

AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC
Form 10-K
March 11, 2008
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT

PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007

OR

.. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-9360

AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware

84-1038736

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-K

(State or Other Jurisdiction of

(I.R.S. Employer

Incorporation or Organization)

Identification No.)

29399 U.S. Hwy 19 North, Suite 320

Clearwater, Florida, 33761

727-726-8868

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(Registrant's Telephone Number)

Securities registered pursuant to section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common Stock,

New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

par value \$0.01 per share

Class A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock,

New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

par value \$0.01 per share

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: NONE

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in the Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$164.9 million as of June 30, 2007. For purposes of this determination, the registrant excluded shares of Common Stock known to be held by officers, directors and each person who or which owns 10% or more of the registrant's outstanding common stock because those person might be deemed affiliates. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily conclusive for other purposes. As of February 27, 2008, there were approximately 7,866,000 shares of common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

List hereunder the following documents if incorporated by reference and the Part of the Form 10-K (e.g., Part I, Part II, etc.) into which the document is incorporated:

Portions of the Proxy Statement for the registrant's 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC.

Table of Contents

Annual Report on Form 10-K

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2007

| Item | | Page |
|-------------|---|-------------|
| | PART I | |
| 1. | <u>Business</u> | 1 |
| | <u>The Company</u> | 1 |
| | <u>Recent Developments</u> | 2 |
| | <u>Industry Background</u> | 4 |
| | <u>Financial Information about Industry Segments</u> | 4 |
| | <u>Growth and Operating Strategies</u> | 5 |
| | <u>Competition</u> | 7 |
| | <u>Taxation of the Company</u> | 7 |
| | <u>Regulation</u> | 8 |
| | <u>Insurance</u> | 10 |
| | <u>Capital Resources</u> | 10 |
| | <u>Restrictions on Transfer and Ownership of Common Stock</u> | 10 |
| | <u>Employees</u> | 11 |
| 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 11 |
| 1B. | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 19 |
| 2. | <u>Properties</u> | 19 |
| 3. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 21 |
| 4. | <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 21 |
| | PART II | |
| 5. | <u>Market For Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 22 |
| 6. | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 24 |
| 7. | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 26 |
| | <u>Executive Overview</u> | 26 |
| | <u>Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates</u> | 27 |
| | <u>Results of Operations</u> | 32 |
| | <u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u> | 45 |
| | <u>Funds From Operations</u> | 48 |
| | <u>Contractual Obligations</u> | 49 |
| 7A. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 49 |
| 8. | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 50 |
| 9. | <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 50 |
| 9A. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 50 |
| 9B. | <u>Other Information</u> | 51 |
| | PART III | |
| 10. | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 52 |
| 11. | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 52 |
| 12. | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 52 |
| 13. | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.</u> | 52 |

| | | |
|----------------|---|----|
| 14. | <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services.</u> | 52 |
| PART IV | | |
| 15. | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 52 |

Table of Contents

PART I

Forward Looking Statements

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements in certain circumstances. Certain information included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K (Annual Report) and our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as well as information communicated orally or in writing between the dates of these SEC filings, constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements may include projections relating to our results of operations, cash flow, dividends, anticipated returns on real estate investments and opportunities to acquire additional communities. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Such factors include: general economic and business conditions; interest rate changes; financing and refinancing risks; risks inherent in owning real estate or debt secured by real estate; future development rate of home sites; competition; the availability of real estate assets at prices which meet our investment criteria; our ability to reduce expense levels, implement rent increases, use leverage and other risks set forth in this Annual Report and our other SEC filings. In addition, American Land Lease, Inc.'s current and continuing qualification as a real estate investment trust (REIT) involves the application of highly technical and complex provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and depends on our ability to meet the various requirements imposed by the Internal Revenue Code through actual operating results, distribution levels and diversity of stock ownership. Readers should carefully review our financial statements and the notes thereto, as well as the risk factors described in this Annual Report and the other the documents that we file from time to time with the SEC. American Land Lease, Inc. assumes no obligation and does not intend to update these forward-looking statements.

**Item 1. Business
The Company**

American Land Lease, Inc. (ANL), a Delaware corporation, is a self-administered and self-managed REIT engaged in the ownership, development, expansion, management, financing and refinancing, acquisition and disposition of residential land lease communities. Residential land lease communities own home sites that are leased to owners of homes situated on the leased land and own various amenities provided for common use by the homeowners. The amenities may include features that support the lifestyle of the community such as a clubhouse, pool, tennis courts, golf course, or marina. The communities consist of one or more subdivisions with features comparable to any typical residential subdivision, including central entrances, paved streets, signage and monumentation, and in some instances, sidewalks and street lights. We collect various amounts from the homeowners in our communities related to the lease of the home site, use of common facilities and areas, maintenance of lawns and common areas, collection of trash, providing water and wastewater services, payment of ad valorem taxes, operation of security services and maintenance of common infrastructure. The extent of the services provided varies by community.

In May 1997, American Land Lease, Inc. contributed its net assets to Asset Investors Operating Partnership, L.P. (the Operating Partnership) in exchange for the sole general partner interest in the Operating Partnership and substantially all of the Operating Partnership's initial capital. We conduct our business through the Operating Partnership. We do not own all of the interests in the Operating Partnership. Interests in the Operating Partnership held by limited partners other than ANL are referred to as OP Units. The holders of OP Units receive distributions, prorated from the date of issuance, in an amount equivalent to the dividends, if any, paid to holders of our common stock. After holding OP Units for one year, limited partners generally have the right to redeem their OP Units for cash. Notwithstanding that right, the Operating Partnership may elect to acquire some or all of the OP Units tendered for redemption by exchanging shares of our common stock in lieu of cash. At

Table of Contents

December 31, 2007, the Operating Partnership had a total of 8,546,000 partnership units outstanding and we owned 7,553,000 partnership units comprising 89% of the Operating Partnership. As of December 31, 2007, 100%, or approximately 993,000, of the OP Units held by limited partners had been held for at least one year and were eligible for redemption by the holders thereof.

Except as the context otherwise requires, we, our, us, ANL and the Company refer to American Land Lease, Inc., the Operating Partnership and all majority-owned subsidiaries.

As of December 31, 2007, we held interests as owner in 30 residential land lease communities, one of which includes a recreational vehicle park, with an approximate total of 7,984 operational home sites, 1,370 developed home sites, 1,191 undeveloped home sites and 129 recreational vehicle sites. Based on total home sites, 72% of the Company's portfolio of residential land lease communities is located in Florida, 24% in Arizona and 4% in Alabama. An operational home site is defined as a home site that is or has been occupied by a home owned by a resident. A developed home site is defined as a home site for which infrastructure is complete, but either a home has not yet been constructed or the home constructed has not been occupied by a resident. An undeveloped home site is defined as a planned home site under active development for which the infrastructure is not complete. A recreational vehicle site is defined as a site that is equipped to allow a recreational vehicle to connect to water and electricity.

In support of the development, redevelopment, and expansion of our residential land lease communities, we are engaged, through a taxable subsidiary corporation, in the sale of homes to future residents. The home sales business is operated like other homebuilders with sales presentation centers, model homes designated for presentation, an inventory of completed homes and the ability to supply custom designed homes based upon the requirements of the new homeowners.

Our principal executive offices are located at 29399 U.S. Hwy 19 North, Suite 320, Clearwater, Florida 33761, and our telephone number is (727) 726-8868. Our common stock, par value \$.01 per share and our preferred stock, par value \$.01, are listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol ANL and ANLPRA, respectively. Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, amendments to such reports and other documents we file or furnish pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, are available as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material and free of charge through our internet Web site at www.americanlandlease.com. Additionally, we intend to provide any information otherwise required by Item 5.05 of Form 8-K by the alternative of disclosure on our aforementioned internet website. The information contained on our Web site is not incorporated into this Annual Report.

Recent Developments

2007 Events

Change in General Business Conditions

During 2007, our new home sales business was significantly impacted by the slowdown in the U.S. housing market while our portfolio of land leases produced solid results and demonstrated the strength of our business model. The decline in our new homes sales business has negatively impacted our profitability, cash flows and growth rate. See also Item 1A. Risk Factors.

We believe this slowdown is attributable to a decline in consumer confidence, an overall softening of demand for new homes, an oversupply of homes available for sale, the inability of some of our home buyers to sell their current homes and the direct and indirect impact of the turmoil in the mortgage loan market. In our markets, industry conditions deteriorated during the year. We attribute the reduction in new home sales demand to concerns on the part of prospective home buyers about the direction of home prices and concerns by prospective home buyers about being able to sell their existing homes. The potential of mortgage foreclosures, price reductions and increased sales incentives advertised by homebuilders have contributed to home buyers' concerns and provide impediments to our new home sales process.

Table of Contents

We continue to operate our business with the expectation that difficult market conditions will continue to impact us for at least the near term. We expect these trends in our new home sales activities to continue and the majority of the markets we serve to remain challenging throughout 2008. We have adjusted our approach to inventory construction, limited land development expenditures, and balanced home price and profitability with sales pace. While these difficult market conditions persist, improving the efficiency of our selling, general and administrative expenses will continue to be a significant area of increasing focus.

Continuing Conversion of Undeveloped Home Sites and Developed Home Site Inventory to Leased Sites

We own an inventory of developed vacant sites within our portfolio of residential land lease communities. In addition, we own undeveloped land that is contiguous to existing occupied communities, and we own two communities that we are developing from raw land. Our development activities convert the undeveloped land into developed home sites. As of December 31, 2007, 533 of our total 1,191 undeveloped lots were being improved pursuant to construction contracts with the remaining 658 lots in various stages of permitting and design. Our home sales business facilitates the conversion of these developed home sites into leased sites with long-term cash flows through the sale of homes to future residents. In 2007, we entered into 198 new land leases in connection with the purchase of new homes, a 34.0% decrease in this activity compared to the prior year. The changes in our leased sites for the year ended December 31, 2007 are shown in the following table:

| | Changes in Leased Sites for Year Ended December, 31, 2007 |
|---|--|
| New leases facilitated by home sales | 198 |
| Leases terminated through: | |
| removal of home by tenant | (18) |
| removal of home to facilitate redevelopment | (11) |
| acquisition of home by tenant transferring title to ANL | (25) |
| Sales of homes previously repossessed | 21 |
| Leased sites sold | (255) |
| New leases originated as a result of homes sold by others | 5 |
| Net decrease in leased sites | (85) |

Debt Financings

During 2007, we closed one fixed rate loan for \$4.6 million with an interest rate of 5.89% and we closed variable rate loans totaling \$10.8 million with a variable rate at the three-month London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 115 basis points, which was 6.35% at December 31, 2007.

Consideration of Acquisitions, Dispositions, and Financing Transactions

In the ordinary course of business, we engage in discussions and negotiations regarding the acquisition of residential land lease properties, including interests in entities that own residential land lease properties. We frequently enter into contracts and non-binding letters of intent with respect to the purchase of properties. These contracts are typically subject to certain conditions and permit us to terminate the contract in our sole and absolute discretion if we are not satisfied with the results of our due diligence investigation of the properties. We believe that such contracts essentially result in the creation of an option to acquire the subject properties and give us greater flexibility in seeking to acquire properties.

From time to time we offer for sale certain real estate properties that are inconsistent with our long-term investment strategies.

Additionally, we actively consider a broad range of debt and equity financing and refinancing transactions with respect to our real estate portfolio. These transactions are considered and may be pursued to modify our capital structure, generate additional capital for future operations, fund acquisitions or for other uses.

Table of Contents

Industry and Business Background

A residential land lease community is a residential subdivision designed and improved with sites for the placement of homes together with related improvements and amenities. At this time, the homes constructed in residential land lease communities are generally, but not always, manufactured homes. Manufactured homes are detached, single-family homes which are produced off-site by manufacturers and installed on sites within the community. These homes are often improved with the addition of features constructed on site, including garages, screened rooms and carports. Manufactured homes are available in a variety of designs and floor plans, offering many amenities and custom options.

Modern residential land lease communities are similar to typical residential subdivisions containing central entrances, paved streets, curbs and gutters, and parkways. The communities frequently provide a clubhouse for social activities and recreation and other amenities, which may include golf courses, marinas, swimming pools, shuffleboard courts and laundry facilities. Utilities are provided, or arranged for, by the owner of the community. Community lifestyles, promoted by community managers, include a wide variety of social activities that promote a sense of neighborhood. The communities provide attractive and affordable housing for retirees, empty nesters and start-up or single parent families.

Residential land lease communities are primarily characterized as all age communities or age-restricted communities. In age-restricted communities, one of the residents must be at least 55 years old in a minimum of 80% of the homes, and in all age communities, there are no age restrictions on residents. We generally invest in age-restricted communities. At December 31, 2007, 95% of our total home sites were in age-restricted communities.

The owner of a home in our communities leases from us the site on which the home is located and acquires the right to utilize the community common areas and amenities. Typically, the leases are on a month-to-month or year-to-year basis, renewable upon the consent of both parties or, in some instances, as provided by statute for a term of four years. In some circumstances, we offer a 99-year non-transferable lease to residents in order to enable the resident to have some of the benefits of an owner of real property, including creditor protection laws in some states. In one instance, we acquired leases that contained 35 year original terms and were transferable to successor residents. These leases can be cancelled, depending on state law, for non-payment of rent, violation of community rules and regulations, or other specified defaults. Generally, rental rate increases are made on an annual basis. The size of these rental rate increases depends upon the policies that are in place at each community. We may, as an inducement to new homebuyers, make rent concessions, including fixed rental rates. Rental increases may be based on fixed dollar amounts, percentage amounts, inflation indices, or they may depend entirely on local market conditions. We own interests in the underlying land, utility connections, streets, lighting, driveways, common area amenities and other capital improvements and are responsible for enforcement of community guidelines and maintenance. Each homeowner within the residential land lease communities is responsible for the maintenance of his or her home and leased site, including lawn care in some communities.

Residential land lease communities, once fully occupied, tend to be a stable, predictable asset class. The investment by the individual in the ownership of a home on our land, combined with the cost and effort involved in relocating the home to another location, promote a high level of home maintenance and encourage the owner to resell the home as located within the community. Additionally, the number of individual homeowners within a community provides a diversification of risk.

Financial Information about Industry Segments

We operate in two reportable segments: real estate (ownership of land leases, land development, investment acquisition and disposition) and home sales (sale of homes, both new and used, to be sited on land owned by the Company). See the consolidated financial statements and related notes, in Item 8 of this Annual Report.

Table of Contents

Growth and Operating Strategies

Our primary objective is to maximize total risk-adjusted stockholder returns over the long term by increasing our operating cash flow and increasing our net asset value (as defined by NAREIT, the net market value of all of a company's assets, including but not limited to its properties, after subtracting all its liabilities and other obligations). Increasing our operating cash flow increases our net asset value and increases the amount and predictability of Funds From Operations (FFO) (as defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts). For a description of the meaning of FFO, see the discussion entitled, Funds From Operations, in Item 7 of this Annual Report.

We implement operating and financing strategies to achieve our objectives, which include but are not limited to the following:

improving net operating income from our existing portfolio of residential land lease communities;

redeveloping residential land lease communities;

leasing unoccupied sites in our development portfolio, through the sale of homes by our home sales subsidiary;

acquiring additional communities at values that are accretive on a per share basis; and

acquiring additional development property that is suitable for development as a residential land lease community.

We seek to increase our net asset value through a number of financing strategies, which include but are not limited to the following:

use of leverage with attractively priced debt capital;

consideration of asset disposals where the use of sales proceeds provides for an accretion to net asset value; and

continuing evaluation of our equity capital sources including common stock issuances, preferred stock issuances, joint ventures and property sales.

Company Policies

Management has adopted specific policies to accomplish our primary objective of increasing net asset value and increasing the amount and predictability of our FFO on a per share basis, less capital replacements. These policies include:

acquiring residential land lease communities that have potential for long-term appreciation of value through, among other things, rent increases, expense efficiencies and in-community home site development;

improving the profitability of our communities through management of occupancy, rent collection, rent increases based upon community enhancements and operating expense controls;

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-K

making capital replacement expenditures to maintain the physical condition of our communities (expenditures per developed home site were approximately \$126, \$184 and \$149 for 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively);

developing and maintaining resident satisfaction and a reputation for quality communities through maintenance of the physical condition of our communities and by providing activities that improve the community lifestyle;

using our home sales subsidiary to increase the occupancy rate at our communities by selling homes to be situated on presently unoccupied sites at our development communities and selling previously owned homes in all communities;

Table of Contents

using our home sales subsidiary to upgrade the quality of homes placed on home sites within the community;

developing additional home sites on land we own that may or may not be contiguous to existing communities;

seeking to limit our exposure to downturns in regional real estate markets by diversifying the location of our portfolio of communities (at the end of year 2007, based on total home sites, 72% of our properties were in Florida, 24% were in Arizona and 4% were in Alabama);

increasing our financial returns through the use of leverage, including long-term, non-recourse debt, joint venture equity, and preferred stock;

managing our exposure to interest rate fluctuations by utilizing primarily long-term, fixed-rate debt (80% of our total debt was fixed rate at the end of year 2007); and

recruiting and retaining capable management and professional staff at the community management level and above.

Future Acquisitions

Our acquisition of interests in residential land lease communities may take many forms. In many cases, we acquire title in fee simple to the community or acquire ownership of entities that have fee simple title to the community. Alternatively, we may accomplish this goal by making participating loans to others that are non-recourse to the borrowers and secured by the properties. In general, these participating mortgages earn interest at fixed rates and, in addition, participate in profits or revenues from the community. We may undertake these activities directly or through joint venture arrangements.

We believe that acquisition opportunities for residential land lease communities are attractive at this time because of:

the increasing quality of manufactured homes, as shown by the number of individuals living in manufactured homes;

the substantial price paid for, and further investment by the owner in, manufactured homes; and

the continued constraints on development of new residential land lease communities.

We are actively seeking to acquire additional communities and we are currently engaged in various stages of negotiations relating to the possible acquisitions of a number of communities. The acquisitions of interests in additional communities or other business activities could result in changes in our capital structure through the issuance, or assumption, of additional debt and the issuance of equity.

When evaluating and structuring potential acquisitions, we consider several factors including, but not limited to, the cost, location, amenities, current and projected cash flow, regulatory environment and the effects the acquisitions would have on our REIT status.

Expansion of Existing Communities

We expect to increase the number of leased home sites and the amount of earnings generated from our existing portfolio of residential land lease communities through marketing campaigns aimed at increasing new home sales that result in the origination of new leases and increased occupancy. We also expect to seek expansion through future acquisitions and expansion of the number of sites available to be leased to residents at our existing communities, if justified by local market conditions and permitted by zoning and other applicable laws. As of December 31, 2007, we held interests in 30 communities with approximately 7,984 operational home sites, 1,370 developed home sites, 1,191 undeveloped home sites and 129 recreational vehicle sites.

Table of Contents

Dispositions

We regularly monitor and adjust our assets to increase the quality and performance of our portfolio. At certain points in time, we may sell properties in an effort to increase the quality and performance of our portfolio and in pursuit of increasing our net asset value.

There can be no assurance that the growth and operating strategies can be achieved, in whole or in part. For additional risk factors related to our business, see Item 1A on page 13 of this Annual Report.

Competition

There are numerous housing alternatives that compete with our residential land lease communities in attracting residents. Our properties compete for residents with other residential land lease communities, multifamily rental apartments, single-family homes and condominiums. The number of competitors and relative price of competing alternatives in a particular area have a material effect on our ability to attract and retain residents, and on the rents we are able to charge for home sites. The relative price of competing products are measured based upon the total cost of occupancy to the resident. Historically, mortgage finance rates for homes situated in land lease communities have been higher for borrowers of equivalent credit when compared to mortgage finance rates available for single-family, site-built housing on land owned in fee simple. In addition, the cost of insurance for manufactured homes has increased and, in certain instances, insurance has not been available for a period of time in prior years.

In acquiring assets, we compete with other REITs, pension funds, insurance companies, and other investors, many of which have greater financial resources than we do and the ability to procure more attractively priced capital.

Acquisition of Our Inventory of Homes

We do not manufacture the homes we sell. Generally, we purchase them directly from various companies engaged in manufacturing homes. We do not believe we have undue concentration risk with respect to the suppliers of our inventory of homes. However, in some instances, our purchasing volume is significant to a manufacturer and we are able to negotiate favorable pricing, delivery schedules and other terms. As a result of the deterioration in the overall U.S. housing market, we have seen reduction in production capacity by a number of our suppliers. These reductions have not, to date, limited our ability to acquire the homes we sell or the price we pay to our suppliers. From time to time, we have also acquired homes for resale through acquisitions of other residential land lease communities and foreclosures.

Taxation of the Company

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 1986, and we intend to continue to operate in such a manner. Our current and continuing qualification as a REIT depends on our ability to meet the various requirements imposed by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 through actual operating results, including income and asset requirements, distribution levels and diversity of stock ownership.

If we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we will generally not be subject to federal corporate income tax on our net income that is currently distributed to stockholders. This treatment substantially eliminates the double taxation of income (at the corporate and stockholder levels) that results from investment in a corporation under current law. However, our stockholders are generally subject to tax on dividends received from us at regular ordinary income rates. They are generally not eligible for tax at the lower capital gain rates that apply, in the case of stockholders who are individuals, to dividends received from taxable domestic corporations under current law. The extent to which the dividends that we pay are treated as ordinary income varies, and portions of our dividends may be subject to more favorable tax treatment for our stockholders than ordinary income, such as the portion, if any, of the dividends that represent return of capital, capital gains, or unrecaptured Section 1250 gains.

Table of Contents

If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, our taxable income will be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates (including any applicable alternative minimum tax). Even if we qualify as a REIT, we may be subject to certain state and local income taxes, and to federal income and excise taxes and penalties, including taxes on our undistributed income.

If in any taxable year we fail to qualify as a REIT and incur additional tax liability, we may need to borrow funds or liquidate investments in order to pay the applicable tax, but we would not be compelled to make distributions under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. Unless entitled to relief under certain statutory provisions, we would also be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which qualification is lost. Although we currently intend to operate in a manner designed to qualify as a REIT, it is possible that future economic, market, legal, tax or other considerations may cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT, or may cause our Board of Directors to revoke our REIT election.

Certain of our operations, including our home sales business and golf course activities, are conducted through taxable REIT subsidiaries, which we refer to as taxable subsidiaries. A taxable subsidiary is a corporation that has not elected REIT status and, as such, is subject to federal corporate income tax. We use taxable subsidiaries to offer certain services to our residents and engage in activities that would not otherwise be permitted under the REIT rules if provided directly by us or by the Operating Partnership.

At December 31, 2007, our net operating loss (NOL) carryover was approximately \$64.2 million for the parent REIT entity, and \$9.0 million for our taxable subsidiaries that are consolidated for financial reporting, but not for federal income tax purposes. Subject to certain limitations, the REIT's NOL carryover may be used to offset all or a portion of our REIT taxable income and to reduce the amount that we are required to distribute to stockholders to maintain our status as a REIT. It does not, however, affect the tax treatment to stockholders of any distributions that we make. The REIT's and the taxable subsidiaries' NOL carryovers are scheduled to expire between 2008 and 2009, and 2020 and 2026, respectively.

ANL and its stockholders may be subject to state or local taxation in various jurisdictions, including those in which ANL or they transact business or reside. The state and local tax treatment that ANL and its stockholders receive may not conform to the federal income tax treatment.

Regulation

General

Residential land lease communities, like other housing alternatives, are subject to various laws, ordinances and regulations, including regulations relating to recreational facilities such as swimming pools, clubhouses and other common areas. We believe that we have obtained the necessary permits and approvals to operate each of our properties in conformity with these laws. Changes in laws increasing the potential liability for environmental conditions existing on properties or increasing the restrictions on discharges or other conditions, as well as changes in laws affecting development, construction and safety requirements, may result in significant unanticipated expenditures, which would adversely affect our cash flows from operating activities. In addition, future enactment of rent control or rent stabilization laws, or other laws regulating housing, may reduce rental revenue or increase operating costs in particular markets.

Americans with Disabilities Act and Fair Housing Act

Our current properties and any newly acquired communities may be required to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (the ADA) and the Fair Housing Act (the FHA). The ADA generally requires that public facilities, such as clubhouses, swimming pools and recreation areas, be made accessible to people with disabilities. Many of our communities have public facilities. In order to comply with the ADA's requirements, we have made improvements at our communities to remove barriers to access. If we fail to comply with ADA

Table of Contents

regulations, we could be fined or forced to pay damages to private litigants. We have made those changes which we believe are appropriate and required by the ADA, and we believe that our properties are in compliance with the requirements of the ADA. In the event that we incur any further costs related to ADA compliance, we believe these costs can be recovered from cash flows from the individual properties without causing any material adverse effect. We may also seek to recover these costs immediately as a governmental pass-through charge that is included in selected leases. If required changes involve a greater expenditure than we currently anticipate, or if the changes must be made on a more accelerated basis than we anticipate, our ability to make distributions could be adversely affected. The FHA requires that we allow residents, at their own expense and subject to our review, to make private facilities within our communities accessible to people with disabilities. When requested by residents, we believe we have made the appropriate and required accommodations to enable them to make the improvements.

Rent Control Legislation

State and local laws might limit our ability to increase rents on some of our properties, and thereby limit our ability to recover increases in operating expenses and costs of capital improvements. Enactment of rent control laws has been considered from time to time in jurisdictions in which we operate. We expect to maintain residential land lease communities, and may purchase additional properties, in markets that are either subject to rent control laws, or in which such legislation may be enacted in the future.

Environmental

Various federal, state and local laws subject property owners or operators to liability for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous substances present on a property. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence or release of the hazardous substances. The presence of, or the failure to remediate properly, hazardous substances may adversely affect occupancy at affected communities and our ability to sell or finance affected properties. In addition to the costs associated with investigation and remediation actions brought by governmental agencies, the presence of hazardous wastes on a property could result in claims by private plaintiffs for personal injury, disease, disability or other infirmities. Various laws also impose liability for the cost of removal or remediation of hazardous substances at the disposal or treatment facility. Anyone who arranges for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances is potentially liable under such laws. These laws often impose liability whether or not the person arranging for the disposal ever owned or operated the disposal facility. In connection with the ownership, operation and management of our properties, we could potentially be liable for environmental liabilities or costs associated with our properties or properties we acquire or manage in the future.

Building Codes

The manufactured homes we purchase from our suppliers are subject to certain building codes and regulations, which vary from state to state. If building codes or regulations change such that new building codes increase our suppliers' costs, the cost of the homes we purchase from our suppliers may increase. Increases in the cost of the homes we sell could reduce our margins and or sales volume, which could materially affect our future cash flows.

Land Use Regulations

The communities we own and the land that surrounds them are subject to land use regulations promulgated by local governmental bodies, including counties, towns and cities. These regulations may change, resulting in increases in our costs. There is also the potential for uses inconsistent with our residential communities being constructed on land adjoining our communities. These changes could reduce the value of our communities.

Table of Contents

Insurance

We believe that our properties are covered by adequate fire, flood, property, terrorism, and business interruption insurance policies. It is our policy to purchase insurance policies that contain commercially reasonable deductibles and limits from reputable insurers. In the event of changes in the insurance markets, we may be unable to purchase policies with deductibles and limits equal to the coverage currently in place or the costs to procure such coverage may increase at a rate in excess of our ability to recover these costs through increased rental rates. We also believe that we have obtained adequate title insurance policies insuring fee title to properties we have acquired. We do not insure our residents homes. In the event that a community is subject to a casualty that results in our residents homes being destroyed, insurance proceeds to our residents (if any) may not be sufficient to replace or repair their homes. Additionally, in such event, our business interruption insurance may not be sufficient to replace the rental income lost from the termination of, or default under, residents leases until such time as we are able to originate new ground leases through our home sales division.

Capital Resources

We have used our available cash balances, our cash flow and our long-term and short-term financing arrangements to provide working capital to support our operations, fund development in our existing communities, pay dividends and acquire assets. Future acquisitions and continued development of our communities will be financed by the most appropriate sources of capital, which may include our available cash balances; undistributed FFO; long-term secured debt; short-term secured debt; the issuance of additional equity securities, including interests in the Operating Partnership or other partnership interests or joint venture transactions; or additional sources as determined by management. Our ability to offer interests in the Operating Partnership provides an additional acquisition currency to potential sellers of residential land lease communities, which may enable the seller to defer some or all of the tax consequences of a sale. We believe that this flexibility may offer sellers an incentive to enter into transactions with us on favorable terms.

Without further stockholder approval, we are authorized to issue up to an aggregate of 12,000,000 shares of common stock and 3,000,000 shares of preferred stock. As of February 27, 2008, approximately 7,866,000 shares of common stock were outstanding, and 1,000,000 shares of Class A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock were outstanding. Additionally, as of February 27, 2008, we held approximately 1,961,000 shares of common stock in treasury, which are available for re-issuance. Under our Certificate of Incorporation, the Board of Directors has the authority to classify, reclassify and issue shares of preferred stock, including the determination of the preferences, rights, powers and restrictions of the preferred stock. Depending upon the terms set by our Board of Directors, the authorization and issuance of preferred stock or other new classes of stock could adversely affect existing stockholders. Future offerings of common or preferred stock may result in the reduction of the net tangible book value per outstanding share and a reduction in the market price of the stock. We are unable to estimate the amount, timing or nature of such future offerings, as any such offerings will depend on general market conditions or other factors.

Restrictions on Transfer and Ownership of Common Stock

To qualify to be taxed as a REIT, we must comply with certain ownership limitations with respect to shares of our common stock. Our Third Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (the Certification of Incorporation) provides generally that no person is permitted to acquire or own, directly or indirectly, more than 5% of the aggregate value of the outstanding shares of any class of our stock unless our Board of Directors waives this restriction. Our Board of Directors has waived this ownership limitation for Mr. Terry Considine, our Chief Executive Officer, and for certain other stockholders, subject to such terms and conditions as determined by the Board of Directors.

If any transfer of shares of our stock that is not authorized by our Board of Directors would result in a person owning greater than 5% of the aggregate value of the outstanding shares of any class of our stock, all shares owned by that person that are in excess of the 5% limit will be transferred in trust for the benefit of a

Table of Contents

charitable beneficiary. Within 90 days of receiving notice from us that shares of stock have been transferred to the trust, the trustee of the trust shall sell the shares held in trust and distribute the proceeds from the sale of the shares in the following manner:

the prohibited owner whose shares were transferred to the trust will receive the lesser of the amount that the prohibited owner paid for the shares or the amount the trustee receives for the shares; and

any further amounts remaining from the sale will be transferred to a charitable beneficiary.

At the end of each year, every owner of more than a prescribed percentage (5% if there are more than 2,000 record stockholders, and 1% if there are more than 200 but less than 2,000 record stockholders) of the outstanding shares of our stock will be required to provide us with written notice stating the name and address of the owner, the number of shares held, and a description of the manner of ownership.

Employees

As of December 31, 2007, we employed 195 persons that devoted their full-time attention to our communities and certain part-time employees as seasonal or other circumstances dictate. Our employees are not represented by a union, and we have never experienced a work stoppage. We believe that we maintain satisfactory relations with our employees.

Company Web Site and Access to Filed Reports

The Company maintains an Internet Web site at www.americanlandlease.com. The Company provides access to its reports filed with the SEC, its Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, the Code of Ethics for Chief Executive and Senior Financial Officers, the charters of the Audit, Compensation and Nominating/Corporate Governance Committees of our Board of Directors and the Company's Governance Guidelines through this Web site. The Company's SEC reports are available as soon as reasonably practicable after they are filed or furnished electronically with the SEC. In addition, paper copies of annual and periodic reports filed with the SEC, the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, the Code of Ethics for Chief Executive and Senior Financial Officers, committee charters and Corporate Governance Guidelines may be obtained free of charge by contacting the Company's headquarters at the address located within our SEC filings or under Investor Relations, Financials, on the aforementioned Web site.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Our debt service obligations could leave us with insufficient cash resources to meet our other working capital and dividend needs, limit our operational flexibility, or otherwise adversely affect our financial condition.

Our organizational documents do not limit the amount of debt that we may incur, and our strategy is generally to incur debt to increase the return on our equity. As part of our strategy, we most often utilize long-term, non-recourse fixed-rate debt, which comprises 80% of our total debt. As of December 31, 2007, we had approximately \$270.9 million of total outstanding debt, consisting of approximately \$247.8 million of secured debt that is secured by mortgage liens on 28 of our properties, and \$23.1 million of secured debt that is secured by the Company's inventory. In addition, our credit facilities contain customary negative covenants and other financial and operating covenants that, among other things, require us to maintain certain financial coverage ratios and restrict our ability to incur additional indebtedness or make distributions to stockholders. In the event that new home sales activities continue at the same pace or decline further in 2008, we may not achieve certain covenants. If we do not achieve our financial covenants and the lender does not grant us a waiver, the lender could place restrictions upon us that could adversely affect our operations and our ability to make distributions to

Table of Contents

our stockholders. Our substantial indebtedness and the cash flow associated with servicing our indebtedness could have important consequences, including the risks that:

payments of principal and interest may leave us with insufficient cash resources to operate our properties or pay distributions required to be paid in order to maintain our qualification as a REIT;

our cash flow from operations may be insufficient to make required payments of principal and interest or to continue payment of dividends on common and preferred stock;

our existing indebtedness may limit our operational flexibility due to financial and other restrictive covenants, including restrictions on incurring other debt;

if we fail to meet our covenants under our credit facilities, our lenders could foreclose on the collateral securing the facilities or place restrictions that could adversely affect our operations.

our debt service obligations could limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;

if changes occur in the market such that our communities decline in value, we may not be able to refinance existing indebtedness which could lead to foreclosure, or the terms of any refinancing may not be as favorable as the terms of existing indebtedness;

if we fail to make required payments of principal and interest on any debt, our lenders could foreclose on the properties securing the debt with a resulting loss of income and asset value to us; and

if balloon maturities cannot be refinanced, extended or paid with proceeds of other capital transactions, such as new equity capital, our cash flow may not be sufficient to repay maturing debt.

The recent significant decline in demand for new homes has adversely affected our new home sales business.

Beginning in 2006 and continuing in 2007, the homebuilding industry, including manufactured housing, experienced a significant decline in demand for newly built homes in our markets. The decline followed an unusually long period of strong demand for new homes. Inventories of new homes have also increased as a result of increased cancellation rates on pending contracts as new homebuyers sometimes find it more advantageous to forfeit a deposit than to complete the purchase of the home. This industry downturn has negatively impacted our profitability, cash flow, liquidity and returns on newly leased sites. We believe these conditions will continue in 2008. We are unable to predict with confidence how long demand and supply will remain out of balance in markets where we operate. Additionally, there can be no assurances that if demand and supply come back in balance, sales volumes or pricing will return to prior levels. Further, an extended period of decline may reduce the number of manufactured housing suppliers. In turn, this reduction may increase the price we pay or reduce the supply of new homes.

There are numerous risks associated with our development activities.

The development and expansion of residential land lease communities is another principal component of our growth strategy. When we develop or expand properties, we are subject to the risks that:

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-K

costs may exceed original estimates resulting in lower than expected returns; which may adversely affect our cash flow, net income, funds from operations and net asset value;

we may be unable to obtain construction financing on satisfactory terms or at all, in which case we may be unable to fund development or expansion of our properties to achieve our growth objectives;

governmental jurisdiction impacting our properties may change, which may result in additional costs and delays not contemplated in our original estimates;

Table of Contents

we may experience delays in obtaining, or may not be able to obtain, anticipated zoning and other regulatory approvals; which may adversely affect our cash flow, net income, fund from operations and net asset value.

construction and lease-up may not be completed on schedule, which may adversely affect our cash flow, net income, funds from operations and net asset value; and

we may be unable to obtain long-term financing upon completion of the development process which may adversely affect our return on equity.

We are subject to substantial legal and regulatory requirements regarding the development of land, the homebuilding process and protection of the environment, which can cause us to suffer delays and incur costs associated with compliance and which can prohibit or restrict homebuilding activity in some regions or areas.

Our homebuilding business is heavily regulated and subject to an increasing degree of local, state and federal regulations concerning zoning, resource protection and other environmental impacts, building design, construction and similar matters. These regulations often provide broad discretion to governmental authorities that regulate these matters, which can result in unanticipated delays or increases in the cost of a specified project or a number of projects in particular markets. We may also experience periodic delays in homebuilding projects due to building moratoria in any of the areas in which we operate.

We are also subject to a variety of local, state and federal statutes, ordinances, rules and regulations concerning the environment. These laws and regulations may cause delays in construction and delivery of new homes, may cause us to incur substantial compliance and other costs, and can prohibit or severely restrict homebuilding activity in certain environmentally sensitive regions or areas. In addition, environmental laws may impose liability for the costs of removal or remediation of hazardous or toxic substances whether or not the developer or owner of the property knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of those substances. The presence of those substances on our properties may prevent us from selling our homes and we may also be liable, under applicable laws and regulations or lawsuits brought by private parties, for hazardous or toxic substances on properties that we own or have owned.

Our Certificate of Incorporation limits the amount of outstanding shares of common stock that our stockholders may purchase or own.

Our Certificate of Incorporation limits the amount of outstanding shares of common stock that our stockholders may purchase or own in various ways. First, it limits direct or indirect ownership of our common stock by any person to 5% of the outstanding shares unless our Board of Directors grants an exemption to a stockholder. Second, our Certificate of Incorporation also prohibits anyone from buying shares if the purchase could adversely affect our REIT status. This could happen if a share transaction results in fewer than 100 persons owning all of our shares, or if five or fewer individuals, applying broad attribution rules of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, collectively own 50% or more of our shares. Third, our Certificate of Incorporation limits purchases of our common stock if such purchases would cause us to undergo an ownership change that would limit the availability of our net operating losses. Our Certificate of Incorporation also prohibits ownership of our common stock by any person or persons that would cause us to receive income of a nature that would prevent us from satisfying the gross income requirements that apply to REITs. If any person is in violation of the ownership provisions described above, the shares of our stock, which caused the affected person to violate the ownership requirements, would be automatically transferred to a trust for the benefit of a charitable beneficiary and such person will be deemed to never have had an interest in those shares of stock. The trust will sell those shares, within a designated period, at which time the affected person will receive the lesser of the price paid for the shares or the price received by the trustee upon the sale. If the transfer to the trust should not be effective for any reason, the transaction, such as a purchase of shares, would be treated as void and the affected person, the intended owner, would acquire no rights to those shares.

Table of Contents

Our Certificate of Incorporation may limit the ability of a third party to acquire control of us.

The ownership limits in our Certificate of Incorporation discussed above may have the effect of precluding the acquisition of control of us by a third party without the consent of our Board of Directors. In addition, our Certificate of Incorporation authorizes the Board of Directors to issue up to 3,000,000 shares of preferred stock. Under our Certificate of Incorporation, the Board of Directors has the authority to classify, reclassify and issue shares of preferred stock, including the determination of the preferences, rights, powers and restrictions of the preferred stock. The authorization and issuance of preferred stock could have the effect of delaying or preventing someone from taking control of us, even if a change of control were in our stockholders' best interests.

There are numerous risks associated with our acquisition activities.

The selective acquisition of residential land lease communities is a principal component of our growth strategy. We intend to continue to acquire properties. We compete with other real estate investors, many with greater capital resources, for attractive properties that meet our long-term investment goals. Such competition increases prices of properties; therefore, we may be unable to identify suitable acquisition opportunities, either as stand-alone assets or as components of operating businesses, or complete transactions in the future, which may negatively impact our growth objectives. In addition, the acquisition of residential land lease communities is subject to the risks that:

we may be unable to obtain acquisition financing on satisfactory terms or at all, in which case we may be unable to sufficiently leverage our capital to achieve our desired return on investment;

once acquired, our residential land lease communities may not perform as projected, and we may be unable to realize projected occupancy and rental rates, in which case these acquisitions may adversely affect our cash flow, net income, funds from operations and net asset value;

we may assume or be subject to liabilities in connection with these residential land lease communities for which adequate indemnification from the seller or our insurance carriers may not be available;

we may be unable to successfully integrate the personnel and operations of the business we acquire, in which case we may be unable to effectively operate residential land lease communities owned by these businesses, which may, in turn, adversely affect our returns; and

we may encounter zoning or governmental regulations that may prohibit or delay the development or home building process, thereby slowing or eliminating our ability to originate residential land leases on home sites we acquire.

We depend on distributions and other payments from our subsidiaries.

All of our properties are owned, and all of our operations are conducted, by the Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries. As a result, we depend on distributions and other payments from our subsidiaries in order to satisfy our financial obligations and make payments to our investors. The ability of our subsidiaries to make such distributions and other payments depends on their earnings and may be subject to statutory or contractual limitations. Our ability to pay dividends to holders of common stock depends on the Operating Partnership's ability first to satisfy its obligations to its creditors and make distributions payable to third party holders of its preferred Units and then to make distributions to us. In addition, as an equity investor in our subsidiaries, our right to receive assets upon their liquidation or reorganization will be effectively subordinated to the claims of their creditors.

Increases in interest rates may increase our interest expense.

From time to time, we may incur debt that is subject to variable interest rates. An increase in interest rates could increase our interest expense and adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to service our indebtedness and make distributions. At December 31, 2007, 20% of our aggregate debt was subject to variable rates. The base rates for our variable rate debt instruments are based upon either the lender's prime rate or the one-month or three-month LIBOR, plus a spread.

Table of Contents

Our real estate investments are subject to numerous risks that are beyond our control.

Our ability to make payments to our investors depends on our ability to generate cash from operations in excess of required debt payments and capital expenditures. Our cash from operations and the value of our properties may be adversely affected by events or conditions, which are beyond our control, including the following material risks:

the general economic climate;

competition from other housing alternatives;

local conditions, such as increases in unemployment, oversupply of housing or a reduction in demand, that might adversely affect occupancy or rental rates;

increases in operating costs, including real estate taxes, due to inflation and other factors, which may not necessarily be offset by increased rents;

changes in governmental regulations and the related costs of compliance;

changes in tax laws and housing laws, including the enactment of rent control laws or other laws regulating housing;

changes in the interest rate levels and the availability of financing used by our tenants to acquire the homes situated on land we lease;

changes in interest rate levels and the availability of financing;

availability of property insurance for our tenants;

the relative illiquidity of real estate investments as these investments generally can not be sold quickly, which limits our ability to respond promptly to changes in economic or market conditions and could cause us to accept lower than market value for our properties; and

the liquidity of the for sale residential real estate market which often provides the source of funds for our tenants purchase of a home situated in our communities.

We are subject to risks relating to the manufacturers that supply our inventory of homes.

We rely on our home sales business to facilitate the conversion of developed home sites into leased sites through the sale of homes to future residents. An interruption or reduction in our supply of homes, or an increase in our acquisition costs for our inventory of homes, could negatively impact our sales efforts and have an adverse effect on our business.

We have a significant concentration of properties in Florida and Arizona, and natural disasters or other catastrophic events in these states could adversely affect the value of our properties and our cash flow.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-K

As of December 31, 2007, we owned 30 properties located in three states, including 19 properties located in Florida, 10 properties located in Arizona and 1 property in Alabama. The occurrence of a natural disaster or other catastrophic event in Florida, Arizona, or Alabama may cause a sudden decrease in the value of our properties. While we may obtain insurance policies providing certain coverage against damage from fire, flood, property damage, earthquake, wind storm and business interruption, these insurance policies contain coverage limits, limits on covered property and various deductible amounts that the Company must pay before insurance proceeds are available. Such insurance may therefore be insufficient to restore our economic position with respect to damage or destruction to our properties caused by such occurrences. Moreover, each of these coverages must be renewed every year and there is the possibility that all or some of the coverages may not be available at a reasonable cost. In addition, in the event of such natural disaster or other catastrophic event, the process of obtaining reimbursement for covered losses, including the lag between expenditures incurred by us and reimbursements received from the insurance providers, could adversely affect our economic performance. Further, the occurrence of a natural disaster may reduce demand for housing thereby limiting our ability to originate new leases.

Table of Contents

Our properties may be subject to environmental liabilities.

Various federal, state and local laws subject property owners or operators to liability for the costs of removal or rededication of hazardous substances released on a property. These laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the release of the hazardous substances. The presence of, or the failure to properly remediate, hazardous substances may adversely affect occupancy at contaminated residential land lease communities and our ability to sell, rent or borrow against contaminated properties. In addition to the costs associated with investigation and rededication actions brought by governmental agencies, the presence of hazardous wastes on a property could result in personal injury or similar claims by private plaintiffs.

Various laws also impose, on persons who arrange for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances, liability for the cost of removal or rededication of hazardous substances at the disposal or treatment facility. These laws often impose liability whether or not the person arranging for the disposal ever owned or operated the disposal facility.

Laws benefiting disabled persons may result in unanticipated expenses.

A number of federal, state and local laws exist to ensure that disabled persons have reasonable access to public buildings. For example, the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 requires that all places of public accommodation meet federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. Common areas on our properties that are used by our tenants on the property, such as clubhouses, may be subject to the Americans with Disabilities Act. These laws may require modifications to our properties or restrict renovations of our properties. Failure to comply with these laws could result in the imposition of fines, an award of damages to private litigants and/or an order to correct any non-complying feature, which could result in substantial capital expenditures. Although we believe that our properties are substantially in compliance with present requirements, incurrence of unanticipated expenses to comply with laws requiring equal access to the disabled may adversely affect our financial condition.

Our properties may become subject to rent control and other legislation affecting rents, which could decrease our revenues.

We may purchase residential land lease communities in markets that are either subject to rent control laws or in which such legislation may be enacted. Enactment of rent control laws has been considered from time to time in jurisdictions in which we operate. State and local laws might limit our ability to increase rents on some of our properties, and thereby, limit our ability to recover increases in operating expenses and the costs of capital improvements. Currently, no community in our portfolio is subject to legislated or regulatory rent control.

Our directors and executive officers have significant influence on us and may exert this influence to affect decisions made by the Company and its stockholders.

As of February 27, 2008, our executive officers and directors held in the aggregate approximately 19.1% of our common stock, excluding shares of common stock that are issuable upon exercise of stock options and upon redemption of units of the Operating Partnership. In addition, as of February 27, 2008, they could acquire an additional 5.4% of our common stock assuming that the outstanding OP Units held by our executive officers and directors, were not redeemed by the Operating Partnership, and the Company issued common stock in lieu of the cash redemption. Furthermore, as of February 27, 2008, they could acquire an additional 10.9% of our common stock, assuming all stock options they have been granted are exercised. Although there is no current agreement, understanding or arrangement for these stockholders to act together on any matter, if they were to act together in the future these stockholders could be in a position to exercise significant influence and control over any decisions made by the Company and stockholders, including the election of directors or potential changes of control or ownership contests.

Table of Contents

Our Board of Directors may unilaterally implement changes in our investment and financing policies that may affect the interests of our stockholders.

Our investment and financing policies, and our policies with respect to other activities, including growth, debt, capitalization, REIT status and operating policies, are determined by the Board of Directors. Although the Board of Directors has no present intention to do so, these policies may be amended or revised from time to time at the discretion of the Board of Directors without notice to stockholders or a vote of our stockholders. Accordingly, stockholders have no direct control over changes in our policies and changes in our policies may affect them.

The loss of key executive officers could have an adverse effect on us.

We are dependent on the efforts of our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Terry Considine, our President and Chief Operating Officer, Robert G. Blatz, and our Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, Shannon E. Smith. The loss of their services could have an adverse effect on our operations. We do not currently have employment agreements with, or maintain or contemplate obtaining any key man life insurance on, our executive officers.

Mr. Considine does not devote his full time to our business. He is the Chief Executive Officer of another public company, which is significantly larger than ours and has other business interests. Each of Mr. Blatz and Mr. Smith devotes substantially all of his time to our business.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT, we would be subject to tax at corporate rates and we would not be able to deduct distributions to our stockholders for tax purposes.

Adverse consequences of failure to qualify as a REIT. Although we believe that we operate in a manner that enables us to meet the requirements for qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, the rules regarding REIT qualification are highly technical and complex, and no assurance can be given that the Internal Revenue Service, or the IRS, will not challenge our qualification, or that we will be able to operate in accordance with the REIT requirements in the future.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT, we would not be allowed a deduction for distributions to stockholders in computing our taxable income and we would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates. Unless we were entitled to relief under the tax law, we could not elect to be taxed as a REIT for four years following the year during which we were disqualified. However, if we lose our REIT qualification, our net operating loss, the majority of which expires between 2008 and 2009, may be available to reduce the amount of income that would otherwise be taxable.

Possible legislative or other acts affecting REITs could have an adverse effect on us. The rules dealing with federal income taxation are constantly under review by persons involved in the legislative process and by the IRS and the U.S. Treasury Department. Changes to the tax law could adversely affect our investors. We cannot predict with certainty whether, when, in what forms, or with what effective dates, the tax laws applicable to us or our investors will be changed.

Even if we qualify as a REIT, other tax liabilities could negatively affect us, and we and our subsidiaries may be subject to federal, state and local income, property, payroll, excise and other taxes that could reduce operating cash flow.

If we experience an ownership change, our use of net operating losses would be limited. We have a net operating loss carryover at our parent REIT entity of approximately \$64.6 million, which is scheduled to expire between 2008 and 2009. Under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, if a corporation experiences an ownership change, the aggregate amount of net operating losses available to offset otherwise taxable income is generally limited each year to an amount equal to the value of the corporation's stock at the time of the ownership change.

Table of Contents

multiplied by the long-term tax-exempt rate. In general, an ownership change occurs if one or more large stockholders, including groups of stockholders in some cases, increase their aggregate percentage interest in us by more than 50 percentage points over a three-year period. It is possible that transactions over which we do not have control could cause an ownership change, and result in a limitation on our ability to utilize our net operating losses.

We are dependent on external sources of capital.

To qualify as a REIT, we must distribute to our stockholders each year at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (excluding any net capital gains). In addition, we may distribute all or substantially all of our taxable income so that we will generally not be subject to U.S. federal income tax on our earnings. Because of these distribution requirements, it is not likely that we will be able to fund all future capital needs, including capital for property development and acquisitions, from income from operations. We therefore will have to rely on third-party sources of debt and equity capital financing, which may or may not be available on favorable terms or at all. Our access to third party sources of capital depends on a number of things, including conditions in the capital markets generally and the market's perception of our growth potential and our current and potential future earnings. Moreover, additional equity offerings may result in substantial dilution of stockholders' interests, and additional debt financings may substantially increase our leverage.

Potential losses may be uninsured.

We maintain comprehensive liability, fire, terrorism, flood (where appropriate), extended coverage, and rental loss insurance with respect to the properties that we own with policy specifications, limits, and deductibles customarily carried for similar properties. Some types of losses, however, may be either uninsurable or not economically insurable, such as losses due to earthquakes, hurricanes, floods (in some circumstances), riots, acts of war or terrorism. In particular, because many of our properties are located in Florida, we and our tenants may be more susceptible to losses due to hurricanes and floods. We do not insure our residents' homes. In the event that a community is subject to a casualty that results in our residents' homes being destroyed, insurance proceeds to our residents (if any) may not be sufficient to replace or repair their homes. Additionally, in such event, our business interruption insurance may not be sufficient to replace the rental income lost from the termination of, or default under, residents' leases until such time as we are able to originate new ground leases through our home sales operation.

An increase in prevailing interest rates, a decrease in our annual distributions, or an increase in dividends on comparable REIT securities could adversely affect the market price of our common and preferred stock.

An increase in prevailing interest rates, a modification or elimination of our distributions or an increase in distributions on comparable REIT securities could adversely affect the market price of our common and preferred stock.

The price of our common and preferred stock may be volatile.

The trading price of our common and preferred stock may fluctuate widely as a result of a number of factors, many of which are outside our control. In addition, the stock market has experienced, from time to time, price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market prices of many companies. Such broad market fluctuations could adversely affect the market price of our common and preferred stock. A significant decline in our common and preferred stock prices could result in substantial losses for individual stockholders and could lead to costly and disruptive securities litigation.

Table of Contents**Changes in Accounting Standards Could Adversely Affect Our Reported Financial Results.**

The bodies that set accounting standards for public companies, including the Financial Accounting Standards Board, the Securities and Exchange Commission and others, periodically change or revise existing interpretations of the accounting and reporting standards that govern the way that we report our financial condition and results of operations. These changes can be difficult to predict and can materially impact our reported financial results. In some cases, we could be required to apply a new or a revised accounting standard, or a revised interpretation of an accounting standard, retroactively, which could have a negative impact on reported results or result in the restatement of our financial statements for prior periods.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. Properties.

The residential land lease communities in which we have interests are primarily located in Florida and Arizona and are concentrated in or around five metropolitan areas: Tampa, West Palm Beach, Fort Myers and Orlando, Florida and Phoenix, Arizona. We hold interests in each of these communities as owner in fee simple. The following table sets forth the states in which the communities we held an interest on December 31, 2007 are located:

| | Number of Communities | Operational Home Sites | Number of Sites | | Recreational Vehicle Sites |
|---------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | Developed Home Sites | Undeveloped Home Sites | |
| Florida | 19 | 5,885 | 848 | 931 | |
| Arizona | 10 | 1,997 | 459 | | 129 |
| Alabama | 1 | 102 | 63 | 260 | |
| Total | 30 | 7,984 | 1,370 | 1,191 | 129 |

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information as of December 31, 2007 regarding each residential land lease community in which we held an interest.

| Community | Location | Operational Home Sites(1) | Occupancy | Average Monthly Rent | Developed | | RV Sites | Year(s) First Developed |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| | | | | | Home Sites | Undeveloped Home Sites | | |
| Owned Communities | | | | | | | | |
| Blue Heron Pines | Punta Gorda, FL | 345 | 100% | \$ 351 | 44 | | | 1983/1999 |
| Brentwood Estates | Hudson, FL | 143 | 98% | 280 | 48 | | | 1984 |
| Cypress Greens | Lakeland, FL | 230 | 100% | 267 | 28 | | | 1986 |
| Forest View | Homosassa, FL | 273 | 100% | 328 | 31 | | | 1987/1997 |
| Gulfstream Harbor | Orlando, FL | 382 | 98% | 427 | | 50 | | 1980 |
| Gulfstream Harbor II | Orlando, FL | 306 | 100% | 429 | 1 | 37 | | 1988 |
| Gulfstream Harbor III. | Orlando, FL | 176 | 97% | 398 | 108 | | | 1984 |
| Lakeshore Villas | Tampa, FL | 281 | 96% | 437 | | | | 1972 |
| Park Place | Sebastian, FL | 374 | 100% | 336 | 93 | | | 1994/2003/2005 |
| Park Royale | Pinellas Park, FL | 297 | 93% | 441 | 12 | | | 1971 |
| Pleasant Living | Riverview, FL | 245 | 95% | 387 | | | | 1979 |
| Riverside GCC | Ruskin, FL | 472 | 100% | 535 | 158 | 311 | | 1981/2002 |
| Royal Palm Village | Haines City, FL | 288 | 96% | 359 | 99 | | | 1971 |
| Savanna Club | Port St Lucie, FL | 1,003 | 100% | 301 | 64 | | | 1999/2001 |
| Sebastian Beach | Grant-Valkaria, FL | | 0% | | | 533 | | NA(2) |
| Serendipity | Ft. Myers, FL | 338 | 96% | 365 | | | | 1971/1974 |
| Stonebrook | Homosassa, FL | 198 | 100% | 314 | 3 | | | 1987/1997 |
| Sunlake Estates | Grand Island, FL | 366 | 100% | 370 | 35 | | | 1980 |
| Woodlands | Groveland, FL | 168 | 99% | 289 | 124 | | | 1979 |
| | Sub-total Florida | 5,885 | 99% | 370 | 848 | 931 | | |
| Blue Star | Apache Junction, AZ | 22 | 50% | 320 | | | 129 | 1955 |
| Brentwood West | Mesa, AZ | 350 | 94% | 471 | | | | 1972/1987 |
| The Villages | Mesa, AZ | | 0% | | 375 | | | NA(3) |
| Desert Harbor | Apache Junction, AZ | 205 | 100% | 376 | | | | 1997 |
| Fiesta Village | Mesa, AZ | 172 | 86% | 402 | | | | 1962 |
| La Casa Blanca | Apache Junction, AZ | 197 | 100% | 400 | | | | 1993 |
| Lost Dutchman | Apache Junction, AZ | 215 | 77% | 320 | 27 | | | 1971/1979/1999 |
| Rancho Mirage | Apache Junction, AZ | 312 | 96% | 435 | | | | 1994 |
| The Reserve at Fox Creek | Bullhead City, AZ | 256 | 100% | 331 | 57 | | | 2000/2004 |
| Sun Valley | Apache Junction, AZ | 268 | 91% | 364 | | | | 1984 |
| | Sub-total Arizona | 1,997 | 93% | 394 | 459 | | 129 | |
| The Grove | Foley, AL | 102 | 100% | 291 | 63 | 260 | | 1998 |
| Total Communities | 30 | 7,984 | 97% | \$ 374 | 1,370 | 1,191 | 129 | |

(1) We define operational home sites as those sites within our portfolio that have been leased to a resident during our ownership of the community. Since our portfolio contains a large inventory of developed home sites that have not been occupied during our ownership, we have expressed occupancy as the number of occupied sites as a percentage of operational home sites. We believe this measure most accurately describes the performance of an individual property relative to prior periods and other properties within our portfolio. Occupancy figures in the above table are based on operational home sites. The occupancy of all developed sites was 82.8% across the entire portfolio at December 31, 2007. Including sites not yet developed, occupancy was 73.5% at December 31, 2007.

(2) Development of property began in 2005. At December 31, 2007 the property is still under development.

(3) This property was first developed in 1970. At December 31, 2007 it is under redevelopment.

At December 31, 2007, these properties contain, on average, 356 sites, with the largest property containing 1,067 home sites. These properties offer residents a range of amenities, including swimming pools, clubhouses, marinas, golf courses and tennis courts.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-K

At December 31, 2007, 24 of these properties were encumbered by long-term fixed rate mortgage indebtedness totaling \$240.0 million. These mortgaged properties represent approximately 83.5% of our total home sites. The 24 properties securing our mortgage indebtedness have a combined net book value of approximately \$333.4 million, and the indebtedness has a weighted average effective interest rate of 6.4% and a weighted average maturity of 8.82 years. In addition, one of these properties secures a construction loan totaling \$11.4 million. This property represents 5.1% of our total home sites. The property securing this variable rate mortgage indebtedness has a net book value of \$36.0 million, and the indebtedness bears interest at a variable rate of 175 basis points over the three-month LIBOR (7.0% at December 31, 2007). As of December 31, 2007,

Table of Contents

97.6% of our outstanding debt secured by properties was long-term (maturities over one year) and 2.4% was short-term (maturities less than one year).

At December 31, 2007, five of these properties were encumbered by our line of credit. These properties represent approximately 8.9% of our total home sites and have a combined net book value of approximately \$43.3 million, and the indebtedness bears interest at a variable rate ranging from 150 to 175 points over the one-month LIBOR (6.6% at December 31, 2007).

See the consolidated financial statements, including their notes, in Item 8 of this Annual Report for additional information about our indebtedness.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

We are party to various legal actions resulting from our operating activities. These actions are routine litigation and administrative proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, some of which are covered by liability insurance, and none of which are expected to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations taken as a whole.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

None.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.**

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE") under the symbol "ANL". The following table sets forth the quarterly high and low sales prices of ANL's common stock, as reported on the NYSE, and the dividends paid by us for the periods indicated:

| | High | Low | Dividends |
|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|
| 2007 | | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 28.75 | \$ 24.02 | \$.25 |
| Second Quarter | 26.14 | 23.42 | .25 |
| Third Quarter | 25.46 | 19.00 | .25 |
| Fourth Quarter | 22.90 | 19.83 | .25 |
| 2006 | | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 28.54 | \$ 23.76 | \$.25 |
| Second Quarter | 27.58 | 23.01 | .25 |
| Third Quarter | 24.90 | 21.65 | .25 |
| Fourth Quarter | 26.75 | 23.24 | .25 |

On February 27, 2008 we had approximately 7,866,000 shares of common stock outstanding, held by approximately 1,200 stockholders of record, and the Operating Partnership had approximately 993,000 OP Units outstanding, of which 100% had been held for more than one year and were eligible for redemption.

As a REIT, we are required to distribute annually to holders of common stock at least 90% of our real estate investment trust taxable income, which, as defined by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and Treasury regulations, is generally equivalent to net taxable ordinary income. However, subject to certain limitations, our NOL may be used to offset all or a portion of our REIT taxable income, which may allow us to reduce or eliminate our dividends paid and still maintain our REIT status.

One of the measures we use to analyze our economic profitability is FFO, less capital replacements during the relevant period. The future payment of dividends by us will be at the discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend on numerous factors, including our financial condition, our capital requirements, the annual distribution requirements applicable to REITs, and such other factors as the Board of Directors deems relevant. During 2007, payments of dividends exceed this measure of profitability.

From time to time, we may issue shares of common stock in exchange for OP Units tendered to the Operating Partnership for redemption in accordance with the terms and provisions of the limited partnership agreement of the Operating Partnership. Such shares are issued based on an exchange ratio of one share for each OP Unit. The shares are issued in exchange for OP Units in private transactions exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to Section 4(2) thereof. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we did not exchange any shares of common stock for OP Units.

Table of Contents

On October 17, 2000, the Board of Directors authorized the Company to repurchase up to 2,000,000 shares of common stock. The Company has repurchased 206,000, 0 and 0 shares in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The Company has repurchased 783,000 shares as of December 31, 2007 under this authorization. At December 31, 2007, 1,217,000 remains available for the purchase of common stock under the current Board authorizations. The following table presents repurchases by the Company of our common stock during the fourth quarter of 2007:

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plan |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| October 1 through October 31, 2007 | | | | |
| November 1 through November 30, 2007 | 16,000 | \$ 20.75 | 783,000 | 1,217,000 |
| December 1 through December 31, 2007 | | | 783,000 | 1,217,000 |
| Total | 16,000 | \$ 20.75 | 783,000 | 1,217,000 |

Additionally, from time to time the Board of Directors has evaluated and will continue to evaluate various alternatives for the use of cash generated from operations, dispositions or extraordinary events. Among other things, the Board of Directors has evaluated and may continue to evaluate using such cash for acquisitions, major capital improvements to existing properties, special cash dividends, market or private repurchases of our common stock pursuant to the authorization noted above, a self-tender for our common stock, a reverse stock split or other uses. There is no assurance that the Company will take any one or more of the foregoing actions.

NYSE and Sarbanes-Oxley Act Certifications

The most recent certifications by our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 are filed as exhibits to this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Additionally, we submitted our 2007 Domestic Company Section 303A Annual CEO Certification to the New York Stock Exchange on May 31, 2007.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data.**

The following selected financial data for the Company is based on audited historical consolidated financial statements. This information should be read in conjunction with such consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto, and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included herein or in previous filings with the SEC.

Selected Financial Data (in thousands, except per share data):

| | For the fiscal year ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
| RENTAL PROPERTY OPERATIONS | | | | | |
| Income from rental property operations | \$ 19,729 | \$ 17,478 | \$ 15,227 | \$ 13,807 | \$ 12,330 |
| HOME SALES OPERATIONS | | | | | |
| (Loss) income from home sales operations | (1,265) | 5,387 | 5,640 | 3,820 | 3,324 |
| OTHER OPERATIONS | | | | | |
| General and administrative expenses | (4,292) | (3,995) | (3,353) | (3,995) | (2,722) |
| Interest and other income | 207 | 293 | 23 | 365 | 521 |
| Gain on sale of real estate | | | | 101 | |
| Gain on sale of unconsolidated real estate partnerships | | | | | 971 |
| Casualty gain | | | 237 | 337 | |
| Interest expense | (8,699) | (7,378) | (5,258) | (5,112) | (4,723) |
| Equity in income of unconsolidated entities | | | | | 37 |
| INCOME BEFORE TAXES AND MINORITY INTEREST IN OPERATING PARTNERSHIP AND DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS | | | | | |
| Income tax benefit | 5,680 | 11,785 | 12,516 | 9,323 | 9,738 |
| | | | 600 | | |
| INCOME BEFORE MINORITY INTEREST IN OPERATING PARTNERSHIP AND DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS | | | | | |
| Minority interest in Operating Partnership | (651) | (1,380) | (1,562) | (1,173) | (1,210) |
| INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS | | | | | |
| | 5,029 | 10,405 | 11,554 | 8,150 | 8,528 |
| DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS | | | | | |
| Discontinued operations | 270 | 615 | 611 | 652 | 520 |
| Depreciation on discontinued operations | (64) | (165) | (161) | (163) | (163) |
| Gain (loss) on disposition of discontinued real estate | 10,302 | 1,006 | | 43 | (83) |
| Minority interest (expense) benefit in Operating Partnership attributable to discontinued operations | (1,181) | (170) | (54) | (8) | 16 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 9,327 | 1,286 | 396 | 524 | 290 |
| NET INCOME | | | | | |
| Cumulative preferred stock dividends | (1,938) | (1,938) | (1,647) | | |
| | 14,356 | 11,691 | 11,950 | 8,674 | 8,818 |
| NET INCOME AVAILABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS | | | | | |
| | \$ 12,418 | \$ 9,753 | \$ 10,303 | \$ 8,674 | \$ 8,818 |
| Basic earnings per share from continuing operations (net of preferred stock dividends) | | | | | |
| Basic earnings from discontinued operations | \$ 0.40 | \$ 1.13 | \$ 1.37 | \$ 1.16 | \$ 1.24 |
| | 1.22 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.04 |
| Basic earnings per common share | | | | | |
| | \$ 1.62 | \$ 1.30 | \$ 1.42 | \$ 1.24 | \$ 1.28 |
| Diluted earnings per share from continuing operations (net of preferred stock dividends) | | | | | |
| Diluted earnings from discontinued operations | \$ 0.39 | \$ 1.08 | \$ 1.30 | \$ 1.12 | \$ 1.20 |
| | 1.18 | 0.16 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.04 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 1.57 | \$ 1.24 | \$ 1.35 | \$ 1.19 | \$ 1.24 |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.00 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 7,661 | 7,487 | 7,263 | 7,013 | 6,877 |
| Weighted average common shares and common share equivalents outstanding | 7,923 | 7,883 | 7,648 | 7,293 | 7,093 |

Table of Contents

| | For the fiscal year ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
| BALANCE SHEET DATA | | | | | |
| Real estate, before accumulated depreciation | \$ 431,359 | \$ 412,074 | \$ 323,814 | \$ 271,671 | \$ 246,585 |
| Real estate, net of accumulated depreciation | 399,517 | 383,006 | 297,800 | 248,868 | 226,463 |
| Total assets | 436,533 | 422,055 | 329,689 | 275,956 | 247,096 |
| Secured notes payable | 239,970 | 235,567 | 151,656 | 127,019 | 116,827 |
| Secured credit facilities | 30,932 | 20,059 | 19,669 | 24,644 | 10,659 |
| Minority interest in Operating Partnership | 17,339 | 16,502 | 15,945 | 14,746 | 14,014 |
| Stockholders' equity | 139,004 | 136,711 | 129,909 | 99,433 | 94,801 |
| CASH FLOW DATA | | | | | |
| Net cash flow from: | | | | | |
| Operating activities | \$ 12,522 | \$ 12,919 | \$ 20,712 | \$ 8,432 | \$ 13,246 |
| Investing activities | (11,892) | (87,904) | (53,144) | (25,037) | (18,487) |
| Financing activities | (342) | 73,443 | 33,407 | 15,361 | 6,082 |
| OTHER DATA | | | | | |
| Portfolio components: | | | | | |
| Operational home sites - continuing operations | 7,984 | 7,783 | 6,932 | 6,580 | 6,212 |
| Operational home sites - discontinued operations | | 261 | 351 | 351 | 367 |
| Developed home sites | 1,370 | 1,192 | 976 | 1,101 | 979 |
| Undeveloped home sites | 1,191 | 1,566 | 1,270 | 960 | 1,437 |
| Total | 10,545 | 10,802 | 9,529 | 8,992 | 8,995 |

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Executive Overview

We are in the business of owning and leasing residential land. Our current business focuses on the ownership of land and generation of these leases primarily within adult or retirement (55+) communities (95% of our total home sites at December 31, 2007); we focus on these communities for the following reasons:

Current demographic projections predict that the customer base for this asset class will grow for the next 20+ years.

The residents have established credit histories and therefore are able to obtain favorable financing or pay cash for their home making significant equity investments to improve the leasehold estate that effectively secures our lease.

The residents, as a result of their retired or semi-retired status, are less affected by current economic changes thereby making their continued rental payments more stable and the continued sales of homes in our communities more consistent year to year.

The Company is able to leverage its current marketing, brand, and management expertise. We seek growth through home sales to fill unoccupied home sites in current subdivisions, development of our land portfolio to increase the inventory of available home sites, and the selective acquisition of communities and development opportunities.

This business model presents a number of challenges and risks for the Company's management. Several of these risks are:

Community operations require management of expenses throughout the year while revenue increases are established on an annual basis and in some instances, are fixed at lease origination.

The continued development of additional home sites is a capital-intensive activity that requires substantial investments to be made in advance of returns.

Older homes may depreciate or become obsolete, thereby reducing the value of the property that effectively secures our lease.

Changes in the interest rate environment may have an adverse impact on our new home buyers' ability to realize sufficient proceeds from the sale of their present homes or finance new purchases, thereby limiting their financial ability to acquire new homes in our communities.

The cost of developing additional home sites and communities may increase at a rate or to a level that may exceed the costs projected at the point of the initial investment by the Company, and increases in rental rates may not be sufficient to offset the increased development costs.

Based upon the above and other factors, the rate of sales of new homes may be substantially slower than projected at the point of the initial investment by the Company, resulting in returns on investment materially different from original projections. There are additional challenges that might occur as a result of natural disasters such as hurricanes:

Our residents' homes may have damage that exceeds the insurance proceeds available under their homeowner's policies, thereby limiting residents' ability to restore their home to its pre-hurricane condition. In some instances, this may result in a loss of occupancy for an unknown period of time. This occupancy loss may be insured under the Company's business interruption policies.

The extent of claims made against properties in our asset class may continue to have a material impact on the cost of insurance for both the Company and our residents, thereby increasing the Company's operating costs at a rate in excess of rental rate increases and limiting our residents' ability to reinvest in their homes at the same rate enjoyed before the natural disaster.

Table of Contents

The severity and number of natural disasters that impact Florida may result in a slowing rate of new customers to buy homes on our expansion sites, thereby reducing the rate of absorption and lowering the Company's return on investment.

The costs of hurricane clean-up and repair may not be fully covered by insurance policies, resulting in higher than projected capital spending.

Hurricanes may significantly disrupt our sales and marketing activities, thereby reducing home sales and revenue growth from new home site rentals during the impacted fiscal periods.

During 2007, our ability to fill unoccupied sites in our land lease communities with new homes was significantly impacted by the U.S. housing market.

We believe this slowdown is attributable to a decline in consumer confidence, an overall softening of demand for new homes, an oversupply of homes available for sale, the inability of some of our home buyers to sell their current home and the direct and indirect impact of the turmoil in the mortgage loan market. Industry conditions deteriorated significantly during the year. We attribute the reduction in new home sales demand to concerns on the part of prospective home buyers about the direction of home prices and concerns by prospective home buyers about being able to sell their existing homes. The potential of mortgage foreclosures, price reductions and increased sales incentives advertised by homebuilders have contributed to home buyers' concerns and provide impediments to our new home sales process. The result has been weakened demand for new homes, slower sales, lower prices for homes at time of resale, higher cancellation rates, and increased price discounts and other sales incentives to attract homebuyers. The weakened demand for new homes has negatively impacted our profitability, cash flow, liquidity and returns on newly leased sites.

We continue to operate our business with the expectation that difficult market conditions will continue to impact us for at least the near term. We expect these trends in our new home sales activities to continue and the majority of the markets we serve to remain challenging throughout 2008.

In addition to the risks noted above, we have additional business risks which are noted in Item 1A on page 13 of this Annual Report.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), which require us to make estimates and assumptions. We believe that of our significant accounting policies (see Note B to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 of this Annual Report), the following may involve a higher degree of judgment and complexity.

Capitalized Costs

We capitalize direct and indirect costs (including interest, real estate taxes, and other costs) in connection with initial capital expenditures, capital enhancements, and capital replacements, as well as similar spending for development and redevelopment of our properties. Indirect costs that are not capitalized, including general and administrative expenses, are charged to expense as incurred. The amounts capitalized vary with the volume, cost and timing of these activities and, especially, with the pace of development and redevelopment activities. As a result, changes in the volume, cost and timing of these activities may have a significant impact on our financial results.

The most significant capitalized cost is interest. We capitalize interest when the following three conditions are present: (i) expenditures for the asset have been made, (ii) activities necessary to prepare the asset for its intended use are in progress and (iii) interest cost is being incurred. Our determination of the activities in progress for a development property is subject to professional judgment. The most significant judgment is the

Table of Contents

determination to capitalize interest relating to the ownership of land being developed as new home sites. In many cases, the development of such land is expected to take place over several years and in multiple phases. In such instances, it is our conclusion that the entirety of each parcel is under development and is a qualifying asset. Accordingly, interest is capitalized with respect to the entire parcel until such time as all development activities cease or the individual home site is ready for its intended use. During 2007, 2006, and 2005, we capitalized interest of \$8,992,000, \$7,620,000, and \$5,554,000, respectively. We regularly review the amount of capitalized costs in conjunction with our review of impairment of long-lived assets. Based on the level of development activity in 2007, if our development activities decreased such that 10% of our assets qualifying for capitalization of interest are no longer qualified, the amount of capitalized interest would have been reduced by \$899,000. Reducing capitalized interest would increase interest expense, resulting in lower net income, which would be offset in future periods by lower depreciation expense.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Real estate and other long-lived assets are recorded at cost, less accumulated depreciation, unless they are considered impaired. If events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a property may be impaired, we will make an assessment of its recoverability by estimating the undiscounted future cash flows, excluding interest charges, of the property. In the event the property is under development, the estimate of future cash flows includes all future expenditures necessary to develop the property. If the carrying amount exceeds the aggregate future cash flows, we would recognize an impairment loss to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the fair market value of the property.

Real property investments are subject to varying degrees of risk. Several factors may adversely affect the economic performance and value of our real estate investments. These factors include changes in the national, regional and local economic climates; local conditions, such as an oversupply of residential land lease properties or a reduction in the demand for our residential land lease properties; competition from other housing sources, including single and multifamily properties; and changes in market rental rates. Additional factors that may adversely affect the economic performance and value of our development properties include regulatory changes that impact the number of home sites that can be built on our undeveloped land, changes in projected costs to construct new subdivisions in our communities and regulatory changes made by local, regional, state or national authorities. Any adverse changes in these factors could cause impairment in our real estate.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The aggregate fair value of our cash and cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable, accrued liabilities and short-term secured debt as of December 31, 2007 approximates their carrying value due to their relatively short-term nature. Management further believes that the fair value of our variable rate secured notes payable approximates carrying value. For the fixed rate secured notes payable, fair values have been based upon estimates using present value techniques. These techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. In that regard, the derived fair value estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent market quotes and, in many cases, may not be realized in immediate settlement of the instrument. The estimated fair value of the Company's secured notes payable was \$243,747,000 and \$243,114,000 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, as compared to the carrying value of \$239,970,000 and \$235,567,000 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Rental and Personal Property Depreciation

Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over an estimated useful life of 5 to 75 years for land improvements, 5 to 50 years for buildings and 5 to 15 years for furniture and other equipment, all of which are judgmental determinations. These determinations may prove to be different than the actual life of any individual asset.

Table of Contents

Inventory

Carrying amounts for inventory are determined on a specific identification basis and are stated at the lower of cost or market. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, if customer preferences change, or if material improvements are made by suppliers that are preferred by our customers compared to inventory we own, inventory write-downs may be required. Any such write-downs may have a significant impact on our financial results. On a quarterly basis, we review each home in inventory that is older than one year and evaluate our carrying amount versus recent offers, comparable sales, and our asking price in order to derive an estimate of its market value. In the event that the carrying amount exceeds our estimate of market value, less a normalized margin, we record a write-down of the carrying amount as a charge to the cost of home sales in the current period. As of December 31, 2007, approximately \$9,453,000 of our total inventory of \$20,084,000 was older than one year. For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 we recorded charges of \$414,000, \$407,000 and \$396,000, respectively, to adjust inventory carrying amounts to market value. If the Company's estimate of fair market value was overstated by 10%, the Company would record an additional write down to fair market value, less a normalized margin, of \$190,000 based upon the carrying value of inventory as of December 31, 2007.

Stock-based Compensation

Stock-based compensation expense is recorded at the fair value of awards at the date of issuance over the requisite service period. The determination of fair value of market-based restricted stock and stock options requires the application of complex financial models and assumptions. The fair value assigned to awards at the date of issuance determines the amount of compensation expense that will be recognized over the vesting period for the award. There are alternative valuation models that may result in a valuation for awards that differs from our assessment of fair value. The application of alternative models or different valuation assumptions within the models we use will result in a fair value that is greater than or less than the fair value we assign to awards. To the extent that the alternative fair value is greater than the fair value we assign to awards, compensation expense over the vesting term of the award would increase resulting in lower net income. In 2007, we changed our valuation model for our market-based restricted stock from a barrier option model to a Monte Carlo model. We reviewed various models in 2007 and determined that the output derived from the Monte Carlo model is more reflective of the economic substance of our market-based restricted stock awards. The change in estimate of fair value of the market-based awards resulting from this change in valuation models did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2007. In addition, management must estimate the number of shares and options that will be forfeited by employees before the vesting requirements are met. We base our estimate of forfeitures on the historical forfeiture rate realized on prior awards. We revise our estimate on an ongoing basis to reflect actual forfeitures.

Legal Contingencies

We are currently involved in certain legal proceedings. We do not believe these proceedings will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position. It is possible, however, that future results of operations for any particular quarterly or annual period could be materially affected by changes in assumptions and the effectiveness of strategies related to these proceedings. The amount of loss contingencies involving litigation, for which a loss is probable and can be reasonably estimated, is determined through consultation with legal counsel representing the Company. Our evaluation of loss contingencies arising from litigation, claims and assessments, considers unasserted claims and associated estimates of loss, if any, are provided to the extent probable and reasonably estimable. See Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

On January 1, 2007, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an Interpretation of SFAS 109* (FIN 48). FIN 48 provides interpretative guidance for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or

Table of Contents

expected to be taken in a tax return. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2007.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure financial assets and liabilities (except for those that are specifically scoped out of the statement) at fair value. The election to measure a financial asset or liability at fair value can be made on an instrument-by-instrument basis and is irrevocable. The difference between carrying value and fair value at the election date is recorded as a transition adjustment to opening retained earnings. Subsequent changes in fair value are recognized in earnings. The effective date for SFAS 159 is as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. The Company is evaluating SFAS 159 and has not yet determined the impact the adoption will have on the consolidated financial statements, but it is not expected to be material.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurement* (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is evaluating SFAS 157 and has not yet determined the impact the adoption will have on the consolidated financial statements, but it is not expected to be material.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets*, which was effective for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007. This statement amends SFAS 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, a replacement of FASB Statement 125* (SFAS 140), regarding (1) the circumstances under which a servicing asset or servicing liability must be recognized, (2) the initial and subsequent measurement of recognized servicing assets and liabilities, and (3) information required to be disclosed relating to servicing assets and liabilities. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments* (SFAS 155), which was effective for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007. This statement amends SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, to narrow the scope exception for interest-only and principal-only strips on debt instruments to include only such strips representing rights to receive a specified portion of the contractual interest or principal cash flows. SFAS 155 also amends SFAS 140 to allow qualifying special-purpose entities to hold a passive derivative financial instrument pertaining to beneficial interests that itself is a derivative financial instrument. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160, *Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statement*, (SFAS 160) an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51. The Statement seeks to improve uniformity and transparency in reporting of the net income attributable to non-controlling interests in the consolidated financial statements of the reporting entity. The statement requires, among other provisions, the disclosure, clear labeling and presentation of non-controlling interests in the Consolidated Balance Sheet and Consolidated Income Statement. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, early adoption is prohibited. The Company is evaluating SFAS 160 and has not yet determined the impact the adoption will have on the consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 141R, *Business Combinations* (SFAS 141(R)). SFAS 141(R) replaces SFAS 141 but retains the fundamental requirements in SFAS 141 that the acquisition method of accounting (also known as the purchase method) be used for all business combinations and for an acquirer to be identified for each business combination. SFAS 141(R) also establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer: (a) recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any non-controlling interest in the

Table of Contents

acquired entity; (b) improves the completeness of the information reported about a business combination by changing the requirements for recognizing assets acquired and liabilities assumed arising from contingencies; (c) recognizes and measures the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase; and (d) determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141(R) replaces, with limited exceptions as specified in the Statement, the cost allocation process in SFAS 141(R) with a fair value based allocation process. SFAS 141(R) is required to be adopted concurrently with SFAS 160 and is effective for business combination transactions for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. Early adoption is prohibited. The Company is evaluating SFAS 141(R) and has not yet determined the impact the adoption will have on the consolidated financial statements.

Portfolio Summary

| | Operational Home sites | Developed Home sites | Undeveloped Home sites | RV sites | Total |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| As of December 31, 2006 | 8,044 | 1,192 | 1,566 | 129 | 10,931 |
| Properties developed | | 375 | (375) | | |
| New lots purchased / (sold) | (261) | | | | (261) |
| New leases originated | 199 | (199) | | | |
| Adjust for site plan changes | 2 | 2 | | | 4 |
| As of December 31, 2007 | 7,984(1) | 1,370 | 1,191 | 129 | 10,674 |

(1) As of December 31, 2007, 7,748 of these operational home sites were occupied.

Occupancy Roll Forward

| | Occupied Home sites | Operational Home sites | Occupancy |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| As of December 31, 2006 | 7,833 | 8,044 | 97.4% |
| New home sales | 209 | 198 | |
| Used home sales | 10 | 2 | |
| Used homes acquired through tenant eviction or tenant transferring title to ANL | (25) | | |
| Lots acquired / (sold) | (255) | (261) | |
| Homes constructed by others | 5 | 1 | |
| Homes removed from previously leased sites by tenants | (18) | | |
| Homes removed from previously leased sites to facilitate redevelopment | (11) | | |
| As of December 31, 2007 | 7,748 | 7,984 | 97.0% |

Table of Contents

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE
YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007, 2006 AND 2005**

The following discussion and analysis of the consolidated results of our operations and financial condition should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report.

Comparison of 2007 to 2006

Net Income

We recognized net income attributable to common stockholders of \$12,418,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to net income attributable to common stockholders of \$9,753,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006. The following paragraphs discuss the results of operations in detail.

Rental Property Operations

Rental and other property revenues from our owned properties totaled \$37,587,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$33,756,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006, an increase of \$3,831,000, or 11.3%. The increase in property operating revenues was a result of:

\$1,733,000 increase in revenues from communities acquired in 2006,

\$1,890,000 increase in base rental income driven by increases in rental rates and the origination of leases of home sites at our development properties,

\$143,000 increase in the pass on of utility expenses to tenants correlated with the related expenses,

\$26,000 increase in the collection of late fees, net of amounts written off as uncollectible,

\$23,000 increase in rental income from recreational vehicle sites, and a

\$16,000 increase in other property income.

Golf course operating revenues totaled \$1,064,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$1,086,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006, a decrease of \$22,000, or 2.0%. Golf revenues decreased at all three communities that have adjacent golf courses.

Property operating expenses for our owned properties totaled \$12,596,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$11,769,000 for the same period in 2006, an increase of \$827,000, or 7.0%. Our property operating expenses as a percent of revenues were 33.5% for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to 34.9% for the same period in 2006, a decrease of 1.4%. The increase in property operating expenses was a result of:

\$401,000 increase as a result of newly acquired communities

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-K

\$284,000 increase in utility expense partially correlated with an increase in billings to tenants reported as rental and other property revenues,

\$102,000 increase in insurance expense,

\$79,000 increase in property operating overhead

\$79,000 increase in maintenance and repairs, and a

\$57,000 increase in salaries, wages and benefits, all partially offset by a

\$81,000 decrease in property taxes,

\$67,000 decrease in tenant-related legal costs, and a

\$27,000 decrease in other property operating expenses.

Table of Contents

Golf course operating expenses totaled \$1,369,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$1,347,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006, an increase of \$22,000, or 1.6%. Our golf course operating expense as a percent of revenue were 128.7% for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to 124.0% for the same period in 2006, an increase of 4.7%.

Depreciation expense was \$4,957,000 during the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$4,248,000 during the same period in 2006. The increase was due to an increase in depreciable property attributable to the continued development of previously undeveloped home sites and the acquisition of three communities in 2006.

Same store property revenues for the year ended December 31, 2007 increased by 6.6% from the year ended December 31, 2006, consisting of a 3.3% increase from same site rental revenues, a 3.4% increase from absorption revenues and a (0.1%) decrease from golf revenues. Expenses related to those revenues increased 3.4% over that same period, consisting of a 1.6% increase in same site rental expenses, a 1.6% increase in absorption expenses, and a 0.2% increase in golf expenses. Same store property net operating income increased 8.2% for the year ended December 31, 2007 consisting of 4.2% increase in same site net operating income, a 4.3% increase in net operating income from newly leased sites and a (0.2%) decrease in net operating income from golf operations. Our same store base included 91.1% of our property operating revenues for year ended December 31, 2007.

The Company believes that the same store information provides the users of these financial statements with a comparison of the profitability for properties owned during both reporting periods that cannot be obtained from a review of the consolidated income statement. This comparison can be useful to an understanding of the parts in addition to an understanding of the whole. A reconciliation of the same store operating results used in the above calculation to total operating revenues and total expenses for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, determined in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, is reflected in the table on the following page (in thousands):

| | | Year Ended December 31, 2007 | Year Ended December 31, 2006 | Change | % Change | Contribution to Same Store % Change(1) |
|---|-----|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|----------|--|
| Same site rental revenues | C | \$ 32,367 | \$ 31,277 | \$ 1,090 | 3.5% | 3.3% |
| Absorption rental revenues | | 1,763 | 645 | 1,118 | 173.3% | 3.4% |
| Same store golf revenues | | 1,064 | 1,086 | (22) | (2.0%) | (0.1%) |
| Same store revenues | A | 35,194 | 33,008 | 2,186 | 6.6% | 6.6% |
| Re-development newly acquired property revenues | | 3,599 | 1,834 | 1,765 | 96.2% | |
| Intercompany revenues | | (142) | | (142) | (100.0%) | |
| Total property revenues | E | \$ 38,651 | \$ 34,842 | \$ 3,809 | 10.9% | |
| Same site property expense | D | \$ 9,558 | \$ 9,388 | \$ 170 | 1.8% | 1.6% |
| Absorption rental expense | | 170 | | 170 | 100.0% | 1.6% |
| Same store golf expenses | | 1,369 | 1,347 | 22 | 1.6% | 0.2% |
| Same store expenses | B | 11,097 | 10,735 | 362 | 3.4% | 3.4% |
| Newly acquired property expenses | | 1,025 | 617 | 408 | 66.1% | |
| Expenses related to offsite management(2) | | 1,843 | 1,764 | 79 | 4.5% | |
| Total property operating expenses | F | \$ 13,965 | \$ 13,116 | \$ 849 | 6.5% | |
| Same store net operating income | A-B | \$ 24,097 | \$ 22,273 | \$ 1,824 | 8.2% | |
| Same site net operating income | C-D | \$ 22,809 | \$ 21,889 | \$ 920 | 4.2% | |
| Total net operating income | E-F | \$ 24,686 | \$ 21,726 | \$ 2,960 | 13.6% | |

Table of Contents

(1) Contribution to Same Store % Change is computed as the change in the individual component of same store revenue or expense divided by the total applicable same store base (revenue or expense) for the 2006 period. For example, the change in same site rental revenues of \$1,090 as compared to the total same store revenues in 2006 of \$33,008 is a 3.3% increase ($\$1,090/\$33,008=3.3\%$).

(2) Expenses related to offsite management reflect portfolio property management costs not attributable to a specific property.
Home Sales Business

Revenues for the home sales business totaled \$27,264,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to \$47,238,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006. The decrease in revenues compared to the same period in the prior year was primarily due to decreased sales at six of the Company's expansion communities, which comprised 80% of the reduction in sales volume. The units sold totaled 209 for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to 362 units for the year ended December 31, 2006, a decrease of 42.3%. For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, the average selling price of new homes closed was \$130,000 and \$129,000, respectively.

A summary of our home sales activities for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 is highlighted in the following table:

| | Year Ended December 31, 2007 | Year Ended December 31, 2006 | Unit Change | Percent Change |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| New home closings-Same Store | 183 | 323 | (140) | (43.3%) |
| New home closings-Acquisitions | 26 | 39 | (13) | (33.3%) |
| Total new home closings | 209 | 362 | (153) | (42.3%) |
| New home contracts-Same Store(1) | 198 | 341 | (143) | (41.9%) |
| New home contracts-Acquisition(1) | 35 | 55 | (20) | (36.4%) |
| Total new home contracts(1) | 233 | 396 | (163) | (41.2%) |
| Home resales | 10 | 6 | 4 | 66.7% |
| Brokered home sales | 97 | 163 | (66) | (40.5%) |
| New home contract backlog-Same Store(2) | 20 | 29 | (9) | (31.0%) |
| New home contract backlog-Acquisitions(2) | 3 | 5 | (2) | (40.0%) |
| Total new home contract backlog(2) | 23 | 34 | (11) | (32.4%) |

(1) New contracts are reported net of cancellations.

(2) Balance as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Total cost of sales for the year ended December 31, 2007 was \$19,551,000 compared to \$31,525,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006. The resulting margin decreases were a result of increases in costs of homes purchased and lower relative selling prices. Selling and marketing expenses in 2007 decreased \$1,439,000 from 2006 primarily as a result of lower commission expense associated with lower sales volumes, lower wages due to personnel reductions, lower marketing costs; partially offset by increased insurance and utilities costs.

We reported a loss from the home sales business of \$1,265,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to income of \$5,387,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006.

General and Administrative Expenses

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-K

Our general and administrative expenses were \$4,292,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007 and \$3,995,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006. The \$297,000 increase in expense was a result of:

\$87,000 increase in professional fees,

Table of Contents

\$86,000 increase in directors fees and travel as a result of adding a new member to the Board and increased fees paid to existing Board members,

\$59,000 increase in failed acquisition costs,

\$31,000 increase in compensation expense related to restricted stock and stock option awards,

\$31,000 increase in compensation costs,

\$31,000 increase in various other costs, and a

\$23,000 increase in travel related costs, all partially offset by a

\$51,000 decrease in regulatory compliance and public company reporting costs.

Interest and Other Income

During the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, interest and other income were \$207,000 and \$293,000, respectively. The decrease of \$86,000 was a result of interest earned on bank accounts in 2006 offset by interest income related to a construction loan extended to a third party for one of our development communities.

Interest Expense

During the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, interest expense was \$8,699,000 and \$7,378,000 respectively, which was net of capitalized interest of \$8,992,000 and \$7,620,000, respectively. The increase is primarily a result of:

new debt secured by our communities, which was used primarily to acquire three age-restricted communities and to fund development expenditures made in advance of home sales,

rising interest rates on our variable-rate debt coupled with higher outstanding balances under the Company's line of credit, all partially offset by

scheduled amortization of existing secured notes payable,

lower average outstanding balance under the Company's floorplan facility coupled with a reduction in interest rate, and

additional capitalized interest as a result of purchasing three development communities and continued development of our existing communities.

Discontinued Operations

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-K

During the year ended December 31, 2007, we sold a 261 home site community in Florida to a third party for an aggregate sales price of approximately \$17,600,000. During the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, income from discontinued operations, net of minority interest was \$9,327,000 and \$1,286,000, respectively. The increase was the result of recording a gain of approximately \$10,302,000 on the sale of the community. The net proceeds were used to repay a portion of our outstanding short-term indebtedness, repurchase common stock and for other corporate purposes.

Cumulative Preferred Stock Dividends

During the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, we deducted cumulative paid and unpaid preferred stock dividends of \$1,938,000 and \$1,938,000, respectively, for the 1,000,000 outstanding shares of our Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock.

Table of Contents

Comparison of 2006 to 2005

Net Income

We recognized net income attributable to common stockholders of \$9,753,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared to net income attributable to common stockholders of \$10,303,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005. The following paragraphs discuss the results of operations in detail.

Rental Property Operations

Rental and other property revenues from our owned properties totaled \$33,756,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to \$29,113,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005, an increase of \$4,643,000, or 15.9%. The increase in property operating revenues was a result of:

\$2,528,000 increase in base rental income driven by increases in rental rates and the origination of leases of new home sites at our development properties,

\$1,687,000 increase in revenues from newly communities acquired in 2006,

\$496,000 increase in the pass on of real estate taxes and utility expenses to tenants correlated with an increase in the related expenses, all partially offset by a

\$68,000 decrease in other property income.

Golf course operating revenues totaled \$1,086,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to \$956,000 for the years ended December 31, 2005, an increase of \$130,000, or 13.6%. Golf revenue increases were attributable to additional membership fees and additional green fees from non-members in 2006 as compared to 2005.

Property operating expenses for our owned properties totaled \$11,769,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to \$10,256,000 for the same period in 2005, an increase of \$1,513,000, or 14.8%. The increase in property operating expenses was a result of:

\$586,000 increase as a result of newly acquired communities

\$422,000 increase in utility expense partially correlated with an increase in billings to tenants reported as rental and other property revenues,

\$119,000 increase in property taxes partially correlated with an increase in billings to tenants reported as rental and other property revenues,

\$101,000 increase in insurance expense.

\$86,000 increase in property operating overhead,

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-K

\$58,000 increase in maintenance and repairs,

\$57,000 increase in salaries, wages and benefits,

\$45,000 increase in tenant-related legal costs, and a

\$39,000 increase in other property operating expenses.

Recoveries of casualty expenses totaled \$150,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005, which did not recur in 2006. In August and September 2004, several of our properties were impacted by the four hurricanes that traversed central Florida. Hurricanes Charley, Frances, Ivan and Jeanne damaged community amenities and resident homes. At December 31, 2004, we recorded \$97,000 as a receivable from our insurer and had additional

Table of Contents

claims with this insurer related to recoveries of damages caused during the hurricanes in 2004. During 2005, the Company was successful in obtaining \$991,000 in additional proceeds from its insurers related to the 2004 hurricanes. During 2005, the proceeds were accounted for as a gain of approximately \$237,000 and reimbursements of residual hurricane expenses of approximately \$657,000, resulting in net recoveries of expenses of approximately \$150,000.

Golf course operating expenses totaled \$1,347,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to \$1,341,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase in operating expenses at one golf course was partially offset by a decrease in operating expenses at two other golf courses.

Depreciation expense was \$4,248,000 during the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to \$3,395,000 during the same period in 2005. The increase was due to an increase in depreciable property attributable to the continued development of previously undeveloped home sites and the acquisition of three communities in 2006.

Same store property revenues for the year ended December 31, 2006 increased by 10.7% from the year ended December 31, 2005, consisting of a 4.7% increase from same site rental revenues, a 5.5% increase from absorption revenues and a 0.5% increase from golf revenues. Expenses related to those revenues increased 9.1% over that same period, consisting of a 4.5% increase in same site rental expenses, a 4.5% increase in absorption expenses and a 0.1% increase in golf expenses. Same store property net operating income increased 11.5% for the year ended December 31, 2006 consisting of 4.8% increase in same site net operating income, a 6.0% increase in net operating income from newly leased sites and a 0.6% increase in net operating income from golf operations. Our same store base included 92.4% of our property operating revenues for year ended December 31, 2006.

Table of Contents

The Company believes that the same store information provides the users of these financial statements with a comparison of the profitability for properties owned during both reporting periods that cannot be obtained from a review of the consolidated income statement. This comparison can be useful to an understanding of the parts in addition to an understanding of the whole. A reconciliation of the same store operating results used in the above calculation to total operating revenues and total expenses for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, determined in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, is reflected in the table on the following page (in thousands):

| | | Year Ended December 31, 2006 | Year Ended December 31, 2005 | Change | % Change | Contribution to Same Store % Change(1) |
|---|-----|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|----------|--|
| Same site rental revenues | C | \$ 28,946 | \$ 27,574 | \$ 1,372 | 5.0% | 4.7% |
| Absorption rental revenues | | 2,151 | 541 | 1,610 | 297.6% | 5.5% |
| Same store golf revenues | | 1,086 | 956 | 130 | 13.6% | 0.5% |
| Same store revenues | A | 32,183 | 29,071 | 3,112 | 10.7% | 10.7% |
| Re-development property revenues | | 2,659 | 998 | 1,661 | 166.4% | |
| Total property revenues | E | \$ 34,842 | \$ 30,069 | \$ 4,773 | 15.9% | |
| Same site property expense | D | \$ 8,638 | \$ 8,205 | \$ 433 | 5.3% | 4.5% |
| Absorption rental expense | | 434 | | 434 | 100.0% | 4.5% |
| Same store golf expenses | | 1,347 | 1,341 | 6 | 0.4% | 0.1% |
| Same store expenses | B | 10,419 | 9,546 | 873 | 9.1% | 9.1% |
| Re-development and newly acquired property expenses | | 933 | 359 | 574 | 159.9% | |
| Recoveries of expenses from casualty events | | | (150) | 150 | 100.0% | |
| Expenses related to offsite management(2) | | 1,764 | 1,692 | 72 | 4.3% | |
| Total property operating expenses | F | \$ 13,116 | \$ 11,447 | \$ 1,669 | 14.6% | |
| Same store net operating income | A-B | \$ 21,764 | \$ 19,525 | \$ 2,239 | 11.5% | |
| Same site net operating income | C-D | \$ 20,308 | \$ 19,369 | \$ 939 | 4.8% | |
| Total net operating income | E-F | \$ 21,726 | \$ 18,622 | \$ 3,104 | 16.7% | |

(1) Contribution to Same Store % Change is computed as the change in the individual component of same store revenue or expense divided by the total applicable same store base (revenue or expense) for the 2005 period. For example, the change in same site rental revenues of \$1,372 as compared to the total same store revenues in 2005 of \$29,070 is a 4.7% increase ($\$1,372/\$29,070=4.7\%$).

(2) Expenses related to offsite management reflect portfolio property management costs not attributable to a specific property.
Home Sales Business

Revenues for the home sales business totaled \$47,238,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006 as compared to \$51,450,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005. The decrease in revenues compared to the same period in the prior year was primarily due to decreased sales at three of the Company's expansion communities in Florida. The units sold totaled 362 for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to 435 units for the year ended December 31, 2005, a decrease of 16.8%. The decrease in units sold was partially offset by a 10.3% increase in average selling price

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-K

of new homes closed. For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the average selling price of new homes closed was \$129,000 and \$117,000, respectively.

Table of Contents

A summary of our home sales activities for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 is highlighted in the following table:

| | Year Ended December 31, 2006 | Year Ended December 31, 2005 | Unit Change | Percent Change |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| New home closings-Same Store | 323 | 435 | (112) | (25.7%) |
| New home closings-Acquisitions | 39 | | 39 | 100.0% |
| Total new home closings | 362 | 435 | (73) | (16.8%) |
| New home contracts-Same Store(1) | 341 | 486 | (145) | (29.8%) |
| New home contracts-Acquisition(1) | 55 | | 55 | 100.0% |
| Total new home contracts(1) | 396 | 486 | (90) | (18.5%) |
| Home resales | 6 | 12 | (6) | (50.0%) |
| Brokered home sales | 163 | 247 | (84) | (34.0%) |
| New home contract backlog-Same Store(2) | 29 | 93 | (64) | (68.8%) |
| New home contract backlog-Acquisitions(2) | 5 | | 5 | 100% |
| Total new home contract backlog(2) | 34 | 93 | (59) | (63.4%) |

(1) New contracts are reported net of cancellations.

(2) Balance as of September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Total cost of sales for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$31,525,000 compared to \$35,508,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005. The resulting margin increases were attributable to increased selling prices, which were partially offset by increases in costs of homes purchased. Selling and marketing expenses in 2006 decreased \$41,000 from 2005 primarily as a result of lower commission expense associated with lower sales volumes offset by increased marketing costs for newly constructed subdivisions within existing communities, new communities acquired in 2006 and increased operating expenses including utilities and insurance.

We reported income from the home sales business of \$5,387,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006 as compared to \$5,640,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005.

General and Administrative Expenses

Our general and administrative expenses were \$3,995,000 for the year ended December 31, 2006 and \$3,353,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005. The \$642,000 increase in expense was a result of:

\$279,000 increase in the amortization of deferred compensation relating to restricted stock awards largely driven by the correction of our accounting for stock-based compensation, including amounts related to expenses from prior periods (see note I of the Consolidated Financial Statements),

\$278,000 increase in compensation expense for dividends on non-vested restricted stock largely driven by the correction of our accounting for stock-based compensation, including amounts related to expenses from prior periods (see note I of the Consolidated Financial Statements),

\$137,000 increase in stock option expense as a result of the 2006 stock option award,

\$39,000 increase in directors' fees and travel,

\$32,000 increase in other costs, and a

\$21,000 increase in compensation costs, all partially offset by a

\$144,000 decrease in regulatory compliance and public company reporting costs, including Sarbanes-Oxley Act compliance.

Table of Contents

Interest and Other Income

During the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, interest and other income were \$293,000 and \$23,000, respectively. The increase of \$270,000 was a result of:

\$174,000 increase in interest earned on higher cash balances maintained in 2006,

\$59,000 non-recurring fee collected from an adjacent property owner,

\$51,000 received as final settlement of our claim under our business interruption policy related to the 2004 hurricanes, all partially offset by a

\$14,000 decrease in interest income related to repayment in full of officer loans in 2005.

Interest Expense

During the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, interest expense was \$7,378,000 and \$5,258,000, respectively, which was net of capitalized interest of \$7,620,000 and \$5,554,000, respectively. The increase was primarily a result of new debt secured by our properties to support development expenditures made in advance of home sales, an increase in the amount outstanding on the floor plan facility on home sales inventory and increasing interest rates on our variable rate debt. These increases were partially offset by scheduled amortization of existing secured notes payable and an increase in capitalized interest as a result of additional development expenditures made in advance of home sales.

Discontinued Operations

During the year ended December 31, 2006, we sold a 90 home site community in New Jersey to a third party for an aggregate sales price of approximately \$5,083,000. During the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, income from discontinued operations, net of minority interest was \$1,286,000 and \$116,000, respectively. The increase was primarily a result of recording a gain of \$1,006,000 on the sale of the community. The net proceeds were used to repay a portion of our outstanding short-term indebtedness and for other corporate purposes.

NOL Carryover

At December 31, 2007, the Company's net operating loss (NOL) carryovers were approximately \$64,248,000 for the parent REIT entity and \$8,999,000 for the Company's taxable subsidiaries that are consolidated for financial reporting but not for federal income tax purposes. Subject to certain limitations, the REIT's NOL carryover may be used to offset all or a portion of the Company's REIT taxable income, and as a result, to reduce the amount that the Company is required to distribute to stockholders to maintain our status as a REIT. It does not, however, affect the tax treatment to stockholders of any distributions that the Company does make. The Company did not utilize any of its NOL carryover in 2006. The parent REIT's and the taxable subsidiaries' NOL carryovers are scheduled to expire in 2008 and 2009 and between 2020 and 2027, respectively.

Dividends and Distributions

During the year ended December 31, 2007, we distributed \$8,831,000 (\$1.00 per share) to holders of common stock and OP Units, compared to distributions in the year ended December 31, 2006 of \$8,832,000 (\$1.00 per share) and distributions in the year ended December 31, 2005 distributions of \$8,522,000 (\$1.00 per share). The tax treatment to stockholders of the dividends paid, if any, is generally determined based upon the character and amount of our taxable income for the relevant year.

Table of Contents

For income tax purposes, dividends to common stockholders may consist of ordinary income, capital gains (including unrecaptured gain under Section 1250 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, which is taxable to stockholders who are individuals at a maximum federal rate of 25%), return of capital or a combination thereof. For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, dividends paid per share were taxable as follows:

| | 2007 | | 2006 | | 2005 | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage |
| Return of capital | | | \$ 0.95 | 95.0% | \$ 0.815 | 81.5% |
| Ordinary income | \$ 0.411 | 41.1% | | | 0.185 | 18.5% |
| Capital gain | 0.587 | 58.7% | | | | |
| Unrecaptured Section 1250 gain | 0.002 | 0.2% | 0.05 | 5.0% | | |
| Total | \$ 1.00 | 100.0% | \$ 1.00 | 100.0% | \$ 1.00 | 100.0% |

During the year ended December 31, 2007, ANL distributed \$1,938,000 (\$1.938 per share) to holders of preferred stock compared to distributions in the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 of \$1,938,000 and \$1,485,000, respectively.

The tax treatment to stockholders of the preferred dividends paid, if any, is generally determined based upon the character and amount of our taxable income for the relevant year.

| | 2007 | | 2006 | | 2005 | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage |
| Ordinary income | \$ 0.796 | 41.1% | \$ 1.938 | 100.0% | \$ 1.485 | 100.0% |
| Capital gain | 1.138 | 58.7% | | | | |
| Unrecaptured Section 1250 gain | 0.004 | 0.2% | | | | |
| Total | \$ 1.938 | 100.0% | \$ 1.938 | 100.0% | \$ 1.485 | 100.0% |

The Board of Directors reviews the dividend policy quarterly. The Company's dividends are set quarterly and are subject to change or elimination at any time. The Company's primary financial objective is to maximize long term, risk adjusted returns on investment for common shareholders. While the dividend policy is considered within the context of this objective, maintenance of past dividend levels is not a primary investment objective of the Company and is subject to numerous factors, including the Company's profitability, capital expenditure plans, competing uses of capital, obligations related to principal payments and capitalized interest, and the availability of debt and equity capital at terms deemed attractive by the Company to finance these expenditures. Further, the Board has and will continue to consider the downturn in new home sales and the opportunity for share repurchases in the context of its quarterly review and dividend decision. The Company's net operating loss may be used to offset all or a portion of its real estate investment trust (REIT) taxable income, which may allow the Company to reduce or eliminate its dividends and still maintain its REIT status.

Returns from Home Sales Business

We engage in the home sales business for four reasons:

- 1) to lease expansion home sites within our portfolio, thereby increasing the profitability and value of our communities,
- 2) to upgrade existing leased home sites with new and more valuable homes, thereby increasing the long-term value of the lease income stream,
- 3) to broker the resale of homes in order to support investment values in the homes and to attract good neighbors all so as to promote the long term values of the communities, both for the residents who are our customers and for the long-term growth and security of our own investment, and
- 4) to resell any homes we acquire as a result of defaults in lease obligations owed to us.

Table of Contents

We measure the profitability of developing and leasing expansion home sites within our portfolio through identifying the following:

- 1) an estimate of the stabilized first year profit on the leases originated on expansion home sites,
- 2) an estimate of the total development costs of the expansion sites leased including all current and projected development costs, and
- 3) an estimate of the home sales profit or loss attributable to new homes sold on expansion sites, without consideration for the other aspects of the home sales business.

We believe that our projection of the stabilized first year returns from the leases originated on expansion home sites provides the user of our financial statements with a comparison of the profitability of the newly leased sites to our current portfolio and to alternative investments in stabilized communities. Our calculation of estimated stabilized first year returns from leases originated on expansion home sites is a projection. We project the amount of variable property operating expenses we will incur as a result of the newly leased home sites. In order to project our variable operating expenses, we begin with operating expenses determined in accordance with GAAP and deduct those expenses we believe will not increase with the addition of newly leased sites.

The most directly comparable financial measure that can be reconciled to GAAP is our historical return on investment in operational home sites for the year ended December 31, 2007, which is reconciled on page 46 in footnote 1. Our projection of the estimated stabilized first year return from leases originated on expansion home sites cannot be directly reconciled to a comparable GAAP measure, principally because there will be leases that begin during the period and we estimate the incremental operating expenses associated with these leases. The estimated stabilized first year return from leases originated on investment in expansion home sites should not be considered in isolation nor is it intended to represent an alternative measure of operating income or cash flow or any other measure of performance as determined in accordance with GAAP.

By comparing the projected stabilized first year profit on the expansion home site leases originated to the sum of total development costs, as increased (in the event of a home sales loss) or decreased (in the event of a home sales profit) by the estimated home sales profit or loss, we are able to measure the projected stabilized first year return from leases originated on our expansion home sites. We believe that this measure provides a useful comparison to the returns available from investing in stabilized communities.

Our calculation of projected stabilized first year return from leases originated on expansion home sites includes the following components:

(a) We derive our projected stabilized first year profit on leases originated on expansion home sites by deducting estimated operating expenses from the stabilized contractual revenues from leases originated during the period. We estimate operating expenses using one half of the actual ratio of property operating expenses incurred to property revenue generated in the prior year. For example, if we originate a lease at a property where the ratio of operating expense to property revenues was 40% for the prior year, we apply a 20% expense ratio to project the additional expense associated with the newly leased home site for the first year. We believe that one half of the actual expenses is an appropriate estimate of the relationship between fixed and variable expenses of operating our communities.

(b) The total development costs of the expansion sites leased are based upon the sum of land, construction costs, and other capitalized costs, including interest expense, as allocated to the individual home sites based upon the leased value of each home site.

(c) We determine the home sales profit or loss that is attributable to sale of homes situated on expansion home sites by deducting from the reported home sales operating income the gross margin and commissions attributable to the (i) the sale of used homes, and (ii) brokerage of home sale transactions between third parties. We make no allocation of sales overhead to the transactions identified above.

Table of Contents

We believe that our home sales operations drive our projected stabilized first year return from leases originated on expansion home sites because most of our expansion home site leases originate with our sale of a home.

Our projections of the stabilized first year returns from the leases originated on expansion home sites constitute forward looking statements. Actual returns may be materially different than such projections as a result of the risks and other factors discussed throughout this Annual Report. We undertake no obligation to update such projections to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this report.

Comparison of the Years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

The leases facilitated by the home sales business during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 are estimated to provide a stabilized first year return on investment of 6.5%, 14.0% and 12.9%, respectively. These returns are shown on the following page and are based upon management's projections. This compares to our realized returns from operational home sites of 8.3% for the year ended December 31, 2007, 7.6% for the year ended December 31, 2006 and 8.2% for the year ended December 31, 2005. The decrease in return on expansion home sites for 2007 as compared to 2006 is driven primarily by (i) increases in the per site cost of development, (ii) decreased profitability of our home sales business, and (iii) a decrease in projected stabilized first year profit as a result of offering lease incentives to increase leasing volume. Our future returns are dependent upon a number of factors including changes in the per site cost of development, changes in the cost of lease incentives utilized in support of the rate of new home sales, changes in the profitability of our home sales business, changes in the quantity of new homes sold and other factors.

The calculation of our projected stabilized first year return from leases originated on expansion home sites for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 is shown in the following table (in thousands, except expansion sites leased):

| | | Year Ended December 31, 2007 | Year Ended December 31, 2006 | Year Ended December 31, 2005 |
|--|-----|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Expansion sites leased during the year | | 198 | 300 | 352 |
| Estimated stabilized first year profit on leases originated during the year | A | \$ 668 | \$ 1,107 | \$ 1,387 |
| Costs, including development costs of sites leased | | \$ 8,664 | \$ 13,042 | \$ 16,002 |
| Home sales income attributable to sites leased | | (1,538) | 5,133 | 5,258 |
| Total costs incurred to originate ground leases | B | \$ 10,202 | \$ 7,909 | \$ 10,744 |
| Estimated stabilized first year returns from the leases originated on expansion home sites during the year | A/B | 6.5% | 14.0% | 12.9% |

For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, we estimate our profit or loss attributable to the sale of homes situated on expansion home sites as follows (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2007 | Year Ended December 31, 2006 | Year Ended December 31, 2005 |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Reported (loss) income from sales operations | \$ (1,265) | \$ 5,387 | \$ 5,640 |
| Brokerage business income | (143) | (234) | (291) |
| Used home sales | (130) | (20) | (91) |
| Adjusted (loss) income | \$ (1,538) | \$ 5,133 | \$ 5,258 |

Table of Contents

We have changed the method of estimating costs attributable to newly leased sites. Beginning with the third quarter 2007, we revised our estimate of home site costs with respect to indirect general community expenditures. Previously such indirect costs were allocated to remaining unleased lots; now such costs are allocated to all sites within the community. For example, the Company has constructed additional amenities such as an additional clubhouse at our Sunlake Community, which will benefit all sites in the community, whether leased or unleased. If calculated using the previous methodology, the estimated return for December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 would have been 4.5%, 8.7%, and 10.9%, respectively, instead of 6.5%, 14.0% and 12.9%, respectively.

We exclude the profits from our used home sales and brokerage business from our projection of return on investment in expansion home sites. The profits from these activities represent profits that are not directly related to our expansion activities.

The reconciliation of our estimated stabilized first year return from leases originated on expansion home sites to our return on investment in operational home sites in accordance with GAAP is shown in the following table (in thousands):

| | | Total Portfolio for Year Ended December 31, 2007 | Total Portfolio for Year Ended December 31, 2006 | Total Portfolio for Year Ended December 31, 2005 |
|---|-----|---|---|---|
| Property income before depreciation(1) | A | \$ 24,686 | \$ 21,726 | \$ 18,622 |
| Total investment in operating home sites(1) | B | \$ 295,821 | \$ 287,305 | \$ 226,419 |
| Return on investment from earning home sites(1) | A/B | 8.3% | 7.6% | 8.2% |

- (1) A reconciliation of our return on investment for operational home sites for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 to property income before depreciation and investment in operational sites is shown in the following table (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2007 | December 31, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Rental and other property revenues | \$ 38,651 | \$ 34,842 | \$ 30,069 |
| Property operating expenses | (13,965) | (13,116) | (11,447) |
| Property income before depreciation (A) | \$ 24,686 | \$ 21,726 | \$ 18,622 |
| Real estate assets, net | \$ 399,517 | \$ 383,006 | \$ 294,126 |
| Add: Accumulated depreciation | 31,842 | 29,068 | 25,277 |
| Less: Real estate under development | (122,403) | (110,682) | (78,416) |
| Less: Cost of home sites ready for intended use | (13,135) | (6,998) | (3,094) |
| Less: Real estate asset discontinued ops, net | | (7,089) | (11,474) |
| Investment in operational sites (B) | \$ 295,821 | \$ 287,305 | \$ 226,419 |
| Return on investment in operational sites (A/B)(1) | 8.3% | 7.6% | 8.2% |

- (1) Our return on investment in operational home sites is derived from rental property operations before depreciation and our investment in operational home sites. This includes, but is not limited to, our income from sites that were leased for the first time during each of the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. For these leases, the income reported above includes less than a full twelve months of operating results. Consequently, when compared to the investment we have made in these home sites, the return on investment during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 is less than the return when measured using a full twelve months of operating results.

Table of Contents

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

As of December 31, 2007, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$541,000. Our principal activities that demand liquidity include our normal operating activities, development expenditures, payments of principal and interest on outstanding debt, acquisitions of and additional investments in properties, and payments of distributions to preferred and common stockholders and OP Unit holders. We expect to utilize cash provided by operating activities and short-term borrowings to meet short-term liquidity demands. We expect to meet our long-term liquidity requirements, such as debt maturities and property acquisitions, through long-term borrowings, both secured and unsecured, the issuance of debt or equity securities (including OP Units or other partnership interests), the sales of properties and cash generated from operations.

In the event that there is continued economic downturn and the cash provided by operating activities is reduced or if access to short term borrowing sources becomes restricted, the Company may be required to reduce or eliminate expenditures for the continued development of its communities and/or reduce or eliminate its preferred and common dividend.

We have a revolving line of credit with a bank with a total commitment of \$16,000,000 that bears interest at a variable rate ranging from 150 to 175 basis points over the one-month LIBOR rate (6.6% at December 31, 2007). The line of credit is secured by real property and improvements located in St. Lucie, Lake, and Pasco Counties, Florida and Maricopa County, Arizona with a net book value of \$43,264,000. The revolving line of credit matures in May 2009. At December 31, 2007, \$7,846,000 was outstanding and \$8,154,000 was not drawn under the revolving line of credit. The availability of funds to the Company under the line of credit is subject to certain borrowing base and other customary restrictions, including compliance with financial and other covenants thereunder. Based on the application of the borrowing base calculation, as of December 31, 2007, \$8,154,000 was available to the Company. The line of credit also includes certain financial covenants that require the Company to maintain a ratio of cash flow (as defined by the lender) on a trailing twelve-month basis to pro forma annual fixed charge obligations (as defined by the lender) of not less than .80 to 1.0 during fiscal year 2006, .85 to 1.0 during fiscal year 2007, and 1.0 to 1.0 during fiscal year 2008; to maintain a tangible net (as defined by lender) worth of \$150,000,000 and to maintain a debt to adjusted tangible net worth (as defined by lender) ratio of not more than 1.75 to 1.0, among others. The Company believes it was in compliance with all financial covenant requirements under this revolving line of credit at December 31, 2007.

On March 7, 2008, we amended our revolving line of credit. The amendment eliminates the covenant to maintain a ratio of cash flow to fixed charge obligations, changes the variable interest rate from a range of 150 to 175 basis points to 175 basis points over the one-month LIBOR and changes the maturity from May 31, 2009 to December 31, 2008.

We have a floor plan line of credit with a floor plan lender providing a committed credit facility of \$35,000,000 with a variable interest rate of prime plus 25 basis points (7.8% at December 31, 2007). Individual advances mature between 360 days and 720 days based on the aging of the Company's inventory of homes. The floor plan line of credit is partially recourse to the Company and at December 31, 2007, \$3,013,000 of the outstanding balance under the floor plan was recourse to the Company. This floor plan line of credit is secured by inventory located in the Company's residential land lease communities with a carrying value of approximately \$18,698,000. The financial covenants of the floor plan line of credit require the Company to maintain a tangible net worth of \$90,000,000 and to maintain a debt to net worth ratio of not more than 2.0 to 1.0, among others. The Company believes it was in compliance with all financial covenant requirements under this floor plan facility at December 31, 2007. The floor plan lender's commitment to fund future inventory purchases expires in September 2009. At December 31, 2007, \$23,086,000 was outstanding, and approximately \$11,914,000 was available under the floor plan facility. If the new homes sales environment continues to deteriorate in 2008, we may not achieve our financial covenants under our credit facility. If we do not achieve our financial covenants and do obtain a waiver from our lender, the lender could place restrictions upon us that could adversely affect our operations, liquidity, and could potentially foreclose on the collateral securing the credit facility.

Table of Contents

Our ability to access secured and unsecured borrowings as a source of liquidity is dependent upon factors outside of our control including economic trends that impact the availability of credit from lending sources we currently utilize. Our ability to issue additional equity in the form of equity securities (including the issuance by the Operating Partnership of OP Units) is dependent upon certain factors outside of our control including returns available on alternative investments and other economic factors. The amount of cash generated by our operations is dependent upon our ability to operate the existing portfolio of revenue earning sites and to originate new earning sites through new lease originations generated by our home sales business. Our ability to generate cash through the operation of the current portfolio is dependent upon the costs we pay to acquire the goods and services required to operate the portfolio, the absence of natural disasters, such as hurricanes, that would disrupt the flow of rental income for an undeterminable time period and other factors. Our ability to generate cash through the origination of new earning sites is dependent upon our ability to market effectively to our target market customers, to originate contracts for sale of homes at our properties, thereby generating income producing leases and to develop the undeveloped land within our portfolio in a timely fashion, and on a cost effective basis.

Operating Activities

Our net cash provided by operating activities was \$12.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$12.9 million during the same period in 2006. The \$0.4 million decrease was the result of:

\$6.5 million decrease in home sales income

\$1.6 million increase in interest costs

\$0.4 million decrease in income from discontinued operations

\$0.4 million increase in general and administrative expenses

\$0.1 million decrease in other income, and a

\$1.1 million decrease in cash provided by operating assets and liabilities as a result of changes in business volumes, all partially offset by a

\$6.8 million decrease in cash used to fund inventory in 2007 as compared to the cash used to fund inventory increases in 2006. This decrease is a result of maintaining lower inventory levels in 2007 as compared to 2006, and a

\$2.9 million increase in property operating income.

Investing Activities

During the year ended December 31, 2007, the net cash used in investing activities was \$11.9 million, compared with \$87.9 million net cash used during the same period in 2006. The \$76.0 million decrease in net cash used for investing activities is primarily the result of:

\$43.6 million decrease in expenditures related to the purchase of new development communities in 2006,

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-K

\$20.7 million decrease in expenditures for development and improvements in the 2007 period as compared to the 2006,

\$11.2 million increase in proceeds from sale of real estate, in 2007,

\$1.3 million decrease in expenditures for fixed assets classified as other assets, and a

\$0.5 million decrease in expenditure for capital replacements, all partially offset by a

\$1.3 million increase in capitalized interest.

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities was \$0.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared with net cash provided during the same period in 2006 of \$73.4 million.

Table of Contents

The \$73.7 million decrease in cash provided by financing activities is primarily the result of:

Decreases

\$79.5 million decrease in proceeds from secured notes payable,

\$4.6 million decrease as a result of repurchasing common stock, and a

\$1.6 million decrease in proceeds from stock options exercised.

Increases

\$10.4 million increase in net proceeds from secured credit facilities, and a

\$0.8 million decrease in payment of loan costs.

\$0.8 million decrease in payments to escrow accounts.

For 2007, 2006, and 2005, our net cash flows were as follows (in thousands):

| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 12,522 | \$ 12,919 | \$ 20,712 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (11,892) | (87,904) | (53,144) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (342) | 73,443 | 33,407 |

Table of Contents**FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS**

One of the measures we use to analyze our economic profitability is FFO less annual capital replacement spending for developed home sites. For the year ended December 31, 2007, our capital replacement spending averaged \$126 per developed home site.

We believe that the presentation of FFO, when considered with the financial data determined in accordance with GAAP, provides a useful measure of our performance. We use FFO in measuring our operating performance because we believe that the items that result in a difference between FFO and net income have a different impact to the ongoing operating performance of a real estate company than to other businesses. FFO should not be considered an alternative to net income or net cash flows from operating activities, as calculated in accordance with GAAP, as an indication of our performance or as a measure of liquidity. FFO is not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to make distributions, since FFO does not consider recurring capital expenditures, debt maturities or other capital expenditure commitments of the Company. The Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) defines FFO as net income (loss), computed in accordance with U. S. generally accepted accounting principles, excluding gains and losses from sales of property, plus depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. We calculate FFO beginning with the NAREIT definition as further adjusted for the minority interest in the Operating Partnership owned by persons other than us. Our basis of computing FFO is not necessarily comparable with that of other REITs.

For 2007, 2006 and 2005, our FFO was as follows (in thousands):

| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net income | \$ 14,356 | \$ 11,691 | \$ 11,950 |
| Minority interest in Operating Partnership | 651 | 1,380 | 1,562 |
| Real estate depreciation | 4,957 | 4,248 | 3,395 |
| Casualty gain | | | (237) |
| Income tax benefit | | | (600) |
| Discontinued operations: | | | |
| Real estate depreciation, net of minority interest | 64 | 165 | 161 |
| Minority interest in Operating Partnership attributed to discontinued operations | 1,181 | 170 | 54 |
| Gain on sale of real estate | (10,302) | (1,006) | |
| FFO | 10,907 | 16,648 | 16,285 |
| Cumulative preferred stock dividends | (1,938) | (1,938) | (1,647) |
| FFO available to common stockholders and OP Unit holders | \$ 8,969 | \$ 14,710 | \$ 14,638 |
| Weighted average common shares, common share equivalents and OP Units outstanding | 8,916 | 8,876 | 8,630 |

Table of Contents**CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS**

The following table summarizes information contained in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation and in the notes to the consolidated financial statements contained in Item 8 of this Annual Report regarding contractual obligations and commitments as of December 31, 2007 (amounts in thousands):

| | 2008 | 2009 and 2010 | 2011 and 2012 | 2013 and thereafter | Total |
|---|-----------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| Scheduled notes payable principal payments | \$ 3,145 | \$ 7,494 | \$ 8,220 | \$ 26,105 | \$ 44,964 |
| Balloon maturities of secured notes payable | 2,661 | | 32,490 | 159,855 | 195,006 |
| Secured credit facilities | 7,846 | | | | 7,846 |
| Floor plan(1) | 11,484 | 11,602 | | | 23,086 |
| Construction contracts | 1,449 | | | | 1,449 |
| Purchase commitments | 303 | | | | 303 |
| Lease commitments | 294 | 107 | 9 | | 410 |
| Total | \$ 27,182 | \$ 19,203 | \$ 40,719 | \$ 185,960 | \$ 273,064 |

- (1) Committed three-year facility whose individual advances mature at different dates between 360 and 720 days from the date of each advance.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our principal exposure to market risk is changes in interest rates relating to our various debt instruments and borrowings. As compared to December 31, 2006 our exposure to changes in interest rates at December 31, 2007 for repricing and refunding as to unpaid principal balances (i) decreased from \$175.1 million to \$172.9 million for partially amortizing secured notes payable; (ii) increased from \$11.4 million to \$22.1 million for variable rate secured notes payable; (iii) increased from \$14.8 million to \$23.1 million for variable rate secured floor plan facility; and (iv) increased from \$5.3 million to \$7.8 million for variable rate secured mortgage credit facility. The following is a discussion of the potential impact of changes in interest rates on our debt instruments at December 31, 2007.

We have \$9.9 million of fixed rate, fully amortizing, non-recourse, secured notes payable. We do not have exposure to changing interest rates on these notes as the rates are fixed and the notes are fully amortizing.

We have \$208.0 million of fixed rate, partially amortizing, non-recourse, secured notes payable. We do not have significant exposure to changes in interest rates since the interest rates are fixed. We have repricing and refunding risks as to the unpaid balance on these notes of \$172.9 million due at maturity between 2008 and 2021, with an average maturity of 8.82 years.

We have \$22.1 million of interest only, non-recourse, secured notes payable. These variable rate loans bear interest at the three-month LIBOR plus 1.15% and 1.75%, respectively. If LIBOR increased immediately by 1%, then our annual income before minority interest in the Operating Partnership and cash flows would decrease by \$221,000 due to an increase in interest expense based on the outstanding balance at December 31, 2007. We have repricing and refunding risks as to the unpaid balance due at maturity of these notes.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2007, the scheduled principal amortization and maturity payments for the Company's secured notes payable and secured notes payable are as follows (in thousands):

| Year | Amortization | Maturities | Total |
|------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| 2008 | \$ 3,145 | \$ 2,661 | \$ 5,806 |
| 2009 | 3,625 | | 3,625 |
| 2010 | 3,869 | | 3,869 |
| 2011 | 4,018 | 21,740 | 25,758 |
| 2012 | 4,202 | 10,750 | 14,952 |
| Thereafter | 26,105 | 159,855 | 185,960 |
| | | | \$ 239,970 |

We have a revolving line of credit with a bank that bears interest at a variable rate ranging from 150 to 175 basis points over the one-month LIBOR rate. If the LIBOR rate increased immediately by 1%, then our annual income before minority interest in the Operating Partnership and cash flows would decrease by \$78,000 due to an increase in interest expense on this line of credit, based on the approximately \$7.8 million outstanding balance at December 31, 2007. We have repricing and refunding risks as to the unpaid balance due at the maturity of this note in 2009.

We have a secured floor plan facility that bears interest at the lender's prime rate plus 25 basis points. If the lender's prime rate increased immediately by 1%, then our annual income before minority interest in the Operating Partnership and cash flows would decrease by \$231,000 due to an increase in interest expense on this line of credit, based on the approximate \$23.1 million outstanding balance at December 31, 2007. We have repricing and refunding risks as to the unpaid balance due at the maturity of this note.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

The reports of independent auditors, consolidated financial statements and schedule listed in the accompanying index are filed as part of this Annual Report and incorporated herein by reference. See Index to Financial Statements on page F-1.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.*Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures*

Under the supervision and with the participation of our senior management, including our chief executive officer, chief operating officer and chief financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report (the Evaluation Date). Based upon this evaluation, our chief executive officer, chief operating officer, and chief financial officer concluded as of the Evaluation Date that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective such that the information relating to the Company, including our consolidated subsidiaries, required to be disclosed in our SEC filings (i) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including our chief executive officer, chief operating officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Table of Contents

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework*.

Based on our assessment, management believes that, as of December 31, 2007, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective.

The effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm. The report appears under the Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on F-3.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the fourth quarter ended December 31, 2007 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information.

None.

Table of Contents

Part III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

The information required by this item will be presented under the caption Directors and Officers of the Company, Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance, and Corporate Governance in the Company's proxy statement for its 2008 annual meeting of stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The information required by this item will be presented under the captions Compensation Discussion and Analysis, Summary Compensation Table, Grants of Plan-Based Awards, Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End, Option Exercises and Stock Vested, Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control and Director Compensation, Corporate Governance-Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation, and Compensation Committee Report in the Company's proxy statement for its 2008 annual meeting of stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The information required by this item will be presented under the caption Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans in the Company's proxy statement for its 2008 annual meeting of stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

The information required by this item will be presented under the captions Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Corporate Governance Director Independence in the Company's proxy statement for its 2008 annual meeting of stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

The information required by this item will be presented under the caption Company's Relationship with Independent Auditors in the Company's proxy statement for its 2008 annual meeting of stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Part IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

The following documents are filed as part of this Annual Report:

1. Financial Statements.

The financial statements are listed in the Index to Financial Statements on page F-1.

2. Financial Statement Schedules.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-K

The financial statement schedule is listed in the Index to Financial Statements on page F-1.

3. Exhibits.

The exhibits are listed in the Exhibit Index, which begins on page 58.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC.

(Registrant)

Date: March 11, 2008 By /s/ TERRY CONSIDINE
Terry Considine
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 11, 2008 By /s/ ROBERT G. BLATZ
Robert G. Blatz
President and Chief Operating Officer

Date: March 11, 2008 By /s/ SHANNON E. SMITH
Shannon E. Smith
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Name | Capacity | Date |
|---|----------------------------|----------------|
| /s/ THOMAS L. RHODES Thomas L. Rhodes | Director and Vice Chairman | March 11, 2008 |
| /s/ BRUCE D. BENSON Bruce D. Benson | Director | March 11, 2008 |
| /s/ THOMAS HARVEY Thomas Harvey | Director | March 11, 2008 |
| /s/ BRUCE E. MOORE Bruce E. Moore | Director | March 11, 2008 |
| /s/ TODD W. SHEETS Todd W. Sheets | Director | March 11, 2008 |

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|--------------------|--|
| 2.1 | Second Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of June 2, 2000, by and between the Registrant and Commercial Assets, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Annex A to the Registrant's Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus filed on June 13, 2000).* |
| 3.1 | Third Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of American Land Lease, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 4, 2005). |
| 3.2 | Fourth Amended and Restated By-laws of American Land Lease, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8K filed on April 5, 2005). |
| 4.1 | Waiver regarding stock ownership restrictions between the Registrant and Terry Considine, dated August 11, 2000 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, filed on March 12, 2007). |
| 4.2 | Waiver regarding stock ownership restrictions between the Registrant and Asset Investors Operating Partnership, L.P., dated August 11, 2000 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, filed on March 12, 2007). |
| 4.3 | Certificate of Designations for 7.75% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2005). |
| 4.4 | Form of Stock Certificate for 7.75% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 22, 2005). |
| 10.1 | Agreement of Limited Partnership of Asset Investors Operating Partnership, L.P., dated as of April 30, 1997 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, filed on March 10, 2006). |
| 10.2 | Amendment to the Agreement of Limited Partnership of Asset Investors Operating Partnership, L.P., dated as of April 1, 2000 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, filed on March 10, 2006). |
| 10.3 | Second Amendment to the Agreement of Limited Partnership of Asset Investors Operating Partnership, L.P., dated as of May 3, 2002 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, filed on March 10, 2006). |
| 10.4 | Third Amendment of the Agreement of Limited Partnership of Asset Investors Operating Partnership, L.P., dated as of February 23, 2005 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, filed on March 10, 2006). |
| 10.5 | 1998 Stock Incentive Plan (As Amended) of the Registrant (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 9, 2006). ** |
| 10.6 | Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement under the 1998 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 9, 2006). ** |
| 10.7 | Form of Restricted Stock Agreement under the 1998 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 9, 2006). ** |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|--------------------|---|
| 10.8 | Promissory Note entered into by and among Wachovia Bank, N.A., Asset Investors Operating Partnership, L.P., Community Savanna Club Joint Venture, AIOP Lost Dutchman Notes, L.L.C. and Community Brentwood Joint Venture (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 10-K filed on January 4, 2007). |
| 10.9 | Amended and Restated Renewal Secured Promissory Note, dated June 1, 2006, by and between Transamerica Occidental Life Insurance Company, and Crystal Bay, L.L.C. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2006, filed on August 9, 2006). |
| 10.10 | Amended and Restated Wholesale Security Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 22, 2006). |
| 10.11 | Form of Amendment to Stock Option Agreement under the 1998 Stock Incentive Plan dated May 8, 2007 (filed herewith). |
| 21.1 | Subsidiaries of the Registrant. |
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. |
| 31.1 | Certification of CEO pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of COO pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.3 | Certification of CFO pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification of CEO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 furnished herewith. |
| 32.2 | Certification of COO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 furnished herewith. |
| 32.3 | Certification of CFO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 furnished herewith. |

* We agree to furnish to the SEC, upon request, a copy of each exhibit to this Second Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger.

** Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Table of Contents

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| Financial Statements: | |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u> | F-2 |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | F-3 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2007 and 2006</u> | F-4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005</u> | F-5 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005</u> | F-6 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005</u> | F-7 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | F-8 |
| Financial Statement Schedule: | |
| <u>Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation</u> | F-30 |

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of American Land Lease, Inc.

We have audited American Land Lease, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organization of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). American Land Lease, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying financial statements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, American Land Lease, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of American Land Lease, Inc. as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007, and our report dated March 5, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Certified Public Accountants

Tampa, Florida

March 5, 2008

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

American Land Lease, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of American Land Lease, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007. Our audits also included the consolidated financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a)(2). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of American Land Lease, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Notes B and I to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-based Payment*, on January 1, 2006.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), American Land Lease Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 5, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Certified Public Accountants

Tampa, Florida

March 5, 2008

Table of Contents**AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(in thousands, except per share data)**

| | December 31, | |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Real estate, net of accumulated depreciation of \$31,842 and \$29,068, respectively, including real estate under development of \$122,403 and \$110,682, respectively | \$ 399,517 | \$ 383,006 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 541 | 253 |
| Inventory | 20,084 | 22,827 |
| Other assets, net | 16,391 | 15,969 |
| Total Assets | \$ 436,533 | \$ 422,055 |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Secured notes payable | \$ 239,970 | \$ 235,567 |
| Secured credit facilities | 30,932 | 20,059 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 9,288 | 13,216 |
| | 280,190 | 268,842 |
| MINORITY INTEREST IN OPERATING PARTNERSHIP | 17,339 | 16,502 |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share, 3,000 shares authorized; 1,000 and 1,000 shares issued and outstanding, respectively | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| Common stock, par value \$.01 per share; 12,000 shares authorized; 9,485 and 9,390 shares issued, respectively; 7,553 and 7,664 shares outstanding (excluding treasury stock), respectively | 95 | 94 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 293,821 | 291,460 |
| Dividends in excess of accumulated earnings | (148,749) | (153,231) |
| Treasury stock, 1,932 and 1,726 shares at cost, respectively | (31,163) | (26,612) |
| | 139,004 | 136,711 |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | \$ 436,533 | \$ 422,055 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME****(in thousands, except per share data)**

| | For the Years Ended | | |
|---|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | December 31, | | |
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| RENTAL PROPERTY OPERATIONS | | | |
| Rental and other property revenues | \$ 37,587 | \$ 33,756 | \$ 29,113 |
| Golf course operating revenues | 1,064 | 1,086 | 956 |
| Total property operating revenues | 38,651 | 34,842 | 30,069 |
| Property operating expenses | (12,596) | (11,769) | (10,256) |
| Recovery of expenses from casualty events | | | 150 |
| Golf course operating expenses | (1,369) | (1,347) | (1,341) |
| Total property operating expenses | (13,965) | (13,116) | (11,447) |
| Depreciation | (4,957) | (4,248) | (3,395) |
| Income from rental property operations | 19,729 | 17,478 | 15,227 |
| SALES OPERATIONS | | | |
| Home sales revenue | 27,264 | 47,238 | 51,450 |
| Cost of home sales | (19,551) | (31,525) | (35,508) |
| Gross profit on home sales | 7,713 | 15,713 | 15,942 |
| Commissions earned on brokered sales | 248 | 454 | 653 |
| Commissions paid on brokered sales | (105) | (220) | (362) |
| Gross profit on brokered sales | 143 | 234 | 291 |
| Selling and marketing expenses | (9,121) | (10,560) | (10,593) |
| (Loss) income from sales operations | (1,265) | 5,387 | 5,640 |
| General and administrative expenses | (4,292) | (3,995) | (3,353) |
| Interest and other income | 207 | 293 | 23 |
| Casualty gain | | | 237 |
| Interest expense | (8,699) | (7,378) | (5,258) |
| Income before taxes, minority interest in Operating Partnership and discontinued operations | 5,680 | 11,785 | 12,516 |
| Income tax benefit | | | 600 |
| Income before minority interest in Operating Partnership and discontinued operations | 5,680 | 11,785 | 13,116 |
| Minority interest in Operating Partnership | (651) | (1,380) | (1,562) |
| Income from continuing operations | 5,029 | 10,405 | 11,554 |
| DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS | | | |
| Discontinued operations | 270 | 615 | 611 |
| Depreciation on discontinued operations | (64) | (165) | (161) |
| Gain on disposition of discontinued real estate | 10,302 | 1,006 | |
| Minority interest in Operating Partnership attributable to discontinued operations | (1,181) | (170) | (54) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 9,327 | 1,286 | 396 |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Net income | 14,356 | 11,691 | 11,950 |
| Cumulative preferred stock dividends | (1,938) | (1,938) | (1,647) |
| Net income available to common stockholders | \$ 12,418 | \$ 9,753 | \$ 10,303 |
| Earnings per common share basic: | | | |
| Income from continuing operation (net of preferred stock dividends) | \$ 0.40 | \$ 1.13 | \$ 1.37 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 1.22 | 0.17 | 0.05 |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders | \$ 1.62 | \$ 1.30 | \$ 1.42 |
| Earnings per common share diluted: | | | |
| Income from continuing operation (net of preferred stock dividends) | \$ 0.39 | \$ 1.08 | \$ 1.30 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 1.18 | 0.16 | 0.05 |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders | \$ 1.57 | \$ 1.24 | \$ 1.35 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 7,661 | 7,487 | 7,263 |
| Weighted average common shares and common share equivalents outstanding | 7,923 | 7,883 | 7,648 |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.00 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

F-5

Table of Contents**AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005****(in thousands)**

| | Preferred Stock | | Common Stock | | Additional Paid-In Capital | Notes Receivable | Deferred Compensation | Dividends | Treasury Stock | Total Stockholders Equity |
|--|-----------------|-----------|--------------|--------|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | | Common Stock Purchases | on Restricted Stock | in Excess of Accumulated Earnings | | |
| BALANCES DECEMBER 31, 2004 | | \$ | 9,082 | \$ 91 | \$ 286,649 | \$ (748) | \$ (2,250) | \$ (157,697) | \$ (26,612) | \$ 94,433 |
| Net proceeds from issuance of preferred stock | 1,000 | 25,000 | | | (1,102) | | | | | 23,898 |
| Restricted stock issued | | | 95 | 1 | 1,057 | | (1,058) | | | |
| Correction of previously issued restricted stock | | | | | (716) | | 716 | | | |
| Exercise of options | | | 164 | 1 | 2,251 | | | | | 2,252 |
| Equity compensation granted to the Board of Directors | | | 5 | | 120 | | | | | 120 |
| Equity in stock options | | | | | 65 | | | | | 65 |
| Repayment of notes receivable from officers | | | | | | 748 | | | | 748 |
| Stock-based compensation | | | | | | | 941 | | | 941 |
| Stock issuance cost | | | | | (100) | | | | | (100) |
| Net income | | | | | | | | 11,950 | | 11,950 |
| Dividends previously accounted for as compensation expense | | | | | | | | (371) | | (371) |
| Dividends paid common stock | | | | | | | | (7,542) | | (7,542) |
| Dividends paid preferred stock | | | | | | | | (1,485) | | (1,485) |
| BALANCES DECEMBER 31, 2005 | 1,000 | 25,000 | 9,346 | 93 | 288,224 | | (1,651) | (155,145) | (26,612) | 129,909 |
| Exercise of options | | | 163 | 2 | 2,455 | | | | | 2,457 |
| Vesting of restricted stock | | | 65 | | | | | | | |
| Equity compensation granted to the Board of Directors | | | 5 | | 140 | | | | | 140 |
| Stock-based compensation | | | | | 1,291 | | | | | 1,291 |
| Stock issued for acquisition | | | 39 | 1 | 999 | | | | | 1,000 |
| SFAS 123R adjustment | | | (228) | (2) | (1,649) | | 1,651 | | | |
| Net income | | | | | | | | 11,691 | | 11,691 |
| Dividends paid common stock | | | | | | | | (7,839) | | (7,839) |
| Dividends paid preferred stock | | | | | | | | (1,938) | | (1,938) |
| BALANCES DECEMBER 31, 2006 | 1,000 | 25,000 | 9,390 | 94 | 291,460 | | | (153,231) | (26,612) | 136,711 |
| Exercise of options | | | 61 | 1 | 896 | | | | | 897 |
| Vesting of restricted stock | | | 27 | | | | | | | |
| Equity compensation granted to the Board of Directors | | | 7 | | 194 | | | | | 194 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | | | | | | | | | (4,551) | (4,551) |
| Stock-based compensation | | | | | 1,271 | | | | | 1,271 |
| Net income | | | | | | | | 14,356 | | 14,356 |
| Dividends paid common stock | | | | | | | | (7,936) | | (7,936) |
| Dividends paid preferred stock | | | | | | | | (1,938) | | (1,938) |
| BALANCES DECEMBER 31, 2007 | 1,000 | \$ 25,000 | 9,485 | \$ 95 | \$ 293,821 | \$ | \$ | \$ (148,749) | \$ (31,163) | \$ 139,004 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(in thousands)**

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net income | \$ 14,356 | \$ 11,691 | \$ 11,950 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 6,160 | 5,605 | 4,639 |
| Revenue recognized related to acquired lease obligations | (540) | (298) | (63) |
| Stock-based compensation | 1,255 | 1,309 | 918 |
| Minority interest in Operating Partnership | 651 | 1,380 | 1,562 |
| Minority interest in Operating Partnership attributable to discontinued operations | 1,181 | 170 | 54 |
| Casualty gain | | | (237) |
| Gain on disposition of real estate assets | (10,302) | (1,006) | |
| Decrease (increase) in inventory | 2,743 | (4,068) | (1,971) |
| Net change in operating assets and liabilities | (2,982) | (1,864) | 3,860 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 12,522 | 12,919 | 20,712 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Proceeds from sale of real estate | 16,055 | 4,840 | |
| Proceeds from casualty gain | | | 237 |
| Purchase of real estate | | (43,611) | (15,822) |
| Capital replacements | (1,174) | (1,703) | (1,234) |
| Hurricane capital replacements | | | (800) |
| Additions to real estate, including development | (16,840) | (37,606) | (28,737) |
| Additions to fixed assets other than real estate classified as other assets | (532) | (1,806) | (1,335) |
| Capitalized interest | (8,992) | (7,620) | (5,554) |
| Notes receivable advances | (761) | (719) | (6) |
| Proceeds from notes receivable | 352 | 321 | 107 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (11,892) | (87,904) | (53,144) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Proceeds from secured credit facilities | 116,028 | 81,485 | 70,669 |
| Payments on secured credit facilities | (105,155) | (81,095) | (75,644) |
| Proceeds from secured notes payable | 15,330 | 89,159 | 27,623 |
| Principal payments on secured notes payable for real estate sold | (7,950) | (2,268) | |
| Principal payments on secured notes payable | (2,977) | (2,980) | (3,305) |
| Payments of deferred financing costs | (1,096) | (1,959) | (2,413) |
| Payments to escrow funds | (378) | (988) | (807) |
| Collections of escrowed funds | 379 | 402 | 864 |
| Stock issuance costs | | | (100) |
| Proceeds from stock options exercised | 897 | 2,457 | 2,252 |
| Net proceeds from issuance of preferred stock | | | 23,898 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | (4,551) | | |
| Collections of notes receivable on common stock purchases | | | 748 |
| Dividends previously expensed as compensation | | | (371) |
| Payments of common stock dividends | (7,936) | (7,839) | (7,542) |
| Payments of preferred stock dividends | (1,938) | (1,938) | (1,485) |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|---------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Distributions to minority interest in Operating Partnership | (995) | (993) | (980) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (342) | 73,443 | 33,407 |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 288 | (1,542) | 975 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAR | 253 | 1,795 | 820 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR | \$ 541 | \$ 253 | \$ 1,795 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

F-7

Table of Contents

AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC.

AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2007

A. The Company

American Land Lease, Inc. (ANL) is a Delaware corporation that owns home sites leased to owners of homes situated on the leased land and operates the communities composed of these homes. ANL has elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust (REIT). ANL 's preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share, is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol ANLPRA. ANL 's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol ANL. In May 1997, ANL contributed its net assets to Asset Investors Operating Partnership, L.P. (the Operating Partnership) in exchange for the sole general partner interest in the Operating Partnership and substantially all of the Operating Partnership 's initial capital. Except as the context requires, we, our, us and the Company refer to ANL, the Operating Partnership and all majority-owned subsidiaries.

Interests in the Operating Partnership held by limited partners other than ANL are referred to as OP Units. The Operating Partnership 's income is allocated to holders of OP Units based on the weighted average number of OP Units outstanding during the period. The holders of the OP Units receive distributions, prorated from the date of issuance, in an amount equivalent to the dividends paid, if any, to holders of ANL 's common stock. After holding the OP Units for one year, limited partners generally have the right to redeem their OP Units for cash. Notwithstanding that right, the Operating Partnership may elect to acquire some or all of the OP Units tendered for redemption in exchange for shares of ANL 's common stock in lieu of cash. At December 31, 2007, the Operating Partnership had approximately 993,000 OP units outstanding, excluding those owned by ANL, and ANL owned 89% of the Operating Partnership.

As of December 31, 2007, based on total home sites, 72% of the Company 's portfolio of residential land lease communities is located in Florida, 24% in Arizona and 4% in Alabama.

B. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of ANL, the Operating Partnership and all majority-owned subsidiaries. The minority interest in the Operating Partnership represents the OP Units that are redeemable at the option of the holder. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The Company applies Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (revised December 2003)*, an interpretation of ARB No. 51, (FIN 46R). The objective of FIN 46R is to provide guidance on how to identify a variable interest entity (VIE) and determine when the assets, liabilities, non-controlling interests, and results of operations of a VIE need to be included in a company 's consolidated financial statements. A company that holds variable interests in an entity will need to consolidate such entity if the company absorbs a majority of the entity 's expected losses or receives a majority of the entity 's expected residual returns if they occur, or both (i.e., the primary beneficiary). The Company has applied FIN 46R guidance as required.

Real Estate and Depreciation

The Company capitalizes direct costs associated with the acquisition of consolidated properties as a cost of the assets acquired, and such direct costs are depreciated over the estimated useful lives of the related assets. In accordance with FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141, *Business Combinations*,

Table of Contents

(SFAS 141), the Company allocates the purchase price of real estate to land, land improvements, buildings, furniture, fixtures, equipment and intangibles, such as the value of above and below market leases and origination costs associated with the in-place leases. In order to allocate purchase price on these various components, the Company performs the following procedures for properties acquired:

1. Determine the as-if vacant fair value of the physical property acquired;
2. Allocate the as-if vacant fair value among land, land improvements, buildings, (based on real estate valuation techniques), furniture, fixtures and equipment; and
3. Compute the difference between the purchase price of the property and the as-if vacant fair value and allocate such difference to leases in-place (based on the nature of our business, customer relationship value is assumed to be zero), which will represent the total intangible assets or liabilities. The fair value of the leases in-place are comprised of:
 - a. The value of the above and/or below market leases in-place. Above-market and below-market in-place lease values are computed based on the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the in-place leases and (ii) management's estimate of fair market lease rates and effective lease terms for the corresponding in-place leases, measured over a period equal to the estimated remaining effective terms of the leases.
 - b. Avoided leasing commissions and other costs that were incurred to execute leases.
 - c. The value associated with lost rents during the absorption period (estimates of lost rental revenue during the expected lease-up periods based on current market demand).

The values of the above and below market leases are amortized and recorded as either an increase (in the case of below market leases) or a decrease (in the case of above market leases) to rental income over the estimated remaining expected terms of the associated leases (including fixed rate renewal periods for below market leases). The value of below market leases is reported in accounts payable and accrued liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. At December 31, 2007, the unamortized below market lease intangible was \$2,104,000.

The remaining unamortized balance of the below market lease intangible will be amortized, as an increase to rental revenues, as follows:

| Year | Amortization |
|------------|--------------|
| 2008 | \$ 433,000 |
| 2009 | 395,000 |
| 2010 | 355,000 |
| 2011 | 274,000 |
| 2012 | 60,000 |
| Thereafter | 587,000 |
| | \$ 2,104,000 |

Weighted Average Amortization period

5.9 Years

If residents vacate their home site prior to the effective term of the lease and no rental payments are being made on the lease, any unamortized balance of the related intangible will be written off. Amortization expense is recorded over the expected remaining terms of the associated leases for the values associated with avoided leasing commissions, other costs that were incurred to execute leases and the value associated with lost rents during the absorption period.

Table of Contents

Rental properties are recorded at cost less accumulated depreciation, unless considered impaired. Significant renovations and improvements, which improve or extend the useful life of an asset, are capitalized and depreciated using the straight-line method over the remaining estimated life. In addition, the Company capitalizes direct and indirect costs (including interest, taxes and other costs) in connection with the development of additional home sites within its residential land lease communities. Maintenance, repairs and minor improvements are expensed as incurred.

Interest incurred relating to the development of communities is capitalized during the development period. The Company's strategy is to master plan, develop and build substantially all of the home sites in its communities. Accordingly, substantially all projects excluding finished lots where the home is available for occupancy are undergoing development. The Company capitalized interest of approximately \$8,992,000, \$7,620,000 and \$5,554,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

If events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a property may be impaired, the Company will make an assessment of its recoverability by estimating the future undiscounted cash flows, excluding interest charges, of the property. If the carrying amount exceeds the aggregate future cash flows, the Company would recognize an impairment loss to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the fair value of the property. There were no impairment losses recognized for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005.

Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over an estimated useful life of 5 to 75 years for land improvements, 5 to 50 years for buildings and 5 to 15 years for furniture and other equipment, all of which are judgmental determinations. These determinations may prove to be different than the actual life of any individual asset.

Cash Equivalents

The Company considers cash maintained in bank accounts, money market funds and highly liquid investments with an initial maturity of three months or less to be cash and cash equivalents.

Inventory

The Company, through a taxable subsidiary corporation, maintains an inventory of manufactured homes situated within its residential land lease communities. Carrying amounts for inventory are determined on a specific identification basis and are stated at the lower of cost or market. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, inventory write-downs may be required that could have a significant impact on the Company's results of operations and cash flows. As of December 31, 2007, approximately \$9,453,000 of the Company's total inventory investment of \$20,084,000 was older than one year. The Company recorded charges of approximately \$414,000, \$407,000 and \$396,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, to adjust inventory carrying amounts to market value.

Revenue Recognition

The Company generates income from the rental of home sites. The leases entered into by residents for the rental of home sites are generally for terms of one year, and the rental revenues associated with the leases are recognized when earned and due from residents.

The Company, through a taxable subsidiary, generates income from the sale of homes situated on home sites owned by the Company. Sales of homes by the Company are recorded upon the closing of the home sale transaction and title passing to the purchaser.

The Company, through a taxable subsidiary, generates income from memberships, daily green fees, cart rentals and merchandise sales at golf courses located within its communities. Revenues associated with the activities of the golf courses are recognized when earned and received by the Company.

Table of Contents

Deferred Financing Costs

Fees and costs incurred in obtaining financing are capitalized. Such costs are amortized over the terms of the related loan agreements using the effective interest method and are charged to interest expense.

Advertising Costs

Costs of advertising are expensed the first time the advertising takes place. Direct response advertising conducted by the Company during the periods was expensed as incurred, as the Company could not define the expected period of future benefits. Advertising expenses were \$1,916,000, \$2,287,000, and \$2,535,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and are included within golf course operating expenses and selling and marketing expenses in the consolidated statements of income.

Income Taxes

ANL has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. To qualify as a REIT, ANL must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including income, asset, and stockholder requirements, and a requirement that, in general, it must distribute currently at least 90% of its adjusted taxable income to its stockholders. It is management's current intention to adhere to these requirements and maintain ANL's REIT status. As a REIT, ANL generally will not be subject to corporate level federal income tax on taxable income that it distributes currently to its stockholders by virtue of a deduction for dividends paid. If ANL fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, it will be subject to federal income taxes at regular corporate rates (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) and, unless entitled to relief under certain statutory provisions, may not be able to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent taxable years. Even if ANL qualifies for taxation as a REIT, ANL may be subject to certain state and local taxes on its income and property, and to federal income and excise taxes and penalties, including taxes on ANL's undistributed taxable income. In addition, taxable income from non-REIT activities conducted through ANL's taxable subsidiaries is subject to federal, state, and local income taxes.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share are based upon the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during each year. Diluted earnings per share reflect the effect of dilutive, unexercised stock options, both vested and unvested, and unvested restricted stock of 262,000, 396,000 and 385,000 shares for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Vested and unvested stock options totaling 409,000, 0 and 0 shares for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively, have been excluded from diluted earnings per share as their effect would be anti-dilutive.

Stock-based Compensation

The Company used the Black-Scholes-Merton formula to estimate the fair value of stock options and used the closing stock price at date of grant for time-based restricted stock for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005. In 2007, the Company changed its valuation model for its market-based restricted stock from a barrier option model to a Monte Carlo model. The Company reviewed various models in 2007 and determined that the output derived from the Monte Carlo model is more reflective of the economic substance of the Company's market-based restricted award. The change in valuation model to estimate the fair value of the market-based awards did not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2007. Stock-based compensation is reported in selling and marketing expenses and general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

Table of Contents*Treasury Stock*

On October 17, 2000, the Board of Directors authorized the Company to repurchase up to 2,000,000 shares of the outstanding common stock. The timing of stock purchases is at the discretion of management. The Company repurchased 206,000, 0 and 0 shares for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The Company has repurchased approximately 783,000 shares as of December 31, 2007, pursuant to this authorization.

Comprehensive Income

SFAS No. 130, *Reporting Comprehensive Income*, requires all components of comprehensive income be reported in the consolidated financial statements in the period in which they are recognized. For all periods reported, the Company's comprehensive income is equal to its net income reported in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

Depreciation of Personal Property

Depreciation of personal property is reported in property operating expenses, golf operating expenses, selling and marketing expenses, or general and administrative expenses, based upon the use of the associated asset. The Company recorded depreciation expense relating to personal property of \$462,000, \$481,000 and \$452,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over an estimated useful life of 5 to 15 years for furniture and other equipment, all of which are judgmental determinations. These determinations may prove to be different than the actual life of any individual asset.

Statements of Cash Flows

The Company considers cash maintained in bank accounts, money market funds and highly liquid investments with an initial maturity of three months or less to be cash and cash equivalents. The Company made interest payments of \$17,216,000, \$14,415,000 and \$10,768,000 for 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, of which \$8,992,000, \$7,620,000 and \$5,554,000 for 2007, 2006 and 2005 was capitalized, respectively.

Non-cash investing and financing activities for 2007, 2006 and 2005 were as follows (in thousands):

| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|--------|----------|--------|
| Issuance of Common Stock for: | | | |
| Acquisition of an age-restricted residential land lease community | \$ | \$ 1,000 | \$ |
| Services by employees and directors | \$ 194 | \$ 122 | \$ 462 |
| Real estate acquired: | | | |
| By assumption of below market leases | \$ | \$ 2,774 | \$ |
| By issuance of OP Units | \$ | \$ | \$ 563 |

Legal Contingencies

The Company is currently involved in certain legal proceedings. The Company does not believe these proceedings will have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position. It is possible, however, that future results of operations for any particular quarterly or annual period could be materially affected by changes in assumptions and the effectiveness of strategies, related to these proceedings.

Table of Contents*Fair Value of Financial Instruments*

The aggregate fair value of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable and accrued liabilities and secured credit facilities as of December 31, 2007 approximates their carrying value due to their relatively short-term nature. Management further believes that the fair value of variable rate secured notes payable approximates their carrying value. For the Company's fixed rate secured notes payable, fair values have been based on estimates using present value techniques. These techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. In that regard, the calculated estimates of fair value cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent market quotes and, in many cases, may not be realized in immediate settlement of the instruments. The estimated fair value of the Company's secured notes payable was \$243,747,000 and \$243,114,000 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, as compared to the carrying value of \$239,970,000 and \$235,567,000 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Reclassifications

In accordance with SFAS 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-lived Assets* (SFAS 144), certain reclassifications have been made in the 2006 and 2005 consolidated financial statements to present the operations of discontinued operations for all periods presented. Such reclassifications have no material effect on the amounts as originally presented.

C. Real Estate

Real estate at December 31, 2007 and 2006 is as follows (in thousands):

| | 2007 | 2006 |
|---------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Land | \$ 92,664 | \$ 89,124 |
| Land improvements and buildings | 338,695 | 322,950 |
| | 431,359 | 412,074 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (31,842) | (29,068) |
| Real estate, net | \$ 399,517 | \$ 383,006 |

The Company's real estate investment consists of land, land improvements, and buildings. Buildings consist primarily of clubhouses at its residential land lease communities maintained as amenities for resident use. A majority of the Company's investment in land improvements consists of long-lived assets such as lateral infrastructure at its residential land lease communities including sanitary sewer and storm water collection systems, potable water supply systems, roads and walkways. The balance of land improvements consists of assets with shorter lives such as marinas, fencing, swimming pools, spas, shuffleboard courts, tennis courts and other resident amenities. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over an estimated useful life of 5 to 75 years for land improvements and 5 to 50 years for buildings.

Table of Contents**D. Secured Notes Payable**

The following table summarizes the Company's secured notes payable (in thousands):

| | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|------------|------------|
| Fixed rate, ranging from 7.86% to 8.20%, fully amortizing, non-recourse notes maturing at various dates from 2015 through 2020 | \$ 9,882 | \$ 10,467 |
| Fixed rate, ranging from 5.48% to 7.75%, partially amortizing, non-recourse notes maturing at various dates from 2008 through 2021 | 207,982 | 213,744 |
| Variable rate, at the three-month LIBOR plus 115 to 175 basis points, interest only non-recourse notes maturing at various dates from 2011 through 2012 | 22,106 | 11,356 |
| | \$ 239,970 | \$ 235,567 |

On May 3, 2007, the Company issued a \$4,580,000 partially amortizing non-recourse mortgage note payable with a fixed rate of 5.89% and blended the new note with an existing \$15,770,000 note, resulting in a blended and restated \$20,350,000 note payable with a blended fixed rate of 5.99% maturing on February 1, 2016.

On August 15, 2007, the Company issued a \$3,700,000 interest only non-recourse mortgage note payable with a variable rate of three-month LIBOR plus 115 basis points adjusted quarterly, currently at 6.35%, maturing on September 1, 2012.

On August 15, 2007, the Company issued a \$7,050,000 interest only non-recourse mortgage note payable with a variable rate of three-month LIBOR plus 115 basis points adjusted quarterly, currently at 6.35%, maturing on September 1, 2012.

The scheduled principal amortization and maturity payments for the Company's notes payable at December 31, 2007 are as follows (in thousands):

| Year | Amortization | Maturities | Total |
|------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| 2008 | \$ 3,145 | \$ 2,661 | \$ 5,806 |
| 2009 | 3,625 | | 3,625 |
| 2010 | 3,869 | | 3,869 |
| 2011 | 4,018 | 21,740 | 25,758 |
| 2012 | 4,202 | 10,750 | 14,952 |
| Thereafter | 26,105 | 159,855 | 185,960 |
| | | | \$ 239,970 |

E. Secured Credit Facilities

The Company has a revolving line of credit with a bank with a total commitment of \$16,000,000 that bears interest at a variable rate ranging from 150 to 175 points over the one-month LIBOR rate (6.6% at December 31, 2007 and 7.0% at December 31, 2006). The line of credit is secured by real property and improvements located in St. Lucie, Lake, and Pasco County, Florida and Maricopa County, Arizona with a net book value of \$43,264,000. The revolving line of credit matures in May 2009. At December 31, 2007, \$7,846,000 was outstanding and \$8,154,000 was not drawn under the revolving line of credit. The availability of funds to the Company under the line of credit is subject to certain borrowing base and other customary restrictions, including compliance with financial and other covenants thereunder. Based on the application of the borrowing base calculation, as of December 31, 2007, \$8,154,000 was available to the Company. The line of credit also includes certain financial covenants that require the Company to maintain a ratio of cash flow (as defined by the lender) to fixed charge obligations (as defined by the lender) of not less than .85 to 1.0 during fiscal year 2007, and 1.0 to 1.0 during fiscal year 2008; to maintain an adjusted tangible net worth (as defined by the lender) of \$150,000,000

Table of Contents

and to maintain a debt to adjusted tangible net worth ratio of not more than 1.75 to 1.0, among others. The Company believes it was in compliance with all financial covenant requirements under this revolving line of credit at December 31, 2007.

The Company has a floor plan line of credit with a floor plan lender providing a committed credit facility of \$35,000,000 with a variable interest rate linked to the lender's prime rate plus 25 basis points (7.8% at December 31, 2007 and 8.5% at December 31, 2006). Individual advances mature between 360 days and 720 days based on the aging of the Company's inventory. This floor plan line of credit is secured by inventory located in the Company's residential land lease communities with a carrying value of approximately \$18,698,000. At December 31, 2007, approximately \$23,086,000 was outstanding, of which \$3,013,000 was recourse to the Company, and approximately \$11,914,000 was available under the floor plan credit facility. The financial covenants of the floor plan line of credit require the Company to maintain a tangible net worth of \$90,000,000, to maintain a cash flow ratio of 1.1 to 1.0 and to maintain a debt to net worth ratio of not more than 2.0 to 1.0, among others. The floor plan lender's commitment to fund future inventory purchases expires in September 2009. The Company believes it was in compliance with all financial covenant requirements under this floor plan facility at December 31, 2007.

F. Commitments and Contingencies

Legal Contingencies

The Company is party to various legal actions resulting from its operating activities. These actions are routine litigation and administrative proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, some of which are covered by liability insurance, and none of which are expected to have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial condition or results of operations of the Company and its subsidiaries taken as a whole. It is possible, however, that future results of operations for any particular quarterly or annual period could be materially affected by changes in assumptions and the effectiveness of strategies, related to these proceedings.

Commitments

In the ordinary course of business, the Company has entered into various construction contracts with third parties to develop subdivisions within the Company's existing portfolio of residential land lease communities. The unpaid balance of these contracts remaining at December 31, 2007 is approximately \$1,449,000.

As of December 31, 2007, the Company's outstanding purchase obligations with manufacturers of homes to be constructed in the Company's communities totaled \$303,000.

During 2007, the Company issued a construction loan to a development company in Arizona. The maximum aggregate amount of advances available under the construction loan is \$8,080,000, subject to certain inventory level restrictions provided for in the loan documents that limit the aggregate amount of advances outstanding at any given time. The proceeds of the loan are to be used exclusively to develop a community for the Company in Mesa, Arizona. At December 31, 2007, the application of the inventory restriction limits the additional funds available to the development company to approximately \$3,580,000. At December 31, 2007, approximately \$661,000 was outstanding under the construction loan.

G. Segment Reporting

The Company has two reportable segments: real estate (ownership of land leases, land development, investment acquisition and disposition) and home sales (sale of homes, both new and used, to be sited on land owned by the Company). The real estate segment consists of residential land lease communities that generate rental and other property related income through the leasing of land to residents that are unaffiliated with the Company. The home sales segment sells manufactured homes to customers that are unrelated to the Company.

Table of Contents

The homes sold by the home sales segment are situated on land within the Company's portfolio of rental property. The customers of the home sales business become residents of the Company's real estate segment coincident with the sale of a home, at which time the customer enters into a lease with the real estate segment. The chief operating decision maker assesses the performance of the real estate segment using, among other measures, net operating income excluding depreciation as a key measurement of segment profit or loss. The chief operating decision maker assesses the performance of the home sales segment using, among other measures, contribution margin, excluding allocated corporate expenses as the best measure of the segments performance. Segment operating income is generally defined as segment revenues less direct segment operating expenses.

Non-segment revenue used to reconcile total revenue consists primarily of interest income and other income. Non-segment assets used to reconcile to total assets include cash and cash equivalents, cash in escrows, accounts receivable, prepaid expenses, investments, deferred charges and other assets. Overhead expenses, such as administrative expenses, are allocated to each segment based upon management's best estimate of the resources utilized in the management and operations of each segment. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note B.

The revenues, net income (loss), and assets for each of the reportable segments are summarized in the following tables for 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2007 | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------|
| | Real Estate | Home Sales | Corporate, (not allocated to segments) | Consolidated |
| Rental and other property revenues, net | \$ 38,651 | \$ | \$ | \$ 38,651 |
| Home sales revenues | | 27,512 | | 27,512 |
| Total revenues | 38,651 | 27,512 | | 66,163 |
| Operating expenses of real estate segment | (13,965) | | | (13,965) |
| Net operating income gross profit for real estate segment | 24,686 | | | 24,686 |
| Home sales contribution margin | | (1,265) | | (1,265) |
| Adjustments to arrive at net income: | | | | |
| Depreciation | (4,957) | | | (4,957) |
| General and administrative expenses | (2,481) | (1,798) | (13) | (4,292) |
| Interest expense | | | (8,699) | (8,699) |
| Interest and other income | | | 207 | 207 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 9,327 | | | 9,327 |
| Minority interest in earnings | | | (651) | (651) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 26,575 | \$ (3,063) | \$ (9,156) | \$ 14,356 |
| Assets | \$ 410,215 | \$ 24,403 | \$ 1,915 | \$ 436,533 |
| Capital additions to: | | | | |
| Real estate | \$ 25,832 | \$ | \$ | \$ 25,832 |
| Capital replacements - real estate | 812 | | | 812 |
| Capital replacements - other assets | 362 | | | 362 |
| Other assets | 228 | 289 | 15 | 532 |
| Total | \$ 27,234 | \$ 289 | \$ 15 | \$ 27,538 |

Table of Contents

| | Year Ended December 31, 2006 | | | |
|--|------------------------------|------------------|--|-------------------|
| | Real Estate | Home Sales | Corporate, (not allocated to segments) | Consolidated |
| Rental and other property revenues, net | \$ 34,842 | \$ | \$ | \$ 34,842 |
| Home sales revenues | | 47,692 | | 47,692 |
| Total revenues | 34,842 | 47,692 | | 82,534 |
| Operating expenses of real estate segment | (13,116) | | | (13,116) |
| Net operating income gross profit for real estate segment | 21,726 | | | 21,726 |
| Home sales contribution margin | | 5,387 | | 5,387 |
| Adjustments to arrive at net income: | | | | |
| Depreciation | (4,248) | | | (4,248) |
| General and administrative expenses | (1,733) | (2,248) | (14) | (3,995) |
| Interest expense | | | (7,378) | (7,378) |
| Interest and other income | | | 293 | 293 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 1,286 | | | 1,286 |
| Minority interest in earnings | | | (1,380) | (1,380) |
| Net income | \$ 17,031 | \$ 3,139 | \$ (8,479) | \$ 11,691 |
| Assets | \$ 388,385 | \$ 32,885 | \$ 784 | \$ 422,055 |
| Capital additions to: | | | | |
| Real estate | \$ 93,802 | \$ | \$ | \$ 93,802 |
| Capital replacements real estate | 1,389 | | | 1,389 |
| Capital replacements other assets | 314 | | | 314 |
| Other assets | 1,251 | 503 | 52 | 1,806 |
| Total | \$ 96,756 | \$ 503 | \$ 52 | \$ 97,311 |

| | Year Ended December 31, 2005 | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---------------|--|---------------|
| | Real Estate | Home Sales | Corporate, (not allocated to segments) | Consolidated |
| Rental and other property revenues, net | \$ 30,069 | \$ | \$ | \$ 30,069 |
| Home sales revenues | | 52,103 | | 52,103 |
| Total revenues | 30,069 | 52,103 | | 82,172 |
| Operating expenses of real estate segment | (11,447) | | | (11,447) |
| Net operating income gross profit for real estate segment | 18,622 | | | 18,622 |
| Home sales contribution margin | | 5,640 | | 5,640 |
| Adjustments to arrive at net income: | | | | |
| Depreciation | (3,395) | | | (3,395) |
| General and administrative expenses | (1,273) | (2,079) | (1) | (3,353) |
| Interest expense | | | (5,258) | (5,258) |
| Casualty gain | 237 | | | 237 |
| Interest and other income | | | 23 | 23 |
| Income tax benefit | | | 600 | 600 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 396 | | | 396 |
| Minority interest in earnings | | | (1,562) | (1,562) |

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Net income | \$ 14,587 | \$ 3,561 | \$ (6,198) | \$ 11,950 |
| Assets | \$ 301,108 | \$ 25,064 | \$ 3,517 | \$ 329,689 |
| Capital additions to: | | | | |
| Real estate | \$ 51,529 | \$ | \$ | \$ 51,529 |
| Capital replacements real estate | 790 | | | 790 |
| Capital replacements other assets | 444 | | | 444 |
| Other assets | 596 | 740 | 34 | 1,370 |
| Total | \$ 53,359 | \$ 740 | \$ 34 | \$ 54,133 |

F-17

Table of Contents**H. Stocks and Dividends***Dividends*

ANL's common stock dividend and preferred stock dividend is set quarterly by ANL's Board of Directors and is subject to change or elimination at any time. ANL paid yearly dividends on common stock of \$1.00 per share for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. ANL paid yearly dividends on preferred stock of \$1.94 per share for the year ended December 31, 2007, \$1.94 for the year ended December 31, 2006 and partial year dividends of \$1.48 for the year ended December 31, 2005.

The Company deducts cumulative paid and unpaid preferred stock dividends from net income to arrive at income available to common stockholders. The Company deducted \$1,938,000, \$1,938,000 and \$1,647,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively, related to cumulative paid and unpaid preferred stock dividends.

The Company paid dividends to preferred and common stockholders and distributions to holders of OP Units, as follows (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Dividends-common stockholders | \$ 7,936 | \$ 7,839 | \$ 7,542 |
| Dividends-preferred stockholders | 1,938 | 1,938 | 1,485 |
| Distributions OP Units | 995 | 993 | 980 |
| Total | \$ 10,869 | \$ 10,770 | \$ 10,007 |

Preferred Stock

Holders of the Class A Preferred Stock are entitled to receive quarterly dividend payments of \$0.48 per share, equivalent to \$1.94 per share on an annual basis, or 7.75% of the \$25 per share liquidation preference. Class A Preferred Stock is senior to Common Stock as to dividends and liquidation. Upon the Company's liquidation, dissolution or winding up, before payments of distributions are made to any holders of the Company's Common Stock, the holders of the Class A Preferred Stock are entitled to receive a liquidation preference of \$25 per share, plus accumulated, accrued and unpaid dividends. Each share of Class A Preferred Stock is redeemable at the Company's option beginning February 23, 2010 for cash in the amount of \$25 per share, plus all accrued and unpaid dividends, if any, to the date fixed for redemption.

I. Stock-Based Compensation

The Company's Plan provides for the issuance of up to 3,000,000 shares of common stock in the form of qualified and non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, limited stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and deferred stock to its directors, officers, employees and consultants. As of December 31, 2007, the Company has granted awards of stock options and restricted stock under the Plan. The exercise price for stock options may not be less than 100% of the fair value of the shares of common stock at the date of grant. Stock options generally vest ratably over 4 to 5 years and have 10-year contractual terms. All outstanding stock options are non-qualified stock options. Restricted stock awards generally vest ratably over four years. The Company uses the straight-line method to recognize expense for plans with graded vesting. Restricted stock awards are entitled to receive dividends during the vesting period. In addition to the ratably amortization of fair value over the vesting period, dividends paid on unvested shares of restricted stock which are not expected to vest are charged to compensation expense in the period paid. As of December 31, 2007, there was approximately \$2,080,000 of total unrecognized compensation costs related to nonvested stock-based compensation arrangements granted under the Plan. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.9 years. This expected cost does not include the impact of any future stock-based compensation awards. The Company recognized \$1,255,000, \$1,309,000 and \$918,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, in stock-based compensation expense.

Table of Contents*Stock Options*

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the grant date using the Black-Scholes-Merton formula that uses the assumptions noted in the following table:

| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|-----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Risk free interest rates | 4.13% - 4.71% | 4.54% | 4.07% |
| Expected dividend yield | 3.62% - 4.00% | 4.03% | 4.33% |
| Volatility factor of the expected market price of ANL's common stock | 0.139 - 0.161 | 0.136 | 0.142 |
| Expected life of options | 5.0 - 7.0 years | 7.0 years | 8.5 years |

The Company assumed lives of five to eight and a half years based on historical data and risk-free interest rates equal to the five or eight and a half year U.S. Treasury rates on the date the options were granted. In addition, the expected stock price volatility and dividends rates were estimated based upon historical experience.

Presented in the following table is a summary stock options activity for the year ended December 31, 2007:

| | Shares (in thousands) | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2006 | 1,260 | \$ 18.87 | 4.05 Years | |
| Granted | 173 | \$ 27.02 | | |
| Exercised | (61) | \$ 14.73 | | |
| Forfeited | (5) | \$ 20.13 | | |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2007 | 1,367 | \$ 20.08 | 3.83 Years | \$ (342,000) |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2007 | 976 | \$ 17.91 | 1.98 Years | \$ 1,873,000 |

For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, the weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted was \$3.29 per option, \$2.84 per option and \$2.36 per option, respectively. The total intrinsic value of the options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$638,000, \$1,653,000 and \$1,373,000, respectively.

Restricted Stock

Pursuant to the Plan, the Company has awarded two general types of stock-based awards of restricted stock. The first type of award is a time-based award that vests based upon continued employment during the service period required for vesting, which is generally over a period of four years with graded vesting of 25% per year. The second type of award is a market-based award, also known as high performance shares (HPS Shares), that vests based upon attaining specific stock prices and total stockholder returns over the requisite service period. Restricted stock may not be sold, assigned, transferred, pledged, hypothecated or otherwise disposed of and is subject to forfeiture within the vesting period.

The Company issued 19,000 and 18,500 shares as time-based awards of restricted stock to members of management for the years ended December 31, 2007, and 2006, respectively, with weighted average fair values per share of \$24.58 and \$24.80, respectively. The time-based awards of restricted stock were issued at the fair value of ANL's common stock on the date of issuance. The fair value of such restricted stock is amortized to compensation expense over the vesting period.

Table of Contents

A summary of the status of the Company's nonvested time-based restricted stock as of December 31, 2006, and changes during 2007 is presented in the following table (share amounts in thousands):

| | Shares | Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value |
|--------------------------------|--------|--|
| Nonvested at December 31, 2006 | 54 | \$ 20.49 |
| Granted | 19 | \$ 24.58 |
| Vested | (27) | \$ 18.51 |
| Forfeited | (5) | \$ 19.75 |
| Nonvested at December 31, 2007 | 41 | \$ 23.66 |

The total fair value of time-based restricted shares vested during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$742,000, \$821,000 and \$751,000, respectively.

The Company issued 65,000 and 91,500 shares as market-based awards of restricted stock to members of management for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. In 2007, the Company changed its valuation model for its market-based restricted stock from a barrier option model to a Monte Carlo model. The Company reviewed various models in 2007 and determined that the output derived from the Monte Carlo model is more reflective of the economic substance of the Company's market-based restricted award. The Company applied risk free rates of 4.7% and 4.3% for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, which were equal to the U.S. Treasury rates on the dates the market-based awards were granted with a maturity equal to the term of the award. Expected stock price volatility was estimated based upon historical experience. For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company used a volatility factor of 13.6% and 12.9%, respectively. The weighted average per share fair values of the market-based restricted stock was \$7.38 and \$8.09, for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The fair value of the market-based awards of restricted stock is amortized to compensation expense over the requisite service period. The requisite service period for market-based restricted stock awards granted during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 was three years for both grants. The principal terms of the market-based awards of restricted stock is more fully described below.

The HPS Shares vest to the extent, if any, that the total return realized by stockholders during the measurement period exceeds the ten-year return for the Equity REIT Index prior to the date of grant, as reported by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts. Total return is defined as the total of the closing price at year-end plus any dividends paid, less the closing price for the prior year-end. The total return for the Company is measured over a three-year period that ends on the final valuation date specified in connection with each grant of HPS Shares. To the extent that HPS Shares are not vested as of the final valuation date, such shares are forfeited and are returned to the Company. Vesting is achieved ratably on the final valuation date to the extent that excess value has been realized. In order for management's HPS Shares to vest in full at a given final valuation date, the actual total return to stockholders over the applicable three-year period is required to exceed the Equity REIT Index total return by 5% per year on a compounded basis.

The 65,000 shares granted in 2007 have a final measurement date of December 31, 2009. The Equity REIT Index annual compounded total return over the trailing ten years as of December 31, 2006 was 14.5%. For the 2007 HPS Shares to fully vest, the actual total return over the three-year period is required to be 19.5%. If the actual total return is between 14.5% and 19.5%, then a ratable portion of the HPS shares would vest (for example, one half of the HPS Shares would vest if the actual total return is 17.0%). If the actual total return does not exceed 14.5%, all of the 2007 HPS Shares would be forfeited, but none of the dividends paid during the three-year period would be forfeited.

Table of Contents

A summary of the status of the Company's market-based restricted stock as of December 31, 2006, and changes during 2007 is presented in the following table (share amounts in thousands):

| | Shares | Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value |
|--------------------------------|--------|--|
| Nonvested at December 31, 2006 | 165 | \$ 8.51 |
| Granted | 65 | \$ 7.38 |
| Forfeited | (75) | \$ 8.99 |
| Nonvested at December 31, 2007 | 155 | \$ 7.80 |

The total fair value of market-based restricted shares vested during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$0, \$853,000 and \$1,185,000, respectively.

Effective January 1, 2006 upon the adoption of SFAS No. 123(revised December 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, the Company does not record the unamortized value of restricted stock as a debit within equity. In accordance with the EITF Topic D-90, *Grantor Balance Sheet Presentation of Unvested, Forfeitable Equity Instruments Granted to a Nonemployee*, the equity instrument is recognized when vested and related compensation cost has been recognized.

Stock-Based Compensation Correction

In the first quarter of 2005, the Company reviewed its application of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*. (SFAS 123), and management determined that the accounting for certain aspects of its accounting for stock-based compensation was in error. The Company had previously valued certain awards of market-based restricted stock at the market value of the Company's common stock at the date of issuance. These awards were determined to be target stock price awards that should have been recorded at fair value on the date of issuance. In addition, the Company had previously treated dividends paid on unvested shares of restricted stock as additional compensation expense until the vesting condition was satisfied. Under SFAS 123, only the dividends paid on non-vested awards that are not expected to vest are accounted for as additional compensation expense. The Company corrected these errors in first quarter 2005 to conform to the provisions of SFAS 123 for valuing target stock price awards and dividends paid on unvested awards that are expected to vest. The correction related solely to accounting treatment and did not affect the Company's historical or future cash flows. The impact on the Company's prior years' earnings per share, cash from operations and stockholders' equity was immaterial.

J. Income Taxes*Parent REIT Entity*

Earnings and profits, which determine the taxability of dividends to stockholders, differ from net income reported for financial reporting purposes due to differences for U.S. Federal tax purposes in the estimated useful lives and methods used to compute depreciation and the carrying value of the investments in properties, among other things.

Table of Contents

The following table reconciles the Company's net income to REIT taxable income (loss) for each of the three years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 (in thousands):

| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net income | \$ 14,356 | \$ 11,691 | \$ 11,950 |
| Elimination of losses from the taxable consolidated subsidiaries included above | 5,994 | 1,219 | 725 |
| Income from REIT operations | 20,350 | 12,910 | 12,675 |
| Depreciation timing differences | (9,619) | (8,289) | (7,623) |
| Depreciation attributable to the election made under The Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 | (1,111) | (1,255) | (1,244) |
| Inclusion of unconsolidated grantor trusts | 38 | 88 | 521 |
| Deferred compensation | (139) | (1,969) | (1,060) |
| Tax benefit | | | (600) |
| Other book/tax differences | 472 | 626 | 617 |
| Taxable income before adjustments | 9,991 | 2,111 | 3,286 |
| Difference on gain on sale of real estate | 1,140 | 258 | (237) |
| REIT taxable income before net operating loss and dividends paid deduction | 11,131 | 2,369 | 3,049 |
| REIT taxable income allocated to preferred stockholders | (1,938) | (1,938) | (1,485) |
| REIT taxable income allocated to common stockholders | \$ 9,193 | \$ 431 | \$ 1,564 |

For income tax purposes, dividends paid to common stockholders consist of ordinary income, capital gains (including unrecaptured gain under Section 1250 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986), return of capital or a combination thereof. For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, dividends paid per share were taxable as follows:

| | 2007 | | 2006 | | 2005 | |
|--------------------------------|----------|------------|---------|------------|----------|------------|
| | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage |
| Return of capital | | | \$ 0.95 | 95.0% | \$ 0.815 | 81.5% |
| Ordinary income | \$ 0.411 | 41.1% | | | 0.185 | 18.5% |
| Capital gain | 0.587 | 58.7% | | | | |
| Unrecaptured Section 1250 gain | 0.002 | 0.2% | 0.05 | 5.0% | | |
| Total | \$ 1.00 | 100.0% | \$ 1.00 | 100.0% | \$ 1.00 | 100.0% |

At December 31, 2007, the Company's net operating loss (NOL) carryover was approximately \$64,248,000 for the parent REIT entity. Subject to certain limitations, the parent REIT's NOL carryover may be used to offset all or a portion of the Company's REIT taxable income, and as a result, to reduce or eliminate the amount that the Company is required to distribute to stockholders to maintain its status as a REIT. It does not, however, affect the tax treatment to stockholders of any distributions that the Company does make. Distributions with respect to ANL's 2006, and 2005 tax years exceeded, in each case, REIT taxable income as determined prior to taking into account the deduction for dividends paid, and, consequently, the Company did not utilize any of its NOL carryovers in those years. In 2007, taxable income was in excess of distributions; therefore, the Company utilized \$316,000 of its NOL carryovers in 2007. The parent REIT entity's NOL carryovers are scheduled to expire in 2008 and 2009.

In 2001, the Company utilized a provision of the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 that allowed a one-time election to treat assets as having been sold for tax purposes in a deemed sale as of January 1, 2001 for fair value of those assets. The Company recorded a contingent tax liability of \$600,000 related to this election in 2001. During 2005, certain subsequent events occurred, and the \$600,000 contingent tax liability was reversed in 2005, resulting in a tax benefit of \$600,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Table of Contents*Taxable Consolidated Entities*

Deferred income taxes reflect the net effect of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities of taxable entities that are consolidated for financial reporting purposes (but not for tax purposes) and the amounts used for income tax purposes. During 2007, 2006 and 2005, the taxable consolidated entities were comprised of the taxable REIT subsidiaries of the Company that are wholly owned by the Operating Partnership.

Significant components of the Company's deferred tax liabilities and assets are as follows (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Deferred tax liabilities | | |
| Depreciation | \$ 149 | \$ 165 |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | 149 | 165 |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Net operating loss carry forwards | 3,386 | 1,134 |
| Deferred income | 30 | 30 |
| Inventory reserves | 72 | 105 |
| Other | 12 | 19 |
| Total deferred tax assets | 3,500 | 1,288 |
| Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets | (3,351) | (1,123) |
| Deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance | 149 | 165 |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$ | \$ |

SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, requires a valuation allowance to reduce the deferred tax assets reported if, based on the weight of the evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The Company's taxable REIT subsidiaries have reported cumulative losses since inception. After consideration, a \$3,351,000 valuation allowance at December 31, 2007 is necessary to reduce the deferred tax assets to the amount that will more likely than not be realized. The increase in the valuation allowance for 2007 is \$2,228,000. At December 31, 2007, the Company has available NOL carryforwards of approximately \$8,999,000 arising from the operations of the consolidated taxable REIT subsidiaries, which expire between 2020 and 2027.

Significant components of the provision for income taxes are as follows and classified with selling and marketing expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income for 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2007 | Year Ended December 31, 2006 | Year Ended December 31, 2005 |
|----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Current | \$ | \$ | \$ 6 |
| Deferred | | | |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ 6 |

Table of Contents

Consolidated loss subject to tax is \$(5,994,000), \$(1,219,000) and \$(718,000) for 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The reconciliation of income tax attributable to consolidated loss subject to tax from continuing operations computed at the U.S. statutory rate to income tax expense (benefit) is shown below (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2007 | | Year Ended December 31, 2006 | | Year Ended December 31, 2005 | |
|--|---------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|---------|
| | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| Tax at U.S. statutory rates on consolidated (loss) income subject to tax | \$ (2,038) | (34.0%) | \$ (414) | (34.0%) | \$ (244) | (34.0%) |
| State income tax, net of federal tax benefit | (217) | (3.6) | (44) | (3.6) | (25) | (3.6) |
| Other | 27 | 0.5 | 105 | 8.6 | 48 | 6.9 |
| Increase (decrease) in valuation allowance | 2,228 | 37.1 | 353 | 29.0 | 227 | 31.6 |
| | \$ | 0.0% | \$ | 0.0% | \$ 6 | 0.9% |

Income taxes paid totaled \$0, \$0 and \$6,000 in the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

K. Savings Plan

The Company has a 401(k) defined-contribution employee savings plan, which provides substantially all employees the opportunity to accumulate funds for retirement. The Company may, at its discretion, match a portion of the contributions from participating employees. During 2007, 2006, and 2005, the Company matched \$58,000, \$52,000, and \$46,000, respectively, of employee contributions. The Company's match vests as follows:

| Years of Service | Vested Interest |
|------------------|-----------------|
| 1 | 0% |
| 2 | 30% |
| 3 | 60% |
| 4 | 100% |

L. Discontinued Operations

In accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, the Company reports as discontinued operations real estate assets held for sale and real estate assets sold in the current period. All results of these discontinued operations are included in a separate component of income on the consolidated statements of income under the heading DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS. The results of operations and gain or loss recognized on long-lived assets classified as discontinued operations are included in the Rental Property segment in Note G.

During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company sold an age-restricted community located in Florida with 261 home sites. The results of operations of this community before the sale and the related \$10,302,000 gain on sale are included in discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company sold an age-restricted community located in New Jersey with 90 home sites. The results of operations of this community before the sale and the related \$1,006,000 gain on sale were included in discontinued operations for the years ended December 2006 and 2005.

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of the components of income from discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands):

| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Discontinued Property Operations | | | |
| Rental and other property revenues | \$ 978 | \$ 1,931 | \$ 1,845 |
| Property operating expenses | (348) | (640) | (648) |
| Interest expense | (360) | (676) | (586) |
| Depreciation | (64) | (165) | (161) |
| Income from discontinued operations before gain on disposition of discontinued operations | 206 | 450 | 450 |
| Gain on disposition of discontinued real estate | 10,302 | 1,006 | |
| Income from discontinued operations before minority interest in Operating Partnership | 10,508 | 1,456 | 450 |
| Minority interest in Operating Partnership attributable to discontinued operations | (1,181) | (170) | (54) |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$ 9,327 | \$ 1,286 | \$ 396 |

As of December 31, 2007, the Company did not have any assets classified as held for sale.

M. Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes

On January 1, 2007, the Company adopted FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an Interpretation of SFAS 109* (FIN 48). FIN 48 provides interpretative guidance for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return.

In accordance with our accounting policy, the Company recognizes accrued interest related to unrecognized tax benefits as a component of interest expense and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as a component of general and administrative expenses. This policy did not change as a result of the adoption of FIN 48.

The Company files its tax returns as prescribed by the tax laws of the jurisdictions in which it operates. The Company's federal and state income tax returns for the year ended December 31, 2004, and subsequent years are currently subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service or other taxing authorities. In March 2008, the Company was notified by the Internal Revenue Service that it intends to examine the 2005 federal tax return for Asset Investors Operating Partnership. The Company does not anticipate that this examination will have a material impact on the Company's unrecognized tax benefits or the financial condition of the Company. As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, the Company did not recognize a liability for unrecognized tax benefits.

N. Recent Accounting Developments

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure financial assets and liabilities (except for those that are specifically scoped out of the statement) at fair value. The election to measure a financial asset or liability at fair value can be made on an instrument-by-instrument basis and is irrevocable. The difference between carrying value and fair value at the election date is recorded as a transition adjustment to opening retained earnings. Subsequent changes in fair value are recognized in earnings. The effective date for SFAS 159 is as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. The Company is evaluating SFAS 159 and has not yet determined the impact the adoption will have on the consolidated financial statements, but it is not expected to be material.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurement* (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles

Table of Contents

and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is evaluating SFAS 157 and has not yet determined the impact the adoption will have on the consolidated financial statements, but it is not expected to be material.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets*, which was effective for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007. This statement amends SFAS 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, a replacement of FASB Statement 125* (SFAS 140), regarding (1) the circumstances under which a servicing asset or servicing liability must be recognized, (2) the initial and subsequent measurement of recognized servicing assets and liabilities, and (3) information required to be disclosed relating to servicing assets and liabilities. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments* (SFAS 155), which was effective for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007. This statement amends SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, to narrow the scope exception for interest-only and principal-only strips on debt instruments to include only such strips representing rights to receive a specified portion of the contractual interest or principal cash flows. SFAS 155 also amends SFAS 140 to allow qualifying special-purpose entities to hold a passive derivative financial instrument pertaining to beneficial interests that itself is a derivative financial instrument. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160, *Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements* (SFAS 160) an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51. The Statement seeks to improve uniformity and transparency in reporting of the net income attributable to non-controlling interests in the consolidated financial statements of the reporting entity. The statement requires, among other provisions, the disclosure, clear labeling and presentation of non-controlling interests in the Consolidated Balance Sheet and Consolidated Income Statement. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, early adoption is prohibited. The Company is evaluating SFAS 160 and has not yet determined the impact the adoption will have on the consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 141R, *Business Combinations* (SFAS 141(R)). SFAS 141(R) replaces SFAS 141 but retains the fundamental requirements in SFAS 141 that the acquisition method of accounting (also known as the purchase method) be used for all business combinations and for an acquirer to be identified for each business combination. SFAS 141(R) also establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer: (a) recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any non-controlling interest in the acquired entity; (b) improves the completeness of the information reported about a business combination by changing the requirements for recognizing assets acquired and liabilities assumed arising from contingencies; (c) recognizes and measures the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase; and (d) determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141(R) replaces, with limited exceptions as specified in the Statement, the cost allocation process in SFAS 141(R) with a fair value based allocation process. SFAS 141(R) is required to be adopted concurrently with SFAS 160 and is effective for business combination transactions for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. Early adoption is prohibited. The Company is evaluating SFAS 141(R) and has not yet determined the impact the adoption will have on the consolidated financial statements.

O. Transactions with Related Parties

In previous years, the Company had provided loans to its executive officers in an amount equal to the total cash required to purchase common stock in the Company at the then prevailing market prices. These loans had a 10-year maturity, were 25% recourse to the executive officers, bore interest at 7.5% and were secured by the

Table of Contents

stock acquired with the proceeds from the loan. One such loan was fully repaid in 2002. During the year ended December 31, 2005, the two remaining loans made to officers secured by common stock were repaid in full, and principal and interest payments made on these obligations during the year ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 were \$0, \$0 and \$767,000, respectively. No amounts were outstanding at December 31, 2005. In compliance with current regulations, the Company has not provided or modified loans to executive officers since January 2, 2001.

P. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

Presented in the following table is selected quarterly financial data for 2007 and 2006 (in thousands, except per share data):

| | 2007 Quarters | | | |
|--|---------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| | Fourth | Third | Second | First |
| Rental and other property revenues | \$ 9,778 | \$ 9,554 | \$ 9,553* | \$ 9,766* |
| Income from rental property operations | 4,952 | 4,882 | 4,858* | 5,037* |
| Home sales revenue | 4,577 | 7,222 | 7,973 | 7,740 |
| Loss from home sales operations | (719) | (202) | (79) | (265) |
| Income from continuing operations | 686 | 1,221 | 1,464* | 1,658* |
| Income from discontinued operations | 21 | 9,154 | 75* | 77* |
| Net income | 707 | 10,375 | 1,539 | 1,735 |
| Preferred stock dividends | 485 | 484 | 485 | 484 |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders | 222 | 9,891 | 1,054 | 1,251 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.03 | \$ 1.29 | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.16 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.03 | \$ 1.26 | \$ 0.13 | \$ 0.16 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 7,560 | 7,659 | 7,745 | 7,688 |
| Weighted average common shares and common shares equivalents outstanding | 7,755 | 7,871 | 8,029 | 8,054 |

| | 2006 Quarters | | | |
|--|---------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| | Fourth | Third | Second | First |
| Rental and other property revenues | \$ 9,251 | \$ 8,905 | \$ 8,379* | \$ 8,307* |
| Income from rental property operations | 4,712 | 4,485 | 4,082* | 4,199* |
| Home sales revenue | 9,579 | 12,242 | 12,216 | 13,655 |
| Income from home sales operations | 797 | 1,389 | 1,472 | 1,729 |
| Income from continuing operations | 2,164 | 2,451 | 2,604* | 3,186* |
| Income from discontinued operations | 962 | 116 | 92* | 116* |
| Net income | 3,126 | 2,567 | 2,696 | 3,302 |
| Preferred stock dividends | 485 | 485 | 484 | 484 |
| Net income attributable to common stockholders | 2,641 | 2,082 | 2,212 | 2,818 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.35 | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.38 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.33 | \$ 0.27 | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.36 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 7,553 | 7,507 | 7,465 | 7,423 |
| Weighted average common shares and common shares equivalents outstanding | 7,953 | 7,808 | 7,836 | 7,880 |

Table of Contents

* The quarterly data supplied varies from the amounts previously reported due to sale of one property in 2007 and the effect of discontinued operations on these amounts. The following table reconciles the amounts previously presented with the above:

| | 2007 | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Second | First |
| Rental and other property revenues as reported on Form 10-Q | \$ 9,934 | \$ 10,151 |
| Rental and other property revenues related to discontinued operations | (381) | (385) |
| Rental and other property revenues | \$ 9,553 | \$ 9,766 |
| Income from rental property operations as reported on Form 10-Q | \$ 5,079 | \$ 5,258 |
| Property operating expenses related to discontinued operations | 136 | 140 |
| Depreciation related to discontinued operations | 24 | 24 |
| Rental and other property revenues related to discontinued operations | (381) | (385) |
| Income from rental property operations | \$ 4,858 | \$ 5,037 |
| Income from continuing operations as reported on Form 10-Q | \$ 1,539 | \$ 1,735 |
| Income from discontinued operations | (75) | (77) |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 1,464 | \$ 1,658 |
| | | |
| | 2006 | |
| Rental and other property revenues as reported on Form 10-Q | \$ 8,721 | \$ 8,643 |
| Rental and other property revenues related to discontinued operation | (342) | (336) |
| Rental and other property revenues | \$ 8,379 | \$ 8,307 |
| Income from rental property operations as reported on Form 10-Q | \$ 4,260 | \$ 4,383 |
| Property operating expenses related to discontinued operations | 140 | 128 |
| Depreciation related to discontinued operations | 24 | 24 |
| Rental and other property revenues related to discontinued operations | (342) | (336) |
| Income from rental property operations | \$ 4,082 | \$ 4,199 |
| Income from continuing operations as reported on Form 10-Q | \$ 2,646 | \$ 3,260 |
| Income from discontinued operations as reported on Form 10-Q | 50 | 42 |
| Net income as reported on Form 10-Q | 2,696 | 3,302 |
| Income from discontinued operations | (92) | (116) |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 2,604 | \$ 3,186 |

Q. Subsequent Events

On January 15, 2008, the Company refinanced a \$5,775,000 note payable with a fixed rate of 7.8% and a weighted average maturity of 3.0 years and issued an \$11,293,000 non-recourse mortgage note payable with a fixed rate of 5.91% maturing on February 1, 2018. The net proceeds were used to continue development of our residential land lease communities and for general corporate purposes.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-K

On January 15, 2008, the Company refinanced a \$2,011,000 note payable with a fixed rate of 8.2% and a weighted average maturity of 7.8 years and issued a \$7,010,000 non-recourse mortgage note payable with a fixed rate of 5.91% maturing on February 1, 2018. The net proceeds were used to continue development of our residential land lease communities and for general corporate purposes.

F-28

Table of Contents

On January 15, 2008, the Company refinanced a \$5,465,000 note payable with a fixed rate of 6.6% and a weighted average maturity of 4.0 years and issued a \$10,373,000 non-recourse mortgage note payable with a fixed rate of 5.91% maturing on February 1, 2018. The net proceeds were used to continue development of our residential land lease communities and for general corporate purposes.

The Company's common stock dividend is set quarterly and is subject to change or elimination at any time. On January 30, 2008, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.25 per common share for the quarter ended December 31, 2007, payable on February 29, 2008, to stockholders of record on February 15, 2008.

The Company's preferred stock dividend is set quarterly and is subject to change or elimination at any time. On January 30, 2008, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.4844 per share of Class A Preferred Stock for the quarter ended December 31, 2007, payable on February 29, 2008, to stockholders of record on February 15, 2008.

On January 30, 2008, each board member was issued 1,750 shares of stock as compensation for their board member duties. In total, 8,750 shares were issued at the fair value of the common stock on the date of issuance.

On January 30, 2008, the Board of Directors awarded approximately 5,000 shares of time-based restricted stock to a member of management. The restricted stock was issued at the fair value of the common stock on the date of issuance. The restricted stock may not be sold, assigned, transferred, pledged, hypothecated or otherwise disposed of and is subject to a risk of forfeiture within the vesting period. The restricted stock issued has a five-year vesting period and vests 33 1/3% on the third, fourth, and fifth anniversary of the date of employment.

On January 30, 2008, The Board of Directors awarded approximately 5,000 stock options to a member of management. The stock options were issued at fair value on the date of issuance and have a five-year vesting period which vests 33 1/3% on the third, fourth, and fifth anniversary of the date of employment.

On February 14, 2008, the Board of Directors awarded approximately 292,515 stock options to members of management. The stock options were issued at fair value on the date of issuance and vest equally over periods between 4 and 5 years from the date of grant.

On February 14, 2008, the Board of Directors made a grant of market-based restricted stock totaling 65,000 shares to members of senior management whereby the Company issued restricted common stock (the HPS shares) under the terms of the 1998 Stock Incentive Plan. The HPS shares vest based upon the extent, if any, that the total return realized by stockholders exceeds the ten-year average total return of the Equity REIT Index, as reported by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts. Vesting is achieved ratably on the final valuation date to the extent that excess value has been realized. In order for management to earn vesting in all of the HPS shares for a given final valuation date, the actual total return to stockholders for the three-year period is required to exceed the Equity REIT Index total return by 5 percentage points. The 65,000 HPS shares granted have a final measurement date of December 31, 2010.

On February 14, 2008, the Board of Directors awarded approximately x,xxx shares of time-based restricted stock to members of management. The restricted stock was issued at the fair value of the common stock on the date of issuance. The restricted stock may not be sold, assigned, transferred, pledged, hypothecated or otherwise disposed of and is subject to risk of forfeiture within the vesting period. The restricted stock issued vests equally over four years.

On February 14, 2008, the Board of Directors awarded approximately 55,000 shares of time-based restricted stock to members of management. The restricted stock was issued at the fair value of the common stock on the date of issuance. The restricted stock may not be sold, assigned, transferred, pledged, hypothecated or otherwise disposed of and is subject to risk of forfeiture within the vesting period. The restricted stock has a six-year vesting period which vests 33 1/3 % on fourth, fifth and sixth years.

On March 7, 2008, we amended our revolving line of credit. The amendment eliminates the covenant to maintain a ratio of cash flow to fixed charge obligations, changes the variable interest rate from a range of 150 to 175 basis points to 175 basis points over the one-month LIBOR and changes the maturity from May 31, 2009 to December 31, 2008.

Table of Contents

AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

SCHEDULE III

Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

December 31, 2007

(In Thousands Except Site Data)

| Property Name | Date Acquired | Location | Year Developed | Number Of Sites | Initial Cost | | | Total Cost | | | December 31, 2007 | | Total Cost Net of Accumulated Depreciation | | |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--|------------|-------------|
| | | | | | Land | Buildings | | Land | Buildings | | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | | Total | |
| | | | | | | Improvements | Capitalized | | Acquisition | Improvements | | | | | Capitalized |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Blue Heron Pines | 2000 | Punta Gorda, FL | 1983/1999 | 389 | \$ 3,026 | \$ 2,356 | \$ 14,112 | \$ 3,169 | \$ 16,325 | \$ 19,494 | \$ (1,034) | \$ 18,460 | \$ 10,460 | | |
| Blue Star(1) | 1999 | Apache Junction, AZ | 1955 | 151 | 453 | 1,029 | 84 | 453 | 1,113 | 1,566 | (206) | 1,360 | 8,841 | | |
| Brentwood(1) | 2000 | Hudson, FL | 1984 | 191 | 446 | 1,037 | 3,600 | 446 | 4,637 | 5,083 | (369) | 4,714 | 2,600 | | |
| Brentwood West | 1998 | Mesa, AZ | 1972/1987 | 350 | 1,050 | 12,768 | 1,340 | 1,050 | 14,108 | 15,158 | (2,986) | 12,172 | 15,600 | | |
| Busa Commercial | 2000 | Mesa, AZ | | | 304 | 6 | 8 | 304 | 14 | 318 | (2) | 316 | 9,000 | | |
| Camelot Villages | 2000 | Mesa, AZ | 1970 | 375 | 283 | 1,050 | 15,583 | 283 | 16,633 | 16,916 | | 16,916 | 11,900 | | |
| Cypress Greens | 2000 | Lakeland, FL | 1986 | 258 | 216 | 1,649 | 5,720 | 2,031 | 5,554 | 7,585 | (401) | 7,184 | 5,100 | | |
| Desert Harbor | 2000 | Apache Junction, AZ | 1997 | 205 | 952 | 3,980 | 2,248 | 957 | 6,223 | 7,180 | (645) | 6,535 | 8,800 | | |
| Estrella Village | 2000 | Mesa, AZ | 1962 | 172 | 2,698 | 4,034 | 2,638 | 2,697 | 6,673 | 9,370 | (529) | 8,841 | 2,600 | | |
| Forest View | 2000 | Homosassa, FL | 1987/1997 | 304 | 927 | 1,950 | 4,284 | 1,320 | 5,841 | 7,161 | (867) | 6,294 | 6,500 | | |
| Halfstream Harbor I | 1998 | Orlando, FL | 1980 | 432 | 1,740 | 11,793 | 2,863 | 1,982 | 14,414 | 16,396 | (2,639) | 13,757 | 11,900 | | |
| Halfstream Harbor II | 1998 | Orlando, FL | 1988 | 344 | 924 | 9,183 | 729 | 924 | 9,912 | 10,836 | (2,073) | 8,763 | 7,600 | | |
| Halfstream Harbor III | 1998 | Orlando, FL | 1984 | 284 | 858 | 7,952 | 4,505 | 858 | 12,457 | 13,315 | (1,915) | 11,400 | 9,900 | | |
| La Casa Blanca | 2000 | Apache Junction, AZ | 1993 | 197 | 534 | 6,080 | 478 | 534 | 6,558 | 7,092 | (905) | 6,187 | 6,600 | | |
| Lakeshore Villas | 2000 | Tampa, FL | 1972 | 281 | 762 | 6,081 | 1,724 | 2,078 | 6,489 | 8,567 | (888) | 7,679 | 9,000 | | |
| Lost Dutchman(1) | 1999 | Apache Junction, AZ | 1971/1979/1999 | 242 | 777 | 4,885 | 2,110 | 777 | 6,995 | 7,772 | (951) | 6,821 | 11,300 | | |
| Mark Place | 2006 | Sebastian, FL | 1994/2003/2006 | 467 | 15,483 | 14,839 | 1,388 | 15,683 | 16,027 | 31,710 | (268) | 31,442 | 22,400 | | |
| Mark Royale | 2000 | Pinellas Park, FL | 1971 | 309 | 927 | 5,221 | 1,852 | 1,029 | 6,971 | 8,000 | (1,475) | 6,525 | 5,700 | | |
| Pleasant Living | 1997 | Riverview, FL | 1979 | 245 | 726 | 5,079 | 502 | 697 | 5,610 | 6,307 | (1,313) | 4,994 | 2,000 | | |
| Rancho Mirage | 2000 | Apache Junction, AZ | 1994 | 312 | 837 | 9,924 | 1,438 | 837 | 11,362 | 12,199 | (1,484) | 10,715 | 11,900 | | |
| The Reserve at Fox Creek | 2006 | Bullhead City, AZ | 2000/2004 | 313 | 4,607 | 5,837 | 3,359 | 4,607 | 9,196 | 13,803 | (203) | 13,600 | 7,200 | | |
| Seaside | 2000 | Ruskin, FL | 1984 | 941 | 3,202 | 8,167 | 37,134 | 5,738 | 42,765 | 48,503 | (2,227) | 46,276 | 20,100 | | |
| Royal Palm | 2000 | Haines City, FL | 1971 | 387 | 1,244 | 2,874 | 5,871 | 1,393 | 8,596 | 9,989 | (668) | 9,321 | 6,100 | | |
| Savanna Club(2) | 2000 | Port St. Lucie, FL | 1999 | 1,067 | 6,945 | 4,643 | 44,137 | 16,560 | 39,088 | 55,648 | (2,437) | 53,211 | 31,100 | | |
| Sebastian Beach | 2005 | Grant-Valkaria, FL | 2005 | 533 | 15,591 | | 20,434 | 15,609 | 20,416 | 36,025 | | 36,025 | 11,300 | | |
| Serenity | 1998 | Ft. Myers, FL | 1971/1974 | 338 | 1,014 | 7,635 | 978 | 1,014 | 8,613 | 9,627 | (1,780) | 7,847 | 10,000 | | |
| Stonebrook | 2000 | Homosassa, FL | 1987/1997 | 201 | 654 | 1,483 | 3,281 | 854 | 4,564 | 5,418 | (661) | 4,757 | 3,300 | | |
| Sun Valley | 1999 | Apache Junction, AZ | 1984 | 268 | 804 | 5,644 | 231 | 804 | 5,875 | 6,679 | (1,058) | 5,621 | 5,400 | | |
| Sunlake | 2000 | Grand Island, FL | 1980 | 401 | 1,712 | 6,522 | 8,472 | 2,272 | 14,434 | 16,706 | (1,412) | 15,294 | 8,300 | | |
| The Grove | 2006 | Foley, AL | 1998 | 425 | 2,337 | 1,703 | 1,645 | 2,337 | 3,348 | 5,685 | (56) | 5,629 | 11,300 | | |
| Woodlands(1) | 2003 | Groveland, FL | 1979 | 292 | 2,231 | 2,721 | 6,299 | 3,367 | 7,884 | 11,251 | (390) | 10,861 | 11,300 | | |
| | | | | | 10,674 | \$ 74,264 | \$ 158,125 | \$ 199,047 | \$ 92,664 | \$ 338,695 | \$ 431,359 | \$ (31,842) | \$ 399,517 | \$ 239,900 | |

(1) These properties secure the Company's line of credit.

(2) Phase VIII of this property secures the Company's line of credit. The assets of this phase have a net book value of approximately \$19,508,000 as of December 31, 2007 and contains 195 home sites. The remaining phases of this property secure a fixed rate mortgage and the phases have a net book value of

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN LAND LEASE INC - Form 10-K

approximately \$33,780,000 as of December 31, 2007.

F-30

Table of Contents**AMERICAN LAND LEASE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****SCHEDULE III****REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION****For the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005****(in thousands)**

| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Real Estate | | | |
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ 412,074 | \$ 323,814 | \$ 271,671 |
| Additions during the year: | | | |
| Real estate acquisitions | | 43,611 | 15,822 |
| Capital replacements(1) | 812 | 1,389 | 790 |
| Hurricane capital replacements | | | 765 |
| Development | 25,832 | 45,226 | 34,825 |
| Dispositions | (7,359) | (1,966) | (59) |
| Balance at end of year | \$ 431,359 | \$ 412,074 | \$ 323,814 |
| Accumulated Depreciation | | | |
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ (29,068) | \$ (25,277) | \$ (22,803) |
| Additions during the year: | | | |
| Depreciation(2) | (4,349) | (3,802) | (3,270) |
| Dispositions | 1,575 | 11 | 59 |
| Balance at end of year | \$ (31,842) | \$ (29,068) | \$ (26,014) |

- (1) Capital replacements in the above schedule are specifically related to assets such as buildings, building improvements and land improvements that are classified as Real Estate assets on the consolidated balance sheet. In addition to the capital replacements of real estate assets, the Company also replaces personal property (such as furniture & fixtures, machinery & equipment, and computers) used in the operations of the Company's real estate assets. In 2007, 2006, and 2005, the Company incurred \$362,000, \$314,000 and \$444,000, respectively, to replace personal property in the operations of its real estate assets.
- (2) Depreciation in the above schedule is specifically related to assets such as buildings, building improvements and land improvements that are classified as Real Estate assets on the consolidated balance sheet. In addition to the depreciation of real estate assets, the Company also depreciates personal property (such as furniture & fixtures, machinery & equipment, and computers) used in the operations of the Company's real estate assets. In 2007, 2006, and 2005, the Company recorded depreciation expense of \$608,000, \$446,000, and \$125,000, respectively, related to the personal property in the operations of its real estate assets.