

LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORP
Form DEF 14A
March 24, 2017

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Lincoln Educational Services Corporation
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2)Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3)Filing Party:

(4)Date Filed:

200 Executive Drive, Suite 340
West Orange, New Jersey 07052

March 24, 2017
Dear Shareholder:

You are invited to attend the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Lincoln Educational Services Corporation to be held on May 5, 2017 at the Wilshire Grand Hotel, 350 Pleasant Valley Way, West Orange, New Jersey 07052, at 9:00 a.m. local time.

At this year's meeting, you will be asked to elect seven directors, to conduct a non-binding advisory vote on the Company's compensation of named executive officers, to recommend on a non-binding advisory basis the frequency of future advisory votes on executive compensation, and to ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for our fiscal year ending December 31, 2017. The accompanying Notice of Meeting and Proxy Statement describe these matters. We urge you to read this information carefully.

Our board of directors unanimously believes that the election of the nominees for directors, the non-binding advisory approval of the compensation of our named executive officers, continuation of the Company's current practice of holding the non-binding advisory vote on executive compensation on an annual basis, and the ratification of the selection of the independent registered public accounting firm are in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders and, accordingly, recommends a vote FOR the election of the nominees for directors, FOR the non-binding advisory vote on the Company's compensation of named executive officers, FOR the approval, on a non-binding advisory basis, of the recommendation to hold future advisory votes on executive compensation every year and FOR the ratification of the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2017.

In addition to the formal business to be transacted, management will report on the progress of our business and respond to comments and questions of general interest to shareholders.

We sincerely hope that you will be able to attend and participate in the meeting. Whether or not you plan to come to the meeting, it is important that your shares be represented and voted. You may vote your shares by completing the accompanying proxy card or by giving your proxy authorization via the Internet. Please read the instructions accompanying the proxy card for details on giving your proxy authorization via the Internet.

**IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF
PROXY MATERIALS FOR OUR ANNUAL MEETING**

Our proxy statement and our 2016 annual report to shareholders are available at
www.lincolnedu.com/proxy

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT!

Please vote as promptly as possible by using the Internet or
by signing, dating and returning the proxy card
mailed to those who receive paper copies of this proxy statement.

**BY COMPLETING AND RETURNING THE ACCOMPANYING PROXY CARD OR BY GIVING YOUR
PROXY AUTHORIZATION VIA THE INTERNET, YOU AUTHORIZE MANAGEMENT TO REPRESENT YOU
AND VOTE YOUR SHARES ACCORDING TO YOUR INSTRUCTIONS. SUBMITTING YOUR PROXY NOW
WILL NOT PREVENT YOU FROM VOTING IN PERSON AT THE ANNUAL MEETING, BUT WILL ASSURE
THAT YOUR VOTE IS COUNTED IF YOUR PLANS CHANGE AND YOU ARE UNABLE TO ATTEND. TO
ENSURE THAT YOUR VOTE WILL BE COUNTED, PLEASE CAST YOUR VOTE BEFORE 7:00 P.M.**

(EASTERN TIME) ON May 4, 2017.

Sincerely,

Scott M. Shaw
Chief Executive Officer

This page intentionally left blank.

LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION
200 Executive Drive, Suite 340
West Orange, New Jersey 07052

NOTICE OF

2017 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

TO BE HELD ON MAY 5, 2017

To the Shareholders of Lincoln Educational Services Corporation:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Lincoln Educational Services Corporation, a New Jersey corporation (the “Company”), will be held on May 5, 2017, at the Wilshire Grand Hotel, 350 Pleasant Valley Way, West Orange, New Jersey 07052, at 9:00 a.m. local time. At the annual meeting, shareholders will be asked:

1. To elect seven directors to serve until the Company’s next annual meeting of shareholders and until their successors are duly elected and qualified.
2. To conduct an advisory vote on the Company’s compensation of named executive officers (a non-binding “say-on-pay” vote).
3. To recommend, on a non-binding advisory basis, the frequency of holding an advisory vote on executive compensation.
4. To ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for our fiscal year ending December 31, 2017.
5. To transact such other business as may properly come before the annual meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof and may properly be voted upon.

The board of directors of the Company has fixed the close of business on March 16, 2017, as the record date for the determination of shareholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the annual meeting and any adjournments or postponements thereof.

All shareholders are cordially invited to attend the annual meeting in person. Shareholders of record as of the close of business on March 16, 2017, the Record Date, will be admitted to the annual meeting upon presentation of identification. Shareholders who own shares of the Company’s common stock beneficially through a bank, broker or other nominee will be admitted to the annual meeting upon presentation of identification and proof of ownership or a valid proxy signed by the record holder. A recent brokerage statement or a letter from a bank or broker are examples of proof of ownership. If you own shares of the Company’s common stock beneficially and want to vote in person at the annual meeting, you should contact your broker or applicable agent in whose name the shares are registered to obtain a broker’s proxy and bring it to the annual meeting in order to vote.

WHETHER OR NOT YOU EXPECT TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING, PLEASE RETURN YOUR PROXY (BY COMPLETING AND RETURNING THE ACCOMPANYING PROXY CARD OR BY GIVING PROXY AUTHORIZATION VIA THE INTERNET) AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE TO ENSURE YOUR

REPRESENTATION AT THE MEETING. EVEN IF YOU HAVE GIVEN YOUR PROXY, YOU MAY STILL VOTE IN PERSON IF YOU ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING. TO ENSURE THAT YOUR VOTE WILL BE COUNTED, PLEASE CAST YOUR VOTE BEFORE 7:00 P.M. (EASTERN TIME) ON May 4, 2017.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Alexandra M. Luster
Corporate Secretary

West Orange, New Jersey
March 24, 2017

Table of Contents

<u>General</u>	1
<u>Proposal 1 – Election of Directors</u>	2
<u>Board of Directors and Executive Officers</u>	2
<u>Information on Board of Directors and its Committee</u>	5
<u>Audit Committee</u>	6
<u>Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee</u>	7
<u>Compensation Committee</u>	8
<u>Director Independence</u>	8
<u>Board Leadership Structure</u>	8
<u>Board Role in Risk Oversight</u>	8
<u>Board Diversity</u>	9
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	9
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	10
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	11
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	12
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	18
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	19
<u>Compensation of Directors</u>	23
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	24
<u>Proposal 2 – Advisory Vote on the Company’s Compensation of Named Executive Officers</u>	25
<u>Proposal 3 – Advisory Vote on the Frequency of Advisory Vote on the Company’s Compensation of Named Executive Officers</u>	26
<u>Proposal 4 – Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	27
<u>Fees Billed By Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	27
<u>Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy</u>	27

<u>Annual Report and Financial Statements and Committee and Corporate Governance Materials of the Company</u>	28
<u>Corporate Governance Guidelines and Code of Ethics</u>	28
<u>Shareholder Proposals for the 2018 Annual Meeting of the Shareholders</u>	28
<u>Communicating with the Board of Directors</u>	29
<u>Householding of Annual Meeting Materials</u>	29
<u>Other Information</u>	29

Table of Contents

LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION

200 Executive Drive, Suite 340

West Orange, New Jersey 07052

PROXY STATEMENT

FOR

2017 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

TO BE HELD ON MAY 5, 2017

GENERAL

This proxy statement is provided to the shareholders of Lincoln Educational Services Corporation, a New Jersey corporation (the “Company” or “Lincoln”), to solicit proxies, in the form enclosed, for use at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Company to be held on May 5, 2017, at the Wilshire Grand Hotel, 350 Pleasant Valley Way, West Orange, New Jersey 07052, at 9:00 a.m. local time, and any and all adjournments or postponements thereof. The board of directors knows of no matters to come before the annual meeting other than those described in this proxy statement. This proxy statement and the enclosed form of proxy are first being mailed to shareholders on or about March 24, 2017.

Solicitation

This solicitation is made by mail on behalf of the board of directors of the Company. The Company will pay for the costs of the solicitation. Further solicitation of proxies may be made, including by mail, telephone, fax, in person or other means, by the directors, officers or employees of the Company or its affiliates, none of whom will receive additional compensation for such solicitation. The Company will reimburse banks, brokerage firms and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for reasonable expenses incurred by them in sending proxy materials to their customers or principals who are the beneficial owners of shares of the Company’s common stock, no par value per share.

Voting Procedures

Only those holders of our common stock of record as of the close of business on March 16, 2017, the record date, will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the annual meeting. A total of 24,771,503 shares of the common stock were issued and outstanding as of the record date. Each share of common stock entitles its holder to one vote. Cumulative voting of shares of common stock is not permitted.

Shareholders of record can vote either in person at the annual meeting or by proxy whether or not they attend the meeting. To vote by proxy, a shareholder must either: (a) fill out the enclosed proxy card, date and sign it, and return it in the enclosed postage-paid envelope or (b) vote by Internet (instructions on Internet voting accompany the proxy card).

The presence in person or by proxy of holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of the common stock entitled to vote will be necessary to constitute a quorum to transact business at the annual meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be treated as present for purposes of determining the existence of a quorum. At the annual meeting, directors will be elected by a plurality of the votes cast and a majority of the votes cast will be required to approve any of the other proposals, except that proposals 2 and 3 are advisory and non-binding. Abstentions, however, will not be counted as votes “for” or “against” the election of directors or “for” or “against” any of the other proposals. It is expected that brokers will not have discretionary power to vote on any of the proposals other than the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte and Touche LLP.

Proposals 1, 2 and 3 are not considered to be routine matters, and your broker will not have discretion to vote with respect to these matters unless you specifically instruct your broker to do so by returning your signed voting instruction card. If you do not provide voting instructions to your broker, your shares will not be voted for any proposal on which your broker does not have discretionary authority (resulting in a broker non-vote).

Shares of the common stock represented by properly executed proxies in the form enclosed that are timely received by the Secretary of the Company and not validly revoked will be voted as specified on the proxy. If no specification is made on a properly executed and returned proxy, the shares represented thereby will be voted FOR the election of each of the seven nominees for director named in this proxy statement, FOR the advisory approval of compensation of our named executive officers, FOR the advisory approval of the recommendation to hold the advisory vote on executive compensation each year and FOR the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm of the Company for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2017. If any other matters properly come before the annual meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the accompanying proxy to vote such proxies in their discretion. In order to be voted, each proxy must be filed with the Secretary of the Company prior to exercise.

Table of Contents

Revocability of Proxies

Shareholders may revoke a proxy at any time before the proxy is exercised. This may be done by filing a notice of revocation of the proxy with the Secretary of the Company, by filing a later-dated proxy with the Secretary of the Company or by voting in person at the annual meeting.

PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Shareholders will be asked at the annual meeting to elect seven directors. Our bylaws allow for a minimum of three directors and a maximum of 11 directors. Each elected director will hold office until the next annual meeting of shareholders and until the director's successor is duly elected and qualified. The board of directors knows of no reason why any of the nominees would be unable or unwilling to serve, if elected, but if any nominee should for any reason be unable or unwilling to serve, if so elected, the proxies received by the Company will be voted for the election of such other person for the office of director as the board of directors may recommend in the place of such nominee.

Shareholders may withhold authority to vote their proxies for either (i) the entire slate of nominated directors by checking the box marked WITHHOLD AUTHORITY on the proxy card, or (ii) any one or more of the individual nominees, by following the instructions on the proxy card. Instructions on the accompanying proxy card that withhold authority to vote for one or more of the nominees will cause any such nominee to receive fewer votes.

Upon recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, the following seven persons have been selected by the board of directors as nominees for election to the board of directors: J. Barry Morrow, Scott M. Shaw, James J. Burke, Jr., Peter S. Burgess, Celia H. Currin, Alvin O. Austin and Ronald E Harbour. All of the nominees are incumbent directors. Additional information about these nominees is provided in the table and biographical information that follow.

Required Vote

A plurality of the votes cast at the annual meeting is required for the election of directors.

The board of directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR each of the named nominees. Proxies that are executed and returned will be voted FOR each nominee, except to the extent that particular proxies contain instructions to withhold the vote for a particular nominee or nominees.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following sets forth certain information concerning the directors, executive officers and senior management of the Company as of the record date for the annual meeting:

Name	Age	Position Held
Scott M. Shaw	54	Chief Executive Officer, Director
Brian K. Meyers	49	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
Deborah M. Ramentol	64	Senior Group President
Alexandra M. Luster	52	Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
Stephen E. Ace	53	Senior Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer
Ami Bhandari	45	Senior Vice President of Education and Corporate Strategy
Rajat Shah	45	Senior Vice President Student Financial Services
Peter Tahinos	58	Senior Vice President of Marketing
Valerian J. Thomas	54	Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer
J. Barry Morrow	64	Non-Executive Chairman of the Board of Directors

James J. Burke, Jr. (1) (3)	65	Director
Peter S. Burgess (2)	74	Director
Celia H. Currin (1) (2)	68	Director
Alvin O. Austin (1) (3)	75	Director
Ronald E. Harbour (2) (3)	60	Director

-
- (1) Member of the Compensation Committee.
 - (2) Member of the Audit Committee.
 - (3) Member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Table of Contents

Scott M. Shaw joined us in 2001 and currently serves as our President and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Shaw has served on our board of directors from 2001 to 2006 and since July 2015. Prior to taking this position, Mr. Shaw served as President and Chief Operating Officer, Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer and Senior Vice President of Strategic Planning and Business Development. Prior to joining Lincoln, Mr. Shaw was a partner at Stonington Partners, Inc., where he had been since 1994. As a partner at Stonington, Mr. Shaw was responsible for identifying, evaluating and acquiring companies and then assisting in the oversight of these companies through participation on the board of directors of acquired companies. In addition, Mr. Shaw worked closely with senior management to develop long-term strategic plans, to evaluate acquisitions and new investment opportunities, to assist with refinancing, and to execute on the final sale of the company either to the public or to another company. Mr. Shaw also served as a consultant to Merrill Lynch Capital Partners Inc., a private investment firm associated with Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc., from 1994 through 2000. Mr. Shaw holds an M.B.A. from the Wharton School of Business and a B.A. from Duke University. His extensive financial and business management experience provides the board a unique perspective on the issues facing companies in our industry.

Brian K. Meyers joined us in 2002 and currently serves as our Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer. Mr. Meyers has more than 25 years of accounting and financial management experience. Prior to joining Lincoln, Mr. Meyers served as Vice President and Controller for Candie's Inc. and began his career in 1989 with Richard A. Eisner (currently EisnerAmper LLP), a public accounting firm. He is a Certified Public Accountant and holds a B.S. in Accounting from Wagner College.

Deborah M. Ramentol has held various management positions with us since joining Lincoln in 1974. In her current position of Senior Group President, she oversees the operations of all of the campuses, plus Admissions. She has served on the Administrative Board of the Private College and School Association of New Jersey for over 20 years. Ms. Ramentol was also on the Skills 2000 Team supporting state-level lobbying efforts during the 1998 reauthorization of the Higher Education Act. Ms. Ramentol has 40 years of experience in the career education industry.

Alexandra M. Luster joined Lincoln in 1995 and currently serves as our Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary. When she was hired as Corporate Counsel, Lincoln was privately-held. During her tenure, she has seen the evolution of the company from a family-owned business, to its sale to a private equity group, and ultimately to becoming publicly traded. In her current position, Ms. Luster oversees the Legal and Regulatory Departments. Prior to joining Lincoln, she was employed as an associate by a boutique law firm in New York City. A member of the Association of Corporate Counsel, Ms. Luster received her B.A. in Communications from Fordham University and her J.D. from Seton Hall University School of Law.

Stephen E. Ace joined Lincoln in 2008 and currently holds the position of Senior Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer to which he was promoted in January of 2013. Previously, Mr. Ace was Vice President of Human Resources. He is responsible for HR processing and reporting activities, benefits offerings and administration, employee communications and relations, and talent acquisition and development. Before joining Lincoln, Mr. Ace held the position of VP of Human Resources with Education Management Corporation. Mr. Ace possesses over 20 years of experience in Human Resources within the proprietary, post-secondary education sector. Prior to his involvement in the Education sector, he held positions in store operations and managerial training with a major retail chain. Mr. Ace holds a B.S. in Business Administration from Grove City College.

Ami Bhandari joined Lincoln in 2003 and currently serves as Senior Vice President of Education and Corporate Strategy. Ms. Bhandari leads the company's educational, corporate strategy and product development efforts. She and her team focus on researching and developing new products, streamlining our business delivery models, leveraging education technology and ensuring faculty and administration have the skills, support and tools needed to deliver engaging and effective education. Ms. Bhandari's earlier positions in the company include Senior Vice President of Corporate Strategy & Development and Vice President / Director of Planning, Analysis & Strategy. Her prior

expertise lies in strategy development, structuring analyses, and evaluating data to solve problems that improve and optimize company results in areas ranging from program profitability, compliance and financial aid. Prior to joining Lincoln, Ms. Bhandari held positions as a Management Consultant at Booz Allen & Hamilton and as an Operations Manager at Procter & Gamble. She holds an MBA in Finance from Columbia Business School and a B.S. in Chemical Engineering from UC Berkeley.

Rajat Shah joined Lincoln in 2002 and currently holds the position of SVP – Student Financial Services to which he was promoted in September 2014. He is responsible for overseeing Lincoln's student financial aid operations as well as federal student aid regulatory reporting and compliance activities. During the course of his years with Lincoln, Mr. Shah has held numerous leadership roles overseeing our business office operations, acquisition and integration of new campuses and, most recently, institutional effectiveness and research. He is a member of the National Postsecondary Education Cooperative and a regular member of various Technical Review Panels convened by the National Center of Education Statistics. Before joining Lincoln, Mr. Shah held the position of Audit Manager at Deloitte and served as an Economic Development Volunteer with the US Peace Corps in Honduras. Mr. Shah holds a Bachelor's Degree in Accounting and Organizational Behavior from the Stern School of Business at New York University.

Peter Tahinos joined Lincoln in 2013 and served as Vice President of Marketing for one year. After a one year absence, Mr. Tahinos rejoined Lincoln in 2015 as Senior Vice President of Marketing, the position he currently holds. Mr. Tahinos is responsible for aligning marketing strategies with sales and operations goals to ensure appropriate lead generation and brand positioning. He leads and directs a team of marketing professionals in those efforts which include oversight of strategic planning, digital and traditional marketing, creative development, public relations, promotional sponsorships and social media. Before joining Lincoln, he held the position of Senior Vice President of Marketing for Direct Auto Insurance from 2008 through 2013 and, prior to that, held the same position with Jackson Hewitt Tax Service from 1999 through 2008. Mr. Tahinos holds a B.S. in Journalism from the University of Maryland.

Table of Contents

Valerian J. Thomas joined Lincoln in 2010 and serves as Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer. He is responsible for the oversight of Lincoln's information technology services, products and new strategic initiatives both at the corporate level and at Lincoln's many campuses nationwide. Prior to joining Lincoln, he was the Chief Information Officer of LibGo Travel. In addition to the education and travel industry, Val has held several key leadership positions in the Finance, Internet, Engineering, and Pharmaceutical industries. His experience has provided wide ranging yet deep understanding of the research, development, operational and financial aspects of large and small information technology organizations. Val holds a B.S. in Computer Science from the New Jersey Institute of Technology and a M.S. in Business Analytics from the Kelly School of Business at Indiana University.

J. Barry Morrow has served on our board of directors since 2006 and currently serves as our Non-Executive Chairman. He also serves as an advisor to Next Generation Insurance. He is the CEO and founder of BK Capital Group. He served as the Chief Executive Officer and Director of Collegiate Funding Services from 2002 until 2006 when the company was acquired by JPMorgan Chase. Mr. Morrow held the position of President and Chief Operating Officer of Collegiate Funding from 2000 to 2002. Prior to joining Collegiate Funding Services, Mr. Morrow served with the U.S. Department of Education as the General Manager of Financial Services for the Office of Student Financial Assistance and with SallieMae as Vice President of Regional Operations. Mr. Morrow holds a B.A. from Virginia Tech and an M.A. in public administration from George Washington University. Mr. Morrow has over 30 years' experience in the education credit industry. His extensive management experience at the U.S. Department of Education, SallieMae and Collegiate Funding Services provides the board with a unique perspective on the issues facing companies in our industry.

James J. Burke, Jr. has served on our board of directors since 1999. He is the founder and managing member of J Burke Capital Partners LLC, a private investment firm, since 2007. He was also the co-founder, partner and director of Stonington Partners, Inc. since 1994 and co-founder of Merrill Lynch Capital Partners, Inc., a private equity fund for which he was the President and CEO from 1987 to 1999. He received a B.A. from Brown University and an M.B.A. with Distinction from Harvard University Graduate School of Business Administration. Mr. Burke also serves on the board of directors of a number of privately owned companies and several non-profit organizations. Mr. Burke brings extensive financial and business knowledge to the board through his engagement in private equity investing since 1981. For 19 years, he was also a director of Educational Management Corporation, a publicly-traded provider of career education. Throughout his career, he has been responsible for sourcing and analyzing investment opportunities, where he has developed both expertise and significant knowledge regarding the managerial, operational and financial aspects of a business.

Peter S. Burgess has served on our board of directors since 2004. In 1999, Mr. Burgess retired from Arthur Andersen LLP where he was an accounting and business advisory partner serving numerous manufacturing, insurance and financial services enterprises. Following his retirement, he has provided consulting services specializing in litigation support, mergers and acquisitions and Audit Committee responsibilities under securities exchange requirements and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Mr. Burgess is also a trustee and chair of the audit committee of John Hancock Funds. Mr. Burgess, a licensed CPA, earned a B.S. in Business Administration from Lehigh University. He previously served on the board of directors of PMA Capital Corporation and Symetra Financial Corporation. Mr. Burgess' prior employment at Arthur Andersen, as well as his experience dealing with accounting principles, financial reporting rules and regulations and evaluating financial results, makes him a skilled advisor and a valuable asset, both on our board of directors and on our Audit Committee.

Celia H. Currin has served on our board of directors since 2006. Ms. Currin is the Founder of BenchStrength Marketing, a marketing consultancy group, and has a private practice as an Executive Career Coach, working with individuals developing new leadership and effectiveness skills or pursuing new opportunities. Prior to 2003, Ms. Currin spent 25 years in a variety of senior management roles with Dow Jones & Company. She is President of the Board of Directors of Poets & Writers, the nation's largest non-profit organization serving creative writers. Ms. Currin received her M.B.A. from Harvard Business School and her B.S from the University of Oregon.

Alvin O. Austin has served on our board of directors since 2010. Mr. Austin has over 40 years of experience in higher education, which includes his tenure as President and Chancellor of LeTourneau University in Longview, Texas, where the institution grew its academic programs, funding levels, and campus footprint under his leadership. Prior to that role, he served as Vice President at North Park College and Seminary, Chicago, Illinois, and at Seattle Pacific University. In addition, he was the Dean of Student Programs at California State University, Northridge, California. He was a member of the Commission on Colleges of the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools and a member of the Executive Committee of the Commission. Mr. Austin has also served on the board of a major bank and on the advisory board of Texas Eastman Chemical Company. He is also involved in numerous non-profit and community organizations. Mr. Austin earned his Ph.D in Higher Education Administration at the University of Mississippi, an M.A. in Education at the California State University, and Master Studies and Secondary Teaching Credential at the University of California at Santa Barbara. He continues to consult with colleges and universities particularly as to board development and executive evaluation. Mr. Austin's distinguished career in higher education and his involvement in a number of educational organizations position him well to serve as a board member.

Ronald E. Harbour was appointed to our board of directors on December 4, 2014. Mr. Harbour is Senior Partner overseeing Global Automotive Manufacturing for Oliver Wyman, a global management consulting firm and a division of Marsh McLennan Corporation. Mr. Harbour co-authored and developed "The Harbour Report" in 1989, a publication that has grown to be the preeminent annual assessment of automotive manufacturing performance around the globe. Mr. Harbour currently serves as a director, chairman of the compensation committee and a member of the corporate governance and nominating committee of Spartan Motors. He previously served on the board of directors of Techform Products from 1996 until its sale in October 2014 and the board of directors of U.S. Manufacturing Corporation from 2009 until its sale in June 2014. Mr. Harbour received a B.S. from Haworth College of Business of Western Michigan University. Mr. Harbour's extensive experience and knowledge of the automotive manufacturing industry provides an invaluable perspective on matters impacting our Company.

Table of Contents

Information on Board of Directors and its Committees

Directors are expected to attend our annual meetings of shareholders, board meetings and meetings of the committees on which they serve. They are also expected to prepare for meetings in advance and to dedicate the time at each meeting as necessary to properly discharge their responsibilities. Informational materials, useful in preparing for meetings, are distributed in advance of each meeting. In 2016, there were five meetings of the board, and each of the directors attended all of the meetings of the board and committees on which he or she served. In addition, all of our directors attended our 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

The board of directors has an Audit Committee, a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and a Compensation Committee.

Director Qualifications

The board of directors believes that its members possess a variety of skills, qualifications and experience that contribute to the board of directors' ability to oversee our operations and the growth of our business. The following chart sets forth the various skills and attributes that our board of directors has identified with respect to each of our directors that led the board of directors to conclude that the person should serve on the board of directors. For additional information regarding each of our directors, see "Board of Directors and Executive Officers."

Table of Contents

Board of Directors Skills Matrix

Director	CEO/Senior Officer ⁽¹⁾	Industry Experience ⁽²⁾	Governance/ Board Experience ⁽³⁾	Financial Acumen ⁽⁴⁾	Business Development/ M&A Experience ⁽⁵⁾	Independent ⁽⁶⁾
Scott M. Shaw	P	P	P	P	P	
J. Barry Morrow	P	P	P		P	P
James J. Burke, Jr.	P	P	P	P	P	P
Peter S. Burgess			P	P	P	P
Celia H. Currin			P			P
Alvin O. Austin	P	P	P			P
Ronald E. Harbour	P	P	P		P	P

(1) CEO/Senior Officer – Experience working as a CEO or senior officer of an organization.

(2) Industry Experience – Senior executive experience in one or more of the Company’s primary or related industries.

(3) Governance/Board Experience – Prior or current experience as a board member of an organization (public, private, or non-profit sectors).

(4) Financial Acumen – Experience in financial accounting and reporting, including persons designated by the board of directors as audit committee financial experts. Familiarity with internal financial controls. Also includes professional experience in corporate finance, especially with respect to debt and equity markets.

(5) Business Development/ M&A Experience – Experience with business development, mergers and acquisitions and/or divestitures.

(6) Independent – Determined by the board of directors to be an independent director. See “Director Independence”.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee consists of directors Burgess (Chairman), Currin and Harbour. The Audit Committee held four meetings in 2016. The board of directors has adopted a written charter for the Audit Committee, which is available on our website at www.lincolnedu.com. The Audit Committee is directly responsible for the oversight of, among other things, our accounting and financial reporting processes; the quality and integrity of our financial statements; the quality and integrity of our system of internal controls; our compliance with laws and regulations; our independent auditor's qualifications and independence; and the audit of our financial statements by a qualified independent auditor.

To fulfill these responsibilities, the Audit Committee will be aware of the current areas of greatest financial risk to us and understand management's assessment and management of the risks; consider the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures to promote timely, accurate, compliant and meaningful disclosure in our periodic reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”); periodically review with the independent auditors their assessment as to the adequacy of our structure of internal controls over financial accounting and reporting, and their qualitative judgments as to the accounting principles employed and related disclosures by us and the conclusions expressed in our financial reports; review with management and the independent auditors our accounting policies and practices to ensure they meet the requirements with respect to the Financial Accounting Standards Board, the SEC, the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board; select, evaluate and, if necessary, replace our independent auditors; actively engage in dialogue with the independent auditors

with respect to any disclosed relationships or services that may impact the objectivity or independence of the independent auditors; engage advisors, as the committee determines is necessary, to carry out its duties; meet with the independent auditors, the internal auditors and senior management to review the scope and methodology of the proposed audit; discuss with management policies and practices regarding earnings press releases, as well as financial information and earnings guidelines provided to analysts and rating agencies to the extent required by applicable law or listing standards; set clear hiring policies with respect to any current or former employees of our independent auditors; and establish procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints we receive regarding our internal accounting controls or auditing matters and for the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of their concerns regarding our internal accounting controls and auditing matters. The Audit Committee is also charged with reviewing and approving all related person transactions.

The board of directors has determined that Mr. Burgess is an “audit committee financial expert” within the meaning of the regulations of the SEC. Messrs. Burgess, Harbour and Ms. Currin are independent directors under the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 and the NASDAQ listing standards.

Table of Contents

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee consists of directors Austin (Chairman), Burke and Harbour. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee held two meetings in 2016. The charter for the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is published on our website at www.lincolnedu.com. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for, among other things, making recommendations to the board of directors with respect to corporate governance policies and reviewing and recommending changes to the Company's corporate governance guidelines that have been adopted by the board of directors. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also recommends to the board of directors candidates for nomination for election as directors of the Company and appointments of directors as members of the committees of the board of directors.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers candidates for directors suggested by shareholders for elections to be held at an annual meeting of shareholders. Shareholders can suggest qualified candidates for directors by complying with the advance notification and other requirements of the Company's bylaws regarding director nominations. Director nomination materials submitted in accordance with the Company's bylaws will be forwarded to the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee for review and consideration. Director nominees suggested by shareholders will be evaluated in the same manner, and subject to the same criteria, as other nominees evaluated by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also considers candidates for director suggested by its members, other directors and management and may from time to time retain a third-party executive search firm to identify director candidates for the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Generally, once the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has identified a prospective nominee, it will make an initial determination as to whether to conduct a full evaluation of the candidate based on information provided to it with the recommendation of the candidate, as well as the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee's own knowledge of the candidate, which may be supplemented by inquiries to the person making the recommendation or others. The initial determination is based primarily on the need for additional directors to fill vacancies or expand the size of the board of directors and the likelihood that the candidate can satisfy the evaluation factors described below. If the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee determines, in consultation with the Chairman of the board and other directors, as appropriate, that additional consideration is warranted, it may request a third-party search firm to gather additional information about the candidate's background and experience and to report its findings to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee then evaluates the candidate against the standards and qualifications set out in guidelines for director candidates adopted by the board of directors, including, without limitation, the nominee's management, leadership and business experience, skills such as financial literacy and knowledge of directorial duties, diversity, integrity and professionalism.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also considers such other relevant factors as it deems appropriate, including the current composition of the board of directors, the balance of management and independent directors, the need for particular expertise (such as audit committee expertise) and the evaluations of other prospective nominees. In connection with this evaluation, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee determines whether to interview the prospective nominee, and, if warranted, one or more members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and others as appropriate, interview prospective nominees in person or by telephone. After completing this evaluation and interview, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee makes a recommendation to the full board of directors as to the persons who should be nominated by the board of directors and the board of directors determines the nominees after considering the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee consists of directors Currin (Chairwoman), Burke and Austin. The Compensation Committee held three meetings in 2016. Each member of the Compensation Committee meets the independence requirements under the NASDAQ listing standards and Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. The charter for the Compensation Committee is published on our website at www.lincolnedu.com. The Compensation Committee has the authority to develop and maintain a compensation policy and strategy that creates a direct relationship between pay levels and corporate performance and returns to shareholders; recommend compensation, special benefits, perquisites, and incidental benefits to our board for approval; review and approve annual corporate and personal goals and objectives to serve as the basis for the chief executive officer's compensation, evaluate the chief executive officer's performance in light of the goals and, based on such evaluation, determine the chief executive officer's compensation; determine the annual total compensation for our named executive officers; with respect to our equity-based compensation plans, approve the grants of stock options and other equity-based incentives as permitted under our compensation plans; review and recommend compensation for non-employee directors to our board; and review and recommend employment agreements, severance arrangements and change in control plans that provide for benefits upon a change in control, or other provisions for our executive officers and directors, to our board. The Compensation Committee may retain compensation consultants having special competence to assist it in evaluating director and executive compensation and may also retain counsel, accountants or other advisors, in its sole discretion. The Compensation Committee did not retain a compensation consultant in 2016. The Compensation Committee also has the power to delegate its authority and duties to subcommittees or individual members of the committee, as it deems appropriate in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.

Table of Contents

Committee Membership

The table below lists the current membership of each committee and the number of committee meetings held in 2016:

Name	Audit	Nominating and Corporate Governance	Compensation
Scott M. Shaw			
J. Barry Morrow			
James J. Burke, Jr.	P		P
Peter S. Burgess	Chair		
Celia H. Currin	P		Chair
Alvin O. Austin		Chair	P
Ronald E. Harbour	P	P	
2016 Meetings	4	2	3

Director Independence

Our board of directors has a majority of independent directors as is required by the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules. Our board of directors has determined that each of our director nominees other than Scott M. Shaw, our Chief Executive Officer, is an “independent director” as such term is defined under the NASDAQ listing rules. All of the members of the Audit Committee, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Compensation Committee are independent directors.

Board Leadership Structure

Currently, the roles of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the board of directors are held by different individuals. In the past, the position of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman were combined when deemed appropriate by the board of directors.

Our board of directors has adopted a flexible policy regarding the issue of whether the positions of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer should be separate or combined. Our board of directors regularly evaluates whether the interest of the Company and its shareholders are best served at any particular time by having the Company’s Chief Executive Officer or another director hold the position of Chairman. Our board of directors believes that no single, one-size fits all, board-leadership model is universally or permanently appropriate. This structure has proven especially useful to facilitate executive leadership training, succession, and orderly transitions. At present, the board of directors believes that its current structure effectively maintains independent oversight of management.

As Non-Executive Chairman of the board of directors, J. Barry Morrow ensures that the board of directors fulfills its oversight and governance responsibilities and directs the activities and meetings of the board of directors. In addition, Mr. Morrow is responsible for coordinating the activities of the non-employee directors and leading executive sessions of the non-employee directors, which are generally held in conjunction with each regularly scheduled board of directors meeting.

Board of Directors’ Role in Risk Oversight

Our board of directors has an active role, as a whole and also at the committee level, in overseeing the risk management of the Company. This is designed to support the achievement of organizational objectives, including strategic objectives, to improve long-term organizational value. A fundamental part of risk management is not only understanding the risks a company faces and what steps management is taking to manage those risks, but also understanding what level of risk is appropriate for the company. The involvement of the full board of directors in

setting the Company's business strategy is a key part of its assessment of management's appetite for risk and also a determination of what constitutes an appropriate level of risk for the Company.

Fundamental aspects of the board of directors' risk management oversight activities include:

- understanding the key drivers of success for our business and the associated major risks inherent in our operations and corporate strategy;

- overseeing that appropriate risk management and control procedures are implemented by management and developing and maintaining an effective risk dialogue with management; and

- crafting the right board of directors for our Company, including ensuring that the board of directors has the right mix of background, skills and experience and an appropriate committee structure to carry out its oversight responsibilities effectively.

While the board of directors has the ultimate oversight responsibility for the risk management process, various committees of the board of directors also have responsibility for risk management. In particular, the Audit Committee focuses on financial risk, including internal controls, and receives an annual risk assessment report from the Company's internal auditors. The Audit Committee also assists the board of directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibility with respect to legal and compliance issues. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, in addition to making recommendations with respect to corporate governance matters and nominations of directors, manages risks associated with the independence of the board of directors and potential conflicts of interest. The Compensation Committee strives to create incentives that encourage a level of risk-taking behavior consistent with the Company's business which are appropriately balanced and do not motivate employees to take imprudent risks. All committees report to the full board as appropriate, including when a matter rises to the level of a material or enterprise level risk.

Table of Contents

The Company has reviewed its compensation programs and considered the extent to which its compensation policies and practices influence the behaviors of our executives and other employees with respect to taking business risks that could affect the Company. We believe that our compensation policies and practices are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company, either individually or in the aggregate.

Board Diversity

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, in accordance with the board's governance principles, seeks to create a board that as a whole is strong in its collective knowledge and has a diversity of skills and experience with respect to vision and strategy, management and leadership, business operations, business judgment, crisis management, risk assessment, industry knowledge, accounting and finance, corporate governance and markets in general. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee does not have a formal policy with respect to diversity; however, the board of directors and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee believe that it is essential that the members of our board of directors represent diverse viewpoints. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee focuses on diversity of gender, race and national origin, education, professional experience and differences in viewpoints and skills. In considering candidates for the board of directors, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers the entirety of each candidate's credentials in the context of these standards.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Ms. Currin and Messrs. Burke and Austin served on the Compensation Committee during the entire 2016 fiscal year.

During the 2016 fiscal year:

- none of the members of the Compensation Committee was an officer (or former officer) or employee of the Company or any of its subsidiaries;
- none of the members of the Compensation Committee had a direct or indirect material interest in any transaction in which the Company was a participant and the amount involved exceeded \$120,000;
- none of the Company's executive officers served on the compensation committee (or another board committee with similar functions or, if none, the entire board of directors) of another entity where one of that entity's executive officers served on the Company's Compensation Committee;
- none of the Company's executive officers was a director of another entity where one of that entity's executive officers served on the Company's Compensation Committee; and
- none of the Company's executive officers served on the compensation committee (or another board committee with similar functions or, if none, the entire board of directors) of another entity where one of that entity's executive officers served as a director on the Company's board of directors.

Table of Contents

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following tables provide information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of the record date for the annual meeting by (1) each of our directors, (2) each of our named executive officers, (3) all directors and executive officers as a group, and (4) each person known to us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of our common stock. This table is based on information provided to us or filed with the SEC by our directors, executive officers and principal shareholders named below. Except as otherwise indicated, we believe, based on information furnished by such owners, that the beneficial owners of our common stock listed below have sole investment and voting power with respect to such shares, subject to community property laws where applicable.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

As of the March 16, 2017, the only persons or groups that are known to us to be the beneficial owners of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of our common stock are:

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned	Percent of Common Stock Beneficially Owned
Heartland Advisors, Inc. (1)	4,536,685	18.3%
Lenox Capital Management, Inc. (2)	3,496,615	14.1%
Nantahala Capital Management, LLC (3)	2,373,899	9.6%
Talanta Investment Group, LLC (4)	1,579,056	6.4%

(1) Based on the information reported in a statement on Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 2, 2017 by Heartland Advisors, Inc. and William J. Nasgovitz ("Heartland"). The principal business office address of Heartland is 789 North Water Street, Milwaukee, WI 53202. We have not attempted to independently verify any of the foregoing information, which is based solely upon the information contained in the Schedule 13G/A.

(2) Based on the information reported in a statement on Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on June 28, 2016 by Lenox Capital Management, Inc. and Douglas Ruth ("Lenox"). The principal business office address of Lenox is 11407 Cronhill Drive, Suite A, Owings Mills, MD 21117. We have not attempted to independently verify any of the foregoing information, which is based solely upon the information contained in the Schedule 13G.

(3) Based on the information reported in a statement on Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on December 31, 2016 by Nantahala Capital Management, LLC ("Nantahala"). The principal business office address of Nantahala is 19 Old Kings Highway S, Suite 200, Darien, CT 06820. We have not attempted to independently verify any of the foregoing information, which is based solely upon the information contained in the Schedule 13G/A.

(4) Based on the information in a statement on Schedule 13D filed with the SEC on February 17, 2017 by Talanta Investment Group, LLC ("Talanta"). The principal business office address of Talanta is 401 N. Tryon Street, 10 Floor, Charlotte, NC 28202. We have not attempted to independently verify any of the foregoing information, which is based solely upon the information contained in the Schedule 13D.

Table of Contents

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth information as to the beneficial ownership of shares of our common stock as of March 16, 2017 of each director and each named executive officer and all directors and executive officers of the Company, as a group. Except as otherwise indicated in the footnotes to the table, each individual has sole investment and voting power with respect to the shares of common stock set forth.

Name of Beneficial Owner (1)	Number of Shares of	
	Common Stock	Percent of Common Stock
	Beneficially Owned	Beneficially Owned
Scott M. Shaw (2)	590,500	2.4%
Brian K. Meyers (3)	188,534	0.8%
Deborah M. Ramentol (4)	76,107	*
J. Barry Morrow	121,684	*
James J. Burke, Jr.	178,758	0.7%
Peter S. Burgess	103,615	*
Celia H. Currin	102,171	*
Alvin O. Austin	88,174	*
Ronald E. Harbour	50,952	*
All executive officers and directors as a group	1,500,495	6.1%

*Less than 1%.

For purpose of this table, (i) a person or group of persons is deemed to have “beneficial ownership” of any shares as (1) of a given date that such person or group has the right to acquire within 60 days after such date and (ii) the address for each named person is 200 Executive Drive, Suite 340, West Orange, New Jersey 07052.

(2) Includes (i) 415,500 shares of common stock held by Mr. Shaw and (ii) 175,000 shares of restricted common stock.

(3) Includes (i) 59,962 shares of common stock held by Mr. Meyers, and (ii) 128,572 shares of restricted common stock.

(4) Includes (i) 26,107 shares of common stock held by Ms. Ramentol, and (ii) 50,000 shares of restricted common stock.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the Company’s directors and officers and beneficial owners of 10% or more of the Company’s common stock to file reports of ownership of, and transactions in, the Company’s securities with the SEC, the NASDAQ Global Select Market and the Company. The Company believes that all SEC filing requirements applicable to the Company’s directors and executive officers and beneficial owners of 10% or more of the Company’s common stock for 2016 were timely met.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This section provides an overview and analysis of our executive officer compensation program and policies, the material compensation decisions we have made under those programs and policies, and the material factors that we considered in making those decisions. Later in this proxy statement under the heading “Executive Compensation,” you will find a series of tables containing specific information about the compensation earned or paid in 2016 to the following individuals, whom we refer to as “our named executive officers” or “NEOs.”

In 2016, the Company had three named executive officers:

- Scott M. Shaw, our President and Chief Executive Officer
- Brian K. Meyers, our Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
- Deborah M. Ramentol, our Senior Group President

The discussion below is intended to help you understand the detailed information provided in the tables that follow and put that information into context within our overall compensation program.

Executive Summary

2016 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation and Shareholder Outreach.

At the 2016 Annual Meeting, we held a non-binding advisory “say-on-pay” vote on our executive compensation program as set forth in our 2016 proxy statement. Approximately 91% of the votes cast on the say-on-pay proposal voted in favor of the program.

For 2016, performance-based restricted stock comprises 100% of our equity compensation and vests based upon the attainment of compliance metric target set by the Compensation Committee each year during the applicable two-year performance period. The terms of these awards are described in more detail below under “Long-Term Stock Incentives.”

§ Performance-based shares issued in 2016 – since we met the compliance metric for the 2016 vesting tranche, 50% of the performance-based shares issued to our named executive officers in 2016 vested.

For 2015 & 2014 Performance-based restricted stock comprises 40% of our equity compensation and vests based upon the attainment of both (i) a threshold operating income margin target and (ii) annual earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (“EBITDA”) targets during the four-year performance period. The terms of these awards are described in more detail below under “Long-Term Stock Incentives.”

§ Performance-based shares issued in 2014 – In 2016, since we met the EBITDA and operating income margin targets for the 2016 vesting tranche, 25% of the performance-based shares issued to Mr. Meyers in 2014 vested.

§ Performance-based shares issued in 2013 – In 2016, since we did not meet the operating margin income target for the 2016 vesting tranche, none of the performance-based shares issued to our named executive officers in 2013 vested. § Our NEOs have forfeited 50% of the performance-based shares issued in 2013 representing the 2013 and 2014 vesting tranches. The named executive officers have forfeited 100% of the 2013 Performance Shares.

We maintain an annual performance-based Management Incentive Compensation Plan (the “MIC Plan”). Payments under the MIC Plan are based on the attainment of predetermined net income, revenue and company-wide quality focused outcome targets. The terms of these awards are described in more detail below under “2016 Annual Performance-Based Incentive Compensation.”

§ In 2016, our NEOs received payment of 100% of their MIC Plan target award opportunity.

§ In 2015, our NEOs received payment of approximately 80% of their MIC Plan target award opportunity.

The Compensation Committee believes that these practices highlight the close link between pay and performance under our executive officer compensation program.

Table of Contents

Recent Changes to Compensation Program.

Over the past few years we have implemented a number of important changes to our compensation practices. These changes reflect our continuing commitment to improving our pay-for-performance alignment, while embracing contemporary compensation and governance best practices. The changes include the following:

Employment agreements with each of our NEOs provide for “double-trigger” change in control severance benefits. As a result, no severance benefits will be provided to an NEO unless the NEO incurs an involuntary termination during the two-year period following a change in control. The terms of these employment agreements are described in detail below under the heading “Employment Agreements.”

Over the past few years, we made key changes to our annual cash and long-term stock incentive compensation program to enhance our pay-for-performance philosophy to better align the interests of our executives with those of our shareholders. These included:

eliminating the individual performance component of our annual incentive compensation plan and adding a § component linked to company-wide quality focused outcomes that directly impact the Company’s overall health and viability (placement rates, graduation rates and cash collections);

§ capping the maximum amount payable under the annual incentive compensation plan at 200% of target; and

§ granting performance-based restricted stock that vests upon the attainment of EBITDA targets during each year of the four-year vesting period.

The Compensation Committee continually reviews the executive compensation program and may, from time to time, modify certain aspects of the program to ensure that it remains aligned with the interests of the Company’s shareholders.

Sound Compensation Governance Practices.

The Company seeks to implement and maintain sound compensation governance practices to ensure adherence to our pay-for-performance philosophy while appropriately managing risk and aligning our executive compensation program with the financial interests of the Company’s shareholders. Highlights of our practices include:

Double-Trigger Change in Control Severance Benefits. Our executives will only be eligible to receive severance benefits if they experience an involuntary termination of employment within the two-year period following a change in control.

Cap on Annual Incentive Compensation. The aggregate maximum annual incentive award that can be earned by each of our named executive officers is capped at 200% of their target.

No Executive Retirement Programs. We do not maintain enhanced retirement arrangements for our executive officers. Executive officers are eligible to participate in our 401(k) plan in the same manner as all employees.

No tax gross-ups. As discussed below under the heading “Employment Agreements and Change in Control Benefits,” we do not provide our executive officers with tax gross-ups for “excess parachute payments” upon a change in control.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

The Company and the Compensation Committee believe that compensation paid to executive officers should be closely aligned with our performance on both a short-term and long-term basis, and that such compensation should assist us in attracting and retaining key executives that are critical to our long-term success.

Our compensation program is designed to offer executive officers competitive compensation based on our performance and the individual's contribution, performance and leadership in the execution of our business model. Our compensation policies are intended to motivate, reward and retain highly qualified executives for long-term strategic management and enhancement of shareholder value, to support a performance-oriented environment that rewards achievement of specific internal Company goals, and to attract and retain executives whose abilities are critical to our long-term success and competitiveness. Our compensation goals are further intended to mitigate incentives for our executives who take excessive risks that may be adverse to the Company and our shareholders in the long-term.

Table of Contents

The Compensation Committee has reviewed all components of the compensation for the named executive officers, including salary, annual incentives, equity and long-term incentive compensation, accumulated realized and unrealized stock options, the dollar value to the executive and cost to the Company of all perquisites, and the actual projected payout obligations under potential severance and change-in-control scenarios.

Setting Executive Compensation

We intend to continue our strategy of compensating our executives through programs that emphasize performance-based incentive compensation. We have structured annual cash and long-term equity incentive compensation to both motivate executives to achieve the business goals set by the Company and to reward the executives for achieving such goals. Our executive compensation program is further designed to discourage excessive risk taking by assessing performance across multiple dimensions and metrics, including both regulatory performance and student outcomes.

For the named executive officers, the main components of our compensation program are base salary, an annual performance-based cash incentive and long-term performance-based restricted stock. In allocating compensation among these components, the Compensation Committee believes that the compensation of senior management, the levels of management having the greatest ability to influence our performance, should be predominately performance-based. Base salary is intended to provide a certain level of income commensurate with an executive's position, responsibilities, and contributions to the Company.

The annual performance-based cash incentive compensation focuses on short-term performance while the performance-based restricted stock is tied to achievement of performance over a longer period of time. This mix of short- and long-term incentives provides sufficient rewards to motivate near-term performance, while at the same time providing significant incentives to keep our executives focused on longer term corporate goals that drive shareholder value. In addition, we believe this balance of short-term and long-term incentive compensation and the mix of varied performance metrics helps mitigate the incentive for executives to take excessive risk that may have the potential to harm the Company in the long-term.

Compensation for the Chief Executive Officer is based on the Compensation Committee's independent assessment of his performance during the year. The Chief Executive Officer plays a significant role in setting the compensation for the other named executive officers by presenting an evaluation of each executive's performance and his recommendation for levels of their compensation. The Compensation Committee makes all final decisions with respect to the total compensation package for each of the named executive officers and has the authority to accept, reject, or modify these recommendations in connection with its determination. The Compensation Committee did not retain a compensation consultant in 2016.

Base Salary

Base salaries for our named executive officers are based on job responsibilities and individual contribution with reference to base salary levels of executives at comparable publicly held companies. The Compensation Committee also considers several other factors in setting base salaries, including the executive's experience and tenure, our overall annual budget for merit increases and pre-tax profit, the executive's individual performance, changes in the executive's responsibility and the executive's overall contribution to our success. We review salary levels annually to recognize these factors. We do not target base salary at any particular percentage of total compensation.

2016 Annual Performance-Based Incentive Compensation

Our named executive officers are eligible to participate in the MIC Plan. Under the MIC Plan, the Compensation Committee approves the calculation of performance-based cash incentive opportunity for our named executive

officers by taking into account certain financial performance targets and as well as company-wide quality focused outcomes. Awards under the MIC Plan reflect the Compensation Committee's belief that a significant portion of the total annual compensation of each named executive officer should be contingent upon the overall financial performance of the Company as well as the attainment of corporate initiatives relating to student outcomes and shareholder value. For 2016, the Compensation Committee set the target incentive compensation award levels at 100% of base salary for our CEO, 75% of base salary for our CFO and 50% for our Group President. The maximum amount payable to each named executive officer is 200% of his or her target incentive compensation award.

The 2016 performance measures under the MIC Plan, including their relative weightings, are as follows:

Performance Measure	Percentage of Total Incentive Compensation Opportunity 2016
Net Income/Loss	35%
Revenue	25%
Company-Wide Quality Focused Outcomes	40%

Table of Contents

At the beginning of 2016, the Compensation Committee set target goals for each of the above performance measures. In general, the Compensation Committee sets the net income and revenue performance targets above the performance targets publicly announced for the Company. This is consistent with the Compensation Committee's philosophy that performance-based annual compensation awards should incent our named executive officers to attain better than average performance.

Net Income / Loss Component. For 2016, 35% of each named executive officer's target incentive opportunity was based on the achievement of net income goals. The named executive officers could earn a portion of their target incentive opportunity if the Company's net income was within 10% of our target goal. The percentage of the net income component paid decreases by 10% for each percentage point that actual performance was below the target net income goal. No payments are made with respect to the net income component if actual performance was at or below 90% of the target net income goal. Our named executive officers could earn more than their target net income component if the Company's net income was greater than the target goal. The percentage increases by 8% for each percentage point that actual performance was above the target net income goal.

For 2016, the Compensation Committee set a net income / loss goal of \$(5.5) million. Actual adjusted consolidated net loss was \$(4.0) million. As a result, the Company achieved 111.2% of the 35% of target incentive opportunity attributable to net income / loss. Based on the board of director's recommendation to the Compensation Committee, the Compensation Committee limited the overachieved percentage to 57.2 % goal.

Revenue Component. For 2016, 25% of each named executive officer's target incentive opportunity was based on the achievement of revenue goals. The named executive officers could earn a portion of their target incentive opportunity if our revenues were within 10% of our target goal. The percentage of the revenue component paid decreases by 10% for each percentage point that actual performance was below our target revenue goal. No payments are made with respect to the revenue component if actual performance was at or below 90% of our target revenue goal. Our named executive officers could earn more than their target revenue component if our revenues were greater than the target goal. The percentage increases by 8% for each percentage point that actual performance was above the target revenue goal.

For 2016, the Compensation Committee set a revenue goal of \$290.3 million. Actual adjusted consolidated revenues were \$287.8 million. As a result, the Company achieved 22.8% of the 25% of their target incentive opportunity attributable to revenue.

Company-Wide Quality Focused Outcomes Component. Each of our named executive officers could earn 40% of their target incentive opportunity for the achievement of company-wide quality focused outcomes. The performance goals related to the achievement of specific aspects of the Company's business strategy and corporate initiatives relating to student outcomes and to shareholder value. These initiatives included graduation rates, placement rates and cash collections and were weighted equally.

No payments are made with respect to each initiative of the company-wide quality focused outcomes component if the specified target for such initiative was not attained. Our named executive officers could earn more than their target incentive opportunity for this component if performance with respect to one or more of the initiatives was greater than the target goal. The percentage increases by 8% for each percentage point that actual performance was above the target goal for the initiative.

For 2016, we targeted (i) cash in excess of our term loan of \$4.7 million, which represents 50% of the target and (ii) a student retention rate of 67.0%, which represent the remaining 50% of the target. Actual results for these metrics were \$5.5 million and 65%, respectively. As a result, the Company achieved 120.5% of the 40% of target incentive opportunity attributable to Company-wide quality focused outcomes in 2016. Based on the board of director's recommendation to the Compensation Committee, the overachieved percentage did not factor into the MIC Plan

awards for 2016 performance; rather, the awards were based upon attaining only the 20.0 % goal.

Aggregate Incentive Compensation Paid under MIC Plan. Based on the above, for 2016, each of our named executive officers received an aggregate incentive award under the MIC Plan of 100% of his respective target incentive opportunities as set forth below:

Named Executive Officer	Target 2016 MIC Plan Award	Total 2016 MIC Plan Payment
Scott M. Shaw	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000
Brian K. Meyers	\$ 255,000	\$ 255,000
Deborah M. Ramentol	\$ 155,120	\$ 155,120

Long-Term Stock Incentives

Stock incentives focus executives' attention on the Company from the perspective of an owner with an equity stake in the business. The Compensation Committee believes that the Company's long-term performance is achieved through an ownership culture that encourages long-term performance by our named executive officers through grants of stock-based awards. Our shareholder-approved 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan provides for the grant of stock options, restricted stock, performance stock and other equity-based awards. Equity awards are generally made at the discretion of the Compensation Committee based on a multiplicity of factors, including total compensation at peer companies, the level of equity ownership of the executives, and judgments of individual performance during the year.

Table of Contents

In 2011, the Compensation Committee implemented a restricted stock program pursuant to which each of the named executive officers received awards of both time-based restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock. The Compensation Committee believes that a combination of time-based and performance-based restricted stock grants will better align the interests of our named executive officers with those of our shareholders. The EBITDA targets are set by the Compensation Committee at the beginning of each applicable year. If the applicable EBITDA target is not attained, the Compensation Committee has the discretion to determine that the performance-based restricted stock that would have vested had the target been attained will not be forfeited but, instead, will be subject to a catch-up EBITDA target to be set in the subsequent year. A catch-up target is a stretch goal that requires a greater level of performance than the performance goal set for the applicable performance period. In addition, notwithstanding the attainment of the applicable performance targets, the Compensation Committee has the discretion to determine that all or a portion of performance-based restricted stock will not vest based on facts and circumstances occurring after the date of grant that the Compensation Committee deems relevant.

Time-Based Restricted Stock.

The Company did not award any time-based restricted stock to any of our NEOs in 2016.

2016 Performance Shares. In 2016, the Compensation Committee awarded performance-based restricted stock to certain members of our senior management, including each of our named executive officers (the “2016 Performance Shares”). The 2016 Performance Shares vest upon the Company attaining, for purposes of Department of Education Title IV funding eligibility, a financial responsibility composite score greater than 1.0 each year during the applicable two-year performance vesting period. Our actual composite score as calculated by the Company for 2016 was 1.5 and, accordingly, 50% of these performance shares vested.

2014 Performance Shares. In 2014, the Compensation Committee awarded performance-based restricted stock to Mr. Meyers (the “2014 Performance Shares”). Mr. Meyers’ 2014 Performance Shares vest in equal installments over four years based upon the attainment of both (i) a threshold operating income margin of at least 4.65% during any one or more of the fiscal years in the period beginning January 1, 2015 and ending December 31, 2018 and (ii) annual EBITDA targets during each of the four fiscal year performance periods.

2013 Performance Shares. On April 30, 2013, the Compensation Committee awarded performance-based restricted stock to certain members of our senior management, including each of our named executive officers (the “2013 Performance Shares”). The 2013 Performance Shares have substantially the same terms and conditions as the 2014 Performance Shares. The 2013 Performance Shares have a threshold operating income margin of at least 6.5% during any one or more of the fiscal years in the period beginning January 1, 2013 and ending December 31, 2016. The operating income margin targets for the 2013 Performance Shares were not achieved for the 2013, 2014, 2015 or 2016 vesting tranches; therefore the named executive officers have forfeited 100% of the 2013 Performance Shares.

2016 EBITDA Goals. The Compensation Committee set an EBITDA target of \$6.9 million for the 2016 performance period. This target was applicable to both the 2014 Performance Shares and the 2013 Performance Shares. Actual EBITDA, as adjusted, for 2016 was \$7.6 million.

With respect to the 2014 Performance Shares, the Company did attain the 2016 EBITDA target and operating income margin target of 4.65% and, accordingly, 25% of the 2014 Performance Shares issued to Mr. Meyers vested in 2016.

With respect to the 2013 Performance Shares, the Company did attain the 2016 EBITDA target, but the operating margin income target of 6.5% was not achieved; therefore, 50% of the 2016 vesting tranche of the 2013 Performance Shares issued to our named executive officers vested in 2016, while the remainder of the 2013 Performance Shares were forfeited.

All of the 2013 Performance Shares awarded to our named executive officers in 2013 have been forfeited. The total number of 2013 Performance Shares forfeited by our named executive officers follows:

	2013 Performance-Based
Named Executive Officer	Shares Forfeited
Scott M. Shaw	69,272
Brian K. Meyers	17,762

No Backdating or Spring Loading

The Company does not backdate options or grant options retroactively. In addition, we do not plan to coordinate grants of options, restricted stock or other equity awards so that they are made before announcement of favorable information or after announcement of unfavorable information. Stock options are granted at fair market value on the date the option grants are approved by our Compensation Committee. Fair market value has been consistently determined as the closing price on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on the grant date. All option grants and restricted stock awards require the approval of the Compensation Committee. The Company's general practice is to grant options and restricted stock only on the date of a regularly scheduled Compensation Committee meeting, although there are occasions when grants have been made on other dates.

Table of Contents
Comparative Data

The Company has not implemented a formal benchmarking process and does not target named executive officer compensation at a specified level of a peer group. In 2016, the Compensation Committee informally reviewed compensation information set forth in public filings with the SEC (including base salaries, annual incentive bonuses and equity-based compensation) for the following companies: American Public Education, Inc., Bridgepoint Education, Inc., Capella Education Co., Career Education Corporation, DeVry, Inc., Education Management Corporation, Grand Canyon Education Inc., ITT Educational Services, Inc., Strayer Education, Inc. and Universal Technical Institute, Inc.

The purpose of this review was to determine whether the level of compensation proposed to be paid to the Company's named executive officers during 2016 was outside the range of the compensation paid to the named executive officers at our peer companies, with the understanding that adjustments would be considered if such proposed compensation proved to be significantly outside the range of our peer groups. After this informal review, the Compensation Committee determined that the compensation proposed to be paid to the Company's named executive officers was within the peer group range and consequently that no adjustments were required at that time.

The Compensation Committee also reviewed general survey data across a broad cross-section of industries for companies with revenues similar to ours.

Employment Agreements and Change in Control Benefits

The Company has entered into employment agreements with Messrs. Shaw and Meyers. Each of these agreements will expire on December 31, 2017. In addition the Company entered into a change in control agreement with Ms. Ramentol. The agreements are described below under "Employment Agreements."

The employment agreements of Messrs. Shaw and Meyers and Ms. Ramentol's change in control agreement provide for "double-trigger" change in control severance benefits. Thus, the employment agreements for Messrs. Shaw and Meyers provide for severance benefits only upon an involuntary termination of employment during the two-year period following a change of control and Ms. Ramentol's change in control agreement provides for severance benefits only upon an involuntary termination of employment during the one-year period following a change of control. All stock options and restricted stock awards held by our named executive officers will immediately vest upon the occurrence of a change in control.

We do not provide our executive officers with tax gross-ups for "excess parachute payments" under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code upon a change in control. In the event that any payment or distribution by us to or for the benefit of our named executive officers would be considered a "parachute payment" for purposes of Section 280G, the amount of such payments may be reduced to the largest amount permissible without triggering excise taxes under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Severance Arrangements in 2016

On January 15, 2016, the Company and Kenneth Swisstack, Executive Vice President and General Counsel of the Company, mutually agreed to terminate Mr. Swisstack's employment agreement, dated as of June 2, 2014, as amended on March 12, 2015, following Mr. Swisstack's resignation from the Company tendered on such date. The Company and Mr. Swisstack entered into a Separation and Release Agreement (the "Separation Agreement") setting forth the terms of Mr. Swisstack's separation of employment from the Company, which commenced as of January 22, 2016. Pursuant to the Separation Agreement, in 2016, in consideration for a release of claims by Mr. Swisstack, Mr. Swisstack received the following: a lump sum cash payment of \$613,402.00, subject to withholding; a lump sum annual bonus for 2015 of \$184,090; a prorated annual bonus for 2016 of \$13,833 and reimbursement of \$6,180 as the

employer-portion of the premiums due for continuation of coverage under COBRA for a maximum period ending on January 31, 2017. Pursuant to the terms of the Separation Agreement, Mr. Swisstack has agreed to be subject to certain restrictive covenants, which, among other things, prohibit him for the duration of 24 months following the date of termination of employment, without the Company's prior written consent, from (i) competing against the Company and (ii) soliciting employees, consultants, clients or customers of the Company or any of its affiliates or subsidiaries.

Retirement Plans

The Company maintains a plan qualified under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code for the benefit of all employees. Our named executive officers are eligible to participate in this plan on the same terms and conditions as all other employees. At the discretion of our board of directors, we may make discretionary matching and/or profit-sharing contributions into our 401(k) plan for eligible employees, which may be subject to vesting requirements. We believe that a 401(k) plan encourages our employees to save for future retirement needs and we encouraged this in 2016 by matching 15% of our employee's annual contributions, up to 6% of total compensation, subject to a compensation limitation and/or a contributions limitation pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code. With respect to each of the named executive officers, the following matching contributions were made on their behalf under our 401(k) plan for 2016: \$2,700 for Mr. Shaw; \$2,488 for Mr. Meyers; \$2,700 for Ms. Ramentol; and \$190 for Mr. Swisstack.

Table of Contents

We do not provide any additional retirement benefits for our named executive officers. None of our named executive officers participate in a non-qualified deferred compensation program or pension arrangement.

Welfare Benefits and Perquisites

Our named executive officers are eligible to participate in our medical and dental health insurance plans, our life insurance plan and our long-term disability insurance plan on the same terms and conditions offered to all other employees. We also provide our named executive officers with supplemental life insurance. We believe that the benefits we offer are important components of our comprehensive benefit package, which encourages employees to remain with us.

We also provide each of the named executive officers with use of a vehicle for business and personal use and pay for associated costs, including automobile insurance, parking and fuel. The executives are responsible for all taxes related to this benefit.

We do not provide any other perquisites or benefits to our named executive officers and we do not pay any tax gross-ups with respect to any compensation.

Tax Deductibility of Compensation; Accounting

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code generally precludes a public corporation from taking a deduction for compensation in excess of \$1 million with respect to each of the named executive officers (excluding the Chief Financial Officer). Section 162(m) exempts qualifying performance-based compensation from the deduction limit if applicable requirements are met. The Compensation Committee strives to provide our named executive officers with compensation programs that will preserve the tax deductibility of compensation paid by the Company, to the extent reasonably practicable and to the extent consistent with the Company's other compensation objectives. However, the Compensation Committee believes that shareholder interests are best served if it retains the flexibility to compensate executives in a manner intended to promote varying corporate goals, even if certain amounts that may be payable in excess of \$1 million may not be deductible under Section 162(m).

The Compensation Committee also takes accounting considerations, including the impact of Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification 718 Compensation – Stock Compensation (FASB Statement 123(R), Share Based Payments), into account in structuring compensation programs and determining the form and amount of compensation awarded.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with the Company's management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis included in this proxy statement. Based on that review and discussion, the Compensation Committee has recommended to the board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Celia H. Currin (Chairwoman)

James J. Burke, Jr.

Alvin O. Austin

Table of Contents

Executive Compensation

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
			(2)	(3)	(4)	
Scott M. Shaw	2016	500,000	278,250	500,000	7,941	1,286,191
President and Chief Executive Officer	2015	470,000	-	332,885	9,783	812,668
Brian K. Meyers	2016	331,750	159,000	255,000	9,658	755,408
Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	2015	301,337	-	181,284	8,008	490,629
Deborah Ramentol	2016	310,241	79,500	155,120	6,070	550,931
Senior Group President						
Kenneth M. Swisstack (1)	2016	21,083	-	13,833	613,721	648,637
Former Executive Vice President and General Counsel	2015	306,000	-	184,090	7,901	497,991

(1) Mr. Swisstack's last day of employment with the Company was January 22, 2016.

(2) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of grants of common stock and restricted common stock (time-based and performance-based) during each of the years presented. The fair values of these grants were determined in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 (excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures). See Note 1 to the audited consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 filed with the SEC on March 10, 2017 and March 10, 2016, respectively, regarding assumptions underlying the valuation of equity awards. Whether, and to what extent, a named executive officer realizes value will depend on our actual operating performance, stock price fluctuations and the named executive officer's continued employment. Amounts reported for these awards may not represent the amounts that the named executive officers will actually realize from the awards. The terms and conditions of the time-based and performance-based restricted stock awards are described in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis."

In the case of Mr. Shaw, the amount reflected in this column consists of the grant date fair value of, for fiscal year 2016, 175,000 shares of performance-based restricted stock, subject to vesting in equal annual installments over a two year performance period commencing on March 15, 2017. For fiscal year 2015, no shares of common stock were

granted. The amount indicated for 2015 corrects the amounts that was indicated for 2015 in the summary compensation table contained in the Company's proxy statement for its 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The operating income margin targets for the 2016 vesting tranche of the 2013 Performance Shares were not achieved; therefore 69,272 of the 2013 Performance Shares were forfeited in fiscal year 2016.

In the case of Mr. Meyers, the amount reflected in this column consists of the grant date fair value of,) for fiscal year 2016, 100,000 shares of performance-based restricted stock, subject to vesting in equal annual installments over a two year performance period commencing on March 15, 2017. For fiscal year 2015, no shares of common stock were granted. The amount indicated for 2015 corrects the amounts that was indicated for 2015 in the summary compensation table contained in the Company's proxy statement for its 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The operating income margin targets for the 2016 vesting tranche of the 2013 Performance Shares were not achieved; therefore 17,762 of the 2013 Performance Shares were forfeited in fiscal year 2016.

In the case of Mrs. Ramentol, the amount reflected in this column consists of the grant date fair value of 50,000 shares of performance-based restricted stock, subject to vesting in equal annual installments over a two year performance period commencing on March 15, 2017.

(3) Reflects the value of cash incentive awards paid under our MIC Plan as described in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis."

(4) Amounts reflected in this column include the following: (a) 401(k) matching contributions for each named executive officer as follows: for 2016, \$2,700 for Mr. Shaw, \$2,488 for Mr. Meyers, \$2,700 for Ms. Ramentol, and \$190 for Mr. Swisstack; for 2015, \$3,300 for Mr. Shaw, \$1,883 for Mr. Meyers and \$2,295 for Mr. Swisstack; (b) the costs related to personal use of a company-owned vehicle by each named executive officer as follows: for 2016, \$2,214 for Mr. Shaw, \$4,426 for Mr. Meyers, \$0 for Ms. Ramentol and \$129 for Mr. Swisstack; for 2015, \$3,456 for Mr. Shaw, \$4,288 for Mr. Meyers and \$3,657 for Mr. Swisstack; (c) premiums paid on each named executive officer's life insurance policy during the fiscal year as follows: for 2016, \$3,027 for Mr. Shaw, \$2,744 for Mr. Meyers, \$3,370 for Ms. Ramentol and \$0 for Mr. Swisstack; for 2015, \$3,027 for Mr. Shaw, \$1,837 for Mr. Meyers and \$1,949 for Mr. Swisstack. For Mr. Swisstack, with respect to 2016, this column also includes a lump sum cash payment of \$613,402 pursuant to a Separation and Release Agreement between the Company and Mr. Swisstack. See details concerning Mr. Swisstack's severance payments under the heading "Severance Arrangements in 2016" above.

Table of Contents

Outstanding Equity Awards

at Fiscal Year End December 31, 2016

Name	Option Awards		Option expiration date	Stock Awards		Stock Awards		Equity Incentive Plan Awards:	
	Number of securities underlying unexercised options (#)	Exercise price (\$)		Number of shares or units of stock that have not vested (1)	Market value of shares or units of stock that have not vested (\$)(2)	Number of shares, units or other rights that have not vested (#)		Market value of shares, or payout units or other rights that have not vested (\$)(2)	
Scott M. Shaw	15,000	11.96	03/01/17			-		-	
						175,000	(3)	336,000	
Brian K. Meyers				14,286	27,429	-		-	
						21,429	(4)	41,144	
						100,000	(3)	192,000	
Deborah M. Ramentol						50,000	(3)	96,000	

(1) Awarded on December 18, 2014, time-based restricted stock grant awarded to the named executive officer vest ratably on the date of grant and on each of the first through third anniversaries of the grant date; however, there is no vesting period on the right to vote or the right to receive dividends on the restricted stock. Upon an executive's termination of employment due to death, disability, by the executive for good reason or by the Company without cause or a change in control, the restricted stock will immediately vest.

(2) All equity award values are based on a December 31, 2016 closing stock price of \$1.92.

(3) The performance-based stock vests in equal installments over two years based upon the attainment of greater than a 1.0 composite score for each year during the performance period. The terms and conditions of the Performance Shares are described in detail above in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis under the heading "Long-Term Stock Incentives."

(4) The performance-based stock vest in equal installments over four years based upon the attainment of a threshold operating income margin and annual EBITDA performance criteria targets set by the Compensation Committee for each year during the performance period. The terms and conditions of the Performance Shares are described in detail above in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis under the heading "Long-Term Stock Incentives."

Potential Payments upon a Termination or Change in Control

The following table summarizes the value of the termination payments and benefits that our named executive officers would receive upon:

- the executive's Involuntary Termination (as defined below);
- a Change in Control (as defined below); or
- the executive's death or disability.

In each case, the amounts are determined as if the trigger event occurred on December 31, 2016 and equity is valued based on the closing stock price of \$1.92 on December 31, 2016. This table excludes vested account balances under our 401(k) plan, which is generally available to all of our employees. The terms of the benefits are set forth in the employment agreements of Messrs. Shaw and Meyers and a change in control agreement of Ms. Ramentol as described immediately following the table.

Table of Contents

Payment upon Termination

at Fiscal Year End December 31, 2016

Name (1)	Aggregate Severance (\$) (2)	Stock Awards (\$) (2)	Benefits (\$) (3)	Total (\$)
Scott M. Shaw				
Involuntary Termination	1,832,885	336,000	17,035	2,185,920
Change in Control	-	336,000	-	336,000
Death or Disability (4)	500,000	336,000	-	836,000
Termination for Cause or Resignation without Good Reason	-	-	-	-
Brian K. Meyers				
Involuntary Termination	976,749	260,573	17,429	1,254,751
Change in Control	-	260,573	-	260,573
Death or Disability (4)	255,000	260,573	-	515,573
Termination for Cause or Resignation without Good Reason	-	-	-	-
Deborah M. Ramentol				
Involuntary Termination	-	-	-	-
Change in Control	-	96,000	-	96,000
Death or Disability (4)	-	-	-	-
Termination for Cause or Resignation without Good Reason	-	-	-	-

(1) Mr. Swisstack is not included in this table because his employment with the Company terminated on January 22, 2016. His severance payments are discussed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis under the heading "Severance Arrangements in 2016."

(2) All outstanding stock options, restricted stock and performance-based restricted stock granted by the Company to the named executive officers will become fully vested and immediately exercisable upon (i) a Change in Control (as defined below), (ii) an Involuntary Termination (as defined below) or (iii) upon the executive's death or disability.

(3) Includes a cash payment equal to the Company's estimate of the employer portions of the premiums that would be necessary to continue the executive's health care benefits coverage until the first anniversary of the executive's date of termination.

(4) Includes an annual incentive compensation award under the MIC Plan for the year of termination based upon attainment of target levels.

Table of Contents

Employment Agreements

The Company is party to employment agreements with each of our named executive officers except Ms. Ramentol, who is an at will employee.

Employment Agreement with Scott M. Shaw

Employment Period. The agreement provides that Mr. Shaw will serve as our President and Chief Executive Officer through December 31, 2017.

Compensation and Benefits. Mr. Shaw will receive a minimum annual base salary of \$500,000, will be eligible to participate in the MIC Plan and, to the extent eligible, in all of our employee benefit plans, programs and arrangements that are established for, or made available to, our senior executives.

Involuntary Termination. In the event of an “Involuntary Termination” (as defined below) of Mr. Shaw’s employment, we will pay him: (1) two times his base salary, as is then in effect; (2) two times the average of his annual incentive compensation award over the prior two years; and (3) a cash amount equal to the Company’s estimate of the employer portion of the premiums necessary to continue his health care benefits coverage for the earlier of (A) one year and (B) the date on which he is covered under another group health plan. Mr. Shaw would receive these amounts from us in a lump-sum 60 days following his Involuntary Termination. In addition, Mr. Shaw will receive a prorated annual award under the MIC Plan for the year in which the Involuntary Termination occurs based on actual performance, payable at the time that awards are generally paid to employees for the applicable year.

Termination for Cause; Resignation Other than for Good Reason. In the event that Mr. Shaw’s employment is terminated by us for Cause or Mr. Shaw resigns from his employment other than for “Good Reason” (as defined below), we will pay him his accrued but unpaid base salary and employee benefits earned through the date of his termination, including, without limitation, any MIC Plan award due but not yet paid for a completed calendar year.

Death or Disability. In the event that Mr. Shaw dies or his employment is terminated as a result of his disability, we will pay him (or his estate, if applicable) his accrued but unpaid base salary and employee benefits earned through the date of his termination, including, without limitation, any MIC Plan award due but not yet paid for a completed calendar year. In addition, (i) Mr. Shaw will receive a prorated target MIC Plan award for the year of termination and (ii) all of Mr. Shaw’s outstanding stock options and restricted stock shall become fully vested, and stock options shall become immediately exercisable and remain exercisable for one year (or until the option’s normal expiration date, if earlier).

Change in Control. Upon a “Change in Control” (as defined below), we (or our successor) will continue the employment of Mr. Shaw for a period of two years commencing on the date of the Change in Control and ending on the second anniversary thereof.

In the event that any payment or distribution by us to or for the benefit of Mr. Shaw pursuant to the terms of the employment agreement or otherwise would be considered a “parachute payment” and the amount of the parachute payment, after deduction of all relevant taxes, including excise taxes imposed by Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, is less than the amount Mr. Shaw would receive if he was paid three times his average “base amount” less \$1.00, then the aggregate amounts constituting the parachute payment will be reduced (or returned by Mr. Shaw if already paid to him) to an amount that will equal three times his average “base amount” less \$1.00.

Noncompetition. Mr. Shaw is subject to a noncompetition restrictive covenant during the term of his employment and for two years thereafter, although the covenant will not apply if his employment is terminated due to an Involuntary Termination.

Nonsolicitation. Mr. Shaw is subject to a nonsolicitation restrictive covenant of clients, employees and key consultants during the term of his employment and for one year thereafter.

Confidentiality. Mr. Shaw is subject to a confidentiality restrictive covenant of unlimited duration.

Waiver and Release. Our obligations upon a termination of employment under Mr. Shaw's employment agreement are subject to Mr. Shaw executing and delivering a waiver and release of claims against us.

Employment Agreement with Brian K. Meyers

The terms of the Company's employment agreement for Mr. Meyers is identical to those set forth in Mr. Shaw's employment agreement described above, except that: (a) Mr. Meyers will serve as Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, and will receive a minimum annual base salary of \$340,000. and (b) in the event of an Involuntary Termination, Mr. Meyers will be entitled to receive a payment of one and three-fourth times his base salary and average annual incentive compensation award (as opposed to two times).

Table of Contents

“Involuntary Termination” generally means the termination of the executive’s employment by the executive for Good Reason or by the Company without Cause.

Prior to a “Change in Control” (as defined below), “Cause” generally means any of the following: (i) the executive’s willful failure to perform his duties in any material respect, (ii) malfeasance or gross negligence in the performance of his duties, (iii) the executive’s conviction of a felony, (iv) the executive’s intentional or reckless disclosure of confidential information, (v) the executive’s commission of an act of sexual harassment that would normally constitute grounds for termination, or (vi) any other act or omission by the executive which is materially injurious to the financial condition or business reputation of the Company or any of its affiliates. The definition also requires that the executive be given 30 days’ notice to cure a breach of (i) and (ii) above. After a Change in Control, Cause would not include (v) and (vi) above.

“Good Reason” generally means the occurrence of any of the following without the executive’s written consent: (i) a reduction in the executive’s base salary or target annual incentive compensation award; (ii) an adverse change in the executive’s title, authority, duties or responsibilities; (iii) the relocation of the executive’s principal place of employment to a location more than 10 miles from West Orange, New Jersey; (iv) a failure by the Company to pay material compensation when due; or (v) a material breach of the executive’s employment agreement by the Company. The definition also requires that the Company be given 10 days’ notice to cure any Good Reason that is susceptible to cure.

“Change in Control” generally means any of the following: (i) when a person directly or indirectly becomes the beneficial owner of 25% or more of either (1) the then outstanding common stock or (2) the combined voting power of the then outstanding voting securities of the Company entitled to vote generally in the election of directors (other than any acquisition directly from the Company, by the Company, or by an employee benefit plan sponsored by the Company); (ii) when, during any period of 24 consecutive months, the individuals who constitute the board of directors of the Company cease to constitute at least a majority thereof; (iii) when the shareholders approve a reorganization, merger or consolidation of the Company without the consent or approval of a majority of the board of directors; (iv) when there is a consummation of a merger, amalgamation or consolidation of the Company with any other corporation, the issuance of voting securities of the Company in connection with such a transaction or the sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company or the acquisition of assets of another corporation (unless there is no significant change in the beneficial ownership of the common stock); or (v) a complete liquidation or dissolution of the Company or the sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company.

Director Compensation

The following chart summarizes the compensation of the Company’s non-employee directors during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016. Following the table is a discussion of material factors related to the information disclosed in the table.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Total (\$)
		(1)	
J. Barry Morrow	89,000	95,000	184,000
Alvin O. Austin	63,500	55,000	118,500
Peter S. Burgess	70,000	55,000	125,000
James J. Burke, Jr.	53,500	55,000	108,500

Celia H. Currin	68,000	55,000	123,000
Ronald Harbour	55,000	55,000	110,000

(1) Represents the grant date fair value of restricted stock awards granted on May 3, 2016. The fair values of these grants were determined in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 (excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures) as determined based on applying the assumptions used in the Company's financial statements. See Note 1 to the audited consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016, regarding assumptions underlying the valuation of equity awards. These grants vest on the first anniversary of the award date, May 3, 2017.

Compensation of Directors

In 2016, the Company paid each of its non-employee directors an annual retainer of \$40,000 for services to the Company. In addition, each non-employee director received \$1,500 per board meeting attended in person or by telephone. Non-employee directors on committees of the board each received an additional payment of \$1,500 for each committee meeting attended on a day other than the day of a board meeting for which that director has been compensated. The Audit Committee Chairman received an additional \$15,000 annual retainer and the chairpersons of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Compensation Committee each received an additional \$10,000 annual retainer.

Table of Contents

J. Barry Morrow, our Non-Executive Chairman, received an additional annual retainer of \$10,000.

Non-employee directors are also eligible to receive awards of shares of restricted common stock under the Amended and Restated 2005 Non-Employee Directors Restricted Stock Plan (the “Restricted Stock Plan”) as compensation for their services as directors.

Annual Grants of Restricted Stock. On the date of each annual meeting, each non-employee director shall automatically receive an award of shares of restricted stock equal to \$55,000 (based on the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date of grant) for service as a director of the Company, provided that such non-employee director continues to serve as a director of the Company immediately after such annual meeting. On May 3, 2016, Mr. Morrow received 46,342 shares of restricted common stock and each other non-employee director received 26,829 shares of restricted common stock, which restricted stock awards will vest in full on May 3, 2017, the first anniversary of the grant date. The per share fair market value of a share of the Company’s common stock on May 3, 2016 was \$2.05.

All awards of common stock under the Restricted Stock Plan granted since 2010 vest on the first anniversary of the grant date. There is no vesting period on the right to vote or the right to receive dividends on these shares.

Audit Committee Report

The Audit Committee assists the board of directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to the Company’s financial reporting process, by monitoring, among other matters, the quality and integrity of the Company’s financial statements, the independence and performance of Deloitte & Touche LLP (“Deloitte”), the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm, and the performance of the Company’s internal auditors. Management has primary responsibility for preparing the financial statements and for the reporting processes, including the design and maintenance of the Company’s system of internal controls. The independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for auditing the Company’s consolidated financial statements and opining upon the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (“PCAOB”). The Audit Committee is solely responsible for the compensation, appointment and oversight of the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm.

In this context, the Audit Committee has met and held discussions with management, the independent registered public accounting firm and the internal auditors, separately and together, with and without management present, regarding the Company’s audited consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2016, and for the year then ended and regarding the Company’s internal controls. Management represented to the Audit Committee that the Company’s consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the U.S. The Audit Committee also discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by PCAOB Interim Auditing Standard No. 16 (Communications with Audit Committees). Further, the Audit Committee discussed with the internal auditors the Company’s plans for and scope of internal audits, identification of audit risks and results of audit activities.

The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the auditor’s independence from the Company and its management. As part of that review, the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm submitted to the Audit Committee the written disclosures and the letter required by PCAOB Rule 3526 (Independence Discussions with Audit Committees) in which Deloitte affirmed its independence from the Company. Further, the Audit Committee discussed with D&T the firm’s independence and considered whether Deloitte’s provision of non-audit services to the Company was compatible with maintaining Deloitte’s independence. The Audit Committee concluded that Deloitte is independent from the Company and its management.

Based upon the considerations described above and subject to the limitations upon the role and responsibilities of the Audit Committee as set forth in the Audit Committee's charter, the Audit Committee recommended to the board of directors that the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2016, be included in the Company's 2016 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

Peter S. Burgess, Chairman

Celia H. Currin

Ronald E. Harbour

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 2: NON-BINDING ADVISORY VOTE ON THE COMPANY'S
COMPENSATION OF NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission adopted under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the "Dodd-Frank Act"), we are providing shareholders with a non-binding advisory "say-on-pay" vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and tabular and narrative disclosures of this proxy statement.

We encourage shareholders to read the Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 12 of this proxy statement, which describes how our executive compensation policies and procedures operate and are designed to achieve our compensation objectives, as well as the Summary Compensation Table and other related compensation tables and narrative which provide detailed information on the compensation of our named executive officers. The Compensation Committee and the board of directors believe that the policies and procedures articulated in this proxy statement are effective in achieving our goals.

The board unanimously recommends a vote for the following resolution:

"RESOLVED, that the shareholders hereby approve the compensation of the named executive officers as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the accompanying compensation disclosure tables and any related narrative disclosure in this proxy statement."

Although the vote on this Proposal 2 is advisory and non-binding, the Compensation Committee and the board will review the voting results on the proposal and will consider shareholder views in connection with our executive compensation program.

Required Vote

A majority of the votes cast at the annual meeting will be required to approve on a non-binding advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers.

Our board of directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR the proposal to approve the compensation of the named executive officers described in this proxy statement. Proxies that are executed and returned will be voted FOR that proposal, except to the extent that particular proxies contain instructions to vote against, or to abstain from voting with regard to, that proposal.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 3: NON-BINDING ADVISORY VOTE ON FREQUENCY OF ADVISORY VOTE ON
COMPENSATION OF NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission adopted under the Dodd-Frank Act, we are required, at least once every six years, to afford our shareholders the opportunity to cast an advisory vote on how often our shareholders shall have a non-binding advisory vote on the compensation of the named executive officers, such as Proposal 2 above. Accordingly, we are asking our shareholders to vote on whether future non-binding advisory votes on the named executive officers' compensation should occur every year, every two years, or every three years. In lieu of voting for one of these options, shareholders may also abstain from voting. Our shareholders last voted on the frequency of the advisory vote on executive compensation in 2011, when they recommended that such votes be held on an annual basis.

After careful consideration, the board of directors believes it is appropriate for executive compensation to continue to be submitted to an advisory vote of shareholders on an annual basis. An annual advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers will allow us to continue to obtain our shareholders' views with respect to the compensation of our Named Executive Officers and our executive compensation program and practices on a consistent basis. Further, a vote every year is consistent with the Company's commitment to engage in regular dialogue with our shareholders on corporate governance matters, including our executive compensation philosophy, policies and programs.

For the reasons discussed above, the Board of Directors recommends that future non-binding advisory votes on executive compensation be conducted every year. While the Board of Directors recommends that future non-binding advisory votes on executive compensation be conducted every year, shareholders are not voting to approve or disapprove the Board's recommendation. Rather, shareholders are being asked to vote on the following resolution:

"RESOLVED, that the shareholders of Lincoln Educational Services Corporation (the 'Company') determine, on a non-binding advisory basis, that the frequency with which the shareholders should have an advisory vote on executive compensation set forth in the Company's Proxy Statement for its annual meeting of shareholders, commencing with the 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, is (i) every year, (ii) every two years, or (iii) every three years."

Shareholders may choose among the three choices included in the resolution above, or may abstain from voting on this proposal.

While this advisory vote is required by Section 14A of the Exchange Act, the voting result is not binding on our board of directors and may not be construed as overruling any decision by our board of directors. However, the board of directors and the Compensation Committee value the views of our shareholders and will review and give serious consideration to the outcome of the vote when making the determination as to the frequency of future say-on-pay votes. It is expected that the next shareholder advisory vote as to the frequency of presenting "say-on-pay" advisory votes will occur at the 2023 annual meeting of shareholders.

Required Vote

The choice receiving the highest number of votes will be deemed the choice of the shareholders.

The board of directors recommends a vote for a frequency of every YEAR for future non-binding advisory votes on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers. Proxies that are executed and returned will be voted FOR every YEAR, except to the extent that particular proxies contain instructions to vote in favor of holding say-on-pay votes every two or three years, or to abstain from voting with regard to, that proposal.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 4: RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF
INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee has appointed Deloitte & Touche LLP, which has served as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm since 1999, to be the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2017. Deloitte & Touche LLP has advised the Company that it does not have any direct or indirect financial interest in the Company. Representatives of Deloitte & Touche LLP are expected to attend the annual meeting and will be given the opportunity to make a statement if they choose to do so. They will also be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Before appointing Deloitte & Touche LLP, the Audit Committee carefully considered Deloitte & Touche LLP's qualifications, including the firm's performance as independent registered public accounting firm for the Company in prior years and its reputation for integrity and competence in the fields of accounting and auditing. The Audit Committee also considered whether Deloitte & Touche LLP's provision of non-audit services to the Company is compatible with its independence from the Company.

Shareholders will be asked at the annual meeting to ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP. If the shareholders ratify the appointment, the Audit Committee may still, in its discretion, appoint a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during 2017 if it concludes that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company. If the shareholders fail to ratify the appointment, the Audit Committee will reconsider, but not necessarily rescind, the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP.

Fees Billed by Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

As more fully described below, all services to be provided by Deloitte & Touche LLP are pre-approved by the Audit Committee, including audit services, tax services and certain other services.

The SEC requires disclosure of fees billed by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for certain services. The following table sets forth the aggregate fees billed by Deloitte & Touche LLP during the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015:

Fee Category	2016	2015
Audit and Audit Related Fees	\$985,500	\$1,091,778
Tax Fees	197,994	160,190
All Other Fees	8,280	7,880
Total Fees	\$1,191,774	\$1,259,848

Audit and Audit Related Fees consisted principally of audit services of our consolidated financial statements, review of our quarterly financial statements, services that are normally provided by the independent auditors in connection with statutory and regulatory filings and the audit of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Tax Fees consisted principally of professional services rendered by Deloitte & Touche LLP in connection with the Company's tax compliance activities, including technical and tax advice related to the preparation of tax returns.

All Other Fees primarily consisted of professional services rendered in connection with the Company's employee benefit plan.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy

The Audit Committee approves, prior to engagement, all audit and non-audit services provided by Deloitte & Touche LLP and all fees to be paid for such services. All services are considered and approved on an individual basis. In its pre-approval and review of non-audit service fees, the Audit Committee considers, among other factors, the possible effect of the performance of such services on the auditors' independence.

Required Vote

A majority of the votes cast at the annual meeting will be required to ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for 2017.

Our board of directors recommends a vote FOR the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2017.

Table of Contents

ANNUAL REPORT AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND
COMMITTEE AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MATERIALS OF THE COMPANY

Copies of the Company's Annual Report filed with the SEC on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016, including the Company's consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule, will be mailed to interested shareholders, without charge, upon written request. Exhibits to the Form 10-K will be provided upon written request and payment to the Company of the cost of preparing and distributing those materials. The current charters of the board's Audit, Compensation, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees, along with the Company's Integrity Assurance Program – A Code of Business Ethics and Conduct, are available to interested shareholders upon request and are posted on our website at www.lincolnedu.com. Written requests should be sent to Lincoln Educational Services Corporation, 200 Executive Drive, Suite 340, West Orange, New Jersey 07052, Attention: Investor Relations.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE GUIDELINES AND CODE OF ETHICS

The board of directors has adopted corporate governance guidelines, which include guidelines for determining director independence, director responsibilities, director access to management and independent advisors, succession planning, director retirement and director stock ownership.

The board of directors has also adopted an Integrity Assurance Program – A Code of Business Ethics and Conduct (the "Code of Conduct") that applies to all directors, officers and employees and that is intended, among other things, to comply with Section 406 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and related SEC and NASDAQ rules requiring a code of ethics for a company's directors, officers and employees. The Code of Conduct prohibits our directors, executive officers and senior management from holding the Company's securities in a margin account or otherwise pledging the Company's securities as collateral for a loan and under certain circumstances involving an accounting restatement requires the claw back of bonus or incentive compensation paid to officers of the Company.

A copy of the Code of Conduct is posted on our website at www.lincolnedu.com. The Audit Committee must approve any requests for amendments to or waivers from the Integrity Assurance Program with respect to directors and executive officers and the Company intends to report such amendments or waivers that are required to be reported pursuant to the rules of the SEC and the NASDAQ Global Select Market on the Company's website.

TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PERSONS

The Company recognizes that related person transactions present a heightened risk of conflicts of interest. As a general matter, it is the preference of the Company to avoid related person transactions. The term "related person transaction" refers to a transaction required to be disclosed pursuant to Item 404 of Regulation S-K, under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Nevertheless, the Company recognizes that there are situations where related person transactions may be in, or may not be inconsistent with, the best interests of the Company and its shareholders. As a result, pursuant to the Company's Audit Committee charter, the Audit Committee is charged with the responsibility to review and approve all related person transactions on an ongoing basis. All such transactions must be approved in advance by the Audit Committee.

In addition, the Company's Code of Conduct contains policies and procedures with respect to conflicts of interest and related person transactions. The Code of Conduct requires that all directors, officers, employees and certain other persons subject to the Code of Conduct, adhere to it and prohibits certain arrangements that may be relevant to related person transactions including, but not limited to, prohibitions against: obtaining a substantial interest in any entity which does or seeks to do business with, or is a competitor of, the Company; entering into various arrangements

(including family or other relationships) which might dissuade such director, officer, employee or other person from acting in the best interest of the Company; entering into a financial transaction or relationship with a student, prospect, vendor, agent or competitor of the Company; benefiting, or seeking to benefit, (directly or indirectly) from such person's position with the Company from any sale, purchase or other activity of the Company; using Company property or information for personal gain; obtaining loans or guarantees for personal obligations from the Company; and competing with the Company.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR THE 2018 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

Shareholder proposals that are intended to be presented at the 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, must be received by the Secretary of the Company, in writing, no later than November 24, 2017, in order to be considered for inclusion in the Company's proxy materials for that annual meeting. Shareholder proposals and shareholder nominations for election to the board of directors must also comply with the current advance notice and other requirements set forth in the Company's bylaws to be eligible to be presented at an annual meeting. These requirements include, in part, the requirement that any such proposal or nomination must, with certain exceptions if the date of the annual meeting is advanced or delayed more than 30 days from that of the first anniversary of this year's annual meeting, be submitted to the Secretary of the Company at least 120 and not more than 150 days prior to the first anniversary of the date of mailing of the notice for this year's annual meeting (or between October 25, 2017, and November 24, 2017, based on this year's notice mailing date of March 24, 2017).

Table of Contents

COMMUNICATING WITH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

You may contact any non-employee director, or the entire board, at any time. Your communication should be sent to the Lincoln Educational Services Corporation Board of Directors – Non-Employee Directors, c/o Corporate Secretary, Lincoln Educational Services Corporation, 200 Executive Drive, Suite 340, West Orange, New Jersey 07052.

Communications are distributed to the board, or any board member as appropriate, depending on the facts and circumstances outlined in the communication. Certain items that are unrelated to the duties and responsibilities of the board will be excluded, such as spam and other junk mail, resumes and other job inquiries, surveys and business solicitations or advertisements.

Material that is unduly hostile, threatening, illegal or similarly unsuitable will also be excluded. We will make available to any non-employee director any communication that is filtered in accordance with the process described above, at that director's request.

HOUSEHOLDING OF ANNUAL MEETING MATERIALS

Some banks, brokers and other nominee record holders may participate in the practice of "householding" proxy statements and annual reports. This means that unless shareholders give contrary instructions, only one copy of our proxy statement or annual report may be sent to multiple shareholders in each household who share an address. We will promptly deliver a separate copy of either document to you if you call or write to us at the following address or telephone number: Lincoln Educational Services Corporation, c/o Corporate Secretary, 200 Executive Drive, Suite 340, West Orange, New Jersey 07052, Telephone (973) 736-9340. If you want to receive separate copies of our proxy statement or annual report in the future, or if you are receiving multiple copies and would like to receive only one copy per household, you should contact your bank, broker or other record holder, or you may contact us at the above address or telephone number.

OTHER INFORMATION

Proxy authorizations submitted via the Internet must be received by 7:00 p.m. (Eastern Time) on May 4, 2017. To give your proxy authorization via the Internet, please read the instructions accompanying the enclosed proxy card. Costs associated with electronic access, such as from access providers, will be borne by the shareholder.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Alexandra M. Luster
Corporate Secretary

West Orange, New Jersey
March 24, 2017

Table of Contents

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT. PLEASE VOTE TODAY. Vote by Internet - QUICK EASY IMMEDIATE - 24 Hours a Day, 7 Days a Week or by Mail LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION Your Internet vote authorizes the named proxies to vote your shares in the same manner as if you marked, signed and returned your proxy card. Votes submitted electronically over the Internet must be received by 7:00 p.m., Eastern Time, on May 4, 2017. INTERNET/MOBILE – www.cstproxyvote.com Use the Internet to vote your proxy. Have your proxy card available when you access the above website. Follow the prompts to vote your shares. MAIL – Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope provided. PROXY FOLD HERE • DO NOT SEPARATE • INSERT IN ENVELOPE PROVIDED FOR ALL Please mark your votes like this 1. Election of Directors FOR ALL WITHHOLD EXCEPT (See instructions 01. Alvin O. Austin 02. Peter S. Burgess 03. James J. Burke, Jr. 04. Celia H. Currin NOMINEES AUTHORITY 05. Ronald E. Harbour 06. J. Barry Morrow 07. Scott M. Shaw below) 3. Advisory vote on the frequency of future advisory votes on executive compensation. 4. Ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2017. 1 YEAR 2 YEARS 3 YEARS ABSTAIN AGAINST ABSTAIN (To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee(s), mark “FOR ALL EXCEPT” and fill in the box next to each nominee that you wish to withhold authority as shown here) FOR AGAINST ABSTAIN 2. Advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation. To change the address on your account, please check the box at the right and indicate your new address in the address space to the left. Please note that changes to the registered name(s) on the account may not be submitted via this method. Check here if you plan to attend the meeting. COMPANY ID: PROXY NUMBER: ACCOUNT NUMBER: Signature Signature Date , 2017. Note: Please sign exactly as name appears hereon. When shares are held by joint owners, both should sign. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, trustee, guardian, or corporate officer, please give title as such.

Table of Contents

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS OF LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION MAY 5, 2017

The 2017 Proxy Statement and the 2016 Annual Report to Shareholders are available at: www.lincolnedu.com/proxy

Please date, sign and mail your proxy card in the envelope provided as soon as possible.

FOLD HERE • DO NOT SEPARATE • INSERT IN ENVELOPE PROVIDED

PROXY

LINCOLN EDUCATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION

Proxy For Annual Meeting of Shareholders

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The undersigned shareholder of Lincoln Educational Services Corporation, a New Jersey corporation (the "Company"), hereby appoints Scott M. Shaw and Brian K. Meyers, and each of them, as proxies for the undersigned, with full power of substitution in each of them, to attend the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Company to be held on Friday, May 5, 2017, at 9:00 a.m., local time, at the Wilshire Grand Hotel, 350 Pleasant Valley Way, West Orange, NJ 07052, and any adjournment(s) or postponement(s) thereof, to cast on behalf of the undersigned all votes that the undersigned is entitled to cast at such meeting and otherwise to represent the undersigned at the meeting, with the same effect as if the undersigned were present. The undersigned hereby acknowledges receipt of the Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and the accompanying Proxy Statement and revokes any proxy previously given with respect to such shares.

THE VOTES ENTITLED TO BE CAST BY THE UNDERSIGNED WILL BE CAST IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS MADE BY THE UNDERSIGNED. IF THIS PROXY IS EXECUTED, BUT NO SPECIFICATION IS MADE BY THE UNDERSIGNED, THE VOTES ENTITLED TO BE CAST BY THE UNDERSIGNED WILL BE CAST "FOR" ALL NOMINEES AND "FOR" PROPOSALS 2 AND 4 AND FOR 1 YEAR ON PROPOSAL 3, OTHERWISE IN THE DISCRETION OF THE PROXIES AT THE ANNUAL MEETING OR ANY ADJOURNMENT(S) OR POSTPONEMENT(S) THEREOF.

(Continued and to be dated and signed on reverse side)
