

RADIANT LOGISTICS, INC  
Form 10-K  
September 27, 2010

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 000-50283

RADIANT LOGISTICS, INC.  
(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

04-3625550  
(IRS Employer Identification Number)

405 114th Avenue S.E.  
Bellevue, WA 98004  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip  
Code)

(425) 943-4599  
Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Exchange on which Registered
Common Stock, \$.001 Par Value	None

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

Common Stock, \$.001 Par Value per Share

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: RADIANT LOGISTICS, INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant based on the closing share price of the registrant's common stock on December 31, 2009 as reported on the OTC Bulletin Board was \$3,992,793. Shares of common stock held by each current executive officer and director and by each person who is known by the registrant to own 5% or more of the outstanding common stock have been excluded from this computation in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates of the registrant. This determination of affiliate status is not a conclusive determination for other purposes.

As of September 27, 2010, 29,894,421 shares of the registrant's common stock were outstanding.

Documents Incorporated by Reference: None

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## PART I

ITEM 1	BUSINESS	2
ITEM 1A	RISK FACTORS	9
ITEM 2	PROPERTIES	16
ITEM 3	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	16
ITEM 4	REMOVED AND RESERVED	16

## PART II

ITEM 5	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	16
ITEM 7	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	18
ITEM 8	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	32
ITEM 9	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES	32
ITEM 9A	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	32
ITEM 9B	OTHER INFORMATION	33

## PART III

ITEM 10	DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	33
ITEM 11	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	35
ITEM 12	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS, AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	40
ITEM 13	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE	41
ITEM 14	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	42

## PART IV

ITEM 15	EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	43
Signatures		45
Financial Statements		F-1

## CAUTIONARY STATEMENT ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

### Cautionary Statement for Forward-Looking Statements

This report includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, regarding future operating performance, events, trends and plans. All statements other than statements of historical fact contained herein, including, without limitation, statements regarding our future financial position, business strategy, budgets, projected revenues and costs, and plans and objectives of management for future operations, are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements generally can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "may," "will," "expects," "intends," "plans," "projects," "estimates," "anticipates," or "believes" or the negative thereof or any variation thereon or similar terminology or expressions. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events. These forward-looking statements are not guarantees and are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and assumptions about us that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. While it is impossible to identify all of the factors that may cause our actual operating performance, events, trends or plans to differ materially from those set forth in such forward-looking statements, such factors include the inherent risks associated with our ability to: (i) use our current infrastructure as a "platform" upon which we can build a profitable global transportation and supply chain management company; (ii) retain and build upon the relationships we have with our exclusive agency offices; (iii) continue the development of our back office infrastructure and transportation and accounting systems in a manner sufficient to service our expanding revenues and base of exclusive agency locations; (iv) continue growing our business and maintain historical or increased gross profit margins; (v) locate suitable acquisition opportunities; (vi) secure the financing necessary to complete any acquisition opportunities we locate; (vii) assess and respond to competitive practices in the industries in which we compete; (viii) mitigate, to the best extent possible, our dependence on current management and certain of our larger exclusive agency locations; (ix) assess and respond to the impact of current and future laws and governmental regulations affecting the transportation industry in general and our operations in particular; and (x) assess and respond to such other factors which may be identified from time to time in our Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") filings and other public announcements including those set forth below under the caption "Risk Factors" in Part 1 Item 1A of this report. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us, or persons acting on our behalf, are expressly qualified in their entirety by the foregoing. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements, as they speak only as of the date made. Except as required by law, we assume no duty to update or revise our forward-looking statements.

## PART I

### ITEM 1. BUSINESS

#### The Company

Radiant Logistics, Inc. (the "Company," "we" or "us") was incorporated in the State of Delaware on March 15, 2001. Currently, we are executing a strategy to build a global transportation and supply chain management company through organic growth and the strategic acquisition of best-of-breed, non-asset based transportation and logistics providers to offer our customers domestic and international freight forwarding and an expanding array of value added supply chain management services, including order fulfillment, inventory management and warehousing.

We completed the first step in our business strategy through the acquisition of Airgroup Corporation ("Airgroup") effective as of January 1, 2006. Airgroup is a Bellevue, Washington based non-asset based logistics company providing domestic and international freight forwarding services through a network which includes a combination of company-owned and exclusive agent offices across North America. Airgroup has a diversified account base including manufacturers, distributors and retailers using a network of independent carriers and international agents positioned strategically around the world.

We continue to identify a number of additional companies as suitable acquisition candidates and have completed two material acquisitions since our acquisition of Airgroup. In November 2007, we acquired Automotive Services Group in Detroit, Michigan to service the automotive industry. In September 2008, we acquired Adcom Express, Inc. d/b/a Adcom Worldwide ("Adcom"), adding an additional 30 locations across North America and augmenting our overall domestic and international freight forwarding capabilities.

In connection with the acquisition of Adcom, we changed the name of Airgroup Corporation to Radiant Global Logistics, Inc. ("RGL") in order to better position our centralized back-office operations to service both the Airgroup and Adcom network brands. RGL, through the Airgroup and Adcom network brands, has a diversified account base including manufacturers, distributors and retailers using a network of independent carriers and international agents positioned strategically around the world.

Our growth strategy will continue to focus on both organic growth and acquisitions. From an organic perspective, we will focus on strengthening existing and expanding new customer relationships. One of the drivers of our organic growth will be retaining existing, and securing new exclusive agency locations. Since our acquisition of Airgroup in January 2006, we have focused our efforts on the build-out of our network of exclusive agency offices, as well as enhancing our back-office infrastructure and transportation and accounting systems. We will continue to search for targets that fit within our acquisition criteria. Our ability to secure additional financing will rely upon the sale of debt or equity securities, and the development of an active trading market for our securities.

As we continue to build out our network of exclusive agent locations to achieve a level of critical mass and scale, we are executing an acquisition strategy to develop additional growth opportunities. Our acquisition strategy relies upon two primary factors: first, our ability to identify and acquire target businesses that fit within our general acquisition criteria; and second, the continued availability of capital and financing resources sufficient to complete these acquisitions.

Successful implementation of our growth strategy depends upon a number of factors, including our ability to: (i) continue developing new agency locations; (ii) locate acquisition opportunities; (iii) secure adequate funding to finance identified acquisition opportunities; (iv) efficiently integrate the businesses of the companies acquired; (v) generate the anticipated economies of scale from the integration; and (vi) maintain the historic sales growth of the

acquired businesses in order to generate continued organic growth. There are a variety of risks associated with our ability to achieve our strategic objectives, including the ability to acquire and profitably manage additional businesses and the intense competition in the industry for customers and for acquisition candidates. Certain of these business risks are identified or referred to below in Item 1A of this Report.

2

---

We will continue to search for targets that fit within our acquisition criteria. Our ability to secure additional financing will rely upon the sale of debt or equity securities, and the development of an active trading market for our securities. Although we can make no assurance as to our long term access to debt or equity securities or our ability to develop an active trading market, in March of 2010, we were successful in increasing our credit facility from \$15.0 million to \$20.0 million.

## Industry Overview

As business requirements for efficient and cost-effective logistics services have increased, so has the importance and complexity of effectively managing freight transportation. Businesses increasingly strive to minimize inventory levels, perform manufacturing and assembly operations in the lowest cost locations, and distribute their products in numerous global markets. As a result, companies are increasingly looking to third-party logistics providers to help them execute their supply chain strategies.

Customers have two principal third-party alternatives: a freight forwarder or a fully-integrated carrier. We operate as a freight forwarder. Freight forwarders procure shipments from customers and arrange the transportation of cargo on a carrier. A freight forwarder may also arrange pick-up from the shipper to the carrier and delivery of the shipment from the carrier to the recipient. Freight forwarders often tailor shipment routing to meet the customer's price and service requirements. Fully-integrated carriers, such as FedEx Corporation, DHL Worldwide Express, Inc. and United Parcel Service ("UPS"), provide pickup and delivery service, primarily through their own captive fleets of trucks and aircraft. Because freight forwarders select from various transportation options in routing customer shipments, they are often able to serve customers less expensively and with greater flexibility than integrated carriers. Freight forwarders generally handle shipments of any size and offer a variety of customized shipping options.

Most freight forwarders, like Radiant Global Logistics, focus on heavier cargo and do not generally compete with integrated shippers of primarily smaller parcels. In addition to the high fixed expenses associated with owning, operating and maintaining fleets of aircraft, trucks and related equipment, integrated carriers often impose significant restrictions on delivery schedules and shipment weight, size and type. On occasion, integrated shippers serve as a source of cargo space to forwarders. Additionally, most freight forwarders do not generally compete with the major commercial airlines, which, to some extent, depend on forwarders to procure shipments and supply freight to fill cargo space on their scheduled flights.

We believe there are several factors that are increasing demand for global logistics solutions. These factors include:

- **Outsourcing of non-core activities.** Companies increasingly outsource freight forwarding, warehousing and other supply chain activities to allow them to focus on their respective core competencies. From managing purchase orders to the timely delivery of products, companies turn to third party logistics providers to manage these functions at a lower cost and greater efficiency.
- **Globalization of trade.** As barriers to international trade are reduced or substantially eliminated, international trade is increasing. In addition, companies increasingly are sourcing their parts, supplies and raw materials from the most cost competitive suppliers throughout the world. Outsourcing of manufacturing functions to, or locating company-owned manufacturing facilities in, low cost areas of the world also results in increased volumes of world trade.
- **Increased need for time-definite delivery.** The need for just-in-time and other time-definite delivery has increased as a result of the globalization of manufacturing, greater implementation of demand-driven supply chains, the shortening of product cycles and the increasing value of individual shipments. Many businesses recognize that increased spending on time-definite supply chain management services can decrease overall manufacturing and distribution costs, reduce capital requirements and allow them to manage their working capital more efficiently by reducing inventory levels and inventory loss.





- Consolidation of global logistics providers. Companies are decreasing the number of freight forwarders and supply chain management providers with which they interact. We believe companies want to transact business with a limited number of providers that are familiar with their requirements, processes and procedures, and can function as long-term partners. In addition, there is strong pressure on national and regional freight forwarders and supply chain management providers to become aligned with a global network. Larger freight forwarders and supply chain management providers benefit from economies of scale which enable them to negotiate reduced transportation rates and to allocate their overhead over a larger volume of transactions. Globally integrated freight forwarders and supply chain management providers are better situated to provide a full complement of services, including pick-up and delivery, shipment via air, sea and/or road transport, warehousing and distribution, and customs brokerage.
- Increasing influence of e-business and the internet. Technology advances have allowed businesses to connect electronically through the Internet to obtain relevant information and make purchase and sale decisions on a real-time basis, resulting in decreased transaction times and increased business-to-business activity. In response to their customers' expectations, companies have recognized the benefits of being able to transact business electronically. As such, businesses increasingly are seeking the assistance of supply chain service providers with sophisticated information technology systems which can facilitate real-time transaction processing and web-based shipment monitoring.

### Our Growth Strategy

Our objective is to provide customers with comprehensive value-added logistics solutions. We plan to achieve this goal through domestic and international freight forwarding services offered by us through our Airgroup and Adcom brands. We expect to grow our business organically and by completing acquisitions of other companies with complementary geographical and logistics service offerings.

Our organic growth strategy involves strengthening existing and expanding new customer relationships. One of the drivers of this strategy is our ability to retain existing, and secure new exclusive agency locations. Since our acquisition of Airgroup, we have focused our efforts on the organic build-out of our network of exclusive agency locations, as well as the enhancement of our back office infrastructure and transportation and accounting systems. Through our most recent acquisition of Adcom we have made further progress in our acquisition strategy and intend to pursue further acquisition opportunities to consolidate and enhance our position in current markets and acquire operations in new markets.

Our growth strategy has been designed to take advantage of shifting market dynamics. The third party logistics industry continues to grow as an increasing number of businesses outsource their logistics functions to more cost effectively manage and extract value from their supply chains. The industry is positioned for further consolidation as it remains highly fragmented, and as customers are demanding the types of sophisticated and broad reaching service offerings that can more effectively be handled by larger more diverse organizations. We believe the highly fragmented composition of the marketplace, the industry participants' need for capital, and their owners' desire for liquidity has and will continue to produce a large number of attractive acquisition candidates. More specifically, we believe that there are a number of participants within the agent-based forwarding community that will be seeking liquidity within the next several years as these owners approach retirement age, which creates a significant growth opportunity by supporting these logistics entrepreneurs in transition. Our target acquisition candidates are generally expected to have earnings of \$1.0 to \$5.0 million per year. Companies in this range of earnings may be receptive to our acquisition program since they are often too small to be identified as acquisition targets by larger public companies or to independently attempt their own public offerings.

On a longer-term basis, we believe we can successfully implement our acquisition strategy due to the following factors:



- the highly fragmented composition of our market;
- our strategy for creating an organization with global reach should enhance an acquired target company's ability to compete in its local and regional markets through an expansion of offered services and lower operating costs;
- the potential for increased profitability as a result of our centralization of certain administrative functions, greater purchasing power and economies of scale;
- our centralized management capabilities should enable us to effectively manage our growth and the integration of acquired companies;
- our status as a public corporation may ultimately provide us with a liquid trading currency for acquisitions; and
  - the ability to utilize our experienced management to identify, acquire and integrate acquisition opportunities.

We will be opportunistic in executing our acquisition strategy with a bias towards completing transactions in key gateway locations such as Los Angeles, New York, Chicago, Seattle, Miami, Dallas, and Houston to expand our international base of operations. We believe that our domestic and expanded international capabilities, when taken together, will provide significant competitive advantage in the marketplace.

### Our Operating Strategy

**Leverage the People, Process and Technology Available through a Central Platform.** A key element of our operating strategy is to maximize our operational efficiencies by integrating general and administrative functions into our back-office operations and reducing or eliminating redundant functions and facilities at acquired companies. This is designed to enable us to quickly realize potential savings and synergies, efficiently control and monitor operations of acquired companies, and allow acquired companies to focus on growing their sales and operations.

**Develop and Maintain Strong Customer Relationships.** We seek to develop and maintain strong interactive customer relationships by anticipating and focusing on our customers' needs. We emphasize a relationship-oriented approach to business, rather than the transaction or assignment-oriented approach used by many of our competitors. To develop close customer relationships, we and our network of exclusive agents regularly meet with both existing and prospective clients to help design solutions for, and identify the resources needed to execute, their supply chain strategies. We believe that this relationship-oriented approach results in greater customer satisfaction and reduced business development expense.

### Operations

Through our Airgroup and Adcom stations, we offer domestic and international air, ocean and ground freight forwarding for shipments that are generally larger than shipments handled by integrated carriers of primarily small parcels such as Federal Express Corporation and United Parcel Service. Our revenues are generated from a number of diverse services, including air freight forwarding, ocean freight forwarding, logistics and other value-added services.

Our primary business operations involve obtaining shipment or material orders from customers, creating and delivering a wide range of logistics solutions to meet customers' specific requirements for transportation and related services, and arranging and monitoring all aspects of material flow activity utilizing advanced information technology systems. These logistics solutions include domestic and international freight forwarding and door-to-door delivery services using a wide range of transportation modes, including air, ocean and truck. As a non-asset based provider we do not own the transportation equipment used to transport the freight. We expect to neither own nor operate any aircraft and, consequently, place no restrictions on delivery schedules or shipment size. We arrange for transportation of our customers' shipments via commercial airlines, air cargo carriers, and other assets and non-asset based third-party providers. We select the carrier for a shipment based on route, departure time, available cargo capacity and cost. We charter cargo aircraft from time to time depending upon seasonality, freight volumes and other factors. We make a profit or margin on the difference between what we charge to our customers for the totality of services provided to them, and what we pay to the transportation provider to transport the freight.



## Information Services

The regular enhancement of our information systems and ultimate migration of acquired companies and additional exclusive agency locations to a common set of back-office and customer facing applications is a key component of our growth strategy. We believe that the ability to provide accurate real-time information on the status of shipments will become increasingly important and that our efforts in this area will result in competitive service advantages. In addition, we believe that centralizing our transportation management system (rating, routing, tender and financial settlement processes) will drive significant productivity improvement across our network.

We utilize a web-enabled third-party freight forwarding software (Cargowise) which is integrated to our third-party accounting system (SAP) that combine to form the foundation of our supply-chain technologies which we call "Globalvision". Globalvision provides us with a common set of back-office operating, accounting and customer facing applications used across the network. We have and will continue to assess technologies obtained through our acquisition strategy and expect to develop a "best-of-breed" solution set using a combination of owned and licensed technologies. This strategy will require the investment of significant management and financial resources to deliver these enabling technologies.

## Our Competitive Advantages

As a non-asset based third-party logistics provider, we believe that we are well-positioned to provide cost-effective and efficient solutions to address the demand in the marketplace for transportation and logistics services. We believe that the most important competitive factors in our industry are quality of service, including reliability, responsiveness, expertise and convenience, scope of operations, geographic coverage, information technology and price. We believe our primary competitive advantages are: (i) our low cost; non-asset based business model; (ii) our intention to develop a global network; (iii) our information technology resources; and (iv) our diverse customer base:

- **Non-asset based business model.** With relatively no dedicated or fixed operating costs, we are able to leverage our network of exclusive agency offices and offer competitive pricing and flexible solutions to our customers. Moreover, our balanced product offering provides us with revenue streams from multiple sources and enables us to retain customers even as they shift from priority to deferred shipments of their products. We believe our model allows us to provide low-cost solutions to our customers while also generating revenues from multiple modes of transportation and logistics services.
- **Intention to develop a global network.** We intend to focus on expanding our network on a global basis. Once accomplished, this will enable us to provide a closed-loop logistics chain to our customers worldwide. Within North America, our capabilities consist of our pickup and delivery network, ground and air networks, and logistics capabilities. Our ground and pickup and delivery networks enable us to service the growing deferred forwarding market while providing the domestic connectivity for international shipments once they reach North America. In addition, our heavyweight air network provides for competitive costs on shipments, as we have no dedicated charters or leases and can capitalize on available capacity in the market to move our customers' goods.
- **Information technology resources.** A primary component of our business strategy is the continued development of advanced information systems to continually provide accurate and timely information to our management and customers. Our customer delivery tools enable connectivity with our customers' and trading partners' systems, which leads to more accurate and up-to-date information on the status of shipments.
- **Diverse customer base.** We have a well-diversified base of customers that includes manufacturers, distributors and retailers. As of the date of this report, no single customer represented more than 5% of our business reducing risks associated with any particular industry or customer concentration. Although we have no customers that account for more than 5% of our revenues, there are two agency locations that each account for more than 5% of our total gross revenues.



## Sales and Marketing

We principally market our services through the senior management teams in place at each of our 72 company-owned and exclusive independent agent offices located across North America. Each office is staffed with operational employees to provide support for the sales team, develop frequent contact with the customer's traffic department, and maintain customer service. Our current network is predominantly represented by exclusive agent operations that rely on us for operating authority, technology, sales and marketing support, access to working capital and our carrier network, and collective purchasing power. Through the agency relationship, the agent has the ability to focus on the operational and sales support aspects of the business without diverting costs or expertise to the structural aspect of its operations and provides the agent with the regional, national and global brand recognition that they would not otherwise be able to achieve by serving their local markets.

As we continue to grow, we expect to implement a national accounts program which is intended to increase our emphasis on obtaining high-revenue national accounts with multiple shipping locations. These accounts typically impose numerous requirements on those competing for their freight business, including electronic data interchange and proof of delivery capabilities, the ability to generate customized shipping reports and a nationwide network of terminals. These requirements often limit the competition for these accounts to a very small number of logistics providers. We believe that our anticipated future growth and development will enable us to more effectively compete for and obtain these accounts.

During the past two years, we have not spent any material amount on research and development activities.

## Competition and Business Conditions

The logistics business is directly impacted by the volume of domestic and international trade. The volume of such trade is influenced by many factors, including economic and political conditions in the United States and abroad, major work stoppages, exchange controls, currency fluctuations, acts of war, terrorism and other armed conflicts, United States and international laws relating to tariffs, trade restrictions, foreign investments and taxation.

The global logistics services and transportation industries are intensively competitive and are expected to remain so for the foreseeable future. We will compete against other integrated logistics companies, as well as transportation services companies, consultants, information technology vendors and shippers' transportation departments. This competition is based primarily on rates, quality of service (such as damage-free shipments, on-time delivery and consistent transit times), reliable pickup and delivery and scope of operations. Most of our competitors will have substantially greater financial resources than we do.

## Principal Customers

Although we have no customers that account for more than 5% of our revenues, there are two agency locations which each account for more than 5% of our total gross revenues.

## Regulation

There are numerous transportation related regulations. Failure to comply with the applicable regulations or to maintain required permits or licenses could result in substantial fines or revocation of operating permits or authorities. We cannot give assurance as to the degree or cost of future regulations on our business. Some of the regulations affecting our current and prospective operations are described below.

Air freight forwarding businesses are subject to regulation, as an indirect air cargo carrier, under the Federal Aviation Act by the U.S. Department of Transportation and by the Department of Homeland Security and the Transportation Security Administration. However, air freight forwarders are exempted from most of the Federal Aviation Act's requirements by the Economic Aviation Regulations. The air freight forwarding industry is subject to regulatory and legislative changes that can affect the economics of the industry by requiring changes in operating practices or influencing the demand for, and the costs of providing, services to customers.

Surface freight forwarding operations are subject to various federal statutes and are regulated by the Surface Transportation Board. This federal agency has broad investigatory and regulatory powers, including the power to issue a certificate of authority or license to engage in the business, to approve specified mergers, consolidations and acquisitions, and to regulate the delivery of some types of domestic shipments and operations within particular geographic areas.

The Surface Transportation Board and U.S. Department of Transportation also have the authority to regulate interstate motor carrier operations, including the regulation of certain rates, charges and accounting systems, to require periodic financial reporting, and to regulate insurance, driver qualifications, operation of motor vehicles, parts and accessories for motor vehicle equipment, hours of service of drivers, inspection, repair, maintenance standards and other safety related matters. The federal laws governing interstate motor carriers have both direct and indirect application to the Company. The breadth and scope of the federal regulations may affect our operations and the motor carriers which are used in the provisioning of the transportation services. In certain locations, state or local permits or registrations may also be required to provide or obtain intrastate motor carrier services.

The Federal Maritime Commission, or FMC, regulates and licenses ocean forwarding operations. Indirect ocean carriers (non-vessel operating common carriers) are subject to FMC regulation, under the FMC tariff filing and surety bond requirements, and under the Shipping Act of 1984, particularly those terms proscribing rebating practices.

United States customs brokerage operations are subject to the licensing requirements of the U.S. Treasury and are regulated by the U.S. Customs Service. As we broaden our capabilities to include customs brokerage operations, we will be subject to regulation by the U.S. Customs Service. Likewise, any customs brokerage operations would also be licensed in and subject to the regulations of their respective countries.

In the United States, we are subject to federal, state and local provisions relating to the discharge of materials into the environment or otherwise for the protection of the environment. Similar laws apply in many foreign jurisdictions in which we may operate in the future. Although current operations have not been significantly affected by compliance with these environmental laws, governments are becoming increasingly sensitive to environmental issues, and we cannot predict what impact future environmental regulations may have on our business. We do not anticipate making any material capital expenditures for environmental control purposes.

#### Personnel

As of the date of this report, we have approximately 82 employees, of which 80 are full time. None of these employees are currently covered by a collective bargaining agreement. We have experienced no work stoppages and consider our relations with our employees to be good.



## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

### RISKS PARTICULAR TO OUR BUSINESS

We are largely dependent on the efforts of our exclusive agents to generate our revenue and service our customers.

We currently sell our services through a network predominantly represented by exclusive agent stations located throughout North America. Although we have exclusive and long-term relationships with these agents, our Airgroup agency agreements are generally terminable by either party subject to requisite notice provisions that generally range from 10-30 days. The Adcom agency agreements generally carry a fixed term and can range from 1 to 25 years and generally include a first-right-of refusal to acquire the location. Although we have no customers that account for more than 5% of our revenues, there are two agency locations which each account for more than 5% of our total gross revenues. The loss of one or more of these exclusive agents could negatively impact our ability to retain and service our customers. We will need to expand our existing relationships and enter into new relationships in order to increase our current and future market share and revenue. We cannot be certain that we will be able to maintain and expand our existing relationships or enter into new relationships, or that any new relationships will be available on commercially reasonable terms. If we are unable to maintain and expand our existing relationships or enter into new relationships, we may lose customers, customer introductions and co-marketing benefits and our operating results may suffer.

If we fail to develop and integrate information technology systems or we fail to upgrade or replace our information technology systems to handle increased volumes and levels of complexity, meet the demands of our agents and customers and protect against disruptions of our operations, we may suffer a loss in our business.

Increasingly, we compete for business based upon the flexibility, sophistication and security of the information technology systems supporting our services. The failure of the hardware or software that supports our information technology systems, the loss of data contained in the systems, or the inability to access or interact with our web site or connect electronically, could significantly disrupt our operations, prevent clients from placing orders, or cause us to lose inventory items, orders or clients. If our information technology systems are unable to handle additional volume for our operations as our business and scope of services grow, our service levels, operating efficiency and future transaction volumes will decline. In addition, we expect our agents to continue to demand more sophisticated, fully integrated information technology systems from us as customers demand the same from their supply chain services providers. If we fail to hire qualified persons to implement, maintain and protect our information technology systems or we fail to upgrade or replace our information technology systems to handle increased volumes and levels of complexity, meet the demands of our agents and customers and protect against disruptions of our operations, we may suffer a loss in our business.

Because our freight forwarding and domestic ground transportation operations are dependent on commercial airfreight carriers and air charter operators, ocean freight carriers, major U.S. railroads, other transportation companies, draymen and longshoremen, changes in available cargo capacity and other changes affecting such carriers, as well as interruptions in service or work stoppages, may negatively impact our business.

We rely on commercial airfreight carriers and air charter operators, ocean freight carriers, trucking companies, major U.S. railroads, other transportation companies, draymen and longshoremen for the movement of our clients' cargo. Consequently, our ability to provide services for our clients could be adversely impacted by shortages in available cargo capacity; changes by carriers and transportation companies in policies and practices such as scheduling, pricing, payment terms and frequency of service or increases in the cost of fuel, taxes and labor; and other factors not within our control. Reductions in airfreight or ocean freight capacity could negatively impact our yields. Material interruptions in service or stoppages in transportation, whether caused by strike, work stoppage, lock-out, slowdown or otherwise, could adversely impact our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our profitability depends on our ability to effectively manage our cost structure as we grow the business.

As we continue to expand our revenues through the expansion of our network of exclusive agency locations, we must maintain an appropriate cost structure to maintain and expand our profitability. While we intend to continue to work on growing revenue by increasing the number of our exclusive agency locations, by strategic acquisitions, and by continuing to work on maintaining and expanding our gross profit margins by reducing transportation costs, our ultimate profitability will be driven by our ability to manage our agent commissions, personnel and general and administrative costs as a function of our net revenues. There can be no assurances that we will be able to increase revenues or maintain profitability.

We face intense competition in the freight forwarding, logistics and supply chain management industry.

The freight forwarding, logistics and supply chain management industry is intensely competitive and is expected to remain so for the foreseeable future. We face competition from a number of companies, including many that have significantly greater financial, technical and marketing resources. There are a large number of companies competing in one or more segments of the industry, although the number of firms with a global network that offer a full complement of freight forwarding and supply chain management services is more limited. Depending on the location of the customer and the scope of services requested, we must compete against both the niche players and larger entities. In addition, customers increasingly are turning to competitive bidding situations soliciting bids from a number of competitors, including competitors that are larger than us.

Our business is subject to seasonal trends.

Historically, our operating results have been subject to seasonal trends when measured on a quarterly basis. Our first and fourth fiscal quarters are traditionally weaker compared with our second and third fiscal quarters. This trend is dependent on numerous factors, including the markets in which we operate, holiday seasons, climate, economic conditions and numerous other factors. A substantial portion of our revenue is derived from clients in industries whose shipping patterns are tied closely to consumer demand which can sometimes be difficult to predict or are based on just-in-time production schedules. Therefore, our revenue is, to a larger degree, affected by factors that are outside of our control. There can be no assurance that our historic operating patterns will continue in future periods as we cannot influence or forecast many of these factors.

Our industry is consolidating and if we cannot gain sufficient market presence in our industry, we may not be able to compete successfully against larger, global companies in our industry.

There currently is a marked trend within our industry toward consolidation of the niche players into larger companies that are attempting to increase global operations through the acquisition of regional and local freight forwarders. If we cannot gain sufficient market presence or otherwise establish a successful strategy in our industry, we may not be able to compete successfully against larger companies in our industry with global operations.

Our information technology systems are subject to risks which we cannot control.

Our information technology systems are dependent upon third party communications providers, web browsers, telephone systems and other aspects of the Internet infrastructure which have experienced significant system failures and electrical outages in the past. Our systems are susceptible to outages due to fire, floods, power loss, telecommunications failures, break-ins and similar events. Despite our implementation of network security measures, our servers are vulnerable to computer viruses, break-ins and similar disruptions from unauthorized tampering with our computer systems. The occurrence of any of these events could disrupt or damage our information technology systems and inhibit our internal operations, and our ability to provide services to our customers.

If we are not able to limit our liability for customers' claims through contract terms and limit our exposure through the purchase of insurance, we could be required to pay large amounts to our clients as compensation for their claims and our results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

In general, we seek to limit by contract and/or International Conventions and laws our liability to our clients for loss or damage to their goods to \$20 per kilogram (approximately \$9.07 per pound) and \$500 per carton or customary unit, for ocean freight shipments, again depending on the International Convention. For truck/land based risks there are a variety of limits ranging from a nominal amount to full value. However, because a freight forwarder's relationship to an airline or ocean carrier is that of a shipper to a carrier, the airline or ocean carrier generally assumes the same responsibility to us as we assume to our clients. When we act in the capacity of an authorized agent for an air or ocean

carrier, the carrier, rather than us, assumes liability for the safe delivery of the client's cargo to its ultimate destination, unless due to our own errors and omissions.

We have, from time to time, made payments to our clients for claims related to our services and may make such payments in the future. Should we experience an increase in the number or size of such claims or an increase in liability pursuant to claims or unfavorable resolutions of claims, our results could be adversely affected. There can be no assurance that our insurance coverage will provide us with adequate coverage for such claims or that the maximum amounts for which we are liable in connection with our services will not change in the future or exceed our insurance levels. As with every insurance policy, there are limits, exclusions and deductibles that apply and we could be subject to claims for which insurance coverage may be inadequate or even disputed and which claims could adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, significant increases in insurance costs could reduce our profitability.

Our failure to comply with, or the costs of complying with, government regulation could negatively affect our results of operation.

Our freight forwarding business as an indirect air cargo carrier is subject to regulation by the United States Department of Transportation ("DOT") under the Federal Aviation Act, and by the Department of Homeland Security and the Transportation Security Administration ("TSA"). Our overseas independent agents' air freight forwarding operations are subject to regulation by the regulatory authorities of the respective foreign jurisdictions. The air freight forwarding industry is subject to regulatory and legislative changes which can affect the economics of the industry by requiring changes in operating practices or influencing the demand for, and the costs of providing, services to customers. We do not believe that costs of regulatory compliance have had a material adverse impact on our operations to date. However, our failure to comply with any applicable regulations could have an adverse effect. There can be no assurance that the adoption of future regulations would not have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our present levels of capital may limit the implementation of our business strategy.

The objective of our business strategy is to build a global logistics services organization. One element of this strategy is an acquisition program which contemplates the acquisition of a number of diverse companies within the logistics industry covering a variety of geographic regions and specialized service offerings. We have a limited amount of cash resources and our ability to make additional acquisitions without securing additional financing from outside sources is limited. This may limit or slow our ability to achieve the critical mass we need to achieve our strategic objectives.

Our credit facility contains financial covenants that may limit its current availability.

The terms of our credit facility are subject to certain financial covenants which may limit the amount otherwise available under that facility. Principal among these are financial covenants that limit funded debt to a multiple of our consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA"). Under this covenant, our funded debt is limited to a multiple of 4.00 of our EBITDA measured on a rolling four quarter basis. Our ability to generate EBITDA will be critical to our ability to use the full amount of the credit facility.

Dependence on key personnel.

For the foreseeable future our success will depend largely on the continued services of our Chief Executive Officer, Bohn H. Crain, as well as certain of the other key executives of Radiant Global Logistics, because of their collective industry knowledge, marketing skills and relationships with major vendors and owners of our exclusive agent stations. We have secured employment arrangements with each of these individuals, which contain non-competition covenants which survive their actual term of employment. Nevertheless, should any of these individuals leave the Company, it could have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations.

Terrorist attacks and other acts of violence or war may affect any market on which our shares trade, the markets in which we operate, our operations and our profitability.

Terrorist acts or acts of war or armed conflict could negatively affect our operations in a number of ways. Primarily, any of these acts could result in increased volatility in or damage to the U.S. and worldwide financial markets and economy and could lead to increased regulatory requirements with respect to the security and safety of freight shipments and transportation. They could also result in a continuation of the current economic uncertainty in the United States and abroad. Acts of terrorism or armed conflict, and the uncertainty caused by such conflicts, could cause an overall reduction in worldwide sales of goods and corresponding shipments of goods. This would have a corresponding negative effect on our operations. Also, terrorist activities similar to the type experienced on September 11, 2001 could result in another halt of trading of securities, which could also have an adverse effect on the trading price of our shares and overall market capitalization.

#### RISKS RELATED TO OUR ACQUISITION STRATEGY

There is a scarcity of and competition for acquisition opportunities.

There are a limited number of operating companies available for acquisition which we deem to be desirable targets. In addition, there is a very high level of competition among companies seeking to acquire these operating companies. We are and will continue to be a very minor participant in the business of seeking acquisitions of these types of companies. A large number of established and well-financed entities are active in acquiring interests in companies which we may find to be desirable acquisition candidates. Many of these entities have significantly greater financial resources, technical expertise and managerial capabilities than us. Consequently, we will be at a competitive disadvantage in negotiating and executing possible acquisitions of these businesses. Even if we are able to successfully compete with these entities, this competition may affect the terms of completed transactions and, as a result, we may pay more than we expected for potential acquisitions. We may not be able to identify operating companies that complement our strategy, and even if we identify a company that complements our strategy, we may be unable to complete an acquisition of such a company for many reasons, including:

- failure to agree on the terms necessary for a transaction, such as the purchase price;
- incompatibility between our operational strategies and management philosophies and those of the potential acquiree;
- competition from other acquirers of operating companies;
- lack of sufficient capital to acquire a profitable logistics company; and
- unwillingness of a potential acquiree to work with our management.

Risks related to acquisition financing.

In order to continue to pursue our acquisition strategy in the longer term, we may be required to obtain additional financing. We intend to obtain such financing through a combination of traditional debt financing or the placement of debt and equity securities. We may finance some portion of our future acquisitions by either issuing equity or by using shares of our common stock for all or a portion of the purchase price for such businesses. In the event that our common stock does not attain or maintain a sufficient market value, or potential acquisition candidates are otherwise unwilling to accept common stock as part of the purchase price for the sale of their businesses, we may be required to utilize more of our cash resources, if available, in order to maintain our acquisition program. If we do not have sufficient cash resources, we will not be able to complete acquisitions and our growth could be limited unless we are able to obtain additional capital through debt or equity financings.

Our credit facility places certain limits on the type and number of acquisitions we may make.

In March 2010, our \$15.0 million revolving credit facility, including a \$0.5 million sublimit to support letters of credit, was increased to \$20.0 million, to provide additional funding for further acquisitions and our on-going working capital requirements. Under the terms of the credit facility, we are subject to a number of financial and operational covenants which may limit the number of additional acquisitions we make without the lender's consent. In the event that we are not able to satisfy the conditions of the credit facility in connection with a proposed acquisition, we would have to forego the acquisition unless we either obtained the lender's consent or retired the credit facility. This may prevent us from completing acquisitions which we determine are desirable from a business perspective and limit or slow our ability to achieve the critical mass we need to achieve our strategic objectives.

To the extent we make any material acquisitions, our earnings will be adversely affected by non-cash charges relating to the amortization of intangibles which may cause our stock price to decline.

Under applicable accounting standards, purchasers are required to allocate the total consideration paid in a business combination to the identified acquired assets and liabilities based on their fair values at the time of acquisition. The excess of the consideration paid to acquire a business over the fair value of the identifiable tangible assets acquired must be allocated among identifiable intangible assets including goodwill. The amount allocated to goodwill is not subject to amortization. However, it is tested at least annually for impairment. The amount allocated to identifiable intangibles, such as customer relationships and the like, is amortized over the life of these intangible assets. We expect that this will subject us to periodic charges against our earnings to the extent of the amortization incurred for that period. Because our business strategy focuses on growth through acquisitions, our future earnings will be subject to greater non-cash amortization charges than a company whose earnings are derived organically. As a result, we will experience an increase in non-cash charges related to the amortization of intangible assets acquired in our acquisitions. Our financial statements will show that our intangible assets are diminishing in value, when, in fact, we believe they may be increasing because we are growing the value of our intangible assets (e.g. customer relationships). Because of this discrepancy, we believe our EBITDA, a measure of financial performance which does not conform to generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"), provides a meaningful measure of our financial performance. However, the investment community generally measures a public company's performance by its net income. Further, the financial covenants of our credit facility adjust EBITDA to exclude costs related to share based compensation and other non-cash charges. Thus, we believe EBITDA, and adjusted EBITDA, provide a meaningful measure of our financial performance. If the investment community elects to place more emphasis on net income, the future price of our common stock could be adversely affected.

We are not obligated to follow any particular criteria or standards for identifying acquisition candidates.

Even though we have developed general acquisition guidelines, we are not obligated to follow any particular operating, financial, geographic or other criteria in evaluating candidates for potential acquisitions or business combinations. We will target companies which we believe will provide the best potential long-term financial return for our stockholders and we will determine the purchase price and other terms and conditions of acquisitions. Our stockholders will not have the opportunity to evaluate the relevant economic, financial and other information that our management team will use and consider in deciding whether or not to enter into a particular transaction.

We may be required to incur a significant amount of indebtedness in order to successfully implement our acquisition strategy.

We may be required to incur a significant amount of indebtedness in order to complete future acquisitions. If we are not able to generate sufficient cash flow from the operations of acquired companies to make scheduled payments of principal and interest on the indebtedness, then we will be required to use our capital for such payments. This will restrict our ability to make additional acquisitions. We may also be forced to sell an acquired company in order to

satisfy indebtedness. We cannot be certain that we will be able to operate profitably once we incur this indebtedness or that we will be able to generate a sufficient amount of proceeds from the ultimate disposition of such acquired companies to repay the indebtedness incurred to make these acquisitions.



We may experience difficulties in integrating the operations, personnel and assets of companies that we acquire which may disrupt our business, dilute stockholder value and adversely affect our operating results.

A core component of our business plan is to acquire businesses and assets in the transportation and logistics industry. We have only made a limited number of acquisitions and, therefore, our ability to complete such acquisitions and integrate any acquired businesses into our operations is unproven. Increased competition for acquisition candidates may develop, in which event there may be fewer acquisition opportunities available to us as well as higher acquisition prices. There can be no assurance that we will be able to identify, acquire or profitably manage businesses or successfully integrate acquired businesses into the Company without substantial costs, delays or other operational or financial problems. Such acquisitions also involve numerous operational risks, including:

- difficulties in integrating operations, technologies, services and personnel;
- the diversion of financial and management resources from existing operations;
  - the risk of entering new markets;
  - the potential loss of key employees; and
- the inability to generate sufficient revenue to offset acquisition or investment costs.

As a result, if we fail to properly evaluate and execute any acquisitions or investments, our business and prospects may be seriously harmed.

#### RISKS RELATED TO OUR COMMON STOCK

Provisions of our certificate of incorporation, bylaws and Delaware law may make a contested takeover of our Company more difficult.

Certain provisions of our certificate of incorporation, bylaws and the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware ("DGCL") could deter a change in our management or render more difficult an attempt to obtain control of us, even if such a proposal is favored by a majority of our stockholders. For example, we are subject to the provisions of the DGCL that prohibit a public Delaware corporation from engaging in a broad range of business combinations with a person who, together with affiliates and associates, owns 15% or more of the corporation's outstanding voting shares (an "interested stockholder") for three years after the person became an interested stockholder, unless the business combination is approved in a prescribed manner. Our certificate of incorporation provides that directors may only be removed for cause by the affirmative vote of 75% of our outstanding shares and that amendments to our bylaws require the affirmative vote of holders of two-thirds of our outstanding shares. Our certificate of incorporation also includes undesignated preferred stock, which may enable our Board of Directors to discourage an attempt to obtain control of us by means of a tender offer, proxy contest, merger or otherwise. Finally, our bylaws include an advance notice procedure for stockholders to nominate directors or submit proposals at a stockholders meeting.

Trading in our common stock has been limited and there is no significant trading market for our common stock.

Our common stock is currently eligible to be quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board, however, trading to date has been limited. Trading on the OTC Bulletin Board is often characterized by low trading volume and significant price fluctuations. Because of this limited liquidity, stockholders may be unable to sell their shares. The trading price of our shares may from time to time fluctuate widely. The trading price may be affected by a number of factors including events described in the risk factors set forth in this report as well as our operating results, financial condition, announcements, general conditions in the industry, and other events or factors. In recent years, broad stock market indices, in general, and smaller capitalization companies, in particular, have experienced substantial price fluctuations. In a volatile market, we may experience wide fluctuations in the market price of our common stock. These fluctuations may have a negative effect on the market price of our common stock.



The influx of additional shares of our common stock onto the market may create downward pressure on the trading price of our common stock.

We completed private placements of approximately 15.4 million shares of our common stock between October 2005 and February 2006. The availability of those shares for sale to the public under Rule 144 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and sale of such shares in public markets could have an adverse effect on the market price of our common stock. Such an adverse effect on the market price would make it more difficult for us to sell our equity securities in the future at prices which we deem appropriate or to use our shares as currency for future acquisitions which will make it more difficult to execute our acquisition strategy.

The issuance of additional shares in connection with the Adcom and other potential acquisitions may result in additional dilution to our existing stockholders.

We have issued, and may be required to issue, additional shares of common stock or common stock equivalents in payment of the purchase price of companies we have acquired. This will have the effect of further increasing the number of shares outstanding. In connection with future acquisitions, we may undertake the issuance of more shares of common stock without notice to our then existing stockholders. We may also issue additional shares in order to, among other things, compensate employees or consultants or for other valid business reasons in the discretion of our Board of Directors, and could result in diluting the interests of our existing stockholders.

We may issue shares of preferred stock with greater rights than our common stock.

Although we have no current plans or agreements to issue any preferred stock, our certificate of incorporation authorizes our board of directors to issue shares of preferred stock and to determine the price and other terms for those shares without the approval of our shareholders. Any such preferred stock we may issue in the future could rank ahead of our common stock, in terms of dividends, liquidation rights, and voting rights.

As we do not anticipate paying dividends, investors in our shares will not receive any dividend income.

We have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock since our inception and we do not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Any dividends that we may pay in the future will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on our future earnings, any applicable regulatory considerations, covenants of our debt facility, our financial requirements and other similarly unpredictable factors. Our ability to pay dividends is further limited by the terms of our credit facility with Bank of America, N.A. For the foreseeable future, we anticipate that we will retain any earnings which we may generate from our operations to finance and develop our growth and that we will not pay cash dividends to our stockholders. Accordingly, investors seeking dividend income should not purchase our stock.

We are not subject to certain corporate governance provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Since our common stock is not listed for trading on a national securities exchange, we are not subject to certain of the corporate governance requirements established by the national securities exchanges pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. These include rules relating to independent directors, and independent director nomination, audit and compensation committees. Unless we voluntarily elect to comply with those obligations, investors in our shares will not have the protections offered by those corporate governance provisions. As of the date of this report, we have not elected to comply with any regulations that do not apply to us. While we may make an application to have our securities listed for trading on a national securities exchange, which would require us to comply with those obligations, we cannot assure that we will do so or that such application will be approved.



We are required to comply with Section 404a of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and if we fail to comply in a timely manner, our business could be harmed and our stock price could decline.

Rules adopted by the SEC pursuant to Section 404a of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 require annual assessment of our internal controls over financial reporting. Starting with our fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, we became subject to the requirements of Section 404a. Any failure to maintain adequate controls could result in delays or inaccuracies in reporting financial information or non-compliance with SEC reporting and other regulatory requirements, any of which could adversely affect our business and stock price.

## ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our principal executive offices are located at 405 114th Avenue S.E., Bellevue, Washington 98004 and consist of 13,018 feet of office space which we lease for an average of \$17,160 per month over the life of the lease expiring April 30, 2021. We also maintain approximately 8,125 feet of office space at 19320 Des Moines Memorial Drive South, SeaTac, Washington which we lease for approximately \$5,650 per month pursuant to lease that expires December 31, 2010. In addition, we own a small parcel of undeveloped acreage located at Grays Harbor, Washington, which is not material to our business. We believe our current offices are adequately covered by insurance and are sufficient to support our operations for the foreseeable future.

## ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, our operating subsidiaries are involved in legal matters or named as a defendant in legal actions arising in its ordinary course of business.

### Team Air Express Proceeding

On February 21, 2007, Team Air Express, Inc. ("Team Air") filed suit against the Company, Texas Time Express, Inc. (the current owner of the Company's Dallas branch office), and the individual owners and officers of Texas Time, in the District Court of the State of Texas, Tarrant County, claiming that collectively the Defendants tortuously interfered with Team Air's contract and business relations with VRC Express, Inc. ("VRC"), the former owner of Team Air's Chicago branch office. Team Air seeks to recover damages based on alleged interference with its existing contract and business relationship with VRC. More specifically, Team Air contends Texas Time and we intentionally attempted to subvert the business relationship with Team Air and VRC. Team Air further contends that the Defendants' efforts to solicit VRC were unlawful and intended to cause harm to Team Air, thus exceeding the bounds of fair competition. The litigation is ongoing and management believes no interference of the VRC contract has occurred. We will continue to vigorously defend this matter.

## ITEM 4. REMOVED AND RESERVED

## PART II

## ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

### Market Information

Our common stock currently trades on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol "RLGT.OB." The following table states the range of the high and low bid-prices per share of our common stock for each of the calendar quarters during our past two fiscal years, as reported by the OTC Bulletin Board. These quotations represent inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, markdown, or commission, and may not represent actual transactions. The last price of our

common stock as reported on the OTC Bulletin Board on September 24, 2010, was \$0.36 per share.

	High	Low
<b>Year Ended June 30, 2010:</b>		
Quarter ended June 30, 2010	\$ .30	\$ .23
Quarter ended March 31, 2010	.26	.22
Quarter ended December 31, 2009	.32	.21
Quarter ended September 30, 2009	.32	.20
<b>Year Ended June 30, 2009:</b>		
Quarter ended June 30, 2009	\$ .32	\$ .12
Quarter ended March 31, 2009	.17	.06
Quarter ended December 31, 2008	.30	.09
Quarter ended September 30, 2008	.30	.15

#### Holders

As of September 24, 2010, the number of stockholders of record of our common stock was 107. We believe there are additional beneficial owners of our common stock who hold their shares in street name.

#### Dividend Policy

We have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock to date, and we have no intention of paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Whether we declare and pay dividends will be determined by our board of directors at their discretion, subject to certain limitations imposed under Delaware law. The timing, amount and form of dividends, if any, will depend on, among other things, our results of operations, financial condition, cash requirements and other factors deemed relevant by our Board of Directors. Our ability to pay dividends is limited by the terms of our Bank of America, N.A. credit facility.

#### Transfer Agent

Pacific Stock Transfer Company, 500 East Warm Springs, Suite 240, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119, serves as our transfer agent.

#### Purchases of Common Stock

We have a share repurchase program that authorizes us to purchase up to 5,000,000 shares of common stock through December 31, 2010. The share repurchases may occur from time-to-time through open market purchases at prevailing market prices or through privately negotiated transactions as permitted by securities laws and other legal requirements. The following table sets forth information regarding our repurchases or acquisitions of common stock during the fourth quarter of Fiscal 2010:

Period	Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit)	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1)
Repurchases from April 1, 2010 through April 30, 2010	351,000	0.26	351,000	2,281,851
Repurchases from May 1, 2010 through May 31, 2010	156,000	0.27	156,000	2,125,851
Repurchases from June 1, 2010 through June 30, 2010	554,350	0.28	554,350	1,571,501
Total	1,061,350	\$ 0.27	1,061,350	1,571,501

(1) In May 2009, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 5,000,000 shares of our common stock.

## ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and result of operations should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the related notes and other information included elsewhere in this report.

### Overview

We are a Bellevue, Washington based non-asset based logistics company providing domestic and international freight forwarding services through a network which includes a combination of company-owned and exclusive agent offices across North America. Operating under the Airgroup and Adcom brands, we service a diversified account base including manufacturers, distributors and retailers using a network of independent carriers and international agents positioned strategically around the world.

As a non-asset based provider of third-party logistics services, we seek to limit our investment in equipment, facilities and working capital through contracts and preferred provider arrangements with various transportation providers who generally provide us with favorable rates, minimum service levels, capacity assurances and priority handling status. Our non-asset based approach allows us to maintain a high level of operating flexibility and leverage a cost structure that is highly variable in nature while the volume of our flow of freight enables us to negotiate attractive pricing with our transportation providers.

We continue to identify a number of additional companies as suitable acquisition candidates and have completed two material acquisitions since our initial acquisition of Airgroup in January of 2006. In November 2007, we acquired Automotive Services Group in Detroit, Michigan to service the automotive industry. In September 2008, we acquired Adcom Express, Inc. d/b/a Adcom Worldwide ("Adcom"), adding an additional 30 locations across North America and augmenting our overall domestic and international freight forwarding capabilities. We have built a global transportation and supply chain management company offering our customers domestic and international freight forwarding services and an expanding array of value added supply chain management services, including order fulfillment, inventory management, and warehousing.



Our growth strategy will continue to focus on both organic growth and acquisitions. From an organic perspective, we will focus on strengthening existing and expanding new customer relationships. One of the drivers of our organic growth will be retaining existing, and securing new exclusive agency locations. Since our acquisition of Airgroup, we have focused our efforts on the build-out of our network of exclusive agency offices, as well as enhancing our back-office infrastructure and transportation and accounting systems. We will continue to search for targets that fit within its acquisition criteria. Our ability to secure additional financing will rely upon the sale of debt or equity securities, and the development of an active trading market for our securities.

As we continue to build out its network of exclusive agent locations to achieve a level of critical mass and scale, we are executing an acquisition strategy to develop additional growth opportunities. Our acquisition strategy relies upon two primary factors: first, our ability to identify and acquire target businesses that fit within our general acquisition criteria; and second, the continued availability of capital and financing resources sufficient to complete these acquisitions.

Successful implementation of our growth strategy depends upon a number of factors, including our ability to: (i) continue developing new agency locations; (ii) locate acquisition opportunities; (iii) secure adequate funding to finance identified acquisition opportunities; (iv) efficiently integrate the businesses of the companies acquired; (v) generate the anticipated economies of scale from the integration; and (vi) maintain the historic sales growth of the acquired businesses in order to generate continued organic growth. There are a variety of risks associated with our ability to achieve its strategic objectives, including the ability to acquire and profitably manage additional businesses and the intense competition in the industry for customers and for acquisition candidates.

#### Performance Metrics

Our principal source of income is derived from freight forwarding services. As a freight forwarder, we arrange for the shipment of our customers' freight from point of origin to point of destination. Generally, we quote our customers a turnkey cost for the movement of their freight. Our price quote will often depend upon the customer's time-definite needs (first day through fifth day delivery), special handling needs (heavy equipment, delicate items, environmentally sensitive goods, electronic components, etc.), and the means of transport (truck, air, ocean or rail). In turn, we assume the responsibility for arranging and paying for the underlying means of transportation.

Our transportation revenue represents the total dollar value of services we sell to our customers. Our cost of transportation includes direct costs of transportation, including motor carrier, air, ocean and rail services. We act principally as the service provider to add value in the execution and procurement of these services to our customers. Our net transportation revenue (gross transportation revenue less the direct cost of transportation) is the primary indicator of our ability to source, add value and resell services provided by third parties, and is considered by management to be a key performance measure. In addition, management believes measuring its operating costs as a function of net transportation revenue provides a useful metric, as our ability to control costs as a function of net transportation revenue directly impacts operating earnings.

Our operating results will be affected as acquisitions occur. Since all acquisitions are made using the purchase method of accounting for business combinations, our financial statements will only include the results of operations and cash flows of acquired companies for periods subsequent to the date of acquisition.

Our GAAP-based net income will be affected by non-cash charges relating to the amortization of customer related intangible assets and other intangible assets arising from completed acquisitions. Under applicable accounting standards, purchasers are required to allocate the total consideration in a business combination to the identified assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair values at the time of acquisition. The excess of the consideration paid over the fair value of the identifiable net assets acquired is to be allocated to goodwill, which is tested at least annually for impairment. Applicable accounting standards require that we separately account for and value certain identifiable intangible assets based on the unique facts and circumstances of each acquisition. As a result of our acquisition strategy, our net income will include material non-cash charges relating to the amortization of customer related intangible assets and other intangible assets acquired in our acquisitions. Although these charges may increase as we complete more acquisitions, we believe we will actually be growing the value of our intangible assets (e.g., customer relationships). Thus, we believe that earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA, is a useful financial measure for investors because it eliminates the effect of these non-cash costs and provides an important metric for our business.



Further, the financial covenants of our credit facility adjust EBITDA to exclude costs related to share based compensation expense, extraordinary items and other non-cash charges.

Our compliance with the financial covenants of our credit facility is particularly important given the materiality of the credit facility to our day-to-day operations and overall acquisition strategy. Our debt capacity, subject to the requisite collateral at an advance rate of 80% of eligible domestic accounts receivable and up to 60% of eligible foreign receivables, is limited to a multiple of 4.00 times our consolidated EBITDA (as adjusted) as measured on a rolling four quarter basis. If we fail to comply with the covenants in our credit facility and are unable to secure a waiver or other relief, our financial condition would be materially weakened and our ability to fund day-to-day operations would be materially and adversely affected. Accordingly, we intend to employ EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA as management tools to measure our historical financial performance and as a benchmark for future financial flexibility.

Our operating results are also subject to seasonal trends when measured on a quarterly basis. The impact of seasonality on our business will depend on numerous factors, including the markets in which we operate, holiday seasons, consumer demand and economic conditions. Since our revenue is largely derived from customers whose shipments are dependent upon consumer demand and just-in-time production schedules, the timing of our revenue is often beyond our control. Factors such as shifting demand for retail goods and/or manufacturing production delays could unexpectedly affect the timing of our revenue. As we increase the scale of our operations, seasonal trends in one area of our business may be offset to an extent by opposite trends in another area. We cannot accurately predict the timing of these factors, nor can we accurately estimate the impact of any particular factor, and thus we can give no assurance any historical seasonal patterns will continue in future periods.

#### Critical Accounting Policies

Accounting policies, methods and estimates are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements prepared by management and are based upon management's current judgments. These judgments are normally based on knowledge and experience regarding to past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting policies, methods and estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ from management's current judgments. While there are a number of accounting policies, methods and estimates that affect our financial statements, the areas that are particularly significant include the assessment of the recoverability of long-lived assets (including acquired intangibles), recoverability of goodwill, and revenue recognition.

We perform an annual impairment test for goodwill. The first step of the impairment test requires us to determine the fair value of each reporting unit, and compare the fair value to the reporting unit's carrying amount. We have only one reporting unit. To the extent a reporting unit's carrying amount exceeds its fair value, an indication exists that the reporting unit's goodwill may be impaired and we must perform a second more detailed impairment assessment. The second impairment assessment involves allocating the reporting unit's fair value to all of its recognized and unrecognized assets and liabilities in order to determine the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill as of the assessment date. The implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill is then compared to the carrying amount of goodwill to quantify an impairment charge as of the assessment date. We typically perform our annual impairment test effective as of April 1 of each year, unless events or circumstances indicate, an impairment may have occurred before that time.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2009, in connection with the preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements included herein, we concluded indicators of potential impairment were present due to the sustained decline in our share price resulting in our market capitalization being less than its book value. We conducted an impairment test during the second quarter of fiscal 2009 based on the facts and circumstances at that time and our business strategy in light of existing industry and economic conditions, as well as taking into consideration future expectations. As we have significantly grown the business since our initial acquisition of Airgroup, we have also grown its customer relationship intangibles as we added additional stations. Through our impairment testing and review, we concluded our discounted cash flow analysis supports a valuation of our identifiable intangible assets well in excess of their carrying value. However, generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") do not allow us to recognize the previously unrecognized intangible assets in connection with these new stations. Factoring this with management's assessment of the fair value of other assets and liabilities resulted in no residual implied fair value remaining to be allocated to goodwill. As a result, for the quarter ending December 31, 2008, we recorded a non-cash goodwill impairment charge of \$11.4 million. This non-cash charge did not have any impact on our compliance with the financial covenants in our credit agreement.

Acquired intangibles consist of customer related intangibles and non-compete agreements arising from our acquisitions. Customer related intangibles are amortized using accelerated methods over approximately five years and non-compete agreements are amortized using the straight line method over the term of the underlying agreements.

We review long-lived assets to be held-and-used for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. If the sum of the undiscounted expected future cash flows over the remaining useful life of a long-lived asset is less than its carrying amount, the asset is considered to be impaired. Impairment losses are measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. When fair values are not available, we estimate fair value using the expected future cash flows discounted at a rate commensurate with the risks associated with the recovery of the asset. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell.

As a non-asset based carrier we do not own transportation assets. We generate the major portion of its air and ocean freight revenues by purchasing transportation services from direct (asset-based) carriers and reselling those services to its customers. Based upon the terms in the contract of carriage, revenues related to shipments where the Company issues a House Airway Bill ("HAWB") or a House Ocean Bill of Lading ("HOBL") are recognized at the time the freight is tendered to the direct carrier at origin. Costs related to the shipments are also recognized at this same time based upon anticipated margins, contractual arrangements with direct carriers, and other known factors. The estimates are routinely monitored and compared to actual invoiced costs. The estimates are adjusted as deemed necessary by us to reflect differences between the original accruals and actual costs of purchased transportation.

This method generally results in recognition of revenues and purchased transportation costs earlier than the preferred methods under GAAP which do not recognize revenue until a proof of delivery is received or which recognize revenue as progress on the transit is made. Our method of revenue and cost recognition does not result in a material difference from amounts that would be reported under such other methods.

## Results of Operations

### Basis of Presentation

The results of operations discussion which appears below has been presented utilizing a combination of historical and, where relevant, pro forma unaudited information to include the effects of the acquisition of Adcom on our consolidated financial statements during fiscal year 2009. The pro forma information has been presented for fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 as if we had acquired Adcom as of July 1, 2008. The pro forma results are also adjusted to reflect a consolidation of the historical results of operations of Adcom and the Company as adjusted to reflect the

amortization of acquired intangibles and are also provided in the Financial Statements included within this report.

The pro forma financial data is not necessarily indicative of results of operations that would have occurred had this acquisition been consummated at the beginning of the periods presented or which might be attained in the future.

Edgar Filing: RADIANT LOGISTICS, INC - Form 10-K

Fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, compared to fiscal year ended June 30, 2009.

We generated transportation revenue of \$146.7 million and net transportation revenue of \$45.6 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, as compared to transportation revenue of \$137.0 million and net transportation revenue of \$45.6 million for the year ended June 30, 2009. Net income was \$2.0 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, compared to a net loss of \$9.7 million for the year ended June 30, 2009.

We had adjusted EBITDA of \$4.2 million and \$3.7 million for years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. EBITDA is a non-GAAP measure of income and does not include the effects of interest and taxes, and excludes the "non-cash" effects of depreciation and amortization on current assets. Companies have some discretion as to which elements of depreciation and amortization are excluded in the EBITDA calculation. We exclude all depreciation charges related to property, plant and equipment, all amortization charges relating to leasehold improvements and other intangible assets, and impairment charges relating to goodwill. We then further adjust EBITDA to exclude costs related to share based compensation expense, unusual items and other non-cash charges consistent with the financial covenants of our credit facility. Our ability to generate adjusted EBITDA ultimately limits the amount of debt that we may carry and is a good indicator of our financial flexibility and capacity to complete additional acquisitions in compliance with the credit agreement. A violation of this covenant in the credit agreement would greatly limit our financial flexibility, reduce available liquidity, and absent a waiver, could give rise to an event of default under the credit agreement. For the forgoing reasons, we believe that the credit agreement is material to our operations and that adjusted EBITDA is important to an evaluation of our financial condition and liquidity. While management considers EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA useful in analyzing our results, it is not intended to replace any presentation included in our consolidated financial statements.

The following table provides a reconciliation for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009 of adjusted EBITDA to net income, the most directly comparable GAAP measure in accordance with SEC Regulation G (in thousands):

	Years ended June 30,		Change	
	2010	2009	Amount	Percent
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,959	\$ (9,730)	\$ 11,689	(120.1)%
Income tax expense	1,093	44	1,049	2,384.1%
Net interest expense	135	204	(69)	(33.8)%
Depreciation and amortization	1,598	1,743	(145)	(8.3)%
EBITDA (Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization)	\$ 4,785	\$ (7,739)	\$ 12,524	(161.8)%
Share based compensation and other non-cash costs	315	203	112	55.2%
Goodwill impairment	-	11,403	(11,403)	(100.0)%
Gain on extinguishment of debt	(135)	(190)	55	(28.9)%
Business & Occupancy tax refund	(364)	-	(364)	N/A
Gain on litigation settlement	(355)	-	(355)	N/A
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 4,246	\$ 3,677	\$ 569	15.5%

The following table summarizes transportation revenue, cost of transportation and net transportation revenue (in thousands) for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009:

	Years ended June 30,		Change	
	2010	2009	Amount	Percent

Transportation revenue	\$ 146,716	\$ 136,996	\$ 9,720	7.1%
Cost of transportation	101,086	91,427	9,659	10.6%
Net transportation revenue	\$ 45,630	\$ 45,569	\$ 61	0.1%
Net transportation margins	31.1%	33.3%		

22



Edgar Filing: RADIANT LOGISTICS, INC - Form 10-K

We generated transportation revenue of \$146.7 million and net transportation revenue of \$45.6 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, as compared to transportation revenue of \$137.0 million and net transportation revenue of \$45.6 million for the year ended June 30, 2009. Domestic and international transportation revenue was \$78.6 million and \$68.1 million, respectively, for the year ended June 30, 2010, compared with \$73.2 million and \$63.8 million, respectively, for the year ended June 30, 2009. Transportation revenues and costs of transportation increased in fiscal year 2010 primarily due to the addition of new agent-based locations.

Cost of transportation was 68.9% and 66.7% of transportation revenue for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Net transportation margins were 31.1% and 33.3% of transportation revenue for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease in net transportation margins was due to pricing pressures in the marketplace associated with the weak economic environment.

The following table compares condensed consolidated statement of income data as a percentage of our net transportation revenue (in thousands) for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009:

	Years ended June 30,		2009		Change	
	2010		2009		Amount	Percent
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Net transportation revenue	\$ 45,630	100.0%	\$ 45,569	100.0%	\$ 61	0.1%
Agent commissions	31,377	68.8%	30,565	67.1%	812	2.7%
Personnel costs	5,882	12.9%	6,921	15.2%	(1,039)	(15.0)%
Selling, general and administrative	4,295	9.4%	4,288	9.4%	7	0.2%
Depreciation and amortization	1,598	3.5%	1,743	3.8%	(145)	(8.3)%
Restructuring charges	-	0.0%	220	0.5%	(220)	N/A
Goodwill impairment charge	-	0.0%	11,403	25.0%	(11,403)	N/A
Total operating costs	43,152	94.6%	55,140	121.0%	(11,988)	(21.7)%
Income (loss) from operations	2,478	5.4%	(9,571)	(21.0)%	12,049	125.9%
Other (expense) income	693	1.5%	(88)	(0.2)%	781	887.5%
Income (loss) before income taxes and non-controlling interest	3,171	6.9%	(9,659)	(21.2)%	12,830	132.8%
Income tax expense	(1,093)	(2.4)%	(44)	(0.1)%	(1,049)	(2,384.1)%
Income (loss) before non-controlling interest	2,078	4.6%	(9,703)	(21.3)%	11,781	121.4%
Non-controlling interest	(119)	(0.3)%	(27)	0.1%	(92)	(340.7)%
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,959	4.3%	\$ (9,730)	(21.4)%	\$ 11,689	120.1%

Agent commissions were \$31.4 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, an increase of 2.7% from \$30.6 million for the year ended June 30, 2009, as a result of increased revenues associated with newly added agent based locations. As a percentage of net revenues, agent commissions increased to 68.8% for the year ended June 30, 2010 from 67.1% for the year ended June 30, 2009. The increase is attributed to newly added agent-based stations which generated a higher

percentage of our total revenues in 2010 as compared to 2009 and where these new stations earned commissions.

Personnel costs consist of payroll, payroll taxes, benefits and stock compensation expense. Personnel costs were \$5.9 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, a decrease of 15.0% from \$6.9 million for the year ended June 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily attributed to a full year of savings associated with migrating the Adcom back-office positions into RGL's back office resulting in a significant reduction of head count. As a percentage of net revenues, personnel costs decreased to 12.9% for the year ended June 30, 2010 from 15.2% for the year ended June 30, 2009.

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") costs consist primarily of marketing, rent, professional services, insurance and travel expenses. SG&A costs were relatively unchanged at \$4.3 million for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. As a percentage of net revenues, SG&A costs remained constant at 9.4% for the years ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009.

Depreciation and amortization costs were \$1.6 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, a decrease of 8.3% from \$1.7 million for the year ended June 30, 2009. The decrease primarily related to lower amortization expenses of intangibles associated with RGL. As a percentage of net revenues, depreciation and amortization decreased to 3.5% for the year ended June 30, 2010 from 3.8% for the year ended June 30, 2009.

Income from operations was \$2.5 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, compared to a loss from operations of \$9.6 million for the year ended June 30, 2009. The change in earnings was primarily attributed to the goodwill impairment charge of \$11.4 million during the year ended June 30, 2009.

Other income was \$0.7 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, as compared to other expense of \$0.1 million during year ended June 30, 2009. The change was primarily due to gains associated with a litigation settlement, extinguishments of debt and foreign currency exchange. As a percentage of net revenues, other income was 1.5% for the year ended June 30, 2010 and other expense was 0.2% for the year ended June 30, 2009.

Net income for the year ended June 30, 2010 was \$2.0 million as compared to net loss of \$9.7 million for the year ended June 30, 2009.

#### Supplemental Pro forma Information

The following table provides a reconciliation for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 (audited) and June 30, 2009 (pro forma and unaudited) of adjusted EBITDA to net income, the most directly comparable GAAP measure in accordance with SEC Regulation G (in thousands):

	Years ended June 30,		Change	
	2010	2009	Amount	Percent
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,959	\$ (9,801)	\$ 11,760	120.0%
Income tax expense	1,093	-	1,093	N/A
Net interest expense	135	278	(143)	(51.4)%
Depreciation and amortization	1,598	1,897	(299)	(15.8)%
<b>EBITDA (Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization)</b>	<b>\$ 4,785</b>	<b>\$ (7,626)</b>	<b>\$ 12,411</b>	<b>162.7%</b>
Share based compensation and other non-cash costs	315	202	113	55.9%
Goodwill impairment	-	11,403	(11,403)	(100.0)%
Gain on extinguishment of debt	(135)	(190)	55	28.9%
Business & Occupancy tax refund	(364)	-	(364)	N/A
Gain on litigation settlement	(355)	-	(355)	N/A
<b>Adjusted EBITDA</b>	<b>\$ 4,246</b>	<b>\$ 3,789</b>	<b>\$ 457</b>	<b>12.1%</b>

The following table summarizes transportation revenue, cost of transportation and net transportation revenue (in thousands) for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 (audited) and June 30, 2009 (pro forma and unaudited):

	Years ended June 30,	Change
--	----------------------	--------

Edgar Filing: RADIANT LOGISTICS, INC - Form 10-K

	2010	2009	Amount	Percent
Transportation revenue	\$ 146,716	\$ 153,835	\$ (7,119)	(4.6)%
Cost of transportation	101,086	102,666	(1,580)	(1.5)%
Net transportation revenue	\$ 45,630	\$ 51,169	\$ (5,539)	(10.8)%
Net transportation margins	31.1%	33.3%		

24

---

Edgar Filing: RADIANT LOGISTICS, INC - Form 10-K

Transportation revenue was 146.7 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, a decrease of 4.6% from pro forma transportation revenue of \$153.8 million for the year ended June 30, 2009.

Cost of transportation was \$101.1 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, a decrease of 1.5% from pro forma costs of transportation of \$102.7 million for the year ended June 30, 2009.

Net transportation margins decreased to 31.1% for the year ended June 30, 2010, compared to pro forma transportation margins of 33.3% for the year ended June 30, 2009.

The following table compares certain condensed consolidated statement of income data as a percentage of our net transportation revenue (in thousands) for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 (audited) and June 30, 2009 (pro forma and unaudited):

	Years ended June 30,		2009		Change	
	2010		2009		Amount	Percent
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Net transportation revenue	\$ 45,630	100.0%	\$ 51,169	100.0%	\$ (5,539)	(10.8)%
Agent commissions	31,377	68.8%	34,925	68.3%	(3,548)	(10.2)%
Personnel costs	5,882	12.9%	7,566	14.8%	(1,684)	(22.3)%
Selling, general and administrative	4,295	9.4%	4,654	9.1%	(359)	(7.7)%
Depreciation and amortization	1,598	3.5%	1,897	3.7%	(299)	(15.8)%
Restructuring charge	-	0.0%	220	0.4%	(220)	(100.0)%
Goodwill impairment charge	-	0.0%	11,403	22.3%	(11,403)	(100.0)%
Total operating costs	43,152	94.6%	60,665	118.6%	(17,513)	(28.9)%
Income (loss) from operations	2,478	5.4%	(9,496)	(18.6)%	11,974	126.1%
Other (expense) income	693	1.5%	(278)	(0.5)%	971	349.3%
Income (loss) before income taxes and non-controlling interest	3,171	6.9%	(9,774)	(19.1)%	12,945	132.4%
Income tax expense	(1,093)	(2.4)%	-	0.0%	(1,093)	N/A
Income (loss) before non-controlling interest	2,078	4.5%	(9,774)	(19.1)%	11,852	121.3%
Non-controlling interest	(119)	(0.3)%	(27)	(0.1)%	(92)	(340.7)%
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,959	4.3%	\$ (9,801)	(19.2)%	\$ 11,760	120.0%

Agent commissions were \$31.3 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, a decrease of 10.2% from pro forma agent commissions of \$34.9 million for the year ended June 30, 2009. Agent commissions as a percentage of net transportation revenue increased to 68.8% of net transportation revenue the for year ended June 30, 2010, compared to 68.3% for the comparable prior year period pro forma amount.

Personnel costs were \$5.9 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, a decrease of 22.3% from \$7.6 million for the year ended June 30, 2009. Personnel costs as a percentage of net transportation revenue were 12.9% for the year ended June 30, 2010, a decrease from 14.8% for the comparable prior year period pro forma amount.

SG&A costs were \$4.3 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, a decrease of 7.7% from pro forma selling, general and administrative costs of \$4.7 million for the year ended June 30, 2009. As a percentage of net transportation revenue, SG&A costs increased to 9.4% for the year ended June 30, 2010, from 9.1% for the comparable prior year period pro forma amount.

25

---

Depreciation and amortization costs were \$1.6 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, a decrease of 15.8% from pro forma depreciation and amortization of \$1.9 million for the year ended June 30, 2009. Depreciation and amortization as a percentage of net transportation revenue decreased to 3.5% for the year ended June 30, 2010, from 3.7% for the comparable prior year period pro forma amount.

Pro forma restructuring costs incurred in the year ended June 30, 2009, were \$0.2 million as a result of the Adcom acquisition and relate to the elimination of redundant international personnel and facilities costs. These restructuring charges were paid out over a one year period. There were no similar costs for the comparable current year.

For the year ended June 30, 2009, an impairment charge to goodwill in the amount of \$11.4 million was recorded. There was no similar charge for the comparable current year.

Income from operations was \$3.2 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, compared to pro forma loss from operations of \$9.5 million for the year ended June 30, 2009.

Other income was \$0.7 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, compared to pro forma other expense of \$0.3 million for the year ended June 30, 2009.

Net income was \$2.0 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, compared to pro forma net loss of \$9.8 million for the year ended June 30, 2009.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended June 30, 2010 was \$2.8 million, compared to net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended June 30, 2009 of \$3.8 million. The change was principally driven by timing differences between the collection of receivables and payments of commissions driven by overall growth and an increase in working capital, offset by cash flows associated with the usage of tax-related items previously recorded.

Net cash used for investing was \$1.9 million for the year ended June 30, 2010, compared to net cash used for investing activities of \$6.7 million for the year ended June 30, 2009. Use of cash in 2010 consisted of \$1.4 million paid to the former shareholder of Adcom and \$0.6 million for furniture and equipment purchases. Use of cash in 2009 consisted of \$5.5 million for the acquisition of Adcom, an additional \$0.2 million for furniture and equipment, and \$1.0 million paid to former shareholders of Airgroup and Adcom.

Net cash used by financing activities for the year ended June 30, 2010 was \$1.1 million compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$3.5 million for year ended June 30, 2009. Use of cash for 2010 consisted of \$0.8 million used to purchase treasury stock, \$0.2 million in payments to reduce our credit facility, and \$0.1 million in non-controlling interest distributions. Cash from financing activities in 2009 consisted of proceeds from our credit facility of \$3.6 million netted against \$0.1 million used to purchase treasury stock.

#### Acquisitions

Below are descriptions of material acquisitions made since 2006 including a breakdown of consideration paid at closing and future potential earn-out payments. We define "material acquisitions" as those with aggregate potential consideration of \$1.0 million or more.

Effective January 1, 2006, we acquired all of the outstanding stock of Airgroup. The transaction was valued at up to \$14.0 million. This consisted of: (i) \$9.5 million payable in cash at closing; (ii) a subsequent cash payment of \$0.5 million which was paid on December 31, 2007; (iii) as amended, an additional base payment of \$0.6 million payable in cash, \$0.3 million of which was paid on June 30, 2008 and \$0.3 million was paid on January 1, 2009; (iv) a base earn-out payment of \$1.9 million payable in Company common stock over a three-year earn-out period based upon Airgroup achieving income from continuing operations of not less than \$2.5 million per year and (v) as additional incentive to achieve future earnings growth, an opportunity to earn up to an additional \$1.5 million payable in Company common stock at the end of a five-year earn-out period (the "Tier-2 Earn-Out"). Under Airgroup's Tier-2 Earn-Out, the former shareholders of Airgroup were entitled to receive 50% of the cumulative income from continuing operations in excess of \$15.0 million generated during the five-year earn-out period up to a maximum of \$1.5 million. With respect to the base earn-out payment of \$1.9 million, in the event there is a shortfall in income from continuing operations, the earn-out payment will be reduced on a dollar-for-dollar basis to the extent of the shortfall. Shortfalls may be carried over or carried back to the extent that income from continuing operations in any other payout year exceeds the \$2.5 million level. For the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the former shareholders of Airgroup earned \$633,000 and \$417,000 in base earn-out payments, respectively.

During the quarter ended December 31, 2007, we adjusted the estimate of accrued transportation costs assumed in the acquisition of Airgroup which resulted in the recognition of approximately \$1.4 million in non-recurring income. Pursuant to the acquisition agreement, the former shareholders of Airgroup have indemnified us for taxes of \$0.5 million associated the income recognized in connection with this change in estimate which has been reflected as a reduction of the additional base payment otherwise payable to the former shareholders of Airgroup.

In November 2008, we amended the Airgroup Stock Purchase Agreement and agreed to unconditionally pay the former Airgroup shareholders an earn-out payment of \$633,333 for the earn-out period ended June 30, 2009 to be paid on or about October 1, 2009 by delivery of shares of common stock of the Company. In consideration for the certainty of the earn-out payment, the former Airgroup shareholders agreed (i) to waive and release us from any and all further obligations to pay any earn-outs payments on account of shortfall amounts, if any, which may have accumulated prior to June 30, 2009; (ii) to waive and release us from any and all further obligation to account for and pay the Tier-2 earn-out payment; and (iii) that the earn-out payment to be paid for the earn-out period ended June 30, 2009 would constitute a full and final payment to the former Airgroup shareholders of any and all amounts due to the former Airgroup shareholders under the Airgroup Stock Purchase Agreement. In March of 2009, Airgroup shareholders agreed to receive \$0.4 million in cash on an accelerated basis rather than the \$0.6 million in Company shares due in October of 2009. No further payments of purchase price are due in connection with this acquisition.

In May 2007, we launched a new logistics service offering focused on the automotive industry through our wholly owned subsidiary, Radiant Logistics Global Services, Inc. ("RLGS"). We entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement (the "APA") with Mass Financial Corporation ("Mass") to acquire certain assets formerly used in the operations of the automotive division of Stonepath Group, Inc. The original agreement provided for a purchase price of up to \$2.75 million, and was later reduced due to indemnity claims asserted against Mass.

In November 2007, the purchase price was reduced to \$1.6 million, consisting of cash of \$0.6 million and a \$1.0 million credit in satisfaction of indemnity claims asserted by us arising from our interim operation of the Purchased Assets since May 22, 2007. Of the cash component, \$0.1 million was paid in May of 2007, \$0.3 million was paid at closing, and a final payment of \$0.2 million was to be paid in November of 2008, subject to off-set of up to \$0.1 million for certain qualifying expenses incurred by us. Net of qualifying expenses and a discount for accelerated payment, the final payment was reduced to \$0.1 million and paid in June of 2008. No further payments of purchase price are due in connection with this acquisition.



Effective September 1, 2008, we acquired all of the outstanding stock of Adcom Express, Inc. The transaction was valued at up to \$11,050,000, consisting of: (i) \$4,750,000 in cash paid at the closing; (ii) \$250,000 in cash payable shortly after the closing, subject to adjustment, based upon the working capital of Adcom as of August 31, 2008; (iii) up to \$2,800,000 in four "Tier-1 Earn-Out Payments" of up to \$700,000 each, covering the four year earn-out period through 2012, based upon Adcom achieving certain levels of "Gross Profit Contribution" (as defined in the agreement), payable 50% in cash and 50% in shares of our common stock (valued at delivery date); (iv) a "Tier-2 Earn-Out Payment" of up to a maximum of \$2,000,000, equal to 20% of the amount by which the Adcom cumulative Gross Profit Contribution exceeds \$16,560,000 during the four year earn-out period; and (v) an "Integration Payment" of \$1,250,000 payable on the earlier of the date certain integration targets are achieved or 18 months after the closing, payable 50% in cash and 50% in our shares of our common stock (valued at delivery date).

Through June 30, 2010, the former Airgroup shareholders earned a total of \$808,524 in base earn-out payments. Of this amount, \$320,027 was paid in cash during the year ended June 30, 2010. The remaining amount of \$488,497 is included in the amount due to former Adcom shareholder as of June 30, 2010.

Assuming minimum targeted earnings levels are achieved, the following table summarizes our contingent base earn-out payments related to the acquisition of Adcom, for the fiscal years indicated based on results of the prior year (in thousands):

Estimated payment anticipated for fiscal year(1):	2012	2013
	7/1/2010– 6/30/2011	7/1/2011 – 6/30/2012
Earn-out period:		
Earn-out payments:		
Cash	\$ 350	\$ 350
Equity	350	350
Total potential earn-out payments	\$ 700	\$ 700
Total gross margin targets	\$ 4,320	\$ 4,320

(1) Earn-out payments are paid October 1 following each fiscal year end in a combination of cash and Company common stock.

#### Credit Facility

In March 2010, our \$15.0 million revolving credit facility, including a \$0.5 million sublimit to support letters of credit (collectively, the "Facility"), was increased to \$20.0 million with a maturity date of March 31, 2012. The Facility is collateralized by accounts receivable and other assets of the Company and its subsidiaries. Advances under the Facility are available to fund future acquisitions, capital expenditures or for other corporate purposes, including the repurchase of the Company's stock. Borrowings under the facility bear interest, at our option, at the bank's prime rate minus 0.75% to plus 0.50% or LIBOR plus 1.75% to 3.00%, and can be adjusted up or down during the term of the Facility based on the Company's performance relative to certain financial covenants. The Facility is collateralized by accounts receivable and other assets of the Company and its subsidiaries and provides for advances of up to 80% of eligible domestic accounts receivable and for advances of up to 60% of eligible foreign accounts receivable.

The terms of the Facility are subject to certain financial and operational covenants which may limit the amount otherwise available under the Facility. The first covenant limits funded debt to a multiple of 4.00 times our consolidated EBITDA (as adjusted) measured on a rolling four quarter basis. The second financial covenant requires that we maintain a basic fixed charge coverage ratio of at least 1.1 to 1.0. The third financial covenant is a minimum profitability standard that requires us not to incur a net loss before taxes, amortization of acquired intangibles and

extraordinary items in any two consecutive quarterly accounting periods.

28

---

Under the terms of the Facility, we are permitted to make additional acquisitions without the lender's consent only if certain conditions are satisfied. The conditions imposed by the Facility include the following: (i) the absence of an event of default under the Facility; (ii) the company to be acquired must be in the transportation and logistics industry; (iii) the purchase price to be paid must be consistent with the Company's historical business and acquisition model; (iv) after giving effect for the funding of the acquisition, the Company must have undrawn availability of at least \$1.0 million under the Facility; (v) the lender must be reasonably satisfied with projected financial statements the Company provides covering a 12 month period following the acquisition; (vi) the acquisition documents must be provided to the lender and must be consistent with the description of the transaction provided to the lender; and (vii) the number of permitted acquisitions is limited to three per calendar year and shall not exceed \$7.5 million in aggregate purchase price financed by funded debt. In the event we are not able to satisfy the conditions of the Facility in connection with a proposed acquisition, we must either forego the acquisition, obtain the lender's consent, or retire the Facility. This may limit or slow our ability to achieve the critical mass it may need to achieve its strategic objectives.

The co-borrowers of the Facility include Radiant Logistics, Inc., RGL (f/k/a Airgroup Corporation), Radiant Logistics Global Services, Inc. ("RLGS"), RLP, and Adcom Express, Inc. (d/b/a Adcom Worldwide). RLP is owned 40% by RGL and 60% by RCP, an affiliate of the Company's Chief Executive Officer. RLP has been certified as a minority business enterprise, and focuses on corporate and government accounts with diversity initiatives. As a co-borrower under the Facility, the accounts receivable of RLP are eligible for inclusion within the overall borrowing base of the Company and all borrowers will be responsible for repayment of the debt associated with advances under the Facility, including those advanced to RLP. At June 30, 2010, we were in compliance with all of its covenants.

Given our continued focus on the build-out of our network of exclusive agency locations, we believe that our current working capital and anticipated cash flow from operations are adequate to fund existing operations. However, continued growth through strategic acquisitions, will require additional sources of financing as our existing working capital is not sufficient to finance our operations and an acquisition program. Thus, our ability to finance future acquisitions will be limited by the availability of additional capital. We may, however, finance acquisitions using our common stock as all or some portion of the consideration. In the event that our common stock does not attain or maintain a sufficient market value or potential acquisition candidates are otherwise unwilling to accept our securities as part of the purchase price for the sale of their businesses, we may be required to utilize more of our cash resources, if available, in order to continue our acquisition program. If we do not have sufficient cash resources through either operations or from debt facilities, our growth could be limited unless we are able to obtain such additional capital.

As of August 31, 2010, we have approximately \$5.7 million in remaining availability under the Facility to support future acquisitions and our on-going working capital requirements. We expect to structure acquisitions with certain amounts paid at closing, and the balance paid over a number of years in the form of earn-out installments which are payable based upon the future earnings of the acquired businesses payable in cash, stock or some combination thereof. As we continue to execute our acquisition strategy, we will be required to make significant payments in the future if the earn-out installments under our various acquisitions become due. While we believe that a portion of any required cash payments will be generated by the acquired businesses, we may have to secure additional sources of capital to fund the remainder of any cash-based earn-out payments as they become due. This presents us with certain business risks relative to the availability of capacity under our Facility, the availability and pricing of future fund raising, as well as the potential dilution to our stockholders to the extent the earn-outs are satisfied directly, or indirectly, from the sale of equity.

#### Financial Outlook

For our fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, we are forecasting \$4.5 million of adjusted EBITDA on total revenue of \$158.0 million and expect net earnings of \$1.9 million, compared to \$4.2 million of adjusted EBITDA on total revenue of \$146.7 million and net earnings of \$2.0 million for our fiscal year ended June 30, 2010. This guidance does not include the benefit of any further acquisitions we may complete over the course of fiscal 2011.



Our estimate of future revenues and profits is based on the assumption that the cumulative historical financial results of operations of the Company for the most recent 12 months ended June 30, 2010 are indicative of the future financial performance and excludes the impact of further acquisitions, new agent stations or further improvement in the economic climate. A reconciliation of estimated annual adjusted EBITDA for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 amounts to net income, the most directly comparable GAAP measure, is as follows:

(Amounts in 000's)

	Outlook Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011	Actual Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010
Net income	\$ 1,890	\$ 1,959
Interest expense - net	200	135
Income tax expense	1,159	1,093
Depreciation and amortization	1,379	1,598
<b>EBITDA</b>	<b>4,368</b>	<b>4,785</b>
Stock-based compensation and other non-cash charges	132	315
Gain on extinguishment of debt	-	(135)
Business & Occupancy tax refund	-	(364)
Gain on litigation settlement	-	(355)
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 4,500	\$ 4,246

#### Contractual Obligations

We have entered into contracts with various third parties in the normal course of business which will require future payments. The following table sets forth our contractual obligations (in thousands) as of June 30, 2010:

Amounts in 000's	Total	Payments due during fiscal years ending June 30					Thereafter
		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	
Long-Term Debt	\$ 7,641	\$ -	\$ 7,641	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Capital Leases	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Leases	2,900	339	230	221	231	240	1,639
<b>Total Contractual Obligations</b>	<b>\$ 10,541</b>	<b>\$ 339</b>	<b>\$ 7,871</b>	<b>\$ 221</b>	<b>\$ 231</b>	<b>\$ 240</b>	<b>\$ 1,639</b>

#### Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of June 30, 2010, we did not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partners, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which had been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. As such, we are not materially exposed to any financing, liquidity, market or credit risk that could arise if we had engaged in such relationships.



## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167 ("SFAS 167"), "Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46R". SFAS 167 amends certain requirements of FIN 46R to improve the financial reporting by enterprises involved with variable interest entities and to provide more relevant and reliable information to users of financial statements. SFAS 167 is effective for the Company in the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2010. The adoption of SFAS 167 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance now codified in FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 105, Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, as the single source of authoritative nongovernmental GAAP. FASB ASC Topic 105 does not change current GAAP, but is intended to simplify user access to all authoritative GAAP by providing all authoritative literature related to a particular topic in one place. All existing accounting standard documents have been superseded and all other accounting literature not included in the FASB Codification is now considered non-authoritative. These provisions of FASB ASC Topic 105 are effective for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009 and, accordingly, are effective for the Company for the current fiscal reporting period. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations, but impacted its financial reporting process by eliminating all references to pre-codification standards. On the effective date of this guidance, the Codification superseded all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards, and all other non-grandfathered, non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification became non-authoritative.

In August 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2009-05, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. The guidance in ASU 2009-05 provides clarification that in circumstances in which a quoted price in an active market for the identical liability is not available, an entity is required to measure fair value using certain prescribed valuation techniques. The amendments in ASU 2009-05 were effective for the Company's first quarter of fiscal 2010. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In August 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-06, Implementation Guidance on Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes and Disclosure Amendment for Nonpublic Entities. The guidance in ASU 2009-06 improves current accounting by helping achieve consistent application of accounting for uncertainty in income taxes and is not intended to change existing practice. ASU 2009-06 also eliminates disclosures previously required for nonpublic entities. ASU 2009-06 is effective for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. The guidance in ASU 2010-06 provides amendments to literature on fair value measurements and disclosures currently within the ASC by clarifying certain existing disclosures and requiring new disclosures for the various classes of fair value measurements. ASU 2010-06 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements, which are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In February 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-09, Subsequent Events (Topic 855): Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements. The guidance in ASU 2010-09 addresses both the interaction of the requirements of Topic 855, Subsequent Events, with the SEC's reporting requirements and the intended breadth of the reissuance disclosures provision related to subsequent events, potentially changing reporting by both private and public entities depending on the facts and circumstances surrounding the nature of the change. All of the amendments in ASU 2010-09 are effective upon issuance of the final update, except for the use of the issued date for conduit debt

obligors which is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2010. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.



In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-13, Compensation – Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Effect of Denominating the Exercise Price of a Share-Based Payment Award in the Currency of the Market in Which the Underlying Equity Security Trades. The guidance in ASU 2010-13 provides amendments to clarify that an employee share-based payment award with an exercise price denominated in the currency of a market in which a substantial portion of the entity's equity securities trades should not be considered to contain a condition that is not a market, performance, or service condition. Therefore, an entity would not classify such an award as a liability if it otherwise qualifies as the adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

#### ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The consolidated financial statements of Radiant Logistics, Inc. including the notes thereto and the report of our independent accountants are included in this report, commencing at page F-1.

#### ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

#### ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

##### Disclosure Controls and Procedures

An evaluation of the effectiveness of our "disclosure controls and procedures" (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act as of June 30, 2010 was carried out by our management under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") who also serves as our Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"). Based upon that evaluation, our CEO/CFO concluded that, as of June 30, 2010, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms and (ii) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO/CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure.

##### Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). Our internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes, in accordance with GAAP. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management, including our CEO/CFO, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting using the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control – Integrated Framework. Based on its evaluation, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of June 30, 2010.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by our registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the SEC that permit us to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Below is certain information regarding our directors and executive officers.

The following table sets forth information concerning our executive officers and directors. Each of the executive officers will serve until his or her successor is appointed by our Board of Directors or such executive officer's earlier resignation or removal. Each of the directors will serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders or such director's earlier resignation or removal.

Name	Age	Position
Bohn H. Crain	46	Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors
Stephen P. Harrington	52	Director
Daniel Stegemoller	55	Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Radiant Global Logistics f/k/a Airgroup
Robert F. Friedman	66	President – Adcom Express, Inc.
Todd E. Macomber	46	Senior Vice President & Chief Accounting Officer

Bohn H. Crain. Mr. Crain has served as our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chairman of our Board of Directors since October 10, 2005. Mr. Crain brings nearly 20 years of industry and capital markets experience in transportation and logistics. Since January 2005, Mr. Crain has served as the Chief Executive Officer of Radiant Capital Partners, LLC, an entity he formed to execute a consolidation strategy in the transportation/logistics sector. Prior to founding Radiant, Mr. Crain served as the executive vice president and the chief financial officer of Stonepath Group, Inc. from January 2002 until December 2004. In 2001, Mr. Crain served as the executive vice president and Chief Financial Officer of Schneider Logistics, Inc., a third-party logistics company, and from 2000 to 2001, he served as the Vice President and Treasurer of Florida East Coast Industries, Inc., a public company engaged in railroad and real estate businesses listed on the New York Stock Exchange. Between 1989 and 2000, Mr. Crain held various vice president and treasury positions for CSX Corp., and several of its subsidiaries, a Fortune 500 transportation company listed on the New York Stock Exchange. Mr. Crain earned a Bachelor of Science in Accounting from the University of Texas.

Stephen P. Harrington. Mr. Harrington was appointed as a director on October 26, 2007. Mr. Harrington served as the Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary of Zone Mining Limited, a

Nevada corporation, from August 2006 until January 2007 and as Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, Treasurer and Secretary of Touchstone Resources USA, Inc., a Delaware corporation from March 2004 to August 2005. From October 2001 to February 2004, Mr. Harrington served as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Endeavour International Corporation (f/k/a Continental Southern Resources, Inc.), a publicly-traded oil and gas exploration company that merged with NSNV Inc., a Texas corporation. Mr. Harrington has served as the President of SPH Investments, Inc. and SPH Equities, Inc., each a private investment company, since 1992. Mr. Harrington has served as an officer and director of several publicly-held corporations, including BPK Resources, Inc., an oil and gas exploration company, and Astralis Ltd. (f/k/a Hercules Development Group). Mr. Harrington graduated with a B.S. from Yale University in 1980.

Dan Stegemoller. Mr. Stegemoller is the Chief Operating Officer of Radiant Global Logistics, Inc., and previously held the position of Vice President since November 2004. He has over 35 years of experience in the Transportation Industry. Prior to joining Airgroup, from 1993 until 2004, Mr. Stegemoller served as Senior Vice President Sales and Marketing at Forward Air, a high-service-level contractor to the air cargo industry. From 1983 to 1992, Mr. Stegemoller served as Vice President of Customer Service managing Centralized Call Center for Puralator/Emery Air/CF Airfreight. From 1973 through 1983, he served in numerous positions at Federal Express where his last position was Director of Operations in Minneapolis, Minnesota. Mr. Stegemoller has an Associated Degree in Business from IUPUI in Indianapolis.

Todd E. Macomber. Mr. Macomber has served as our Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer since August 7, 2009 and as our Vice President and Corporate Controller for Radiant Global Logistics, Inc. f/k/a Airgroup Inc. since December 2007. Prior to joining Radiant Global Logistics, Inc., from September 2003 to November 2007 Mr. Macomber served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Biotrace International, Inc. a subsidiary of Biotrace International PLC an industrial microbiology company traded on the London Stock Exchange. From January 1993 to September 2003 Mr. Macomber held a variety of positions and most recently served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for International BioProducts, Inc. Mr. Macomber earned a Bachelor of Science in Accounting from Seattle University.

Robert F. Friedman. Mr. Friedman has served as President of Adcom Express, Inc. since September 5, 2008. Mr. Friedman founded Adcom in 1978 and over the past 30 years, has overseen the evolution of Adcom from a provider of small package courier services to a full-service third party logistics company that derives over 50% of its revenues from international transportation services. Mr. Friedman has served as a Board Member of the XLA Express Delivery and Logistics Association for the past 10 years and is a 15-year member of the Airforwarders Association. He received a Bachelor of Arts degree from the University of Minnesota.

#### Directors' Term of Office

Directors hold office until the next annual meeting of shareholders and the election and qualification of their successors. Officers are elected annually by our board of directors and serve at the discretion of the board of directors.

#### Audit Committee Financial Expert

Our board of directors has not created a separately-designated standing audit committee or a committee performing similar functions. Accordingly, our full board of directors acts as our audit committee.

Although Bohn H. Crain, our CEO, has the requisite background and professional experience to qualify as an audit committee financial expert, he has not been designated as such by our Board of Directors since he does not satisfy the "independence" standards adopted by the American Stock Exchange.

We currently have a small number of employees and centralized operations. In light of the foregoing, our board of directors concluded that the benefits of retaining an individual who qualifies as an "audit committee financial expert," as that term is defined in Item 407(d)(5)(ii) of Regulation S-K promulgated under the Securities Act, would be outweighed by the costs of retaining such a person. As a result, no member of our board of directors is an "audit committee financial expert."

## Code of Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to all employees including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. Our Code of Ethics is designed to deter wrongdoing and promote: (i) honest and ethical conduct, including the ethical handling of actual or apparent conflicts of interest between personal and professional relationships; (ii) full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure in reports and documents that we file with, or submit to, the SEC and in our other public communications; (iii) compliance with applicable governmental laws, rules and regulations; (iv) the prompt internal reporting of violations of the code to an appropriate person or persons identified in the code; and (v) accountability for adherence to the code. Our Code of Ethics has been filed as an exhibit hereto or may be obtained without charge upon written request directed to Attn: Human Resources, Radiant Logistics, Inc., 405 114th Avenue S.E., Bellevue, Washington 98004.

## Section 16 Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act, as amended, requires our officers and directors and persons who own more than ten percent (10%) of our common stock to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of our common stock. Such officers, directors and ten percent (10%) stockholders are also required by applicable SEC rules to furnish copies of all forms filed with the SEC pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act. Based solely on our review of copies of forms filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended and written representations from certain reporting persons, we believe that during fiscal 2010, all reporting persons timely complied with all filing requirements applicable to them.

## ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

## Summary Compensation Table

The following summary compensation table reflects total compensation for our chief executive officer/chief financial officer, and our two most highly compensated executive officers (each a "named executive officer") whose compensation exceeded \$100,000 during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Option Awards (\$)(1)	All other compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Bohn H. Crain, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer	2010	250,000	250	-	21,921(2)	272,171
	2009	250,000	250	-	22,528(3)	272,778
Dan Stegemoller, Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Radiant Global Logistics	2010	190,000	250	-	67,156(4)	257,406
	2009	180,000	250	-	69,834(5)	250,084
Todd Macomber, Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer of Radiant Logistics, Inc.	2010	150,000	250	15,000(6)	12,436(7)	177,686
	2009	134,000	250	-	10,795(8)	145,045

(1) Represents the grant date fair value of the award, calculated in accordance with FASB Accounting Standard Codification 718, "Compensation — Stock Compensation," or ASC 718. A summary of the assumptions made in the valuation of these awards is provided under Note 13 of our consolidated financial statements.

- (2) Consists of \$12,000 for automobile allowance, \$730 for company provided life & disability insurance premiums, and \$9,191 for Company 401k match.
- (3) Consists of \$12,000 for automobile allowance, \$873 for company provided life & disability insurance premiums, and \$9,655 for Company 401k match.
- (4) Consists of \$6,750 for automobile allowance, \$730 for company provided life & disability insurance premiums, \$5,047 for Company 401k match, and \$54,629 relating to amortization of moving expenses, per his December 2005 relocation agreement. Mr. Stegemoller was issued a note receivable for \$200,000 in December 2005 to pay for his relocation expenses and to provide an incentive to accept the Company's offer of employment. The agreement provided for the note to be forgiven in equal installments over five years, along with the accrued interest, and for a gross up to pay for the taxes relating to the note forgiveness.
- (5) Consists of \$6,000 for automobile allowance, \$873 for company provided life & disability insurance premiums, \$7,964 for Company 401k match, and \$54,997 relating to amortization of moving expenses, per his December 2005 relocation agreement. See note 4 above for a description of the relocation agreement.
- (6) Mr. Macomber was granted options to purchase 100,000 shares on August 7, 2009 at an exercise price \$.28 per share. The grant date fair market value of these options was \$0.15 per share. The options vest in equal annual installments over a five year period commencing on the date of the grant.
- (7) Consists of \$6,750 for automobile allowance, \$652 for company provided life & disability insurance premiums, and \$5,034 for Company 401k match.
- (8) Consists of \$6,000 for automobile allowance, \$782 for company provided life & disability insurance premiums, and \$4,013 for Company 401k match.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table sets forth officer information regarding outstanding unexercised options for each named executive officer outstanding as of June 30, 2010.

Name	Option Awards			
	Number of securities underlying unexercised options exercisable(#)	Number of securities underlying unexercised options Unexercisable (#)	Option exercise price (\$)	Option expiration date
Bohn H. Crain	800,000	200,000	0.50	10/19/2015(1)
	800,000	200,000	0.75	10/19/2015(1)
Dan Stegemoller	240,000	60,000	0.44	1/10/2016(2)
	40,000	60,000	0.18	6/23/2018(3)
Todd Macomber	40,000	60,000	0.48	12/10/2017(4)
	40,000	60,000	0.18	6/23/2018(5)
	0	100,000	0.28	8/6/2019(6)

- (1) The stock options were granted on October 20, 2005 and vest in equal annual installments over a five year period commencing on the date of grant.
- (2) The stock options were granted on January 11, 2006 and vest in equal annual installments over a five year period commencing on the date of grant.
- (3) The stock options were granted on June 24, 2008 and vest in equal annual installments over a five year period commencing on the date of grant.
- (4) The stock options were granted on December 11, 2007 and vest in equal annual installments over a five year period commencing on the date of grant.
- (5)

The stock options were granted on June 24, 2008 and vest in equal annual installments over a five year period commencing on the date of grant.

(6) The stock options were granted on August 7, 2009 and vest in equal annual installments over a five year period commencing on the date of grant.



## Director Compensation

The following table sets forth compensation paid to our directors during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010.

Name(1)	Year	Fees earned or paid in cash (\$)	Total (\$)
Stephen P. Harrington	2010	36,000(2)	36,000

(1) Bohn Crain is not listed in the above table because he does not receive any additional compensation for serving on our board of directors.

(2) Consists of a payment of \$3,000 per month.

## Narrative Disclosure of Executive Compensation

## Employment Agreements

Bohn H. Crain. On January 13, 2006, we entered into an employment agreement with Bohn H. Crain to serve as our CEO. On December 31, 2008, we and Bohn Crain, entered into a Letter Agreement for the purpose of amending Mr. Crain's Employment Agreement. The Letter Agreement was approved by the Company's Board of Directors.

The amendments evidenced by the Letter Agreement (1) extended Mr. Crain's Employment Agreement through December 31, 2013 and (2) brought Mr. Crain's Employment Agreement into compliance with the requirements of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") by, among other things, providing for a six month delay in the payment of any amounts to be received by Mr. Crain upon a separation of service if the payment, absent such delay, would have triggered the imposition of excise taxes or other penalties under Section 409A of the Code.

The agreement provides for an annual base salary of \$250,000, a performance bonus of up to 50% of the base salary based upon the achievement of certain target objectives, and discretionary merit bonus that can be awarded at the discretion of our board of directors. We may terminate the agreement at any time for cause. If we terminate the agreement due to Mr. Crain's disability, Mr. Crain's options shall immediately vest and we must continue to pay Mr. Crain his base salary and bonuses as well as fringe benefits including participation in pension, profit sharing and bonus plans as applicable, and life insurance, hospitalization, major medical, paid vacation and expense reimbursement for an additional one year period. If Mr. Crain terminates the agreement for good reason or we terminate for any reason other than for cause, Mr. Crain's options shall immediately vest and we must continue to pay Mr. Crain his base salary and bonuses as well as fringe benefits for the remaining term of the agreement. The employment agreement contains standard and customary non-solicitation, non-competition, work made for hire, and confidentiality provisions.

## Option Agreements

On October 20, 2005, we issued to Mr. Crain an option to purchase 2,000,000 shares of common stock, 1,000,000 of which are exercisable at \$0.50 per share and the balance of which are exercisable at \$0.75 per share. The options have a term of 10 years and vest in equal annual installments over the five year period commencing on the date of grant.

On January 11, 2006, we issued to Mr. Stegemoller an option to purchase 300,000 shares of our common stock, which are exercisable at \$0.44 per share, the last sales price on the date of grant. The option vests in equal annual

installments over a five year period commencing on the date of grant and terminates ten years from the date of grant.

37

---

On December 11, 2007, we issued to Mr. Macomber an option to purchase 100,000 shares of our common stock, which are exercisable at \$0.48 per share, the last sales price on the date of grant. The options vest in equal annual installments over a five year period commencing on the date of grant and terminate ten years from the date of grant.

On June 24, 2008, we granted to each of Messrs. Stegemoller and Macomber an option to purchase 100,000 shares of our common stock. Each option is exercisable at \$0.18, the last sales price on the date of grant. The options vest in equal annual installments over a five year period commencing on the date of grant and terminate ten years from the date of grant.

On August 7, 2009, we issued to Mr. Macomber an option to purchase 100,000 shares of our common stock, which are exercisable at \$0.28 per share, the last sales price on the date of grant. The option vests in equal annual installments over a five year period commencing on the date of grant and terminates ten years from the date of grant.

#### Change in Control Arrangements

The options granted to Mr. Crain contain a change in control provision which is triggered in the event that we are acquired by merger, share exchange or otherwise, sell all or substantially all of our assets, or all of the stock of the Company is acquired by a third party (each, a "Fundamental Transaction"). In the event of a Fundamental Transaction, all of the options will vest and Mr. Crain shall have the full term of such Options in which to exercise any or all of them, notwithstanding any accelerated exercise period contained in any such Option.

The employment agreement with Mr. Crain contains a change in control provision. If his employment is terminated following a change in control (other than for cause), then we must pay him a termination payment equal to 2.99 times his base salary in effect on the date of termination of his employment, any bonus to which he would have been entitled for a period of three years following the date of termination, any unpaid expenses and benefits, and for a period of three years provide him with all fringe benefits he was receiving on the date of termination of his employment or the economic equivalent. In addition, all of his unvested stock options shall immediately vest as of the termination date of his employment due to a change in control. A change in control is generally defined as the occurrence of any one of the following:

- any "Person" (as the term "Person" is used in Section 13(d) and Section 14(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934), except for our chief executive officer, becoming the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of our securities representing 50% or more of the combined voting power of our then outstanding securities;
- a contested proxy solicitation of our stockholders that results in the contesting party obtaining the ability to vote securities representing 50% or more of the combined voting power of our then-outstanding securities;
- a sale, exchange, transfer or other disposition of 50% or more in value of our assets to another Person or entity, except to an entity controlled directly or indirectly by us;
- a merger, consolidation or other reorganization involving us in which we are not the surviving entity and in which our stockholders prior to the transaction continue to own less than 50% of the outstanding securities of the acquirer immediately following the transaction, or a plan involving our liquidation or dissolution other than pursuant to bankruptcy or insolvency laws is adopted; or
- during any period of twelve consecutive months, individuals who at the beginning of such period constituted the board cease for any reason to constitute at least the majority thereof unless the election, or the nomination for election by our stockholders, of each new director was approved by a vote of at least a majority of the directors then still in office who were directors at the beginning of the period.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, a "change in control" is not deemed to have occurred (i) in the event of a sale, exchange, transfer or other disposition of substantially all of our assets to, or a merger, consolidation or other reorganization involving, us and any entity in which our chief executive officer has, directly or indirectly, at least a 25% equity or ownership interest; or (ii) in a transaction otherwise commonly referred to as a "management leveraged

buy-out."

38

---

## Directors' Compensation

In January 2009, we began compensating Mr. Harrington \$3,000 per month for his services. Mr. Crain does not receive any additional compensation for serving our board of directors. Other than our arrangement with Mr. Harrington, we do not have any standard arrangements regarding payment of any cash or other compensation to our current directors for their services as directors, as members of any committee of our board of directors or for any special assignments, other than to reimburse them for their cost of travel and other out-of-pocket costs incurred to attend board or committee meetings or to perform any special assignment on behalf of the Company.

## Stock Incentive Plan

On October 20, 2005, we adopted the Radiant Logistics, Inc. 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (the "Plan"). Awards may be made under the Plan for up to 5,000,000 shares of our common stock in the form of stock options or restricted stock awards. Awards may be made to our employees, officers or directors as well as our consultants or advisors. The Plan is administered by our Board of Directors which has full and final authority to interpret the Plan, select the persons to whom awards may be granted, and determine the amount, vesting and all other terms of any awards. To the extent permitted by applicable law, our Board may delegate any or all of its powers under the Plan to one or more committees or subcommittees of the Board. The Plan is not subject to the provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, and is not a "qualified plan" under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code ("IRC") of 1986, as amended. The Plan has not been approved by our shareholders. As a result, "incentive stock options" as defined under Section 422 of the IRC may not be granted under the Plan until our shareholders approve the Plan.

All stock options granted under the Plan are exercisable for a period of up to ten years from the date of grant, are subject to vesting as determined by the Board upon grant, and have an exercise price equal to not less than the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant. Unless otherwise determined by the Board, awards may not be transferred except by will or the laws of descent and distribution. The Board has discretion to determine the effect on any award granted under the Plan of the death, disability, retirement, resignation, termination or other change in employment or other status of any participant in the Plan. The maximum number of shares of common stock for which awards may be granted to a participant under the Plan in any calendar year is 2,500,000.

The Plan states that a "Change of Control" occurs when (i) any "person" (as such term is used in Section 13(d) and 14(d) of the Exchange Act) acquires "beneficial ownership" (as defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act), directly or indirectly, of securities of the Company representing fifty percent (50%) or more of the voting power of the then outstanding securities of the Company except where the acquisition is approved by the Board; or (ii) if the Company is to be consolidated with or acquired by another entity in a merger or other reorganization in which the holders of the outstanding voting stock of the Company immediately preceding the consummation of such event, shall, immediately following such event, hold, as a group, less than a majority of the voting securities of the surviving or successor entity or in the event of a sale of all or substantially all of the Company's assets or otherwise.

Unless otherwise provided in option or employment agreements, if the Plan is terminated as a result of or following a "Change of Control", all vested awards may be exercised for 30 days from the date of notice of the termination. All participants will be credited with an additional six months of service for the purpose of unvested awards. If the Plan is assumed or not terminated upon the occurrence of a "Change of Control", all participants will be credited with an additional six months of service if, during the remaining term of such participant's awards, any participant is terminated without cause.

As of September 24, 2010, the following options to purchase shares of common stock were outstanding:

Options	Exercise Price Per Share
1,000,000	\$ 0.50
1,000,000	0.75
375,000	0.44
360,000	0.18
300,000	0.28
190,000	0.62
175,000	0.48
100,000	0.16
100,000	0.20
20,000	1.01
3,620,000	\$ 0.50

#### ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The following table indicates how many shares of our common stock were beneficially owned as of September 24, 2010, by (1) each person known by us to be the owner of more than 5% of our outstanding shares of common stock, (2) our directors, (3) our executive officers, and (4) all of our directors and executive officers as a group. Unless otherwise indicated, each person named below has sole voting and investment power with respect to all common stock beneficially owned by that person or entity, subject to the matters set forth in the footnotes to the table below. Unless otherwise provided, the address of each of the persons listed below is c/o Radiant Logistics, Inc., 405 114th Avenue S.E., Bellevue, Washington 98004.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount(1)	Percent of Class
Bohn H. Crain	11,724,301(2)	36.8%
Dan Stegemoller	378,182(3)	1.3%
Todd E. Macomber	100,000(4)	*
Robert F. Friedman	—	—
Stephen P. Harrington	1,568,182(5)	5.2%
Stephen M. Cohen	2,500,000(6)	8.4%
Douglas Tabor	2,940,974(7)	9.8%
All officers and directors as a group (5 persons)	13,770,665	42.7%

(\*) Less than one percent

(1) The securities "beneficially owned" by a person are determined in accordance with the definition of "beneficial ownership" set forth in the rules and regulations promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and accordingly, may include securities owned by and for, among others, the spouse and/or minor children of an individual and any other relative who has the same home as such individual, as well as other securities as to which the individual has or shares voting or investment power or which such person has the right to acquire within 60 days of September 24, 2010 pursuant to the exercise of options, or otherwise. Beneficial ownership may be disclaimed as to certain of the securities. This table has been prepared based on 29,894,421 shares of common

stock outstanding as of September 24, 2010.

(2) Consists of 8,955,000 shares held by Radiant Capital Partners, LLC over which Mr. Crain has sole voting and dispositive power, 769,301 shares directly held by Mr. Crain, and 2,000,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options.

- (3) Includes 280,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options. Does not include 120,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options which are subject to vesting.
- (4) Includes 100,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options. Does not include 200,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options which are subject to vesting.
- (5) Consists of shares held by SPH Investments, Inc. over which Mr. Harrington has sole voting and dispositive power.
- (6) Consists of shares held of record by Mr. Cohen's wife over which he shares voting and dispositive power.
- (7) This information is based on a schedule 13G dated and filed with the SEC on January 26, 2010 reporting that Douglas Tabor has sold voting power with respect to 2,940,974 shares of common stock.

#### Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth certain information regarding compensation plans under which our equity securities are authorized for issuance as of June 30, 2010.

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding warrants and rights		Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(c)
Equity Compensation Plans approved by security holders		0	—	0
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	3,620,000	\$ 0.504		1,380,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,620,000</b>	<b>\$ 0.504</b>		<b>1,380,000</b>

A description of the material terms of The Radiant Logistics, Inc. 2005 Stock Incentive Plan is set forth in Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION - Stock Incentive Plan.

#### ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

##### Review, Approval or Ratification of Transactions with Related Persons

Our board is responsible for reviewing and approving all related party transactions. Before approving such a transaction, the board takes into account all relevant factors that it deems appropriate, including whether the related party transaction is on terms no less favorable to us than terms generally available from an unaffiliated third party. Any request for us to enter into a transaction with an executive officer, director, principal stockholder or any of such persons' immediate family members or affiliates in which the amount involved exceeds \$120,000 must first be presented to our board for review, consideration and approval. All of our directors, executive officers and employees are required to report to our board any such related party transaction. In approving or rejecting the proposed agreement, our board considers the facts and circumstances available and deemed relevant to the board, including, but not limited to the risks, costs and benefits to us, the terms of the transaction, the availability of other sources for comparable services or products and, if applicable, the impact on a director's independence. Our board approves only those agreements that, in light of known circumstances, are in, or are not inconsistent with, our best interests, as our board determines in the good faith exercise of its discretion. Although the policies and procedures described above are not written, the board applies the foregoing criteria in evaluating and approving all such transactions. Each of the transactions described below were approved by our board of directors in accordance with the foregoing.





## Transactions

On June 28, 2006, we joined Radiant Capital Partners, LLC ("RCP"), an affiliate of Bohn H. Crain to form Radiant Logistics Partners, LLC ("RLP"). RCP and the Company contributed \$12,000 and \$8,000, respectively, for their respective 60% and 40% interests in RLP. RLP has been certified as a minority business enterprise by the Northwest Minority Business Council. Mr. Crain's ownership interest entitles him to a majority of the profits and distributable cash, if any, generated by RLP. The operations of RLP commenced in February of 2007 and are intended to provide certain benefits to us, including expanding the scope of services offered by us and participating in supplier diversity programs not otherwise available to us. As the RLP operations mature, we will evaluate and approve all related service agreements between us and RLP, including the scope of the services to be provided by us to RLP and the fees payable to us by RLP, in accordance with our corporate governance principles and applicable Delaware corporation law. This process may include seeking the opinion of a qualified third party concerning the fairness of any such agreement.

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, RLP recorded \$246,533 in revenues including \$160,071 in commission revenues earned from members of the affiliated group, and paid management service fees totaling \$5,671 to members of the affiliated group and reported a profit of \$197,734. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, RLP recorded \$362,008 in revenues including \$110,335 in commission revenues earned from members of the affiliated group, and paid management service fees totaling \$22,598 to members of the affiliated group and reported a profit of \$44,000. The profits and losses of RLP are split 40% to the Company and 60% to RCP.

## Director Independence

Mr. Harrington satisfies the definition of "independent" established by the NYSE-AMEX as set forth in Section 803 of the NYSE-AMEX Company Guide. Mr. Crain does not satisfy the definition of "independent" established by the NYSE-AMEX as set forth in Section 803 of the NYSE-AMEX Company Guide. As of the date of the report, we do not maintain a separately designated audit, compensation or nominating committee.

## ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANTS FEE AND SERVICES

The following table presents fees for professional audit services performed for the audit of our annual financial statements for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 and fees billed and unbilled for other services rendered by it during those periods.

	2010	2009
Audit Fees:	\$ 121,500	\$ 96,000
Audit Related Fees:	2,500	5,000
Tax Fees:	55,800	43,200
All Other Fees:	1,000	-
Total:	\$ 180,800	\$ 144,200

## Audit Fees

Audit Fees consist of fees billed and unbilled for professional services rendered for the audit of our consolidated financial statements and review of the interim financial statements included in quarterly reports and services that are normally provided by our independent registered public accountants in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.

#### Audit Related Fees

Audit-Related Fees consist of fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's consolidated financial statements and are not reported under "Audit Fees."

#### Tax Fees

Tax Fees consists of fees billed for professional services for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning. These services include assistance regarding federal and state tax compliance, tax audit defense, customs and duties, and mergers and acquisitions.

#### All Other Fees

All Other Fees consist of fees billed for products and services provided not described above.

#### Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

Our Board of Directors serves as our audit committee. Our Board of Directors approves the engagement of our independent auditors, and meets with our independent auditors to approve the annual scope of accounting services to be performed and the related fee estimates. It also meets with our independent auditors, on a quarterly basis, following completion of their quarterly reviews and annual audit and prior to our earnings announcements, if any, to review the results of their work. During the course of the year, our chairman has the authority to pre-approve requests for services that were not approved in the annual pre-approval process. The chairman reports any interim pre-approvals at the following quarterly meeting. At each of the meetings, management and our independent auditors update the Board of Directors with material changes to any service engagement and related fee estimates as compared to amounts previously approved. During the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009, all audit and non-audit services performed by our independent registered public accountants were pre-approved by the Board of Directors in accordance with the foregoing procedures.

#### ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Exhibit No.	Description
2.1	Stock Purchase Agreement by and among Radiant Logistics, Inc., the Shareholders of Airgroup Corporation and William H. Moultrie (as Shareholders' Agent) dated January 11, 2006, effective as of January 1, 2006. (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 18, 2006)
2.2	Registration Rights Agreement by and among Radiant Logistics, Inc. and the Shareholders of Airgroup Corporation dated January 11, 2006, effective as of January 1, 2006. (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 18, 2006)
2.3	First Amendment to Stock Purchase Agreement (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 30, 2007)
2.4	Stock Purchase Agreement by and between Radiant Logistics, Inc. and Robert F. Friedman dated September 5, 2008 (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 11, 2008)

Edgar Filing: RADIANT LOGISTICS, INC - Form 10-K

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed on September 20, 2002)
- 3.2 Amendment to Registrant's Certificate of Incorporation (Certificate of Ownership and Merger Merging Radiant Logistics, Inc. into Golf Two, Inc. dated October 18, 2005) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 18, 2005)

Edgar Filing: RADIANT LOGISTICS, INC - Form 10-K

- 3.3 Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed on September 20, 2002)
- 10.1 Executive Employment Agreement dated January 13, 2006 by and between Radiant Logistics, Inc. and Bohn H. Crain (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 18, 2006)
- 10.2 Option Agreement dated October 20, 2005 by and between Radiant Logistics, Inc. and Bohn H. Crain (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 18, 2006)
- 10.3 Loan Agreement by and among Radiant Logistics, Inc., Airgroup Corporation, Radiant Logistics Global Services, Inc., Radiant Logistics Partners, LLC and Bank of America, N.A. dated as of February 13, 2007 (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on February 14, 2007)
- 10.4 Asset Purchase Agreement dated May 21, 2007 by and between Radiant Logistics Global Services, Inc. and Mass Financial Corp. (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 24, 2007)
- 10.5 Management Services Agreement dated May 21, 2007 by and between Radiant Logistics Global Services, Inc. and Mass Financial Corp. (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 24, 2007)
- 10.6 Lease Agreement for Bellevue, WA office space dated April 11, 2007 by and between Radiant Logistics, Inc. and Pine Forest Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on October 1, 2007)
- 10.7 Amendment to Asset Purchase Agreement dated as of November 1, 2007 by and between Radiant Logistics Global Services, Inc. and Mass Financial Corp. (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on November 14, 2007)
- 10.8 Amendment No. 1 to Loan Agreement dated as of February 12, 2008 by and among Radiant Logistics, Inc., Airgroup Corporation, Radiant Logistics Global Services, Inc., Radiant Logistics Partners, LLC and Bank of America, N.A. (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on February 14, 2008)
- 10.9 Amendment No. 2 to Loan Agreement dated as of June 28, 2008 by and among Radiant Logistics, Inc., Airgroup Corporation, Radiant Logistics Global Services, Inc., Radiant Logistics Partners, LLC and Bank of America, N.A. (filed herewith)
- 10.10 Third Amendment to Loan Documents dated as of September 2, 2008 by and among Radiant Logistics, Inc., Airgroup Corporation, Radiant Logistics Global Services, Inc., Radiant Logistics Partners, LLC, Adcom Express, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A. (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 11, 2008)
- 10.11 Fifth Loan Modification Agreement, dated as of March 25, 2010, by and among Radiant Logistics, Inc., Airgroup Corporation, Radiant Logistics Global Services, Inc., Radiant Logistics Partners, LLC, Adcom Express, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A. (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 29, 2010)

Edgar Filing: RADIANT LOGISTICS, INC - Form 10-K

- 10.12 Executive Employment Agreement dated September 5, 2008 by and between Radiant Logistics, Inc. and Robert F. Friedman (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 11, 2008)
- 10.13 Letter Agreement dated December 31, 2008; Amendment to the Employment Agreement between Radiant Logistics, Inc. and Bohn H. Crain (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 9, 2009)
- 14.1 Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed on March 17, 2006)
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of the Registrant (filed herewith)
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith)

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

RADIANT LOGISTICS, INC.

Date: September 27, 2010

By: /s/ Bohn H. Crain  
Bohn H. Crain  
Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Stephen P. Harrington Stephen P. Harrington	Director	September 27, 2010
/s/ Bohn H. Crain Bohn H. Crain	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	September 27, 2010
/s/ Todd E. Macomber Todd E. Macomber	Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer	September 27, 2010

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
INDEX TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

RADIANT LOGISTICS, INC.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2010 and 2009	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Income (Operations) for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	F-6 – F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8 – F-23



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors  
Radiant Logistics, Inc.  
Bellevue, Washington

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Radiant Logistics, Inc. ("the Company") as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income (operations), stockholders' equity (deficit), and cash flows for the years then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company has determined that it is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Radiant Logistics, Inc. as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

/S/ PETERSON SULLIVAN LLP

September 27, 2010

F-2

---

RADIANT LOGISTICS, INC.  
Consolidated Balance Sheets

	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 682,108	\$ 890,572
Accounts receivable, net of allowance June 30, 2010 - \$626,401; June 30, 2009 - \$754,578	21,442,023	17,275,387
Current portion of employee loan receivable	13,100	53,700
Current portion of station and other receivables	195,289	522,088
Income tax deposit	-	535,074
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,104,211	305,643
Deferred tax asset	402,428	427,713
Total current assets	23,839,159	20,010,177
Furniture and equipment, net	881,416	760,507
Acquired intangibles, net	2,019,757	3,179,043
Goodwill	982,788	337,000
Employee loan receivable, net of current portion	38,000	40,000
Station and other receivables, net of current portion	151,160	37,500
Investment in real estate	40,000	40,000
Deposits and other assets	153,116	359,606
Deferred tax asset – long term	106,023	-
Total long term assets	3,490,844	3,993,149
Total assets	\$ 28,211,419	\$ 24,763,833
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)</b>		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued transportation costs	\$ 16,004,814	\$ 13,249,628
Commissions payable	2,119,503	1,323,004
Other accrued costs	538,854	472,202
Income taxes payable	76,309	-
Due to former Adcom shareholder	603,205	2,153,721
Total current liabilities	19,342,685	17,198,555
Long term debt	7,641,021	7,869,110
Other long term liabilities	439,905	-
Deferred tax liability	-	352,387
Total long term liabilities	8,080,926	8,221,497
Total liabilities	27,423,611	25,420,052
Stockholders' equity (deficit)		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued or outstanding	-	-
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized. Issued and outstanding: June 30, 2010 – 31,273,461; June 30, 2009 – 34,106,960	16,157	16,157
Additional paid-in capital	8,108,239	7,889,458

Edgar Filing: RADIANT LOGISTICS, INC - Form 10-K

Treasury stock, at cost, 3,428,499 and 595,000 shares, respectively	(936,190)	(138,250)
Retained deficit	(6,466,946)	(8,425,491)
Total Radiant Logistics, Inc. stockholders' equity (deficit)	721,260	(658,126)
Non-controlling interest	66,548	1,907
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	787,808	(656,219)
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit)	\$ 28,211,419	\$ 24,763,833

The accompanying notes form an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-3

---

RADIANT LOGISTICS, INC.  
Consolidated Statements of Income (Operations)

	YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010	YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2009
Revenues	\$ 146,715,556	\$ 136,996,319
Cost of transportation	101,085,752	91,427,781
Net revenues	45,629,804	45,568,538
Agent commissions	31,376,580	30,565,136
Personnel costs	5,882,251	6,920,914
Selling, general and administrative expenses	4,295,188	4,286,572
Depreciation and amortization	1,598,195	1,743,159
Restructuring charges	-	220,000
Goodwill impairment	-	11,403,342
Total operating expenses	43,152,214	55,139,123
Income (loss) from operations	2,477,590	(9,570,585)
Other income (expense)		
Interest income	44,181	13,540
Interest expense	(178,837)	(216,893)
Gain on extinguishment of debt	135,012	190,000
Gain on litigation settlement	354,670	-
Other	338,724	(75,005)
Total other income (expense)	693,750	(88,358)
Income (loss) before income tax expense	3,171,340	(9,658,943)
Income tax expense	(1,094,154)	(43,912)
Net income (loss)	2,077,186	(9,702,855)
Less: Net income attributable to non-controlling interest	(118,641)	(26,691)
Net income (loss) attributable to Radiant Logistics, Inc.	\$ 1,958,545	\$ (9,729,546)
Net income (loss) per common share – basic and diluted	\$ .06	\$ (0.28)
Weighted average shares outstanding		
Basic shares	32,548,492	34,678,755
Diluted shares	32,720,019	34,678,755

The accompanying notes form an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

RADIANT LOGISTICS, INC.  
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)

	RADIANT LOGISTICS, INC. STOCKHOLDERS						TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)
	COMMON STOCK SHARES	ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL	TREASURY STOCK	RETAINED EARNINGS (DEFICIT)	NON-CONTROLLING INTEREST	STOCK	
Balance at June 30, 2008	34,660,293	\$ 16,116	\$ 7,703,658	\$ -	\$ 1,304,055	\$ (24,784)	\$ 8,999,045
Issuance of common stock for investor relations	41,667	41	12,041	-	-	-	12,082
Repurchase of common stock	(595,000)	-	-	(138,250)	-	-	(138,250)
Share-based compensation	-	-	173,759	-	-	-	173,759
Net income (loss) for the year ended June 30, 2010	-	-	-	-	(9,729,546)	26,691	(9,702,855)
Balance at June 30, 2009	34,106,960	\$ 16,157	\$ 7,889,458	\$ (138,250)	\$ (8,425,491)	\$ 1,907	\$ (656,219)
Repurchase of common stock	(2,833,499)	-	-	(797,940)	-	-	(797,940)
Share-based compensation	-	-	218,781	-	-	-	218,781
Distribution to non-controlling interest	-	-	-	-	-	(54,000)	(54,000)
Net income for the year ended June 30, 2010	-	-	-	-	1,958,545	118,641	2,077,186
Balance at June 30, 2010	31,273,461	\$ 16,157	\$ 8,108,239	\$ (936,190)	\$ (6,466,946)	\$ 66,548	\$ 787,808

The accompanying notes form an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

RADIANT LOGISTICS, INC.  
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010	YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2009
<b>CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,958,545	\$ (9,729,546)
<b>ADJUSTMENTS TO RECONCILE NET INCOME (LOSS) TO NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
non-cash compensation expense (stock options)	218,781	173,759
non-cash issuance of common stock (services)	-	12,082
amortization of intangibles	1,159,286	1,263,370
deferred income tax benefit	(433,125)	(1,421,657)
depreciation and leasehold amortization	438,909	479,789
gain on extinguishment of debt	(135,012)	(190,000)
gain on litigation settlement	(354,670)	-
goodwill impairment	-	11,403,342
amortization of bank fees	40,748	16,534
change in non-controlling interest	118,641	26,691
change in provision for doubtful accounts	(54,988)	(90,766)
<b>CHANGE IN OPERATING ASSETS AND LIABILITIES</b>		
accounts receivable	(4,038,459)	7,669,229
employee loan receivable	42,600	(10,333)
station and other receivables	224,371	(103,551)
prepaid expenses, deposits and other assets	(736,705)	259,356
accounts payable and accrued transportation costs	2,750,911	(5,210,752)
commissions payable	796,499	186,145
other accrued costs	(212,836)	(16,368)
other long-term liabilities	439,905	-
income taxes payable	76,309	(498,142)
income tax deposit	535,074	(450,046)
due to former Adcom shareholder	(20,834)	-
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,813,950	3,769,136
<b>CASH FLOWS USED FOR INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Acquisition of Adcom Express, Inc., net of acquired cash, including an additional \$62,246 of costs incurred post-closing	-	(5,493,799)
Purchase of furniture and equipment	(559,818)	(230,892)
Payments to former Airgroup shareholders	-	(889,915)
Payments to former Adcom shareholder	(1,382,567)	(115,009)
Net cash used for investing activities	(1,942,385)	(6,729,615)
<b>CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED FOR) FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Proceeds from (payments on) credit facility, net of credit fees	(228,089)	3,597,078
Distribution to non-controlling interest	(54,000)	-
Purchases of treasury stock	(797,940)	(138,250)
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	(1,080,029)	3,458,828
<b>NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	<b>(208,464)</b>	<b>498,349</b>
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD</b>	<b>890,572</b>	<b>392,223</b>

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	\$	682,108	\$	890,572
--	----	---------	----	---------

**SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION**

Income taxes paid	\$	970,246	\$	2,369,845
Interest paid	\$	172,930	\$	216,893

The accompanying notes form an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-6

---

Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:

In November 2008, the Company recorded \$633,333 as an accrued payable and an increase to goodwill for the final annual earn-out payment due to the former Airgroup shareholders for the Company's acquisition of Airgroup.

In November 2008, the Company finalized its purchase price allocation for the Automotive Services Group resulting in a decrease of net assets acquired by \$62,694 due to unutilized transaction costs. The effect of this transaction was a decrease to goodwill and a decrease to accounts payable.

In December 2008, the Company completed its quarterly analysis of allowance for doubtful accounts. Included in the analysis of doubtful accounts was \$205,462 relating to receivables acquired in the Adcom transaction. Pursuant to the purchase agreement for the Adcom transaction, the \$205,462 was offset against amounts otherwise due to the former Adcom shareholder.

In December 2008, the Company paid \$333,276 to the former Airgroup shareholders for the earn-out payment recorded on the books for the year ending June 30, 2008. The earn-out payment was recorded at June 30, 2008 in the amount of \$416,596, and payable in shares of the Company common stock. The payment was discounted by \$83,320 as the former Airgroup shareholders agreed to receive cash rather than Company shares. The effect of this reduction in the earn-out was a decrease to goodwill and to the amount owed to the former Airgroup shareholders.

In June 2009, and based on the operating income for year ended June 30, 2009, \$337,000 was recorded as due to former Adcom shareholder and an increase to goodwill for the first annual earn-out from the Company's acquisition of Adcom.

In September 2009, the Company finalized its purchase price allocation relating to the acquisition of Adcom, resulting in an increase of net assets acquired by \$151,550 due to increased transaction costs and other adjustments to the fair value of the acquired assets. The effect of this transaction was an increase to goodwill of \$157,291 with offsetting changes to other balance sheet amounts as follows: a decrease to the allowance for doubtful accounts of \$72,280, an increase in other receivables of \$11,831, an increase in accounts payable of \$4,275, an increase of other accrued costs of \$279,488, and a decrease in the amount due to the former Adcom shareholder of \$42,361.

In June 2010, and based on the operating income for year ended June 30, 2010, \$488,497 was recorded as due to former Adcom shareholder and an increase to goodwill for the second annual earn-out from the Company's acquisition of Adcom.



RADIANT LOGISTICS, INC.  
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTE 1 - THE COMPANY AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The Company

Radiant Logistics, Inc. (the "Company") was incorporated in the State of Delaware on March 15, 2001. Currently, the Company is executing a strategy to build a global transportation and supply chain management company through organic growth and the strategic acquisition of best-of-breed non-asset based transportation and logistics providers to offer its customers domestic and international freight forwarding and an expanding array of value added supply chain management services, including order fulfillment, inventory management and warehousing.

The Company completed the first step in its business strategy through the acquisition of Airgroup Corporation ("Airgroup") effective as of January 1, 2006. Airgroup is a Bellevue, Washington based non-asset based logistics company providing domestic and international freight forwarding services through a network which includes a combination of company-owned and exclusive agent offices across North America. Airgroup has a diversified account base including manufacturers, distributors and retailers using a network of independent carriers and international agents positioned strategically around the world.

The Company continues to identify a number of additional companies as suitable acquisition candidates and has completed two material acquisitions since its acquisition of Airgroup. In November 2007, the Company acquired Automotive Services Group in Detroit, Michigan to service the automotive industry. In September 2008, the Company acquired Adcom Express, Inc. d/b/a Adcom Worldwide ("Adcom"), adding an additional 30 locations across North America and augmenting the Company's overall domestic and international freight forwarding capabilities.

In connection with the acquisition of Adcom, the Company changed the name of Airgroup Corporation to Radiant Global Logistics, Inc. ("RGL") in order to better position its centralized back-office operations to service both the Airgroup and Adcom network brands. RGL, through the Airgroup and Adcom network brands, has a diversified account base including manufacturers, distributors and retailers using a network of independent carriers and international agents positioned strategically around the world.

The Company's growth strategy will continue to focus on both organic growth and acquisitions. From an organic perspective, the Company will focus on strengthening existing and expanding new customer relationships. One of the drivers of the Company's organic growth will be retaining existing, and securing new exclusive agency locations. Since the Company's acquisition of Airgroup in January 2006, the Company has focused its efforts on the build-out of its network of exclusive agency offices, as well as enhancing its back-office infrastructure and transportation and accounting systems. The Company will continue to search for targets that fit within its acquisition criteria. The Company's ability to secure additional financing will rely upon the sale of debt or equity securities, and the development of an active trading market for its securities.

As the Company continues to build out its network of exclusive agent locations to achieve a level of critical mass and scale, it is executing an acquisition strategy to develop additional growth opportunities. The Company's acquisition strategy relies upon two primary factors: first, the Company's ability to identify and acquire target businesses that fit within its general acquisition criteria; and second, the continued availability of capital and financing resources sufficient to complete these acquisitions.

Successful implementation of the Company's growth strategy depends upon a number of factors, including its ability to: (i) continue developing new agency locations; (ii) locate acquisition opportunities; (iii) secure adequate funding to

finance identified acquisition opportunities; (iv) efficiently integrate the businesses of the companies acquired; (v) generate the anticipated economies of scale from the integration; and (vi) maintain the historic sales growth of the acquired businesses in order to generate continued organic growth. There are a variety of risks associated with the Company's ability to achieve its strategic objectives, including the ability to acquire and profitably manage additional businesses and the intense competition in the industry for customers and for acquisition candidates.

F-8

---

## Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries as well as a single variable interest entity, Radiant Logistics Partners LLC ("RLP"), which is 40% owned by Radiant Global Logistics (f/k/a Airgroup Corporation), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, and whose accounts are included in the consolidated financial statements. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

## NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

### a) Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Such estimates include revenue recognition, accruals for the cost of purchased transportation, the fair value of acquired assets and liabilities, accounting for the issuance of shares and share based compensation, the assessment of the recoverability of long-lived assets and goodwill, the establishment of an allowance for doubtful accounts and the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets. Estimates and assumptions are reviewed periodically and the effects of revisions are reflected in the period that they are determined to be necessary. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### b) Fair Value Measurements

In general, fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the related assets or liabilities. Fair values determined by Level 3 inputs are unobservable data points for the asset or liability, and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability.

### c) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair values of the Company's receivables, accounts payable and accrued transportation costs, commissions payable, other accrued costs, income taxes payable and amounts due to former Adcom shareholder approximate the carrying values due to the relatively short maturities of these instruments. The fair value of the Company's long-term debt, if recalculated based on current interest rates, would not differ significantly from the recorded amount.

### d) Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the statements of cash flows, cash equivalents include all highly-liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less which are not securing any corporate obligations.

### e) Concentrations

The Company maintains its cash in bank deposit accounts, which, at times, may exceed federally-insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts.

### f) Accounts Receivable

The Company's receivables are recorded when billed and represent claims against third parties that will be settled in cash. The carrying value of the Company's receivables, net of the allowance for doubtful accounts, represents their estimated net realizable value. The Company evaluates the collectability of accounts receivable on a customer-by-customer basis. The Company records a reserve for bad debts against amounts due to reduce the net recognized receivable to an amount the Company believes will be reasonably collected. The reserve is a discretionary amount determined from the analysis of the aging of the accounts receivables, historical experience and knowledge of specific customers.

On occasion the Company extends credit to agent-based stations.

F-9

---

## g) Furniture and Equipment

Technology (computer software, hardware, and communications), furniture, and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets. Depreciation is computed using five to seven year lives for vehicles, communication, office, furniture, and computer equipment and the double declining balance method. Computer software is depreciated over a three year life using the straight line method of depreciation. For leasehold improvements, the cost is depreciated over the shorter of the lease term or useful life on a straight line basis. Upon retirement or other disposition of these assets, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and the resulting gain or loss, if any, is reflected in other income or expense. Expenditures for maintenance, repairs and renewals of minor items are charged to expense as incurred. Major renewals and improvements are capitalized.

## h) Goodwill

The Company performs an annual impairment test for goodwill. The first step of the impairment test requires the Company to determine the fair value of each reporting unit, and compare the fair value to the reporting unit's carrying amount. The Company has only one reporting unit. To the extent a reporting unit's carrying amount exceeds its fair value, an indication exists that the reporting unit's goodwill may be impaired and the Company must perform a second more detailed impairment assessment. The second impairment assessment involves allocating the reporting unit's fair value to all of its recognized and unrecognized assets and liabilities in order to determine the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill as of the assessment date. The implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill is then compared to the carrying amount of goodwill to quantify an impairment charge as of the assessment date. The Company typically performs its annual impairment test effective as of April 1 of each year, unless events or circumstances indicate, an impairment may have occurred before that time. As of June 30, 2010, management believes there are no indications of impairment.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2009, in connection with the preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements included herein, the Company concluded indicators of potential impairment were present due to the sustained decline in the Company's share price resulting in the market capitalization of the Company being less than its book value. The Company conducted an impairment test during the second quarter of fiscal 2009 based on the facts and circumstances at that time and its business strategy in light of existing industry and economic conditions, as well as taking into consideration future expectations. As the Company has significantly grown the business since its initial acquisition of Airgroup, it has also grown its customer relationship intangibles as the Company added additional stations. Through its impairment testing and review, the Company concluded its discounted cash flow analysis supports a valuation of its identifiable intangible assets well in excess of their carrying value. However, generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") do not allow the Company to recognize the previously unrecognized intangible assets in connection with these new stations. Factoring this with management's assessment of the fair value of other assets and liabilities resulted in no residual implied fair value remaining to be allocated to goodwill. As a result, for the quarter ending December 31, 2008, the Company recorded a non-cash goodwill impairment charge of \$11.4 million. This non-cash charge did not have any impact on the Company's compliance with the financial covenants in its credit agreement.

The table below reflects changes in goodwill for the years ending June 30:

	2010	2009
Goodwill – beginning of year	\$ 337,000	\$ 7,824,654
Airgroup earn-out and adjustment (see Note 11)	-	550,013
Automotive Services Group acquisition and adjustments	-	(62,694)
Adcom acquisition (see Note 4)	157,291	3,091,369

Adcom earn-out (see Note 11)	488,497	337,000
Impairment charge	-	(11,403,342)
Goodwill – end of year	\$ 982,788	\$ 337,000

## i) Long-Lived Assets

Acquired intangibles consist of customer related intangibles and non-compete agreements arising from the Company's acquisitions. Customer related intangibles are amortized using accelerated methods over approximately 5 years and non-compete agreements are amortized using the straight line method over the term of the underlying agreements. See Notes 4 and 5.

F-10

---

The Company reviews long-lived assets to be held-and-used for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. If the sum of the undiscounted expected future cash flows over the remaining useful life of a long-lived asset is less than its carrying amount, the asset is considered to be impaired. Impairment losses are measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. When fair values are not available, the Company estimates fair value using the expected future cash flows discounted at a rate commensurate with the risks associated with the recovery of the asset. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell. Management has performed a review of all long-lived assets and has determined no impairment of the respective carrying value has occurred as of June 30, 2010.

j) Commitments

The Company has operating lease commitments for equipment rentals, office space, and warehouse space under non-cancelable operating leases expiring at various dates through April 2021. Minimum future lease payments under these non-cancelable operating leases for the next five fiscal years ending June 30 and thereafter are as follows:

2011	\$ 338,759
2012	229,567
2013	221,158
2014	230,921
2015	240,223
Thereafter	1,639,454
Total minimum lease payments	\$ 2,900,082

Rent expense amounted to \$472,267 and \$607,416 for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

k) Income Taxes

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been reflected in the consolidated financial statements. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the book values and the tax bases of particular assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using tax rates in effect for the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is provided to offset the net deferred tax assets if, based upon the available evidence, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

The Company reports a liability for unrecognized tax benefits resulting from uncertain income tax positions taken or expected to be taken in an income tax return. Estimated interest and penalties are recorded as a component of interest expense or other expense, respectively.

l) Revenue Recognition and Purchased Transportation Costs

The Company is the primary obligor responsible for providing the service desired by the customer and is responsible for fulfillment, including the acceptability of the service(s) ordered or purchased by the customer. At the Company's sole discretion, it sets the prices charged to its customers, and is not required to obtain approval or consent from any other party in establishing its prices. The Company has multiple suppliers for the services it sells to its customers, and has the absolute and complete discretion and right to select the supplier that will provide the product(s) or service(s) ordered by a customer, including changing the supplier on a shipment-by-shipment basis. In most cases, the Company determines the nature, type, characteristics, and specifications of the service(s) ordered by the customer. The Company also assumes credit risk for the amount billed to the customer.

As a non-asset based carrier, the Company does not own transportation assets. The Company generates the major portion of its air and ocean freight revenues by purchasing transportation services from direct (asset-based) carriers and reselling those services to its customers. Based upon the terms in the contract of carriage, revenues related to shipments where the Company issues a House Airway Bill ("HAWB") or a House Ocean Bill of Lading ("HOBL") are recognized at the time the freight is tendered to the direct carrier at origin. Costs related to the shipments are also recognized at this same time based upon anticipated margins, contractual arrangements with direct carriers, and other known factors. The estimates are routinely monitored and compared to actual invoiced costs. The estimates are adjusted as deemed necessary by the Company to reflect differences between the original accruals and actual costs of purchased transportation.

F-11

---



This method generally results in recognition of revenues and purchased transportation costs earlier than the preferred methods under GAAP which do not recognize revenue until a proof of delivery is received or which recognize revenue as progress on the transit is made. The Company's method of revenue and cost recognition does not result in a material difference from amounts that would be reported under such other methods.

m) Share Based Compensation

The Company accounts for share-based compensation under the fair value recognition provisions such that compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the value of the award and is expensed ratably over the vesting period. Determining the fair value of share-based awards at the grant date requires judgment, including estimating the percentage of awards which will be forfeited, stock volatility, the expected life of the award, and other inputs. If actual forfeitures differ significantly from the estimates, share-based compensation expense and the Company's results of operations could be materially impacted.

n) Basic and Diluted Income Per Share

Basic income per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted income per share is computed similar to basic income per share except that the denominator is increased to include the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if the potential common shares, such as stock options, had been issued and if the additional common shares were dilutive. For the year ended June 30, 2010, the weighted average outstanding number of potentially dilutive common shares totaled 32,720,019 shares of common stock, including options to purchase 3,620,000 shares of common stock at June 30, 2010, of which 3,060,000 were excluded as their effect would have been antidilutive. For the year ended June 30, 2009, options to purchase 3,370,000 shares of common stock were excluded from the computation of diluted weighted average shares outstanding as they are anti-dilutive due to the Company's net loss for the year. The following table reconciles the numerator and denominator of the basic and diluted per share computations for earnings per share as follows.

	Year ended June 30, 2010	Year ended June 30, 2009
Weighted average basic shares outstanding	32,548,492	34,678,755
Options	171,527	-
Weighted average dilutive shares outstanding	32,720,019	34,678,755

o) Comprehensive Income

The Company has no components of Other Comprehensive Income and, accordingly, no Statement of Comprehensive Income has been included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

p) Reclassifications

Certain amounts for prior periods have been reclassified in the consolidated financial statements to conform to the classification used in fiscal 2010.

NOTE 3 - RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167 ("SFAS 167"), "Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46R". SFAS 167 amends certain requirements of FIN 46R to improve the financial reporting by enterprises involved with

variable interest entities and to provide more relevant and reliable information to users of financial statements. SFAS 167 is effective for the Company in the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2010. The adoption of SFAS 167 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

F-12

---

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance now codified in FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 105, Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, as the single source of authoritative nongovernmental GAAP. FASB ASC Topic 105 does not change current GAAP, but is intended to simplify user access to all authoritative GAAP by providing all authoritative literature related to a particular topic in one place. All existing accounting standard documents have been superseded and all other accounting literature not included in the FASB Codification is now considered non-authoritative. These provisions of FASB ASC Topic 105 are effective for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009 and, accordingly, are effective for the Company for the current fiscal reporting period. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations, but impacted its financial reporting process by eliminating all references to pre-codification standards. On the effective date of this guidance, the Codification superseded all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards, and all other non-grandfathered, non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification became non-authoritative.

In August 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2009-05, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. The guidance in ASU 2009-05 provides clarification that in circumstances in which a quoted price in an active market for the identical liability is not available, an entity is required to measure fair value using certain prescribed valuation techniques. The amendments in ASU 2009-05 were effective for the Company's first quarter of fiscal 2010. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In August 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-06, Implementation Guidance on Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes and Disclosure Amendment for Nonpublic Entities. The guidance in ASU 2009-06 improves current accounting by helping achieve consistent application of accounting for uncertainty in income taxes and is not intended to change existing practice. ASU 2009-06 also eliminates disclosures previously required for nonpublic entities. ASU 2009-06 is effective for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. The guidance in ASU 2010-06 provides amendments to literature on fair value measurements and disclosures currently within the ASC by clarifying certain existing disclosures and requiring new disclosures for the various classes of fair value measurements. ASU 2010-06 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements, which are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In February 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-09, Subsequent Events (Topic 855): Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements. The guidance in ASU 2010-09 addresses both the interaction of the requirements of Topic 855, Subsequent Events, with the SEC's reporting requirements and the intended breadth of the reissuance disclosures provision related to subsequent events, potentially changing reporting by both private and public entities depending on the facts and circumstances surrounding the nature of the change. All of the amendments in ASU 2010-09 are effective upon issuance of the final update, except for the use of the issued date for conduit debt obligors which is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2010. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-13, Compensation – Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Effect of Denominating the Exercise Price of a Share-Based Payment Award in the Currency of the Market in Which the Underlying Equity Security Trades. The guidance in ASU 2010-13 provides amendments to clarify that an employee share-based payment award with an exercise price denominated in the currency of a market in which a substantial portion of the entity's equity securities trades should not be considered to contain a condition that is not a market,

performance, or service condition. Therefore, an entity would not classify such an award as a liability if it otherwise qualifies as the adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

NOTE 4 - ACQUISITION OF ADCOM EXPRESS, INC.

On September 5, 2008, the Company entered into and closed a Stock Purchase Agreement (the "Agreement") pursuant to which it acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding stock of Adcom Express, Inc., d/b/a Adcom Worldwide ("Adcom"), a privately-held Minnesota corporation. For financial accounting purposes, the transaction was deemed to be effective as of September 1, 2008. The stock was acquired from Robert F. Friedman, the sole shareholder of Adcom. The total value of the transaction was \$11,050,000, consisting of: (i) \$4,750,000 in cash paid at the closing; (ii) \$250,000 in cash payable shortly after the closing, subject to adjustment, based upon the working capital of Adcom as of August 31, 2008; (iii) up to \$2,800,000 in four "Tier-1 Earn-Out Payments" of up to \$700,000 each, covering the four year earn-out period through June 30, 2012, based upon Adcom achieving certain levels of "Gross Profit Contribution" (as defined in the Agreement), payable 50% in cash and 50% in shares of Company common stock (valued at delivery date); (iv) a "Tier-2 Earn-Out Payment" of up to \$2,000,000, equal to 20% of the amount by which the Adcom cumulative Gross Profit Contribution exceeds \$16,560,000 during the four year earn-out period; and (v) an "Integration Payment" of \$1,250,000 payable on the earlier of the date certain integration targets are achieved or 18 months after the closing, payable 50% in cash and 50% in shares of Company common stock (valued at delivery date). The Integration Payment, the Tier-1 Earn-Out Payments and certain amounts of the Tier-2 Payments may be subject to acceleration upon occurrence of a "Corporate Transaction" (as defined in the Agreement), which includes a sale of Adcom or the Company, or certain changes in corporate control. The cash component of the transaction was financed through a combination of existing funds and the proceeds from the Company's revolving credit facility.

F-13

---

Founded in 1978, Adcom provides a full range of domestic and international freight forwarding solutions to a diversified account base including manufacturers, distributors and retailers through a combination of three company-owned and twenty-seven independent agency locations across North America.

The total purchase price consisted of an initial payment of \$4,750,000 and acquisition expenses of \$288,346. Also included in the acquisition is \$1,250,000 in future integration payments and \$319,845 in working capital and other adjustments. The total net assets acquired were \$6.61 million, and there were also \$220,000 in restructuring charges associated with the acquisition. The following table summarizes the final allocation of the purchase price based on the estimated fair value of the acquired assets at September 5, 2008.

Current assets	\$ 11,948,619
Furniture & equipment	291,862
Notes receivable	343,602
Intangibles	3,200,000
Goodwill	3,248,660
Other assets	325,296
<b>Total assets acquired</b>	<b>19,358,039</b>
Current liabilities assumed	11,533,848
Long-term deferred tax liability	1,216,000
<b>Total liabilities acquired</b>	<b>12,749,848</b>
<b>Net assets acquired</b>	<b>\$ 6,608,191</b>

None of the goodwill is expected to be deductible for income tax purposes.

The former shareholder of Adcom filed an arbitration claim against the Company regarding, among other things, the final purchase price based upon the closing date working capital, as adjusted, of Adcom. On January 22, 2010, the arbitrator issued his ruling which reduced Mr. Friedman's closing date working capital calculation by \$1,443,914. After giving effect for other ancillary issues addressed in the arbitration results and the reserves otherwise maintained in connection with the Friedman liability, the Company reported a gain of \$354,670 in connection with arbitration ruling.

Through June 30, 2010, the former Adcom shareholders earned a total of \$808,524 in base earn-out payments. Of this amount, \$320,027 was paid in cash during the year ended June 30, 2010. The remaining amount of \$488,497 is included in the amount due to former Adcom shareholder as of June 30, 2010, and is expected to be paid out in October 2010 (See Note 11).

In June of 2010, the Company recognized a gain of \$135,012 related to payments made to the former shareholder of Adcom in satisfaction of integration and earn-out obligations payable in Company stock that were ultimately paid in cash at a discount.

The following information is based on estimated results for the year ending June 30, 2009 as if the acquisition of the Adcom assets had occurred as of the beginning of fiscal year 2009 (in thousands, except earnings per share):

UNAUDITED	Fiscal Year Ended 2009	
Total revenue	\$	153,835
Net income (loss)	\$	(9,801)
Income (loss) per share:		
Basic	\$	(.28)
Diluted	\$	(.28)

NOTE 5 - ACQUIRED INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The table below reflects acquired intangible assets related to the acquisition of Airgroup, Automotive Services Group and Adcom:

	Year ended June 30, 2010		Year ended June 30, 2009	
	Gross carrying amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross carrying amount	Accumulated Amortization
Amortizable intangible assets:				
Customer related	\$ 5,752,000	\$ 3,796,340	\$ 5,752,000	\$ 2,679,547
Covenants not to compete	190,000	125,903	190,000	83,410
Total	\$ 5,942,000	\$ 3,922,243	\$ 5,942,000	\$ 2,762,957
Aggregate amortization expense:				
For twelve months ended June 30, 2010	\$ 1,159,286			
For twelve months ended June 30, 2009	\$ 1,263,370			
Aggregate amortization expense for the years ended June 30:				
2011	827,762			
2012	769,772			
2013	374,344			
2014	47,879			
Total	\$ 2,019,757			

For the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company recorded an expense of \$1,159,286 from amortization of intangibles and an income tax benefit of \$421,206 from amortization of the long term deferred tax liability; arising from the acquisitions of Airgroup and Adcom. For the year ended June 30, 2009, the Company recorded an expense of \$1,263,370 from amortization of intangibles and an income tax benefit of \$456,199 from amortization of the long term deferred tax liability; arising from the acquisitions of Airgroup and Adcom. The Company expects the net reduction in income from the combination of amortization of intangibles and long term deferred tax liability will be \$519,703 in 2011, \$477,259 in 2012, \$232,093 in 2013, and \$29,688 in 2014.

NOTE 6 - VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITY

Certain entities in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have the sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties are considered "variable interest entities". RLP is 40% owned by Radiant Global Logistics ("RGL"), qualifies as a variable interest entity and is included in the Company's consolidated financial statements (see Note 7). RLP commenced operations in February 2007. Non-controlling interest recorded as an expense on the statements of income was \$118,641 and \$26,691 for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

F-15

---

The following table summarizes the balance sheets of RLP as of June 30:

	2010	2009
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Accounts receivable	\$ 15,910	\$ -
Accounts receivable – Radiant Logistics	110,336	6,656
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	950	2,165
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 127,196</b>	<b>\$ 8,821</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL</b>		
Checks issued in excess of bank balance	\$ -	\$ 212
Other accrued costs	16,284	5,431
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>16,284</b>	<b>5,643</b>
Partners' capital	110,912	3,178
<b>Total liabilities and partners' capital</b>	<b>\$ 127,196</b>	<b>\$ 8,821</b>

#### NOTE 7 - RELATED PARTY

RLP is owned 40% by RGL and 60% by Radiant Capital Partners, LLC ("RCP"), a company for which the Chief Executive Officer of the Company is the sole member. RLP is a certified minority business enterprise which was formed for the purpose of providing the Company with a national accounts strategy to pursue corporate and government accounts with diversity initiatives. RCP's ownership interest entitles it to a majority of the profits and distributable cash, if any, generated by RLP. The operations of RLP are intended to provide certain benefits to the Company, including expanding the scope of services offered by the Company and participating in supplier diversity programs not otherwise available to the Company. RGL currently provides administrative services necessary to operate RLP while RLP continues to develop. As the RLP operations mature, the Company will evaluate and approve all related service agreements between the Company and RLP, including the scope of the services to be provided by the Company to RLP and the fees payable to the Company by RLP, in accordance with the Company's corporate governance principles and applicable Delaware corporation law. This process may include seeking the opinion of a qualified third party concerning the fairness of any such agreement or the approval of the Company's shareholders. RLP is consolidated in the financial statements of the Company (see Note 6).

#### NOTE 8 - FURNITURE AND EQUIPMENT

	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Vehicles	\$ 33,788	\$ 33,788
Communication equipment	31,359	1,353
Office equipment	311,191	309,156
Furniture and fixtures	149,504	66,036
Computer equipment	606,405	554,337
Computer software	884,352	884,384
Leasehold improvements	439,197	44,002
	2,455,796	1,893,056
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(1,574,380)	(1,132,549)
<b>Furniture and equipment – net</b>	<b>\$ 881,416</b>	<b>\$ 760,507</b>



Depreciation and amortization expense related to furniture and equipment was \$438,909 and \$479,789 for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

As a condition of signing a new lease for the Company's new corporate office, the Company was reimbursed approximately \$391,000 for leasehold improvement purchases related to the new office.

F-16

---

NOTE 9 - LONG TERM DEBT

In March 2010, the Company's \$15.0 million revolving credit facility, including a \$0.5 million sublimit to support letters of credit (collectively, the "Facility"), was increased to \$20.0 million with a maturity date of March 31, 2012. The Facility is collateralized by accounts receivable and other assets of the Company and its subsidiaries. Advances under the Facility are available to fund future acquisitions, capital expenditures or for other corporate purposes, including the repurchase of the Company's stock. Borrowings under the facility bear interest, at the Company's option, at the bank's prime rate minus 0.75% to plus 0.50% or LIBOR plus 1.75% to 3.00%, and can be adjusted up or down during the term of the Facility based on the Company's performance relative to certain financial covenants. The Facility is collateralized by accounts receivable and other assets of the Company and its subsidiaries and provides for advances of up to 80% of eligible domestic accounts receivable and for advances of up to 60% of eligible foreign accounts receivable.

The terms of the Facility are subject to certain financial and operational covenants which may limit the amount otherwise available under the Facility. The first covenant limits funded debt to a multiple of 4.00 times the Company's consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA"), as adjusted, measured on a rolling four quarter basis. The second financial covenant requires the Company to maintain a basic fixed charge coverage ratio of at least 1.1 to 1.0. The third financial covenant is a minimum profitability standard that requires the Company not to incur a net loss before taxes, amortization of acquired intangibles and extraordinary items in any two consecutive quarterly accounting periods.

Under the terms of the Facility, the Company is permitted to make additional acquisitions without the lender's consent only if certain conditions are satisfied. The conditions imposed by the Facility include the following: (i) the absence of an event of default under the Facility; (ii) the company to be acquired must be in the transportation and logistics industry; (iii) the purchase price to be paid must be consistent with the Company's historical business and acquisition model; (iv) after giving effect for the funding of the acquisition, the Company must have undrawn availability of at least \$1.0 million under the Facility; (v) the lender must be reasonably satisfied with projected financial statements the Company provides covering a 12 month period following the acquisition; (vi) the acquisition documents must be provided to the lender and must be consistent with the description of the transaction provided to the lender; and (vii) the number of permitted acquisitions is limited to three per calendar year and shall not exceed \$7.5 million in aggregate purchase price financed by funded debt. In the event that the Company is not able to satisfy the conditions of the Facility in connection with a proposed acquisition, it must either forego the acquisition, obtain the lender's consent, or retire the Facility. This may limit or slow the Company's ability to achieve the critical mass it may need to achieve its strategic objectives.

The co-borrowers of the Facility include Radiant Logistics, Inc., RGL (f/k/a Airgroup Corporation), Radiant Logistics Global Services, Inc. ("RLGS"), RLP, and Adcom Express, Inc. (d/b/a Adcom Worldwide). RLP is owned 40% by RGL and 60% by RCP, an affiliate of the Company's Chief Executive Officer. RLP has been certified as a minority business enterprise, and focuses on corporate and government accounts with diversity initiatives. As a co-borrower under the Facility, the accounts receivable of RLP are eligible for inclusion within the overall borrowing base of the Company and all borrowers will be responsible for repayment of the debt associated with advances under the Facility, including those advanced to RLP. At June 30, 2010, the Company was in compliance with all of its covenants.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had \$5,279,095 in advances under the Facility and \$2,361,926 in outstanding checks, which had not yet been presented to the bank for payment. The outstanding checks have been reclassified from cash as they will be advanced from, or against, the Facility when presented for payment to the bank. These amounts total long term debt of \$7,641,021.

As of June 30, 2009, the Company had \$6,435,211 in advances under the Facility and \$1,433,899 in outstanding checks, which had not yet been presented to the bank for payment. The outstanding checks have been reclassified from

cash as they will be advanced from, or against, the Facility when presented for payment to the bank. These amounts total long term debt of \$7,869,110.

At June 30, 2010, based on available collateral and \$205,000 in outstanding letter of credit commitments, there was \$5,036,462 available for borrowing under the Facility based on advances outstanding.

NOTE 10 - PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES

Deferred income taxes are reported using the liability method. Deferred tax assets are recognized for deductible temporary differences and deferred tax liabilities are recognized for taxable temporary differences. Temporary differences are the differences between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax bases. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment.

F-17

---

	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
<b>Current deferred tax assets:</b>		
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 303,976	\$ 286,740
Accruals	98,452	140,973
Total current deferred tax assets	\$ 402,428	\$ 427,713
<b>Long-term deferred tax assets (liabilities):</b>		
Stock-based compensation	\$ 300,531	\$ 217,394
Goodwill deductible for tax purposes	566,506	612,439
Intangibles not deductible for tax purposes	(761,014)	(1,182,220)
Net long-term deferred tax assets (liabilities)	\$ 106,023	\$ (352,387)

The acquisitions of Airgroup and Adcom resulted in \$2,148,280 of long term deferred tax liability resulting from certain amortizable intangibles identified during the Company's purchase price allocation which are not deductible for tax purposes. The long term deferred tax liability will be reduced as the non-deductible amortization of the intangibles is recognized. See Note 5.

Income tax expense attributable to operations is as follows.

	Year ended June 30, 2010	Year ended June 30, 2009
<b>Current:</b>		
Federal	\$ 1,328,967	\$ 1,311,299
State	198,312	154,270
<b>Deferred:</b>		
Federal	(387,132)	(1,272,009)
State	(45,993)	(149,648)
Net income tax expense	\$ 1,094,154	\$ 43,912

The following table reconciles income taxes based on the U.S. statutory tax rate to the Company's income tax expense.

	Year ended June 30, 2010	Year ended June 30, 2009
Tax (benefit) expense at statutory rate	\$ 1,037,918	\$ (3,293,116)
Permanent differences	(151,192)	3,260,668
Change in income taxes due to IRS audit	146,175	-
State income taxes	152,320	76,360
Other	(91,067)	-
Net income tax expense	\$ 1,094,154	\$ 43,912

Tax years which remain subject to examination by federal and state authorities are the years ended June 30, 2007 through June 30, 2010.

#### NOTE 11 - CONTINGENCIES

The Company's agreements with respect to the acquisitions of Airgroup and Adcom contain future contingent consideration provisions which provide for the selling shareholders to receive additional consideration if minimum pre-tax income levels are made in future periods. Contingent consideration is accounted for as additional goodwill when earned.

F-18

---

## Airgroup Purchase Contingencies

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company acquired all of the outstanding stock of Airgroup. The transaction was valued at up to \$14.0 million. This consisted of: (i) \$9.5 million payable in cash at closing; (ii) a subsequent cash payment of \$0.5 million in cash which was paid on December 31, 2007; (iii) as amended, an additional base payment of \$0.6 million payable in cash, \$0.3 million of which was paid on June 30, 2008 and \$0.3 million was paid on January 1, 2009; (iv) a base earn-out payment of \$1.9 million payable in Company common stock over a three-year earn-out period based upon Airgroup achieving income from continuing operations of not less than \$2.5 million per year and (v) as additional incentive to achieve future earnings growth, an opportunity to earn up to an additional \$1.5 million payable in Company common stock at the end of a five-year earn-out period (the "Tier-2 Earn-Out"). Under Airgroup's Tier-2 Earn-Out, the former shareholders of Airgroup are entitled to receive 50% of the cumulative income from continuing operations in excess of \$15.0 million generated during the five-year earn-out period up to a maximum of \$1.5 million. With respect to the base earn-out payment of \$1.9 million, in the event there is a shortfall in income from continuing operations, the earn-out payment will be reduced on a dollar-for-dollar basis to the extent of the shortfall. Shortfalls may be carried over or carried back to the extent that income from continuing operations in any other payout year exceeds the \$2.5 million level. For the year ending June 30, 2008, the former shareholders of Airgroup earned \$416,596 in base earn-out payments. In October 2008, the former shareholders of Airgroup agreed to a 20% discount on this amount to receive the full amount in cash, resulting in a reduction in the payout of \$83,320.

In November 2008, the Company amended the Airgroup Stock Purchase Agreement and agreed to unconditionally pay the former Airgroup shareholders an earn-out payment of \$633,333 for the earn-out period ending June 30, 2009 to be paid on or about October 1, 2009 by delivery of shares of common stock of the Company. In consideration for the certainty of the earn-out payment, the former Airgroup shareholders agreed (i) to waive and release us from any and all further obligations to pay any earn-outs payments on account of shortfall amounts, if any, that may have accumulated prior to June 30, 2009; (ii) to waive and release the Company from any and all further obligation to account for and pay the Tier-2 earn-out payment; and (iii) that the earn-out payment to be paid for the earn-out period ending June 30, 2009 would constitute a full and final payment to the former Airgroup shareholders of any and all amounts due to the former Airgroup shareholders under the Airgroup Stock Purchase Agreement. In March of 2009, Airgroup shareholders agreed to receive \$443,333 in cash on an accelerated basis rather than the \$633,333 in Company shares due in October of 2009, resulting in a gain on extinguishment of debt of \$190,000.

## Adcom Purchase Contingencies

Effective September 5, 2008, the Company acquired all of the outstanding stock of Adcom Express (See Note 4). Through June 30, 2010 the former Adcom shareholder earned a total of \$808,524 as part of the Tier 1 Earn-Out arrangement. Of this amount, \$320,027 was paid in cash at a discount (see Note 4) during the year ended June 30, 2010. The remaining amount of \$488,497 is included in Due to former Adcom shareholder and is payable on October 1, 2010.

Estimated payment anticipated for fiscal year(1):

	2012 7/1/2010– 6/30/2011	2013 7/1/2011 – 6/30/2012
Earn-out period:		
Earn-out payments:		
Cash	\$ 350	\$ 350
Equity	350	350
Total potential earn-out payments	\$ 700	\$ 700
Total gross margin targets	\$ 4,320	\$ 4,320

(1) Earn-out payments are paid October 1 following each fiscal year end.

#### Finder's Fee Arrangements

In fiscal year 2007, the Company entered into finder's fee arrangements with third parties to assist the Company in locating logistics businesses that could become additional exclusive agent operations of the Company and/or candidates for acquisition. Any amounts due under these arrangements are payable as a function of the financial performance of any newly acquired operation and are conditioned payable upon, among other things, the retention of any newly acquired operations for a period of not less than 12 months. Payment of the finder's fee may be paid in cash, Company shares, or a combination of cash and shares. For the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company accrued \$110,331 in satisfaction of the finder's fee obligations, payable half in shares of Company stock and half in cash. For the year ended June 30, 2009, the Company paid \$30,000 in satisfaction of finder's fee obligations.

F-19

---

## NOTE 12 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

### Preferred Stock

The Company is authorized to issue 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value at \$.001 per share. As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, none of the shares were issued or outstanding.

### Common Stock Repurchase Program

During 2009, the Company's Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program, pursuant to which up to 5,000,000 shares of its common stock could be repurchased under the program through December 31, 2010. Under this repurchase program, the Company purchased 2,833,499 shares of its common stock at a cost of \$797,940 and 595,000 shares of its common stock at a cost of \$138,250 during the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Subsequent to year end, the Company purchased an additional 1,304,040 shares of its common stock under this repurchase program at a cost of \$393,184.

## NOTE 13 - SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

On October 20, 2005, the Company's shareholders approved the Company's 2005 Stock Incentive Plan ("2005 Plan"). The 2005 Plan authorizes the granting of awards, the exercise of which would allow up to an aggregate of 5,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock to be acquired by the holders of said awards. For the 2005 Plan the awards can take the form of incentive stock options ("ISOs") or nonqualified stock options ("NSOs") and may be granted to key employees, directors and consultants. Options shall be exercisable at such time or times, or upon such event, or events, and subject to such terms, conditions, performance criteria, and restrictions as shall be determined by the Plan Administrator and set forth in the Option Agreement evidencing such Option; provided, however, that (i) no Option shall be exercisable after the expiration of ten (10) years after the date of grant of such Option, (ii) no Incentive Stock Option granted to a participant who owns more than 10% of the combined voting power of all classes of stock of the Company (or any parent or subsidiary of the Company) shall be exercisable after the expiration of five (5) years after the date of grant of such Option, and (iii) no Option granted to a prospective employee, prospective consultant or prospective director may become exercisable prior to the date on which such person commences Service with the Participating Company. Subject to the foregoing, unless otherwise specified by the Option Agreement evidencing the Option, any Option granted hereunder shall have a term of ten (10) years from the effective date of grant of the Option.

The price at which each share covered by an Option may be purchased shall be determined in each case by the Plan Administrator; provided, however, that such price shall not, in the case of an Incentive Stock Option, be less than the Fair Market Value of the underlying Stock at the time the Option is granted. If a participant owns (or is deemed to own under applicable provisions of the Code and rules and regulations promulgated hereunder) more than ten percent (10%) of the combined voting power of all classes of the stock of the Company and an Option granted to such participant is intended to qualify as an Incentive Stock Option, the Option price shall be no less than 110% of the Fair Market Value of the Stock covered by the Option on the date the Option is granted.

Fair market value of the Stock on any given date means (i) if the Stock is listed on any established stock exchange or a national market system, including without limitation the National Market or Small Cap Market of The NASDAQ Stock Market, its Fair Market Value shall be the closing sales price for such stock (or the closing bid, if no sales were reported) as quoted on such exchange or system for the last market trading day prior to the time of determination, as reported in The Wall Street Journal or such other source as the Administrator deems reliable; (ii) if the Stock is regularly traded on the NASDAQ OTC Bulletin Board Service, or a comparable automated quotation system, its Fair Market Value shall be the mean between the high bid and low asked prices for the Stock on the last market trading day prior to the day of determination; or (iii) in the absence of an established market for the Stock, the Fair Market



Value thereof shall be determined in good faith by the Plan Administrator.

Under the 2005 Plan, stock options were granted to employees up to 10 years at and are exercisable in whole or in part at stated times from the date of grant up to ten years from the date of grant. Under the 2005 Plan, 300,000 stock options were granted to employees at a weighted average exercise price of \$0.28 per share during the year ended June 30, 2010. During the year ended June 30, 2009, 200,000 stock options were granted to employees at a weighted average exercise price of \$0.18 per share. The Company recorded share-based compensation expense of \$218,781 for the year ended June 30, 2010, and \$173,759 for the year ended June 30, 2009.

F-20

---

Edgar Filing: RADIANT LOGISTICS, INC - Form 10-K

The following table reflects activity under the plan for years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

	Year ended June 30, 2010		Year ended June 30, 2009	
	Granted Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Granted Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at beginning of year	3,320,000	\$ 0.523	3,410,000	\$ 0.539
Granted	300,000	0.280	200,000	0.180
Forfeited	-	-	(290,000)	0.472
Outstanding at end of year	3,620,000	\$ 0.503	3,320,000	\$ 0.523
Exercisable at end of year	2,280,000	\$ 0.562	1,616,000	\$ 0.578
Non-vested at end of year	1,340,000	\$ 0.403	1,704,000	\$ 0.472

The fair value of each stock option grant is estimated as of the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

	Year ended June 30, 2010	Year ended June 30, 2009
Risk-Free Interest Rates	1.57%	1.29% - 2.67%
Expected Term	6.5yrs	5 - 6.5yrs
Expected Volatility	64.3%	63.9% - 64.7%
Expected Dividend Yields	0.00%	0.00%
Forfeiture Rate	0.00%	0.00%

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had approximately \$193,000 of total unrecognized share-based compensation costs relating to unvested stock options which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.19 years. The following table summarizes the Company's unvested stock options and changes for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding at June 30, 2008	2,383,000	\$ 0.319
Granted during the year ended June 30, 2009	200,000	0.104
Less options vested during the year ended June 30, 2009	(624,000)	(0.331)
Less options forfeited during the year ended June 30, 2009	(255,000)	(0.333)
Outstanding at June 30, 2009	1,704,000	\$ 0.287
Granted during the year ended June 30, 2010	300,000	0.154
Less options vested during the year ended June 30, 2010	(664,000)	(0.317)
Less options forfeited during the year ended June 30, 2010	-	-
Outstanding at June 30, 2010	1,340,000	\$ 0.243

The following table summarizes outstanding and exercisable options by price range as of June 30, 2010:

Exercisable Options

Edgar Filing: RADIANT LOGISTICS, INC - Form 10-K

Exercise Prices	Number Outstanding at June 30, 2010	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life-Years	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value at June 30, 2010	Number Exercisable at June 30, 2010	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life-Years	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value at June 30, 2010
\$0.00 - \$0.19	460,000	8.18	\$ 0.176	\$ 43,400	164,000	8.09	\$ 0.178	\$ 15,160
\$0.20 - \$0.39	400,000	8.90	0.260	7,000	20,000	8.29	0.200	1,400
\$0.40 - \$0.59	1,550,000	5.60	0.483	-	1,170,000	5.49	0.483	-
\$0.60 - \$0.79	1,190,000	5.57	0.729	-	914,000	5.51	0.734	-
\$1.00 - \$1.19	20,000	6.22	1.010	-	12,000	6.23	1.010	-
Total	3,620,000	6.29	\$ 0.503	\$ 50,400	2,280,000	5.72	\$ 0.562	\$ 16,560

F-21

---

## NOTE 14 - OPERATING AND GEOGRAPHIC SEGMENT INFORMATION

Operating segments are identified as components of an enterprise about which separate discrete financial information is available for evaluation by the chief operating decision-maker, or decision-making group, in making decisions regarding allocation of resources and assessing performance. The Company's chief decision-maker is the Chief Executive Officer. The Company continues to operate in a single operating segment.

The Company's geographic operations outside the United States include shipments to and from Canada, Central America, Europe, Africa, Asia and Australia. The following data presents the Company's revenue generated from shipments to and from these locations for the United States and all other countries, which is determined based upon the geographic location of a shipment's initiation and destination points (in thousands):

	United States		Other Countries		Total	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009
Year ended June 30:						
Revenue	\$ 78,594	\$ 73,203	\$ 68,122	\$ 63,793	\$ 146,716	\$ 136,996
Cost of transportation	46,887	41,932	54,199	49,495	101,086	91,427
Net revenue	\$ 31,707	\$ 31,271	\$ 13,923	\$ 14,298	\$ 45,630	\$ 45,569

## NOTE 15 - QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA SCHEDULE (Unaudited)

	Fiscal Year 2010 – Quarter Ended			
	June 30	March 31	December 31	September 30
Revenue	\$ 40,707,751	\$ 32,863,624	\$ 39,115,845	\$ 34,028,336
Cost of transportation	27,472,232	22,522,506	27,611,567	23,479,447
Net revenues	13,235,519	10,341,118	11,504,278	10,548,889
Total operating expenses	12,369,093	9,490,541	10,908,923	10,383,657
Income from operations	866,426	850,577	595,355	165,232
Total other income	188,702	134,132	327,931	42,985
Income before income tax expense	1,055,128	984,709	923,286	208,217
Income tax expense	(175,438)	(511,050)	(336,539)	(71,127)
Net income	879,690	473,659	586,747	137,090
Net income attributable to non-controlling interest	(35,412)	(24,551)	(37,638)	(21,040)
Net income attributable to Radiant Logistics, Inc.	\$ 844,278	\$ 449,108	\$ 549,109	\$ 116,050
Net income per common share – basic and diluted	\$ .03	\$ .01	\$ .02	\$ .02

Edgar Filing: RADIANT LOGISTICS, INC - Form 10-K

	Fiscal Year 2009 – Quarter Ended			
	June 30	March 31	December 31	September 30
Revenue	\$ 32,360,984	\$ 29,718,852	\$ 42,513,263	\$ 32,403,220
Cost of transportation	22,212,677	18,971,855	29,023,751	21,219,498
Net revenues	10,148,307	10,746,997	13,489,512	11,183,722
Total operating expenses	9,831,607	10,475,060	24,013,215	10,819,241
Income (loss) from operations	316,700	271,937	(10,523,703)	364,481
Total other income (expense)	(155,890)	106,001	(66,844)	28,375
Income (loss) before income tax (expense) benefit	160,810	377,938	(10,590,547)	392,856
Income tax (expense) benefit	(210,793)	(63,150)	382,690	(152,659)
Net income (loss)	(49,983)	314,788	(10,207,857)	240,197
Net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interest	(7,088)	(21,750)	(7,843)	9,990
Net income (loss) attributable to Radiant Logistics, Inc. \$	(57,071)	\$ 293,038	\$ (10,215,700)	\$ 250,187
Net income (loss) per common share – basic and diluted \$	(.00)	\$ .01	\$ (.29)	\$ .01

F-23

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Exhibit
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

---