Hyatt Hotels Corp Form DEF 14A April 25, 2011 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

(Rule 14a-101)

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant x Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

- " Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, For Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- " Definitive Additional Materials
- " Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

HYATT HOTELS CORPORATION

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required.
- " Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i) (1) and 0-11.

(1)	Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:		
(2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:		
(3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):		
(4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:		
(5)	Total fee paid:		
Fee paid previously with preliminary materials:			
Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.			
(1)	Amount previously paid:		
(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:		
(3)	Filing Party:		

(4) Date Filed:

71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor, Chicago IL 60606 Tel: 312.750.1234

www.hyatt.com

April 25, 2011

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the *Annual Meeting*) of Hyatt Hotels Corporation to be held at Hyatt Regency O Hare, 9300 Bryn Mawr Avenue, Rosemont, Illinois 60018, on Wednesday, June 15, 2011, at 9:30 a.m., local time.

At the Annual Meeting you will be asked to (a) elect four directors to our board of directors, (b) ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm, (c) approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation paid to our named executive officers, (d) determine, on an advisory basis, the frequency with which stockholders will participate in an advisory vote on executive compensation and (e) transact any other business as properly may come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

It is important that your shares be represented and voted whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting in person. You may vote on the Internet, by telephone or by completing and mailing a proxy card. Voting over the Internet, by telephone or by written proxy will ensure your shares are represented at the Annual Meeting. If you do attend the Annual Meeting, you may withdraw your proxy should you wish to vote in person. Please read the enclosed information carefully before voting.

Sincerely,

Thomas J. Pritzker Mark S. Hoplamazian

Executive Chairman President and Chief Executive Officer

1.

HYATT HOTELS CORPORATION

71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60606

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held June 15, 2011

NOTICE HEREBY IS GIVEN that the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the *Annual Meeting*) of Hyatt Hotels Corporation (*Hyatt*) will be held at Hyatt Regency O Hare, 9300 Bryn Mawr Avenue, Rosemont, Illinois 60018, on Wednesday, June 15, 2011 at 9:30 a.m., local time, for the following purposes:

- 2. To ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as Hyatt s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011;
- 3. To conduct an advisory vote regarding the compensation paid to our named executive officers;

To elect four directors to hold office until the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders;

- To determine, on an advisory basis, the frequency with which stockholders will participate in an advisory vote on executive compensation; and
- 5. To transact any other business as properly may come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof. Information relating to the above matters is set forth in the attached proxy statement. Stockholders of record at the close of business on April 18, 2011 are entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting and any adjournment or postponement thereof.

This Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders, proxy statement and proxy card are being sent to stockholders beginning on or about April 25, 2011.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Rena Hozore Reiss

Executive Vice President, General Counsel

and Secretary

Chicago, Illinois

April 25, 2011

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the

Stockholder Meeting to be Held on June 15, 2011.

The proxy statement for the Annual Meeting and Annual Report on Form 10-K

for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 are available at http://wfss.mobular.net/wfss/h/.

PLEASE CAREFULLY READ THE ATTACHED PROXY STATEMENT. EVEN IF YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING, PLEASE PROMPTLY COMPLETE, EXECUTE, DATE AND RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD IN THE ACCOMPANYING POSTAGE-PAID ENVELOPE. NO POSTAGE IS NECESSARY IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES. YOU MAY ALSO VOTE ELECTRONICALLY VIA THE INTERNET OR BY TELEPHONE BY FOLLOWING THE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE PROXY CARD. IF YOU VOTE BY INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, THEN YOU NEED NOT RETURN A WRITTEN PROXY CARD BY MAIL. STOCKHOLDERS WHO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING MAY REVOKE THEIR PROXIES AND VOTE IN PERSON IF THEY SO DESIRE.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARTICLE I: PROXY MATERIALS AND ANNUAL MEETING	1
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE PROXY MATERIALS AND THE ANNUAL MEETING	1
1. Q: Why am I receiving these materials?	1
2. O: When and where is the Annual Meeting?	1
3. O: What is the purpose of the Annual Meeting?	1
4. Q: How can I attend the Annual Meeting?	2
5. Q: What should I do if I receive more than one set of proxy materials?	2
6. Q: What is the difference between holding shares as a record holder versus a beneficial owner?	2
7. Q: Who can vote and how do I vote?	3
8. O: What are my voting choices, and how many votes are required for approval or election?	3
9. Q: How will Hyatt s dual class ownership structure impact the outcome of the voting at the Annual Meeting?	4
10. Q: How will voting agreements entered into with or among Hyatt s major stockholders impact the outcome of the voting at the	e
Annual Meeting?	4
11. O: What is the effect of an abstain vote on the proposals to be voted on at the Annual Meeting?	5
12. O: What is the effect of a broker non-vote on the proposals to be voted on at the Annual Meeting?	5
13. Q: Who counts the votes?	6
14. Q: Revocation of proxy: May I change my vote after I return my proxy?	6
15. Q: What if I sign and return a proxy card but do not specify a choice for a matter when returning the proxy?	6
16. Q: What constitutes a quorum?	6
17. O: Where can I find the voting results of the Annual Meeting?	6
18. Q: Who will pay the costs of soliciting these proxies?	6
19. Q: What happens if additional matters are presented at the Annual Meeting?	7
20. Q: What is the deadline under Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, for stockholders to propose	<u>;</u>
actions to be included in our proxy statement relating our 2012 annual meeting of stockholders and identified in our form of proxy	,
relating to the 2012 annual meeting?	7
21. Q: What is the deadline under our bylaws for stockholders to nominate persons for election to the board of directors or propose	<u> </u>
other matters to be considered at our 2012 annual meeting of stockholders?	7
22. Q: How do I submit a potential director nominee for consideration by the board of directors for nomination?	8
ADTIGUE H. GODDOD ATTE GOVERNANCE	0
ARTICLE II: CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	8
PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	8
OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS	9
COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS	15
CODE OF BUSINESS CONDUCT AND ETHICS	16
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE GUIDELINES DIRECTOR IN PROPERTY OF THE P	16
DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE	16
COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS	17
COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS	23
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT	26
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION	26
ARTICLE III: EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	26
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	26

i

Table of Contents

ARTICLE IV: INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	51
PROPOSAL 2 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	51
INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM S FEES	51
POLICY ON AUDIT COMMITTEE PREAPPROVAL OF AUDIT AND PERMISSIBLE NONAUDIT SERVICES OF THE	
INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	52
ARTICLE V: REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS	52
ARTICLE VI: ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	53
PROPOSAL 3 ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	53
ARTICLE VII: FREQUENCY OF ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	54
PROPOSAL 4 FREQUENCY OF ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	54
ARTICLE VIII: STOCK	54
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	54
SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	66
ARTICLE IX: CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS	67
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS	67
RELATED PARTY TRANSACTION POLICY AND PROCEDURES	81
ARTICLE X: MISCELLANEOUS	82
AVAILABILITY OF ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K	82
LIST OF THE COMPANY S STOCKHOLDERS	82
DELIVERY OF PROXY MATERIALS TO HOUSEHOLDS	83
OTHED MATTERS THAT MAY COME RECORD THE ANNITAL MEETING	83

ii

HYATT HOTELS CORPORATION

71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60606

PROXY STATEMENT

FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held June 15, 2011

The board of directors of Hyatt Hotels Corporation (referred to herein as *Hyatt*, *we*, *us* or the *Company*) solicits your proxy to vote at the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the *Annual Meeting*) to be held on Wednesday, June 15, 2011, beginning at 9:30 a.m., local time, at Hyatt Regency O Hare, 9300 Bryn Mawr Avenue, Rosemont, Illinois 60018, and at any adjournments or postponements thereof. This proxy statement is first being released to stockholders by the Company on or about April 25, 2011.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the

Stockholder Meeting to be Held on June 15, 2011.

The proxy statement for the Annual Meeting and Annual Report on Form 10-K

for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 are available at http://wfss.mobular.net/wfss/h/.

ARTICLE I: PROXY MATERIALS AND ANNUAL MEETING

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE PROXY MATERIALS AND THE ANNUAL MEETING

- 1. Q: Why am I receiving these materials?
 - A: We are furnishing the enclosed Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders, proxy statement and proxy card to you, and to all stockholders of record as of the close of business on April 18, 2011, because the board of directors of Hyatt is soliciting your proxy to vote at the Annual Meeting and at any adjournment or postponement thereof. Also enclosed is our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, which, along with our proxy statement, is also available online at http://wfss.mobular.net/wfss/h/.
- 2. Q: When and where is the Annual Meeting?

- A: The Annual Meeting will be held at Hyatt Regency O Hare, 9300 Bryn Mawr Avenue, Rosemont, Illinois 60018, on Wednesday, June 15, 2011, at 9:30 a.m., local time.
- 3. Q: What is the purpose of the Annual Meeting?
 - A: At our Annual Meeting, stockholders will act upon the matters outlined in this proxy statement and in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders included with this proxy statement, including the election of four directors; the ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm; the advisory vote regarding compensation paid to our named executive officers; the advisory vote regarding the frequency with which stockholders will participate in an advisory vote on executive compensation; and such other matters as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

1

4. Q: How can I attend the Annual Meeting?

A: Only stockholders who own shares of Hyatt common stock as of the close of business on April 18, 2011, the record date, will be entitled to attend the Annual Meeting. A valid admittance slip (or other written proof of stock ownership as described below) and a photo identification (such as a valid driver s license or passport) will be required for admission to the Annual Meeting.

If your shares are registered in your name and you received your proxy materials by mail, an admittance slip appears at the back of this proxy statement. You should bring that admittance slip with you to the Annual Meeting.

If you are a beneficial owner of shares of common stock and your shares are held in a brokerage account or by another nominee as further described in Question 6 below, you will be admitted to the Annual Meeting only if you present either a valid legal proxy from your bank or broker as to your shares, an admittance slip, or a recent bank or brokerage statement demonstrating that you owned shares of Hyatt common stock as of the close of business on April 18, 2011.

No cameras, recording devices, other electronic devices or large packages will be permitted at the Annual Meeting. Photographs taken at the Annual Meeting by or at the request of Hyatt may be used by Hyatt, and by attending the Annual Meeting, you waive any claim or rights with respect to those photographs and their use.

5. Q: What should I do if I receive more than one set of proxy materials?

- A: You may receive more than one set of voting materials, including multiple copies of this proxy statement and multiple proxy cards or voting instruction cards. For example, if you hold your shares in more than one brokerage account, you may receive a separate voting instruction card for each brokerage account. If you are a stockholder of record and your shares are registered in more than one name, you will receive more than one proxy card. Please vote each proxy card and voting instruction card that you receive.
- 6. Q: What is the difference between holding shares as a record holder versus a beneficial owner?
 - A: Most Hyatt stockholders hold their shares through a broker or other nominee rather than directly in their own name. There are some distinctions between shares held of record and those owned beneficially:

Record Holders: If your shares are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., you are considered, with respect to those shares, the stockholder of record or record holder. As the stockholder of record, you have the right to grant your voting proxy directly to Hyatt or to vote in person at the Annual Meeting. We have enclosed a proxy card for you to use.

Beneficial Owner: If your shares are held in a brokerage account or by another nominee, you are considered the beneficial owner of shares held in street name, and these proxy materials are being forwarded to you automatically, along with a voting instruction card from your broker, bank or nominee. As a beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker, bank or nominee how to vote and are also invited to attend the Annual Meeting. Since a beneficial owner is not the stockholder of record, you may not vote these shares in person at the meeting unless you obtain a legal proxy from the broker, bank or nominee that holds your shares, giving you the right to vote the shares at the meeting. Your broker, bank or nominee has enclosed or provided voting instructions for you to use in directing how to vote your shares. If you do not provide specific voting instructions by the deadline set forth in the materials you receive from your broker, bank or

Table of Contents 11

2

other nominee, your broker, bank or nominee can vote your shares with respect to discretionary items, but not with respect to non-discretionary items. The election of directors, the advisory vote regarding compensation paid to our named executive officers and the advisory vote regarding the frequency with which stockholders will participate in an advisory vote on executive compensation are considered non-discretionary items, while the ratification of the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm is considered a discretionary item. For non-discretionary items for which you do not give your broker instructions, the shares will be treated as broker non-votes. See Question 12 below for more information about broker non-votes.

7. Q: Who can vote and how do I vote?

A: Only holders of our common stock at the close of business on April 18, 2011, the record date, will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. To ensure that your vote is recorded promptly, please vote as soon as possible, even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting in person. Most stockholders have four options for submitting their votes:

in person at the Annual Meeting with a proxy card/legal proxy;

by mail, using the paper proxy card;

by telephone, by calling the toll-free telephone number on the proxy card; or

through the Internet, using the procedures and instructions described on the proxy card.

Beneficial owners may vote by telephone or Internet if their bank or broker makes those methods available, in which case the bank or broker will enclose the instructions with the proxy materials.

For further instructions on voting, see your proxy card. If you vote by proxy using the paper proxy card, by telephone or through the Internet, the shares represented by the proxy will be voted in accordance with your instructions. If you attend the Annual Meeting, you may also submit your vote in person, and any previous votes that you submitted by mail, telephone or Internet will be superseded by the vote that you cast at the Annual Meeting. Please note, however, that if your shares are held of record by a broker, bank or other nominee and you wish to vote at the Annual Meeting, you must obtain from the record holder a legal proxy issued in your name.

8. Q: What are my voting choices, and how many votes are required for approval or election?

A: In the vote on the election of four director nominees identified in this proxy statement to serve until the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders and until their respective successors have been duly elected and qualified, stockholders may (1) vote in favor of all nominees or specific nominees; or (2) withhold authority to vote for all nominees or specific nominees. A plurality of the voting power of the shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote with respect to the election of directors shall elect the directors. **The board of directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR each of the nominees.**

In the vote on the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as Hyatt s independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2011, stockholders may (1) vote in favor of the ratification; (2) vote against the ratification; or (3) abstain from voting on the ratification. Ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as Hyatt s independent registered public accounting firm for 2011 will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the voting power of the shares present or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote on the proposal, however, stockholder ratification is not required to authorize the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as Hyatt s independent registered public accounting firm. The board of directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as Hyatt s independent registered public accounting firm for 2011.

In the vote on the proposal to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation paid to our named executive officers as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), stockholders may (1) vote in favor of the proposal; (2) vote against the proposal; or (3) abstain from voting on the proposal. Approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation paid to our named executive officers as disclosed pursuant to the SEC s compensation disclosure rules will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the voting power of the shares present or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote on the proposal. The board of directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR the approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation paid to our named executive officers as disclosed pursuant to the SEC s compensation disclosure rules.

In the vote to determine, on an advisory basis, the frequency with which stockholders will participate in an advisory vote on executive compensation, stockholders may indicate whether they would prefer an advisory vote on executive compensation every year, every two years or every three years, or stockholders may abstain from voting on the proposal. A majority of the voting power of the shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote on the proposal shall determine the stockholders preference with regard to the frequency of such vote. The board of directors unanimously recommends that, with regard to this proposal, stockholders vote, on an advisory basis, to hold an advisory vote on executive compensation EVERY YEAR.

- 9. Q: How will Hyatt s dual class ownership structure impact the outcome of the voting at the Annual Meeting?
 - A: The holders of our Class A common stock are entitled to one vote per share and the holders of our Class B common stock are entitled to ten votes per share on all matters to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting. Holders of Class A common stock and Class B common stock will vote together as a single class on all matters to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting.

At the close of business on April 18, 2011, we had outstanding and entitled to vote 44,539,406 shares of Class A common stock and 129,466,000 shares of Class B common stock. Collectively, the holders of Class A common stock on such date will be entitled to an aggregate of 44,539,406 votes, and, collectively, the holders of Class B common stock on such date will be entitled to an aggregate of 1,294,660,000 votes, on all matters to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting. Therefore, for all matters to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting, the holders of our Class B common stock will collectively hold approximately 96.7% of the total voting power of our outstanding common stock. See Question 10 for additional information.

- 10. Q: How will voting agreements entered into with or among Hyatt s major stockholders impact the outcome of the voting at the Annual Meeting?
 - A: Voting agreements entered into with or among Hyatt s major stockholders will result in all of the shares of our Class B common stock being voted consistent with the recommendations of Hyatt s board of directors. Pursuant to the terms of the Amended and Restated Global Hyatt Agreement (the Amended and Restated Global Hyatt Agreement) and the Amended and Restated Foreign Global Hyatt Agreement), Pritzker family business interests, which beneficially own in the aggregate 104,353,914 shares of our Class B common stock, or approximately 77.9% of the total voting power of our outstanding common stock, have agreed to vote their shares of our common stock consistent with the recommendation of our board of directors with respect to all matters (assuming agreement as to any such matter by a majority of a minimum of three independent directors (excluding for such purposes any Pritzker)) or, in the case of transactions involving us and an affiliate, assuming agreement of all of such minimum of three independent directors (excluding for such purposes any Pritzker). This

4

voting agreement expires on the later to occur of January 1, 2015, and the date upon which more than 75% of our fully diluted shares of common stock is owned by non-Pritzker family business interests. In addition, other existing stockholders, including entities affiliated with Goldman, Sachs & Co. and Madrone GHC, LLC, that beneficially own in the aggregate 25,112,086 shares of our Class B common stock, or approximately 18.8% of the total voting power of our outstanding common stock, have entered into a voting agreement with us under which they have agreed to vote their shares of Class B common stock consistent with the recommendation of our board of directors, without any separate requirement that our independent directors agree with the recommendation. These voting agreements expire on the later to occur of December 31, 2013 and the date that Mr. Thomas J. Pritzker is no longer chairman of our board of directors. While these voting agreements are in effect, they may provide our board of directors with effective control over matters requiring stockholder approval. Because our board of directors (including all of our independent directors) has recommended a vote FOR proposal one, FOR proposal two, FOR proposal three and for a vote EVERY YEAR with regard to proposal four, each stockholder party to the voting agreements will be contractually obligated to vote in favor of proposal one, in favor of proposal two, in favor of proposal three and for a vote every year with regard to proposal four. Because the holders of our Class B common stock hold approximately 96.7% of the total voting power of our outstanding common stock, these voting agreements will cause the outcome of the vote on each of the matters to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting to be consistent with the recommendations of our board of directors.

As used in this Proxy Statement, the term Pritzker family business interests means (1) various lineal descendants of Nicholas J. Pritzker (deceased) and spouses and adopted children of such descendants; (2) various trusts for the benefit of the individuals described in clause (1) and trustees thereof; and (3) various entities owned and/or controlled, directly and/or indirectly, by the individuals and trusts described in (1) and (2).

11. Q: What is the effect of an abstain vote on the proposals to be voted on at the Annual Meeting?

A: An abstain vote with respect to any proposal is considered present and entitled to vote with respect to that proposal, but is not considered a vote cast with respect to that proposal. Therefore, an abstention will not have any effect on the election of directors. Because each of the other proposals requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the voting power of the shares present or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote on the proposal in order to pass, an abstention will have the effect of a vote against the remaining proposals.

12. Q: What is the effect of a broker non-vote on the proposals to be voted on at the Annual Meeting?

A: A broker non-vote occurs if your shares are not registered in your name and you do not provide the record holder of your shares (usually a bank, broker, or other nominee) with voting instructions on a matter and the record holder is not permitted to vote on the matter without instructions from you under applicable rules of the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE). A broker non-vote is considered present for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists, but is not considered a vote cast or entitled to vote with respect to such matter. Therefore, broker non-votes will not have any effect on any of the matters to be voted on at the Annual Meeting.

Under NYSE rules, the election of directors, the advisory vote regarding compensation paid to our named executive officers and the advisory vote regarding the frequency with which stockholders will participate in an advisory vote on executive compensation are not considered discretionary items. Therefore, if you do not provide instructions to the record holder of your shares with

5

respect to these three proposals, broker non-votes will result with respect thereto. The ratification of appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm is a routine item under NYSE rules. As a result, brokers who do not receive instructions as to how to vote on these matters generally may vote on this matter in their discretion.

- 13. Q: Who counts the votes?
 - A: Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., will count the votes. The board of directors has appointed Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. as the inspector of elections
- 14. Q: Revocation of proxy: May I change my vote after I return my proxy?
 - A: Yes, you may revoke your proxy if you are a record holder by:

filing written notice of revocation with Hyatt s corporate secretary at our principal executive offices at 71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60606;

signing a proxy bearing a later date than the proxy being revoked and submitting it to Hyatt s corporate secretary at our principal executive offices at 71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60606; or

voting in person at the Annual Meeting.

If your shares are held in street name through a broker, bank, or other nominee, you need to contact the record holder of your shares regarding how to revoke your proxy.

- 15. Q: What if I sign and return a proxy card but do not specify a choice for a matter when returning the proxy?
 - A: Unless you indicate otherwise, the persons named as proxies on the proxy card will vote your shares: FOR all of the nominees for director named in this proxy statement; FOR the ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as Hyatt s independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2011; FOR the approval of the compensation paid to the Company s named executive officers as disclosed pursuant to the SEC s compensation disclosure rules; and to hold an advisory vote on executive compensation EVERY YEAR.
- 16. Q: What constitutes a quorum?
 - A: Presence at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the voting power of the issued and outstanding shares of Hyatt s common stock entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will constitute a quorum, permitting the Annual Meeting to proceed and business to be conducted. Proxies received but marked as abstentions or broker non-votes will be included in the calculation of the number of votes considered to be present at the meeting for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present.

- 17. Q: Where can I find the voting results of the Annual Meeting?
 - A: We will publish final results on a Current Report on Form 8-K within four business days after the Annual Meeting.
- 18. Q: Who will pay the costs of soliciting these proxies?
 - A: We will bear the entire cost of solicitation of proxies, including preparation, assembly, printing and mailing of this proxy statement, the proxy card and any additional information furnished to stockholders. Copies of solicitation materials will be furnished to banks, brokerage houses,

6

fiduciaries and custodians holding shares of common stock beneficially owned by others to forward to such beneficial owners. We may reimburse persons representing beneficial owners of common stock for their reasonable costs of forwarding solicitation materials to such beneficial owners. Original solicitation of proxies may be supplemented by electronic means, mail, facsimile, telephone or personal solicitation by our directors, officers or other employees. No additional compensation will be paid to our directors, officers or other employees for such services.

- 19. Q: What happens if additional matters are presented at the Annual Meeting?
 - A: Other than the four proposals described in this proxy statement, we are not aware of any other properly submitted business to be acted upon at the Annual Meeting. If you grant a proxy, the persons named as proxy holders, Messrs. Mark S. Hoplamazian and Harmit J. Singh, will have the discretion to vote your shares on any additional matters properly presented for a vote at the Annual Meeting, including matters of which the Company did not receive timely notice. If, for any unforeseen reason, any of our nominees for director are unavailable, or are unable to serve or for good cause will not serve, the persons named as proxy holders will vote your proxy for such other candidate or candidates as may be nominated by the board of directors.
- 20. Q: What is the deadline under Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, for stockholders to propose actions to be included in our proxy statement relating our 2012 annual meeting of stockholders and identified in our form of proxy relating to the 2012 annual meeting?
 - A: December 27, 2011 is the deadline for stockholders to submit proposals to be included in our proxy statement and identified in our form of proxy under Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the *Exchange Act*). Proposals by stockholders must comply with all requirements of applicable rules of the SEC, including Rule 14a-8, and be mailed to our corporate secretary at our principal executive offices at 71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60606. We reserve the right to reject, rule out of order, or take other appropriate action with respect to any proposal that does not comply with Rule 14a-8 and other applicable requirements.
- 21. Q: What is the deadline under our bylaws for stockholders to nominate persons for election to the board of directors or propose other matters to be considered at our 2012 annual meeting of stockholders?
 - A: Stockholders who wish to nominate persons for election to our board of directors or propose other matters to be considered at our 2012 annual meeting of stockholders must provide us advance notice of the director nomination or stockholder proposal, as well as the information specified in our bylaws, no earlier than February 16, 2012 and no later than March 19, 2012. Stockholders are advised to review our bylaws, which contain the requirements for advance notice of director nominations and stockholder proposals. Notice of director nominations and stockholder proposals must be mailed to our corporate secretary at our principal executive offices at 71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60606. The requirements for advance notice of stockholder proposals under our bylaws do not apply to proposals properly submitted under Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act, as those stockholder proposals are governed by Rule 14a-8. We reserve the right to reject, rule out of order, or take other appropriate action with respect to any director nomination or stockholder proposal that does not comply with our bylaws and other applicable requirements.

7

- 22. Q: How do I submit a potential director nominee for consideration by the board of directors for nomination?
 - A: You may submit names of potential director nominees for consideration by the board of directors nominating and corporate governance committee for nomination by our board of directors at the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders. Your submission should be directed to our corporate secretary at our principal executive offices at 71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60606. The section titled Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee below describes the information required to be set forth in your submission, and provides information on the nomination process used by our nominating and corporate governance committee and our board of directors. The deadline has passed to submit a potential director nominee to be considered for nomination by our board of directors at the 2011 Annual Meeting. December 1, 2011 is the deadline to submit a potential director nominee for consideration by our board of directors for nomination at the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders.

ARTICLE II: CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Hyatt s Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation provides that the total number of members of the board of directors shall consist of not less than five nor more than fifteen members, with the precise number of directors to be determined by a vote of a majority of the entire board of directors. At present, the board of directors has fixed the number of members of the board of directors at twelve. Hyatt s Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation further provides that the board of directors will be divided into three classes, as nearly equal in number as is practicable, designated Class I, Class II and Class III. Members of each class of the board of directors are elected for a term of office to expire at the third succeeding annual meeting of stockholders after their election, with each director to hold office until his or her successor is duly elected and qualified.

Class II, the class of directors whose term expires at the Annual Meeting, currently consists of four persons. In accordance with the recommendation of the nominating and corporate governance committee, the board of directors has unanimously nominated Thomas J. Pritzker, Byron D. Trott and Richard C. Tuttle, three of the incumbent directors whose terms expire at the Annual Meeting, to stand for re-election to the board of directors. John D. Nichols, a director of the Company since 2006, will not be nominated to stand for re-election as a director at the Annual Meeting. James H. Wooten, Jr., a new director nominee, will stand for election as the fourth Class II director. Mr. Wooten was recommended for consideration as a director candidate by a business acquaintance of certain members of the board of directors of the Company. Each of Messrs. Pritzker, Trott, Tuttle and Wooten has been nominated to hold office until the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders and until his successor has been duly elected and qualified. Unless otherwise instructed by the stockholder, the persons named in the enclosed proxy card will vote the shares represented by such proxy for the election of the nominees named in this proxy statement.

Each of the nominees has consented to serve as a director if elected. If any of the nominees should be unavailable to serve for any reason (which is not anticipated), the board of directors may designate a substitute nominee or nominees (in which event the persons named on the enclosed proxy card will vote the shares represented by all valid proxy cards for the election of such substitute nominee or nominees). Alternatively, the board of directors may reduce the size of the board of directors or allow the vacancy or vacancies to remain open until a suitable candidate or candidates are located.

The board of directors unanimously recommends that the stockholders vote FOR each of Thomas J. Pritzker, Byron D. Trott, Richard C. Tuttle and James H. Wooten, Jr. as directors to serve and hold office until the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders and until their respective successors have been duly elected and qualified.

8

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Set forth below is information regarding the business experience of each of our directors that has been furnished to us by the respective director. Each director has been principally engaged in the employment indicated for the last five years unless otherwise stated. Also set forth below for each director is a discussion of the experience, qualifications, attributes or skills that led the board of directors to conclude that the director nominee or director is qualified and should serve as a director of Hyatt.

Directors Standing for Election

Table of Contents

Thomas J. Pritzker

Director since 2004

Age 60

Thomas J. Pritzker has been a member of our board of directors since August 2004 and our Executive Chairman since August 2004. Mr. Pritzker served as our Chief Executive Officer from August 2004 to December 2006. Mr. Pritzker was appointed President of Hyatt Corporation in 1980 and served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Hyatt Corporation from 1999 to December 2006. Mr. Pritzker is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of The Pritzker Organization, LLC (TPO), the principal financial and investment advisor to various Pritzker family business interests. Mr. Pritzker is Chairman of Marmon Holdings, Inc. and also serves as a Director of Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. He served as a Director of TransUnion Corp., a credit reporting service company, until June 2010. Mr. Pritzker is a Director and Vice President of The Pritzker Foundation, a charitable foundation; Director and President of the Pritzker Family Philanthropic Fund, a charitable organization; and Chairman and President of The Hyatt Foundation, a charitable foundation which established The Pritzker Architecture Prize. Mr. Pritzker is a first cousin of Ms. Penny Pritzker, who is also a member of our board of directors.

Mr. Pritzker brings to our board of directors a deep understanding of Hyatt s operations and extensive knowledge of the hospitality industry as a result of his more than 30 year history with Hyatt, including as our former Chief Executive Officer. The Company also benefits from Mr. Pritzker s extensive network of contacts and relationships with owners and developers of hotels around the world as we pursue new opportunities and seek to enter into new management and franchise agreements. Additionally, Mr. Pritzker has significant experience leading boards of directors of for-profit and not-for-profit organizations.

Byron D. Trott

Director since 2007

Age 52

Byron D. Trott has been a member of our board of directors since August 2007. He serves as Managing Partner and Chief Investment Officer of BDT Capital Partners, LLC, a merchant bank to closely held companies. Prior thereto, Mr. Trott was with Goldman, Sachs & Co. for over 25 years. Mr. Trott was the head of Goldman, Sachs & Co. s Chicago office and Midwest Region from 1994 to April 2009 and was Vice Chairman of Investment Banking for Goldman, Sachs & Co. from 2005 to 2009. He was also a member of the Investment Committee of Goldman, Sachs & Co. s Principal Investment Area, the Investment Banking Division s Operating Committee, and the firmwide Partnership Committee. Mr. Trott currently is an Advisory Director of Enterprise Rent-A-Car Company.

Mr. Trott has extensive historical knowledge of Hyatt s business, deep knowledge of the capital markets and expertise in mergers and acquisitions and corporate finance. Through his role at BDT Capital Partners, his former leadership position in investment banking at Goldman, Sachs & Co. and role as a partner of Goldman, Sachs & Co., Mr. Trott has had significant exposure to a number of different companies and business models and has advised numerous companies through a variety of economic cycles, which the board of directors believes provides him with valuable insight regarding Hyatt s capital structure and in developing strategy. Additionally, Mr. Trott has significant experience in advising closely held companies and brings to Hyatt his knowledge of the travel and tourism industry through his affiliation as an Advisory Director of Enterprise Rent-A-Car Company and the boards of directors of two other private companies, Pilot Flying J and Weber-Stephen Products LLC.

20

Richard C. Tuttle

Director since 2004

Age 55

Richard C. Tuttle has been a member of our board of directors since December 2004. Mr. Tuttle is a founding Principal at Prospect Partners, LLC, a lower-middle-market private equity firm, and has held this position since 1998. Prior to founding Prospect Partners, he was Executive Vice President of Corporate Development for Health Care & Retirement Corp, now Manor Care, Inc., a healthcare services company. He served as a Director of Manor Care until December 2007 and served as a Director of Cable Design Technologies, Inc., now Belden Inc., for 17 years. He also served as a Director for Utility Service Partners, Inc. from 2003 to 2007. Mr. Tuttle is Chairman of the boards of directors of Velvac Holdings, Inc., ESI Lighting, Inc., Office Resources, Inc., Tender Products Corporation, Polymer Holding Corporation and World Data Products, Inc.

Mr. Tuttle contributes to our board of directors expertise in financing transactions and experience in working with operating companies and management teams as a result of his 20 years of experience in private equity. Having served as a director of the Company for over five years, Mr. Tuttle s long-standing knowledge of and familiarity with Hyatt and our operations benefits the board of directors. Additionally, he is sophisticated in financial and accounting matters.

James H. Wooten, Jr.

New Director Nominee

Age 62

James H. Wooten, Jr. is the Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of Illinois Tool Works Inc. (ITW), a worldwide manufacturer of engineered products and equipment, and has held this position since 2006. Mr. Wooten joined ITW in 1988 as Senior Attorney. He was named Associate General Counsel in 2000, and in 2005, he was promoted to Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary. Prior to joining ITW, Mr. Wooten practiced law at the firm of Gardner, Carton & Douglas, which is currently part of Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP. Mr. Wooten currently serves as a Director of Children s Memorial Hospital, Window to the World Communications, Inc., Congo Square Theatre and National Merit Scholarship Corporation. He also serves on the Audit Committee of Children s Memorial Hospital and Compensation Committee of Window to the World Communications, Inc.

Mr. Wooten brings to our board of directors extensive experience as an executive officer of a Fortune 200 company. Throughout his 22 years with ITW, Mr. Wooten has developed deep expertise and experience in the areas of risk assessment and management, SEC reporting issues and the general financial and operational aspects of managing a global enterprise. The board of directors also values Mr. Wooten s experience on various private and not-for-profit company boards of directors and committees. As an African-American, Mr. Wooten contributes to the diversity of the board of directors.

Continuing Directors

Bernard W. Aronson

Director since December 2004

Age 64

Bernard W. Aronson has been a member of our board of directors since December 2004. Mr. Aronson is the founder and Managing Partner of ACON Investments, LLC, a private equity firm, and has served in this position since 1996. Prior to that, Mr. Aronson served as International Advisor to Goldman, Sachs & Co. and as Assistant Secretary of State for Inter-American Affairs. Mr. Aronson serves as a Director of Liz Claiborne, Inc., Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd., Chroma Oil & Gas, LP, Northern Tier Energy Inc. and The Nature Conservancy (Maryland/District of Columbia Chapter). Mr. Aronson served as a Director of Mariner Energy, Inc. from 2004 until 2010. Mr. Aronson is a member of the Council on Foreign Relations and serves on a number of not-for-profit boards of directors, including the Center for Global Development, for which he is a member of the Executive Committee, and the National Democratic Institute for International Affairs.

Mr. Aronson s experience in international affairs and foreign policy, particularly in Latin America, is valuable to our board of directors given Hyatt s global presence and strategy of expanding the presence of its brands in attractive markets worldwide. Mr. Aronson s background in private equity gives him extensive experience in mergers and acquisitions and financing transactions and working with management teams. Mr. Aronson also brings to the board of directors valuable experience in and knowledge of the travel and tourism industry as a result of his service as a member of the board of directors of Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. Mr. Aronson has significant corporate governance experience as a result of having served on a number of public company boards of directors and board committees.

Mark S. Hoplamazian

Director since 2006

Age 47

Mark S. Hoplamazian has been a member of our board of directors since November 2006. He has served as our President and Chief Executive Officer since December 2006, as interim President from July 2006 to December 2006 and Vice President from August 2004 to December 2004. Mr. Hoplamazian served as Vice President of TPO, the principal financial and investment advisor to various Pritzker family business interests from August 2009 to December 2010. From April 2004 to August 2009, Mr. Hoplamazian served as President and Director of TPO and has served in various capacities with TPO and its predecessors since its formation in 1997, including managing its merchant banking and investment activities. Mr. Hoplamazian serves as Chair of the National Advisory Council on Minority Business Enterprises. He also currently serves on the Board of Trustees and as the Secretary of The Latin School of Chicago. Mr. Hoplamazian is a member of the Discovery Class of the Henry Crown Fellowship at the Aspen Institute.

As Hyatt s President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Hoplamazian provides our board of directors with valuable insight regarding Hyatt s operations, management team, associates and culture, as a result of his day-to-day involvement in the operations of the business, and he performs a critical role in board discussions regarding strategic planning and development for the Company. The board of directors also benefits from Mr. Hoplamazian s historical knowledge of Hyatt. Prior to becoming our President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Hoplamazian regularly advised Hyatt on business and financial matters in his various roles at TPO. Mr. Hoplamazian is financially sophisticated and also has significant mergers and acquisitions and corporate finance experience.

Richard A. Friedman

Director since 2009

Age 53

Richard A. Friedman has been a member of our board of directors since June 2009. Mr. Friedman joined Goldman, Sachs & Co., a full-service global investment banking and securities firm, in 1981, and has been a Partner there since 1990. He has been a Managing Director at Goldman Sachs & Co. since 1996 and is the Head of the Merchant Banking Division of Goldman, Sachs & Co. Mr. Friedman is also the Chairman of the Corporate Investment Committee of the Merchant Banking Division and a Member of the Management Committee of The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. Mr. Friedman is the Chairman of Yankees Entertainment and Sports Network, LLC.

As the Head of the Merchant Banking Division of Goldman, Sachs & Co. and Chairman of the Corporate Investment Committee of the Merchant Banking Division, Mr. Friedman brings to our board of directors deep expertise and experience in a wide variety of areas, including mergers and acquisitions, strategic investments, corporate finance, real estate, corporate governance and human resources. Mr. Friedman has an extensive network of contacts and relationships with investors, financing sources and experienced managers who can be of help to Hyatt.

11

Susan D. Kronick

Director since 2009

Age 59

Susan D. Kronick has been a member of our board of directors since June 2009. From March 2003 until March 2010, Ms. Kronick served as Vice Chair of Macy s, Inc., an operator of Macy s and Bloomingdale s department stores. Ms. Kronick served as Group President, Regional Department Stores of Macy s, Inc. from April 2001 to February 2003; and prior thereto she served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Macy s Florida from June 1997 to March 2001. Ms. Kronick served as a Director of The Pepsi Bottling Group, Inc. from March 1999 to February 2010.

Ms. Kronick brings to our board of directors a strong background in marketing and experience in building industry leading brands as a result of the various management positions she has held with Macy s, Inc., most recently as Vice Chair. As a result of her positions with Macy s, Inc., Ms. Kronick also has gained valuable financial and operations experience. Additionally, she contributes to the gender diversity of the board of directors.

Mackey J. McDonald

Director since 2009

Age 64

Mackey J. McDonald has been a member of our board of directors since June 2009. Mr. McDonald has served as a Senior Advisor to Crestview Partners, a private equity firm, since 2008. Mr. McDonald is the retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of VF Corporation, an apparel manufacturer. Mr. McDonald served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of VF Corporation from 1998 until his retirement in August 2008. From 1996 to 2006, he was the President of VF Corporation; and prior thereto he served as VF Group Vice President. Mr. McDonald also serves as a Director of Wells Fargo and Company, Kraft Foods, Inc. and Bernhardt Industries, Inc. Mr. McDonald served as a Director of VF Corporation from 1993 to 2008, as a Director of The Hershey Company from 1996 to 2007, and as a Director of Tyco International Ltd. from 2002 to 2007.

Mr. McDonald brings to our board of directors deep management and operations experience as well as experience building internationally recognized brands as a result of his leadership positions with VF Industries. The board of directors also values Mr. McDonald s experience as a chief executive officer and significant public company board of directors and executive compensation experience, including his service on the Human Resources Committee of Wells Fargo and Company and former service as Chairman of the Compensation and Human Resources Committee of Tyco International Ltd. and on the Compensation and Executive Organization Committee of The Hershey Company.

Gregory B. Penner

Director since 2007

Age 41

Gregory B. Penner has been a member of our board of directors since August 2007. Mr. Penner has been a General Partner at Madrone Capital Partners, LLC, an investment management firm, since 2005. From 2002 to 2005, he was the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Wal-Mart Japan, and he serves as a Director of Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., Baidu, Inc., and eHarmony.com, Inc. In addition, Mr. Penner serves as a Director of 99Bill Corporation based in Shanghai, China. He has previously served as Director of The Seiyu, Ltd., from 2003 to 2008. Prior to joining Wal-Mart, Mr. Penner was a Manager at Peninsula Capital, an early stage venture capital fund and a financial analyst for Goldman, Sachs & Co.

Mr. Penner brings to our board of directors international business experience, particularly in Asia, as a result of his former position with Wal-Mart Japan and from his service as a director of Baidu, Inc. He is sophisticated in financial and accounting matters and has meaningful operations experience. Additionally, Mr. Penner has experience with public company boards of directors.

12

Penny Pritzker

Director since 2004

Age 51

Penny Pritzker has been a member of our board of directors since August 2004 and served on the board of directors of Hyatt Corporation and Hyatt International Corporation from 1999 to 2004. Ms. Pritzker is the founder and Chairman of and consultant to CC-Development Group, Inc., which operates Vi (formerly known as Classic Residence by Hyatt), an owner and operator of senior living communities throughout the United States; is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Classic Residence Management Limited Partnership, the manager of Vi facilities; serves as President and Chief Executive Officer of Pritzker Realty Group (PRG), a real estate investment and advisory firm; is the President of Frankmon LLC; is co-founder and Chairman of The Parking Spot, a near-airport parking company; serves as Chairman of TransUnion Corp., a credit reporting service company; serves as co-founder and chair of Artemis Real Estate Partners, LLC, a real estate investment firm; is a Director and Vice President of The Pritzker Foundation, a charitable foundation; and served as National Finance Chair of Barack Obama s 2008 presidential campaign. Ms. Pritzker served as a Director of the Marmon Group, Inc. until March 2008 and as a Director of LaSalle Bank Corporation, N.A. from 2004 to 2007. Ms. Pritzker is the first cousin of Mr. Thomas J. Pritzker, who is our executive chairman.

Ms. Pritzker has a long history with Hyatt and deep knowledge of the Company s business having served as a director since the Company s formation in 2004 and as a former director of Hyatt Corporation and Hyatt International Corporation from 1999 to 2004. Through her work with PRG, Vi and various other Pritzker business interests, Ms. Pritzker brings to our board of directors extensive experience in real estate and finance matters. Additionally, Ms. Pritzker has significant experience serving on boards of directors for profit and not-for-profit organizations. Ms. Pritzker also contributes to the gender diversity of the board of directors.

Michael A. Rocca

Director since 2008

Age 66

Michael A. Rocca has been a member of our board of directors since March 2008. From 1994 to 2000, Mr. Rocca served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Mallinckrodt Inc., a pharmaceutical and medical device manufacturer. Prior to 1994, Mr. Rocca served in a variety of finance positions with Honeywell Inc., a diversified technology and manufacturing company, including Vice President, Treasurer and Vice President, Finance Europe. Mr. Rocca also serves as a Director of St. Jude Medical Inc. and Lawson Software, Inc. Mr. Rocca previously served as a Director of Ligand Pharmaceuticals, Inc. from 1999 to 2006.

Mr. Rocca is an audit committee financial expert and has extensive experience chairing public company audit committees. His background as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Mallinckrodt Inc., various finance positions with Honeywell Inc. and overall financial and accounting expertise make Mr. Rocca particularly well-suited to assist our board of directors with its oversight responsibilities regarding Hyatt s financial statements and its financial reporting and disclosure practices.

Other than the relationships of Mr. Thomas J. Pritzker and Ms. Penny Pritzker as described above, there are no family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers.

Our Class III directors, whose terms will expire at the annual meeting of stockholders held during calendar year 2012, are Messrs. McDonald, Friedman and Penner and Ms. Kronick.

Our Class I directors, whose terms will expire at the annual meeting of stockholders held during calendar year 2013, are Messrs. Aronson, Hoplamazian and Rocca and Ms. Pritzker.

While voting agreements entered into with or among our major stockholders are in effect, they may provide our board of directors with effective control over the election of directors. Directors can be removed from our board of directors only for cause. Vacancies on our board of directors, and any newly created director positions created by the expansion of the board of directors, can be filled only by a majority of remaining directors then in office.

Pursuant to a stockholders agreement among us and certain of our investors (referred to in this proxy statement as the 2007 Stockholders Agreement), Madrone GHC, LLC and GS Capital Partners VI Parallel, L.P., an affiliate of Goldman, Sachs & Co., each previously had the right to designate, and our board of directors previously appointed, one representative to the board of directors. See the section titled Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions below for more information about the 2007 Stockholders Agreement. Mr. Penner was appointed as Madrone GHC, LLC s designee and Mr. Friedman was appointed as GS Capital Partners VI Parallel, L.P. s designee. The right of these investors to designate representatives for appointment to our board of directors terminated immediately prior to the consummation of the initial public offering of our Class A common stock. Mr. Penner and Mr. Friedman both currently serve as Class III directors, whose terms expire at the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders (at which time they will be eligible to stand for re-election) and until their successors have been duly elected and qualified.

Pursuant to our employment letter with Mr. Pritzker, we have agreed that so long as he is a member of our board of directors we will use our commercially reasonable efforts to appoint him as our executive chairman as long as he is willing and able to serve in that office. If he is not re-appointed as executive chairman, he will be entitled to terminate his employment with the rights and entitlements available to him under our severance policies as if his employment were terminated by us without cause.

Pursuant to our employment letter with Mr. Hoplamazian, we have agreed that so long as he is our president and chief executive officer, we will use our commercially reasonable efforts to nominate him for re-election as a director prior to the end of his term. If he is not re-elected to the board of directors, he will be entitled to terminate his employment with the rights and entitlements available to him under our severance policies as if his employment were terminated by us without cause.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, Hyatt s board of directors held ten meetings. The audit committee held eight meetings, the compensation committee held six meetings (and took action once by written consent), the nominating and corporate governance committee held three meetings (and took action once by written consent), and the finance committee held five meetings. No incumbent director attended fewer than 75% of the total number of meetings of the board of directors and committees on which such director served during 2010. Hyatt does not have a policy regarding attendance of directors at Hyatt s annual meeting of stockholders. Ten directors attended Hyatt s 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Board Leadership Structure

The Hyatt Hotels Corporation Corporate Governance Guidelines (the *Corporate Governance Guidelines*) provide that the offices of the chairman of the board of directors and chief executive officer may be either combined or separated at the discretion of the board of directors. Mr. Pritzker currently serves as our executive chairman and Mr. Hoplamazian currently serves as our president and chief executive officer. Prior to Mr. Hoplamazian being named to this position in December 2006, Mr. Pritzker served as our executive chairman and chief executive officer. Mr. Hoplamazian also serves on our board of directors. As chief executive officer, Mr. Hoplamazian is responsible for setting the strategic direction for the Company and the day-to-day leadership and performance of the Company, while Mr. Pritzker, as executive chairman, provides guidance to the chief executive officer on a variety of key issues and sets the agenda for board of directors meetings (with input from Mr. Hoplamazian) and presides over meetings of the full board of directors. Our board of directors has determined that Mr. Pritzker s active involvement as executive chairman while Mr. Hoplamazian serves as president and chief executive officer and a director benefits the Company as a result of Mr. Pritzker s deep understanding of the Company s operations, relationships with owners and developers and extensive knowledge of the hospitality industry. Additionally, pursuant to our employment letter with Mr. Pritzker, we have agreed that so long as he is a member of our board of directors we will use our commercially reasonable efforts to appoint him as our executive chairman as long as he is willing and able to serve in that office. If he is not re-appointed as executive chairman, he will be entitled to terminate his employment with the rights and entitlements available to him under our severance policies as if his employment were terminated by us without cause.

14

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines also provide that from time to time, the independent directors may determine that the board of directors should have a lead director. In the event that the independent directors make such a determination, the chairman of the nominating and corporate governance committee shall become the lead director on an *ex officio* basis. In the event that a lead director is designated, his or her duties would include: assisting the chairman of the board and board of directors in assuring compliance with and implementation of the Company s Corporate Governance Guidelines, coordinating the agenda for and moderating sessions of the board of director s non-management directors and acting as principal liaison between the non-management directors and the chairman of the board on sensitive issues. The Company currently has eight independent directors and to date they have not determined that the board of directors should have a lead director.

Our board of directors believes that this current board leadership structure is in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders at this time. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide the flexibility for our board of directors to modify or continue our leadership structure in the future, as it deems appropriate.

Our non-management directors will regularly meet in executive session without management present and our independent directors meet in executive session at least once a year. Mr. Aronson, the chairman of the nominating and corporate governance committee, presides at such sessions.

Board Role in Risk Oversight

Management is responsible for the Company s day-to-day risk management activities and processes, and our board of directors role is to engage in informed oversight of and provide direction with respect to such risk management activities and processes. In fulfilling this oversight role, our board of directors focuses on understanding the nature of our enterprise risks, including risk in our operations, finances and strategic direction. Our board of directors performs this oversight function in a variety of ways, including the following:

the board of directors receives management updates on our business operations, financial results and strategy and, as appropriate, discusses and provides feedback with respect to risks related to those topics;

the Company maintains a risk council that is led by our vice president, internal audit and is comprised of certain members of management from different functional areas and business units and is responsible for identifying, assessing, prioritizing and monitoring critical risks of the Company and periodically reports to the board of directors and the audit committee regarding the Company s risk management processes and procedures; and

the audit committee assists the board of directors in its oversight of risk management by discussing with management, the internal auditors and the independent auditors the Company s policies and procedures with respect to the process governing risk assessment and risk management. To this end, the audit committee discusses with management the Company s major financial, reporting and disclosure risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures.

COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

All interested parties who wish to communicate with any of our directors, including our non-management directors, can address their communications as follows:

Mail: Hyatt Hotels Corporation

Attention: Corporate Secretary 71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60606

Email: shareholdercommunications@hyatt.com

15

Hyatt s corporate secretary will maintain a record of all such communications and promptly forward to the chairman of the nominating and corporate governance committee those that the corporate secretary believes require immediate attention. The corporate secretary will also periodically provide the chairman of the nominating and corporate governance committee with a summary of all such communications. The chairman of the nominating and corporate governance committee shall notify the board of directors or the chairs of the relevant committees of the board of directors of those matters that he or she believes are appropriate for further action or discussion.

CODE OF BUSINESS CONDUCT AND ETHICS

The Company has adopted the Hyatt Hotels Corporation Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (the *Code of Ethics*), which is applicable to all of Hyatt s directors, officers and associates, including the Company s president and chief executive officer, chief financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller and other senior financial officers performing similar functions. The Code of Ethics is posted on the Company s website at *www.hyatt.com* under the headings Investor Relations Corporate Governance Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. The Company will furnish a copy of the Code of Ethics to any person, without charge, upon written request directed to: Senior Vice President Investor Relations, Hyatt Hotels Corporation, 71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60606. In the event that the Company amends or waives any of the provisions of the Code of Ethics that applies to the Company s chief executive officer, chief financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller and other senior financial officers performing similar functions, the Company intends to disclose the relevant information on its website.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE GUIDELINES

The Company has adopted the Corporate Governance Guidelines to assist the board of directors in the exercise of its responsibilities. The Corporate Governance Guidelines are posted on the Company s website at *www.hyatt.com* under the headings Investor Relations Corporate Governance Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Company will furnish a copy of the Corporate Governance Guidelines to any person, without charge, upon written request directed to: Senior Vice President Investor Relations, Hyatt Hotels Corporation, 71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60606.

DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Under our Corporate Governance Guidelines, our board of directors will be comprised of a majority of directors who qualify as independent directors under the listing standards of the NYSE. Directors who do not meet the NYSE s independence standards, including current and former members of management, also make valuable contributions to the board of directors and to Hyatt by reason of their experience and wisdom, and the board of directors expects that some minority of its members will not meet the NYSE s independence standards.

Only those directors who the board of directors affirmatively determines have no direct or indirect material relationship with the Company will be considered independent directors, subject to any additional qualifications prescribed under the listing standards of the NYSE. A material relationship is one that would interfere with the director s exercise of independent judgment in carrying out his or her duties and responsibilities as a director. The nominating and corporate governance committee and the board of directors annually review all relevant business relationships any director or nominee for director may have with Hyatt, including the relationships described in the section below titled Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions. As a result of this review, the board of directors has determined that each of Messrs. Aronson, Friedman, McDonald, Nichols, Rocca, Trott, Tuttle and Ms. Kronick is an independent director under applicable SEC rules and the listing standards of the NYSE. The board of directors has also determined that director nominee James H. Wooten, Jr. will be an independent director if elected. In making these independence determinations, in addition to the relationships described below under Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions, the board of directors considered that certain of these directors serve or previously served together on other boards of directors, not-for-profit boards of directors and charitable organizations, certain directors serve as non-management directors or executive officers of companies

16

with which Hyatt does business, and certain directors are affiliated with charitable organizations that received contributions from Hyatt of amounts within the criteria set forth in our Corporate Governance Guidelines. The board of directors also took into account that certain entities affiliated with the directors paid amounts to Hyatt for room accommodations and meeting space in the ordinary course of business. Relationships considered by the board of directors not otherwise described in this paragraph are disclosed below.

Mr. Aronson is a director and a member of the compensation committee of Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. (*Royal Caribbean*). Mr. Thomas J. Pritzker is a director of Royal Caribbean and Pritzker family non-U.S. situs trusts own approximately 12.5% of the outstanding common stock of Royal Caribbean. Mr. Aronson is the Founder and Managing Partner of ACON Investments, LLC. The Pritzker Family Foundations, LLC made an investment in ACON-Bastion Partners II, L.P., an investment fund affiliated with ACON Investments, LLC. Ms. Penny Pritzker is an officer of The Pritzker Family Foundations, LLC. The board of directors has affirmatively determined that such relationships would not interfere with Mr. Aronson s exercise of independent judgment in carrying out his duties and responsibilities as a director.

Mr. McDonald is a director of Wells Fargo and Company, parent of Wachovia Bank, National Association, which is a lender and administrative agent under the Company scredit facility. The Company made payments to Wachovia of approximately \$835,239 in 2010 under the credit facility. In addition, in 2010 the Company made a payment to Wells Fargo of approximately \$38.6 million to payoff property specific mortgage debt. The board of directors has affirmatively determined that such relationship would not interfere with Mr. McDonald sexercise of independent judgment in carrying out his duties and responsibilities as a director.

Mr. Trott is the managing partner and chief investment officer of BDT Capital Partners, LLC and the president of BDT & Company, LLC. An affiliate of BDT Capital Partners, LLC is the general partner of BDT Capital Partners Fund I, L.P. Trusts for the benefit of Mr. Thomas J. Pritzker and members of his family and trusts for the benefit of Ms. Penny Pritzker and members of her family and Ms. Pritzker s family foundation have subscribed as limited partners in BDT Capital Partners Fund I, L.P. BDT & Company, LLC has been engaged by the co-trustees of the Pritzker family U.S. situs trusts (including Mr. Thomas J. Pritzker) to provide financial advisory services on a broad range of matters. The board of directors has affirmatively determined that such relationships would not interfere with Mr. Trott s exercise of independent judgment in carrying out his duties and responsibilities as a director.

COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Our board of directors has a nominating and corporate governance committee, an audit committee, a compensation committee and a finance committee, each of which has the composition and responsibilities described below. Our board of directors may also establish from time to time any other committees that it deems necessary or desirable. The composition of each committee complies with the listing requirements and other rules of the NYSE.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

Our nominating and corporate governance committee consists of Messrs. Aronson, Trott and Tuttle, with Mr. Aronson serving as chairman. Our board of directors has determined that each of Messrs. Aronson, Trott and Tuttle is independent within the meaning of the listing standards of the NYSE. The nominating and corporate governance committee is authorized to:

assist the board of directors in identifying individuals qualified to become members of the board of directors consistent with criteria approved by the board of directors and set forth in the Corporate Governance Guidelines and to recommend director nominees to the board of directors;

take a leadership role in shaping Hyatt s corporate governance, including developing and recommending to the board of directors corporate governance guidelines and practices applicable to Hyatt;

17

recommend board committee nominees to the board of directors; and

oversee the evaluation of the board of directors and management s performance.

Our board of directors has adopted a written charter for our nominating and corporate governance committee, which is available on our website at www.hyatt.com under the headings Investor Relations Corporate Governance Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter.

Selection of Director Nominees

At an appropriate time prior to each annual meeting of stockholders, or if applicable, a special meeting of stockholders at which directors are to be elected or re-elected, the nominating and corporate governance committee will recommend to the board of directors for nomination such candidates as the committee has found to be well qualified and willing and available to serve, and in each case, providing the committee s assessment whether such candidate would satisfy the independence requirements of the NYSE.

Prior to making such recommendations to the board of directors, the nominating and corporate governance committee conducts inquiries into the background and qualifications of any potential candidates, including the following criteria set forth in Hyatt s Corporate Governance Guidelines:

judgment, character, expertise, skills and knowledge useful to the oversight of Hyatt s business;

diversity of viewpoints, backgrounds and experiences;

business or other relevant experience; and

the extent to which the integrity of the candidate s expertise, skills, knowledge and experience with that of the other directors will build a board of directors that is effective, collegial and responsive to the needs of Hyatt.

The nominating and corporate governance committee also considers such other relevant factors as it deems appropriate, including requirements that the members of the board of directors as a group maintain the requisite qualifications under the applicable NYSE listing standards for independence for the board of directors as a whole and for populating the audit, compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees. While there are no specific minimum qualifications that a director candidate must possess, the nominating and corporate governance committee recommends those candidates who possess the highest personal and professional integrity, have prior experience in corporate management or our industry, maintain academic or operational expertise in an area relating to our business and demonstrate practical and mature business judgment. As described above, our Corporate Governance Guidelines specify that the value of diversity of viewpoints, backgrounds and experiences on the board of directors should be considered by the nominating and corporate governance committee in the director identification and nomination process. The nominating and corporate governance committee seeks nominees with a broad diversity of experience, professions, skills, geographic representation and backgrounds. The nominating and corporate governance committee does not assign specific weighting to particular criteria and no particular criterion is necessarily applicable to all prospective nominees. We believe that the backgrounds and qualifications of the directors, considered as a group, should provide a composite mix of experience, knowledge and abilities that will allow the board of directors to fulfill its responsibilities. Nominees are not discriminated against on the basis of race, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, disability or any other basis proscribed by law.

The nominating and corporate governance committee will consider stockholder recommendations for candidates to be nominated by our board of directors for election at the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders. Stockholders who want to recommend a potential director candidate for consideration by the nominating and corporate governance committee should send a written notice, addressed to the corporate secretary at our principal executive offices at 71 South Wacker Drive, 12th Floor, Chicago Illinois 60606. This notice must include the same information as would be required under our bylaws in a stockholder s notice to nominate a

18

director at the 2012 annual meeting of stockholder. These information requirements are set forth in Sections 3.8(a)(2)(x) and 3.8(a)(2)(z)(i) (vii) of our bylaws.

We also consider potential director candidates recommended by current directors, officers, employees and others. We may also retain the services of search firms to provide us with candidates, especially when we are looking for a candidate with a particular expertise, quality, skill or background. In 2010, we engaged Spencer Stuart, Inc., an executive search consulting firm, and paid related fees in the amount of \$63,739.

The nominating and corporate governance committee screens all potential candidates in the same manner, regardless of the source of the recommendation. The review is typically based on any written materials provided with respect to potential candidates, and the committee reviews the materials to determine the qualifications, experience and background of the candidates. Final candidates are typically interviewed by one or more members of the nominating and corporate governance committee. In making its determinations, the nominating and corporate governance committee evaluates each individual in the context of our board of directors as a whole, with the objective of assembling a group that can best perpetuate the success of our company and represent stockholder interests through the exercise of sound judgment. After review and deliberation of all feedback and data, the nominating and corporate governance committee makes a recommendation to the full board of directors regarding whom should be nominated by the board of directors.

The nominating and corporate governance committee did not receive any director recommendations from a stockholder for consideration at the 2011 Annual Meeting. December 1, 2011 is the deadline established by the nominating and corporate governance committee for submission of potential director nominees for consideration by the nominating and corporate governance committee for nomination at the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders.

Audit Committee

Hyatt s audit committee, which was established in accordance with section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act, consists of Messrs. Rocca and Tuttle and Ms. Kronick, with Mr. Rocca serving as chairman. If Mr. Wooten is elected to the board of directors, the board of directors intends to appoint him to serve as a member of the audit committee in addition to Messrs. Rocca and Tuttle and Ms. Kronick. Our board of directors also determined that each of Messrs. Rocca, Tuttle and Wooten and Ms. Kronick is independent within the meaning of applicable SEC rules and the listing standards of the NYSE, and has determined that Mr. Rocca is an audit committee financial expert, as such term is defined in the rules and regulations of the SEC. The audit committee has oversight responsibilities regarding:

the integrity of our financial statements and our financial reporting and disclosure practices;

the soundness of our system of internal controls regarding finance and accounting compliance;

the annual independent audit of our consolidated financial statements;

the independent registered public accounting firm s qualifications and independence;

the engagement of the independent registered public accounting firm;

the performance of our independent registered public accounting firm;

our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements in connection with the foregoing;

compliance with our Code of Ethics;

assisting the board of directors in its oversight of risk management by discussing with management, the internal auditors and the independent auditors the Company s policies and procedures with respect to the process governing risk assessment and risk management, and discussing with management the Company s major financial, reporting and disclosure risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures;

19

reviewing and approving procedures with respect to employee submission of and the Company s response to complaints received regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters; and

addressing requests for waivers of conflict of interest situations and addressing certain concerns related to accounting, internal accounting controls and auditing matters as provided in our Corporate Governance Guidelines.

Our board of directors has adopted a written charter for our audit committee, which is available on our website at www.hyatt.com under the headings Investor Relations Corporate Governance Audit Committee Charter.

Finance Committee

Our finance committee consists of Messrs. Pritzker, Trott and Penner and Ms. Pritzker, with Mr. Pritzker serving as chairman. The finance committee is responsible for reviewing with Company management strategies, plans, policies and significant actions relating to corporate finance matters, including, without limitation, the following matters:

long and short-term financings, including, without limitation (i) borrowing of funds from, or issuance of debt securities to, one or more lenders, in each case involving an amount in excess of \$50,000,000, (ii) designation and issuance of our equity securities and matters related to the sale and marketing thereof, (iii) any financial guaranty in excess of \$50,000,000, and (iv) any credit support in excess of \$50,000,000;

changes in our capital structure, including, but not limited to, (i) cash and stock dividend policies, (ii) programs to repurchase our stock, (iii) issues relating to the redemption and/or issuance of our preferred stock, and (iv) stock splits;

investments (including the making of loans), divestitures and acquisitions, in each case involving an amount in excess of \$50,000,000;

capital expenditures and leasing arrangements, in each case involving an amount in excess of \$50,000,000; and

oversight of administration and asset management of our unfunded and funded employee benefit plans and amounts held for operating needs.

In addition to its review responsibilities described above, the finance committee has the power and authority to approve on behalf of the board of directors all matters set forth in the first bullet point above (except the issuances of equity) and all of the matters set forth in the third, fourth and fifth bullet points above. Approval of the following matters is specifically reserved to the full board of directors:

issuances of equity;

debt financings, financial guarantees and/or credit support involving in each case an amount in excess of \$100 million;

all matters described in the second bullet point above;

merger, acquisition and divestiture activities involving companies, businesses or assets valued in each case in an amount in excess of \$100 million; and

capital expenditures and leasing arrangements involving in each case an amount in excess of \$100 million.

Our board of directors has adopted a written charter for our finance committee, which is available on our website at www.hyatt.com under the headings Investor Relations Corporate Governance Finance Committee Charter.

20

Compensation Committee

Our compensation committee consists of Messrs. McDonald, Aronson and Friedman, with Mr. McDonald serving as chairman. Our board of directors has determined that each of Messrs. McDonald, Aronson and Friedman is independent within the meaning of the listing standards of the NYSE. However, Mr. Friedman is not an outside director for purposes of Section 162(m) (Section 162(m)) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), or a non-employee director under Section 16 of the Exchange Act. Accordingly, the compensation committee has appointed a sub-committee consisting of Messrs. McDonald and Aronson (the Section 162(m) and Section 16 subcommittee) to take actions with respect to any compensation intended to qualify as performance based compensation and be deductible under Section 162(m) or exempt from the short-swing rules under Rule 16b-3 of the Exchange Act. The compensation committee is authorized to discharge the responsibilities of the board of directors relating to:

the establishment, maintenance and administration of compensation and benefit policies designed to attract, motivate and retain personnel with the requisite skills and abilities to enable us to achieve superior operating results;

the goals, objectives and compensation of our president and chief executive officer, including evaluating the performance of the president and chief executive officer in light of those goals;

the compensation of our other executive officers and non-management directors;

ensuring that succession planning takes place for the chief executive officer and other senior management positions;

our compliance with the compensation rules, regulations and guidelines promulgated by the NYSE, the SEC and other law, as applicable; and

the issuance of an annual report on executive compensation for inclusion in our annual proxy statement.

Our board of directors has adopted a written charter for our compensation committee, which is available on our website at www.hyatt.com under the headings Investor Relations Corporate Governance Compensation Committee Charter.

During 2010 the compensation committee relied upon information provided by Mercer (US) Inc. (*Mercer*) in setting compensation for our named executive officers, as more thoroughly discussed below under the section titled Compensation Consultant Fees and Services.

In making decisions about executive compensation, the compensation committee considered input from Mercer, our executive chairman, president and chief executive officer, chief human resources officer and our vice president of executive compensation. However, the compensation committee ultimately makes all compensation decisions regarding our executive officers, other than our executive chairman, whose compensation is approved by the full board of directors.

The compensation committee may delegate its duties to a subcommittee under the terms of its charter. In addition, under the terms of our Amended and Restated Long-Term Incentive Plan (as amended, the *LTIP*), the compensation committee may delegate to other members of the board of directors and to our officers the authority to make awards and to amend LTIP awards, except that it may not delegate the authority to make any awards to officers who are subject to Section 16 of the Exchange Act or to make awards to themselves. To date, other than the delegation to the Section 162(m) and Section 16 subcommittee, the compensation committee has not delegated any of its authority under the LTIP.

Compensation Consultant Fees and Services

Mercer was engaged by management directly to provide executive, director and other compensation services on which management and the compensation committee both rely. During 2010, Mercer performed the following services:

provided information and data so that we could assess the competitiveness of our executive and director compensation programs;

advised on current base and incentive compensation practices;

provided analysis regarding our total rewards program, deferred compensation programs, LTIP awards, and dilution and run-rate under the LTIP;

assisted in the design and provided advice regarding the implementation of an employee stock purchase plan;

assisted with international insurance proposals and renewal negotiations; and

provided communication support for our total rewards program and changes implemented in 2010 to such program. Mercer supports the needs of both management and the compensation committee. The compensation committee has determined that it is not necessary to retain Mercer separately, or to retain its own compensation consultant, as the committee is confident that the advice it receives from Mercer is objective and not influenced by Mercer s or its affiliates—relationships with Hyatt. In particular, the individual executive compensation consultant used:

receives no incentive or other compensation based on the fees charged to Hyatt for other services provided by Mercer or any of its affiliates;

is not responsible for selling other Mercer or affiliate services to the Company; and

is prohibited by Mercer s professional standards from considering any other relationships Mercer or any of its affiliates may have with Hyatt in rendering his advice and recommendations.

The following is a summary of the fees for professional services, as well as commissions with respect to international insurance matters, paid to Mercer and its affiliates for services rendered in 2010:

Fee Category	2010
Executive and Director Compensation Consulting	\$ 178,004
Non-Executive Compensation Consulting	\$ 429,719
Non-Executive Compensation Services by an Affiliate of Mercer	\$ 1,449,098(1)
Total	\$ 2,056,821

(1) Amount represents commissions and consulting fees paid to an affiliate of Mercer with respect to international insurance matters. **Compensation Risk Considerations**

The compensation committee reviews and evaluates, in conjunction with management, the incentives and material risks arising from or relating to the Company s compensation programs and arrangements and determines whether such incentives and risks are appropriate. A team made up of members from our internal audit and human resources departments reviewed the Company s incentive compensation plans and programs in order to assess whether or not any such plans or programs could create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. Management then reviewed such assessment with the compensation

committee. In such assessment, the Company determined that the following policies discourage unreasonable or excessive risk-taking by executives:

base salary levels are commensurate with the overall experience, time in the role, and performance of each named executive officer (NEO) (and the competitive market) so that the executive officers are not motivated to take excessive risks to achieve a level of financial security;

annual incentive plans include a diverse mix of corporate and individual performance metrics, including non-financial measures;

annual incentive payouts are capped to ensure that no payout exceeds a specified percentage of salary, thereby moderating the impact of short-term incentives:

the mix of short- and long-term incentives is weighted such that a significant percentage of total opportunity is in the form of long-term equity awards;

awards made under our LTIP are granted as a mix of stock appreciation rights (SARs) and restricted stock units (RSUs) that vest over time which, together, encourage NEOs to focus on sustained stock price performance;

activities, controls and monitoring procedures are in place, including but not limited to compensation committee oversight, that mitigate risks associated with incentive compensation plans;

in addition to our chief executive officer and chief financial officer being subject to the clawback provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Company has adopted a compensation recovery policy, described below in the section titled Share Ownership Requirement and Compensation Recovery Policy; and

share ownership requirements align the long-term interests of NEOs with the interests of stockholders.

Based on these and other considerations, the Company concluded that there are no compensation policies or practices that create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material effect on the Company.

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

We use a combination of cash and stock-based incentive compensation to attract and retain qualified candidates to serve on the board of directors. In setting director compensation, we consider the significant amount of time that directors expend in fulfilling their duties as well as the skill level required by members of our board of directors.

Retainers and Committee Fees

Our directors who are also our employees do not receive any additional compensation for their services as our directors. Accordingly, Messrs. Pritzker and Hoplamazian do not receive any compensation for their services as directors. For 2010, members of the board of directors who are not our employees were entitled to receive annual cash retainers of \$50,000 and stock compensation of \$75,000. Directors may elect to receive their annual cash retainer in Class A common stock. For new non-employee directors, annual stock compensation is pro-rated based on the number of whole fiscal quarters served during the fiscal year. The annual cash and stock retainers are paid on the second to last business day of each quarter provided the director served for the full fiscal quarter. Committee members and the chairman of each committee received the following additional cash retainers for 2010:

Committee Name	 ttee Member etainer	 ee Chairman tainer
Audit Committee	\$ 9,000	\$ 25,000
Compensation Committee	\$ 3,000	\$ 12,000
Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee	\$ 3,000	\$ 6,000
Finance Committee(1)	\$ 3,000	\$ 6,000

(1) As an employee of the Company, Mr. Pritzker was not eligible to receive and did not receive a retainer for his service as chairman of the finance committee in 2010.

The chairman of a committee receives only the chairman retainer and does not also receive the committee member retainer. Committee retainers are paid in quarterly installments on the second to last business day of each quarter based on the individual s service for such quarter. For 2010, each non-employee committee member received cash compensation of \$1,200 for each full committee meeting attended (in person or by telephone). All of our directors are reimbursed for reasonable expenses incurred in connection with attending board of director meetings and committee meetings and for attending corporate functions on our behalf. To encourage our directors to visit and personally evaluate our properties, the directors are eligible for complimentary and discounted rooms at Hyatt-owned, operated or franchised hotels, as well as the use of hotel-related services when on personal travel.

Newly Elected Directors

In addition to the annual cash and stock compensation, each non-employee director upon joining the board of directors receives \$75,000 of our Class A common stock based on the value of the shares on the date the director is first elected or appointed to the board of directors.

Non-Employee Director Stock Ownership Guidelines

Until January 1, 2011, our Corporate Governance Guidelines required that each non-employee director accumulate and own, directly or indirectly, at least \$150,000 worth of our common stock (or common stock equivalents held under the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan described below) at all times during his or her tenure on the board of directors. As noted below under the section titled 2011 Director Compensation Changes, this ownership requirement has been increased from \$150,000 to \$225,000 and our current non-employee directors have until November 2014 to meet this ownership requirement. Any new non-employee directors will have up to five years of service on the board of directors to meet this ownership requirement. If, after a director is required to meet the stock ownership guidelines, the market value of such director s stock should fall below the target level, the director will not be permitted to sell any of our common stock until the market value again exceeds the target level. These sale limitations do not apply where the decline in value of the director s holdings of our common stock is in connection with a change of control transaction.

Directors Deferred Compensation Plan

Each non-employee director may elect to defer all or any portion of his or her annual cash and annual stock retainers under our Directors Deferred Compensation Plan. Once an election is made to defer a retainer, the decision may be revoked or changed only for subsequent calendar years. Under the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan, a director who elects to defer any of his or her annual cash retainer may elect to have such amount invested in a notional cash account, which is credited with interest quarterly at the prime rate, or in stock units equivalent to our Class A common stock. Deferrals of annual stock retainers are invested in stock units equivalent to our Class A common stock. Any retainers deferred into stock units are entitled to receive additional stock units equal to the amount of any dividends payable on the stock units held by the director. The director may also elect to receive payment for any such deferrals at either the date of the director s departure from the board of directors or on the last business day of March of the fifth year following the year in which such retainer was earned. Stock units are paid in shares of our Class A common stock from shares reserved for issuance under our LTIP.

2010 Director Compensation

The following table provides information related to the compensation of our non-employee directors earned for 2010:

Name	es Earned or d in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Total (\$)
Bernard W. Aronson	\$ 69,800	\$ 75,049	\$ 144,849
Richard A. Friedman(2)(3)	\$ 10,200	\$ 226,018	\$ 236,218
Susan D. Kronick(2)(3)	\$ 18,600	\$ 226,018	\$ 244,618
Mackey J. McDonald(2)(3)	\$ 19,200	\$ 226,018	\$ 245,218
John D. Nichols(2)	\$ 0	\$ 125,078	\$ 125,078
Gregory B. Penner(2)	\$ 6,600	\$ 125,078	\$ 131,678
Penny Pritzker(2)	\$ 12,150	\$ 125,078	\$ 137,228
Michael A. Rocca	\$ 84,600	\$ 75,049	\$ 159,649
Byron D. Trott	\$ 65,600	\$ 75,049	\$ 140,649
Richard C. Tuttle(2)	\$ 25,200	\$ 125,084	\$ 150,284

(1) Amounts shown represent the grant date fair value of stock or stock units in payment of annual stock retainers in 2010 as computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) (Accounting Standards Codification (ASC)) Topic 718, Compensation Stock Compensation. For a discussion of the assumptions made in the valuation, see Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for 2010 contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 17, 2011. As described above under Directors Deferred Compensation Plan, directors may elect to defer their stock and cash fees into RSUs. The following table sets forth the aggregate number of outstanding RSUs held by each director as of December 31, 2010:

Name	Stock Units
Bernard W. Aronson	7,283
Richard A. Friedman	3,170
Mackey J. McDonald	6,058
John D. Nichols	11,342
Michael A. Rocca	7,915
Richard C. Tuttle	12,610

- (2) Mr. McDonald, Mr. Nichols, Mr. Penner, Ms. Kronick and Ms. Pritzker elected to receive their annual cash retainers of \$50,000 in the form of our Class A common stock while Messrs. Friedman and Tuttle elected to defer their annual cash retainer of \$50,000 into RSUs under the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan. As a result, each of these directors received 1,267 shares or RSUs (if deferred) based on the fair market value of our Class A common stock on the date the retainers were payable.
- (3) Messrs. Friedman and McDonald and Ms. Kronick were appointed to the board of directors effective June 2, 2009. Under the terms of our director compensation program in effect at that time, each received his or her initial stock retainer thirteen months following appointment, or July 2010. The number of shares paid to each director was determined by dividing \$75,000 by \$26.00, the deemed stock price on June 2, 2009. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the value of the shares reported in the table is based on a stock price of \$35.00 representing the value deemed to be earned at the time that the shares were paid to the directors in July 2010.

25

2011 Director Compensation Changes

In September 2010, after reviewing relevant market data, the board of directors approved the following changes to the non-employee director compensation program effective January 1, 2011:

increased the annual cash retainer from \$50,000 to \$70,000;

eliminated the committee meeting fee of \$1,200 per meeting;

increased the annual equity retainer from \$75,000 to \$105,000;

increased the director stock ownership requirement from \$150,000 to \$225,000;

increased the retainer for the chairman of the Compensation Committee from \$12,000 to \$25,000; and

provided that the annual equity retainer be paid annually on the date of the Company s annual meeting of stockholders, rather than quarterly.

These changes were intended to simplify the program and make it more competitive.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The compensation committee has reviewed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis set forth below and discussed its contents with the Company s management. Based on this review and discussion, the compensation committee recommended to the board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement and incorporated by reference in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

Mackey J. McDonald, Chairman

Bernard W. Aronson

Richard A. Friedman

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

Our compensation committee consists of Messrs. McDonald, Aronson and Friedman, with Mr. McDonald serving as chairman. None of the members of our compensation committee has at any time been one of our executive officers or employees. None of our executive officers currently serves, or has served during the last completed fiscal year, on the compensation committee or board of directors of any other entity that has one or more executive officers serving as a member of our compensation committee. None of our executive officers currently serves, or has served during the last completed fiscal year, on the compensation committee of any other entity that has one or more executive officers serving as a member of our board of directors. Because of his affiliation with Goldman, Sachs & Co., Mr. Friedman had certain relationships with the Company during 2010 that are required to be disclosed under the SEC rules relating to disclosure of related party transactions. See the section below titled Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions for more information.

ARTICLE III: EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following discussion describes the compensation elements of our total rewards program for our NEOs, including our principal executive officer (PEO), principal financial officer (PEO) and our three most highly compensated executive officers. We are also including discussion and disclosure regarding our executive chairman as an NEO, even though he technically does not qualify as either our PEO or one of our three most highly compensated executive officers under the SEC proxy disclosure rules.

Our NEOs for 2010 were:

Name Position

Thomas J. Pritzker Executive Chairman of the Board

Mark S. Hoplamazian (PEO) President and Chief Executive Officer

Harmit J. Singh (PFO) Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer

Rakesh K. Sarna Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer International
H. Charles Floyd Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer North America

Stephen G. Haggerty Executive Vice President, Global Head of Real Estate and Development

Our compensation committee is responsible for establishing, maintaining and administering our compensation programs for our NEOs and other executives.

Philosophy and Goals of Our Executive Compensation Program

Our goal is to be the preferred brand for guests and owners and the preferred employer for employees. We believe that this goal is central to and best promotes value creation for our stockholders. Our compensation philosophy is to provide an appropriate base of cash compensation and to align all incentive and long-term components of compensation to support long-term value creation for our stockholders. We have focused on defining annual financial and non-financial goals around metrics that we believe support and promote enhancement of long-term brand value. We believe that this is the best way to align our total rewards with creation of long-term stockholder value. To attract, recruit, develop, engage and retain the talent needed to deliver on this goal, our compensation programs are designed to:

retain the employee capabilities required to achieve our goal and appropriately motivate employees through the alignment of total rewards with performance goals;

address the needs and preferences of employees as individuals and as members of high-performing teams;

be innovative and competitive, recognizing the ever changing dynamics of the labor market and acknowledging that, in attracting, retaining and developing talent globally, we need to offer compelling employment opportunities; and

be cost effective and financially sustainable over time under varying business conditions. To accomplish these goals, our executive compensation program is based on a total rewards program, which provides:

compensation, including forms of current cash and incentive compensation, as well as long-term stock-based compensation;

benefits, including retirement-related, healthcare and other welfare programs;

work/lifestyle programs, including paid-time off, specified number of free hotel stays and other programs that promote well-being; and

training and development.

Our total rewards program is designed to provide rewards for superior individual, team and organizational performance and to emphasize long-term incentive compensation and variable compensation.

27

Table of Contents

For our NEOs, long-term incentive compensation in the form of stock-based awards was the predominant form of compensation in 2010 as shown below:

As a result, if Hyatt s share value declines, so does the total compensation that our NEOs actually realize.

Alignment with Stockholder Interests

The compensation committee periodically reviews what it considers to be best practices in governance and executive compensation. As a result, the compensation committee believes that Hyatt s executive compensation program is aligned with stockholders because Hyatt:

does not provide for tax reimbursement payments or gross-ups except in limited cases for new hire relocation;

has eliminated virtually all executive perquisites;

requires executive officers to maintain specific stock ownership levels to align their interests with stockholders;

has policies in place that provide for the forfeiture of vested and unvested equity awards in the event that an NEO competes with Hyatt or violates certain other restrictive covenants;

does not permit repricing of SARs or options without stockholder approval;

does not provide supplemental defined benefit pensions to executives;

generally provides limited severance protections for NEOs (see the section below titled Potential Payments on Termination or Change in Control);

does not use automatic single trigger arrangements that provide change in control payments or vesting of stock-based compensation without loss of employment or material adverse change in job duties; and

defines a change in control to occur only upon actual consummation of a transaction, not merely stockholder approval.

28

Role of the Outside Consultant

Mercer provides consulting services to management and our compensation committee to help:

assess the competitiveness of our executive compensation programs;

advise on current incentive compensation and executive benefit practices; and

assist with the preparation of this Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

Mercer consultants also work on our plan design for retirement, international benefits and manager incentives, as well as the administration of certain of our plans. See the section above titled Compensation Consultant Fees and Services for further information regarding services performed by Mercer in 2010.

Role of Executive Officers

In making decisions about executive compensation, the compensation committee invites our executive chairman, our president and chief executive officer, our chief human resources officer and our vice president of executive compensation to present various compensation proposals at the committee meetings and to answer any questions the committee may have. With respect to the compensation of our chief executive officer, the compensation committee meets in executive session with the executive chairman and, from time to time, our chief human resources officer is present at such meetings.

Market Data

Mercer helps us assess the market competitiveness of our NEOs annual cash and long-term incentives. In doing so, Mercer used several survey sources, and where data for comparable positions was available in the hospitality/restaurant or lodging industry they provided such data. In addition to any such data available from the hospitality/restaurant or lodging industry, Mercer provides general industry survey data for the compensation committee s consideration. We include restaurant companies in our market data, along with our competitors in the hospitality and lodging business, as these are companies with which we compete for management talent. The restaurant companies included in our market data surveys also have a similar business profile to ours, in that they have franchise operations, in some cases they own restaurants that they manage, have global operations and scope, and are in a consumer facing and customer oriented service business.

In 2010, we reviewed the competitiveness of our compensation against the following peer group which was selected based on several factors, including business mix and model, revenues, global presence and the strength of their brands:

Carnival Corporation Las Vegas Sands Corporation

Marriott International Inc. Wyndham Worldwide Corporation

Starwood Hotels and Resorts Worldwide, Inc.

Brinker International, Inc.

Boyd Gaming Corporation Wynn Resorts

Starbucks Corporation Burger King Holdings, Inc.

MGM Mirage Wendy s/Arby s Group, Inc.

Darden Restaurants, Inc. Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc.

Royal Caribbean Cruises, Ltd. Yum! Brands, Inc.

Going forward, Burger King Holdings, Inc. will be eliminated from the peer group because it is no longer a publicly traded company.

29

For 2010 we set our base salaries, annual incentive targets and long-term incentives by reference to the review of market competitiveness, although we did not benchmark or target our NEOs pay to any particular percentile or level or to any subset of the competitors listed above. Rather, we used the data obtained from this review merely as one of the reference points for determining how our NEOs compensation compared to market levels.

Arrangements for Mr. Pritzker

Mr. Pritzker is subject to a different compensation program than the other NEOs. His compensation program is discussed separately below, under Executive Chairman Compensation.

Key Elements of Total Rewards in 2010

Our total rewards programs include fixed and variable compensation as well as other benefits. We provide the following compensation elements to our NEOs:

Compensation Element	Purpose	Description
Base Salary	Fixed component of pay that fairly compensates the individual based upon level of responsibilities	Fixed cash payments
Annual Incentive	Align compensation with performance at the enterprise and business segment or functional level	Variable annual cash award
Long-Term Incentive	Reward for creating long-term stockholder value and provide alignment with stockholders	Equity instruments, including stock appreciation rights and restricted stock units
Employee Benefits	Retirement, health and other benefits that provide comprehensive long-term financial security to a globally mobile workforce, enable us to maintain a healthy and productive workforce and attract and retain employees	401(k) plan, deferred compensation programs with matching and retirement contributions, health, life and disability insurance as well as certain perquisites

Base Salary

Salaries for our NEOs are reviewed annually. Our NEOs salaries for 2010 reflected several factors, including overall experience, time in the role, performance, market levels and the desire to provide an appropriate base as part of their overall total rewards.

Messrs. Hoplamazian, Singh, Sarna, Floyd, and Haggerty were provided a base salary increase in 2010 (after not receiving a salary increase in 2009) with the increases ranging from approximately 2% to 2.5%. In addition, each NEO was provided a base salary increase of \$11,200 to offset the elimination of perquisites related to financial planning, executive physicals and car allowances. See the section below titled Executive Chairman Compensation for a discussion of Mr. Pritzker s 2010 base salary.

Annual Incentive

Our annual incentive plan provides at-risk compensation designed to reward executives for achievement of operating results over a one-year period. Incentives are based on both financial and non-financial metrics that are intended to balance overall focus on corporate financial performance, business unit financial performance and other initiatives that will strengthen our competitive position. Our annual incentive plan also includes a discretionary leadership component that provides flexibility in assessing how our executives are meeting the needs of our business.

Under the terms of his employment agreement, Mr. Pritzker is not eligible for annual incentives under our plan as his role is to focus on Hyatt s long-term development. As such, he is eligible to receive long-term incentive awards through our long-term incentive program.

Mr. Hoplamazian s target and maximum incentives are set according to the terms of his 2009 employment agreement. The target and maximum incentive opportunities for our other NEOs are determined based on references to market data and the individual s role in the organization, overall experience and time in the role. In particular, the compensation committee considered the total compensation market data for these positions. The compensation committee did not set a pre-determined target weighting for the different forms of compensation or target to a certain percentile. Rather, they focused more on delivering a total compensation package which would attract a high level of talent while weighting more of the NEO s total compensation potential on variable and long-term incentives, thereby aligning it with the interests of our stockholders. As a result of this review, the target annual and maximum incentive opportunities for Messrs. Singh, Sarna, Floyd, and Haggerty were increased in 2010. For 2010 performance, the target and maximum annual incentive opportunities as a percentage of base salary for each NEO who participated in our annual incentive plan were as follows:

Position	Target	Maximum
Mark S. Hoplamazian	150%	300%
Harmit J. Singh	85%	127.5%
Rakesh K. Sarna	100%	150%
H. Charles Floyd	100%	150%
Stephen G. Haggerty	80%	120%

For 2010, the annual incentive plan used the following types of incentive goals for each of our incentive eligible NEOs:

Hyatt Financial Performance: The compensation committee decided that it would evaluate the Company s 2010 financial performance (Hyatt Financial Performance) relative to historic performance, the economy, and management s outlook for 2010 as reviewed by the board of directors at the end of 2009. Factors considered included, but were not limited to, revenues, Adjusted EBITDA, net income and cash flow generated by the Company without setting specific targets for any of them. Executives would only be eligible for an award above target for the Hyatt Financial Performance component if 2010 Adjusted EBITDA exceeded 2009 Adjusted EBITDA of \$406 million. See Part II, Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Key Business Metrics Evaluated by Management Adjusted EBITDA of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 for our definition of Adjusted EBITDA.

Financial and Non-Financial MBOs: Financial and non-financial management objectives (MBOs), which are designed to incentivize each individual in his area of responsibility, as well as build brand value over time.

Leadership: Goals based on leadership, collaboration and fulfillment of our values. For 2010, the weighting of incentive goals for each relevant NEO was as follows:

Goal	Hoplamazian	Singh	Floyd	Sarna	Haggerty
Hyatt Financial Performance	37.5%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%
Financial and Non-Financial MBOs	42.5%	55.0%	55.0%	55.0%	55.0%
Leadership	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

31

The compensation committee reviewed Hyatt Financial Performance in 2010, in particular focusing on improvement in Adjusted EBITDA, net income and operating margins, and awarded the NEOs 150% of target for this component on the basis that financial performance exceeded expectations with a stronger than expected recovery.

Hoplamazian s 2010 Annual Incentive

As shown above, Mr. Hoplamazian s annual incentive for 2010 was weighted 37.5% on Hyatt Financial Performance, 42.5% on achievement of financial and non-financial MBOs, and 20% on leadership goals.

Mr. Hoplamazian s financial and non-financial MBO goals for 2010 were:

<u>Increase Market Share</u>. Increase the ratio of hotels that increase market share relative to those for which market share decreased for each of International and North American full service hotels and North American select service hotels. The factors to be considered include the (a) breadth of improvement; (b) performance in each segment; (c) magnitude of improvement; and (d) achievement for North American select service hotels in both mid-week and weekend segments.

<u>Capital Management</u>. Deploy capital consistent with established capital structure targets and underwriting disciplines.

<u>Asset Strategy</u>. Develop a property recycling plan and evaluate monetization of other selected assets. Consider tax and earnings planning as well as alignment with overall strategic goals in this context.

<u>Guest Satisfaction</u>. Increase guest satisfaction for each of International hotels, North American full service hotels (for individual guests and for meeting planners) and North American select service hotels. The factors to be considered include the (a) breadth of improvement; (b) performance in each segment; (c) performance across segments; and (d) magnitude of improvement.

<u>Associate Engagement</u>. Assist in the establishment of action plans for the senior leadership team focused on increased associate engagement. Develop methodology to demonstrate connection between involving associates in decision-making with improvements in overall performance at the hotel level and at the corporate office.

Growth. Achieve the Company s development goals in 2010.

<u>Brands</u>. Complete (a) enhanced definition and communication of each brand; (b) enhancement of a customer data management initiative; (c) enhance measurement tools used to measure total brand preference over time; (d) enhance brand identity and communication strategy for China by end of year; and (e) refine the distribution channel strategies by the end of 2010.

<u>Organization</u>. Refine organization structure to better align to customers and to support overall goals of increasing market share and guest satisfaction levels. Complete organizational and process changes in a variety of functional areas.

Based on input from our executive chairman and the review of our performance during 2010, the compensation committee awarded Mr. Hoplamazian 92% of his financial and non-financial MBO component. In doing so, the compensation committee considered Mr. Hoplamazian s achievements in positioning the Company well for future growth, capital deployment, refinement of the asset strategy and development activities, and guest satisfaction scores. The compensation committee determined that the goals for improvement in market share, brand strategy and associate engagement had not been fully completed during the year.

The compensation committee awarded Mr. Hoplamazian 98% of the leadership component based on the compensation committee s assessment of his leadership and fulfillment of Hyatt s values in setting the tone for the overall enterprise.

Accordingly, based on Hyatt Financial Performance and the factors and considerations discussed above, the compensation committee awarded Mr. Hoplamazian a 2010 annual incentive payment of \$1,700,000.

Other NEOs 2010 Annual Incentives

The annual incentives for Messrs. Singh, Sarna, Floyd and Haggerty were weighted 25% on Hyatt Financial Performance, 55% on achievement of financial and non-financial MBOs for their areas of responsibility, and 20% on leadership goals. In assessing each of these NEO s achievement of his MBOs and leadership goals, the compensation committee sought Mr. Hoplamazian s input and recommendations; however, all decisions as to level of achievement were ultimately made by the compensation committee.

Singh s 2010 Annual Incentive

As shown above, Mr. Singh s annual incentive for 2010 was weighted 25.0% on Hyatt Financial Performance, 55.0% on achievement of financial and non-financial MBOs, and 20% on leadership goals.

Mr. Singh s financial and non-financial MBO goals for 2010 were:

<u>Capital Deployment</u>. Support the deployment of capital against initiatives that will enhance brand preference, grow long-term stockholder value, returns on invested capital, and earnings, with the objective to enhance the Company s presence in selected markets around the world and balance the Company s risk profile, evaluate and quantify total exposures to different types of investments and across different geographies.

<u>Capital Structure</u>. Continue to evolve the long-term capital structure to improve debt-equity balance, improve balance between fixed and floating interest rates while maintaining investment grade ratings. Review capital and ownership structures of our joint ventures to evaluate underlying risks and opportunities associated with our investments.

<u>Selected Activities to Support Long-Term Goals</u>. Complete a financial shared services project, refine long-term tax strategy and deliver information technology programs at budgeted levels.

Organization. Continue to build a high functioning global finance, risk and technology team including investor relations group. Enhance talent and become an exporter of talent to other areas in the Company. Develop succession plans. Improve engagement within finance, risk and technology areas.

<u>Processes</u>. Develop and deliver effective and efficient processes in finance focusing on long range plan update processes, forecasting, budgeting, capital expenditures, audits, public company requirements and post audit review on investments.

The compensation committee awarded Mr. Singh 98% of his financial and non-financial MBO component based on completion of a financial shared services project, ongoing development of the finance, risk and technology team and other capital structure goals. The compensation committee determined that Mr. Singh did not fully achieve his goals in the areas of associate engagement and development of certain financial processes, resulting in less than 100% achievement.

The compensation committee awarded Mr. Singh 93% of the leadership component based on his support of our values, his leadership and collaborative efforts, and other areas of activity.

Accordingly, based on Hyatt Financial Performance and the factors and considerations discussed above, the compensation committee awarded Mr. Singh a 2010 annual incentive payment of \$534,000.

Sarna s 2010 Annual Incentive

As shown above, Mr. Sarna s annual incentive for 2010 was weighted 25.0% on Hyatt Financial Performance, 55.0% on achievement of financial and non-financial MBOs, and 20% on leadership goals.

Mr. Sarna s financial and non-financial MBO goals for 2010 were:

<u>International Operations Financial Performance</u>. Enhance financial performance of the International Operations business unit for 2010 relative to historical performance, taking into consideration the economy and management s outlook for international operations for 2010 as reviewed at the beginning of 2010.

<u>Increase Market Share</u>. Achieve market share goals for individual properties and demonstrate increase in market share across hotels in International Operations. The factors to be considered include the (a) breadth of improvement; (b) performance in each segment; (c) performance across segments; and (d) magnitude of improvement.

<u>Organization</u>. Finalize succession plan for corporate director level and above positions in the relevant offices and for all area vice presidents. Refine the technical services functions globally to align resources, personnel and processes to be consistent with needs in operations and in development. Retain and develop top talent.

<u>Guest Satisfaction</u>. Increase guest satisfaction in each international hotel. The factors to be considered include the (a) breadth of improvement; (b) performance in each segment; (c) performance across segments; and (d) magnitude of improvement.

<u>Associate Engagement</u>. Establish and implement action plans focused on increased associate engagement for International Operations leadership teams. Assist in the development of action plans for the senior leadership team and direct reports.

<u>Brand Integrity</u>. Ensure brand integrity for each brand, as measured by input from consumer and brand audits, guest input and historical performance.

Growth. Grow development pipeline and continue support of development efforts for other full-service brands in each region. For Hyatt Place International, establish an operating model to cater to the forthcoming openings in collaboration with the Select team. The compensation committee awarded Mr. Sarna 117% of his financial and non-financial MBO component based on improved financial performance internationally including increases in Adjusted EBITDA, gross operating margins and revenue per available room, market share performance, improvement in the performance of owned and joint venture hotels, and improvements in guest satisfaction and associate engagement.

The compensation committee awarded Mr. Sarna 97% of the leadership component based on his support of our values, his leadership and other areas of activity including enhanced collaborative efforts across different functional areas within the Company.

Accordingly, based on Hyatt Financial Performance and the factors and considerations discussed above, the compensation committee awarded Mr. Sarna a 2010 annual incentive payment of \$733,000.

Floyd s 2010 Annual Incentive

As shown above, Mr. Floyd s annual incentive for 2010 was weighted 25.0% on Hyatt Financial Performance, 55.0% on achievement of financial and non-financial MBOs, and 20% on leadership goals.

Mr. Floyd s financial and non-financial MBO goals for 2010 were:

North American Operations Financial Performance. Enhance financial performance of the North American Operations business unit for 2010 relative to historical performance, taking into account the economy and management s outlook for North American operations for 2010 as reviewed at the beginning of 2010, and field performance and assessments relating to property performance across each brand. Improvement in cost per occupied room for each full service and select service property.

34

<u>Increase Market Share</u>. Increase market share for North American full service hotels and North American select service hotels. The factors to be considered include the (a) breadth of improvement; (b) performance in each market; (c) performance across markets; and (d) magnitude of improvement.

Organization. Successful integration and coordination of Hyatt residential operations under North America operations. Direct, assist and support the corporate transactions group. Successful implementation of worldwide sales reorganization.

<u>Guest Satisfaction</u>. Increase guest satisfaction in each North American full service hotel (for individual guests and for meeting planners) and each select service hotel. The factors to be considered include the (a) breadth of improvement; (b) performance in each segment; (c) performance across segments; and (d) magnitude of improvement.

<u>Associate Engagement</u>. Establish and implement action plans focused on increasing associate engagement among North American leadership team members. Assist in the development of action plans for the senior leadership team and their direct reports.

Growth. Enhance North American operations support of development efforts. Increase focus on conversion opportunities.

Brands. Support engaging efforts to enhance brand differentiation and engage to ensure successful implementation of brand council. The compensation committee awarded Mr. Floyd 108% of his financial and non-financial MBO component based on improved North America financial performance including increases in Adjusted EBITDA, productivity improvement, market shares gains in select service hotels, the performance of owned and joint venture hotels, and improvements in guest satisfaction.

The compensation committee awarded Mr. Floyd 97% of the leadership component for 2010 based on leadership performance and collaboration across different functions.

Accordingly, based on Hyatt Financial Performance and the factors and considerations discussed above, the compensation committee awarded Mr. Floyd a 2010 annual incentive payment of \$705,000.

Haggerty s 2010 Annual Incentive

As shown above, Mr. Haggerty s annual incentive for 2010 was weighted 25.0% on Hyatt Financial Performance, 55.0% on achievement of financial and non-financial MBOs, and 20% on leadership goals.

Mr. Haggerty s financial and non-financial MBO goals for 2010 were:

Owned and JV Hotel Performance. Enhance financial performance of owned and joint venture hotels relative to historical performance, considering the economy and management soutlook for the owned and joint venture hotels for 2010 as reviewed at the beginning of 2010, and input provided to Operations through asset management that leads to enhancement of results.

<u>Capital Expenditures</u>. Effectiveness, timeliness and overall management of capital expenditures. Assist in the development of the framework used for analyzing transactions and returns associated with capital expenditures in owned hotels.

<u>Real Estate and Development</u>. Focus on resource deployment and enhancement of resources, based on departmental budgets for 2010.

<u>Organization</u>. Support enhancement of brand preference for each brand with coordination among development, brand leadership and operations teams. Align residential development efforts, including participation in clarifying brand standards, with overall full service hotel development goals globally.

Associate Engagement. Establish and implement action plans focused on increasing associate engagement among the various teams within Real Estate and Development. Assist in the development of action plans for the senior leadership team and their direct reports.

35

The compensation committee awarded Mr. Haggerty 114% of his financial and non-financial MBO component based on an effective capital expenditure program, the performance of owned and joint venture hotels, and significant progress in development activities.

The compensation committee awarded Mr. Haggerty 97% of the leadership component based on leadership performance and collaboration across different functions.

Accordingly, based on Hyatt Financial Performance and the factors and considerations discussed above, the compensation committee awarded Mr. Haggerty a 2010 incentive payment of \$543,000.

The actual annual incentive compensation earned for 2010 performance expressed as a percentage of base salary as in effect at year end for each NEO who participated in the annual incentive plan was as follows:

Name	Actual
Mark S. Hoplamazian	173% of year-end salary (115% of target)
Harmit J. Singh	93% of year-end salary (110% of target)
Rakesh K. Sarna	121% of year-end salary (121% of target)
H. Charles Floyd	117% of year-end salary (117% of target)
Stephen G. Haggerty	96% of year-end salary (120% of target)

Long-Term Incentive

In 2010, we used equity in the form of SARs and RSUs granted under our LTIP as the means of providing long-term incentives to our executives. These grants are designed to:

drive and reward performance over an extended period of time to promote creation of long-term value for our stockholders;

create strong alignment with the long-term interests of our stockholders;

assist in retaining highly qualified executives; and

contribute to competitive total rewards.

SARs are designed to deliver value to executives only if our stock price increases over the value at the time of grant. Each vested SAR gives the holder the right to receive the appreciation in the value of one share of our Class A common stock at the exercise date over the value of one share of our Class A common stock at the date of grant. Generally, SARs vest annually over four years (25% per year) and are settled by delivery of our Class A common stock.

RSUs are designed to align the interests of our NEOs with the interests of our stockholders, to reward performance and to promote retention of our executives by providing equity-based compensation that fluctuates with our stock price. RSUs were also granted in light of the fact that the lodging industry is cyclical, and the volatility of the value of an RSU is lower than the volatility of the value of a SAR. RSUs, accordingly, are intended to create a sense of ownership and to better align executives interests with our stockholders interests. Generally, RSUs vest equally over four years (25% per year) and are settled by delivery of shares of our Class A common stock.

In 2009 we adopted a retirement vesting policy which allows employees who have attained at least age 55 and whose age plus years of service equals at least 65 to continue vesting in their equity awards following retirement provided that they execute a release of claims and they do not compete with us during the remainder of the vesting period. We adopted this policy in order to allow employees who were close to retirement to realize the value of their long-term equity incentives granted in the final years of employment, as long as they do not engage in competitive activities following their retirement.

In determining the value of long-term incentive grants, we considered the market data, the individual s potential contribution to our success and the relationship between each NEO s short-term and long-term compensation. For 2010, the compensation committee determined that the long-term incentive awards to NEOs, apart from Mr. Pritzker, would be split evenly between RSUs and SARs.

In 2010, the compensation committee approved two equity grants for Messrs. Singh, Sarna, Floyd and Haggerty. One of the grants was in relation to 2009 and one was in relation to 2010. Historically, equity grants were granted annually based on achievements and efforts in the previous year, and were typically made in March or April of the year following the year of service in respect of which the grant was being made. Starting in 2010, the compensation committee determined that grants should be made on a prospective, rather than a retrospective basis and thus made an additional equity grant in May 2010 that related to 2010. In future years, it is anticipated that, absent special circumstances, only one annual grant will be made and that the grants will be made in the year of service in respect of which the grant is made.

The value for the annual long-term incentive grants for Messrs. Singh, Floyd, Sarna and Haggerty was set based on the compensation committee s review of market practices. The actual number of SARs and RSUs granted was then determined based on applying a Black-Scholes value for the SARs and the value of our common stock for the RSUs on the date of grant. The allocation of grants between RSUs and SARs and the target value were established by the compensation committee based in part upon the recommendation of Mercer, and as reviewed by the chief human resources officer. Mercer s recommendations were based on its review of market practices.

In addition to the normal annual grants, the compensation committee also approved additional RSU grants for Mr. Singh (10,000 RSUs) and Mr. Haggerty (17,500 RSUs) for special circumstances. Mr. Singh s additional award recognized his leadership and efforts that contributed to Hyatt s initial public offering and Mr. Haggerty s grant was based on market comparisons.

Mr. Hoplamazian received an equity grant in May 2010 split equally between SARs and RSUs with a grant date fair value (computed in accordance with FASB (ASC) Topic 718, *Compensation Stock Compensation*) of \$3,324,977. These SARs and RSUs vest 25% each year over four years.

See the section below titled Executive Chairman Compensation for a discussion of Mr. Pritzker s 2010 equity grant.

Employee Benefits

Our NEOs are eligible to receive employee benefits similar to all other salaried employees, such as participation in our 401(k) plan, with matching contributions, health, life and disability plans and severance benefits, as described in more detail in the section below titled Potential Payments on Termination or Change in Control. In addition, as described in more detail in the section below titled Narrative to Summary Compensation Table, we provide certain additional retirement and deferred compensation benefits to our NEOs, as well as limited perquisites. These additional employee benefits and perquisites make up the benefits/work/lifestyle portion of our total rewards package and allow us to compete in attracting and retaining executives.

Tax Deductibility and Accounting Considerations

We consider tax and accounting implications in designing our executive compensation programs and attempt to maximize the tax deductibility to us, while minimizing the tax consequences to our executives. As a newly public company, we intend to rely on certain transition rules available under Section 162(m) regarding compensation payable as a public company pursuant to plans and arrangements which were in place prior to becoming public and which were disclosed in our initial public offering prospectus. However, we may determine to pay compensation that is not tax deductible.

37

Share Ownership Requirement and Compensation Recovery Policy

In 2009 we adopted share ownership guidelines that require each of our NEOs (other than Mr. Pritzker) to hold SARs, RSUs (whether or not vested) or stock with a value within the following guidelines:

Hoplamazian5 times base salarySingh, Sarna, Floyd and Haggerty3 times base salary

However, once an NEO reaches age 55 his ownership guideline will reduce by 10% per year until age 60. Our NEOs have five years to meet these goals. We adopted these share ownership guidelines as a means of requiring executives to hold equity and tie their interests to stockholders. Each NEO currently meets the guidelines.

We also have a compensation recovery policy, which, if the board of directors determines that an executive has engaged in fraudulent or willful misconduct that resulted in a restatement of our financial results, allows the board of directors (or a committee thereof) in its discretion to recover from such executive any bonus, equity compensation or profits received on equity compensation by such executive. In addition, each of the NEOs, apart from Mr. Pritzker, had been awarded RSU grants in 2008 and 2009 that contain forfeiture provisions for a material breach of the non-solicitation and noncompete covenants set forth in those agreements. Prior to being amended, under those agreements, if the NEO materially breached the covenants he would forfeit all outstanding equity awards, whether or not vested. In September 2010, after reviewing relevant market practices and considering the Company s compensation philosophy, the compensation committee approved limiting what it considered to be the overly broad and punitive scope of these agreements. As amended, if an NEO materially breaches the covenants, he will forfeit the unvested portion of all awards and any awards that vested within one year prior to the earlier of the date of the breach or the NEO s termination of service, as applicable.

Executive Chairman Compensation

In 2009, Mr. Pritzker entered into an employment agreement which was reviewed and approved by our compensation committee and our board of directors. Under this agreement Mr. Pritzker is entitled to the following compensation and benefits:

annual base salary of \$475,000 (increased to \$486,200 in 2010);

annual grants under the LTIP similar to other senior executives with a targeted grant date fair value (computed in accordance with FASB (ASC) Topic 718, *Compensation Stock Compensation*) equal to 500% of base salary;

all future grants under the LTIP will continue to vest following his termination for any reason other than cause, provided he executes a general release of claims and he does not compete with Hyatt;

benefits and perquisites generally available to our senior executive officers from time to time including medical and dental insurance, life insurance, 401(k) plan, disability coverage, vacation benefits, monthly parking in Hyatt Center, corporate dining room privileges, and participation in our deferred compensation plan; and

severance in accordance with our general policies.

Under the terms of this agreement he is not eligible for participation in our annual incentive plan, as all of his performance and incentive-based compensation is designed to be earned through equity grants. In accordance with the terms of his employment agreement, Mr. Pritzker received a SAR grant with a grant value of \$2,374,987 in 2010.

38

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

					Bonus	Stock Awards		Option Awards		mpensation	Nor D Com	eferred ipensatio arnings	n A	npensation	
Name and Principal Position Thomas J. Pritzker	Year 2010	\$	alary (\$) 486,200		(\$)(1)	(\$)(2)	\$ 2	(\$)(2) 2,374,987		(\$)	\$	(\$) 4,085	\$	(\$)(3) 93,013	Total (\$) \$ 2,958,285
Executive Chairman of the Board	2009 2008	\$ \$	422,917 535,000	\$ 1	,400,000						\$ \$	19,329 20,605		145,643 285,731	\$ 587,889 \$ 2,241,336
Mark S. Hoplamazian President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	2010 2009 2008	\$ \$ \$ 1	981,225 979,167 1,000,000	\$	810,000	\$ 1,662,484 \$ 831,242 \$ 494,530		1,662,493 831,246	\$	1,700,000 983,000			\$ \$ \$		\$ 6,036,513 \$ 3,670,913 \$ 2,343,490
Harmit J. Singh Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)	2010 2009 2008	\$ \$ \$	570,553 550,000 227,404	\$ 1	,280,000	\$ 1,243,675 \$ 802,503 \$ 1,183,090	\$ \$	912,479 475,963	\$	534,000 370,000			\$ \$ \$		\$ 3,290,943 \$ 2,295,630 \$ 3,097,736
Rakesh K. Sarna Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer International	2010 2009 2008	\$ \$ \$	602,070 581,000 575,833	\$	14,080	\$ 985,962 \$ 1,785,745 \$ 3,185,355	\$ \$ \$	985,973 502,790 648,050	\$ \$ \$	733,000 340,000 185,920	\$ \$ \$	476 2,084 2,227	\$ \$ \$	91,889 81,010 167,760	\$ 3,399,370 \$ 3,292,629 \$ 4,779,225
H. Charles Floyd Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer North America	2010 2009 2008	\$ \$ \$	602,070 581,000 577,500	\$	16,646	\$ 985,962 \$ 2,430,753 \$ 3,148,993	\$ \$ \$	985,973 502,790 563,550	\$ \$ \$	705,000 315,000 209,354	\$ \$ \$	5,577 26,387 26,879	\$ \$ \$	55,235 66,056 65,432	\$ 3,339,817 \$ 3,921,986 \$ 4,608,354
Stephen G. Haggerty Executive Vice President, Global Head of Real Estate and Development	2010 2009	\$ \$	565,165 544,700			\$ 1,447,463 \$ 692,679	\$ \$	867,859 424,238	\$ \$	543,000 305,000			\$ \$		\$ 3,443,891 \$ 1,997,806

⁽¹⁾ The amounts in this column represent the portion of the NEO s annual incentive which was discretionary or otherwise related to satisfaction of subjective qualitative goals.

(3) All other compensation for 2010 includes:

Name	Corporate	Parking	401(k)	Life Insurance	Personal Use	Total
	Dining Room	_	Match	and Long-Term	of Aircraft	
	Usage		and	Disability		
			Contributions	Promiums		

⁽²⁾ Amounts shown represent the grant date fair value of SARs and RSUs granted in the year indicated as computed in accordance with FASB (ASC) Topic 718, Compensation Stock Compensation. For a discussion of the assumptions made in the valuation reflected in these columns, see Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for 2010 contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 17, 2011.

to DCP and Field Retirement Plan \$ 21,800 \$ 1,104 \$ 62,702

			Keur	ement rian			
Thomas J. Pritzker	\$ 1,707	\$ 5,700	\$	21,800	\$ 1,104	\$ 62,702(a)	\$ 93,013
Mark S. Hoplamazian	\$ 1,707	\$ 5,700	\$	21,800	\$ 1,104		\$ 30,311
Harmit J. Singh	\$ 1,707	\$ 5,700	\$	21,800	\$ 1,029		\$ 30,236
Rakesh K. Sarna	\$ 1,707	\$ 5,700	\$	79,712	\$ 4,770		\$ 91,889
H. Charles Floyd	\$ 1,707	\$ 5,700	\$	46,800	\$ 1,028		\$ 55,235
Stephen G. Haggerty	\$ 1,707	\$ 5,700	\$	12,000	\$ 997		\$20,404

⁽a) Includes landing fees, crew expenses, catering, hangar/parking, fuel (based on the average yearly fuel costs incurred per hour flown) and maintenance and insurance policy cost for personal use of our aircraft.

Narrative to Summary Compensation Table

The actual value, if any, that an executive may realize from a SAR or RSU is contingent upon the satisfaction of the conditions to vesting in that award, and with respect to SARs, upon the excess of the stock price over the base price on the date the award is exercised. Thus, there is no assurance that the value, if any, eventually realized by the executive will correspond to the amount shown in the table above. The amounts shown in the table above are computed in accordance with FASB (ASC) Topic 718, Compensation Stock Compensation.

As part of our total rewards program, we offer the following employee benefit plans and perquisites:

Retirement Programs

In addition to our 401(k) plan that is available to employees generally, our NEOs may participate in the Deferred Compensation Plan (*DCP*) or the Hyatt International Hotels Retirement Plan (*Field Retirement Plan* or *FRP*), which are both non-qualified defined contribution plans.

401(k) Plan

Our 401(k) plan is an on-going, tax-qualified 401(k) plan that matches 100% on the first 3% an employee contributes and 50% on the next 2% an employee contributes for a total match of 4% of an employee s compensation up to the IRS limits for tax qualified plans.

Deferred Compensation Plan

The DCP allows executives to defer all or any portion of their base salary and annual incentive. We will make an employer contribution to the plan based on a designated contribution schedule. Messrs. Pritzker, Hoplamazian, Singh, Floyd, and Haggerty receive a dollar for dollar match on deferrals up to \$12,000 annually. Mr. Sarna, who was formerly a participant in the Field Retirement Plan, began participating in this plan on May 1, 2010 and receives an employer contribution based upon his age and years of service as described below. Executives can select among various investment options and are eligible to receive their account balances when they terminate employment.

Field Retirement Plan

Our international executives are eligible for the FRP, pursuant to which we contribute a percentage of their salary each year. The amount of contribution depends upon the employee s age and years of service or benefit level corresponding with their position. Mr. Sarna is the only NEO who participated in the FRP and he was eligible to receive contributions equal to 17% of his salary. In addition, Mr. Sarna, as part of the termination of our non-qualified supplemental defined benefit retirement plans in 2008 agreed to receive 50% of the normal scale for his contributions beginning in 2009. These contributions vest 25% per year after 2 years, with full vesting after 5 years. Based on his service, Mr. Sarna is fully vested in all contributions. Executives can also voluntarily contribute to the FRP. Executives voluntary contributions are fully vested. All contributions are held in an account for the participant, which are invested in various investments selected by us according to the direction of the participant.

On May 1, 2010, accounts for certain international executives were merged from the FRP into the DCP. On this date, Mr. Sarna ceased participation in the FRP and began participating in the DCP. His employer contribution rate remained the same.

Perquisites

We offer limited perquisites to our executives which we believe are reasonable and consistent with our total rewards program and our intention to attract and retain key executives. Perquisites that are provided include:

limited use of Hyatt hotel properties per the policy that is applicable to all Hyatt associates;

corporate dining room use; and

parking.

In 2010 we eliminated the personal financial planning, automobile allowance and executive physical perquisites and increased each NEO s annual base compensation by a commensurate value of \$11,200 as a result.

Messrs. Pritzker and Hoplamazian are permitted to use our corporate aircraft for personal travel. Under our aircraft usage policy, Mr. Hoplamazian may use up to 30 hours per year with Mr. Pritzker s approval, and the compensation committee s approval for personal travel over 30 hours. Mr. Hoplamazian did not use our corporate aircraft for personal travel in 2010.

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS

Name	Grant Date	Date of Compensation Committee Action Thresh	Estimated Fut Und Non-Equity In Awarc old (\$ arget (\$)	er centive Plan	All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)		Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/sh)(2)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Options Awards(3)
Thomas J. Pritzker SARs	5/11/2010	5/7/2010				119,707	\$ 40.96	\$ 2,374,987
Mark S. Hoplamazian SARs RSUs	5/11/2010 5/11/2010	5/7/2010 5/7/2010	\$ 1,477,845	\$ 2,955,690	40,588	83,795	\$ 40.96	\$ 1,662,493 \$ 1,662,484
Harmit J. Singh SARs SARs RSUs RSUs	5/11/2010 3/2/2010 5/11/2010 3/2/2010	5/7/2010 2/19/2010 5/7/2010 2/19/2010	\$ 486,560	\$ 729,840	12,207 22,454	25,201 25,894	\$ 40.96 \$ 33.12	\$ 499,988 \$ 412,491 \$ 499,999 \$ 743,676
Rakesh K. Sarna SARs SARs RSUs RSUs	5/11/2010 3/2/2010 5/11/2010 3/2/2010	5/7/2010 2/19/2010 5/7/2010 2/19/2010	\$ 604,044	\$ 906,066	13,427 13,164	27,721 27,369	\$ 40.96 \$ 33.12	\$ 549,985 \$ 435,988 \$ 549,970 \$ 435,992
H. Charles Floyd SARs SARs RSUs RSUs	5/11/2010 3/2/2010 5/11/2010 3/2/2010	5/7/2010 2/19/2010 5/7/2010 2/19/2010	\$ 604,044	\$ 906,066	13,427 13,164	27,721 27,369	\$ 40.96 \$ 33.12	\$ 549,985 \$ 435,988 \$ 549,970 \$ 435,992
Stephen G. Haggerty SARs SARs RSUs RSUs	5/11/2010 3/2/2010 5/11/2010 3/2/2010	5/7/2010 2/19/2010 5/7/2010 2/19/2010	\$ 453,614	\$ 680,421	12,207 28,607	25,201 23,093	\$ 40.96 \$ 33.12	\$ 499,988 \$ 367,871 \$ 499,999 \$ 947,464

⁽¹⁾ The amounts shown represent the target and maximum potential payments under the annual incentive program based on multiples of the NEO s base salary as of December 31, 2010. See the section in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this proxy titled Annual Incentive for a more detailed description of the incentive compensation program.

⁽²⁾ Equals the fair market value of our Class A common stock on the grant date as determined by the compensation committee under the LTIP.

(3) Amounts shown represent the grant date fair value of SARs and RSUs granted in the year indicated as computed in accordance with FASB (ASC) Topic 718, Compensation Stock Compensation. For a discussion of the assumptions made in the valuation reflected in these columns, see Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for 2010 contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 17, 2011.

Narrative to Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

The actual value, if any, that an executive may realize from a SAR or RSU is contingent upon the satisfaction of the conditions to vesting in that award, and with respect to SARs, upon there being a positive excess of the stock price on the date the award is exercised over the base price established at the award date. Thus, there is no assurance that the value, if any, eventually realized by the executive will correspond to the grant date fair value shown in the table above.

41

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END

			SAR Aw	ards		Stock Number of Shares or	x Awards
Name	Grant Date	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised SAR(#) Exercisable(1)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised SAR(#) Unexercisable(1)	SAR Exercise Price (\$)	SAR Expiration Date	Units of Stock that have Not Vested (#)(2)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock that have Not Vested (\$)(3)
Thomas J. Pritzker	5/11/2010		119,707	\$ 40.96	5/11/2020		
Mark S. Hoplamazian	5/11/2010 5/11/2010 10/1/2009 10/1/2009 5/2/2008	15,280	83,795 45,841	\$ 40.96 \$ 29.10	5/11/2020 10/1/2019	40,588 21,424 5,525	\$ 1,857,307 \$ 980,362 \$ 252,824
	7/1/2007	425,000		\$ 62.80	7/1/2017	3,323	Ψ 232,024
Harmit J. Singh	5/11/2010		25,201	\$ 40.96	5/11/2020	12.205	A 550 500
	5/11/2010 3/2/2010 3/2/2010		25,894	\$ 33.12	3/2/2010	12,207 22,454	\$ 558,592 \$ 1,027,495
	5/11/2009 5/11/2009 9/10/2008	8,263	24,790	\$ 26.00	6/9/2019	26,899 14,393	\$ 1,230,898 \$ 658,624
Rakesh K. Sarna	5/11/2010 5/11/2010		27,721	\$ 40.96	5/11/2020	13,427	\$ 614,420
	3/2/2010 3/2/2010		27,369	\$ 33.12	3/2/2010	13,164	\$ 602,385
	5/11/2009 5/11/2009 5/2/2008	8,729	26,187	\$ 26.00	6/9/2019	54,109 34,875	\$ 2,476,028
	5/2/2008 5/2/2008 7/1/2007	12,462 23,334	12,463 7,780	\$ 58.18 \$ 62.80	5/2/2018 7/1/2017	34,673	\$ 1,595,880
H. Charles Floyd	5/11/2010 5/11/2010		27,721	\$ 40.96	5/11/2020	13,427	\$ 614,420
	3/2/2010 3/2/2010		27,369	\$ 33.12	3/2/2010	13,164	\$ 602,385
	5/11/2009 5/11/2009 5/2/2008	8,729	26,187	\$ 26.00	6/9/2019	86,232 34,563	\$ 3,945,976
	5/2/2008 5/2/2008 7/1/2007 10/6/2006	10,836 22,500 68,750	10,839 7,500	\$ 58.18 \$ 62.80 \$ 49.90	5/2/2018 7/1/2017 10/6/2016	34,303	\$ 1,581,603
Stephen G. Haggerty	5/11/2010 5/11/2010		25,201	\$ 40.96	5/11/2020	12,207	\$ 558,592
	3/2/2010 3/2/2010		23,093	\$ 33.12	3/2/2010	,	,