

Edgar Filing: Education Realty Trust, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Education Realty Trust, Inc.  
Form 10-Q  
November 07, 2014  
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2014

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 001-32417

Education Realty Trust, Inc.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Maryland

(State or Other Jurisdiction of

Incorporation or Organization)

999 South Shady Grove Road, Suite 600

Memphis, Tennessee

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: (901) 259-2500

Not Applicable

(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, if Changed Since Last Report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

Edgar Filing: Education Realty Trust, Inc. - Form 10-Q

As of November 6, 2014, the Registrant had 142,495,459 shares of common stock outstanding, \$0.01 par value per share.

---

Table of Contents

EDUCATION REALTY TRUST, INC.  
FORM 10-Q  
QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2014  
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited).</u>	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets of Education Realty Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013	<u>1</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income of Education Realty Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013	<u>2</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income of Education Realty Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013	<u>4</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity of Education Realty Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows of Education Realty Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures.</u>	<u>52</u>
PART II — OTHER INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings.</u>	<u>53</u>
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors.</u>	<u>53</u>
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.</u>	<u>53</u>
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.</u>	<u>54</u>
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.</u>	<u>54</u>
<u>Item 5. Other Information.</u>	<u>54</u>
<u>Item 6. Exhibits.</u>	<u>54</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>55</u>



Table of Contents

## Part I - Financial Information

## Item 1. Financial Statements.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

(Unaudited)

	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Assets:		
Collegiate housing properties, net	\$1,619,531	\$1,388,885
Collegiate housing properties - held for sale, net	27,834	—
Assets under development	69,635	116,787
Corporate office furniture, net	3,382	3,249
Cash and cash equivalents	18,422	22,073
Restricted cash	13,482	12,253
Student contracts receivable, net	2,058	807
Receivable from managed third parties	16	361
Notes receivable	375	18,125
Goodwill and other intangibles, net	3,712	3,822
Other assets	54,457	44,203
Total assets	\$1,812,904	\$1,610,565
Liabilities:		
Mortgage and construction debt, net of unamortized premium	\$336,114	\$422,681
Unsecured revolving credit facility	210,000	356,900
Unsecured term loans	187,500	—
Accounts payable	5,252	2,289
Accrued expenses	64,881	65,357
Deferred revenue	25,517	23,498
Total liabilities	829,264	870,725
Commitments and contingencies (see Note 6)		
Redeemable noncontrolling interests	12,052	9,871
Equity:		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, 200,000,000 shares authorized, 142,402,758 and 114,740,155 shares issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively	1,424	1,148
Preferred shares, \$0.01 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding	—	—
Additional paid-in capital	1,033,860	813,540
Accumulated deficit	(64,306	) (88,964
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(2,414	) —
Total Education Realty Trust, Inc. stockholders' equity	968,564	725,724
Noncontrolling interests	3,024	4,245
Total equity	971,588	729,969
Total liabilities and equity	\$1,812,904	\$1,610,565

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

1

---

Table of Contents

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

	Nine months ended 2014	September 30, 2013	
Revenues:			
Collegiate housing leasing revenue	\$ 144,677	\$ 116,338	
Third-party development consulting services	5,264	1,989	
Third-party management services	2,856	2,710	
Operating expense reimbursements	6,492	8,141	
Total revenues	159,289	129,178	
Operating expenses:			
Collegiate housing leasing operations	70,062	59,430	
Development and management services	6,964	5,224	
General and administrative	7,520	5,693	
Depreciation and amortization	42,928	33,548	
Ground lease expense	6,162	5,631	
Loss on impairment of collegiate housing properties	12,734	—	
Reimbursable operating expenses	6,492	8,141	
Total operating expenses	152,862	117,667	
Operating income	6,427	11,511	
Nonoperating (income) expenses:			
Interest expense	15,076	12,478	
Amortization of deferred financing costs	1,533	1,268	
Interest and other nonoperating income	(9,638)	(372)	)
Gain on insurance settlement	(8,133)	—	)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	892	—	
Total nonoperating (income) expense	(270)	13,374	)
Income (loss) before equity in losses of unconsolidated entities, income taxes, discontinued operations and gain on sale of collegiate housing properties	6,697	(1,863)	)
Equity in losses of unconsolidated entities	(370)	(102)	)
Income (loss) before income taxes, discontinued operations and gain on sale of collegiate housing properties	6,327	(1,965)	)
Income tax expense (benefit)	598	(269)	)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	5,729	(1,696)	)
Income from discontinued operations	—	4,381	
Income before gain on sale of collegiate housing properties	5,729	2,685	
Gain on sale of collegiate housing properties	19,322	—	
Net income	25,051	2,685	
Less: Net income attributable to the noncontrolling interests	393	6	
Net income attributable to Education Realty Trust, Inc.	\$ 24,658	\$ 2,679	
Income (loss) attributable to Education Realty Trust, Inc. common stockholders per share – basic and diluted:			
Continuing operations	\$ 0.20	\$(0.02)	)
Discontinued operations	—	0.04	
	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.02	

Edgar Filing: Education Realty Trust, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net income attributable to Education Realty Trust, Inc. common stockholders  
per share

Distributions per share of common stock	\$0.34	\$0.31
Weighted average common shares outstanding – basic	124,019	114,302
Weighted average common shares outstanding – diluted	125,057	114,302

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

2

---



Table of Contents

	Nine months ended September 30,	
	2014	2013
Amounts attributable to Education Realty Trust, Inc. – common stockholders:		
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of noncontrolling interests	\$24,658	\$(1,671 )
Income from discontinued operations, net of noncontrolling interests	—	4,350
Net income attributable to Education Realty Trust, Inc.	\$24,658	\$2,679
Comprehensive income:		
Net income	\$25,051	\$2,685
Other comprehensive loss:		
Loss on cash flow hedging derivatives	(2,414	) —
Comprehensive income	22,637	2,685
Less: comprehensive income attributable to the noncontrolling interests	393	6
Comprehensive income attributable to Education Realty Trust, Inc.	\$22,244	\$2,679

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

---

Table of Contents

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

	Three months ended September 30,	
	2014	2013
Revenues:		
Collegiate housing leasing revenue	\$47,657	\$39,550
Third-party development consulting services	3,705	839
Third-party management services	1,052	918
Operating expense reimbursements	2,290	2,162
Total revenues	54,704	43,469
Operating expenses:		
Collegiate housing leasing operations	26,920	23,847
Development and management services	2,337	1,826
General and administrative	3,422	1,709
Depreciation and amortization	14,688	11,387
Ground lease expense	2,329	1,833
Loss on impairment of collegiate housing properties	953	—
Reimbursable operating expenses	2,290	2,162
Total operating expenses	52,939	42,764
Operating income	1,765	705
Nonoperating (income) expenses:		
Interest expense	4,508	4,569
Amortization of deferred financing costs	516	438
Interest and other nonoperating income	(9,527)	) (129)
Gain on insurance settlement	(8,133)	) —
Loss on extinguishment of debt	243	—
Total nonoperating (income) expenses	(12,393)	) 4,878
Income (loss) before equity in losses of unconsolidated entities, income taxes, discontinued operations and gain on sale of collegiate housing properties	14,158	(4,173)
Equity in losses of unconsolidated entities	(236)	) (61)
Income (loss) before income taxes, discontinued operations and gain on sale of collegiate housing properties	13,922	(4,234)
Income tax expense (benefit)	910	(32)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	13,012	(4,202)
Loss from discontinued operations	—	(280)
Income (loss) before gain on sale of collegiate housing properties	13,012	(4,482)
Gain on sale of collegiate housing properties	8,421	—
Net income (loss)	21,433	(4,482)
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to the noncontrolling interests	33	(20)
Net income (loss) attributable to Education Realty Trust, Inc.	\$21,400	\$(4,462)
Income (loss) attributable to Education Realty Trust, Inc. common stockholders per share – basic and diluted:		
Continuing operations	\$0.15	\$(0.04)
Discontinued operations	—	—
	\$0.15	\$(0.04)

Edgar Filing: Education Realty Trust, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net income (loss) attributable to Education Realty Trust, Inc. common stockholders per share		
Distributions per share of common stock	\$0.12	\$0.11
Weighted average common shares outstanding – basic	140,301	114,813
Weighted average common shares outstanding – diluted	141,339	114,813

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

4

---

Table of Contents

	Three months ended September 30,		
	2014	2013	
Amounts attributable to Education Realty Trust, Inc. – common stockholders:			
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of noncontrolling interests	\$21,400	\$(4,184	)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of noncontrolling interests	—	(278	)
Net income (loss) attributable to Education Realty Trust, Inc.	\$21,400	\$(4,462	)
Comprehensive income (loss):			
Net income (loss)	\$21,433	\$(4,482	)
Other comprehensive income:			
Gain on cash flow hedging derivatives	1,343	—	
Comprehensive income (loss)	22,776	(4,482	)
Less: comprehensive income (loss) attributable to the noncontrolling interests	33	(20	)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Education Realty Trust, Inc.	\$22,743	\$(4,462	)

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

5

---

Table of Contents

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

(Amounts in thousands, except shares)

(Unaudited)

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Noncontrolling Interests	Total
	Shares	Amount					
Balance, December 31, 2012	113,062,452	\$ 1,131	\$ 849,878	\$ (93,287 )	\$ —	\$ 5,088	\$ 762,810
Common stock issued to officers and directors	33,180	—	360	—	—	—	360
Proceeds from issuances of common stock, net of offering costs	1,563,196	17	16,594	—	—	—	16,611
Amortization of restricted stock	43,493	—	1,008	—	—	—	1,008
Cash dividends	—	—	(35,380 )	—	—	—	(35,380 )
Return of equity to noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	(796 )	(796 )
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	1,197	1,197
Purchase of noncontrolling interests	—	—	(6,693 )	—	—	(175 )	(6,868 )
Comprehensive income	—	—	—	2,679	—	6	2,685
Balance, September 30, 2013	114,702,321	\$ 1,148	\$ 825,767	\$ (90,608 )	\$ —	\$ 5,320	\$ 741,627
Balance, December 31, 2013	114,740,155	\$ 1,148	\$ 813,540	\$ (88,964 )	\$ —	\$ 4,245	\$ 729,969
Common stock issued to officers and directors	40,152	—	420	—	—	—	420
Proceeds from issuances of common stock, net of offering costs	27,447,359	274	270,672	—	—	—	270,946
Amortization of restricted stock	175,092	2	560	—	—	—	562
Cash dividends	—	—	(42,050 )	—	—	—	(42,050 )
Return of equity to noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	(818 )	(818 )
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	2,205	2,205
Purchase of noncontrolling interests	—	—	(6,507 )	—	—	(2,795 )	(9,302 )
Adjustments to reflect redeemable	—	—	(2,775 )	—	—	—	(2,775 )

Edgar Filing: Education Realty Trust, Inc. - Form 10-Q

noncontrolling interests  
at fair value

Comprehensive income	—	—	—	24,658	(2,414	)	187	22,431
(loss)								
Balance, September 30, 2014	142,402,758	\$1,424	\$1,033,860	\$ (64,306	)	\$ (2,414	)	\$ 3,024
								\$971,588

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

6

---

Table of Contents

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Amounts in thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Nine months ended September 30,	
	2014	2013
Operating activities:		
Net income	\$25,051	\$2,685
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	42,928	33,547
Depreciation included in discontinued operations	—	1,414
Loss on disposal of assets	54	12
Gain on sale of collegiate housing properties	(19,322)	(3,895)
Noncash rent expense related to the straight-line adjustment for long-term ground leases	3,634	4,024
Loss on impairment of collegiate housing properties	12,734	—
Gain on insurance settlement	(8,133)	—
Loss on extinguishment of debt	892	—
Amortization of deferred financing costs	1,533	1,268
Amortization of unamortized debt premiums	(598)	(581)
Distributions of earnings from unconsolidated entities	52	59
Noncash compensation expense related to stock-based incentive awards	1,775	1,678
Equity in losses of unconsolidated entities	370	102
Change in operating assets and liabilities (net of acquisitions)	10,310	23,263
Net cash provided by operating activities	71,280	63,576
Investing activities:		
Property acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(132,202)	(91,216)
Purchase of corporate furniture and fixtures	(759)	(623)
Restricted cash	(1,229)	(4,142)
Insurance proceeds received on property losses	2,129	11,124
Investment in collegiate housing properties	(14,676)	(12,449)
Proceeds from sale of collegiate housing properties	69,000	20,213
Notes receivable	(250)	(125)
Repayment of notes receivable	18,000	3,000
Earnest money deposits	—	(225)
Investment in assets under development	(177,847)	(177,674)
Investments in unconsolidated entities	(8,342)	(8,921)
Net cash used in investing activities	(246,176)	(261,038)
Financing activities:		
Payment of mortgage and construction notes	(95,720)	(51,394)
Borrowings under mortgage and construction loans	9,751	87,834
Debt issuance costs	(2,183)	(2,711)
Borrowings under unsecured term loan facility	187,500	—
Debt extinguishment costs	(446)	—
Borrowings on line of credit	344,000	214,033
Repayments of line of credit	(490,900)	(24,133)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	271,461	16,795

Edgar Filing: Education Realty Trust, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Payment of offering costs	(514	) (199	)
Purchase and return of equity to noncontrolling interests	(10,138	) (7,664	)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	2,205	1,197	)
Dividends and distributions paid to common and restricted stockholders	(42,050	) (35,380	)
Dividends and distributions paid to noncontrolling interests	(800	) (334	)
Purchase of stock awards to satisfy tax withholdings	(921	) (1,286	)
Net cash provided by financing activities	171,245	196,758	)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(3,651	) (704	)

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

7

---



Table of Contents

	Nine months ended September 30,	
	2014	2013
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	22,073	17,039
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$18,422	\$16,335
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Interest paid	\$19,939	\$17,027
Income taxes paid	\$121	\$428
Supplemental disclosure of noncash activities:		
Stock-based compensation	\$1,775	\$1,678
Capital expenditures in accounts payable and accrued expenses related to developments	\$7,670	\$10,552
Change in fair value of derivative instruments	\$2,414	\$—

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

8

---

Table of Contents

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1. Organization and description of business

Education Realty Trust, Inc. (the "Trust") operates primarily through a majority-owned Delaware limited partnership, Education Realty Operating Partnership, LP (the "Operating Partnership"). The Operating Partnership owns, directly or indirectly, interests in collegiate housing communities located near major universities in the United States.

The Trust also provides real estate facility management, development and other advisory services through the following subsidiaries of the Operating Partnership:

• EDR Management Inc. ("Management Company"), a Delaware corporation performing collegiate housing management activities; and

• EDR Development LLC ("Development Company"), a Delaware limited liability company providing development consulting services for third-party collegiate housing communities.

2. Summary of significant accounting policies

Basis of presentation and principles of consolidation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP"). The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements of the Trust represent the assets and liabilities and operating results of the Trust and subsidiaries in which the Trust owns a controlling financial interest. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

Interim financial information

The accompanying unaudited interim financial statements include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments that, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the Trust's financial position, results of operations and cash flows for such periods. Because of the seasonal nature of the business, the operating results and cash flows are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for any other interim periods or for the full fiscal year. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Trust's consolidated financial statements and related notes included in the Trust's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on March 3, 2014, and subsequently amended on April 11, 2014.

Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and cash equivalents

All highly-liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased are considered cash equivalents. Restricted cash is excluded from cash and cash equivalents for the purpose of preparing the condensed consolidated

balance sheets and statements of cash flows. The Trust maintains cash balances in various banks. At times, the amounts of cash on deposit with certain banks may exceed the amount the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) insures. As of September 30, 2014, the Trust had \$8.4 million of cash on deposit that was uninsured by the FDIC or in excess of the FDIC limits.

Restricted cash

Restricted cash includes escrow accounts held by lenders for the purpose of paying taxes, insurance, principal and interest and funding capital improvements.

## Table of Contents

### Notes receivable

On August 26, 2013, the Trust provided a \$0.5 million promissory loan to College Park Apartments, Inc. ("CPA"), the Trust's partner in the unconsolidated joint venture University Village-Greensboro LLC (see Note 3), at an interest rate of 10% per annum and a maturity date of August 1, 2020. Under the loan, CPA can make one draw per calendar quarter and has borrowed \$0.4 million as of September 30, 2014. The loan is secured by CPA's interest in the joint venture.

On July 14, 2010, the Trust entered into definitive agreements for the development, financing and management of a \$60.7 million, 20-story, 572-bed graduate collegiate housing complex at the Science + Technology Park at Johns Hopkins Medical Institute. The Trust developed and manages the building, which was constructed on land owned by Johns Hopkins University and leased to a subsidiary of East Baltimore Development, Inc., a nonprofit partnership of private and public entities dedicated to Baltimore's urban revitalization. Under terms of the agreements, the Trust (a) received development and construction oversight fees and reimbursement of predevelopment expenses, (b) invested in the form of an \$18.0 million second mortgage, (c) received a \$3.0 million fee for providing a repayment guarantee of the construction first mortgage (see Note 6) and (d) received a 10-year management contract. The second mortgage had a maturity date of July 31, 2040. As of December 31, 2013, the note receivable for the second mortgage had a balance of \$18.0 million and is recorded in notes receivable in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet. The Trust did not have an ownership interest in any form that would require consolidation. Due to its financing commitments to the project along with other factors, the Trust deferred recognition of the development services revenue, guarantee fee revenue and interest income earned on the second mortgage until the second mortgage was repaid, and the Trust no longer had a substantial continuing financial involvement. During the quarter ended September 30, 2014, the third party owners closed on permanent financing for the community and used the proceeds to repay the construction first mortgage and second mortgage. As a result, the Trust recognized development services revenue, net of costs, of \$2.6 million (including participation in cost savings of \$0.8 million), guarantee fee revenue of \$3.0 million (reflected in interest income) and interest income on the second mortgage of \$6.5 million.

### Collegiate housing properties

Land, land improvements, buildings and improvements, and furniture, fixtures and equipment are recorded at cost. Buildings and improvements are depreciated over 15 to 40 years, land improvements are depreciated over 15 years and furniture, fixtures, and equipment are depreciated over 3 to 7 years. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method for financial reporting purposes over the estimated useful life.

Acquired collegiate housing communities' results of operations are included in the Trust's results of operations from the respective dates of acquisition. Appraisals, estimates of cash flows and other valuation techniques are used to allocate the purchase price of acquired property between land, land improvements, buildings and improvements, furniture, fixtures and equipment and identifiable intangibles, such as amounts related to in-place leases. Acquisition costs are expensed as incurred and are included in general and administrative expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

Management assesses impairment of long-lived assets to be held and used whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Management uses an estimate of future undiscounted cash flows of the related asset based on its intended use to determine whether the carrying value is recoverable. If the Trust determines that the carrying value of an asset is not recoverable, the fair value of the asset is estimated and an impairment loss is recorded to the extent the carrying value exceeds estimated fair value. Management estimates fair value using discounted cash flow models, market appraisals if available, and other market participant data. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Trust recorded a \$1.0 million and \$12.7 million loss on impairment of collegiate housing properties, respectively. The impairment losses recorded were

related to an impairment recognized in the first quarter of 2014 prior to the sale of a property during the first quarter of 2014 and for two additional properties identified in the second and third quarters that were determined to be impaired due to a change in circumstances that indicated their respective carrying values may not be recoverable. The change in circumstances for the properties could be attributable to changes in property specific market conditions, changes in anticipated future use and/or leasing results or a combination of these factors.

When a collegiate housing property meets the criteria to be classified as held for sale, the fair value less cost to sell such asset is estimated. If the fair value less cost to sell the asset is less than the carrying amount of the asset, an impairment charge is recorded for the estimated loss. Depreciation expense is no longer recorded once a collegiate housing property has met the held for sale criteria. Operations of collegiate housing properties that were sold or classified as held for sale were recorded as part of discontinued operations prior to January 1, 2014. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, two properties were classified as discontinued operations in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income. Both of these properties were sold by December 31, 2013 (see Note 8). Effective January 1, 2014, the Trust adopted Accounting

## Table of Contents

Standards Update No. 2014-08 related to the presentation of discontinued operations. Prospectively, only dispositions that represent a strategic shift in the Trust's business will qualify for treatment as discontinued operations. The property dispositions during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 did not qualify for treatment as discontinued operations and, as a result, the operations of the properties are included in continuing operations in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income. As of September 30, 2014, one property met the held for sale criteria, and it is classified as collegiate housing properties - held for sale, net in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet. This property did not meet the criteria for treatment as discontinued operations under the new guidance and was sold in October 2014 (see Note 12).

### Common stock issuances and offering costs

Specific incremental costs directly attributable to the issuance of common stock are charged against the gross proceeds of the related issuance. Accordingly, underwriting commissions and other stock issuance costs are reflected as a reduction of additional paid-in capital in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of changes in equity.

On October 24, 2014, the Trust entered into three equity distribution agreements pursuant to which the Trust may issue and sell shares of its common stock having an aggregate gross offering amount of up to \$150 million (see Note 12). When stock is sold, the Trust intends to use the net proceeds to repay the unsecured revolving credit facility (see Note 4), fund its development pipeline, fund acquisitions and for general corporate purposes.

On June 24, 2014, the Trust completed a follow-on equity offering of 24.5 million shares of its common stock, which included 3.2 million shares purchased by the underwriters pursuant to an option to purchase additional shares. The Trust received approximately \$239.4 million in net proceeds from the offering after deducting the underwriting discount and other offering expenses payable by the Trust. The Trust used the net proceeds to pay down the unsecured revolving credit facility (see Note 4).

On May 22, 2012, the Trust entered into two equity distribution agreements pursuant to which the Trust could issue and sell shares of its common stock having an aggregate gross offering amount of up to \$50 million. As of December 31, 2013, the Trust had sold 1.6 million shares of common stock under the distribution agreements for net proceeds of approximately \$17.8 million. The Trust used the net proceeds to repay debt, fund its development pipeline, fund acquisitions and for general corporate purposes. The Trust sold 2.9 million shares under the distribution agreements during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 for net proceeds of approximately \$31.5 million.

On May 19, 2010, the Trust's stockholders approved the Education Realty Trust, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the "ESPP"), which became effective on July 1, 2010. Pursuant to the ESPP, all employees of the Trust are eligible to make periodic purchases of common stock through payroll deductions. Subject to the discretion of the compensation committee of the Board, the purchase price per share of common stock purchased by employees under the ESPP is 85% of the fair market value on the applicable purchase date. The Trust reserved 300,000 shares of common stock for sale under the ESPP. The aggregate cost of the ESPP (generally the 15% discount on the shares purchased) is recorded by the Trust as a period expense. For the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, total compensation expense relating to the ESPP was \$26.1 thousand and \$14.4 thousand, respectively. For the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, total compensation expense related to the ESPP was \$7.3 thousand and \$6.8 thousand, respectively. The compensation expense is recorded in general and administrative expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

### Debt premiums

Differences between the estimated fair value of debt and the principal value of debt assumed in connection with collegiate housing property acquisitions are amortized over the term of the related debt as either an offset or increase to interest expense using the effective interest method. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Trust had net unamortized debt premiums of \$1.7 million and \$2.3 million, respectively. These amounts are included in mortgage and construction loans in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

#### Income taxes

The Trust qualifies as a REIT under the Code. The Trust is generally not subject to federal, state and local income taxes on any of its taxable income that it distributes if it distributes at least 90% of its REIT taxable income for each tax year to its stockholders and meets certain other requirements. If the Trust fails to qualify as a REIT for any taxable year, the Trust will be subject to federal, state and local income taxes (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on its taxable income.

Table of Contents

The Trust has elected to treat certain of its subsidiaries, including the Management Company, as taxable REIT subsidiaries (each a “TRS”). A TRS is subject to federal, state and local income taxes. The Management Company provides management services, and through the Development Company, provides development services, which if directly provided by the Trust would jeopardize the Trust’s REIT status. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized based on the difference between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates in effect in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to reverse.

The Trust had no unrecognized tax benefits as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The Trust and its subsidiaries file federal and state income tax returns. As of September 30, 2014, open tax years generally included tax years for 2011, 2012 and 2013. The Trust’s policy is to include interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in general and administrative expenses. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Trust had no interest or penalties recorded related to unrecognized tax benefits.

Noncontrolling interests

As of September 30, 2014, the Trust had entered into three joint venture agreements to develop, own and manage properties near Arizona State University - Downtown Phoenix (Roosevelt Point), Duke University (605 West) and The University of Louisville (The Retreat at Louisville). The Trust is deemed to be the primary beneficiary of these communities; therefore, the Trust accounts for the joint ventures using the consolidation method of accounting. The Trust's joint venture partners' investments in 605 West met the requirements to be classified outside of permanent equity, and is therefore classified as redeemable noncontrolling interests in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets and net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income due to the partner's ability to put their ownership interests to the Trust as stipulated in the operating agreements. The Trust's joint venture partners' investments in the Arizona State University - Downtown Phoenix joint venture and The University of Louisville joint venture are accounted for as noncontrolling interests in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets and statements of changes in equity and net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

As of December 31, 2013, the Trust had entered into a joint venture agreement to develop, own and manage a collegiate housing property near The University of Mississippi (The Retreat at Oxford). The Trust's joint venture partners' investment is accounted for as noncontrolling interests in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets and statements of changes in equity and net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income through August 29, 2014. On August 29, 2014, the Trust purchased its joint venture partner's 30% interest in The Retreat at Oxford for \$8.5 million. This collegiate housing property is now wholly owned by the Trust.

On April 15, 2014, the Trust purchased a portion of its joint venture partner's interest in Roosevelt Point for \$0.8 million. As a result of this purchase, the Trust now holds a 95% ownership in the Roosevelt Point collegiate housing property. Additionally, on September 10, 2013, the Trust purchased its joint venture partner's 10% interest in the collegiate housing property referred to as East Edge located near the University of Alabama for \$6.9 million and as a result owns 100% of this property.

The units of limited partnership interest of the Operating Partnership (“Operating Partnership Units”) and units of limited partnership interest of University Towers Operating Partnership, LP (“University Towers Operating Partnership Units”) are also referred to as noncontrolling interests. The Trust follows the guidance issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) regarding the classification and measurement of redeemable securities. The Operating Partnership Units and the University Towers Operating Partnership Units are redeemable at the option of



the holder and essentially have the same economic characteristics as common stock as they participate in net income and distributions. Accordingly, the Trust has determined that the Operating Partnership Units and the University Towers Operating Partnership Units meet the requirements to be classified outside of permanent equity, and are therefore classified as redeemable noncontrolling interests in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. Income related to such units are recorded as net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the activity with the redeemable noncontrolling interests for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

	2014	2013
Beginning balance – redeemable noncontrolling interests	\$9,871	\$8,944
Net income (loss) attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests	206	(2 )
Adjustments to report redeemable noncontrolling interests at fair value	2,775	—
Distributions attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests	(800 )	(334 )
Ending balance – redeemable noncontrolling interests	\$12,052	\$8,608

The value of redeemable noncontrolling interests is reported at the greater of fair value or historical cost at the end of each reporting period. As of September 30, 2014, the Trust reported the redeemable noncontrolling interests at fair value, which was greater than historical cost.

Earnings per share

Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing net earnings available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the applicable period. Diluted earnings per share is calculated similarly, except that it includes the dilutive effect of the assumed exercises of potentially dilutive securities. The Trust follows the authoritative guidance regarding the determination of whether certain instruments are participating securities. All unvested share-based awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents are included in the computation of earnings per share under the two-class method. This results in shares of unvested restricted stock being included in the computation of basic earnings per share for all periods presented. The following table reconciles the basic and diluted weighted average shares outstanding for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Basic weighted average shares of common stock outstanding	124,019,046	114,301,779	140,301,433	114,812,694
Operating Partnership Units	830,343	—	(1) 830,343	— (1)
University Towers Operating Partnership Units	207,257	—	(1) 207,257	— (1)
Diluted weighted average shares of common stock outstanding	125,056,646	114,301,779	141,339,033	114,812,694

(1) For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Operating Partnership Units and University Towers Operating Partnership Units were outstanding, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effects of their inclusion would be anti-dilutive. A reconciliation of the numerators and denominators for the basic and diluted earnings per share computation is not presented, as the Trust reported a loss from continuing operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, and therefore the effect of the inclusion of all potentially dilutive securities would be anti-dilutive when computing diluted earnings per share; thus, the computation for both basic and diluted earnings per share is the same.

Goodwill and other intangible assets

Goodwill is tested annually for impairment as of December 31, and is tested for impairment more frequently if events and circumstances indicate that the assets might be impaired. An impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying value exceeds the asset's fair value. The accumulated impairment loss recorded by the Trust as of December 31, 2008 was \$0.4 million. No additional impairment has been recorded through September 30, 2014. The carrying

value of goodwill was \$3.1 million as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, of which \$2.1 million was recorded related to the management services segment and \$0.9 million was recorded related to the development consulting services segment. Goodwill is not subject to amortization. Other intangible assets generally include in-place leases acquired in connection with acquisitions and are amortized over the estimated life of the lease/contract term. In connection with the acquisition of The District on Apache (see Note 7), the Trust recorded an intangible asset related to in-place leases of \$0.6 million. The carrying value of other intangible assets was \$0.6 million and \$0.8 million as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

#### Investment in unconsolidated entities

The Trust accounts for its investments in unconsolidated joint ventures using the equity method of accounting whereby the carrying value of an investment is adjusted for the Trust's share of earnings or losses of the respective investment reduced by distributions received by the Trust. The earnings or losses and distributions of the unconsolidated joint ventures are allocated

## Table of Contents

based on each owner's respective ownership interests. These investments are classified as other assets or accrued expenses, depending on whether the distributions exceed the Trust's contributions and share of earnings or losses in the joint ventures, in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets (see Note 3).

### Comprehensive income

The Trust follows the authoritative guidance issued by the FASB relating to the reporting and display of comprehensive income and its components. For all periods presented, comprehensive income includes net income and other comprehensive income (loss) related to the change in fair value of the interest rate swaps (see Note 10).

### Stock-based compensation

On May 4, 2011, the Trust's stockholders approved the Education Realty Trust, Inc. 2011 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (the "2011 Plan"). The 2011 Plan replaced the Education Realty Trust, Inc. 2004 Incentive Plan ("2004 Plan") in its entirety. The 2011 Plan is described more fully in Note 9. The Trust recognizes compensation costs related to share-based payments in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements in accordance with authoritative guidance.

### Derivative instruments and hedging activities

The Trust records all derivative financial instruments on the balance sheet at fair value. Changes in fair value are recognized either in earnings or as other comprehensive income (loss), depending on whether the derivative has been designated as a fair value or cash flow hedge and whether it qualifies as part of a hedging relationship, the nature of the exposure being hedged, and how effective the derivative is at offsetting movements in underlying exposure. The Trust discontinues hedge accounting when it determines that the derivative is no longer effective in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of a hedged item; the derivative expires or is sold, terminated, or exercised; it is no longer probable that the forecasted transaction will occur; or management determines that designating the derivative as a hedging instrument is no longer appropriate. In all situations in which hedge accounting is discontinued and the derivative remains outstanding, the Trust will carry the derivative at its fair value on the balance sheet, recognizing changes in the fair value in current-period earnings. The Trust uses interest rate swaps to effectively convert a portion of its variable rate debt to fixed rate, thus reducing the impact of changes in interest rates on interest payments (see Note 10). These instruments are designated as cash flow hedges and the interest differential to be paid or received is recorded as interest expense.

### Recent accounting pronouncements

In August 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2014-15, "Presentation of Financial Statements - Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40)" ("ASU 2014-15"). ASU 2014-15 provides guidance on management's responsibility in evaluating whether there is substantial doubt about a company's ability to continue as a going concern and related footnote disclosures. For each reporting period, management will be required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events that raise substantial doubt about a company's ability to continue as a going concern within one year from the date the financial statements are issued. ASU 2014-15 is effective for the annual period ending after December 15, 2016, and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter. Early application is permitted. The Trust does not expect ASU 2014-15 to have a material impact on the Trust's condensed consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)" ("ASU 2014-09"). The guidance outlines a single comprehensive model for entities to use in accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers and supersedes most current revenue recognition guidance, including the guidance on real estate derecognition for most transactions. ASU 2014-09 provides that an entity should recognize revenue to

depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods and services. ASU 2014-09 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those years and permits the use of either the retrospective or cumulative effect transition method. The Trust is currently evaluating the provisions of this guidance.

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-08, "Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 205) and Property, Plant and Equipment (Topic 360) - Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity" ("ASU 2014-08"). ASU 2014-08 changes the threshold for disclosing discontinued operations and the related disclosure requirements. Pursuant to ASU 2014-08, only disposals representing a strategic shift, such as a major line of business, a major geographical area or a major equity investment, should be presented as a discontinued operation. The guidance is to be applied prospectively to new disposals and new classifications of disposal groups as held for sale after the effective date. ASU 2014-08 is effective for

Table of Contents

annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2014 with early adoption permitted. The Trust adopted ASU 2014-08 as of January 1, 2014.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-04, "Liabilities (Topic 405)" ("ASU 2013-04"), which updated the guidance related to Liabilities to provide guidance for the recognition, measurement and disclosure of obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation within the scope of this guidance is fixed at the reporting date. ASU 2013-04 requires the entity to measure these obligations as the sum of the amount the reporting entity agreed to pay on the basis of its arrangement among its co-obligors and any additional amount the reporting entity expects to pay on behalf of its co-obligors. The updated guidance also requires an entity to disclose the nature and amount of the obligation as well as certain other information. The guidance is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2013. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Trust's condensed consolidated financial statements.

3. Investments in unconsolidated entities

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Trust had investments in the following unconsolidated joint ventures that are accounted for under the equity method of accounting:

- 313 5th Street MN Holdings, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, 50% owned by the Operating Partnership;
- West Clayton Athens GA Owner, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, 50% owned by the Operating Partnership;
- Elauwit Networks, a South Carolina limited liability company, 10% owned by the Operating Partnership; and
- University Village-Greensboro LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, 25% owned by the Operating Partnership.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Trust had investments in the following unconsolidated joint ventures that are accounted for under the equity method of accounting:

- 313 5th Street MN Holdings, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, 50% owned by the Operating Partnership;
- Joint investment in land, 50% owned by the Operating Partnership;
- Elauwit Networks, a South Carolina limited liability company, 10% owned by the Operating Partnership; and
- University Village-Greensboro LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, 25% owned by the Operating Partnership.

The Trust participates in major operating decisions of, but does not control, these entities; therefore, the equity method of accounting is used to account for these investments. The following is a summary of financial information for the Trust's unconsolidated joint ventures for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

	2014	2013
Revenues	\$22,006	\$2,706
Net loss	(1,246	) (408
Trust's equity in losses of unconsolidated entities	(370	) (102

As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Trust had \$29.0 million and \$21.2 million, respectively, of investments in unconsolidated entities classified in other assets in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Trust had \$1.6 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, in liabilities related to investments in unconsolidated entities where distributions exceeded contributions and equity in earnings and the Trust has historically provided financial support; therefore, these investments are classified in accrued expenses in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

4. Debt

Revolving credit facility

On January 14, 2013, the Operating Partnership entered into a Fourth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement and, on October 24, 2013, entered into the First Amendment to the agreement which increased the maximum facility from \$375.0 million to \$500.0 million (as amended, the "Fourth Amended Revolver"). The Fourth Amended Revolver also has an accordion feature to \$700.0 million, which may be exercised during the first four years subject to satisfaction of certain conditions. The initial maturity date of the Fourth Amended Revolver is January 14, 2018, and the Operating Partnership may extend the maturity date for one year subject to certain conditions.

Table of Contents

Availability under the Fourth Amended Revolver is limited to a “borrowing base availability” equal to the lesser of (i) 60% of the property asset value (as defined in the credit agreement) and (ii) the loan amount, which would produce a debt service coverage ratio of no less than 1.40. As of September 30, 2014, the borrowing base availability was \$500.0 million, and the Operating Partnership had \$210.0 million outstanding under the Fourth Amended Revolver; thus, the remaining borrowing base availability was \$290.0 million.

The Trust serves as the guarantor for any funds borrowed by the Operating Partnership under the Fourth Amended Revolver. The interest rate per annum applicable to the Fourth Amended Revolver is, at the Operating Partnership’s option, equal to a base rate or the London InterBank Offered Rate (“LIBOR”) plus an applicable margin based upon our leverage. As of September 30, 2014, the effective interest rate applicable to the Fourth Amended Revolver was 1.46%.

The Fourth Amended Revolver contains customary affirmative and negative covenants and contains financial covenants that, among other things, require the Trust and its subsidiaries to maintain certain minimum ratios of EBITDA (earnings before payment or charges of interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization or extraordinary items) as compared to interest expense and total fixed charges. The financial covenants also include consolidated net worth and leverage ratio tests, and the Trust is prohibited from making distributions in excess of 95% of funds from operations except to comply with the legal requirements to maintain its status as a REIT. As of September 30, 2014, the Trust was in compliance with all covenants of the Fourth Amended Revolver.

Unsecured term loan facility

On January 13, 2014, the Operating Partnership and certain of its subsidiaries (together with the Operating Partnership, the “Borrowers”), each of which is an indirectly owned subsidiary of the Trust, entered into a credit agreement (the “Credit Agreement”), which provides for unsecured term loans in the initial aggregate principal amount of \$187.5 million, consisting of a \$122.5 million Tranche A term loan with a seven-year maturity (the “Tranche A Term Loan”) and a \$65.0 million Tranche B term loan with a five-year maturity (the “Tranche B Term Loan” and, together with the Tranche A Term Loan, the “Term Loans”). The Tranche A Term Loan matures on January 13, 2021 and the Tranche B Term Loan matures on January 13, 2019. The Credit Agreement contains an accordion feature pursuant to which the Borrowers may request that the total aggregate amount of the Term Loans be increased to \$250.0 million, which may be allocated to Tranche A or Tranche B, subject to certain conditions, including obtaining commitments from any one or more lenders to provide such additional commitments. The Trust used proceeds from the Term Loan to repay a portion of the outstanding balance under the Fourth Amended Revolver.

The interest rate per annum on the Tranche A Term Loan is, at the Borrowers’ option, equal to a base rate or LIBOR plus an applicable margin ranging from 155 to 225 basis points. The interest rate per annum on the Tranche B Term Loan is, at the Borrowers’ option, equal to a base rate or LIBOR plus an applicable margin ranging from 120 to 190 basis points. The applicable margin for the Term Loans is based on leverage.

The Credit Agreement contains customary affirmative and restrictive covenants substantially similar to those contained in the Trust’s Fourth Amended Revolver. The Trust serves as the guarantor for any funds borrowed by the Borrowers under the Credit Agreement. As of September 30, 2014, the Trust was in compliance with all covenants of the Credit Agreement.

In connection with entering into the Credit Agreement, the Trust entered into multiple interest rate swaps with notional amounts totaling \$187.5 million to hedge the interest payments on the LIBOR-based Term Loans (see Note 10). As of September 30, 2014, the effective interest rate on the Tranche A Term Loan was 3.85% (weighted average swap rate of 2.30% plus the current margin of 1.55%) and the effective interest rate on the Tranche B Term Loan was 2.86% (weighted average swap rate of 1.66% plus the current margin of 1.20%).



Mortgage and construction debt

Master Secured Credit Facility

The Trust has a credit facility with Fannie Mae (the "Master Secured Credit Facility") that was entered into on December 31, 2008 and expanded on December 2, 2009. All notes under the Master Secured Credit Facility contain cross-default provisions, and all properties securing the notes are cross-collateralized. The Trust was in compliance with all financial covenants, including consolidated net worth and liquidity tests, contained in the Master Secured Credit Facility as of September 30, 2014. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Trust had \$160.4 million and \$169.7 million, respectively, of mortgage loans outstanding under the Master Secured Credit Facility bearing interest at a weighted average fixed interest rate of 5.91%.

Table of Contents

Mortgage debt

As of September 30, 2014, the Trust had outstanding mortgage indebtedness of \$81.1 million (excluding an unamortized debt premium of \$1.7 million). Of the total mortgage debt outstanding at September 30, 2014, \$47.1 million relates to mortgage debt bearing interest at fixed rates ranging from 4.2% to 5.6% and \$34.0 million relates to mortgage debt bearing interest at variable rates at a weighted average effective interest rate of 2.29%. The mortgage debt outstanding is secured by underlying collegiate housing properties.

In February 2014, the Trust repaid in full variable rate mortgage debt of \$35.7 million that was assumed in connection with the 2011 acquisition of the GrandMarc at Westberry Place collegiate housing community located at Texas Christian University. The interest rate was equal to a base rate plus a 4.85% margin, and the loan was scheduled to mature on January 1, 2020. In connection with this repayment, the Trust recognized a \$0.6 million loss on extinguishment of debt.

On July 1, 2014, in connection with the sale of Pointe West collegiate housing community located at University of South Carolina, the Trust repaid a mortgage debt of \$9.5 million in full. The interest rate was equal to 4.92% and the mortgage debt was scheduled to mature on August 1, 2014.

On July 14, 2014, the Trust repaid \$7.2 million of mortgage debt that was part of the Master Secured Credit Facility. The interest rate was 4.96% and the mortgage debt was scheduled to mature on January 1, 2015. Simultaneously with the sale of The Reserve on South College, the community was released from the Master Secured Credit Facility (see Note 8). In connection with this pay off, the Trust recognized a \$0.1 million loss on extinguishment of debt.

Construction loans

As of September 30, 2014, the Trust had construction loans outstanding of \$60.5 million related to the following collegiate housing developments: The Retreat serving the University of Mississippi and Roosevelt Point serving Arizona State University - Downtown Phoenix Campus. Interest on these construction loans is at variable rates at a weighted average effective interest rate of 2.22%.

In April 2014, the Trust repaid in full variable rate construction debt with an outstanding principal balance of \$32.3 million related to the development of The Oaks on the Square (Phase I and II). The effective interest rate was equal to 2.41% and the loan was scheduled to mature on October 30, 2015.

On September 30, 2014, the Trust repaid in full variable rate construction debt with an outstanding balance of \$8.2 million related to the development of The Oaks on the Square (Phase III) serving the University of Connecticut. The effective interest rate was equal to 2.10% and the loan was scheduled to mature on September 11, 2017. In connection with this pay off, the Trust recognized a \$0.1 million loss on extinguishment of debt.

On July 9, 2014, the Trust entered into a construction loan agreement related to the development of a jointly owned cottage-style community located in Louisville, Kentucky (The Retreat at Louisville). The Trust is the majority owner and will manage the community once it is completed. The interest rate per year applicable to the loan is, at the option of the Trust, equal to a base rate plus a 1.05% margin or LIBOR plus a 2.05% margin and is interest only through July 10, 2017. On July 10, 2017, if certain conditions are met, the Trust has the option to extend the loan until July 9, 2018 and another year thereafter. During the extension periods, if applicable, principal and interest are to be repaid on a monthly basis. As of September 30, 2014, there were no amounts outstanding under the construction loan.

Edgar Filing: Education Realty Trust, Inc. - Form 10-Q

In connection with the acquisition of The Varsity serving the University of Michigan during the year ended December 31, 2013, the Trust assumed a construction loan in the amount of \$32.4 million. The interest rate per year applicable to the loan is equal to LIBOR plus a 2.25% margin and is interest only through August 1, 2015. As of September 30, 2014, the effective interest rate applicable to the loan was 2.40%. On August 1, 2015, if certain conditions for extension are met, the Trust has the option to extend the loan until August 1, 2017. During the extension period, if applicable, principal and interest are to be repaid on a monthly basis.

Table of Contents

The scheduled maturities of outstanding mortgage and construction indebtedness as of September 30, 2014 are as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal Year Ending December 31,	
2014 (3 months ending December 31, 2014)	\$896
2015	96,983
2016	124,672
2017	39,068
2018	1,635
Thereafter	71,166
Total	334,420
Debt premium	1,694
Outstanding as of September 30, 2014, net of unamortized debt premium	\$336,114

As of September 30, 2014, the outstanding mortgage and construction debt had a weighted average effective interest rate of 4.39% and carried a weighted average term of 2.04 years.

## 5. Segments

The Trust defines business segments by their distinct customer base and service provided. The Trust has identified three reportable segments: collegiate housing leasing, development consulting services and management services. Management evaluates each segment's performance based on net operating income, which is defined as income before depreciation, amortization, ground leases, impairment losses, interest expense (income), gains on insurance settlements, gains (losses) on extinguishment of debt, gains (losses) on sale of collegiate housing properties, income taxes, equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated entities, noncontrolling interests and discontinued operations. The accounting policies of the reportable segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies. Intercompany fees are reflected at the contractually stipulated amounts.

The following tables represent the Trust's segment information for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2014	2013	September 30, 2014	2013
Collegiate Housing Leasing:				
Collegiate housing leasing revenue	\$47,657	\$39,550	\$144,677	\$116,338
Collegiate housing leasing operating expenses	26,920	23,847	70,062	59,430
Net operating income	\$20,737	\$15,703	\$74,615	\$56,908
Total segment assets at end of period <sup>(1)</sup>	\$1,750,705	\$1,473,665	\$1,750,705	\$1,473,665
Development Consulting Services:				
Third-party development consulting services revenue	\$3,705	\$839	\$5,264	\$1,989
General and administrative expenses	692	533	1,922	1,396
Net operating income	\$3,013	\$306	\$3,342	\$593
Total segment assets at end of period <sup>(2)</sup>	\$4,941	\$6,097	\$4,941	\$6,097
Management Services:				
Third-party management services revenue	\$1,052	\$918	\$2,856	\$2,710
General and administrative expenses	630	623	1,933	1,898
Net operating income	\$422	\$295	\$923	\$812
Total segment assets at end of period <sup>(2)</sup>	\$9,106	\$10,965	\$9,106	\$10,965



Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Reconciliations:				
Segment revenue	\$52,414	\$41,307	\$152,797	\$121,037
Operating expense reimbursements	2,290	2,162	6,492	8,141
Total revenues	\$54,704	\$43,469	\$159,289	\$129,178
Segment operating expenses	\$28,242	\$25,003	\$73,917	\$62,724
Reimbursable operating expenses	2,290	2,162	6,492	8,141
Total operating expenses	\$30,532	\$27,165	\$80,409	\$70,865
Segment net operating income	\$24,172	\$16,304	\$78,880	\$58,313

The following is a reconciliation of the reportable segments' net operating income to the Trust's consolidated income (loss) before income taxes, discontinued operations and gain on sale of collegiate housing properties for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Segment net operating income	\$24,172	\$16,304	\$78,880	\$58,313
Other unallocated general and administrative expenses	(4,437)	(2,379)	(10,629)	(7,623)
Depreciation and amortization	(14,688)	(11,387)	(42,928)	(33,548)
Ground lease	(2,329)	(1,833)	(6,162)	(5,631)
Loss on impairment of collegiate housing properties	(953)	—	(12,734)	—
Nonoperating income (expenses)	12,393	(4,878)	270	(13,374)
Equity in losses of unconsolidated entities	(236)	(61)	(370)	(102)
Income (loss) before income taxes, discontinued operations and gain on sale of collegiate housing properties	\$13,922	\$(4,234)	\$6,327	\$(1,965)

(1) The increase in segment assets related to collegiate housing leasing is primarily related to the opening of seven new properties and two property acquisitions in 2014 and continued development of five communities for ownership by the Trust.

(2) Total segment assets also include goodwill of \$2,149 related to the management services and \$921 related to development consulting services.

## 6. Commitments and contingencies

In April 2013, the Trust entered into a presale agreement with a private developer that obligated the Trust to purchase a newly developed collegiate housing community adjacent to Florida International University for \$43.5 million as long as the developer completed the project in time for fall 2014 occupancy. The Trust closed on the acquisition of this property in August 2014 (see Note 7).

In July 2012, the collegiate housing community located in St. Louis, Missouri was partially destroyed by a fire. The community was rebuilt and fully reopened in August 2013. This fire caused substantial business interruption and property damage, both of which were covered under the Trust's insurance policies. For the nine months ended

Edgar Filing: Education Realty Trust, Inc. - Form 10-Q

September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Trust recognized business interruption proceeds of \$0.1 million and \$1.6 million, respectively. For the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Trust recognized business interruption proceeds of nil and \$0.6 million, respectively. These amounts are included in collegiate housing leasing revenues in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income. During the three months ended September 30, 2014, the insurance claims were settled and the Trust recognized a gain on insurance proceeds of \$8.1 million in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

The Operating Partnership serves as non-recourse, carve-out guarantor for secured third-party debt in the amount of \$23.7 million, held by one unconsolidated joint venture. The loan is scheduled to mature on July 1, 2020. The Operating Partnership is liable to the lender for any loss, damage, cost, expense, liability, claim or other obligation incurred by the lender arising out

Table of Contents

of or in connection with certain non-recourse exceptions in connection with the debt. Pursuant to the respective operating agreement, the joint venture partner agreed to indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Trust with respect to such obligations, except to the extent such obligations were caused by the willful misconduct, gross negligence, fraud or bad faith of the Operating Partnership or its employees, agents or affiliates. Therefore, exposure under the guarantee for obligations not caused by the willful misconduct, gross negligence, fraud or bad faith of the Operating Partnership or its employees, agents or affiliates is not expected to exceed the Operating Partnership's proportionate interest in the related mortgage debt of \$5.9 million.

The Operating Partnership, along with a joint venture partner, have jointly and severally guaranteed partial repayment for secured third-party construction debt held by one unconsolidated joint venture under development. The maturity date of the construction loan is December 31, 2015, with an option to extend the maturity date to December 31, 2016, provided certain conditions for extension are met. On December 31, 2016, the joint venture has the ability to further extend the maturity date to December 31, 2017, provided certain conditions for extension are met. The partial repayment guaranty for the construction debt is limited to \$8.8 million. In addition, the Operating Partnership serves as a non-recourse, carve-out guarantor for the secured third-party debt and is liable to the lender for any loss, damage, cost, expense, liability, claim or other obligation incurred by the lender arising out of or in connection with certain non-recourse exceptions in connection with the debt. Pursuant to the respective operating agreement, the joint venture partner agreed to indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Trust with respect to such obligations, except to the extent such obligations were caused by the willful misconduct, gross negligence, fraud or bad faith of the Operating Partnership or its employees, agents or affiliates. Therefore, exposure under the guaranties for obligations not caused by the willful misconduct, gross negligence, fraud or bad faith of the Operating Partnership or its employees, agents or affiliates are not expected to exceed the Operating Partnership's proportionate interest in the related mortgage debt in the case of the non-recourse, carve-out guaranty, or in the Operating Partnership's proportionate interest in the partial repayment guaranty of \$8.8 million. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the joint venture had \$50.8 million and \$13.1 million outstanding, respectively, on the construction loans.

The Operating Partnership, along with a joint venture partner, have jointly and severally guaranteed partial repayment for secured third-party construction debt held by one unconsolidated joint venture under development. The maturity date of the construction loan is May 2, 2017, with an option to extend the maturity date to May 2, 2018, provided certain conditions for extension are met. On May 2, 2018, the joint venture has the ability to further extend the maturity date to May 2, 2019, provided certain conditions for extension are met. The partial repayment guaranty for the construction debt is limited to \$7.2 million. In addition, the Operating Partnership serves as a non-recourse, carve-out guarantor for the secured third-party debt and is liable to the lender for any loss, damage, cost, expense, liability, claim or other obligation incurred by the lender arising out of or in connection with certain non-recourse exceptions in connection with the debt. Pursuant to the joint venture's operating agreement, our joint venture partner agreed to indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Trust with respect to such obligations, except to the extent such obligations were caused by the willful misconduct, gross negligence, fraud or bad faith of the Operating Partnership or its employees, agents or affiliates. Therefore, exposure under the guaranties for obligations not caused by the willful misconduct, gross negligence, fraud or bad faith of the Operating Partnership or its employees, agents or affiliates are not expected to exceed the Operating Partnership's proportionate interest in the related mortgage debt in the case of the non-recourse, carve-out guaranty, or in the Operating Partnership's proportionate interest in the partial repayment guaranty of \$7.2 million. As of September 30, 2014, the joint venture had not drawn on the construction loan.

In connection with the development agreement entered into on July 14, 2010 for a project at the Science + Technology Park at Johns Hopkins Medical Institute (see Note 2), the Trust committed to provide a guarantee of repayment of a \$42.0 million third-party construction loan for a \$3.0 million fee, of which the carrying value approximated fair value. On July 1, 2014, the third-party owners refinanced the construction loan and the Trust was released from the guarantee obligations. The Trust collected and recognized the \$3.0 million guarantee fee during the three months ended September 30, 2014.



In connection with the condominium agreement related to The Oaks on the Square project in Storrs, Connecticut (see Note 4) the Operating Partnership and LeylandAlliance LLC jointly committed to provide a guarantee of repayment of a \$46.4 million construction loan to develop the residential and retail portions of the first two phases of the project. As of December 31, 2013, \$43.2 million had been drawn on the construction loan of which \$11.8 million was attributable to LeylandAlliance LLC; this amount is not included in our accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements. On April 1, 2014, the construction loan for the first two phases of the project was repaid in full and the Trust was released from the guarantee obligations of the construction loan.

During August 2013, the Operating Partnership and LeylandAlliance LLC entered into a \$13.8 million construction loan for the third phase of the The Oaks on the Square project. Similar to the construction loan for the first two phases, the Operating Partnership and LeylandAlliance LLC jointly committed to provide a guarantee of repayment for the construction loan. As of December 31, 2013, \$1.3 million had been drawn on the construction loan, of which \$1.0 million, is attributable to LeylandAlliance LLC; these amounts are not included in our accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements. On

Table of Contents

September 30, 2014, the construction loan for the third phase of the project was repaid in full and the Trust was released from the related guarantee obligations.

As owners and operators of real estate, environmental laws impose ongoing compliance requirements on the Trust. The Trust is not aware of any environmental matters or liabilities with respect to the collegiate housing communities that would have a material adverse effect on the Trust's consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

In the normal course of business, the Trust is subject to claims, lawsuits and legal proceedings. While it is not possible to ascertain the ultimate outcome of such matters, in management's opinion, the liabilities, if any, are not expected to have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Under the terms of the limited partnership agreement of University Towers Operating Partnership, LP, so long as the contributing owners of such property hold at least 25% of the University Towers Partnership Units, the Trust has agreed to maintain certain minimum amounts of debt on the property to avoid triggering a taxable gain to the contributing owners. If the Trust fails to do this, the Trust must repay the contributing owners the amount of taxes they incur.

After being awarded a development consulting contract, the Trust will enter into predevelopment consulting contracts with educational institutions to develop collegiate housing communities on their behalf. The Trust will enter into reimbursement agreements that provide for the Trust to be reimbursed for the predevelopment costs incurred prior to the institution's governing body formally approving the final development contract. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Trust had reimbursable predevelopment costs of \$1.7 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, which are reflected in other assets in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

#### 7. Acquisition and development of real estate investments

During the three months ended September 30, 2014, the Trust completed the following two collegiate housing property acquisitions:

Name	Primary University Served	Acquisition Date	# of Beds	# of Units	Contract Price (in thousands)
109 Tower	Florida International University Miami, Florida	Aug 2014	542	149	\$43,500
District on Apache	Arizona State University Tempe, Arizona	Sept 2014	900	279	\$89,800

Combined acquisition costs for these purchases were \$0.9 million and are included in general and administrative expenses in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive income for the three months ended September 30, 2014. The Trust funded these acquisitions with proceeds from draws on the Fourth Amended Revolver (see Note 4) and cash on hand. Due to the timing of the completion of the acquisitions, work is still ongoing to determine the fair value of those assets and liabilities as of the acquisition date. The purchase price allocation is expected to be finalized by December 31, 2014. A preliminary allocation of the purchase price to the fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the dates of the acquisitions are as follows (in thousands):

	109 Tower	District on Apache	Total
Collegiate housing properties	\$43,384	\$89,216	\$132,600
Goodwill and other intangibles, net	—	643	643
Other assets	200	36	236
Current liabilities	(746	) (1,341	) (2,087
Total net assets acquired	\$42,838	\$88,554	\$131,392



Table of Contents

The difference between the collegiate housing property acquisition contract prices of \$133.3 million and the total net assets acquired of \$131.4 million is primarily due to \$1.9 million of net liabilities assumed.

The actual revenue and net income since the acquisition and the unaudited pro forma revenue and net income had the acquisition date been January 1, 2013, are as follows:

	Revenue	Net income	Net income attributable to common stockholders per share - basic and diluted
	(in thousands)		
Actual from date of acquisition – 9/30/14	\$1,030	\$52	\$—
2014 supplemental proforma for 1/1/14 – 9/30/14 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$165,458	\$28,383	\$0.23
2013 supplemental proforma for 1/1/13 – 9/30/13 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$130,576	\$3,014	\$0.03

<sup>(1)</sup> Supplemental pro forma earnings for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 were adjusted to exclude \$0.4 million of acquisition-related costs incurred during the nine months ended September 30, 2014. Supplemental pro forma earnings for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 were adjusted to include these charges. As 109 Tower opened for the 2014/2015 lease year, the supplemental pro forma revenue and net income for the period January 1, 2013 - September 30, 2013 only includes The District on Apache. In addition, as The District on Apache opened for the 2013/2014 lease year, the supplemental pro forma revenue and net income for January 1, 2013 to September 30, 2013 does not include a full year of operations.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Trust completed the following three collegiate housing property acquisitions:

Name	Primary University Served	Acquisition Date	# of Beds	# of Units	Contract Price (in thousands)
The Cottages on Lindberg	Purdue University West Lafayette, Indiana	Aug 2013	745	193	\$36,000
The Retreat at State College	Pennsylvania State University State College, Pennsylvania	Sept 2013	587	138	\$56,189
The Varsity	University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan	Dec 2013	415	181	\$53,950

Combined acquisition costs for these purchases were \$0.4 million. The Trust funded these acquisitions with assumed debt of \$32.4 million and proceeds from draws on the Trust's Fourth Amended Revolver. A summary follows of the fair values of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed as of the dates of the acquisitions (in thousands):

	The Cottages on Lindberg	The Retreat at State College	The Varsity	Total
Collegiate housing properties	\$35,704	\$55,812	\$53,630	\$145,146
Other assets	347	442	481	1,270
Current liabilities	(689)	(405)	(449)	(1,543)
Mortgage debt	—	—	(32,420)	(32,420)
Total net assets acquired	\$35,362	\$55,849	\$21,242	\$112,453

The difference between the collegiate housing property acquisition contract prices of \$146.1 million and the total net assets acquired of \$112.5 million is \$1.2 million of net assets purchased or liabilities assumed and \$32.4 million of assumed debt (see Note 4).

Edgar Filing: Education Realty Trust, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The actual revenue and net income since the acquisition and the unaudited pro forma revenue and net income had the acquisition date been January 1, 2013, are as follows:

	Revenue	Net income	Net income attributable to common stockholders per share - basic and diluted
	(in thousands)		
Actual from date of acquisition – 9/30/13	\$719	\$400	\$—
2013 supplemental pro forma for 1/1/13 – 9/30/13 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$132,100	\$3,004	\$0.03

<sup>(1)</sup> Supplemental pro forma earnings for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 were adjusted to exclude \$0.2 million of acquisition-related costs incurred in 2013.

Table of Contents

Development of collegiate housing properties

In June 2014, the Trust announced an agreement with a subsidiary of Landmark Property Holdings, LLC ("Landmark") to develop, own and manage a new 656-bed cottage-style collegiate housing property adjacent to The University of Louisville. The Trust is the majority owner and managing member of the joint venture and will manage the community once completed. As of September 30, 2014, the Trust and Landmark have incurred minimal costs for the project. The community is expected to open in the summer of 2015.

In March 2013, the Trust announced an agreement with Javelin 19 Investments, LLC ("Javelin 19") to develop, own and manage a new collegiate housing property near Duke University, 605 West. The Trust is the majority owner and managing member of the joint venture and manages the community, which opened in August 2014. As of September 30, 2014, the Trust and Javelin 19 had incurred \$44.6 million in costs for the project. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Trust capitalized interest costs of \$0.6 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, and internal development project costs of approximately \$0.1 million for both periods related to the development. During the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Trust capitalized interest costs of \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, and internal development project costs of \$11.7 thousand and \$18.8 thousand, respectively. For a period of five years from the date on which the property receives its initial certificate of occupancy, Javelin 19 has the right to require the Trust to purchase Javelin 19's 10% interest in the joint venture at a price to be determined.

In December 2011, the Trust was selected by the University of Kentucky to develop, own and manage new collegiate housing on its campus. This project will be financed through the Trust's On-Campus Equity Plan, or the ONE Plan<sup>SM</sup>. As of September 30, 2014, the Trust had incurred \$52.9 million in costs for the 2014, 2015 and 2016 deliveries. Phase I opened in August 2013, Phase II opened for the 2014-2015 lease year, Phase II-B is expected to open in the summer of 2015 and the 2016 deliveries are expected to open in the summer of 2016. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Trust capitalized interest costs of \$2.9 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, and internal development costs of \$0.8 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, related to the developments. During the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Trust capitalized interest costs of \$1.1 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, and internal development project costs of \$0.3 million for both periods.

In November 2011, the Trust purchased a collegiate housing property near the University of Colorado, Boulder (The Lotus). The Trust developed additional housing on the existing land, which opened for the 2014/2015 lease year. As of September 30, 2014, the Trust had incurred \$21.1 million in project costs. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Trust capitalized interest costs of \$0.3 million and \$73.8 thousand, respectively, and internal development project costs of \$0.1 million and \$65.9 thousand, respectively, related to the development. During the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Trust capitalized interest costs of \$0.1 million and \$37.2 thousand, respectively, and internal development project costs of \$33.7 thousand and \$23.7 thousand, respectively.

In September of 2010, LeylandAlliance LLC and the Trust entered into an agreement to develop the first two phases of Storrs Center, a mixed-use town center project, adjacent to the University of Connecticut. The Trust developed, owns and manages the collegiate housing properties in these first two phases and both phases include commercial and residential offerings. The first phase opened in August 2012 and the second phase opened in August 2013. LeylandAlliance LLC and the Trust subsequently entered into additional agreements to develop the third and fourth phases of the project. As of September 30, 2014, the Trust had incurred \$19.8 million in project costs for the third and fourth phases. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Trust capitalized interest costs of \$90.5 thousand and \$0.6 million, respectively, and capitalized internal development project costs of approximately \$0.1 million and \$15.8 thousand, respectively, related to the developments. During the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Trust capitalized interest costs of \$18.5 thousand and \$0.1 million, respectively, and internal

development project costs of \$0.1 million and \$4.7 thousand, respectively. The third phase opened in August 2014 and the fourth phase is scheduled to be completed in the summer of 2015.

All costs related to the development of collegiate housing communities are classified as assets under development in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets until the community is completed and opened.

Table of Contents

## 8. Disposition of real estate investments and discontinued operations

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Trust sold The Reserve on West 31st collegiate housing community located in Lawrence, Kansas, the College Station at West Lafayette collegiate housing community located in West Lafayette, Indiana, the Pointe West collegiate housing community located in Cayce, South Carolina and The Reserve on South College collegiate housing community located in Auburn, Alabama for a combined sales price of \$71.7 million, and received proceeds of \$52.2 million, after payoffs of mortgage debt of \$16.7 million (see Note 4) and closing costs. The Trust recognized a \$19.3 million gain on these dispositions. Two of these properties were sold during the three months ended September 30, 2014, resulting in a gain of \$8.4 million.

On December 19, 2013, the Trust sold The Pointe at Western collegiate housing community located in Kalamazoo, Michigan for a sales price of \$21.0 million. The Trust received proceeds of \$20.0 million after closing costs. On June 19, 2013, the also Trust sold the College Grove collegiate housing community located in Murfreesboro, Tennessee for a price of \$20.7 million. The Trust received proceeds of \$20.2 million after prorations and closing costs. The Trust recognized a \$3.9 million gain on the 2013 dispositions, which is reflected in discontinued operations.

As discussed in Note 2, the Trust early adopted the accounting guidance related to the presentation of discontinued operations as of January 1, 2014. The four property dispositions in 2014 discussed above and any subsequent one-off dispositions are not expected to qualify for treatment as discontinued operations unless the dispositions qualify as a strategic shift in the Trust's business pursuant to ASU 2014-08. The historical operations of all four 2014 property dispositions are presented in continuing operations for all periods presented as the adoption of the guidance is on a prospective basis. Accordingly, the results of operations of the two property dispositions during 2013 are included in discontinued operations in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013.

The following table summarizes the income from discontinued operations, net of noncontrolling interests, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 (in thousands):

	Three months ended September 30, 2013	Nine months ended September 30, 2013
Collegiate housing leasing revenue	\$729	\$4,470
Collegiate housing leasing operating expenses	(681)	(2,571)
Depreciation and amortization	(327)	(1,414)
Interest income	—	1
Noncontrolling interests	2	(3)
Income from discontinued operations attributable to Education Realty Trust, Inc.	(278)	483
Gain on sale of collegiate housing properties	—	3,895
Noncontrolling interests	—	(28)
Gain on sale of collegiate housing properties attributable to Education Realty Trust, Inc.	—	3,867
Income from discontinued operations, net of noncontrolling interests	\$(278)	\$4,350

## 9. Incentive plans

On May 4, 2011, the stockholders approved the Education Realty Trust, Inc. 2011 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (the "2011 Plan"). The purpose of the 2011 Plan is to promote the interests of the Trust and its stockholders by attracting, motivating and retaining talented executive officers, employees and directors of the Trust and linking their compensation to the long-term interests of the Trust and its stockholders. The 2011 Plan replaced the Education Realty Trust, Inc. 2004 Incentive Plan ("2004 Plan") in its entirety and authorizes the grant of the 315,000 shares that



remained available for grant under the 2004 plan, as well as 3,147,500 additional shares. As of September 30, 2014, the Trust had 2,464,889 shares of its common stock reserved for issuance pursuant to the 2011 Plan. Automatic increases in the number of shares available for issuance are not provided. The 2011 Plan provides for the grant of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units (“RSUs”), stock appreciation rights, other stock-based incentive awards to employees, directors and other key persons providing services to the Trust.

A restricted stock award is an award of the Trust’s common stock that is subject to restrictions on transferability and other restrictions as the Trust’s compensation committee determines in its sole discretion on the date of grant. The restrictions may lapse over a specified period of employment or the satisfaction of pre-established criteria as the compensation committee may determine. Except to the extent restricted under the award agreement, a participant awarded restricted stock will have all of the

Table of Contents

rights of a stockholder as to those shares, including, without limitation, the right to vote and the right to receive dividends or distributions on the shares. Restricted stock is generally taxed at the time of vesting. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, unearned compensation related to restricted stock totaled \$0.9 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, and will be recorded as expense over the applicable vesting period. The value is determined based on the market value of the Trust's common stock on the grant date. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, compensation expense of \$0.5 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, was recognized related to the vesting of restricted stock. During the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, the Trust recognized compensation expense of \$0.2 million for both periods related to the vesting of restricted stock. These amounts are included in general and administrative expenses in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income. Effective January 1, 2014 and January 1, 2013, the Trust adopted the 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "2014 LTIP") and the 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "2013 LTIP"), respectively. The purpose of the 2014 LTIP and 2013 LTIP is to attract, retain and motivate the executive officers and certain key employees of the Trust to promote the long-term growth and profitability of the Trust. On January 1, 2014 and 2013, the Trust issued 74,233 and 65,791, respectively, of time vested restricted stock to executives and key employees under the 2014 LTIP and 2013 LTIP. Additional shares were issued in August 2014 pursuant to the 2014 LTIP. The restricted stock granted under the 2014 LTIP and the 2013 LTIP will vest ratably over three years as long as the participants remain employed by the Trust.

An RSU award is an award that will vest based upon the Trust's achievement of total stockholder returns at specified levels as compared to the average total stockholder returns of a peer group of companies and/or the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts Equity Index over three years (the "Performance Period"). At the end of the Performance Period, the compensation committee of the Board will determine the level and the extent to which the performance goal was achieved. RSUs that satisfy the performance goal will be converted into fully-vested shares of the Trust's common stock and the Trust will receive a tax deduction for the compensation expense at the time of vesting. Prior to vesting, the participants are not eligible to vote or receive dividends or distributions on the RSUs. On January 1, 2014, the Trust granted 306,914 performance vested RSUs to executives and key employees under the 2014 LTIP described above. On January 1, 2013, the Trust granted a total of 122,180 performance vested RSUs to executives and key employees under the 2013 LTIP described above. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, unearned compensation related to RSUs totaled \$1.8 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, and will be recorded as expense over the applicable vesting period. The value was determined using a Monte Carlo simulation technique. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, compensation expense of \$1.0 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, was recognized related to the vesting of RSUs. During the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, compensation expense of \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, was recognized related to the vesting of RSUs. These amounts are included in general and administrative expenses in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income. On January 1, 2014, 203,250 of fully-vested shares of common stock were issued pursuant to the vesting of RSUs granted in 2011.

Pursuant to the terms of a Separation Agreement and Release Agreement (the "Separation Agreement"), dated as of May 12, 2014, between Randall H. Brown and the Trust, Mr. Brown resigned his employment as Chief Financial Officer effective June 30, 2014. In accordance with the Separation Agreement, all unvested shares of time-vested restricted stock granted to Mr. Brown under the Trust's long term incentive plan fully vested on June 30, 2014; the Trust settled these shares in cash. In addition, the Trust reversed all previously recorded compensation expense related to unvested RSUs granted to Mr. Brown under the 2012 LTIP, 2013 LTIP and 2014 LTIP plans in the aggregate amount of \$0.2 million, the impact of which is recorded in general and administrative expenses in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

Total stock-based compensation recognized in general and administrative expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$1.7 million for both periods.



Table of Contents

A summary of the stock-based incentive plan activity is as follows:

	Restricted Stock Awards	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Restricted Stock Award	RSU Awards	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value Per RSU
Outstanding as of December 31, 2013	184,023	(1) \$ 8.96	390,275	\$ 6.78
Granted	77,833	8.90	306,916	6.40
Vested	(73,429 )	8.85	(143,354 )	8.82
Surrendered	(41,997 )	8.95	(113,103 )	8.82
Outstanding as of September 30, 2014	146,430	(1) \$ 9.17	440,734	\$ 6.86

(1) Represents unvested shares of restricted stock awards as of the date indicated.

10. Derivatives and Hedging Activities

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

The Trust's objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, the Trust primarily uses interest rate swaps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, such derivatives were used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with existing variable-rate debt. As of September 30, 2014, the Trust had six outstanding interest rate swaps with a combined notional of \$187.5 million that were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk. The counter-parties are major financial institutions.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and that qualify as cash flow hedges is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives, which is immaterial for all periods presented, is recognized directly in earnings. During the next twelve months, the Trust estimates that an additional \$3.6 million will be reclassified to earnings as an increase to interest expense. As of September 30, 2014, the fair value of the derivatives of \$2.4 million was included in accrued expenses in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet.

The following table discloses the effect of the derivative instruments on the condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive income for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 (in thousands):

	Amount of Loss Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion)	Location of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships			
Interest rate contracts	\$(5,021 )	Interest expense	\$2,607

The following table discloses the effect of the derivative instruments on the condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive income for the three months ended September 30, 2014 (in thousands):

	Amount of Gain Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion)	Location of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships			
Interest rate contracts	\$423	Interest expense	\$919

The above contracts are subject to enforceable master netting arrangements that provide a right of offset with each counterparty; however, no offsetting positions exist due to certain duplicate terms across all contracts. Therefore, the derivatives are not subject to offset in the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents

Credit-risk-related Contingent Features

The Trust has agreements with each of its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where if the Trust defaults on any of its indebtedness, including default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, then the Trust could also be declared in default on its derivative obligations. In addition, the Trust has agreements with each of its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where the Trust could be declared in default on its derivative obligations if repayment of the underlying indebtedness is accelerated by the lender due to the Trust's default on the indebtedness.

As of September 30, 2014, the fair value of derivatives related to these agreements, which includes accrued interest, but excludes any adjustment for nonperformance risk, was a liability of \$2.7 million. As of September 30, 2014, the Trust has not posted any collateral related to these agreements. If the Trust had breached any of these provisions at September 30, 2014, it could have been required to settle its obligations under the agreements at their termination value of \$2.6 million.

11. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Trust follows the guidance contained in FASB Accounting Standards Codification 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures ("ASC 820"). Fair value is generally defined as the exit price at which an asset or liability could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing unrelated parties, other than in a forced liquidation or sale. ASC 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy, giving the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets and the lowest priority to unobservable data, and requires disclosures for assets and liabilities measured at fair value based on their level in the hierarchy.

The fair value framework requires the categorization of assets and liabilities into three levels based upon the assumptions used to value the assets or liabilities. Level 1 provides the most reliable measure of fair value, whereas Level 3 generally requires significant management judgment. The three levels are defined as follows:

- Level 1 - Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.
- Level 2 - Observable inputs other than those included in Level 1, for example, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets or quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in inactive markets.
- Level 3 - Unobservable inputs reflecting management's own assumption about the inputs used in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date.

Non-financial assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis consist of real estate assets and investments in partially owned entities that have been written-down to estimated fair value when it has been determined that asset values are not recoverable. The Trust estimates fair value relating to impairment assessments based upon an income capitalization approach (which considers prevailing market capitalization rates and operations of the community) or the negotiated sales price, if applicable. Based upon the inputs used to value properties under the income capitalization approach, the Trust determined that valuations under this method are classified within Level 3 of its fair value hierarchy. For the communities for which the estimated fair value was based on negotiated sales prices, the Trust determined that its valuation was classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

One non-financial asset impaired during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was also disposed of during the period. The table below summarizes non-financial assets that were impaired during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and remained on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2014 (in thousands):

Carrying value	Estimated Fair Value		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3

Edgar Filing: Education Realty Trust, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Collegiate housing properties, net	\$21,781	\$—	\$21,781	\$—
------------------------------------	----------	-----	----------	-----

Currently, the Trust uses interest rate swaps to manage its interest rate risk. The valuation of these instruments is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques, including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves and implied volatilities. The fair values of interest rate swaps are determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash receipts (or payments) and the discounted expected variable cash payments (or receipts). The variable cash payments (or receipts) are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves.

Table of Contents

To comply with the provisions of ASC 820, the Trust incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of the Trust's derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Trust has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts and guarantees.

Although the Trust has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties. The Trust has determined that the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments made to its derivative contracts, which determination was based on the fair value of each individual contract, was not significant to the overall valuation. As a result, all of the Trust's derivatives held as of September 30, 2014 were classified as Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

The table below presents the Trust's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2014, aggregated by the level in the fair value hierarchy within which those measurements fall. There were no assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2013. The table below summarizes the carrying amounts and fair values of these financial instruments as of September 30, 2014 (in thousands):

	Carrying value	Estimated Fair Value		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Derivative financial instruments (liability position)	\$2,414	\$—	\$2,414	\$—
Deferred compensation plan assets	486	486	—	—

Financial assets and liabilities that are not measured at fair value in our consolidated financial statements include mezzanine notes receivable and debt. Estimates of the fair values of these instruments are based on the Trust's assessments of available market information and valuation methodologies, including discounted cash flow analyses. The table below summarizes the carrying amounts and fair values of these financial instruments as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

	September 30, 2014			
	Carrying value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Mezzanine notes receivable	\$375	\$—	\$313	\$—
Unsecured revolving credit facility	210,000	—	210,000	—
Unsecured term loan facility	187,500	—	187,500	—
Variable rate mortgage and construction loans	126,895	—	126,895	—
Fixed rate mortgage and construction loans	207,525	—	226,080	—

  

	December 31, 2013			
	Carrying value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Mezzanine notes receivable	\$18,125	\$—	\$19,330	\$—
Unsecured revolving credit facility	356,900	—	356,900	—
Variable rate mortgage and construction loans	193,381	—	193,381	—
Fixed rate mortgage and construction loans	227,009	—	239,162	—



The Trust discloses the fair value of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate. The Trust considers the carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, student contracts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses to approximate fair value due to the short maturity of these instruments. The carrying value of restricted cash approximates its fair value based on the nature of our assessment of the ability to recover these amounts.

Table of Contents

12. Subsequent events

The Trust's Board declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.12 per share of common stock for the three months ended September 30, 2014. The distribution will be paid on November 15, 2014 to stockholders of record at the close of business on October 31, 2014.

In October 2014, the Trust completed the sale of The Pointe at South Florida collegiate housing community serving the University of South Florida for a sales price of \$31.0 million and received net proceeds of \$29.7 million. This property was considered held for sale at September 30, 2014, and EROP expects to recognize a gain of approximately \$2.3 million in the fourth quarter of 2014.

During October 2014, the Operating Partnership and LeylandAlliance LLC entered into a \$38.0 million construction loan for the fourth phase of the The Oaks on the Square project. The interest rate per year applicable to the loan is, at the option of the Trust, equal to a base rate plus a 1.0% margin or LIBOR plus a 2.0% margin and is interest only through October 20, 2017. On October 21, 2017, if certain conditions are met, the Trust has the option to extend the loan until October 19, 2018 and another year thereafter. During the extension periods, if applicable, principal and interest are to be repaid on a monthly basis. Similar to the construction loan for the first three phases, the Operating Partnership and LeylandAlliance LLC have jointly committed to provide a guarantee of repayment for the construction loan.

On October 24, 2014, the Trust entered into three equity distribution agreements pursuant to which the Trust may issue and sell shares of its common stock having an aggregate gross offering amount of up to \$150 million. When stock is sold, the Trust intends to use the net proceeds to repay the unsecured revolving credit facility (see Note 4), fund its development pipeline, fund acquisitions and for general corporate purposes.

## Table of Contents

### Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q ("Report") and the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. Certain statements contained in this Report are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, including but not limited to statements related to plans for future acquisitions or dispositions, our business and investment strategy, market trends and projected capital expenditures. When used in this Report, the words "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "plan," "believe," "seek," "estimate," "would," "could," "should," and similar expressions are generally intended to identify forward-looking statements. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which reflect our opinions only as of the date of this Report. We assume no obligation to update or supplement forward-looking statements that become untrue because of subsequent events. Forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from future results expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. For further information about these and other factors that could affect our future results, please see "Forward-Looking Statements" and "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, as well as risks, uncertainties and other factors discussed in this Report and other documents filed by us with the SEC. Investors are cautioned that any forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties, and that actual results may differ materially from those contemplated by such forward-looking statements.

All references to "we," "our," "us," "EdR," "Trust" and the "Company" in this Report mean Education Realty Trust, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries including Education Realty Operating Partnership, LP (the "Operating Partnership"), except where it is made clear that the term means only Education Realty Trust, Inc.

#### Overview

We are a self-managed and self-advised real estate investment trust, or REIT, engaged in the ownership, acquisition, development and management of high-quality collegiate housing communities. We also provide collegiate housing management and development consulting services to universities, charitable foundations and other third parties. We believe that we are one of the largest private owners, developers and managers of high-quality collegiate housing communities in the United States in terms of total beds both owned and under management.

We earn income from rental payments we receive as a result of our ownership of collegiate housing communities. We also earn income by performing property management services and development consulting services for third parties through our Management Company and our Development Company, respectively.

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes.

#### Our Business Segments

We define business segments by their distinct customer base and the service provided. Management has identified three reportable segments: collegiate housing leasing, development consulting services and management services. We evaluate each segment's performance based on net operating income, which is defined as net income before depreciation, amortization, ground leases, impairment losses, interest expense (income), gains on insurance settlements, gains (losses) on extinguishment of debt, gains (losses) on sale of collegiate housing properties, income taxes, equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities, noncontrolling interests and discontinued operations. The accounting policies of the reportable segments are described in more detail in the summary of significant accounting policies in the notes to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.



## Table of Contents

### Collegiate housing leasing

Collegiate housing leasing revenue represented approximately 94.7% of our total revenues, excluding operating expense reimbursements and other adjustments/eliminations included in our segment reporting, for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. Unlike multi-family housing where apartments are leased by the unit, collegiate-housing communities are typically leased by the bed on an individual lease liability basis. Individual lease liability limits each resident's liability to his or her own rent without liability for a roommate's rent. The number of lease contracts that we administer is therefore equivalent to the number of beds occupied instead of the number of apartment units occupied. A parent or guardian is required to execute each lease as a guarantor unless the resident provides adequate proof of income and/or pays a deposit, which is usually equal to two months rent.

Due to our predominantly private bedroom accommodations and individual lease liability, the high level of student-oriented amenities and the fact that most units are furnished and typically rent includes utilities, cable television and internet service, we believe our communities in most cases can command higher per-unit and per-square foot rental rates than most multi-family communities in the same geographic markets. We are also typically able to command higher rental rates than on-campus collegiate housing, which tends to offer fewer amenities.

The majority of our leases commence in mid-August and terminate the last day of July. These dates generally coincide with the commencement of the universities' fall academic term and the completion of the subsequent summer school session. With the addition of on-campus communities under our ONE Plan<sup>SM</sup>, our portfolio contains a growing number of communities with ten-month leases that typically run from August to May. Due to the nature of our leases, we are required to re-lease each community in its entirety each year, resulting in significant turnover in our tenant population from year to year. In 2014 and 2013, approximately 72.2% and 81.3%, respectively, of our leased beds were to students who were first-time residents at our communities. As a result, we are highly dependent upon the effectiveness of our marketing and leasing efforts during the annual leasing season that typically begins in November and ends in August of each year. Our communities' occupancy rates are therefore typically stable during the August to July (or May with respect to communities under our ONE Plan<sup>SM</sup>) academic year but are susceptible to fluctuation at the commencement of each new academic year.

Prior to the commencement of each new lease period, mostly during the first two weeks of August, but also during September at some communities, we prepare the units for new incoming tenants. Other than revenue generated by in-place leases for returning tenants, we do not generally recognize lease revenue during this period referred to as "Turn," as we have no leases in place. In addition, we incur significant expenses during Turn to make our units ready for occupancy. These expenses are recognized when incurred. This Turn period results in seasonality in our operating results during the third quarter of each year.

### Development consulting services

For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, revenue from our development consulting services represented approximately 3.4% of our total revenues, excluding operating expense reimbursements and other adjustments/eliminations included in our segment reporting. We provide development consulting services primarily to colleges and universities seeking to modernize their on-campus collegiate housing communities, to other third-party investors and to our collegiate housing leasing segment in order to develop communities for our ownership. Our development consulting services typically include the following:

- market analysis and evaluation of collegiate housing needs and options;
- oversight of and cooperation with college or university in architectural design;
- negotiation of ground lease, development agreement, construction contract, architectural contract and bond documents;

- coordination of governmental and university plan approvals;
- oversight of construction process;
- design, purchase and installation of furniture;
- pre-opening marketing to students; and
- obtaining final approvals of construction.

Fees for these services are typically 3 – 5% of the total cost of a project and are payable over the life of the construction period, which in most cases is one to two years in length. Occasionally, the development consulting contracts include a provision whereby the Trust can participate in project savings resulting from successful cost management efforts. These revenues are recognized once all contractual terms have been satisfied and no future performance requirements exist. This typically occurs after construction is complete. As part of the development agreements, there are certain costs we pay on behalf of universities or third-party investors. These costs are included in reimbursable operating expenses and are required to be reimbursed to us by the universities or third-party investors. We recognize the expense and revenue related to these reimbursements when incurred.

## Table of Contents

These operating expenses are wholly reimbursable and therefore not considered by management when analyzing the operating performance of our development consulting services business.

### Management services

For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, revenue from our management services segment represented approximately 1.9% of our total revenues, excluding operating expense reimbursements and other adjustments/eliminations included in our segment reporting. We provide management services for collegiate housing communities owned by educational institutions, charitable foundations, and others. Our management services typically cover all aspects of community operations, including residence life and student development, marketing, leasing administration, strategic relationships, information systems and accounting services. We provide these services pursuant to multi-year management agreements under which management fees are typically 3 – 5% of leasing revenue. These agreements usually have an initial term of two to five years with renewal options of like terms. As part of the management agreements, there are certain payroll and related expenses we pay on behalf of the property owners. These costs are included in reimbursable operating expenses and are required to be reimbursed to us by the property owners. We recognize the expense and revenue related to these reimbursements when incurred. These operating expenses are wholly reimbursable and therefore not considered by management when analyzing the operating performance of our management services business.

### Trends and Outlook

#### Rents and occupancy

We manage our communities to maximize revenues, which are primarily driven by two components: rental rates and occupancy. We customarily adjust rental rates in order to maximize revenues, which in some cases results in a lower occupancy rate, but in most cases results in stable or increasing revenue from the community. As a result, a decrease in occupancy may be offset by an increase in rental rates and may not be material to our operations. Periodically, certain of our markets experience increases in new on-campus collegiate housing provided by colleges and universities and off-campus collegiate housing provided by private owners. This additional collegiate housing both on and off campus can create competitive pressure on rental rates and occupancy.

Over the last couple of years, there has been an increase in supply across the student housing industry. However, in the markets we serve, we expect the pace of new supply growth in 2015 to decline 15% from the pace in 2014. We are projecting a 1.6% increase in supply in 2015, which is slightly outpacing enrollment growth in our markets where the three-year compounded annual enrollment growth rate is 1.5%. Although these statistics in themselves are not favorable, the gap between supply growth and enrollment growth is not large, the pace of new supply is slowing, and we believe that enrollment growth alone does not reflect total demand as there is pent-up demand that exists for new, purpose-built student housing product, where students are moving out of old, outdated housing, and into newer communities with more amenities. This is evidenced by the 5% growth in same-community revenue we achieved in our 2013-2014 leasing cycle and 4% growth we achieved for the current 2014-2015 lease year, as well as other market data. As a result, we believe that the growth characteristics of our well-located portfolio, which has produced a compounded annual revenue growth of 4.4% over the last five years, has not changed significantly.

We define our same-community portfolio as properties that were owned and operating for the full nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, are not conducting or planning to conduct substantial development or redevelopment activities, are not classified as discontinued operations or have not been sold or are under contract pending sale. The collegiate housing community referred to as 3949 at Saint Louis University is excluded from our same-community portfolio as it was damaged by fire on July 17, 2012. The community was insured and reopened in August 2013. This property is the only community excluded from same-community as a result of redevelopment activities. During the

nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Trust sold The Reserve on West 31st located in Lawrence, Kansas, the College Station at West Lafayette located in West Lafayette, Indiana, the Pointe West located in Cayce, South Carolina and The Reserve on South College located in Auburn, Alabama. These four collegiate housing communities are also excluded from our same-community portfolio as they have been sold. The collegiate housing community referred to as The Pointe at South Florida serving the University of South Florida met the held for sale accounting criteria as of September 30, 2014 and as a result, was also excluded from our same-community portfolio. This property was subsequently sold in October 2014 (see Note 12 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements).

Our communities' occupancy rates are typically stable during the August to July academic year but are susceptible to fluctuation at the commencement of each new academic year. For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, same-



Table of Contents

community revenue per occupied bed increased to \$629 and same-community physical occupancy increased to 90.0%, compared to same-community revenue per occupied bed of \$611 and same-community physical occupancy of 89.9% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The results represent averages for the Trust's same-community portfolio, which are not necessarily indicative of every community in the portfolio. Individual communities can and do perform both above and below these averages, and, at times, an individual community may experience a decline in total revenue due to university and local economic conditions. Our management focus is to assess these situations and address them quickly in an effort to minimize our exposure and reverse any negative trends.

The same-community leasing portfolio opened the 2014/2015 lease term with a 4% increase in rental revenue. Opening occupancy was up 200 basis points to 96.3% and net rental rates opened the term 2% above the prior year. New-communities opened the 2014/2015 lease term with an average occupancy of 96.1%.

Development consulting services

For the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, third-party development fee revenue was \$5.3 million and \$2.0 million, respectively. Third-party development fee revenue recognized during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 includes \$2.6 million recognized related to previously deferred fees on the participating project at Johns Hopkins University (see Note 2 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements). Over the last couple of years we have had approximately two to three third-party development consulting projects per year. As more universities are turning toward private industry to fund and own new collegiate housing projects, we expect future project volume to remain around these levels. We delivered two third-party projects in August 2014, and we are currently providing third-party development services pursuant to signed definitive contracts with projects under construction at Clarion University of Pennsylvania and East Stroudsburg University - Pennsylvania Phase II.

We develop collegiate housing communities for our ownership, and we plan to increase self-development activity going forward. The On-Campus Equity Plan, or The ONE Plan<sup>SM</sup>, is our private equity program for universities, which allows universities to use the Trust's equity and financial stability to develop and revitalize campus housing while preserving their credit capacity for other campus projects. This program is designed to provide the Trust's equity to solve a university's housing needs through a ground lease structure where the Trust owns the land improvements and operates the community. Others in the industry have similar programs and to date the Trust has ten ONE Plan<sup>SM</sup> projects completed or underway. In December 2011, the Trust was selected by the University of Kentucky ("UK"), to negotiate the potential revitalization of UK's entire campus housing portfolio and expansion of UK's campus housing portfolio to more than 9,000 beds within five to seven years. We refer to this project as the UK Campus Housing Revitalization Plan. Phase I of the UK Campus Housing Revitalization Plan, a 601-bed community called Central Hall I & II, opened in August 2013 with all beds leased. Phase II, which includes four communities with 2,381 beds for a total project cost of approximately \$138.0 million, opened in August 2014 with all beds leased. Construction on the 2015 deliveries is on-track to deliver 1,610 beds for a total cost of \$101.2 million and the 2016 deliveries of 1,141 beds for a total cost of \$83.9 million are also underway. We view our entry into the partnership with UK as a defining moment, not only for EdR, but also for our industry. Most state universities face many of the same challenges as UK, including reduced support from constrained state budgets, aged on-campus housing and demands on institutional funds for academic and support services. We believe this declining state support for higher education is the norm rather than the exception. These external factors provide a great opportunity for EdR. As universities can see the progress of the UK Campus Revitalization Plan, the volume of discussions we are having with other universities continues to increase as additional universities investigate this type of structure to replace their aging on-campus housing stock. We expect the volume of true third-party fee development contracts to be stagnant or decline as more universities avail themselves of this new program.

While considering the possible shift in the type of projects universities pursue, the amount and timing of future revenue from development consulting services will be contingent upon our ability to successfully compete in public

colleges and universities' competitive procurement processes, our ability to successfully structure financing of these projects and our ability to ensure completion of construction within committed timelines and budgets. To date, we have completed construction on all of our development consulting projects in time for their targeted occupancy dates.

#### Collegiate housing operating costs

Same-community operating expenses have increased at a 2.3% compounded annual growth rate for the last four years, including 2013. Excluding real estate taxes, which are our most variable expenses and drove a 4.2% increase in expenses in 2013, our operating expenses have been relatively flat during the four year period. We expect full year same-community operating expenses to increase between 3-4% going forward, which we believe is a reasonable level of growth for the foreseeable future.

## Table of Contents

### General and administrative costs

G&A costs (before development pursuit costs, acquisition costs and severance) increased 4.1% in 2013 and 9.5% in 2012. With the 21% growth in gross assets in 2013 and the recently completed 2014 developments and acquisitions increasing gross assets by 25% in 2014, we expect general and administrative costs to continue to increase at a double digit rate in 2014 to support the growth in our asset base.

### Asset repositioning and capital recycling

Starting in 2010, we have made a concerted effort to reposition and improve our owned portfolio with a significant part of the process completed prior to 2014. Since 2009, we have acquired \$815 million of collegiate housing communities, completed \$494 million of developments and disposed of \$342 million of collegiate housing communities, including the disposition of The Pointe at South Florida (see Note 12 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements). These transactions have improved our median distance to campus to 0.1 miles and increased our average rental rate to \$683. Currently, 71% of our beds and 75% of our community NOI are located on or pedestrian to campus.

In August 2014, we completed seven development projects for our ownership with project costs of \$213.2 million within walking distance of universities such as University of Colorado, Duke University and University of Connecticut and directly on the campus of University of Kentucky (see Note 7 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements).

Also in August 2014, we completed the presale acquisition of a collegiate housing property adjacent to Florida International University in Miami, FL for \$43.5 million. This acquisition increased our portfolio by an additional 542 beds.

In September 2014, we also purchased The District on Apache, a 900-bed collegiate housing community located adjacent to the Arizona State University campus for \$89.8 million in cash.

We have five active owned development projects with anticipated aggregate project costs of \$330.7 million that are within walking distance of universities such as Georgia, Connecticut and Louisville and directly on the campus of the University of Kentucky (see Note 7 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements). These developments, which are scheduled to open in 2015 and 2016, will increase our portfolio by 4,089 beds. In addition, these developments have an average distance to campus of less than 0.1 miles, are located on or near universities with average enrollment of 22,467, and will produce an average rental rate of \$830 per bed.

Our asset repositioning and capital recycling efforts included the following transactions during the nine months ended September 30, 2014:

- In March 2014, we sold The Reserve on West 31st a non-pedestrian collegiate housing community at to the University of Kansas, for net cash proceeds of \$14.0 million after closing costs; and
- Also in March 2014, we sold the College Station at West Lafayette non-pedestrian collegiate housing community in Kalamazoo, Michigan, for net cash proceeds of \$27.9 million after closing costs.

In July 2014, we sold Pointe West a non-pedestrian collegiate housing community serving the University of South Carolina, and The Reserve on South College serving Auburn University, for aggregate net cash proceeds of \$29.9 million after closing costs.

Subsequent to September 30, 2014, the Trust completed the sale of The Pointe at South Florida, a 1,002-bed community built in 1999 that is not pedestrian to the University of South Florida's campus. The net proceeds from this disposition of approximately \$29.7 million were used to pay down the Fourth Amended Revolver.

Critical Accounting Policies

There have been no significant changes to our critical accounting policies as disclosed in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, filed with the SEC on March 3, 2014, and subsequently amended on April 11, 2014.

Table of Contents

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

A discussion of recent accounting pronouncements affecting the Trust is included in Note 2 of the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

## Results of Operations for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 and 2013

The following table presents our results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (amounts in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2014	2013	Change (\$)	Change (%)	
<b>Collegiate Housing Leasing:</b>					
Collegiate housing leasing revenue	\$ 144,677	\$ 116,338	\$ 28,339	24.4	%
Collegiate housing leasing operating expenses	70,062	59,430	10,632	17.9	%
Net operating income	\$ 74,615	\$ 56,908	\$ 17,707	31.1	%
<b>Development Consulting Services:</b>					
Third-party development consulting services revenue	\$ 5,264	\$ 1,989	\$ 3,275	164.7	%
General and administrative expenses	1,922	1,396	526	37.7	%
Net operating income	\$ 3,342	\$ 593	\$ 2,749	463.6	%
<b>Management Services:</b>					
Third-party management services revenue	\$ 2,856	\$ 2,710	\$ 146	5.4	%
General and administrative expenses	1,933	1,898	35	1.8	%
Net operating income	\$ 923	\$ 812	\$ 111	13.7	%
<b>Reconciliations:</b>					
Segment revenue	\$ 152,797	\$ 121,037	\$ 31,760	26.2	%
Operating expense reimbursements	6,492	8,141	(1,649)	(20.3)	)%
Total revenues	\$ 159,289	\$ 129,178	\$ 30,111	23.3	%
Segment operating expenses	\$ 73,917	\$ 62,724	\$ 11,193	17.8	%
Reimbursable operating expenses	6,492	8,141	(1,649)	(20.3)	)%
Total operating expenses	\$ 80,409	\$ 70,865	\$ 9,544	13.5	%
Segment net operating income	\$ 78,880	\$ 58,313	\$ 20,567	35.3	%
Other unallocated general and administrative expenses	(10,629)	(7,623)	(3,006)	39.4	%
Depreciation and amortization	(42,928)	(33,548)	(9,380)	28.0	%
Ground lease	(6,162)	(5,631)	(531)	9.4	%
Loss on impairment of collegiate housing properties	(12,734)	—	(12,734)	—	%
Nonoperating (expenses) income	270	(13,374)	13,644	(102.0)	)%
Equity in losses of unconsolidated entities	(370)	(102)	(268)	262.7	%
Income (loss) before income taxes, discontinued operations and gain from sale of collegiate housing communities	\$ 6,327	\$ (1,965)	\$ 8,292	(422.0)	)%



Table of Contents

Collegiate housing leasing

Collegiate housing operating statistics for owned communities and same-communities for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

	Nine months ended September 30, 2014		Nine months ended September 30, 2013 <sup>(9)</sup>		Favorable (Unfavorable)
Owned communities:					
Occupancy					
Physical <sup>(1)</sup>	90.1	%	89.3	%	80 bps
Economic <sup>(2)</sup>	84.1	%	83.1	%	100 bps
NarPAB <sup>(3)</sup>	\$546		\$489		\$57
Other income per avail. bed <sup>(4)</sup>	\$44		\$44		\$—
RevPAB <sup>(5)</sup>	\$590		\$533		\$57
RevPOB <sup>(11)</sup>	\$655		\$597		\$58
Operating expense per bed <sup>(6)</sup>	\$286		\$272		\$(14)
Operating margin <sup>(7)</sup>	51.6	%	48.9	%	270 bps
Design Beds <sup>(8)</sup>	245,048		218,166		26,882
Occupied Beds <sup>(12)</sup>	220,757		194,735		26,022
Same-communities <sup>(10)</sup> :					
Occupancy					
Physical <sup>(1)</sup>	90.0	%	89.9	%	10 bps
Economic <sup>(2)</sup>	84.8	%	83.3	%	150 bps
NarPAB <sup>(3)</sup>	\$524		\$509		\$15
Other income per avail. bed <sup>(4)</sup>	\$42		\$40		\$2
RevPAB <sup>(5)</sup>	\$566		\$549		\$17
RevPOB <sup>(11)</sup>	\$629		\$611		\$18
Operating expense per bed <sup>(6)</sup>	\$272		\$263		\$(9)
Operating margin <sup>(7)</sup>	52.0	%	52.1	%	(10) bps
Design Beds <sup>(8)</sup>	172,485		172,485		—
Occupied Beds <sup>(12)</sup>	155,311		155,041		270

(1)Represents a weighted average of the month-end occupancies for the respective period.

(2)Represents the effective occupancy calculated by taking net apartment rent accounted for on a GAAP basis for the respective period divided by market rent for the respective period.

(3)Net apartment rent per available bed ("NarPAB") represents GAAP net apartment rent for the respective period divided by the sum of the design beds in the portfolio for each of the periods presented.

(4)Represents other GAAP-based income for the respective period divided by the sum of the design beds in the portfolio for each of the included months. Other income includes service/application fees, late fees, termination fees, parking fees, transfer fees, damage recovery, utility recovery and other miscellaneous fees.

(5)Revenue per available bed ("RevPAB") represents total revenue (net apartment rent plus other income) for the respective period divided by the sum of the design beds in the portfolio for each of the periods presented.

(6)Represents property-level operating expense excluding management fees, depreciation and amortization and ground/facility lease fees divided by the sum of the design beds for each of the periods presented.

(7)Represents operating income divided by revenue.

(8)Represents the sum of the monthly design beds in the portfolio during the period. Design beds are total beds (including staff and model beds) in the portfolio.

(9)This information excludes property information related to discontinued operations.

(10) Represents operating statistics for communities that were owned by us and were operating for the full nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. The same community portfolio excludes properties that are sold or have met the held for sale accounting treatment. In addition, the collegiate housing community referred to as 3949 at Saint Louis University is excluded from our same-community portfolio as it was damaged by fire on July 17, 2012. The community was insured and reopened in August 2013.

(11) Revenue per occupied bed ("RevPOB") represents total revenue (net apartment rent plus other income) for the respective period divided by the sum of the occupied beds for each of the periods presented.

(12) Represents the sum of the monthly occupied beds in the portfolio during the period.



Table of Contents

Total revenue in the collegiate housing leasing segment was \$144.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. This represents an increase of \$28.3 million, or 24.4%, from the same period in 2013. This increase included \$29.9 million of revenue related to 18 new communities, which increased the portfolio to more than 29,000 beds, and \$2.9 million of revenue from a 3.0% increase in same-community revenue. The growth in revenue for the 2013-2014 lease term included 2.5% increase in rental rates, a 0.2% improvement in occupancy and a 0.2% growth in other income. Consistent with company policy, at the beginning of each calendar year, the same-community portfolio mix changes when all properties owned and managed by EdR for the entire previous calendar year are moved into the same-community portfolio.

Operating expenses in the collegiate housing leasing segment increased \$10.6 million, or 17.9%, to \$70.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013. The 18 new communities added \$12.0 million of operating expenses over the same period in the prior year. In addition, same-community operating expenses increased \$1.5 million, or 3.3%, over the same period in the prior year, mainly due to a \$0.4 million increase in utility costs from extreme weather across the country during the first part of 2014 and an expected rise in real estate taxes of \$1.0 million. In total, all other operating expenses for the same-community portfolio were essentially flat for the nine months ended September 30, 2014.

## Development consulting services

The following table represents the development consulting projects that were active during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

Project	Beds	Fee Type	Segment Revenues		Difference
			2014	2013	
			(in thousands)		
West Chester University of Pennsylvania – Phase II	653	Development fee	\$442	\$577	\$(135 )
Mansfield University of Pennsylvania – Phase II	684	Development fee	3	1,224	(1,221 )
Wichita State University	784	Development fee	1,736	121	1,615
Clarion University	728	Development fee	470	—	470
Purchasing fees	—	Purchasing fee	30	—	30
Miscellaneous consulting fees	—	Consulting fee	2	67	(65 )
Third-party development consulting services total			\$2,683	\$1,989	\$694
Participating project – Science + Technology Park at Johns Hopkins	572	Development fee	2,581	—	2,581
Development consulting services total			\$5,264	\$1,989	\$3,275

Third-party development consulting services revenue increased \$0.7 million to \$2.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013. The Trust recognized development fees and cost savings on Wichita State University of approximately \$1.0 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, and development fees on Clarion University of \$0.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2014. These fees were offset by a decrease in development fees recognized on West Chester University of Pennsylvania – Phase II of \$0.1 million and Mansfield University of Pennsylvania– Phase II of \$1.2 million.

Development consulting services on the participating project at Johns Hopkins increased \$2.6 million related to the deferred fees recognized during the three months ended September 30, 2014. These fees were collected in prior years but were deferred for GAAP purposes due to our participation in the project. The third-party owner successfully closed on permanent financing for the community in July 2014 and repaid their construction loan as well as the \$18.0 million mezzanine investment the Trust advanced to the project. As a result of the refinancing, all previously deferred

fees were recognized (see Note 2 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements).

General and administrative expenses for the segment increased \$0.5 million, or 37.7%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013. This increase is primarily attributable to the increase in active development projects.

#### Management services

Total management services revenue increased \$0.1 million, or 5.4%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 when compared to the same period in 2013 due primarily to the performance of our existing management portfolio.

Table of Contents

General and administrative expenses for the segment remained flat for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 when compared to the same period in 2013.

Other unallocated general and administrative expenses

Other unallocated general and administrative expenses increased \$3.0 million, or 39.4%, during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in the prior year. The increase includes approximately \$1.4 million in acquisition, development pursuit and severance costs, with the remainder related primarily to payroll costs related to the growth in our business and continued asset repositioning efforts.

Depreciation and amortization

Depreciation and amortization increased \$9.4 million, or 28.0%, during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in the prior year. This increase relates primarily to the 18 new properties (acquisitions and developments) opened since January 1, 2013, as discussed above.

Ground lease expense

Ground lease expense increased \$0.5 million, or 9.4%, during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in the prior year. This increase relates primarily to the opening of five developments on the campus of the University of Kentucky in 2013 and 2014. The Trust recognizes ground lease expense on a straight-line basis over the life of the lease.

Loss on impairment of collegiate housing properties

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Trust recorded impairment losses of \$12.7 million, related to collegiate housing communities. The assets were determined to be impaired due to a change in circumstances that indicated their carrying values may not be recoverable (see Note 2 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements). The change in circumstances for the properties could be attributable to changes in property specific market conditions, changes in anticipated future use and/or leasing results or a combination of these factors.

Nonoperating (income) expenses

Nonoperating expenses decreased \$13.6 million to income of \$0.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014. Contributing to the decrease was interest income and a guarantee fee related to the participating project at Johns Hopkins of \$6.5 million and \$3.0 million, respectively, recognized during the nine months ended September 30, 2014. In addition, during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, we settled all claims related to the insurance settlement on the 3949 Lindell property, which was damaged by fire in July 2012, and recognized a gain of \$8.1 million (see Note 6 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements). Offsetting these one-time nonoperating income items was an increase in interest expense of \$2.6 million and a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$0.9 million (see Note 4 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements) during the nine months ended September 30, 2014.

Table of Contents

## Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014 and 2013

The following table presents our results of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (amounts in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,				
	2014	2013	Change (\$)	Change (%)	
<b>Collegiate Housing Leasing:</b>					
Collegiate housing leasing revenue	\$47,657	\$39,550	\$8,107	20.5	%
Collegiate housing leasing operating expenses	26,920	23,847	3,073	12.9	%
Net operating income	\$20,737	\$15,703	\$5,034	32.1	%
<b>Development Consulting Services:</b>					
Third-party development consulting services revenue	\$3,705	\$839	\$2,866	341.6	%
General and administrative expenses	692	533	159	29.8	%
Net operating income	\$3,013	\$306	\$2,707	884.6	%
<b>Management Services:</b>					
Third-party management services revenue	\$1,052	\$918	\$134	14.6	%
General and administrative expenses	630	623	7	1.1	%
Net operating income	\$422	\$295	\$127	43.1	%
<b>Reconciliations:</b>					
Segment revenue	\$52,414	\$41,307	\$11,107	26.9	%
Operating expense reimbursements	2,290	2,162	128	5.9	%
Total revenues	\$54,704	\$43,469	\$11,235	25.8	%
Segment operating expenses	\$28,242	\$25,003	\$3,239	13.0	%
Reimbursable operating expenses	2,290	2,162	128	5.9	%
Total operating expenses	\$30,532	\$27,165	\$3,367	12.4	%
Segment net operating income	\$24,172	\$16,304	\$7,868	48.3	%
Other unallocated general and administrative expenses	(4,437	) (2,379	) (2,058	) 86.5	%
Depreciation and amortization	(14,688	) (11,387	) (3,301	) 29.0	%
Ground lease	(2,329	) (1,833	) (496	) 27.1	%
Loss on impairment of collegiate housing properties	(953	) —	(953	) —	%
Nonoperating income (expenses)	12,393	(4,878	) 17,271	(354.1	)%
Equity in losses of unconsolidated entities	(236	) (61	) (175	) 286.9	%
Income (loss) before income taxes and discontinued operations	\$13,922	\$(4,234	) \$18,156	(428.8	)%

Table of Contents

Collegiate housing leasing

Collegiate housing operating statistics for owned communities and same-communities for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

	Three months ended September 30, 2014		Three months ended September 30, 2013 <sup>(9)</sup>		Favorable (Unfavorable)
<b>Owned communities:</b>					
Occupancy					
Physical <sup>(1)</sup>	89.8	%	88.9	%	90 bps
Economic <sup>(2)</sup>	75.6	%	75.7	%	(10) bps
NarPAB <sup>(3)</sup>	\$514		\$453		\$61
Other income per avail. bed <sup>(4)</sup>	\$54		\$53		\$1
RevPAB <sup>(5)</sup>	\$568		\$506		\$62
RevPOB <sup>(11)</sup>	\$633		\$569		\$64
Operating expense per bed <sup>(6)</sup>	\$321		\$305		\$(16)
Operating margin <sup>(7)</sup>	43.5	%	39.7	%	380 bps
Design Beds <sup>(8)</sup>	83,876		78,232		5,644
Occupied Beds <sup>(12)</sup>	75,281		69,542		5,739
<b>Same-communities<sup>(10)</sup>:</b>					
Occupancy					
Physical <sup>(1)</sup>	89.1	%	89.0	%	10 bps
Economic <sup>(2)</sup>	76.1	%	74.9	%	120 bps
NarPAB <sup>(3)</sup>	\$476		\$462		\$14
Other income per avail. bed <sup>(4)</sup>	\$53		\$49		\$4
RevPAB <sup>(5)</sup>	\$529		\$511		\$18
RevPOB <sup>(11)</sup>	\$593		\$574		\$19
Operating expense per bed <sup>(6)</sup>	\$312		\$303		\$(9)
Operating margin <sup>(7)</sup>	40.9	%	40.6	%	30 bps
Design Beds <sup>(8)</sup>	57,495		57,495		—
Occupied Beds <sup>(12)</sup>	51,244		51,139		105

(1)Represents a weighted average of the month-end occupancies for the respective period.

(2)Represents the effective occupancy calculated by taking net apartment rent accounted for on a GAAP basis for the respective period divided by market rent for the respective period.

(3)Net apartment rent per available bed ("NarPAB") represents GAAP net apartment rent for the respective period divided by the sum of the design beds in the portfolio for each of the periods presented.

(4)Represents other GAAP-based income for the respective period divided by the sum of the design beds in the portfolio for each of the included months. Other income includes service/application fees, late fees, termination fees, parking fees, transfer fees, damage recovery, utility recovery and other miscellaneous fees.

(5)Revenue per available bed ("RevPAB") represents total revenue (net apartment rent plus other income) for the respective period divided by the sum of the design beds in the portfolio for the period presented.

(6)Represents property-level operating expense excluding management fees, depreciation and amortization and ground/facility lease fees divided by the sum of the design beds for the period presented.

(7)Represents operating income divided by revenue.

(8)Represents the sum of the monthly design beds in the portfolio during the period. Design beds are total beds (including staff and model beds) in the portfolio.

(9)This information excludes property information related to discontinued operations.

(10) Represents operating statistics for communities that were owned by us and were operating for the full three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. The same community portfolio excludes properties that are sold or have met the held for sale accounting treatment. In addition, the collegiate housing community referred to as 3949 at Saint Louis University is excluded from our same-community portfolio as it was damaged by fire on July 17, 2012. The community was insured and reopened in August 2013.

(11) Revenue per occupied bed ("RevPOB") represents total revenue (net apartment rent plus other income) for the respective period divided by the sum of the occupied beds for the period presented.

(12) Represents the sum of the monthly occupied beds in the portfolio during the period.

Table of Contents

Total revenue in the collegiate housing leasing segment was \$47.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014. This represents an increase of \$8.1 million, or 20.5%, from the same period in 2013. This increase included \$9.8 million of revenue related to 18 new communities, which increased the portfolio to more than 29,000 beds, and \$1.0 million of revenue from a 3.5% increase in same-community revenue. The growth in same-community revenue included a 2.1% growth in rental rates, a 0.6% improvement in occupancy and a 0.8% increase in other income. Consistent with company policy, at the beginning of each calendar year, the same-community portfolio mix changes when all properties owned and managed by EdR for the entire previous calendar year are moved into the same-community portfolio.

Operating expenses in the collegiate housing leasing segment increased \$3.1 million, or 12.9%, to \$26.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013. The 18 new communities added \$4.6 million of operating expenses over the same period in the prior year. In addition, same-community operating expenses increased \$0.5 million, or 2.9%, over the same period in the prior year, primarily due to an expected increase in real estate taxes of \$0.4 million. These increases were offset by a decrease in operating expenses of \$2.1 million related to the four property dispositions during 2014.

## Development consulting services

The following table represents the development consulting projects that were active during the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

Project	Beds	Fee Type	Segment Revenues		Difference
			2014	2013	
			(in thousands)		
West Chester University of Pennsylvania – Phase II	653	Development fee	\$30	\$358	\$(328)
Mansfield University of Pennsylvania – Phase II	684	Development fee	—	294	(294)
Wichita State University	784	Development fee	776	120	656
Clarion University	728	Development fee	318	—	318
Miscellaneous consulting fees	—	Consulting fee	—	67	(67)
Third-party development consulting services total			\$1,124	\$839	\$285
Participating project – Science + Technology Park at Johns Hopkins	572	Development fee	2,581	—	2,581
Development consulting services total			\$3,705	\$839	\$2,866

Third-party development consulting services revenue increased \$0.3 million to \$1.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013 primarily related to \$0.6 million of cost savings recognized on the Wichita State University project and fees earned on the Clarion University development offset by a decline in fees recognized on West Chester University of Pennsylvania – Phase II and Mansfield University of Pennsylvania – Phase II due to the completion of these projects.

Development consulting services on the participating project at Johns Hopkins increased \$2.6 million related to the deferred fees recognized during the three months ended September 30, 2014. These fees were collected in prior years but were deferred for GAAP purposes due to our participating involvement in the project. The third-party owner successfully closed on permanent financing for the community in July 2014 and repaid their construction loan as well as the \$18.0 million mezzanine investment the Trust made to the project. As a result of the refinancing, all previously deferred fees were recognized (see Note 2 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements).

General and administrative expenses for the segment increased \$0.2 million, or 29.8%, for the three months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013. This increase is primarily attributable to the increase in activity at universities and our pursuit of those opportunities.

#### Management services

Total management services revenue increased \$0.1 million, or 14.6%, for the three months ended September 30, 2014 when compared to the same period in 2013. General and administrative expenses for our management services segment remained flat for the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in the prior year.



Table of Contents

Other unallocated general and administrative expenses

Other unallocated general and administrative expenses increased \$2.1 million, or 86.5%, during the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily due to \$1.1 million of development pursuit, acquisition and severance costs as well as an increase in payroll costs related to the growth in our business and continued asset repositioning efforts.

Depreciation and amortization

Depreciation and amortization increased \$3.3 million, or 29.0%, during the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in the prior year. This increase relates primarily to the 18 new properties (acquisitions and developments) opened since January 1, 2013, as discussed above.

Ground lease expense

Ground lease expense increased \$0.5 million, or 27.1%, during the three months ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the same period in the prior year. This increase relates primarily to the opening of five developments on the campus of the University of Kentucky in 2013 and 2014. The Trust recognizes ground lease expense on a straight-line basis over the life of the lease.

Loss on impairment of collegiate housing properties

During the three months ended September 30, 2014, the Trust recorded a \$1.0 million impairment charge related to a property that was determined to be impaired due to a change in circumstances that indicated the carrying value may not be recoverable (see Note 2 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements). The change in circumstances for the properties could be attributable to changes in property specific market conditions, changes in anticipated future use and/or leasing results or a combination of these factors.

Nonoperating income (expenses)

Nonoperating expenses decreased \$17.3 million to income of \$12.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2014. Contributing to the decrease was interest income and a guarantee fee related to the participating project at Johns Hopkins of \$6.5 million and \$3.0 million, respectively, recognized during the three months ended September 30, 2014. In addition, during the three months ended September 30, 2014, we settled all claims related to the insurance settlement on the 3949 Lindell property, which was damaged by fire in July 2012, and recognized a gain of \$8.1 million (see Note 6 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements). Offsetting these one-time nonoperating income items was a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$0.2 million (see Note 4 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements) during the three months ended September 30, 2014.

Table of Contents

Non GAAP Measures

Funds From Operations (FFO)

As defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (“NAREIT”), FFO represents net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of property and impairment write-downs of depreciable real estate plus real estate-related depreciation and amortization and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures are calculated to reflect funds from operations on the same basis. We present FFO available to all stockholders and unitholders because we consider it to be an important supplemental measure of our operating performance and believe it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of REITs, many of which present FFO when reporting their results. As such, we also exclude the impact of noncontrolling interests in our calculation. FFO is intended to exclude GAAP historical cost depreciation and amortization of real estate and related assets, which assumes that the value of real estate diminishes ratably over time. Historically, real estate values have risen or fallen with market conditions. Because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization unique to real estate, gains and losses from property dispositions and extraordinary items, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, reflects the impact to operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs, development activities and interest costs, providing perspective not immediately apparent from net income.

We compute FFO in accordance with standards established by the Board of Governors of NAREIT in its March 1995 White Paper (as amended in November 1999, April 2002 and by the October 2011 guidance described above), which may differ from the methodology for calculating FFO utilized by other equity REITs and, accordingly, may not be comparable to such other REITs. Further, FFO does not represent amounts available for management’s discretionary use because of needed capital replacement or expansion, debt service obligations or other commitments and uncertainties. We believe that net income is the most directly comparable GAAP measure to FFO available to stockholders and unitholders. FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of our financial performance or to cash flow from operating activities (computed in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to make distributions.

The Trust also uses core funds from operations, or Core FFO, as an operating performance measure. Core FFO is defined as FFO adjusted to include the economic impact of revenue on participating projects for which recognition is deferred for GAAP purposes. The adjustment for this revenue is calculated on the same percentage of completion method used to recognize revenue on third-party development projects. Core FFO also includes adjustments to exclude the impact of straight-line adjustments for ground leases, gains/losses on extinguishment of debt, transaction costs related to acquisitions and reorganization or severance costs. We believe that these adjustments are appropriate in determining Core FFO as they are not indicative of the operating performance of the Trust’s assets. In addition, management uses Core FFO in the assessment of the Trust’s operating performance and comparison to its industry peers and believes that Core FFO is a useful supplemental measure for the investing community to use in comparing the Trust to other REITs as many REITs provide some form of adjusted or modified FFO.

Table of Contents

The following table presents a reconciliation of FFO and Core FFO available to our stockholders and unitholders to net income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (amounts in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net income (loss) attributable to Education Realty Trust, Inc.	\$21,400	\$(4,462)	\$24,658	\$2,679
Gain on sale of collegiate housing properties	(8,421)	) —	(19,322)	) (3,895)
Gain on insurance settlement	(8,133)	) —	(8,133)	) —
Loss on impairment of collegiate housing properties	953	—	12,734	—
Real estate related depreciation and amortization	14,444	11,548	42,365	34,580
Real estate depreciation and amortization on equity investees	45	48	144	144
Noncontrolling interests	71	(73)	) 250	(2)
FFO available to stockholders and unitholders	20,359	7,061	52,696	33,506
Other adjustments to FFO:				
Loss on extinguishment of debt	243	—	892	—
Acquisition costs	1,034	(19)	) 1,058	280
Severance costs, net of tax	29	—	314	—
Straight-line adjustment for ground leases	1,209	1,217	3,634	4,024
Impact of other adjustments to FFO	2,515	1,198	5,898	4,304
FFO on Participating Developments:				
Interest on loan to Participating Development	(6,486)	) 460	(5,581)	) 1,365
Development fees on Participating Development, net of costs and taxes	(1,548)	) —	(1,548)	) 454
FFO on Participating Developments:	(8,034)	) 460	(7,129)	) 1,819
Core FFO available to stockholders and unitholders	\$14,840	\$8,719	\$51,465	\$39,629

## Net Operating Income (NOI)

We believe NOI is a useful measure of our collegiate housing operating performance. We define NOI as rental and other community-level revenues earned from our collegiate housing communities less community-level operating expenses, excluding management fees, depreciation, amortization, ground lease expense and impairment charges and including regional and other corporate costs of supporting the communities. Other REITs may use different methodologies for calculating NOI, and accordingly, the Trust's NOI may not be comparable to other REITs. We believe that this measure provides an operating perspective not immediately apparent from GAAP operating income or net income. The Trust uses NOI to evaluate performance on a community-by-community basis because it allows management to evaluate the impact that factors such as lease structure, lease rates and tenant base, which vary by property, have on the Trust's operating results. However, NOI should only be used as an alternative measure of the Trust's financial performance.

The following is a reconciliation of our GAAP operating income to NOI for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Operating income	\$1,765	\$705	\$6,427	\$11,511
Less: Third-party development services revenue	3,705	839	5,264	1,989
Less: Third-party management services revenue	1,052	918	2,856	2,710

Edgar Filing: Education Realty Trust, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Plus: Development and management services expenses	2,337	1,826	6,964	5,224
Plus: General and administrative expenses	3,422	1,709	7,520	5,693
Plus: Ground leases	2,329	1,833	6,162	5,631
Plus: Impairment loss on collegiate housing properties	953	—	12,734	—
Plus: Depreciation and amortization	14,688	11,387	42,928	33,548
NOI	\$20,737	\$15,703	\$74,615	\$56,908

44

---

Table of Contents

## Adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (Adjusted EBITDA)

Adjusted EBITDA is defined as net income or loss excluding: (1) straight line adjustment for ground leases; (2) acquisition costs; (3) depreciation and amortization; (4) loss on impairment of collegiate housing properties; (5) gain on sale of collegiate housing properties; (6) interest expense; (7) other nonoperating expense (income); (8) income tax expense (benefit); (9) non-controlling interest; and (10) applicable expenses related to discontinued operations. We consider Adjusted EBITDA useful to an investor in evaluating and facilitating comparisons of our operating performance between periods and between REITs by removing the impact of our capital structure (primarily interest expense) and asset base (primarily depreciation and amortization) from our operating results.

The following is a reconciliation of our GAAP net income to Adjusted EBITDA for the trailing twelve months ended September 30, 2014 (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014	Plus: Year Ended December 31, 2013	Less: Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	Trailing Twelve Months ended September 30, 2014
Net income attributable to common stockholders	\$24,658	\$4,323	\$2,679	\$26,302
Straight line adjustment for ground leases	3,634	5,255	4,024	4,865
Acquisition costs	1,058	393	280	1,171
Depreciation and amortization	42,928	48,098	33,548	57,478
Depreciation and amortization - discontinued operations	—	1,767	1,414	353
Loss on impairment of collegiate housing properties	12,734	5,001	—	17,735
Gain on sale of collegiate housing properties	(19,322)	) (3,913	) (3,895	) (19,340
Gain on insurance settlement	(8,133)	) —	—	(8,133
Interest expense	15,076	17,526	12,478	20,124
Amortization of deferred financing costs	1,533	1,758	1,268	2,023
Interest income	(6,638)	) (447	) (372	) (6,713
Loss on extinguishment of debt	892	—	—	892
Income tax expense (benefit)	598	203	(269)	) 1,070
Noncontrolling interests	393	308	6	695
Adjusted EBITDA	\$69,411	\$80,272	\$51,161	\$98,522

## Debt to gross assets

Debt to gross assets is defined as total debt, excluding the unamortized debt premium, divided by gross assets, or total assets excluding accumulated depreciation on real estate assets. We consider debt to gross assets useful to an investor in evaluating our leverage and in assessing our capital structure, because it excludes noncash items such as accumulated depreciation and provides a more accurate depiction of our capital structure.

Table of Contents

The following is a reconciliation of our GAAP total assets to gross assets as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

	As of September 30, 2014	As of December 31, 2013		
Mortgage and construction loans, net of unamortized premium	\$336,114	\$422,681		
Unamortized premium	1,694	2,291		
Mortgage and construction loans	334,420	420,390		
Unsecured revolving credit facility	210,000	356,900		
Unsecured term loan facility	187,500	—		
Total debt	\$731,920	\$777,290		
Total assets	\$1,812,904	\$1,610,565		
Accumulated depreciation <sup>(1)</sup>	220,695	204,181		
Gross assets	\$2,033,599	\$1,814,746		
Debt to gross assets	36.0	% 42.8		%

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents accumulated depreciation on real estate assets.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

## Fourth Amended Revolver

On January 14, 2013, the Operating Partnership entered into a Fourth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement and, on October 24, 2013, entered into the First Amendment to the agreement which increased the maximum facility from \$375.0 million to \$500.0 million (as amended, the "Fourth Amended Revolver"). The Fourth Amended Revolver also has an accordion feature to \$700.0 million, which may be exercised during the first four years subject to satisfaction of certain conditions. The initial maturity date of the Fourth Amended Revolver is January 14, 2018, and the Operating Partnership may extend the maturity date for one year subject to certain conditions.

Availability under the Fourth Amended Revolver is limited to a "borrowing base availability" equal to the lesser of (i) 60% of the property asset value (as defined in the credit agreement) and (ii) the loan amount, which would produce a debt service coverage ratio of no less than 1.40. As of September 30, 2014, the borrowing base availability was \$500.0 million, and the Operating Partnership had \$210.0 million outstanding under the Fourth Amended Revolver; thus, the remaining borrowing base availability was \$290.0 million.

The Trust serves as the guarantor for any funds borrowed by the Operating Partnership under the Fourth Amended Revolver. The interest rate per annum applicable to the Fourth Amended Revolver is, at the Operating Partnership's option, equal to a base rate or the London InterBank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus an applicable margin based upon our leverage. As of September 30, 2014, the effective interest rate applicable to the Fourth Amended Revolver was 1.46%. If amounts are outstanding, due to the fact that the Fourth Amended Revolver bears interest at variable rates, cost approximates the fair value.

The Fourth Amended Revolver contains customary affirmative and negative covenants and contains financial covenants that, among other things, require the Trust and its subsidiaries to maintain certain minimum ratios of EBITDA (earnings before payment or charges of interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization or extraordinary items) as compared to interest expense and total fixed charges. The financial covenants also include consolidated net worth and leverage ratio tests, and the Trust is prohibited from making distributions in excess of 95% of funds from operations

except to comply with the legal requirements to maintain its status as a REIT. As of September 30, 2014, the Trust was in compliance with all covenants of the Fourth Amended Revolver.

## Table of Contents

### Unsecured term loan facility

On January 13, 2014, the Operating Partnership and certain of its subsidiaries (together with the Operating Partnership, the "Borrowers"), each of which is an indirectly owned subsidiary of the Trust, entered into a credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement"), which provides for unsecured term loans in the initial aggregate principal amount of \$187.5 million, consisting of a \$122.5 million Tranche A term loan with a seven-year maturity (the "Tranche A Term Loan") and a \$65.0 million Tranche B term loan with a five-year maturity (the "Tranche B Term Loan" and, together with the Tranche A Term Loan, the "Term Loans"). The Tranche A Term Loan matures on January 13, 2021 and the Tranche B Term Loan matures on January 13, 2019. The Credit Agreement contains an accordion feature pursuant to which the Borrowers may request that the total aggregate amount of the Term Loans be increased to \$250.0 million, which may be allocated to Tranche A or Tranche B, subject to certain conditions, including obtaining commitments from any one or more lenders to provide such additional commitments. The Trust used proceeds from the Term Loan to repay a portion of the outstanding balance under the Fourth Amended Revolver.

The interest rate per annum on the Tranche A Term Loan is, at the Borrowers' option, equal to a base rate or LIBOR plus an applicable margin ranging from 155 to 225 basis points. The interest rate per annum on the Tranche B Term Loan is, at the Borrowers' option, equal to a base rate or LIBOR plus an applicable margin ranging from 120 to 190 basis points. The applicable margin for the Term Loans is based on leverage.

The Credit Agreement contains customary affirmative and restrictive covenants substantially similar to those contained in the Trust's Fourth Amended Revolver. The Trust serves as the guarantor for any funds borrowed by the Borrowers under the Credit Agreement. As of September 30, 2014, the Trust was in compliance with all covenants of the Credit Agreement.

In connection with entering into the Credit Agreement, the Trust entered into multiple interest rate swaps with notional amounts totaling \$187.5 million to hedge the interest payments on the LIBOR-based Term Loans (see Note 10). As of September 30, 2014, the effective interest rate on the Tranche A Term Loan was 3.85% (weighted average swap rate of 2.30% plus the current margin of 1.55%) and the effective interest rate on the Tranche B Term Loan was 2.86% (weighted average swap rate of 1.66% plus the current margin of 1.20%).

### Mortgage and construction debt

#### Master Secured Credit Facility

The Trust has a credit facility with Fannie Mae (the "Master Secured Credit Facility") that was entered into on December 31, 2008 and expanded on December 2, 2009. All notes under the Master Secured Credit Facility contain cross-default provisions, and all properties securing the notes are cross-collateralized. The Trust was in compliance with all financial covenants, including consolidated net worth and liquidity tests, contained in the Master Secured Credit Facility as of September 30, 2014. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Trust had \$160.4 million and \$169.7 million, respectively, of mortgage loans outstanding under the Master Secured Credit Facility bearing interest at a weighted average fixed interest rate of 5.91%.

#### Mortgage debt

As of September 30, 2014, the Trust had outstanding mortgage indebtedness of \$81.1 million (excluding an unamortized debt premium of \$1.7 million). Of the total mortgage debt outstanding at September 30, 2014, \$47.1 million relates to mortgage debt bearing interest at fixed rates ranging from 4.2% to 5.6% and \$34.0 million relates to mortgage debt bearing interest at variable rates at a weighted average effective interest rate of 2.29%. The mortgage debt outstanding is secured by underlying collegiate housing properties.



In February 2014, the Trust repaid in full variable rate mortgage debt of \$35.7 million that was assumed in connection with the 2011 acquisition of the GrandMarc at Westberry Place collegiate housing community located at Texas Christian University. The interest rate was equal to a base rate plus a 4.85% margin, and the loan was scheduled to mature on January 1, 2020. In connection with this repayment, the Trust recognized a \$0.6 million loss on extinguishment of debt.

On July 1, 2014, in connection with the sale of Pointe West collegiate housing community located at University of South Carolina, the Trust repaid a mortgage debt of \$9.5 million in full. The interest rate was equal to 4.92% and the mortgage debt was scheduled to mature on August 1, 2014.

On July 14, 2014, the Trust repaid \$7.2 million of mortgage debt that was part of the Master Secured Credit Facility. The interest rate was 4.96% and the mortgage debt was scheduled to mature on January 1, 2015. Simultaneously with the sale of

## Table of Contents

The Reserve on South College, the community was released from the Master Secured Credit Facility (see Note 8). In connection with this pay off, the Trust recognized a \$0.1 million loss on extinguishment of debt.

### Construction loans

As of September 30, 2014, the Trust had construction loans outstanding of \$60.5 million related to the following collegiate housing developments: The Retreat serving the University of Mississippi and Roosevelt Point serving Arizona State University - Downtown Phoenix Campus. Interest on these construction loans is at variable rates at a weighted average effective interest rate of 2.22%.

In April 2014, the Trust repaid in full variable rate construction debt with an outstanding principal balance of \$32.3 million related to the development of The Oaks on the Square (Phase I and II). The effective interest rate was equal to 2.41% and the loan was scheduled to mature on October 30, 2015.

On September 30, 2014, the Trust repaid in full variable rate construction debt with an outstanding balance of \$8.2 million related to the development of The Oaks on the Square (Phase III) serving the University of Connecticut. The effective interest rate was equal to 2.10% and the loan was scheduled to mature on September 11, 2017. In connection with this pay off, the Trust recognized a \$0.1 million loss on extinguishment of debt.

On July 9, 2014, the Trust entered into a construction loan agreement related to the development of a jointly owned cottage-style community located in Louisville, Kentucky (The Retreat at Louisville). The Trust is the majority owner and will manage the community once it is completed. The interest rate per year applicable to the loan is, at the option of the Trust, equal to a base rate plus a 1.05% margin or LIBOR plus a 2.05% margin and is interest only through July 10, 2017. On July 10, 2017, if certain conditions are met, the Trust has the option to extend the loan until July 9, 2018 and another year thereafter. During the extension periods, if applicable, principal and interest are to be repaid on a monthly basis. As of September 30, 2014, there were 0 amounts outstanding under the construction loan.

In connection with the acquisition of The Varsity serving the University of Michigan during the year ended December 31, 2013, the Trust assumed a construction loan in the amount of \$32.4 million. The interest rate per year applicable to the loan is equal to LIBOR plus a 2.25% margin and is interest only through August 1, 2015. As of September 30, 2014, the effective interest rate applicable to the loan was 2.40%. On August 1, 2015, if certain conditions for extension are met, the Trust has the option to extend the loan until August 1, 2017. During the extension period, if applicable, principal and interest are to be repaid on a monthly basis.

### Liquidity outlook and capital requirements

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, we generated \$71.3 million of cash from operations, received \$271.5 million in proceeds from issuances of common stock through a follow on offering in June 2014 and stock issued under the ATM program, received proceeds of \$187.5 million from the new unsecured term loan facility, received proceeds of \$69.0 million related to the sale of three collegiate housing communities, and borrowed \$9.8 million under mortgage and construction loans. When combined with \$22.1 million of existing cash, during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, we were able to repay \$146.9 million on the Fourth Amended Revolver, invest \$14.7 million of capital into existing communities, acquire two collegiate housing properties for \$132.2 million, invest \$8.3 million in joint ventures, repay \$95.7 million of mortgage and construction debt, invest \$177.8 million in assets under development and distribute \$42.1 million to our stockholders and unitholders in order to end the quarter with approximately \$18.4 million in cash.

Our short-term liquidity needs include funds for distributions to our stockholders and unitholders, including those required to maintain our REIT status and satisfy our current annual distribution target of \$0.46 per share/unit, funds

for capital expenditures, funds for debt repayment and, potentially, funds for new property acquisition and development. We generally expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements through cash provided by operations, debt refinancing, existing cash and recycling capital through potential asset sales. We believe that these sources of capital will be sufficient to provide for our short-term capital needs. We have managed our balance sheet so that all capital needs, including announced and committed development deals, are pre-funded by our balance sheet capacity. In June 2014, we completed a follow-on equity offering selling 24.5 million shares of our common stock for net proceeds of \$239.4 million, which were used to repay a portion of our Fourth Amended Revolver. The offering provided additional balance sheet capacity to fund two additional 2015 developments and purchase The District on Apache adjacent to Arizona State University for \$90 million in September 2014, as well as improving our leverage metrics. We will continue to monitor the equity markets and in the future anticipate accessing capital through our At-the-Market equity offering program or additional follow on offerings.

## Table of Contents

Distributions for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 totaled \$42.9 million, or \$0.34, per weighted average share/unit, compared to cash provided by operations of \$71.3 million, or \$0.57, per weighted average share/unit. This includes one quarterly dividend at the newly Board-approved targeted dividend of \$0.12 per share compared to the previous dividend of \$0.11 per share.

Based on our closing share price of \$10.28 on September 30, 2014, our total enterprise value was \$2.2 billion. With net debt (total debt less cash) of \$713.5 million as of September 30, 2014, our debt to enterprise value was 32.6% compared to 42.5% as of December 31, 2013. With gross assets of \$2.0 billion, which excludes accumulated depreciation of \$220.7 million, our debt to gross assets ratio was 36.0% as of September 30, 2014 as compared to 42.8% as of December 31, 2013.

On May 22, 2012, the Trust entered into two equity distribution agreements ("ATM Program") pursuant to which the Trust may issue and sell shares of its common stock having an aggregate offering amount of \$50.0 million. As of December 31, 2013, the Trust had sold 1.6 million shares of common stock under the distribution agreements for net proceeds of approximately \$17.8 million. The Trust used the net proceeds to repay debt, fund its development pipeline, fund acquisitions and for general corporate purposes. The Trust sold all remaining shares under the distribution agreements during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and received net proceeds of \$31.5 million. Subsequent to the end of the quarter the Trust entered into agreements to establish a new ATM Program authorized to sell a maximum of \$150.0 million in additional shares of stock.

### Acquisition, disposition and development activity

An additional source of capital, subject to appropriate market conditions, is the targeted disposition of non-strategic communities. We continually assess all of our communities, the markets in which they are located and the universities they serve, to determine if any dispositions are necessary or appropriate. The net proceeds from the sale of any asset would provide additional capital that would most likely be used to pay down debt and possibly finance acquisition/development growth or other operational needs.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Trust sold The Reserve on West 31st, located in Lawrence, Kansas, College Station at West Lafayette, located in West Lafayette, Indiana, Pointe West, located in Cayce, South Carolina and The Reserve on South College, located in Auburn, Alabama for a combined sales price of \$71.7 million. and received proceeds of \$52.2 million after repayment of \$16.7 million of mortgage debt, with an average interest rate of 4.9%. The remaining net proceeds were used to pay down the outstanding balance on our unsecured revolving credit facility.

We intend to invest in additional communities only as suitable opportunities arise. We also plan to develop communities for our ownership and management. In the short term, we intend to fund any developments or acquisitions with working capital, borrowings under our Fourth Amended Revolver, constructions loans, asset sales, or borrowings under first mortgage secured debt. We intend to finance property acquisitions and development projects over the longer term with cash from operations, the proceeds from potential asset sales, additional issuances of common or preferred stock, private capital in the form of joint ventures, debt financing and issuances of units in our Operating Partnership. There can be no assurance, however, that such funding will be obtained on reasonable terms, or at all, particularly in light of current capital market conditions.

We previously entered into a presale agreement to purchase 109 Tower adjacent to Florida International University, in Miami, FL. The \$43.5 million acquisition was subject to completion of the project in time for fall 2014 occupancy and the Trust assumed lease-up risk during construction. The transaction closed in August 2014 upon successful completion and delivery of the community.

We have five active owned development projects with our aggregate share of development costs of \$291.6 million. As of September 30, 2014, \$199.0 million of the development costs remain to be funded.

#### Predevelopment expenditures

Our third-party development consulting activities have historically required us to fund predevelopment expenditures such as architectural fees, permits and deposits. Because the closing of a development project's financing is often subject to third-party delay, we cannot always predict accurately the liquidity needs of these activities. We frequently incur these predevelopment expenditures before a financing commitment has been obtained and, accordingly, bear the risk of loss related to these predevelopment expenditures, if financing cannot ultimately be arranged on acceptable terms. However, we typically obtain a guarantee of repayment of these predevelopment expenditures from the project owner, but no assurance can be given that we would be successful in collecting the amount guaranteed in the event that project financing is not obtained. When we develop projects for ownership, as opposed to our third-party development services, the Trust bears all exposure to risks and capital requirements for these developments.

Table of Contents

## Long-term liquidity requirements

Our long-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds necessary for scheduled debt maturities, acquisitions, developments, renovations and other non-recurring capital expenditures that are needed periodically for our communities. We expect to meet these needs through existing working capital, cash provided by operations, additional borrowings under our Fourth Amended Revolver, net proceeds from potential asset sales, the issuance of equity securities, including common or preferred stock, units in our Operating Partnership or additional debt, if market conditions permit. In January 2014, we entered into a \$187.5 million unsecured term loan with five- and seven-year tranches (the "Unsecured Term Loan") (see Note 4 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements). At the same time, we entered into interest rate swap agreements to effectively fix the interest rate. We believe these sources of capital will be sufficient to provide for our long-term capital needs. Market conditions, however, may make additional capital more expensive for us. There can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain additional financing under satisfactory conditions, or at all, or that we will make any investments in additional communities. Our Fourth Amended Revolver is a material source to satisfy our long-term liquidity requirements. As such, compliance with the financial and operating debt covenants is material to our liquidity. As of September 30, 2014, we were in compliance with all covenants related to our Fourth Amended Revolver.

## Commitments

For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, our commitments, contingencies and contractual obligations were not materially different from the amounts reported for the year ended December 31, 2013, except for the net decrease in debt of \$46 million (see Note 4 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements) and our contractual interest obligations on our mortgage and construction debt have increased approximately \$18 million related to interest on the term loan facility entered into during January 2014 (see Note 4 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements).

## Long-term indebtedness

As of September 30, 2014, 34 of our communities were unencumbered by mortgage debt. As of September 30, 2014, we had outstanding mortgage and construction indebtedness of \$336.1 million (net of unamortized debt premium of \$1.7 million). The scheduled future maturities of this indebtedness as of September 30, 2014 were as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending December 31,	
2014 (3 months as of December 31, 2014)	\$896
2015	96,983
2016	124,672
2017	39,068
2018	1,635
Thereafter	71,166
Total	334,420
Debt premium	1,694
Outstanding as of September 30, 2014, net of debt premium	\$336,114

As of September 30, 2014, the outstanding mortgage and construction debt had a weighted average effective interest rate of 4.39% and carried an average term to maturity of 2.04 years.

The Trust had \$210.0 million outstanding under the Fourth Amended Revolver as of September 30, 2014. The Fourth Amended Revolver matures on January 14, 2018, with a one-year extension option, subject to certain conditions. The

Fourth Amended Revolver requires interest only payments through maturity. The interest rate per annum applicable to the Fourth Amended Revolver is, at the Operating Partnership's option, equal to a base rate or LIBOR plus an applicable margin based upon our leverage. The interest rate applicable to the Fourth Amended Revolver as of September 30, 2014 was 1.46%.

#### Distributions

We are required to distribute 90% of our REIT taxable income (excluding the deduction for dividends paid and net capital gains) on an annual basis in order to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. Accordingly, we intend to make, but are not contractually bound to make, regular quarterly distributions to holders of our common stock and Operating Partnership units. All such distributions are authorized at the sole discretion of our Board. We may be required to use borrowings under our

Table of Contents

Fourth Amended Revolver, if necessary, to meet REIT distribution requirements, avoid the imposition of federal income and excise taxes and maintain our REIT status. Additionally, we may make certain distributions consisting of both cash and shares to meet REIT distribution requirements. We consider market factors and our performance in addition to REIT requirements in determining distribution levels. During July of 2013, our Board increased the annual dividend target by 10% from \$0.40 to \$0.44 per share/unit becoming effective with the August 15, 2013 dividend. During May of 2014, our Board increased the annual dividend target by 9% to \$0.48 per share/unit becoming effective with the August 15, 2014 dividend.

Our Board declared a quarter distribution of \$0.12 per share of common stock for the quarter ended September 30, 2014. The distribution will be paid on November 15, 2014 to stockholders of record at the close of business on October 31, 2014.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Operating Partnership serves as non-recourse, carve-out guarantor for secured third-party debt in the amount of \$23.7 million, held by one unconsolidated joint venture. The loan is scheduled to mature on July 1, 2020. The Operating Partnership is liable to the lender for any loss, damage, cost, expense, liability, claim or other obligation incurred by the lender arising out of or in connection with certain non-recourse exceptions in connection with the debt. Pursuant to the respective operating agreement, the joint venture partner agreed to indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Trust with respect to such obligations, except to the extent such obligations were caused by the willful misconduct, gross negligence, fraud or bad faith of the Operating Partnership or its employees, agents or affiliates. Therefore, exposure under the guarantee for obligations not caused by the willful misconduct, gross negligence, fraud or bad faith of the Operating Partnership or its employees, agents or affiliates is not expected to exceed the Operating Partnership's proportionate interest in the related mortgage debt of \$5.9 million.

The Operating Partnership, along with a joint venture partner, have jointly and severally guaranteed partial repayment for secured third-party construction debt held by one unconsolidated joint venture under development. The maturity date of the construction loan is December 31, 2015, with an option to extend the maturity date to December 31, 2016, provided certain conditions for extension are met. On December 31, 2016, the joint venture has the ability to further extend the maturity date to December 31, 2017, provided certain conditions for extension are met. The partial repayment guaranty for the construction debt is limited to \$8.8 million. In addition, the Operating Partnership serves as a non-recourse, carve-out guarantor for the secured third-party debt and is liable to the lender for any loss, damage, cost, expense, liability, claim or other obligation incurred by the lender arising out of or in connection with certain non-recourse exceptions in connection with the debt. Pursuant to the respective operating agreement, the joint venture partner agreed to indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Trust with respect to such obligations, except to the extent such obligations were caused by the willful misconduct, gross negligence, fraud or bad faith of the Operating Partnership or its employees, agents or affiliates. Therefore, exposure under the guaranties for obligations not caused by the willful misconduct, gross negligence, fraud or bad faith of the Operating Partnership or its employees, agents or affiliates are not expected to exceed the Operating Partnership's proportionate interest in the related mortgage debt in the case of the non-recourse, carve-out guaranty, or in the Operating Partnership's proportionate interest in the partial repayment guaranty of \$8.8 million. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the joint venture had \$50.8 million and \$13.1 million outstanding, respectively, on the construction loans.

The Operating Partnership, along with a joint venture partner, have jointly and severally guaranteed partial repayment for secured third-party construction debt held by one unconsolidated joint venture under development. The maturity date of the construction loan is May 2, 2017, with an option to extend the maturity date to May 2, 2018, provided certain conditions for extension are met. On May 2, 2018, the joint venture has the ability to further extend the maturity date to May 2, 2019, provided certain conditions for extension are met. The partial repayment guaranty for the construction debt is limited to \$7.2 million. In addition, the Operating Partnership serves as a non-recourse,



carve-out guarantor for the secured third-party debt and is liable to the lender for any loss, damage, cost, expense, liability, claim or other obligation incurred by the lender arising out of or in connection with certain non-recourse exceptions in connection with the debt. Pursuant to the joint venture's operating agreement, our joint venture partner agreed to indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Trust with respect to such obligations, except to the extent such obligations were caused by the willful misconduct, gross negligence, fraud or bad faith of the Operating Partnership or its employees, agents or affiliates. Therefore, exposure under the guaranties for obligations not caused by the willful misconduct, gross negligence, fraud or bad faith of the Operating Partnership or its employees, agents or affiliates are not expected to exceed the Operating Partnership's proportionate interest in the related mortgage debt in the case of the non-recourse, carve-out guaranty, or in the Operating Partnership's proportionate interest in the partial repayment guaranty of \$7.2 million. As of September 30, 2014, the joint venture had not drawn on the construction loan.

In connection with the development agreement entered into on July 14, 2010 for a project at the Science + Technology Park at Johns Hopkins Medical Institute (see Note 2), the Trust committed to provide a guarantee of repayment of a \$42.0 million third-party construction loan for a \$3.0 million fee, of which the carrying value approximates fair value. On July 1, 2014, the

## Table of Contents

third-party owners refinanced the construction loan and the Trust was released from the guarantee obligations. The Trust also collected and recognized the \$3.0 million guarantee fee during the three months ending September 30, 2014.

In connection with the condominium agreement related to The Oaks on the Square project in Storrs, Connecticut (see Note 4) the Operating Partnership and LeylandAlliance LLC have jointly committed to provide a guarantee of repayment of a \$46.4 million construction loan to develop the residential and retail portions of the first two phases of the project. As of December 31, 2013, \$43.2 million had been drawn on the construction loan of which \$11.8 million was attributable to LeylandAlliance LLC; this amount is not included in our accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements. On April 1, 2014, the construction loan for the first two phases of the project was repaid in full and the Trust was released from the guarantee obligations of the construction loan.

During August 2013, the Operating Partnership and LeylandAlliance LLC entered into a \$13.8 million construction loan for the third phase of the The Oaks on the Square project. Similar to the construction loan for the first and second phases, the Operating Partnership and LeylandAlliance LLC have jointly committed to provide a guarantee of repayment for the construction loan. As of December 31, 2013, \$1.3 million had been drawn on the construction loan, of which \$1.0 million, is attributable to LeylandAlliance LLC; these amounts are not included in our accompanying condensed consolidated financial statement. On September 30, 2014, the construction loan for the third phase of the project was repaid in full and the Trust was released from the guarantee obligations.

## Inflation

Our collegiate housing leases typically do not have terms that extend beyond twelve months. Accordingly, although on a short-term basis we would be required to bear the impact of rising costs resulting from inflation, we have the opportunity to raise rental rates at least annually to offset such rising costs. However, our ability to raise rental rates may be limited by a weak economic environment, increased competition from new collegiate housing in our primary markets and/or a reduction in student enrollment at our principal colleges and universities.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Our future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments are dependent upon prevailing market interest rates. Market risk refers to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. The Trust's interest rate risk objective is to limit the impact of interest rate fluctuations on earnings and cash flows and to lower its overall borrowing costs. To achieve this objective, the Trust manages its exposure to fluctuations in market interest rates for its borrowings through the use of fixed rate debt instruments to the extent that reasonably favorable rates are obtainable.

For fixed rate debt, interest rate changes affect the fair market value but do not impact net income to common stockholders or cash flows. Conversely, for floating rate debt, interest changes generally do not affect the fair market value but do impact net income to common stockholders and cash flows, assuming other factors are held constant. As of September 30, 2014, we had fixed rate debt of \$207.5 million. Holding other variables constant, a 100 basis point increase in interest rates would cause a \$2.1 million decline in the fair value for our fixed rate debt. Conversely, a 100 basis point decrease in interest rates would cause a \$6.9 million increase in the fair value of our fixed rate debt. As of September 30, 2014, 69.1% of the outstanding principal amounts of our mortgage and construction notes payable on the properties we own have fixed interest rates with a weighted average interest rate of 5.68% and an average term to maturity of 2.7 years.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Trust maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Trust's filings under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and to ensure that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Trust's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. The Trust also has investments in unconsolidated entities which are not under its control. Consequently, the Trust's disclosure controls and procedures with respect to these entities are necessarily more limited than those it maintains with respect to its consolidated subsidiaries.

## Table of Contents

Our management, under the supervision of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Trust's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act) as of September 30, 2014. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer had concluded that, as of September 30, 2014, the Trust's disclosure controls and procedures were effective in causing material information relating to the Trust to be recorded, processed, summarized and reported by management on a timely basis and to ensure the quality and timeliness of our public disclosures with SEC disclosure obligations.

### Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Trust's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended September 30, 2014 that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Trust's internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

In the normal course of business, we are subject to claims, lawsuits and legal proceedings. While it is not possible to ascertain the ultimate outcome of such matters, in management's opinion, the liabilities, if any, in excess of amounts provided or covered by insurance, are not expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

The Trust is subject to the risks involved with the ownership and operation of residential real estate near major universities throughout the United States. The risks include, among others, those normally associated with changes in the demand for housing by students at the related universities, competition for tenants, creditworthiness of tenants, changes in tax laws, interest rate levels, the availability of financing and potential liability under environmental and other laws.

The discussion of the Trust's business and operations should be read together with the risk factors contained in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, which describes various risks and uncertainties to which we are or may be subject. These risks and uncertainties have the potential to affect the Trust's business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and prospects in a material adverse manner.

### Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

#### Issuer Repurchases of Equity Securities

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, certain of our employees surrendered shares of common stock owned by them to satisfy their statutory minimum federal and state tax obligations associated with the vesting of restricted shares of common stock and restricted stock units issued under the Education Realty Trust, Inc. 2011 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan, or the 2011 Plan, the Education Realty Trust, Inc. 2012 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan, or the 2012 Plan and the Education Realty Trust, Inc. 2013 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan, or the 2013 Plan. There were 27,193 shares repurchased during the first quarter of 2014; there were no repurchases during the second or third quarters of 2014.

#### Amended and Restated Dividend Reinvestment and Direct Stock Purchase Plan

In September 2012, the Trust adopted the Amended and Restated Dividend Reinvestment and Direct Stock Purchase Plan, or the DRSP, which offers the following:

- automatic reinvestment of some or all of the cash distributions paid on common stock, shares of other classes of stock that we might issue in the future and units of limited partnership interest;
- an opportunity to make an initial purchase of our common stock and to acquire additional shares over time; and
- safekeeping of shares and accounting for distributions received and reinvested at no cost.

Shares of common stock purchased under the DRSP will be either issued by EdR or acquired directly from third parties in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. Subject to certain conditions and at our sole discretion, the discount from market prices, if any, on all shares of common stock purchased directly from us will range from 0% to 5%. We will determine

Table of Contents

the source of shares available through the DRSPS based on market conditions, relative transaction costs and our need for additional capital. To the extent the DRSPS acquires shares of common stock directly from EdR, we will receive additional capital for general corporate purposes.

During the three months ended September 30, 2014 in connection with the DRSPS, we directed the plan administrator to purchase 1,048 shares of our common stock for \$11,239 in the aggregate in the open market pursuant to the dividend reinvestment component of the DRSPS with respect to our dividend for the third quarter of 2014. We also directed the plan administrator to purchase 1,071 shares of our common stock for \$11,500 in the aggregate in the open market for investors pursuant to the direct stock purchase component of the DRSPS.

The following chart summarizes these purchases of our common stock for the three months ended September 30, 2014.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased <sup>(1)</sup>	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
July 1 - 31, 2014	180	\$ 11.06	—	—
August 1 - 31, 2014	1,510	\$ 10.72	—	—
September 1 - 30, 2014	429	\$ 10.60	—	—
Total	2,119	\$ 10.73	—	—

(1) All shares of common stock were purchased in the open market pursuant to the terms of our DRSPS. Our Board of Directors authorized the issuance or purchase of 4,000,000 shares of common stock under the DRSPS.

## Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None.

## Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

## Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not Applicable.

## Item 5. Other Information.

None.

## Item 6. Exhibits.

The exhibits listed on the accompanying Exhibit Index are filed, furnished or incorporated by reference (as stated therein) as part of this Report.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

EDUCATION REALTY TRUST, INC.

Date: November 7, 2014

By /s/ Randy Churchey  
Randy Churchey  
President, Chief Executive Officer and Director  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: November 7, 2014

By /s/ Edwin B. Brewer, Jr.  
Edwin B. Brewer, Jr.  
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: November 7, 2014

By /s/ J. Drew Koester  
J. Drew Koester  
Senior Vice President, Assistant Secretary and Chief Accounting  
Officer  
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1*	Second Articles of Amendment and Restatement, as supplemented, of Education Realty Trust, Inc.
3.2*	Amended and Restated Bylaws, as amended, of Education Realty Trust, Inc.
4.1	Form of Certificate for Common Stock of Education Realty Trust, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Trust's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 16, 2010.)
10.1 <sup>(1)</sup>	Executive Employment Agreement between Education Realty Trust and Edwin B. Brewer, Jr., effective as of August 5, 2014. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Trust's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed August 8, 2014.)
10.2 <sup>(1)</sup>	Restricted Stock Award Agreement between Education Realty Trust, Inc. and Edwin B. Brewer, Jr., effective August 8, 2014. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Trust's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 8, 2014.)
12*	Statement Regarding Computation of Ratios, filed herewith.
31.1	Certificate of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, filed herewith.
31.2	Certificate of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, filed herewith.
32.1	Certificate of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, furnished herewith.
32.2	Certificate of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, furnished herewith.
101.	INS XBRL Instance Document**
101.	SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document**
101.	CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document**
101.	LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document**
101.	PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document**
101.	DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document**

(1) Denotes a management contract or compensatory plan, contract or arrangement.

\* Filed herewith.

Attached as Exhibit 101 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are the following materials, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, (ii) the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, (iii) the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, (iv) the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, (v) the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 and (vi) the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited).