

ATHENAHEALTH INC  
Form 10-K  
February 07, 2014  
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K  
(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 001-33689

athenahealth, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

04-3387530

(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

311 Arsenal Street,  
Watertown, Massachusetts

(Address of principal executive offices)

617-402-1000

02472

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Name of each exchange on which registered

The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this

Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$3,086,579,443 based on the closing price on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on June 28, 2013.

At February 5, 2014, the registrant had 37,381,347 shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, outstanding.

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Part III of this Form 10-K incorporates information by reference from the registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the close of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

---

Table of Contents

INDEX

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <u>PART I</u>   |           |
| Item 1. <u>Business</u>   | <u>1</u>  |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>  | <u>14</u> |
| Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>   | <u>35</u> |
| Item 2. <u>Properties</u>   | <u>35</u> |
| Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>  | <u>35</u> |
| Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>  | <u>36</u> |
| <u>PART II</u>  |           |
| Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | <u>37</u> |
| Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>  | <u>40</u> |
| Item 7. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>                        | <u>42</u> |
| Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>  | <u>57</u> |
| Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>  | <u>58</u> |
| Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>                         | <u>58</u> |
| Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>   | <u>58</u> |
| Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>   | <u>61</u> |
| <u>PART III</u>   |           |
| Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>  | <u>62</u> |
| Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>  | <u>62</u> |
| Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>              | <u>62</u> |
| Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>                                   | <u>62</u> |
| Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>  | <u>62</u> |
| <u>PART IV</u>  |           |
| Item 15. <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>   | <u>63</u> |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u>   | <u>64</u> |

Table of Contents

PART I

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND INDUSTRY DATA

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements. All statements other than statements of historical fact contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are forward-looking statements, including the combination or integration of newly acquired services; expanded sales and marketing efforts; changes in expenses related to operations, selling, marketing, research and development, general and administrative matters, and depreciation and amortization; liquidity issues; additional fundraising; and the expected performance period and estimated term of our client relationships, as well as more general statements regarding our expectations for future financial or operational performance, product and service offerings, regulatory environment, and market trends. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as “may,” “will,” “should,” “expects,” “plans,” “anticipates,” “believes,” “estimates,” “predicts,” “potential,” or “continue”; the negative of these terms; or other comparable terminology.

Forward-looking statements are only current predictions and are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that may cause our or our industry’s actual results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements to be materially different from those anticipated by such statements. These factors include, among other things, those listed under “Risk Factors” and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements. Except as required by law, we are under no duty to update or revise any of such forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise, after the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Unless otherwise indicated, information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K concerning our industry and the markets in which we operate, including our general expectations and market position, market opportunity, and market share, is based on information from independent industry analysts and third-party sources (including industry publications, surveys, and forecasts), our internal research, and management estimates. Management estimates are derived from publicly available information released by independent industry analysts and third-party sources, as well as data from our internal research, and are based on assumptions made by us based on such data and our knowledge of such industry and markets, which we believe to be reasonable. None of the sources cited in this Annual Report on Form 10-K has consented to the inclusion of any data from its reports, and we have not sought the consent of any source. Our internal research has not been verified by any independent source, and we have not independently verified any third-party information. While we believe the market position, market opportunity, and market share information included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K is generally reliable, such information is inherently imprecise. In addition, projections, assumptions, and estimates of our future performance and the future performance of the industries in which we operate are necessarily subject to a high degree of uncertainty and risk due to a variety of factors, including those described in “Risk Factors” in Item 1A of Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. These and other factors could cause results to differ materially from those expressed in the estimates made by the independent parties and by us.

Item 1.

Business.

In this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the terms “athenahealth,” “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to athenahealth, Inc. and its subsidiaries, Athena Arsenal, LLC; Athena Point Lookout, LLC; athenahealth MA, Inc.; athenahealth Security Corporation; athenahealth Technology Private Limited; Epocrates, Inc. (“Epocrates”); Healthcare Data Services LLC; Modality, Inc.; and Proxsys LLC, and any subsidiary that may be acquired or formed in the future. We were incorporated in Delaware on August 21, 1997, as Athena Healthcare Incorporated. We changed our name to athenahealth.com, Inc. on March 31, 2000, and to athenahealth, Inc. on November 17, 2000. Our corporate headquarters are located at 311 Arsenal Street, Watertown, Massachusetts 02472, and our telephone number is (617) 402-1000.

Overview

athenahealth provides cloud-based business services that help medical caregivers collect more revenue and greatly reduce their administrative work burden. By combining three distinct but interconnected components—cloud-based

software (“Software”), networked knowledge (“Knowledge”), and back-office work (“Work”)—athenahealth empowers its clients to achieve and sustain financial health while staying focused on quality patient care. Our services are designed to minimize the hassles that caregivers and their staff face from complex billing rules, quality measurement and reporting, clinical documentation and data exchange, patient communication and referrals, and many related tasks that can take attention away from delivering care. We differentiate our offerings by continuously updating and improving our services via our cloud-based network, athenaNet. Regular updates to athenaNet are free and automatic for everyone on the

## Table of Contents

network. As a web-based platform, athenaNet can be quickly implemented by our staff, with low upfront costs to clients. We do not charge licensing or hosting fees.

We offer a suite of four, seamlessly integrated services: athenaCollector for revenue cycle and practice management; athenaClinicals for electronic health records (“EHR”); athenaCommunicator for automated, live, and online patient communications; and athenaCoordinator for care coordination. We also offer subscription-based and sponsored clinical information and decision support services under the Epocrates brand.

### Cloud-based Software

Via the athenaNet platform, we provide a single instance of cloud-based software to clients; every health care provider across the network always access the same continuously updated services. Our software is the primary conduit through which we exchange information among clients, insurance payers, and our staff of experts.

### Networked Knowledge

The athenaNet platform enables every client to benefit from the collective knowledge of all other clients. These learnings are culled and implemented through our patented billing rules engine (“Rules Engine”) and clinical quality management engine, collectively called “athenaRules.” As we work with clients, insurance payers, and other partners, more expert knowledge is infused into each service, making the network “smarter” and more powerful for all clients. The network’s shared knowledge and transparency also allows clients to monitor and benchmark their performance against those of other practices across the network.

### Back-office Work

Our clients benefit from back-office administrative work that we perform on their behalf. These services range from receiving, scanning, and delivering incoming faxes to tracking claims with insurance payers. We automate these processes whenever possible; when automation is not an option, we perform the work ourselves.

This unique combination of Software, Knowledge, and Work is the core of our aligned success model: we charge clients a percentage of collections, in most cases, connecting our financial results directly to that of our clients and our ability to drive revenue for them. This framework of shared financial interest demands and inspires a continual cycle of improvement and efficiency.

### Chronology

We first released athenaCollector in 2000, followed by athenaClinicals in 2006. We then introduced athenaCommunicator in 2010, the result of our first acquisition, Crest Line Technologies, LLC (d.b.a. MedicalMessaging.net). Expansion continued with the following acquisitions: in October 2009, Anodyne Health Partners, Inc. (“Anodyne”), developer of business intelligence tools; in August 2011, Proxsys LLC (“Proxsys”), a leading provider of cloud-based care coordination services; in October 2012, Healthcare Data Services LLC, which offers patient population health management services; and in March 2013, Epocrates. Epocrates is recognized for developing a leading medical application among U.S. physicians for clinical content, practice tools, and health industry engagement at the point of care.

In 2013, we generated revenue of \$595.0 million from the sale of our services, compared to \$422.3 million in 2012 and \$324.1 million in 2011. As of December 31, 2013, there were 50,212 medical providers, including 35,858 physicians, using our athenaCollector service across 49 states and the District of Columbia and across 92 medical specialties.

### Market Opportunity

The health care industry is complex and fragmented, and is largely served by legacy software systems that cannot support the current needs for collaboration, flexibility, and interoperability. A disproportionate amount of communication still takes place on paper instead of via automated communications. This combination of outdated, inflexible systems and paper workflows creates significant costs for health care organizations, which suffer sizable administrative work, duplicated efforts, and errors. By addressing these problems, medical caregivers can focus on the practice of medicine and free their staff to spend time on higher-value tasks.

Practice-based activities required to ensure appropriate payment for services rendered have increased in number and complexity for the following reasons:

• Legislative reform efforts. Legislative reform, including the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (“ACA”), is expected to drive many fundamental shifts in the health care reimbursement landscape. Millions of additional patients

could be required to purchase health insurance coverage.

Health benefit plan design. Health insurers have introduced a wide range of benefit structures, many of which are customized to the unique goals of particular employer groups. Such insurers also continually update their reimbursement rules based on ongoing monitoring of consumption patterns, in response to new medical products and

## Table of Contents

procedures, and to address changing employer demands. This has resulted in an increase in the rules regarding who is eligible for health care services, what health care services are eligible for reimbursement, and who is responsible to pay for health care services delivered. It has also resulted in more plans that require a larger proportion of patient contribution for services delivered; these increase the burden on practices to manage and pursue receivables directly with the patient. Practices need to be continually aware of the diversity and dynamic nature of health benefit plan design.

**New payment models.** While the fee-for-service framework can be complicated enough, rapidly emerging outcome-based payment models are even more complex, requiring caregivers to capture and provide appropriate data to obtain payments. Accountable care programs also require a much greater focus on care coordination and cost efficiency across multiple caregivers. To complicate reimbursement even further, some models, such as Pay-For-Performance, demand that caregivers first identify programs for which they are eligible, and then enroll, identify eligible patients, and record relevant billing and clinical data for each eligible encounter. These newer models continue to evolve and grow in both number and complexity.

**Financial incentives for the use of EHRs.** The federal government enacted a financial incentive program through the 2009 Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act (the "HITECH Act") for caregivers who demonstrate "Meaningful Use" of a certified EHR technology. While payments under the program do not represent a sustained market opportunity, they have shifted buying patterns since they were instituted, with many caregivers accelerating their purchase of EHRs and making revenue cycle decisions tied to an EHR selection.

In addition to administering typical business functions, caregivers must dedicate significant time and resources to physician orders, including referrals to specialists, imaging centers, laboratories, pharmacies, and inpatient admissions. This requires a series of communications that ensure the care is appropriate and eligible for reimbursement. To process these communications, medical practices often interact with multiple software systems; manage communications via fax, and are challenged with having to contact patients, payers, and other trading partners to effectively exchange the right information to accompany the order.

### **Our Strategy**

Our mission is to be caregivers' most trusted service, helping them do well doing the right thing. In almost all cases, we price our services as a percentage of practice collections, a strategy that incentivizes us to improve organization performance and reduce cost through more efficient operations. As practices face rising costs and complexity, they need solutions for a diverse set of problems, including the ever increasing administrative work driven by that increased complexity, including new, more complicated reimbursement models; partners' demand for electronic data exchange; pressure to adopt expensive EHRs; continued changes to federally mandated transaction standards; new insurance payer rules; and the challenges of collecting payments from uninsured, underinsured, and high deductible health plan patients.

We believe the traditional software model fails to address these needs. As a system of limited connectivity and flexibility, conventional software does not allow for rapid, intelligent evolution of system functionality and client needs. Additionally, locally installed software favors larger organizations that can afford a sizable up-front investment in hardware and software, plus the staff to manage and maintain these systems.

In contrast, cloud-based software can solve a greater set of problems because it can quickly be updated and delivered to all clients – as a single, shared instance of software – without expensive installations or upgrades. But there are challenges that require cloud-based software to have corresponding service components, such as processing and sorting a practice's incoming paper documents; identifying and managing payer rules; and having a live operator take patient phone calls after a practice closes for the day. Bringing these services, and many more, to our cloud-based software, is the crux of our cloud-based services model. As our software delivers the right knowledge to the right person at the right time, our back-office services execute work, at scale, that would otherwise fall upon the practice. The connectivity and system infrastructure we provide would normally be out of reach for small independent practices, which make up a large portion of the caregiver market. However, because we automate processes and scale work across our entire provider network, we can efficiently deliver our services to medical practices of every size. By giving small practices the same technical and service infrastructure available to large clients, we provide significant benefits not only to those practices, but also to their clinical exchange and trading partners with whom they share vital



information. As practices continue to be acquired or divested by other entities, this strategic flexibility enhances our ability to compete, regardless of whether a practice is independent or owned by a large enterprise.

Key elements of our strategy include:

• Remaining intensely focused on our clients' success. We believe that aligning our financial goals with those of our clients provides us an ongoing incentive to improve our services and client performance; helps us to maintain client

Table of Contents

loyalty (proven through high and sustained client satisfaction and retention); and enhances our reputation in the marketplace.

**Integrating services.** As newer payment models continue to integrate cost efficiency and outcomes into reimbursement formulas, new activities will become more important in driving performance. Only practices that can fully integrate these activities with their revenue cycle will have visibility into their true financial health. These can include coordinating care smoothly with other caregivers, directly tying lab results back to the right patient records, and delivering patient adherence reminders. We proactively demonstrate that when our integrated services are fully adopted and optimized, we help medical caregivers manage and monitor performance comprehensively.

**Maintaining and growing athenaRules.** We actively seek out new payer rules and new revenue opportunities for practices, and use our Rules Engine to deliver relevant information to the right person at the right time. Our Rules Engine identifies problems before claims are submitted. This increases the percentage of transactions that are successfully executed on the first attempt and reduces the time it takes to fully resolve claims or other transactions. The rules embedded in athenaClinicals are becoming increasingly tied to reimbursement as Pay-for-Reporting, Pay-for-Performance, shared savings, and other bonus payments require specific action at the point of care. Without the type of automation found in our quality management engine, these payment programs would plague physicians with an administrative burden, significantly impairing their ability to practice.

**Increasing awareness and attracting new clients.** We believe that our cloud-based business services provide significant value for medical practices and health systems of any size, and we continue to expand sales and marketing efforts to address our market opportunity and aggressively seek new clients. Our athenaCollector client base currently represents approximately five percent of the addressable U.S. market, comprised of an estimated 684,000 physicians practicing in the ambulatory segment. Our marketing efforts focus not only on small and group practices, but also on hospitals, health systems, and health services companies, with programs and services that can help them manage their affiliated and employed physician strategies. According to an independent third-party study, physician awareness of athenahealth increased from 31% in 2012 to 39% in 2013, a 26% increase. We believe that raising our awareness is critical to our growth strategy.

**Uncovering and delivering new sources of revenue to clients.** We work closely with payers and other health care trading partners to demonstrate the efficiencies and reduction in administrative work that our services provide. We believe that, as these partners gain greater understanding of these advantages and system-wide benefits, they will continue to reward these efficiencies in a manner that accrues direct benefits for our clients.

**Maintaining high levels of user adoption and improving through network transparency.** One of the biggest challenges for traditional EHR software vendors has been a lack of physician adoption. While adoption rates are improving, many physicians still fear that EHRs will slow them down. Because of our large services operation, we can: support many alternate documentation styles that are not available with software-only solutions; integrate multiple activities into our complete suite of services; and work to continually improve our services' ease of use for providers. Our clients can realize significant benefits by using our EHR, which drives our high adoption rate. We convert EHR usage data into key indicators of top practice performance, individual clinician performance, and the associated drivers of each, and can then share this intelligence across our entire network of clients to help optimize performance.

**Expanding our client base and service offerings through the acquisition of Epocrates.** We acquired Epocrates for the assembled workforce, expected synergies, accelerated awareness of our services across the health care market, and opportunity to deliver additional high-value information to the clinical community. Our loyal network of more than one million health care professionals using our Epocrates services, including approximately 50 percent of U.S. physicians, routinely access these intuitive solutions to help streamline workflow and improve patient care. These services are referenced multiple times per day and help health care professionals make more informed prescribing decisions, improve workflow, and enhance patient safety. We anticipate that our continuing integration of these services with those we offer through athenaNet will improve the quality of information available at the point of care, leading to better outcomes and an increase in the overall desirability of our services.

Our Solutions

Our proprietary cloud-based services are designed to help our clients increase collections, receive payments faster, significantly reduce administrative work, cut down on redundancies and error rates, lower operating costs, improve operational workflow and the coordination of care, and manage clinical and billing information more efficiently.

athenaCollector

athenaCollector, our revenue cycle and practice management service, automates and manages billing-related functions for medical practices. It assists our clients with the proper handling of claims and billing processes to help them manage reimbursement quickly and efficiently.

## Table of Contents

### Software

athenaCollector utilizes the Internet to connect medical practices to our Rules Engine and service operations team. It is a complete practice management system that includes scheduling and payment processing, and is used by our clients and service operations team to track the status of claims. Because athenaCollector is web-based, it provides our clients with the benefits of athenaRules and enables them to interact with our service operations team to efficiently monitor workflows. athenaCollector also includes a full set of reporting tools so that users can track their ongoing performance and benchmark against other practices.

### Knowledge

Medical practices route their day-to-day electronic and paper-based payer communications to us, which we then process using our patented Rules Engine and service operations to avoid reimbursement delays and improve practice performance. Our proprietary database of payer knowledge contains information amassed over years of experience in handling the physician workflow for thousands of medical practices, with medical claims from tens of thousands of health benefit packages. The core focus of the database is on the payer rules, which are the key drivers of claim payment and denials. By understanding denials, we can add rules to the database that help the entire client base avoid future denials, resulting in increased automation of our workflow processes. athenaRules interact seamlessly within the medical office workflow and with our service operations.

### Work

athenahealth service operations consist of both knowledgeable staff and technological infrastructure used to execute the key steps associated with proper handling of physician claims and clinical data management. The service operations team interacts with physicians, providers, and clinicians at all key steps in the revenue cycle, including:

- coordinating with payers to ensure that providers are properly set up for billing;
- checking the eligibility of scheduled patients electronically;
- submitting claims to payers directly or through intermediaries;
- obtaining confirmation of claim receipt from payers;
- receiving and processing checks and remittance information from payers and documenting the result of payers' responses;
- evaluating denied claims and determining the best approach to appealing or resubmitting claims to obtain payment;
- billing patients for balances that are due;
- compiling and delivering management reporting about the performance of clients at both the account level and the provider level;
- transmitting key clinical data to the revenue cycle workflow to eliminate the need for code re-entry and to permit assembly of all key data elements required to achieve maximum appropriate reimbursement; and
- providing proactive and responsive client support to manage issues, address questions, identify training needs, and communicate trends.

### athenaClinicals

athenaClinicals is our EHR service, designed to improve clinical administrative workflow for practices by automating and managing functions related to medical record-management. It assists medical groups with the proper handling of physician documentation, orders, and related inbound and outbound communications, to ensure that orders are carried out quickly and accurately.

### Software

Through athenaNet, athenaClinicals displays key clinical measures related to the drivers of high-quality, efficient care delivery, lab results requiring review, patient referral requests, prescription requests, and family history of previous exams. athenaClinicals is ONC 2014 Edition-compliant and has been certified by the Certification Commission for Health Information Technology (CCHIT®), an ONC-ACB, in accordance with the applicable certification criteria adopted by the Secretary of Health and Human Services. athenaNet provides comprehensive reporting on a range of clinical results. This includes distribution of different procedure codes (leveling), incidence of different diagnoses, timeliness of turnaround by lab companies and other intermediaries, and other key performance indicators.

### Knowledge

As reporting and quality programs have collectively become a greater portion of physician revenue, clinical data must be captured according to the requirements and incentives of different payers and plans. This can be very difficult to manage on paper or in a static software system. athenaRules are designed to: identify the specific clinical activities required to meet Pay-

5

---

## Table of Contents

for-Performance and outcome-based programs, including Medicare incentive payments under the HITECH Act; access medication formularies; and identify potential medication errors (such as drug-to-drug interactions or allergy reactions).

### Work

Medical practices that use an EHR can still receive a substantial number of clinical documents from third parties, creating a significant administrative burden. Our service operations capture inbound paper documents, convert them to electronic format, attach them to the appropriate patient chart, classify them according to type, and associate results with the original order where applicable. Additionally, even if the physician creates an order in the EHR, the intended recipient may not accept orders electronically; in that case, our service operations team converts the electronically generated order to paper for delivery on the practice's behalf. We also perform many of the Pay-for-Performance program identification and enrollment tasks on behalf of practices so they can participate without significant up-front research and effort.

### athenaCommunicator

athenaCommunicator is a series of patient communication services, including automated patient messaging, live operator services, and an online patient portal. By encouraging patient engagement and maintaining a connection between patients and providers beyond the practice, athenaCommunicator services help reduce patient no-show rates and improve overall schedule density, increasing the number of revenue-generating appointments for our clients. The ability to increase patient outreach helps provide clinical education and adherence reminders to patients, which improves the quality of care and outcomes without increasing practice demand to monitor and contact patients. The automated services can be used to remind patients of appointments as well as self-pay balances, helping practices drive patient collections. Together, these services provide a personalized, high-quality experience for patients while driving practice performance.

### Software

athenaCommunicator enables practices to manage many patient communication tasks electronically, including: delivering automated reminders with customizable criteria and opt-out functionality; creation of a self-service patient portal for patients to request appointments, pay bills, and exchange secure messages with the practice; and automatic generation of e-mails to patients. Automated phone calls are multi-purpose and may include appointment reminders, outbound population health campaigns, and follow-up on outstanding balances, while prompting patients to make payments by mail, telephone, or online.

### Knowledge

athenaCommunicator enables practices to build a highly flexible set of communication rules with their patients. They can set patient or group-specific communication preferences that will automatically tailor communications to the preferred timing and mode of delivery, including phone call, e-mail, or patient portal. These communication rules allow each patient to receive a personalized experience, including delivery of messages with branding and using the Caller ID of the practice, if desired.

### Work

Practices spend a great deal of time fielding phone calls from patients, on topics ranging from scheduling requests and clinical cases to driving directions. As part of the athenaCommunicator service, we provide live operators who field calls on behalf of practices, especially beneficial during peak phone call periods or after hours. The live operator service includes redirecting automated calls for appointment scheduling, patient payments, and message-taking. Additionally, we print and mail paper statements to patients on behalf of the practice to assist with patient payment collection. Collectively, these activities expand the availability of the office to patients and help alleviate practice phone burden, freeing staff to focus on more critical tasks.

### athenaCoordinator

athenaCoordinator is a referral cycle management tool that helps streamline the disorganized system of patient care coordination. The connections between practices and points of patient referral are rife with inefficiencies due to patient data redundancies, manual inputs, and errors, resulting in additional practice workload and patient dissatisfaction. With athenaCoordinator, caregivers can efficiently deliver a clean referral order to a physician, hospital, or other supply-chain partner. This much-needed improvement in today's health care reduces unnecessary

phone calls and faxes, eliminates redundancies, and greatly reduces both the error rate and patient frustration. Beginning in 2014, athenaCoordinator includes our financial and operations management and our population health management services. These services are the result of the integration of the Anodyne Health Partners, Inc. (financial and operations management) and Healthcare Data Services LLC (population health management) acquisitions. The union of these services provides visibility into the financial and clinical health of the health care network, helping health care organizations manage both networks of caregivers and populations of patients. By harmonizing data across practice management systems, EHRs, and claims systems, athenaCoordinator provides health care organizations with a single source of truth. athenaCoordinator turns insight into action, guiding data-driven decision-making at the point of care. This enables clients to

## Table of Contents

participate more effectively in new payment models that aim to create a reimbursement system that links care reimbursement to the quality of care delivered and, ultimately, to reduce overall health care expenses for patient populations. In the future, we plan to expand our offerings to visually present other data sets, such as clinical and patient cycle metrics.

### Software

athenaCoordinator allows providers, via an easy-to-use online portal, to electronically prepare and send a “clean order” for a referral—meaning all the pertinent information needed to streamline care coordination is complete—and a patient can arrive at his or her appointment with another physician, or at a hospital or lab, with information already entered and verified. This type of efficient information transfer delivers benefits to both the referring and receiving providers. For the initial caregiver, athenaCoordinator reduces time spent managing outbound orders and can provide greater visibility to patient status after the referring visit. For the receiving caregiver, athenaCoordinator reduces denials, the time spent processing referrals, and the risk of acting on erroneous information. Referring providers who use athenaClinicals can also receive a detailed care summary of the referral, effectively closing the loop of patient care. athenaCoordinator utilizes a software-enabled service platform for the financial and operation management and population health management services that organizes and analyzes billing and claims-based data across medical practices, allowing decision makers to quickly and easily present that data visually through a wide array of business performance metrics. Our population health management service also allows clients to gather claims, health plan administrative, and clinical data from client health care organizations and combine those data into a single data asset that can be used by the client to coordinate care, reduce health care utilization, and address gaps in care across its patient population.

### Knowledge

As part of a streamlined path of coordinated care information, our Rules Engine automatically determines a patient’s insurance eligibility after a referring provider enters an order via the web-based portal. This cuts down on the need for practice staff to manually contact an insurer and allows a patient to arrive at a receiving provider with his or her coverage eligibility already confirmed. Both the patient and the receiving hospital or lab staff can then focus on care and not get bogged down with insurance eligibility research at the point of care.

### Work

Preparing referral orders can often require office staff to spend time managing administrative duties—and they will often not receive follow-up information after a patient has visited a referred lab, physician, or hospital. As part of athenaCoordinator, our staff takes over this work, benefiting both the referring and receiving providers. Our back-office operations will verify insurance and benefits with payers, secure pre-certification, handle patient registration, collect self-pay from the patient, and electronically deliver the order to the receiving provider in advance of the patient visit.

We use our broad and deep understanding of health care revenue cycle management and managed care to help our clients maximize the insight and value they can derive from using our financial and operations management and population health management services. We provide analytical support for deep-dives into data and assist in determining which benchmarks and targets to track.

### Epocrates Services

Our Epocrates services center around a variety of clinical information and decision support offerings available through caregivers’ mobile devices. The majority of health care professionals using our clinical information services access the free versions of our applications; premium subscriptions for some of these services are available, and some services are sponsored by clients in the health care industry (e.g., pharmaceutical companies, managed care companies, and market research firms) that seek opportunities to engage with our network of members. These services include, but are not limited to:

DocAlert clinical messaging. DocAlerts are short messages authored by our staff that deliver clinical news and alerts to members, including product approvals, clinical study results, practice management information, industry guidelines, and formulary status changes. The majority of these messages are not sponsored, and we mark those that are.

-



Virtual representative services. Through our EssentialPoints offering, our clients can communicate short overviews on relevant topics to physicians' mobile devices. Our clients can also leverage the App Network, a service that provides them with development, distribution, or sponsorship of applications offered to targeted groups of physicians.

Market research. We recruit health care professionals based on a variety of criteria to participate in market research activities, such as online surveys, Q&A sessions, and one-on-one interviews.

Formulary hosting. We provide formulary hosting services, allowing health care professionals to access health plan covered drug lists, including co-pay levels, quantity limits, and prior authorization requirements, while displaying lower-cost and generic alternatives to offer the alternative of a less expensive treatment.

Our clients are located almost entirely within the U.S.

## Table of Contents

### Research and Development

In response to changes in the market, and to better serve medical practices and health systems, our research and development efforts focus on enhancing our service offerings. All of our clients use the same version of athenaNet, with some athenaRules designed to take effect locally for particular clients. We continually update our software and rules, and execute bimonthly releases of new software functionality for our clients. Our software development life cycle methodology ensures that each software release is properly designed, built, tested, and rolled out. Our software development technologists are primarily located in the United States; we complement this team's work with software development services from third-party technology development providers, as well as our own employees at our development center operated through our subsidiary in Chennai, India. In addition to our core software development activities, we dedicate full-time staff to our ongoing development and maintenance of the athenaRules database. We also employ program management and product management personnel, who work continually on improvements to our service operations processes and our service design, respectively.

### Operations

Our operations team assists clients at each critical step in the revenue, clinical, patient communication, and care coordination workflow, and provides services that include insurance benefits packaging, insurance eligibility confirmation, claims submission, claims tracking, remittance posting, denials management, payment processing, formatting of lab requisitions, submission of lab requisitions, and monitoring and classification of all inbound faxes. Additionally, we use third parties for data entry, data matching, data characterization, and outbound and inbound telephone services. These services are generally commercially available at comparable rates from other service providers.

We depend on satisfied clients to succeed, and have aligned our financial goals with that of our client practices and health systems. Our client contracts require minimum commitments by us on a range of tasks, including claims submission, payment posting, claims tracking, and claims denial management. We also commit to our clients that athenaNet is accessible 99.7% of the time, excluding scheduled maintenance windows. Each quarter, our management conducts a survey of clients to identify client concerns and track progress against client satisfaction objectives. In our most recent survey, 87.7% of the respondents reported that they would recommend athenahealth to a trusted friend or colleague.

In addition to the services described above, we also provide client support services, many taking place on a regular basis, including:

client support by our client services center, designed to address client questions and concerns rapidly, whether those questions and concerns are registered via a phone call or via an online support case through our customized use of customer relationship management technology;

account performance monitoring by the account management organization, to address open issues and focus clients on the financial results of the co-sourcing relationship; these activities are intended to aid in client performance and retention, determine appropriate adjustments to service pricing at renewal dates, inform clients of the full suite of our services, and provide incremental services when appropriate;

relationship management by regional leaders of the client services organization to ensure that decision-makers at client practices are satisfied, and that regional performance is managed proactively with regard to client satisfaction, client margins, client retention, renewal pricing, and added services; and

active, real-time performance monitoring for clients with complex and highly scaled operations.

### Sales and Marketing

We have developed sales and marketing capabilities aimed at expanding our network of physicians, medical groups, and health systems. We expect to expand our network by selling our complete suite of services to new clients, and cross-selling additional services into our client base. We have a direct sales force, which we augment through our channel partners and marketing initiatives.

### Direct Sales

We sell our services primarily through our direct sales force, which is divided into three groups: the enterprise team, which is dedicated to serving the very largest managed care organizations, as well as those with high growth potential; the group team, which is dedicated to medical practices with seven to 150 physicians; and the small group team,

which is dedicated to practices with one to six physicians. Our sales force is supported by personnel in our marketing organization, who provide specialized support for promotional and selling efforts. Due to our ongoing service relationship with clients, we conduct a consultative sales process, which includes understanding the needs of prospective clients, developing service proposals, and negotiating contracts to enable the commencement of services.

8

---

## Table of Contents

### Channel Partners

In addition to our direct sales force, we maintain business relationships with third parties that promote or support our sales or services within specific industries or geographic regions. We refer to these third parties as “channels” and the individuals and organizations involved as our “channel partners.” In most cases, these relationships are agreements that compensate channel partners for providing us sales lead information that result in sales. These channel partners typically do not make direct sales. Other channel relationships permit third parties to act as an independent sales representative, a purchasing agent (as in the case of group purchasing organizations), or a joint marketer of combined service offerings that we jointly develop with that third party. In some instances, the channel relationship involves endorsement or promotion of our services by these third parties. Our channel relationships include state medical societies, health care information technology product companies, health care product distribution companies, consulting firms, group purchasing organizations, health systems, regional extension centers, and payers.

### Marketing Initiatives

Since our service model is new to most physicians, our marketing and sales objectives are designed to increase awareness of our company, establish the benefits of our service model, and build credibility with prospective clients so they will view our company as a trustworthy long-term service provider. To execute on this strategy, we have designed and implemented specific activities and programs aimed at converting leads to new clients.

Our marketing initiatives are generally targeted toward specific segments of the medical practice market. These marketing programs include:

- traditional print advertising;
- sponsored pay-per-click search advertising and other Internet-focused awareness-building efforts (such as social media, online videos, webinars, and destination websites covering compliance and other issues of interest to medical practices);
- public relations activities aimed at generating media coverage;
- participation in industry-focused trade shows;
- targeted mail, e-mail, and phone calls to medical practices;
- informational meetings (such as strategic retreats with targeted potential clients); and
- dinner seminar series.

### Competition

We have experienced, and expect to continue to experience, intense competition in the marketplace. Our primary competition uses locally installed software to manage the various clinical and financial workflow needs within the physician’s office. Other nationwide competitors offer services they refer to as “on-demand” or “software-as-a-service” models, under which software is centrally hosted and services are provided from central locations. Companies that sell practice management, EHR, and care coordination software and services include: Allscripts-Misys Healthcare Solutions, Inc.; CareCloud Corporation; Cerner Corporation; eClinicalWorks, LLC; Epic Systems Corporation; Greenway Medical Technologies, Inc.; McKesson Corp.; NextGen Healthcare Information Systems, LLC; OptumInsight, Inc.; Practice Fusion, Inc.; SCI Solutions, Inc.; and Vitera Healthcare Solutions, LLC, which operates under the Greenway brand.

The principal competitive factors in our industry include:

- ability to quickly adapt to increasing complexity of the health care reimbursement system;
- size and scope of payer rules knowledge;
- ability to introduce only relevant rules into the workflow at the point of care;
- ease of use and rates of user adoption;
- product functionality and scope of services;
- scope of network connections to support electronic data interactions;
- performance, security, scalability, and reliability of service;
- sales and marketing capabilities of the vendor; and
- financial stability of the vendor.

We believe that we compete favorably with our competitors on the basis of these factors. However, some of our competitors and potential competitors have significantly greater financial, technological, and other resources and name recognition, as well as more established distribution networks and relationships with health care providers. As a result, these companies may respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies and standards and changes in customer requirements.

## Table of Contents

These companies may be able to invest more resources than we can in research and development, strategic acquisitions, sales and marketing, and patent prosecution and litigation and to finance capital equipment acquisitions for their customers.

Our Epocrates services compete for users of the types of clinical reference tools that we offer and for budget dollars from pharmaceutical, managed care, and market research clients. Competitors providing clinical content include Medscape, a division of WebMD, LLC, and UpToDate, Inc., a division of Wolters Kluwer Health. The primary competition for pharmaceutical promotional spend comes from companies, such as WebMD, that offer other marketing channels to health care professionals. Our market research business competes with companies that recruit physicians for surveys and the recruitment arms of market research companies that have their own survey panels of health care professionals. We compete primarily on our ability to reach and communicate with health care professionals under the Epocrates brand, which is recognized and endorsed among health care professionals as a trusted and accurate source of drug and clinical information; the breadth and loyalty of this large and active network is not easily replicated, and it enhances our ability to market our sponsored services.

### Government Regulation

Although we generally do not contract with U.S. state or local government entities, the services we provide are subject to a complex array of federal and state laws, including regulation by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, as well as additional regulation.

### Government Regulation of Health Information

**HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules.** The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, as amended, and the regulations that have been issued under it (collectively, “HIPAA”) contain substantial restrictions and requirements with respect to the use and disclosure of individuals’ protected health information. These are embodied in the Privacy Rule and Security Rule portions of HIPAA. The HIPAA Privacy Rule prohibits a covered entity from using or disclosing an individual’s protected health information unless the use or disclosure is authorized by the individual or is specifically required or permitted under the Privacy Rule. Under the HIPAA Security Rule, covered entities must establish administrative, physical, and technical safeguards to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of electronic protected health information maintained or transmitted by them or by others on their behalf. The HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules apply directly to covered entities, such as health care providers who engage in HIPAA-defined standard electronic transactions, health plans, and health care clearinghouses. Because we translate electronic transactions to and from the HIPAA-prescribed electronic forms and other forms, we are a clearinghouse and therefore a covered entity. In order to serve as a business associate for our clients and provide them with services that involve the use or disclosure of protected health information, HIPAA requires the execution of business associate agreements. Such agreements obligate us to provide adequate written assurances that we will properly safeguard the privacy and security of protected health information exchanged pursuant to each agreement. We also must enter into business associate agreements with entities who act as business associates for us.

**HIPAA Transaction Requirements.** HIPAA also requires that certain electronic transactions related to health care billing be conducted using prescribed electronic formats. As a covered entity subject to HIPAA, we must meet these requirements, and, moreover, we must structure and provide our services in a way that supports our clients’ HIPAA compliance obligations.

**HITECH Act and HIPAA Omnibus Rule.** The HITECH Act, the regulations issued under it, and the corresponding amendments to the HIPAA regulations in the recently promulgated HIPAA Omnibus Rule have altered and enhanced our obligations with respect to protected health information. While we are in compliance with currently applicable requirements, we will need to implement additional measures to address provisions with future effective dates.

**State Laws.** In addition to HIPAA and the HITECH Act, most states have enacted laws related to the security of confidential medical information. Such state laws, if more stringent than HIPAA and the HITECH Act, are not preempted by the federal requirements, and we must comply with them.

### Government Regulation of Reimbursement

Our clients are subject to regulation by a number of governmental agencies, including those that administer the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Given the breadth of these laws, they are potentially applicable to our business activities, including the transactions that we undertake on behalf of our clients and the financial arrangements through

which we market, sell, and distribute our services. Accordingly, we are sensitive to legislative and regulatory changes in, and limitations on, the government health care programs and changes in reimbursement policies, processes, and payment rates. During recent years, there have been numerous legislative and administrative actions that have affected government programs. It is possible that the federal or state governments will implement future reductions, increases, or changes in reimbursement under government programs that adversely affect our business, our client base, or our cost of providing our services.

## Table of Contents

### Fraud and Abuse

A number of federal and state laws, loosely referred to as “fraud and abuse laws,” prohibit a variety of activities that could result in excessive expenditure of funds on health care, such as the payment of kickbacks, fraudulent billing, and referrals for health care services where a conflict of interest exists. These laws include, but are not limited to:

**Anti-Kickback Laws.** The federal Anti-Kickback Law prohibits any person or entity from offering, paying, soliciting, or receiving anything of value, directly or indirectly, for the referral of patients or the leasing, purchasing, ordering, or arranging for or recommending the lease, purchase, or order of any item, good, facility, or service covered by a federal healthcare program, including Medicare or Medicaid. Courts have construed the federal Anti-Kickback Law broadly to mean that a financial arrangement may violate this law if any one of the purposes of one of the arrangements is to encourage patient referrals or other federal health care program business, regardless of whether there are other legitimate purposes for the arrangement. There are several limited and narrow statutory exceptions and regulatory safe harbors that may protect some arrangements from enforcement penalties.

**False or Fraudulent Claim Laws.** There are numerous federal and state criminal and civil laws that forbid, among other things, the submission of false information, or the failure to disclose information, in connection with the submission and payment of claims for reimbursement. In some cases, these laws also forbid abuse in connection with such submission and payment, for example, by systematic over treatment or duplicate billing for the same services to collect increased or duplicate payments. How these concepts apply to services such as ours that rely substantially on automated processes has not been well defined in the regulations or relevant case law. As a result, our errors with respect to the formatting, preparation, or transmission of such claims and any mishandling by us of claims information that is supplied by our clients or other third parties may be determined to be, or may be alleged to be, false claims under a false claims law.

**ACA.** In addition to the provisions relating to health care access, financing, and delivery, the ACA made changes to health care fraud and abuse laws. The ACA expands false claim laws, amends key provisions of other anti-fraud and abuse statutes, provides the government with new enforcement tools and funding for enforcement, and enhances both criminal and administrative penalties for noncompliance. The ACA may result in increased anti-fraud enforcement activities.

**Stark Law.** The Ethics in Patient Referrals Act, known as the Stark Law, prohibits certain types of referral arrangements between physicians and health care entities. Physicians are prohibited from referring patients for certain designated health services reimbursed under certain federal healthcare programs to entities with which they or their immediate family members have a financial relationship or an ownership interest, unless such referrals fall within a specific exception. Reimbursement claims that we submit for care rendered under forbidden referrals could be deemed false or fraudulent, resulting in liability under other fraud and abuse laws.

**Analogous State Laws.** Many states have similar fraud and abuse laws, some of which may be broader in scope and may not be limited to items or services for which payment is made by a government health care program.

### **Corporate Practice of Medicine Laws, Fee-Splitting Laws, and Anti-Assignment Laws**

In many states, there are laws that prohibit non-licensed persons from practicing medicine, prevent corporations from employing licensed practitioners, and prohibit licensed medical practitioners from practicing medicine in collaboration with non-physicians, including business corporations. Some states also prohibit physicians from splitting their professional fees with non-physicians. In some cases, these laws have been interpreted to prevent business service providers from charging their physician clients on the basis of a percentage of the physician clients’ collections or charges.

There are also federal and state laws that forbid or limit assignment of claims for reimbursement from government-funded programs. Some of these laws limit the manner in which business service companies may handle payments for such claims and prevent such companies from charging their physician clients on the basis of a percentage of collections or charges. In particular, the Medicare program specifically requires that billing agents who receive Medicare payments on behalf of healthcare providers must meet certain requirements, and the agent’s compensation may not be related in any way to the amount billed or collected or the actual collection of payment. Medicaid regulations similarly provide that Medicaid payments may be received by billing agents in the name of their clients without violating anti-assignment requirements if payment to the agent is related to the cost of the billing



service, not related on a percentage basis to the amount billed or collected, and not dependent on collection of payment.

#### Electronic Prescribing Laws

States have differing prescription format and signature requirements. Due in part to recent industry initiatives, federal law and the laws of all 50 states now permit the electronic transmission of prescription orders. In addition, effective on January 1, 2006, the Department of Health and Human Services promulgated its final E-Prescribing and the Prescription Drug Program regulations. These regulations, issued pursuant to the Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 ("MMA"), consist of detailed standards and requirements, in addition to the HIPAA standards discussed previously, for

Table of Contents

prescription and other information transmitted electronically in connection with a drug benefit covered by the MMA's Prescription Drug Benefit. These standards cover not only transactions between prescribers and dispensers for prescriptions but also electronic eligibility and benefits inquiries and drug formulary and benefit coverage information. Aspects of our services are affected by such regulation, as our clients need to comply with these requirements.

Anti-Tampering Laws

For certain prescriptions that cannot or may not be transmitted electronically from physician to pharmacy, both federal and state laws require that the written forms used exhibit anti-tampering features. For example, the U.S. Troop Readiness, Veterans' Care, Katrina Recovery, and Iraq Accountability Appropriations Act of 2007 requires that most prescriptions covered by Medicaid must demonstrate security features that prevent copying, erasing, or counterfeiting of the written form. Because our clients will, on occasion, need to use printed forms, we must take these laws into consideration for purposes of the prescription functions of our athenaClinicals service.

Electronic Health Records Certification Requirements

The HITECH Act directs the Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology, or ONCHIT, to support and promote meaningful use of certified EHR technology nationwide through the adoption of standards, implementation specifications, and certification criteria programs. In January 2011, HHS issued a final rule to establish a permanent certification program for EHR technology. Our athenaClinicals service has been certified as a 2014 Edition Complete EHR in accordance with the applicable certification criteria.

United States Food and Drug Administration

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") has promulgated a draft policy for the regulation of computer software products as medical devices and a proposed rule for reclassification of medical device data systems under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, as amended, or FDCA. The FDA has stated that health information technology software is a medical device under the FDCA, and we expect that the FDA is likely to become increasingly active in regulating computer software intended for use in health care settings regardless of whether the draft policy or proposed rule is finalized or changed. We anticipate additional guidance on this subject by mid-2014, in the form of a report to be issued by the FDA, ONCHIT, and the Federal Communications Commission. This report would propose a regulatory framework for health information technology that promotes innovation, protects patient safety, and avoids regulatory duplication.

If our computer software functionality is considered a medical device under the FDCA, we could be subject to additional regulatory requirements. FDA regulations govern, among other things, product development, testing, manufacture, packaging, labeling, storage, clearance or approval, advertising and promotion, sales and distribution, and import and export. FDA requirements with respect to devices that are determined to pose lesser risk to the public include:

- establishment registration and device listing with the FDA;
- the Quality System Regulation, or QSR, which requires manufacturers, including third-party or contract manufacturers, to follow stringent design, testing, control, documentation, and other quality assurance procedures during all aspects of manufacturing;
- labeling regulations and FDA prohibitions against the advertising and promotion of products for uncleared, unapproved off-label uses and other requirements related to advertising and promotional activities;
- medical device reporting regulations, which require that manufacturers report to the FDA if their device may have caused or contributed to a death or serious injury or malfunctioned in a way that would likely cause or contribute to a death or serious injury if the malfunction were to recur;
- corrections and removal reporting regulations, which require that manufacturers report to the FDA any field corrections and product recalls or removals if undertaken to reduce a risk to health posed by the device or to remedy a violation of the FDCA that may present a risk to health; and
- post-market surveillance regulations, which apply when necessary to protect the public health or to provide additional safety and effectiveness data for the device.

Non-compliance with applicable FDA requirements can result in, among other things, public warning letters, fines, injunctions, civil penalties, recall or seizure of products, total or partial suspension of production, failure of the FDA

to grant marketing approvals, withdrawal of marketing approvals, and criminal prosecutions.

Foreign Regulations

Our subsidiary in Chennai, India, is subject to additional regulations by the Government of India, as well as its regional subdivisions. These regulations include Indian federal and local corporation requirements, restrictions on exchange of funds, employment-related laws, and qualification for tax status and tax incentives.

## Table of Contents

### Intellectual Property

We rely on a combination of intellectual property laws, as well as confidentiality procedures and contractual provisions, to protect our proprietary technology, databases, and our brand. We have filed U.S. and international patent applications covering certain of our proprietary technology. As of December 31, 2013, we held eleven U.S. patents, two foreign patents, with twenty U.S. patent applications pending and seven foreign patent applications pending. Our issued U.S. patents are expected to expire between 2020 and 2031. We also rely on a combination of registered and unregistered trademarks and service marks to protect our brand. We will continue to file and prosecute applications for patents and trademarks when and where appropriate to protect our rights in proprietary technologies and our brand.

While our patents, trademarks, copyrights, and trade secrets provide some advantage and protection, we believe the following factors are more essential to establishing and maintaining a competitive advantage:

- the statistical and technological skills of our service operations and research and development teams;
- the health care domain expertise and payer rules knowledge of our service operations and research and development teams;
- the real-time connectivity of our service offerings;
- the continued expansion of our proprietary Rules Engine; and
- a continued focus on the improved financial results of our clients.

We have a policy of requiring employees and consultants to execute confidentiality agreements upon the commencement of an employment or consulting relationship with us. Our employee agreements also require relevant employees to assign to us all rights to any inventions made or conceived during their employment with us. In addition, we have a policy of requiring individuals and entities with which we discuss potential business relationships to sign non-disclosure agreements. Our agreements with clients include confidentiality and non-disclosure provisions.

### Seasonality

There is moderate seasonality in the activity level of medical practices and our clients in the pharmaceutical industry. Typically, discretionary use of physician services declines in the late summer and during the holiday season, which leads to a decline in collections by our physician clients about 30 to 50 days later. Our pharmaceutical clients' budgeting process impacts the timing of revenue related to sales of sponsored clinical information and decision support services, which has historically been highest in the fourth quarter. In addition, as further explained in "Risk Factors" in Item 1A of Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our revenues and operating results may fluctuate from quarter to quarter depending on a host of factors including, but not limited to, the severity, length, and timing of seasonal and pandemic illnesses.

### Employees

As of December 31, 2013, we had 2,966 full-time employees, with 1,533 in service operations, 473 in sales and marketing, 676 in research and development, and 284 in general and administrative functions. Of these full-time employees, 2,667 were located in the U.S. and 299 were located in Chennai, India. We believe that we have good relationships with our employees. None of our employees are subject to collective bargaining agreements or are represented by a union.

### Financial Information

The financial information required under this Item 1 is incorporated herein by reference to Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

### Where You Can Find More Information

Our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, including exhibits, proxy and information statements and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a), 14, and 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are available through the "Investors" portion of our website ([www.athenahealth.com](http://www.athenahealth.com)) free of charge as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Information on our website is not part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or any of our other securities filings unless specifically incorporated herein by reference. The public may read and copy these materials at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. In addition, our filings with the SEC may be accessed through the SEC's Interactive Data Electronic Applications ("IDEA") system at <http://www.sec.gov>. All statements made in any of our securities filings, including all forward-looking statements or information, are made as of the date of the document in which the statement is included, and we do not assume or undertake any obligation to update any of those statements or documents unless we are required to do so by law.

13

---

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Our operating results and financial condition have varied in the past and may in the future vary significantly depending on a number of factors. Except for the historical information in this report, the matters contained in this report include forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. The following factors, among others, could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in forward-looking statements made in this report and presented elsewhere by management from time to time. Such factors, among others, may have a material adverse effect upon our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

**RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS — GENERAL**

We operate in a highly competitive industry, and if we are not able to compete effectively, our business and operating results will be harmed.

The provision by third parties of revenue cycle services to medical practices has historically been dominated by small service providers who offer highly individualized services and a high degree of specialized knowledge applicable in many cases to a limited medical specialty, a limited set of payers, or a limited geographical area. We anticipate that the software, statistical, and database tools that are available to such service providers will continue to become more sophisticated and effective and that demand for our services could be adversely affected.

Revenue cycle and clinical cycle software for medical practices has historically been dominated by large, well-financed, and technologically sophisticated entities that have focused on software solutions. Some of these entities are now offering “on-demand” services or a “software-as-a-service” model under which software is centrally administered, and these vendors may also provide administrative services. The size, financial strength, and breadth of offerings of the larger entities is increasing as a result of continued consolidation in both the information technology and health care industries. We expect large integrated technology companies to continue to become more active in our markets, both through acquisition and internal investment. As costs fall and technology improves, increased market saturation may change the competitive landscape in favor of competitors with greater scale than we possess. In addition, a few smaller companies have started providing single-instance, Internet-based software using a model similar to ours; the offerings of these smaller companies may reduce the perceived competitive advantage of our services and impact our market share. Further, while the market for patient communication and referral management services is growing and is not as yet dominated by a small group of vendors with significant resources, our patient and referral cycle services face competition from a wide variety of market participants. For example, certain health systems have developed their own patient portals or referral management systems. If we fail to distinguish our patient and referral cycle offerings from the other options available to health care providers, the demand for and market share of those offerings may decrease.

In regard to our Epocrates services, we compete with other companies for users of the types of drug and clinical reference tools that we offer and for budget dollars from our pharmaceutical, managed care, and market research clients. We compete within a broad industry of health care content providers for the attention of health care professionals who can choose to use mobile, online or print media to reference clinical information. Companies providing clinical content include Medscape, a division of WebMD, LLC, and UpToDate, Inc., a division of Wolters Kluwer Health. Competition from each of these sources of clinical reference content may lead to a loss of our existing network members and a reduction in the rate at which we attract new members for our clinical information. Our primary competition for the promotional spend available from our pharmaceutical clients in the area of interactive services is from companies, including WebMD, that help such companies market their products, programs, and services to health care professionals. Our market research business competes with numerous companies that recruit physicians to participate in surveys in a variety of formats, as well as the recruitment arms of market research companies that have assembled their own survey panels of health care professionals. To the extent competing channels are available to access health care professionals, including physicians, the value of our interactive services to our clients is reduced.

Some of our current large competitors, such as Allscripts-Misys Healthcare Solutions, Inc.; Epic Systems Corporation; GE Healthcare; McKesson Corp.; Quality Systems, Inc.; Sage Software Healthcare, Inc.; and Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc., have greater name recognition, longer operating histories, and significantly greater resources than we do. As a result, our competitors may be able to respond more quickly and effectively than we can to new or

changing opportunities, technologies, standards, or client requirements. In addition, current and potential competitors have established, and may in the future establish, cooperative relationships with vendors of complementary products, technologies, or services to increase the availability of their products to the marketplace. Current or future competitors may consolidate to improve the breadth of their products, directly competing with our integrated offerings. Accordingly, new competitors or alliances may emerge that have greater market share, larger client bases, more widely adopted proprietary technologies, broader offerings, greater marketing

Table of Contents

expertise, greater financial resources, and larger sales forces than we have, which could put us at a competitive disadvantage. Further, in light of these advantages, even if our services are more effective than the product or service offerings of our competitors, current or potential clients might accept competitive products and services in lieu of purchasing our services. Increased competition is likely to result in pricing pressures, which could negatively impact our sales, profitability, or market share. In addition to new niche vendors, who offer stand-alone products and services, we face competition from existing enterprise vendors, including those currently focused on software solutions, which have information systems in place with clients in our target markets. These existing enterprise vendors may now, or in the future, offer or promise products or services with less functionality than our services, but that offer ease of integration with existing systems and that leverage existing vendor relationships.

If we are unable to retain existing members of our Epocrates network and attract new members, especially physician members with desired characteristics for our interactive services who actively participate in those services, our revenue will decline, the anticipated benefits of our Epocrates acquisition may not be realized, and our business will suffer.

Most of the members of our Epocrates network use only our free drug reference product and may stop using the products at any time without loss. Members who subscribe to our premium drug and clinical reference products usually do so for a term of one year and have no obligation to renew their subscriptions when such subscriptions expire. Under certain circumstances, our members may cancel their subscriptions prior to expiration. Factors that may affect the retention rate of our existing members and the rate at which we attract new members for our drug and clinical reference tools include:

**Service Relevance.** Unless we are able to provide current, relevant, and reliable health care content, drug and clinical reference tools, formulary hosting, and other services that meet and continue to meet the needs of health care professionals, including physicians, the value of those services to new and existing members will decrease. Our provision of such services depends on our ability to hire and retain qualified physician and pharmacist editors and authors, license accurate and relevant content from third parties, contract with health plans and insurers to host formulary information, monitor and respond to changes in member interest in specific topics, and develop new or enhanced services. If we cannot meet our staffing needs or develop or acquire needed content at a reasonable cost, if there are errors or omissions in such content, if our competitors obtain exclusive access to or develop content that health care professionals consider superior to ours, or if we cannot meet the needs of our members, the value of our content and services would diminish.

**Brand Reputation.** The reputation of our Epocrates brand is dependent in large part on the medical community's continued perception of us as independent from our health care industry clients, particularly pharmaceutical companies. If health care professionals believe that we are too closely associated with such clients as a result of the revenue we receive from their purchase or sponsorship of our interactive services, the credibility of our brand will diminish. Although we take precautions to remain independent from our health care industry clients, including separating the development of our application content from our commercial dealings with such clients and clearly labeling the source and responsibility of sponsored messages, programs, and activities, we cannot assure you that the medical community will view our content as sufficiently unbiased. If the reputation of our brand is damaged, it will be difficult, expensive and time-consuming to restore the quality of our brand with health care professionals and our business could suffer.

**Competitive Landscape.** If the developers of mobile operating systems and mobile devices with which our products and services are compatible fail to remain competitive in the marketplace and to be adopted into medical practice and practice workflow, members will be less inclined to use our services. The availability, price, performance, and functionality of competing products and services, including mobile, Web-based, and traditional products and services offered by competitors or through online resources and searches may affect our retention rate and the rate at which we attract new members for our drug and clinical reference tools. The availability of download sites such as the Apple App Store<sup>SM</sup> that offer numerous free or low-priced competing products at one location has also reduced the demand for our paid subscription products. We expect the use of such sites to expand, reducing the number of paying members for our drug and clinical reference tools as a percentage of total members.



In addition to the loss of subscription revenue, our inability to attract or retain members, especially physician members with desired characteristics, such as specialty and prescribing habits, who update their mobile devices through our servers with sufficient frequency, may cause an even more significant decline in revenue from our interactive services. Our market research, payer, and pharmaceutical clients are attracted to our large, engaged member network for the delivery of their clinical messages, formularies, and other sponsored content, and, without sufficient active members who meet desired criteria, those clients may reduce their subscription for our interactive services, and our revenue may decline.

Even if the number of our members is not materially reduced, their participation in our services may decrease, which could impact our revenues. We have established limits on the number and the mix of sponsored and non-sponsored messages delivered to members in order to promote the quality of members' experience with our services. If an insufficient number of

Table of Contents

members update during a given service period, or the demand for promotional clinical messaging sponsorship exceeds the available supply, our health care clients could become dissatisfied with our service. As a result, we may be unable to grow our interactive services revenue beyond the bounds we have set, as changes to such limits could cause our members to be dissatisfied with our services and the response to our interactive services to decrease. Furthermore, if our members generally become less responsive to participating in our services, the value of these interactive services will likely decline. This could cause our revenue to remain flat or to decline.

Finally, if there is a reduction in the number of network members or their participation in our services, certain anticipated benefits of our acquisition of Epocrates, such as increased name recognition and reputation, cross-sell potential, and the market acceptance of joint services may not be fully realized, if at all.

The market for Internet-based medical business services may not develop substantially further or develop more slowly than we expect, harming the growth of our business.

While Internet-based medical business services have become more accepted, the market for these services remains narrowly based, and it is uncertain whether these services will achieve and sustain the high levels of demand and market acceptance we anticipate. Our success will depend to a substantial extent on the willingness of enterprises, large and small, to increase their use of on-demand business services in general, and for their revenue, clinical, and patient cycles in particular. Many enterprises have invested substantial personnel and financial resources to integrate established enterprise software into their businesses and therefore may be reluctant or unwilling to switch to an on-demand application service. Furthermore, some enterprises may be reluctant or unwilling to use on-demand application services, because they have concerns regarding the risks associated with the security and reliability, among other things, of the technology delivery model associated with these services. If enterprises do not perceive the benefits of our services, then the market for these services may not expand as much or develop as quickly as we expect, either of which would significantly adversely affect our business, financial condition, or operating results.

Changes in the health care industry could affect the demand for our services, cause our existing contracts to terminate, and negatively impact the process of negotiating future contracts.

As the health care industry evolves, changes in our member, client, and vendor bases may reduce the demand for our services, result in the termination of existing contracts, and make it more difficult to negotiate new contracts on terms that are acceptable to us. For example, the current trend toward consolidation of health care providers within hospital systems may cause our existing practice client contracts to terminate as independent practices are merged into hospital systems. Such larger health care organizations may also have their own practice management services and health IT systems, reducing demand for our services. Similarly, client and vendor consolidation results in fewer, larger entities with increased bargaining power and the ability to demand terms that are unfavorable to us. If these trends continue, we cannot assure you that we will be able to continue to maintain or expand our client base, negotiate contracts with acceptable terms, or maintain our current pricing structure, and our revenues may decrease.

General reductions in expenditures by health care companies, or reductions in such expenditures within market segments that we serve, could have similar impacts with regard to our interactive services. Such reductions may result from, among other things, reduced governmental funding for health care; a decrease in the number of, or the market exclusivity available to, new drugs coming to market; government regulation or private initiatives that affect the manner in which health care providers interact with patients, pharmaceutical companies, payers, or other health care industry participants (e.g., limitations on advertising to physicians or required disclosure of payments made to them); or adverse changes in business or economic conditions affecting health care payers or providers, the pharmaceutical industry, or other health care companies that subscribe for our interactive services (e.g., changes in the design of health plans). Any of these changes could reduce the purchase of our services by such interactive services clients, reducing our revenue and possibly requiring us to materially revise our offerings. In addition, our interactive services clients' expectations regarding pending or potential industry developments may also affect their budgeting processes and spending plans with respect to services of the types we provide.

If we do not continue to innovate and provide services that are useful to clients and users, we may not remain competitive, and our revenues and operating results could suffer.

Our success depends on our ability to keep pace with technological developments, satisfy increasingly sophisticated client and user requirements, and sustain market acceptance. Our competitors are constantly developing products and

services that may become more efficient or appealing to our clients or users. As a result, we must continue to invest significant resources in research and development in order to enhance our existing services and introduce new high-quality services that clients and users will want, while offering these services at competitive prices. For example, our mobile clinical information services are not compatible with all mobile platforms. If a mobile platform that is incompatible with our services achieves widespread use

Table of Contents

and acceptance in the medical community, or if Internet resources or other non-mobile device resources become more attractive than what is offered for mobile platforms, we may be unable to retain or attract members to our products or services.

If we are unable to predict user preferences or industry changes, or if we are unable to modify our services on a timely or cost-effective basis, we may lose clients and users. Our operating results would also suffer if our innovations are not responsive to the needs of our clients and users, are not appropriately timed with market opportunity, or are not effectively brought to market. As technology continues to develop, our competitors may be able to offer results that are, or that are perceived to be, substantially similar to or better than those generated by our services. This may force us to compete on additional service attributes and to expend significant resources in order to remain competitive.

Failure to manage our rapid growth effectively could increase our expenses, decrease our revenue, and prevent us from implementing our business strategy.

We have been experiencing a period of rapid growth. To manage our anticipated future growth effectively, we must continue to maintain, and may need to enhance, our information technology infrastructure and financial and accounting systems and controls, as well as manage expanded operations in geographically distributed locations. We also must attract, train, and retain a significant number of qualified sales and marketing personnel, professional services personnel, software engineers, technical personnel, and management personnel. Failure to manage our rapid growth effectively could lead us to over-invest or under-invest in technology and operations; result in weaknesses in our infrastructure, systems, or controls; give rise to operational mistakes, losses, or loss of productivity or business opportunities; reduce client or user satisfaction; limit our ability to respond to competitive pressures; and result in loss of employees and reduced productivity of remaining employees. Our growth could require significant capital expenditures and may divert financial resources and management attention from other projects, such as the development of new or enhanced services or the acquisition of suitable businesses or technologies. If our management is unable to effectively manage our growth, our expenses may increase more than expected, our revenue could decline or may grow more slowly than expected, and we may be unable to implement our business strategy.

We may be unable to adequately protect, and we may incur significant costs in enforcing, our intellectual property and other proprietary rights.

Our success depends in part on our ability to enforce our intellectual property and other proprietary rights. We rely upon a combination of copyright, patent, trademark, trade secret, and unfair competition laws, as well as license and access agreements and other contractual provisions, to protect these rights.

Our attempts to protect our intellectual property through copyright, patent, and trademark registration may be challenged by others or invalidated through administrative process or litigation. While we have eleven issued U.S. patents (seven applicable to our Epocrates services), two issued foreign patents (both applicable to our Epocrates services), and a number of U.S. and foreign patent applications pending as of December 31, 2013, the scope of issued patents may be insufficient to prevent competitors from providing products and services similar to ours, our patents may be successfully challenged, and we may not be able to obtain additional meaningful patent protection in the future.

Our agreements with clients and users and with certain vendors and strategic partners limit their use of, and retain our rights in, our intellectual property and proprietary information and grant us ownership of intellectual property created in the performance of those agreements to the extent that it relates to the provision of our services. In addition, we require certain of our employees and consultants to enter into confidentiality, non-competition, and assignment of inventions agreements and certain of our vendors and strategic partners to agree to contract provisions regarding confidentiality and non-competition. However, these agreements may be breached, and we may not have adequate remedies for any such breach. Further, no assurance can be given that these agreements will be effective in preventing the unauthorized access to, or use of, our proprietary information or the reverse engineering of our technology. In any event, these agreements do not prevent our competitors from independently developing technology or authoring clinical information that is substantially equivalent or superior to our technology or the information we distribute.

Agreement terms that address non-competition are difficult to enforce in many jurisdictions and may not be enforceable in any particular case.

In addition, our platforms incorporate “open source” software components that are licensed to us under various public domain licenses. While we believe that we have complied with our obligations under the various applicable licenses for open source software that we use, open source license terms are often ambiguous, and there is little or no legal precedent governing the interpretation of many of the terms of certain of these licenses. Therefore, the potential impact of such terms on our business is somewhat unknown. For example, some open source licenses require that those using the associated code disclose modifications made to that code and that such modifications be licensed to third parties at no cost. We monitor our use of open source software in an effort to avoid uses in a manner that would require us to disclose or grant licenses under our proprietary source code. However, there can be no assurance that such efforts will be successful, and such use could inadvertently occur.

Table of Contents

To the extent that our intellectual property and other proprietary rights are not adequately protected, third parties might gain access to our proprietary information, develop and market products or services similar to ours, or use trademarks similar to ours, each of which could materially harm our business. Existing U.S. federal and state intellectual property laws offer only limited protection. Moreover, the laws of other countries in which we now or may in the future conduct operations or contract for services may afford little or no effective protection of our intellectual property. If we resort to legal proceedings to enforce our intellectual property rights or to determine the validity and scope of the intellectual property or other proprietary rights of others, the proceedings could be burdensome and expensive, even if we were to prevail. Any litigation that may be necessary in the future could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources and could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, or financial condition.

We may be sued by third parties for alleged infringement of their proprietary rights.

The software and Internet industries are characterized by the existence of a large number of patents, trademarks, and copyrights and by frequent litigation based on allegations of infringement or other violations of intellectual property rights. Moreover, our business involves the systematic gathering and analysis of data about the requirements and behaviors of payers and other third parties, some or all of which may be claimed to be confidential or proprietary. We have received in the past, and may receive in the future, communications from third parties claiming that we have infringed on the intellectual property rights of others. Our technologies may not be able to withstand such third-party claims of rights against their use, and we could lose the right to use third-party technologies that are the subject of such claims. Any intellectual property claims, with or without merit, could be time-consuming and expensive to resolve, divert management attention from executing our business plan, and require us to pay monetary damages or enter into royalty or licensing agreements. In addition, many of our contracts contain warranties with respect to intellectual property rights, and some require us to indemnify our clients and third-party service providers for third-party intellectual property infringement claims, which would increase the cost to us of an adverse ruling on such a claim. Although many of our third-party service providers are obligated to indemnify us if their products infringe the rights of others, such indemnification may not be effective or adequate to protect us or the indemnifying party may be unable to uphold its contractual obligations.

Moreover, any settlement or adverse judgment resulting from such a claim could require us to pay substantial amounts of money or obtain a license to continue to use the technology or information that is the subject of the claim, or otherwise restrict or prohibit our use of the technology or information. There can be no assurance that we would be able to obtain a license on commercially reasonable terms, if at all, from third parties asserting an infringement claim; that we would be able to develop alternative technology on a timely basis, if at all; that we would be able to obtain a license to use a suitable alternative technology or information to permit us to continue offering, and our clients to continue using, our affected services; or that we would not need to change our product and design plans, which could require us to redesign affected products or services or delay new offerings. Accordingly, an adverse determination could prevent us from offering our services to others.

Current and future litigation against us could be costly and time-consuming to defend and could result in additional liabilities.

We may from time to time be subject to legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business, such as claims brought by our clients in connection with commercial disputes and employment claims made by our current or former employees. Claims may also be asserted by or on behalf of a variety of other parties, including government agencies, patients of our physician clients, or stockholders. For example, in May 2013 we purchased the property on which our corporate headquarters are located. This property is a former Superfund site, and our ownership of it, or any of our other properties, could expose us to liability under applicable environmental laws, as well as to liability as a landlord or as owner of property that may be used by members of the public. Any litigation involving us may result in substantial costs and may divert management's attention and resources, which may seriously harm our business, overall financial condition, and operating results. Insurance may not cover existing or future claims, be sufficient to fully compensate us for one or more of such claims, or continue to be available on terms acceptable to us. A claim brought against us that is uninsured or underinsured could result in unanticipated costs, thereby reducing our operating results and leading analysts or potential investors to reduce their expectations of our performance resulting

in a reduction in the trading price of our stock.

**RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS — OPERATIONS**

We depend upon third-party service providers for important processing functions. If these third-party providers do not fulfill their contractual obligations or choose to discontinue their services, our business and operations could be disrupted and our operating results would be harmed.

We have entered into service agreements with International Business Machines Corporation (which relationship is currently being wound down); Vision Business Process Solutions Inc., a subsidiary of Dell, Inc. (formerly Perot Systems Corporation); and Access Healthcare Services USA, LLC to provide data entry and other services from facilities located in India and the Philip

Table of Contents

panies to support our client service operations. Among other things, these providers process critical claims data and clinical documents. If such services fail or are of poor quality, our business, reputation, and operating results could be harmed. Failure of these service providers to perform satisfactorily could result in client dissatisfaction, disrupt our operations, and adversely affect operating results. With respect to these service providers, we have significantly less control over the systems and processes involved than if we maintained and operated them ourselves, which increases our risk. In some cases, functions necessary to our business are performed on proprietary systems and software to which we have no access. If we need to find an alternative source for performing these functions, we may have to expend significant money, resources, and time to develop the alternative, and if this development is not accomplished in a timely manner and without significant disruption to our business, we may be unable to fulfill our responsibilities to clients or the expectations of clients, with the attendant potential for liability claims and a loss of business reputation, loss of ability to attract or maintain clients, and reduction of our revenue or operating margin.

Various risks could affect our worldwide operations, exposing us to significant costs.

We conduct operations in the United States, India, and the Philippines, either directly or through our service providers. Such worldwide operations expose us to potential operational disruptions and costs as a result of a wide variety of events, including local inflation or economic downturn, currency exchange fluctuations, political turmoil, terrorism, labor issues, natural disasters, unfavorable intellectual property protection, and pandemics. Any such disruptions or costs could have a negative effect on our ability to provide our services or meet our contractual obligations, the cost of our services, practice client and user satisfaction, our ability to attract or maintain practice clients, and, ultimately, our profits.

In addition, although the substantial majority of the members of our Epocrates network are located in the United States, we currently have members in numerous other countries, and we could expand our international offerings in the future. Having members who are foreign residents could subject us to additional risks of conducting business, including failure to comply with local consumer protection laws or regulations, the impact of a country's or region's political or economic conditions on purchasing decisions, exposure to competitors who are more familiar with local markets, and restrictions on repatriation of earnings. Furthermore, we have limited experience in marketing, selling, and supporting our services abroad. For example, while Symbian is the most widely used mobile operating system in Europe, our clinical information and interactive services are not compatible with Symbian-based devices. If we invest substantial time and resources to expand our international operations and are unable to do so successfully and in a timely manner, our business and operating results will suffer.

Because competition for our target employees is intense, we may not be able to attract and retain the highly skilled employees we need to support our planned growth.

To continue to execute on our growth plan, we must attract and retain highly qualified personnel. Competition for these personnel is intense, especially for senior sales executives and engineers with high levels of experience in designing and developing software and Internet-related services. We may not be successful in attracting and retaining qualified personnel. We have from time to time in the past experienced, and we expect to continue to experience in the future, difficulty in hiring and retaining highly skilled employees with appropriate qualifications. For example, Epocrates has experienced high turnover in recent years, and we cannot assure you that we will be able to fill all open positions on a timely basis, or at all, on acceptable terms or that the limited exposure to Epocrates' business of those hired will not hinder our ability to manage and grow that business effectively, regardless of the extent of their past professional experience. In addition, our search for replacements for departed employees may cause uncertainty regarding the future of our business, impact employee hiring and retention, and adversely impact our revenue, operating results, and financial condition.

Many of the companies with which we compete for experienced personnel have greater resources than we have. In addition, in making employment decisions, particularly in the Internet and high-technology industries, job candidates often consider the value of the equity awards they are to receive in connection with their employment. Volatility in the price of our stock or failure to obtain stockholder approval for increases in the number of shares available for grant under our equity plans may, therefore, adversely affect our ability to attract or retain key employees. Furthermore, the requirements to expense equity awards may discourage us from granting the size or type of equity awards that job candidates require to join our company. If we fail to attract new personnel or fail to retain and motivate our current



personnel, our business and future growth prospects could be severely harmed.

If we acquire companies or technologies, they could prove difficult to integrate, disrupt our business, dilute stockholder value, and adversely affect our operating results and the value of our common stock.

As part of our business strategy, we may acquire, enter into joint ventures with, or make investments in complementary companies, services, and technologies in the future. Acquisitions and investments involve numerous risks, including:

- difficulties in identifying and acquiring products, technologies, or businesses that will help our business;

Table of Contents

- difficulties in integrating operations, technologies, services, and personnel;
- the loss of key personnel;
- failure to achieve anticipated operational efficiencies;
- inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures, or policies that give rise to additional costs;
- diversion of financial and managerial resources from existing operations and other potential acquisitions and investments;
- the risk of entering new markets in which we have little to no experience;
- risks related to the assumption of known and unknown liabilities;
- the risk of write-offs and the amortization of expenses related to purchased intangible assets; and
- delays in client purchases due to uncertainty and the inability to maintain relationships with clients of the acquired businesses.

As a result, if we fail to properly evaluate acquisitions or investments, we may not achieve the anticipated benefits of any such acquisitions, we may incur costs in excess of what we anticipate, and management resources and attention may be diverted from other necessary or valuable activities.

**RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS — FINANCIALS**

Our operating results have in the past fluctuated and may continue to fluctuate significantly, and if we fail to meet the expectations of analysts or investors, our stock price and the value of an investment in our common stock could decline substantially.

Our operating results are likely to fluctuate, and if we fail to meet or exceed the expectations of securities analysts or investors, the trading price of our common stock could decline. Moreover, our stock price may be based on expectations of our future performance that may be unrealistic or that may not be met. Some of the important factors that could cause our revenues and operating results to fluctuate from quarter to quarter include:

- the extent to which our services achieve or maintain market acceptance;
- our ability to introduce new services and enhancements to our existing services on a timely basis;
- new competitors and the introduction of enhanced products and services from new or existing competitors;
- the length of our contracting and implementation cycles and our fulfillment periods for our services to pharmaceutical companies;
- changes in Client Days in Accounts Receivable;
- the severity, length, and timing of seasonal and pandemic illnesses;
- seasonal declines in the use of physician services, generally in the late summer and during the holiday season, which lead to a decline in collections by our physician clients about 30 to 50 days later;
- the financial condition of our current and future clients;
- changes in client budgets and procurement policies;
- changes in pharmaceutical company demand as a result of delays or changes in product approvals and changes in regulations or marketing strategies;
- the amount and timing of our investment in research and development activities;
- the amount and timing of our investment in sales and marketing activities;
- technical difficulties or interruptions in our services;
- our ability to hire and retain qualified personnel and maintain an adequate rate of expansion of our sales force;
- changes in the regulatory environment related to health care;
- regulatory compliance costs;
- the timing, size, and integration success of potential future acquisitions; and
- unforeseen legal expenses, including litigation and settlement costs.

Many of these factors are not within our control, and the occurrence of one or more of them might cause our operating results to vary widely. As such, we believe that quarter-to-quarter comparisons of our revenues and operating results may not be meaningful and should not be relied upon as an indication of future performance.

A significant portion of our operating expense is relatively fixed in nature, and planned expenditures are based in part on expectations regarding future revenue and profitability. Accordingly, unexpected revenue shortfalls,

lower-than-expected

20

---

Table of Contents

revenue increases as a result of planned expenditures, and longer-than-expected impact on profitability and margins as a result of planned expenditures may decrease our gross margins and profitability and could cause significant changes in our operating results from quarter to quarter. In addition, our future quarterly operating results may fluctuate and may not meet the expectations of securities analysts or investors. If this occurs, the trading price of our common stock could fall substantially, either suddenly or over time.

If the revenue of our practice clients decreases, or if our clients cancel or elect not to renew their contracts, our revenue will decrease.

Under most of our practice client contracts, we base our charges on a percentage of the revenue that the client realizes while using our services. Many factors may lead to decreases in client revenue, including:

- interruption of client access to our system for any reason;
- our failure to provide services in a timely or high-quality manner;
- failure of our clients to adopt or maintain effective business practices;
- actions by third-party payers of medical claims to reduce reimbursement;
- government regulations and government or other payer actions or inaction reducing or delaying reimbursement; and
- reduction of client revenue resulting from increased competition or other changes in the marketplace for physician services.

The current economic situation may give rise to several of these factors. For example, patients who have lost health insurance coverage due to unemployment or who face increased deductibles imposed by financially struggling employers or insurers could reduce the number of visits those patients make to our practice clients. Patients without health insurance or with reduced coverage may also default on their payment obligations at a higher rate than patients with coverage. Added financial stress on our clients could lead to their acquisition or bankruptcy, which could cause the termination of some of our service relationships. Further, despite the cost benefits that we believe our services provide, prospective clients may wish to delay contract decisions due to implementation costs or be reluctant to make any material changes in their established business methods in the current economic climate. With a reduction in tax revenue, state and federal government health care programs, including reimbursement programs such as Medicaid or initiatives under the Affordable Care Act, may be reduced or eliminated, which could negatively impact the payments that our practice clients receive.

Also, although we currently estimate our expected customer life for practice clients to be twelve years, this is only an estimate, and there can be no assurance that our clients will elect to renew their contracts for this period of time. Our practice clients typically purchase one-year contracts that, in most cases, may be terminated on 90 days' notice without cause. The majority of our clinical information subscriptions have terms of one year, and our contracts with our market research, payer, and pharmaceutical clients for our interactive services typically range from one to three years. We cannot assure you that members of our Epocrates network and other Epocrates clients will continue to participate in our existing programs beyond the terms of their existing contracts or that they will enter into any additional contracts for new programs that we offer. If our practice clients' revenue decreases for any of the above or other reasons, or if our clients cancel or elect not to renew their contracts, our revenue will decrease.

If we are required to collect sales and use taxes on the services we sell in additional jurisdictions, we may be subject to liability for past sales and incur additional related costs and expenses, and our future sales may decrease.

We may lose sales or incur significant expenses should states be successful in imposing state sales and use taxes on our services. A successful assertion by one or more states that we should collect sales or other taxes on the sale of our services could result in substantial tax liabilities for past sales, decrease our ability to compete with software vendors subject to sales and use taxes, and otherwise harm our business. Each state has different rules and regulations governing sales and use taxes, and these rules and regulations are subject to varying interpretations that may change over time. We review these rules and regulations periodically and, when we believe that our services are subject to sales and use taxes in a particular state, we voluntarily approach state tax authorities in order to determine how to comply with their rules and regulations. We cannot assure you that we will not be subject to sales and use taxes or related penalties for past sales in states where we believe no compliance is necessary.

Vendors of services, like us, are typically held responsible by taxing authorities for the collection and payment of any applicable sales and similar taxes. If one or more taxing authorities determines that taxes should have, but have not,

been paid with respect to our services, we may be liable for past taxes in addition to taxes going forward. Liability for past taxes may also include very substantial interest and penalty charges. Our client contracts provide that our clients must pay all applicable sales and similar taxes. Nevertheless, clients may be reluctant to pay back taxes and may refuse responsibility for interest or penalties associated with those taxes. If we are required to collect and pay back taxes and the associated interest and penalties, and if our

21

---

Table of Contents

clients fail or refuse to reimburse us for all or a portion of these amounts, we will have incurred unplanned expenses that may be substantial. Moreover, imposition of such taxes on our services going forward will effectively increase the cost of such services to our clients and may adversely affect our ability to retain existing clients or to gain new clients in the states in which such taxes are imposed.

We may also become subject to tax audits or similar procedures in states where we already pay sales and use taxes. The incurrence of additional accounting and legal costs and related expenses in connection with, and the assessment of, taxes, interest, and penalties as a result of audits, litigation, or otherwise could be materially adverse to our current and future results of operations and financial condition.

As a result of our variable sales and implementation cycles for our athenahealth services, and the uncertainty as to the timing of the fulfillment of our Epocrates services, we may be unable to recognize revenue to offset expenditures, which could result in fluctuations in our quarterly results of operations or otherwise harm our future operating results. The sales cycle for our athenahealth services can be variable, typically ranging from three to five months from initial contact to contract execution, although this period can be substantially longer. During the sales cycle, we expend time and resources, and we do not recognize any revenue to offset such expenditures. Our implementation cycle is also variable, typically ranging from three to five months from contract execution to completion of implementation, although some of our new-client set-up projects—especially those for larger practice clients—are complex and require a lengthy delay and significant implementation work. Each client’s situation is different, and unanticipated difficulties and delays may arise as a result of failure by us or by the client to meet our respective implementation responsibilities. During the implementation cycle, we expend substantial time, effort, and financial resources implementing our services, but accounting principles do not allow us to recognize the resulting revenue until the service has been implemented, at which time we begin recognition of implementation revenue over an expected attribution period of the longer of the estimated expected customer life, currently twelve years, or the contract term.

Even if implementation has begun, there can be no assurance that we will recognize revenue on a timely basis or at all from our efforts. Implementation for a given practice client may be canceled, as our contracts typically provide that they can be terminated for any reason or no reason on 90 days’ notice. Despite the fact that we typically require a deposit in advance of implementation, some clients have canceled before our services have been started. In addition, implementation may be delayed, or the target dates for completion may be extended into the future, for a variety of reasons, including the needs and requirements of the client, delays with payer processing, and the volume and complexity of the implementations awaiting our work. If implementation periods are extended, our provision of the revenue cycle, clinical cycle, or patient cycle services upon which we realize most of our revenues will be delayed, and our financial condition may be adversely affected. In addition, cancellation of any implementation after it has begun may involve loss to us of time, effort, and expenses invested in the canceled implementation process and lost opportunity for implementing paying clients in that same period of time.

In regard to our Epocrates services, the time between the date of the signing of the contract with a pharmaceutical client for a program, the actual fulfillment of the services under such contract and the revenue recognition associated with such revenues may be lengthy, especially for larger contracts with multiple deliverables, and may be subject to delays over which we have little or no control, including those that result from that client’s need for internal approvals. These factors may contribute to substantial fluctuations in our quarterly operating results, particularly in the near term and during any period in which our sales volume is relatively low. As a result, in future quarters our operating results could fall below the expectations of securities analysts or investors, in which event our stock price would likely decrease.

Because we recognize revenue from our drug and clinical reference tool subscriptions and certain of our interactive services over the term or at the end of the service period, a significant downturn in our business may not be reflected immediately in our operating results, which may make it more difficult to evaluate our prospects.

We recognize revenue from our Epocrates subscription agreements monthly over the terms of these agreements, which are typically one year. In most cases, we recognize revenue from our interactive services over the terms of these agreements or upon delivery of each service element. As a result, a significant portion of the revenue we report in each quarter is generated from subscription and service agreements entered into during prior periods. Consequently, a decline in new or renewed subscriptions or service agreements in any one quarter may not materially affect our

financial performance in that quarter but will negatively affect our revenue in future quarters. In addition, we may be unable to adjust our costs, many of which are fixed, in response to reduced revenue. Accordingly, the effect of significant declines in sales and market acceptance of our services may not be reflected in our short-term results of operations, which would make our reported results less indicative of our future prospects.

Table of Contents

If we fail to meet our current credit agreement's financial covenants, our business and financial condition could be adversely affected.

We currently have a credit agreement which contains financial covenants, including maintaining a consolidated fixed charge coverage ratio, a consolidated leverage ratio, and a consolidated senior leverage ratio. As of December 31, 2013, we borrowed \$223.8 million under the agreement and were in compliance with its financial covenants. There is no assurance that we will continue to be in compliance with all of the covenants under the agreement, and, if at any point we fail to comply with the financial covenants, the lenders can demand immediate repayment of our outstanding balance and deny future borrowings under the agreement. This could have a negative impact on our liquidity, thereby reducing the availability of cash flow for other purposes and adversely affecting our business.

**RISKS RELATED TO OUR SERVICE OFFERINGS**

Our proprietary software or our services may not operate properly, which could damage our reputation, give rise to claims against us, or divert application of our resources from other purposes, any of which could harm our business and operating results.

Proprietary software development is time-consuming, expensive, and complex. Unforeseen difficulties can arise. We may encounter technical obstacles, and it is possible that we discover additional problems that prevent our applications from operating properly. If our systems do not function reliably or fail to achieve user or client expectations in terms of performance, clients could assert liability claims against us or attempt to cancel their contracts with us, and members could choose to terminate their use of our services. This could damage our reputation and impair our ability to attract or maintain clients and members.

Information services as complex as those we offer have in the past contained, and may in the future develop or contain, undetected defects or errors. We cannot assure you that material performance problems or defects in our services will not arise in the future. Errors may result from sources beyond our control, including the receipt, entry, or interpretation of patient information; interface of our services with legacy systems that we did not develop; or errors in data provided by third parties. It is challenging for us to test our software for all potential problems because it is difficult to simulate the wide variety of computing environments or treatment methodologies that our practice clients or members may deploy or rely upon. Therefore, despite testing, defects or errors may arise in our existing or new software or service processes following introduction to the market. For example, changes in payer requirements and practices are frequent and sometimes difficult to determine except through trial and error, so we are continuously discovering defects and errors in our software and service processes compared against these requirements and practices.

Because practice clients rely on our services to collect, manage, and report clinical, business, and administrative data-including information to assist care providers in tracking and treating ill patients-and members rely on our services to provide timely and accurate information regarding medical conditions and medicines, they may have a greater sensitivity to service errors and security vulnerabilities than clients of software products in general. Any operational delay in or failure of our technology or service processes may result in the disruption of patient care and could cause harm to patients and thereby give rise to a product liability claim or errors or omissions claim. Such claims could subject us to significant legal defense costs and adverse publicity, regardless of the merits or eventual outcome of those claims. While our subscription and services agreements typically contain limitations of liability and disclaimers that purport to limit our liability for damages related to defects in our software or content, such limitations and disclaimers may not be enforced by a court or other tribunal or otherwise effectively protect us from related claims. We maintain liability insurance coverage, including coverage for errors and omissions. However, it is possible that claims could exceed the amount of our applicable insurance coverage, if any, or that this coverage may not continue to be available on acceptable terms or in sufficient amounts.

In light of this, defects and errors and any failure by us to identify and address them could result in loss of revenue or market share; liability to clients, members, their patients, or others; failure to achieve market acceptance or expansion; diversion of development and management resources; delays in the introduction of new services; injury to our reputation; and increased service and maintenance costs. Defects or errors in our software and service processes might discourage existing or potential clients or members from purchasing services from us. Correction of defects or errors could prove to be impossible or impracticable. The costs incurred in correcting any defects or errors or in responding



to resulting claims or liability may be substantial and could adversely affect our operating results.

23

---

Table of Contents

If our security measures are breached or fail, and unauthorized access is obtained to a client's or member's data, our services may be perceived as not being secure, clients and members may curtail or stop using our services, and we may incur significant liabilities.

Our services involve the web-based storage and transmission of clients' and members' proprietary information and protected health information of patients. Because of the sensitivity of this information, security features of our software are very important. From time to time we may detect vulnerabilities in our systems, which, even if they do not result in a security breach, may reduce customer confidence and require substantial resources to address. If our security measures are breached or fail as a result of third-party action, employee error, malfeasance, insufficiency, defective design, or otherwise, someone may be able to obtain unauthorized access to client, member, or patient data. As a result, our reputation could be damaged, our business may suffer, and we could face damages for contract breach, penalties for violation of applicable laws or regulations, and significant costs for remediation and efforts to prevent future occurrences. We rely upon users of our systems for key activities to promote security of those systems and the data within them, such as administration of client-side access credentialing and control of client-side display of data. On occasion, our users have failed to perform these activities. Failure of users to perform these activities may result in claims against us that this reliance was misplaced, which could expose us to significant expense and harm to our reputation. Because techniques used to obtain unauthorized access or to sabotage systems change frequently and generally are not recognized until launched against a target, we may be unable to anticipate these techniques or to implement adequate preventive measures. If an actual or perceived breach of our security occurs, the market perception of the effectiveness of our security measures could be harmed and we could lose sales, clients, and members. In addition, our practice clients may authorize or enable third parties to access their data or the data of their patients on our systems. Because we do not control such access, we cannot ensure the complete propriety of that access or integrity or security of such data in our systems.

Failure by our clients to obtain proper permissions and waivers may result in claims against us or may limit or prevent our use of data, which could harm our business.

We require our clients to provide necessary notices and to obtain necessary permissions and waivers for use and disclosure of the information that we receive, and we require contractual assurances from them that they have done so and will do so. If they do not obtain necessary permissions and waivers, then our use and disclosure of information that we receive from them or on their behalf may be limited or prohibited by state or federal privacy laws or other laws. This could impair our functions, processes, and databases that reflect, contain, or are based upon such data and may prevent use of such data. In addition, this could interfere with or prevent creation or use of rules, and analyses or limit other data-driven activities that benefit us. Moreover, we may be subject to claims or liability for use or disclosure of information by reason of lack of valid notice, permission, or waiver. These claims or liabilities could subject us to unexpected costs and adversely affect our operating results.

Various events could interrupt users' access to our systems, exposing us to significant costs.

The ability to access our systems is critical to our practice clients' administration of care, cash flow, and business viability. Our operations and facilities are vulnerable to interruption or damage from a number of sources, many of which are beyond our control, including, without limitation: (i) power loss and telecommunications failures; (ii) earthquake, fire, flood, hurricane, and other natural disasters; (iii) terrorism and acts of war; (iv) software and hardware errors, failures, or crashes in our systems or those of others; and (v) computer viruses, hacking, and similar disruptive problems in our systems or those of others. We attempt to mitigate these risks through various means, including redundant infrastructure, disaster recovery plans, business continuity plans, separate test systems, and change control and system security measures, but our precautions will not protect against all potential problems. If users' access is interrupted because of problems in the operation of our facilities, we could be exposed to significant claims by practice clients or their patients, particularly if the access interruption is associated with problems in the timely delivery of funds due to those clients or medical information relevant to patient care. Our plans for disaster recovery and business continuity rely in part upon third-party providers of related services, and if those vendors fail us at a time that our systems are not operating correctly, we could incur a loss of revenue and liability for failure to fulfill our obligations. Although we carry business interruption insurance, it only covers some, but not all, of these potential events, and even for those events that are covered, it may not be sufficient to compensate us fully for losses or

damages that may occur as a result of such events, including, for example, loss of market share and diminution of our brand, reputation, and member and client loyalty.

In addition, retention and availability of patient care and physician reimbursement data are subject to federal and state laws governing record retention, accuracy, and access. Some laws impose obligations on our practice clients and on us to produce information to third parties and to amend or expunge data at their direction. Our failure to meet these obligations may result in liability that could increase our costs and reduce our operating results.

Table of Contents

We rely on Internet infrastructure, bandwidth providers, data center providers, other third parties, and our own systems for providing services to our users, and any failure or interruption in the services provided by these third parties or our own systems could expose us to litigation and negatively impact our relationships with users or clients, adversely affecting our brand and our business.

In addition to the services we provide from our offices, we currently serve our practice clients from three third-party data-hosting facilities located in the greater Boston, Massachusetts, and Dallas-Fort Worth, Texas, areas. These facilities are operated by Colospace Inc. and two subsidiaries of Digital Realty Trust, Inc. In addition, in December 2009 we signed a contract with a major provider of disaster recovery services, SunGard Availability Services, LP, to store our disaster recovery plans and provide disaster recovery testing services. In the case of a significant event at any of these data centers, we could move operations from that data center to our other data centers within a reasonable timeframe. For our Epocrates services, in addition to our operations at our facility in San Mateo, California, we use a co-location service administered by AT&T, Inc. in Redwood City, California.

However, these facilities are vulnerable to damage or interruption from earthquakes, floods, fires, power loss, telecommunications failures, and similar events. They are also subject to break-ins, sabotage, intentional acts of vandalism, and similar misconduct. Despite precautions taken at these facilities, the occurrence of a natural disaster or an act of terrorism, a decision to close the facilities without adequate notice, or other unanticipated problems at two or more of the facilities could result in lengthy interruptions in our service. For example, the proximity of our San Mateo and Redwood City, California, operations, which are the sole facilities used to provide our Epocrates services, could result in both facilities being impacted by a regional event, such as an earthquake. Even with our disaster recovery arrangements, our services could be interrupted.

Our ability to deliver our Internet- and telecommunications-based services is dependent on the development and maintenance of the infrastructure of the Internet and other telecommunications services by third parties. This includes maintenance of a reliable network backbone with the necessary speed, data capacity, and security for providing reliable Internet access and services and reliable mobile device, telephone, facsimile, and pager systems. Our services are designed to operate without interruption in accordance with our service level commitments and meet user expectations. However, we have experienced and expect that we will experience interruptions and delays in services and availability from time to time. We rely on internal systems as well as third-party vendors, including data center, bandwidth, and telecommunications equipment or service providers, to provide our services. We do not maintain redundant systems or facilities for some of these services. In the event of a catastrophic event with respect to one or more of these systems or facilities, we may experience an extended period of system unavailability, which could negatively impact our relationship with users or clients. To operate without interruption, both we and our service providers must guard against:

- damage from fire, power loss, and other natural disasters;
- telecommunications failures;
- software and hardware errors, failures, and crashes;
- security breaches, computer viruses, and similar disruptive problems; and
- other potential interruptions.

Any disruption in the network access, telecommunications, or co-location services provided by these third-party providers or any failure of or by these third-party providers or our own systems to handle current or higher volume of use could significantly harm our business. We exercise limited control over these third-party vendors, which increases our vulnerability to problems with services they provide.

Any errors, failures, interruptions, or delays experienced in connection with these third-party technologies and information services or our own systems could negatively impact our relationships with users and clients, adversely affect our brands and business, and expose us to third-party liabilities. Although we maintain insurance for our business, the coverage under our policies may not be adequate to compensate us for all losses that may occur. In addition, we cannot provide assurance that we will continue to be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage at an acceptable cost.

The reliability and performance of the Internet may be harmed by increased usage or by denial-of-service attacks. The Internet has experienced a variety of outages and other delays as a result of damages to portions of its infrastructure,

and it could face outages and delays in the future. These outages and delays could reduce the level of Internet usage as well as the availability of the Internet to us for delivery of our Internet-based services.

25

---

Table of Contents

We rely on third-party computer hardware and software that may be difficult to replace or that could cause errors or failures of our services, which could damage our reputation, harm our ability to attract and maintain clients and members, and decrease our revenue.

We rely on computer hardware purchased or leased and software licensed from third parties in order to offer our services, including database software from Oracle Corporation and storage devices from International Business Machines Corporation and EMC Corporation. These licenses are generally commercially available on varying terms; however, it is possible that this hardware and software may not continue to be available on commercially reasonable terms, or at all. Any loss of the right to use any of this hardware or software could result in delays in the provisioning of our services until equivalent technology is either developed by us, or, if available, is identified, obtained, and integrated, which could harm our business. Any errors or defects in third-party hardware or software could result in errors or a failure of our services, which could damage our reputation, harm our ability to attract and maintain clients and members, and decrease our revenue.

We are subject to the effect of payer and provider conduct that we cannot control and that could damage our reputation with clients and result in liability claims that increase our expenses.

We offer certain electronic claims submission services for which we rely on content from clients, payers, and others. While we have implemented certain features and safeguards designed to maximize the accuracy and completeness of claims content, these features and safeguards may not be sufficient to prevent inaccurate claims data from being submitted to payers. Should inaccurate claims data be submitted to payers, we may experience poor operational results and may be subject to liability claims, which could damage our reputation with clients and result in liability claims that increase our expenses.

If our services fail to provide accurate and timely information, or if our content or any other element of any of our services is associated with faulty clinical decisions or treatment, we could have liability to clients, members, clinicians, or patients, which could adversely affect our results of operations.

Our software, content, and services are used to assist clinical decision-making and provide information about patient medical histories, treatment plans, medical conditions, and the use of particular medications. If our software, content, or services fail to provide accurate and timely information or are associated with faulty clinical decisions or treatment, then clients, members, clinicians, or their patients could assert claims against us that could result in substantial costs to us, harm our reputation in the industry, and cause demand for our services to decline.

Our athenaClinicals service is utilized in clinical decision-making, provides access to patient medical histories, and assists in creating patient treatment plans, including the issuance of prescription drugs. Therefore, if these data are incorrect or incomplete or if we make mistakes in the capture or input of these data, adverse consequences, including death, may occur and give rise to product liability and other claims against us by practice clients, clinicians, patients, or others. Although the data stored and displayed in athenaClinicals is generally provided by our practice clients or third parties, and we often have little control over their accuracy, a court or government agency may take the position that our storage and display of health information exposes us to personal injury liability or other liability for wrongful delivery or handling of health care services or erroneous health information.

Our Epocrates clinical reference tools and interactive services provide health care professionals with access to clinical information, including information regarding particular medical conditions and the use of particular medications. If our content, or content we obtain from third parties, contains inaccuracies, or we introduce inaccuracies in the process of implementing third-party content, it is possible that patients, physicians, consumers, the providers of the third-party content, or others may sue us if they are harmed as a result of such inaccuracies. We have editorial procedures in place to provide quality control of the information that we publish or provide. However, we cannot assure you that our editorial and other quality control procedures will be sufficient to ensure that there are no errors or omissions in particular content, and we have had content errors in the past.

The assertion of such claims and ensuing litigation, regardless of its outcome, could result in substantial cost to us, divert management's attention from operations, damage our reputation, and decrease market acceptance of our services. We attempt to limit by contract our liability for damages; have our members assume responsibility for medical oversight and dosing decisions; and require that our practice clients assume responsibility for medical care and approve key system rules, protocols, and data. Despite these precautions, the allocations of responsibility and

limitations of liability set forth in our contracts may not be enforceable, be binding upon patients, or otherwise protect us from liability for damages. Furthermore, while we maintain general liability and errors and omissions insurance coverage, this coverage may not continue to be available on acceptable terms or may not be available in sufficient amounts to cover one or more large claims against us. In addition, the insurer might disclaim coverage as to any future claim. One or more large claims could exceed our available insurance coverage.

If any of these risks occur, they could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

Table of Contents

**RISKS RELATED TO REGULATION**

Government regulation of health care creates risks and challenges with respect to our compliance efforts and our business strategies.

The health care industry is highly regulated and is subject to changing political, legislative, regulatory, and other influences. Existing and new laws and regulations affecting the health care industry could create unexpected liabilities for us, cause us to incur additional costs, and restrict our operations. Many health care laws are complex, and their application to specific services and relationships may not be clear. In particular, many existing health care laws and regulations, when enacted, did not anticipate the health care information and interactive services that we provide, and these laws and regulations may be applied to our services in ways that we do not anticipate, particularly as we develop and release new and more sophisticated products and services. Our failure to accurately anticipate the application of these laws and regulations, or our other failure to comply with them, could create liability for us, result in adverse publicity, and negatively affect our business. Some of the risks we face from health care regulation are described below:

**False or Fraudulent Claim Laws.** There are numerous federal and state laws that forbid submission of false information, or the failure to disclose information, in connection with submission and payment of physician claims for reimbursement. In some cases, these laws also forbid abuse in connection with such submission and payment. Any failure of our services to comply with these laws and regulations could result in substantial liability (including, but not limited to, criminal liability), adversely affect demand for our services, and force us to expend significant capital, research and development, and other resources to address the failure. Errors by us or our systems with respect to entry, formatting, preparation, or transmission of claim information may be determined or alleged to be in violation of these laws and regulations. Any determination by a court or regulatory agency that our services violate these laws could subject us to civil or criminal penalties, invalidate all or portions of some of our practice client contracts, require us to change or terminate some portions of our business, require us to refund portions of our services fees, cause us to be disqualified from serving practice clients doing business with government payers, and have an adverse effect on our business.

In most cases where we are permitted to do so, we calculate charges for our services based on a percentage of the collections that our practice clients receive as a result of our services. To the extent that violations or liability for violations of these laws and regulations require intent, it may be alleged that this percentage calculation provides us or our employees with incentive to commit or overlook fraud or abuse in connection with submission and payment of reimbursement claims. The U.S. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services has stated that it is concerned that percentage-based billing services may encourage billing companies to engage in or overlook fraudulent or abusive practices.

In addition, we may contract with third parties that offer software relating to the selection or verification of codes used to identify and classify the services for which reimbursement is sought. Submission of codes that do not accurately reflect the services provided or the location or method of their provision may constitute a violation of false or fraudulent claims laws. Our ability to comply with these laws depends on the coding decisions made by our practice clients and the accuracy of our vendors' software and services in suggesting possible codes to those clients and verifying that proper codes have been selected.

**HIPAA and other Health Privacy Regulations.** There are numerous federal and state laws related to patient privacy. In particular, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, includes privacy standards that protect individual privacy by limiting the uses and disclosures of individually identifiable health information and implementing data security standards that require covered entities to implement administrative, physical, and technological safeguards to ensure the confidentiality, integrity, availability, and security of individually identifiable health information in electronic form. HIPAA also specifies formats that must be used in certain electronic transactions, such as claims, payment advice, and eligibility inquiries. Because we translate electronic transactions to and from HIPAA-prescribed electronic formats and other forms, we are considered a clearinghouse and, as such, a covered entity subject to HIPAA. In addition, our practice clients are also covered entities and are mandated by HIPAA to enter into written agreements with us—known as business associate agreements—that require us to safeguard individually identifiable health information. Business associate agreements typically include:



- a description of our permitted uses of individually identifiable health information;
- a covenant not to disclose that information except as permitted under the agreement and to make our subcontractors, if any, subject to the same restrictions;
- assurances that appropriate administrative, physical, and technical safeguards are in place to prevent misuse of that information;
- an obligation to report to our client any use or disclosure of that information other than as provided for in the agreement;

Table of Contents

- a prohibition against our use or disclosure of that information if a similar use or disclosure by our client would violate the HIPAA standards;
- the ability of our clients to terminate the underlying support agreement if we breach a material term of the business associate agreement and are unable to cure the breach;
- the requirement to return or destroy all individually identifiable health information at the end of our support agreement; and
- access by the Department of Health and Human Services to our internal practices, books, and records to validate that we are safeguarding individually identifiable health information.

We may not be able to adequately address the business risks created by HIPAA implementation. Furthermore, we are unable to predict what changes to HIPAA or other laws or regulations might be made in the future or how those changes could affect our business or the costs of compliance. For example, the provisions of the HITECH Act and the regulations issued under it (including the omnibus rule promulgated in January 2013) have provided clarification of certain aspects of both the Privacy and Security Rules, expansion of the disclosure requirements for a breach of the Security Rule, and strengthening of the civil and criminal penalties for failure to comply with HIPAA. In addition, ONCHIT is coordinating the ongoing development of standards to enable interoperable health information technology infrastructure nationwide based on the widespread adoption of electronic health records in the health care sector. We are unable to predict what, if any, impact the changes in such standards will have on our compliance costs or our services.

In addition, some payers and clearinghouses with which we conduct business interpret HIPAA transaction requirements differently than we do. Where clearinghouses or payers require conformity with their interpretations as a condition of effecting transactions, and their interpretations are no less stringent than ours, we seek to comply with their interpretations.

The HIPAA transaction standards include proper use of procedure and diagnosis codes. Since these codes are selected or approved by our practice clients, and since we do not verify their propriety, some of our capability to comply with the transaction standards is dependent on the proper conduct of those clients.

Among our services, we provide telephone reminder services to patients, Internet- and telephone-based access to medical test results, pager and email notification to practices of patient calls, and patient call answering services. We believe that reasonable efforts to prevent disclosure of individually identifiable health information have been and are being taken in connection with these services, including the use of multiple-password security. However, any failure of our practice clients to provide accurate contact information for their patients or physicians or any breach of our telecommunications systems could result in a disclosure of individually identifiable health information.

In addition to the HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules and the HITECH Act requirements, most states have enacted patient confidentiality laws that protect against the disclosure of confidential medical and other personally identifiable information, and many states have adopted or are considering further legislation in this area, including privacy safeguards, security standards, and data security breach notification requirements. Such state laws, if more stringent than HIPAA and HITECH Act requirements, are not preempted by the federal requirements, and we are required to comply with them.

Failure by us to comply with any of the federal and state standards regarding patient privacy may subject us to penalties, including civil monetary penalties and, in some circumstances, criminal penalties. In addition, such failure may injure our reputation and adversely affect our ability to retain clients and attract new clients.

In addition to false claims and HIPAA requirements, we are subject to a variety of other regulatory schemes, including:

- **Anti-Kickback and Anti-Bribery Laws.** There are federal and state laws that govern patient referrals, physician financial relationships, and inducements to health care providers and patients. For example, the federal health care programs' anti-kickback law prohibits any person or entity from offering, paying, soliciting, or receiving anything of value, directly or indirectly, for the referral of patients covered by Medicare, Medicaid, and other federal health care programs or the leasing, purchasing, ordering, or arranging for or recommending the lease, purchase, or order of any item, good, facility, or service covered by these programs. Many states also have similar anti-kickback laws that are not necessarily limited to items or services for which payment is made by a federal health care program. Moreover,

both federal and state laws forbid bribery and similar behavior. Any determination by a state or federal regulatory agency that any of our activities or those of our clients, vendors, or channel partners violate any of these laws could subject us to civil or criminal penalties, require us to change or terminate some portions of our business, require us to refund a portion of our service fees, disqualify us from providing services to clients doing business with government programs, and have an adverse effect on our business. For example, one aspect of our athenaCoordinator service is the preparation and submission of electronic orders from providers to other participants in the health care system (e.g., hospitals, labs, and specialists). As the recipients of those orders will in certain instances pay us for the submission of

Table of Contents

accurate, complete, and readable orders instead of the handwritten and often incomplete orders traditionally submitted, our service could potentially be seen as providing referrals to the order recipients in exchange for payment. Although the Office of Inspector General issued an Advisory Opinion in November 2011 stating that our receipt of payments in such instances would not violate federal anti-kickback laws, we cannot predict whether changes in the law or our services might lead to a challenge of the legality of those services by government regulators. Even an unsuccessful challenge by regulatory authorities of our activities could result in adverse publicity and could require a costly response from us.

**Legislation relating to payments to physicians.** Legislation enacted or pending in several states and enacted at the federal level as part of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the Healthcare and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 mandates public disclosure of, or otherwise regulates or limits the providing of, certain gifts and payments by pharmaceutical companies to physicians. These laws may be interpreted to cover honorarium payments made to physicians for participation in market research activities sponsored by pharmaceutical companies. Because we currently provide market research services involving participants from our member network, the increased adoption and enforcement of these laws and the application of any public disclosure requirements or other limitations may have a negative impact on the ability of pharmaceutical companies to sponsor these activities or the willingness of physicians to participate in the market research. To date, we have not experienced a significant reduction in our market research services business as a result of these laws in the few jurisdictions in which they have been enacted and become effective. However, we cannot predict how pharmaceutical companies or physicians will respond when such legislation becomes more widespread or becomes effective at the federal level. A significant decline in the sponsorship of our market research services by pharmaceutical companies or the agencies that represent such companies, or a significant decline in physicians' willingness to participate in such studies could negatively impact our operating results.

**Anti-Referral Laws.** There are federal and state laws that forbid payment for patient referrals, patient brokering, remuneration of patients, or billing based on referrals between individuals or entities that have various financial, ownership, or other business relationships with health care providers. In many cases, billing for care arising from such actions is illegal. These vary widely from state to state, and one of the federal laws—called the Stark Law—is very complex in its application. Any determination by a state or federal regulatory agency that any of our practice clients violate or have violated any of these laws may result in allegations that claims that we have processed or forwarded are improper. This could subject us to civil or criminal penalties, require us to change or terminate some portions of our business, require us to refund portions of our services fees, and have an adverse effect on our business. Even an unsuccessful challenge by regulatory authorities of our activities could result in adverse publicity and could require a costly response from us.

**Corporate Practice of Medicine Laws and Fee-Splitting Laws.** Many states have laws forbidding physicians from practicing medicine in partnership with non-physicians, such as business corporations. In some states, including New York, these take the form of laws or regulations forbidding splitting of physician fees with non-physicians or others. In some cases, these laws have been interpreted to prevent business service providers from charging their physician clients on the basis of a percentage of collections or charges. We have varied our charge structure in some states to comply with these laws, which may make our services less desirable to potential clients. Any determination by a state court or regulatory agency that our service contracts with our practice clients violate these laws could subject us to civil or criminal penalties, invalidate all or portions of some of those contracts, require us to change or terminate some portions of our business, require us to refund portions of our services fees, and have an adverse effect on our business. Even an unsuccessful challenge by regulatory authorities of our activities could result in adverse publicity and could require a costly response from us.

**Anti-Assignment Laws.** There are federal and state laws that prohibit or limit assignment of claims for reimbursement from government-funded programs. In some cases, these laws have been interpreted in regulations or policy statements to limit the manner in which business service companies may handle checks or other payments for such claims and to limit or prevent such companies from charging their physician clients on the basis of a percentage of collections or charges. Any determination by a state court or regulatory agency that our service contracts with our practice clients violate these laws could subject us to civil or criminal penalties, invalidate all or portions of some of

those contracts, require us to change or terminate some portions of our business, require us to refund portions of our service fees, and have an adverse effect on our business. Even an unsuccessful challenge by regulatory authorities of our activities could result in adverse publicity and could require a costly response from us.

**Prescribing Laws.** The use of our software by physicians to perform a variety of functions relating to prescriptions, including electronic prescribing, electronic routing of prescriptions to pharmacies, and dispensing of medication, is governed by state and federal law, including fraud and abuse laws, drug control regulations, and state department of health regulations. States have differing prescription format requirements, and, due in part to recent industry initiatives, federal law and the laws of all 50 states now provide a regulatory framework for the electronic transmission

Table of Contents

of prescription orders. Regulatory authorities such as the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services may impose functionality standards with regard to electronic prescribing and EHR technologies. Any determination that we or our practice clients have violated prescribing laws may expose us to liability, loss of reputation, and loss of business. These laws and requirements may also increase the cost and time necessary to market new services and could affect us in other respects not presently foreseeable.

**Electronic Health Records Laws.** A number of federal and state laws govern the use and content of electronic health record systems, including fraud and abuse laws that may affect how such technology is provided. As a company that provides EHR functionality, our systems and services must be designed in a manner that facilitates our practice clients' compliance with these laws. Because this is a topic of increasing state and federal regulation, we expect additional and continuing modification of the current legal and regulatory environment. We cannot predict the content or effect of possible future regulation on our business activities. The software component of our athenaClinicals service was certified as a 2014 Edition compliant Complete EHR by CCHIT, an ONC-ATCB, in accordance with the applicable certification criteria adopted by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). However, such certification does not represent an endorsement of our athenaClinicals service by HHS or guarantee the receipt of incentive payments. While we believe that our system is well designed in terms of function and interoperability, we cannot be certain that it will meet future requirements.

**Claims Transmission Laws.** Our services include the manual and electronic transmission of medical practice claims for reimbursement from payers. Federal and various state laws provide for civil and criminal penalties for any person who submits, or causes to be submitted, a claim to any payer (including, without limitation, Medicare, Medicaid, and any private health plans and managed care plans) that is false or that overbills or bills for items that have not been provided to the patient. Although we do not determine what is billed to a payer, to the extent that such laws apply to a service that merely transmits claims on behalf of others, we could be subject to the same civil and criminal penalties as our practice clients.

**Prompt Pay Laws.** Laws in many states govern prompt payment obligations for health care services. These laws generally define claims payment processes and set specific time frames for submission, payment, and appeal steps. They frequently also define and require clean claims. Failure to meet these requirements and time frames may result in rejection or delay of claims. Failure of our services to comply may adversely affect our business results and give rise to liability claims by practice clients.

**Medical professional regulation.** The practice of most health care professions requires licensing under applicable state law. In addition, the laws in some states prohibit business entities from practicing medicine. We do not believe that we engage in the practice of medicine and have attempted to structure our services, strategic relationships, and other operations to avoid violating these state licensing and professional practice laws. We employ and contract with physicians who provide only medical information to our users, some of whom may be consumers, and we do not intend to provide medical care or advice. Any determination that we are a health care provider and acted improperly as a health care provider may result in liability to us.

**Regulation of drug and medical device advertising and promotion.** We provide services involving promotion of prescription and over-the-counter drugs and medical devices. Any increase in regulation of these areas by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA; the Federal Trade Commission, or FTC; or other governmental bodies at the federal, state, or local level, could make it more difficult for us to contract for certain of our interactive services. Physician groups and others have criticized the FDA's current policies and have called for restrictions on advertising of prescription drugs and for increased FDA enforcement. In response, the FDA has conducted hearings and sought public comment regarding its regulation of information concerning drugs on the Internet and the relationships between pharmaceutical companies and those disseminating information on drugs. We cannot predict what actions the FDA or industry participants may take in response to these criticisms. It is also possible that new laws would be enacted that impose restrictions on such marketing and advertising. Our interactive services revenues could be materially reduced by additional restrictions on the marketing or advertising of prescription drugs and medical devices, whether imposed by law or regulation or by policies adopted by industry members. If the FDA, the FTC, or another governmental body finds that any information available on our website or distributed by us violates FDA, FTC, or other laws or regulations, they may take regulatory or judicial action against us or the advertiser or sponsor of

that information. State attorneys general may also take similar action based on their state's consumer protection statutes or other new or existing laws.

**Medical Device Laws.** The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has promulgated a draft policy for the regulation of computer software products as medical devices under the 1976 Medical Device Amendments to the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act. In addition, in February 2011 the FDA issued a final rule regarding regulation of Medical Device Data Systems (MDDSs), which are systems that are intended to transfer, store, convert, or display medical device data. While EHRs are expressly exempted from the final rule, it is possible that future changes in our services could involve the transfer, storage, conversion, or display of medical device data. In addition, a report, which

Table of Contents

we anticipate by mid-2014 from the FDA, ONCHIT, and the Federal Communications Commission, is expected to propose a regulatory framework for health information technology for the purpose of promoting innovation, protecting patient safety, and avoiding regulatory duplication. To the extent that our software is considered a medical device under the policy or an MDDS under the final rule, or is the subject of additional regulation promulgated as a result of the report, we, as a provider of application functionality, could be required, depending on the functionality, to: register and list our products with the FDA; notify the FDA and demonstrate substantial equivalence to other products on the market before marketing our functionality; or obtain FDA approval by demonstrating safety and effectiveness before marketing our functionality.

The FDA can impose extensive requirements governing pre- and post-market conditions, such as service investigation and others relating to approval, labeling, and manufacturing. In addition, the FDA can impose extensive requirements governing development controls and quality assurance processes.

Potential health care reform and new regulatory requirements placed on our software, services, and content could impose increased costs on us, delay or prevent our introduction of new service types, and impair the function or value of our existing service types.

Our services may be significantly impacted by health care reform initiatives and will be subject to increasing regulatory requirements, either of which could affect our business in a multitude of ways. If substantive health care reform or applicable regulatory requirements are adopted, we may have to change or adapt our services and software to comply. Reform or changing regulatory requirements may also render our services obsolete or may block us from accomplishing our work or from developing new services. This may in turn impose additional costs upon us to adapt to the new operating environment or to further develop services or software. For example, the conversion to the ICD-10 standard in October 2014 for coding medical diagnoses will likely cause significant disruption to our industry and consume a large amount of resources on our part. Such reforms may also make introduction of new service types more costly or more time-consuming than we currently anticipate. Such changes may even prevent introduction by us of new services or make the continuation of our existing services unprofitable or impossible.

Potential additional regulation of the disclosure of health information outside the United States may adversely affect our operations and may increase our costs.

Federal or state governmental authorities may impose additional data security standards or additional privacy or other restrictions on the collection, use, transmission, and other disclosures of health information. Legislation has been proposed at various times at both the federal and the state level that would limit, forbid, or regulate the use or transmission of medical information outside of the United States. Such legislation, if adopted, may render our use of our off-shore partners, such as our data-entry and customer service providers, for work related to such data impracticable or substantially more expensive. Alternative processing of such information within the United States may involve substantial delay in implementation and increased cost.

Due to the particular nature of certain services we provide or the manner in which we provide them, we may be subject to government regulation unrelated to health care.

While our services are primarily subject to government regulations pertaining to health care, certain aspects of those services may require us to comply with regulatory schemes from other areas. Examples of such regulatory schema include:

**Anti-spam Laws.** We may be required to comply with current or future anti-spam legislation by limiting or modifying some of our interactive services, such as our clinical messaging, which may result in a reduction in our revenue. One such law, the Controlling the Assault of Non-Solicited Pornography and Marketing Act of 2003, or CAN-SPAM, became effective in the United States on January 1, 2004. CAN-SPAM imposes complex and often burdensome requirements in connection with the sending of commercial e-mail. CAN-SPAM or similar laws may impose burdens on our member communication practices and on certain of our services, which in turn could harm our ability to attract new payer and pharmaceutical clients and increase revenues.

**Antitrust Laws.** Our national cloud-based network allows us access to cost and pricing data for a large number of providers in most regional markets, as well as to the contracted rates for third-party payers. To the extent that our



services enable providers to compare their cost and pricing data with those of their competitors, those providers could collude to increase the pricing for their services, to reduce the compensation they pay their employees, or to collectively negotiate agreements with third parties. Similarly, if payers are able to compare their contracted rates of payment to providers, those payers may seek to reduce the amounts they might otherwise pay. Such actions may be deemed to be anti-competitive and a

Table of Contents

violation of federal antitrust laws. To the extent that we are deemed to have enabled such activities, we could be subject to fines and penalties imposed by the U.S. Department of Justice or the Federal Trade Commission and be required to curtail or terminate the services that permitted such collusion.

**Debt Collection Laws.** As a billing service that offers patient communication and registration services, our employees or those of our service providers may from time to time come into contact with patients who owe our practice clients outstanding amounts. Communications with patients that relate to amounts owed may be deemed to subject us or our service providers to federal or state debt collection laws and regulations. Such laws and regulations, if deemed to apply to us, could require registration with government agencies and compliance with significant administrative obligations (e.g., to maintain an in-state office with local employees), which could result in increased expenses and subject us to fines and penalties for violation. Following the disclosure in 2012 of the methods used by debt collector Accretive Health to obtain payment of amounts owed by patients to one of its hospital clients, heightened focus on debt collection practices may lead to additional regulation and greater scrutiny of existing debt collection practices.

**Privacy Regulation.** The FTC and many state attorneys general are applying federal and state consumer protection laws to require that the online collection, use, and dissemination of data, and the presentation of website or other electronic content, comply with certain standards for notice, choice, security, and access. Courts may also adopt these developing standards. A number of states, including California, have enacted laws or are considering the enactment of laws governing the release of credit card or other personal information received from consumers.

In addition, several foreign governments have regulations dealing with the collection and use of personal information obtained from their citizens. For example, the European Union, or EU, adopted the Data Protection Directive, or DPD, imposing strict regulations and establishing a series of requirements regarding the collection and use of personally identifiable information online. The DPD provides for specific regulations requiring all non-EU countries doing business with EU member states to provide adequate data privacy protection when receiving personal data from any of the EU member states. Similarly, Canada's Personal Information and Protection of Electronic Documents Act provides Canadian residents with privacy protections in regard to transactions with businesses and organizations in the private sector and sets out ground rules for how private sector organizations may collect, use, and disclose personal information in the course of commercial activities. Foreign governments may attempt to apply such laws extraterritorially or through treaties or other arrangements with U.S. governmental entities, and our practice management services for practices along the Canadian border and our market research services could each involve the personal information of foreign residents. Furthermore, in the conduct of our market research activities outside of the United States, we rely upon a third party to identify and recruit respondents for the market research and to comply with the applicable privacy laws in each jurisdiction in which it operates. We cannot assure you that this third party will successfully comply with such laws or that we would not be responsible for any failure of this third party to comply.

While we have privacy policies posted with our services that we believe comply with applicable laws requiring notice to our users and practice clients about our information collection, use, and disclosure practices, we cannot assure you that the privacy policies and other statements regarding our practices will be found sufficient to protect us from liability or adverse publicity relating to the privacy and security of personal information. Whether and how existing local and international privacy and consumer protection laws in various jurisdictions apply to the Internet and other online technologies is still uncertain and may take years to resolve. Privacy laws and regulations, if drafted or interpreted broadly, could be deemed to apply to the technology we use and could restrict our information collection methods or decrease the amount and utility of the information that we would be permitted to collect. The costs of compliance with, and the other burdens imposed by, these and other laws or regulatory actions may prevent us from selling our products or services, or increase the costs of doing so, and may affect our ability to invest in or jointly develop products. In addition, a determination by a court or government agency that any of our practices, or those of our agents, do not meet these standards could result in liability, result in adverse publicity, and adversely affect our business.

Errors or illegal activity on the part of our clients may result in claims against us.

We require our clients to provide us with accurate and appropriate data and directives for our actions. We also rely upon our clients as users of our system to perform key activities in order to produce proper claims for reimbursement. Failure of our clients to provide these data and directives or to perform these activities may result in claims against us alleging that our reliance was misplaced or unreasonable or that we have facilitated or otherwise participated in submission of false claims.

If participants in our channel marketing and sales lead programs do not maintain appropriate relationships with current and potential clients, our sales accomplished with their help or data may be unwound and our payments to them may be deemed improper.

We maintain a series of relationships with third parties that we term "channel relationships." These relationships take different forms under different contractual language. Some relationships help us identify sales leads. Other relationships permit

## Table of Contents

third parties to act as value-added resellers or as independent sales representatives for our services. In some cases, for example in the case of some membership organizations, these relationships involve endorsement of our services as well as other marketing activities. In each of these cases, we require contractually that the third party disclose information to and limit their relationships with potential purchasers of our services for regulatory compliance reasons. If these third parties do not comply with these regulatory requirements or if our requirements are deemed insufficient, sales accomplished with the data or help that they have provided, as well as the channel relationships themselves, may not be enforceable, may be unwound, and may be deemed to violate relevant laws or regulations. Third parties that, despite our requirements, exercise undue influence over decisions by current and prospective clients, occupy positions with obligations of fidelity or fiduciary obligations to current and prospective clients, or who offer bribes or kickbacks to current and prospective clients or their employees may be committing illegal acts that could render any resulting contract between us and the client unenforceable or in violation of relevant laws or regulations. Any misconduct by these third parties with respect to current or prospective clients, any failure to follow contractual requirements, or any insufficiency of those contractual requirements may result in allegations that we have encouraged or participated in illegal behavior and that payments to such third parties under our channel contracts are improper. This misconduct could subject us to civil or criminal claims and liabilities, require us to change or terminate some portions of our business, require us to refund portions of our services fees, and adversely affect our revenue and operating margin. Even an unsuccessful challenge of our activities could result in adverse publicity, require costly response from us, impair our ability to attract and maintain clients, and lead analysts or investors to reduce their expectations of our performance, resulting in reduction in the market price of our stock.

Our services present the potential for embezzlement, identity theft, or other similar illegal behavior by our employees or subcontractors with respect to third parties.

Among other things, our services involve handling mail from payers and from patients for many of our clients, and this mail frequently includes original checks and credit card information and occasionally includes currency. Even in those cases in which we do not handle original documents or mail, our services also involve the use and disclosure of personal and business information that could be used to impersonate third parties or otherwise gain access to their data or funds. If any of our employees or subcontractors takes, converts, or misuses such funds, documents, or data, we could be liable for damages, and our business reputation could be damaged or destroyed. In addition, we could be perceived to have facilitated or participated in illegal misappropriation of funds, documents, or data and therefore be subject to civil or criminal liability.

Subsidy of services similar to ours may reduce client demand if we do not participate in such programs.

In the past few years, various entities and federal programs have provided subsidies for services similar to ours, including EHR initiatives. While we have qualified for and participated in many of such subsidy programs, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so in the future. To the extent that we do not participate in such programs, demand for our services may be reduced, which may decrease our revenues.

### RISKS RELATED TO OWNERSHIP OF OUR COMMON STOCK

The price of our common stock may continue to be volatile.

The trading price of our common stock has been and is likely to remain highly volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to various factors, some of which are beyond our control or unrelated to our operating performance. In addition to the factors discussed in this “Risk Factors” section and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, these factors include:

- the operating performance of similar companies;
- the overall performance of the equity markets;
- announcements by us or our competitors of acquisitions, business plans, or commercial relationships;
- threatened or actual litigation;
- changes in laws or regulations relating to the provision of health care or the sale of health insurance;
- any major change in our board of directors or management;
- publication of research reports or news stories about us, our competitors, or our industry or positive or negative recommendations or withdrawal of research coverage by securities analysts;
- large volumes of sales of our shares of common stock by existing stockholders; and

general political and economic conditions.

In addition, the stock market in general, and the market for Internet-related companies in particular, has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of those

33

---

Table of Contents

companies. Securities class action litigation has often been instituted against companies following periods of volatility in the overall market and in the market price of a company's securities. This litigation, if instituted against us, could result in very substantial costs; divert our management's attention and resources; and harm our business, operating results, and financial condition.

If a substantial number of shares become available for sale and are sold in a short period of time, the market price of our common stock could decline.

If our existing stockholders sell a large number of shares of our common stock or the public market perceives that these sales may occur, the market price of our common stock could decline. As of December 31, 2013, we had approximately 37.3 million shares of common stock outstanding, with a large percentage held by a few institutional shareholders. Moreover, certain holders of shares of our common stock have rights, subject to some conditions, to require us to file registration statements covering the shares they currently hold, or to include these shares in registration statements that we may file for ourselves or other stockholders.

We have also registered all common stock that we may issue under our 1997 Stock Plan, 2000 Stock Plan, 2007 Stock Option and Incentive Plan, and 2007 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, and we have assumed the 1999 Stock Option Plan, 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, and 2010 Equity Incentive Plan of Epocrates, under which all issuable common stock has been registered. As of December 31, 2013, we had outstanding options to purchase approximately 2.2 million shares of common stock (approximately 1.4 million of which were exercisable at December 31, 2013) that, if exercised, would result in those shares becoming available for sale in the public market. As of December 31, 2013, we had outstanding restricted stock units totaling approximately 1.2 million that, if vested, would result in those shares becoming available for sale in the public market. If a large number of these shares are sold in the public market, the sales could reduce the trading price of our common stock.

Actual or potential sales of our stock by our employees, including members of our senior management team, pursuant to pre-arranged stock trading plans could cause our stock price to fall or prevent it from increasing for numerous reasons, and actual or potential sales by such persons could be viewed negatively by other investors.

In accordance with the guidelines specified under Rule 10b5-1 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 and our policies regarding stock transactions, a number of our directors and employees, including members of our senior management team, have adopted and will continue to adopt pre-arranged stock trading plans to sell shares of our common stock that they hold or will hold as the result of exercise or vesting of equity grants. Generally, stock sales under such plans by members of our senior management team and directors require public filings. Actual or potential sales of our stock by such persons could cause our stock price to fall or prevent it from increasing for numerous reasons. For example, actual or potential sales by such persons could be viewed negatively by other investors.

Provisions in our certificate of incorporation and by-laws or Delaware law might discourage, delay, or prevent a change of control of our company or changes in our management and, therefore, depress the trading price of our common stock.

Provisions of our certificate of incorporation and by-laws and Delaware law may discourage, delay, or prevent a merger, acquisition, or other change in control that stockholders may consider favorable, including transactions in which they might otherwise receive a premium for their shares of our common stock. These provisions may also prevent or frustrate attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our management. These provisions include:

- limitations on the removal of directors;
- advance notice requirements for stockholder proposals and nominations;
- the inability of stockholders to act by written consent or to call special meetings; and
- the ability of our board of directors to make, alter, or repeal our by-laws.

The affirmative vote of the holders of at least 75% of our shares of capital stock entitled to vote is necessary to amend or repeal the above provisions of our certificate of incorporation. As our board of directors has the ability to designate the terms of and issue new series of preferred stock without stockholder approval, the effective number of votes required to make such changes could increase. Also, absent approval of our board of directors, our by-laws may only be amended or repealed by the affirmative vote of the holders of at least 75% of our shares of capital stock entitled to vote.

In addition, Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law prohibits a publicly held Delaware corporation from engaging in a business combination with an interested stockholder (generally an entity that, together with its affiliates, owns, or within the last three years has owned, 15% or more of our voting stock) for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the entity became an interested stockholder, unless the business combination is approved in a prescribed manner.

Table of Contents

The existence of the foregoing provisions and anti-takeover measures could limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock. They could also deter potential acquirers of our company, thereby reducing the likelihood that stockholders could receive a premium for their common stock in an acquisition. We do not currently intend to pay dividends on our common stock, and, consequently, stockholders' ability to achieve a return on their investment will depend on appreciation in the price of our common stock.

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock and do not currently intend to do so for the foreseeable future. We currently intend to invest our future earnings, if any, to fund our growth. Therefore, investors are not likely to receive any dividends on their common stock for the foreseeable future, and the success of an investment in shares of our common stock will depend upon any future appreciation in its value. There is no guarantee that shares of our common stock will appreciate in value or even maintain the price at which our stockholders have purchased their shares.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. Properties.

Our primary location is 311 Arsenal Street in Watertown, Massachusetts. As of December 31, 2013, we owned the building housing our headquarters as part of our purchase of the real estate commonly known as the Arsenal on the Charles, located in Watertown, Massachusetts in May 2013. The Arsenal on the Charles is an expansive 29-acre, multi-building, commercial property which includes approximately 762,000 square feet of office space, where we were leasing space for our headquarters and related operating activities prior to the transaction. We currently occupy 261,000 square feet of these facilities and lease the remaining portion. Additionally, we own a complex of buildings, including approximately 133,000 square feet of office space, on approximately 53 acres of land in Belfast, Maine, as well as a conference and training facility on approximately 396 acres of land in Northport, Maine.

We lease the remainder of our facilities. We lease 75,000 square feet in Atlanta, Georgia, which is under lease until June 16, 2025; 37,506 square feet in Chennai, India, through our Indian subsidiary, athenahealth Technology Private Limited, until October 31, 2014; and space in various locations throughout the United States. Additionally, we operate data centers nationwide, including at our headquarters and our Belfast, Maine offices and in Bedford, Massachusetts and Dallas, Texas.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

On July 18, 2011, we filed a complaint against ADP AdvancedMD, Inc. in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts. The complaint alleges that ADP AdvancedMD, Inc. has infringed two of our U.S. Patents: No. 7,617,116, which was issued on November 10, 2009, for "Practice Management and Billing Automation System" and No. 7,720,701, which was issued on May 18, 2010, for "Automated Configuration of Medical Practice Management Systems." On May 16, 2012, the Court entered the parties' joint stipulation of dismissal without prejudice of claims and counterclaims related to U.S. Patent No. 7,720,701. A Markman Hearing was held on September 14, 2012, and a ruling was issued on November 26, 2013. We are seeking permanent injunctive relief, damages, pre- and post-judgment costs and interest, and attorneys' fees.

On July 28, 2011, a complaint was filed by PPS Data, LLC naming us in a patent infringement case (PPS Data, LLC v. athenahealth, Inc., Civil Action No. 3:11-cv-00746, United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida). The complaint alleges that we have infringed U.S. Patent No. 6,343,271 with a listed issue date of January 29, 2002, entitled "Electronic Creation, Submission, Adjudication, and Payment of Health Insurance Claims" (the "'271 Patent"). The complaint seeks an injunction enjoining infringement, damages, pre- and post-judgment costs and interest, and attorneys' fees. On September 8, 2011, we filed a motion to dismiss, or, in the alternative, a motion for summary judgment. On October 18, 2011, the plaintiff filed a motion for leave to amend its complaint to allege that we have infringed on U.S. Patent No. 6,341,265 with a listed issue date of January 22, 2002, entitled "Provider claim editing and settlement system," and U.S. Patent No. 7,194,416 with a listed issue date of March 20, 2007, entitled "Interactive creation and adjudication of health care insurance claims." The Court granted the plaintiff's motion for leave to amend its complaint on December 21, 2011, and on December 23, 2011, the plaintiff filed its amended complaint. On December 27, 2011, we filed a motion to dismiss, or, in the alternative, a motion for summary judgment of non-infringement with respect to the '271 Patent. On December 29, 2011, the United States Patent and Trademark



Office granted our request for reexamination of the '271 Patent. On January 9, 2012, we filed a motion to stay the case pending completion of the patent reexamination, and on March 1, 2012, the Court granted our motion to stay the case. We believe that we have meritorious defenses to the amended complaint and will continue to contest the claims vigorously.

Table of Contents

On January 11, 2013, a complaint captioned *Bushansky v. Epocrates, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 519078, was filed in San Mateo County Superior Court (the “Court”) on behalf of a putative class of Epocrates’ shareholders against Epocrates and each member of the Epocrates board of directors. This complaint challenged the proposed merger between Epocrates and one of our wholly owned subsidiaries. On January 25, 2013, a similar complaint was filed in the Court captioned *DeJoice v. Epocrates, et al.*, Case No. 519461. This second complaint made similar allegations against Epocrates and each member of the Epocrates board of directors and included a claim against us for aiding and abetting a breach of fiduciary duty. On January 31, 2013, the *Bushansky* complaint was amended to include additional allegations. Plaintiffs allege, among other things, that the Epocrates directors breached their fiduciary duties by allegedly agreeing to sell Epocrates at an unfair and inadequate price, failing to take steps to maximize the sale price of Epocrates, and making material omissions to the preliminary proxy statement dated January 25, 2013. The complaints sought to enjoin the merger, other equitable relief, and monetary damages. On March 5, 2013, Epocrates and the plaintiffs signed a memorandum of understanding in which the parties agreed to enter into a stipulation of settlement whereby the plaintiffs and all class members would release all claims related to the merger in exchange for Epocrates filing a supplement to its definitive proxy statement regarding the merger with the SEC, which would include additional disclosures regarding the merger agreement, and an agreement to negotiate in good faith regarding the amount of attorneys’ fees and expenses for which plaintiffs may seek approval from the Court. On October 4, 2013, the court granted final settlement approval including a grant of fees and expenses in the amount of \$335,000 to plaintiffs’ counsel. The settlement has no material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements. On March 1, 2013, a complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California captioned *Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit v. Epocrates, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 5:13cv0945, on behalf of a putative class of Epocrates’ stockholders against Epocrates and certain of its former officers and directors. The complaint asserts claims under sections 11, 12, and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 on behalf of all stockholders that purchased Epocrates stock in its Initial Public Offering and claims under sections 10(b) and 20 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 on behalf of all stockholders that purchased shares between the February 2, 2011, IPO and August 9, 2011. The complaint alleges that Epocrates made false or misleading statements with respect to the fact that Epocrates’ pharmaceutical clients were awaiting guidance from the Food and Drug Administration on the use of advertising and social media, which caused the clients to delay spending on marketing and negatively impacted Epocrates’ sales and revenue growth. The complaint seeks certification as a class action, compensatory damages in an unspecified amount, plaintiff’s costs, attorneys’ fees, and such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. On December 9, 2013, we filed a motion to dismiss the complaint. We believe that we have meritorious defenses to the complaint and will continue to contest the claims vigorously.

In addition, from time to time we may be subject to other legal proceedings, claims, and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. We do not, however, currently expect that the ultimate costs to resolve any pending matter will have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Item 4.

Mine Safety Disclosures.

None.

Table of Contents

## PART II

## Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

## Market Information

Our common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the trading symbol "ATHN." The following table sets forth, for each of the periods indicated, the high and low sales prices per share of our common stock as reported by the NASDAQ Global Select Market.

|                                     | High     | Low      |
|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2013 |          |          |
| First Quarter                       | \$99.79  | \$74.45  |
| Second Quarter                      | \$98.42  | \$82.01  |
| Third Quarter                       | \$117.72 | \$83.57  |
| Fourth Quarter                      | \$144.42 | \$104.66 |
| Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2012 |          |          |
| First Quarter                       | \$78.24  | \$48.75  |
| Second Quarter                      | \$87.16  | \$69.34  |
| Third Quarter                       | \$97.37  | \$78.67  |
| Fourth Quarter                      | \$92.56  | \$56.33  |

## Holders

The last reported sale price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on February 5, 2014, was \$141.51 per share. As of February 5, 2014, we had 90 holders of record of our common stock. Because many shares of common stock are held by brokers and other institutions on behalf of stockholders, we are unable to estimate the total number of stockholders represented by these record holders.

## Dividends

We have never declared or paid any dividends on our capital stock. We currently intend to retain any future earnings and do not intend to declare or pay cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Any future determination to pay dividends will be, subject to applicable law, at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon, among other factors, our results of operations, financial condition, contractual restrictions, and capital requirements.

Table of Contents

## Performance Graph

The following performance graph and related information shall not be deemed “soliciting material” or to be “filed” with the Securities and Exchange Commission, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or Securities Exchange Act of 1934, each as amended, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate it by reference into such filing.

Set forth below is a graph comparing the cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock with the NASDAQ Composite-Total Returns Index and the NASDAQ Computer and Data Processing Index for each of the last five fiscal years ended December 31, 2013, assuming an investment of \$100 at the beginning of such period and the reinvestment of any dividends.

|   | 12/08 | 12/09 | 12/10 | 12/11 | 12/12 | 12/13 |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| athenahealth, Inc.                        | \$100 | \$120 | \$109 | \$131 | \$195 | \$358 |
| NASDAQ Composite-Total Returns Index      | \$100 | \$145 | \$172 | \$170 | \$201 | \$281 |
| NASDAQ Computer and Data Processing Index | \$100 | \$163 | \$186 | \$180 | \$205 | \$295 |

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None.

Table of Contents

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

During the quarter ended December 31, 2013, there were no purchases made by us, on our behalf, or by any “affiliated purchasers” of shares of our common stock.

39

---

Table of Contents

## Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The following tables summarize our consolidated financial data for the periods presented. You should read the following financial information together with the information under “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and our consolidated financial statements and the related notes to these consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-K. Historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected in future periods.

|  | Years Ended December 31,              |           |           |           |           |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|  | 2013                                  | 2012      | 2011      | 2010      | 2009      |
|  | (in thousands, except per share data) |           |           |           |           |
| Revenue:   |                                       |           |           |           |           |
| Business services  | \$563,237                             | \$408,496 | \$312,768 | \$237,145 | \$183,230 |
| Implementation and other   | 31,766                                | 13,775    | 11,299    | 8,393     | 5,297     |
| Total revenue  | 595,003                               | 422,271   | 324,067   | 245,538   | 188,527   |
| Expenses (1):  |                                       |           |           |           |           |
| Direct operating   | 238,672                               | 166,886   | 122,795   | 96,582    | 79,017    |
| Selling and marketing  | 149,488                               | 104,300   | 79,775    | 52,675    | 34,072    |
| Research and development   | 57,639                                | 33,792    | 23,343    | 18,448    | 14,348    |
| General and administrative   | 99,776                                | 57,025    | 48,711    | 43,119    | 36,111    |
| Depreciation and amortization  | 43,575                                | 25,641    | 16,710    | 11,117    | 7,767     |
| Total expenses   | 589,150                               | 387,644   | 291,334   | 221,941   | 171,315   |
| Operating income   | 5,853                                 | 34,627    | 32,733    | 23,597    | 17,212    |
| Other (expense) income:  |                                       |           |           |           |           |
| Interest expense   | (3,905)                               | (407)     | (314)     | (753)     | (968)     |
| Other income   | 283                                   | 658       | 461       | 256       | 1,861     |
| Total other (expense) income   | (3,622)                               | 251       | 147       | (497)     | 893       |
| Income before income tax benefit (provision)                             | 2,231                                 | 34,878    | 32,880    | 23,100    | 18,105    |
| Income tax benefit (provision)   | 363                                   | (16,146)  | (13,834)  | (10,396)  | (8,829)   |
| Net income   | \$2,594                               | \$18,732  | \$19,046  | \$12,704  | \$9,276   |
| Net income per share – Basic   | \$0.07                                | \$0.52    | \$0.54    | \$0.37    | \$0.28    |
| Net income per share – Diluted   | \$0.07                                | \$0.50    | \$0.53    | \$0.36    | \$0.27    |
| Weighted average shares used in computing net income per share – basic   | 36,856                                | 35,956    | 35,046    | 34,181    | 33,584    |
| Weighted average shares used in computing net income per share – diluted | 38,257                                | 37,133    | 36,050    | 35,204    | 34,917    |

|   | As of December 31, |           |           |           |          |
|---|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
|   | 2013               | 2012      | 2011      | 2010      | 2009     |
|   | (in thousands)     |           |           |           |          |
| Balance Sheet Data:                               |                    |           |           |           |          |
| Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments | \$65,002           | \$193,080 | \$119,865 | \$116,175 | \$82,849 |
| Current assets                                    | 178,657            | 274,184   | 183,136   | 163,650   | 126,379  |
| Total assets                                      | 796,396            | 428,452   | 348,786   | 261,170   | 211,077  |
| Current liabilities                               | 149,756            | 66,817    | 59,573    | 40,592    | 37,489   |
| Total non-current liabilities                     | 255,332            | 49,987    | 52,742    | 49,825    | 46,270   |
| Total liabilities                                 | 405,088            | 116,804   | 112,315   | 90,417    | 83,759   |
| Total indebtedness including current portion      | 223,750            | —         | —         | 9,216     | 12,388   |
| Total stockholders’ equity                        | 391,308            | 311,648   | 236,471   | 170,753   | 127,318  |



Table of Contents

|  | Years Ended December 31, |          |          |          |         |
|--|--------------------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
|  | 2013                     | 2012     | 2011     | 2010     | 2009    |
|  | (In thousands)           |          |          |          |         |
| (1) Amounts include stock-based compensation as follows:                                 |                          |          |          |          |         |
| Direct operating costs   | \$7,778                  | \$5,619  | 3,173    | 2,298    | 1,589   |
| Selling and marketing  | 12,057                   | 7,717    | 5,645    | 3,509    | 2,126   |
| Research and development   | 4,238                    | 3,213    | 2,311    | 2,014    | 1,015   |
| General and administrative   | 18,575                   | 10,687   | 7,772    | 6,656    | 3,584   |
| Total stock-based compensation expense   | \$42,648                 | \$27,236 | \$18,901 | \$14,477 | \$8,314 |
| Amortization of capitalized stock-based compensation related to software development (2) | 1,027                    | 257      | —        | —        | —       |
| Total  | \$43,675                 | \$27,493 | \$18,901 | \$14,477 | \$8,314 |

In addition, for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, \$2.2 million and \$0.8 million of stock-based compensation was capitalized in the software development costs line in the Consolidated Balance Sheets for which (2) \$1.0 million and \$0.3 million was included in the depreciation and amortization expense line in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The amount of stock-based compensation related to capitalized software development costs in prior periods was not significant.



Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements, the accompanying notes to these financial statements, and the other financial information that appear elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. This discussion contains predictions, estimates, and other forward-looking statements that involve a number of risks and uncertainties. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "expects," "plans," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "predicts," "potential," the negative of these terms; or other comparable terminology. Actual results may differ materially from those discussed in these forward-looking statements due to a number of factors, including those set forth in the section entitled "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements. Except as required by law, we are under no duty to update or revise any of the forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise, after the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Overview

athenahealth provides cloud-based business services that help medical caregivers achieve and sustain financial health by collecting more revenue and greatly reducing their administrative work burden. These services are designed to minimize the hassles that caregivers and their staff face from complex billing rules, quality measurement and reporting, clinical documentation and data exchange, patient communication and referrals, and many related tasks that can take attention away from delivering care. Our services are delivered and consumed through a single instance of our cloud-based platform, athenaNet. We differentiate our services by continuously updating and improving our services via athenaNet. Regular updates to athenaNet are free and automatic for everyone on the network. As a web-based platform, athenaNet can be quickly implemented by our staff, with low upfront costs to clients.

The services provided through our single-instance cloud are offered as a suite of four seamlessly integrated services: athenaCollector for revenue cycle and practice management, athenaClinicals for electronic health record management, athenaCommunicator for automated, live and online patient communications, and athenaCoordinator for referral cycle management. Beginning in 2014, athenaCoordinator includes our financial and operations management and our population health management services. These services are the result of the integration of Anodyne Health Partners, Inc. (business intelligence tools) and Healthcare Data Services LLC (patient population health management) acquisitions.

Each service we provide is supported by a model comprised of three distinct but interconnected components: cloud-based software ("Software"), networked knowledge ("Knowledge"), and back-office work ("Work"). The cloud-based software is provided at no extra charge to users but is the primary conduit through which we exchange information between clients, insurance payers, and our staff of experts. Knowledge is infused into each of our services via our Rules Engine as we work with clients, insurance payers, and other partners to codify rules associated with reimbursement, clinical quality measures, and other factors related to our clients' performance, making the network "smarter" and more powerful for all clients. The network's shared knowledge and transparency also allows clients to monitor and benchmark their performance against those of other practices across the network. The third component to each of our services is the Work that we perform on behalf of our clients. Wherever possible, we replace manual processes with automation, but where automation is not possible, we perform the work on our clients' behalf. These services range from receiving, scanning, and delivering incoming faxes to tracking claims with insurance payers. This unique service model of Software, Knowledge, and Work is the core of our aligned success model. We charge clients a percentage of collections, in most cases, connecting our financial results directly to that of our clients and our ability to drive revenue to medical practices.

We also provide clients in the health care industry (e.g., pharmaceutical companies, managed care companies, and market research firms) the opportunity to sponsor clinical information and decision support services in order to engage with Epocrates' member network, and offer the sale of subscriptions to Epocrates' premium drug and clinical reference tools to health care professionals.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, we generated revenue of \$595.0 million from the sale of our services compared to \$422.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, and \$324.1 million for the year ended

December 31, 2011. Given the scope of our market opportunity, we have increased our spending each year on growth, innovation, and infrastructure.

Our revenue is predominately derived from core athenahealth business services that we provide on an ongoing basis. Revenue from business services associated with our four integrated services is generally determined as a percentage of payments collected by us on behalf of our clients, so the key drivers of such revenue include growth in the number of physicians and other medical providers working within our client accounts, the collections of these physicians, and the number

Table of Contents

of services purchased. To provide these services, we incur expenses in several categories, including direct operating, selling and marketing, research and development, general and administrative, and depreciation and amortization expense. In general, our direct operating expense increases as our volume of work increases, whereas our selling and marketing expense increases in proportion to our intended growth rate of adding new accounts to our network of physician clients. Our other expense categories are less directly related to growth of revenues and relate more to our planning for the future, our overall business management activities, and our infrastructure. We manage our cash and our use of credit facilities to ensure adequate liquidity and to ensure adherence to related financial covenants.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of our results of operations and liquidity and capital resources are based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (“GAAP”). In connection with the preparation of our consolidated financial statements, we are required to make assumptions and estimates about future events, and apply judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, expenses, and the related disclosures. We base our assumptions, estimates and judgments on historical experience, current trends and other factors we believe to be relevant at the time we prepared our consolidated financial statements. On a regular basis, we review the accounting policies, assumptions, estimates and judgments to ensure that our consolidated financial statements are presented fairly and in accordance with GAAP. However, because future events and their effects cannot be determined with certainty, actual results could differ from our assumptions and estimates, and such differences could be material.

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Significant estimates and assumptions are used for, but are not limited to: (1) revenue recognition; including our estimated expected customer life; (2) asset impairments; (3) depreciable lives of assets; (4) fair value of stock options; (5) allocation of direct and indirect expenses; (6) fair value of acquired intangible assets and long-lived tangible assets in a business combination; (7) fair value of reporting units for goodwill impairment test; and (8) litigation reserves. Future events and their effects cannot be predicted with certainty, and accordingly, our accounting estimates require the exercise of judgment. Please refer to the tables below for those estimates and assumptions which we deem to be the most critical. The accounting estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements will change as new events occur, as more experience is acquired, as additional information is obtained, and as our operating environment changes. We evaluate and update our assumptions and estimates on an ongoing basis and may employ outside experts to assist in our evaluations. Actual results could differ from the estimates we have used.

Our significant accounting policies are discussed in Note 1 – Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, to our accompanying consolidated financial statements. We believe the following accounting policies are the most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial results, as they require management to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments, and to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. We have reviewed these critical accounting policies and related disclosures with the audit committee of our board of directors.

| Description   | Judgment and Uncertainties  | Effect if Actual Results Differ from Assumptions  |
|---|---|---|
| Revenue Recognition   |   |   |
| <p>All revenue, other than implementation revenue, is recognized when the service is performed. We recognize revenue when there is evidence of an arrangement, the service has been provided to the client, the collection of the fees is reasonably assured, and the amount of fees to be paid by the client is fixed or determinable. We derive our revenue from business services associated with our four integrated services and from subscriptions to and sponsored clinical information and decision support services for our point of care medical application.</p>   | <p>Determining whether and when some of our revenue recognition criteria have been satisfied often involves judgments that can have a significant impact on the timing and amount of revenue we report. For example, our assessment of the likelihood of collection is a critical element in determining the timing of revenue recognition. If we do not believe that collection is reasonably assured, revenue is deferred.</p>  | <p>Although we believe that our approach to estimates and judgments as described herein is reasonable, actual results could differ and we may be exposed to increases or decreases in revenue that could be material.</p>   |
| <p>Our clients typically purchase one-year service contracts related to our integrated services that renew automatically upon completion. In most cases, our clients may terminate their agreements with 90 days notice without cause. We typically retain the right to terminate client agreements in a similar timeframe. Our clients are billed monthly, in arrears, based either upon a percentage of collections posted to our cloud-based network, athenaNet; minimum fees; flat fees; or per-claim fees where applicable. Invoices are generated within the first two weeks of the subsequent month and delivered to clients primarily by e-mail. For most of our clients, amounts due are then deducted from a pre-defined bank account one week after invoice receipt via an auto-debit transaction. Unbilled amounts that have been earned are accrued and recorded as revenue or deferred revenue, as appropriate, and are included in our accounts receivable balances.</p> | <p>Multiple element arrangements require judgments as to how to allocate the arrangement consideration to each deliverable. We maintain a standard price list by service; however, certain incentives, such as discounts, may be offered to clients when they purchase multiple services. Such discounting is subject to various levels of management approval and any discount offered is based on the total contract value. Due to the specific nature of these agreements and the variability in the amount of discount offered for individual services across multiple contracts, we have not been able to conclude that a consistent number of standalone sales of a deliverable have been priced within a reasonably narrow range in order to assert that we have established VSOE.</p> <p>When we cannot establish VSOE of fair value, we then determine if we can establish TPE of fair value. TPE is determined based on competitor prices for similar deliverables when sold separately. Our services differ significantly from that of our peers and our offerings contain a</p> | <p>Our calculation of BESP may prove to be inaccurate, in which case we may have understated or overstated the revenue recognized in an accounting period. For example, if our BESP is too high or too low for an individual or group of deliverables, the amount of revenue recognized within each reporting period would be inaccurate. The amount of deferred revenue related to separable deliverables with BESP is \$22.4 million as of December 31, 2013.</p> <p>Our estimate of expected performance period may prove to be inaccurate, in which case we may have understated or overstated the revenue recognized in an accounting period. For example, if, in the future, we need to increase our estimated expected performance period to a period longer than 12 years, the amount we would recognize in each accounting period would decrease. On the other hand, if, in the future, we need to decrease our estimated expected performance period to a period shorter than 12 years, the amount we would recognize in each accounting period would increase. The amount of deferred revenue related to non-refundable up-front fees is \$41.3 million as of December 31, 2013.</p> |

Subscriptions for the Epocrates point of care medical application are entered into by a member via an internal or third-party digital distribution platform or through a redeemable license code which expires within six to 12 months of issuance. Basic subscriptions are free and do not expire. Premium subscription fees are assessed on the length of the subscription period, typically one year, and payment occurs at the time of order, which is in advance of the services being performed, and are recorded as deferred revenue. Premium subscriptions are recognized ratably over the

significant level of customization and differentiation such that the comparable pricing of products with similar functionality cannot be obtained. Furthermore, we are unable to reliably determine what similar competitor products' selling prices are on a stand-alone basis. Therefore, we are typically unable to determine TPE.

If both VSOE and TPE do not exist, we use BESP to establish fair value and to allocate total consideration to each element in the arrangement.

| Description   | Judgment and Uncertainties   | Effect if Actual Results Differ from Assumptions |
|---|--|--|
| <p>contracted term of delivery, typically a year. If a license code expires before it is redeemed, revenue is recognized upon expiration.</p>   | <p>Any discount or premium inherent in the arrangement is allocated to each element in the arrangement based on the relative fair value of each element.</p>   |  |
| <p>Clients in the health care industry typically enter into sponsored clinical information and decision support service arrangements that contain various combinations of services that are generally fulfilled within one year. The clients are charged a fee for the entire group of services to be provided and are typically billed a portion of the contracted fee upon signing of the agreement with the balance billed upon one or more future milestones. Because billings typically occur in advance of services being performed, these amounts are recorded as deferred revenue when billed. Each service deliverable within these multiple element arrangements is accounted for as a separate unit and consideration is allocated using our best estimate of selling price (“BESP”) if we do not have vendor specific objective evidence of selling price (“VSOE”) of fair value or third-party evidence (“TPE”) of fair value.</p> | <p>The objective of BESP is to determine the price at which we would transact a sale if the product or service were sold on a stand-alone basis. We determine BESP for a product or service by considering multiple factors including an analysis of recent stand-alone sales of that product, market conditions, competitive landscape, internal costs, gross margin objectives, and pricing practices.</p> <p>Multiple element arrangements require judgment as to whether deliverables meet the criteria to be separated into separate units of accounting. We consider a deliverable to have standalone value if we sell this item separately or if the item is sold by another vendor or could be resold by the client. We believe that our implementation service related to our integrated services is not separable from the ongoing business services, as the implementation services do not have value to the customer on a standalone basis.</p> <p>The determination of the amount of revenue we can recognize each accounting period requires management to make estimates and judgments on the estimated expected customer life. We determined the estimated customer life considering the following key factors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Renewal rate considerations</li> <li>- Economic life of the product or</li> </ul> |  |

service  
- Industry data

The estimated customer life, or expected performance period, for the years presented is 12 years.

| Description   | Judgment and Uncertainties   | Effect if Actual Results Differ from Assumptions  |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Purchased Intangible Assets and Goodwill</p>   | <p>Fair value accounting as it relates to business combinations and impairment testing requires us to make significant estimates and assumptions.</p>  | <p>Future business and economic conditions, as well as differences actually related to any of the assumptions, could materially impact the financial statements through impairment of goodwill, intangible assets, and acceleration of the amortization period of the purchased intangible assets, which are finite-lived assets.</p> |
| <p>Business Combinations, including purchased intangible assets are accounted for at fair value. Acquisition costs are expensed as incurred and recorded in general and administrative expenses. Measurement period adjustments relate to adjustments to the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on information that we should have known at the time of acquisition. All changes to purchase accounting that do not qualify as measurement period adjustments are included in current period earnings.</p>   | <p>Critical estimates in valuing certain intangible assets and the fair value of reporting units during goodwill impairment tests include, but are not limited to, identifying reporting units, historical and projected customer retention rates, anticipated growth in revenue from the acquired customers, expected future cash outflows, the allocation of those cash flows to identifiable intangible assets, estimated useful lives of these intangible assets and a probability-weighted income approach based on scenarios in estimating achievement of operating results.</p> | <p>As of December 31, 2013, the carrying amounts of goodwill and purchased intangibles were \$198.0 million and \$168.4 million, respectively.</p>  |
| <p>The fair value amount assigned to intangible assets is based on an exit price from a market participant's viewpoint, and utilizes data such as discounted cash flow analysis and replacement cost models. We review acquired intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable.</p>   | <p>Significant judgment in testing goodwill for impairment also includes assigning assets and liabilities to those reporting units and determining the fair value of each reporting unit based on management's best estimates and assumptions, as well as other information compiled by management, including valuations that utilize customary valuation procedures and techniques.</p>   |   |
| <p>Goodwill is recorded as the difference, if any, between the aggregate consideration paid for an acquisition and the fair value of the identifiable net tangible and intangible assets acquired. Goodwill is not amortized but is evaluated for impairment annually on November 30th or more frequently if indicators of impairment are present or changes in circumstances suggest that impairment may exist. The first step of the goodwill impairment test compares the fair value of the reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value of our reporting unit</p> | <p>Management's best estimates and assumptions are employed in determining the appropriateness of these assumptions as of the acquisition date and for each subsequent period.</p>   |   |



exceeds its carrying amount, the goodwill of the reporting unit is considered not impaired. If the carrying amount of our reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the second step of the goodwill impairment test is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. The second step of the goodwill impairment test, used to measure the amount of impairment loss, compares the implied fair value of the affected reporting unit's goodwill with the carrying value of that goodwill.

### Financial Operations Overview

Revenue. We derive our revenue from two sources: from business services associated with our revenue cycle and practice management service, electronic health record management service, patient communication management service, care coordination management service, financial and population analytics offerings, and from subscriptions to and sponsored clinical information and decision support services for our point of care clinical application; and from implementation and other services. Implementation and other services revenue consists primarily of professional services fees related to assisting clients with the initial implementation of our services, for ongoing training and related support services, and for third-party tenant revenue. Business services accounted for approximately 95% and 97% of our total revenues for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Business services revenue is typically 2% to 8% of a practice's total collections depending upon the services purchased, the size, complexity, and other characteristics of the practice, plus a per-statement charge for billing statements that are generated for patients. Accordingly, business services revenue is largely driven by: the number of physician practices and other service providers we serve, the number of physicians and other medical providers working in those physician practices, the volume of activity and related collections of those physicians, the mix of our services used by those physician practices and other medical providers, and our contracted rates. There is moderate seasonality in the activity level of physician practices. Typically, discretionary use of physician services declines in the late summer and during the holiday season, which leads to a decline in collections by our physician clients about 30 to 50 days later. Additionally, the volume of activity and related collections vary from year to year based in large part on the severity, length and timing of the onset of the flu season. While we believe that the severity, length and timing of the onset of the cold and flu season will continue to impact collections by our physician clients, there can be no assurance that our future sales of these services will necessarily follow historical patterns. Implementation and other services revenue is largely driven by the increase in the volume of our new business. As a result, we expect implementation and other services revenue to increase in absolute terms for the foreseeable future but to remain relatively consistent as a percentage of total revenue. None of our clients accounted for more than 10% of our total revenues for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011.

Direct Operating Expense. Direct operating expense consists primarily of salaries, benefits, claim processing costs, stock-based compensation related to personnel who provide services to clients, including staff who implement new clients, and other direct expenses. We expense implementation costs as incurred. We include in direct operating expense all service costs incurred to fulfill our revenue contracts. We expect to increase our overall level of automation as we become a larger operation, with higher volumes of work in particular functions, geographies, and medical specialties. Although we expect that direct operating expense will increase in absolute terms for the foreseeable future, the direct operating expense is expected to decline as a percentage of revenue as we increase automation. Direct operating expense includes costs associated with third-party tenant revenue for the Arsenal on the Charles. Direct operating expense does not include allocated amounts for rent expense, depreciation, and amortization, except for a portion of amortization related to certain purchased intangible assets.

Selling and Marketing Expense. Selling and marketing expense consists primarily of marketing programs (including trade shows, brand messaging, and on-line initiatives) and personnel-related expense for sales and marketing employees (including salaries, benefits, commissions, stock-based compensation, non-billable travel, lodging, and other out-of-pocket employee-related expenses). Although we recognize substantially all of our revenue when services have been delivered, we recognize a large portion of our sales commission expense at the time of contract signature and at the time our services commence. Accordingly, we incur a portion of our sales and marketing expense prior to the recognition of the corresponding revenue. We have increased our sales and marketing expenses from year to year and we expect to continue to increase our investment in sales and marketing by hiring additional direct sales personnel and support personnel to add new clients and increase sales to our existing clients and to expand awareness through paid search and other similar initiatives. We also plan to expand our marketing activities, such as attending trade shows, expanding user groups, and creating new printed materials. As a result, we expect that, in the near-term, sales and marketing expense will increase in line with revenue growth. Sales and marketing expense does not include allocated amounts for rent, occupancy and other indirect costs (including building maintenance and utilities), depreciation, and amortization, except for a portion of amortization related to certain purchased intangible assets.

**Research and Development Expense.** Research and development expense consists primarily of personnel-related expenses for research and development employees (including salaries, benefits, stock-based compensation, non-billable travel, lodging, and other out-of-pocket employee-related expenses) and consulting fees for third-party developers. We expect that, in the near-term, research and development expenditures will increase in absolute terms and will likely increase as a percent of revenue as we develop and enhance new and existing services; however, the amount of expenditures that should be capitalized as software development costs versus expensed as research and development could vary based on the specific projects we undertake.

**General and Administrative Expense.** General and administrative expense consists primarily of personnel-related expense for administrative employees (including salaries, benefits, stock-based compensation, non-billable travel, lodging, and other

Table of Contents

out-of-pocket employee-related expense), occupancy and other indirect costs (including building maintenance and utilities for space occupied by the Company), and insurance premiums; and, outside professional fees for accountants, lawyers, external costs associated with acquisitions, change in the fair value of contingent consideration, and consultants. We expect that general and administrative expense will increase in absolute terms as we invest in infrastructure to support our growth. Though expenses are expected to continue to rise in absolute terms, we expect general and administrative expense to decline as a percentage of total revenue over time.

**Depreciation and Amortization Expense.** Depreciation and amortization expense consists primarily of depreciation of fixed assets and amortization of capitalized software development and acquisition costs, which we amortize over a two to three-year period from the time of release of related software code. As we grow, we will continue to make capital investments in the infrastructure of the business and we will continue to develop software that we capitalize. In the near term we expect depreciation and amortization expense to increase as a percentage of total revenue.

**Other Income (Expense).** Other income (expense) is primarily comprised of interest expense. Interest expense consists primarily of interest costs related to our term and revolving loans under our credit facility and the amortization of deferred financing fees.

**Income Tax (Provision) Benefit.** Income tax (provision) benefit consists of federal and state income taxes in the United States and India. The difference between our effective tax rate and our statutory rate is mainly related to transaction costs associated with stock acquisitions, any changes in the fair value of contingent consideration related to non-tax deductible goodwill, the treatment of Incentive Stock Options (“ISOs”), and the impact of certain tax deduction limits related to certain of our highly compensated officers. Transaction costs related to stock acquisitions are primarily non-tax deductible. The changes in the fair value of contingent consideration related to non-tax deductible goodwill and the treatment of disqualifying dispositions related to ISOs are also treated as discrete items, which means that they are recorded in the quarter in which they occur and could cause significant differences between the quarterly and annual effective tax rate. We substantially ceased issuing ISOs in 2009, but we expect continued volatility related to these options since we cannot anticipate when disqualifying dispositions related to these stock options will occur.

## Results of Operations

## Consolidated Results of Operations

The following table sets forth our consolidated results of operations as a percentage of total revenue for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011.

|                               | Year Ended December 31, |   |       |   |       |   |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---|-------|---|-------|---|
|                               | 2013                    |   | 2012  |   | 2011  |   |
| Revenue:                      |                         |   |       |   |       |   |
| Business services             | 94.7                    | % | 96.7  | % | 96.5  | % |
| Implementation and other      | 5.3                     |   | 3.3   |   | 3.5   |   |
| Total revenue                 | 100.0                   |   | 100.0 |   | 100.0 |   |
| Expense:                      |                         |   |       |   |       |   |
| Direct operating              | 40.1                    |   | 39.5  |   | 37.9  |   |
| Selling and marketing         | 25.1                    |   | 24.7  |   | 24.6  |   |
| Research and development      | 9.7                     |   | 8.0   |   | 7.2   |   |
| General and administrative    | 16.8                    |   | 13.5  |   | 15.0  |   |
| Depreciation and amortization | 7.3                     |   | 6.1   |   | 5.2   |   |
| Total expense                 | 99.0                    |   | 91.8  |   | 89.9  |   |
| Operating income              | 1.0                     |   | 8.2   |   | 10.1  |   |
| Other (expense) income:       |                         |   |       |   |       |   |
| Interest expense              | (0.7                    | ) | (0.1  | ) | (0.1  | ) |
| Other income                  | 0.1                     |   | 0.2   |   | 0.2   |   |
| Total other (expense) income  | (0.6                    | ) | 0.1   |   | 0.1   |   |
| Income before income taxes    | 0.4                     |   | 8.3   |   | 10.2  |   |
| Income tax provision          | —                       |   | (3.9  | ) | (4.3  | ) |

|            |     |   |     |   |     |   |
|------------|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|
| Net income | 0.4 | % | 4.4 | % | 5.9 | % |
|------------|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|

48

---

Table of Contents

Percentages for each line item may not sum to the totals or subtotals for each fiscal year due to rounding.  
Comparison of the Years Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

|                          | Year Ended December 31, |           | Change    |         |   |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|---|
|                          | 2013                    | 2012      | Amount    | Percent |   |
|                          | (in thousands)          |           |           |         |   |
| Business services        | \$563,237               | \$408,496 | \$154,741 | 38      | % |
| Implementation and other | 31,766                  | 13,775    | 17,991    | 131     | % |
| Total                    | \$595,003               | \$422,271 | \$172,732 | 41      | % |

Revenue. Total revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013 increased by 41% due to an increase in business services revenue.

Business Services Revenue. The increase in business services revenue is primarily driven by the growth in the number of physicians and providers using our services, and additionally due to revenue from sponsored clinical information and decision support services and subscriptions. The increases in the number of physicians and providers using our revenue cycle and practice management service, athenaCollector; electronic health record management service, athenaClinical; and patient communication management service, athenaCommunicator; are as follows:

|                    |            | As of December 31, |        |        |         |   |  |
|--------------------|------------|--------------------|--------|--------|---------|---|--|
|                    |            | 2013               | 2012   | Change | Percent |   |  |
|                    |            | Amount             | Amount | Amount | Percent |   |  |
| athenaCollector    | Physicians | 35,858             | 28,011 | 7,847  | 28      | % |  |
|                    | Providers  | 50,212             | 39,752 | 10,460 | 26      | % |  |
| athenaClinical     | Physicians | 12,388             | 7,949  | 4,439  | 56      | % |  |
|                    | Providers  | 16,805             | 10,926 | 5,879  | 54      | % |  |
| athenaCommunicator | Physicians | 21,516             | 10,153 | 11,363 | 112     | % |  |
|                    | Providers  | 28,360             | 14,065 | 14,295 | 102     | % |  |

Also contributing to this increase was the growth in related collections on behalf of these physicians and providers. The amount of collections processed are as follows:

|                       | Year Ended December 31, |           | Change    |         |   |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|---|
|                       | 2013                    | 2012      | Amount    | Percent |   |
|                       | (in millions)           |           |           |         |   |
| Collections processed | \$11,663.5              | \$9,183.6 | \$2,479.9 | 27      | % |

The year ended December 31, 2013 includes \$52.4 million of total revenue attributable to sponsored clinical information and decision support services and subscriptions.

Implementation and Other Revenue. The increase in revenue from implementation and other revenue was primarily driven by third-party tenant revenue of \$9.7 million associated with the Arsenal on the Charles property from the date of acquisition in May through December 31, 2013. Implementation and other revenue was also increased by new client implementations and increased professional services for our larger client base.

|                  | Year Ended December 31, |           | Change   |         |   |
|------------------|-------------------------|-----------|----------|---------|---|
|                  | 2013                    | 2012      | Amount   | Percent |   |
|                  | (in thousands)          |           |          |         |   |
| Direct operating | \$238,672               | \$166,886 | \$71,786 | 43      | % |

Table of Contents

Direct Operating Expense. The number of claims that we processed on behalf of our clients increased during the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase in direct operating expense is primarily due to the expense of providing these services, including transactions expense and employee-related costs. The total claims submitted on behalf of clients are as follows:

|                        | Year Ended December 31, |      | Change |         |   |
|------------------------|-------------------------|------|--------|---------|---|
|                        | 2013                    | 2012 | Amount | Percent |   |
| Total claims submitted | 90.8                    | 73.1 | 17.7   | 24      | % |

Direct operating employee-related costs, including stock-based compensation, increased \$28.5 million from the year ended December 31, 2012, to the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to a 12% increase in headcount since December 31, 2012, and an increase in fair value of our recently issued stock-based compensation expense. We increased headcount to meet the current and anticipated demand for our services as our customer base continues to expand and includes larger medical groups. Headcount at December 31, 2013 includes 57 employees from our acquisition of Epocrates in March 2013.

Also contributing to the increase in direct operating expense was amortization related to purchased intangible assets, which increased \$8.0 million from the year ended December 31, 2012, to the year ended December 31, 2013, due to our acquisitions of Epocrates during the three months ended March 31, 2013, and the Arsenal on the Charles during the three months ended June 30, 2013. Direct operating expense from the Arsenal on the Charles acquisition date of May 10, 2013, through the period ended December 31, 2013, includes \$4.7 million of costs associated with third-party tenant revenue. No cost associated with third-party tenant revenue was included in direct operating expense during the year ended December 31, 2012.

|                               | Year Ended December 31, |           | Change    |         |   |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|---|
|                               | 2013                    | 2012      | Amount    | Percent |   |
|                               | (in thousands)          |           |           |         |   |
| Selling and marketing         | \$149,488               | \$104,300 | \$45,188  | 43      | % |
| Research and development      | 57,639                  | 33,792    | 23,847    | 71      | % |
| General and administrative    | 99,776                  | 57,025    | 42,751    | 75      | % |
| Depreciation and amortization | 43,575                  | 25,641    | 17,934    | 70      | % |
| Total                         | \$350,478               | \$220,758 | \$129,720 | 59      | % |

Selling and Marketing Expense. The increase in selling and marketing expense was primarily due to compensation costs, including stock-based compensation expense, internal sales commissions and external channel partner commission, which increased approximately \$26.6 million, or 40%, from \$65.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, to \$92.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The cost of compensation is primarily driven by headcount. Our sales and marketing headcount increased 34% since December 31, 2012, as we hired additional sales personnel to focus on adding new customers and increasing penetration within our existing markets. Headcount at December 31, 2013 also includes 59 employees from our acquisition of Epocrates in March 2013. Also contributing to the increase in selling and marketing expense was a \$9.0 million increase in other general marketing-related costs. Finally, amortization related to purchased intangible assets allocated to selling and marketing expense increased \$7.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily due to our acquisition of Epocrates during the three months ended March 31, 2013.

Research and Development Expense. The increase in research and development expense was due to higher employee-related costs, including stock-based compensation expense, which increased approximately \$23.8 million, or 70%, from \$33.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, to \$57.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. This increase is primarily due to a 68% increase in headcount from December 31, 2012. The additional research and development personnel were necessary in order to upgrade and extend our service offerings and develop new technologies. Headcount at December 31, 2013 also includes 97 employees from our acquisition of Epocrates in March 2013. We anticipate that research and development expense will continue to increase in the foreseeable future.

General and Administrative Expense. General and administrative expense increased primarily due to higher employee-related costs, including stock-based compensation expense. Employee-related compensation increased \$21.1 million, largely due to a 28% increase in headcount from December 31, 2012. We increased our general and administrative personnel to support our growth. General and administrative headcount at December 31, 2013 also includes 29 employees from our acquisition of Epocrates in March 2013. Included in the employee-related compensation is an increase in stock-based compensation expense of \$7.9 million. The stock-based compensation increase for the year ended December 31, 2013 is primarily related to acceleration of vesting for certain Epocrates employees upon termination and an increase in the fair value of recently issued stock-based awards due to an increase in the stock price.



Table of Contents

The increase we experienced in headcount drove an increased investment in our infrastructure of \$8.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. Additionally, transaction costs associated with the Epocrates and the Arsenal on the Charles acquisitions increased general and administrative expenses by \$5.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. These costs were partially offset by a \$2.5 million net gain in the year ended December 31, 2013, due to the early termination of our lease and the realization of the remaining balance in deferred rent upon acquisition of the Arsenal on the Charles property where our corporate headquarters are located in Watertown, Massachusetts. Comparatively, in the year ended December 31, 2012, there was a net fair value adjustment of a \$5.1 million credit related to contingent consideration compared to a less than \$0.1 million charge in the year ended December 31, 2013.

**Depreciation and Amortization Expense.** Depreciation and amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2013, was \$43.6 million, an increase of approximately \$17.9 million, or 70%, from depreciation and amortization of \$25.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This increase was primarily due to higher depreciation from fixed asset expenditures in 2013 and 2012, the acquisition of the Arsenal on the Charles, and higher amortization related to an increase in our software development costs of \$8.9 million, \$2.1 million and \$6.9 million, respectively.

**Interest Expense.** Interest expense increased for the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to the increase in the amount of debt outstanding compared to the prior year.

|                                | Year Ended December 31, |          | Change      |         |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|-------------|---------|
|                                | 2013                    | 2012     | Amount      | Percent |
|                                | (in thousands)          |          |             |         |
| Income tax (benefit) provision | \$(363 )                | \$16,146 | \$(16,509 ) | (102)%  |
| Effective tax rate             | (16 )%                  | 46 %     |             |         |

**Income Tax (Benefit) Provision.** The change from an income tax provision to an income tax benefit is primarily due to lower pre-tax income and an increase in research and development tax credits, offset by larger permanent items for the year ended December 31, 2013. Research and development tax credits increased \$5.0 million in the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012. This increase is primarily attributable to completion of a multi-year research and development tax study. Additionally, on January 2, 2013, the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 was signed into law reinstating the federal research and development credit for the 2012 and 2013 years. Under the accounting guidance on this topic, the effects are recognized as a component of income tax expense or benefit from continuing operations in the financial statements for the period that includes the enactment date. The benefit related to the 2012 federal research and development credit of \$0.9 million was recorded in the year ended December 31, 2013. The offsetting higher permanent items for the year ended December 31, 2013 were primarily due to non-deductible transaction costs related to the acquisition of Epocrates of \$2.2 million.

Comparison of the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

|                          | Year Ended December 31, |           | Change   |         |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|----------|---------|
|                          | 2012                    | 2011      | Amount   | Percent |
|                          | (in thousands)          |           |          |         |
| Business services        | \$408,496               | \$312,768 | \$95,728 | 31 %    |
| Implementation and other | 13,775                  | 11,299    | 2,476    | 22 %    |
| Total                    | \$422,271               | \$324,067 | \$98,204 | 30 %    |

**Revenue.** Total revenue for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased almost entirely due to an increase in business services revenue.

Table of Contents

Business Services Revenue. The increase in business services revenue is primarily driven by the growth in the number of physicians and providers using our services. The summary of changes in the physicians and providers using our revenue cycle management service, athenaCollector, electronic health record management service, athenaClinicals, and patient communication management service, athenaCommunicator are as follows:

|                    |            | As of December 31, |        |        |         |
|--------------------|------------|--------------------|--------|--------|---------|
|                    |            | 2012               | 2011   | Change |         |
|                    |            | Amount             | Amount | Amount | Percent |
| athenaCollector    | Physicians | 28,011             | 23,210 | 4,801  | 21 %    |
|                    | Providers  | 39,752             | 32,740 | 7,012  | 21 %    |
| athenaClinicals    | Physicians | 7,949              | 4,662  | 3,287  | 71 %    |
|                    | Providers  | 10,926             | 6,525  | 4,401  | 67 %    |
| athenaCommunicator | Physicians | 10,153             | 4,098  | 6,055  | 148 %   |
|                    | Providers  | 14,065             | 5,830  | 8,235  | 141 %   |

Also contributing to this increase was the growth in related collections on behalf of these physicians and providers. The amount of collections processed are as follows:

|                       | Year Ended December 31, |           | Change    |         |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
|                       | 2012                    | 2011      | Amount    | Percent |
|                       | (in millions)           |           |           |         |
| Collections processed | \$9,183.6               | \$7,276.6 | \$1,907.0 | 26 %    |

Implementation and Other Revenue. The increase in revenue from implementation and other revenue was driven by new client implementations and increased professional services for our larger client base. The increase in implementation and other revenue is the result of the increase in the volume of our new business.

|                  | Year Ended December 31, |           | Change   |         |
|------------------|-------------------------|-----------|----------|---------|
|                  | 2012                    | 2011      | Amount   | Percent |
|                  | (in thousands)          |           |          |         |
| Direct operating | \$166,886               | \$122,795 | \$44,091 | 36 %    |

Direct Operating Costs. The increase in direct operating expense is primarily due to an increase in the number of claims that we processed on behalf of our clients and the related expense of providing services, including transactions expense and employee-related costs. The total claims submitted on behalf of clients are as follows:

|                        | Year Ended December 31, |      | Change |         |
|------------------------|-------------------------|------|--------|---------|
|                        | 2012                    | 2011 | Amount | Percent |
|                        | (in millions)           |      |        |         |
| Total claims submitted | 73.1                    | 59.3 | 13.8   | 23 %    |

Also contributing to this increase was the direct operating employee-related costs, including stock-based compensation, which increased \$28.2 million from the year ended December 31, 2011, to the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily due to the 28% increase in headcount since December 31, 2011, and an increase in the fair value of our recently issued stock-based compensation expense. We increased headcount to meet the current and anticipated demand for our services as our customer base has expanded and includes larger medical groups. Amortization related to purchased intangible assets increased \$1.1 million from the year ended December 31, 2011, to the year ended December 31, 2012.

|                               | Year Ended December 31, |          | Change   |         |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|---------|
|                               | 2012                    | 2011     | Amount   | Percent |
|                               | (in thousands)          |          |          |         |
| Selling and marketing         | \$104,300               | \$79,775 | \$24,525 | 31 %    |
| Research and development      | 33,792                  | 23,343   | 10,449   | 45 %    |
| General and administrative    | 57,025                  | 48,711   | 8,314    | 17 %    |
| Depreciation and amortization | 25,641                  | 16,710   | 8,931    | 53 %    |

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

|       |           |           |          |    |   |
|-------|-----------|-----------|----------|----|---|
| Total | \$220,758 | \$168,539 | \$52,219 | 31 | % |
|-------|-----------|-----------|----------|----|---|

52

---

Table of Contents

**Selling and Marketing Expense.** The increase in selling and marketing expense was primarily due to employee-related costs, including stock-based compensation expense, internal sales commissions and external partner channel commissions of \$15.7 million, or 31%, from \$50.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, to \$65.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Our sales and marketing headcount increased by 28% since December 31, 2011, as we hired additional sales personnel to focus on adding new customers and increasing penetration within our existing markets. The increase was also due to a \$3.4 million increase in travel-related expenses and consulting and a \$5.4 million increase in online marketing, offline marketing and other marketing events for the year.

**Research and Development Expense.** Research and development expense increased due to higher employee-related costs, including stock-based compensation expense of \$8.5 million, or 42%, from \$20.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, to \$29.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This increase is due in part to a 45% increase in headcount from December 31, 2011, as we hired additional research and development personnel in order to upgrade and extend our service offerings and develop new technologies. The increase was also due to a \$1.9 million increase in travel-related expenses, infrastructure and consulting.

**General and Administrative Expense.** General and administrative expense increased partially due to higher employee-related costs, including stock-based compensation expense, of \$6.2 million, due to an increase in headcount and in the fair value of our recently issued stock-based compensation expense. Our general and administrative headcount increased by 26% since December 31, 2011, as we added personnel to support our growth. The increase in headcount drove an increase in our expenditures related to infrastructure by \$3.4 million. General and administrative expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 included an increase of \$2.3 million in legal, audit, tax, consulting, and external costs associated with acquisitions and insurance expenses along with an increase of \$2.6 million in travel expenses, recruiting and corporate events.

These increases are offset by a decrease in the provision for uncollectible accounts of \$1.0 million due to less accounts requiring higher reserve percentages due to increased collection activity and a decrease in the fair value of the contingent consideration of \$5.1 million. The fair value considerations related to each of the contingent considerations are fully disclosed in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The impact of those described changes in the fair value of the contingent considerations on General and Administrative Expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income are as follows:

|   | Year Ended December 31, |        |
|---|-------------------------|--------|
|   | 2012                    | 2011   |
|   | (in thousands)          |        |
| First Anodyne contingent consideration  | \$—                     | \$—    |
| Second Anodyne contingent consideration | 1,310                   | 40     |
| First Proxsys contingent consideration  | (2,420)                 | ) —    |
| Second Proxsys contingent consideration | (4,008)                 | ) —    |
| Total                                   | \$(5,118)               | ) \$40 |

**Depreciation and Amortization Expense.** Depreciation and amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$25.6 million, an increase of \$8.9 million, or 53%, from depreciation and amortization of \$16.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. This increase was primarily due to higher depreciation from fixed asset expenditures in 2012 and 2011 and higher amortization related to an increase in our software development costs.

|                      | Year Ended December 31, |        | Change  |         |
|----------------------|-------------------------|--------|---------|---------|
|                      | 2012                    | 2011   | Amount  | Percent |
|                      | (in thousands)          |        |         |         |
| Income tax provision | 16,146                  | 13,834 | \$2,312 | 17 %    |
| Effective tax rate   | 46                      | % 42   | % 4     | %       |

**Income Tax Provision.** The effective tax rate is higher due to larger permanent items for the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily related to compensation in excess of tax deduction limits which had a 1.5% unfavorable impact, the change in the Anodyne contingent consideration which is treated as additional non-taxable goodwill which had a 1.5% unfavorable impact and the transaction costs related to the pending acquisition of Epocrates which had a 0.5% unfavorable impact. The rate was also impacted by ISO disqualifying events which impacted the rate favorably by 2%

for the year ended December 31, 2012. Comparatively, the effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2011 was not impacted by compensation in excess of tax deduction limits, changes related to the Anodyne contingent consideration or non-deductible transaction costs but did have a favorable impact of 2.5% due to ISO disqualifications.

Table of Contents

On January 2, 2013, the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 was signed into law reinstating the federal research and development credit for the 2012 and 2013 years. Under the accounting guidance on this topic, the effects are recognized as a component of income tax expense or benefit from continuing operations in the financial statements for the interim or annual period that includes the enactment date. The benefit related to the 2012 federal research and development credit of \$0.9 million was recorded in the year ended December 31, 2013.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

## Sources of Liquidity

As of December 31, 2013, our principal sources of liquidity consisted of cash and cash equivalents of \$65.0 million. As of December 31, 2013, we have outstanding indebtedness of \$223.8 million. On October 20, 2011, we entered into a credit agreement which provides for a five-year \$100 million revolving credit facility (“Revolving Credit Agreement”). The Revolving Credit Agreement contains certain covenants, including consolidated leverage and minimum fixed charge coverage ratios. The interest rates applicable to revolving loans under the Revolving Credit Agreement are at either (i) the British Bankers Association London Interbank Offered Rate (“LIBOR”) plus an interest margin based on our consolidated leverage ratio, or (ii) the base rate (which is the highest of (a) the bank’s prime rate, (b) the Federal Funds rate plus 0.50%, and (c) one month LIBOR plus 1.00%) plus an interest margin based on our consolidated leverage ratio. We paid a commitment fee during the term of the Revolving Credit Agreement which varied between 0.20% and 0.30% depending on our consolidated leverage ratio. There was no balance outstanding on the revolving credit facility as of December 31, 2012. In connection with the planned acquisition of Epocrates, on January 3, 2013, we borrowed \$100 million from our revolving credit facility and, on January 9, 2013, we repaid the borrowed amount in full. The Revolving Credit Agreement was increased by \$55 million on January 7, 2013. On March 11, 2013, we borrowed \$155.0 million from the revolving credit facility to fund the Epocrates acquisition. The entire amount borrowed under this facility was repaid as of May 10, 2013.

On May 10, 2013, we entered into a five-year \$325 million senior credit facility consisting of a \$200 million unsecured term loan facility and a \$125 million unsecured revolving credit facility (“Senior Credit Facility”). The Senior Credit Facility replaced the Revolving Credit Agreement. The Senior Credit Facility contains terms and conditions that are customary to facilities of this nature, and may be used to refinance existing indebtedness, to finance the acquisition of the real estate known as the Arsenal on the Charles, and for working capital and other general corporate purposes. We may increase the Senior Credit Facility up to an additional \$100 million subject to certain terms, including obtaining lender commitments. As of December 31, 2013, we had \$188.8 million outstanding on the unsecured term loan facility and \$35.0 million outstanding on the unsecured revolving credit facility. As of December 31, 2013, we had \$90.0 million available on the unsecured revolving credit facility. As of December 31, 2013, we were in compliance with our covenants under the Senior Credit Facility.

We believe our current sources of liquidity will be sufficient to sustain operations, to finance our strategic initiatives, to make payments on our contractual obligations, and to purchase property and equipment in the foreseeable future. Our analysis is supported by the growth in our new customer base and a high rate of renewal with our existing customers and the corresponding increase in billings and collections. There can be no assurance that we will continue to generate cash flows at or above current levels or that we will be able to maintain our ability to borrow under these credit facilities or obtain additional financing.

## Commitments

We enter into various purchase commitments with vendors in the normal course of business. We believe that our existing sources of liquidity will be adequate to fund these purchases during the 2014 fiscal year. In the normal course of business, we make representations and warranties that guarantee the performance of services under service arrangements with clients. Historically, there have been no material losses related to such guarantees.

## Comparison of the Years Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

## Operating Cash Flow Activities

|            | Year Ended   |          |             |
|------------|--------------|----------|-------------|
|            | December 31, |          | Change      |
|            | 2013         | 2012     |             |
| Net income | \$2,594      | \$18,732 | \$(16,138 ) |

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

|  |          |          |           |
|--|----------|----------|-----------|
| Non-cash adjustments   | 91,347   | 37,438   | 53,909    |
| Net income after non-cash adjustments are added back                   | 93,941   | 56,170   | 37,771    |
| Cash (used in) provided by changes in operating assets and liabilities | (633     | ) 14,043 | (14,676 ) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities                              | \$93,308 | \$70,213 | \$23,095  |

54

---

Table of Contents

The increase in net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, is primarily due to a \$37.8 million increase in net income after non-cash adjustments are added back. The increase in non-cash adjustments reflects an increase in depreciation and amortization expense of \$32.7 million, primarily the result of the acquisitions of Epocrates and the Arsenal on the Charles, and an increase in stock-based compensation of \$15.4 million. The increase in stock-based compensation is the result of an increase in the fair value of recently issued stock-based awards due to an increase in the stock price, as well as the accelerated vesting of stock awards related to the termination of certain employees associated with the integration of Epocrates. The change in cash (used in) provided by operating assets and liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, is mainly driven by a \$8.6 million decrease on the cash used related to accounts receivable, a \$6.1 million change on the cash provided/used related to deferred revenue, and a \$3.9 million related to the cash used for accrued expenses, all offset by the \$8.8 million decrease in the cash provided by the prepaid and other assets. The changes in cash used in the accounts receivable is a result of the Epocrates transaction, as typically a portion of each Epocrates clinical information services contract is billed upfront, with the balance paid upon one or more future milestones. The change on the cash provided/used related to deferred revenue is due to a decrease in the amount of implementation fees collected upfront, as small group practices are only doing remote implementations and therefore there is no charge for implementation. In addition, Epocrates deferred revenue is normally billed, collected and recognized over a period of one year which has decreased the rate in which deferred revenue contributes to our cash inflows from working capital. The changes related to accrued expenses are primarily due to timing of invoices. The changes in prepaid and other assets is due to the fact we are currently in an income taxes receivable position and we continue to offset a portion of our income tax assessments with net operating losses from prior years and tax benefits from current year as shown by the excess tax benefit amounts. The amount of excess tax benefit utilized was lower in the current year due to a lower pre-tax net income in the year ended December 31, 2013.

**Investing Cash Flow Activities**

Net cash used in investing activities increased \$424.8 million to \$424.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. Cash flows used in investing activities consist primarily of cash paid for the acquisitions of Epocrates of \$242.8 million, net of cash acquired, and the Arsenal on the Charles of \$167.3 million.

The increase in net cash used in investing activities is also attributable to increases in purchases of property and equipment of \$14.4 million and increases in capitalized software development costs of \$13.5 million. We make investments in property and equipment and in software development on an ongoing basis. Our increased investment in property for the period ended December 31, 2013, consists of our expansion to support our growth, including the build-out of our Corporate headquarters. Our increased investment in equipment for the period ended December 31, 2013 consists primarily of purchases of technology infrastructure to provide service stability and additional capacity to support our expanding client base. Our investment in software development consists of company-managed design, development, and testing of new application functionality. The increase in capitalized software development costs for the period ended December 31, 2013, compared to the period ended December 31, 2012, is primarily related to the new automation activities related to the new athenaCoordinator service offering as well as our athenaClinicals offering. We expect these investments to increase in the foreseeable future to support our continued growth and new service offerings, as well as to support expansion in four of our locations during 2014, including our Corporate headquarters and new office space.

These increases in net cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2013, are partially offset by a \$12.8 million decrease in net proceeds and purchases of investments. The net change in proceeds and purchases of our available-for-sale investments is based upon the changes in maturity of our investments in securities, and additionally, we decreased the amount of available-for-sale investments in 2013 due to the the acquisitions of Epocrates and the Arsenal on the Charles property.

**Financing Cash Flow Activities**

Net cash provided by financing activities increased \$214.6 million to \$241.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to cash provided by financing activities of \$27.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Cash



provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2013, is primarily attributable to the \$200.0 million in proceeds from our term loan which we utilized in our acquisitions of Epocrates and the Arsenal on the Charles, and \$35.0 million received in net proceeds from our line of credit.

Table of Contents

## Comparison of the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

## Operating Cash Flow Activities

|  | Year Ended   |          |          |
|--|--------------|----------|----------|
|  | December 31, |          |          |
|  | 2012         | 2011     | Change   |
| Net income   | \$18,732     | \$19,046 | \$(314 ) |
| Non-cash adjustments                                     | 37,438       | 23,575   | 13,863   |
| Net income after non-cash adjustments are added back     | 56,170       | 42,621   | 13,549   |
| Cash used in changes in operating assets and liabilities | 14,043       | 18,143   | (4,100 ) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities                | \$70,213     | \$60,764 | \$9,449  |

The increase in cash flow from operations for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, is mainly attributable to the actual and proportionate increase in the amount of non-cash adjustments compared to the net income for those periods. The non-cash adjustments include an increase of stock-based compensation of \$8.3 million and depreciation and amortization of \$10.1 million offset by a decrease in the change in fair value of the contingent consideration of \$5.1 million when comparing these periods. The increase in stock-based compensation is a result of an increase in the fair value of recently issued stock-based awards due to an increase in the stock price. We continue to offset our portion of our income tax assessments with net operating losses from stock based compensation from prior years and tax benefits from current year exercises as shown by the excess tax benefit amounts. We no longer have significant net operating losses from prior years and expect the amount of taxes paid will increase in future years.

The year over year decrease in cash used in operating assets and liabilities is mainly driven by the change in deferred revenue. The increase in the deferred revenue balance of \$3.0 million in the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$10.0 million in the year ended December 31, 2011, is primarily due to the fact that we began waiving implementation fees for remote implementations and for some sales offerings.

## Investing Cash Flow Activities

The cash used by investing activities decreased \$53 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. Cash flows used in investing activities consist primarily of purchases of property and equipment, capitalized software development costs, and our investment activities. We make investments in property and equipment and in software development on an ongoing basis. Our investment in equipment consists primarily of purchases of technology infrastructure to provide service stability and additional capacity to support our expanding client base. Our increase of \$7.2 million in equipment is primarily related to several new servers for our new data center located in Dallas, Texas and existing data centers located in Bedford, Massachusetts, and Belfast, Maine, as well as build out of new leasehold and building improvements to accommodate our headcount growth. Our investment in software development consists of company managed-design, development, and testing of new application functionality. Our capitalized software development costs increased by \$7.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, primarily related to the new automation activities related to the new athenaCoordinator service offering as well as our athenaClinicals service offering. The change of restricted cash is due to the timing of the payments made for contingent consideration relating to the Anodyne acquisition completed in 2009. In the year ended December 31, 2012, we acquired Healthcare Data Services, LLC for \$5.8 million. In the year ended December 31, 2011, we acquired a conference center located in Maine for \$7.0 million and Proxsys for \$27.9 million.

The net change in proceeds and purchases of our available for sale investments is based upon the changes in maturity of our investments in securities. We decreased the amount of available for sale investments at December 31, 2012, in anticipation of the proposed acquisition of Epocrates and the Arsenal on the Charles property that we anticipate will both close in the first half of 2013.

## Financing Cash Flow Activities

The cash provided by financing activities was \$27.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to cash provided by financing activities of \$14.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. The change is primarily attributable to the \$9.7 million payment related to our debt and interest rate swap in 2011. We elected to repay all of

our outstanding debt balances under our equipment line of credit and term loan, as well as terminate our related interest rate derivative in May 2011. The increase of \$4.6 million in cash received from the exercise of stock options during the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, is primarily due to the overall increase in the strike price of the options exercised along with an increase in the number of options exercised during the comparable time periods. This increase was offset by an increase of \$4.2 million related to the cash paid to settle tax obligations through the net settlement method that our employees can elect when restricted stock units vest in the year ended December 31, 2012. We began issuing restricted stock

Table of Contents

units in 2010 and have since experienced an increase in the proportionate number of restricted stock units granted compared to options granted. We expect that the cash paid to settle tax obligations will increase in the near future as these issued restricted stock units begin to vest. The payment of contingent consideration relates to the portion of the Anodyne contingent consideration that was accrued at acquisition date.

We expect that our cash flows from financing activities will increase in the near future as we anticipate that we will need to borrow to fund the pending transactions discussed in the “Recent Developments” section.

**Contractual Obligations**

The following table summarizes our long-term contractual obligations and commitments as of December 31, 2013:

| (in thousands)                | Payments Due by Period |                     |             |             |            |         |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------|
|                               | Total                  | Less than 1<br>Year | 1 - 3 Years | 3 - 5 Years | 5<br>Years | Other   |
| Long-term debt <sup>(1)</sup> | \$188,750              | 15,000              | 30,000      | 30,000      | 113,750    | —       |
| Operating lease obligations   | 87,928                 | 5,658               | 15,629      | 16,388      | 50,253     | —       |
| Other                         | 4,851                  | —                   | —           | —           | —          | 4,851   |
| Total                         | \$281,529              | \$20,658            | \$45,629    | \$46,388    | \$164,003  | \$4,851 |

<sup>(1)</sup> We have cash interest requirements due on the Senior Credit Facility payable at variable rates which are not included in the above table.

The commitments under our operating leases shown above consist primarily of lease payments for our offices in Atlanta, Georgia; Alpharetta, Georgia; Birmingham, Alabama; Austin, Texas; San Francisco, California; San Mateo, California; Ewing, New Jersey; Princeton, New Jersey; Durham, North Carolina; and Chennai, India.

“Other” consists of uncertain tax benefits. We have not utilized these uncertain tax benefits, nor do we have an expectation of when these uncertain tax benefits would be challenged. As of December 31, 2013, we cannot reasonably estimate when any future cash outlays would occur related to these uncertain tax positions.

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, we did not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as “structured finance” or “special purpose” entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. Other than our operating leases for office space, we do not engage in off-balance sheet financing arrangements.

**Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.**

**Foreign Currency Exchange Risk.** Our results of operations and cash flows are subject to fluctuations due to changes in the Indian rupee. None of our consolidated revenues are generated outside the United States. None of our vendor relationships, including our contracts with our offshore service providers for work performed in India and the Philippines, is denominated in any currency other than the U.S. dollar. For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, less than 1% of our expenses occurred in our direct subsidiary in Chennai, India, and was incurred in Indian rupees. We therefore believe that the risk of a significant impact on our operating income from foreign currency fluctuations is not likely.

**Interest Rate Risk.** We had \$223.8 million of outstanding borrowings under our Senior Credit Facility at December 31, 2013. The Senior Credit Facility bears interest at LIBOR plus an applicable margin. Accordingly, we are exposed to fluctuations in interest rates on borrowings under the Senior Credit Facility.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we utilized an interest rate swap to manage exposure to interest rates on the variable rate of our indebtedness. Our interest rate swap is with a major financial institution and is not used for speculative or trading purposes. We have designated our interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge and changes in the fair value of the interest rate swap are recognized in other comprehensive income. Hedge ineffectiveness, if any, associated with the interest rate swap will be reported by us in interest expense. We did not utilize an interest rate swap during the year ended December 31, 2012.

We recorded the interest rate swap at fair value, which amounted to a liability of \$0.4 million at December 31, 2013. A one hundred basis point change in the interest rate on our borrowings outstanding as of December 31, 2013, would

result in a change in interest expense of approximately \$2.2 million annually.

57

---

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

The financial statements required by this Item are located beginning on page F-1 of this report.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 are (1) recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and (2) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. As of December 31, 2013 (the "Evaluation Date"), our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934). Our management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives, and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded based upon the evaluation described above that, as of the Evaluation Date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for our company. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15(d)-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers and effected by our board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and disposition of our assets;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- provide reasonable assurance that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorization of our management and directors; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of inherent limitations, internal controls over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management evaluated the effectiveness of athenahealth's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, excluding an assessment of internal control over Epocrates, Inc., which was acquired on March 12, 2013. As of December 31, 2013, the revenue transactions of Epocrates are being processed by Epocrates legacy systems and under the internal controls over financial reporting existing at the acquisition date. All other transactions of Epocrates are maintained on the Company's systems. Epocrates revenue transactions included in the consolidated financial statements aggregate 9% of our consolidated financial statement amounts for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013. In conducting this

evaluation, we used

58

---

Table of Contents

the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”), in Internal Control-Integrated Framework.

Based upon this evaluation and those criteria, management believes that, as of December 31, 2013, our internal controls over financial reporting were effective.

Deloitte and Touche LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, has audited our consolidated financial statements and the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013.

**Changes in Internal Control**

We are in the process of evaluating and integrating Epocrates revenue internal control processes and controls with ours.

Other than the change noted above, there have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting for the year ended December 31, 2013, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.



Table of Contents

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of athenahealth, Inc.  
Watertown, Massachusetts

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of athenahealth, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. As described in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, management excluded from its assessment the internal control over the revenue cycle of Epocrates, Inc., which was acquired on March 12, 2013 and constitutes 9% of revenues of the consolidated financial statement amounts for the year ended December 31, 2013. Accordingly, our audit did not include the internal control over financial reporting over the revenue cycle at Epocrates, Inc. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013 of the Company and our report dated February 7, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP  
Boston, Massachusetts  
February 7, 2014



Table of Contents

|          |                    |
|----------|--------------------|
| Item 9B. | Other Information. |
| None.    |                    |

61

---

Table of Contents

PART III

Certain information required by Part III of Form 10-K is omitted from this report because we expect to file a definitive proxy statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (“2014 Proxy Statement”) within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14A promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the information included in our 2014 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference to the extent provided below.

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the information to be contained in our 2014 Proxy Statement.

We have adopted a code of ethics that applies to all of our directors, officers, and employees. This code is publicly available on our website at [www.athenahealth.com](http://www.athenahealth.com). Amendments to the code of ethics or any grant of a waiver from a provision of the code requiring disclosure under applicable SEC and NASDAQ Global Select Market rules will be disclosed on our website or, if so required, disclosed in a Current Report on Form 8-K.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the information to be contained in our 2014 Proxy Statement.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the information to be contained in our 2014 Proxy Statement.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the information to be contained in our 2014 Proxy Statement.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the information to be contained in our 2014 Proxy Statement.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.

- (a) Documents filed as part of this report.
- (1) The following consolidated financial statements are filed herewith in Item 8 of Part II above.
  - (i) Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
  - (ii) Consolidated Balance Sheets
  - (iii) Consolidated Statements of Income
  - (iv) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
  - (v) Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity
  - (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
  - (vi) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
- (2) Financial Statement Schedules

All other supplemental schedules are omitted because of the absence of conditions under which they are required or because the required information is given in the financial statements or notes thereto.

(3) Exhibits

See the Exhibit Index immediately following the signature page of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

## ATHENAHEALTH, INC.

By: /s/ Jonathan Bush  
Jonathan Bush  
Chief Executive Officer, President, and Chairman

By: /s/ Timothy M. Adams  
Timothy M. Adams  
Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President

Date: February 7, 2014

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature  | Title  | Date             |
|--|--|------------------|
| /s/ Jonathan Bush<br>(Jonathan Bush)                   | Chief Executive Officer, President, and<br>Chairman (Principal Executive Officer)                                    | February 7, 2014 |
| /s/ Timothy M. Adams<br>(Timothy M. Adams)             | Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President<br>(Principal Financial Officer & Principal Accounting<br>Officer) | February 7, 2014 |
| /s/ Amy Abernethy<br>(Amy Abernethy)                   | Director   | February 7, 2014 |
| /s/ Brandon H. Hull<br>(Brandon H. Hull)               | Lead Director  | February 7, 2014 |
| /s/ Dev Ittycheria<br>(Dev Ittycheria)                 | Director   | February 7, 2014 |
| /s/ John A. Kane<br>(John A. Kane)                     | Director   | February 7, 2014 |
| /s/ Jacqueline B. Kosecoff<br>(Jacqueline B. Kosecoff) | Director   | February 7, 2014 |
| /s/ James L. Mann<br>(James L. Mann)                   | Director   | February 7, 2014 |
| /s/ David E. Robinson<br>(David E. Robinson)           | Director   | February 7, 2014 |



Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit Description   | Incorporated by Reference |            |                    | Filed herewith |
|-------------|---|---------------------------|------------|--------------------|----------------|
|             |   | Form                      | File No.   | Filing Date        |                |
| 2.1         | Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among the Registrant, Aries Acquisition Corporation, Anodyne Health Partners, Inc., and the Securityholders' Representatives named therein, dated October 5, 2009 | 8-K                       | 001-33689  | October 5, 2009    |                |
| 2.2         | Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among the Registrant, Prometheus Acquisition LLC, Proxsys LLC, and the Securityholders' Representative named therein, dated July 21, 2011                         | 8-K                       | 001-33689  | July 21, 2011      |                |
| 2.3         | Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among the Registrant, Echo Merger Sub, Inc., and Epocrates, Inc., dated January 7, 2013   | 8-K                       | 001-33689  | January 7, 2013    |                |
| 3.1         | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant   | S-1                       | 333-143998 | September 11, 2007 |                |
| 3.2         | Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant   | S-1                       | 333-143998 | September 11, 2007 |                |
| 4.1         | Specimen Certificate evidencing shares of common stock  | S-1                       | 333-143998 | August 3, 2007     |                |
| 10.1        | Form of Indemnification Agreement, to be entered into between the Registrant and each of its directors and officers   | S-1                       | 333-143998 | September 6, 2007  |                |
| †10.2       | athenahealth, Inc. 1997 Stock Plan and form of agreements   | S-1                       | 333-143998 | July 13, 2007      |                |
| †10.3       | athenahealth, Inc. 2000 Stock Option and Incentive Plan, as amended, and form of agreements   | S-1                       | 333-143998 | July 13, 2007      |                |
| †10.4       | athenahealth, Inc. 2007 Stock Option and Incentive Plan, as amended, and form of agreements   | 10-Q                      | 001-33689  | October 18, 2013   |                |
| †10.5       | athenahealth, Inc. 2007 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended  | 10-Q                      | 001-33689  | October 19, 2012   |                |
| †10.6       | Epocrates, Inc. 1999 Stock Option Plan, as amended  | S-8                       | 333-187224 | March 13, 2013     |                |
| †10.7       | Epocrates, Inc. 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended  | S-8                       | 333-187224 | March 13, 2013     |                |
| †10.8       | Epocrates, Inc. 2010 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended  | S-8                       | 333-187224 | March 13, 2013     |                |
| †10.9       | Employment Agreement by and between the Registrant and Timothy M. Adams, dated January 11, 2010   | 10-Q                      | 001-33689  | May 3, 2010        |                |
| †10.10      |   | S-1                       | 333-143998 | July 13, 2007      |                |



Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

Employment Agreement by and between the Registrant and Jonathan Bush, dated November 1, 1999, as amended

|        |   |      |           |                  |
|--------|---|------|-----------|------------------|
| †10.11 | Employment Agreement by and between the Registrant and Robert L. Cosinuke, dated December 3, 2007 | 10-Q | 001-33689 | May 6, 2008      |
| †10.12 | Employment Agreement by and between the Registrant and Stephen Kahane, dated February 18, 2011    | 10-Q | 001-33689 | April 29, 2011   |
| †10.13 | Employment Agreement by and between the Registrant and Daniel H. Orenstein, dated July 1, 2010    | 10-Q | 001-33689 | October 22, 2010 |
| †10.14 | Employment Agreement by and between the Registrant and Ed Park, dated July 1, 2010                | 10-Q | 001-33689 | October 22, 2010 |
| †10.15 | athenahealth, Inc. Executive Incentive Plan, adopted March 29, 2013                               | 8-K  | 001-33689 | April 4, 2013    |

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit Description   | Incorporated by Reference |            |                   | Filed herewith |
|-------------|---|---------------------------|------------|-------------------|----------------|
|             |   | Form                      | File No.   | Filing Date       |                |
| #10.16      | Lease between President and Fellows of Harvard College and the Registrant, dated November 8, 2004, for space at the premises located at 300 North Beacon Street, Watertown, MA 02472 and 311 Arsenal Street, Watertown, MA 02472  | S-1                       | 333-143998 | July 13, 2007     |                |
| 10.17       | First Amendment to Lease by and between the Registrant and President and Fellows of Harvard College, dated May 16, 2011   | 10-Q                      | 001-33689  | July 22, 2011     |                |
| 10.18       | Second Amendment to Lease by and between the Registrant and President and Fellows of Harvard College, dated November 7, 2011  | 10-K                      | 001-33689  | February 16, 2012 |                |
| 10.19       | Third Amendment to Lease by and between the Registrant and President and Fellows of Harvard College, dated August 29, 2012  | 10-Q                      | 001-33689  | October 19, 2012  |                |
| 10.20       | Lease Deed by and between M/S. Faery Estates Private Limited and athenahealth Technology Private Limited, dated October 24, 2011, for space at the premises located at Unit No. 3 and 4, 9 <sup>th</sup> Floor, MGR Salai (Veeranam Road), Kandanchavadi, Perungudi, Chennai, 600096. | 10-K                      | 001-33689  | February 16, 2012 |                |
| #10.21      | Agreement of Lease by and between Sentinel Properties -- Bedford, LLC and the Registrant, dated May 8, 2007   | S-1                       | 333-143998 | July 13, 2007     |                |
| 10.22       | Office Lease Agreement by and between the Registrant and JAMESTOWN Ponce City Market, L.P., dated June 24, 2013   | 10-Q                      | 001-33689  | July 19, 2013     |                |
| 10.23       | Purchase and Sale Agreement by and between the Registrant and the President and Fellows of Harvard College, dated December 5, 2012  | 10-K                      | 001-33689  | February 11, 2013 |                |
| 10.24       | First Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement by and between athenahealth, Inc. and President and Fellows of Harvard College, dated March 12, 2013   | 8-K                       | 001-33689  | March 18, 2013    |                |
| 10.25       | Credit Agreement among the Registrant, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender, and L/C Issuer, and the other lenders from time to time party thereto, dated October 20, 2011, and exhibits and schedules thereunder.                                       | 10-Q                      | 001-33689  | October 21, 2011  |                |

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

|        |   |      |           |               |   |
|--------|---|------|-----------|---------------|---|
| 10.26  | Credit Agreement among the Registrant, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender, and Letter of Credit Issuer, the other lenders party thereto, and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and TD Securities (USA) LLC as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Book Managers, dated May 10, 2013, and exhibits and schedules thereunder | 10-Q | 001-33689 | July 19, 2013 |   |
| 10.27  | Amended and Restated Master Agreement by and between the Registrant and Dell Marketing L.P., dated February 1, 2013   | 10-Q | 001-33689 | July 19, 2013 |   |
| †10.28 | Director Compensation Plan of the Registrant, effective as of January 1, 2014   |      |           |               | X |
| 21.1   | Subsidiaries of the Registrant  |      |           |               | X |
| 23.1   | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm  |      |           |               | X |
| 31.1   | Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14 Certification of Chief Executive Officer   |      |           |               | X |
| 31.2   | Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14 Certification of Chief Financial Officer   |      |           |               | X |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit<br>No. | Exhibit Description  | Incorporated by Reference |          |             | Filed<br>herewith |
|----------------|--|---------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|
|                |  | Form                      | File No. | Filing Date |                   |
| 32.1*          | Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Exchange Act rules 13a-14(b) or 15d-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350   |                           |          |             |                   |
| 101            | XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language). The following materials from athenahealth, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, formatted in XBRL: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (vi) notes to consolidated financial statements. |                           |          |             | X                 |
| †              | Indicates a management contract or any compensatory plan, contract, or arrangement.  |                           |          |             |                   |
| *              | Furnished herewith.  |                           |          |             |                   |
| #              | Application has been made to the Securities and Exchange Commission for confidential treatment of certain provisions. Omitted material for which confidential treatment has been requested has been filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.  |                           |          |             |                   |

Table of Contents

Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

athenahealth, Inc.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm F-2

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Consolidated Balance Sheets F-3

Consolidated Statements of Income F-4

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income F-5

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity F-6

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows F-7

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements F-9

F-1

---

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of  
athenahealth, Inc.

Watertown, Massachusetts

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of athenahealth, Inc. and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders’ equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of athenahealth, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 7, 2014, expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Boston, Massachusetts

February 7, 2014

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

|   | December 31,<br>2013 | December 31,<br>2012 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| Assets  |                      |                      |
| Current assets:   |                      |                      |
| Cash and cash equivalents   | \$65,002             | \$154,988            |
| Short-term investments  | —                    | 38,092               |
| Accounts receivable - net   | 87,343               | 61,916               |
| Current portion of restricted cash  | 3,000                | 1,357                |
| Deferred tax assets   | 6,118                | 6,907                |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets   | 17,194               | 10,924               |
| Total current assets  | 178,657              | 274,184              |
| Property and equipment - net  | 213,018              | 54,035               |
| Capitalized software costs - net  | 29,987               | 16,050               |
| Purchased intangible assets - net   | 168,364              | 21,561               |
| Goodwill  | 198,049              | 48,090               |
| Deferred tax assets   | —                    | 11,759               |
| Investments and other assets  | 8,321                | 2,773                |
| Total assets  | \$796,396            | \$428,452            |
| Liabilities & Stockholders' Equity  |                      |                      |
| Current liabilities:  |                      |                      |
| Accounts payable  | \$3,930              | \$1,733              |
| Accrued compensation  | 44,444               | 36,393               |
| Accrued expenses  | 24,297               | 19,683               |
| Line of credit  | 35,000               | —                    |
| Current portion of long-term debt   | 15,000               | —                    |
| Current portion of deferred revenue   | 27,002               | 8,209                |
| Current portion of deferred rent  | 83                   | 799                  |
| Total current liabilities   | 149,756              | 66,817               |
| Deferred rent, net of current portion   | 1,478                | 2,854                |
| Long-term debt, net of current portion  | 173,750              | —                    |
| Deferred revenue, net of current portion  | 53,172               | 45,515               |
| Long-term deferred tax liability - net  | 21,421               | —                    |
| Other long-term liabilities   | 5,511                | 1,618                |
| Total liabilities   | 405,088              | 116,804              |
| Commitments and contingencies (note 14)   |                      |                      |
| Stockholders' equity:   |                      |                      |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value: 5,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively   | —                    | —                    |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value: 125,000 shares authorized; 38,600 shares issued and 37,322 shares outstanding at December 31, 2013; 37,572 shares issued and 36,294 shares outstanding at December 31, 2012 | 387                  | 376                  |
| Additional paid-in capital  | 380,967              | 303,547              |
| Treasury stock, at cost, 1,278 shares   | (1,200)              | (1,200)              |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss  | (446)                | (81)                 |
| Retained earnings   | 11,600               | 9,006                |
| Total stockholders' equity  | 391,308              | 311,648              |

|  |           |           |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$796,396 | \$428,452 |
|--|-----------|-----------|

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-3

---



Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

|   | Year Ended December 31, |           |           |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|   | 2013                    | 2012      | 2011      |
| Revenue:  |                         |           |           |
| Business services   | \$563,237               | \$408,496 | \$312,768 |
| Implementation and other  | 31,766                  | 13,775    | 11,299    |
| Total revenue   | 595,003                 | 422,271   | 324,067   |
| Expense:  |                         |           |           |
| Direct operating  | 238,672                 | 166,886   | 122,795   |
| Selling and marketing   | 149,488                 | 104,300   | 79,775    |
| Research and development  | 57,639                  | 33,792    | 23,343    |
| General and administrative                                      | 99,776                  | 57,025    | 48,711    |
| Depreciation and amortization                                   | 43,575                  | 25,641    | 16,710    |
| Total expense   | 589,150                 | 387,644   | 291,334   |
| Operating income  | 5,853                   | 34,627    | 32,733    |
| Other (expense) income:   |                         |           |           |
| Interest expense  | (3,905)                 | (407)     | (314)     |
| Other income  | 283                     | 658       | 461       |
| Total other (expense) income                                    | (3,622)                 | 251       | 147       |
| Income before income tax benefit (provision)                    | 2,231                   | 34,878    | 32,880    |
| Income tax benefit (provision)                                  | 363                     | (16,146)  | (13,834)  |
| Net income  | \$2,594                 | \$18,732  | \$19,046  |
| Net income per share – Basic                                    | \$0.07                  | \$0.52    | \$0.54    |
| Net income per share – Diluted                                  | \$0.07                  | \$0.50    | \$0.53    |
| Weighted average shares used in computing net income per share: |                         |           |           |
| Basic   | 36,856                  | 35,956    | 35,046    |
| Diluted   | 38,257                  | 37,133    | 36,050    |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Amounts in thousands)

|   | Year Ended December 31, |          |          |
|---|-------------------------|----------|----------|
|   | 2013                    | 2012     | 2011     |
| Net income  | \$2,594                 | \$18,732 | \$19,046 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss)   |                         |          |          |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on securities, net of tax of \$5, \$3, and \$1 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.          | 13                      | 32       | (6 )     |
| Unrealized loss on interest rate derivative, net of tax of \$101, \$0, and \$0 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. | (253 )                  | —        | —        |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment   | (125 )                  | (12 )    | (123 )   |
| Total other comprehensive (loss) income   | (365 )                  | 20       | (129 )   |
| Comprehensive income  | \$2,229                 | \$18,752 | \$18,917 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-5

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(Amounts in thousands)

|   | Common Stock |        | Additional<br>Paid-In<br>Capital | Treasury Stock |            | Accumulated<br>Other<br>Comprehensive<br>(Loss) Income | Retained<br>Earnings<br>Accumulated<br>Deficit | Total<br>Stockholders<br>Equity |
|---|--------------|--------|----------------------------------|----------------|------------|--|--|---------------------------------|
|   | Shares       | Amount |                                  | Shares         | Amount     |  |  |                                 |
| BALANCE – January 1, 2011   | 35,808       | \$ 358 | \$ 200,339                       | (1,278 )       | \$(1,200 ) | \$ 28  | \$ (28,772 )                                   | \$ 170,753                      |
| Stock compensation expense  |              |        | 18,901                           |                |            |  |  | 18,901                          |
| Stock options exercised and restricted stock units vested                   | 816          | 8      | 12,320                           |                |            |  |  | 12,328                          |
| Common stock issued under employee stock purchase plan                      | 54           | 1      | 1,768                            |                |            |  |  | 1,769                           |
| Tax benefit realized from stock-based awards                                |              |        | 13,803                           |                |            |  |  | 13,803                          |
| Net income  |              |        |                                  |                |            |  | 19,046   | 19,046                          |
| Other comprehensive loss  |              |        |                                  |                |            | (129 )   |  | (129 )                          |
| BALANCE – December 31, 2011   | 36,678       | \$ 367 | \$ 247,131                       | (1,278 )       | \$(1,200 ) | \$ (101 )  | \$ (9,726 )                                    | \$ 236,471                      |
| Stock compensation expense  |              |        | 28,082                           |                |            |  |  | 28,082                          |
| Stock options and warrants exercised and restricted stock units vested, net | 849          | 9      | 11,758                           |                |            |  |  | 11,767                          |
| Common stock issued under employee stock purchase plan                      | 45           | —      | 2,426                            |                |            |  |  | 2,426                           |
| Tax benefit realized from stock-based awards                                |              |        | 14,150                           |                |            |  |  | 14,150                          |
| Net income  |              |        |                                  |                |            |  | 18,732   | 18,732                          |
| Other comprehensive income  |              |        |                                  |                |            | 20   |  | 20                              |
| BALANCE – December 31, 2012   | 37,572       | \$ 376 | \$ 303,547                       | (1,278 )       | \$(1,200 ) | \$ (81 )   | \$ 9,006                                       | \$ 311,648                      |
| Stock compensation expense  |              |        | 44,842                           |                |            |  |  | 44,842                          |
| Stock options exercised and restricted stock units vested, net              | 983          | 11     | 15,805                           |                |            |  |  | 15,816                          |

|   |               |              |                  |                 |                   |                  |                  |                   |
|---|---------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Common stock issued under employee stock purchase plan                | 45            | —            | 3,500            |                 |                   |                  |                  | 3,500             |
| Tax benefit realized from stock-based awards                          |               |              | 6,051            |                 |                   |                  |                  | 6,051             |
| Fair value of vested stock options and restricted stock units assumed |               |              | 13,028           |                 |                   |                  |                  | 13,028            |
| Net settlement of acquired company's board of directors equity shares |               |              | (5,806 )         |                 |                   |                  |                  | (5,806 )          |
| Net income  |               |              |                  |                 |                   | 2,594            |                  | 2,594             |
| Other comprehensive loss  |               |              |                  |                 |                   | (365 )           |                  | (365 )            |
| <b>BALANCE – December 31, 2013</b>                                    | <b>38,600</b> | <b>\$387</b> | <b>\$380,967</b> | <b>(1,278 )</b> | <b>\$(1,200 )</b> | <b>\$ (446 )</b> | <b>\$ 11,600</b> | <b>\$ 391,308</b> |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Amounts in thousands)

|   | Year Ended December 31, |           |           |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|   | 2013                    | 2012      | 2011      |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>                                      |                         |           |           |
| Net income  | \$2,594                 | \$18,732  | \$19,046  |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |                         |           |           |
| Depreciation and amortization   | 61,853                  | 29,144    | 19,030    |
| Amortization of premium on investments  | 84                      | 1,270     | 1,579     |
| Provision for uncollectible accounts  | 791                     | 153       | 1,122     |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based awards  | (6,910)                 | (14,179)  | (14,208)  |
| Deferred income tax   | (7,044)                 | (890)     | (2,962)   |
| Change in fair value of contingent considerations                                 | 76                      | (5,118)   | 40        |
| Stock-based compensation expense  | 42,648                  | 27,236    | 18,901    |
| Other reconciling adjustments   | (151)                   | (178)     | 73        |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities:                                      |                         |           |           |
| Accounts receivable   | (4,190)                 | (12,764)  | (12,130)  |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets   | 3,283                   | 12,096    | 11,787    |
| Other long-term assets  | (66)                    | 111       | 489       |
| Accounts payable  | (233)                   | 13        | 688       |
| Accrued expenses  | (21)                    | 3,898     | 2,832     |
| Accrued compensation  | 5,775                   | 7,959     | 8,055     |
| Deferred revenue  | (3,090)                 | 2,969     | 9,987     |
| Deferred rent   | (2,091)                 | (239)     | (3,565)   |
| Net cash provided by operating activities   | 93,308                  | 70,213    | 60,764    |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>                                      |                         |           |           |
| Capitalized software development costs  | (29,123)                | (15,657)  | (7,779)   |
| Purchases of property and equipment   | (38,260)                | (23,904)  | (16,696)  |
| Proceeds from sales and disposals of property and equipment                       | —                       | 172       | —         |
| Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments                                 | 56,245                  | 160,340   | 168,083   |
| Purchases of investments  | (2,000)                 | (118,919) | (165,657) |
| Payments on acquisitions, net of cash acquired                                    | (410,161)               | (5,798)   | (34,882)  |
| Change in restricted cash   | (1,643)                 | 3,650     | 3,684     |
| Net cash used in investing activities   | (424,942)               | (116)     | (53,247)  |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>                                      |                         |           |           |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock under stock plans and warrants             | 31,133                  | 18,699    | 14,097    |
| Taxes paid related to net share settlement of restricted stock awards             | (12,075)                | (4,248)   | —         |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based awards  | 6,910                   | 14,179    | 14,208    |
| Proceeds from long-term debt  | 200,000                 | —         | —         |
| Proceeds from line of credit  | 155,000                 | —         | —         |
| Payments on line of credit  | (120,000)               | —         | —         |
| Payments on long-term debt and capital lease obligations                          | (11,250)                | —         | (9,216)   |
| Net settlement of acquired company's board of directors equity shares             | (5,806)                 | —         | —         |
| Debt issuance costs   | (1,699)                 | —         | (741)     |
| Payment of contingent consideration accrued at acquisition date                   | (525)                   | (1,550)   | (3,355)   |
| Payment to terminate interest rate derivative contract                            | —                       | —         | (563)     |
| Net cash provided by financing activities   | 241,688                 | 27,080    | 14,430    |
| Effects of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents                     | (40)                    | 30        | (110)     |

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

|  |           |           |          |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents   | (89,986 ) | 97,207    | 21,837   |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period   | 154,988   | 57,781    | 35,944   |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period   | \$65,002  | \$154,988 | \$57,781 |
| Non-cash transactions  |           |           |          |
| Property, equipment and purchased software recorded in accounts payable and accrued expenses   | \$1,667   | \$4,217   | \$8,066  |
| Taxes to be paid related to net share settlement of restricted stock units in accrued expenses | \$—       | \$258     | \$—      |
| Fair value of equity awards assumed  | \$13,028  | \$—       | \$—      |

F-7

---

Table of Contents

|   |         |          |          |
|---|---------|----------|----------|
| 'Grant from government program to be used to reduce non-income taxes recorded in investments and other assets and other long-term liabilities | 936     | —        | —        |
| Fair value of contingent consideration at acquisition date  | —       | —        | 6,836    |
| Tax benefit recorded in prepaid expenses and other current assets   | \$5,649 | \$14,150 | \$13,803 |
| Additional disclosures  |         |          |          |
| Cash received for interest  | \$451   | \$1,960  | \$1,900  |
| Cash paid for interest  | \$3,328 | \$—      | \$183    |
| Cash paid for taxes   | \$1,348 | \$3,932  | \$2,708  |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-8

---

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

General – athenahealth, Inc. (the “Company”, “we”, “us”, or “our”) is a business services company that provides ongoing billing, clinical-related, and other related services to its customers. The Company provides these services with the use of a single instance of athenaNet, a proprietary Internet-based practice management application. The Company’s customers consist of medical group practices ranging in size throughout the United States of America. In March 2013, the Company acquired Epocrates, Inc. Epocrates is recognized for developing a leading medical application among U.S. physicians for clinical content, practice tools, and health industry engagement at the point of care. The features available through the Epocrates services are used by health care professionals to make more informed prescribing decisions, improve workflow, and enhance patient safety.

Principles of Consolidation – The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the results of operations of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates – The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant estimates and assumptions are used for, but are not limited to: (1) revenue recognition; including the estimated expected customer life; (2) asset impairments; (3) depreciable lives of assets; (4) fair value of stock-based compensation; (5) allocation of direct and indirect cost of sales; (6) fair value of identifiable purchased tangible and intangible assets in a business combination; (7) fair value of reporting units for goodwill impairment test and (8) litigation reserves. Actual results could significantly differ from those estimates.

Revenue Recognition – The Company recognizes revenue when there is evidence of an arrangement, the service has been provided to the customer, the collection of the fees is reasonably assured, and the amount of fees to be paid by the customer are fixed or determinable.

The Company derives its revenue from business services associated with our four integrated services and from subscriptions to and sponsored clinical information and decision support services for our point of care medical application. Our four integrated services consist of athenaCollector for revenue cycle and practice management; athenaClinicals for electronic health records (“EHR”); athenaCommunicator for automated, live and online patient communications; and athenaCoordinator for care coordination.

athenahealth’s clients typically purchase one-year service contracts related to our integrated services that renew automatically upon completion. In most cases, the Company’s clients may terminate their agreements with 90 days notice without cause. The Company typically retains the right to terminate client agreements in a similar timeframe. The Company’s clients are billed monthly, in arrears, based either upon a percentage of collections posted to athenaNet, minimum fees, flat fees, or per-claim fees where applicable. The Company does not recognize revenue for business services fees until these collections are made, as the services fees are not fixed and determinable until such time.

Subscriptions to the Epocrates point of care medical application are entered into by a member via an internal or third-party digital distribution platform or through a redeemable license code which expires within six to 12 months of issuance. Basic subscriptions are free and do not expire. Premium subscription fees are assessed on the length of the subscription period, typically one year, and payment occurs at the time of order, which is in advance of the services being performed, and is recorded as deferred revenue. Premium subscriptions are recognized ratably over the contracted term of delivery, typically one year. If a license code expires before it is redeemed, revenue is recognized upon expiration.

Clients in the health care industry typically enter into sponsored clinical information and decision support service arrangements that contain various combinations of services that are generally fulfilled within one year. The clients are



charged a fee for the entire group of services to be provided and are typically billed a portion of the contracted fee upon signing of the agreement with the balance billed upon one or more future milestones. Because billings typically occur in advance of services being performed, these amounts are recorded as deferred revenue when billed. Each deliverable within a multiple-deliverable revenue arrangement is accounted for as a separate unit if both of the following criteria are met: (1) the delivered item or items have value to the customer on a standalone basis and (2) for an arrangement that includes a general right of return relative to the delivered item(s), delivery or performance of the undelivered item(s) is considered probable and substantially in our control. Further, the Company's revenue arrangements do not include a general right of return, as we deliver services and not products.

F-9

---

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

The Company considers a deliverable to have standalone value if it sells this item separately or if the item is sold by another vendor or could be resold by the customer. Each service deliverable within these multiple element arrangements is then accounted for as a separate unit; deliverables not meeting the criteria for being a separate unit of accounting are combined with a deliverable that does meet that criterion, and the Company allocates arrangement consideration to each deliverable using its best estimate of selling price (“BESP”) if it does not have vendor specific objective evidence of selling price (“VSOE”) of fair value or third-party evidence (“TPE”) of fair value. Any discount or premium inherent in the arrangement is allocated to each element in the arrangement based on the relative fair value of each element.

Multiple element arrangements require judgments as to how to allocate the arrangement consideration to each deliverable. We maintain a standard price list by service; however, certain incentives, such as discounts, may be offered to clients when they purchase multiple services. Such discounting is subject to various levels of management approval and any discount offered is based on the total contract value. Due to the specific nature of these agreements and the variability in the amount of discount offered for individual services across multiple contracts, we have not been able to conclude that a consistent number of standalone sales of a deliverable have been priced within a reasonably narrow range in order to assert that we have established VSOE.

When we cannot establish VSOE of fair value, we then determine if we can establish TPE of fair value. TPE is determined based on competitor prices for similar deliverables when sold separately. Our services differ significantly from that of our peers and our offerings contain a significant level of customization and differentiation such that the comparable pricing of products with similar functionality cannot be obtained. Furthermore, we are unable to reliably determine what similar competitor products’ selling prices are on a stand-alone basis. Therefore, we are typically unable to determine TPE.

If both VSOE and TPE do not exist, we use BESP to establish fair value and to allocate total consideration to each element in the arrangement. The objective of BESP is to determine the price at which we would transact a sale if the product or service were sold on a stand-alone basis. We determine BESP for a product or service by considering multiple factors including an analysis of recent stand-alone sales of that product, market conditions, competitive landscape, internal costs, gross margin objectives, and pricing practices.

Implementation revenue consists primarily of professional services fees related to assisting customers with the implementation of the Company’s services and are generally billed upfront and recorded as deferred revenue until the implementation is complete and then, as the service does not have stand-alone value, it is recognized ratably over the longer of the life of the agreement or the estimated expected customer life, which is currently estimated to be 12 years. The Company evaluates the length of the amortization period of the implementation fees based on its experience with customer contract renewals and consideration of the period over which those customers will receive benefits from the Company’s current portfolio of services. Certain expenses related to the implementation of a customer, such as out-of-pocket travel, are typically reimbursed by the customer. This is accounted for as both revenue and expense in the period the cost is incurred. Other services consist primarily of tenant revenue which is straight-lined over the term of the lease.

**Direct Operating Expenses** – Direct operating expenses consist primarily of salaries, benefits, claims processing costs, stock-based compensation related to personnel who provide services to clients, including staff who implement new clients, and other direct expenses. Costs associated with the implementation of new clients are expensed as incurred. Direct operating expenses include all service costs incurred to fulfill our revenue contracts. Direct operating expenses also include costs associated with third-party tenant revenue for the Arsenal on the Charles. The reported amounts of direct operating expenses do not include allocated amounts for rent expense, depreciation, and amortization, except for a portion of amortization related to certain purchased intangible assets.

**Research and Development Expenses** – Research and development expenses consist primarily of personnel-related costs and consulting fees for third-party developers. All such costs are expensed as incurred, except for certain internal use software development costs, which may be capitalized.

Advertising Expenses – Advertising expenses are expensed as incurred and are included in selling and marketing expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Advertising expense totaled \$14.2 million, \$12.3 million and \$9.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Cash and Cash Equivalents – The Company considers all highly liquid investments with an original or remaining maturity from the Company’s date of purchase of 90 days or less to be cash equivalents.

Investments – Management determines the appropriate classification of investments at the time of purchase based upon management’s intent with regard to such investments. All investments, except for certain long-term investments in privately-

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

held companies which are accounted for at cost, are held as available-for-sale investments. Scheduled maturity dates of U.S. government-backed securities, corporate bonds and commercial paper purchased that are within one year are classified as short-term. Scheduled maturity dates of U.S. government-backed securities, corporate bonds and commercial paper that are in excess of one year are classified as long-term. All investments are recorded at fair value with unrealized holding gains and losses included in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income. There were no material realized gains and losses on sales of these investments for the periods presented. The Company determines realized gains and losses based on the specific identification method.

Accounts Receivable – Accounts receivable represents amounts due from customers for business services. Accounts receivable are stated net of an allowance for uncollectible accounts, which is determined by establishing reserves for specific accounts and consideration of historical and estimated probable losses.

Activity in the allowance for doubtful accounts is as follows:

|                            | Years Ended December 31, |         |         |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|
|                            | 2013                     | 2012    | 2011    |
| Beginning balance          | \$1,771                  | \$2,348 | \$1,945 |
| Provision                  | 791                      | 153     | 1,122   |
| Write-offs and adjustments | (871 )                   | (730 )  | (719 )  |
| Ending balance             | \$1,691                  | \$1,771 | \$2,348 |

Financial Instruments – Certain financial instruments are required to be recorded at fair value. The other financial instruments approximate their fair value, primarily because of their short-term nature. All highly-liquid debt instruments purchased with a maturity of three months or less at the date of acquisition are included in cash and cash equivalents.

Derivative financial instruments are used to manage certain of the Company's interest rate exposures. The Company does not enter into derivatives for trading or speculative purposes. Derivatives are carried at fair value, as determined using standard valuation models, and adjusted when necessary for credit risk. Refer to Note 9 – Debt for additional information.

Property and Equipment – Property and equipment are stated at cost. Equipment, furniture, and fixtures are depreciated using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives, generally ranging from three to five years. Leasehold improvements are depreciated using the straight-line method over the lesser of the useful life of the improvements or the applicable lease terms, excluding renewal periods. Buildings are depreciated using the straight-line method over 30 to 40 years. Building improvements are depreciated using the straight-line method over 10 years. Costs associated with maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. The airplane and land improvements are depreciated using the straight-line method over 20 years and 10 years, respectively.

Long-Lived Assets – Long-lived assets to be held and used are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. Determination of recoverability of long-lived assets is based on an estimate of undiscounted future cash flows resulting from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition, as compared with the asset carrying value. Measurement of an impairment loss for long-lived assets that management expects to hold and use is based on the fair value of the asset. Long-lived assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of carrying amount or fair value, less costs to sell. No impairment losses have been recognized in the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011.

Capitalized Interest Cost – Interest costs related to major capital projects, specifically the Company's corporate headquarters campus project and capitalized internal-use software development costs, are capitalized until the underlying asset is placed into service. Capitalized interest is calculated by multiplying the effective interest rate of the outstanding debt by the qualifying costs. As the qualifying asset is placed into service, the qualifying asset and the related capitalized interest are amortized over the useful life of the related asset.

Restricted Cash – As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, restricted cash balances totaled \$3.0 million and \$1.4 million, respectively. The December 31, 2013 balance of \$3.0 million consists of escrowed funds held as a deposit associated

with a possible pending lease. The amount was returned to Company when the lease was signed in January 2014. The December 31, 2012 balance consists of \$0.9 million of escrowed funds held under a letter of credit as a condition of the Company's previous operating lease for its corporate headquarters and \$0.5 million consists of a deposit made relating to the purchase of the Company's corporate headquarters.

F-11

---

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

Capitalized Software Costs – The Company capitalizes costs related to its athenaNet services and certain other projects for internal use incurred during the application development stage, including stock-based compensation expense for employees working on these projects. Costs related to the preliminary project stage and post implementation activities are expensed as incurred. Internal-use software is amortized on a straight-line basis over its estimated useful life. The estimated useful life of the software is two to three years. Amortization expense was \$18.0 million, \$9.0 million, and \$4.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. Future amortization expense for all software development costs capitalized as of December 31, 2013 is estimated to be \$18.8 million, \$9.2 million and \$0.6 million for the years ending December 31, 2014, 2015, and 2016, respectively. In addition to the future amortization expenses, the Company has a \$1.4 million balance in a capitalization in progress account related to software development costs.

Goodwill – Goodwill is recorded as the difference, if any, between the aggregate consideration paid for an acquisition and the fair value of the identifiable net tangible and intangible assets acquired. Goodwill is not amortized but is evaluated for impairment annually or more frequently if indicators of impairment are present or changes in circumstances suggest that impairment may exist. The Company evaluates the carrying value of its goodwill annually on November 30. The first step of the goodwill impairment test compares the fair value of the reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value of the Company's reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, the goodwill of the reporting unit is considered not impaired. If the carrying amount of the Company's reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the second step of the goodwill impairment test is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. The second step of the goodwill impairment test, used to measure the amount of impairment loss, compares the implied fair value of the affected reporting unit's goodwill with the carrying value of that goodwill. No impairment losses have been recognized in the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011.

Purchased Intangible Assets – Purchased intangible assets consist of technology, a physician network, content, a trade name, customer backlog, non-compete agreements and customer relationships acquired in connection with business acquisitions and are amortized over their estimated useful lives based on the pattern of economic benefit expected from each asset. The Company concluded for certain purchased intangible assets that the pattern of economic benefit approximated straight-line method therefore the use of the straight-line method was appropriate as the majority of the cash flows will be recognized ratably over the estimated useful lives and there is no degradation of the cash flows over time.

Accrued expenses and accrued compensation – Accrued expenses consist of the following:

|   | As of December 31, |          |
|---|--------------------|----------|
|   | 2013               | 2012     |
| Accrued bonus                                       | \$25,013           | \$17,192 |
| Accrued vacation                                    | 5,107              | 4,109    |
| Accrued payroll                                     | 8,611              | 9,980    |
| Accrued commissions                                 | 5,713              | 5,112    |
| Accrued compensation expenses                       | \$44,444           | \$36,393 |
| Accrued expenses                                    | \$23,692           | \$15,214 |
| Accrued property and equipment additions            | 605                | 4,021    |
| Current portion of accrued contingent consideration | —                  | 448      |
| Accrued expenses                                    | \$24,297           | \$19,683 |

Deferred Rent – Deferred rent consists of rent escalation payment terms, tenant improvement allowances and other incentives received from landlords related to the Company's operating leases for its facilities. Rent escalation represents the difference between actual operating lease payments due and straight-line rent expense, which is recorded by the Company over the term of the lease, including any construction period. The excess is recorded as a deferred credit in the early periods of the lease, when cash payments are generally lower than straight-line rent expense, and is reduced in the later periods of the lease when payments begin to exceed the straight-line expense.

Tenant allowances from landlords for tenant improvements are generally comprised of cash received from the landlord as part of the negotiated terms of the lease or reimbursements of moving costs. These cash payments are recorded as deferred rent from landlords and are amortized as a reduction of periodic rent expense, over the term of the applicable lease.

Deferred Revenue – Deferred revenue primarily consists of billings or payments received in advance of the revenue recognition criteria being met. Deferred revenue includes certain deferred revenue associated with multiple element

F-12

---

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

arrangements associated with sponsored clinical information and decision support services which is recognized based upon contractual deliverables, and implementation services fees which are recognized as revenue ratably over the longer of the life of the agreement or the estimated expected customer life, which is currently estimated to be twelve years. Deferred revenue that will be recognized during the succeeding 12-month period is recorded as current deferred revenue and the remaining portion is recorded as noncurrent.

**Business Combinations** – The Company applies business combination accounting when they have acquired control over one or more businesses. Business Combinations are accounted for at fair value. The associated acquisition costs are generally expensed as incurred and recorded in general and administrative expenses; non-controlling interests, if any, are reflected at fair value at the acquisition date; in-process research and development (“IPR&D”), if any, is recorded at fair value as an intangible asset at the acquisition date; restructuring costs associated with a business combination, if any, are generally expensed rather than capitalized; contingent consideration is measured at fair value at the acquisition date, with changes in the fair value after the acquisition date affecting earnings; changes in deferred tax asset valuation allowances and income tax uncertainties after the measurement period will affect income tax expense; and goodwill is determined as the excess of the fair value of the consideration conveyed in the acquisition over the fair value of the net assets acquired. The accounting for business combinations requires estimates and judgments as to expectations for future cash flows of the acquired business, and the allocation of those cash flows to identifiable intangible assets, in determining the estimated fair value for assets and liabilities acquired. The fair values assigned to tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed, including contingent consideration, are based on management’s estimates and assumptions, as well as other information compiled by management, including valuations that utilize customary valuation procedures and techniques. If the actual results differ from the estimates and judgments used in these estimates, the amounts recorded in the financial statements could result in a possible impairment of the intangible assets and goodwill, or require acceleration of the amortization expense of finite-lived intangible assets. The results of the newly acquired businesses’ operations are included in the Consolidated Statements of Income of the combined entity beginning on the date of acquisition. We have applied this acquisition method to the transactions described in Note 2.

**Related Party Transaction** – During the year ended December 31, 2013, we made a long-term investment in a vendor. The total expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$1.5 million and the total amount payable at December 31, 2013 was \$0.4 million.

**Concentrations of Credit Risk** – Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk are cash equivalents, investments, derivatives, and accounts receivable. The Company attempts to limit its credit risk associated with cash equivalents and investments by investing in highly-rated corporate and financial institutions, and engaging with highly-rated financial institutions as counterparties to its derivative transactions. With respect to customer accounts receivable, the Company manages its credit risk by performing ongoing credit evaluations of its customers. No customers accounted for more than 10% of revenues for the years ended December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. No customer accounted for more than 10% of accounts receivable as of December 31, 2013. One customer accounted for 11% of accounts receivable as of the year ended December 31, 2012 due to the timing of receipt of payments.

**Income Taxes** – Deferred tax assets and liabilities relate to temporary differences between the financial reporting and income tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using enacted tax rates and laws expected to be in effect at the time of their reversal. A valuation allowance is established to reduce net deferred tax assets if, based on the available positive and negative evidence, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. In making such determination, the Company considers all available positive and negative evidence, including future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, projected future taxable income, tax planning strategies, and recent financial results.

The Company recognizes a tax benefit from an uncertain tax position when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including resolutions of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the



technical merits. Our income tax positions must meet a more-likely-than-not recognition threshold at the balance sheet date to be recognized in the related period. The Company's policy is to record interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense.

From time to time, the Company receives incentives from various government agencies and programs. The Company accounts for the portion of the credits that are expected to be used to reduce non-income taxes as a grant. Credits which are expected to be used to reduce non-income taxes are recognized when the requirements to earn the credits have been met.

Sales and Use Taxes – The Company's services are subject to sales and use taxes in certain jurisdictions. The Company's contractual agreements with its customers provide that payment of any sales or use tax assessments are the responsibility of the customer. In certain jurisdictions sales taxes are collected from the customer and remitted to the respective agencies. These taxes are recorded on a net basis and excluded from revenue and expense in our financial statements as presented.

F-13

---

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

Segment Reporting – Operating segments are identified as components of an enterprise about which separate discrete financial information is evaluated by the chief operating decision-maker (“CODM”), or decision-making group, in making decisions regarding resource allocation and assessing performance. The Company, which uses consolidated financial information in determining how to allocate resources and assess performance, has determined that it operates in one segment and the CODM uses non-GAAP operating income (defined as Operating Income as shown in the Consolidated Statements of Income less total stock-based compensation, amortization expense related to purchased intangible assets, integration costs, transaction costs, and gain on early termination of lease for the period) as the measure of the Company’s profit on a regular basis. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company acquired and integrated two significant businesses and re-evaluated its operating segments. As of December 31, 2013, the Company’s CODM determined that the newly-acquired businesses are so closely integrated, that he will continue to review and assess the business as one operating segment.

Stock-Based Compensation – The Company accounts for share-based awards, including shares issued under employee stock purchase plans, stock options, and share-based awards with compensation cost measured using the fair value of the awards issued. The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to value share-based awards and determine the related compensation expense. The assumptions used in calculating the fair value of share-based awards represent management’s best estimates. The Company generally issues previously unissued shares for the exercise of stock options; however, the Company may reissue previously acquired treasury shares to satisfy these issuances in the future.

Certain employees have received grants for which the ultimate number of shares that will be subject to vesting is dependent upon the achievement of certain financial targets for the year. Such determination is not made until the grant’s vesting determination date, which is the date the Company’s fiscal year financial statements are available. The grant is initially recorded at the maximum attainable number of shares that is most likely to be subject to vesting based on available financial forecasts as of the date of grant. This amount is adjusted on a quarterly basis as new financial forecasts become available. Stock based compensation expense for these grants is recorded over the requisite service period, generally four years. Such options generally vest ratably over four years from the vesting determination date.

Foreign Currency Translation – The financial position and results of operations of the Company’s foreign subsidiary are measured using local currency as the functional currency. Assets and liabilities are translated at the rate of exchange in effect at the end of each reporting period. Revenues and expenses are translated at the average exchange rate for the period. Foreign currency translation gains and losses are recorded within other comprehensive (loss) income.

**2. ACQUISITIONS**

Watertown, MA Corporate Headquarters - Arsenal on the Charles

On May 10, 2013, athenahealth, through its wholly-owned subsidiary Athena Arsenal, LLC, completed the acquisition of the real estate commonly known as the Arsenal on the Charles, located in Watertown, Massachusetts. The Arsenal on the Charles is an expansive 29-acre, multi-building, commercial property where the Company was leasing space for its headquarters and related operating activities prior to the transaction. The purpose of this acquisition is to allow for future expansion of the corporate headquarters to accommodate anticipated headcount growth. The purchase price was \$168.5 million, subject to working capital adjustments. The fair value of the consideration paid was \$167.3 million, all of which was paid in cash.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the date of acquisition:

|   |         |   |
|---|---------|---|
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | \$685   |   |
| Property, equipment and buildings         | 144,071 |   |
| Purchased intangible assets               | 25,545  |   |
| Accrued expenses                          | (271)   | ) |
| Deferred revenue                          | (789)   | ) |

|                               |           |   |
|-------------------------------|-----------|---|
| Other long-term liabilities   | (1,916    | ) |
| Total identifiable net assets | \$167,325 |   |

The fair values assigned to tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed are based on management's estimates and assumptions and are based on the information that was available as of the date of the acquisition. The Company believes that the information provides a reasonable basis for estimating the fair values of assets acquired and

F-14

---

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

liabilities assumed, but certain items such as the working capital adjustments to the purchase price may be subject to change as additional information is received about facts and circumstances that existed at the date of acquisition. Thus, the provisional measurements of fair value set forth above are subject to change. We expect to finalize the valuation as soon as practicable, but not later than one year from the acquisition date.

The following table sets forth the fair value of the preliminary components of the identifiable intangible assets acquired by asset class:

|   |          |
|---|----------|
| Above market leases                             | \$3,298  |
| In-place leases                                 | 22,247   |
| Total intangible assets subject to amortization | \$25,545 |

The value of any in-place lease is estimated to be equal to the property owners' avoidance of costs necessary to re-lease the property for a lease term equal to the remaining primary in-place lease term and the value of investment grade tenancy. The cost avoidance to the property owners of vacancy/leasing costs necessary to lease the property for a lease term equal to the remaining in-place lease term is derived first by determining the in-place lease term on the subject lease. Then, based on the Company's review of the market, the cost to be borne by a property owner to replicate a market lease to the remaining in-place term was estimated. These costs consist of: (i) rent lost during downtime (e.g., assumed periods of vacancy), (ii) estimated expenses that would be incurred by the property owner during periods of vacancy, (iii) rent concessions (e.g., free rent), (iv) leasing commissions, and (v) tenant improvement allowances. The Company determine these values using its own estimates along with third-party appraisals. We amortize the capitalized value of in-place lease intangible assets to expense over the remaining initial term of each lease. We amortize the capitalized value of above market leases to expense over the initial and expected renewal terms of the leases. No amortization period for intangible assets will exceed the remaining depreciable life of the building. The amounts of third-party tenant revenue (included in the line item "Implementation and other") and net loss from the Arsenal on the Charles included in our Consolidated Statements of Income from the acquisition date of May 10, 2013, through the period ended December 31, 2013, are \$9.7 million and \$4.8 million, respectively. Direct operating expense from the acquisition date of May 10, 2013, through the period ended December 31, 2013, includes \$9.1 million of costs associated with third-party tenant revenue for the Arsenal on the Charles.

We incurred transaction costs in connection with the acquisition of \$2.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2013, respectively, and \$3.1 million in total. These costs are included in general and administrative expenses. Epocrates, Inc.

On March 12, 2013, we acquired Epocrates, a leading provider of essential clinical content, practice tools, and health industry engagement via mobile devices at the point of care. We acquired Epocrates for the assembled workforce, expected synergies, and accelerated awareness of athenahealth's services across the physician market and to deliver high-value information to the clinical community. The acquisition date fair value of the consideration transferred for Epocrates, less cash and short-term investments acquired, was approximately \$237.6 million, which consisted of the following:

|   |           |   |
|---|-----------|---|
| Cash payments   | \$294,632 |   |
| Fair value of vested stock options and restricted stock units assumed | 13,028    |   |
| Fair value of total consideration                                     | 307,660   |   |
| Less cash acquired  | (51,796   | ) |
| Less short-term investments acquired                                  | (18,250   | ) |
| Total   | \$237,614 |   |

The value of the share consideration for Epocrates' common stock was based on the average closing sales prices per share of athenahealth common stock for the ten trading days ending on the second trading day prior to the closing of the acquisition. The fair value of the stock options and restricted stock units assumed by us was determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The share conversion ratio of 0.1239 was applied to convert Epocrates stock

options and restricted stock units to athenahealth stock options and restricted stock units.

F-15

---

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

We assumed stock options and restricted stock units with a fair value of \$22.6 million. Of the total consideration, \$13.0 million was allocated to the purchase consideration and \$9.6 million was allocated to future services and will be expensed on a straight-line basis over the remaining service periods. In the year ended December 31, 2013, stock-based compensation expense recognized for stock options and restricted stock units assumed was \$7.4 million. The following table summarizes the preliminary and final fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the date of acquisition, March 12, 2013, and upon completion of the valuation at December 31, 2013:

|  | March 12, 2013 | Adjustments | December 31, 2013 |
|--|----------------|-------------|-------------------|
| Accounts receivable                                | \$23,144       | \$(1,116 )  | \$22,028          |
| Other current and long-term assets                 | 3,833          | 650         | 4,483             |
| Property, equipment and capitalized software costs | 4,168          | 20          | 4,188             |
| Purchased intangible assets                        | 139,900        | (500 )      | 139,400           |
| Current liabilities                                | (11,054 )      | (282 )      | (11,336 )         |
| Deferred tax liabilities, net                      | (39,811 )      | (1,340 )    | (41,151 )         |
| Deferred revenue                                   | (29,400 )      | 648 )       | (28,752 )         |
| Other long-term liabilities                        | (1,259 )       | 53 )        | (1,206 )          |
| Total identifiable net assets                      | 89,521         | (1,867 )    | 87,654            |
| Goodwill   | 148,093        | 1,867       | 149,960           |
|  | \$237,614      | \$—         | \$237,614         |

The excess of purchase consideration over the fair value of net tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired was recorded as goodwill. The fair values assigned to tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed were based on management's estimates and assumptions based on the information that was available as of the date of the acquisition. The fair values of certain items, such as accounts receivable, purchased intangible assets, current and non-current income taxes payable, deferred taxes, deferred revenue and uncertain tax benefits, were subject to change as additional information was received about facts and circumstances that existed at the date of acquisition and as certain tax returns were finalized. The fair values were finalized during the quarter ended December 31, 2013.

The following table sets forth the components of the identifiable intangible assets acquired by asset class and their estimated useful lives as of the date of acquisition:

|   | Fair Value | Useful Life |
|---|------------|-------------|
| Physician network                               | 104,000    | 14 years    |
| Drug information content                        | 10,000     | 5 years     |
| Trade name                                      | 11,500     | 10 years    |
| Customer backlog                                | 2,900      | 1.5 years   |
| Developed technology                            | 6,500      | 3 years     |
| Epocrates non-compete agreement                 | 4,500      | 1.5 years   |
| Total intangible assets subject to amortization | \$139,400  |             |

The physician network represents the fair values of the underlying relationships and agreements with Epocrates customers and the fair values of the savings associated with future marketing spend for the athenahealth services to the acquired physician network. Drug information content represents the fair value of the cost to replace the drug information and interaction content used by the physician network. The trade name represents the fair value of the brand and name recognition associated with the marketing of Epocrates' service offerings. Customer backlog represents the estimated fair value of existing contractual backlog orders as of the acquisition date. Epocrates non-compete agreement represents the estimated fair value of the contract between athenahealth and a former member of Epocrates management. Developed technology represents the estimated fair value of Epocrates' mobile device platform. All of the purchased intangible assets related to the Epocrates transaction have finite lives. For those purchased intangible assets where an income approach was used, we considered the projected undiscounted cash flows

as the best indication of the pattern of economic benefit expected from each asset.

F-16

---

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

The goodwill balance is primarily attributed to the assembled workforce and expanded market opportunities when integrating Epocrates' mobile device platform with the athenahealth service offerings. The goodwill balance is not deductible for U.S. income tax purposes.

The amounts of revenue and net loss of Epocrates included in our Consolidated Statements of Income from the acquisition date of March 12, 2013, through the period ended December 31, 2013, are \$52.4 million and \$14.4 million, respectively. The net loss includes \$7.9 million in stock-based compensation expense primarily related to the acceleration of certain individuals' stock awards upon termination.

We incurred transaction costs in connection with the acquisition of \$2.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2013, and \$3.2 million in total. These costs are included in general and administrative expenses.

As part of the integration of Epocrates, we communicated to certain employees severance and retention bonuses which total \$4.2 million and were expensed through the end of 2013. If the employee did not fulfill the required employment period for the retention bonus, the amount was not paid and the expense was reversed. The following table summarizes these amounts on the Consolidated Statements of Income for the year ended December 31, 2013:

|                                    |         |
|------------------------------------|---------|
| Rollforward of integration costs   |         |
| Beginning balance, January 1, 2013 | \$—     |
| Addition to provision              | 3,909   |
| Cash payments                      | (3,574) |
| Ending balance, December 31, 2013  | \$335   |

## Pro Forma Presentation

The following pro forma financial information summarizes the combined results of operations for athenahealth as though the acquisitions of Epocrates and the Arsenal on the Charles occurred on January 1, 2012. The unaudited pro forma financial information is as follows:

|                                       | Year Ended December 31, |            |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|
|                                       | 2013                    | 2012       |
| Revenue                               | \$ 614,775              | \$ 538,030 |
| Net income (loss)                     | \$(1,808)               | \$(4,700)  |
| Net income (loss) per share – Basic   | \$(0.05)                | \$(0.13)   |
| Net income (loss) per share – Diluted | \$(0.05)                | \$(0.13)   |

The pro forma financial information for all periods presented has been calculated after adjusting the results of Epocrates and the Arsenal on the Charles to reflect the business combination accounting effects resulting from these acquisitions including the amortization expenses from acquired intangible assets, the depreciation expenses from acquired tangible assets, the stock-based compensation expense for unvested stock options and restricted stock units assumed and the related tax effects as though the acquisition occurred as of January 1, 2012. The pro forma financial information is for informational purposes only and is not indicative of the results of operations that would have been achieved if the acquisition had taken place at the beginning of our 2012 fiscal year.

## Healthcare Data Services

On October 10, 2012, the Company acquired Healthcare Data Services LLC ("HDS") for a purchase price of \$6.0 million, which was adjusted for certain working capital adjustments to arrive at a total cash consideration of \$5.8 million net of cash acquired. The valuation of the intangible assets was finalized during the quarter ended December 31, 2012. The identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed included \$0.3 million in accounts receivable, prepaid and other current assets, \$4.8 million of intangible assets and \$0.1 million in accrued expenses and deferred revenue. The goodwill recorded as a result of this transaction was \$0.8 million and is deductible for tax purposes. The Company incurred legal and professional fees in connection with the acquisition of \$0.2 million, which are included in general and administrative expenses.





Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

The fair values of the purchased intangible assets acquired as part of the purchase of Healthcare Data Services are allocated as development technology of \$3.2 million, customer relationships of \$0.4 million and non-compete agreement of \$1.2 million. The intangible assets are being amortized between 3 and 5 years, with customer lists being amortized over 5 years. The goodwill resulting from the acquisition arises largely from the synergies expected from combining the operations of the acquisition with our existing service operations, as well as from the benefits derived from the assembled workforce of the acquisition. The goodwill recognized is deductible for tax purposes.

**3. NET INCOME PER SHARE**

Basic net income per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and potentially dilutive securities outstanding during the period under the treasury stock method. Potentially dilutive securities include stock options, restricted stock units, and shares to be purchased under the employee stock purchase plan. Under the treasury stock method, dilutive securities are assumed to be exercised at the beginning of the periods and as if funds obtained thereby were used to purchase common stock at the average market price during the period. Securities are excluded from the computations of diluted net income per share if their effect would be anti-dilutive to earnings per share.

The following table reconciles the weighted average shares outstanding for basic and diluted net income per share for the periods indicated:

|  | Years Ended December 31, |          |          |
|--|--------------------------|----------|----------|
|  | 2013                     | 2012     | 2011     |
| Net income   | \$2,594                  | \$18,732 | \$19,046 |
| Weighted average shares used in computing basic net income per share   | 36,856                   | 35,956   | 35,046   |
| Net income per share – basic   | \$0.07                   | \$0.52   | \$0.54   |
| Net income   | \$2,594                  | \$18,732 | \$19,046 |
| Weighted average shares used in computing basic net income per share   | 36,856                   | 35,956   | 35,046   |
| Effect of dilutive securities  | 1,401                    | 1,177    | 1,004    |
| Weighted average shares used in computing diluted net income per share | 38,257                   | 37,133   | 36,050   |
| Net income per share – diluted   | \$0.07                   | \$0.50   | \$0.53   |

The computation of diluted net income per share does not include 0.4 million, 0.4 million, and 0.8 million of stock options and restricted stock units for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively, because their inclusion would have an anti-dilutive effect on net income per share.

**4. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, receivables, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximated their estimated fair values because of their short term nature of these financial instruments. Included in cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, are money market fund investments of \$0.0 million and \$59.4 million, respectively, which are reported at fair value. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had \$188.8 million outstanding on its term loan facility and \$35.0 million outstanding on its revolving credit facility (see Note 9 – Debt), which approximate their fair values due to their variable rate nature at current market rates. As of December 31, 2012, the Company had no outstanding debt.

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

The following table presents information about the Company's financial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques the Company utilized to determine such fair value. In general, fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in inactive markets for identical assets or liabilities obtained from readily available pricing sources for similar instruments. The fair values determined by Level 3 inputs are unobservable values which are supported by little or no market activity.

|                              | Fair Value Measurements At December 31, 2013, |         |         |          |
|------------------------------|---|---------|---------|----------|
|                              | Using<br>Level 1                              | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total    |
| Cash and cash equivalents:   |   |         |         |          |
| Money market                 | \$26  | \$—     | \$—     | \$26     |
| Total assets                 | \$26  | \$—     | \$—     | \$26     |
| Interest rate swap liability | \$—   | \$(354  | ) \$—   | \$(354 ) |
| Total liabilities            | \$—   | \$(354  | ) \$—   | \$(354 ) |

|                                  | Fair Value Measurements as of December 31,<br>2012, Using |          |         |            |
|----------------------------------|---|----------|---------|------------|
|                                  | Level 1   | Level 2  | Level 3 | Total      |
| Cash and cash equivalents:       |   |          |         |            |
| Money market                     | \$89,480  | \$—      | \$—     | \$89,480   |
| Available-for-sale investments:  |   |          |         | —          |
| Commercial paper                 | —   | 11,748   | —       | 11,748     |
| Corporate bonds                  | —   | 20,334   | —       | 20,334     |
| Certificate of deposit           | —   | 6,010    | —       | 6,010      |
| Total assets                     | \$89,480  | \$38,092 | \$—     | \$127,572  |
| Accrued contingent consideration | \$—   | \$—      | \$(448  | ) \$(448 ) |
| Total liabilities                | \$—   | \$—      | \$(448  | ) \$(448 ) |

Money markets, certificates of deposit, U.S. government-backed securities, corporate bonds and commercial paper are valued using a market approach based upon the quoted market prices of identical instruments when available or other observable inputs such as trading prices of identical instruments in inactive markets or similar securities. It is the Company's policy to recognize transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy, if any, at the end of the reporting period; however, there have been no such transfers during any periods presented.

The estimated fair value of our interest rate swap agreement with a certain financial institution at December 31, 2013, was \$0.4 million, based on inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the interest rate swap (Level 2). Inputs include preset value of fixed and projected floating rate cash flows over term of the swap contract. There was no interest rate swap agreement at December 31, 2012. Refer to Note 9 – Debt for further information.

Contingent consideration is recorded at fair value as an element of consideration paid with subsequent adjustments recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Income. At the acquisition date and reporting date, the fair value of the accrued contingent consideration was determined using a probability-weighted income approach based on upside, downside and base case scenarios. This approach is based on significant inputs that are not observable in the market, which are referred to as Level 3 inputs. As of December 31, 2012, the Company had accrued a liability \$0.4 million for the estimated fair value of contingent considerations estimated to be payable upon the acquired companies reaching specific performance metrics over a specified period of operations or time after acquisition. There was no contingent consideration as of December 31, 2013.

Anodyne

The first potential contingent consideration related to our acquisition of Anodyne Health Partners, Inc. (“Anodyne”) in 2009 ranged from zero to \$4.8 million and was payable in one installment based upon operational performance for the year ended December 31, 2010. Based on the actual operational performance for the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company paid \$2.4 million relating to the first potential contingent consideration in March of 2011.

F-19

---

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

The second potential contingent consideration related to our acquisition of Anodyne in 2009 ranged from zero to \$2.9 million and was payable in quarterly installments based upon the cross selling of the Company's services for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2011, and the six-month period ended June 30, 2012. Any amounts not earned in the first potential contingent consideration could be earned under the second potential contingent consideration in excess of the initial \$2.9 million bringing the total second potential contingent consideration to \$5.3 million. On December 31, 2011, the Company estimated the fair value of the second contingent consideration at \$1.4 million based on key assumptions including a probability adjusted level of 50% for the base case scenario and 25% for the upside and downside scenarios. The significant judgment related to the estimated earn-out payments by scenario was primarily based on the Company's i) past experience of our cross selling, ii) past experience of the timeline for converting pipeline opportunities into customers and iii) the remaining time period of this contingent consideration. At December 31, 2011, a large cross sell customer opportunity was included in the upside scenario which had a 25% probability. On March 31, 2012, the Company estimated the fair value of the second contingent consideration at \$3.4 million based on key assumptions including a 20% for the base case scenario, 70% for the upside scenario and 10% for the downside scenario. At March 31, 2012, this large cross sell opportunity was in final contract negotiations with the Company and therefore a higher probability was assigned to the upside scenario. The time period through which the contingent consideration could be earned elapsed on June 30, 2012. The Company accrued \$1.8 million as of June 30, 2012, for the final payment based on the final cross selling results which was paid during the three months ended September 30, 2012. The change in fair value of \$1.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, was an increase in the general and administrative line item in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The Company paid \$2.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2012, and \$3.9 million over the entire term of the second contingent consideration.

## Proxsys

The first potential contingent consideration related to our acquisition of Proxsys LLC ("Proxsys") in 2011 ranges from zero to \$3.0 million and is payable in one installment in the first quarter of 2013 based upon revenue and new sales performance for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. In order to qualify for the earnout payment, the acquired subsidiary must have achieved a minimum revenue threshold which was derived from both recurring revenue and revenue generated from new customers brought onto the service after the acquisition. Once that minimum revenue threshold is met, the amount of the payment is then determined by new sales of the Company's athenaCoordinator service offering since date of acquisition. At acquisition date and on December 31, 2011, the Company estimated the fair value of the first potential contingent consideration at \$2.4 million, the key assumptions relating to this potential contingent consideration included the athenaCoordinator revenue budget for the 2012 fiscal year, which included recurring revenue and estimates related to new revenue generated from new customers based upon the existing sales pipeline and historical implementation timeline and a probability adjusted level of 60% for the base case and 25% and 15% for the upside and downside scenarios, respectively. The athenaCoordinator revenue budget for the 2012 fiscal year exceeded the minimum revenue threshold. The downside scenarios included a worse case scenario where the acquired subsidiary did not achieve the minimum revenue target. Certain contracts that were in an advanced negotiation stage at December 31, 2011, and estimated to close in the first quarter of 2012, did not close during the three months ended March 31, 2012; therefore as of March 31, 2012, the Company determined that it is more likely than not that the minimum revenue threshold for athenaCoordinator will not be achieved by a margin of 5-10%. On March 31, 2012, the Company determined that based on the reforecasted amounts and the pass fail structure of this contingent payment, the probability percentages have been adjusted to 90% for the worse case scenario and 10% for the upside scenario. On March 31, 2012, the Company estimated the fair value of the first potential contingent consideration at \$0.3 million. On June 30, 2012, the Company estimated the fair value of the first potential contingent consideration to have no value. For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company did not meet the minimum revenue threshold and therefore zero consideration was earned related to the first contingent consideration. The change in fair value of \$2.4 million is a decrease in the general and administrative expense line item in the

Consolidated Statements of Income for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The second potential contingent consideration related to our acquisition of Proxsys in 2011 ranges from zero to \$5.0 million and is payable in quarterly installments based upon the cross selling of the Company's athenaCollector services into Proxsys' new and acquired customer and physician sender base, from acquisition to the second year anniversary of the acquisition in the third quarter of 2013. On December 31, 2011, and through June 30, 2012, the key assumptions relating to this potential contingent consideration included scenarios primarily based on the Company's (i) past experience of our cross selling related to the Anodyne acquisition, (ii) past experience of the timeline for converting pipeline opportunities into customers and (iii) the remaining time period of this contingent consideration and a probability adjusted level of 65% for the base case and 25% and 10% for the upside and downside scenarios, respectively. As of September 30, 2012, over one year after the acquisition, the Company determined that it now had sufficient information into the actual cross sell opportunity base to adjust the scenarios. The Company lowered its expectations of achievable cross sells within the earn out period for all scenarios and has a probability adjusted level of 60% for the base case and 20% for the upside and downside scenarios. The Company estimated the fair value of the contingent consideration at December 31, 2012, to be \$0.4 million, primarily related to the

F-20

---

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

amount of time left to earn the additional consideration. The change in fair value of \$4.0 million is a decrease in the general and administrative expense line item in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the year ended December 31, 2012. Minimal cross-sells were earned and minimal payments were made as of December 31, 2012. The second contingent consideration related to the Proxsys acquisition ended on August 31, 2013 and a final payment of \$0.5 million was made during the period ending December 31, 2013. The total expense for the period ended December 31, 2013 was \$0.1 million.

The reconciliations for the fair values of financial instruments determined by Level 3 for the periods presented, are as follows:

|   | Year Ended<br>December 31, 2013 | Year Ended<br>December 31, 2012 |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Balance beginning of period                     | \$448                           | \$8,176                         |
| Payments  | (524                            | ) (2,610                        |
| Additions                                       | —                               | —                               |
| Change in fair value (included in G&A expenses) | 76                              | (5,118                          |
| Balance end of period                           | \$—                             | ) \$448                         |

F-21

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

## 5. INVESTMENTS

As of December 31, 2013, the Company has no available-for-sale securities. The summary of available-for-sale securities at December 31, 2012, is as follows:

|                        | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized<br>Gains | Fair Value |
|------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|------------|
| Commercial paper       | \$ 11,740      | \$8                       | \$11,748   |
| Corporate bonds        | 20,331         | 3                         | 20,334     |
| Certificate of deposit | 6,008          | 2                         | 6,010      |
| Total                  | \$ 38,079      | \$13                      | \$38,092   |

## 6. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

The Company has no capital leases for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

The fair values of the property and equipment acquired as part of the purchase of the Arsenal on the Charles are allocated to buildings, land, and land improvements in the amounts of \$121.3 million, \$21.0 million, and \$1.8 million, respectively.

Property and equipment consist of the following:

|   | Years Ended December 31, |           |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|
|   | 2013                     | 2012      |
| Equipment                                 | \$78,616                 | \$56,078  |
| Furniture and fixtures                    | 8,822                    | 5,297     |
| Leasehold improvements                    | 3,104                    | 15,518    |
| Airplane                                  | 3,156                    | 3,156     |
| Building                                  | 136,368                  | 14,644    |
| Building improvements                     | 24,441                   | 3,500     |
| Land                                      | 23,059                   | 2,035     |
| Land improvements                         | 2,980                    | 915       |
| Total property and equipment, at cost     | 280,546                  | 101,143   |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (72,777                  | ) (49,902 |
| Construction in progress                  | 5,249                    | 2,794     |
| Property and equipment, net               | \$213,018                | \$54,035  |

Depreciation expense on property and equipment was \$25.5 million, \$16.6 million, and \$12.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.



Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

## 7. GOODWILL AND PURCHASED INTANGIBLE ASSETS

## Goodwill

The following table summarizes the activity related to the carrying value of the Company's goodwill during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| Gross balance as of January 1, 2012  | \$47,307  |
| Goodwill recorded in connection with the acquisition of Healthcare Data Services LLC | 783       |
| Gross balance as of December 31, 2012  | \$48,090  |
| Goodwill recorded in connection with the acquisition of Epocrates, Inc.              | 149,959   |
| Gross balance as of December 31, 2013  | \$198,049 |

## Purchased Intangible Assets

Intangible assets acquired as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, are as follows:

|                          | December 31, 2013 |                             |             | Weighted Average Remaining |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|
|                          | Gross             | Accumulated<br>Amortization | Net         | Useful Life (years)        |
| Developed technology     | \$11,721          | \$(4,737)                   | ) \$6,984   | 2.0                        |
| Customer relationships   | 21,434            | (7,365)                     | ) 14,069    | 6.6                        |
| Doctor network           | 104,000           | (682)                       | ) 103,318   | 13.2                       |
| Drug information content | 10,000            | (1,608)                     | ) 8,392     | 4.2                        |
| Trade name               | 11,500            | (924)                       | ) 10,576    | 9.2                        |
| Customer backlog         | 2,900             | (1,554)                     | ) 1,346     | 0.7                        |
| Non-compete agreement    | 6,178             | (3,280)                     | ) 2,898     | 1.0                        |
| Above market leases      | 3,123             | (357)                       | ) 2,766     | 5.9                        |
| Leases in place          | 21,578            | (3,563)                     | ) 18,015    | 5.3                        |
| Total                    | \$192,434         | \$(24,070)                  | ) \$168,364 |                            |
|                          | December 31, 2012 |                             |             | Weighted Average Remaining |
|                          | Gross             | Accumulated<br>Amortization | Net         | Useful Life (years)        |
| Developed technology     | \$6,612           | \$(2,678)                   | ) \$3,934   | 2.5                        |
| Customer relationships   | 21,434            | (5,175)                     | ) 16,259    | 7.6                        |
| Non-compete agreement    | 1,678             | (310)                       | ) 1,368     | 2.6                        |
| Total                    | \$29,724          | \$(8,163)                   | ) \$21,561  |                            |

Amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, was \$17.9 million, \$3.4 million, and \$2.2 million, respectively, and is included in direct operating expenses. Estimated amortization expense, based upon the Company's intangible assets at December 31, 2013, is as follows:

| Year ending December 31, | Amount    |
|--------------------------|-----------|
| 2014                     | \$27,670  |
| 2015                     | 22,528    |
| 2016                     | 18,560    |
| 2017                     | 17,803    |
| 2018                     | 15,441    |
| Thereafter               | 66,362    |
| Total                    | \$168,364 |

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

## 8. OPERATING LEASES AND OTHER COMMITMENTS

The Company maintains operating leases for facilities and certain office equipment. The facility leases contain renewal options and require payments of certain utilities, taxes, and shared operating costs of each leased facility. The rental agreements expire at various dates from 2014 to 2029.

The Company entered into a lease agreement with a landlord in connection with the relocation of its corporate offices in June 2005 to the Arsenal on the Charles. Under the terms of such lease agreement, the landlord provided approximately \$11.5 million in allowances to the Company for the leasehold improvements for the office space, reimbursement of moving costs and all payments under the Company's lease agreement relating to its previous office space. Prior to May 2011, the incentive payments received from the new landlord were being recognized over the lease term and accounted for as a component of deferred rent on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. In May 2011, the Company paid \$2.1 million to settle the remaining amounts of these rental incentive loans. In May 2013, this lease was effectively terminated when the Company purchased the Arsenal on the Charles from the landlord (see Note 2). During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company recognized a \$2.5 million net gain due to the early termination of our lease and the realization of the remaining balance in deferred rent at the time of acquisition. This gain was recorded in general and administrative expense during the three months ended June 30, 2013.

Rent expense for the Company totaled \$5.5 million, \$4.9 million, and \$3.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

Future minimum lease payments under non-cancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2013, are as follows:

| Year ending December 31,     | Future Rent<br>Payments |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2014                         | \$5,658                 |
| 2015                         | 7,645                   |
| 2016                         | 7,984                   |
| 2017                         | 8,093                   |
| 2018                         | 8,295                   |
| Thereafter                   | 50,253                  |
| Total minimum lease payments | \$87,928                |

## 9. DEBT

2011 Line of Credit – On October 20, 2011, the Company entered into a five-year, \$100.0 million revolving credit agreement (“Revolving Credit Agreement”). The Revolving Credit Agreement contained certain covenants, including consolidated leverage and minimum fixed charge coverage ratios. The interest rates applicable to revolving loans under the Revolving Credit Agreement were at either (i) the British Bankers Association London Interbank Offered Rate (“LIBOR”) plus an interest margin based on our consolidated leverage ratio, or (ii) the base rate (which is the highest of (a) the bank's prime rate, (b) the Federal Funds rate plus 0.50%, and (c) one month LIBOR plus 1.00%) plus an interest margin based on our consolidated leverage ratio.

There was no balance outstanding on the revolving credit facility as of December 31, 2012. In connection with the planned acquisition of Epocrates, on January 3, 2013, the Company borrowed \$100.0 million from the revolving credit facility and, on January 9, 2013, repaid the borrowed amount in full.

2013 Commitment Letter – On January 7, 2013, the Company entered into a commitment letter, pursuant to which Bank of America, N.A. committed to increase its commitment to provide revolving loans under the Revolving Credit Agreement by an amount up to \$55.0 million as a source of funding for the Epocrates transaction (see Note 2 – Acquisitions). The Company was required to pay financing fees of \$0.3 million for this commitment.

On March 11, 2013, the Company borrowed \$155.0 million under the Revolving Credit Agreement as a source of funding for the Epocrates transaction, and repaid \$50.0 million of the \$155.0 million as of March 31, 2013. All amounts outstanding under the Revolving Credit Agreement were repaid on May 10, 2013.



Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

2013 Credit Agreement – On May 10, 2013, the Company entered into a \$325.0 million senior credit facility consisting of a \$200.0 million unsecured term loan facility and a \$125.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility (the “Senior Credit Facility”). The Senior Credit Facility replaced the Revolving Credit Agreement. The Senior Credit Facility contains terms and conditions that are customary to facilities of this nature and certain covenants, including consolidated leverage ratio and capital expenditures limitations. The Senior Credit Facility may be used to refinance existing indebtedness, to finance the acquisition of the real estate known as the Arsenal on the Charles, and for working capital and other general corporate purposes. The Company may increase the Senior Credit Facility up to an additional \$100.0 million, subject to certain terms, including obtaining lender commitments. The Senior Credit Facility expires on May 10, 2018, although the Company may prepay the Senior Credit Facility in whole or in part at any time without premium or penalty, and the unutilized portion of the commitments may be irrevocably reduced or terminated by athenahealth in whole or in part without penalty or premium.

On May 10, 2013, the Company borrowed \$200.0 million under the unsecured term loan facility and \$50.0 million under the unsecured revolving credit facility of the Senior Credit Facility to refinance existing indebtedness described above, to finance the Arsenal on the Charles acquisition as described in Note 2 – Acquisitions, and for working capital and other general corporate purposes. The unsecured term loan facility was payable quarterly starting in June 30, 2013, in the amount of \$3.8 million each quarter. As of December 31, 2013, \$188.8 million was outstanding on the unsecured term loan facility and \$35.0 million was outstanding on the unsecured revolving credit facility. As of December 31, 2013, there was \$90.0 million available on the unsecured revolving credit facility.

Any loan under the Senior Credit Facility bears interest at the same rates as in the Revolving Credit Agreement. The interest rate for the Senior Credit Facility as of December 31, 2013 was 1.67%.

The Company was required to pay financing fees of \$1.4 million for the Senior Credit Facility, which are being amortized as interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income over the five-year term of the agreement.

Future principal payments of the unsecured term loan facility at December 31, 2013 are as follows:

|                      | Amount     |
|----------------------|------------|
| 2014                 | \$ 15,000  |
| 2015                 | 15,000     |
| 2016                 | 15,000     |
| 2017                 | 15,000     |
| Thereafter           | 128,750    |
| Total                | \$ 188,750 |
| Less current portion | 15,000     |
| Long-term portion    | \$ 173,750 |

During the quarter ended September 30, 2013, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement designed to fix the variable interest rate payable on \$120.0 million of its outstanding borrowings under the Senior Credit Facility at 0.8396% exclusive of the credit spread under the Senior Credit Facility.

The interest rate swap agreement was designed to manage exposure to interest rates on the Company’s variable rate indebtedness. The Company recognizes all derivatives on the Consolidated Balance Sheet at fair value. The Company has designated the interest rate swap agreement as a cash flow hedge. Changes in the fair value of the interest rate swap are recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) (“OCI”) until the hedged items are recognized in earnings. Hedge ineffectiveness, if any, associated with the interest rate swap will be reported in interest expense. There was no ineffectiveness associated with the interest rate swap during the quarter ended December 31, 2013, nor was any amount excluded from ineffectiveness testing. The Company is exposed to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the swap counter party.

The fair value of the interest rate swap recognized in other long-term liabilities and in OCI was as follows:

| Effective Date | Fixed Rate | Maturity | Fair Value        |                   |
|----------------|------------|----------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                |            |          | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |

|                 | Notional<br>Amount |        | % | August 31, 2016 |        |       |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------|---|-----------------|--------|-------|
| August 31, 2013 | 120,000            | 0.8396 |   |                 | \$(354 | ) \$— |

Refer to Note 4 – Fair Value of Financial Instruments for further information.

F-25

---

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

## 10. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

**Preferred Stock** – The Company's Board of Directors has the authority, without further action by stockholders, to issue up to 5,000 shares of preferred stock in one or more series. The Company's Board of Directors may designate the rights, preferences, privileges, and restrictions of the preferred stock, including dividend rights, conversion rights, voting rights, terms of redemption, liquidation preference, and number of shares constituting any series or the designation of any series. The issuance of preferred stock could have the effect of restricting dividends on the Company's common stock, diluting the voting power of its common stock, impairing the liquidation rights of its common stock, or delaying or preventing a change in control. The ability to issue preferred stock could delay or impede a change in control. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, no shares of preferred stock were outstanding.

**Common Stock** – Common stockholders are entitled to one vote per share and dividends when declared by the Board of Directors, subject to any preferential rights of preferred stockholders.

**Warrants** – In connection with equipment financing with a finance company and a bank in May 2001, the Company issued warrants to purchase shares of the Company's stock at an exercise price of \$3.08 per share. As of December 31, 2011, 32 warrants remained outstanding. The 32 warrants were exercised during the year ended December 31, 2012. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, no warrants remain outstanding.

## 11. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Total stock-based compensation expense for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, is as follows:

|  | Year Ended December 31, |          |          |
|--|-------------------------|----------|----------|
|  | 2013                    | 2012     | 2011     |
| Stock-based compensation charged to:   |                         |          |          |
| Direct operating   | \$7,778                 | \$5,619  | \$3,173  |
| Selling and marketing  | 12,057                  | 7,717    | 5,645    |
| Research and development   | 4,238                   | 3,213    | 2,311    |
| General and administrative   | 18,575                  | 10,687   | 7,772    |
| Total  | \$42,648                | \$27,236 | \$18,901 |
| Amortization of capitalized stock-based compensation related to software development |                         |          |          |
| Total  | 1,027                   | 257      | —        |
|  | \$43,675                | \$27,493 | \$18,901 |

In addition, for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, \$2.2 million and \$0.8 million of stock-based compensation was capitalized in the line item Software Development Costs in the Consolidated Balance Sheets for which \$1.0 million and \$0.3 million was included in the line item Depreciation and Amortization Expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The amount of stock-based compensation related to capitalized software development costs in prior periods was not significant.

In 2007, the board of directors and the Company's stockholders approved the Company's 2007 Stock Option and Incentive Plan. The 2007 Stock Option and Incentive Plan was amended and restated in 2011 to: (i) remove an evergreen provision; (ii) increase the number of shares reserved for issuance by 1.3 million shares; (iii) set a multiplier for full value awards of 1.3 shares of stock for each share of stock subject to that award; (iv) set minimum restriction periods for stock awards; (v) set maximum awards payable for performance-based awards; (vi) add performance criteria; and (vii) make other administrative changes; and in 2012 to: (i) increase the number of shares reserved for issuance by 1.85 million shares; (ii) set a multiplier for full value awards of 1.66 shares of stock for each share of stock subject to that award; (iii) set a new minimum period for a performance cycle for cash-based awards; (iv) add performance criteria; (v) revise the share counting provision so that shares underlying awards other than stock options and stock appreciation rights may be withheld to satisfy tax withholding obligations; and (vi) extend its term through April 23, 2022 (as amended and restated, the "2007 Plan"); and in 2013 to: (i) increase the number of shares reserved for issuance by 1.66 million shares. As part of the Epocrates acquisition, we assumed 0.4 million shares available for issuance under the Epocrates stock plan. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, there were approximately 4,609 and

3,303 shares, respectively, available for grant under the Company's stock award plans.

F-26

---

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

## Stock Options

Options granted under the 2007 Plan may be incentive stock options or non-qualified stock options under the applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code. Incentive stock options are granted with exercise prices at or above the fair value of the Company's common stock at the grant date as determined by the Board of Directors. Incentive stock options granted to employees who own more than 10% of the voting power of all classes of stock are granted with exercise prices at 110% of the fair value of the Company's common stock at the date of the grant. Non-qualified stock options may be granted with exercise prices up to the fair value of the Company's common stock on the date of the grant, as determined by the Board of Directors. All options granted vest over a range of one to four years and have contractual terms of between five and ten years. Options granted typically vest 25% per year over a total of four years at each anniversary, with the exception of options granted to members of the board of directors, which vest on a quarterly basis.

The following table presents the stock option activity for the year ended December 31, 2013:

|   | Shares | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|---|--------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Outstanding – January 1, 2013   | 2,503  | \$37.93                         |  |                           |
| Granted   | 241    | 96.09                           |  |                           |
| Options assumed   | 251    | 83.91                           |  |                           |
| Exercised   | (749)  | ) 36.86                         |  |                           |
| Forfeited   | (79)   | ) 75.22                         |  |                           |
| Outstanding – as of December 31, 2013   | 2,167  | \$48.69                         | 6.3  | \$186,093                 |
| Exercisable – as of December 31, 2013   | 1,354  | \$37.11                         | 5.3  | \$131,950                 |
| Vested and expected to vest as of December 31, 2013                                 | 2,040  | \$47.03                         | 6.2  | \$178,634                 |
| Weighted-average fair value of options granted for the year ended December 31, 2013 |        | \$38.09                         |  |                           |

The Company assumed stock options related to the acquisition of Epocrates based on a conversion ratio of 0.1239. The Company recorded compensation expense in relation to stock options of \$14.0 million, \$9.8 million, and \$10.6 million, for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

The following table illustrates the weighted average assumptions used to compute stock-based compensation expense for awards granted:

|                              | Year Ended December 31, |           |           |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|                              | 2013                    | 2012      | 2011      |
| Risk-free interest rate      | .35% - .75%             | 1%        | 1% - 2.2% |
| Expected dividend yield      | —%                      | —%        | —%        |
| Expected option term (years) | 3.0 - 5.0               | 3.0 - 5.0 | 5.0       |
| Expected stock volatility    | 41% - 45%               | 43% - 52% | 51% - 54% |

The risk-free interest rate estimate was based on the U.S. Treasury rates for U.S. Treasury zero-coupon bonds with maturities similar to those of the expected term of the award being valued. The expected dividend yield was based on the Company's expectation of not paying dividends in the foreseeable future.

Since the Company completed its initial public offering in September 2007, it did not have sufficient history as a publicly-traded company to evaluate its volatility factor for grants prior to 2011. As such, the Company analyzed the volatilities of a group of peer companies, including company-specific historical information to date, to support the assumptions used in its calculations. The Company averaged the volatilities of the peer companies with in-the-money



options, sufficient trading history and similar vesting terms to generate the assumptions. In 2012, the Company began using only company-specific historical and implied volatility information to generate the volatility assumptions.

F-27

---

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, there was \$17.4 million and \$19.2 million, respectively, of unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to unvested stock option share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Company's stock award plans. This expense is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 1.4 years. The weighted average fair value of stock options granted during fiscal 2013, 2012, and 2011, was \$38.09, \$31.71, and \$21.01, respectively. The intrinsic value of options exercised during fiscal 2013, 2012, and 2011, was \$53.2 million, \$36.1 million, and \$26.1 million, respectively. The intrinsic value is calculated as the difference between the market value on the date of purchase and the exercise price of the options.

**Restricted Stock Units**

The 2007 Plan also allows for granting of restricted stock unit awards under the terms of the plan. The majority of the restricted units vest in four equal, annual installments on the anniversaries of the vesting start date or in four equal, quarterly installments on anniversaries of the vesting date. The Company estimated the fair value of the restricted stock units using the market price of its common stock on the date of the grant. The fair value of restricted stock units is amortized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. The following table presents the restricted stock unit activity for the year ended December 31, 2013.

|                                       | Shares | Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--|
| Outstanding – January 1, 2013         | 1,084  | \$58.07                                |
| Granted                               | 504    | 98.34                                  |
| RSUs assumed                          | 29     | 71.22                                  |
| Vested                                | (365   | ) 56.28                                |
| Forfeited                             | (59    | ) 70.78                                |
| Outstanding – as of December 31, 2013 | 1,193  | \$75.20                                |

The Company assumed restricted stock units related to the acquisition of Epocrates based on a conversion ratio of 0.1239. As of December 31, 2013, \$68.7 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to restricted stock units is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.0 years. Stock-based compensation expense of \$27.4 million, \$17.3 million, and \$7.3 million was recorded for restricted stock units during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. The weighted average fair value of restricted stock units granted during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, was \$98.34, \$71.15, and \$47.02, respectively. The intrinsic value of vested restricted stock units during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, was \$35.2 million, \$15.1 million, and \$3.8 million, respectively.

**Employee Stock Purchase Plan**

The Company's 2007 Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("2007 ESPP") allows employees of the Company and its subsidiaries as designated by the Company's board of directors to purchase shares of the Company's common stock. The purchase price is equal to 85% of the lower of the closing price of the Company's common stock on (1) the first day of the purchase period or (2) the last day of the purchase period. The expense for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, was \$1.2 million, \$1.0 million, and \$1.0 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

## 12. INCOME TAXES

The components of the Company's income tax (benefit) provision for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 are as follows:

|                                      | 2013    | 2012       | 2011     |   |
|--------------------------------------|---------|------------|----------|---|
| Current Provision:                   |         |            |          |   |
| Federal                              | \$4,225 | \$13,089   | \$12,264 |   |
| State                                | 1,495   | 3,575      | 4,397    |   |
| Foreign                              | 961     | 372        | 135      |   |
|                                      | 6,681   | 17,036     | 16,796   |   |
| Deferred (Benefit) Provision :       |         |            |          |   |
| Federal                              | (5,291  | ) 26       | (1,804   | ) |
| Foreign                              | —       | (114       | ) —      | ) |
| State                                | (1,753  | ) (802     | ) (1,158 | ) |
|                                      | (7,044  | ) (890     | ) (2,962 | ) |
| Total income tax (benefit) provision | \$(363  | ) \$16,146 | \$13,834 |   |

The components of the Company's deferred income taxes as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

|   | 2013      | 2012       |   |
|---|-----------|------------|---|
| Deferred tax assets:                    |           |            |   |
| Federal net operating loss carryforward | \$187     | \$—        |   |
| State net operating loss carryforward   | 1,476     | 25         |   |
| Research and development tax credits    | 3,244     | —          |   |
| Allowances for accounts receivable      | 2,773     | 1,005      |   |
| Deferred rent obligation                | 328       | 1,593      |   |
| Stock compensation                      | 18,270    | 13,800     |   |
| Other accrued liabilities               | 2,171     | 1,743      |   |
| Deferred revenue                        | 17,754    | 16,594     |   |
| Other                                   | 3,101     | 2,251      |   |
| Total gross deferred tax assets         | 49,304    | 37,011     |   |
| Valuation allowance                     | (2,627    | ) (25      | ) |
| Total deferred tax assets               | 46,677    | 36,986     |   |
| Deferred tax liabilities:               |           |            |   |
| Intangible assets                       | (49,555   | ) (6,605   | ) |
| Capitalized software development        | (8,641    | ) (5,420   | ) |
| Property and equipment                  | (3,784    | ) (6,290   | ) |
| Investments                             | —         | (5         | ) |
| Total deferred tax liabilities          | (61,980   | ) (18,320  | ) |
| Net deferred tax (liabilities) assets   | \$(15,303 | ) \$18,666 |   |

The Company classifies its deferred tax assets and liabilities as current or noncurrent based on the classification of the related asset or liability for financial reporting giving rise to the temporary difference. A deferred tax asset that is not related to an asset or liability for financial reporting, including deferred tax assets related to net operating loss ("NOL") carryforwards, is classified according to the expected reversal date. The Company recorded a valuation allowance against certain deferred tax assets related to state NOL carryforwards and research and development tax credits. The Company evaluated the ability to utilize the losses and credits and determined they could not meet the more likely than not standard.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company had federal and state NOL carryforwards of approximately \$28.0 million (which includes \$27.5 million of NOL carryforwards from stock-based compensation) and \$26.0 million (which

includes \$1.6 million of NOL carryforwards from stock-based compensation), respectively, to offset future federal and state taxable income. The state NOL carryforwards begin to expire in 2018 and the federal NOL carryforwards expire at various times from 2025 through

F-29

---

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

2033. As of December 31, 2012, the Company had federal and state NOL carryforwards of approximately \$6.1 million (which includes \$6.1 million of NOL carryforwards from stock-based compensation) and \$2.2 million (which includes \$0.4 million of NOL carryforwards from stock-based compensation), respectively, to offset future federal and state taxable income.

The Company has generated NOL carryforwards from stock-based compensation deductions in excess of expenses recognized for financial reporting purposes (“excess tax benefits”). Excess tax benefits are realized when they reduce taxes payable, as determined using a “with and without” method, and are credited to additional paid-in capital rather than as a reduction of income tax provision. During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, the Company realized excess tax benefits from federal and state tax deductions of \$6.9 million, \$14.1 million and \$14.2 million, respectively, which was credited to additional paid-in capital. As of December 31, 2013, the amount of unrecognized federal and state excess tax benefits is \$9.6 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, which will be credited to additional paid-in capital when realized.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company utilized tax federal and state NOL carryforwards to reduce the current tax provision by \$7.0 million and zero, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company utilized federal NOL carryforwards to reduce the current tax provision by \$2.8 million. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company utilized federal NOL carryforwards to reduce the current tax provision by \$0.3 million.

The Company’s federal research and development tax credits carryforward is available to offset future federal and state taxes and the credits expire at various times through 2033. The Company has federal and state R&D credits of \$7.6 million (which includes \$5.2 million from the utilization of credits under the without method of accounting related to stock-based compensation) and \$3.0 million (which includes \$1.7 million from the utilization of credits under the without method of accounting related to stock-based compensation), respectively. These benefits, when utilized to reduce taxes payable, will be credited to additional paid-in capital.

A reconciliation of the federal statutory income tax rate to the Company’s effective income tax rate is as follows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011:

|   | 2013 | 2012  | 2011 |    |
|---|------|-------|------|----|
| Income tax computed at federal statutory tax rate | 35   | % 35  | % 35 | %  |
| State taxes, net of federal benefit               | (6   | )% 5  | % 6  | %  |
| Research and development credits                  | (98  | )% —  | % (1 | )% |
| Permanent differences                             | 20   | % 6   | % 2  | %  |
| Valuation allowance                               | 33   | % —   | % —  | %  |
| Total   | (16  | )% 46 | % 42 | %  |

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of uncertain tax benefits is as follows:

|                                  | 2013    | 2012    | 2011    |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Beginning uncertain tax benefits | \$1,761 | \$1,685 | \$1,610 |
| Prior year – decreases           | (537    | ) (140  | ) (23   |
| Prior year – increases           | 501     | 177     | 22      |
| Acquired balances                | 2,339   | —       | —       |
| Current year – increases         | 787     | 39      | 76      |
| Ending uncertain tax benefits    | \$4,851 | \$1,761 | \$1,685 |

Included in the balance of unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2013, are \$4.3 million of tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate. The Company anticipates that no material amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will either expire or be settled in the next 12 months of the reporting date.

On January 2, 2013, the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 was signed into law reinstating the federal research and development credit for the 2012 and 2013 years. Under the accounting guidance on this topic, the effects are recognized as a component of income tax expense or benefit from continuing operations in the financial statements for

the interim or annual period that includes the enactment date. The deferred benefit recorded in 2013 related to the 2012 federal research and development credit was \$0.9 million.

The Company is subject to taxation in the United States, various states and India. As of December 31, 2013, tax years 1997 through 2012 – except for 2006 through 2008 for federal purposes – remain open to examination by major taxing jurisdictions

F-30

---

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

to which the Company is subject, which years primarily resulted in carryforward attributes that may still be adjusted upon examination by the Internal Revenue Service or other tax authorities if they have been used or will be used in a future period.

13. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN

The Company sponsors a 401(k) retirement savings plan (the “401(k) Plan”), under which eligible employees may contribute, on a pre-tax basis, specified percentages of their compensation, subject to maximum aggregate annual contributions imposed by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. All employee contributions are allocated to the employee’s individual account and are invested in various investment options as directed by the employee. Employees’ cash contributions are fully vested and non-forfeitable. The Company may make a discretionary contribution in any year, subject to authorization by the Company’s Board of Directors. During the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, the Company’s contributions to the 401(k) Plan were \$3.2 million, \$2.4 million, and \$1.7 million, respectively.

14. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

On January 11, 2013, a complaint captioned *Bushansky v. Epocrates, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 519078, was filed in San Mateo County Superior Court (the “Court”) on behalf of a putative class of Epocrates’ shareholders against Epocrates and each member of the Epocrates board of directors. This complaint challenged the proposed merger between Epocrates and one of our wholly owned subsidiaries. On January 25, 2013, a similar complaint was filed in the Court captioned *DeJoice v. Epocrates, et al.*, Case No. 519461. This second complaint made similar allegations against Epocrates and each member of the Epocrates board of directors and included a claim against us for aiding and abetting a breach of fiduciary duty. On January 31, 2013, the *Bushansky* complaint was amended to include additional allegations. Plaintiffs allege, among other things, that the Epocrates directors breached their fiduciary duties by allegedly agreeing to sell Epocrates at an unfair and inadequate price, failing to take steps to maximize the sale price of Epocrates, and making material omissions to the preliminary proxy statement dated January 25, 2013. The complaints sought to enjoin the merger, other equitable relief, and monetary damages. On March 5, 2013, Epocrates and the plaintiffs signed a memorandum of understanding in which the parties agreed to enter into a stipulation of settlement whereby the plaintiffs and all class members would release all claims related to the merger in exchange for Epocrates filing a supplement to its definitive proxy statement regarding the merger with the SEC, which would include additional disclosures regarding the merger agreement, and an agreement to negotiate in good faith regarding the amount of attorneys’ fees and expenses for which plaintiffs may seek approval from the Court. On October 4, 2013, the Court granted final settlement approval including a grant of fees and expenses in the amount of \$0.3 million to plaintiffs’ counsel. The settlement has no material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In addition, we are engaged from time to time in certain legal disputes arising in the ordinary course of business, including employment discrimination claims and challenges to our intellectual property. We believe that we have adequate legal defenses and that the likelihood of a loss contingency relating to the ultimate dispositions of any of these disputes is remote. When the likelihood of a loss contingency becomes at least reasonably possible with respect to any of these disputes, or, as applicable in the future, if there is at least a reasonable possibility that a loss exceeding amounts already recognized may have been incurred, we will revise our disclosures in accordance with the relevant authoritative guidance.

Additionally, we will accrue liability for loss contingencies when we believe that it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and that we can reasonably estimate the amount of the loss. We will review these accruals and adjust them to reflect ongoing negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel, and other relevant information. To the extent new information is obtained, and our views on the probable outcomes of claims, suits, assessments, investigations, or legal proceedings change, changes in our accrued liabilities would be recorded in the period in which such determination is made.





Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

## 15. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

Selected quarterly financial information follows for the year ended December 31, 2013:

|  | First<br>Quarter | Second<br>Quarter | Third<br>Quarter | Fourth<br>Quarter | Year      |
|--|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Revenue:   |                  |                   |                  |                   |           |
| Business services  | \$121,463        | \$137,919         | \$141,326        | \$162,529         | \$563,237 |
| Implementation and other   | 4,133            | 8,382             | 10,201           | 9,050             | 31,766    |
| Total revenue  | 125,596          | 146,301           | 151,527          | 171,579           | 595,003   |
| Expenses:  |                  |                   |                  |                   |           |
| Direct operating   | 53,185           | 59,390            | 63,245           | 62,852            | 238,672   |
| Selling and marketing  | 32,922           | 41,035            | 37,584           | 37,947            | 149,488   |
| Research and development   | 11,944           | 14,269            | 15,104           | 16,322            | 57,639    |
| General and administrative   | 31,077           | 24,670            | 21,690           | 22,339            | 99,776    |
| Depreciation and amortization  | 8,341            | 11,107            | 11,263           | 12,864            | 43,575    |
| Total expenses   | 137,469          | 150,471           | 148,886          | 152,324           | 589,150   |
| Operating (loss) income  | (11,873)         | (4,170)           | 2,641            | 19,255            | 5,853     |
| Other (expense) income:  |                  |                   |                  |                   |           |
| Interest expense   | (164)            | (1,001)           | (1,421)          | (1,319)           | (3,905)   |
| Other (expense) income   | 54               | 63                | 30               | 136               | 283       |
| Total other expense  | (110)            | (938)             | (1,391)          | (1,183)           | (3,622)   |
| (Loss) income before income tax benefit (provision)                    | (11,983)         | (5,108)           | 1,250            | 18,072            | 2,231     |
| Income tax benefit (provision)   | 12,683           | (7,313)           | (80)             | (4,927)           | 363       |
| Net income (loss)  | \$700            | \$(12,421)        | \$1,170          | \$13,145          | \$2,594   |
| Net income (loss) per share – Basic                                    | \$0.02           | \$(0.34)          | \$0.03           | \$0.35            | \$0.07    |
| Net income (loss) per share – Diluted                                  | \$0.02           | \$(0.34)          | \$0.03           | \$0.34            | \$0.07    |
| Weighted average shares used in computing net income (loss) per share: |                  |                   |                  |                   |           |
| Basic  | 36,409           | 36,760            | 36,970           | 37,262            | 36,856    |
| Diluted  | 37,744           | 36,760            | 38,343           | 38,645            | 38,257    |

F-32

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

Selected quarterly financial information follows for the year ended December 31, 2012:

|  | First<br>Quarter | Second<br>Quarter | Third<br>Quarter | Fourth<br>Quarter | Year      |
|--|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Revenue:   |                  |                   |                  |                   |           |
| Business services  | \$93,549         | \$100,110         | \$102,256        | \$112,581         | \$408,496 |
| Implementation and other   | 3,017            | 3,405             | 3,630            | 3,723             | 13,775    |
| Total revenue  | 96,566           | 103,515           | 105,886          | 116,304           | 422,271   |
| Expenses:  |                  |                   |                  |                   |           |
| Direct operating   | 38,798           | 41,014            | 41,866           | 45,208            | 166,886   |
| Selling and marketing  | 23,728           | 27,389            | 25,603           | 27,580            | 104,300   |
| Research and development   | 7,168            | 8,615             | 8,746            | 9,263             | 33,792    |
| General and administrative   | 16,199           | 13,961            | 11,913           | 14,952            | 57,025    |
| Depreciation and amortization                                      | 5,486            | 5,795             | 6,683            | 7,677             | 25,641    |
| Total expenses   | 91,379           | 96,774            | 94,811           | 104,680           | 387,644   |
| Operating income   | 5,187            | 6,741             | 11,075           | 11,624            | 34,627    |
| Other income   | 134              | 12                | 88               | 17                | 251       |
| Income before income tax provision                                 | 5,321            | 6,753             | 11,163           | 11,641            | 34,878    |
| Income tax provision   | (2,893)          | (2,599)           | (4,953)          | (5,701)           | (16,146)  |
| Net income   | \$2,428          | 4,154             | 6,210            | 5,940             | \$18,732  |
| Net income per share – Basic                                       | \$0.07           | \$0.12            | \$0.17           | \$0.16            | \$0.52    |
| Net income per share – Diluted                                     | \$0.07           | \$0.11            | \$0.17           | \$0.16            | \$0.50    |
| Weighted average shares used in<br>computing net income per share: |                  |                   |                  |                   |           |
| Basic  | 35,535           | 35,685            | 35,832           | 36,264            | 35,956    |
| Diluted  | 36,996           | 36,906            | 37,212           | 37,420            | 37,133    |

Net income (loss) per share for the four quarters of each fiscal year may not sum to the total for the fiscal year due to the different number of shares outstanding during each period.