BLACKROCK MUNIYIELD NEW YORK QUALITY FUND, INC. Form N-CSRS April 07, 2011 UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM N-CSR
CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES
Investment Company Act file number 811-06500
Name of Fund: BlackRock MuniYield New York Quality Fund, Inc. (MYN)
Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809
Name and address of agent for service: John M. Perlowski, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock Series Fund, Inc., 55 East 52 nd Street, New York, NY 10055
Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4
Date of fiscal year end: 07/31/2011
Date of reporting period: 01/31/2011
Item 1 Report to Stockholders

January 31, 2011

Semi-Annual Report (Unaudited)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. (MUE)

BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc. (MCA)

BlackRock MuniYield Quality Fund III, Inc. (MYI)

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund II, Inc. (MYM)

BlackRock MuniYield New York Quality Fund, Inc. (MYN)

Not FDIC Insured § No Bank Guarantee § May Lose Value

Table of Contents

		Page
Dear Sharehole	<u>der</u>	3
Semi-Annual	Report:	
Municipal Mar	rket Overview	4
Fund Summari	<u>ies</u>	5
The Benefits a	and Risks of Leveraging	10
Derivative Fin	ancial Instruments	10
Financial State	ements:	
Schedules of I	<u>nvestments</u>	11
Statements of	Assets and Liabilities	35
Statements of		36
	<u>Changes in Net Assets</u>	37
Statements of		40
Financial High		41
	acial Statements	46
Officers and D		53
Additional Info	<u>ormation</u>	54
2	SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT	JANUARY 31, 2011

Dear Shareholder

Economic data fluctuated widely throughout 2010, but as the year drew to a close, it became clear that cyclical stimulus had beaten out structural problems as economic data releases generally became more positive and financial markets showed signs of continuing improvement. The sovereign debt crises and emerging market inflation that troubled the global economy in 2010 remain a challenge to global growth, but overall levels of uncertainty are gradually declining as the United States and the world economy are progressing from a stimulus-driven recovery into a consumption-driven expansion.

In the United States, the corporate sector has been an important area of strength and consumer spending has shown improvement, although weakness in the housing and labor markets continues to burden the economy. It is important to note that we are in the midst of the first global economic recovery that is being led by emerging economies, and the United States has only just begun its transition to a self-sustaining expansion, suggesting that economic improvements still have a way to go.

Global equity markets experienced uneven growth and high volatility over the course of 2010, but ended the year strong. Stocks continued their advance through most of January until the political unrest in Egypt and widespread discord across the Middle East caused a sharp, but temporary decline at the end of the period. US stocks outpaced most international markets over the 12-month period. Small cap stocks outperformed large caps as investors moved into higher-risk assets.

Fixed income markets saw yields trend lower over most of 2010, until the fourth quarter brought an abrupt reversal in sentiment and risk tolerance that drove yields sharply upward (pushing prices downward) through year end and into the New Year. However, on a 12-month basis, yields were lower overall and fixed income markets performed well. Conversely, the tax-exempt municipal market was dealt an additional blow as it became evident that the Build America Bond program would expire at the end of 2010. In addition, negative headlines regarding fiscal challenges faced by state and local governments damaged investor confidence and sparked additional volatility in the municipal market. These conditions began to moderate as the period came to a close and the market has shown signs of improvement in supply-and-demand technicals.

Cash investments, as represented by the 3-month Treasury bill, returned only a fraction over 0% for the 12-month period as short-term interest rates remained low. Yields on money market securities remain near all-time lows.

Total Returns as of January 31, 2011	6-month	12-month
US large cap equities (S&P 500 Index)	17.93%	22.19%
US small cap equities (Russell 2000 Index)	20.75	31.36
International equities (MSCI Europe, Australasia, Far East Index)	16.10	15.38
3-month Treasury bill (BofA Merrill Lynch 3-Month Treasury Bill Index)	0.06	0.13
US Treasury securities (BofA Merrill Lynch 10-Year US Treasury Index)	(2.25)	5.25
US investment grade bonds (Barclays Capital US Aggregate Bond Index)	0.20	5.06
Tax-exempt municipal bonds (Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index)	(2.84)	1.10
US high yield bonds (Barclays Capital US Corporate High Yield 2% Issuer Capped Index)	8.65	15.96

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

While no one can peer into a crystal ball and eliminate the uncertainties presented by the economic landscape and financial markets, BlackRock can offer investors the next best thing: partnership with the world s largest asset management firm and a unique global perspective that allows us

to identify trends early and capitalize on market opportunities. For additional market perspective and investment insight, visit **www.blackrock.com/shareholdermagazine**, where you ll find the most recent issue of our award-winning *Shareholder*® magazine, as well as its quarterly companion newsletter, *Shareholder Perspectives*. As always, we thank you for entrusting BlackRock with your investments, and we look forward to your continued partnership in the months and years ahead.

Sincerely,	
Rob Kapito	
President, BlackRock Advisors, LL	C

THIS PAGE NOT PART OF YOUR FUND REPORT

3

Municipal Market Overview

As of January 31, 2011

The municipal market began the period with a strong tone as rates fell (and prices rose) along with those of US Treasuries. However, the cliché of the perfect storm of negative events all conspired in the final months of 2010, leading to the worst quarterly performance for the municipal market since the tightening cycle of 1994. Treasury yields lost their support as concerns about the US deficit raised questions over the willingness of foreign investors to continue to purchase Treasury securities, at least at the previous historically low yields. Municipal valuations also suffered a quick and severe setback as it became evident that the Build America Bond (BAB) program would expire at year-end. The program had opened the taxable market to municipal issuers, which had successfully alleviated supply pressure in the traditional tax-exempt marketplace, bringing down yields in that space.

The financial media has been replete with interviews, articles and presentations advertising the stress experienced in municipal finance, resulting in a loss of confidence among retail investors who buy individual bonds or mutual funds. From the middle of November through year-end, funds specializing in tax-exempt bonds witnessed weekly outflows averaging over \$2.5 billion. Long-term and high-yield funds saw the greatest redemptions, followed by state-specific funds to a lesser but still significant degree. Demand usually is strong at the beginning of the new year against a backdrop of low new-issue supply, but the mutual fund outflows continued in January, putting additional upward pressure on municipal yields. Political uncertainty surrounding the midterm elections and the approach taken by the new Congress on issues such as income tax rates and alternative minimum tax (and the previously mentioned BAB non-extension) exacerbated the situation. All these conditions, combined with the seasonal illiquidity surrounding year-end holidays and dealers closing their fiscal books, sapped willing market participation from the trading community.

As demand for municipal securities from traditional retail investors was declining and trading desk liquidity was being curtailed, there was no comparable reduction in supply. As it became evident that the BAB program would be retired, issuers rushed deals to market both in the taxable municipal space and, to a lesser degree, in the traditional tax-exempt space. This imbalance in the supply/demand technicals provided the classic market action, leading to wider quality spreads and higher bond yields. The municipal curve steepened as the issuance was concentrated in longer (greater than 20-year) maturities. Curve steepening that began in October accelerated in November, spurred on by Treasury weakness, heavy supply and record outflows. As measured by Thomson Municipal Market Data, AAA-rated municipals rose nearly 82 basis points (bps) for maturities 25 years and longer from July 31, 2010, to January 31, 2011. The spread between two-year and 30-year maturities widened from 360 bps to 406 bps over the period.

The fundamental picture for municipalities will be subject to scrutiny for months to come, as the challenges to state and local budgets are real and need to be addressed with significant cuts to expenses and tax revenue increases. The debates around austerity measures needed to succeed in balancing these budgets are not over whether action needs to be taken, but over degree, approach and political will to accomplish these needs. The attention shone upon municipal finance has the potential to improve this market for the future if these efforts result in greater means toward disclosure and accuracy (and timeliness) of reporting. Early tests to judge progress will come soon as California, Illinois and Puerto Rico need to take austerity measures and access financing in the municipal market to address relatively immediate fiscal imbalances. BlackRock favors a more constructive outlook for the municipal market heading into 2011 as the typical, and this year particularly atypical, weakness passes.

4 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JANUARY 31, 2011

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2011

BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc.

Fund Overview

Effective November 9, 2010, BlackRock MuniHoldings Insured Fund II, Inc. changed its name to BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc.

BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. s (MUE) (the Fund) investment objective is to provide shareholders with current income exempt from federal income taxes. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in long-term, investment grade municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations with remaining maturities of one year or more at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

Effective November 9, 2010, the Fund s investment policy was changed by the removal of the insurance investment policy that required at least 80% of Fund assets to be invested in insured municipal securities. Accordingly, the Fund was moved from the Lipper Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category into the Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category. For the six months ended January 31, 2011, the Fund returned (15.50)% based on market price and (8.08)% based on net asset value (NAV). For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (8.46)% based on market price and (6.45)% based on NAV, while the closed-end Lipper Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (11.13)% based on market price and (6.92)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Fund moved from a premium to NAV to a discount by period-end, which accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Fund s exposure to longer-duration and longer-maturity bonds detracted from performance as the long end of the yield curve steepened during the period. Conversely, the Fund s exposure to the housing sector contributed to performance as the sector was one of the municipal market s better performers. Additionally, the Fund s exposure to shorter-duration bonds and premium coupon bonds (6% or higher) benefited performance in the rising interest rate environment of the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Fund Information

Symbol on New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)	MUE
Initial Offering Date	February 26, 1999
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2011 (\$11.65) ¹	7.57%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	11.65%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0735
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.8820
Leverage as of January 31, 2011 ⁴	42%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- ² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents Auction Market Preferred Shares (Preferred Shares) and tender option bond trusts (TOBs) as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 10.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund s market price and NAV per share:

	1/31/11	7/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 11.65	\$ 14.26	,	\$ 14.63	\$ 10.87
Net Asset Value	\$ 12.06	\$ 13.57		\$ 14.04	\$ 11.62

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations

	1/31/11	7/31/10
County/City/Special District/School District	28%	28%
Transportation	23	23
Utilities	22	23
Health	10	9
State	9	11
Housing	5	5
Corporate	2	1
Education	1	

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

	1/31/11	7/31/10
AAA/Aaa	11%	54%
AA/Aa	68	24
A	17	20
BBB/Baa	3	1
BBB/Baa Not Rated ⁶	1	1

- Using the higher of Standard & Poor s (S&Ps) or Moody s Investors Service (Moody s) ratings.
- The investment advisor has deemed certain of these securities to be of investment grade quality. As of January 31, 2011 and July 31, 2010, the market value of these securities was \$3,531,114, representing 1% and \$3,925,265, representing 1%, respectively, of the Fund s long-term investments.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JANUARY 31, 2011 5

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2011

BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc.

Fund Overview

Effective November 9, 2010, BlackRock MuniYield California Insured Fund, Inc. changed its name to BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc.

BlackRock MuniYield California Quality Fund, Inc. s (MCA) (the Fund) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal and California income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and California income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal obligations that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

Effective November 9, 2010, the Fund s investment policy was changed by the removal of the insurance investment policy that required at least 80% of Fund assets to be invested in insured municipal securities. Accordingly, the Fund was moved from the Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds category into the Lipper California Municipal Debt Funds category. For the six months ended January 31, 2011, the Fund returned (9.16)% based on market price and (7.78)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper California Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (9.24)% based on market price and (8.09)% based on NAV, while the closed-end Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (9.05)% based on market price and (6.44)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Fund s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. A generally negative municipal market environment hindered Fund performance. Some widening of credit spreads, especially among California school districts and health care credits, detracted from Fund performance, as did a relatively long duration posture given the rising interest rate environment of the period. Conversely, the Fund maintained a low average cash reserve level, which benefited total return by boosting income accrual. Increased exposure to tender option bonds to take advantage of the historically steep municipal yield curve also benefited the income accrual. As a result, the Fund was able to increase dividend payments twice over the period. In addition, the Fund s holdings in corporate-backed municipals aided performance as non-traditional investors identified the sector s attractive investment opportunities and subsequently drove up demand.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Fund Information

Symbol on NYSE

Initial Offering Date

Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2011 (\$12.34)¹

7.15%

Tax Equivalent Yield ²	11.00%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0735
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.8820
Leverage as of January 31, 2011 ⁴	44%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 10.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund s market price and NAV per share:

	1	/31/11	,	7/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$	12.34	\$	14.02	(11.98) %	14.70	\$ 11.83
Net Asset Value	\$	13.10	\$	14.66	(10.64) %	15.22	\$ 12.62

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations

	1/31/11	7/31/10
County/City/Special District/School District	51%	55%
Utilities	26	22
Education	8	7
Transportation	7	11
Corporate	4	1
Health	3	2
State	1	2

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

	1/31/11	7/31/10
AAA/Aaa	10%	57%
AA/Aa	77	29
A	13	14

Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.

6	SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT	JANUARY 31, 2011					

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2011

BlackRock MuniYield Quality Fund III, Inc.

Fund Overview

Effective November 9, 2010, BlackRock MuniYield Insured Fund, Inc. changed its name to BlackRock MuniYield Quality Fund III, Inc.

BlackRock MuniYield Quality Fund III, Inc. s (MYI) (the Fund) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal obligations that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

Effective November 9, 2010, the Fund s investment policy was changed by the removal of the insurance investment policy that required at least 80% of Fund assets to be invested in insured municipal securities. Accordingly, the Fund was moved from the Lipper Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category into the Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category. For the six months ended January 31, 2011, the Fund returned (11.26)% based on market price and (8.17)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (8.46)% based on market price and (6.45)% based on NAV, while the closed-end Lipper Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (11.13)% based on market price and (6.92)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Fund s premium to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Fund s exposure to the housing and corporate sectors contributed to performance as these sectors outperformed the general municipal market. Additionally, the Fund s exposure to shorter-duration bonds and premium coupon bonds (6% or higher) benefited performance in the rising interest rate environment of the period. Conversely, the Fund s long duration stance detracted from performance, again due to the rising rate environment. In addition, the Fund s exposure to longer maturity bonds detracted as the long end of the yield curve steepened during the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Fund Information

Symbol on NYSE	MYI
Initial Offering Date	March 27, 1992
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2011 (\$12.17) ¹	7.10%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	10.92%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.072
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.864
Leverage as of January 31, 2011 ⁴	40%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- ² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 10.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund s market price and NAV per share:

	1/31/11 7/31/10 Change		High	Low	
Market Price	\$ 12.17	\$ 14.17	(14.11)%	\$ 14.56	\$ 11.21
Net Asset Value	\$ 12.15	\$ 13.67	(11.12)%	\$ 14.17	\$ 11.71

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations

	1/31/11	7/31/10
Transportation	27%	27%
County/City/Special District/School District	21	21
Utilities	18	17
Education	8	8
State	8	8
Health	7	7
Housing	6	6
Corporate	5	6

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

	1/31/11	7/31/10
AAA/Aaa	9%	46%
AAA/Aa AA/Aa	67	29
A	19	20
BBB/Baa	5	5

Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JANUARY 31, 2011 7

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2011

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund II, Inc.

Fund Overview

Effective November 9, 2010, BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Insured Fund II, Inc. changed its name to BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund II, Inc.

BlackRock MuniYield Michigan Quality Fund II, Inc. s (MYM) (the Fund) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal and Michigan income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and Michigan income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal obligations that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

Effective November 9, 2010, the Fund s investment policy was changed by the removal of the insurance investment policy that required at least 80% of Fund assets to be invested in insured municipal securities. Accordingly, the Fund was moved from the Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds category into the Lipper Michigan Municipal Debt Funds category. For the six months ended January 31, 2011, the Fund returned (10.75)% based on market price and (5.74)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Michigan Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (7.46)% based on market price and (5.90)% based on NAV, while the closed-end Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (9.05)% based on market price and (6.44)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Fund s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Fund maintained a low average cash reserve level, which benefited total return by boosting income accrual. Increased exposure to tender option bonds to take advantage of the historically steep municipal yield curve also benefited the income accrual. In addition, the Fund s holdings in corporate-backed municipals aided performance as non-traditional investors identified the sector s attractive investment opportunities and subsequently drove up demand. Conversely, a generally negative municipal market environment hindered Fund performance. Some widening of credit spreads, especially among health care credits, also detracted from Fund performance.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Fund Information

Symbol on NYSE	MYM
Initial Offering Date	February 28, 1992
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2011 (\$11.80) ¹	7.27%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	11.18%

Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0715
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.8580
Leverage as of January 31, 2011 ⁴	39%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- ² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 10.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund s market price and NAV per share:

	1/31/11	7/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price Net Asset Value	•	\$ 13.67 \$ 13.82	(13.68)% (8.83)%		

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations

	1/31/11	7/31/10
County/City/Special District/School District	25%	26%
Utilities	15	12
Health	14	15
State	12	13
Corporate	12	12
Transportation	11	12
Education	8	8
Housing	3	2

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

	1/31/11	7/31/10
AAA/Aaa	4%	40%
AA/Aa	65	27
A	25	28
BBB/Baa	4	2

Not Rated⁶ 2 3

- Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.
- The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of January 31, 2011 and July 31, 2010, the market value of these securities was \$2,188,619, representing 1% and \$4,382,645, representing 2%, respectively, of the Fund s long-term investments.

8 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JANUARY 31, 2011

Fund Summary as of January 31, 2011

BlackRock MuniYield New York Quality Fund, Inc.

Fund Overview

Effective November 9, 2010, BlackRock MuniYield New York Insured Fund, Inc. changed its name to BlackRock MuniYield New York Quality Fund, Inc.

BlackRock MuniYield New York Quality Fund, Inc. s (MYN) (the Fund) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal income taxes and New York State and New York City personal income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Fund invests primarily in long-term municipal obligations that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Fund may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Fund s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

Effective November 9, 2010, the Fund s investment policy was changed by the removal of the insurance investment policy that required at least 80% of Fund assets to be invested in insured municipal securities. Accordingly, the Fund was moved from the Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds category into the Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds category. For the six months ended January 31, 2011, the Fund returned (8.99)% based on market price and (6.99)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (6.95)% based on market price and (5.68)% based on NAV, while the closed-end Lipper Single-State Insured Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (9.05)% based on market price and (6.44)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Fund s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. A generally negative municipal market hindered Fund performance, and the Fund s exposure to long maturity and discount coupon bonds also detracted as the yield curve steepened and these issues underperformed the market. Additionally, Fund performance was negatively affected by exposure to lower-quality bonds, which underperformed, and to the transportation sector, which was one of the market s poorest performers. On the positive side, the Fund benefited from an above-average yield relative to its Lipper category. In addition, the Fund s holdings in corporate-backed municipals aided performance as non-traditional investors identified the sector s attractive investment opportunities and subsequently drove up demand. Finally, the Fund benefited from exposure to the housing sector, which was one of the market s better performers.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Fund Information

Symbol on NYSE MYN

Initial Offering Date February 28, 1992

ield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2011 (\$11.96) ¹	7.12%
ax Equivalent Yield ²	10.95%
urrent Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.071
urrent Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.852
everage as of January 31, 2011 ⁴	40%
. The state of the	

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Fund, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Fund, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 10.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Fund s market price and NAV per share:

	1	/31/11	7/3	31/10	Change	I	High	Low
Market Price Net Asset Value	\$ \$	11.96 12.51			(11.86)% (9.94)%			

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Fund s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations

	1/31/11	7/31/10
Transportation	30%	31%
County/City/Special District/School District	28	29
State	11	11
Utilities	9	10
Education	8	7
Health	6	4
Corporate	4	5
Housing	3	2
Tobacco	1	1

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

Credit Rating	1/31/11	7/31/10
AAA/Aaa	15%	44%

AA/Aa	50	17
A	24	34
BBB/Baa	9	2
BB/Ba	2	3

Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JANUARY 31, 2011 9

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Funds may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the yield and NAV of their common shares of beneficial interest (Common Shares). However, these objectives cannot be achieved in all interest rate environments.

To leverage, all the Funds issue preferred shares (Preferred Shares), which pay dividends at prevailing short-term interest rates, and invest the proceeds in long-term municipal bonds. In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the financing cost of assets to be obtained from leverage, which will be based on short-term interest rates, will normally be lower than the income earned by each Fund on its longer-term portfolio investments. To the extent that the total assets of each Fund (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, each Fund sholders of Common Shares (Common Shareholders) will benefit from the incremental net income.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Fund s Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and it issues Preferred Shares for an additional \$50 million, creating a total value of \$150 million available for investment in long-term municipal bonds. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and long-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, the Fund pays dividends on the \$50 million of Preferred Shares based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by the Fund with assets received from the Preferred Shares issuance earn income based on long-term interest rates. In this case, the dividends paid to holders of Preferred Shares (Preferred Shareholders) are significantly lower than the income earned on the Fund s long-term investments, and therefore the Common Shareholders are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

If short-term interest rates rise, narrowing the differential between short-term and long-term interest rates, the incremental net income pickup on the Common Shares will be reduced or eliminated completely. Furthermore, if prevailing short-term interest rates rise above long-term interest rates of 6%, the yield curve has a negative slope. In this case, the Fund pays dividends on the higher short-term interest rates whereas the Fund s total portfolio earns income based on lower long-term interest rates.

Furthermore, the value of the Funds portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the redemption value of the Funds Preferred Shares does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Funds NAV positively or negatively in addition to the impact on Fund performance from leverage from Preferred Shares discussed above.

The Funds may also leverage their assets through the use of TOBs, as described in Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements. TOB investments generally will provide the Funds with economic benefits in periods of declining short-term interest rates, but expose the Funds to risks during periods of rising short-term interest rates similar to those associated with Preferred Shares issued by the Funds, as described above. Additionally, fluctuations in the market value of municipal bonds deposited into the TOB may adversely affect each Fund s NAV per share.

The use of leverage may enhance opportunities for increased income to the Funds and Common Shareholders, but as described above, it also creates risks as short or long-term interest rates fluctuate. Leverage also will generally cause greater changes in the Funds NAVs, market prices and dividend rates than comparable portfolios without leverage. If the income derived from securities purchased with assets received from leverage exceeds the cost of leverage, each Funds net income will be greater than if leverage had not been used. Conversely, if the income from the securities purchased is not sufficient to cover the cost of leverage, the Funds net income will be less than if leverage had not been used, and therefore the amount available for distribution to Common Shareholders will be reduced. Each Fund may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause a Fund to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit each Fund s ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies, such as in the case of certain restrictions imposed by ratings agencies that rate Preferred Shares issued by the Funds. Each Fund will incur expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by Common Shareholders and may reduce income to the Common Shares.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Funds are permitted to issue Preferred Shares in an amount of up to 50% of their total managed assets at the time of issuance. Under normal circumstances, each Fund anticipates that the total economic leverage from Preferred Shares and/or TOBs will not exceed 50% of its total managed assets at the time such leverage is incurred. As of January 31, 2011, the Funds had economic leverage from Preferred Shares and/or TOBs as a percentage of their total managed assets as follows:

	Percent of Leverage
MUE	42%
MUE MCA MYI	44%
MYI	40%
MYM MYN	39%
MYN	40%

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Funds may invest in various derivative instruments, including financial futures contracts, as specified in Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements, which may constitute forms of economic leverage. Such instruments are used to obtain exposure to a market without owning or taking physical custody of securities or to hedge market and/or interest rate risks. Such derivative instruments involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative instrument and the underlying asset, possible default of the counterparty to the transaction or illiquidity of the derivative instrument. The Funds ability to successfully use a derivative instrument depends on the investment advisor's ability to accurately predict pertinent market movements, which cannot be assured. The use of derivative instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may require a Fund to sell or purchase portfolio investments at inopportune times or for distressed values, may limit the amount of appreciation a Fund can realize on an investment, may result in lower dividends paid to shareholders or may cause a Fund to hold an investment that it might otherwise sell. The Funds investments in these instruments are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

10 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JANUARY 31, 2011

Schedule of Investments January 31, 2011 (Unaudited)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. (MUE) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
Alabama 3.2%		
Birmingham Special Care Facilities Financing		
Authority, RB, Children s Hospital (AGC),		
6.00%, 6/01/39	\$ 5,225	\$ 5,402,963
County of Jefferson Alabama, RB, Series A,		
5.50%, 1/01/22	3,580	3,329,436
		8,732,399
Arizona 0.4%		
State of Arizona, COP, Department of Administration,		
Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 10/01/28	1,175	1,129,152
Arkansas 4.5%		
Arkansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding		
RB, Series C (NPFGC):		
5.35%, 12/01/11 (a)	1,050	1,102,868
5.35%, 12/01/35	11,115	10,993,624
		12,096,492
California 17.0% California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/38 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Sutter Health, Series B, 6.00%, 8/15/42 (b) City of Sunnyvale California, Refunding RB, 5.25%, 4/01/40 City of Vista California, COP, Refunding, Community Projects (NPFGC), 5.00%, 5/01/37 County of Sacramento California, RB, Senior Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/41 Los Angeles Community College District California, GO: Election of 2001, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/32	5,050 2,865 2,800 3,375 3,500 5,000	5,090,804 2,813,201 2,754,612 2,876,141 3,389,400 4,699,000
Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39	2,500	2,365,925
Modesto Schools Infrastructure Financing Agency, Special Tax Bonds (AMBAC), 5.50%, 9/01/36	4,240	3,531,114
Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
California (concluded)		

Oceanside Unified School District California, GO,		
Series A (AGC), 5.25%, 8/01/33	\$ 3,175	\$ 3,175,000
Oxnard Union High School District, GO, Refunding,		
Election of 2004, Series A (AGM),		
5.00%, 8/01/40	3,250	2,901,503
Port of Oakland, RB, Series K, AMT (NPFGC),		
5.75%, 11/01/21	2,975	2,977,082
Port of Oakland, Refunding RB, Series M, AMT		
(NPFGC), 5.38%, 11/01/27	2,220	2,067,775
Roseville Joint Union High School District California,		
GO, Election of 2004, Series A (NPFGC),		
5.00%, 8/01/29	2,985	2,863,242
Sacramento City Financing Authority California, RB,		
Capital Improvement (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/01/27	150	141,666
San Francisco City & County Airports Commission,		
RB, Special Facility Lease, SFO Fuel, Series A, AMT		
(AGM), 6.10%, 1/01/20	1,250	1,251,675
State of California, GO, Refunding, Veterans, Series		
BZ, AMT (NPFGC), 5.35%, 12/01/21	1,280	1,280,013
Stockton Public Financing Authority California, RB,		
Redevelopment Projects, Series A (Radian),		
5.25%, 9/01/34	2,430	1,780,558
		45,958,711
Colorado 1.6%		
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital,		
NCMC Inc. Project, Series B (AGM),	2 200	2 455 002
6.00%, 5/15/26	3,300	3,477,903
Colorado Housing & Finance Authority, Refunding		
RB, S/F Program, Senior Series A-2, AMT,	105	1.41.070
7.50%, 4/01/31	135	141,078
Regional Transportation District, COP, Series A,	765	747.251
5.00%, 6/01/25	765	747,351
		4,366,332
		-,,

Portfolio Abbreviations

To simplify the listings of portfolio holdings in the Schedules of Investments, the names and descriptions of many of the securities have been abbreviated according to the following list:

ACA ACA Financial Guaranty Corp.
AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC American Municipal Bond Assurance Corp.
AMT Alternative Minimum Tax (subject to)

ARB Airport Revenue Bonds

BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.BOCES Board of Cooperative Educational Services

CAB Capital Appreciation Bonds
CIFG CDC IXIS Financial Guaranty
COP Certificates of Participation
EDA Economic Development Authority
EDC Economic Development Corp.

ERB Education Revenue Bonds FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Co. Federal Housing Administration **FHA** Grant Anticipation Notes **GAN** General Obligation Bonds GO Housing Finance Agency **HFA** Housing Development Authority **HDA** Housing Revenue Bonds HRB

IDRB Industrial Development Revenue Bonds

ISD Independent School District MRB Mortgage Revenue Bonds

NPFGC National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.

PILOT Payment in Lieu of Taxes

PSF-GTD Permanent School Fund Guaranteed

RB Revenue Bonds S/F Single-Family

SONYMA State of New York Mortgage Agency VRDN Variable Rate Demand Notes

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JANUARY 31, 2011 11

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. (MUE) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
District of Columbia 0.8%		
District of Columbia, RB, Deed Tax, Housing		
Production Trust Fund, Series A (NPFGC),	¢ 2.500	¢ 206.225
5.00%, 6/01/32	\$ 2,500	\$ 2,286,225
Florida 18.3%		
County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB:		
CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.24%,		
10/01/37 (c)	905	131,632
Miami International Airport, Series A, AMT (AGM),	12.505	11 170 945
5.25%, 10/01/41 Miami International Airport, Series A, AMT (AGM),	12,505	11,179,845
5.50%, 10/01/41	6,700	6,223,362
Series A, AMT (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/33	6,430	5,711,190
Water & Sewer System (AGM),	0,150	3,711,170
5.00%, 10/01/39	11,010	10,309,874
County of St. John s Florida, RB, CAB (AMBAC),		
5.37%, 6/01/31 (c)	710	214,250
Jacksonville Port Authority, RB, AMT (AGC), 6.00%, 11/01/38	3,625	3,643,850
Orange County School Board, COP, Series A (AGC),	3,023	3,043,630
5.50%, 8/01/34	12,375	11,994,469
		49,408,472
Georgia 3.7%		
City of Atlanta Georgia, RB, General, Series A (AGM),		
5.00%, 1/01/40	2,355	2,188,596
Gwinnett County Hospital Authority, Refunding RB,		
Gwinnett Hospital System, Series D (AGM),		
5.50%, 7/01/41	3,425	3,195,696
Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority, RB, Third		
Indenture, Series B (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/34	4,830	4,751,223
		10,135,515
Idaho 0.1%		
Idaho Housing & Finance Association, RB, S/F		
Mortgage, Series E, AMT, 6.00%, 1/01/32	245	251,708
Illinois 7.8%		
Chicago Board of Education Illinois, GO, Refunding,		
Chicago School Reform Board, Series A (NPFGC),		
5.50%, 12/01/26	2,080	2,051,733
Chicago Transit Authority, RB, Federal Transit		
Administration Section 5309, Series A (AGC),		
6.00%, 6/01/26	3,400	3,526,412

${\tt Edgar\ Filing:\ BLACKROCK\ MUNIYIELD\ NEW\ YORK\ QUALITY\ FUND,\ INC.\ -\ Form\ N-CSRS}$

City of Chicago Illinois, GO, Refunding, Projects,		
Series A (AGM):		
5.00%, 1/01/29	3,585	3,282,820
5.00%, 1/01/30	1,430	1,302,630
City of Chicago Illinois, RB, General, Third Lien,		
Series C (AGM), 5.25%, 1/01/35	2,085	1,997,305
City of Chicago Illinois, Refunding RB, Second Lien		
(NPFGC), 5.50%, 1/01/30	2,270	2,267,367
Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB:		
5.50%, 6/01/23	2,350	2,224,909
6.00%, 6/01/28	670	639,408
State of Illinois, RB, Build Illinois, Series B,		
5.25%, 6/15/28	4,000	3,858,400
	_	
		21,150,984
		21,150,701

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
Indiana 3.6%		
Indiana Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 1/01/42	\$ 8,000	\$ 7,200,720
Indianapolis Local Public Improvement Bond Bank,	\$ 6,000	\$ 7,200,720
Refunding RB, Waterworks Project, Series A (AGC),	2.250	2 422 462
5.50%, 1/01/38	2,370	2,429,463
		9,630,183
Iowa 1.0%		
Iowa Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Iowa Health System (AGC), 5.25%, 2/15/29	2,915	2,828,978
Kansas 0.5%		
Sedgwick & Shawnee Counties Kansas, MRB, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.20%, 12/01/33	1,480	1,501,682
Kentucky 0.9%		
Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, RB, Louisville Arena, Sub-Series A-1 (AGC),		
6.00%, 12/01/38	1,000	1,016,490
Kentucky State Property & Buildings Commission, Refunding RB, Project No. 93 (AGC),		
5.25%, 2/01/29	1,525	1,530,932
		2,547,422
Louisiana 1.4%		
Louisiana State Citizens Property Insurance Corp.,		
RB, Series C-3 (AGC), 6.13%, 6/01/25	3,550	3,830,557
Maine 0.7%		
City of Portland Maine, RB, General (AGM),		
5.25%, 1/01/35	1,920	1,884,019
Michigan 11.9%		
City of Detroit Michigan DD:		

City of Detroit Michigan, RB:

Second Lien, Series B (NPFGC),		
5.50%, 7/01/29	4,170	3,941,275
Senior Lien, Series B (AGM), 7.50%, 7/01/33	2,500	2,895,175
City of Detroit Michigan, Refunding RB:		
Second Lien, Series C (BHAC), 5.75%, 7/01/27	2,600	2,672,462
Second Lien, Series E (BHAC), 5.75%, 7/01/31	5,060	5,080,898
Senior Lien, Series C-1 (AGM), 7.00%, 7/01/27	4,180	4,666,259
Senior Lien, Series C-2 (BHAC),		
5.25%, 7/01/29	1,860	1,783,628
Kalamazoo Hospital Finance Authority, RB, Bronson		
Methodist Hospital (AGM), 5.25%, 5/15/36	2,000	1,835,020
Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB,		
Facilities Program, Series I (AGC):		
5.25%, 10/15/24	1,440	1,459,973
5.25%, 10/15/25	750	750,563
Michigan Strategic Fund, Refunding RB, AMT, Detroit		
Edison Co. (Syncora):		
Pollution, Series C, 5.65%, 9/01/29	2,935	2,868,845
Project, Series A, 5.50%, 6/01/30	750	713,123
Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan,		
Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital,		
8.25%, 9/01/39	3,115	3,468,584
	_	
		32,135,805

See Notes to Financial Statements.

12	SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT	JANUARY 31, 2011

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Quality Fund II, Inc. (MUE) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
Minnesota 0.8%		
City of Minneapolis Minnesota, Refunding RB,		
Fairview Health Services, Series B (AGC),		
6.50%, 11/15/38	\$ 1,975	\$ 2,073,059
Nevada 4.2%		
County of Clark Nevada, RB:		
Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport,		
Series A (AGC), 5.25%, 7/01/39	5,765	5,333,202
Subordinate Lien, Series A-2 (NPFGC),		
5.00%, 7/01/36	6,595	5,826,023
Nevada Housing Division, Refunding RB, S/F		
Mortgage, Mezzanine, Series A-2, AMT (NPFGC),		
6.30%, 4/01/22	95	96,422
		11,255,647
New Jersey 7.5%		
Delaware River Port Authority, RB, Series D (AGC),		
5.00%, 1/01/40	3,000	2,867,460
New Jersey EDA, RB, Motor Vehicle Surcharge,		
Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 7/01/33	11,000	10,814,540
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing		
Authority, RB, Virtua Health (AGC),	2.400	2 420 444
5.50%, 7/01/38	3,400	3,429,444
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB,		
Transportation System, Series A (AGC),	2,930	3,090,681
5.63%, 12/15/28	2,930	3,090,081
		20,202,125
New York 2.9%		
New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, RB:		
Fiscal 2009, Series EE, 5.25%, 6/15/40	4,160	4,168,112
Second General Resolution, Series EE,		
5.38%, 6/15/43	1,315	1,319,405
New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB,		
Fiscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/39	2,300	2,296,596
		7,784,113
North Carolina 0.4%		
North Carolina HFA, RB, Home Ownership,		
Series 14A, AMT (AMBAC), 5.35%, 1/01/22	1,020	1,021,449
	,	

Pennsylvania 1.9%		
Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, RB, Sub-Series B	2.000	2.050.660
(AGM), 5.25%, 6/01/39 Pittsburgh & Allegheny County Sports & Exhibition	3,000	2,859,660
Authority, Refunding RB, Regional Asset District		
Sales Tax (AGM), 5.00%, 2/01/31	2,270	2,208,460
	_	
		5,068,120
Puerto Rico 1.3%		
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First		
Sub-Series A, 6.38%, 8/01/39	3,500	3,584,070
South Carolina 5.8%		
South Carolina State Housing Finance &		
Development Authority, Refunding RB, Series A-2,		
AMT (AGM), 6.35%, 7/01/19	925	937,876
South Carolina State Public Service Authority, RB,		
Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 1/01/42	15,000	14,630,550
	_	
		15,568,426

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
Texas 21.2%		
City of Austin Texas, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM):		
5.00%, 11/15/28	\$ 1,795	\$ 1,774,034
5.00%, 11/15/29	2,270	2,226,461
City of Dallas Texas, Refunding RB (AGC),		
5.25%, 8/15/38	2,100	2,005,878
City of Houston Texas, Refunding RB, Combined,		
First Lien, Series A (AGC), 6.00%, 11/15/35	6,700	7,239,149
County of Bexar Texas, RB, Venue Project, Motor		
Vehicle Rental (BHAC), 5.00%, 8/15/39	1,805	1,673,307
Dallas Area Rapid Transit, Refunding RB, Senior Lien,		
5.25%, 12/01/38	3,920	3,932,191
Harris County Health Facilities Development Corp.,		
Refunding RB, Memorial Hermann Healthcare		
System, Series B, 7.25%, 12/01/35	1,000	1,073,870
Lower Colorado River Authority, Refunding RB, LCRA		
Transmission Services Project (AGC),		
5.50%, 5/15/36	2,935	2,899,193
Lubbock Cooper ISD Texas, GO, School Building		
(AGC), 5.75%, 2/15/42	1,250	1,274,113
North Texas Tollway Authority, RB, System, First Tier,		
Series K-2 (AGC), 6.00%, 1/01/38	1,000	1,019,480
North Texas Tollway Authority, Refunding RB (NPFGC)		
System, First Tier:		
5.75%, 1/01/40	14,750	13,861,755
Series A, 5.13%, 1/01/28	3,950	3,796,464
Series A, 5.63%, 1/01/33	10,975	10,489,027
Series B, 5.75%, 1/01/40	1,000	939,780
Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance		
Corp., Refunding RB, Christus Health, Series A		
(AGC), 6.50%, 7/01/37	3,000	3,072,390
		57,277,092