios. The Banks' retail banking divisions make loans to consumers for various purposes, including home equity and automobile loans. The consumer mortgage loan departments, which are part of the retail banking divisions, specialize in real estate loans to individuals. The Banks also purchase installment obligations from retailers, primarily without recourse.

The Banks' principal sources of income are interest and fees on loans and investments and service fees. Their principal expenses are interest paid on deposits and general operating expenses. The Banks' primary service area is Central Illinois.

## Remittance Services Segment

FirsTech, Inc. provides the following services to electric, water and gas utilities, telecommunication companies, cable television firms and charitable organizations: retail lockbox processing of payments delivered by mail on behalf of the biller; processing of payments delivered by customers to pay agents such as grocery stores, convenience stores and currency exchanges; and concentration of payments delivered by the Automated Clearing House network, money management software such as Quicken and through networks such as Visa e-Pay and Mastercard RPS. For the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, FirsTech, Inc. accounted for $\$ 7.5$ million (9\%), $\$ 7.7 \mathrm{million}(9 \%)$, and $\$ 7.6$ million ( $8 \%$ ), respectively, of the consolidated total revenues of the company and accounted for $\$ 2.4$ million (9\%), $\$ 2.1$ million (9\%), and $\$ 1.7$ million (9\%), respectively, of the consolidated income before income tax of the Company. See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for an analysis of segment operations.

FirsTech, Inc. provides retail lockbox processing for organizations. In 2002, 2001 and 2000, remittance processing for these companies accounted for approximately $41 \%$, $42 \%$ and $52 \%$, respectively, of the total revenue of FirsTech, Inc.

FirsTech, Inc. processes payments delivered by customers to pay agents. Many businesses and merchants such as grocery stores and convenience stores located throughout the United States serve as agents of utilities in collecting customer payments. In 2002, 2001 and 2000, the remittance collection business for these companies accounted for approximately $54 \%$, $53 \%$ and $41 \%$, respectively, of the total revenue of FirsTech, Inc.
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FirsTech, Inc. competes in the retail payment processing business with companies that range from large national companies to small, local businesses. In addition, many companies do their own remittance processing rather than out-source the work to an independent processor such as FirsTech, Inc. The principal methods of competition in the remittance processing industry are pricing of services, use of technology and quality of service.

## C. Competition

The Company faces strong competition both in originating loans and in attracting deposits. Competition in originating real estate loans comes primarily from other commercial banks, savings institutions and mortgage bankers making loans secured by real estate located in the Company's market area. Commercial banks and finance companies, including finance company affiliates of automobile manufacturers, provide vigorous competition in consumer lending. The Company competes for real estate and other loans principally on the basis of the interest rates and loan fees it charges, the types of loans it originates and the quality of services it provides to borrowers.

The Company faces substantial competition in attracting deposits from other commercial banks, savings institutions, money market and mutual funds, credit unions and other investment vehicles. The ability of the Company to attract and retain deposits depends on its ability to provide investment opportunities that satisfy the requirements of investors as to rate of return, liquidity, risk and other factors. The Company attracts a significant amount of deposits through its branch offices, primarily from the communities in which those branch offices are located; therefore, competition for those deposits is principally from other commercial banks and savings institutions located in the same communities. The Company competes for these deposits by offering a variety of deposit accounts at competitive rates, convenient business hours and convenient branch locations with interbranch deposit and withdrawal privileges at each.

Under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act which was enacted in 2000, securities firms and insurance companies that elect to become financial holding companies may acquire banks and other financial institutions. This may significantly change the competitive environment in which the Company and the Banks conduct business. The financial services industry is also likely to become more competitive as further technological advances enable more companies to provide financial services. These technological advances may diminish the importance of depository institutions and other financial intermediaries in the transfer of funds between parties.

## D. Monetary Policy and Economic Conditions

The earnings of commercial banks and bank holding companies are affected not only by general economic conditions, but also by the policies of various governmental regulatory agencies. In particular, the Federal Reserve regulates money and credit conditions and interest rates in order to influence general economic conditions and interest rates, primarily through open market operations in U. S. government securities, varying the discount rate on member banks and nonmember bank borrowings and setting reserve requirements against bank deposits. Such Federal Reserve policies and acts have a significant influence on overall growth and distribution of bank loans, investments, deposits and related interest rates. The Company cannot accurately predict the effect, if any, such policies and acts may have in the future on its business or earnings.

## E. Supervision and Regulation
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General

Financial institutions, their holding companies and their affiliates are extensively regulated under federal and state law. As a result, the growth and earnings performance of the company may be affected not only by management decisions and general economic conditions, but also by the requirements of federal and state statutes and by the regulations and policies of various bank regulatory authorities, including the Illinois Commissioner of Banks and Real Estate (the "Commissioner"), the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the "OCC"), the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve") and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC"). Furthermore, taxation laws administered by the Internal Revenue Service and state taxing authorities and securities laws administered by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") and state securities authorities have an impact on the business of the company. The effect of these statutes, regulations and regulatory policies may be significant, and cannot be predicted with a high degree of certainty.

Federal and state laws and regulations generally applicable to financial institutions regulate, among other things, the scope of business, the kinds and amounts of investments, reserve requirements, capital levels relative to operations, the nature and amount of collateral for loans, the establishment of branches, mergers and consolidations and the payment of dividends. This system of supervision and regulation establishes a comprehensive framework for the respective operations of the Company and its subsidiaries and is intended primarily for the protection of the FDIC insured deposits and depositors of the Bank Subsidiaries, rather than shareholders.

The following is a summary of the material elements of the regulatory framework that applies to the Company and its subsidiaries. It does not describe all of the statutes, regulations and regulatory policies that apply, nor does it restate all of the requirements of those that are described. As such, the following is qualified in its entirety by reference to applicable law. Any change in statutes, regulations or regulatory policies may have a material effect on the business of the Company and its subsidiaries.

## The Company

General. The Company, as the sole shareholder of the Bank Subsidiaries, is a bank holding company. As a bank holding company, the Company is registered with, and is subject to regulation by, the Federal Reserve under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the "BHCA"). In accordance with Federal Reserve policy, the Company is expected to act as a source of financial strength to the Bank Subsidiaries and to commit resources to support the Bank Subsidiaries in circumstances where the Company might not otherwise do so. Under the BHCA, the Company is subject to periodic examination by the Federal Reserve. The Company is also required to file with the federal Reserve periodic reports of the Company's operations and such additional information regarding the Company and its subsidiaries as the Federal Reserve may require.
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Acquisitions, Activities and Change in Control. The primary purpose of a bank holding company is to control and manage banks. The BHCA generally requires the prior approval of the Federal Reserve for any merger involving a bank holding company or any acquisition by a bank holding company of another bank or bank holding company acquisition involving a bank holding company. Subject to certain conditions (including deposit concentration limits established by the BHCA), the Federal Reserve may allow a bank holding company to acquire banks located in any state of the United States. In approving interstate acquisitions, the Federal Reserve is required to give effect to applicable state law limitations on the
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aggregate amount of deposits that may be held by the acquiring bank holding company and its insured depository institution affiliates in the state in which the target bank is located (provided that those limits do not discriminate against out-of-state depository institutions or their holding companies) and state laws that require that the target bank have been in existence for a minimum period of time (not to exceed five years) before being acquired by an out-of-state bank holding company.

The BHCA generally prohibits the Company from acquiring direct or indirect ownership or control of more than $5 \%$ of the voting shares of any company that is not a bank and from engaging in any business other than that of banking, managing and controlling banks or furnishing services to banks and their subsidiaries. This general prohibition is subject to a number of exceptions. The principal exception allows bank holding companies to engage in, and to own shares of companies engaged in, certain businesses found by the Federal Reserve to be "so closely related to banking ... as to be a proper incident thereto." This authority would permit the Company to engage in a variety of banking-related businesses, including the operation of a thrift, consumer finance, equipment leasing, the operation of a computer service bureau (including software development), and mortgage banking and brokerage. The BHCA generally does not place territorial restrictions on the domestic activities of non-bank subsidiaries of bank holding companies.

Additionally, bank holding companies that meet certain eligibility requirements prescribed by the BHCA and elect to operate as financial holding companies may engage in, or own shares in companies engaged in, a wider range of nonbanking activities, including securities and insurance underwriting and sales, merchant banking and any other activity that the Federal Reserve, in consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury, determines by regulation or order is financial in nature, incidental to any such financial activity or complementary to any such financial activity and does not pose a substantial risk to the safety or soundness of depository institutions or the financial system generally. The Company has received approval to operate as a financial holding company.

Federal law also prohibits any person or company from acquiring "control" of an FDIC-insured depository institution or its holding company without prior notice to the appropriate federal bank regulator. "Control" is conclusively presumed to exist upon the acquisition of $25 \%$ or more of the outstanding voting securities of a bank or bank holding company, but may arise under certain circumstances at 10\% ownership.

Capital Requirements. Bank holding companies are required to maintain minimum levels of capital in accordance with Federal Reserve capital adequacy guidelines. If capital levels fall below the minimum required levels, a bank holding company, among other things, may be denied approval to acquire or establish additional banks or non-bank businesses.

The Federal Reserve's capital guidelines establish the following minimum regulatory capital requirements for bank holding companies: (i) a risk-based requirement expressed as a percentage of total assets weighted according to risk; and (ii) a leverage requirement expressed as a percentage of total assets. The risk-based requirement consists of a minimum ratio of total capital to total risk-weighted assets of $8 \%$ and a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to total risk-weighted assets of $4 \%$. The leverage requirement consists of a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to total assets of $3 \%$ for the most highly rated companies, with a minimum requirement of $4 \%$ for all others. For purposes of these capital standards, Tier 1 capital consists primarily of permanent shareholders' equity less intangible assets (other than certain loan servicing rights and purchased credit card relationships). Total capital consists primarily of Tier 1 capital plus certain other debt and equity instruments that do not qualify as Tier 1 capital and a portion of the company's allowance for loan losses.
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The risk-based and leverage standards described above are minimum requirements. Higher capital levels will be required if warranted by the particular circumstances or risk profiles of individual banking organizations. For example, the Federal Reserve's capital guidelines contemplate that additional capital may be required to take adequate account of, among other things, interest rate risk, or the risks posed by concentrations of credit, nontraditional activities or securities trading activities. Further, any banking organization experiencing or anticipating significant growth would be expected to maintain capital ratios, including tangible capital positions (i.e., Tier 1 capital less all intangible assets), well above the minimum levels. As of December 31, 2002, the Company had regulatory capital in excess of the Federal Reserve's minimum requirements.

Dividend Payments. The Company's ability to pay dividends to its shareholders may be affected by both general corporate law considerations and policies of the Federal Reserve applicable to bank holding companies. As an Illinois corporation, the Company is subject to the limitations of the Illinois Business Corporation Act, as amended, which prohibits the Company from paying a dividend if, after giving effect to the dividend: (i) the Company would be insolvent; or (ii) the net assets of the Company would be less than zero; or (iii) the net assets of the Company would be less than the maximum amount then payable to shareholders of the company who would have preferential distribution rights if the Company were liquidated. Additionally, policies of the Federal Reserve caution that a bank holding company should not pay cash dividends that exceed its net income or that can only be funded in ways that weaken the bank holding company's financial health, such as by borrowing. The Federal Reserve also possesses enforcement powers over bank holding companies and their non-bank subsidiaries to prevent or remedy actions that represent unsafe or unsound practices or violations of applicable statutes and regulations. Among these powers is the ability to proscribe the payment of dividends by banks and bank holding companies.

Federal Securities Regulation. The Company's common stock is registered with the SEC under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Consequently, the Company is subject to the information, proxy solicitation, insider trading and other restrictions and requirements of the SEC under the Exchange Act.

The Bank Subsidiaries

General. BankIllinois is an Illinois-chartered bank, the deposit accounts of which are insured by the FDIC's Bank Insurance Fund ("BIF"). As an Illinois-chartered FDIC-insured bank, BankIllinois is subject to the examination, supervision, reporting and enforcement requirements of the Commissioner, the chartering authority for Illinois banks, and the FDIC, designated by federal law as the primary federal regulator of insured state banks that, like BankIllinois, are not members of the Federal Reserve System. BankIllinois is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank System, which provides a central credit facility primarily for member institutions.

The First National Bank of Decatur ("Decatur") is a national bank, chartered by the OCC under the National Bank Act. The deposit accounts of Decatur are insured by the BIF, and Decatur is a member of the Federal Reserve System and the Federal Home Loan Bank System. Decatur is subject to the examination, supervision, reporting and enforcement requirements of the OCC, the chartering authority for national banks. The FDIC, as administrator of the BIF, also has regulatory authority over Decatur.

Deposit Insurance. As FDIC-insured institutions, the Banks are required to pay deposit insurance premium assessments to the FDIC. The FDIC has adopted a
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risk-based assessment system under which all insured depository institutions are placed into one of nine categories and assessed insurance premiums based upon their respective levels of capital and results of supervisory evaluations. Institutions classified as well-capitalized (as defined by the FDIC) and considered healthy pay the lowest premium while institutions that are less than adequately capitalized (as defined by the FDIC) and considered of substantial supervisory concern pay the highest premium. Risk classification of all insured institutions is made by the FDIC for each semi-annual assessment period.
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During the year ended December 31, 2002, BIF assessments ranged from $0 \%$ of deposits to $0.27 \%$ of deposits. For the semi-annual assessment period beginning January 1, 2003, BIF assessment rates will continue to range from $0 \%$ of deposits to $0.27 \%$ of deposits.

FICO Assessments. Since 1987, a portion of the deposit insurance assessments paid by members of the FDIC's Savings Association Insurance Fund ("SAIF") has been used to cover interest payments due on the outstanding obligations of the Financing Corporation ("FICO"). FICO was created in 1987 to finance the recapitalization of the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation, the SAIF's predecessor insurance fund. As a result of federal legislation enacted in 1996, beginning as of January 1, 1997, both SAIF members and BIF members became subject to assessments to cover the interest payments on outstanding FICO obligations until the final maturity of such obligations in 2019. These FICO assessments are in addition to amounts assessed by the FDIC for deposit insurance. During the year ended December 31, 2002 the FICO assessment rate for BIF and SAIF members was approximately $0.02 \%$ of deposits.

Supervisory Assessments. All Illinois banks and national banks are required to pay supervisory assessments to the Commissioner and the occ, respectively, to fund the operations of such agencies. The amount of the assessment paid by an Illinois bank to the Commissioner is calculated on the basis of the institution's total assets, including consolidated subsidiaries, as reported to the Commissioner. In the case of a national bank, the amount of the assessment paid to the OCC is calculated using a formula that takes into account the bank's size and its supervisory condition (as determined by the composite rating assigned to the bank as a result of its most recent OCC examination). During the year ended December 31, 2002, BankIllinois paid supervisory assessments to the Commissioner totaling $\$ 104,000$ and Decatur paid supervisory assessments to the OCC totaling $\$ 107,000$.

Capital Requirements. Banks are generally required to maintain capital levels in excess of other businesses. The federal bank regulatory agencies have established the following minimum capital standards for insured state and national banks, such as the Bank Subsidiaries: (i) a leverage requirement consisting of a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to total assets of $3 \%$ for the most highly-rated banks with a minimum requirement of at least $4 \%$ for all others; and (ii) a risk-based capital requirement consisting of a minimum ratio of total capital to total risk-weighted assets of $8 \%$, and a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to total risk-weighted assets of $4 \%$. For purposes of these capital standards, the components of Tier 1 capital and total capital are the same as those for bank holding companies discussed above.

The capital requirements described above are minimum requirements. Higher capital levels will be required if warranted by the particular circumstances or risk profiles of individual institutions. For example, the regulations of the FDIC and the OCC provide that additional capital may be required to take adequate account of, among other things, interest rate risk or the risks posed by concentrations of credit, nontraditional activities or securities trading activities.
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Further, federal law and regulations provide various incentives to financial institutions to maintain regulatory capital at levels in excess of minimum regulatory requirements. For example, a financial institution that is "well-capitalized" may qualify for exemptions from prior notice or application requirements otherwise applicable to certain types of activities and may qualify for expedited processing of other required notices or applications. Additionally, one of the criteria which determines a bank holding company's eligibility to operate as a financial holding company is a requirement that all of its financial institution subsidiaries be "well-capitalized". Under the regulations of the FDIC and the OCC, in order to be "well-capitalized", a financial institution must maintain a ratio of total capital to total risk-weighted assets of $10 \%$ or greater, a ratio of Tier 1 capital to total risk-weighted assets of $6 \%$ or greater and a ratio of Tier 1 capital to total assets of $5 \%$ or greater.

Federal law also provides the federal banking regulators with broad power to take prompt corrective action to resolve the problems of undercapitalized institutions. The extent of the regulators' powers depends on whether the institution in question is "adequately capitalized", "undercapitalized", "significantly undercapitalized", or "critically undercapitalized", in each case as defined by regulation. Depending upon the capital category to which an institution is assigned, the regulators' corrective powers include: (i) requiring the institution to submit a capital restoration plan; (ii) limiting the institution's asset growth and restricting its activities; (iii) requiring the institution to issue additional capital stock (including additional voting stock) or to be acquired; (iv) restricting transactions between the institution and its affiliates; (v) restricting the interest rate the institution may pay on deposits; (vi) ordering a new election of directors of the institution; (vii) requiring that senior executive officers or directors be dismissed; (viii) prohibiting the institution from accepting deposits from correspondent banks; (ix) requiring the institution to divest certain subsidiaries; (x) prohibiting the payment of principal or interest on subordinated debt; and (xi) ultimately, appointing a receiver for the institution.

As of December 31, 2002: (i) none of the Bank Subsidiaries were subject to a directive from the FDIC (in the case of BankIllinois) or the OCC (in the case of Decatur) to increase its capital to an amount in excess of the minimum regulatory capital requirements; (ii) each of the Bank Subsidiaries exceeded its minimum regulatory capital requirements under applicable capital adequacy guidelines; and (iii) each of the Bank Subsidiaries was well-capitalized", as defined by applicable regulations.

Liability of Commonly Controlled Institutions. Institutions insured by the FDIC may be liable for any loss incurred by, or reasonably expected to be incurred by, the FDIC in connection with the default of commonly controlled FDIC-insured depository institutions or any assistance provided by the FDIC to commonly controlled FDIC insured depository institutions in danger of default. Because the Company controls each of the Bank Subsidiaries, the Bank Subsidiaries are commonly controlled.

Dividend Payments. The primary source of funds for the Company is dividends from the Banks. Under the Illinois Banking Act, BankIllinois generally may not pay dividends in excess of their net profits. Under the National Bank Act, Decatur may pay dividends out of its undivided profits in such amounts and at such times as its board of directors deemed prudent. Without prior OCC approval, Decatur may not pay dividends in any calendar year that, in the aggregate, exceed its year-to-date net income plus its retained net income for the two preceding years.
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The payment of dividends by any financial institution or its holding company is affected by the requirements to maintain adequate capital pursuant to applicable capital adequacy guidelines and regulations, and a financial institution generally is prohibited from paying any dividends if, following payment thereof, the institution would be undercapitalized. As described above, each of the Bank Subsidiaries exceeded its minimum capital requirements under applicable guidelines as of December 31, 2002. As of December 31, 2002, approximately $\$ 51,808,000$ was available to be paid as dividends by the Bank Subsidiaries. Notwithstanding the availability of funds for dividends, however, the FDIC (in the case of BankIllinois) and the OCC (in the case of Decatur) may prohibit the payment of any dividends by the Bank Subsidiaries if the agency determines such payment would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice.

Insider Transactions. The Bank Subsidiaries are subject to certain restrictions imposed by federal law on extensions of credit to the Company and its subsidiaries, on investments in the stock or other securities of the Company and its subsidiaries and the acceptance of the stock or other securities of the Company or its subsidiaries as collateral for loans made by the Bank Subsidiaries. Certain limitations and reporting requirements are also placed on extensions of credit by the Bank Subsidiaries to their directors and officers, to directors and officers of the Company and its subsidiaries, to principal shareholders of the Company, and to "related interests" of such directors, officers and principal shareholders. In addition, federal law and regulations may affect the terms upon which any person who is a director or officer of the Company or one of its subsidiaries or a principal shareholder of the Company may obtain credit from banks with which the Bank Subsidiaries maintain correspondent relationships.

Safety and Soundness Standards. The federal banking agencies have adopted guidelines that establish operational and managerial standards to promote the safety and soundness of federally insured depository institutions. The guidelines set forth standards for internal controls, information systems, internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate exposure, asset growth, compensation, fees and benefits, asset quality and earnings.

In general, the safety and soundness guidelines prescribe the goals to be achieved in each area, and each institution is responsible for establishing its own procedures to achieve those goals. If an institution fails to comply with any of the standards set forth in the guidelines, the institution's primary federal regulator may require the institution to submit a plan for achieving and maintaining compliance. If an institution fails to submit an acceptable compliance plan, or fails in any material respect to implement a compliance plan that has been accepted by its primary federal regulator, the regulator is required to issue an order directing the institution to cure the deficiency. Until the deficiency cited in the regulator's order is cured, the regulator may restrict the institution's rate of growth, require the institution to increase its capital, restrict the rates the institution pays on deposits or require the institution to take any action the regulator deems appropriate under the circumstances. Noncompliance with the standards established by the safety and soundness guidelines may also constitute grounds for other enforcement action by the federal banking regulators, including cease and desist orders and civil money penalty assessments.

Branching Authority. Illinois banks, such as BankIllinois, have the authority under Illinois law to establish branches anywhere in the State of Illinois, subject to receipt of all required regulatory approvals. National banks
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headquartered in Illinois, such as Decatur, have the same branching rights in Illinois as banks chartered under Illinois law, subject to occ approval.

State and national banks are allowed to establish interstate branch networks through acquisitions of other banks, subject to certain conditions, including certain limitations on the aggregate amount of deposits that may be held by the surviving bank and all of its insured depository institution affiliates. The establishment of new interstate branches or the acquisition of individual branches of a bank in another state (rather than the acquisition of an out-of-state bank in its entirety) is allowed only if specifically authorized by state law. Illinois law permits interstate mergers subject to certain conditions, including a condition requiring an Illinois bank involved in an interstate merger to have been in existence and continuous operation for more than five years.

State Bank Investments and Activities. BankIllinois generally is permitted to make investments and engage in activities directly or through subsidiaries as authorized by Illinois law. However, under federal law and FDIC regulations, FDIC insured state banks are prohibited, subject to certain exceptions, from making or retaining equity investments of a type, or in an amount, that are not permissible for a national bank. Federal law and FDIC regulations also prohibit FDIC insured state banks and their subsidiaries, subject to certain exceptions, from engaging as principal in any activity that is not permitted for a national bank unless the bank meets, and continues to meet, its minimum regulatory capital requirements and the FDIC determines the activity would not pose a significant risk to the deposit insurance fund of which the bank is a member. These restrictions have not had, and are not currently expected to have, a material impact on the operations of BankIllinois.

Financial Subsidiaries. Under Federal law and OCC regulations, national banks are authorized to engage, through "financial subsidiaries", in any activity that is permissible for a financial holding company and any activity that the Secretary of the Treasury, in consultation with the Federal Reserve, determines is financial in nature or incidental to any such financial activity, except (i) insurance underwriting, (ii) real estate development or real estate investment activities (unless otherwise permitted by law), (iii) insurance company portfolio investments and (iv) merchant banking. The authority of a national bank to invest in a financial subsidiary is subject to a number of conditions, including, among other things, requirements that the bank must be well-managed and well-capitalized (after deducting from capital the bank's outstanding investments in financial subsidiaries). Federal law also provides that state banks may invest in financial subsidiaries (assuming they have the requisite investment authority under applicable state law) subject to substantially the same conditions that apply to national bank investments in financial subsidiaries. None of the Bank Subsidiaries has applied for or received approval to establish any financial subsidiaries.

Federal Reserve System. Federal Reserve regulations, as presently in effect, require depository institutions to maintain non-interest earning reserves against their transaction accounts (primarily NOW and regular checking accounts), as follows: for transaction accounts aggregating $\$ 42.1$ million or less, the reserve requirement is $3 \%$ of total transaction accounts; and for transaction accounts aggregating in excess of $\$ 42.1$ million, the reserve requirement is $\$ 1.083$ million plus $10 \%$ of the aggregate amount of total transaction accounts in excess of $\$ 42.1$ million. The first $\$ 6.0$ million of otherwise reservable balances are exempted from the reserve requirements. These reserve requirements are subject to annual adjustment by the Federal Reserve. The Bank Subsidiaries are in compliance with the foregoing requirements.
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## F. Employees

The Company had a total of 439 employees at December 31, 2002, consisting of 330 full-time employees and 109 part-time. The Company places a high priority on staff development, which involves extensive training, including customer service training. New employees are selected on the basis of both technical skills and customer service capabilities. None of the Company's employees are covered by a collective bargaining agreement with the Company or its subsidiaries. The Company offers a variety of employee benefits, and management considers its employee relations to be excellent.

## G. Internet Website

The Company maintains internet sites for its subsidiary banks at www.bankillinois.com and www.1stdecatur.com. The Company makes available free of charge on these sites its annual report on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form $8-K$ and other reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section $13(\mathrm{a})$ or $15(\mathrm{~d})$ of the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practicable after it electronically files such material with, or furnishes it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Item 2. Properties
The Company and its subsidiaries conduct business in seventeen locations. The Company and BankIllinois' headquarters are located at 100 W . University Ave. in Champaign, Illinois. The Company and/or its subsidiaries own the land and buildings for eleven locations and lease six locations, three of which are located in supermarkets.

All of the Banks own their main banking facilities. The Company believes that its facilities are adequate to serve its present needs.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings
In the course of business, the Company and its subsidiaries become involved in various legal proceedings, claims and litigation arising out of the ordinary course of business. As of the date of filing this report, there were no causes of action which would have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position of the Company.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders
There were no items submitted to a vote of security holders in the fourth quarter of 2002 .

PART II

Item 5. Market For Registrant's Common Equity And Related Shareholder Matters
The Company's common stock was held by approximately 700 shareholders of record as of March 17, 2003 and is traded in the over-the-counter market.

The following table shows, for the periods indicated, the range of prices per share of the Company's common stock in the over-the-counter market, as reported to the Company by the brokers known to the Company to regularly follow the market for the common stock. Certain other private transactions may have occurred during the periods indicated of which the Company has no knowledge. The following prices represent inter-dealer prices without retail markups, markdowns or commissions, and have not been adjusted to reflect the $5 \%$ stock dividends paid by the Company in the third quarter of 2001.

Cash
High Low Dividends

| 2001 | First quarter | \$ | 18.88 | \$ | 16.63 | \$ | 0.10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Second quarter |  | 19.25 |  | 18.33 |  | 0.11 |
|  | Third quarter |  | 19.25 |  | 17.50 |  | 0.11 |
|  | Fourth quarter |  | 18.70 |  | 17.55 |  | 0.11 |
| 2002 | First quarter | \$ | 18.95 | \$ | 17.85 | \$ | 0.13 |
|  | Second quarter |  | 24.00 |  | 18.70 |  | 0.13 |
|  | Third quarter |  | 24.30 |  | 22.60 |  | 0.13 |
|  | Fourth quarter |  | 24.95 |  | 24.00 |  | 0.13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Durin } \\ & \text { divid } \end{aligned}$ | fourth quarter which was paid |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Compans } \\ & 2003 . \end{aligned}$ |  | lared bilit | \$0 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { per } \\ & \text { he Con } \end{aligned}$ |
| divid | in the future |  | arily |  | dent |  | its |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { divid } \\ & \text { consi } \end{aligned}$ | from the Banks the earnings | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nir } \\ & \text { red } \end{aligned}$ | cash irement | ivi | nds, debt and | e | ard idend |
| requi | nts, financial | el | nes it | h | estab | s | , th |
| condi | of the Company | 崖 | ant fa |  | . The | Ban | ' abi |
| divid | to the Compan | Com | any's | a | ty to |  | ivide |
| share | ders are also sub | ai | regula |  | restri |  | S |
| - Sup | ision and Regulat |  | y - Di |  | d Pay |  |  |
| Super more | ion and Regulatio iled description |  | Subsidi ations. | r | - Div | den | Paym |
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Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data
The following table presents selected consolidated financial information for the Company for each of the five years ended December 31, 2002. The selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company, including the related notes, presented elsewhere herein.


| Total assets | \$1,122,728 | \$1,151,511 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment in debt and equity securities | 316,210 | 335,422 |
| Loans held for investment, net | 664,142 | 673,061 |
| Deposits | 868,586 | 884,109 |
| Borrowings | 108,457 | 120,102 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 134,470 | 135,993 |
| Total shareholders' equity to total assets | 11.98\% | 11.81\% |
| Average shareholders' equity to average assets | 12.35\% | 11.91\% |

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis is designed to provide the reader with a comprehensive review of the consolidated results of operations for 2002,2001 and 2000 for the Company, including all subsidiaries, and an analysis of the Company's financial condition at December 31, 2002 compared to December 31, 2001 and at December 31, 2001 compared to December 31, 2000. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes, which begin at page 38 of this report.

## Overview

The years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 were years of transition for the Company involving fundamental reorganization of the consolidated organization. During the first quarter of 2000 , the Company was formed as a result of the "merger of equals" of two strong financial services companies. Despite being in this post-merger transitional period, and facing a worsening economic environment and sagging consumer confidence, the Company posted record results during 2001 and 2002. Each of the last three years had non-recurring items. Non-recurring items during 2001 and 2000 , many of which were merger related, had significant effects on the Company's reported results.

Merger and related non-recurring restructuring expenses incurred in 2002 consisted of $\$ 529,000$ of termination of employment contracts, $\$ 40,000$ of professional fees and $\$ 38,000$ of data processing expenses, offset by $\$ 243,000$ of tax benefit. The resulting effect of these items on basic and diluted earnings per share was a decrease of $\$ 0.03$ for the year 2002. Merger and related non-recurring restructuring expenses incurred in 2001 consisted of $\$ 70,000$ of data processing expense and $\$ 256,000$ of termination of employment contracts, offset by $\$ 111,000$ of tax benefit. Also during 2001, a $\$ 2,500,000$ reconciliation liability expense, net of tax of $\$ 1,000,000$, was reversed against non-interest expense. The resulting effect of these items on basic and diluted earnings per share for 2001 , was an increase of approximately $\$ 0.12$ and $\$ 0.11$ respectively. Costs incurred in 2000 associated with the merger and related non-recurring restructuring consisted of $\$ 2,544,000$ of professional fees, $\$ 1,036,000$ of early retirement and termination of employment contracts and $\$ 587,000$ of expense related to computer equipment write-down, offset by $\$ 639,000$ of tax benefit. The resulting effect of these costs on basic and diluted earnings per share was a decrease of approximately $\$ 0.32$ and $\$ 0.31$ respectively, for 2000 .

During the second quarter of 2002, the Company completed a tender offer in which 711,832 of its shares of common stock were acquired for an aggregate cost of $\$ 16.556$ million. Also in the second quarter of 2002 , one of the Company's bank subsidiaries, First Trust Bank of Shelbyville, was merged into BankIllinois.

Segment Operations
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FirsTech, Inc. operates as a separate segment of the Company. Results of Firstech, Inc.'s operations are included as non-interest income and non-interest expense of the Company.

## Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's significant accounting policies are more fully described in Note 2 to the Company's consolidated financial statements located in Item 8 of this Annual Report on form $10-K$. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses and the related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results could differ from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions. The Company believes that it has one critical accounting policy that is subject to estimates and judgements used in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses is a material estimate that is particularly susceptible to significant changes in the near term. The allowance for loan losses is increased by provisions charged to operations and is reduced by loan charge-offs less recoveries. Management utilizes an approach, which provides for general and specific valuation allowances, that is based on current economic conditions, past losses, collection experience, risk characteristics of the portfolio, assessment of collateral values by obtaining independent appraisals for significant properties, and such other factors which, in management's judgment, deserve current recognition in estimating loan losses, to determine the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses.

The allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans that are identified for evaluation is based on discounted cash flow using the loan's initial effective interest rate or the fair value, less selling costs, of the collateral for collateral dependent loans. Loans are categorized as "impaired" when, based on current information or events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due, including principal and interest, in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The Company reviews all non-accrual and substantially delinquent loans, as well as problem loans identified by management, for impairment as defined above. A specific reserve amount will be established for impaired loans in which the present value of the expected cash flows to be generated is less than the amount of the loan recorded on the Company's books. As an alternative to discounting, the Company may use the "fair value" of any collateral supporting a collateral-dependent loan in reviewing the necessity for establishing a specific loan loss reserve amount. Specific reserves will be established for accounts having a collateral deficiency estimated to be $\$ 50,000$ or more. The Company's general reserve is maintained at an adequate level to cover accounts having a collateral deficiency of less than $\$ 50,000$. Loans evaluated as groups or homogeneous pools of loans will be excluded from this analysis.

The Company utilizes its data processing system to identify loan payments not made by their contractual due dates and calculate the number of days each loan exceeds the contractual due date. The accrual of interest on any loan is discontinued when, in the opinion of management, there is reasonable doubt as to the collectibility of interest or principal. Management believes the allowance for loan losses is adequate to absorb probable credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio. However, there can be no assurance that the allowance for loan losses will be adequate to cover all losses. While management uses available information to recognize loan losses, future additions to the allowance for loan losses may be necessary based on changes in economic conditions. In addition,
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various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require the Company to recognize additions to the allowance for loan losses based on their judgments of information available to them at the time of their examination.

## Results of Operations

The Company had record earnings of $\$ 17,381,000$ in 2002 compared to $\$ 16,171,000$ in 2001 and $\$ 11,989,000$ in 2000. The Company had a return on average assets of $1.58 \%$, $1.47 \%$ and $1.15 \%$ in 2002,2001 and 2000 , respectively. The rates of return in 2001 and 2000 were significantly affected by non-recurring events discussed above. Basic earnings per share was $\$ 1.61, \$ 1.48$ and $\$ 1.08$ in 2002,2001 and 2000, respectively. Diluted earnings per share was $\$ 1.60, \$ 1.45$ and $\$ 1.06$ in 2002, 2001 and 2000 respectively. Management believes that a strong balance sheet and excellent profitability are critical to success, particularly when the economy experiences a slowdown.

## Net Interest Income

Interest rates and fees charged on loans are affected primarily by the market demand for loans and the supply of money available for lending purposes. These factors are affected by, among other things, general economic conditions and the policies of the Federal government, including the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve system (the "Federal Reserve"), legislative tax policies and governmental budgetary matters.

Net interest income, the most significant component of the Company's earnings, is the difference between interest received or accrued on the Company's earning assets--primarily loans and investments--and interest paid or accrued on deposits and borrowings. In order to compare the interest generated from different types of earning assets, the interest income on certain tax-exempt investment securities and loans is increased for analysis purposes to reflect the income tax savings provided by these tax-exempt assets. The adjustment to interest income for tax-exempt investment securities and loans was calculated based on the federal income tax statutory rate of $35 \%$. The adjustment to net interest income for the tax effect of tax-exempt assets is shown in the following schedule.

## Net Interest Income on a Tax Equivalent Basis
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The following schedule, "Consolidated Average Balance Sheet and Interest Rates", provides details of average balances, interest income or interest expense, and the average rates for the Company's major asset and liability categories.

Consolidated Average Balance Sheet and Interest Rates


| Time deposits $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 350,353 | 14,081 | $4.02 \%$ | 360,590 | 19,859 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Federal funds purchased, repurchase |  |  |  |  |  |


| Federal funds purchased, repurchase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| agreements and notes payable .... | 68,615 | 1,169 | $1.70 \%$ | 74,918 | 2,550 | 3.4 |
| FHLB advances \& other borrowings ... | 32,889 | 1,834 | $5.58 \%$ | 38,980 | 2,217 | 5.6 |

Total interest bearing liabilities and interest


| Non-interest bearing demand deposits ........ | \$ | 93,590 | \$ | 102,136 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-interest bearing savings deposits |  | 56,204 |  | 42,810 |
| Other liabilities |  | 10,738 |  | 14,375 |
| Total liabilities . | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 964,368 \\ & 135,914 \end{aligned}$ | \$ | 971,294 131,291 |

Total liabilities and shareholders' equity ..... \$1,100,282
\$1,102,585

Interest spread (average

> Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity
> Interest bearing demand
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rate earned minus
average rate paid) (TE)
$3.66 \%$
3.
Net interest income (TE) ........ \$ 42,944 40 , 866

Net yield on interest
earnings assets (TE) ......... 4.22\%
4.0
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Notes to Consolidated Average Balance Sheet and Interest Rates Table:

1 Investments in debt securities are included at carrying value.
2 Federal funds sold and interest earning deposits include approximately $\$ 61,000, \$ 114,000$ and $\$ 154,000$ in 2002,2001 and 2000 , respectively, of interest income from third party processing of cashier checks.
3 Loans are net of allowance for loan losses and include mortgage loans held for sale. Nonaccrual loans are included in the total.
4 Loan fees of approximately $\$ 1,269,000, \$ 1,058,000$, and $\$ 1,061,000$ in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively, are included in total loan income.

The following table presents, on a fully taxable equivalent basis, an analysis of changes in net interest income resulting from changes in average volumes of earning assets and interest bearing liabilities and average rates earned and paid. The change in interest due to the combined rate/volume variance has been allocated to rate and volume changes in proportion to the absolute dollar amounts of change in each.

> Analysis of Volume and Rate Changes
> (in thousands)


| Interest Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Taxable investment securities | (\$ 1, 360) | \$ | 606 | (\$ 1,966) | (\$ 1, |
| Tax-exempt investment securities | (97) |  | 153 | (56) |  |
| Federal funds sold and interest bearing deposits ............ | $(1,300)$ |  | (475) | (825) |  |
| Loans | $(7,240)$ |  | 306 | $(7,546)$ |  |
| Total interest income | (\$ 9,803) | \$ | 590 | $(\$ 10,393)$ | (\$ |
| Interest Expense |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest bearing demand and savings deposits . | $(\$ 4,339)$ | \$ | 370 | (\$ 4,709) | (\$ 2, |
| Time deposits | $(5,778)$ |  | (550) | $(5,228)$ |  |
| Federal funds purchased, repurchase agreements and notes payable | $(1,381)$ |  | (199) | $(1,182)$ | (1, |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowings . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | (383) |  | (341) | ( 42 ) |  |

Total interest expense
(\$11, 881)
(\$
720)
(\$11,161)
(\$ 3,

Net Interest Income (TE) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 2,078$ \$ 1,310 \$

Total average earning assets increased from $\$ 1.013$ billion in 2001 to $\$ 1.016$ billion in 2002, but generated lower levels of interest income due to a significant decrease in interest rates during 2002. Average taxable investment securities increased $\$ 11.385$ million, but generated $\$ 1,360,000$ less interest income, of which $\$ 1,966,000$ was due to lower rates, offset somewhat by $\$ 606,000$ due to an increase in volume. Average loans increased $\$ 3.721$ million, but generated $\$ 7,240,000$ less interest income, of which $\$ 7,546,000$ was due to lower rates, offset slightly by a $\$ 306,000$ increase attributable to an increase in volume. Average tax-exempt investment securities increased $\$ 2.298$ million in 2002, resulting in an increase of $\$ 97,000$ in interest income. Of this increase, $\$ 153,000$ was due to an increase in volume, offset somewhat by $\$ 56,000$ due to lower rates. Somewhat offsetting these increases in average balances was a decrease in average federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits of $\$ 13.924$ million, resulting in a decrease in interest income in this category of $\$ 1,300,000$. Of this decrease, $\$ 825,000$ was due to lower rates and $\$ 475,000$ was due to a decrease in volume.

Total average earning assets increased from $\$ 950.950$ million in 2000 to $\$ 1.013$ billion in 2001, but generated lower levels of interest income due to a significant decrease in interest rates during 2001. Average loans increased $\$ 46.050$ million, resulting in an increase of $\$ 133,000$ in interest income, of which $\$ 3,933,000$ was due to an increase in volume, mostly offset by a $\$ 3,800,000$ decrease attributable to lower rates. Average federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits increased $\$ 16.838$ million in 2001 , resulting in an increase in interest income in this category of $\$ 184,000$. Of this increase, $\$ 874,000$ was due to an increase in volume, offset substantially by $\$ 690,000$ due to lower rates. Average tax-exempt investment securities increased \$8.206 million, resulting in an increase of $\$ 480,000$ in interest income, of which $\$ 554,000$ was due to an increase in volume, offset slightly by $\$ 74,000$ due to lower rates. These increases in average balances were offset by a decrease in average taxable investment securities of $\$ 9.090$ million, resulting in a decrease of $\$ 1,702,000$ in interest income in this category, of which $\$ 530,000$ was due to lower volume and $\$ 1,172,000$ was due to a decrease in rates.

The Company establishes interest rates on loans and deposits based on market rates - such as the 91 -day Treasury Bill rate and the prime rate - and interest rates offered by other financial institutions in the local community. The level of risk and the value of collateral also are evaluated when determining loan rates. Rates were generally lower in 2002 compared to 2001 . The average rate earned on loans decreased 112 basis points from 8.26\% in 2001 to 7.14\% in 2002 . The yield on tax-exempt investment securities decreased 10 basis points from $6.73 \%$ in 2001 to $6.63 \%$ in 2002. The yield on taxable investment securities decreased 76 basis points from 5.51\% for the year ended December 31, 2002 to $4.75 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2002. The yield on federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits decreased 268 basis points from $4.39 \%$ in 2001 to $1.71 \%$ in 2002.

The total actual balance of loans at December 31, 2002 was lower than at December 31, 2001. Installment and consumer loans decreased $\$ 24.056$ million from 2001 to 2002. This was primarily caused by a $\$ 26.778$ million decrease in
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installment loans due to competition from special financing offered by the auto manufacturers' captive financing companies. Commercial, financial and agricultural loans decreased $\$ 11.997$ million from 2001 to 2002 as a result of the slowdown of the economy. Somewhat offsetting these decreases was an increase of $\$ 27.134$ million in real estate loans. Of this increase, $\$ 56.020$ million was attributable to commercial real estate loans, offset somewhat by a $\$ 28.886$ million decrease in residential mortgage loans. The decrease in residential mortgage loans was caused by a dramatic increase in refinancings to long-term fixed rate loans, which were subsequently sold on the secondary market.

Average rates on total interest bearing liabilities decreased 144 basis points, from $4.14 \%$ in 2001 to $2.70 \%$ in 2002 , resulting in a decrease in interest expense of $\$ 11,881,000$ in 2002 compared to 2001 , due to the low rate environment throughout 2002. This was caused by a decrease in interest expense on all categories of interest bearing liabilities. The average rates paid on federal funds purchased, repurchase agreements and notes payable decreased 170 basis points from 3.40\% in 2001 to $1.70 \%$ in 2002 . This resulted in a decrease in interest expense of $\$ 1,381,000$, of which $\$ 1,182,000$ was due to rate decreases and \$199,000 was due to lower volume. The average rate paid on time deposits decreased 149 basis points, from 5.51\% in 2001 to $4.02 \%$ in 2002. This resulted in a decrease of $\$ 5,778,000$ in interest expense, of which $\$ 5,228,000$ was due to lower rates and $\$ 550,000$ was due to a decrease in volume. The average rate paid on interest bearing demand and savings deposits decreased 134 basis points, from $2.66 \%$ in 2001 to $1.32 \%$ in 2002 . This resulted in a decrease in interest expense of $\$ 4,339,000$ in 2002 , of which $\$ 4,709,000$ was attributable to lower rates, offset slightly by a $\$ 370,000$ increase in volume. The average rate paid on Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowings decreased 11 basis points, from 5. $69 \%$ in 2001 to $5.58 \%$ in 2002 . This resulted in a decrease in interest expense of $\$ 383,000$, of which $\$ 42,000$ was due to lower rates and $\$ 341,000$ was due to a decrease in volume.

Interest expense decreased $\$ 3,001,000$ in 2001 compared to 2000 . This was mainly caused by a decrease in interest expense on interest bearing demand and savings deposits of $\$ 2,161,000$ in 2001 , of which $\$ 2,832,000$ was due to a decrease in rates, offset somewhat by $\$ 671,000$ due to increased volume. The average rates paid on federal funds purchased, repurchase agreements and notes payable decreased 159 basis points from $4.99 \%$ in 2000 to $3.40 \%$ in 2001 . This resulted in a decrease in interest expense of $\$ 1,214,000$, of which $\$ 1,191,000$ was due to rate decreases and $\$ 23,000$ was due to lower volume. These decreases were offset somewhat by an increase of $\$ 328,000$ in interest expense on time deposits. Of this increase, $\$ 907,000$ was due to an increase in volume, offset somewhat by $\$ 579,000$ due to lower rates. Interest expense on Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowings increased $\$ 46,000$, with $\$ 131,000$ attributable to volume increases, offset somewhat by $\$ 85,000$ due to lower rates.

Provision for Loan Losses

The quality of the Company's loan portfolio is of prime importance to the Company's management and its board of directors, as loans are the largest component of the Company's assets. The Company maintains an independent credit administration function, which performs reviews of all large loans and all loans that present indications of additional credit risk.

Continued emphasis on loan quality was reflected in the ratio of net charge-offs to average net loans of $0.22 \%$ in 2002 , compared to $0.34 \%$ in 2001 . Net charge-offs decreased to $\$ 1,450,000$ in 2002 from $\$ 2,290,000$ in 2001. The Company charged off $\$ 1,927,000$ in loans during 2002 compared to $\$ 2,673,000$ in 2001 . This was due to decreases in charge-offs for commercial, financial and agricultural loans of $\$ 1,062,000$ in 2002 compared to 2001 . The decreased charge-offs were due

# Edgar Filing: MAIN STREET TRUST INC - Form 10-K 

largely to charge-offs on two agriculture credits totaling $\$ 847,000$ in 2001. These decreases were offset somewhat by increases in charge-offs of installment and consumer loans of $\$ 218,000$ and in residential real estate loans of $\$ 98,000$. The increased charge-offs of installment and consumer loans were in the retail lending area, particularly in indirect vehicle loans. Recoveries of previously charged off loans increased from $\$ 383,000$ in 2001 to $\$ 477,000$ in 2002 , with the largest increase in the area of commercial, financial and agricultural loans that increased $\$ 66,000$ from 2001 to 2002 . The provision for loan losses decreased $\$ 1,220,000$ from $\$ 2,670,000$ in 2001 to $\$ 1,450,000$ in 2002. This decrease was mainly due to the reduction in net charge-offs. The Company continues to emphasize credit analysis and early detection of problem loans.

Along with other financial institutions, management shares a concern for the outlook of the economy in 2003. A slowdown in economic activity beginning in 2001 severely impacted several major industries as well as the economy as a whole. Even though there are indications of emerging strength, it is not certain that this strength is sustainable. In addition, consumer confidence may be negatively impacted by the decline in equity prices. These events could still adversely affect cash flows for both commercial and individual borrowers, as a result of which, the company could experience increases in problem assets, delinquencies and losses on loans.

## Non-interest Income

Non-interest income increased $\$ 1,600,000$, or $9.3 \%$, from 2001 to 2002. Included in this increase was an increase of $\$ 702,000$, or $13.4 \%$ in income from trust and brokerage fees from $\$ 5,227,000$ in 2001 to $\$ 5,929,000$ in 2002 . This was primarily the result of the adoption of a uniform trust fee schedule throughout the Company in 2002 that resulted in the recognition of increased fees as well as a $\$ 29,000,000$ increase in assets under management in 2002 compared to 2001. Gains on sales of mortgage loans held-for-sale increased $\$ 540,000$, or $65.2 \%$, from $\$ 828,000$ in 2001 to $\$ 1,368,000$ in 2002 . This increase resulted from a $\$ 34,743,000$, or $33.4 \%$, increase in mortgage loans sold during 2002 compared to 2001 due to the low interest rate environment. Service charges on deposit accounts increased $\$ 156,000$, or $7.0 \%$ in 2002 compared to 2001. Income from securities transactions increased $\$ 101,000$, or $91.8 \%$ in 2002 compared to 2001. This was the result of the sale of some securities to reposition the portfolio in the low rate environment. Remittance processing income increased $\$ 90,000$, or $1.3 \%$, during 2002 compared to 2001. Other non-interest income increased $\$ 11,000$, or $0.6 \%$, in 2002 compared to 2001.

Non-interest income increased $\$ 950,000$, or 5.8\%, from 2000 to 2001. Included in this increase was an increase of $\$ 616,000$, or $290.6 \%$ from gains on sales of mortgage loans held-for-sale. This increase resulted from a $\$ 78,618,000$, or 307.9\%, increase in mortgage loans sold during 2001 compared to 2000 due to the falling interest rate environment. Remittance processing income increased $\$ 582,000$, or $8.8 \%$ during 2001 compared to 2000 . This increase was due to increased volume coupled with restructured pricing for some customers. Service charges on deposit accounts increased $\$ 130,000$, or $6.2 \%$ in 2001 compared to 2000. Also contributing to the increase in non-interest income was an $\$ 89,000$, or $423.8 \%$ increase in income from securities transactions. This was the result of the sale of some securities to reposition the portfolio in the changing rate environment. Somewhat offsetting these increases was a decrease in other non-interest income of $\$ 220,000$, or $11.5 \%$ from $\$ 1,917,000$ in 2000 to $\$ 1,697,000$ in 2001. Proceeds from a life insurance policy of approximately $\$ 81,000$ along with $\$ 22,000$ in one-time fee income during 2000, contributed to this decrease. Also, income from trust and brokerage fees decreased $\$ 247,000$, or $4.5 \%$, from $\$ 5,474,000$ in 2000 to $\$ 5,227,000$ in 2001 . This was due, in part, to the downturn in the stock market during late 2000 and 2001. Market values were depressed causing fee income, which is based on market valuation, to decline in this area.
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Non-interest Expense

During 2002, non-interest expense increased $\$ 2,875,000$, or $9.5 \%$ to $\$ 33,161,000$ in 2002 from $\$ 30,286,000$ in 2001. The 2001 expense was a decrease of $\$ 4,483,000$, or $12.9 \%$, compared to 2000 non-interest expense of $\$ 34,769,000$. During 2001, there were no merger related professional fees compared to $\$ 2,544,000$ in 2000 . During 1999, the Company investigated reconciliation differences, which involved the Company's subsidiary, FirsTech, Inc. in connection with its commercial remittance processing services. After consultation with its professional advisors, the Company's Board of Directors directed that a liability in the amount of $\$ 2.5$ million be recorded in the fourth quarter of 1999. Investigation of these differences was completed during the fourth quarter of 2001 . It was determined that no liability existed and the $\$ 2.5$ million liability was reversed in non-interest expense in 2001. In 2002, there was no reconciliation liability effect. During 2002, salaries and employee benefits expense increased $\$ 960,000$, or $5.4 \%$, data processing expense increased $\$ 357,000$, or $18.4 \%$ occupancy expense increased $\$ 79,000$, or $3.4 \%$ and service charges from correspondent banks increased $\$ 47,000$, or $5.3 \%$. Somewhat offsetting these increases were decreases in equipment expense of $\$ 626,000$, or $18.4 \%$, office supplies expense of $\$ 274,000$, or $17.9 \%$, and other non-interest expense of $\$ 168,000$, or $3.4 \%$. During 2001 , salaries and employee benefits expense decreased $\$ 513,000$, or $2.8 \%$, equipment expense decreased $\$ 247,000$, or $6.8 \%$ and service charges from correspondent banks decreased $\$ 113,000$, or $11.3 \%$. Somewhat offsetting these decreases were increases in other non-interest expense of $\$ 585,000$, or $13.4 \%$ data processing expense of $\$ 473,000$, or $32.2 \%$, office supplies expense of $\$ 299,000$, or $24.2 \%$, and occupancy expense of $\$ 77,000$, or $3.5 \%$.

Salaries and employee benefits increased $\$ 960,000$, or $5.4 \%$ from $\$ 17,761,000$ in 2001 to $\$ 18,721,00$ in 2002. Contributing to the increase in salaries and employee benefits expense was an increase of $\$ 273,000$ in salaries and benefits related to organizational restructuring that resulted in termination of employment contracts, and an increase of $\$ 288,000$ in group health insurance costs. Salaries and employee benefits in 2001 decreased $\$ 513,000$, or $2.8 \%$ from $\$ 18,274,000$ in 2000. Salaries and employee benefits in 2001 included $\$ 256,000$ of expenses related to the termination of employment contracts compared to $\$ 1,034,000$ in expenses in 2000 related to early retirement and termination of employment contracts as a result of the merger.

Data processing expense increased $\$ 357,000$, or $18.4 \%$ from $\$ 1,943,000$ in 2001 to $\$ 2,300,000$ in 2002. Contributing to this increase were a computer system conversion at the Company's Decatur bank late in the first quarter of 2001 from in-house data processing to third party service bureau data processing, conversion to a new system and a software upgrade at the Company's remittance processing subsidiary FirsTech, costs to merge First Trust Bank of Shelbyville and BankIllinois computer records, as well as a continuation in development of the Company's internet services during 2002 compared 2001. In 2001, data processing expense increased $\$ 473,000$, or $32.2 \%$ from $\$ 1,470,000$ in 2000 . Included in data processing expense were expenses associated with conversion to third party service bureau data processing from in-house processing, and $\$ 70,000$ in expenses related to computer system conversion and early contract termination as a result of the computer system conversion.

Occupancy expense increased $\$ 79,000$, or $3.4 \%$ from $\$ 2,297,000$ in 2001 to $\$ 2,376,000$ in 2002. In 2001, occupancy expense increased $\$ 77,000$, or $3.5 \%$, from $\$ 2,220,000$ in 2000 .

Services charges from correspondent banks increased $\$ 47,000$, or $5.3 \%$ from $\$ 885,000$ in 2001 to $\$ 932,000$ in 2002. This increase was mainly due to a reduction in monthly earnings credits, which offset a portion of the charges, due to the falling rate environment. Earnings credits are typically indexed to a
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key government rate, like the monthly average 91-day Treasury bill rate. The average 91 -day $T$-bill rate dropped from $3.43 \%$ in 2001 to $1.64 \%$ in 2002 . In 2001, services charges from correspondent banks decreased $\$ 113,000$, or $11.3 \%$, from $\$ 998,000$ in 2000 . The decrease in 2001 reflected a shift from lockbox payments to mechanized payments at the Company's FirsTech subsidiary, which have lower costs.

Equipment expense decreased $\$ 626,000$, or $18.4 \%$ from $\$ 3,405,000$ in 2001 to $\$ 2,779,000$ in 2002. This decrease was due, in part, to conversion to third party service bureau data processing from in-house data processing at the company's Decatur bank during 2001. In 2001, equipment expense decreased $\$ 247,000$, or $6.8 \%$ from $\$ 3,652,000$ in 2000 . This was primarily due to $\$ 587,000$ in merger related write-downs of computer equipment and software in 2000 .

Office supplies expense decreased $\$ 274,000$, or $17.9 \%$, from $\$ 1,535,000$ in 2001 to $\$ 1,261,000$ in 2002. In 2001, office supplies expense increased $\$ 299,000$, or $24.2 \%$ from $\$ 1,236,000$ in 2000. Included in office supplies expense in 2001 were additional printing and mailing expenses and additional supplies purchased to support and announce a computer system conversion necessary to move the Company's subsidiaries toward the same data processing system.

In 2002, other non-interest expense decreased $\$ 168,000$, or $3.4 \%$ from $\$ 4,960,000$ in 2001 to $\$ 4,792,000$ in 2002. In 2001, other non-interest expense increased $\$ 585,000$, or $13.4 \%$, from $\$ 4,375,000$ in 2000 . Included in this change was $\$ 461,000$ in other real estate income in 2000 from the sale of a property that had been previously written down.

## Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense increased $\$ 784,000$, or $10.1 \%$ from $\$ 7,736,000$ in 2001 to $\$ 8,520,000$ in 2002. This was mainly due to an increase in taxable income. In 2001, income tax expense increased $\$ 1,310,000$, or $20.4 \%$ from $\$ 6,426,000$ in 2000. This was due to an increase in taxable income. The Company's effective tax rate was $32.9 \%$, $32.4 \%$ and $34.9 \%$ for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively. The effective tax rate was higher in 2000 due to non-deductible merger related expenses.

The tax effects of temporary differences, which gave rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities at December 31, 2002 and 2001, are shown in note 11 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Financial Condition
Total assets decreased $\$ 28.783$ million, or $2.5 \%$ from $\$ 1.152$ billion at December 31, 2001 to $\$ 1.123$ billion at December 31, 2002. Decreases in cash and due from banks, investments in debt and equity securities available-for-sale, loans, mortgage loans held-for-sale, accrued interest receivable and premises and equipment were somewhat offset by increases in federal funds sold and interest earning deposits, investments in debt and equity securities held-to-maturity, non-marketable equity securities and other assets.

Cash and due from banks decreased $\$ 28.151$ million, or $32.0 \%$, at December 31, 2002 compared to December 31, 2001, primarily due to the purchase of $\$ 16.556$ million of the Company's common stock into treasury as a result of the second quarter 2002 tender offer, and a smaller dollar amount of deposit items in process of collection at December 31, 2002 compared to December 31, 2001.

Total investment in debt and equity securities decreased $\$ 19.212$ million, or
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5.7\%, at December 31, 2002 compared to December 31, 2001. Investments in debt and equity securities available-for-sale decreased $\$ 25.880$ million, or $9.7 \%$, at December 31, 2002 compared to December 31, 2001. Somewhat offsetting this decrease was an increase in investments in debt and equity securities held-to-maturity of $\$ 4.745$ million, or $7.4 \%$, and an increase in investments in non-marketable equity securities of $\$ 1.923$ million, or $37.6 \%$, at December 31, 2002 compared to December 31, 2001.

Loans, net of loan allowance, decreased $\$ 8.919$ million, or $1.3 \%$, at December 31, 2002 compared to December 31, 2001. Installment and consumer loans decreased $\$ 24.056$ million from 2001 to 2002. This was primarily caused by a $\$ 26.778$ million decrease in installment loans due to competition from special financing offered by the auto manufacturers' captive financing companies. Commercial, financial and agricultural loans decreased $\$ 11.997$ million from 2001 to 2002 primarily as a result of the slowdown of the economy. Somewhat offsetting these decreases was an increase of $\$ 27.134$ million in real estate loans. Of this increase, $\$ 56.020$ million was attributable to commercial real estate loans, offset somewhat by a $\$ 28.886$ million decrease in residential mortgage loans. The decrease in residential mortgage loans was caused by a dramatic increase in refinancings to long-term fixed rate loans, which were subsequently sold on the secondary market.

Mortgage loans held-for-sale decreased $\$ 5.803$ million, or $66.1 \%$, at December 31, 2002 compared to December 31, 2001, accrued interest receivable decreased \$1.575 million, or $17.7 \%$, and premises and equipment decreased $\$ 0.910$ million, or $4.7 \%$, at December 31, 2002 compared to December 31, 2001.

Federal funds sold and interest earning deposits increased $\$ 35.518$ million, or 474.6\%, at December 31, 2002 compared to December 31, 2001. Federal funds sold and interest earning deposits fluctuate with loan demand, deposit volume and investment opportunities. Other assets increased $\$ 0.269$ million, or $2.5 \%$, at December 31, 2002 compared to December 31, 2001.

The decrease in year-end assets was a result of total deposits being \$15.523 million, or $1.8 \%$, lower at December 31, 2002 compared to December 31, 2001. This was primarily due to the maturity of a large short-term deposit at the beginning of 2002. Also, Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowings were $\$ 7.089$ million, or $20.3 \%$ lower and federal funds purchased, repurchase agreements and other notes were $\$ 4.556$ million, or $5.3 \%$, lower at December 31, 2002 than at December 31, 2001.

Average assets were $\$ 2.303$ million, or $0.2 \%$, lower in 2002 than 2001 . Included in the decrease in average assets was a decrease of $\$ 13.924$ million, or 35.2 。, in average federal funds sold and interest earning deposits, a decrease of $\$ 2.511$ million, or $5.1 \%$, in cash and due from banks, a decrease of $\$ 1.864$ million, or $9.3 \%$ in other assets, and a decrease of $\$ 1.408$ million, or $6.9 \%$, in premises and equipment. These decreases were somewhat offset by increases of $\$ 11.385$ million, or $4.5 \%$ in taxable investment securities, an increase of $\$ 3.721$ million, or $0.6 \%$, in average net loans including mortgage loans held-for-sale, and an increase of $\$ 2.298$ million, or $4.3 \%$, in tax-exempt investment securities.

There were shifts in funding sources as total average deposits increased \$9.105 million, or $1.1 \%$, while average federal funds purchased, repurchase agreements and other notes decreased $\$ 6.303$ million, or $8.4 \%$, and average Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowings decreased $\$ 6.091$ million, or $15.6 \%$ in 2002 from 2001. Included in the increase in average deposits was a shift in the average deposit mix in 2002 versus 2001. Average interest bearing savings increased $\$ 31.570$ million, or $13.8 \%$, and average non-interest bearing savings
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```
increased $13.394 million, or 31.3%. Somewhat offsetting these increases were
decreases in average interest bearing demand deposits of $17.076 million, or
15.8%, average time deposits of $10.237 million, or 2.8%, and average
non-interest bearing demand deposits of $8.546 million, or 8.4%.
Investment Securities
The carrying value of investments in debt and equity securities was as follows:
Carrying Value of Securitiesl
    (in thousands)
```

December 31,

```
Securities available-for-sale:
```






```
    Corporate and other obligations
    Marketable equity securities
    Total
    $240
Securities held-to-maturity:
```




Total ..... \$ 68
Non-marketable equity securities:

```\$
```

Other equity investments
Total

```\$Total securities

The unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale, net of tax effect, increased \(\$ 1,026,000\), to a gain of \(\$ 3,776,000\) at December 31, 2002 from a gain of \(\$ 2,750,000\) at December 31, 2001 .

The following table shows the maturities and weighted-average yields of investment securities at December 31, 2002:

Maturities and Weighted Average Yields of Debt Securities (dollars in thousands)
1 year
1 to 5
5 to 10
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{or less} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{years} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{years} \\
\hline & & mount & Rate & & Amount & Rate & & mount & Rate \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Securities available-for-sale:} \\
\hline U.S. Treasury & \$ & 3,066 & 3.94\% & \$ & -- & -- & \$ & -- & -- \\
\hline Federal agencies & & 44,977 & 4.80\% & & 140,492 & \(4.24 \%\) & & -- & -- \\
\hline Mortgage-backed securitiesl & & 9,263 & 3.28\% & & 19,282 & 5.23\% & & 2,257 & 8.07\% \\
\hline State and municipal & & 769 & 4.74\% & & 8,271 & \(4.54 \%\) & & 5,335 & 4.99\% \\
\hline Other securities & & 1,008 & \(4.26 \%\) & & -- & -- & & -- & -- \\
\hline Marketable equity securities2 & & -- & -- & & -- & -- & & -- & -- \\
\hline Total & \$ & 59,083 & & \$ & 168,045 & & \$ & 7,592 & \\
\hline Average Yield & & & 4.51\% & & & \(4.37 \%\) & & & 5.90\% \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Securities held-to maturity:} \\
\hline Federal agencies & \$ & 1,750 & 6.03\% & \$ & -- & -- & \$ & -- & -- \\
\hline Mortgage-backed securities1 & & 8,043 & \(2.75 \%\) & & 11,400 & 4.38\% & & 275 & 4.57\% \\
\hline State and municipal & & 8,161 & 4.01\% & & 30,729 & \(4.02 \%\) & & 4,328 & \(4.79 \%\) \\
\hline Total & \$ & 17,954 & & \$ & 42,129 & & & 4,603 & \\
\hline Average Yield & & & \(3.64 \%\) & & & 4.12\% & & & \(4.77 \%\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Non-marketable equity securities2} \\
\hline FHLB and FRB stock & \$ & -- & -- & \$ & -- & -- & \$ & -- & -- \\
\hline Other equity investments & & -- & -- & & -- & -- & & -- & -- \\
\hline Total & \$ & -- & -- & & - & -- & \$ & -- & -- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Loans}

The following tables present the amounts and percentages of loans at December 31 for the years indicated according to the categories of commercial, financial and agricultural; real estate; and installment and consumer loans.

Amount of Loans Outstanding
(dollars in thousands)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 2002 & 2001 & 2000 & 1999 \\
\hline Commercial, financial and agricultural & \$234,045 & \$246,042 & \$219,541 & \$188, 430 \\
\hline Real estate & 343,827 & 316,693 & 319,412 & 293,761 \\
\hline Installment and consumer & 95,529 & 119,585 & 129,775 & 128,085 \\
\hline Total loans & \$673,401 & \$682,320 & \$668,728 & \$610,276 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Percentage of Loans Outstanding
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 2002 & 2001 & 2000 & 1999 & 1998 \\
\hline Commercial, financial and agricultural & 34.75\% & 36.06\% & 32.83\% & 30.88\% & 33.05 \\
\hline Real estate & 51.06\% & 46.41\% & \(47.76 \%\) & 48.13\% & 47.07 \\
\hline Installment and consumer & 14.19\% & 17.53\% & 19.41\% & 20.99\% & 19.88 \\
\hline Total & 100.00\% & 100.00\% & 100.00\% & 100.00\% & 100.00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The Company's loan portfolio totaled approximately \(\$ 673.401\) million at December 31, 2002, representing \(60.0 \%\) of total assets at that date. Total loans decreased \(\$ 8.919\) million, or 1.3\%, from December 31, 2001 to December 31, 2002, with decreases in installment and consumer loans and in commercial, financial and agricultural loans of \(\$ 24.056\) million and \(\$ 11.997\) million, respectively, offset somewhat by an increase in real estate loans of \(\$ 27.134\) million.

Total loans increased \(\$ 13.592\) million, or \(2.0 \%\) from December 31, 2000 to December 31, 2001, with increases in commercial, financial and agricultural loans of \(\$ 26.501\) million, offset somewhat by decreases in installment and consumer loans and real estate loans of \(\$ 10.190\) million and \(\$ 2.719\) million, respectively.

The balance of loans outstanding as of December 31, 2002 by maturities is shown in the following table:

> Maturity of Loans Outstanding
> (dollars in thousands)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
1 year \\
or less
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
1-5 \\
\text { years }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Commercial, financial and agricultural & \$119,886 & \$ 96,823 \\
\hline Real estate . & 51,436 & 146,490 \\
\hline Installment and consumer & 33,689 & 54,141 \\
\hline Total & \$205,011 & \$297, 454 \\
\hline Percentage of total loans outstanding & 30.45\% & 44.17\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

As of December 31, 2002, commercial, financial and agricultural loans with maturities of greater than one year were comprised of \(\$ 49.319\) million in fixed-rate loans and \(\$ 64.840\) million in floating-rate loans. Real estate loans with maturities greater than one year at December 31, 2002 included \(\$ 101.656\) million in fixed-rate loans and \(\$ 190.735\) million in floating-rate loans.

Allowance for Loan Losses and Loan Quality
The following table summarizes changes in the allowance for loan losses by loan categories for each period and additions to the allowance for loan losses, which have been charged to operations.

\author{
Allowance for Loan Losses \\ (dollars in thousands)
}


Management reviews criteria such as the customer's historic loan payment performance, financial statements, financial ratios, cash flow, net worth, collateral and guaranties, as well as local and national economic factors, in determining whether loans should be written off as uncollectible. The Company records a loss if it is probable that a loss will occur and the amount can be reasonably estimated.

The Company's risk of loan loss is dependent on many factors: economic conditions, the extent and values of underlying collateral, significant concentrations of loans within the portfolio, the ability and willingness of borrowers to perform according to loan terms and management's competence and judgment in overseeing lending, collecting and loan-monitoring activities. The risk of loss from commercial, financial and agricultural loans is significantly impacted by economic factors and how these factors affect the particular industries involved.

An analysis of the allowance for loan loss adequacy is performed on a quarterly basis by the Company's credit administration department. This analysis is reported to executive management and discussed at a quarterly meeting where specific allocations for problem credits, charge-offs and monthly provisions for loan losses are reviewed and revised, as necessary. The results are reported to the boards of directors. The analysis includes assessment of the allowance for loan loss adequacy based on historic loan losses and current quality grades of specific credits reviewed, credit concentrations, current delinquent and nonperforming loans, current economic conditions, peer group information and results of recent audits or regulatory examinations. Charge-offs in commercial, financial, and agricultural loans during 2002 decreased to \(\$ 103,000\) from \(\$ 1,165,000\) in 2001, when two agricultural credits totaling \(\$ 847,000\) were charged off. The level of charge-offs of installment and consumer loans in 2000, 2001,
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and 2002 were reflective of the significant growth of the indirect loan portfolio in 1999 and 2000 .
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The following table shows the allocation of the allowance for loan losses to each loan category.

> Allocation of the Allowance for Loan Losses (in thousands)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & 2002 & 2001 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Allocated:} \\
\hline Commercial, financial and agricultural & \$5,732 & \$5,487 \\
\hline Residential real estate ... & 345 & 419 \\
\hline Installment and consumer & 1,763 & 2,000 \\
\hline Total allocated allowance & \$7,840 & \$7,906 \\
\hline Unallocated allowances & 1,419 & 1,353 \\
\hline Total & \$9,259 & \$9,259 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The allocated portion of the allowance for loan losses decreased \(\$ 66,000\) from \(\$ 7,906,000\) at December 31, 2001 to \(\$ 7,840,000\) at December 31, 2002. Of this decrease, the allowance for installment and consumer loans decreased \(\$ 237,000\), from \(\$ 2,000,000\) at December 31, 2001 to \(\$ 1,763,000\) at December 31, 2002 and the allowance for residential real estate loans decreased \(\$ 74,000\) from \(\$ 419,000\) to \(\$ 345,000\) during the same period as total loans in both retail categories decreased during 2002. Somewhat offsetting these decreases was an increase in the allowance for commercial, financial and agricultural loans of \(\$ 245,000\) from \(\$ 5,487,000\) at December 31, 2001 to \(\$ 5,732,000\) at December 31, 2002 as commercial real estate loans increased during 2002. The portion of the allowance for loan losses which was unallocated increased by \(\$ 66,000\) to \(\$ 1,419,000\) at December 31, 2002 from \(\$ 1,353,000\) a year earlier. The unallocated amount is determined based on management's judgment, which considers, in addition to the other factors previously discussed, the risk of error in the specific allocation.

Management believes that nonperforming and potential problem loans are appropriately identified and monitored based on the extensive loan analysis performed by the credit administration department, the internal loan committees and the boards of directors. Historically, there has not been a significant amount of loans charged off which had not been previously identified as problem loans by the credit administration department or the loan committees.

The following table presents the aggregate amount of loans considered to be nonperforming for the periods indicated. Nonperforming loans include loans accounted for on a nonaccrual basis, accruing loans contractually past due 90 days or more as to interest or principal payments and loans which are troubled debt restructurings as defined in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 15, "Accounting by Debtors and Creditors for Troubled Debt Restructurings."

Nonperforming Loans (in thousands)
\(20022001 \quad 19991998\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Nonaccrual loans1 & \$1,392 & \$3,341 & \$ & 602 & \$ & 112 & \$1,507 \\
\hline Loans past due 90 days or more & \$ 829 & \$1,774 & \$ & 846 & \$ & 440 & \$1,084 \\
\hline Renegotiated loans & \$ 20 & \$ 67 & \$ & 88 & \$ & 104 & \$ 121 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Other Nonperforming Assets (in thousands)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 2002 & 2001 & 2000 & 1999 & 1998 \\
\hline Other real estate owned & \$58 & \$ -- & \$ 7 & \$246 & \$276 \\
\hline Nonperforming other assets & \$94 & \$153 & \$192 & \$132 & \$ 20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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There were no other interest earning assets that would be required to be disclosed as being nonperforming if such other assets were loans.

At December 31, 2002, the Company had approximately \(\$ 7,393,000\) in potential problem loans, excluding nonperforming loans. Potential problem loans are those loans identified by management as being worthy of special attention, and although currently performing, may have some underlying weaknesses. None of these potential problem loans were considered impaired as defined in SFAS 114. The \(\$ 7,393,000\) of potential problem loans have either had timely payments or are adequately secured and loss of principal or interest is determined to be unlikely.

Loans over 90 days past due, which are not well secured and in the process of collection, are placed on nonaccrual status. There were \(\$ 1,392,000\) of nonaccrual loans at December 31, 2002 compared to \(\$ 3,341,000\) at December 31, 2001. The decrease in nonaccrual loans was primarily due to the successful resolution of a \(\$ 1.8\) million agricultural credit. Loans past due 90 days or more but still accruing interest decreased by \(\$ 945,000\) in 2002 to a balance of \(\$ 829,000\) at December 31, 2002, from \(\$ 1,774,000\) at December 31, 2001. These loans are well secured and in the process of collection.

The following table categorizes nonaccrual loans as of December 31, 2002 based on levels of performance and also details the allocation of interest collected during the period in 2002 in which the loans were on nonaccrual. Substantial performance, yet contractually past due, includes borrowers making sizable periodic payments relative to the required periodic payments due. A borrower that is not making substantial payments but is making some periodic payments would be included in the limited performance category.

Nonaccrual and Related Interest Payments
(in thousands)
\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Balance & Balance & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Income} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Charge-offs} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Contractually past due with:} \\
\hline Substantial performance & \$ 111 & \$ 111 & \$ & 6 & \$ & -- \\
\hline Limited performance & 37 & 57 & & -- & & -- \\
\hline No performance & 1,244 & 1,311 & & -- & & -- \\
\hline Total & \$1,392 & \$1,479 & \$ & 6 & \$ & -- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The difference between the book balance and the contractual balance represents charge-offs made since the loans were funded.

Management believes that the allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2002 was adequate to absorb credit losses in the total loan portfolio and that the policies and procedures in place to identify potential problem loans are being effectively implemented. However, there can be no assurance that the allowance for loan losses will be adequate to cover all losses.

Premises and Equipment
Total premises and equipment decreased \(\$ 0.910\) million in 2002 from 2001. This decrease was primarily due to depreciation expense of \(\$ 2.647\) million, proceeds from sale of property of \(\$ 71,000\) and loss on disposal of property of \(\$ 3,000\). These decreases were somewhat offset by \(\$ 1.811\) million of purchases.

\section*{Other Assets}

Other assets increased \(\$ 269,000\) in 2002 from 2001. Included in this increase were increases in cash value life insurance, current tax receivable and deferred tax assets, offset somewhat by decreases in accounts receivable and servicing rights.

\section*{Deposits}

The following table shows the average balance and weighted average rate of deposits at December 31 for the years indicated:

Average Balance and Weighted Average Rate of Deposits
(dollars in thousands)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{2002} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{2001} & 200 \\
\hline & Average Balance & Weighted Average Rate & Average Balance & Weighted Average Rate & Average Balance \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Demand} \\
\hline Non-interest bearing & \$ 93,590 & -- & \$102,136 & -- & \$ 88,059 \\
\hline Interest bearing & 90,916 & 1.04\% & 107,992 & \(2.06 \%\) & 81,416 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Savings} \\
\hline Non-interest bearing & 56,204 & -- & 42,810 & -- & 47,906 \\
\hline Interest bearing & 261,063 & 1.41\% & 229,493 & 2.94\% & 235,935 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Time} \\
\hline \$100,000 and more & 121,591 & 3.89\% & 110,966 & 4.73\% & 93,761 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Under \$100,000 & 228,762 & 4.09\% & 249,624 & 5.85\% & 250,544 \\
\hline Totals & \$852,126 & & \$843, 021 & & \$797,621 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In analyzing its deposit activity, management has noted that average total deposits increased \(\$ 9.105\) million, or \(1.1 \%\), during 2002. Included in this increase were shifts in the average deposit mix in 2002 versus 2001. There were increases in average interest bearing savings deposits of \(\$ 31.570\) million, or 13.8\%, average non-interest bearing savings deposits of \(\$ 13.394\), or \(31.3 \%\), and average time \(\$ 100,000\) and over of \(\$ 10.625\) million, or \(9.6 \%\). Somewhat offsetting these increases were decreases in average time deposits under \(\$ 100,000\) of \(\$ 20.862\) million, or \(8.4 \%\), average interest bearing demand deposits of \(\$ 17.076\) million, or \(\$ 15.8 \%\), and average non-interest bearing demand deposits of \(\$ 8.546\) million, or \(8.4 \%\).

The table below sets forth the maturity of deposits greater than \(\$ 100,000\) at December 31, 2002:

> Maturity of Time Deposits of \(\$ 100,000\) or More
> (in thousands)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Maturity at December 31, 2002 & State of Illinois Time Deposits & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Brokered } \\
\text { CDs }
\end{gathered}
\] & CDs & & IRAs & De
\(\$ 100\) \\
\hline 3 months or less & \$ 5,000 & \$ & \$ 25,775 & \$ & 747 & \$ \\
\hline 3 to 6 months & 5,000 & -- & 18,551 & & 310 & \\
\hline 6 to 12 months & -- & 5,000 & 25,926 & & 419 & \\
\hline Over 12 months & -- & 12,000 & 15,334 & & 4,111 & \\
\hline Total & \$ 10,000 & \$ 17,000 & \$ 85,586 & \$ & 5,587 & \[
\$
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Federal Funds Purchased, Repurchase Agreements and Notes Payable
This category includes federal funds purchased, which are generally overnight transactions, securities sold under repurchase agreements, which mature from one day to three years from the date of sale and U.S. Treasury demand notes. The table in note 8 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements shows the balances of federal funds purchased, repurchase agreements and notes payable at December 31, 2002 and 2001, the average balance for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000, and the maximum month-end value during each year.

Fair Values of Financial Instruments
The estimated fair values of financial instruments for which no listed market exists and the fair values of investment securities, which are based on listed market quotes at December 31, 2002 and 2001, are disclosed in note 17 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

\section*{Capital}

Total shareholders' equity declined \(\$ 1.523\) million from \(\$ 135.993\) million at December 31, 2001 to \(\$ 134.470\) million at December 31, 2002. Included in the
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decrease were net treasury stock transactions of \(\$ 15.369\) million, primarily due to the completion of the \(\$ 16.556\) million tender offer during the second quarter of 2002, offset partially by the exercise of stock options by employees and directors of the Company. Cash dividends declared of \(\$ 5.752 \mathrm{million}\) and a \(\$ 0.031\) million decrease from stock appreciation rights also contributed to the overall decrease in capital. Increases in capital included net income of \(\$ 17.381\) million, issuance of new shares of common stock of \(\$ 1.222\) million (stock options exercised prior to the completion of the tender offer were fulfilled using existing treasury stock, if available, and through the issuance of new shares), and an increase in accumulated other comprehensive income of \(\$ 1.026\) million.

Financial institutions are required by regulatory agencies to maintain minimum levels of capital based on asset size and risk characteristics. Currently, the Company and the Banks are required by their primary regulators to maintain adequate capital based on two measurements: the total assets leverage ratio and the risk-weighted assets ratio.

Based on Federal Reserve guidelines, a bank holding company generally is required to maintain a leverage ratio of \(3 \%\) plus an additional cushion of at least 100 to 200 basis points. The Company's total assets leverage ratio at both December 31,2002 and 2001 was \(11.8 \%\). The leverage ratios for the individual banks are disclosed in note 19 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. All are well above the regulatory minimum.

The minimum risk-weighted assets ratio for bank holding companies is 8\%. The Company's total risk-weighted assets ratio at both December 31, 2002 and 2001 was \(18.0 \%\) which is significantly higher than the regulatory minimum. The individual banks' total risk-weighted assets ratio are disclosed in note 19 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. All are significantly higher than the regulatory minimum.

\section*{Inflation and Changing Prices}

Changes in interest rates and a bank's ability to react to interest rate fluctuations have a much greater impact on a bank's balance sheet and net income than inflation. A review of net interest income (loss), liquidity and rate sensitivity should assist in the understanding of how well the company is positioned to react to changes in interest rates.

Liquidity and Cash Flows
The Company requires cash to fund loan growth and deposit withdrawals. Cash flows fluctuate with changes in economic conditions, current interest rate trends and as a result of management strategies and programs. The Company monitors the demand for cash and initiates programs and policies as considered necessary to meet funding gaps.

The Company was able to adequately fund loan demand and meet liquidity needs in 2002. A review of the consolidated statement of cash flows in the accompanying financial statements shows that the Company's cash and cash equivalents increased \(\$ 7.367\) million from December 31, 2001 to December 31, 2002. The increase in 2002 resulted from operating and investing activities, somewhat offset by financing activities. There were differences in sources and uses of cash during 2002 compared to 2001 . More cash was provided by operating activities in 2002 compared to 2001. This was mainly due to more funds provided by sales of mortgage loans originated for sale than funds used for loans originated for sale in 2002 compared to 2001 when more funds were used for loans originated for sale than provided by proceeds from sales of loans originated for sale. Cash was provided in the area of investing activities during 2002 compared to cash used in 2001. Funding of new loans decreased in 2002 compared to 2001 as net loans decreased \(\$ 7.172\) million in 2002 compared to a \(\$ 15.882\) million increase in 2001. Principal paydowns from mortgage-backed securities were higher
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in 2002 compared to 2001, reflective of the interest rate environment. Also, there were less net purchases of investments in debt and equity securities compared to proceeds from maturities, calls and sales of the same in 2002 compared to 2001. Cash was used in financing activities in 2002 compared to cash provided in 2001. This was mainly due to decreases in deposits and federal funds purchased, repurchase agreements and notes payable in 2002 compared to increases in 2001. Also contributing to the use of funds in 2002 was the Company's stock tender offer.

The Company's future short-term cash requirements are expected to continue to be provided by investment maturities, sales of loans and deposits. Cash required to meet longer-term liquidity requirements will mostly depend on future goals and strategies of management, the competitive environment, economic factors and changes in the needs of customers. No outside borrowing is anticipated. The Company expects to maintain FHLB advances near the current level. If current sources of liquidity cannot provide needed cash in the future, the company can obtain funds from several sources. The Company is able to borrow funds on a temporary basis from the Federal Reserve Bank, the FHLB and correspondent banks to meet short-term requirements. With no parent company debt and sound capital levels, the Company has several options for longer-term cash needs, such as for future expansion and acquisitions.

Management is not aware of any current recommendations by the Company's primary regulators which if implemented would have a material effect on the company's liquidity, capital resources or operations.

The following table summarizes significant obligations and other commitments at December 31, 2002 (in thousands):
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Years Ended December 31, & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Time Deposits} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{and long-t borrowings} \\
\hline 2003 & \$ & 233,120 & \$ & 20,02 \\
\hline 2004 & & 56,484 & & \\
\hline 2005 & & 30,443 & & \\
\hline 2006 & & 9,933 & & 5,02 \\
\hline 2007 & & 15,765 & & 2,69 \\
\hline 2008 and thereafter & & 0 & & \\
\hline Total & \$ & 345,745 & \$ & 27,80 \\
\hline Commitments to extend credit: & & & & \\
\hline Commitments & & & & \\
\hline Standby letters of credit & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Interest Rate Sensitivity

The concept of interest sensitivity attempts to gauge exposure of the company's net interest income to adverse changes in market driven interest rates by measuring the amount of interest-sensitive assets and interest-sensitive liabilities maturing or subject to repricing within a specified time period. Liquidity represents the ability of the Company to meet the day-to-day demands of deposit customers balanced by its investments of these deposits. The Company must also be prepared to fulfill the needs of credit customers for loans with various types of maturities and other financing arrangements. One way the
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Company monitors its interest rate sensitivity and liquidity is through the use of static gap reports, which measure the difference between assets and liabilities maturing or repricing within specified time periods.

\section*{31}

The following table shows the Company's interest rate sensitivity position at various intervals at December 31, 2002:

Rate Sensitivity of Earning Assets and Interest Bearing Liabilities (in thousands)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
1-30 \\
\text { Days }
\end{array}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
31-90 \\
\text { Days }
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
91-180 \\
\text { Days }
\end{gathered}
\]} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Interest earning assets:} \\
\hline Federal funds sold and interest bearing deposits & \$ & 43,002 & \$ & -- & \$ & -- & \$ \\
\hline Debt and equity securitiesl & & 33,291 & & 39,230 & & 18,757 & \\
\hline Loans2 & & 201,856 & & 24,514 & & 28,080 & \\
\hline Total interest earning assets & \$ & 278,149 & \$ & 63,744 & \$ & 46,837 & \$ \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Interest bearing liabilities:} \\
\hline Savings and interest bearing demand deposits & \$ & 39,777 & \$ & 1,432 & \$ & 2,148 & \$ \\
\hline Money market savings deposits & & 148,197 & & -- & & -- & \\
\hline Time deposits & & 24,815 & & 44,271 & & 60,187 & \\
\hline Federal funds purchased, repurchase agreement and notes payable & & 78,546 & & 9 & & 631 & \\
\hline FHLB advances and other borrowings & & 5,000 & & 15,138 & & -- & \\
\hline Total interest bearing liabilities & \$ & 296,335 & \$ & 60,850 & \$ & 62,966 & \$ \\
\hline Net asset (liability) funding gap & \$ & \((18,186)\) & \$ & 2,894 & \$ & \((16,129)\) & \$ \\
\hline Repricing gap . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . & & 0.94 & & 1.05 & & 0.74 & \\
\hline Cumulative repricing gap & & 0.94 & & 0.96 & & 0.93 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Included in the \(1-30\) day category of savings and interest bearing demand deposits is non-core deposits plus a percentage, based upon industry-accepted assumptions, of the core deposits. "Core deposits" are the lowest average balance of the prior twelve months for each product type included in this category. "Non-core deposits" are the difference between the current balance and core deposits. The time frames include a percentage, based upon industry-accepted assumptions, of the core deposits, as follows:
\(1-30\) Days \(31-90\) Days \(91-180\) Days 181-365 Da
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Savings and interest bearing \\
demand deposits & \(0.45 \%\) & \(0.85 \%\) \\
\hline \(.25 \%\)
\end{tabular}

At December 31, 2002, the Company tended to be liability sensitive due to the
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levels of savings and interest bearing demand deposits, time deposits, federal funds purchased, repurchase agreements and notes payable. As such, the effect of a decrease in the prime rate of 100 basis points would increase net interest income by approximately \(\$ 182,000\) in 30 days and \(\$ 153,000\) in 90 days assuming no management intervention. A rise in interest rates would have the opposite effect for the same periods. The Company's Asset and Liability Management Policy states that the cumulative ratio of rate-sensitive assets ("RSA") to rate-sensitive liabilities ("RSL") for the 12 -month period should fall within the range of \(0.75-1.25\). As of December 31, 2002, the Company's RSA/RSL was 0.89 , which was within the established guidelines.

In addition to managing interest sensitivity and liquidity through the use of gap reports, the Company has provided for emergency liquidity situations with informal agreements with correspondent banks, which permit the Company to borrow federal funds on an unsecured basis. Additionally, the Company can borrow approximately \(\$ 46.982\) million from the Federal Home Loan Bank on a secured basis.

The Company uses financial forecasting/budgeting/reporting software packages to perform interest rate sensitivity analysis for all product categories. The Company's primary focus of its analysis is on the effect of interest rate increases and decreases on net interest income. Management believes that this analysis reflects the potential effects on current earnings of interest rate changes. Call criteria and prepayment assumptions are taken into consideration for investments in debt and equity securities. All of the Company's financial instruments are analyzed by a software database, which includes each of the different product categories, which are tied to key rates such as prime, Treasury Bills, or the federal funds rate. The relationships of each of the different products to the key rate that the product is tied to is proportional. The software reprices the products based on current offering rates. The software performs interest rate sensitivity analysis by performing rate shocks of plus or minus 200 basis points in 100 basis point increments.

The following table shows projected results at December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001 of the impact on net interest income from an immediate change in interest rates. The results are shown as a percentage change in net interest income over the next twelve months.

Basis Point Change
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & +200 & +100 & -100 & -200 \\
\hline December 31, 2002 & 7.6\% & 3.8\% & (3.9\%) & (7.8\%) \\
\hline December 31, 2001 & 4.2\% & 2.1\% & (2.1\%) & (3.6\%) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The foregoing computations are based on numerous assumptions, including relative levels of market interest rates, prepayments and deposit mix. The computed estimates should not be relied upon as a projection of actual results. Despite the limitations on preciseness inherent in these computations, management believes that the information provided is reasonably indicative of the effect of changes in interest rate levels on the net earning capacity of the company's current mix of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities. Management continues to use the results of these computations, along with the results of its computer model projections, in order to maximize current earnings while positioning the Company to minimize the effect of a prolonged shift in interest rates that would adversely affect future results of operations.
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At the present time, the most significant market risk affecting the Company is interest rate risk. Other market risks such as foreign currency exchange risk and commodity price risk do not occur in the normal business of the Company. The Company also is not currently using trading activities or derivative instruments to control interest rate risk.

New Accounting Rules and Regulations

In June 2001, Statement on Financial Accounting Standards No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations" was issued to address financial reporting and obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs. This Statement applies to all entities and to legal obligations associated with the retirement of long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, development or normal operations of a long-lived asset, except for certain obligations of lessees. Statement No. 143 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. Management does not believe the adoption of Statement No. 143 will have a significant impact on its financial statements.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board has issued Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others" - an interpretation of FASB Statement Nos. 5, 57, and 107 and rescission of FASB Interpretation No. 34. This interpretation elaborates on the disclosures to be made by a guarantor in its interim and annual financial statements about obligations under certain guarantees that it has issued. It also clarifies that a guarantor is required to recognize, at the inception of a guarantee, a liability for the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing the guarantee. The initial recognition and measurement of the Interpretation are applicable on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 15, 2002. Implementation of these provisions of the Interpretation is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements. The disclosure requirements of the Interpretation are effective for the financial statements of interim or annual periods ending after December 15, 2002, and have been adopted in the consolidated financial statements for December 31, 2002.

Safe Harbor Statement Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995

This document (including information incorporated by reference) contains, and future oral and written statements of the Company and its management may contain, forward-looking statements, within the meaning of such term in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 , with respect to the financial condition, results of operations, plans, objectives, future performance and business of the Company. Forward-looking statements, which may be based upon beliefs, expectations and assumptions of the Company's management and on information currently available to management, are generally identifiable by the use of words such as "believe", "expect", "anticipate", "plan", "intend", "estimate", "may", "will", "would", "could", "should", or other similar expressions. Additionally, all statements in this document, including forward-looking statements, speak only as of the date they are made, and the Company undertakes no obligation to update any statement in light of new information or future events.

The Company's ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors which could have a material adverse effect on the operations and future prospects of the company and its subsidiaries include, but are not limited to, the following:
o The strength of the United States economy in general and the strength of
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the local economies in which the Company conducts its operations which may be less favorable then expected and may result in, among other things, a deterioration in the credit quality and value of the Company's assets.
o The economic impact of past and any future terrorist attacks, acts of war or threats thereof, and the response of the United States to any such threats and attacks.
o The effects of, and changes in, federal, state and local laws, regulations and policies affecting banking, securities, insurance and monetary and financial matters.
o The effects of changes in interest rates (including the effects of changes in the rate of prepayments of the Company's assets) and the policies of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
o The ability of the Company to compete with other financial institutions as effectively as the company currently intends due to increases in competitive pressures in the financial services sector.
o The inability of the Company to obtain new customers and to retain existing customers.
o The timely development and acceptance of products and services, including products and services offered through alternative delivery channels such as the Internet.
o Technological changes implemented by the company and by other parties, including third party vendors, which may be more difficult or more expensive than anticipated or which may have unforeseen consequences to the Company and its customers.
o The ability of the Company to develop and maintain secure and reliable electronic systems.
o The ability of the Company to retain key executives and employees and the difficulty that the Company may experience in replacing key executives and employees in an effective manner.
o Consumer spending and saving habits which may change in a manner that affects the Company's business adversely.
o Business combinations and the integration of acquired businesses which may be more difficult to expensive than expected.
o The costs, effects and outcomes of existing or future litigation.
o Changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by state and federal regulatory agencies and the Financial Accounting Standards Board.
o The ability of the Company to manage the risks associated with the foregoing as well as anticipated.

These risks and uncertainties should be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. Additional information concerning the Company and its business, including other factors that could materially affect the Company's financial results, is included in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission
Item 7a. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk
See the "Interest Rate Sensitivity" section contained in Item 7, Management'sDiscussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data
The financial statements begin immediately after the following Section 906
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Trust, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2002 . These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Main Street Trust, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2002 and 2001, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2002, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.
/s/ McGladrey \& Pullen, LLP

Champaign, Illinois
February 7, 2003

MAIN STREET TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES<br>Consolidated Balance Sheets<br>December 31, 2002 and 2001<br>(in thousands, except share data)

| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 59,744 | \$ | 87,895 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal funds sold and interest bearing deposits |  | 43,002 |  | 7,484 |
| Cash and cash equivalents |  | 102,746 |  | 95,379 |
| Investments in debt and equity securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Available-for-sale, at fair value |  | 240,616 |  | 266,496 |
| Held-to-maturity, at cost (fair value of $\$ 70,489$ and $\$ 64,727$ at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively) |  | 68,563 |  | 63,818 |
| Non-marketable equity securities |  | 7,031 |  | 5,108 |



See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.
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MAIN STREET TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Income

Years Ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000
(in thousands, except share data)

| Interest income: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans and fees on loans | \$ | 48,080 | \$ | 55,280 | \$ |
| Investments in debt and equity securities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable |  | 12,471 |  | 13,831 |  |
| Tax-exempt |  | 2,375 |  | 2,347 |  |
| Federal funds sold and interest bearing deposits |  | 437 |  | 1,737 |  |
| Total interest income |  | 63,363 |  | 73,195 |  |
| Interest expense: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  | 18,714 |  | 28,831 |  |
| Federal funds purchased, repurchase agreements, and notes payable ................................. |  | 1,169 |  | 2,550 |  |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowings |  | 1,834 |  | 2,217 |  |
| Total interest expense |  | 21,717 |  | 33,598 |  |
| Net interest income |  | 41,646 |  | 39,597 |  |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 1,450 |  | 2,670 |  |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses |  | 40,196 |  | 36,927 |  |
| Non-interest income: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Remittance processing |  | 7,277 |  | 7,187 |  |
| Trust and brokerage fees |  | 5,929 |  | 5,227 |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts |  | 2,373 |  | 2,217 |  |
| Securities transactions, net |  | 211 |  | 110 |  |
| Gain on sales of mortgage loans, net |  | 1,368 |  | 828 |  |
| Other |  | 1,708 |  | 1,697 |  |
| Total non-interest income |  | 18,866 |  | 17,266 |  |
| Non-interest expense: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits |  | 18,721 |  | 17,761 |  |
| Occupancy |  | 2,376 |  | 2,297 |  |
| Equipment |  | 2,779 |  | 3,405 |  |
| Data processing fees |  | 2,300 |  | 1,943 |  |
| Office supplies |  | 1,261 |  | 1,535 |  |
| Service charges from correspondent banks |  | 932 |  | 885 |  |
| Merger related professional fees |  | -- |  | -- |  |
| Reconciliation liability |  | -- |  | $(2,500)$ |  |
| Other |  | 4,792 |  | 4,960 |  |
| Total non-interest expense |  | 33,161 |  | 30,286 |  |
| Income before income taxes |  | 25,901 |  | 23,907 |  |
| Income taxes |  | 8,520 |  | 7,736 |  |
| Net income | \$ | 17,381 |  | 16,171 |  |
| Per share data: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ | 1.61 | \$ | 1.48 | \$ |
| Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding |  | 792,092 |  | 930,736 |  |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ | 1.60 | \$ | 1.45 | \$ |
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```
Weighted average shares of common stock and dilutive potential common shares outstanding ................... 10, 878,823
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.
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```
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity
```

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity
Years Ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000
Years Ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000
(in thousands, except share data)

```
                (in thousands, except share data)
```

$11,138,290$
11,30
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Held-to-maturity ..... $(25,158)$
Available-for-sale$(1,970)$Other equity securities$(1,970)$
Principal paydowns from mortgage-backed securities:Held-to-maturity17,272
Available-for-sale ..... 16,012
Return of principal on other equity securities ..... 106
Purchases of premises and equipment ..... $(1,811)$
Proceeds from sale of premises and equipment ..... 71 ..... -Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities ....
25,949Cash flows from financing activities:
Net (decrease) increase in deposits ..... $(15,523)$
Net (decrease) increase in federal funds purchased, repurchaseagreements, and notes payable$(4,556)$
13,000
Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank and other borrowings ..... $(20,089)$$(5,634)$
Cash dividends paid
1,222
Issuance of new shares of common stock, net$(15,369)$
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities ......$(46,949)$
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 7,367
MSTI stock transactions, net

| $(15,369)$ | $(2$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| - | $(46,949)$ |
| 7,367 | 46 |

Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year

| 95,379 | 84 |
| :---: | ---: |
| $-\$ 102,746 \quad \$ \quad 95$ |  |

Cash and cash equivalents at end of year102,746\$ 95
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: Cash paid during the year for:
Interest ...
Income taxes ..... \$ 22,855
Real estate acquired through or in lieu of foreclosure ..... 297
Dividends declared not paid ..... 1,570
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

## 1. Organization

Main Street Trust, Inc. is a holding company whose subsidiaries BankIllinois, The First National Bank of Decatur and FirsTech, Inc., (the "Company") provide a full range of banking services to individual and corporate customers located within Champaign, Decatur, and Shelbyville, Illinois, and the surrounding communities. The subsidiaries are subject to competition from other financial institutions and nonfinancial institutions providing financial products. Additionally, the Company and its subsidiaries are subject to the regulations of certain regulatory agencies and undergo periodic examinations by those regulatory agencies.
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## 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and conform to predominant practices within the banking industry. The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions, including the determination of the allowance for loan losses and the valuation of real estate acquired in connection with foreclosure or in satisfaction of loans, that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The significant accounting policies used by the Company in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements are summarized below:
(a) Principles of Consolidation and Financial Statement Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Main Street Trust, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries, BankIllinois, The First National Bank of Decatur, (the "Banks") and FirsTech, Inc., a retail payment processing company. During 2002, First Trust Bank of Shelbyville, previously a bank subsidiary, was merged into BankIllinois. Significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Property held by the Trust \& Investments Division in fiduciary or agency capacities for its customers is not included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, since such items are not assets of the Company.

The Company currently operates in two industry segments. The primary business involves providing banking services to central Illinois. The Banks offer a full range of financial services to business and individual customers. These services include demand, savings, time and individual retirement accounts; commercial, consumer (including automobile loans and personal lines of credit), agricultural, and real estate lending; safe deposit and night depository services; farm management; full service trust departments that offer a wide range of services such as investment management, acting as trustee, serving as guardian, executor or agent and miscellaneous consulting; discount brokerage services and purchases of installment obligations from retailers, primarily without recourse. The other industry segment involves retail payment processing. FirsTech provides the following services to electric, water and gas utilities, telecommunication companies, cable television firms and charitable organizations: retail lockbox processing of payments delivered by mail on behalf of the biller; processing of payments delivered by customers to pay agents such as grocery stores, convenience stores and currency exchanges; and concentration of payments delivered by the Automated Clearing House network, money management software such as Quicken and through networks such as Visa e-Pay and MasterCard RPS. The Company operates primarily to manage its investment in the subsidiaries. Company information is provided for informational purposes only, since it is not considered a separate segment for reporting purposes.

| Banking | Remittance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Services | Services | Eliminations |

2002


| Total interest income | \$ | 63,207 | \$ | 88 | \$ | 210 | \$ | (142) | \$ | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total interest expense |  | 21,843 |  | -- |  | 16 |  | (142) |  | 2 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 1,450 |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  |  |
| Total non-interest income |  | 12,259 |  | 7,396 |  | (60) |  | (729) |  |  |
| Total non-interest expense |  | 27,298 |  | 5,051 |  | 1,541 |  | (729) |  |  |
| Income before income tax |  | 24,875 |  | 2,433 |  | $(1,407)$ |  | -- |  | 2 |
| Income tax expense |  | 8,110 |  | 974 |  | (564) |  | -- |  |  |
| Net income |  | 16,765 |  | 1,459 |  | (843) |  | -- |  | 1 |
| Total assets |  | 1,110,691 |  | 6,774 |  | 137,243 |  | $(131,980)$ |  | 1,122 |
| Depreciation and amortizati |  | 2,131 |  | 512 |  | 30 |  | -- |  |  |

2001

| Total interest income |
| :---: |
| Total interest expense |
| Provision for loan losses |
| Total non-interest income |
| Total non-interest expense |
| Income before income tax |
| Income tax expense |
| Net income |
| Total assets |
| Depreciation and amortiz |

2000

| Total interest income | \$ | 74,346 | \$ | 137 | \$ | 172 | \$ | (384) | \$ | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total interest expense |  | 36,983 |  | -- |  | -- |  | (384) |  | 3 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 804 |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  |  |
| Total non-interest income |  | 10,013 |  | 7,434 |  | 174 |  | $(1,305)$ |  | 1 |
| Total non-interest expense |  | 25,397 |  | 5,849 |  | 4,828 |  | $(1,305)$ |  | 3 |
| Income before income tax |  | 21,175 |  | 1,722 |  | $(4,482)$ |  | -- |  | 1 |
| Income tax expense |  | 6,587 |  | 593 |  | (754) |  | -- |  |  |
| Net income |  | 14,588 |  | 1,129 |  | $(3,728)$ |  | -- |  | 1 |
| Total assets |  | 1,081,001 |  | 6,606 |  | 129,942 |  | $(126,468)$ |  | 1,09 |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 2,292 |  | 523 |  | 24 |  | -- |  |  |

## (b) Investments in Debt and Equity Securities

Debt securities classified as held-to-maturity are those securities which the Company has the ability and intent to hold until maturity. These securities are carried at amortized cost, in which the amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts, which are recognized as adjustments to interest income, are recorded using methods which approximate the interest method. These methods consider the timing and amount of prepayments of underlying mortgages in estimating future cash flows on individual mortgage-related securities. Unrealized holding gains and losses for held-to-maturity securities are excluded from earnings and shareholders' equity.

Debt and equity securities classified as available-for-sale are those securities that the Company intends to hold for an indefinite period of time but not necessarily to maturity. Any decision to sell a security classified as available-for-sale would be based on various factors,
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including significant movements in interest rates, changes in the maturity mix of the Company's assets and liabilities, liquidity needs, regulatory capital considerations, and other similar factors. Securities available-for-sale are carried at fair value. The difference between fair value and cost, adjusted for amortization of premium and accretion of discounts, results in an unrealized gain or loss. Unrealized gains or losses are reported as accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of the related deferred tax effect. Gains or losses from the sale of securities are determined using the specific identification method. Premiums and discounts are recognized in interest income using methods, which approximate the interest method over their contractual lives.

A decline in the market value of any available-for-sale or held-to-maturity security below cost that is deemed other than temporary is charged to earnings and results in the establishment of a new cost basis for the security.

Non-marketable equity securities include other investments which are carried at fair value as well as Federal Reserve Bank stock and the Banks' required investment in the capital stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank which are carried at cost which approximates fair value.
(c) Loans

Loans are stated at the principal amount outstanding, net of the allowance for loan losses. Interest is credited to income as earned, based upon the principal amount outstanding.

The accrual of interest on loans is discontinued when, in the opinion of management, the borrower is unable to meet payments as they become due. Interest accrued in the current year is reversed against interest income, and prior years' interest is charged to the allowance for loan losses. Interest income on impaired loans is recognized to the extent interest payments are received and the principal is considered fully collectible.

Mortgage loans held for sale are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or estimated market value. Gains or losses on sales of loans held for sale are computed using the specific-identification method and are reflected in income at the time of sale.

The fair market value of servicing rights on mortgage loans that are sold with servicing retained is capitalized. The capitalized servicing rights are amortized against income based on the estimated lives of the loans. Capitalized servicing rights are evaluated for impairment based on the fair value of the servicing rights and any impairment is reflected in income.
(d) Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is increased by provisions charged to operations and is reduced by loan charge-offs less recoveries. Management utilizes an approach, which provides for general and specific valuation allowances, that is based on current economic conditions, past losses, collection experience, risk characteristics of the portfolio, assessment of collateral values by obtaining independent appraisals for significant properties, and such other factors which, in management's judgment, deserve current recognition in estimating loan losses, to determine the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses.

The allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans that are identified
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for evaluation is based on discounted cash flow using the loan's initial effective interest rate or the fair value, less selling costs, of the collateral for collateral dependent loans.

Loans are categorized as "impaired" when, based on current information or events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due, including principal and interest, in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The Company reviews all non-accrual and substantially delinquent loans, as well as problem loans identified by management, for impairment as defined above. A specific reserve amount will be established for impaired loans in which the present value of the expected cash flows to be generated is less than the amount of the loan recorded on the Company's books. As an alternative to discounting, the Company may use the "fair value" of any collateral supporting a collateral-dependent loan in reviewing the necessity for establishing a specific loan loss reserve amount. Specific reserves will be established for accounts having a collateral deficiency estimated to be $\$ 50,000$ or more. The Company's general reserve is maintained at an adequate level to cover accounts having a collateral deficiency of less than $\$ 50,000$. Loans evaluated as groups or homogeneous pools of loans will be excluded from this analysis.

The Company utilizes their data processing system to identify loan payments not made by their contractual due date and calculate the number of days each loan exceeds the contractual due dates. The accrual of interest on any loan is discontinued when, in the opinion of management, there is reasonable doubt as to the collectibility of interest or principal.

Management believes the allowance for loan losses is adequate to absorb probable credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio. While management uses available information to recognize loan losses, future additions to the allowance for loan losses may be necessary based on changes in economic conditions. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require the company to recognize additions to the allowance for loan losses based on their judgments of information available to them at the time of their examination.
(e) Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization applicable to furniture and equipment and buildings and leasehold improvements is charged to the related occupancy or equipment expense using straight-line and accelerated methods over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Maintenance and repairs are charged to operations as incurred.

Other Real Estate

Other real estate, included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, is initially recorded at fair value, if it will be held and used, or at its fair value less costs to sell if it will be disposed of. If, subsequent to foreclosure, the fair value is less than the carrying amount, the difference is recorded as a valuation allowance through a charge to income. Subsequent increases in fair value are recorded through a reversal of the valuation allowance, but not below zero. Expenses incurred in maintaining the properties are charged to operations. Other real estate owned totaled $\$ 58,000$ and $\$ 0$ at December 31, 2002 and 2001,
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respectively.
(g) Income Taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.
(h) Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common stock shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common stock and dilutive potential common shares outstanding. Options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock and stock appreciation rights are the only dilutive potential common shares. The weighted average number of dilutive potential common shares is calculated using the treasury stock method.

Earnings per share has been computed as follows:

|  | 2002 |  | 2001 | 200 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income | \$ | 17,381,000 | \$16,171,000 | \$11,98 |
| Shares: |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding |  | 10,792,092 | 10,930,736 | 11,07 |
| Dilutive effect of outstanding options, as determined by the application of the treasury stock method. |  | 86,731 | 207,554 | 22 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding, as adjusted ............................................... |  | 10,878,823 | 11,138,290 | 11,30 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ | 1.61 | \$ 1.48 | \$ |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ | 1.60 | \$ 1.45 | \$ |

(i) Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the consolidated statements of cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash and due from banks and federal funds sold and interest bearing deposits. Generally, federal funds are sold for one-day periods.
(j) Reclassification

Certain amounts in the 2000 and 2001 consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform with the 2002 presentation. Such reclassifications have no effect on previously reported net income.
(k) Stock Option Plans

# Edgar Filing: MAIN STREET TRUST INC - Form 10-K 

The Company has four stock-based compensation plans which have been in existence for all periods presented, and which are more fully described in Note 13. As permitted under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, grants of options under the plans are accounted for under the recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion No 25. Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations. Because options granted under the plans had an exercise price equal to market value of the underlying common stock on the grant date, no stock-based employee compensation cost is included in determining net income. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of FASB Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, to stock-based employee compensation.

|  | 2002 |  | 2001 |  | 2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income on common stock: As reported ..... | \$ | 17,381 | \$ | 16,171 | \$ | 11,989 |
| Deduct total stock-based compensation expense determined under the fair value method for all awards, net of related tax effects |  | (290) |  | (293) |  | (246) |
| Pro forma | \$ | 17,091 | \$ | 15,878 | \$ | 11,743 |
| Basic earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| As reported | \$ | 1.61 | \$ | 1.48 | \$ | 1.08 |
| Pro forma |  | 1.58 |  | 1.45 |  | 1.06 |
| Diluted earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| As reported | \$ | 1.60 | \$ | 1.45 | \$ | 1.06 |
| Pro forma |  | 1.57 |  | 1.43 |  | 1.04 |

The fair value of the stock options granted has been estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions. The Black-Scholes option-pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options, which have no vesting restrictions. In addition, such models require the use of subjective assumptions, including expected stock price volatility. In management's opinion, such valuation models may not necessarily provide the best single measure of option value.

Number of options granted ......
Risk-free interest rate ........
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Expected life, in years } \ldots . . .{ }^{2} & 8.00 & 6.97\end{array}$
Expected volatility ............ 10.34\% 14.10\% 11.06\%
Expected dividend yield ........ 2.80\% 2.23\% 2.39\%

## (1) Emerging Accounting Standards

In June 2001, Statement on Financial Accounting Standards No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations" was issued to address financial reporting and obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs. This Statement applies to all entities and to legal obligations associated with the retirement of long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, development or normal operations of a long-lived asset, except for certain obligations of lessees. Statement No. 143 is effective
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for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. Management does not believe the adoption of Statement No. 143 will have a significant impact on its financial statements.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board has issued Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others" - an interpretation of FASB Statement Nos. 5, 57, and 107 and rescission of FASB Interpretation No. 34. This interpretation elaborates on the disclosures to be made by a guarantor in its interim and annual financial statements about obligations under certain guarantees that it has issued. It also clarifies that a guarantor is required to recognize, at the inception of a guarantee, a liability for the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing the guarantee. The initial recognition and measurement of the Interpretation are applicable on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 15, 2002. Implementation of these provisions of the Interpretation is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements. The disclosure requirements of the Interpretation are effective for the financial statements of interim or annual periods ending after December 15,2002 , and have been adopted in the consolidated financial statements for December 31, 2002.
3. Cash and Due from Banks

The compensating balances held at correspondent banks were $\$ 47,424,000$ and $\$ 74,947,000$ at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. The Banks maintain such compensating balances with correspondent banks to offset charges for services rendered by those banks. In addition, the Banks were required by the Federal Reserve Bank to maintain reserves in the form of cash on hand or balances at the Federal Reserve Bank. The balance of reserves held was $\$ 8,312,000$ and $\$ 9,472,000$ at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively.
4. Investments in Debt and Equity Securities

The amortized cost and fair values of investments in debt and equity securities (in thousands) were as follows:

Available-for-Sale

|  | Gross | Gross |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amortized | Unrealized | Unrealiz |
| Cost | Gains | Losses |

December 31, 2002
U.S. Treasury and other


Obligations of states and political subdivisions ........... 15,248 922
Other . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
6,375 322
1,668
$\$ 234,336 \quad \$ \quad 7,986 \quad \$ \quad 1,706$
$============================$

December 31, 2001
U.S. Treasury and other
government agencies . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 196,628$ \$ 3,500 \$ 22
Mortgage-backed securities .................................................. 27,852 433
Obligations of states and political subdivisions ........... 15, 380 293

Other

| 22,408 | 959 | 694 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| \$262,268 | $\$ 5,185 \quad \$$ | 957 |

Held-to-Maturity

December 31, 2002
U.S. Treasury and other government agencies

| \$ | 1,750 | \$ | 4 | \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 43,218 |  | 1,787 |  |
|  | 23,595 |  | 184 |  |
| \$ | 68,563 | \$ | 1,975 | \$ |

December 31, 2001
U.S. Treasury and other
government agenci
bligations of states and political subdivisions
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A summary of non-marketable equity securities (in thousands) at December 31, 2002 and 2001 is as follows:

|  | 2002 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Home Loan Bank Stock, at cost | \$3,732 | \$3,535 |
| Federal Reserve Bank Stock, at cost | 231 | 231 |
| Other investments, at fair value | 3,068 | 1,342 |
|  | \$7,031 | \$5,108 |

Realized gains and losses (in thousands) on sales and maturities for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 were as follows:

|  | 2002 | 2001 |  | 2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,016 \\ (805) \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 563 \\ (453) \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 320 \\ (299) \end{gathered}$ |
| \$ | 211 | \$ | 110 | \$ | 21 |
| \$ | 84 | \$ | 39 | \$ | 7 |

Investments in debt and equity securities with a carrying value of $\$ 212,414,000$ and $\$ 217,369,000$ were pledged at December 31, 2002 and 2001 , respectively, to secure public deposits, repurchase agreements, and for other purposes as required or permitted by law.

The amortized cost and fair value of investments in debt and equity securities
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(in thousands) at December 31, 2002, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties and certain securities require principal repayments prior to maturity. Therefore, these securities and equity securities with no stated maturities are not included in the following maturity summary.

|  | Available-for-Sale |  | Held-to-Maturity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 49,227 | \$ 49,819 | \$ 9,911 | \$ 10,023 |
| Due after one year through five years | 143,061 | 148,764 | 30,729 | 32,075 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 4,968 | 5,335 | 4,328 | 4,660 |
| Due after ten years | 1,721 | 1,793 | -- | -- |
|  | \$198,977 | \$205,711 | \$ 44,968 | \$ 46,758 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 29,987 | 30,884 | 23,595 | 23,731 |
| Marketable equity securities | 5,372 | 4,021 | - | -- |
| Other equity securities | 7,018 | 7,031 | -- | -- |
| Total debt securities | \$241,354 | \$247,647 | \$ 68,563 | \$ 70,489 |

5. Loans

A summary of loans (in thousands), by classification, at December 31, 2002 and 2001 is as follows:

|  | 2002 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial, financial, and agricultural | \$234,045 | \$246,042 |
| Real estate | 343,827 | 316,693 |
| Installment and consumer | 95,529 | 119,585 |
|  | \$673,401 | \$682,320 |
| Less: |  |  |
| Allowance for loan losses | 9,259 | 9,259 |
|  | \$664, 142 | \$673, 061 |

The Company makes commercial, financial, and agricultural; real estate; and installment and consumer loans to customers located in central Illinois and the surrounding communities. As such, the Company is susceptible to changes in the economic environment in central Illinois.

During 2002, 2001 and 2000, the Company sold approximately $\$ 138,898,000$, $\$ 104,155,000$ and $\$ 25,537,000$, respectively, of residential mortgage loans in the secondary market, primarily to Fannie Mae and Bank of America. Gross gains of approximately $\$ 1,385,000, \$ 856,000$ and $\$ 228,000$, and gross losses of approximately $\$ 17,000, \$ 28,000$ and $\$ 16,000$, were realized on the sales during 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively. Capitalized mortgage servicing rights totaled $\$ 544,000$ and $\$ 634,000$ at December 31,2002 and 2001 , respectively.

Mortgage loans serviced for others are not included in the accompanying
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consolidated financial statements. The unpaid balances of these loans consisted of the following (in thousands) at December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000:


In the normal course of business, loans are made to directors, executive officers, and principal shareholders of the Company and to parties which the Company or its directors, executive officers, and shareholders have the ability to significantly influence its management or operating policies (related parties). The terms of these loans, including interest rates and collateral, are similar to those prevailing for comparable transactions with other customers and do not involve more than a normal risk of collectibility. Activity associated with loans (in thousands) made to related parties during 2002, including beginning balances attributable to changes in relationship due to new directors, executive officers and principal shareholders was as follows:

| Balance, January 1 | \$ 32,470 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Balances added due to | 15,289 |
| New loans | 46,107 |
| Repayments | $(44,249)$ |
| Balance, December 31 | \$ 49,617 |

At December 31, 2002, one to four family real estate mortgage loans of approximately $\$ 95,385,000$ were pledged to secure advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank, and approximately $\$ 29,098,000$ of consumer loans were pledged to the Federal Reserve Bank to secure potential future borrowings from the Fed Discount Window.

Activity in the allowance for loan losses (in thousands) for 2002 , 2001 and 2000 was as follows:

|  | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 9,259 | \$ 8,879 | \$ | 8,682 |
| Provision charged to expense | 1,450 | 2,670 |  | 804 |
| Loans charged off | $(1,927)$ | $(2,673)$ |  | $(1,252)$ |
| Recoveries on loans previously charged off | 477 | 383 |  | 645 |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 9,259 | \$ 9,259 | \$ | 8,879 |

The following table presents summary data on nonaccrual and other impaired loans (in thousands) at December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000:


| Total impaired loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ | 764 | \$ | 125 | \$ | 97 |
| Other non-accrual loans not classified as impaired | \$ | 628 | \$3,216 |  | \$ | 505 |
| Loans contractually past due 90 days or more, still accruing interest and not classified as impaired .................. | \$ | 829 | \$1,774 |  | \$ | 846 |
| Allowance for loan losses on impaired loans | \$ | 115 | \$ | 19 | \$ | 15 |
| Impaired loans for which there is no related allowance for loan losses | \$ | -- | \$ | -- | \$ | -- |
| Average recorded investment in impaired loans | \$ | 515 | \$ | 142 | \$ | 19 |
| Interest income recognized from impaired loans | \$ | -- | \$ | - | \$ | -- |
| Cash basis interest income recognized from impaired loans | \$ | 6 | \$ | 5 | \$ | - |

6. Premises and Equipment

A summary of premises and equipment (in thousands) at December 31, 2002 and 2001 is as follows:

Land

Buildings and leasehold improvements

| 2002 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: |
| \$ 4,788 | \$ 4,783 |
| 14,889 | 14,119 |
| 23,388 | 22,669 |
| 43,065 | 41,571 |
| 24,716 | 22,312 |
| \$18,349 | \$19,259 |

Depreciation and amortization expense was $\$ 2,647,000, \$ 2,731,000$ and $\$ 2,813,000$ for 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

The Company leases various operating facilities and equipment under noncancellable operating lease arrangements. These leases expire at various dates through March 2008 and have renewal options to extend the lease terms for various dates. The rental expense for these operating leases was $\$ 240,000$, $\$ 239,000$ and $\$ 202,000$ in 2002,2001 and 2000 , respectively.

Minimum annual rental payments required under the operating leases (in thousands), which have initial or remaining terms in excess of one year at December 31, 2002 are as follows:
2003 \$ 207
$2004 \quad 193$
$2005 \quad 136$
$2006 \quad 129$
2007110
Thereafter 2
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\$ 777
$===$ = $=$ = $=$ = $=$ = $=$
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## 7. Deposits

The aggregate amount of time certificate of deposits in denominations of $\$ 100,000$ or more was $\$ 118,173,000$ and $\$ 140,042,000$ at December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively. As of December 31, 2002, the scheduled maturities of time deposits (in thousands) were as follows:

| 2003 | $\$ 233,120$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2004 | 56,484 |
| 2005 | 30,443 |
| 2006 | 9,933 |
| 2007 | 15,765 |
|  | ------- |
|  | $\$ 345,745$ |
|  | $========$ |

8. Federal Funds Purchased, Repurchase Agreements, and Notes Payable

A summary of short-term borrowings (in thousands) at December 31, 2002 and 2001 is as follows:


The securities underlying the agreements to repurchase are under the control of the Banks.
9. Federal Home Loan Bank Advances and Other Borrowings

A summary of Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) advances and other borrowings (dollars in thousands) at December 31, 2002 is as follows:

December 31

| 2002 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FHLB <br> Advances | Other Borrowings | Total | Weighted Average Rate |
| \$ | \$ - | \$ | - |
| - | 23 | 23 | 4.75\% |
| - | 23 | 23 | 4.75\% |
| - | 23 | 23 | 4.75\% |
| 5,000 | 23 | 5,023 | 5.68\% |
| 2,668 | 23 | 2,691 | 6.82\% |
| 20,000 | 23 | 20,023 | 5.23\% |
| \$ 27,668 | \$ 138 | \$ 27,806 | 5.46\% |
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The terms of a security agreement with the FHLB require the Banks to pledge as collateral for advances both qualifying first mortgage loans in an amount equal to at least $167 \%$ of these advances and all stock of the FHLB. Advances are subject to restrictions or penalties in the event of prepayment. The Banks had a total remaining borrowing capacity with the FHLB of approximately $\$ 46,982,000$ at December 31, 2002 at a rate equal to the FHLB current advance rates.

The other borrowings were for the purchase of land at a cost of $\$ 266,000$. Principal of $\$ 23,000$ and annual interest is due March 8 th of each year until the balance has been paid in full. Interest is based on the prime rate at March 8th of each year. The rate at December 31, 2002 was $4.75 \%$.
10. Line of Credit

The Company has an unsecured line of credit of $\$ 5,000,000$ from a third party lender. As of December 31, 2002, the entire line was available.
11. Income Taxes

Federal income tax expense (in thousands) for 2002, 2001 and 2000 is summarized as follows:

| 2002 | 2001 |  | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 9,536 | \$ 9,379 | \$ | 6,452 |
| $(1,016)$ | $(1,643)$ |  | (26) |


| Total | \$ 8,520 | \$ 7,736 | \$ 6,426 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | \$ 8,520 | \$ | \$ 6,426 |

Actual income tax expense (in thousands) for 2002, 2001 and 2000 differ from the "expected" income taxes (computed by applying the maximum U.S. federal corporate income tax rate of $35 \%$ to earnings before income taxes) as follows:

|  | 2002 | 2001 |  | 2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 9,066 | \$ | 8,367 | \$ | 6,445 |
|  | (764) |  | (718) |  | (646) |
|  | -- |  | -- |  | 509 |
|  | 218 |  | 87 |  | 118 |
| \$ | 8,520 | \$ | 7,736 | \$ | 6,426 |

The tax effects of temporary differences (in thousands) that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities at December 31, 2002 and 2001 are as follows:

|  | 2002 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deferred tax assets: |  |  |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$ 3,347 | \$ 3,102 |
| Deferred compensation | 1,611 | 1,631 |
| Stock appreciation rights | 73 | 85 |
| Other employee benefits | 115 | 133 |
| Severance payable | -- | 15 |
| Phantom Stock | 225 | 49 |
| Other | 144 | -- |
| Total deferred tax assets | \$ 5,515 | \$ 5,015 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: |  |  |
| Unrealized holding gain on available-for-sale securities | \$ $(2,517)$ | \$(1,442) |
| Premises and equipment | (852) | $(1,124)$ |
| Mortgage servicing rights | (153) | (229) |
| Deferred loan fees | (93) | (104) |
| Discount accretion | (138) | (161) |
| FHLB Stock Dividend | (248) | (176) |
| Other | -- | (206) |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | \$ (4,001) | \$ 3,442$)$ |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$ 1,514 | \$ 1,573 |

## 12. Retirement Plans

The Company has established a profit sharing plan and a $401(\mathrm{k})$ plan for substantially all employees who meet the eligibility requirements. Through December 31, 2002, the $401(k)$ plan allowed for participants' contributions of up to $15 \%$ of gross salary, the first $6 \%$ of which was available for the Company's 50\% match. The profit sharing plan is non-contributory. All contributions to the profit sharing plan are at the discretion of the Company. Total contributions by the Company totaled $\$ 926,000$, $\$ 746,000$ and $\$ 928,000$ for 2002, 2001 and 2000,

# Edgar Filing: MAIN STREET TRUST INC - Form 10-K 

respectively.

Certain key officers and directors participate in various deferred compensation or supplemental retirement agreements with the company. The Company accrues the liability for these agreements based on the present value of the amount the employee or director is currently eligible to receive. The Company recorded expenses of $\$ 281,000$, $\$ 281,000$ and $\$ 280,000$ in 2002, 2001 and 2000, respectively, related to these agreements.

The Company has a deferred compensation plan for nonemployee directors of the Company in which a participating director may defer directors' fees in a fixed income fund or, alternatively, in the form of "phantom stock units." For directors electing to receive phantom stock, a deferred compensation account, included in other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet, is credited with phantom stock units. Phantom stock units shall also be increased by any dividends or stock splits declared by the Company. At December 31, 2002 and 2001, $\$ 305,000$ and $\$ 286,000$ had been deferred from this plan, which represented 23,326 and 22,746 phantom stock units.

## 13. Stock Options and Related Plans

In 2000, after the merger, the Company established a stock incentive plan, which provides for the granting of options of the Company's common stock to certain directors, officers and employees. This plan provides for the granting of both qualified and non-qualified options. Existing director options are fully vested and exercisable on the date granted while existing officer and employee options vest, and thus become exercisable, ratably over a three-year period from the date granted. Under the 2000 incentive plan, the Company has outstanding options of 441,522 shares and $1,763,478$ remain eligible for grant. This is the Company's only existing stock incentive plan.

Additionally, in connection with the merger, the Company assumed all of the outstanding options under First Decatur Bancshares, Inc.'s and BankIllinois Financial Corporation's stock option and incentive plans. There were four plans in place at the time of the merger. All of the options granted under these prior plans fully vested at the time of the merger and no additional options were available for grant after the merger. One of the plans included the granting of options in tandem with stock appreciation rights ("SAR's"). As of December 31, 2002, there were options outstanding for an aggregate of 147,728 shares of the Company's common stock and 15,252 SAR's under the prior plans.

The following is a summary of the changes in options outstanding under the stock incentive and stock option plans:

2002


| Options outstanding, beginning of year | 868,998 | \$ 5.36 | - \$19.76 | 726,273 | \$ 5.36 | \$19.76 | 604,810 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Granted | 158, 000 | \$18.60 | - \$18.60 | 169,050 | \$17.50 | \$17.50 | 133,383 |
| Exercised | $(428,553)$ | \$ 5.36 | - \$19.76 | $(17,360)$ | \$ 5.36 | \$12.05 | $(6,827$ |
| Options forfeited | $(9,195)$ | \$17.50 | - \$18.60 | $(8,965)$ | \$16.86 | \$19.76 | $(5,093$ |
| Options outstanding, end of year ..... | 589,250 | \$ 6.92 | -\$19.76 | 868,998 | \$ 5.36 | \$19.76 | 726,273 |
| Options exercisable, end of year ..... | 493,893 | \$ 6.92 | -\$19.76 | 784,769 | \$ 5.36 | \$19.76 | 687,77 |

Weighted average fair value of options granted ......
$\$ \quad 3.36$
$========$
\$ $\quad 3.73$
$========$

| Options Outstanding |  |  |  | Options Exercisable |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Range of Exercise price | ```Outstanding as of December 31, 2002``` | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life | Weighted <br> Average Exercise Price | ```Exercisable as of December 31, 2002``` | Weighted <br> Average <br> Exercise <br> Price |
| \$ $6.92-\$ 7.90$ | 15,252 | 2.0 | \$ 6.92 | 15,252 | \$ 6.92 |
| \$ 7.90 - \$ 9.88 | 21,147 | 1.0 | 9.24 | 21,147 | 9.24 |
| \$15.81 - \$17.78 | 202,808 | 6.4 | 17.36 | 170,897 | 17.33 |
| \$17.78-\$19.76 | 350,043 | 7.0 | 18.73 | 286,597 | 18.77 |
|  | 589,250 | 6.4 | \$ 17.61 | 493,893 | \$ 17.50 |

## 14. Dividend Restrictions

Without prior approval of the Comptroller of the Currency, The First National Bank of Decatur is restricted by national banking laws as to the maximum amount of dividends it can pay in any calendar year to The First National Bank of Decatur's retained net profits (as defined) for that year and the two preceding years. At December 31, 2002, The First National Bank of Decatur had available retained earnings of approximately $\$ 8,179,000$ for the payment of dividends without obtaining prior regulatory approval.

Without prior approval, BankIllinois is restricted by Illinois law and regulations of the Office of Banks and Real Estate, State of Illinois, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation as to the maximum amount of dividends it can pay to its parent to the balance of the retained earnings account, as adjusted (as defined). At December 31, 2002, BankIllinois had available retained earnings of approximately $\$ 43,629,000$ for the payment of dividends without obtaining prior regulatory approval.

## 15. Condensed Financial Information of Parent Company

Following are the condensed balance sheets as of December 31, 2002 and 2001 and the related condensed statements of income and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000 for Main Street Trust, Inc.:

## Condensed Balance Sheets

(in thousands)

|  | 2002 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets: |  |  |
| Cash | \$ 2,287 | \$ 5,411 |
| Investment in banks | 118,251 | 117,550 |
| Investment in FirsTech | 6,524 | 7,065 |

Investment in other securities

| 6,875 | 6,708 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3,306 | 2,384 |


| \$137, 243 | \$139,118 |
| :---: | :---: |

Liabilities and shareholders' equity:

| Dividends payable | \$ 1,570 | \$ 1,452 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other liabilities | 1,203 | 1,673 |
| Shareholders' equity | 134,470 | 135,993 |
|  | \$137,243 | \$139,118 |

(in thousands)

| Revenue: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dividends received from subsidiaries | \$20,000 | \$12,000 | \$ 5,359 |
| Interest income on deposits | 54 | 39 | 87 |
| Income on securities | 152 | 72 | 85 |
| Securities transactions, net | (193) | (279) | 42 |
| Other | 136 | 131 | 132 |
| Total revenue | 20,149 | 11,963 | 5,705 |
| Expenses: |  |  |  |
| Reconciliation liability | -- | $(2,500)$ | -- |
| Merger related professional fees | -- | -- | 2,544 |
| Other | 1,556 | 1,055 | 2,285 |
| Total expense | 1,556 | $(1,445)$ | 4,829 |
| Income before applicable income tax expense (benefit) and equity in undistributed income of subsidiaries .................... | 18,593 | 13,408 | 876 |
| Applicable income tax expense (benefit) | (564) | 591 | (754) |
| Equity in undistributed income of subsidiaries ... | $(1,776)$ | 3,354 | 10,359 |
| Net income | \$17,381 | \$16,171 | \$11,989 |

200220012000

Cash flows from operating activities:


## Edgar Filing: MAIN STREET TRUST INC - Form 10-K

Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: Equity in undistributed income of subsidiaries Depreciation

| 1,776 | $(3,354)$ | $(10,359)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 30 | 24 |
| (812) | $(1,649)$ | 964 |
| 18,375 | 11,198 | 2,618 |

Cash flows from investing activities:
Equity securities transactions, net .................... (1,687) (37) (2,508)
Other, net . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
Net cash used in investing activities ...
(12)

27
$(1,687) \quad(49) 481)$

Cash flows from financing activities:
Treasury stock transactions, net

| $(15,369)$ | $(2,802)$ | $(2,057)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -- | $(6)$ | $(11)$ |
| $(5,634)$ | $(4,540)$ | $(3,869)$ |
| 1,222 | -- | -- |
| $(31)$ | 23 | 37 |

Net cash used in financing activities

|  | $(19,812)$ |  | $(7,325)$ |  | $(5,900)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash at beginning of year |  | 5,411 |  | 1,587 |  | 7,350 |
| Cash at end of year | \$ | 2,287 | \$ | 5,411 | \$ | 1,587 |

16. Quarterly Results of Operations (Unaudited) (in thousands, except per share data)


17. Disclosures About Commitments and Financial Instruments

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. Those instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The contractual amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of involvement the Company has in particular classes of financial instruments.

The Company's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments. Management does not anticipate any significant losses as a result of these transactions.
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The following table summarizes these financial instruments and commitments (in thousands) at December 31, 2002 and 2001:

$$
2002 \quad 2001
$$

Financial instruments whose contract amounts represent credit risk:
Commitments ................................................. $\$ 201,181$ \$173,705

The majority of commitments are agreements to extend credit to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments, principally variable interest rates, generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. For commitments to extend credit, the Company evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Company upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation. Collateral held varies, but may include accounts receivable; inventory; property, plant and equipment; and income-producing commercial properties. Also included in commitments is \$1.75 million to purchase other equity securities.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Banks to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements and, generally, have terms of one year or less. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. The Banks may hold collateral, which include accounts receivables, inventory, property and equipment, and income producing properties, supporting those commitments, if deemed necessary. In the event the customer does not perform in accordance with the terms of the agreement with the third party, the Banks would be required to fund the commitment. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Banks could be required to make is represented by the contractual amount shown in the summary above. If the commitment is funded, the banks would be entitled to seek recovery from the customer. At December 31, 2002 and 2001, no amounts have been recorded as liabilities for the Banks' potential obligations under these guarantees.

Following is a summary of the carrying amounts and fair values of the Company's financial instruments at December 31, 2002 and 2001:

| 2002 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carrying | Fair | Carrying | Fair |
| Amount | Value | Amount | Value |

Assets:
Cash and cash equivalents .................
Investments in debt and equity securities
Mortgage loans held for sale .............

| $\$ 102,746$ | $\$ 102,746$ | $\$ 95,379$ | $\$ 95,379$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 316,210 | 318,136 | 335,422 | 336,331 |
| 2,972 | 2,972 | 8,775 | 8,775 |
| 664,142 | 685,675 | 673,061 | 705,391 |
| 7,315 | 7,315 | 8,890 | 8,890 |
| 5,026 | 5,026 | 4,611 | 4,611 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 868,586$ | $\$ 885,487$ | $\$ 884,109$ | $\$ 885,208$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 80,651 | 80,692 | 85,207 | 83,076 |
| 27,806 | 28,963 | 34,895 | 39,337 |

# Edgar Filing: MAIN STREET TRUST INC - Form 10-K 

Accrued interest payable ............... 2, 252 2,252 3,390 3,390

Management's fair value estimates, methods, and assumptions are set forth below for the Company's financial instruments.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents approximates fair value due to the relatively short period of time between the origination of the instrument and its expected realization.

Investments in Debt and Equity Securities
The fair value of investments in debt and equity securities is estimated based on bid prices received from securities dealers.

Mortgage Loans Held For Sale

Fair values of mortgage loans held for sale are based on commitments on hand from investors or prevailing market prices.

Loans

Fair values are estimated for portfolios of loans with similar financial characteristics. Loans are segregated by type such as commercial, commercial real estate, residential mortgage, and consumer. Each loan category is further segmented into fixed and adjustable rate interest terms and by performing and nonperforming categories. The fair value of performing loans is calculated by discounting scheduled cash flows through the estimated maturity using estimated market discount rates equal to rates at which loans, similar in type, would be originated at December 31, 2002 and 2001. Estimated maturities are based upon the average remaining contractual lives for each loan classification. Fair value for nonperforming loans is based on the use of discounted cash flow techniques.

Accrued Interest Receivable

The carrying value of accrued interest receivable approximates fair value due to the relatively short period of time between the origination of the instrument and its expected realization.

Cash Surrender Value of Life Insurance

Life insurance agreements reprice periodically with no significant change in credit risk. Fair values approximate carrying value for these agreements.

Deposit Liabilities

The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as non-interest bearing and interest bearing demand deposits and savings deposits is the amount payable on demand. The fair value of time deposits is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is estimated using rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities. The fair value estimates do not include the benefit that results from the low-cost funding provided by the deposit liabilities compared to the cost of borrowing funds in the market nor the benefit derived from the customer relationship inherent in existing deposits.

Federal Funds Purchased, Repurchase Agreements, and Notes Payable

The fair value of federal funds purchased, repurchase agreements, and notes payable is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is estimated using current rates on federal funds purchased, repurchase agreements, and notes payable with similar remaining maturities.

Federal Home Loan Bank Advances and Other Borrowings
The fair value of FHLB advances is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is estimated using rates on current FHLB advances with similar remaining maturities.

Accrued Interest Payable
The carrying value of accrued interest payable approximates fair value due to the relatively short period of time between the origination of the instrument and its expected realization.
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Commitments to Extend Credit and Standby Letters of Credit
The fair value of commitments to extend credit is generally estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the counterparties. For fixed rate loan commitments, fair value also considers the difference between current levels of interest rates and the committed rates. The fair value of letters of credit is based on fees currently charged for similar agreements or on the estimated cost to terminate them or otherwise settle the obligations with the counterparties. The estimated fair value of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit approximates the balances of such commitments.

## 18. Litigation

The Company and its subsidiaries are involved in various legal proceedings, claims and litigation arising out of the ordinary course of business.

It is the opinion of management that the disposition or ultimate resolution of any other claims and lawsuits arising out of the ordinary course of business will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position of the Company.

## 19. Regulatory Capital

The Company and its subsidiary banks are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's and its subsidiary banks' financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, banks must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company's and its subsidiary banks' capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and its subsidiary banks to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier I capital (as defined in
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the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier I capital (as defined) to average assets (as defined). Management believes, as of December 31, 2002, that the Company and its subsidiary banks exceeded all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

As of December 31, 2002, the most recent notifications from primary regulatory agencies categorized all the Company's subsidiary banks as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, banks must maintain minimum total capital to risk-weighted assets, Tier I capital to risk-weighted assets, and Tier I capital to average assets ratios as set forth in the table. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed any of the company's subsidiary banks' categories.
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The Company's and the Banks' actual capital amounts and ratios as of December 31, 2002 and 2001 are presented in the following tables:


| BankIllinois | \$ | 70,514 | 10.3\% | \$ | 27,366 | 4.0\% | \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The First National Bank of Decatur | \$ | 44,083 | 10.2\% | \$ | 17,274 | 4.0\% | \$ |

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.
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PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant
The information in the Company's 2003 Proxy Statement under the caption "Election of Directors" and under the caption "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" is incorporated by reference. The information regarding executive officers not provided in the 2003 Proxy Statement is noted below.

Executive Officers

The term of office for the executive officers of the Company is from the date of election until the next annual organizational meeting of the Board of Directors. In addition to the information provided in the 2003 Proxy Statement, the names and ages of the executive officers of the Company as of December 31, 2002, as well as the offices of the Company and the Subsidiaries held by these officers on that date, and principal occupations for the past five years are set forth below.

## Name

(Age)
-----

David B. White
(Age 51)

Christopher M. Shroyer
(Age 37)

Robert F. Plecki, Jr.
(Age 42)

Leanne C. Heacock
(Age 37)

Position with Main Street, its subsidiaries and occupation for the last five years

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Main Street, BankIllinois and The Director of FirsTech; Executive Vice President BankIllinois Financial and BankIllinois (1993

President, Chief Executive Officer and Directo National Bank of Decatur; Executive Vice Presi Bank of Decatur (2000-2001); Senior Vice Presi Bank of Decatur (1999-2000); Vice President an Lending, The First National Bank of Decatur (1

President and Director of BankIllinois; Execut President, Retail Banking, BankIllinois (1998 Retail Banking, BankIllinois (1996-1998)

Executive Vice President, Management Informati Main Street, BankIllinois and The First Nation FirsTech; Executive Vice President, Management and The First National Bank of Decatur (2001-2 Management Information Systems, BankIllinois President, Management Information Systems, Ban
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Section $16(a)$ of the Securities Exchange Act requires that the directors, executive officers and persons who own more than $10 \%$ of our common stock file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission. These persons are also required to furnish us with copies of all Section $16(a)$ forms they file. Based solely on our review of the copies of such forms furnished to us and, if appropriate, representations made by any reporting person concerning whether a Form 5 was required to be filed for 2002 , we are not aware of any failures to comply with the filing requirements of Section $16(a)$ during 2002, except for Mr. VanBuskirk, who filed a Form 4 reporting an exercise of options to purchase 5,104 shares one month late, and Mr. Sloan, who filed a Form 4 reporting a purchase of 1,000 shares one day late.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information in the 2003 Proxy Statement under the caption "Executive Compensation" is incorporated by reference, with the exception of the sections entitled "Compensation Committee Report on Executive Compensation" and "Shareholder Return Performance Presentation", which are not included by reference herein.

Item 12. Security Ownership Of Certain Beneficial Owners And Management

The information in the 2003 Proxy Statement under the caption "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" is incorporated by reference.
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## Equity Compensation Plan Information

The table below sets forth the following information as of December 31, 2002 for (i) all compensation plans previously approved by our shareholders and (ii) all compensation plans not previously approved by our shareholders:
(a) the number of securities to be issued upon the exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights;
(b) the weighted-average exercise price of such outstanding options, warrants and rights;
(c) other than securities to be issued upon the exercise of such outstanding options, warrants and rights, the number of securities remaining available for future issuance under the plans.

## EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION
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Item 13. Certain Relationships And Related Transactions
The information in the 2003 Proxy Statement under the caption "Transactions with Management" is incorporated by reference.

Item 14. Controls and Procedures

Based upon an evaluation within the 90 days prior to the filing date of this report, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective. There have been no significant changes in the Company's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect the Company's internal controls subsequent to the date of the evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.
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PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules, and Reports on Form 8-K

| (a) (1) | Index to Financial Statements |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | See page 32. |
| (a) (2) | Financial Statement Schedules |
|  | N/A |
| (a) (3) | Schedule of Exhibits |
|  | The Exhibit Index which immediately follows the signature page to this Form 10-K is incorporated by reference. |
| (b) | Reports on Form 8-K |
|  | The Company did not file any Current Reports on Form 8-K during the fourth quarter of 2002. |
| ( C ) | Exhibits |
|  | The exhibits required to be filed with this Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ are included with this Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ and are located immediately following the Exhibit Index to this Form 10-K. |

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on March 19, 2003.

By: /s/ Van A. Dukeman
By: /s/ David B. White
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Roy V. VanBuskirk
Director
/s/ H. Gale Zacheis
---------------------------------------1e
H. Gale Zacheis

Director
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## CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Van A. Dukeman, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ of Main Street Trust, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in the Report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
a. designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
b. evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within the 90 days prior to the filing date of this annual report (the "Evaluation Date");
c. presented in this annual report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function);
a. all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
b. any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal
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controls; and
6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this annual report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to date of their evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: March 21, 2003

/s/ Van A. Dukeman<br>Van A. Dukeman<br>Chief Executive Officer

## CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, David B. White, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ of Main Street Trust, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in the Report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules $13 a-14$ and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
a. designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
b. evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within the 90 days prior to the filing date of this annual report (the "Evaluation Date");
c. presented in this annual report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on
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our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function);
a. all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
b. any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and
6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this annual report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to date of their evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: March 21, 2003
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| 10.3 | Employment Agreement by and between the Company and David B. White | Exhibit 10.5 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed with the Commisson on March 15, 1996, as amended (SEC File No. 33-90342) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.4 | Employment Agreement by and between the Company, FirsTech, Inc. and Phillip C. Wise | Exhibit 10.4 to the Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ filed with the Commission on March 29, 2002 (SEC File No. 000-30031) |
| 10.5 | Employment Agreement by and between The First National Bank of Decatur and Chris Shroyer |  |
| 21.1 | Subsidiaries of the Registrant |  |
| 23.1 | Consent of McGladrey \& Pullen, LLP |  |
| 99.1 | Section 906 Certification |  |
| 99.2 | Section 906 Certification |  |

