

Edgar Filing: Two Harbors Investment Corp. - Form 10-K

Two Harbors Investment Corp.  
Form 10-K  
February 28, 2012

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

---

FORM 10-K

---

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the Fiscal Year Ended: December 31, 2011  
Commission File Number 001-34506

---

TWO HARBORS INVESTMENT CORP.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Maryland

(State or Other Jurisdiction of  
Incorporation or Organization)

601 Carlson Parkway, Suite 150

Minnetonka, Minnesota

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(612) 629-2500

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class:

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share

Warrants to purchase Common Stock

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T

(§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No x

Edgar Filing: Two Harbors Investment Corp. - Form 10-K

As of June 30, 2011, the aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$984.1 million based on the closing sale price as reported on the NYSE on that date.

As of February 28, 2012 there were 214,205,349 shares of outstanding common stock, par value \$.01 per share, issued and outstanding.

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Portions of the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement for the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission under Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of registrant's fiscal year covered by this Annual Report, are incorporated by reference into Part III.

---

Table of Contents

TWO HARBORS INVESTMENT CORP.  
2011 ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K  
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I	
<u>Item 1. Business</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Item 2. Properties</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>32</u>
PART II	
<u>Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>72</u>
<u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>116</u>
<u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>116</u>
<u>Item 9B. Other Information</u>	<u>119</u>
PART III	
<u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>120</u>
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	<u>120</u>
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>120</u>
<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>120</u>
<u>Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	<u>120</u>
PART IV	
<u>Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>121</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>124</u>

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

Overview

Our Company

Two Harbors Investment Corp. is a Maryland corporation focused on investing in, financing and managing residential mortgage-backed securities, or RMBS, residential mortgage loans, residential real properties, and other financial assets, which we collectively refer to as our target assets. We operate as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, as defined under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code. The terms “Two Harbors,” “we,” “our,” “us” and the “Company” refer to Two Harbors Investment Corp. and its subsidiaries as a consolidated entity.

We were incorporated on May 21, 2009 and commenced operations as a publicly traded company on October 28, 2009, upon completion of a merger with Capitol Acquisition Corp, or Capitol, which became our wholly owned indirect subsidiary as a result of the merger. At the closing of the merger, after deducting transaction costs and payments to Capitol stockholders, we had approximately \$124.0 million in cash available to fund investments and operations. In 2010, we completed two follow-on public offerings issuing a total of 27.1 million shares of our common stock for proceeds, net of the underwriters' discount and other offering costs, of approximately \$235.3 million. In 2011, we completed three follow-on public offerings issuing a total of 100 million shares of our common stock for net proceeds of approximately \$1 billion.

Our objective is to provide attractive risk-adjusted returns to our stockholders over the long term, primarily through dividends and secondarily through capital appreciation. We selectively acquire and manage an investment portfolio of our target assets, which is constructed to generate attractive returns through market cycles. We focus on security selection and implement a relative value investment approach across various sectors within the residential mortgage market. Our target assets include the following:

- Agency RMBS, meaning RMBS whose principal and interest payments are guaranteed by the Government National Mortgage Association (or Ginnie Mae), the Federal National Mortgage Association (or Fannie Mae), or the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (or Freddie Mac);
- Non-Agency RMBS, meaning RMBS that are not issued or guaranteed by Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac;
- Residential mortgage loans;
- Residential real properties;
- Other financial assets comprising approximately 5% to 10% of the portfolio.

We seek to deploy moderate leverage as part of our investment strategy. We generally finance our RMBS and mortgage loan assets through short-term borrowings structured as repurchase agreements.

We recognize that investing in our target assets is competitive and that we compete with other investment vehicles for attractive investment opportunities. We rely on our management team and Pine River Capital Management L.P., or Pine River (described below), who have developed strong relationships with a diverse group of financial intermediaries, to execute on our investment approach. In addition, we have benefited and expect to continue to benefit from Pine River's analytical and portfolio management expertise and infrastructure. We believe that our significant focus on the RMBS area, the extensive RMBS expertise of our investment team, our strong analytics and our disciplined relative value investment approach give us a competitive advantage over our peers.

We have elected to be treated as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes. To qualify as a REIT, we are required to meet certain investment and operating tests and annual distribution requirements. We generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income taxes on our taxable income to the extent that we annually distribute all of our net taxable income to stockholders, do not participate in prohibited transactions and maintain our intended qualification as a REIT. However, certain activities that we may perform may cause us to earn income which will not be qualifying income for REIT purposes. We have designated certain of our subsidiaries as taxable REIT subsidiaries, or TRSs, as defined in the Code, to engage in such activities, and we may in the future form additional TRSs. We also intend to

operate our business in a manner that will permit us to maintain our exemption from registration under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, or the 1940 Act.

**Our Manager**

We are externally managed and advised by PRCM Advisers LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Pine River. Founded in 2002, with offices in New York, London, Hong Kong, San Francisco, Beijing, and Minnetonka, Minnesota, Pine River is a global asset management firm providing solutions to qualified clients across three actively managed platforms: hedge funds, managed accounts, and listed investment vehicles.

Table of Contents

Pine River formed PRCM Advisers for the purpose of providing management services to us. PRCM Advisers is responsible for administering our business activities and day-to-day operations. Pursuant to the terms of a management agreement between us and PRCM Advisers, PRCM Advisers provides us with our management team, including our officers, along with appropriate support personnel. In addition, PRCM Advisers provides us with the expertise of Pine River's fixed income team, proprietary analytical tools and certain fund infrastructure. PRCM Advisers is at all times subject to the supervision and oversight of our board of directors. Each of our officers is an employee or partner of Pine River. We do not have any employees. We do not pay any of our officers any cash compensation. Rather, we pay PRCM Advisers a 1.5% annual base management fee pursuant to the terms of the management agreement. We also reimburse PRCM Advisers the allocable share of the compensation paid by PRCM Advisers to its personnel serving as our principal financial officer and general counsel and other reimbursable costs under the management agreement and shared facilities and services agreement. We do not pay PRCM Advisers any incentive-based fees or other incentive-based compensation. We have also entered into agreements with an affiliate of Pine River to assist us with acquiring, managing and leasing a substantial portion of our residential real property portfolio.

Through our relationship with PRCM Advisers, we benefit from Pine River's disciplined and highly analytical investment approach, extensive long-term relationships in the financial community, and established infrastructure. Pine River's disciplined investment approach utilizes a cross-product approach, conducting top-down market assessments with respect to various subsets of the RMBS market in order to identify the most attractive segments and investment opportunities. Our security selection process leverages proprietary and third party analytic tools to conduct a detailed analysis of factors that influence our target assets. We select our RMBS after extensive analysis of the underlying loans, including prepayment trends, average remaining life, amortization schedules, fixed versus floating interest rates, geographic concentration, property type, loan-to-value ratios, and credit scores, among others. Pine River's fixed income team has broad experience in managing Agency RMBS, non-Agency RMBS and other mortgage-related assets through a variety of credit and interest rate environments and has demonstrated the ability to generate attractive risk-adjusted returns under different market conditions and cycles, on both a levered and unlevered basis. Pine River maintains extensive long-term relationships with financial intermediaries including prime brokers, investment banks, broker-dealers and asset custodians. These relationships enhance PRCM Advisers' ability to source, finance, protect and hedge our investments and, thus, enable us to succeed in various credit and interest rate environments. We also benefit from Pine River's comprehensive finance, operational and administrative infrastructure, including its risk management and accounting operations, as well as its legal, compliance and software development teams.

As of December 31, 2011, Pine River had net assets under management, including Two Harbors stockholders' equity, of approximately \$5.5 billion, of which approximately \$3.9 billion is dedicated to mortgage strategies. As of December 31, 2011, Pine River employed over 180 employees globally, including 66 investment professionals, and has successfully maintained low employee attrition.

Our Business

Our Investment Strategy

Our investment objective is to provide attractive risk-adjusted returns to our stockholders over the long-term, primarily through dividends and secondarily through capital appreciation. We intend to achieve this objective by constructing a well-balanced portfolio consisting primarily of RMBS, with a focus on managing various associated risks, including interest rate, prepayment, mortgage spread and financing risk. Consistent with the objective of achieving attractive risk-adjusted returns over various market cycles, PRCM Advisers intends to maintain a balanced approach to these various risks.

We rely on PRCM Advisers' expertise in identifying assets within our target asset classes. PRCM Advisers makes investment decisions based on a rigorous asset selection process that takes into consideration a variety of factors, including expected cash yield, risk-adjusted returns, current and projected credit fundamentals, current and projected

macroeconomic considerations, current and projected supply and demand, credit and market risk concentration limits, liquidity, cost of financing and financing availability. In all cases, we select our assets in such a way as to maintain our REIT qualification and our exemption from registration under the 1940 Act.

In 2011 we began setting up a securitization issuance program by partnering with Barclays Bank PLC, or Barclays, to close on a \$100 million mortgage loan warehouse facility, subject to future increase. The Barclays facility will be used to aggregate prime nonconforming residential mortgage loans that we will acquire from select mortgage loan originators and secondary market institutions with whom we have chosen to build strategic relationships, including those with a nationwide presence. We are targeting a \$250 million deal size for our initial securitization and we intend to develop this business in an opportunistic manner.

In the first quarter of 2012, as part of the on-going diversification of our business model, we began acquiring residential real properties in certain metropolitan areas across the United States. We intend to hold the properties for investment and rent them for income.

Table of Contents

Our Target Assets

Our target asset classes are as follows:

Agency RMBS	Agency RMBS collateralized by either fixed rate mortgage loans, adjustable rate mortgage loans or hybrid mortgage loans, or derivatives thereof, including: mortgage pass-through certificates; collateralized mortgage obligations; Freddie Mac gold certificates; Fannie Mae certificates; Ginnie Mae certificates; “to-be-announced” forward contracts, or TBAs, which are pools of mortgages with specific investment terms to be issued by government sponsored entities, or GSEs, at a future date; and interest-only and inverse interest-only securities.
Non-Agency RMBS	Non-Agency RMBS collateralized by prime mortgage loans, Alt-A mortgage loans, pay-option ARM mortgage loans, and subprime mortgage loans, which may have fixed rate, adjustable rate or hybrid rate terms. Non-Agency RMBS includes both senior and mezzanine RMBS. Senior RMBS refers to non-Agency RMBS that represent the senior-most tranches — that is, the tranches which have the highest priority claim to cash flows from the related collateral pool, within the RMBS structure. Mezzanine RMBS refers to subordinated tranches within the collateral pool. The non-Agency RMBS we purchase may include investment-grade and non-investment grade classes, including non-rated securities. Hybrid mortgage loans have terms with interest rates that are fixed for a specified period of time and, thereafter, generally adjust annually to an increment over a specified interest rate index. ARMs refer to hybrid and adjustable-rate mortgage loans which typically have interest rates that adjust annually to an increment over a specified interest rate index.
Residential mortgage loans	Prime nonconforming residential mortgage loans.
Residential real properties	Single and multi-family residential properties Financial and real estate related assets other than RMBS, mortgage loans and real properties, including asset backed securities and certain hedging
Other assets	transactions that may produce non-qualifying income for purposes of the REIT gross income tests.

Our Investment Activities

At December 31, 2011, we had total assets of approximately \$8.1 billion, of which \$6.2 billion, or 77.1%, represented our RMBS portfolio. At December 31, 2011, \$5.1 billion, or 80.9%, of our RMBS portfolio was comprised of Agency RMBS, \$0.9 billion, or 14.9%, of our RMBS portfolio was comprised of senior non-Agency RMBS, and the remaining \$0.2 billion, or 4.2%, was comprised of other non-Agency RMBS. The remaining \$1.9 billion of assets consisted primarily of U.S. Treasuries classified as trading instruments, cash, restricted cash, mortgage loans held-for-sale, receivables, derivative assets and prepaid assets.

Our Investment Guidelines

Our board of directors has approved the following investment guidelines:

- no investment shall be made that would cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes;
- no investment shall be made that would cause us to be regulated as an investment company under the 1940 Act;
- we will primarily invest within our target assets, consisting primarily of Agency RMBS, non-Agency RMBS, residential mortgage loans and residential real properties, approximately 5% to 10% of our portfolio may include



other financial assets; and

until appropriate investments can be identified, we will invest available cash in interest-bearing and short-term investments that are consistent with (i) our intention to qualify as a REIT, and (ii) our exemption from investment company status under the 1940 Act.

3

---

Table of Contents

These investment guidelines may be changed from time to time by our board of directors in its discretion without the approval of our stockholders.

Within the constraints of the foregoing investment guidelines, PRCM Advisers has broad authority to select, finance and manage our investment portfolio. As a general matter, our investment strategy is designed to enable us to:

• build an investment portfolio consisting of Agency RMBS, non-Agency RMBS, residential mortgage loans and residential real property that will generate attractive returns while having a moderate risk profile;

• manage financing, interest, prepayment rate, credit and similar risks;

• capitalize on discrepancies in the relative valuations in the mortgage and housing markets; and

• provide regular quarterly distributions to stockholders.

Within the requirements of the investment guidelines, PRCM Advisers makes determinations as to the percentage of our assets that will be invested in each of our target assets. PRCM Advisers' decisions depend on prevailing market conditions and may change over time in response to opportunities available in different interest rate, economic and credit environments. As a result, we cannot predict the percentage of our assets that will be invested in any of our target asset classes at any given time. We believe that the diversification of our portfolio of assets and the flexibility of our strategy, combined with PRCM Advisers' and its affiliates' expertise, will enable us to achieve attractive risk-adjusted returns under a variety of market conditions and economic cycles.

**Financing Strategy**

We deploy moderate leverage to increase potential returns to our stockholders and to fund the acquisition of our assets. We are not required to maintain any particular leverage ratio. The amount of leverage we deploy for particular investments in our target assets depends upon an assessment of a variety of factors, which may include the anticipated liquidity and price volatility of our assets; the gap between the duration of assets and liabilities, including hedges; the availability and cost of financing the assets; our opinion of the credit worthiness of financing counterparties; the health of the U.S. economy and residential mortgage and housing markets; our outlook for the level, slope, and volatility of interest rates; the credit quality of the loans underlying our Agency RMBS and non-Agency RMBS; and our outlook for asset spreads relative to the London Interbank Offered Rate, or LIBOR, curve.

Our primary financing sources are repurchase agreements. We may use repurchase agreements to deploy, on a debt-to-equity basis, up to ten times leverage on our Agency RMBS assets, but we are not required to maintain any particular leverage ratio. We expect under current market conditions to deploy limited leverage on our non-Agency RMBS through the use of repurchase agreements.

Repurchase agreements are financings pursuant to which one party, the seller or borrower, sells assets to the repurchase agreement counterparty, the buyer or lender, for an agreed price with the obligation to repurchase the assets from the buyer at a future date and at a price higher than the original purchase price. The amount of financing available under a repurchase agreement is limited to a specified percentage of the estimated market value of the assets. The difference between the sale price and repurchase price is the interest expense of financing under a repurchase agreement. Under repurchase agreement financing arrangements, if the value of the collateral decreases, the buyer could require the seller to provide additional cash collateral to re-establish the ratio of value of the collateral to the amount of borrowing. In the current economic climate, lenders under repurchase agreements generally advance approximately 90% to 97% of the market value of the Agency RMBS financed (meaning a 3% to 10% discount from market value, or haircut) and 50% to 75% of the market value of the non-Agency RMBS financed (meaning a 25% to 50% haircut). A significant decrease in advance rate or an increase in the haircut could result in our having to sell securities in order to meet additional margin requirements by the lender. We expect to mitigate our risk of margin calls under repurchase agreements by deploying a prudent amount of leverage that is below what could be used under current advance rates.

In order to reduce our exposure to counterparty-related risk, we generally seek to diversify our exposure by entering into repurchase agreements with multiple counterparties. At December 31, 2011, we had \$6.7 billion of outstanding balances under repurchase agreements with 20 counterparties, with a maximum net exposure (the difference between the amount loaned to us, including interest payable, and the value of the assets pledged by us as collateral, including

accrued interest receivable on such assets) to any single lender of \$187.0 million, or 14.7% of equity.

#### Interest Rate Hedging and Risk Management Strategy

We enter into a variety of derivative and non-derivative instruments in connection with our risk management activities. Our primary objective for executing these derivatives and non-derivative instruments is to mitigate our economic exposure to future events that are outside our control. Our derivative financial instruments are utilized principally to manage market risk and cash flow volatility associated with interest rate risk (including associated prepayment risk) related to certain assets and liabilities. As part of our risk management activities, we may, at times, enter into various forward contracts including short securities, Agency TBAs, options, futures, swaps and caps. In executing on our current risk management strategy, we have entered into interest rate swap agreements, swaptions and TBA positions. We have also entered into a

## Table of Contents

number of non-derivative instruments to manage interest rate risk, principally U.S. Treasuries and Agency interest-only securities.

### Our Competitive Advantages

Our investment strategy is focused on utilizing our underlying core strengths, described below in further detail, which we believe offer competitive advantages in the marketplace:

#### Significant Experience of Our Management Team

We believe that the extensive experience of our management team and, through our relationship with PRCM Advisers, the officers and employees of Pine River provides us with significant expertise across our target assets. Pine River's fixed income investment team has managed Agency RMBS, non-Agency RMBS and other mortgage-related assets through a variety of credit and interest rate environments and has demonstrated strong ability to generate attractive risk-adjusted returns under different market conditions, on both a levered and unlevered basis.

The senior members of our research and investment team have an average of 25 years of experience in mortgage-backed securities investing, including experience in performing advisory services for investment banks, funds, other investment vehicles, and other managed and discretionary accounts. Our Co-Chief Investment Officers are Steven Kuhn and William Roth. Mr. Kuhn is a Partner of Pine River, and joined the firm in January 2008. He has 20 years of experience investing in RMBS and other fixed income securities for Goldman Sachs Asset Management, Citadel and Cargill. Mr. Roth joined Pine River in June 2009, and has 31 years of experience working for Salomon Brothers and Citigroup in mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities markets. The fixed income investment team also includes seasoned traders, analysts and risk managers, and is backed by Pine River's extensive infrastructure in the areas of credit analysis, trade execution, risk management, valuation, accounting, operations, corporate governance and law.

#### Disciplined Relative Value Investment Approach

Disciplined security selection is a key element of our strategy. We are, in essence, a relative value investor in residential mortgage-backed securities. PRCM Advisers uses a cross-product approach, conducting top-down market assessments with respect to various subsets of the RMBS market in order to identify the most attractive segments and investment opportunities. In employing this detailed analysis, PRCM Advisers seeks to best capture market inefficiencies and identify the most attractive securities. We select our RMBS based on factors that include extensive analysis of the underlying loans, including prepayment trends, average remaining life, amortization schedules, fixed versus floating interest rates, geographic concentration, property type, loan-to-value ratios and credit scores. Considering the multi-trillion dollar size of the U.S. RMBS market, we can be very selective with our investments and buy only the securities we deem to be the most attractive. We believe this holistic, relative-value approach to the non-Agency and Agency RMBS investments may achieve higher risk-adjusted returns than an approach that focuses on a single sector of the residential mortgage market.

#### Portfolio Construction

Our objective is to provide attractive risk-adjusted returns to our stockholders over the long-term, primarily through dividends and secondarily through capital appreciation. We intend to achieve this objective by constructing a well-balanced portfolio consisting primarily of RMBS, with a focus on managing various associated risks, including interest rate, prepayment, mortgage spread and financing risk. PRCM Advisers uses its fixed income investment team's expertise across a broad range of asset classes within the RMBS markets to build a portfolio that seeks to balance income, cash, capital appreciation, leverage and the aforementioned risks. Through the careful and disciplined selection of assets, and continual portfolio monitoring, we seek to build and maintain an investment portfolio that provides value to stockholders over time both in absolute terms and relative to other RMBS portfolios.

#### Access to PRCM Advisers' Analytical Tools, Infrastructure and Expertise

Our experienced investment team constructs and manages our RMBS investment portfolio through the use of focused qualitative and quantitative analysis, which helps us manage risk on a security-by-security and portfolio basis. We rely on a variety of proprietary and third party analytical tools and models, which we customize to our needs. We focus on in-depth analysis of the numerous factors that influence our target assets, including:

fundamental market and sector review;  
cash flow analysis;  
disciplined security selection;  
controlled risk exposure; and  
prudent balance sheet management.

We also use these tools to guide the hedging strategies developed by PRCM Advisers to the extent consistent with the requirements for qualification as a REIT.

In addition, through PRCM Advisers we have access to Pine River's proprietary technology management platform, called Everest, to track investments and to monitor investment risk across asset classes. Everest collects and stores real-time market

Table of Contents

data, and integrates market performance with portfolio holdings and proprietary risk models to measure the risk positions in our portfolios. This measurement system portrays overall portfolio risk and risk sources.

Our focus on loan level and local market analysis allows us to track and understand borrower performance, which we consider key in our overall investment strategy. Our ability to track real-time variables such as market specific home prices and unemployment rates provides us with valuable insights and helps with specific asset selection decisions. We believe that sophisticated analysis of both macro- and micro-economic factors will enable us to manage cash flow and distributions while preserving our stockholders' capital.

Through a shared facilities and services agreement with Pine River, PRCM Advisers has access to analytical and portfolio management capabilities to aid in security selection and risk management. We capitalize on the market knowledge and ready access to data across our target markets that PRCM Advisers and its affiliates obtain through their established platform. We also benefit, through PRCM Advisers, from Pine River's comprehensive finance, operational and administrative infrastructure, including its risk management and financial reporting and accounting operations, as well as its business development, legal, compliance, operations, settlement, and software development teams.

**Extensive Strategic Relationships and Experience of PRCM Advisers and its Affiliates**

PRCM Advisers and its affiliates maintain extensive long-term relationships with financial intermediaries including prime brokers, investment banks, broker-dealers and asset custodians. We believe these relationships enhance our ability to source, finance, protect and hedge our investments and, thus, enable us to succeed in various credit and interest rate environments. Members of the fixed income investment team have many years of experience and well-established contacts within the RMBS industry, and are able to bring their personal relationships to bear for our benefit and the benefit of our stockholders.

**Management Agreement**

Pursuant to the management agreement between us and PRCM Advisers, PRCM Advisers implements our business strategy and performs certain services for us, subject to oversight by our board of directors. PRCM Advisers is responsible for, among other duties, (i) performing all of our day-to-day functions, (ii) determining investment criteria in conjunction with our board of directors, (iii) sourcing, analyzing and executing investments, asset sales and financings, and (iv) performing asset management duties.

The initial term of the management agreement expires on October 28, 2012, with automatic one-year renewals at the end of the initial term and each term thereafter. Our independent directors review PRCM Advisers' performance annually and, following the initial term, the management agreement may be terminated annually by us without cause upon the vote of at least two-thirds of our independent directors or by a vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock, based upon: (i) PRCM Advisers' unsatisfactory performance that is materially detrimental to us or (ii) our determination that the management fees payable to PRCM Advisers are not fair, subject to PRCM Advisers' right to prevent termination based on unfair fees by accepting a reduction of management fees agreed to by at least two-thirds of our independent directors. We are required to provide PRCM Advisers with 180 days' prior notice of such termination. Upon termination without cause, we will pay PRCM Advisers a termination fee, as described below. We will also pay a termination fee to PRCM Advisers if PRCM Advisers terminates the management agreement due to our material breach of such agreement. We may terminate the management agreement with 30 days' prior notice from our board of directors, without payment of a termination fee, for cause, as defined in the management agreement. PRCM Advisers may terminate the management agreement if we become required to register as an investment company under the 1940 Act, with such termination deemed to occur immediately before such event, and may also decline to renew the management agreement by providing us with 180 days' prior notice, in either case of which we would not be required to pay a termination fee.

**Base Management Fee**

The base management fee paid to PRCM Advisers is 1.5% of our stockholders' equity per annum, calculated and payable quarterly in arrears.

For purposes of calculating the management fee, our stockholders' equity means the sum of the net proceeds from all issuances of our equity securities since inception (allocated on a pro rata daily basis for such issuances during the fiscal quarter of any such issuance), plus our retained earnings at the end of the most recently completed calendar quarter (without taking into account any non-cash equity compensation expense incurred in current or prior periods), less any amount that we have paid for repurchases of our common stock since inception, and excluding any unrealized gains, losses or other items that do not affect realized net income (regardless of whether such items are included in other comprehensive income or loss, or in net income). This amount will be adjusted to exclude one-time events pursuant to changes in accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP, and certain non-cash items after discussions between PRCM Advisers and our independent directors and approval by a majority of our independent directors. To the extent asset impairments reduce our retained earnings at the end of any completed calendar quarter it will reduce the base management fee for such quarter. Our stockholders' equity for the purposes of calculating the base management fee could be greater than the amount of stockholders' equity shown on the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Expense Reimbursement

We reimburse PRCM Advisers for (i) our allocable share of the compensation paid by Pine River to its personnel serving as our principal financial officer and general counsel and personnel employed by Pine River as in-house legal, tax, accounting, consulting, auditing, administrative, information technology, valuation, computer programming and development and back-office resources to us and (ii) any amounts for personnel of Pine River's affiliates arising under a shared facilities and services agreement.

Termination Fee

Upon termination of the management agreement by us without cause or by PRCM Advisers due to our material breach of the management agreement, we are required to pay a termination fee equal to three times the sum of the average annual base management fee earned by PRCM Advisers during the 24-month period immediately preceding the date of termination, calculated as of the end of the most recently completed fiscal quarter prior to the date of termination.

Acquisition Services and Property Management Agreements

One of our subsidiaries has entered into agreements with Silver Bay Property Management LLC, or Silver Bay, to assist us in acquiring, managing and leasing a substantial portion of our residential real property portfolio. Silver Bay is a joint venture between Provident Real Estate Advisors LLC and an affiliate of Pine River in which Pine River has an indirect controlling interest. Under the agreements, if Silver Bay is the procuring cause of the purchase of a single-family residential property, our subsidiary will pay Silver Bay a one-time acquisition fee in the amount of \$2,000 per property. In addition, our subsidiary is obligated to pay Silver Bay for various services provided under the agreements, including: (i) a monthly property management fee equal to the greater of (a) six percent (6%) of the gross collections (less security deposits) derived from the properties in the prior month or (b) \$50 per property (excluding, however, any vacant property); (ii) a one-time \$500 per property fee for the leasing of a property to a new tenant and a one-time \$200 per property fee for each renewal of an annual lease term to an existing tenant. Our subsidiary must also pay certain maintenance and rehabilitation fees associated with ongoing maintenance and repairs to the properties. If our subsidiary voluntarily terminates the property management agreement, it must pay a termination fee for each property under management as of the voluntary termination date. If the property management agreement is terminated during the initial one year term, the termination fee is the greater of \$250 per property or an amount equal to the aggregate management fee that is payable to Silver Bay for the remainder of the term. If the property management agreement is terminated after the initial term, the termination fee is \$100 per property. If our subsidiary elects not to renew the property management agreement in either of the first two one-year terms, a non-renewal fee of \$100 for each property that is under management as of the non-renewal date must be paid.

Operating and Regulatory Structure

1940 Act Exemption

We conduct our operations so that we are not required to register as an investment company under the 1940 Act. The 1940 Act exempts entities that are “primarily engaged in the business of purchasing or otherwise acquiring mortgages and other liens on and interests in real estate,” or qualifying interests. Under the current interpretation of the SEC's staff, in order to qualify for this exemption, the REIT must maintain (i) at least 55% of our assets in qualifying interests (referred to as the 55% Test) and (ii) at least 80% of our assets in real estate related assets including qualifying interests (referred to as the 80% Test). In meeting the 55% Test, we treat as qualifying interests those RMBS issued with respect to an underlying pool as to which we own all of the certificates issued by the pool. RMBS that do not represent all of the certificates issued (i.e., an undivided interest) by the pool of mortgages (i.e., a whole pool) underlying such RMBS may be treated as securities separate from such underlying mortgage loans and, thus, may not be considered qualifying interests for purposes of the 55% Test; however, such RMBS would be considered real estate related assets for purposes of the 80% Test. Therefore, for purposes of the 55% Test, our ownership of these types of RMBS is limited by the provisions of the 1940 Act. If the SEC or its staff were to adopt a contrary interpretation, we could be required to sell a substantial amount of our RMBS under potentially adverse market conditions. Further, in order to ensure that at all times we qualify for this exemption from the 1940 Act, we may be precluded from acquiring RMBS whose yield is higher than the yield on RMBS that could be otherwise purchased in a



manner consistent with this exemption. Accordingly, we monitor our compliance with both the 55% Test and the 80% Test in order to maintain our exempt status under the 1940 Act.

**REIT Qualification**

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Code, commencing with our taxable period ended December 31, 2009, upon the filing of our federal income tax return for such year. Our qualification as a REIT depends upon our ability to meet on a continuing basis, through actual investment and operating results, various complex requirements under the Code relating to, among other things, the sources of our gross income, the composition and values of our assets, our distribution levels and the diversity of ownership of our shares. We believe that we are organized in conformity with the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT under the Code, and we conduct our operations in a manner which will enable us to continue to meet the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT. Certain activities that we may

Table of Contents

perform may cause us to earn income which will not be qualifying income for REIT purposes. We have designated certain of our subsidiaries as TRSs to engage in such activities, and we may in the future form additional TRSs. As long as we continue to qualify as a REIT, we generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax on the REIT taxable income we distribute currently to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year and do not qualify for certain statutory relief provisions, we will be subject to U.S. federal income tax at regular corporate rates and may be precluded from qualifying as a REIT for the subsequent four taxable years following the year during which we lost our REIT qualification. Even if we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to certain U.S. federal, state and local taxes on our income or property.

Corporate Governance

We strive to maintain an ethical workplace in which the highest standards of professional conduct are practiced. Our board of directors is composed of a majority of independent directors. Our Audit, Nominating and Corporate Governance and Compensation Committees are composed exclusively of independent directors.

In order to foster the highest standards of ethics and conduct in all of our business relationships, we have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and Corporate Governance Guidelines, which cover a wide range of business practices and procedures that apply to all of our directors, officers and employees. In addition, we have implemented Whistle Blowing Procedures for Accounting and Auditing Matters that set forth procedures by which any officer or employee may raise, on a confidential basis, concerns regarding any questionable or unethical accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters with our Audit Committee.

We have an insider trading policy that prohibits any of our directors, officers or employees from buying or selling our common and preferred stock on the basis of material nonpublic information and prohibits communicating material nonpublic information to others.

We have a formal internal audit function, through the current use of an outsourced firm, to further the effective functioning of our internal controls and procedures. Our internal audit plan, which is approved annually by our Audit Committee, is based on a formal risk assessment and is intended to provide management and our Audit Committee with an effective tool to identify and address areas of financial or operational concerns and to ensure that appropriate controls and procedures are in place. We have implemented Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as amended, or the SOX Act, which requires an evaluation of internal control over financial reporting in association with our financial statements as of December 31, 2011. (See Item 9A, "Controls and Procedures" included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.)

Competition

Our net income will depend, in large part, on our ability to acquire assets at favorable spreads over our borrowing costs. In acquiring our target assets, we will compete with other REITs, specialty finance companies, savings and loan associations, banks, mortgage bankers, insurance companies, mutual funds, institutional investors, investment banking firms, financial institutions, governmental bodies and other entities. Some of these entities may not be subject to the same regulatory constraints that we are (i.e., REIT compliance or maintaining an exemption under the 1940 Act). In addition, there are numerous REITs with similar asset acquisition objectives, including a number that have been recently formed, and others may be organized in the future. These other REITs will increase competition for the available supply of RMBS, residential mortgage loans and residential real properties. Many of our competitors are significantly larger than us, have access to greater capital and other resources and may have other advantages over us. In addition, some of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances or different risk assessments, which could allow them to consider a wider variety of investments and establish more relationships than us. Current market conditions may attract more competitors, which may increase the competition for sources of financing. An increase in the competition for sources of funding could adversely affect the availability and cost of financing, and thereby adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Employees

We are managed by PRCM Advisers pursuant to the management agreement between PRCM Advisers and us. All of our officers are partners or employees of Pine River or its affiliates. We have no direct employees.

**Policies with Respect to Certain Other Activities**

If our board of directors determines that additional funding is necessary or appropriate, we may raise such funds through additional offerings of equity or debt securities or the retention of cash flow (subject to provisions in the Code concerning distribution requirements and the taxability of undistributed REIT taxable income). In the event that our board of directors determines to raise additional equity capital, it has the authority, without stockholder approval, to issue additional common stock or preferred stock in any manner and on such terms and for such consideration as it deems appropriate, at any time.

As of the date of this filing, we do not intend to offer equity or debt securities in exchange for property. We have not in the past repurchased or otherwise reacquired our shares, but may do so in the future under our share repurchase plan,

Table of Contents

subject to the requirements of the Maryland General Corporation Law, or MGCL.

As of the date of this filing, we do not intend to invest in the securities of other REITs, other entities engaged in real estate activities or securities of other issuers for the purpose of exercising control over such entities.

We have not in the past made loans to third parties in the ordinary course of business for investment purposes, but may do so in the future. As of the date of this filing, we do not intend to underwrite the securities of other issuers.

We furnish our stockholders with annual reports containing consolidated financial statements audited by our independent registered public accountants and file quarterly reports with the SEC containing unaudited consolidated financial statements for each of the first three quarters of each fiscal year.

Our board of directors may change any of these policies without prior notice, or a vote of our stockholders, other than filing of annual and quarterly reports with the SEC which is required by law.

Other Historical Information

We were incorporated on May 21, 2009 and commenced operations as a publicly traded company on October 28, 2009, upon completion of a merger with Capitol. Capitol became a wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of Two Harbors upon completion of the merger transaction. Our common stock is listed on the NYSE under the symbol TWO and our warrants are listed on the NYSE Amex under the symbol TWO.WS.

Capitol was a blank check company formed under the laws of the State of Delaware in June 2007 to effect a merger, capital stock exchange, asset acquisition, stock purchase, reorganization or similar business combination with one or more businesses or assets.

On November 14, 2007, Capitol completed its initial public offering of units. Each unit consisted of one share of Capitol's common stock and one redeemable common stock purchase warrant. Each warrant entitled the holder to purchase from Capitol one share of common stock at an exercise price of \$7.50, commencing the later of the completion of a business combination or November 8, 2008 and expiring November 8, 2012. Certain of Capitol's sponsors and founding stockholders, or the Initial Stockholders, purchased an additional 7,000,000 warrants for \$7.0 million. The purchase and issuance of these warrants occurred simultaneously with consummation of the IPO on a private placement basis. All of the net proceeds received from the foregoing sale of securities, totaling approximately \$258.4 million, were placed in a trust account pursuant to Capitol's charter, pending consummation of a business combination.

In June 2009, Capitol signed an Agreement and Plan of Merger with Two Harbors, Two Harbors Merger Corp. and Pine River which set forth the terms and conditions whereby Capitol agreed to merge into Two Harbors Merger Corp., with Capitol being the surviving entity and becoming a wholly-owned subsidiary of Two Harbors. In October 2009, the majority of Capitol's stockholders approved the merger transaction and the transaction closed later that month. In connection with the closing, the holders of Capitol's common stock and warrants became holders of the securities of Two Harbors after the merger in the same proportion as their holdings in Capitol immediately before the merger, with certain exceptions.

In connection with the closing of the merger transaction, we entered into a supplement and amendment to the agreement that governs the warrants, the terms of which, among other things, (i) increased the exercise price of the warrants from \$7.50 per share to \$11.00 per share, (ii) extended the expiration date of the warrants from November 7, 2012 to November 7, 2013 and (iii) limited a holder's ability to exercise warrants to ensure that such holder's Beneficial Ownership or Constructive Ownership (each as defined in our charter) do not exceed the restrictions contained in the charter limiting the ownership of shares of our common stock.

We are currently required to maintain a registration statement related to the resale of 9,906,918 of our warrants and the resale of common stock received upon exercise of those warrants, certain of which warrants are held by other funds managed by Pine River and our director Mark D. Ein. In addition, we must maintain an effective registration statement related to the exercise of all of our warrants traded on the NYSE Amex or holders of those warrants will not be able to exercise those warrants to purchase our common stock under the terms of our warrant agreement.

Financial Information

Financial information concerning our business for each of 2011, 2010 and 2009 is set forth in “Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” “Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures about Market Risk,” and the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto, and the supplemental financial information, which are in Part II, Items 7, 7A and 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Available Information

Our website can be found at [www.twoharborsinvestment.com](http://www.twoharborsinvestment.com). We make available, free of charge on our website (on the Investor Relations page under "SEC Filings"), our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports, as are filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, as well as our proxy statements with respect to our annual meetings of stockholders, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or

Table of Contents

furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC. Our Exchange Act reports filed with, or furnished to, the SEC are also available at the SEC's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). The content of any website referred to in this Annual Report on Form 10-K is not incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K unless expressly noted.

We also make available, free of charge, our charters for our Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, as well as our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Ethics Business Conduct and Ethics, Whistleblowing Procedures and Stockholder Communication Policy. Within the time period required by the SEC and the NYSE, we will post on our website any amendment to the Code of Ethics and any waiver applicable to any executive officer, director, or senior officer (as defined in the Code of Ethics).

Our Investor Relations Department can be contacted at 601 Carlson Parkway, Suite 150, Minnetonka, MN 55305, Attn: Investor Relations, or by telephone at (612) 629-2500.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains, or incorporates by reference, not only historical information, but also forward-looking statements within the meaning of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, as amended. Forward-looking statements involve numerous risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ from our beliefs, expectations, estimates, and projections and, consequently, you should not rely on these forward-looking statements as predictions of future events. Forward-looking statements are not historical in nature and can be identified by words such as “anticipate,” “estimate,” “will,” “should,” “expect,” “target,” “believe,” “intend,” “plan” and similar expressions or their negative forms, or by references to strategy, plans, or intentions. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties, including, among other things, those described in this Annual Report on Form 10-K under the caption “Risk Factors.” Other risks, uncertainties, and factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected are described below and may be described from time to time in reports we file with the SEC, including our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and we undertake no obligation to update or revise any such forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

Important factors, among others, that may affect our actual results include:

- changes in interest rates and the market value of our target assets;
- changes in prepayment rates of mortgages underlying our target assets;
- the timing of credit losses within our portfolio;
  - our exposure to adjustable-rate and negative amortization mortgage loans underlying our target assets;
- the state of the credit markets and other general economic conditions, particularly as they affect the price of earning assets and the credit status of borrowers;
- the concentration of the credit risks we are exposed to;
- legislative and regulatory actions affecting the mortgage and derivative industries or our business;
- the availability of target assets for purchase at attractive prices;
- the availability of financing for our portfolio, including the availability of repurchase agreement financing;
- declines in home prices;
  - increases in payment delinquencies and defaults on the mortgages underlying our Non-Agency securities;
- changes in liquidity in the market for real estate securities, the re-pricing of credit risk in the capital markets,
- inaccurate ratings of securities by rating agencies, rating agency downgrades of securities, and increases in the supply of real estate securities available-for-sale;
- changes in the values of securities we own and the impact of adjustments reflecting those changes on our income statement and balance sheet, including our stockholders' equity;
- our ability to generate the amount of cash flow we expect from our investment portfolio;
- changes in our investment, financing, and hedging strategies and the new risks that those changes may expose us to;

changes in the competitive landscape within our industry, including changes that may affect our ability to retain or attract personnel;

our ability to build successful relationships with loan originators;

our ability to acquire mortgage loans in connection with our securitization plans;

our ability to securitize the mortgage loans that we acquire;

Table of Contents

- our ability to acquire residential real properties at attractive prices and lease such properties on a profitable basis or to resell such properties at a gain;
- our ability to manage various operational risks associated with our business;
- our ability to maintain appropriate internal controls over financial reporting;
- our ability to establish, adjust and maintain appropriate hedges for the risks in our portfolio;
- our ability to maintain our REIT qualification for U.S. federal income tax purposes;
- limitations imposed on our business due to our REIT status and our status as exempt from registration under the 1940 Act; and
- our future ability to offer and sell securities using a shelf registration statement on Form S-3.

This Annual Report on Form 10-K may contain statistics and other data that in some cases have been obtained or compiled from information made available by mortgage loan servicers and other third-party service providers.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following is a summary of the risk factors that we believe are most relevant to our business. These are factors which, individually or in the aggregate, we think could cause our actual results to differ significantly from anticipated or historical results. In addition to understanding the key risks described below, investors should understand that it is not possible to predict or identify all risk factors, and consequently, the following is not a complete discussion of all potential risks or uncertainties.

Risks Related to Our Business and Operations

The value of your investment is subject to the significant risks affecting REITs, and mortgage REITs in particular, described below. If any of the events described below occur, our business, financial condition, liquidity and/or results of operations could be adversely affected in a material way. This could cause the price of our common stock or warrants to decline, perhaps significantly, and you therefore may lose all or part of your investment.

Difficult conditions in the mortgage and residential real estate markets, the financial markets and the economy generally may cause the market value of our RMBS assets to decline, and these conditions may not improve in the near future.

Our results of operations are materially affected by conditions in the mortgage and residential real estate markets, the financial markets and the economy generally. Recently, concerns about the mortgage market and a declining real estate market, as well as inflation, energy costs, geopolitical issues and the availability and cost of credit, have contributed to increased volatility and diminished expectations for the economy and financial markets going forward. The mortgage market has been adversely affected by the tightening of lending standards and general availability of credit and there is no assurance that these conditions have stabilized or that they will not worsen. This has an impact on new demand for homes, which will compress the home ownership rates and weigh heavily on future home price performance. There is a strong correlation between home price growth rates (or losses) and mortgage loan delinquencies. The further deterioration of the mortgage market may cause us to experience losses related to our assets. Declines in the market values of our investments may adversely affect our results of operations and credit availability, which may reduce earnings and, in turn, cash available for distribution to stockholders.

Actions of the U.S. Government, Federal Reserve, U.S. Treasury and other governmental and regulatory bodies for the purpose of stabilizing the financial markets, or the market response to those actions, may not achieve the intended results; our business may not benefit from these actions and further government or market developments could adversely affect us.

In response to turmoil in the financial markets beginning in 2007, the U.S. Government, Federal Reserve, U.S. Treasury and other governmental and regulatory bodies have taken a number of actions designed to stabilize the financial markets, including the enactment of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 to, among other things, establish the Troubled Asset Relief Program, or the TARP, to purchase certain assets from financial institutions; the enactment of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008, which established a new regulator for



Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac; the establishment of the Term Asset-Backed Securities Loan Facility, or the TALF, to provide non-recourse loans to borrowers to fund their purchase of certain eligible assets, such as certain asset-backed securities and commercial mortgage-backed securities; the establishment of the Public-Private Investment Program, which was designed to encourage the transfer of certain legacy assets, including real estate-related assets, off of the balance sheets of financial institutions; and the implementation of the Home Affordable Modification Program, or the HAMP, to facilitate the modification of delinquent mortgages and reduce foreclosures.

There can be no assurance that these actions will improve the efficiency and stability of U.S. financial markets, and

Table of Contents

the residential mortgage markets in particular, in the long term. To the extent the financial markets do not respond favorably to any of these actions or such actions do not function as intended, our business may be harmed. In addition, because the programs are designed, in part, to improve the markets for certain of our target assets, the establishment of these programs may result in increased competition for attractive opportunities in our target assets. The U.S. Government, the Federal Reserve, the U.S. Treasury and other governmental and regulatory bodies may take additional actions in the future to address the financial crisis. We cannot predict whether or when such actions may occur, and such actions could have an adverse impact on our business, results of operations and financial condition. Our business model depends in part upon the continuing viability of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, or similar institutions, and any significant changes to their structure or creditworthiness could have an adverse impact on us. We purchase Agency RMBS that are protected from the risk of default on the underlying mortgages by guarantees from Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or, in the case of the Ginnie Mae, the U.S. Government. Since 2007, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have reported substantial losses and a need for substantial amounts of additional capital. In 2008, in response to the deteriorating financial condition of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, the U.S. Government and U.S. Treasury undertook a series of actions designed to stabilize these GSEs, including placing them into a federal conservatorship, under which the Federal Housing Finance Agency, or FHFA, operate Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. In a further attempt to stabilize the financial and housing markets, in December 2009 the U.S. Government committed virtually unlimited capital to ensure the viability of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac into 2012. Despite projections that the FHFA will continue to provide financing beyond 2012, there is no assurance that such capital will always be available, or that the agencies will always honor their guarantees and other obligations. If these GSEs fail to honor their guarantees, the value of any Agency RMBS assets that we hold would decline.

The U.S. Congress and the Obama Administration have announced their intention to reduce government support for housing finance, including the possible restructuring or elimination of the GSEs. In February 2011, a report released by the U.S. Department of Treasury and Department of Housing and Urban Development outlined three paths for GSE reform, all of which would drastically change the landscape of the U.S. mortgage market. The continued flow of residential mortgage-backed securities from the GSEs is essential to the operation of the mortgage markets in their current form, and crucial to our business model. In the wake of the financial crisis, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac became the dominant, and in some cases, the only source of mortgage financing in the U.S. Although any reform would be expected to take several years to implement, if the structure of Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac were altered, or if they were eliminated altogether, the amount and type of Agency RMBS available for investment would be significantly affected. A reduction in supply of Agency RMBS would result in increased competition for those assets and likely lead to a significant increase in the price we would have to pay for our target assets.

It is not possible to predict the scope and nature of the actions that the U.S. Government will ultimately take with respect to the GSEs. As a result, market uncertainty with respect to the treatment of the GSEs, including that which may be created by proposed legislation or the eventual adoption of laws affecting the GSEs, could have the effect of reducing the actual or perceived quality of, and therefore the market value for, the Agency RMBS that we currently hold in our portfolio.

All of the foregoing could materially adversely affect the availability, pricing, liquidity, market value and financing of our target assets and materially adversely affect our business, operations and financial condition.

The adoption of the Dodd-Frank Act and future regulations implementing such legislation affect the mortgage industry and the RMBS markets, which may in turn have an adverse impact on our business, results of operations and financial conditions.

On July 21, 2010, the President signed into law major financial services reform legislation in the form of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or the Dodd-Frank Act. The Dodd-Frank Act significantly changes the regulation of financial institutions and the financial services industry, including the mortgage industry. The Dodd-Frank Act tasks many agencies with issuing a variety of new regulations, including rules related to mortgage origination and servicing, securitization and derivatives. Because a significant number of regulations under the Dodd-Frank Act have yet to be proposed or adopted, it is not yet known whether these additional regulations

will affect the borrowing environment, the investing environment for RMBS, or interest rate swaps and other derivatives. Consequently, it is not possible for us to predict how any additional regulation under the Dodd-Frank Act will affect our business, and there can be no assurance that the Dodd-Frank Act will not have an adverse impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

We operate in a highly competitive market and competition may limit our ability to acquire desirable assets.

We operate in a highly competitive market. Our profitability depends, in large part, on our ability to acquire target assets at favorable prices. In acquiring assets, we compete with a variety of institutional investors, including other REITs, specialty finance companies, public and private funds, commercial and investment banks, commercial finance and insurance companies, governmental entities and other financial institutions. Many of our competitors are substantially

Table of Contents

larger and have greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources than we do. Furthermore, competition for assets may lead to the price of such assets increasing and their availability decreasing, which may limit our ability to generate desired returns.

We may change any of our strategies, policies or procedures without stockholder consent.

We may change any of our strategies, policies or procedures with respect to investments, asset allocation, growth, operations, indebtedness, financing strategy and distributions at any time without the consent of stockholders, which could result in our making investments that are different from, and possibly riskier than, the types of investments described in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. A change in strategy may increase our exposure to credit risk, interest rate risk, financing risk, default risk and real estate market fluctuations. These changes could adversely affect our financial condition, risk profile, results of operations, the market price of our common stock or warrants and our ability to make distributions to stockholders.

The lack of liquidity of our assets may adversely affect our business, including our ability to value and sell our assets. We may acquire assets or other instruments that are not liquid, including securities and other instruments that are not publicly traded, and market conditions could significantly and negatively affect the liquidity of other assets. It may be difficult or impossible to obtain third-party pricing on the assets that we purchase. Illiquid assets typically experience greater price volatility, as a ready market may not exist for such assets, and such assets can be more difficult to value. In addition, validating third-party pricing for illiquid assets may be more subjective than more liquid assets. Any illiquidity of our assets may make it difficult for us to sell such assets if the need or desire arises. In addition, if we are required to liquidate all or a portion of our portfolio quickly, we may realize significantly less than the value at which we previously recorded our assets. Assets that are illiquid are more difficult to finance, and to the extent that we use leverage to finance assets that become illiquid we may lose that leverage or have it reduced. Assets tend to become less liquid during times of financial stress, which is often the time that liquidity is most needed. As a result, our ability to sell assets or vary our portfolio in response to changes in economic and other conditions may be limited by liquidity constraints, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Maintenance of our 1940 Act exemption imposes limits on our operations.

We intend to conduct our operations so as not to become required to register as an investment company under the 1940 Act. Section 3(a)(1)(A) of the 1940 Act defines an investment company as any issuer that is or holds itself out as being engaged primarily in the business of investing, reinvesting or trading in securities. Section 3(a)(1)(C) of the 1940 Act defines an investment company as any issuer that is engaged or proposes to engage in the business of investing, reinvesting, owning, holding or trading in securities and owns or proposes to acquire investment securities having a value exceeding 40% of the value of the issuer's total assets (exclusive of U.S. Government securities and cash items) on an unconsolidated basis. Excluded from the term "investment securities," among other things, are U.S. Government securities and securities issued by majority-owned subsidiaries that are not themselves investment companies and are not relying on the exception from the definition of investment company set forth in Section 3(c)(1) or Section 3(c)(7) of the 1940 Act.

We are organized as a holding company that conducts its businesses primarily through our subsidiaries. We intend to conduct the operations of the Company and its subsidiaries so that they do not come within the definition of an investment company because less than 40% of the value of their total assets on an unconsolidated basis will consist of "investment securities."

Certain of our subsidiaries intend to rely upon the exemption from registration as an investment company under the 1940 Act pursuant to Section 3(c)(5)(C) of the 1940 Act, which is available for entities "primarily engaged in the business of purchasing or otherwise acquiring mortgages and other liens on and interests in real estate." This exemption generally means that at least 55% of each such subsidiary's portfolio must be comprised of qualifying assets and at least 80% of its portfolio must be comprised of qualifying assets and real estate-related assets under the 1940 Act. Qualifying assets for this purpose include mortgage loans and other assets, such as whole pool Agency and non-Agency RMBS, which are considered the functional equivalent of mortgage loans for the purposes of the 1940 Act. We expect each of our subsidiaries relying on Section 3(c)(5)(C) to invest at least 55% of its assets in whole pool

Agency and non-Agency RMBS and other interests in real estate that constitute qualifying assets in accordance with SEC staff guidance and an additional 25% of its assets in either qualifying assets and other types of real estate related assets that do not constitute qualifying assets.

As a result of the foregoing restrictions, we are limited in our ability to make or dispose of certain investments. To the extent that the SEC publishes new or different guidance with respect to these matters, we may be required to adjust our strategy accordingly. In addition, we may be limited in our ability to make certain investments and these limitations could result in the subsidiary holding assets that we might wish to sell or selling assets that we might wish to hold. Although we monitor the portfolios of our subsidiaries relying on the Section 3(c)(5)(C) exemption periodically and prior to each acquisition or disposition of assets, there can be no assurance that such subsidiaries will be able to maintain this exemption.

Table of Contents

We will make the determination of whether an entity is a majority-owned subsidiary. The 1940 Act defines a majority-owned subsidiary of a person as a company 50% or more of the outstanding voting securities of which are owned by such person, or by another company which is a majority-owned subsidiary of such person. The 1940 Act further defines voting securities as any security presently entitling the owner or holder thereof to vote for the election of directors of a company. We treat companies in which we own at least a majority of the outstanding voting securities as majority-owned subsidiaries for purposes of the 40% test. We have not requested the SEC staff to approve our treatment of any company as a majority-owned subsidiary and the SEC staff has not done so. If the SEC or its staff were to disagree with our treatment of one or more companies as majority-owned subsidiaries, we would need to adjust our strategy and our assets in order to continue to pass the 40% test. Any such adjustment in our strategy could have a material adverse effect.

Qualification for exemption from registration under the 1940 Act limits our ability to make certain investments. For example, these restrictions limit the ability of our subsidiaries to invest directly in mortgage-backed securities that represent less than the entire ownership in a pool of mortgage loans, debt and equity tranches of securitizations and certain asset backed securities and real estate companies or in assets not related to real estate.

Loss of our 1940 Act exemption would adversely affect us, the market price of shares of our common stock or warrants and our ability to distribute dividends, and could result in the termination of the management agreement with PRCM Advisers.

As described above, we intend to conduct operations so as not to become required to register as an investment company under the 1940 Act based on current laws, regulations and guidance. Although we monitor our portfolio periodically, there can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain our exemption as an investment company under the 1940 Act. On August 31, 2011, the SEC issued a “concept release” soliciting public comment on a wide range of issues relating to Section 3(c)(5)(C) of the 1940 Act, including what types of assets should be deemed qualifying interests and whether REITs that invest in RMBS should be regulated in a manner similar to investment companies. There can be no assurance that the rules, regulations and interpretations governing the exemptions available under the 1940 Act will not change in a manner that adversely affects our operations. If we were to fail to qualify for an exemption, we could be required to restructure our activities or the activities of our subsidiaries, including effecting sales of assets in a manner that, or at a time when, we would not otherwise choose, which could negatively affect the value of our common stock or warrants, the sustainability of our business model, and our ability to make distributions. Such sales could occur during adverse market conditions, and we could be forced to accept prices below that which we believe are appropriate. The loss of our 1940 Act exclusion would also permit PRCM Advisers to terminate the management agreement, which could result in a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. Rapid changes in the values of our assets may make it more difficult for us to maintain our qualification as a REIT or our exemption from the 1940 Act.

If the market value or income potential of our assets declines as a result of increased interest rates, prepayment rates, general market conditions, government actions or other factors, we may need to increase our real estate assets and income or liquidate our non-qualifying assets to maintain our REIT qualification or our exemption from the 1940 Act. If the decline in real estate asset values or income occurs quickly, this may be especially difficult to accomplish. This difficulty may be exacerbated by the illiquid nature of any non-real estate assets we may own. We may have to make decisions that we otherwise would not make absent the REIT and 1940 Act considerations.

We use leverage in executing our business strategy, which may adversely affect the return on our assets and may reduce cash available for distribution to our stockholders, as well as increase losses when economic conditions are unfavorable.

We use leverage to finance our investment operations and to enhance our financial returns. Our primary source of leverage is short-term repurchase agreement financing for our Agency and Non-Agency RMBS assets. Other sources of leverage may include credit facilities (including term loans and revolving facilities).

Through the use of leverage, we may acquire positions with market exposure significantly greater than the amount of capital committed to the transaction. For example, by entering into repurchase agreements with advance rates, or

haircut levels, of 5% (which is not an atypical haircut for Agency RMBS), we could leverage capital allocated to Agency RMBS by a ratio of as much as 20 to 1. It is not uncommon for investors in Agency RMBS to obtain leverage equal to ten or more times equity through the use of repurchase agreement financing. We anticipate that we may deploy, on a debt-to-equity basis, up to ten times leverage on our Agency RMBS assets and up to two times on our non-Agency RMBS assets. However, there is no specific limit on the amount of leverage that we may use.

Leverage will magnify both the gains and the losses of our positions. Leverage will increase our returns as long as we earn a greater return on investments purchased with borrowed funds than our cost of borrowing such funds. However, if we use leverage to acquire an asset and the value of the asset decreases, the leverage will increase our losses. Even if the asset increases in value, if the asset fails to earn a return that equals or exceeds our cost of borrowing, the leverage will decrease our returns.

Table of Contents

We may be required to post large amounts of cash as collateral or margin to secure our leveraged positions. In the event of a sudden, precipitous drop in value of our financed assets, we might not be able to liquidate assets quickly enough to repay our borrowings, further magnifying losses. Even a small decrease in the value of a leveraged asset may require us to post additional margin or cash collateral. This may decrease the cash available to us for distributions to stockholders.

We depend on repurchase agreements and other credit facilities to execute our business plan and our inability to access funding through these sources could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and business.

Our ability to purchase and hold assets is affected by our ability to secure repurchase agreements and other credit facilities on acceptable terms. We currently have master repurchase agreements in place with several counterparties, including an RMBS 364-day repurchase facility with an aggregate maximum borrowing capacity of \$150.0 million. We expect to execute additional master repurchase agreements, but we can provide no assurance that lenders will be willing or able to provide us with sufficient financing through the repurchase markets or otherwise. In addition, because repurchase agreements are short-term commitments of capital, changes in conditions in the repurchase markets may make it more difficult for us to secure continued financing. During certain periods of a credit cycle, lenders may lose their ability or curtail their willingness to provide financing. If we are not able to arrange for replacement financing on acceptable terms, or if we default on our covenants or are otherwise unable to access funds under any of our master repurchase agreements, we may have to curtail our asset acquisition activities and/or dispose of assets.

It is possible that the lenders that provide us with financing could experience changes in their ability to advance funds to us, independent of our performance or the value of our assets. If major market participants exit the business, it could further adversely affect the marketability of RMBS and other financial assets in which we invest, and this could negatively affect the value of our assets, thus reducing our net book value. Furthermore, if many of our lenders are unwilling or unable to provide us with financing, we could be forced to sell assets when prices are depressed. In addition, if the regulatory capital requirements imposed on our lenders change, they may be required to significantly increase the cost of the financing that they provide to us. Our lenders also may revise their eligibility requirements for the types of assets they are willing to finance or the terms of such financings, based on, among other factors, the regulatory environment and their management of perceived risk. Moreover, the amount of financing we receive under our repurchase agreements will be directly related to the lenders' valuation of the assets that secure the outstanding borrowings. Typically, repurchase agreements grant the respective lender the right to reevaluate the market value of the assets that secure outstanding borrowings at any time. If a lender determines that the value of the assets has decreased, it has the right to initiate a margin call. A margin call would require us to transfer additional assets to such lender or to repay a portion of the outstanding borrowings. Any such margin call could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition, business, liquidity and ability to make distributions to stockholders, and could cause the value of our common stock or warrants to decline. We may be forced to sell assets at significantly depressed prices to meet margin calls and to maintain adequate liquidity, which could cause us to incur losses. Moreover, to the extent that we are forced to sell assets because of changes in market conditions, other market participants may face similar pressures, which could exacerbate a difficult market environment and which could result in significantly greater losses on our sale of such assets. In an extreme case of market duress, a market may not exist for certain of our assets at any price.

Our inability to meet certain financial covenants related to our repurchase agreements could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

In connection with certain of our repurchase agreements we are required to maintain certain financial covenants the most restrictive of which requires that, on any date, (i) the ratio of the our total indebtedness to our tangible net worth, on a consolidated basis, shall not be greater than a threshold established by a formula which considers the aggregate market value of certain securities owned by us divided by our adjusted gross assets; (ii) our liquidity, on a consolidated basis, shall not be less than \$25,000,000 and the aggregate amount of unrestricted cash or cash



equivalents held by us shall be not less than \$15,000,000; and (c) our tangible net worth, on a consolidated basis, shall not be less than \$450,000,000. Compliance with these financial covenants will depend on market factors and the strength of our business and operating results. Various risks, uncertainties and events beyond our control could affect our ability to comply with the financial covenants. Failure to comply with our financial covenants could result in an event of default, termination of the repurchase facility, acceleration of all amounts owing under the repurchase facility, and gives the counterparty the right to exercise certain other remedies under the repurchase agreement, including the sale of the asset subject to repurchase at the time of default, unless we were able to negotiate a waiver. Any such waiver could be conditioned on an amendment to the repurchase facility and any related guaranty agreement on terms that may be unfavorable to us. If we are unable to negotiate a covenant waiver or replace or refinance our assets under a new repurchase facility on favorable terms or at all, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

If a counterparty to a repurchase agreement defaults on its obligation to resell the underlying security back to us at the end of the purchase agreement term, or if the value of the underlying security has declined as of the end of that term, or if we default on our obligations under the repurchase agreement, we will incur losses on the repurchase agreement. When we enter into repurchase agreements, we sell securities to lenders (i.e., repurchase agreement counterparties) and receive cash from the lenders. The lenders are obligated to resell the same securities back to us at the end of the term of the repurchase agreement. Because the cash that we receive from the lender when we initially sell the securities to the lender is less than the value of those securities (the difference being the “haircut”), if the lender defaults on its obligation to resell the same securities back to us, we would incur a loss on the repurchase agreement equal to the amount of the haircut (assuming there was no change in the value of the securities). We would also incur losses on a repurchase agreement if the value of the underlying securities has declined as of the end of the repurchase agreement term, because we would have to repurchase the securities for their initial value but would receive securities worth less than that amount. Further, if we default on our obligations under a repurchase agreement, the lender will be able to terminate the repurchase agreement and cease entering into any other repurchase agreements with us. Typically, our repurchase agreements contain cross-default provisions, so that if a default occurs under any repurchase agreement, the lender can also declare a default with respect to all other repurchase agreements with us. If a default occurs under any of our repurchase agreements and a lender terminates one or more of its repurchase agreements, we may need to enter into replacement repurchase agreements with different lenders. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in entering into such replacement repurchase agreements on the same terms as the repurchase agreements that were terminated or at all. Any losses that we incur on our repurchase agreements could adversely affect our earnings and thus our cash available for distribution to stockholders.

An increase in our borrowing costs relative to the interest that we receive on our leveraged assets may adversely affect our profitability and our cash available for distribution to stockholders.

As our repurchase agreements and other short-term borrowings mature, we must enter into new borrowings, find other sources of liquidity or sell assets. An increase in short-term interest rates at the time that we seek to enter into new borrowings would reduce the spread between the returns on our assets and the cost of our borrowings. This would adversely affect the returns on our assets, which might reduce earnings and, in turn, cash available for distribution to stockholders.

We are highly dependent on information systems and systems failures could significantly disrupt our business, which may, in turn, negatively affect the market price of our common stock or warrants and our ability to pay dividends.

Our business is highly dependent on information systems. Any failure or interruption of these systems could cause delays or other problems in our trading activities, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial results and negatively affect the market price of our common stock or warrants and our ability to pay dividends to stockholders.

We enter into hedging transactions that expose us to contingent liabilities in the future, which may adversely affect our financial results or cash available for distribution to stockholders.

We engage in hedging transactions intended to hedge various risks to our portfolio, including the exposure to adverse changes in interest rates. Our hedging activity varies in scope based on, among other things, the level and volatility of interest rates, the type of assets held and other changing market conditions. Although these transactions are intended to reduce our exposure to various risks, hedging may fail to protect or could adversely affect us because, among other things:

- hedging can be expensive, particularly during periods of volatile or rapidly changing interest rates;
- available hedges may not correspond directly with the risks for which protection is sought;
- the duration of the hedge may not match the duration of the related liability;
- the amount of income that a REIT may earn from certain hedging transactions (other than through our TRSs) is limited by U.S. federal income tax provisions governing REITs;
- the credit quality of a hedging counterparty may be downgraded to such an extent that it impairs our ability to sell or assign our side of the hedging transaction; and

the hedging counterparty may default on its obligation to pay.

Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT, there are no current limitations on the hedging transactions that we may undertake. Our hedging transactions could require us to fund large cash payments in certain circumstances (e.g., the early termination of the hedging instrument caused by an event of default or other early termination event, or a demand by a counterparty that we make increased margin payments). Our ability to fund these obligations will depend on the liquidity of our assets and access to capital at the time. The need to fund these obligations could adversely affect our financial condition. Further, hedging transactions, which are intended to limit losses, may actually result in losses, which would adversely affect our earnings and could in turn reduce cash available for distribution to stockholders.

Hedging instruments involve risk because they may not be traded on regulated exchanges, guaranteed by an exchange or its clearinghouse, or regulated by any U.S. or foreign governmental authorities. Consequently, there may be no requirements with respect to record keeping, financial responsibility or segregation of customer funds and positions.

The

16

---

Table of Contents

Commodity Futures Trading Commission is in the process of proposing rules under the Dodd-Frank Act which may make our hedging more difficult or increase our costs. Furthermore, the enforceability of agreements underlying hedging transactions may depend on compliance with applicable statutory and commodity and other regulatory requirements and, depending on the identity of the counterparty, applicable international requirements. The business failure of a hedging counterparty will most likely result in its default. Default by a hedging counterparty may result in the loss of unrealized profits and force us to cover our commitments, if any, at the then current market price. Although generally we seek to reserve the right to terminate our hedging positions, it may not always be possible to dispose of or close out a hedging position without the consent of the hedging counterparty and we may not be able to enter into an offsetting contract in order to cover our risk. We cannot assure you that a liquid secondary market will exist for hedging instruments purchased or sold, and we may be required to maintain a position until exercise or expiration, which could result in losses.

Our results may experience greater fluctuations by not electing hedge accounting treatment on the derivatives that we enter into.

We have elected to not qualify for hedge accounting treatment under ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging, for our current derivative instruments. The economics of our derivative hedging transactions are not affected by this election; however, our GAAP earnings may be subject to greater fluctuations from period to period as a result of this accounting treatment for changes in fair value of certain interest rate swap agreements or for the accounting of the underlying hedged assets or liabilities in our financial statements, if it does not necessarily match the accounting used for interest rate swap agreements.

Declines in the market values of our assets may adversely affect our periodic reported results and credit availability, which may reduce earnings and, in turn, cash available for distribution to stockholders.

A substantial portion of our assets are classified for accounting purposes as “available-for-sale.” Changes in the market values of those assets will be directly charged or credited to stockholders' equity. As a result, a decline in values may reduce our book value. Moreover, if the decline in value of an available-for-sale security is other than temporary, such decline will reduce earnings.

A decline in the market value of our assets may adversely affect us, particularly in instances where we have borrowed money based on the market value of those assets. If the market value of those assets declines, the lender may require us to post additional collateral to support the loan. If we are unable to post the additional collateral, we would have to sell the assets at a time when we might not otherwise choose to do so. A reduction in credit available may reduce our earnings and, in turn, cash available for distribution to stockholders.

We depend on third-party service providers, including mortgage servicers, for a variety of services related to our RMBS. We are, therefore, subject to the risks associated with third-party service providers.

We depend on a variety of services provided by third-party service providers related to our RMBS. We rely on the mortgage servicers who service the mortgage loans backing our RMBS to, among other things, collect principal and interest payments on the underlying mortgages and perform loss mitigation services. Mortgage servicers and other service providers to our RMBS, such as trustees, bond insurance providers and custodians, may not perform in a manner that promotes our interests.

For example, recent legislation intended to reduce or prevent foreclosures through, among other things, loan modifications may reduce the value of mortgage loans underlying our RMBS. Mortgage servicers may be incentivized by the Federal government to pursue such loan modifications, as well as forbearance plans and other actions intended to prevent foreclosure, even if such loan modifications and other actions are not in the best interests of the beneficial owners of the mortgage loans. Similarly, legislation delaying the initiation or completion of foreclosure proceedings on specified types of residential mortgage loans or otherwise limiting the ability of mortgage servicers to take actions that may be essential to preserve the value of the mortgage loans may also reduce the value of mortgage loans underlying our RMBS. Any such limitations are likely to cause delayed or reduced collections from mortgagors and generally increase servicing costs. As a consequence of the foregoing matters, our business, financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected.

There are risks related to our plan to securitize prime nonconforming residential mortgage loans.

Our plan to have a subsidiary securitize prime nonconforming residential mortgage loans is subject to many of the same risks as those related to our other target assets, including risks related to changes in interest rates, economic factors in general, prepayment speeds, default risks and risks related to hedging strategies. However, our plan to purchase and securitize these loans subjects us to additional risks as well. Mortgage loans we intend to securitize will be subject to additional risks under repurchase agreements. We intend to finance the prime nonconforming residential mortgage loans that we acquire with repurchase agreements, including the Barclays Facility, prior to the planned securitization. Repurchase agreements for newly originated mortgage loans specify in detail the characteristics of eligible mortgages which may be financed under the repurchase agreements, and those specified characteristics are different than those contained in the repurchase facilities we use to finance our other target assets. Many of the events which could cause the

Table of Contents

mortgage loans to become ineligible are not within our sole control. If the mortgage loans we acquire become ineligible to be financed under these facilities, we may be subject to less favorable advance rates, or haircuts, under the repurchase facilities, or we may be required to repurchase the ineligible mortgages on short notice. If that occurs, we will have to use additional capital to hold these mortgage loans, which will reduce the capital available to invest in our other target assets such as Agency RMBS and Non-Agency RMBS.

We may not be able to acquire targeted prime nonconforming residential mortgage loans.

The success of our securitization program will depend upon sourcing a large volume of desirable prime nonconforming residential loans. We may be unable to do so for many reasons. We may be unable to locate originators that are able to originate mortgage loans that meet our standards, and those originators may decline to sell us those mortgage loans. Competition for the loans may drive down supply or drive up prices, making it uneconomical to purchase the loans. General economic factors, such as recession, declining home values, unemployment and high interest rates, may limit the supply of available loans. As a result, we may incur additional costs to acquire a sufficient volume of mortgage loans or be unable to acquire mortgage loans at a reasonable price. If we cannot source an adequate volume of desirable loans, our securitization program may be unprofitable, and we may hold individual loans for long periods, increasing our exposure to the credit of the borrowers and requiring capital that might be better used elsewhere in our business.

Our Manager has not previously completed a securitization or acquired newly originated mortgage loans.

Our manager, PRCM Advisers, has not previously completed a securitization or acquired newly originated mortgage loans. Through the use of existing resources within Pine River, the addition of new staff and the use of outside advisers, we believe that PRCM Advisers has sufficient experience to conduct our securitization program.

Nonetheless, this is a new business for us, and there can be no assurance that we will be able to implement our securitization program successfully, or at all.

Market conditions and other factors may affect our ability to securitize prime nonconforming mortgage loans.

Our ability to complete a securitization of prime nonconforming mortgage loans will be affected by a number of factors, including:

- conditions in the securities markets, generally;
- conditions in the asset-backed securities markets, specifically;
- yields of our portfolio of prime nonconforming mortgage loans;
- the credit quality of our portfolio of prime nonconforming mortgage loans; and
- our ability to obtain any necessary credit enhancement.

In recent years, the asset-backed securitization markets have experienced unprecedented disruptions, and securitization volumes have decreased sharply. Recent conditions in the securitization markets include reduced liquidity, increased risk premiums for issuers, reduced investor demand, financial distress among financial guaranty insurance providers, and a general tightening of credit. These conditions, which may increase our cost of funding, and may reduce or even eliminate our access to the securitization market, may continue or worsen in the future. As a result, these conditions may lead us to be unable to sell securities in the asset-backed securities market. Further, our repurchase facilities may not be adequate to fund our mortgage purchasing activities until such disruptions in the securitization markets subside. Further or continued disruptions in this market or any adverse change or delay in our ability to access the market could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, liquidity and results of operations. Low investor demand for asset-backed securities could force us to hold prime nonconforming mortgage loans until investor demand improves, but our capacity to hold such mortgage loans is not unlimited. Continuing adverse market conditions could also result in increased costs and reduced margins earned in connection with our planned securitization transactions.

Our ability to execute securitizations of prime nonconforming mortgage loans could be delayed, limited, or precluded by legislative and regulatory reforms applicable to asset-backed securities and the institutions that sponsor, service, rate, or otherwise participate in, or contribute to, the successful execution of a securitization transaction. Other factors could also limit, delay, or preclude our ability to execute securitization transactions. These legislative, regulatory, and

other factors could also reduce the returns we would otherwise expect to earn in connection with securitization transactions.

Provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act require significant revisions to the legal and regulatory framework which apply to the asset-backed securities markets and securitizations. Some of the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act have become effective or been implemented, while others are in the process of being implemented or will become effective in the future.

We cannot predict how the Dodd-Frank Act and the other regulations that have been proposed will affect our ability to execute securitizations of residential mortgage loans. For example, Section 15G of the 1934 Act, as modified by the Dodd-Frank Act, generally requires the issuer of asset-backed securities to retain not less than five percent of the credit risk of the assets collateralizing the asset-backed securities. Section 15G includes an exemption for asset-backed securities that are collateralized exclusively by residential mortgages that qualify as “qualified residential mortgages.” The Dodd-Frank Act, however, left the definition of “qualified residential mortgage” to be determined by a federal rule-making

Table of Contents

process. In March 2011, federal regulators proposed a definition for the terms, as well as other rules related to the risk retention requirements of Section 15G, but those regulations have not been finalized.

In addition to the Dodd-Frank Act and its related rules, other federal or state laws and regulations that could affect our ability to execute securitization transactions may be proposed, enacted, or implemented. These laws and regulations could effectively preclude us from executing securitization transactions, could delay our execution of these types of transactions, or could reduce the returns we would otherwise expect to earn from executing securitization transactions. Other matters, such as (i) accounting standards applicable to securitization transactions and (ii) capital and leverage requirements applicable to banks and other regulated financial institutions that traditionally purchase and hold asset-backed securities, could result in less investor demand for securities issued through securitization transactions we plan to execute or increased competition from other institutions that execute securitization transactions.

Rating agencies may affect our ability to execute securitization transactions, or may reduce the returns we would otherwise expect to earn from securitization transactions.

In the past, the rating agencies have played a central role in the securitization markets. Many purchasers of asset-backed securities require that a security be rated by the agencies at or above a specific grade before they will consider purchasing it. The rating agencies could adversely affect our ability to execute securitization transactions by deciding not to publish ratings for our securitization transaction (or deciding not to consent to the inclusion of those ratings in the prospectuses we may file with the SEC relating to securitization transactions), or by assigning ratings that are below the thresholds investors require. Further, rating agencies could alter their ratings processes or criteria after we have accumulated loans for securitization in a manner that reduces the value of previously acquired loans or that requires us to incur additional costs to comply with those processes and criteria. Moreover, the ratings agencies have come under heavy criticism for their perceived role in the financial crisis that started in 2008, and as a result their role and business model may change in ways that adversely affect our ability to execute securitization transactions. We may be subject to fines or other penalties based upon the conduct of independent mortgage brokers through which we originate mortgage loans and lenders from which we acquire mortgage loans.

The independent third party mortgage brokers and lenders through which we plan to obtain prime nonconforming mortgage loans are subject to strict and evolving consumer protection laws and other legal obligations. While these laws may not explicitly hold us responsible for the legal violations of these third parties, federal and state agencies and private litigants have increasingly sought to impose such liability. In addition, various regulators and plaintiffs' lawyers have sought to hold assignees of mortgage loans liable for the alleged violations of the originating lender under theories of express or implied assignee liability. Accordingly, we may be subject to fines, penalties or civil liability based upon the conduct of independent mortgage brokers or originating lenders from whom we acquire mortgage loans.

The purchase of residential mortgage loans in the secondary market requires us, in some circumstances, to maintain certain licenses and failure to maintain those licenses may adversely affect our securitization program.

The purchase of residential mortgage loans in the secondary market, in some circumstances, requires us to maintain certain licenses. In connection with these licenses we are required to comply with various information reporting and other regulatory requirements to maintain those licenses, and there is no assurance that we will be able to satisfy those requirements on an ongoing basis. Our failure to maintain required licenses may affect our planned securitization and could harm our business and expose us to penalties or other claims.

We may not be able to fully lease our residential real properties or lease them at rates that allow us to generate a profit. Our ability to lease our residential real properties will be subject to many factors, including supply and demand, unemployment rates, general economic conditions and other factors that may be unique to a geographic region. We may not be able to fully lease our residential real properties at all times or lease them at rates that allow us to generate a profit. Additionally, when leases on these properties expire or the properties otherwise become vacant, we will be subject to then-applicable market conditions, which may not be as favorable.

Short-term leases of residential property may expose us to the effects of declining market rents.



We anticipate substantially all of our leases for residential real properties will be of a relatively short duration. As these leases generally permit the residents to leave at the end of the lease term without penalty, we anticipate our rental revenues will be impacted by declines in market rents more quickly than if our leases were for longer terms. Difficulties of selling residential real properties could limit our flexibility.

If we decide to dispose of a significant portion our planned portfolio of residential real properties, we may encounter difficulty in finding buyers in a timely manner as real estate investments generally cannot be disposed of quickly, especially when market conditions are poor. These factors may limit our ability to vary our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions and may also limit our ability to utilize sales proceeds as a source of liquidity, which would adversely affect our ability to make distributions to stockholders or repay debt.

Table of Contents

Competition could limit our ability to lease residential real property or increase or maintain rental income. There are numerous housing alternatives which we anticipate will compete with our residential real property in attracting tenants. We anticipate our properties will compete directly with multifamily properties as well as condominiums and other single family homes which are available for rent or purchase in the markets in which our properties will be located. This competitive environment could have a material adverse effect on our ability to lease our residential real property as well as on the rents we may charge.

REIT limitations may affect our ability to dispose of our residential real property portfolio.

The provisions of the Internal Revenue Code relating to REITs may limit our ability to sell properties at a profit without incurring unfavorable tax consequences. Generally, sales of property within two years of acquisition, and sale of multiple properties within one year, may result in the gains from such sales being subject to 100% taxation.

**Risks Related to our Management and Relationship with PRCM Advisers and Pine River**

We are dependent on PRCM Advisers and Pine River and may not find a suitable replacement if we or PRCM Advisers terminates the management agreement.

We have no employees and no separate facilities. Instead, we are completely reliant for these resources on PRCM Advisers, which has significant discretion as to the implementation and execution of our business strategies and risk management practices. PRCM Advisers may not have sufficient access to Pine River's employees, systems and facilities in order to comply with its obligations under the management agreement. We are also subject to the risk that PRCM Advisers will terminate the management agreement and that no suitable replacement will be found.

PRCM Advisers is only contractually committed to serve Two Harbors until October 28, 2012. Thereafter, the management agreement is renewable on an annual basis; provided, however, that PRCM Advisers may terminate the management agreement annually upon 180 days' prior notice. If the management agreement is terminated and no suitable replacement is found to manage Two Harbors, we may not be able to execute our business plan.

We will have no recourse to Pine River if it does not fulfill its obligations under the shared facilities and services agreement.

Neither we nor PRCM Advisers has any employees or separate facilities. As a result, PRCM Advisers has entered into a shared facilities and services agreement with Pine River pursuant to which PRCM Advisers will be provided with the personnel, services and resources necessary for PRCM Advisers to perform its obligations and responsibilities under the management agreement in exchange for certain amounts payable by PRCM Advisers. Because we are not a party to the shared facilities and services agreement, we will not have any recourse to Pine River if it does not fulfill its obligations under the shared facilities and services agreement, or if Pine River and PRCM Advisers choose to amend or terminate the shared facilities and services agreement.

There are conflicts of interest in our relationship with Pine River and its affiliates, including PRCM Advisers, which could result in decisions that are not in the best interests of our stockholders.

We are subject to conflicts of interest arising out of our relationship with Pine River and its affiliates, including PRCM Advisers. PRCM Advisers is wholly-owned by Pine River. Each of Brian Taylor (the Chairman of Two Harbors' Board of Directors), Thomas Siering (a Director, and the Chief Executive Officer and President of Two Harbors), Steven Kuhn (the Co-Chief Investment Officer of Two Harbors), and Timothy O'Brien (the General Counsel and Secretary of Two Harbors) is a partner and owner of equity interests in Pine River. All of our other executive officers are employees or partners of Pine River. In addition, Mark Ein (the non-executive Vice Chairman of Two Harbors' Board of Directors) owns an interest in CLA Founders LLC, which, in consideration for services to be provided to PRCM Advisers under a sub-management agreement, is entitled to receive a percentage of the management fee earned by PRCM Advisers, and an affiliate of his is an investor in a private fund for which Pine River serves as investment manager. As a result, the management agreement with PRCM Advisers was negotiated between related parties, and its terms, including fees payable to PRCM Advisers, may not be as favorable to us as if they had been negotiated with an unaffiliated third party. In addition, we may choose not to enforce, or to enforce less vigorously, our rights under the management agreement because of our desire to maintain our ongoing relationship

with PRCM Advisers.

The management agreement with PRCM Advisers does not prevent PRCM Advisers and its affiliates from engaging in additional management or investment opportunities. Pine River and its affiliates, including PRCM Advisers, engage in additional management or investment opportunities that have overlapping objectives with us, and thus face conflicts in the allocation of resources between us, any other funds they manage and for their own accounts. Additionally, the ability of PRCM Advisers and Pine River and their respective officers and employees to engage in other business activities reduces the time PRCM Advisers spends managing Two Harbors. Our executive officers and the employees of PRCM Advisers and Pine River do not spend all of their time managing Two Harbors. Our executive officers and the employees of PRCM Advisers and Pine River allocate some, or a material portion, of their time to other businesses and activities. None of these individuals is required to devote a specific amount of time to Two Harbors' affairs. Accordingly, we compete with Pine

20

---

Table of Contents

River, its existing funds, investment vehicles, other ventures and possibly other entities in the future for the time and attention of these officers.

We may enter into additional transactions with Pine River or its affiliates. In particular, we may purchase assets from Pine River or its affiliates or make co-purchases alongside Pine River or its affiliates. These transactions may not be the result of arm's length negotiations and may involve conflicts between our interests and the interests of Pine River and/or its affiliates. There can be no assurance that any procedural protections will be sufficient to assure that these transactions will be made on terms that will be at least as favorable to us as those that would have been obtained in an arm's length transaction.

We will compete with current and future investment entities affiliated with Pine River for access to the benefits that our relationship with Pine River provides to Two Harbors, including access to investment opportunities.

There are conflicts of interest in allocating investment opportunities among Two Harbors and other funds, investment vehicles and ventures managed by Pine River. There is a significant overlap in the assets and investment strategies of Two Harbors and Pine River's private funds, and many of the same trading and investment personnel provide services to both entities. Further, Pine River and its affiliates may in the future form additional funds or sponsor additional investment vehicles and ventures that have overlapping objectives with Two Harbors and therefore may compete with us for investment opportunities and Pine River resources. It is the written policy of Pine River and PRCM Advisers to allocate investment opportunities on a fair and equitable basis among all entities for which they provide investment management services. However, we cannot assure you that Pine River and PRCM Advisers will always allocate every investment opportunity in a manner that is advantageous for us; indeed, we may expect that the fair and equitable allocation of investment opportunities will at times result in our receiving only a portion of, or none of, certain investment opportunities.

The loss of our access to Pine River's investment professionals and principals may adversely affect our ability to achieve our investment objectives.

We depend on PRCM Advisers' access, through a shared facilities and services agreement, to the investment professionals and principals of Pine River and the information and origination opportunities generated by Pine River's investment professionals and principals during the normal course of their investment and portfolio management activities. These investment professionals and principals evaluate, negotiate, structure, close and monitor our investments and our financing activities and we depend on their continued service. The departure of a significant number of the investment professionals or principals of Pine River could have a material adverse effect on our ability to achieve our investment objectives. In addition, we cannot assure you that PRCM Advisers will remain as Two Harbors' manager or that we will continue to have access to Pine River's investment professionals or principals or its information and asset origination opportunities.

Our board of directors has approved very broad investment guidelines for Two Harbors and will not review or approve each investment decision made by PRCM Advisers.

Our board of directors periodically reviews and updates our investment guidelines and also reviews our investment portfolio but does not review or approve specific investments. PRCM Advisers has great latitude within the broad parameters of the investment guidelines set by our board of directors in determining our investments and investment strategies, which could result in investment returns that are substantially below expectations or that result in material losses.

The manner of determining the management fee may not provide sufficient incentive to PRCM Advisers to maximize risk-adjusted returns on our investment portfolio because it is based on our stockholders' equity and not on our financial performance.

PRCM Advisers is entitled to receive a management fee that is based on our stockholders' equity at the end of each quarter, regardless of our financial performance. Accordingly, significant management fees will be payable to PRCM Advisers even if we have a net loss during a quarter. PRCM Advisers' right to such compensation may not provide sufficient incentive to PRCM Advisers to devote its time and effort to maximize risk-adjusted returns on our investment portfolio, which could, in turn, adversely affect our financial results. Further, the management fee structure

gives PRCM Advisers the incentive to maximize stockholders' equity by the issuance of new common stock or the retention of existing equity, regardless of the effect of these actions on existing stockholders. In other words, the management fee structure rewards PRCM Advisers primarily based on the size of Two Harbors, and not on our returns to stockholders.

Termination of the management agreement may be difficult and costly, which may adversely affect our inclination to end our relationship with PRCM Advisers.

Termination of the management agreement with PRCM Advisers without cause is difficult and costly. The term "cause" is limited to certain specifically described circumstances. The management agreement provides that, in the absence of cause, we may only terminate it after October 28, 2012, upon the vote of at least two-thirds of all of our independent directors or by a vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock.

## Table of Contents

Additionally, upon a termination by Two Harbors without cause (or upon a termination by PRCM Advisers due to our material breach), the management agreement requires us to pay PRCM Advisers a termination payment equal to three times the sum of the average annual base management fee received by PRCM Advisers during the 24-month period before such termination, calculated as of the end of the most recently completed fiscal quarter. This provision increases the effective cost to us of terminating our relationship with PRCM Advisers, even if we believe that PRCM Advisers' performance is not satisfactory.

The liability of PRCM Advisers and Pine River is limited under the management agreement, and we have agreed to indemnify PRCM Advisers and its affiliates and advisers, including Pine River, against certain liabilities. As a result, we could experience poor performance or losses for which PRCM Advisers and Pine River would not be liable.

Pursuant to the management agreement, PRCM Advisers does not assume any responsibility other than to render the services called for thereunder and will not be responsible for any action of our board of directors in following or declining to follow its advice or recommendations. PRCM Advisers and its officers, stockholders, members, managers, personnel and directors, any person controlling or controlled by PRCM Advisers and any person providing sub-advisory services to PRCM Advisers will not be liable to Two Harbors, any of our subsidiaries, any of our directors, stockholders or partners or any subsidiary's stockholders, members or partners for acts or omissions performed in accordance with or pursuant to the management agreement, except by reason of acts constituting reckless disregard of PRCM Advisers' duties under the management agreement which has a material adverse effect on Two Harbors, willful misconduct or gross negligence, as determined by a final non-appealable order of a court of competent jurisdiction. We have agreed to indemnify PRCM Advisers and its affiliates and sub-advisers, including Pine River, with respect to all expenses, losses, damages, liabilities, demands, charges and claims arising from acts or omissions of such indemnified parties not constituting reckless disregard of PRCM Advisers' duties under the management agreement which has a material adverse effect on Two Harbors, willful misconduct or gross negligence. As a result, if we experience poor performance or losses, PRCM Advisers would not be liable.

### Risks Related To Our Assets

We may not realize gains or income from our assets.

We seek to generate current income and capital appreciation for our stockholders. However, the assets that we acquire may not appreciate in value and, in fact, may decline in value, and the securities that we acquire may experience defaults of interest and/or principal payments. Accordingly, we may not be able to realize gains or income from our assets. Any gains that we do realize may not be sufficient to offset other losses that we experience. Any income that we realize may not be sufficient to offset our expenses.

Changes in mortgage prepayment rates may adversely affect the value of our assets.

The value of our RMBS assets is affected by prepayment rates on the mortgage loans underlying the RMBS, and our investment strategy includes making investments based on our expectations regarding prepayment rates. Typically, the value of a mortgage-backed security includes market assumptions regarding the speed at which the underlying mortgages will be prepaid. Faster than expected prepayments could adversely affect our profitability, including in the following ways:

We may purchase RMBS that have a higher interest rate than the market interest rate at the time. In exchange for this higher interest rate, we may pay a premium over the par value to acquire the security. In accordance with GAAP, we may amortize this premium over the estimated term of the RMBS. If the RMBS is prepaid in whole or in part prior to its maturity date, however, we may be required to expense the premium that was prepaid at the time of the prepayment.

A substantial portion of our adjustable-rate RMBS may bear interest rates that are lower than their fully indexed rates, which are equivalent to the applicable index rate plus a margin. If an adjustable-rate RMBS is prepaid prior to or soon after the time of adjustment to a fully-indexed rate, we will have held that RMBS while it was least profitable and lost the opportunity to receive interest at the fully indexed rate over the remainder of its expected life.

If we are unable to acquire new RMBS similar to the prepaid RMBS, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows would suffer.

Prepayment rates that are faster than anticipated may increase or decrease the value of a security, depending on the type of security and the price paid to acquire the security. Prepayment rates may be affected by a number of factors including the availability of mortgage credit, the relative economic vitality of the area in which the related properties are located, the average remaining life of the loans, the average size of the remaining loans, the servicing of the mortgage loans, possible changes in tax laws, other opportunities for investment, homeowner mobility and other economic, social, geographic, demographic and legal factors. Consequently, such prepayment rates cannot be predicted with any certainty. In making investment decisions, we depend on certain assumptions based upon historical trends with respect to the relationship between interest rates and prepayments under normal market conditions. If the recent dislocations in the

Table of Contents

residential mortgage market or other developments change the way that prepayment trends have historically responded to interest rate changes, our ability to (1) assess the market value of target assets, (2) implement hedging strategies and (3) implement techniques to hedge prepayment risks would be significantly affected, which could materially adversely affect our financial position and results of operations. If we make erroneous assumptions regarding prepayment rates, we may experience significant investment losses.

A prolonged economic slowdown, a lengthy or severe recession or declining real estate values could impair our assets and harm our operations.

The risks associated with our business are more severe during periods of economic slowdown or recession, especially if these periods are accompanied by declining real estate values. The ability of a borrower to repay a loan secured by a residential property typically is dependent upon the income or assets of the borrower. During an economic slowdown, unemployment rises and increasing numbers of borrowers have difficulty in making payments on their debts, including on mortgage loans. When a recession is combined with declining real estate values, as has been the case in the recession that started in 2008, defaults on mortgages may increase dramatically.

Owners of Agency RMBS are protected from the risk of default on the underlying mortgages by guarantees from Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or, in the case of the Ginnie Mae, the U.S. Government. However, we also acquire non-Agency RMBS, which are backed by residential real property but, in contrast to Agency RMBS, the principal and interest payments are not guaranteed by GSEs or the U.S. Government. Our non-Agency RMBS investments are therefore particularly sensitive to recessions and declining real estate values.

In the event of a default on a mortgage underlying a non-Agency RMBS in our portfolio, we bear the risk of loss as a result of the potential deficiency between the value of the collateral and the debt owed on the mortgage, as well as the costs and delays of foreclosure or other remedies, the costs of maintaining and ultimately selling a property after foreclosure.

Any sustained period of increased payment delinquencies, defaults, foreclosures or losses on our non-Agency RMBS could adversely affect our revenues, results of operations, financial condition, business prospects and ability to make distributions to stockholders.

We acquire RMBS collateralized by subprime mortgage loans, which are subject to increased risks.

Among other assets, we acquire RMBS backed by collateral pools of subprime mortgage loans, which are mortgage loans that have been originated using underwriting standards that are less conservative than those used in underwriting prime mortgage loans (mortgage loans that generally conform to GSE underwriting guidelines) and Alt-A mortgage loans (mortgage loans made to borrowers whose qualifying mortgage characteristics do not conform to GSE underwriting guidelines and generally allow homeowners to qualify for a mortgage loan with reduced or alternate forms of documentation). These lower standards include mortgage loans made to borrowers having imperfect or impaired credit histories, mortgage loans where the amount of the loan at origination is 80% or more of the value of the mortgage property, mortgage loans made to borrowers with low credit scores, mortgage loans made to borrowers who have other debt that represents a large portion of their income and mortgage loans made to borrowers whose income is not required to be disclosed or verified. Due to economic conditions, including increased interest rates and lower home prices, as well as aggressive lending practices, subprime mortgage loans have in recent periods experienced increased rates of delinquency, foreclosure, bankruptcy and loss, and they are likely to continue to experience delinquency, foreclosure, bankruptcy and loss rates that may be substantially higher, than those experienced by mortgage loans underwritten in a more traditional manner. In acquiring these assets, we endeavor to factor the risk of losses on the underlying mortgages into the purchase price of the asset. If we underestimate those losses, however, the performance of RMBS backed by subprime mortgage loans that we acquire could be adversely affected, which could adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and business.

Our portfolio of assets may be concentrated in terms of credit risk.

Although as a general policy we seek to acquire and hold a diverse portfolio of assets, we are not required to observe specific diversification criteria, except as may be set forth in the investment guidelines adopted by our board of directors. Therefore, our asset portfolio may at times be concentrated in certain property types that are subject to



higher risk of foreclosure, or secured by properties concentrated in a limited number of geographic locations. To the extent that our portfolio is concentrated in any one region or type of security, downturns relating generally to such region or type of security may result in defaults on a number of our assets within a short time period, which may reduce our net income and the value of our shares or warrants and accordingly reduce our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders. The portfolio may contain other concentrations of risk, and we may fail to identify, detect or hedge against those risks, resulting in large or unexpected losses.

Our subordinated RMBS assets may be in the “first loss” position, subjecting us to greater risk of losses.

We invest in certain tranches of RMBS that are only entitled to a portion of the principal and interest payments made on mortgage loans underlying the securities issued by the trust. In general, losses on a mortgage loan included in such a

Table of Contents

trust will be borne first by the equity holder of the issuing trust, and then by the “first loss” subordinated security holder and then by the “second loss” mezzanine holder. We may acquire securities at every level of such a trust, from the equity holder to the most senior tranche. In the event of default and the exhaustion of any classes of securities junior to those which we acquire, our securities will suffer losses as well. In addition, if we overvalue the underlying mortgage portfolio, or if the values subsequently decline and, as a result, less collateral is available to satisfy interest and principal payments due on the related RMBS, the securities which we acquire may effectively become the “first loss” position behind the more senior securities, which may result in significant losses. The prices of lower credit quality securities are generally less sensitive to interest rate changes than more highly rated securities, but more sensitive to adverse economic downturns or individual issuer developments. A projection of an economic downturn could cause a decline in the value of lower credit quality securities because the ability of obligors of mortgages underlying RMBS to make principal and interest payments may be impaired. In such event, existing credit support in the securitization structure may be insufficient to protect us against loss of our principal on these securities. Increases in interest rates could adversely affect the value of our assets and cause our interest expense to increase, which could result in reduced earnings or losses and negatively affect our profitability as well as the cash available for distribution to stockholders.

Our operating results will depend in large part on the difference between the income from our assets, net of credit losses, and financing costs. We anticipate that, in many cases, the income from our assets will respond more slowly to interest rate fluctuations than the cost of our borrowings. Consequently, changes in interest rates, particularly short-term interest rates, may significantly influence our financial results.

Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors beyond our control. Interest rate fluctuations present a variety of risks, including the risk of a narrowing of the difference between asset yields and borrowing rates, flattening or inversion of the yield curve and fluctuating prepayment rates.

In a normal yield curve environment, fixed income assets, including many RMBS, decline in value if interest rates increase. If long-term rates increased significantly, not only will the market value of these assets be expected to decline, but the duration and weighted-average life of the assets could increase as well because borrowers are less likely to prepay mortgages. Further, an increase in short-term interest rates would increase the rate of interest payable on any repurchase agreements required to finance these securities.

We endeavor to hedge our exposure to changes in interest rates, but there can be no assurances that our hedges will be successful, or that we will be able to enter into or maintain such hedges. As a result, interest rate fluctuations can cause significant losses, reductions in income, and limitations on our cash available for distribution to stockholders. An increase in interest rates may cause a decrease in the volume of certain of our target assets, which could adversely affect our ability to acquire target assets that satisfy our investment objectives and to generate income and pay dividends.

Rising interest rates generally reduce the demand for mortgage loans due to the higher cost of borrowing. A reduction in the volume of mortgage loans originated may affect the volume of target assets available to us, which could adversely affect our ability to acquire assets that satisfy our investment objectives. Rising interest rates may also cause our target assets that were issued prior to an interest rate increase to provide yields that are below prevailing market interest rates. If rising interest rates cause us to be unable to acquire a sufficient volume of our target assets with a yield that is above our borrowing cost, our ability to satisfy our investment objectives and to generate income and pay dividends may be materially and adversely affected.

The assets in our portfolio are recorded at fair value, however there may be substantial uncertainty as to the value of certain assets.

Some of the assets in our portfolio are not publicly traded. The fair value of securities and other assets that are not publicly traded may not be readily determinable. We value these assets quarterly at fair value, as determined in accordance with ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, which may include unobservable inputs.

Because such valuations are subjective, the fair value of certain of our assets may fluctuate over short periods of time

and our determinations of fair value may differ materially from the values that would have been used if a ready market for these securities existed. The value of our common stock or warrants could be adversely affected if our determinations regarding the fair value of these assets are materially higher than the values that we ultimately realize upon their disposal.

Mortgage loan modification programs and future legislative action may adversely affect the value of, and the returns on, the assets that we acquire.

The U.S. Government, through the Federal Reserve, the Federal Housing Administration, or FHA, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or FDIC, has implemented a number of programs designed to provide homeowners with assistance in avoiding residential mortgage loan foreclosures, including the Home Affordable Modification Program, or HAMP, which seeks to provide relief to homeowners whose mortgages are in foreclosure, and Home Affordable Refinance

Table of Contents

Program, or HARP, which allows certain borrowers who are underwater in their mortgage but current on their mortgage payments to refinance their loans. These programs and other loss mitigation programs may involve, among other things, the modification or refinancing of mortgage loans to reduce the principal amount of the loans, reduction in the rate of interest payable on the loans, and extend the payment terms of the loans. Loan modifications may lead to fewer foreclosures and reduce the losses on non-Agency RMBS arising from foreclosures, however loan modifications and refinancings may also result in significant reductions in cash flows to the holders of the RMBS. We attempt to factor in the likelihood and potential consequences of loan modification and refinancing programs in making our investment decisions, however we cannot assure you that our analysis will be correct. As a result, loan modification and refinancing programs, as well as future legislative or regulatory actions that result in the modification or refinancing of outstanding mortgage loans, may adversely affect the value of, and the returns on, our assets.

The value of our RMBS may be adversely affected by deficiencies in servicing and foreclosure practices, as well as related delays in the foreclosure process.

Allegations of deficiencies in servicing and foreclosure practices among several large sellers and servicers of residential mortgage loans that surfaced in 2010 raised various concerns relating to such practices, including the improper execution of the documents used in foreclosure proceedings (so-called “robo signing”), inadequate documentation of transfers and registrations of mortgages and assignments of loans, improper modifications of loans, violations of representations and warranties at the date of securitization and failure to enforce put-backs.

As a result of alleged deficiencies in foreclosure practices, a number of servicers temporarily suspended foreclosure proceedings beginning in the second half of 2010 while they evaluated their foreclosure practices. In late 2010, a group of state attorneys general and state bank and mortgage regulators representing nearly all 50 states and the District of Columbia, along with the U.S. Justice Department and the Department of Housing and Urban Development, began an investigation into foreclosure practices of banks and servicers. The investigations and lawsuits by several state attorneys general lead to a proposed settlement agreement in early February 2012 with five of the nation's largest banks, pursuant to which the banks agreed to pay more than \$25 billion to settle claims relating to improper foreclosure practices. The proposed settlement does not prohibit the states, the federal government, individuals or investors in RMBS from pursuing additional actions against the banks and servicers in the future.

The integrity of the servicing and foreclosure processes are critical to the value of the mortgage loan portfolios underlying our RMBS, and our financial results could be adversely affected by deficiencies in the conduct of those processes. For example, delays in the foreclosure process that have resulted from investigations into improper servicing practices may adversely affect the values of, and our losses on, our non-Agency RMBS. Foreclosure delays may also increase the administrative expenses of the securitization trusts for the non-Agency RMBS, thereby reducing the amount of funds available for distribution to investors. In addition, the subordinate classes of securities issued by the securitization trusts may continue to receive interest payments while the defaulted loans remain in the trusts, rather than absorbing the default losses. This may reduce the amount of credit support available for the senior classes we own, thus possibly adversely affecting these securities. Additionally, a substantial portion of the proposed \$25 billion settlement is intended to be a “credit” to the banks and servicers for principal write-downs or reductions they may make to certain mortgages underlying RMBS. There remains considerable uncertainty as to how these principal reductions will work and what effect they will have on the value of related RMBS; as a result, there can be no assurance that any such principal reductions will not adversely affect the value of certain of our RMBS.

While we believe that the sellers and servicers would be in violation of their servicing contracts to the extent that they have improperly serviced mortgage loans or improperly executed documents in foreclosure or bankruptcy proceedings, or do not comply with the terms of servicing contracts when deciding whether to apply principal reductions, it may be difficult, expensive, and time consuming for us to enforce our contractual rights. We continue to monitor and review the issues raised by the alleged improper foreclosure practices. While we cannot predict exactly how the servicing and foreclosure matters or the resulting litigation or settlement agreements will affect our business, there can be no assurance that these matters will not have an adverse impact on our results of operations and financial

condition.

#### Risks Related to Two Harbors' Organization and Structure

Certain provisions of Maryland law could inhibit changes in control.

Certain provisions of the MGCL, may have the effect of deterring a third party from making a proposal to acquire us or of impeding a change in control under circumstances that otherwise could provide the holders of shares of our common stock with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market price of such shares.

We are subject to the “business combination” provisions of the MGCL that, subject to limitations, prohibit certain business combinations (including a merger, consolidation, share exchange, or, in circumstances specified in the statute, an asset transfer or issuance or reclassification of equity securities) between Two Harbors and an “interested stockholder” (defined generally as any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of our then outstanding voting stock or an affiliate or associate of Two Harbors who, at any time within the two-year period immediately prior to the date in

25

---

Table of Contents

question, was the beneficial owner of 10% or more of the voting power of our then outstanding stock) or an affiliate thereof for five years after the most recent date on which the stockholder becomes an interested stockholder. After the five-year prohibition, any business combination between Two Harbors and an interested stockholder generally must be recommended by our board of directors and approved by the affirmative vote of at least (1) 80% of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of outstanding shares of our voting stock; and (2) two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of voting stock of the corporation other than shares held by the interested stockholder with whom or with whose affiliate the business combination is to be effected or held by an affiliate or associate of the interested stockholder. These super-majority vote requirements do not apply if our common stockholders receive a minimum price, as defined under Maryland law, for their shares in the form of cash or other consideration in the same form as previously paid by the interested stockholder for its shares. These provisions of the MGCL do not apply to business combinations that are approved or exempted by a board of directors prior to the time that the interested stockholder becomes an interested stockholder. Pursuant to the statute, our board of directors has by resolution exempted business combinations (1) between Two Harbors and any person, provided that such business combination is first approved by our board of directors (including a majority of Two Harbors' directors who are not affiliates or associates of such person) and (2) between Two Harbors and Pine River or its affiliates. Consequently, the five-year prohibition and the super-majority vote requirements will not apply to business combinations between Two Harbors and any person. As a result, any person, including Pine River, may be able to enter into business combinations with Two Harbors that may not be in the best interests of our stockholders, without compliance with the super-majority vote requirements and the other provisions of the statute.

The “control share” provisions of the MGCL provide that “control shares” of a Maryland corporation (defined as voting shares of stock which, if aggregated with all other shares of stock owned by the acquirer or in respect of which the acquirer is able to exercise or direct the exercise of voting power (except solely by virtue of a revocable proxy), would entitle the acquirer to exercise one of three increasing ranges of voting power in electing directors) acquired in a “control share acquisition” (defined as the direct or indirect acquisition of ownership or control of “control shares”) have no voting rights except to the extent approved by Two Harbors' stockholders by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding votes entitled to be cast by the acquirer of control shares, Two Harbors' officers and employees who are also our directors. Our bylaws contain a provision exempting from the control share acquisition statute any and all acquisitions by any person of shares of our stock. There can be no assurance that this provision will not be amended or eliminated at any time in the future.

The “unsolicited takeover” provisions of the MGCL (Title 3, Subtitle 8 of the MGCL) permit our board of directors, without stockholder approval and regardless of what is currently provided in our charter or bylaws, to implement takeover defenses, some of which (for example, a classified board) we do not currently have. These provisions may have the effect of inhibiting a third party from making an acquisition proposal for Two Harbors or of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of Two Harbors under circumstances that otherwise could provide the holders of shares of our common stock with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then current market price. Our charter contains a provision whereby Two Harbors has elected to be subject to the provisions of Title 3, Subtitle 8 of the MGCL relating to the filling of vacancies on its board of directors.

Our authorized but unissued shares of common and preferred stock and the ownership limitations contained in our charter may prevent a change in control.

Our charter authorizes Two Harbors to issue additional authorized but unissued shares of common or preferred stock. In addition, our board of directors may, with the approval of a majority of the entire board and without stockholder approval, amend our charter to increase or decrease the aggregate number of shares of our stock or the number of shares of stock of any class or series that Two Harbors has the authority to issue and classify or reclassify any unissued shares of common or preferred stock and set the terms of the classified or reclassified shares. As a result, our board may establish a series of shares of common or preferred stock that could delay or prevent a transaction or a change in control that might involve a premium price for shares of our common stock or otherwise be in the best interests of stockholders.

In addition, our charter contains restrictions limiting the ownership and transfer of shares of our common stock and other outstanding shares of capital stock. The relevant sections of our charter provide that, subject to certain exceptions, ownership of shares of our common stock by any person is limited to 9.8% by value or by number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of our outstanding shares of common stock (the common share ownership limit), and no more than 9.8% by value or number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of our outstanding capital stock (the aggregate share ownership limit). The common share ownership limit and the aggregate share ownership limit are collectively referred to herein as the “ownership limits.” These charter provisions will restrict the ability of persons to purchase shares in excess of the relevant ownership limits.

Our charter contains provisions that make removal of our directors difficult, which could make it difficult for stockholders to effect changes in management.

Our charter provides that, subject to the rights of any series of preferred stock, a director may be removed only by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast generally in the election of directors. Our charter

Table of Contents

and bylaws provide that vacancies generally may be filled only by a majority of the remaining directors in office, even if less than a quorum. These requirements make it more difficult to change management by removing and replacing directors and may prevent a change in control that is in the best interests of stockholders.

Our rights and stockholders' rights to take action against directors and officers are limited, which could limit recourse in the event of actions not in the best interests of stockholders.

As permitted by Maryland law, our charter eliminates the liability of its directors and officers to Two Harbors and its stockholders for money damages, except for liability resulting from:

- actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services; or
- a final judgment based upon a finding of active and deliberate dishonesty by the director or officer that was material to the cause of action adjudicated.

In addition, pursuant to our charter we have agreed contractually to indemnify our present and former directors and officers for actions taken by them in those capacities to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law. Further, our bylaws require us to indemnify each present or former director or officer, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law, who is made, or threatened to be made, a party to any proceeding because of his or her service to Two Harbors. As part of these indemnification obligations, we may be obligated to fund the defense costs incurred by our directors and officers.

**Tax Risks**

Our failure to qualify as a REIT would subject us to U.S. federal income tax and potentially increased state and local taxes, which would reduce the amount of our income available for distribution to its stockholders.

We operate in a manner that will enable us to qualify as a REIT and have elected to be taxed as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 2009. We have not requested and do not intend to request a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") that we qualify as a REIT. The U.S. federal income tax laws governing REITs and the asset they hold are complex, and judicial and administrative interpretations of the U.S. federal income tax laws governing REIT qualification are limited. To continue to qualify as a REIT, we must meet, on an ongoing basis, various tests regarding the nature of our assets and income, the ownership of our outstanding shares, and the amount of our distributions. Moreover, new legislation, court decisions, administrative guidance or actions by federal agencies or others to modify or re-characterize our assets, as a whole or in part, as other than real estate assets, in each case possibly with retroactive effect, may make it more difficult or impossible for us to qualify as a REIT. Thus, while we intend to operate so that we qualify as a REIT, given the highly complex nature of the rules governing REITs, the ongoing importance of factual determinations, and the possibility of future changes in our circumstances, no assurance can be given that we will so qualify for any particular year. These considerations also might restrict the types of assets that we can acquire in the future.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, and do not qualify for certain statutory relief provisions, we would be required to pay U.S. federal income tax on our taxable income, and distributions to our stockholders would not be deductible by us in determining our taxable income. In such a case, we might need to borrow money or sell assets in order to pay taxes. Our payment of income tax would decrease the amount of income available for distribution to stockholders. Furthermore, if we fail to maintain our qualification as a REIT, we no longer would be required to distribute substantially all of our net taxable income to stockholders. In addition, unless we were eligible for certain statutory relief provisions, we could not re-elect to be taxed as a REIT until the fifth calendar year following the year in which we failed to qualify.

Complying with REIT requirements may cause us to forego otherwise attractive investment opportunities or financing or hedging strategies.

In order to qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes, we must continually satisfy various tests on an annual and quarterly basis regarding the sources of our income, the nature and diversification of our assets, the amounts we distribute to stockholders and the ownership of our stock. To meet these tests, we may be required to forego investments we might otherwise make. We may be required to make distributions to stockholders at



disadvantageous times or when we do not have funds readily available for distribution, and may be unable to pursue investments that would be otherwise advantageous to us in order to satisfy the source of income or asset diversification requirements for qualifying as a REIT. Thus, compliance with the REIT requirements may hinder our investment performance.

Complying with REIT requirements may force us to liquidate otherwise profitable assets.

In order to continue to qualify as a REIT, we must ensure that at the end of each calendar quarter, at least 75% of the value of our assets consists of cash, cash items, government securities and designated real estate assets, including certain mortgage loans and shares in other REITs. Subject to certain exceptions, our ownership of securities, other than government securities and securities that constitute real estate assets, generally cannot include more than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of any one issuer or more than 10% of the total value of the outstanding securities of any one

Table of Contents

issuer. In addition, in general, no more than 5% of the value of our assets, other than government securities and securities that constitute real estate assets, can consist of the securities of any one issuer, and no more than 25% of the value of our total securities can be represented by securities of one or more TRS's. If we fail to comply with these requirements at the end of any calendar quarter after the first calendar quarter for which we qualified as a REIT, we must generally correct such failure within 30 days after the end of such calendar quarter to avoid losing our REIT qualification. As a result, we may be required to liquidate otherwise profitable assets prematurely, which could reduce our return on assets, which could adversely affect returns to stockholders.

Potential characterization of distributions or gain on sale may be treated as unrelated business taxable income to tax exempt investors.

If (i) all or a portion of our assets are subject to the rules relating to taxable mortgage pools, (ii) we are a "pension held REIT," (iii) a tax exempt stockholder has incurred debt to purchase or hold our common stock, or (iv) we purchase residual REMIC interests that generate "excess inclusion income," then a portion of the distributions to and, in the case of a stockholder described in clause (iii), gains realized on the sale of common stock by such tax exempt stockholder may be subject to U.S. federal income tax as unrelated business taxable income under the Code.

Complying with REIT requirements may limit our ability to hedge effectively.

The REIT provisions of the Code may limit our ability to hedge our assets and operations. Under these provisions, on an annual basis we must derive 75% of our gross income from real estate assets, and 95% of our income from real estate assets and certain other qualifying income sources, in order to maintain our REIT status. Any income that we generate from transactions intended to hedge our interest rate and currency risks will generally be excluded from gross income for purposes of the 75% and 95% gross income tests if the instrument hedges interest rate risk or foreign currency exposure on liabilities used to carry or acquire real estate or income or gain that would be qualifying income under the 75% or 95% gross income tests, and such instrument is properly identified under applicable Treasury regulations. In addition, any income from other hedges would generally constitute non-qualifying income for purposes of both the 75% and 95% gross income tests. As a result of these rules, we may have to limit our use of hedging techniques that might otherwise be advantageous, which could result in greater risks associated with interest rate or other changes than we would otherwise incur.

The failure of a loan which is subject to a repurchase agreement to qualify as a real estate asset would adversely affect our ability to qualify as a REIT.

We may enter into repurchase agreements under which we will nominally sell certain of our loan assets to a counterparty and simultaneously enter into an agreement to repurchase the sold assets. We believe that we will be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as the owner of the real estate backed assets that are the subject of any such agreement notwithstanding that such agreements may transfer record ownership of the assets to the counterparty during the term of the agreement. It is possible, however, that the IRS could assert that we did not own the real estate backed assets during the term of the repurchase agreement, in which case we could fail to qualify as a REIT.

REIT distribution requirements could adversely affect our ability to execute our business plan and may require us to incur debt, sell assets or take other actions to make such distributions.

In order to continue to qualify as a REIT, we must distribute to stockholders, each calendar year, at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (including certain items of non-cash income), determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding net capital gain. To the extent that we satisfy the 90% distribution requirement, but distribute less than 100% of our taxable income, we will be subject to U.S. federal corporate income tax on our undistributed income. In addition, we will incur a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which our distributions in any calendar year are less than a minimum amount specified under U.S. federal income tax law.

We intend to distribute our net income to stockholders in a manner intended to satisfy the 90% distribution requirement and to avoid both corporate income tax and the 4% nondeductible excise tax. Our taxable income may substantially exceed our net income as determined by GAAP or differences in timing between the recognition of taxable income and the actual receipt of cash may occur in which case we may have taxable income in excess of cash flow from our operating activities. In such event, we may generate less cash flow than taxable income in a particular

year and find it difficult or impossible to meet the REIT distribution requirements in certain circumstances. In such circumstances, in order to satisfy the distribution requirement and to avoid U.S. federal corporate income tax and the 4% nondeductible excise tax in that year, we may be required to: (i) sell assets in adverse market conditions, (ii) borrow on unfavorable terms, (iii) distribute amounts that would otherwise be invested in future acquisitions, capital expenditures or repayment of debt or (iv) make a taxable distribution of our shares as part of a distribution in which stockholders may elect to receive shares or (subject to a limit measured as a percentage of the total distribution) cash, in order to comply with the REIT distribution requirements. Thus, compliance with the REIT distribution requirements may require us to take actions that may not otherwise be advisable given existing market conditions and hinder our ability to grow, which could adversely affect the value of our common stock or warrants.

Table of Contents

Even though we have elected to be taxed as a REIT, we may be required to pay certain taxes.

Even though we have elected to be taxed as a REIT, we may be subject to certain U.S. federal, state and local taxes on our income and assets, including taxes on any undistributed income, prohibited transactions, tax on income from some activities conducted as a result of a foreclosure, and state or local income, franchise, property and transfer taxes, including mortgage recording taxes. In addition, we will hold some of our assets through taxable subsidiary corporations, including Capitol and other TRS's. Capitol and any other TRS's or other taxable corporations in which we own an interest will be subject to U.S. federal, state and local corporate taxes. Payment of these taxes generally would reduce our cash flow and the amount available to distribute to stockholders.

Our ability to invest in and dispose of TBA securities could be limited by our REIT qualification, and we could fail to qualify as a REIT as a result of these investments.

We may purchase Agency RMBS through TBAs, or dollar roll transactions. In certain instances, rather than take delivery of the Agency RMBS subject to a TBA, we may dispose of the TBA through a dollar roll transaction in which we agree to purchase similar securities in the future at a predetermined price or otherwise, which may result in the recognition of income or gains. We will account for dollar roll transactions as purchases and sales. The law is unclear regarding whether TBAs will be qualifying assets for the 75% asset test and whether income and gains from dispositions of TBAs will be qualifying income for the 75% gross income test.

Unless we are advised by counsel that TBAs should be treated as qualifying assets for purposes of the 75% asset test, we will limit our REIT investment in TBAs and any other non-qualifying assets to no more than 25% of our total assets at the end of any calendar quarter. Furthermore, until we are advised by counsel that income and gains from the disposition of TBAs should be treated as qualifying income for purposes of the 75% gross income test, we will limit our REIT gains from dispositions of TBAs and any other non-qualifying income to no more than 25% of our total gross income for each calendar year. Accordingly, our ability within the REIT to purchase Agency RMBS through TBAs and to dispose of TBAs, through dollar roll transactions or otherwise, could be limited.

Moreover, even if we are advised by counsel that TBAs should be treated as qualifying assets or that income and gains from dispositions of TBAs should be treated as qualifying income, it is possible that the IRS could successfully take the position that such assets are not qualifying assets and such income is not qualifying income. In that event, we could be subject to a penalty tax or could fail to qualify as a REIT if (i) the value of our TBAs, together with our non-qualifying assets for the 75% asset test, exceeded 25% of our gross assets at the end of any calendar quarter, or (ii) our income and gains from the disposition of TBAs, together with our non-qualifying income for the 75% gross income test, exceeded 25% of our gross income for any taxable year.

Although our use of TRSs may be able to partially mitigate the impact of meeting the requirements for qualification as a REIT, our ownership of and relationship with our TRSs is limited and a failure to comply with the limits would jeopardize our REIT qualification and may result in the application of a 100% excise tax.

A REIT may own up to 100% of the stock of one or more TRSs. Other than certain activities relating to lodging and healthcare facilities, a TRS generally may engage in any business and may hold assets and earn income that would not be qualifying assets or income if held or earned directly by a REIT. Overall, no more than 25% of the value of a REIT's assets may consist of stock or securities of one or more TRSs. In addition, the TRS rules limit the deductibility of interest paid or accrued by a TRS to its parent REIT to assure that the TRS is subject to an appropriate level of corporate taxation. The rules also impose a 100% excise tax on certain transactions between a TRS and its parent REIT that are not conducted on an arm's-length basis.

Our TRSs will pay U.S. federal, state and local income tax on their taxable income, and their after-tax net income will be available for distribution to Two Harbors but are not required to be distributed to Two Harbors. We anticipate that the aggregate value of the securities of our TRSs will be less than 25% of the value of our total assets (including our TRS securities). Furthermore, we intend to monitor the value of our respective investments in our TRSs for the purpose of ensuring compliance with TRS ownership limitations. In addition, we will review all of our transactions with TRSs to ensure that they are entered into on arm's-length terms to avoid incurring the 100% excise tax described above. There can be no assurance, however, that we will be able to comply with the 25% limitation or to avoid

application of the 100% excise tax discussed above.

We may be required to report taxable income with respect to certain of our investments in excess of the economic income we ultimately realize from them.

We may acquire interests in debt instruments in the secondary market for less than their face amount. The discount at which such interests in debt instruments are acquired may reflect doubts about their ultimate collectability rather than current market interest rates. The amount of such discount may nevertheless be treated as “market discount” for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Market discount on a debt instrument may accrue based on the assumption that all future payments on the debt instrument will be made. Accrued market discount is reported as income when, and to the extent that, any payment of principal of the debt instrument is made. In the case of residential mortgage loans, principal

Table of Contents

payments are ordinarily made monthly, and consequently, accrued market discount may have to be included in income each month as if the debt instrument were assured of ultimately being collected in full. If we collect less on a debt instrument than its purchase price plus the market discount we had previously reported as income, we may not be able to benefit from any offsetting loss deduction in a subsequent taxable year.

Similarly, some of the mortgage-backed securities that we purchase will likely have been issued with original issue discount, or OID. We may be required to report such OID based on a constant yield method and income would accrue over the period we own the underlying security. This may lead to an accrual of OID income in excess of the amount that is collected. An offsetting loss deduction will become available only in the later year in which uncollectability is provable or ultimate disposition; and may be subject to limitation.

Finally, in the event that any debt instruments or mortgage-backed securities acquired by us are delinquent as to mandatory principal and interest payments, or in the event a borrower with respect to a particular debt instrument acquired by us encounters financial difficulty rendering it unable to pay stated interest as due, we may nonetheless be required to continue to recognize the unpaid interest as taxable income as it accrues, despite doubt as to its ultimate collectability. Similarly, we may be required to accrue interest income with respect to subordinate mortgage-backed securities at their stated rate regardless of whether corresponding cash payments are received or are ultimately collectible. In each case, while we would in general ultimately have an offsetting loss deduction available to us when such interest was determined to be uncollectable; the utility of that deduction would depend on our having taxable income in that later year or thereafter subject to carryforward limitations.

Dividends payable by REITs generally do not qualify for the reduced tax rates on dividend income from regular corporations, which could adversely affect the value of our shares or warrants.

Legislation enacted in 2003 generally reduces the maximum U.S. federal income tax rate for dividends payable to domestic stockholders that are individuals, trusts and estates to 15% through 2012 (under current law). Dividends payable by REITs, however, are generally not eligible for the reduced rates. Although the reduced U.S. federal income tax rate applicable to dividend income from regular corporate dividends does not adversely affect the taxation of REITs or dividends paid by REITs, the more favorable rates applicable to regular corporate dividends could cause investors who are individuals, trusts and estates to perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stocks of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could adversely affect the value of the shares of REITs, including Two Harbors' shares. Also, to the extent that tax rates change after 2012, the attractiveness of an investment in our shares may decrease, which could adversely affect the value of our securities.

We may be subject to adverse legislative or regulatory tax changes that could reduce the market price of our shares or warrants.

At any time, the U.S. federal income tax laws or regulations governing REITs or the administrative interpretations of those laws or regulations may be changed, possibly with retroactive effect. We cannot predict if or when any new U.S. federal income tax law, regulation or administrative interpretation, or any amendment to any existing U.S. federal income tax law, regulation or administrative interpretation, will be adopted, promulgated or become effective or whether any such law, regulation or interpretation may take effect retroactively. We and our stockholders or warrant holders could be adversely affected by any such change in, or any new, U.S. federal income tax law, regulation or administrative interpretation.

REIT limitations may affect our ability to dispose of our residential real property portfolio.

The provisions of the Internal Revenue Code relating to REITs may limit our ability to sell properties at a profit without incurring unfavorable tax consequences. Generally, sales of property within two years of acquisition, and sale of multiple properties within one year, may result in the gains from such sales being subject to 100% taxation.

**Risks Related to Our Securities**

Future issuances and sales of shares of our common stock may depress the market price of our common stock or warrants or have adverse consequences for our stockholders or warrant holders.

Our charter provides that we may issue up to 450,000,000 shares of common stock. As of December 31, 2011, 140,596,708 shares of common stock were issued and outstanding and 33,249,000 warrants to purchase up to 33,249,000 shares of common stock were issued and outstanding. Our 2009 equity incentive plan provides for grants of restricted common stock and other equity-based awards, subject to a ceiling of 200,000 shares available for issuance under the plan. As of December 31, 2011, we have granted an aggregate of 78,372 shares of restricted common stock to our independent directors pursuant to the 2009 equity incentive plan, of which 26,925 shares have vested and 51,447 shares remain subject to vesting restrictions.

Table of Contents

We cannot predict the effect, if any, of future issuances or sales of our common stock on the market price of our common stock or warrants. Sales of substantial amounts of common stock or the perception that such sales could occur may adversely affect the prevailing market price for our common stock or warrants.

Also, we may issue additional shares in subsequent public offerings or private placements to acquire new assets or for other purposes. We are not required to offer any such shares to existing stockholders on a preemptive basis. Therefore, it may not be possible for existing stockholders to participate in such future share issuances, which may dilute the existing stockholders' interests.

We have not established a minimum distribution payment level and we cannot assure you of our ability to pay distributions in the future.

We intend to continue to pay quarterly distributions and to make distributions to our stockholders in an amount such that we distribute all or substantially all of our REIT taxable income in each year, subject to certain adjustments. We have not established a minimum distribution payment level and our ability to pay distributions may be adversely affected by a number of factors, including the risk factors described herein. All distributions will be made, subject to Maryland law, at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our earnings, our financial condition, any debt covenants, maintenance of our REIT qualification and other factors as our board of directors may deem relevant from time to time. We cannot assure you that we will achieve results that will allow us to make a specified level of cash distributions.

Our warrants may be exercised in the future, which would increase the number of shares of our common stock eligible for future resale in the public market.

Outstanding redeemable warrants to purchase an aggregate of 33,249,000 shares of our common stock (issued in connection with the conversion, pursuant to the merger, of the Capitol warrants issued in Capitol's initial public offering) and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 7,000,000 shares of common stock (issued in connection with the conversion, pursuant to the merger, of the warrants sold to Capitol's officers, directors and stockholders prior to Capitol's initial public offering simultaneously with the consummation of such initial public offering) are currently exercisable at an exercise price of \$11.00 per share. The warrant exercise price may be lowered under certain circumstances, including, among others, in our sole discretion at any time prior to the expiration date of the warrants for a period of not less than ten business days; provided, however, that any such reduction shall be identical in percentage terms among all of the warrants. These warrants likely will be exercised if the market price of the shares of our common stock equals or exceeds the warrant exercise price. Therefore, as long as warrants remain outstanding, there will be a drag on any increase in the price of our common stock in excess of the warrant exercise price. To the extent such warrants are exercised, additional shares of our common stock will be issued, which would dilute the ownership of existing stockholders. Further, if these warrants are exercised at any time in the future at a price lower than the book value per share of our common stock, existing stockholders could suffer substantial dilution of their investment, which dilution could increase in the event the warrant exercise price is lowered. Additionally, if we were to lower the exercise price in the near future, the likelihood of this dilution could be accelerated.

The market price of our securities could fluctuate and could cause you to lose a significant part of your investment. The market price of our securities may be influenced by many factors, some of which are beyond our control, including those described above and the following:

- changes in financial estimates by analysts;
- fluctuations in our quarterly financial results or the quarterly financial results of companies perceived to be similar to us;
- general economic conditions;
- changes in market valuations of similar companies;
- regulatory developments in the United States; and
- additions or departures of key personnel at Pine River.



Resulting fluctuations in the market price of our securities could cause you to lose a significant part of your investment.

Our inability to use Form S-3 until at least early October 2012 will impact our ability to access the capital markets quickly during that time period.

Under applicable rules, an issuer is not eligible to use a Form S-3 registration statement to offer and sell securities in a public offering unless it has timely filed all periodic and other reports required to be filed under the Exchange Act during the twelve months and any portion of a calendar month immediately preceding (a) the filing of a Form S-3 registration statement and (b) after the initial filing of the Form S-3 registration statement, the date that the issuer files its annual report on Form 10-K. In early October 2011, we filed a Form 8-K to report the appointment of our new Chief Financial Officer after the mid-September 2011 deadline for that filing. As a result of this late Form 8-K filing, we will not be eligible to use our currently effective shelf registration statement on Form S-3 (or file a new Form S-3 registration statement) to conduct

Table of Contents

public offerings from the date that we file this Form 10-K, until at least early October 2012. Our ineligibility to use Form S-3 during this time period will impact our ability to access capital markets quickly as we will need to file a registration statement on Form S-11 to register any proposed public offering and then wait for the SEC to declare any such Form S-11 registration statement effective before we may price any such proposed public offering.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Our executive and administrative office is located at 601 Carlson Parkway, Suite 150, Minnetonka, Minnesota 55305, telephone (612) 629-2500. We lease 4,836 square feet of office space pursuant to a lease that expires in May 2016. We also utilize an office at 590 Madison Avenue, Suite 3703, New York, NY 10021, which primarily supports our investment operations. We share the New York office space with Pine River in accordance with the shared facilities and services agreement between PRCM Advisers and Pine River.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

As of the date of this filing, we are not party to any litigation or legal proceedings, or to the best of our knowledge, any threatened litigation or legal proceedings, which, in our opinion, individually or in the aggregate, would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

32

---

Table of Contents

## PART II

## Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

## Market Information

Our common stock is listed on the NYSE and our warrants are listed on the NYSE Amex under the symbols "TWO" and "TWO.WS," respectively. As of the date of this filing, 214,205,349 shares of common stock and 33,249,000 warrants were issued and outstanding.

The following table shows the high and low sales prices for our common stock and warrants as reported on the NYSE and the NYSE Amex during the calendar years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

Quarter Ended	Common Stock		Warrants	
	High	Low	High	Low
2011				
December 31	\$9.78	\$7.72	\$0.24	\$0.07
September 30	\$11.06	\$8.68	\$0.45	\$0.18
June 30	\$10.89	\$9.92	\$0.44	\$0.30
March 31	\$11.51	\$9.60	\$0.54	\$0.20
2010				
December 31	\$10.35	\$8.85	\$0.28	\$0.10
September 30	\$9.41	\$8.13	\$0.39	\$0.10
June 30	\$9.32	\$8.00	\$0.30	\$0.15
March 31	\$10.23	\$8.71	\$0.50	\$0.25

## Holders

As of February 21, 2012, we had 78 registered holders and approximately 53,624 beneficial owners of our common stock, and we had 13 registered holders and approximately 330 beneficial owners of our warrants. Such information was obtained through our registrar and transfer agent, based on the results of a broker search.

## Dividends

On December 14, 2011, we declared dividends to common stockholders totaling \$56.2 million, or \$0.40 per share. The following table presents cash dividends declared on our common stock from October 28, 2009 through December 31, 2011:

Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Cash Dividend Per Share
December 14, 2011	December 27, 2011	January 20, 2012	\$0.40
September 14, 2011	September 26, 2011	October 20, 2011	\$0.40
June 14, 2011	June 24, 2011	July 20, 2011	\$0.40
March 2, 2011	March 14, 2011	April 14, 2011	\$0.40
December 8, 2010	December 17, 2010	January 20, 2011	\$0.40
September 13, 2010	September 30, 2010	October 21, 2010	\$0.39
June 14, 2010	June 30, 2010	July 22, 2010	\$0.33
March 12, 2010	March 31, 2010	April 23, 2010	\$0.36
December 21, 2009	December 31, 2009	January 26, 2010	\$0.26

All dividend distributions are made with the authorization of the board of directors at its discretion and will depend on such items as our REIT taxable earnings, financial condition, maintenance of REIT status, and other factors that the board of directors may deem relevant from time to time. The holders of our common stock share proportionally on a

per share basis in all declared dividends on our common stock. We intend to pay quarterly dividends and intend to distribute to our stockholders as dividends at least 90% of our REIT taxable income.

Table of Contents

We have not established a minimum distribution level for our common stock. See Item 1A, “Risk Factors,” and Item 7, “Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations,” of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, for information regarding the sources of funds used for dividends and for a discussion of factors, if any, which may adversely affect our ability to pay dividends at the same level in 2012 and thereafter.

Our stock transfer agent and registrar is Computershare. Requests for information from Computershare can be sent to Computershare, P.O. Box 3580160, Pittsburgh, PA 15252-8010 and their telephone number is 1-800-851-9677.

#### Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

We adopted our 2009 equity incentive plan to provide incentive compensation to attract and retain qualified directors, officers, advisers, consultants and other personnel, including PRCM Advisers and affiliates and personnel of PRCM Advisers and its affiliates, and any joint venture affiliates of ours. The 2009 equity incentive plan is administered by the compensation committee of our board of directors. The 2009 equity incentive plan permits the granting of restricted shares of common stock, phantom shares, dividend equivalent rights and other equity-based awards. For a detailed description of the 2009 equity incentive plan, see Note 14 - Equity Incentive Plan of the consolidated financial statements included under Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The following table presents certain information about our equity compensation plan as of December 31, 2011:

Plan Category	December 31, 2011		
	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in the first column of this table)
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders	—	\$ —	121,628
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders	—	—	—
Total	—	\$ —	121,628

#### Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

On October 5, 2011, our Board of Directors authorized a Share Repurchase Program, which allows us to repurchase up to 10,000,000 shares of our common stock. The shares are expected to be repurchased from time to time through privately negotiated transactions or open market transactions, including pursuant to a trading plan in accordance with Rules 10b5-1 and 10b-18 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or by any combination of such methods. The manner, price, number and timing of share repurchases will be subject a variety of factors, including market conditions and applicable U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission rules. We did not repurchase any of our shares during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

Performance Graph

The following graph compares the stockholder's cumulative total return, assuming \$100 invested at October 28, 2009 (the date of our merger), with all reinvestment of dividends, as if such amounts had been invested in: (i) our common stock; (ii) the stocks included in the Standard and Poor's 500 Stock Index ("S&P 500"); (iii) the stocks included in the NAREIT Mortgage REIT Index; and (iv) the stocks included in the Pine River Mortgage REIT Index. Also included for comparison is the Two Harbors REIT peer group, a peer group selected and used in the prior year's Form 10-K, comprised of American Capital Agency Corp., Annaly Capital Management, Inc., Anworth Mortgage Asset Corporation, Capstead Mortgage Corporation, Chimera Investment Corporation, Cypress Sharpridge Investments, Inc., Hatteras Financial Corp., Invesco Mortgage Capital Inc., MFA Financial, Inc., PennyMac Mortgage Investment Trust, and Redwood Trust, Inc. In future filings, we will discontinue the use of the peer group and use the Pine River Mortgage REIT Index, as it is a published and recognized index that is representative of our peers and updated on a continuous basis.

COMPARISON OF CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN

Among Two Harbors Investment Corp., S&P 500, NAREIT Mortgage REIT Index, Pine River Mortgage REIT Index, and Two Harbors REIT Peer Group

Table of Contents

## Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Our selected financial data set forth below should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes included under Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Certain amounts for prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the 2011 presentation.

(in thousands, except share data)	At or for the Years Ended December 31,				At or for the Period from June 26, 2007 (inception) through December 31, 2007 <sup>(1)</sup>
Income Statement Data:	2011	2010	2009 <sup>(1)</sup>	2008 <sup>(1)</sup>	
Interest income:					
Available-for-sale securities	\$197,126	\$39,844	\$2,796	\$—	\$—
Trading securities	4,159	170	—	—	—
Mortgage loans held-for-sale	2				
Cash and cash equivalents	347	107	70	4,443	1,474
Total interest income	201,634	40,121	2,866	4,443	1,474
Interest expense	22,709	4,421	131	—	—
Net interest income	178,925	35,700	2,735	4,443	1,474
Other-than-temporary impairments:					
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses	(5,102 )	—	—	—	—
Non-credit portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income (loss)	—	—	—	—	—
Net other-than-temporary credit impairment losses	(5,102 )	—	—	—	—
Other income:					
Gain on investment securities, net	36,520	6,127	336	—	—
(Loss) gain on interest rate swap agreements	(86,769 )	(6,344 )	364	—	—
Gain on other derivative instruments	26,755	7,156	—	—	—
Total other income	(23,494 )	6,939	700	—	—
Expenses:					
Management fees	14,241	2,989	326	—	—
Other operating expenses	9,762	4,578	12,171	1,060	141
Total expenses	24,003	7,567	12,497	1,060	141
Income (loss) before income taxes	126,326	35,072	(9,062 )	3,383	1,333
Benefit from (provision for) income taxes	1,106	683	318	(1,088 )	(618 )
Net income (loss)	127,432	35,755	(8,744 )	2,295	715
Accretion of Trust Account income relating to common stock subject to possible conversion	—	—	(93 )	(236 )	—
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$127,432	\$35,755	\$(8,837 )	\$2,059	\$715
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per weighted average common share	\$1.29	\$1.60	\$(0.39 )	\$0.08	\$0.06
Dividends declared per common share	\$1.60	\$1.48	\$0.26	\$—	\$—
Basic and diluted weighted average number of shares of common stock	98,826,868	22,381,683	22,941,728	24,936,558	11,602,789
Balance Sheet Data:					

Edgar Filing: Two Harbors Investment Corp. - Form 10-K

Available-for-sale securities	\$6,249,252	\$1,354,405	\$494,465	\$—	\$—
Total assets	\$8,100,384	\$1,797,432	\$538,366	\$262,095	\$260,304
Repurchase agreements	\$6,660,148	\$1,169,803	\$411,893	\$—	\$—
Total stockholders' equity	\$1,270,086	\$382,448	\$121,721	\$184,162	\$182,103

Capitol, the accounting acquirer in the merger completed on October 28, 2009, was formed on June 26, 2007 as a (1) development stage company. As such, our results of operations are presented for only the periods set forth above.

Comparability of the financial data is affected by the merger with Capitol.



Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

General

We are a Maryland corporation focused on investing in, financing and managing residential mortgage-backed securities, or RMBS, residential mortgage loans, residential real properties and other financial assets. We operate as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, as defined under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code. We are externally managed by PRCM Advisers LLC. PRCM Advisers is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Pine River Capital Management L.P., which we refer to as Pine River, a global multi-strategy asset management firm with an established track record of investing in our target assets and fixed income securities.

In 2011, we began setting up a securitization issuance program by partnering with Barclays to close on a \$100 million mortgage loan warehouse facility, subject to future increase. The Barclays facility will be used to aggregate prime nonconforming residential mortgage loans that we will acquire from select mortgage loan originators and secondary market institutions with whom we have chosen to build strategic relationships, including those with a nationwide presence. We are targeting a \$250 million deal size for our initial securitization, with Barclays Capital acting as underwriter. As of December 31, 2011, we had purchased \$5.8 million in mortgage loan assets, but have not established the securitization program as a distinct operational business segment. As we anticipated, our initiatives in 2011 focused on establishing our underwriting guidelines and originator relationships, addressing regulatory requirements and building an infrastructure to support a sustainable program.

In the first quarter of 2012, as part of the on-going diversification of our business model, we began acquiring residential real properties in certain metropolitan areas across the United States. We intend to hold the properties for investment and rent them for income.

Our objective is to provide attractive risk-adjusted returns to our stockholders over the long term, primarily through dividends and secondarily through capital appreciation. We selectively acquire and manage an investment portfolio of our target assets, which we believe is constructed to generate attractive returns through market cycles. Our target assets include the following:

Agency RMBS, meaning RMBS whose principal and interest payments are guaranteed by the Government National Mortgage Association (or Ginnie Mae), the Federal National Mortgage Association (or Fannie Mae), or the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (or Freddie Mac);

Non-Agency RMBS, meaning RMBS that are not issued or guaranteed by Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac;

Residential mortgage loans;

Residential real properties; and

Other financial assets comprising approximately 5% to 10% of the portfolio.

We believe our hybrid Agency and non-Agency RMBS investment model allows management to focus on security selection and implement a relative value investment approach across various sectors within the residential mortgage market, which factors in the displaced pricing opportunities in the marketplace, cost of financing and cost of hedging interest rate, prepayment, credit and other portfolio risks. As a result, RMBS asset allocation reflects management's opportunistic approach to investing in the marketplace.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, we experienced two contrasting shifts in asset allocation. Subsequent to our common stock offering completed in March 2011, the Agency sector presented compelling opportunities. Specifically, we believed 15-year Agency lower loan balance and discount pools presented attractive risk-adjusted returns. As a result, we shifted our asset allocation toward Agency RMBS from 75.6% at December 31, 2010 to 82.3% at March 31, 2011 and continued to acquire Agency RMBS, which we believed presented low and stable prepayment profiles, into the second quarter of 2011. Contrasting this Agency RMBS allocation focus in June 2011,

we perceived a significant technical supply-side shift in the non-Agency RMBS market, which we believed presented appealing risk-adjusted returns. As a result, our asset allocation focus shifted back toward non-Agency RMBS as we continued to deploy capital raised in the May and July 2011 common stock offerings.

Table of Contents

The following table provides the RMBS asset allocation between Agency and non-Agency RMBS as of December 31, 2011 and the four immediately preceding period ends:

	As of					
	December 31, 2011	September 30, 2011	June 30, 2011	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	
Agency RMBS <sup>(1)</sup>	81.3	% 80.9	% 83.7	% 82.3	% 75.6	%
Non-Agency RMBS	18.7	% 19.1	% 16.3	% 17.7	% 24.4	%

(1) Agency RMBS includes inverse interest-only securities which are classified as derivatives for purposes of U.S. GAAP.

As our RMBS asset allocation shifts, our annualized yields and cost of financing shifts. As previously discussed, our investment decisions are not driven solely by annualized yields, but rather a multitude of macroeconomic drivers, including market environments and their respective impacts; for example, uncertainty of faster prepayments, extension risk and credit events.

The following table provides the average annualized yield on our Agency and non-Agency RMBS for the three months ended December 31, 2011, and the four immediately preceding quarters:

	Three Months Ended					
	December 31, 2011	September 30, 2011	June 30, 2011	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	
Average annualized yields <sup>(1)</sup>						
Agency RMBS <sup>(2)</sup>	3.5	% 4.3	% 4.7	% 3.9	% 3.8	%
Non-Agency RMBS	9.7	% 9.8	% 8.8	% 9.7	% 11.4	%
Aggregate RMBS	4.8	% 5.5	% 5.4	% 5.2	% 5.8	%
Cost of financing <sup>(3)</sup>	1.0	% 1.3	% 1.3	% 1.4	% 1.2	%
Net interest spread	3.8	% 4.2	% 4.1	% 3.8	% 4.6	%

(1) Average annualized yield incorporates future prepayment, credit loss and other assumptions, all of which are estimates and subject to change.

(2) Agency RMBS includes inverse interest-only securities which are classified as derivatives under U.S. GAAP.

(3) Cost of financing includes swap interest rate spread.

The following table provides the average annualized yield on our Agency and non-Agency RMBS as of December 31, 2011, and the four immediately preceding period ends:

	As of					
	December 31, 2011	September 30, 2011	June 30, 2011	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	
Average annualized yields <sup>(1)</sup>						
Agency RMBS <sup>(2)</sup>	3.3	% 3.4	% 3.9	% 3.9	% 3.6	%
Non-Agency RMBS	9.7	% 9.6	% 9.2	% 9.7	% 10.7	%
Aggregate RMBS	4.7	% 4.7	% 4.8	% 5.2	% 5.2	%
Cost of financing <sup>(3)</sup>	1.0	% 1.3	% 1.3	% 1.4	% 1.2	%
Net interest spread	3.7	% 3.4	% 3.5	% 3.8	% 4.0	%

(1) Average annualized yield incorporates future prepayment, credit loss and other assumptions, all of which are estimates and subject to change.

(2)

Agency RMBS includes inverse interest-only securities which are classified as derivatives for purposes of U.S. GAAP.

(3) Cost of financing includes swap interest rate spread.

We seek to deploy moderate leverage as part of our investment strategy. We generally finance our RMBS assets through short-term borrowings structured as repurchase agreements. Our Agency RMBS and Agency derivatives, given their liquidity and high credit quality, are eligible for higher levels of leverage, while non-Agency RMBS, with less

Table of Contents

liquidity and exposure to credit risk, utilize lower levels of leverage. We also finance our U.S. Treasuries, which we hold for trading purposes, and our mortgage loans. We believe the debt-to-equity ratio funding our RMBS, Agency derivatives and residential mortgage loans is the most meaningful leverage measure as U.S. Treasuries are viewed to be highly liquid in nature. As a result, our debt-to-equity ratio is determined by our RMBS portfolio mix as well as many additional factors, including the liquidity of our portfolio, the sustainability and price of our financing, diversification of our counterparties and their available capacity to finance our RMBS assets, and anticipated regulatory developments. Over the past several quarterly periods, we have generally maintained a debt-to-equity ratio range of 3.0 to 5.0 times to finance our RMBS, Agency derivatives and mortgage loans, on a fully deployed capital basis. The range has been driven by our relatively stable asset allocation between Agency and non-Agency RMBS, as disclosed above. See the section titled "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations -- Financial Condition -- Repurchase Agreements" section for further discussion.

We compete with other investment vehicles for attractive investment opportunities. We rely on our management team and Pine River, who have developed strong relationships with a diverse group of financial intermediaries, to identify investment opportunities. In addition, we have benefited and expect to continue to benefit from Pine River's analytical and portfolio management expertise and infrastructure. We believe that our significant focus on the RMBS area, the extensive RMBS expertise of our investment team, our strong analytics and our disciplined relative value investment approach give us a competitive advantage versus our peers.

We have elected to be treated as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes. To qualify as a REIT we are required to meet certain investment and operating tests and annual distribution requirements. We generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income taxes on our taxable income to the extent that we annually distribute all of our net taxable income to stockholders, do not participate in prohibited transactions and maintain our intended qualification as a REIT.

However, certain activities that we may perform may cause us to earn income which will not be qualifying income for REIT purposes. We have designated certain of our subsidiaries as taxable REIT subsidiaries, or TRSs, as defined in the Code, to engage in such activities, and we may form additional TRSs in the future. We also operate our business in a manner that will permit us to maintain our exemption from registration under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, or the 1940 Act.

Overview

Our 2011 efforts were focused on three strategic objectives that we believe will position us for long term success. Managing a portfolio of RMBS to generate attractive returns with balanced risks. Our portfolio approach manages to a low level of interest rate exposure and focuses on positive prepayment upside. We operate a hybrid REIT model, diversifying our portfolio across Agency and non-Agency RMBS in combination with derivative hedging instruments. We believe this balanced risk within our portfolio is critical to providing an attractive return to our stockholders and our ability to adjust our allocations and deploy capital across sectors allow us to optimize portfolio results over time. Growing our stockholder base and market capitalization to enhance stockholder liquidity and reduce operating expense ratios. During 2011, we transitioned our common stock to the NYSE and completed three common stock offerings, increasing our market capitalization to approximately \$1.3 billion as of December 31, 2011. Proceeds from these offerings were deployed efficiently due to opportunities in the market, focusing on assets with attractive risk-adjusted returns. By doing so, we achieved a corresponding increase in daily trading volume, further diversity in stockholder base, and a lower expense ratio as a percentage of equity.

- Diversify our business into residential mortgage loans and other real estate assets. In 2011, we took the first step in diversifying our business, leveraging our strength in credit analysis, and announced our plan to establish a nonconforming mortgage loan securitization program. As of December 31, 2011, we had established the infrastructure for this program and purchased our first loans. Subsequent to year end, we announced a further diversification of our business model into acquisitions of residential real properties. We intend to hold the properties for investment and rent them for income. We are taking a measured approach as we diversify, keeping true to our strategic long-term plans and our core strengths.

Factors Affecting our Operating Results

Our net interest income includes income from our RMBS portfolio and will reflect the amortization of purchase premiums and accretion of purchase discounts. Net interest income will fluctuate primarily as a result of changes in market interest rates, our financing costs, and prepayment speeds on our assets. Interest rates, financing costs and prepayment rates vary according to the type of investment, conditions in the financial markets, competition and other factors, none of which can be predicted with any certainty. Our operating results will also be affected by default rates and credit losses with respect to the mortgage loans underlying our non-Agency RMBS.

Table of Contents

Fair Value Measurement

ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between willing market participants at the measurement date. It also establishes three levels of input to be used when measuring fair value:

- Level 1 Inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the measurement date. Additionally, the entity must have the ability to access the active market and the quoted prices cannot be adjusted by the entity.
- Level 2 Inputs include quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in inactive markets for identical or similar assets or liabilities; or inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means for substantially the full-term of the assets or liabilities. Unobservable inputs are supported by little or no market activity. The unobservable inputs represent
- Level 3 management's best assumptions of how market participants would price the assets and liabilities. Generally, Level 3 assets and liabilities are valued using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques that require significant judgment or estimation.

We follow the fair value hierarchy set forth above in order to prioritize the data utilized to measure fair value. We strive to obtain quoted market prices in active markets (Level 1 inputs). If Level 1 inputs are not available, we will attempt to obtain Level 2 inputs, observable market prices in inactive markets or derive the fair value measurement using observable market prices for similar assets or liabilities. When neither Level 1 nor Level 2 inputs are available, we use Level 3 inputs and independent pricing service models to estimate fair value measurements. At December 31, 2011, approximately 92.6% of total assets, or \$7.5 billion, and approximately 0.7% of total liabilities, or \$49.1 million, consisted of financial instruments recorded at fair value. As of December 31, 2011, we had \$16.9 million, or less than one percent, of total assets reported at fair value using Level 3 inputs. See Note 10 - Fair Value to the Consolidated Financial Statements, included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, for descriptions of valuation methodologies used to measure material assets and liabilities at fair value and details of the valuation models, key inputs to those models and significant assumptions utilized.

A significant portion of our assets and liabilities are at fair value and, therefore, our consolidated balance sheet and income statement are significantly affected by fluctuations in market prices. Although we execute various hedging strategies to mitigate our exposure to changes in fair value, we cannot fully eliminate our exposure to volatility caused by fluctuations in market prices. Starting in 2007, markets for asset-backed securities, including RMBS, have experienced severe dislocations. While these market disruptions continue, our assets and liabilities will be subject to valuation adjustment as well as changes in the inputs we use to measure fair value.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, our unrealized fair value losses on interest rate swap and swaption agreements, which are accounted for as derivative trading instruments under GAAP, negatively affected our financial results while, for the year ended December 31, 2010, our unrealized fair value gains on interest rate swap and swaption agreements, positively affected our financial results. The change in fair value of the interest rate swaps was a result of changes to LIBOR, the swap curve, and corresponding counterparty borrowing rates during the year ended December 31, 2011. Our financial results for the year ended December 31, 2011 were positively affected by unrealized fair value gains on certain U.S. Treasuries classified as trading instruments due to their short-term investment objectives. In addition, our financial results for the year ended December 31, 2011 were negatively affected by certain other derivative instruments that were accounted for as trading derivative instruments, i.e., credit default swaps, TBAs and inverse interest-only securities. Any temporary change in the fair value of our available-for-sale securities is recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income and does not impact our earnings.

We have numerous internal controls in place to help ensure the appropriateness of fair value measurements. Significant fair value measures are subject to detailed analytics and management review and approval. Our entire investment portfolio is priced by third-party brokers at the “bid side” of the market, and/or by independent pricing providers. We strive to obtain multiple market data points for each valuation. By utilizing “bid side” pricing, certain assets, especially the most recent purchases, may realize a markdown due to the “bid-offer” spread. To the extent that this occurs, any economic effect of this would be reflected in accumulated other comprehensive income. We back test the fair value measurements provided by the pricing providers against actual performance. We also monitor the market for recent trades, market surveys, or other market information that may be used to benchmark pricing provider inputs.

Considerable judgment is used in forming conclusions and estimating inputs to our Level 3 fair value measurements. Level 3 inputs such as interest rate movements, prepayments speeds, credit losses and discount rates are inherently



Table of Contents

difficult to estimate. Changes to these inputs can have a significant effect on fair value measurements. Accordingly, there is no assurance that our estimates of fair value are indicative of the amounts that would be realized on the ultimate sale or exchange of these assets.

**Critical Accounting Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires us to make certain judgments and assumptions, based on information available at the time of our preparation of the financial statements, in determining accounting estimates used in preparation of the statements. Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, included under Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Accounting estimates are considered critical if the estimate requires us to make assumptions about matters that were highly uncertain at the time the accounting estimate was made and if different estimates reasonably could have been used in the reporting period or changes in the accounting estimate are reasonably likely to occur from period to period that would have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

**Classification and Valuation of Investment Securities and Mortgage Loans Held-for-sale**

Our RMBS investments consist primarily of Agency RMBS and non-Agency RMBS that we classify as available-for-sale. Our RMBS classified as available-for-sale are carried at their fair value, with changes in fair value recorded through accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), a component of stockholders' equity, rather than through earnings. We also hold U.S. Treasuries for trading purposes. Our trading securities are carried at estimated fair value with changes in fair value recorded as a component of net gain on investment securities in earnings. If our RMBS securities were also classified as trading securities, there could be substantially greater volatility in our earnings.

When the estimated fair value of an available-for-sale security is less than amortized cost, we consider whether there is an other-than-temporary impairment in the value of the security is required to be recognized in the statement of operations. The determination of whether a security is other-than-temporarily impaired involves judgments and assumptions based on subjective and objective factors. Consideration is given to whether we (1) have the intent to sell the investment securities, (2) are more likely than not to be required to sell the investment securities before recovery, or (3) do not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the investment securities. Investments with unrealized losses are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired if we have the ability and intent to hold the investments for a period of time, to maturity if necessary, sufficient for a forecasted market price recovery up to or beyond the amortized cost basis of the investments. If an impairment is determined to be solely driven by the inability to fully recover the entire amortized cost basis over the remaining life of the security, the security is further analyzed for credit loss (the difference between the present value of cash flows expected to be collected and the amortized cost basis). The credit loss, if any, is then recognized in the statement of operations, while the balance of impairment related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income.

Our mortgage loans held-for-sale are carried at fair value as a result of a fair value option election, with changes in fair value recorded in earnings. Fair value is generally determined based on current secondary market pricing and cash flow models using market-based yield requirements.

The methods used by us to estimate fair value for investment securities and mortgage loans may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, while we believe that our valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies, or assumptions, to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date. We use inputs that are current as of the measurement date, which in periods of market dislocation, may have reduced price transparency.

**Interest Income Recognition**

Our interest income on our Agency RMBS and non-Agency RMBS is accrued based on the actual coupon rate and the outstanding principal balance of such securities. Premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted into interest income over the lives of the securities using the effective yield method, as adjusted for actual prepayments. We

estimate prepayments for our Agency interest-only securities, which represent our right to receive a specified portion of the contractual interest flows of specific Agency and collateralized mortgage obligations, or CMO, securities. As a result, if prepayments increase (or are expected to increase), we will accelerate the rate of amortization on the premiums. Conversely, if prepayments decrease (or are expected to decrease), we will decelerate the rate of amortization on the premiums.

Our interest income on our non-Agency RMBS securities rated below AA, including unrated securities, is recognized in accordance with estimated cash flows. Cash flows from a security are estimated by applying assumptions used to determine the fair value of such security and the excess of the future cash flows over the investment are recognized as interest income under the effective yield method. We review and, if appropriate, make adjustments to our cash flow projections at least quarterly and monitor these projections based on input and analysis received from external sources,

## Table of Contents

internal models, and our judgment about interest rates, prepayment rates, the timing and amount of credit losses, and other factors. Changes in cash flows from those originally projected, or from those estimated at the last evaluation, may result in a prospective change in interest income recognized on, or the carrying value of, such securities. For non-Agency securities purchased at a discount, we account for differences between contractual cash flows and cash flows expected to be collected from our initial investment in debt securities acquired if those differences are attributable, at least in part, to credit quality. We limit the yield that may be accreted (accretable yield) to the excess of an estimate of undiscounted expected principal, interest, and other cash flows (cash flows expected at acquisition to be collected) over the initial investment. The excess of contractual cash flows over cash flows expected to be collected (nonaccretable difference or designated credit reserve) is not recognized as an adjustment of yield, loss accrual, or valuation allowance. Subsequent increases in cash flows expected to be collected is recognized prospectively through adjustment of the yield over the remaining life of the security. Decreases in cash flows expected to be collected are recognized as an impairment.

### Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities

We apply the provisions of ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging, which requires an entity to recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the balance sheets and to measure those instruments at fair value. The fair value adjustments of our current derivative instruments affect net income as the hedge for accounting purposes is being treated as an economic, or trading, hedge and not as a qualifying hedging instrument.

Derivatives are used for hedging purposes rather than speculation. We rely on internal models corroborated by quotations from a third party to determine these fair values. If our hedging activities do not achieve their desired results, our reported earnings may be adversely affected.

### Income Taxes

Our financial results are generally not expected to reflect provisions for current or deferred income taxes, except for those taxable benefits or provisions recognized by our taxable REIT subsidiary. We estimate, based on existence of sufficient evidence, the ability to realize the remainder of any deferred tax asset our TRSs recognize. Any adjustments to such estimates will be made in the period such determination is made. We plan to operate in a manner that will allow us to qualify for taxation as a REIT. As a result of our expected REIT qualification, we do not generally expect to pay U.S. federal corporate level taxes. Many of the REIT requirements, however, are highly technical and complex. If we were to fail to meet the REIT requirements, we would be subject to U.S. federal, state and local income taxes.

### Market Conditions and Outlook

The 2011 fiscal year produced a number of regulatory actions in an effort to stabilize economic conditions and increase liquidity in the financial markets. While not intended to be comprehensive, a few of the more notable regulatory and market events are the following:

In addition to the ongoing debt problems in Europe, the marketplace experienced sizable uncertainty including the political standoff and ultimate signing of the debt ceiling bill by President Obama, S&P's downgrade of the U.S. credit rating, the Federal Reserve's announcement that it would keep interest rates near zero at least for the next two years, the Federal Reserve's September announcement of Operation Twist, a policy that involves selling \$400 billion in short-term U.S. Treasuries in exchange for the same amount of longer-term bonds, starting in October 2011 and ending in June 2012, the intent of which is to lower yields on long-term bonds and push down interest rates for mortgages and similar borrowings and the Federal Reserve's announcement that it would reinvest its principal paydowns from its RMBS holdings back into the Agency RMBS market to support the market for the near future. In a February 2011 report released by the Department of Treasury and the Department of Housing and Urban Development, three paths were outlined to reform the GSEs, each of which could drastically change the landscape of the U.S. mortgage market. More recently, in January 2012, the Federal Reserve System released a white paper focused on the path toward restoring the health of the housing market and its necessity as part of a broader strategy for economic recovery. While these reforms could take several years to implement, we expect that there will be opportunities for RMBS investors over time as this develops.

In October 2011 the FHFA, along with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, announced a series of changes to the HARP program, or HARP 2.0, in an effort to attract more eligible borrowers who can benefit from refinancing their home mortgage. The new program enhancements include eliminating certain risk-based fees for borrowers, removing the 125 percent loan-to-value (LTV) ceiling, waiving certain representations and warranties, eliminating the need for new property appraisals where there is a reliable automated valuation model (AVM), and extending the end date for HARP until December 13, 2013. The program's objectives to provide an opportunity for responsible homeowners to refinance and encourage borrowers to continue paying on their loans will impact the prepayment speed on certain RMBS. The full impact of the program is yet to be determined, however, we anticipate our portfolio prepayment protection characteristics will be largely isolated from this program.

Table of Contents

The FHA and the FDIC government programs, to provide homeowners with assistance in avoiding residential mortgage loan foreclosures, continue to be in effect, including HAMP and other programs. These homeowner assistance programs may involve the modification of mortgage loans to reduce the principal amount of the loans (through forbearance and/or forgiveness) or the rate of interest payable on the loans, or may extend the payment terms of the loans. They may also allow for streamlined financing, thus increasing prepayments, or for a delay in foreclosures, thus potentially altering the timing and amount of cash flows to certain securities. In general, these homeowner assistance programs, as well as future legislative or regulatory actions, may affect the value of, and the returns on, our RMBS portfolio. To the extent that these programs are successful and fewer borrowers default on their mortgage obligations, the actual default rates realized on our non-Agency RMBS may be less than the default assumptions made by us at the purchase of such non-Agency RMBS. This could cause the realized yields on our non-Agency RMBS portfolio to be higher than expected at time of purchase. Conversely, if these programs lead to forced reductions in principal, certain RMBS could be affected and decrease in value.

The following table provides the weighted average CPR on our Agency RMBS throughout 2011:

Agency RMBS	Three Months Ended				
	March 31, 2011	June 30, 2011	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2011	
	(Ratios for the quarter have been annualized)				
Weighted Average CPR	6.3	% 5.0	% 5.0	% 5.6	%

We expect that fiscal year 2012 will continue to see regulatory developments in an effort to stabilize economic conditions and increase liquidity in the financial markets. Additional actions that could impact the value of our RMBS, either positively or negatively, include attempts to streamline further the refinancing process to allow more borrowers to refinance into lower interest rate mortgage loans (see Obama's address in early January), a settlement with mortgage servicers by the State Attorneys General over improper foreclosure and servicing practices, further delays of the foreclosure process, the possibility of an REO to Rental program, and an expansion of the HAMP refinancing program to include borrowers whose loans are not in GSE pools. Any number of such programs could serve to the benefit or detriment of our portfolio for an undetermined period of time.

We believe our blended Agency and non-Agency strategies and our investing expertise will allow us to better navigate the dynamic characteristics of the RMBS environment while GSE reform and any other future regulatory efforts take shape. Having a diversified portfolio allows us to mitigate risks, including the volatility and impacts generated by uncertainty in interest rates and changes in prepayments, home prices and homeowner default rates.

We expect that the majority of our assets will remain in whole-pool Agency RMBS in light of the long-term attractiveness of the asset class and in order to continue to satisfy the requirements of our exemption from registration under the 1940 Act. Interest-only Agency securities also provide a complementary investment and risk-management strategy to our principal and interest Agency RMBS investments. Risk-adjusted returns in our Agency RMBS portfolio may decline if we are required to pay higher purchase premiums due to lower interest rates or additional liquidity in the market.

The following table provides the carrying value of our RMBS portfolio by product type:

(dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2011		December 31, 2010		
Agency					
Fixed Rate	\$4,821,479	77.2	% \$746,957	55.1	%
Hybrid ARMs	231,678	3.7	% 269,512	19.9	%
Total Agency	5,053,157	80.9	% 1,016,469	75.0	%
Non-Agency					
Senior	933,462	14.9	% 268,161	19.8	%

Edgar Filing: Two Harbors Investment Corp. - Form 10-K

Mezzanine	262,633	4.2	% 69,775	5.2	%
Total Non-Agency	1,196,095	19.1	% 337,936	25.0	%
Total	\$6,249,252		\$1,354,405		

43

---

Table of Contents

## Prepayment speeds and volatility due to interest rates

We do not expect housing prices to fully stabilize in 2012 and this, combined with elevated unemployment rates and housing inventory increases, leads us to expect that there will not be a significant increase in prepayment speeds in 2012. However, given the low level of interest rates, the implementation of Operation Twist, and the announcement of HARP 2.0, the revamped Home Affordable Refinance Program, or other potential government programs, it is possible that prepayment speeds will increase on many RMBS, which could lead to less attractive reinvestment opportunities. Nonetheless, we believe our portfolio approach, including our security selection process, is well positioned to respond to a variety of market scenarios, including an overall faster prepayment environment.

Although we are unable to predict the movement in interest rates for the remainder of 2012 and beyond, our blended Agency and non-Agency portfolio strategy is intended to generate attractive yields with a low level of sensitivity to yield curve, prepayments and interest rate cycles.

Our Agency RMBS portfolio is subject to inherent prepayment risk: generally, a decline in interest rates that leads to rising prepayment speeds will cause the market value of our interest-only securities to deteriorate, but will cause the market value of our fixed coupon Agency pools to increase. The inverse relationship occurs when interest rates increase and prepayments slow. Our portfolio includes Agency securities, which includes bonds with explicit prepayment protection, low loan balances (securities collateralized by loans of less than \$175,000 in principal), high LTV ratios (securities collateralized by loans with greater or equal to 80% LTV), home equity conversion mortgages (securities collateralized by reverse mortgages), and seasoned bonds reflecting less prepayment risk due to previously experienced high levels of refinancing. We believe these bond characteristics reduce the prepayment risk to the portfolio.

The following tables provide the carrying value of our Agency RMBS portfolio by vintage and prepayment protection:

	As of December 31, 2011				
(dollars in thousands)	Fixed Rate	Hybrid ARMs	Total Agency RMBS		
Lower loan balances	\$2,759,091	\$—	\$2,759,091	55	%
Home equity conversion mortgages	939,738	—	939,738	19	%
Seasoned (2005 and prior vintages)	346,624	146,826	493,450	10	%
Pre-pay lock-out or penalty-based	266,456	34,826	301,282	6	%
High LTV	211,312	—	211,312	4	%
2006 and subsequent vintages - Discount	174,935	—	174,935	3	%
2006 and subsequent vintages	123,323	50,026	173,349	3	%
Total	\$4,821,479	\$231,678	\$5,053,157	100	%
	As of December 31, 2010				
(dollars in thousands)	Fixed Rate	Hybrid ARMs	Total Agency RMBS		
Lower loan balances	\$418,978	\$—	\$418,978	41	%
Seasoned (2005 and prior vintages)	136,872	162,737	299,609	29	%
Pre-pay lock-out or penalty-based	163,294	46,447	209,741	21	%
2006 and subsequent vintages - Discount	6,113	60,328	66,441	7	%
2006 and subsequent vintages	21,700	—	21,700	2	%
Total	\$746,957	\$269,512	\$1,016,469	100	%

We offset a portion of the Agency exposure to prepayment speeds through our non-Agency portfolio. Our non-Agency RMBS yields are expected to increase if prepayment rates on such assets exceed our prepayment assumptions. To the extent that prepayment speeds increase due to macroeconomic factors, we expect to benefit from the ability to recognize the income from the heavily discounted RMBS prices that principally arose from credit or payment default expectations.





Table of Contents

The following tables provide discount information on our non-Agency RMBS portfolio:

	As of December 31, 2011		
(in thousands)	Senior	Mezzanine	Total
Face Value	\$2,116,062	\$551,867	\$2,667,929
Unamortized discount			
Designated credit reserve	(663,890	) (118,716	) (782,606
Unamortized net discount	(399,254	) (141,715	) (540,969
Amortized Cost	\$1,052,918	\$291,436	\$1,344,354
	As of December 31, 2010		
(in thousands)	Senior	Mezzanine	Total
Face Value	\$447,627	\$146,679	\$594,306
Unamortized discount			
Designated credit reserve	(92,927	) (52,928	) (145,855
Unamortized net discount	(99,608	) (30,384	) (129,992
Amortized Cost	\$255,092	\$63,367	\$318,459

## Credit losses

Although our Agency portfolio is supported by U.S. Government agency and federally chartered corporation guarantees of payment of principal and interest, we are exposed to credit risk in our non-Agency RMBS portfolio. However, the credit support built into non-Agency RMBS deal structures is designed to provide a level of protection from potential credit losses for more senior tranches. In addition, the discounted purchase prices paid on our non-Agency RMBS assets provide additional insulation from credit losses in the event we receive less than 100% of par on such assets. We evaluate credit risk on our non-Agency investments through a comprehensive asset selection process, which is predominantly focused on quantifying and pricing credit risk. We review our non-Agency RMBS based on a quantitative and qualitative analysis of the risk-adjusted returns on such investments. We evaluate each investment's credit risk through our initial modeling and scenario analysis and through on-going asset surveillance. At purchase, we estimate the portion of the discount we do not expect to recover and factor that into our expected yield and accretion methodology. We may also record an other-than-temporary impairment, or OTTI, for a portion of our investment in a security to the extent we believe that the amortized cost exceeds the present value of expected future cash flows. Nevertheless, unanticipated credit losses could occur, adversely impacting our operating results.

## Counterparty exposure and leverage ratio

We monitor counterparty exposure in our broker, banking and lending counterparties on a daily basis. We believe our broker and banking counterparties are well capitalized organizations and we attempt to manage our cash balances across these organizations to reduce our exposure to a single counterparty.

We had entered into repurchase agreements with 20 counterparties as of December 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2011, we had a debt to equity ratio of 5.2 times. As of December 31, 2011, we had \$360.0 million in cash and cash equivalents, approximately \$24.2 million of unpledged Agency securities and derivatives and \$74.3 million of unpledged non-Agency securities and an overall estimated unused borrowing capacity on our unpledged RMBS of approximately \$67.2 million. If borrowing rates and collateral requirements change in the near term, we believe we are subject to less earnings volatility than a more levered organization.

## Summary of Results of Operations and Financial Condition

Our reported GAAP net income attributable to common stockholders was \$127.4 million (\$1.29 per diluted weighted share) for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to a GAAP net income attributable to common stockholders of \$35.8 million (\$1.60 per diluted weighted share) for the year ended December 31, 2010. Our reported GAAP net income attributable to common stockholders was \$51.4 million (\$0.37 per diluted share) for the three months ended December 31, 2011 as compared to a GAAP net income attributable to common stockholders of \$16.5

million (\$0.60 per diluted share) for the three months ended December 31, 2010.

On December 14, 2011, we declared a dividend of \$0.40 per diluted share. Our GAAP book value per diluted common share was \$9.03 at December 31, 2011, a decrease from \$9.44 book value per diluted common share at December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the components of our net income (loss) for the three and twelve months ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the twelve months ended December 31, 2009:

(in thousands, except share data)	Three Months Ended		Year Ended		
Income Statement Data:	December 31,		December 31,		
	2011	2010	2011	2010	2009
Interest income:					
Available-for-sale securities	\$71,713	\$12,780	\$197,126	\$39,844	\$2,796
Trading securities	1,376	155	4,159	170	—
Mortgage loans held-for-sale	2	—	2	—	—
Cash and cash equivalents	106	37	347	107	70
Total interest income	73,197	12,972	201,634	40,121	2,866
Interest expense	9,129	1,644	22,709	4,421	131
Net interest income	64,068	11,328	178,925	35,700	2,735
Other-than-temporary impairments:					
Total other-than temporary impairment losses	(1,437	) —	(5,102	) —	—
Non-credit portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income (loss)	—	—	—	—	—
Net other-than-temporary credit impairment losses	(1,437	) —	(5,102	) —	—
Other income:					
Gain on sale of investment securities, net	360	1,519	36,520	6,127	336
(Loss) gain on interest rate swap and swaption agreements	1,411	3,693	(86,769	) (6,344	) 364
(Loss) gain on other derivative instruments	(10,719	) 2,959	26,755	7,156	—
Total other (loss) income	(8,948	) 8,171	(23,494	) 6,939	700
Expenses:					
Management fees	5,178	921	14,241	2,989	326
Other operating expenses	3,245	1,246	9,762	4,578	12,171
Total expenses	8,423	2,167	24,003	7,567	12,497
Income (loss) before income taxes	45,260	17,332	126,326	35,072	(9,062
Benefit from (provision for) income taxes	6,170	(872	) 1,106	683	318
Net income (loss)	51,430	16,460	127,432	35,755	(8,744
Accretion of Trust Account income relating to common stock subject to possible conversion	—	—	—	—	(93
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$51,430	\$16,460	\$127,432	\$35,755	\$(8,837
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per weighted average common share	\$0.37	\$0.60	\$1.29	\$1.60	\$(0.39
Dividends declared per common share	\$0.40	\$0.40	\$1.60	\$1.48	\$0.26
Basic and diluted weighted average number of shares of common stock	140,592,941	27,532,462	98,826,868	22,381,683	22,941,728

## Results of Operations

Year Ended December 31, 2011 compared to year ended December 31, 2010  
Interest Income and Average Portfolio Yield

For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we recognized \$197.1 million and \$39.4 million, respectively, of interest income from our Agency and non-Agency RMBS portfolio. Our RMBS portfolio's average amortized cost of securities was approximately \$4.1 billion and \$0.7 billion for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, resulting in an annualized net yield of approximately 4.8% and 5.3%, respectively.

For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we recognized \$54.2 million and \$13.3 million, respectively, of net premium amortization on our Agency RMBS, including our interest-only securities. This resulted in an overall net asset yield of approximately 3.5% and 3.4%, respectively, on our Agency RMBS for these periods. For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we recognized \$54.5 million and \$10.9 million of accretion income from the discounts on

Table of Contents

our non-Agency portfolio resulting in an overall net yield of approximately 9.6% and 10.9%, respectively. The decrease in gross and net yield for the non-Agency portfolio across comparative periods is due primarily to the deployment of new capital in non-Agency RMBS with lower loss adjusted yields.

The following table presents the components of the net yield earned by investment type on our RMBS portfolio as a percentage of our average amortized cost of securities:

	Year Ended December 31, 2011			Year Ended December 31, 2010			
	Agency	Non-Agency	Consolidated	Agency	Non-Agency	Consolidated	
Gross Yield/Stated Coupon Net (Premium Amortization)/Discount Accretion Net Yield <sup>(1)</sup>	5.1 (1.6 3.5	% 3.3 )% 6.3 % 9.6	% 4.7 % 0.1 % 4.8	% 5.8 (2.4 3.4	% 5.1 )% 5.8 % 10.9	% 5.6 % (0.3 % 5.3	% )% %

(1) These yields have not been adjusted for cost of delay and cost to carry purchase premiums.

The following table provides the components of interest income and net asset yield by investment type on our RMBS portfolio:

	Year Ended December 31, 2011			Year Ended December 31, 2010			
	Agency	Non-Agency	Total	Agency	Non-Agency	Total	
(dollars in thousands) Average Amortized Cost	\$3,279,855	\$866,279	\$4,146,134	\$557,755	\$187,091	\$744,846	
Coupon Interest Net (Premium Amortization)/Discount Accretion Interest Income	168,422 (54,231 \$114,191	28,469 ) 54,466 \$82,935	196,891 235 \$197,126	32,368 (13,305 \$19,063	9,498 ) 10,850 \$20,348	41,866 (2,455 \$39,411	
Net Asset Yield	3.5	% 9.6	% 4.8	% 3.4	% 10.9	% 5.3	%

For the year ended December 31, 2011, we recognized \$4.2 million of interest income associated with our trading U.S. Treasuries, or approximately 0.5% net yield on average amortized cost. For the first six months of 2010, we classified all U.S. Treasuries as available-for-sale, or AFS, securities. During the third quarter of 2010 however, we began to classify U.S. Treasuries as trading securities due to their short-term investment objectives. As a result, for the year ended December 31, 2010, we recognized \$0.4 million of interest income associated with our AFS U.S. Treasuries, or approximately 0.9% net yield on average amortized cost, and \$0.2 million of interest income associated with our trading U.S. Treasuries.

#### Interest Expense and the Cost of Funds

For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we recognized \$21.2 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, in interest expense on our borrowed funds collateralized by RMBS. For the same periods, our average outstanding balance under repurchase agreements to fund RMBS was approximately \$3.6 billion and \$0.6 billion, respectively. The increase in 2011 was due to our offering proceeds and the allocation of additional capital to leverage our Agency RMBS portfolio. The average cost of funds for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 was 0.6% for both periods.

For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we recognized \$1.5 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, of interest expense associated with the financing of our U.S. Treasuries and Agency inverse interest-only derivatives, or an average cost of funds of approximately 0.2% and 0.3%, respectively. The additional funds borrowed during the year ended December 31, 2011 resulted in an overall debt-to-equity ratio of 5.2:1.0, largely driven by the borrowings

to fund the U.S. Treasuries and the increased capital allocation to Agency RMBS.

Net Interest Income

For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, net interest income on our RMBS AFS portfolio was \$175.9 million and \$35.3 million, respectively, resulting in a net interest spread of approximately 4.2% and 4.7%.

Table of Contents

The following table provides the interest income and expense incurred in the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010:

(dollars in thousands)	Year Ended December 31, 2011			Year Ended December 31, 2010			
	Agency <sup>(1)</sup>	Non-Agency	Total	Agency <sup>(1)</sup>	Non-Agency	Total	
Average available-for-sale securities held <sup>(2)</sup>							
Total interest income	\$3,279,855	\$866,279	\$4,146,134	\$557,755	\$187,091	\$744,846	
Yield on average investment securities	3.5	% 9.6	% 4.8	% 3.4	% 10.9	% 5.3	%
Average balance of repurchase agreements	\$3,138,789	\$499,619	\$3,638,408	\$540,882	\$100,961	\$641,843	
Total interest expense <sup>(3) (4)</sup>	\$10,691	\$10,529	\$21,220	\$2,115	\$1,952	\$4,067	
Average cost of funds <sup>(4)</sup>	0.3	% 2.1	% 0.6	% 0.4	% 1.9	% 0.6	%
Net interest income	\$103,500	\$72,406	\$175,906	\$16,948	\$18,396	\$35,344	
Net interest rate spread	3.2	% 7.5	% 4.2	% 3.0	% 9.0	% 4.7	%

Excludes inverse interest-only securities which are classified as derivatives under U.S. GAAP. For the years ended (1) December 31, 2011 and 2010, our average annualized yield on our Agency RMBS, including inverse interest-only securities, was 4.1% and 3.9%, respectively.

(2) Excludes change in realized and unrealized gains/(losses).

(3) Cost of funds by investment type is based on the underlying investment type of the RMBS AFS assigned as collateral.

Cost of funds does not include the accrual and settlement of interest associated with interest rate swaps. In accordance with GAAP, those costs are included in loss on interest rate swap and swaption agreements in the (4) condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, our average cost of funds, including interest spread expense associated with interest rate swaps and including inverse interest-only securities (see footnote 1 above), was 1.1% and 1.2%, respectively.

#### Other-than-temporary Impairments

We review each of our securities on a quarterly basis to determine if an OTTI charge is necessary. For the year ended December 31, 2011 we recognized \$5.1 million of OTTI losses, while we did not recognize any losses in the year ended December 31, 2010. For further information about evaluating AFS securities for other-than-temporary impairments, refer to Note 3 - Available-for-Sale Securities, at Fair Value of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### Gain on Investment Securities, Net

During the year ended December 31, 2011, we sold AFS and trading securities for \$2.3 billion with an amortized cost of \$2.2 billion, for a net realized gain of \$33.0 million, which included sales of U.S. Treasuries with an amortized cost of \$1.2 billion. During the year ended December 31, 2010, we sold AFS and trading securities for \$474.0 million with an amortized cost of \$467.4 million, for a net realized gain of \$6.6 million, which included sales of U.S. Treasuries with an amortized cost of \$295.8 million. We do not expect to sell assets on a frequent basis, but may sell assets to reallocate capital into new assets that our management believes have higher risk-adjusted returns.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, we recognized unrealized gains on our U.S. Treasury trading securities held as of December 31, 2011 of \$3.5 million. During the year ended December 31, 2010, we recognized unrealized losses on our U.S. Treasury trading securities held as of December 31, 2010 of \$0.5 million.

(Loss) gain on Interest Rate Swap and Swaption Agreements

For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 we recognized \$24.9 million and \$3.3 million, respectively, of expenses for the accrual and/or settlement of the net interest expense associated with our interest rate swaps. The expenses result from generally paying a fixed interest rate on an average \$3.7 billion and \$0.4 billion notional, respectively, to hedge a portion of our interest rate risk on our short-term repurchase agreements, funding costs, and macro-financing risk and generally receiving LIBOR interest.

During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we terminated or had options expire on 32 and 3 notional interest rate swap and swaption positions of \$3.2 billion and \$0.3 billion, respectively. Upon settlement of the early terminations and option expirations, we paid \$6.0 million and \$1.3 million, in 2011 and 2010 respectively, in full settlement of our net interest spread liability and recognized \$17.0 million and \$4.4 million in realized losses on the swaps and swaptions in 2011 and 2010, respectively, including early termination penalties. We elected to terminate the swaps to reduce our cost of financing and align with our investment portfolio.

Also included in our financial results for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 was the recognition of a change in unrealized valuation losses of \$44.9 million and unrealized valuation gains of \$1.4 million, respectively, on our interest



Table of Contents

rate swap and swaption agreements that were accounted as trading instruments. The overall decrease in the swap rate curve during the year ended December 31, 2011 resulted in unfavorable market value movement over the twelve month period. Since these swaps and swaptions are used for purposes of hedging our interest rate exposure, their unrealized valuation losses are generally offset by unrealized gains in our Agency RMBS portfolio, which are recorded directly to stockholders' equity through other comprehensive income.

The following table provides the net interest spread and gains and losses associated with our interest rate swap and swaption positions:

(in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,	
	2011	2010
Net interest spread	\$(24,883 )	\$(3,323 )
Early termination and option expiration losses	(16,970 )	(4,446 )
Change in unrealized gain (loss) on interest rate swap and swaption agreements, at fair value	(44,916 )	1,425
Loss on interest rate swap and swaption agreements	\$(86,769 )	\$(6,344 )

**Gain on Other Derivative Instruments**

Included in our financial results for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 was the recognition of \$26.8 million and \$7.2 million, respectively, of gains on other derivative instruments we hold for purposes of both hedging and non-hedging activities, principally credit default swaps, TBAs and inverse interest-only securities. Included within these year ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 results, we recognized \$24.2 million and \$3.4 million of interest income, net of accretion on inverse interest-only securities on an average amortized cost basis of \$119.5 million and \$16.9 million, respectively. The remainder represented realized and unrealized net gains on other derivative instruments. As these derivative instruments are considered trading instruments, the financial results include both realized and unrealized gains (losses) associated with these instruments.

**Management Fees**

We incurred management fees of \$14.2 million and \$3.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, which are payable to PRCM Advisers under our management agreement. The management fee is calculated based on our stockholders' equity.

**Other Operating Expenses**

For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we recognized \$9.8 million and \$4.6 million, respectively, of other operating expenses, which represents 1.0% and 2.2% of average equity for the respective periods. Included in these other operating expenses are direct and allocated costs incurred by PRCM Advisers on our behalf and reimbursed by us. For the year ended December 31, 2011, these direct and allocated costs totaled approximately \$8.1 million of which approximately \$6.5 million was expensed and approximately \$0.7 million was considered prepaid and classified as other assets on the consolidated balance sheet. For the year ended December 31, 2010, these direct and allocated costs totaled approximately \$5.5 million of which \$4.1 million was expensed and \$0.1 million was considered prepaid and classified as other assets on the consolidated balance sheet. Approximately \$0.9 million in out-of-pocket expenses was charged against equity as a cost of raising capital for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to \$1.2 million for the same period in 2010. Included in these reimbursed costs was compensation paid to our executive officers, including our principal financial officer and general counsel of \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 and \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The following table provides other operating expenses as a percentage of average equity for the twelve month periods presented:

(dollars in thousands)	Other Operating Expenses	Other Operating Expenses/Average Equity
		(Ratios for the quarter have been annualized)

Edgar Filing: Two Harbors Investment Corp. - Form 10-K

For the Year Ended December 31, 2011	\$9,762	1.0	%
For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	\$4,578	2.2	%

Our other operating expenses as a percentage of average equity for the year ended December 31, 2011 was 1.0%, compared to 2.2% for the same period in 2010. The favorable decrease of our operating expense ratio during 2011

49

---

Table of Contents

resulted primarily from the additional capital raised upon completion of our secondary common stock offerings. See Note 13 - Stockholders' Equity of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Income Taxes**

For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we have recognized \$1.1 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, in income tax benefits related to both current and deferred income tax provisions in our TRSs. Our effective tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 were negative 0.9% and 2.0%, respectively.

For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we have recognized \$7.0 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, of deferred tax benefits related to unrealized losses on derivative instruments. For the year ended December 31, 2011 we have recognized \$1.2 million of deferred tax expense related to unrealized gains on US Treasuries held in Capitol. For the years ended December 31, 2010 we have recognized \$0.1 million of deferred tax benefit related to unrealized gains on U.S. Treasuries held in Capitol.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, we have recognized current federal tax expense of \$4.7 million due to realized net gains on derivative instruments and U.S. Treasuries. For the year ended December 31, 2010, we have recognized a current federal tax benefit of \$0.1 million.

We currently intend to distribute 100% of our REIT taxable income and comply with all requirements to continue to qualify as a REIT, and therefore we have not recognized any further federal or state tax provisions.

**Year Ended December 31, 2010 compared to year ended December 31, 2009**

Our operations did not begin until the completion of the merger transaction with Capitol on October 28, 2009. Prior to October 28, 2009, Capitol was a development stage company with no operations. As a result, we do not believe a comparative analysis between the years ended December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 is meaningful to an investor.

**Three Months Ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009**

**Interest Income and Average Portfolio Yield**

For the three-month periods ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we recognized \$71.7 million and \$12.7 million, respectively, of interest income from our Agency and non-Agency RMBS portfolio. Our RMBS portfolio's average amortized cost of securities was approximately \$6.4 billion and \$0.9 billion for the three-month periods ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, resulting in an annualized net yield of approximately 4.5% and 5.4%, respectively. For the three months ended December 31, 2009, we recognized \$2.8 million of interest income from our Agency and non-Agency RMBS portfolio. Our RMBS portfolio's average amortized cost of securities was approximately \$181.4 million resulting in an annualized net yield of approximately 6.2%.

For the three-month periods ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we recognized \$22.0 million and \$4.7 million of net premium amortization, respectively, on our Agency RMBS, including our interest-only securities. This resulted in an overall net asset yield of approximately 3.1% on our Agency RMBS for both three-month periods ended. For the three-month periods ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we recognized \$22.2 million and \$4.2 million of accretion income from the discounts on our non-Agency portfolio resulting in an overall net yield of approximately 9.7% and 11.4%, respectively. The decrease in gross and net yield for the non-Agency portfolio across comparative periods is due primarily to the deployment of new capital in non-Agency RMBS with lower loss adjusted yields. For the three months ended December 31, 2009, we recognized \$1.2 million net premium amortization on our Agency RMBS, including our interest-only securities. This resulted in an overall net asset yield of approximately 4.4% on our Agency RMBS. For the three months ended December 31, 2009, we recognized \$0.6 million of accretion income from the discounts on our non-Agency portfolio resulting in an overall net yield of approximately 16.2%.

Table of Contents

The following tables present the components of the net yield earned by investment type on our RMBS portfolio as a percentage of our average amortized cost of securities:

	Three Months Ended December 31, 2011			Three Months Ended December 31, 2010			
	Agency	Non-Agency	Consolidated	Agency	Non-Agency	Consolidated	
	(Ratios for the quarter have been annualized)			(Ratios for the quarter have been annualized)			
Gross Yield/Stated Coupon Net (Premium Amortization)/Discount Accretion Net Yield <sup>(1)</sup>	4.8	% 3.1	% 4.5	% 5.8	% 5.0	% 5.6	%
	(1.7	)% 6.6	% —	% (2.7	)% 6.4	% (0.2	)%
	3.1	% 9.7	% 4.5	% 3.1	% 11.4	% 5.4	%
	Three Months Ended December 31, 2009						
	Agency	Non-Agency	Consolidated	(Ratios for the quarter have been annualized)			
Gross Yield/Stated Coupon Net (Premium Amortization)/Discount Accretion Net Yield <sup>(1)</sup>	7.4	% 6.9	% 7.3	%	%	%	%
	(3.0	)% 9.3	% (1.1	)%	%	%	)%
	4.4	% 16.2	% 6.2	%	%	%	%

(1) These yields have not been adjusted for cost of delay and cost to carry purchase premiums.

The following tables provide the components of interest income and net asset yield detail by investment type on our RMBS portfolio:

(dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended December 31, 2011			Three Months Ended December 31, 2010			
	Agency	Non-Agency	Total	Agency	Non-Agency	Total	
Average Amortized Cost	\$5,059,954	\$1,342,324	\$6,402,278	\$689,614	\$258,822	\$948,436	
Coupon Interest Net (Premium Amortization)/Discount Accretion Interest Income	61,025	10,510	71,535	9,992	3,205	13,197	
	(21,983	) 22,161	178	(4,662	) 4,195	(467	)
	\$39,042	\$32,671	\$71,713	\$5,330	\$7,400	\$12,730	
Net Asset Yield	3.1	% 9.7	% 4.5	% 3.1	% 11.4	% 5.4	%
(dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended December 31, 2009						
	Agency	Non-Agency	Total	(Ratios for the quarter have been annualized)			
Average Amortized Cost	\$154,562	\$26,875	\$181,437				
Coupon Interest Net (Premium Amortization)/Discount Accretion Interest Income	2,861	463	3,324	2,861	463	3,324	
	(1,151	) 623	(528	)	623	(528	)
	\$1,710	\$1,086	\$2,796	\$1,710	\$1,086	\$2,796	
Net Asset Yield	4.4	% 16.2	% 6.2	%	%	%	%

For the three-month periods ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we also recognized \$1.4 million and \$154,533 of interest income associated with our trading U.S. Treasuries, or approximately 0.4% and 0.9% annualized net yield on average amortized cost, respectively. During 2009 we did not hold any U.S. Treasuries.

Interest Expense and the Cost of Funds

For the three-month periods ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we recognized \$8.5 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, in interest expense on our borrowed funds collateralized by RMBS. For the same three month periods, our average outstanding balances under repurchase agreements to fund RMBS were approximately \$5.6 billion and \$0.8 billion, respectively. The increase from fourth quarter 2010 was due to our offering proceeds and the allocation of additional capital to leverage our Agency RMBS portfolio. Our debt-to-equity ratio on our RMBS portfolio as of December 31, 2011, combined with low LIBOR rates, resulted in an average cost of funds of 0.6%, while the average cost

Table of Contents

of funds for fourth quarter 2010 was 0.7%. For the three months ended December 31, 2009, we recognized \$0.1 million in interest expense on our borrowed funds collateralized by RMBS. Our average outstanding balance under repurchase agreements to fund RMBS during the same period was approximately \$146.0 million, which resulted in an average cost of funds of 0.4%.

For the three-month periods ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we also recognized \$0.6 million and \$0.1 million of interest expense associated with the financing of our U.S. Treasuries and Agency inverse interest-only derivatives, or an average cost of funds of approximately 0.2% and 0.1%, respectively, on an annualized basis. The additional funds borrowed to fund the U.S. Treasuries and increased capital allocation to Agency RMBS during the three months ended December 31, 2011 resulted in an overall debt-to-equity ratio of 5.2:1.0. During the three months ended December 31, 2009, we did not hold any U.S. Treasuries or Agency inverse interest-only derivatives.

**Net Interest Income**

For the three-month periods ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, net interest income on our RMBS AFS portfolio was \$63.2 million and \$11.2 million resulting in a net interest spread of approximately 3.9% and 4.7%, respectively. For the three months ended December 31, 2009, net interest income on our RMBS AFS portfolio was \$2.7 million resulting in a net interest spread of approximately 5.8%.

The following tables provide the interest income and expense incurred in the three-month periods ended December 31, 2011, December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

(dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended December 31, 2011			Three Months Ended December 31, 2010			
	Agency <sup>(1)</sup>	Non-Agency	Total	Agency <sup>(1)</sup>	Non-Agency	Total	
Average available-for-sale securities held <sup>(2)</sup>	\$5,059,954	\$1,342,324	\$6,402,278	\$689,614	\$258,822	\$948,436	
Total interest income	\$39,042	\$32,671	\$71,713	\$5,330	\$7,400	\$12,730	
Yield on average investment securities	3.1	% 9.7	% 4.5	% 3.1	% 11.4	% 5.4	%
Average balance of repurchase agreements	\$4,831,054	\$746,229	\$5,577,283	\$668,905	\$165,256	\$834,161	
Total interest expense <sup>(3) (4)</sup>	\$4,402	\$4,133	\$8,535	\$672	\$825	\$1,497	
Average cost of funds	0.4	% 2.2	% 0.6	% 0.4	% 2.0	% 0.7	%
Net interest income	\$34,640	\$28,538	\$63,178	\$4,658	\$6,575	\$11,233	
Net interest rate spread	2.7	% 7.5	% 3.9	% 2.7	% 9.4	% 4.7	%
				Three Months Ended December 31, 2009			
(dollars in thousands)				Agency	Non-Agency	Total	
Average available-for-sale securities held <sup>(2)</sup>				\$154,562	\$26,875	\$181,437	
Total interest income				\$1,710	\$1,086	\$2,796	
Yield on average investment securities				4.4	% 16.2	% 6.2	%
Average balance of repurchase agreements				\$143,678	\$2,293	\$145,971	
Total interest expense <sup>(3) (4)</sup>				\$119	\$12	\$131	
Average cost of funds				0.3	% 2.0	% 0.4	%
Net interest income				\$1,591	\$1,074	\$2,665	
Net interest rate spread				4.1	% 14.2	% 5.8	%

Excludes inverse interest-only securities which are classified as derivatives under U.S. GAAP. For the three-month (1) periods ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, our average annualized yield on our Agency RMBS, including inverse interest-only securities, was 3.5% and 3.8%, respectively.

(2) Excludes change in realized and unrealized gains/(losses).

- (3) Cost of funds by investment type is based on the underlying investment type of the RMBS AFS assigned as collateral.

Cost of funds does not include the accrual and settlement of interest associated with interest rate swaps. In accordance with GAAP, those costs are included in loss on interest rate swap and swaption agreements in the condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). For the

- (4) three-month periods ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, our average annualized cost of funds, including interest spread expense associated with interest rate swaps and including inverse interest-only securities (see footnote 1 above), was 1.0% and 1.2%, respectively. For the three months ended December 31, 2009, our average annualized cost of funds, including interest spread expense associated with interest rate swaps was 0.4%.

Table of Contents

## Other-than-temporary Impairments

We review each of our securities on a quarterly basis to determine if an OTTI charge is necessary. For the three months ended December 31, 2011, we recognized \$1.4 million of OTTI losses. We did not recognize any OTTI losses in the fourth quarters of 2010 or 2009. For further information about evaluating AFS securities for OTTI, refer to Note 3 - Available-for-Sale Securities, at Fair Value of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Gain on Investment Securities, Net

For the three months ended December 31, 2011, we sold AFS and trading securities for \$565.2 million with an amortized cost of \$562.4 million, for a net realized gain of \$2.8 million, which included sales of U.S. Treasuries with an amortized cost of \$520.5 million. For the three months ended December 31, 2010, we sold AFS and trading securities for \$167.7 million with an amortized cost of \$165.7 million, for a net realized gain of \$2.0 million, which included sales of U.S. Treasuries with an amortized cost of \$145.0 million. In the fourth quarter of 2009 we did not sell any AFS or trading securities. We do not expect to sell assets on a frequent basis, but may sell assets to reallocate capital into new assets that our management believes have higher risk-adjusted returns.

During the three months ended December 31, 2011, we recognized unrealized losses on our U.S. Treasury trading securities held as of December 31, 2011 of \$2.5 million. During the three months ended December 31, 2010, we recognized unrealized losses on our U.S. Treasury trading securities held as of December 31, 2010 of \$0.5 million. We did not hold any U.S. Treasury trading securities at December 31, 2009.

## (Loss) gain on Interest Rate Swap and Swaption Agreements

For the three-month periods ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 we recognized \$6.3 million and \$1.1 million of expenses for the accrual and/or settlement of the net interest expense associated with the interest rate swaps. The expenses result from generally paying a fixed interest rate on an average of \$5.7 billion and \$0.5 billion notional, respectively, to hedge a portion of our interest rate risk on our short-term repurchase agreements, funding costs, and macro-financing risk and generally receiving LIBOR interest. We did not incur similar expenses in 2009.

During three-month periods ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we terminated or had options expire on 3 and 2 notional interest rate swap and swaption positions of \$0.7 billion and \$0.2 billion, respectively. Upon settlement of the early terminations and option expirations, we paid \$0.9 million and \$0.7 million in full settlement of our net interest spread liability and recognized \$1.1 million and \$2.0 million in realized losses on the swaps and swaptions, respectively, including early termination penalties. We elected to terminate the swaps to reduce our cost of financing and align with our investment portfolio.

Also included in our financial results for the three-month periods ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was the recognition of a change in unrealized valuation gains of \$6.6 million and \$6.8 million, respectively, on our interest rate swap and swaption agreements that were accounted for as trading instruments. The overall increase in the swap rate curves during the three-month periods ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 resulted in the favorable market value movement over the three month period. During the three months ended December 31, 2009, we recognized a change in unrealized valuation losses of \$0.4 million on our interest rate swap and swaption agreements that were accounted for as trading instrument. Since these swaps and swaptions are used for purposes of hedging our interest rate exposure, their unrealized valuation gains are generally offset by unrealized losses in our Agency RMBS portfolio, which are recorded directly to stockholders' equity through other comprehensive income. The following table provides the net interest spread and gains and losses associated with our interest rate swap and swaption positions:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Net interest spread	\$ (6,251	) \$ (1,129	) \$ —
Early termination losses	1,104	(1,960	) —
Change in unrealized gain on interest rate swap and swaption agreements, at fair value	6,558	6,782	364
Gain on interest rate swap and swaption agreements	\$ 1,411	\$ 3,693	\$ 364



**Gain on Other Derivative Instruments**

Included in our financial results for the three-month periods ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was the recognition of \$10.7 million of losses and \$3.0 million of gains, respectively, on other derivative instruments we hold for purposes of both hedging and non-hedging activities, principally credit default swaps, TBAs and inverse interest-only securities. Included within these financial results for the three-month periods ended December 31, 2011 and December 31,

53

---

Table of Contents

2010 is the recognition of \$6.8 million and \$1.4 million of interest income, net of accretion on inverse interest-only securities on an average amortized cost basis of \$156.8 million and \$20.2 million, respectively. The remainder represented realized and unrealized net gains on other derivative instruments. As these derivative instruments are considered trading instruments, the financial results include both realized and unrealized gains (losses) associated with these instruments. We did not have gains or losses on other derivative instruments or interest income on inverse interest-only securities in 2009.

**Management Fees**

During the three-month periods ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we incurred management fees of \$5.2 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, which are payable to PRCM Advisers under our management agreement. Management fees incurred in the three months ended December 31, 2009 were \$0.3 million. The management fee is calculated based on our stockholders' equity.

**Operating Expenses**

For the three months ended December 31, 2011, we recognized \$3.2 million of other operating expenses, which represents 1.0% of average equity, compared to \$1.2 million of expenses, or 1.9% of average equity, for the same period in 2010. For the three months ended December 31, 2009, we recognized \$9.4 million of operating expenses, which represents 24.8% of average equity. Included in these operating expenses are direct and allocated costs incurred by PRCM Advisers on our behalf and reimbursed by us. For the three months ended December 31, 2011, these direct and allocated costs totaled approximately \$3.0 million of which approximately \$2.2 million was expensed and approximately \$0.7 million was considered prepaid and classified as other assets on the consolidated balance sheet. For the three months ended December 31, 2010, the direct and allocated costs were approximately \$2.1 million, of which approximately \$1.6 million was expensed, while approximately \$0.1 million was considered prepaid and classified as other assets on the consolidated balance sheet. For the three months ended December 31, 2009, the direct and allocated costs were approximately \$4.9 million, of which approximately \$4.3 million was expensed, while approximately \$0.6 million was considered prepaid and classified as other assets on the consolidated balance sheet. Approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, in out-of-pocket expenses were charged against equity as a cost of raising capital for the three-month periods ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, while no such expenses were charged against equity in 2009. Included in these reimbursed costs was compensation paid to our executive officers, including our principal financial officer and general counsel of \$40,197 for the three months ended December 31, 2011, \$42,413 for the three months ended December 31, 2010 and \$35,750 for the three months ended December 31, 2009.

The following table provides other operating expenses as a percentage of average equity for the three month periods presented:

(dollars in thousands)	Operating Expenses	Operating Expenses/Average Equity	
			(Ratios for the quarter have been annualized)
For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2011	\$3,245	1.0	%
For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2010	\$1,246	1.9	%
For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2009	\$9,425	24.8	%

Our operating expenses as a percentage of average equity for the three months ended December 31, 2011 was 1.0%, compared to 1.9% and 24.8% for the same periods in 2010 and 2009. The favorable decrease of our operating expense ratio during 2011 resulted primarily from the additional capital raised upon completion of our secondary common stock offerings. See Note 13 - Stockholders' Equity of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The expenses for 2009 primarily represent costs associated with the merger transaction on October 28, 2009 and costs associated with Capitol as a development stage company prior to the merger transaction and are not comparable to the operational costs we incurred in the same three month periods of 2011 and 2010.

Income Taxes

For the three months ended December 31, 2011 we have recognized \$6.2 million of income tax benefit related to both current and deferred income tax provisions in our TRSs. Our effective tax rate for the three months ended December 31, 2011 was a negative 13.6%. For the three months ended December 31, 2011 we have recognized \$9.1 million of deferred tax benefit related to unrealized losses on derivative instruments and \$0.8 million of deferred tax benefit related to unrealized losses on U.S. Treasuries held in our TRS. For the three months ended December 31, 2011 we have recognized current federal tax expense of \$3.7 million due to realized net gains on derivative instruments and U.S. Treasuries.

For the three months ended December 31, 2010, we have recognized \$0.9 million of income tax expense related to both current and deferred income tax provisions in our TRS, Capitol. Our effective tax rate for the three months ended December 31,

Table of Contents

2010 was 5.0%. For the three months ended December 31, 2010, we have recognized \$0.9 million of deferred tax expense, related to unrealized gains on derivative instruments, and \$0.1 million of deferred tax benefit related to unrealized losses on U.S. Treasuries held in our TRS. For the three months ended December 31, 2010 we have recognized current federal tax expense of \$0.1 million due to realized net gains on derivative instruments and U.S. Treasuries.

For the three months ended December 31, 2009, we have recognized \$0.1 million of income tax benefit related to both current and deferred income tax provisions in our TRS, Capitol. Our effective tax rate for the three months ended December 31, 2009 was negative 0.8%. There was no deferred tax expense in the three months ended December 31, 2009. For the three months ended December 31, 2009 we have recognized current federal tax expense of \$0.1 million. We currently intend to distribute 100% of our REIT taxable income and comply with all requirements to continue to qualify as a REIT, and therefore we have not recognized any further federal or state tax provisions.

## Financial Condition

## Available-for-Sale Securities, at Fair Value

## Agency RMBS

Our Agency RMBS portfolio is comprised of adjustable rate and fixed rate mortgage-backed securities backed by single-family and multi-family mortgage loans. All of our principal and interest Agency RMBS were Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac mortgage pass-through certificates or collateralized mortgage obligations that carry an implied "AAA" rating, or Ginnie Mae mortgage pass-through certificates, which are backed by the guarantee of the U.S. Government. The majority of these securities consist of whole pools in which we own all of the investment interests in the securities.

The tables below summarize certain characteristics of our Agency AFS securities at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

		December 31, 2011					Weighted	Weighted
(dollars in thousands, except purchase price)	Principal/Current Face	Net (Discount)/Premium	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gain	Unrealized Loss	Carrying Value	Average Coupon Rate	Average Purchase Price
Principal and interest securities:								
Fixed	\$4,374,538	\$258,867	\$4,633,405	\$105,499	\$(1,684)	\$4,737,220	4.61 %	\$106.56
Hybrid/ARM	217,942	11,258	229,200	2,685	(207)	231,678	4.01 %	\$106.01
Total P&I Securities	4,592,480	270,125	4,862,605	108,184	(1,891)	4,968,898	4.58 %	\$106.54
Interest-only securities								
Fixed	489,226	(424,713)	64,513	104	(16,840)	47,777	5.32 %	\$16.18
Fixed Other <sup>(1)</sup>	611,048	(574,552)	36,496	576	(590)	36,482	1.31 %	\$6.36
Total	\$5,692,754	\$(729,140)	\$4,963,614	\$108,864	\$(19,321)	\$5,053,157		
		December 31, 2010						
(dollars in thousands, except purchase price)	Principal/Current Face	Net (Discount)/Premium	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gain	Unrealized Loss	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Coupon Rate	Weighted Average Purchase Price
Principal and interest securities:								
Fixed	\$683,102	\$29,712	\$712,814	\$6,324	\$(3,350)	\$715,788	4.79 %	\$104.70

Edgar Filing: Two Harbors Investment Corp. - Form 10-K

Hybrid/ARM	255,592	11,939	267,531	2,034	(53 )	269,512	4.05	%	\$104.90
Total P&I Securities	938,694	41,651	980,345	8,358	(3,403 )	985,300	4.59	%	\$104.76
Interest-only securities									
Fixed	173,653	(153,969 )	19,684	786	(2,414 )	18,056	5.11	%	\$13.26
Fixed Other <sup>(1)</sup>	194,308	(181,010 )	13,298	164	(349 )	13,113	1.37	%	\$7.04
Total	\$1,306,655	\$(293,328)	\$1,013,327	\$9,308	\$(6,166 )	\$1,016,469			

(1) Fixed Other represent weighted-average coupon interest-only securities that are not generally used for our interest-rate risk management purposes. These securities pay variable coupon interest based on the weighted average of the fixed rates of the underlying loans of the security, less the weighted average rates of the applicable issued principal and interest securities.

Table of Contents

Our three-month average constant prepayment rate, or CPR, experienced by Agency RMBS owned by us as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 on an annualized basis, was 5.6% and 8.0% , respectively. The following table summarizes the number of months until the next re-set for our floating or adjustable rate Agency RMBS mortgage portfolio at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively:

	Carrying Value	
	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
0-12 months	\$200,077	\$213,050
13-36 months	6,979	21,081
37-60 months	6,133	6,296
Greater than 60 months	18,489	29,085
Total	\$231,678	\$269,512

## Non-Agency RMBS

Our non-Agency RMBS portfolio is comprised of senior and mezzanine tranches of mortgage-backed securities. The following tables provide investment information on our non-Agency RMBS as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively:

As of December 31, 2011

(in thousands)	Principal/Current Face	Accretible Purchase Discount	Credit Reserve Purchase Discount	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gain	Unrealized Loss	Carrying Value
Senior	\$2,116,062	\$(399,254)	\$(663,890)	\$1,052,918	\$7,786	\$(127,242)	\$933,462
Mezzanine	551,867	(141,715)	(118,716)	291,436	4,095	(32,898)	262,633
Total	\$2,667,929	\$(540,969)	\$(782,606)	\$1,344,354	\$11,881	\$(160,140)	\$1,196,095

As of December 31, 2010

(in thousands)	Principal/Current Face	Accretible Purchase Discount	Credit Reserve Purchase Discount	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gain	Unrealized Loss	Carrying Value
Senior	\$447,627	\$(99,608)	\$(92,927)	\$255,092	\$13,977	\$(908)	\$268,161
Mezzanine	146,679	(30,384)	(52,928)	63,367	7,526	(1,118)	69,775
Total	\$594,306	\$(129,992)	\$(145,855)	\$318,459	\$21,503	\$(2,026)	\$337,936

The majority of our non-Agency RMBS were rated at December 31, 2011. Note that credit ratings are based on the par value of the non-Agency RMBS, whereas the distressed non-Agency RMBS assets in our portfolio were acquired at a heavily discounted price. The following table summarizes the credit ratings of our non-Agency RMBS portfolio as of December 31, 2011:

	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	
AAA	—	%—	%
AA	—	%0.3	%
A	2.0	%1.6	%
BBB	4.4	%15.5	%
BB	8.8	%13.6	%
B	9.7	%7.9	%
Below B	74.6	%59.1	%
Not rated	0.5	%2.0	%
Total	100.0	%100.0	%



Table of Contents

The following tables present certain information detailed by investment type and their respective underlying loan characteristics for our senior and mezzanine non-Agency RMBS, excluding our non-Agency interest-only portfolio, at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	At December 31, 2011			
Non-Agency Principal and Interest (P&I) RMBS Characteristics	Senior Bonds	Mezzanine Bonds	Total P&I Bonds	
Carrying Value (in thousands)	\$932,867	\$262,633	\$1,195,500	
% of Non-Agency Portfolio	78.0	% 22.0	% 100.0	%
Average Purchase Price	\$55.05	\$58.19	\$55.74	
Average Coupon	2.3	% 1.3	% 2.0	%
Average Fixed Coupon	5.6	% 5.8	% 5.6	%
Average Floating Coupon	1.4	% 1.0	% 1.3	%
Average Hybrid Coupon	4.6	% 2.8	% 4.6	%
Collateral Attributes				
Avg Loan Age (months)	65	83	69	
Avg Original Loan-to-Value	78.0	% 77.4	% 77.9	%
Avg Original FICO <sup>(1)</sup>	649	639	647	
Current Performance				
60+ day delinquencies	44.2	% 35.2	% 42.2	%
Average Credit Enhancement <sup>(2)</sup>	21.9	% 31.7	% 24.1	%
3-Month CPR <sup>(3)</sup>	2.1	% 3.3	% 2.4	%
	At December 31, 2010			
Non-Agency Principal and Interest (P&I) RMBS Characteristics	Senior Bonds	Mezzanine Bonds	Total P&I Bonds	
Carrying Value (in thousands)	\$267,775	\$69,775	\$337,550	
% of Non-Agency Portfolio	79.3	% 20.7	% 100.0	%
Average Purchase Price	\$62.30	\$52.39	\$60.25	
Average Coupon	3.0	% 1.9	% 2.7	%
Average Fixed Coupon	5.7	% 5.9	% 5.7	%
Average Floating Coupon	1.8	% 0.9	% 1.6	%
Average Hybrid Coupon	4.4	% 5.5	% 4.6	%
Collateral Attributes				
Avg Loan Age (months)	58	69	60	
Avg Original Loan-to-Value	77.0	% 76.0	% 77.0	%
Avg Original FICO <sup>(1)</sup>	680	673	679	
Current Performance				
60+ day delinquencies	34.4	% 26.0	% 33.0	%
Average Credit Enhancement <sup>(2)</sup>	24.0	% 22.0	% 24.0	%
3-Month CPR <sup>(3)</sup>	3.9	% 4.1	% 4.0	%

(1) FICO represents a mortgage industry accepted credit score of a borrower, which was developed by Fair Isaac Corporation.

(2) Average credit enhancement remaining on our non-Agency RMBS portfolio, which is the average amount of protection available to absorb future credit losses due to defaults on the underlying collateral.

Three-Month CPR is reflective of the prepayment speed on the underlying securitization; however, it does not necessarily indicate the proceeds received on our investment tranche. Proceeds received for each security are dependent on the position of the individual security within the structure of each deal.





Table of Contents

Non-Agency RMBS Characteristics (dollars in thousands)		December 31, 2011						
		Senior Bonds		Mezzanine Bonds		Total Bonds		
Loan Type	Carrying Value	% of Senior Bonds	Carrying Value	% of Mezzanine Bonds	Carrying Value	% of Non-Agency Portfolio		
Prime	\$15,489	1.7	% \$1,294	0.5	% \$16,783	1.4	%	
Alt-A	64,429	6.9	% 7,609	2.9	% 72,038	6.0	%	
POA	184,981	19.8	% 19,334	7.4	% 204,315	17.1	%	
Subprime	667,968	71.6	% 234,396	89.2	% 902,364	75.5	%	
	\$932,867	100.0	% \$262,633	100.0	% \$1,195,500	100.0	%	
Non-Agency RMBS Characteristics (dollars in thousands)		December 31, 2010						
		Senior Bonds		Mezzanine Bonds		Total Bonds		
Loan Type	Carrying Value	% of Senior Bonds	Carrying Value	% of Mezzanine Bonds	Carrying Value	% of Non-Agency Portfolio		
Prime	\$9,927	3.7	% \$3,011	4.3	% \$12,938	3.9	%	
Alt-A	53,942	20.1	% 10,297	14.8	% 64,239	19.0	%	
POA	107,125	40.0	% 22,525	32.3	% 129,650	38.4	%	
Subprime	96,781	36.2	% 33,942	48.6	% 130,723	38.7	%	
	\$267,775	100.0	% \$69,775	100.0	% \$337,550	100.0	%	
Non-Agency RMBS Characteristics (dollars in thousands)		December 31, 2011						
		Senior Bonds		Mezzanine Bonds		Total Bonds		
Coupon Type	Carrying Value	% of Senior Bonds	Carrying Value	% of Mezzanine Bonds	Carrying Value	% of Non-Agency Portfolio		
Fixed Rate	\$184,721	19.8	% \$16,360	6.2	% \$201,081	16.8	%	
Hybrid or Floating	748,146	80.2	% 246,273	93.8	% 994,419	83.2	%	
	\$932,867	100.0	% \$262,633	100.0	% \$1,195,500	100.0	%	
Non-Agency RMBS Characteristics (dollars in thousands)		December 31, 2010						
		Senior Bonds		Mezzanine Bonds		Total Bonds		
Coupon Type	Carrying Value	% of Senior Bonds	Carrying Value	% of Mezzanine Bonds	Carrying Value	% of Non-Agency Portfolio		
Fixed Rate	\$79,181	29.6	% \$13,238	19.0	% \$92,419	27.4	%	
Hybrid or Floating	188,594	70.4	% 56,537	81.0	% 245,131	72.6	%	
	\$267,775	100.0	% \$69,775	100.0	% \$337,550	100.0	%	
Non-Agency RMBS Characteristics (dollars in thousands)		December 31, 2011						
		Senior Bonds		Mezzanine Bonds		Total Bonds		
Loan Origination Year	Carrying Value	% of Senior Bonds	Carrying Value	% of Mezzanine Bonds	Carrying Value	% of Non-Agency Portfolio		
2006+	\$713,344	76.5	% \$36,449	13.9	% \$749,793	62.7	%	

Edgar Filing: Two Harbors Investment Corp. - Form 10-K

2002-2005	218,036	23.4	%	225,291	85.8	%	443,327	37.1	%
Pre-2002	1,487	0.1	%	893	0.3	%	2,380	0.2	%
	\$932,867	100.0	%	\$262,633	100.0	%	\$1,195,500	100.0	%

58

---

Table of Contents

Non-Agency RMBS Characteristics (dollars in thousands)		December 31, 2010						
Loan Origination Year	Senior Bonds		Mezzanine Bonds		Total Bonds			
	Carrying Value	% of Senior Bonds	Carrying Value	% of Mezzanine Bonds	Carrying Value	% of Non-Agency Portfolio		
2006+	\$162,385	60.6	% \$17,550	25.2	% \$179,935	53.3	%	
2002-2005	104,284	39.0	% 51,852	74.3	% 156,136	46.3	%	
Pre-2002	1,106	0.4	% 373	0.5	% 1,479	0.4	%	
	\$267,775	100.0	% \$69,775	100.0	% \$337,550	100.0	%	

The underlying mortgage loans collateralizing our non-Agency RMBS are located across the United States. The following table presents the five largest geographic concentrations of the mortgages collateralizing these RMBS at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

(dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2011		December 31, 2010		
	Carrying Value	% of non-Agency RMBS	Carrying Value	% of non-Agency RMBS	
California	\$362,222	30.3	% \$123,611	36.6	%
Florida	130,123	10.9	% 35,198	10.4	%
New York	94,601	7.9	% 13,990	4.1	%
Texas	56,769	4.8	% 8,830	2.6	%
New Jersey	38,495	3.2	% 9,403	2.8	%
	\$682,210	57.1	% \$191,032	56.5	%

**Repurchase Agreements**

Our borrowings consist entirely of repurchase agreements collateralized by our pledge of AFS and trading securities, derivative instruments, mortgage loans and certain cash balances. Substantially all of our Agency RMBS are currently pledged as collateral, and the majority of our non-Agency RMBS have been pledged. As of December 31, 2011, our debt-to-equity ratio was 5.2:1.0. Our debt-to-equity ratio includes the debt collateralized by our U.S. Treasuries, residential mortgage loans and Agency derivatives. Our debt-to-equity ratio for RMBS, residential mortgage loans and Agency derivatives only was 4.5:1.0. We believe our debt-to-equity ratio provides unused borrowing capacity and, thus, improves our liquidity and the strength of our balance sheet.

As of December 31, 2011, the term to maturity of our borrowings ranged from three days to over twenty-three months. The weighted average original term to maturity of our borrowings collateralized by RMBS and mortgage loans was 73 days at December 31, 2011. At December 31, 2011, the weighted average cost of funds for all our repurchase agreements was 0.68%.

(dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2011		December 31, 2010		
	Amount Outstanding	Weighted Average	Amount Outstanding	Weighted Average	
U.S. treasuries	\$1,001,250	0.12	% \$198,750	0.28	%
Agency RMBS	4,804,533	0.50	% 745,861	0.37	%
Non-Agency RMBS	731,014	2.61	% 201,976	2.05	%
Agency derivatives	118,032	0.97	% 23,216	1.07	%
Mortgage loans held-for-sale	5,319	3.20	% —	—	%
Total	\$6,660,148	0.68	% \$1,169,803	0.66	%

Edgar Filing: Two Harbors Investment Corp. - Form 10-K

As of December 31, 2011, amounts outstanding under repurchase agreements include \$130.0 million of borrowings under the 364-day repurchase facility with Wells Fargo. As of December 31, 2011, the facility provided an aggregate maximum borrowing capacity of \$150 million and it is set to mature on July 25, 2012. The facility is collateralized by non-Agency RMBS and its weighted average borrowing rate as of December 31, 2011 was 2.07%. As of December 31, 2010, amounts outstanding under repurchase agreements included \$70.8 million of borrowings under the 364-day

Table of Contents

repurchase facility with Wells Fargo. As of December 31, 2010, the facility provided an aggregate maximum borrowing capacity of \$75.0 million. The facility was collateralized by non-Agency RMBS and its weighted average borrowing rate as of December 31, 2010 was 1.98%.

As of December 31, 2011, amounts outstanding under repurchase agreements include \$5.3 million of borrowings under the 364-day repurchase facility with Barclays. As of December 31, 2011, the facility provided an aggregate maximum borrowing capacity of \$100 million and is set to mature on May 16, 2012, unless extended pursuant to its terms. The facility is collateralized by eligible residential mortgage loans and its weighted average borrowing rate as of December 31, 2011 was 3.2% .

The following table provides the quarterly average balances, the quarter-end balances, and the maximum balances at any month-end within that quarterly period, of repurchase agreements for the three months ended December 31, 2011, and the four immediately preceding quarters:

(dollars in thousands)	Quarterly Average Repurchase Balances <sup>(1)</sup>	End of Period Balance Repurchase Agreements <sup>(1)</sup>	Maximum Balance of Any Month-End for Repurchase Agreements <sup>(1)</sup>	Repurchase Agreements to Equity Ratio	
For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2011	5,694,818	5,658,898	5,766,848	4.5:1.0	
For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2011	4,640,801	5,771,063	5,771,063	4.4:1.0	(2)
For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011	3,050,424	3,807,802	3,807,802	4.2:1.0	(3)
For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011	1,516,076	2,317,156	2,317,156	3.4:1.0	(4)
For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2010	850,945	971,053	971,053	2.5:1.0	(5)

(1) Includes repurchase agreements collateralized by RMBS, residential mortgage loans and Agency derivatives and excludes repurchase agreements collateralized by U.S. Treasuries.

(2) On July 15, 2011, we completed a capital raise of approximately \$483.6 million, which was invested on a leveraged basis. With a higher targeted allocation to non-Agency RMBS for additional capital, we targeted a fully deployed debt-to-equity ratio of 4.0:1.0 to 4.5:1.0.

(3) On May 25, 2011, we completed a capital raise of approximately \$235.2 million in net proceeds, which was invested on a leveraged basis. With a higher targeted allocation to non-Agency RMBS for additional capital, we targeted a fully deployed debt-to-equity ratio of 4.0:1.0 to 4.5:1.0.

(4) On March 16, 2011, we completed a capital raise of approximately \$287.8 million in net proceeds. Due to the timing of the capital raise within the quarter, the net proceeds were not fully invested, on a leveraged basis, until the end of April 2011. With a higher targeted allocation to Agency RMBS for additional capital, we targeted a fully deployed debt-to-equity ratio of 4.5:1.0 to 5.0:1.0.

(5) On December 22, 2010, we completed a capital raise of approximately \$128.5 million in net proceeds. Due to the timing of the capital raise within the quarter, the net proceeds were not fully invested, on a leveraged basis, until the end of January 2011, resulting in a decline in the debt-to-equity ratio as of December 31, 2010. With a higher targeted allocation to Agency RMBS for additional capital, we targeted a fully deployed debt-to-equity ratio of 3.5:1.0 to 4.0:1.0.

Table of Contents

## Equity

As of December 31, 2011, our stockholders' equity was \$1.3 billion and our diluted book value per share was \$9.03.

As of December 31, 2010, our stockholders' equity was \$382.4 million and our diluted book value per share was \$9.44.

The following table provides details of our changes in stockholders' equity from December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2011:

(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)	Book Value	Book Value Per Diluted Share <sup>(2)</sup>
Stockholders' equity at December 31, 2009	\$ 121,721	\$9.08
GAAP net income:		
Core Earnings, net of tax benefit of \$0.5 million <sup>(1)</sup>	29,169	0.72
Realized gains and losses, net of tax expense of \$0.5 million	4,176	0.10
Unrealized mark-to-market gains and losses, net of tax benefit of \$0.7 million	2,410	0.06
Other comprehensive income	23,569	0.58
Dividend declaration	(34,086)	(0.84)
Net proceeds from common stock issuance	235,275	(0.27)
Other	214	0.01
Stockholders' equity at December 31, 2010	\$382,448	\$9.44
GAAP net income:		
Core Earnings, net of tax benefit of \$0.2 million <sup>(1)</sup>	153,538	1.09
Realized gains and losses, net of tax expense of \$4.9 million	21,974	0.16
Unrealized mark-to-market gains and losses, net of tax benefit of \$5.8 million	(48,080)	(0.34)
Other comprehensive income	(81,335)	(0.58)
Dividend declaration	(165,585)	(1.18)
Net proceeds from common stock issuance	1,006,847	0.44
Other	279	—
Stockholders' equity at December 31, 2011	\$1,270,086	\$9.03

Core Earnings is a non-GAAP measure that we define as net income, excluding impairment losses, gains or losses on sales of securities and termination of interest rate swaps, unrealized gains or losses on trading securities, interest rate swaps and swaptions, certain gains or losses on other derivative instruments and non-recurring business combination expenses. As defined, Core Earnings includes interest income associated with our inverse interest-only securities, or Agency derivatives, and premium income on credit default swaps. Core Earnings is provided for purposes of comparability to other peer issuers.

(2) Diluted shares outstanding at end of period are used as the denominator in book value per share calculation.

Table of Contents

## GAAP to Taxable Income

The following table provides reconciliation of our GAAP income (loss) to our taxable income (loss) split between our REIT and taxable REIT subsidiaries for the year ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively:

(dollars in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2011			Year Ended December 31, 2010		
	TRS	REIT	Consolidated	TRS	REIT	Consolidated
GAAP net income (loss), pre-tax	\$(3.3 )	\$129.6	\$126.3	\$(2.0 )	\$37.1	\$35.1
Permanent differences						
Capitol dividend of E&P	—	8.0	8.0	—	—	—
Non-deductible expenses	—	0.3	0.3	—	0.2	0.2
Temporary differences						
Net accretion of OID and market discount	—	7.4	7.4	—	3.1	3.1
Unrealized loss (gain) on trading securities and derivatives	17.2	31.7	48.9	2.1	(3.8 )	(1.7 )
Taxable income	13.9	177.0	190.9	0.1	36.6	36.7
Prior year undistributed taxable income	—	2.8	2.8	—	0.3	0.3
Dividend declaration deduction	—	(165.6 )	(165.6 )	—	(34.1 )	(34.1 )
Taxable income post-dividend deduction	\$13.9	\$14.2 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$28.1	\$0.1	\$2.8 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$2.9

(1) The REIT will not be subject to U.S. federal income taxes on its taxable income to the extent it distributes its net taxable income to stockholders.

The permanent tax differences are non-recurring permanent tax differences that were recorded in 2011. These items will not impact future dividend declarations and/or book value in 2012 and beyond. Temporary differences are principally timing differences between GAAP and tax accounting, which will adjust over the course of the RMBS's term and/or at time of sale of the RMBS in the future.

## Change in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

With our accounting treatment for AFS securities, unrealized fluctuations in the market values of securities do not impact our GAAP or taxable income but are recognized on our balance sheet as a change in stockholder's equity under "accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)." As a result of this fair value accounting through stockholder's equity, we expect our net income to have less significant fluctuations and result in less GAAP to taxable income timing differences, than if the portfolio were accounted as trading instruments.

## Dividends

For the 2011 taxable year, we declared dividends to common stockholders totaling \$165.6 million, or \$1.60 per share. As a REIT, we are required to distribute 90% of our taxable income to stockholders, subject to certain distribution requirements. Our board of directors for the fiscal year ended 2011 has elected to distribute the majority of our taxable income to avoid U.S. Federal Income taxes. As such, temporary differences between GAAP and taxable income can generate deterioration in book value on a permanent and temporary basis as taxable income is distributed that has not been earned for GAAP purposes. The following table presents cash dividends declared on our common stock from October 28, 2009 through December 31, 2011:

Declaration Date	Record Date	Payment Date	Cash Dividend Per Share
December 14, 2011	December 27, 2011	January 20, 2012	\$0.40
September 14, 2011	September 26, 2011	October 20, 2011	\$0.40
June 14, 2011	June 24, 2011	July 20, 2011	\$0.40
March 2, 2011	March 14, 2011	April 14, 2011	\$0.40
December 8, 2010	December 17, 2010	January 20, 2011	\$0.40



Edgar Filing: Two Harbors Investment Corp. - Form 10-K

September 13, 2010	September 30, 2010	October 21, 2010	\$0.39
June 14, 2010	June 30, 2010	July 22, 2010	\$0.33
March 12, 2010	March 31, 2010	April 23, 2010	\$0.36
December 21, 2009	December 31, 2009	January 26, 2010	\$0.26

62

---

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our liquidity and capital resources are managed and forecast on a daily basis to ensure that we have sufficient liquidity to absorb market events that could negatively impact collateral valuations and result in margin calls and to ensure that we have the flexibility to manage our portfolio to take advantage of market opportunities.

Our principal sources of cash consist of borrowings under repurchase agreements, payments of principal and interest we receive on our RMBS portfolio, cash generated from our operating results, and proceeds from capital market transactions. We typically use cash to repay principal and interest on our repurchase agreements, to purchase our target assets, to make dividend payments on our capital stock, and to fund our operations.

To the extent that we raise additional equity capital through capital market transactions, we anticipate using cash proceeds from such transactions to purchase additional RMBS and other target assets and for other general corporate purposes. There can be no assurance, however, that we will be able to raise additional equity capital at any particular time or on any particular terms.

As of December 31, 2011, we held \$360.0 million in cash and cash equivalents available to support our operation, \$7.5 billion of AFS, trading securities and derivative assets held at fair value, and \$6.7 billion of outstanding debt in the form of repurchase agreements (excludes \$45.6 million in payables to broker counterparties for unsettled security purchases). During the three months ended December 31, 2011, our debt-to-equity ratio decreased from 5.6:1.0 to 5.2:1.0, including monies borrowed to finance our investment in U.S. Treasuries. The debt-to-equity ratio funding our RMBS, residential mortgage loans, and Agency derivatives increased from 4.4:1.0 to 4.5:1.0 as we completed the investment of our offering proceeds. We believe the debt-to-equity ratio funding our RMBS, residential mortgage loans and Agency derivatives is the most meaningful debt-to-equity measure as U.S. Treasuries are viewed to be highly liquid in nature.

As of December 31, 2011, we had approximately \$24.2 million of unpledged Agency securities and derivatives and \$74.3 million of unpledged non-Agency securities and an overall estimated unused borrowing capacity on unpledged RMBS of approximately \$67.2 million. On a daily basis, we monitor and forecast our available, or excess, liquidity. Additionally, we frequently perform shock analyses against various market events to monitor the adequacy of our excess liquidity. If borrowing rates and collateral requirements change in the near term, we believe we are subject to less earnings volatility than a more leveraged organization.

We have not experienced any restrictions to our funding sources in 2011 and have generally witnessed an increase in available financing in the RMBS marketplace. We expect ongoing sources of financing to be primarily repurchase agreements and similar financing arrangements. We plan to finance our assets with a moderate amount of leverage, the level of which may vary based upon the particular characteristics of our portfolio and market conditions. We may deploy, on a debt-to-equity basis, up to ten times leverage on our Agency RMBS assets. We also deploy some leverage on our non-Agency RMBS assets utilizing repurchase agreements as the source of financing.

As of December 31, 2011 we have master repurchase agreements in place with 20 counterparties, the majority of which are U.S. domiciled financial institutions, and we continue to evaluate further counterparties to manage and reduce counterparty risk. Under our repurchase agreements, we are required to pledge additional assets as collateral to our counterparties (lenders) when the estimated fair value of the existing pledged collateral under such agreements declines and such lenders, through a margin call, demand additional collateral. Lenders generally make margin calls because of a perceived decline in the value of our assets collateralizing the repurchase agreements. This may occur following the monthly principal reduction of assets due to scheduled amortization and prepayments on the underlying mortgages, or may be caused by changes in market interest rates, a perceived decline in the market value of the investments and other market factors. To cover a margin call, we may pledge additional securities or cash. At maturity, any cash on deposit as collateral is generally applied against the repurchase agreement balance, thereby reducing the amount borrowed. Should the value of our assets suddenly decrease, significant margin calls on our repurchase agreements could result, causing an adverse change in our liquidity position.



Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our repurchase agreements and counterparty geographical concentration at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

(dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2011			December 31, 2010			
	Amount Outstanding	Net Counterparty Exposure <sup>(1)</sup>	Percent of Funding	Amount Outstanding	Net Counterparty Exposure <sup>(1)</sup>	Percent of Funding	
North America	\$4,972,632	\$570,534	71.3 %	\$825,766	\$96,391	54.4 %	
Europe <sup>(2)</sup>	884,888	183,955	23.0 %	253,029	71,279	40.2 %	
Asia <sup>(2)</sup>	802,628	45,954	5.7 %	91,008	9,516	5.4 %	
Total	\$6,660,148	\$800,443	100.0 %	\$1,169,803	\$177,186	100.0 %	

(1) Represents the net carrying value of the securities or mortgage loans sold under agreements to repurchase, including accrued interest plus any cash or assets on deposit to secure the repurchase obligation, less the amount of the repurchase liability, including accrued interest. At December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had \$45.6 million and \$231.7 million, respectively, in payables due to broker counterparties for unsettled security purchases. The payables are not included in the amounts presented above.

(2) Exposure to European and Asian domiciled banks and their U.S. subsidiaries.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, we have continued to maintain our repurchase agreement with Wells Fargo Bank. The repurchase agreement serves as a repurchase facility used from time to time to finance certain of our non-Agency securities held in our RMBS portfolio with Wells Fargo pursuant to its terms. As of December 31, 2011, borrowings under the Wells Fargo repurchase agreement were \$130.0 million and unused, uncommitted capacity was \$20.0 million for an aggregate maximum borrowing capacity of \$150.0 million. The facility is set to mature on July 25, 2012.

Once an RMBS is financed by Wells Fargo in accordance with the repurchase agreement, the financing is committed for the duration of the facility subject to similar pledged collateral and margin requirements as a standard repurchase agreement discussed above. As part of the repurchase agreement, we are subject to certain financial covenants, which we monitor and comply with on a daily basis. The extended duration of the facility and its terms provide an additional source to manage our liquidity and interest rate risk.

In 2011 we began setting up a securitization issuance program by partnering with Barclays to close on a \$100 million mortgage loan warehouse facility, subject to future increase. This uncommitted facility provides an aggregate maximum borrowing capacity of \$100.0 million and it is set to mature on May 16, 2012, unless extended pursuant to its terms. As of December 31, 2011, borrowings under the uncommitted facility were \$5.3 million and unused capacity was \$94.7 million. The facility is collateralized by eligible residential mortgage loans, which are subject to margin call provisions that provide Barclays with certain rights when there has been a decline in the market value of the purchased mortgage loans.

We are subject to the following financial covenants under the Wells Fargo and Barclays repurchase agreements, as further detailed by the guaranty agreements we entered into in connection with the repurchase agreements. The following represents the most restrictive covenant calculations as of December 31, 2011 across both agreements:

As of the last business day of each calendar quarter, Total Indebtedness to Net Worth must be less than the (a) specified Threshold Ratio in the Repurchase Agreement. As of December 31, 2011, our debt to net worth, as defined, was 4.5:1.0 while our threshold ratio, as defined, was 6.6:1.0.

As of the last business day of each calendar quarter, Liquidity must be greater than \$25 million and the aggregate amount of Unrestricted Cash or Cash Equivalents must be greater than \$15 million. As of December 31, 2011, our (b) liquidity, as defined, was \$360.0 million and our total unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, as defined, was \$37.0 million.

(c)

As of the last business day of each calendar quarter, Net Worth must be greater than \$450 million. As of December 31, 2011, our net worth, as defined, was \$1.3 billion.

We are also subject to financial covenants in connection with various other International Swap and Derivative Association, or ISDA, and repurchase agreements we enter into in the normal course of our business. The covenants described above represent the most restrictive of all covenant terms under these agreements. We intend to continue to operate in a manner which complies with all of our financial covenants.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes assets at carrying value that are pledged or restricted as collateral for the future payment obligations of repurchase agreements.

(in thousands)	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Available-for-sale securities, at fair value	\$6,160,229	\$1,090,598
Trading securities, at fair value	1,003,301	199,523
Mortgage loans held-for-sale	5,782	—
Cash and cash equivalents	15,000	14,467
Restricted cash	94,803	11,634
Due from counterparties	32,201	10,508
Derivative assets, at fair value	145,779	30,534
Total	\$7,457,095	\$1,357,264

Although we generally intend to hold our target assets as long-term investments, we may sell certain of our investment securities in order to manage our interest rate risk and liquidity needs, to meet other operating objectives and to adapt to market conditions. We cannot predict the timing and impact of future sales of investment securities, if any. Because many of our investment securities are financed with repurchase agreements and may be financed with credit facilities (including term loans and revolving facilities), a significant portion of the proceeds from sales of our investment securities (if any), prepayments and scheduled amortization are used to repay balances under these financing sources. The following table provides the maturities of our repurchase agreements as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

(in thousands)	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Within 30 days	\$1,967,009	\$197,286
30 to 59 days	1,263,060	211,556
60 to 89 days	1,096,410	117,621
90 to 119 days	359,171	152,433
120 to 364 days <sup>(1)</sup>	923,248	292,157
Open maturity <sup>(2)</sup>	1,001,250	198,750
One year and over <sup>(3)</sup>	50,000	—
Total	\$6,610,148	\$1,169,803

(1) 120 to 364 days includes the amounts outstanding under the Wells Fargo 364-day repurchase agreement.

(2) Repurchase agreements collateralized by U.S. Treasuries include an open maturity period (i.e., rolling 1-day maturity) renewable at the discretion of either party to the agreements.

(3) One year and over includes repurchase agreements with a maturity date of December 23, 2013.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, our unrestricted cash balance increased to \$360.0 million from \$163.9 million at December 31, 2010. The cash movements can be summarized by the following:

**Cash flows from operating activities.** For the year ended December 31, 2011, operating activities increased our cash balances by approximately \$151.6 million, primarily driven by our strong interest yield and financial results for the year.

**Cash flows from investing activities.** For the year ended December 31, 2011, investing activities reduced our cash balances by approximately \$6.3 billion. The reduction was driven by the increase in our RMBS portfolio as we deployed capital from our common stock offerings.

**Cash flows from financing activities.** For the year ended December 31, 2011, financing activities increased our cash balance by approximately \$6.4 billion, resulting from the net borrowings under repurchase agreements to fund our

AFS portfolio as well as net proceeds of \$1.0 billion obtained from our common stock offerings.

Table of Contents

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no obligations, assets or liabilities which would be considered off-balance sheet arrangements. We have not participated in transactions that create relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, often referred to as variable interest entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements.

## Aggregate Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes the effect on our liquidity and cash flows from contractual obligations for repurchase agreements, interest expense on repurchase agreements, our non-cancelable office lease and management fees payable under our management agreement:

(in thousands)	Due During the Year Ended December 31,						Total
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Thereafter	
Repurchase agreements <sup>(1)</sup>	\$6,610,148	\$50,000	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$6,660,148
Interest expense on repurchase agreements <sup>(2)</sup>	14,928	3,375	—	—	—	—	18,303
Long-term operating lease obligations	155	156	157	159	66	—	693
Management fee - PRCM Advisers <sup>(3)</sup>	17,337	—	—	—	—	—	17,337
Total	\$6,642,568	\$53,531	\$157	\$159	\$66	\$—	\$6,696,481

(1) Repurchase agreements due in 2012 includes \$1.0 billion collateralized by U.S. Treasuries that have an open maturity period (i.e., rolling 1-day maturity) renewable at the discretion of either party to the agreements.

(2) Interest expense on repurchase facilities are calculated based on rates at December 31, 2011.

(3) Contractual obligation for the management fee is estimated through the contract expiration date of October 28, 2012.

We have entered into a management agreement with PRCM Advisers, pursuant to which PRCM Advisers is entitled to receive a management fee and the reimbursement of certain expenses from us. We reimburse PRCM Advisers for (i) our allocable share of the compensation paid by PRCM Advisers to its personnel serving as our principal financial officer and general counsel and personnel employed by PRCM Advisers as in-house legal, tax, accounting, consulting, auditing, administrative, information technology, valuation, computer programming and development and back-office resources to us, and (ii) any amounts for personnel of PRCM Advisers' affiliates arising under a shared facilities and services agreement.

We are party to contracts that contain a variety of indemnification obligations, principally with brokers, underwriters and counterparties to repurchase agreements. The maximum potential future payment amount we could be required to pay under these indemnification obligations may be unlimited.

## Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Refer to Note 2 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

## Inflation

Substantially all of our assets and liabilities are financial in nature. As a result, changes in interest rates and other factors impact our performance far more than does inflation. Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP and dividends are based upon net ordinary income and capital gains as calculated for tax purposes; in each case, our results of operations and reported assets, liabilities and equity are measured with reference to historical cost



or fair value without considering inflation.

Other Matters

We intend to conduct our business so as to maintain our exempt status under, and not to become regulated as an investment company for purposes of the 1940 Act. If we failed to maintain our exempt status under the 1940 Act and became regulated as an investment company, our ability to, among other things, use leverage would be substantially reduced and, as a result, we would be unable to conduct our business as described in Item 1 - Business - Other Business - Regulation of this Form 10-K. Accordingly, we monitor our compliance with both the 55% Test and the 80% Tests of the

Table of Contents

1940 Act in order to maintain our exempt status. As of December 31, 2011, we determined that we were in maintained compliance with both the 55% Test and the 80% Test requirements.

We calculate that at least 75% of our assets were qualified REIT assets, as defined in the Code for the year ended December 31, 2011. We also calculate that our revenue qualifies for the 75% source of income test and for the 95% source of income test rules for the year ended December 31, 2011. Consequently, we met the REIT income and asset tests. We also met all REIT requirements regarding the ownership of our common stock and the distribution of our net income. Therefore, for the year ended December 31, 2011, we believe that we qualified as a REIT under the Code.

Table of Contents

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We seek to manage our risks related to the credit quality of our assets, interest rates, liquidity, prepayment speeds and market value while providing an opportunity to stockholders to realize attractive risk-adjusted returns through ownership of our capital stock. Although we do not seek to avoid risk completely, we believe that risk can be quantified from historical experience and we seek to manage our risk levels in order to earn sufficient compensation to justify the risks we undertake and to maintain capital levels consistent with taking such risks.

To reduce the risks to our portfolio, we employ portfolio-wide and security-specific risk measurement and management processes in our daily operations. PRCM Advisers' risk management tools include software and services licensed or purchased from third parties, in addition to proprietary software and analytical methods developed by Pine River. There can be no guarantee that these tools will protect us from market risks.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors, including fiscal and monetary policies and domestic and international economic and political considerations, as well as other factors beyond our control. We are subject to interest rate risk in connection with our assets and related financing obligations. Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT, we engage in a variety of interest rate management techniques that seek to mitigate the influence of interest rate changes on the values of our assets.

We utilize U.S. Treasuries as well as derivative financial instruments, currently limited to interest rate swaps, swaptions, TBAs, and to a certain extent inverse interest-only securities, as of December 31, 2011, to hedge the interest rate risk associated with our portfolio. We seek to hedge interest rate risk with respect to both the fixed income nature of our assets and the financing of our portfolio. In hedging interest rates with respect to our fixed income assets, we seek to reduce the risk of losses on the value of our investments that may result from changes in interest rates in the broader markets. In utilizing interest rate hedges with respect to our financing, we seek to improve risk-adjusted returns and, where possible, to obtain a favorable spread between the yield on our assets and the cost of our financing. We rely on PRCM Advisers' expertise to manage these risks on our behalf. We implement part of our hedging strategy through Capitol, our TRS, which is subject to U.S. federal, state and, if applicable, local income tax.

Interest Rate Effect on Net Interest Income

Our operating results depend in large part on differences between the income earned on our assets and our cost of borrowing and hedging activities. The costs associated with our borrowings are generally based on prevailing market interest rates. During a period of rising interest rates, our borrowing costs generally will increase while the yields earned on our existing portfolio of leveraged fixed-rate RMBS will remain static. Moreover, interest rates may rise at a faster pace than the yields earned on our leveraged adjustable-rate and hybrid RMBS. Both of these factors could result in a decline in our net interest spread and net interest margin. The severity of any such decline would depend on our asset/liability composition at the time, as well as the magnitude and duration of the interest rate increase. Further, an increase in short-term interest rates could also have a negative impact on the market value of our target assets. If any of these events happen, we could experience a decrease in net income or incur a net loss during these periods, which could adversely affect our liquidity and results of operations.

Our hedging techniques are partly based on assumed levels of prepayments of our target assets. If prepayments are slower or faster than assumed, the life of the investment will be longer or shorter, which would reduce the effectiveness of any hedging strategies we may use and may cause losses on such transactions. Hedging strategies involving the use of derivative securities are highly complex and may produce volatile returns.

We acquire adjustable-rate and hybrid RMBS. These are assets in which some of the underlying mortgages are typically subject to periodic and lifetime interest rate caps and floors, which may limit the amount by which the security's interest yield may change during any given period. However, our borrowing costs pursuant to our financing agreements are not subject to similar restrictions. Therefore, in a period of increasing interest rates, interest rate costs on our borrowings could increase without limitation, while the interest-rate yields on our adjustable-rate and hybrid RMBS could effectively be limited by caps. This issue will be magnified to the extent we acquire adjustable-rate and hybrid RMBS that are not based on mortgages that are fully indexed. In addition, adjustable-rate and hybrid RMBS

may be subject to periodic payment caps that result in some portion of the interest being deferred and added to the principal outstanding. If this happens, we could receive less cash income on such assets than we would need to pay for interest costs on our related borrowings. These factors could lower our net interest income or cause a net loss during periods of rising interest rates, which would harm our financial condition, cash flows and results of operations.

**Interest Rate Mismatch Risk**

We fund the majority of our adjustable-rate and hybrid Agency RMBS assets with borrowings that are based on LIBOR, while the interest rates on these assets may be indexed to other index rates, such as the one-year Constant Maturity Treasury index, or CMT, the Monthly Treasury Average index, or MTA, or the 11<sup>th</sup> District Cost of Funds Index, or COFI. Accordingly, any increase in LIBOR relative to these indices may result in an increase in our borrowing costs

Table of Contents

that is not matched by a corresponding increase in the interest earnings on these assets. Any such interest rate index mismatch could adversely affect our profitability, which may negatively impact distributions to our stockholders. To mitigate interest rate mismatches, we utilize the hedging strategies discussed above.

The following table provides the indices of our variable rate assets as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, based on total notional amount of bonds (dollars in thousands).

Index Type	As of December 31, 2011			As of December 31, 2010					
	Floating	Hybrid <sup>(1)</sup>	Total	Index %	Floating	Hybrid <sup>(1)</sup>	Total	Index %	
CMT	\$—	\$174,791	\$174,791	14	% \$—	\$205,728	\$205,728	40	%
LIBOR	975,327	43,866	1,019,193						