

Enertopia Corp.
Form 10-K/A
March 14, 2012

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K/A
Amendment #2

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended **August 31, 2011**

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from [] to []

Commission file number 000-51866

ENERTOPIA CORP.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

20-1970188

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**#950-1130 WEST PENDER STREET, VANCOUVER,
BRITISH
COLUMBIA, CANADA**

(Address of principal executive offices)

V6E 4A4

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **604-602-1633**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

N/A

Name of Each Exchange On Which Registered

N/A

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

N/A

(Title of class)

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K/A

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 the Securities Act.

Yes [] No [x]

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act

Yes [] No [x]

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K/A

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the last 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

State the aggregate market value of voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold, or the average bid and ask price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter.

The aggregate market value of Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant on February 28, 2011 was \$810,285 based on a \$0.09 closing price for the Common Stock on February 28, 2011. For purposes of this computation, all executive officers and directors have been deemed to be affiliates. Such determination should not be deemed to be an admission that such executive officers and directors are, in fact, affiliates of the Registrant.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date.

24,743,865 common shares as of November 25, 2011

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item 1.	Business	4
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	12
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	16
Item 2.	Properties	16
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	24
Item 4.	(Removed and Reserved).	24
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	24
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	27
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	28
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	31
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	31
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	55
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	55
Item 9B.	Other Information	56
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	56
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	61
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.	65
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	66
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	67
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	68

PART I

Item 1. Business

This annual report contains forward-looking statements. These statements relate to future events or our future financial performance. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as *may*, *should*, *expects*, *plans*, *anticipates*, *believes*, *estimates*, *predicts*, *potential* or *continue* or the negative or other comparable terminology. These statements are only predictions and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, including the risks in the section entitled *Risk Factors* that may cause our or our industry's actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. Except as required by applicable law, including the securities laws of the United States, we do not intend to update any of the forward-looking statements to conform these statements to actual results.

Our financial statements are stated in United States Dollars (US\$) and are prepared in accordance with United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

In this annual report, unless otherwise specified, all dollar amounts are expressed in United States dollars and all references to *common shares* refer to the common shares in our capital stock.

As used in this annual report and unless otherwise indicated, the terms "we", "us", "our" and "Enertopia" mean Enertopia Corp.

General Overview

Enertopia Corp. was formed on November 24, 2004 under the laws of the State of Nevada and commenced operations on November 24, 2004.

From inception until April 2008, we were primarily engaged in the acquisition and exploration of natural resource properties. Beginning in April 2008, we began our entry into the clean energy sector by purchasing an interest in a solar thermal design and installation company.

We are a renewable energy and a resource company that is pursuing business opportunities in mineral properties and several cleantech sectors, including: Solar PV (Photovoltaic), Solar Thermal (Hot Water), Energy Retrofits and Recovery, Solar powered Filtered Drinking Water.

The address of our principal executive office is Suite 950, 1130 West Pender Street, Vancouver, British Columbia V6E 4A4. Our telephone number is (604) 602-1633. In addition, we have a second office located in Kelowna, British Columbia. Our current locations provide adequate office space for our purposes at this stage of our development.

During the year ended August 31, 2010, our oil and gas properties became available for sale as the result of our company shifting its focus from non-renewable energy operations to a renewable energy operation. Pursuant to Accounting Standards Codification 360 *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of long-Lived Assets*, we reclassified the remaining oil and gas properties to be sold as assets held for sale and recorded at their recoverable amount on August 31, 2010. In the year ended August 31, 2011, we received the cash payment of \$100,000 from the sale.

On January 31, 2011, we entered into a letter of intent and paid US\$7,500 deposit to Wildhorse Copper Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary Wildhorse Copper (AZ) Inc. (collectively, the *Optionors*). On April 11, 2011, we signed a

Mineral Purchase Option Agreement with the Optionor respecting an option to earn a 100% interest, subject to a 1% NSR capped to a maximum of \$2,000,000 on one claim, in a property known as the Copper Hills property. The Copper Hills property is comprised of 56 located mining claims covering a total of 1,150 acres located in New Mexico, USA. The Optionors hold the Copper Hills property directly and indirectly through property purchase agreements between the Optionors and third parties (collectively, the Indirect Agreements). Pursuant to the Agreement the Optionors have assigned the Indirect Agreements to Enertopia. In order to earn the interest in the Copper Hills property, we are required to make aggregate cash payments of \$591,650 over an eight year period and issue an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of its common stock over a three year period. On April 11, 2011, we made aggregate cash payments of \$54,150 and issued 500,000 shares to the Optionors. As at August 31, 2011, total consideration including cash paid and common stock issued was \$147,045; the Company has expensed the exploration costs of \$14,094 and prepaid the exploration costs of \$32,250.

On March 3, 2011, we closed a private placement of 8,729,000 units at a price of CAD\$0.10 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$872,900, US\$893,993. Each unit consisted of one common share in the capital of our company and one non-transferable share purchase warrant, each full warrant entitling the holder to purchase one additional common share in the capital of our company until March 3, 2013, subject to accelerated expiry as set out in the warrant certificate, at a purchase price of CAD\$0.20. As per the terms of the Subscription Agreement, our company grants to the Subscribers a participation right to participate in future offerings of our securities as to their pro rata shares for a period of 12 months from the closing of the Private. We paid broker commissions of \$48,930 in cash and issued 489,300 brokers warrants. Each full warrant entitling the holder to purchase one additional common share in the capital of our company until March 3, 2013, subject to accelerated expiry as set out in the warrant certificate, at a purchase price of CAD\$0.20.

On March 16, 2011, we entered into a debt settlement agreement with an officer of our company, whereby we issued 78,125 shares of common stock in connection with the settlement of \$12,500 debt at a deemed price of \$0.16 per share pursuant to a consulting agreement. We recorded \$12,422 in additional paid in capital for the gain on the settlement of the debt.

On April 14, 2011, we held our Annual and Special Meeting of Shareholders for the following purposes:

1. To elect Robert McAllister, Dr. Gerald Carlson and Chris Bunka as directors of the Company for the ensuing year.
2. To ratify Chang Lee LLP, independent public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending August 31, 2011, and to allow directors to set the remuneration.
3. To approve, ratify and confirm the consolidation of the 2007 Stock Option Plan and the 2010 Equity Compensation Plan into one plan and approve the terms of this new plan, the 2011 Stock Option Plan.

All proposals were approved by the shareholders. The proposals are described in detail in our definitive proxy statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 9, 2011.

On April 27, 2011, we entered into a debt settlement agreement with the President of our Company regarding due to related parties in the amount of \$46,000, whereby \$25,000 was settled by issuing common shares of 100,000, and \$21,000 was forgiven for Nil consideration. In connection with the debt settlement, we recorded \$100 in share capital and \$45,900 in additional paid in capital for the gain on the settlement of the debt.

On May 31, 2011, the Company settled the amount due to related parties into two promissory notes of \$80,320 (CAD\$84,655) and \$90,000. Both promissory notes were unsecured, non-interest bearing and due on May 31, 2012 at an imputed interest rate of 12% per annum upon the settlement. On April 27, 2011, we entered into debt settlement agreement with one of the holders, a company controlled by the Chairman/CEO of the Company, whereby the Company issued common shares of 360,000 to the holder, and the holder agreed to accept the shares as full and final payment of the promissory of \$90,000. On the same day, we entered into a debt settlement agreement with another holder, a company controlled by the Chairman/CEO of our Company, whereby the holder agreed to forgive the repayment of debt for Nil consideration. In connection with the settlements and forgiveness of the above promissory notes, the Company recorded \$79,997 and \$77,415 in additional paid in capital for the gain on settlement of debt, respectively.

On June 22, 2011, Change Lee LLP (“Chang Lee”) resigned as our independent registered public accounting firm because Chang Lee was merged with another company: MNP LLP (“MNP”). Most of the professional staff of Chang Lee continued with MNP either as employees or partners of MNP and will continue their practice with MNP. On June 22, 2011, we engaged MNP as our independent registered public accounting firm.

On July 19, 2011, we entered into a letter of intent and paid US\$15,000 deposit to the Altar Resources. Subsequent to August 31, 2011, on October 11, 2011, we signed a Mineral Purchase Option Agreement with Altar Resources respecting an option to earn 100% interest, subject to a 2.5% NSR in a property known as Mildred Peak. The mining claims are in Arizona covering approximately 6,220 acres from Altar Resources who holds the mining claims directly, and indirectly through federal mining claims and state mineral exploration leases, or represents that it will hold such claims in good standing at the time of closing a definitive agreement. Our company is required to make aggregate cash payments of \$881,000 over a five year period and issue an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of our common stock over a four year period. On October 11, 2011, we made aggregate cash payments of \$71,000 and issued 100,000 shares of our common stock to Altar Resources.

Overview of Business over the Last Five Years

We were initially engaged in the acquisition and exploration of natural resource properties. We commenced operations in November 2004 and discontinued such operations in April 2010. As a result, the foregoing discussion describes the Company’s operations while it was involved in the acquisition and exploration of natural resource properties.

On April 6, 2005 we entered into an Exploration Agreement with Options for Joint Venture with Miranda U.S.A., Inc. We had the option of acquiring an undivided 60% interest in Miranda’s lease in sixty-four mineral claims situated in Eureka County, Nevada. During the fiscal year ended August 31, 2007, we abandoned our option to acquire the 60% interest in the Eureka County mineral lease claims.

As management of our company investigated opportunities and challenges in the business of being a natural gas and oil property exploration company, management realized that the business did not present the best opportunity for our company to realize value for our shareholders. Accordingly, we abandoned our previous business plan and focused on the exploration and development of natural gas and oil properties.

On April 16, 2007, we acquired a 25% (net 15%) before payout (12.5% (net 7.5%)) after payout interest in Queensdale, Saskatchewan Project (known as the Queensdale Property) from 0743608 B.C. Ltd., a company controlled by a Director/CEO of our company, for a total cost of CAD\$250,000 and 250,000 shares (post consolidation) of our common stock.

On November 30, 2007, we completed the acquisition of all the issued and outstanding common stock of Target Energy pursuant to a share exchange agreement dated October 15, 2007 among our company, as purchaser, and all of the shareholders of Target Energy, as vendors. In exchange for all of the issued and outstanding shares of Target Energy, we issued to the shareholders of Target Energy an aggregate of 6,905,000 shares (post consolidation) of our common stock. Through our acquisition of Target Energy we acquired an 8% gross interest before payout in the Queensdale, Queensdale West HZ 4A9-25/3A15-25-6-2 W2 well (known as the Queensdale West property). We also acquired a 3.75% net interest in two wells located in Wordsworth, Saskatchewan (known as the Wordsworth property).

On April 21, 2008, we acquired a passive minority interest in Pro Eco Energy USA Ltd., a private corporation focused on the installation and integration of alternative energy – mainly solar thermal – systems in Western Canada.

On May 14, 2008, we acquired one land parcel of 160 acres in the Glen Park area of central Alberta, Canada. We subsequently entered into a 50/50 Joint Venture with Vanguard Exploration to explore and develop the joint lands on Alberta Petroleum and Natural Gas Lease No. 0408050364. The joint venture owns the Petroleum and Natural Gas

rights below the base of the Mannville GRP to basement.

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K/A

On June 11, 2008, we acquired two land parcels of 160 acres each in the Glen Park area of central Alberta, Canada. These 320 acres are believed to be prospective for reef development and the potential accumulation of oil deposits. We own the Petroleum and Natural Gas rights below the base of the Mannville GRP to basement as to 100%.

In November 2008, the Wordsworth property that had the second well was drilled and completed as a successful oil well in December 2008.

On December 8, 2008 Enertopia and its partner were successful in acquiring 800 acres of land in the Coteau Lake project area and our company owned a 50% gross and net interest in a total of 2,080 acres of land in this area.

On July 31, 2009, we sold all of our interests in the Queensdale, West Queensdale, and the Wordsworth properties for an aggregate amount of CAD\$453,116.

Effective September 1, 2009, we entered into an assignment agreement with Cheetah Oil & Gas Ltd. The assignment agreement dated August 28, 2009, provides for the purchase by our company of a revenue interest of 40.432% of an 8% share of Cheetah's net revenue after field operating expenses from the Belmont Lake PP F-12-4 horizontal well, located in Belmont Lake Field, Wilkinson County, Mississippi. As consideration, we agreed to pay to Cheetah 57.76% of Cheetah's costs currently budgeted at \$77,905.36, subject to revision and 57.76% of Cheetah's 8% share of PP F-12-4 well costs from time to time for infrastructure, pipes, tanks, compressors, trucking, etc. On May 31, 2010, this assigned interest was converted into common shares and warrants of Cheetah Oil & Gas Ltd, leaving our company with no direct interest in this well. As a result, we have 375,000 restricted common shares in the capital of Cheetah and 375,000 share purchase warrants which entitled our company to acquire 375,000 restricted common shares in the capital of Cheetah at a purchase price of US\$0.20 per share for a period of two years.

Effective September 1, 2009, we entered into an assignment agreement with Lexaria Corp. The assignment agreement dated August 28, 2009, provides for the purchase by our company of a revenue interest of 13.475% of a 32% share of Lexaria's net revenue after field operating expenses from the Belmont Lake PP F-12-4 horizontal well, located in Belmont Lake Field, Wilkinson County, Mississippi. As consideration, we agreed to pay to Lexaria 19.25% of Lexaria's costs currently budgeted at \$311,621.44, subject to revision and 19.25% of Lexaria's 32% share of PP F-12-4 well costs from time to time for infrastructure, pipes, tanks, compressors, trucking, etc. On May 31, 2010, this assigned interest was converted into common shares and warrants of Lexaria Corp, leaving our company with no direct interest in this well. As a result, we have 499,893 restricted common shares in the capital of Lexaria and 499,983 share purchase warrants which entitle our company to acquire 499,983 restricted common shares in the capital of Lexaria at a purchase price of US\$0.20 per share for a period of two years.

Effective September 25, 2009, we effected a one (1) for two (2) share consolidation of our authorized and issued and outstanding common stock. As a result, our authorized capital decreased from 75,000,000 shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.001 to 37,500,000 shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.001 and our issued and outstanding shares decreased from 29,305,480 shares of common stock to 14,652,740 shares of common stock. The consolidation became effective with the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board at the opening for trading on September 25, 2009 under the new stock symbol "**GLCP**". Our CUSIP number was changed to **38079Q207**.

On October 9, 2009, we appointed Bal Bhullar as our chief financial officer. Concurrent with the appointment of Ms. Bhullar, we entered into an initial six-month management agreement, thereafter month to month, with BKB Management Ltd., a consulting company controlled by Bal Bhullar.

On October 9, 2009, we entered into a month to month management agreement with Mark Snyder, whereby Mark Snyder will act as the Chief Technical Officer of the Company.

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K/A

On January 31, 2010, we entered into an Independent Sales and Marketing Representative Agreement with Global Solar Water Power Systems Inc., a private company beneficially owned by Mark Snyder, the Company's Chief Technical Officer.

On February 8, 2010, we changed our name from Golden Aria Corp. to Enertopia Corp. Our CUSIP number is **29277Q1047**

On February 22, 2010, we increased our authorized share capital to 200,000,000 common shares.

On February 28, 2010, we entered into an Asset and Share Purchase Agreement with Mr. Mark Snyder to acquire up to 20% ownership interest of Global Solar Water Power Systems Inc.

Effective March 26, 2010, our stock quotation under the symbol **GLCP** was deleted from the OTC Bulletin Board. The symbol was deleted for factors beyond our company's control due to various market makers electing to shift their orders from the OTCBB to the Pink OTC Markets Inc. As a result of these market makers not providing a quote on the OTCBB for four consecutive days our company was deemed to be deficient in maintaining a listing standard at the OTCBB pursuant to Rule 15c2-11. That determination was made entirely without our company's knowledge.

On April 7, 2010, FINRA confirmed the name change from Golden Aria Corp. to Enertopia Corp., and approved our new symbol "ENRT".

On May 31, 2010, we closed a private placement financing of 557,500 units at a price of \$0.15 per unit for gross proceeds of \$83,625. Each unit consisted of one share of common stock in the capital of our company and one non-transferable share purchase warrant, each full warrant entitling the holder to purchase one additional share of common stock in the capital of our company until May 31, 2012, at a purchase price of \$0.30 per share.

On August 12, 2010, we received approval for listing on the Canadian National Stock Exchange. Trading date commenced on August 13, 2010 under the symbol "**TOP**".

Our Current Business

We are a renewable energy and a resource company that is pursuing business opportunities in mineral properties and several cleantech sectors, including: Solar PV (Photovoltaic), Solar Thermal (Hot Water), Energy Retrofits and Recovery, Solar powered Filtered Drinking Water.

We currently hold the following interests:

Equity Investment in Pro Eco Energy, Inc.

On April 21, 2008, we announced that we had made an 8.25% equity investment into Pro Eco Energy USA Ltd., a clean tech energy company involved in designing, developing and installing solar energy solutions for commercial and residential customers. We also welcomed the President of Pro Eco Energy, Mr. Roger Huber, as the first member of our Clean Tech Advisory board. Mr. Huber has a long career in optimizing energy solutions and his knowledge and wide industry contacts are expected to help us develop our alternative energy solutions.

Pro Eco Energy USA Ltd. owns 100% of the shares of a wholly-owned subsidiary company in Canada called Pro Eco Energy Ltd. (together, Pro Eco). The Chairman of our company is a director and shareholder of Pro Eco Energy USA Ltd.

Mr. Huber has been active in the fields of clean energy design and installations for many years. Through his private consulting and construction companies, he has helped to design and construct many of the largest solar thermal

projects in Western Canada, These include the Best Western Hotel in Kelowna, British Columbia; the North Vancouver Public Library; the Comfort Inn in Red Deer, Alberta, and others. Pro Eco primarily services commercial clients and is both an installation and consulting company.

Pro Eco's range of services includes:

1. Consulting. Pro Eco evaluates customer's current energy needs and helps recommend ways that can optimize savings and energy efficiency; and
2. Design. Pro Eco designs personalized, custom systems to take the greatest advantage of the customer's unique geographical setting, fuel costs and availability, and building construction; and
3. Installation. Pro Eco's experts install and support a wide variety of commercial and residential systems including solar thermal, ground-source heat pumps, and heat recovery.

Pro Eco specializes in both energy retrofits of commercial buildings, and systems for new commercial construction.

Pro Eco has mainly focused its activities throughout British Columbia, Alberta and Saskatchewan. Pro Eco's prior projects included a number of large and small companies, including hotels and apartment buildings, and residential installations. Some of Pro Eco's recent projects include:

1. North Vancouver Library
2. Listel Hotel
3. Singer Specialized Trucking
4. Gateway Bonavista
5. Burrowing Owl Winery
6. Renaissance Retirement Residences
7. Strata Corporation
8. Best Western Inn
9. Comfort Inn & Suites
10. Inn at Big White

At the request of our company, Pro Eco had designed an energy recovery and retrofit system at an existing facility in Alberta. That anticipated project includes recovery and reuse of waste heat and replacement of certain equipment.

Equity Investment in Global Solar Water Power Systems Inc.

Effective February 28, 2010, we entered into an asset and share purchase agreement with Mr. Mark Snyder to acquire up to 20% ownership of Global Solar Water Power Systems Inc., a private company beneficially owned by Mark Snyder, our company's Chief Technical Officer. Global Solar owns certain technology invented and developed by Mark Snyder for the design and manufacture of certain water filtration equipment. Under the terms of the agreement, we may acquire up to a 20% equitable ownership interest in Global Solar payable as follows:

- (a) for the initial 10% equity interest, by the issuance of 500,000 restricted shares of our common stock at a deemed price of US \$0.20 per share, payable within 10 days of signing the agreement;
- (b) for the initial 10% equity interest, cash payments and/or deferred commissions totaling \$150,000 payable in installments of \$3,500 per month;
- (c) for the additional 10% equity interest, the issuance of 500,000 restricted shares of our common stock at any time up to December 31, 2011; and
- (d) for the additional 10% equity interest, cash payments and/or deferred commissions totaling \$250,000 paid a minimum of \$3,500 per month and beginning not later than December 31, 2011, as further described in the agreement.

Pursuant to the terms of the agreement Global Solar is required to pay our proportionate interest in any after tax profits on a quarterly basis. Our management obtained an independent valuation dated February 5, 2010 in support of

the value ascribed to the proposed equity interest in Global Solar. As at August 31, 2011, we have paid \$103,500 in US dollars and issued 500,000 restricted shares of our common stock, following which we have acquired 8.14% equity interest in Global Solar.

Also on January 31, 2010, we entered into an Independent Sales and Marketing Representative Agreement with Global Solar. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, Global Solar agreed to appoint our company as its independent sales representative to solicit orders for those solar and/or wind turbine powered water filtration products marketed from time to time by Global Solar and/or our company on an exclusive basis in Africa and non-exclusive basis throughout the rest of the world, with the exception of Iraq. In consideration for services to be rendered by our company under the agreement, we will receive a minimum of 5% of the net invoice price from any product orders and not more than 12% of the net invoice price. Our company and Global Solar have the right to jointly determine specific sales cases individually to generate unique commissions by their joint agreement on a case by case basis. The agreement expires on January 31, 2015.

One of Global Solar's business lines is the business of developing and manufacturing a portable solar powered trailer mounted water purification units that can be delivered and operated nearly anywhere in the world and can provide a village, resort, or remote work-camps with all their drinking water and domestic water requirements. The technology was developed in 2009 by Mark Snyder. Over 300 locations in Iraq were benefiting from clean drinking water as a result of the deployment of these systems, which were delivered to Iraq during 2009, prior to our company's involvement.

Clean Tech Alliance with Snyder Electric.

On June 5, 2008, Mark Snyder, a long time clean energy expert in California, also joined our Clean Tech Advisory board. Mr. Snyder is an expert in alternative energy systems. Mr. Snyder's focus is on complete "net zero" home solutions – homes that generate through alternative energy systems such as solar thermal, solar PV etc, as much energy as they consume.

Belmont Lake Field, Wilkinson County, Mississippi

Effective September 1, 2009, we entered into an assignment agreement with Cheetah Oil & Gas Ltd. The assignment agreement dated August 28, 2009, provides for the purchase by our company of a revenue interest of 40.432% of an 8% share of Cheetah's net revenue after field operating expenses from the Belmont Lake PP F-12-4 horizontal well, located in Belmont Lake Field, Wilkinson County, Mississippi. As consideration, we agreed to pay to Cheetah 57.76% of Cheetah's costs currently budgeted at \$77,905.36, subject to revision and 57.76% of Cheetah's 8% share of PP F-12-4 well costs from time to time for infrastructure, pipes, tanks, compressors, trucking, etc. On May 31, 2010, this assigned interest was converted into common shares and warrants of Cheetah Oil & Gas Ltd, leaving our company with no direct interest in this well. As a result, we have 375,000 restricted common shares in the capital of Cheetah and 375,000 share purchase warrants which entitled our company to acquire 375,000 restricted common shares in the capital of Cheetah at a purchase price of US\$0.20 per share for a period of two years.

Effective September 1, 2009, we entered into an assignment agreement with Lexaria Corp. The assignment agreement dated August 28, 2009, provides for the purchase by our company of a revenue interest of 13.475% of a 32% share of Lexaria's net revenue after field operating expenses from the Belmont Lake PP F-12-4 horizontal well, located in Belmont Lake Field, Wilkinson County, Mississippi. As consideration, we agreed to pay to Lexaria 19.25% of Lexaria's costs currently budgeted at \$311,621.44, subject to revision and 19.25% of Lexaria's 32% share of PP F-12-4 well costs from time to time for infrastructure, pipes, tanks, compressors, trucking, etc. On May 31, 2010, this assigned interest was converted into common shares and warrants of Lexaria Corp, leaving our company with no direct interest in this well. As a result, we have 499,893 restricted common shares in the capital of Lexaria and 499,983 share purchase warrants which entitle our company to acquire 499,983 restricted common shares in the capital of Lexaria at a purchase price of US\$0.20 per share for a period of two years.

Copper Hills Project, New Mexico

On January 31, 2011, we entered into a letter of intent and paid US\$7,500 deposit to the Optionor. On April 11, 2011, we signed a Mineral Purchase Option Agreement with Wildhorse Copper Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary Wildhorse Copper (AZ) Inc. (collectively, the "Optionors") respecting an option to earn a 100% interest, subject to a 1% NSR capped to a maximum of \$2,000,000 on one claim, in a property known as the Copper Hills property. The Copper Hills property is comprised of 56 located mining claims covering a total of 1,150 acres located in New Mexico, USA. The Optionors hold the Copper Hills property directly and indirectly through property purchase agreements between the Optionors and third parties (collectively, the "Indirect Agreements"). Pursuant to the Agreement the Optionors have assigned the Indirect Agreements to Enertopia. In order to earn the interest in the Copper Hills property, we are required to make aggregate cash payments of \$591,650 over an eight year period and issue an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of its common stock over a three year period. On April 11, 2011, we made aggregate cash payments of \$54,150, issued 500,000 shares to the Optionors. As at August 31, 2011, total consideration including cash paid and common stock issued was \$147,045; the Company has expensed the exploration costs of \$14,094 and prepaid the exploration costs of \$32,250.

Mildred Peak Project, Arizona

On July 19, 2011, we entered into a letter of intent and paid US\$15,000 deposit to the Altar Resources. Subsequent to August 31, 2011, on October 11, 2011, we signed a Mineral Purchase Option Agreement with Altar Resources respecting an option to earn 100% interest, subject to a 2.5% NSR in a property known as Mildred Peak. Mining claims are in Arizona covering approximately 6,220 acres from Altar Resources who holds the mining claims directly, and indirectly through federal mining claims and state mineral exploration leases, or represents that it will hold such claims in good standing at the time of closing a definitive agreement. We are required to make aggregate cash payments of \$881,000 over a five year period and issue an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of its common stock over a four year period. On October 11, 2011, we made aggregate cash payments of \$71,000 and issued 100,000 shares of common stock to Altar Resources.

The continuation of our business is dependent upon obtaining further financing, a successful program of exploration and/or development, and, finally, achieving a profitable level of operations. The issuance of additional equity securities by us could result in a significant dilution in the equity interests of our current stockholders. Obtaining commercial loans, assuming those loans would be available, will increase our liabilities and future cash commitments.

There are no assurances that we will be able to obtain further funds required for our continued operations. As noted herein, we are pursuing various financing alternatives to meet our immediate and long-term financial requirements. There can be no assurance that additional financing will be available to us when needed or, if available, that it can be obtained on commercially reasonable terms. If we are not able to obtain the additional financing on a timely basis, we will be unable to conduct our operations as planned, and we will not be able to meet our other obligations as they become due. In such event, we will be forced to scale down or perhaps even cease our operations.

Competition

There is strong competition relating to all aspects of the alternative energy sector. We will actively compete for capital, skilled personnel, energy retrofit and new alternative energy projects, and in all other aspects of its operations with a substantial number of other organizations, many of which have greater technical and financial resources than our company. Some of those organizations not only design and install alternative energy systems but also manufacture alternative energy products on a world-wide basis and as such have greater and more diverse resources on which to draw. We will actively compete for alternative energy projects and opportunities in the fields of Solar PV; Solar Thermal; Wind; Biomass; Energy Optimization and related sectors, and will constantly be facing competition by both smaller and larger companies in all geographical and industrial segments of the market.

Compliance with Government Regulation

The exploration and development of oil and gas properties is subject to various United States federal, state and local and foreign governmental regulations. We may from time to time, be required to obtain licenses and permits from various governmental authorities in regards to the exploration of our property interests.

Employees

Currently our employees are Robert McAllister, our president and director and Mark Snyder, our Chief Technical Officer. We primarily use the services of sub-contractors and consultants for our intended business operations.

We entered into a consulting agreement with Mr. Robert McAllister on December 1, 2007. During the term of this agreement, Mr. McAllister was to provide corporate administration and consulting services Mr. McAllister was reimbursed at the rate of \$2,000 per month. On December 1, 2008, the consulting fee was increased to \$5,000 per month. We may terminate this agreement without prior notice based on a number of conditions. Mr. McAllister may terminate the agreement at any time by giving 30 days written notice of his intention to do so.

On December 1, 2008, we entered into a consulting agreement with CAB Financial Services, a company controlled by one of the directors of our Company. A fee of \$5,000 per month is accrued. We may terminate this agreement without prior notice based on a number of conditions. CAB Financial Services Ltd. may terminate the agreement at any time by giving 30 days written notice of his intention to do so.

On October 9, 2009, we entered into a consulting agreement with BKB Management Ltd, a company controlled by our Chief Financial Officer. A fee of CAD\$4,675 including GST is paid per month. We may terminate this agreement without prior notice based on a number of conditions. BKB Management Ltd. may terminate the agreement at any time by giving 30 days written notice of his intention to do so. Effective April 1, 2011, the fee is CAD\$5,500 plus HST.

On October 9, 2009, we entered into a consulting agreement with Mark Snyder as the Chief Technical Officer. A fee of \$1,000 is paid per month.

On August 23, 2010, we entered into a consulting agreement with Tom Ihrke, Senior Vice-President of Business Development for \$3125 per month.

We do not expect any material changes in the number of employees over the next 12 month period. We do and will continue to outsource contract employment as needed. However, with project advancement and if we successfully initiate any material new clean energy contracts we may retain additional employees.

Research and Development

We have incurred \$Nil in research and development expenditures over the last two fiscal years.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our business operations are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to those set forth below:

Risks Associated with Our Business

We Are A Start-Up Stage Company, There Is No Assurance Of Profitability.

Our renewable energy business operations are in the start-up stage only, and are unproven. We may not be successful in implementing our business plan to become profitable. There may be less demand for our services than we anticipate. There is no assurance that this business will succeed.

Changing Consumer Preferences Towards Environmental Issues May Have an Impact on Our Business Decisions.

The decision of a potential client to undergo an environmental audit or review may be based on ethical or commercial reasons. In some instances, or with certain businesses, there may be no assurance that an environmental review will result in any cost savings or increased revenues. As such, unless the ethical consideration is also a material factor, there may be no incentive for such businesses to undertake an environmental review. Changes in consumer and commercial preferences, or trends, toward or away from environmental issues may impact on businesses' decisions to undergo environmental reviews.

Our Operating Results Will Be Affected By A Wide Variety Of Factors That Could Materially Affect Revenues And Profitability. Our operating results will be affected by a wide variety of factors that could materially affect revenues and profitability, including the timing and cancellation of customer orders and projects, competitive pressures on pricing, availability of personnel, and market acceptance of our services. As a result, we may experience material fluctuations in future operating results on a quarterly and annual basis which could materially affect our business, financial condition and operating results.

Fixed Price Contracts May Expose Us To Some Significant Risks Which Could Lead To Losses On Contracts.

Fixed price contracts require the service provider to perform all agreed services for a specified lump-sum amount. We anticipate a material percentage of our services will be performed on a fixed price basis. Fixed price contracts expose us to some significant risks, including under-estimation of costs, ambiguities in specifications, unforeseen costs or difficulties, and delays beyond our control. These risks could lead to losses on contracts which may be substantial and which could adversely affect the results of our operations.

The Future Growth And Profitability Of Our Clean Energy Business Sectors Will Be Dependent In Part On The Effectiveness And Efficiency Of Our Advertising And Promotional Expenditures. The future growth and profitability of our clean energy business sectors will be dependent in part on the effectiveness and efficiency of our advertising and promotional expenditures, including our ability to (i) create greater awareness of our services, (ii) determine the appropriate creative message and media mix for future advertising expenditures, and (iii) effectively manage advertising and promotional costs in order to maintain acceptable operating margins. There can be no assurance that we will experience benefits from advertising and promotional expenditures in the future. In addition, no assurance can be given that our planned advertising and promotional expenditures will result in increased revenues, will generate levels of service and name awareness or that we will be able to manage such advertising and promotional expenditures on a cost-effective basis.

We have a limited operating history with losses and we expect the losses to continue, which raises concerns about our ability to continue as a going concern.

We have generated minimal revenues since our inception and will, in all likelihood, continue to incur operating expenses with minimal revenues until we are able to successfully develop our business. Our business plan will require us to incur further expenses. We may not be able to ever become profitable. These circumstances raise concerns about our ability to continue as a going concern. We have a limited operating history and must be considered in the start-up stage.

We will require additional financing to develop our business plan.

Because we have generated only minimal revenue from our business and cannot anticipate when we will be able to generate meaningful revenue from our business, we will need to raise additional funds to conduct and grow our business. We do not currently have sufficient financial resources to completely fund the development of our business plan. We anticipate that we will need to raise further financing. We do not currently have any arrangements for financing and we can provide no assurance to investors that we will be able to find such financing if required.

The most likely source of future funds presently available to us is through the sale of equity capital. Any sale of share capital will result in dilution to existing security-holders.

We may not be able to obtain all of the licenses necessary to operate our business.

Our operations may require licenses and permits from various governmental authorities to build and install alternative energy systems or to conduct energy retrofits. We believe that we will be able to obtain all necessary licenses and permits under applicable laws and regulations for our operations and believe we will be able to comply in all material respects with the terms of such licenses and permits. However, such licenses and permits are subject to change in various circumstances. There can be no guarantee that we will be able to obtain or maintain all necessary licenses and permits.

Changes In Environmental Regulations May Have An Impact On Our Operations

We believe that we currently comply with existing environmental laws and regulations affecting our proposed operations. While there are no currently known proposed changes in these laws or regulations, significant changes have affected the industry in the past and additional changes may occur in the future.

Our operations may be subject to environmental laws, regulations and rules promulgated from time to time by government. In addition, certain types of operations require the submission and approval of environmental impact assessments. Environmental legislation is evolving in a manner that means stricter standards and enforcement. Fines and penalties for non-compliance are more stringent. Environmental assessments of proposed projects carry a heightened degree of responsibility for companies, directors, officers and employees. The cost of compliance with changes in governmental regulations has potential to reduce the profitability of operations. We intend to comply with all environmental regulations in the United States and Canada.

If we are unable to recruit or retain qualified personnel, it could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and stock price.

Our success depends in large part on the continued services of our executive officers and third party relationships. We currently do not have key person insurance on these individuals. The loss of these people, especially without advance notice, could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and our stock price. It is also very important that we be able to attract and retain highly skilled personnel, including technical personnel, to accommodate our exploration plans and to replace personnel who leave. Competition for qualified personnel can be intense, and there are a limited number of people with the requisite knowledge and experience. Under these conditions, we could be unable to recruit, train, and retain employees. If we cannot attract and retain qualified personnel, it could have a material adverse impact on our operating results and stock price.

Risks Associated with Our Common Stock

Trading on the OTCBB may be volatile and sporadic, which could depress the market price of our common stock and make it difficult for our stockholders to resell their shares.

Our common stock is quoted on the OTCBB service of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority. Trading in stock quoted on the OTCBB is often thin and characterized by wide fluctuations in trading prices, due to many factors that may have little to do with our operations or business prospects. This volatility could depress the market price of our common stock for reasons unrelated to operating performance. Moreover, the OTCBB is not a stock exchange, and trading of securities on the OTCBB is often more sporadic than the trading of securities listed on a quotation system like Nasdaq or a stock exchange like Amex. Accordingly, shareholders may have difficulty reselling any of the shares.

Our stock is a penny stock. Trading of our stock may be restricted by the Securities and Exchange Commission's penny stock regulations which may limit a stockholder's ability to buy and sell our stock.

Our stock is a penny stock. The Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted Rule 15c-9 which generally defines "penny stock" to be any equity security that has a market price (as defined) less than \$5.00 per share or an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. Our securities are covered by the penny stock rules, which impose additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers who sell to persons other than established customers and "accredited investors". The term "accredited investor" refers generally to institutions with assets in excess of \$5,000,000 or individuals with a net worth in excess of \$1,000,000 or annual income exceeding \$200,000 or \$300,000 jointly with their spouse. The penny stock rules require a broker-dealer, prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from the rules, to deliver a standardized risk disclosure document in a form prepared by the Securities and Exchange Commission which provides information about penny stocks and the nature and level of risks in the penny stock market. The broker-dealer also must provide the customer with current bid and offer quotations for the penny stock, the compensation of the broker-dealer and its salesperson in the transaction and monthly account statements showing the market value of each penny stock held in the customer's account. The bid and offer quotations, and the broker-dealer and salesperson compensation information, must be given to the customer orally or in writing prior to effecting the transaction and must be given to the customer in writing before or with the customer's confirmation. In addition, the penny stock rules require that prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from these rules, the broker-dealer must make a special written determination that the penny stock is a suitable investment for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written agreement to the transaction. These disclosure requirements may have the effect of reducing the level of trading activity in the secondary market for the stock that is subject to these penny stock rules. Consequently, these penny stock rules may affect the ability of broker-dealers to trade our securities. We believe that the penny stock rules discourage investor interest in and limit the marketability of our common stock.

The Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, or FINRA, has adopted sales practice requirements which may also limit a stockholder's ability to buy and sell our stock.

In addition to the "penny stock" rules described above, FINRA has adopted rules that require that in recommending an investment to a customer, a broker-dealer must have reasonable grounds for believing that the investment is suitable for that customer. Prior to recommending speculative low priced securities to their non-institutional customers, broker-dealers must make reasonable efforts to obtain information about the customer's financial status, tax status, investment objectives and other information. Under interpretations of these rules, FINRA believes that there is a high probability that speculative low priced securities will not be suitable for at least some customers. FINRA requirements make it more difficult for broker-dealers to recommend that their customers buy our common stock, which may limit your ability to buy and sell our stock and have an adverse effect on the market for our shares

Because we do not intend to pay any dividends on our shares, investors seeking dividend income or liquidity should not purchase our shares.

We have not declared or paid any dividends on our shares since inception, and do not anticipate paying any such dividends for the foreseeable future. Investors seeking dividend income or liquidity should not invest in our shares.

Because we can issue additional shares, purchasers of our shares may incur immediate dilution and may experience further dilution.

We are authorized to issue up to 200,000,000 shares. The board of directors of our company has the authority to cause us to issue additional shares, and to determine the rights, preferences and privileges of such shares, without consent of any of our stockholders. Consequently, our stockholders may experience more dilution in their ownership of our company in the future.

Other Risks

Our By-laws contain provisions indemnifying our officers and directors against all costs, charges and expenses incurred by them.

Our By-laws contain provisions with respect to the indemnification of our officers and directors against all costs, charges and expenses, including an amount paid to settle an action or satisfy a judgment, actually and reasonably incurred by him, including an amount paid to settle an action or satisfy a judgment in a civil, criminal or administrative action or proceeding to which he is made a party by reason of his being or having been one of our directors or officers.

Investors' interests in our company will be diluted and investors may suffer dilution in their net book value per share if we issue additional shares or raise funds through the sale of equity securities.

Our constating documents authorize the issuance of 200,000,000 shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.001. In the event that we are required to issue any additional shares or enter into private placements to raise financing through the sale of equity securities, investors' interests in our company will be diluted and investors may suffer dilution in their net book value per share depending on the price at which such securities are sold. If we issue any such additional shares, such issuances also will cause a reduction in the proportionate ownership and voting power of all other shareholders. Further, any such issuance may result in a change in our control.

Our By-laws do not contain anti-takeover provisions, which could result in a change of our management and directors if there is a take-over of our company.

We do not currently have a shareholder rights plan or any anti-takeover provisions in our By-laws. Without any anti-takeover provisions, there is no deterrent for a take-over of our company, which may result in a change in our management and directors.

As a result of a majority of our directors and officers are residents of other countries other than the United States, investors may find it difficult to enforce, within the United States, any judgments obtained against our company or our directors and officers.

Other than our operations offices in Vancouver and Kelowna, British Columbia, we do not currently maintain a permanent place of business within the United States. In addition, a majority of our directors and officers are nationals and/or residents of countries other than the United States, and all or a substantial portion of such persons' assets are located outside the United States. As a result, it may be difficult for investors to enforce within the United States any judgments obtained against our company or our officers or directors, including judgments predicated upon the civil liability provisions of the securities laws of the United States or any state thereof.

Trends, Risks and Uncertainties

We have sought to identify what we believe to be the most significant risks to our business, but we cannot predict whether, or to what extent, any of such risks may be realized nor can we guarantee that we have identified all possible risks that might arise. Investors should carefully consider all of such risk factors before making an investment decision with respect to our common shares.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

Item 2. Properties

Executive Offices

The address of our principal executive office is Suite 950, 1130 West Pender Street, Vancouver, British Columbia V6E 4A4. This space is leased at \$650 per month. Our main telephone number is (604) 602-1633. We have a second office located in Kelowna, British Columbia. Our current locations provide adequate office space for our purposes at this stage of our development.

Resource Properties

Coteau Lake, Saskatchewan

In connection with the acquisition of Target, we acquired certain working interest in Coteau Lake, Saskatchewan.

Coteau Lake is an exploration property and we have no producing oil or gas wells on this land at this time. The Coteau Lake exploration project covers 1,280 acres of land. Our gross and net interest in this project is 50%. There has been historic oil production on the Coteau Lake project lands.

On November 7, 2007, our subsidiary Target Energy entered into a Letter of Intent (the "LOI") with Primrose Drilling Ventures Ltd. of Calgary, Alberta. Pursuant to the LOI, our subsidiary Target is the interest title holder of Saskatchewan Crown Land parcels 124, 125 and 126.

Primrose elected to proceed with a 50/50 joint venture with Target by reimbursing Target for 50% of its land cost on parcels 124, 125 and 126 for CAD\$26,590 which was paid within 15 days of signing the LOI. Primrose would become operator of the project upon its acceptance of such appointment and agreement to assume the duties, obligations and rights of the operator. A formal Participation Agreement which included an Area of Mutual Interest which would govern future land acquisitions and timeline set out in the LOI. On December 31, 2008, an additional CAD\$22,270 was spent on land acquisitions with Primrose Drilling Ventures.

On October 25, 2010, we disposed of the Coteau Lake interests for a cash consideration of \$100,000 plus an additional potential payout which shall be based on a 10% profit interest on any and all productive wells drilled on the property up to \$150,000. We did not take into consideration of the future potential payout as it cannot reasonably determinable as at August 31, 2011.

Belmont Lake

Effective September 1, 2009, we entered into an assignment agreement with Cheetah Oil & Gas Ltd. The assignment agreement dated August 28, 2009, provides for the purchase by our company of a revenue interest of 40.432% of an 8% share of Cheetah's net revenue after field operating expenses from the Belmont Lake PP F-12-4 horizontal well, located in Belmont Lake Field, Wilkinson County, Mississippi. As consideration, we agreed to pay to Cheetah 57.76% of Cheetah's costs currently budgeted at \$77,905.36, subject to revision and 57.76% of Cheetah's 8% share of PP F-12-4 well costs from time to time for infrastructure, pipes, tanks, compressors, trucking, etc. On May 31, 2010, this assigned interest was converted into common shares and warrants of Cheetah Oil & Gas Ltd, leaving our company with no direct interest in this well. As a result, we have 375,000 restricted common shares in the capital of Cheetah and 375,000 share purchase warrants which entitled our company to acquire 375,000 restricted common shares in the capital of Cheetah at a purchase price of US\$0.20 per share for a period of two years.

Effective September 1, 2009, we entered into an assignment agreement with Lexaria Corp. The assignment agreement dated August 28, 2009, provides for the purchase by our company of a revenue interest of 13.475% of a 32% share of Lexaria's net revenue after field operating expenses from the Belmont Lake PP F-12-4 horizontal well, located in Belmont Lake Field, Wilkinson County, Mississippi. As consideration, we agreed to pay to Lexaria 19.25% of Lexaria's costs currently budgeted at \$311,621.44, subject to revision and 19.25% of Lexaria's 32% share of PP F-12-4 well costs from time to time for infrastructure, pipes, tanks, compressors, trucking, etc. On May 31, 2010, this assigned interest was converted into common shares and warrants of Lexaria Corp, leaving our company with no direct interest in this well. As a result, we have 499,893 restricted common shares in the capital of Lexaria and 499,983 share purchase warrants which entitle our company to acquire 499,983 restricted common shares in the capital of Lexaria at a purchase price of US\$0.20 per share for a period of two years.

Copper Hills Project, New Mexico

On January 31, 2011, we entered into a letter of intent and paid US\$7,500 deposit to the Wildhorse Copper Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary Wildhorse Copper (AZ) Inc. (collectively, the "Optionors"). On April 11, 2011, we signed a Mineral Purchase Option Agreement with Optionors respecting an option to earn a 100% interest, subject to a 1% NSR capped to a maximum of \$2,000,000 on one claim, in a property known as the Copper Hills property. The Copper Hills property is comprised of 56 located mining claims covering a total of 1,150 acres located in New Mexico, USA. The Optionors hold the Copper Hills property directly and indirectly through property purchase agreements between the Optionors and third parties (collectively, the Indirect Agreements). Pursuant to the Agreement the Optionors have assigned the Indirect Agreements to Enertopia. In order to earn the interest in the Copper Hills property, we are required to make aggregate cash payments of \$591,650 over an eight year period and issue an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of its common stock over a three year period. On April 11, 2011, we made aggregate cash payments of \$54,150, issued 500,000 shares to the Optionors. As at August 31, 2011, total consideration including cash paid and common stock issued was \$147,045; the Company has expensed the exploration costs of \$14,094 and prepaid the exploration costs of \$32,250.

The Company has entered into an Option Agreement (Agreement) dated April 11, 2011 with Wildhorse Copper Inc, Wildhorse Copper (AZ) Inc., Northern Tiger Resources Inc., and Timber Wolf Minerals Ltd. (the Optionor) respecting the assignment of up to 100% interest in approximately 1,150 acres of 56 located mining claims in New Mexico, USA. One of these located mining claims is subject to a 1% NSR capped at US \$2,000,000 from commercial production from this located mining claim. The Optionor holds the located mining claims (the Claims) directly and indirectly through an option agreement between the Optionor and a third party. The Optionor hereby grants to the Company the sole and exclusive right and option to acquire up to an undivided 100% right, title and interest in and to the Property, free and clear of all charges, encumbrances, claims, liabilities and adverse interests of any nature or kind, except for the Royalty. The Option shall be in good standing and exercisable by the Company by paying the following amounts on or before the dates specified in the following schedule:

- i. paying the Optionor \$7,500 on signing the letter of intent (paid),
- ii. paying the Optionor \$51,150 on or before the execution of this Agreement and issuing to the Optionor 500,000 common shares in the capital stock of the Company as soon as practicable following the execution of this Agreement,
- iii. issuing to the Optionor 150,000 shares in the capital stock of the Company on or before the first anniversary of this Agreement,
- iv. issuing to the Optionor 150,000 shares in the capital stock of the Company on or before the second anniversary of the Agreement, and
- v. issuing to the Optionor 200,000 shares in the capital stock of the Company on or before the third anniversary of the Agreement.

The Company shall also pay Timber Wolf the following amounts on or before the dates specified in the following schedule, with such amounts and terms as further described in the Timber Wolf Agreement:

- i. paying \$3,000 on signing of this Agreement,
- ii. paying an additional \$7,500 on or before the first anniversary of the Agreement,
- iii. paying an additional \$10,000 on or before the second anniversary of the Agreement,
- iv. paying an additional \$12,500 on or before the third anniversary of the Agreement,
- v. paying an additional \$25,000 on or before the fourth anniversary of the Agreement,
- vi. paying an additional \$25,000 on or before the fifth anniversary of the Agreement,
- vii. paying an additional \$50,000 on or before the sixth anniversary of the Agreement,
- viii. paying an additional \$200,000 on or before the seventh anniversary of the Agreement,
- ix. paying an additional \$200,000 on or before the eighth anniversary of the Agreement.

Copper Hills is located on BLM land and as such mineral and surface rights are held by the BLM. Enertopia has acquired an option of 56 located mining claims. These claims are valid for one year and need to be renewed annually.

There are 56 federal located unpatented mining claims.

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

SOCORRO COUNTY, NEW MEXICO,
RECORDATION

CLAIM NAME	SERIAL NO.	MC LOCATION	MC DATE RECEIVED	MC LOCATION	INSTRUMENT NO.	MAINTENANCE YEAR	
						2012	2011
COPPER HILLS #1	NMMC169266	9/14/2000	27/10/2000		Book 503 Page 3660		
WILDHORSE 1	NMMC190849	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100729		
WILDHORSE 2	NMMC190850	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100730		
WILDHORSE 3	NMMC190851	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100731		
WILDHORSE 4	NMMC190852	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100732		
WILDHORSE 5	NMMC190853	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100733		
WILDHORSE 6	NMMC190854	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100734		
WILDHORSE 7	NMMC190855	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100735		
WILDHORSE 8	NMMC190856	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100736		
WILDHORSE 9	NMMC190857	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100737		
WILDHORSE 10	NMMC190858	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100738		
WILDHORSE 11	NMMC190859	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100739		
WILDHORSE 12	NMMC190860	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100740		
WILDHORSE 13	NMMC190861	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100741		
WILDHORSE 14	NMMC190862	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100742		
WILDHORSE 15	NMMC190863	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100743		
TIMBERWOLF 16	NMMC190864	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100744		
TIMBERWOLF 17	NMMC190865	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100745		
TIMBERWOLF 18	NMMC190866	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100746		
TIMBERWOLF 19	NMMC190867	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100747		
TIMBERWOLF 20	NMMC190868	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100748		
WILDHORSE 21	NMMC190869	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100749		
WILDHORSE 22	NMMC190870	3/1/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100750		
WILDHORSE 23	NMMC190871	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100751		
WILDHORSE 24	NMMC190872	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100752		
TIMBERWOLF 25	NMMC190873	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100753		

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K/A

TIMBERWOLF NMMC190874	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100754
26				
TIMBERWOLF NMMC190875	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100755
27				
TIMBERWOLF NMMC190876	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100756
28				
TIMBERWOLF NMMC190877	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100757
29				
WILDHORSE NMMC190878	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100758
30				
WILDHORSE NMMC190879	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100759
31				
WILDHORSE NMMC190880	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100760
32				
WILDHORSE NMMC190881	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100761
33				
WILDHORSE NMMC190882	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100762
34				
WILDHORSE NMMC190883	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100763
35				
WILDHORSE NMMC190884	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100764
36				
WILDHORSE NMMC190885	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100765
37				

WILDHORSE	NMMC190886	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100766
38					
WILDHORSE	NMMC190887	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100767
39					
WILDHORSE	NMMC190888	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100768
40					
WILDHORSE	NMMC190889	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100769
41					
WILDHORSE	NMMC190890	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100770
42					
WILDHORSE	NMMC190891	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100771
43					
WILDHORSE	NMMC190892	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100772
44					
WILDHORSE	NMMC190893	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100773
45					
WILDHORSE	NMMC190894	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100774
46					
WILDHORSE	NMMC190895	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100775
47					
WILDHORSE	NMMC190896	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100776
48					
WILDHORSE	NMMC190897	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100777
49					
WILDHORSE	NMMC190898	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100778
50					
WILDHORSE	NMMC190899	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100779
51					
WILDHORSE	NMMC190900	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100780
52					
WILDHORSE	NMMC190901	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100781
53					
WILDHORSE	NMMC190902	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100782
54					
WILDHORSE	NMMC190903	2/28/2011	22/04/2011	3/11/2011	201100783
55					

The conditions for retaining our claims include the following:

- i. paying the Optionor \$7,500 on signing the letter of intent (paid),
- ii. paying the Optionor \$51,150 on or before the execution of this Agreement and issuing to the Optionor 500,000 common shares in the capital stock of the Company as soon as practicable following the execution of this Agreement,
- iii. issuing to the Optionor 150,000 shares in the capital stock of the Company on or before the first anniversary of this Agreement,
- iv. issuing to the Optionor 150,000 shares in the capital stock of the Company on or before the second anniversary of the Agreement, and
- v. issuing to the Optionor 200,000 shares in the capital stock of the Company on or before the third anniversary of the Agreement.

The Company shall also pay Timber Wolf the following amounts on or before the dates specified in the following schedule, with such amounts and terms as further described in the Timber Wolf Agreement:

- i. paying \$3,000 on signing of this Agreement,
- ii. paying an additional \$7,500 on or before the first anniversary of the Agreement,
- iii. paying an additional \$10,000 on or before the second anniversary of the Agreement,
- iv. paying an additional \$12,500 on or before the third anniversary of the Agreement,
- v. paying an additional \$25,000 on or before the fourth anniversary of the Agreement,
- vi. paying an additional \$25,000 on or before the fifth anniversary of the Agreement,
- vii. paying an additional \$50,000 on or before the sixth anniversary of the Agreement,
- viii. paying an additional \$200,000 on or before the seventh anniversary of the Agreement,
- ix. paying an additional \$200,000 on or before the eighth anniversary of the Agreement.

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K/A

Yearly maintenance fee to the BLM at \$140 per claim, due no later than August 31st each year.

Yearly recording fee State of NM approximately \$250 per year.

Copper Hills project is located approximately 8 miles west on HWY 60 from Magdalena, NM. HWY 60 actually crosses the property. Access south of the hwy is by dirt or gravel roads. Access north of the hwy is through locked ranch gate.

The property is located within the physiographic province known as the Datil Mogollon Section, locally characterized by volcanic highlands.

The geology of the project area was described by Wilkinson (1976). A northerly trending fault separates volcanic rocks to the west from younger piedmont gravels, alluvium and basalt to the east. Volcanic rocks are dominantly Oligocene Spears Formation andesitic volcanics. The important Nipple Mountain tuff member is an interbedded lithic and variably welded tuff with deposition controlled by northeast and east northeast trending, partly fault bounded paleovalleys. The overlying Hells Mesa Formation and the A L Peak Tuff represents a change to ash flow volcanism related to the Mt. Withington caldera collapse. The caldera margin is situated 7 ½ km south of the Copper Hills prospect.

Structurally the property is situated within a north northwest trending uplifted block bounded to the east by the Mulligan Gulch graben. Three major structural trends are present at Copper Hills. The west northwest trending Capitan lineament is a pre volcanic feature that was reactivated in the Oligocene. The northeast to east northeast trending Morenci Magdalena lineament is also a basement feature that in part controlled deposition of the Nipple Mountain tuff. The north to 335° trend reflects the monoclinial eastern edge of the uplifted block and controlled the emplacement of intrusive stocks and later Basin and Range faulting. Convergence of the three structural trends in the vicinity of the Copper Hills prospect resulted in an intense shattering of the rocks.

Mineralization at Copper Hills includes fracture controlled and disseminated copper oxides (plus silver) at the Copper Hills prospect and epithermal gold silver veins. Wilkinson (1976) describes previous work conducted on the property. Various stakeholders held mining claims in the area almost continuously between 1950 and 2007. During the 1950's minor copper oxide production from the Copper Hills main outcrop took place and five short holes were drilled. In 1968 the Banner Mining Company reportedly drilled a deeper hole to 1,622 ft (494.5 m) and intersected pervasive propylitic alteration with abundant fresh and oxidized pyrite throughout the hole. Samples taken from the last 100 ft reportedly contained small amounts of pyrite plus chalcopyrite, sphalerite and galena. Numerous other prospecting pits and shafts are found on the property and most appear to be related to exploration and minor extraction of minerals associated with epithermal vein type systems. The Banner hole is on the eastern edge of a strong IP chargeability anomaly defined by the IP survey completed by Wright geophysics in August, 2011.

The most recent exploration work done at Copper Hills was by Coyote Copper in the early part of 2008 which included a ground magnetic geophysical survey, followed by a reconnaissance and field verification mapping and rock chip sampling program and a soil sampling geochemical survey. Enertopia engaged Wright geophysical to manage an IP geophysical survey conducted in August, 2011. Wright also interpreted the results and provided a technical report.

In the Fall of 2011 IP/Res Survey was completed and returned sulphide targets at depth that have been recommended for drilling.

The total costs incurred to date and all planned future costs are as follows:

Exploration costs incurred by Enertopia to date ~ \$120,000

Future exploration plans involve a two phase drill program described below:

A two phase exploration program:

Phase 1 would commence with 3 core drill holes to an average depth of 550 meters designed to test the strong IP-chargeability anomalies defined by the geophysical survey completed in August, 2011. In addition, reverse circulation drilling will be undertaken to verify the grade and extent of the copper (+silver) mineralization as documented by previous operators, within and peripheral to the Copper Hills Prospect. This is will require about 750 m of drilling in 10 reverse circulation holes each about 75 m in depth spaced on a 50 m x 50 m grid. The total Phase 1 program will cost of \$720,000.

Contingent upon Phase 1 providing positive results it is recommended for Phase 2 that additional drilling be undertaken to add to the grade and extent of the copper (+silver) mineralization as documented by previous operators, within and peripheral to the Copper Hills Prospect. This is will require about 1,500 m of drilling in 20 reverse circulation holes each about 75 m in depth designed to extend the a 50 m x 50 m grid.

An additional 2,500 m of core drilling is recommended to offset the two core holes into the IP anomaly. Other facets of a Phase 2 program include conducting additional geological mapping, prospecting and sampling of priority targets based on geophysical and geochemical survey interpretations. The cost of Phase 2 will be about \$1,210,000. The total for both phases is US\$1,930,000.

The property is without known reserves and the program is exploratory in nature.

Results from the ground IP survey show a strong chargeability anomaly to the east of the Tres Montosas fault. The anomaly is about 500 meters wide east west and about 1,000 meters long north south. Wright concludes that the chargeability anomaly may be related to pyritic alteration. The IP anomaly is coincident with the presence of oxidized pyrite and copper at the surface along with quartz veining, silicification and strongly anomalous base metal anomalies along north trending structures. These features are permissive of a porphyry style hydrothermal system.

The exploration program would be funded through equity financing or a joint venture. The proposed work is dependant whether on the type of financing or joint venture that the company completes.

The required permits include BLM notice level application for an area of disturbance estimated to be less than 5 acres. Location map of drill locations and road or routes for vehicle traffic. The State of New Mexico also requires a minimal impact exploration permit application to be filed at the same time as the BLM notice level application is filed.

Mildred Peak Project, Arizona

On July 19, 2011, we entered into a letter of intent and paid US\$15,000 deposit to the Altar Resources. Subsequent to August 31, 2011, on October 11, 2011, we signed a Mineral Purchase Option Agreement with Altar Resources respecting an option to earn 100% interest, subject to a 2.5% NSR in a property known as Mildred Peak. Mining claims are in Arizona covering approximately 6,220 acres from Altar Resources who holds the mining claims directly, and indirectly through federal mining claims and state mineral exploration leases, or represents that it will hold such claims in good standing at the time of closing a definitive agreement. We are required to make aggregate cash payments of \$881,000 over a five year period and issue an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of its common stock over a four year period. On October 11, 2011, we made aggregate cash payments of \$71,000 and issued 100,000 shares of common stock to Altar Resources.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We know of no material, existing or pending legal proceedings against us, nor are we involved as a plaintiff in any material proceeding or pending litigation. There are no proceedings in which any of our directors, officers or affiliates, or any registered or beneficial shareholder, is an adverse party or has a material interest adverse to our Company.

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved).

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common shares are quoted on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board under the symbol ENRT. The following quotations, obtained from Yahoo Finance, reflect the high and low bids for our common shares based on inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission and may not represent actual transactions.

The high and low bid prices of our common stock for the periods indicated below are as follows:

Quarter Ended⁽¹⁾	High	Low
August 2011	\$0.09	\$0.06
May 2011	\$0.28	\$0.15
February 2011	\$0.15	\$0.14

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K/A

November 2010	\$0.15	\$0.15
August 2010	\$0.25	\$0.11
May 2010	\$0.21	\$0.20
February 2010	\$0.25	\$0.25
November 2009	\$0.06	\$0.06
August 2009	\$0.20	\$0.12

(1) The quotations above reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission and may not represent actual transactions.

On November 25, 2011, the last closing price for one share of our common stock as reported by the OTC Bulletin Board was \$0.06. This closing price reflects an inter-dealer price, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission, and may not represent an actual transaction.

As of November 25, there were 133 holders of record of our common stock. As of November 25, 2011 24,743,865 common shares were issued and outstanding.

Our common shares are issued in registered form. Olympia Trust Company, 1003-750 West Pender Street, Vancouver, BC V6C 2T8 (Telephone: 604-484-8612; Facsimile: 604-484-8638) is the transfer agent for our common shares.

Nevada Agency and Trust Company, is the agent for service in Nevada, 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 880, Reno, Nevada 89501 (Telephone: 775.322.0626; Facsimile: 775.322.5623) is the registrar agent.

Dividend Policy

We have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock and have no present intention of paying any dividends on the shares of our common stock. Our current policy is to retain earnings, if any, for use in our operations and in the development of our business. Our future dividend policy will be determined from time to time by our board of directors.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

On March 3, 2011, we closed a private placement of 8,729,000 units at a price of CAD\$0.10 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$872,900, (US\$893,993). Each unit consisted of one share of common stock in the capital of our company and one non-transferable share purchase warrant (Subscribers Warrants), each full warrant entitling the holder to purchase one additional share of common stock in the capital of our company until March 3, 2013, subject to accelerated expiry as set out in the warrant certificate, at a purchase price of CAD\$0.20. As per the terms of the Subscription Agreement, we have granted to the subscribers a participation right to participate in future offerings of our company's securities as to their pro rata shares for a period of 12 months from the closing of the private placement. We paid broker commissions of \$48,930 in cash and issued 489,300 brokers warrants. Each full warrant entitling the holder to purchase one additional share of common stock in the capital of our company until March 3, 2013, subject to accelerated expiry as set out in the warrant certificate, at a purchase price of CAD\$0.20. We issued the units to six (6) US persons pursuant to the exemption from registration provided for under Rule 506 of Regulation D, promulgated under the United States Securities Act 1933, as amended. Each of the subscribers represented that they were an accredited investor as such term is defined in Regulation D. We issued the units to sixty-four (64) non-US persons in an off-shore transaction pursuant to the exemption from registration provided for under Regulation S, promulgated under the United States Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Each of the subscribers represented that they were not a US person as such term is defined in Regulation S.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

We have no long-term incentive plans other than the stock option plan described below:

2007 Equity Compensation Plan

On April 25, 2007, our shareholders approved and adopted the 2007 equity incentive plan. The purpose of the Plan is to secure for our company and our shareholders the benefits of incentive inherent in share ownership by the directors and employees of our company and our Affiliates who, in the judgment of our board, will be largely responsible for our company's future growth and success. It is generally recognized that equity incentive plans of the nature provided for herein aid in retaining and encouraging directors and employees of exceptional ability because of the opportunity offered them to acquire a proprietary interest in our company.

The maximum number of Options available under the Plan, are for the issuance of up to 1,000,000 shares of common stock of our company.

On December 14, 2007, we granted 892,500 post share consolidation stock options to directors, officers, and consultants of our company exercisable at a price of \$0.70 per share for a period of 5 years. On October 22, 2009, we modified the exercise price of these stock options to \$0.20 per share. The vesting dates of the options are as below:

Vesting Dates	Percentage of options granted
December 14, 2007	25%
December 14, 2008	25%
December 14, 2009	25%
December 14, 2010	25%

On October 22, 2009, we granted an additional 500,000 stock options to our directors and consultants. The exercise price of the stock options is \$0.10 per share, which are vested immediately and expire October 22, 2014. This plan was rolled into the 2011 Stock Option Plan as approved by our shareholders on April 14, 2011.

2010 Equity Compensation Plan

On February 5, 2010, our shareholders approved and adopted the 2010 equity incentive plan. The purpose of the 2010 Plan is to enhance the long-term stockholder value of our company by offering opportunities to our directors, officers, employees and eligible consultants to acquire and maintain stock ownership in our company in order to give these persons the opportunity to participate in our growth and success, and to encourage them to remain in our service.

Options that are eligible for grant under the 2010 Plan to Participants include: (a) incentive stock options, whereby we will grant options to purchase shares of our common stock to Participants with the intention that the options qualify as "incentive stock options" as that term is defined in Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code; (b) non-incentive stock options, whereby we will grant options to purchase shares of our common stock to Participants that do not qualify as "incentive stock options" under the Internal Revenue Code; (c) stock appreciation rights; and (d) restricted shares. The 2010 Plan provides that a maximum of Two Million (2,000,000) shares of common stock are available for granting of awards under the 2010 Plan.

This plan was rolled into the 2011 Stock Option Plan as approved by our shareholders on April 14, 2011.

2011 Stock Option Plan

On April 14, 2011, our shareholders approved and adopted at the Annual General Meeting to roll our 2007 Equity compensation plan and our 2010 Equity Compensation Plan into a new 2011 Stock Option Plan. The purpose of this Plan is to advance the interests of our company, through the grant of Options, by providing an incentive mechanism to foster the interest of eligible persons in the success of our company and our affiliates; encouraging eligible persons to remain with our company or our affiliates; and attracting new directors, officers, employees and consultants.

This Plan shall be administered by our board. Subject to the provisions of this Plan, our board shall have the authority: to determine the Eligible Persons to whom Options are granted, to grant such Options, and to determine any terms and conditions, limitations and restrictions in respect of any particular Option grant, including but not limited to the nature and duration of the restrictions, if any, to be imposed upon the acquisition, sale or other disposition of shares of common stock acquired upon exercise of the Option, and the nature of the events and the duration of the period, if any, in which any Participant's rights in respect of an Option or shares of common stock acquired upon exercise of an

Option may be forfeited; to interpret the terms of this Plan, to make all such determinations and take all such other actions in connection with the implementation, operation and administration of this Plan, and to adopt, amend and rescind such administrative guidelines and other rules and regulations relating to this Plan, as it shall from time to time deem advisable, including without limitation for the purpose of ensuring compliance with Section legislation hereof. Our board's interpretations, determinations, guidelines, rules and regulations shall be conclusive and binding upon our company, Eligible Persons, Participants and all other persons.

The aggregate number of Common Shares that may be reserved, allotted and issued pursuant to Options shall not exceed 4,720,348 shares of common stock, less the aggregate number of shares of common stock then reserved for issuance pursuant to any other share compensation arrangement. For greater certainty, if an Option is surrendered, terminated or expires without being exercised, the Common Shares reserved for issuance pursuant to such Option shall be available for new Options granted under this Plan.

The Board may amend, subject to the approval of any regulatory authority whose approval is required, suspend or terminate this Plan or any portion thereof. No such amendment, suspension or termination shall alter or impair any outstanding unexercised Options or any rights without the consent of such Participant. If this Plan is suspended or terminated, the provisions of this Plan and any administrative guidelines, rules and regulations relating to this Plan shall continue in effect for the duration of such time as any Option remains outstanding.

As at the date of the annual report, there was nil stock options exercised

Equity Compensation Plan Information			
Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	Nil	Nil	Nil
2011 Stock Option Plan approved by security holders	3,260,000	\$0.15	1,460,348
Total	3,260,000	\$0.15	1,460,348

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

We did not purchase any of our shares of common stock or other securities during our fiscal year ended August 31, 2011.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and the related notes that appear elsewhere in this annual report. The following discussion contains forward-looking statements that reflect our plans, estimates and beliefs. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed in the forward looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to; those discussed below and elsewhere in this annual report, particularly in the section entitled "Risk Factors" beginning on page 10 of this annual report.

Our audited consolidated financial statements are stated in United States Dollars and are prepared in accordance with United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

Results of Operations for our Years Ended August 31, 2011 and 2010

Our net loss and comprehensive loss for our year ended August 31, 2011, for our year ended August 31, 2010 and the changes between those periods for the respective items are summarized as follows:

	Year Ended August 31, 2011 \$	Year Ended August 31, 2010 \$	Change Between Year Ended August 31, 2011 and Year Ended August 31, 2010 \$
Revenue	\$ 20,512	\$ 11,861	\$ (8,651)
Other (income)expenses	(626,508)	3,227,901	(3,854,409)
General and administrative	797,654	468,837	328,817
Interest expense	23,635	8,206	15,429
Write down in carrying value of oil and gas properties	Nil	3,463,861	(3,463,861)
Consulting fees	511,598	274,817	236,781
Oil and gas operating expenses	Nil	Nil	Nil
Professional Fees	94,557	86,633	7,924
Net Income (loss)	(165,405)	(2,955,141)	2,789,736

Revenue

We have earned minimal amounts of revenue since inception. The revenues for our years ended August 31, 2011 and 2010 were from clean energy.

Other Income

The increase in other income for our year ended August 31, 2011, was from the gain on marketable securities held for sale and revaluation of warrants liability. During the year ended August 31, 2010 the large loss was due to a write

down of oil and gas properties offset by income recovery of deferred taxes.

General and Administrative

The increase in our general and administrative expenses for our year ended August 31, 2011 was due to increased consulting, advertising and promotion, travel, and professional fees.

Professional Fees

There was an increase in accounting, audit and legal fees for our year ended August 31, 2011. This was due to adaption of a new stock option plan and a Form S-8 listing with the US Securities Exchange Commission.

Interest Expense

The increase in interest expense for our year ended August 31, 2011 was due to an increase of loans during the current year compared to prior year.

Oil and Gas Operating Expenses

There were no oil and gas sales for the year ended August 31, 2011.

Liquidity and Financial Condition**Working Capital**

	At August 31, 2011	At August 31, 2010
Current assets	\$ 720,570	\$ 498,674
Current liabilities	88,689	149,944
Working capital	\$ 631,881	\$ 348,730

Cash Flows

	Year Ended	
	August 31, 2011	August 31 2010
Cash flows (used in) operating activities	\$ (537,372)	(273,335)
Cash flows (used in) investing activities	(29,045)	(108,731)
Cash flows provided by financing activities	795,063	133,625
Net increase (decrease) in cash during year	\$ 228,646	(248,441)

Operating Activities

Net cash used in operating activities was \$537,372 for our year ended August 31, 2011 compared with cash used in operating activities of \$273,335 in the same period in 2010. The increase in net cash used in operating activities is due to our company paying down monies owed to related parties, compared to August 31, 2010 where there was a write down of oil and gas properties offset by income recovery of deferred taxes.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$29,045 for our year ended August 31, 2011 compared to net cash provided in investing activities of \$108,731 in the same period in 2010. The decrease in funds used was for the proceeds received from the disposition of the oil and gas interests during the year, which were offset by the investment in Global Solar and in the option agreements with Wildhorse Copper Inc. and Altar Resources.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$795,063 for our year ended August 31, 2011 compared to \$133,625 in the same period in 2010. This increase is primarily attributed to our private placement which closed in March 2011.

Contractual Obligations

As a “smaller reporting company”, we are not required to provide tabular disclosure obligations.

Going Concern

Our financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States applicable to a going concern, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities and commitments in the normal course of business. We have a net loss of \$165,405 for the year ended August 31, 2011 [2010 – net loss of \$2,955,141] and at August 31, 2011 had a deficit accumulated during the exploration stage of \$4,384,019 [2010 – \$4,218,614]. We generated revenue of \$20,512 for the year ended August 31, 2011 [2010 - \$11,861]. We have working capital of \$631,881 as at August 31, 2011 [2010 - \$348,730]. We require additional funds to maintain our existing operations and to acquire new business assets. These conditions raise substantial doubt about our company’s ability to continue as a going concern. Management’s plans in this regard are to raise equity and debt financing as required, but there is no certainty that such financing will be available or that it will be available at acceptable terms. The outcome of these matters cannot be predicted at this time.

These financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classification of liabilities that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

At this time, we cannot provide investors with any assurance that we will be able to raise sufficient funding from the sale of our common stock or through a loan from our directors to meet our obligations over the next twelve months. We do not have any arrangements in place for any future debt or equity financing.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no significant off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that are material to stockholders.

Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Preparing financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, and expenses. These estimates and assumptions are affected by management’s application of accounting policies. We believe that understanding the basis and nature of the estimates and assumptions involved with the following aspects of our financial statements is critical to an understanding of our financial statements.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the FASB issued an update to the Fair Value topic. This update requires new disclosures for (1) transfers in and out of levels 1 and 2, and (2) activity in level 3, by requiring the reconciliation to present separate information about purchases, sales, issuance, and settlements. Also, this update clarifies the disclosures related to the

fair value of each class of assets and liabilities and the input and valuation techniques for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements in levels 2 and 3. the effective date for the disclosures and clarifications is for the interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009 except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. This update is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In February 2010, the FASB issued ASC No. 2010-09, *Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements*, which eliminates the requirement for SEC filers to disclose the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events. ASC No. 2010-09 is effective for its fiscal quarter beginning after 15 December 2010. The adoption of ASC No. 2010-09 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements. ASU No. 2010-13 was issued in April 2010, and clarified the classification of an employee share based payment award with an exercise price denominated in the currency of a market in which the underlying security trades. This ASU will be effective for the first fiscal quarter beginning after December 15, 2010, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of ASU No. 2010-13 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

On March 5, 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-11 *Derivatives and Hedging Topic 815 Scope Exception Related to Embedded Credit Derivatives*. This ASU clarifies the guidance within the derivative literature that exempts certain credit related features from analysis as potential embedded derivatives requiring separate accounting. The ASU specifies that an embedded credit derivative feature related to the transfer of credit risk that is only in the form of subordination of one financial instrument to another is not subject to bifurcation from a host contract under ASC 815-15-25, *Derivatives and Hedging Embedded Derivatives Recognition*. All other embedded credit derivative features should be analyzed to determine whether their economic characteristics and risks are clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics and risks of the host contract and whether bifurcation is required. The adoption of this ASU did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued new authoritative guidance to provide a consistent definition of fair value and ensure that fair value measurements and disclosure requirements are similar between GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. This guidance changes certain fair value measurement principles and enhances the disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. This guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 and is applied prospectively. The Company does not expect that the adoption of this guidance will have a material impact on its financial statements.

Other accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by the FASB or other standards-setting bodies that do not require adoption until a future date are not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements upon adoption.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Enertopia Corp.

(A development stage company)

We have audited the consolidated balance sheet of Enertopia Corp. (the Company) (a development stage company, formerly Golden Aria Corp.) as at August 31, 2011 and the related consolidated statements of stockholders' equity, operations and cash flow for the year then ended and the period from November 24, 2004 (inception) to August 31, 2011. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We did not audit the Company's financial statements as of and for the year ended August 31, 2010, and the cumulative data from November 24, 2004 (inception) to August 31, 2010 in the statements of stockholders' equity, operations and cash flows, which were audited by other auditors whose report, dated November 25, 2010 which expressed an unqualified opinion, has been furnished to us. Our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for cumulative data from November 24, 2004 (inception) to August 31, 2010, is based solely on the report of the other auditors.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform an audit to obtain reasonable assurance whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as at August 31, 2011 and the result of its operations and its cash flow for the year then ended and the period from November 24, 2004 (inception) to August 31, 2011 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements refer to above have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company incurred losses from operations since inception, has not attained profitable operations and is dependent upon obtaining adequate financing to fulfil its operating activities. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Vancouver, Canada
November 29, 2011

Chartered Accountants

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

ENERTOPIA CORP.

(A development stage company)

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of Golden Aria Corp. (the Company) (a development stage company) as at August 31, 2010 and 2009 and the related consolidated statements of stockholders' equity, operations and cash flows for the years then ended and for the period cumulative from inception November 24, 2004 (inception) to August 31, 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform an audit to obtain reasonable assurance whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as at August 31, 2010 and 2009 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended and for the period cumulative from inception November 24, 2004 (inception) to August 31, 2010 in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements refer to above have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has incurred losses from inception and further losses are anticipated. These factors raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 2. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Vancouver, Canada
November 25, 2010

Chartered Accountants

ENERTOPIA CORP.
(A Development Stage Company)
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Expressed in U.S. Dollars)

	August 31	August 31
	2011	2010
ASSETS		
Current		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 263,152	\$ 34,506
Owned securities (Note 4)	375,910	343,074
Accounts receivable	37,243	16,903
Prepaid expenses and deposit	44,265	4,191
Assets held for sale (Note 6)	-	100,000
Total current assets	720,570	498,674
Non-Current		
Long term investments - Pro Eco & GSWPS (Note 5)	261,431	220,986
Deferred charges	29,038	-
Mineral Property (Note 7)	162,045	-
Total Assets	\$ 1,173,084	\$ 719,660
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
LIABILITIES		
Current		
Accounts payable	\$ 14,881	\$ 27,035
Promissory notes - related party (Note 8)	-	50,000
Due to related parties (Note 9)	73,808	72,909
Total Current Liabilities	88,689	149,944
Promissory notes - related party (Note 8)	-	139,844
Warrants Liability (Note 11)	300,792	-
	389,481	289,788
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Share capital		
Authorized:		
200,000,000 common shares with a par value of \$0.001 per share		
Issued and outstanding:		
24,643,865 common shares at August 31, 2011 and August 31, 2010: 15,710,240	24,644	15,710
Additional paid-in capital	5,142,978	4,632,777
Deficit accumulated during the exploration stage	(4,384,019)	(4,218,614)
Total Stockholders' Equity	783,603	429,873
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 1,173,084	\$ 719,660

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

ENERTOPIA CORP.
(A Development Stage Company)
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Expressed in U.S. Dollars)

	YEAR ENDED		CUMULATIVE PERIOD FROM INCEPTION NOVEMBER 24, 2004 TO
	August 31 2011	August 31 2010	August 31 2011
Revenue			
Non-renewal energy - natural gas and oil revenue	\$ -	\$ 254	\$ 374,342
Renewal energy - service revenue	20,512	11,607	32,119
	20,512	11,861	406,462
Cost of revenue			
Non-renewal energy:			
Natural gas and oil operating costs and royalties	-	-	141,197
Depletion	-	-	298,489
Write-down in carrying value of oil and gas property	-	-	293,436
Renewal energy	14,771	32,969	47,740
	14,771	32,969	780,862
Gross Profit	5,741	(21,108)	(374,401)
Expenses			
Accounting and audit	46,378	44,621	284,845
Sales & Marketing	846	-	846
Advertising & Promotions	40,627	8,020	63,005
Bank charges and interest expense	23,635	8,206	54,240
Consulting	511,598	274,817	1,294,251
Mining exploration costs	14,094	-	332,386
Fees and dues	31,866	36,773	94,202
Insurance	15,045	8,323	38,572
Investor relations	10,050	12,840	36,055
Legal an professional	48,179	42,012	201,905
Office and miscellaneous	7,210	(813)	46,655
Rent	14,960	9,100	67,093
Telephone	3,783	3,427	12,120
Training & Conferences	-	5,674	10,248
Travel	29,383	15,837	65,662
Total expenses	797,654	468,837	2,602,086

(Loss) for the period before other items	(791,913)	(489,945)	(2,976,486)
Other income (expense)			
Interest income	-	-	9,433
Others	-	(791)	25,732
Equity interest pick up	(1,555)	(1,336)	(12,070)
Gain on owned securities	32,836	118,597	32,836
Gain on disposition of oil and gas interests	-	119,490	522,976
Revaluation of warrants liability	595,227	-	595,227
Write down of oil and gas properties	-	(3,463,861)	(3,344,372)
Income (loss) before income taxes	(165,405)	(3,717,846)	(5,146,724)
Income tax recovery - deferred	-	762,704	762,704
Net loss and comprehensive loss for the period	\$ (165,405)	\$ (2,955,141)	\$ (4,384,019)
Basic and diluted income (loss) per share	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.20)	
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding - basic and diluted	19,893,489	15,086,412	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

ENERTOPIA CORP.
(A Development Stage Company)
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
NOVEMBER 24, 2004 (inception) TO August 31, 2011
(Expressed in U.S. Dollars)

	COMMON STOCK		ADDITIONAL	STOCK	DEFI
	SHARES	AMOUNT	PAID-IN	TO BE	ACCUMU
			CAPITAL	ISSUED	DURI
					EXPLOR
					STA
Balance November 24, 2004 (Inception)	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Issuance of common stock for cash at \$0.02 per share on March 22, 2005	5,467,500	5,468	103,882	-	
Issuance of common stock for cash at \$0.30 per share on April 6, 2005	1,112,500	1,112	332,638	-	
Stock to be issued	125,000	-	37,375	125	
Comprehensive income (loss):					
(Loss) for the period	-	-	-	-	
Balance, August 31, 2005	6,705,000	6,580	473,895	125	
Stock issued on September 29, 2005	-	125	-	(125)	
Comprehensive income (loss):					
(Loss) for the year	-	-	-	-	
Balance, August 31, 2006	6,705,000	6,705	473,895	-	
Units issued for cash at \$0.50 per unit to related parties on March 6, 2007 (included stock based compensation of \$116,959)	92,740	93	163,236		
Stock issued for property on April 18, 2007	250,000	250	274,750	-	
Units issued for cash at \$0.50 per unit on April 19, 2007	100,000	100	49,900	-	
Units issued for cash at \$0.50 per unit on August 31, 2007	600,000	600	299,400	-	
Imputed interest from non-interest bearing loan	-	-	3,405	-	
Comprehensive income (loss):					
(Loss) for the year	-	-	-	-	
Balance, August 31, 2007	7,747,740	\$ 7,748	\$ 1,264,586	\$ -	
Units issued for acquisition at \$0.42 per unit on November 30, 2007	6,905,000	6,905	2,893,195	-	
Imputed interest from non-interest bearing loan	-	-	7,139	-	
Stock-based compensation on 1,785,000 options granted	-	-	104,257	-	
Comprehensive income (loss):					
(Loss) for the year	-	-	-	-	
Balance, August 31, 2008	14,652,740	\$ 14,653	\$ 4,269,177	\$ -	(1
Imputed interest for non-interest bearing loan	-	-	4,410	-	
Stock-based compensation	-	-	35,780	-	
Comprehensive income (loss):					
(Loss) for the year	-	-	-	-	
Balance, August 31, 2009	14,652,740	\$ 14,653	\$ 4,309,367	\$ -	(1
Imputed interest for non-interest bearing loan			2,442		
Stock-based compensation			78,858		
	500,000	500	124,500		

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K/A

Stock issued for acquisition at \$0.20 per share on
February 28, 2010

Units issued for cash at \$0.15 per unit on May 31, 2010	557,500	557	83,068		
Gain on settlement of the amount due to related parties			34,542		
Comprehensive income (loss):					
(Loss) for the year	-	-	-	-	(2)
Balance, August 31, 2010	15,710,240	15,710	4,632,777	-	(4)
Debt settlement on November 22, 2010	62,500	63	9,313		
Debt settlement on November 19, 2010	100,000	100	14,900		
Stock-based compensation			254,443		
Share Subscriptions on March 3, 2011	8,729,000	8,729	885,264	-	
Share Issuance costs			(96,490)		
Warrants issued on March 3, 2011			(848,459)		
Common Shares cancelled on January 1, 2011	(1,000,000)	(1,000)	1,000		
Debt settlement on March 16, 2011	78,125	78	12,422		
Debt settlement on April 27, 2011	360,000	360	157,412		
Debt settlement on April 27, 2011	100,000	100	45,900		
Shares issued Wildhorse on April 11, 2011	500,000	500	74,500		
Share issuance correction on Jun 4, 2011	4,000	4	(4)		
Comprehensive income (loss):					
(Loss) for the year					(4)
Balance, August 31, 2011	24,643,865 \$	24,644 \$	5,142,978 \$	- \$	(4)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

ENERTOPIA CORP.
(A Development Stage Company)
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Expressed in U.S. Dollars)

	YEAR ENDED		CUMULATIVE PERIOD FROM INCEPTION November 24, 2004 TO	CUMULATIVE PERIOD FROM INCEPTION November 24, 2004 TO
	August 31, 2011	August 31, 2010	August 31, 2011	August 31 2010
Cash flows used in operating activities				
Net Income (loss)	\$ (165,405)	\$ (2,955,141)	\$ (4,384,019)	(4,218,614)
Changes to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities				
Consulting - Stock based compensation	281,638	78,858	617,492	335,854
Depletion	-	-	298,490	298,490
Write down in carrying value of oil and gas properties	-	-	293,437	293,437
Stock issued for mineral resource and oil and gas property	-	-	37,500	37,500
Write down of oil and gas properties	-	3,463,861	3,344,371	3,344,371
Gain on disposition of oil and gas properties	-	(119,490)	(522,976)	(522,976)
Fair value of warrants liabilities	(595,227)	-	(595,227)	
Gain on owned securities	(32,836)	(118,597)	(32,836)	
Equity pick-up	1,555	1,336	12,070	10,515
Imputed interest	-	2,442	17,396	17,396
Accrued loan interest	17,928	-	17,928	
Income tax recovery	-	(762,704)	(762,704)	(762,704)
Change in non-cash working capital items:				
Accounts receivable	(20,340)	(7,295)	(26,535)	(6,195)
Prepaid expenses and deposit	(40,074)	(1,414)	(19,981)	20,093
Deferred charges	(29,038)	-	(29,038)	
	(2,472)	27,035	(3,357)	(885)

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K/A

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities				
Due to related parties	46,899	117,774	91,137	44,238
Net cash (used in) operating activities	(537,372)	(273,335)	(1,646,852)	(1,109,480)

Cash flows from (used in) investing activities

Oil and gas properties acquisition and divestment	-	(47,231)	(345,180)	(345,180)
Proceeds from sale of oil and gas interests	100,000	-	521,545	421,545
Mineral resource properties acquisition	(87,045)	-	(87,046)	(1)
Investment in GSWPS	(42,000)	(61,500)	(103,500)	(61,500)
Investment in Pro Eco	-	-	(45,000)	(45,000)
Cash provided in connection with business acquisition	-	-	201,028	201,028
Net cash from (used in) investing activities	(29,045)	(108,731)	141,847	170,892

Cash flows from financing activities

Promissory notes - related party	(50,000)	50,000	-	50,000
Net proceeds from subscriptions received	845,063	83,625	1,768,158	923,095
Net cash from financing activities	795,063	133,625	1,768,158	973,095

Increase (Decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	228,646	(248,441)	263,153	34,507
---	----------------	------------------	----------------	---------------

Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	34,506	282,948	-	-
---	---------------	----------------	----------	----------

Cash and cash equivalents, \$ end of period	263,152	\$ 34,507	\$ 263,153	34,507
--	----------------	------------------	-------------------	---------------

Supplemental information of cash flows

Interest paid in cash	\$ 4,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Income taxes paid in cash	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

ENERTOPIA CORP.
(A Development Stage Company)
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
August 31, 2011
(Expressed in U.S. Dollars)

1. ORGANIZATION

The Company was formed on November 24, 2004 under the laws of the State of Nevada and commenced operations on November 24, 2004. The Company was an independent natural gas and oil company engaged in the exploration, development and acquisition of natural gas and oil properties in the United States and Canada. In the fiscal year 2010, the Company shifted its strategic plan from its non-renewal energy operations to its planned renewal energy operations and considered as a development stage company. The Company has offices in Vancouver and Kelowna, B.C., Canada.

Effective September 25, 2009, we effected one (1) for two (2) share consolidation of our authorized and issued and outstanding common stock.

On February 8, 2010, the Company changed its name from Golden Aria Corp. to Enertopia Corp.

On February 22, 2010, the Company increased its authorized share capital to 200,000,000 common shares.

2. GOING CONCERN UNCERTAINTY

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities and commitments in the normal course of business for the foreseeable future. The Company incurred a net loss of \$165,405 for the year ended August 31, 2011 [net loss \$2,955,141 for the year ended August 31, 2010] and as at August 31, 2011 has incurred cumulative losses of \$4,384,019 that raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management has been able, thus far, to finance the operations through equity financing and cash on hand. There is no assurance that the Company will be able to continue to finance the Company on this basis.

In view of these conditions, the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern is in substantial doubt and dependent upon its ability to generate sufficient cash flow to meet its obligations on a timely basis, to obtain additional financing as may be required, to receive the continued support of the Company's shareholders, and ultimately to obtain successful operations. These consolidated financial statements do not give effect to any adjustments which would be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern and therefore be required to realize its assets and discharge its liabilities in other than the normal course of business and at amounts different from those reflected in the accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements.

3. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

a) Basis of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Target Energy, Inc., and its equity interest of Pro Eco Energy Inc. and Global Solar Water Power Systems Inc. All significant inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated.

b) Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes its renewal energy service revenue when services are performed and payments are received or rights to receive consideration are obtained, evidence of an arrangement exists, and collection of consideration is reasonably assured.

c) Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents comprise certain highly liquid instruments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased. As of August 31, 2011 and 2010, cash and cash equivalents consist of cash only.

d) Investments in Companies Accounted for Using the Equity Method

Investments in equity method investees are accounted for using the equity method based upon the level of ownership and/or the Company's ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of the investee. Investments of this nature are recorded at original cost and adjusted periodically to recognize the Company's proportionate share of the investees' net income or losses after the date of investment. When net losses from an investment accounted for under the equity method exceed its carrying amount, the investment balance is reduced to zero. The Company resumes accounting for the investment under the equity method if the entity subsequently reports net income and the Company's share of that net income exceeds the share of the net losses not recognized during the period the equity method was suspended. Investments are written down only when there is clear evidence that a decline in value that is other than temporary has occurred. When an investment accounted for using the equity method issues its own shares, the subsequent reduction in the Company's proportionate interest in the investee is reflected in income as a deemed dilution gain or loss on disposition. The Company evaluates its investments in companies accounted for the equity or cost method for impairment when there is evidence or indicators that a decrease in value may be other than temporary.

e) Mineral Property Rights Acquisition and Exploration and Development Expenditures

Mineral property acquisition costs are initially capitalized as tangible assets when purchased. The Company assesses the carrying costs for impairment when indicators of impairment exist. If proven and probable reserves are established for a property and it has been determined that a mineral property can be economically developed, costs will be amortized using the units-of-production method over the estimated total recoverable proven and probable reserves.

Mineral property exploration and development costs are expensed as incurred until the establishment of economically viable reserves.

f) Stock-Based Compensation

The Company followed Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 718, *Compensation - Stock Compensation*, to account for its stock options and similar equity instruments issued. Accordingly, compensation costs attributable to stock options or similar equity instruments granted are measured at the fair value at the grant date, and expensed over the expected vesting period. ASC 718 requires excess tax benefits be reported as a financing cash inflow rather than as a reduction of taxes paid.

g) Accounting Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates and assumptions.

h) Loss Per Share

Loss per share is computed using the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. The Company has adopted ASC 220 *Earnings Per Share*. Diluted loss per share is equivalent to basic loss per share because the potential exercise of the equity-based financial instruments was anti-dilutive.

i) Foreign Currency Translations

The Company's operations are located in the United States of America and Canada, and it has offices in Canada. The Company maintains its accounting records in U.S. Dollars, as follows:

At the transaction date, each asset, liability, revenue and expense that was acquired or incurred in a foreign currency is translated into U.S. dollars by the using of the exchange rate in effect at that date. At the period end, monetary assets and liabilities are translated at the exchange rate in effect at that date. The resulting foreign exchange gains and losses are included in operations.

j) Financial Instruments

ASC 820 *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. ASC 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy based on the level of independent, objective evidence surrounding the inputs used to measure fair value. A financial instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. ASC 820 prioritizes the inputs into three levels that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2 - Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are either directly or indirectly observable; and

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing.

The Company's financial instruments consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, owned securities, accounts receivable, accounts payable, promissory notes due to related parties, due to related parties, and warrants liability. With the exception of owned securities, non-current portion of promissory notes and warrants liability, the carrying amounts of these financial instruments approximate their fair values due to their short maturities. The fair values of the non-current portion of promissory notes are estimated using quoted market prices or are based on the discounted value of future cash flows. The fair value of owned securities are measured based on quoted prices in active markets. The fair value of the warrants liability is determined by using the Black-Scholes option pricing model.

The Company's operations are in Canada, which results in exposure to market risks from changes in foreign currency rates. The financial risk is the risk to the Company's operations that arise from fluctuations in foreign exchange rates and the degree of volatility of these rates. Currently, the Company does not use derivative instruments to reduce its exposure to foreign currency risk.

k) Income Taxes

The Company has adopted ASC 740, *Income Taxes*, which requires the Company to recognize deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the Company's financial statements or tax returns using the liability method. Under this method, deferred tax liabilities and assets are determined based on the temporary differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect in the year in which the differences are expected to reverse.

l) Long-Lived Assets Impairment

Long-term assets of the Company are reviewed for impairment when circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable in accordance with the guidance established in ASC 360, *Property, Plant and Equipment*. For assets that are to be held and used, an impairment loss is recognized when the estimated undiscounted cash flows associated with the asset or group of assets is less than their carrying value. If impairment exists, an adjustment is made to write the asset down to its fair value. Fair values are determined based on discounted cash flows or internal and external appraisals, as applicable. Assets to be disposed of are carried at the lower of carrying value or estimated net realizable value.

m) Asset Retirement Obligations

The Company accounts for asset retirement obligations in accordance with the provisions of ASC 410, *Asset Retirement and Environmental Obligations*. ASC 410 requires the Company to record the fair value of an asset retirement obligation as a liability in the period in which it incurs a legal obligation associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, development and/or normal use of the assets. The management of the Company had estimated the asset retirement obligation to be immaterial and therefore was not reflected on the financial statements as of August 31, 2011.

n) Comprehensive Income

The Company has adopted ASC 220, *Comprehensive Income*, which establishes standards for reporting and display of comprehensive income, its components and accumulated balances. The Company is disclosing this information on its Statement of Stockholders' Equity. Comprehensive income comprises equity except those transactions resulting from investments by owners and distributions to owners.

o) Concentration of credit risk

The Company places its cash and cash equivalent with high credit quality financial institution. As of August 31, 2011, the Company had approximately \$175,046 in a bank beyond insured limit (August 31, 2010: \$0).

p) New Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the FASB issued an update to the Fair Value topic. This update requires new disclosures for (1) transfers in and out of levels 1 and 2, and (2) activity in level 3, by requiring the reconciliation to present separate information about purchases, sales, issuance, and settlements. Also, this update clarifies the disclosures related to the fair value of each class of assets and liabilities and the input and valuation techniques for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements in levels 2 and 3. The effective date for the disclosures and clarifications is for the interim and annual reporting periods beginning after

December 15, 2009 except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. This update is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In December 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2010-29, Business Combinations (Topic 805): Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations. This guidance was issued to clarify that pro forma disclosures should be presented as though the business combination that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period. The disclosures should also be accompanied by a narrative description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments. This new guidance is effective prospectively for business combinations consummated on or after the annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not believe that the adoption of this guidance will have a material impact on its financial statements.

On March 5, 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-11 Derivatives and Hedging Topic 815 Scope Exception Related to Embedded Credit Derivatives. This ASU clarifies the guidance within the derivative literature that exempts certain credit related features from analysis as potential embedded derivatives requiring separate accounting. The ASU specifies that an embedded credit derivative feature related to the transfer of credit risk that is only in the form of subordination of one financial instrument to another is not subject to bifurcation from a host contract under ASC 815-15-25, Derivatives and Hedging Embedded Derivatives Recognition. All other embedded credit derivative features should be analyzed to determine whether their economic characteristics and risks are clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics and risks of the host contract and whether bifurcation is required. The Company does not believe that the adoption of this guidance will have a material impact on its financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued new authoritative guidance to provide a consistent definition of fair value and ensure that fair value measurements and disclosure requirements are similar between GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. This guidance changes certain fair value measurement principles and enhances the disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. This guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 and is applied prospectively. The Company does not expect that the adoption of this guidance will have a material impact on its financial statements.

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income, which is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. This guidance eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. In addition, items of other comprehensive income that are reclassified to profit or loss are required to be presented separately on the face of the financial statements. This guidance is intended to increase the prominence of other comprehensive income in financial statements by requiring that such amounts be presented either in a single continuous statement of income and comprehensive income or separately in consecutive statements of income and comprehensive income. The adoption of ASU 2011-05 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Other accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by the FASB or other standards-setting bodies that do not require adoption until a future date are not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements upon adoption.

4. OWNED SECURITIES

Owned securities includes, 375,000 common shares and 375,000 warrants of Cheetah Oil & Gas Ltd. and 499,893 common shares and 499,893 warrants of Lexaria Corp, obtained through the disposal of the Company's oil and gas properties in Mississippi in 2010. The Company classified the securities owned as held-for-trade and recorded at fair value. The Chairman of the Company is a Director and Officer of Lexaria Corp.

The fair values of the common shares of Cheetah Oil & Gas Ltd. and Lexaria Corp. as at August 31, 2011 were \$0.07 and \$0.40 per share, respectively. The fair values of warrants of Cheetah Oil & Gas Ltd. and Lexaria Corp. as at August 31, 2011 were \$0.07 and \$0.40 per share, respectively. The above fair values of warrants have been estimated as of August 31, 2011 by using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

	Cheetah Oil & Gas Ltd.	Lexaria Corp.
Expected volatility	462.55%	138.40%
Risk-free interest rate	1.12%	1.12%
Expected life	.75 years	.75 years
Dividend yield	0.00%	0.00%

5. LONG TERM INVESTMENTS**Pro Eco Energy USA Ltd.**

On April 21, 2008, the Company purchased 900,000 shares for \$45,000 in Pro Eco Energy USA Ltd. (Pro Eco Energy) which represented 8.25% ownership. The Chairman of the Company is a Director in Pro Eco Energy which established the existence of significant influence in Pro Eco Energy and accordingly the equity method of accounting is adopted for the investment.

During the year ended August 31, 2011, the Company recorded an equity loss of \$2,304 (2010 equity gain of \$3,504), which resulted in a net investment of \$37,021 (2010 - \$39,326).

Global Solar Water Power Systems Inc.

On August 31, 2011 the Company has purchased 8.14% (2010 6.46%) investment in Global Solar Water Power Systems Inc. (GSWPS). This was made by a cash contribution of \$103,500 and an issuance of 500,000 shares of the Company. at \$0.25 per share for a combined value of \$228,500. GSWPS is owned by an executive officer of the Company.

During the year ended August 31, 2011, the Company recorded an equity gain of \$750 (2010 equity loss of (\$4,840)), which resulted in a net investment of \$224,410 (2010 - \$181,660).

6. ASSETS HELD FOR SALE

During the year ended August 31, 2010, the Company's oil and gas properties became available for sale as the result of the Company shifted its focus from its non-renewable energy operations to renewable energy plan. Pursuant to Accounting Standards Codification 360 Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of long-Lived Assets , the Company reclassified the remaining oil and gas properties to be sold as assets held for sale and recorded at their recoverable amount on August 31, 2010. During the year ended August 31, 2011, the Company received the cash payment of \$100,000 from the sale. The Company does not have any remaining oil and gas properties as at August 31, 2011.

Coteau Lake, Saskatchewan

Through the Company's subsidiary, Target Energy Inc. (Target), the Company owns certain working interest in Coteau Lake, Saskatchewan.

Coteau Lake is an exploration property and the Company has no producing oil or gas wells on this land at this time. The Coteau Lake exploration project covers 1,280 acres of land. The Company's gross and net interest in this project is 50%. There has been historic oil production on the Coteau Lake project lands.

On October 25, 2010, the Company disposed the Coteau Lake interests for a cash consideration of \$100,000 plus an additional potential payout which shall be based on a 10% profit interest on any and all productive wells drilled on the property up to \$150,000. No receivable was recorded as the future potential payout cannot be reasonably determined.

Belmont Lake, Mississippi

Effective September 1, 2009, the Company entered into an assignment agreement with Cheetah Oil & Gas Ltd. (Cheetah). The assignment agreement dated August 28, 2009, provides for the purchase by the Company of a revenue interest of 40.432% of an 8% share of Cheetah's net revenue after field operating expenses from the Belmont Lake PP F-12-4 horizontal well, located in Belmont Lake Field, Wilkinson County, Mississippi. As consideration, the Company agreed to pay to Cheetah 57.76% of Cheetah's costs currently budgeted at \$77,905.36, subject to revision and 57.76% of Cheetah's 8% share of PP F-12-4 well costs from time to time for infrastructure, pipes, tanks, compressors, trucking, etc.

In connection with the management's decision to shift its non-renewal energy operations to its renewal energy plan, the Company disposed its related working interest for a consideration of 375,000 units in the capital of Cheetah at \$0.12 per unit which included 375,000 restricted shares and 375,000 share purchase warrants which entitle the Company to acquire 375,000 restricted shares in the capital of Cheetah at a purchase price of US\$0.20 per share for a period of two years.

On August 28, 2009, the Company entered into an assignment agreement with Lexaria Corp. The assignment agreement dated August 28, 2009, provides for the purchase by the Company of a revenue interest of 13.475% of a 32% share of Lexaria's net revenue after field operating expenses from the Belmont Lake PP F-12-4 horizontal well, located in Belmont Lake Field, Wilkinson County, Mississippi. As consideration, the Company agreed to pay to Lexaria 19.25% of Lexaria's costs currently budgeted at \$311,621.44, subject to revision and 19.25% of Lexaria's 32% share of PP F-12-4 well costs from time to time for infrastructure, pipes, tanks, compressors, trucking, etc.

In connection with the management's shift its non-renewal energy operations to its renewal energy plan, the Company disposed its related working interest for a consideration of 499,893 units in the capital of Lexaria at \$0.12 per unit which included 499,983 restricted shares and 499,983 share purchase warrants which entitle the Company to acquire 499,983 restricted shares in the capital of Lexaria at a purchase price of US\$0.20 per share for a period of two years.

On August 31, 2011, the fair values of Cheetah and Lexaria shares, based on market value, and warrants, using Black-Scholes pricing model, were \$47,447 and \$328,463 respectively.

7. MINERAL PROPERTY

On January 31, 2011, the Company entered into a letter of intent and paid US\$7,500 deposit to Wildhorse Copper Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary Wildhorse Copper (AZ) Inc. (collectively, the Optionors). On April 11, 2011, the Company signed a Mineral Purchase Option Agreement (Option Agreement) with the Optionors for an option to earn a 100% interest, subject to a 1% NSR capped to a maximum of \$2,000,000 on one claim, in a property known as the Copper Hills property. The Copper Hills property is comprised of 56 located mining claims covering a total of 1,150 acres located in New Mexico, USA. The Optionors hold the Copper Hills property directly and indirectly through property purchase agreements between the Optionors and third parties (collectively, the Indirect Agreements). Pursuant to the Option Agreement the Optionors have assigned the Indirect Agreements to the Company. In order to earn the interest in the Copper Hills property, the Company is required to make aggregate cash payments of \$591,650 over an eight year period and issue an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of its common stock over a three year period. On April 11, 2011, the Company made aggregate cash payments of \$54,150, issued 500,000 shares to the Optionors. As at August 31, 2011, total consideration including cash paid and common stock issued was \$147,045; the Company has expensed the exploration costs of \$14,094 and prepaid the exploration costs of \$32,250.

On July 19, 2011, the Company entered into a letter of intent and paid US\$15,000 deposit to Altar Resources. Subsequent to August 31, 2011, on October 11, 2011, the Company signed a Mineral Purchase Option Agreement with Altar Resources respecting an option to earn 100% interest, subject to a 2.5% NSR in a property known as Mildred Peak. Mining claims are in Arizona covering approximately 6,220 acres from Altar Resources who holds the mining claims directly, and indirectly through federal mining claims and state mineral exploration leases, or represents that it will hold such claims in good standing at the time of closing a definitive agreement. The Company is required to make aggregate cash payments of \$881,000 over a five year period and issue an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of its common stock over a four year period. On October 11, 2011, Enertopia made aggregate cash payments of \$71,000 and issued 100,000 shares to Altar Resources.

	August 31, 2011	August 31, 2010
Promissory note of \$50,000, interest rate of 12% per annum, uncollateralized and due on August 23, 2011	-	50,000
Promissory note of \$80,320, non-interest bearing, uncollateralized and due on May 31, 2012	-	66,000
Promissory note of \$90,000, non-interest bearing, uncollateralized and due on May 31, 2012	-	73,800
		- 189,400
Less: current maturities		- 50,000
		- 139,800

9. RELATED PARTIES TRANSACTION

For the year ended August 31, 2010, the Company was party to the following related party transactions:

- Paid / accrued \$60,000 (2010: \$62,900) to the President of the Company in consulting fees.
- Paid / accrued \$60,000 (2010: \$66,717) and \$nil (2010: \$5,911); of consulting fees and office rent, respectively, to a company controlled by a Director/CEO of the Company.
- Paid \$59,643 (2010: \$43,916) in consulting fees to a company controlled by the CFO of the Company.
- Paid \$12,000 (2010: \$11,000) in consulting fee to the COO of the Company.
- Paid / accrued \$42,000 (2010: \$8,815 in cost of renewal energy service to the COO of the Company and a company controlled by the executive officer.
- Paid / accrued \$37,500 (2010: \$Nil) in consulting fee to the Senior VP, Business Development. · Director fee of total \$6,023 paid to three directors of the company as of August 31, 2011.
- See Notes 5, 8 and 12.

On April 27, 2011, the Company entered into a debt settlement agreement with the President of the Company regarding due to related parties in the amount of \$46,000, whereby \$15,000 was settled by issuing common shares of 100,000, and \$31,000 was forgiven for Nil consideration. In connection with the debt settlement, the Company recorded \$100 in share capital and \$45,900 in additional paid in capital for the gain on the settlement of the debt.

On March 16, 2011, the Company entered into a debt settlement agreement with an officer of the company, whereby the Company issued 78,125 common stock in connection with the settlement of debt of \$12,500 at a price of \$0.15 per share pursuant to a consulting agreement. The Company recorded \$781 in additional paid in capital for the gain on the settlement of the debt.

The related party transactions are recorded at the exchange amount established and agreed to between the related parties. On August 31, 2011, amounts due to related parties are unsecured, non-interest bearing and due on demand.

See Note 8.

10. COMMON STOCK

Common Stock

On February 22, 2010, the Company had increased its authorized share capital from 37,500,000 common shares to 200,000,000 common shares.

On February 28, 2010, the Company entered into a stock purchase agreement with Mr. Mark Snyder to acquire up to 20% of ownership in Global Solar Water Power Systems Inc. (GSWPS), a private US corporation that is solely owned by an Officer of the Company. The Company issued 500,000 common stocks at a fair value of \$0.25 per share to Mr. Mark Snyder in exchange to acquire a percentage minority ownership in GSWPS.

On May 31, 2010, the Company closed a private placement financing of 557,500 units at a price of \$0.15 per unit for gross proceeds of \$83,625. Each unit consisted of one common share in the capital of the Company and one non-transferable share purchase warrant, each full warrant entitling the holder to purchase one additional common share in the capital of the Company until May 31, 2012, at a purchase price of \$0.30 per share.

On November 19, 2010, the Company issued 100,000 common shares to Mercury Media pursuant to the Media Relation Agreement (See Note 12 (g)) for services at \$0.15 per common share.

On November 22, 2010, the Company issued 62,500 common shares in connection with the settlement of debt of \$9,375 at a price of \$0.15 per common share pursuant to consulting agreement (See Note 12 (h)).

On January 1, 2011, 1,000,000 common shares were cancelled by the Company.

On March 3, 2011, the Company closed a private placement of 8,729,000 units at a price of CAD\$0.10 per unit for gross proceeds of CAD\$872,900, US\$893,993. Each unit consisted of one common share in the capital of the Company and one non-transferable share purchase warrant (Subscribers Warrants), each full warrant entitling the holder to purchase one additional common share in the capital of the Company until March 3, 2013, subject to accelerated expiry as set out in the warrant certificate, at a purchase price of CAD\$0.20. As per the terms of the Subscription Agreement, the Company grants to the Subscribers a participation right to participate in future offerings of the Company's securities as to their pro rata shares for a period of 12 months from the closing of the Private Placement (the Participation Right). The Company paid broker commissions of \$48,930 in cash and issued 489,300 brokers warrants (Broker's Warrants). Each full warrant entitling the holder to purchase one additional common share in the capital of the Company until March 3, 2013, subject to accelerated expiry as set out in the warrant certificate, at a purchase price of CAD\$0.20. The fair value of the Broker's Warrants is calculated as \$47,560 by using Black-Scholes model (see Note 11 warrants).

On March 16, 2011, the Company issued 78,125 common shares in connection with the settlement of debt of \$12,500 at a price of \$0.15 per common share pursuant to a consulting agreement (See Note 9 and 12 (h)).

On April 11, 2011, the Company issued 500,000 common shares in connection with the Wildhorse Copper (AZ) Copper Hills property (See Note 7) for an amount of \$75,000 at a price of \$0.15 per common share.

On April 27, 2011, the Company issued 360,000 common shares to CAB Financial Services Ltd. in connection with a debt settlement for promissory note of \$90,000 at a price of \$0.15 per common share. In connection with the settlement of the amounts due to related parties, the Company recorded \$26,357 in additional paid in capital for the gain on settlement of debt.

On April 27, 2011, the Company issued 100,000 common shares to the president of the Company in connection with a debt settlement for consulting fees of \$46,000, refer to Note 9.

On June 8, 2011, the Company issued 4,000 common shares to a shareholder in connection with the May 31, 2010 private placement.

As at August 31, 2011, the Company had 24,643,865 shares issued and outstanding.

11. STOCK OPTIONS AND WARRANTS

On October 22, 2009, the Company re-priced the stock options to directors, officers and consultants with the exercise price of \$0.20.

The vesting dates of options are as below:

Vesting Dates	Percentage of options granted
December 14, 2007	25%
December 14, 2008	25%

December 14, 2009	25%
December 14, 2010	25%

On October 22, 2009, the Company granted 500,000 stock options to directors and officers of the Company with the exercise price of \$0.10 per share, expiring over 5 years. These options were vested immediately.

On December 30, 2009, the Company adopted the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan that was ultimately approved at the Annual General Meeting that occurred on February 5, 2010.

On December 30, 2009, the Company granted 650,000 stock options to directors and officers of the Company with the exercise price of \$0.10 per share, expiring over 5 years. These options vested immediately.

On March 5, 2010, the Company granted 100,000 stock options to a consultant of the Company with the exercise price of \$0.20, which vested 50% on March 5, 2010 and 50% on August 31, 2010 and expires on March 5, 2015.

On May 14, 2010, the Company dismissed certain directors and consultants by which 125,000 unvested stock options were cancelled on May 14, 2010 and 377,500 vested stock options expired on August 14, 2010.

On August 23, 2010, the Company granted 150,000 stock options to an executive of the Company with the exercise price of \$0.20, which are vested 50% on August 23, 2010 and 50% on August 23, 2011 and expires on August 23, 2015.

On November 9, 2010, the Company granted 100,000 stock options to an advisor of the Company exercisable at \$0.20 per share, which are vested immediately and expired on November 9, 2015.

On November 15, 2010, the Company dismissed a consultant by which 50,000 vested options were cancelled on November 15, 2010 and another 50,000 vested stock options expired on February 15, 2011 unexercised.

On February 14, 2011 the Company granted 1,010,000 stock options to directors, officers, and consultants of the Company with the exercise price of \$0.15, which are vested immediately and expire on February 14, 2016.

On March 10, 2011, the Company granted 150,000 stock options to a director of the Company with an exercise price of \$0.15, which are vested immediately and expire on March 10, 2016.

On March 16, 2011, the Company granted 150,000 stock options to an advisor of the Company with an exercise price of \$0.18, which are vested immediately and expire on March 16, 2016.

On April 14, 2011, the shareholders approved and adopted at the Annual General Meeting to consolidate the Company's 2007 Equity compensation plan and the Company's 2010 Equity Compensation Plan into a new Company 2011 Stock Option Plan. The purpose of this Plan is to advance the interests of the Corporation, through the grant of Options, by providing an incentive mechanism to foster the interest of eligible persons in the success of the Corporation and its affiliates; encouraging eligible persons to remain with the Corporation or its affiliates; and attracting new Directors, Officers, Employees and Consultants.

On June 2, 2011, the Company granted 300,000 stock options to directors of the Company with an exercise price of \$0.15, which are vested immediately and expire on June 2, 2016.

For the year ended August 31, 2011, the Company recorded \$254,443 (2010 \$78,858) stock based compensation expenses which has been included in consulting fees.

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K/A

A summary of the changes in stock options for the years ended August 31, 2011 and 2010 is presented below:

	Number of Shares	Options Outstanding Weighted Average Exercise Price
Balance, August 31, 2010	1,790,000	\$ 0.14
Granted	1,110,000	\$ 0.15
Granted	150,000	\$ 0.15
Granted	150,000	\$ 0.18
Granted	300,000	\$ 0.15
Cancelled	(240,000)	\$ 0.20
Balance, August 31, 2011	3,260,000	\$ 0.15

	Number of Shares	Options Outstanding Weighted Average Exercise Price
Balance, August 31, 2008 and 2009	892,500	\$ 0.20
Granted	1,400,000	\$ 0.11
Cancelled	(502,500)	\$ 0.20
Balance, August 31, 2010	1,790,000	\$ 0.14

The fair value of options granted has been estimated as of the date of the grant by using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

	year ended August 31, 2011
Expected volatility	142.56 160.89%
Risk-free interest rate	1.49-2.71%
Expected life	2.00-5.00 years
Dividend yield	0.00%
Weighted average fair value of the options	\$0.18

	year ended August 31, 2010
Expected volatility	141.90%
Risk-free interest rate	2.68%
Expected life	5.00 years
Dividend yield	0.00%
Weighted average fair value of the options	\$0.14

The exercise prices of the options granted are higher than its market prices as at the dates of grant.

The Company has the following options outstanding and exercisable.

August 31 2011		Options outstanding		Options exercisable	
Exercise prices	Number of shares	Weighted average remaining contractual life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of shares exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$0.10	500,000	3.14 years	\$0.10	500,000	\$0.10
\$0.10	650,000	3.33 years	\$0.10	650,000	\$0.10
\$0.20	350,000	1.29 years	\$0.20	350,000	\$0.20
\$0.20	150,000	3.98 years	\$0.20	150,000	\$0.20
\$0.20	100,000	4.19 years	\$0.20	100,000	\$0.20
\$0.15	910,000	4.46 years	\$0.15	910,000	\$0.15
\$0.15	150,000	4.53 years	\$0.15	150,000	\$0.15
\$0.18	150,000	4.54 years	\$0.18	150,000	\$0.18
\$0.25	300,000	4.76 years	\$0.15	150,000	\$0.15
	3,260,000	3.70 years	\$0.15	3,110,000	\$0.15

August 31, 2010		Options outstanding		Options exercisable	
Exercise prices	Number of shares life	Weighted average remaining contractual	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of shares exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$0.10	500,000	4.14 years	\$0.10	500,000	\$0.10
\$0.10	650,000	4.33 years	\$0.10	650,000	\$0.10
\$0.20	390,000	2.28 years	\$0.20	390,000	\$0.20
\$0.20	100,000	4.50 years	\$0.20	100,000	\$0.20
\$0.20	150,000	4.98 years	\$0.20	75,000	\$0.20
	1,790,000	3.89 years	\$0.14	1,715,000	\$0.13

Warrants

8,729,000 Subscribers Warrants and 489,300 Broker s Warrants issued in association with the private placement on March 3, 2011 meet the definition of a derivative. Since the exercise price of these warrants is denominated in Canadian dollars, which is different from the Company s functional currency, the Subscribers Warrants and Broker s Warrants are not considered indexed to the Company s common shares and they cannot be classified within equity. Therefore the Subscribers Warrants and the Broker s Warrants, which expires on March 31, 2013, are classified as warrants liability in the amount of \$848,459 on the Company s consolidated balance sheet.

The fair value of the Subscribers Warrants and the Broker s Warrants was revalued on August 31, 2011 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

	Period ended August 31, 2011
Exercise price (CDN dollars per warrant)	0.20
Expected volatility	121.34%
Risk-free interest rate	1.12%
Expected life	1.50 years
Dividend yield	0.00%
Estimated fair value per warrant (CDN dollars)	0.0326

12. COMMITMENTS OTHER

- (a) The Company has a month-to-month rental arrangement for office space in Kelowna, British Columbia, Canada for CAD\$700 plus HST per month.
- (b) The Company has a consulting agreement with CAB Financial Services Ltd. (CAB), a corporation organized under the laws of the Province of British Columbia. CAB is a consulting company controlled by the chairman of the board and chief executive officer of the Company. CAB Financial Services Ltd. is to provide management consulting services for \$5,000 per month plus HST on a continuing basis.
- (c) The Company has a consulting agreement with the President of the Company for corporate administration and consulting services for \$5,000 per month plus HST on a continuing basis.
- (d) On October 9, 2009, the Company entered into consulting agreement with BKB Management Ltd., a corporation organized under the laws of the Province of British Columbia. BKB Management Ltd. is a consulting company controlled by the chief financial officer of the Company. BKB Management provides management consulting services for CAD\$4,500 per month plus HST. Effective April 1, 2011, the consulting services are CAD\$5,500 per month plus HST.
- (e) On October 9, 2009, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with the chief technical officer of the Company for \$1,000 per month.

- (f) On February 28, 2010, the Company entered into an Asset and Share Purchase Agreement with the Company's chief technical officer - Mr. Mark Snyder to acquire up to 20% ownership interest of GSWPS. As at August 31, 2011, the Company has acquired 8.14% (August 31, 2010 6.46%) (see Note 5) with the remaining 11.86% ownership payable by issuance of 500,000 common shares of the Company and cash of \$93,000 paid on a minimum monthly basis of \$3,500.
- (g) On August 23, 2010, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with the Senior Vice-President, Business Development for \$3,125 per month. On November 17, 2010, the Company renewed the agreement into a month to month consulting agreement with the Senior Vice-President, Business Development for \$3,125.
- (h) On June 27, 2011, the Company entered into a non-exclusive 12 month agreement with a third party, IBK Capital Corp. to assist with raising capital of up to \$3million. IBK Capital Corp. will be paid a work fee of \$25,000 plus \$3,500 on out of pocket costs. IBK Capital Corp. will be paid 8.5% cash commission and 8.5% common share purchase warrants on funds raised. As at August 31, 2011, the Company has not paid any cash commission nor issued any common shares.

See Note 7.

	2011	2010
Current Tax Provision	\$ Nil	\$ Nil
Deferred Tax Recovery	\$ Nil	\$ (762,704)
Income tax (recovery)	\$ Nil	\$ (762,704)

Income taxes vary from the amount that would be computed by applying the statutory federal income tax rate of 34% for the following reasons:

	2011	2010
Income tax (recovery) expense at U.S. Federal Statutory Rate	\$ (56,237)	\$ (1,264,068)
Non-deductible items	87,039	27,266
Unrealized gain on owned securities	(213,541)	(80,950)
Changes in Valuation Allowance	182,739	555,048
Income tax expense (recovery)	\$ -	\$ (762,704)

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K/A

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to the Company's deferred tax asset (liability) are as follows:

	2011	2010
Net Operating Loss Carry forward	\$ 831,542	\$ 648,830
Long term Investment	(10,177)	3,575
Owned Securities	(92,114)	(80,950)
Valuation Allowance	(729,251)	(571,455)
Deferred Tax Assets (Liabilities)	\$ -	\$ (648,830)

For tax purpose, as of August 31, 2011 the Company has operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$2,439,680 which expire in 2025 through 2031 as follows:

	Amount	Expiration Date
2011	\$ 537,000	2031
2010	870,000	2030
2009	70,000	2029
2008	459,000	2028
2007	329,000	2027
2006	114,000	2026
2005	61,000	2025
Total loss carry forward \$	2,440,000	

14. SEGMENTED INFORMATION

The Company identifies its segments based on the way management organizes the Company to assess performance and make operating decisions regarding the allocation of resources. In accordance with the criteria in FASB ASC 280 "Segment Reporting," the Company has concluded it has two reportable segments: renewable energy, and mining exploration and developments, which are managed separately based on fundamental differences in their operations nature.

Summarized financial information concerning the Company's reportable segments is shown in the following tables:

Year ended August 31, 2011	Renewable energy	Mining exploration and development	Corporate	Consolidated
Revenues	\$ 20,512	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,512
Net income (loss) from operations	(135,147)	(169,545)	139,287	(165,405)
Total assets	\$ 298,509	\$ 196,181	\$ 678,394	\$ 1,173,084

Year ended August 31, 2010	Renewable energy	Mining exploration and development	Corporate	Consolidated
Revenues	\$ 11,607	\$ -	\$ 254.00	\$ 11,861
Net income (loss) from operations	(32,623)	-	(2,922,518)	(2,955,141)
Total assets	\$ 47,015	\$ -	\$ 672,645	\$ 719,660

The operations of the Group are located in only one geographic location, United States.

15. COMPARATIVE FIGURES

Certain 2010 comparative figures have been reclassified to conform with the financial statements presentation adopted for 2011.

16. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

- a. On October 1, 2011, the Company entered into a non-exclusive 12 month agreement with Peter Grandich to assist the Company with the development and implementation of a public and investor relations and communications program, and provide ongoing assistance to the Company regarding the development and enhancement of the Company's public and market image. Mr. Grandich will receive compensation of US\$7,500 for the first three months of his engagement and subsequently, US\$2,500 on the first day of each successive month for the term of the agreement unless previously terminated as per the agreement.
- b. The Company has entered into an Option Agreement on October 11, 2011 with Altar Resources with respect to the proposed option to purchase a 100% interest in approximately 6,220 acres of State mining leases and Federal mining claims in Arizona, USA. These leases and claims are subject to a 2.5% NSR from commercial production. The Altar holds the mining claims directly and indirectly through federal mining claims and state mineral leases. Upon execution of the Option Agreement, the Company provided a US\$15,000 payment to the Vendor and US\$41,000 in Escrow. The Company issued 100,000 common stock of the Company to Altar Resources at a deemed price of \$0.10 on October 11, 2011. In order to earn its 100% interest, the Company is required to make aggregate cash payments of US\$881,000 and issue an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of its common stock over a five year period.

We have evaluated events subsequent to August 31, 2011, through the date the financial statements were issued, and determined that no events have occurred that require adjustment of or disclosure in the consolidated financial statements, other than as disclosed above.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

There were no disagreements related to accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, internal controls or auditing scope or procedure during the two fiscal years and interim periods, including the interim period up through the date the relationship ended.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Management's Report on Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the *Securities Exchange Act of 1934*, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our president and chief executive officer (also our principal executive officer) and our chief financial officer (also our principal financial and accounting officer) to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

As of August 31, 2011, the end of our fiscal year covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our president and chief executive officer (also our principal executive officer) and our chief financial officer (also our principal financial and accounting officer), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the foregoing, our president and chief executive officer (also our principal executive officer) and our chief financial officer (also our principal financial and accounting officer) concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this annual report.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Responsibility, estimates and judgments by management are required to assess the expected benefits and related costs of control procedures. The objectives of internal control include providing management with reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that assets are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or disposition, and that transactions are executed in accordance with management's authorization and recorded properly to permit the preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of August 31, 2011. In making this assessment, our management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework*. Our management has concluded that, as of August 31, 2011, our internal control over financial reporting is effective in providing reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with US generally accepted accounting principles. Our management reviewed the results of their assessment with our Board of Directors.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by our Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit our Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Inherent limitations on effectiveness of controls

Internal control over financial reporting has inherent limitations which include but is not limited to the use of independent professionals for advice and guidance, interpretation of existing and/or changing rules and principles, segregation of management duties, scale of organization, and personnel factors. Internal control over financial reporting is a process which involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and

breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting also can be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis, however these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process and it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the year ended August 31, 2011 that have materially or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III**Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance**

All directors of our company hold office until the next annual meeting of the security holders or until their successors have been elected and qualified. The officers of our company are appointed by our board of directors and hold office until their death, resignation or removal from office. Our directors and executive officers, their ages, positions held, and duration as such, are as follows:

Name	Position Held with our Company	Age	Date First Elected Or Appointed
Robert McAllister	President and Director	51	November 2007
			April 14, 2008
Gerald Carlson	Director	62	March 2005
Donald Findlay	Director	60	June 2, 2011
Greg Dawson	Director	52	June 2, 2011
Chris Bunka	Chairman, Director and Chief Executive Officer	50	November 2004
Bal Bhullar	Chief Financial Officer	42	October 9, 2009
Mark Snyder	Chief Technical Officer	57	October 9, 2009

Business Experience

The following is a brief account of the education and business experience of each director and executive officer during at least the past five years, indicating each person's principal occupation during the period, and the name and principal business of the organization by which he was employed.

Robert McAllister, President, Director

Mr. McAllister was appointed as president in November 2007 and director in April 2008.

Mr. McAllister has devoted approximately 50% of his professional time to the business and intends to continue to devote this amount of time in the future, or more as required.

Mr. McAllister has been a corporate consultant since 2004. He has also provided and written business and investment articles from 1996 to 2006 in various North American publications. Mr. McAllister is a resource investment entrepreneur with over 20 years experience in resource sector evaluations and commodity cycle analysis.

Gerald Carlson, Director

Dr. Gerald Carlson has served as one of our directors since March 2005. Dr. Carlson had devoted some of his professional time to the business of our company and will continue on a as needed basis will provide some of time in the future by corporate events.

From March 1999 to present, Dr. Carlson has been the president and co founder of Copper Ridge Explorations Inc., a publicly listed company located in Vancouver, British Columbia and a junior explorer with exploration projects in Alaska, Yukon, British Columbia and Mexico. Copper Ridge is currently focusing on iron oxide copper-gold targets in Yukon.

From February 1999 to December 2007, Dr. Carlson had been the chairman of IMA Exploration Inc., a publicly listed junior exploration company headquartered in Vancouver, British Columbia. IMA has active exploration projects in Argentina and Peru.

From February 2000 to October 2004, Dr. Carlson was the president of Nevada Star Resource Corp., a publicly listed company located in Vancouver, British Columbia, exploring for nickel-copper-PGEs (platinum group metals) in Alaska.

Dr. Carlson received his Ph.D. through Dartmouth College in 1978, a M.Sc. from Michigan Technological University in 1974 and a B.Sc. from the University of Toronto in 1969, majoring in Geological Engineering.

Donald Findlay, Director

Don Findlay has worked in the resource exploration business since 1980. He has worked in different capacities from consultant to the positions of Senior Exploration Geologist and Exploration Manager. Mr. Findlay completed his MSc in geology in 1978 with his thesis on copper molybdenum porphyry deposits.

Greg Dawson, Director

Greg Dawson has worked in the resource exploration business for over 25 years with Teck Exploration Ltd. and more recently as President of Redtail Metals Corp a TSXV company. From 2004 to 2010 Mr. Dawson was VP of exploration of Copper Ridge Resources, and was also responsible for the evaluation of acquisition opportunities, with emphasis on world class copper gold porphyry deposits and precious metal enriched VMS deposits.

Chris Bunka, Chief Executive Officer, Chairman and Director

Mr. Chris Bunka has served as one of our directors since November 2004. Mr. Bunka has devoted approximately 25% of his professional time to the business and intends to continue to devote this amount of time in the future, or more as required.

Since 1988, Mr. Bunka has been the CEO of CAB Financial Services Ltd., a private holding company located in Kelowna, Canada. He is a venture capitalist, corporate consultant, and former business and investment commentator.

From 1999 to 2002, Mr. Bunka was the President and CEO of Secure Enterprise Solutions (symbol SETP-OTC) (formerly Newsgurus.com, symbol NGUR-OTC). The company subsequently changed its name to Edgetech Services and traded on the OTC with the symbol EDGH. Newsgurus.com was a web-based media company. Secure Enterprise

Solutions moved into Internet-based computer security products and services and was subsequently purchased by Edgetech Services. Mr Bunka is also Chairman and CEO of Lexaria Corp, (symbol LXRP-OTC and LXX-CNSX) an oil & gas exploration and production company. Mr. Bunka is a director of Defiance Capital Corp. (symbol DEF-TSXV), a Canadian resource company.

Bal Bhullar, Chief Financial Officer

Ms. Bhullar brings over 18 years of diversified financial and risk management experience in both private and public companies, in the industries of high-tech, film, mining, marine, oil & gas, energy, transport, and spa industries. Among some of the areas of experience, Ms. Bhullar brings expertise in financial & strategic planning, operational & risk management, regulatory compliance reporting, business expansion, startup operations, financial modeling, program development, corporate financing, and corporate governance/internal controls. Previously, Ms. Bhullar has held various positions as President of BC Risk Management Association of BC, and served as Director and CFO of private and public companies. Currently, Ms. Bhullar serves as a Director and CFO for Bare Elegance Medspa, a former CFO for ISEE3D Inc., and is CFO and a Director of Lexaria Corp.

Ms. Bhullar is a Certified General Accountant and as well holds a CRM designation from Simon Fraser University and a diploma in Financial Management from British Columbia Institute of Technology.

Mark Snyder, Chief Technical Officer

In 1985 Mark Snyder developed one of California's first large scale bio energy conversion projects where a significant client was enabled to produce electric power from agricultural waste and heat 20 acres of greenhouses for agriculture. Mark was selected by the Clinton Administration to serve on a White House Council addressing the needs of our National Electrical Infrastructure in anticipation of the Y2K Conversion.

Co-founded *Clean Air USA* and has partnered with Willie Nelson Biodiesel to bring clean alternative fuels to California. Mark helped champion Solar Rights in California. Using the appeal of the Green Ribbon Home and California's Solar Rights Law, Mark has had success in changing the electric metering in parks to net metering, which has opened up the solar power market to millions of Californians that currently reside in master metered dwellings.

Family Relationships

There are no family relationships between any of our directors, executive officers and proposed directors or executive officers.

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

None of our directors, executive officers, promoters or control persons has been involved in any of the following events during the past five years:

1. A petition under the Federal bankruptcy laws or any state insolvency law was filed by or against, or a receiver, fiscal agent or similar officer was appointed by a court for the business or property of such person, or any partnership in which he was a general partner at or within two years before the time of such filing, or any corporation or business association of which he was an executive officer at or within two years before the time of such filing;
2. Such person was convicted in a criminal proceeding or is a named subject of a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offenses);

3. Such person was the subject of any order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction, permanently or temporarily enjoining him from, or otherwise limiting, the following activities:

- i. Acting as a futures commission merchant, introducing broker, commodity trading advisor, commodity pool operator, floor broker, leverage transaction merchant, any other person regulated by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, or an associated person of any of the foregoing, or as an investment adviser, underwriter, broker or dealer in securities, or as an affiliated person, director or employee of any investment company, bank, savings and loan association or insurance company, or engaging in or continuing any conduct or practice in connection with such activity
- ii. Engaging in any type of business practice; or
- iii. Engaging in any activity in connection with the purchase or sale of any security or commodity or in connection with any violation of Federal or State securities laws or Federal commodities laws;

4. Such person was the subject of any order, judgment or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any Federal or State authority barring, suspending or otherwise limiting for more than 60 days the right of such person to engage in any activity described in paragraph (f)(3)(i) of this section, or to be associated with persons engaged in any such activity;

5. Such person was found by a court of competent jurisdiction in a civil action or by the Commission to have violated any Federal or State securities law, and the judgment in such civil action or finding by the Commission has not been subsequently reversed, suspended, or vacated;

6. Such person was found by a court of competent jurisdiction in a civil action or by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated any Federal commodities law, and the judgment in such civil action or finding by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission has not been subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated;

7. Such person was the subject of, or a party to, any Federal or State judicial or administrative order, judgment, decree, or finding, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, relating to an alleged violation of:

- i. Any Federal or State securities or commodities law or regulation; or
- ii. Any law or regulation respecting financial institutions or insurance companies including, but not limited to, a temporary or permanent injunction, order of disgorgement or restitution, civil money penalty or temporary or permanent cease-and-desist order, or removal or prohibition order; or
- iii. Any law or regulation prohibiting mail or wire fraud or fraud in connection with any business entity; or

8. Such person was the subject of, or a party to, any sanction or order, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any self-regulatory organization (as defined in Section 3(a)(26) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78c(a)(26))), any registered entity (as defined in Section 1(a)(29) of the Commodity Exchange Act (7 U.S.C. 1(a)(29))), or any equivalent exchange, association, entity or organization that has disciplinary authority over its members or persons associated with a member.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our executive officers and directors and persons who own more than 10% of our common stock to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission initial statements of beneficial ownership, reports of changes in ownership and annual reports concerning their ownership of our common stock and other equity securities, on Forms 3, 4 and 5 respectively. Executive officers, directors and greater than 10% shareholders are required by the SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) reports that they file.

Based solely on our review of the copies of such forms received by us, or written representations from certain reporting persons, we believe that during fiscal year ended August 31, 2011, all filing requirements applicable to our officers, directors and greater than 10% percent beneficial owners were complied with, with the exception of the following:

Name	Number of Late Reports	Number of Transactions Not Reported on a Timely Basis	Failure to File Requested Forms
Robert McAllister	1	1	Nil

Code of Ethics

We adopted a Code of Ethics applicable to our senior financial officers and certain other finance executives, which is a "code of ethics" as defined by applicable rules of the SEC. Our Code of Ethics is attached as an exhibit to our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed on November 29, 2007. If we make any amendments to our Code of Ethics other than technical, administrative, or other non-substantive amendments, or grant any waivers, including implicit waivers, from a provision of our Code of Ethics to our chief executive officer, chief financial officer, or certain other finance executives, we will disclose the nature of the amendment or waiver, its effective date and to whom it applies in a Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC.

Board and Committee Meetings

Our board of directors held no formal meetings during the year ended August 31, 2011. All proceedings of the board of directors were conducted by resolutions consented to in writing by all the directors and filed with the minutes of the proceedings of the directors. Such resolutions consented to in writing by the directors entitled to vote on that resolution at a meeting of the directors are, according to the Nevada General Corporate Law and our Bylaws, as valid and effective as if they had been passed at a meeting of the directors duly called and held.

Nomination Process

As of August 31, 2011, we did not effect any material changes to the procedures by which our shareholders may recommend nominees to our board of directors. Our board of directors does not have a policy with regards to the consideration of any director candidates recommended by our shareholders. Our board of directors has determined that it is in the best position to evaluate our Company's requirements as well as the qualifications of each candidate when the board considers a nominee for a position on our board of directors. If shareholders wish to recommend candidates directly to our board, they may do so by sending communications to the president of our Company at the address on the cover of this annual report.

Audit Committee and Audit Committee Financial Expert

Currently our audit committee consists of our entire board of directors. We currently do not have nominating, compensation committees or committees performing similar functions. There has not been any defined policy or procedure requirements for shareholders to submit recommendations or nomination for directors.

Our board of directors has determined that it does not have a member of its board of directors (audit committee) that qualifies as an "audit committee financial expert" as defined in Item 407(d)(5)(ii) of Regulation S-K, and is "independent" as the term is used in Item 7(d)(3)(iv) of Schedule 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

We believe that the members of our board of directors are collectively capable of analyzing and evaluating our financial statements and understanding internal controls and procedures for financial reporting. We believe that retaining an independent director who would qualify as an "audit committee financial expert" would be overly costly and burdensome and is not warranted in our circumstances given the early stages of our development and the fact that we have not generated any material revenues to date. In addition, we currently do not have nominating, compensation or audit committees or committees performing similar functions nor do we have a written nominating, compensation or audit committee charter. Our board of directors does not believe that it is necessary to have such committees because it believes the functions of such committees can be adequately performed by our board of directors.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The particulars of the compensation paid to the following persons:

- (a) our principal executive officer;
- (b) each of our two most highly compensated executive officers who were serving as executive officers at the end of the years ended August 31, 2011 and 2010; and
- (c) up to two additional individuals for whom disclosure would have been provided under (b) but for the fact that the individual was not serving as our executive officer at the end of the years ended August 31, 2011 and 2010,

who we will collectively refer to as the named executive officers of our Company, are set out in the following summary compensation table, except that no disclosure is provided for any named executive officer, other than our principal executive officers, whose total compensation did not exceed \$100,000 for the respective fiscal year:

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE									
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (#)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensa- tion (\$)	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensa- tion (\$)	Total (\$)
Robert McAllister ⁽¹⁾ <i>President and Director</i>	2011	\$60,000	Nil	Nil	255,000	Nil	Nil	Nil	\$60,000
	2010	\$62,900	Nil	Nil	300,000	Nil	Nil	Nil	\$62,900
Gerald Carlson (2) <i>Director and Former President</i>	2011	Nil	Nil	Nil	100,000	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
	2010	Nil	Nil	Nil	150,000	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Donald Findlay <i>Director</i>	2011	Nil	Nil	Nil	200,000	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
	2010	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Greg Dawson <i>Director</i>	2011	Nil	Nil	Nil	250,000	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
	2010	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE								
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (#)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	C
Chris Bunka <i>Chief Executive Officer, Director and Former Chief Financial Officer</i>	2011	\$60,000 ⁽³⁾	Nil	Nil	255,000	Nil	Nil	
	2010	\$60,000 ⁽³⁾	Nil	Nil	300,000	Nil	Nil	
Bal Bhullar <i>Chief Financial Officer</i>	2011	\$59,749	Nil	Nil	300,000	Nil	Nil	
	2010	\$43,916	Nil	Nil	200,000	Nil	Nil	
Mark Snyder <i>Chief Technical Officer</i>	2011	\$12,000	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
	2010	\$11,000	Nil	Nil	200,000	Nil	Nil	

(1) On November 30, 2007, Mr. McAllister was appointed as our President and on April 14, 2008 he was appointed as a director.

(2) On November 30, 2007, Mr. Carlson resigned as our President but remained a director of our company.

(3) Salary compensation for Chris Bunka is accrued fees.

Employment/Consulting Agreements

We entered into a consulting agreement with Dr. Gerald G. Carlson's company, KGE Management Ltd. from March 1, 2005 to November 30, 2007. During the term of this agreement, Dr. Carlson, provided geological and corporate administration consulting services to our company, such duties and responsibilities included the provision of geological consulting services, strategic corporate and financial planning, management of the overall business operations of our company, and the supervision of office staff and exploration and mining consultants. Dr. Carlson, through KGE Management Ltd., was reimbursed at the rate of \$2,000 per month. This agreement was terminated on November 30, 2007, but Dr. Carlson does remain on the Board as a Director.

We entered into a consulting agreement with Mr. Robert McAllister on December 1, 2007. During the term of this agreement, Mr. McAllister is to provide corporate administration and oil & gas exploration and production consulting services, such duties and responsibilities to include provision of oil and gas industry consulting services, strategic corporate and financial planning, management of the overall business operations of our company, and supervising office staff and exploration and oil & gas consultants. Mr. McAllister is reimbursed at the rate of \$2,000 per month. On December 1, 2008, the consulting fee was increased to \$5,000 per month. We may terminate this agreement without prior notice based on a number of conditions. Mr. McAllister may terminate the agreement at any time by giving 30 days written notice of his intention to do so.

On March 2, 2008, we entered into a controller agreement with CAB Financial Services, a corporation organized under the laws of the Province of British Columbia. CAB Financial Services is a consulting company controlled by the chairman of the board and chief executive officer of the Company. Pursuant to the controller agreement, CAB Financial Services will provide corporate accounting and controller services to our company in consideration for the payment of CAD\$3,675 (including \$175 GST) per month. This agreement was terminated on October 9, 2009.

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K/A

On December 1, 2008, we entered into a consulting agreement with CAB Financial Services, a corporation organized under the laws of the Province of British Columbia. CAB Financial Services is a consulting company controlled by the chairman of the board and the chief executive officer of our company. A fee of \$5,000 per month is accrued. We may terminate this agreement without prior notice based on a number of conditions. CAB Financial Services Ltd. may terminate the agreement at any time by giving 30 days written notice of his intention to do so.

On October 9, 2009, we entered into a consulting agreement with BKB Management Ltd, a corporation organized under the laws of the Province of British Columbia. BKB Management controlled by the chief financial officer of the Company. A fee of CAD\$4,675 including HST is paid per month. We may terminate this agreement without prior notice based on a number of conditions. BKB Management Ltd. may terminate the agreement at any time by giving 30 days written notice of his intention to do so. Effective April 1, 2011, the consulting services are CAD\$5,500 per month plus HST.

On October 9, 2009, we entered into a consulting agreement with Mark Snyder as the Chief Technical Officer. A fee of \$1,000 paid per month.

On August 23, 2010, we entered into a consulting agreement with the Senior Vice-President, Business Development for \$3,125 per month.

Other than as set out in this annual report on Form 10-K we have not entered into any employment or consulting agreements with any of our current officers, directors or employees.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

On October 22, 2009, we granted 500,000 stock options to directors and officers of our company with the exercise price of \$0.10 per share, expiring over 5 years.

On December 30, 2009, we granted 650,000 stock options to directors and officers of our company with the exercise price of \$0.10 per share, expiring over 5 years. On March 5, 2010, we granted 100,000 stock options to a consultant of our company with the exercise price of \$0.20, which expire on March 5, 2015.

On August 23, 2010, we granted 150,000 stock options to an executive of our company with the exercise price of \$0.20, which expire on August 23, 2015.

On November 9, 2010, we granted 100,000 stock options to an advisor of our company exercisable at \$0.20 per share, which expire on November 9, 2015.

On November 15, 2010, cancelled 100,000 options pursuant to the termination of a consultant.

On February 14, 2011 we granted 1,010,000 stock options to directors, officers, and consultants of our company with the exercise price of \$0.15, which expire on February 14, 2016.

On March 10, 2011, we granted 150,000 stock options to a director of our company with an exercise price of \$0.15, which expire on March 10, 2016.

On March 16, 2011, we granted 150,000 stock options to an advisor of our company with an exercise price of \$0.18, which expire on March 16, 2016.

On June 2, 2011, we granted 300,000 stock options to directors of our company with an exercise price of \$0.15, which expire on June 2, 2016.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End

The particulars of unexercised options, stock that has not vested and equity incentive plan awards for our named executive officers are set out in the following table:

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END

Name (a)	OPTION AWARDS				STOCK AWARDS				
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable (b)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable (c)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) (d)	Option Exercise Price (\$) (e)	Option Expiration Date (f)	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (g)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$ (h)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#) (i)	
Robert McAllister	150,000			\$0.20 ⁽¹⁾	2012/12/14				
	100,000			\$0.10	2014/10/22				
	200,000			\$0.10	2014/12/30				
	255,000			\$0.15	2016/02/14				
Gerald Carlson	50,000			\$0.20 ⁽¹⁾	2012/12/14				
	100,000			\$0.10	2014/10/22				
	50,000			\$0.10	2014/12/30				
	100,000			\$0.15	2016/02/14				
Donald Findlay	150,000			\$0.15	2016/03/10				
	50,000			\$0.25	2016/06/02				
Greg Dawson	250,000	150,000		\$0.25	2016/06/02				
Chris Bunka	150,000			\$0.20 ⁽¹⁾	2012/12/14				
	100,000			\$0.10	2014/10/22				
	200,000			\$0.10	2014/12/30				
	255,000			\$0.15	2016/02/14				
Bal Bhullar	100,000			\$0.10	2014/10/22				
	100,000			\$0.10	2014/12/30				
	300,000			\$0.15	2016/02/14				
Mark Snyder	100,000			\$0.10	2014/10/22				
	100,000			\$0.10	2014/12/30				

(1) On October 22, 2009, the exercise price was changed from \$0.70 to \$0.20.

Option Exercises

During our fiscal year ended August 31, 2011 there were no options exercised by our named officers.

Compensation of Directors

Except as otherwise disclosed, we do not have any agreements for compensating our directors for their services in their capacity as directors, although such directors are expected in the future to receive stock options to purchase shares of our common stock as awarded by our board of directors.

Pension, Retirement or Similar Benefit Plans

There are no arrangements or plans in which we provide pension, retirement or similar benefits for directors or executive officers. We have no material bonus or profit sharing plans pursuant to which cash or non-cash compensation is or may be paid to our directors or executive officers, except that stock options may be granted at the discretion of the board of directors or a committee thereof.

Indebtedness of Directors, Senior Officers, Executive Officers and Other Management

None of our directors or executive officers or any associate or affiliate of our Company during the last two fiscal years is or has been indebted to our Company by way of guarantee, support agreement, letter of credit or other similar agreement or understanding currently outstanding.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The following table sets forth, as of November 25, 2011, certain information with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common shares by each shareholder known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of our common shares, as well as by each of our current directors and executive officers as a group. Each person has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares of common stock, except as otherwise indicated. Beneficial ownership consists of a direct interest in the shares of common stock, except as otherwise indicated.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percentage of Class
Gerald Carlson Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada	642,740 ⁽¹⁾	1.7%
Chris Bunka Kelowna, British Columbia, Canada	4,407,666 ⁽²⁾	11.6%
Robert McAllister Kelowna, British Columbia, Canada	3,330,000 ⁽³⁾	8.76%
Bal Bhullar Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada	502,000 ⁽⁴⁾	1.3%
Mark Snyder California, USA	700,000 ⁽⁵⁾	1.8%
Donald Findlay Calgary, Alberta, Canada	200,000 ⁽⁶⁾	0.5%
Greg Dawson Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada	250,000 ⁽⁷⁾	0.7%
Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (5 people) ⁽⁸⁾		

(1) Includes 50,000, 150,000, and 100,000 options which are exercisable at \$0.20, \$0.10 and \$0.15.

(2) Includes 2,334,333 shares and 333,333 warrants exercisable at \$0.30 held in the name of C.A.B. Financial Services and 200,000 shares held in 0743608 BC Ltd for which Chris Bunka is the sole beneficiary. Includes 150,000, 300,000, and 255,000 options which are exercisable at \$0.20, \$0.10, and \$0.15.

- (3) Includes 150,000, 300,000, and 255,000 options which are exercisable at \$0.20, \$0.10, and \$0.15.
- (4) Includes 1,000 warrants exercisable at \$0.30 and 200,000 and 300,000 options which are exercisable at \$0.10 and \$0.15.
- (5) Includes 200,000 options which are exercisable at \$0.10 and 500,000 shares in the name of GSWPS for which Mark Snyder is the majority shareholder.
- (6) Includes 150,000 and 50,000 options which are exercisable at \$0.15 and \$0.25.
- (7) Includes 250,000 options which are exercisable at \$0.25.
- (8) Under Rule 13d-3, a beneficial owner of a security includes any person who, directly or indirectly, through any contract, arrangement, understanding, relationship, or otherwise has or shares: (i) voting power, which includes the power to vote, or to direct the voting of shares; and (ii) investment power, which includes the power to dispose or direct the disposition of shares. Certain shares may be deemed to be beneficially owned by more than one person (if, for example, persons share the power to vote or the power to dispose of the shares). In addition, shares are deemed to be beneficially owned by a person if the person has the right to acquire the shares (for example, upon exercise of an option) within 60 days of the date as of which the information is provided. In computing the percentage ownership of any person, the amount of shares outstanding is deemed to include the amount of shares beneficially owned by such person (and only such person) by reason of these acquisition rights. As a result, the percentage of outstanding shares of any person as shown in this table does not necessarily reflect the person's actual ownership or voting power with respect to the number of shares of common stock actually outstanding on October 22, 2011. As of October 18, 2011, there were 24,743,865 shares of our company's common stock issued and outstanding.

Changes in Control

We are unaware of any contract or other arrangement the operation of which may at a subsequent date result in a change in control of our company.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Except as disclosed herein, no director, executive officer, shareholder holding at least 5% of shares of our common stock, or any family member thereof, had any material interest, direct or indirect, in any transaction, or proposed transaction since the year ended August 31, 2011, in which the amount involved in the transaction exceeded or exceeds the lesser of \$120,000 or one percent of the average of our total assets at the year end for the last two completed fiscal years.

For the year ended August 31, 2011, our company was party to the following related party transactions:

- Paid / accrued \$60,000 (August 31, 2010: \$62,900) to the President of our company in consulting fees.
- Paid / accrued \$60,000 (August 31, 2010: \$66,717) and \$nil (August 31, 2010: \$5,911); of consulting fees and office rent, respectively, to a company controlled by a Director/CEO of our company.
- Paid \$59,643 (August 31, 2010: \$43,916) in consulting fees to a company controlled by the CFO of our company.
- Paid \$12,000 (August 31, 2010: \$11,000) in consulting fee to an Officer of our company.
- Paid / accrued \$42,000 (August 31, 2010: \$8,815 in cost of renewal energy service to an executive officer of our company and a company controlled by the executive officer.
- Paid / accrued \$37,500 (August 31, 2010: \$Nil) in consulting fee to the Senior VP, Business Development.
- Director fee of total \$6,023 paid to three directors of the company as of August 31, 2011.
- On April 27, 2011, the Company entered into a debt settlement agreement with the President of the Company regarding due to related parties in the amount of \$46,000, whereby \$25,000 was settled by issuing common shares of 100,000, and \$21,000 was forgiven for Nil consideration. In connection with the debt settlement, the Company recorded \$100 in share capital and \$45,900 in additional paid in capital for the gain on the settlement of the debt.

Edgar Filing: Enertopia Corp. - Form 10-K/A

- On April 27, 2011, our company issued 360,000 common shares to CAB Financial Services Ltd. in connection with a debt settlement for promissory note of \$90,000 (balance immediately before settlement was \$80,357) at a price of \$0.15 per common share. In connection with the settlement of the amounts due to related parties, we recorded \$26,357 in additional paid in capital for the gain on settlement of debt.
- On March 16, 2011, our company entered into a debt settlement agreement with an officer of our company, whereby our company issued 78,125 common stock in connection with the settlement of debt of \$12,500 at a price of \$0.15 per share pursuant to a consulting agreement. Our company recorded \$781 in additional paid in capital for the gain on the settlement of the debt.

Director Independence

We currently act with five (5) directors, consisting of Christopher Bunka, Robert McAllister, Gerald Carlson, Donald Findlay and Greg Dawson. We have determined that Greg Dawson, Donald Findlay, and Gerald Carlson are an independent director as defined in NASDAQ Marketplace Rule 4200(a)(15).

Currently our audit committee consists of three board of directors. We currently do not have nominating, compensation committees or committees performing similar functions. There has not been any defined policy or procedure requirements for shareholders to submit recommendations or nomination for directors.

Our board of directors has determined that it does not have a member of its audit committee who qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as defined in as defined in Item 407(d)(5)(ii) of Regulation S-K.

From inception to present date, we believe that the members of our audit committee and the board of directors have been and are collectively capable of analyzing and evaluating our financial statements and understanding internal controls and procedures for financial reporting.

We do not have a standing compensation or nominating committee, but our entire board of directors act in such capacity. We believe that our directors are capable of analyzing and evaluating our financial statements and understanding internal controls and procedures for financial reporting. Our directors do not believe that it is necessary to have an audit committee because we believe that the functions of an audit committee can be adequately performed by the board of directors. In addition, we believe that retaining additional independent directors who would qualify as an audit committee financial expert would be overly costly and burdensome and is not warranted in our circumstances given the early stages of our development.

Item Principal Accounting Fees and Services**14.**

The aggregate fees billed for the most recently completed fiscal year ended August 31, 2011 and for fiscal year ended August 31, 2010 for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for the audit of our annual financial statements and review of the financial statements included in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and services that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for these fiscal periods were as follows:

	Year Ended	
	August 31, 2011	August 31, 2010
Audit Fees	20,729	19,000
Audit Related Fees	9,295	19,481
Tax Fees	Nil	Nil
All Other Fees	Nil	Nil
Total	30,024	38,481

Audit Fees. Audit fees consist of fees billed for professional services rendered for the audits of our financial statements, reviews of our interim financial statements included in quarterly reports, services performed in connection with filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission and related comfort letters and other services that are normally provided by MNP LLP for fiscal year ended August 31, 2011 and Chang Lee LLP for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2010 in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.

Audit related Fees. There were \$20,729 audit related fees paid to Chang Lee LLP for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2011 and \$19,000 for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2010.

Tax Fees. Tax fees consist of fees billed for professional services for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning. These services include assistance regarding federal, state and local tax compliance and consultation in connection with various transactions and acquisitions. For the fiscal years ended August 31, 2011 and August 31, 2010, we did not use MNP LLP for non-audit professional services or preparation of corporate tax returns.

We do not use MNP LLP, for financial information system design and implementation. These services, which include designing or implementing a system that aggregates source data underlying the financial statements or generates information that is significant to our financial statements, are provided internally or by other service providers. We do not engage MNP LLP to provide compliance outsourcing services.

Effective May 6, 2003, the Securities and Exchange Commission adopted rules that require that before our independent auditors are engaged by us to render any auditing or permitted non-audit related service, the engagement be:

- approved by our audit committee (which consists of our entire board of directors); or
- entered into pursuant to pre-approval policies and procedures established by the board of directors, provided the policies and procedures are detailed as to the particular service, the board of directors is informed of each service, and such policies and procedures do not include delegation of the board of directors' responsibilities to management.

Our board of directors pre-approves all services provided by our independent auditors. All of the above services and fees were reviewed and approved by the board of directors either before or after the respective services were rendered.

Our board of directors has considered the nature and amount of fees billed by our independent auditors and believes that the provision of services for activities unrelated to the audit is compatible with maintaining our independent auditors' independence.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a) Financial Statements

- (1) Financial statements for our Company are listed in the index under Item 8 of this document
- (2) All financial statement schedules are omitted because they are not applicable, not material or the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

Exhibit

No.	Description
3.1	Articles of Incorporation dated November 24, 2004 (incorporated by reference on our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed January 9, 2006)
3.2	Bylaws (incorporated by reference on our Registration Statement on Form SB-2/A filed March 6, 2006)
10.1	Mining Lease between Nevada North Resources (U.S.A.), Inc. and Miranda U.S.A. Inc. (incorporated by reference on our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed January 9, 2006)
10.2	Exploration Agreement with Options for Joint Venture between our company and Miranda U.S.A. Inc. (incorporated by reference on our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed January 9, 2006)
10.3	Amended Exploration Agreement between our company and Miranda U.S.A. Inc. (incorporated by reference on our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed January 9, 2006)
10.4	Consulting Agreement between our company and KGE Management Ltd. (incorporated by reference on our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed January 9, 2006)
10.5	Assignment Agreement with 0743608 B.C. Ltd. (incorporated by reference on our Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 19, 2007)
10.6	Consulting Agreement with Mr. Robert McAllister dated December 1, 2008
14.1	Code of Ethics (incorporated by reference by from our annual report on Form 10-KSB filed on November 29, 2007).
<u>23.1*</u>	<u>Consent of MNP LLP</u>
<u>23.2*</u>	<u>Consent of Chang Lee LLP</u>
<u>31.1*</u>	<u>Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14 and Rule 15d-14(a), promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Chief Executive Officer).</u>
<u>31.2*</u>	<u>Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14 and Rule 15d-14(a), promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Chief Financial Officer).</u>
<u>32.1*</u>	<u>Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Executive Officer).</u>
<u>32.2*</u>	<u>Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Chief Financial Officer).</u>

* *Filed herewith.*

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ENERTOPIA CORP.

By: /s/ Robert McAllister
Robert McAllister
President and Director
Principal Executive Officer
Date: March 14, 2012.

By: /s/ Bal Bhullar
Bal Bhullar
Chief Financial Officer
Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting
Officer
Date: March 14, 2012.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Robert McAllister
Robert McAllister
President and Director
Principal Executive Officer
Date: March 14, 2012.

By: /s/ Bal Bhullar
Bal Bhullar
Chief Financial Officer
Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting
Officer
Date: March 14, 2012.

By: /s/ Chris Bunka
Chris Bunka
Chairman, Director and Chief Executive Officer
Date: March 14, 2012.