

PharMerica CORP
Form 10-Q
November 03, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission File Number: 001-33380

PHARMERICA CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

1901 Campus Place

87-0792558
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

40299

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

Louisville, KY
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(502) 627-7000

(Zip Code)

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class of Common Stock
Common stock, \$0.01 par value

Outstanding at October 28, 2011
29,397,015 shares

Table of Contents

PHARMERICA CORPORATION

FORM 10-Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited) | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Income Statements For the Three Months and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2011</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets As of December 31, 2010 (As Adjusted) and September 30, 2011</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows For the Three Months and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2011</u> | 5 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011</u> | 6 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 7 |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 35 |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 72 |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 72 |
| <u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 73 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 74 |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | 77 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 78 |
| <u>Exhibit Index</u> | 79 |

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENTS****For the Three Months and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2011****(Unaudited)****(In millions, except share and per share amounts)**

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Revenues | \$ 443.1 | \$ 518.7 | \$ 1,355.8 | \$ 1,585.5 |
| Cost of goods sold | 386.3 | 443.4 | 1,178.1 | 1,368.1 |
| Gross profit | 56.8 | 75.3 | 177.7 | 217.4 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 43.3 | 54.7 | 131.1 | 161.4 |
| Amortization expense | 2.2 | 3.0 | 6.9 | 8.4 |
| Impairment of intangible assets | - | 5.1 | - | 5.1 |
| Integration, merger and acquisition related costs and other charges | 2.4 | 1.8 | 12.8 | 11.6 |
| Operating income | 8.9 | 10.7 | 26.9 | 30.9 |
| Interest expense, net | 0.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 6.3 |
| Income before income taxes | 8.0 | 8.1 | 24.3 | 24.6 |
| Provision for income taxes | 3.2 | 3.3 | 9.8 | 9.1 |
| Net income | \$ 4.8 | \$ 4.8 | \$ 14.5 | \$ 15.5 |
| Earnings per common share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.48 | \$ 0.53 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.48 | \$ 0.53 |
| Shares used in computing earnings per common share: | | | | |
| Basic | 30,033,618 | 29,366,998 | 30,282,566 | 29,324,094 |
| Diluted | 30,122,302 | 29,531,095 | 30,423,035 | 29,423,330 |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****As of December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2011****(Unaudited)****(In millions, except share and per share amounts)**

| | (As Adjusted) December 31, 2010 | September 30, 2011 |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 10.8 | \$ 10.3 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 237.1 | 239.9 |
| Inventory | 88.5 | 118.4 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 23.5 | 38.8 |
| Prepays and other assets | 24.6 | 31.4 |
| | 384.5 | 438.8 |
| Equipment and leasehold improvements | 136.0 | 143.9 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (76.5) | (90.4) |
| | 59.5 | 53.5 |
| Deferred tax assets, net | 28.7 | 3.6 |
| Goodwill | 179.1 | 183.4 |
| Intangible assets, net | 102.2 | 93.1 |
| Other | 5.7 | 15.2 |
| | \$ 759.7 | \$ 787.6 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 74.2 | \$ 53.2 |
| Salaries, wages and other compensation | 22.0 | 35.3 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | - | 3.1 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 7.1 | 10.5 |
| | 103.3 | 102.1 |
| Long-term debt | 245.6 | 257.9 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 26.4 | 23.1 |
| Commitments and contingencies (See Note 6) | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value per share; 1,000,000 shares authorized and no shares issued, December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2011 | - | - |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value per share; 175,000,000 shares authorized; 30,696,261 and 30,735,615 shares issued as of December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2011, respectively. | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Capital in excess of par value | 349.7 | 354.4 |
| Retained earnings | 45.0 | 60.5 |
| Treasury stock at cost, 1,336,817 at December 31, 2010 and 1,344,939 shares at September 30, 2011 | (10.6) | (10.7) |
| | 384.4 | 404.5 |

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

\$ 759.7 \$ 787.6

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****For the Three Months and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2011****(Unaudited)****(In millions)**

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 | 2011 | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 | 2011 |
|---|--|---------|---|---------|
| Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities: | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 4.8 | \$ 4.8 | \$ 14.5 | \$ 15.5 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | | |
| Depreciation | 4.8 | 4.8 | 14.1 | 14.9 |
| Amortization | 2.2 | 3.0 | 6.9 | 8.4 |
| Impairment charge | - | 5.1 | - | 5.1 |
| Integration, merger and acquisition related costs and other charges | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| Stock-based compensation | 0.8 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 4.5 |
| Amortization of deferred financing fees | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| Deferred income taxes | 3.4 | 2.9 | 9.1 | 9.8 |
| Loss on disposition of equipment | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Other | - | (0.2) | - | (0.2) |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities: | | | | |
| Accounts receivable, net | 6.7 | 6.1 | 21.3 | (2.2) |
| Inventory and other assets | 3.1 | 10.8 | 4.5 | (29.1) |
| Prepays and other assets | 2.2 | 1.9 | 4.8 | (6.9) |
| Accounts payable | (4.6) | (28.3) | (9.3) | (21.3) |
| Salaries, wages and other compensation | (0.6) | (0.9) | (5.3) | 11.6 |
| Other accrued and long-term liabilities | 0.4 | (0.1) | 3.7 | - |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 23.5 | 12.0 | 68.8 | 12.3 |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities: | | | | |
| Purchases of equipment and leasehold improvements | (3.7) | (3.2) | (8.8) | (9.4) |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired | (3.5) | - | (3.6) | (8.5) |
| Proceeds from sale of assets | - | 0.1 | - | 0.1 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (7.2) | (3.1) | (12.4) | (17.8) |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities: | | | | |
| Repayment of long-term debt | - | - | - | (240.0) |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | - | - | - | 250.0 |
| Repayments of long-term revolving credit facility | - | (312.1) | - | (897.0) |
| Proceeds from long-term revolving credit facility | - | 299.1 | - | 902.4 |
| Payment of debt issuance costs | - | - | - | (9.8) |
| Tax shortfall from stock-based compensation | (0.2) | - | (0.2) | - |
| Repayments of capital lease obligations | (0.1) | (0.3) | (0.5) | (0.7) |
| Issuance of common stock | - | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Treasury stock at cost | (10.5) | - | (10.5) | (0.1) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (10.8) | (13.2) | (10.9) | 5.0 |
| Change in cash and cash equivalents | 5.5 | (4.3) | 45.5 | (0.5) |

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 91.2 | 14.6 | 51.2 | 10.8 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 96.7 | \$ 10.3 | \$ 96.7 | \$ 10.3 |
| Supplemental information: | | | | |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ 0.8 | \$ 2.6 | \$ 2.3 | \$ 5.1 |
| Cash paid for taxes | \$ 0.1 | \$ (0.2) | \$ 0.4 | \$ 0.1 |
| Supplemental schedule of non-cash activities: | | | | |
| Capital lease obligations | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 0.4 | \$ - |
| Purchase accounting adjustments | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 0.2 | \$ - |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

PHARMERICA CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

(Unaudited)

(In millions, except share amounts)

| | Common Stock | | Capital in | Retained | Treasury | Total |
|--|--------------|--------|------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | Shares | Amount | Excess of Par Value | Earnings | Stock | |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 29,359,444 | \$ 0.3 | \$ 349.7 | \$ 45.0 | \$ (10.6) | \$ 384.4 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | 15.5 | | 15.5 |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | 15.5 | | 15.5 |
| Exercise of stock options | 9,996 | - | 0.2 | - | - | 0.2 |
| Vested restricted stock units | 29,358 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Treasury stock at cost | (8,122) | | | | (0.1) | (0.1) |
| Stock-based compensation - non-vested restricted stock | - | - | 2.7 | - | - | 2.7 |
| Stock-based compensation - stock options | - | - | 1.8 | - | - | 1.8 |
| Balance at September 30, 2011 | 29,390,676 | \$ 0.3 | \$ 354.4 | \$ 60.5 | \$ (10.7) | \$ 404.5 |

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

PHARMERICA CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Business

PharMerica Corporation (the Corporation) is an institutional pharmacy services company that services healthcare facilities and provides pharmacy management services to hospitals. The Corporation is the second largest institutional pharmacy services company in the United States, operating 92 institutional pharmacies in 44 states. The Corporation's customers are typically institutional healthcare providers, such as nursing centers, assisted living facilities, hospitals and other long-term alternative care settings and generally the primary source of supply of pharmaceuticals to its customers. The Corporation also provides pharmacy management services to 90 hospitals in the United States.

Principles of Consolidation

All intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X and do not include all of the information and disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (U.S. GAAP) for complete financial statements. Accordingly, the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements of the Corporation and related footnotes for the year ended December 31, 2010, included in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K. The balance sheet as of December 31, 2010 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements adjusted for acquisition related measurement period adjustments as of that date but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements.

The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results of operations for a full year. It is the opinion of management that all necessary adjustments for a fair presentation of the condensed consolidated income statements, balance sheets, cash flows, and stockholders' equity for the interim periods have been made and are of a normal recurring nature.

Use of Estimates

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP which requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities and disclosure of contingent liabilities as of the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Significant estimates are involved in collectability of accounts receivable, revenue recognition, inventory valuation, supplier rebates, the valuation of long-lived assets and goodwill, accounting for income taxes and stock-based compensation. Actual amounts may differ from these estimates.

Potential risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond the control of the Corporation, include, but are not necessarily limited to, such factors as overall economic, financial and business conditions; delays and reductions in reimbursement by the government and other payers to the Corporation and/or its customers; the overall financial condition of the Corporation's customers; the effect of new government regulations, executive orders and/or legislative initiatives, including those relating to reimbursement and drug pricing policies and changes in the interpretation and application of such policies; efforts by payers to control costs; the outcome of litigation; the outcome of audit, compliance, administrative or investigatory reviews, including governmental/regulatory inquiries; delays or difficulties in integrating acquired businesses; other contingent liabilities; changes in international economic and political conditions; changes in interest rates; changes in tax laws and regulations; access to capital and financing; the demand for the Corporation's products and services; pricing and other competitive factors in the industry; changes in manufacturers' rebate programs; shifts in demand for generic drug equivalents; changes in insurance claims experience and related assumptions; variations in costs or expenses; and changes in accounting rules and standards.

Unsolicited Tender Offer by Omnicare

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

On August 23, 2011, Omnicare, Inc. (Omnicare) made public an unsolicited proposal to acquire all of the outstanding shares of the Corporation's common stock for \$15.00 per share in cash. After careful consideration and consultation with the Corporation's financial and legal advisors, the Corporation's Board of Directors determined unanimously that Omnicare's proposal undervalues the Corporation and was not in the best interests of the Corporation's stockholders. On September 7, 2011, Omnicare, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Philadelphia Acquisition Sub, Inc., commenced an unsolicited tender offer to purchase all of the outstanding shares of the Corporation's common stock at \$15.00 per share. On September 18, 2011, the Board again met with its financial and legal advisors, and after careful consideration, the Corporation's Board of Directors again unanimously recommended

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

that the Corporation's stockholders reject the offer and not tender their shares of the Corporation's common stock because the Corporation's Board of Directors believes that Omnicare's tender offer (i) undervalues the Corporation and its prospects, (ii) is illusory because it is subject to significant regulatory and other uncertainty, and (iii) is opportunistic based on the Corporation's then traded market value. On September 20, 2011, the Corporation filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) a Recommendation/Solicitation Statement on Schedule 14D-9 detailing the recommendation of the Corporation's Board of Directors in response to Omnicare's tender offer and the reasons it rejected the offer. See Note 6 Commitments and Contingencies for a discussion of certain litigation commenced in respect of Omnicare's tender offer and related actions. On October 5, 2011, Omnicare extended the expiration date of its tender offer until 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on Friday, December 2, 2011, unless further extended.

On August 25, 2011, after careful consideration and consultation with the Corporation's financial and legal advisors, the Corporation's Board of Directors adopted a rights plan and authorized the execution of the Rights Agreement, dated August 25, 2011 (the Rights Agreement), between the Corporation and Mellon Investor Services LLC, as Rights Agent. On August 25, 2011, the Board of Directors of the Corporation declared a dividend of one preferred share purchase right (a Right) for each outstanding share of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share. The dividend was payable on September 6, 2011 to the stockholders of record on September 6, 2011. The Rights will expire on the earlier of August 25, 2021 or redemption by the Corporation. However, the rights will expire immediately at the final adjournment of the Corporation's 2012 annual meeting of stockholders if stockholder approval of the Rights Agreement has not been received prior to that time. The Rights Agreement is designed to prevent third parties from opportunistically acquiring the Corporation in a transaction that the Corporation's Board of Directors believes is not in the best interests of the Corporation's stockholders. In general terms, it works by imposing a significant penalty upon any person or group that acquires beneficial ownership of 15 percent or more of our outstanding common stock without the prior approval of the Corporation's Board of Directors. The Corporation's Board of Directors believes the Rights Agreement has helped the Corporation's stockholders at this time by effectively preventing Omnicare from opportunistically acquiring the Corporation at a price that the Corporation's Board of Directors believes is inadequate for the reasons discussed above. The Rights Agreement has been narrowly tailored in a manner that the Corporation's Board of Directors believes appropriately balances the interests of the Corporation's stockholders in connection with what the Corporation's Board of Directors considers an opportunistic, illusory and disadvantageous proposal, against the need to avoid excessive anti-takeover protections that ultimately may adversely impact stockholder value. The Rights Agreement should not interfere with any merger or other business combination approved by the Board of Directors.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, and the regulations thereunder (the HSR Act), Omnicare filed a Notification and Report Form with the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice (the Antitrust Division) and the Federal Trade Commission (the FTC) relating to its proposed acquisition of the Corporation on September 7, 2011. On or about September 19, 2011, the Corporation submitted a responsive Notification and Report Form with the Antitrust Division and the FTC. On September 22, 2011, the Corporation received a request for additional information from the FTC relating to the tender offer (the Second Request). The Corporation intends to promptly respond to the Second Request. The Second Request extends the waiting period under the HSR Act for 10 calendar days after the date Omnicare certifies substantial compliance with the Second Request.

The financial and outside legal advisors to the Corporation and Omnicare have continued to meet from time to time in an effort to agree upon an acceptable information sharing process relating to antitrust issues. On October 26, 2011, the Corporation and Omnicare and their respective outside legal advisors entered into a Confidentiality and Joint Defense Agreement (the Confidentiality and Joint Defense Agreement), which provides, among other things, for the parties to exchange on a confidential and privileged basis information in order to facilitate their respective assessment of the antitrust risk associated with a potential combination of the two companies. Although the Corporation's Board of Directors continues to believe that Omnicare's \$15.00 per share offer undervalues the Corporation, the Corporation is engaging in this analytical process in order to further its understanding of Omnicare's assessment of the antitrust risk related to a business combination. The Confidentiality and Joint Defense Agreement does not obligate the Corporation to enter a transaction with Omnicare and there can be no assurance that this review will lead to a definitive merger agreement or any transaction between the two companies.

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

In connection with these matters, in third quarter of 2011, we expensed \$1.1 million of legal and advisory fees, which are included in integration, merger and acquisition related costs and other charges in the condensed consolidated financial statements. We expect to incur additional costs in the future in connection with Omnicare's unsolicited tender offer.

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)***Cash and Cash Equivalents*

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash on hand and cash equivalents with original maturities of three months or less. The Corporation places its cash in financial institutions that are federally insured. As of December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2011, the Corporation did not hold a material amount of funds in cash equivalent money market accounts. Management believes it effectively safeguards cash assets.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based upon assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. As a basis for considering such assumptions, the Corporation follows a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value as follows:

- Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets;
- Level 2: Inputs, other than quoted prices in active markets, that are observable either directly or indirectly; and
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which require the reporting entity to develop its own assumptions.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value are based on one or more of the following three valuation techniques:

- A. *Market approach:* Prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities.
- B. *Cost approach:* Amount that would be required to replace the service capacity of an asset (replacement cost).
- C. *Income approach:* Techniques to convert future amounts to a single present amount based upon market expectations (including present value techniques, option-pricing and excess earnings models).

Financial liabilities and non-financial assets recorded at fair value at December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2011, are set forth in the tables below (dollars in millions):

| As of December 31, 2010 | Asset/ (Liability) | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Valuation Technique |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------------------|
| <i>Financial Assets/(Liabilities)</i> | | | | | |
| Deferred Compensation Plan | \$ (4.0) | \$ - | \$ (4.0) | \$ - | A |

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------------------|
| Contingent Consideration | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | C |
| As of September 30, 2011 | Asset/ (Liability) | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Valuation Technique |
| <i>Non-Financial Assets</i> | | | | | |
| Intangible Assets | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | C |
| <i>Financial Assets/(Liabilities)</i> | | | | | |
| Deferred Compensation Plan | \$ (3.6) | \$ - | \$ (3.6) | \$ - | A |
| Contingent Consideration | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | C |

Certain intangible assets with carrying amounts of \$5.1 million were written down to their implied fair value resulting in an impairment charge of \$5.1 million. The fair value of intangible assets was derived using the income approach, which uses valuation techniques to convert future amounts to a single present amount. See Note 4 for a further description of the impairment. The deferred compensation plan liability represents an unfunded obligation associated with the deferred compensation plan offered to eligible employees and members of the Board of Directors of the Corporation. The fair value of the liability associated with the deferred compensation plan is derived using pricing and other relevant information for similar assets or liabilities generated by market transactions. The contingent consideration represented a future earn-out associated with our acquisition of an institutional pharmacy business based in West Virginia (West Virginia Acquisition). The fair value of the liability associated with the contingent consideration was derived using the income approach with unobservable inputs, which included future gross profit forecast and

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

present value assumptions, and there was little or no market data. The Corporation no longer believes it is probable that contingent consideration will be paid to the sellers, therefore, at December 31, 2010, the liability was relieved and remained the same at September 30, 2011. There were no transfers between the three-tier fair value hierarchy levels during the period.

The carrying amounts reported in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, inventory and accounts payable approximate fair value because of the short-term maturity of these instruments. The Corporation's debt approximates fair value due to the terms of the interest being set at variable market interest rates.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable primarily consist of amounts due from Prescription Drug Plans (PDPs) under Medicare Part D, institutional healthcare providers, the respective state Medicaid programs, third party insurance companies, and private payers. The Corporation's ability to collect outstanding receivables is critical to its results of operations and cash flows. To provide for accounts receivable that could become uncollectible in the future, the Corporation establishes an allowance for doubtful accounts to reduce the carrying value of such receivables to the extent it is probable that a portion or all of a particular account will not be collected.

The Corporation has an established process to determine the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts, which relies on analytical tools, specific identification, and benchmarks to arrive at a reasonable allowance. No single statistic or measurement determines the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. In evaluating the collectability of accounts receivable, the Corporation considers a number of factors, which include, but are not limited to, the impact of changes in the regulatory and payer environment, historical trends, the financial viability of the payer, contractual reimbursement terms and other factors that may impact ultimate reimbursement. Accounts receivable are written off after collection efforts have been completed in accordance with the Corporation's policies.

The Corporation's accounts receivable and summarized aging categories are as follows (dollars in millions):

| | December 31, 2010 | September 30, 2011 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Institutional healthcare providers | \$ 160.4 | \$ 174.0 |
| Medicare Part D | 52.3 | 49.2 |
| Private payor and other | 35.7 | 28.8 |
| Insured | 10.0 | 9.7 |
| Medicaid | 13.4 | 20.2 |
| Medicare | 2.1 | 0.9 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (36.8) | (42.9) |
| | \$ 237.1 | \$ 239.9 |
| 0 to 60 days | 61.8 % | 63.1 % |
| 61 to 120 days | 22.0 % | 18.9 % |
| Over 120 days | 16.2 % | 18.0 % |

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

The following is a summary of activity in the Corporation's allowance for doubtful accounts (dollars in millions):

| | Beginning Balance | Charges to Costs and Expenses | Write-offs | Ending Balance |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|-------------------|
| Allowance for doubtful accounts: | | | | |
| Year Ended December 31, 2010 | \$ 40.2 | \$ 18.5 | \$ (21.9) | \$ 36.8 |
| Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 | \$ 36.8 | \$ 17.6 | \$ (11.5) | \$ 42.9 |

Concentration of Credit Risk

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, the Corporation derived approximately 15.0% and 13.6% of its revenues from a single customer, respectively, including all payer sources associated with the residents of its long-term care facilities.

Deferred Financing Fees

The Corporation capitalizes financing fees related to acquiring or issuing new debt instruments. These expenditures include bank fees and premiums, legal costs, and filing fees. The Corporation amortizes these deferred financing fees using the effective interest method.

Inventory

Inventory is primarily located at the Corporation's institutional pharmacy locations. Inventory consists solely of finished products (primarily prescription drugs) and is valued at the lower of first-in, first-out cost (FIFO) or market. Physical inventories are performed on a quarterly basis at the end of the quarter at all pharmacy sites. Cost of goods sold is recorded based upon the actual results of the physical inventory counts.

Equipment and Leasehold Improvements

Equipment and leasehold improvements are recorded at cost on the acquisition date and are depreciated using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives or lease term, if shorter, as follows (in years):

| | Estimated Useful Lives |
|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Leasehold improvements | 1-7 |
| Equipment and software | 3-10 |
| Leased equipment | 1-5 |

Expenditures for maintenance, repairs and renewals of minor items are expensed as incurred. Major rebuilds and improvements are capitalized. For the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, maintenance and repairs were \$1.6 million and \$1.8 million, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, maintenance and repairs were \$4.5 million and \$5.6 million, respectively.

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of long-lived assets is assessed by a comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to the estimated future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset or group of assets. If estimated future undiscounted net cash flows are less than the carrying amount of the asset or group of assets, the asset is considered impaired and an expense is recorded in an amount required to reduce the carrying amount of the asset to its then fair value. The Corporation did not record impairment charges on equipment and leasehold improvements for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 or 2011.

The Corporation's equipment and leasehold improvements are further described in Note 3.

Table of Contents

PHARMERICA CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Capitalization of Internal Software Costs

The Corporation capitalizes the costs incurred during the application development stage, which include costs to design the software configuration and interfaces, coding, installation, and testing. Costs incurred during the preliminary project stage along with post-implementation stages of internal use computer software are expensed as incurred. Capitalized development costs are amortized over various periods up to three years and are subject to impairment evaluations. Costs incurred to maintain existing software development are expensed as incurred. The capitalization and ongoing assessment of recoverability of development costs requires judgment by management with respect to certain external factors, including, but not limited to, technological and economic feasibility and estimated economic life. For the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, the Corporation capitalized internally developed software costs of \$0.3 million and \$0.6 million, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, the Corporation capitalized internally developed software costs of \$1.5 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2011, net capitalized software costs, including acquired assets and amounts for projects which have not been completed, totaled \$14.2 million and \$12.2 million, respectively.

Goodwill and Other Intangibles

Goodwill represents the excess purchase price of an acquired entity over the net amounts assigned to assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are reviewed by the Corporation at least annually for impairment. The Corporation's business is comprised of two reporting units, institutional pharmacy and hospital management, each of which are reviewed separately for impairment. The Corporation performed its annual impairment test for goodwill recorded as of December 31, 2010, and did not incur an impairment charge.

The Corporation's finite-lived intangible assets are comprised primarily of trade names, customer relationship assets and non-compete agreements primarily originating from business acquisitions. Finite-lived intangible assets are amortized on a straight-line basis over the course of their lives ranging from 5 to 20 years. For impairment reviews, intangible assets are reviewed on a specific pharmacy basis or as a group of pharmacies depending on the intangible assets under review. The Corporation's goodwill and intangible assets are further described in Note 4.

During the third quarter of 2011, the Corporation recorded a pre-tax impairment charge of \$5.1 million related to finite lived customer relationships. The impairment, which related to the Institutional Pharmacy Segment, was incurred as the result of non-renewal of certain customer contracts. The impairment was related to intangible assets acquired in an acquisition during the year ended December 31, 2005. These asset groups were assessed for recoverability and management determined the finite lived customer relationship assets to be impaired, but no other assets within the asset groups were deemed impaired. Using a discounted cash flow analysis, the Corporation determined the pre-tax impairment charge of \$5.1 million was required to write the carrying value down to fair value, resulting in a loss per diluted share impact of \$0.11. The Corporation recognized the impairment as a permanent write-down of the cost basis and accumulated amortization of the affected assets.

Self-Insured Employee Health Benefits

The Corporation is self-insured for the majority of its employee health benefits. The Corporation's self-insurance for employee health benefits includes a stop-loss policy to limit the maximum potential liability of the Corporation for both individual and aggregate claims per year. The Corporation records a monthly expense for self-insurance based on historical claims data and inputs from third-party administrators. For the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, the expense for employee health benefits was \$4.8 million and \$4.9 million, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, the expense for employee health benefits was \$14.2 million and \$15.0 million, respectively, the majority of which was related to its self-insured plans. As of December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2011, the Corporation had \$2.4 million and \$3.2 million, respectively, recorded as a liability for self-insured employee health benefits.

Supplier Rebates

The Corporation receives rebates on purchases from its vendors and suppliers. The Corporation generally accounts for these rebates and other incentives received from its vendors and suppliers, relating to the purchase or distribution of inventory, as a reduction of cost of goods sold and inventory. The Corporation considers these rebates to represent product discounts, and as a result, the rebates are capitalized as a reduction of product cost and relieved through cost of goods sold

Table of Contents

PHARMERICA CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

NOTE 1 ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

upon the sale of the related inventory. For the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, rebates recorded as a reduction in cost of goods sold were \$8.7 million and \$18.2 million, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, rebates recorded as a reduction in cost of goods sold were \$27.3 million and \$53.2 million, respectively. The Corporation had \$3.3 million and \$5.6 million of rebates capitalized in inventory as of December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2011, respectively.

Delivery Expenses

The Corporation incurred delivery expenses of \$13.5 million and \$16.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, respectively, and \$42.8 million and \$51.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, respectively, to deliver products sold to its customers. Delivery expenses are reported as a component of cost of goods sold in the accompanying condensed consolidated income statements.

Stock Option Accounting

The Corporation recognizes stock-based compensation expense in its condensed consolidated financial statements using the Black-Scholes-Merton option valuation model (See Note 9).

Income Taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The Corporation accrues for tax obligations, as appropriate, based on facts and circumstances in the various regulatory environments. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are more fully described in Note 10.

Impact of Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2011, the FASB issued authoritative guidance in ASC 350 Intangibles - Goodwill and Other intended to simplify goodwill impairment testing. Entities will be allowed to perform a qualitative assessment on goodwill impairment to determine whether it is more likely than not (defined as having a likelihood of more than 50 percent) that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test. This guidance is effective for goodwill impairment tests performed in interim and annual periods for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The Corporation does not expect this new guidance will have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Measurement Period Adjustments

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Corporation has adjusted certain amounts on the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010 as a result of measurement period adjustments related to the Chem Rx and Lone Star acquisitions (See Note 2).

NOTE 2 ACQUISITIONS

2011 Acquisitions

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

On April 1, 2011, the Corporation acquired an institutional pharmacy business based in Greenville, South Carolina. The acquisition was accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting. The total purchase price of the acquisition was \$8.5 million. The total purchase price was preliminarily allocated to the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets based upon their fair value. Approximately \$3.0 million was recorded as intangibles at the acquisition date. A total of \$4.3 million was recognized as goodwill. For tax purposes, the transaction was considered an asset acquisition, therefore, the amount of goodwill recorded in the transaction will be tax deductible to the Corporation.

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****NOTE 2 ACQUISITIONS (Continued)****2010 Acquisitions***Chem Rx Acquisition*

On November 4, 2010, the Corporation acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed selected vendor contracts of Chem Rx Corporation and certain of its wholly-owned subsidiaries (collectively, Chem Rx). The Corporation's primary purpose in acquiring Chem Rx was to expand the Corporation's long-term care business into the New York and New Jersey markets. The acquisition of Chem Rx was made pursuant to Section 363 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (Bankruptcy Code).

The acquisition was accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting. The total purchase price of Chem Rx was allocated to the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets based upon the associated fair values on November 4, 2010. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets was recorded as goodwill. For tax purposes, the transaction was considered an asset acquisition, therefore, \$7.1 million of goodwill recorded in the transaction will be tax deductible to the Corporation. The Corporation believes the resulting amount of goodwill reflects its expectation of the synergistic benefits of being able to integrate Chem Rx.

The allocation of the purchase price was based upon the fair value of net tangible and identifiable intangible assets as of November 4, 2010. The adjusted preliminary purchase price allocation was as follows (dollars in millions):

| | Amounts Previously Recognized as of Acquisition Date (1) | Measurement Period Adjustments | Amounts Recognized as of Acquisition Date (Adjusted) |
|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| Accounts receivable (2) | \$ 33.1 | \$ 10.6 | \$ 43.7 |
| Inventory (2) | 14.1 | (0.1) | 14.0 |
| Other current assets (2) | 3.9 | 0.1 | 4.0 |
| Equipment and leasehold improvements | 4.9 | - | 4.9 |
| Identifiable intangibles | 4.0 | - | 4.0 |
| Other long-term assets (2) | 5.1 | 3.8 | 8.9 |
| Goodwill (3) | 27.6 | (14.6) | 13.0 |
| Total assets | 92.7 | (0.2) | 92.5 |
| Current liabilities (2) | (13.3) | 0.2 | (13.1) |
| Other long-term liabilities | (8.8) | - | (8.8) |
| Total liabilities | (22.1) | 0.2 | (21.9) |
| Purchase price of Chem Rx | \$ 70.6 | \$ - | \$ 70.6 |

(1) As previously reported in the Corporation's 2010 Annual Report on form 10-K.

(2) Represents an adjustment to specific assets and liabilities to reflect the change in fair value as of the acquisition date.

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

(3) Represents the cumulative change to goodwill for the changes in current assets, long-term assets, and current liabilities.

The Corporation recorded accounts receivable with a contractual fair value of \$43.7 million as part of the adjusted preliminary purchase price of the Chem Rx acquisition. In addition, the Corporation also recorded a liability with a fair value of \$9.8 million related to an unfavorable operating lease as part of the preliminary purchase price. The unfavorable operating lease liability will be amortized to rental expense over the contractual term of the operating lease agreement.

The following are the fair values of the equipment and leasehold improvements of Chem Rx acquired at the date of acquisition (dollars in millions):

| Equipment and leasehold improvements | Fair-Value | Weighted Average Useful Life (Yr.) |
|---|-------------------|---|
| Leasehold improvements | \$ 1.0 | 6.9 |
| Equipment and software | 3.9 | 3.5 |
| | \$ 4.9 | 5.2 |

The following are the fair values of the identifiable intangible assets of Chem Rx acquired at the date of acquisition (dollars in millions):

| Identifiable Intangibles | Fair-Value | Weighted Average Useful Life (Yr.) |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| Trade name | \$ 0.7 | 7.0 |
| Customer relationships | 3.3 | 6.0 |
| | \$ 4.0 | 6.2 |

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****NOTE 2 ACQUISITIONS (Continued)***Lone Star Acquisition*

On December 31, 2010, the Corporation through a wholly-owned subsidiary, acquired all of the membership interests of Lone Star Pharmacy LTD, a Texas Limited partnership, and Pharmastat Transport, LTD, a Texas limited partnership (collectively, Lone Star), for \$50.0 million in cash. The Corporation's primary purpose in acquiring Lone Star was to increase the Corporation's market share in Texas and other states.

The acquisition of Lone Star has been accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting. The total purchase price of Lone Star was allocated to the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets based upon their fair values on December 31, 2010. The excess of the purchase price over the fair values of the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets was recorded as goodwill. For tax purposes, the transaction was considered an asset acquisition; therefore, the amount of goodwill recorded in the transaction of \$25.7 million will be tax deductible to the Corporation. The Corporation believes the resulting amount of goodwill reflects its expectations of the synergistic benefits of being able to fully integrate the Lone Star business into its existing institutional pharmacy locations.

The allocation of the purchase price was based upon the fair value of net tangible and identifiable intangible assets as of December 31, 2010. The preliminary purchase price allocation was as follows (dollars in millions):

| | Amounts Previously Recognized as of Acquisition Date (1) | Measurement Period Adjustments | Amounts Recognized as of Acquisition Date (Adjusted) |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| Accounts receivable | \$ 6.7 | \$ - | \$ 6.7 |
| Inventories | 2.9 | - | 2.9 |
| Other current assets (2) | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| Equipment and leasehold improvements | 0.9 | - | 0.9 |
| Identifiable intangibles | 15.7 | - | 15.7 |
| Goodwill (3) | 25.9 | (0.2) | 25.7 |
| Total assets | 52.5 | - | 52.5 |
| Current liabilities | (1.3) | - | (1.3) |
| Accrued salaries | (1.1) | - | (1.1) |
| Other current liabilities | (0.1) | - | (0.1) |
| Total liabilities | (2.5) | - | (2.5) |
| | | | |
| Purchase price of Lone Star, net of cash acquired | \$ 50.0 | \$ - | \$ 50.0 |

(1) As previously reported in the Corporation's 2010 Annual Report on form 10-K.

(2) Represents an adjustment to other current assets to reflect the change in fair value as of the acquisition date.

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

(3) Represents the cumulative change to goodwill for the changes in other current assets.

The following are the fair values of the equipment and leasehold improvements of Lone Star acquired at the date of acquisition (dollars in millions):

| Equipment and leasehold improvements | Fair-Value | Weighted Average Useful Life (Yr.) |
|---|-------------------|---|
| Equipment and software | \$ 0.9 | 3.4 |

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****NOTE 2 ACQUISITIONS (Continued)**

The following are the fair values of the identifiable intangible assets of Lone Star acquired at the date of acquisition (dollars in millions):

| Identifiable Intangibles | Fair-Value | Weighted Average Useful Life (Yr.) |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| Customer relationships | \$ 15.2 | 8.0 |
| Trade name | 0.3 | 6.0 |
| Non-compete agreement | 0.2 | 6.0 |
| | \$ 15.7 | 7.9 |

Other

For the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, the Corporation incurred \$0.9 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011 incurred \$3.8 million and \$11.2 million, respectively, of acquisition related costs, which have been classified as a component of integration, merger, and acquisition related costs and other charges.

Pro forma

The following unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial information is not intended to represent or be indicative of the consolidated results of operations or financial condition of the Corporation that would have been reported had the acquisitions been completed as of the date or for the periods presented, and should not be taken as representative of the future condensed consolidated results of operations or financial condition of the Corporation.

The unaudited pro forma effect of the acquisitions assuming the acquisitions occurred on January 1, 2010, excluding the integration, merger and acquisition related costs and other charges and assuming an effective tax rate of approximately 40.0% for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2010, would be as follows (dollars in millions, except per share amounts):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 |
|----------------------------|--|---|
| Revenues | \$ 554.5 | \$ 1,672.9 |
| Net income | \$ 9.4 | \$ 24.3 |
| Earnings per common share: | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.31 | \$ 0.80 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.31 | \$ 0.80 |

NOTE 3 EQUIPMENT AND LEASEHOLD IMPROVEMENTS

Equipment and leasehold improvements consist of the following (dollars in millions):

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

| | December 31, 2010 | September 30, 2011 |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Leasehold improvements | \$ 13.6 | \$ 14.1 |
| Equipment and software | 115.4 | 121.5 |
| Leased equipment | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Construction in progress | 4.0 | 5.3 |
| | 136.0 | 143.9 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (76.5) | (90.4) |
| Total Equipment and leasehold improvements | \$ 59.5 | \$ 53.5 |

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****NOTE 3 EQUIPMENT AND LEASEHOLD IMPROVEMENTS (Continued)**

The following is a progression of equipment and leasehold improvements for the period presented (dollars in millions):

| | Balance at December 31, 2010 | Additions | Disposals | Balance at September 30, 2011 |
|---------------------------------------|---|------------------|------------------|--|
| Equipment and leasehold improvements: | | | | |
| Leasehold improvements | \$ 13.6 | \$ 0.7 | \$ (0.2) | \$ 14.1 |
| Equipment and software | 115.4 | 7.3 | (1.2) | 121.5 |
| Leased equipment | 3.0 | - | - | 3.0 |
| Construction in progress | 4.0 | 1.3 | - | 5.3 |
| Sub-Total | 136.0 | 9.3 | (1.4) | 143.9 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (76.5) | (14.9) | 1.0 | (90.4) |
| Total | \$ 59.5 | \$ (5.6) | \$ (0.4) | \$ 53.5 |

Depreciation expense totaled \$4.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011. Depreciation expense totaled \$14.1 million and \$14.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, respectively.

Total estimated depreciation expense for the Corporation's equipment and leasehold improvements for the current year and next four years and thereafter are as follows (dollars in millions):

| Year Ending December 31, | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|
| 2011 | \$ 19.6 * |
| 2012 | 15.8 |
| 2013 | 11.1 |
| 2014 | 6.8 |
| 2015 | 4.3 |
| Thereafter | 10.8 |
| Total | \$ 68.4 |

* The 2011 amount shown includes depreciation expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 of \$14.9 million.

NOTE 4 GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLES

The following table presents the changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 (dollars in millions):

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2010 (As Adjusted) | \$ 179.1 |
| Goodwill acquired from 2011 acquisition | 4.3 |
| Balance at September 30, 2011 | \$ 183.4 |

The following table presents the components of the Corporation's intangible assets (dollars in millions):

| Finite Lived Intangible Assets | Balance at December 31, 2010 | Additions | Impairment | Balance at September 30, 2011 |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|------------|----------------------------------|
| Customer relationships | \$ 95.1 | \$ 3.0 | \$ (10.2) | \$ 87.9 |
| Trade name | 29.5 | - | - | 29.5 |
| Non-compete agreements | 5.9 | 1.4 | - | 7.3 |
| Sub Total | 130.5 | 4.4 | (10.2) | 124.7 |
| Accumulated amortization | (28.3) | (8.4) | 5.1 | (31.6) |
| Net intangible assets | \$ 102.2 | \$ (4.0) | \$ (5.1) | \$ 93.1 |

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****NOTE 4 GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLES (Continued)**

Amortization expense relating to finite-lived intangible assets was \$2.2 million and \$3.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, respectively. Amortization expense relating to finite-lived intangible assets was \$6.9 million and \$8.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, respectively.

During the third quarter of 2011, the Corporation recorded a pre-tax impairment charge of \$5.1 million related to finite-lived customer relationships. The impairment, which related to the Institutional Pharmacy Segment, was incurred as the result of non-renewal of certain customer contracts. The impairment was related to intangible assets acquired in an acquisition during the year ended December 31, 2005. These asset groups were assessed for recoverability and management determined the finite-lived customer relationship assets to be impaired. No other assets within the asset groups were deemed impaired. Using a discounted cash flow analysis, the Corporation determined that the pre-tax impairment charge of \$5.1 million was required to write the carrying value down to fair value, resulting in a loss per diluted share impact of \$0.11. The Corporation recognized the impairment as a permanent write-down of the cost basis and accumulated amortization of the affected assets.

Total estimated amortization expense for the Corporation's finite-lived intangible assets for the current year and next four years and thereafter are as follows (dollars in millions):

| Year Ending December 31, | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| 2011 | \$ 11.0 * |
| 2012 | 9.0 |
| 2013 | 8.9 |
| 2014 | 8.9 |
| 2015 | 8.8 |
| Thereafter | 54.9 |
| | \$ 101.5 |

* The 2011 amount shown includes amortization expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 of \$8.4 million.

NOTE 5 CREDIT AGREEMENT

On May 2, 2011, the Corporation entered into a long-term credit agreement (the **Credit Agreement**) among the Corporation, the Lenders named therein, and Citibank, N.A. (**Citibank**), as Administrative Agent. The Credit Agreement replaced the \$425.0 million five-year credit agreement dated as of July 31, 2007, among the Corporation, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., individually and as administrative agent, and certain lenders. The Credit Agreement consists of a \$250.0 million term loan facility and a \$200.0 million revolving credit facility. The terms and conditions of the Credit Agreement are customary to facilities of this nature.

As of September 30, 2011, \$250.0 million was outstanding under the term loan facility and \$11.0 million was outstanding under the revolving credit facility. Indebtedness under the Credit Agreement matures on June 30, 2016, at which time the commitments of the Lenders to make revolving loans also expire.

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****NOTE 5 CREDIT AGREEMENT (Continued)**

The table below summarizes the term debt and revolving credit facility of the Corporation (dollars in millions):

| <i>Credit Agreement:</i> | December 31, 2010 | September 30, 2011 |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Term Debt - payable to lenders at LIBOR plus applicable margin (2.99% as of September 30, 2011), matures June 30, 2016 | \$ - | \$ 250.0 |
| Replaced Term Debt - payable to lenders at LIBOR plus applicable margin (1.27% as of December 31, 2010) | 240.0 | - |
| Revolving Credit Facility payable to lenders, interest at LIBOR plus applicable margin (5.00% as of September 30, 2011), matures June 30, 2016 | - | 11.0 |
| Replaced Revolving Credit Facility payable to lenders, interest at LIBOR plus applicable margin (3.25% as of December 31, 2010) | 5.6 | - |
| Total debt | 245.6 | 261.0 |
| Less: Current portion of long-term debt | - | 3.1 |
| Total long-term debt | \$ 245.6 | \$ 257.9 |

The Corporation's indebtedness had the following maturities for the current year and the next four years and thereafter (dollars in millions):

| Year Ending December 31, | Term Debt | Revolving Credit Facility | Total Maturities |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| 2011 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| 2012 | 6.2 | - | 6.2 |
| 2013 | 12.5 | - | 12.5 |
| 2014 | 12.5 | - | 12.5 |
| 2015 | 112.5 | - | 112.5 |
| Thereafter | 106.3 | 11.0 | 117.3 |
| | \$ 250.0 | \$ 11.0 | \$ 261.0 |

The Credit Agreement provides for the issuance of letters of credit which, when issued, reduce availability under the revolving credit facility. The aggregate amount of letters of credit outstanding as of September 30, 2011 was \$3.5 million. After giving effect to the letters of credit, total availability under the revolving credit facility was \$185.5 million as of September 30, 2011. The revolving credit facility contains a \$100.0 million accordion feature, which permits the Corporation to increase the total debt capacity, up to an aggregate of \$550.0 million, subject to securing additional commitments from existing or new lenders.

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

Borrowings under the Credit Agreement bear interest at a floating rate equal to, at the Corporation's option, a base rate plus a margin between 1.25% and 2.00% per annum, or an adjusted London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBO Rate or LIBOR) plus a margin between 2.25% and 3.00% per annum, in each case depending on the leverage ratio of the Corporation as defined by the Credit Agreement.

The base rate is the greater of the prime lending rate in effect on such day, the federal funds effective rate published by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York on such day plus 0.5%, or the adjusted LIBO Rate for deposits for a period equal to one month plus 1.0%. Any changes in the base rate, federal funds rate or adjusted LIBO Rate shall be effective from and including the effective date of such change in the rate, as applicable. The Credit Agreement also provides for letter of credit fees between 2.25% and 3.00% and a commitment fee payable on the unused portion of the revolving credit facility, which shall accrue at a rate per annum ranging from 0.375% to 0.500%, in each case depending on the leverage ratio of the Corporation.

The Corporation's obligations under the Credit Agreement are secured by substantially all of the Corporation's assets. Those obligations are guaranteed by many of the Corporation's wholly-owned subsidiaries and the obligations of the guarantors are secured

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****NOTE 5 CREDIT AGREEMENT (Continued)**

by substantially all of their assets. The foregoing includes a pledge of all of the equity interests of substantially all of the Corporation's direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries and a portion of the equity interests of any future foreign subsidiaries.

Covenants

The Credit Agreement requires the Corporation to satisfy an interest coverage ratio and a leverage ratio. The interest coverage ratio, which is tested as of the last day of any fiscal quarter on a trailing four quarter basis, can be no less than: 3.00:1.00. The leverage ratio, which also is tested quarterly, cannot exceed 4.00:1.00 from the end of the first full fiscal quarter ending after the effective date, May 2, 2011, through December 31, 2012; cannot exceed 3.75:1.00 from January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013; and cannot exceed 3.50:1.00 from January 1, 2014 and thereafter. In addition, capital expenditures (other than those funded with proceeds of asset sales or insurance) are restricted in any fiscal year to 3.0% of revenues.

In addition, the Credit Agreement contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, which among other things, limit the Corporation's ability to incur additional debt, create liens, pay dividends, effect transactions with the Corporation's affiliates, sell assets, pay subordinated debt, merge, consolidate, enter into acquisitions, and effect sale leaseback transactions.

The financial covenant requirements as defined by the Corporation's Credit Agreements are as follows:

| | Interest Coverage Ratio | Leverage Ratio | Capital Expenditures |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Requirement | > = 3.00 to 1.00 | < = 4.00 to 1.00 | < = 3.00% |
| September 30, 2011 | 11.25 | 2.59 | **% |

** Not applicable as the capital expenditures covenant is an annual requirement under the terms of the Credit Agreement
Deferred Financing Fees

The Corporation capitalized a total of \$9.8 million in deferred financing fees associated with the Credit Agreement and recorded them as other assets in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. As of September 30, 2011, the Corporation had \$9.4 million of unamortized deferred financing fees. The Corporation amortizes these deferred financing fees using the effective interest method.

NOTE 6 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES*Legal Action and Regulatory*

On September 7, 2011, Omnicare filed a lawsuit in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware against the Corporation and the members of the Corporation's Board of Directors, styled Omnicare, Inc. v. PharMerica Corporation, et al., Civil Action No. 6841-CS. In the action, Omnicare alleges that the members of the Board of Directors breached their fiduciary duties to the Corporation and its stockholders by, among other things, refusing to negotiate with Omnicare, failing to inform themselves of the merits of the tender offer, and failing to consider and negotiate the tender offer. Omnicare seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, including an order requiring the Board of Directors to render the Rights Agreement, and Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law (DGCL) inapplicable to Omnicare's tender offer and proposed merger. The Corporation and the Corporation's Board of Directors believe that the claims made by Omnicare are without merit and intend to defend them

vigorously.

On September 9, 2011, the Louisiana Municipal Police Employees Retirement System (LMPERS) filed a lawsuit in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware, purportedly on behalf of a class of the Corporation's stockholders, against the Corporation and the members of the Corporation's Board of Directors, styled Louisiana Municipal Police Employees Retirement System v. Frank Collins, et al., Civil Action No. 6851-CS. In the action, LMPERS alleges that the members of the Board of Directors breached their fiduciary duties to the Corporation and its stockholders by, among other things, adopting the Rights Agreement and failing to respond appropriately to the tender offer. LMPERS seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, including an order certifying the case as a class action and an order enjoining application of the Rights Agreement and Section 203 of the DGCL to the tender offer and proposed merger. The Corporation and the Corporation's Board of Directors believe that the claims made by LMPERS are without merit and intend to defend them vigorously.

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****NOTE 6 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)**

On September 22, 2011, Hugh F. Drummond as Trustee of the FBO Hugh F. Drummond Trust (Drummond) filed a lawsuit in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware, purportedly on behalf of a class of the Corporation's stockholders, against the Corporation and the members of the Corporation's Board of Directors, styled Hugh F. Drummond as Trustee of the FBO Hugh F. Drummond Trust v. PharMerica Corp., et al., Civil Action No. 6882. In the action, Drummond alleges that the members of the Board of Directors breached their fiduciary duties to the Corporation and the Corporation's stockholders by, among other things, adopting the Rights Agreement and failing to respond appropriately to the tender offer. Drummond seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, including an order certifying the case as a class action and an order enjoining the directors and the Corporation from excluding strategic bidders, including Omnicare, imposing unreasonable preconditions on such strategic bidders, refusing to provide due diligence to strategic bidders, and conducting a limited sale process not designed to produce the best transaction for PharMerica's stockholders. The Corporation and its directors believe that the claims made by Drummond are without merit and intend to defend them vigorously.

On September 29, 2011, the Plumbers & Pipefitters Local Union No. 630 Pension-Annuity Trust Fund (PPLU) filed a lawsuit in the Circuit Court of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, purportedly on behalf of a class of the Corporation's stockholders, against the Corporation and the members of the Corporation's Board of Directors, styled Plumbers & Pipefitters Local Union No. 630 Pension-Annuity Trust Fund vs. PharMerica Corporation, et al. In the action, PPLU alleges that the members of the Board of Directors breached their fiduciary duties to the Corporation and its stockholders by, among other things, adopting the Rights Agreement, failing to respond appropriately to the tender offer and failing to disclose all material information in the Schedule 14D-9. PPLU seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, including certifying the case as a class action, enjoining the directors and the Corporation from maintaining the Rights Agreement, requiring the Board of Directors to disclose all material information and declaring that the Board of Directors violated its fiduciary duties. On October 13, 2011, PPLU filed a Notice of Voluntary Dismissal to voluntarily dismiss this lawsuit.

Pursuant to the requirements of the HSR Act, Omnicare filed a Notification and Report Form with the Antitrust Division and the FTC relating to its proposed acquisition of the Corporation on September 7, 2011. On or about September 19, 2011, the Corporation submitted a responsive Notification and Report Form with the Antitrust Division and the FTC. On September 22, 2011, the Corporation received the Second Request. The Corporation intends to promptly respond to the Second Request. The Second Request extends the waiting period under the HSR Act for 10 calendar days after the date Omnicare certifies substantial compliance with the Second Request.

In addition, the Corporation is involved in certain legal actions and regulatory investigations arising in the ordinary course of business. None of these legal proceedings are, in the opinion of management, expected to have a material adverse effect on the condensed consolidated financial position, results of operations, or liquidity of the Corporation.

FUL and AMP Changes

The 2010 Health Care Legislation amended the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (the DRA) to change the definition of the Federal Upper Limit or FUL by requiring the calculation of the FUL as no less than 175% of the weighted average, based on utilization, of the most recently reported monthly Average Manufacturer's Price or AMP for pharmaceutically and therapeutically equivalent multi-source drugs available through retail community pharmacies nationally.

In addition, the definition of AMP changed to reflect net sales only to drug wholesalers that distribute to retail community pharmacies and to retail community pharmacies that directly purchase from drug manufacturers. Further, the 2010 Health Care Legislation continues the current statutory exclusion of prompt pay discounts offered to wholesalers and adds three other exclusions to the AMP definition: i) bona fide services fees; ii) reimbursement for unsalable returned goods (recalled, expired, damaged, etc.); and iii) payments from and rebates/discounts to certain entities not conducting business as a wholesaler or retail community pharmacy. In addition to reporting monthly, the manufacturers will be required to report the total number of units used to calculate each monthly AMP. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) will use

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

this information when it establishes FULs as a result of the new volume-weighted requirements pursuant to the 2010 Health Care Legislation.

In September 2011, CMS issued draft FUL reimbursement files for multiple source drugs, including the draft methodology used to calculate the FULs in accordance with the Health Care Legislation. These draft FUL prices are based on the manufacturer reported and certified July 2011 monthly AMP and AMP unit data. CMS has not posted monthly AMPs for individual drugs, but only posted the weighted average of monthly AMPs in a FUL group and the calculation methodology.

Table of Contents

PHARMERICA CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

NOTE 6 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)

Until CMS provides additional guidance, the Corporation is unable to fully evaluate the impact of the changes in FUL and AMP to its business.

CMS Proposed Rule on Consultant Pharmacists

In October 2011, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) issued a proposed rule entitled Medicare Program; Proposed Changes to the Medicare Advantage and the Medicare Prescription Drug Benefit Programs for Contract Year 2013 and Other Proposed Changes; Considering Changes to the Conditions of Participation for Long Term Care Facilities. In the proposed rule, CMS outlined its concerns, and requests comments, regarding certain contractual arrangements between Long Term Care (LTC) facilities, LTC pharmacies, consultant pharmacies, and pharmaceutical manufacturers. Specifically, CMS explained its perception that the provision of consulting services by the pharmacy providers which supplies the medication to the facility leads to lack of independence of consultant pharmacists. CMS proposed requiring the independence of consultant pharmacists from LTC pharmacies. The Corporation believes that the proposed rules, which could require the independence of consultant pharmacists, may increase overall costs for payers and customers and reduce the quality of care and service to long-term care patients and residents. However, until CMS provides additional guidance, the Corporation is unable to fully evaluate the impact of the changes in consultant pharmacist services to its business.

Acquisitions

The Corporation has historically acquired the assets of businesses with prior operating histories. Acquired companies may have unknown or contingent liabilities, including liabilities for failure to comply with healthcare laws and regulations, medical, and general professional liabilities, workers compensation liabilities, previous tax liabilities, and unacceptable business practices. Although the Corporation institutes policies designed to conform practices to its standards following completion of acquisitions, there can be no assurance the Corporation will not become liable for past activities that may later be asserted to be improper by private plaintiffs or government agencies.

Although the Corporation generally seeks to obtain indemnification from prospective sellers covering such matters, there can be no assurance that any such matter will be covered by indemnification, or if covered, that such indemnification will be adequate to cover potential losses and fines. In the ordinary course of business, the Corporation enters into contracts containing standard indemnification provisions and indemnifications specific to a transaction such as business acquisitions and disposals of an operating facility. These indemnifications may cover claims against employment-related matters, governmental regulations, environmental issues, tax matters, as well as customer, third party payer, supplier, and contractual relationships. Obligations under these indemnities generally would be initiated by a breach of the terms of the contract or by a third party claim or event.

Prime Vendor Agreement

On January 4, 2011, the Corporation entered into an Amended and Restated Prime Vendor Agreement for Long-Term Care Pharmacies by and between AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation (ABDC), a wholly owned subsidiary of AmerisourceBergen Corporation, the Corporation, Pharmacy Corporation of America and Chem Rx Pharmacy Services, LLC (the Amended Agreement). The Amended Agreement became effective on January 1, 2011 and, upon its effectiveness, superseded in its entirety the Prime Vendor Agreement for Long-Term Care Pharmacies entered into as of August 1, 2007 between the Corporation and ABDC.

The Amended Agreement incorporates Chem Rx and is otherwise substantially the same in scope except for modifications to select sourcing and rebate terms. The term of the Amended Agreement was extended until September 30, 2013, with one-year automatic renewal periods unless either party provides prior notice of its intent not to renew.

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

Information Technology Services Agreement

On July 31, 2007, the Corporation entered into an Information Technology Services Agreement with Kindred Healthcare Operating, Inc. (KHOI), a wholly owned subsidiary of Kindred Healthcare, Inc. (Kindred) (the IT Services Agreement). Pursuant to the IT Services Agreement, KHOI is the Corporation's exclusive provider of certain information services and support related to information technology infrastructure and financial systems for a period of five years, ending on July 31, 2012. The services provided by KHOI include business services necessary to operate, manage, and support

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****NOTE 6 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)**

certain financial applications the Corporation uses, including enabling and/or supporting technology infrastructure and technology procurement services to support certain business functions. Such services include, among other matters, functions for financial management, systems, and payroll. The Corporation will support internally all other operating systems, including functions for order entry, pharmacy dispensing, clinical consulting, billing and collections, electronic medication management, sales and marketing, medical records management, human resources, internal and external customer call center support, and general business systems.

Except for certain services that will be provided at cost, KHOI will provide such services to the Corporation at its cost plus 10%, which will be the actual costs and expenses incurred in providing these services, including certain overhead costs and per hour costs of the KHOI employees providing the services. The initial term of the IT Services Agreement is five years. The IT Services Agreement will automatically renew for successive one-year periods after the expiration of the initial five year term, absent 120 days prior written notice of termination as provided for in the agreement. The IT Services Agreement may be terminated by either party for cause and, in certain circumstances, by the Corporation in the event that KHOI undergoes a change of control to one of the Corporation's competitors. Following termination of the IT Services Agreement, KHOI must provide termination and expiration assistance for up to 180 days. The Corporation incurred \$2.7 million and \$2.6 million in fees for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, respectively, under the IT Services Agreement. The Corporation has incurred \$8.4 million and \$8.1 million in fees for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, respectively, under the IT Services Agreement.

Employment Agreements

The Corporation has entered into employment agreements with certain of its executive officers. During the employment period, certain executive officers will be eligible to (i) participate in any short-term and long-term incentive programs established or maintained by the Corporation, (ii) participate in all incentive, savings and retirement plans and programs of the Corporation, (iii) participate, along with their dependents, in all welfare benefit plans and programs provided by the Corporation, and (iv) receive four weeks of paid vacation per calendar year.

The type of compensation due to each of the executive officers in the event of the termination of their employment period varies depending on the nature of the termination. The employment agreements do not entitle the executive officers to any additional payment or benefits solely upon the occurrence of a change in control but do provide additional payments or benefits or both upon a termination of employment in connection with a change in control. Additionally, the vesting of certain equity based grants made to certain executive officers accelerate upon the occurrence of a change in control.

Leases

The Corporation leases real estate properties, buildings, vehicles, and equipment under cancelable and non-cancelable leases. The leases expire at various times and have various renewal options. Certain leases that meet the lease capitalization criteria have been recorded as an asset and liability at the net present value of the minimum lease payments at the inception of the lease. Interest rates used in computing the net present value of the lease payments are based on the Corporation's incremental borrowing rate at the inception of the lease. The Corporation recorded the following lease expense for the periods presented (dollars in millions):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|---------|
| | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Pharmacy locations and administrative offices lease expense | \$ 3.2 | \$ 3.6 | \$ 10.4 | \$ 10.8 |
| Office equipment lease expense | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 1.9 |

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Total lease expense | \$ 3.7 | \$ 4.2 | \$ 11.9 | \$ 12.7 |
|---------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****NOTE 6 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)**

Future minimum lease payments for those leases having an initial or remaining non-cancelable lease term in excess of one year are as follows for the years indicated (dollars in millions):

| Year Ending December 31, | Capital Lease | | Total |
|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
| | Operating Lease | Obligations | |
| 2011 | \$ 14.6 * | \$ 0.8 | \$ 15.4 |
| 2012 | 12.4 | 0.2 | 12.6 |
| 2013 | 10.2 | - | 10.2 |
| 2014 | 6.3 | - | 6.3 |
| 2015 | 4.8 | - | 4.8 |
| Thereafter | 17.5 | - | 17.5 |
| Total | \$ 65.8 | \$ 1.0 | \$ 66.8 |

* The 2011 amount shown includes lease expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 of \$10.8 million.

NOTE 7 REVENUES

The Corporation recognizes revenues at the time services are provided or products are delivered. A significant portion of these revenues are billed to PDPs under Medicare Part D, the state Medicaid programs, long-term care institutions, third party insurance companies, and private payers. Some claims are electronically adjudicated through online processing at the point the prescription is dispensed such that the Corporation's operating system is automatically updated with the actual amount to be reimbursed. As a result, revenues and the associated receivables are based upon the actual reimbursement to be received by the Corporation. For claims that are adjudicated on-line and are rejected or otherwise denied upon submission, the Corporation provides contractual allowances based upon historical trends, contractual reimbursement terms and other factors which may impact ultimate reimbursement. Amounts are adjusted to actual reimbursed amounts upon cash receipt.

Under the Medicare Part D benefit, payment is determined in accordance with the agreements the Corporation has negotiated with the Medicare Part D Plans. The remainder of the Corporation's billings are paid or reimbursed by individual residents, long-term care facilities (including revenues for residents funded under Medicare Part A), and other third party payers, including Medicaid and private insurers.

The Medicare and Medicaid programs are highly regulated. The failure, even if inadvertent, of the Corporation and/or client facilities to comply with applicable reimbursement regulations could adversely affect the Corporation's reimbursement under these programs and the Corporation's ability to continue to participate in these programs. In addition, failure to comply with these regulations could subject the Corporation to other penalties.

As noted, the Corporation obtains reimbursement for drugs it provides to enrollees of a given Medicare Part D Plan in accordance with the terms of the agreement negotiated between it and that Medicare Part D Plan. The Corporation has entered into such agreements with nearly all Medicare Part D Plan sponsors under which it will provide drugs and associated services to their enrollees. The Corporation in the ordinary course of business has ongoing discussions with Medicare Part D Plans and may, as appropriate, renegotiate agreements.

The Corporation's hospital pharmacy management revenues represent contractually defined management fees and the reimbursement of costs associated with the direct operations of hospital pharmacies, which are primarily comprised of personnel costs.

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****NOTE 7 REVENUES (Continued)**

A summary of revenues by payer type follows (dollars in millions):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|----------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2010 | | 2011 | |
| | Amount | % of Revenues | Amount | % of Revenues | Amount | % of Revenues | Amount | % of Revenues |
| Medicare Part D | \$ 204.8 | 46.2 % | \$ 250.5 | 48.4 % | \$ 627.5 | 46.3 % | \$ 759.0 | 47.9 % |
| Institutional healthcare providers | 132.8 | 30.0 | 154.2 | 29.7 | 410.6 | 30.3 | 474.7 | 29.9 |
| Medicaid | 39.6 | 8.9 | 54.1 | 10.4 | 119.7 | 8.8 | 167.2 | 10.5 |
| Private and other | 26.7 | 6.0 | 23.5 | 4.5 | 80.4 | 6.0 | 69.7 | 4.4 |
| Insured | 22.0 | 5.0 | 19.6 | 3.8 | 68.0 | 5.0 | 64.6 | 4.1 |
| Medicare | 1.8 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 5.7 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| Hospital management fees | 15.4 | 3.5 | 15.7 | 3.0 | 43.9 | 3.2 | 46.9 | 3.0 |
| Total | \$ 443.1 | 100.0 % | \$ 518.7 | 100.0 % | \$ 1,355.8 | 100.0 % | \$ 1,585.5 | 100.0 % |

Co-payments for the Corporation's services can be applicable under Medicare Part D, the state Medicaid programs, and certain third party payers and are typically not collected at the time products are delivered or services are provided. Co-payments under the Medicaid programs and third party plans are generally billed to the responsible party as part of the Corporation's normal billing procedures and are subject to the Corporation's normal collection procedures.

Under Medicare Part D, co-payments related to institutional residents who are both Medicare and Medicaid eligible (dual eligible) are due from the responsible party for up to the first thirty days of a beneficiary's stay in a skilled nursing facility, subsequent to which the PDPs are responsible for reimbursement.

Under certain circumstances, including state-mandated return policies under various Medicaid programs, the Corporation accepts returns of medications and issues a credit memorandum to the applicable payer. Product returns are processed in the period in which the return is accepted by the Corporation. A reserve has been established for such returns based on historical trends.

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****NOTE 8 INTEGRATION, MERGER AND ACQUISITION RELATED COSTS AND OTHER CHARGES**

In fiscal year 2007, the Corporation began the integration of our pharmacy operating systems and the Corporation expects to continue to incur costs related to the integration of its pharmacy operating systems during fiscal 2011. In addition, the Corporation also incurs and will continue to incur costs related to acquisitions.

The following is a summary of integration, merger and acquisition related costs and other charges incurred by the Corporation (dollars in millions):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Integration costs and other charges: | | | | |
| Pre-Pharmacy Transaction litigation matters | \$ - | \$ (2.0) | \$ 5.0 | \$ (2.0) |
| Tender offer costs | - | 1.1 | - | 1.1 |
| Professional and advisory fees | 0.7 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 0.6 |
| General and administrative | 0.1 | - | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| Employee costs | 0.2 | - | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Severance costs | 0.4 | - | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Facility costs | - | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Other | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - |
| | 1.5 | (0.3) | 9.0 | 0.4 |
| Acquisition costs: | | | | |
| Professional and advisory fees | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 4.1 |
| General and administrative | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| Employee costs | - | 0.5 | 0.2 | 2.5 |
| Severance costs | - | 0.2 | - | 1.6 |
| Facility costs | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| Other | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| | 0.9 | 2.1 | 3.8 | 11.2 |
| Total integration, merger, and acquisition related costs and other charges | \$ 2.4 | \$ 1.8 | \$ 12.8 | \$ 11.6 |
| Negative effect on diluted earnings per share | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.04) | \$ (0.25) | \$ (0.25) |

During the second quarter of 2010, the Corporation recorded an estimated liability of \$5.0 million related to certain claims arising from time periods prior to July 31, 2007. During the third quarter of 2011, the Corporation was informed that one claim would not be pursued. Therefore, the Corporation reversed \$2.0 million of the estimated liability recorded in 2010.

The Corporation has incurred various expenses as a result of Omnicare's unsolicited tender offer including legal, investment banking and other fees. During the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011, \$1.1 million of tender offer costs were incurred. The Corporation anticipates that additional tender offer costs will continue to be incurred through the duration of the tender offer; however, the amount of these expenses cannot be reasonably estimated.

Table of Contents

PHARMERICA CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

NOTE 9 COMMON STOCK, PREFERRED STOCK, TREASURY STOCK, STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION AND OTHER BENEFITS

Common Stock

Holders of the Corporation's common stock are entitled to one vote for each share held of record on all matters on which stockholders may vote. There are no preemptive, conversion, redemption or sinking fund provisions applicable to the Corporation's common stock. In the event of liquidation, dissolution or winding up, holders of common stock are entitled to share ratably in the assets available for distribution, subject to any prior rights of any holders of preferred stock then outstanding. Delaware law prohibits the Corporation from paying any dividends unless it has capital surplus or net profits available for this purpose. In addition, the Corporation's Credit Agreement imposes restrictions on its ability to pay dividends.

Preferred Stock

The certificate of incorporation authorizes the issuance of an aggregate of 1.0 million shares of preferred stock. On August 25, 2011, the Board of Directors designated 175,000 shares of preferred stock as Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock (Series A Junior Preferred Stock). As of September 30, 2011, there were no shares of preferred stock outstanding.

The Series A Junior Preferred Stock is entitled to receive quarterly cumulative dividends in an amount per whole share equal to the greater of \$10.00 or 1,000 times the dividends declared on the Common Stock since the preceding quarterly dividend payment date, or with respect to the first quarterly dividend payment date, since the date of issuance, and a liquidation preference of a minimum of \$10.00 per whole share, plus an amount equal to any accrued dividends and distributions thereon, whether or not declared, to the date of payment, and will be entitled to an aggregate payment per whole share equal to 1,000 times the amount per share distributed to the holders of Common Stock. Holders of Series A Junior Preferred Stock are entitled to vote on each matter on which holders of Common Stock are entitled to vote, and have 1,000 votes per whole share. The preferred stockholders also are entitled to certain corporate governance and special voting rights, as defined in the certificate of designation.

Our Board of Directors may, from time to time, direct the issuance of shares of preferred stock in series and may, at the time of issuance, determine the designation, powers, rights, preferences and limitations of each series. Satisfaction of any dividend preferences of outstanding preferred stock would reduce the amount of funds available for the payment of dividends on our shares of common stock. Holders of preferred stock may be entitled to receive a preference payment in the event of any liquidation, dissolution or winding-up of the Corporation before any payment is made to the holders of our common stock. Under certain circumstances, the issuance of preferred stock may render more difficult or tend to discourage a merger, tender offer or proxy contest, the assumption of control by a holder of a large block of the Corporation's securities or the removal of incumbent management. The Board of Directors may issue shares of preferred stock with voting and conversion rights that could adversely affect the holders of common stock. Specifically, the Corporation's certificate of incorporation authorizes the Corporation's Board of Directors to adopt a rights plan without stockholder approval. This could delay or prevent a change in control of the Corporation or the removal of existing management.

On August 25, 2011, the Board of Directors adopted a Rights Plan, providing for the distribution of one right for each share of common stock outstanding. Each right entitles the holder to purchase one one-thousandth (1/1000th) of a share of Series A Junior Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of the Corporation at a price of \$45.00 per one one-thousandth (1/1000th) of a share, subject to adjustment. The rights generally become exercisable at the discretion of the Board of Directors following a public announcement that 15% or more of the Corporation's common stock has been acquired or an intent to acquire has become apparent. The rights will expire on the earlier of August 25, 2021 or redemption by the Corporation. However, the rights will expire immediately at the final adjournment of the Corporation's 2012 annual meeting of stockholders if stockholder approval of the Rights Agreement has not been received prior to that time. Certain terms of the rights are subject to adjustment to prevent dilution. Further description and terms of the rights are set forth in the Rights Agreement.

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

On August 25, 2011, the Board of Directors declared a dividend of one Right for each outstanding share of common stock. The dividend was paid on September 6, 2011 to the stockholders of record on September 6, 2011.

Treasury Stock Purchases

In August 2010, the Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase of up to \$25.0 million of the Corporation's common stock. Share repurchases under this authorization may be made in the open market through unsolicited or solicited privately negotiated

Table of Contents

PHARMERICA CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

NOTE 9 COMMON STOCK, PREFERRED STOCK, TREASURY STOCK, STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION AND OTHER BENEFITS (Continued)

transactions, or in such other appropriate manner, and will be funded from available cash. The amount and timing of the repurchases will be determined by the Corporation's management and will depend on a variety of factors including price, corporate and regulatory requirements, capital availability and other market conditions. Common stock acquired through the share repurchase program will be held as treasury shares and may be used for general corporate purposes, including reissuances in connection with acquisitions, employee stock option exercises or other employee stock plans. The share repurchase program does not have an expiration date and may be limited, terminated or extended at any time without prior notice.

The Corporation did not repurchase shares under this program during the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011. Additionally, the Corporation has approximately \$14.5 million of shares that may yet be purchased under the share repurchase program. The Corporation may redeem shares from employees upon the vesting of the Corporation's stock awards for minimum statutory tax withholding purposes. The Corporation redeemed 8,122 shares of certain vested awards for an aggregate price of approximately \$0.1 million, during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. These shares have also been designated by the Corporation as treasury stock.

As of September 30, 2011, the Corporation had a total of 1,344,939 shares held as treasury stock.

Amended and Restated 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan

The Corporation has adopted the Amended and Restated PharMerica Corporation 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan (as amended and restated, the Omnibus Plan) under which the Corporation is authorized to grant equity-based and other awards to its employees, officers, directors, and consultants. In connection with the Corporation's 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the stockholders of the Corporation approved and adopted the amended and restated Omnibus Plan to, among other things, implement a fungible share pool effective as of January 1, 2010, and preserve preferential tax treatment as qualified performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

The Corporation has reserved 7,237,000 shares of its common stock for awards to be granted under the Omnibus Plan plus 534,642 shares reserved for substitute equity awards. Under the fungible share pool, one share of stock will be subtracted from the share limit for each share of stock covered by a stock option or stock appreciation right award and 1.65 shares of stock will be subtracted from the share limit for each share of stock covered by any full-value award, including restricted share awards, restricted stock units and performance share awards at target. The following shares are not available for re-grant under the Omnibus Plan: (i) shares tendered by a participant or withheld by the Corporation to pay the purchase price of a stock option award or to satisfy taxes owed with respect to an award, (ii) shares subject to a stock appreciation right that are not issued in connection with such award's settlement upon the exercise thereof, and (iii) shares reacquired by the Corporation using cash proceeds received by the Corporation from the exercise of stock options. Effective January 1, 2010, shares subject to an award that is forfeited, expired or settled for cash, are available for re-grant under the Omnibus Plan as one share of stock for each share of stock covered by a stock option or appreciation right and 1.65 shares of stock for each share of stock covered by any other type of award.

The Corporation's Compensation Committee administers the Omnibus Plan and has the authority to determine the recipient of the awards, the types of awards, the number of shares covered, and the terms and conditions of the awards. The Omnibus Plan allows for grants of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted share and restricted stock units, deferred shares, performance awards, including cash bonus awards, and other stock-based awards.

Stock options granted to officers and employees under the Omnibus Plan generally vest in four equal annual installments and have a term of seven years. The restricted share awards granted to officers and employees generally vest in full upon the three-year anniversary of the date of grant. The restricted stock units granted to officers generally vest in two equal annual installments. The restricted share awards granted to members of the Board of Directors vest in three equal annual installments. The restricted stock units granted to members of the Board of

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

Directors vest in one annual installment. The performance share units granted under the Omnibus Plan vest based upon the achievement of a target amount of the Corporation's earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, integration, merger and acquisition related costs and other charges, impairment of intangible assets, and any changes in accounting principles, which reinforces the importance of achieving the Corporation's profitability objectives. The performance is generally measured over a three-year period.

As of September 30, 2011, total shares available for grants of stock-based awards pursuant to the Omnibus Plan were 2,977,901 shares.

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****NOTE 9 COMMON STOCK, PREFERRED STOCK, TREASURY STOCK, STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION AND OTHER BENEFITS (Continued)***Stock-Based Compensation Expense*

The following is a summary of stock-based compensation incurred by the Corporation (dollars in millions, except per share amounts):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Stock option compensation expense | \$ 0.6 | \$ 0.4 | \$ 1.8 | \$ 1.8 |
| Nonvested stock compensation expense | 0.2 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 2.7 |
| Total Stock Compensation Expense | \$ 0.8 | \$ 1.3 | \$ 3.3 | \$ 4.5 |
| Negative effect on diluted earnings per share | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.03) | \$ (0.06) | \$ (0.10) |

As of September 30, 2011, there was \$11.3 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to the Corporation's stock compensation arrangements. Total unrecognized compensation cost will be adjusted for future changes in estimated forfeitures.

Total estimated stock-based compensation expense for the Corporation's stock options and nonvested stock awards for the current year and the next four years and thereafter are as follows (dollars in millions):

| Year Ending December 31, | Stock Options | Nonvested Restricted Shares | Nonvested Restricted Stock Units | Performance Shares | Total |
|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| 2011 | \$ 2.4 | \$ 0.6 | \$ 2.5 | \$ 0.5 | \$ 6.0 * |
| 2012 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 4.5 |
| 2013 | 1.5 | - | 1.6 | 0.6 | 3.7 |
| 2014 | 0.9 | - | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.4 |
| 2015 | 0.2 | - | - | - | 0.2 |
| Thereafter | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | \$ 7.0 | \$ 0.7 | \$ 6.2 | \$ 1.9 | \$ 15.8 |

*The 2011 amount shown includes stock based compensation expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 of \$4.5 million.

The following weighted average assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of options granted during the periods presented, using the Black-Scholes-Merton option valuation model:

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

| | 2010 | 2011 |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| Expected volatility (range) | 38.53 - 45.54% | 42.23 - 46.34% |
| Risk free interest rate (range) | 0.49 - 2.47% | 0.19 - 2.20% |
| Expected dividends | - | - |
| Average expected term (years) | 2.0 - 5.0 | 2.0 - 5.0 |
| Average fair value per share of stock options granted based on the Black-Scholes-Merton model (dollars) | \$5.79 | \$3.61 |
| Weighted average fair value of options granted (in millions) | \$3.3 | \$2.4 |

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****NOTE 9 COMMON STOCK, PREFERRED STOCK, TREASURY STOCK, STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION AND OTHER BENEFITS (Continued)***Expected Volatility*

Volatility is a measure of the tendency of investment returns to vary around a long-term average rate. Historical volatility is an appropriate starting point for setting this assumption. The Corporation also considers how future experience may differ from the past. This may require using other factors to adjust historical volatility, such as implied volatility, peer-group volatility and the range and mean-reversion of volatility estimates over various historical periods. The peer-group utilized consisted of fourteen companies in 2010 and twelve companies in 2011, in the same or similar industries as the Corporation. The Corporation estimates the volatility of its common stock in conjunction with the Corporation's annual grant and volatility is calculated utilizing the historical volatility of the Corporation and its peer-group. To the extent material grants are made subsequent to the Corporation's annual grant, the volatility calculation is updated through the most recent grant date of the awards.

Risk-Free Interest Rate

The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant for the expected term of the option.

Expected Dividends

The Corporation has never paid any cash dividends on its common stock and does not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Consequently, it uses an expected dividend yield of zero.

Expected Term

The Corporation calculated an expected term using management's estimate and expectation of option exercises. The majority of the Corporation's stock options are on a graded-vesting schedule. The Corporation estimates the value of awards with graded vesting by treating each vesting tranche as a separate award. Management has determined to value each tranche of the awards separately utilizing a multiple fair value method.

Stock Option Activity

The following table summarizes option activity for the periods presented:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted- Average Exercise Price Per Share | Weighted- Average Remaining Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions) |
|---|---------------------|---|--|---|
| Outstanding shares at December 31, 2009 | 1,733,325 | \$ 15.60 | 5.2 years | \$ 1.0 |
| Granted | 562,993 | 18.27 | | |
| Exercised | (22,072) | 12.66 | | |
| Canceled | (50,503) | 16.60 | | |
| Outstanding shares at December 31, 2010 | 2,223,743 | \$ 16.28 | 4.7 years | \$ 0.1 |

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---|------------------|-----------|--------------|------------------|------------|
| Granted | 657,576 | | 10.89 | | |
| Exercised | (9,996) | | 10.88 | | |
| Canceled | (86,738) | | 15.31 | | |
| Outstanding shares at September 30, 2011 | 2,784,585 | \$ | 15.06 | 4.5 years | 2.4 |
| Exercisable shares at September 30, 2011 | 1,443,771 | | 16.01 | 3.4 years | \$ 0.2 |
| Expired shares during 2011 | 25,041 | \$ | 15.36 | | |

The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011 was less than \$0.1 million. Cash received from stock option exercises during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$0.1 million. The total fair value of options vested for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011 was \$1.7 million and \$2.3 million, respectively. The Corporation expects to recognize stock based compensation expense for stock options over a weighted average period of 2.4 years.

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****NOTE 9 COMMON STOCK, PREFERRED STOCK, TREASURY STOCK, STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION AND OTHER BENEFITS (Continued)***Nonvested Shares*

The following table summarizes nonvested share activity for the periods presented:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|--|-----------------------------|---|
| Outstanding shares at December 31, 2009 | 534,402 | \$ 15.98 |
| Granted - Restricted Stock Units | 39,144 | 16.35 |
| Granted - Performance Share Units | 178,472 | 18.00 |
| Forfeited | (70,387) | 15.52 |
| Vested | (191,776) | 16.20 |
| Outstanding shares at December 31, 2010 | 489,855 | \$ 16.72 |
| Granted - Restricted Stock Units | 498,333 | 11.34 |
| Granted - Performance Share Units | 186,533 | 10.77 |
| Forfeited | (48,905) | 13.34 |
| Vested | (100,327) | 17.22 |
| Outstanding shares at September 30, 2011 | 1,025,489 | \$ 13.14 |

The total fair value of shares vested for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011 was \$1.4 million and \$1.7 million, respectively. The Corporation expects to recognize stock based compensation expense for nonvested shares over a weighted average period of less than one year to 2.2 years.

Based upon the achievement of the performance criteria at the end of the performance cycle for the performance share units issued to date, the Corporation may issue no shares or a maximum of 830,456 shares.

401K Plan

The Corporation sponsors a defined contribution retirement plan for all eligible employees, as defined in the plan document. The plan is qualified under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. Contributions to the plan are based upon employee contributions and the Corporation's matching contributions. For the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, the Corporation's matching contributions to the plan were \$1.3 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, the Corporation's matching contributions to the plan were \$4.0 million and \$4.5 million, respectively.

Deferred Compensation Plans

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

The Corporation maintains an unfunded deferred compensation plan for certain management and highly compensated employees. Under the plan, a participant may elect to defer up to 50% of such participant's annual base salary and up to 100% of such participant's annual short-term incentive program cash bonus into the plan during each plan year. In addition, the Corporation may, in its sole discretion, make discretionary contributions to a participant's account.

The Corporation also maintains a deferred compensation plan for the directors of the Corporation. The directors of the Corporation may elect to defer up to 100% of their cash fees and their stock fees in any one year. If a director elects to defer his/her restricted share grant, the shares will be deferred as they vest until the participant elects for the deferred compensation to be a taxable event.

As of December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2011, the Corporation had \$4.0 million and \$3.6 million, respectively, recognized as a long-term liability related to the deferred compensation plans in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****NOTE 10 INCOME TAXES**

The provision for income taxes is based upon the Corporation's estimate of annual taxable income or loss for each respective accounting period. The following table summarizes our provision for income taxes for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011 (in millions):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Provision for income taxes | \$ 3.2 | \$ 3.3 | \$ 9.8 | \$ 9.1 |
| Total provision as a percentage of pre-tax income | 40.5 % | 40.9 % | 40.4 % | 37.0 % |

The decrease in our provision for income taxes as a percentage of taxable income for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to the comparable 2010 period, was primarily the result of the release of a \$1.2 million tax liability upon completion of an Internal Revenue Service (IRS) audit for the calendar years 2007 and 2008. The effective tax rate in 2010 was higher than the federal statutory rate largely as a result of the combined impact of state and local taxes and various non-deductible expenses. The effective tax rate in 2011 was lower than the federal statutory rate as a result of the release of the above mentioned liability.

The Corporation derives a current federal and state income tax benefit from the impact of deductions associated with the amortization of tax deductible goodwill acquired through business combinations. The tax basis of the Corporation's tax deductible goodwill was approximately \$117.6 million and \$103.0 million at December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2011, respectively. The future tax benefits of the tax-deductible goodwill are included in the Corporation's deferred tax assets.

The Corporation recognizes an asset or liability for the deferred tax consequences of temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the consolidated financial statements. These temporary differences will result in taxable or deductible amounts in future years when the reported amounts of the assets are recovered or liabilities are settled. The Corporation also recognizes as deferred tax assets the future tax benefits from net operating and capital loss carryforwards. As of September 30, 2011, the Corporation has tax benefits from federal net operating loss carryforwards of \$11.9 million and tax benefits from state net operating loss carryforwards of \$9.2 million net of valuation allowances. The net operating losses have carryforward periods ranging from 1 to 20 years depending on the taxing jurisdiction.

A valuation allowance is provided for the Corporation's deferred tax assets if it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the net deferred tax assets will not be realized. The Corporation recognized deferred tax assets totaling \$52.2 million at December 31, 2010 and \$42.4 million at September 30, 2011, net of valuation allowances of \$1.6 million for both periods.

The federal statute of limitations remains open for tax years 2008 through 2010. The Corporation's consolidated U.S. income tax returns for 2007 and 2008 were examined by the IRS. In May 2011, the IRS concluded their examination with no significant findings.

State tax jurisdictions generally have statutes of limitation ranging from three to five years. The Corporation is no longer subject to state and local income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2006. The state income tax impact of federal income tax changes remains subject to examination by various states for a period of up to one year after formal notification of IRS settlement to the states. Kindred and AmerisourceBergen are responsible for any taxes that relate to periods before July 31, 2007.

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****NOTE 11 EARNINGS PER SHARE**

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share (dollars in millions, except per share amounts):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share - net income | \$ 4.8 | \$ 4.8 | \$ 14.5 | \$ 15.5 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Denominator for basic earnings per share - weighted average shares | 30,033,618 | 29,366,998 | 30,282,566 | 29,324,094 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Employee stock options | 11 | 10,279 | 18,482 | 7,630 |
| Employee restricted shares | 88,673 | 153,818 | 121,987 | 91,606 |
| Denominator for diluted earnings per share - adjusted weighted average shares | 30,122,302 | 29,531,095 | 30,423,035 | 29,423,330 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.48 | \$ 0.53 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.48 | \$ 0.53 |
| Unexercised employee stock options and unvested restricted shares excluded from the effect of dilutive securities above (a) | 2,309,617 | 2,740,257 | 2,038,049 | 2,562,749 |

(a) These unexercised employee stock options and nonvested restricted shares were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because to do so would have been anti-dilutive for the periods presented.

Stock options and restricted shares and units granted by the Corporation are treated as potential common shares outstanding in computing earnings per diluted share. Performance share units are treated as potential common shares outstanding in computing earnings per diluted share only when the performance conditions are met.

Common shares repurchased by the Corporation reduce the number of basic shares used in the denominator for basic and diluted earnings per share.

NOTE 12 BUSINESS SEGMENT DATA

The Corporation operates in two reportable business segments: institutional pharmacies and hospital pharmacy management. Institutional pharmacies provide pharmacy services to nursing centers and other healthcare providers and the hospital pharmacy management business provides management services to substantially all of Kindred's hospitals. For business segment reporting purposes, the Corporation defines segment operating income as earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation, amortization, integration, merger and acquisition related costs and other charges, and rent. Segment operating income reported for each of the Corporation's business segments excludes the allocation of corporate overhead.

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table sets forth the assets and goodwill amounts by reportable segment (dollars in millions):

| | (As Adjusted) December 31, 2010 | September 30, 2011 |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Assets: | | |
| Institutional pharmacies | \$ 750.5 | \$ 777.0 |
| Hospital pharmacy management | 9.2 | 10.6 |
| | \$ 759.7 | \$ 787.6 |
| Goodwill: | | |
| Institutional pharmacies | \$ 179.1 | \$ 183.4 |
| Hospital pharmacy management | - | - |
| | \$ 179.1 | \$ 183.4 |

Table of Contents**PHARMERICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(Unaudited)****NOTE 12 BUSINESS SEGMENT DATA (Continued)**

The following table sets forth income statement information by reportable segment (dollars in millions):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Institutional pharmacies | \$ 427.7 | \$ 503.0 | \$ 1,311.9 | \$ 1,538.6 |
| Hospital pharmacy management | 15.4 | 15.7 | 43.9 | 46.9 |
| | \$ 443.1 | \$ 518.7 | \$ 1,355.8 | \$ 1,585.5 |
| Net income: | | | | |
| Segment operating income: | | | | |
| Institutional pharmacies | \$ 20.7 | \$ 28.1 | \$ 68.6 | \$ 79.0 |
| Hospital pharmacy management | 1.3 | 1.5 | 4.0 | 4.6 |
| Segment operating income | 22.0 | 29.6 | 72.6 | 83.6 |
| Rent | 3.7 | 4.2 | 11.9 | 12.7 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 7.0 | 7.8 | 21.0 | 23.3 |
| Impairment of intangible assets | - | 5.1 | - | 5.1 |
| Integration, merger and acquisition related costs and other charges | 2.4 | 1.8 | 12.8 | 11.6 |
| Interest expense, net | 0.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 6.3 |
| Income before income taxes | 8.0 | 8.1 | 24.3 | 24.6 |
| Provision for income taxes | 3.2 | 3.3 | 9.8 | 9.1 |
| Net income | \$ 4.8 | \$ 4.8 | \$ 14.5 | \$ 15.5 |
| Rent: | | | | |
| Institutional pharmacies | \$ 3.7 | \$ 4.2 | \$ 11.9 | \$ 12.7 |
| Hospital pharmacy management | - | - | - | - |
| | \$ 3.7 | \$ 4.2 | \$ 11.9 | \$ 12.7 |
| Depreciation and amortization: | | | | |
| Institutional pharmacies | \$ 7.0 | \$ 7.8 | \$ 21.0 | \$ 23.3 |
| Hospital pharmacy management | - | - | - | - |
| | \$ 7.0 | \$ 7.8 | \$ 21.0 | \$ 23.3 |
| Capital expenditures: | | | | |
| Institutional pharmacies | \$ 3.7 | \$ 3.2 | \$ 8.8 | \$ 9.4 |
| Hospital pharmacy management | - | - | - | - |

\$ 3.7 \$ 3.2 \$ 8.8 \$ 9.4

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements, within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, which reflect the Corporation's current estimates, expectations and projections about the Corporation's future results, performance, prospects and opportunities. Forward looking statements include, among other things, the information concerning the Corporation's possible future results of operations including revenue, costs of goods sold, and gross margin, business and growth strategies, financing plans, the Corporation's competitive position and the effects of competition, the projected growth of the industries in which we operate, and the Corporation's ability to consummate strategic acquisitions. Forward-looking statements include statements that are not historical facts and can be identified by forward-looking words such as anticipate, believe, could, estimate, expect, intend, plan, may, should, will, would, project, and similar expressions. These forward-looking statements are based upon information currently available to the Corporation and are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause the Corporation's actual results, performance, prospects or opportunities to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, these forward-looking statements. Important factors that could cause the Corporation's actual results to differ materially from the results referred to in the forward-looking statements the Corporation makes in this report include:

the Corporation's access to capital, credit ratings, indebtedness, and ability to raise additional financings and operate under the terms of the Corporation's debt obligations;

anti-takeover provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law, our certificate of incorporation and our by-laws could delay or deter a change in control;

certain restrictions resulting from continuing relationships with the Corporation's former parent company;

the effects of adverse economic trends or intense competition in the markets in which we operate;

the demand for the Corporation's products and services;

the effects of retaining existing customers and service contracts and the Corporation's ability to attract new customers for growth of the Corporation's business;

the effects of renegotiating contract pricing relating to significant customers and suppliers, including the hospital pharmacy segment which is substantially dependent on service provided to one customer;

the effects of an increase in credit risk, loss or bankruptcy of or default by any significant customer, supplier, or other entity relevant to the Corporation's operations;

the impact of Omnicare's unsolicited tender offer to acquire all of our outstanding common stock, including directing attention of employees, requiring expenditure of substantial time and resources, and disrupting our business activities, and increasing the volatility of our stock price;

the impact of the litigation by Omnicare and other stockholders relating to Omnicare's unsolicited tender offer;

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

the Corporation's ability to successfully pursue the Corporation's development and acquisition activities and successfully integrate new operations and systems, including the realization of anticipated revenues, economies of scale, cost savings, and productivity gains associated with such operations;

the Corporation's ability to control costs, particularly labor and employee benefit costs, rising pharmaceutical costs, and regulatory compliance costs;

the effects of healthcare reform and government regulations, including, interpretation of regulations and changes in the nature and enforcement of regulations governing the healthcare and institutional pharmacy services industries;

changes in the reimbursement rates or methods of payment from Medicare and Medicaid and other third party payers to both us and our customers;

the potential impact of state government budget shortfalls and their ability to pay the Corporation and its customers for services provided;

the Corporation's ability, and the ability of the Corporation's customers, to comply with Medicare or Medicaid reimbursement regulations or other applicable laws;

the effects of changes in the interest rate on the Corporation's outstanding floating rate debt instrument and the increases in interest expense, including increases in interest rate terms on any new debt financing;

the Corporation's ability to implement the short cycle dispensing requirements of the 2010 Health Care Legislation without incurring significant additional operating costs;

Table of Contents

further consolidation of managed care organizations and other third party payers;

political and economic conditions nationally, regionally, and in the markets in which the Corporation operates;

natural disasters, war, civil unrest, terrorism, fire, floods, tornadoes, earthquakes, hurricanes, epidemic, pandemic, catastrophic event or other matters beyond the Corporation's control;

increases in energy costs, including state and federal taxes, and the impact on the costs of delivery expenses and utility expenses;

elimination of, changes in, or the Corporation's failure to satisfy pharmaceutical manufacturers' rebate programs;

the Corporation's ability to attract and retain key executives, pharmacists, and other healthcare personnel;

the Corporation's risk of loss not covered by insurance;

the outcome of litigation to which the Corporation is a party from time to time, including adverse results in material litigation or governmental inquiries;

changes in accounting rules and standards, audits, compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, and regulatory investigations;

changes in market conditions that would result in the impairment of goodwill or other assets of the Corporation;

changes in market conditions in which we operate that would influence the value of the Corporation's stock;

changes in volatility of the Corporation's stock price and the risk of litigation following a decline in the price of the Corporation's stock price;

the Corporation's ability to anticipate a shift in demand for generic drug equivalents and the impact on the financial results including the negative impact on brand drug rebates;

prescription volumes may decline, and our net revenues and profitability may be negatively impacted, if the safety risk profiles of drugs increase or if drugs are withdrawn from the market, including as a result of manufacturing issues, or if prescription drugs transition to over-the-counter products;

the effects on the Corporation's results of operations related to interpretations of accounting principles by the SEC staff that may differ from those of management;

changes in tax laws and regulations;

the effects of changes to critical accounting estimates; and

other factors, risks and uncertainties referenced in the Corporation's filings with the Commission, including the Risk Factors set forth in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

YOU ARE CAUTIONED NOT TO PLACE UNDUE RELIANCE ON ANY FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS, ALL OF WHICH SPEAK ONLY AS OF THE DATE OF THIS QUARTERLY REPORT. EXCEPT AS REQUIRED BY LAW, WE UNDERTAKE NO OBLIGATION TO PUBLICLY UPDATE OR RELEASE ANY REVISIONS TO THESE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS TO REFLECT ANY EVENTS OR CIRCUMSTANCES AFTER THE DATE OF THIS QUARTERLY REPORT OR TO REFLECT THE OCCURRENCE OF UNANTICIPATED EVENTS. ALL SUBSEQUENT WRITTEN AND ORAL FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS ATTRIBUTABLE TO US OR ANY PERSON ACTING ON THE CORPORATION'S BEHALF ARE EXPRESSLY QUALIFIED IN THEIR ENTIRETY BY THE CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS CONTAINED OR REFERRED TO IN THIS SECTION AND IN OUR RISK FACTORS SET FORTH IN PART I, ITEM 1A OF THE CORPORATION'S ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010 AND IN OTHER REPORTS FILED WITH THE SEC BY THE CORPORATION.

Table of Contents

General

The condensed consolidated financial statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q as of and for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011, reflect the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows of the Corporation.

Unless the context otherwise requires, all references to we, us, our, and Corporation refer to PharMerica Corporation and its subsidiaries.

The Corporation's Business and Industry Trends

Unsolicited Tender Offer by Omnicare

On August 23, 2011, Omnicare, Inc. (Omnicare) made public an unsolicited proposal to acquire all of the outstanding shares of the Corporation's common stock for \$15.00 per share in cash. After careful consideration with our financial and legal advisors, our Board of Directors determined unanimously that Omnicare's proposal undervalues the Corporation and was not in the best interests of our stockholders. On September 7, 2011, Omnicare, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Philadelphia Acquisition Sub, Inc., commenced an unsolicited tender offer to purchase all of the outstanding shares of our common stock at \$15.00 per share. On September 18, 2011, the Board again met with its financial and legal advisors, and after careful consideration our Board of Directors again unanimously recommended that our stockholders reject the offer and not tender their shares of our common stock because it believes that Omnicare's tender offer (i) undervalues the Corporation and its prospects, (ii) is illusory because it is subject to significant regulatory and other uncertainty, and (iii) is opportunistic based on the Corporation's then traded market value. On September 20, 2011, we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) a Recommendation/Solicitation Statement on Schedule 14D-9 detailing the recommendation of our Board of Directors in response to Omnicare's tender offer and the reasons it rejected the offer. See Note 6 Commitments and Contingencies for a discussion of certain litigation commenced in respect of Omnicare's tender offer and related actions. On October 5, 2011, Omnicare extended the expiration date of its tender offer until 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on Friday, December 2, 2011, unless further extended.

On August 25, 2011, after careful consideration and consultation with our financial and legal advisors, our Board of Directors adopted a rights plan and authorized the execution of the Rights Agreement, dated August 25, 2011 (the Rights Agreement), between the Corporation and Mellon Investor Services LLC, as Rights Agent. On August 25, 2011, the Board of Directors of the Corporation declared a dividend of one preferred share purchase right (a Right) for each outstanding share of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share. The dividend was payable on September 6, 2011 to the stockholders of record on September 6, 2011. The Rights will expire on the earlier of August 25, 2021, or redemption by the Corporation. However, the rights will expire immediately at the final adjournment of the Corporation's 2012 annual meeting of stockholders if stockholder approval of the Rights Agreement has not been received prior to that time. The Rights Agreement is designed to prevent third parties from opportunistically acquiring the Corporation in a transaction that the Corporation's Board of Directors believes is not in the best interests of the Corporation's stockholders. In general terms, it works by imposing a significant penalty upon any person or group that acquires beneficial ownership of 15 percent or more of our outstanding common stock without the prior approval of our Board of Directors. The Corporation Board of Directors believes the Rights Agreement has helped the Corporation's stockholders at this time by effectively preventing Omnicare from opportunistically acquiring the Corporation at a price that the Corporation's Board of Directors believes is inadequate for the reasons discussed above. The Rights Agreement has been narrowly tailored in a manner that our Board of Directors believes appropriately balances the interests of our stockholders in connection with what our Board of Directors considers an opportunistic, illusory and disadvantageous proposal, against the need to avoid excessive anti-takeover protections that ultimately may adversely impact stockholder value. The Rights Agreement should not interfere with any merger or other business combination approved by the Board of Directors.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, and the regulations thereunder (the HSR Act), Omnicare filed a Notification and Report Form with the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice (the Antitrust Division) and the Federal Trade Commission (the FTC) relating to its proposed acquisition of the Corporation on September 7, 2011. On or about September 19, 2011, the Corporation submitted a responsive Notification and Report Form with the Antitrust Division and the FTC. On September 22, 2011, the Corporation received a request for additional information from the FTC relating to the tender offer (the Second Request). The Corporation intends to promptly respond to the Second Request. The Second Request extends the waiting period under the HSR Act for 10 calendar days after the date Omnicare certifies substantial compliance with the Second Request.

The financial and outside legal advisors to the Corporation and Omnicare have continued to meet from time to time in an effort to agree upon an acceptable information sharing process relating to antitrust issues. On October 26, 2011, the Corporation and Omnicare and their respective outside legal advisors entered into a Confidentiality and Joint Defense Agreement (the Confidentiality and Joint Defense Agreement), which provides, among other things, for the parties to exchange on a confidential and privileged basis

Table of Contents

information in order to facilitate their respective assessment of the antitrust risk associated with a potential combination of the two companies. Although the Corporation's Board of Directors continues to believe that Omnicare's \$15.00 per share offer undervalues the Corporation, the Corporation is engaging in this analytical process in order to further its understanding of Omnicare's assessment of the antitrust risk related to a business combination. The Confidentiality and Joint Defense Agreement does not obligate the Corporation to enter a transaction with Omnicare and there can be no assurance that this review will lead to a definitive merger agreement or any transaction between the two companies.

In connection with these matters, in third quarter of 2011, we expensed \$1.1 million of legal and advisory fees, which are included in integration, merger and acquisition related costs and other charges in the condensed consolidated financial statements. We expect to incur additional costs in the future in connection with Omnicare's unsolicited tender offer.

Institutional Pharmacy Business

The Corporation is the second largest institutional pharmacy services company in the United States based on revenues. We service healthcare facilities and also provide management pharmacy services to hospitals. The Corporation operates 92 institutional pharmacies in 44 states. The Corporation's customers are typically institutional healthcare providers, such as skilled nursing facilities, nursing centers, assisted living facilities, hospitals, and other long-term alternative care settings. The Corporation is generally the primary source of supply of pharmaceuticals to its customers. The Corporation also provides pharmacy management services to 90 hospitals in the United States.

Our core business provides pharmacy products and services to residents and patients in skilled nursing facilities, assisted living facilities, hospitals, and other long-term alternative care settings. We purchase, repackage, and dispense prescription and non-prescription pharmaceuticals in accordance with physician orders and deliver such medication to healthcare facilities for administration to individual patients and residents. Depending on the specific location, we service healthcare facilities typically within a radius of 120 miles or less of our pharmacy locations at least once each day. Each pharmacy provides 24-hour, seven-day per week on-call pharmacist services for emergency dispensing, delivery, and/or consultation with the facility's staff or the resident's attending physician. We also provide various supplemental healthcare services that complement our institutional pharmacy services.

We offer prescription and non-prescription pharmaceuticals to our customers through unit dose or modified unit dose packaging, dispensing, and delivery systems, typically in a 15 to 30 day supply. Unit dose medications are packaged for dispensing in individual doses as compared to bulk packaging used by most retail pharmacies. The customers we serve prefer the unit dose delivery system over the bulk delivery system employed by retail pharmacies because it improves control over the storage and ordering of drugs and reduces errors in drug administration in healthcare facilities. Nursing staff in our customers' facilities administer the pharmaceuticals to individual patients and residents.

Our computerized dispensing and delivery systems are designed to improve efficiency and control over distribution of medications to patients and residents. We provide computerized physician orders and medication administration records for patients or residents on a monthly basis as requested. Data from these records are formulated into monthly management reports on patient and resident care and quality assurance. This system improves efficiencies in nursing time, reduces drug waste, and helps to improve patient outcomes.

Consultant Pharmacist Services

Federal and state regulations mandate that long-term care facilities, in addition to providing a source of pharmaceuticals, retain consultant pharmacist services to monitor and report on prescription drug therapy in order to maintain and improve the quality of resident care. On September 30, 2008, the United States Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General (OIG) published OIG Supplemental Compliance Program Guidance for Nursing Homes. With quality of care being the first risk area identified, the supplemental guidance is part of a series of recent government efforts focused on improving quality of care at skilled nursing and long-term care facilities. The guidance contains compliance recommendations and an expanded discussion of risk areas. The guidance stressed that facilities must provide pharmaceutical services to meet the needs of each resident and should be mindful of potential quality of care problems when implementing policies and procedures on proper medication management. It further stated that facilities can reduce risk by educating staff on medication management and improper pharmacy kickbacks for consultant pharmacists and that facilities should review the total compensation paid to consultant pharmacists to ensure it is not structured in a way that reflects the volume or value of particular drugs prescribed or administered to residents.

In October 2011, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) issued a proposed rule entitled Medicare Program; Proposed Changes to the Medicare Advantage and the Medicare Prescription Drug Benefit Programs for Contract Year 2013 and Other Proposed Changes; Considering Changes to the Conditions of Participation for Long Term Care Facilities. In the proposed

Table of Contents

rule, CMS outlined its concerns, and requests comments, regarding certain contractual arrangements between Long Term Care (LTC) facilities, LTC pharmacies, consultant pharmacies, and pharmaceutical manufacturers. Specifically, CMS explained its perception that the provision of consulting services by pharmacy providers which supplies the medication to the facility leads to lack of independence of consultant pharmacists and CMS proposed requiring the independence of consultant pharmacists from LTC pharmacies. The Corporation believes that the proposed rules, which could require the independence of consultant pharmacists, may increase overall costs for payers and customers and reduce the quality of care and service to long-term care patients and residents. However, until CMS provides additional guidance, the Corporation is unable to fully evaluate the impact of the changes in consultant pharmacist services.

We provide consultant pharmacist services that help our customers comply with the federal and state regulations applicable to nursing homes. Local providers also provide these services. The services offered by our consultant pharmacists include:

Monthly reviews of each resident s drug regimen to assess the appropriateness and efficacy of drug therapies, including the review of medical records, monitoring drug interactions with other drugs or food, monitoring laboratory test results, and recommending alternative therapies;

Participation on quality assurance and other committees of our customers, as required or requested by such customers;

Monitoring and reporting on facility-wide drug utilization;

Development and maintenance of pharmaceutical policy and procedure manuals; and

Assistance with federal and state regulatory compliance pertaining to resident care.

Medical Records

The Corporation provides medical records services, which includes the completion and maintenance of medical record information for patients in the Corporation s customer s facilities. The medical records services include:

Real-time access to medication and treatment administration records, physician order sheets and psychotropic drug monitoring sheets;

Online ordering to save time and resources;

A customized database with the medication profiles of each resident s medication safety, efficiency and regulatory compliance;

Web-based individual patient records detailing each prescribed medicine; and

Electronic medical records to improve information to make it more legible and instantaneous.

Ancillary Services

The Corporation provides intravenous drug therapy products and services to its customers. We provide intravenous (IV or infusion therapy) products and services to client facilities as well as hospice and home care patients. Infusion therapy consists of the product (a nutrient, antibiotic,

chemotherapy, or other drugs in solution) and the intravenous administration of the product.

We prepare the product to be administered using proper equipment in an aseptic environment and then deliver the product to the facilities for administration by the nursing staff. Proper administration of IV drug therapy requires a highly trained nursing staff. Upon request, our nurse consultants provide an education and certification program on IV therapy to assure proper staff training and compliance with regulatory requirements in client facilities offering an IV therapy program.

Hospital Pharmacy Management Services

We also provide hospital pharmacy management services. These services generally entail the overall management of the hospital pharmacy operations, including the ordering, receipt, storage, and dispensing of pharmaceuticals to the hospital's patients pursuant to the clinical guidelines established by the hospital. We offer the hospitals a wide range of regulatory and financial management services, including inventory control, budgetary analysis, staffing optimization, and assistance with obtaining and maintaining applicable regulatory licenses, certifications, and accreditations. We work with the hospitals to develop and implement pharmacy policies and procedures, including drug formulary development and utilization management. We also offer clinical pharmacy programs that encompass a wide range of drug therapy and disease management protocols, including protocols for anemia treatment, infectious diseases, wound care, nutritional support, renal dosing, and therapeutic substitution. The hospital pharmacy management services segment is comprised of a few customers, of which, our largest service is to substantially all of the Kindred Healthcare, Inc. (Kindred) hospitals.

Table of Contents

Additional business segment information is set forth in Part I, Item 1 Financial Statements and Note 12 Business Segment Data to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

Customers

Institutional Care Settings. Our customers are typically institutional healthcare providers, such as skilled nursing facilities, nursing centers, assisted living facilities, hospitals and other long-term alternative care settings. We are generally the primary source of supply of pharmaceuticals for our customers.

Our customers depend on institutional pharmacies like us to provide the necessary pharmacy products and services and to play an integral role in monitoring patient medication regimens and safety. We dispense pharmaceuticals in patient specific packaging in accordance with physician instructions.

At September 30, 2011, we had contracts to provide pharmacy services to 342,099 licensed beds for patients in healthcare facilities throughout the country. We also have significant customer concentrations with facilities operated by Kindred. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, Kindred institutional pharmacy contracts represented approximately 10.6% of the Corporation's total revenues.

Hospital Pharmacy Management Services. At September 30, 2011, the Corporation provided hospital pharmacy management services to Kindred and other customers at 90 locations. For nine months ended September 30, 2011, revenues under the Kindred hospital pharmacy management service contracts represented approximately 3.0% of the Corporation's total revenues.

Suppliers/Inventory

On January 4, 2011, the Corporation entered into an Amended and Restated Prime Vendor Agreement for Long-Term Care Pharmacies by and between AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation (ABDC), a wholly owned subsidiary of AmerisourceBergen Corporation, the Corporation, Pharmacy Corporation of America and Chem Rx Pharmacy Services, LLC (the Amended Agreement). The Amended Agreement became effective on January 1, 2011 and, upon its effectiveness, superseded in its entirety the Prime Vendor Agreement for Long-Term Care Pharmacies entered into as of August 1, 2007 between the Corporation and ABDC.

The Amended Agreement incorporates Chem Rx and is otherwise substantially the same in scope except for modifications to select sourcing and rebate terms. The term of the Amended Agreement was extended until September 30, 2013, with one-year automatic renewal periods unless either party provides prior notice of its intent not to renew.

We also obtain pharmaceutical and other products from contracts negotiated directly with pharmaceutical manufacturers for discounted prices. While the loss of a supplier could adversely affect our business if alternate sources of supply are unavailable, numerous sources of supply are generally available to us and we have not experienced any difficulty in obtaining pharmaceuticals or other products and supplies to conduct our business.

We seek to maintain an on-site inventory of pharmaceuticals and supplies to ensure prompt delivery to our customers. ABDC maintains local distribution facilities in most major geographic markets in which we operate.

Brand versus Generic

The pharmaceutical industry has been experiencing a higher level of brand-to-generic drug conversions. We believe the generic dispensing rate will increase to over 80% over time as the result of a large number of patent expirations in the near future.

The following table summarizes the generic drug dispensing rate:

| | 2010 | 2011 |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| March 31 | 74.5 % | 77.1 % |
| June 30 | 75.7 | 77.9 |
| September 30 | 75.9 | 78.3 |
| December 31 | 75.8 | N/A |

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the material brand-to-generic conversions expected to occur in 2011 through 2015:

| 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|---------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| Xalatan (1Q) | Lexapro (1Q) | Oxycontin (2Q) | Celebrex (2Q) | Namenda (1Q) |
| Levaquin (2Q) | Seroquel (1Q) | Advair (3Q) | Humalog (2Q) | Abilify (2Q) |
| Lipitor (4Q) | Plavix (2Q) | Invanz (3Q) | Nexium (2Q) | Zyvox (2Q) |
| Zyprexa (4Q) | Provigil (2Q) | Cymbalta (4Q) | Renvela (3Q) | Aggrenox (3Q) |
| | Actos (3Q) | | Copaxone (4Q) | Travatan (3Q) |
| | Detrol (3Q) | | Symbicort (4Q) | Lidoderm (4Q) |
| | Detrol LA (3Q) | | | |
| | Diovan (3Q) | | | |
| | Diovan HCT (3Q) | | | |
| | Geodon (3Q) | | | |
| | Singulair (3Q) | | | |
| | Xopenex (3Q) | | | |

(Number in parentheses equals the quarter of conversion)

When a branded drug shifts to a generic, initial pricing of the generic drug in the market will vary depending on the number of manufacturers launching their generic version of the drug. Historically a shift from brand-to-generic decreased our revenue and improved our gross margin from sales of these classes of drugs during the initial time period a brand drug has a generic alternative. However, recent experience has indicated that the third-party payers may reduce their reimbursements to the Corporation faster than previously experienced. This acceleration in the reimbursement reduction has resulted in margin compression much earlier than we have historically experienced. Due to the unique nature of the brand-to-generic conversion, management cannot estimate the future financial impact of the brand-to-generic conversions on its results of operations.

Supplier and Manufacturer Rebates

We currently receive rebates from certain manufacturers and distributors of pharmaceutical products for achieving targets of market share or purchase volumes. Rebates are designed to prefer, protect, or maintain a manufacturer's products that are dispensed by the pharmacy under its formulary. Rebates for brand name products are generally based upon achieving a defined market share tier within a therapeutic class. Rebates for generic products are more likely to be based on achieving volume requirements. For the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, rebates recorded as a reduction in cost of goods sold were \$8.7 million and \$18.2 million, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, rebates recorded as a reduction in cost of goods sold were \$27.3 million and \$53.2 million, respectively. The Corporation had \$3.3 million and \$5.6 million of rebates capitalized in inventory as of December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2011, respectively.

Information Technology

Computerized medical records and documentation are an integral part of our distribution system. We primarily utilize a proprietary information technology infrastructure that automates order entry of medications, dispensing of medications, invoicing, and payment processing. These systems provide consulting drug review, electronic medication management, medical records, and regulatory compliance information to help ensure patient safety. These systems also support verification of eligibility and electronic billing capabilities for the Corporation's pharmacies. They also provide order entry, shipment, billing, reimbursement and collection of service fees for medications, specialty services and other services rendered.

Based upon our electronic records, we are able to provide reports to our customers and management on patient care and quality assurance. These reports help to improve efficiency in patient care, reduce drug waste, and improve patient outcomes. We expect to continue to invest in technologies that help improve data integrity, critical information access, and system availability.

On July 31, 2007, the Corporation entered into an Information Technology Services Agreement with Kindred Healthcare Operating, Inc. (KHOI), a wholly owned subsidiary of Kindred (the IT Services Agreement). Pursuant to the

Table of Contents

IT Services Agreement, KHOI is the Corporation's exclusive provider of certain information services and support related to information technology infrastructure and financial systems for a period of five years, ending on July 31, 2012. The services provided by KHOI include business services necessary to operate, manage, and support certain financial applications the Corporation uses, including enabling or supporting technology infrastructure and technology procurement services to support certain business functions. Such services include, among other matters, functions for financial management and systems and payroll. The Corporation supports internally all other operating systems, including functions for order entry, pharmacy dispensing, clinical consulting, billing and collections, electronic medication management, sales and marketing, medical records management, human resources, internal and external customer call center support, and general business systems.

Except for certain services that will be provided at cost, KHOI will provide such services to the Corporation at its cost plus 10%, which will be the actual costs and expenses incurred in providing these services, including certain overhead costs and per hour costs of the KHOI employees providing the services. The initial term of the IT Services Agreement is five years. The IT Services Agreement will automatically renew for successive one-year periods after the expiration of the initial five year term, absent 120 days prior written notice of termination as provided for in the IT Services Agreement. The IT Services Agreement may be terminated by either party for cause and, in certain circumstances, by the Corporation in the event that KHOI undergoes a change of control to one of the Corporation's competitors. Following termination of the IT Services Agreement, KHOI must provide termination and expiration assistance for up to 180 days. The Corporation has incurred \$2.7 million and \$2.6 million in fees for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, respectively, and \$8.4 million and \$8.1 million in fees for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, respectively, under the IT Services Agreement.

Sources of Pharmacy Revenues

We receive payment for our services from third party payers, including Medicare Part D Plans, government reimbursement programs under Medicare and Medicaid, and non-government sources such as institutional healthcare providers, commercial insurance companies, health maintenance organizations, preferred provider organizations, and contracted providers. The sources and amounts of our revenues will be determined by a number of factors, including the mix of our customers' patients, brand to generic conversions and the rates and charges of reimbursement among payers. Changes in our customers' censuses, the case mix of the patients, brand and generic dispensing rates, and the payer mix among private pay, Medicare Part D and Medicaid, will affect our profitability.

In December 2003, Congress enacted the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (MMA) which included a major expansion of the Medicare program through the introduction of a prescription drug benefit (titled Medicare Part D) which is administered by commercial market insurers contracted with CMS. Under Medicare Part D, Medicare beneficiaries who are also entitled to benefits under a state Medicaid program (so called dual eligibles) now have their outpatient prescription drug costs covered by Medicare Part D, subject to certain limitations. Since January 1, 2006, most of the nursing center residents we serve whose drug costs were previously covered by state Medicaid programs are dual eligibles who qualify for Medicare Part D. Accordingly, Medicaid is no longer a primary payer for the pharmacy services provided to these residents. See Overview of Reimbursement.

A summary of revenue by payer type for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011 is as follows (dollars in millions):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|----------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2010 | | 2011 | |
| | Amount | % of Revenues | Amount | % of Revenues | Amount | % of Revenues | Amount | % of Revenues |
| Medicare Part D | \$ 204.8 | 46.2 % | \$ 250.5 | 48.4 % | \$ 627.5 | 46.3 % | \$ 759.0 | 47.9 % |
| Institutional healthcare providers | 132.8 | 30.0 | 154.2 | 29.7 | 410.6 | 30.3 | 474.7 | 29.9 |
| Medicaid | 39.6 | 8.9 | 54.1 | 10.4 | 119.7 | 8.8 | 167.2 | 10.5 |
| Private and other | 26.7 | 6.0 | 23.5 | 4.5 | 80.4 | 6.0 | 69.7 | 4.4 |
| Insured | 22.0 | 5.0 | 19.6 | 3.8 | 68.0 | 5.0 | 64.6 | 4.1 |
| Medicare | 1.8 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 5.7 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| Hospital management fees | 15.4 | 3.5 | 15.7 | 3.0 | 43.9 | 3.2 | 46.9 | 3.0 |
| Total | \$ 443.1 | 100.0 % | \$ 518.7 | 100.0 % | \$ 1,355.8 | 100.0 % | \$ 1,585.5 | 100.0 % |

Table of Contents

Competition

We face a highly competitive environment in the institutional pharmacy market. In each geographic market, there are national, regional and local institutional pharmacies that provide services comparable to those offered by our pharmacies which may have greater financial and other resources than we do and may be more established in the markets they serve than we are. In addition, owners of skilled nursing facilities are also entering the institutional pharmacy market, particularly in areas of their geographic concentration. On a nationwide basis, there is one large competitor in the institutional pharmacy industry, Omnicare, Inc.

We believe that the competitive factors most important to our business are pricing, quality and the range of services offered, clinical expertise, ease of doing business with the provider and the ability to develop and maintain relationships with customers. Because relatively few barriers to entry exist in the local markets we serve, we have encountered and will continue to encounter substantial competition from local market entrants.

2010 Health Care Legislation

On March 23, 2010, President Obama signed into law the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and on March 30, 2010, President Obama signed into law the reconciliation law known as Health Care and Education Affordability Reconciliation Act (the Reconciliation Act), combined both Acts will hereinafter be referred to as 2010 Health Care Legislation. Four key provisions of the 2010 Health Care Legislation that are relevant to the Corporation are: (i) the gradual modification to the calculation of the Federal Upper Limit (FUL) for drug prices and the definition of Average Manufacturer's Price (AMP), (ii) the closure, over time, of the Part D coverage gap, which is otherwise known as the Donut Hole, (iii) short cycle dispensing requirements, and (iv) Biosimilar Biological Products. The constitutionality of the 2010 Health Care Legislation has been challenged in several Federal courts. At this time, the courts have split on the constitutionality of the 2010 Health Care Legislation. These decisions have been appealed and are expected to eventually be collectively decided by the United States Supreme Court. Pending a final decision on the constitutionality of the legislation and the promulgation of regulations there under, the Corporation is unable to fully evaluate the impact of the 2010 Health Care Legislation.

FUL and AMP Changes

The 2010 Health Care Legislation amended the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (the DRA) to change the definition of the Federal Upper Limit or FUL by requiring the calculation of the FUL as no less than 175% of the weighted average, based on utilization, of the most recently reported monthly Average Manufacturer's Price or AMP for pharmaceutically and therapeutically equivalent multi-source drugs available through retail community pharmacies nationally.

In addition, the definition of AMP changed to reflect net sales only to drug wholesalers that distribute to retail community pharmacies and to retail community pharmacies that directly purchase from drug manufacturers. Further, the 2010 Health Care Legislation continues the current statutory exclusion of prompt pay discounts offered to wholesalers and adds three other exclusions to the AMP definition: i) bona fide services fees; ii) reimbursement for unsalable returned goods (recalled, expired, damaged, etc.); and iii) payments from and rebates/discounts to certain entities not conducting business as a wholesaler or retail community pharmacy. In addition to reporting monthly, the manufacturers will be required to report the total number of units used to calculate each monthly AMP. CMS will use this information when it establishes FULs as a result of the new volume-weighted requirements pursuant to the 2010 Health Care Legislation.

In September 2011, CMS issued draft FUL reimbursement files for multiple source drugs, including the draft methodology used to calculate the FULs in accordance with the Health Care Legislation. These draft FUL prices are based on the manufacturer reported and certified July 2011 monthly AMP and AMP unit data. CMS has not posted monthly AMPs for individual drugs, but only posted the weighted average of monthly AMPs in a FUL group and the calculation methodology.

Until CMS provides additional guidance, the Corporation is unable to fully evaluate the impact of the changes in FUL and AMP to its business.

Table of Contents

Part D Coverage Gap

Starting on January 1, 2011, the Medicare Coverage Gap Discount Program (the Program) requires drug manufacturers to provide a 50% discount on the negotiated ingredient cost to certain Part D beneficiaries for certain drugs and biologics purchased during the coverage gap (this is exclusive of the pharmacy dispensing fee). In addition, the 2010 Health Care Legislation includes a requirement that closes or eliminates the coverage gap entirely by fiscal year 2020. The coverage gap will be eliminated by gradually reducing the coinsurance percentage for both drugs covered and not covered by the Program for each applicable beneficiary.

At this time, the Corporation is unable to fully evaluate the impact of the changes to the coverage gap to its business.

Short Cycle Dispensing

Pursuant to the 2010 Health Care Legislation, Prescription Drug Plans (PDPs) will be required, under Medicare Part D and Medicare Advantage prescription drug plans (Medicare Advantage or MAPDs) to utilize specific, uniform dispensing techniques, such as weekly, daily, or automated dose dispensing, when dispensing covered Part D drugs to beneficiaries who reside in a long-term care facility to reduce waste associated with 30 to 90 day prescriptions for such beneficiaries. This short cycle dispensing provision will take effect on January 1, 2013. On April 15, 2011, CMS issued final regulations pursuant to the 2010 Health Care Legislation requiring, beginning January 1, 2013, pharmacies dispensing to long-term care facilities to dispense no more than 14-day supplies of brand-name medications covered by Part D except in limited circumstances (i.e. solid oral doses of antibiotics and solid oral doses dispensed in original containers as indicated by the FDA or otherwise customarily dispensed in their original packaging to assist patients with compliance). The final regulations also provided clarity around what pharmacy costs should be included in the determination of dispensing fee.

The Corporation estimates that 2.8% of its prescriptions dispensed will be impacted by the short-cycle dispensing provision that will take effect on January 1, 2013, however, the Corporation is unable to fully evaluate the impact of the short cycle dispensing requirements on the Corporation's operating costs.

Biosimilar Biological Products

The 2010 Health Care Legislation creates a regulatory approval pathway for biosimilars (alternatively known as generics) for biological products. An innovator biological product will be granted 12 years of exclusivity. At this time, the Corporation is unable to fully evaluate the impact of the changes to biosimilars to its business.

Overview of Reimbursement

Medicare is a federal program that provides certain hospital and medical insurance benefits to persons age 65 and over and to certain disabled persons. Medicaid is a medical assistance program administered by each state that provides healthcare benefits to certain indigent patients. Within the Medicare and Medicaid statutory framework, there are substantial areas subject to administrative rulings, interpretations, and discretion that may affect payments made under Medicare and Medicaid.

We receive payment for our services from institutional healthcare providers, commercial Medicare Part D Plans, third party payer government reimbursement programs such as Medicare and Medicaid, and other non-government sources such as commercial insurance companies, health maintenance organizations, preferred provider organizations, and contracted providers. With respect to our skilled nursing facilities customers, their residents are covered by Medicare Part A, Part B and Part D Plans, Medicaid, insurance, and other private payers (including managed care).

Medicare

The Medicare program consists of four parts: (i) Medicare Part A, which covers, among other things, in-patient hospital, skilled nursing facilities, home healthcare, and certain other types of healthcare services; (ii) Medicare Part B, which covers physicians' services, outpatient services, and certain items and services provided by medical suppliers such as intravenous therapy; (iii) a managed care option for beneficiaries who are entitled to Medicare Part A and enrolled in Medicare Part B, known as Medicare Part C or Medicare Advantage; and (iv) Medicare Part D, which provides coverage for prescription drugs that are not otherwise covered under Medicare Part A or Part B for those beneficiaries that enroll.

Part A

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

The Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (the BBA) mandated the Prospective Payment System (PPS) for Medicare-eligible enrolled residents in skilled nursing facilities. Under PPS, Medicare pays skilled nursing facilities a fixed fee per patient per day for extended care services to patients, covering substantially all items and services furnished during such

Table of Contents

enrollees stay. Such services and items include pharmacy services and prescription drugs. We bill skilled nursing facilities based upon a negotiated fee schedule and are paid based on those contractual relationships. We do not receive direct payment from Medicare for patients covered under the Medicare Part A benefit. We classify the revenues recognized from these payers as Institutional Healthcare Providers.

Federal legislation continues to focus on reducing Medicare and Medicaid program expenditures. Such decreases may directly impact the Corporation's customers and their Medicare reimbursement. Given the changing nature of these rules, we are unable at this time to fully evaluate the impact on our business. Any evaluation of budgeting, cost-cutting, and financing of health care must also consider the new federal administration and the impact its proposed health care policies could have on any future cost considerations.

Part B

The MMA also changed the Medicare payment methodology and conditions for coverage of certain items of durable medical equipment, prosthetics, orthotics, and supplies (DMEPOS) under Medicare Part B. The Corporation provides some of these products to its customers. The changes include, among other things, a new competitive bidding program. Beginning on January 1, 2011 in selected areas and for selected supplies, only suppliers that were winning bidders are eligible to provide services, at prices established as a result of the competitive bids, to Medicare beneficiaries. Enteral nutrients, equipment and supplies, and oxygen equipment and supplies are among the 10 categories of DMEPOS included in the first round of the competitive bidding process. The Corporation did not participate in the bidding process. The Corporation will continue to evaluate whether it will participate in additional rounds of the bidding, which CMS has not yet scheduled.

Part D

Medicare Part D provides coverage for prescription drugs that are not otherwise covered under Medicare Part A or Part B for those beneficiaries that enroll. Under Medicare Part D, beneficiaries may enroll in prescription drug plans offered by private commercial insurers who contract with CMS (or in a fallback plan offered on behalf of the government through a contractor, to the extent private entities fail to offer a plan in a given area), which provide coverage of outpatient prescription drugs (collectively, Part D Plans). Part D Plans include both plans providing the drug benefit on a standalone basis and Medicare Advantage plans providing drug coverage as a supplement to an existing medical benefit under that Medicare Advantage plan. Medicare beneficiaries generally have to pay a premium to enroll in a Part D Plan, with the premium amount varying from one Part D Plan to another, although CMS provides various federal subsidies to Part D Plans to reduce the cost to beneficiaries.

Part D Plans are required to make available certain drugs on their formularies. Dually-eligible residents in nursing centers generally are entitled to have their prescription drug costs covered by a Part D Plan, provided that the prescription drugs which they are taking are either on the Part D Plan's formulary or an exception to the Part D Plan's formulary is granted. CMS reviews the formularies of Part D Plans and requires these formularies to include the types of drugs most commonly used by Medicare beneficiaries. CMS also reviews the formulary exceptions criteria of the Part D Plans that provide for coverage of drugs determined by the Part D Plan to be medically appropriate for the enrollee; however there currently is not a separate formulary for long-term care residents.

We obtain reimbursement for drugs we provide to enrollees of the given Part D Plan in accordance with the terms of agreements negotiated between us and the Part D Plan. The Medicare Part D final rule prohibits Part D plans from paying for drugs and services not specifically called for by the BBA. Beginning in 2010, CMS required Part D sponsors to use pass-through pricing, based on the price actually received by the pharmacy for drugs, in order to determine beneficiary cost sharing and drug reporting. This change, and similar changes by CMS aimed at ensuring administrative costs are absorbed by the Pharmacy Benefit Manager (PBM) and not the government, may alter the way certain PBMs negotiate prices with pharmacies. Currently, we are unable to fully evaluate the impact of this change in pricing definition on the Corporation.

In addition, beginning January 2010, MIPPA required that all PDPs are required to provide prompt payment to pharmacies. PDP and MAPDs must pay clean claims to retail pharmacies within 14 days if submitted electronically or within 30 days otherwise.

Medicare Part D does not alter federal reimbursement for residents of nursing centers whose stay at the nursing center is covered under Medicare Part A. Accordingly, Medicare's fixed per diem payments to nursing centers under PPS will continue to include a portion attributable to the expected cost of drugs provided to such residents. We will, therefore, continue to receive reimbursement for drugs provided to such residents from the nursing center in accordance with the terms of our agreements with each nursing center.

Table of Contents

In June 2009, CMS released a report indicating that approximately \$41.0 million in Medicare Part D payments for prescription drugs, some dispensed by LTC pharmacies, were likely made incorrectly. CMS concluded many of the drugs, which were dispensed during Part A skilled nursing facility stays, should have been included in per diem payments under Medicare Part A. CMS stated it will focus on ensuring such improper payments do not occur in the future. We are unable to fully evaluate the impact of current and future federal initiatives aimed at eliminating these discrepancies.

In addition, we receive rebates from pharmaceutical manufacturers for undertaking certain activities that the manufacturers believe may increase the likelihood that we will dispense their products. CMS continues to question whether institutional pharmacies should be permitted to receive these access/performance rebates from manufacturers with respect to prescriptions covered under Medicare Part D, but has not prohibited the receipt of such rebates. CMS defines these as rebates a manufacturer provides to long-term care pharmacies that are designed to prefer, protect, or maintain that manufacturer's product selection by the long-term care pharmacy or to increase the volume of that manufacturer's products that are dispensed by the pharmacy under its formulary. CMS, in 2007, required PDPs to have policies and systems in place as part of their drug utilization management programs to protect beneficiaries and reduce costs when long-term care pharmacies receive incentives to move market share through access/performance rebates. The elimination or substantial reduction of manufacturer rebates, if not offset by other reimbursement, would have an adverse effect on our business.

Medicaid

The reimbursement rate for pharmacy services under Medicaid is determined on a state-by-state basis subject to review by CMS and applicable federal law. Although Medicaid programs vary from state to state, they generally provide for the payment of certain pharmacy services, up to established limits, at rates determined in accordance with each state's regulations. The federal Medicaid statute specifies a variety of requirements that a state plan must meet, including the requirements related to eligibility, coverage for services, payment, and admissions. For residents that are eligible for Medicaid only, and are not dual eligibles covered under Medicare Part D, we bill the individual state Medicaid program or in certain circumstances the state's designated managed care or other similar organizations. Federal regulations and the regulations of certain states establish upper limits for reimbursement of certain prescription drugs under Medicaid. In most states, pharmacy services are priced at the lower of usual and customary charges or cost, which generally is defined as a function of average wholesale price and may include a profit percentage plus a dispensing fee. Most states establish a fixed dispensing fee per prescription that is adjusted to reflect associated cost. Over the last several years, state Medicaid programs have lowered reimbursement through a variety of mechanisms, principally higher discounts off average wholesale price levels, expansion of the number of medications subject to federal upper limit pricing, and general reductions in contract payment methodology to pharmacies.

Table of Contents**Critical Accounting Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts and related disclosures. Management considers an accounting estimate to be critical if:

It requires assumptions to be made that were uncertain at the time the estimate was made; and

Changes in the estimate or different estimates could have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

The critical accounting estimates discussed below are not intended to be a comprehensive list of all of the Corporation's accounting policies that require estimates. Management believes that of the significant accounting policies, as discussed in Note 1 of the condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report, the estimates discussed below involve a higher degree of judgment and complexity. Management believes the current assumptions and other considerations used to estimate amounts reflected in the condensed consolidated financial statements are appropriate. However, if actual experience differs from the assumptions and other considerations used in estimating amounts reflected in the condensed consolidated financial statements, the resulting changes could have a material adverse effect on the consolidated results of operations and financial condition of the Corporation.

Allowance for doubtful accounts and provision for doubtful accounts

Accounts receivable primarily consist of amounts due from PDP's under Medicaid Part D, long-term care institutions, the respective state Medicaid programs, private payers and third party insurance companies. Our ability to collect outstanding receivables is critical to our results of operations and cash flow. We establish an allowance for doubtful accounts to reduce the carrying value of our receivables to their estimated net realizable value. In addition, certain drugs dispensed are subject to being returned and the responsible paying party is due a credit for such returns.

Our allowance for doubtful accounts, included in our balance sheet at December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2011, was \$36.8 million and \$42.9 million, respectively.

Our quarterly provision for doubtful accounts included in our condensed consolidated income statements was as follows (dollars in millions):

| | 2010 | | 2011 | |
|----------------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|
| | Amount | % of Revenues | Amount | % of Revenues |
| First Quarter | \$ 3.8 | 0.8 % | \$ 5.4 | 1.0 % |
| Second Quarter | 4.6 | 1.0 | 5.8 | 1.1 |
| Third Quarter | 4.5 | 1.0 | 6.4 | 1.2 |
| Fourth Quarter | 5.6 | 1.1 | N/A | N/A |

Please refer to Note 1 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for a rollforward of our allowance for doubtful accounts.

The largest components of bad debts in our accounts receivable relate to the accounts for which private payers are responsible (which we refer to as private and other), accounts for which our customers from long-term care institutions are responsible for under Medicare Part A and owe us for the drug component of their patients stay at their respective institution and third party, Medicare Part D, and Medicaid claims that have been denied.

We attempt to collect the private and other accounts through various efforts for which the patient is the responsible party. We attempt to collect payments due from long-term care institutions through billing and collecting in accordance with the terms of the contracts. We attempt to collect from third party, Medicare Part D and Medicaid accounts by obtaining the appropriate documentation and direct discussions with the payers. In all cases, the drugs have been dispensed.

In general, we perform the following steps in collecting accounts receivable:

if possible, perform up front adjudication prior to dispensing the product;

billing and follow-up with third party payers;

billing and follow-up with long-term care institutions;

utilization of collection agencies; and

other legal processes.

Table of Contents

The Corporation has an established process to determine the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts, which relies on analytical tools, specific identification, and benchmarks to arrive at a reasonable allowance. No single statistic or measurement alone determines the allowance for doubtful accounts.

We monitor and review trends by payer classification along with the composition of our aging accounts receivable. This review is focused primarily on trends in private and other payers, PDP s, dual eligible co-payments, historic payment patterns of long-term care institutions, and monitoring respective credit risks.

In addition, we analyze other factors such as revenue days in accounts receivables, denial trends by payer types, payment patterns by payer types, subsequent cash collections, and current events that may impact payment patterns of our long-term care institution customers.

The following table shows our institutional pharmacy revenue days outstanding reflected in our institutional pharmacy net accounts receivable as of the dates indicated:

| | 2010 | 2011 |
|----------------|------|------|
| First Quarter | 40.5 | 39.0 |
| Second Quarter | 40.4 | 41.6 |
| Third Quarter | 40.3 | 42.5 |
| Fourth Quarter | 39.7 | N/A |

The following table shows our summarized aging categories by quarter:

| | 2010 | | | | 2011 | | |
|----------------|---------|---------|-----------|----------|---------|---------|-----------|
| | March | June | September | December | March | June | September |
| 0 to 60 days | 66.2 % | 65.7 % | 62.9 % | 61.8 % | 63.4 % | 63.9 % | 63.1 % |
| 61 to 120 days | 17.8 | 18.0 | 19.2 | 22.0 | 19.3 | 18.0 | 18.9 |
| Over 120 days | 16.0 | 16.3 | 17.9 | 16.2 | 17.3 | 18.1 | 18.0 |
| | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 100.0 % |

The following table shows our allowance for doubtful accounts as a percent of gross accounts receivable:

| | 2010 | | | 2011 | | |
|----------------|-----------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Allowance | Gross Accounts Receivable | % of Gross Accounts Receivable | Allowance | Gross Accounts Receivable | % of Gross Accounts Receivable |
| First Quarter | \$ 37.6 | \$ 241.8 | 15.6 % | \$ 38.1 | \$ 273.9 | 13.9 % |
| Second Quarter | 37.1 | 237.6 | 15.6 | 39.6 | 282.4 | 14.0 |
| Third Quarter | 38.1 | 231.9 | 16.4 | 42.9 | 282.8 | 15.2 |
| Fourth Quarter | 36.8 | 263.3 | 14.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Any receivables acquired subsequent to January 1, 2009 were recognized at fair value and therefore there is no allowance on receivables acquired.

Table of Contents**Revenue recognition/Allowance for contractual discounts**

Our sources of revenues for the quarters ended were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Three Months Ended June 30, | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|---------|
| | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Medicare Part D | 46.8 % | 47.7 % | 45.8 % | 47.6 % |
| Institutional healthcare providers | 30.4 | 30.6 | 30.5 | 29.5 |
| Medicaid | 8.7 | 10.5 | 8.9 | 10.7 |
| Private and other | 5.7 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 4.6 |
| Insured | 4.9 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 4.4 |
| Medicare | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Hospital management fees | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| Total | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 100.0 % |

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Three Months Ended December 31, | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|------------------------------------|-------|
| | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Medicare Part D | 46.2 % | 48.4 % | 47.1 % | N/A % |
| Institutional healthcare providers | 30.0 | 29.7 | 29.7 | N/A |
| Medicaid | 8.9 | 10.4 | 10.1 | N/A |
| Private and other | 6.0 | 4.5 | 5.5 | N/A |
| Insured | 5.0 | 3.8 | 4.5 | N/A |
| Medicare | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | N/A |
| Hospital management fees | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.8 | N/A |
| Total | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | - % |

We recognize revenues at the time services are provided or products are delivered. A significant portion of our revenues are billed to PDPs under Medicare Part D, the state Medicaid programs, long-term care institutions, third party insurance companies, and private payers. Some claims are electronically adjudicated through online processing at the point the prescription is dispensed such that our operating system is automatically updated with the actual amount to be reimbursed. As a result, our revenues and the associated receivables are based upon the actual reimbursement to be received. For claims that are adjudicated on-line and are rejected or otherwise denied upon submission, the Corporation provides contractual allowances based upon historical trends, contractual reimbursement terms and other factors which may impact ultimate reimbursement. Amounts are adjusted to actual reimbursed amounts based upon cash receipts.

Co-payments for our services can be applicable under Medicare Part D, the state Medicaid programs, and certain third party payers and are typically not collected at the time products are delivered or services are provided. Co-payments under the Medicaid programs and third party plans are generally billed to the responsible party as part of our normal billing procedures which are subject to normal collection procedures.

Under Medicare Part D, co-payments related to institutional residents who are both Medicare and Medicaid eligible are due from the responsible party for up to the first thirty days of a beneficiary's stay in a skilled nursing facility subsequent to which the PDP's are responsible for reimbursement.

Under certain circumstances, including state-mandated return policies under various Medicaid programs, we accept returns of medications and issue credit memorandums to the applicable payer. Product returns are processed in the period returned. We estimate an amount for expected returns based on historical trends.

Our hospital pharmacy management revenues represent contractually defined management fees and the reimbursements of costs associated with the direct operations of hospital pharmacies, and are primarily comprised of personnel costs.

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

Please refer to Note 7 to our accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements and footnotes included elsewhere in this quarterly report for a further discussion of our revenue recognition policies.

Table of Contents***Inventory and cost of drugs dispensed***

We have inventory located at each of our institutional pharmacy locations. Our inventory is maintained on a first-in, first-out lower of cost or market basis. The inventory consists of prescription drugs, over the counter products and intravenous solutions. Our inventory relating to controlled substances is maintained on a manually prepared perpetual system to the extent required by the Drug Enforcement Agency. All other inventory is maintained on a periodic system, through the performance of quarterly physical inventories at the end of each quarter. All inventory counts are reconciled to the balance sheet account and differences are adjusted through cost of goods sold. In addition, we record an amount of potential returns of prescription drugs based on historical rates of returns.

As of December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2011, inventory on our accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets was \$88.5 million and \$118.4 million, respectively.

Our inventory turns were as follows for the periods presented:

| | 2010 | 2011 |
|----------------|------|------|
| First Quarter | 15.7 | 15.0 |
| Second Quarter | 15.5 | 12.0 |
| Third Quarter | 15.3 | 11.0 |
| Fourth Quarter | 15.6 | N/A |

The decrease in inventory turns for the second and third quarters of 2011 compared to prior periods is a result of the increase in inventory purchases as a result of the Corporation implementing changes in its branded pharmaceutical purchasing practices.

We receive rebates on purchases from various vendors and suppliers. Rebates included in our condensed consolidated income statements as reductions to cost of goods sold were as follows (in millions):

| | 2010 | 2011 |
|----------------|--------|---------|
| First Quarter | \$ 9.5 | \$ 14.3 |
| Second Quarter | 9.1 | 20.7 |
| Third Quarter | 8.7 | 18.2 |
| Fourth Quarter | 9.9 | N/A |

We account for rebates and other incentives received from vendors and suppliers, relating to the purchase or distribution of inventory, as a reduction to cost of goods sold and inventory. We consider these rebates to represent product discounts, and as a result, the rebates are capitalized as a reduction of product cost and relieved through cost of goods sold upon the sale of the related inventory.

Rebates earned by the Corporation for the first, second and third quarters of 2011 were higher due to the rebate program under the Amended Agreement that was effective January 1, 2011.

Goodwill, other intangible assets and accounting for business combinations

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets of acquired companies. Our intangible assets are comprised primarily of trade names, customer relationship assets, and non-compete agreements.

Our goodwill included in our accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2011 was \$179.1 million and \$183.4 million, respectively.

Our net intangible assets included in our accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2011, were \$102.2 million and \$93.1 million, respectively. The amount of accumulated amortization of intangible assets as of December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2011 was \$28.3 million and \$31.6 million, respectively.

We are required to test goodwill for impairment annually, absent some triggering event that would accelerate an impairment test, using a fair value approach. We determine fair value using widely accepted valuation techniques, including discounted cash flow and market multiples analysis. These types of analyses require us to make assumptions and estimates regarding future cash flows, industry economic factors, and the

profitability of future business strategies.

Table of Contents

The purchase prices of acquisitions are allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based upon their respective fair values. Such valuations require us to make significant estimates and assumptions, including projections of future events and operating performance.

Fair value estimates are determined by management based upon and derived from appraisals, established market values of comparable assets, or internal calculations of estimated future net cash flows. Our estimate of future cash flows is based on assumptions and projections we believe to be currently reasonable and supportable. The ultimate decision of allocation is that of management.

We assess for the potential impairment of intangible assets and finite-lived assets recorded on the Corporation's balance sheet whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that its carrying amount may not be recoverable.

During the third quarter of 2011, the Corporation recorded a pre-tax impairment charge of \$5.1 million related to finite-lived customer relationships. The impairment, which related to the Institutional Pharmacy Segment, was incurred as the result of non-renewal of certain customer contracts. The impairment was related to intangible assets acquired in an acquisition during the year ended December 31, 2005. These asset groups were assessed for recoverability and management determined the finite-lived customer relationship assets to be impaired. No other assets within the asset groups were deemed impaired. Using a discounted cash flow analysis, the Corporation determined the pre-tax impairment charge of \$5.1 million was required to write the carrying value down to fair value, resulting in a loss per diluted share impact of \$0.11. The Corporation recognized the impairment as a permanent write-down of the cost basis and accumulated amortization of the affected assets.

Accounting for income taxes

The provision for income taxes is based upon the Corporation's annual taxable income or loss for each respective accounting period. The Corporation recognizes an asset or liability for the deferred tax consequences of temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the financial statements. Deferred tax assets generally represent items that will result in a tax deduction in future years for which we have already recorded the tax benefit in the accompanying condensed consolidated income statements. The Corporation also recognizes as deferred tax assets the future tax benefits from net operating and capital loss carryforwards.

We assess the likelihood that deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income. A valuation allowance is provided for deferred tax assets if it is more-likely-than-not that some portion or all of the net deferred tax assets will not be realized. Our deferred tax asset balances in our condensed consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2011, were \$52.2 million and \$42.4 million, respectively, including the impact of valuation allowances. Our valuation allowances for deferred tax assets in our condensed consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2011, were \$1.6 million.

Please refer to Note 10 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for further discussion of our accounting for income taxes.

Accounting for stock-based compensation

The Corporation has adopted the Amended and Restated PharMerica Corporation 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan (as amended and restated, Omnibus Plan) under which the Corporation is authorized to grant equity-based and other awards to its employees, officers, directors and consultants. In connection with the Corporation's 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the stockholders of the Corporation approved and adopted the amended and restated Omnibus Plan to, among other things, implement a fungible share pool effective as of January 1, 2010, and preserve preferential tax treatment as qualified performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

The Corporation has reserved 7,237,000 shares of its common stock for awards to be granted under the Omnibus Plan plus 534,642 shares issued for substitute equity awards. Under the fungible share pool, one share of stock will be subtracted from the share limit for each share of stock covered by a stock option or stock appreciation right award and 1.65 shares of stock will be subtracted from the share limit for each share of stock covered by any full-value award, including restricted share awards, restricted stock units and performance share awards at target. The following shares are not available for re-grant under the Omnibus Plan: (i) shares tendered by a participant or withheld by the Corporation to pay the purchase

Table of Contents

price of a stock option award or to satisfy taxes owed with respect to an award, (ii) shares subject to a stock appreciation right that are not issued in connection with such award's settlement upon the exercise thereof, and (iii) shares reacquired by the Corporation using cash proceeds received by the Corporation from the exercise of stock options. Effective January 1, 2010, shares subject to an award that is forfeited, expired or settled for cash, are available for re-grant under the Omnibus Plan as one share of stock for each share of stock covered by a stock option or appreciation right and 1.65 shares of stock for each share of stock covered by any other type of award.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Compensation Committee granted stock-based compensation awards with respect to 657,576 stock options under the Omnibus Plan with an exercise price of \$10.89 per share, 498,333 restricted stock units and 186,533 performance share units.

Our stock-based compensation expense for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011 was \$0.8 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, and was included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying condensed consolidated income statements. Our stock-based compensation expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011 was \$3.3 million and \$4.5 million, respectively.

Please refer to Note 9 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report for a detailed discussion of our accounting for stock-based compensation.

Key Financial Statement Components

Consolidated Income Statements

Our revenues are comprised primarily of product revenues and are derived from the sale of prescription drugs through our institutional pharmacies. The majority of our product revenues are derived on a fee-for-service basis. Our revenues are recorded net of certain discounts and estimates for returns. Hospital pharmacy revenues represent management fees and pass through costs associated with managing the clients hospital pharmacy.

Cost of goods sold is comprised primarily of the cost of product and is principally attributable to the dispensing of prescription drugs. Our cost of product relating to drugs dispensed by our institutional pharmacies consists primarily of the cost of inventory dispensed and our costs incurred to process and dispense the prescriptions. Cost of goods also includes direct labor, delivery costs, rent, utilities, depreciation, travel costs, professional fees and other costs attributable to the dispensing of medications. In addition, cost of product includes a credit for rebates earned from pharmaceutical manufacturers whose drugs are included in our formularies. These rebates generally take the form of formulary rebates, which are earned based on the volume of a specific drug dispensed, or market share rebates, which are earned based on the achievement of contractually specified market share levels. The Corporation also receives rebates on generic drugs dispensed and administrative rebates.

Selling, general and administrative expenses reflect the costs of operations dedicated to executive management, the generation of new sales, maintenance of existing client relationships, management of clinical programs, enhancement of technology capabilities, direction of pharmacy operations, human resources and performance of reimbursement activities, in addition to finance, legal and other staff activities.

Integration, merger and acquisition related costs and other charges represent the costs associated with integrating our operations, as well as costs related to acquisitions.

Interest expense, net, primarily includes interest expense relating to our senior secured credit facility, partially offset by interest income generated by cash and cash equivalents.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

Our assets include cash and cash equivalent investments, accounts receivable, inventory, fixed assets, deferred tax assets, goodwill and intangibles.

Cash reflects the accumulation of positive cash flows from our operations and financing activities, and primarily includes deposits with banks or other financial institutions. Our cash balances are at the highest on Thursday nights and at the lowest on Friday nights. Friday is usually our largest cash disbursement day as a result of payments for our drug costs and our payrolls.

Accounts receivable primarily consist of amounts due from PDPs under Medicare Part D, the respective state Medicaid programs, long-term care institutions, third party insurance companies, and private payers, net of allowances for doubtful accounts, as well as contractual allowances.

Table of Contents

Inventory reflects the cost of prescription products held for dispensing by our institutional pharmacies, net of capitalized rebates, and is recorded on a first-in, first-out basis. We perform quarterly inventory counts and record our inventory and cost of goods sold based on such quarterly inventories. We also include an estimate for returns on inventory.

Deferred tax assets primarily represent temporary differences between the financial statement basis and the tax basis of certain accrued expenses, tax deductible goodwill, net operating loss carryforwards, and stock-based compensation.

Fixed assets include investments in our institutional pharmacies and information technology, including capitalized software development. Goodwill and intangible assets are comprised primarily of goodwill and intangibles related to our previous acquisitions.

Our primary liabilities include accounts payable, accrued salaries and wages, other current liabilities, debt, and deferred tax liabilities. Accounts payable primarily consist of amounts payable for prescription inventory purchases under our Prime Vendor Agreement and other purchases made in the normal course of business. The balances in accounts payable and accrued salaries and wages are at the highest on Thursday nights and at the lowest on Friday nights, as a result of payments for drug costs and payroll being funded on Friday. Accrued expenses and other current liabilities primarily consist of employee and facility-related cost accruals incurred in the normal course of business, as well as income taxes payable. Our debt is primarily comprised of loans under our senior secured credit facility as well as our revolver. Deferred tax liabilities primarily represent temporary differences between the financial statement basis and tax basis of fixed assets and tax deductible goodwill. We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements, other than purchase commitments and lease obligations.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

An important element of our operating cash flows is the timing of billing cycles, subsequent cash collections and payments for drug costs and labor. Due to the nature of the Corporation's cash cycle, cash flows from operations can fluctuate significantly depending on the day of the week of the respective close process. We pay for our prescription drug inventory in accordance with payment terms offered under our Amended Agreement. The Corporation receives rebates from its prime vendor and suppliers each period. Rebates are capitalized into inventory and also recorded as a reduction to cost of goods sold in the period earned. Outgoing cash flows include inventory purchases, employee payroll and benefits, facility operating expenses, capital expenditures including technology investments, interest and principal payments on our outstanding debt, and income taxes. The cost of acquisitions will also result in cash outflows.

Impact of Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2011, the FASB issued authoritative guidance in ASC 350 Intangibles - Goodwill and Other intended to simplify goodwill impairment testing. Entities will be allowed to perform a qualitative assessment on goodwill impairment to determine whether it is more likely than not (defined as having a likelihood of more than 50 percent) that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test. This guidance is effective for goodwill impairment tests performed in interim and annual periods for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The Corporation does not expect this new guidance will have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Definitions

Listed below are definitions of terms used by the Corporation in managing the business. The definitions are necessary to the understanding of the Management's Discussion and Analysis section of this document.

Assisted Living Facilities (ALF): Represents assisted living facility. Its units or beds will represent the number of apartment type units within the facility.

Bps: Represents basis points. Basis points are based on percentages. For example, 100 bps represents a change of 1.0%.

DNA: Represents data not available.

Gross profit per prescription dispensed: Represents the gross profit from the institutional pharmacy segment divided by the total prescriptions dispensed.

Gross profit percentage: Represents the gross profit per prescription dispensed divided by the revenue per prescription dispensed.

NA: Represents not applicable.

NM: Represents not meaningful.

Table of Contents

Prescriptions dispensed: Represents a prescription filled for an individual patient. A prescription will usually be for a 15 or 30 day period and will include only one drug type.

Revenues per prescription dispensed: Represents the revenues from the institutional pharmacy segment divided by the total prescriptions dispensed.

Skilled Nursing Facilities (SNF): Represents skilled nursing facilities. Its licensed beds will represent the customer licensed beds and this may not be indicative of its census.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The following table presents selected consolidated comparative results of operations and statistical information for the periods presented (dollars in millions, except per prescription and per patient amounts, and prescriptions in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------|------------|
| | 2010 Amount | Increase (Decrease) | 2011 Amount | 2010 Amount | Increase (Decrease) | 2011 Amount | | |
| Net revenues | | | | | | | | |
| Institutional Pharmacy | \$ 427.7 | \$ 75.3 | 17.6 % | \$ 503.0 | \$ 1,311.9 | \$ 226.7 | 17.3 % | \$ 1,538.6 |
| Hospital Management | 15.4 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 15.7 | 43.9 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 46.9 |
| Total net revenues | 443.1 | 75.6 | 17.1 | 518.7 | 1,355.8 | 229.7 | 16.9 | 1,585.5 |
| Cost of goods sold | | | | | | | | |
| Institutional Pharmacy | 372.8 | 56.8 | 15.2 | 429.6 | 1,139.7 | 187.4 | 16.4 | 1,327.1 |
| Hospital Management | 13.5 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 13.8 | 38.4 | 2.6 | 7.0 | 41.0 |
| Total cost of goods sold | 386.3 | 57.1 | 14.8 | 443.4 | 1,178.1 | 190.0 | 16.1 | 1,368.1 |
| Gross profit | | | | | | | | |
| Institutional Pharmacy | 54.9 | 18.5 | 33.7 | 73.4 | 172.2 | 39.3 | 22.9 | 211.5 |
| Hospital Management | 1.9 | - | - | 1.9 | 5.5 | 0.4 | 5.5 | 5.9 |
| Total gross profit | \$ 56.8 | \$ 18.5 | 32.6 % | \$ 75.3 | \$ 177.7 | \$ 39.7 | 22.3 % | \$ 217.4 |
| Institutional Pharmacy (in whole numbers except where indicated) | | | | | | | | |
| Volume information | | | | | | | | |
| Prescriptions dispensed (in thousands) | 8,949 | 1,408 | 15.7 % | 10,357 | 27,929 | 3,804 | 13.6 % | 31,733 |
| Revenue per prescription dispensed | \$ 47.79 | \$ 0.78 | 1.6 % | \$ 48.57 | \$ 46.97 | \$ 1.52 | 3.2 % | \$ 48.49 |
| Gross profit per prescription dispensed | \$ 6.13 | \$ 0.96 | 15.7 % | \$ 7.09 | \$ 6.17 | \$ 0.50 | 8.1 % | \$ 6.67 |
| Gross profit percentage | 12.8% | 1.8 | 14.1 % | 14.6 % | 13.1 % | 0.7 | 5.3 % | 13.8 % |
| Generic drug dispensing rate | 75.9% | 2.4 | 3.2 % | 78.3 % | 75.4 % | 2.3 | 3.1 % | 77.7 % |
| Customer licensed beds under contract | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning of period | 298,584 | 54,440 | 18.2 % | 353,024 | 313,867 | 49,034 | 15.6 % | 362,901 |
| Additions - PharMerica Corporation | 4,867 | (1,638) | (33.7) | 3,229 | 11,564 | 2,306 | 19.9 | 13,870 |
| Additions - Chem Rx | - | 905 | 100.0 | 905 | - | 2,295 | 100.0 | 2,295 |
| Losses - PharMerica Corporation | (13,703) | 142 | (1.0) | (13,561) | (35,683) | 5,396 | (15.1) | (30,287) |
| Losses - Chem Rx | - | (1,498) | 100.0 | (1,498) | - | (6,680) | 100.0 | (6,680) |
| End of period | 289,748 | 52,351 | 18.1 % | 342,099 | 289,748 | 52,351 | 18.1 % | 342,099 |
| Hospital Management (in whole numbers except where indicated) | | | | | | | | |
| Volume information | | | | | | | | |
| Hospital management contracts serviced | 89 | 1.0 | 1.1 % | 90 | 89 | 1.0 | 1.1 % | 90 |
| Revenues | | | | | | | | |

Institutional pharmacy revenues increased \$75.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010, due primarily to the Chem Rx and other pharmacy acquisitions. The increase of \$75.3 million resulted from a favorable volume variance of approximately \$67.3 million or 1,408,000 additional prescriptions dispensed and a favorable rate variance of approximately \$8.0 million or \$0.78 increase per prescription dispensed. The rate variance was comprised of approximately \$40.9 million due to inflation on drugs dispensed between periods offset by \$32.9 million due to the increase in the generic dispensing rate from 75.9% to 78.3% and certain pricing concessions. The favorable volume variance of approximately \$67.3 million was due to the increase in customer licensed beds under contract as a result of the acquisitions.

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

The increase in hospital management revenues of \$0.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to an increase in the number of hospital management contracts serviced.

Institutional pharmacy revenues increased \$226.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010, due primarily to the Chem Rx and other pharmacy acquisitions. The increase of \$226.7 million resulted from a favorable volume variance of approximately \$178.7 million or 3,804,000 additional prescriptions dispensed and a favorable rate variance of approximately \$48.0 million or \$1.52 increase per prescription dispensed. The rate variance was comprised of approximately \$140.0 million due to inflation on drugs dispensed between periods offset by \$92.0 million due to the increase in the generic dispensing rate from 75.4% to 77.7% and certain pricing concessions. The favorable volume variance of approximately \$178.7 million was due to the increase in customer licensed beds under contract as a result of the acquisitions.

Table of Contents

The increase in hospital management revenues of \$3.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to an increase in the number of hospital management contracts serviced.

Cost of Goods Sold

Institutional pharmacy cost of goods sold increased \$56.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010 primarily due to increased volume as a result of the Chem Rx and other pharmacy acquisitions. Overall total drug costs decreased as a percent of revenues 99 bps due primarily to the increased generic dispensing rate, which has improved margins, and higher rebates as a result of the Amended Agreement. Other costs included in cost of goods sold decreased as a percentage of revenues 81 bps, of which the decrease primarily related to lower professional fees associated with back-up pharmacy services, lower delivery expenses, along with lower salaries and wages due to a reduction in contract labor.

Institutional pharmacy cost of goods sold increased \$187.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010 primarily due to the Chem Rx and other pharmacy acquisitions. Overall total drug costs decreased as a percent of revenues 50 bps due primarily to higher margins on certain brand-name drugs which recently went generic and higher rebates as a result of the Amended Agreement. Other costs included in cost of goods sold decreased as a percentage of revenues 20 bps, of which the decrease primarily related to a decrease in salaries and wages as a result of lower contract labor charges and lower professional fees associated with back-up pharmacy services.

Gross Profit and Operating Expenses

Gross profit and other operating expenses were the following for the periods presented (dollars in millions):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|---------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|----------|--------------|--|
| | 2010 | | Increase (Decrease) | | 2011 | | 2010 | | Increase (Decrease) | | 2011 | | |
| | Amount | % of Revenue | Amount | % of Revenue | Amount | % of Revenue | Amount | % of Revenue | Amount | % of Revenue | Amount | % of Revenue | |
| Gross profit and operating expenses: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total gross profit | \$ 56.8 | 12.8 % | \$ 18.5 | 32.6 % | \$ 75.3 | 14.5 % | \$ 177.7 | 13.1 % | \$ 39.7 | 22.3 % | \$ 217.4 | 13.7 % | |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 43.3 | 9.7 | 11.4 | 26.3 | 54.7 | 10.5 | 131.1 | 9.6 | 30.3 | 23.1 | 161.4 | 10.2 | |
| Amortization expense | 2.2 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 36.4 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 6.9 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 21.7 | 8.4 | 0.5 | |
| Impairment of intangible assets | - | - | 5.1 | 100.0 | 5.1 | 1.0 | - | - | 5.1 | 100.0 | 5.1 | 0.3 | |
| Integration, merger and acquisition related costs and other charges | 2.4 | 0.6 | (0.6) | (25.0) | 1.8 | 0.3 | 12.8 | 1.0 | (1.2) | (9.4) | 11.6 | 0.7 | |
| Interest expense, net | 0.9 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 188.9 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 0.2 | 3.7 | 142.3 | 6.3 | 0.4 | |
| Income before income taxes | 8.0 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 8.1 | 1.6 | 24.3 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 24.6 | 1.6 | |
| Provision for income taxes | 3.2 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 0.6 | 9.8 | 0.7 | (0.7) | (7.1) | 9.1 | 0.6 | |
| Net income | \$ 4.8 | 1.1 % | \$ - | - % | \$ 4.8 | 1.0 % | \$ 14.5 | 1.1 % | \$ 1.0 | 6.9 % | \$ 15.5 | 1.0 % | |

Institutional pharmacy gross profit for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was \$73.4 million or \$7.09 per prescription dispensed compared to \$54.9 million or \$6.13 per prescription dispensed for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in gross profit was due primarily to the Chem Rx and other pharmacy acquisitions. Institutional pharmacy gross profit margin for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was 14.6% compared to 12.8% for the three months ended September 30, 2010. Gross profit margin was positively impacted by the increased generic dispensing rate, which has improved margin, better pricing terms as a result of the Amended Agreement, and the implementation of changes in branded pharmaceutical purchasing practices.

Institutional pharmacy gross profit for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$211.5 million or \$6.67 per prescription dispensed compared to \$172.2 million or \$6.17 per prescription dispensed for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in gross profit was

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

due primarily to the Chem Rx and other pharmacy acquisitions. Institutional pharmacy gross profit margin for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was 13.8% compared to 13.1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Gross profit margin was positively impacted by higher margins on certain brand-name drugs which recently went generic, better pricing terms as a result of the Amended Agreement, and the implementation of changes in branded pharmaceutical purchasing practices.

Table of Contents**Selling, General and Administrative Expenses (Dollars in millions)**

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | | Increase (Decrease) | | 2011 | | 2010 | | Increase (Decrease) | | 2011 | |
| | Amount | % of Revenue | | | Amount | % of Revenue | Amount | % of Revenue | | | Amount | % of Revenue |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total wages, benefits and contract labor | \$ 21.9 | 4.9 % | \$ 6.8 | 31.1 % | \$ 28.7 | 5.5 % | \$ 67.6 | 4.9 % | \$ 17.4 | 25.7 % | \$ 85.0 | 5.4 % |
| Contracted services | 3.7 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 0.7 | 11.2 | 0.8 | (0.0) | (0.1) | 11.2 | 0.7 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 4.5 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 42.2 | 6.4 | 1.2 | 12.9 | 1.0 | 4.7 | 36.4 | 17.6 | 1.1 |
| Supplies | 1.5 | 0.3 | (0.1) | (6.7) | 1.4 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 0.3 |
| Travel expenses | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 9.1 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 5.9 | 3.6 | 0.2 |
| Professional fees | 2.4 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 58.3 | 3.8 | 0.7 | 6.0 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 51.7 | 9.1 | 0.6 |
| Stock-based compensation | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 62.5 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 36.4 | 4.5 | 0.3 |
| Depreciation | 2.4 | 0.5 | (0.1) | (4.2) | 2.3 | 0.4 | 7.1 | 0.5 | (0.1) | (1.4) | 7.0 | 0.4 |
| Rent | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 33.3 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 13.3 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
| Maintenance | 0.6 | 0.1 | - | - | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 29.4 | 2.2 | 0.1 |
| Other costs | 3.5 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 14.3 | 4.0 | 0.9 | 10.0 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 27.0 | 12.7 | 0.9 |
| Total selling, general and administrative expenses | \$ 43.3 | 9.7 % | \$ 11.4 | 26.3 % | \$ 54.7 | 10.5 % | \$ 131.1 | 9.6 % | \$ 30.3 | 23.1 % | \$ 161.4 | 10.2 % |

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$11.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase of \$11.4 million is due to higher labor costs and stock based compensation of \$7.3 million and bad debt expense of \$1.9 million primarily as a result of the Chem Rx and other pharmacy acquisitions. All other costs included in selling, general and administrative expenses increased approximately \$2.2 million as a result of the acquisitions.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$30.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase of \$30.3 million is primarily due to higher labor costs and stock based compensation of \$18.6 million and bad debt expense of \$4.7 million primarily as a result of the Chem Rx and other pharmacy acquisitions. All other costs included in selling, general and administrative expenses increased approximately \$7.0 million as a result of the acquisitions.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation expense for the periods presented is as follows (dollars in millions):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2010 | | 2011 | |
| | Amount | % of Revenues | Amount | % of Revenues | Amount | % of Revenues | Amount | % of Revenues |
| Leasehold improvements | \$ 0.5 | 0.1 % | \$ 0.4 | 0.1 % | \$ 1.3 | 0.1 % | \$ 1.4 | 0.1 % |
| Equipment and software | 4.2 | 0.9 | 4.3 | 0.8 | 12.3 | 0.9 | 13.0 | 0.8 |
| Leased equipment | 0.1 | NM | 0.1 | NM | 0.5 | NM | 0.5 | NM |
| Total depreciation expense | \$ 4.8 | 1.0 % | \$ 4.8 | 0.9 % | \$ 14.1 | 1.0 % | \$ 14.9 | 0.9 % |
| Depreciation expense recorded in cost of goods sold | \$ 2.4 | 0.5 % | \$ 2.5 | 0.5 % | \$ 7.0 | 0.5 % | \$ 7.9 | 0.5 % |
| | 2.4 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 7.1 | 0.5 | 7.0 | 0.4 |

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

Depreciation expense recorded in selling, general & administrative expenses

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|
| Total depreciation expense | \$ 4.8 | 1.0 % | \$ 4.8 | 0.9 % | \$ 14.1 | 1.0 % | \$ 14.9 | 0.9 % |
| Total capital expenditures | \$ 3.7 | 0.8 % | \$ 3.2 | 0.6 % | \$ 8.8 | 0.6 % | \$ 9.4 | 0.6 % |

Depreciation expense increased \$0.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to September 30, 2010, primarily as a result of the Chem Rx and other pharmacy acquisitions.

Table of Contents

Amortization expense related to certain identifiable intangibles for the periods presented is as follows (dollars in millions):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 | | September 30, 2011 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 | | September 30, 2011 | |
|-------------------------------------|--|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|---|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| | Amount | % of Revenues | Amount | % of Revenues | Amount | % of Revenues | Amount | % of Revenues |
| Amortization of intangibles: | | | | | | | | |
| Trade names | \$ 0.4 | 0.1 % | \$ 0.4 | 0.1 % | \$ 1.1 | 0.1 % | \$ 1.2 | 0.1 % |
| Non-compete agreements | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.1 |
| Customer relationships | 1.4 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 5.8 | 0.3 |
| Total amortization expense | \$ 2.2 | 0.5 % | \$ 3.0 | 0.6 % | \$ 6.9 | 0.5 % | \$ 8.4 | 0.5 % |

Amortization expense increased primarily as a result of the Chem Rx and other pharmacy acquisitions.

During the third quarter of 2011, the Corporation recorded a pre-tax impairment charge of \$5.1 million related to finite lived customer relationships. The impairment, which related to the Institutional Pharmacy Segment, was incurred as a result of non-renewal of certain customer contracts. The impairment was related to intangible assets acquired in an acquisition during the year ended December 31, 2005. These asset groups were assessed for recoverability and management determined the finite lived customer relationship assets to be impaired, but no other assets within the asset groups were deemed impaired. Using a discounted cash flow analysis, the Corporation determined the pre-tax impairment charge of \$5.1 million was required to write the carrying value down to fair value, resulting in a loss per diluted share impact of \$0.11. The Corporation recognized the impairment as a permanent write-down of the cost basis and accumulated amortization of the affected assets.

Integration, Merger, and Acquisition Related Costs and Other Charges (Dollars in millions)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 | | September 30, 2011 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 | | September 30, 2011 | |
|---|---|--|-----------------------|--|---|--|-----------------------|--|
| | Integration costs and other charges: | | | | | | | |
| Pre-Pharmacy Transaction litigation matters | \$ - | | \$ (2.0) | | \$ 5.0 | | \$ (2.0) | |
| Tender offer costs | - | | 1.1 | | - | | 1.1 | |
| Professional and advisory fees | 0.7 | | 0.2 | | 2.2 | | 0.6 | |
| General and administrative | 0.1 | | - | | 0.5 | | 0.1 | |
| Employee costs | 0.2 | | - | | 0.4 | | 0.2 | |
| Severance costs | 0.4 | | - | | 0.6 | | 0.2 | |
| Facility costs | - | | 0.3 | | 0.2 | | 0.2 | |
| Other | 0.1 | | 0.1 | | 0.1 | | - | |
| | | | 1.5 | | (0.3) | | 9.0 | |
| | | | | | | | 0.4 | |
| Acquisition costs: | | | | | | | | |
| Professional and advisory fees | 0.5 | | 0.9 | | 1.0 | | 4.1 | |
| General and administrative | 0.1 | | 0.1 | | 1.1 | | 0.7 | |
| Employee costs | - | | 0.5 | | 0.2 | | 2.5 | |
| Severance costs | - | | 0.2 | | - | | 1.6 | |
| Facility costs | 0.1 | | 0.3 | | 1.3 | | 1.5 | |
| Other | 0.2 | | 0.1 | | 0.2 | | 0.8 | |
| | | | 0.9 | | 2.1 | | 3.8 | |
| | | | | | | | 11.2 | |
| Total integration, merger, and acquisition related costs and other charges | \$ 2.4 | | \$ 1.8 | | \$ 12.8 | | \$ 11.6 | |
| Negative effect on diluted earnings per share | \$ (0.05) | | \$ (0.04) | | \$ (0.25) | | \$ (0.25) | |

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

The Corporation incurred integration, merger and acquisition related costs and other charges during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011 related to acquisition costs as well as costs to convert data and integrate systems. Integration costs and other charges decreased \$1.8 million and \$8.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to same periods in September 30, 2010, respectively. During the second quarter of 2010, the Corporation recorded an estimated liability of \$5.0 million related to certain claims arising from time periods prior to July 31, 2007. During the third quarter of 2011, the Corporation was informed that one claim would not be pursued. Therefore, the Corporation reversed \$2.0 million of the estimated liability recorded in 2010.

The Corporation has incurred various expenses as a result of Omnicare's unsolicited tender offer including legal, investment banking and other fees. During the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011, \$1.1 million of tender offer costs were incurred. The Corporation anticipates that additional tender offer costs will continue to be incurred through the duration of the tender offer; however, the amount of these expenses cannot be reasonably estimated.

Acquisition costs were higher in the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to three months ended September 30, 2010 due to the continuing costs associated with the Chem Rx and other pharmacy acquisitions.

Table of Contents**Interest Expense (Dollars in millions)**

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Interest Expense: | | | | |
| Term Debt | \$ 0.7 | \$ 1.8 | \$ 2.1 | \$ 4.0 |
| Revolving Credit Facility | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.6 |
| Subtotal | 0.8 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 5.6 |
| Other: | | | | |
| Interest income | - | - | (0.2) | - |
| Amortization of deferred financing fees | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| Total Interest Expense | \$ 0.9 | \$ 2.6 | \$ 2.6 | \$ 6.3 |
| Interest Rate (Excluding Applicable Margin): | | | | |
| Average interest rate on variable term debt | 0.31 % | 0.49 % | 0.28 % | 0.60 % |
| LIBOR - 1 month, at beginning of period | 0.35 % | 0.19 % | 0.23 % | 0.26 % |
| LIBOR - 1 month, at end of period | 0.26 % | 0.24 % | 0.26 % | 0.24 % |
| LIBOR - 3 months, at beginning of period | 0.53 % | 0.25 % | 0.25 % | 0.30 % |
| LIBOR - 3 months, at end of period | 0.29 % | 0.37 % | 0.29 % | 0.37 % |

Interest expense increased \$1.7 million and \$3.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, due to the increase in long-term debt and the revolving credit facility, along with higher interest rates during the period. The Corporation's interest rate on its borrowings increased from LIBOR plus 1.0% at September 30, 2010 to LIBOR plus 2.75% at September 30, 2011 due to the Corporation's refinancing activities in the second quarter of 2011, which paid off the 2007 credit agreement and replaced it with the new Citibank Credit Agreement. Long-term debt was \$240.0 million and \$261.0 million as of September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2011, respectively.

Tax Provision (Dollars in millions)

| | Three Months | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|---------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | Ended | | September 30, | |
| | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, |
| | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Tax provision | \$ 3.2 | \$ 3.3 | \$ 9.8 | \$ 9.1 |
| Total provision as a percentage of income | 40.5 % | 40.9 % | 40.4 % | 37.0 % |

The decrease in our provision for income taxes as a percentage of taxable income for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to the comparable 2010 period, was primarily the result of the release of certain income tax reserves upon completion of an IRS audit for the calendar years 2007 and 2008.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

The primary sources of liquidity for the Corporation are cash flows from operations and the availability under the Credit Agreement. Based upon our existing cash levels, expected operating cash flows, capital spending, potential future acquisitions, and the availability of funds under our revolving credit facility, we believe that we have the necessary financial resources to satisfy our expected short-term and long-term liquidity needs.

Cash Flows The following table presents selected data from our consolidated statements of cash flows for the periods presented (dollars in millions):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|----------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|---------|
| | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 23.5 | \$ 12.0 | \$ 68.8 | \$ 12.3 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (7.2) | (3.1) | (12.4) | (17.8) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (10.8) | (13.2) | (10.9) | 5.0 |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | 5.5 | (4.3) | 45.5 | (0.5) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 91.2 | 14.6 | 51.2 | 10.8 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 96.7 | \$ 10.3 | \$ 96.7 | \$ 10.3 |

Operating Activities Cash provided by operating activities aggregated \$12.0 million and \$12.3 million for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities compared to prior periods is a result of the increase in inventory purchases as a result of the Corporation implementing changes in its branded pharmaceutical purchasing practices and the timing of receipts of rebates. The change in purchasing provides the Corporation with the opportunity to maximize its gross margin on certain branded and generic pharmaceuticals and ensure the full potential of the Corporation's rebate program. Also, there was one additional Friday in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to September 30, 2010 which resulted in an additional payment to AmerisourceBergen of \$29.6 million.

Investing Activities Cash used in investing activities aggregated \$3.1 million and \$17.8 million for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. The increase in investing activities compared to prior periods is due primarily to the acquisition of a pharmacy in Greenville, South Carolina for \$8.5 million. In addition, investing activities increased due to capital expenditures as a result of the Chem Rx and other pharmacy acquisitions.

Financing Activities Cash used in financing activities aggregated \$13.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011. Cash provided by financing activities aggregated \$5.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The increase in cash used in financing activities for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to September 30, 2010 is due to payments made on the revolving credit facility. The change in cash flow from financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the prior period is primarily due to additional borrowings and financing costs associated with the Credit Agreement entered into on May 2, 2011 for \$450.0 million and revolver borrowings to fund the aforementioned inventory purchases and acquisition.

Credit Agreement

On May 2, 2011, the Corporation entered into a long-term credit agreement (the Credit Agreement) among the Corporation, the Lenders named therein, and Citibank, N.A. (Citibank), as Administrative Agent. The Credit Agreement replaced the \$425.0 million five-year credit agreement dated as of July 31, 2007, among the Corporation, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., individually and as administrative agent, and certain lenders. The Credit Agreement consists of a \$250.0 million term loan facility and a \$200.0 million revolving credit facility. The terms and conditions of the Credit Agreement are customary to facilities of this nature. Indebtedness under the Credit Agreement matures on June 30, 2016, at which time the commitments of the Lenders to make revolving loans also expire.

The Credit Agreement requires term loan principal payments by the Corporation in an amount of \$3.1 million on the last business day of each quarter beginning September 2012 through June 2015 and \$53.1 million on the last business day of each quarter beginning September 2015 through June 2016. The final principal repayment installment of term loans shall be repaid on the term maturity date, June 30, 2016. In addition, the term loan is subject to certain prepayment obligations relating to certain asset sales, certain casualty losses and the incurrence by the

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

Corporation of certain indebtedness.

Borrowings under the Credit Agreement bear interest at a floating rate equal to, at the Corporation's option, a base rate plus a margin between 1.25% and 2.00% per annum, or an adjusted LIBO Rate plus a margin between 2.25% and 3.00% per annum, in each case depending on the leverage ratio of the Corporation as defined by the Credit Agreement. The base rate is the greater of the prime lending rate in effect on such day, the federal funds effective rate published by the Federal Reserve

Table of Contents

Bank of New York on such day plus 0.5%, and the adjusted LIBO Rate for deposits for a period equal to one month plus 1.0%. Any changes in the base rate, federal funds rate or adjusted LIBO Rate shall be effective from and including the effective date of such change in the rate, as applicable. The Credit Agreement also provides for letter of credit fees between 2.25% and 3.00% and a commitment fee payable on the unused portion of the revolving credit facility, which shall accrue at a rate per annum ranging from 0.375% to 0.500%, in each case depending on the leverage ratio of the Corporation.

The Corporation's obligations under the Credit Agreement are secured by substantially all of the Corporation's assets. Those obligations are guaranteed by many of the Corporation's wholly-owned subsidiaries and the obligations of the guarantors are secured by substantially all of their assets. The foregoing includes a pledge of all of the equity interests of substantially all of the Corporation's direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries and a portion of the equity interests of any future foreign subsidiaries.

The Corporation had a total of \$250.0 million outstanding of term debt under the Credit Agreement and \$11.0 million outstanding under the revolving portion of the Credit Agreement as of September 30, 2011. The Credit Agreement provides for the issuance of letters of credit which, when issued, constitute usage and reduce availability on the revolving portion of the Credit Agreement. The amount of letters of credit outstanding as of September 30, 2011 was \$3.5 million. After giving effect to the letters of credit and amounts outstanding under the revolving credit agreement, total availability under the revolving credit facility was \$185.5 million as of September 30, 2011.

Covenants

The Credit Agreement requires the Corporation to satisfy an interest coverage ratio and a leverage ratio. The interest coverage ratio, which is tested as of the last day of any fiscal quarter on a trailing four quarter basis, can be no less than: 3.00:1.00. The leverage ratio, which also is tested quarterly, cannot exceed 4.00:1.00 from the end of the first full fiscal quarter ending after the effective date, May 2, 2011, through December 31, 2012; cannot exceed 3.75:1.00 from January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013; and cannot exceed 3.50:1.00 from January 1, 2014 and thereafter. In addition, capital expenditures (other than those funded with proceeds of asset sales or insurance proceeds) are restricted in any fiscal year to 3.0% of revenues.

In addition, the Credit Agreement contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, which among other things, limit the Corporation's ability to incur additional debt, create liens, pay dividends, effect transactions with the Corporation's affiliates, sell assets, pay subordinated debt, merge, consolidate, enter into acquisitions, and effect sale leaseback transactions.

The financial covenant requirements as defined by the Corporation's Credit Agreements are as follows:

| | Interest Coverage Ratio | Leverage Ratio | Capital Expenditures |
|--------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Requirement | > = 3.00 to 1.00 | < = 4.00 to 1.00 | < = 3.00% |
| September 30, 2011 | 11.25 | 2.59 | **% |

** *Not applicable as the capital expenditures covenant is an annual requirement under the terms of the Credit Agreement*
Deferred Financing Fees

The Corporation capitalized a total of \$9.8 million in deferred financing fees associated with the Credit Agreement and recorded them as other assets in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. As of September 30, 2011, the Corporation had \$9.4 million of unamortized deferred financing fees. The Corporation amortizes these deferred financing fees using the effective interest method.

Prime Vendor Agreement

On January 4, 2011, the Corporation entered into an Amended and Restated Prime Vendor Agreement for Long-Term Care Pharmacies by and between AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation (ABDC), a wholly owned subsidiary of AmerisourceBergen Corporation, the Corporation, Pharmacy Corporation of America and Chem Rx Pharmacy Services, LLC (the Amended Agreement). The Amended Agreement became effective on January 1, 2011 and, upon its effectiveness, superseded in its entirety the Prime Vendor Agreement for Long-Term Care Pharmacies entered into as of August 1, 2007 between the Corporation and ABDC.

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

The Amended Agreement incorporates Chem Rx and is otherwise substantially the same in scope excepting modifications to select sourcing and rebate terms. The term of the Amended Agreement was extended until September 30, 2013, with one-year automatic renewal periods unless either party provides prior notice of its intent not to renew.

Table of Contents

We also obtain pharmaceutical and other products from contracts negotiated directly with pharmaceutical manufacturers for discounted prices. While the loss of a supplier could adversely affect our business if alternate sources of supply are unavailable, numerous sources of supply are generally available to us and we have not experienced any difficulty in obtaining pharmaceuticals or other products and supplies to conduct our business.

We seek to maintain an on-site inventory of pharmaceuticals and supplies to ensure prompt delivery to our customers. ABDC maintains local distribution facilities in most major geographic markets in which we operate.

Information Technology Services Agreement

On July 31, 2007, the Corporation entered into an Information Technology Services Agreement with KHOI, a wholly owned subsidiary of Kindred. Pursuant to this IT Services Agreement, KHOI is the Corporation's exclusive provider of certain information services and support related to information technology infrastructure and financial systems for a period of five years. The services provided by KHOI includes business services necessary to operate, manage and support certain financial applications the Corporation uses, including enabling and/or supporting technology infrastructure and technology procurement services to support certain business functions. Such services include, among other matters, functions for financial management and systems and payroll. The Corporation internally supports all other operating systems, including functions for order entry, pharmacy dispensing, clinical consulting, billing and collections, electronic medication management, sales and marketing, medical records management, human resources, internal and external customer call center support and general business systems.

Except for certain services that will be provided at cost, KHOI will provide such services to the Corporation at its cost plus 10%, which will be the actual costs and expenses incurred in providing these services, including certain overhead costs and per hour costs of the KHOI employees providing the services. The initial term of the IT Services Agreement is five years. The IT Services Agreement will automatically renew for successive one-year periods after the expiration of the initial five year term, absent 120 days prior written notice of termination as provided for in the agreement. The IT Services Agreement may be terminated by either party for cause and, in certain circumstances, by the Corporation in the event that KHOI undergoes a change of control to one of the Corporation's competitors. Following termination of the IT Services Agreement, KHOI must provide termination and expiration assistance for up to 180 days. The Corporation incurred \$2.7 million and \$2.6 million in fees for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, respectively, under the IT Services Agreement. The Corporation has incurred \$8.4 million and \$8.1 million in fees for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, respectively, under the IT Services Agreement.

Treasury Stock

In August 2010, the Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase of up to \$25.0 million of the Corporation's common stock. Share repurchases under this authorization may be made in the open market through unsolicited or solicited privately negotiated transactions, or in such other appropriate manner, and will be funded from available cash. The amount and timing of the repurchases will be determined by the Corporation's management and will depend on a variety of factors including price, corporate and regulatory requirements, capital availability and other market conditions. Common stock acquired through the share repurchase program will be held as treasury shares and may be used for general corporate purposes, including reissuances in connection with acquisitions, employee stock option exercises or other employee stock plans. The share repurchase program does not have an expiration date and may be limited, terminated or extended at any time without prior notice.

The Corporation did not repurchase shares under this program during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. Additionally, the Corporation has approximately \$14.5 million of shares that may yet be purchased under the share repurchase program. The Corporation may redeem shares from employees upon the vesting of the Corporation's stock awards for minimum statutory tax withholding purposes. The Corporation redeemed 8,122 shares of certain vested awards for an aggregate price of approximately \$0.1 million, during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. These shares have also been designated by the Corporation as treasury stock.

As of September 30, 2011, the Corporation had a total of 1,344,939 shares held as treasury stock.

Table of Contents**Supplemental Quarterly Information**

The following tables represent the results of the Corporation's quarterly operations for the year ended December 31, 2010 and for the first, second, and third quarters of 2011 (in millions, except where indicated):

| | 2010 Quarters | | | | 2011 Quarters | | | |
|---|---------------|----------|----------|----------|---------------|----------|----------|--|
| | First | Second | Third | Fourth | First | Second | Third | |
| Net revenues: | | | | | | | | |
| Institutional pharmacy revenues | \$ 448.3 | \$ 435.9 | \$ 427.7 | \$ 477.5 | \$ 519.6 | \$ 516.0 | \$ 503.0 | |
| Hospital management revenues | 13.9 | 14.6 | 15.4 | 14.0 | 15.5 | 15.7 | 15.7 | |
| Total revenues | 462.2 | 450.5 | 443.1 | 491.5 | 535.1 | 531.7 | 518.7 | |
| Cost of goods sold: | | | | | | | | |
| Institutional pharmacy | 386.8 | 380.1 | 372.8 | 416.9 | 455.9 | 441.6 | 429.6 | |
| Hospital management | 12.1 | 12.8 | 13.5 | 12.0 | 13.5 | 13.7 | 13.8 | |
| Total cost of goods sold | 398.9 | 392.9 | 386.3 | 428.9 | 469.4 | 455.3 | 443.4 | |
| Gross profit: | | | | | | | | |
| Institutional pharmacy | 61.5 | 55.8 | 54.9 | 60.6 | 63.7 | 74.4 | 73.4 | |
| Hospital management | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | |
| Total gross profit | 63.3 | 57.6 | 56.8 | 62.6 | 65.7 | 76.4 | 75.3 | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 44.8 | 43.0 | 43.3 | 49.5 | 51.6 | 55.1 | 54.7 | |
| Amortization expense | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 3.0 | |
| Impairment of intangible assets | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5.1 | |
| Integration, merger and acquisition related costs and other charges | 1.2 | 9.2 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 1.8 | |
| Operating income | 15.0 | 3.0 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 6.7 | 13.5 | 10.7 | |
| Interest expense, net | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 2.6 | |
| Income before income taxes | 14.1 | 2.2 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 5.6 | 10.9 | 8.1 | |
| Provision for income taxes | 5.7 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 3.3 | |
| Net income | \$ 8.4 | \$ 1.3 | \$ 4.8 | \$ 4.7 | \$ 3.3 | \$ 7.4 | \$ 4.8 | |
| Earnings per common share (1): | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.16 | |
| Diluted | \$ 0.27 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.16 | |
| Adjusted earnings per diluted share (1)(2): | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.22 | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.32 | \$ 0.31 | |
| Shares used in computing earnings per common share: | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | 30.4 | 30.4 | 30.0 | 29.2 | 29.3 | 29.3 | 29.4 | |
| Diluted | 30.6 | 30.6 | 30.1 | 29.3 | 29.4 | 29.4 | 29.5 | |
| Balance sheet data: | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 73.5 | \$ 91.2 | \$ 96.7 | \$ 10.8 | \$ 12.3 | \$ 14.6 | \$ 10.3 | |
| Working capital (3) | \$ 331.3 | \$ 344.6 | \$ 341.9 | \$ 281.2 | \$ 295.5 | \$ 334.6 | \$ 336.7 | |
| Goodwill (3) | \$ 140.6 | \$ 140.4 | \$ 140.4 | \$ 179.1 | \$ 193.9 | \$ 186.8 | \$ 183.4 | |
| Intangible assets, net | \$ 88.8 | \$ 86.6 | \$ 84.8 | \$ 102.2 | \$ 100.3 | \$ 100.8 | \$ 93.1 | |
| Total assets (3) | \$ 720.3 | \$ 731.2 | \$ 721.5 | \$ 759.7 | \$ 784.7 | \$ 823.4 | \$ 787.6 | |
| Long-term debt | \$ 240.0 | \$ 240.0 | \$ 240.0 | \$ 245.6 | \$ 244.3 | \$ 274.0 | \$ 257.9 | |
| Total stockholders' equity | \$ 380.2 | \$ 383.4 | \$ 378.2 | \$ 384.4 | \$ 389.2 | \$ 398.3 | \$ 404.5 | |
| Supplemental information: | | | | | | | | |
| Adjusted EBITDA(2) | \$ 23.1 | \$ 19.3 | \$ 18.3 | \$ 17.8 | \$ 19.2 | \$ 26.3 | \$ 25.4 | |

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|-----------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Adjusted EBITDA Margin (2) | 5.0% | 4.3 % | 4.1% | 3.6% | 3.6 % | 4.9% | 4.9% |
| Adjusted EBITDA per prescription dispensed | \$ 2.39 | \$ 2.07 | \$ 2.04 | \$ 1.80 | \$ 1.78 | \$ 2.48 | \$ 2.45 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ 24.7 | \$ 20.6 | \$ 23.5 | \$ 29.4 | \$ 5.4 | \$ (5.1) | \$ 12.0 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | \$ (2.3) | \$ (2.9) | \$ (7.2) | \$ (120.8) | \$ (2.4) | \$ (12.3) | \$ (3.1) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | \$ (0.1) | \$ - | \$ (10.8) | \$ 5.5 | \$ (1.5) | \$ 19.7 | \$ (13.2) |

Statistical information (in whole numbers except where indicated)

Institutional Pharmacy

Volume information

| | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Prescriptions dispensed (in thousands) | 9,664 | 9,316 | 8,949 | 9,897 | 10,769 | 10,607 | 10,357 |
| Revenue per prescription dispensed | \$ 46.39 | \$ 46.79 | \$ 47.79 | \$ 48.25 | \$ 48.25 | \$ 48.65 | \$ 48.57 |
| Gross profit per prescription dispensed | \$ 6.36 | \$ 5.99 | \$ 6.13 | \$ 6.12 | \$ 5.92 | \$ 7.01 | \$ 7.09 |
| Gross profit percentage | 13.7% | 12.8% | 12.8% | 12.7% | 12.3% | 14.4% | 14.6% |
| Generic drug dispensing rate | 74.5% | 75.7% | 75.9% | 75.8% | 77.1% | 77.9% | 78.3% |

Customer licensed beds under contract

| | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|----------|
| Beginning of period | 313,867 | 307,508 | 298,584 | 289,748 | 362,901 | 357,669 | 353,024 |
| Additions - PharMerica Corporation | 4,111 | 2,586 | 4,867 | 22,612 | 4,481 | 6,160 | 3,229 |
| Additions - Chem Rx | - | - | - | 61,773 | 998 | 392 | 905 |
| Losses - PharMerica Corporation | (10,470) | (11,510) | (13,703) | (11,232) | (7,173) | (9,553) | (13,561) |
| Losses - Chem Rx | - | - | - | - | (3,538) | (1,644) | (1,498) |

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| End of period | 307,508 | 298,584 | 289,748 | 362,901 | 357,669 | 353,024 | 342,099 |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|

| | | | | | | | |
|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Hospital management contracts serviced | 86 | 89 | 89 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|

(1) The Corporation has never declared a cash dividend. Earnings per common share in actual cents.

(2) See Use of Non-GAAP Measures For Measuring Quarterly Results for a definition and reconciliation.

(3) As adjusted, see Note 2 - Acquisitions in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Use of Non-GAAP Measures for Measuring Quarterly Results**

The Corporation calculates Adjusted EBITDA as provided in the reconciliation below and calculates Adjusted EBITDA Margin by taking Adjusted EBITDA and dividing it by revenues. The Corporation calculates and uses Adjusted EBITDA as an indicator of its ability to generate cash from reported operating results. The measurement is used in concert with net income and cash flows from operating activities, which measure actual cash generated in the period. In addition, the Corporation believes that Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA Margin are supplemental measurement tools used by analysts and investors to help evaluate overall operating performance and the ability to incur and service debt and make capital expenditures. Adjusted EBITDA does not represent funds available for the Corporation's discretionary use and is not intended to represent or to be used as a substitute for net income or cash flows from operating activities data as measured under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The items excluded from Adjusted EBITDA but included in the calculation of the Corporation's reported net income and cash flows from operating activities are significant components of the accompanying condensed consolidated income statements and cash flows, and must be considered in performing a comprehensive assessment of overall financial performance. The Corporation's calculation of Adjusted EBITDA may not be consistent with calculations of EBITDA used by other companies. The following are reconciliations of Adjusted EBITDA to the Corporation's net income and net operating cash flows for the periods presented.

Unaudited Reconciliation of Net Income to Adjusted EBITDA

| | 2010 Quarters | | | | 2011 Quarters | | |
|---|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------------|---------|---------|
| | First | Second | Third | Fourth | First | Second | Third |
| Net income | \$ 8.4 | \$ 1.3 | \$ 4.8 | \$ 4.7 | \$ 3.3 | \$ 7.4 | \$ 4.8 |
| Add: | | | | | | | |
| Interest expense, net | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Integration, merger and acquisition related costs and other charges | 1.2 | 9.2 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 1.8 |
| Provision for income taxes | 5.7 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| Impairment of intangible assets | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5.1 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 6.9 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 7.8 |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$ 23.1 | \$ 19.3 | \$ 18.3 | \$ 17.8 | \$ 19.2 | \$ 26.3 | \$ 25.4 |
| Adjusted EBITDA Margin | 5.0 % | 4.3 % | 4.1 % | 3.6 % | 3.6 % | 4.9 % | 4.9 % |

Unaudited Reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to Net Operating Cash Flows

| | 2010 Quarters | | | | 2011 Quarters | | |
|---|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------------|----------|---------|
| | First | Second | Third | Fourth | First | Second | Third |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$ 23.1 | \$ 19.3 | \$ 18.3 | \$ 17.8 | \$ 19.2 | \$ 26.3 | \$ 25.4 |
| Interest expense, net | (0.9) | (0.8) | (0.9) | (1.0) | (1.1) | (2.6) | (2.6) |
| Provision for income taxes | (5.7) | (0.9) | (3.2) | (3.2) | (2.3) | (3.5) | (3.3) |
| Integration, merger and acquisition related costs and other charges | (1.1) | (8.8) | (2.3) | (1.8) | (4.4) | (4.7) | (1.3) |
| Provision for bad debt | 3.8 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 6.4 |
| Stock-based compensation | 0.8 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| Amortization of deferred financing fees | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Deferred income taxes | 4.8 | 0.9 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 4.6 | 2.9 |
| Loss on disposition of equipment | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Other | 0.1 | (0.1) | - | - | - | - | (0.2) |
| Changes in assets and liabilities | (0.4) | 4.5 | 2.7 | 7.0 | (15.4) | (33.2) | (16.9) |
| Net Cash Flows provided by (used in) Operating Activities | \$ 24.7 | \$ 20.6 | \$ 23.5 | \$ 29.4 | \$ 5.4 | \$ (5.1) | \$ 12.0 |

Table of Contents

The Corporation calculates and uses earnings per diluted share, exclusive of the impact of integration, merger and acquisition related costs and other charges, impairment of intangible assets, and tax accounting matters as an indicator of its core operating results. The measurement is used in concert with net income and earnings per diluted share, which measure actual earnings per share generated in the period. The Corporation believes the exclusion of these charges in expressing earnings per share provides management with a useful measure to assess period to period comparability and is useful to investors in evaluating the Corporation's operating results from period to period. Earnings per diluted share, exclusive of the impact of integration, merger and acquisition related costs and other charges, impairment of intangible assets, and tax accounting matters does not represent the amount that effectively accrues directly to stockholders (i.e., such costs are a reduction in earnings and stockholders' equity) and is not intended to represent or to be used as a substitute for earnings per diluted share as measured under GAAP. The impact of integration, merger and acquisition related costs and other charges, impairment of intangible assets, and tax accounting matters excluded from the earnings per diluted share are significant components of the accompanying condensed consolidated income statements, and must be considered in performing a comprehensive assessment of overall financial performance. The following is a reconciliation of adjusted diluted earnings per share to the Corporation's GAAP earnings per diluted share for the periods presented.

Unaudited Reconciliation of Earnings Per Diluted Share to Adjusted Earnings Per Diluted Share

| | 2010 Quarters | | | | 2011 Quarters | | |
|---|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------------|---------|---------|
| | First | Second | Third | Fourth | First | Second | Third |
| Earnings per diluted share | \$ 0.27 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.16 |
| Add: | | | | | | | |
| Diluted earnings per share impact of: | | | | | | | |
| Impairment of intangible assets | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.11 |
| Integration, merger and acquisition related costs and other charges | 0.02 | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.04 |
| Tax accounting matters | - | - | - | - | 0.01 | (0.05) | - |
| Adjusted diluted earnings per share impact of above items | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.22 | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.32 | \$ 0.31 |

Table of Contents**Following Represent the Third Quarter 2011 Results compared to the Second Quarter 2011****Results of Operations**

The following table presents selected consolidated comparative results of operations and statistical information for the periods presented (dollars in millions):

| | June 30, 2011 | | Quarter Ended Increase (Decrease) | | September, 30 2011 | |
|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| | Amount | % of Revenues | Amount | % of Revenues | Amount | % of Revenues |
| | | | | | | |
| Net revenues: | | | | | | |
| Institutional Pharmacy | \$ 516.0 | 97.0 % | \$ (13.0) | (2.5) % | \$ 503.0 | 97.0 % |
| Hospital Management | 15.7 | 3.0 | - | - | 15.7 | 3.0 |
| Total net revenues | 531.7 | 100.0 | (13.0) | (2.4) | 518.7 | 100.0 |
| Cost of goods sold: | | | | | | |
| Institutional Pharmacy | 441.6 | 83.0 | (12.0) | (2.7) | 429.6 | 82.8 |
| Hospital Management | 13.7 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 13.8 | 2.7 |
| Total cost of goods sold | 455.3 | 85.6 | (11.9) | (2.6) | 443.4 | 85.5 |
| Gross profit: | | | | | | |
| Institutional Pharmacy | 74.4 | 14.0 | (1.0) | (1.3) | 73.4 | 14.2 |
| Hospital Management | 2.0 | 0.4 | (0.1) | (5.0) | 1.9 | 0.3 |
| Total gross profit | \$ 76.4 | 14.4 % | \$ (1.1) | (1.4) % | \$ 75.3 | 14.5 % |

Institutional Pharmacy (in whole numbers except where indicated)**Volume information**

| | | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|---------|----------|
| Prescriptions dispensed (in thousands) | 10,607 | (250) | (2.4) % | 10,357 |
| Revenue per prescription dispensed | \$ 48.65 | \$ (0.08) | (0.2) % | \$ 48.57 |
| Gross profit per prescription dispensed | \$ 7.01 | \$ 0.08 | 1.1 % | \$ 7.09 |
| Institutional pharmacy gross margin | 14.4% | 0.2 | 1.4 % | 14.6% |
| Generic dispensing rate | 77.9% | 0.4 | 0.5 % | 78.3% |

Customer licensed beds under contract

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|
| Beginning of period | 357,669 | (4,645) | (1.3) % | 353,024 |
| Additions - PharMerica Corporation | 6,160 | (2,931) | (47.6) | 3,229 |
| Additions - Chem Rx | 392 | 513 | 130.9 | 905 |
| Losses - PharMerica Corporation | (9,553) | (4,008) | 42.0 | (13,561) |
| Losses - Chem Rx | (1,644) | 146 | (8.9) | (1,498) |
| End of period | 353,024 | (10,925) | (3.1) % | 342,099 |

Hospital Management (in whole numbers except where indicated)**Volume information**

| | | | | |
|--|----|---|-----|----|
| Hospital management contracts serviced | 90 | - | - % | 90 |
|--|----|---|-----|----|

Revenues

Institutional pharmacy revenues decreased \$13.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011 due primarily to a reduction in the number of prescriptions dispensed in the period. The decrease of \$13.0 million resulted from an unfavorable volume variance of approximately \$12.1 million or 250,000 fewer prescriptions dispensed, and an unfavorable rate variance of approximately \$0.9 million or \$0.08 decrease per prescription dispensed. The rate variance was comprised of approximately \$4.2 million increase due to inflation on drugs dispensed between periods offset by \$5.1 million due to the increase in the generic dispensing rate from 77.9% to 78.3% and pricing concessions. The unfavorable volume variance of approximately \$12.1 million was due to a decrease in customer licensed

beds under contract in the period.

Hospital management revenues remained unchanged for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011.

Table of Contents**Cost of Goods Sold**

Institutional pharmacy cost of goods sold decreased \$12.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011 due primarily to a reduction in the number of prescriptions dispensed in the period. Overall total drug costs decreased as a percent of revenues 15 bps due primarily to higher margins on certain brand-name drugs which recently went generic. Other costs included in cost of goods sold decreased as a percentage of revenues 5 bps, of which the decrease primarily related to salaries and wages, along with delivery costs.

Gross Profit and Operating Expenses

Gross profit and other operating expenses were the following for the periods presented (dollars in millions):

| | June 30, 2011 | | Quarter Ended Increase (Decrease) | | September 30, 2011 | |
|---|------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| | Amount | % of Revenue | | | Amount | % of Revenue |
| Gross profit and operating expenses: | | | | | | |
| Total gross profit | \$ 76.4 | 14.4 % | \$ (1.1) | (1.4) % | \$ 75.3 | 14.5 % |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 55.1 | 10.4 | (0.4) | (0.7) | 54.7 | 10.5 |
| Amortization expense | 2.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 11.1 | 3.0 | 0.6 |
| Impairment of intangible assets | - | - | 5.1 | 100.0 | 5.1 | 1.0 |
| Integration, merger related costs and other charges | 5.1 | 1.0 | (3.3) | (64.7) | 1.8 | 0.3 |
| Interest expense, net | 2.6 | 0.4 | - | - | 2.6 | 0.5 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 10.9 | 2.1 | (2.8) | (25.7) | 8.1 | 1.6 |
| Provision for income taxes | 3.5 | 0.7 | (0.2) | (5.7) | 3.3 | 0.6 |
| Net income | \$ 7.4 | 1.4 % | \$ (2.6) | (35.1) % | \$ 4.8 | 1.0 % |

Institutional pharmacy gross profit for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was \$73.4 million or \$7.09 per prescription dispensed compared to \$74.4 million or \$7.01 per prescription dispensed for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease in overall gross profit was due primarily to a reduction in the number of prescriptions dispensed in the period and the implementation of changes in branded pharmaceutical purchasing practices. Institutional pharmacy gross profit margin for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was 14.6% compared to 14.4% for the three months ended June 30, 2011.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses (Dollars in millions)

| | June 30, 2011 | | Quarter Ended Increase (Decrease) | | September 30, 2011 | |
|--|------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| | Amount | % of Revenue | | | Amount | % of Revenue |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses: | | | | | | |
| Total wages, benefits and contract labor | \$ 28.9 | 5.4 % | \$ (0.2) | (0.7) % | \$ 28.7 | 5.5 % |
| Contracted services | 3.9 | 0.7 | (0.1) | (2.6) | 3.8 | 0.7 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 5.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 10.3 | 6.4 | 1.2 |
| Supplies | 1.8 | 0.4 | (0.4) | (22.2) | 1.4 | 0.3 |
| Travel expenses | 1.3 | 0.2 | (0.1) | (7.7) | 1.2 | 0.2 |
| Professional fees | 2.8 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 35.7 | 3.8 | 0.7 |
| Stock-based compensation | 1.8 | 0.3 | (0.5) | (27.8) | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| Depreciation | 2.3 | 0.4 | - | - | 2.3 | 0.4 |
| Rent | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 20.0 | 1.2 | 0.2 |
| Maintenance | 0.8 | 0.3 | (0.2) | (25.0) | 0.6 | 0.1 |

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| Other costs | 4.7 | 0.9 | (0.7) | (14.9) | 4.0 | 0.9 |
| Total selling general and administrative expenses | \$ 55.1 | 10.4 % | \$ (0.4) | (0.7) % | \$ 54.7 | 10.5 % |

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$0.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease of \$0.4 million is primarily due to lower labor costs of \$0.2 million and stock based compensation of \$0.5 million, offset by an increase in bad debt expense of \$0.6 million primarily as a result of the Chem Rx and other pharmacy acquisitions. All other costs included in selling, general and administrative expenses decreased approximately \$0.3 million.

Table of Contents**Depreciation and Amortization (Dollars in millions)**

Depreciation expense for the periods presented is as follows:

| | Quarter Ended | | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, 2011 | | September 30, 2011 | |
| | Amount | % of Revenues | Amount | % of Revenues |
| Leasehold improvements | \$ 0.5 | 0.1 % | \$ 0.4 | 0.1 % |
| Equipment and software | 4.3 | 0.8 | 4.3 | 0.8 |
| Leased equipment | 0.2 | NM | 0.1 | NM |
| Total depreciation expense | \$ 5.0 | 0.9 % | \$ 4.8 | 0.9 % |
| Depreciation expense recorded in cost of goods sold | \$ 2.7 | 0.5 % | \$ 2.5 | 0.5 % |
| Depreciation expense recorded in selling, general & administrative expenses | 2.3 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 0.4 |
| Total depreciation expense | \$ 5.0 | 0.9 % | \$ 4.8 | 0.9 % |
| Total capital expenditures | \$ 3.8 | 0.7 % | \$ 3.2 | 0.6 % |

Amortization expense related to certain identifiable intangibles for the periods presented is as follows:

| | Quarter Ended | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, 2011 | | September 30, 2011 | |
| | Amount | % of Revenues | Amount | % of Revenues |
| Amortization of intangibles: | | | | |
| Trade names | \$ 0.4 | 0.1 % | \$ 0.4 | 0.1 % |
| Non-compete agreements | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| Customer relationships | 1.9 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 0.4 |
| Total amortization expense | \$ 2.7 | 0.5 % | \$ 3.0 | 0.6 % |

Amortization expense increased \$0.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011 primarily as the result of non-compete and customer relationship amortization associated with pharmacy acquisitions.

During the third quarter of 2011, the Corporation recorded a pre-tax impairment charge of \$5.1 million related to finite-lived customer relationships. The impairment, which related to the Institutional Pharmacy Segment, was incurred as the result of non-renewal of certain customer contracts. The impairment was related to intangible assets acquired in an acquisition during the year ended December 31, 2005. These asset groups were assessed for recoverability and management determined the finite-lived customer relationship assets to be impaired. No other assets within the asset groups were deemed impaired. Using a discounted cash flow analysis, the Corporation determined the pre-tax impairment charge of \$5.1 million was required to write the carrying value down to fair value, resulting in a loss per diluted share impact of \$0.11. The Corporation recognized the impairment as a permanent write-down of the cost basis and accumulated amortization of the affected assets.

Table of Contents**Integration, Merger and Acquisition Related Costs and Other Charges (Dollars in millions)**

| | Quarter Ended | |
|--|------------------|-----------------------|
| | June 30, 2011 | September 30, 2011 |
| Integration costs and other charges: | | |
| Pre-Pharmacy Transaction litigation matters | \$ - | \$ (2.0) |
| Tender offer costs | - | 1.1 |
| Professional and advisory fees | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| General and administrative | - | - |
| Employee costs | 0.2 | - |
| Severance costs | 0.2 | - |
| Facility costs | - | 0.3 |
| Other costs | (0.1) | 0.1 |
| | 0.6 | (0.3) |
| Acquisition related costs: | | |
| Professional and advisory fees | 1.9 | 0.9 |
| General and administrative | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Employee costs | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| Severance costs | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| Facility costs | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Other costs | - | 0.1 |
| | 4.5 | 2.1 |
| Total integration, merger and acquisition related costs and other charges | \$ 5.1 | \$ 1.8 |
| Negative effect on earnings per diluted share | \$ (0.12) | \$ (0.04) |

The Corporation incurred integration, merger and acquisition related costs and other charges during the three months ended September 30, 2011, related to costs to convert data, integrate systems and its acquisitions. Integration costs and other charges decreased \$2.0 million from the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011. During the second quarter of 2010, the Corporation recorded an estimated liability of \$5.0 million related to certain claims arising from time periods prior to the July 31, 2007. During the third quarter of 2011, the Corporation was informed that one claim would not be pursued. Therefore, the Corporation reversed \$2.0 million of the estimated liability recorded in 2010.

The Corporation has incurred various expenses as a result of Omnicare's unsolicited tender offer including legal, investment banking and other fees. During the three months and nine month ended September 30, 2011, \$1.1 million of tender offer costs were incurred. The Corporation anticipates that additional tender offer costs will continue to be incurred through the duration of the tender offer; however, the amount of these expenses cannot be reasonably estimated.

Acquisition related costs decreased \$2.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011 due primarily to the decreased payout in severance costs and the completion of the integration associated with Chem Rx and other pharmacy acquisitions.

Table of Contents**Interest Expense (Dollars in millions)**

| | June 30, 2011 | Quarter Ended September 30, 2011 |
|---|--------------------------|---|
| Interest expense, net: | | |
| Term Debt | \$ 1.4 | \$ 1.8 |
| Revolving credit facility | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| Subtotal (including commitment fees and letters of credit fees) | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| Other: | | |
| Interest expense (income) | - | - |
| Amortization of deferred financing fees | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Total interest expense, net | \$ 2.6 | \$ 2.6 |
| Interest rate (excluding applicable margin): | | |
| Average interest rate on variable term debt | 0.82 % | 0.49 % |
| LIBOR - 1month, at beginning of period | 0.24 % | 0.19 % |
| LIBOR - 1month, at end of period | 0.19 % | 0.24 % |
| LIBOR - 3 months, at beginning of period | 0.30 % | 0.25 % |
| LIBOR - 3 months, at end of period | 0.25 % | 0.37 % |

Interest expense remained unchanged for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011.

Tax Provision (Dollars in millions)

| | June 30, 2011 | Quarter Ended September 30, 2011 |
|---|--------------------------|---|
| Tax provision | \$ 3.5 | \$ 3.3 |
| Total provision as a percentage of pre-tax income | 31.5 % | 40.9 % |

The provision for income taxes decreased for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase in our provision for income taxes as a percentage of taxable income for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011, was primarily the result of the release of certain income tax reserves in the second quarter 2011, which lowered the second quarter effective rate, upon completion of an IRS audit for the calendar years 2007 and 2008.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

The following compares the Corporation's Statement of Cash Flows for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2011 (dollars in millions):

| | Quarter Ended | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | June 30, 2011 | September 30, 2011 |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 7.4 | \$ 4.8 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation | 5.0 | 4.8 |
| Amortization | 2.7 | 3.0 |
| Impairment charge | - | 5.1 |
| Integration, merger and acquisition related costs and other charges | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Stock-based compensation | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| Amortization of deferred financing fees | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Deferred income taxes | 4.6 | 2.9 |
| Loss on disposition of equipment | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Other | - | (0.2) |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable, net | 1.1 | 6.1 |
| Inventory | (24.9) | 10.8 |
| Prepays and other assets | (2.6) | 1.9 |
| Accounts payable | (3.4) | (28.3) |
| Salaries, wages and other compensation | 3.2 | (0.9) |
| Other accrued and long-term liabilities | (0.8) | (0.1) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (5.1) | 12.0 |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities: | | |
| Purchase of equipment and leasehold improvements | (3.8) | (3.2) |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired | (8.5) | - |
| Proceeds from sale of assets | - | 0.1 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (12.3) | (3.1) |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities: | | |
| Repayment of long-term debt | (240.0) | - |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | 250.0 | - |
| Repayment of long-term revolving credit facility | (346.6) | (312.1) |
| Proceeds from long-term revolving credit facility | 366.3 | 299.1 |
| Payments of debt issuance costs | (9.8) | - |
| Repayments of capital lease obligations | (0.2) | (0.3) |
| Issuance of common stock | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Treasury stock at cost | (0.1) | - |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 19.7 | (13.2) |
| Change in cash and cash equivalents | 2.3 | (4.3) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 12.3 | 14.6 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 14.6 | \$ 10.3 |

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|------------------------------|----|-----|----------|
| Supplemental information: | | | |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ | 1.5 | \$ 2.6 |
| Cash paid (refund) for taxes | \$ | 0.3 | \$ (0.2) |

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

During the reporting period, there have been no material changes in the disclosures set forth in Part II, Item 7a in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Corporation has carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Corporation's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report. The Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures are designed so that information required to be disclosed in the Corporation's reports filed under the Exchange Act, such as this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms. The Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures are also intended to ensure that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Corporation's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of the controls and procedures. Accordingly, even effective disclosure controls and procedures can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives, and management necessarily is required to use its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of September 30, 2011, the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures are effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2011, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

On September 7, 2011, Omnicare, Inc. (Omnicare) filed a lawsuit in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware against the Corporation and the members of the Corporation's Board of Directors, styled Omnicare, Inc. v. PharMerica Corporation, et al., Civil Action No. 6841-CS. In the action, Omnicare alleges that the members of the Board of Directors breached their fiduciary duties to the Corporation and its stockholders by, among other things, refusing to negotiate with Omnicare, failing to inform themselves of the merits of the tender offer, and failing to consider and negotiate the tender offer. Omnicare seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, including an order requiring the Board of Directors to render the Rights Agreement, dated August 25, 2011 (the Rights Agreement), between the Corporation and Mellon Investor Services LLC, as Rights Agent, and Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law (DGCL) inapplicable to Omnicare's tender offer and proposed merger. The Corporation and its directors believe that the claims made by Omnicare are without merit and intend to defend them vigorously.

On September 9, 2011, the Louisiana Municipal Police Employees Retirement System (LMPERS) filed a lawsuit in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware, purportedly on behalf of a class of the Corporation's stockholders, against the Corporation and the members of the Corporation's Board of Directors, styled Louisiana Municipal Police Employees Retirement System v. Frank Collins, et al., Civil Action No. 6851-CS. In the action, LMPERS alleges that the members of the Board of Directors breached their fiduciary duties to the Corporation and its stockholders by, among other things, adopting the Rights Agreement and failing to respond appropriately to the tender offer. LMPERS seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, including an order certifying the case as a class action and an order enjoining application of the Rights Agreement and Section 203 of the DGCL to the tender offer and proposed merger. The Corporation and its directors believe that the claims made by LMPERS are without merit and intend to defend them vigorously.

On September 22, 2011, Hugh F. Drummond as Trustee of the FBO Hugh F. Drummond Trust (Drummond) filed a lawsuit in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware, purportedly on behalf of a class of the Corporation's stockholders, against the Corporation and the members of the Corporation's Board of Directors, styled Hugh F. Drummond as Trustee of the FBO Hugh F. Drummond Trust v. PharMerica Corp., et al., Civil Action No. 6882. In the action, Drummond alleges that the members of the Board of Directors breached their fiduciary duties to the Corporation and its stockholders by, among other things, adopting the Rights Agreement and failing to respond appropriately to the tender offer. Drummond seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, including an order certifying the case as a class action and an order enjoining the directors and the Corporation from excluding strategic bidders, including Omnicare, imposing unreasonable preconditions on such strategic bidders, refusing to provide due diligence to strategic bidders, and conducting a limited sale process not designed to produce the best transaction for PharMerica's stockholders. The Corporation and its directors believe that the claims made by Drummond are without merit and intend to defend them vigorously.

On September 29, 2011, the Plumbers & Pipefitters Local Union No. 630 Pension-Annuity Trust Fund (PPLU) filed a lawsuit in the Circuit Court of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, purportedly on behalf of a class of the Corporation's stockholders, against the Corporation and the members of the Corporation's Board of Directors, styled Plumbers & Pipefitters Local Union No. 630 Pension-Annuity Trust Fund vs. PharMerica Corporation, et al. In the action, PPLU alleges that the members of the Board of Directors breached their fiduciary duties to the Corporation and its stockholders by, among other things, adopting the Rights Agreement, failing to respond appropriately to the tender offer and failing to disclose all material information in the Schedule 14D-9. PPLU seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, including certifying the case as a class action, enjoining the directors and the Corporation from maintaining the Rights Agreement, requiring the Board of Directors to disclose all material information and declaring that the Board of Directors violated its fiduciary duties. On October 13, 2011, PPLU filed a Notice of Voluntary Dismissal to voluntarily dismiss this lawsuit.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, and the regulations thereunder (the HSR Act), Omnicare filed a Notification and Report Form with the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice (the Antitrust Division) and the Federal Trade Commission (the FTC) relating to its proposed acquisition of the Corporation on September 7, 2011. On or about September 19, 2011, the Corporation submitted a responsive Notification and Report Form with the Antitrust Division and the FTC. On September 22, 2011, the Corporation received a request for additional information from the FTC relating to the tender offer (the Second Request). The Corporation intends to promptly respond to the Second Request. The Second Request extends the waiting period under the HSR Act for 10 calendar days after the date Omnicare certifies substantial compliance with the Second Request.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, includes a detailed discussion of our risk factors. The information presented below updates and should be read in conjunction with the risk factors and information disclosed in that Form 10-K. We encourage you to read these risk factors in their entirety.

Risk Factors Relating to Ownership of Our Common Stock and Our Senior Secured Credit Facility

Certain provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws and provisions of Delaware law could delay or prevent a change of control that stockholders favor.

Provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws may discourage, delay or prevent a merger or other change of control that stockholders may consider favorable or may impede the ability of the holders of our common stock to change our management. The provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, among other things:

prohibit stockholder action except at an annual or special meeting. Specifically, this means our stockholders are unable to act by written consent;

regulate how stockholders may present proposals or nominate directors for election at annual meetings of stockholders. Advance notice of such proposals or nominations is required;

regulate how special meetings of stockholders may be called. Our stockholders do not have the right to call special meetings;

authorize our board of directors to issue preferred stock in one or more series, without stockholder approval. Under this authority, our Board of Directors adopted the Rights Agreement which could ensure continuity of management by rendering it more difficult for a potential acquirer to obtain control of us; and

require an affirmative vote of the holders of three-quarters or more of the combined voting power of our common stock entitled to vote in the election of our directors in order for the stockholders to amend our bylaws.

In addition, because we have not chosen to be exempt from Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law ("DGCL"), this provision could also delay or prevent a change of control that some shareholders may view as favorable. Section 203 provides that unless board and/or shareholder approval is obtained pursuant to the requirements of the statute, persons that acquire, or are affiliated with a person that acquires, more than 15% of the outstanding voting stock of a Delaware corporation shall not engage in any business combination with that corporation, including by merger, consolidation or acquisitions of additional shares, for a three-year period following the date on which that person or its affiliate becomes the holder of more than 15% of the corporation's outstanding voting stock.

On August 25, 2011, the Board of Directors adopted the Rights Agreement, providing for the distribution of one right for each share of common stock outstanding. Each right entitles the holder to purchase one one-thousandth (1/1000th) of a share of Series A Junior Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of the Corporation at a price of \$45.00 per one one-thousandth (1/1000th) of a share, subject to adjustment. The Rights generally become exercisable at the discretion of the Board of Directors following a public announcement that 15% or more of the Corporation's common stock has been acquired or an intent to acquire has become apparent. The Rights will expire on the earlier of August 25, 2021 or redemption or exchange by the Corporation. However, the Rights will expire immediately at the final adjournment of the Corporation's 2012 annual meeting of stockholders if stockholder approval of the Rights Agreement has not been received prior to that time. Certain terms of the rights are subject to adjustment to prevent dilution. Further description and terms of the rights are set forth in the Rights Agreement dated August 25, 2011 between the Corporation and Mellon Investors Services LLC, as Rights Agent.

On August 25, 2011, the Board of Directors declared a dividend of one preferred share purchase right for each outstanding share of common stock. The dividend was paid on September 6, 2011 to the stockholders of record on September 6, 2011.

Risk Factors Relating to Unsolicited Tender Offer

Edgar Filing: PharMerica CORP - Form 10-Q

The unsolicited takeover attempt by Omnicare, Inc. (Omnicare) will likely require us to incur significant additional costs.

On August 23, 2011, Omnicare, Inc. (Omnicare) made public an unsolicited proposal to acquire all of the outstanding shares of the Corporation's common stock for \$15.00 per share in cash. After careful consideration and consultation with our financial and legal advisors, our Board of Directors determined unanimously that Omnicare's proposal undervalues the Corporation and was not in the best interests of our stockholders. On September 7, 2011, Omnicare, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Philadelphia Acquisition Sub, Inc., commenced an unsolicited tender offer to purchase all of the outstanding shares of our common stock at \$15.00 per share, net to seller in cash without interest thereon and less any required withholding tax. On September 18, 2011, the Board again met with its financial and legal advisors, and after careful consideration, our Board of Directors again unanimously recommended that our stockholders reject the offer and not tender their shares of our common stock because it believes that Omnicare's tender offer (i) undervalues the Corporation and its prospects, (ii) is illusory because it is subject to significant regulatory and other uncertainty, and (iii) is opportunistic based on the Corporation's then traded market value.

Table of Contents

On October 26, 2011, the Corporation and Omnicare and their respective outside legal advisors entered into a Confidentiality and Joint Defense Agreement (the Confidentiality and Joint Defense Agreement) which provides, among other things, for the parties to exchange on a confidential and privileged basis information in order to facilitate their respective assessment of the antitrust risk associated with a potential combination of the two companies. Although the Corporation's Board of Directors continues to believe that Omnicare's \$15.00 per share offer undervalues the Corporation, the Corporation is engaging in this analytical process in order to further its understanding of Omnicare's assessment of the antitrust risk related to a combination. The Confidentiality and Joint Defense Agreement does not obligate the Corporation to enter a transaction with Omnicare and there can be no assurance that this review will lead to a definitive merger agreement or any transaction between the two companies.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2011, the Corporation incurred \$1.1 million in legal and advisory fees related to the evaluation of Omnicare's unsolicited tender offer and related actions. Responding to Omnicare's unsolicited tender offer and related actions is expected to result in the incurrence of additional expenses in the fourth quarter of 2011, which may be material to the Corporation's financial position and results of operations.

Litigation relating to Omnicare's unsolicited tender offer may adversely impact our business.

On September 7, 2011, Omnicare filed a lawsuit in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware against the Corporation and the members of the Board of Directors, alleging that the members of the Board of Directors breached their fiduciary duties by refusing to negotiate with Omnicare, failing to inform themselves of the merits of the tender offer, and failing to consider and negotiate the tender offer.

On September 9, 2011, the Louisiana Municipal Police Employee's Retirement System (LMPERS) filed a lawsuit in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware, purportedly on behalf of a class of the Corporation stockholders against the Corporation and the members of the Board of Directors, alleging the members of the Board of Directors breached their fiduciary duties to the Corporation and its stockholders by adopting the Rights Agreement and failing to respond appropriately to the tender offer. LMPERS is seeking declaratory and injunctive relief, including an order certifying the case as a class action and an order enjoining application of the Rights Agreement.

On September 22, 2011, Hugh F. Drummond as Trustee of the FBO Hugh F. Drummond Trust (Drummond) filed a lawsuit in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware, purportedly on behalf of a class of the Corporation's stockholders, against the Corporation and the members of the Board of Directors, alleging that the members of the Board of Directors breached their fiduciary duties to the Corporation and its stockholders by adopting the Rights Agreement and failing to respond appropriately to the tender offer. Drummond seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, including an order certifying the case as a class action and an order enjoining the directors and the Corporation from excluding strategic bidders, including Omnicare, imposing unreasonable preconditions on such strategic bidders, refusing to provide due diligence to strategic bidders, and conducting a limited sale process not designed to produce the best transaction for PharMerica's stockholders.

Other lawsuits may continue to be filed against us and our directors with similar or additional allegations. Such claims and any resultant litigation could subject us and our directors to liability, could be time consuming and expensive to defend, and could result in the diversion of the time and attention of our management and employees, any of which could have a material adverse impact on our business. See Legal Proceedings in Item 1 of Part II of this Quarterly Report for additional information regarding certain litigation relating to Omnicare's tender offer.

Omnicare's unsolicited tender offer is disruptive to our business.

The review and consideration of Omnicare's unsolicited tender offer and related actions by Omnicare and other stockholders, have been, and may continue to be, a significant distraction for our management and employees and have required, and may continue to require, the expenditure of significant time and resources by the Corporation. Omnicare's tender offer and related actions have also created uncertainty for the Corporation's employees, and this uncertainty may adversely affect our ability to retain key employees and hire new talent. Further, Omnicare's tender offer and related actions may create uncertainty for the Corporation's current and potential business partners. This uncertainty may cause our current and potential business partners to change or terminate their business relationship with us.

Uncertainty and speculation regarding Omnicare's tender offer and related actions may cause increased volatility and wide price fluctuations in our stock price. In addition, if a transaction does not occur, or the market perceives that a transaction is unlikely to occur, our stock price may decline.

Table of Contents

Omnicare's tender offer and related actions have created and may continue to create uncertainty for the Corporation's current and potential customers. This uncertainty may cause our current customers to change or terminate their business relationship with us and our potential customers not to commence a business relationship with us.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, and the regulations thereunder (the HSR Act), Omnicare filed a Notification and Report Form with the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice (the Antitrust Division) and the Federal Trade Commission (the FTC) relating to its proposed acquisition of the Corporation on September 7, 2011. On or about September 19, 2011, the Corporation submitted a responsive Notification and Report Form with the Antitrust Division and the FTC. On September 22, 2011, the Corporation received a request for additional information from the FTC relating to the tender offer (the Second Request). Responding to the FTC's Second Request has required, and may continue to require, the expenditure of significant time and resources by the Corporation.

The impact of Omnicare's tender offer due to those and other factors may undermine our business and have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Omnicare may undertake a proxy solicitation to elect its own slate of nominees for the Board of Directors at the Corporation's 2012 annual meeting of stockholders.

Omnicare has publicly stated that it may nominate and solicit proxies for the election of a slate of director nominees at the 2012 annual meeting of the Corporation's stockholders. If the Omnicare nominees are elected to our Board of Directors, the ability of management to work effectively and efficiently with our Board of Directors with respect to the day to day operations and development of the Corporation may be restricted, and as a result, the Corporation's business may be adversely impacted.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No. Description

- 3.1 Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock Certificate of Designation. (1)
- 4.1 Rights Agreement, dated as of August 25, 2011, between PharMerica Corporation and Mellon Investor Services LLC as Rights Agent, which includes the form of Certificate of Designation of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock as Exhibit A, the form of Right Certificate as Exhibit B and the Summary of Rights to Purchase Shares of Preferred Stock of PharMerica Corporation as Exhibit C. (1)
- 10.45 Form of Indemnification Agreement. (2)
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 101 The following materials from the Pharmerica Corporation Quarterly Report on form 10-Q for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011 formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity, and (v) the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text. (1)

- (1) Filed with the Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 25, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (2) Filed with the Corporation's Schedule 14D-9 filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on September 20, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (3) As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information is furnished herewith and not filed for purposes of sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PHARMERICA CORPORATION

Date: November 3, 2011

/s/ GREGORY S. WEISHAR
Gregory S. Weishar
Chief Executive Officer and

Director

Date: November 3, 2011

/s/ MICHAEL J. CULOTTA
Michael J. Culotta
Executive Vice President and

Chief Financial Officer

Date: November 3, 2011

/s/ BERARD E. TOMASSETTI
Berard E. Tomassetti
Senior Vice President and

Chief Accounting Officer

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|--------------------|--|
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 101 | The following materials from the Pharmerica Corporation Quarterly Report on form 10-Q for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011 formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity, and (v) the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text. (1) |

(1) As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information is furnished herewith and not filed for purposes of sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.