

STAG Industrial, Inc.
Form 10-Q
November 06, 2013
[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

**x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2013

OR

**o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to .

Commission file number 1-34907

STAG INDUSTRIAL, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

99 High Street, 28th Floor
Boston, Massachusetts
(Address of principal executive offices)

27-3099608
(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

02110
(Zip Code)

(617) 574-4777

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Check one:

Large accelerated filer ☐

Accelerated filer ☒

Non-accelerated filer ☐
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common and preferred shares as of the latest practicable date.

| | Class | Outstanding at November 4, 2013 |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|
| Common Stock (\$0.01 par value) | | 44,159,083 |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 9.0 % Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (\$0.01 par value) | 2,760,000 |
| 6.625 % Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (\$0.01 par value) | 2,800,000 |

Table of Contents

STAG INDUSTRIAL, INC.

Table of Contents

PART I. Financial Information

| | | |
|--|---|----|
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | 3 |
| | <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012</u> | 3 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u> | 4 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u> | 5 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Equity for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u> | 6 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u> | 7 |
| | <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 8 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 23 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 38 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 38 |
| <u>PART II. Other Information</u> | | 38 |
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 38 |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 39 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 39 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 39 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 39 |
| <u>Item 5.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | 39 |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> | 39 |
| | <u>SIGNATURE</u> | 40 |

Table of Contents**Part I. Financial Information****Item 1. Financial Statements****STAG Industrial, Inc.****Consolidated Balance Sheets****(unaudited, in thousands, except share data)**

| | September 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Rental Property: | | |
| Land | \$ 126,685 | \$ 104,656 |
| Buildings | 813,705 | 654,518 |
| Tenant improvements | 35,717 | 34,900 |
| Building and land improvements | 30,831 | 22,153 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | (64,562) | (46,175) |
| Total rental property, net | 942,376 | 770,052 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 23,909 | 19,006 |
| Restricted cash | 6,810 | 5,497 |
| Tenant accounts receivable, net | 12,911 | 9,351 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 3,590 | 1,556 |
| Interest rate swaps | 2,282 | |
| Deferred financing fees, net | 5,354 | 4,704 |
| Leasing commissions, net | 2,720 | 1,674 |
| Goodwill | 4,923 | 4,923 |
| Due from related parties | 180 | 806 |
| Deferred leasing intangibles, net | 208,097 | 187,555 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,213,152 | \$ 1,005,124 |
| Liabilities and Equity | | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Mortgage notes payable | \$ 226,686 | \$ 229,915 |
| Unsecured credit facility | 20,000 | 99,300 |
| Unsecured term loans | 250,000 | 150,000 |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities | 16,158 | 12,111 |
| Interest rate swaps | 131 | 480 |
| Tenant prepaid rent and security deposits | 7,956 | 5,686 |
| Dividends and distributions payable | 15,285 | 11,301 |
| Deferred leasing intangibles, net | 6,871 | 6,871 |
| Total liabilities | 543,087 | 515,664 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized, Series A, 2,760,000 shares (liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share) issued and outstanding at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 | 69,000 | 69,000 |
| Series B, 2,800,000 shares (liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share) issued and outstanding at September 30, 2013 and no shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012 | 70,000 | |
| Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 44,052,248 and 35,698,582 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively | 440 | 357 |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|
| Additional paid-in capital | 562,511 | 419,643 |
| Common stock dividends in excess of earnings | (105,697) | (61,024) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | 1,906 | (371) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 598,160 | 427,605 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 71,905 | 61,855 |
| Total equity | 670,065 | 489,460 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 1,213,152 | \$ 1,005,124 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**STAG Industrial, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Operations****(unaudited, in thousands, except per share data)**

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|--|---|-------------------|--|-------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Revenue | | | | |
| Rental income | \$ 30,391 | \$ 18,708 | \$ 84,871 | \$ 50,797 |
| Tenant recoveries | 4,285 | 2,063 | 11,427 | 6,068 |
| Other income | 207 | 331 | 865 | 981 |
| Total revenue | 34,883 | 21,102 | 97,163 | 57,846 |
| Expenses | | | | |
| Property | 2,686 | 1,262 | 7,699 | 4,030 |
| General and administrative | 4,376 | 3,656 | 13,358 | 9,962 |
| Real estate taxes and insurance | 3,622 | 1,603 | 9,518 | 4,574 |
| Property acquisition costs | 986 | 1,067 | 2,831 | 2,509 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 17,463 | 10,236 | 49,411 | 28,110 |
| Loss on impairment | | | | 622 |
| Other expenses | 89 | 86 | 336 | 145 |
| Total expenses | 29,222 | 17,910 | 83,153 | 49,952 |
| Other income (expense) | | | | |
| Interest income | 3 | 9 | 9 | 17 |
| Interest expense | (5,370) | (3,558) | (14,866) | (11,776) |
| Gain on interest rate swaps | | | | 215 |
| Offering costs | | | (27) | (68) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | | (947) | | (929) |
| Total other income (expense) | (5,367) | (4,496) | (14,884) | (12,541) |
| Net income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 294 | \$ (1,304) | \$ (874) | \$ (4,647) |
| Discontinued operations | | | | |
| Income attributable to discontinued operations | | 329 | 102 | 564 |
| Loss on impairment attributable to discontinued operations | | (3,941) | | (3,941) |
| Gain on sales of real estate | | | 464 | 219 |
| Total income (loss) attributable to discontinued operations | | (3,612) | 566 | (3,158) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 294 | \$ (4,916) | \$ (308) | \$ (7,805) |
| Less: loss attributable to noncontrolling interest after preferred stock dividends | (335) | (1,248) | (958) | (3,244) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to STAG Industrial, Inc. | \$ 629 | \$ (3,668) | \$ 650 | \$ (4,561) |
| Less: preferred stock dividends | 2,712 | 1,553 | 6,783 | 4,659 |
| Less: amount allocated to unvested restricted stockholders | 64 | 41 | 197 | 81 |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders | \$ (2,147) | \$ (5,262) | \$ (6,330) | \$ (9,301) |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding basic and diluted | 42,753,722 | 29,752,057 | 41,766,740 | 21,716,590 |
| Loss per share basic and diluted | | | | |
| Loss from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.08) | \$ (0.16) | \$ (0.32) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to common stockholders | \$ | \$ (0.10) | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.11) |
| Loss per share basic and diluted | \$ (0.05) | \$ (0.18) | \$ (0.15) | \$ (0.43) |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**STAG Industrial, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)****(unaudited, in thousands)**

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 294 | \$ (4,916) | \$ (308) | \$ (7,805) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | | |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on interest rate swaps | (1,034) | (577) | 2,632 | (577) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | (1,034) | (577) | 2,632 | (577) |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | (740) | (5,493) | 2,324 | (8,382) |
| Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | | | | |
| after preferred stock dividends | 335 | 1,248 | 958 | 3,244 |
| Other comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | 143 | 111 | (355) | 150 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to STAG Industrial, Inc. | \$ (262) | \$ (4,134) | \$ 2,927 | \$ (4,988) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

STAG Industrial, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Equity

(unaudited, in thousands, except share data)

| | Preferred Stock | Common Shares | Shares Amount | Additional Paid-in Capital | Common Stock Dividends in excess of Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total Stockholders Equity | Noncontrolling Interest Unit holders in Operating Partnership | Total Equity |
|---|-----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|---|--------------|
| Nine months ended September 30, 2013 | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | \$ 69,000 | 35,698,582 | \$ 357 | \$ 419,643 | \$ (61,024) | \$ (371) | \$ 427,605 | \$ 61,855 | \$ 489,460 |
| Proceeds from sales of common stock | | 8,247,322 | 82 | 154,587 | | | 154,669 | | 154,669 |
| Issuance of series B preferred stock | 70,000 | | | | | | 70,000 | | 70,000 |
| Offering costs | | | | (8,457) | | | (8,457) | | (8,457) |
| Issuance of restricted stock, net | | 96,287 | 1 | (1) | | | | | |
| Issuance of common stock | | 7,871 | | | | | | | |
| Dividends and distributions, net | (6,783) | | | | (38,540) | | (45,323) | (6,334) | (51,657) |
| Non-cash compensation | | | | 1,020 | | | 1,020 | 1,207 | 2,227 |
| Issuance of units | | | | | | | | 11,499 | 11,499 |
| Conversion of operating partnership units to common stock | | 2,186 | | 23 | | | 23 | (23) | |
| Rebalancing of noncontrolling interest | | | | (4,304) | | | (4,304) | 4,304 | |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | 2,277 | 2,277 | 355 | 2,632 |
| Net income (loss) | 6,783 | | | | (6,133) | | 650 | (958) | (308) |
| Balance, September 30, 2013 | \$ 139,000 | 44,052,248 | \$ 440 | \$ 562,511 | \$ (105,697) | \$ 1,906 | \$ 598,160 | \$ 71,905 | \$ 670,065 |
| Nine months ended September 30, 2012 | | | | | | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2011 | \$ 69,000 | 15,901,560 | \$ 159 | \$ 179,919 | \$ (18,385) | \$ | \$ 230,693 | \$ 79,216 | \$ 309,909 |
| Proceeds from sale of common stock | | 17,537,500 | 176 | 237,392 | | | 237,568 | | 237,568 |
| Offering costs | | | | (10,863) | | | (10,863) | | (10,863) |
| Issuance of restricted stock | | 87,025 | 1 | (1) | | | | | |
| Issuance of common stock | | 9,790 | | | | | | | |
| Dividends and distributions, net | (4,659) | | | | (20,311) | | (24,970) | (5,938) | (30,908) |
| Non-cash compensation | | | | 746 | | | 746 | 711 | 1,457 |
| Issuance of units for acquisition fee | | | | | | | | 225 | 225 |
| Conversion of operating partnership units to common stock | | 1,335,224 | 13 | 13,148 | | | 13,161 | (13,161) | |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|--------|------------|----|----------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|-------|----|---------|----|--------|----|---------|
| Rebalancing of noncontrolling interest | | | | | (11,507) | | | | (11,507) | 11,507 | | | | | | | |
| Comprehensive loss | | | | | | | (427) | (427) | (150) | (577) | | | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | 4,659 | | | | | (9,220) | | (4,561) | (3,244) | (7,805) | | | | | | | |
| Balance, September 30, 2012 | \$ | 69,000 | 34,871,099 | \$ | 349 | \$ | 408,834 | \$ | (47,916) | \$ | (427) | \$ | 429,840 | \$ | 69,166 | \$ | 499,006 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

STAG Industrial, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(unaudited, in thousands)

| | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net loss | \$ (308) | \$ (7,805) |
| Adjustment to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 49,508 | 28,486 |
| Loss on impairment | | 4,563 |
| Non-cash portion of interest expense | 783 | 755 |
| Intangible amortization in rental income, net | 4,399 | 3,481 |
| Straight-line rent adjustments, net | (2,139) | (1,733) |
| Gain on interest rate swaps | | (215) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | | 929 |
| Gain on sales of real estate | (464) | (219) |
| Non-cash compensation expense | 2,227 | 1,457 |
| Issuance of units for acquisition fee | | 225 |
| Change in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Tenant accounts receivable, net | (1,399) | (317) |
| Leasing commissions, net | (1,453) | (567) |
| Restricted cash | (764) | (943) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | (1,611) | (716) |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities | 3,539 | 2,308 |
| Tenant prepaid rent and security deposits | 2,270 | 492 |
| Due from related parties | 626 | 25 |
| Total adjustments | 55,522 | 38,011 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 55,214 | 30,206 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Additions of land and building improvements | (183,882) | (159,951) |
| Proceeds from sales of rental property, net | 4,843 | 3,216 |
| Restricted cash | (549) | 3,339 |
| Cash paid for deal deposits, net | (460) | (3,675) |
| Additions to lease intangibles | (54,842) | (54,239) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (234,890) | (211,310) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from sale of Series B Preferred stock | 70,000 | |
| Proceeds from credit facility | | 124,300 |
| Repayment of credit facility | | (124,300) |
| Proceeds from unsecured credit facility | 90,000 | 12,000 |
| Repayment of unsecured credit facility | (169,300) | |
| Proceeds from unsecured term loans | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| Proceeds from mortgage notes payable | | 9,252 |
| Repayment of mortgage notes payable | (3,151) | (143,985) |
| Payment of loan fees and costs | (1,511) | (2,833) |
| Dividends and distributions | (47,671) | (24,296) |
| Proceeds from sales of common stock | 154,669 | 237,568 |
| Offering costs | (8,457) | (10,863) |
| Restricted cash - escrow for dividends | | (1,553) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 184,579 | 175,290 |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | | 4,903 | | (5,814) |
| Cash and cash equivalents beginning of period | | 19,006 | | 16,498 |
| Cash and cash equivalents end of period | \$ | 23,909 | \$ | 10,684 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

STAG Industrial, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(unaudited)

1. Organization and Description of Business

STAG Industrial, Inc. (the "Company") is an industrial operating company focused on the acquisition, ownership and management of single-tenant net-leased industrial buildings throughout the United States. The Company was formed as a Maryland corporation on July 21, 2010 and has elected to be treated as a real estate investment trust ("REIT") under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). The Company is structured as an umbrella partnership REIT, commonly called an UPREIT, and owns substantially all of its assets and conducts substantially all of its business through its operating partnership, STAG Industrial Operating Partnership, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the "Operating Partnership"). As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company owned an 86.46% and 85.29% limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership, respectively. As used herein, the "Company" refers to STAG Industrial, Inc., the Operating Partnership and their consolidated subsidiaries and partnerships except where context otherwise requires.

As of September 30, 2013, the Company owned 200 buildings in 34 states with approximately 35.3 million square feet, consisting of 136 warehouse/distribution buildings, 44 light manufacturing buildings and 20 flex/office buildings. The Company also owned one vacant land parcel adjacent to one of the Company's buildings. The Company's buildings were 94.0% leased to 180 tenants as of September 30, 2013.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Interim Financial Information

The accompanying interim financial statements have been presented in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") and with the instructions to Form 10-Q of Regulation S-X for interim financial information. Accordingly, these statements do not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, the accompanying interim financial statements include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring items, necessary for their fair presentation in conformity with GAAP. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. The year-end consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP. The information included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

Basis of Presentation

The Company's consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, the Operating Partnership and their subsidiaries. The equity interests of other limited partners in the Operating Partnership held in the form of common units (Noncontrolling Common Units or Common Units) are reflected as noncontrolling interest. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidation and combination of entities. The financial statements of the Company are presented on a consolidated basis, for all periods presented.

Adoption of New Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, *Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income*, which requires an entity to present information about reclassification adjustments from accumulated other comprehensive income in their interim and annual financial statements in a single note or on the face of the financial statements. ASU 2013-02 was effective for the Company on January 1, 2013. The Company's adoption of this authoritative guidance did not have a material impact on its operating results or financial position.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Supplemental Disclosures

The following table provides supplemental disclosures related to the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (in thousands):

Table of Contents

| | Nine months ended September 30, 2013 | Nine months ended September 30, 2012 |
|---|---|---|
| Supplemental cash flow information | | |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ 14,096 | \$ 11,132 |
| Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities | | |
| Non-cash investing activities included in additions of land and building improvements | \$ (11,984) | \$ (377) |
| Issuance of Common Units for acquisitions | \$ 11,499 | \$ |
| Dividends and distributions declared but not paid | \$ 15,285 | \$ 12,722 |

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash may include security deposits and cash held in escrow for real estate taxes and capital improvements as required in various mortgage loan agreements. Restricted cash also may include amounts held by the Company's transfer agent for preferred stock dividends that are distributed subsequent to period end.

Tenant Accounts Receivable, net

Tenant accounts receivable, net on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, includes both tenant accounts receivable, net and accrued rental income, net. The Company provides an allowance for doubtful accounts against the portion of tenant accounts receivable that is estimated to be uncollectible. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$4 thousand and \$0, respectively.

The Company accrues rental revenue earned, but not yet receivable, in accordance with GAAP. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had accrued rental revenue of \$8.5 million and \$6.4 million, respectively. The Company maintains an allowance for estimated losses that may result from those revenues. If a tenant fails to make contractual payments beyond any allowance, the Company may recognize additional bad debt expense in future periods equal to the amount of unpaid rent and accrued rental revenue. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had an allowance on accrued rental revenue of \$0 and \$0, respectively.

As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had a total of approximately \$4.9 million and \$4.8 million, respectively, of total lease security deposits available in existing letters of credit, which are not reflected on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets; and \$2.8 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, of lease security deposits available in cash.

Deferred Costs

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Deferred financing fees include costs incurred in obtaining debt that are capitalized. The deferred financing fees are amortized to interest expense over the life of the respective loans, which approximates the effective interest method. Any unamortized amounts upon early repayment of debt are written off in the period of repayment. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, amortization of deferred financing fees included in interest expense was \$0.3 million, \$0.9 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.9 million, respectively. Fully amortized deferred charges are removed upon maturity of the underlying debt.

Revenue Recognition

By the terms of their leases, certain tenants are obligated to pay directly the costs of their buildings' insurance, real estate taxes, ground lease payments, and certain other expenses and these costs are not reflected on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements. To the extent any tenant responsible for these costs under its lease defaults on its lease or it is deemed probable that the tenant will fail to pay for such costs, the Company will record a liability for such obligations. The Company estimates that real estate taxes, which are the responsibility of these certain tenants, were approximately \$2.4 million, \$7.0 million, \$1.9 million and \$4.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, respectively. This would have been the maximum liability of the Company had the tenants not met their contractual obligations. The Company does not recognize recovery revenue related to leases where the tenant has assumed the cost for real estate taxes, insurance, ground lease payments and certain other expenses.

Income Taxes

The Company elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Code commencing with its taxable year ended December 31, 2011 and intends to continue to qualify as a REIT. As a REIT, the Company is required to distribute at least 90% of its REIT taxable income to its stockholders and meet the various other requirements imposed by the Code relating to such matters as operating results, asset holdings, distribution levels and diversity of stock ownership. The Company is generally not subject to corporate level income tax on the earnings distributed currently to its stockholders that it derives from its REIT qualifying activities. If the Company fails to qualify

Table of Contents

as a REIT in any taxable year, and is unable to avail itself of certain savings provisions set forth in the Code, all of the Company's taxable income would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates, including any applicable alternative minimum tax.

The Company will not be required to make distributions with respect to income derived from the activities conducted through subsidiaries that the Company elects to treat as taxable REIT subsidiaries (TRS) for federal income tax purposes. Certain activities that the Company undertakes must be conducted by a TRS, such as performing non-customary services for its tenants and holding assets that it cannot hold directly. A TRS is subject to federal and state income taxes. The Company's TRS did not have any activity during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012.

The Company and certain of its subsidiaries are subject to certain state and local income, excise and franchise taxes. Taxes in the amount of \$0.1 million, \$0.3 million, \$0.1 million, and \$0.1 million have been recorded in other expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, respectively.

The Company currently has no liabilities for uncertain tax positions.

3. Real Estate

The following table summarizes the acquisitions of the Company during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2012:

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013

| Building Location | Date Acquired | Square Feet | Buildings |
|--------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|
| Orangeburg, SC | 2/7/2013 | 319,000 | 1 |
| Golden, CO | 2/27/2013 | 227,500 | 1 |
| Columbia, SC | 2/28/2013 | 273,280 | 1 |
| DeKalb, IL | 3/15/2013 | 146,740 | 1 |
| Ocala, FL | 3/26/2013 | 619,466 | 1 |
| Londonderry, NH | 3/28/2013 | 125,060 | 1 |
| Marion, IA | 3/28/2013 | 95,500 | 1 |
| Mishawaka, IN | 4/5/2013 | 308,884 | 1 |
| Southfield, MI (1) | 4/9/2013 | 113,000 | 1 |
| Houston, TX | 4/9/2013 | 201,574 | 1 |
| Idaho Falls, ID | 4/11/2013 | 90,300 | 1 |
| Mt. Prospect, IL | 5/14/2013 | 87,380 | 1 |
| Williamsport, PA | 5/31/2013 | 250,000 | 1 |
| Belvidere, IL | 6/19/2013 | 1,006,960 | 8 |
| Kentwood, MI | 6/26/2013 | 85,157 | 1 |
| Marshall, MI | 6/26/2013 | 57,025 | 1 |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|----|
| Nashville, TN | 7/18/2013 | 150,000 | 1 |
| Catoosa, OK | 7/31/2013 | 100,100 | 1 |
| New Berlin, WI | 8/16/2013 | 205,063 | 1 |
| Hampstead, MD | 8/21/2013 | 1,035,249 | 1 |
| New Hope, MN | 9/20/2013 | 107,348 | 1 |
| Springfield, OH | 9/26/2013 | 350,500 | 1 |
| Total | | 5,955,086 | 29 |

(1) The Company also owns a 5.4 acre vacant land parcel adjacent to this building.

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Year Ended December 31, 2012

| Building Location | Date Acquired | Square Feet | Buildings |
|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| East Windsor, CT | 3/1/2012 | 145,000 | 1 |
| South Bend, IN | 3/8/2012 | 225,000 | 1 |
| Lansing, MI | 3/21/2012 | 129,325 | 1 |
| Portland, ME | 3/27/2012 | 100,600 | 1 |
| Portland, TN | 3/30/2012 | 414,043 | 1 |
| Spartanburg, SC | 4/5/2012 | 409,600 | 4 |
| Franklin, IN | 4/17/2012 | 703,496 | 1 |
| Muhlenberg Township, PA | 5/24/2012 | 394,289 | 1 |
| Avon, CT | 6/15/2012 | 78,400 | 1 |
| Orlando, FL | 6/15/2012 | 155,000 | 1 |
| Pineville, NC | 6/15/2012 | 75,400 | 1 |
| Buffalo, NY | 6/15/2012 | 117,000 | 1 |
| Edgefield, SC | 6/15/2012 | 126,190 | 1 |
| Arlington, TX | 6/15/2012 | 196,000 | 1 |
| Bellevue, OH | 7/18/2012 | 181,838 | 1 |
| Atlanta, GA | 8/1/2012 | 407,981 | 1 |
| Huntersville, NC | 8/6/2012 | 185,570 | 1 |
| Simpsonville, SC | 8/23/2012 | 204,952 | 1 |
| Simpsonville, SC | 8/23/2012 | 207,042 | 1 |
| Dallas, GA | 9/4/2012 | 92,807 | 1 |
| Mebane, NC | 9/4/2012 | 223,340 | 1 |
| Mebane, NC | 9/4/2012 | 202,691 | 1 |
| De Pere, WI | 9/13/2012 | 200,000 | 1 |
| Duncan, SC | 9/21/2012 | 474,000 | 1 |
| Duncan, SC | 9/21/2012 | 313,380 | 1 |
| Buena Vista, VA | 9/27/2012 | 172,759 | 1 |
| Gurnee, IL | 9/28/2012 | 223,760 | 1 |
| Auburn Hills, MI | 10/9/2012 | 87,932 | 1 |
| El Paso, TX | 10/9/2012 | 269,245 | 1 |
| Gloversville, NY | 10/9/2012 | 50,000 | 1 |
| Gloversville, NY | 10/9/2012 | 101,589 | 1 |
| Gloversville, NY | 10/9/2012 | 26,529 | 1 |
| Gloversville, NY | 10/9/2012 | 59,965 | 1 |
| Greenwood, SC | 10/9/2012 | 104,955 | 1 |
| Greenwood, SC | 10/9/2012 | 70,100 | 1 |
| Holland, MI | 10/9/2012 | 195,000 | 1 |
| Independence, VA | 10/9/2012 | 120,000 | 1 |
| Jackson, TN | 10/9/2012 | 250,000 | 1 |
| Johnstown, NY | 10/9/2012 | 52,500 | 1 |
| Johnstown, NY | 10/9/2012 | 60,000 | 1 |
| Johnstown, NY | 10/9/2012 | 42,325 | 1 |
| Johnstown, NY | 10/9/2012 | 57,102 | 1 |
| Kansas City, KS | 10/9/2012 | 56,580 | 1 |
| Lafayette, IN | 10/9/2012 | 71,400 | 1 |
| Lafayette, IN | 10/9/2012 | 120,000 | 1 |
| Lafayette, IN | 10/9/2012 | 275,000 | 1 |
| Lansing, MI | 10/9/2012 | 250,100 | 1 |
| Marion, IN | 10/9/2012 | 249,600 | 1 |
| Novi, MI | 10/9/2012 | 120,800 | 1 |
| O Hara, PA | 10/9/2012 | 887,084 | 1 |
| Parsons, KS | 10/9/2012 | 120,000 | 1 |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|----------------------|------------|------------|----|
| Phenix City, AL | 10/9/2012 | 117,568 | 1 |
| Portage, IN | 10/9/2012 | 212,000 | 1 |
| Ware Shoals, SC | 10/9/2012 | 20,514 | 1 |
| Wichita, KS | 10/9/2012 | 80,850 | 1 |
| Wichita, KS | 10/9/2012 | 120,000 | 1 |
| Wichita, KS | 10/9/2012 | 44,760 | 1 |
| Wichita, KS | 10/9/2012 | 47,700 | 1 |
| Chicopee, MA | 10/26/2012 | 217,000 | 1 |
| Sterling Heights, MI | 10/31/2012 | 108,000 | 1 |
| Harrisonburg, VA | 11/29/2012 | 357,673 | 1 |
| Toledo, OH | 12/13/2012 | 177,500 | 1 |
| Woodstock, IL | 12/14/2012 | 129,803 | 1 |
| Kansas City, MO | 12/19/2012 | 226,576 | 1 |
| Smyrna, GA | 12/20/2012 | 102,000 | 1 |
| Montgomery, IL | 12/20/2012 | 584,301 | 1 |
| Statham, GA | 12/21/2012 | 225,680 | 1 |
| | Total | 12,829,194 | 70 |

The following table (in thousands) summarizes the allocation of the consideration paid during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2012, respectively, for the acquired assets and liabilities in connection with the acquisitions of buildings at the date of acquisition identified in the table above:

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

| | Nine months Ended September 30, 2013 | Weighted Average Amortization Period (years) Lease Intangibles | Year Ended December 31, 2012 | Weighted Average Amortization Period (years) Lease Intangibles |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|---|
| Land | \$ 22,824 | N/A | \$ 34,991 | N/A |
| Buildings | 160,057 | N/A | 269,616 | N/A |
| Tenant improvements | 1,768 | N/A | 10,624 | N/A |
| Cash escrow for capital additions | | N/A | 785 | N/A |
| Above market leases | 5,915 | 5.7 | 16,728 | 10.0 |
| Below market leases | (1,976) | 7.2 | (5,962) | 6.5 |
| In-place leases | 35,654 | 5.7 | 63,397 | 6.6 |
| Tenant relationships | 15,246 | 8.4 | 26,241 | 8.2 |
| Building and land improvements | 5,470 | N/A | 7,488 | N/A |
| Net assets acquired | \$ 246,958 | | \$ 423,908 | |

As partial consideration for eight buildings acquired on June 19, 2013, the Company granted 555,758 Common Units in the Operating Partnership with a fair value of approximately \$11.5 million based on the Company's NYSE closing stock price on June 19, 2013. The issuance of the Common Units was effected in reliance upon an exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Company relied on the exemption based on representations given by the holders of the Common Units. The remaining purchase price of approximately \$40.1 million was paid in cash.

The Company has included the results of operations for each of the 29 buildings acquired in its Consolidated Statements of Operations from the date of acquisition. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the buildings acquired during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 contributed \$5.7 million and \$9.0 million, to total revenue and \$0.4 million and \$0.8 million to net loss including building acquisition costs of \$0.9 million and \$2.5 million related to the acquisition of the buildings, respectively.

The following tables set forth pro forma information for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. The below pro forma information does not purport to represent what the actual results of operations of the Company would have been had the acquisitions outlined above occurred on the first day of the applicable reporting period, nor do they purport to predict the results of operations of future periods. The pro forma information has not been adjusted for property sales.

| | Nine months ended September 30, 2013 (in thousands, except share data) (1) |
|--|--|
| Pro Forma | |
| Total revenue | \$ 108,090 |
| Net income (2) | \$ 5,468 |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders | \$ (1,334) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 41,766,740 |
| Net loss per share attributable to common stockholders | \$ (0.03) |

| | Nine months ended September 30, 2012 (in thousands, except share data) (3) |
|------------------|--|
| Pro Forma | |
| Total revenue | \$ 88,597 |
| Net loss (2) | \$ (6,961) |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|----|------------|
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders | \$ | (8,677) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | | 21,716,590 |
| Net loss per share attributable to common stockholders | \$ | (0.40) |

(1) The pro forma information for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 is presented as if the acquisition of the buildings acquired during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 had occurred at January 1, 2012, the beginning of the reporting period prior to acquisition.

(2) The net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 excludes \$2.5 million of property acquisition costs related to the acquisition of buildings that closed during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, and the net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was adjusted to include these acquisition costs. Net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 excludes \$2.0 million of property acquisition costs related to the acquisition of buildings that closed during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

(3) The pro forma information for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 is presented as if the acquisition of the buildings acquired during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and the buildings acquired during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 had occurred at January 1, 2012 and January 1, 2011, respectively, the beginning of the reporting period prior to acquisition.

On June 12, 2013, the Company sold a 53,183 square feet flex/office building located in Pittsburgh, PA. The building represented a non-core asset of the Company. The carrying value of the building prior to sale was \$4.4 million. The sales price was \$5.1 million and the Company received net proceeds of \$4.8 million. A gain on sale of real estate of \$0.5 million was recognized at closing under the full accrual method of gain recognition. The building contributed \$0 million, \$0.2 million, \$0.1 million, and \$0.4 million to total revenue during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, respectively. The

Table of Contents

results of operations and the gain on sale are included in income (loss) attributable to discontinued operations on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The Company tested the property located in Great Bend, KS for impairment as of September 30, 2012 utilizing a probability weighted recovery analysis of certain scenarios, and it was determined that the carrying value of the property was not recoverable from the estimated future undiscounted cash flows. Accordingly, the property was written down to its estimated fair value resulting in a loss on impairment of \$3.9 million (of which \$0.7 million related to lease intangibles) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. Subsequently, on November 30, 2012, the Company sold the building for a purchase price of \$4.0 million in an arm's length transaction. The building represented a non-core asset of the Company. The loss on impairment and results of operations from this property are included in income (loss) attributable to discontinued operations on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. There was no loss on impairment during the three or nine months ended September 30, 2013.

4. Deferred Leasing Intangibles

Deferred leasing intangibles included in total assets consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| In-place leases | \$ 132,648 | \$ 108,363 |
| Less: Accumulated amortization | (43,728) | (28,289) |
| In-place leases, net | 88,920 | 80,074 |
| Above market leases | 56,079 | 50,699 |
| Less: Accumulated amortization | (15,666) | (10,362) |
| Above market leases, net | 40,413 | 40,337 |
| Tenant relationships | 73,728 | 61,050 |
| Less: Accumulated amortization | (16,858) | (11,298) |
| Tenant relationships, net | 56,870 | 49,752 |
| Leasing commissions | 30,437 | 23,376 |
| Less: Accumulated amortization | (8,543) | (5,984) |
| Leasing commissions, net | 21,894 | 17,392 |
| Total deferred leasing intangibles, net | \$ 208,097 | \$ 187,555 |

Deferred leasing intangibles included in total liabilities consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Below market leases | \$ 11,091 | \$ 9,878 |
| Less: Accumulated amortization | (4,220) | (3,007) |
| Total deferred leasing intangibles, net | \$ 6,871 | \$ 6,871 |

Amortization expense, inclusive of results from discontinued operations, related to in-place leases, leasing commissions and tenant relationships of deferred leasing intangibles was \$10.2 million, \$29.3 million, \$5.8 million and \$15.9 million for the three and nine months ended

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, respectively. Rental income, inclusive of results from discontinued operations, related to net amortization of above (below) market leases decreased by \$1.5 million, \$4.4 million, \$1.2 million and \$3.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, respectively.

Amortization related to deferred leasing intangibles over the next five years is as follows (in thousands):

| | Estimated Net Amortization of In-Place Leases, Leasing Commissions and Tenant Relationships | | Net Decrease to Rental Income Related to Above and Below Market Leases | |
|-------------------|--|--------|---|-------|
| Remainder of 2013 | \$ | 10,366 | \$ | 1,505 |
| 2014 | | 37,284 | | 5,734 |
| 2015 | | 30,004 | | 5,928 |
| 2016 | | 25,078 | | 5,610 |
| 2017 | | 19,024 | | 4,098 |

The Company assesses deferred leasing intangibles for impairments on a quarterly basis when certain triggering events are met. If events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying values of certain deferred lease intangibles may be impaired, a recovery analysis is performed based on undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated from the tenant over the remaining lease term. If the recovery analysis indicates the carrying value of the tested lease intangibles are not recoverable from estimated future cash flows, it is written down to its estimated fair value and an impairment loss is recognized. The fair value is determined

Table of Contents

based on the contractual lease rental payments over the remaining term discounted back to the current reporting period. On June 11, 2012, the Company received notice from a tenant that the tenant was exercising an option in its lease to downsize its space from approximately 190,000 to 60,000 rentable square feet effective March 31, 2013. After determining the undiscounted future cash flows were not recoverable, the Company calculated the fair value of the lease intangibles. Using the remaining contractual lease payments for the reduced space and discounting the cash flows at a risk adjusted return for a market participant of 11.4%, it was determined that the fair value of the lease intangibles was \$0.4 million, resulting in a noncash impairment loss of \$0.6 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, which is reflected in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. The fair value calculation of the lease intangibles of \$0.4 million was performed using Level 3 inputs, and this is a nonrecurring fair value measurement. There was no impairment of lease intangibles during the three or nine months ended September 30, 2013.

5. Debt

Payments on mortgage notes are generally due in monthly installments of principal amortization and interest. Payments on the Unsecured Term Loans and the Unsecured Credit Facility (each defined below) are generally due in monthly installments of interest.

The following table sets forth a summary of the Company's outstanding indebtedness, including mortgage notes payable and borrowings under the Company's Unsecured Term Loans and Unsecured Credit Facility as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

| Loan | Interest Rate (1) | Principal outstanding as of September 30, 2013 | Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2012 | Current Maturity |
|---|-------------------|--|---|---------------------|
| Sun Life(2) | 6.05% | \$ 3,884 | \$ 4,079 | Jun-1-2016 |
| Webster Bank (3) | 4.22% | 5,872 | 5,984 | Aug-4-2016 |
| Bank of America Unsecured Credit Facility | LIBOR + 1.65%(4) | 20,000 | 99,300 | Sept-10-2016 |
| Union Fidelity (5) | 5.81% | 6,639 | 6,898 | Apr-30-2017 |
| Webster Bank (6) | 3.66% | 3,141 | 3,203 | May-29-2017 |
| Webster Bank (7) | 3.64% | 3,383 | 3,450 | May-31-2017 |
| Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan | LIBOR + 1.65%(8) | 150,000 | 150,000 | Sept-10-2017 |
| CIGNA-1 Facility(9) | 6.50% | 59,071 | 59,645 | Feb-1-2018 |
| CIGNA-2 Facility(10) | 5.75% | 60,213 | 60,863 | Feb-1-2018 |
| CIGNA-3 Facility(11) | 5.88% | 16,935 | 17,097 | Oct-1-2019 |
| Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan | LIBOR + 2.15%(12) | 100,000 | | Feb-14-2020 |
| Wells Fargo CMBS Loan (13) | 4.31% | 67,548 | 68,696 | Dec-1-2022 |
| | | \$ 496,686 | \$ 479,215 | |

(1) Current interest rate as of September 30, 2013. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the one-month LIBOR rate was 0.17885% and 0.2087%, respectively.

(2) This \$4.1 million loan with Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.) (Sun Life) was assumed on October 14, 2011 in connection with the acquisition of the building located in Gahanna, OH. The property is collateral for this loan. The principal outstanding includes an unamortized fair market value premium of \$0.2 million and \$0.2 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012,

respectively.

(3) This \$6.2 million loan with Webster Bank, National Association (Webster Bank) was entered into on August 4, 2011 in connection with the acquisition of the building located in Norton, MA. The property is collateral for this loan.

(4) The spread over LIBOR for this Bank of America, N.A. (Bank of America) unsecured revolving credit facility (Unsecured Credit Facility) is based on the Company's consolidated leverage ratio and ranged between 1.65% and 2.25%. The spread was 1.65% as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The Company paid unused fees of \$0.2 million, \$0.5 million, \$40 thousand, and \$40 thousand for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, respectively. The borrowing capacity as of September 30, 2013 was \$180.0 million, assuming current leverage levels.

(5) This \$7.2 million loan with Union Fidelity Life Insurance Co. (Union Fidelity) was assumed on July 28, 2011 in connection with the acquisition of the St. Louis, MO building. The property is collateral for this loan. The principal outstanding includes an unamortized fair market value premium of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

(6) This \$3.25 million loan with Webster Bank was entered into on May 29, 2012 in connection with the acquisition of the building located in Portland, ME. The property is collateral for this loan.

(7) This \$3.5 million loan with Webster Bank was entered into on May 31, 2012 in connection with the acquisition of the building located in East Windsor, CT. The property is collateral for this loan.

(8) This Bank of America unsecured term loan (Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan) was entered into on September 10, 2012. The spread over LIBOR is based on the Company's consolidated leverage ratio and ranged between 1.65% and 2.25%. The spread was 1.65% as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The Company swapped the one-month LIBOR for a fixed rate for \$100.0 million of the \$150.0 million outstanding on the Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan. The net settlements of the swaps commenced on the effective date of the swaps, October 10, 2012. For further details refer to Note 6.

(9) This Connecticut General Life Insurance Company (CIGNA) credit facility originally was entered into in July 2010 (the CIGNA-1 Facility), which loan has various buildings serving as collateral, had no remaining borrowing capacity as of September 30, 2013.

(10) This CIGNA credit facility originally was entered into in October 2010 (the CIGNA-2 Facility), which loan has various buildings serving as collateral, had a remaining borrowing capacity of approximately \$2.9 million as of September 30, 2013, subject to customary terms and conditions, including underwriting.

(11) This CIGNA credit facility originally was entered into on July 8, 2011 (CIGNA-3 Facility), which loan has various buildings serving as collateral. The CIGNA-3 Facility had a remaining borrowing capacity of approximately \$47.9 million as of September 30, 2013, subject to customary terms and conditions, including underwriting.

(12) This Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Wells Fargo) unsecured term loan (Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan) was entered into on February 14, 2013. The spread over LIBOR is based on the Company's consolidated leverage ratio and will range between 2.15% and 2.70%. The spread was 2.15% as of September 30, 2013. The Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan has an accordion feature that allows the Company to increase its borrowing capacity to \$250.0 million, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. As of September 30, 2013, the Company swapped one-month LIBOR for a fixed rate on \$125.0 million of the \$150.0 million capacity on the unsecured term loan (see Note 6 for further details). The borrowing capacity as of September 30, 2013 was \$50.0 million, assuming current leverage levels, and can be drawn down by the Company through February 14, 2014. The Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan has an unused commitment fee equal to 0.35% of its unused portion, which is paid monthly in arrears. During the three months ended September 30, 2013 and the period February 14, 2013 to September 30, 2013, the Company incurred an unused fee of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

(13) This \$68.8 million Wells Fargo loan (CMBS Loan) was entered into on November 8, 2012 and is a non-recourse loan with 28 buildings serving as collateral.

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

On October 7, 2013, the Unsecured Credit Facility and the Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan were amended to reduce the spreads on the one-month LIBOR rate and the Base Rate and to reduce the unused fee rates. See Note 13 for further details.

Financial Covenant Considerations

The Company's ability to borrow under the Unsecured Credit Facility, the Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan and the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan (together, the Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan and the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan are the "Unsecured Term Loans") is subject to its ongoing compliance with a number of customary financial covenants, including:

- a maximum consolidated leverage ratio of not greater than 0.60:1.00;
- a maximum secured leverage ratio of not greater than 0.45:1.00;
- a maximum unencumbered leverage ratio of not greater than 0.60:1.00;
- a maximum secured recourse debt ratio of not greater than 7.5%;
- a minimum fixed charge ratio of not less than 1.50 to 1.00;
- a minimum tangible net worth covenant test; and
- various thresholds on Company level investments.

Table of Contents

If a default or event of default occurs and is continuing, the Company may be precluded from paying certain distributions (other than those required to allow it to qualify and maintain its status as a REIT) under the terms of the Unsecured Credit Facility and Unsecured Term Loans.

Each of the Sun Life loan, the Webster Bank loans, the Union Fidelity loan, the CIGNA-1 Facility, the CIGNA-2 Facility, the CIGNA-3 Facility and the CMBS Loan have specific properties that are collateral for these loans. The acquisition costs of these properties were financed by the loans and by collateral assignments of the specific leases and rents. These debt facilities contain certain financial and other covenants. The Company was in compliance with all financial covenants as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Fair Value of Debt

The fair value of the Company's debt was determined by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings for loans with similar remaining maturities, similar terms, and similar loan-to-value ratios. The discount rates ranged from 1.58% to 5.24% and 1.86% to 4.64% at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and were applied to each individual debt instrument. The fair value of the Company's debt is based on Level 3 inputs. The following table presents the aggregate carrying value of the Company's debt and the corresponding estimate of fair value as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| Mortgage notes payable | \$ 226,686 | \$ 230,364 | \$ 229,915 | \$ 242,175 |
| Unsecured Credit Facility | \$ 20,000 | \$ 20,117 | \$ 99,300 | \$ 99,300 |
| Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan | \$ 150,000 | \$ 150,444 | \$ 150,000 | \$ 150,000 |
| Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan | \$ 100,000 | \$ 96,951 | \$ | \$ |

6. Use of Derivative Financial Instruments

Risk Management Objective of Using Derivatives

The Company's use of derivative instruments is limited to the utilization of interest rate swaps to manage interest rate risk exposures and not for speculative purposes. The principal objective of such arrangements is to minimize the risks and/or costs associated with the Company's operating and financial structure, as well as to hedge specific transactions.

On March 1, 2013, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement for notional amount of \$25.0 million with an effective date of March 1, 2013 that converts the one-month LIBOR rate on the \$25.0 million then outstanding balance of the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan from a variable rate of one-month LIBOR plus a spread of 2.15% to 2.70% based on the Company's consolidated leverage ratio to a fixed rate of 1.33% plus a spread of 2.15% to 2.70% based on the Company's consolidated leverage ratio. This swap was designated as a cash flow hedge of interest rate risk.

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

On June 13, 2013, the Company entered into two interest rate swap agreements for notional amounts of \$50.0 million and \$25.0 million with effective dates of July 1, 2013 and August 1, 2013 that convert the one-month LIBOR rate on the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan from a variable rate of one-month LIBOR plus a spread of 2.15% to 2.70% based on the Company's consolidated leverage ratio to a fixed rate of 1.681% and 1.703%, respectively, plus a spread of 2.15% to 2.70% based on the Company's consolidated leverage ratio. These swaps were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk.

On September 30, 2013, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement for a notional amount of \$25.0 million with an effective date of February 3, 2014 that converts the one-month LIBOR rate on the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan from a variable rate of one-month LIBOR plus a spread of 2.15% to 2.70% based on the Company's consolidated leverage ratio to a fixed rate of 1.9925% plus a spread of 2.15% to 2.70% based on the Company's consolidated leverage ratio. This swap was designated as cash flow hedge of interest rate risk. The \$25.0 million notional amount has not been drawn as of September 30, 2013.

The following table details the Company's outstanding interest rate swaps as of September 30, 2013 (collectively, the Unsecured Term Loan Swaps) (in thousands):

| Interest Rate Derivative | Trade Date | Notional Amount | Fixed Interest Rate | Variable Interest Rate | Maturity Date |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Interest rate swap | Sept-14-2012 | \$ 10,000(1) | 0.7945% | One-month LIBOR | September 10, 2017 |
| Interest rate swap | Sept-14-2012 | \$ 10,000(1) | 0.7945% | One-month LIBOR | September 10, 2017 |
| Interest rate swap | Sept-14-2012 | \$ 10,000(1) | 0.7945% | One-month LIBOR | September 10, 2017 |
| Interest rate swap | Sept-14-2012 | \$ 10,000(1) | 0.7945% | One-month LIBOR | September 10, 2017 |
| Interest rate swap | Sept-14-2012 | \$ 10,000(1) | 0.7975% | One-month LIBOR | September 10, 2017 |
| Interest rate swap | Sept-20-2012 | \$ 25,000(1) | 0.7525% | One-month LIBOR | September 10, 2017 |
| Interest rate swap | Sept-24-2012 | \$ 25,000(1) | 0.727% | One-month LIBOR | September 10, 2017 |
| Interest rate swap | March-1-2013 | \$ 25,000(2) | 1.33% | One-month LIBOR | February 14, 2020 |
| Interest rate swap | June-13-2013 | \$ 25,000(2) | 1.703% | One-month LIBOR | February 14, 2020 |
| Interest rate swap | June-13-2013 | \$ 50,000(2) | 1.681% | One-month LIBOR | February 14, 2020 |
| Interest rate swap | Sept-30-2013 | \$ 25,000(2) | 1.9925% | One-month LIBOR | February 14, 2020 |

Table of Contents

(1) Fixes the interest rate of the Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan

(2) Fixes the interest rate of the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan

The fair value of the interest rate swaps outstanding as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was as follows (in thousands):

| | Balance Sheet Location | Notional Amount September 30, 2013 | Fair Value September 30, 2013 | Notional Amount December 31, 2012 | Fair Value December 31, 2012 |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Unsecured Term Loan Swaps | Interest Rate Swaps-Asset | \$ 200,000 | \$ 2,282 | \$ 100,000 | \$ (480) |
| Unsecured Term Loan Swaps | Interest Rate Swaps-Liability | \$ 25,000 | \$ (131) | \$ | \$ |

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

The Company's objectives in using interest rate swaps are to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the Company making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and qualified as cash flow hedges is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. On September 14, 2012, the Company commenced a program of utilizing such designated derivatives to hedge the variable cash flows associated with certain variable-rate debt. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives is recognized directly in earnings. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company did not record any hedge ineffectiveness related to the hedged derivatives.

Amounts reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) related to derivatives will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the Company's variable-rate debt. The Company estimates that an additional \$2.2 million will be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) as a decrease to interest expense over the next 12 months.

The table below details the location in the financial statements of the gain or loss recognized on interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, respectively:

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Amount of income (loss) recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) on interest rate swaps (effective) | \$ (1,510) | \$ (577) | \$ 1,776 | \$ (577) |

portion)

Amount of loss reclassified from accumulated
other comprehensive income (loss) into
income (loss) as interest expense (effective
portion)

| | | | | | |
|----|-----|----|----|-----|----|
| \$ | 476 | \$ | \$ | 856 | \$ |
|----|-----|----|----|-----|----|

Amount of loss recognized in income on
swaps (ineffective portion and amount
excluded from effectiveness testing)

| | | | |
|----|----|----|----|
| \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
|----|----|----|----|

The Company is exposed to credit risk in the event of non-performance by the counterparties to the interest rate swaps. The Company minimizes this risk exposure by limiting counterparties to major banks and investment brokers who meet established credit and capital guidelines.

Credit-risk-related Contingent Features

As of September 30, 2013, the fair values of ten of 11 of the Company's interest rate swaps were in an asset position of \$2.5 million and one interest rate swap was in a liability position of \$0.1 million, which includes accrued interest, but excludes any adjustment for nonperformance risk related to these agreements. As of September 30, 2013, the Company has not posted any collateral related to these agreements. The adjustment for nonperformance risk included in the fair value of the Company's net asset position

Table of Contents

was \$0.4 million as of September 30, 2013. If the Company had breached any of its provisions at September 30, 2013, it could have been required to settle its obligations under the agreement of the interest rate swap in a liability position at its termination value of \$50 thousand.

Fair Value of Interest Rate Swaps

The valuation of the interest rate swaps is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs including interest rate curves. The fair values of interest rate swaps are determined by using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash payments and the discounted expected variable cash receipts. The variable cash receipts are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company applied the provisions of this standard to the valuation of its interest rate swaps.

The following sets forth the Company's financial instruments that are accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

| | | Fair Market Measurements as of September 30, 2013 Using: | | |
|-----------------------|----|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| | | Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| | | September 30, 2013 | | |
| Assets (liabilities): | | | | |
| Interest Rate Swaps | \$ | 2,282 | \$ | 2,282 |
| Interest Rate Swaps | \$ | (131) | \$ | (131) |

| | | Fair Market Measurements as of December 31, 2012 Using: | | |
|---------------------|----|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| | | Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| | | December 31, 2012 | | |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Interest Rate Swaps | \$ | (480) | \$ | (480) |

7. Stockholders' Equity

Preferred Stock

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Pursuant to its charter, the Company is authorized to issue 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share. On November 2, 2011, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of 2,760,000 shares (including 360,000 shares issued pursuant to the full exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option) of 9.0% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share (the "Series A Preferred Stock"), at a price to the public of \$25.00 per share. Dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock are payable quarterly in arrears on or about the last day of March, June, September and December of each year. The Series A Preferred Stock ranks senior to the Company's common stock with respect to dividend rights and rights upon the liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company. The Series A Preferred Stock has no stated maturity date and is not subject to mandatory redemption or any sinking fund. Generally, the Company is not permitted to redeem the Series A Preferred Stock prior to November 2, 2016, except in limited circumstances relating to the Company's ability to qualify as a REIT and in certain other circumstances related to a change of control (as defined in the articles supplementary for the Series A Preferred Stock).

On April 16, 2013, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of 2,800,000 shares (including 300,000 shares issued pursuant to the full exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option) of 6.625% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share (the "Series B Preferred Stock"), at a price to the public of \$25.00 per share. The Company received net proceeds of \$67.8 million, reflecting gross proceeds of \$70.0 million net of the underwriters' discount of \$2.2 million. The Company also incurred direct offering costs of \$0.2 million. The underwriters' discount of \$2.2 million and \$0.2 million of direct offering costs incurred are reflected as a reduction to additional paid-in capital in the Consolidated Balance Sheet of the Company. Dividends on the Series B Preferred Stock are payable quarterly in arrears on or about the last day of March, June, September and December of each year. The Series B Preferred Stock ranks senior to the Company's common stock and on parity with the Company's Series A Preferred Stock with respect to dividend rights and rights upon the liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company. The Series B Preferred Stock has no stated maturity date and is not subject to mandatory redemption or any sinking fund. Generally, the Company is not permitted to redeem the Series B Preferred Stock prior to April 16, 2018, except in limited circumstances relating to the Company's ability to qualify as a REIT and in certain other circumstances related to a change of control (as defined in the

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

articles supplementary for the Series B Preferred Stock). The Company used the net proceeds to pay off the outstanding amount due under the Unsecured Credit Facility and to fund acquisitions.

The table below sets forth the dividends that have been declared by the Company's board of directors on the Series A Preferred Stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2012:

| Amount Declared During Quarter Ended 2013 | Declaration Date | Per Share | Date Paid |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| September 30 | August 2, 2013 | \$ 0.5625 | September 30, 2013 |
| June 30 | May 6, 2013 | 0.5625 | July 1, 2013 |
| March 31 | March 1, 2013 | 0.5625 | April 1, 2013 |
| Total 2013 | | \$ 1.6875 | |

| Amount Declared During Quarter Ended 2012 | Declaration Date | Per Share | Date Paid |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| December 31 | November 2, 2012 | \$ 0.5625 | December 31, 2012 |
| September 30 | August 2, 2012 | 0.5625 | October 1, 2012 |
| June 30 | May 15, 2012 | 0.5625 | July 2, 2012 |
| March 31 | March 6, 2012 | 0.5625 | April 2, 2012 |
| Total 2012 | | \$ 2.25 | |

The table below sets forth the dividends that have been declared by the Company's board of directors on the Series B Preferred Stock during the prorated period ended September 30, 2013:

| Amount Declared During Quarter Ended 2013 | Declaration Date | Per Share | Date Paid |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| September 30 | August 2, 2013 | \$ 0.4140625 | September 30, 2013 |
| June 30 (prorated for April 16, 2013 to June 30, 2013) | May 6, 2013 | 0.3450500 | July 1, 2013 |
| Total 2013 | | \$ 0.7591125 | |

Common Stock

On January 22, 2013, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of 6,284,152 shares of common stock (including 819,672 shares issued pursuant to the full exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option) at a public offering price of \$18.30 per share. The Company received net proceeds of \$110.1 million, reflecting gross proceeds of \$115.0 million net of the underwriters' discount of \$4.9 million. The Company also incurred direct offering costs of \$0.2 million. The underwriters' discount of \$4.9 million and \$0.2 million of direct offering costs incurred are reflected as a reduction to additional paid-in capital in the Consolidated Balance Sheet of the Company. The Company used the proceeds to fully pay down the then outstanding balance on the Unsecured Credit Facility.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company sold 1,813,970 and 1,963,170 shares of common stock, respectively, under its at the market program (ATM) that commenced on December 14, 2012. During the three months ended September 30, 2013 the Company received net proceeds of \$36.4 million, reflecting gross proceeds of \$36.9 million, net of the sales agents' fees of approximately \$0.6 million. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013 the Company received net proceeds of \$39.1 million, reflecting gross proceeds of \$39.7 million, net of the sales agents' fees of approximately \$0.6 million. The Company used the net proceeds for acquisitions and general

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

corporate purposes. As of September 30, 2013, there was approximately \$30.0 million of common stock available to be sold under the ATM.

The table below sets forth the dividends that have been declared by the Company's board of directors on its common stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2012:

| Amount Declared During Quarter Ended 2013 | Declaration Date | Per Share | Date Paid |
|---|------------------|-----------|------------------|
| September 30 | August 2, 2013 | \$ 0.30 | October 15, 2013 |
| June 30 | May 6, 2013 | 0.30 | July 15, 2013 |
| March 31 | March 1, 2013 | 0.30 | April 15, 2013 |
| Total 2013 | | \$ 0.90 | |

| Amount Declared During Quarter Ended 2012 | Declaration Date | Per Share | Date Paid |
|---|------------------|-----------|------------------|
| December 31 | November 2, 2012 | \$ 0.27 | January 15, 2013 |
| September 30 | August 2, 2012 | 0.27 | October 15, 2012 |
| June 30 | May 15, 2012 | 0.27 | July 13, 2012 |
| March 31 | March 6, 2012 | 0.26 | April 13, 2012 |
| Total 2012 | | \$ 1.07 | |

On August 15, 2013 the Company's board of directors voted to increase the frequency of the payment of the Company's common stock dividend from quarterly to monthly. For further details on the fourth quarter dividend declaration refer to Note 13.

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

All of the Company's independent directors elected to receive shares of common stock in lieu of cash for their fees for serving as members of the board and/or chairmen of various committees during 2013 and 2012. The shares of common stock are issued to the independent directors pursuant to the STAG Industrial, Inc. 2011 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended (the "2011 Plan"). The number of shares of common stock granted is calculated based on the trailing 10-day average common stock price ending on the third business day preceding the grant date. The fair value of the shares of the common stock granted is calculated based on the closing stock price per the NYSE on the grant date multiplied by the number of shares of common stock granted. The table below sets forth the grants of common stock for the members' service during quarters ended in 2013 and 2012 as below:

| Service During Quarter Ended 2013 | Grant Date | Shares | Fair Value |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------|------------|
| September 30 | October 15, 2013 | 2,607 | \$ 53,000 |
| June 30 | July 15, 2013 | 2,602 | 53,000 |
| March 31 | April 15, 2013 | 2,418 | 52,000 |
| Total 2013 | | 7,627 | \$ 158,000 |

| Service During Quarter Ended 2012 | Grant Date | Shares | Fair Value |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------|------------|
| December 31 | January 15, 2013 | 2,851 | \$ 54,000 |
| September 30 | October 15, 2012 | 2,876 | 49,000 |
| June 30 | July 13, 2012 | 3,108 | 46,000 |
| March 31 | April 13, 2012 | 3,776 | 50,000 |
| Total 2012 | | 12,611 | \$ 199,000 |

Restricted Stock-Based Compensation

On January 3, 2013, the Company granted 106,268 shares of time-based restricted common stock to certain employees of the Company pursuant to the 2011 Plan, with a fair value of \$1.9 million (\$18.11 per share).

As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, 48,173 and 16,161 shares of restricted common stock had vested with a fair value of \$0.9 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. The Company recognizes non-cash compensation expense ratably over the vesting period, and accordingly, the Company recognized \$0.2 million, \$0.6 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million in non-cash compensation expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, respectively. Unrecognized compensation expense for the remaining life of the awards was \$2.7 million and \$1.5 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were 11,540 and 1,559 forfeitures of shares of restricted common stock, respectively.

2011 Plan

At their annual meeting on May 6, 2013, the stockholders of the Company approved an amendment to the 2011 Plan that increased the number of shares of common stock that may be awarded under the 2011 Plan by 1,887,274 shares to an aggregate of 3,642,461 shares (7.5% of the shares of common stock and common units (including LTIP units) issued and outstanding as of March 27, 2013). The 2011 Plan expires on March 31, 2021.

8. Noncontrolling Interest

Noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership are interests in the Operating Partnership that are not owned by the Company. As of September 30, 2013, noncontrolling interests consisted of 6,299,186 Noncontrolling Common Units and 599,464 long-term incentive plan units in the Operating Partnership (LTIP units), which in total represented an approximately 13.54% limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership. As of December 31, 2012, noncontrolling interests consisted of 5,743,958 Noncontrolling Common Units and 413,551 LTIP units, which in total represented an approximately 14.71% limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership. The Company adjusts the carrying value of noncontrolling interest to reflect its share of the book value of the Operating Partnership when there has been a change in the Company's ownership of the Operating Partnership. Such adjustments are recorded to additional paid-in capital as a rebalancing of noncontrolling interest on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Equity.

Noncontrolling Common Units

Noncontrolling Common Units and shares of the Company's common stock have essentially the same economic characteristics in that Noncontrolling Common Units and shares of the Company's common stock share equally in the total net income or loss distributions of the Operating Partnership. Investors who own Noncontrolling Common Units have the right to cause the Operating Partnership to redeem any or all of their Noncontrolling Common Units for cash equal to the then-current market value of one share of the Company's common stock, or, at the Company's election, shares of common stock on a one-for-one basis. All Noncontrolling Common Units will receive the same monthly distribution as the per share dividends on common stock. As discussed in Note 3, on June 19, 2013, the Company granted 555,758 Common Units as partial consideration for the purchase price of eight buildings. The issuance of the Common Units was effected in reliance upon an exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Company relied on the exemption based on representations given by

Table of Contents

the holders of the Common Units. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, 2,186 Noncontrolling Common Units were redeemed for shares of common stock.

LTIP Units

Pursuant to the 2011 Plan, the Company may grant LTIP units in the Operating Partnership. LTIP units, which the Company grants either as free-standing awards or together with other awards under the 2011 Plan, are valued by reference to the value of the Company's common stock, and are subject to such conditions and restrictions as the compensation committee may determine, including continued employment or service, computation of financial metrics and achievement of pre-established performance goals and objectives. Vested LTIP units can be converted to Noncontrolling Common Units in the Operating Partnership on a one-for-one basis once a material equity transaction has occurred that results in the accretion of the member's capital account to the economic equivalent of the Noncontrolling Common Unit. As of September 30, 2013, all of the outstanding LTIP units have met the aforementioned criteria and holders have the ability to convert the LTIP units to Noncontrolling Common Units. All LTIP units, whether vested or not, will receive the same monthly per unit distributions as Noncontrolling Common Units, which equal per share dividends on common stock.

On January 3, 2013, the Company granted a total of 173,044 LTIP units to certain executive officers and 14,525 LTIP units to its non-employee, independent directors pursuant to the 2011 Plan. The fair value of the LTIP units was approximately \$3.3 million, which was determined by a lattice binomial option-pricing model based on a Monte Carlo simulation using a volatility factor of 45%, a risk-free interest rate of 1.97%, an expected annual dividend yield of 6.0% and terms of 10 years. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, 1,656 LTIP units were redeemed for Noncontrolling Common Units. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, 200,741 and 112,506 LTIP units were vested, respectively. The Company recognized \$0.4 million, \$1.2 million, \$0.2 million and \$0.7 million in non-cash compensation expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, respectively. Unrecognized compensation expense was \$5.6 million and \$3.5 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and is included in additional paid-in capital on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Equity.

9. Earnings Per Share

A participating security is defined by GAAP as an unvested stock-based payment award containing non-forfeitable rights to dividends and must be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. Unvested restricted stock awards are considered participating securities as these stock-based awards contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends irrespective of whether the awards ultimately vest or expire. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, there were 214,389, 220,466, 150,978 and 157,292, respectively, unvested shares of restricted stock on a weighted average basis that were considered participating securities, which were not dilutive. For purposes of calculating basic and diluted earnings per share, awards under the 2011 Outperformance Program (the "OPP") are considered contingently issuable shares. Because the OPP awards require the Company to outperform absolute and relative return thresholds, unless such thresholds have been met by the end of the applicable reporting period, the Company excludes the awards from the basic and diluted earnings per share calculation. As of September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, the absolute and relative return thresholds were met; however, the OPP awards have been excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation as they were anti-dilutive.

The following tables set forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, and September 30, 2012, respectively (in thousands, except share data).

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | Three months ended September 30, 2013 | |
|--|--|---------------|
| Numerator | | |
| Net income from continuing operations | \$ | 294 |
| Less: preferred stock dividends | | 2,712 |
| Less: amount allocable to unvested restricted stockholders | | 64 |
| Less: noncontrolling interest after preferred stock dividends allocable to continuing operations | | (335) |
| Loss from continuing operations available to common stockholders | \$ | (2,147) |
| Income attributable to discontinued operations | \$ | |
| Less: noncontrolling interest allocable to discontinued operations | | |
| Income from discontinued operations attributable to common stockholders | \$ | |
| Denominator | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding basic and diluted | | 42,753,722 |
| Loss from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders | \$ | (0.05) |
| Income from discontinued operations attributable to common stockholders | | |
| Loss per share basic and diluted | \$ | (0.05) |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

| | | Nine months ended September 30, 2013 |
|--|-----------|---|
| Numerator | | |
| Net loss from continuing operations | \$ | (874) |
| Less: preferred stock dividends | | 6,783 |
| Less: amount allocable to unvested restricted stockholders | | 197 |
| Less: noncontrolling interest after preferred stock dividends allocable to continuing operations | | (1,034) |
| Loss from continuing operations available to common stockholders | \$ | (6,820) |
| Income attributable to discontinued operations | \$ | 566 |
| Less: noncontrolling interest allocable to discontinued operations | | 76 |
| Income from discontinued operations attributable to common stockholders | \$ | 490 |
| Denominator | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding basic and diluted | | 41,766,740 |
| Loss from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders | \$ | (0.16) |
| Income from discontinued operations attributable to common stockholders | | 0.01 |
| Loss per share basic and diluted | \$ | (0.15) |

| | | Three months ended September 30, 2012 |
|--|-----------|--|
| Numerator | | |
| Net loss from continuing operations | \$ | (1,304) |
| Less: preferred stock dividends | | 1,553 |
| Less: Amount allocable to unvested restricted stockholders | | 41 |
| Less: noncontrolling interest after preferred stock dividends allocable to continuing operations | | (551) |
| Loss from continuing operations available to common stockholders | \$ | (2,347) |
| Income attributable to discontinued operations | \$ | (3,612) |
| Less: noncontrolling interest allocable to discontinued operations | | (697) |
| Income from discontinued operations available to common stockholders | \$ | (2,915) |
| Denominator | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding basic and diluted | | 29,752,057 |
| Loss from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders | \$ | (0.08) |
| Discontinued operations | | (0.10) |
| Loss per common share basic and diluted | \$ | (0.18) |

| | | Nine months ended September 30, 2012 |
|--|-----------|---|
| Numerator | | |
| Net loss from continuing operations | \$ | (4,647) |
| Less: preferred stock dividends | | 4,659 |
| Less: Amount allocable to unvested restricted stockholders | | 81 |
| Less: noncontrolling interest after preferred stock dividends allocable to continuing operations | | (2,422) |
| Loss from continuing operations available to common stockholders | \$ | (6,965) |
| Income attributable to discontinued operations | \$ | (3,158) |
| Less: noncontrolling interest allocable to discontinued operations | | (822) |
| Income from discontinued operations available to common stockholders | \$ | (2,336) |
| Denominator | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding basic and diluted | | 21,716,590 |
| Loss from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders | \$ | (0.32) |
| Discontinued operations | | (0.11) |
| Loss per common share basic and diluted | \$ | (0.43) |

10. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is subject to various legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. These matters are generally covered by insurance subject to deductible requirements. Management believes that the ultimate settlement of these actions will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company is subject to a one-time incentive fee based on aggregate performance thresholds of the acquired buildings sourced by Columbus Nova Real Estate Acquisition Group, LLC. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the fair value of the incentive fee was zero.

Table of Contents

11. Concentrations of Credit Risk

Concentrations of credit risk arise when a number of tenants related to the Company's investments or rental operations are engaged in similar business activities, are located in the same geographic region, or have similar economic features that would cause their inability to meet contractual obligations, including those to the Company, to be similarly affected. The Company regularly monitors its tenant base to assess potential concentrations of credit risk. Management believes the current credit risk portfolio is reasonably well diversified and does not contain any unusual concentration of credit risk. No single tenant accounted for more than 5% of rental income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012.

12. Related Party Transaction

In June 2013, STAG Investments II, LLC (Fund II), a private, fully-invested fund that is an affiliate of the Company, and the Company amended the Services Agreement, dated as of April 20, 2011, pursuant to which the Company provides asset management services to Fund II in return for an annual asset management fee. The Services Agreement was amended to exclude disposition services from the asset management services to be performed by the Company and results in a concomitant reduction in the asset management fee. The asset management fee is based on the equity investments in the Fund II assets and is currently equal to 1.25% of the equity investment.

13. Subsequent Events

GAAP requires an entity to disclose events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued (subsequent events) as well as the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events. There are two types of subsequent events. The first type consists of events or transactions that provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet, including the estimates inherent in the process of preparing financial statements (recognized subsequent events). No significant recognized subsequent events were noted. The second type consists of events that provide evidence about conditions that did not exist at the date of the balance sheet but arose subsequent to that date (non-recognized subsequent events).

The following non-recognized subsequent events are noted:

On August 15, 2013, the Company's board of directors voted to increase the frequency of the payment of the Company's common stock dividend from quarterly to monthly. On September 24, 2013, the Company's board of directors declared dividends on the Company's common stock for each month of the fourth quarter of \$0.10 per share, which equates to \$0.30 per share on a quarterly basis, payable on November 15, 2013, December 16, 2013 and January 15, 2014.

On October 7, 2013, the Unsecured Credit Facility and the Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan were amended to reduce the spreads on the one-month Eurodollar Rate rate and the Base Rate (each as defined in the credit agreement) and to reduce the unused fee rates. The following table compares the original terms to the amended terms of the Unsecured Credit Facility and the Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan.

| Applicable Rates | Original Terms | | Amended Terms | |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Unsecured Credit Facility and Unsecured Term Loan | Unsecured Credit Facility | Unsecured Term Loan | |
| Eurodollar Rate (1): | one month-LIBOR + 165.0 bps - 225.0 bps | one month-LIBOR + 145.0 bps - 205.0 bps | one month-LIBOR + 140.0 bps - 200.0 bps | |
| Base Rate (1): | Base rate + 65.0 bps - 125.0 bps | Base rate + 45.0 bps - 105.0 bps | Base rate + 40.0 bps - 100.0 bps | |
| Unused Fees: | <50%, 35.0 bps; ≥ 50%, 25 bps | <50%, 25.0 bps; ≥ 50%, 20 bps | | |

(1) The spread over the applicable rate is based on the Company's consolidated leverage ratio.

On November 1, 2013, the board of directors appointed Virgis W. Colbert to serve as a member of the board of directors, effective January 1, 2014.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

You should read the following discussion with the financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in Item 1 of this report and the audited financial statements as of December 31, 2012, and related notes thereto included in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K.

As used herein, Company, we, our and us, refer to STAG Industrial, Inc. and our consolidated subsidiaries and partnerships, except where the context otherwise requires. The consolidated financial statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012 include the financial information of the Company, STAG Industrial Operating Partnership, L.P. (operating partnership) and our subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the safe harbor from civil liability provided for such statements by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (set forth in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)). You can identify forward-looking statements by the use of words such as anticipates, believes, estimates, expects, intends, may, plans, projects, seeks, should, will, and variations of such words or Our forward-looking statements reflect our current views about our plans, intentions, expectations, strategies and prospects, which are based on the information currently available to us and on assumptions we have made. Although we believe that our plans, intentions, expectations, strategies and prospects as reflected in or suggested by our forward-looking statements are reasonable, we can give no assurance that our plans, intentions, expectations, strategies or prospects will be attained or achieved and you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Furthermore, actual results may differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements and may be affected by a variety of risks and factors including, without limitation:

- the factors included in this report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on March 6, 2013 and our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, including those set forth under the headings Business, Risk Factors, and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations;
- the competitive environment in which we operate;
- real estate risks, including fluctuations in real estate values and the general economic climate in local markets and competition for tenants in such markets;
- decreased rental rates or increasing vacancy rates;
- potential defaults under or non-renewal of leases by tenants;
- potential bankruptcy or insolvency of tenants;
- acquisition risks, including failure of such acquisitions to perform in accordance with projections;
- the timing of acquisitions and dispositions;

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

- potential natural disasters such as hurricanes;
- international, national, regional and local economic conditions;
- the general level of interest rates;
- potential changes in the law or governmental regulations that affect us and interpretations of those laws and regulations, including changes in real estate and zoning or real estate investment trust (REIT) tax laws, and potential increases in real property tax rates;
- financing risks, including the risks that our cash flows from operations may be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest and we may be unable to refinance our existing debt upon maturity or obtain new financing on attractive terms or at all;
- lack of or insufficient amounts of insurance;
- our failure to complete acquisitions;
- our failure to successfully integrate acquired buildings;
- our ability to maintain our qualification as a REIT;
- litigation, including costs associated with prosecuting or defending claims and any adverse outcomes; and
- possible environmental liabilities, including costs, fines or penalties that may be incurred due to necessary remediation of contamination of buildings presently owned or previously owned by us.

Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made. New risks and uncertainties arise over time, and it is not possible for us to predict those events or how they may affect us. Except as required by law, we are not obligated to, and do not intend to, update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Overview

We are an industrial operating company focused on the acquisition, ownership and management of single-tenant net-leased industrial buildings throughout the United States.

Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2013, we owned 200 buildings in 34 states with approximately 35.3 million square feet, consisting of 136 warehouse/distribution buildings, 44 light manufacturing buildings and 20 flex/office buildings. We also owned one vacant land parcel adjacent to one of our buildings. Our buildings were 94.0% leased to 180 tenants, with no single tenant accounting for more than 3.0% of our total annualized rent and no single industry accounting for more than 11.6% of our total annualized rent. As used herein, the definition of annualized rent is the contractual monthly base rent as of September 30, 2013 multiplied by 12.

We were formed as a Maryland corporation on July 21, 2010 and our operating partnership, of which we, through our wholly owned subsidiary, STAG Industrial GP, LLC, are the sole general partner, was formed as a Delaware limited partnership on December 21, 2009. On April 20, 2011, we completed our formation transactions and became a public company. At September 30, 2013, we owned an 86.46% limited partnership interest in our operating partnership. We are organized and conduct our operations to qualify as a REIT under the Code, and generally are not subject to federal income tax to the extent we distribute our income to our stockholders and maintain our qualification as a REIT.

Factors That May Influence Future Results of Operations

Outlook

The lack of speculative development generally across the country and specifically in our markets may improve occupancy levels and rental rates in our owned portfolio. In addition, our acquisition activity is expected to enhance our overall financial performance. The continuation of low interest rates combined with the availability of attractively priced buildings should allow us to deploy our capital on an attractive spread investing basis. In general, the economic environment for our tenants appears to be improving due in particular to the increasing availability of financing accessible by mid-sized companies.

Rental Revenue

We receive income primarily from rental revenue from our buildings. The amount of rental revenue generated by the buildings in our portfolio depends principally on our ability to maintain the occupancy rates of currently leased space and to lease currently available space. As of September 30, 2013, our buildings were approximately 94.0% leased. The amount of rental revenue generated by us also depends on our ability to maintain or increase rental rates at our buildings. Future economic downturns or regional downturns affecting our submarkets that impair our ability to renew or re-lease space and the ability of our tenants to fulfill their lease commitments, as in the case of tenant bankruptcies, could adversely affect our ability to maintain or increase rental rates at our buildings.

Certain leases entered into by us contain tenant concessions such as free rent. Any such rental concessions are accounted for on a straight line basis over the term of the lease.

Scheduled Lease Expirations

Our ability to re-lease space subject to expiring leases will impact our results of operations and is affected by economic and competitive conditions in our markets and by the desirability of our individual buildings. As of September 30, 2013, we had approximately 2.1 million rentable square feet of currently available space in our buildings. Of the 2.7 million square feet of leases that have expired during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we have renewed 1.6 million square feet of leases, resulting in a 60.9% tenant retention rate as of September 30, 2013. As of September 30, 2013, for the period October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014, one of our top ten leases based on September 30, 2013 annualized revenue will be expiring. The tenant signed a new lease for five years to retain 47% of the space effective at the existing lease expiration. The base rent will remain flat on a per square foot basis.

Conditions in Our Markets

The buildings in our portfolio are located in markets throughout the United States. Positive or negative changes in economic or other conditions, adverse weather conditions and natural disasters in these markets may affect our overall performance.

Rental Expenses

Our rental expenses generally consist of utilities, real estate taxes, management fees, insurance and site repair and maintenance costs. For the majority of our tenants, our rental expenses are controlled, in part, by the triple net provisions in tenant leases. In our triple net leases, the tenant is responsible for all aspects of and costs related to the building and its operation during the lease term, including utilities, taxes, insurance and maintenance costs. However, we also have modified gross leases and gross leases in our building portfolio. The terms of those leases vary and on some occasions we may absorb building related expenses of our tenants. In our modified gross leases, we are responsible for some building related expenses during the lease term, but the cost of most of the expenses is passed through to the tenant for reimbursement to us. In our gross leases, we are responsible for all aspects of and costs related to the building and its operation during the lease term. Our overall performance will be affected by the extent to which we are able to pass-through rental expenses to our tenants.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

The following discussion of our results of operations should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the accompanying footnotes. We consider our same store (as defined below) portfolio to consist of only those buildings owned and operated at the beginning and at the end of both of the applicable three and nine month periods presented. Same store results are considered to be useful to investors in evaluating our performance because they provide information relating to changes in building-level operating performance without taking into account the effects of acquisitions or dispositions.

Comparison of three months ended September 30, 2013 to the three months ended September 30, 2012

Our results of operations are affected by the acquisition and disposition activity during the 2013 and 2012 periods as described below. On July 1, 2012, we owned 121 buildings including 71 warehouse/distribution buildings, 30 light manufacturing buildings and 20 flex/office buildings. Subsequent to July 1, 2012, we sold three buildings for which the results of operations are included in loss from discontinued operations and are not considered part of our same store portfolio. Therefore, there are 118 buildings which are considered our same store portfolio (three month same store) in the analysis below. Three month same store occupancy decreased 3.1% to 92.5% as of September 30, 2013 compared to 95.6% as of September 30, 2012. The results of operations from acquisitions relates to the 82 buildings acquired after July 1, 2012 for an aggregate cost of approximately \$559.0 million.

The following table summarizes selected operating information for our three month same store portfolio and our total portfolio for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in thousands). This table includes a reconciliation from our same store portfolio to our total portfolio by also providing information for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 with respect to the buildings acquired after July 1, 2012.

| | Same-Store Portfolio | | | | Acquisitions | | | Total Portfolio | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|------------|----------|----------------------------------|--------|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------|----------|--|
| | Three months ended September 30, | | | | Three months ended September 30, | | | Three months ended September 30, | | | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | Change | % Change | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | Change | % Change | |
| Revenue | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating revenue | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rental income | \$ 17,260 | \$ 17,919 | \$ (659) | -3.7% | \$ 13,131 | \$ 789 | \$ 30,391 | \$ 18,708 | \$ 11,683 | 62.4% | |
| Tenant recoveries | 2,491 | 2,017 | 474 | 23.5% | 1,794 | 46 | 4,285 | 2,063 | 2,222 | 107.7% | |
| Other income (1) | 14 | 19 | (5) | -26.3% | 1 | 9 | 15 | 28 | (13) | -46.4% | |
| Total operating revenue | 19,765 | 19,955 | (190) | -1.0% | 14,926 | 844 | 34,691 | 20,799 | 13,892 | 66.8% | |
| Expenses | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating expenses | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property | 1,890 | 1,258 | 632 | 50.2% | 796 | 4 | 2,686 | 1,262 | 1,424 | 112.8% | |
| Real estate taxes and insurance | 1,815 | 1,549 | 266 | 17.2% | 1,807 | 54 | 3,622 | 1,603 | 2,019 | 126.0% | |
| Total operating expenses | 3,705 | 2,807 | 898 | 32.0% | 2,603 | 58 | 6,308 | 2,865 | 3,443 | 120.2% | |
| Net operating income (2) | \$ 16,060 | \$ 17,148 | \$ (1,088) | -6.3% | \$ 12,323 | \$ 786 | \$ 28,383 | \$ 17,934 | \$ 10,449 | 58.3% | |
| Other expenses (income) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 4,376 | 3,656 | 720 | 19.7% | |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| General and administrative | | | | |
| Asset management fees income | (192) | (303) | 111 | -36.6% |
| Property acquisition costs | 986 | 1,067 | (81) | -7.6% |
| Depreciation and amortization | 17,463 | 10,236 | 7,227 | 70.6% |
| Other expenses | 89 | 86 | 3 | 3.5% |
| Total other expenses (income) | 22,722 | 14,742 | 7,980 | 54.1% |
| Total expenses | 29,030 | 17,607 | 11,423 | 64.9% |
| Other income (expense) | | | | |
| Interest income | 3 | 9 | (6) | -66.7% |
| Interest expense | (5,370) | (3,558) | (1,812) | 50.9% |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | | (947) | 947 | -100.0% |
| Total other income (expense) | (5,367) | (4,496) | (871) | 19.4% |
| Discontinued operations | | | | |
| Income attributable to discontinued operations | | 329 | (329) | -100.0% |
| Loss on impairment attributable to discontinued operations | | (3,941) | 3,941 | -100.0% |
| Total income (loss) attributable to discontinued operations | | (3,612) | 3,612 | -100.0% |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 294 | \$ (4,916) | \$ 5,210 | -106.0% |
| Less: loss attributable to noncontrolling interest after preferred stock dividends | (335) | (1,248) | 913 | -73.2% |
| Net income (loss) attributable to STAG Industrial, Inc. | \$ 629 | \$ (3,668) | \$ 4,297 | -117.1% |

(1) Other income excludes asset management fee income, which is included below in Other expenses (income) for purposes of calculating net operating income.

(2) Net operating income excludes the results of discontinued operations in the table above. For a detailed discussion of net operating income, including the reasons management believes net operating income is useful to investors, see Non-GAAP Financial Measures below.

Same Store Total Operating Revenue

Same store operating revenue consists primarily of rental income from our properties, lease termination fees and tenant reimbursements for insurance, real estate taxes and certain other expenses.

Same store rental income consisting of base rent, termination income, straight-line rent and above and below market lease amortization decreased by \$0.7 million or 3.7% to \$17.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$17.9

Table of Contents

million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. Approximately \$1.0 million of the change was primarily attributable to tenants downsizing their spaces and vacancies. These decreases were offset by \$0.3 million of rental increases due to tenant expansions and new leases. Same store rental income also increased \$0.1 million related to a decrease in net amortization of above (below) market leases. Additionally, during the three months ended September 30, 2012, we had termination income relating to a tenant of approximately \$0.1 million and there was no termination income during the three months ended September 30, 2013.

Same store tenant recoveries increased by \$0.5 million or 23.5% to \$2.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$2.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase was primarily attributable to one of the properties where a single tenant occupied the building during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and the tenant paid the utility expenses and real estate taxes directly to the third parties; therefore, the expenses were not carried on our books. The tenant vacated during the fourth quarter of 2012 and the same building was occupied by multiple tenants during the three months ended September 30, 2013 with leases that require us to pay the utility expenses and to be reimbursed by the tenant, resulting in an increase of \$0.3 million. Additionally, \$0.1 million of the increase was attributable to an increase in recoverable repair and maintenance expenses. The remaining \$0.1 million net increase related to increases in recoverable expenses including increased real estate taxes across several properties offset by reductions related to tenant vacancies.

Same store other income decreased by \$5 thousand or 26.3% to \$14 thousand for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$19 thousand for the three months ended September 30, 2012.

Same Store Operating Expenses

Same store operating expenses consist primarily of property operating expenses and real estate taxes and insurance.

Total same store expenses increased by \$0.9 million or 32.0% to \$3.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$2.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase of \$0.4 million related to one of the properties where a single tenant occupied the building during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and the tenant paid the utility expenses directly to the third parties; therefore, the expenses were not carried on our books. The tenant vacated during the fourth quarter of 2012 and the same building was occupied by multiple tenants during the three months ended September 30, 2013 with leases that require us to pay the utility expenses and to be reimbursed by the tenants. Additionally, there was an increase of \$0.2 million related to repair and maintenance expenses of which \$0.1 million related to work completed in preparation of a new tenant at one building and \$0.1 million was recoverable. Real estate taxes and insurance increased by \$0.3 million across several properties primarily due to increases in real estate tax rates and changes in leases where prior tenants paid the real estate taxes and insurance directly and now we are carrying the expenses on our books.

Total Other Expenses (Income)

Total other expenses (income) consist of general and administrative expense, asset management fee income, property acquisition costs, depreciation and amortization, and other expenses.

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Total other expenses (income) increased \$8.0 million or 54.1% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 to \$22.7 million compared to \$14.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase was primarily related to an increase of \$7.2 million in depreciation and amortization as a result of the buildings acquired which increased the depreciable asset base. The increase was also attributable to a \$0.7 million increase in general and administrative expenses related to the increase in payroll and other costs related to an increased number of employees.

Total Other Income (Expense)

Total other income (expense) consists of interest income, interest expense and loss on extinguishment of debt. Interest expense includes interest paid and accrued during the period as well as adjustments related to amortization of financing costs and amortization of fair market value adjustments associated with the assumption of debt.

Total other expense increased \$0.9 million, or 19.4%, to \$5.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$4.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase was primarily attributable to a \$1.8 million increase in interest expense related to the increase in total debt outstanding of \$496.7 million as of September 30, 2013 compared to \$273.9 million as of September 30, 2012. This increase was offset by \$0.9 million loss on extinguishment of debt during the three months ended September 30, 2012 and there was no loss on extinguishment of debt during the three months ended September 30, 2013.

Total Loss Attributable to Discontinued Operations

The total loss attributable to discontinued operations decreased by \$3.6 million. The loss attributable to discontinued operations reflects the results of operations and impairment loss during the three months ended September 30, 2012 related to two

Table of Contents

buildings located in Great Bend, KS that were subsequently sold during the fourth quarter of 2012. There were no properties sold during the three months ended September 30, 2013.

Total Net Income (Loss)

The total net income (loss) increased by \$5.2 million, or 106.0%, to net income of \$0.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to a net loss of \$4.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase is attributable to all of the aforementioned factors.

Comparison of nine months ended September 30, 2013 to the nine months ended September 30, 2012

Our results of operations are affected by the acquisition and disposition activity during the 2013 and 2012 periods as described below. On January 1, 2012, we owned 105 buildings including 57 warehouse/distribution buildings, 28 light manufacturing buildings and 20 flex/office buildings. Subsequent to January 1, 2012, we sold four buildings for which the results of operations are included in loss from discontinued operations and are not considered part of our same store portfolio. Therefore, there are 101 buildings which are considered our same store portfolio (nine month same store) in the analysis below. Nine month same store occupancy decreased 3.7% to 91.0% as of September 30, 2013 compared to 94.7% as of September 30, 2012. The results of operations from acquisitions relates to the 99 buildings acquired after January 1, 2012 for an aggregate cost of approximately \$670.9 million.

The following table summarizes selected operating information for our nine months same store portfolio and our total portfolio for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in thousands). This table includes a reconciliation from our same store portfolio to our total portfolio by also providing information for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 with respect to the buildings acquired after January 1, 2012.

| | Same-Store Portfolio | | | | Acquisitions | | Total Portfolio | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|--------|------|--------|--------------|--|-----------------|--------|--|--------|------|--------|----------|--------|----|--------|----|--------|--|--------|
| | Nine months ended September 30, 2013 | | 2012 | Change | % Change | Nine months ended September 30, 2013 | | 2012 | Nine months ended September 30, 2013 | | 2012 | Change | % Change | | | | | | | |
| Revenue | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating revenue | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rental income | \$ | 43,924 | \$ | 45,256 | \$ | (1,332) | | -2.9% | \$ | 40,947 | \$ | 5,541 | \$ | 84,871 | \$ | 50,797 | \$ | 34,074 | | 67.1% |
| Tenant recoveries | | 6,738 | | 5,698 | | 1,040 | | 18.3% | | 4,689 | | 370 | | 11,427 | | 6,068 | | 5,359 | | 88.3% |
| Other income (1) | | 144 | | 44 | | 100 | | 227.3% | | 14 | | 13 | | 158 | | 57 | | 101 | | 177.2% |
| Total operating revenue | | 50,806 | | 50,998 | | (192) | | -0.4% | | 45,650 | | 5,924 | | 96,456 | | 56,922 | | 39,534 | | 69.5% |
| Expenses | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating expenses | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property | | 5,344 | | 3,919 | | 1,425 | | 36.4% | | 2,355 | | 111 | | 7,699 | | 4,030 | | 3,669 | | 91.0% |
| Real estate taxes and insurance | | 4,631 | | 4,164 | | 467 | | 11.2% | | 4,887 | | 410 | | 9,518 | | 4,574 | | 4,944 | | 108.1% |
| Total operating expenses | | 9,975 | | 8,083 | | 1,892 | | 23.4% | | 7,242 | | 521 | | 17,217 | | 8,604 | | 8,613 | | 100.1% |
| Net operating income (2) | \$ | 40,831 | \$ | 42,915 | \$ | (2,084) | | -4.9% | \$ | 38,408 | \$ | 5,403 | \$ | 79,239 | \$ | 48,318 | \$ | 30,921 | | 64.0% |
| Other expenses (income) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| General and administrative | 13,358 | 9,962 | 3,396 | 34.1% |
| Asset management fees | | | | |
| income | (707) | (924) | 217 | -23.5% |
| Property acquisition costs | 2,831 | 2,509 | 322 | 12.8% |
| Depreciation and amortization | 49,411 | 28,110 | 21,301 | 75.8% |
| Loss on impairment | | 622 | (622) | -100.0% |
| Other expenses | 336 | 145 | 191 | 131.7% |
| <i>Total other expenses (income)</i> | 65,229 | 40,424 | 24,805 | 61.4% |
| Total expenses | 82,446 | 49,028 | 33,418 | 68.2% |
| Other income (expense) | | | | |
| Interest income | 9 | 17 | (8) | -47.1% |
| Interest expense | (14,866) | (11,776) | (3,090) | 26.2% |
| Gain on interest rate swaps | | 215 | (215) | -100.0% |
| Offering costs | (27) | (68) | 41 | -60.3% |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | | (929) | 929 | -100.0% |
| Total other income (expense) | (14,884) | (12,541) | (2,343) | 18.7% |
| Discontinued operations | | | | |
| Income attributable to discontinued operations | 102 | 564 | (462) | -81.9% |
| Loss on impairment attributable to discontinued operations | | (3,941) | 3,941 | -100.0% |
| Gain on sales of real estate | 464 | 219 | 245 | 111.9% |
| Total income (loss) attributable to discontinued operations | 566 | (3,158) | 3,724 | -117.9% |
| Net loss | \$ (308) | \$ (7,805) | \$ 7,497 | -96.1% |
| Less: loss attributable to noncontrolling interest after preferred stock dividends | (958) | (3,244) | 2,286 | -70.5% |
| Net income (loss) attributable to STAG Industrial, Inc. | \$ 650 | \$ (4,561) | \$ 5,211 | -114.3% |

(1) Other income excludes asset management fee income, which is included below in Other expenses (income) for purposes of calculating net operating income.

(2) Net operating income excludes the results of discontinued operations in the table above. For a detailed discussion of net operating income, including the reasons management believes net operating income is useful to investors, see Non-GAAP Financial Measures below.

Same Store Total Operating Revenue

Same store operating revenue consists primarily of rental income from our properties, lease termination fees and tenant reimbursements for insurance, real estate taxes and certain other expenses.

Table of Contents

Same store rental income consisting of base rent, termination income, straight-line rent, and above and below market lease amortization decreased by \$1.3 million or 2.9% to \$43.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$45.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Same store rental income decreased primarily as a result of vacancies resulting in a decrease of revenue of approximately \$1.9 million as well as approximately \$0.1 million related to leases that renewed at lower rental rates. This decrease was partially offset by approximately \$0.8 million, expansions and renewals at higher rental rates. There was also a net decrease in net above (below) market lease amortization of \$0.1 million. Additionally, during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we had termination income of approximately \$0.2 million and there was no termination income during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Same store tenant recoveries increased by \$1.0 million or 18.3% to \$6.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$5.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase was primarily attributable to one of the properties where a single tenant occupied the building during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and the tenant paid the utility expenses and real estate taxes directly to the third parties; therefore, the expenses were not carried on our books. The tenant vacated during the fourth quarter of 2012 and the same building was occupied by multiple tenants during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 with leases that require us to pay the utility and real estate taxes expenses and to be reimbursed by the tenant, resulting in an increase of \$0.9 million. The remaining net increase was primarily attributable to \$0.1 million of increases in real estate tax income related to increased real estate tax rates, changes in leases where tenants paid expenses directly to third parties and now the expenses are being paid by us and recovered from the tenant during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012, and the increases were offset by tenant vacancies.

Same store other income increased by \$0.1 million or 227.3% to \$0.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$44 thousand for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. This was primarily as a result of the reimbursement of \$51 thousand of make ready repair costs from a tenant that vacated and \$31 thousand related to a settlement received from a prior tenant for reimbursement of damages under the lease agreement.

Same Store Operating Expenses

Same store operating expenses consist primarily of property operating expenses and real estate taxes and insurance.

Total same store expenses increased by \$1.9 million or 23.4% to \$10.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$8.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase in utilities of \$0.9 million related to one of the properties where a single tenant occupied the building during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and the tenant paid the utility expenses directly to the third parties; therefore, the expenses were not carried on our books. The tenant vacated during the fourth quarter of 2012 and the same building was occupied by multiple tenants during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 with leases that require us to pay the utility expenses and to be reimbursed by the tenants. Additionally, there was an increase of \$0.1 million related to repair and maintenance work completed in preparation of a new tenant at one building. Real estate taxes and utility expenses had net increase \$0.3 million across several properties primarily due to net increases in utility usage as well as utility rates and real estate tax rates. Real estate taxes increased by \$0.6 million across several properties primarily due to changes in leases where prior tenants paid the real estate taxes and utilities directly and now we are carrying the expenses on our books.

Total Other Expenses (Income)

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Total other expenses (income) consist of general and administrative expense, asset management fee income, property acquisition costs, depreciation and amortization, loss on impairment and other expenses.

Total other expenses (income) increased \$24.8 million or 61.4% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 to \$65.2 million compared to \$40.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase was primarily related to an increase of \$21.3 million in depreciation and amortization as a result of the buildings acquired which increased the depreciable asset base. The increase was also attributable to a \$3.4 million increase in general and administrative expenses related to the increase in payroll and other costs related to an increased number of employees.

Total Other Income (Expense)

Total other income (expense) consists of interest income, interest expense, gain on interest rate swaps, offering costs, and loss on extinguishment of debt. Interest expense includes interest paid and accrued during the period as well as adjustments related to amortization of financing costs and amortization of fair market value adjustments associated with the assumption of debt.

Total other expense increased \$2.3 million, or 18.7%, to \$14.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$12.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase was primarily attributable to a \$3.1 million increase in interest expense related to the increase in total debt outstanding of \$496.7 million as of September 30, 2013 compared to \$273.9 million as of September 30, 2012. Additionally, during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we had a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$0.9 million and a partially offsetting gain on an interest rate swap of \$0.2 million that matured during 2012.

Table of Contents

Total Income Attributable to Discontinued Operations

The total income attributable to discontinued operations reflects the results of operations related to the sale of four non-strategic buildings located in Pittsburgh, PA, Youngstown, OH and Great Bend, KS (two buildings) during 2012 and 2013. The total income attributable to discontinued operations increased by \$3.7 million, which was a result of the gain on sale and income associated with the Pittsburgh, PA building in the amount of \$0.6 million that was recognized during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we had a loss attributable to discontinued operations of \$3.2 million, which was primarily the result of a \$3.9 million loss on impairment that was incurred on the two Great Bend, KS properties prior to the disposal in the fourth quarter of 2012 offset by \$0.6 million of income from operations and a gain on sale of approximately \$0.2 million.

Total Net Loss

The total net loss decreased by \$7.5 million, or 96.1%, to \$0.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$7.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease is attributable to all of the aforementioned factors.

Cash Flows

The following table summarizes our cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

| | Nine months ended September 30, | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--|
| | 2013 | 2012 | Change | % Change | |
| Cash provided by operating activities | \$ 55,214 | \$ 30,206 | \$ 25,008 | 82.8% | |
| Cash used in investing activities | (234,890) | (211,310) | (23,580) | 11.2% | |
| Cash provided by financing activities | 184,579 | 175,290 | 9,289 | 5.3% | |

Comparison of the nine months ended September 30, 2013 to the nine months ended September 30, 2012

Net cash provided by operating activities. Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$25.0 million to \$55.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$30.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in cash provided by operating activities was primarily attributable to the increase in cash revenue net of expenses due in large part to the acquisition activity. We had a net loss of \$0.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to a net loss of \$7.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, but after adjusting the net loss to reconcile to net cash provided by operating activities (excluding changes in assets and liabilities) the increase is \$24.1 million. This is primarily a result of adding back depreciation and amortization of \$49.5 million during the nine

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$28.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Net cash used in investing activities. Net cash used in investing activities increased by \$23.6 million to \$234.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$211.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The change is primarily attributable to the acquisition of 29 buildings with an aggregate purchase price of \$235.5 million (Net of \$11.5 million funded by the issuance of common units) during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the acquisition of 30 buildings with an aggregate purchase price of \$212.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Net cash provided by financing activities. Net cash provided by financing activities increased \$9.3 million to \$184.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$175.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The change is attributable to the proceeds of \$70.0 million from the sale of the Series B Preferred Stock (as defined below) during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and a decrease of \$82.9 million in proceeds from sales of common stock in comparison to prior periods. The change is also the result of a net inflow of \$17.5 million from mortgage notes payable and borrowings under the unsecured term loans and unsecured credit facility (each as defined below) during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to a net outflow of \$22.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Additionally, the change is also attributable to an increase in dividends and distributions paid of \$23.4 million as a result of the increased number of shares and units outstanding as well as a \$0.08 increase in the dividend per share and unit paid for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The remaining increase is due to a decrease of \$1.3 million for payment of loan fees and costs, a decrease of \$2.4 million of offering costs and a decrease of \$1.6 million of restricted cash for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of September 30, 2013, we had no off-balance sheet arrangements other than those disclosed in the table under Liquidity and Capital Resources Contractual Obligations below.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

On January 22, 2013, we completed an underwritten public offering of 6,284,152 shares of common stock (including 819,672 shares issued pursuant to the full exercise of the underwriters overallotment option) at a public offering price of \$18.30 per share. We received net proceeds of \$110.1 million, reflecting gross proceeds of \$115.0 million net of the underwriters discount of \$4.9 million. We also incurred direct offering costs of \$0.2 million. The underwriters discount of \$4.9 million and direct offering costs of \$0.2 million are reflected as a reduction to additional paid-in capital in our Consolidated Balance Sheet included in this report. We used the proceeds to fully pay down the then outstanding balance on our \$200 million unsecured corporate revolving credit facility with Bank of America, N.A (Bank of America) as administrative agent (unsecured credit facility).

On April 16, 2013, we completed an underwritten public offering of 2,800,000 shares (including 300,000 shares issued pursuant to the full exercise of the underwriters overallotment option) of 6.625% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share (the Series B Preferred Stock), at a price to the public of \$25.00 per share. We received net proceeds of \$67.8 million, reflecting gross proceeds of \$70.0 million net of the underwriter discount of \$2.2 million. We also incurred direct offering costs of \$0.2 million. The underwriters discount of \$2.2 million and direct offering costs of \$0.2 million are reflected as a reduction to additional paid-in capital in our Consolidated Balance Sheet included in this report. Dividends on the Series B Preferred Stock are payable quarterly in arrears on or about the last day of March, June, September and December of each year. The Series B Preferred Stock ranks senior to our common stock and on parity with our Series A Preferred Stock with respect to dividend rights and rights upon the liquidation, dissolution or winding up of our Company. The Series B Preferred Stock has no stated maturity date and is not subject to mandatory redemption or any sinking fund. Generally, we are not permitted to redeem the Series B Preferred Stock prior to April 16, 2018, except in limited circumstances relating to our ability to qualify as a REIT and in certain other circumstances related to a change of control (as defined in the articles supplementary for the Series B Preferred Stock). We used the net proceeds to pay off the outstanding amount due under the unsecured credit facility and to fund acquisitions.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, we sold 1,813,970 and 1,963,170 shares of common stock, respectively, under our at the market program (ATM) that commenced on December 14, 2012. During the three months ended September 30, 2013 we received net proceeds of \$36.4 million, reflecting gross proceeds of \$36.9 million, net of the sales agents fees of approximately \$0.6 million. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013 we received net proceeds of \$39.1 million, reflecting gross proceeds of \$39.7 million, net of the sales agents fees of approximately \$0.6 million. We used the net proceeds for acquisition and general corporate purposes. As of September 30, 2013, there was approximately \$30.0 million of common stock available to be sold under the ATM.

As partial consideration for eight buildings acquired on June 19, 2013, we granted 555,758 common units in the operating partnership with a fair value of approximately \$11.5 million. The issuance of the common units was effected in reliance upon an exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. We relied on the exemption based on representations given by the holders of the common units. The remaining purchase price of approximately \$40.1 million was paid in cash.

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Our short-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds to pay for operating expenses and other expenditures directly associated with our buildings, including:

- interest expense and scheduled principal payments on outstanding indebtedness,
- funding of property acquisitions under contract,
- general and administrative expenses, and
- capital expenditures for tenant improvements and leasing commissions.

In addition, we require funds for future dividends and distributions to be paid to our common and preferred stockholders and common unit holders in our operating partnership. The table below sets forth the dividends and distributions that have been declared by our board of directors on our common stock and common units during the nine months ended September 30, 2013:

| Amount Declared During Quarter Ended 2013 | Declaration Date | Per Share | Date Paid |
|---|------------------|-----------|------------------|
| September 30 | August 2, 2013 | \$ 0.30 | October 15, 2013 |
| June 30 | May 6, 2013 | 0.30 | July 15, 2013 |
| March 31 | March 1, 2013 | 0.30 | April 15, 2013 |
| Total 2013 | | \$ 0.90 | |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

On August 15, 2013 our board of directors voted to increase the frequency of the payment of our common stock dividend from quarterly to monthly. On September 24, 2013 our board of directors declared dividends on our common stock for each month of the fourth quarter of \$0.10 per share, which equates to \$0.30 per share on a quarterly basis, payable on November 15, 2013, December 16, 2013 and January 15, 2014.

We pay quarterly cumulative dividends on the 9.0% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (the "Series A Preferred Stock") at a rate of 9.0% per annum of the \$25.00 liquidation preference per share (equivalent to the fixed annual rate of \$2.25 per share). The table below sets forth the dividends that have been declared by our board of directors on the Series A Preferred Stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2013:

| Amount Declared During Quarter Ended 2013 | Declaration Date | Per Share | Date Paid |
|---|------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| September 30 | August 2, 2013 | \$ 0.5625 | September 30, 2013 |
| June 30 | May 6, 2013 | 0.5625 | July 1, 2013 |
| March 31 | March 1, 2013 | 0.5625 | April 1, 2013 |
| Total 2013 | | \$ 1.6875 | |

We pay quarterly cumulative dividends on the Series B Preferred Stock at a rate of 6.625% per annum of the \$25.00 liquidation preference per share (equivalent to the fixed annual rate of \$1.66 per share). The table below sets forth the dividends that have been declared by our board of directors on the Series B Preferred Stock during the period April 16, 2013 to September 30, 2013:

| Amount Declared During Quarter Ended 2013 | Declaration Date | Per Share | Date Paid |
|--|------------------|--------------|--------------------|
| September 30 | August 2, 2013 | \$ 0.4140625 | September 30, 2013 |
| June 30 (prorated for April 16, 2013 to June 30, 2013) | May 6, 2013 | 0.3450500 | July 1, 2013 |
| Total 2013 | | \$ 0.7591125 | |

We believe that our liquidity needs will be satisfied through cash flows generated by operations and financing activities. Rental revenue, expense recoveries from tenants, and other income from operations are our principal sources of cash that we use to pay operating expenses, debt service, recurring capital expenditures and the minimum distributions required to maintain our REIT qualification. We seek to increase cash flows from our buildings by maintaining quality standards for our buildings that promote high occupancy rates and permit increases in rental rates while reducing tenant turnover and controlling operating expenses. We believe that our revenue, together with proceeds from building sales and debt financings, will continue to provide funds for our short-term liquidity needs.

Our long-term liquidity needs consist primarily of funds necessary to pay for acquisitions, non-recurring capital expenditures and scheduled debt maturities. We intend to satisfy our long-term liquidity needs through cash flow from operations, long-term secured and unsecured borrowings, the issuance of equity or debt securities, building dispositions, joint venture transactions, or in connection with acquisitions of additional buildings, the issuance of common units in the operating partnership.

Indebtedness Outstanding

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the indebtedness outstanding as of September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| Loan | Principal | Fixed/Floating | Rate(1) | Current Maturity |
|---|------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Sun Life(2) | \$ 3,884 | Fixed | 6.05% | Jun-1-2016 |
| Webster Bank(3) | 5,872 | Fixed | 4.22% | Aug-4-2016 |
| Bank of America unsecured credit facility | 20,000 | Variable | LIBOR + 1.65%(4) | Sept-10-2016 |
| Union Fidelity(5) | 6,639 | Fixed | 5.81% | Apr-30-2017 |
| Webster Bank(6) | 3,141 | Fixed | 3.66% | May-29-2017 |
| Webster Bank(7) | 3,383 | Fixed | 3.64% | May-31-2017 |
| Bank of America unsecured term loan(8) | 150,000 | Variable | LIBOR + 1.65% | Sept-10-2017 |
| CIGNA-1 facility(9) | 59,071 | Fixed | 6.50% | Feb-1-2018 |
| CIGNA-2 facility(10) | 60,213 | Fixed | 5.75% | Feb-1-2018 |
| CIGNA-3 facility(11) | 16,935 | Fixed | 5.88% | Oct-1-2019 |
| Wells Fargo unsecured term loan(12) | 100,000 | Variable | LIBOR + 2.15% | Feb-14-2020 |
| Wells Fargo CMBS Loan(13) | 67,548 | Fixed | 4.31% | Dec-1-2022 |
| | \$ 496,686 | | 3.98%(14) | |

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

- (1) Current interest rate as of September 30, 2013. At September 30, 2013, the one-month LIBOR rate was 0.17885%.
- (2) This \$4.1 million loan with Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.) (Sun Life) was assumed on October 14, 2011 in connection with the acquisition of the building located in Gahanna, OH. The property is collateral for this loan. Principal outstanding under this loan includes an unamortized fair market value premium of \$0.2 million as of September 30, 2013, which is not included in the calculation of the weighted average interest rate.
- (3) This \$6.2 million loan with Webster Bank, National Association (Webster Bank) was entered into on August 4, 2011 in connection with the acquisition of the building located in Norton, MA. The property is collateral for this loan.
- (4) The spread over LIBOR for the Bank of America, N.A. (Bank of America) unsecured credit facility is based on our consolidated leverage ratio and ranged between 1.65% and 2.25%. The spread was 1.65% as of September 30, 2013. The borrowing capacity as of September 30, 2013 was \$180 million, assuming current leverage levels.
- (5) This \$7.2 million loan with Union Fidelity Life Insurance Co. (Union Fidelity) was assumed on July 28, 2011 in connection with the acquisition of the St. Louis, MO building. The property is collateral for this loan. The principal outstanding includes an unamortized fair market value premium of \$0.1 million as of September 30, 2013, which is not included in the calculation of the weighted average interest rate.
- (6) This \$3.25 million loan with Webster Bank was entered into on May 29, 2012 in connection with the acquisition of the building located in Portland, ME. The property is collateral for this loan.
- (7) This \$3.5 million loan with Webster Bank was entered into on May 31, 2012 in connection with the acquisition of a building located in East Windsor, CT. The property is collateral for this loan.
- (8) The Bank of America unsecured term loan (Bank of America unsecured term loan) was entered into on September 10, 2012. The spread over LIBOR is based on our consolidated leverage ratio and ranged between 1.65% and 2.25%. The spread was 1.65% as of September 30, 2013. We swapped LIBOR for a fixed rate for \$100.0 million of the \$150.0 million capacity on the Bank of America unsecured term loan. The swaps were effective beginning on October 10, 2012. For further details refer to Interest Rate Risk below.
- (9) This acquisition loan facility with Connecticut General Life Insurance Company (CIGNA) was originally entered into in July 2010 (the CIGNA-1 facility), has various buildings serving as collateral and has no remaining borrowing capacity.

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

(10) This acquisition loan facility with CIGNA was originally entered into in October 2010 (the CIGNA-2 facility) has various buildings serving as collateral. As of September 30, 2013, we had approximately \$2.9 million of borrowing capacity under the CIGNA-2 facility, subject to customary terms and conditions, including underwriting.

(11) This acquisition loan facility with CIGNA was originally entered into in July 2011 (the CIGNA-3 facility) and has various buildings serving as collateral. As of September 30, 2013, we had approximately \$47.9 million of borrowing capacity under the CIGNA-3 facility, subject to customary terms and conditions, including underwriting.

(12) The Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Wells Fargo) unsecured term loan was entered into on February 14, 2013, with a borrowing capacity of up to \$150 million (the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan). The borrowing capacity as of September 30, 2013 was \$50.0 million, assuming current leverage levels, and can be drawn down by the Company through February 14, 2014. Borrowings under the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan bear interest at a floating rate equal to the one-month LIBOR plus a spread that ranges from 2.15% and 2.70%, based on our consolidated leverage ratio. The spread was 2.15% and the borrowing capacity was \$50 million as of September 30, 2013, assuming current leverage levels. We swapped LIBOR for a fixed rate for \$125.0 million of the \$150.0 million capacity on the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan. For further details refer to Interest Rate Risk below.

(13) This \$68.8 million loan with Wells Fargo was entered into on November 8, 2012 (CMBS Loan) and is a non-recourse loan with 28 buildings serving as collateral.

(14) The weighted average interest rate was calculated using the swapped rate for the \$200 million of the \$250 million outstanding on the Bank of America unsecured term loan and the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan (collectively, the unsecured term loans).

We regularly pursue new financing opportunities to ensure an appropriate balance sheet position. As a result of these dedicated efforts, we are confident in our ability to meet future debt maturities and building acquisition funding needs. We believe that our current balance sheet is in an adequate position at the date of this filing, despite possible volatility in the credit markets.

Table of Contents

The CIGNA-1 facility, CIGNA-2 facility and CIGNA-3 facility contain provisions that cross-default the loans and cross-collateralize the 21 properties held as collateral under each loan. In addition, each of the CIGNA-1 facility, CIGNA-2 facility and CIGNA-3 facility require a 62.5% loan to value (including all acquisition costs) and a debt service coverage ratio of 1.5x, each measured at acquisition, but not as continuing covenants.

The CMBS Loan agreement is a commercial mortgage-backed security that provides for a secured loan. There are 28 properties located in eight states that are collateral for the CMBS Loan. Wells Fargo had the right to securitize any portion or all of the CMBS Loan in a single asset securitization or a pooled loan securitization, which it completed on December 19, 2012. The operating partnership guarantees the obligations under the CMBS loan.

Our debt is subject to certain financial and other covenants. As of September 30, 2013, we were in compliance with the financial covenants in our loan agreements.

Unsecured Credit Facility and Unsecured Term Loans

Unsecured Credit Facility and Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan: On September 10, 2012, we closed a credit agreement (credit agreement) for an unsecured corporate revolving credit facility with Bank of America as administrative agent and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated as lead arranger (unsecured credit facility). The unsecured credit facility provides for a senior unsecured revolving credit facility of up to \$200.0 million, with a sublimit of \$10.0 million for swing line loans and \$10.0 million for letters of credit. Additionally, the unsecured credit facility has an accordion feature that allows us to request an increase in its borrowing capacity to \$300.0 million, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. The unsecured credit facility will mature on September 10, 2016, subject to a one-year extension option which we may exercise at our election, pursuant to certain terms and conditions, including the payment of an extension fee, contained in the credit agreement. Other proceeds from the unsecured credit facility have been and will be used for building acquisitions, working capital requirements and other general corporate purposes. We currently do not intend to use this facility to repay our existing debt obligations upon maturity. The credit agreement also provides for the \$150.0 million, five-year Bank of America unsecured term loan (Bank of America unsecured term loan) with a maturity date of September 10, 2017.

The amount available for us to borrow under the unsecured credit facility is based on (a) the lesser of (i) 60.0% of the Borrowing Base Values (as defined in the credit agreement) of our buildings that form the borrowing base for the facility, and (ii) the amount that would create a debt service coverage ratio of not less than 1.6 based on a 30-year amortization period, less (b) any other unsecured indebtedness (as defined in the credit agreement) then outstanding.

Amounts outstanding under the unsecured credit facility and the Bank of America unsecured term loan bear interest at a floating rate equal to, at our election, the one-month Eurodollar Rate or the Base Rate (each as defined in the credit agreement) plus a spread, that depends upon our consolidated leverage ratio. At September 30, 2013, the spread on the unsecured credit facility and Bank of America unsecured term loan was 1.65% and the floating rate interest on \$100.0 million of the \$150.0 million unsecured term loan was fixed utilizing seven interest rate swaps with rates ranging from 0.727% to 0.797%. These swaps were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk (See Interest Rate Risk below for further details). On October 7, 2013, the credit agreement was amended to reduce the spreads on the one-month Eurodollar Rate and the Base Rate and to reduce the unused fee rates. The spread still depends on our consolidated leverage ratio but now, for borrowing under the unsecured credit facility, ranges from 1.45% to 2.05% for one-month Eurodollar Rate based borrowings and from 0.45% to 1.05% for Base Rate based borrowings and, for borrowing under the unsecured term loan, ranges from 1.40% to 2.00% for Eurodollar Rate based borrowings and from 0.40% to 1.00% for Base Rate based borrowings. In addition, the unused fee is now 0.25% of the unused balance of the unsecured credit

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

facility if usage is less than 50% of the capacity and 0.20% if usage is greater than or equal to 50%. We also will pay certain other customary fees and expense reimbursements.

Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan: On February 14, 2013, we entered into a seven-year term loan agreement (*loan agreement*) with Wells Fargo Bank and certain other lenders. The loan agreement provides for the Wells Fargo unsecured loan in the original principal amount of up to \$150 million (*Wells Fargo unsecured term loan*, together with the Bank of America unsecured loan, the *unsecured term loans*). Additionally, the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan has a feature that allows us to request an increase in total commitments of up to \$250 million, subject to certain conditions. Unless otherwise terminated pursuant to the terms of the loan agreement, the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan will mature on February 14, 2020.

The amount available for us to borrow under the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan is based on (a) the lesser of (i) 60% of the Borrowing Base Values (as defined in the loan agreement) of our buildings that form the borrowing base of the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan, and (ii) the amount that would create a debt service coverage ratio of not less than 1.6 based on a 30-year amortization period, less (b) any other unsecured indebtedness (as defined in the loan agreement) then outstanding.

Borrowings under the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan bear interest at a floating rate equal to, at our election, the Eurodollar Rate or the Base Rate (each as defined in the loan agreement) plus a spread. The spread depends upon our consolidated leverage ratio and ranges from 2.15% to 2.70% for Eurodollar Rate based borrowings and from 1.15% to 1.70% for Base Rate based borrowings.

Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2013, the spread on the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan was 2.15% and the floating rate interest on the entire outstanding principal balance was fixed utilizing three interest rate swaps with rates from 1.33% to 1.703%. These swaps were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk (See Interest Rate Risk below for further details). We also pay customary fees and expense reimbursements, including an unused fee equal to 0.35% of the unused portion of the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan, which is paid monthly in arrears. The Wells Fargo unsecured term loan has the following prepayment fees:

| If prepayment occurs: | Prepayment Fee: |
|---|---|
| On or before the first anniversary of the closing date | 3% of the principal amount of loan prepaid. |
| After the first anniversary of the closing date but on or before the second anniversary of the closing date | 2% of the principal amount of loan prepaid. |
| After the second anniversary of the closing date but on or before the third anniversary of the closing date | 1% of the principal amount of loan prepaid. |
| Thereafter | 0 |

Financial Covenants: Our ability to borrow under the unsecured credit facility and the unsecured term loans is subject to our ongoing compliance with a number of customary financial covenants, including:

- a maximum consolidated leverage ratio of not greater than 0.60:1.00;
- a maximum secured leverage ratio of not greater than 0.45:1.00;
- a maximum unencumbered leverage ratio of not greater than 0.60:1.00;
- a maximum secured recourse debt ratio of not greater than 7.5%;
- a minimum fixed charge ratio of not less than 1.50 to 1.00;
- a minimum tangible net worth covenant test; and
- various thresholds on company level investments.

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

If a default or event of default occurs and is continuing, we may be precluded from paying certain distributions (other than those required to allow us to qualify and maintain our status as a REIT) under the terms of the unsecured credit facility and unsecured term loans.

Events of Default: The credit agreement and the loan agreement contain customary events of default, including but not limited to non-payment of principal, interest, fees or other amounts, defaults in the compliance with the covenants contained in the documents evidencing the unsecured credit facility and the unsecured term loans, cross- defaults to other material debt and bankruptcy or other insolvency events.

The company and certain of our subsidiaries guarantee the obligations under the credit agreement and the loan agreement.

Contractual Obligations

The following table reflects our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2013, specifically our obligations under long-term debt agreements and ground lease agreements (dollars in thousands):

| Contractual Obligations(1)(2) | Total | Payments by Period | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| | | Remaining 2013 | 2014 - 2015 | 2016 - 2017 | Thereafter |
| Principal payments(3) | \$ 496,371 | \$ 1,069 | \$ 9,135 | \$ 198,783 | \$ 287,384 |
| Interest payments (4)(5) | 99,652 | 4,629 | 37,313 | 34,691 | 23,019 |
| Operating lease and ground leases(4) | 5,418 | 41 | 330 | 337 | 4,710 |
| Other(4) | 563 | 38 | 300 | 225 | |
| Total | \$ 602,004 | \$ 5,777 | \$ 47,078 | \$ 234,036 | \$ 315,113 |

(1) From time-to-time in the normal course of our business, we enter into various contracts with third parties that may obligate us to make payments, such as maintenance agreements at our buildings. Such contracts, in the aggregate, do not represent material obligations, are typically short-term and cancellable within 90 days and are not included in the table above.

(2) The terms of the loan agreements for each of the CIGNA-1 facility, CIGNA-2 facility and CIGNA-3 facility also stipulate that general reserve escrows be funded monthly in an amount equal to eight basis points of the principal of the loans outstanding at the time. Additionally, the CMBS Loan calls for a monthly leasing escrow payment of approximately \$0.1 million and the balance of the reserve is capped at \$2.1 million. The funding of these reserves is not included in the table above.

Table of Contents

(3) The total payments do not include approximately \$0.3 million of unamortized fair market value premium associated with two loans assumed (the Sun Life loan and the Union Fidelity loan).

(4) Not included in our Consolidated Balance Sheets included in this report.

(5) Amounts include interest rate payments on the \$200.0 million of the \$250.0 million unsecured term loans that have been swapped to a fixed rate.

Interest Rate Risk

ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*, requires us to recognize all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. Derivatives that are not designated as hedges must be adjusted to fair value and the changes in fair value must be reflected as income or expense. If the derivative is designated as a hedge, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair value of derivatives are either offset against the change in fair value of the hedged assets, liabilities, or firm commitments through earnings or recognized in other comprehensive loss, which is a component of equity. The ineffective portion of a derivative's change in fair value is immediately recognized in earnings.

On September 14, 2012, we commenced a program of utilizing designated derivatives to hedge the variable cash flows associated with a portion of the Bank of America unsecured term loan. We entered into seven interest rate swap agreements for notional amounts varying from \$10.0 million to \$25.0 million with a total notional amount of \$100.0 million with an effective date of October 10, 2012. The swaps convert the one-month LIBOR rate on \$100 million of the \$150 million Bank of America unsecured term loan due on September 10, 2017, from a variable rate of one-month LIBOR plus a spread of 1.65% to 2.25% based on our consolidated leverage ratio to a fixed rate ranging from 0.727% and 0.7975% plus a spread of 1.65% to 2.25% based on our consolidated leverage ratio. As of September 30, 2013, the spread on the Bank of America unsecured term loan was 1.65%.

On March 1, 2013, we entered into an additional interest rate swap agreement for notional amount of \$25.0 million with an effective date of March 1, 2013 that converts the one-month LIBOR rate on the then \$25.0 million outstanding balance of the \$150.0 million Wells Fargo unsecured term loan. On June 13, 2013, we entered into an additional two interest rate swap agreements for notional amounts of \$50.0 million and \$25.0 million with effective dates of July 1, 2013 and August 1, 2013. On September 30, 2013, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement for a notional amount of \$25.0 million with an effective date of February 3, 2014 that will fix the one-month LIBOR rate on a future draw on the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan. The swaps convert the one-month LIBOR rate from a variable rate of one-month LIBOR plus a spread of 2.15% to 2.70% based on the Company's consolidated leverage ratio to fixed rates ranging from 1.33% to 1.9925% plus a spread of 2.15% to 2.70% based on the Company's consolidated leverage ratio. These swaps were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk. As of September 30, 2013, the spread on the Wells Fargo unsecured term loan was 2.15%.

As of September 30, 2013, the fair values of ten of 11 of our interest rate swaps were in an asset position of \$2.3 million and one interest rate swap was in a liability position of \$0.1 million. As of December 31, 2012, the swaps were in a liability position of \$0.5 million. The increase in value was due to rising interest rates in addition to the four aforementioned swaps that were entered into during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

As of September 30, 2013, we had \$270.0 million of debt with interest at a variable rate. Of the \$270.0 million of variable rate debt, interest on \$200.0 million of the \$250.0 million unsecured term loans has been fixed with swaps as discussed above. The remaining \$70.0 million of variable rate debt is related to the Bank of America unsecured term loan and Bank of America unsecured credit facility, which were both priced at one-month LIBOR plus 1.65% as of September 30, 2013. To the extent interest rates continue to increase, interest costs on our variable rate debt also will increase, which could adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to pay principal and interest on our debt and our ability to make distributions to our security holders. From time to time, we may enter into interest rate swap agreements and other interest rate hedging contracts, including swaps, caps and floors. In addition, an increase in interest rates could decrease the amounts third-parties are willing to pay for our assets, thereby limiting our ability to change our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions.

Inflation

The majority of our leases is either triple net or provide for tenant reimbursement for costs related to real estate taxes and operating expenses. In addition, most of the leases provide for fixed rent increases. We believe that inflationary increases may be partially offset by the contractual rent increases and tenant payment of taxes and expenses described above. We do not believe that inflation has had a material impact on our historical financial position or results of operations.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

In this report, we disclose and discuss funds from operations (FFO) and net operating income (NOI), which meet the definition of non-GAAP financial measure as set forth in Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K promulgated by the SEC. As a result we are

Table of Contents

required to include in this report a statement of why management believes that presentation of these measures provide useful information to investors.

Funds From Operations

FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income (determined in accordance with GAAP) as an indication of our performance, and we believe that to understand our performance further, FFO should be compared with our reported net income or net loss in accordance with GAAP, as presented in our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this report.

We calculate FFO in accordance with the standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT). FFO represents net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable operating buildings, impairment write-downs of depreciable real estate, real estate related depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of deferred financing costs and fair market value of debt adjustment) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

Management uses FFO as a supplemental performance measure because, in excluding real estate related depreciation and amortization and gains and losses from building dispositions, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, captures trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating costs. We also believe that, as a widely recognized measure of the performance of REITs, FFO will be used by investors as a basis to compare our operating performance with that of other REITs.

However, because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization and captures neither the changes in the value of our buildings that result from use or market conditions nor the level of capital expenditures and leasing commissions necessary maintain the operating performance of our buildings, all of which have real economic effects and could materially impact our results from operations, the utility of FFO as a measure of our performance is limited. Other equity REITs may not calculate FFO in accordance with the NAREIT definition as we do, and, accordingly, our FFO may not be comparable to such other REITs FFO. FFO should not be used as a measure of our liquidity, and is not indicative of funds available for our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of our FFO attributable to common stockholders and unit holders for the periods presented to net loss, the nearest GAAP equivalent (in thousands):

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 294 | \$ (4,916) | \$ (308) | \$ (7,805) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 17,463 | 10,354 | 49,508 | 28,486 |
| Loss on impairment | | 3,941 | | 4,563 |
| Gain on sales of real estate | | | (464) | (219) |
| FFO | \$ 17,757 | \$ 9,379 | \$ 48,736 | \$ 25,025 |
| Preferred stock dividends | (2,712) | (1,553) | (6,783) | (4,659) |
| Amount allocated to unvested restricted stockholders | (64) | (41) | (197) | (81) |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|
| FFO attributable to common stockholders and unit holders | \$ | 14,981 | \$ | 7,785 | \$ | 41,756 | \$ | 20,285 |
|---|-----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|

Net Operating Income

We consider NOI to be an appropriate supplemental performance measure to net income because we believe it helps investors and management understand the core operations of our buildings. NOI is defined as rental revenue, including reimbursements, less property expenses and real estate taxes and insurance, which excludes depreciation, amortization, general and administrative expenses, interest expense, interest income, gain on interest rate swaps, asset management fee income, property acquisition costs, and other expenses. NOI should not be viewed as an alternative measure of our financial performance since it excludes expenses which could materially impact our results of operations. Further, our NOI may not be comparable to that of other real estate companies, as they may use different methodologies for calculating NOI.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of our NOI for the periods presented to net loss, the nearest GAAP equivalent (in thousands):

Table of Contents

| | Three months ended September 30, | | Nine months ended September 30, | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 294 | \$ (4,916) | \$ (308) | \$ (7,805) |
| Asset management fee income | (192) | (303) | (707) | (924) |
| General and administrative | 4,376 | 3,656 | 13,358 | 9,962 |
| Property acquisition costs | 986 | 1,067 | 2,831 | 2,509 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 17,463 | 10,354 | 49,508 | 28,486 |
| Interest income | (3) | (9) | (9) | (17) |
| Interest expense | 5,370 | 3,578 | 14,866 | 11,934 |
| Gain on interest rate swaps | | | | (215) |
| Offering costs | | | 27 | 68 |
| Loss on impairment | | 3,941 | | 4,563 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | | 947 | | 929 |
| Other expenses | 89 | 86 | 336 | 145 |
| Gain on sales of real estate | | | (464) | (219) |
| Net Operating Income (1) | \$ 28,383 | \$ 18,401 | \$ 79,438 | \$ 49,416 |

(1) Includes the results of discontinued operations. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, excluding the results of discontinued operations, NOI was approximately \$28.4 million, \$79.2 million, \$17.9 million and \$48.3 million, respectively.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments are dependent upon prevailing market interest rates. Market risk refers to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. The primary market risk we are exposed to is interest rate risk. We have used derivative financial instruments to manage, or hedge, interest rate risks related to our borrowings, primarily through interest rate swaps.

As of September 30, 2013, we had \$20 million outstanding under the unsecured credit facility and \$250 million of borrowings outstanding under the unsecured term loans bearing interest at a variable rate. Of the \$250 million outstanding on the unsecured term loans, \$200 million is subject to interest rate swaps. The remaining \$50 million is related to the Bank of America unsecured term loan, which was priced at one-month LIBOR plus 1.65% as of September 30, 2013. To the extent we undertake variable rate indebtedness, if interest rates increase, then so will the interest costs on our unhedged variable rate debt, which could adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to pay principal and interest on our debt and our ability to make distributions to our security holders. Further, rising interest rates could limit our ability to refinance existing debt when it matures or significantly increase our future interest expense. From time to time, we enter into interest rate swap agreements and other interest rate hedging contracts, including swaps, caps and floors. While these agreements are intended to lessen the impact of rising interest rates on us, they also expose us to the risk that the other parties to the agreements will not perform, we could incur significant costs associated with the settlement of the agreements, the agreements will be unenforceable and the underlying transactions will fail to qualify as highly-effective cash flow hedges under guidance included in ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*. In addition, an increase in interest rates could decrease the amounts third-parties are willing to pay for our assets, thereby limiting our ability to change our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions. If interest rates increased by 100 basis points and assuming we had an outstanding balance of \$20 million on the unsecured credit facility and \$50 million on the unsecured term loans (the portion of outstanding amounts at September 30, 2013 not fixed by interest rate swaps) for the entire nine months ended September 30, 2013, our interest expense would have increased by \$0.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As required by SEC Rule 13a-15(b), we have evaluated, under the supervision of and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act, as of September 30, 2013. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures for the periods covered by this report were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by our company in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Controls

There was no change to our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2013 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we are a party to various lawsuits, claims and other legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of our business. We are not currently a party, as plaintiff or defendant, to any legal proceedings which, individually or in the aggregate,

Table of Contents

would be expected to have a material effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations if determined adversely to our company.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes from the risk factors disclosed in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the SEC on March 6, 2013 and the subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

As of the quarter ended September 30, 2013, all items required to be disclosed under Form 8-K were reported under Form 8-K.

As previously disclosed, on August 2, 2013, GI STAG Investco, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and the controlling member of one of the contributors in our formation transactions ("GISI"), waived its rights under that certain voting agreement, dated April 20, 2011 (the "Voting Agreement"), among us, GISI and other contributors in our formation transactions (collectively, the "Contributors"). In addition, as previously disclosed, on August 2, 2013, we and GISI executed an agreement to terminate the Voting Agreement (the "Termination Agreement") formally. The Termination Agreement provides that no party will have any further rights or obligations under the Voting Agreement, effective as of August 2, 2013. The Contributors have confirmed the termination of the Voting Agreement by executing the Termination Agreement.

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The Termination Agreement is filed as Exhibit 10.1 hereto and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 6. Exhibits

| Exhibit Number | Description of Document |
|-------------------|--|
| 10.1* | Voting Agreement Termination Agreement, by and among STAG Industrial, Inc., STAG Industrial Operating Partnership, L.P. and the persons named therein |
| 10.2 | Second Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of October 7, 2013, by and among STAG Industrial Operating Partnership, LP, STAG Industrial, Inc., Bank of America, N.A. and the other lenders party thereto (1) |
| 31.1 * | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 * | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1 * | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 101 * | The following materials from STAG Industrial, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (vi) related notes to Consolidated Financial Statements |

* Filed herewith.

(1) Incorporated by reference to STAG Industrial, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 10, 2013

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

STAG INDUSTRIAL, INC.

Date: November 6, 2013

BY: /s/ GREGORY W. SULLIVAN
Gregory W. Sullivan
Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President and Treasurer
(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

Exhibit Index

| Exhibit Number | Description of Document |
|---------------------------|--|
| 10.1* | Voting Agreement Termination Agreement, by and among STAG Industrial, Inc., STAG Industrial Operating Partnership, L.P. and the persons named therein |
| 10.2 | Second Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of October 7, 2013, by and among STAG Industrial Operating Partnership, LP, STAG Industrial, Inc., Bank of America, N.A. and the other lenders party thereto (1) |
| 31.1 * | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 * | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1 * | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 101 * | The following materials from STAG Industrial, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (vi) related notes to Consolidated Financial Statements |

* Filed herewith.

(1) Incorporated by reference to STAG Industrial, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 10, 2013