

National Interstate CORP
Form 10-Q
November 02, 2012
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

x **Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**
For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012

OR

.. **Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**
For the transition period from to .

Commission File Number 000-51130

National Interstate Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: National Interstate CORP - Form 10-Q

Ohio
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

34-1607394
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

3250 Interstate Drive
Richfield, Ohio 44286-9000
(330) 659-8900

(Address and telephone number of principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer

Non-Accelerated Filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's sole class of common shares as of October 31, 2012 was 19,536,820.

Table of Contents

National Interstate Corporation

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>Part I - Financial Information</u>	3
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u>	5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity</u>	6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	7
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	8
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	20
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	33
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	33
<u>Part II - Other Information</u>	33
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	33
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	34
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	34

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. Financial Statements****National Interstate Corporation and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Balance Sheets****(In thousands, except per share data)**

	September 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2011
ASSETS		
Investments:		
Fixed maturities available-for-sale, at fair value (amortized cost \$945,801 and \$911,363, respectively)	\$ 992,146	\$ 937,619
Equity securities available-for-sale, at fair value (amortized cost \$24,573 and \$30,987, respectively)	28,616	31,750
Other invested assets	35,272	28,061
Total investments	1,056,034	997,430
Cash and cash equivalents	31,581	23,674
Accrued investment income	9,233	9,160
Premiums receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2,706 and \$2,662, respectively	190,915	171,518
Reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid losses	192,955	199,081
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	33,251	33,225
Deferred policy acquisition costs	26,359	24,603
Deferred federal income taxes	17,000	26,241
Property and equipment, net	24,671	24,419
Funds held by reinsurer	3,988	3,427
Intangible assets, net	8,426	8,660
Prepaid expenses and other assets	1,826	1,940
Total assets	\$ 1,596,239	\$ 1,523,378
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
Liabilities:		
Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$ 791,846	\$ 776,576
Unearned premiums and service fees	251,214	232,548
Debt	22,000	22,000
Amounts withheld or retained for accounts of others	62,411	62,531
Reinsurance balances payable	21,046	23,593
Accounts payable and other liabilities	45,493	41,640
Commissions payable	11,122	10,785
Assessments and fees payable	5,220	4,806
Total liabilities	1,210,352	1,174,479
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred shares - no par value		
Authorized - 10,000 shares		
Issued - 0 shares		
Common shares - \$0.01 par value		
Authorized - 50,000 shares		
Issued - 23,350 shares, including 3,874 and 3,952 shares, respectively, in treasury	234	234

Edgar Filing: National Interstate CORP - Form 10-Q

Additional paid-in capital	52,800	51,295
Retained earnings	305,587	285,403
Accumulated other comprehensive income	32,752	17,561
Treasury shares	(5,486)	(5,594)
Total shareholders' equity	385,887	348,899
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 1,596,239	\$ 1,523,378

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**National Interstate Corporation and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Income****(Unaudited)****(In thousands, except per share data)**

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenues:				
Premiums earned	\$ 115,083	\$ 107,947	\$ 336,074	\$ 319,550
Net investment income	8,713	7,623	26,849	22,321
Net realized gains on investments (*)	879	428	3,042	2,944
Other	831	860	2,474	2,830
Total revenues	125,506	116,858	368,439	347,645
Expenses:				
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	84,453	80,387	247,866	233,616
Commissions and other underwriting expenses	22,534	23,134	66,704	65,046
Other operating and general expenses	4,865	4,224	14,364	12,860
Expense on amounts withheld	948	909	2,959	2,728
Interest expense	133	58	258	167
Total expenses	112,933	108,712	332,151	314,417
Income before income taxes	12,573	8,146	36,288	33,228
Provision for income taxes	3,554	2,317	10,258	9,922
Net income	\$ 9,019	\$ 5,829	\$ 26,030	\$ 23,306
Net income per share basic	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.30	\$ 1.34	\$ 1.20
Net income per share diluted	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.30	\$ 1.33	\$ 1.20
Weighted average of common shares outstanding basic	19,438	19,368	19,420	19,367
Weighted average of common shares outstanding diluted	19,574	19,494	19,552	19,477
Cash dividends per common share	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.27

(*) Consists of the following:

Net realized gains before impairment losses	\$ 1,149	\$ 574	\$ 3,456	\$ 3,090
Total losses on securities with impairment charges	(270)	(146)	(414)	(146)
Non-credit portion recognized in other comprehensive income				
Net impairment charges recognized in earnings	(270)	(146)	(414)	(146)

Edgar Filing: National Interstate CORP - Form 10-Q

Net realized gains on investments	\$	879	\$	428	\$	3,042	\$	2,944
-----------------------------------	----	-----	----	-----	----	-------	----	-------

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**National Interstate Corporation and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands)**

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net Income	\$ 9,019	\$ 5,829	\$ 26,030	\$ 23,306
Other comprehensive income, before tax:				
Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities:				
Net unrealized holding gains on securities arising during the period	13,809	2,387	25,859	13,864
Reclassification adjustment for net realized gains included in net income	(55)	26	(2,489)	(1,779)
Total other comprehensive income, before tax	13,754	2,413	23,370	12,085
Deferred income taxes on other comprehensive income	4,814	845	8,179	4,230
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	8,940	1,568	15,191	7,855
Total comprehensive income	\$ 17,959	\$ 7,397	\$ 41,221	\$ 31,161

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**National Interstate Corporation and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands)**

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Treasury Stock	Total
Balance at January 1, 2012	\$ 234	\$ 51,295	\$ 285,403	\$ 17,561	\$ (5,594)	\$ 348,899
Net income			26,030			26,030
Other comprehensive income, net of tax				15,191		15,191
Comprehensive income						41,221
Dividends on common stock			(5,846)			(5,846)
Issuance of 77,938 treasury shares upon exercise of options and restricted stock issued, net of forfeitures		992			108	1,100
Net tax effect from exercise/vesting of stock-based compensation		31				31
Stock compensation expense		482				482
Balance at September 30, 2012	\$ 234	\$ 52,800	\$ 305,587	\$ 32,752	\$ (5,486)	\$ 385,887
Balance at January 1, 2011	\$ 234	\$ 50,273	\$ 258,473	\$ 6,251	\$ (5,653)	\$ 309,578
Cumulative effect of accounting change			(1,612)			(1,612)
Balance at January 1, 2011, as adjusted	234	50,273	256,861	6,251	(5,653)	307,966
Net income			23,306			23,306
Other comprehensive income, net of tax				7,855		7,855
Comprehensive income						31,161
Dividends on common stock			(5,254)			(5,254)
Issuance of 11,829 treasury shares upon exercise of options and restricted stock issued, net of forfeitures		(126)			17	(109)
Net tax effect from exercise/vesting of stock-based compensation		79				79
Stock compensation expense		563				563
Balance at September 30, 2011	\$ 234	\$ 50,789	\$ 274,913	\$ 14,106	\$ (5,636)	\$ 334,406

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**National Interstate Corporation and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(Unaudited)****(Dollars in thousands)**

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011
Operating activities		
Net income	\$ 26,030	\$ 23,306
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Net amortization of bond premiums and discounts	5,163	7,326
Provision for depreciation and amortization	3,476	2,881
Net realized gains on investment securities	(3,042)	(2,944)
Deferred federal income taxes	1,062	(1,890)
Stock compensation expense	482	563
Increase in deferred policy acquisition costs, net	(1,756)	(4,947)
Increase (decrease) in reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses	15,270	(1,289)
Increase in premiums receivable	(19,397)	(23,513)
Increase in unearned premiums and service fees	18,666	29,247
(Increase) decrease in interest receivable and other assets	(520)	1,258
Increase in prepaid reinsurance premiums	(26)	(2,936)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable, commissions and other liabilities and assessments and fees payable	4,604	(6,287)
(Decrease) increase in amounts withheld or retained for accounts of others	(120)	38
Decrease in reinsurance recoverable	6,126	6,171
(Decrease) increase in reinsurance balances payable	(2,547)	9,932
Other	(44)	(65)
Net cash provided by operating activities	53,427	36,851
Investing activities		
Purchases of fixed maturities	(193,849)	(287,515)
Purchases of equity securities	(2,580)	(21,888)
Proceeds from sale of fixed maturities	33,732	70,700
Proceeds from sale of equity securities	12,537	6,090
Proceeds from maturities and redemptions of investments	119,505	192,712
Change in other investments, net	(6,700)	(13,000)
Collection of amounts refundable on purchase price of Vanliner		14,256
Capital expenditures	(3,450)	(2,109)
Net cash used in investing activities	(40,805)	(40,754)
Financing activities		
Additional debt borrowings		2,000
Net tax effect from exercise/vesting of stock-based compensation	31	79
Issuance of common shares from treasury upon exercise of stock options or stock award grants	1,100	(109)
Cash dividends paid on common shares	(5,846)	(5,254)
Net cash used in financing activities	(4,715)	(3,284)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	7,907	(7,187)

Edgar Filing: National Interstate CORP - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	23,674	27,054
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 31,581	\$ 19,867

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**NATIONAL INTERSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)****1. Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of National Interstate Corporation (the Company) and its subsidiaries have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and the instructions to Form 10-Q.

The unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries, National Interstate Insurance Company (NIIC), Hudson Indemnity, Ltd., National Interstate Insurance Company of Hawaii, Inc. (NIIC-HI), Triumphe Casualty Company (TCC), National Interstate Insurance Agency, Inc. (NIIA), Hudson Management Group, Ltd., Vanliner Group, Inc., Vanliner Insurance Company (VIC), Vanliner Reinsurance Limited, American Highways Insurance Agency, Inc., Explorer RV Insurance Agency, Inc., Safety, Claims and Litigation Services, LLC and TransProtection Service Company. Significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

These interim unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. The interim financial statements reflect all adjustments which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for the fair presentation of the results for the periods presented. Such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. Operating results for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year ending December 31, 2012.

The preparation of the financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Changes in circumstances could cause actual results to differ materially from those estimates. Certain reclassifications have been made to financial information presented for prior years to conform to the current year's presentation. All adjustments to financial information for prior years pertain to the retrospective adoption of Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-26, *Financial Services - Insurance* (ASU 2010-26), as discussed in Note 2 - Recent Accounting Pronouncements.

2. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Effective January 1, 2012, the Company retrospectively adopted ASU 2010-26. ASU 2010-26 amends Accounting Standard Codification 944, *Financial Services - Insurance*, limiting the capitalization of costs incurred in the successful acquisition of new and renewal contracts to incremental direct costs of contract acquisition and certain costs related directly to certain acquisition activities performed by the insurer of the contract, which primarily consist of commissions, premium taxes and assessments. The retrospective adoption of ASU 2010-26 resulted in fewer acquisition costs being capitalized by the Company.

The impact of adoption on amounts previously reported is shown in the tables below (in thousands, except per share data):

	December 31, 2011
Deferred policy acquisition costs	
As previously reported	\$ 27,205
As adjusted	24,603
Deferred federal income taxes	
As previously reported	\$ 25,330
As adjusted	26,241
Shareholders' equity	
As previously reported	\$ 350,590
As adjusted	348,899

Table of Contents

	2011				
	1 st Quarter	2 nd Quarter	3 rd Quarter	4 th Quarter	Full Year
Commissions and other underwriting expenses					
As previously reported	\$ 20,325	\$ 21,196	\$ 23,103	\$ 23,113	\$ 87,737
As adjusted	20,495	21,417	23,134	22,814	87,860
Net income					
As previously reported	\$ 9,528	\$ 8,203	\$ 5,849	\$ 12,048	\$ 35,628
As adjusted	9,418	8,059	5,829	12,242	35,548
Net income per share diluted					
As previously reported	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.62	\$ 1.83
As adjusted	0.48	0.41	0.30	0.63	1.82

Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 were \$20.0 million and \$58.8 million, respectively, compared to \$20.9 million and \$58.2 million for the same periods in 2011.

Effective January 1, 2012, the Company retrospectively adopted Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05, *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income* (ASU 2011-05). ASU 2011-05 eliminates the option to report components of other comprehensive income in the statement of shareholders' equity. As permitted by the standard, comprehensive income is presented herein in a separate statement immediately following the Statements of Income. As the updated guidance only required a change in the format of information already disclosed, the adoption did not have an impact on the Company's cash flows, financial condition, net income or comprehensive income.

Effective January 1, 2012, the Company adopted Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04, *Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS* (ASU 2011-04). ASU 2011-04 clarifies the application of existing fair value measurement and amends certain disclosure requirements. Disclosures required by the guidance are included in Note 3 Fair Value Measurements. The impact of adoption was not material to the Company's results of operations or financial position.

Effective January 1, 2013, the Company will adopt Accounting Standards Update No. 2012-02, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment* (ASU 2012-02). ASU 2012-02 provides additional guidance in performing impairment tests for indefinite-lived intangible assets by simplifying how an entity tests those assets for impairment. The update allows an entity to make a qualitative assessment about the likelihood that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired to determine whether it should perform a quantitative impairment test. The adoption is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

3. Fair Value Measurements

The Company must determine the appropriate level in the fair value hierarchy for each applicable measurement. The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs, which refer broadly to assumptions market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability, into three levels. It gives the highest priority to quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs. The level in the fair value hierarchy within which a fair value measurement in its entirety falls is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's management is responsible for the valuation process and uses data from outside sources (including nationally recognized pricing services and broker/dealers) in establishing fair value.

Pricing services use a variety of observable inputs to estimate the fair value of fixed maturities that do not trade on a daily basis. These inputs include, but are not limited to, recent reported trades, benchmark yields, issuer spreads, bids or offers, reference data and measures of volatility. Included in the pricing of mortgage-backed securities are estimates of the rate of future prepayments and defaults of principal over the remaining life of the underlying collateral. Inputs from brokers and independent financial institutions include, but are not limited to, yields or spreads of comparable investments which have recent trading activity, credit quality, duration, credit enhancements, collateral value and estimated cash flows based on inputs including delinquency rates, estimated defaults and losses and estimates of the rate of future prepayments. Valuation techniques utilized by pricing services and prices obtained from external sources are reviewed by the Company's internal investment professionals who are familiar with the securities being priced and the markets in which they trade to ensure the fair value determination is representative of an exit price. To validate the appropriateness of the prices obtained, the Company's internal investment professionals, who report to the Chief Investment Officer, compared the valuation received to an independent third party pricing source and considered widely published indices (as benchmarks), recent trades, changes in interest rates, general economic conditions and the credit quality of the specific issuers. If the Company believes that significant discrepancies exist, the Company will perform additional procedures, which may include specific inquiry of the pricing service, to resolve the discrepancies.

Edgar Filing: National Interstate CORP - Form 10-Q

Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical securities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date. Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices within Level 1 that are observable for the

Table of Contents

security, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar securities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar securities that are not active and observable inputs other than quoted prices, such as interest rate and yield curves. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.

Level 1 consists of publicly traded equity securities and highly liquid, direct obligations of the U.S. Government whose fair value is based on quoted prices that are readily and regularly available in an active market. Level 2 primarily consists of financial instruments whose fair value is based on quoted prices in markets that are not active and include U.S. government agency securities, fixed maturity investments and perpetual preferred stocks that are not actively traded. Included in Level 2 are \$5.1 million of securities, which are valued based upon a non-binding broker quote and validated with other observable market data by management. Level 3 consists of financial instruments that are not traded in an active market, whose fair value is estimated by management based on inputs from independent financial institutions, which include non-binding broker quotes, which the Company believes reflects fair value, but for which the Company is unable to verify inputs to the valuation methodology. The Company obtained at least one quote or price per instrument from its brokers and pricing services for all Level 3 securities and did not adjust any quotes or prices that it obtained. The Company's internal investment professionals, who report to the Chief Investment Officer, review these broker quotes using any recent trades, if such information is available, or market prices of similar investments. The Company primarily uses the market approach valuation technique for all investments.

The following table presents the Company's investment portfolio, categorized by the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fall as of September 30, 2012:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Fixed maturities:				
U.S. Government and government agency obligations	\$ 2,073	\$ 107,851	\$	\$ 109,924
Foreign government obligations		5,672		5,672
State and local government obligations		367,720	818	368,538
Residential mortgage-backed securities		214,792		214,792
Commercial mortgage-backed securities		46,544		46,544
Corporate obligations		234,964	7,467	242,431
Redeemable preferred stocks	3,763		482	4,245
Total fixed maturities	5,836	977,543	8,767	992,146
Equity securities:				
Common stocks	24,125			24,125
Perpetual preferred stocks	1,903	2,192	396	4,491
Total equity securities	26,028	2,192	396	28,616
Total fixed maturities and equity securities	31,864	979,735	9,163	1,020,762
Cash and cash equivalents	31,581			31,581
Total fixed maturities, equity securities and cash and cash equivalents at fair value	\$ 63,445	\$ 979,735	\$ 9,163	\$ 1,052,343

The following table presents the Company's investment portfolio, categorized by the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fell as of December 31, 2011:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Fixed maturities:				
U.S. Government and government agency obligations	\$ 2,637	\$ 101,370	\$	\$ 104,007
Foreign government obligations		5,723		5,723
State and local government obligations		338,675	1,572	340,247

Edgar Filing: National Interstate CORP - Form 10-Q

Residential mortgage-backed securities		225,026		225,026
Commercial mortgage-backed securities		23,484		23,484
Corporate obligations		222,263	7,256	229,519
Redeemable preferred stocks	8,983	158	472	9,613
Total fixed maturities	11,620	916,699	9,300	937,619
Equity securities:				
Common stocks		30,323		30,323
Perpetual preferred stocks	955	76	396	1,427
Total equity securities	31,278	76	396	31,750
Total fixed maturities and equity securities	42,898	916,775	9,696	969,369
Cash and cash equivalents		23,674		23,674
Total fixed maturities, equity securities and cash and cash equivalents at fair value	\$ 66,572	\$ 916,775	\$ 9,696	\$ 993,043

Table of Contents

The tables above exclude investments in limited partnerships accounted for under the equity method of \$35.3 million and \$28.1 million (included in other invested assets) at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Equity method investments are not reported at fair value.

The Company uses the end of the reporting period as its policy for determining transfers into and out of each level. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012 there were four perpetual preferred stocks totaling \$26 thousand that transferred from Level 1 to Level 2, and one \$0.2 million redeemable preferred stock that transferred from Level 2 to Level 1 due to changes in trading activity. The following table presents a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for all investments measured at fair value on a recurring basis using Level 3 inputs for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012			
	State and Local Government Obligations	Corporate Obligations	Redeemable Preferred Stock	Perpetual Preferred Stock
Beginning balance at July 1, 2012	\$ 1,628	\$ 7,242	\$ 478	\$ 396
Total gains or (losses):				
Included in earnings				
Included in other comprehensive income	190	266	4	
Purchases and issuances				
Sales, settlements and redemptions	(1,000)	(41)		
Transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3				
Ending balance at September 30, 2012	\$ 818	\$ 7,467	\$ 482	\$ 396
The amount of total gains or (losses) for the period included in earnings and attributable to the change in unrealized gains or (losses) relating to assets still held at the reporting date	\$	\$	\$	\$

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012			
	State and Local Government Obligations	Corporate Obligations	Redeemable Preferred Stock	Perpetual Preferred Stock
Beginning balance at January 1, 2012	\$ 1,572	\$ 7,256	\$ 472	\$ 396
Total gains or (losses):				
Included in earnings				
Included in other comprehensive income	246	379	10	
Purchases and issuances				
Sales, settlements and redemptions	(1,000)	(168)		
Transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3				
Ending balance at September 30, 2012	\$ 818	\$ 7,467	\$ 482	\$ 396
The amount of total gains or (losses) for the period included in earnings and attributable to the change in unrealized gains or (losses) relating to assets still held at the reporting date	\$	\$	\$	\$

Table of Contents

The following table presents a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for all investments measured at fair value on a recurring basis using Level 3 inputs for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2011			
	State and Local Government Obligations	Corporate Obligations	Redeemable Preferred Stock	Perpetual Preferred Stock
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Beginning balance at July 1, 2011	\$ 4,301	\$ 2,216	\$ 447	\$ 396
Total gains or (losses):				
Included in earnings				
Included in other comprehensive income	129	(78)	20	
Purchases and issuances				
Sales, settlements and redemptions	(2,500)	(118)		
Transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3				
Ending balance at September 30, 2011	\$ 1,930	\$ 2,020	\$ 467	\$ 396
The amount of total gains or (losses) for the period included in earnings and attributable to the change in unrealized gains or (losses) relating to assets still held at the reporting date	\$	\$	\$	\$

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011			
	State and Local Government Obligations	Corporate Obligations	Redeemable Preferred Stock	Perpetual Preferred Stock
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Beginning balance at January 1, 2011	\$ 3,992	\$ 2,290	\$ 2,429	\$ 396
Total gains or (losses):				
Included in earnings				
Included in other comprehensive income	438	(17)	38	
Purchases and issuances				
Sales, settlements and redemptions	(2,500)	(253)	(2,000)	
Transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3				
Ending balance at September 30, 2011	\$ 1,930	\$ 2,020	\$ 467	\$ 396
The amount of total gains or (losses) for the period included in earnings and attributable to the change in unrealized gains or (losses) relating to assets still held at the reporting date	\$	\$	\$	\$

At September 30, 2012 the Company had eight securities with a fair value of \$9.2 million that are included in Level 3, which represented 0.9% of its total investments reported at fair value. The significant unobservable inputs used by the brokers and pricing services in establishing fair values of the Company's Level 3 securities are primarily spreads to U.S. Treasury rates and discounts to comparable securities. The specifics of such spreads and discounts were not made available to the Company. Significant increases (decreases) on spreads to U.S. Treasury rates and discount spreads to comparable securities would result in lower (higher) fair value measurements. Generally, a change in the assumption used for determining a spread is accompanied by market factors that warrant an adjustment for the credit risk and liquidity premium of the security. As the total fair value of Level 3 securities is 2.4% of the Company's shareholders' equity at September 30, 2012, any change in unobservable inputs would not have a material impact on the Company's financial position.

4. Investments

Under current other-than-temporary impairment accounting guidance, if management can assert that it does not intend to sell an impaired fixed maturity security and it is not more likely than not that it will have to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, then an entity may separate the other-than-temporary impairments into two components: 1) the amount related to credit losses (recorded in earnings) and 2) the amount related to all other factors (recorded in other comprehensive income (loss)). The credit related portion of an other-than-temporary impairment is measured by comparing a security's amortized cost to the present value of its current expected cash flows discounted at its effective yield prior to the impairment charge. If management intends to sell an impaired security, or it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the security before recovery, an impairment charge recorded in earnings is required to reduce the amortized cost of that security to fair value.

Table of Contents

The cost or amortized cost and fair value of investments in fixed maturities and equity securities are as follows:

	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
(Dollars in thousands)				
September 30, 2012:				
Fixed Maturities:				
U.S. Government and government agency obligations	\$ 102,910	\$ 7,028	\$ (14)	\$ 109,924
Foreign government obligations	5,606	66		5,672
State and local government obligations	350,362	18,372	(196)	368,538
Residential mortgage-backed securities	209,224	7,107	(1,539)	214,792
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	45,313	1,448	(217)	46,544
Corporate obligations	228,222	14,525	(316)	242,431
Redeemable preferred stocks	4,164	114	(33)	4,245
Total fixed maturities	945,801	48,660	(2,315)	992,146
Equity securities:				
Common stocks	20,330	3,828	(33)	24,125
Perpetual preferred stocks	4,243	254	(6)	4,491
Total equity securities	24,573	4,082	(39)	28,616
Total fixed maturities and equity securities	\$ 970,374	\$ 52,742	\$ (2,354)	\$ 1,020,762
December 31, 2011:				
Fixed Maturities:				
U.S. Government and government agency obligations	\$ 97,445	\$ 6,566	\$ (4)	\$ 104,007
Foreign government obligations	5,664	65	(6)	5,723
State and local government obligations	327,459	13,272	(484)	340,247
Residential mortgage-backed securities	223,960	4,815	(3,749)	225,026
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	23,104	464	(84)	23,484
Corporate obligations	223,563	7,774	(1,818)	229,519
Redeemable preferred stocks	10,168	182	(737)	9,613
Total fixed maturities	911,363	33,138	(6,882)	937,619
Equity securities:				
Common stocks	29,678	1,765	(1,120)	30,323
Perpetual preferred stocks	1,309	142	(24)	1,427
Total equity securities	30,987	1,907	(1,144)	31,750
Total fixed maturities and equity securities	\$ 942,350	\$ 35,045	\$ (8,026)	\$ 969,369

The table above excludes investments in limited partnerships accounted for under the equity method of \$35.3 million and \$28.1 million (included in other invested assets) at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Equity method investments are not reported at fair value.

State and local government obligations represented approximately 37.1% of the Company's fixed maturity portfolio at September 30, 2012, with approximately \$288.6 million, or 78.3%, of the Company's state and local government obligations held in special revenue obligations, and the remaining amount held in general obligations. The Company's state and local government obligations portfolio is high quality, as 99.3% of such securities were rated investment grade (as determined by nationally recognized agencies) at September 30, 2012. The Company had no state and local government obligations for any state, municipality or political subdivision that comprised 10% or more of the total amortized cost or fair value of such obligations at September 30, 2012.

Edgar Filing: National Interstate CORP - Form 10-Q

The amortized cost and fair value of fixed maturities at September 30, 2012, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. The average life of mortgage-backed securities is 3.2 years in the Company's investment portfolio.

Table of Contents

Amortized cost and fair value of the fixed maturities in the Company's investment portfolio were as follows:

	Amortized Cost (Dollars in thousands)	Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 18,732	\$ 19,023
Due after one year through five years	220,033	234,024
Due after five years through ten years	314,530	335,400
Due after ten years	137,969	142,363
	691,264	730,810
Mortgage-backed securities	254,537	261,336
Total	\$ 945,801	\$ 992,146

Gains and losses on the sale of investments, including other-than-temporary impairment charges and other investments' gains or losses, were as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(Dollars in thousands)		(Dollars in thousands)	
Fixed maturity gains	\$ 351	\$ 1,591	\$ 2,302	\$ 2,299
Fixed maturity losses	(167)		(250)	
Equity security gains	514	35	1,462	1,313
Equity security losses	(269)	(215)	(983)	(215)
Other investments, net gains (losses)	450	(983)	511	(453)
Net realized gains on investments	\$ 879	\$ 428	\$ 3,042	\$ 2,944

Pre-tax net realized gains on investments of \$0.9 million and \$3.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 were primarily generated from net realized gains associated with the sales or redemptions of securities of \$0.7 million and \$2.9 million, respectively. The gains on equity and fixed maturity securities were primarily due to favorable market conditions that increased the value of securities over book value. Equity partnership investments generated net gains of \$0.5 million for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. Offsetting these gains were other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 on several equity securities due to the uncertainty surrounding the timing and extent of recovery.

Pre-tax net realized gains were \$0.4 million and \$2.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. The net realized gains for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were generated from net realized gains associated with the sales of securities of \$1.5 million and \$3.5 million, respectively, which were primarily from common stocks, corporate and municipal obligations. Partially offsetting these gains were net losses associated with equity partnership investments of \$1.0 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, and an other-than-temporary impairment charge of \$0.1 million for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 on several equity securities.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the Company's gross unrealized losses on fixed maturities and equity securities and the length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position:

	Less than Twelve Months				Twelve Months or More			
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value as % of Cost	Number of Holdings	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value as % of Cost	Number of Holdings
	(Dollars in thousands)							
September 30, 2012:								
Fixed maturities:								
U.S. Government and government agency obligations	\$ 5,792	\$ (14)	99.8%	3	\$	\$		
State and local government obligations	7,400	(12)	99.8%	4	1,852	(184)	91.0%	2
Residential mortgage-backed securities	24,898	(175)	99.3%	14	13,407	(1,364)	90.8%	8
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	4,888	(217)	95.7%	2				
Corporate obligations	8,979	(209)	97.7%	27	1,612	(107)	93.8%	8
Redeemable preferred stocks	622	(3)	99.5%	1	2,221	(30)	98.7%	4
Total fixed maturities	52,579	(630)	98.8%	51	19,092	(1,685)	91.9%	22
Equity securities:								
Common stocks	384	(33)	92.1%	5				
Perpetual preferred stocks	3	(6)	33.3%	2				
Total equity securities	387	(39)	90.8%	7				
Total fixed maturities and equity securities	\$ 52,966	\$ (669)	98.8%	58	\$ 19,092	\$ (1,685)	91.2%	22
December 31, 2011:								
Fixed maturities:								
U.S. Government and government agency obligations	\$ 959	\$ (4)	99.6%	2	\$	\$		
Foreign government obligations	1,029	(6)	99.4%	1				
State and local government obligations	16,356	(28)	99.8%	6	3,633	(456)	88.8%	4
Residential mortgage-backed securities	54,588	(1,021)	98.2%	21	12,038	(2,728)	81.5%	8
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	5,040	(17)	99.7%	2	2,461	(67)	97.3%	1
Corporate obligations	48,786	(1,677)	96.7%	95	1,966	(141)	93.3%	2
Redeemable preferred stocks	426	(50)	89.5%	2	2,693	(687)	79.7%	6
Total fixed maturities	127,184	(2,803)	97.8%	129	22,791	(4,079)	84.8%	21
Equity securities:								
Common stocks	13,198	(1,120)	92.2%	39				
Perpetual preferred stocks	600	(24)	96.2%	3				
Total equity securities	13,798	(1,144)	92.3%	42				
Total fixed maturities and equity securities	\$ 140,982	\$ (3,947)	97.3%	171	\$ 22,791	\$ (4,079)	84.8%	21

The gross unrealized losses on the Company's fixed maturities and equity securities portfolios decreased from \$8.0 million at December 31, 2011 to \$2.4 million at September 30, 2012. The improvement in gross unrealized losses was driven by a decrease in market yields resulting from a decline in interest rates and a general tightening of credit spreads from December 31, 2011. The \$2.4 million in gross unrealized losses at September 30, 2012 was primarily on fixed maturity holdings in residential mortgage-backed securities, and to a lesser extent, corporate obligations, commercial mortgage-backed securities, and state and local government obligations. The gross unrealized losses on equity securities were \$39 thousand and have been in an unrealized loss position for twelve months or less and are considered to be temporary. Investment grade securities represented 70.3% of all fixed maturity securities with unrealized losses.

Edgar Filing: National Interstate CORP - Form 10-Q

At September 30, 2012, gross unrealized losses on residential mortgage-backed securities were \$1.5 million and represented 66.5% of the total gross unrealized losses on fixed maturities. There were 14 securities with gross unrealized losses of \$0.2 million that were in an unrealized loss position for less than 12 months and eight securities with gross unrealized losses of \$1.4 million that were in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. Four of these securities previously had both credit and non-credit other-than-temporary impairment charges and were in a gross unrealized loss position of \$1.2 million at September 30, 2012. Based on historical payment data and analysis of expected future cash flows of the underlying collateral, independent credit ratings and other facts and analysis, including management's current intent and ability to hold these securities for a period of time sufficient to allow for anticipated recovery, management believes that, based upon information currently available, the Company will recover its cost basis in all of these securities and no additional charges for other-than-temporary impairments will be required.

Table of Contents

At September 30, 2012, the corporate obligations with gross unrealized losses of \$0.3 million consisted of 27 holdings that were in an unrealized loss position of \$0.2 million for less than 12 months and eight holdings that were in an unrealized loss position of \$0.1 million for more than 12 months. Commercial mortgage-backed securities, with gross unrealized losses of \$0.2 million, consisted of two holdings with gross unrealized losses of \$0.2 million that were in an unrealized loss position for less than 12 months. The state and local government obligations, with gross unrealized losses of \$0.2 million, primarily consisted of two holdings with gross unrealized losses of \$0.2 million that were in an unrealized loss position for more than 12 months. Investment grade securities represented 69.9% of fixed maturity securities with unrealized losses in corporate obligations, commercial mortgage-backed securities, and state and local government obligations.

Management concluded that no additional charges for other-than-temporary impairment were required on the fixed maturity holdings in the third quarter of 2012 based on several factors, including the Company's ability and current intent to hold these investments for a period of time sufficient to allow for anticipated recovery of its amortized cost, the length of time and the extent to which fair value has been below cost, analysis of company-specific financial data and the outlook for industry sectors and credit ratings. The Company believes these unrealized losses are primarily due to temporary market and sector-related factors and does not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired. If the Company's strategy were to change or these securities were determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired, the Company would recognize a write-down in accordance with its stated policy.

The following table is a progression of the amount related to credit losses on fixed maturity securities for which the non-credit portion of an other-than-temporary impairment has been recognized in other comprehensive income.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(Dollars in thousands)		(Dollars in thousands)	
Beginning balance	\$ 2,258	\$ 2,017	\$ 2,258	\$ 2,017
Additional credit impairments on:				
Previously impaired securities				
Securities without prior impairments				
Reductions				
Ending balance	\$ 2,258	\$ 2,017	\$ 2,258	\$ 2,017

5. Income Taxes

A reconciliation of the provision for income taxes for financial reporting purposes and the provision for income taxes calculated at the statutory rate of 35% is as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011 ⁽¹⁾	2012	2011 ⁽¹⁾
	(Dollars in thousands)		(Dollars in thousands)	
Federal income tax expense at statutory rate	\$ 4,401	\$ 2,852	\$ 12,701	\$ 11,630
Effect of:				
Tax-exempt investment income	(945)	(833)	(2,772)	(2,222)
Other items, net	98	298	329	514
	\$ 3,554	\$ 2,317	\$ 10,258	\$ 9,922

⁽¹⁾ 2011 results have been retrospectively adjusted for the changes to accounting for deferred policy acquisition costs required under ASU 2010-26.

Table of Contents

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the net deferred tax assets and liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets were as follows:

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011 ⁽¹⁾
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Deferred Tax Assets:		
Unearned premiums	\$ 15,252	\$ 13,952
Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	19,748	18,821
Assessments and fees payable	1,713	1,632
Realized losses on investments, primarily impairments	4,730	5,873
Accrued compensation	2,780	3,565
Limited partnership investments	901	1,167
Other, net	2,549	3,314
	47,673	48,324
Deferred Tax Liabilities:		
Deferred policy acquisition costs	(9,226)	(8,611)
Unrealized gains on investments	(17,636)	(9,456)
Intangible assets	(2,949)	(3,023)
Other, net	(862)	(993)
	(30,673)	(22,083)
Net deferred income tax assets	\$ 17,000	\$ 26,241

⁽¹⁾ 2011 results have been retrospectively adjusted for the changes to accounting for deferred policy acquisition costs required under ASU 2010-26.

Management has reviewed the recoverability of the deferred tax assets and believes that the amount will be recoverable against future earnings.

6. Shareholders Equity and Stock-Based Compensation

The Company grants options and other stock awards to officers and key employees of the Company under the Long Term Incentive Plan (LTIP). At September 30, 2012, there were options for 593,888 shares outstanding and 682,083 of the Company s common shares reserved for issuance under the LTIP. Treasury shares are used to fulfill the options exercised and other awards granted. Options and restricted shares vest pursuant to the terms of a written grant agreement. Options must be exercised no later than the tenth anniversary of the date of grant. As set forth in the LTIP, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors may accelerate vesting and exercisability of options.

For both the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized stock-based compensation expense of \$0.1 million with related income tax benefits of approximately \$30 thousand. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized stock-based compensation expense of \$0.5 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, with related income tax benefits of approximately \$0.1 million recognized in both periods.

7. Earnings Per Common Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income per share:

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012	September 30, 2011 ⁽¹⁾	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012	September 30, 2011 ⁽¹⁾
(In thousands, except per share data)		(In thousands, except per share data)	

Edgar Filing: National Interstate CORP - Form 10-Q

Net income	\$ 9,019	\$ 5,829	\$ 26,030	\$ 23,306
Weighted average shares outstanding during period	19,438	19,368	19,420	19,367
Additional shares issuable under employee common stock option plans using treasury stock method	136	126	132	110
Weighted average shares outstanding assuming exercise of stock options	19,574	19,494	19,552	19,477
Net income per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.30	\$ 1.34	\$ 1.20
Diluted	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.30	\$ 1.33	\$ 1.20

⁽¹⁾ 2011 results have been retrospectively adjusted for the changes to accounting for deferred policy acquisition costs required under ASU 2010-26.

For the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, there were 140,000 and 247,152, respectively, outstanding options and restricted shares excluded from diluted earnings per share because they were anti-dilutive. For the nine months ended September

Table of Contents

30, 2012 and 2011, there were 204,110 and 289,800, respectively, outstanding options and restricted shares excluded from diluted earnings per share because they were anti-dilutive.

8. Transactions with Related Parties

The Company's principal insurance subsidiary, NIIC, is involved in both the cession and assumption of reinsurance. NIIC is a party to a reinsurance agreement, and NIIA, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, is a party to an underwriting management agreement with Great American Insurance Company (Great American). As of September 30, 2012, Great American owned 52.2% of the outstanding shares of the Company. The reinsurance agreement calls for the assumption by NIIC of all of the risk on Great American's net premiums written for public transportation and recreational vehicle risks underwritten pursuant to the reinsurance agreement. NIIA provides administrative services to Great American in connection with Great American's underwriting of these risks. The Company also cedes premium through reinsurance agreements with Great American to reduce exposure in certain of its property and casualty insurance programs.

The table below summarizes the reinsurance balance and activity with Great American:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(Dollars in thousands)		(Dollars in thousands)	
Assumed premiums written	\$ 576	\$ 669	\$ 1,949	\$ 3,227
Assumed premiums earned	636	1,033	2,204	3,042
Assumed losses and loss adjustment expense incurred	378	1,356	1,590	3,375
Ceded premiums written	14	(62)	60	415
Ceded premiums earned	14	206	112	1,050
Ceded losses and loss adjustment expense recoveries	189	138	806	1,273
Payable to Great American as of period end	55	8	55	8

During the second quarter of 2011, Florida recreational vehicle risks previously written through Great American were transitioned to TCC, thus accounting for the decline in assumed premiums written and earned from Great American. The decline in risks ceded to Great American is representative of the fact that Great American currently only participates as a reinsurer on one of NIIC's reinsurance treaties as compared to three treaties in 2011.

Great American and its parent, American Financial Group, Inc., perform certain services for the Company without charge including, without limitation, actuarial services and on a consultative basis, as needed, internal audit, legal, accounting and other support services. If Great American no longer controlled a majority of the Company's common shares, it is possible that many of these services would cease or, alternatively, be provided at an increased cost to the Company. This could impact the Company's personnel resources, require the Company to hire additional professional staff and generally increase the Company's operating expenses. Management believes, based on discussions with Great American, that these services will continue to be provided by the affiliated entity in future periods and the relative impact on operating results is not material.

In addition, NIIC, NIIC-HI and VIC are parties to reinsurance agreements with Validus Reinsurance, Ltd. (Validus), whereby Validus participates on the Company's Hawaii property quota share, Hawaii property catastrophe and workers' compensation excess of loss reinsurance treaties. During the first nine months of 2012, the Company's ceded premiums written and ceding commissions associated with Validus participation on these treaties were \$1.0 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. These treaties were negotiated at arms length through an independent reinsurance broker in the ordinary course of business as part of the Company's customary reinsurance evaluation and placement process. One of the Company's directors is the president and chief financial officer of Validus Holdings, Ltd., the parent of Validus.

The Company is not substantially dependent on any individual reinsurance agreements, including the agreements with Great American and Validus. The Company does not depend on these specific reinsurers to a material extent, as other reinsurers could be obtained for those treaties or the business could be retained.

Table of Contents**9. Reinsurance**

Premiums and reinsurance activity consisted of the following:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(Dollars in thousands)		(Dollars in thousands)	
Direct premiums written	\$ 123,932	\$ 118,804	\$ 409,988	\$ 404,127
Reinsurance assumed	2,342	2,057	6,641	7,532
Reinsurance ceded	(14,057)	(19,120)	(61,942)	(65,924)
Net premiums written	\$ 112,217	\$ 101,741	\$ 354,687	\$ 345,735
Direct premiums earned	\$ 133,160	\$ 126,763	\$ 391,469	\$ 374,977
Reinsurance assumed	2,037	2,335	6,400	7,345
Reinsurance ceded	(20,114)	(21,151)	(61,795)	(62,772)
Premiums earned	\$ 115,083	\$ 107,947	\$ 336,074	\$ 319,550

The Company cedes premiums through reinsurance agreements with reinsurers to reduce exposure in certain of its property-casualty insurance programs. Ceded losses and loss adjustment expense recoveries recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were \$9.3 million and \$9.7 million, respectively, and were \$33.4 million and \$39.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company remains primarily liable as the direct insurer on all risks reinsured and a contingent liability exists to the extent that the reinsurance companies are unable to meet their obligations for losses assumed. To minimize its exposure to significant losses from reinsurer insolvencies, the Company seeks to do business with only reinsurers rated Excellent or better by A.M. Best Company and regularly evaluates the financial condition of its reinsurers.

10. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject at times to various claims, lawsuits and legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. All legal actions relating to claims made under insurance policies are considered in the establishment of the Company's loss and loss adjustment expense (LAE) reserves. In addition, regulatory bodies, such as state insurance departments, the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Department of Labor and other regulatory bodies may make inquiries and conduct examinations or investigations concerning the Company's compliance with insurance laws, securities laws, labor laws and the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended.

The Company's subsidiaries also have lawsuits pending in which the plaintiff seeks extra-contractual damages from the Company in addition to damages claimed or in excess of the available limits under an insurance policy. These lawsuits, which are in various stages, generally mirror similar lawsuits filed against other carriers in the industry. Although the Company is vigorously defending these lawsuits, the outcomes of these cases cannot be determined at this time. In accordance with current accounting standards for loss contingencies and based upon information currently known to the Company, reserves are established for litigation when it is probable that a loss associated with a claim or proceeding has been incurred and the amount of the loss or range of loss can be reasonably estimated. As such, the Company has established loss and LAE reserves for lawsuits as to which the Company has determined that a loss is both probable and estimable. In addition to these case reserves, the Company also establishes reserves for claims incurred but not reported to cover unknown exposures and adverse development on known exposures. Based on currently available information, the Company believes that reserves for these lawsuits are reasonable and that the amounts reserved did not have a material effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations. However, if any one or more of these cases results in a judgment against or settlement by the Company for an amount that is significantly greater than the amount so reserved, the resulting liability could have a material effect on the Company's financial condition, cash flows and results of operations.

As a direct writer of insurance, the Company receives assessments by state funds to cover losses to policyholders of insolvent or rehabilitated companies and other authorized fees. These mandatory assessments may be partially recovered through a reduction in future premium taxes in some states over several years. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the liability for such assessments was \$5.2 million and \$4.8 million, respectively, and will be paid over several years as assessed by the various state funds.

11. Segment Information

The Company operates its business as one segment, property and casualty insurance. The Company manages this segment through a product management structure. The following table shows revenues summarized by the broader business component description, which were determined based primarily on similar economic characteristics, products and services.

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(Dollars in thousands)		(Dollars in thousands)	
Revenue:				
Premiums Earned:				
Alternative Risk Transfer	\$ 60,273	\$ 52,430	\$ 176,429	\$ 148,047
Transportation	37,576	36,966	108,792	115,574
Specialty Personal Lines	11,830	13,297	35,433	40,710
Hawaii and Alaska	3,692	3,686	10,587	10,540
Other	1,712	1,568	4,833	4,679
Total premiums earned	115,083	107,947	336,074	319,550
Net investment income	8,713	7,623	26,849	22,321
Net realized gains on investments	879	428	3,042	2,944
Other	831	860	2,474	2,830
Total revenues	\$ 125,506	\$ 116,858	\$ 368,439	\$ 347,645

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
Forward-Looking Statements

This document, including information incorporated by reference, contains forward-looking statements (within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995). All statements, trend analyses and other information contained in this Form 10-Q relative to markets for our products and trends in our operations or financial results, as well as other statements including words such as may, target, anticipate, believe, plan, estimate, expect, intend, project, and other similar expressions, constitute forward-looking statements. We made these statements based on our plans and current analyses of our business and the insurance industry as a whole. We caution that these statements may and often do vary from actual results and the differences between these statements and actual results can be material. Factors that could contribute to these differences include, among other things:

general economic conditions, weakness of the financial markets and other factors, including prevailing interest rate levels and stock and credit market performance, which may affect or continue to affect (among other things) our ability to sell our products and to collect amounts due to us, our ability to access capital resources and the costs associated with such access to capital and the market value of our investments;

our ability to manage our growth strategy;

customer response to new products and marketing initiatives;

tax law and accounting changes;

increasing competition in the sale of our insurance products and services and the retention of existing customers;

changes in legal environment;

regulatory changes or actions, including those relating to the regulation of the sale, underwriting and pricing of insurance products and services and capital requirements;

levels of natural catastrophes, terrorist events, incidents of war and other major losses;

adequacy of insurance reserves; and

availability of reinsurance and ability of reinsurers to pay their obligations.

The forward-looking statements herein are made only as of the date of this report. We assume no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements.

General

We underwrite and sell traditional and alternative risk transfer (ART) property and casualty insurance products primarily to the passenger transportation industry, the trucking industry and moving and storage transportation companies, general commercial

Table of Contents

insurance to small businesses in Hawaii and Alaska and personal insurance to owners of recreational vehicles and commercial vehicles throughout the United States.

Effective July 1, 2010, we and our principal insurance subsidiary, National Interstate Insurance Company (NIIC), completed the acquisition of Vanliner Group, Inc. (Vanliner) from UniGroup, Inc. (UniGroup) whereby NIIC acquired all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of Vanliner and we acquired certain information technology assets. As part of this acquisition, UniGroup agreed to provide us with comprehensive financial guarantees, including a four and a half-year balance sheet guaranty whereby both favorable and unfavorable balance sheet developments inure to UniGroup. Through the acquisition of Vanliner, NIIC acquired Vanliner Insurance Company (VIC), a market leader in providing insurance for the moving and storage industry. Obtaining a presence in this industry was our primary strategic objective associated with the acquisition.

We have five property and casualty insurance subsidiaries: NIIC, VIC, National Interstate Insurance Company of Hawaii, Inc. (NIIC-HI), Triumphe Casualty Company (TCC) and Hudson Indemnity, Ltd. (HIL) and five active agency and service subsidiaries. We write our insurance policies on a direct basis through NIIC, VIC, NIIC-HI and TCC. NIIC and VIC are licensed in all 50 states and the District of Columbia. NIIC-HI is licensed in Ohio, Hawaii, Michigan and New Jersey. TCC holds licenses for multiple lines of authority, including auto-related lines, in 29 states and the District of Columbia. HIL is domiciled in the Cayman Islands and provides reinsurance for NIIC, VIC, NIIC-HI and TCC, primarily for the ART component. Insurance products are marketed through multiple distribution channels, including independent agents and brokers, program administrators, affiliated agencies and agent internet initiatives. We use our five active agency and service subsidiaries to sell and service our insurance business.

As of September 30, 2012, Great American Insurance Company (Great American) owned 52.2% of our outstanding common shares. Great American is a wholly-owned subsidiary of American Financial Group, Inc.

Results of Operations

Overview

Through the operations of our subsidiaries, we are engaged in property and casualty insurance operations. We generate underwriting profits by providing what we view as specialized insurance products, services and programs not generally available in the marketplace. We focus on niche insurance markets where we offer insurance products designed to meet the unique needs of targeted insurance buyers that we believe are underserved by the insurance industry.

We derive our revenues primarily from premiums generated by our insurance policies and income from our investment portfolio. Our expenses consist primarily of losses and loss adjustment expenses (LAE), commissions and other underwriting expenses and other operating and general expenses.

Our net income, determined in accordance with GAAP, includes items that may not be indicative of our ongoing operations. The following table identifies such items and reconciles net income to net income from operations, a non-GAAP financial measure that we believe is a useful tool for investors and analysts in analyzing ongoing operating trends.

	Three Months Ended September 30,			
	2012		2011 ⁽¹⁾	
	Amount	Per Share	Amount	Per Share
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)			
Net income from operations	\$ 8,460	\$ 0.43	\$ 5,912	\$ 0.31
After-tax net realized gain from investments	571	0.03	279	0.01
After-tax impact from balance sheet guaranty for Vanliner	(12)	0.00	(362)	(0.02)
Net income	\$ 9,019	\$ 0.46	\$ 5,829	\$ 0.30

Nine Months Ended September 30,

Edgar Filing: National Interstate CORP - Form 10-Q

	2012		2011 ⁽¹⁾	
	Amount	Per Share	Amount	Per Share
Net income from operations	\$ 23,975	\$ 1.23	\$ 23,483	\$ 1.21
After-tax net realized gain from investments	1,977	0.10	1,914	0.10
After-tax impact from balance sheet guaranty for Vanliner	78	0.00	(2,091)	(0.11)
Net income	\$ 26,030	\$ 1.33	\$ 23,306	\$ 1.20

⁽¹⁾ 2011 results have been retrospectively adjusted for the changes to accounting for deferred policy acquisition costs required under Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-26 (ASU 2010-26).

Table of Contents

Our net income for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was \$9.0 million (\$0.46 per share diluted) compared to \$5.8 million (\$0.30 per share diluted) for the same period in 2011, driven by improved underwriting results, as our loss and LAE ratio decreased 1.1 percentage point to 73.4% for the third quarter of 2012 from 74.5% in the third quarter of 2011. The favorable claims results were primarily attributable to improvement in several products which we previously reported as having performed poorly in recent periods, including recreational vehicle and the ART program where we took significant underwriting actions in 2011. The decrease in the loss and LAE ratio was also impacted by favorable loss experience in our higher limit passenger transportation business where we are experiencing fewer large claims, partially offset by the continued higher than average claims severity experienced in one of the historically profitable products within our Hawaii and Alaska component. Also contributing to the growth in net income for the third quarter of 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 was an increase in net investment income, mainly attributable to a shift into higher-yielding state and local government obligations and mortgage-backed securities that was concentrated in the second half of 2011.

Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$26.0 million (\$1.33 per share diluted) compared to \$23.3 million (\$1.20 per share diluted) for the same period in 2011. This increase was driven by the growth in net investment income due to increased net income resulting from the same factors discussed above for the three month period, partially offset by elevated claims results as our loss and LAE ratio for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 increased 0.6 percentage points to 73.7% compared to 73.1% for the same period in 2011. The increase in the loss ratio for the first nine months of 2012 was primarily attributable to higher than average claims severity experienced in two historically profitable products, one in our Hawaii and Alaska component and one in our ART transportation component, as well as adverse claim development from prior years' loss reserves. We expect that these products' results in future periods will be more consistent with our historical results.

UniGroup provided us with comprehensive financial guarantees related to the runoff of Vanliner's final balance sheet whereby both favorable and unfavorable balance sheet development inures to the seller. In accordance with purchase accounting requirements we were required to determine the fair value of the future economic benefit of the financial guarantees and acquired loss reserves as of the date of acquisition, despite the fact that certain gains and losses related to the financial guaranty would be reflected in operations as they are incurred in future periods. As a result, the recognition of the revenues and expenses associated with the guaranteed runoff business will not occur in the same period and will result in combined ratios which are inconsistent with the negotiated combined ratio which was to approximate 100% for the Vanliner guaranteed business. As such, the after-tax impact from the runoff business guaranteed by the seller for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 have been removed in determining net income from operations to reflect only those results of our ongoing business.

Our net income from operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$8.5 million (\$0.43 per share diluted) and \$24.0 million (\$1.23 per share diluted), respectively, compared to \$5.9 million (\$0.31 per share diluted) and \$23.5 million (\$1.21 per share diluted) for the same periods in 2011. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the loss and LAE ratios from ongoing operations, which exclude the impact from the runoff of the guaranteed Vanliner business, were 73.4% and 73.8%, respectively, compared to 74.4% and 71.4% for the same periods in 2011. The primary drivers for these period-over-period fluctuations are the same as those discussed above for the changes in net income for the respective periods.

We recorded after-tax net realized gains from investments of \$0.6 million (\$0.03 per share diluted) and \$2.0 million (\$0.10 per share diluted) for the third quarter and first nine months of 2012, respectively, compared to \$0.3 million (\$0.01 per share diluted) and \$1.9 million (\$0.10 per share diluted) for the comparative periods in 2011. Our after-tax net realized gains for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 were primarily generated by sales or redemptions of securities and net gains associated with equity partnership investments, while the after-tax net realized gains for the comparable periods in 2011 related primarily to sales or redemptions of securities, partially offset by net losses associated with equity partnership investments.

Table of Contents**Gross Premiums Written**

We operate our business as one segment, property and casualty insurance. We manage this segment through a product management structure. The following table sets forth an analysis of gross premiums written by business component during the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012		2011	
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Alternative Risk Transfer	\$ 55,745	44.1%	\$ 53,365	44.2%
Transportation	49,712	39.4%	46,093	38.1%
Specialty Personal Lines	12,471	9.9%	13,295	11.0%
Hawaii and Alaska	6,375	5.0%	6,479	5.4%
Other	1,971	1.6%	1,629	1.3%
Gross premiums written	\$ 126,274	100.0%	\$ 120,861	100.0%

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012		2011	
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Alternative Risk Transfer	\$ 224,189	53.9%	\$ 222,810	54.1%
Transportation	132,650	31.8%	126,512	30.7%
Specialty Personal Lines	39,673	9.5%	43,085	10.5%
Hawaii and Alaska	14,964	3.6%	14,672	3.6%
Other	5,153	1.2%	4,580	1.1%
Gross premiums written	\$ 416,629	100.0%	\$ 411,659	100.0%

Three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to September 30, 2011. Gross premiums written includes both direct and assumed premium. During the third quarter of 2012, our gross premiums written increased \$5.4 million, or 4.5%, compared to the same period in 2011, primarily attributable to the growth experienced in our transportation and ART components. Gross premiums written in our transportation component increased by \$3.6 million, or 7.9%, in the third quarter of 2012 compared to the same period in 2011, primarily due to growth in our trucking transportation product, which saw increases in both rates and exposures, as well as the impact from capitalizing on new business opportunities in the gradually improving commercial insurance market. Our ART component's gross premiums written increased \$2.4 million, or 4.5%, during the third quarter of 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 due to growth in existing ART programs, including the addition of two new customers to our large account ART product, the addition of new customers in many of our other ART programs and an increase in exposures on renewal business. Additionally, we continued to experience near 100% member retention in group ART programs renewing during the period. The ART growth was partially offset by reductions in premium primarily associated with the impact from our previously reported 2011 termination of one of the products in the program business portion of our ART component. This program comprised 7.8% of our gross premiums written during the third quarter of 2011. The decrease of \$0.8 million, or 6.2%, in our specialty personal lines component was primarily related to the decline in our recreational vehicle product due to the continued trend toward recreational vehicle owners going directly to insurance companies for quotes versus using an agent.

Nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to September 30, 2011. During the first nine months of 2012, our gross premiums written increased \$5.0 million, or 1.2%, compared to the same period in 2011, primarily attributable to our transportation and ART components. Gross premiums written in our transportation component increased \$6.1 million, or 4.9%, mainly due to the trucking transportation product, as noted above for the three month period, as well as Vanliner's moving and storage products which grew due to a combination of rate increases and the addition of new customers during the period. Our ART component's gross premiums written increased \$1.4 million, or 0.6%, due to the same factors discussed above for the three month period, as well as increased rates on renewal business. Partially offsetting this growth was the impact of the previously noted program terminated in 2011. This program comprised 6.2% of our gross premiums written for the first nine months of 2011. Gross premiums written in our specialty personal lines component decreased \$3.4 million, or 7.9%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 due to the decline in our recreational vehicle product as discussed above for the three

Edgar Filing: National Interstate CORP - Form 10-Q

month period, as well as the impact of the previously reported ongoing pricing and underwriting actions associated with our commercial vehicle product which have continued into 2012.

Our group ART programs, which focus on specialty or niche businesses, provide various services and coverages tailored to meet specific requirements of defined client groups and their members. These services include risk management consulting, claims administration and handling, loss control and prevention and reinsurance placement, along with providing various types of property and casualty insurance coverage. Insurance coverage is provided primarily to companies with similar risk profiles and to specified classes of business of our agent partners.

Table of Contents

As part of our ART programs, we have analyzed, on a quarterly basis, members' loss performance on a policy year basis to determine if there would be a premium assessment to participants or if there would be a return of premium to participants as a result of less-than-expected losses. Assessment premium and return of premium are recorded as adjustments to premiums written (assessments increase premiums written; returns of premium reduce premiums written). For the third quarter of 2012 and 2011, we recorded a \$0.7 million premium assessment and a \$2.2 million return of premium, respectively. For the first nine months of 2012 and 2011, we recorded a \$1.2 million premium assessment and a \$0.5 million return of premium, respectively.

Premiums Earned

Three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to September 30, 2011. The following table shows premiums earned summarized by the broader business component description, which were determined based primarily on similar economic characteristics, products and services:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Change	
	2012	2011	Amount	Percent
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Premiums earned:				
Alternative Risk Transfer	\$ 60,273	\$ 52,430	\$ 7,843	15.0%
Transportation	37,576	36,966	610	1.7%
Specialty Personal Lines	11,830	13,297	(1,467)	(11.0%)
Hawaii and Alaska	3,692	3,686	6	0.2%
Other	1,712	1,568	144	9.2%
Total premiums earned	\$ 115,083	\$ 107,947	\$ 7,136	6.6%

Our premiums earned increased \$7.1 million, or 6.6%, to \$115.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$107.9 million for the same period in 2011. The increase is primarily attributable to our ART component, which grew \$7.8 million, or 15.0%, over 2011 mainly due to the gross premiums written growth from existing and new programs experienced throughout 2011 and the first nine months of 2012. Partially offsetting this growth was a decrease in our specialty personal lines component of \$1.5 million, or 11.0%, due to the decline in premiums written in our recreational vehicle and commercial vehicle products experienced throughout 2011 and the first nine months of 2012, as discussed above. Premiums earned in our transportation component were relatively flat, increasing \$0.6 million, or 1.7%, during the three months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011. However, included in this period-over-period change is a \$1.8 million decrease related to the runoff of Vanliner's premiums earned associated with the business covered by the balance sheet guaranty. The remaining \$2.4 million, or 6.7%, increase in the transportation component was driven by the gross premiums written growth experienced in our trucking transportation product during the first nine months of 2012 and in our moving and storage products throughout 2011.

Nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to September 30, 2011. The following table shows premiums earned summarized by the broader business component description, which were determined based primarily on similar economic characteristics, products and services:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		Change	
	2012	2011	Amount	Percent
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Premiums earned:				
Alternative Risk Transfer	\$ 176,429	\$ 148,047	\$ 28,382	19.2%
Transportation	108,792	115,574	(6,782)	(5.9%)
Specialty Personal Lines	35,433	40,710	(5,277)	(13.0%)
Hawaii and Alaska	10,587	10,540	47	0.4%
Other	4,833	4,679	154	3.3%
Total premiums earned	\$ 336,074	\$ 319,550	\$ 16,524	5.2%

Our premiums earned increased \$16.5 million, or 5.2%, to \$336.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$319.6 million for the same period in 2011. The increase is primarily attributable to our ART component, which grew \$28.4 million, or 19.2%, over

Edgar Filing: National Interstate CORP - Form 10-Q

2011 due to the same factors discussed above for the three month period. Partially offsetting this increase were decreases in our transportation and specialty personal lines components of \$6.8 million, or 5.9%, and \$5.3 million, or 13.0%, respectively. The \$6.8 million decline in our transportation component was driven by a \$25.1 million decrease related to the runoff of Vanliner's premiums earned associated with the business covered by the balance sheet guaranty, which was partially offset by growth experienced in our trucking transportation and moving and storage products, as discussed above for the three month period. The decrease in our specialty personal lines component was due to the same factors discussed above for the three month period.

Table of Contents**Underwriting and Loss Ratio Analysis**

Underwriting profitability, as opposed to overall profitability or net earnings, is measured by the combined ratio. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss and LAE ratio and the underwriting expense ratio. A combined ratio under 100% is indicative of an underwriting profit.

Losses and LAE are a function of the amount and type of insurance contracts we write and of the loss experience of the underlying risks. We seek to establish case reserves at the maximum probable exposure based on our historical claims experience. Our ability to accurately estimate losses and LAE at the time of pricing our contracts is a critical factor in determining our profitability. The amount reported under losses and LAE in any period includes payments in the period net of the change in reserves for unpaid losses and LAE between the beginning and the end of the period.

Our underwriting expense ratio includes commissions and other underwriting expenses and other operating and general expenses, offset by other income. Commissions and other underwriting expenses consist principally of brokerage and agent commissions reduced by ceding commissions received from assuming reinsurers, and vary depending upon the amount and types of contracts written and, to a lesser extent, premium taxes.

Our underwriting approach is to price our products to achieve an underwriting profit even if we forgo volume as a result. After several years of modest single digit decreases in rate levels on our renewal business as a whole, beginning in 2011 and continuing through the first nine months of 2012, we saw rate levels begin to stabilize on renewal business, with a number of our products experiencing single digit rate level increases on renewal business.

The table below presents our net premiums earned and combined ratios for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(Dollars in thousands)		(Dollars in thousands)	
Gross premiums written	\$ 126,274	\$ 120,861	\$ 416,629	\$ 411,659
Ceded reinsurance	(14,057)	(19,120)	(61,942)	(65,924)
Net premiums written	112,217	101,741	354,687	345,735
Change in unearned premiums, net of ceded	2,866	6,206	(18,613)	(26,185)
Total premiums earned	\$ 115,083	\$ 107,947	\$ 336,074	\$ 319,550
Combined Ratios:				
Loss and LAE ratio ⁽¹⁾	73.4%	74.5%	73.7%	73.1%
Underwriting expense ratio ^{(2) (3)}	23.1%	24.5%	23.4%	23.5%
Combined ratio	96.5%	99.0%	97.1%	96.6%

(1) The ratio of losses and LAE to premiums earned.

(2) The ratio of the sum of commissions and other underwriting expenses, other operating expenses less other income to premiums earned.

(3) 2011 results have been retrospectively adjusted for the changes to accounting for deferred policy acquisition costs required under ASU 2010-26.

Three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to September 30, 2011. Our consolidated loss and LAE ratio for the third quarter of 2012 decreased 1.1 percentage points to 73.4% compared to 74.5% in the same period in 2011. The loss and LAE ratio for our ongoing operations, which excludes the impact from the runoff of the guaranteed Vanliner business, was 73.4% for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to 74.4% for the same period in 2011. This decrease over the prior period is primarily attributable to improvement in the claims results of several products which we had previously reported as having performed poorly in recent periods, including recreational vehicle and one of the products in the program business portion of our ART component where we took significant underwriting actions in 2011. Also contributing to the improvement in the loss and LAE ratio was favorable loss experience in our higher limit passenger transportation business where we are experiencing fewer large claims. The improvement in the third quarter of 2012 loss results was partially offset by the continued higher than

Edgar Filing: National Interstate CORP - Form 10-Q

average claims severity experienced in one of the historically profitable products within our Hawaii and Alaska component. For the third quarter of 2012, we had favorable development from prior years' loss reserves of \$0.1 million, or 0.1 percentage points, compared to favorable development of \$0.8 million, or 0.7 percentage points, in the third quarter of 2011. This favorable development was primarily related to settlements below the established case reserves and revisions to our estimated future settlements on an individual case by case basis. The prior years' loss reserve development for both periods is not considered to be unusual or significant to prior years' reserves based on the history of our business and the timing of events in the claims adjustment process.

The consolidated underwriting expense ratio for the third quarter of 2012 decreased 1.4 percentage points to 23.1% compared to 24.5% for the same period in 2011. The underwriting expense ratio for our ongoing business was 23.1% for the three months

Table of Contents

ended September 30, 2012 compared to 24.4% for the same period in 2011. This 1.3 percentage point decrease was primarily attributable to our mix of business written during the third quarter of 2012 which had a lower cost structure compared to 2011.

Nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to September 30, 2011. Our consolidated loss and LAE ratio for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 increased 0.6 percentage points to 73.7% compared to 73.1% in the same period in 2011. The loss and LAE ratio for our ongoing operations, which excludes the impact from the runoff of the guaranteed Vanliner business, was 73.8% for the first nine months of 2012 compared to 71.4% for the same period in 2011. This increase over the prior period is primarily attributable to higher than average claims severity experienced in two historically profitable products, one in our Hawaii and Alaska component and one in our ART transportation component, as well as adverse claim development from prior years' loss reserves. We expect that these products' results in future periods will be more consistent with our historical results. However, we will continue to closely monitor the products' performance and will respond with rate increases or non-renewals, as necessary. Partially offsetting these elevated claims results was the improved performance of recreational vehicle and one of the products in the program business portion of our ART component where we took significant underwriting actions in 2011, as discussed above for the three month period. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we had unfavorable development from prior years' loss reserves of \$1.6 million, or 0.5 percentage points, compared to favorable development of \$1.3 million, or 0.4 percentage points, for the first nine months of 2011.

The consolidated underwriting expense ratio for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was 23.4% compared to 23.5% for the same period in 2011. The underwriting expense ratio for our ongoing business remained relatively flat at 23.4% and 23.9% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Net Investment Income

2012 compared to 2011. For the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012, net investment income was \$8.7 million and \$26.8 million, respectively, compared to \$7.6 million and \$22.3 million for the same periods in 2011. The increase in investment income for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 is primarily due to a shift into higher yielding securities during the second half of 2011. This shift was primarily concentrated in state and local government obligations and mortgage-backed securities with a decreased focus on lower yielding U.S. government and government agency obligations.

Net Realized Gains on Investments

2012 compared to 2011. Pre-tax net realized gains on investments were \$0.9 million for the third quarter of 2012 compared to \$0.4 million for the third quarter of 2011. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, pre-tax net realized gains were \$3.0 million and \$2.9 million, respectively. The pre-tax net realized gains for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 were primarily generated from net realized gains associated with sales or redemptions of securities totaling \$0.7 million and \$2.9 million, respectively. Additionally, equity partnership investments produced net gains of \$0.5 million for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. Offsetting these gains were other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. The pre-tax net realized gains for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were primarily generated from net realized gains associated with sales or redemptions of securities totaling \$1.5 million and \$3.5 million, respectively. Offsetting these gains were net realized losses of \$1.0 million and \$0.5 million associated with equity partnership investments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, and other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$0.1 million for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Commissions and Other Underwriting Expenses

2012 compared to 2011. During the third quarter of 2012, commissions and other underwriting expenses of \$22.5 million decreased \$0.6 million, or 2.6%, from \$23.1 million in the comparable period in 2011. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, commissions and other underwriting expenses were \$66.7 million and \$65.0 million, respectively, increasing \$1.7 million, or 2.5%. Both the quarter and year-to-date fluctuations are primarily attributable to changes in business mix written during the periods. While commissions and other underwriting expenses, as a percentage of premiums earned, for the third quarter of 2012 decreased to 19.6% from 21.4% for the same period in 2011, they were relatively flat at 19.8% and 20.4% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Commissions and other underwriting expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 have been retrospectively adjusted for the changes to accounting for deferred policy acquisition costs required under ASU 2010-26.

Table of Contents

Other Operating and General Expenses

2012 compared to 2011. Other operating and general expenses increased \$0.6 million, or 15.2%, to \$4.9 million during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$4.2 million for the same period in 2011. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, other operating and general expenses were \$14.4 million and \$12.9 million, respectively, increasing \$1.5 million, or 11.7%. Both the quarter and year-to-date increases are primarily attributable to growth in our employee headcount and other expenses necessary to support the growth in our business. Other operating and general expenses, as a percentage of premiums earned, were relatively flat at 4.2% and 4.3% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to 3.9% and 4.0% for the same periods in 2011.

Income Taxes

2012 compared to 2011. The effective tax rate of 28.3% for the three month period ended September 30, 2012 was relatively flat compared to the 28.4% rate reported for the same period in 2011. The 2012 year-to-date effective tax rate decreased 1.6 percentage points to 28.3% as compared to 29.9% for the same period in 2011, primarily attributable to an increase in tax-exempt investment income.

Financial Condition

Investments

At September 30, 2012, our investment portfolio contained \$992.1 million in fixed maturity securities and \$28.6 million in equity securities, all carried at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses reported as a separate component of shareholders' equity and \$35.3 million in other investments, which are limited partnership investments accounted for in accordance with the equity method. At September 30, 2012, we had pre-tax net unrealized gains of \$46.3 million on fixed maturities and \$4.0 million on equity securities. Our investment portfolio allocation is based on diversification among primarily high quality fixed maturity investments and guidelines in our investment policy.

At September 30, 2012, 89.8% of the fixed maturities in our portfolio were rated investment grade (credit rating of AAA to BBB-) by nationally recognized rating agencies. Investment grade securities generally bear lower degrees of risk and corresponding lower yields than those that are unrated or non-investment grade.

State and local government obligations represented approximately 37.1% of our fixed maturity portfolio at September 30, 2012, with approximately \$288.6 million, or 78.3%, of our state and local government obligations held in special revenue obligations, and the remaining amount held in general obligations. Our state and local government obligations portfolio is high quality, as 99.3% of such securities were rated investment grade at September 30, 2012. We had no state and local government obligations for any state, municipality or political subdivision that comprised 10% or more of the total amortized cost or fair value of such obligations at September 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

Summary information for securities with unrealized gains or losses at September 30, 2012 is shown in the following table. Approximately \$8.3 million of fixed maturities and \$1.0 million of equity securities had no unrealized gains or losses at September 30, 2012.

	Securities with Unrealized Gains	Securities with Unrealized Losses
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Fixed Maturities:		
Fair value of securities	\$ 912,132	\$ 71,669
Amortized cost of securities	863,472	73,984
Gross unrealized gain or (loss)	\$ 48,660	\$ (2,315)
Fair value as a % of amortized cost	105.6%	96.9%
Number of security positions held	762	73
Number individually exceeding \$50,000 gain or (loss)	314	8
Concentration of gains or losses by type or industry:		
U.S. Government and government agencies	\$ 7,028	\$ (14)
Foreign governments	66	
State, municipalities and political subdivisions	18,372	(196)
Residential mortgage-backed securities	7,107	(1,539)
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	1,448	(217)
Banks, insurance and brokers	4,993	(43)
Industrial and other	9,646	(306)
Percent rated investment grade (a)	91.3%	70.3%
Equity Securities:		
Fair value of securities	\$ 27,234	\$ 387
Cost of securities	23,152	426
Gross unrealized gain or (loss)	\$ 4,082	\$ (39)
Fair value as a % of cost	117.6%	90.8%
Number individually exceeding \$50,000 gain or (loss)	19	

(a) Investment grade of AAA to BBB- by nationally recognized rating agencies.

The table below sets forth the scheduled maturities of available for sale fixed maturity securities at September 30, 2012, based on their fair values. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because certain securities may be called or prepaid by the issuers.

	Securities with Unrealized Gains	Securities with Unrealized Losses
Maturity:		
One year or less	2.0%	0.7%
After one year through five years	25.1%	7.2%
After five years through ten years	35.7%	13.3%
After ten years	13.7%	18.5%
	76.5%	39.7%
Mortgage-backed securities	23.5%	60.3%
	100.0%	100.0%

Table of Contents

The table below summarizes the unrealized gains and losses on fixed maturities and equity securities by dollar amount.

	At September 30, 2012		Fair Value as % of Cost Basis
	Aggregate Fair Value	Aggregate Unrealized Gain (Loss) (Dollars in thousands)	
Fixed Maturities:			
Securities with unrealized gains:			
Exceeding \$50,000 and for:			
Less than one year (155 issues)	\$ 293,037	\$ 18,025	106.6%
More than one year (159 issues)	296,799	22,284	108.1%
Less than \$50,000 (448 issues)	322,296	8,351	102.7%
	\$ 912,132	\$ 48,660	
Securities with unrealized losses:			
Exceeding \$50,000 and for:			
Less than one year (1 issue)	\$ 1,896	\$ (176)	91.5%
More than one year (7 issues)	11,301	(1,497)	88.3%
Less than \$50,000 (65 issues)	58,472	(642)	98.9%
	\$ 71,669	\$ (2,315)	
Equity Securities:			
Securities with unrealized gains:			
Exceeding \$50,000 and for:			
Less than one year (18 issues)	\$ 12,999	\$ 2,702	126.2%
More than one year (1 issue)	1,277	399	145.4%
Less than \$50,000 (65 issues)	12,958	981	108.2%
	\$ 27,234	\$ 4,082	
Securities with unrealized losses:			
Exceeding \$50,000 and for:			
Less than one year (0 issue)	\$	\$	0.0%
More than one year (0 issues)			0.0%
Less than \$50,000 (7 issues)	387	(39)	90.8%
	\$ 387	\$ (39)	

When a decline in the value of a specific investment is considered to be other-than-temporary, a provision for impairment is charged to earnings (accounted for as a realized loss) and the cost basis of that investment is reduced. The determination of whether unrealized losses are other-than-temporary requires judgment based on subjective as well as objective factors. Factors considered and resources used by management include those discussed in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Other-Than-Temporary Impairment.

Premiums Receivable and Unearned Premiums

Premiums receivable increased \$19.4 million, or 11.3%, and unearned premiums increased \$18.7 million, or 8.0%, from December 31, 2011 to September 30, 2012. Under most of our group ART programs, all members of the group share a common renewal date. These common renewal dates are scheduled throughout the year. However, we have several large ART programs that renew during the first six months of a given fiscal year, typically resulting in a large increase in premiums receivable and unearned premiums. These balances gradually decline throughout the

remainder of the year.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The liquidity requirements of our insurance subsidiaries relate primarily to the liabilities associated with their products as well as operating costs and payments of dividends and taxes to us from insurance subsidiaries. Historically and during the first nine months of 2012, cash flows from premiums and investment income have provided sufficient funds to meet these requirements, without requiring significant liquidation of investments. If our cash flows change dramatically from historical patterns, for example as a result of a decrease in premiums, an increase in claims paid or operating expenses, or financing an acquisition, we may be required to sell securities before their maturity and possibly at a loss. Our insurance subsidiaries generally hold a significant amount of highly liquid, short-term investments or cash and cash equivalents to meet their liquidity needs. Our historic pattern of using receipts from current premium writings for the payment of liabilities incurred in prior periods provides us with the option to extend the maturities of our investment portfolio beyond the estimated settlement date of our loss reserves. Funds received in excess of cash requirements are generally invested in additional marketable securities.

Table of Contents

We believe that our insurance subsidiaries maintain sufficient liquidity to pay claims and operating expenses, as well as meet commitments in the event of unforeseen events such as reserve deficiencies, inadequate premium rates or reinsurer insolvencies. Our principal sources of liquidity are our existing cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments. Cash and cash equivalents increased \$7.9 million from \$23.7 million at December 31, 2011 to \$31.6 million at September 30, 2012. We generated net cash from operations of \$53.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to \$36.9 million during the comparable period in 2011. This increase of \$16.5 million is primarily due to a decrease in claim payments related to the runoff of the guaranteed Vanliner reserves and lower estimated federal income tax payments made during the first nine months of 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011. Partially offsetting these changes in cash flows from operations was a decrease related to less significant growth in premiums written in the first nine months of 2012 compared to the same period in 2011, as well as the decrease in reinsurance balances payable attributable to the impact of the previously reported termination of one of our ART programs in 2011. The terminated ART program utilized a third party reinsurer, whereas most of our ART premium growth since that termination has been concentrated in programs which utilize our wholly-owned reinsurance company, HIL, for that purpose. Estimated tax payments made during the first nine months of 2011 included \$8.4 million associated with the Vanliner acquisition (included in the line item Increase (decrease) in accounts payable, commissions and other liabilities and assessments and fees payable on our Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows at September 30, 2011), which was offset by cash received of an equal amount (included in Collection of amounts refundable on the purchase price of Vanliner in the investing activities section of our Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2011).

Net cash used in investing activities was \$40.8 million for both the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011. Included in the change in cash used in investing activities for the first nine months of 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 were decreases of \$93.7 million and \$19.3 million from the purchases of fixed maturity investments and equity securities, respectively, partially offset by a \$73.2 million decrease in the proceeds from maturities and redemptions of fixed maturity investments and a \$37.0 million decrease in the proceeds from sales of fixed maturities. The decreases in investment activity in 2012 were due to a large number of securities obtained as part of the Vanliner acquisition maturing during the first six months of 2011, and the subsequent reinvestment of the proceeds from those securities. The net purchases of fixed maturities during the first nine months of 2012 were primarily concentrated in state and local government obligations and commercial mortgage-backed securities, while the net sales of equity securities during the period were primarily concentrated in the exchange traded fund portion of our common stock portfolio. Included in the change in cash used in investing activities was the receipt of the \$14.3 million refund in the first nine months of 2011 on the purchase price of Vanliner related to making the election under Section 338(h)(10) of the Internal Revenue Code and the finalization of the tangible book value. Also contributing to the change in cash used in investing activities was a \$6.4 million increase in the proceeds from the sale of equity securities and a \$6.3 million decrease in the purchases of other investments, which are comprised of limited partnership investments.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$4.7 million and \$3.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. This \$1.4 million increase in cash used in financing activities was primarily driven by the \$2.0 million draw on our credit facility in the second quarter of 2011. There have been no draws on the credit facility during the first nine months of 2012. Our financing activities also include those related to stock option activity and dividends paid on our common shares.

We have continuing cash needs for administrative expenses, the payment of principal and interest on borrowings, shareholder dividends and taxes. Funds to meet these obligations will come primarily from parent company cash, dividends and other payments from our insurance company subsidiaries.

We have a \$50.0 million unsecured Credit Agreement (the Credit Agreement) that terminates in December 2012, which includes a sublimit of \$10.0 million for letters of credit. We have the ability to increase the line of credit to \$75.0 million subject to the Credit Agreement's accordion feature. At September 30, 2012 there was \$22.0 million drawn on this credit facility. Amounts borrowed bear interest at either (1) a rate per annum equal to the greater of the administrative agent's prime rate or 0.5% in excess of the federal funds effective rate or (2) rates ranging from 0.45% to 0.90% over LIBOR based on our A.M. Best insurance group rating, or 0.65% at September 30, 2012. As of September 30, 2012, the interest rate on this debt is equal to LIBOR (0.4375% at September 30, 2012) plus 65 basis points, with interest payments due quarterly.

The Credit Agreement requires us to maintain specified financial covenants measured on a quarterly basis, including consolidated net worth, fixed charge coverage ratio and debt-to-capital ratio. In addition, the Credit Agreement contains certain affirmative and negative covenants, including negative covenants that limit or restrict our ability to, among other things, incur additional indebtedness, effect mergers or consolidations, make investments, enter into asset sales, create liens, enter into transactions with affiliates and other restrictions customarily contained in such agreements. As of September 30, 2012, we were in compliance with all financial covenants.

Table of Contents

We expect the execution of a new credit agreement during the fourth quarter of 2012 to replace the current credit facility prior to its December 2012 expiration. Due to the favorable terms of our existing Credit Agreement relative to those currently available in the commercial lending marketplace, we anticipate the new credit facility to potentially be at a higher cost.

We believe that funds generated from operations, including dividends from insurance subsidiaries and parent company cash, will provide sufficient resources to meet our liquidity requirements for at least the next 12 months. However, if these funds are insufficient to meet fixed charges in any period, we would be required to generate cash through sale of assets, sale of portfolio securities or similar transactions. If we were required to sell portfolio securities early for liquidity purposes rather than holding them to maturity, we would recognize gains or losses on those securities earlier than anticipated. Our ongoing corporate initiatives include actively evaluating potential acquisitions. At such time that we would execute an agreement to enter into an acquisition, such a transaction, depending upon the structure and size, could have an impact on our liquidity. Since our ability to meet our obligations in the long-term (beyond a 12-month period) is dependent upon factors such as market changes, insurance regulatory changes and economic conditions, no assurance can be given that the available net cash flow will be sufficient to meet our long-term operating needs. We are not aware of any trends or uncertainties affecting our liquidity, including any significant future reliance on short-term financing arrangements.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the financial statements. As more information becomes known, these estimates and assumptions could change and thus impact amounts reported in the future. Management believes that the establishment of losses and LAE reserves and the determination of other-than-temporary impairment on investments are the two areas where the degree of judgment required in determining amounts recorded in the financial statements make the accounting policies critical. For a more detailed discussion of these policies, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Losses and LAE Reserves

Significant periods of time can elapse between the occurrence of an insured loss, the reporting of that loss to us and our final payment of that loss and its related LAE. To recognize liabilities for unpaid losses, we establish reserves as balance sheet liabilities. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we had \$791.8 million and \$776.6 million, respectively, of gross loss and LAE reserves, representing management's best estimate of the ultimate loss. Management records, on a monthly and quarterly basis, its best estimate of loss reserves. For purposes of computing the recorded reserves, management utilizes various data inputs, including analysis that is derived from a review of prior quarter results performed by actuaries employed by Great American. In addition, on an annual basis, actuaries from Great American review our recorded reserves for NIIC, VIC, NIIC-HI and TCC utilizing current period data and provide a Statement of Actuarial Opinion, required annually in accordance with state insurance regulations, on the statutory reserves recorded by these U.S. insurance subsidiaries. The actuarial analysis of NIIC's, VIC's, NIIC-HI's and TCC's net reserves for the year ending December 31, 2011 reflected point estimates that were within 2% of management's recorded net reserves as of such dates. Using this actuarial data along with its other data inputs, management concluded that the recorded reserves appropriately reflect management's best estimates of the liability as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

The quarterly reviews of unpaid loss and LAE reserves by Great American actuaries are prepared using standard actuarial techniques. These may include (but may not be limited to):

the Case Incurred Development Method;

the Paid Development Method;

the Bornhuetter-Ferguson Method; and

the Incremental Paid LAE to Paid Loss Methods.

Edgar Filing: National Interstate CORP - Form 10-Q

The period of time from the occurrence of a loss through the settlement of the liability is referred to as the tail. Generally, the same actuarial methods are considered for both short-tail and long-tail lines of business because most of them work properly for both. The methods are designed to incorporate the effects of the differing length of time to settle particular claims. For short-tail lines, management tends to give more weight to the Case Incurred and Paid Development methods, although the various methods

Table of Contents

tend to produce similar results. For long-tail lines, more judgment is involved and more weight may be given to the Bornhuetter-Ferguson method. Liability claims for long-tail lines are more susceptible to litigation and can be significantly affected by changing contract interpretation and the legal environment. Therefore, the estimation of loss reserves for these classes is more complex and subject to a higher degree of variability.

Supplementary statistical information is reviewed to determine which methods are most appropriate and whether adjustments are needed to particular methods. This information includes:

open and closed claim counts;

average case reserves and average incurred on open claims;

closure rates and statistics related to closed and open claim percentages;

average closed claim severity;

ultimate claim severity;

reported loss ratios;

projected ultimate loss ratios; and

loss payment patterns.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment

Our investments are exposed to at least one of three primary sources of investment risk: credit, interest rate and market valuation risks. The financial statement risks are those associated with the recognition of impairments and income, as well as the determination of fair values. We evaluate whether impairments have occurred on a case-by-case basis. Management considers a wide range of factors about the security issuer and uses its best judgment in evaluating the cause and amount of decline in the estimated fair value of the security and in assessing the prospects for near-term recovery. Inherent in management's evaluation of the security are assumptions and estimates about the operations of the issuer and its future earnings potential. Considerations we use in the impairment evaluation process include, but are not limited to:

the length of time and the extent to which the market value has been below amortized cost;

whether the issuer is experiencing significant financial difficulties;

economic stability of an entire industry sector or subsection;

Edgar Filing: National Interstate CORP - Form 10-Q

whether the issuer, series of issuers or industry has a catastrophic type of loss;

the extent to which the unrealized loss is credit-driven or a result of changes in market interest rates;

historical operating, balance sheet and cash flow data;

internally and externally generated financial models and forecasts;

our ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value; and

other subjective factors, including concentrations and information obtained from regulators and rating agencies.

Under current other-than-temporary impairment accounting guidance, if management can assert that it does not intend to sell an impaired fixed maturity security and it is not more likely than not that it will have to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, then an entity may separate the other-than-temporary impairments into two components: 1) the amount related to credit losses (recorded in earnings) and 2) the amount related to all other factors (recorded in other comprehensive income (loss)). The credit related portion of an other-than-temporary impairment is measured by comparing a security's amortized

Table of Contents

cost to the present value of its current expected cash flows discounted at its effective yield prior to the impairment charge. Both components are required to be shown in the Consolidated Statements of Income. If management intends to sell an impaired security, or it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the security before recovery, an impairment charge is required to reduce the amortized cost of that security to fair value. Additional disclosures required by this guidance are contained in Note 4 Investments.

We closely monitor each investment that has a fair value that is below its amortized cost and make a determination each quarter for other-than-temporary impairment for each of those investments. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, we recorded other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. The other-than-temporary impairment charges recorded for both periods were taken on equity securities where management is uncertain of the timing and extent of ultimate recovery. During both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$0.1 million on equity securities that were in an unrealized loss position prior to impairment and which were likely to be disposed of in the near future. While it is not possible to accurately predict if or when a specific security will become impaired, given the inherent uncertainty in the market, charges for other-than-temporary impairment could be material to net income in subsequent quarters. Management believes it is not likely that future impairment charges will have a significant effect on our liquidity. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financial Condition Investments.

Contractual Obligations/Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

During the first nine months of 2012, our contractual obligations did not change materially from those discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

We do not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities of financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes.

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

As of September 30, 2012, there were no material changes to the information provided in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 under Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective disclosure controls and procedures, as defined under Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Our management, with participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e)) as of September 30, 2012. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2012, to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There have been no significant changes in our internal controls over financial reporting or in other factors that have occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

There are no material changes from the legal proceedings previously reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. For more information regarding such legal matters please refer to Item 3 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, Note 16 Commitments and Contingencies to the Consolidated Financial Statements included therein and Note 10 Commitments and Contingencies to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this quarterly report.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

There are no material changes to the risk factors previously reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. For more information regarding such risk factors, please refer to Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

ITEM 6. Exhibits

3.1	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation ⁽¹⁾
3.2	Amended and Restated Code of Regulations ⁽¹⁾
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document ⁽²⁾
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document ⁽²⁾
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document ⁽²⁾
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document ⁽²⁾
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document ⁽²⁾
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document ⁽²⁾

⁽¹⁾ These exhibits are incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-119270).

⁽²⁾ In accordance with Regulation S-T, the XBRL-related information in Exhibit 101 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q shall be deemed furnished not filed.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

NATIONAL INTERSTATE CORPORATION

Date: November 2, 2012

/s/ David W. Michelson
David W. Michelson
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Duly Authorized Officer and Principal Executive Officer)

Date: November 2, 2012

/s/ Julie A. McGraw
Julie A. McGraw
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Duly Authorized Officer and Principal Financial Officer)