

SILICON GRAPHICS INC
Form 10-Q
November 05, 2008
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the quarterly period ended September 26, 2008.

Or

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission File Number 001-10441

SILICON GRAPHICS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: SILICON GRAPHICS INC - Form 10-Q

DELAWARE **94-2789662**
(State or other jurisdiction of **(I.R.S. Employer**
incorporation or organization) **Identification No.)**
1140 East Arques Avenue, Sunnyvale, California 94085-4602

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(408) 524-1980

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "accelerated filer," "large accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Sections 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court. Yes No

As of October 24, 2008, the registrant had 11,607,476 shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, outstanding.

Table of Contents

SILICON GRAPHICS, INC.
QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page No. |
|--|-----------------|
| PART I-FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited):</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 6 |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 21 |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 31 |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 31 |
| PART II-OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 31 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 31 |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | 42 |
| <u>Signature</u> | 43 |

Silicon Graphics, SGI, the SGI logo, Silicon Graphics Onyx, SGI Origin, Silicon Graphics Tezro, Silicon Graphics Fuel, InfiniteStorage, IRIX, SGI Altix, Altix ICE and Altix XE are our trademarks in the U.S. and/or other countries. All other brand names, service marks, trademarks and trade names appearing in this form 10-Q are the property of their respective owners.

As used in this report, Predecessor Company refers to Silicon Graphics, Inc. prior to September 29, 2006, the end of the last fiscal quarter prior to our emergence from federal bankruptcy protection. References to Successor Company refer to Silicon Graphics, Inc. on and after September 29, 2006. Unless the context requires otherwise, the words SGI, we, company, us and our refer to Silicon Graphics, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****SILICON GRAPHICS, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(In thousands, except per share amounts, unaudited)**

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | September 26, 2008 | September 28, 2007 |
| Product and other revenue | \$ 44,531 | \$ 40,142 |
| Product revenue from related party | 684 | 5,454 |
| Global services revenue | 47,544 | 45,489 |
| Total revenue | 92,759 | 91,085 |
| Costs and expenses: | | |
| Cost of product and other revenue | 34,849 | 37,692 |
| Cost of service revenue | 28,664 | 25,889 |
| Research and development | 15,096 | 13,296 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 36,862 | 41,224 |
| Other operating expenses, net | 3,809 | 175 |
| Total costs and expenses | 119,280 | 118,276 |
| Operating loss | (26,521) | (27,191) |
| Interest expense | (1,019) | (1,413) |
| Interest expense from related parties | (3,124) | (1,539) |
| Interest and other income (expense), net | (381) | (383) |
| Loss before income taxes | (31,045) | (30,526) |
| Income tax provision | 2,652 | 5,667 |
| Net loss | \$ (33,697) | \$ (36,193) |
| Net loss per share: | | |
| Basic | \$ (2.91) | \$ (3.25) |
| Diluted | \$ (2.91) | \$ (3.25) |
| Weighted-average shares used to compute net loss per share: | | |
| Basic | 11,597 | 11,125 |
| Diluted | 11,597 | 11,125 |

See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**SILICON GRAPHICS, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts, unaudited)**

| | September 26, 2008 | June 27, 2008 |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| Assets: | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 36,186 | \$ 39,552 |
| Short-term marketable investments | 179 | 258 |
| Short-term restricted investments | 2,330 | 4,292 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,215 at September 26, 2008 and \$1,266 at June 27, 2008 | 68,819 | 53,816 |
| Inventories, net | 51,370 | 72,601 |
| Prepaid expenses | 7,098 | 7,772 |
| Other current assets | 82,139 | 51,720 |
| Total current assets | 248,121 | 230,011 |
| Restricted investments | 1,846 | 1,872 |
| Property and equipment, net | 36,363 | 40,917 |
| Other intangibles, net | 50,481 | 55,399 |
| Other non-current assets, net | 93,621 | 86,996 |
| Total assets | \$ 430,432 | \$ 415,195 |
| Liabilities and stockholders' deficit: | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 31,917 | \$ 34,492 |
| Accrued compensation | 25,737 | 28,878 |
| Income taxes payable | 3,549 | 2,798 |
| Other current liabilities | 44,260 | 39,744 |
| Current portion of long-term debt (\$9,204 from related parties at September 26, 2008 and \$6,902 at June 27, 2008) | 17,000 | 12,750 |
| Current portion of deferred revenue | 163,819 | 126,138 |
| Total current liabilities | 286,282 | 244,800 |
| Long-term debt (\$63,024 from related parties at September 26, 2008 and \$64,835 at June 27, 2008) | 116,407 | 119,750 |
| Non-current portion of deferred revenue | 80,105 | 70,524 |
| Long-term income taxes payable | 23,124 | 23,715 |
| Other non-current liabilities | 13,184 | 12,782 |
| Total liabilities | 519,102 | 471,571 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' deficit: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized | | |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value, and additional paid-in capital; 25,000,000 shares authorized; 11,606,789 and 11,592,470 shares issued and outstanding at September 26, 2008 and June 27, 2008, respectively | 199,490 | 198,288 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 2,434 | 2,233 |
| Accumulated deficit | (290,594) | (256,897) |
| Total stockholders' deficit | (88,670) | (56,376) |

Edgar Filing: SILICON GRAPHICS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|----|---------|----|---------|
| Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit | \$ | 430,432 | \$ | 415,195 |
|---|----|---------|----|---------|

See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**SILICON GRAPHICS, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(In thousands, unaudited)**

| | Three Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | September 26, 2008 | September 28, 2007 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (33,697) | \$ (36,193) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 9,755 | 9,858 |
| Amortization of inventory fair value adjustment to cost of sales | | 1,555 |
| Utilization of pre-petition foreign tax loss carryforwards | 1,250 | 4,409 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 1,247 | 1,113 |
| Non-cash restructuring charges | 1,483 | |
| Other | 1,353 | (178) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (14,974) | (3,860) |
| Inventories | 20,574 | (4,547) |
| Accounts payable | (2,262) | 7,176 |
| Accrued compensation | (3,141) | (2,470) |
| Deferred revenue | 47,262 | 18,783 |
| Other assets and liabilities | (31,296) | (6,538) |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (2,446) | (10,892) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Restricted and marketable investments: | | |
| Purchases | (1,782) | (2,656) |
| Maturities | 3,509 | 3,094 |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (1,873) | (2,891) |
| Increase in other assets | (729) | (1,147) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (875) | (3,600) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Payments of debt principal | (31,000) | (3,197) |
| Proceeds from financing | 31,000 | 2,980 |
| Acquisitions of common stock for restricted stock unit withholding tax | (45) | |
| Payments of debt issuance and debt modification costs | | (649) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (45) | (866) |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (3,366) | (15,358) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 39,552 | 69,887 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 36,186 | \$ 54,529 |

See accompanying notes to these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SILICON GRAPHICS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1. Description of Business

We are a leader in high-performance computing (HPC) and data management. We sell a broad line of mid-range to high-end computing servers, data storage and visualization systems. In addition, we provide customer support and professional services related to our products. We are a leading developer of enterprise-class, high performance features for the Linux operating system. We provide our customers with a standard Linux operating environment combined with our differentiated Linux extensions that improve performance, simplify system management and provide a more robust development environment. Our shared memory and cluster computing systems incorporate predominantly Intel Itanium and Xeon processors and our storage product lines integrate disk systems, ranging from entry-level disk arrays to complex storage systems, from LSI and DataDirect Networks.

Our products and services are used by the scientific, technical and business communities to solve challenging data-intensive computing, data management and visualization problems. These problems typically require large amounts of computing power and fast and efficient data movement both within the computing system and to and from large-scale data storage installations. Our end users employ our systems to access, analyze, transform, manage, visualize and store very large amounts of data in real time or near real time. The vertical markets we serve include defense and strategic systems, weather and climate, physical sciences, life sciences, energy (including oil and gas), aerospace and automotive, media and entertainment, and business intelligence and data analytics. Applications for our systems within these vertical markets include simulating global climate changes, accelerating engineering of new automotive designs, supporting homeland security initiatives, enabling real-time fraud detection and gaining business intelligence through data-mining. Our services facilitate rapid installation and implementation of our products, optimizing their use, maintaining their availability and educating customers to increase productivity.

We perform final assembly and testing for our products in Chippewa Falls, Wisconsin. We distribute our products through our direct sales force and through channels including resellers, distributors and systems integrators.

2. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Silicon Graphics, Inc. and our wholly- subsidiaries. The unaudited results of operations for the interim periods shown herein are not necessarily indicative of operating results for the entire fiscal year. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for all periods presented have been made. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 27, 2008 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The preparation of interim condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses and related disclosure of contingent liabilities in the financial statements and the accompanying notes. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate these estimates, including those related to customer programs and incentives, bad debts, inventory, lease residual values, warranty obligations, restructuring, income taxes and contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ materially from these estimates.

We emerged from federal bankruptcy protection on the Effective Date and adopted fresh-start accounting as of September 29, 2006 in accordance with Statement of Position 90-7, *Financial Reporting by Entities in Reorganization Under the Bankruptcy Code* (SOP 90-7). We were required to use fresh-start accounting because holders of existing voting shares immediately before filing and confirmation of the Plan received less than 50% of the voting shares of the emerging entity and reorganization value was less than post-petition liabilities and allowed claims. Under fresh-start accounting, we are required to allocate reorganization value to assets and liabilities in a manner similar to that which is required under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141, *Business Combinations* (SFAS 141). In addition, under the provisions of fresh-start accounting, a new entity was deemed created for financial reporting purposes. Accordingly, our financial information related to the Successor Company is on a basis different from, and is therefore not comparable to, our financial information related to the

Edgar Filing: SILICON GRAPHICS INC - Form 10-Q

Predecessor Company. For further information on fresh-start accounting, see Note 3, *Fresh-Start Accounting*.

The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of September 26, 2008 includes the remaining effect of adjustments to the carrying value of assets or amounts and classifications of liabilities that were necessary when adopting fresh-start accounting. The adoption of fresh-start accounting had a material effect on the condensed consolidated financial statements as of September 26, 2008 and will have a material impact on condensed consolidated statements of operations for periods subsequent to September 26, 2008.

Table of Contents

3. Fresh-Start Accounting

On September 19, 2006, the Court confirmed the Plan. Our emergence from Chapter 11 proceedings on the Effective Date resulted in a new reporting entity and adoption of fresh-start accounting in accordance with SOP 90-7 as of September 29, 2006. Reorganization adjustments were made to reflect the discharge of certain pre-petition liabilities and the adoption of fresh-start accounting.

Reorganization adjustments resulted primarily from:

- i. changes in the carrying values of assets and liabilities to reflect fair values, including the establishment of certain intangible assets;
- ii. discharge of the Predecessor Company's pre-petition liabilities in accordance with the Plan;
- iii. addition of new financing;
- iv. cash distributions paid or payable to pre-petition creditors; and
- v. issuance of Successor Company common stock and cancellation of Predecessor Company common stock.

The fair value allocated to the assets and liabilities of the Successor Company is in conformity with SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*. These adjustments are based upon the work of management to determine the relative fair values of our assets and liabilities. The estimates and assumptions utilized are inherently subject to significant uncertainties and contingencies beyond our control. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the estimates, assumptions and values reflected in the valuations will be realized, and actual results could vary materially. Moreover, the market value of our common stock may differ materially from the equity valuation.

As a result of the adoption of fresh-start accounting, our post-emergence financial statements are not comparable with our pre-emergence financial statements, because they are, in effect, those of a new entity.

4. Stock-Based Compensation

Management Incentive Plan

The amended and restated Silicon Graphics, Inc. Management Incentive Plan (MIP) permits us to issue a variety of equity incentives, including options to purchase our common stock and restricted stock units (RSUs). A total of 2,473,750 shares have been reserved for issuance under the MIP, of which a maximum of 924,375 may be issued as RSUs. As of September 26, 2008, 1,660,747 equity grants were outstanding under the MIP, of which 245,644 were RSUs and 1,415,103 were stock options. The total shares available for issuance pursuant to future equity grants as of September 26, 2008 was 726,178.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

A maximum of 500,000 shares of our common stock may be sold pursuant to purchase rights granted under the Silicon Graphics, Inc. 2007 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the ESPP). As of September 26, 2008, no purchase rights had been granted under the ESPP and 500,000 shares of common stock remained available for issuance.

Non-MIP Equity Grants

In April 2007, Robert Bo Ewald received 46,348 RSUs that were authorized as a non-MIP equity grant. The fair value of the RSUs on the date of grant was \$30.26 per unit. The RSUs vest in 48 equal monthly installments, and the vested shares are released annually each December 31. As of September 26, 2008, 7,726 RSUs had been released and 38,632 were outstanding, of which 8,692 were vested but not yet releasable.

Edgar Filing: SILICON GRAPHICS INC - Form 10-Q

Determining Fair Value of Stock Options

The fair value of each option is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton closed-form option valuation model that uses the assumptions noted in the following table. Expected volatility is primarily a weighted average of peer companies' historical and implied volatility and our historical volatility. The expected life has been calculated for all outstanding grants using the simplified approach as described in SEC Staff Accounting Bulletins No. 107 and 110 (SAB 110). We selected the simplified approach because we grant plain vanilla options as defined in SAB 110 and we have insufficient historical exercise data to provide a reasonable basis upon which to estimate expected term due to the limited period of time our new common stock has been publicly traded. For purposes of performing our valuation, we combine the employees and directors into one group. The risk-free rate for periods within the expected life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The amounts listed below represent the weighted averages.

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|---------------|
| | Sep. 26, 2008 | Sep. 28, 2007 |
| Expected volatility | 50.06% | 36.52% |
| Expected term (in years) | 4.61 | 4.49 |
| Risk-free rate (%) | 3.11 | 4.18 |
| Expected dividends | | |
| <i>Stock-based Compensation Expense</i> | | |

The compensation costs that have been included in our results of operations and the total income tax benefit, if any, that we recognized in our condensed consolidated statements of operations for these stock-based compensation arrangements were as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|---------------|
| | Sep. 26, 2008 | Sep. 28, 2007 |
| Stock-based compensation cost included in: | | |
| Cost of product and other revenue | \$ 40 | \$ 27 |
| Cost of global services revenue | 26 | 59 |
| Research and development | 373 | 308 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 808 | 719 |
| Total stock-based compensation expense before income taxes | 1,247 | 1,113 |
| Income tax benefit recognized | | |
| Total stock-based compensation expense after income taxes | \$ 1,247 | \$ 1,113 |

As of September 26, 2008, there was \$7.1 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested stock options that we expect to vest over a weighted-average period of 2.8 years. Additionally, as of September 26, 2008, there was \$4.6 million of unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to unvested RSUs that we expect to vest over a weighted-average period of 2.5 years.

Summary of Stock Options

A summary of options under all of our share-based compensation plans as of September 26, 2008 and changes during the three months ended September 26, 2008, were as follows (in thousands, except exercise price and contractual term):

| | Shares | Weighted-Average Exercise Price Per Share | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (in Years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|--|--------|---|--|---------------------------|
| Options outstanding at June 27, 2008 | 1,384 | \$ 20.77 | | |
| Options granted | 84 | \$ 6.32 | | |
| Options exercised | | \$ | | |
| Options forfeited or expired | (53) | \$ 18.51 | | |
| Options outstanding at September 26, 2008 | 1,415 | \$ 20.00 | 5.56 | \$ 527 |
| Options vested and exercisable at September 26, 2008 | 421 | \$ 21.52 | 4.95 | \$ 0 |
| Options expected to vest after September 26, 2008 | 1,322 | \$ 20.09 | 5.54 | \$ 454 |

The aggregate intrinsic value represents the difference between \$11.94, our closing stock price on the last trading day of the fiscal period, September 26, 2008, and the exercise price per share, multiplied by the number of in the money options that would have been received by the

Edgar Filing: SILICON GRAPHICS INC - Form 10-Q

option holders had all option holders exercised their options on September 26, 2008. The weighted-average fair value of options granted during the three months ended September 26, 2008 was \$2.85 per share.

Table of Contents*Summary of Restricted Stock Units*

RSUs are generally subject to forfeiture if employment terminates prior to the release of vesting restrictions. We expense the cost of the RSUs, which is determined to be the fair market value of the shares underlying the RSU at the date of grant, ratably over the period during which the vesting restrictions lapse.

Unvested RSUs outstanding as of September 26, 2008 and changes during the three months ended September 26, 2008, including non-MIP grants, were as follows (in thousands, except weighted average grant date fair value):

| | Shares | Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|--|--------|--|
| RSUs outstanding at June 27, 2008 | 292 | \$ 19.17 |
| RSUs granted | 25 | \$ 6.35 |
| RSUs vested | (21) | \$ 20.02 |
| RSUs forfeited | (12) | \$ 18.01 |
| RSUs outstanding at September 26, 2008 | 284 | \$ 18.63 |

Of the RSUs released during the three months ended September 26, 2008, 6,475 units were withheld to satisfy the tax withholding requirement (valued at \$44,000) for the total number of units that vested. The aggregate intrinsic value of RSUs outstanding was \$3.4 million and \$1.6 million as of September 26, 2008 and June 27, 2008, respectively. The weighted average fair value of the RSUs that vested during the three months ended September 26, 2008 was \$6.51 per share.

Other Disclosures Pertaining to All Share-Based Compensation Plans

Since no options were exercised, no cash was received from option exercises under share-based payment arrangements during the three months ended September 26, 2008. Because of our net operating losses, we did not realize any tax benefits for the tax deductions from share-based payment arrangements during the three months ended September 26, 2008.

5. Inventories, net

Inventories were as follows (in thousands):

| | September 26, 2008 | June 27, 2008 |
|------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Components and subassemblies | \$ 17,366 | \$ 17,568 |
| Work-in-process | 14,675 | 18,910 |
| Finished goods | 17,537 | 33,956 |
| Demonstration systems | 1,792 | 2,167 |
| Total inventories | \$ 51,370 | \$ 72,601 |

Reserves on inventory totaled \$30.7 million and \$41.1 million at September 26, 2008 and June 27, 2008, respectively.

Pursuant to fresh-start accounting, in the Successor Company's opening September 29, 2006 balance sheet, inventory amounts were stated at fair market value. Components and subassemblies were not adjusted as the carrying value reflected fair value. Work-in-process and finished goods inventories were recorded at selling price less cost to sell, cost to complete and a reasonable apportionment of the profit margin associated with the selling and the post emergence completion effort. The resulting impact of fresh-start accounting to inventories as of September 29, 2006 was an increase of approximately \$28.3 million. At September 26, 2008, this valuation adjustment had been fully amortized. As a result, the amount

Edgar Filing: SILICON GRAPHICS INC - Form 10-Q

of cost of goods sold recognized by the Successor Company has been higher than the amount historically recognized by the Predecessor Company. Amortization of the inventory fair value adjustment was zero and \$1.6 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents**6. Other Current Assets**

Other current assets were as follows (in thousands):

| | September 26, 2008 | June 27, 2008 |
|---|--------------------|------------------|
| Deferred cost of goods sold | \$ 69,825 | \$ 39,471 |
| Value-added tax receivable | 4,579 | 4,849 |
| Cash surrender value of pension plan assets | 229 | 245 |
| Other | 7,506 | 7,155 |
| Total other current assets | \$ 82,139 | \$ 51,720 |

7. Other Intangibles, Net

Other intangibles, net of accumulated amortization, were approximately \$50.5 million as of September 26, 2008. Other intangible assets were primarily established in connection with our adoption of fresh-start accounting in fiscal 2007. See Note 3, *Fresh-Start Accounting*, for additional information.

Subsequent to June 27, 2008, additional adjustments were made to the intangible assets established in connection with our adoption of fresh start accounting. The adjustments were a decrease of \$1.6 million, for the three months ended September 26, 2008, to the fresh-start valuation previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2008 and are reflected in the table below. The adjustments were principally due to utilization of the Predecessor Company's foreign tax net operating loss carryforwards, and to a lesser degree, adjustments for foreign tax audits.

Other intangible assets consisted of the following (in thousands, except years):

| | Weighted Average Remaining Amortization Period (Years) | Gross Carrying Amount | | Accumulated Amortization | | | Net Carrying Amount Sep 26, 2008 | |
|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|--|------------------|
| | | June 27, 2008 | Adjustments and Additions | Sep. 26, 2008 | June 27, 2008 | Amortization Sep. 26, 2008 | | |
| Fresh-start: | | | | | | | | |
| Developed product technology | 4 | \$ 24,579 | \$ (529) | \$ 24,050 | \$ (7,648) | \$ (996) | \$ (8,644) | \$ 15,406 |
| Customer backlog | 3 | 2,396 | (52) | 2,344 | (1,924) | (48) | (1,972) | 372 |
| Royalty license agreements | 3 | 1,686 | (36) | 1,650 | (628) | (81) | (709) | 941 |
| Trademark/trade name portfolio | 15 | 5,679 | (122) | 5,557 | (627) | (83) | (710) | 4,847 |
| Customer relationships | 4 | 42,147 | (907) | 41,240 | (13,114) | (1,708) | (14,822) | 26,418 |
| Total fresh-start | | 76,487 | (1,646) | 74,841 | (23,941) | (2,916) | (26,857) | 47,984 |
| LXNI: | | | | | | | | |
| Developed product technology | 4 | 1,005 | | 1,005 | (75) | (50) | (125) | 880 |
| Patents | 4 | 1,443 | | 1,443 | (107) | (72) | (179) | 1,264 |
| Customer relationships | 0 | 933 | | 933 | (346) | (234) | (580) | 353 |
| Total LXNI | | 3,381 | | 3,381 | (528) | (356) | (884) | 2,497 |
| Total | | \$ 79,868 | \$ (1,646) | \$ 78,222 | \$ (24,469) | \$ (3,272) | \$ (27,741) | \$ 50,481 |

Total amortization expense for other intangible assets for the three months ended September 26, 2008 and September 28, 2007 was approximately \$3.3 and \$3.1 million, respectively. Future annual amortization expense for other intangible assets is estimated to be as follows

Edgar Filing: SILICON GRAPHICS INC - Form 10-Q

(in thousands):

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Fiscal 2009 (for fiscal quarters remaining at September 26, 2008) | \$ 8,961 |
| Fiscal 2010 | 11,709 |
| Fiscal 2011 | 11,681 |
| Fiscal 2012 | 11,391 |
| Fiscal 2013 | 6,739 |
| | \$ 50,481 |

Table of Contents**8. Other Non-Current Assets**

Other non-current assets were as follows (in thousands):

| | September 26, 2008 | June 27, 2008 |
|---|--------------------|---------------|
| Spare parts, net of accumulated depreciation of \$11,059 at September 26, 2008 and \$9,393 at June 27, 2008 | \$ 15,527 | \$ 16,257 |
| Investment in SGI Japan (see note 10 and 15) | 14,720 | 14,720 |
| Non-current deferred cost of goods sold | 43,094 | 34,946 |
| Non-current cash surrender value of pension plan assets | 7,987 | 8,514 |
| Software licenses and other, net | 12,293 | 12,559 |
| | | |
| Total other non-current assets | \$ 93,621 | \$ 86,996 |

Deferred cost of goods sold will be recognized over the respective contract terms ranging up to five years.

9. Debt and Other Financing Arrangements

In October 2006, we entered into a Senior Secured Credit Agreement (the Agreement), providing us with an \$85 million term loan from Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc. and a \$30 million line of credit from General Electric Capital Corporation (GE).

In September 2007, the lenders under the \$85 million term loan, including Quadrangle Master Funding Ltd. (Quadrangle) and Watershed Technology Holdings, LLC (Watershed), or their affiliates, which are significant stockholders of SGI, purchased and assumed the position of GE under the Agreement and substituted themselves as the lenders under the \$30 million line of credit. Interest on the \$85 million term loan is based on the three-month LIBOR plus 7%, and it is secured by the assets of the Company. On the same day, we entered into a Second Amendment to the Agreement (the Second Amendment) with Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., as agent for the lenders. The Second Amendment eliminated our obligations to comply with the maximum leverage ratio and minimum consolidated EBITDA covenants originally set forth in the Agreement through December 2008. After December 2008, we must comply with maximum leverage ratio and minimum consolidated EBITDA requirements, which have been adjusted as set forth in the Second Amendment. The Second Amendment eliminated our obligation to comply with the minimum liquidity requirement set forth in the Agreement and also set the total line of credit at \$20 million. Under the Second Amendment, the interest rates on line of credit advances were increased to the LIBOR rate plus 4.75% or the Alternative Base Rate plus 3.50%, at our option. The financing facility matures in October 2011.

On January 2, 2008, Quadrangle Debt Recovery Advisors LP (QDRA), an investor in the Company, announced the completion of its spin-off from Quadrangle Group LLC. As part of the separation, QDRA changed its name to Monarch Alternative Capital LP (Monarch). Monarch holds the investment in the Company's stock previously held by QDRA. Also, on January 2, 2008, Quadrangle's position in the term loan was assumed by Monarch.

On February 4, 2008, we entered into a Third Amendment to the Agreement (the Third Amendment) with Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., as agent for the lenders, to increase the principal amount of the term loan from \$85 million to \$127.5 million. The prepayment premiums for the term loan and revolving credit commitments were increased to 2%. The interest rates on both the term loan and the line of credit remained the same, with floors added for the LIBOR rate of 3.5% and the Alternative Base Rate of 6.25%. The Third Amendment also allows us to establish securities, deposit or other accounts of up to \$3 million to secure performance bonds and guarantees, surety bonds, letters of credit and other similar obligations. A \$1.3 million fee was incurred on the \$42.5 million added to the term loan. This fee was deferred and is being amortized as an adjustment to interest expense over the term of the loan.

On February 14, 2008, we entered into the Fourth Amendment and Limited Waiver and Consent to the Senior Secured Credit Agreement (the Fourth Amendment) with Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., as administrative agent and revolving agent, Morgan Stanley & Co., Inc., as collateral agent, and the other Credit Parties thereto. The Fourth Amendment increased the principal amount of the term loan from \$127.5 million to \$132.5 million. A fee of \$50,000 was incurred in connection with the \$5 million added to the term loan.

On August 25, 2008, we entered into the Fifth Amendment to the Senior Secured Credit Agreement (the Fifth Amendment) with Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc. as administrative agent and revolving agent, Morgan Stanley & Co., Inc. as collateral agent, and the other Credit Parties thereto. The Fifth Amendment modifies permitted indebtedness restrictions to allow us to add a maximum of \$20 million of permitted

Edgar Filing: SILICON GRAPHICS INC - Form 10-Q

indebtedness through a combination of entering into an agreement with ECS United Kingdom plc to incur up to \$20 million in debt in a financing arrangement and to enter into an agreement for a six month, \$10 million line of credit to fund a guarantee in connection with a multi-year product deployment with the North German Group for High- and Highest- Performance

Table of Contents

Computers. The total leverage ratio and the minimum EBITDA requirement were effectively waived until the end of the first quarter in fiscal 2010. The total leverage ratio is amended to be 5.75 for the period ending September 25, 2009; 4.50 for the period ending December 25, 2009; 4.25 for the period ending March 26, 2010 and 3.5 for each fiscal quarter-end thereafter until and including September 30, 2011. Minimum EBITDA is amended to be \$30 million as of September 25, 2009; \$37.5 million as of December 25, 2009; \$40 million as of March 26, 2010; and \$45 million on June 25, 2010 and for each fiscal quarter-end until and including September 30, 2011. Also, a minimum global liquidity requirement of \$15 million is established and fiscal year 2009 capital expenditures are limited to \$10 million, and for following fiscal years to \$15 million. Also, the interest rate on the Term Loan is increased by 2% with the entire increment payable at maturity on October 17, 2011. A fee of \$662,500 is added to the principal and also payable at maturity.

On September 19, 2008, we entered into the Sixth Amendment to the Senior Secured Credit Agreement (the Sixth Amendment) with Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc. as administrative agent and revolving agent, Morgan Stanley & Co., Inc. as collateral agent, and the other Credit Parties thereto. The Sixth Amendment eliminates the revolving agent's right to impose and adjust the amount of reserves in respect of the total revolving commitment.

Annual principal payments over the next four years for all amounts borrowed under the term loan are as follows: fiscal 2009 \$13 million; fiscal 2010 \$20 million; fiscal 2011 \$24 million and fiscal 2012 \$76 million. Of the \$13 million due in fiscal 2009, \$4.3 million is due during the three months ended December 26, 2008. See Risk Factors, including the risk factor entitled our cash position may be insufficient to meet our operating needs, and we are seeking to, but may be unable to, restructure our debt and raise additional capital.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, the maximum amount drawn on the line of credit and subsequently repaid within seven days of being drawn was \$20 million. The drawing down of the full \$20 million during the first quarter of fiscal 2009 was not for operational purposes but in response to the ongoing uncertainty in the overall credit and financial markets at that time. We repaid the full \$20 million on September 26, 2008. However, since we anticipate needing to utilize up to the full amount at points in time to fund operations in fiscal 2009 and the overall credit and financial markets continue to be uncertain, we have again drawn the full \$20 million in October 2008 to ensure it is available if needed. We will continue monitoring the financial markets, and to the extent the funds are not otherwise needed for operations, we may choose to repay the amounts drawn at any time.

10. Investment in SGI Japan

Sale of Interest

In August 2006, the Predecessor Company completed the sale of a portion of its equity investment in SGI Japan to SGI Japan, Ltd. The Predecessor Company received cash proceeds of approximately \$17 million, net of withholding taxes, and recorded a net gain of approximately \$8 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2007. As a result of the sale, our ownership interest was reduced to approximately 10%. The Company accounts for this investment under the cost method.

Impairment of Investment

During the three months ended December 28, 2007, we determined that there had been an other-than-temporary impairment of our remaining investment in SGI Japan. As a result, we wrote down the investment by \$6 million, which represented the difference between the investment's carrying value and the estimated fair value of the investment as of December 28, 2007. We included the impairment loss in interest and other income (expense), net in the second quarter of fiscal 2008. The value of the investment after the write down was approximately \$15 million, which represented the estimated fair value of the investment at September 26, 2008.

See Note 15, *Related Party Transactions*, for further information on our related party relationship with SGI Japan.

11. Guarantees

Financial Guarantees

We have issued financial guarantees to cover rent on leased facilities and equipment; to government authorities for VAT and other taxes; and, certain other parties support payments in advance of future delivery on our goods and services. The majority of our financial guarantees have terms of one year or less. Our maximum potential obligation under financial guarantees at September 26, 2008 was \$5.0 million for which we

Edgar Filing: SILICON GRAPHICS INC - Form 10-Q

had \$4.2 million of assets held as collateral. The full amount of the assets held as collateral was included in restricted investments.

The cost of the assets held as collateral approximates fair value. At September 26, 2008, we did not have any obligations associated with our guarantees that met the criteria to be recorded as liabilities in our condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Table of Contents*Product Warranties*

At the time of sale of our products, we provide for an estimated cost to warrant these products against defects in materials and workmanship for a period of up to three years. This accrual was reflected under other current liabilities and other long-term liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheets of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Product warranty activity was as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| | Sep. 26, 2008 | Sep. 28, 2007 |
| Product warranty beginning balance | \$ 5,207 | \$ 5,646 |
| New warranties issued | 2,316 | 1,628 |
| Warranties incurred | (1,137) | (1,740) |
| Changes in warranty rate estimates | 150 | 116 |
| Product warranty ending balance | \$ 6,536 | \$ 5,650 |

12. Earnings (Loss) Per Share

Set forth below is a reconciliation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|
| | Sep. 26, 2008 | Sep. 28, 2007 |
| Net loss | \$ (33,697) | \$ (36,193) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - basic | 11,597 | 11,125 |
| Potential dilutive shares from stock options and unvested RSUs | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted | 11,597 | 11,125 |
| Net loss per share: | | |
| Basic | \$ (2.91) | \$ (3.25) |
| Diluted | \$ (2.91) | \$ (3.25) |
| Potentially dilutive weighted securities excluded from computations because they are anti-dilutive | 1,677 | 1,274 |

Potentially dilutive weighted securities include the assumed exercise of stock options and the assumed vesting of RSUs as well as the assumed conversion of debt using the if-converted method. For purposes of computing diluted net income per share, these weighted securities were excluded since their exercise price exceeded the average fair market value of our common stock for the period and their effect would be anti-dilutive.

13. Comprehensive Income

Other comprehensive income totaling \$0.2 million for the three months ended September 26, 2008, consisted of net unrecognized pension losses and the gains in hedges in accordance with our hedge accounting under FAS 133.

14. Segment Information

We have two reportable segments, products and global services. Our reportable segments are determined after assessment of factors such as quantitative thresholds of business components to be included into reportable segments, customer base, economic characteristics, homogeneity of products, technology, delivery channels and other factors, and are aligned with the process by which the chief operating decision maker (our Chief Executive Officer) makes operating decisions and evaluates performance.

Products

Our products segment consists of HPC servers, storage and visualization systems based on the Intel Itanium or Intel Xeon processors, industry standard graphics processors, the Linux or Microsoft Windows operating systems and the software that provides the additional differentiation for these products. Our products are distributed through our direct sales force and through channels, including resellers, distributors and systems integrators.

Table of Contents

Our products include our server products sold under the Altix brand name, our storage systems sold under the InfiniteStorage brand name, and our recently introduced visualization systems sold under the Virtu brand name. Additionally, we have high-performance servers and visualization systems based on MIPS RISC processors and the IRIX operating system. They include the SGI Origin family of high-performance servers, Silicon Graphics Tezro and Silicon Graphics Fuel workstations and the Silicon Graphics Onyx family of graphics systems.

Global Services

Our global services organization supports our computer hardware and software products and provides professional services to help customers realize the full value of their information technology investments. Our customer support organization provides ongoing maintenance and technical support, including contracted maintenance services, hardware deployment services (install and de-install), time and materials-based services and spare parts. Our professional services organization provides technology consulting, customer education, managed services and third-party products.

Segment Results

The following table presents revenues and gross margin for our segments (in thousands).

| | Products | Global Services | Total |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|
| Three Months Ended: | | | |
| September 26, 2008 | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 45,215 | \$ 47,544 | \$ 92,759 |
| Gross Margin | \$ 10,366 | \$ 18,880 | \$ 29,246 |
| September 28, 2007 | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 45,596 | \$ 45,489 | \$ 91,085 |
| Gross Margin | \$ 7,904 | \$ 19,600 | \$ 27,504 |

Sales and cost of sales is the only discrete financial information we have available for our segments. For this reason, we are not able to provide other financial results or assets by segment (e.g. operating expenses, net income, long-lived assets, etc.).

Operating segments do not sell products to each other, and accordingly, there is no inter-segment revenue to be reported.

In the first quarter of fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008, no single customer represented 10% or more of our total revenue.

Product Information

Our Product revenue is primarily comprised of revenue from our server and storage products along with our software. Our Global Services revenue consists of sales from two classes of services: (1) Support services and (2) Professional Services.

The following table provides revenue for similar classes of products and services (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| | September 26, 2008 | September 28, 2007 |
| Computer Systems Products | | |
| Shared Memory | \$ 17,751 | \$ 19,323 |
| Cluster | \$ 9,605 | \$ 10,215 |
| Storage | \$ 12,222 | \$ 7,742 |
| Software | \$ 2,235 | \$ 2,580 |
| Other (includes visualization, royalties, etc.) | \$ 3,402 | \$ 5,736 |
| Total Products | \$ 45,215 | \$ 45,596 |
| Global Services | | |

Edgar Filing: SILICON GRAPHICS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------|-----------|---------------|
| Customer Support | \$ 36,838 | \$ | 38,231 |
| Professional Services | \$ 10,706 | \$ | 7,258 |
| Total Services | \$ 47,544 | \$ | 45,489 |

Table of Contents**Geographic Information**

The following table provides revenue for our geographic locations (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | September 26, 2008 | September 28, 2007 |
| Americas (1) | \$ 62,008 | \$ 65,002 |
| Europe | \$ 22,679 | \$ 14,342 |
| Rest of the World | \$ 8,072 | \$ 11,741 |
| Total Revenue | \$ 92,759 | \$ 91,085 |

- (1) Includes revenue from the U.S. of \$59,042 and \$62,037 for the three months ended September 26, 2008 and September 28, 2007, respectively.

15. Related Party Transactions*SGI Japan*

We own approximately 10% of the outstanding stock of SGI Japan and our Chief Executive Officer is a member of SGI Japan's Board of Directors. We record revenue for products sold to SGI Japan when evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the price is fixed or determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured as prescribed by SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 104, *Revenue Recognition*. Revenue and cost of revenue associated with sales to SGI Japan were as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| | Sep. 26, 2008 | Sep. 28, 2007 |
| Product revenue | \$ 684 | \$ 5,454 |
| Cost of product revenue | \$ 520 | \$ 4,005 |

Aggregate amounts receivable from and payable to SGI Japan were immaterial at September 26, 2008 and June 27, 2008.

Watershed Asset Management L.L.C.

Watershed Asset Management L.L.C. (Watershed LLC) owns more than 10% of our outstanding common stock, and a representative of Watershed LLC was the Chairman of our Board from October 2006 until his resignation in August 2008. Affiliates of Watershed LLC are lenders under our term loan. As such, affiliates of Watershed LLC received a pro rata share of fees paid to the lenders at the closing of the original \$85 million loan in October 2006 and also at the execution of the Third Amendment to our term loan agreement in February 2008. Affiliates of Watershed LLC also receive a pro rata share of interest payments we make for amounts borrowed under the loan. We owed affiliates of Watershed LLC \$32.0 million under the term loan at September 26, 2008 and June 27, 2008. Interest payable to affiliates of Watershed LLC on the term loan was \$0.7 million and \$0.6 million at September 26, 2008 and June 27, 2008, respectively.

In September 2007, the lenders under our original \$85 million term loan, including affiliates of Watershed LLC, purchased and assumed the position of GE under our line of credit agreement and substituted themselves as lenders under the credit line. As a result, affiliates of Watershed LLC received a fee for becoming revolver lenders and receive a pro rata share of interest payments on amounts we borrow under the line of credit. As of September 26, 2008, affiliates of Watershed LLC had an \$8.5 million commitment under our \$20 million line of credit. We had no outstanding borrowings under the line of credit at September 26, 2008 and June 27, 2008 and interest payable on the line of credit was immaterial on both dates.

Table of Contents

In addition, we paid a fee in September 2007 to all lenders to obtain a waiver of covenants under the credit facility and affiliates of Watershed LLC received a pro rata portion of that fee. In August 2008, we incurred a fee of \$0.7 million related to the Fifth Amendment. This fee will be added to the principal of our term loan and paid at maturity to all debt holders on a pro rata basis.

As part of the Reorganization, we entered into the Liquidating Trust Agreement in October 2006 (the "Liquidating Trust Agreement") with a trustee to establish a liquidating trust (the "Liquidating Trust") with respect to certain assets, including litigation claims, to be transferred to the Liquidating Trust from the Debtors' Chapter 11 estate. Affiliates of Watershed are beneficial holders of interests in the Liquidating Trust, and the Chairman of our Board is a member of the Trust Advisory Board. Prior to the Effective Date, affiliates of Watershed LLC also received a pro rata portion of the backstop fees paid in connection with certain Backstop Commitment Agreements, dated July 31, 2006 (the "Backstop Commitment Agreements").

In addition, affiliates of Watershed LLC are parties to an Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement (the "Registration Rights Agreement"), dated as of March 28, 2008. This agreement amended and restated the original Registration Rights Agreement dated as of October 17, 2006 entered into by and among us and certain of our stockholders in connection with and as contemplated by the Plan pursuant to which such stockholders are entitled to receive certain registration rights.

The Registration Rights Agreement requires us to file registration statements with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and effect offerings of shares of our common stock held by the parties to the Registration Rights Agreement pursuant to such registration statements, under certain circumstances. It also contains a liquidated damages clause requiring us to pay certain amounts if (a) there is a failure to file a required registration statement, or effect a takedown from a required shelf registration statement, within a prescribed time period, (b) a required registration statement is not declared effective by the SEC within a prescribed time period, or (c) a required registration statement ceases to be effective and available for a prescribed period of time.

Monarch Alternative Capital LP

Monarch (formerly QDRA) owns more than 10% of our outstanding common stock, and a representative of Monarch was a member of our Board from October 2006 until his resignation in August 2008. Monarch assumed the position of Quadangle, an affiliate of QDRA, in our term loan. As such, Monarch received a pro rata share of fees paid to the lenders at the closing of the original \$85 million loan in October 2006 and also at the execution of the Third Amendment to our term loan agreement in February 2008. Monarch also receives a pro rata share of interest payments we make for amounts borrowed under the loan. We owed Monarch \$32.0 million under the term loan at September 26, 2008 and June 27, 2008. Interest payable to Monarch on the term loan was \$0.7 million and \$0.6 million at September 26, 2008 and June 27, 2008, respectively.

In September 2007, the lenders under our original \$85 million term loan, including Monarch, purchased and assumed the position of GE under our line of credit agreement and substituted themselves as lenders under the credit line. As a result, Monarch received a fee for becoming a revolver lender and receives a pro rata share of interest payments on amounts we borrow under the line of credit. As of September 26, 2008, Monarch had a \$5.3 million commitment under our \$20 million line of credit. We had no outstanding borrowings under the line of credit at September 26, 2008 and June 27, 2008 and interest payable on the line of credit was immaterial on both dates.

In addition, we paid a fee in September 2007 to all lenders to obtain a waiver of covenants under the credit facility and Monarch received a pro rata portion of that fee. In August 2008, we incurred a fee of \$0.7 million related to the Fifth Amendment. This fee will be added to the principle of our term loan and paid at maturity to all debt holders on a pro rata basis.

Monarch is a beneficial holder of interest in the Liquidating Trust and the Monarch representative formerly on our Board is a member of the Trust Advisory Board. Prior to the Effective Date, Monarch also received a pro rata portion of the backstop fees paid in connection with the Backstop Commitment Agreements.

In addition, Monarch is a party to the Registration Rights Agreement.

Whippoowill Associates Inc.

Whippoowill Associates Inc. or its affiliates ("Whippoowill") own more than 10% of our outstanding common stock. Whippoowill is a lender under our \$85 million term loan. As such, Whippoowill received a pro rata share of fees paid to the lenders at the closing of the \$85 million loan in October 2006. Whippoowill also receives a pro rata share of interest payments we make for amounts borrowed under the loan. We owed Whippoowill \$4.2 million under the term loan at September 26, 2008 and June 27, 2008. Interest payable to Whippoowill on the term loan was \$0.1 million on both dates.

Edgar Filing: SILICON GRAPHICS INC - Form 10-Q

In September 2007, the lenders under our original \$85 million term loan, including Whippoorwill, purchased and assumed the position of GE under our line of credit agreement and substituted themselves as lenders under the credit line. As a result, Whippoorwill received a fee for becoming a revolver lender and receives a pro rata share of interest payments on amounts we borrow under the line of credit. As of June 27, 2008, Whippoorwill had a \$6.2 million commitment under our \$20 million line of credit. We had no outstanding borrowings under the line of credit at September 26, 2008 and June 27, 2008 and interest payable on the line of credit was immaterial on both dates.

Table of Contents

In addition, we paid a fee in September 2007 to all lenders to obtain a waiver of covenants under the credit facility and Whippoorwill received a pro rata portion of that fee. In August 2008, we incurred a fee of \$0.7 million related to the Fifth Amendment. This fee will be added to the principal of our term loan and paid at maturity to all debt holders on a pro rata basis.

LC Capital Master Fund LTD

LC Capital Master Fund LTD or its affiliates (LC Capital) owns more than 10% of our outstanding common stock. LC Capital became one of our lenders at the execution of the Third Amendment to our term loan agreement in February 2008. As such, LC Capital received a pro rata share of the fee paid to the lenders at the execution of the Third Amendment. LC Capital also receives a pro rata share of interest payments we make for amounts borrowed under the loan. We owed LC Capital \$3.6 million at September 26, 2008 and June 27, 2008. Interest payable to LC Capital was immaterial on both dates.

16. Income Taxes

We file income tax returns with the U.S. government, various states and foreign jurisdictions. Certain returns filed relating to fiscal 2005 to 2007 remain open to examination by the major taxing jurisdictions in which the Company is subject to tax. SGI has however established tax contingencies associated with a liability that arose from an IRS refund payment to Cray Research in prior years. We are also negotiating the settlement of tax issues relating to our investment in Cray Research. We have reached a negotiated settlement of certain tax issues related to deductions under section 172(f) of the Internal Revenue Code that affect the years 1984 through 1988. This settlement, which has been approved by the Joint Committee of Tax at the end of fiscal 2007, will result in approximately \$7.5 million of tax refund/credit, including applicable interest. We have recognized the estimated benefit related to this potential credit in fiscal 2007 as an adjustment to goodwill and/or other intangibles. Management reasonably expects proceeds from the settlement within fiscal 2009. However, the refund may offset a previously recorded tax liability from an unrelated and earlier tax audit, resulting in a net refund/credit of approximately \$0.7 million. The actual amount of the interest on the refund receipt may be different from our estimate.

In addition, we have open income tax audits for fiscal years 1995 through 2005 in various foreign jurisdictions. The most significant of these tax audits has resulted in a tax adjustment of approximately \$13 million payable to the Canadian government included in the long-term income tax payable on the balance sheet. We believe there would potentially be offsetting refunds against this tax adjustment from the Canadian government because we have requested refunds of overpaid taxes (made in April 2005 through a voluntary disclosure) for fiscal years 1996 through 2002. The discussions with the Canadian tax authorities regarding refund for over-paid taxes is still in progress; therefore, it is uncertain whether our application for refund and the amount requested will be accepted. If accepted however, it will result in a net cash benefit to us. We have not recognized any benefit related to this potential credit as of September 26, 2008, and any benefit, if recognized, will be primarily an adjustment to other intangibles.

We are also currently under income tax audit in Germany and India on issues related to transfer pricing for fiscal 2003 through 2005. We believe our transfer pricing practice in these jurisdictions is adequately documented and supported by an independent study of practices by comparable companies (a transfer pricing report), so we do not expect any adjustments as a result of these examinations. We have net operating loss carryforwards in these jurisdictions that may be utilized to reduce any adjustment to our income taxes that may be proposed as a result of the audits or examinations.

Unrecognized Tax Benefits

The Successor Company adopted FIN No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, as of September 29, 2006. There was no material impact on the Successor Company's consolidated results of operations resulting from the implementation of FIN No. 48.

Substantially all unrecognized tax benefits are recorded in other non-current liabilities and we do not expect the unrecognized tax benefit to significantly change during fiscal 2009.

To the extent the unrecognized tax benefits existed prior to September 29, 2006, the date we adopted fresh-start accounting, future settlement and adjustments to these unrecognized tax benefits will be adjustments to goodwill and/or other intangibles or to additional paid-in-capital, rather than adjustments to our consolidated statement of operations. As of September 26, 2008, \$3.3 million of unrecognized tax benefits, if recognized, would reduce our effective tax rate.

Table of Contents*Interest and Penalties*

Interest related to income taxes is recognized in the income tax provision. During the three months ended September 26, 2008 and September 28, 2007, we recognized \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, in interest expense. At September 26, 2008, approximately \$11 million had been accrued for the payment of interest expense and penalties. The accrual was included in long-term income taxes payable in the consolidated balance sheet.

17. Financial Instruments*Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*

Risk Management. In the normal course of business, our financial position is exposed to a variety of risks, including market risk associated interest rate movements and currency rate movements on non-U.S. dollar denominated sales, costs and the related assets and liabilities. We regularly assess risks and have established policies and business practices to protect against the adverse effects of these and other potential exposures. We use derivative financial instruments to moderate the financial market risks of our business operations by hedging the foreign currency market exposures underlying certain assets and liabilities. We do not invest in derivative financial instruments for speculative or trading purposes.

We report our derivative financial instruments at their fair value in other current assets and other current liabilities in our consolidated balance sheet.

We use forward contracts to hedge the foreign currency market exposures underlying certain of our assets and liabilities that are denominated in foreign currencies. These derivative financial instruments are not designated as fair value nor cash flow hedges. As a result, any change in the fair value of these instruments is included in other income (expense), net of our consolidated statement of operations.

In addition, we purchased put option contracts to protect against reductions in margins on extended Euro denominated sales contracts. Beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2009, these transactions were designated as cash flow hedges and meet our objective to minimize the impact of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations on revenues over the sales contract period. We formally document our hedge relationships, including the identification of the hedging instruments and the underlying exposures, as well as our foreign currency risk management objectives and strategies for entering into the hedges. Hedge effectiveness is assessed quarterly and time value is included in the assessment. The effective portion of the derivative's change in fair value is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income until the underlying hedge transaction is recognized in earnings. Should some portion of the hedge be determined as ineffective, the ineffective portion of the unrealized gain or loss will be realized in the statement of income in the period of determination.

At September 26, 2008, the forward and option contracts outstanding had maturity dates of less than one year. At September 26, 2008, a mark-to-market net gain on the revaluation of these option contracts was recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income. None of these amounts are projected to be reclassified to earnings within the next 12 months. Realized net gains on the settlement of these forward contracts were recorded in the statement of income during the three months ended September 26, 2008, but were not material.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

On June 30, 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, except as it applies to the non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities that are subject to Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Staff Position (FSP) Financial Accounting Standard (FAS) 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157*. In October 2008, we adopted FSP No. 157-3, *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset is not active* (FSP 157-3). The adoption of FSP 157-3 did not have an effect on the fair value of our financial assets as of September 26, 2008. We calculated fair values of our derivative instruments under Level II of the three-tier hierarchy established under SFAS No. 157 that draws a distinction between market participant assumptions. The assumptions are based on (1) observable quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level I), (2) inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are observable either directly or indirectly (Level II), and (3) unobservable inputs that require us to use other valuation techniques to determine fair value (Level III).

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of our financial instruments as of September 26, 2008 were as follows (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: SILICON GRAPHICS INC - Form 10-Q

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
|--------------------------------|---------|------------|-----------|
| Assets | | | |
| Marketable Investments | \$ | \$ 4,355 | \$ |
| Restricted pension plan assets | | \$ 2,806 | |
| Currency Options | | \$ 328 | |
| Investment in SGI, Japan (1) | | | \$ 14,720 |
| Liabilities | | | |
| Debt Instruments | | \$ 133,407 | |
| Currency Forwards | | \$ 31 | |

- (1) It is difficult to determine the estimated fair value of our investment in SGI Japan, primarily because SGI Japan is a privately owned company. We considered a number of factors in making our determination of the estimated fair value of our investment, including the historical operating results and forecasted operating results of SGI Japan. Actual results could differ from the forecasted results and if the actual results are unfavorable relative to the future forecast, we may record an additional impairment in a future period. See note 15 for additional information regarding this investment.

Table of Contents**18. Research and Development Arrangements**

During the first quarter of fiscal 2007, we entered into research and development arrangements with certain third parties for a period of three years and; during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, we entered into a research and development arrangement with a third party for a period of nine months with an option for an additional three months. Currently, the value of these arrangements totals \$29.2 million and covers periods through fiscal 2009. The objective of these arrangements is to help fund the development of technologies or products that meet specific operational needs for our business partners and lead towards the commercialization of new products that can be sold for incremental revenue. During the three months ended September 26, 2008, we earned approximately \$1.7 million in compensation compared with approximately \$2.5 million for the three months ended September 28, 2007. The amounts earned are recognized as an offset to research and development expense.

19. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In November 2007, the EITF issued a consensus on EITF 07-1, *Accounting for Collaboration Arrangements Related to the Development and Commercialization of Intellectual Property* (EITF 07-1). The EITF reached a consensus that a participant in a collaborative arrangement that is deemed to be the principal participant for a transaction should record the transaction on a gross basis in its financial statements. Entities should evaluate the income statement classification of payments between participants pursuant to a collaborative arrangement based on the nature of the arrangement, the nature of the entity's business operations, the contractual terms of the arrangement, and whether those payments are within the scope of other authoritative accounting literature on income statement classification. If the payments are within the scope of other authoritative accounting literature, the entity should apply the relevant provisions of that literature. To the extent that the payments are not within the scope of other authoritative accounting literature, the income statement classification for the payments should be based on an analogy to authoritative accounting literature, or if there is no appropriate analogy, a reasonable, rational and consistently applied accounting policy election. EITF 07-1 should be applied retrospectively to all prior periods presented for all collaborative arrangements existing as of the effective date. The consensus in EITF 07-1 is effective for us in the fiscal year beginning June 27, 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of the adoption of EITF 07-1 on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No.141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations* (SFAS 141R), which establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree in a business combination. SFAS No.141R also establishes principles around how goodwill acquired in a business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase should be recognized and measured, as well as provides guidelines on the disclosure requirements on the nature and financial impact of the business combination. SFAS 141R will be applicable to any business combinations we close after June 26, 2009.

In April 2008, The FASB issued FASB Staff Position FSP No. 142-3, *Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets* (FSP 142-3). FSP 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. FSP 142-3 is effective for us in the fiscal year beginning June 27, 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of FSP 142-3 on our consolidated financial statements.

20. Contingencies

We may, from time to time, be involved in lawsuits, claims, investigations and proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of business. In accordance with SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, we record a provision for a liability when management believes that it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and we can reasonably estimate the amount of the loss. We believe we have adequate provisions for any such matters. We review these provisions at least quarterly and adjust these provisions to reflect the impact of negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel and other information and events pertaining to a particular case.

We are currently being audited for state sales and use tax matters for the years 2003 through 2006 in California. In addition, we have open income tax, VAT, and sales and use tax audits for years 1995 through 2005 in various foreign jurisdictions. The most significant of these tax audits has resulted in a tax adjustment of approximately \$13 million (including interest) payable to the Canadian government. We have requested refunds of overpaid taxes from the Canadian government (made in April 2005 through a voluntary disclosure) for fiscal years 1996 through 2002. The discussions with the Canadian tax authorities regarding refund for over-paid taxes is still in progress; therefore, it is uncertain as to whether our application for refund and the amount requested will be accepted. We are also currently under income tax audit in Germany and India on issues related to transfer pricing for the fiscal 2003 through 2005. We are under examination by the Chinese tax authorities on issues unrelated to transfer pricing for the years 2002 through 2005. We file income tax returns with the U.S. government, various states and foreign jurisdictions. Certain returns filed relating to fiscal 2005 to 2007 remain open to examination by the major taxing jurisdictions in which we are subject to tax.

Table of Contents

The outcome of any tax audits cannot be predicted with certainty, but we believe that any resulting audit adjustments to any of our open tax returns will not result in a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

As described in Note 21, *Proceedings under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code*, on the Petition Date, May 8, 2006, the Debtors filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11. As of the Petition Date, most pending litigation (including some of the actions described below) was stayed, and absent further order of the Court, no party, subject to certain exceptions, was permitted to take any action, again subject to certain exceptions, to recover on pre-petition claims against us. On the Effective Date, we emerged from Chapter 11.

On August 10, 2005, our German subsidiary, which was not a party to the Chapter 11 cases, filed a lawsuit with the LG Munich, a Higher Regional Court in Germany, against T-Systems International GmbH (TSI), a systems integrator, relating to a dispute regarding whether acceptance criteria were met with regard to an SGI system delivered in the spring of 2003. We are seeking full payment for the system in an amount equal to 4.6 million (\$6.7 million based on the conversion rate on September 26, 2008). On September 21, 2005, TSI filed a counterclaim contesting our claim and alleging damages of 9 million plus interest since April 2004 (\$13.1 million based on the conversion rate on September 26, 2008), which exceeds our contractual limit of liability of 2 million (\$2.9 million based on the conversion rate on September 26, 2008). On December 7, 2005, we responded to TSI's counterclaim and filed a motion seeking an additional 3.8 million (\$5.5 million based on the conversion rate on September 26, 2008) for lost profit relating to maintenance services. The matter is proceeding through the German court system. We cannot currently predict the outcome of this dispute.

On October 23, 2006, we filed a patent infringement lawsuit against ATI Technologies Inc. (ATI) in U.S. District Court, Western District of Wisconsin. In our complaint, we assert that products in ATI's line of Radeon graphics processors infringe our U.S. Patent No. 6,650,327. The Complaint seeks unspecified damages and a court ordered injunction against future infringement by ATI.

In late 2006, AMD announced the completion of its acquisition of ATI. We filed an amended complaint adding two additional patents to our claims on November 30, 2006. ATI filed an answer to the complaint on December 1, 2006. ATI filed its answer to the amended complaint on December 14, 2006. The Court granted certain parts of AMD's motion for summary judgment on January 30, 2008. On February 8, 2008, a jury entered its verdict on the remaining issues. The net result was a finding that the contested patent was valid, but that we were not entitled to recovery from AMD for infringement on any of the claims. Although the period to appeal has been stayed pending decision on post-trial motions, on April 24, 2008, AMD filed a protective Notice of Appeal. On May 2, 2008, SGI filed a protective Notice of Appeal. Briefing for the appeal should be complete by early 2009.

In fiscal 2005, as a result of anonymous allegations and allegations by an ex-employee, we conducted an internal investigation into whether certain systems were delivered to an entity in China in possible violation of U.S. export laws. We have voluntarily shared information with respect to the investigation with the U.S. Department of Commerce. We cannot be assured that the Department or other agencies of the U.S. government will not institute any proceedings against us in the future. In addition, from time to time, we receive inquiries from regulatory agencies informally requesting information or documentation. There can be no assurance in any given case that such informal review will not lead to further proceedings involving us in the future.

Third parties in the past have asserted, and will in the future assert, intellectual property infringement claims against us, and such future claims, if proved, could require us to pay substantial damages or to redesign our existing products or pay fees to obtain cross-license agreements. Litigation may be necessary in the future to enforce or defend our intellectual property rights, to protect our trade secrets or to determine the validity and scope of our proprietary rights or the proprietary rights of others. Any such litigation could result in substantial costs and diversion of management resources, either of which could harm our business, operating results and financial condition. Further, many of our current and potential competitors have the ability to dedicate substantially greater resources to enforcing and defending their intellectual property rights than we have.

On May 1, 2007, we received a legal notice from counsel to Bharat Heavy Electricals Ltd. (BHEL), located in India, alleging delay in and failure to deliver products and technical problems with our hardware and software in relation to the establishment of a facility in Hyderabad. We are currently engaged in arbitration. On January 21, 2008, BHEL filed its statement of claim against Silicon Graphics Systems (India) Pvt. Ltd. for a sum of Indian Rupee (INR) 78,478,200 (\$1.7 million based on the conversion rate on September 26, 2008) plus interest and costs. On February 29, 2008, we filed our reply as well as a counter claim for a sum of INR 27,453,007 (\$0.6 million based on the conversion rate on September 26, 2008) plus interest and costs. The proceeding has commenced and the next hearing is scheduled for November 27, 2008. We cannot currently predict the outcome of this dispute.

We are not aware of any pending disputes, including those disputes and settlements described above, that would be likely to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or liquidity. However, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties and costs and unfavorable outcomes could occur. An unfavorable outcome could include the payment of monetary damages, cash or other settlement, or an injunction prohibiting us from selling one or more products. If an unfavorable resolution were to occur, there exists the

Edgar Filing: SILICON GRAPHICS INC - Form 10-Q

possibility of a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of the period in which the resolution occurs or on future periods.

Table of Contents

21. Proceedings Under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code

Chapter 11 Reorganization and Emergence from Bankruptcy

On May 8, 2006, the Predecessor Company and certain of its subsidiaries (collectively, the Debtors), filed voluntary petitions for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the Court). The Debtors remained in possession of their assets and properties as debtors-in-possession under the jurisdiction of the Court and in accordance with the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code. At a hearing held on September 19, 2006, the Court confirmed the Predecessor Company's First Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization, dated July 27, 2006 (as modified, the Plan). This order became final on September 29, 2006 and we emerged from Chapter 11 on October 17, 2006. Pursuant to the Plan, we issued 11,125,000 shares of new common stock to certain of the Predecessor Company's creditors in satisfaction of claims and upon exercise of stock purchase rights and over-allotment options. Of the 11,125,000 shares of outstanding new common stock, we issued 10,000,000 shares to holders of certain allowed debt claims and we issued 1,125,000 additional shares to certain backstop purchasers pursuant to a Backstop Purchase Agreement that guaranteed the claims of certain note holders. In addition, we reserved 1,250,000 shares of the new common stock for issuance pursuant to the terms of our Management Incentive Plan, which share reserve has since been increased. See Note 4, *Stock-Based Compensation*.

Our new common stock trades on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol SGIC.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, or the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other important factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performances or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, those concerning the following:

significant demands on our working capital continuing, and possibly not being able to recoup significant expenditures made to support backlog requirements;

our cash position possibly being insufficient to meet operating needs, although we are seeking to, but might be unable to, restructure our debt and raise additional capital;

our inability to raise additional capital in the future on commercially attractive terms or at all, which would restrict our growth and impair our ability to operate;

our historical losses and possible failure to attain profitability on a quarterly, annual or sustained basis and risks related to the impact on our business of cost reduction initiatives to be effected in the coming quarters to bring costs more in line with current revenues, and

our ability to manage the transition to our new business plan effectively, which could adversely affect our business and operating results.

In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terms such as anticipates, believes, could, estimates, expects, intends, may, potential, predicts, projects, should, will and would, as well as similar expressions. Forward-looking statements reflect our current views respect to future events, are based on assumptions and are subject to risks, uncertainties and other important factors. We discuss many of these risks, uncertainties and other important factors in greater detail under the heading Risk Factors and elsewhere in this report and in our most recent annual report on Form 10-K. Given these risks, uncertainties and other important factors, you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Also, these forward-looking statements represent our estimates and assumptions only as of the date these forward-looking statements are made. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether changes occur as a result of new information, future events, changed assumptions, or otherwise.

Overview

We are a leader in HPC and data management. We sell a broad line of mid-range to high-end computing servers, data storage and visualization systems and software that provides additional differentiation to these offerings as well as on a stand alone basis. In addition, we provide customer support and professional services related to our products. We are a leading developer of enterprise-class, high-performance features for the Linux operating system. We provide our customers with a standard Linux operating environment combined with our differentiated Linux extensions that improve performance, simplify system management and provide a more robust development environment. Our shared memory and cluster computing systems incorporate predominantly Intel Itanium and Xeon processors and our storage product lines integrate disk systems, ranging from entry-level disk arrays to complex storage systems, from LSI and DataDirect Networks.

Table of Contents

Our products and services are used by the scientific, technical and business communities to solve challenging data-intensive computing, data management and visualization problems. These problems typically require large amounts of computing power and fast and efficient data movement both within the computing system and to and from large-scale data storage installations. Our end users employ our systems to access, analyze, transform, manage, visualize and store very large amounts of data in real time or near real time. The vertical markets we serve include defense and strategic systems, weather and climate, physical sciences, life sciences, energy (including oil and gas), aerospace and automotive, media and entertainment, and business intelligence and data analytics. Applications for our systems within these vertical markets include simulating global climate changes, accelerating engineering of new automotive designs, supporting homeland security initiatives, real-time fraud detection and gaining business intelligence through data-mining. Our services facilitate rapid installation and implementation of our products, optimizing their use, maintaining their availability and educating customers to increase productivity.

Over the past two years, we have appointed a new Chief Executive Officer and other key members of management, introduced our first cluster computing systems, reorganized our sales and marketing organizations, developed important sales and marketing relationships to broaden our market reach and implemented new services initiatives.

We are extending our sales and marketing activities into broader market segments with new products and services that we developed using our experience and leadership in our traditional markets. Using our technology and market knowledge, we deliver systems that have performance and ease-of-use features that are designed to enable our customers to solve their computing needs cost-effectively. Based on our expertise in the Linux computing environment, we have also expanded our server product lines to include servers that target growing segments of the HPC market.

We are committed to providing a Linux environment for hybrid computing installations that ensures enterprise-class users ease of administration, high performance and reliability. Our software development is increasing to support this environment, as we believe this software will offer us key differentiation and be an important part of customers' future purchasing decisions. We are combining our own differentiated software with open source software to create an open, yet well-integrated, suite of software, tools and utilities. We refer to these development efforts as our Industrial Strength Linux Environment initiative.

We perform final assembly and testing for our products in Chippewa Falls, Wisconsin. We distribute our products through our direct sales force and through channels including resellers, distributors and systems integrators.

We use growth in bookings and backlog as significant indicators of the performance of our business. We calculate bookings as the sum of all committed purchase orders for products and professional services deliverable within 12 months, as such bookings do not include the value of committed customer support contracts. We changed our method of calculating bookings in the third quarter of fiscal 2008 to be consistent with industry practices and to align with our material forecast horizon. Previously, we calculated bookings as the sum of all committed purchase orders for products and professional services deliverable within nine months. The cumulative total of all bookings that we have taken that have not yet been recognized as revenue is what we define as backlog. At September 26, 2008, our consolidated backlog was \$244 million, up from \$113 million at September 28, 2007. The change in method of calculating bookings did not have a material effect on backlog at September 26, 2008.

We generally do not maintain sufficient backlog to meet our quarterly objectives for product revenue without obtaining significant new orders that are booked and shipped within the quarter. Our backlog reflects only orders for which a firm purchase order has been issued or a contract has been made, although orders in backlog are subject to customer cancellation or rescheduling in certain circumstances, and government customers typically have rights of cancellation for convenience. SGI systems have also been selected for a number of multi-year U.S. government programs, with expected purchases that are not reflected in our current backlog. In addition, we may enter into longer delivery-cycle contracts for which a portion of the value is not reflected in our current backlog, since a portion of such orders may be scheduled to ship outside the time provided in our bookings policy. These types of orders generally also require us and our partners to develop and deliver future products, and may be subject to performance guarantees collateralized by letters of credit and additional penalties for delays in delivery or non-performance.

Generally accepted accounting principles require us to recognize revenue from many of our sale transactions over an extended period of time. We recognize revenue from sales when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the price is fixed or determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured. A large proportion of our revenue is generated from contracts where we are obligated to deliver multiple products and/or services. In these instances, we must assess the arrangement to determine whether the elements of the arrangement should be treated as separate units of accounting for revenue recognition purposes and if so, how the total contract price should be allocated among the elements and when revenue should be recognized for each element. We determine this allocation of the contractual price under the guidance of EITF 00-21 or SOP 97-2, where we would apply the latter if we are selling software elements that are considered more than incidental to the arrangement as a whole. We recognize revenue for delivered elements only when there is objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the undelivered items and customer acceptance, if applicable, has been obtained. At this point in time, we

have not determined fair value

Table of Contents

for undelivered maintenance and support elements under the guidance of SOP 97-2. However, we follow the guidance of FTB 90-1 and EITF 00-21 for arrangements that do not fall under the guidance of SOP 97-2. As a result of our strategy to provide complete solutions to our customers, we generally include software that is considered more than incidental to the arrangement causing an increasing number of our arrangements fall under the guidance of SOP 97-2. Because we have not been able to determine fair value for undelivered maintenance and support elements of these arrangements we are not able to allocate the total contract price between the delivered and undelivered elements which often results in a significant deferral of revenue recognition. As a result of this, deferred revenue is recognized over the duration of the delivery period of the maintenance and support obligation, which is normally between one and five years, with most arrangements being amortized over three years. Generally our ability to invoice and collect under our contracts is tied to customer acceptance or other milestones, so the revenue treatment summarized above may significantly vary from the timing of cash collection under the contracts.

Over the past several quarters we have seen a significant increase in our backlog. In addition, we have recently received orders for and have been awarded a number of significant contracts for large sales transactions. Our working capital requirements are such that we often must buy materials in support of our backlog requirements well before receiving cash or recognizing revenue for such backlog. We may also incur expenses purchasing components we use in our products or the expense of manufacturing products during a period in which we have not received a firm order or payment for those products. We would incur these expenses in anticipation of receiving future orders for these products. In addition, the orders or contracts for which we incur expenses before receiving revenue may be reduced or changed, and we may not be able to recoup the expenses we have incurred. Because these cash outflows may occur in a period during which we will not receive payment for the orders, our cash balance may be significantly reduced in that period and our operating results for that period may suffer. At September 26, 2008, our principal sources of liquidity included unrestricted cash and marketable investments of \$36 million and \$20 million of availability under our revolving line of credit. We are continuing to implement initiatives aimed at improving revenue and margins for our systems products and global services and are accelerating initiatives to lower our costs of operations to reduce our losses and hasten our return to profitability. In spite of these initiatives, we expect to consume cash from operations through at least the first half of fiscal 2009. As a result, we are actively evaluating opportunities to restructure the terms of our existing debt arrangements to eliminate the short-term cash obligations under the arrangements, and to raise additional capital in order to meet the working capital requirements of our future business, related primarily to large sales transactions. We also may choose to raise additional capital for sales and marketing initiatives, research and development, general corporate purposes and to repay indebtedness. Such additional capital may not be available on commercially attractive terms or at all.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate these estimates, including: those related to customer programs and incentives; bad debts; inventory; lease residual values; warranty obligations; restructuring; incomes taxes and contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ materially from these estimates. There were no material changes to our critical accounting policies and estimates since June 27, 2008. Refer to Management's Discussion and Analysis in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Results of Operations

The financial information and the discussion below should be read in conjunction with the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto. The operating results for any quarter are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for any future periods.

| (In thousands, except per share amounts) | Three Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|---------------|
| | Sep. 26, 2008 | Sep. 28, 2007 |
| Total revenue | \$ 92,759 | \$ 91,085 |
| Cost of revenue | 63,513 | 63,581 |
| Gross profit | 29,246 | 27,504 |
| Gross profit margin | 31.5% | 30.2% |
| Total operating expenses | 55,767 | 54,695 |
| Operating loss | (26,521) | (27,191) |

Edgar Filing: SILICON GRAPHICS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Interest and other expense, net | (4,524) | (3,335) |
| Loss before income taxes | (31,045) | (30,526) |
| Net loss | \$ (33,697) | \$ (36,193) |
| Net loss per share: | | |
| Basic | \$ (2.91) | \$ (3.25) |
| Diluted | \$ (2.91) | \$ (3.25) |

Table of Contents**Revenue**

The following discussion of revenue is based on the results of our reportable segments as described in Note 14, *Segment Information*, to our notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this report. Total revenue is principally derived from two reportable segments, Products and Global Services. Our product segment includes several product lines. Shared Memory includes our server products built with capability for scalable shared memory. These are currently the Altix 450 and Altix 4700 Itanium- and Linux-based server platforms, and are built around SGI-proprietary chipsets and interconnect. Clusters, include Altix XE and Altix ICE products, which are cluster products, in either rackmount or bladed format, that offer distributed computing with standard Intel chipsets, Xeon microprocessors, standard GigE or Infiniband interconnects, and Linux and Microsoft Windows operating systems. RPG includes our legacy systems, both server and visualization types, built around MIPS microprocessors, SGI chipsets and interconnects and the SGI proprietary IRIX operating system. Visualization includes our visualization systems and solutions, built around standard X86 microprocessors and chipsets, Linux or Windows, and SGI proprietary graphics software. Storage includes high performance and scalable storage solutions built around OEM storage hardware and SGI proprietary storage software solutions like SGI NAS software stack, SGI file system technologies XFS and CXFS and SGI Data Migration facility DMF. Software includes SGI-authored and third-party software. SGI-authored software includes performance and capability software: SGI Foundation Software and ProPack, as well as Tempo cluster management software, the CXFS high performance file system, and the Data Migration facility DMF. We also derive revenue from resale and OEM relationships it has with multiple vendors for operating system, development tools, and other management software.

Combined revenue, from both Products and Services, increased by \$1.7 million, or 1.8% compared with the corresponding period in fiscal 2008. Products revenue decreased by \$0.4 million compared with the corresponding period in fiscal 2008. Service revenue increased by \$2.0 million, or 4.5%, compared with the corresponding period in fiscal 2008.

The following table presents total revenue by reportable segment (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| | Sep. 26, 2008 | Sep. 28, 2007 |
| Products | \$ 45,215 | \$ 45,596 |
| % of total revenue | 49% | 50% |
| Global Services | \$ 47,544 | \$ 45,489 |
| % of total revenue | 51% | 50% |
| Total revenue | \$ 92,759 | \$ 91,085 |

Products.

Revenue from our products segment for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 decreased by \$0.4 million compared with the first quarter in fiscal 2008. Our product business was impacted by lower bookings during the first quarter of fiscal 2009 as compared to the year ago period.

Revenue from shared memory products is attributable to sales of our Altix 450 and Altix 4700 Itanium and Linux-based server platforms. Shared memory revenue declined to \$17.8 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2009, from \$19.3 million in the first quarter in fiscal 2008, a decrease of \$1.5 million, or 8%. These products have matured and revenue is expected to decline over time as these products near their end-of-life.

Revenue from our cluster products, including Altix XE and Altix Ice, declined to \$9.6 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2009, from \$10.2 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2008, a decrease of \$0.6 million, or 6%. This was driven by revenue deferrals, net of releases, of \$15 million.

Storage revenue increased to \$12.2 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2009, from \$7.7 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2008, an increase of \$4.5 million or 58%. This increase was primarily driven by an increase in the number of large deals in the first quarter of fiscal 2009, compared with the first quarter in fiscal 2008.

Table of Contents

Software revenue decreased to \$2.2 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2009, from \$2.6 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2008, a decrease of \$0.4 million or 15%. This decrease was primarily driven by a \$2.1 million SOP 97-2 adjustment in the first quarter of fiscal 2009, compared with no SOP 97-2 adjustment in the corresponding period of fiscal 2008.

Other revenue decreased to \$3.4 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2009, from \$5.7 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2008, a decrease of \$2.3 million, or 40%. The majority of the decrease was driven by a decline in sales of both our MIPS- and IRIX-based servers and graphics systems as customers continued to transition away from legacy system technology and into Linux-based systems. The long-term decline of the IRIX-based business has accelerated following end-of-life purchase requirements. Legacy systems revenue was largely limited to program sales linked to product line extension agreements with existing customers.

The success of our business is dependent on us securing both large sales transactions, in which we typically ship product, secure payment and recognize revenue over multiple quarters, and smaller sales transactions in each quarter. We refer to the bookings associated with these smaller transactions, in which we can ship product and invoice the customer within the same quarter as the order was received, as run-rate bookings.

Global Services.

Revenue from our global services segment consists of customer support and professional services. Professional services revenue includes revenue generated from the sale of third-party products and our consulting and managed services.

Global services revenue increased to \$47.5 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2009, from \$45.5 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2008, an increase of \$2.0 million, or 4.4%. Global services revenue for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 included a reduction of \$0.8 million from the impact of fresh-start accounting adjustments, compared with a reduction of \$3.8 million from this impact in the corresponding period of fiscal 2008. The increase of \$2.0 million in fiscal 2009 was also due to a large deal in Europe for third party professional service revenue which was partially offset by a decline in our traditional support revenue as a result of lower pricing for new contracts, decline in the overall installed base and a transition towards longer product warranty terms.

Geographic Revenue. Total revenue by geographic area was as follows (in thousands):

| Area | Three Months Ended | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----|------------------|-----|
| | Sep. 26, 2008 | | Sep. 28, 2007 | |
| Americas (1) | \$ 62,008 | 66% | \$ 65,002 | 71% |
| Europe | 22,679 | 25% | 14,342 | 16% |
| Rest of World | 8,072 | 9% | 11,741 | 13% |
| Total revenue | \$ 92,759 | | \$ 91,085 | |

(1) Includes revenue from the U.S. of \$59,042 and \$62,037 for the three months ended September 26, 2008 and September 28, 2007, respectively.

Revenue for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 decreased in the Americas and Rest of World and increased in Europe compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2008. The \$8.5 million increase in Europe was driven by two large deals that totaled \$4 million plus approximately \$4 million in SOP 97-2 deferred revenue annuity releases.

Gross Profit Margin

Cost of product and other revenue includes costs related to product shipments, including materials, labor, overhead, and other direct or allocated costs involved in their manufacture or delivery. Costs associated with engineering service revenue are included in cost of service revenue, unless the engineering service effort meets the criteria for government-funded research. If the contract meets the criteria for a government funded research arrangement, the costs to deliver the contract and the related proceeds earned from the contract are included in research and development expense. Cost of service revenue includes all costs incurred in the support and maintenance of our products, as well as costs to deliver professional services, including the costs associated with third-party products purchased in support of professional services.

Edgar Filing: SILICON GRAPHICS INC - Form 10-Q

Overall, gross profit margin in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 was 32%, compared with 30% in the corresponding period of fiscal 2008. The increase in overall gross profit margin in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 was primarily driven by an increase in global services revenue, with gross profit margin of 40% versus product gross profit margin of 23%.

Table of Contents

Product gross profit margin in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 was 23%, compared with 17% in the corresponding period of fiscal 2008. The increase in product gross profit margin in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 was primarily due to two large deals totaling \$5.4 million with relatively low discounts, compared to aggressive discounting on large deals in the corresponding period of fiscal 2008.

Global services gross profit margin in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 was 40%, compared with 43% in the corresponding period of fiscal 2008. The decrease in global services gross profit margin in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 was due to lower margin on professional services revenue in the first quarter of fiscal 2009. This largely resulted from a single deal that changed the mix towards the lower margin third party products and away from the higher margin consulting and managed services. Increased competition, a more stable Altix product line and a decline in our overall installed base has resulted in reduced or discounted customer support pricing and third party professional services, which also contributed towards the decline in global service gross profit margin.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses were as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| | Sep. 26, 2008 | Sep. 28, 2007 |
| Research and development | \$ 15,096 | \$ 13,296 |
| % of total revenue | 16% | 15% |
| Selling, general and administrative | \$ 36,862 | \$ 41,224 |
| % of total revenue | 40% | 45% |
| Other operating expenses, net | \$ 3,809 | \$ 175 |
| % of total revenue | 4% | % |

Operating Expenses (excluding Other Operating Expenses).

Operating expenses, excluding other operating expenses, for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 decreased by \$2.6 million, or 4.7%, from the corresponding period of fiscal 2008 and decreased as a percentage of total revenue from 60% to 56%. The decrease in operating expenses for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 was primarily attributable to a decrease of \$1.8 million in legal expenses, associated primarily with our ATI patent litigation activity during the first quarter of fiscal 2008. Additionally, contract services decreased by \$0.8 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2009, compared with the first quarter of fiscal 2008. The decrease in operating expenses was partially offset by a decrease of \$1.0 million in the research and development credits from our research and development funding arrangements. Salary expenses for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 compared with the first quarter of fiscal 2008 increased by \$2.4 million, offset partially by a decrease in bonuses and commissions of \$1.5 million and \$0.6 million, respectively.

Research and Development Expense.

Research and development expense for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 increased by \$1.8 million, or 14%, compared with the corresponding period of fiscal 2008. The increase in expense for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 was primarily attributable to a reduction of approximately \$0.9 million of credits from our research and development funding arrangements, \$0.5 million increase in compensation associated with headcount and salary increases and a \$0.2 million increase in outside consulting. These increases were partially offset by a \$0.3 million reduction in bonuses.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses.

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 decreased by \$4.4 million, or 11%, compared with the corresponding period of fiscal 2008. The decrease in expenses for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 was primarily attributable to a decrease of \$1.8 million in legal expenses due to not incurring expenses relating to the ATI patent litigation, which was active in the first quarter of fiscal 2008, a decrease of \$1.0 million in advertising and marketing programs and a decrease of \$0.8 million in contracted services. Salary expenses for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 compared with the first quarter of fiscal 2008 increased by \$1.9 million, offset partially by a decrease in bonuses and commissions of \$1.2 million and \$0.6 million, respectively.

Other Operating Expenses.

Edgar Filing: SILICON GRAPHICS INC - Form 10-Q

Other operating expenses represent the costs associated with our restructuring plans. Other operating expenses for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 consisted of our headcount and facility reduction activities during the quarter. Of the \$3.8 million of expense for the first quarter of fiscal 2009, \$2.1 million related to severance and other employee costs and \$1.7 million related to the accelerated amortization of leasehold improvements due to the change in the estimated remaining useful lives. Other operating expenses for the first quarter of fiscal 2008 consisted mainly of a \$0.2 million charge for accretion and other costs related to facilities.

Table of Contents**Interest and Other**

Interest and other income (expense) were as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Sep. 26, 2008 | Sep. 28, 2007 |
| Interest expense | \$ (4,143) | \$ (2,952) |
| Foreign exchange loss | \$ (333) | \$ (569) |
| Miscellaneous expense | (278) | (228) |
| Interest income | 230 | 414 |
| Interest and other income (expense), net | \$ (381) | \$ (383) |

Interest Expense.

Interest expense in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 increased by \$1.2 million compared with interest expense in the first quarter of fiscal 2008. The increase in borrowings was attributable to two amendments to the 2008 senior secured credit facility that increased the principal amount of the term loan from \$85.0 million to \$132.5 million.

Interest and Other Income (Expense), Net.

Interest and other income (expense), net includes interest income on our cash investments, foreign exchange gains and losses, gains and losses on other investments, and other non-operating items. Interest and other income (expense), net in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 was a net loss of \$0.4 million. Net foreign exchange losses of \$0.3 million were largely due to foreign currency losses from the revaluation of our net asset position. Interest income decreased in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 compared to the first quarter of fiscal 2008 due to our cash available for investing decreasing from approximately \$62 million down to approximately \$40 million.

Provision for Income Taxes

Our net provision for income taxes of \$2.7 million for the three months ended September 26, 2008 arose principally from net income taxes payable in certain foreign jurisdictions net of an expected federal refund of \$0.4 million. Of the \$2.7 million of the net provision for income taxes, \$1.3 million will be offset in the tax returns of those foreign jurisdictions through the utilization of certain foreign subsidiaries' net operating loss carryforwards. As required by fresh-start accounting, the recognition of tax benefits through the reduction in the valuation allowance has been recognized as a reduction of intangible assets.

Our net provision for income taxes of \$5.7 million for the three months ended September 28, 2007 arose principally from net income taxes payable in certain foreign jurisdictions. Of the \$5.7 million of our net provision for income taxes, \$4.4 million will be offset in the tax returns of those foreign jurisdictions through the utilization of certain foreign subsidiaries' net operating loss carryforwards. Included in the \$4.4 million is a \$2.4 million additional adjustment to increase tax expense with a corresponding additional reduction of intangible assets relating to the results of operations for the nine-months ended June 29, 2007.

Liquidity and Capital Resources**Working capital.**

We have incurred negative cash flows during each of the past several fiscal years. For the first quarter of fiscal 2009, this is primarily the result of our operating losses. Our working capital deficit as of September 26, 2008 was \$38 million, compared with a deficit of \$15 million at June 27, 2008, driven primarily by the significant increases in deferred revenue mostly due to the application of SOP 97-2.

Cash Balances.

Edgar Filing: SILICON GRAPHICS INC - Form 10-Q

At September 26, 2008, our unrestricted cash and cash equivalents and marketable investments totaled \$36 million, compared with \$40 million at June 27, 2008. At September 26, 2008, we also held \$4 million of restricted investments. Restricted investments consist of short- and long-term investments held under a security agreement or pledged as collateral against letters of credit and other bank facilities.

Table of Contents*Operating Activities.*

Our largest source of operating cash flows was cash collections from our customers. Our primary uses of cash from operating activities was for personnel related expenses and purchases of inventory. Cash used in operating activities was \$2.4 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2009 compared with cash used of \$10.9 million during the first quarter of fiscal 2008. The primary reason for the reduction in cash used was an increase of \$47.3 million in deferred revenue during the first quarter of fiscal 2009 compared with an increase in deferred revenue of \$18.8 million during the first quarter of fiscal 2008. The increase in our deferred revenue is primarily the result of revenue recognition requirements under SOP 97-2. Our inventory balance also decreased by \$20.6 million during the first quarter of fiscal 2009 compared with an increase of \$4.5 million in inventory for the first quarter of fiscal 2008. These sources of cash were partially offset by an increase in accounts receivable of \$15.0 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2009 compared to an increase of only \$3.9 million for the first quarter of 2008.

Investing Activities.

Cash flows from investing activities primarily relates to purchases of property and equipment needed for our business and the sales and purchases of our investments. In the first quarter of fiscal 2009, we had cash used from investing of \$0.9 million primarily from \$1.8 million of marketable investments purchased, \$1.9 million in purchases of property and equipment and our other assets used \$0.7 million in cash. Cash used from investing activities was partially offset by \$3.5 million from proceeds due to marketable investments maturing.

Financing Activities.

Cash flows from financing activities primarily related to proceeds from debt financing and the issuance of stock. Cash used from financing activities was less than \$0.1 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2009. In the first quarter of fiscal 2009, our debt proceeds and repayments were both \$31 million. Cash used from financing activities was \$0.9 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2008.

Future Liquidity Requirements

We incurred net losses and negative cash flows from operations during each of the past several fiscal years. We also experience significant intra-quarter fluctuations in our cash levels resulting in our cash balances being generally at their highest point at the end of each quarter and significantly lower at other times. These intra-quarter fluctuations reflect our business cycle, with significant requirements for inventory purchases in the early part of the quarter and most sales closing in the last few weeks of the quarter. Over the past several quarters we have seen a significant increase in our backlog. In addition, we have recently received orders for and have been awarded a number of significant contracts for large sales transactions. We often must buy materials in support of our backlog requirements and bear the cost of manufacturing well before receiving cash. In addition, the orders or contracts for which we incur expenses before receiving revenue may be reduced or changed, and we may not be able to recoup the expenses we have incurred. Because these cash outflows may occur in a period during which we will not receive payment for the orders, our cash balance may be significantly reduced in that period and our operating results for that period may suffer.

Despite recent growth in our bookings volume and revenues and our ongoing cost-reduction initiatives, we are continuing to consume cash from operations and we have significant non-operating cash requirements, including a principal repayment of \$4.3 million due in December 2008. In light of these requirements, we believe it is appropriate to restructure our existing debt and consider other alternatives, including seeking new sources of financing and making material reductions in our costs of operations. Due to difficult market conditions and our existing debt levels, we believe that new financing will only be possible if our debt is restructured. We are currently discussing such a restructuring with our lenders, but it is uncertain that our lenders will agree to terms that would permit us to achieve our objectives. If we are unable to restructure our debt or obtain new financing, we will be forced to materially reduce our costs of operations, in order to provide adequate liquidity to meet our operating needs for at least the next twelve months.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, the maximum amount drawn on the line of credit and subsequently repaid within seven days of being drawn was \$20 million. The drawing down of the full \$20 million during the first quarter of fiscal 2009 was not for operational purposes but in response to the ongoing uncertainty in the overall credit and financial markets at that time. We repaid the full \$20 million on September 26, 2008. However, since we anticipate needing to utilize up to the full amount at points in time to fund operations in fiscal 2009 and the overall credit and financial markets continue to be uncertain, we have again drawn the full \$20 million in October 2008 to ensure it is available if needed. We will continue monitoring the financial markets, and to the extent the funds are not otherwise needed for operations, we may choose to repay the amounts drawn at any time.

Debt and Equity Facilities

Edgar Filing: SILICON GRAPHICS INC - Form 10-Q

DIP Financing. On May 10, 2006, the Predecessor Company entered into the Interim DIP Agreement with the Interim DIP Lenders. The Interim DIP Agreement provided a \$70 million term loan to the Borrowers secured by certain of the Borrowers' assets. In June 2006, the Debtors entered into the DIP Agreement with the DIP Lenders providing up to \$130 million of debtor-in-possession financing consisting of a \$100 million term loan and a \$30 million revolving line of credit. This DIP Agreement was approved by the Court on June 26, 2006 and replaced the \$70 million Interim DIP Financing and the pre-petition credit agreement. The DIP Agreement was secured by certain assets of the Borrowers.

Table of Contents

The DIP Agreement terminated upon emergence from Chapter 11 and the \$113 million outstanding balance was paid in full with proceeds from the rights offering and sale of Overallotment shares and funds from the exit financing facility described below.

Exit Financing. On the Emergence Date, the Successor Company entered into a credit agreement with Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc and General Electric Capital Corporation to provide exit liquidity financing as part of the Company's plan to emerge from bankruptcy. The exit financing facility provides up to \$115 million of financing consisting of an \$85 million term loan from Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc. and a \$30 million line of credit from General Electric Capital Corporation. The new facility is secured by substantially all of our assets and the assets of our domestic subsidiaries and has customary terms and conditions, including covenants related to minimum levels of Consolidated EBITDA as defined in the credit agreement and minimum levels of cash and cash equivalents, and limits on capital expenditures. This facility, combined with net proceeds of \$57 million from the rights offering and sale of Overallotment shares, was used to pay off \$113 million due under our existing DIP Agreement, to fund payments, including closing costs and related fees, required to be made on the Emergence Date pursuant to the Plan, and to provide working capital for our ongoing operations.

In September 2007, the lenders under the \$85 million term loan, including Quadrangle Master Funding Ltd. (Quadrangle) and Watershed Technology Holdings, LLC (Watershed), or their affiliates, which are significant holders of our common stock, purchased and assumed the position of GE under the Agreement and substituted themselves as the lenders under the \$30 million line of credit. Interest on the \$85 million term loan is based on the three-month LIBOR plus 7%, and it is secured by the assets of the Company. On the same day, we entered into a Second Amendment to the Agreement (the Second Amendment) with Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., as agent for the lenders. The Second Amendment eliminated our obligations to comply with the maximum leverage ratio and minimum consolidated EBITDA covenants originally set forth in the Agreement through December 2008. After December 2008, we were required to comply with maximum leverage ratio and minimum consolidated EBITDA requirements, which have been adjusted as set forth in the Second Amendment. The Second Amendment eliminated our obligation to comply with the minimum liquidity requirement set forth in the Agreement and also set the total line of credit at \$20 million. Under the Second Amendment, the interest rates on line of credit advances were increased to the LIBOR rate plus 4.75% (from 3.0%) or the Alternative Base Rate plus 3.50% (from 1.75%), at our option. The financing facility matures in October 2011.

On January 2, 2008, Quadrangle Debt Recovery Advisors LP (QDRA), an investor in our common stock, announced the completion of its spin-off from Quadrangle Group LLC. As part of the separation, QDRA changed its name to Monarch Alternative Capital LP (Monarch). Monarch holds the investment in our stock previously held by QDRA. Also, on January 2, 2008, Quadrangle's position in the term loan was assumed by Monarch.

On February 4, 2008, we entered into a Third Amendment to the Agreement (the Third Amendment) with Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., as agent for the lenders, to increase the principal amount of the term loan from \$85 million to \$127.5 million. The prepayment premiums for the term loan and revolving credit commitments were increased to 2%. The interest rates on both the term loan and the line of credit remained the same, with floors added for the LIBOR rate of 3.5% and the Alternative Base Rate of 6.25%. The Third Amendment also allows us to establish securities, deposit or other accounts of up to \$3 million to secure performance bonds and guarantees, surety bonds, letters of credit and other similar obligations. A \$1.3 million fee was incurred on the \$42.5 million added to the term loan. This fee was deferred and is being amortized as an adjustment to interest expense over the term of the loan.

On February 14, 2008, we entered into the Fourth Amendment and Limited Waiver and Consent to the Senior Secured Credit Agreement (the Fourth Amendment) with Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., as administrative agent and revolving agent, Morgan Stanley & Co., Inc., as collateral agent, and the other Credit Parties thereto. The Fourth Amendment increased the principal amount of the term loan from \$127.5 million to \$132.5 million. A fee of \$50 thousand was incurred in connection with the \$5 million added to the term loan.

On August 25, 2008, we entered into the Fifth Amendment to the Senior Secured Credit Agreement (the Fifth Amendment) with Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc. as administrative agent and revolving agent, Morgan Stanley & Co., Incorporated as collateral agent, and the other Credit Parties thereto. The Fifth Amendment modifies permitted indebtedness restrictions to allow us to add a maximum of \$20 million of permitted indebtedness through a combination of entering into an agreement with ECS United Kingdom plc to incur up to \$20 million in debt in a financing arrangement and to enter into an agreement for a six month, \$10 million line of credit to fund a guarantee in connection with a multi-year product deployment with the North German Group for High- and Highest- Performance Computers. The total leverage ratio and the minimum EBITDA requirement is effectively waived until the end of the first quarter in fiscal 2010. The total leverage ratio is amended to be 5.75 for the period ending September 25, 2009; 4.50 for the period ending December 25, 2009; 4.25 for the period ending March 26, 2010 and 3.5 for each fiscal quarter-end thereafter until and including September 30, 2011. Minimum EBITDA is amended to be \$30 million as of September 25, 2009; \$37.5 million as of December 25, 2009; \$40 million as of March 26, 2010; and \$45 million on June 25, 2010 and for each fiscal quarter-end until and including September 30, 2011. Also, a minimum global liquidity requirement of \$15 million is established and fiscal year 2009 capital expenditures are limited to \$10 million, and for following fiscal years to \$15 million. Also, the interest rate on the Term Loan is increased by 2% with the entire increment payable at maturity on October 17, 2011. A fee of \$662,500 is added to the principal and also payable at maturity.

Table of Contents

On September 19, 2008, we entered into the Sixth Amendment to the Senior Secured Credit Agreement (the "Sixth Amendment") with Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc. as administrative agent and revolving agent, Morgan Stanley & Co., Incorporated as collateral agent, and the other Credit Parties thereto. The sixth amendment eliminates the Revolving Agent's right to impose and adjust amount of reserves in respect of the Total Revolving Commitment.

Shelf Registration Statement. On November 1, 2007, we filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 with the SEC to register the sale of an aggregate of up to \$100 million of our common stock for sale in one or more primary offerings that we may choose to effect in the future. The registration statement was declared effective on February 14, 2008. The terms of a future offering, if any, would be described in an amendment to the registration statement, prospectus supplement or free-writing prospectus to be filed with the SEC.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In the ordinary course of business, we enter into off-balance sheet arrangements as defined by the SEC Final Rule 67 ("FR-67"), *Disclosure in Management's Discussion and Analysis about Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Aggregate Contractual Obligations*, including certain guarantees. None of these off-balance sheet arrangements either has, or is reasonably likely to have, a material current or future effect on our financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, or capital resources. See Note 11, *Guarantees*, in notes to condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for further information regarding these guarantees.

Contractual Obligations

During the first three months of fiscal 2009 there was no material change to our contractual obligations, inclusive of rent obligations, previously disclosed in our annual report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC.

Chapter 11 Reorganization

On May 8, 2006, the Predecessor Company and certain of its subsidiaries filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. We emerged from bankruptcy protection on October 17, 2006. Our emergence from bankruptcy protection resulted in a new reporting entity on the emergence date and new shares of common stock in the Successor Company were issued to the bondholders of the Predecessor Company. These shares began trading on the Nasdaq Capital Market under the symbol SGIC on October 23, 2006. We adopted fresh-start accounting in accordance with SOP 90-7 as of September 29, 2006. As required by fresh-start accounting, our assets and liabilities were adjusted to fair value at that date, and certain assets and liabilities not previously recognized in the Predecessor Company's financial statements were recognized at that date. The adoption of fresh-start accounting has had and will have a significant non-cash impact on our future results of operations, but has not had and will not have any impact on the underlying cash, working capital assumptions or the underlying operation of the business.

As a result of our emergence from bankruptcy, the assets and liabilities of the Successor Company were adjusted to their relative fair values in conformity with SFAS 141, *Business Combinations*, as of September 29, 2006. The fresh-start accounting adjustments that had the most significant impact on our financial results for the fiscal years ended June 27, 2008 and June 29, 2007, and which will continue to affect our financial results going forward are as follows:

Deferred revenue valuation. In connection with our adoption of fresh-start accounting, approximately \$37 million of deferred revenue and \$17 million of deferred cost of sales related to our accounting under SOP 97-2 that had previously been deferred were reduced to zero. In addition, the remaining deferred revenue was revalued resulting in an additional \$41 million impairment of deferred revenue, resulting in \$40 million in deferred revenue being on the Successor Company's opening balance sheet. Customer support deferred revenue and product and professional services deferred revenue were the two primary components of deferred revenue of the Predecessor Company that were significantly reduced by fresh-start accounting.

Inventory valuation. We have raw materials, work-in-progress, finished goods, delivered systems and demonstration inventory. At September 29, 2006, a write-up of \$28 million was required to record these inventories at fair value. The result of the valuation adjustment on our results of operations is that costs of goods sold increased by the magnitude of the valuation adjustment as the revalued inventory is sold and recorded as cost of goods sold.

Edgar Filing: SILICON GRAPHICS INC - Form 10-Q

Intangibles. New intangible assets resulted from the adoption of fresh-start accounting. Other intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization, related to fresh-start were approximately \$48 million as of September 26, 2008, and approximately \$87 million as of September 29, 2006. Subsequent to September 29, 2006, additional adjustments were made to the fresh-start valuation previously disclosed in our Annual Reports on Form 10-K for fiscal 2007 and 2008. The adjustments were principally due to utilization of the Predecessor Company's foreign tax net operating loss carryforwards.

Table of Contents

We are required to amortize the value of these intangible assets over varying periods ranging from 3 to 17 years, impacting both cost of sales and selling, general and administrative expense.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 19, *Recent Accounting Pronouncements*, to our Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Report for a description of recent accounting pronouncements, including our expected adoption dates and estimated effects on our results of operations, financial condition, and cash flows.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The information required under this Item 3 is included in Part II, Item 1A below entitled *Risk Factors* and should be read in connection with the information on market risk related to changes in interest rates and non-U.S. currency exchange rates in Part II, Item 7A, *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk*, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 27, 2008. There have been no material changes in our exposure to market risk from that described in such report.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, or the *Evaluation Date*, we carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act). There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures. Accordingly, even effective disclosure controls and procedures can only provide reasonable, not absolute, assurance of achieving their control. Subject to these limitations, and based on the evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the *Evaluation Date*, the disclosure controls are effective as discussed in Item 9A, *Controls and Procedures*, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 27, 2008.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the three months ended September 26, 2008 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Because of its inherent limitations, our internal control over financial reporting may not prevent material errors or fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. The continued effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting is subject to risks, including that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with our policies or procedures may deteriorate.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Information regarding legal proceedings is set forth in Note 20, *Contingencies*, to our notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 of this report, which information is hereby incorporated by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In evaluating our business, you should carefully consider the following risks in addition to the other information in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Any of the following risks could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition. It is not

Edgar Filing: SILICON GRAPHICS INC - Form 10-Q

possible to predict or identify all such factors and, therefore, you should not consider any of the below risks to be a complete statement of all the potential risks or uncertainties that we face.

We expect significant demands on our working capital to continue, and we may not be able to recoup significant expenditures made to support backlog requirements.

Over the past several quarters we have seen an increase in our backlog. In addition, we have recently received orders for and have been awarded a number of significant contracts or letters of intent for large sales transactions. Our working capital requirements are such that we often must buy materials in support of our backlog requirements well before receiving cash or recognizing revenue for such backlog. We may also incur expenses purchasing components we use in our products or the expense of manufacturing products during a period in which we have not received a firm order or payment for those products. We would incur these expenses in anticipation of receiving future orders for these products. In addition, the orders or contracts for which we incur expenses before

Table of Contents

receiving revenue may be reduced or changed, and we may not be able to recoup the expenses we have incurred. Because these cash outflows may occur in a period during which we will not receive payment for the orders, our liquidity may be compromised, impacting our ability to timely pay our vendors, our cash balance may be significantly reduced in that period and our operating results for that period may suffer.

Our cash position may be insufficient to meet our operating needs, and we are seeking to, but may be unable to, restructure our debt and raise additional capital.

Despite recent growth in our bookings volume and revenues and our ongoing cost-reduction initiatives, we are continuing to consume cash from operations and we have significant non-operating cash requirements, including a principal repayment of \$4.3 million due in December 2008 and \$8.5 million in payments due in the second half of fiscal 2009 under our Senior Subordinated Credit Facility. In light of these requirements there is a significant risk that our cash position will be insufficient to meet our operating needs beginning in the second half of fiscal 2009.

We are reviewing a range of alternatives to address this situation, including further reductions in our expense model, restructuring of our existing debt and seeking new sources of financing. In light of difficult market conditions and our existing debt levels we believe that new financing will only be possible if our debt is restructured. We are currently discussing such a restructuring with our lenders, but it is uncertain that our lenders will agree to terms that would permit us to achieve our objectives. Even if a restructuring can be effected, the terms of the restructuring and of any new financing that we are able to raise could be expected to substantially dilute our current stockholders or subject to them to the rights of holders of senior securities, or could impair our ability to operate successfully.

We may not be able to raise additional capital in the future on commercially attractive terms or at all, which would restrict our growth and impair our ability to operate.

We may need to obtain additional financing to fund both the operating and non-operating requirements of our business, make acquisitions that are important to our strategy, or repay amounts outstanding under our financing facility. Financing may be unavailable in amounts or on terms acceptable to us. The failure to obtain any necessary financing, or our inability to obtain such financing on commercially attractive terms, could materially harm our business and the price of our stock. We require the approval of our existing lenders to take on additional debt or to modify the significant economic terms of our existing debt, and such approval may not be forthcoming. In the event that our cash flows, together with available borrowings, are insufficient to meet our cash requirements, we may not be able to timely pay our obligations or service our debt unless we reduce planned capital expenditures, sell additional assets, seek other sources of capital or take other cost reduction actions. If we raise funds by incurring further debt, our operations and finances would likely become subject to further restrictions. If we fail to comply with these further restrictions, our creditors may be able to exercise remedies that substantially impair our ability to operate. Because we adopted fresh-start accounting, our financial condition and operating results from and after September 29, 2006 are not comparable to the financial condition and operating results reflected in the historical consolidated financial statements of the Predecessor Company. As a result of adopting SOP 97-2, we must defer recognition of significant amounts of revenue. These factors make it difficult to understand our cash flow dynamics and may deter investors. If we obtain additional funds by selling equity securities or if we issue equity derivative securities in connection with obtaining financing, our existing stockholders may suffer dilution and equity securities we issue may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to our common stock.

We have a history of losses, and we may not become profitable on a quarterly or annual basis. The failure to attain profitability on a sustained basis would harm our business and the value of our common stock.

For many years, we have incurred substantial net operating losses and have failed to achieve positive cash flows from operations. During fiscal 2008, we recognized an operating loss of \$127 million. During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, we recognized an operating loss of \$27 million. We expect to incur losses and negative operating cash flows over the next few quarters. Although we are undertaking cost reduction actions in order to reach profitability and cash breakeven more quickly, if our revenue does not increase or if we fail to reduce our expenses to an amount less than our gross margins, we will not be able to achieve or sustain profitability. A number of factors could preclude us from successfully bringing costs and expenses in line with our gross margins, including unplanned expenses, the inability to forecast business activities accurately, further decline in our revenue and the impact on current revenues of anticipated future product releases. In addition, a substantial portion of our service revenue comes from service related to legacy systems, which is the most profitable segment of our service business. If this should decline faster than we anticipate, our losses would increase. We are continuing to implement initiatives aimed at improving revenue and margins for our core systems products and global services and are accelerating initiatives to lower our costs of operations to reduce our losses and hasten our return to profitability. These initiatives and cost reductions efforts will be designed to improve focus on our core business objectives and key geographic markets. Our business could be adversely affected by the initiatives and cost reduction efforts. Our failure to operate profitably or to achieve positive cash flows on a quarterly or annual basis would harm our business and significantly diminish the value of our common stock.

Table of Contents

If we fail to manage the transition to our new business plan effectively, we could adversely affect our business and operating results.

As we re-engage in the visualization business, we must successfully manage the introduction of our new product offerings. We must train and manage our sales force effectively in order to ensure that our sales force is aware of, and prepared to communicate to customers effectively about, the features offered by the new offerings. Development delays, excess costs and delay in customer acceptance would harm our business and operating results.

If our stock continues to have extremely low trading volume or our stock price continues to be volatile, stockholders may only be able to resell our shares at a loss or at a price below the most recently-quoted share price.

Our common stock began trading on the NASDAQ Capital Market on October 23, 2006. From October 23, 2006 through September 26, 2008, the price of our common stock has fluctuated between \$4.76 and \$30.66 per share, with an average daily trading volume of approximately 25,572 shares. Because of our extremely low trading volume, our stock price is very volatile. Also, volatility in our stock price may impact stockholders' ability to sell their shares at a profit. In addition to general market volatility and our low trading volume, many factors, a number of which are beyond our control, may have a significant adverse effect on the market price of our stock, including:

new products or services offered by us or our competitors;

failure to meet public expectations as to revenue or other financial metrics;

actual or unanticipated variations in quarterly operating results;

unanticipated actions that could be taken by some or all of our large stockholders, some of which are also our majority lenders, which given our low trading volumes could have an impact on our stock price;

changes in financial estimates by any securities analysts who follow our stock or the stock of our competitors;

announcements of significant acquisitions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments by us or our competitors;
and

issuances of debt or equity securities.

In addition, the stock market in general, the NASDAQ Capital Market and companies in our industry have experienced extreme stock price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of particular companies. Broad market and industry factors may negatively affect the market price of our common stock, regardless of our actual operating performance. In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a company's securities, securities class action litigation has often been instituted against that company. This type of litigation, if instituted, could result in substantial costs and a diversion of management's attention and resources, which would harm our business.

We expect our operating results to continue to fluctuate significantly. These fluctuations will make our operating results difficult to predict and could cause them to fall below expectations, restricting our ability to grow, impairing our ability to operate and resulting in declines in our stock price.

Our historical operating results have fluctuated significantly from period to period due to a variety of factors, and our future operating results are likely to fluctuate as well. As a result, comparing our operating results on a period-to-period basis may not be meaningful. You should not rely on our past results or the nature or timing of fluctuations in those results as an indication of our future performance. Also, a significant portion of our quarterly sales typically occurs near the end of the quarter. Thus, delays in the completion of sales can make our operating results difficult to

Edgar Filing: SILICON GRAPHICS INC - Form 10-Q

predict. If our revenue or operating results fall below the expectations of investors or any securities analysts who may follow our stock, the price of our common stock would likely decline. Further, if our revenue increases or our operating results improve during a particular period but that increase or improvement is a one-time event or is not representative of a trend, the price of our common stock may fluctuate.

Table of Contents

Additional factors that may cause fluctuations in our operating results include:

our inability to reduce operating expenses;

the timing and magnitude of shipments and the timing of installations and acceptance of our products, particularly those relating to large transactions;

changes in demand, sales cycles and prices for our products and services;

our ability in a timely manner to develop, introduce and ship new products and product enhancements that meet end-user requirements;

the timing of product releases, enhancements or announcements by us, our suppliers or our competitors;

changes in the competitive dynamics of our markets, including new entrants or competitors discounting of product prices;

government budget and appropriations processes and spending cycles;

the revenue mix between our services and products and among our products;

our ability to attract new customers and retain existing customers;

changes in the gross margin for any of our products;

the availability, costs and timeliness of delivery of components obtained from third parties that are used in our products, the loss of one or more of our suppliers or a reduction in the capacity of one or more of our suppliers;

variability in our ability to recognize revenue from sales resulting from application of SOP 97-2, which requires the deferral of revenue under certain circumstances; and

the potential impact on our business of a general worldwide economic downturn.

Our success depends on growth in bookings for sales of our clustered computing and storage products.

We have changed the focus of our business from the sale of our legacy high-performance servers and visualization systems, which were based on our MIPS RISC processors and IRIX operating systems and associated services, to our core products, which include high-performance clustered computing systems based on the Intel Xeon processors and the Linux operating system, high-performance shared memory computing systems based on the Intel Itanium processor and the Linux operating system, storage solutions, and associated services. We expect revenue from our legacy products and the customer support services for these products to continue to decline. Since the beginning of fiscal 2007, we

Edgar Filing: SILICON GRAPHICS INC - Form 10-Q

have introduced Altix ICE, our first clustered computing product designed for the HPC market, expanded our Altix XE family of clustered computing products, and introduced a new visualization product and strategy. Our ability to achieve our overall revenue, gross margin and profitability objectives will depend significantly on the success of our core products, including these newly-introduced products, and associated professional and customer support services. Our ability to sustain and increase the rate of acceptance and revenue growth of these products depends on numerous factors, including:

competition from storage system vendors and suppliers of low-end and mid-range server clusters;

the performance of our core products in the field;

our ability to attract new customers that value our differentiated product features;

the quality and competitiveness of our support and consulting services;

the continued availability of dependable, low-cost processors, including Intel's processor families;

Table of Contents

our ability to integrate our products into customers' existing Linux and other operating environments; and

continued growth in the demand for the Linux operating system for demanding computing environments.

We may be unable to maintain our overall gross margin. A failure to maintain our overall gross margin would negatively affect our financial performance, our liquidity and our stock price.

Our overall gross margin for our business has been and will continue to be affected by a variety of factors, including:

demand for our products and services;

the revenue mix among our products and between products and services;

discount levels and price competition;

our average system order size and end-user mix;

our ability to maintain sufficient levels of supplier credits in support of strategic deals;

the cost of components and service personnel; and

new product introductions and enhancements by us and our competitors.

Due to these and other factors, we may be unable to maintain our overall gross margin. As we enter into new markets and introduce new products and services, our ability to forecast our overall gross margin and anticipate the effect of these and other factors diminishes. Reductions in our overall gross margin would negatively affect our financial performance and our liquidity and could lead to a decline in the price of our stock.

We rely on a limited number of suppliers, and in some cases single-source suppliers, and any disruption or termination of these supply arrangements could delay shipments of our products and could materially and adversely affect our revenue and relationships with current and prospective end users.

We purchase the hardware for our storage products primarily from two suppliers, and purchase several of the components of our server products, from a small number of suppliers or a single supplier. This reliance on a limited number of suppliers involves several risks, including:

supplier product and credit capacity constraints;

price increases and limited bargaining flexibility;

establishing collaborative relationship with suppliers;

timely production and delivery; and

component quality.

We may be unable to obtain enough of these components in the future, and the prices of these components may increase. Given the general economic climate, our suppliers may reduce our credit lines thereby making it more difficult or impossible to purchase components. In addition, problems with respect to component quality and timeliness of deliveries may occur, which may delay customer acceptance and our ability to recognize revenue, may cause us to incur additional costs, or cause us to incur penalties under customer contracts that require timely delivery. In addition, our suppliers could enter into exclusive agreements with, or acquire or be acquired by, one of our competitors, increase their prices, refuse to sell their products to us, discontinue products or go out of business. Disruption or termination of the supply of our product components could require us to alter the design of our products to accommodate replacement components, and would require our personnel to spend significant amounts of time working with customers and new suppliers to minimize customer inconvenience and the down time of our products. Any disruption or termination of supply could seriously harm our relationships with current and prospective customers.

Table of Contents

Sales to or funded by the United States government, which account for a substantial portion of our revenue, are subject to disruptions and delays.

We derive a substantial portion of our revenue directly from U.S. government entities. In addition, many of our scientific and research customers depend on U.S. government funding for their information technology budgets. As a result, a majority of our revenue depends on sales to or funded by the U.S. government. Sales to or funded by the government present risks in addition to those involved in sales to commercial customers, including potential disruptions and delays due to changes in appropriation and spending patterns. Our government business is also highly sensitive to changes in the U.S. government's national and international priorities and budgeting. Changes in the continuing war on terrorism may affect funding for our programs or result in changes in government programs or spending priorities that may harm our business. In addition, the U.S. government can typically terminate or modify its contracts with us at any time for its convenience. Our U.S. government business is also subject to specific procurement regulations and a variety of other requirements. Failure to comply with these or other applicable regulations and requirements could lead to suspension or debarment from government contracting or subcontracting for a period of time. Any disruption or limitation in our ability to do business with the U.S. government or entities funded by the U.S. government would materially and adversely affect our revenue, operating results and the price of our stock.

Table of Contents

Our business would be adversely affected by any production delay, discontinuance or decreased competitiveness of the processors we use.

Our core products incorporate system architectures designed for specific processors that we obtain from third parties, including Intel. We require the assistance of processor suppliers in connection with our technical development activities, and we rely on the continued development of their products so that they remain competitive in terms of performance and cost. As a result, our business depends on the continued availability, reliability and competitiveness of the processors that we use and would be severely harmed by production delays or discontinuance of these processor families. It is also important to our competitive position that our chosen processors be competitive in quality and performance as well as price. Any increase in the cost of the processors that we use in our products could make our products less competitive or lower our margins. Processor technology changes rapidly, and in order to be competitive we must keep pace with those changes. Shortages in processor supply and delays in new product introductions or product improvements could result in significant, widespread delays in the shipment of our systems, which would severely harm our operating results and stock price. Although we have taken steps with the introduction of new products to mitigate our dependence on a single processor, the transition will take some time, and the mitigation will be expensive and time-consuming.

We maintain relatively low inventory and acquire components only as needed; as a result, if shortages of these components arise, we may not be able to secure enough components to build new products to meet customer demand.

We maintain relatively low inventory and acquire components only as forecasted, and do not always enter into long-term supply contracts for these components. As a result, our ability to respond to customer orders efficiently may be constrained by the then-current availability or terms and pricing of these components. Our industry has experienced component shortages and delivery delays in the past, and we may experience shortages or delays of critical components in the future as a result of strong demand in the industry or other factors. Many of the other components required to build our systems are occasionally in short supply and subject to industry allocations. If shortages or delays arise, the prices of these components may increase or the components may not be available at all. We may not be able to secure enough components at reasonable prices or acceptable quality to build new products to meet customer demand, which could harm our business, operating results and financial condition.

A limited number of stockholders own a large percentage of our shares and are the majority lenders under our financing facility, giving them significant influence over certain corporate matters and interests that may diverge from the interests of other stockholders.

A limited number of institutional investors, which beneficially own, in the aggregate, approximately 60% of our outstanding common stock, also are the majority lenders under our financing facility. These investors may have interests that diverge from the interests of our other stockholders, and due to their stock ownership they may be able to exercise significant influence over matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors and the approval of corporate transactions, including a merger or other sale of our company or its assets.

We are subject to the risks of international operations.

We generate a significant portion of our revenue from sales shipped to customer locations outside the United States, with international revenue representing 45%, 46% and 40% of our total revenue in fiscal 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively. As a result, our business is subject to the risks associated with doing business internationally. International transactions frequently involve increased financial and legal risks arising from stringent contractual terms and conditions and the widely differing legal systems and customs in foreign countries. War, terrorism or public health issues in the regions of the world in which we do business may cause damage or disruption to commerce by creating economic and political uncertainties. These events could adversely affect our business in any number of ways, such as decreasing demand for our products, increasing our operating expenses, making it difficult to deliver products to customers, and causing delays and other problems in our supply chain. Our future revenue, gross margin, expenses and financial condition could also suffer due to other international factors, including but not limited to:

currency fluctuations;

changes in a country's economic and labor conditions;

compliance with a variety of foreign laws, as well as U.S. laws affecting the activities of U.S. companies abroad;

changes in tax laws;

changes in employment law;

Table of Contents

changes in the regulatory or legal environment;

fluctuations in transportation costs;

natural and medical disasters; and

trade sanctions and protection measures.

We face intense competition and expect competition to increase in the future, which could hinder the growth of or reduce our revenue or customer base.

The server and storage markets are highly competitive, with rapid technological advances and constantly improving price/performance ratios. Our primary competitors include IBM and Hewlett Packard and with respect to our storage business, Network Appliance and EMC. We depend in part on maintaining sufficient levels of supplier credits in order to compete for strategic deals. If the magnitude of these credits were to become restricted either due to a strategy or regulatory change, it could impact both our gross margins and our ability to compete. Most of our competitors have substantially greater technical, marketing and financial resources and brand recognition. Some of our competitors also have a larger installed base of customers than we do. Particularly in the storage market, there are many new entrants that are competing with us and rapidly introducing new products and technologies. In addition, clustered computing systems comprise a significant percentage of the HPC market, and an increasing number of vendors are competing for this share of the market. Also, as the market for Linux-based systems grows, the number of competitors in that market is likely to increase. Intensifying competition in these markets and other markets in which we compete or that we may decide to enter may result in lower prices and thus lower gross margins, and our financial performance may suffer as a result.

If we are unable to develop new hardware and software products upgrades and enhancements and to respond to technological changes, we may fail to increase, or we may lose, market share.

Our future growth depends on our successful development and introduction of new products and enhancements to existing products in order to meet customer needs and to address the rapidly-changing competitive landscapes in the markets in which we compete. We have recently introduced new products in the clustered computing and storage markets, including our recently introduced visualization products and our Industrial Strength Linux Environment initiative and we continue to spend significant resources on research and development in an effort to develop and introduce new hardware and software products, software enhancements and upgrades. The introduction of new clustered computing and storage products requires close collaboration among, and continued development of new technology by, multiple hardware and software design teams, internal manufacturing teams, outside suppliers of key components such as semiconductors, and contract manufacturers. The failure to collaborate and develop new technology effectively could cause our products under development to fail to meet specifications or to miss the timetables that we establish.

Our products must interoperate in our customers' computing environments, which often have unique specifications, use multiple protocol standards, incorporate products from multiple vendors and contain legacy components. We must be able to modify our products quickly to meet an end-user's unique environment, and as network, hardware and software technologies change we must be able to adapt rapidly. If we find errors in the software or defects in the hardware used in our customers' computing environments or problematic network configurations or settings, we may have to modify our products so that they will interoperate with our customers' computing environments. Failure to quickly modify our products and adapt to technological change could cause longer installation times for our products, delays of future purchases of our products or cancellations of orders, any of which would seriously harm our operating results.

If we fail to manage future expansion in current and new markets effectively, our business would be harmed.

We intend to focus additional resources in the high-performance data management sectors in our vertical markets in order to increase our penetration and strengthen our end-user and other relationships in these sectors. This focus will require substantial management attention with respect to managing increasingly complex operations, allocating resources, managing expenditures, developing product roadmaps and recruiting, hiring, training, integrating and retaining highly skilled and motivated individuals. In addition, as we expand into new markets, we may face longer sales and implementation cycles, increased competition and increased pressure on our gross margins. Our operating results and financial condition would be harmed if we are not successful in managing this expansion effectively.

Table of Contents

If we lose key personnel or if we are unable to attract and retain highly-qualified personnel on a cost-effective basis, it would be more difficult for us to manage and grow our business.

Our future performance depends on the continued service of our key technical, sales, services and management personnel. It is also critical that we are able to attract and retain technical, sales, service and management personnel with appropriate security clearances in order to enable us to maintain and increase our classified government business. Competition for these and the other personnel we require is intense, and we may fail to attract and retain key executives and employees in the future. To manage our operations, we rely on our key employees and executives, many of whom have many years of experience with our products and customers and the security clearances that are required to work with a number of our product installations. The loss of members of senior management or key employees could result in significant disruptions to our business, and the integration of replacement personnel could be time-consuming and disruptive and might be unsuccessful. The process of hiring, training and successfully integrating qualified personnel into our operations is lengthy and expensive. If we are not able to recruit and retain key personnel effectively, the quality and speed with which our products are developed, marketed and sold, and our ability to respond to technological developments in our industry, would likely be seriously compromised, and our reputation and business would suffer as a result.

Our international sales may require export licenses and expose us to additional risks.

Our sales to customers outside the United States are subject to U.S. export regulations. Under these regulations, sales of many of our high-end products require clearance and export licenses from the U.S. Department of Commerce. Our international sales would be adversely affected if these regulations were tightened, or if they are not modified over time to reflect the increasing performance of our products. Delay or denial in the granting of any required licenses could make it more difficult to make sales to non-U.S. customers. In addition, we could be subject to regulations, fines and penalties for violations of import and export regulations if we were found in violation of these regulations. End users could circumvent end-user documentation requirements that are intended to aid in our compliance with export regulations, potentially causing us to violate these regulations. These violations could result in penalties, including prohibitions on us from exporting our products to one or more countries, and could materially harm our business, including our sales to the U.S. government.

If we do not maintain strong financial controls, investor confidence in us may decline and our stock price may decline as a result.

Maintaining our financial controls has become increasingly complex due to the constant evolution in accounting principles and changes to disclosure laws. Our business has also become more complex with the implementation of fresh-start accounting, and implementing and monitoring the appropriate controls are more complicated. Managing these factors in a cost-effective manner can be challenging, and we may be found to have significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting. For example, in connection with the audit of our fiscal 2007 financial statements, we identified a material weakness related to accounting for income taxes. The material weakness was comprised of the following deficiencies: We did not have adequate resources with sufficient technical expertise to properly account for income taxes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, specifically under fresh start accounting. We did not have adequate policies and procedures in place to ensure that the schedules supporting the income tax provision were properly prepared and reviewed. These deficiencies resulted in a material error in our income tax provision. Any such deficiency or weakness could undermine investor confidence and our stock price could decline as a result.

Our products may contain component, manufacturing or design defects or may not meet our customers' performance criteria, which could cause us to incur significant installation or repair expenses, harm our customer relationships and industry reputation, reduce our revenue and make achieving profitability more difficult.

We may experience design, manufacturing or component quality problems with our products that require us to replace components or entire products, in order to meet customers' performance criteria, or to meet continuing performance obligations through customer support services or warranty programs. Our product warranties last up to three years for certain products. As a result of component, manufacturing or design defects, we may be required to incur significant expenses to repair or replace a substantial number of products under our product warranties. Further, our customers may discover latent defects in our products that were not apparent when our products were installed. These defects may cause us to incur significant repair or replacement expenses beyond the normal installation period. Most of our customers use our systems for applications that are critical to their organization; as a result system reliability is critical to the success of our products. Any component, manufacturing or design defect or other reliability issues could cause us to lose customers or revenue or could damage our customer relationships and industry reputation.

If we fail to offer high-quality customer support, our business would suffer.

After our products are deployed within the environments of our end users, our end users depend on our customer support organization to resolve issues relating to our products. The ability to offer high-quality customer support service is critical to the successful marketing and sale of our

products. If we or any resellers of our products do not effectively assist our customers in

Table of Contents

deploying our products, succeed in helping our customers to resolve post-deployment issues quickly, and provide ongoing support, it would adversely affect our ability to sell our products to existing end users and would harm our prospects with potential customers. Further, as we continue to expand our operations internationally, our customer support organization will face additional challenges, including those associated with providing on-site support. Our failure to maintain high-quality customer support services would harm our business, operating results and financial condition.

We may become involved in intellectual property disputes, which could be expensive, distract our management team and result in reduced ability to use technologies that are important to our business.

Monitoring and protecting against the unauthorized use of our patented technologies, products, trademarks and other proprietary rights are expensive, time-consuming and difficult. It is possible that third parties have used or in the future will use our intellectual property without our permission or knowledge. Periodically, we review publicly available information regarding intellectual property being developed by others in order to identify potential violations of our proprietary rights. The steps we have taken may not prevent unauthorized use of our intellectual property. Third parties in the past have asserted, and will in the future assert, intellectual property infringement claims against us. Future claims, if proved, could require us to pay substantial damages, redesign our existing products or pay fees to obtain cross-license agreements.

We expect that, as the number of hardware and software patents issued continues to increase, and as competition in the markets we address intensifies, the volume of intellectual property claims will also increase. Litigation may be necessary in the future to enforce or defend our intellectual property rights, to protect our trade secrets or to determine the validity and scope of our proprietary rights or the proprietary rights of others. Even if we are successful in obtaining licenses or entering into settlement arrangements, litigation could result in substantial costs and diversion of management resources, either of which could harm our business, operating results and financial condition. Further, many of our current and potential competitors have the ability to dedicate substantially greater resources to enforcing and defending their intellectual property rights than we have.

Our use of open source and third-party software could impose unanticipated conditions or restrictions on our ability to commercialize our products.

We incorporate open source software into our products. Open source software is made available to us and to the public by its authors or other third parties under licenses that impose certain obligations on licensees in the event those licensees re-distribute or make derivative works of the open source software. The terms of many open source licenses have not been interpreted by United States or other courts, and these licenses could be construed in a manner that imposes unanticipated conditions or restrictions on our ability to commercialize our products. In this event, we could be required to seek licenses from third parties in order to continue offering our products, to make generally available, in source code form, proprietary code that links to certain open source modules, to re-engineer our products, or to discontinue the sale of our products if re-engineering could not be accomplished on a timely basis, any of which might harm our business, operating results and financial condition.

We may incur substantial costs in order to comply with laws and regulations governing the environment, which could harm our operating results.

We are subject to various state, federal and international laws and regulations governing the environment, including those restricting the presence of certain substances in electronic products and making producers of those products financially responsible for their collection, treatment, recycling and disposal. These laws and regulations have been enacted in a number of jurisdictions in which we sell our products, including various European Union, or EU, member countries. For example, the EU has enacted the Restriction of the Use of Certain Hazardous Substances in Electrical and Electronic Equipment, or RoHS, and the Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment, or WEEE, directives. RoHS prohibits the use of certain substances, including lead, in certain products, including hard drives, sold after July 1, 2006. The WEEE directive obligates parties that sell electrical and electronic equipment in the EU to put a clearly identifiable mark on the equipment, register with and report to EU member countries regarding distribution of the equipment and provide a mechanism to take back and properly dispose of the equipment. Similar legislation may be enacted in other locations where we sell our products. We will need to ensure that we comply with these laws and regulations as they are enacted, and that our suppliers also comply with these laws and regulations. If we or our suppliers fail to comply with the legislation, our customers may refuse or be unable to purchase our products, which could harm our business, operating results and financial condition.

In connection with our compliance with these environmental laws and regulations, we could incur substantial costs above our current estimates and be subject to disruptions to our operations and logistics. In addition, if we were found to be in violation of these laws, we could be subject to governmental fines and liability to our customers. If we have to make significant capital expenditures to comply with environmental laws, or if we are subject to significant expenses in connection with a violation of these laws, our business, operating results and financial condition could suffer.

Table of Contents

Shares eligible for sale in the future could negatively affect our stock price.

The market price of our common stock could decline as a result of sales of a large number of shares of our common stock or the perception that these sales may occur. This may make it more difficult for us to raise additional funds through the issuance of debt or the sale of equity securities. As of October 24, 2008, we had 11,607,476 outstanding shares of common stock, all of which are eligible for resale on the NASDAQ Capital Market. Shares held by Monarch, Watershed and Symphony Asset Management LLC and their affiliated entities, which totaled 5,632,194, currently may be sold publicly only in compliance with the volume, manner of sale and other restrictions of Rule 144 under the Securities Act, unless they are sold pursuant to a registration statement providing for the public resale of shares of common stock held by such holders. Pursuant to our Registration Rights Agreement with Monarch, Watershed and certain other investors, we must, within 21 days after receipt of a written request from certain of these holders, prepare and file with the SEC a registration statement on Form S-3 providing for the public resale of shares of common stock held by such holders.

Delaware law and our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws contain provisions that could delay or discourage takeover attempts that stockholders may consider favorable and result in a lower market price for our common stock.

Provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change of control or changes in our board of directors. These provisions include the following:

the division of our board into three classes;

the right of the board of directors to elect a director to fill a vacancy created by the expansion of the board of directors or due to the resignation or departure of an existing board member;

the prohibition of cumulative voting in the election of directors, which would otherwise allow less than a majority of stockholders to elect director candidates;

the requirement for the advance notice of nominations for election to the board of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted upon at a stockholders' meeting;

the ability of our board of directors to alter our bylaws without obtaining stockholder approval;

the ability of the board of directors to issue, without stockholder approval, up to 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock with terms set by the board of directors, which rights could be senior to those of our common stock;

the elimination of the rights of stockholders to call a special meeting of stockholders and to take action by written consent in lieu of a meeting; and

the required approval of at least 75% of the shares entitled to vote at an election of directors to adopt, amend or repeal our bylaws or repeal the provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation regarding the election and removal of directors and the inability of stockholders to take action by written consent in lieu of a meeting.

In addition, because we are incorporated in Delaware, we are governed by the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. These provisions may prohibit large stockholders, particularly those owning 15% or more of our outstanding voting stock, from merging or combining with us. These provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws and under Delaware law could discourage potential takeover attempts, could reduce the price that investors are willing to pay for shares of our common stock in the future and could potentially result in the market price being lower than they would without these provisions.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Exhibits**

The following Exhibits are filed as part of, or incorporated by reference into, this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q:

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Incorporated by Reference | | | Filed Herewith |
|-------------------|---|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | | Form | File Number | Exhibit Date | |
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Silicon Graphics, Inc., dated October 17, 2006 | 8-K | 000-15129 | 3.1 10/20/06 | |
| 3.2 | Third Amended and Restated Bylaws of Silicon Graphics, Inc., dated as of August 21, 2008 | 8-K | 001-10441 | 3.1 08/27/08 | |
| 31.1 | Certification of CEO Pursuant to Section 302 | | | | X |
| 31.2 | Certification of CFO Pursuant to Section 302 | | | | X |
| 32.1 | Certification of CEO and CFO Pursuant to Section 906 | | | | X |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: November 5, 2008

SILICON GRAPHICS, INC.

a Delaware corporation

By: /s/ Kathy Lanterman
Kathy Lanterman

Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)