

AMBARELLA INC
Form 10-Q
December 12, 2013
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

☒ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended October 31, 2013

OR

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 001-35667

AMBARELLA, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Cayman Islands
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

98-0459628
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

3101 Jay Street

Santa Clara, California
(Address of principal executive offices)
(408) 734-8888

95054
(Zip Code)

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☐

Non-accelerated filer ☒ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

The number of ordinary shares, \$0.00045 par value, of the Registrant, outstanding as of October 31, 2013 was 28,212,643 shares.

Table of Contents

AMBARELLA, INC.
QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	3
Item 1.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	3
	<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at October 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013</u>	3
	<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012</u>	4
	<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012</u>	5
	<u>Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	17
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	25
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	26
	<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	26
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	26
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	26
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	47
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	47
	<u>Signatures</u>	48

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. Financial Statements****AMBARELLA, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(in thousands, except share and per share data)****(unaudited)**

	October 31, 2013	January 31, 2013
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash	\$ 128,051	\$ 100,494
Accounts receivable, net	25,449	20,153
Inventories	13,010	8,918
Restricted cash	3	3
Deferred tax assets, current	1,073	1,220
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,998	2,360
Total current assets	169,584	133,148
Property and equipment, net	3,025	2,536
Deferred tax assets, non-current	1,105	938
Other assets	1,970	1,981
Total assets	\$ 175,684	\$ 138,603

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 14,139	\$ 7,051
Accrued liabilities	12,066	14,042
Income taxes payable	77	286
Deferred revenue, current	3,552	3,451
Total current liabilities	29,834	24,830
Other long-term liabilities	1,427	1,441
Total liabilities	31,261	26,271

Commitments and contingencies (Note 10)

Shareholders' equity:

Preference shares, \$0.00045 par value per share, 20,000,000 shares authorized and no shares issued and outstanding at October 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013, respectively

Ordinary shares, \$0.00045 par value per share, 200,000,000 shares authorized at October 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013, respectively; 28,212,643 shares issued and outstanding at October 31, 2013; 27,035,074 shares issued and outstanding at

January 31, 2013	13	12
Additional paid-in capital	103,880	91,911
Retained earnings	40,530	20,409
Total shareholders' equity	144,423	112,332

Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 175,684	\$ 138,603
--	------------	------------

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**AMBARELLA, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(in thousands, except share and per share data)****(unaudited)**

	Three Months Ended October 31,		Nine Months Ended October 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Revenue	\$ 45,990	\$ 35,669	\$ 117,641	\$ 89,548
Cost of revenue	16,689	12,679	43,356	28,821
Gross profit	29,301	22,990	74,285	60,727
Operating expenses				
Research and development	13,476	10,802	35,767	31,631
Selling, general and administrative	5,761	4,603	16,303	12,812
Total operating expenses	19,237	15,405	52,070	44,443
Income from operations	10,064	7,585	22,215	16,284
Other income (loss), net	(12)	137	(28)	139
Income before income taxes	10,052	7,722	22,187	16,423
Provision for income taxes	926	1,005	2,066	1,878
Net income	\$ 9,126	\$ 6,717	\$ 20,121	\$ 14,545
Net income per share attributable to ordinary shareholders:				
Basic	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.55
Diluted	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.51
Weighted-average shares used to compute net income per share attributable to ordinary shareholders:				
Basic	27,836,086	12,068,819	27,432,301	9,049,864
Diluted	30,355,230	13,415,091	29,754,837	10,506,293

Edgar Filing: AMBARELLA INC - Form 10-Q

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**AMBARELLA, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(unaudited, in thousands)**

	Nine Months Ended October 31,	
	2013	2012
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 20,121	\$ 14,545
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation of property and equipment	789	545
Loss on disposal of long-lived assets	4	2
Amortization of other intangible assets		270
Stock-based compensation	5,660	3,441
Net excess income tax benefits associated with stock-based compensation	(513)	
Change in value of warrants		(149)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(5,296)	(8,758)
Inventories	(4,092)	(2,355)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	362	(290)
Deferred tax assets	(20)	(472)
Other assets	9	17
Accounts payable	7,088	2,435
Accrued liabilities	(1,588)	4,026
Income taxes payable	304	585
Deferred revenue	101	(4,790)
Net cash provided by operating activities	22,929	9,052
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Restricted Cash		247
Net proceeds from disposal of fixed assets	13	
Purchase of property and equipment	(1,384)	(997)
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,371)	(750)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from exercise of stock options, warrants, and employee stock purchase plan withholding, net of repurchase of stock options	5,511	933
Payment for initial public offering cost	(25)	(727)
Proceeds from initial public offering, net of underwriting discounts and commissions		27,368
Net excess income tax benefits associated with stock-based compensation	513	

Net cash provided by financing activities	5,999	27,574
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	27,557	35,876
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	100,494	58,944
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 128,051	\$ 94,820
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 1,394	\$ 1,897
Supplemental disclosure of noncash investing activities:		
Increase in accrued liabilities related to non-monetary assets purchases	\$ 33	\$ 147
Supplemental disclosure of noncash financing activities:		
Increase in accrued liabilities related to non-monetary financing activities	\$	\$ 1,245
Conversion of convertible preference shares to ordinary shares	\$	\$ 50,900
Conversion of convertible preference share warrants to ordinary share warrants	\$	\$ 110

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

AMBARELLA, INC.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

1. Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organization

Ambarella, Inc. (the Company) was incorporated in the Cayman Islands on January 15, 2004. The Company is a developer of semiconductor processing solutions for video that enable high-definition video capture, sharing and display. The Company combines its processor design capabilities with its expertise in video and image processing algorithms and software to provide a technology platform that is designed to be easily scalable across multiple applications and enable rapid and efficient product development. The Company's system-on-a-chip, or SoC, designs fully integrate high-definition video processing, image processing, audio processing and system functions onto a single chip, delivering exceptional video and image quality, differentiated functionality and low power consumption.

The Company sells its solutions to leading original design manufacturers, or ODMs, and original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, globally.

Basis of presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared by the Company in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and, therefore, do not include all information and notes normally provided in audited financial statements. The accounting policies are described in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the 2013 fiscal year filed with the SEC on April 4, 2013 (the Form 10-K) and updated, as necessary, in this Form 10-Q. The year-end condensed consolidated balance sheet data presented for comparative purposes was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (U.S. GAAP). In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals and adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of, nor comparable to, the results of operations for any other interim period or for a full fiscal year. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes contained in the Form 10-K.

Basis of Consolidation

The Company's fiscal year ends on January 31. The condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries have been prepared in conformity with U.S. GAAP. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated upon consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and

expense during the reported periods. Such estimates include (i) the collectability of accounts receivable; (ii) write down for excess and obsolete inventories; (iii) the estimated useful lives of long-lived assets; (iv) impairment of long-lived assets; (v) warranty obligations; (vi) the valuation of stock-based compensation and equity instruments; (vii) the probability of performance objectives achievement; (viii) the realization of tax assets and estimates of tax liabilities, including reserves for uncertain tax positions; and (ix) the recognition and disclosure of contingent liabilities. These estimates and assumptions are based on historical experience and on various other factors which the Company believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Concentration of Risk

The Company's products are manufactured, assembled and tested by third-party contractors located primarily in Asia. The Company does not have long-term agreements with these contractors. A significant disruption in the operations of one or more of these contractors would impact the production of the Company's products which could have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition and results of operations.

A substantial portion of the Company's revenue is derived from sales through its logistics provider, Wintech Microelectronics Co., Ltd., or Wintech, which serves as the Company's non-exclusive sales representative in all of Asia other than Japan, and through one large direct ODM customer, Chicony Electronics Co., Ltd., or Chicony. Termination of the relationships with these two customers could result in a temporary or permanent loss of revenue and obligation to repurchase unsold product. Furthermore, any credit issues from these two customers could impair their abilities to make timely payment to the Company. See Note 11 for additional information regarding concentration with these two customers.

Table of Contents

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash and accounts receivable. The Company places its cash primarily in checking and money market accounts with reputable financial institutions. Deposits held with these financial institutions may exceed the amount of insurance provided on such deposits. The Company has not experienced any material losses on deposits of its cash. The Company does not hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes.

Inventories

The Company records inventories at the lower of cost or market. The cost includes materials and other production costs and is computed using standard cost on a first-in, first-out basis. Inventory reserves are recorded for estimated obsolescence or unmarketable inventories based on forecast of future demand and market conditions. If actual market conditions are less favorable than projected, or if future demand for the Company's products decrease, additional inventory write-downs may be required. Once inventory is written down, a new accounting basis has been established and, accordingly, any associated reserve is not reversed until the inventory is sold or scrapped. The Company has not recognized any material inventory loss to date.

Internal-Use Software

The Company capitalizes certain software that is acquired and developed solely for internal use. The capitalization costs include fees for services provided to develop software during the application development stage, costs incurred to obtain software, travel expenses incurred and payroll related costs for employees who are directly associated with and who directly devote time to the project. The capitalization begins when the preliminary project stage is completed and ceases no later than the point at which the project is substantially complete and ready for its intended use after all substantial testing is completed. The internal-use software is amortized over its estimated useful life. Repairs and maintenance are charged to expense as incurred. As of October 31, 2013, the Company has capitalized approximately \$1.1 million of internal-use software and has been recorded in property and equipment, net on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Revenue Recognition

The Company generates revenue from the sale of its SoCs to OEMs or ODMs, either directly or through logistics providers. Revenue from sales directly to OEMs and ODMs is recognized upon shipment provided persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, legal title to the products and risk of ownership have transferred, the fee is fixed or determinable, and collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured. The Company provides its logistics providers with the right to return excess levels of inventory and to future price adjustments. Given the inability to reasonably estimate these price changes and returns, revenue and costs related to shipments to logistics providers are deferred until the Company has received notification from its logistics providers that they have sold the Company's products. Information reported by the Company's logistics providers includes product resale price, quantity and end customer shipment information as well as remaining inventory on hand. At the time of shipment to a logistics provider, the Company records a trade receivable as there is a legally enforceable right to receive payment, reduces inventory for the value of goods shipped as legal title has passed to the logistics provider and defers the related margin as deferred revenue in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. Any price adjustments are recorded as a change to deferred revenue at the time the adjustments are agreed upon.

Arrangements with certain OEM customers provide for pricing that is dependent upon the end products into which the Company's SoCs are used. These arrangements may also entitle the Company to a share of the product margin ultimately realized by the OEM. The minimum guaranteed amount of revenue related to the sale of products subject to these arrangements is recognized when all other elements of revenue recognition are met. Any amounts at the date of

shipment invoiced in excess of the minimum guaranteed contract price are deferred until the additional amounts the Company is entitled to are fixed or determinable. Additional amounts earned by the Company resulting from margin sharing arrangements and determination of the end products into which the products are ultimately incorporated are recognized when end customer sales volume is reported to the Company.

The Company also sells a limited amount of software under perpetual licenses that include post-contract customer support, or PCS. The Company does not have evidence of fair value for the PCS and, accordingly, license revenue is recognized ratably over the estimated supporting period in accordance with ASC 985, Software Revenue Recognition. The revenue from those licenses was not material for the nine months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue includes cost of materials, cost associated with packaging and assembly, testing and shipping, cost of personnel, stock-based compensation, logistics and quality assurance, warranty cost, royalty expense, write-downs of inventories and allocation of overhead.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes

The Company records income taxes using the asset and liability method, which requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in its financial statements or tax returns. In estimating future tax consequences, generally all expected future events other than enactments or changes in the tax law or rates are considered. Valuation allowances are provided when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

The Company applies authoritative guidance for the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes. The guidance requires that tax effects of a position be recognized only if it is more likely than not to be sustained based solely on its technical merits as of the reporting date. Upon estimating the Company's tax positions and tax benefits, the Company considered and evaluated numerous factors, which may require periodic adjustments and which may not reflect the final tax liabilities. The Company adjusts its financial statements to reflect only those tax positions that are more likely than not to be sustained under examination.

As part of the process of preparing condensed consolidated financial statements, the Company is required to estimate its taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which it operates. The Company estimates actual current tax exposure together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items, such as accruals and allowances not currently deductible for tax purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets, which are included in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. In general, deferred tax assets represent future tax benefits to be received when certain expenses previously recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of operations become deductible expenses under applicable income tax laws, or loss or credit carryforwards are utilized.

In assessing whether deferred tax assets may be realized, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of deferred tax assets will be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income.

The Company makes estimates and judgments about its future taxable income based on assumptions that are consistent with its plans and estimates. Should the actual amounts differ from estimates, the amount of valuation allowance could be materially impacted. Any adjustment to the deferred tax asset valuation allowance would be recorded in the income statement for the periods in which the adjustment is determined to be required.

Net Income Per Ordinary Share

The Company applies the two-class method to calculate and present net income per ordinary share. Under the two-class method, net income is allocated between ordinary shares and other participating securities based on their participating rights. Participating securities are defined as securities that may participate in undistributed earnings with ordinary shares, whether that participation is conditioned upon the occurrence of a specified event or not. Basic net income per ordinary share is computed by dividing net income allocable to ordinary shares by the weighted-average number of ordinary shares outstanding for the period. Diluted net income per ordinary share is computed by dividing net income allocable to ordinary shares and income allocable to participating securities, to the extent they are dilutive, by the weighted-average number of ordinary shares outstanding, including the dilutive effects of participating securities on an if-converted basis plus the dilutive effects of ordinary shares. The Company's potential dilutive ordinary share equivalents consist of incremental ordinary shares issuable upon the exercise of options, upon the issuance of shares pursuant to the ESPP, upon the release of vested restricted stock units, upon the conversion of its redeemable convertible preference shares and upon the exercise of warrants.

The Company performs an assessment as to whether instruments granted in stock-based payment transactions are participating securities. Stock-based payment awards that have not yet vested meet the definition of a participating security provided the right to receive the dividend is non-forfeitable and non-contingent. These participating securities should be included in the computation of basic net income per share under the two-class method. The Company has concluded that its non-vested early-exercised options meet the definition of a participating security and should be included in the Company's computation of basic earnings per ordinary share.

Comprehensive Income

There are no differences between comprehensive income as defined by ASC 220, Comprehensive Income, and net income as reported in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents***Recent Accounting Pronouncements***

In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2013-02, Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI). This new guidance requires that the Company present either in a single note or parenthetically on the face of the financial statements, the effect of significant amounts reclassified from each component of accumulated other comprehensive income based on its source and the income statement line items affected by the reclassification. If a component is not required to be reclassified to net income in its entirety, the Company would instead cross reference to the related footnote for additional information. This guidance only impacts disclosures within the Company's consolidated financial statements and notes to the consolidated financial statements and does not result in a change to the accounting treatment of AOCI. This new guidance became effective prospectively for fiscal years, and interim periods with those years, beginning after December 15, 2012. The Company adopted this guidance starting from the first quarter of fiscal year 2014 and the adoption did not have an impact on its financial position, results of operations or disclosures.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-11, Income Taxes (Topic 740), Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists. The new guidance requires the netting of unrecognized tax benefits (UTBs) against a deferred tax asset for a loss or other carryforward that would apply in settlement of the uncertain tax positions. Under the new standard, UTBs will be netted against all available same-jurisdiction loss or other tax carryforwards that would be utilized, rather than only against carryforwards that are created by the UTBs. The new guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013 and should be applied prospectively to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist at the effective date. Early adoption and retrospective application are permitted. The Company has not yet adopted this guidance but does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a significant impact on its financial position, results of operations, statement of cash flows or disclosures.

2. Inventories

Inventory at October 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013 consisted of the following:

	As of October 31, 2013	As of January 31, 2013
	(in thousands)	
Work-in-progress	\$ 8,322	\$ 3,012
Finished goods	4,688	5,906
Total	\$ 13,010	\$ 8,918

3. Property and Equipment, Net

Depreciation expense was approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million for the three months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Depreciation expense was approximately \$0.8 million and \$0.5 million for the nine months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Property and equipment at October 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013 consisted of the following:

	As of October 31, 2013	As of January 31, 2013
	(in thousands)	
Computer equipment and software	\$ 4,247	\$ 2,810
Machinery and equipment	2,112	2,050
Furniture and fixtures	413	397
Leasehold improvements	1,195	642
Construction in progress		827
	7,967	6,726
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	(4,942)	(4,190)
Total property and equipment, net	\$ 3,025	\$ 2,536

In March 2013, the Company entered into a lease agreement of approximately 35,000 square feet for its new headquarters located in Santa Clara, California with an initial five-year term. Pursuant to the lease agreement, the Company agreed to pay certain construction costs. As of October 31, 2013, the Company had capitalized approximately \$0.7 million of leasehold improvement as a result of the construction, which will be depreciated over the term of the lease.

Table of Contents

In August 2012, the Company began implementation of its enterprise resources planning (ERP) system. As of October 31, 2013, the Company capitalized approximately \$1.1 million of internal-use software related to the ERP implementation project and amortized over an estimated economic useful life of three years under a straight-line method.

4. Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities at October 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013 consisted of the following:

	As of October 31, 2013	As of January 31, 2013
	(in thousands)	
Accrued employee compensation	\$ 7,385	\$ 8,599
Refundable exercised unvested options	91	210
Accrued warranty	292	352
Accrued rebates	257	272
Accrued product development costs	3,019	2,875
Other accrued liabilities	1,022	1,734
Total accrued liabilities	\$ 12,066	\$ 14,042

5. Deferred Revenue and Deferred Cost

Deferred revenue and related cost at October 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013 consisted of the following:

	As of October 31, 2013	As of January 31, 2013
	(in thousands)	
Deferred revenue on product shipments	\$ 4,487	\$ 3,827
Deferred revenue from licenses	400	485
Deferred cost of revenue on product shipments	(1,335)	(861)
Total deferred income	\$ 3,552	\$ 3,451

6. Capital Stock***Preference shares***

After completion of the Company's initial public offering, or IPO, a total of 20,000,000 preference shares, with a \$0.00045 par value per share, were authorized. There were no preference shares issued and outstanding as of October 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013, respectively.

Warrants

In connection with a financing agreement in 2004, the Company issued warrants to purchase 36,292 redeemable convertible preference shares at an exercise price of \$3.582 per share. Upon the completion of the IPO, the warrants to purchase redeemable convertible preference shares converted to warrants to purchase ordinary shares. As of October 31, 2013, the warrants were fully exercised and were no longer outstanding.

Ordinary shares

At October 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013, a total of 200,000,000 ordinary shares were authorized. As of October 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013, the following ordinary shares were reserved for future issuance:

	As of October 31, 2013	As of January 31, 2013
Shares reserved for options and restricted stock units	6,235,400	5,818,126
Shares reserved for employee stock purchase plan	456,410	460,445
Shares reserved for warrants		36,292

Table of Contents**7. Stock-based Compensation**

The following table presents the classification of stock-based compensation for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended October 31,		Nine Months Ended October 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)			
Stock-based compensation:				
Cost of revenue	\$ 47	\$ 30	\$ 115	\$ 59
Research and development	1,385	905	3,259	1,979
Selling, general and administrative	1,029	550	2,286	1,403
Total stock-based compensation	\$ 2,461	\$ 1,485	\$ 5,660	\$ 3,441

As of October 31, 2013, total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options and unvested restricted stock units was \$5.9 million and \$18.2 million, respectively, and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.96 years and 3.21 years, respectively. As of January 31, 2013, total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options and unvested restricted stock units was \$7.3 million and \$2.4 million, respectively, and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.26 years and 1.77 years, respectively.

The following table sets forth the weighted-average assumptions used to estimate the fair value of stock options and employee stock purchase plan awards for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended October 31,		Nine Months Ended October 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Stock Options:				
Volatility	65%	66%	65%	66%
Risk-free interest rate	2.03%	0.89%	1.44%	0.93%
Expected term (years)	6.05	6.05	6.05	6.05
Dividend yield	0%	0%	0%	0%
Employee stock purchase plan awards:				
Volatility	52%	51%	52%	51%
Risk-free interest rate	0.04%	0.18%	0.04%	0.18%
Expected term (years)	0.5	0.94	0.5	0.94
Dividend yield	0%	0%	0%	0%

The following table summarizes stock option activities for the nine months ended October 31, 2013:

	Option Outstanding
Shares	

		Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Grant-date Fair Value	Total Intrinsic Value of options Exercised (in thousands)	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
Outstanding at January 31, 2013	4,344,535	6.07				
Granted	192,220	15.90	\$ 9.50			
Exercised	(713,498)	5.33		\$ 8,239		
Forfeited	(59,901)	9.88				

Outstanding at October 31, 2013	3,763,356	6.65			6.44	\$ 52,314
Exercisable at October 31, 2013	2,839,311	5.62			5.88	\$ 42,405
Vested and expected to vest at October 31, 2013	3,715,813	6.60			6.41	\$ 51,819

Exercisable shares include options with early exercise rights. The vested and expected-to-vest options are calculated based on the vesting schedule of each grant as of the reporting date.

Table of Contents

The intrinsic value of options outstanding, exercisable and vested and expected-to-vest are calculated based on the difference between the fair market value of the Company's ordinary shares on the reporting date and the exercise price. The closing price of the Company's ordinary shares was \$20.55 on October 31, 2013, as reported by the NASDAQ Global Market. The intrinsic value of exercised options is calculated based on the difference between the fair market value of the Company's ordinary shares on the exercise date and the exercise price.

On August 27, 2013, upon recommendation by the Company's compensation committee, the Company's board of directors granted awards of restricted stock units (RSU) covering a total of 1,058,860 ordinary shares that vest based on service conditions and a total of 154,500 ordinary shares that vest based on a combination of service and performance conditions. The fair value on the grant date was \$14.56 per ordinary share. Pursuant to the terms of the service condition awards, 1/16th of the RSUs shall vest every 3 months following the vesting commencement date, so as to be 100% vested on the fourth anniversary of the vesting commencement date. Pursuant to the terms of the performance condition awards, 1/8th of the RSUs shall vest every 3 months following the vesting commencement date, so as to be 100% vested on the second anniversary of the vesting commencement date; provided, however, that notwithstanding the foregoing, the RSUs shall not vest at all until the performance conditions are achieved and are subject to the RSU holder continuing to provide services to the Company through such vesting dates. The vesting commencement date for the performance condition RSUs will begin upon achievement of financial targets for the fiscal year 2014. The performance condition RSUs shall automatically be forfeited in their entirety, without any cost to or action by the Company, if there has been no achievement of the fiscal year 2014 financial targets.

The following table summarizes restricted stock unit activities for the nine months ended October 31, 2013:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Unvested at January 31, 2013	339,217	\$ 9.99
Granted	1,240,472	14.58
Released	(85,806)	10.19
Forfeited	(10,052)	10.63
Unvested at October 31, 2013	1,483,831	\$ 13.81

As of October 31, 2013, the aggregate intrinsic value of unvested restricted stock units was \$30.5 million.

Non-employee Stock-based Compensation

The fair value of awards granted to non-employees is determined on the date of grant and remeasured at the end of each reporting period until such awards vest. The non-employee stock-based compensation was not material for the nine months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents**8. Net Income Per Ordinary Share**

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income per ordinary share for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended October 31, 2013		Nine Months Ended October 31, 2012					
	2013		2012					
	(in thousands, except share and per share data)							
Numerator:								
Net income	\$	9,126	\$	6,717	\$	20,121	\$	14,545
Less: amount allocable to preference shareholders				(3,456)				(9,517)
Less: amount allocable to unvested early exercised options		(8)		(18)		(27)		(53)
Net income allocable to ordinary shareholders - basic	\$	9,118	\$	3,243	\$	20,094	\$	4,975
Undistributed earnings reallocated to ordinary shareholders				155		2		432
Net income allocable to ordinary shareholders - diluted	\$	9,118	\$	3,398	\$	20,096	\$	5,407
Denominator:								
Weighted-average ordinary shares outstanding		27,861,176		12,137,555		27,469,309		9,146,617
Less: weighted-average unvested early exercised options subject to repurchase		(25,090)		(68,736)		(37,008)		(96,753)
Weighted-average ordinary shares - basic		27,836,086		12,068,819		27,432,301		9,049,864
Effect of potentially dilutive securities:								
Employee stock options		2,082,777		1,346,272		1,939,389		1,456,429
Restricted stock units		313,832				204,971		
Employee stock purchase plan		122,535				174,877		
Warrants to purchase ordinary shares						3,299		
Weighted-average ordinary shares - diluted		30,355,230		13,415,091		29,754,837		10,506,293
Net income per ordinary share:								
Basic	\$	0.33	\$	0.27	\$	0.73	\$	0.55

Diluted	\$	0.30	\$	0.25	\$	0.68	\$	0.51
---------	----	------	----	------	----	------	----	------

Earnings per share (EPS) of ordinary shares was calculated using the two-class method required for participating securities. Prior to the date of the IPO, all series of redeemable convertible preference shares were considered to be participating securities due to their non-cumulative dividend rights. In connection with the Company's IPO in October 2012, all outstanding redeemable convertible preference shares converted to ordinary shares. Net income has been allocated to the ordinary shares and participating securities based on their respective rights to share in net income and weighted-average outstanding during the periods.

The following weighted-average potentially dilutive securities were excluded from the computation of diluted net income per ordinary share as their effect would have been antidilutive:

	Three Months Ended October 31, 2013		Nine Months Ended October 31, 2013	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Options to purchase ordinary shares	155,940	2,245,018	216,525	2,122,643
Restricted stock units		242,014	2,101	80,671
Employee stock purchase plan	42,387	81,422	14,128	28,321
Allotment shares		216,000		72,000
Early exercised options subject to repurchase	25,090	68,736	37,008	96,753
Redeemable convertible preference shares (if-converted basis)		9,986,795		12,197,983
Warrants to purchase redeemable convertible preference shares (if-converted basis)		27,219		33,246
	223,417	12,867,204	269,762	14,631,617

Table of Contents**9. Income Taxes**

The Company reported the following income tax for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended October 31,		Nine Months Ended October 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)			
Income tax expense	\$ 926	\$ 1,005	\$ 2,066	\$ 1,878

The Company recognized income tax expense of approximately \$1.0 million for the three months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The estimated effective tax rate was 9.2% and 13.0% for the three months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company recognized income tax expense of approximately \$2.1 million and \$1.9 million for the nine months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The estimated effective tax rate was 9.3% and 11.4% for the nine months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended October 31, 2013 was lower than the effective tax rate for the nine months ended October 31, 2012 primarily due to a change in the mix of earnings in various geographic jurisdictions between the two periods.

The Company files federal and state income tax returns in the United States and in various foreign jurisdictions. The tax years 2005 to 2013 remain open to examination by U.S. federal tax authorities and the tax years 2004 to 2010 remain open to examination by U.S. state tax authorities. The tax years 2010 to 2013 remain open to examination by material foreign tax authorities.

The Company is subject to ongoing tax examinations of our tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service and other tax authorities in various jurisdictions. The Company regularly assesses the likelihood of adverse outcomes resulting from these examinations to determine the adequacy of its provision for income taxes. These assessments can require considerable estimates and judgments. As of October 31, 2013, the gross amount of unrecognized tax benefits was approximately \$3.2 million. If the estimates of income tax liabilities prove to be less than the ultimate assessment, then a further charge to expense would be required. If events occur and the payment of these amounts ultimately proves to be unnecessary, the reversal of the liabilities would result in tax benefits being recognized in the period in which the Company determines the liabilities are no longer necessary. The Company does not anticipate any material changes to its uncertain tax positions during the next twelve months.

10. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company leases its principal facilities and time-based software licenses under operating agreements with various expiration dates through April 2018. In March 2013, the Company entered into a lease agreement for approximately 35,000 square feet for its new Santa Clara, California headquarters. The lease has an initial five-year term and terminates in April 2018. The monthly basic rent obligation for this facility initially will be approximately \$44,550, increasing annually to approximately \$65,400 in 2017. The average monthly basic rent expense over the term of the lease will be approximately \$59,800. Net operating lease expenses for the three months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012 were approximately \$1.2 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. Net operating lease expenses for the nine months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012 were approximately \$3.6 million and \$3.2 million, respectively. Future annual minimum lease payments under these operating leases with initial lease terms in excess of one year are as follows:

Fiscal Year	As of October 31, 2013 (in thousands)
2014	\$ 1,144
2015	1,702
2016	1,670
2017	1,492
2018	1,386
	\$ 7,394

Contract Manufacturer Commitments

The Company's components and products are procured and built by independent contract manufacturers based on sales forecasts. These forecasts include estimates of future demand, historical trends, analysis of sales and marketing activities, and adjustment of overall market conditions. The Company regularly issues purchase orders to independent contract manufacturers which are cancelable only upon the agreement between the Company and the third-party. As of October 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013, total manufacturing purchase commitments were approximately \$12.4 million and \$15.1 million, respectively.

Table of Contents***Indemnification***

The Company, from time to time, in the normal course of business, indemnifies certain vendors with whom it enters into contractual relationships. The Company has agreed to hold the other party harmless against third-party claims in connection with the Company's future products. The Company also indemnifies certain customers against third party claims related to certain intellectual property matters. It is not possible to determine the maximum potential amount of liability under these indemnification obligations due to the limited history of prior indemnification claims and the unique facts and circumstances that are likely to be involved in each particular claim. The Company has not made payments under these obligations and no liabilities have been recorded for these obligations on the condensed consolidated balance sheets as of October 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013.

11. Segment Reporting

The Company operates in one reportable segment related to the development and sales of low-power, high-definition video products. The Chief Executive Officer of the Company has been identified as the Chief Operating Decision Maker (the CODM) and manages the Company's operations as a whole and for the purpose of evaluating financial performance and allocating resources, the CODM reviews financial information presented on a consolidated basis accompanied by information by customer and geographic region.

Geographic Revenue

The following table sets forth the Company's revenue by geographic region for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended October 31,		Nine Months Ended October 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(in thousands)			
Hong Kong	\$ 39,502	\$ 31,036	\$ 101,471	\$ 77,126
Asia Pacific	942	63	1,644	314
United States	1,710	1,931	5,557	6,335
North America	1,369	783	3,402	1,431
Europe	2,467	1,856	5,567	4,342
Total revenue	\$ 45,990	\$ 35,669	\$ 117,641	\$ 89,548

As of October 31, 2013, substantially all of the Company's long-lived tangible assets are located in the Asia Pacific region.

Major Customers

The customers representing 10% or more of revenue and accounts receivable were Wintech, the Company's logistic provider, and Chicony, a direct ODM customer, which combined accounted for approximately 86% and 78% of total revenue for the three months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and approximately 85% and 79% of total revenue for the nine months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Accounts receivable with these two customers combined accounted for approximately \$22.9 million and \$17.6 million as of October 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013, respectively.

12. Related-Party Transactions

The Company considers an entity to be a related party if it owns more than 10% of the Company's total voting stock at the end of each reporting period or if an officer or employee of an entity also serves on the board of directors or if it is a significant shareholder and has material business transactions with the Company.

Starting from the fiscal year 2008, the Company entered into several software license agreements with Cadence Design Systems, Inc. (Cadence). A member of the Company's board of directors is also the Chief Executive Officer, President and a Director of Cadence. Under these license agreements, the Company committed to pay an aggregate amount of \$10.2 million payable through January 2014. The Company paid \$0.4 million in license fees in each of the three months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012. The Company paid \$1.3 million in license fees in each of the nine months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012. Operating lease expenses related to these agreements included in research and development cost were approximately \$0.4 million in each of the three months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012. Operating lease expenses related to these agreements included in research and development cost were approximately \$1.3 million in each of the nine months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012.

Table of Contents

In addition to the related party transactions noted above, the Company recognized revenue from sales to Wintech Microelectronics Co., Ltd, or Wintech, the Company's logistics provider. Wintech, along with an affiliate, owned approximately 4.6% of the Company's voting stock as of January 31, 2013, but has sold such stock and is no longer a significant shareholder of the Company. The Company recognized revenue from sales to Wintech of approximately \$27.7 million and \$21.4 million for the three months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company recognized revenue from sales to Wintech of approximately \$63.3 million and \$60.8 million for the nine months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As of October 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013, the Company had receivables from Wintech of approximately \$11.5 million and \$8.2 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, and the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the fiscal year ended January 31, 2013 and management's discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the 2013 fiscal year filed with the SEC on April 4, 2013.

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including this Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations, includes a number of forward-looking statements that involve many risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements are identified by the use of the words would, could, will, may, expect, believe, should, anticipate, outlook, if, future, intend, plan, estimate, predict, potential, targets, seek or continue and similar words and phrases, including the negatives of these terms, or other variations of these terms, that denote future events. Such statements include, but are not limited to, statements concerning our market opportunity, our ability to develop new solutions, our future financial and operating performance, sales and marketing strategy, investment strategy, research and development, customer and supplier relationships, industry trends, our cash needs and capital requirements, expectations about seasonality, taxes, and operating expenses. These statements reflect our current views with respect to future events and our potential financial performance and are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results and financial position to differ materially and adversely from what is projected or implied in any forward-looking statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. These factors include, but are not limited to, the risks described under Item 1A of Part II Risk Factors, Item 2 of Part I Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and those discussed in other documents we file with the SEC. We make these forward-looking statements based upon information available on the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, and we have no obligation (and expressly disclaim any such obligation) to update or alter any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information or otherwise except as otherwise required by securities regulations.

Overview

We are a leading developer of semiconductor processing solutions for video that enable high-definition, or HD, video capture, sharing and display. We combine our processor design capabilities with our expertise in video and image processing algorithms and software to provide a technology platform that is designed to be easily scalable across multiple applications and enable rapid and efficient product development. Our system-on-a-chip, or SoC, designs fully integrate HD video processing, image processing, audio processing and system functions onto a single chip, delivering exceptional video and image quality, differentiated functionality and low power consumption.

We sell our solutions to leading original design manufacturers, or ODMs, and original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, globally. We refer to ODMs as our customers and OEMs as our end customers, except as otherwise indicated or as the context otherwise requires. In the camera market, our solutions enable the creation of high-quality video content for wearable sports cameras, automotive aftermarket cameras, Internet Protocol, or IP, security cameras, telepresence cameras and camcorders. In the infrastructure market, our solutions efficiently manage IP video traffic, broadcast encoding, transcoding and IP video delivery applications.

Our sales cycles typically require a significant investment of time and a substantial expenditure of resources before we can realize revenue from the sale of our solutions, if any. Our typical sales cycle consists of a multi-month sales and development process involving our customers' system designers and management along with our sales personnel and software engineers. If successful, this process culminates in a customer's decision to use our solutions in its system, which we refer to as a design win. Our sales efforts are typically directed to the OEM of the product that will

incorporate our video and image processing solution, but the eventual design and incorporation of our SoC into the product may be handled by an ODM on behalf of the OEM. Volume production may begin within six to 18 months after a design win, depending on the complexity of our customer's product and other factors upon which we may have little or no influence. Once one of our solutions has been incorporated into a customer's design, we believe that our solution is likely to remain a component of the customer's product for its life cycle because of the time and expense associated with redesigning a product or substituting an alternative solution. Conversely, a design loss to a competitor will likely preclude any opportunity for future revenue from such customer's product.

Table of Contents***Financial Highlights and Trends***

We recorded revenue of \$46.0 million and \$117.6 million for the three and nine months ended October 31, 2013, respectively. This represented an increase of 29% and 31% for the three and nine months ended October 31, 2013, respectively, as compared to the same periods in fiscal year 2013. The increase over the prior year periods was primarily due to higher demand in our IP security and wearable sports camera markets. The increase was partially offset by a year over year decline in the automotive market and the infrastructure market. The decline in the automotive market was primarily due to the late roll out of new A7LA based camera products and competitive pressures at the low end of the market. The decreased revenue in the infrastructure market was due to weak macro market conditions in the United States and Europe resulting in lower capital expenditures for video encoding capital equipment.

We recorded operating income of \$10.1 million and \$22.2 million for the three and nine months ended October 31, 2013, respectively. This represented an increase of 33% and 36% for the three and nine months ended October 31, 2013, respectively, as compared to the same periods in fiscal year 2013. The increase was primarily due to continuing growth in revenue, as well as efficient control of product cost and operating expenses.

We generated cash flows from operating activities of \$22.9 million for the nine months ended October 31, 2013, as compared to \$9.1 million for the nine months ended October 31, 2012. The increase was primarily attributable to increased net income, adjusted for increased non-cash stock-based compensation expense, as well as an increase in liabilities associated with the timing of payments to suppliers. The increase was partially offset by increased accounts receivable resulting from the shifting of sales through a logistics customer to direct sales to a large ODM customer with longer payment terms and additional inventory purchase to support continuing growth of sales.

In March 2013, we entered into a lease agreement for approximately 35,000 square feet for our new Santa Clara, California headquarters. The lease has an initial five-year term and terminates in April 2018. The monthly basic rent obligation for this facility initially will be approximately \$44,550, increasing annually to approximately \$65,400 in 2017. The average monthly basic rent expense over the term of the lease will be approximately \$59,800.

Factors Affecting Our Performance

Design Wins. We closely monitor design wins by customer and end market. We consider design wins to be critical to our future success, although the revenue generated by each design win can vary significantly. Our long-term sales expectations are based on forecasts from customers and internal estimations of customer demand factoring in the expected time to market for end customer products incorporating our solutions and associated revenue potential.

Pricing, Product Cost and Margins. Our pricing and margins depend on the volumes and the features of the solutions we provide to our customers. Additionally, we make significant investments in new solutions for both cost improvements and new features that we expect to drive revenue and maintain margins. In general, solutions incorporated into more complex configurations, such as those used in the infrastructure market, have higher prices and

higher gross margins as compared to solutions sold into the camera market. Our average selling price, or ASP, can vary by market and application due to market-specific supply and demand, the maturation of products launched in previous years and the launch of new products.

We continually monitor the cost of our solutions. As we rely on third-party manufacturers for the production of our products, we maintain a close relationship with these suppliers to continually monitor production yields, component costs and design efficiencies.

Shifting Consumer Preferences. Our revenue is subject to consumer preferences, regarding form factor and functionality, and how those preferences impact the video and image capture electronics that we support. For example, improved smartphone video capture capabilities, and the rapid adoption by consumers, has led to the decline of pocket video cameras aimed at the video and image capture market over the past couple of years. The current video and image capture market is now characterized by a greater volume of more specialized video and image capture devices that are less likely to be replaced with smartphones, such as wearable sports cameras, automotive aftermarket cameras, IP security cameras, high-end digital still cameras, or DSCs, and enterprise telepresence cameras. This increasing specialization of video capture devices has changed our customer base and end markets and has impacted our revenue. In the future, we expect further changes in the market to continue to impact our business performance.

Table of Contents

Continued Concentration of Revenue by End Market. Historically, our revenue has been significantly concentrated in a small number of end markets. In fiscal year 2010, the majority of our revenue came from the pocket video, camcorder and infrastructure markets. Over the last three years, we have continued to provide solutions for the camcorder and infrastructure markets, but also have expanded our focus to include the wearable sports, automotive aftermarket, IP security, and telepresence camera markets. We believe our entry into these markets will continue to facilitate revenue growth and customer diversification. While we will continue to expand our end market exposure, we anticipate that sales to a limited number of end markets will continue to account for a significant percentage of our total revenue for the foreseeable future. Our end market concentration may cause our financial performance to fluctuate significantly from period to period based on the success or failure of video capture markets in which we compete. In addition, we derive a significant portion of our revenue from a limited number of ODMs who build products on behalf of a limited number of OEMs and from a limited number of OEMs to whom we ship directly. We believe that our operating results for the foreseeable future will continue to depend on sales to a relatively small number of customers.

Ability to Capitalize on Connectivity Trends. Mobile connected devices are ubiquitous today and play an increasingly prominent role in consumers' lives. The constant connectivity provided by these devices has created a demand for connected electronic peripherals such as video and image capture devices. Our ability to capitalize on these trends by supporting our end customers in the development of connected peripherals that seamlessly cooperate with other connected devices and allow consumers to distribute and share video and images with online media platforms is critical for our success. We have added wireless communication functionality into our solutions for wearable sports cameras and IP security cameras. The combination of our compression technology with wireless connectivity enables wireless video streaming and the uploading of videos and images to the Internet. Our solutions enable IP security camera systems to stream video content to either cloud infrastructure or connected mobile devices, and our solutions for wearable sports cameras allow consumers to quickly stream or upload video and images to social media platforms.

Sales Volume. A typical design win can generate a wide range of sales volumes for our solutions, depending on the end market demand for our customers' products. This can depend on several factors, including the reputation of the end customer, market penetration, product capabilities, size of the end market that the product addresses and our end customers' ability to sell their products. In certain cases, we may provide volume discounts on sales of our solutions, which may be offset by lower manufacturing costs related to higher volumes. In general, our customers with greater market penetration and better branding tend to develop products that generate larger volumes over the product life cycle.

Customer Product Life Cycle. We estimate our customers' product life cycles based on the customer, type of product and end market. In general, products launched in the camera market have shorter life cycles than those sold into the infrastructure market. We typically commence commercial shipments from six to 15 months following a design win; however, in some markets, more lengthy product and development cycles are possible, depending on the scope and nature of the project. A portable consumer device typically has a product life cycle of six to 18 months. In the infrastructure market, the product life cycle can range from 24 to 60 months.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth a summary of our statement of operations for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended October 31,		Nine Months Ended October 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(dollars in thousands)			
Revenue	\$ 45,990	\$ 35,669	\$ 117,641	\$ 89,548
Cost of revenue	16,689	12,679	43,356	28,821
Gross profit	29,301	22,990	74,285	60,727
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	13,476	10,802	35,767	31,631
Selling, general and administrative	5,761	4,603	16,303	12,812
Total operating expenses	19,237	15,405	52,070	44,443
Income from operations	10,064	7,585	22,215	16,284
Other income (loss), net	(12)	137	(28)	139
Income before income taxes	10,052	7,722	22,187	16,423
Provision for income taxes	926	1,005	2,066	1,878
Net income	\$ 9,126	\$ 6,717	\$ 20,121	\$ 14,545

The following table sets forth operating results as a percentage of revenue of each line item for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended October 31,		Nine Months Ended October 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Revenue	100%	100%	100%	100%
Cost of revenue	36	36	37	32
Gross profit	64	64	63	68
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	29	30	30	35
Selling, general and administrative	13	13	14	14
Total operating expenses	42	43	44	49

Edgar Filing: AMBARELLA INC - Form 10-Q

Income from operations	22	21	19	19
Other income (loss), net				
Income before income taxes	22	21	19	19
Provision for income taxes	2	3	2	2
Net income	20%	18%	17%	17%

Revenue

We derive substantially all of our revenue from the sale of HD video and image processing SoC solutions to OEMs and ODMs, either directly or through our logistics providers. Our SoC solutions have been used in the camera and infrastructure markets, and we expect these will be the primary markets for our solutions for the foreseeable future. We derive a substantial portion of our revenue from sales made indirectly through our logistics provider, Wintech Microelectronics Co., Ltd., or Wintech, and directly to one large ODM customer, Chicony Electronics Co., Ltd., or Chicony.

Table of Contents

We typically experience seasonal fluctuations in our quarterly revenue with our third fiscal quarter normally being the highest revenue quarter. This fluctuation has been driven primarily by increased sales into the camera market as our customers build inventory in preparation for the holiday shopping season. More generally, our average selling prices fluctuate based on the mix of our solutions sold in a period which reflects the impact of both changes in unit sales of existing solutions as well as the introduction and sales of new solutions. Our solutions are typically characterized by a life cycle that begins with higher average selling prices and lower volumes, followed by broader market adoption, higher volumes and average selling prices that are lower than initial levels.

The end markets into which we sell our products have seen significant changes as consumer preferences have evolved in response to new technologies. As a result, the composition of our revenue may differ meaningfully during periods of technology or consumer preference changes. We expect shifts in consumer use of video capture to continue to change over time, as more specialized use cases emerge and video capture continues to proliferate.

Cost of Revenue and Gross Margin

Cost of revenue includes the cost of materials such as wafers processed by third-party foundries, costs associated with packaging, assembly and test, and our manufacturing support operations such as logistics, planning and quality assurance. Cost of revenue also includes indirect costs such as warranty, inventory valuation reserves and other general overhead costs.

We expect that our gross margin may fluctuate from period to period as a result of changes in average selling price, product mix and the introduction of new products by us or our competitors. In general, solutions incorporated into more complex configurations, such as those used in the infrastructure market, have higher prices and higher gross margins, as compared to solutions sold into the camera market. As semiconductor products mature and unit volumes sold to customers increase, their average selling prices typically decline. These declines may be paired with improvements in manufacturing yields and lower wafer, packaging and test costs, which offset some of the margin reduction that could result from lower selling prices. We believe that our gross margin will decline in the future as we continue to penetrate the highly competitive camera market and as we launch our solutions into new markets.

Research and Development

Research and development expense consists primarily of personnel costs, including salaries, stock-based compensation and employee benefits. The expense also includes costs of development incurred in connection with our collaborations with our foundry vendors, costs of licensing intellectual property from third parties for product development, costs of development for software and hardware tools, cost of fabrication of mask sets for prototype products, and allocated depreciation and facility expenses. All research and development costs are expensed as incurred. We expect our research and development expense to increase in absolute dollars as we continue to enhance and expand our product features and offerings.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative expense consists primarily of personnel costs, including salaries, stock-based compensation and employee benefits for our sales, marketing, finance, human resources, information technology and administrative personnel. The expense also includes professional service costs related to accounting, tax, legal services, and allocated depreciation and facility expenses. We expect our selling expense to increase in absolute dollars as we expand the size of our sales and marketing organization to support our anticipated growth. We expect our general and administrative expense to increase in absolute dollars and as a percent of revenue as we develop the infrastructure necessary to operate as a public company, which includes increased audit and legal fees, costs to comply

with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the rules and regulations applicable to companies listed on The NASDAQ Stock Market, investor relations costs, and higher insurance premiums.

Other Income (Loss), Net

Other income (loss), net consists primarily of gain and loss from foreign currency transactions and remeasurements.

Provision for Income Taxes

We are incorporated in the Cayman Islands and conduct business in several countries such as the United States, China, Taiwan, Hong Kong, South Korea and Japan, and we are subject to taxation in those jurisdictions. As such, our worldwide operating income is subject to varying tax rates and our effective tax rate is highly dependent upon the geographic distribution of our earnings or losses and the tax laws and regulations in each geographical region. Consequently, we have experienced lower effective tax rates as a substantial percentage of our operations are conducted in lower-tax jurisdictions. If our operational structure was to change in such a manner that would increase the amount of operating income subject to taxation in higher-tax jurisdictions, or if we were to commence operations in jurisdictions assessing relatively higher tax rates, our effective tax rate could fluctuate significantly on a quarterly basis and/or be adversely affected.

Table of Contents***Comparison of the Three Months and Nine Months Ended October 31, 2013 and 2012******Revenue***

	Three Months Ended October 31, Change				Nine Months Ended October 31, Change			
	2013	2012	Amount	%	2013	2012	Amount	%
	(dollars in thousands)							

Revenue	\$ 45,990	\$ 35,669	\$ 10,321	29%	\$ 117,641	\$ 89,548	\$ 28,093	31%
---------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----	------------	-----------	-----------	-----

Revenue increased for the three and nine months ended October 31, 2013 compared to the same periods in the prior fiscal year primarily due to higher demand in our IP security and wearable sports camera markets, partially offset by a year over year decline in the automotive market and the infrastructure market. The decline in the automotive market was primarily due to the late roll out of new A7LA based camera products and competitive pressures at the low end of the market. The decreased revenue in the infrastructure market was due to weak macro market conditions in the United States and Europe resulting in lower capital expenditures for video encoding capital equipment. In addition, infrastructure market revenue was positively impacted in the prior periods due to the renegotiation of a customer's contracts that resulted in an additional \$0.4 million and \$3.4 million of deferred revenue being released in the three and nine months ended October 31, 2012, respectively. This release of deferred revenue was not repeated in the fiscal year 2014.

Cost of Revenue and Gross Margin

	Three Months Ended October 31, Change				Nine Months Ended October 31, Change			
	2013	2012	Amount	%	2013	2012	Amount	%
	(dollars in thousands)							
Cost of revenue	\$ 16,689	\$ 12,679	\$ 4,010	32%	\$ 43,356	\$ 28,821	\$ 14,535	50%
Gross profit	29,301	22,990	6,311	27%	74,285	60,727	13,558	22%
Gross margin	64%	64%			63%	68%		(5)%

Cost of revenue increased for the three and nine months ended October 31, 2013 primarily due to an increase in the number of SoCs sold into the camera markets and to increased sales of our higher cost A7 SoCs. The increase was partially offset by cost reductions received from suppliers for certain SoCs due to increased purchase volumes.

Gross margin for the three months ended October 31, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior fiscal year was negatively impacted by a reduction in the higher gross margin infrastructure business as a percentage of total revenue in the current period partially offset by an increase in gross margin in the camera market due to a favorable product mix.

Gross margin decreased for the nine months ended October 31, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior fiscal year primarily due to a reduction in the higher gross margin infrastructure business as a percentage of total sales in the current year and, to a lesser extent, a change in mix in the camera markets. The higher gross margin infrastructure revenue declined as a percentage of total revenue from 24% for the nine months ended October 31, 2012 to 12% for the nine months ended October 31, 2013. The decline in infrastructure revenue was a result of both lower SoC sales as well as the prior year positive impact from an additional \$3.4 million of deferred revenue being released from the renegotiation of a customer's contracts.

Research and Development

	Three Months Ended October 31, Change				Nine Months Ended October 31, Change			
	2013	2012	Amount	%	2013	2012	Amount	%
	(dollars in thousands)				(dollars in thousands)			
Research and development	\$ 13,476	\$ 10,802	\$ 2,674	25%	\$ 35,767	\$ 31,631	\$ 4,136	13%

Table of Contents

Research and development expense increased for the three and nine months ended October 31, 2013 due to increases in engineering headcount, foundry development costs and facility allocation costs. Our research and development engineering headcount increased to 351 at October 31, 2013 compared to 332 at October 31, 2012, resulting in an increase in salary related expenses of approximately \$0.7 million and \$1.9 million for the three and nine months ended October 31, 2013, respectively. The increase of salary related expenses was partially offset by \$0.4 million of one-time IPO bonus accrued for China employees in the three months ended October 31, 2012 which was not repeated in the fiscal year 2014. Beginning from the initial public offering date in the third quarter of fiscal year 2013, we granted restricted stock units and offered participation in an employee stock purchase plan, resulting in an increase in stock-based compensation expense of approximately \$0.5 million and \$1.3 million for the three and nine months ended October 31, 2013, respectively. Our product development costs increased by approximately \$1.3 million and \$1.4 million for the three and nine months ended October 31, 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in the prior fiscal year resulting from higher foundry development costs on a number of next generation SoCs. The increased development costs were partially offset by \$1.0 million in the nine months ended October 31, 2013 as a result of a one-time payment from a customer who agreed to fund custom software development. At this time, we have no expectations or commitment of future product revenues or development projects with this customer. In fiscal year 2014, we entered into a lease agreement for our new Santa Clara, California headquarters and implemented the initial phase of a new enterprise resource planning system, resulting in an additional facility allocation cost of approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.9 million for the three and nine months ended October 31, 2013, respectively.

Selling, General and Administrative

Three Months Ended October 31, Change				Nine Months Ended October 31, Change			
2013	2012	Amount	%	2013	2012	Amount	%
(dollars in thousands)							

Selling, general and administrative	\$ 5,761	\$ 4,603	\$ 1,158	25%	\$ 16,303	\$ 12,812	\$ 3,491	27%
-------------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	-----	-----------	-----------	----------	-----

Selling, general and administrative expense increased for the three and nine months ended October 31, 2013 compared to the same periods in the prior fiscal year primarily due to an additional \$0.3 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, in personnel costs as a result of an increase in headcount to 126 at October 31, 2013 compared to 98 at October 31, 2012, and an additional \$0.5 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, of stock-based compensation expense from the issuance of restricted stock units and participation in an employee stock purchase plan. In addition, we incurred approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.7 million of additional expenditures on outside professional services to support the requirements of being a public company for the three and nine months ended October 31, 2013, respectively.

Other Income (Loss), Net

Three Months Ended October 31, Change				Nine Months Ended October 31, Change			
2013	2012	Amount	%	2013	2012	Amount	%
(dollars in thousands)				(dollars in thousands)			

Other income (loss), net	\$ (12)	\$ 137	\$ (149)	(109)%	\$ (28)	\$ 139	\$ (167)	(120)%
--------------------------	---------	--------	----------	--------	---------	--------	----------	--------

Other income (loss) for the three and nine months ended October 31, 2013 resulted from foreign currency transaction gain or loss and in the same periods in the prior fiscal year, other income (loss) included the impact of the revaluation of warrants to purchase redeemable convertible preference shares. The warrants to purchase redeemable convertible

preference shares converted into warrants to purchase ordinary shares upon our IPO in the third quarter of fiscal year 2013 and, as a result, there will be no future impact to net income from the revaluation of such warrants.

Provision for Income Taxes

	Three Months Ended October 31,		Change		Nine Months Ended October 31,		Change	
	2013	2012	Amount	%	2013	2012	Amount	%
	(dollars in thousands)							
Provision for income taxes	\$ 926	\$ 1,005	\$ (79)	(8)%	\$ 2,066	\$ 1,878	\$ 188	10%
Effective tax rate	9.2%	13.0%		(3.8)%	9.3%	11.4%		(2.1)%

The decrease in tax rate for the three and nine months ended October 31, 2013 compared to the same periods in the prior fiscal year was primarily due to an increase in the forecasted foreign earnings in fiscal year 2014 as compared to fiscal year 2013 and the reinstatement of the U.S. federal R&D credit in January 2013.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

We had cash of \$128.1 million and \$100.5 million as of October 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013, respectively.

Cash Flows

The following table summarizes our cash flows for the periods indicated:

	Nine Months Ended October 31,	
	2013	2012
	(in thousands)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 22,929	\$ 9,052
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,371)	(750)
Net cash provided by financing activities	5,999	27,574
Net increase in cash	\$ 27,557	\$ 35,876

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities

The increase in cash flow from operating activities for the nine months ended October 31, 2013 compared to the same period in fiscal year 2013 was primarily due to increased net income, adjusted for increased non-cash stock-based compensation expense. The increase was partially offset by an increased accounts receivable resulting from the shifting of sales through a logistics customer to direct sales to a large ODM customer with longer payment terms. In addition, the negative impact on cash of inventory purchases to support continuing growth of sales was partially offset by an increase in liabilities associate with the payment to inventory suppliers.

Net Cash Used in Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities increased for the nine months ended October 31, 2013 compared to the nine months ended October 31, 2012 primarily due to approximately \$0.7 million of leasehold improvements expenditures for our new headquarters located in Santa Clara, California and a \$0.6 million investment in a new enterprise resource planning system to manage our business operations.

Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities decreased for the nine months ended October 31, 2013 compared to the same period in prior fiscal year primarily due to \$26.6 million net cash proceeds from our IPO completed in October 2012.

Operating and Capital Expenditure Requirements

We have generated net income in each quarter beginning with the first quarter of fiscal year 2010, and we have generated cash from operations in each of fiscal years 2009 to 2013 and for the nine months ended October 31, 2013. We believe that the anticipated cash generated from operations and our existing cash balances will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash requirements through at least the next 12 months. In the future, we expect our operating and capital expenditures to increase as we increase headcount, expand our business activities and implement and enhance

our information technology and enterprise resource planning systems. We expect our accounts receivable and inventory balances to increase, and to be partially offset by increases in accounts payable, which will result in a greater need for working capital. If our available cash balances are insufficient to satisfy our future liquidity requirements, we may in the future seek to sell equity or convertible debt securities or borrow funds commercially. The sale of equity and convertible debt securities may result in dilution to our shareholders and those securities may have rights senior to those of our ordinary shares. If we raise additional funds through the issuance of convertible debt securities, these securities could contain covenants that would restrict our operations. We may require additional capital beyond our currently anticipated amounts. Additional capital may not be available to us on reasonable terms, or at all.

Our short- and long-term capital requirements will depend on many factors, including the following:

our ability to generate cash from operations;

our ability to control our costs;

the emergence of competing or complementary technologies or products;

the costs of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing any patent claims and other intellectual property rights, or participating in litigation-related activities; and

our acquisition of complementary businesses, products and technologies.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations, Commitments and Contingencies**

The following table summarizes our outstanding contractual obligations as of October 31, 2013:

	Payment Due by Period as of October 31, 2013 (in thousands)					
	Total	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More than 5 Years	All Other
Contractual Obligations						
Facilities under operating leases	\$ 6,211	\$ 345	\$ 3,008	\$ 2,858	\$	\$
Technology license or other obligations under operating leases	1,183	799	364	20		
Purchase obligations	12,353	12,353				
Uncertain tax liabilities	1,351					1,351
Total	\$ 21,098	\$ 13,497	\$ 3,372	\$ 2,878	\$	\$ 1,351

As of October 31, 2013, we had purchase obligations with our independent contract manufacturers of \$12.4 million.

Uncertain tax liabilities represent our potential liabilities related to uncertain tax positions as of October 31, 2013. We are unable to reasonably estimate the timing of payments in individual years due to uncertainties in the timing of the effective settlement of tax positions.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of October 31, 2013, we did not engage in any off-balance sheet arrangements, including the use of structured finance, special purpose entities or variable interest entities.

Recent Authoritative Accounting Guidance

See Note 1 to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for information regarding recently issued accounting pronouncements.

Critical Accounting Policies and Significant Management Estimates

There have been no material changes to our critical accounting policies and estimates as compared to the critical accounting policies and estimates described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the 2013 fiscal year filed with the SEC on April 4, 2013.

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We had cash totaling \$128.1 million and \$100.5 million at October 31, 2013 and January 31, 2013, respectively. Our cash consists of cash in standard bank accounts and are held for working capital purposes. We do not enter into investments for trading or speculative purposes.

Foreign Currency Risk

To date, all of our product sales and inventory purchases have been denominated in U.S. dollars. We therefore have not had any foreign currency risk associated with these two activities. The functional currency of all of our entities is the U.S. dollar. Our operations outside of the United States incur operating expenses and hold assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies, principally the New Taiwan Dollar and the Chinese Yuan Renminbi. Our results of operations and cash flows are, therefore, subject to fluctuations due to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. However, we believe that the exposure to foreign currency fluctuation from operating expenses is immaterial at this time as the related costs do not constitute a significant portion of our total expenses. As we grow our operations, our exposure to foreign currency risk could become more significant. To date, we have not entered into any foreign currency exchange contracts and currently do not expect to enter into foreign currency exchange contracts for trading or speculative purposes.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer (our principal executive officer) and Chief Financial Officer (our principal financial and accounting officer), has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act)), as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on such evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Other than the continued implementation of our enterprise resource planning (ERP), there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in management's evaluation pursuant to Rules 13a-15(d) or 15d-15(d) of the Exchange Act during the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. On May 1, 2013, we completed the initial phase of the implementation and integration of a new ERP system, which upgrades our information system capabilities and improves our business processes and financial reporting system, but full implementation of the system remains ongoing. The new system is expected to result in enhanced internal controls.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

Control systems, no matter how well conceived and operated, are designed to provide a reasonable, but not an absolute, level of assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. Because of the inherent limitations in any control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

We are not engaged in any material legal proceedings at this time.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

Certain factors may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. You should consider carefully the risks and uncertainties described below, in addition to other information contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties that we are unaware of, or that we currently believe are not material, may also become important factors that adversely affect our business. If any of the following risks actually occurs, our business, financial condition, results of operations, and future prospects could be materially and adversely affected. In that event, the trading price of our ordinary shares could decline, and you could lose part or all of your investment.

Table of Contents**Risks Related to Our Business and Our Industry**

If our customers do not design our solutions into their product offerings, or if our customers' product offerings are not commercially successful, our business would suffer.

We sell our video and image processing system-on-a-chip, or SoC, solutions to original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, who include our SoCs in their products, and to original design manufacturers, or ODMs, who include our SoCs in the products that they supply to OEMs. We refer to ODMs as our customers and OEMs as our end customers, except as otherwise indicated or as the context otherwise requires. Our video and image processing SoCs are generally incorporated into our customers' products at the design stage, which is referred to as a design win. As a result, we rely on OEMs to design our solutions into the products that they design and sell. Without these design wins, our business would be harmed. We often incur significant expenditures developing a new SoC solution without any assurance that an OEM will select our solution for design into its own product. Once an OEM designs a competitor's device into its product, it becomes significantly more difficult for us to sell our SoC solutions to that OEM because changing suppliers involves significant cost, time, effort and risk for the OEM. Furthermore, even if an OEM designs one of our SoC solutions into its product, we cannot be assured that the OEM's product will be commercially successful over time or at all or that we will receive or continue to receive any revenue from that OEM. For example, improved smartphone video capture capabilities, and rapid adoption of smartphones by consumers, led to the decline of an entire category of pocket video cameras aimed at the casual video capture market. In fiscal year 2011, pocket video revenue represented approximately 40% of our total revenue. The proliferation of smartphones and their ability to capture high-quality video and still images significantly impacted this market, decreasing pocket video cameras' contribution to approximately 15% of our total revenue in fiscal year 2012 and approximately 1.2% of our total revenue in fiscal year 2013. If other product categories incorporating our SoC solutions are not commercially successful or experience rapid decline, our revenue and business will suffer.

We depend on a limited number of customers and end customers for a significant portion of our revenue. If we fail to retain or expand our customer relationships, our revenue could decline.

We derive a significant portion of our revenue from a limited number of ODMs who build products on behalf of a limited number of OEMs and from a limited number of OEMs to whom we ship directly. We anticipate that this customer concentration will continue for the foreseeable future. For the three months ended October 31, 2013, sales directly and through our logistics providers to our five largest customers collectively was estimated to be 61.4% of our revenue, and sales to our 10 largest customers collectively was estimated to be 73.1% of our revenue. For the nine months ended October 31, 2013, sales directly and through our logistics providers to our five largest customers collectively was estimated to be 56.4% of our revenue, and sales to our 10 largest customers collectively was estimated to be 67.7% of our revenue. For the three months ended October 31, 2013, sales to our largest ODM customer was estimated to be 25.5% of our revenue. For the nine months ended October 31, 2013, sales to our largest ODM customer was estimated to be 31.1% of our revenue. This ODM customer builds products for several OEM customers as well as for its own brand. We believe that our operating results for the foreseeable future will continue to depend on sales to a relatively small number of customers and end-customers. In the future, these customers may decide not to purchase our SoC solutions at all, may purchase fewer solutions than they did in the past or may alter their purchasing patterns. As substantially all of our sales to date have been made on a purchase order basis, these customers may cancel, change or delay product purchase commitments with little or no notice to us and without penalty and may make our revenue volatile from period to period. For example, our largest OEM end customer in fiscal year 2011, Eastman Kodak Company, or Kodak, closed its camera division in January 2012. The loss of a significant customer like Kodak could happen again at any time and without notice, and such loss would likely harm our financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, our relationships with some customers may deter other potential customers who compete with these customers from buying our solutions. To attract new customers or retain existing customers, we may have to offer these customers favorable prices on our solutions. In that event, our average selling prices and gross margins would decline. The loss of a key customer, a reduction in sales to any key customer or our inability to attract new customers could seriously impact our revenue and harm our results of operations.

Achieving design wins is subject to lengthy competitive selection processes that require us to incur significant costs. Even if we begin a product design, a customer may decide to cancel or change its product plans, resulting in no revenue from such expenditures.

We are focused on selling our video and image processing solutions to ODMs and OEMs for incorporation into their products at the design stage. These efforts to achieve design wins typically are lengthy, especially in new markets we intend to address, and in any case can require us to both incur design and development costs and dedicate scarce engineering resources in pursuit of a single customer opportunity. We may not prevail in the competitive selection process and, even when we do achieve a design win, we may never generate any revenue despite incurring development expenditures. For example, in the past we had achieved a significant design win and projected substantial future revenue from that end customer as a result of that design win. Subsequently, based on changes in that end customer's assessment of the consumer market, among other factors, the end customer abruptly shut down its business unit with which we achieved the design win, with no notice to us.

Table of Contents

These risks are exacerbated by the fact that some of our end customers' products, particularly in the camera market, likely will have short life cycles. Further, even after securing a design win, we have experienced and may again experience delays in generating revenue from our solutions as a result of the lengthy product development cycle typically required, if we generate any revenue at all as a result of any such design win.

Our customers generally take a considerable amount of time to evaluate our solutions. The typical time from early engagement by our sales force to actual product introduction runs from nine to 12 months for the camera market, and 12 to 24 months for the infrastructure market, though it may take longer in new markets we intend to address. The delays inherent in these lengthy sales cycles increase the risk that a customer will decide to cancel, curtail, reduce or delay its product plans, causing us to lose anticipated sales. In addition, any delay or cancellation of a customer's plans could harm our financial results, as we may have incurred significant expense and generated no revenue. Finally, our customers' failure to successfully market and sell their products could reduce demand for our SoC solutions and harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. If we were unable to generate revenue after incurring substantial expenses to develop any of our solutions, our business would suffer.

We do not have long-term supply contracts with our third-party manufacturing vendors, and they may not allocate sufficient capacity to us at reasonable prices to meet future demands for our solutions.

The semiconductor industry is subject to intense competitive pricing pressure from customers and competitors. Accordingly, any increase in the cost of our solutions, whether by adverse purchase price variances or adverse manufacturing cost variances, will reduce our gross margins and operating profit. We currently do not have long-term supply contracts with any of our third-party vendors, and we typically negotiate pricing on a purchase order-by-purchase order basis. Therefore, they are not obligated to perform services or supply product to us for any specific period, in any specific quantities, or at any specific price, except as may be provided in a particular purchase order. Availability of foundry capacity has in the recent past been limited due to strong demand. The ability of our foundry vendors to provide us with a product, which is sole sourced at each foundry, is limited by their available capacity, existing obligations and technological capabilities. Foundry capacity may not be available when we need it or at reasonable prices. None of our third-party foundry or assembly and test vendors has provided contractual assurances to us that adequate capacity will be available to us to meet our anticipated future demand for our solutions. Our foundry and assembly and test vendors may allocate capacity to the production of other companies' products while reducing deliveries to us on short notice. In particular, other companies that are larger and better financed than we are or that have long-term agreements with our foundry or assembly and test vendors may cause our foundry or assembly and test vendors to reallocate capacity to them, decreasing the capacity available to us. Converting or transferring manufacturing from a primary location or supplier to a backup foundry vendor could be expensive and could take two or more quarters. As we transition to more advanced process nodes beyond 32 nanometer, or nm, we will be increasingly dependent upon Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., or Samsung, Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Co., Ltd., or TSMC, who are two of the only three foundries currently available for certain advanced process technologies that we may utilize.

If, in the future, we enter into arrangements with suppliers that include additional fees to expedite delivery, nonrefundable deposits or loans in exchange for capacity commitments or commitments to purchase specified quantities over extended periods, such arrangements may be costly, reduce our financial flexibility and be on terms unfavorable to us, if we are able to secure such arrangements at all. Moreover, if we are able to secure foundry capacity, we may be obligated to use all of that capacity or incur penalties. These penalties could harm our financial results. To date, we have not entered into any such arrangements with our suppliers. If we need additional foundry or assembly and test subcontractors because of increased demand or the inability to obtain timely and adequate deliveries from our current vendors, we may not be able to do so cost-effectively, if at all.

Our customers may cancel their orders, change production quantities or delay production. If we fail to accurately forecast demand for our solutions, revenue shortfalls, or excess, obsolete or insufficient inventory could result.

Our customers typically do not provide us with firm, long-term purchase commitments. Substantially all of our sales are made on a purchase order basis, which permits our customers to cancel, change or delay their product purchase commitments with little or no notice to us and without penalty to them. Because production lead times often exceed the amount of time required by our customers to fill their orders, we often must build SoCs in advance of orders, relying on an imperfect demand forecast to project volumes and product mix.

Our SoCs are incorporated into products manufactured by or for our end customers, and as a result, demand for our solutions is influenced by the demand for our customers' products. Our ability to accurately forecast demand can be adversely affected by a number of factors, including inaccurate forecasting by our customers, miscalculations by our customers of their inventory requirements, changes in market conditions, adverse changes in our product order mix and fluctuating demand for our customers' products. Even after an order is received, our customers may cancel these orders or request a decrease in production quantities. Any such cancellation or decrease subjects us to a number of risks, most notably that our projected sales will not materialize on schedule or at all, leading to unanticipated revenue shortfalls and excess or obsolete inventory that we may be unable to sell to other customers.

Table of Contents

Alternatively, if we are unable to project customer requirements accurately, we may not build enough SoCs, which could lead to delays in product shipments and lost sales opportunities in the near term, as well as force our customers to identify alternative sources, which could affect our ongoing relationships with these customers. We have in the past had customers significantly increase their requested production quantities with little or no advance notice. If we do not fulfill customer demands in a timely manner, our customers may cancel their orders and we may be subject to customer claims for cost of replacement. In addition, the rapid pace of innovation in our industry could render portions of our inventory obsolete. Excess or obsolete inventory levels could result in unexpected expenses or increases in our reserves that could adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition. In addition, any significant future cancellations or deferrals of product orders could harm our margins, increase our write-offs due to product obsolescence and restrict our ability to fund our operations.

Our target markets may not grow or develop as we currently expect and are subject to market risks, any of which could harm our business, revenue and operating results.

To date, our revenue has been attributable to demand for our video and image processing SoCs in the camera and infrastructure markets and the growth of these overall markets. We initially focused on the infrastructure market, and then leveraged our knowledge and experience to design solutions for the camera market. We derive the majority of our revenue from the camera market, and our operating results are increasingly affected by trends in the camera market. These trends include demand for higher resolution, increasing functionality, longer battery life, greater storage and connectivity requirements, while accommodating more sophisticated standards for video compression. We may be unable to predict the timing or development of these markets with accuracy. For example, the proliferation of smartphones having the ability to capture high-quality video and still images has significantly impacted this market in a relatively short period of time and continues to impact this market. In the Internet Protocol, or IP, security camera market, a slower than expected adoption rate for digital technology in place of analog solutions could slow the demand for our solutions. If our target markets, such as wearable sports cameras, automotive aftermarket cameras, IP security cameras, digital still cameras, or DSCs, and telepresence cameras, do not grow or develop in ways that we currently expect, demand for our video and image processing SoCs may not materialize as expected and our business and operating results could suffer.

We are dependent on sales of a limited number of video and image processing solutions, and a decline in market adoption of these solutions could harm our business.

From inception through October 31, 2013, our revenue has been generated primarily from the sale of a limited number of high-definition, or HD, video and image processing SoC solutions in the camera and infrastructure markets. Moreover, we currently derive a significant amount of our revenue from the sale of our SoCs for use in the camera market and we expect to do so for the next several years. As a result, continued market adoption of our SoC solutions in the camera market is critical to our future success. If demand for our SoC solutions were to decline, or demand for products incorporating our solutions declines, does not continue to grow or does not grow as expected, our revenue would decline and our business would be harmed.

If we fail to develop and introduce new or enhanced solutions on a timely basis, our ability to attract and retain customers could be impaired and our competitive position could be harmed.

We operate in a dynamic environment characterized by rapidly changing technologies and technological obsolescence. To compete successfully, we must design, develop, market and sell enhanced solutions that provide increasingly higher levels of performance and functionality and that meet the cost expectations of our customers. Our existing or future solutions could be rendered obsolete by the introduction of new products by our competitors; convergence of other markets, such as smartphones, with or into the camera market; the market adoption of products based on new or

alternative technologies; or the emergence of new industry standards for video compression. In addition, the markets for our solutions are characterized by frequent introduction of next-generation and new products, short product life cycles, increasing demand for added functionality and significant price competition. If we or our customers are unable to manage product transitions in a timely and cost-effective manner, our business and results of operations would suffer.

Our failure to anticipate or timely develop new or enhanced solutions in response to technological shifts could result in decreased revenue and our competitors achieving design wins that we sought. In particular, we may experience difficulties with product design, development of new software, manufacturing, marketing or qualification that could delay or prevent our development, introduction or marketing of new or enhanced solutions. In addition, delays in development could impair our relationships with our customers and negatively impact sales of our solutions under development. Moreover, it is possible that our customers may develop their own product or adopt a competitor's solution for products that they currently buy from us. If we fail to introduce new or enhanced solutions that meet the needs of our customers or penetrate new markets in a timely fashion, we will lose market share and our operating results will be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

If we fail to penetrate new markets, our revenue and financial condition could be harmed.

In the past several years, a significant amount of our revenue was generated from sales of our products to OEMs and ODMs of high definition, or HD, video cameras and broadcasting infrastructure equipment. Our future revenue growth, if any, will depend in part on our ability to expand within these markets with our video and image processing SoC solutions, particularly for wearable sports cameras, automotive aftermarket cameras, IP security cameras and DSCs, and to enter new markets. Each of these markets presents distinct and substantial risks and, in many cases, requires us to develop new software to address the particular requirements of that market. If any of these markets do not develop as we currently anticipate or if we are unable to penetrate them successfully, our revenue could decline.

Some of these markets are primarily served by only a few large, multinational OEMs with substantial negotiating power relative to us and, in some instances, with internal solutions that are competitive to our products. Meeting the technical requirements and securing design wins with any of these companies will require a substantial investment of our time and resources. We cannot assure you that we will secure design wins from these or other companies or that we will achieve meaningful revenue from the sales of our solutions into these markets.

If we fail to penetrate these or other new markets we are targeting, our revenue likely will decrease over time and our financial condition could suffer.

The average selling prices of video and image processing solutions in our target markets have historically decreased over time and will likely do so in the future, which could harm our revenue and gross margins.

Average selling prices of semiconductor products in the markets we serve have historically decreased over time, and we expect such declines to continue to occur for our solutions over time. Our gross margins and financial results will suffer if we are unable to offset reductions in our average selling prices by reducing our costs, developing new or enhanced SoC solutions on a timely basis with higher selling prices or gross margins, or increasing our sales volumes. Additionally, because we do not operate our own manufacturing, assembly or testing facilities, we may not be able to reduce our costs as rapidly as companies that operate their own facilities, and our costs may even increase, which could also reduce our gross margins. In the past, we have reduced the prices of our SoC solutions in anticipation of future competitive pricing pressures, new product introductions by us or our competitors and other factors. Recently, we have experienced competitive pricing pressures at the low end of the automotive aftermarket camera market. We expect that we will have to address pricing pressures again in the future, which could require us to reduce the prices of our SoC solutions and harm our operating results.

We expect competition to increase in the future, which could have an adverse effect on our revenue and market share.

The global semiconductor market in general, and the video and image processing markets in particular, are highly competitive. We compete in different target markets to various degrees on the basis of a number of competitive factors, including our solutions' performance, features, functionality, energy efficiency, size, ease with which our solution may be integrated into our customers' products, customer support, reliability and price, as well as on the basis of our reputation. We expect competition to increase and intensify as more and larger semiconductor companies enter our markets, and as the internal resources of large OEMs grow. Increased competition could result in price pressure, reduced profitability and loss of market share, any of which could harm our business, revenue and operating results.

Our competitors range from large, international companies offering a wide range of semiconductor products to smaller companies specializing in narrow markets. Our primary competitors in the consumer camera market include CSR plc (who acquired Zoran Corporation in August 2011) and Fujitsu Limited, as well as vertically integrated divisions of

camera device OEMs, including Canon Inc., Panasonic Corporation and Sony Corporation. In the IP security camera market, our primary competitors include HiSilicon Technologies Co., Ltd. and Texas Instruments Incorporated, as well as vertically integrated divisions of IP Security camera device OEMs, including Axis Communications AB, Panasonic and Sony. In the market for automotive aftermarket cameras, we compete against Core Logic, Inc., Novatek Microelectronics Corp. and Sunplus Technology Co. Ltd. Our primary competitors in the infrastructure market include Intel Corporation, Magnum Semiconductor, Inc. and Texas Instruments Incorporated. Certain of our customers and suppliers also have divisions that produce products competitive with ours. We expect competition in our current markets to increase in the future as existing competitors improve or expand their product offerings and as potential new competitors, such as Broadcom Corporation, NVIDIA Corporation, Qualcomm Incorporated and Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., enter these markets.

Table of Contents

Our ability to compete successfully depends on elements both within and outside of our control, including industry and general economic trends. Many of our competitors are substantially larger, have greater financial, technical, marketing, distribution, customer support and other resources, are more established than we are and have significantly better brand recognition and broader product offerings which may enable them to better withstand adverse economic or market conditions in the future. Our ability to compete will depend on a number of factors, including:

our ability to anticipate market and technology trends and successfully develop solutions that meet market needs;

our success in identifying and penetrating new markets, applications and customers;

our ability to understand the price points and performance metrics of competing products in the marketplace;

our solutions' performance and cost-effectiveness relative to that of competing products;

our ability to gain access to leading design tools and product specifications at the same time as our competitors;

our ability to develop and maintain relationships with key OEMs and ODMs;

our products' effective implementation of video processing standards;

our ability to protect our intellectual property;

our ability to expand international operations in a timely and cost-efficient manner;

our ability to deliver products in volume on a timely basis at competitive prices;

our ability to support our customers' incorporation of our solutions into their products; and

our ability to recruit design and application engineers with expertise in image video and image processing technologies and sales and marketing personnel.

Our competitors may also establish cooperative relationships among themselves or with third parties or acquire companies that provide similar products to ours. As a result, new competitors or alliances may emerge that could

acquire significant market share. Any of these factors, alone or in combination with others, could harm our business and result in a loss of market share and an increase in pricing pressure.

A substantial portion of our revenue is processed through a single logistics provider and the loss of this logistics provider may cause disruptions in our shipments, which may adversely affect our operations and financial condition.

We sell most of our solutions through a single logistics provider, Wintech Microelectronics Co., Ltd., or Wintech, which serves as our non-exclusive sales representative in all of Asia other than Japan. Approximately 60% of our revenue was derived from sales through Wintech for the three months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Approximately 54% and 68% of our revenue was derived from sales through Wintech for the nine months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We anticipate that a significant portion of our revenue will continue to be derived from sales through Wintech in the foreseeable future. Our current agreement with Wintech is effective until September 2015, unless it is terminated earlier by either party for any or no reason with 90 days written notice or by failure of the breaching party to cure a material breach within 30 days following written notice of such material breach by the non-breaching party. Our agreement with Wintech will automatically renew for additional successive 12-month terms unless at least 60 days before the end of the then-current term either party provides written notice to the other party that it elects not to renew the agreement. Termination of the relationship with Wintech, either by us or by Wintech, could result in a temporary or permanent loss of revenue. We may not be successful in finding suitable alternative logistics providers on satisfactory terms, or at all, and this could adversely affect our ability to effectively sell our solutions in certain geographical locations or to certain end customers. Additionally, if we terminate our relationship with Wintech, we may be obligated to repurchase unsold product, which could be difficult or impossible to sell to other end customers. Furthermore, Wintech, or any successor or other logistics providers we do business with, may face issues obtaining credit, which could impair their ability to make timely payments to us.

Deterioration of the financial conditions of our customers could adversely affect our operating results.

Deterioration of the financial condition of our logistics providers or customers could adversely impact our collection of accounts receivable. We regularly review the collectability and creditworthiness of our logistics providers and customers to determine an appropriate allowance for doubtful receivables. Based on our review of our logistics providers and customers, we currently have no reserve for uncollectible accounts. If our uncollectible accounts, however, were to exceed our current or future allowance for doubtful receivables, our operating results would be negatively impacted.

Table of Contents

Fluctuations in our operating results on a quarterly and annual basis could cause the market price of our ordinary shares to decline.

Our revenue and operating results have fluctuated significantly from period to period in the past and are likely to do so in the future. In particular, our business tends to be seasonal with higher revenue in our third quarter as our customers typically increase their production to meet year-end demand for their products. We may also experience seasonally lower demand in our first quarter in the Asia-based IP security camera market as a result of industry seasonality and the impact of ODM and OEM factory closures associated with the Chinese New Year holiday. As a result, you should not rely on period-to-period comparisons of our operating results as an indication of our future performance. In future periods, our revenue and results of operations may be below the expectations of analysts and investors, which could cause the market price of our ordinary shares to decline.

Factors that may affect our operating results include:

shifts in consumer preferences and any resultant change in demand for video and image capture devices into which our solutions are incorporated;

changes in the competitive dynamics of our markets, including new entrants or pricing pressures;

variances in order patterns by our customers, particularly any of our significant customers;

our ability to successfully define, design and release new solutions in a timely manner that meet our customers' needs;

changes in manufacturing costs, including wafer, test and assembly costs, mask costs, manufacturing yields and product quality and reliability;

timely availability of adequate manufacturing capacity from our manufacturing subcontractors;

the timing of product announcements by our competitors or by us;

future accounting pronouncements and changes in accounting policies;

volatility in our share price, which may lead to higher stock-based compensation expense;

general socioeconomic and political conditions in the countries where we operate or where our products are sold or used; and

costs associated with litigation, especially related to intellectual property.

Moreover, the semiconductor industry has historically been cyclical in nature, reflecting overall economic conditions as well as budgeting and buying patterns of consumers. We expect these cyclical conditions to continue. As a result, our quarterly operating results are difficult to predict, even in the near term. Our expense levels are relatively fixed in the short term and are based, in part, on our expectations of future revenue. If revenue levels are below our expectations, we may experience declines in margins and profitability or incur losses.

If we do not sustain our growth rate, we may not be able to execute our business plan and our operating results could suffer.

We have experienced significant growth in a short period of time. Our revenue increased from \$21.5 million in fiscal year 2008 to \$121.1 million in fiscal year 2013. Compared to the three months ended October 31, 2012, our revenue increased by 29% from \$35.7 million to \$46.0 million for the three months ended October 31, 2013. Compared to the nine months ended October 31, 2012, our revenue increased by 31% from \$89.5 million to \$117.6 million for the nine months ended October 31, 2013. We may not achieve similar growth rates in future periods. You should not rely on our revenue growth, gross margins or operating results for any prior quarterly or annual periods as an indication of our future operating performance. If we are unable to maintain adequate revenue growth, our financial results could suffer and our stock price could decline.

Table of Contents

If we are unable to manage any future growth, we may not be able to execute our business plan and our operating results could suffer.

Our business has grown rapidly. Our future operating results depend to a large extent on our ability to successfully manage any expansion and growth, including the challenges of managing a company with headquarters in the United States and the majority of its employees in Asia. To manage our growth successfully and handle the responsibilities of being a public company, we believe we must effectively, among other things:

recruit, hire, train and manage additional qualified engineers for our research and development activities, particularly in our offices in Asia and especially for the positions of semiconductor design and systems and applications engineering;

add additional sales and business development personnel;

add additional finance and accounting personnel;

implement and improve our administrative, financial and operational systems, procedures and controls; and

enhance our information technology support for enterprise resource planning and design engineering by adapting and expanding our systems and tool capabilities, and properly training new hires as to their use.

We are increasing our investment in research and development and other functions to grow our business. We are likely to incur the costs associated with these increased investments earlier than some of the anticipated benefits, and the return on these investments, if any, may be lower, may develop more slowly than we expect or may not materialize.

If we are unable to manage our growth effectively, we may not be able to take advantage of market opportunities or develop new solutions, and we may fail to satisfy customer product or support requirements, maintain product quality, execute our business plan or respond to competitive pressures.

Due to our limited operating history, we may have difficulty accurately predicting our future revenue and appropriately budgeting our expenses.

We were incorporated in 2004 and first generated product revenue in the third quarter of fiscal year 2006. As a result, we have a limited operating history from which to predict future revenue. This limited operating experience, combined with the rapidly evolving nature of the markets in which we sell our solutions, substantial uncertainty concerning how these markets may develop and other factors beyond our control, limits our ability to accurately forecast quarterly or annual revenue. In addition, because we record a significant portion of our revenue from sales when we have received notification from our logistics providers that they have sold our products, some of the revenue we record in a quarter may be derived from sales of products shipped to our logistics providers during previous quarters. This revenue recognition methodology limits our ability to forecast quarterly or annual revenue accurately.

We are currently expanding our staffing and increasing our expenditures in anticipation of future revenue growth. If our revenue does not increase as anticipated, we could incur significant losses due to our higher expense levels if we are not able to decrease our expenses in a timely manner to offset any shortfall in future revenue.

While we intend to continue to invest in research and development, we may be unable to make the substantial investments that are required to remain competitive in our business.

The semiconductor industry requires substantial investment in research and development in order to bring to market new and enhanced solutions. Our research and development expense was \$13.5 million and \$10.8 million for the three months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Our research and development expense was \$35.8 million and \$31.6 million for the nine months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We expect to increase our research and development expenditures as compared to prior periods as part of our strategy of focusing on the development of innovative and sustainable video and image processing solutions. We do not know whether we will have sufficient resources to maintain the level of investment in research and development required to remain competitive. In addition, we cannot assure you that the technologies which are the focus of our research and development expenditures will become commercially successful or generate any revenue.

We may experience difficulties demonstrating the value to customers of newer, higher priced and higher margin solutions if they believe existing solutions are adequate to meet end customer expectations.

As we develop and introduce new solutions, we face the risk that customers may not value or be willing to bear the cost of incorporating these newer solutions into their products, particularly if they believe their customers are satisfied with current solutions. Regardless of the improved features or superior performance of the newer solutions, customers may be unwilling to adopt our new solutions due to design or pricing constraints. Owing to the extensive time and resources that we invest in developing new solutions, if we are unable to sell customers new generations of our solutions, our revenue could decline and our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows could be negatively affected.

Table of Contents

The complexity of our solutions could result in unforeseen delays or expenses from undetected defects, errors or bugs in hardware or software which could reduce the market adoption of our new solutions, damage our reputation with current or prospective customers and adversely affect our operating costs.

Highly complex SoC solutions such as ours frequently contain defects, errors and bugs when they are first introduced or as new versions are released. We have in the past and may in the future experience these defects, errors and bugs. If any of our solutions have reliability, quality or compatibility problems, we may not be able to successfully correct these problems in a timely manner or at all. In addition, if any of our proprietary features contain defects, errors or bugs when first introduced or as new versions of our solutions are released, we may be unable to timely correct these problems. Consequently, our reputation may be damaged and customers may be reluctant to buy our solutions, which could harm our ability to retain existing customers and attract new customers, and could adversely affect our financial results. In addition, these defects, errors or bugs could interrupt or delay sales to our customers. If any of these problems are not found until after we have commenced commercial production of a new product, we may incur significant additional development costs and product recall, repair or replacement costs. These problems may also result in claims against us by our customers or others.

The loss of any of our key personnel could seriously harm our business, and our failure to attract or retain qualified management, engineering, sales and marketing talent could impair our ability to grow our business.

We believe our future success will depend in large part upon our ability to attract, retain and motivate highly skilled management, engineering and sales and marketing personnel. The loss of any key employees or the inability to attract, retain or motivate qualified personnel, including engineers and sales and marketing personnel, could delay the development and introduction of, and harm our ability to sell, our solutions. We believe that our future success is dependent on the contributions of Fermi Wang, our co-founder, Chairman of the Board of Directors, President and Chief Executive Officer, Les Kohn, our co-founder and Chief Technology Officer, George Laplante, our Chief Financial Officer, Didier LeGall, our Executive Vice President, and Christopher Day, our Vice President, Marketing and Business Development. Each of these executive officers is an at-will employee. The loss of the services of Dr. Wang, Mr. Kohn, Mr. Laplante, Dr. LeGall, Mr. Day or certain other key personnel could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. For example, if any of these individuals were to leave unexpectedly, we could face substantial difficulty in hiring qualified successors and could experience a loss in productivity during the search for any such successor and while any successor is integrated into our business and operations.

Our key technical and engineering personnel represent a significant asset and serve as the source of our technological and product innovations. We plan to recruit software and system engineers with expertise in video processing technologies, primarily in Taiwan and China. We may not be successful in attracting, retaining and motivating sufficient numbers of technical and engineering personnel to support our anticipated growth. The competition for qualified engineering personnel in our industry, and particularly in Asia, is very intense. If we are unable to hire, train and retain qualified engineering personnel in a timely manner, our ability to grow our business will be impaired. In addition, if we are unable to retain our existing engineering personnel, our ability to maintain or grow our revenue will be adversely affected.

Camera manufacturers incorporate components supplied by multiple third parties, and a supply shortage or delay in delivery of these components could delay orders for our solutions by our customers.

Our customers purchase components used in the manufacture of their cameras from various sources of supply, often involving several specialized components, including lenses and sensors. Any supply shortage or delay in delivery by third-party component suppliers, or a third-party supplier's cessation or shut down of its business, may prevent or delay production of our customers' products. For example, in the camera market, the unavailability of complementary

metal-oxide semiconductor, or CMOS, sensors could slow adoption of our solutions in the DSC market. In addition, replacement or substitute components may not be available on commercially reasonable terms, or at all. As a result of delays in delivery or supply shortages of third-party components, orders for our solutions may be delayed or canceled and our business may be harmed. Similarly, errors or defects within a camera system or in the manner in which the various components interact could prevent or delay production of our customers' products, which could harm our business.

Table of Contents

We outsource our wafer fabrication, assembly and testing operations to third parties, and if these parties fail to produce and deliver our products according to requested demands in specification, quantity, cost and time, our reputation, customer relationships and operating results could suffer.

We rely on third parties for substantially all of our manufacturing operations, including wafer fabrication, assembly and testing. Currently, the majority of our SoCs are supplied by Samsung in South Korea, from whom we have the option to purchase both fully assembled and tested products as well as tested die in wafer form for assembly. Samsung subcontracts the assembly and initial testing of the assembled chips it supplies to us to Signetics Corporation and STATS ChipPAC Ltd. In the case of purchases of tested die from Samsung, we contract the assembly to Advanced Semiconductor Engineering, Inc., or ASE. We also have products supplied by Global UniChip Corporation, or GUC, in Taiwan, from whom we purchase fully assembled and tested products. The wafers used by GUC in the assembly of our products are manufactured by TSMC in Taiwan. The assembly is done by GUC subcontracted assembly suppliers ASE, and Powertech Technology Inc, or PTI. Final testing of all of our products is handled by King Yuan Electronics Co., Ltd. or Sigurd Corporation under the supervision of our engineers. We depend on these third parties to supply us with material of a requested quantity in a timely manner that meets our standards for yield, cost and manufacturing quality. We do not have any long-term supply agreements with any of our manufacturing suppliers. If one or more of these vendors terminates its relationship with us, or if we encounter any problems with our manufacturing supply chain, our ability to ship our solutions to our customers on time and in the quantity required would be adversely affected, which in turn could cause an unanticipated decline in our sales and damage our customer relationships.

If our foundry vendors do not achieve satisfactory yields or quality, our reputation and customer relationships could be harmed.

The fabrication of our video and image processing SoC solutions is a complex and technically demanding process. Minor deviations in the manufacturing process can cause substantial decreases in yields, and in some cases, cause production to be suspended. Our foundry vendors, from time to time, experience manufacturing defects and reduced manufacturing yields, including in the fabrication of our SoCs. Changes in manufacturing processes or the inadvertent use of defective or contaminated materials by our foundry vendors could result in lower than anticipated manufacturing yields or unacceptable performance of our SoCs. Many of these problems are difficult to detect at an early stage of the manufacturing process and may be time consuming and expensive to correct. Poor yields from our foundry vendors, or defects, integration issues or other performance problems in our solutions, could cause us significant customer relations and business reputation problems, harm our financial results and give rise to financial or other damages to our customers. Our customers might consequently seek damages from us for their losses. A product liability claim brought against us, even if unsuccessful, would likely be time consuming and costly to defend.

Each of our SoC solutions is manufactured at a single location. If we experience manufacturing problems at a particular location, we would be required to transfer manufacturing to a new location or supplier. Converting or transferring manufacturing from a primary location or supplier to a backup fabrication facility could be expensive and could take two or more quarters. During such a transition, we would be required to meet customer demand from our then-existing inventory, as well as any partially finished goods that could be modified to the required product specifications. We do not seek to maintain sufficient inventory to address a lengthy transition period because we believe it is uneconomical to keep more than minimal inventory on hand. As a result, we may not be able to meet customer needs during such a transition, which could delay shipments, cause production delays, result in a decline in our sales and damage our customer relationships.

We may experience difficulties in transitioning to new wafer fabrication process technologies or in achieving higher levels of design integration, which may result in reduced manufacturing yields, delays in product deliveries and increased costs.

We aim to use the most advanced manufacturing process technology appropriate for our products that is available from our third-party foundries. As a result, we periodically evaluate the benefits of migrating our solutions to smaller geometry process technologies in order to improve performance and reduce costs. We believe this strategy will help us remain competitive. These ongoing efforts require us from time to time to modify the manufacturing processes for our products and to redesign some products, which in turn may result in delays in product deliveries. We may face difficulties, delays and increased expense as we transition our products to new processes and potentially to new foundries. We depend on Samsung and TSMC, as the principal foundries for our products, to transition to new processes successfully. We cannot assure you that Samsung or TSMC will be able to effectively manage such transitions or that we will be able to maintain our relationship with Samsung or TSMC or develop relationships with new foundries. Moreover, as we transition to more advanced process nodes beyond 32nm, we will be increasingly dependent upon Samsung and TSMC, who are two of the only three foundries currently available for certain advanced process technologies. If we or our foundry vendors experience significant delays in transitioning to smaller geometries or fail to efficiently implement transitions, we could experience reduced manufacturing yields, delays in product deliveries and increased costs, all of which could harm our relationships with our customers and our operating results. As new processes become more prevalent, we expect to continue to integrate greater levels of functionality, as well as more end-customer and third-party intellectual property, into our solutions. We may not be able to achieve higher levels of design integration or deliver new integrated solutions on a timely basis.

Table of Contents

We rely on third-party vendors to supply software development tools to us for the development of our new products, and we may be unable to obtain the tools necessary to develop or enhance new or existing products.

We rely on third-party software development tools to assist us in the design, simulation and verification of new products or product enhancements. To bring new products or product enhancements to market in a timely manner, or at all, we need software development tools that are sophisticated enough or technologically advanced enough to complete our design, simulations and verifications. In the future, the design requirements necessary to meet consumer demands for more features and greater functionality from our solutions may exceed the capabilities of available software development tools. Unavailability of software development tools may result in our missing design cycles or losing design wins, either of which could result in a loss of market share or negatively impact our operating results.

Because of the importance of software development tools to the development and enhancement of our solutions, our relationships with leaders in the computer-aided design industry, including Cadence Design Systems, Inc., Mentor Graphics Corporation and Synopsys, Inc., are critical to us. We have invested significant resources to develop relationships with these industry leaders. We believe that utilizing next-generation development tools to design, simulate and verify our products will help us remain at the forefront of the video compression market, and develop solutions that utilize leading-edge technology on a rapid basis. If these relationships are not successful, we may be unable to develop new products or product enhancements in a timely manner, which could result in a loss of market share, a decrease in revenue or negatively impact our operating results.

Our failure to adequately protect our intellectual property rights could impair our ability to compete effectively or defend ourselves from litigation, which could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our success depends, in part, on our ability to protect our intellectual property. We rely primarily on patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, as well as confidentiality and non-disclosure agreements and other contractual protections, to protect our proprietary technologies and know-how, all of which offer only limited protection. The steps we have taken to protect our intellectual property rights may not be adequate to prevent misappropriation of our proprietary information or infringement of our intellectual property rights, and our ability to prevent such misappropriation or infringement is uncertain, particularly in countries outside of the United States. As of October 31, 2013, we had twenty-four issued and allowed patents in the United States plus twelve additional continuation patents, three issued patents in China, two issued in Japan and thirty-five pending and provisional patent applications in the United States. Even if the pending patent applications are granted, the rights granted to us may not be meaningful or provide us with any commercial advantage. For example, these patents could be opposed, contested, circumvented, designed around by our competitors or be declared invalid or unenforceable in judicial or administrative proceedings. The failure of our patents to adequately protect our technology might make it easier for our competitors to offer similar products or technologies. Our foreign patent protection is generally not as comprehensive as our U.S. patent protection and may not protect our intellectual property in some countries where our products are sold or may be sold in the future. Many U.S.-based companies have encountered substantial intellectual property infringement in foreign countries, including countries where we sell products. Even if foreign patents are granted, effective enforcement in foreign countries may not be available. For example, the legal environment relating to intellectual property protection in China is relatively weak, often making it difficult to create and enforce such rights. We may not be able to effectively protect our intellectual property rights in China or elsewhere. If such an impermissible use of our intellectual property or trade secrets were to occur, our ability to sell our solutions at competitive prices may be adversely affected and our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows could be materially and adversely affected.

The legal standards relating to the validity, enforceability and scope of protection of intellectual property rights are uncertain and evolving. We cannot assure you that others will not develop or patent similar or superior technologies,

products or services, or that our patents, trademarks and other intellectual property will not be challenged, invalidated or circumvented by others.

Unauthorized copying or other misappropriation of our proprietary technologies could enable third parties to benefit from our technologies without paying us for doing so, which could harm our business. Monitoring unauthorized use of our intellectual property is difficult and costly. Although we are not aware of any unauthorized use of our intellectual property in the past, it is possible that unauthorized use of our intellectual property may have occurred or may occur without our knowledge. We cannot assure you that the steps we have taken will prevent unauthorized use of our intellectual property. Our failure to effectively protect our intellectual property could reduce the value of our technology in licensing arrangements or in cross-licensing negotiations.

We may in the future need to initiate infringement claims or litigation in order to try to protect our intellectual property rights. Litigation, whether we are a plaintiff or a defendant, can be expensive, time-consuming and may divert the efforts of our technical staff and management, which could harm our business, whether or not such litigation results in a determination favorable to us. Litigation also puts our patents at risk of being invalidated or interpreted narrowly and our patent applications at risk of not issuing. Additionally, any enforcement of our patents or other intellectual property may provoke third parties to assert counterclaims against us. If we are unable to protect our proprietary rights or if third parties independently develop or gain access to our or similar technologies, our business, revenue, reputation and competitive position could be harmed.

Table of Contents

Third parties' assertions of infringement of their intellectual property rights could result in our having to incur significant costs and cause our operating results to suffer.

The semiconductor industry is characterized by vigorous protection and pursuit of intellectual property rights and positions, which has resulted in protracted and expensive litigation for many companies. Certain of our customers have received and, particularly as a public company, we expect that in the future we may receive, communications from others alleging our infringement of their patents, trade secrets or other intellectual property rights. In addition, certain of our end customers have been the subject of lawsuits alleging infringement of intellectual property rights by products incorporating our solutions, including the assertion that the alleged infringement may be attributable, at least in part, to our technology. Lawsuits resulting from such allegations could subject us to significant liability for damages and invalidate our proprietary rights, though this has not occurred to date. Any potential intellectual property litigation also could force us to do one or more of the following:

stop selling products or using technology that contain the allegedly infringing intellectual property;

lose the opportunity to license our technology to others or to collect royalty payments based upon successful protection and assertion of our intellectual property against others;

incur significant legal expenses;

pay substantial damages to the party whose intellectual property rights we may be found to be infringing;

redesign those products that contain the allegedly infringing intellectual property; or

attempt to obtain a license to the relevant intellectual property from third parties, which may not be available on reasonable terms or at all.

Any significant impairment of our intellectual property rights from any litigation we face could harm our business and our ability to compete.

Any potential dispute involving our patents or other intellectual property could affect our customers, which could trigger our indemnification obligations to them and result in substantial expense to us.

In any potential dispute involving our patents or other intellectual property, our customers could also become the target of litigation. Certain of our customers have received notices from third parties claiming to have patent rights in certain technology and inviting our customers to license this technology, and certain of our end customers have been the subject of lawsuits alleging infringement of patents by products incorporating our solutions, including the assertion that the alleged infringement may be attributable, at least in part, to our technology. Because we indemnify our customers for intellectual property claims made against them for products incorporating our technology, any litigation could trigger technical support and indemnification obligations under some of our license agreements, which could result in substantial expense to us. Although we have not incurred indemnity expenses related to intellectual

property claims to date, we anticipate that we will receive requests for indemnity in the future pursuant to our license agreements with our customers. In addition, other customers or end customers with whom we do not have formal agreements requiring us to indemnify them may ask us to indemnify them if a claim is made as a condition to awarding future design wins to us. Because some of our ODMs and OEMs are larger than we are and have greater resources than we do, they may be more likely to be the target of an infringement claim by third parties than we would be, which could increase our chances of becoming involved in a future lawsuit. Although we have not yet been subject to such claims, if any such claims were to succeed, we might be forced to pay damages on behalf of our ODMs or OEMs that could increase our expenses, disrupt our ability to sell our solutions and reduce our revenue. In addition to the time and expense required for us to supply support or indemnification to our customers, any such litigation could severely disrupt or shut down the business of our customers, which in turn could hurt our relations with our customers and cause the sale of our products to decrease.

We rely on third parties to provide services and technology necessary for the operation of our business. Any failure of one or more of our vendors, suppliers or licensors to provide such services or technology could harm our business.

We rely on third-party vendors to provide critical services, including, among other things, services related to accounting, human resources, information technology and network monitoring that we cannot or do not create or provide ourselves. We depend on these vendors to ensure that our corporate infrastructure will consistently meet our business requirements. The ability of these third-party vendors to successfully provide reliable and high-quality services is subject to technical and operational uncertainties that are beyond our control. While we may be entitled to damages if our vendors fail to perform under their agreements with us, our agreements with these vendors limit the amount of damages we may receive. In addition, we do not know whether we will be able to collect on any award of damages or that these damages would be sufficient to cover the actual costs we would incur as a result of any vendor's failure to perform under its agreement with us. Upon expiration or termination of any of our agreements with third-party vendors, we may not be able to replace the services provided to us in a timely manner or on terms and conditions, including service levels and cost, that are favorable to us, and a transition from one vendor to another vendor could subject us to operational delays and inefficiencies until the transition is complete.

Table of Contents

Additionally, we incorporate third-party technology into some of our products, and we may do so in future products. The operation of our products could be impaired if errors occur in the third-party technology we use. It may be more difficult for us to correct any errors in a timely manner, if at all, because the development and maintenance of the technology is not within our control. We cannot assure you that these third parties will continue to make their technology, or improvements to the technology, available to us, or that they will continue to support and maintain their technology. Further, due to the limited number of vendors of some types of technology, it may be difficult to obtain new licenses or replace existing technology. Any impairment of the technology of or our relationship with these third parties could harm our business.

Failure to comply with the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, or FCPA, and similar laws associated with our activities outside of the United States could subject us to penalties and other adverse consequences.

We face significant risks if we fail to comply with the FCPA and other anti-corruption laws that prohibit improper payments or offers of payment to foreign governments and political parties by us for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business. In many foreign countries, particularly in countries with developing economies, it may be a local custom that businesses operating in such countries engage in business practices that are prohibited by the FCPA or other applicable laws and regulations. We are in the early stages of implementing our FCPA compliance program and cannot assure you that all of our employees and agents, as well as those companies to which we outsource certain of our business operations, will not take actions in violation of our policies and applicable law, for which we may be ultimately held responsible. Any violation of the FCPA or other applicable anti-corruption laws could result in severe criminal or civil sanctions and, in the case of the FCPA, suspension or debarment from U.S. government contracting, which could have a material and adverse effect on our reputation, business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

We, our customers and third-party contractors are subject to increasingly complex environmental regulations and compliance with these regulations may delay or interrupt our operations and adversely affect our business.

We face increasing complexity in our procurement, design, and research and development operations as a result of requirements relating to the materials composition of our products, including the European Union's (EU's) Restriction on the Use of Certain Hazardous Substances in Electrical and Electronic Equipment (RoHS) directive, which restricts the content of lead and certain other hazardous substances in specified electronic products put on the market in the EU and similar Chinese legislation relating to marking of electronic products which became effective in March 2007. Failure to comply with these and similar laws and regulations could subject us to fines, penalties, civil or criminal sanctions, contract damage claims, and take-back of non-compliant products, which could harm our business, reputation and operating results. The passage of similar requirements in additional jurisdictions or the tightening of these standards in jurisdictions where our products are already subject to such requirements could cause us to incur significant expenditures to make our products compliant with new requirements, or could limit the markets into which we may sell our products.

Some of our operations, as well as the operations of our contract manufacturers and foundry vendors and other suppliers, are also regulated under various other federal, state, local, foreign and international environmental laws and requirements, including those governing, among other matters, the management, disposal, handling, use, labeling of, and exposure to hazardous substances, and the discharge of pollutants into the air and water. Liability under environmental laws can be joint and several and without regard to comparative fault. We cannot assure you that violations of these laws will not occur in the future, as a result of human error, accident, equipment failure or other causes. Environmental laws and regulations have increasingly become more stringent over time. For example, there is a movement to improve the transparency and accountability concerning the supply of minerals coming from the conflict zones in and around the Democratic Republic of Congo. Recent U.S. legislation includes disclosure

requirements regarding the use of conflict minerals mined from the Democratic Republic of Congo and adjoining countries. The implementation of these requirements could affect the sourcing and availability of minerals used in the manufacture of semiconductor devices. As a result, there may only be a limited pool of suppliers who provide conflict-free metals, and we cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain products in sufficient quantities or at competitive prices. Furthermore, we may incur additional costs associated with complying with these disclosure requirements, including costs related to determining the source of any conflict minerals in our products. Also, we may face reputational challenges with our customers and other stakeholders if we are unable to sufficiently verify the origins for all metals used in our products. Some customers may require that all of our products are certified to be conflict-free and, if we cannot satisfy these customers, they may choose a competitor's products. We expect that our products and operations will be affected by new environmental requirements on an ongoing basis, which will likely result in additional costs, which could adversely affect our business.

Our failure to comply with present and future environmental, health and safety laws could cause us to incur substantial costs, result in civil or criminal fines and penalties and decreased revenue, which could adversely affect our operating results. Failure by our foundry vendors or other suppliers to comply with applicable environmental laws and requirements could cause disruptions and delays in our product shipments, which could adversely affect our relations with our ODMs and OEMs and adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Table of Contents

We are subject to warranty and product liability claims and to product recalls.

From time to time, we are subject to warranty claims that may require us to make significant expenditures to defend these claims or pay damage awards. In the future, we may also be subject to product liability claims resulting from failure of our solutions. In the event of a warranty claim, we may also incur costs if we compensate the affected customer. We maintain product liability insurance, but this insurance is limited in amount and subject to significant deductibles. There is no guarantee that our insurance will be available or adequate to protect against all claims. We also may incur costs and expenses relating to a recall of one of our customers' products containing one of our devices. The process of identifying a recalled product in consumer devices that have been widely distributed may be lengthy and require significant resources, and we may incur significant replacement costs, contract damage claims from our customers and reputational harm. Costs or payments made in connection with warranty and product liability claims and product recalls could harm our financial condition and results of operations.

Rapidly changing industry standards could make our video and image processing solutions obsolete, which would cause our operating results to suffer.

We design our video and image processing solutions to conform to video compression standards, including MPEG-2 and H.264, set by industry standards setting bodies such as ITU-T Video Coding Experts Group and the ISO/IEC Moving Picture Experts Group. Generally, our solutions comprise only a part of a camera or broadcast infrastructure equipment device. All components of these devices must uniformly comply with industry standards in order to operate efficiently together. We depend on companies that provide other components of the devices to support prevailing industry standards. Many of these companies are significantly larger and more influential in driving industry standards than we are. Some industry standards may not be widely adopted or implemented uniformly, and competing standards may emerge that may be preferred by our customers or by consumers. If our customers or the suppliers that provide other device components a