

ASCENDIA BRANDS, INC.
Form PRE 14A
December 07, 2006

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

(RULE 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a)

of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the registrant

Filed by a party other than the registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement.
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only
(as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)).
- Definitive Proxy Statement.
- Definitive Additional Materials.
- Soliciting Material pursuant to Rule 14a-12.

ASCENDIA BRANDS, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Payment of filing fee (check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11

Edgar Filing: ASCENDIA BRANDS, INC. - Form PRE 14A

(set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- (5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials:

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- (1) Amount Previously Paid:
 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - (3) Filing Party:
 - (4) Date Filed:
-

[Back to Contents](#)

100 American Metro Boulevard, Suite 108

Hamilton, New Jersey 08619

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

TO BE HELD ON DECEMBER X, 2006

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the annual meeting of the stockholders of Ascendia Brands, Inc., formerly known as Cenuco, Inc. (the Company), will be held on December X, 2006 at 10:00 a.m., local time, at the Company's headquarters, 100 American Metro Boulevard, Suite 108, Hamilton, New Jersey, for the following purposes:

1. to elect five directors for a term of one year, or until their successors are duly elected and qualified;
2. to ratify the selection of BDO Seidman, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending February 28, 2007;
3. to approve the issuance of up to 28,056,510 shares of the Company's common stock issuable upon conversion of the Company's Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock or otherwise in connection with the merger with Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC;
4. to approve the issuance of an aggregate of 34,000 shares of the Company's common stock to Robert Picow and Doug McMillen;
5. to approve the adoption of the Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan; and
6. to act on other matters and transact such other business as may properly come before the annual meeting and any adjournment(s) or postponement(s) of the meeting.

The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on October 25, 2006 as the record date for the annual meeting. Only holders of record of the Company's Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock and the Company's common stock on the record date are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the annual meeting and any adjournment or postponement thereof. Furthermore, only the holders of record of the Company's common stock on the record date will be entitled to vote on proposal three: the issuance of shares upon conversion of the Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock. The merger transaction and the above-referenced proposals are more fully described in the accompanying proxy statement.

Please read the accompanying proxy material carefully. Your vote is important, and we appreciate your cooperation in considering and acting on the matters presented. You are cordially invited to attend the meeting in person. Whether or not you expect to attend the annual meeting, you are urged to complete, sign, date and return the enclosed proxy card to us in the enclosed envelope, which requires no postage if mailed in the United States. The proxies are solicited by the Board of Directors of the Company. The return of enclosed proxy will not affect your right to vote if you attend the meeting in person.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

/s/ Joseph A. Falsetti

JOSEPH A. FALSETTI
PRESIDENT AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Dated: December __, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| <u>QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE MEETING AND THE PROPOSALS TO BE VOTED UPON AT THE MEETING</u> | <u>2</u> |
| <u>The Annual Meeting</u> | <u>2</u> |
| <u>The Merger</u> | <u>4</u> |
| <u>Other Information</u> | <u>4</u> |
| <u>SUMMARY</u> | <u>6</u> |
| <u>RISK FACTORS</u> | <u>14</u> |
| <u>Risks Relating to the Merger</u> | <u>14</u> |
| <u>Risks Relating to Our Business</u> | <u>16</u> |
| <u>CAUTIONARY STATEMENT CONCERNING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u> | <u>22</u> |
| <u>THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS</u> | <u>23</u> |
| <u>Date, Time and Place</u> | <u>23</u> |
| <u>Purpose of the Annual Meeting</u> | <u>23</u> |
| <u>Record Date, Shares Entitled to Vote and Quorum</u> | <u>23</u> |
| <u>Vote Required</u> | <u>23</u> |
| <u>Voting Agreements; Voting by Directors and Executive Officers</u> | <u>24</u> |
| <u>Voting of Proxies</u> | <u>25</u> |
| <u>Revocability of Proxies</u> | <u>25</u> |
| <u>Solicitation of Proxies</u> | <u>25</u> |
| <u>PROPOSAL ONE: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u> | <u>26</u> |
| <u>Director Nominees</u> | <u>26</u> |
| <u>Agreements regarding Director Nominated by Prencen, LLC</u> | <u>27</u> |
| <u>Board Committees and Meeting Attendance</u> | <u>27</u> |
| <u>Director Compensation</u> | <u>28</u> |
| <u>Procedure for Recommending Director Candidates to the Nominating Committee</u> | <u>29</u> |
| <u>Director Qualifications</u> | <u>29</u> |
| <u>Evaluation of Director Candidates by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee</u> | <u>29</u> |
| <u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u> | <u>30</u> |
| <u>Summary Compensation Table</u> | <u>30</u> |
| <u>Aggregate Option Exercises in Last Fiscal Year and Fiscal Year-End Option Values</u> | <u>31</u> |
| <u>Equity Compensation Plan Information</u> | <u>31</u> |
| <u>Plan Category</u> | <u>31</u> |
| <u>Employment and Indemnification Agreements</u> | <u>32</u> |
| <u>Report of the Compensation Committee</u> | <u>34</u> |
| <u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u> | <u>34</u> |
| <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u> | <u>34</u> |
| <u>PROPOSAL TWO: SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u> | <u>36</u> |
| <u>Selection of Independent Auditors</u> | <u>36</u> |
| <u>Accounting Firm Fees</u> | <u>36</u> |
| <u>Pre-Approval Policy</u> | <u>36</u> |
| <u>Audit Committee Report</u> | <u>36</u> |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| <u>Prior Independent Auditors</u> | <u>37</u> |
| <u>THE MERGER</u> | <u>38</u> |
| <u>Background of the Merger</u> | <u>38</u> |
| <u>The Companies</u> | <u>40</u> |
| <u>Purpose of the Merger; Effect of Stock Issuances</u> | <u>40</u> |
| <u>Reasons for the Merger</u> | <u>42</u> |
| <u>Factors Considered by the Board of Directors of Cenuco</u> | <u>42</u> |
| <u>Regulatory Approvals Needed to Complete the Merger</u> | <u>44</u> |
| <u>Opinion of Financial Advisor to Cenuco</u> | <u>44</u> |
| <u>What Stockholders and Members Received in the Merger</u> | <u>50</u> |
| <u>Material Terms of the Merger and Merger Agreement</u> | <u>51</u> |
| <u>Material Terms of the Voting Agreements</u> | <u>54</u> |
| <u>Other Related Agreements</u> | <u>55</u> |
| <u>Interests of Officers and Directors of the Company in the Merger-Related Proposals</u> | <u>55</u> |
| <u>Accounting Treatment of the Merger</u> | <u>55</u> |
| <u>Certain Federal Income Tax Consequences</u> | <u>55</u> |
| <u>No Appraisal Rights</u> | <u>55</u> |
| <u>Management of the Combined Company</u> | <u>55</u> |
| <u>Changes to the Board of Directors</u> | <u>56</u> |
| <u>PROPOSAL THREE: APPROVAL OF THE ISSUANCE OF UP TO 28,056,510 SHARES OF COMMON STOCK ISSUABLE UPON CONVERSION OF THE SERIES A PREFERRED STOCK OR OTHERWISE IN CONNECTION WITH THE MERGER</u> | <u>57</u> |
| <u>General</u> | <u>57</u> |
| <u>Stockholder Approval Required</u> | <u>57</u> |
| <u>Dilutive Effect of Additional Common Stock</u> | <u>58</u> |
| <u>PROPOSAL FOUR: APPROVAL OF THE ISSUANCE OF AN AGGREGATE OF 34,000 SHARES OF COMMON STOCK TO MESSRS. PICOW AND MCMILLEN</u> | <u>58</u> |
| <u>PROPOSAL FIVE: APPROVAL OF THE ADOPTION OF THE COMPANY S 2006 STOCK INCENTIVE PLAN</u> | <u>58</u> |
| <u>Administration of the Plan</u> | <u>59</u> |
| <u>Persons Eligible for Awards</u> | <u>60</u> |
| <u>Shares Available for Awards; Limits on Awards</u> | <u>60</u> |
| <u>Types of Awards Under the Plan</u> | <u>60</u> |
| <u>Exercise of Options and Stock Appreciation Rights</u> | <u>61</u> |
| <u>Limitations regarding Incentive Stock Options</u> | <u>61</u> |
| <u>Compensation in Lieu of Exercise of an Option</u> | <u>62</u> |
| <u>Transferability of Awards</u> | <u>62</u> |
| <u>Termination of Employment</u> | <u>62</u> |
| <u>Occurrence of Corporate Events</u> | <u>62</u> |
| <u>Amendment of the Plan</u> | <u>63</u> |
| <u>Modification of Awards</u> | <u>63</u> |
| <u>Termination of Plan</u> | <u>63</u> |
| <u>Federal Income Tax Matters</u> | <u>63</u> |
| <u>New Plan Benefits</u> | <u>66</u> |

| | |
|---|-------------|
| <u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT</u> | <u>66</u> |
| <u>Beneficial Ownership Table</u> | <u>66</u> |
| <u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u> | <u>68</u> |
| <u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS OF ASCENDIA BRANDS, INC.</u> | <u>68</u> |
| <u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS OF CENUCO, INC.</u> | <u>84</u> |
| <u>GENERAL INFORMATION</u> | <u>96</u> |
| <u>Stock Performance Graph</u> | <u>96</u> |
| <u>Code of Ethics</u> | <u>96</u> |
| <u>Communications with the Board of Directors</u> | <u>96</u> |
| <u>Future Proposals of Stockholders</u> | <u>96</u> |
| <u>Other Matters</u> | <u>97</u> |
| <u>Where You Can Find More Information</u> | <u>97</u> |
| <u>Householding Information</u> | <u>97</u> |
| <u>Incorporation by Reference</u> | <u>97</u> |
| <u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF ASCENDIA BRANDS, INC.</u> | <u>F-1</u> |
| <u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF CENUCO, INC.</u> | <u>F-53</u> |
| <u>ANNEX A Opinion of vFinance investments, Inc.</u> | <u>A-1</u> |
| <u>ANNEX B Audit Committee Charter</u> | <u>B-1</u> |
| <u>ANNEX C Ascendia Brands 2006 Stock Incentive Plan</u> | <u>C-1</u> |

[Back to Contents](#)

100 American Metro Boulevard, Suite 108 A

Hamilton, New Jersey 08619

PROXY STATEMENT

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

TO BE HELD ON DECEMBER XX, 2006

This proxy statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Ascendia Brands, Inc., formerly known as Cenuco, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the Company), to be used at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be held on XXXXXX, _____ X, 200x at 10:00 a.m., local time at the Company's headquarters, 100 American Metro Boulevard, Suite 108, Hamilton, New Jersey, and any adjournment or postponement thereof (the Meeting). This proxy statement, the foregoing notice and the enclosed proxy are first being mailed to holders of the Company's Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock and the Company's common stock on or about December XX, 2006.

The Board of Directors does not intend to bring any matter before the Meeting except as specifically indicated in the notice, nor does the Board of Directors know of any matters that anyone else proposes to present for action at the Meeting. If any other matters properly come before the Meeting, however, the persons named in the enclosed proxy, or their duly constituted substitutes acting at the Meeting, will be authorized to vote or otherwise act thereon in accordance with their judgment on such matters.

Shares represented by proxies received by the Company, where the stockholder has specified a choice with respect to the matters to be voted upon at the Meeting, will be voted in accordance with the specification(s) so made. **In the absence of such specification(s), the shares will be voted FOR each of the director nominees named in the proxy, FOR selection of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, FOR the proposal regarding the issuance of shares of common stock upon conversion of the Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, FOR the proposal regarding the issuance of 34,000 shares of common stock to Robert Picow and Doug McMillen, and FOR the adoption of the Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan.**

Any proxy may be revoked at any time prior to its exercise by notifying the Secretary of the Company in writing, by delivering a duly executed proxy bearing a later date, or by attending the Meeting and voting in person.

The accompanying form of proxy is being solicited on behalf of the Board of Directors of the Company. The expenses of the solicitation of proxies for the Meeting will be paid by the Company. In addition to the mailing of the proxy material, such solicitation may be made in person or by telephone or Internet by directors, executive officers or employees of the Company, who will receive no additional compensation therefor. Upon request, the Company will reimburse brokers, dealers, banks and trustees, or their nominees, for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred by them in forwarding proxy and solicitation material to beneficial owners of the Company's stock.

[Back to Contents](#)

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE MEETING

AND THE PROPOSALS TO BE VOTED UPON AT THE MEETING

The following questions and answers briefly address some commonly asked questions regarding the Meeting and the proposals to be voted upon at the Meeting. These questions and answers may not address all questions that may be important to you as a stockholder of the Company. For additional information, please refer to the more detailed information contained elsewhere in this proxy statement, the annexes to this proxy statement and the other documents we refer to in this proxy statement.

The Annual Meeting

Q. When and where will the meeting of stockholders take place?

A. The annual meeting of the Company's stockholders will take place on _____ x, 200x at 10:00 a.m., local time, at the Company headquarters at 100 American Metro Boulevard, Suite 108, Hamilton, New Jersey.

Q. What is the purpose of the annual meeting?

A. At the annual meeting, stockholders will vote upon the six proposals that are described in detail in this proxy statement, including the election of directors, the ratification of the selection of our independent registered public accounting firm, a proposal regarding the issuance of common stock upon conversion of the Company's Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, a proposal regarding the issuance of 34,000 shares of common stock to Robert Picow and Doug McMillen, and the adoption of the Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan.

Q. Who may vote at the annual meeting and who may attend the annual meeting?

A. Only holders of record of the Company's common stock and Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock as of the close of business on October 25, 2006 may vote at the annual meeting. As of October 25, 2006, the Company had outstanding 13,944,056 shares of common stock, and 2,347.7745 shares of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, entitled to vote. Each share of common stock shall have one vote and each share of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock shall have 10,118.9046 votes. All stockholders of the Company who owned shares on October 25, 2006 may attend the annual meeting.

Q. How do I cast my vote?

A. There are two different ways you may cast your vote. You can vote by:
marking, signing and dating a proxy card and returning it in the envelope provided; or
attending the meeting and voting in person.

Q. If I have given a proxy, how do I revoke that proxy?

A. Your presence at the meeting will not in itself revoke any proxy you may have given. However, you may revoke your proxy (to the extent it has not already been voted at the meeting) if you:
give written notice of the revocation to the Company's Corporate Secretary, at 100 American Metro Boulevard, Suite 108, Hamilton, New Jersey 08619, which notice will not be effective until it is received;
submit a properly signed proxy with a later date; or
attend the meeting and vote in person.

Q. How will my proxy be voted?

A. If your proxy in the accompanying form is properly executed, returned to and received by the Company prior to the meeting and is not revoked, it will be voted in accordance with your instructions. If you return your signed proxy but do not mark the boxes to show how you wish to vote on one or more of the proposals, the shares for which you have given your proxy will, in the absence of your instructions to the contrary, be voted FOR each of the director nominees named in the proxy, FOR ratification of the selection of BDO Seidman, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm, FOR the proposal regarding the issuance of shares of common stock upon conversion of the Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock (Proposal Three), FOR the proposal regarding the issuance of 34,000 shares of common stock to Robert Picow and Doug McMillen (Proposal Four), and FOR the adoption of the Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (Proposal Five). If additional matters come

before the meeting, the person to whom you have provided your proxy will exercise his or her own discretion in voting your shares on such matters.

[Back to Contents](#)

Q. Will my shares be voted if I do not provide my proxy?

A. Your shares may be voted under certain circumstances if they are held in the name of a brokerage firm or nominee. Under rules currently in effect, brokerage firms and nominees that are members of the American Stock Exchange have the authority under the American Stock Exchange's rules to vote their customers' unvoted shares on certain routine matters if the customers have not furnished voting instructions within a specified period prior to the meeting. Under these rules, the routine matters to be voted on at the meeting include the election of directors and the ratification of the selection of the independent registered public accounting firm. However, none of the approval of the share issuance proposal arising from the merger transaction, the approval of the share issuance proposal to Robert Picow and Doug McMillen, or the approval of the adoption of the Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan is considered a routine matter and hence brokerage firms and nominees will not be able to vote the shares of customers from whom they have not received voting instructions with regard to approval of Proposal Three, Proposal Four or Proposal Five. If you hold your shares directly in your own name, they will not be counted as shares present for the purposes of establishing a quorum or be voted if you do not provide a proxy or attend the meeting and vote the shares yourself.

Broker Non-Votes: Broker non-votes occur when shares held by a broker are not voted with respect to a proposal because (1) the broker has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner of the shares and (2) the broker lacks the authority to vote the shares at the broker's discretion. Broker non-votes will have no effect on the matters to be voted on at the meeting because broker non-votes will not be considered votes cast, but will be counted as shares present and entitled to vote for the purposes of determining the presence of a quorum.

Q. How many votes are needed to elect directors?

A. The five nominees receiving the highest number of FOR votes will be elected as directors. This is referred to as plurality.

Q. How many votes are needed to approve the proposal to ratify the selection of BDO Seidman, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm, the proposal to issue shares of common stock upon conversion of the Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, the proposal to issue 34,000 shares of common stock to Robert Picow and Doug McMillen, and the adoption of the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan?

A. Each of these proposals requires that the number of votes cast FOR the proposal exceed the number of votes cast AGAINST the proposal.

Q. Who will count the vote?

A. Representatives of American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, the Company's transfer agent, will tabulate the votes cast at the meeting.

Q. What does it mean if I get more than one proxy card?

A. If you have your shares registered in multiple accounts with one or more brokers and/or the Company's transfer agent, you will receive more than one card. Please complete and return each of the proxy cards you receive to ensure that all of your shares are voted.

Q. What is a quorum ?

A. A quorum, for purposes of the Meeting, means a majority of the shares of the Company's stock entitled to vote at the Meeting. A quorum of the Company's shares must be present at the Meeting in order for the meeting to be held. For purposes of determining the presence of a quorum, shares will be counted if they are present in person or by proxy. Shares present by proxy will be counted as present for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum even if the proxy does not have authority to vote on all matters.

Abstentions: Abstentions are not counted in the tally of votes FOR or AGAINST a proposal. Abstentions are counted as shares present at the Meeting for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum.

[Back to Contents](#)

Q. What happens if I abstain from voting?

A. For each of the proposals to be voted on at the meeting, abstentions will have no effect on the outcome (other than with respect to determining whether a quorum exists), since an abstention is not a vote cast.

Q. How will voting on any other business be conducted?

A. We do not know of any business to be considered at the annual meeting other than the proposals described in this proxy statement. However, if any other business is presented at the Meeting, a proxy in the accompanying form will give authority to Joseph A. Falsetti and John D. Wille to vote on such matters at their discretion and they intend to do so in accordance with their best judgment on any such matter.

The Merger

Q. What happened in the merger?

A. Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC (Hermes), together with its wholly owned subsidiaries Lander Co., Inc., Lander Co. Canada Limited and Ascendia Real Estate LLC (f/k/a Hermes Real Estate I LLC), became wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company through a merger transaction in which a newly formed subsidiary of the Company merged with and into Hermes. The merger was completed on May 20, 2005.

Q. Upon completion of the merger, what happened to my common stock?

A. There was no change in your common stock. Shares of the Company s common stock held by stockholders of the Company before the merger continue to remain outstanding after the merger and represent an equal number of shares of common stock of the Company after the merger. However, immediately following the merger, the prior members of Hermes became the beneficial owners of 65% of the voting power of the then outstanding shares of the Company s capital stock by virtue of owning shares of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock which is convertible, subject to stockholder approval, into shares of the Company s common stock. As a consequence of the issuance of the shares of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, there was significant dilution in the ownership of the Company by stockholders of the Company before the merger.

Q. Are stockholders being asked to approve the merger?

A. No. The Company s Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, authorizes the Board of Directors to issue shares of preferred stock from time to time with such designations, preferences, conversion and other rights as the Board shall determine. Due to certain anticipated delays in the preparation of the proxy statement, the Board concluded that it was advisable to modify the original terms of the merger transaction to issue shares of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock to the former Hermes members and to consummate the merger, neither of which required stockholder approval. However, the conversion of the shares of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock into shares of common stock is subject to stockholder approval and, as such, will be voted on at the Meeting. Only the holders of common stock will be entitled to vote on the proposal regarding conversion of the shares of series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock into shares of common stock (Proposal Three).

Q. Are appraisal rights applicable to any of the matters to be voted on at the annual meeting?

A. No. Appraisal rights do not apply to any matter to be voted on at the annual meeting.

Other Information

Q. Why are stockholders being asked to approve the issuance of stock to Messrs. Picow and McMillen?

A. The listing standards of the American Stock Exchange, where our common stock is currently listed, require stockholder approval of any stock option or equity compensation plan pursuant to which any officer, director, employee or consultant may acquire options or stock of the company. Because these shares of common stock were issued to Messrs. Picow and McMillen as part of a compensation arrangement, we are required to obtain the approval of our stockholders prior to including these shares on the American Stock Exchange listing.

Q. Who will pay the cost of this proxy solicitation and how will the solicitation be conducted?

A. The Company will pay the expenses it incurs in soliciting proxies in the form included with this proxy statement, and in preparing and filing any material required in connection with the solicitation. In addition to the use of the mail, the Company s directors, executive officers and employees may solicit proxies personally or by telephone. The Company will also reimburse brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries

for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses for forwarding proxy and solicitation materials to the Company's stockholders.

[Back to Contents](#)

Q. Where can I find more information about the Company?

A. The Company files periodic reports and other information with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). This information is available at the SEC s public reference facilities, and on the SEC s Internet site at <http://www.sec.gov>. For a more detailed description of the information available, see the section of this proxy statement entitled *General Information*.

Q. Who can help answer my questions?

A. After reading this proxy statement, if you have questions about the annual meeting, the merger transaction or any of the other proposals to be voted upon at the annual meeting, you should contact us at Ascendia Brands, Inc., 100 American Metro Boulevard, Suite 108, Hamilton, New Jersey 08619, Attn: Corporate Secretary or call us at (609) 219-0930.

[Back to Contents](#)

SUMMARY

This summary highlights selected information from this proxy statement about the conversion of the preferred stock issued in the merger and the other matters to be voted upon at the annual meeting. This summary may not contain all of the information that is important to you as a stockholder of the Company. Accordingly, we encourage you to read carefully this entire document and the other documents to which we refer you. References in this proxy statement to the Company, Ascendia, we, our and us mean, unless the context indicates otherwise, Ascendia, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

The Companies (see page 40)

On May 9, 2006, the Company (previously known as Cenuco, Inc.) changed its name to Ascendia Brands, Inc. The chart below depicts the current structure of the Ascendia group and the discussion that follows summarizes the functions and role of each company in this group.

Ascendia Brands, Inc. (the Company). The Company is a holding company, organized under Delaware law, with its executive offices in Hamilton, New Jersey. It owns directly the stock of Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC and Cenuco, Inc. The Company's common stock is listed on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol ASB. Prior to the change in the Company's name to Ascendia Brands, Inc. the Company's common stock was quoted on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol ICU.

Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC. Hermes is a Delaware limited liability company that acts as the holding company for the Company's health and beauty care division.

Ascendia Brands Co., Inc. Ascendia Brands Co., Inc. (or Ascendia Brands) is a New Jersey corporation with its executive offices in Hamilton, New Jersey. As of May 1, 2006, Ascendia Brands assumed the manufacturing and distribution operations formerly conducted through Lander Co., Inc. (*see, infra*). As the successor to Lander Co., Inc., Ascendia Brands manufactures and sells branded health and beauty care products in the value and premium value categories, through mass market retailers (such as Wal-Mart and K-Mart), dollar stores, supermarkets and pharmacies. Ascendia's brands include *Baby Magie*[®], *Binaca*[®], *Mr. Bubble*[®], *Lander*[®], *Lander essentials*, *Ogilvy*[®], *Tek*[®], *Dentax*[®], *Dorothy Gray*[®] and *Tussy*[®]. Ascendia Brands operates a manufacturing plant in Binghamton, New York, which is leased from a related party, Ascendia Real Estate LLC.

Lander Co., Inc. Lander Co., Inc. (or Lander) is a Delaware corporation with its executive offices in Wilmington, Delaware. During the period ended February 28, 2006, Lander Co., Inc. was the principal operating company in the Company's health and beauty care division. Following the transition of manufacturing and distribution activities to Ascendia Brands, Lander Co., Inc. acts as an intellectual property holding company for trademarks and other intellectual property associated with the *Lander* brands.

[Back to Contents](#)

Lander Co. Canada Ltd. Lander Co. Canada Ltd. (or Lander Canada), a Canadian limited company, is the Canadian manufacturing and distribution arm of the Company's health and beauty care division. Lander Canada operates a manufacturing facility in Toronto, Ontario, which it leases from a third party.

Ascendia Real Estate LLC (f/k/a Hermes Real Estate I LLC). Ascendia Real Estate LLC, a New York limited liability company, is a real estate holding company. Its sole asset is the Binghamton plant, which it leases to Ascendia Brands.

Lander Intangibles Corporation. Lander Intangibles Corporation is a Delaware corporation with its executive offices in Wilmington, Delaware. Lander Intangibles Corporation is an intellectual property holding company that was formed to acquire and hold certain of the intellectual property that the Company purchased from Playtex Products Inc. and its affiliates on November 16, 2005.

Cenuco, Inc. Cenuco, Inc. (Cenuco Wireless) is a Florida corporation with executive offices in Boca Raton. Cenuco develops and markets wireless data applications, with a focus on live video streaming to cellular devices across any carrier or handset platform.

The Annual Meeting (see page 2)

Date, Time and Place. The Meeting will be held on _____ x, 200x at the Company's headquarters at 100 American Metro Boulevard, Suite 108, Hamilton, New Jersey, at 10:00 a.m., local time, to consider and vote upon proposals:

Proposal One: Election of five directors for a term of one year, or until their successors are duly elected and qualified.

This proposal requires a plurality of the votes cast at the meeting, in person or by proxy, by the holders on the record date of the Company's common stock and Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, voting as a single class. In other words, the five director nominees receiving the highest number of affirmative votes cast at the meeting will be elected as directors.

Proposal Two: Ratification of the selection of BDO Seidman, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending February 28, 2007.

This proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the meeting, in person or by proxy, by the holders on the record date of the Company's common stock and Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, voting as a single class.

Proposal Three: Approval of the issuance of up to 28,056,510 shares of the Company's common stock issuable upon conversion of the Company's Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock or otherwise in connection with the merger with Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC.

This proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the meeting, in person or by proxy, by the holders of the Company's common stock on the record date. The holders of the Company's Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock are not entitled to vote on this proposal.

Proposal Four: Approval of the issuance of an aggregate of 34,000 shares of the Company's common stock to Robert Picow and Doug McMillen.

This proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the meeting, in person or by proxy, by the holders on the record date of the Company's common stock and Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, voting as a single class.

Proposal Five: Approval of the adoption of the Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan.

This proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the meeting, in person or by proxy, by the holders on the record date of the Company's common stock and Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, voting as a single class.

Proposal Six: Approval to act on other matters and transact such other business as may properly come before the Meeting and any adjournment(s) or postponement(s) of the Meeting.

[Back to Contents](#)

The Company does not anticipate that any other matters will be presented for a vote by stockholders at the annual meeting. If any such matter is presented, the vote required would depend upon the nature of the matter considered.

Record Date and Voting Power. You are entitled to vote if you owned shares of our common stock or our Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock (the Series A Preferred Stock) at the close of business on October 25, 2006, the record date for the Meeting. You will have one vote for each share of our common stock, and 10,118.9046 votes for each share of Series A Preferred Stock, that you owned at the close of business on the record date. On the record date there were 13,944,056 shares of our common stock, and 2,347.7745 shares of Series A Preferred Stock entitled to be voted at the Meeting. Only the holders of common stock on the record date will be entitled to vote on the proposal arising from the merger transaction (Proposals Three). On all other proposals (Proposals One, Two, Four, Five and Six), the holders of the common stock and Series A Preferred Stock will vote together as a single class.

Proposals to Elect Directors and Select of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (see pages 26 and 35)

These sections of the proxy statement contain descriptions of the proposals to elect five directors to our Board of Directors (Proposal One) and to ratify the selection of BDO Seidman, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending February 28, 2007 (Proposal Two). These sections also contain biographical information regarding the director nominees recommended by the Board and other information regarding corporate governance and accounting fees and polices.

Executive Compensation (see page 30)

This section of the proxy statement contains summary tables and other disclosure regarding the compensation and other benefits received by our executives during the most recent fiscal year. This section also contains a report from our Compensation Committee and a description of certain related party transactions.

Background of the Merger (see page 38)

This section of the proxy statement contains a description of the process that we undertook with respect to our reaching a definitive merger agreement and consummating the merger with Hermes, and includes a discussion of our contacts and discussions with Hermes that led to that agreement.

Purpose of the Merger; Effect of the Stock Issuances (see page 40)

The principal purpose and effect of the merger was to effectuate the acquisition by the Company of all of the membership interests in Hermes and thereby create a combined company with a diverse business portfolio and reduced reliance on any particular industry. In connection with the merger, the former members of Hermes were issued shares of Series A Preferred Stock representing 65% of the outstanding voting power of the capital stock of the Company. The merger was treated as a reverse acquisition using the purchase method of accounting, with Hermes being treated as the acquirer for accounting purposes. The merger had no effect on the shares of common stock outstanding at the time of the merger; shares of the Company's common stock held by stockholders of the Company before the merger continue to remain outstanding after the merger and represent an equal number of shares of common stock of the Company after the merger. However, upon approval by our stockholders of Proposal Three, the Series A Preferred Stock will be converted into the Company's common stock representing approximately 63% of the outstanding voting power of the capital stock of the Company.

Following the completion of the merger, Hermes, together with its wholly owned subsidiaries Lander, Lander Canada and Ascendia Real Estate LLC, became a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. We will use our reasonable best

efforts to ensure that our common stock will continue to be quoted on the American Stock Exchange, and will continue to be publicly traded.

[Back to Contents](#)

Reasons for the Merger (see page 41)

Our Board of Directors determined that the merger and the merger agreement were in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders. We believe that the merger offered an excellent opportunity to create value for our stockholders, as further described in this section of the proxy statement. In making this determination, our Board of Directors considered a number of factors, including, among other things:

- the reasons described under the heading *Reasons for the Merger*, including the possibility of cost savings, accelerated growth and the combined company's diverse business portfolio;
- information concerning the businesses, assets, liabilities, results of operations and financial performance of Hermes and the combined company;
- the opinion of vFinance that, as of March 9, 2005, and subject to the matters set out in its opinion, the consideration to be paid by the Company in the merger was fair, from a financial point of view, to the stockholders of the Company;
- the determination that the merger would create a larger combined company with greater financial resources and increased free cash flow and, as a result, increased flexibility and opportunity for future growth;
- Lander's position as a leader in the manufacture, marketing and distribution of brand value priced health and beauty care products with strong brand strength and name recognition;
- the expected composition of the combined company's senior management after the merger as described in this proxy statement under the heading *The Merger Management of the Combined Company*
- the fact that the merger is consistent with the Company's objective to grow through acquisitions;
- the fact that we were not required to register the shares of the Company's capital stock issued to the former members of Hermes in the merger or the shares of common stock that would be issued upon conversion of the preferred stock if the stockholders of the Company approve Proposal Three;
- the long-term interests of the Company and its stockholders, as well as the effects of the merger on the Company's employees, customers, creditors, suppliers and the communities in which it has operations; and
- the expectation that the merger would qualify as a reorganization under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

In the course of its deliberations, our Board of Directors also considered a variety of risks and potential drawbacks relating to the merger, including, among other things:

- the fact that the former members of Hermes would hold approximately 65% of the outstanding common shares of the Company after the conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock to common stock;
- the fact that the merger agreement eliminated the possibility of the Company entering into business combinations with companies other than Hermes prior to the merger or the termination of the merger agreement, and the fact that termination fees and restrictions on negotiations may have inhibited third parties from proposing an offer for the Company;
- the challenges and costs of combining the businesses of Lander and Cenuco Wireless; and
- the risks that the companies will not be able to combine their businesses without encountering operational difficulties or failing to realize the cost savings expected from the integration of their businesses, which could lead to the need to spin off or sell the Company's wireless technology division.

Opinion of Financial Advisor (see page 44)

In deciding to approve the merger, our Board of Directors considered the opinion of its financial advisor, vFinance Investment, Inc., that, as of the date the merger agreement was entered into, the consideration to be paid by the Company in the merger was fair from a financial point of view to the Company's stockholders. This opinion is attached as Annex A to this proxy statement. We encourage you to review the opinion in its entirety.

[Back to Contents](#)

Material Terms of the Merger Agreement (see page 51)

We have filed the merger agreement with the SEC as an exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 16, 2005 and we have filed an amendment to the merger agreement as an exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 20, 2005. We encourage you to review the merger agreement, as amended, as it is the legal document that governed the merger. The merger agreement provides, among other things:

a newly formed wholly owned subsidiary of the Company is merged with and into Hermes; as a result of the merger, which was completed on May 20, 2005, Hermes became a direct wholly owned subsidiary of the Company;

upon the completion of the merger, the former members of Hermes received shares of the Company's Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock which, in the aggregate, represented 65% of the voting power of the then outstanding shares of the Company's capital stock;

following the completion of the merger, the Company agreed to convene a meeting of the Company's stockholders that would, among other things, increase the number of authorized shares of common stock of the Company and approve the conversion of the Company's Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock into shares of common stock of the Company representing 65% of the outstanding shares; the special meeting of stockholders held on May 3, 2006 and this annual meeting of stockholders are intended to satisfy that obligation of the Company and if Proposal Three is approved at this annual meeting, the outstanding shares of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock of the Company will automatically convert into shares of common stock of the Company representing approximately 63% of the outstanding shares in the aggregate (after taking into consideration the redemption by the Company of 205,9001 shares of the Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock on August 3, 2006);

upon the completion of the merger, all outstanding options to purchase common stock of the Company were immediately vested and remained outstanding without any other change in the terms or conditions thereof;

the closing of the merger was conditioned upon, among other things, the Company obtaining a fairness opinion that the merger is fair to the Company's stockholders from a financial point of view and the Company having cash and cash equivalents on hand at closing of approximately \$6 million, subject to no liens;

the Company was required to pay Hermes a termination fee in the amount of \$500,000 if Hermes terminated the merger agreement because of the Company's material breach of any representation, warranty, covenant or agreement contained in the merger agreement or if Hermes determined that any reports filed by the Company with the SEC contained an untrue statement of a material fact or omitted a material fact; and

the Company was not permitted to solicit, initiate or encourage any inquiry, proposal or offer with respect to a third party tender offer, merger, consolidation, business combination or similar transaction involving any assets or class of capital stock of the Company.

Material Terms of the Voting Agreements (see page 54)

In connection with the execution of the merger agreement Steven Bettinger, our former President and Chief Executive Officer and director, entered into a voting agreement with Hermes. This voting agreement provides that Mr. Bettinger will vote the 3,817,267 shares of the Company's common stock that he owned beneficially at the time, representing approximately 27.4% of the outstanding shares of common stock on the record date, for Proposal Three at the annual meeting. On August 3, 2006, Mr. Bettinger sold 3,322,482 shares of the Company's common stock to Prencen, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (Prencen) for a purchase price of \$1.50 per share. Prencen has advised the Company that it will vote the shares of common stock that it owns in favor of Proposal Three. In addition, each of Edward Berzak, Warren Gilbert, Gilder Funding Corp., Jay Haft, Irvin Joseph, Irving J. Denmark Trust, Fred Mack,

Robert Picow and Stanley Snyder, stockholders of the Company owning an aggregate of 4,013,302 shares of common stock (representing approximately 28.8% of the outstanding shares of common stock on the record date), have agreed to vote their shares in favor of Proposal Three. The voting agreements further restrict such stockholders from selling or transferring the shares of the Company common stock beneficially owned by them other than in certain permitted circumstances. The voting agreements terminate on the earlier of December 31, 2006 or the day following the stockholder meeting to consider the proposals contemplated by the merger agreement.

[Back to Contents](#)

Board of Directors of the Company After the Merger (see page 56)

As provided for in the merger agreement, Steven Bettinger, Andrew Lockwood and Jack Phelan resigned from the Company's Board of Directors on the date that the merger was completed and Hermes designated four individuals to fill those vacancies on the Board of Directors. Since the merger, the Company's Board of Directors has been composed of Robert Picow, the sole remaining pre-merger director, and Joseph A. Falsetti, Kenneth D. Taylor, Edward J. Doyle and Francis Ziegler, the four Hermes designees.

Proposal Relating to Issuance of Shares on Conversion of Series A Preferred Stock (see page 57)

This section of the proxy statement contains a description of Proposal Three, approval of the issuance of up to 28,056,510 shares of the Company's common stock issuable upon conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock or otherwise in connection with the merger transaction.

Proposal Regarding Share Issuances to Messrs. Picow and McMillen (see page 58)

This section of the proxy statement gives the background and description of Proposal Four to approve the issuance of an aggregate of 34,000 shares of the Company's common stock to Robert Picow and Doug McMillen.

Proposal Regarding Adoption of the Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (see page 58)

This section of the proxy statement provides a description of Proposal Five to approve the adoption of the Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, and includes a summary of the material terms of the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan and the awards proposed to be granted to selected officers and directors under that plan.

Recommendation of the Board of Directors (see pages 26, 36, 58 and 59)

The Board of Directors of the Company recommends that you vote **FOR** each of the director nominees named in the proxy, **FOR** the ratification of the selection of BDO Seidman, LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, **FOR** the proposal regarding the issuance of shares of common stock upon conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock (Proposal Three), **FOR** the proposal regarding the issuance of shares of common stock to Robert Picow and Doug McMillen (Proposal Four), and **FOR** the adoption of the Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (Proposal Five).

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management (see page 66)

This section of the proxy statement contains a table setting forth certain information with respect to the beneficial ownership of shares of the Company's common stock and Series A Preferred Stock by: (i) each person known by us to beneficially own more than 5% of the outstanding shares of our common stock or Series A Preferred Stock; (ii) each of our current directors; (iii) each of our named executive officers; and (iv) all of our executive officers and directors as a group.

Management's Discussion and Analysis for Ascendia Brands, Inc. and Cenuco, Inc. (see pages 68 through 98)

These sections of the proxy statement contain management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations for the periods set forth in the financial statements of Ascendia Brands, Inc. and Cenuco, Inc. included with this proxy statement and described below. For information on the selected quarterly financial data of these companies for the respective periods, see the footnotes to the financial statements included with this proxy statement.

Certain Financial Statements for Ascendia Brands, Inc. and Cenuco, Inc. (see page F-1)

The audited consolidated/combined financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries as of and for the years ended February 28, 2006 and 2005 and for the period from April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004 were filed on August 11, 2006 with the SEC on the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2006. The unaudited consolidated financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries as of August 26, 2006 and for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks then ended were filed on October 27, 2006 with the SEC on the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Such financial statements are set forth at page F-1 *et seq.* of this proxy statement.

[Back to Contents](#)

The audited consolidated financial statements of Cenuco, Inc. and its subsidiaries as of and for the years ended June 30, 2004 and June 30, 2003 were filed on September 27, 2004 with the SEC on the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004. The unaudited consolidated financial statements of Cenuco, Inc. and its subsidiaries as of March 31, 2005 and for the three and nine months then ended were filed on May 9, 2005 with the SEC on the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB. Such financial statements are set forth at page F-54 *et seq.* of this proxy statement.

Selected Historical Consolidated and/or Combined Financial Data of Ascendia Brands, Inc.

The following selected combined and/or consolidated financial information of Ascendia Brands, Inc. and its subsidiaries for the years ended February 28, 2006 and 2005 and for the period from April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004 has been derived from the audited historical financial statements Annual Report on Form 10-K that was filed by the Company with the SEC on August 11, 2006, a copy of which has been delivered with this proxy statement. The following selected consolidated financial information for the period ended August 26, 2006 has been derived from the unaudited financial statements included in the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that was filed by the Company with the SEC on October 27, 2006, a copy of which has been delivered with this proxy statement.

| (\$000 s) except per share amounts | <u>26 Weeks Ended</u> | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------|-----------|------------------|
| | For the period 4/25/03 to 2/29/2004 | Year ended 2/28/2005 | Year ended 2/28/2006 | | | 8/26/2006 (4) |
| | | | 8/27/2005 (1) | (2) | (3) | |
| Operating Data: | | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$55,046 | \$69,861 | \$79,562 | \$34,192 | \$49,326 | |
| Gross profit | 6,803 | 7,491 | 5,304 | 2,292 | 9,196 | |
| Loss from continuing operations | (1,195) | (2,756) | (27,726) | (3,941) | (1,385) | |
| Net loss | (1,719) | (3,989) | (30,212) | (4,634) | (4,075) | |
| Loss from continuing operations per common share | N/A | N/A | (2.06) | (0.20) | (0.29) | |
| Balance Sheet Data (as of the period end): | | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$24,461 | \$24,036 | \$102,946 | \$63,374 | \$108,541 | |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 8,203 | 8,930 | 32 | 7,316 | 9 | |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | 7,608 | 6,875 | 80,000 | 6,510 | 83,591 | |
| Other long-term obligations | 673 | 673 | 967 | 731 | 1,017 | |
| Shareholders' equity (deficit)/members (loss) | (1,815) | (5,830) | 8,869 | 34,915 | 9,538 | |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

(1) In May 2005, Hermes Acquisition Company I (HACI) reversed merged into Cenuco, Inc. On May 20, 2005, Hermes Holding Company I, a wholly owned subsidiary of Cenuco, Inc., merged with HACI. As a consequence of the merger, HACI, together with its wholly owned subsidiaries, became wholly owned subsidiaries of Cenuco, Inc. For financial statement purposes, the Merger was treated as a recapitalization of HACI followed by the reverse acquisition of Cenuco, Inc. by HACI. Accordingly the financial position and results of operations of HACI and its predecessor entities are presented above for periods prior to the Merger and the financial position and results of operations of Cenuco have been included thereafter. The Company's name was subsequently changed to Ascendia Brands, Inc. in

May 2006.

[Back to Contents](#)

(2) On November 16, 2005, the Company acquired certain brands and brand related assets from Playtex, Inc. for approximately \$58.0 million. Sales of the acquired Playtex products and amortization of related acquired intangible assets are reflected above from that date forward.

(3) The loss from continuing operations and the net loss for the year ended February 28, 2006 includes a \$16.4 million charge for an impairment in the carrying value of goodwill established in the May 20, 2005 Merger noted above.

(4) In August 2006, the Company completed the issuance of secured notes with a beneficial conversion option and other embedded derivatives. As a result of this financing arrangement, a compound derivative was identified and bifurcated and the related liability recorded as required under FASB No. 133 and EITF 00-19. This resulted in a \$6.8 million loss being recorded in interest and other expense on August 2, 2006. The value of the compound derivative liability was adjusted as of August 26, 2006, resulting in a reduction of interest and other expense for the period between August 2 and August 26, 2006 of \$11.2 million.

Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data of Cenuco, Inc.

The following selected consolidated financial information of Cenuco, Inc. as of and for each of the two years in the period ended June 30, 2004 has been derived from the audited historical financial statements of Cenuco, Inc. that are included in the Annual Report on Form 10-KSB that was filed by Cenuco, Inc. with the SEC on September 27, 2004 and are set forth at page F-54 *et seq.* of this proxy statement. The following selected consolidated financial information for the years ended June 30, 2001 and 2002 has been derived from the audited financial statements of Cenuco, Inc. that are not included in this proxy statement. The following selected consolidated financial information for the period July 1, 2004 to March 31, 2005 has been derived from the unaudited financial statements of Cenuco, Inc. that are included in the Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB that was filed by Cenuco, Inc. with the SEC on May 9, 2005 and are set forth at page F-74 *et seq.* of this proxy statement.

| (\$000 except per share amounts) | Year Ended June 30 | | | | Period July 1, 2004 to March 31, 2005 |
|---|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | |
| Operating Data: | | | | | |
| Net sales | \$2,632 | \$3,099 | \$1,577 | \$1,514 | \$ 398 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 323 | (124) | (1,289) | (3,622) | (2,155) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations per common share | \$0.04 | \$(0.01) | \$(0.15) | \$(0.36) | \$ (0.17) |
| Balance Sheet Data (as of the period end): | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$4,671 | \$4,410 | \$2,705 | \$7,188 | \$ 7,302 |
| Long-term obligations | 356 | 445 | 1,545 | 1,454 | 200 |
| Shareholders equity | 1,157 | 1,070 | 43 | 4,611 | 6,798 |
| Cash dividends per common share | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

[Back to Contents](#)

RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information that we have included in this proxy statement, including the matters addressed in *Cautionary Statement Concerning Forward-Looking Statements*, you should carefully read and consider the following factors in evaluating the proposals to be voted on at the Meeting.

Risks Relating to the Merger

The integration of the business operations of the Lander Group and Cenuco following the merger has been difficult.

The combination of the Cenuco and Lander Group operations as a consequence of the merger that was completed on May 20, 2005 involves the integration of separate businesses: the health and beauty care business of the Lander Group and the wireless data applications, including live video streaming to cellular devices, of Cenuco Inc. The process of combining the companies has at times been disruptive to the respective businesses and has potentially caused an interruption of, or a loss of momentum in, such businesses, and has or may result in the following difficulties, among others:

- loss of key employees or customers;
- possible inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies among the companies being combined and the need to implement and harmonize company-wide financial, accounting, information and other systems;
- failure to maintain the quality of services that the companies have historically provided;
- the need to coordinate geographically diverse organizations;
- the diversion of management's attention from the day-to-day business as a result of the need to deal with the above disruptions and difficulties and the possible need to add management resources to do so; and
- if the integration of the diverse businesses is not ultimately successful, it may be necessary for management to devote resources to effect a disposition of the wireless business by sale or spinoff.

Such disruptions and difficulties, if and when they occur, may cause us to fail to realize the benefits that, at the time of the merger, we expected to result from such integration and may cause material adverse short and long-term effects on our operating results and financial condition of the companies.

We may not achieve the cost savings and sales enhancements we expect to result from the integration of Cenuco and the Lander Group.

Even if we are ultimately able to integrate the operations of the companies successfully, there can be no assurance that such integration will result in the realization of the full benefits that, at the time of the merger, we expected to result from such integration or that such benefits will be achieved within the time frame that we then expected. Potential risks include, without limitation, the possibility that:

- revenue enhancements following the integration may not materialize as expected;
- the benefits from the integration may be offset by costs incurred in integrating the companies; and
- the benefits from the integration may also be offset by increases in other expenses, by operating losses or by problems in the business unrelated to the merger transaction.

[Back to Contents](#)

As a result of the merger that was completed on May 20, 2005, we have been required to re-list our shares of common stock on the American Stock Exchange.

Shares of our common stock have been listed on the American Stock Exchange since May 2004. The American Stock Exchange has separate standards that companies must meet in order to (a) have their shares listed and (b) continue that listing in effect. Continued listing is dependent, among other things, on compliance with applicable SEC filing requirements. Following the merger, we were unable to file in a timely manner certain historical audited financial statements relating to the business of Lander, because certain of the periods in question had not previously been audited. As a result of our failure to make these filings within the prescribed period, we received notice from the American Stock Exchange that we were not in compliance with certain listing standards. For more information on these notices, you are referred to our Current Reports on Form 8-K dated August 22, 2005 and September 20, 2005. The required financial statements were subsequently filed with our Current Report on Form 8-K/A dated December 19, 2005.

Section 341 of the American Stock Exchange Company Guide (the "AMEX Guide") describes the listing policies that the American Stock Exchange applies in the case of any plan of acquisition, merger or consolidation, the net effect of which is that a listed company is acquired by an unlisted company even though the listed company is the nominal survivor. Section 341 states that, in evaluating the continued listing eligibility of the surviving company, the American Stock Exchange will apply its original listing standards (which differ, in certain respects, from the continued listing standards). On January 5, 2006, the American Stock Exchange requested, pursuant to Section 341 of the AMEX Guide, that we re-file an original listing application to demonstrate compliance with the original listing standards of the American Stock Exchange. This information was provided on January 19, 2006 and supplemented on January 26, 2006. We have received no formal response from the American Stock Exchange with regard to the filing of such information.

In the future, should the implementation of the financing transaction that was consummated on August 3, 2006, result in a change of control of the Company, we will once again be required to re-apply for listing. No assurance can be given, however, that we will continue to meet all of the American Stock Exchange listing standards or that the American Stock Exchange will agree to continue to list our shares of common stock, and there is the possibility that the American Stock Exchange will not accept our listing application filed on January 19, 2006, or any re-listing application that we may be required to file in the future. In the event the American Stock Exchange does not agree to list our common stock, our stock price may materially decrease and the public market for our common stock may deteriorate. The absence of a public trading market would make it far more difficult for stockholders to sell any or all of their shares of our common stock, as we would, de facto, become a private company with no ability for any stockholder to sell shares of our common stock in the public markets.

The issuance of our common stock in connection with the merger will result in substantial dilution in the current ownership of the Company's common stock.

The approval of Proposal Three (relating to the issuance and authorization of shares of common stock in connection with the conversion to common stock of the Series A Preferred Stock issued in the merger) will result in our issuing at least 23,756,906 shares of our common stock to the prior members of Hermes. This issuance will result in substantial and immediate dilution to your percentage ownership of common stock, as the former members of Hermes will own approximately 63% of the shares of our common stock. However, when the merger was completed on May 20, 2005, the former members of Hermes were issued shares of Series A Preferred Stock that had the same dilutive effects both with respect to voting and economic interests as would the issuance of common stock upon conversion of the preferred stock upon approval of Proposal Three. Accordingly, there will be no further dilution of your voting power or economic interest as a consequence of the conversion to common stock of the outstanding shares of Series A Preferred Stock. In general however, any issuance of equity stock has the potential to reduce the earnings per share

and stockholders' equity per share as a result of the increase in shares issued and outstanding.

[Back to Contents](#)

The market price of our common stock may decline as a result of the merger.

The market price of our common stock may decline as a result of the issuance of common stock upon conversion of the outstanding shares of Series A Preferred Stock issued in connection with the merger agreement for a number of reasons, including because:

- the consideration offered for the equity interests of Hermes may not be viewed favorably by the market; integration may not be successful; or
- the effect on our financial results may not be consistent with the expectations of financial or industry analysts.

Risks Relating to Our Business

Health and Beauty Care Business

The high level of competition in Ascendia Brands' industry - the health and beauty care business - could adversely affect our sales, operating results and profitability.

The business of selling health and beauty products is highly competitive. Numerous manufacturers, distributors, marketers and retailers actively compete for consumers' business, both in the United States and abroad.

Ascendia Brands' principal competitors include Health Tech, Johnson & Johnson, Kimberly Clark, Pfizer, Procter & Gamble, The Village Company, and Unilever. Nearly all of these competitors are larger and have substantially greater resources than Ascendia Brands, and may therefore have the ability to spend more aggressively on advertising and marketing and to respond more effectively to changing business and economic conditions than we do. This could adversely affect our sales, operating results and profitability. Ascendia Brands competes on the basis of numerous factors, including brand recognition, product quality, performance, price and product availability at retail stores. Merchandising and packaging, the timing of new product introductions and line extensions also have a significant impact on customers' buying decisions and, as a result, on our sales. The structure and quality of our sales force and broker network, as well as consumption of Ascendia Brands' products, affect in-store position, shelf display space and inventory levels in retail outlets. If Ascendia Brands is not able to maintain or improve the inventory levels and/or shelf placement of its products in retail stores, our sales and operating results will be adversely affected. Ascendia Brands' markets also are highly sensitive to the introduction of new products, which may rapidly capture a significant share of the market. An increase in the amount of product introductions by our competitors could have a material adverse effect on our sales, operating results and profitability.

In addition, competitors may attempt to gain market share by offering products at or below the prices typically offered by Ascendia Brands. Competitive pricing may require Ascendia Brands to reduce prices and may result in lost sales and/or reductions in our margins.

Ascendia Brands depends on a limited number of customers for a large portion of its gross sales and the loss of one or more of these customers could materially reduce our gross sales and therefore could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

For the twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006, Ascendia Brands' top five customers accounted for approximately 51 percent of net sales, with one customer (Wal-Mart) accounting for 38 percent and a second (Dollar Tree) for 4 percent. We expect that for the year ending February 28, 2007 and future periods, Ascendia Brands' top five customers, including Wal-Mart and Dollar Tree, will, in the aggregate, continue to account for a significant portion of our gross sales. The loss of one or more of Ascendia Brands' top customers, any significant decrease in sales to these customers or any significant decrease in retail display space in any of these customers' stores, could reduce Ascendia

Brands gross sales and therefore could have a material adverse effect on our sales, operating results and profitability.

In addition, Ascendia Brands business is based primarily upon individual sales orders, and we typically do not enter into long-term contracts. Our customers could cease buying our products at any time and for any reason. The fact that we typically do not have long-term contracts means that we generally have no recourse in the event a customer ceases purchasing our products or reduce the level of purchases. If a significant number of our customers cease purchasing our products, or materially reduce the volume or value of those purchases, this could have a material adverse effect on our sales, operating results and profitability.

[Back to Contents](#)

Ascendia Brands and Lander Canada manufacture a significant quantity of the products they sell at their own manufacturing facilities. Any disruption in production could result in lost sales, and could have a material adverse effect on our customer relationships, financial condition and results of operations.

We manufacture most of our Lander brand health and beauty care products, plus a portion of the brands acquired from Playtex, at our 163,000 square foot manufacturing facility in Binghamton, New York and our 98,000 square foot plant in Scarborough, Ontario, Canada. Although we have the capability to manufacture most products (including shampoos, bubble bath, powders and topical analgesics) at either facility, alcohol-based products (such as mouthwash) and acetone-based products (such as nail polish remover) can be manufactured only at the Ontario location. A permanent or temporary unplanned shutdown of either of our plants, resulting from equipment malfunction, accident, fire, sabotage, strike or lockout, act of God or other factors, could substantially reduce our output of finished products. If output from one facility were to be curtailed, there is no assurance that we could absorb any lost production in our other manufacturing facility or that we could arrange to outsource production of the affected products in sufficient time to maintain scheduled deliveries. In the event of a protracted disruption in our own manufacturing operations, we would become more dependent on contract manufacturers and there is no assurance that we could obtain finished products from such contract manufacturers in sufficient quantities or at prices comparable to our own manufacturing costs. Our inability to do so could result in decreased sales and loss of market share, and could have a material adverse effect on our customer relationships, operating results and profitability.

Ascendia Brands and Lander Canada depend on third parties to provide raw materials for the products they manufacture. Disruption in the supply of raw materials, or increases in raw material costs, could adversely affect sales and our profitability.

Our ability to maintain production of our health and beauty care products at our own facilities depends upon access to raw materials, all of which we purchase from unrelated vendors. These raw materials include oil-based derivatives (such as mineral oil, petrolatum, surfactants and other specialty chemicals), plastic resin products (such as bottles and caps) and paper products (such as boxes, labels and packaging). If our current vendors become unable or unwilling to supply us with raw materials in a timely manner or at acceptable prices, there is no assurance that we could identify and qualify substitute vendors in sufficient time to prevent a disruption in production of some or all of the products we manufacture, or that substitute vendors would be able or willing to supply raw materials in the quantities and at the prices required to maintain normal operations. In addition, many of the raw materials we use, such as petroleum derivatives and paper products, are commodities that may be subject to significant price fluctuation, both in the short- and long-term. There is no assurance that we could pass through to our customers, in the form of higher prices, any resulting increase in our manufacturing costs. As a volume producer of value and extreme value products, we may be more susceptible than other producers to margin erosion resulting from increases in manufacturing costs. Our inability to secure sufficient quantities of raw materials at prices consistent with our current costs and sales price structure could therefore negatively impact inventory levels, customer relationships, sales and market share, and could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and profitability.

In addition, if our raw material suppliers fail to maintain adequate controls over specifications and quality, we may be unable to maintain the quality of our finished products. Reliance on raw materials of inferior quality could diminish the value of our brand names and the level of customer satisfaction. This could similarly lead to reduced sales and loss of market share and could thereby negatively affect our operating results and profitability.

Ascendia Brands and Lander Canada rely on unrelated carriers for the shipment of raw materials and finished products. Any disruption in, or unavailability of, transportation, could adversely affect production and distribution of our products.

Ascendia Brands and Lander Canada receive raw materials at their manufacturing facilities by truck, and distribute finished products to warehouses and customer distribution facilities by truck and/or rail. We rely on unrelated transportation companies for these services, which we typically contract on a short-term or ad hoc basis. The availability and cost of transportation services may be affected by many factors, including, without limitation, (i) market conditions of supply and demand, (ii) inclement weather, flood, hurricanes and the like, (iii) fuel shortages and/or increases in fuel costs, and (iv) strikes, lockouts or other industrial action. Although we seek to manage our raw materials and finished goods inventories prudently, any disruption in transportation services may interfere with normal plant operations, and/or could impede or prevent the delivery of finished products to our warehouses and to our customers facilities. Any sustained increase in transportation rates would increase our manufacturing and/or distribution costs, and there is no assurance that we would be able to pass these cost increases through to our customers in the form of higher prices. These factors could result in lost sales and market share and could adversely affect our operating results and profitability.

[Back to Contents](#)

Disruption in our distribution centers may prevent us from meeting customer demand.

We manage our product distribution in the continental United States and Canada through distribution centers in California, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania and Toronto, Canada. A serious disruption in the operation of any of these distribution centers, caused by a flood, fire or other factors, could damage or destroy inventory and could materially impair our ability to distribute products to our customers in a timely manner or at a reasonable cost. We could incur significantly higher costs and experience longer delivery lead times during the time it would take to reopen or replace a distribution center. This in turn could have a material adverse effect on our sales, operating results and profitability.

Ascendia Brands makes use of contract manufacturers to manufacture significant quantities of the finished products we sell.

We rely on contract manufacturers to manufacture certain of the finished products sold by our health and beauty care division, and the use of contract manufacturers has increased significantly as a result of Ascendia Brands' acquisition of the former Playtex brands in November, 2005. Any delay in delivery by one or more of these contract manufacturers, or the breach or termination of a manufacturing contract, could adversely affect our inventory levels, our ability to meet scheduled deliveries and to accept new orders. Any or all of these factors could also negatively affect our market share, customer relationships, operating results and profitability.

Efforts to acquire other companies, brands or product lines may divert our managerial resources from our day-to-day operations, and if we complete an acquisition we may incur or assume additional liabilities or experience integration problems.

Our growth strategy is bifurcated, driven both by acquiring other companies, brands or product lines that management believes complement our existing health and beauty care business, and through organic growth of our existing brands. At any given time, we may be engaged in discussions with respect to possible acquisitions or other business combinations that are intended to enhance our product portfolio, enable us to realize cost savings and further diversify our category, customer and channel focus. Our ability successfully to grow through acquisition depends on our ability to identify, acquire and integrate suitable acquisition targets and to obtain any necessary financing. These efforts could divert the attention of our management and key personnel from our day-to-day business operations. If we complete acquisitions, we may also experience:

- difficulties or delays in integrating any acquired companies, personnel and/or products into our existing business;
- delays in realizing the benefits of the acquired company or products;
- diversion of our management's time and attention from other business concerns;
- higher than anticipated integration costs;
- difficulties in retaining key employees of the acquired business who may be necessary to manage those businesses most efficiently;
- difficulties in maintaining uniform standards, controls, procedures and policies throughout all acquired companies; and/or
- adverse customer reaction to the business combination.

In addition, an acquisition could materially impair our operating results by causing us to incur debt, amortize acquisition expenses and/or depreciate acquired assets.

Regulatory matters governing our industry could have a significant negative effect on our sales and operating costs.

In both our U.S. and foreign markets, we are subject to extensive laws, governmental regulations, administrative determinations, court decisions and similar constraints affecting our health and beauty care business. Such laws, regulations and other constraints may exist at the federal, state or local levels in the United States and at analogous levels of government in foreign jurisdictions.

[Back to Contents](#)

In particular, the formulation, manufacturing, packaging, labeling, distribution, importation, sale and storage of the products sold by our health and beauty care division are subject to regulation by various federal agencies, including the FDA, the Federal Trade Commission (FTC), the Consumer Product Safety Commission, the Environmental Protection Agency, and by various agencies of the states, localities and foreign countries in which our products are manufactured, distributed and sold. In addition, the adoption of new regulations or changes in the interpretations of existing regulations may result in significant compliance costs or require discontinuation of product.

If we fail to comply with federal, state or foreign regulations, we could be required to:

- pay fines and/or penalties;
- suspend manufacturing operations;
- change product formulations;
- suspend the sale of products with non-complying specifications;
- initiate product recalls; or
- change product labeling, packaging, or take other corrective action.

Any of these actions could materially and adversely affect our financial results.

In addition, any failure to comply with FTC or state regulations, or with regulations in foreign markets that cover our product claims and advertising, including direct claims and advertising by us, may result in enforcement actions and imposition of penalties or otherwise materially and adversely affect the distribution and sale of our products.

Our business depends upon the protection of our intellectual property rights.

The market for our health and beauty care products depends to a significant extent upon the goodwill associated with our trademarks and tradenames. The trademarks and tradenames on our products are how we convey that the products Ascendia Brands sells are value brand name products, and we believe consumers ascribe value to our brands. Ascendia Brands and its affiliates own the material trademark and tradename rights used in connection with the packaging, marketing and sale of our products. This ownership is what prevents competitors or new entrants to the market from using our valuable brand names.

Therefore, trademark and tradename protection is critical to our business. Although most of our material trademarks are registered in the United States and in applicable foreign countries, we may not be successful in asserting trademark or tradename protection. If we were to lose the exclusive right to use any of our brand names in the United States or any other market in which we sell our products, our sales and operating results could be materially and adversely affected. We could also incur substantial costs to defend legal actions relating to the use of our intellectual property, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Other parties may infringe on our intellectual property rights and may thereby dilute the value of brands in the marketplace. If the value of our brands becomes diluted, or if our competitors are able to introduce brands that cause confusion with our brands in the marketplace, it could adversely affect the value that our customers associate with our brands, and thereby negatively impact our sales. Any such infringement of our intellectual property rights would also likely result in a commitment of our time and resources to protect these rights through litigation or otherwise. In addition, third parties may assert claims against our intellectual property rights and we may not be able successfully to resolve these claims.

[Back to Contents](#)

Wireless Applications Development Business

The Cenuco Wireless business faces extensive competition.

Our wireless applications development business, conducted under the Cenuco name has only recently introduced its full line of wireless video monitoring servers. There can be no assurance that the market will accept the wireless products currently offered. The industries in which the Cenuco Wireless division operates are characterized by intense competition. We face competition in all aspects of our business and we compete directly with numerous other firms, a significant number of which may offer their customers a broader range of products and services, have substantially greater financial, personnel, marketing, research and other resources, have greater operating efficiencies and have established reputations relating to product offerings and customer service. There can be no assurance that we will be able to compete in this business successfully.

If we are unable to protect our intellectual property rights our ability to compete effectively in the market for our products could be negatively impacted.

We regard our patents, copyrights, service marks, trademarks, trade secrets and similar intellectual property as important to our success in wireless applications development. We rely on patent, trademark and copyright law, trade secret protection and confidentiality agreements with our employees, customers, consultants and advisors to protect our proprietary rights; however, the steps we take to protect our proprietary rights may be inadequate and legal means may afford only limited protection. In addition, traditional legal protections may not be applicable in the Internet or wireless context, and the ownership of proprietary rights in our Cenuco Wireless technology may be subject to uncertainty. Our failure or inability to protect our proprietary rights could materially harm our business and competitive position.

We have filed for one Utility patent, Wireless Security Audio-Video Monitoring, which was accepted by the United States Patent Office in June, 2004, as Patent Pending #10/846426. We have also filed for one Provisional Patent, which we expect to convert to a full Utility Patent filing later this year. From time to time, we may decide to file additional patent applications relating to aspects of our proprietary Cenuco Wireless technology. Other parties may independently develop similar or competing technology or design around any patents that may be issued to us. There is no assurance that any of the patent applications we file will be approved, or that any issued patents will adequately protect our intellectual property. In addition, there is no assurance that third parties will not challenge the validity of our patents, or assert that technology developed and sold by Cenuco Wireless infringes other patents. Any such claims, even if lacking in merit, could require us to expend considerable resources in defending them and adversely affect the results of our operations.

Cenuco Wireless is currently the defendant in a patent infringement case commenced on February 1, 2005 in Federal District Court for the Southern District of New York (Joao v. Cenuco, Inc., 05 Civ. 1037 (CM) (MDF)). The plaintiff, Raymond Anthony Joao, asserts in his complaint that Cenuco Wireless is infringing certain patent held by Joao, specifically United States Patents Nos. 6,587,046, 6,542,076 and 6,549,130, which cover apparatuses and methods for transmitting video information to remote devices and/or over the Internet. Cenuco Wireless has timely answered the complaint denying infringement, and intends to defend this case vigorously on the merits. We believe that the patents relied on by Joao are invalid and that Joao will not prevail. Nonetheless, there can be no assurance as to the outcome of the case, and a judicial determination that Cenuco Wireless is infringing Joao's patents could have a material adverse effect on the ability of Cenuco Wireless to market and sell its current product line. Similarly, there is no assurance that Cenuco Wireless would be able to develop, at a reasonable cost, within a reasonable length of time or at all, a workaround to eliminate any patent infringement found to exist.

Our Business Generally

The integration of the former Playtex brands, Ascendia Brands and Cenuco Wireless may be difficult.

The combination of the former Playtex Brands, Ascendia Brands and Cenuco Wireless operations involves the integration of separate businesses: the health and beauty care business of Ascendia Brands and the former Playtex brands and the wireless data applications, including live video streaming to cellular devices, of Cenuco Wireless. The process of combining the former Playtex brands into the business of Ascendia Brands and integrating the Cenuco Wireless business with our health and beauty care business may be disruptive to these respective businesses and may cause an interruption of, or a loss of momentum in, such businesses, and may result in the following difficulties, among others:

loss of key employees or customers;

[Back to Contents](#)

possible inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies among our subsidiaries and the need to implement and harmonize company-wide financial, accounting, information and other systems; failure to maintain the quality of services that the companies individually have historically provided; the need to coordinate geographically diverse organizations; the diversion of management's attention from the day-to-day business as a result of the need to address the above disruptions and difficulties, and the possible need to add management resources to do so; and if the integration of our new brands and our diverse businesses is not successful, it may be necessary for management to devote resources to effect a disposition of the wireless business by sale or spin off.

Such disruptions and difficulties, if they occur, may cause us to fail to realize the benefits we currently expect to result from such integration and may cause material adverse short and long-term effects on our operating results and financial condition.

Both operating divisions depend on our key personnel and the loss of the services of executive officers or other key employees could harm our business and results of operations.

Our success in the health and beauty care and wireless applications development business sectors depends to a significant degree upon the continued contributions of our senior management and (in the case of Cenuco Wireless) of the programmers and technicians responsible for technology development. These employees may voluntarily terminate their employment with us at any time. We may not be able to retain existing key personnel or identify, hire and integrate new personnel.

We must comply with the listing provisions of the American Stock Exchange.

We must maintain sufficient stockholders' equity to continue its listing on the American Stock Exchange. Section 1003(a) of the AMEX Guide provides that the American Stock Exchange will normally consider suspending dealings in, or removing from the list, securities in a company that has stockholders' equity of less than \$6 million if the company has sustained losses from continuing operations and/or net losses in its five most recent fiscal years. As of August 26, 2006, stockholders' equity was approximately \$9.5 million. However, should the Company sustain losses in the future, this would reduce stockholders' equity. We therefore continue to evaluate alternative sources of equity capital so as to maintain our listing on the American Stock Exchange. Sustained or recurring failure to meet the equity requirements of the American Stock Exchange could ultimately lead to our being delisted from trading and therefore could potentially impact our ability to raise debt or equity capital in the future.

Future Impairments to Goodwill and other non amortizable intangible assets.

We have approximately \$31.5 million of goodwill and other non amortizable intangible assets. The testing for impairment in the future may result in additional write-offs.

[Back to Contents](#)

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT CONCERNING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We have made forward-looking statements in this document and in documents that are incorporated by reference in this document that are subject to risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements include information concerning possible or assumed future actions, events or results of operations of Cenuco, Hermes and Lander and the combined company, generally. These forward-looking statements may contain the words believe, anticipate, expect, estimate, project, will be, will continue, will likely result, or other similar words and phrases. This proxy statement may contain forward-looking statements that reflect, when made, our expectations or beliefs concerning future events that involve risks and uncertainties, including:

- general economic conditions affecting our products and their respective markets;
- the high level of competition in our industry and markets;
- our dependence on a limited number of customers for a large portion of our sales;
- disruptions in our distribution centers;
- integration of the brands acquired from Playtex Products Inc. and its affiliates (Playtex) diverting managerial resources, or incurrence of additional liabilities or integration problems associated with such transaction;
- changing consumer trends;
- pricing pressures that may cause us to lower our prices;
- increases in supplier prices;
- changes in our senior management team;
- our ability to protect our intellectual property rights;
- our dependency on the reputation of our brand names;
- the effects of shortages of supply of sourced goods or interruptions in the manufacturing of our products;
- our level of debt;
- our ability to obtain additional financing;
- the restrictions in our debt and equity financing on our operations; and
- our ability to service our debt.

All statements other than statements of historical facts included in this proxy statement, are forward-looking statements (as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995) based, among other things, on the Company's current plans and expectations relating to analyses of value and expectations of anticipated growth in the future and future success under various circumstances, and, as such, these forward-looking statements involve uncertainty and risk. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, such expectations may prove not to have been correct. We disclaim any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

[Back to Contents](#)

THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

We are furnishing this proxy statement to stockholders of the Company as part of the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors for use at the annual meeting of the Company's stockholders (the Meeting).

Date, Time and Place

The Company will hold the Meeting at the Company's headquarters, 100 American Metro Boulevard, Suite 108, Hamilton, New Jersey, on _____ x, 200x, at 10:00 a.m., local time.

Purpose of the Annual Meeting

At the Meeting, we will ask holders of our stock:

Proposal One: to elect five directors for a term of one year, or until their successors are duly elected and qualified.

Proposal Two: to ratify the selection of BDO Seidman, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending February 28, 2007.

Proposal Three: to approve the issuance of up to 28,056,510 shares of the Company's common stock issuable upon conversion of the Company's Series A Preferred Stock or otherwise in connection with the merger with Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC;

Proposal Four: to approve the issuance of an aggregate of 34,000 shares of the Company's common stock to Robert Picow and Doug McMillen;

Proposal Five: to approve the adoption of the Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan; and

Proposal Six: to act on other matters and transact such other business as may properly come before the Meeting and any adjournment(s) or postponement(s) of the Meeting.

The Company's Board of Directors believes that the foregoing proposals are in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders, and recommends that the Company's stockholders FOR each of the director nominees named in the proxy, FOR the ratification of the selection of BDO Seidman, LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, FOR the proposal regarding the issuance of shares of common stock upon conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock (Proposal Three), FOR the proposal regarding the issuance of 34,000 shares of common stock to Robert Picow and Doug McMillen (Proposal Four), and FOR the adoption of the Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan.

Record Date, Shares Entitled to Vote and Quorum

Only holders of record of the Company's common stock and Series A Preferred Stock at the close of business on October 25, 2006, the record date, are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Meeting. On the record date, 13,944,056 shares of the Company's common stock were issued and outstanding and held by approximately 1,200 holders of record, and 2,347,7745 shares of the Series A Preferred Stock were issued and outstanding and held by six holders of record. Only the holders of common stock on the record date will be entitled to vote on the proposal arising from the merger transaction (Proposal Three). On all other proposals (Proposals One, Two, Four, Five and Six), the holders of the common stock and Series A Preferred Stock will vote together as a single class.

A quorum will be present at the Meeting if the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of the Company's common stock and Series A Preferred Stock entitled to vote are represented in person or by proxy. Stockholders will have one vote for each share of common stock, and 10,118.9046 votes for each share of Series A Preferred Stock, held of record at the close of business on the record date.

Vote Required

Directors are elected by a plurality and the five nominees who receive the most votes will be elected as directors (Proposal One). Stockholders do not have cumulative voting rights in the election of directors. Approval of each of the other proposals (Proposals Two through Six), requires that the number of shares cast **FOR** each such proposal exceeds the number of shares cast **AGAINST** each such proposal.

[Back to Contents](#)

If you abstain from voting on any of the proposals to be voted on at the Meeting, it will have no effect on the outcome (other than with respect to determining whether a quorum exists), since an abstention is not a vote cast.

Shares of our common stock may be voted under certain circumstances if they are held in the name of a brokerage firm or nominee. Under rules currently in effect, brokerage firms and nominees that are members of the American Stock Exchange have the authority under the rules of the American Stock Exchange to vote their customers' unvoted shares on certain routine matters if the customers have not furnished voting instructions within a specified period prior to the Meeting. Under these rules, the routine matters to be voted on at the meeting include the election of directors and the ratification of the selection of the independent certified public accounting firm (Proposals One and Two). However, none of the approval of the share issuance proposal arising from the merger transaction (Proposal Three), the approval of the share issuance to Robert Picow and Doug McMillen (Proposal Four), or the approval of the adoption of the Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (Proposal Five) is considered a routine matter and hence brokerage firms and nominees will not be able to vote the shares of customers from whom they have not received voting instructions with regard to approval of Proposal Three, Proposal Four or Proposal Five. Shares of our common stock held directly in a stockholder's name will not be counted as shares present for the purpose of establishing a quorum and will not be voted if the stockholder does not provide a proxy or attend the Meeting and vote their shares.

Broker non-votes occur when a person holding shares through a bank or brokerage account does not provide instructions as to how his or her shares should be voted and the broker does not exercise discretion to vote those shares on a particular matter. Brokers may not exercise discretion to vote shares as to which instructions are not given with respect to approval of the two share issuance proposals (Proposals Three and Four) or approval of the adoption of the Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan. Broker non-votes will have no effect on any of the matters to be voted on at the Meeting because broker non-votes will not be considered votes cast, but will be counted as shares present and entitled to be voted for the purposes of determining the presence of a quorum.

A quorum of our shares must be present in order for the meeting to be held. For purposes of determining the presence of a quorum, shares will be counted if they are present in person or by proxy. Shares present by proxy will be counted as present for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum even if the proxy does not have authority to vote on all matters.

Voting Agreements; Voting by Directors and Executive Officers

In connection with the execution of the merger agreement Steven Bettinger, our former President and Chief Executive Officer and director, entered into a voting agreement with Hermes. This voting agreement provides that Mr. Bettinger will vote the 3,817,267 shares of the Company's common stock that he owns beneficially, at the time representing approximately 27.4% of the outstanding shares of common stock on the record date, for Proposal Three at the annual meeting. On August 3, 2006, Mr. Bettinger sold 3,322,482 shares of the Company's common stock to Prencen, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (Prencen) for a purchase price of \$1.50 per share. Prencen has advised the Company that it intends to vote the shares of common stock that it owns in favor of Proposal Three. In addition, each of Edward Berzak, Warren Gilbert, Gilder Funding Corp., Jay Haft, Irvin Joseph, Irving J. Denmark Trust, Fred Mack, Robert Picow and Stanley Snyder, stockholders of the Company owning an aggregate of 4,014,302 shares of common stock (representing approximately 28.8% of the outstanding shares of common stock on the record date) have agreed to vote their shares in favor of Proposal Three.

On June 30, 2006, the Company entered into a Second Amended and Restated Securities Purchase Agreement with Prencen, LLC and Prencen Lending, LLC pursuant to which the Company issued and sold to Prencen Lending, LLC senior secured convertible notes in the principal amount of \$91 million, which notes are secured by all of the Company's and its subsidiaries' assets and guaranteed by all of the Company's subsidiaries (the Financing Transaction). On July 31, 2006, in connection with the Financing Transaction, which closed on August 3, 2006, Dana Holdings,

LLC, MarNan, LLC, Edward J. Doyle, Robert Enck and Franco S. Pettinato (holding approximately 53% of the combined voting power of the common stock and Series A Preferred Stock outstanding on the record date for the Meeting) entered into a voting agreement with the Company pursuant to which these stockholders agreed, for so long as Prencen, LLC or any of its affiliates (Prentice) owns (x) at least \$5,000,000 in aggregate principal amount of the senior secured convertible notes issued in the Financing Transaction, or (y) at least 25% of the Series A stock purchase warrants purchased by Prentice in the Financing Transaction, to vote all of the shares of common stock and Series A Preferred Stock owned or entitled to be voted by such stockholder, at any stockholder meeting or action by consent in writing of the stockholders, in favor of one person designated by Prentice and nominated by the Company to serve on the Board of Directors of the Company, and, if requested by Prentice to take action to remove such nominee as a director, in favor of such removal. Copies of the securities purchase agreement and the voting agreement relating to the Financing Transaction have been filed with the SEC as exhibits to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Company on August 8, 2006 and the definitive information statement filed by the Company on September 11, 2006.

[Back to Contents](#)

At the close of business on the record date, the directors and executive officers of the Company and their affiliates owned and were entitled to vote shares of our common stock representing approximately 1.4% of the shares of our common stock, and approximately 6.8% of the combined voting power of the common stock and Series A Preferred Stock outstanding on that date. To the Company's knowledge, these directors and executive officers intend to vote their shares FOR each of the director nominees named in the proxy, FOR the ratification of the selection of BDO Seidman, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm, FOR the proposal regarding the issuance of shares of common stock upon conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock (Proposal Three), FOR the proposal regarding the issuance of shares of common stock to Robert Picow and Doug McMillen (Proposal Four), and FOR the adoption of the Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan.

Voting of Proxies

All shares represented by properly executed proxies received in time for the Meeting will be voted at the Meeting in the manner specified in the proxies. Properly executed proxies that do not contain voting instructions will be voted FOR each of the director nominees named in the proxy, FOR the ratification of the selection of BDO Seidman, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm, FOR the proposal regarding the issuance of shares of common stock upon conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock (Proposal Three), FOR the proposal regarding the issuance of shares of common stock to Robert Picow and Doug McMillen (Proposal Four), and FOR the adoption of the Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan and will be deemed to grant discretion to the proxy holder on any other matter that may properly come before the Meeting. Adjournments of the Meeting may be made in accordance with the Company's Bylaws. If the persons named as proxies by you are asked to vote for one or more adjournments of the Meeting for matters incidental to the conduct of the Meeting, such persons will have the authority to vote in their discretion on such matters.

The Company does not expect that any matter other than the election of directors, ratification of the selection of our independent certified public accounting firm, the proposal regarding the issuance of shares of common stock upon conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock, the proposal regarding the issuance of shares of common stock to Robert Picow and Doug McMillen, and the adoption of the Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (Proposals One through Five) will be brought before the Meeting. If, however, any other matter should properly come before the Meeting, unless provided otherwise in the written authorization, the persons named as proxies will vote in accordance with their judgment as to matters that they believe to be in the best interests of the stockholders. A proxy in the accompanying form will give authority to Joseph A. Falsetti and John D. Wille to vote on such matters at their discretion and they intend to do so in accordance with their best judgment on any such matter.

Revocability of Proxies

The grant of a proxy on the enclosed form of proxy does not preclude a stockholder from voting in person at the Meeting. A stockholder may revoke a proxy at any time prior to its exercise by (a) giving written notice of the revocation to the Company's Corporate Secretary, at 100 American Metro Boulevard, Suite 108, Hamilton, New Jersey 08619, (b) submitting a properly signed proxy with a later date, or (c) attending the Meeting and voting in person. Attendance at the Meeting (without voting) will not in and of itself constitute a revocation of the proxy. If you have instructed your broker or other nominee to vote your shares, you must follow the procedures provided by your broker or nominee to change those instructions.

Solicitation of Proxies

The Company will bear the expenses of soliciting proxies in the form included with this proxy statement, including the cost of preparing and filing material in connection with the solicitation. In addition to the use of the mail, the Company's directors, executive officers and employees may solicit proxies personally, by telephone or on the Internet.

The Company will also reimburse brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses for forwarding proxy and solicitation materials to stockholders.

[Back to Contents](#)

PROPOSAL ONE:

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Director Nominees

Our Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, has recommended and nominated each of the individuals listed below for election to our Board of Directors at the Meeting. Each duly elected director will hold office until his death, resignation, retirement, removal, disqualification, or until his successor shall have been elected and qualified. Unless otherwise instructed or unless authority to vote is withheld, the enclosed proxy will be voted for the election of the nominees listed below. Although our Board of Directors does not contemplate that the nominees will be unable to serve, if such a situation arises prior to the Meeting, the persons named in the enclosed proxy will vote for the election of such other person(s) as may be nominated by the Board of Directors.

Joseph A. Falsetti, 50 - President & Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Falsetti has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Ascendia since June 13, 2003, and as a director of the Company since the date of the merger, May 20, 2005, when he was designated by Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement. Mr. Falsetti has an extensive entrepreneurial background, having established several consumer product companies. He was the founder and former Chairman and CEO of RomTech, a NASDAQ-listed consumer product entertainment company, which grew to become the highest volume distributor/publisher of value software in mass merchant retail, initiating value branding within the category. He also founded and was President and CEO of Galaxy Software, where he developed several leading brands including Picture It , which was sold to Microsoft Corporation and remains Microsoft s leading consumer application within the category. Prior to founding these companies, Mr. Falsetti worked for Unisys Corporation, rising to the position of Director of Desktop PCs, responsible for operations of the personal computing hardware, peripherals and related software applications with \$200 million in annual sales. He received his BSME and BSEE degrees from The College Of New Jersey.

Edward J. Doyle, 63 - Independent Director; Chairman, Audit Committee. Mr. Doyle has served as a director of the Company since the date of the merger, May 20, 2005, when he was designated by Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement. Mr. Doyle has served as the sole proprietor of Zephyr Ventures LLC, since 2003 and managing director of The Hermes Group LLC since 2002. From 1999 to 2002, Mr. Doyle served as managing principal of Hamilton Capital Group LLC. From 1981 until 1996, he served as Divisional Vice President of Mars Incorporated.

Kenneth D. Taylor, 72 - Independent Director. Mr. Taylor has served as a director of the Company since the date of the merger, May 20, 2005, when he was designated by Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement. Mr. Taylor has served as Chairman of Taylor & Ryan, Inc., a public affairs consulting company, since 1991. Prior to joining Taylor & Ryan, Inc., Mr. Taylor served as a diplomat in the Canadian Foreign Service and resigned from that post in 1984. Mr. Taylor presently also serves as a director of Hydro One, Devine Entertainment Corp. and SkyLink Aviations, Ltd., all of which are located in Toronto, Canada.

Robert Picow, 50 - Director. Robert Picow was Chairman of the Board of Directors of Cenuco, Inc. prior to its merger with Lander. He served as Chairman from April 22, 2004 until May 20, 2005, and has been a director of the Company since July of 2003. In 1982, Mr. Picow founded Allied Distributors, a small electronics distributorship based in Philadelphia. In 1986, Allied Communications was formed and the company focused on cellular telephones and related products. Mr. Picow served as CEO of Allied Communications until its merger in 1996 with Brightpoint, a publicly traded communications company. Mr. Picow served as Vice Chairman and a director of Brightpoint until 1997. Mr. Picow served as a director of S.B.A. Communications for a two-year term and is now a director of Streicher

Mobile Fueling and Fundamental Management Corporation, a private fund management company. Mr. Picow also serves on the Board of Trustees of the Children's Place at Home Safe, a Palm Beach based charity.

Francis Ziegler, 66 - Independent Director; Chairman, Compensation Committee. Mr. Ziegler has served as a director of the Company since the date of the merger, May 20, 2005, when he was designated by Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement. Mr. Ziegler retired in April 2004 from his position as President and Chief Executive Officer of Claneil Enterprises, Inc., a privately owned holding company that was a prior owner of Lander. He joined Claneil in 1993 after thirty years as an operations and marketing executive with Johnson & Johnson. During his career with Johnson & Johnson, he served as President of five subsidiary companies. Mr. Ziegler presently also serves as a director of S&H Green Points, Inc. and Rinaldi Enterprises.

[Back to Contents](#)

The Board of Directors recommends voting FOR the election of the five director nominees listed above.

Agreements regarding Director Nominated by Prencen, LLC

On July 31, 2006, in connection with the Financing Facility, Dana Holdings, LLC, MarNan, LLC, Edward J. Doyle, Robert Enck and Franco S. Pettinato (holding approximately 55% of the combined voting power of the common stock and Series A Preferred Stock outstanding on October 2, 2006) entered into a voting agreement with the Company pursuant to which these stockholders agreed, for so long as Prencen, LLC or any of its affiliates (Prentice) owns (x) at least \$5,000,000 in aggregate principal amount of the senior secured convertible notes issued in the Financing Transaction, or (y) at least 25% of the Series A stock purchase warrants purchased by Prentice in the Financing Facility, to vote all of the shares of common stock and Series A Preferred Stock owned or entitled to be voted by such stockholder, at any stockholder meeting or action by consent in writing of the stockholders, in favor of one person designated by Prentice and nominated by the Company to serve on the Board of Directors of the Company, and, if requested by Prentice to take action to remove such nominee as a director, in favor of such removal.

Copies of the securities purchase agreement and the voting agreement relating to the Financing Facility have been filed with the SEC as exhibits to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by us on August 8, 2006 and the definitive information statement filed by us on September 11, 2006.

Board Committees and Meeting Attendance

The Board of Directors held 4 meetings during the last fiscal year. The Board also considered and voted upon matters referred to it by management by means of unanimous written consents. During the fiscal year ended February 28, 2006, each director who served during that fiscal year attended at least 75% of the aggregate number of meetings of the Board and committees of the Board on which he or she served. Also during the last fiscal year, the independent directors of the Board of Directors met once in executive session. It is the policy of the Board of Directors to expect that all directors attend annual meetings of stockholders except where the failure to attend is due to unavoidable conflicts. All of the Company's then-serving directors attended the 2005 annual meeting.

Our Board of Directors has three standing committees: the Compensation Committee, the Audit Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Board of Directors has adopted charters for each of these committees that can be obtained free of charge from our website, www.ascendiabrands.com. A copy of the Audit Committee Charter is attached as Annex B to this proxy statement. Each committee reports its actions to the full Board at the Board's next regular meeting. A description of the members and duties of each committee follows.

Compensation Committee

Subsequent to the merger with Hermes, during the fiscal year ended February 28, 2006, the members of the Compensation Committee have been Mr. Ziegler, (Chairman), Mr. Doyle and Mr. Taylor. Our Compensation Committee has authority in matters relating to administration of our compensation plans and to set the salary and incentive compensation, including stock option grants, for our Chief Executive Officer and senior staff members.

Our Board of Directors has determined that the members of the Compensation Committee meet the independence standard set forth by the American Stock Exchange. Further, each member of the Compensation Committee is a Non-Employee Director as defined in Rule 16b-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and an outside director as defined for purposes of 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

The Compensation Committee reviewed and agreed on specific compensation for executive officers, including the employment contracts for the current and former Chief Executive Officers. The compensation awarded is comparable

to the overall market for executive officers. The Compensation Committee met four times during the most recently completed fiscal year.

Audit Committee

Subsequent to the merger with Hermes, during the fiscal year ended February 28, 2006, the members of the Audit Committee have been Mr. Doyle, (Chairman), Mr. Taylor and Mr. Ziegler. Our Audit Committee is responsible for assuring the integrity of our financial control, audit and reporting functions and reviews with our management and our independent registered public accounting firm the effectiveness of our financial controls and accounting and reporting practices and procedures. In addition, the Audit Committee reviews the qualifications of our independent registered public accounting firm, is responsible for their appointment, compensation, retention and oversight and reviews the scope, fees and results of activities related to audit and non-audit services.

[Back to Contents](#)

Our Board of Directors has determined that all of the members of the Audit Committee are financially literate and meet the independence standard set forth by the American Stock Exchange and applicable SEC rules. Our Board of Directors has further determined that Mr. Doyle qualifies as an audit committee financial expert, as defined in applicable SEC rules. The Audit Committee met four times during the most recently completed fiscal year.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

Subsequent to the merger with Hermes, during the fiscal year ended February 28, 2006, the members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee have been Mr. Doyle, Mr. Taylor and Mr. Ziegler (Chairman), each of whom is independent as defined under applicable American Stock Exchange rules. The primary responsibilities of the Nominating Committee are to:

identify individuals qualified to become members of the Board and select, or recommend that the Board select, the director nominees for the next annual meeting of stockholders; and establish, implement and monitor policies and processes regarding principles of corporate governance in order to ensure the Board's compliance with its fiduciary duties to the Company and its stockholders, all in accordance with applicable laws or regulations of the SEC and other governmental authorities, and applicable rules of the American Stock Exchange.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee did not meet during the most recently completed fiscal year. After considering the qualifications of the five incumbent Directors, on November 2, 2006, the Nominating Committee recommended that each of them be nominated for re-election as a Director at the Meeting.

Director Compensation

During the fiscal year 2006, each of our non-employee directors received \$12,000 retainer (paid for three meetings for the period May 20, 2005 to February 28, 2006) in cash compensation. An additional \$5,000 cash retainer was given to the Audit Committee Chairperson (Mr. Doyle) and an additional \$3,000 annual cash retainer was given to the Compensation Committee and Nominating and Governance Committee Chairperson (Mr. Ziegler). We also paid the following fees in the fiscal year ended February 28, 2006 for meetings attended by various non-employee directors:

a \$2,000 fee for each meeting of our Board of Directors attended in persons;
a \$1,000 fee for each meeting of our Board of Directors attended by telephone;
a \$1,500 fee for each meeting of a committee of our Board of Directors attended in person; and
a \$750 fee for each meeting of a committee of our Board of Directors attended by telephone; and a \$750 fee if scheduled the same day of a full Board meeting, either for attendance in person or via telephone.

In addition to cash compensation, on November 2, 2006, each of the independent directors, Edward J. Doyle, Kenneth D. Taylor and Francis Ziegler was awarded 100,000 shares of Ascendia Brands, Inc. restricted stock, with 50% vesting on the one year anniversary of the grant and the remaining shares vesting on the two year anniversary of the date of grant.

[Back to Contents](#)

Procedure for Recommending Director Candidates to the Nominating Committee

Any stockholder of record entitled to vote in the election of directors may recommend director candidates for consideration by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and recommendation by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to the Board of Directors. A stockholder wishing to recommend a director candidate to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee must send timely written notice to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee setting forth certain information with respect to the director candidate, including:

- the name and address of the stockholder recommending the director candidate, and the name and address of the beneficial owner, if different than the recommending stockholder;
- the name, address and biographical information of the person or persons being recommended for nomination;
- any information relevant to a determination of whether the candidate meets the criteria described below under the heading **Director Qualifications** ;
- any information regarding the candidate relevant to a determination of whether the candidate would be barred from being considered independent under applicable AMEX or SEC rules or, alternatively, a statement that the candidate would not be so barred;
- a statement, signed by the candidate verifying the accuracy of the biographical and other information about the candidate that is submitted with the recommendation; and
- if the recommending stockholder, or group of stockholders, has beneficially owned more than 5% of the Company's voting stock for at least one year as of the date the recommendation is made, evidence of such beneficial ownership.

To be timely received by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee for its consideration in connection with an annual meeting of stockholders, such notice must be received by the Nominating Committee no later than January 1 of that calendar year. All such submissions to the Nominating Committee must be made in writing and may be mailed to the Nominating Committee of Ascendia Brands, Inc., c/o President, 100 American Metro Boulevard, Suite 108, Hamilton, New Jersey 08619.

Director Qualifications

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee believes that each director nominee should be evaluated based on his or her individual merits, taking into account the needs of the Company and the composition of the Board. Members of the Board should have the highest professional and personal ethics, consistent with the values and standards of the Company. At a minimum, a nominee must possess integrity, skill, leadership ability, financial sophistication, and capacity to help guide the Company. Nominees should be committed to enhancing stockholder value and should have sufficient time to carry out their duties and to provide insight and practical wisdom based on their experiences. Their service on other boards of public companies should be limited to a number that permits them, given their individual circumstances, to responsibly perform all director duties.

Evaluation of Director Candidates by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may utilize a variety of methods for identifying and evaluating nominees for director. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will regularly assess the appropriate size of the Board and whether any vacancies on the Board are expected due to retirement or otherwise. In the event that vacancies are anticipated, or otherwise arise, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider various potential candidates for director. Candidates may come to the attention of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee through current Board members, professional search firms, stockholders, or other persons. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will not evaluate director candidates recommended

by stockholders differently than director candidates recommended from other sources, except that the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may review materials provided by professional search firms or other parties in connection with a nominee who is not proposed by a stockholder. Director candidates will be evaluated at a regular or special meeting of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and may be considered at any point during the year. In evaluating such nominations, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will seek to achieve a balance of knowledge, experience, and capability on the Board.

In connection with this evaluation, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will make a determination whether to interview a prospective nominee based upon the Committee's level of interest. If warranted, one or more members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and others as appropriate, will interview prospective nominees in person or by telephone. After completing this evaluation and any appropriate interviews, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will make a recommendation to the full Board as to its selection of director nominees, and the Board will select the nominees after consideration of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee's recommendation and report.

[Back to Contents](#)**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION****Summary Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth information concerning the compensation during the fiscal years ended February 28, 2006, February 28, 2005 and February 28, 2004 of the Company's current and former Chief Executive Officers and each of the four other persons serving as executive officers of the Company at the end of fiscal 2006 who received the highest annual salary and bonus during fiscal 2006 for services rendered in all capacities to the Company and its subsidiaries (the Named Executive Officers):

| <i>Name & Title</i> | <i>Fiscal Year Ended February/28</i> | <i>Annual Compensation</i> | | | <i>Long Term Compensation</i> | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | <i>Salary(\$)</i> | <i>Bonus(\$)</i> | <i>Other(\$)</i> | <i>Stock Awards (\$)</i> | <i>Underlying Options/SARs (#)</i> | <i>LTIP Payouts (\$)</i> | <i>All Other Comp. (\$)</i> |
| Joseph A. Falsetti, President & Chief Executive Officer | 2006 | 381,250 | | | | | | |
| | 2005 | 175,000 | | | | | | |
| | 2004 | 124,519 | | | | | | |
| Brian J. Geiger, Former Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer | 2006 | 231,250 | 5,000 | | | | | |
| | 2005 | 141,346 | | | | | | |
| | 2004 | | | | | | | |
| Franco S. Pettinato, Senior Vice President Operations | 2006 | 190,000 | 5,000 | 14,400 | | | | |
| | 2005 | 190,000 | 8,021 | 14,400 | | | | |
| | 2004 | 124,519 | | 13,200 | | | | |
| William B. Acheson, Executive Vice | 2006 | 225,000 | | 6,000 | | | | |
| | 2005 | 225,000 | 2,986 | 6,000 | | | | |
| | 2004 | 160,096 | 29,327 | 6,000 | | | | |

President,
Global
Sales

R.
Elizabeth 2006 51,923 10,000
Houlihan, 2005

Vice
President, 2004
Marketing

Steven
Bettinger, 2006 187,500
Former

Chief 2005 242,692 71,000(2) 100,000(4)

Executive 2004 250,000 82,000(3) 100,000(5)

Officer of
Cenuco,
Inc.(1)

[Back to Contents](#)

Other annual compensation includes car allowances and relocation bonus.

(1) Amounts used for the years ended February 28, 2005 and February 29, 2004 are derived from Cenuco, Inc, s June 30, 2004 and 2003 fiscal years.

(2) Represents the issuance of 100,000 shares of Ascendia common stock at a fair market value of \$0.71 on date of issuance.

(3) Represents the issuance of 100,000 shares of Ascendia common stock at a fair market value of \$0.82 on the date of issuance.

(4) Represents 100,000 stock options granted at a fair market value on date of grant of \$0.97.

(5) Represents 100,000 stock options granted at a fair market value on date of grant of \$0.42.

At February 28, 2006, Steven Bettinger (former CEO) had issued and outstanding options of 100,000. These options were also issued and outstanding as of the date of the merger on May 20, 2005. The options became fully exercisable as a result of the merger. Prior to date of merger the successor company (Hermes) did not have any options issued or outstanding.

Aggregate Option Exercises in Last Fiscal Year and Fiscal Year-End Option Values

The following table sets forth the information with respect to the Named Executive Officers concerning the exercise of options during fiscal year 2006 and unexercised options held as of February 28, 2006.

| Name | Shares Acquired on Exercise # | Value Realized (\$) | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options at Year-End #(2) | | Value of Unexercised In- the-Money Options a Year- End \$(1) | |
|-----------------------|---|---------------------------|--|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | | Exercisable | Unexercisable | Exercisable | Unexercisable |
| Joseph A. Falsetti | 0 | \$ | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ |
| Brian J. Geiger | 0 | \$ | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ |
| Franco S. Pettinato | 0 | \$ | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ |
| William B. Acheson | 0 | \$ | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ |
| R. Elizabeth Houlihan | 0 | \$ | 0 | 0 | \$ | \$ |
| Steven Bettinger | 0 | \$ | 100,000 | 0 | \$243,333 | \$ |

(1) Based on closing price of the common stock as reported on the American Stock Exchange at February 28, 2006 of \$3.34, less exercise price, multiplied by the number of shares underlying the option.

(2) The 100,000 options are net of 100,000 options exercised in 2005.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information as of February 28, 2006 with respect to shares of the Company s common stock that may be issued under the Company s equity compensation plans, including the Company s 2000 Performance Equity Plan, as amended (the 2000 Plan) and grants of options to purchase common stock not issued under any plan.

| Plan Category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) |
|--|--|--|--|
| (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) |
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 556,668 | (1) \$ 1.52 | None (1) |
| Equity compensation Plans not approved by security holders | None | None | None |
| Total | 556,668 | \$ 1.52 | None |

(1) Represents the unexercised options at the time of the Merger, which became 100% vested due to change in control. No additional awards will be made under the 2000 Plan described below.

[Back to Contents](#)

The 2000 Plan

The 2000 Plan was approved by the stockholders of the Company on February 1, 2000. The purpose of the 2000 Plan is to further the Company's interests, and its subsidiaries' and stockholders' interests, by providing incentives to key employees, consultants, directors and others who contribute materially to the Company's success and profitability. The 2000 Plan provides for the grant of awards relating to or consisting of up to an aggregate of 3,000,000 shares of common stock, typically in the form of a grant of options to purchase shares of common stock. The 2000 Plan also provides for the grant of share appreciation rights, restricted shares of common stock, deferred awards of common stock, reload stock options, and other stock-based awards (such as purchase rights, unrestricted common stock, convertible or exchangeable debentures, or other rights convertible into shares of common stock), although the Company does not presently contemplate the granting of any of these. All of the Company's employees (including officers) and directors, and certain of its consultants, are eligible to receive awards under the 2000 Plan. In determining the eligible participants to whom awards may be granted under the 2000 Plan and the prices and times at which awards are granted, our board of directors, or the Compensation Committee as so delegated, has full and final authority and discretion. Stock options granted to employees may be either incentive stock options (ISOs), which satisfy the requirements of Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code, or non-qualified options. Except for ISOs, which must be issued with an exercise price at or above the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant, options granted under the 2000 Plan may be issued with exercise prices at, above or below the fair market value of the shares at the time of grant. The individual option agreement sets forth the terms of the recipient's option, including the term during which an option may be exercised (generally ten years from the date of grant). In the event of a change of control of the Company that has not been approved by the board of directors, the vesting periods of all stock options and other awards granted and outstanding under the 2000 Plan will immediately and entirely vest. In the case of a change of control transaction that is approved by our board of directors, the board or directors (or the Compensation Committee) may, in its discretion, accelerate the vesting of any and all stock options and other awards outstanding, or repurchase such outstanding stock options or awards for the fair market value thereof. The board of directors may amend, alter, suspend or discontinue the 2000 Plan from time to time in such manner as it may deem advisable, but no such amendment, alteration, suspension or discontinuance may impair the rights of a holder under any existing agreement without such holder's consent. The 2000 Plan was effective as of February 1, 2000, and, unless sooner terminated by our board of directors in accordance with the terms thereof, shall terminate on February 1, 2010. All of the outstanding awards under the 2000 Plan became fully vested as of May 20, 2005, the date of the merger with Hermes, and no new grants have been made since that date.

Employment and Indemnification Agreements

Bettinger Employment Agreement

As a condition to consummating the merger transaction with Hermes, any and all existing employment agreements (written and oral) with Steven Bettinger, our former President and Chief Executive Officer, were terminated. On May 20, 2005, the effective date of the merger, the Company and Steven Bettinger entered into an employment agreement (the Bettinger Employment Agreement) for Mr. Bettinger to act as the newly appointed Vice President of Corporate Development and Investor Relations of the Company for a three year period ending May 19, 2008. Mr. Bettinger will receive an annual base salary of \$250,000 and will be eligible to participate in or receive benefits under any employee benefit plans generally made available to similarly situated executives. In addition, Mr. Bettinger will be eligible to receive options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock at the sole discretion of the Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. The Bettinger Employment Agreement provides for payment to Mr. Bettinger of an amount equal to the base salary that would have been paid during the remaining term of the Bettinger Employment Agreement, payable in equal monthly installments over the remaining term, and continuation for the remaining term of all health benefit plans, programs or arrangements if the Company terminates Mr. Bettinger's employment other than for Cause (as defined in the Bettinger Employment Agreement) or if Mr. Bettinger terminates

his employment at any time within six months following a Change in Control (as defined in the Bettinger Employment Agreement) because of a change in his duties inconsistent with his position, reporting, responsibilities, titles or offices prior to the Change in Control, a reduction in his base salary, the failure of the Company to maintain Mr. Bettinger's participation in its benefit plans, the failure to provide Mr. Bettinger with appropriate adjustments to compensation and relocation allowance in the event he is required to relocate or the failure of the Company to honor its obligations under the Bettinger Employment Agreement. During the period that any such severance benefits are being paid, Mr. Bettinger is prohibited from engaging in any business that competes with the business of the Company and for a period of one year after such severance benefit payments cease or two years after the date of termination, whichever is later, Mr. Bettinger may not, within 75 miles of any operating location of the Company, engage in any business that is competitive with the Company's business. A copy of the Bettinger Employment Agreement was filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K/A dated May 20, 2005. The foregoing description of the Bettinger Employment Agreement is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the Bettinger Employment Agreement. On July 2, 2006, Mr. Bettinger resigned all of his positions as an officer and employee of the Company and its subsidiaries. The Bettinger Employment Agreement was terminated at the time of his resignation.

[Back to Contents](#)

Falsetti Employment Agreement

On May 20, 2005, the Company and Joseph Falsetti, the Company's newly appointed Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, entered into an employment agreement (the Falsetti Employment Agreement) for a three-year period ending May 19, 2008, subject to automatic renewal for an additional three-year term unless terminated by the Company or Mr. Falsetti upon 90-days' prior written notice. Mr. Falsetti will receive an annual base salary of \$450,000 and will be eligible to participate in or receive benefits under any employee benefit plans generally made available to similarly situated executives. In addition, Mr. Falsetti will be eligible to receive options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock at the sole discretion of the Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. The Falsetti Employment Agreement provides for payment to Mr. Falsetti of an amount equal to two times his base salary, payable in twenty four equal payments, the immediate vesting of all benefits, awards and grants and continuation for one year of all health benefit plans, programs or arrangements if the Company terminates Mr. Falsetti's employment other than for Cause (as defined in the Falsetti Employment Agreement) or if Mr. Falsetti terminates his employment at any time within six months following a Change in Control (as defined in the Falsetti Employment Agreement) because of a change in his duties inconsistent with his position, reporting, responsibilities, titles or offices prior to the Change in Control, a reduction in his base salary, the failure of the Company to maintain Mr. Falsetti's participation in its benefit plans, the failure to provide Mr. Falsetti with appropriate adjustments to compensation and relocation allowance in the event he is required to relocate or the failure of the Company to honor its obligations under the Falsetti Employment Agreement. During the period that any such severance benefits are being paid, Mr. Falsetti is prohibited from engaging in any business that competes with the business of the Company and for a period of one year after such severance benefit payments cease or two years after the date of termination, whichever is later, Mr. Falsetti may not, within 75 miles of any operating location of the Company, engage in any business that is competitive with the Company's business. A copy of the Falsetti Employment Agreement was filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K/A dated May 20, 2005. The foregoing description of the Falsetti Employment Agreement is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the Falsetti Employment Agreement.

Indemnification Agreements

As a condition to consummation of the merger transaction with Hermes, the Company entered into indemnification agreements (the Indemnification Agreements) on May 20, 2005, with each of Messrs. Edward J. Doyle, Joseph A. Falsetti, Robert Picow, Kenneth D. Taylor and Francis Ziegler, the directors of the Company following the completion of the merger. The Indemnification Agreements provide, among other things, that the Company will indemnify and hold harmless each of the directors to the fullest extent not prohibited by applicable law and will advance expenses incurred by each of the directors provided that such director undertakes in writing to repay any such advances in the event that it is ultimately determined that such director is not entitled to indemnification. A copy of the form of Indemnification Agreement was filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K/A dated May 20, 2005. The foregoing description of the Indemnification Agreements is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the form of Indemnification Agreement.

[Back to Contents](#)

Report of the Compensation Committee

Introduction

The Compensation Committee oversees the development of compensation programs for all employees of the Company and approves the terms of employment of executive officers. The Compensation Committee meets at scheduled times during the year and holds additional meetings from time to time as necessary to review compensation matters. The Company's Human Resource Department supports the Compensation Committee in its work. The Compensation Committee has the authority to engage the services of outside advisors, experts and others to assist it in its oversight and guidance in the development of the Company's compensation programs.

Executive Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

In determining the amount, form and terms of executive compensation, the Compensation Committee's policy is to take into account the need to attract, retain and reward executive officers and employees whose contributions are critical to the long-term success of the Company.

In 2005, the Compensation Committee engaged The Hay Group, an external compensation consulting firm, to assess the Company's compensation arrangements and provide recommendations for executive compensation and guidance as to the structure of employee compensation in general. Following the recommendations of the Hay Group, the Compensation Committee approved the adoption of equity incentives as described in the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan.

Compensation of its CEO and Other Executive Officers

Joseph A. Falsetti served as Chief Executive Officer of the Company during the year ended February 28, 2006. His compensation was established pursuant to an employment agreement (see page 33). Mr. Falsetti was not awarded incentive compensation for the year ended February 28, 2006. Mr. Geiger and Mr. Pettinato were awarded incentive compensation of \$5,000 each for the year ended February 28, 2006. None of the other executive officers were awarded incentive compensation for the year ended February 28, 2006.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The current members of the Compensation Committee are Mr. Ziegler, Mr. Doyle and Mr. Taylor, none of whom has had any relationship requiring disclosure by the Company under Item 404 of Regulation S-K or ever served as an officer or employee of the Company or any of its subsidiaries.

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The Hermes Group LLP (THGLLP), a certified public accounting firm, provided professional services and (until June 2005) leased office facilities to the Company. THGLLP also paid certain expenses on behalf of the Company. THGLLP invoiced the Company approximately \$411.1 thousand and \$51.1 thousand, respectively, for professional fees, facility usage and reimbursable expenses for the year ended February 28, 2006 and the twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006. At August 26, 2006, and February 28, 2006, the Company owed THGLLP \$6.9 thousand and \$35.6 thousand, respectively. Mark I. Massad, a founding Partner owns 50% of THGLLP and is currently a non-active partner. Mr. Massad and/or members of his immediate family own beneficially 96.875% of the ownership interests in MarNan, LLC (MarNan), a New Jersey limited liability company. MarNan owns approximately 39% of the Series A Preferred Stock. In addition, in connection with the Financing Transaction, the Company redeemed an aggregate of 102.95 shares of the Series A Preferred Stock owned by MarNan. The redemption price was equivalent to \$1.75 per share for each share of common stock into which the Series A Preferred Stock redeemed would be convertible, if such

conversion had occurred on August 2, 2006, the date of the redemption.

Zephyr Ventures LLC (ZVLLC) provided consulting services to the Company. Edward J. Doyle, a member of the Board of Directors of the Company since May 20, 2005, is a Managing Member, and owns 100% of the ownership interests, of ZVLLC, a business consulting company. For the year ended February 28, 2006, ZVLLC invoiced the Company \$19,078 for consulting services. Effective May 20, 2005, the date of the merger with Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC, ZVLLC ceased providing consulting services to the Company. The balance due ZVLLC at February 28, 2006 and August 26, 2006 was \$0.

Kenneth D. Taylor, a member of the Board of Directors of the Company since May 20, 2005, provided consulting services to the Company. For the year ended February 28, 2006, Mr. Taylor invoiced the Company \$5,000 for consulting services. Effective May 20, 2005, the date of the merger with Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC, Mr. Taylor ceased providing consulting services to the Company. The balance due Mr. Taylor at February 28, 2006 and August 26, 2006 was \$0.

[Back to Contents](#)

The Hermes Group LLC (THGLLC), a limited liability company, provides investment banking, acquisition and corporate advisory services to the Company. For the year ended February 28, 2006, and the twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006, THGLLC invoiced the Company and its subsidiaries approximately \$429.3 thousand and \$233.5 thousand, respectively, for business advisory services. Mark I. Massad, a member of THGLLC owns 51% of THGLLC. Mr. Massad is also a member of MarNan, which owns 39% of the outstanding shares of the Series A Preferred Stock. At August 26, 2006 and February 28, 2006, there was a balance due to THGLLC of \$40.0 thousand and \$6.9 thousand, respectively.

In addition, during the year ended February 28, 2006, the Company paid a fee of \$1,000,000 (capitalized by the Company as part of purchase price of the Playtex asset acquisition - see Note 3 to the consolidated/combined financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries as of February 28, 2006 set forth at page F-1 of this proxy statement) to THGLLC as compensation for advisory, diligence and other services rendered to the Company in connection with the Company's acquisition of certain brands and related assets from Playtex in November 2005.

M2 Advisory Group LLC (M2AG) provides investment banking, acquisition and corporate advisory services to the Company. For the twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006, M2AG invoiced the Company and its subsidiaries for \$20.8 thousand as compensation for the provision of business advisory services. For the year ended February 28, 2006, M2AG did not provide any services to the Company. Mark I. Massad is a member of M2AG and a member of MarNan, which holds 39% of the outstanding shares of the Series A Preferred Stock. As of August 26, 2006 and February 28, 2006, there was a balance due to M2AG of \$7.5 thousand and \$0.0 thousand, respectively.

Joseph A. Falsetti (who is a Director and the Chief Executive Officer of the Company) and/or members of his immediate family own beneficially 96.875% of the ownership interests in Dana Holdings, LLC (Dana Holdings), a New Jersey limited liability company. Dana Holdings owns approximately 39% of the Series A Preferred Stock. During the year ended February 28, 2006, the Company paid guarantee fees of \$400,000 each to Dana Holdings and MarNan in connection with the short-term bridge loan described in this proxy statement under the heading *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Ascendia Brands, Inc. Liquidity and Capital Resources Bridge Loan*. These guarantee fees were capitalized as deferred debt costs in connection with that bridge loan. Payment of such fees was approved by the unanimous vote of the Board of Directors. In addition, in connection with the Financing Transaction, the Company redeemed an aggregate of 102.95 shares of the Series A Preferred Stock owned by Dana Holdings. The redemption price was equivalent to \$1.75 per share for each share of common stock into which the Series A Preferred Stock redeemed would be convertible, if such conversion had occurred on August 3, 2006, the date of the redemption.

The Company entered into a financing transaction with Prencen, LLC and Prencen Lending, LLC, pursuant to which they purchased \$91 million of our senior secured convertible notes and were or may be issued warrants to purchase an aggregate of 6,053,358 shares of our common stock. As a result of the transaction, they became the beneficial owners, collectively, of approximately 23.9% of our common stock. One of their affiliates, Prentice Capital Management, LP, was paid a closing fee of \$3,667,500 in connection with the financing transaction. For a description of the material terms of the financing transaction, see elsewhere in this proxy statement under the heading *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Ascendia Brands, Inc. Liquidity and Capital Resources Financing Facility*.

The Company's management believes the charges for the related party services listed above are consistent with the amounts that would be paid to independent third parties.

[Back to Contents](#)

PROPOSAL TWO:

RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT

REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To Ratify the Selection of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors has selected BDO Seidman, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending February 28, 2007, which is the current fiscal year. The Board of Directors wishes to obtain from the stockholders a ratification of their action in appointing their existing independent auditors, BDO Seidman, LLP, as our independent registered public accounting firm for the current fiscal year.

There is no requirement that the Board's selection of BDO Seidman, LLP be submitted to our stockholders for ratification or approval. The Board, however, believes that the Company's stockholders should be given an opportunity to express their views on the selection. While the Board is not bound by a vote against ratifying BDO Seidman, LLP, the Board may take a vote against BDO Seidman, LLP into consideration in future years when selecting our independent registered public accounting firm. BDO Seidman, LLP has audited our financial statements since July 8, 2005.

A representative of BDO Seidman, LLP is expected to be present at the Meeting with the opportunity to make a statement if he so desires and to respond to appropriate questions.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** the ratification of BDO Seidman, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year ending February 28, 2007.

Audit Fees

The aggregate fees billed or expected to be billed to the Company by BDO Seidman, LLP for professional services rendered in connection with the audits of the Company's annual consolidated financial statements for the fiscal years ended February 28, 2006 and 2005 and the reviews of the consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the fiscal years ended February 28, 2006 and 2005 were approximately \$323,000 and \$318,000, respectively.

Audit-related fees

For the fiscal years ending February 28, 2006 and 2005, the aggregate fees billed or expected to be billed to the Company by BDO Seidman, LLP for audit related services in connection with the reverse merger with Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC, the acquisition of brands and brand-related assets from Playtex, and SEC filings totaled approximately \$55,000 and \$248,600, respectively. The Company's auditors did not bill any additional fees for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements and are not reported under **Audit Fees** above.

Tax-related Fees

BDO Seidman, LLP billed the Company approximately \$3,000 and \$3,000, respectively for professional services for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning services in the fiscal years ended February 28, 2006 and 2005.

All Other Fees

The Company's auditors did not perform or bill for any other services in the fiscal years ended February 28, 2006 and 2005.

In accordance with the Audit Committee's pre-approval policies and procedures, all of the above fees were reviewed and pre-approved.

Pre-Approval Policy

All proposals for audit and audit related services must be submitted and approved in advance of the start of the audit or audit related engagement.

Audit Committee Report

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2006 with management and BDO Seidman, LLP. Specifically, the Audit Committee has discussed with BDO Seidman, LLP the matters required to be discussed by Statements on Auditing Standard 61.

[Back to Contents](#)

The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from BDO Seidman, LLP, required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1, Independence Discussions with Audit Committees, and has discussed with BDO Seidman, LLP their independence.

Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2006.

THE AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS:

Edward J. Doyle

Kenneth D. Taylor
August 9, 2006

Francis Ziegler

Prior Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms

Prior to the selection of BDO Seidman, LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, and prior to the consummation of the merger with Hermes, the financial statements of Cenuco, Inc. and subsidiaries, a Delaware Corporation for periods prior to the merger were audited by Grant Thornton LLP or by Salberg & Company, P.A. (See the historical financial statements of Cenuco, Inc. and subsidiaries for the year ended June 30, 2004 included elsewhere in this proxy statement.)

Grant Thornton LLP

Grant Thornton LLP (GT) terminated its relationship as the independent accountant for Cenuco, Inc. and its subsidiaries by letter dated December 10, 2003. The Company and GT mutually agreed to terminate this relationship. Prior to such date, GT had been the independent accountant for, and audited the financial statements of, the Company.

The reports of GT on the consolidated financial statements of the Company for the two fiscal years prior to such termination contained no adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion and were not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles. Further, for the two fiscal years prior to such termination, there were no disagreements between the Company and GT on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, which would have caused GT to make a reference thereto in its report on the Company's financial statements for such periods. During the period from August 28, 2000 through December 10, 2003, there were no reportable events (as defined in Item 304 (a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-B).

By letter dated December 18, 2003, addressed to SEC, Grant Thornton LLP stated that it had read the disclosures intended to be made by the Company regarding its dismissal and that such firm was in agreement with such statements. A copy of such letter was filed as Exhibit 16.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Company with the SEC on December 19, 2003.

Salberg & Company, P.A.

The Company engaged Salberg & Company, P.A. (Salberg) as its independent accountants commencing December 16, 2003. Prior to such date, the Company did not consult with Salberg regarding (i) the application of accounting principles, (ii) the type of audit opinion that might be rendered by Salberg, or (iii) any other matter that was the subject of a disagreement between the Company and Salberg (as defined in Item 304 (a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K) or a reportable event (as described in Item 304 (a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K). On July 5, 2005, the Company dismissed Salberg as its independent auditor.

Salberg performed the audit for Cenuco Inc. and its subsidiaries for the year ended June 30, 2004, which did not contain any adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion, nor was qualified as to audit scope or accounting principles.

During the two most recent fiscal years and the subsequent interim period prior to the July 5, 2005 dismissal, there were no disagreements with Salberg with respect to accounting or auditing issues of the type discussed in Item 304(a)(iv) of Regulation S-K.

[Back to Contents](#)

On July 7, 2005, the Company provided Salberg with a copy of this disclosure and requested such firm that it furnish a letter to the Company, addressed to the SEC, stating that it agreed with these statements or the reasons why it disagreed. A copy of such letter was filed as Exhibit 16.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by the Company with the SEC on July 12, 2005.

During the two most recent fiscal years or any subsequent interim period prior to engaging BDO Seidman, LLP, neither the Company nor anyone on its behalf had consulted BDO Seidman, LLP regarding any of the accounting or auditing concerns stated in Item 304(a)(2) of Regulation S-K.

The Audit Committee approved the engagement of the BDO Seidman, LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. Such appointment was accepted by BDO Seidman, LLP by letter dated July 8, 2005.

THE MERGER

On May 20, 2005, pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, dated March 16, 2005, and amended on May 10, 2005 (as amended, the Merger Agreement), among the Company, a publicly traded Delaware corporation then known as Cenuco, Inc., Hermes Holding Company, Inc., a newly formed Delaware corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, and Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC (Hermes), the parties executed a Plan of Merger setting forth certain terms relating to the merger of Hermes Holding Company, Inc. with and into Hermes (the Merger). In the Merger, the members of Hermes (the Hermes Members) received an aggregate of 2,553.6746 shares of the Company's Series A Preferred Stock, representing 65% of the outstanding voting power of the Company's capital stock. References in this section to Cenuco refer to the Company as it existed prior to the consummation of the Merger. Subsequent to the Merger, the name of the Company was changed from Cenuco, Inc. to Ascendia Brands, Inc.

Background of the Merger

Preliminary discussions between Cenuco and Hermes regarding a potential business combination commenced in January 2005 following Cenuco's introduction to Hermes by one of Cenuco's former directors, Tuyen Do. The initial meeting between the parties occurred in late January 2005 when Steven Bettinger, then Cenuco's President and Chief Executive Officer, met with Joseph Falsetti and other executives of Hermes and Lander at Lander's offices in Lawrenceville, New Jersey. Soon after this initial meeting, Steven Bettinger, Robert Picow and Jordan Serlin visited Lander executives a second time at their offices in Lawrenceville, and additional Cenuco management met with Lander management, following which the group visited Lander's manufacturing facility in Binghamton, New York. On January 28, 2005, after receiving a report of these visits and initial discussions from Steven Bettinger, Cenuco's Board of Directors approved the exploration of a business combination with Hermes, and directed Steven Bettinger to continue his discussions with Hermes.

Cenuco, Hermes and Lander exchanged information regarding their respective companies over the next several weeks and performed business and legal due diligence on one another. Cenuco's business due diligence of Hermes and Lander was conducted by Robert Picow, Steven Bettinger and Jordan Serlin and the legal due diligence was performed by Cenuco's counsel, Akerman Senterfitt. Hermes' due diligence was conducted primarily by Lander executives and advisors, Joseph Falsetti, Brian Geiger and Mark Massad. The results of Cenuco's due diligence were presented by Steven Bettinger to our Board of Directors on February 11, 2005. In his report, Mr. Bettinger stated that no material legal or business issues were discovered and that Cenuco's business due diligence team had been very impressed with the management team and operations of Hermes and Lander. Throughout the discussions of the material terms of a business combination, Mr. Falsetti, on behalf of Hermes, refused to consider any transaction that did not result in the members of Hermes owning a significant controlling interest in the combined company. Mr. Falsetti further required that at the effective time of the Merger, Cenuco must have significant cash reserves available so that the combined company would have the ability to proceed with further acquisitions in accordance with

an agreed business plan designed to enhance stockholder value. Mr. Bettinger sought to reduce the amount of Cenuco common stock to be issued in the Merger and attempted to remove any working capital conditions to the Merger. After considerable negotiation, it was agreed that the members of Hermes would be issued common stock representing 65% of the outstanding common stock, on a fully diluted basis. However, Hermes agreed that fully diluted would include only those then outstanding options or warrants that were actually exercised and not all such outstanding options and warrants as it was unlikely that many of such instruments would be exercised because of the fact that the exercise price was well in excess of the current market price of the Company's common stock. It was also agreed that the Company must have cash and cash equivalents available at the time the transaction is completed of at least \$6 million in order to permit asset acquisitions following the closing. After considerable discussion by Cenuco's Board of Directors, including consideration of the reasons and factors described below under the headings *Reasons for the Merger* and *Factors Considered by the Board of Directors of Cenuco*, our Board concluded that a business combination with Hermes and Lander was in the best interest of our stockholders and, at the February 11, 2005 meeting, unanimously approved the material terms of the Merger and the negotiation and execution of the Merger Agreement.

[Back to Contents](#)

Over the next few weeks, legal and financial representatives of Cenuco, Hermes and Lander met and communicated telephonically on numerous occasions to discuss and negotiate aspects of the proposed Merger and the definitive agreements relating thereto, including the Merger Agreement. Early drafts of the Merger Agreement that had been prepared by attorneys for Hermes provided for large termination fees to be paid to Hermes if the transaction was not completed and further provided that Cenuco could not solicit any further acquisition proposals so long as the Merger Agreement continued to be in effect. After extensive negotiation, the parties agreed on a termination fee of \$500,000 payable to Hermes under very limited circumstances, including termination of the Merger Agreement as a result of the failure of the stockholders to approve the Merger Agreement. Cenuco agreed that it would not solicit any acquisition proposals from third parties unless the Merger Agreement was terminated. In connection with these negotiations, Mr. Bettinger was authorized by the Board to interview investment banking firms for purposes of providing Cenuco with financial advice in connection with any proposed transaction. Mr. Bettinger interviewed two firms and recommended that the Board engage vFinance Investments, Inc. because it had demonstrated far greater willingness than the other firm to provide Cenuco with the time, effort and expertise that would be necessary and had agreed to do so in consideration of fees that were significantly less than proposed by the other firm. Based upon Mr. Bettinger's report, the Board engaged vFinance Investments, Inc. as its financial advisor. vFinance presented its fairness opinion to our Board of Directors on March 9, 2005. At the March 9, 2005 meeting, our Board of Directors also received a report from Mr. Bettinger on his recent discussions with other companies engaged in the same general communications business as Cenuco that Mr. Bettinger, with the assistance of vFinance, had identified as potential merger partners. Cenuco reviewed and discussed a possible strategic alliance, merger or acquisition with four companies within the mobile technology and security industries. From a synergy perspective, including cash flow limitations, all of the companies were similar to Cenuco in that they were startup companies relying upon the marketplace to adopt mobile technology applications. In its review and analysis of possible combinations, Cenuco determined that the financial stability of a combined company would be questionable in that predictable revenues were not sufficient to support such a combination. Mr. Bettinger discussed with the Board the minimal adoption of Cenuco's existing technology and the concerns with the slow acceptance of such mobile technologies generally. Based upon Mr. Bettinger's presentation, the Board concluded that a combination with any of these companies would not satisfy Cenuco's goal of attaining greater financial stability, because these companies were in the same early development stage as Cenuco and did not offer the advantages of diversification and more reliable cash flow that seemed possible in a combination with the Lander business. Following discussions regarding such potential advantages and possible disadvantages of the Merger, the Board ratified and confirmed its earlier conclusion that the Merger was in the best interests of the Cenuco stockholders. The parties executed the Merger Agreement on March 16, 2005, and, on March 17, 2005, the proposed Merger was publicly announced.

At meetings of our Board of Directors held on May 2, 2005 and May 9, 2005, the Board discussed the need for consummating the Merger as soon as practicable in order to execute its strategic plan for the combined company. Hermes advised the Board that the audited financial statements required by SEC rules to be included in the proxy statement to be sent to our stockholders in connection with the Merger would not be available until the end of May 2005 or later because there were periods for which no audit had ever been performed and the necessary information to conduct such an audit must be obtained from third parties who had no obligation to provide such information. Hermes further advised the Board that if there were extensive delays before the Merger could be completed, it was likely that the combined company would lose an opportunity that then existed to acquire certain brand trademarks and related assets from Playtex; this acquisition was a key element of Hermes' strategy for enhancing stockholder value following the Merger. In light of these anticipated delays in the completion of the audited financial statements required to be included in the proxy statement, the Board concluded that unless the form of transaction was modified, the stockholder meeting to consider and vote upon approval of the issuance of the shares of Cenuco's common stock in the Merger, as required by the rules of the American Stock Exchange, could not be held before August 2005 at the very earliest and that such delays could have a material adverse effect on the ability of the combined company to execute its business plan and potential acquisition opportunities. The Board considered its options, revisited the other potential acquisitions and other alternatives that had been reported by Mr. Bettinger, and, after much discussion, unanimously

determined that the terms of the Merger should be modified, and, as modified, that the Merger was still in the best interests of the Cenuco stockholders.

[Back to Contents](#)

Following these meetings, on May 10, 2005, the parties to the original Merger Agreement entered into an amendment to the original agreement pursuant to which the parties agreed to close the Merger on or about May 23, 2005. The terms of the Merger were modified so that, instead of issuing shares of Cenuco's common stock to the Hermes Members, Cenuco issued preferred stock that could be converted into common stock after a stockholder vote on substantially the same terms as the original Merger Agreement. The Merger was consummated on May 20, 2005.

The Companies

Ascendia Brands, Inc. (f/k/a Cenuco, Inc.)

100 American Metro Boulevard, Suite 108

Hamilton, New Jersey 08619

(609) 219-0930

We are a Delaware corporation organized in 1988. Our common stock is listed on the American Stock Exchange and trades under the symbol ASB (prior to the change in the Company's name to Ascendia Brands, Inc. the Company's common stock was quoted on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol ICU). In addition to the activities conducted by the Company's wholly owned subsidiary Hermes as described below, we are engaged, through our wholly owned Florida subsidiary named Cenuco Inc., in the business of developing wireless and internet based software solutions for transmitting live streaming video, and other targeted content, directly onto cellular phones and remote computers. Our technology has applications in a variety of markets. Our wireless data technology is primarily focused on wireless video monitoring solutions that allow users to view real-time streaming video of security cameras or video content feeds at their home or place of business from anywhere they receive a cellular connection, regardless of the cellular carrier, user's location, or type of cellular phone or wireless device. Our products address the security, surveillance and Homeland Security markets, and some of our monitoring products have been listed on the Federal General Services Administration (GSA) schedule. Our products have also been Windows Mobile Certified by Microsoft, have received BREW certification from Qualcomm, and are listed in the Intel Mobility Catalog. For more information on the Company, see the information contained under the headings Item 1 Business, Item 2 Properties and Item 3 Legal Proceedings, which are incorporated herein by reference, in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended February 28, 2004, a copy of which is being delivered with this proxy statement.

Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC

2000 Lenox Drive, Suite 202

Lawrenceville, New Jersey 08648

(609) 219-0930

Hermes is a Delaware limited liability company organized in 2003. Hermes, through its subsidiaries, Lander Co. Inc., Lander Co. Canada Limited and Ascendia Real Estate LLC, is a manufacturer, marketer and distributor of value brand health and beauty products. Lander also produces private label health and beauty products for certain major retailers. Lander owns and operates two manufacturing and distribution facilities, one in Binghamton, New York and the other in Toronto, Canada. There has never been an established public trading market for the securities of Hermes or Lander, and, prior to the Merger, there were six holders of the membership interests of Hermes.

As a result of the Merger, Hermes and our Florida subsidiary remain focused in their respective current industries but have been integrating certain overhead and administrative functions to reduce operating costs and improve efficiencies. For a description and current structure chart of the Company, see the section in this proxy statement entitled *Summary The Companies*.

Purpose of the Merger; Effect of Stock Issuances

The principal purpose of the Merger was to effectuate the acquisition by Cenuco of all of the membership interests of Hermes in exchange for the issuance to the Hermes Members of shares of the Series A Preferred Stock representing 65% of the combined outstanding voting power of all classes of Cenuco's capital stock. Upon approval by our stockholders of Proposal Three, the Series A Preferred Stock will be converted without any further action by the Company or the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock into common stock of the Company representing (after accounting for the redemption of 102.95 shares of Series A Preferred Stock from each of MarNan and Dana Holdings in connection with the Financing Transaction) approximately 63% of the outstanding voting power of our capital stock. In the Merger Agreement, we agreed to use our reasonable best efforts to ensure that our common stock will continue to be quoted on the American Stock Exchange, and will continue to be publicly traded. In the event the American Stock Exchange does not agree to list our common stock, our stock price may materially decrease and the public market for our common stock may deteriorate. The absence of a public trading market would make it far more difficult for stockholders to sell any or all of their shares of our common stock, as we would, de facto, become a private company with no ability for any stockholder to sell shares of our common stock in the public markets. Following the completion of the Merger, Hermes, together with its wholly owned subsidiaries Lander Co., Inc, Lander Co. Canada Limited, and Hermes Real Estate I LLC, became wholly owned direct and indirect subsidiaries of the Company.

[Back to Contents](#)

Our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation authorizes the Company to issue up to 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock, and grants the Board of Directors the authority, without further action by the holders of the outstanding shares of common stock, to issue shares of preferred stock with such designations, preferences, conversion rights, cumulative, relative, participating, optional or other rights, including voting rights, qualifications, limitations or restrictions, as the Board of Directors shall determine. Pursuant to such authority, Cenuco's Board of Directors created a new series of Cenuco preferred stock, designated as the Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, with such rights, preferences and limitations as are set forth in the Certificate of Designation, Preferences and Rights of the Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock filed with the Delaware Secretary of State by Cenuco and set forth as Exhibit 3(i) to its Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 10, 2005 filed with the SEC, and issued shares to the Hermes Members in exchange for their membership interests in Hermes.

There are currently outstanding 13,944,056 shares of common stock, and outstanding options and warrants (at the time of the Merger) with respect to an aggregate of 2,518,212 shares of common stock. As a consequence of the consummation of the Merger, the Hermes Members became the owners of 65% of the combined outstanding voting power of all classes of the Company's capital stock and, upon conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock into common stock, such holders of the Series A Preferred Stock will own (after accounting for the August 2, 2006 redemption of 102.95 shares of Series A Preferred Stock from each of MarNan and Dana Holdings in connection with the Financing Transaction) approximately 63% of the then outstanding shares of common stock. Conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock into common stock requires the authorization of the Company's holders of common stock (without the vote of holders of Series A Preferred Stock) to the issuance of the shares of common stock upon conversion of all of the shares of the Series A Preferred Stock. See *Proposal Three* to be voted upon at the Meeting.

If Proposal Three is approved and no further options or warrants outstanding at the time of the Merger are exercised, each share of the Series A Preferred Stock will be convertible into 10,118.9046 shares of common stock. This conversion ratio assumes that no further options or warrants that were outstanding at the time of the Merger are exercised after the record date of the Meeting and prior to the date of conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock into common stock. Any such exercise would result in an adjustment in the conversion ratio and the number of shares of common stock issuable upon conversion to retain the applicable ratio. The conversion ratio and the shares of common stock issuable upon conversion are also subject to adjustment upon the occurrence of stock splits, stock dividends or similar events.

The holders of the Company's common stock immediately prior to the conversion of Series A Preferred Stock to common stock as contemplated by the Merger would continue to hold the same number of shares immediately thereafter. No additional consideration was offered to our common stockholders in connection with the Merger.

If the conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock to common stock is consummated, based upon the 13,944,056 shares of common stock outstanding as of the record date, we would then issue an additional 23,756,906 shares of common stock, or 170% of the number of shares of common stock currently outstanding.

[Back to Contents](#)

Reasons for the Merger

Cenuco's management believed that the Merger would provide Cenuco with additional logistics and distribution knowledge, which it believed was a strong component of Lander's current business operations. Also, Cenuco expected that additional efficiencies and cost savings would be forthcoming for the entire enterprise after the completion of the Merger. In particular, Cenuco's Board of Directors believed that the combined company would be better positioned to:

- realize significant cost savings;
- achieve accelerated growth; and
- enjoy reduced cyclical and sustained earnings growth as a result of a diverse business portfolio.

The intent of the Merger was to create a combined company with a diverse business portfolio and reduced reliance on any particular industry. The increased diversification was expected to reduce the combined company's overall exposure to cyclical economic swings and industry specific fluctuations and increase the likelihood of achieving consistent earnings growth. Management believed that the combined company would be in a position to achieve accelerated growth by utilizing its financial strength to pursue business opportunities, and utilizing the combined company's larger, more diverse servicing capabilities to provide enhanced and more comprehensive services to customers.

The Company's strategic plan for the Merger included introducing Cenuco's wireless consumer products into Lander's existing retail channels. Additionally, since all of these retail channels would benefit from remote video monitoring technologies to combat theft and liability, the Company's management expected to work with these existing Lander customers on how Cenuco's wireless technologies could assist and extend their loss-prevention and monitoring infrastructure. Furthermore, since Cenuco's wireless technology applications have the ability to transmit virtually any type of data to a cellular device, not just video, Cenuco's management believed that Lander represented a unique opportunity to apply Cenuco's wireless technology to issues surrounding: warehousing, inventory control, manufacturing review and control, supply chain management and numerous others. It was management's belief that the combined knowledge of both companies would result in additional wireless and consumer brand products focused on these and other markets.

Factors Considered by the Board of Directors of Cenuco

Cenuco's Board of Directors determined that the terms of the Merger Agreement, which were the product of arm's length negotiations between Cenuco's representatives and Hermes, were in the best interests of Cenuco and its stockholders.

In reaching this determination, Cenuco's Board of Directors considered the following material positive factors:

- the reasons described above under *Reasons for the Merger*, including the possibility of cost savings, accelerated growth and the combined company's diverse business portfolio;
- information concerning the businesses, assets, liabilities, results of operations and financial performance of Hermes and the combined company;
- the opinion of vFinance that, as of March 9, 2005, and subject to the matters set out in its opinion, the consideration to be paid by Cenuco in the Merger was fair, from a financial point of view, to the stockholders of Cenuco;
- the determination that the Merger would create a larger combined company with greater financial resources and increased free cash flow and, as a result, increased flexibility and opportunity for future growth;
- Lander's position as a leader in the manufacture, marketing and distribution of brand value priced health and beauty care products with strong brand strength and name recognition;

the expected composition of the combined company's senior management after the Merger as described in this proxy statement under the heading *Management of the Combined Company* since the Board of Directors concluded that the senior management of Hermes had greater strategic, administrative and financial expertise and experience than was available at Cenuco and that a strong management team with such expertise was necessary to permit the combined company to grow in a manner that Cenuco alone as a startup company could not;

the fact that the Merger is consistent with the Company's objective to grow through acquisitions;

the fact that we were not required to register the shares of the Company's capital stock issued to the former members of Hermes in the merger or the shares of common stock that would be issued upon conversion of the preferred stock if the stockholders of the Company approve Proposals One and Two;

the long-term interests of Cenuco and its stockholders, as well as the effects of the Merger on Cenuco's employees, customers, creditors, suppliers and the communities in which it has operations; and

the expectation that the Merger would qualify as a reorganization under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

Cenuco's Board of Directors also identified and considered a variety of potentially negative material factors in its deliberations, including:

the fact that the Hermes Members would hold approximately 65% of the outstanding common shares of Cenuco after the conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock to common stock;

the fact that some of the individual analyses conducted by vFinance in determining the fairness of the consideration to be paid in the Merger, from a financial point of view, produced wide ranges;

the fact that the result of the discounted cash flow analysis conducted by vFinance suggests that the Hermes Members should have received considerably less than 65% of the combined companies, based upon the market capitalization of Cenuco at the time that vFinance delivered its opinion to the Board, although the Board understood that vFinance did not rely on any single analytical model and tended to favor transaction-oriented multiples as more indicative of the true multiple to be assigned, and that the ratio of such market capitalization to the mean value arising from the comparable transaction analysis suggests that the Hermes Members should have received slightly more than 65% of the combined companies;

the fact that the Merger Agreement eliminated the possibility of Cenuco entering into business combinations with companies other than Hermes prior to the Merger or the termination of the Merger Agreement, and the fact that termination fees and restrictions on negotiations may have inhibited third parties from proposing an offer for Cenuco;

the challenges and costs of combining the businesses of Lander and Cenuco; and

the risks that the companies will not be able to combine their businesses without encountering operational difficulties or failing to realize the cost savings expected from the integration of their businesses, which could lead to the need to spin off or sell the Company's wireless technology division.

Our Board of Directors concluded, however, that these negative factors could be managed or mitigated by us or were unlikely to have a material impact on the Merger or us, and that overall, the potentially negative factors associated with the Merger were outweighed by the potential benefits of the Merger.

In concluding that an amendment to the Merger Agreement providing, among other things, for the issuance of shares of Series A Preferred Stock in lieu of shares of the Company's common stock and the completion of the Merger without a vote of the Company's stockholders was in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders, our Board of Directors considered the following factors:

the delays incurred while Hermes prepared the audited financial statements required for purposes of the proxy statement to be sent to the Company's stockholders could materially and adversely affect the ability of the combined company to acquire assets from Playtex which represented a significant opportunity for the combined company following the Merger;

the delays in preparing the applicable audited financial statements were a consequence of the fact that there was a period prior to Hermes' acquisition of the Lander business for which no audited financial statements had ever been prepared and therefore there was no reasonable possibility of such financial statements being prepared in a timely manner;

the Series A Preferred Stock to be issued to the members of Hermes in the Merger had substantially the same economic and voting rights as the shares of common stock that would have been issued under the terms of the Merger Agreement that had been approved by our Board of Directors;

representatives of the American Stock Exchange had given verbal assurances to Cenuco and its legal counsel that the completion of the Merger in accordance with the terms of the modified structure, including the issuance of the Series A Preferred Stock without a vote of stockholders, would not violate any of the rules or regulations

of the American Stock Exchange;

[Back to Contents](#)

since the financial terms of the transaction as modified are virtually identical to the transaction that was contemplated by vFinance when the fairness opinion was issued, there was no need to obtain another fairness opinion with respect to the modified structure;

although the vFinance opinion had been rendered in connection with a transaction that was conditioned upon approval of the stockholders of the Company, the change in structure that removed such condition did not have any effect on the fairness of the transaction from a financial point of view, but only on the procedural fairness, and therefore did not have any effect on the fairness opinion of vFinance; and

since Hermes had conditioned the change in structure upon delivery of voting agreements from stockholders of the Company owning enough shares to approve Proposal Three, the Board of Directors concluded that such approval had the same effect as a vote of stockholders and therefore satisfied our Board of Directors that the new structure satisfied all requirements of fair process, as well as fair price.

The above discussion of the factors considered by our Board of Directors is not intended to be exhaustive, but does set forth the principal factors considered by the Board of Directors prior to executing the Merger Agreement. The Board of Directors collectively reached the unanimous conclusion to approve the Merger Agreement, and the transactions contemplated thereby, including the issuance of the Series A Preferred Stock contemplated by the Merger Agreement, in light of the various factors described above and other factors that each member of our Board of Directors felt were appropriate. In view of the wide variety of factors considered by our Board of Directors in connection with its evaluation of the Merger Agreement and the complexity of these matters, Cenuco's Board of Directors did not consider it practical, and did not attempt, to quantify, rank or otherwise assign relative weights to the specific factors it considered in reaching its decision. Rather, Cenuco's Board of Directors made its recommendation based on the totality of information presented to and the investigation conducted by it. In considering the factors discussed above, individual directors may have given different weights to different factors.

Regulatory Approvals Needed to Complete the Merger

The Merger was completed on May 20, 2005. We were not required to obtain the approval of any regulatory agency in order to enter into the Merger Agreement or complete the Merger.

However, Section 712(b) of the American Stock Exchange Company Guide requires that our stockholders approve the issuance of more than 20% of our common stock in any acquisition of the stock or assets of another company.

Because, as contemplated in the Merger, the issuance of shares of our common stock in connection with conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock to common stock will exceed 20% of our currently outstanding shares, we are required to seek stockholder approval before we can issue those shares. If we issue the shares of our common stock to Hermes Members upon conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock without stockholder approval for the issuance, our common stock could be de-listed from the American Stock Exchange.

In addition, as discussed at page 17 (*Risk Factors*), the rules of the American Stock Exchange required that we re-submit an original listing application with respect to our Common Stock, because the Merger resulted in a change of control of the Company. This application was submitted on January 19, 2006.

Opinion of Financial Advisor to Cenuco

The following summary does not purport to describe all of the material analyses contained in its opinion, the Fairness Opinion, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the copy of the Fairness Opinion, which is included as [Annex A](#) to this proxy statement. We urge you to carefully read the Fairness Opinion in its entirety.

In connection with its evaluation of the Merger as described above, Cenuco's Board of Directors engaged vFinance Investments, Inc. (vFinance), to act as its financial advisor. vFinance, Inc. is a rapidly growing financial services company that provides research, investment banking, brokerage and trading services to more than 10,000 corporate,

institutional and private clients worldwide. vFinance, Inc. has offices in New York, San Jose, Houston, Boca Raton and 24 other cities nationwide. Its subsidiary, vFinance Investments, Inc., is a registered broker-dealer with the SEC and a member of the NASD. vFinance rendered its opinion, dated March 9, 2005, to the effect that, as of that date and based upon and subject to the assumptions, limitations and qualifications set forth in its opinion, the consideration to be paid in the Merger was fair, from a financial point of view, to our stockholders. vFinance has consented to the inclusion of the Fairness Opinion in this proxy statement.

[Back to Contents](#)

We have attached as Annex A to this document the full text of vFinance's written opinion and urge you to read the opinion in its entirety. The Fairness Opinion sets forth the assumptions made, matters considered and limits on the review undertaken and is incorporated by reference in its entirety. vFinance addressed the Fairness Opinion to Cenuco's Board of Directors. The Fairness Opinion addresses only the consideration paid in the Merger and is not a recommendation to any stockholder as to how that stockholder should vote on any proposals relating to the Merger at the Meeting. The summary of vFinance's opinion provided below is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the Fairness Opinion.

In arriving at its opinion, vFinance reviewed:

- the Merger Agreement;
- the audited financial statements of Cenuco for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004 and the audited financial statements of Hermes for the year ended February 29, 2004;
- the unaudited financial statements of Cenuco and Hermes for the periods ended December 31, 2004 and November 30, 2004, respectively;
- current and historical market prices of Cenuco common stock;
- various publicly available information concerning the business of Cenuco and Lander and of several other companies engaged in businesses comparable to those of Cenuco and Lander, and the reported market prices for the securities of other companies deemed comparable;
- the terms of various transactions involving companies comparable to Cenuco and Lander and the consideration received for those companies;
- the terms of other business combinations that vFinance deemed relevant; and
- various internal financial analyses and forecasts prepared by Cenuco and Hermes and their respective managements.

vFinance also held discussions with several members of the management of Cenuco and Hermes on numerous aspects of the Merger, the past and current business operations of Cenuco and Lander, the financial condition and future prospects and operations of Cenuco and Lander, the effects of the Merger on the financial condition and future prospects of Cenuco and Lander, and other matters that vFinance believed necessary or appropriate to its inquiry. In addition, vFinance reviewed other financial studies and analyses and considered other information as it deemed appropriate for the purposes of its opinion.

vFinance relied upon and assumed, without independent verification, the accuracy and completeness of all information that was publicly available or that was furnished to it by Cenuco and Hermes or otherwise reviewed by vFinance from third party sources. vFinance is not responsible or liable for that information or its accuracy. vFinance did not conduct any valuation or appraisal of any assets or liabilities, and no valuations or appraisals were provided to vFinance. In relying on financial analyses and forecasts provided to it, vFinance has assumed that they have been reasonably prepared based on assumptions reflecting the best currently available estimates and judgments by management as to the expected future results of operations and financial condition of Cenuco and Hermes to which those analyses or forecasts relate. vFinance also assumed that the Merger will have the tax consequences described in discussions with, and materials furnished to vFinance by, representatives of Cenuco, and that the parties would complete the other transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement as described in that agreement. vFinance relied as to all legal matters relevant to rendering its opinion upon the advice of its counsel.

As is customary in the rendering of fairness opinions, vFinance based its opinion on economic, market and other conditions as in effect on, and the information made available to vFinance as of, March 9, 2005. Subsequent developments may affect vFinance's opinion, and vFinance does not have any obligation to update, revise, or reaffirm its opinion. vFinance expressed no opinion as to the price at which Cenuco's or the combined company's common stock will trade at any future time.

Cenuco did not request vFinance to, and vFinance did not, provide advice concerning the structure, the specific amount of the consideration, or any other aspects of the Merger, and did not provide services other than the delivery of its opinion. vFinance did not participate in negotiations on the terms of the Merger and related transactions, which were the product of direct negotiations between Cenuco and Hermes.

[Back to Contents](#)

In accordance with customary investment banking practice, vFinance employed generally accepted valuation methods in reaching its opinion. The following is a summary of the material financial analyses performed by vFinance in connection with its opinion. We have presented some of the summaries of financial analyses in tabular format. In order to understand the financial analyses used by vFinance more fully, you should read the tables together with the text of each summary. The tables alone do not constitute a complete description of vFinance's financial analyses and this summary does not purport to be a complete description of the analyses underlying vFinance's opinion.

Comparable Company Analysis. vFinance first identified those other companies that might be considered comparable to Lander, the operating company of Hermes. While Lander competes in the Consumer Goods & Products sector, this market includes multi-billion dollar behemoths such as Proctor & Gamble and Colgate Palmolive. While this would ordinarily pose problems from an analytical standpoint, vFinance spent considerable time reviewing the product lines of the companies that were ultimately included in its analysis, and there appears to be a homogeneity among the lines carried, while the prime differentiator from a branding standpoint appears to be the pricing points and advertising dollars spent on support of the brand. The distribution channels for most of these companies are almost identical.

From a practical standpoint, vFinance elected to exclude Proctor & Gamble from its analysis, as its market capitalization is over 4.5x the size of its nearest competitor, Colgate Palmolive and it almost always positions itself as the premium brand in the markets in which it competes. Lander, by comparison, has historically been viewed as a value brand, having been so positioned from its more than 85 years of existence. In addition, Proctor & Gamble markets lines of snacks & beverages, making it slightly dissimilar to the rest of the universe considered by vFinance.

The following companies were considered for the comparable analysis: Colgate-Palmolive Company, The Estee Lauder Companies Inc., Alberto-Culver Company, Church & Dwight Co., Inc., Revlon, Inc., Prestige Brand Holdings, Inc., Elizabeth Arden, Playtex Products, Inc., Inter Parfums, Inc., Parlux Fragrances, Inc., CCA Industries, Inc., Stephan Co., Imagenetix, Inc., Oralabs Holding Corp., Scott's Liquid Gold, Inc. and Lee Pharmaceuticals.

In reviewing the companies in this sector, vFinance was unable to discern any trends from a valuation perspective. Ordinarily, one would expect that the marketplace would accord premium multiples to companies with significantly larger revenue bases, but that was not apparent in this Comparable Company Analysis. For example, even though it was excluded from vFinance's analysis due primarily to its sheer size, Proctor & Gamble is still valued by the market at a multiple of 2.49x its trailing twelve month revenues, which would fit comfortably within the range of multiples for the sample set. The sample set of sixteen (16) companies that vFinance believed fit its defined parameters were included in the comparable sample. Those parameters were defined as Consumer Products companies that either employ value-oriented or premium value pricing points or sell through national distribution channels such as Wal-Mart and their like. They range in market capitalization from just under \$0.5 million to just over \$29 billion, with a range of trailing twelve month revenues from \$6.6 million to \$10.6 billion.

Lander Co.

Comparable Public Companies

Figures in Thousands of US Dollars except for share prices

Equity Value to

| Company | Ticker | Stock Price 3/5/05 | % of High | Market Value | Debt to Equity | TTM Rev. | TTM EBITDA | TTM EBIT | EPS | | | Net Assets |
|--|--------|--------------------|-----------|--------------|----------------|----------|------------|----------|---------|--------|--------|------------|
| | | | | | | | | | TTM | 2003 | 2004 | |
| Colgate-Palmolive Company | CL | 52.84 | 89 | %29,237,456 | 3.0 | x 2.8 | x 11.9 | x 13.8 | x 22.7 | x 20.1 | x 18.1 | x n.m. |
| Estee Lauder | EL | 43.00 | 87 | %9,943,136 | 0.3 | x 1.6 | x 11.3 | x 14.6 | x 24.6 | x 22.4 | x 19.6 | x 14.8 |
| Alberto-Culver Company | ACV | 51.90 | 92 | %4,910,735 | 0.1 | x 1.5 | x 12.4 | x 14.3 | x 24.3 | x 21.1 | x 18.9 | x 4.4 |
| Church & Dwight Co, Inc. | CHD | 35.98 | 98 | %2,502,615 | 1.7 | x 1.4 | x 12.5 | x 11.3 | x 23.7 | x 20.2 | x 18.0 | x n.m. |
| Revlon, Inc. Prestige Brand Holdings | REV | 2.49 | 70 | %921,594 | n.m. | 0.7 | x 5.6 | x 17.5 | x n.m. | n.m. | n.m. | n.m. |
| Elizabeth Arden | PBH | 17.96 | 96 | %898,000 | 1.5 | x 3.8 | x 11.6 | x 13.7 | x 77.8 | x n.m. | n.m. | n.m. |
| Playtex Products, Inc. | RDEN | 26.13 | 99 | %786,740 | 1.8 | x 1.0 | x 3.9 | x 10.8 | x 13.2 | x 20.3 | x 17.1 | x n.m. |
| InterParfums, Inc. Parlux | PYX | 8.77 | 99 | %536,863 | 17.4 | x 0.8 | x 4.9 | x 5.9 | x 31.3 | x 19.9 | x 15.7 | x n.m. |
| Frangrances, Inc. CCA Industries, Inc. | IPAR | 15.49 | 46 | %310,911 | 0.2 | x 1.4 | x 8.8 | x 9.7 | x 19.9 | x 20.1 | x 20.1 | x 5.9 |
| The Stephan Co. | PARL | 25.99 | 93 | %240,882 | 0.0 | x 2.6 | x 14.2 | x 15.2 | x 27.9 | x 26.0 | x 15.1 | x 4.3 |
| Imagentix, Inc. | CAW | 10.85 | 79 | %76,430 | 0.0 | x 1.2 | x 7.8 | x 8.1 | x 14.5 | x 10.6 | x 10.3 | x 4.3 |
| Oralabs Holdings Corporation | TSC | 4.55 | 65 | %20,360 | 0.2 | x 0.8 | x 60.0 | x 109.3 | x 113.8 | x n.m. | n.m. | 1.0 |
| Scott s Liquid Gold, Inc. | IAGX | 2.00 | 97 | %21,580 | 0.1 | x 3.3 | x 16.9 | x 17.4 | x 22.2 | x n.m. | n.m. | 16.8 |
| Lee Pharmaceuticals, Inc. | OLAB | 2.75 | 53 | %13,089 | 0.0 | x 1.0 | x n.m. | n.m. | n.m. | n.m. | n.m. | 2.4 |
| | SLGD | 0.55 | 60 | %5,726 | 0.2 | x 0.3 | x 9.8 | x n.m. | n.m. | n.m. | n.m. | 0.6 |
| | LPHM | 0.12 | 71 | %496 | n.m. | 0.1 | x n.m. | n.m. | n.m. | n.m. | n.m. | n.m. |
| | | High | 99 | % | 17.4 | x 3.8 | x 60.0 | x 109.3 | x 113.8 | x 26.0 | x 20.1 | x 16.8 |
| | | Mean | 81 | % | 1.9 | x 1.51 | x 13.7 | x 20.1 | x 34.6 | x 20.1 | x 17.0 | x 6.0 |
| | | Median | 88 | % | 0.2 | x 1.32 | x 11.5 | x 13.8 | x 24.0 | x 20.2 | x 18.0 | x 4.3 |
| | | Low | 46 | % | 0.0 | x 0.06 | x 3.9 | x 5.9 | x 13.2 | x 10.6 | x 10.3 | x 0.6 |

1 Enterprise value is calculated by adding debt, preferred stock, minority interest and subtracting cash from the adjusted market value (market cap) inclusive of dilutive securities.

Source of information: SEC Edgar Filings, Bloomberg, LP, Hoovers, Inc., and/or Market Guide, Inc.

[Back to Contents](#)

Having reviewed the operating demographics of this sample set and determining that Lander fell within the operating parameters of this group, vFinance determined that it would derive multiples based on Trailing Twelve Month Revenue and EBITDA (Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization) and compare them to the sample set's Enterprise and Equity values. These multiples, once derived from its sample set, would be the Market Multiples that would be applied against Lander's operating statistics to impute a range of values. These multiples, which vFinance applied to Lander's statistics, yield an Equity Value of Lander ranging from \$50.2 million to \$676.5 million, with a mean of \$195.5 million. The Enterprise Value ranges from \$54.2 million to \$672.6 million, with a mean value of \$191.5 million.

Lander Co.

Valuation Based on Public Trading Multiples

Figures in Thousands of US Dollars

Valuation Based on Mean Multiples

| | <u>Data</u> | <u>Enterprise Multiples</u> | <u>Enterprise Value</u> | <u>Cash</u> | <u>Debt</u> | <u>Equity Value ¹</u> | <u>Applied Weights</u> | |
|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| TTM Revenue | \$ 101,500.0 | 1.87 | x \$ 190,301.90 | \$ | \$ 3,980.07 | \$ 186,321.84 | 50.0 | % |
| Net Assets | (3,509.0) | 6.0 | x (20,959.58) | | 3,980.07 | (24,939.64) | 0.0 | % |
| TTM EBITDA | 12,800.0 | 15.7 | x \$ 200,675.15 | | 3,980.07 | 196,695.08 | 50.0 | % |
| | | | | | | | 100.0 | % |
| | Weighted Equity Valuation | | \$ 195,488.53 | Weighted Enterprise Valuation | | \$ 191,508.46 | | |

Valuation Based on High Multiples

| | <u>Data</u> | <u>Enterprise Multiples</u> | <u>Enterprise Value</u> | <u>Cash</u> | <u>Debt</u> | <u>Equity Value ¹</u> | <u>Applied Weights</u> | |
|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| TTM Revenue | \$ 101,500.0 | 5.54 | x \$ 561,804.03 | \$ | \$ 3,980.07 | \$ 557,823.97 | 50.0 | % |
| Net Assets | (3,509.0) | 16.3 | x (57,320.15) | | 3,980.07 | (61,300.21) | 0.0 | % |
| TTM EBITDA | 12,800.0 | 61.8 | x \$ 791,256.96 | | 3,980.07 | 787,276.90 | 50.0 | % |
| | | | | | | | 100.0 | % |
| | Weighted Equity Valuation | | \$ 676,530.50 | Weighted Enterprise Valuation | | \$ 672,550.43 | | |

Valuation Based on Low Multiples

| | <u>Data</u> | <u>Enterprise Multiples</u> | <u>Enterprise Value</u> | <u>Cash</u> | <u>Debt</u> | <u>Equity Value ¹</u> | <u>Applied Weights</u> | |
|-------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|
| TTM Revenue | \$101,500.0 | 0.26 | x \$26,640.57 | \$ | \$3,980.07 | \$ 30,620.63 | 50.0 | % |
| Net Assets | (3,509.0) | 0.6 | x (2,207.29) | | 3,980.07 | 1,772.77 | 0.0 | % |
| TTM EBITDA | 12,800.0 | 5.8 | x \$73,780.28 | | 3,980.07 | 77,760.35 | 50.0 | % |
| | | | | | | | <u>100.0</u> | <u>%</u> |
| | Weighted Equity Valuation | | <u>\$50,210.43</u> | Weighted Enterprise Valuation | | <u>\$ 54,190.49</u> | | |

1 Enterprise value is calculated by adding debt and subtracting cash to the Equity Value.

Discounted Cash Flow Analysis. vFinance examined the cash flows derived from the operations of Lander for the trailing twelve month (TTM) period, and the corresponding projections for Lander's fiscal years (FY) 2006 through 2008, in order to derive a valuation based upon Lander's ability to generate cash. In so doing, vFinance relied on data provided by the management of Lander, which reflected certain assumptions that the Lander management deemed reasonable regarding business growth rates and the capital expenditure (CAPEX) requirements for the applicable periods. The estimated projections of EBITDA and CAPEX (the projections) presented below have been prepared by, and are the responsibility of, the Company's management. The projections have been prepared in a manner consistent with the accounting policies in the historical financial statements. The projections were prepared in good faith at the time they were made, however, actual results may differ from the projected results. While these projections were not prepared with a view toward compliance with published guidelines of the SEC or the guidelines established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) for preparation and presentation of prospective financial information, under the AICPA guidelines the omission of a summary of significant assumptions causes the presentation to be deficient. Accordingly, investors are cautioned not to place reliance on such projections unless they have an understanding of the underlying assumptions.

[Back to Contents](#)

Using the trailing twelve month actual values and the estimates of EBITDA and CAPEX furnished by Lander management, vFinance calculated the free cash flows (cash flows from operations less CAPEX) for Lander for the trailing twelve months and for the fiscal years 2006 through 2008. These data yielded a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) for free cash flow of 7.7 percent. vFinance then calculated a terminal value by multiplying the projected free cash flow for FY 2008 by the CAGR. The resulting figure was then divided by the difference between vFinance's estimated cost of capital (13.5 percent) and the CAGR (7.7 percent).

To determine the current value of the projected future free cash flows, vFinance applied a discount rate that combined a risk-free rate with a risk premium. The risk-free rate used by vFinance, 3.5 percent, approximated the then-prevailing Treasury Bill rate. For the risk premium, which was intended to reflect the risk that the business would not yield the free cash flows projected in the financial model, vFinance made three alternative assumptions, 10 percent, 12 percent and 14 percent. These rates were selected by vFinance as appropriate for Lander, given the current stage in its business life-cycle.

This discounted cash flow analysis yielded a High value of \$174.4 million (using the 10 percent risk premium), a Mean value of \$161.4 million (using the 12 percent risk premium) and a Low value of \$149.5 million (using the 14 percent risk premium).

The results of this analysis are shown the following table. The term NPV-Merged refers to the net present value of a merged Cenuco/Hermes entity, and shows the High, Mean and Low values calculated as described above.

Lander Co.

Valuation Based on Discounted Cash Flow

Figures in Thousands of US Dollars

| | <u>TTM</u> | <u>2006 E</u> | <u>2007 E</u> | <u>2008 E</u> | <u>Perpetuity</u> | <u>CAGR</u> | |
|------------------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------|---|
| Revenues | \$101,500.0 | \$110,500.0 | \$113,800.0 | \$117,200.0 | | 3.7 | % |
| EBITDA | 12,800.0 | 13,400.0 | 14,300.0 | 15,600.0 | | 6.8 | % |
| Net Earnings | 1,900.0 | 4,300.0 | 4,697.0 | 4,893.9 | | 37.1 | % |
| CAPEX | (1,500.0) | (1,500.0) | (1,500.0) | (1,500.0) | | | |
| OCF Minus CAPEX | 11,300.0 | 11,900.0 | 12,800.0 | 14,100.0 | 29,845.5 | 7.7 | % |
| Financing Requirement (Debt) | | | | | | | |
| CF | 11,300.0 | 11,900.0 | 12,800.0 | 14,100.0 | 29,845.5 | | |
| NPV - Merged | 174,398.0 | 161,351.3 | 149,542.0 | | | | |
| Discount Rate | 13.5 % | 15.5 % | 17.5 % | | | | |
| Risk free rate | 3.5 % | 3.5 % | 3.5 % | | | | |
| Business risk premium | 10.0 % | 12.0 % | 14.0 % | | | | |
| Catch-all risk premium | 0.0 % | 0.0 % | 0.0 % | | | | |

Neither of KPMG LLP nor BDO Seidman, LLP has either examined or compiled the accompanying prospective financial information and, accordingly, neither of KPMG LLP nor BDO Seidman, LLP expresses an opinion or any form of assurance with respect thereto. The reports of KPMG LLP and BDO Seidman, LLP included in this proxy statement relate to the Company's historical financial information. They do not extend to the projections and should not be read to do so.

Comparable Transaction Analysis. vFinance examined a number of merger/purchase transactions within the Consumer Goods and Products sector by both operating companies and private equity firms to examine the multiples being paid.

[Back to Contents](#)

Lander Co.

Valuation Comparisons of Recent Transactions

| Buyer | Target | Date of Transaction | Transaction Value (000) | Target Revenues (000) | TTM Revenue Multiple | Target EBITDA (000) | TTM EBITDA Multiple |
|-----------------------|---|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Procter & Gamble Co. | The Gillette Co. Del Laboratories, Inc. | 27-Jan-05 | \$57,000,000 | \$9,991,000 | 5.71 x | \$2,965,000 | 19.22 x |
| Kelso & Company | Cosmetic | 27-Jan-05 | \$480,000 | \$408,300 | 1.18 x | \$49,900 | 9.62 x |
| Onex Partners | Essence, Inc. | 01-Jan-05 | \$245,000 | \$206,000 | 1.32 x | \$30,000 | 9.07 x |
| Prestige Brands, Inc. | Vetco, Inc. | 07-Oct-04 | \$50,649 | \$14,500 | 3.49 x | \$5,600 | 9.04 x |
| Harvest Partners | Evenflo Co. GABA | 01-Jul-04 | \$ | \$300,000 | n.m. | n.a. | n.m. |
| Colgate-Palmolive | International | 01-Jun-04 | \$630,000 | \$300,000 | 2.10 x | n.a. | n.m. |
| Church & Dwight | Armkel | 28-May-04 | \$254,000 | \$410,694 | 1.24 x | \$106,608 | 4.77 x |
| Berkshire Partners | MD Beauty Bonita Bay | 05-May-04 | \$225,000 | \$100,000 | 2.25 x | n.a. | n.m. |
| Prestige Brands, Inc. | Holdings | 06-Apr-04 | \$210,000 | \$140,000 | 1.50 x | \$32,564 | 6.45 x |
| Henkel | Dial | 29-Mar-04 | \$2,825,719 | \$1,344,858 | 2.10 x | \$280,105 | 10.09 x |
| Prestige Brands, Inc. | The Spic and Span Company | 05-Mar-04 | \$30,268 | \$20,173 | 1.50 x | \$79 | n.m. |
| Prestige Brands, Inc. | Medtech and Denorex | 06-Feb-04 | \$244,270 | \$69,059 | 3.54 x | \$8,575 | 28.49 x |
| GTCR Golder Rauner | Prestige Brands International | 01-Feb-04 | \$550,000 | \$271,280 | 2.03 x | \$60,000 | 9.17 x |
| Church & Dwight | Care | 20-Oct-03 | \$116,000 | \$134,964 | 0.86 x | \$42,046 | 2.76 x |
| Tchibo Holding AG | Beiersdorf AG BLI Holdings | 17-Oct-03 | \$5,400,000 | \$4,200,000 | 3.21 x | n.a. | n.m. |
| Clearlight Partners | Corp. | | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | 1.00 x | n.a. | n.m. |
| Procter & Gamble Co. | Wella AG | 10-Sep-03 | \$5,090,000 | \$3,486,301 | 1.46 x | n.a. | n.m. |
| Procter & Gamble Co. | Clairol Matrix | 16-Nov-01 | \$4,600,000 | \$1,600,000 | 2.88 x | \$287,500 | 16.00 x |
| L Oreal SA | Essentials | 01-Apr-00 | \$600,000 | n.a. | n.m. | \$54,545 | 11.00 x |
| | | | | High | 5.71 x | High | 28.49 x |
| | | | | Mean | 2.20 x | Mean | 11.31 x |
| | | | | Low | 0.86 x | Low | 2.76 x |

While all valuation methodologies received equal weight in vFinance's valuation, vFinance tends to favor transaction-oriented multiples as more indicative of the true multiple to be assigned. As indicated earlier in the analysis, there were no discernible trends in the multiples assigned by the marketplace to either the larger or smaller companies competing within the sector, and the transaction multiple ranges continue to bear this out. The mean

multiple of transaction price to revenue multiple is approximately 2.2x revenue, and the mean of EBITDA multiples is 11.3x EBITDA. Applying these multiples to Lander's operating statistics yields a range of \$87.2 million to \$579.1 million, with a mean of \$223.0 million, on the purchase price to revenue multiple. Examining the purchase price to EBITDA multiples yields a range of \$35.3 million to \$364.6 million, with a mean of \$144.7 million.

Conclusion. The average amounts derived from these methodologies range from approximately \$75.3 million to \$493.4 million, with a mean value of \$169.7 million. Based on these figures and the above-referenced analyses, vFinance rendered its opinion that, as of the date of the Fairness Opinion, and based upon and subject to the assumptions, limitations and qualifications set forth above and in the Fairness Opinion, that the consideration to be paid in the Merger was fair, from a financial point of view, to Cenuco's stockholders.

While some of the individual analyses produced wide ranges, vFinance utilized an average of analytical techniques to derive the values of the range ultimately used to determine the fairness of the transaction. In addition, on both the Comparable Company analysis and Comparable Transaction analysis, vFinance noted a significant clustering of observations within a couple of standard deviations of the mean of each sample set, with a couple of outlier observations on either end of the range. This clustering has the effect of establishing the validity of using the mean of the sample set for this purpose, rather than assuming that the mean is unduly influenced by outlier observations and should be considered a test at best.

vFinance did not assign a value to the consideration paid to the former members of Hermes in the Merger; rather vFinance provided a value range that should be considered fair to the stockholders of Cenuco, which was nominally based upon the terms of the Merger Agreement providing for Cenuco to issue 25,324,104 of its shares of common stock in exchange for the outstanding membership interests of Hermes. This equated to a post-Merger ownership split of 65% to the members of Hermes and 35% for existing Cenuco stockholders. Final values were not specifically defined as there were adjustment provisions to the final transaction documents regarding outstanding warrants and options that could not be quantified at the time of the issuance of the opinion, as they related to how proceeds would be allocated upon exercise of said warrants and options. The closing price of Cenuco common stock on the American Stock Exchange on March 8, 2005, the last trading day prior to the date that vFinance delivered its fairness opinion to Cenuco, was \$5.41 and the market capitalization of Cenuco common stock based upon that stock price was \$73.8 million.

[Back to Contents](#)

This summary does not purport to be a complete description of the analyses or data presented by vFinance. The preparation of a fairness opinion is a complex process and is not necessarily susceptible to partial analysis or summary description. vFinance believes that one must consider the Fairness Opinion, this summary and its analyses as a whole. Selecting portions of this summary and these analyses, without considering the analyses as a whole, would create an incomplete view of the processes underlying the analyses and opinion. In arriving at its opinion, vFinance considered the results of all of the analyses as a whole. No single factor or analysis was determinative of vFinance's fairness determination. Rather, the totality of the factors considered and analyses performed operated collectively to support its determination. vFinance based the analyses on assumptions that it deemed reasonable, including assumptions concerning general business and economic conditions that impact the companies' growth rates, labor costs and price competition and industry-specific factors. This summary sets forth under the description of each analysis the other principal assumptions upon which vFinance based that analysis. vFinance's analyses are not necessarily indicative of actual values or actual future results that either company or the combined company might achieve, which values may be higher or lower than those indicated. Analyses based upon forecasts of future results are inherently uncertain, as they are subject to numerous factors or events beyond the control of the parties and their advisors. Accordingly, these forecasts and analyses are not necessarily indicative of actual future results, which may be significantly more or less favorable than suggested by those analyses. Therefore, none of Cenuco, Hermes, vFinance or any other person assumes responsibility if future results are materially different from those forecasted. Moreover, vFinance's analyses are not and do not purport to be appraisals or otherwise reflective of the prices at which businesses actually could be bought or sold.

As a part of its investment banking business, vFinance and its affiliates are continually engaged in the valuation of businesses and their securities for mergers and acquisitions, investments for passive and control purposes, negotiated underwritings, secondary distributions of listed and unlisted securities, private placements, and valuations for estate, corporate and other purposes. Cenuco selected vFinance to deliver a fairness opinion to Cenuco's Board of Directors on the basis of its experience and familiarity with Cenuco and Lander. In addition, as noted under the heading *Background of the Merger*, Cenuco's Board of Directors concluded that vFinance was prepared to dedicate more time, effort and expertise to serving as Cenuco's financial advisor in the transaction than had the other investment banking firm that was interviewed and that the fees to be paid to vFinance in connection with the financial advisory services were less than the other firm interviewed.

For delivering its opinion, Cenuco paid vFinance a fee of approximately \$35,709. This fee was negotiated by Cenuco and vFinance. In addition, Cenuco also agreed to reimburse vFinance for the reasonable fees and disbursements of counsel incurred in connection with delivering its opinion, and will indemnify vFinance against various liabilities, including liabilities arising under the federal securities laws.

vFinance and its affiliates provide commercial banking, investment banking and asset management services to Cenuco for which they receive customary fees. During the two year period prior to delivery of the fairness opinion, fees for services rendered to Cenuco by vFinance and its affiliates totaled \$47,424 in the aggregate. In the ordinary course of their businesses, vFinance and its affiliates may actively trade the equity securities of the Company for their own accounts or for the accounts of customers. Accordingly, they may hold long and/or short positions in those securities at any given time.

What Stockholders and Members Received in the Merger

Cenuco Stockholders

Shares of common stock held by Cenuco's stockholders immediately prior to the Merger continued to remain outstanding after the Merger and represented an equal number of shares of common stock of the combined company.

[Back to Contents](#)

Hermes Members

As a result of the Merger, the Hermes Members received in exchange for their membership interests in Hermes, an aggregate of 2,553.6746 shares of Cenuco's Series A Preferred Stock, representing 65% of the combined outstanding voting power of all classes of the Company's capital stock immediately following the Merger.

Material Terms of the Merger and Merger Agreement

The following summary of the Merger and the Merger Agreement is qualified by reference to the complete text of the Merger Agreement, which has been filed with the SEC on Current Reports on Form 8-K dated March 16, 2005 and May 10, 2005. However, it should be noted that there may be risks for investors associated with relying on representations, warranties, covenants, and agreements contained in the Merger Agreement. The representations and warranties in the Merger Agreement may be qualified by disclosure schedules that have not been filed with the SEC, may be qualified by materiality standards that differ from what may be viewed as material for securities law purposes, or may represent an allocation of risk as between the parties as part of the transaction reflected in the Merger Agreement. Moreover, the representations and warranties may have become incorrect after the date of the Merger Agreement, and changes, if any, may not be reflected in the Company's public disclosures. The covenants and agreements contained in the Merger Agreement are solely for the benefit of Cenuco and Hermes, and compliance with each covenant and agreement may be waived, and the time for performance under each covenant and agreement may be extended, by the party entitled to the benefit of the covenant or agreement.

The Merger Agreement provides, among other things:

- a newly formed wholly owned subsidiary of the Company is merged with and into Hermes; as a result of the Merger, which was completed on May 20, 2005, Hermes became a direct wholly owned subsidiary of the Company;
- upon the completion of the Merger, the former members of Hermes received shares of the Company's Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock which, in the aggregate, represented 65% of the voting power of the then outstanding shares of the Company's capital stock;
- following the completion of the Merger, the Company agreed to convene a special meeting of the Company's stockholders that would, among other things, increase the number of authorized shares of common stock of the Company and approve the conversion of the Company's Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock into shares of common stock of the Company representing 65% of the outstanding shares; the special meeting of stockholders held on May 3, 2006 and this annual meeting of stockholders are intended to satisfy that obligation of the Company and if Proposal Three is approved at this annual meeting, the outstanding shares of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock of the Company will automatically convert into shares of common stock of the Company representing 65% of the outstanding shares in the aggregate;
- upon the completion of the Merger, all outstanding options to purchase common stock of the Company were immediately vested and remained outstanding without any other change in the terms or conditions thereof;
- the closing of the Merger was conditioned upon, among other things, the Company obtaining a fairness opinion that the Merger is fair to the Company's stockholders from a financial point of view and the Company having cash and cash equivalents on hand at closing of approximately \$6 million, subject to no liens;
- the Company was required to pay Hermes a termination fee in the amount of \$500,000 if Hermes terminated the Merger Agreement because of the Company's material breach of any representation, warranty, covenant or agreement contained in the Merger Agreement or if Hermes determined that any reports filed by the Company with the SEC contained an untrue statement of a material fact or omitted a

material fact; and

the Company was not permitted to solicit, initiate or encourage any inquiry, proposal or offer with respect to a third party tender offer, merger, consolidation, business combination or similar transaction involving any assets or class of capital stock of the Company.

[Back to Contents](#)

Shares of Stock Issued/ Issuable in Connection with the Merger

In connection with the Merger consummated on May 20, 2005, each one percent (1%) interest in Hermes outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the Merger was converted into 25.536746 shares of Series A Preferred Stock. Accordingly, we issued 2,553.6746 shares of our Series A Preferred Stock to the Hermes Members in return for their equity interests in Hermes. This represented 65% of the combined outstanding voting power of all classes of the Company's capital stock as of the date that the Merger was completed.

Under the Securities Purchase Agreement with Prentice in the Financing Transaction, the Company became obligated to use a portion of the proceeds of the sale of its senior secured convertible notes to redeem a total of 205.9 shares of the Series A Preferred Stock held by MarNan and Dana Holdings. Dana Holdings is a limited liability company, 50 percent of the ownership interests in which are owned by Joseph A. Falsetti, the Chief Executive Officer of the Company and the Chairman of its Board of Directors, and 50% of the ownership interests in which are owned by Mark I. Massad, as custodian for Dana Falsetti, the daughter of Joseph A. Falsetti. The aggregate redemption consideration of \$3,645,833 corresponds to \$1.75 per share for each share of common stock into which the Series A Preferred Stock to be redeemed would be convertible, if such conversion had occurred on August 32, 2006 (a Series A Conversion Share), based upon the conversion ratio of 10,118.1774 shares of common stock per share of Series A Preferred Stock in effect as of such date, resulting in a total of 2,083,333 Series A Conversion Shares. In connection with the amendment and restatement of certain agreements entered into by the Company on October 1 and November 15, 2005, including specifically the Company's agreement to redeem 205.9 shares of Series A Preferred Stock owned by Dana and MarNan, MarNan and Prencen terminated an agreement dated October 10, 2005 obligating Prencen to purchase from MarNan shares of the Series A Preferred Stock corresponding to 2,083,333 Series A Conversion Shares, for an aggregate consideration of \$5 million, corresponding to a price of \$2.40 per Series A Conversion Share.

If the issuance of common stock upon conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock is approved by our stockholders at the Meeting (Proposal Three), then each share of Series A Preferred Stock will be automatically converted into a number of shares of common stock (the Exchange Ratio) that in the aggregate represents approximately 63% of the outstanding shares of common stock as of the date of conversion, after accounting for the August 2, 2006 redemption described above. Based upon the 13,944,056 shares of common stock outstanding as of the record date for the Meeting, the Exchange Ratio would be 10,118.9046.

No fractional shares of our common stock will be issued. Instead, cash adjustments will be paid to the Hermes Members with respect to any fractional share of our common stock that would otherwise be issuable. We did not issue shares of our Series A Preferred Stock to any Hermes Member until we received a written instrument from such owner reflecting the surrender of such owner's interests in Hermes.

The number of shares of our common stock to be issued in connection with the conversion is subject to increase if certain anti-dilution rights are triggered. In the event that, prior to the conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock, we declare or effect a stock split, reverse stock split, stock dividend or stock distribution, reorganization, recapitalization, reclassification or similar event, the Exchange Ratio shall be adjusted to fully reflect the effect of such events.

In addition, in the event that, prior to the conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock, any warrants, options or other rights to purchase our common stock that were issued and outstanding as of the effective time of the Merger are exercised, and the Company is required to issue additional shares of our common stock to the holder or holders of such rights, the Exchange Ratio shall be adjusted to reflect such issuances so that the applicable ratio is maintained.

The shares of Series A Preferred Stock were, and the shares of common stock issuable upon conversion thereof will be, issued to the Hermes Members pursuant to an exemption from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder. Each of the Hermes

Members has represented to us that such owner will acquire the shares of stock for such owner's own account and not with a view to, or for resale in connection with, any distribution or public offering. In addition, each owner has agreed that the shares stock to be issued in connection with the Merger will be restricted securities under the Securities Act and may not be transferred or resold without (i) registration under the Securities Act and compliance with applicable state securities laws, or (ii) an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act and applicable state securities laws.

[Back to Contents](#)

Other Agreements of the Parties

The Merger Agreement obligates the Company, as promptly as practicable after the date of Merger Agreement, to prepare and file with the SEC a proxy statement covering, among other things, (a) the approval of the issuance of shares of our common stock that may be issued upon conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock, (b) the approval of an amendment to the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation to change the corporate name of the Company to Lander Co., Inc. or another name designated by Hermes and to increase the number of authorized shares of the Company's common stock to not less than the greater of (x) 100,000,000 shares or (y) the number of shares of common stock that may be issued upon conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock plus any other shares of common stock that may be issued pursuant to the plan of merger, and (c) the approval of the issuance of an aggregate of 34,000 shares of the Company's common stock to Robert Picow and Doug McMillen and (d) such other matters as are appropriate and necessary to consummate the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement. Because of delays in the preparation of audited financial statements of Hermes that arose because of a time period prior to Hermes acquisition of the Lander business for which audited financial statements had never been prepared, and because of the need to assemble further information regarding the historical operation of Lander that was not available until recently, a preliminary proxy statement satisfying this requirement was not filed with the SEC until December XX, 2006. The Company believes that this filing satisfies the Company's obligations under the Merger Agreement to file the proxy statement as promptly as practicable and that Cenuco is not subject to any damages of any kind for any delays in such filing. In connection with the proxy statement, the Company is further obligated to respond to any comments of the SEC and use its reasonable best efforts to have the proxy statement cleared by the SEC as promptly as practicable after such filing and to cause the proxy statement to be mailed to the Company's stockholders at the earliest practicable time.

The Merger Agreement prohibits Hermes, from and after the effective time of the Merger and prior to the earlier of (x) December 31, 2005 and (y) the date the Series A Preferred Stock is converted to common stock, from causing the Company, by acting through the Company's Board of Directors or otherwise, to: (i) declare any dividends or distributions on any capital stock of the Company prior to March 31, 2006, (ii) cause any default or breach under the terms of the certificate of designation of the Series A Preferred Stock; (iii) cause the liquidation (voluntary or otherwise), dissolution or winding up of the Company; (iv) enter into any consolidation, merger, combination or other similar transaction in which issued and outstanding shares of the Company's common stock are exchanged for or changed into other stock or securities, cash and/or any other property; or (v) otherwise alter or change in any material respect the powers, preferences or special rights of the Series A Preferred Stock.

The Company and Hermes agreed to work together in good faith and use their respective reasonable best efforts to (a) list the shares of common stock issued to the Hermes Members in connection with the Merger (if any), and the shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock shares, on the American Stock Exchange, subject to official notice of issuance, and (b) maintain the listing of the Company's common stock on the American Stock Exchange for so long as the Board of Directors shall determine in its good faith business judgment that it is in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders to maintain such listing.

The Merger Agreement provides that three of the four directors of Cenuco, and each of the directors and officers of Hermes Holding Company, Inc., would resign at the effective time of the Merger. The three directors of Cenuco who resigned were Steven M. Bettinger, Andrew Lockwood and Jack Phelan. Robert Picow, a then currently serving Cenuco director, continued to serve as a director. Following the Merger, Mr. Picow appointed three new directors, Joseph A. Falsetti, Kenneth D. Taylor and Edward J. Doyle, all of whom were designees of Hermes, to fill the newly created vacancies. The four directors then appointed a fifth director, Francis Ziegler, to the Board. Steven M. Bettinger, the previous Chief Executive Officer and President of Cenuco, Jordan Serlin, the previous Chief Operating Officer of Cenuco, and Adam Wasserman, the previous Chief Financial Officer of Cenuco, also resigned their positions at the effective time of the Merger. Following the Merger and until his resignation as an officer and

employee of the Company on July 2, 2006, Mr. Bettinger served as Vice President of Corporate Development and Investor Relations of the Company. Following the Merger, Messrs. Picow, Serlin and Wasserman have served as officers of the Company's wireless technology subsidiary, positions they also held immediately prior to the Merger. Joseph A. Falsetti was appointed as the new President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, Brian J. Geiger was appointed as the new Chief Financial Officer of the Company, William B. Acheson was appointed as the new Vice President of Global Sales, and Franco Pettinato was appointed as the new Senior Vice President of Production. Mr. Geiger retired in August 16, 2006 and John D. Wille was subsequently appointed as the Chief Financial Officer of the Company. For information relating to these new directors and the officers, see the section of this proxy statement entitled, *Management of the Combined Company*.

[Back to Contents](#)

The parties to the Merger Agreement also agreed, as conditions to the consummation of the Merger, to enter into voting agreements, employment agreements and indemnification agreements with certain executives and stockholders of the Company, the terms of which are described elsewhere in this proxy statement in the sections entitled, *The Merger Material Terms of the Voting Agreements*, and *Executive Compensation Employment and Indemnification Agreements*.

Material Terms of the Voting Agreements

In connection with the Merger Agreement, Steven Bettinger, our former President and Chief Executive Officer and director, entered into a voting agreement with Hermes on March 16, 2005, which agreement was amended on May 10, 2005. Pursuant to the terms of the voting agreement, Mr. Bettinger agreed to vote the shares of common stock beneficially owned by him, representing approximately 27.5% of the outstanding shares of common stock on the record date, at any meeting or written consent of the stockholders to consider the Merger and related matters, in favor of approving the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement, including, without limitation:

the charter amendment proposals providing for amendments to the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation, to change the name of the combined company to Lander Co., Inc. or such other name designated by Hermes and to increase the number of authorized shares of our common stock; and
the proposal regarding the issuance of shares of common stock upon conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock (Proposal Three).

On August 3, 2006, Mr. Bettinger sold 3,322,482 shares of the Company's common stock to Prencen. Prencen has advised the Company that it intends to vote the shares of common stock that it owns in favor of Proposal Three. However, the shares of the Company's common stock sold by Mr. Bettinger to Prencen are no longer subject to the voting agreement.

Each of Edward Berzak, Warren Gilbert, Gilder Funding Corp., Jay Haft, Irvin Joseph, Irving J. Denmark Trust, Fred Mack, Robert Picow and Stanley Snyder, stockholders of the Company owning an aggregate of 4,014,302 shares of common stock (representing approximately 28.8% of the outstanding shares of common stock on the record date), entered into voting agreements to the same effect and such voting agreements remain in effect with respect to all such shares.

Mr. Bettinger and such other stockholders granted Hermes, and any individual designated by Hermes, an irrevocable proxy to vote their beneficially owned shares of our common stock at the Meeting in favor of the proposals relating to the Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby. Mr. Bettinger and the other stockholders also agreed to use their best efforts to encourage other stockholders of the Company to vote to approve the transactions contemplated thereby.

The voting agreements further:

prohibit Mr. Bettinger and the other stockholders from selling or transferring the shares of Company common stock beneficially owned by them other than in certain permitted circumstances, which provision was waived in connection with the Financing Transaction and the sale of shares of the Company's common stock by Mr. Bettinger to Prencen;
contain customary representations regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock by Mr. Bettinger and such stockholder; and
will terminate upon the earlier of December 31, 2006 or the day following the stockholder meeting to consider the proposals contemplated by the Merger Agreement (unless terminated earlier by mutual consent of the parties).

Copies of the voting agreements have been filed with the SEC as exhibits to a Schedule 13D that was filed by Hermes on March 30, 2005 and amended on May 16, 2005, and an amendment to a Schedule 13D that was filed by Steven Bettinger on April 8, 2005 and an amendment to a Schedule 13D that was filed by Fredric Mack on March 6, 2006.

[Back to Contents](#)

Other Related Agreements

In consideration for introducing Cenuco to Hermes, the Company and Hermes have paid Tuyen Do an aggregate success fee of \$375,000 and warrants to purchase 500,000 shares of the Company's common stock, at an exercise price of \$6.00 per share, which are immediately exercisable and will expire after five years. The success fee is the sole compensation for Mr. Do's services in connection with the Merger. The companies also agreed to indemnify Mr. Do from any and all losses, damages or claims incurred in connection with the Merger.

Mr. Do previously served as a member of our Board of Directors until his resignation in September 2004.

Interests of Officers and Directors of the Company in the Merger-Related Proposals

When our stockholders consider the Board of Directors' recommendation that our stockholders vote in favor of the proposals relating to Merger or transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement, namely the issuance of common stock upon conversion Series A Preferred Stock and the issuance of common stock to Messrs. Picow and McMillen (Proposals Three and Four), our stockholders should be aware that a number of our officers and directors may have interests in the proposals that may be different from, or in addition to, theirs. See *Executive Compensation Employment and Indemnification Agreements* and the descriptions of Proposals Three and Four.

Accounting Treatment of the Merger

For financial reporting purposes, the Merger was treated as a recapitalization of Hermes followed by the reverse acquisition of Cenuco by Hermes for a purchase price equivalent to the total market value of Cenuco stock outstanding prior to the Merger, plus the fair value of the options that automatically vested on the date of the Merger (approximately \$45.3 million). Consistent with the accounting and presentation for reverse acquisitions, the historical financial statements of the Company prior to the date of the Merger reflect the financial position and results of operations of Hermes, with the results of operations of Cenuco being included commencing on May 20, 2005. Effective with the completion of the Merger, the Company changed its fiscal year end to be the last day of February, consistent with Hermes' prior fiscal year.

Certain Federal Income Tax Consequences

The Merger is intended to qualify as a tax-free reorganization for the Company and its stockholders. We do not anticipate that the Merger will have any material tax implication to the Company.

No Appraisal Rights

Under Delaware law, stockholders were not entitled to the opportunity to dissent from the actions described in this proxy statement or to receive an agreed or judicially appraised value for their shares.

Management of the Combined Company

As a result of the Merger, certain of our officers resigned from their positions prior to the Merger, but retained their positions as officers of the Company's Florida subsidiary, Cenuco Wireless, through which the Company is engaged in the wireless data products and technology business.

In addition, the following persons are now principal members of our management team:

Joseph A. Falsetti, 50 - President & Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Falsetti has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since June 13, 2003. Mr. Falsetti has an extensive entrepreneurial background, having established several consumer product companies. He was the founder and former Chairman and CEO of RomTech, a NASDAQ-listed consumer product entertainment company, which grew to become the highest volume distributor/publisher of value software in mass merchant retail, initiating value branding within the category. He also founded and was President and CEO of Galaxy Software, where he developed several leading brands including Picture It , which was sold to Microsoft Corporation and remains Microsoft s leading consumer application within the category. Prior to founding these companies, Mr. Falsetti worked for Unisys Corporation, rising to the position of Director of Desktop PCs, responsible for operations of the personal computing hardware, peripherals and related software applications with \$200 million in annual sales. He received his BSME and BSEE degrees from The College Of New Jersey.

[Back to Contents](#)

William C. Acheson, 56 - Executive Vice President, Global Sales. Mr. Acheson has served as Vice President, Global Sales of the Company since June 13, 2003. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Acheson was Vice President of Business Development for eGames, joining the company in January 1997. Previous to joining eGames he served as Senior Vice President, Mass Cosmetics Division at Revlon, Inc. where he was responsible for the development and effectiveness of all Revlon and Almay marketing programs, leading to achievement of an annual sales volume plan in excess of \$700 million. Mr. Acheson joined Revlon in 1982 as a National Account Manager and he distinguished himself by achieving increasing positions of responsibility during his tenure at Revlon. From 1976 to 1982, Mr. Acheson served as a Key Account Manager for McNeil Consumer Products Company. From 1973 to 1976, Mr. Acheson held sales management positions at Cash Register Service Company and Proctor and Gamble. He holds a Bachelor of Arts degree from Valparaiso University in Valparaiso, Indiana with a major in political science and completed an Overseas Study Program in Cambridge, England.

Franco S. Pettinato, 41 - Senior Vice President, Operations. Mr. Pettinato has served as Senior Vice President of Operations for the Company since June 13, 2003. He has been a Senior Executive, consultant and entrepreneur in diverse industries for over 18 years and brings to the Company wide experience in management, information technology, operations and business process. Previously, he served as Vice President of Delivery at Vis.align LLC, leading operations for the \$60 million, northeast based IT consulting company that provides application development, infrastructure and outsourced managed services. He was co-founder and President of The Standing Stone Group L.L.C., an Internet engineering company that Vis.align acquired in October 2000. Prior to starting Standing Stone, Mr. Pettinato served as an associate partner and regional director at the Internet consulting company March First (formally USweb). Mr. Pettinato has held various management, sales and engineering roles throughout his career. He led the sales effort at GE Information Services, responsible for growing revenue from the commercial use of GE's private international EDI data network. He held several sales and management roles at Lucent Technologies and at AT&T, including research and development positions at the AT&T Bell Laboratories and manufacturing management positions at AT&T Microelectronics, manufacturing AT&T products. He holds a BS in Mechanical Engineering from Temple University and an MBA in International Business from Saint Joseph's University.

R. Elizabeth Houlihan, 47 - Vice President, Marketing & Product Development. Ms. Houlihan has served as Vice President, Marketing and Product Development of the Company since December 5, 2005. Her experience includes marketing, general management and sales work on more than 30 brands in over three dozen categories in the personal and skin care, household products, over-the-counter medicines, veterinary pharmaceuticals, industrial chemicals, agricultural products and e-business segments. Ms. Houlihan has over 15 years of experience in the personal products sector. Ms. Houlihan previously held senior marketing positions in Church & Dwight, which included responsibility for New Product Development, and in a privately owned \$800 million global direct marketing company concentrating in nutritional supplements, laundry and household, and personal care products. Prior to beginning her marketing career, Ms. Houlihan spent seven years in agricultural sales management roles with increasing responsibility with Monsanto, Stauffer Chemical, and Molecular Genetics. Ms. Houlihan holds a Master of Brand Management from the University of Georgia's Terry School of Business.

John D. Wille, 51 - Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Wille has served as Chief Financial Officer of the Company since August 21, 2006. Prior to his appointment, Mr. Wille served for more than five years as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Russ Berrie & Company (NYSE: RUS), a leading designer, manufacturer and wholesale distributor of gift and infant/juvenile products, where his responsibilities also included corporate strategy, acquisitions, investor relations and corporate governance.

Andrew W. Sheldrick, 51 - Vice President and General Counsel. Mr. Sheldrick served as external counsel to the Company prior to his recent appointment as General Counsel. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Sheldrick spent more than 25 years in private practice, specializing primarily in corporate transactional and tax law, and representing public and private-sector companies in a diverse range of business sectors. Mr. Sheldrick is a graduate of Cambridge

University, England and holds a Masters in Comparative Law from the George Washington University, Washington DC. He is admitted to practice in New York and in England and Wales.

Changes to the Board of Directors

The completion of the Merger was further conditioned upon Steven Bettinger, Andrew Lockwood and Jack Phelan resigning from of our Board of Directors on the closing date under the Merger Agreement with Robert Picow as the sole remaining director. In accordance with the Merger Agreement, Hermes designated four persons, Kenneth D. Taylor, Edward J. Doyle, Joseph A. Falsetti and Francis Zeigler, and such designees were elected to our Board of Directors.

[Back to Contents](#)

Kenneth D. Taylor, 72 - Independent Director. Mr. Taylor has served as Chairman of Taylor & Ryan, Inc. since 1991. Prior to joining Taylor & Ryan, Inc., Mr. Taylor served as a diplomat in the Canadian Foreign Service and resigned from that post in 1984. Mr. Taylor presently also serves as a director of Hydro One, Devine Entertainment Corp. and SkyLink Aviations, Ltd., all of which are located in Toronto, Canada.

Edward J. Doyle, 63 - Independent Director; Chairman, Audit Committee. Mr. Doyle has served as the sole proprietor of Zephyr Ventures LLC, a business consulting firm, since 2003 and managing director of The Hermes Group LLC since 2002. From 1999 to 2002, Mr. Doyle served as managing principal of Hamilton Capital Group LLC. From 1981 until 1996, he served as Divisional Vice President of Mars Incorporated.

Francis Ziegler, 66 - Independent Director; Chairman, Compensation Committee. Mr. Ziegler retired in April 2004 from his position as President and Chief Executive Officer of Claneil Enterprises, Inc., a privately owned holding company that was a prior owner of Lander. He joined Claneil in 1993 after thirty years as an operations and marketing executive with Johnson & Johnson. During his career with Johnson & Johnson, he served as President of five subsidiary companies. Mr. Ziegler presently also serves as a director of S&H Green Points, Inc. and Rinaldi Enterprises.

PROPOSAL THREE:

APPROVAL OF THE ISSUANCE OF UP TO 28,056,510 SHARES OF COMMON STOCK

ISSUABLE UPON CONVERSION OF THE SERIES A PREFERRED STOCK OR OTHERWISE IN CONNECTION WITH THE MERGER

General

Our common stock is listed on the American Stock Exchange. The American Stock Exchange has established rules of corporate governance that must be followed by all issuers whose securities are listed on the American Stock Exchange. Under these rules, we are required to obtain stockholder approval prior to listing any additional shares to be issued as sole or partial consideration for an acquisition of the stock or assets of another company where the present or potential issuance of our common stock, or securities convertible into our common stock, could result in an increase in our outstanding common stock of 20% or more. In applying these rules, the American Stock Exchange considers all relevant factors, including the proportionate amount of the securities to be issued, changes in ownership or management, the size of the companies and the nature of the businesses. In addition, the rules of the American Stock Exchange require stockholder approval as a prerequisite to approval of applications to list additional shares to be issued in connection with any plan of acquisition, merger or consolidation, the net effect of which is that a listed company is acquired by an unlisted company even though the listed company is the nominal survivor in the transaction.

Stockholder Approval Required

Under the Merger Agreement, we issued to the Hermes Members shares of Series A Preferred Stock that had voting power representing 65% of the votes that may be cast by the holders of our capital stock outstanding immediately following the Merger. On August 2, 2006 in connection with the Financing Transaction, an aggregate of 205.9 shares of the Series A Preferred Stock were redeemed so that, as of the record date, the outstanding shares of Series A Preferred Stock have voting power representing approximately 63% of the votes that may be cast by the holders of our outstanding capital stock. As of the record date, there were 13,944,056 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding. If our stockholders adopt and approve this Proposal Three, we will issue 23,756,906 shares of our common stock, subject to adjustment in the event of the exercise of options or warrants outstanding as of the date of

the Merger, to the Hermes Members in exchange for the Series A Preferred Stock they currently hold, which will represent approximately 63% of the outstanding shares of our common stock. Accordingly, if this proposal is approved, we would then have 37,700,962 shares of our common stock outstanding, which would represent an increase of approximately 270% of our currently outstanding shares of common stock. If all options and warrants that were outstanding as of the date of the Merger were exercised, we would issue an aggregate of 28,056,510 shares of our common stock to the Hermes Members.

[Back to Contents](#)

Because the issuance of shares of our common stock in connection with the Merger will exceed 20% of our currently outstanding shares, and because the Merger may be deemed to be the acquisition of a listed company (Cenuco, Inc.) by an unlisted company (Hermes), we are required to seek stockholder approval of the issuance of our common stock before we can issue those shares. Accordingly, we are requesting that our stockholders approve the issuance by the Company of up to 28,056,510 shares of our common stock, representing (on a fully diluted basis, excluding warrants to acquire 500,000 shares of our common stock issued subsequent to the Merger and the warrants and convertible note issued in connection with the Financing Transaction) approximately 63% of the shares of our common stock to be outstanding after the issuance, in accordance with the terms of the Merger Agreement.

If Proposal Three is not approved by the stockholders of the Company, then the shares of Series A Preferred Stock that were issued in the Merger would not be converted into shares of our common stock and the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock would not have the ability to sell the shares that they acquired in the Merger in the public markets.

Dilutive Effect of Additional Common Stock

Any future issuance of our capital stock could have a dilutive effect on earnings per share and on stockholders' equity and voting rights. Generally, the American Stock Exchange limits the amount of shares we can issue without stockholder approval in transactions other than public offerings. However, through public or private offerings, our Board of Directors would have the ability to issue shares of our common stock authorized under our Restated Certificate of Incorporation, which could have a further dilutive effect.

Furthermore, future sales of substantial amounts of our common stock, or the perception that these sales might occur, could adversely affect the prevailing market price of our common stock or limit our ability to raise additional capital.

The Board of Directors recommends voting **FOR** the issuance of up to 28,056,510 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock or otherwise in connection with the Merger.

PROPOSAL FOUR:

APPROVAL OF THE ISSUANCE OF AN AGGREGATE OF 34,000 SHARES OF COMMON STOCK TO MESSRS. PICOW AND MCMILLEN

Section 711 of the American Stock Exchange Company Guide requires stockholder approval with respect to the establishment of (or material amendment to) a stock option or purchase plan or other equity compensation arrangement pursuant to which options or stock may be acquired by officers, directors, employees, or consultants, regardless of whether or not such authorization is required by law or by the Company's charter. On July 23, 2005, Cenuco's Board of Directors approved the issuance of 17,000 shares of our common stock to each of Robert Picow, former Chairman of the Board of Directors of Cenuco, and Doug McMillen, Director of Business Development and Marketing for Cenuco, as compensation for their work toward developing Cenuco as an organization. Because the issuances of stock to Messrs. Picow and McMillen are part of an equity compensation arrangement, we are required to obtain stockholder approval before we can issue those shares. No other directors, executive officers or employees received equity awards in connection with the grants to these two individuals. The benefit that will be received by each of Messrs. Picow and McMillen upon issuance of the shares will depend on the closing price of our common stock on that date. If the shares had been issued on the last day of our latest fiscal year, February 28, 2006, the dollar value of those shares to each of Messrs. Picow and McMillen would have been approximately \$56,780.

The Board of Directors recommends voting **FOR** the issuance of an aggregate of 34,000 shares of our common stock to Messrs. Picow and McMillen.

PROPOSAL FIVE:

APPROVAL OF THE ADOPTION OF THE COMPANY'S 2006 STOCK INCENTIVE PLAN

At a meeting held on November 2, 2006, our Board of Directors adopted, and now recommends for approval by the stockholders of the Company, the Ascendia Brands 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2006 Plan"). The Company's current equity incentive plan, the 2000 Performance Equity Plan, was adopted by the Company prior to the consummation of the merger with Hermes and the Company ceased issuing equity awards under that plan as of the effective date of the merger. The Board of Directors believes that it is in the best interests of the Company to have available an equity incentive plan for use as part of its compensation strategy.

[Back to Contents](#)

The 2006 Plan is designed to provide persons on whose initiative and efforts the successful conduct of the business of the Company depends, and who are responsible for the management, growth and protection of the business of the Company, with incentives to: (a) enter into and remain in the service of the Company; (b) acquire a proprietary interest in the success of the Company; (c) maximize their individual performance; and (d) directly or indirectly enhance the long-term performance of the Company. Participants in the 2006 Plan may be granted stock options, stock appreciation rights or restricted stock, or any combination thereof.

Section 711 of the American Stock Exchange Company Guide requires stockholder approval with respect to the establishment of (or material amendment to) a stock option or purchase plan or other equity compensation arrangement pursuant to which options or stock may be acquired by officers, directors, employees, or consultants, regardless of whether or not such authorization is required by law or by the Company's charter. The 2006 Plan was adopted subject to stockholder approval, and all awards under the 2006 Plan prior to such stockholder approval are subject in their entirety to such approval. If the proposal to approve the adoption of the 2006 Plan (Proposal Five) is not approved by the Company's stockholders prior to the first anniversary of the date the plan was adopted by the Board of Directors, then the 2006 Plan and all awards thereunder will terminate on that anniversary date. If the 2006 Plan is approved, and awards are granted under the 2006 Plan, it may have a dilutive effect on the Company's stockholders and will impact the Company's net income and stockholders' equity, although the actual results cannot be determined until the 2006 Plan is implemented.

The Board of Directors recommends voting **FOR** the adoption of the Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan.

A summary of the 2006 Plan is set forth below. This summary is, however, qualified by and subject to the more complete information set forth in the 2006 Plan, a copy of which is attached to this proxy statement as Annex C.

Administration of the Plan

The 2006 Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors or such other committee or subcommittee as our Board of Directors may designate or as shall be formed by the abstention or recusal of a non-qualified member of such committee (the Committee). The members of the Committee will be appointed by, and serve at the pleasure of, our Board of Directors. The Committee will consist solely of qualified members (as defined below), the number of whom shall not be less than two. A qualified member is both a non-employee director within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and an outside director within the meaning of section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). If the Committee does not exist, or for any other reason determined by our Board of Directors, the Board of Directors may take any action under the 2006 Plan that would otherwise be the responsibility of the Committee.

The Committee has the authority to (i) exercise all of the powers granted to it under the 2006 Plan, (ii) construe, interpret and implement the 2006 Plan and any award certificates issued under the 2006 Plan, (iii) prescribe, amend and rescind rules and regulations relating to the 2006 Plan, including rules governing its own operations, (iv) make all determinations necessary or advisable in administering the 2006 Plan, (v) correct any defect, supply any omission and reconcile any inconsistency in the 2006 Plan, and (vi) amend the 2006 Plan to reflect changes in applicable law.

The Committee (or the Board of Directors acting instead of the Committee) may delegate to one or more officers of the Company the authority to designate the individuals (other than such officer(s)), among those eligible to receive awards pursuant to the terms of the 2006 Plan, who will receive rights or options under the 2006 Plan and the size of each such grant, to the fullest extent permitted by Section 157 of the Delaware General Corporation Law (or any successor provision thereto), provided that the Committee shall itself grant awards to those individuals who could reasonably be considered to be subject to the insider trading provisions of Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or whose awards could reasonably be expected to be subject to the deduction limitations of Section 162(m) of

the Code. The determination of the Committee on all matters relating to the 2006 Plan or any award under the 2006 Plan are final, binding and conclusive.

[Back to Contents](#)

Persons Eligible for Awards

The persons eligible to receive awards under the 2006 Plan are those officers and executive, managerial, professional or administrative employees of the Company as the Committee in its sole discretion shall select. Any person receiving an award under the 2006 Plan is referred to herein as a grantee.

Shares Available for Awards; Limits on Awards

The total number of shares of the Company's common stock with respect to which awards may be granted pursuant to the 2006 Plan will not exceed ten million (10,000,000) shares in the aggregate, which represents approximately 72% of the total outstanding shares (approximately 21% of the voting power of outstanding shares of common stock if Proposal Three is approved at the Meeting so that the Series A Preferred Stock is converted into common stock) as of October 25, 2006, the record date of the Meeting. Shares issued pursuant to the 2006 Plan may be authorized but unissued common stock, authorized and issued common stock held in the Company's treasury or common stock acquired by the Company for the purposes of the 2006 Plan. The following shares of common stock shall again become available for awards under the 2006 Plan: (i) any shares that are subject to an award under the 2006 Plan and that remain unissued upon the cancellation or termination of such award for any reason whatsoever, and (ii) any shares of restricted stock forfeited pursuant to the terms of the 2006 Plan or the award, provided that any dividends paid on such shares are also forfeited. The aggregate number of shares available for issuance under the 2006 Plan, or pursuant to outstanding awards, is subject to adjustment in the event of certain changes in our common stock or other corporate events.

Generally, there is no limit on the number or value of shares available for awards under the 2006 Plan with respect to which the Committee may make awards to any one eligible person. However, the total number of shares of common stock which may be subject to one or more options or stock appreciation rights granted to any one employee of the Company or a subsidiary during any one calendar year may not exceed one million (1,000,000) shares. Stock options and stock appreciation rights granted and subsequently canceled or deemed to be canceled in a calendar year shall count against this limit even after their cancellation.

Types of Awards Under the Plan

Awards may be made under the 2006 Plan in the form of (a) incentive stock options, (b) non-qualified stock options, (c) stock appreciation rights, and (d) restricted stock. The term "award" means any of the foregoing. No incentive stock option may be granted to a person who is not an employee of the Company on the date of grant. Each award granted under the 2006 Plan will be evidenced by a written certificate (an "award certificate"), which shall contain such provisions as the Committee may in its sole discretion deem necessary or desirable.

Stock Options

The Committee may grant to eligible grantees incentive stock options and non-qualified stock options (collectively, "options") to purchase shares of common stock from the Company, and in such amounts and subject to such vesting and forfeiture provisions and other terms and conditions, as the Committee shall determine in its sole discretion, subject to the provisions of the 2006 Plan.

Stock Appreciation Rights

The Committee may also grant stock appreciation rights to eligible grantees, and in such amounts and subject to such vesting and forfeiture provisions and other terms and conditions, as the Committee shall determine in its sole discretion, subject to the provisions of the 2006 Plan. The terms of a stock appreciation right may provide that it shall

be automatically exercised for a cash payment upon the happening of a specified event that is outside the control of the grantee and that it shall not be otherwise exercisable. Stock appreciation rights may be granted in connection with all or any part of, or independently of, any option granted under the 2006 Plan. A stock appreciation right granted in connection with a non-qualified stock option may be granted at or after the time of grant of such option. A stock appreciation right granted in connection with an incentive stock option may be granted only at the time of grant of such option.

The grantee of a stock appreciation right shall have the right, subject to the terms of the 2006 Plan and the applicable award certificate, to receive from the Company an amount equal to (i) the excess of the fair market value (based on the closing price of the common stock on the applicable trading market) of a share of common stock on the date of exercise of the stock appreciation right over the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date of grant (or over the option exercise price if the stock appreciation right is granted in connection with an option), multiplied by (ii) the number of shares with respect to which the stock appreciation right is exercised. Payment upon exercise of a stock appreciation right shall be in cash or in shares of common stock (valued at their fair market value on the date of exercise of the stock appreciation right) or both, all as the Committee shall determine in its sole discretion. Upon the exercise of a stock appreciation right granted in connection with an option, the number of shares subject to the option shall be reduced by the number of shares with respect to which the stock appreciation right is exercised. Upon the exercise of an option in connection with which a stock appreciation right has been granted, the number of shares subject to the stock appreciation right shall be reduced by the number of shares with respect to which the option is exercised.

[Back to Contents](#)

Restricted Stock

Finally, the Committee may grant restricted shares of common stock to eligible grantees, in such amounts, and subject to such vesting and forfeiture provisions and other terms and conditions as the Committee shall determine in its sole discretion, subject to the provisions of the 2006 Plan. The vesting requirements may be based on the continued service of the grantee with the Company for a specified time period or on the attainment of specified performance goals established by the Committee in its discretion. Restricted stock awards may be made independently of or in connection with any other award under the 2006 Plan.

Upon the issuance of a stock certificate(s) for the shares of common stock covered by the award, or the establishment of an account evidencing ownership of the stock in uncertificated form, a grantee shall have the rights of a stockholder with respect to the restricted stock awarded, subject to: (i) the non-transferability restrictions and forfeiture provision described below; (ii) in the Committee's discretion, a requirement that any dividends paid on such shares be held in escrow until all restrictions on such shares have lapsed; and (iii) any other restrictions and conditions contained in the applicable award certificate.

Exercise of Options and Stock Appreciation Rights

Each award certificate with respect to an option shall set forth the amount (the option exercise price) payable by the grantee to the Company upon exercise of the option evidenced thereby. The option exercise price per share shall be determined by the Committee in its sole discretion; provided, however, that the option exercise price shall be at least one hundred percent (100%) of the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date the option is granted, and provided further that in no event will the option exercise price be less than the par value of a share of common stock. Each award certificate with respect to an option or stock appreciation right will set forth the periods during which the award evidenced thereby shall be exercisable, whether in whole or in part. Such periods shall be determined by the Committee in its sole discretion, except that no stock option (or a stock appreciation right granted in connection with an incentive stock option) shall be exercisable more than ten (10) years after the date of grant. Unless the applicable award certificate otherwise provides, an option or stock appreciation right shall vest in four equal installments over the first four years following grant, and once an installment becomes exercisable, it shall remain exercisable until the earlier of (A) the tenth anniversary of the date of grant of the award or (B) the expiration, cancellation or termination of the award. No grantee of an option or stock appreciation right (or other person having the right to exercise such award) shall have any of the rights of a stockholder of the Company with respect to shares subject to such award until the issuance of a stock certificate to such person for such shares or the establishment of an account evidencing ownership of the stock in uncertificated form.

Limitations regarding Incentive Stock Options

To the extent that the aggregate fair market value (determined as of the time the option is granted) of the stock with respect to which incentive stock options are first exercisable by any employee during any calendar year exceeds one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000), such options shall be treated as non-qualified stock options. An incentive stock option may not be granted under the 2006 Plan to an individual who, at the time the option is granted, owns stock possessing more than ten percent (10%) of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock of his or her employer or of its parent or subsidiary (as such ownership may be determined for purposes of Section 422(b)(6) of the Code), unless: (i) at the time such incentive stock option is granted the option exercise price is at least one hundred ten percent (110%) of the fair market value of the shares subject thereto and (ii) the incentive stock option by its terms is not exercisable after the expiration of five (5) years from the date it is granted.

[Back to Contents](#)

Compensation in Lieu of Exercise of an Option

Upon written application of the grantee of an option, the Committee may in its sole discretion determine to substitute, for the exercise of such option, compensation to the grantee not in excess of the difference between the option exercise price and the fair market value of the shares covered by such written application on the date of such application. Such compensation shall be in shares of common stock, and the payment thereof may be subject to conditions, all as the Committee shall determine in its sole discretion. In the event compensation is substituted for the exercise, in whole or in part, of an option, the number of shares subject to the option shall be reduced by the number of shares for which such compensation is substituted.

Transferability of Awards

Except as otherwise provided in the award certificate, during the lifetime of a grantee, each option or stock appreciation right granted to a grantee shall be exercisable only by the grantee and no option or stock appreciation right shall be assignable or transferable otherwise than by will or by the laws of descent and distribution. The Committee may, in any applicable award certificate evidencing an option (other than an incentive stock option to the extent inconsistent with the requirements of Section 422 of the Code applicable to incentive stock options), permit a grantee to transfer all or some of the options to (A) the grantee's spouse, children or grandchildren (immediate family members), (B) a trust or trusts for the exclusive benefit of such immediate family members, or (C) other parties approved by the Committee in its absolute discretion. Following any such transfer, any transferred options shall continue to be subject to the same terms and conditions as were applicable immediately prior to the transfer. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a non-qualified stock option shall be transferable pursuant to a domestic relations order as defined in the Code or Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, or related applicable regulations.

Shares of restricted stock may not be sold, assigned, transferred, pledged or otherwise encumbered or disposed of except as otherwise specifically provided in the 2006 Plan or the applicable award certificate. The Committee at the time of grant will specify the date or dates (which may depend upon or be related to a period of continued employment with the Company, the attainment of performance goals or other conditions or a combination of such conditions) on which the non-transferability of the restricted stock shall lapse.

Termination of Employment

Except as may otherwise be provided by the Committee at any time prior to a grantee's termination of employment, all shares of restricted stock that have not then vested shall be immediately forfeited upon (i) a grantee's retirement or voluntary termination of employment, or (ii) a grantee's dismissal for cause. Upon such forfeiture, all dividends paid on such shares, to the extent such dividends have been set aside in an escrow account, also shall be forfeited. Except as may otherwise be provided by the Committee at any time prior to a grantee's termination of employment, in the event a grantee's employment is terminated (i) by the Company, other than for cause, (ii) by reason of disability, or (iii) by death, all shares of restricted stock that have not vested shall immediately vest as of the termination date.

Occurrence of Corporate Events

The 2006 Plan provides that in the case of certain corporate events, including (1) a dissolution or liquidation of the Company, (2) a sale of all or substantially all of the Company's assets, or (3) a merger or consolidation involving the Company, the Committee, in all such cases, in its absolute discretion, subject to any required action by the stockholders of the Company, will have the power to:

cancel any outstanding options or stock appreciation rights (whether or not then exercisable), and, in full consideration thereof, pay to the grantee an amount in cash equal to the fair value of such option or stock appreciation right; or

provide for the exchange or substitution of any outstanding options or stock appreciation rights (whether or not then exercisable) for an option or stock appreciation right with respect to some or all of the property which a holder of shares of common stock would have received in such event.

In addition, the Committee, in its absolute discretion, may make such other adjustments in the number and class of shares subject to options and stock appreciation rights outstanding, or the per-share exercise price thereof, as the Committee may consider appropriate to prevent dilution or enlargement of rights in connection with any similar change in capitalization or corporate change.

[Back to Contents](#)

Amendment of the Plan

The Board of Directors may from time to time suspend, discontinue, revise or amend the 2006 Plan in any respect whatsoever, except that no such amendment shall materially impair any rights or materially increase any obligations under any award theretofore made under the 2006 Plan without the consent of the grantee (or, upon the grantee's death, the person having the right to exercise the award). Any action of the Board of Directors or the Committee that in any way alters or affects the tax treatment of any award or that in the sole discretion of the Board of Directors is necessary to prevent an award from being subject to tax under Section 409A of the Code shall not be considered to materially impair any rights of any grantee. The Board of Directors will determine, in its sole discretion, whether to submit any amendment of the 2006 Plan to stockholders for approval. In making such determination it is expected that the Board of Directors will take into account the requirements of any exchange on which the common stock of the Company is listed, the prerequisites for favorable tax treatment to the Company and grantees of awards made under the 2006 Plan, and such other considerations as the Board of Directors deems relevant.

Modification of Awards

The Committee may cancel any award under the 2006 Plan. The Committee also may amend any outstanding award certificate, including, without limitation, by amendment that would: (i) accelerate the time or times at which the award becomes unrestricted or vested or may be exercised; (ii) waive or amend any goals, restrictions or conditions set forth in the award certificate; or (iii) waive or amend any applicable provision of the 2006 Plan or award certificate with respect to the termination of the award upon termination of employment; *provided, however*, that no such amendment may lower the exercise price of an outstanding option or stock appreciation right. In general however, any such cancellation or amendment that materially impairs the rights or materially increases the obligations of a grantee under an outstanding award shall be made only with the consent of the grantee (or, upon the grantee's death, the person having the right to exercise the award). Any modification of an award in a manner that would cause the award to be subject to tax under Section 409A of the Code shall be deemed null and void.

Termination of Plan

Unless sooner terminated by the Board of Directors or as a result of the failure to obtain stockholder approval prior to the first anniversary of the adoption of the 2006 Plan, the provisions of the 2006 Plan respecting the grant of any award pursuant to which shares of common stock may be granted shall terminate on the tenth anniversary of the adoption of the 2006 Plan by the Board of Directors, and no such awards shall thereafter be made under the 2006 Plan. All awards made under the 2006 Plan prior to its termination shall remain in effect until such awards have been satisfied or terminated in accordance with the terms and provisions of the 2006 Plan and the applicable award certificates.

Federal Income Tax Matters

The following discussion is intended to summarize briefly the general principles of federal income tax law applicable to awards granted under the 2006 Plan as of the date of this proxy statement. The summary should not be relied upon as being a complete statement of all possible federal income tax consequences. Federal tax laws are complex and subject to change. Participation in the 2006 Plan may also have consequences under state and local tax laws that vary from the federal tax consequences described below.

Incentive Stock Options

For the purposes of the regular income tax currently imposed under the Code, the holder of an incentive stock option will not recognize taxable income upon either the grant or exercise of the incentive stock option.

In general, the option holder will recognize capital gain or loss on a sale or other taxable disposition of the option shares acquired upon exercise of an incentive stock option provided the option holder does not sell or otherwise dispose of the option shares prior to the end of the incentive stock option holding period. The incentive stock option holding period is the period that ends following the later of the date that is two years following the date the incentive stock option was granted or the date that is one year after the option shares were transferred to the option holder upon exercise of the incentive stock option. For purposes of determining such gain or loss, the option holder's basis in such shares will, in general, be the option holder's option price. For purposes of regular federal income tax, the maximum rate of tax applicable to capital gains will depend on the length of time the option shares have been held at the time the option shares are sold or otherwise disposed of. In general, if the option holder holds the option shares throughout the incentive stock option holding period, the Company will not be allowed a deduction by reason of the exercise of the incentive stock option.

[Back to Contents](#)

The amount, if any, by which the fair market value of an option share at the time of exercise (determined without regard to certain transfer restrictions) exceeds the option price (commonly referred to as the spread) will be included in the computation of the option holder's alternative minimum taxable income, generally in the year the option holder exercises the incentive stock option, for purposes of the alternative minimum tax imposed by Section 55 of the Code. If an option holder pays alternative minimum tax, some or all of such tax will be allowed as a credit against regular tax liability in subsequent years in which the option holder's regular tax liability (reduced by certain other tax credits) exceeds his or her tentative minimum tax.

If the option holder disposes of the option shares before satisfying the holding period requirements discussed above (a disqualifying disposition), the gain recognized (which is equal to the excess of the amount realized on disposition over the adjusted basis of the option shares) as a result of the disqualifying disposition will be taxed as ordinary income up to the amount of the spread (determined on the date of exercise) and the Company will be entitled to a deduction in that amount, if such deduction is not otherwise limited by applicable federal tax laws. Any additional gain recognized in excess of the spread will be capital gain, subject to the rules, described above, with respect to the maximum applicable federal income tax rate. The gain (if any) in excess of the amount treated as ordinary income on a disqualifying disposition will be treated as a capital gain, subject to the applicable maximum federal tax rate, as described above, depending upon the option holder's holding period for the option shares.

Certain option holders may be permitted to exercise incentive stock options by transferring to the Company shares of common stock then held by the option holder having a market value equal to the option price of the shares to be purchased. If the option holder acquired the previously held shares by exercise of an incentive stock option and the option holder has not satisfied the holding period requirements described above for the previously held shares, then the transfer will be treated as a disqualifying disposition of the previously held shares by the option holder. If, however, the option holder did not acquire the previously held shares by exercise of an incentive stock option, or if the option holder has satisfied the holding period requirements applicable to incentive stock options, then the option holder will not recognize gain or loss upon the exchange. Instead, the option holder's basis and holding period (for purposes other than the option holding period rules) in the previously held shares will carry over to a corresponding number of option shares received, and the option holder's basis in any additional option shares received will be zero and his or her holding period will begin on the date the option holder becomes the owner of such shares.

If an option granted under the 2006 Plan that is intended to be an incentive stock option fails to qualify as such under applicable rules and regulations of the Code, such option will be subject to the general principles of federal income tax law discussed below with respect to options ineligible for treatment as an incentive stock option.

Non-Qualified Options

For federal income tax purposes, the holder of a non-qualified option will not recognize taxable income at the time of grant, and the Company will not be allowed a deduction by reason of the grant of a non-qualified option. The option holder will in general recognize ordinary income upon exercise of the non-qualified option, in an amount equal to the spread, and the Company will be allowed a deduction in that amount, if such deduction is not otherwise limited by applicable federal tax laws. On a subsequent disposition of the option shares, the tax treatment to the option holder will be the same as if the shares had been purchased for their fair market value on the date the option was exercised. If, for example, a gain or loss is recognized, the amount of the gain or loss and the tax rate will be determined by reference to the fair market value of the shares on the date of exercise and the holding period (measured from the date of exercise).

Certain option holders may be permitted to exercise non-qualified options by transferring to the Company previously held shares of common stock having a market value equal to the option price of the shares to be purchased. In that case, no income will be recognized on the receipt of a corresponding number of option shares, and the option holder's

basis and holding period in the previously held shares will carry over to the corresponding number of option shares received. The option holder will recognize ordinary income on the receipt of any additional option shares that are substantially vested in an amount equal to their fair market value at the time of exercise. The option holder's basis in such additional option shares received will equal the amount includable in the option holder's income and his or her holding period will begin on the date of transfer.

[Back to Contents](#)

Million Dollar Cap Provisions of the Code

Section 162(m) of the Code sets limits on the deductibility of compensation in excess of \$1,000,000 paid by publicly held companies to certain employees (the million dollar cap). The IRS has also issued Treasury Regulations that provide rules for the application of the million dollar cap deduction limitations. Any income recognized as ordinary compensation income on the exercise of an option should be treated as performance-based compensation that is exempt from the deduction limitations under the million dollar cap provided both the plan under which the option is granted and the option grant itself comply with certain rules. The 2006 Plan complies with these applicable rules in form. It is the Company's intention to administer the 2006 Plan in accordance with all applicable requirements under the million dollar cap rules for performance based compensation plans, including having the 2006 Plan administered by a committee of two or more outside directors (as that term is used in the applicable IRS regulations). Under these circumstances, an option with an exercise price at least equal to the fair market value of the shares subject to that option on the date of grant should, on exercise, result in compensation income that is treated as performance-based compensation under the million dollar cap rules. It is expected, therefore, that any compensation expense recognized for tax purposes on the exercise of such an option will be exempt from the million dollar cap. If and to the extent that the Committee determines the Company's federal tax deduction in respect of an award may be limited as a result of Section 162(m) of the Code, the Committee may, among other things, delay the exercise or payment of an option or stock appreciation right, or cancel an award of restricted stock.

Restricted Stock

In general, a recipient of restricted stock will be governed by Section 83 of the Code. Under Section 83 of the Code, if restricted stock is transferred in connection with the performance of services, the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the restricted stock received over the price paid, if any, for the restricted stock, is included in the income of the person performing the services as ordinary income, and the Company will generally be entitled to a corresponding deduction for the same amount. The income (and the Company's corresponding deduction) is generally recognized as of the time the restricted shares of common stock are transferred, or, if later, at the time the restricted stock ceases to be subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture (or become transferable free of such risk of forfeiture). The fair market value of the restricted shares of common stock is generally measured at the time of transfer or, if later, when the substantial risk of forfeiture lapses (or when the restricted stock becomes transferable free of such risk of forfeiture). Notwithstanding the general rule regarding the timing of the recognition of income and the corresponding deduction by the Company with respect to restricted stock that is subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture, a special election can be made by the recipient of such restricted stock under Section 83(b) of the Code that permits the recipient to include in his or her income the excess of the fair market value of the restricted shares of common stock as of the date of transfer, in which case the fair market value is determined without regard to the risk of forfeiture. An individual must make this 83(b) election no later than 30 days after the date of the transfer. If an individual makes this election, there is no income recognition as a result of the later lapse of the substantial risk of forfeiture. While required to recognize income as a result of making an 83(b) election, a grantee who forfeits his or her restricted stock will not be entitled to a tax deduction except to the extent there is an out of pocket loss (*e.g.*, where there was a purchase price paid for the stock and that is not returned to the grantee on forfeiture). On a taxable disposition of the restricted stock, the recipient will recognize long or short term capital gain or loss depending on how long the stock has held and the individual's basis in the restricted stock. The individual's basis will be equal to the amount, if any, paid for the restricted shares of common stock, plus any income required to be recognized on the acquisition (or on the date the substantial risk of forfeiture lapses). The holding period will be measured from the date of transfer (if there is no substantial risk of forfeiture or if an 83(b) election has been properly made), or from the date income was recognized by the recipient of the restricted stock on account of the lapse of the risk of forfeiture. The federal income tax liability attributable to a gain on the sale of restricted stock will be subject to the rules, described above, with respect to the maximum federal income tax rate on such gains, taking into account the holding period applicable to the restricted stock that is sold.

[Back to Contents](#)

Withholding Taxes

Whenever cash is to be paid pursuant to an award under the 2006 Plan, the Company will be entitled to deduct therefrom an amount sufficient in its opinion to satisfy all federal, state and other governmental tax withholding requirements related to such payment. Whenever shares of common stock are to be delivered pursuant to an award under the 2006 Plan, the Company will be entitled to require as a condition of delivery that the grantee remit to the Company an amount sufficient in the opinion of the Company to satisfy all federal, state and other governmental tax withholding requirements related thereto. With the approval of the Committee, which approval shall be at the Committee's sole discretion, the grantee may satisfy the foregoing condition by electing to have the Company withhold from delivery shares having a value equal to the amount of tax to be withheld. Such shares will be valued at their fair market value (based on the closing price of the common stock on the applicable trading market) as of the date on which the amount of tax to be withheld is determined. Such a withholding election may be made with respect to all or any portion of the shares to be delivered pursuant to an award.

New Plan Benefits

Future awards to eligible participants in the 2006 Plan are discretionary. Therefore the benefits that may be received by the Company's executive officers and other employees if the Company's stockholders approve the 2006 Plan cannot be determined at this time. Because the value of stock issuable to the Company's non-employee directors under the 2006 Plan will depend on the fair market value of the Company's common stock at future dates, it is not possible to determine exactly the benefits that might be received by the Company's non-employee directors under the 2006 Plan.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

Beneficial Ownership Table

The following table sets forth certain information as of October 25, 2006, with respect to the beneficial ownership of shares of our common stock and Series A Preferred Stock by: (i) each person known by us to beneficially own more than five percent of the outstanding shares of our common stock or Series A Preferred Stock; (ii) each of our current directors and director nominees; (iii) each of our named executive officers; and (iv) all of our executive officers and directors as a group.

As of October 25, 2006, there were 13,944,056 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding and 2,347,774 shares of our Series A Preferred Stock issued and outstanding. Beneficial ownership has been calculated and presented in accordance with Rule 13d-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Unless otherwise indicated: (i) each stockholder has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares shown; and (ii) the address for the stockholder is c/o Ascendia Brands, Inc., 100 American Metro Boulevard, Suite 108, Hamilton, New Jersey 08619.

[Back to Contents](#)

| Name and Address of Beneficial Owner | Number of Shares of Series A Preferred Stock (1) | Percentage% of Series A Preferred Stock | Number of Shares Common Stock | Percentage% of Common Stock | Percentage% of Voting Power(2) |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Prencen, LLC 623 Fifth Avenue, 32 nd Floor New York, NY 10022 | -0- | -0- | 3,322,482(3) | 23.8% | 8.8% |
| Robert Picow Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC (7) | -0- | -0- | 196,049(5) | 1.41 | * |
| Dana Holdings, LLC (6) | 889.8162 | 37.9% | 3,910,887(4) | 28.1% | 10.4% |
| MarNan, LLC (8) | 778.5889 | 33.2% | -0- | -0- | 23.9% |
| Franco S. Pettinato | 127.6837 | 5.4% | -0- | -0- | 20.9% |
| Edward J. Doyle 316 Perry Cabin Drive St. Michael s, MD 21663 | 127.6837 | 5.4% | -0- | -0- | 3.4% |
| Robert Enck Frederic H. Mack 2115 Linwood Avenue Suite 110 Fort Lee, NJ 07024 (9) | 127.6837 | 5.4% | -0- | -0- | 3.4% |
| River Rock Holdings, LLC c/o Cavalry Portfolio Services, Inc. 7 Skyline Drive Hawthorne, NY 10532 | 53.9525 | 2.3% | 1,155,000 | 8.3% | 4.5% |
| | 63.8400 | 2.7% | -0- | -0- | 1.7% |

| | | | | | |
|---|----------|-------|------------|------|------|
| Paul C. Taylor c/o Taylor, Colicchio & Silverman, LLP 502 Carnegie Center, Suite 103 Princeton, NJ 08540 | 111.2273 | 4.7% | -0- | -0- | 3.0% |
| Kenneth D. Taylor 1775 York Avenue, Apt. 29 H New York, NY 10128 | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| Francis Ziegler 100 Roebling Road Bernardsville, NJ 07924 | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| Brian J. Geiger (10) | 57.4074 | 2.4% | -0- | -0- | 1.5 |
| Joseph A. Falsetti | -0- | -0- | -0-(6) | -0- | -0- |
| John D. Wille | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| William B. Acheson | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| Elizabeth Houlihan | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| All executive officers and directors as a group (8 persons) | 255.3674 | 10.8% | 196,049(5) | 1.41 | 6.8% |

* Less than one percent

- (1) The percentages computed in the table are based on 2,347.7745 shares of Series A Preferred Stock outstanding.
- (2) This column reflects the relative voting power of the holders of the Company's capital stock with respect to matters voted upon by the holders of the Company's common stock and Series A Preferred Stock as a single class. Each share of Series A Preferred Stock is presently entitled to 10,118.9046 votes on all matters, except proposals relating to the merger pursuant to which such shares were issued, submitted to a vote of holders of common stock.

[Back to Contents](#)

- (3) In connection with the Financing Transaction, Prencen Lending, LLC acquired notes and Prencen, LLC acquired warrants that are convertible into or exercisable for shares of common stock of the Company, provided that such securities may not be converted into nor exercised for shares of common stock to the extent that after giving effect to such conversion or exercise the holder would beneficially own in excess of 9.99% of the shares outstanding immediately after giving effect to the exercise or conversion. If the blocker were not in place, the notes, held by Prencen Lending, LLC, would be initially convertible into 52,000,000 shares of common stock and the warrants, held by Prencen, LLC, would be exercisable for 6,053,358 shares of common stock. Prentice Capital Management, L.P. has investment and voting power with respect to the securities held by Prencen, LLC and Prencen Lending, LLC. Mr. Michael Zimmerman controls Prentice Capital Management, L.P. Each of Prentice Capital Management, L.P. and Mr. Zimmerman disclaim beneficial ownership of any of these securities.
- (4) Mr. Bettinger and certain other stockholders have agreed to vote their shares in favor of the proposals relating to the merger. As a result of the such agreements, Hermes may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of 3,817,267 shares of common stock. The voting agreement terminates following the meeting of the stockholders to consider proposals relating to the merger.
- (5) Includes options to purchase 8,334 shares of common stock.
- (6) Joseph A. Falsetti, the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, owns a 50% percentage interest in, and is the sole manager and sole executive officer of, Dana Holdings, LLC. Mr. Falsetti disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares of common stock that are beneficially owned by Dana Holdings, LLC.
- (7) Hermes Acquisition I LLC is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company.
- (8) Mark I. Massad is the sole manager and sole executive officer of MarNan, LLC. Mr. Massad disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares of common stock that are beneficially owned by MarNan, LLC.
- (9) Excludes 115,000 shares of common stock and 4.9456 shares of Series A Preferred Stock owned by the Irrevocable Trust FBO Hailey Mack (the HM Trust), and 115,000 shares of common stock and 4.9456 shares of Series A Preferred Stock owned by the Irrevocable Trust FBO Jason Mack (the JM Trust). As sole trustee, Tami J. Mack, the wife of Mr. Mack, has sole voting power with respect to the shares owned by the HM Trust and JM Trust. Mr. Mack disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares of Series A Preferred Stock and common stock held by the HM Trust and the JM Trust.
- (10) Brian J. Geiger retired as an officer and employee of the Company on August 16, 2006. Prior to his retirement, Mr. Geiger served as Chief Financial Officer of the Company.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires our executive officers and directors and persons who own more than ten percent of our common stock to file reports of beneficial ownership and changes in beneficial ownership of our common stock and any other equity securities with the SEC. Executive officers, directors, and persons who own more than ten percent of our common stock are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

Based solely on our review of the copies of Forms 3, 4, and 5 furnished to us, or written representations from certain reporting persons that no Forms 3, 4, or 5 were required to be filed by such persons, we believe that all of our executive officers, directors, and persons who own more than ten percent of our common stock complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to them during the fiscal year ended February 28, 2006, except that Dana Holdings, Mar Nan, Edward Doyle, Francis Ziegler, Brian Geiger, Joseph Falsetti and Kenneth Taylor filed Form 3s on May 26, 2006 in connection with the completion of the Merger that occurred on May 20, 2006.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS OF ASCENDIA BRANDS, INC.

You should read the following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations in conjunction with the section entitled Selected Historical Combined and/or Consolidated Financial Data of Ascendia Brands, Inc. and our audited and unaudited financial statements and the respective related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus. This discussion may contain forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. As a result of many factors, such as those set forth under the sections entitled Risk Factors and Cautionary Statement Concerning Forward-Looking Statements and elsewhere in this proxy statement, our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements.

[Back to Contents](#)

Executive Summary

On May 20, 2005, Hermes Holding Company, Inc., a newly formed wholly owned subsidiary of Cenuco, Inc., merged with Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC (Hermes), referred to in this proxy statement as the Merger. As a consequence of the Merger, Hermes, together with its wholly owned subsidiaries Lander, Ascendia Real Estate (then known as Hermes Real Estate I LLC) and Lander Canada became wholly owned subsidiaries of Cenuco, Inc. On May 9, 2006, we changed our name from Cenuco, Inc. to Ascendia Brands, Inc.

For accounting purposes, Hermes is considered the acquirer in a reverse acquisition transaction and consequently the Merger has been treated as a recapitalization of Hermes followed by the reverse acquisition of Cenuco, Inc. by Hermes. Thus, Hermes's financial statements are the historical financial statements of the post-merger entity and the results of operations of Cenuco, Inc. have been included commencing on the date of the Merger.

Since the date of the Merger, we have been organized around two operating divisions, namely health and beauty care (conducted through Hermes and its subsidiaries), and wireless applications development (conducted through Cenuco Wireless).

On November 16, 2005, we, through our subsidiaries, Lander and Lander Intangibles, acquired certain brands and brand-related assets from Playtex. The brands included *Baby Magic*, *Binaca*, *Mr. Bubble*, *Lander*, *Lander essentials*, *Ogilvie*, *Tek*, *Dentax*, *Dorothy Gray* and *Tussy*.

Health and Beauty Care Business

Our health and beauty care division is headquartered in Hamilton, New Jersey, and we operate two facilities that contain both manufacturing and distribution centers. These facilities are located in Binghamton, New York (owned) and Toronto, Ontario (leased).

Through our Ascendia Brands subsidiary, we manufacture, market and distribute: (i) bath products under the *Lander*, *Lander essentials*, and *Mr. Bubble* brand names, (ii) baby toiletries under the *Baby Magic* and *Lander* brand names, (iii) deodorant and antiperspirant products under the *Tussy* and *Lander* brand names, (iv) home permanent treatments under the *Ogilvie* brand name, (v) mouthwash products under the *Lander* brand name, (vi) portable breath sprays and drops under the *Binaca* brand name and (vii) manual toothbrushes under the *Tek* brand name, as well as other health and beauty care products within the personal care category in the United States. In addition, Ascendia Brands markets and distributes approximately \$9 million of products exported annually to consumers in 90 other countries throughout the world.

Through our Lander Canada subsidiary, we produce private label brands for a limited number of large Canadian retail chains.

Prior to the acquisition of the former Playtex brands, we distributed on an annual basis, more than 82 million units of health and beauty products (primarily liquid fill bath care, baby care, and skin care products) in North America, and another nine million internationally. Subsequent to the acquisition, we estimate that we will distribute an additional 40 million units annually. This increases our total annual units to an estimated 131 million on a global basis.

The health and beauty care brand portfolio grew through the acquisition of the Playtex brands, which at the time of acquisition were considered non-core by Playtex and did not benefit from the focus of senior level management or strong marketing and sales support. After acquiring a brand, our focus is to increase its sales, market share and distribution in both existing and new channels. We expect that this growth will be driven by new marketing and sales strategies, improved packaging and formulations, innovative new products and line extensions.

Wireless Applications Development Business

Our wireless applications development division is based in Boca Raton, Florida.

[Back to Contents](#)

Through our Cenuco Wireless subsidiary, we are engaged in the wireless application technology business, primarily related to the transmission of secure and non-secured video onto cellular platforms via proprietary technologies. This is also known as remote video monitoring via cellular device. In this wireless segment, we provide cellular carriers, Internet Service Providers, resellers, and distributors a host of wireless video streaming products that can generate an increase in subscribers of wireless data services, as well as broadband Internet services.

Revenue and expense for the wireless application development division reflects activity from the date of the Merger (May 20, 2005) to February 28, 2006. Prior to the Merger, the wireless application development division's financial information and other pertinent information is disclosed in Cenuco Inc.'s public filings prior to the Merger.

Thirteen Weeks Ended August 26, 2006 Compared to the Thirteen Weeks Ended August 27, 2005

Revenues

Consolidated net revenues for the thirteen weeks ended August 26, 2006 increased \$7.6 million (44.9%) when compared to net revenues for the thirteen weeks ended August 27, 2005. This quarter's volume was favorably impacted by the Company's acquisition of the former Playtex brands, which resulted in an additional \$9.5 million in revenue this quarter, compared to the prior year.

U.S. revenues from the core Lander branded products decreased during the quarter by \$1.5 million, primarily related to the strategic shift to premium value higher margin products and the planned decline of extreme value products. Sales of Lander premium value products, which include Lander Kids 1.5 liter bubble bath and Lander Essential Bath Products, increased by \$1.4 million. In addition, net revenues of extreme value products (*i.e.* those retailing for \$1.00) and private label products decreased by \$2.9 million versus the prior year, reflecting the Company's strategic shift to enhanced value, higher margin products.

Net sales derived from Lander Canada decreased by \$0.4 million (10.1%) this quarter versus the same period last year. There was a decrease in extreme value products of \$0.7 million, consistent with the trends previously discussed for the U.S. market. This decrease was partially offset by a positive impact from exchange rate gains of \$0.3 million.

Net sales for our wireless applications development division are not material for the thirteen weeks ended August 26, 2006 and August 27, 2005.

Gross Profit

Consolidated gross profit increased to \$4.5 million for the thirteen weeks ended August 26, 2006 compared to \$1.2 million for the thirteen weeks ended August 27, 2005. The current quarter was favorably impacted by the Company's acquisition of the former Playtex brands that contributed \$3.1 million to gross profit. The current quarter was impacted by an additional \$.7 million inventory obsolescence reserve established against the acquired Playtex products. The previously described mix shift to higher margin products more than offset higher material costs compared to the prior year.

Gross profit for our wireless applications development division was not material for the thirteen weeks ended August 26, 2006 and August 27, 2005.

Selling, General And Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$5.8 million for the thirteen weeks ended August 26, 2006 compared to \$3.5 million for the thirteen weeks ended August 27, 2005. The increase of \$2.3 million is mainly

attributable to factors associated with company's acquisition of the Playtex brands in November 2005 and the Merger on May 20, 2005. The Playtex asset acquisition accounted for \$1.5 million of the increase specifically, \$0.7 million relating to the amortization of intangibles assets, \$0.6 million in increased sales and marketing expenses and \$0.2 million for additional patent and registration costs. The balance of the increase of \$0.8 million primarily related to incremental salary, benefits and professional fees associated with being a public entity. Operating expenses in our wireless applications development division remained unchanged as compared to the prior year.

[Back to Contents](#)***Other Income (Expense)***

Interest expense on funded debt was \$3.0 million for the thirteen weeks ended August 26, 2006 compared to \$0.3 million for the thirteen weeks ended August 27, 2005. The increase of \$2.7 million over the thirteen weeks ended August 27, 2005 is primarily due to both the \$80 million Bridge Loan used to fund the Playtex asset acquisition and the senior secured convertible notes totaling \$91.0 million issued on August 2, 2006 (see elsewhere in this proxy statement under the heading "Liquidity and Capital Resources"). \$84.1 million of gross proceeds from the senior secured convertible notes were used to pay down the Bridge Loan plus accrued interest.

The issuance of the senior secured convertible notes on August 2, 2006, introduced accounting for a compound derivative liability, amortization of debt discount and finance fees as further described in the footnotes to the audited consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere herein. As summarized below this resulted in net interest income of \$0.8 million.

Interest (income) expense consists of the following:

| | <u>(\$000 s)</u> |
|--|---|
| | Thirteen weeks ended August 26, 2006 |
| | <hr/> |
| Interest on debt | \$ 3,118 |
| Loss on issuance of debt | 6,872 |
| Amortization of debt discount and finance fees | 390 |
| Gain on revaluation of compound derivative liability | (11,202) |
| | <hr/> |
| Total interest income | \$ (822) |
| | <hr/> |

Twenty-Six Weeks Ended August 26, 2006 Compared To The Twenty-Six Weeks Ended August 27, 2005***Revenues***

Consolidated net revenues for the twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006 (also referred to herein as "this half") increased \$15.1 million (44.3%) when compared to net revenues for the twenty-six weeks ended August 27, 2005. This half's volume was favorably impacted by the Company's acquisition of the former Playtex brands, which resulted in an additional \$18.9 million in revenue this half, compared to the prior year.

U.S. revenues from the core Lander branded products decreased during this half by \$3.0 million, primarily related to the strategic shift to premium value higher margin products and the planned decline of extreme value products. Sales of Lander premium value products, which include Lander Kids 1.5 liter bubble bath and Lander Essential Bath Products, increased by \$1.8 million, partially offset by a decrease of \$1.0 million in Lander 64 ounce Adult Bubble Bath attributable to a prior year promotion at a major retailer. In addition, net revenues of extreme value products (*i.e.* those retailing for \$1.00) and private label products decreased by \$4.8 million versus the prior year, reflecting the Company's strategic shift to enhanced value, higher margin products.

Net sales derived from Lander Canada decreased by \$0.8 million (10.4%) this half versus the same period last year. There was a decrease in extreme value products of \$1.4 million, consistent with the trends previously discussed for the

U.S. market. This decrease was partially offset by a positive impact from exchange rate gains of \$0.6 million.

Net sales for the Wireless Applications Development division are not material for the twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006 and August 27, 2005.

Gross Profit

Consolidated gross profit increased to \$9.2 million for the twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006 compared to \$2.3 million for the twenty-six weeks ended August 27, 2005. The current year was favorably impacted by the Company's acquisition of the former Playtex brands that contributed \$7.2 million to gross profit. The current year was impacted by the additional \$.7 million inventory obsolescence reserve established against acquired Playtex products. The strategic shift to higher margin products partially offset increased material costs and decreased factory utilization compared to the prior year.

71

[Back to Contents](#)

Gross profit for our wireless applications development division was not material for the twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006 and August 27, 2005.

Selling, General And Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$10.6 million for the twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006 compared to \$6.2 million for the twenty-six weeks ended August 27, 2005. The increase of \$4.4 is mainly attributable to factors associated with the acquisition of the Playtex brands in November 2005 and the Merger on May 2005. The Playtex asset acquisition accounted for \$2.7 million of the increase specifically, \$1.5 million relating to the amortization of intangibles assets, \$1.0 million in increased sales and marketing expenses and \$0.2 million for additional patent and registration costs. An increase of \$0.9 million was primarily related to incremental salary, benefits and professional fees associated with being a public entity. The balance of the increase of \$0.8 million related to our wireless applications development division in which \$0.3 million is attributed to the amortization of intangibles assets and remaining \$0.5 million for general operating expenses as compared to the prior year.

Other Income (Expense)

Interest expense on funded debt was \$5.5 million for the twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006 compared to \$0.7 million for the twenty-six weeks ended August 27, 2005. The increase of \$4.8 million over the twenty-six weeks ended August 27, 2005 is primarily due to both the \$80 million Bridge Loan used to fund the Playtex asset acquisition and the senior secured convertible notes totaling \$91.0 million issued on August 2, 2006.

The issuance of the senior secured convertible notes on August 2, 2006, introduced accounting for a compound derivative liability, amortization of debt discount and finance fees as further described in the footnotes to the financial statements. As summarized below this resulted in net interest of \$2.8 million.

Interest expense consists of the following:

(\$000 s)
Twenty-six
weeks

ended
August 26,
2006

| | |
|--|---|
| Interest on debt | \$ 5,603 |
| Loss on issuance of debt | 6,872 |
| Amortization of debt discount and finance fees | 1,561 |
| Gain on revaluation of compound derivative liability | (11,202) |
| | <hr style="width: 10%; margin-left: auto; margin-right: 0;"/> |
| Total interest expense | \$ 2,834 |
| | <hr style="width: 10%; margin-left: auto; margin-right: 0;"/> |

Cash Flow

Net cash used in operating activities was (\$1.6) million and (\$3.4) million, respectively for the twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006 and August 27, 2005. For the twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006, the factors contributing to negative operating cash flow were a net loss of (\$4.1) million, less the net effect of non-cash income and expense items of \$4.3 million. Other assets and liabilities provided a negative change of (\$1.8) million. For the twenty-six weeks ended August 27, 2005, the major contributors to a negative operating cash flow of (\$3.4) million were a net loss of (\$4.6) million, less the net positive change in operating assets and liabilities of \$0.1 million, less the net effect of non-cash items of \$1.1 million.

Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities was (\$1.2) million for the twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006 compared to \$5.8 million for the twenty-six weeks ended August 27, 2005. For the twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006, the major activities consisted acquisition costs of (\$0.7) million and (\$0.5) million for capital equipment purchases. For the twenty-six weeks ended August 27, 2005, cash of \$6.0 million was received as a result of the Merger on May 20, 2005, less the net amount expended of (\$0.2) million for deferred acquisition costs and capital equipment.

[Back to Contents](#)

Net cash provided by financing activities for the twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006 was \$1.2 million compared to net cash used in financing activities for the twenty-six weeks ended August 27, 2005 of (\$2.0) million. The majority of the activity related to the twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006 was related to the issue of convertible debt and the related financing costs, borrowings under the revolving line of credit, the liquidation of the Bridge Loan and the redemption of preferred stock. The major activity for the twenty-six weeks ended August 27, 2005 relates to net repayments under the Company's line of credit.

Year Ended February 28, 2006 Compared to the Year Ended February 28, 2005

Net Sales

Consolidated net sales for the year ended February 28, 2006 increased by \$9.7 million (13.9 percent) compared to net sales for the year ended February 28, 2005. Sales results were favorably impacted by the acquisition of the former Playtex brands, which resulted in additional net sales of \$13.1 million in fiscal 2006.

U.S. net sales from the *Lander* brand products increased during the year by \$0.2 million. Included in this increase are sales of Lander higher margin premium value products which increased net sales by \$5.2 million (48 percent), with key elements in this growth being the addition of *Lander essentials* 3-in-1 and foam bath products, yielding additional net sales of \$3.1 million, and an additional \$1.7 million (20 percent) increase in Adult 64 oz. Bubble Bath net sales. This increase was offset by a \$5.0 million decrease in extreme value products sales, as described in the following paragraph.

Strategically, Ascendia Brands limits its distribution to traditional mass, drug, food and dollar store retail venues and does not currently participate in online, specialty retail, club stores or direct-to-consumer outlets. We will continue to seek increased access to retail distribution venues that can provide enhanced profit margins for Ascendia while also providing tremendous value for our consumers. Consistent with our strategy to focus our efforts on higher margin, premium products, lower profit margin products will be de-emphasized long-term. Net sales of extreme value products (*i.e.*, those retailing for \$1.00) decreased by \$5.0 million (12.8 percent) this year versus the prior year consistent with this strategy. This decrease can be attributed primarily to pricing actions following the raw material increases in petroleum-based products and higher transportation costs related to fuel surcharges.

Offsetting the above noted U.S. sales growth from the newly acquired products and *Lander* branded products is a reduction of \$4.2 million attributable to the termination of a prior year's marketing and administrative services agreement for the sale of licensed products. This licensing agreement and corresponding net sales terminated with the licensor's bankruptcy filing and cessation of business during the first quarter of the current fiscal year.

Further contributing to the above noted consolidated sales increase were net sales derived from Lander Canada increased by \$0.6 million (4.1 percent) this year versus the same period last year. There was a positive impact from exchange rate gains of \$1.1 million, partially offset by a decrease in extreme value products of \$0.5 million, consistent with the trends previously discussed for the U.S. market.

Sales for the wireless application development division were not material for the year ended February 28, 2006.

Gross Profit

Consolidated gross profit decreased by \$2.2 million for the year ended February 28, 2006, from \$7.5 million for the year ended February 28, 2005. The acquisition of the former Playtex brands resulted in an increase in gross profit by \$4.7 million for the year. However, in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141, we recorded the inventory acquired at the fair market value, which negatively impacted gross profit of the brands for

the year by \$3.7 million, thus reducing gross profit on the former Playtex brands to \$1.0 million. We have implemented cost reduction programs and continue to streamline our manufacturing process; however, inflationary increases resulting from rising oil prices resulting in higher raw material prices for surfactants, mineral oil and components negatively impacted the year by \$3.2 million.

Gross profit for the wireless application development division was not material for the year ended February 28, 2006.

[Back to Contents](#)

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses amounted to \$16.6 million for the year ended February 28, 2006 compared to \$10.2 million for the year ended February 28, 2005. This increase of \$6.4 million is attributable to several factors associated with the product acquisition from Playtex and the Merger. \$0.3 million is related to the expansion of the marketing department to capitalize on the acquired products. In addition we incurred \$0.7 million of incremental selling and administrative expenses related to the Transition Services Agreement with Playtex for the period November 16, 2005 to February 28, 2006. Amortization expense for the intangible assets acquired in the Merger and the Playtex acquisition amounted to \$2.1 million. An additional \$1.0 million of the increase pertains to indirect costs for outside legal, consulting, and accounting fees relative to the filing of the Amended Current Report on Form 8-K/A, strategic reviews of the business units and bank fees associated with potential capital sources which did not materialize. The wireless applications development division contributed \$1.2 million of incremental cost this year and on a consolidated basis we incurred \$1.1 million related to incremental salary, benefits and outside consulting fees in connection with becoming a public traded company.

Goodwill Impairment

Goodwill established in connection with the Merger was first tested in the quarter ended February 28, 2006 in accordance with SFAS No. 142. This led to the Company recording an impairment charge of \$16.4 million for the wireless application development division. There was no impairment testing in prior years or periods since no goodwill was recorded prior to the Merger.

Other Income

Other income, net of \$3.1 million for the year ended February 28, 2006 represents an increase of \$2.9 million over the year ended February 28, 2005. The primary reason for the increase is a one-time gain of \$2.5 million due to the forgiveness of a portion of the debt related to the 2003 acquisition of the Lander business.

Interest expense

Interest expense, net of \$5.6 million for the year ended February 28, 2006 represents an increase of \$4.2 million over the year ended February 28, 2005. The primary reason for the increase is the interest expense associated with the \$80.0 million bridge loan (see discussion below under the heading *Liquidity and Capital Resources - Bridge Loan*).

Year Ended February 28, 2005 Compared to the Period from April 25, 2003 (Inception) to February 29, 2004

Net Sales

Consolidated net sales for the year ended February 28, 2005 increased \$14.8 million (26.9 percent) when compared to net sales for the period from April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004. The primary reason for the increase is the comparison of 12 months to nine months of results.

Net Sales from *Lander* branded products increased by \$10.0 million due to the volume impact for 12 months versus nine months. However, \$4.2 million of the total increase is attributable to a marketing and administrative services agreement for the sale of licensed products, which was terminated in the first quarter of 2006.

Gross Profit

Consolidated gross profit increased by \$0.7 million to \$7.5 million for the year ended February 28, 2005, from \$6.8 million for the period from April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004. This increase reflects a \$1.5 million increase related to higher sales volume, due to the comparison of 12 months versus nine months, partially offset by the impact of rising oil prices affecting freight, utilities and commodity pricing. This resulted in higher prices for customer freight, commodity chemicals, surfactants, mineral oil, caps and bottles, which negatively impacted fiscal year 2005 by \$1.8 million. This net loss was partially offset by the favorable margins on sale of the licensed products totaling \$1.0 million.

[Back to Contents](#)***Selling, General and Administrative Expenses***

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by \$2.2 million to \$10.2 million for the year ended February 28, 2005 from \$8.0 million for the period from April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004. The increase is due to the comparison of 12 months versus nine months. Offsetting the increase were improvements in expenses as a result of several factors including reductions in headcount, benefits, and facility costs.

Cash Flow

Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities was (\$19.4) million and \$1.1 million, respectively for the year ended February 28, 2006 and February 28, 2005. For the year ended February 28, 2006, a primary factor contributing to negative operating cash flow was the acquisition of inventory of (\$9.6) million in the asset acquisition from Playtex. The other primary contributor consisted of the net loss of \$30.2 million, less the net effect of non-cash items of \$18.8 million. Additional changes in operating assets and liabilities provided a positive contribution of \$1.6 million. For the year ended February 28, 2005, the major contributors to a positive operating cash flow were a smaller net loss of (\$4.0) million, less the net effect of non-cash items of \$1.4 million and an increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$3.6 million.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$42.5 million for the year ended February 28, 2006 compared to \$0.9 million for the year ended February 28, 2005. For the year ended February 28, 2006, the major activities consisted of cash received of \$6.0 million from the reverse acquisition of Cenuco, \$1.3 million for capital equipment purchases and \$47.3 million, primarily for the purchase of intangible assets from Playtex. For the year ended February 28, 2005, cash of \$0.5 million was expended for capital equipment and \$0.4 million for acquisition costs.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended February 28, 2006 was \$63.9 million compared to net cash used in financing activities for the year ended February 28, 2005 of \$0.1 million. The majority of the activity related to the bridge loan (see discussion below under the heading **Liquidity and Capital Resources - Bridge Loan**), net of repayments under our line of credit and other long-term debt for the year ended February 28, 2006. The major activity for the year ended February 28, 2005 relates to net repayments of \$0.1 million under our line of credit.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Long-term debt consists of the following:

| (\$000 s) | AUGUST 26, 2006 | FEBRUARY 28, 2006 |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Bridge loan | \$ | \$ 80,000 |
| Convertible secured notes | 91,099 | |
| Debt discount | (90,809) | |
| Compound derivative liability | 75,221 | |
| Revolving line of credit | 8,080 | |
| Capital leases | 9 | 32 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| | 83,600 | 80,032 |
| Less current portion | 9 | 32 |

| | | |
|-------|-----------------|------------------|
| Total | <u>\$83,591</u> | <u>\$ 80,000</u> |
| | | 75 |

[Back to Contents](#)

Bridge Loan

In order to finance the acquisition of the brands from Playtex (\$57.8 million), fund financing fees (\$2.8 million), repay certain existing indebtedness of the Company and our subsidiaries including the senior unsecured convertible notes and the financing facility referred to below under the heading Financing Facility (approximately \$13.8 million in total) and provide working capital for the operations of Lander (approximately \$5.6 million), on November 15, 2005, the Company, Lander, Hermes and Lander Intangibles (collectively, the Borrowers), entered into an \$80.0 million Bridge Loan Term Agreement (the Bridge Loan) with Prencen, LLC (Prencen) and Highgate House Funds Ltd. (Highgate), as lenders, and Prencen, as agent for the lenders.

For the first 90 days following closing, the Bridge Loan bore interest at an annual rate of 5.5 percent above the three-month LIBOR (set two days in advance on November 14, 2005 at 4.34 percent). The interest rate margin over LIBOR increased at the end of that 90-day period to 10.5 percent. Also at the end of the 90-day period the three-month LIBOR was reset on February 12, 2006 for the next 90 days (February 15 to May 15, 2006). The reset three-month LIBOR rate of 4.74 percent plus the increased interest rate margin of 10.5 percent generated an interest rate on the Bridge Loan of 15.24 percent for the period February 15 to May 15, 2006. Upon the occurrence and during the continuance of an event of default, the annual rate of interest would have increased by 5.5 percent over the rate of interest otherwise in effect. Interest accrued monthly, in arrears.

The Bridge Loan was originally due and payable on May 15, 2006. The Bridge Loan term was extended to coincide with the closing of the Second Amended and Restated Securities Purchase Agreement described below, with interest to accrue and be paid at closing. The Bridge Loan principal was refinanced with the long-term financing described below. The borrowings under the Bridge Loan were secured by a first priority lien against all assets of the Borrowers and Hermes Real Estate I LLC, Lander Co. Canada Limited, MarNan, LLC and Dana Holdings, LLC as guarantors. In consideration of MarNan, LLC and Dana Holding, LLC acting as guarantors, the Company paid to MarNan and Dana Holdings, stockholders of the Company, a guarantee fee of \$800,000, in the aggregate, which fee was unanimously approved by the Company's Board of Directors of the Company, including the independent directors.

Financing Facility

On October 10, 2005, we entered into agreements with Prencen and Highgate (both of which were also lenders under the Bridge Loan) for the provision of long-term debt and equity financing (the Financing Facility) to repay the Bridge Loan. The terms of these agreements were amended on November 15, 2005, concurrently with the closing of the Bridge Loan. Prior to its maturity, the parties agreed to an extension of the Bridge Loan pending the completion of discussions on further modifications to the Financing Facility. The parties also agreed to defer the payment of certain interest under the Bridge Loan pending its maturity. On June 30, 2006, we (i) agreed with Prencen and Highgate to amend and restate the Financing Facility and (ii) in connection with such restatement, entered into a Second Amended and Restated Securities Purchase Agreement (the Securities Purchase Agreement) with Prencen and Prencen Lending, LLC(Prencen Lending), which closed on August 3, 2006. The obligations of the Company to Highgate under the Bridge Loan were acquired by Prencen Lending.

Under the Securities Purchase Agreement, we sold Prencen Lending senior secured convertible notes in the principal amount of \$91.0 million (and warrants described below) in exchange for the settlement of obligations under the Bridge Loan (\$80.0 million) and \$11.0 million in funding which was used to pay accrued interest on the Bridge Loan (\$4.1 million), fees associated with the refinancing (\$4.2 million) and produce net cash proceeds for us of approximately \$2.7 million.

The senior secured convertible notes have a term of ten years (subject to the put and call rights described below) and bear interest at the rate of nine percent per annum, provided that during the first six months of the term, we will have

the option to accrue and capitalize interest. As a result, we elected to defer \$0.6 million of the interest as of August 26, 2006 on the senior secured convertible notes. In the event we make an acquisition in the consumer products area that is in form and substance satisfactory to a majority of the holders of the senior secured convertible notes (an Approved Acquisition), we may elect to defer and capitalize interest for the balance of the term of the senior secured convertible notes. In addition, upon the consummation of such an Approved Acquisition, we may redeem up to \$40.0 million of the balance outstanding under the senior secured convertible notes at a premium of At any time after the fifth anniversary of the issuance of the senior secured convertible notes, we may redeem or any holder may require us to redeem all or any portion of the balance outstanding under the senior secured convertible notes at a premium of five percent (the 5 Year Put Option). Such five percent premium will be accreted to the recorded liability for the senior secured convertible notes over the first five years and be charged to interest expense under the effective interest method. In the event of default or a change in control, the holders of the senior secured convertible notes may require us to redeem the senior secured convertible notes at a premium of 25% and 20%, respectively. In addition, upon the consummation of an Approved Acquisition, the Company may redeem, at a premium of 15%, a portion of the principal amount of the senior secured convertible notes equal to the excess, if any, between the then outstanding principal amount of the notes and \$51 million.

[Back to Contents](#)

Any portion of the balance due under the senior secured convertible notes is convertible at any time, at the option of the holder(s), into our common stock at a price of \$1.75 per share (subject to certain antidilution adjustments), provided that the holders may not convert any amounts due under the senior secured convertible notes if and to the extent that, following such a conversion, the holder and any affiliate would collectively own more than 9.99% of the aggregate number of shares of our common stock outstanding following such conversion (the Conversion Option).

The senior secured convertible notes describe various events of default which include, but are not limited to (a) the failure to make effective by January 30, 2007, and keep effective thereafter a registration statement to register the shares underlying the conversion of the senior secured convertible notes and the exercise of the Series A and B warrants and other shares, (b) the suspension in trading of the Company's stock for a defined period, (c) the failure to timely issue shares in response to a conversion notice received from a noteholder, and (d) the failure to have available sufficient authorized shares to enable the conversion of the senior secured convertible notes. In the event of a default, the holders of the senior secured convertible notes may require the Company to redeem the senior secured convertible notes at the greater of a 25% premium, or the value of the shares underlying the conversion of such senior secured convertible notes at the time of the event of default (determined by reference to a definition of a maximum share price). In the event of a Change in Control of the Company (as defined in the Financing Facility), the holders of the senior secured convertible notes will have the right (the Change in Control Put), for a period of 20 days subsequent to the receipt of notice of the Change in Control, to require the Company to redeem the senior secured convertible notes at the greater of a 20% premium, or the value of the shares underlying the conversion of such senior secured convertible notes at the time of the change in control (determined by reference to a definition of a maximum share price). The above described Conversion Option and specifically noted events of default (the Default Derivatives), along with the Change in Control Put (collectively the Compound Derivative) have been bifurcated as derivatives required to be accounted for separately under FASB Statement No. 133 *Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities* and EITF 00-19 *Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in a Company's Own Stock*, and are considered in the determination of the estimated fair market value of the Compound Derivative liability noted below.

As part of the registration rights agreement, as amended, in favor of Prencen and Prencen Lending, we are required to file the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part, to register the resale of shares of common stock issuable upon the conversion of the senior secured convertible notes, the exercise of warrants described below, and other shares. Failure to file this registration statement by November 30, 2006 or have it declared effective by January 29, 2007, will constitute an event of default under the senior secured convertible notes. In the event of such a default, the holders of the senior secured convertible notes are entitled to a cash penalty in the amount of 2% of the face amount of the senior secured convertible notes for each 30 day period until such time as the default has been cured, subject to a maximum of 10%. In addition, in the event that holders of the senior secured convertible notes request conversion of all or a portion of their senior secured convertible notes, or the holders of the warrants described below present such warrants for exercise, and we are unable to timely deliver the related shares, the holders of such senior secured convertible notes or warrants will be entitled to damages in the amount of 1.5% per day of the then current value of the shares not timely delivered for each day that such delivery is not provided.

The senior secured convertible notes rank as senior secured debt, provided however that the senior secured convertible notes are subordinated to the new revolving credit facility of up to \$13.0 million secured by inventory and accounts receivable (described below). The senior secured convertible notes will be also subordinated to indebtedness, on terms reasonably satisfactory to Prencen Lending, incurred in connection with an Approved Acquisition, in an amount up to \$250 million.

In connection with the amendment and restatement of the Financing Facility agreements and the sale of the senior secured convertible notes, we also issued certain warrants (the Series A warrants) entitling Prencen to purchase 3,053,358 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$2.10. In addition, we committed to the issuance of

certain warrants (the Series B warrants) entitling Prencen to purchase shares of our common stock under terms that are contingent upon the balance outstanding on the senior secured convertible notes at the earlier to occur of an Approved Acquisition or November 30, 2006. If the balance outstanding under the senior secured convertible notes on such date is greater or less than \$61.0 million, we are required to issue to Prencen up to 3,000,000 Series B warrants, at exercise prices ranging from \$1.15 to \$1.95. In the event the balance outstanding under the senior secured convertible notes is \$61.0 million, no Series B warrants will be issued. The fair market value of the Series A and B warrants, when estimated, may be recorded separately as a liability at the date of issuance with an offsetting debt discount that would be amortized to interest expense under the effective interest method. Subsequent adjustments to the market value of the liability at each reporting date thereafter would be recorded as a component of interest expense in the period of such change.

[Back to Contents](#)

Upon closing of the Financing Facility, we paid Prentice Capital Management, LP, an affiliate of Prencen and Prencen Lending, a closing fee of \$3,667,500 and reimbursed Prencen Lending for certain disbursements related to the transaction. In addition, we paid fees and expenses of \$5,525,171 to Stanford Group Company (Stanford). At closing, we issued to Stanford warrants for the purchase of our common stock as follows: (i) 137,615 warrants at an exercise price of \$3.76 per share, and (ii) 552,632 warrants at an exercise price of \$4.37 per share. The estimated fair value of the warrants issued to Stanford (\$0.7 million) has been recorded as an increase to additional paid-in capital and deferred financing costs.

Accounting for Issuance of Senior Secured Convertible Notes

Consideration received from the issuance of the senior secured convertible notes (\$87.3 million net of a \$ 3.7 million origination fee paid to Prentice Capital Management, LP, an affiliate of Prencen Lending) was allocated to the Series A and B warrants and the senior secured convertible notes based on the relative fair value of each. The resulting \$7.7 million value attributed to the Series A and B warrants has been reflected as a credit to paid-in capital with an offsetting debt issuance discount recorded on the senior secured convertible notes. The resulting allocation to the senior secured convertible notes (\$79.6 million) was then further offset, as an additional debt issuance discount, by the estimated fair value of the liability for the Compound Derivative discussed above (amounting to \$86.4 million as of August 2, 2006). The \$6.8 million excess of the estimated fair value of the liability of \$86.4 million over the allocation to the discount on the senior secured convertible notes of \$79.6 million was recorded as a loss on the issuance of the senior secured convertible notes. The debt issuance discount (totaling \$91.0 million) and the cash and other deferred finance costs associated with the issuance of the senior secured convertible notes (totaling \$6.2 million), are being amortized to interest expense under the effective interest method over the 5-year period to the date that the 5 Year Put Option becomes exercisable (August 2, 2011). The 5% premium associated with the 5 Year Put Option (\$4.6 million) is being accreted over the same 5-year period, also under the interest method, as an increase to interest expense and recorded value of the senior secured convertible notes. Such amortization and accretion amounted to \$0.3 million in the current period. Given the significant initial issuance discount recorded on the senior secured convertible notes this treatment will result in substantially lower amortization and accretion being charged to interest expense in the earlier of the five years than the latter.

The liability recorded for the Compound Derivative will be adjusted to fair market value at each future reporting date with the difference in the fair value of such liability between such reporting dates being recorded as an increase or decrease in interest and other expense for that period. The value of the Compound Derivative liability was adjusted to \$75.2 million as of August 26, 2006, resulting in a decrease to interest and other expense for the period between August 2 and August 26, 2006 of \$11.2 million.

Revolver

On August 3, 2006, we closed on a revolving line of credit with The CIT Group/Commercial Services, Inc. for a \$13.0 million three year facility (the Revolver). This facility was used to fund approximately \$5.6 million of the above noted cash costs associated with the Financing Facility and approximately \$0.1 million in expenses associated with the Financing Facility. In addition, another \$0.9 million was drawn from the Revolver, along with the \$2.7 million in net proceeds from the Financing Facility, to redeem certain shares of our Series A Preferred Stock from MarNan LLC and Dana Holdings LLC (see Certain Relationships and Related Transactions). The remainder of the availability under the Revolver will be used in the future for working capital and general corporate purposes. Borrowings made under the Revolver are secured by a lien on accounts receivable and inventory of Ascendia Brands, and by a second lien on other assets of Ascendia Brands, the Company and its other subsidiaries. Ascendia Brands will use borrowings under the Revolver for general corporate purposes, including the payment of certain fees and expenses arising in connection with the Securities Purchase Agreement.

[Back to Contents](#)

The Revolver contains the following key provisions:

Line of credit A revolving line of credit providing for revolving advances up to the lesser of (a) \$13,000,000 or (b) the sum of (herein the **Borrowing Base**): (i) eighty-five percent (85%) of eligible domestic (U.S.) accounts receivable, subject to dilution of 5%, plus (ii) eighty-five percent (85%) of the net orderly liquidation value as a percentage of cost of eligible U.S. finished goods and raw materials inventory. The total inventory sublimit will not exceed \$8,000,000. This agreement requires excess availability of \$2,000,000 at closing and a permanent availability block against the Borrowing Base of \$750,000.

Interest rate Interest will be computed and payable monthly on all outstanding revolving loans at a rate equivalent to the Chase Bank prime rate per annum or, at our option, LIBOR plus two and one quarter percent (2¼%).

Fees A loan facility fee of \$100,000 earned at closing and payable: \$25,000 upon signing of commitment letter, \$25,000 payable at closing and \$50,000 payable six months from closing. An administrative management fee of \$30,000 per year, earned at closing and on each anniversary of the closing, payable \$2,500 monthly.

Termination fee A termination fee is charged of 1% of total facility if terminated on or before August 3, 2007, three quarters percent (¾%) if terminated after August 2, 2007 and on or before August 3, 2007, and one half percent (½%) if terminated anytime thereafter prior to an Anniversary Date.

The Revolver contains customary covenants that, subject to certain exceptions, limit our ability to (among other things):

Incur or assume other indebtedness, except certain permitted indebtedness;

Grant or permit to exist any lien, except certain permitted liens;

Enter into any merger, consolidation or acquisition of all or substantially all the assets of another entity;

Sell, assign, lease or otherwise dispose of the collateral securing the Revolver or all or substantially all of our assets;

Enter into unapproved transactions with an affiliate;

Declare or pay dividend other than permitted dividends;

Create any new subsidiaries or make certain types of investments; or

Make any payments on debt subordinated to the Revolver.

The Revolver provides that, subject to any applicable notice and cure provisions, each of the following (among others) is an event of default:

Cessation of the Company or any subsidiary or the calling of a meeting of the creditors of the Company or any subsidiary for purposes of compromising our debts and obligations;

Our failure to meet our debts as they mature;

Our failure to pay any of the obligations under the Revolver;

Bankruptcy and other insolvency events with respect to any borrower or the Company;

Our failure to observe or perform any covenant, warranty or representation under the Revolver;

Specified cross defaults;

Change of control of the Company;

The entry of a final judgment for the payment of money in excess of \$100,000, subject to certain discharge time periods; and

Any guarantor under the Revolver attempts to terminate its guaranty.

[Back to Contents](#)

Upon the occurrence and continuation of an event of default, after completion of any applicable grace or cure period, lenders may demand immediate payment in full of all indebtedness outstanding under the Revolver, terminate their obligations to make any loans or advances, charge us an increased rate of interest and terminate the Revolver in its entirety.

At February 28, 2006 we had cash and cash equivalents of \$1.9 million. Our management believes this and other financing sources subsequently made available, including the \$13.0 million Revolver and the increase in the Financing Facility from \$80.0 million to \$91.0 million described above, provide us with sufficient operating liquidity for at least the next 12 months.

Hedging and Trading Activities

We do not engage in any hedging activities, including currency-hedging activities, in connection with our foreign operations and sales. To date, except for Canada, all of our international sales have been denominated in U.S. dollars.

Supply chain diversity

We operate two manufacturing facilities that have similar capability in two diverse geographies Binghamton, NY and Toronto, ON Canada. We operate five distribution centers in North America providing Ascendia with protection from localized interruptions, thus allowing for continuous logistics operations. We have several qualified vendors for major raw materials in geographically diverse location to protect us from localized interruptions

Vendor agreements management

We have supply agreements with major suppliers that stipulate terms such as: components and material held as safety stock, quarterly price protection against increases, Pre-negotiated currency conversion rates, payment terms and quality expectations. Agreements are bid on an annual or biannual basis. However, this cycle is adjusted based on significant changes in market conditions or if Ascendia believes there is a favorable opportunity. We have no contingent liability supply agreements

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

Our off balance sheet arrangements consist principally of leasing various assets under operating leases. The amounts are reflected in the Contractual Obligations table shown below.

Contractual Obligations

The table below includes contractual obligations at August 26, 2006:

| PAYMENTS DUE BY PERIOD | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| (US\$000 s) | | | | | |
| | | LESS | 1-3 | 3-5 | MORE |
| CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS | TOTAL | THAN | YEARS | YEARS | THAN |
| | | 1 YEAR | | | 5 YEARS |
| Long-term debt obligations | \$83,591 | \$ | | 83,591 | |

Edgar Filing: ASCENDIA BRANDS, INC. - Form PRE 14A

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------|---------|---------|----------|-------|
| Capital lease obligations | 9 | 9 | | | |
| Operating lease obligations | 5,277 | 726 | 3,549 | 1,002 | |
| Purchase Obligations | 3,289 | 3,289 | | | |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total | \$92,166 | \$4,024 | \$3,549 | \$84,593 | \$ |

[Back to Contents](#)

Critical Accounting Policies

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Our critical accounting policies include:

- revenue recognition;
- cooperative advertising;
- trade accounts receivable;
- sales returns reserve;
- accounting for inventory and costs of goods sold;
- accounting for goodwill and intangible assets;
- accounting for plant, property and equipment;
- accounting for derivative instruments; and
- income taxes.

Revenue Recognition

For the health and beauty care division, revenue from product sales is recognized when the related goods are shipped, all of our significant obligations have been satisfied, persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the price to the buyer is fixed or determinable and collection is reasonably assured or probable.

Amounts billed to customers related to shipping and handling are included in net sales. The cost of shipping products to the customer is recognized at the time the products are shipped and included in cost of sales.

In connection with the development and sale of wireless solutions and web services, which include the development of business-to-business and business-to-consumer wireless applications, and state of the art wireless technology and services, the wireless application development division recognizes revenue as services are performed on a pro-rata basis over the contract term or when products are delivered. Our wireless application development division periodically enters into agreements whereby the customer or distributor may purchase wireless products on a consignment type basis. Revenues are recognized under these arrangements only when the customer or distributor has resold the product and we have an enforcement right to our sales price.

Cooperative Advertising Accruals

Cooperative advertising programs and other volume-based incentives are accounted for on an accrual basis as a reduction in net revenue according to the requirements of Emerging Task Force 01-09, *Accounting for Consideration Given By a Vendor to a Customer or a Reseller of the Vendor's Products* in the period in which the related sales are recognized. If additional cooperative advertising programs, promotions and other volume-based incentives are required to promote our products, then additional reserves may be required. Conversely, reserves are decreased to reflect the lesser need for cooperative advertising programs.

Trade Accounts Receivable

We extend credit based upon evaluations of a customer's financial condition and provide for any anticipated credit losses in our financial statements based upon our management's estimates and ongoing reviews of recording

allowances. If the financial conditions of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional reserves may be required. Conversely, reserves are reduced to reflect credit and collection improvements.

Sales Returns Reserve

Our management must make estimates of potential future product returns related to current period product revenue. Management analyzes historical returns, current economic trends and changes in customer demand for our products when evaluating the adequacy of the reserve for sales returns. Management judgments and estimates must be made and used in connection with establishing the sales returns reserves in any accounting period. If actual sales returns increase above the historical return rate, then additional reserves may be required. Conversely, the sales return reserve could be decreased if the actual return rates are less than the historical return rates, which were used to establish such sales returns reserve.

[Back to Contents](#)

Inventory

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost determined using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. Inventories consist of raw materials used to manufacture our health, beauty and oral care products, as well as, finished goods that consist of the product lines sold to our customers. We write down inventory for estimated excess and discontinued products equal to the difference between cost and estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions. Excess and discontinued product inventory could arise due to numerous factors, including but not limited to, the competitive nature of the market and product demand by consumers. If market conditions are less favorable than those anticipated by management, additional write-downs may be required, including provisions to reduce inventory to net realizable value.

Goodwill and Indefinite Lived Intangibles

As a result of the Merger on May 20, 2005, we recorded goodwill of \$31.0 million. Goodwill represents the excess of cost over the fair value of identifiable net assets acquired. As a result of the purchase of assets from Playtex on November 16, 2005, we made an allocation of the purchase price to the estimated fair value of the assets acquired, which resulted in \$16.9 million being allocated to intangible assets (brand names and product formulas), initially estimated to have indefinite lives. SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, requires goodwill and other intangibles that have indefinite lives to not be amortized but to be reviewed annually for impairment or more frequently if impairment indicators arise. In the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended February 28, 2006, in accordance with SFAS No. 142, we completed the test for impairment in the carrying value of goodwill and determined that an impairment charge of \$16.4 million was required.

Amortizable Intangible Assets

SFAS No. 142 also requires that intangible assets with finite useful lives be amortized over their respective estimated useful lives and reviewed for impairment. As a result of the Merger, and as revised in the quarter ended February 28, 2006, we recorded intangible assets of \$8.0 million, related to acquired core software technology, with an estimated useful life of five years. Amortization expense for the acquired software technology was \$0.4 million and \$0.8 million respectively, for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006. Amortization expense for the acquired software technology was \$0.4 million and \$0.4 million respectively, for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 27, 2005.

As a result of the purchase of assets from Playtex on November 16, 2005, we made an allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired, in proportion to their respective estimated fair values, which resulted in \$30.4 million being allocated to customer relationships. Management has adopted the straight-line method of amortizing these assets over their estimated useful lives of 10 years. Amortization expense for the customer relationships was \$0.7 million and \$1.5 million respectively, for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006. Amortization expense for the customer relationships was \$0.0 million for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 27, 2005.

Other Assets, Net

Other assets, net of approximately \$7.7 million consist primarily of deferred financing costs related to the Financing Facility and the Revolver. The deferred financing costs are being amortized on a straight-line basis over the respective terms of the related financing arrangements. Amortization expense related to deferred financing costs was \$0.2 million and \$1.4 million respectively, for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006. Amortization expense related to deferred financing costs was \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million respectively, for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 27, 2005.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. The costs of major additions and improvements are capitalized and maintenance and repairs that do not improve or extend the life of the respective assets are charged to operations as incurred. Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets ranging from three to twenty-five years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the term of the lease or their estimated useful lives. If determine that a change is required in the useful life of an asset, future depreciation/amortization is adjusted accordingly.

[Back to Contents](#)

Accounting for Derivative Instruments

We have issued and have outstanding convertible debt and warrants related to the convertible debt with embedded derivative features that we have analyzed in accordance with SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, and EITF Issue No. 00-19, Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in A Company's Own Stock, to determine if these instruments have embedded derivatives that must be bifurcated. Under EITF No. 00-19, the estimated value of such embedded derivatives is recorded as a liability utilizing an appropriate valuation model (with an offsetting debt discount that is amortized over the term of the convertible notes). Such liability is marked-to-market and adjusted to fair value at each reporting date with the change in fair value being recorded to other income (expense) in the period of the change. The warrants are not required to be accounted for as a liability. They are accounted for under EITF 98-5, as further described in Note 6.6 to the audited consolidated financial statements of Ascendia appearing elsewhere herein.

Income Taxes

We record a valuation allowance to reduce the amount of our deferred tax assets to the amount that management estimates is more likely than not to be realized. While we have considered future taxable income and ongoing tax planning strategies in assessing the need for the valuation allowance, in the event that we determine that we would be able to realize our deferred tax assets in the future in excess of the net recorded amount, an adjustment to the deferred tax asset would increase income in the period such determination was made. Likewise, if it was determined that we would not be able to realize all or part of the net deferred tax asset in the future, an adjustment to the deferred tax asset would be charged to income in the period such determination was made.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes-An interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48), which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. This guidance seeks to reduce the diversity in practice associated with certain aspects of the recognition and measurement related to accounting for income taxes. The provisions of FIN 48 are effective for the Company beginning March 1, 2007, with the cumulative effect of the change in accounting principal, if any, recorded as an adjustment to opening retained earnings. Management is currently evaluating the impact of adopting FIN 48 on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

In September 2006, the staff of the FASB issued Staff Position Aug Air-1, Accounting for Planned Major Maintenance Activities (FSP). The FSP amends Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 28, Interim Financial Reporting (APB 28), and prohibits the accrue-in-advance method of accounting for planned major maintenance activities in annual and interim financial reporting periods. The Company does have a planned major maintenance activity associated with its annual or semi-annual plant shutdowns. While early application is permitted, the provisions of the FSP are effective for the Company beginning March 1, 2007. The guidance in the FSP shall be applied retrospectively for all financial statements presented, unless it is impracticable to do so. Management is currently evaluating the impact of adopting the FSP on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Qualifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements (SAB 108), which provides interpretive guidance on the consideration of the effects of prior year misstatements in quantifying current year misstatements for the purpose of a materiality assessment. The provisions of SAB 108 are effective for the Company after November 15, 2006. Although management is currently evaluating the impact of adopting SAB 108, we do not believe that the adoption of SAB 108 will have a material impact on the

Company's financial position and results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS No. 157), which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies under a number of other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. The provisions of SFAS No. 157 are effective for the Company beginning March 1, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS No. 157 on its financial position and results of operations.

[Back to Contents](#)

As of March 1, 2006, we adopted the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (R), Share Based Payment . Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123 (R), we recognized and measured the share-based compensation in accordance with Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees , and related interpretations. The adoption of SFAS No. 123 (R) did not have a material impact on the results of operations for the twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006. See Note 9 to the unaudited consolidated financial statements of Ascendia for the twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006 for more information regarding our adoption of SFAS No. 123 (R).

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections, -- a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3. This Statement establishes, unless impracticable, retrospective application as the required method for reporting a change in accounting principle in the absence of explicit transition requirements specific to the newly adopted accounting principle. The provisions of this Statement are effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. Early adoption is permitted for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after the date this Statement was issued. The Company does not believe that the adoption of this Statement in fiscal 2007 will have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments - an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140. This Statement, among other things, allows a preparer to elect fair value measurement of instruments in cases in which a derivative would otherwise have to be bifurcated. The provisions of this Statement are effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued in fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006. Early adoption is permitted for instruments that an entity holds at the date of adoption on an instrument-by-instrument basis. The Company does not believe that the adoption of this Statement in fiscal 2008 will have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets-an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140. This Statement amends SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities , with respect to the accounting for separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. The provisions of this Statement are effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued in fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006. Early adoption is permitted for instruments that an entity holds at the date of adoption on an instrument-by-instrument basis. The Company does not believe that the adoption of this Statement in fiscal 2008 will have a material impact on the Company s consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures of Market Risk

We market our products throughout the United States and the world. As a result, we could be adversely affected by such factors as rising commodity costs and weak global economic conditions. Forecasted purchases during the next thirteen weeks are approximately \$22 million. An average 2% unfavorable price increase related to the price of oil and other related inflationary raw materials could cost us approximately \$440,000.

We have also evaluated our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates. Approximately \$91.0 million of debt is currently outstanding under the Finance Facility and the rate is fixed at 9% for the next ten years. If we were to fully utilize the \$13 million available under the Revolver, an increase of two percent in interest rates would increase interest expense by approximately \$65,000 per quarter. The interest rate risks related to our other interest related accounts such as our post-retirement obligations are deemed to be insignificant.

We have not historically and are not currently using derivative instruments to manage the above risks.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS OF CENUCO, INC.

The following discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations relate to periods prior to the Merger. The discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the section entitled "Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data of Cenuco, Inc." and the respective audited and unaudited financial statements and related notes of Cenuco, Inc. included elsewhere in this proxy statement. As used in this section, "Cenuco" refers to Cenuco, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries as they existed prior to the Merger.

[Back to Contents](#)**Executive Summary**

Prior to the consummation of the Merger, Cenuco's primary business focused on the development and cultivation of wireless applications. During the periods discussed below, Cenuco operated in two reportable business segments - (1) the online distance learning industry, and (2) the development and sales of wireless solutions and web services. The latter segment included development of business-to-business and business-to-consumer wireless applications, and state of the art web technology and design services, producing viable solutions for the security monitoring markets. In addition, during the relevant periods, Cenuco launched its line of wireless video monitoring solutions, MobileMonitor, MommyTrack and Cenuco Transmitter. These products offered mobile surveillance monitoring solution for the consumer and business market. Cenuco exited the online distance learning segment during the nine months ended March 31, 2005. Discontinued Operations

On July 26, 2004, Cenuco announced its intentions to review strategic alternatives to divest itself from the distance learning segment. Through its subsidiaries, Cenuco engaged in the online distance learning business with a focus on the international, second-career adult and corporate training markets. Cenuco operated its main school, Barrington University, from Mobile, Alabama, where the State of Alabama Department of Education, Code of Alabama, Title 16-46-1 through 10, licensed the school. Cenuco offered degrees and training programs to students in over 80 countries and in multiple languages. The programs were virtual in their delivery format and could be completed from a laptop, home computer or through a wireless device.

Effective September 30, 2004, Cenuco entered into a purchase and sale agreement and sold substantially all of the assets of its education subsidiary for \$1,000,000, subject to a reduction of \$200,000 if the buyer did not collect 95% of the receivables on the books as of September 30, 2004 prior to September 30, 2005. In connection with the purchase and sale agreement, Cenuco received \$300,000 in cash. As of March 31, 2005, Cenuco reflected a receivable from the sale of business on the accompanying balance sheet. Additionally, the buyer executed a promissory note in favor of Cenuco in the amount of \$700,000, payable in twenty (20) equal and consecutive quarterly payments and one final balloon payment due on January 1, 2010.

As a result of the sale of Cenuco's education subsidiary, for the nine months ended March 31, 2005, Cenuco recorded a gain of \$1,814,648 and a deferred gain on the sale of \$200,000 (representing the contingent balloon payment due). The results of operations of the education subsidiary were reported separately as a discontinued operation, and prior periods have been restated in Cenuco's financial statements, related footnotes and the following discussion and analysis to conform to this presentation.

Cenuco's income (loss) from discontinued operations for the nine months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 are summarized as follows:

| | For the Nine Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|--|-------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| Sales | \$261,288 | \$779,072 |
| Operating Expenses | 170,232 | 374,665 |
| Net income from discontinued operations before gain on sale | 91,056 | 404,407 |
| Gain on sale of assets of subsidiary | 1,814,648 | |

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Income from discontinued operations | <u>\$1,905,704</u> | <u>\$404,407</u> |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|

Seasonality

Cenuco experienced seasonality in its results of operations from its online distance-learning segment primarily as a result of changes in the level of student enrollments and course completion. While Cenuco enrolled students throughout the year, December and January average enrollments and course completion and related revenues generally were lower than other quarters due to seasonal breaks in December and January. Accordingly, costs and expenses historically increased as a percentage of tuition and other net revenues as a result of certain fixed costs not significantly affected by the seasonal second quarter declines in net revenues.

[Back to Contents](#)

Cenuco experienced a seasonal increase in new enrollments in August of each year when most other colleges and universities began their fall semesters. As a result, instructional costs and services and selling and promotional expenses historically increased as a percentage of tuition and other net revenues in the fourth quarter due to increased costs in preparation for the August peak enrollments.

Nine Months Ended March 31, 2005 Compared to the Nine Months Ended March 31, 2004

General

During the relevant period, Cenuco focused primary on wireless application development. Through its subsidiary, Cenuco engaged in a wireless application technology business, primarily related to the transmission of secure and non-secured video onto cellular platforms via proprietary technologies, also known as remote video monitoring via cellular device. In this wireless segment, Cenuco provided cellular carriers, Internet Service Providers, resellers, and distributors a host of wireless video streaming products which generated an increase in subscriber adoption of wireless data services, as well as broadband Internet services. The business model provided additional recurring monthly service revenue models for carriers, ISPs, resellers and distributors.

Cenuco's wireless remote video monitoring technologies via cellular device (cellular phone, Pocket PC mobile Edition, Smart Phone, remote wireline computer, and remote cellular connected computer) were productized during the 2004 fiscal year to service a variety of market segments. On July 9, 2003, Cenuco announced being awarded the General Services Administration contract number GS-03F-0025N by the United States government, allowing the company to sell its products, technologies, and services to every branch of the United States government, including all military agencies and the Department of Homeland Security. The market size for remote video monitoring was then estimated in excess of \$100 million domestically, and as high as \$500 million globally.

Cenuco's partnerships and affiliations developed during fiscal 2004 and expanded during fiscal 2005 include: Intel Corporation, Microsoft Corporation, Qualcomm, Tyco International Ltd., and other leading technology organizations. These relationships allowed Cenuco access to new emerging technologies provided by these partner firms, as well as co-operative marketing programs, providing the company access to significant resources in the wireless remote monitoring market. In addition, during the relevant period Cenuco enjoyed contracted relationships with the following distributors: Cellstar, Infosonics, D&H Distributing, and Worthington Distribution. These distributors and other integrated distribution companies represented significant revenue opportunities for Cenuco during the relevant period, with access to hundreds of their sales personnel on a national and international basis.

Through its association with Intel, Cenuco was also working directly with Orange, a division of France Telecom then considered to be the third largest cellular carrier in the world, regarding Cenuco's cellular streaming technologies and products. At the semi-annual Code Camp event held by Orange, hosting more than 100 of the top cellular application developers in the world, Cenuco's engineering team made its first public demonstration of its Advanced MobileMonitor (AMM) technologies. As a result of the successful series of demonstrations, Orange awarded Cenuco with Best Use of the Orange Voice and Data Network. Additionally, Cenuco's standard MobileMonitor kitted product was announced during this period as approved and included into the Orange application catalog for Smartphone, Pocket PC phone, and J2ME handset categories. With inclusion in the Orange application catalog, all of Orange's operating markets had technical approval to deploy Cenuco's MobileMonitor product. During this period, Cenuco continued localization and commercialization discussions with Orange for various operating markets.

Revenues

For the nine months ended March 31, 2005, revenues from the sale of Cenuco's wireless products and services was \$397,720 as compared to \$138,383 for the nine months ended March 31, 2004, an increase of \$259,337 or 187%, and

is summarized as follows:

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Equipment and Software Sales | \$379,980 | \$32,676 |
| Wireless Solutions and Web Services | 17,740 | 105,707 |
| | <u>\$397,720</u> | <u>\$138,383</u> |

[Back to Contents](#)

The increase in Cenuco's revenue was attributable to the sale of the MobileMonitor product. In the second quarter, the MobileMonitor product began shipping in larger quantities than anticipated.

In May of 2004, Cenuco announced an agreement with PowerLinx. Cenuco combined the PowerLinx SecureVue camera system with its technologies, allowing users to see the live video from SecureVue cameras on all compatible cellular handsets and devices. The combined offering initiated sales through channels such as the Home Shopping Network. Revenues from this engagement were based on product sell through, which began in December of 2004, and continued into 2005. Additionally, Cenuco entered into a software licensing agreement with PowerLinx, whereby PowerLinx licensed 10,000 copies of Cenuco's software for inclusion into their SecureVue camera kits. Cenuco was engaged by Tyco Fire and Security (Sensormatic), for early stage development to combine its core technologies into select Tyco Digital Video Recorder and CCTV systems.

Cost of Sales and Gross Profit

For the nine months ended March 31, 2005, Cenuco incurred cost of sales related to the sale of equipment and software of \$286,887 or 72.1% of revenues, compared to \$17,642 or 12.7% of revenues for the nine months ended March 31, 2004. The increase in costs of sales and the corresponding percentage is due the change in product. In 2004, Cenuco redesigned its products to include more hardware. In 2003, sales from Cenuco's products were software related, which had a minimal cost. For the nine months ended March 31, 2005, gross profit was \$110,833 or 27.9% compared to \$120,741 or 87.3% for the nine months ended March 31, 2004.

Research and Development

For the nine months ended March 31, 2005, research and development expense amounted to \$98,452 as compared to \$25,379 for the nine months ended March 31, 2004, an increase of \$73,073 or 288%. For the nine months ended March 31, 2005, Cenuco allocated salaries of \$56,154 to research and development. Cenuco continues to develop its products and expects this amount to increase in the future.

Bad Debt Expense

For the nine months ended March 31, 2005, bad debt expense amounted to \$47,673 as compared to \$0 for the nine months ended March 31, 2004. The increase in bad debt was attributable to the reserve of uncollectible accounts receivable.

Selling and Promotion

For the nine months ended March 31, 2005, selling and promotion expenses amounted to \$204,183, which included \$1,859 in commission expense, \$67,216 in advertising expense, printing and reproduction expense of \$6,154, travel expenses of \$87,493, and other expenses of \$41,461. For the nine months ended March 31, 2004, selling and promotion expenses amounted to \$103,118, which included \$44,205 in commission expense, \$24,254 in advertising expense, printing and reproduction expense of \$3,848, travel expenses of \$26,849 and other expenses of \$3,962. The increase in selling and promotion expense was \$101,065 or 98% and is attributable to an increase in Cenuco's marketing efforts in order to gain market acceptance of its products.

General and Administrative

For the nine months ended March 31, 2005, Cenuco incurred \$2,007,295 of general and administrative expenses as compared to \$1,573,932, an increase of \$433,363 or 27.5%. General and administrative expenses consisted of the following:

| | For the nine months ended March 31, 2005 | For the nine months ended March 31, 2004 |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| Salaries | \$665,714 | \$439,356 |
| Consulting expenses | 83,037 | 157,969 |
| Rent | 62,644 | 66,117 |
| Professional fees | 220,052 | 79,284 |
| Non-cash compensation and consulting | 541,321 | 572,209 |
| Other | 434,527 | 258,997 |
| Total | <u>\$2,007,295</u> | <u>\$1,573,932</u> |

[Back to Contents](#)

For the nine months ended March 31, 2005, salaries increased by \$226,358 as compared to the nine months ended March 31, 2004. The increase was attributable the hiring of three new software engineers.

For the nine months ended March 31, 2005, consulting expenses decreased by \$74,932 as compared to the nine months ended March 31, 2004. The decrease was attributable to a decrease in use of independent software engineers.

For the nine months ended March 31, 2005, professional fees increased by \$140,768 as compared to the nine months ended March 31, 2004 and was attributable to increased legal fees in connection with Cenuco's terminated merger with Omnipoint, the sale of Cenuco's subsidiary, and other legal matters.

For the nine months ended March 31, 2005, non-cash compensation and consulting expense decreased by \$30,888 as compared to the nine months ended March 31, 2004. The decrease was attributable to the reduction of amortization of deferred compensation and consulting for the nine months ended March 31, 2005 for warrants granted in December 2003.

For the nine months ended March 31, 2005, other general and administrative expenses increased by \$175,530 as compared to the nine months ended March 31, 2004. The increase was attributable an increase in medical insurance premiums, increased payroll taxes, increased fees associated with Cenuco's annual meeting, increased fees associated with its listing on the American Stock Exchange, and increased postage.

Settlement Income

For the nine months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, settlement income was \$20,351 and \$0, respectively. The increase is related to a settlement of accounts payable.

Interest Income

For the nine months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, interest income was \$71,326 and \$9,410, respectively. The increase relates to interest income receivable of \$14,994 due on a note receivable from the sale Cenuco's subsidiary as well as an increase in excess cash balances in interest-bearing accounts with two financial institutions.

Discontinued Operations

For the nine months ended March 31, 2005, income from discontinued operations related to Cenuco's former education subsidiary was \$1,905,704 and consisted of a gain from the sale of all of the assets of the subsidiary of \$1,814,648 and income from discontinued operations of \$91,056. For the nine months ended March 31, 2004, income from discontinued operations was \$404,407 related to income from discontinued operations.

Net loss

As a result of the foregoing factors, Cenuco recognized a net loss of \$249,389 on a consolidated basis for the nine months ended March 31, 2005 as compared to net loss of \$1,167,871 for the nine months ended March 31, 2004.

Income (loss) per share is summarized as follows:

| For the nine months ended | For the nine months |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|

| | March 31, 2005 | ended March 31, 2004 |
|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Income (Loss) Per Common Share - Basic and diluted | | |
| Loss from continuing operations | \$(0.17) | \$(0.16) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.15 | 0.04 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Net income (loss) per common share | \$0.02 | \$(0.12) |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Weighted Common Shares Outstanding - Basic and diluted | 12,647,905 | 9,466,185 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

[Back to Contents](#)

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of March 31, 2005, Cenuco had \$6,142,699 in cash and cash equivalents and a short-term investment on hand to meet its obligations. Cenuco's short-term investments consisted of liquid certificates of deposit.

In fiscal 2004, in connection with a private placement, Cenuco sold one unit for \$100,000 comprised of 100,000 shares of common stock and warrants entitling the holder to purchase up to 100,000 shares of common stock, at an exercise price of \$1.00. Additionally, in March 2004, Cenuco consummated a capital raise through a private placement offered to accredited investors and sold 30,000 units aggregating 1,500,000 shares of common stock and 1,500,000 warrants for net proceeds of \$5,380,044 and received proceeds of \$69,300 from the exercise of options and warrants.

For the nine months ended March 31, 2005, Cenuco received proceeds of \$1,890,441 from the exercise of stock options and warrants.

In order to conserve cash, Steven Bettinger, then President of Cenuco, elected to defer compensation beginning in February 2005.

During the nine months ended March 31, 2005, Cenuco invested substantial time and resources developing and evaluating products and opportunities for Cenuco's wireless solutions segment.

Net cash used in operations was \$1,429,683 for the nine months ended March 31, 2005 as compared to net cash used in operations of \$343,315 for the nine months ended March 31, 2004. For the nine months ended March 31, 2005, Cenuco used cash in continuing operations of \$1,757,718 offset by cash provided by discontinued operations of \$328,035. For the nine months ended March 31, 2004, Cenuco used cash in continuing operations of \$850,891 offset by cash provided by discontinued operations of \$507,576. During this period, Cenuco's management believed that the company's cash balance was sufficient to sustain its operations over the ensuing 12-month period.

Net cash used in investing activities for the nine months ended March 31, 2005 was \$712,667 as compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$2,611,428 for nine months ended March 31, 2004 and primarily related to Cenuco's investment in certificate of deposits during the nine months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 of \$698,292 and \$2,576,546, respectively. Additionally, Cenuco acquired property and equipment of \$14,375 and \$34,882 for the nine months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the nine months ended March 31, 2005 was \$1,890,441 and related to cash proceeds received from the exercise of stock options and warrants. For the nine months ended March 31, 2004, Cenuco received proceeds of \$2,778,439 from the sales of common stock and \$2,800 from the exercise of stock options.

At March 31, 2005, Cenuco had no material commitments for capital expenditures.

Year Ended June 30, 2004 Compared to the Year Ended June 30, 2003

The following discussion relates to Cenuco's consolidated results of operations for its fiscal years 2004 and 2003. Further discussion and analysis of operating results follows and is discussed by segment.

Revenues

For fiscal 2004, Cenuco had a 16% decrease in earned revenues to \$1,514,349 from \$1,577,479 for fiscal 2003.

Cost of Equipment Sales

For the year ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, Cenuco incurred cost of sales related to the sale of Cenuco's wireless products and equipment of \$27,019 and \$157,656, respectively.

[Back to Contents](#)

Instruction and Educational Support

Instruction and educational support expenses related to Cenuco's online distant-learning segment. For the year ended June 30 2004, instructional and educational support expenses increased by 14.6% to \$114,551 or 7.6% of consolidated net revenues as compared to \$99,956 or 6.3% of consolidated net revenues for the year ended June 30, 2003.

Research and Development

Research and development expenses related to Cenuco's wireless technology segment. For the year ended June 30 2004, research and development expenses were \$30,163 as compared to \$64,742 for the year ended June 30, 2003.

Bad Debt Expense

For the year ended June 30, 2004, bad debt expenses were \$162,956 as compared to \$403,523 for the year ended June 30, 2003. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004, Cenuco changed its policy for accounting for withdrawn students in its online distance learning segment. This change was treated as a change in accounting principle. For those students with net receivable balances upon withdrawal, the net debit balance was charged to bad debt expense rather than to revenues. Management believed this method was preferable as it better reflected the entity's bad debt on withdrawn students. The pro forma net effect on the comparable 2003 consolidated financial statements was a reclassification of \$226,166 from revenues to bad debt expense.

Selling and Promotion

Selling and promotion expense consisted primarily of recruiting fees, advertising, trade show expense, and travel. For the year ended June 30, 2004, selling and promotion expenses decreased by 6.8% to \$329,333 or 21.7% of consolidated net revenues as compared to \$353,403 or 22.4% of consolidated net revenues for the year ended June 30, 2003.

Impairment Loss

In June 2004, based on an impairment test, Cenuco decided to write-off all intangible asset balances of \$884,028 relating to the acquisition of certain assets under a purchase agreement. The decision to recognize an impairment loss was made in light of Cenuco's inability to generate a profit after the acquisition, mounting intercompany balances, the length of time estimated for us to recover the initial investment, and the uncertainty of market conditions and business performance.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses, which includes salaries, professional fees, rent, stock-based compensation, insurance, and other expenses, were \$3,610,179 for the year ended June 30, 2004 as compared to \$1,879,312 for the year ended June 30, 2003. This amounted to 238% of consolidated net revenues for the year ended June 30, 2004 as compared to 119% for the year ended June 30, 2003. The increase was primarily due to a substantial increase in stock-based compensation and consulting fees in fiscal 2004 as compared to fiscal 2003. Additionally, Cenuco incurred one-time costs associated with its listing on the American Stock Exchange as well as certain expenses associated with its capital-raising activities. Consolidated general and administrative expenses are summarized as follows:

| | 2004 | 2003 |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Salaries | \$788,413 | \$818,033 |
| Stock-based compensation | 1,681,080 | 262,843 |
| Professional fees | 130,602 | 127,954 |
| Rent | 88,004 | 89,288 |
| Consulting | 263,704 | 98,807 |
| Other | 658,376 | 482,387 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total General and Administrative | \$3,610,179 | \$1,879,312 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |

[Back to Contents](#)**Interest Income**

Interest income was \$21,956 for the year ended June 30, 2004 as compared to \$18,921 for the year ended June 30, 2003, an increase of \$3,035.

Income Taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities were provided for significant income and expense items recognized in different years for tax and financial reporting purposes. As of June 30, 2004, the net deferred taxes were fully offset by a valuation allowance since Cenuco could not then conclude that it was more likely than not that the benefits would be realized. The net operating loss carryforward for income tax purposes of approximately \$1,950,000 was scheduled to expire beginning in 2024. Internal Revenue Code Section 382 places a limitation on the amount of taxable income that can be offset by carryforwards after a change in control (generally greater than a 50% change in ownership). In connection with the recording of a valuation allowance on its deferred tax asset, in fiscal 2003, Cenuco recorded deferred tax expense of \$153,156.

Wireless and Web Solutions Segment

For the year ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, Cenuco had net revenues of \$176,701 and \$395,761, respectively, which consisted of the following:

| | Fiscal 2004 | Fiscal 2003 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Equipment and software Sales | \$63,965 | \$191,786 |
| Wireless Solutions and Web Services | 112,178 | 179,563 |
| Other | 558 | 24,412 |
| | <u>\$176,701</u> | <u>\$395,761</u> |

For the year ended June 30, 2003, equipment sales included revenues from the sale of telephone equipment, or approximately \$78,000, that Cenuco no longer sold in the subsequent period. Additionally, in fiscal 2003, Cenuco sold security cameras and related equipment that it no longer sold in the subsequent period. In fiscal 2004, Cenuco had a decrease in revenues of \$67,385 from its wireless and web services primarily due to the cancellation of its hosting and maintenance contracts with AIG Environmental.

For the year ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, Cenuco incurred cost of sales related to the sale of equipment of \$27,019 and \$157,656, respectively.

For the year ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, Cenuco incurred research and development expenses from the development of new products of \$30,163 and \$64,742, respectively.

For the year ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, Cenuco incurred bad debt expenses of \$5,195 and \$15,922, respectively.

For the year ended June 30, 2004, selling and promotion expenses amounted to \$166,367, which included \$52,066 in commission expense, \$48,868 in advertising expense, \$1,408 of trade show expense, printing and reproduction expense of \$3,847, and travel expenses of \$60,178. For the year ended June 30, 2003, selling and promotion expenses

amounted to \$216,179, which included \$27,132 in commission expense, \$5,721 in advertising expense, \$99,570 of trade show expense, printing and reproduction expense of \$31,146, and travel expenses of \$52,610.

For the year ended June 30, 2004, Cenuco incurred \$1,577,232 of general and administrative expenses, which included salaries expense of \$533,192, consulting expense of \$287,634, rent expense of \$43,797, professional fees of \$93,566, stock-based compensation of \$138,450, payroll taxes of \$40,563, and other operating expenses. For the year ended June 30, 2003, Cenuco incurred \$1,099,018 of general and administrative expenses, which included salaries expense of \$578,899, consulting expense of \$98,203, computer and internet related expenses of \$33,038, rent expense of \$42,772, professional fees of \$58,207, postage and delivery of \$36,862, payroll taxes of \$39,327, and other operating expenses. For the year ended June 30, 2004, salaries were \$533,192 as compared to \$578,899 for the year ended June 30, 2003. For the year ended June 30, 2004, Cenuco recorded stock-based compensation of \$138,450 from the issuance of common stock and grants of stock options and warrants for services. The increase in consulting fees for the year ended June 30, 2004 as compared to the year ended June 30, 2003 was attributable to an increase in fees paid for public relations services related to its MommyTrack product. The increase in rent expense for the year ended June 30, 2004 as compared to the year ended June 30, 2003 was attributable the increase in rent allocated to Cenuco's wireless segment related to an increase in office space used by this segment.

[Back to Contents](#)

For the year ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, interest income was \$21,848 and \$9,289, respectively. At that time, Cenuco invested its excess cash balances in primarily two interest-bearing accounts with two financial institutions.

Online Distance Learning Segment

Revenues

For fiscal 2004, Cenuco had a 13.2% increase in earned revenues to \$1,337,648 from \$1,181,718 for fiscal 2003. In fiscal 2003 and the first part of fiscal 2004, Cenuco decreased its marketing efforts and focused on its wireless segment. In the latter part of fiscal 2004, Cenuco increased its marketing efforts and saw increased student enrollment in the second quarter of fiscal 2004. Cenuco's students completed their courses at a slower rate than expected. Unearned revenue represents the portion of tuition revenue invoiced but not earned and was reflected as a liability in Cenuco's consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2004. Since Cenuco recognized tuition and registration revenue based on the number of courses actually completed in each student's course of study, student course completion efforts, if successful, were extremely beneficial to operating results. During the year ended June 30, 2004, Cenuco experienced a general slowdown in course completion by its students, which had an adverse effect on its revenue.

Tuition refunds were based on the date that the student canceled. Cenuco's refund policy is described below under the heading *Critical Accounting Policies*.

Expenses

Instruction and Educational Support

Instruction and educational support expenses consisted primarily of student supplies such as textbooks as well as course development fees, credit card fees, computer related expenses, and printing fees. For the year ended June 30, 2004, instructional and educational support expenses increased by 14.6% to \$114,551 or 8.6% of net revenues as compared to \$99,956 or 7.1% of net revenues for the year ended June 30, 2003. The increase in instructional and educational support expenses and the related percentages was mainly attributable to the fact that Cenuco had enrolled more students in that period. Accordingly, student supply expense was \$62,564 or 4.7% of net revenues for the year ended June 30, 2004 as compared to \$42,835 or 3.0% of revenue for the year ended June 30, 2003. Printing and reproduction costs increased to \$22,533 for the year ended June 30, 2004 as compared to \$18,271 for the year ended June 30, 2003. Computer and internet expenses increased to \$16,646 for the year ended June 30, 2004 as compared to \$8,879 for the year ended June 30, 2003 due to increased development and maintenance of Cenuco's websites and database related to its online university. Additionally, Cenuco incurred costs associated with course development for the year ended June 30, 2004 of \$0 as compared to \$11,592 for the year ended June 30, 2003.

Bad Debt Expenses

For the year ended June 30, 2004, bad debt expense amounted to \$157,761 as compared to \$161,435 for the year ended June 30, 2003, resulting from student inactivity.

Selling and Promotion

Selling and promotion expense consisted primarily of recruiting fees, advertising and travel. For the year ended June 30, 2004, selling and promotion expenses increased by 18.8% to \$162,966 or 12% of net revenues as compared to \$137,224 or 11.6% of net revenues for the year ended June 30, 2003. The increase in selling and promotion expenses is attributable to the refocus of Cenuco's selling and promotion efforts to its distance learning segment. For the year ended June 30, 2004, advertising expense amounted to \$61,499 as compared to \$97,977 for the year ended June 30,

2003. Additionally, Cenuco's recruiting fees increased to \$92,472 for year ended June 30, 2004 from \$28,186 for the year ended June 30, 2003. The increase is attributable to the company's increased use of recruiters to obtain students.

[Back to Contents](#)

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses, which includes salaries, stock-based compensation, professional fees, rent, insurance, and other expenses, were \$2,032,947 for the year ended June 30, 2004 as compared to \$780,294 for the year ended June 30, 2003. This amounted to 152% of net revenues for the year ended June 30, 2004 as compared to 66% for the year ended June 30, 2003. The increase was primarily due to the following factors:

The cost of professional fees decreased to \$37,036 for the year ended June 30, 2004 as compared to \$69,747 for the year ended June 30, 2003 due to the increased allocation of professional fees to Cenuco's wireless technologies segment. For the year ended June 30, 2004, salaries were \$255,220 as compared to salaries of \$239,134 for the year ended June 30, 2003. The increase in salaries was attributable to the hiring of staff for marketing purposes. For the year ended June 30, 2004, Cenuco recorded stock-based compensation of \$1,542,630 from the issuance of common stock and grants of stock options and warrants for services rendered as compared to \$262,843 for the year ended June 30, 2003. Additionally, Cenuco experienced a decrease in postage and delivery, telephone expenses, rent, payroll taxes, and office expenses due to a decrease in student activity and increased allocations of its overhead expenses to the wireless segment.

Interest Income

Interest income was \$108 for the year ended June 30, 2004 as compared to \$9,632 for the year ended June 30, 2003, a decrease of \$9,524 due to the fact that cash was transferred to the wireless segment. During the relevant periods, Cenuco invested its excess cash balances in primarily two interest-bearing accounts with two financial institutions.

Summary of Consolidated Results

Net income (loss)

As a result of the foregoing factors, Cenuco recognized a net loss of \$(3,621,924) or \$(.36) per common share on a consolidated basis for the year ended June 30, 2004 as compared to a net loss of \$(1,289,182) or \$(.15) per common share for the year ended June 30, 2003.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of June 30, 2004, Cenuco had \$5,696,316 in cash and equivalents and short-term investments, consisting of a certificate of deposit, on hand to meet its obligations.

In connection with a private placement, Cenuco sold one unit for \$100,000 comprised of 100,000 shares of common stock and warrants entitling the holder to purchase up to 100,000 shares of common stock, at an exercise price of \$1.00. Additionally, in March and April 2004, Cenuco consummated a capital raise through a private placement offered to accredited investors. Cenuco offered, through a placement agent, investment units consisting of 5,000 shares of common stock offered at \$4.00 per share with a callable warrant to purchase 5,000 shares of its common stock at \$4.50 per share. The private placement was originally to be for a maximum amount of \$5,000,000, but was subsequently increased to a maximum of \$6,000,000. In connection with this private placement, Cenuco sold 300 units aggregating 1,500,000 shares of common stock and 1,500,000 warrants for net proceeds of \$5,380,044. Additionally, during fiscal 2004, Cenuco received proceeds of \$69,300 from the exercise of warrants and options.

During the year ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, Cenuco invested substantial time and resources developing and evaluating products and opportunities for the wireless solutions segment. Cenuco continued to develop new wireless solutions for both of its segments and considered acquisitions, business combinations, or start up proposals, which had

the potential to be advantageous to its product lines or business plans.

Net cash used in operations was \$799,817 for the year ended June 30, 2004 as compared to net cash used in operations of \$459,548 for the year ended June 30, 2003. Cenuco used additional cash funds for salaries and expenses related to the development of its wireless security products. Cenuco's management believed at the time that with expected positive cash flow, the company's current cash balance would be sufficient to sustain its operations over the ensuing 12-month period, including the expected growth during the period.

[Back to Contents](#)

Net cash used in investing activities for the year ended June 30, 2004 was \$4,738,297 as compared to \$775,215 for year ended June 30, 2003 and was primarily related to Cenuco's investment in certificate of deposits during the year ended June 30, 2004 of \$4,688,384 as compared to \$701,614 for the year ended June 30, 2003. Additionally, Cenuco acquired property and equipment of \$49,913 and \$73,601 for the years ended June 30, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended June 30, 2004 was \$5,549,344 as compared to \$0 for year ended June 30, 2003. In fiscal 2004, Cenuco received net proceeds of \$5,549,344 from the sales of common stock and exercise of stock options and warrants.

At June 30, 2004, Cenuco had no material commitments for capital expenditures.

Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of Cenuco's financial condition and results of operations for the nine months ended March 31, 2005 and the years ended June 30, 2004 and 2003 are based upon Cenuco's consolidated financial statements, which were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires Cenuco to make estimates and judgments that affected the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, Cenuco continued to evaluate its estimates based on historical experience and on various other assumptions that management believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which formed the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that were not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may have differed from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. A summary of the significant accounting policies is included in Note B to the audited consolidated financial statements of Cenuco, Inc. for the years ended June 30, 2004 and 2003 included in this prospectus. Cenuco's management believed that the application of these policies on a consistent basis enables Cenuco to provide useful and reliable financial information about the company's operating results and financial condition.

Cenuco recorded property and equipment at cost. Depreciation and amortization are provided using the straight-line method over the estimated economic lives of the assets, which are from five to twenty years. Expenditures for major renewals and betterments that extend the useful lives of property and equipment were capitalized. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs were charged to expense as incurred.

Cenuco accounted for stock options issued to employees in accordance with the provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations. As such, compensation cost was measured on the date of grant as the excess of the current market price of the underlying stock over the exercise price. Such compensation amounts, if any, were amortized over the respective vesting periods of the option grant. During the relevant periods, Cenuco had adopted the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123,

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation and SFAS 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation -Transition and Disclosure, which permitted entities to provide pro forma net income (loss) and pro forma earnings (loss) per share disclosures for employee stock option grants as if the fair-valued based method defined in SFAS No. 123 had been applied. For the relevant periods, Cenuco accounted for stock options and stock issued to non-employees for goods or services in accordance with the fair value method of SFAS 123.

Cenuco followed the guidance of the SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin 104 for revenue recognition. In general, Cenuco recorded revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement existed, services had been rendered or when product delivery had occurred, the sales price to the customer was fixed or determinable, and collectability was reasonably assured. The following policies reflect specific criteria for the various revenues streams of Cenuco during the relevant periods.

In connection with the development and sale of wireless solutions and web services, which included the development of business-to-business and business-to-consumer wireless applications, and state of the art wireless technology and services, Cenuco recognized revenue as services were performed on a pro-rata basis over the contract term or as products were delivered. Cenuco had executed a distribution agreement whereby the distributor was permitted to purchase wireless product on consignment. Any sales made to the distributor under this agreement was recorded as a deferred revenue liability until such time as the distributor had sold the product, at which time Cenuco recognized the related revenues.

[Back to Contents](#)

Cenuco recognized tuition and registration revenues from its online distance learning segment based on the number of courses actually completed in each student's course of study. For example, if a student completed three out of his nine required courses, Cenuco would recognize 33% of the tuition regardless of the amount of time that the student had taken to fulfill these requirements.

Tuition refunds were based on the date that the student canceled and the policy was as follows: if the student withdrew within 5 calendar days after midnight of the day the student signed the Enrollment Agreement (Full Refund Period) the student would receive a full refund with no further obligation. If the student canceled after the Full Refund Period but before the school received the first completed lesson, the student would be charged a registration fee of \$150 and the student would receive a full refund less the registration fee charge. If the student canceled after the school received the first completed lesson, the student's tuition obligation would be the registration fee plus a portion of the remaining tuition as defined below:

| Percentage of Course Completed | Amount of Tuition Obligated |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 10% of less | 10% of tuition |
| Between 11% - 25% | 25% of tuition |
| Between 26% - 50% | 50% of tuition |
| Over 50% | Obligated for full tuition |

95

[Back to Contents](#)

GENERAL INFORMATION

Stock Performance Graph

The following graph compares the yearly percentage change in the cumulative total stockholder return on the Company's common stock during the five fiscal years ended February 28, 2006 with the cumulative total return on the Nasdaq Composite Index, and the S&P 500 Personal Products Index. The comparison assumes that \$100 was invested at the market price on the close of business on February 28, 2001 in the Company's common stock and the stocks comprising the comparison indices, and assumes the reinvestment of dividends (although the Company has never paid dividends).

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Ethics applicable to all employees, including all of our officers. Violations of the Code of Ethics may be reported to Andrew W. Sheldrick, our Chief Counsel, at Ascendia Brands, Inc. at 100 American Metro Boulevard, Suite 108, Hamilton, New Jersey 08619. Copies of this Code of Ethics can be obtained free of charge from our website, www.ascendiabrands.com. With respect to any amendments or waivers of this Code of Ethics (to the extent applicable to the Company's chief executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions) the Company intends to either post such amendments or waivers on its website, www.ascendiabrands.com, or disclose such amendments or waivers pursuant to a Current Report on Form 8-K.

Communications with the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors provides a process for stockholders to send communications to the Board of Directors or any of its directors. Stockholders may send written communications to the Board of Directors or any of the directors c/o Corporate Secretary, Ascendia Brands, Inc., 100 American Metro Boulevard, Suite 108, Hamilton, New Jersey 08619. All communications will be compiled by our Corporate Secretary and submitted to the Board of Directors or the individual directors on a periodic basis.

Future Proposals of Stockholders

The deadline for stockholders to submit proposals to be considered for inclusion in the proxy statement for the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is May 31, 2007. Additionally, we must receive notice of any stockholder proposal to be submitted at the 2007 Annual Meeting (but not required to be included in our proxy statement for that meeting) by July 15, 2007, or such proposal will be considered untimely pursuant to Rule 14a-5(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and persons named in the proxies solicited by management may exercise discretionary voting authority with respect to such proposal. Proposals should be directed to Corporate Secretary, Ascendia Brands, Inc., 100 American Metro Boulevard, Suite 108, Hamilton, New Jersey 08619.

[Back to Contents](#)

Other Matters

The Board of Directors does not intend to present any other matters for action at the Meeting. However, if any other matter is properly presented at the Meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the enclosed proxy to vote in accordance with their best judgment on such matters.

Where You Can Find More Information

We file annual, quarterly and special reports and other information with the SEC. You may read and copy these reports, proxy statements and other information at the SEC's Public Reference Section at 100 F Street, N.E., Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains an Internet website, located at <http://www.sec.gov>, that contains reports, proxy statements and other information regarding registrants that file electronically with the SEC.

If you have questions about the Meeting or the Merger after reading this proxy statement, or if you would like additional copies of this proxy statement or the proxy card, you should contact Ascendia Brands, Inc., 100 American Metro Boulevard, Suite 108, Hamilton, New Jersey 08619, Attention: Corporate Secretary. The Company also makes available, free of charge, through its Internet website (<http://www.ascendiabrands.com>) its annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K and, if applicable, amendments to those reports, filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC.

Householding Information

The SEC permits companies and intermediaries (such as brokers and banks) to satisfy delivery requirements for proxy statements and annual reports with respect to two or more stockholders sharing the same address by delivering a single proxy statement and annual report to those stockholders. This process, which is commonly referred to as householding, is intended to reduce the volume of duplicate information stockholders receive and also reduce expenses for companies.

The Company understands that a number of intermediaries will be householding the Company's proxy materials and annual report. If you hold your shares of the Company's stock through one of these intermediaries, a single proxy statement and Annual Report on Form 10-K may have been sent to multiple stockholders in your household. We will promptly deliver a separate copy of each of these documents to you if you contact our Corporate Secretary at the address of the Company appearing on the first page of this proxy statement or at (609) 219-0930. If you hold your shares of the Company's stock through an intermediary and you want to receive separate copies of our annual report and proxy statement in the future, you should contact your bank, broker or other nominee record holder.

If you currently receive multiple copies of the proxy statement and annual report and you would like to receive only one copy for your household in the future, you should contact your broker, bank or other nominee record holder, or you may contact the Company by writing our Corporate Secretary at the address of the Company appearing on the first page of this proxy statement.

Incorporation by Reference

The SEC allows us to provide information about our business and other important information to you by incorporating by reference the information we file with the SEC, which means that we can disclose the information to you by referring in this proxy statement to the documents we file with the SEC. Under the SEC's regulations, any statement contained in a document incorporated by reference in this proxy statement is automatically updated and superseded by

any information contained in this proxy statement, or in any subsequently filed document of the types described below.

[Back to Contents](#)

We incorporate into this proxy statement by reference the following documents filed by us with the SEC:

| SEC Filing | Period Covered or Date of Filing |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Annual Report on Form 10-K | Fiscal Year ended February 28, 2006 |
| Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q | Fiscal Quarter ended August 26, 2006 |

We are delivering with this proxy statement a copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2006 and the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the thirteen weeks ended August 26, 2006. Upon request, we will provide copies of the exhibits to the Annual Report on Form 10-K at no additional cost. All requests for copies should be directed to our Corporate Secretary, Ascendia Brands, Inc., 100 American Metro Boulevard, Suite 108, Hamilton, New Jersey 08619.

You should rely only on the information incorporated by reference or provided in this proxy statement. We have not authorized anyone else to provide you with different information. You should not assume that the information in this proxy statement is accurate as of any date other than the date on the front of such documents.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

[electronic signature]

JOSEPH A. FALSETTI

PRESIDENT AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

December ____, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>PAGE</u> |
|---|-------------|
| <u>1. Financial Statements of Ascendia Brands, Inc. and subsidiaries</u> | <u>F-1</u> |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm - BDO Seidman, LLP</u> | <u>F-2</u> |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm - BDO Seidman, LLP</u> | <u>F-3</u> |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm - KPMG LLP</u> | <u>F-4</u> |
| <u>Consolidated/Combined Balance Sheets as of February 28, 2006 and February 28, 2005</u> | <u>F-5</u> |
| <u>Consolidated/Combined Statements of Operations for the years ended February 28, 2006 and February 28, 2005 and for the period from April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004</u> | <u>F-6</u> |
| <u>Consolidated/Combined Statements of Shareholders' Equity/Members' Deficit for the years ended February 28, 2006 and 2005 and for the period from April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004</u> | <u>F-7</u> |
| <u>Consolidated/Combined Statements of Cash Flow for the years ended February 28, 2006 and 2005 and for the period from April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004</u> | <u>F-8</u> |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated/Combined Financial Statements</u> | <u>F-9</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheet (unaudited) as of August 26, 2006</u> | <u>F-31</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006 and August 27, 2005</u> | <u>F-32</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity (unaudited) for the twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006 and August 27, 2005</u> | <u>F-33</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow (unaudited) for the twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006 and August 27, 2005</u> | <u>F-34</u> |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | <u>F-35</u> |
| F-1 | |

[Back to Contents](#)

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders

Ascendia Brands, Inc.

Hamilton, New Jersey

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Ascendia Brands, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of February 28, 2006 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended. We have also audited the schedule listed in the accompanying index. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and schedule are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements and schedule, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements and schedule. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Ascendia Brands, Inc. and subsidiaries at February 28, 2006, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Also, in our opinion, the schedule presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ BDO Seidman, LLP

Woodbridge, New Jersey

July 5, 2006, except for Note 6(b), which is as of August 3, 2006

[Back to Contents](#)

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders

Ascendia Brands, Inc.

Hamilton, New Jersey

We have audited the accompanying combined balance sheet of Ascendia Brands, Inc. and subsidiaries (formerly Cenuco, Inc. and previously Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC and subsidiaries and Hermes Real Estate I LLC) as of February 28, 2005 and the related combined statements of operations, members' deficit, and cash flows for the year then ended. We have also audited the schedule listed in the accompanying index. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and schedule are free of material misstatement. An audit includes consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements and schedule, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements and schedule. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the combined financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Ascendia Brands, Inc. and subsidiaries (formerly Cenuco, Inc. and previously Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC and subsidiaries and Hermes Real Estate I LLC) at February 28, 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Also, in our opinion, the schedule presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ BDO Seidman, LLP

Woodbridge, New Jersey

August 12, 2005, except for Note 12(b), which is as of November 16, 2005

[Back to Contents](#)

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors

Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC:

We have audited the accompanying combined statements of operations, members' deficit, and cash flows of Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC and subsidiaries and Hermes Real Estate I LLC (collectively d.b.a. Lander, the Company) for the period from April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004. These combined financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these combined financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the combined financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the results of operations and the cash flows of Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC and subsidiaries and Hermes Real Estate I LLC for the period from April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Philadelphia, PA
January 21, 2005

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated/ Combined Balance Sheets**

| | February 28, 2006 | February 28, 2005 |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| <u>ASSETS</u> | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 1,876,294 | \$ 31,763 |
| Trade receivables, net of allowances of \$527,604 at February 28, 2006 and \$547,306 at February 28, 2005 | 6,470,937 | 8,002,867 |
| Miscellaneous receivables | 1,692,721 | 93,964 |
| Note receivable, current portion | 94,858 | |
| Inventories | 16,269,374 | 8,725,952 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 678,896 | 470,653 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total current assets | 27,083,080 | 17,325,199 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 6,502,441 | 6,017,533 |
| Goodwill | 14,553,680 | |
| Intangibles, net | 53,202,854 | |
| Note receivable, less current portion | 339,581 | |
| Other assets, net | 1,264,540 | 692,817 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total assets | \$ 102,946,176 | \$ 24,035,549 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| <u>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY / MEMBERS (DEFICIT)</u> | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 9,023,858 | \$ 10,541,956 |
| Accrued expenses | 2,851,928 | 2,547,521 |
| Accrued interest | 1,202,488 | 297,964 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 31,749 | 8,929,540 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total current liabilities | 13,110,023 | 22,316,981 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | 80,000,000 | 6,875,296 |
| Long-term pension obligation | 967,386 | 673,328 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total liabilities | 94,077,409 | 29,865,605 |
| Stockholders equity / members (deficit): | | |
| Convertible preferred stock, par value \$.001 per share; Authorized 1,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 2,553.6746 shares at February 28, 2006; no shares issued and outstanding at February 28, 2005 | 3 | |
| Common stock, par value \$.001 per share; | | |

Edgar Filing: ASCENDIA BRANDS, INC. - Form PRE 14A

| | | |
|--|----------------------|---------------------|
| Authorized 25,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 13,882,056 shares at February 28, 2006; no shares issued and outstanding at February 28, 2005 | 13,882 | |
| Additional paid in capital | 37,907,100 | |
| Accumulated deficit | (28,378,422) | |
| Members' contributed capital | | 2,000 |
| Accumulated members' deficit | | (5,707,597) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (673,796) | (124,459) |
| | <u>8,868,767</u> | <u>(5,830,056)</u> |
| Total stockholders' equity / members' (deficit) | | |
| | <u>\$102,946,176</u> | <u>\$24,035,549</u> |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated/ Combined Statements of Operations**

| | For the year ended | | For the period From April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|--|
| | February 28, 2006 | February 28, 2005 | February 29, 2004 |
| Net sales | \$79,562,219 | \$69,860,802 | \$55,046,015 |
| Cost of sales | 74,258,510 | 62,369,597 | 48,243,281 |
| Gross Profit | 5,303,709 | 7,491,205 | 6,802,734 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Selling and marketing | 4,436,647 | 3,867,105 | 3,084,479 |
| General and administrative | 12,171,703 | 6,380,096 | 4,913,210 |
| Goodwill impairment | 16,421,000 | | |
| Loss from operations | (27,725,641) | (2,755,996) | (1,194,955) |
| Other income, net | 3,137,691 | 206,097 | 211,335 |
| Interest expense, net | (5,624,466) | (1,438,863) | (735,215) |
| Total other/interest expense | (2,486,775) | (1,232,766) | (523,880) |
| Loss before income taxes | (30,212,416) | (3,988,762) | (1,718,835) |
| Income taxes | | | |
| Net loss | \$(30,212,416) | \$(3,988,762) | \$(1,718,835) |
| Basic and diluted loss per common share | \$(2.06) | N/A | N/A |
| Basic and diluted loss per preferred share | \$(718) | N/A | N/A |
| Shares used in computing loss per share: | | | |
| Basic and diluted common | 13,795,100 | N/A | N/A |
| Basic and diluted preferred | 2,553.7 | N/A | N/A |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated/Combined Statements of Shareholders Equity/Members Deficit for the years ended February 28, 2006 and 2005 and for the period from April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004**

| | Series A Preferred Stock Shares | Common Shares | Stock Amount | Additional Paid in Capital | Accumulated Deficit | Members Contributed Capital | Members Accumulated Deficit | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Stockholders' Equity/ Members' (Deficit) |
|---|--|------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| Balance at April 25, 2003 (inception) | | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Net loss | | | | | | | (1,718,835) | | (1,718,835) |
| Foreign currency translation | | | | | | | | (98,105) | (98,105) |
| Total comprehensive loss | | | | | | | | | (1,816,940) |
| Equity contribution | | | | | | 2,000 | | | 2,000 |
| Balance at February 29, 2004 | | | | | | 2,000 | (1,718,835) | (98,105) | (1,814,940) |
| Net loss | | | | | | | (3,988,762) | | (3,988,762) |
| Foreign currency translation | | | | | | | | (26,354) | (26,354) |
| Total comprehensive loss | | | | | | | | | (4,015,116) |
| Balance at February 28, 2005 | | | | | | 2,000 | (5,707,597) | (124,459) | (5,830,056) |
| Foreign currency translation | | | | | | | | (202,170) | (202,170) |
| Minimum pension | | | | | | | | (347,167) | (347,167) |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|------------|------------|----------|--------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| liability, net of tax | | | | | | | | | |
| Net loss to date of recapitalization and Merger | | | | | | | (1,833,994) | | (1,833,994) |
| Net loss subsequent to Merger | | | | | (28,378,422) | | | | (28,378,422) |
| Total comprehensive loss: | | | | | | | | | (30,761,416) |
| Conversion from LLC to Corporation | 2,553.7 | 3 | | | (7,541,591) | | 7,541,591 | | 3 |
| Exercise of warrants | | 131,500 | 131 | | 131,369 | | | | 131,500 |
| Reverse acquisition of Cenuco, Inc. | | 13,750,556 | 13,751 | | 45,317,322 | | (2,000) | | 45,329,073 |
| Balance at February 28, 2006 | 2,553.7 | \$3 | 13,882,056 | \$13,882 | \$37,907,100 | \$(28,378,422) | \$ | \$(673,796) | \$8,868,700 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated/ Combined Statements of Cash Flows**

| | For the year ended | | For the period From April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004 |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | February 28, 2006 | February 28, 2005 | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net loss | \$(30,212,416) | \$(3,988,762) | \$(1,718,835) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,076,956 | 983,807 | 652,942 |
| Goodwill impairment | 16,421,000 | | |
| Provision for bad debts | 244,295 | 252,470 | 438,000 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 1,950,507 | 166,246 | 93,825 |
| Gain on settlement of Seller's Note | (2,500,000) | | |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Trade receivables | 1,350,987 | 231,980 | (2,967,982) |
| Inventories | (7,521,783) | (158,372) | 1,503,944 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | (1,604,056) | 409,097 | (403,194) |
| Other assets | 71,058 | (431,837) | |
| Accounts payable | (1,571,781) | 2,782,720 | 3,069,223 |
| Accrued expenses | 958,316 | 812,652 | (1,065,972) |
| Long-term pension obligations | (53,109) | 300 | (53,698) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (19,390,026) | 1,060,301 | (451,747) |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | | |
| Net increase in cash from reverse acquisition of Cenuco | 6,002,887 | | |
| Proceeds from note receivable | 93,285 | | |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired | | | (11,091,456) |
| Purchase of property, plant and equipment | (1,361,252) | (481,157) | (4,103,373) |
| Disposal of fixed assets | 26,097 | | |
| Purchase of intellectual property | (47,270,343) | (422,837) | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (42,509,326) | (903,994) | (15,194,829) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | |
| Bridge loan proceeds | 80,000,000 | | |
| Net (repayments) borrowings of short-term debt/ revolver | (5,698,935) | 729,158 | 7,469,777 |
| Proceeds from machinery and equipment term loans | | | 1,467,000 |

Edgar Filing: ASCENDIA BRANDS, INC. - Form PRE 14A

| | | | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Proceeds from real estate term loans | | | 2,450,000 |
| Proceeds from subordinated note | | | 4,500,000 |
| Financing costs | (2,912,360) | (93,750) | |
| Repayments of long-term debt | (7,520,743) | (676,853) | (240,374) |
| Repayments of capital lease | (53,409) | (58,572) | |
| Equity contribution | | | 2,000 |
| Proceeds from exercise of warrants | 131,500 | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 63,946,053 | (100,017) | 15,648,403 |
| Effect of exchange rates on cash | (202,170) | (26,354) | |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 1,844,531 | 29,936 | 1,827 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of period | 31,763 | 1,827 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at the end of period | \$1,876,294 | \$31,763 | \$1,827 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | | | |
| Cash paid for interest | \$2,816,311 | \$984,973 | \$661,927 |
| Assets acquired under capital leases | | | 144,860 |
| Reverse acquisition, excluding cash acquired (Note 1): | | | |
| Fair value of tangible assets acquired | 1,199,715 | | |
| Goodwill and identifiable intangible assets acquired | 38,974,680 | | |
| Liabilities assumed | (473,590) | | |
| Net assets acquired | \$39,700,805 | | |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

[Back to Contents](#)

Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated/ Combined Financial Statements February 28, 2006

NOTE 1 DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND REORGANIZATION

Introduction

Ascendia Brands, Inc. (Ascendia , or the Company , the Registrant , we or us) manufactures and markets a portfolio of nationally and internationally known branded products in the health and beauty care categories. The brand portfolio includes *Baby Magic*, *Binaca*, *Mr. Bubble*, *Lander*, *Lander essentials*, *Ogilvie*, *Tek*, *Dorothy Gray* and *Tussy*, competing in the Bath Products, Baby Toiletries, Deodorant/Antiperspirant, Home Permanent Treatment, Mouthwash, Portable Breath Sprays and Drops, Manual Toothbrush, and Skin Care segments within the personal care products category. Ascendia sells its brands through a variety of channels, concentrating primarily on the mass merchandiser, drug, grocery and dollar store outlets. The Company also develops and markets wireless data applications, with a focus on live video streaming to cellular devices across any carrier or handset platform.

Corporate Structure

On May 9, 2006 the Company (previously known as Cenuco, Inc.) changed its name to Ascendia Brands, Inc. The chart below depicts the current structure of the Ascendia group and the discussion that follows summarizes the functions and role of each company in the group.

Ascendia Brands, Inc. (*Ascendia* , *the Company* or *the Registrant*). The Company is a holding company, organized under Delaware law, with its principal office in Hamilton, New Jersey. It owns directly the stock of Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC and Cenuco, Inc.

Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC (*HACI*). Hermes is a Delaware limited liability company that acts as the holding company for the Company's health and beauty care division.

Ascendia Brands Co., Inc. (*Ascendia Brands*). Ascendia Brands is a New Jersey corporation with its executive offices in Hamilton, New Jersey. As of May 1, 2006, Ascendia Brands assumed the manufacturing and distribution operations formerly conducted through Lander Co., Inc. As the successor to Lander Co., Inc., Ascendia Brands manufactures and sells branded health and beauty care products in the value and premium value categories, through mass market retailers (such as Wal-Mart and K-Mart), dollar stores, supermarkets and pharmacies. Ascendia's brands include *Baby Magic*, *Binaca*, *Mr. Bubble*, *Lander*, *Lander essentials*, *Ogilvie*, *Tek*, *Dentax*, *Dorothy Gray* and *Tussy*. Ascendia Brands operates a manufacturing plant in Binghamton, New York, which is leased from a related party, Ascendia Real Estate LLC.

Lander Co., Inc. (*Lander*). Lander is a Delaware corporation with its executive offices in Wilmington, Delaware. During the period ended February 28, 2006, Lander was the principal operating company in Ascendia's health and beauty care division. Following the transition of manufacturing and distribution activities to Ascendia Brands, Lander acts as an intellectual property holding company for trademarks and other intellectual property associated with the *Lander* brands.

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated/ Combined Financial Statements February 28, 2006**

Lander Co. Canada Ltd (Lander Canada). Lander Canada, a Canadian limited company, is the Canadian manufacturing and distribution arm of Ascendia. Lander Canada operates a manufacturing facility in Toronto, Ontario, which it leases from a third party.

Ascendia Real Estate LLC (f/k/a Hermes Real Estate I LLC) (Ascendia Real Estate). Ascendia Real Estate, a New York limited liability company, is a real estate holding company. Its sole asset is the Binghamton plant, which it leases to Ascendia Brands.

Lander Intangibles Corporation (Lander Intangibles). Lander Intangibles is a Delaware corporation with its executive offices in Wilmington, Delaware. Lander Intangibles is as an intellectual property holding company that was formed to acquire and hold certain of the intellectual property that the Company purchased from Playtex Products Inc. and its affiliates (Playtex) on November 16, 2005.

Cenuco, Inc. (Cenuco Wireless). Cenuco Wireless is a Florida corporation that is wholly-owned by the Company. Cenuco Wireless develops and markets wireless data applications, with a focus on live video streaming to cellular devices across any carrier or handset platform.

THE MERGER

On May 20, 2005, Hermes Holding Company, Inc., a newly formed wholly owned subsidiary of Cenuco, Inc., a public company, (ICU) merged (the Merger) with HACI.

The Merger was completed through the issuance of 2,553.7 shares of Cenuco, Inc. s Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock (representing 65 percent of the aggregate outstanding voting power of Cenuco capital stock) in exchange for all the outstanding membership units of HACI. As a consequence of the Merger, HACI, together with its wholly owned subsidiaries Lander, Ascendia Real Estate, and Lander Canada, became wholly owned subsidiaries of Cenuco.

For financial reporting purposes, the Merger was treated as a recapitalization of HACI followed by the reverse acquisition of Cenuco, Inc. by HACI for a purchase price equivalent to the total market value of Cenuco, Inc. s stock outstanding prior to the Merger, plus the fair value of the options that automatically vested on the date of the Merger (approximately \$45.7 million in the aggregate). Consistent with the accounting and presentation for reverse acquisitions, the historical financial statements of the Company, Inc. prior to the date of the Merger reflect the financial position and results of operations of HACI and Ascendia Real Estate, with the results of operations of Cenuco, Inc. being included commencing on May 20, 2005. Effective with the completion of the Merger, Cenuco, Inc. changed its fiscal year end to be the last day of February, consistent with HACI s prior fiscal year.

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141, *Business Combinations*, the Company determined the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the reverse acquisition of Cenuco, as revised in the fourth quarter, to be as follows:

| | |
|---------------------------|-------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$6,002,887 |
| Other current assets | 496,526 |

| | |
|---|--------------|
| Total current assets | 6,499,413 |
| Property, plant, and equipment | 111,382 |
| Goodwill | 30,974,680 |
| Intangibles - acquired software technology | 8,000,000 |
| Other Assets | 591,807 |
| | <hr/> |
| Total assets acquired | 46,177,282 |
| | <hr/> |
| Total liabilities assumed | (473,590) |
| | <hr/> |
| Estimated fair value of net assets acquired | \$45,703,692 |
| | <hr/> |

F-10

[Back to Contents](#)

Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated/ Combined Financial Statements February 28, 2006

The initial estimated allocation of the purchase price equivalent was made by the Company in the thirteen weeks ended May 28, 2005 and included an allocation to customer lists and brand name intangibles assets totaling \$2,473,025. In the quarter ended February 28, 2006, the Company determined that an allocation of value to these intangible assets was not appropriate and, with the input of a third party valuation expert, identified the above noted core software technology intangible asset and estimated the related value to be \$8,000,000. This revision resulted in \$5,526,975 less being allocated to goodwill. Goodwill of \$30,974,680 related to the acquisition was assigned entirely to the WAD operating division. This goodwill is not deductible for income tax purposes. The difference in the amortization of the core software technology intangible asset since May 20, 2005 (based on a 5 year expected life) and the corresponding amount for the originally identified customer lists and brand name intangible assets amounted to \$ 913,546. This amount has been reflected in the results of operations for the year ended February 28, 2006.

Following the Merger, the Company's principal business activity has been the manufacture and distribution of health, beauty and oral-care products, as described above. In addition, through its Cenuco Wireless subsidiary, the Company is engaged in a wireless application technology business, primarily related to the transmission of secure and non-secured video onto cellular platforms via proprietary technologies. During the quarter ended February 28, 2006, in accordance with SFAS No. 142, the Company tested the carrying value of goodwill for impairment. This led to a Goodwill impairment of \$16.4 million being recorded in the statement of operations.

ACQUISITION FOLLOWING FORMATION

HACI was formed to acquire the business activities of Lander and Lander Canada. Effective May 31, 2003, HACI purchased certain assets and assumed certain liabilities associated with the Lander business operations and acquired 100 percent of the outstanding stock of Lander Canada for an aggregate purchase price of \$11,091,456, including acquisition costs of \$1,160,456. In addition, HREI purchased the Lander production plant located in Binghamton, New York for a purchase price of \$3,304,864, including acquisition costs of \$254,864, on October 15, 2003. Property, plant and equipment was recorded at fair value reduced by the excess of fair value of net assets acquired over the purchase price of \$1,095,813. In accounting for these acquisitions, the Company followed the provisions of SFAS 141. This Statement requires the purchase method of accounting be used for all business combinations and provide specific criteria for the initial recognition and measurement of intangible assets apart from goodwill. On March 1, 2005, HREI became a wholly owned subsidiary of HACI. Prior thereto, HACI and Ascendia Real Estate (then know as Hermes Real Estate I) were under common control.

The Company is subject to various risks, including, but not limited to, (i) the ability to obtain adequate financing to fund operations, (ii) a limited operating history, (iii) reliance on certain markets, and (iv) reliance on key personnel.

NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying financial statements of Ascendia as of and for the years ended February 28, 2006 and February 28, 2005 and for the period (inception) April 25, 2003 to February 29, 2004 have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The financial information furnished reflects all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring accruals, which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented.

A summary of the Ascendia's significant accounting policies follows:

Basis of Consolidation: As of February 28, 2006 and for the period from the date of the Merger to February 28, 2006, the statements are prepared on a consolidated basis. For the period from March 1, 2005 to May 19, 2005, and as of and for the year ended February 28, 2005 and for the period from April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004, the statements are prepared on a combined basis. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Ascendia Brands, Inc. and subsidiaries. All intercompany accounts have been eliminated in consolidation.

Cash Equivalents: The Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less, when purchased, to be cash equivalents.

Accounts Receivable: Trade accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount and do not bear interest. The Company maintains allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its customers to make required payments. If the financial condition of the Company's customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required, which would increase our operating costs.

[Back to Contents](#)

Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated/ Combined Financial Statements February 28, 2006

Miscellaneous Receivables: In the normal course of business, the Company generates minor non-trade receivables. As of February 28, 2006, the balance was \$1.7 million, which included a miscellaneous receivable of \$1.4 million from Playtex with respect to the transition services agreement associated with the Playtex asset acquisition (see Note 3).

Inventories: Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost determined using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. Inventories consist of raw materials used to manufacture the Company's health, beauty and oral care products, as well as, finished goods that consist of the Company's product lines sold to its customers. The Company writes down inventory for estimated excess and discontinued products equal to the difference between cost and estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions. Excess and discontinued product inventory could arise due to numerous factors, including but not limited to, the competitive nature of the market and product demand by consumers. If market conditions are less favorable than those anticipated by management, additional write-downs may be required, including provisions to reduce inventory to net realizable value.

Note Receivable: On September 30, 2004, Cenuco Wireless sold substantially all of its assets of the then existing education subsidiary for a net price of \$800,000. At closing Cenuco Wireless received \$300,000 in cash and a note receivable for \$500,000. At February 28, 2006 the note receivable had a balance of approximately \$400,000.

Property, Plant and Equipment: Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. The costs of major additions and improvements are capitalized and maintenance and repairs that do not improve or extend the life of the respective assets are charged to operations as incurred. Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets ranging from three to twenty-five years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the term of the lease or their estimated useful lives. If the Company determines that a change is required in the useful life of an asset, future depreciation/amortization is adjusted accordingly.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets: Accounting for the impairment of long-lived assets, including property, plant and equipment, requires that long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles to be held and used or disposed of by an entity be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Under such circumstances, the accounting principles require that such assets be reported at the lower of their carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell. Accordingly, when events or circumstances indicate that long-lived assets may be impaired, the Company estimates the assets' future cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition. If the sum of the expected future undiscounted cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset, an impairment loss is recognized based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the asset.

Goodwill and Indefinite Lived Intangibles

As a result of the Merger on May 20, 2005 (see Note 1), the Company recorded goodwill of \$30,974,680. Goodwill represents the excess of cost over the fair value of identifiable net assets acquired. As a result of the purchase of assets from Playtex on November 16, 2005 (see Note 3), the Company made an allocation of the purchase price to the estimated fair value of the assets acquired, which resulted in \$16,924,477 being allocated to intangible assets (brand names and product formulas), initially estimated to have indefinite lives. SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, requires goodwill and other intangibles that have indefinite lives to not be amortized but to be

reviewed annually for impairment or more frequently if impairment indicators arise. During the quarter ended February 28, 2006, in accordance with SFAS No. 142, the Company tested the carrying value of goodwill for impairment. This led to a Goodwill impairment of \$16,421,000 being recorded in the statement of operations.

Amortizable Intangible Assets

SFAS No. 142 also requires that intangible assets with finite useful lives be amortized over their respective estimated useful lives and reviewed for impairment. As a result of the merger on May 20, 2005, and as revised in the quarter ended February 28, 2006, the Company recorded intangible assets of \$8,000,000, related to acquired core software technology, with an estimated useful life of five years. Amortization expense for the acquired software technology was \$1,249,315 for the year ended February 28, 2006. There was no amortization expense on these intangible assets in prior years.

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated/ Combined Financial Statements February 28, 2006**

For the Playtex asset acquisition on November 16, 2005, an allocation of the purchase price resulted in \$30,393,673 being allocated to customer relationships. The estimated useful lives are 10 years, to be amortized on a straight-line basis. The amortization expense recorded for the year ended February 28, 2006 was \$866,012.

Management's review of amortizable intangible assets concluded that there is no impairment at February 28, 2006.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2006 are as follows:

| | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|
| Beginning balance | \$ |
| Goodwill as a result of the Merger | 30,974,680 |
| Goodwill impairment | (16,421,000) |
| | <hr/> |
| Ending Balance | \$ 14,553,680 |
| | <hr/> |

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006, the Company completed its impairment tests of goodwill and concluded an impairment of \$16,421,000 was necessary.

Balances of acquired intangible assets, excluding goodwill are as follows:

| | Purchased Technology | Formulae And Tradenames | Customer Relationships | Total |
|---|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Intangible assets as of February 28, 2006: | | | | |
| Original cost | \$ 8,000,000 | \$ 16,924,477 | \$ 30,393,673 | \$55,318,150 |
| Accumulated amortization | (1,249,315) | | (866,012) | (2,115,327) |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Carrying value | \$ 6,750,685 | \$ 16,924,477 | \$ 29,527,661 | \$53,202,823 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Weighted average original life (in years) | 5 | indefinite | 10 | |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | |

Amortization expense for fiscal year 2006 is \$2,115,327.

Estimated aggregate amortization expense based on the current carrying value of intangible assets is as follows:

| Fiscal Year | Amount |
|--------------------|---------------|
|--------------------|---------------|

| | |
|------------|--------------|
| 2007 | \$4,639,367 |
| 2008 | 4,639,367 |
| 2009 | 4,639,367 |
| 2010 | 4,639,367 |
| 2011 | 3,390,052 |
| thereafter | 14,330,826 |
| | <hr/> |
| | \$36,278,346 |
| | <hr/> |

Other Assets, Net: Other assets, net consist of deferred financing costs of approximately \$1.3 million related to the Bridge Loan (see Note 3) with an initial term of six months as well as other deferred financing costs from prior years. The deferred financing costs are being amortized on a straight-line basis over the respective terms of the related financing arrangements, including the originally anticipated six-month term of the Bridge Loan ending May 15, 2006. Amortization expense related to deferred financing costs was \$1,950,507 and \$166,246, respectively, for the years ended February 28, 2006 and 2005 and \$93,825 for the period (inception) from April 25, 2003 to February 29, 2004.

[Back to Contents](#)

Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated/ Combined Financial Statements February 28, 2006

Fair Value of Financial Instruments: The carrying amounts reported in the accompanying balance sheets for accounts receivable, accounts payable, accrued expenses and financing debt approximate fair value due to the short-term nature of these accounts. Accounts receivable are carried at original invoice amount less an estimate made for doubtful receivables based on a review of all outstanding amounts on a periodic basis. Management determines the allowance for doubtful accounts by regularly evaluating individual customer receivables and considering a customer's financial condition, credit history, and current economic conditions.

Revenue Recognition: For the Health & Beauty Care division, revenue from product sales is recognized when the related goods are shipped, all significant obligations of the Company have been satisfied, persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the price to the buyer is fixed or determinable and collection is reasonably assured or probable.

Amounts billed to customers related to shipping and handling are included in net sales. The cost of shipping products to the customer is recognized at the time the products are shipped and included in cost of sales.

In connection with the development and sale of wireless solutions and web services, which include the development of business-to-business and business-to-consumer wireless applications, and state of the art wireless technology and services, the Wireless Application Development (WAD) division recognizes revenue as services are performed on a pro-rata basis over the contract term or when products are delivered. WAD periodically enters into agreements whereby the customer or distributor may purchase wireless products on a consignment type basis. Revenues are recognized under these arrangements only when the customer or distributor has resold the product and the Company has an enforcement right to its sales price.

Cooperative Advertising: Cooperative advertising programs and other volume-based incentives are accounted for on an accrual basis as a reduction in net revenue according to the requirements of Emerging Task Force 01-09,

Accounting for Consideration Given By a Vendor to a Customer or a Reseller of the Vendor's Products in the period in which the related sales are recognized. Cooperative advertising expenses were approximately \$160,000, \$186,000 and \$139,000, respectively for fiscal 2006 and 2005 and for the period from April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004.

Foreign Currency Translation: In accordance with SFAS No. 52, *Foreign Currency Translation*, the financial statements are measured using local currency as the functional currency. Assets and liabilities of Lander Canada have been translated into U.S. dollars at the fiscal period-end exchange rates. Revenues and expenses have been translated at average exchange rates for the related period. Net translation gains and losses are reflected as a separate component of stockholders' equity until there is a sale or liquidation of the underlying foreign investment.

Foreign currency gains and losses resulting from transactions are included in the consolidated statements of operations.

Estimates: The preparation of these financial statements requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, the Company evaluates the estimates and may adjust them based upon the latest information available. These estimates generally include those related to product returns, bad debts, inventory reserves for excess and discontinued products, income taxes and contingencies. The Company bases the estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis of making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily

apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Concentration of Credit Risk: Ascendia provides credit to its customers in the normal course of business and does not require collateral. To reduce credit risk, Ascendia performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers.

Five trade customers comprised 47 percent of Ascendia's net sales, (with the top two customers comprising approximately 32 percent and 7 percent respectively) for the year ended February 28, 2006. At February 28, 2006 the same five trade customers represented 54 percent of receivables, with one customer comprising 39 percent.

Five trade customers comprised 46 percent of Ascendia's net sales, (with the top two customers comprising approximately 25 percent and 13 percent respectively) for the year ended February 28, 2005. At February 28, 2005 the same five trade customers represented 52 percent of receivables, with one customer comprising 33 percent and the other four at less than 10 percent each.

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated/ Combined Financial Statements February 28, 2006**

Five trade customers comprised 58% of the Company's net sales, with two customers comprising more than 10%, for the period from April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004.

Income Taxes: Income taxes are accounted for under the asset-and-liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. As a limited liability company prior to the Merger, the Company elected to be treated as a corporation for income tax purposes.

In assessing the Company's ability to realize deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. A full valuation allowance at February 28, 2006 and February 28, 2005 has been recorded by management due to the uncertainty that future income will be generated and the related deferred tax assets realized.

Earnings per share: Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 03-6, *Participating Securities and the Two-Class Method under FASB Statement No. 128* (EITF 03-6) provides guidance in determining when the two-class method, as defined in SFAS 128, *Earnings per Share*, must be utilized in calculating earnings per share by a Company that has issued securities other than common stock that contractually entitles the holder to participate in dividends and earnings of the Company when, and if, the Company declares dividends on its common stock. Under the two-class method earnings are allocated to common stock and participating securities to the extent that each security may share in such earnings and as if such earnings for the period had been distributed. Under the two-class method losses are allocated to participating securities to the extent that such security is obligated to fund the losses of the issuing entity or the contractual principal or mandatory redemption amount of the participating security is reduced as a result of losses incurred by the issuing entity. In accordance with EITF 03-6, basic earnings per share for the Company's common stock and Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock (Series A Preferred) would be calculated by dividing net income allocated to common stock and Series A Preferred by the weighted average number of shares of common stock and Series A Preferred outstanding, respectively. Diluted earnings per share for the Company's common stock would be calculated similarly, except that the calculation includes the effect, if dilutive, of the assumed exercise of stock options issuable under the Company's stock-based employee compensation plan and the assumption of the conversion of all of the Company's Series A Preferred stock to common stock. Basic and diluted loss per share for the Company's common stock is calculated by dividing the net loss for the period during which such shares were outstanding by the weighted average number of shares outstanding. No losses are allocated to the Series A Preferred for the period during which the Company's common stock is outstanding since the holders of the Series A Preferred are not obligated to share in the Company's losses as described above.

NOTE 3 PLAYTEX ASSET ACQUISITION AND RELATED BRIDGE LOAN

Edgar Filing: ASCENDIA BRANDS, INC. - Form PRE 14A

On November 16, 2005, Lander and Lander Intangibles acquired certain brands and brand-related assets from Playtex. The acquired brands included *Baby Magic*, *Binaca*, *Mr. Bubble*, *Ogilvie*, *Tek*, *Dorothy Gray*, and *Tussy*. At the closing, Lander and Lander Intangibles paid a total cash purchase price of \$59.1 million, including \$2.1 million of costs related to acquisition. The \$57.0 million purchase price paid to Playtex was subject to certain post closing adjustments dependent upon the amount of product inventory delivered to Lander at the closing. In December 2005, this adjustment was determined to result in a purchase price reduction of approximately \$1.3 million (bringing the total to \$57.8 million, including acquisition costs). In accordance with SFAS 142, the Company allocated the total purchase price to the assets acquired based on relative fair value. The allocation is as follows:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Inventory | \$9,600,000 |
| Property, Plant and Equipment | 900,000 |
| Brand Names and Product Formulae | 16,924,477 |
| Customer Relationships | 30,393,673 |
| | <hr/> |
| Total Purchase Price | \$57,818,150 |
| | <hr/> |

F-15

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated/ Combined Financial Statements February 28, 2006**

In order to finance the acquisition of the brands from Playtex (\$57.8 million), fund financing fees (\$2.8 million), repay certain existing indebtedness of the Company and its subsidiaries including the Seller Note and the Financing Arrangement referred to below under Long-Term Debt (approximately \$13.8 million in total) and provide working capital for the operations of Lander (approximately \$5.6 million), on November 15, 2005, Cenuco, Lander, HACI and Lander Intangibles (collectively, the Borrowers), entered into an \$80.0 million Bridge Loan Term Agreement (the Bridge Loan) with Prencen, LLC (Prencen) and Highgate House Funds Ltd. (Highgate), as lenders, and Prencen, as agent for the lenders.

For the first 90 days following closing, the Bridge Loan bore interest at an annual rate of 5.5 percent above the three-month LIBOR (set 2 days in advance on November 14, 2005 at 4.34 percent). The interest rate margin over LIBOR increased by 5 percent per annum at the end of that 90-day period to 10.5 percent. Also at the end of the 90-day period the three-month LIBOR was reset on February 12, 2006 for the next 90 days (February 15, 2006 to May 15, 2006). The reset three-month LIBOR rate of 4.74 percent plus the increased interest rate margin of 10.5 percent generated an interest rate on the Bridge Loan of 15.24 percent for the period February 15, 2006 to May 15, 2006. Upon the occurrence and during the continuance of an event of default, the annual rate of interest will increase by 5.5 percent over the rate of interest otherwise in effect. Interest accrues monthly, in arrears.

The Bridge Loan was originally due and payable on May 15, 2006. The Bridge Loan term was extended to coincide with the closing of the Second and Restated Securities Purchase Agreement described in Note 6, with interest accrued and paid at closing. The Bridge Loan principal was refinanced with the long-term financing described in Note 6 and, accordingly, has been classified as long-term as of February 28, 2006. The borrowings under the Bridge Loan are secured by a first priority lien against all assets of the Borrowers and HREI, and by a pledge of the shares in Ascendia owned by two shareholders.

NOTE 4 INVENTORIES

Inventory consists of the following:

| | FEBRUARY 28, 2006 | FEBRUARY 28, 2005 |
|----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Raw materials | \$ 3,707,920 | \$ 2,900,803 |
| Finished goods | 12,561,454 | 5,825,149 |
| | \$ 16,269,374 | \$ 8,725,952 |

NOTE 5 PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment consist of the following:

| | FEBRUARY 28, 2006 | FEBRUARY 28, 2005 |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Land | \$ 660,000 | \$ 660,000 |
| Computer equipment and software | 1,093,049 | 890,020 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 252,916 | 252,717 |
| Building | 2,644,864 | 2,644,864 |
| Machinery and equipment | 3,952,652 | 2,961,469 |
| Dies and molds | 87,397 | 75,731 |
| Leasehold improvements | 138,749 | 118,571 |
| Construction in progress | 279,742 | 77,959 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | 9,109,369 | 7,681,331 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | (2,606,928) | (1,663,798) |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | \$ 6,502,441 | \$ 6,017,533 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

Depreciation and amortization expense related to property, plant and equipment was \$961,630 and \$983,807, respectively for the years ended February 28, 2006 and 2005 and \$652,942 for the period from April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004.

[Back to Contents](#)

Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated/ Combined Financial Statements February 28, 2006

As of February 28, 2006 and February 28, 2005, machinery and equipment includes assets under capital leases totaling \$153,559. Accumulated amortization on the capital leases was \$39,669 and \$24,314 as of February 28, 2006 and February 28, 2005, respectively. Amortization expense related to capital leases is included in depreciation and amortization expense for the years ended February 28, 2006 and February 28, 2005 and for the period April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004.

As of February 28, 2006 and February 28, 2005, \$487,537 and \$36,091, respectively was required to complete construction in progress. The Company does not capitalize interest.

NOTE 6 LONG-TERM DEBT

(a) On October 1, 2005, Ascendia (the parent of HACI following the merger (see Note 1), entered into agreements with Prencen and Highgate (both of which are also lenders under the Bridge Loan described in Note 3) for the provision of long-term debt and equity financing (the Debt/Equity Financing) to repay the Bridge Loan. The terms of these agreements were amended on November 15, 2005, concurrently with the closing of the Bridge Loan. Prior to its maturity, the parties agreed to an extension of the Bridge Loan pending the completion of discussions on further modifications to the Debt/Equity Financing. The parties also agreed to defer the payment of certain interest under the Bridge Loan pending its maturity. On June 30, 2006, Ascendia (i) agreed with Prencen and Highgate to amend and re-state the Debt/Equity Financing and (ii) in connection with such restatement, entered into a Second and Restated Securities Purchase Agreement (the Securities Purchase Agreement) with Prencen and Prencen Lending, LLC (Prencen Lending), which closed on August 3, 2006, as described below, the obligations to Highgate having been acquired by Prencen Lending.

(b) Under the Securities Purchase Agreement, the Company sold Prentice senior convertible notes (the Notes) in the principal amount of \$91.0 million (and warrants described below) in exchange for the settlement of obligations under the Bridge Loan (\$80.0 million) and \$11.0 million in funding which was used to pay accrued interest on the Bridge Loan (\$4.1 million), fees associated with the refinancing (\$4.2 million) and produce net cash proceeds to the Company of approximately \$2.7 million.

The Notes have a term of 10 years (subject to the put and call rights described below) and bear interest at the rate of 9 percent per annum, provided that during the first six months of the term, Ascendia will have the option to accrue and capitalize interest. In the event of Ascendia making an acquisition in the consumer products area that shall in form and substance be satisfactory to a majority of the holders of the Notes (an Approved Acquisition), it may elect to defer and capitalize interest for the balance of the term of the Notes. In addition, upon the consummation of such an Approved Acquisition, Ascendia may redeem up to \$40.0 million of the balance outstanding under the Notes at a premium of 15 percent.

Any portion of the balance due under the Notes is convertible at any time, at the option of the holder(s), into the common stock of Ascendia at a price of \$1.75 per share (subject to certain anti-dilution adjustments), provided that the holders may not convert any amounts due under the Notes if and to the extent that, following such a conversion, the holder and any affiliate would collectively own more than 9.99 percent of the aggregate number of shares of common stock of Ascendia outstanding following such conversion. Given the nature of the conversion feature and the penalties involved for untimely registration of the related underlying shares of common stock (see below), the conversion

option on the Notes may be separated under EITF 00-19 and recorded as a liability at its fair value, with an offsetting debt discount that would be amortized to interest expense under the effective interest method. Such liability, if recorded, would be adjusted to market value at each subsequent reporting date with the differential in value between reporting dates recorded as a component of interest expense in the related period. While management has not yet determined if a liability should be recorded for such conversion option, the impact of such accounting on subsequent interest expense could be material to future results of operations. If the provisions of EITF 00-19 are not applicable, the Company would follow the provisions of EITF 98-5 and 00-27, the result of which could also have a material impact on future interest expense and future reported results of operations.

At any time after the fifth anniversary of the issuance of the Notes, Ascendia may redeem or any holder may require the Company to redeem all or any portion of the balance outstanding under the Notes at a premium of 5 percent. Such 5 percent premium will be accreted to the recorded liability for the Notes over the first five years and be charged to interest expense under the effective interest method. In the event of a default or a change in control of Ascendia, the holders of the Notes may require the Company to redeem the Notes at a premium of 25 percent.

[Back to Contents](#)

Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated/ Combined Financial Statements February 28, 2006

As part of the Registration Rights Agreement, the Company is required to file a Registration Statement to register the shares of common stock issuable upon the conversion of the Notes, the exercise of warrants described below, and other shares. Failure to file such Registration Statement by October 2, 2006 or have it declared effective by January 30, 2007, would constitute an event of default under the Notes. In the event of such a default, the holders of the Notes are entitled to a cash penalty in the amount of 2% of the face amount of the Notes for each 30 day period until such time as the default has been cured, subject to a maximum of 10%. In addition, in the event that holders of the Notes request conversion of all or a portion of their Notes, or the holders of the warrants described below present such warrants for exercise, and the Company is unable to timely deliver the related shares, the holders of such Notes or warrants will be entitled to damages in the amount of 1.5% per day of the then current value of the shares not timely delivered for each day that such delivery is not provided.

The Notes rank as senior secured debt of Ascendia, provided however that the Notes are subordinated to the new revolving credit facility of up to \$13.0 million secured by inventory and accounts receivable (described below). The Notes are also subordinated to indebtedness incurred in connection with an Approved Acquisition, in an amount up to \$250 million.

In connection with the amendment and restatement of the Debt/Equity Financing agreements and the sale of the Notes, Ascendia also issued certain warrants (the Series A warrants) entitling Prencen to purchase 3,053,358 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$2.10. In addition, Ascendia committed to the issuance of certain warrants (the Series B warrants) entitling Prencen to purchase shares of its common stock under terms that are contingent upon the balance outstanding on the Notes at the earlier to occur of an Approved Acquisition or October 31, 2006. If the balance outstanding under the Notes on such date is greater or less than \$61.0 million, Ascendia is required to issue to Prencen up to 3 million Series B warrants, at exercise prices ranging from \$1.15 to \$1.95. In the event the balance outstanding under the Notes is \$61.0 million, no Series B warrants will be issued. The fair market value of the Series A and B warrants, when estimated, may be recorded separately as a liability at the date of issuance with an offsetting debt discount that would be amortized to interest expense under the effective interest method. Subsequent adjustments to the market value of the liability at each reporting date thereafter would be recorded as a component of interest expense in the period of such change.

Upon closing of the Long-Term Financing, Ascendia paid Prentice Capital Management, LP, an affiliate of Prencen and Prencen Lending, a closing fee of \$3,667,500 and reimbursed Prencen Lending for certain disbursements related to the transaction. In addition, Ascendia paid fees and expenses of \$5,525,171 to Stanford Group Company (Stanford). At closing, Ascendia issued to Stanford warrants for the purchase of its common stock as follows: (i) 137,615 warrants at an exercise price of \$3.76 per share, and (ii) 552,632 warrants at an exercise price of \$4.37 per share. Such cash costs and the value of the warrants issued to Stanford will be treated as a cost of the related financing and be amortized to interest expense under the effective interest method.

Revolver

On August 3, 2006, the Company closed on a revolving line of credit with a major financial institution for a \$13.0 million three year facility. This facility will be used to fund approximately \$1.8 million of the above noted cash costs associated with the Long-Term Financing and approximately \$3.6 was used to redeem certain shares of the Company's Series A Preferred Stock from MarNan LLC and Dana Holdings LLC (see Note 13), with the remainder availability to

be used in the future for working capital and general corporate purposes. The facility is secured with the Company's United States accounts receivable and inventory.

The Revolver contains the following key provisions:

Line of credit A revolving line of credit providing for revolving advances up to the lesser of (a) \$13,000,000 or (b) the sum of (herein the **Borrowing Base**): (i) eighty-five percent of eligible domestic (US) accounts receivable, subject to dilution of 5%, plus (ii) eighty-five percent (85%) of the net orderly liquidation value as a percentage of cost of eligible US finished goods and raw materials inventory. The total inventory sublimit will not exceed \$8,000,000. The Agreement requires excess availability of \$2,000,000 at closing and a permanent availability block against the Borrowing Base of \$750,000.

Interest rate Interest will be computed and payable monthly on all outstanding revolving loans at a rate equivalent to the Chase Bank Rate per annum or, at the Company's option, Libor plus two and one quarter percent (2¼%).

F-18

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated/ Combined Financial Statements February 28, 2006**

Fees A loan facility fee of \$100,000 earned at closing and payable: \$25,000 upon signing of commitment letter, \$25,000 payable at closing and \$50,000 payable six (6) months from closing. A collateral management fee of \$30,000 per year, earned at closing and on each Anniversary Date, payable monthly.

Termination fee A termination fee is charged of 1% of total facility if terminated prior to first Anniversary Date, three quarters percent ($\frac{3}{4}\%$) if terminated prior to second Anniversary Date, and one half percent ($\frac{1}{2}\%$) if terminated anytime thereafter prior to an Anniversary Date.

Long-term debt consists of the following:

| | FEBRUARY 28, 2006 | FEBRUARY 28, 2005 |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Bridge Loan | \$ 80,000,000 | \$ 0 |
| Revolving line of credit loans | 0 | 8,198,935 |
| Machinery and equipment loans | 0 | 1,039,125 |
| Real estate term loans | 0 | 1,981,618 |
| Subordinated notes | 0 | 4,500,000 |
| Capital leases | 31,749 | 85,158 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| | 80,031,749 | 15,804,836 |
| Less current portion | 31,749 | 8,929,540 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| | \$ 80,000,000 | \$ 6,875,296 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |

In connection with the acquisitions that occurred in 2003 (see Note 1), HACI/HREI obtained long-term financing commitments (Financing Arrangement) from a financial institution. As indicated in the table above and discussed further in Note 3, all components of the Financing Arrangement were repaid in November 2005 from the proceeds of the Bridge Loan. The Financing Arrangement was comprised of the following (collectively the Loans):

Revolving line of credit facility of \$11,000,000 with a three-year term expiring in June 2006. Annual renewals of the facility were available in one-year increments after the initial term. Available borrowings were determined by a borrowing base calculation using eligible receivables and inventories of Lander and Lander Canada, which were the collateral for this facility. As of February 28, 2005 the unused availability amounted to \$567,995. Interest on outstanding balance was payable monthly. For purposes of classifying the outstanding debt in the February 28, 2005 balance sheets the Company had reflected \$8,198,935 of borrowings under the revolving line of credit facility as a current liability, since it was subject to collection lock-box arrangements and contains a subjective acceleration clause. On November 16, 2005, the outstanding balance was paid in full with the proceeds from the short-term Bridge Loan and this revolving line of credit was terminated.

Machinery and equipment term loans with initial principal amounts aggregating \$1,467,000 had a six-year amortization term expiring in June 2009. Such loans were subject to termination upon the expiration of the revolving line of credit and were collateralized by the machinery and equipment of Lander and Lander Canada. Principal

payments aggregating \$20,375 plus interest were payable monthly. On November 16, 2005, the outstanding balance of this loan was paid in full with the proceeds from the short-term Bridge Loan.

Real estate term loan with initial principal amount of \$2,450,000 had a six-year amortization term expiring in December 2009. Such loan was subject to termination upon the expiration of the revolving line of credit and was collateralized by the Lander production plant located in Binghamton, New York. Principal payments aggregating \$36,029 plus interest were payable monthly. On November 16, 2005, the outstanding balance of this loan was paid in full with the proceeds from the short-term Bridge Loan.

Interest on the Loans was at an annual interest rate of a national bank's prime rate plus 1.25 percent. HACI/Ascendia Real Estate had the option of converting all or a portion of the Loans outstanding to an annual interest rate of the one-, two- or three-month LIBOR rate plus 3.75 percent. The Loans contained financial and non-financial covenants including a limitation of \$1,250,000 on capital expenditures during any fiscal year and maintaining on a monthly basis a fixed charges coverage ratio of no less than 1.0 to 1.0. The fixed charge ratio was calculated by dividing earnings before interest, depreciation and amortization less any unfunded capital expenditures and improvements by fixed charges. Fixed charges include interest expense, capital lease obligations, principal payments on indebtedness and payments for income tax obligations.

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated/ Combined Financial Statements February 28, 2006**

As part of the Acquisition of the Lander business by HACI, HACI also had long term financing from the seller in the form of a \$4,500,000 subordinated note (Seller Note) with a three-year term expiring in June 2006. The Seller Note was subordinate to the Financing Agreement. Interest was payable quarterly at an annual interest rate of 10 percent. Annual principal payments of \$1,166,667 were required under this Seller Note; however a provision in the Financing Agreement permitted the Company to defer principal payments if it did not meet certain financial targets. As a result of the Company not achieving these financial targets in fiscal years 2004 and 2005, principal payments due in June 2004 and June 2005 had been deferred until June 2006. Additionally, the terms if the Seller Note permitted the deferral of interest payments in the event of non-compliance with certain covenants contained in the Financing Agreement. Accordingly, HACI had not paid any interest accrued on the Seller Note from July 1, 2004. Accrued interest on the Seller Note was \$257,773 as of February 28, 2005.

On March 16, 2005, HACI and the seller entered into a Settlement and Release Agreement whereby HACI had the option to pay \$2,000,000, plus interest at 10 percent, to satisfy the \$4,500,000 principal amount of the Seller Note. In addition, HACI would be required to pay interest accrued on the \$4,500,000 Seller Note from July 1, 2004 through March 16, 2005 and interest on the \$2,000,000 from March 17, 2005 through the date of payment. Such option was available to HACI up to November 30, 2005. In exchange for this option, HACI, agreed to release the seller from certain indemnity claims arising under the agreement for the purchase of Lander and Lander Canada. On November 16, 2005, \$2,000,000 plus accrued interest of \$519,201 was paid on the Seller Note. On December 1, 2005, a final interest payment of \$640 was made in full payment of the Seller Note. The payments were made from the proceeds of the short-term Bridge Loan. As a result of the repayment and full settlement of the Seller Note, the Company recorded a gain of \$2,500,000, which is included in other income on the accompanying statement of operations for the year ended February 28, 2006.

The aggregate maturities of long-term debt are as follows:

| FEBRUARY 28, 2006 | |
|--------------------------|--------------|
| 2007 | \$31,749 |
| 2008 | 0 |
| 2009 | 0 |
| 2010 | 0 |
| 2011 | 0 |
| 2012 | 80,000,000 |
| | <hr/> |
| | \$80,031,749 |
| | <hr/> |

NOTE 7 PENSION AND 401(K) PLANS

Pension Plans

The Company has two non-contributory defined benefit pension plans (the Plans) that cover substantially all employees in the United States and Canada. It is the Company's policy to fund, at a minimum, pension contributions as required by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) each year. On May 1, 2004 the U.S. Plan was frozen and no longer available to new employees for participation.

At February 28, 2006, the U.S. Plan assets consisted of fixed return contracts. The Canadian Plan assets consisted primarily of equities and fixed income instruments. The pension liabilities and their related costs are computed in accordance with the laws of the US and Canada and the appropriate actuarial practices.

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated/ Combined Financial Statements February 28, 2006**

Net periodic pension costs of the defined benefit pension plans for the year ended February 28, 2006 were as follows:

| | <u>US</u> | <u>Canada</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|--|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Service costs on benefits earned during the year | \$81,908 | \$208,536 | \$290,444 |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation | 100,543 | 176,581 | 277,124 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (99,126) | (170,855) | (269,981) |
| Net periodic pension cost | <u>\$83,325</u> | <u>\$214,262</u> | <u>\$297,587</u> |

The present value of benefit obligations and funded status of the Plans as computed by the actuaries as of February 28, 2006 were as follows:

| | <u>US</u> | <u>Canada</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Projected benefit obligation | \$(1,811,228) | \$(3,441,049) | \$(5,252,277) |
| Plan assets at fair value | 1,584,084 | 2,736,684 | 4,320,768 |
| Funded status | (227,144) | (704,365) | (931,509) |
| Unrecognized net loss | (150,866) | (196,301) | (347,167) |
| Net pension liability | <u>\$(378,010)</u> | <u>\$(900,666)</u> | <u>\$(1,278,676)</u> |

As of February 28, 2006, the accumulated benefit obligation was \$1,962,094 for the U.S. Plan and \$3,169,280 for the Canadian Plan.

Amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheet as of February 28, 2006 consist of:

| | <u>US</u> | <u>Canada</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Current portion of accrued benefit liability, included in accrued expenses | \$(103,278) | \$(208,012) | \$(311,290) |
| Long term portion of accrued benefit liability, included in other long term liabilities | (274,732) | (692,554) | (967,386) |
| Net amount recognized | <u>\$(378,010)</u> | <u>\$(900,666)</u> | <u>\$(1,278,676)</u> |

Weighted-average assumptions used in developing the projected benefit obligation and net cost as of and for the year ended February 28, 2006 were as follows:

| | <u>US</u> | <u>Canada</u> | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------|---|
| Discount rate | 5.50 | % 5.00 | % |
| Rate of increase in compensation | 0.00 | % 3.00 | % |
| Rate of return on plan assets | 6.50 | % 7.00 | % |

The expected long-term rate of return is based on the portfolio as a whole and not on the sum of the returns on individual asset categories. The return is based exclusively on historical returns, without adjustments.

Plans Assets

The weighted-average asset allocation of the U.S. and Canadian pension benefits were as follows:

| | <u>February 28, 2006</u> | | <u>February 28, 2005</u> | | |
|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|---|
| | <u>US</u> | <u>Canada</u> | <u>US</u> | <u>Canada</u> | |
| Equity Securities | 0 | % 75 | % 0 | % 75 | % |
| Debt securities | 100 | % 22 | % 100 | % 22 | % |
| Other | 0 | % 3 | % 0 | % 3 | % |
| Total | 100 | % 100 | % 100 | % 100 | % |

F-21

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated/ Combined Financial Statements February 28, 2006**

The Company's investment policies and strategies for the pension plans utilize target allocations for the individual asset categories. The Company's investment goals are to maximize returns subject to specific risk management policies.

Cash Flows

For the U.S. Plan the benefits expected to be paid in each year ending February 28, 2007-2011 are \$49,026, \$50,720, \$56,976, \$65,490, and \$73,188, respectively. The aggregate benefits expected to be paid in the five years from 2012-2016 are \$488,005.

For the Canadian Plan the benefits expected to be paid in each year ending February 28, 2007-2011 are \$403,017, \$121,356, \$75,439, \$170,608 and \$229,342, respectively. The aggregate benefits to be paid in the five years from 2012-2016 are \$1,897,483.

The expected benefits are based on the same assumptions used to measure the Company's benefit obligation at February 28 and include estimated future employee service.

Following is a roll forward of the projected benefit obligation and the plan assets for fiscal 2006:

| | <u>US</u> | <u>Canada</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Benefit obligation at February 28, 2005 | \$1,847,272 | \$2,942,532 | \$4,798,804 |
| Service cost | 81,908 | 208,536 | 290,444 |
| Interest cost | 100,543 | 176,581 | 277,124 |
| Plan participants' contributions | | 69,632 | 69,632 |
| Actuarial (gain) /loss | 108,114 | 166,049 | 274,163 |
| Other | | 211,504 | 211,504 |
| Benefits paid | (175,743) | (137,484) | (313,227) |
| Benefit obligation at February 28, 2006 | <u>\$1,962,094</u> | <u>\$3,637,350</u> | <u>\$5,599,444</u> |
| Fair value of plan assets at February 28, 2005 | \$1,589,586 | \$2,208,106 | \$3,797,692 |
| Actual return on plan assets | 52,325 | 221,939 | 274,264 |
| Employer contributions | 117,916 | 215,777 | 333,693 |
| Plan participant contributions | | 69,632 | 69,632 |
| Other | | 158,714 | 158,714 |
| Benefits paid | (175,743) | (137,484) | (313,227) |
| Fair value of plan assets at February 28, 2006 | <u>\$1,584,084</u> | <u>\$2,736,684</u> | <u>\$4,320,768</u> |

Net periodic pension costs of the defined benefit pension plans for the year ended February 28, 2005 were as follows:

| | US | Canada | Total |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Service costs on benefits earned during the period | \$80,544 | \$183,379 | \$263,923 |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation | 99,262 | 156,446 | 255,708 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (99,100) | (147,411) | (246,511) |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Net periodic pension cost | \$80,706 | \$192,414 | \$273,120 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

F-22

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated/ Combined Financial Statements February 28, 2006**

The present value of benefit obligations and funded status of the Plans as computed by the actuaries as of February 28, 2005 were as follows:

| | <u>US</u> | <u>Canada</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Projected benefit obligation | \$(1,847,272) | \$(2,942,532) | \$(4,789,804) |
| Plan assets at fair value | 1,589,586 | 2,208,106 | 3,797,692 |
| Funded status | (257,686) | (734,426) | (992,112) |
| Unrecognized net loss | | 75,882 | 75,882 |
| Net liability | \$(257,686) | \$(658,544) | \$(916,230) |

As of February 28, 2005, the accumulated benefit obligation was \$1,847,272 for the U.S. Plan and \$2,587,511 for the Canadian Plan. Amounts recognized in the combined balance sheet as of February 28, 2005 consist of:

| | <u>US</u> | <u>Canada</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|---|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| Current portion of accrued benefit liability, included in accrued expenses | \$(120,000) | (136,206) | \$(256,206) |
| Long term portion of accrued benefit liability, included in other long term liabilities | \$(137,686) | (535,642) | \$(673,328) |
| Net amount recognized | \$(257,686) | (671,848) | \$(929,534) |

Weighted-average assumptions used in developing the projected benefit obligation and net cost for the year ended February 28, 2005 were as follows:

| | <u>US</u> | <u>Canada</u> | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------|---|
| Discount rate | 5.75 | % 5.25 | % |
| Rate of increase in compensation | 0.00 | % 3.00 | % |
| Rate of return on plan assets | 6.50 | % 7.00 | % |

The expected long-term rate of return is based on the portfolio as a whole and not on the sum of the returns on individual asset categories. The return is based exclusively on historical returns, without adjustments.

Following is a roll forward of the projected benefit obligation and the plan assets for the year ended February 28, 2005:

| | <u>US</u> | <u>Canada</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Benefit obligation at February 28, 2004 | \$1,822,198 | \$2,605,331 | \$4,427,529 |
| Service cost | 80,544 | 183,380 | 263,924 |
| Interest cost | 99,262 | 156,446 | 255,708 |
| Plan participants' contributions | | 68,391 | 68,391 |
| Actuarial gain and other | (41,221) | 201,607 | 160,386 |
| Benefits paid | (113,511) | (272,623) | (386,134) |
| | <u>\$1,847,272</u> | <u>\$2,942,532</u> | <u>\$4,789,804</u> |
| Fair value of plan assets at February 28, 2004 | \$1,506,631 | \$2,105,121 | \$3,611,752 |
| Actual return on plan assets | 65,379 | 101,498 | 166,877 |
| Employer contributions | 131,087 | 205,719 | 336,806 |
| Plan participants' contributions | | 68,391 | 68,391 |
| Benefits paid | (113,511) | (272,623) | (386,134) |
| | <u>\$1,589,586</u> | <u>\$2,208,106</u> | <u>\$3,797,692</u> |

F-23

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated/ Combined Financial Statements February 28, 2006**

Net periodic pension costs of the defined benefit pension plans covering the period April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004 were as follows:

| | <u>US</u> | <u>Canada</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|--|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Service costs on benefits earned during the period | \$68,401 | \$ 119,071 | \$ 187,472 |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation | 73,719 | 99,885 | 173,604 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (70,047) | (84,483) | (152,282) |
| Net periodic pension cost | <u>\$72,073</u> | <u>\$ 134,473</u> | <u>\$206,546</u> |

Weighted-average assumptions used in developing the projected benefit obligation and net cost for the period from April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004 were as follows:

| | <u>US</u> | <u>Canada</u> | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------|---|
| Discount rate | 5.75 | % 5.75 | % |
| Rate of increase in compensation | 0.00 | % 3.00 | % |
| Rate of return on plan assets | 6.50 | % 7.00 | % |

401(k) Plan:

The Company also has a defined contribution plan under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code for all United States employees. Employees can elect to contribute up to certain maximum percentages of their weekly gross pay. The Company matches are discretionary. The Company had no discretionary matches for the Fiscal years ended February 28, 2005 and 2006 or for the period from April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 28, 2006.

NOTE 8 INCOME TAXES

As a result of the net operating loss incurred for the years ended February 28, 2006 and 2005, and for the period from April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004, there is no income tax provision in the accompanying financial statements. Due to the uncertainty that future taxable income will be generated during the periods in which the temporary differences underlying the Company's deferred tax assets become deductible, management has applied a full valuation allowance to the net deferred tax assets at February 28, 2006 and February 28, 2005.

Pre-tax loss (income) for the years ended February 28, 2006 and 2005 and for the period from April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004 is as follows:

| | | |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| 2006 | 2005 | 4/25/2003 |
| | | to |

2/29/2004

| | | | |
|---------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| United States | \$29,661,664 | \$3,963,260 | \$1,815,455 |
| Foreign | 550,752 | 25,502 | (96,620) |
| | <u>\$30,212,416</u> | <u>\$3,988,762</u> | <u>\$1,718,835</u> |

F-24

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated/ Combined Financial Statements February 28, 2006**

The significant components of the Company's net deferred tax assets at February 28, 2006 and 2005 are as follows:

Deferred tax assets (liabilities):

| | February 28, 2006 | February 28, 2005 |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Accounts receivable | \$ 180,000 | \$ 170,000 |
| Inventory | 240,000 | 183,000 |
| Fixed asset depreciation and amortization | (305,000) | (212,000) |
| Cenuco Intangibles | (2,633,000) | |
| Accrued expenses and other | 530,000 | 348,000 |
| Net operating loss carry forward | 7,755,000 | 2,164,000 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total deferred tax assets | 5,767,000 | 2,653,000 |
| Valuation allowance | (5,767,000) | (2,653,000) |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$ | \$ |

The Company has a consolidated net operating loss carry forward of approximately \$22,900,000 (\$18,900,000 for U.S. income tax purposes) which will begin to expire in 2022. Of this amount, approximately \$2,400,000 is subject to certain limitations as a result of the change in control which occurred with the Merger. The Lander Canada net operating loss carry forward of approximately U.S. \$1,040,000 will begin to expire in 2011.

A reconciliation summary of the differences between the statutory federal rate and the Company's effective tax rate for the years ended February 28, 2006 and 2005 and for the period from April 25, 2003 to February 29, 2004 is as follows:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Statutory tax expense (benefit) | (34.00)% |
| State income taxes, net of federal benefit | (5.00)% |
| Valuation allowance | 39.00 % |
| | <hr/> |
| Effective tax rate | 0.00 % |

NOTE 9 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company has various noncancelable operating leases for manufacturing and office facilities. Rent expense was \$724,641, \$680,640 and \$620,328, respectively in fiscal years 2006, 2005 and for the period from April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004. Future minimum lease payments under noncancelable operating leases (with initial

or remaining lease terms in excess of one year) and future minimum capital lease payments for each period are as follows:

| | CAPITAL LEASES | OPERATING LEASES |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| 2007 | \$ 32,730 | \$ 888,440 |
| 2008 | | 742,760 |
| 2009 | | 620,335 |
| 2010 | | 620,989 |
| 2011 | | 524,528 |
| thereafter | | 106,133 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Total minimum lease payments | \$ 32,730 | \$ 3,503,185 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| Less amounts representing interest | (981) | |
| | <u> </u> | |
| Present value of future minimum lease payments | \$ 31,749 | |
| | <u> </u> | |

Also the Company had purchase obligations of \$573,300 as of February 28, 2006.

Cenuco Wireless is currently the defendant in a patent infringement case commenced on February 1, 2005 in Federal District Court for the Southern District of New York (Joao v. Cenuco, Inc., 05 Civ. 1037 (CM) (MDF)). The plaintiff, Raymond Anthony Joao, asserts in his complaint that Cenuco Wireless is infringing certain patents held by Joao, specifically United States Patents Nos. 6,587,046, 6,542,076 and 6,549,130, which cover apparatuses and methods for transmitting video information to remote devices and/or over the Internet. Cenuco Wireless has timely answered the complaint denying infringement, and intends to defend this case vigorously on the merits. Management believes that the patents relied on by Joao are invalid and that the chances of Joao prevailing are remote. Nonetheless, there can be no assurance as to the outcome of the case, and a judicial determination that Cenuco Wireless is infringing Joao's patents, while unlikely, could have a material adverse effect on the ability of Cenuco Wireless to market and sell its current product line. Similarly, there is no assurance that Cenuco Wireless would be able to develop, at a reasonable cost, within a reasonable length of time or at all, a workaround to eliminate any patent infringement found to exist.

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated/ Combined Financial Statements February 28, 2006**

The Company is subject to certain claims and litigation in the normal course of business. Management believes, after consulting with legal counsel, that the ultimate liability resulting from these matters will not materially affect the consolidated results of operations or financial position of the Company.

NOTE 10 STOCK OPTIONS AND WARRANTS

For the year ended February 28, 2006 and all prior periods, the Company accounted for stock options issued to employees in accordance with the provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations. As such, compensation cost is measured on the date of grant as the excess of the current market price of the underlying stock over the exercise price. Such compensation amounts, if any, are amortized over the respective vesting periods of the option grant. The Company adopted the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation and SFAS 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation -Transition and Disclosure, which permits entities to provide pro forma net income (loss) and pro forma earnings (loss) per share disclosures for employee stock option grants as if the fair-valued based method defined in SFAS No. 123 had been applied. The Company accounts for stock options and stock issued to non-employees for goods or services in accordance with the fair value method of SFAS No. 123.

The exercise prices of all options granted by the Company equaled the market price at the dates of grant. From the date of the Merger to February 28, 2006 no options were issued. Had compensation cost for the stock option plan been determined based on the fair value of the options at the grant dates consistent with the method of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock Based Compensation, the Company's net loss and loss per share would not have changed.

As a result of the Merger on May 20, 2005, all previously issued options that were unvested on that date became automatically vested. Since the date of the Merger, none of the 556,668 exercisable options have been exercised.

The following information applies to options outstanding at February 28, 2006:

| Range of Prices | Options Outstanding and Exercisable | |
|------------------------|--|---------------|
| | Weighted - Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Shares |
| \$0.42 | 6.50 | 73,332 |
| \$0.55 | 0.82 | 40,000 |
| \$1.15 | 7.77 | 218,335 |
| \$1.55 | 6.86 | 35,001 |
| \$2.00 | 5.00 | 130,000 |
| \$3.71 | 8.40 | 40,000 |
| \$4.00 | 8.40 | 20,000 |

556,668

From the date of the Merger to February 28, 2006, 131,500 warrants, have been exercised at an exercise price of \$1 per share. No warrants have been issued from the date of the Merger to February 28, 2006.

F-26

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated/ Combined Financial Statements February 28, 2006**

The following information applies to all warrants outstanding at February 28, 2006:

| <u>Range of Prices</u> | <u>Warrants Outstanding and Exercisable</u> | |
|------------------------|--|------------------|
| | <u>Weighted - Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)</u> | <u>Shares</u> |
| \$1.00 | 2.79 | 150,000 |
| \$4.00 | 3.32 | 105,784 |
| \$4.50 | 3.19 | 1,387,760 |
| \$5.00 to \$6.50 | 3.25 | 350,000 |
| \$6.00 | 4.22 | 500,000 |
| | | <u>2,493,544</u> |

NOTE 11 CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND NET LOSS PER COMMON SHARE**Capital Structure:**

At February 28, 2006, the outstanding share capital of the Company is comprised of: (i) 13,882,056 shares of common stock (Common Stock), and (ii) 2,553.7 shares of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock (the Series A Preferred Stock).

The Series A Preferred Stock was issued in connection with the completion of the Merger as described in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements. The holders of the Series A Preferred Stock are entitled to receive when, as and if declared by the Board of Directors, quarterly cumulative dividends commencing on March 31, 2006 in an amount per share equal to \$0.001. In addition to the dividends payable to the holders of Series A Preferred Stock, the Company shall declare a dividend or distribution on the Series A Preferred Stock equal to any amount declared on the Common Stock. Holders of the Series A Preferred Stock (using the number of common shares into which each share of Series A Preferred Stock is convertible) and the holders of Common Stock vote together as one class on all matters submitted to a vote of stockholders of the Company, provided however that the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock are not entitled to any voting rights on any matter relating to the Merger. Upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company, the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock are entitled to liquidation preferences over all other classes of capital stock. The holders of Series A Preferred Stock shall receive an amount equal to \$1,000 per share of the Series A Preferred Stock, plus an amount equal to accrued and unpaid dividends and distributions prior to any distribution to the holders of any other class of capital stock. If the assets available for distribution are sufficient to permit a full payment of the above amounts then, after such amounts have been fully distributed, holders of the Series A Preferred Stock shall share equally with holder of the Common Stock on a per share basis (using the number of common shares into which each share of Series A Preferred Stock is convertible). Each share of Series A Preferred

Stock carries the voting rights on a basis such that the rights of the Series A Preferred Stock as a whole correspond to 65 percent of the aggregate rights of the Series A Preferred Stock and Common Stock outstanding as of the completion of the Merger. Upon the approval of the holders of the Common Stock and an increase in the Company's authorized share capital, each share of Series A Preferred Stock will automatically convert into shares of Common Stock on such a basis that, following conversion, the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock will hold the same proportional rights to general distributions and voting rights that they held immediately prior to such conversion. The Series A Preferred Stock is not redeemable.

On May 3, 2006, at the shareholders meeting, approval was obtained to increase the number of authorized number of shares of common stock to 225,000,000.

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated/ Combined Financial Statements February 28, 2006**

Net loss per share:

The following table shows how the net loss was allocated using the two-class method (see Note 2) for the year ended February 28, 2006:

| | |
|------------------------|-----------------|
| Allocation of net loss | |
| Basic and Diluted: | |
| - Common Stock | \$(28,378,422) |
| - Series A Preferred | (1,833,994) |
| | <hr/> |
| Net loss | \$ (30,212,416) |
| | <hr/> |

The following table illustrates the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock and Series A Preferred Stock outstanding during the period utilized in the calculation of loss per share:

| | |
|--|------------|
| Weighted average number of Common Stock shares - basic and diluted | 13,795,100 |
| Weighted average number of Series A Preferred shares - basic and diluted | 2,554 |
| Basic and diluted net loss per share - common | \$(2.06) |
| Basic and diluted net loss per share - Series A Preferred | \$(718) |

NOTE 12 SEGMENT AND GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

The results related to the Playtex asset acquisition are reported in the HBC Division. The Company operated in only one division prior to the Merger on May 20, 2005. Accordingly, only geographic information is presented for periods prior to the current fiscal year ended February 28, 2006.

YEAR ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2006

| DIVISION | HBC | WAD | TOTAL |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Net Sales | \$79,518,368 | \$43,851 | \$79,562,219 |
| Loss from operations | (8,842,959) | (18,882,682) | (27,725,641) |
| Net loss | (11,373,482) | (18,838,934) | (30,212,416) |
| Total Assets | \$80,827,933 | \$22,118,243 | \$102,946,176 |

| GEOGRAPHIC | NET SALES | LONG -LIVED ASSETS |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |

Year ended February 28, 2006:

| | | |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| United States | \$54,907,353 | \$73,614,864 |
| Canada | 15,938,200 | 644,111 |
| Other foreign countries | 8,716,666 | |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total | \$79,562,219 | \$74,258,975 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |

| GEOGRAPHIC | NET SALES | LONG -LIVED ASSETS |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Year ended February 28, 2005: | | |
| United States | \$45,954,190 | \$6,150,610 |
| Canada | 15,310,361 | 559,740 |
| Other foreign countries | 8,596,251 | |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total | \$69,860,802 | \$6,710,350 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |

| GEOGRAPHIC | NET SALES |
|--|----------------------|
| <hr/> | <hr/> |
| For the period from April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004: | |
| United States | \$35,082,786 |
| Canada | 13,358,400 |
| Other foreign countries | 6,604,829 |
| | <hr/> |
| Total | \$55,046,015 |
| | <hr/> |

[Back to Contents](#)

Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated/ Combined Financial Statements February 28, 2006

NOTE 13 TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES

The Hermes Group LLP (THGLLP), a certified public accounting firm, provided professional services and (until June 2005) leased office facilities to the Company. THGLLP also paid expenses on behalf of the Company. THGLLP invoiced the Company a total of \$411,143 for professional fees, facility usage and reimbursable expenses for the year ended February 28, 2006 and \$523,933 for the year ended February 28, 2005. For the period from April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004, THGLLP invoiced the Company \$258,596. At February 28, 2006, and February 28, 2005, the Company owed THGLLP \$35,595 and \$28,341, respectively. Mark I. Massad is a founding Partner and is currently a non-active partner in THGLLP. Mr. Massad and/or members of his immediate family own beneficially 96.875 percent of the ownership interests in MarNan, LLC (MarNan), a New Jersey limited liability company. MarNan owns 40 percent of the Company's Series A Preferred Stock.

Zephyr Ventures LLC (ZVLLC) provided consulting services to the Company. Edward J. Doyle, a member of the Board of Directors of the Company from May 20, 2005, is a Managing Member of ZVLLC. For the year ended February 28, 2006, ZVLLC invoiced the Company for \$19,078. For the year ended February 28, 2005, ZVLLC invoiced the Company for \$28,485. For the period from April 25, 2003 (inception) to February 29, 2004, ZVLLC invoiced the Company \$154,142. Effective May 20, 2005, the date of the Merger, ZVLLC ceased providing consulting services to the Company. No monies were due ZVLLC at February 28, 2006 and February 28, 2005.

Kenneth D. Taylor, a member of the Board of Directors of the Company from May 20, 2005, provided consulting services to the Company. For the year ended February 28, 2006, Mr. Taylor invoiced the Company \$5,000. For the year ended February 28, 2005 and for prior periods, he did not invoice the Company. Effective May 20, 2005, the date of the Merger, he ceased providing consulting services to the Company. No monies were due Mr. Taylor at February 28, 2006.

The Hermes Group LLC (THGLLC), a limited liability company, provides investment banking, acquisition and corporate advisory services to the Company. For year ended February 28, 2006, THGLLC invoiced Lander, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, \$429,313 for business advisory services. Mark I. Massad is a member of THGLLC and a member of MarNan LLC, which is a 40% shareholder of the Series A Preferred Stock of the Company. As of February 28, 2006, there was a balance due to THGLLC of \$6,900.

In addition, during the year ended February 28, 2006 the Company paid a fee of \$1,000,000 (capitalized by the Company as part of purchase price of the Playtex asset acquisition - see Note 3) to THGLLC as compensation for advisory, diligence and other services rendered to the Company in connection with the Company's acquisition of certain brands and related assets from Playtex in November 2005.

Joseph A. Falsetti (who is a Director and the Chief Executive Officer of the Company) and/or members of his immediate family own beneficially 96.875 percent of the ownership interests in Dana Holdings, LLC (Dana Holdings), a New Jersey limited liability company. Dana Holdings owns 40 percent of the Company's Series A Preferred Stock. During the year ended February 28, 2006 the Company paid guarantee fees of \$400,000 each to Dana Holdings and MarNan in connection with the short-term Bridge Loan described in Note 3 to the 2006 consolidated financial statements. These guarantee fees were capitalized as deferred debt costs in connection with the Bridge Loan. Payment of such fees was approved by the unanimous vote of the Board of Directors.

The Company's management believes the charges for the related party services listed above are consistent with the amounts that would be paid to independent third parties.

F-29

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated/ Combined Financial Statements February 28, 2006****NOTE 14 SUPPLEMENTAL PRO FORMA INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)****MERGER**

The following discloses the unaudited results of operations (excluding discontinued operations) for the current fiscal year (and corresponding period in the preceding year) as though the Merger had been completed as of March 1, the beginning of the period. The combined results are for the years ended February 28, 2006 and February 28, 2005.

| | Year ended February 28, 2006 | Year ended February 28, 2005 |
|---|---|---|
| Net sales | \$79,602,256 | \$69,875,802 |
| Net loss before amortization of intangibles | (29,539,275) | (5,188,762) |
| Amortization of intangible assets | (1,600,000) | (1,600,000) |
| Net loss | \$(31,139,275) | \$(6,788,762) |
| Loss per common share - basic and diluted | \$(2.26) | \$(0.49) |
| Weighted average shares | 13,795,100 | 13,750,556 |

NOTE 15 QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

The following is a summary of the quarterly results for the years ended February 28, 2006 and 2005:

| | Thirteen Weeks Ended | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | May 28 2005 | August 27 2005 (1) | November 26 2005 (1) | February 28 2006 (2) |
| 2006 | | | | |
| Net sales | \$17,351,062 | \$16,840,788 | \$18,376,637 | \$26,993,732 |
| Gross profit | 1,051,537 | 1,240,769 | 1,628,017 | 1,383,386 |
| Net loss | (2,128,490) | (2,505,137) | (515,445) | (25,063,344) |
| Loss per share: | | | | |
| Basic and diluted - common | \$(0.02) | \$(0.18) | \$(0.04) | \$(1.81) |
| Basic and diluted - preferred | \$(718.00) | \$ | \$ | \$ |

Thirteen Weeks Ended

| | May 29 2004 | August 28 2004 | November 27 2004 | February 28 2005 |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | _____ | _____ | _____ | _____ |
| 2005 | | | | |
| Net sales | \$17,562,444 | \$16,448,871 | \$18,017,820 | \$17,831,667 |
| Gross profit | 2,606,535 | 1,675,777 | 1,897,426 | 1,311,467 |
| Net loss | (322,642) | (929,529) | (586,404) | (2,150,187) |
| Loss per share: | | | | |
| Basic and diluted - common | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Basic and diluted - preferred | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

- (1) The amounts reflected above for net loss in the thirteen weeks ended August 27, and November 26, 2005 have been increased from the amounts previously reported in the related 10-Q s by \$320,127 (\$0.02 loss per share) and \$291,316 (\$0.02 loss per share), respectively. These charges are as a result of an increase in the amount of purchase price allocated to amortizable intangible assets in the Merger (see Note 1) and a related increase in the amortization of such intangibles. The Company will file amended Form 10-Q s for these periods.
- (2) Net loss for the thirteen weeks ended February 28, 2006 includes a \$16.4 million goodwill impairment charge related to the Company s WAD operating division.

F-30

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(Amounts in thousands, except for share and per share data)

| | August 26, 2006 | February 28, 2006 |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | (unaudited) | |
| <u>ASSETS</u> | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$225 | \$1,876 |
| Trade receivables, net of allowances of \$734 at August 26, 2006 and \$528 at February 28, 2006 | 12,255 | 6,471 |
| Inventories | 14,987 | 16,269 |
| Miscellaneous receivables | 44 | 1,693 |
| Note receivable, current portion | 97 | 95 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 808 | 679 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total current assets | 28,416 | 27,083 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 6,542 | 6,502 |
| Goodwill | 14,554 | 14,554 |
| Intangibles, net | 50,928 | 53,203 |
| Notes receivable, less current portion | 282 | 340 |
| Other assets, net | 7,819 | 1,264 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total assets | \$108,541 | \$102,946 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| <u>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</u> | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$10,329 | \$9,024 |
| Accrued expenses | 3,457 | 2,852 |
| Accrued interest | 600 | 1,202 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 9 | 32 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total current liabilities | 14,395 | 13,110 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | 83,591 | 80,000 |
| Long-term pension obligation | 1,017 | 967 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total liabilities | 99,003 | 94,077 |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Convertible preferred stock, par value \$.001 per share; Authorized 1,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 2,347.7746 shares at August 26, 2006 and issued and outstanding 2,553.6746 shares at February 28, 2006 | | |

Edgar Filing: ASCENDIA BRANDS, INC. - Form PRE 14A

| | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Common stock, par value \$.001 per share; Authorized 225,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 13,913,056 shares at August 26, 2006 and issued and outstanding 13,882,056 shares at February 28, 2006 | 14 | 14 |
| Additional paid in capital | 42,721 | 37,907 |
| Accumulated deficit | (32,453) | (28,378) |
| Accumulated comprehensive loss | (744) | (674) |
| | <u>9,538</u> | <u>8,869</u> |
| Total stockholders' equity | | |
| | <u>\$ 108,541</u> | <u>\$ 102,946</u> |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-31

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Operations****(Unaudited)**

(Amounts in thousands, except for share and per share data)

| | For the thirteen weeks ended | | For the twenty-six weeks ended | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|
| | August 26, 2006 | August 27, 2005 | August 26, 2006 | August 27, 2005 |
| Net sales | \$24,404 | \$16,841 | \$49,326 | \$34,192 |
| Cost of sales | 19,935 | 15,600 | 40,130 | 31,900 |
| Gross Profit | 4,469 | 1,241 | 9,196 | 2,292 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Selling and marketing | 1,457 | 877 | 2,858 | 1,844 |
| General and administrative | 4,364 | 2,653 | 7,723 | 4,389 |
| Total operating expenses | 5,821 | 3,530 | 10,581 | 6,233 |
| Loss from operations | (1,352) | (2,289) | (1,385) | (3,941) |
| Other income (loss): | | | | |
| Interest expense, net | (3,118) | (369) | (5,603) | (765) |
| Loss on issuance of debt | (6,872) | | (6,872) | |
| Amortization of finance fees and debt discount | (390) | | (1,561) | |
| Gain on revaluation of compound derivative liability | 11,202 | | 11,202 | |
| Other income (expense), net | (1) | 153 | 144 | 72 |
| Total other income (loss) | 821 | (216) | (2,690) | (693) |
| Loss before income taxes | (531) | (2,505) | (4,075) | (4,634) |
| Income taxes | | | | |
| Net loss | \$(531) | \$(2,505) | \$(4,075) | \$(4,634) |
| Basic and diluted loss per common share | \$(0.04) | \$(0.18) | \$(0.29) | \$(0.20) |
| Basic and diluted loss per preferred share | \$ | \$(718) | \$ | \$(718) |
| Shares used in computing loss per share: | | | | |
| Basic - common | 13,913,056 | 13,768,930 | 13,910,252 | 13,734,420 |
| Basic - preferred | 2,347.8 | 2,553.7 | 2,347.8 | 2,553.7 |
| Diluted - common | 13,913,056 | 13,768,930 | 13,910,252 | 13,734,420 |
| Diluted - preferred | 2,347.8 | 2,553.7 | 2,347.8 | 2,553.7 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity****For the twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006**

(Amounts in thousands, except for share and per share data)

| | Series A Preferred Stock Shares | Common Amount Shares | Stock Amount | Additional Paid-in Capital | Accumulated Deficit | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Stockholders' Equity |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Balance at February 28, 2006 | 2,553.7 | \$ 13,882,056 | \$ 14 | \$ 37,907 | \$ (28,378) | \$ (674) | \$ 8,869 |
| Net loss | | | | | (4,075) | | (4,075) |
| Other comprehensive loss: | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation | | | | | | (70) | (70) |
| Comprehensive loss | | | | | | | (4,145) |
| Warrants issued - fair value | | | | 8,429 | | | 8,429 |
| Exercise of warrants | | 31,000 | | 31 | | | 31 |
| Redemption of Series A Preferred shares | (205.9) | | | (3,646) | | | (3,646) |
| Balance at August 26, 2006 (unaudited) | 2,347.8 | \$ 13,913,056 | \$ 14 | \$ 42,721 | \$ (32,453) | \$ (744) | \$ 9,538 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(Unaudited)**

(Amounts in thousands, except for share and per share data)

| | For the twenty-six weeks ended | |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------|
| | August 26, 2006 | August 27, 2005 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (4,075) | \$ (4,634) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,758 | 911 |
| Provision for accounts receivable | 163 | 161 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs and debt discount | 1,561 | 109 |
| Loss on issuance of debt | 6,872 | |
| Gain on revaluation of compound derivative liability | (11,202) | |
| Non-cash interest | 4,157 | |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Trade receivables | (5,947) | (331) |
| Inventories | 1,282 | 1,341 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 1,520 | (642) |
| Other assets | (45) | (536) |
| Accounts payable | 1,305 | (14) |
| Accrued expenses | 32 | 164 |
| Long-term pension obligations | 50 | 58 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (1,569) | (3,413) |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | |
| Net increase in cash from reverse acquisition of Cenuco | | 6,235 |
| Proceeds from note receivable | 56 | 200 |
| Acquisition costs | (741) | (343) |
| Purchase of property, plant and equipment | (543) | (255) |
| Disposal of fixed assets | 20 | |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (1,208) | 5,837 |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | |
| Net borrowings (repayments) of revolver | 8,072 | (1,607) |
| Net proceeds from issuance of convertible notes | 2,747 | |

Edgar Filing: ASCENDIA BRANDS, INC. - Form PRE 14A

| | | |
|---|----------|-----------|
| Financing costs | (5,993) | (95) |
| Repayments of long-term debt | (15) | (339) |
| Repayments of capital lease | | (33) |
| Redemption of preferred stock | (3,646) | |
| Proceeds from exercise of warrants | 31 | 76 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 1,196 | (1,998) |
| Effect of exchange rates on cash | (70) | (27) |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (1,651) | 399 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of period | 1,876 | 32 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Cash and cash equivalents at the end of period | \$ 225 | \$ 431 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | | |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ 2,054 | \$ 445 |
| Non-cash fees and expenses related to issuance of convertible notes | 4,195 | |
| Warrants in connection with issuance of convertible notes | 8,249 | |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Reverse merger, excluding cash acquired (see Note 1): | | |
| Fair value of tangible assets acquired | \$ | \$ 1,200 |
| Goodwill and identifiable intangible assets acquired | | 38,975 |
| Liabilities assumed | | (474) |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Net assets acquired | \$ | \$ 39,701 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

[Back to Contents](#)

Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements August 26, 2006 (Unaudited)

NOTE 1 DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND REORGANIZATION

Introduction

Ascendia Brands, Inc. (Ascendia , or the Company , the Registrant , we or us) manufactures and markets a portfolio of nationally and internationally known branded products in the health and beauty care categories (The Health and Beauty Care division (HBC)). The brand portfolio includes *Baby Magic*®, *Binaca*®, *Mr. Bubble*®, *Lander*®, *Lander essentials* , *Ogilvie*®, *Tek*®, *Dorothy Gray*® and *Tussy*®, competing in the Bath Products, Baby Toiletries, Deodorant/Antiperspirant, Home Permanent Treatment, Mouthwash, Portable Breath Sprays and Drops, Manual Toothbrush, and Skin Care segments within the personal care products category. Ascendia sells its brands through a variety of channels, concentrating primarily on the mass merchandiser, drug, grocery and dollar store outlets. The Company also develops and markets wireless data applications, with a focus on live video streaming to cellular devices across any carrier or handset platform (The Wireless Application Division (WAD)).

Corporate Structure

On May 9, 2006 the Company (previously known as Cenuco, Inc.) changed its name to Ascendia Brands, Inc. The chart below depicts the current structure of Ascendia and its direct and indirect, wholly-owned subsidiaries, and the discussion that follows summarizes the functions and role of each company in this group.

Ascendia Brands, Inc. (*Ascendia* , *the Company* , *the Registrant* , *we or us*). The Company is a holding company, organized under Delaware law, with its executive offices in Hamilton, New Jersey. It owns directly the stock of Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC and Cenuco, Inc.

Hermes Acquisition Company I LLC (*HACI*). Hermes is a Delaware limited liability company that acts as the holding company for the Company's health and beauty care division.

Ascendia Brands Co., Inc. (*Ascendia Brands*). Ascendia Brands is a New Jersey corporation with its executive offices in Hamilton, New Jersey. As of May 1, 2006, Ascendia Brands assumed the manufacturing and distribution operations formerly conducted through Lander Co., Inc. (*see, infra*). As the successor to Lander Co., Inc., Ascendia Brands manufactures and sells branded health and beauty care products in the value and premium value categories, through mass market retailers (such as Wal-Mart and K-Mart), dollar stores, supermarkets and pharmacies. Ascendia's brands include *Baby Magic*, *Binaca*, *Mr. Bubble*, *Lander*, *Lander essentials*, *Ogilvie*, *Tek*, *Dentax*, *Dorothy Gray* and *Tussy*. Ascendia Brands operates a manufacturing plant in Binghamton, New York, which is leased from a related party, Ascendia Real Estate LLC.

Lander Co., Inc. (*Lander*). Lander is a Delaware corporation with its executive offices in Wilmington, Delaware. During the period ended February 28, 2006, Lander was the principal operating company in Ascendia's health and beauty care division. Following the transition of manufacturing and distribution activities to Ascendia Brands, Lander acts as an intellectual property holding company for trademarks and other intellectual property associated with the *Lander* brands.

[Back to Contents](#)

Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements August 26, 2006 (Unaudited)

Lander Co. Canada Ltd (Lander Canada). Lander Canada, a Canadian limited company, is the Canadian manufacturing and distribution arm of Ascendia's health and beauty care division. Lander Canada operates a manufacturing facility in Toronto, Ontario, which it leases from a third party.

Ascendia Real Estate LLC (f/k/a Hermes Real Estate I LLC) (Ascendia Real Estate). Ascendia Real Estate, a New York limited liability company, is a real estate holding company. Its sole asset is the Binghamton plant, which it leases to Ascendia Brands.

Lander Intangibles Corporation (Lander Intangibles). Lander Intangibles is a Delaware corporation with its executive offices in Wilmington, Delaware. Lander Intangibles is an intellectual property holding company that was formed to acquire and hold certain of the intellectual property that the Company purchased from Playtex Products Inc. and its affiliates (Playtex) on November 16, 2005.

Cenuco, Inc. (Cenuco Wireless). Cenuco Wireless is a Florida corporation with executive offices in Boca Raton. Cenuco Wireless develops and markets wireless data applications, with a focus on live video streaming to cellular devices across any carrier or handset platform.

THE MERGER

On May 20, 2005, Hermes Holding Company, Inc., a newly formed wholly owned subsidiary of Cenuco, Inc., a public company, (ICU) merged (the Merger) with HACI.

The Merger was completed through the issuance of 2,553.7 shares of Cenuco, Inc.'s Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock (representing 65 percent of the aggregate outstanding voting power of Cenuco capital stock) in exchange for all the outstanding membership units of HACI. As a consequence of the Merger, HACI, together with its wholly owned subsidiaries Lander, Ascendia Real Estate, and Lander Canada, became wholly owned subsidiaries of Cenuco.

For financial reporting purposes, the Merger was treated as a recapitalization of HACI followed by the reverse acquisition of Cenuco, Inc. by HACI for a purchase price equivalent to the total market value of Cenuco, Inc.'s stock outstanding prior to the Merger, plus the fair value of the options that automatically vested on the date of the Merger (approximately \$45.7 million in the aggregate). Consistent with the accounting and presentation for reverse acquisitions, the historical financial statements of the Company, Inc. prior to the date of the Merger reflect the financial position and results of operations of HACI and Ascendia Real Estate, with the results of operations of Cenuco, Inc. being included commencing on May 20, 2005. Effective with the completion of the Merger, Cenuco, Inc. changed its fiscal year end to be the last day of February, consistent with HACI's prior fiscal year.

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141, *Business Combinations*, the Company determined the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the reverse acquisition of Cenuco, to be as follows:

**(Amounts in
thousands)**

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 6,003 |
| Other current assets | 497 |
| <hr/> | |
| Total current assets | 6,500 |
| Property, plant, and equipment | 111 |
| Goodwill | 30,975 |
| Intangibles - acquired core software technology | 8,000 |
| Other Assets | 592 |
| <hr/> | |
| Total assets acquired | 46,178 |
| <hr/> | |
| Total liabilities assumed | (474) |
| <hr/> | |
| Estimated fair value of net assets acquired | \$ 45,704 |
| <hr/> | |

F-36

[Back to Contents](#)

Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements August 26, 2006 (Unaudited)

Following the Merger, the Company's principal business activity has been the manufacture and distribution of health, beauty and oral-care products, as described above. In addition, through its Cenuco Wireless subsidiary, the Company is engaged in a wireless application technology business (the WAD division), primarily related to the transmission of secure and non-secured video onto cellular platforms via proprietary technologies. All goodwill associated with the Cenuco acquisition was allocated to the WAD division. During the quarter ended February 28, 2006, in accordance with SFAS No. 142, the Company tested the carrying value of this goodwill for impairment. This led to a Goodwill impairment of \$16.4 million being recorded.

F-37

[Back to Contents](#)

Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements August 26, 2006 (Unaudited)

NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying financial statements of Ascendia as of and for the thirteen weeks ended August 26, 2006 and August 27, 2005 have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The financial information furnished reflects all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring accruals, which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented.

A summary of the Ascendia's significant accounting policies follows:

Basis of Consolidation: As of August 26, 2006 and February 28, 2006 and for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006 and for the period from May 20, 2005 to August 27, 2005, the statements are prepared on a consolidated basis. For the period from March 1, 2005 to May 19, 2005 the statements are prepared on a combined basis. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Ascendia Brands, Inc. and subsidiaries. All intercompany accounts have been eliminated in consolidation.

Cash Equivalents: The Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less, when purchased, to be cash equivalents.

Accounts Receivable: Trade accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount and do not bear interest. The Company maintains allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its customers to make required payments. If the financial condition of the Company's customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required, which would increase our operating costs.

Inventories: Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost determined using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. Inventories consist of raw materials used to manufacture the Company's health, beauty and oral care products, as well as, finished goods that consist of the Company's product lines sold to its customers. The Company writes down inventory for estimated excess and discontinued products equal to the difference between cost and estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions. Excess and discontinued product inventory could arise due to numerous factors, including but not limited to, the competitive nature of the market and product demand by consumers. If market conditions are less favorable than those anticipated by management, additional write-downs may be required, including provisions to reduce inventory to net realizable value.

Note Receivable: On September 30, 2004, Cenuco Wireless sold substantially all of its assets of the then existing education subsidiary for a net price of \$0.8 million. At closing Cenuco Wireless received \$0.3 million in cash and a note receivable for \$0.5 million. At August 26, 2006 and February 28, 2006 the note receivable had a balance of approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.4 million, respectively.

Property, Plant and Equipment: Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. The costs of major additions and improvements are capitalized and maintenance and repairs that do not improve or extend the life of the respective assets are charged to operations as incurred. Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets ranging from three to twenty-five years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the term of the lease or their estimated useful lives. If the

Company determines that a change is required in the useful life of an asset, future depreciation/amortization is adjusted accordingly.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets: Accounting for the impairment of long-lived assets, including property, plant and equipment, requires that long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles to be held and used or disposed of by an entity be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Under such circumstances, the accounting principles require that such assets be reported at the lower of their carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell. Accordingly, when events or circumstances indicate that long-lived assets may be impaired, the Company estimates the assets' future cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition. If the sum of the expected future undiscounted cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset, an impairment loss is recognized based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the asset.

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements August 26, 2006 (Unaudited)****Goodwill and Indefinite Lived Intangibles**

As a result of the Merger on May 20, 2005 (see Note 1), the Company recorded goodwill of \$31.0 million. Goodwill represents the excess of cost over the fair value of identifiable net assets acquired. As a result of the purchase of assets from Playtex on November 16, 2005 (see Note 3), the Company made an allocation of the purchase price to the estimated fair value of the assets acquired, which resulted in \$16.9 million being allocated to intangible assets (brand names and product formulas), initially estimated to have indefinite lives. SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, requires goodwill and other intangibles that have indefinite lives to not be amortized but to be reviewed at least annually for impairment or more frequently if impairment indicators arise. During the quarter ended February 28, 2006, in accordance with SFAS No. 142, the Company tested the carrying value of goodwill for impairment. This led to a goodwill impairment charge of \$16.4 million being recorded at that time.

Amortizable Intangible Assets

SFAS No. 142 also requires that intangible assets with finite useful lives be amortized over their respective estimated useful lives and reviewed for impairment. As a result of the merger on May 20, 2005, and as revised in the quarter ended February 28, 2006, the Company recorded intangible assets of \$8.0 million, related to acquired core software technology, with an estimated useful life of five years. Amortization expense for the acquired software technology was \$0.4 million and \$0.8 million respectively, for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006. Amortization expense for the acquired software technology was \$0.4 million and \$0.4 million respectively, for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 27, 2005.

As a result of the purchase of assets from Playtex on November 16, 2005 (see Note 3), the Company made an allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired, in proportion to their respective estimated fair values, which resulted in \$30.4 million being allocated to customer relationships. Management has adopted the straight-line method of amortizing these assets over their estimated useful lives of 10 years. Amortization expense for the customer relationships was \$0.7 million and \$1.5 million respectively, for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006. There was no amortization expense for the customer relationships for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 27, 2005.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

No changes occurred in the carrying amount of goodwill for the thirteen or twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006.

Balances of acquired intangible assets, excluding goodwill are as follows:

| | (\$Millions) | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| | Purchased Technology | Formulae And Tradenames | Customer Relationships | Total |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Intangible assets as of August 26, 2006: | \$8.0 | \$ 16.9 | \$ 30.4 | \$55.3 |

Edgar Filing: ASCENDIA BRANDS, INC. - Form PRE 14A

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Accumulated amortization | <u>(2.0)</u> | <u> </u> | <u>(2.4)</u> | <u>(4.4)</u> |
| Carrying value | <u>\$6.0</u> | <u>\$ 16.9</u> | <u>\$ 28.0</u> | <u>\$50.9</u> |

Weighted average original life (in years) 5 indefinite 10

Amortization expense for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006 is \$1.1 million and \$2.3 million respectively.

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements August 26, 2006 (Unaudited)**

Estimated aggregate amortization expense based on the current carrying value of intangible assets for the next five years is as follows:

| Fiscal Year | (000 s) Amount |
|-------------|-------------------|
| 2007 | \$ 4,639 |
| 2008 | 4,652 |
| 2009 | 4,639 |
| 2010 | 4,639 |
| 2011 | 3,390 |

Other Assets, Net: Other assets, net of approximately \$7.7 million, consist primarily of deferred financing costs related to the Long-Term Convertible Note Financing and the CIT revolving line of credit (see Note 6). The deferred financing costs are being amortized using the effective interest method over the term of the respective financing arrangements. Amortization expense related to deferred financing costs was \$0.2 million and \$1.4 million respectively, for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006. Amortization expense related to deferred financing costs was \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million respectively, for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 27, 2005.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments: The carrying amounts reported in the accompanying balance sheets for accounts receivable, notes receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate fair value due to the short-term nature of these accounts. Accounts receivable are carried at original invoice amount less an estimate made for doubtful receivables based on a review of all outstanding amounts on a periodic basis. Management determines the allowance for doubtful accounts by regularly evaluating individual customer receivables and considering a customer's financial condition, credit history, and current economic conditions. The carrying amounts for warrant derivative liability and for conversion option liability are based on estimated fair value at each reporting date.

Accounting for Derivative Instruments: We have issued and have outstanding convertible debt and warrants related to the convertible debt with embedded derivative features which we have analyzed in accordance with SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, and EITF Issue No. 00-19, Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in A Company's Own Stock, to determine if these instruments have embedded derivatives that must be bifurcated. Under EITF No. 00-19, the estimated value of such embedded derivatives is recorded as a liability utilizing an appropriate valuation model (with an offsetting debt discount that is amortized over the term of the convertible notes). Such liability is marked-to-market and adjusted to fair value at each reporting date with the change in fair value being recorded to other income (expense) in the period of the change. The warrants are not required to be accounted for as a liability. They are accounted for under EITF 98-5 as further described in Note 6.

Revenue Recognition: For the Health & Beauty Care division, revenue from product sales is recognized when the related goods are shipped, all significant obligations of the Company have been satisfied, persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the price to the buyer is fixed or determinable and collection is reasonably assured or probable.

Amounts billed to customers related to shipping and handling are included in net sales. The cost of shipping products to the customer is recognized at the time the products are shipped and included in cost of sales.

In connection with the development and sale of wireless solutions and web services, which include the development of business-to-business and business-to-consumer wireless applications, and state of the art wireless technology and services, the Wireless Application Development (WAD) division recognizes revenue as services are performed on a pro-rata basis over the contract term or when products are delivered. WAD periodically enters into agreements whereby the customer or distributor may purchase wireless products on a consignment type basis. Revenues are recognized under these arrangements only when the customer or distributor has resold the product and the Company has an enforcement right to its sales price.

Cooperative Advertising: Cooperative advertising programs and other volume-based incentives are accounted for on an accrual basis as a reduction in net revenue according to the requirements of Emerging Task Force 01-09,

Accounting for Consideration Given By a Vendor to a Customer or a Reseller of the Vendor's Products in the period in which the related sales are recognized. Cooperative advertising expenses were approximately \$1.2 million and \$1.7 million, respectively for thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006. Cooperative advertising expenses were approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively for thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 27, 2005.

[Back to Contents](#)

Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements August 26, 2006 (Unaudited)

Foreign Currency Translation: In accordance with SFAS No. 52, *Foreign Currency Translation*, the financial statements are measured using local currency as the functional currency. Assets and liabilities of Lander Canada have been translated into U.S. dollars at the fiscal period-end exchange rates. Revenues and expenses have been translated at average exchange rates for the related period. Net translation gains and losses are reflected as a separate component of stockholders' equity until there is a sale or liquidation of the underlying foreign investment.

Foreign currency gains and losses resulting from transactions are included in the consolidated statements of operations.

Estimates: The preparation of these financial statements requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, the Company evaluates the estimates and may adjust them based upon the latest information available. These estimates generally include those related to product returns, bad debts, inventory reserves for excess and discontinued products, income taxes and contingencies. The Company bases the estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis of making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Concentration of Credit Risk: Ascendia provides credit to its customers in the normal course of business and does not require collateral. To reduce credit risk, Ascendia performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers.

Five trade customers comprised 51 percent and 50 percent, respectively of Ascendia's net sales, (with one customer comprising approximately 38 percent and 37 percent, respectively) for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006. At August 26, 2006 the same five trade customers represented 54 percent of receivables, with one customer comprising 43 percent. This top customer represents a significant concentration. Accordingly, if this customer was not able to pay the amount owed to us and/or stopped purchasing from us, the impact would have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, financial position, and results of operations.

Five trade customers comprised 51 percent and 48 percent, respectively of Ascendia's net sales, (with one customer comprising approximately 33 percent and 32 percent respectively) for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 27, 2005. At August 27, 2005 the same five trade customers represented 55 percent of receivables, with one customer comprising 42 percent.

Income Taxes: Income taxes are accounted for under the asset-and-liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. In assessing the Company's ability to realize deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. A full valuation allowance at August 26, 2006 and February 28, 2006 has been recorded by management due to the uncertainty that future income will be generated and the related deferred tax assets realized.

Earnings per share: Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 03-6, *Participating Securities and the Two-Class Method under FASB Statement No. 128* (EITF 03-6) provides guidance in determining when the two-class method, as defined in SFAS128, *Earnings per Share*, must be utilized in calculating earnings per share by a Company that has issued securities other than common stock that contractually entitles the holder to participate in dividends and earnings of the Company when, and if, the Company declares dividends on its common stock. Under the two-class method earnings are allocated to common stock and participating securities to the extent that each security may share in such earnings and as if such earnings for the period had been distributed. Under the two-class method losses are allocated to participating securities to the extent that such security is obligated to fund the losses of the issuing entity or the contractual principal or mandatory redemption amount of the participating security is reduced as a result of losses incurred by the issuing entity. In accordance with EITF 03-6, basic earnings per share for the Company's common stock and Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock (Series A Preferred) would be calculated by dividing net income allocated to common stock and Series A Preferred by the weighted average number of shares of common stock and Series A Preferred outstanding, respectively. Diluted earnings per share for the Company's common stock would be calculated similarly, except that the calculation includes the effect, if dilutive, of the assumed exercise of stock options issuable under the Company's stock-based employee compensation plan, the assumption of the conversion of the Company's Series A Preferred stock to common stock, if dilutive and the assumption of the conversion of the Convertible Notes, if dilutive. Basic and diluted loss per share for the Company's common stock is calculated by dividing the net loss for the period during which such shares were outstanding by the weighted average number of shares outstanding. No losses are allocated to the Series A Preferred for the period during which the Company's common stock is outstanding since the holders of the Series A Preferred are not obligated to share in the Company's losses as described above.

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements August 26, 2006 (Unaudited)****RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes-An interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48) , which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in tax positions. This guidance seeks to reduce the diversity in practice associated with certain aspects of the recognition and measurement related to accounting for income taxes. The provisions of FIN 48 are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, with the cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle, if any, recorded as an adjustment to opening retained earnings. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting FIN 48 on its financial statements.

As of March 1, 2006, the Company adopted the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS No. 123 (R), Share Based Payment . Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123 (R), the Company recognized and measured the share-based compensation in accordance with Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees , and related interpretations. The adoption of SFAS No. 123 (R) did not have a material impact on the results of operations for the thirteen or twenty-six week periods ended August 26, 2006. See Note 9, Stock Options and Warrants , for more information regarding the Company s adoption of SFAS No. 123 (R).

NOTE 3 PLAYTEX ASSET ACQUISITION AND RELATED BRIDGE LOAN

On November 16, 2005, Lander and Lander Intangibles acquired certain brands and brand-related assets from Playtex. The acquired brands included *Baby Magic*®, *Binaca*®, *Mr. Bubble*®, *Ogilvie*®, *Tek*®, *Dorothy Gray*®, and *Tussy*®. At the closing, Lander and Lander Intangibles paid a total cash purchase price of \$59.1 million, including \$2.1 million of costs related to acquisition. The \$57.0 million purchase price paid to Playtex was subject to certain post closing adjustments dependent upon the amount of product inventory delivered to Lander at the closing. In December 2005, this adjustment was determined to result in a purchase price reduction of approximately \$1.3 million (bringing the total to \$57.8 million, including acquisition costs). In accordance with SFAS 142, the Company allocated the total purchase price to the assets acquired based on relative fair value. The allocation is as follows:

| | (\$000 s) |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Inventory | \$9,600 |
| Property, Plant and Equipment | 900 |
| Brand Names and Product Formulae | 16,924 |
| Customer Relationships | 30,394 |
| | <hr/> |
| Total Purchase Price | \$57,818 |
| | <hr/> |

In order to finance the acquisition of the brands from Playtex (\$57.8 million), fund financing fees (\$2.8 million), repay certain existing indebtedness of the Company and its subsidiaries including the Seller Note and the Financing Arrangement referred to below under Long-Term Debt (approximately \$13.8 million in total) and provide working capital for the operations of Lander (approximately \$5.6 million), on November 15, 2005, Cenuco, Lander, HACI and Lander Intangibles (collectively, the Borrowers), entered into an \$80.0 million Bridge Loan Term Agreement (the Bridge Loan) with Prencen, LLC (Prencen) and Highgate House Funds Ltd. (Highgate), as lenders, and Prencen, as

agent for the lenders.

F-42

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements August 26, 2006 (Unaudited)**

For the first 90 days following closing, the Bridge Loan bore interest at an annual rate of 5.5 percent above the three-month LIBOR (set 2 days in advance on November 14, 2005 at 4.34 percent). The interest rate margin over LIBOR increased by 5 percent per annum at the end of that 90-day period to 10.5 percent. Also at the end of the 90-day period the three-month LIBOR was reset on February 12, 2006 for the next 90 days (February 15, 2006 to May 15, 2006). The reset three-month LIBOR rate of 4.74 percent plus the increased interest rate margin of 10.5 percent generated an interest rate on the Bridge Loan of 15.24 percent for the period February 15, 2006 to May 15, 2006. Upon the occurrence and during the continuance of an event of default, the annual rate of interest will increase by 5.5 percent over the rate of interest otherwise in effect. Interest accrues monthly, in arrears.

The Bridge Loan was originally due and payable on May 15, 2006. The Bridge Loan term was extended to coincide with the August 2, 2006 closing of the Second and Restated Securities Purchase Agreement described in Note 6, with principal and accrued interest paid at closing. The Bridge Loan principal was repaid on August 2, 2006 with the long-term financing described in Note 6.

NOTE 4 INVENTORIES

Inventory consists of the following:

| | (\$000 s) | |
|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | AUGUST 26, 2006 | FEBRUARY 28, 2006 |
| Raw materials | \$4,871 | \$ 3,708 |
| Finished goods | 10,116 | 12,561 |
| | <u>\$14,987</u> | <u>\$ 16,269</u> |

NOTE 5 PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment consist of the following:

| | (\$000 s) | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | AUGUST 26, 2006 | FEBRUARY 28, 2006 |
| | | |

| | | |
|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Land | \$660 | \$ 660 |
| Computer equipment and software | 958 | 1,093 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 250 | 253 |
| Building | 2,645 | 2,645 |
| Machinery and equipment | 4,220 | 3,953 |
| Dies and molds | 76 | 87 |
| Leasehold improvements | 142 | 138 |
| Construction in progress | 681 | 280 |
| | <u>9,632</u> | <u>9,109</u> |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | (3,090) | (2,607) |
| Total | <u>\$6,542</u> | <u>\$ 6,502</u> |

Depreciation and amortization expense related to property, plant and equipment was \$0.2 million and \$0.4 million, respectively for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006. Depreciation and amortization expense related to property, plant and equipment was \$0.4 million and \$0.6 million, respectively for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 27, 2005.

As of August 26, 2006 and February 28, 2006, machinery and equipment includes assets under capital leases totaling \$153.6 thousand. Accumulated amortization on the capital leases was \$47.3 thousand and \$39.7 thousand as of August 26, 2006 and February 28, 2006, respectively. Amortization expense related to capital leases is included in depreciation and amortization expense for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006 and August 27, 2005.

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements August 26, 2006 (Unaudited)****NOTE 6 LONG-TERM DEBT**

Long-term debt consists of the following:

| | (\$000 s) | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | AUGUST 26, 2006 | FEBRUARY 28, 2006 |
| Bridge loan | \$ | \$ 80,000 |
| Convertible secured notes (including accretion) | 91,099 | |
| Debt discount | (90,809) | |
| Compound derivative liability | 75,221 | |
| Revolving line of credit | 8,080 | |
| Capital leases | 9 | 32 |
| | 83,600 | 80,032 |
| Less current portion | 9 | 32 |
| Total | \$83,591 | \$ 80,000 |

Prior Financing Arrangements

On October 1, 2005, Ascendia (the parent of HACI following the merger (see Note 1), entered into a commitment with Prencen and Highgate (both of which are also lenders under the Bridge Loan noted above and further described in Note 3) for the provision of long-term debt and equity financing (the Debt/Equity Financing) to repay the \$80.0 million Bridge Loan. The terms of this commitment were amended on November 15, 2005, concurrently with the closing of the Bridge Loan. Prior to its maturity, the parties agreed to an extension of the Bridge Loan pending the completion of discussions on further modifications to the Debt/Equity Financing. The parties also agreed to defer the payment of certain interest under the Bridge Loan pending its maturity.

Convertible Secured Notes

On June 30, 2006, Ascendia (i) agreed with Prencen and Highgate to amend the Debt/Equity Financing commitment and (ii) in connection with such amendment, entered into a Second and Restated Securities Purchase Agreement (the Securities Purchase Agreement) with Prencen and Prencen Lending, LLC (Prencen Lending), which closed on August 2, 2006, as described below, the obligations to Highgate having been acquired by Prencen Lending. Under the Securities Purchase Agreement, the Company sold Prencen Lending convertible secured notes (the Notes) in the principal amount of \$91.0 million (and Series A and B Warrants described below) in exchange for the settlement of

obligations under the Bridge Loan (\$80.0 million) and \$11.0 million in funding which was used to pay (a) accrued interest on the Bridge Loan (\$4.1 million), (b) cash fees associated with the refinancing to an affiliate of Prencen Lending (\$3.7 million) and (c) third party cash fees associated with the refinancing (\$0.5 million), producing net cash proceeds to the Company of approximately \$2.7 million. In addition, Ascendia paid related fees and expenses of approximately \$5.6 million to Stanford Group Company (Stanford) and issued to Stanford warrants for the purchase of 137,615 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$3.76 per share, and 552,632 warrants for the purchase of its common stock at an exercise price of \$4.37 per share (collectively the Stanford warrants). The estimated fair value of the Stanford warrants (\$0.7 million) has been recorded as an increase to additional paid-in capital and deferred financing costs.

The Notes have a term of 10 years (subject to the put and call rights described below) and bear interest at the rate of 9.0 % per annum. During the first six months of the term, Ascendia has the option to defer payment of interest. As a result, the Company elected to defer \$0.6 million of interest as of August 26, 2006 on the Notes. In the event of Ascendia making an acquisition in the consumer products area that shall in form and substance be satisfactory to a majority of the holders of the Notes (an Approved Acquisition), it may elect to defer and add to principal on the Notes interest payments otherwise due over the balance of the term of the Notes. Upon the consummation of such an Approved Acquisition, Ascendia also has the right to redeem up to \$40.0 million of the balance outstanding under the Notes at a premium of 15%. In addition, at any time after the fifth anniversary of the issuance of the Notes (August 2, 2011), Ascendia has the right to redeem, or any holder may require the Company to redeem, all or any portion of the balance outstanding under the Notes at a premium of 5% (the 5 Year Put Option).

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements August 26, 2006 (Unaudited)**

In connection with the amendment and restatement of the Debt/Equity Financing agreements and the sale of the Notes, Ascendia also issued certain warrants (the Series A Warrants) entitling the lender to purchase 3,053,358 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$2.10. In addition, Ascendia committed to the issuance of certain warrants (the Series B Warrants) entitling the lender to purchase shares of its common stock under terms that are contingent upon the balance outstanding on the Notes at the earlier to occur of an Approved Acquisition or October 31, 2006. If the balance outstanding under the Notes on such date is greater or less than \$61.0 million, Ascendia is required to issue to Prencen up to 3,000,000 Series B Warrants, at exercise prices ranging from \$1.15 to \$1.95. In the event the balance outstanding under the Notes is \$61.0 million, no Series B Warrants are required to be issued.

Any portion of the balance due under the Notes is convertible at any time, at the option of the holders(s), into the common stock of Ascendia at a price of \$1.75 per share (subject to certain anti-dilution adjustments for the subsequent issuance of common stock or securities convertible or exchangeable into common stock at a price less than the conversion price then in effect), provided that the holders may not convert any amounts due under the Notes if and to the extent that, following such a conversion, the holder and any affiliate would collectively own more than 9.99% of the aggregate number of shares of common stock of Ascendia outstanding following such conversion (the Conversion Option). The Notes describe various events of default which include, but are not limited to (a) the failure to make effective by January 30, 2007, and keep effective thereafter a registration statement to register the shares underlying the conversion of the Notes and the exercise of the Series A and B Warrants and other shares (the Registration Statement), (b) the suspension in trading of the Company's stock for a defined period, (c) the failure to timely issue shares in response to a conversion notice received from a Note holder, and (d) the failure to have available conversion of the Notes. In the event of a default, the holders of the Notes may require the Company to redeem the Notes at the greater of a 25% premium, or the value of the shares underlying the conversion of such Notes at the time of the event of default (determined by reference to a definition of a maximum share price). In the event of a Change in Control of the Company (as defined), the holders of the Notes will have the right (the Change in Control Put), for a period of 20 days subsequent to the receipt of notice of the Change in Control, to require the Company to redeem the Notes at the greater of a 20% premium, or the value of the shares underlying the conversion of such Notes at the time of the change in control (determined by reference to a definition of a maximum share price). The above described Conversion Option and specifically noted events of default (the Default Derivatives), along with the Change in Control Put (collectively the Compound Derivative) have been bifurcated as derivatives required to be accounted for separately under FASB Statement No. 133 *Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities* and EITF 00-19 *Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in a Company's Own Stock* , and are considered in the determination of the estimated fair market value of the Compound Derivative liability noted below.

In the event the Registration Statement is not timely filed (by October 2, 2006, later extended to October 10, 2006 and subsequently extended to November 10, 2006), or make effective or maintained effective (as described above) the holders of the Notes are also entitled to a cash penalty in the amount of 2% of the face amount of the Notes for each 30-day period until such time as the default has been cured, subject to a maximum of 10%. In addition, in the event that the Company fails to timely issue shares in response to a conversion notice received from a Note holder or an exercise notice received from the holder of a Series A or B Warrant, the holders of such Notes or warrants will be entitled to damages in the amount of 1.5% per day of the then current value of the shares not timely delivered for each day that such delivery is not provided.

The Notes rank as senior secured debt of Ascendia, provided however that the Notes are subordinated to the new revolving credit facility of up to \$13.0 million secured by inventory and accounts receivable (described below). The Notes are also subordinated to indebtedness incurred in connection with an Approved Acquisition, in an amount up to \$250.0 million.

Accounting for Issuance of Convertible Secured Notes

Consideration received from the issuance of the Notes (\$87.3 million net of a \$ 3.7 million origination fee paid to Prentice Capital Management, LP, an affiliate of Prencen Lending, was allocated to the Series A and B Warrants and the Notes based on the relative fair value of each. The resulting \$7.7 million value attributed to the Series A and B Warrants has been reflected as a credit to paid-in capital with an offsetting debt issuance discount recorded on the Notes. The resulting allocation to the Notes (\$79.6 million) was then further offset, as an additional debt issuance discount, by the estimated fair value of the liability for the Compound Derivative discussed above (amounting to \$86.4 million as of August 2, 2006). The \$6.8 million excess of the estimated fair value of the liability of \$86.4 million over the allocation to the discount on the Notes of \$79.6 million was recorded as a loss on the issuance of the Notes. The debt issuance discount (totaling \$91.0 million) and the cash and other deferred finance costs associated with the issuance of the Notes (totaling \$6.2 million), are being amortized to interest expense under the interest method over the 5-year period to the date that the 5 Year Put Option becomes exercisable (August 2, 2011). The 5% premium associated with the 5 Year Put Option (\$4.6 million) is being accreted over the same 5-year period, also under the interest method, as an increase to interest expense and recorded value of the Notes. Such amortization and accretion amounted to \$0.3 million in the current period. Given the significant initial issuance discount recorded on the Notes this treatment will result in substantially lower amortization and accretion being charged to interest expense in the earlier of the 5 years than the latter.

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements August 26, 2006 (Unaudited)**

The liability recorded for the Compound Derivative will be adjusted to fair market value at each future reporting date with the difference in the fair value of such liability between such reporting dates being recorded as an increase or decrease in interest and other expense for that period. The value of the Compound Derivative liability was adjusted to \$75.2 million as of August 26, 2006, resulting in a decrease to interest and other expense for the period between August 2 and August 26, 2006 of \$11.2 million.

Revolver

On August 3, 2006, the Company closed on a revolving line of credit with a major financial institution for a \$13.0 million three year facility. This facility was used to fund approximately \$5.6 million of the above noted cash costs associated with the Long-Term Financing and approximately \$0.1 million in expenses associated with this facility. In addition, another \$0.9 million was drawn from the facility, which along with the \$2.7 million in net proceeds from the issuance of the Notes was used to redeem certain shares of the Company's Series A Preferred Stock from MarNan LLC and Dana Holdings LLC (see Note 12). The remainder of availability under the facility is to be used in the future for working capital and general corporate purposes. The facility is secured with the Company's United States accounts receivable and inventory

The Revolver contains the following key provisions:

Line of credit A revolving line of credit providing for revolving advances up to the lesser of (a) \$13.0 million or (b) the sum of (herein the **Borrowing Base**): (i) eighty-five percent of eligible domestic (US) accounts receivable, subject to dilution of 5%, plus (ii) eighty-five percent (85%) of the net orderly liquidation value as a percentage of cost of eligible US finished goods and raw materials inventory. The total inventory sublimit will not exceed \$8.0 million. The Agreement requires excess availability of \$2.0 million at closing and a permanent availability block against the Borrowing Base of \$0.75 million.

Interest rate Interest will be computed and payable monthly on all outstanding revolving loans at a rate equivalent to the Chase Bank Rate per annum or, at the Company's option, Libor plus two and one quarter percent (2¼%).

Fees A loan facility fee of \$100,000 earned at closing and payable: \$25,000 upon signing of commitment letter, \$25,000 payable at closing and \$50,000 payable six (6) months from closing. A collateral management fee of \$30,000 per year, earned at closing and on each Anniversary Date, payable monthly.

Termination fee A termination fee is charged of 1% of total facility if terminated prior to first Anniversary Date, three quarters percent (¾%) if terminated prior to second Anniversary Date, and one half percent (½%) if terminated anytime thereafter prior to an Anniversary Date.

NOTE 7 INCOME TAXES

In each period presented the effective income tax rate differs from the statutory rate of 34% primarily due to the inability to recognize tax benefits on current losses.

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements August 26, 2006 (Unaudited)****NOTE 8 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

The Company has various noncancelable operating leases for manufacturing and office facilities. Future minimum lease payments under noncancelable operating leases (with initial or remaining lease terms in excess of one year) and future minimum capital lease payments for each period are as follows:

| | (\$000 s) | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | <u>CAPITAL LEASES</u> | <u>OPERATING LEASES</u> |
| 2007 | \$9 | \$ 726 |
| 2008 | | 1,310 |
| 2009 | | 1,122 |
| 2010 | | 1,117 |
| 2011 | | 896 |
| 2012 | | 106 |
| | <u>\$9</u> | <u>\$ 5,277</u> |
| Total minimum lease payments | | |
| Less amounts representing interest | | |
| Present value of future minimum lease payments | <u>\$9</u> | |

Also the Company had purchase obligations of \$3.3 million as of August 26, 2006.

Cenuco, Inc. (Cenuco Wireless), the Company s wireless applications development subsidiary, is the defendant in a patent infringement case commenced on February 1, 2005 in Federal District Court for the Southern District of New York (*Joao v. Cenuco, Inc.*, 05 Civ. 1037 (CM) (MDF)). The plaintiff, Raymond Anthony Joao, asserts in his complaint that Cenuco Wireless is infringing certain patents held by Joao, specifically United States Patents Nos. 6,587,046, 6,542,076 and 6,549,130, which cover apparatuses and methods for transmitting video information to remote devices and/or over the Internet. Cenuco Wireless has timely answered the complaint denying infringement, and intends to defend this case vigorously on the merits. Management believes that the patents relied on by Joao are invalid and that the chances of Joao prevailing are remote. Nonetheless, there can be no assurance as to the outcome of the case, and a judicial determination that Cenuco Wireless is infringing Joao s patents, while unlikely, could have a material adverse effect on the ability of Cenuco Wireless to market and sell its current product line. Similarly, there is

no assurance that Cenuco Wireless would be able to develop, at a reasonable cost, within a reasonable length of time or at all, a workaround to eliminate any patent infringement found to exist.

On September 16, 2006, Lander Co., Inc. (Lander) received correspondence from counsel to TMV Corporation (TMV), styled as a Demand for Arbitration , asserting claims in an aggregate amount in excess of \$26 million against Lander and Lander Co. Canada Limited (Lander Canada). TMV, the parent corporation of USA Labs, Inc. (USA Labs), asserts in its claim that Lander and Lander Canada breached a marketing agreement with U.S.A. Labs (to which TMV was a party for consent purposes only) by failing to account for in excess of \$1 million or more owed under that agreement; TMV further asserts that alleged breaches by Lander and Lander Canada of the marketing agreement were responsible for the bankruptcy of USA Labs, and TMV seeks indemnification from Lander and Lander Canada for the loss of its investment in USA Labs, alleged to be \$25 million. Management believes that the claims asserted by TMV lack merit, and that TMV lacks standing to bring actions arising out of the marketing agreement. Furthermore, although styled as a Demand for Arbitration , management believes that the correspondence from TMV s counsel does not constitute a valid demand for arbitration because TMV failed to serve or notify the American Arbitration Association, whose Commercial Arbitration Rules expressly govern the resolution of disputes arising under the marketing agreement. Should a demand for arbitration be properly served, management will contest the claims vigorously and believes that the chances of TMV prevailing are remote.

We are also involved, from time to time, in routine legal proceedings and claims incidental to our business. Should it appear probable in management s judgment that we will incur monetary damages or costs in relation to any such proceedings or claims, and such costs can be reasonably estimated, liabilities are recorded in the financial statements and charges recorded against earnings. We believe that the resolution of such claims, taking into account reserves and insurance, will not individually or in the aggregate have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements August 26, 2006 (Unaudited)****NOTE 9 STOCK OPTIONS AND WARRANTS**

SFAS No. 123 (R) requires companies to estimate the fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option pricing model. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense over the requisite service period.

The Company adopted SFAS No. 123 (R) using the modified prospective transition method, which requires application of the accounting standard as of March 1, 2006 and for all periods thereafter. All previously granted options have either expired or become fully vested prior to February 28, 2006 and no new options have been granted since then. Accordingly, there was no non-cash compensation recorded under SFAS No. 123 (R) in the thirteen week period ended May 27, 2006 and no unrecorded fair value based compensation with respect to options as of that date. In accordance with the modified prospective transition method, the consolidated financial statements for prior periods have not been restated to reflect the impact of SFAS No. 123 (R).

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), we accounted for share-based payment awards using the intrinsic value method in accordance with APB No. 25 as allowed under SFAS No. 123. Under the intrinsic value method, no share-based compensation expense had been recognized in our consolidated statements of operations for periods prior to March 1, 2006 because the exercise price of our stock options granted equaled the fair market value of the underlying stock at the date of grant. In our pro forma disclosures required under SFAS No. 123 for the periods prior to March 1, 2006, the Company estimated forfeitures and in subsequent periods the Company will adjust forfeitures for actual amounts.

For purposes of determining the estimated fair value of share-based payment awards issued in the form of stock options, under SFAS No. 123(R) the Company utilizes the Black-Scholes option-pricing model (Black-Scholes Model). The Black-Scholes Model requires the input of certain assumptions that involve judgment. Because stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, the existing models may not provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of the Company s stock options. Management will continue to assess the assumptions and methodologies used to calculate estimated fair value under the Black-Scholes Model. Circumstances may change and additional data may become available over time, which could result in changes to these assumptions and methodologies, and thereby materially impact our fair value determination.

The following information applies to options outstanding at August 26, 2006:

Options Outstanding and Exercisable

| Range of Prices | Weighted - Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Shares |
|------------------------|--|---------------|
|------------------------|--|---------------|

| | | |
|--------|------|---------|
| \$0.42 | 6.01 | 73,332 |
| \$0.55 | 0.33 | 40,000 |
| \$1.15 | 7.28 | 218,335 |
| \$1.55 | 6.37 | 35,001 |
| \$2.00 | 4.51 | 130,000 |
| \$3.71 | 7.91 | 40,000 |
| \$4.00 | 7.93 | 20,000 |
| | | 556,668 |

At August 26, 2006, the aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and exercisable was \$0.6 million. The weighted average remaining contractual term of options outstanding and exercisable at August 26, 2006 was 5.98 years. The aggregate intrinsic value represents the total pre-tax value, based on the Company's closing stock price as of August 26, 2006, which would have been received by the option holders had they exercised their in-the-money options as of that date. During the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 26 2006, no outstanding options were exercised.

From the date of the Merger to August 26, 2006, 162,500 warrants have been exercised at an exercise price of \$1 per share.

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements August 26, 2006 (Unaudited)**

The following information applies to all warrants outstanding at August 26, 2006:

| Range of Prices | Warrants Outstanding and Exercisable | |
|------------------------|--|------------------|
| | Weighted - Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Shares |
| \$1.00 | 2.30 | 119,000 |
| \$2.10 | 4.94 | 3,053,358 |
| \$3.76 | 4.94 | 137,615 |
| \$4.00 | 2.83 | 105,784 |
| \$4.37 | 4.94 | 552,632 |
| \$4.50 | | |
| | 2.74 | 1,387,760 |
| \$5.00 to \$6.50 | 2.76 | 350,000 |
| \$6.00 | 3.73 | 500,000 |
| | | 6,206,149 |

In addition, as further described in Note 6, 3 million warrants are committed to be issued depending upon the balance outstanding on the Convertible Secured Notes as of October 31, 2006.

NOTE 10 CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND NET INCOME (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE**Capital Structure:**

At August 26, 2006, the outstanding share capital of the Company is comprised of: (i) 13,913,056 shares of common stock (Common Stock), and (ii) 2,347.8 shares of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock (the Series A Preferred Stock).

The Series A Preferred Stock was issued in connection with the completion of the Merger as described in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements. The holders of the Series A Preferred Stock are entitled to receive when, as and if declared by the Board of Directors, quarterly cumulative dividends commencing on March 31, 2006 in an amount per share equal to \$0.001. No dividends have been declared as of August 26, 2006. In addition to the dividends payable to the holders of Series A Preferred Stock, the Company shall declare a dividend or distribution on the Series A Preferred Stock equal to any amount declared on the Common Stock. Holders of the Series A Preferred Stock (using the number of common shares into which each share of Series A Preferred Stock is convertible) and the holders of Common Stock vote together as one class on all matters submitted to a vote of stockholders of the Company, provided however that the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock are not entitled to any voting rights on any matter relating to the Merger. Upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company, the holders of the Series A

Preferred Stock are entitled to liquidation preferences over all other classes of capital stock. The holders of Series A Preferred Stock shall receive an amount equal to \$1,000 per share of the Series A Preferred Stock, plus an amount equal to accrued and unpaid dividends and distributions prior to any distribution to the holders of any other class of capital stock. If the assets available for distribution are sufficient to permit a full payment of the above amounts then, after such amounts have been fully distributed, holders of the Series A Preferred Stock shall share equally with holder of the Common Stock on a per share basis (using the number of common shares into which each share of Series A Preferred Stock is convertible). Each share of Series A Preferred Stock carries the voting rights on a basis such that the rights of the Series A Preferred Stock as a whole correspond to 65 percent of the aggregate rights of the Series A Preferred Stock and Common Stock outstanding as of the completion of the Merger. Upon the approval of the holders of the Common Stock and an increase in the Company's authorized share capital, each share of Series A Preferred Stock will automatically convert into shares of Common Stock on such a basis that, following conversion, the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock will hold the same proportional rights to general distributions and voting rights that they held immediately prior to such conversion. The Series A Preferred Stock is not redeemable.

[Back to Contents](#)**Ascendia Brands, Inc. (formerly Cenuco, Inc.) and Subsidiaries****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements August 26, 2006 (Unaudited)****Net loss per share:**

The following table shows how the net loss was allocated using the two-class method (see Note 2):

| | (Amounts in \$000 s, except for share and per share data) | | | |
|------------------------|--|------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|
| | For the thirteen weeks ended | | For the twenty-six weeks ended | |
| | August 26, 2006 | August 27, 2005 | August 26, 2006 | August 27, 2005 |
| Allocation of net loss | | | | |
| Basic and Diluted: | | | | |
| - Common Stock | (531) | (2,505) | (4,075) | (2,800) |
| - Series A Preferred | | | | (1,834) |
| Net income (loss) | (531) | (2,505) | (4,075) | (4,634) |

The following table illustrates the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock and Series A Preferred Stock outstanding during the period utilized in the calculation of loss per share. The diluted share base excludes incremental shares of 28,267,219 and 28,308,673 for the thirteen and twenty-six weeks ended August 26, 2006, respectively. These shares were excluded due to their anti-dilutive effect:

| | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Weighted average number of Common Stock - basic and diluted | 13,913,056 | 13,768,930 | 13,910,252 | 13,734,420 |
| Weighted average number of Series A Preferred shares - basic and diluted | 2,347.8 | 2,554 | 2,347.8 | 2,554 |
| Basic and diluted net loss per common share | \$(0.04) | \$(0.18) | \$(0.29) | \$(0.20) |
| Basic and diluted net loss per share - Series A Preferred | \$ | \$(718) | \$ | \$(718) |

NOTE 11 SEGMENT AND GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

The results related to the Playtex asset acquisition are reported in HBC Division.

(Amounts in thousands, except for shares and per share amounts)

THIRTEEN WEEKS ENDED AUGUST 26, 2006

| DIVISION | HBC | WAD | TOTAL |
|----------------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| Net Sales | \$24,377 | \$27 | \$24,404 |
| Loss from operations | (1,043) | (309) | (1,352) |
| Net loss | \$(232) | \$(299) | \$(531) |
| Total Assets | \$87,305 | \$21,236 | \$108,541 |

| GEOGRAPHIC | NET SALES | LONG-LIVED ASSETS |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| Thirteen weeks ended August 26, 2006: | | |
| United States | \$ 18,248 | \$ 71,410 |
| Canada | | |