

FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC

Form 10-K

August 10, 2012

[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-K**

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File No. 000-53869

**FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC.**

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

**Pennsylvania**  
(State or Other Jurisdiction  
of Incorporation or Organization)

**23-2900790**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**102 E. Drinker St., Dunmore, PA**  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

**18512**  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code **(570) 346-7667**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: **NONE**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

**Common Stock, \$1.25 par value**

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES  NO

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one)

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Large Accelerated Filer

Accelerated Filer

Non-Accelerated Filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common stock of the registrant, held by non-affiliates was \$38,465,949 at June 30, 2011

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE REGISTRANTS

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 16,442,119 shares of common stock as of August 6, 2012

---

Table of Contents

Contents

<b><u>PART I</u></b>	<b>3</b>
<b><u>Item 1. Business</u></b>	<b>3</b>
<b><u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u></b>	<b>16</b>
<b><u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u></b>	<b>22</b>
<b><u>Item 2. Properties</u></b>	<b>23</b>
<b><u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u></b>	<b>26</b>
<b><u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u></b>	<b>26</b>
<b><u>PART II</u></b>	<b>26</b>
<b><u>Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u></b>	<b>26</b>
<b><u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u></b>	<b>28</b>
<b><u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u></b>	<b>29</b>
<b><u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u></b>	<b>60</b>
<b><u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u></b>	<b>64</b>
<b><u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u></b>	<b>118</b>
<b><u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u></b>	<b>119</b>
<b><u>Item 9B. Other Information</u></b>	<b>121</b>
<b><u>PART III</u></b>	<b>121</b>
<b><u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u></b>	<b>121</b>
<b><u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u></b>	<b>125</b>
<b><u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u></b>	<b>136</b>
<b><u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u></b>	<b>139</b>
<b><u>Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u></b>	<b>141</b>
<b><u>PART IV</u></b>	<b>141</b>
<b><u>Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u></b>	<b>141</b>

Table of Contents

**PART 1**

**Item 1. Business**

Unless the context otherwise requires, we use the terms *Company*, *we*, *us*, and *our* to refer to First National Community Bancorp, Inc. and its subsidiaries. In certain circumstances, however, First National Community Bancorp, Inc. uses the term *Company* to refer to itself.

**Overview**

*The Company*

The Company is a Pennsylvania corporation, incorporated in 1997 and is registered as a bank holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act ( *BHCA* ) of 1956, as amended. The Company became an active bank holding company on July 1, 1998 when it acquired ownership of First National Community Bank (the *Bank* ). The Bank is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company.

The Company's primary activity consists of owning and operating the Bank, which provides customary retail and commercial banking services to individuals and businesses. The Bank provides practically all of the Company's earnings as a result of its banking services.

*The Bank*

The Bank was established as a national banking association in 1910 as The First National Bank of Dunmore. The Bank changed its name to First National Community Bank effective March 1, 1988. The Bank's operations are conducted from 21 branches located in Lackawanna, Luzerne, Wayne, and Monroe Counties, Pennsylvania.

The Bank offers many traditional banking services to its customers which are further detailed below.

As a result of criticism received from banking regulators in connection with their examination process during 2010, the Company took steps to remediate and improve its lending policies and its credit administration function. The Company has also been advised by its regulators that it must increase its regulatory capital.

*Retail Banking*

The Bank provides many retail banking services and products to individuals and businesses including Image Checking and E-Statement. Deposit products include various checking, savings and certificate of deposit products, as well as a variety of preferred products for higher balance customers. The Bank also participates in the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry program, which allows customers to secure Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ( FDIC ) insurance on balances in excess of the standard limitations.

The Bank also offers customers the convenience of 24-hour banking, seven days a week, through FNCB Online and its Bill Payment service via the Internet and its automated teller machine ( ATM ) network. The Bank has ATMs in all 21 branch offices and 10 other locations.

Telephone Banking (Account Link), Loan by Phone, and Mortgage Link services are available to customers. These services provide consumers the ability to access account information, perform related account transfers, and apply for a loan through the use of a touch tone telephone. The Bank offers overdraft Bounce Protection which provides consumers with an added level of protection against unanticipated cash flow emergencies and account reconciliation errors.

FNCB Business Online is a menu driven product that allows the Bank's business customers direct access to their account information and the ability to perform internal and external transfers and process Direct Deposit payroll transactions for employees, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, from their place of business.

*Lending Activities*

The Bank offers a full range of products to individuals and businesses generally within its market area. The Bank offers a variety of loans, including residential real estate loans, construction, land acquisition and development loans, commercial real estate loans, commercial and industrial loans, loans to state and political subdivisions, and consumer loans.

Table of Contents

The Bank strives to mitigate risks in the event of unforeseen threats to the loan portfolio as a result of an economic downturn or other negative influences. Plans for mitigating inherent risks in managing loan assets include carefully enforcing loan policies and procedures, evaluating each borrower's industry and business plan during the underwriting process, identifying and monitoring primary and alternative sources for repayment and obtaining collateral that is margined to minimize loss in the event of liquidation. Management actively monitors the loan portfolio. As part of prudent credit risk management, the Bank identifies and manages concentration risk. Concentration risk may exist with one borrower, an affiliated group of borrowers, borrowers engaged in or dependent on an industry, or other borrower characteristics which create a concentration. Concentrations of credit are not necessarily undesirable and may occur as a result of market characteristics, or the existence of a particular Bank program, or expertise with respect to the type of particular Bank program or expertise with respect to the type of customer being served. These pools of loans having similar characteristics are analyzed by management while considering the Bank's portfolio objectives and risk tolerances. Concentration limits are established based upon an assessment of size and risk.

*Residential Mortgage Loans*

The Bank offers fixed and variable rate one-to-four-family residential loans. Residential first lien mortgages are generally subject to an 80% loan to value ratio based on the appraised value of the property. The Bank will generally require the mortgagee to purchase Private Mortgage Insurance ( PMI ) if the amount of the loan exceeds the 80% loan to value ratio. The interest rates for the variable rate loans are adjusted to a percentage above the one year treasury rate. The Bank may sell loans and retain servicing when warranted by market conditions. The Bank also offers a rate lock product that allows the borrower to lock in their interest rate at the time of application as well as at the time of commitment. Residential mortgage loans are generally smaller in size and have homogeneous characteristics. During 2011 and 2010, the volume of customers who exercised the option to lock the rate was minimal. At December 31, 2011, one-to-four family residential mortgage loans totaled \$80.1 million, or 11.8%, of our total loan portfolio.

*Construction, Land Acquisition and Development Loans*

The Bank offers interim construction financing secured by residential property for the purpose of constructing one-to-four family homes. The Bank also offers interim construction financing for the purpose of constructing residential developments and various commercial properties including shopping centers, office complexes and single purpose owner occupied structures and for land acquisition. At December 31, 2011, construction, land acquisition and development loans of \$33.5 million represented 4.9% of the total loan portfolio. The Bank's construction program offers either short-term interest only loans that require the borrower to pay interest only during the construction phase with a balloon payment of the principal outstanding at the end of the construction period, or interest-only during construction with a conversion to amortizing principal and interest when the construction is complete. Loans for undeveloped real estate are subject to a loan-to-value ratio not to exceed 65%. Construction loans are treated similarly to the developed real estate loans and are generally subject to an 80% loan to value ratio based upon an as-completed appraised value.

Construction loans generally yield a higher interest rate than residential mortgage loans but also carry more risk. If a construction loan defaults, the Bank would have to take control of the property, obtain title to it and categorize it as Other Real Estate Owned ( OREO ). In such case the Bank would either need to find another contractor to complete the project if possible, which may be at a higher cost, or seek to sell the property.

Although the Bank believes its initial loan underwriting was sound, the Commercial Loan portfolio, and in particular, the commercial real estate, construction, land acquisition and development segments, were negatively impacted during 2009 and 2010 as a result of the recession. Both the national and local economies experienced a prolonged severe economic downturn, with rising unemployment levels and erosion in consumer confidence. These factors contributed to a number of loan defaults. Additionally, the related softening of the real estate market resulted in a

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

decline in the value of the real estate securing the loans in this portfolio. In particular, loans for land development and subdivisions were substantially impacted and create greater risk of collectability than other types of commercial mortgage loans.

### *Commercial Real Estate Loans*

At December 31, 2011, commercial real estate loans totaled \$256.5 million, or 37.7%, of the Bank's total loan portfolio. Commercial real estate mortgage loans represent the largest portion of the Bank's total loan portfolio and loans in this portfolio generally have larger loan balances.

The commercial real estate loan portfolio is secured by a broad range of real estate, including but not limited to, office complexes, shopping centers, hotels, warehouses, gas stations, convenience markets, residential care facilities, nursing care facilities, restaurants, multifamily housing, farms and land subdivisions. The Bank's commercial real estate portfolio consists of owner occupied properties and non-owner occupied properties and includes the personal guarantees of the principals when deemed necessary. Owner occupied properties of \$123.7 million represents 48.2% of the commercial real estate mortgage loan portfolio, and non-owner occupied properties of \$122.8 million represents 47.9% of the commercial real estate mortgage loan portfolio. The remaining \$10.0 million, or 3.9%, of the commercial real estate portfolio is comprised of loans secured by multifamily properties and farm land.



Table of Contents

The Bank offers various rates and terms for commercial loans secured by real estate. The interest rates associated with these types of loans are primarily underwritten as adjustable rate loans that adjust every three or five years or floating rate loans that adjust to a spread over the National Prime Rate ( NPR ) index. Loan pricing for most floating rate commercial loans generally has a minimum interest rate. The terms for commercial real estate loans typically do not exceed 20 years.

Commercial real estate loans are originated under a comprehensive lending policy. In particular, these types of loans are subject to specific loan to value guidelines prior to the time of closing. The policy limits for developed real estate loans are subject to a maximum loan-to-value ratio of 80%. Commercial loans must also meet specific criteria that include the capacity, capital, credit worthiness and cash flow of the borrower and the project being financed. In order to make a decision on whether or not to make a commercial loan, the borrower(s) and guarantor(s) must provide the Bank with historical and current financial data. The Bank performs a review of the cash flow analysis of the borrower(s), guarantor(s) and the project. The Bank also considers the borrower's expertise, credit history, net worth and the value of the underlying property. The Bank generally requires that borrowers for loans secured by real estate have a debt service coverage ratio of at least 1.20 times.

*Commercial and Industrial Loans*

The Bank offers commercial loans to individuals and businesses located in our primary market area. The commercial loan portfolio includes lines of credit, dealer floor plan lines, equipment loans, vehicle loans, improvement loans and term loans. These loans are primarily secured by vehicles, machinery and equipment, inventory, accounts receivable, marketable securities, deposit accounts and real estate. At December 31, 2011, commercial and industrial loans totaled \$174.2 million, or 25.6%, of the Bank's total loan portfolio. With respect to industry concentrations in our commercial and industrial loans, loans to borrowers in the solid waste landfill industry totaled \$42.3 million, of which 96.0% is to related parties and is secured by cash.

The Bank offers various rates and terms for commercial loans. These loans also generally require the personal guarantee of the principals when deemed necessary. Most lines of credit are primarily issued for one year time periods and are renewable annually thereafter at the discretion of the Bank. Most other commercial loans range in terms from one year to seven years.

The interest rates associated with these types of loans are primarily underwritten as fixed rate loans based upon the term of the loan or floating rate loans that adjust to a spread over the NPR index. Loan pricing for most floating rate commercial loans generally have a minimum interest rate floor. The interest rate for most lines of credit is issued on a floating rate basis. Finally, loans secured by deposit accounts are primarily underwritten at a spread over the interest rate of the deposit instrument used as collateral for the loan.

*Consumer Loans*

Consumer loans include both secured and unsecured installment loans, personal lines of credit and overdraft protection loans. The Bank is also in the business of underwriting indirect auto loans which are originated through various auto dealers in northeastern Pennsylvania and dealer floor plan loans. At December 31, 2011, consumer indirect auto loans totaled \$63.7 million, or 9.4%, of the Bank's total loan portfolio. The Bank also offers VISA personal credit cards, although it does not underwrite these VISA personal credit cards and assumes no credit risk.

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

The Bank offers home equity loans and lines of credit with a maximum combined loan-to-value ratio of 90% based on the appraised value of the property. Home equity loans have fixed rates of interest and are for terms up to 15 years. Equity lines of credit have adjustable interest rates and are based upon the prime interest rate. Consumer loans are generally smaller in size and exhibit homogeneous characteristics. The Bank holds a first or second mortgage position on the homes that secure its home equity loans and lines of credit. At December 31, 2011, consumer installment home equity loans totaled \$48.1 million, or 7.1%, of the total loan portfolio.

### *State and Political Subdivision Loans*

The Bank originates loans to state and political subdivisions primarily to municipalities in the Bank's market area. At December 31, 2011, state and political subdivision loans totaled \$23.5 million, or 3.5%, of the Bank's loan portfolio.

### *Loan Originations, Sales, Purchases and Participations*

Loan originations generally are from the Bank's market area and are originated by the Bank; however, from time to time the Bank participates in loans originated by other banks that supplement its loan portfolio. As of December 31, 2011, the Bank participated in approximately 19 loans with a total outstanding balance of \$14.5 million and total commitments of \$30.3 million. Over the past seven years, the Bank participated in seven commercial real estate loans with a financial institution headquartered in Minneapolis, Minnesota and the majority of those participations related to loans for projects located outside of the Company's general market area. As of December 31, 2011, the Company had outstanding participations in two out-of-area loans secured by commercial real estate, one located in Florida and one in western Pennsylvania. The Florida loan is now held in OREO, and the Pennsylvania loan is classified as an accruing substandard loan. Two other such loans were paid in full prior to 2011. During 2011, two additional loans were paid off and one of the properties, which had been held in OREO, was sold. The Bank is not usually the lead lender in these participations but underwrites these loans using the same criteria it uses for market loans it originates. The Bank does not service the loans in these purchased participations and is subject to the policies and practices of the lead lender with regard to monitoring delinquencies, pursuing collections and instituting foreclosure proceedings.

Table of Contents

The Bank originates one-to-four family mortgage loans for sale in the secondary market. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Bank sold \$28.1 million of one-to-four family mortgages. The Bank retains servicing rights on these mortgages.

*Out of Area Lending Activity*

The Company attempts to limit its exposure to concentrations of credit risk by diversifying its loan portfolio and closely monitoring any concentrations of credit risk. As of December 31, 2011, as referenced above, the commercial real estate portfolio included \$42.1 million, or 6.2%, of total loans secured by real estate located outside Pennsylvania, including loan participations previously noted. Geographic concentrations exist because the Company provides a full range of banking services, including commercial, consumer, and mortgage loans to individuals and corporate customers in its market areas in Pennsylvania. Management believes that its current underwriting guidelines and ongoing review by loan review mitigates risk of geographic concentrations.

*Asset Management*

Asset management services are available at the Bank. Customers are able to access alternative products such as mutual funds, annuities, stocks, and bonds directly for purchase from an outside provider.

*Deposit Activities*

In general, deposits, borrowings and loan repayments are the major sources of our funds for lending and other investment purposes. The Bank grows its deposits within our market area primarily by offering a wide selection of deposit accounts. Deposit account terms vary according to the minimum balance required, the time periods the funds must remain on deposit and the interest rate, among other factors. In determining the terms of our deposit accounts, the Bank considers the interest rates offered by the competition, the interest rates available on borrowings, its liquidity needs and customer preferences. The Bank regularly reviews its deposit mix and deposit pricing. The Bank's deposit pricing strategy generally has been to remain competitive in its market area, and to offer special rates in order to attract deposits of a specific type or term to satisfy the Bank's asset and liability requirements.

**Competition**

The Bank faces substantial competition in originating loans and in attracting deposits. The competition comes principally from other banks, savings institutions, credit unions, mortgage banking companies and, with respect to deposits, institutions offering investment alternatives, including money market funds. As a result of consolidation in the banking industry, some of the Bank's competitors and their respective affiliates may enjoy advantages such as greater financial resources, a wider geographic presence, a wider array of services, or more favorable pricing alternatives and lower origination and operating costs.

## **Supervision and Regulation**

We participate in a highly regulated industry and are subject to a variety of statutes, regulations and policies, as well as ongoing regulatory supervision and review. These laws, regulations and policies are subject to frequent change and we take measures to comply with applicable requirements.

## **Supervisory Actions**

In 2010, the Company and the Bank entered into regulatory agreements with their respective federal regulators. Set forth below is a summary description of the material terms of the regulatory agreements. The Company and the Bank have made significant efforts to comply with each of the provisions of the Order and Agreement. Based on their discussions with the OCC and the Reserve Bank and their efforts to date, the Company and the Bank believe they have achieved full compliance, and made substantial progress towards compliance, with certain of the requirements of the Consent Order dated September 1, 2010 (the Order) issued by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the OCC) and the Written Agreement (the Agreement) with the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia (the Reserve Bank), but, as of the date of this report, neither the Company nor the Bank is yet in full compliance with all of the requirements. Furthermore, there can be no assurance that the OCC or the FRB will deem their actions to be adequate, that further compliance actions will not be required, or that they will be able to satisfy all of the requirements.

Table of Contents

*OCC Consent Order.* The Bank, pursuant to a Stipulation and Consent to the Issuance of a Consent Order dated September 1, 2010 without admitting or denying any wrongdoing, consented and agreed to the issuance of the Order by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ( OCC ), the Bank's primary regulator. The Order requires the Bank to undertake certain actions within designated timeframes, and to operate in compliance with the provisions thereof during its term. The Order is based on the results of an examination of the Bank as of March 31, 2009. Since the examination, management has engaged in discussions with the OCC and has taken steps to improve the condition, policies and procedures of the Bank. Compliance with the Order is to be monitored by a committee (the Committee ) of at least three directors, none of whom is an employee or controlling shareholder of the Bank or its affiliates or a family member of any such person. The Committee is required to submit written progress reports on a monthly basis and the Agreement requires the Bank to make periodic reports and filings with the OCC. The members of the Committee are John P. Moses, Joseph Coccia, Joseph J. Gentile and Thomas J. Melone. The material provisions of the Order are as follows:

(i) By October 31, 2010, the Board of Directors of the Bank (the Board ) is required to adopt and implement a three-year strategic plan which must be submitted to the OCC for review and prior determination of no supervisory objection; the strategic plan must establish objectives for the Bank's overall risk profile, earnings performance, growth, balance sheet mix, off-balance sheet activities, liability structure, capital adequacy, reduction in the volume of nonperforming assets, product line development, and market segments that the Bank intends to promote or develop, and is to include strategies to achieve those objectives; if the strategic plan involves the sale or merger of the Bank, it must address the timeline and steps to be followed to provide for a definitive agreement within 90 days after the receipt of a determination of no supervisory objection;

(ii) by October 31, 2010, the Board is required to adopt and implement a three year capital plan, which must be submitted to the OCC for review and prior determination of no supervisory objection;

(iii) by November 30, 2010, the Bank is required to achieve and thereafter maintain a total risk-based capital equal to at least 13% of risk-weighted assets and a Tier 1 capital equal to at least 9% of adjusted total assets;

(iv) the Bank may not pay any dividend or capital distribution unless it is in compliance with the higher capital requirements required by the Order, the Capital Plan, applicable legal requirements and, then only after receiving a determination of no supervisory objection from the OCC;

(v) by November 15, 2010, the Committee must review the Board and the Board's committee structure; by November 30, 2010, the Board must prepare or cause to be prepared an assessment of the capabilities of the Bank's executive officers to perform their past and current duties, including those required to respond to the most recent examination report, and to perform annual performance appraisals of each officer;

(vi) by October 31, 2010, the Board must adopt, implement and thereafter ensure compliance with a comprehensive conflict of interest policy applicable to the Bank's and the Company's directors, executive officers, principal shareholders and their affiliates and such person's immediate family members and their related interests, employees, and by November 30, 2010, conduct a review of existing relationships with such persons to identify those, if any, not in compliance with the policy; and review all subsequent proposed transactions with such persons or modifications of transactions;

(vii) by October 31, 2010, the Board must develop, implement and ensure adherence to policies and procedures for Bank Secrecy Act ( BSA ) compliance; and account opening and monitoring procedures compliance;

(viii) by October 31, 2010, the Board must ensure the BSA audit function is supported by an adequately staffed department or third party firm; adopt, implement and ensure compliance with an independent BSA audit; and assess the capabilities of the BSA officer and supporting staff to perform present and anticipated duties;

(ix) by October 31, 2010, the Board is required to adopt, implement and ensure adherence to a written credit policy, including specified features, to improve the Bank's loan portfolio management;

(x) the Board is required to take certain actions to resolve certain credit and collateral exceptions;

(xi) by October 31, 2010, the Board is required to establish an effective, independent and ongoing loan review system to review, at least quarterly, the Bank's loan and lease portfolios to assure the timely identification and categorization of problem credits; by October 31,

Table of Contents

2010, to adopt and adhere to a program for the maintenance of an adequate allowance for loan and lease losses ( ALLL ), and to review the adequacy of the Bank 's ALLL at least quarterly;

(xii) by October 31, 2010, the Board must adopt and the Bank implement and adhere to a program to protect the Bank 's interest in criticized assets; and the Bank may only extend additional credit (including renewals) to a borrower whose loans are criticized under specified circumstances;

(xiii) by October 31, 2010, the Board must adopt and ensure adherence to action plans for each piece of other real estate owned;

(xiv) by November 30, 2010, the Board is required to develop, implement and ensure adherence to a policy for effective monitoring and management of concentrations of credit;

(xv) by October 31, 2010, the Board must revise and implement the Bank 's other than temporary impairment policy;

(xvi) by October 31, 2010, the Board must take action to maintain adequate sources of stable funding and liquidity and a contingency funding plan; by October 31, 2010, the Board is required to adopt, implement and ensure compliance with an independent, internal audit program; and

(xvii) take actions to correct cited violations of law; and adopt procedures to prevent future violations and address compliance management.

*Federal Reserve Agreement.* On November 24, 2010, the Company entered into a written Agreement (the Agreement ) with the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia (the Reserve Bank ). The Agreement requires the Company to undertake certain actions within designated timeframes, and to operate in compliance with the provisions thereof during its term. The material provisions of the Agreement include the following:

(i) the Company 's Board must take appropriate steps to fully utilize the Company 's financial and managerial resources to serve as a source of strength to the Bank, including taking steps to ensure that the Bank complies with its Consent Order entered into with the OCC;

(ii) the Company may not declare or pay any dividends without the prior written approval of the Reserve Bank and the Director of the Division of Banking Supervision and Regulation (the Director ) of the Federal Reserve Board;

(iii) the Company may not take dividends or other payments representing a reduction of the Bank 's capital without the prior written approval of the Reserve Bank;

(iv) the Company and its nonbank subsidiary may not make any payment of interest, principal or other amounts on the Company's subordinated debentures or trust preferred securities without the prior written approval of the Reserve Bank and the Director;

(v) the Company may not make any payment of interest, principal or other amounts on debt owed to insiders of the Company without the prior written approval of the Reserve Bank and Director;

(vi) the Company and its nonbank subsidiary may not incur, increase or guarantee any debt without the prior written approval of the Reserve Bank;

(vii) the Company may not purchase or redeem any shares of its stock without the prior written approval of the Reserve Bank;

(viii) the Company must submit to the Reserve Bank, by January 23, 2011, an acceptable written plan to maintain sufficient capital at the Company on a consolidated basis. Thereafter, the Company must notify the Reserve Bank within 45 days of the end of any quarter in which the Company's capital ratios fall below the approved capital plan's minimum ratios, and submit an acceptable written plan to increase the Company's capital ratios above the capital plan's minimums;

(ix) the Company must immediately take all actions necessary to ensure that: (1) each regulatory report accurately reflects the Company's condition on the date for which it is filed and all material transactions between the Company and its subsidiaries; (2) each such report is prepared in accordance with its instructions; and (3) all records indicating how the report was prepared are maintained for supervisory review;



Table of Contents

(x) the Company must submit to the Reserve Bank, by January 23, 2011, acceptable written procedures to strengthen and maintain internal controls to ensure all required regulatory reports and notices filed with the Board of Governors are accurate and filed in accordance with the instructions for preparation;

(xi) the Company must submit to the Reserve Bank, by January 8, 2011, a cash flow projection for 2011, reflecting the Company's planned sources and uses of cash, and submit a cash flow projection for each subsequent calendar year at least one month prior to the beginning of such year;

(xii) the Company must comply with: (1) the notice provisions of Section 32 of the FDI Act and Subpart H of Regulation Y in appointing any new director or senior executive officer or changing the duties of any senior executive officer; and (2) the restrictions on indemnification and severance payments of Section 18(k) of the FDI Act and Part 359 of the FDIC's regulations; and

(xiii) the Board must submit written progress reports within 30 days of the end of each calendar quarter.

Since entering into the Order and the Agreement, the Company has incurred expenses in an effort to comply with the terms of these agreements. In particular, the Company has incurred expenses in connection with developing and implementing policies and procedures and hiring additional personnel as required by the Order and the Agreement.

During the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company incurred approximately \$1.0 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, of expenses related to entering into and complying with these regulatory agreements, consisting primarily of professional and consulting fees. In addition, the Order and the Agreement place restrictions on the Company's ability to borrow funds and to pay interest and dividends to its security holders. In the future, the Company expects to continue to experience increased costs related to compliance with these regulatory agreements, primarily as a result of increased head count and also expects to face certain restrictions on its operations for as long as it continues to operate under the Order and the Agreement. The Company expects, however, that future compliance expenses will decrease from the 2011 level, because the majority of the expenses incurred to date are related to development and implementation of processes and policies that, once those policies and processes are finalized and implemented, are not expected to recur.

The Order and the Agreement have not and are not expected to have an impact on the Company's ability to attract and maintain deposits or the Company's cost of funds. In order to meet the increased capital requirements imposed under the Order and the Agreement, however, unless the Company is able to raise additional capital, the Company could be limited in the aggregate amount of loans it can have outstanding, which may constrain loan growth. While it is not anticipated that the Order and the Agreement will have an immediate impact on the Company's net interest margin, the overall cost of compliance with the Order and the Agreement will continue to impact profitability at least through the end of 2012.

**The Company**

The Company is a bank holding company registered with, and subject to regulation by, the Reserve Bank and the Federal Reserve Board (FRB). The Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the BHCA) and other federal laws subject bank holding companies to restrictions on the

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

types of activities in which they may engage, and to a range of supervisory requirements and activities, including regulatory enforcement actions for violations of laws and regulations and unsafe and unsound banking practices.

The BHCA requires approval of the FRB for, among other things, the acquisition by a proposed bank holding company of control of more than five percent (5%) of the voting shares, or substantially all the assets, of any bank or the merger or consolidation by a bank holding company with another bank holding company. The BHCA also generally permits the acquisition by a bank holding company of control or substantially all the assets of any bank located in a state other than the home state of the bank holding company, except where the bank has not been in existence for the minimum period of time required by state law; but if the bank is at least 5 years old, the FRB may approve the acquisition.

With certain limited exceptions, a bank holding company is prohibited from acquiring control of any voting shares of any company which is not a bank or bank holding company and from engaging directly or indirectly in any activity other than banking or managing or controlling banks or furnishing services to or performing services for its authorized subsidiaries. A bank holding company may, however, engage in, or acquire an interest in a company that engages in, activities that the FRB has determined by order or regulation to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be properly incident thereto. In making such a determination, the FRB is required to consider whether the performance of such activities can reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as convenience, increased competition or gains in efficiency, which outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interest or unsound banking practices. The FRB is also empowered to differentiate between activities commenced *de novo* and activities commenced by the acquisition, in whole or in part, of a going concern. Some of the activities that the FRB has determined by regulation to be closely related to banking include making or servicing

Table of Contents

loans, performing certain data processing services, acting as a fiduciary or investment or financial advisor, and making investments in corporations or projects designed primarily to promote community welfare.

Subsidiary banks of a bank holding company are subject to certain restrictions imposed by the Federal Reserve Act on any extensions of credit to the bank holding company or any of its subsidiaries, or investments in the stock or other securities thereof, and on the taking of such stock or securities as collateral for loans to any borrower. Further, a holding company and any subsidiary bank are prohibited from engaging in certain tie-in arrangements in connection with the extension of credit. A subsidiary bank may not extend credit, lease or sell property, or furnish any services, or fix or vary the consideration for any of the foregoing on the condition that: (i) the customer obtain or provide some additional credit, property or services from or to such bank other than a loan, discount, deposit or trust service; (ii) the customer obtain or provide some additional credit, property or service from or to the bank holding company or any other subsidiary of the bank holding company; or (iii) the customer not obtain some other credit, property or service from competitors, except for reasonable requirements to assure the soundness of credit extended.

The Gramm Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 (the GLB Act ) allows a bank holding company or other company to certify status as a financial holding company, which allows such company to engage in activities that are financial in nature, that are incidental to such activities, or are complementary to such activities without further approval. The Company has not elected to become a financial holding company. The GLB Act enumerates certain activities that are deemed financial in nature, such as underwriting insurance or acting as an insurance principal, agent or broker, underwriting, dealing in or making markets in securities, and engaging in merchant banking under certain restrictions. It also authorizes the FRB to determine by regulation what other activities are financial in nature, or incidental or complementary thereto.

**The Bank**

The Bank, as a national bank, is a member of the Federal Reserve System and its accounts are insured up to the maximum legal limit by the Deposit Insurance Fund of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ( FDIC ). The Bank is subject to regulation, supervision and regular examination by the OCC. The regulations of these agencies and the FDIC govern most aspects of the Bank s business, including required reserves against deposits, loans, investments, mergers and acquisitions, borrowing, dividends and location and number of branch offices. State laws may also apply to the Bank to the extent that federal law does not preempt the state law. The laws and regulations governing the Bank generally have been promulgated to protect depositors and the Deposit Insurance Fund, and not for the purpose of protecting shareholders.

*Branching and Interstate Banking.* The federal banking agencies are authorized to approve interstate bank merger transactions without regard to whether such transactions are prohibited by the law of any state, unless the home state of one of the banks has opted out of the interstate bank merger provisions of the Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994 (the Riegle-Neal Act ) by adopting a law after the date of enactment of the Riegle-Neal Act and before June 1, 1997 that applies equally to all out-of-state banks and expressly prohibits merger transactions involving out-of-state banks. Interstate bank mergers are also subject to the nationwide and statewide insured deposit concentration limitations described in the Riegle-Neal Act.

The Dodd-Frank Act authorizes national and state banks to establish *de novo* branches in other states to the same extent as a bank chartered by that state would be so permitted. Previously, banks could only establish branches in other states if the host state expressly permitted out-of-state banks to establish branches in that state. Pennsylvania law had previously permitted banks chartered in Pennsylvania to branch in other states without limitation, thereby permitting national banks in Pennsylvania to establish branches anywhere in the state, but only permitted out of state banks to branch in Pennsylvania if the home state of the out of state bank permits Pennsylvania banks to establish *de novo* branches. The branching provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act could result in more banks from other states establishing *de novo* branches in the Bank s market area.

*USA Patriot Act and Bank Secrecy Act ( BSA )*. Under the BSA, a financial institution is required to have systems in place to detect certain transactions, based on the size and nature of the transaction. Financial institutions are generally required to report cash transactions involving more than \$10,000 to the United States Treasury. In addition, financial institutions are required to file suspicious activity reports for transactions that involve more than \$5,000 and that the financial institution knows, suspects or has reason to suspect, involves illegal funds, is designed to evade the requirements of the BSA or has no lawful purpose. Under the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act, commonly referred to as the USA Patriot Act or the Patriot Act, financial institutions are subject to prohibitions against specified financial transactions and account relationships, as well as enhanced due diligence standards intended to detect, and prevent, the use of the United States financial system for money laundering and terrorist financing activities. The Patriot Act requires financial institutions, including banks, to establish anti-money laundering programs, including employee training and independent audit requirements, meet minimum standards specified by the act, follow minimum standards for customer identification and maintenance of customer identification records, and regularly compare customer lists against lists of suspected terrorists, terrorist organizations and money launderers. The OCC has required the Bank to

Table of Contents

strengthen its internal policies and procedures with respect to BSA compliance, and the Bank continues to develop and implement policies designed to satisfy this requirement.

*Capital Adequacy Guidelines.* The FRB and OCC have adopted risk based capital adequacy guidelines pursuant to which they assess the adequacy of capital in examining and supervising banks and bank holding companies and in analyzing bank regulatory applications. Risk-based capital requirements determine the adequacy of capital based on the risk inherent in various classes of assets and off-balance sheet items.

National banks are expected to meet a minimum ratio of total qualifying capital (the sum of core capital (Tier 1) and supplementary capital (Tier 2) to risk weighted assets of 8%. At least half of this amount (4%) must be core capital. Tier 1 Capital generally consists of the sum of common shareholders' equity and perpetual preferred stock (subject in the case of the latter to limitations on the kind and amount of such stock which may be included as Tier 1 Capital), less goodwill, without adjustment for changes in the fair value of securities classified as available for sale in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 320, Investments-Debt and Equity Securities. Tier 2 Capital consists of the following: hybrid capital instruments; perpetual preferred stock that is not otherwise eligible to be included as Tier 1 Capital; term subordinated debt and intermediate-term preferred stock; and, subject to limitations, general ALLL. Assets are adjusted under the risk-based guidelines to take into account different risk characteristics, with the categories ranging from 0% (requiring no risk-based capital) for assets such as cash, to 100% for the bulk of assets that are typically held by a bank, including certain multi-family residential and commercial real estate loans, commercial business loans and consumer loans. Residential first mortgage loans on one-to-four family residential real estate and certain seasoned multi-family residential real estate loans, which are not 90 days or more past-due or non-performing and which have been made in accordance with prudent underwriting standards are assigned a 50% level in the risk-weighting system, as are certain privately-issued mortgage-backed securities representing indirect ownership of such loans. Off-balance sheet items also are adjusted to take into account certain risk characteristics.

In addition to the risk-based capital requirements, the OCC has established a minimum 3.0% leverage capital ratio (Tier 1 Capital to total adjusted assets) requirement for the most highly-rated banks, with an additional cushion of at least 100 to 200 basis points for all other banks, which effectively increases the minimum leverage capital ratio for such other banks to 4.0% - 5.0% or more. The highest-rated banks are those that are not anticipating or experiencing significant growth and have well diversified risk, including no undue interest rate risk exposure, excellent asset quality, high liquidity, good earnings and, in general, those which are considered a strong banking organization. A bank having less than the minimum leverage capital ratio requirement is required, within 60 days of the date as of which it fails to comply with such requirement, to submit a reasonable plan describing the means and timing by which the bank will achieve its minimum leverage capital ratio requirement. A bank that fails to file such plan is deemed to be operating in an unsafe and unsound manner, and could subject the bank to a cease-and-desist order. Any insured depository institution with a leverage capital ratio that is less than 2.0% is deemed to be operating in an unsafe or unsound condition pursuant to Section 8(a) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (the FDIA) and is subject to potential termination of deposit insurance. However, such an institution will not be subject to an enforcement proceeding solely on account of its capital ratios, if it has entered into and is in compliance with a written agreement to increase its leverage capital ratio and to take such other action as may be necessary for the institution to be operated in a safe and sound manner. The capital regulations also provide, among other things, for the issuance of a capital directive, which is a final order issued to a bank that fails to maintain minimum capital or to restore its capital to the minimum capital requirement within a specified time period. Such a directive is enforceable in the same manner as a final cease-and-desist order.

The Bank's total capital to risk weighted assets ratio at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was 11.73% and 9.83%, respectively. The Tier I capital to risk weighted assets ratio at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was 10.46% and 8.56% respectively. The Tier I capital to average assets ratio at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was 7.20% and 6.06%, respectively. Under the Order, the Bank is required to achieve a total capital ratio of 13% and a Tier I capital to average assets ratio of 9% by November 30, 2010. As of December 31, 2011, the Bank had not achieved these ratios. On January 27, 2011, the Company announced that its Board of Directors retained Sandler O'Neill + Partners, L.P. as its financial adviser to assist the Company in evaluating possible capital and strategic alternatives.

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

The Company's total capital ratio at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was 11.35% and 10.13%, respectively. The Tier I capital to risk weighted assets at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was 6.85% and 6.03%, respectively. The Tier I capital to average assets at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was 4.72% and 4.27%, respectively.

*Proposed Changes in Capital Requirements.* In December 2010, the Basel Committee released its final framework for strengthening international capital and liquidity regulation ( Basel III ). Basel III, when implemented by the U.S. banking agencies and fully phased-in, will require bank holding companies and their bank subsidiaries to maintain more capital, with a greater emphasis on common equity. Implementation is presently scheduled to be phased in between 2013 and 2019, although it is possible that implementation may be delayed as a result of multiple factors including the current condition of the banking industry within the U.S. and abroad.

Table of Contents

The Basel III final capital framework, among other things, (i) introduces as a new capital measure Common Equity Tier 1 ( CET1 ), (ii) specifies that Tier 1 capital consists of CET1 and Additional Tier 1 capital instruments meeting specified requirements, (iii) defines CET1 narrowly by requiring that most adjustments to regulatory capital measures be made to CET1 and not to the other components of capital and (iv) expands the scope of the adjustments as compared to existing regulations.

When fully phased-in, Basel III requires banks to maintain (i) as a newly adopted international standard, a minimum ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 4.5%, plus a capital conservation buffer of 2.5 %; (ii) a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 6.0%, plus the capital conservation buffer; (iii) a minimum ratio of Total (Tier 1 plus Tier 2) capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 8.0% plus the capital conservation buffer and (iv) as a newly adopted international standard, a minimum leverage ratio of 3%, calculated as the ratio of Tier 1 capital to balance sheet exposures plus certain off-balance sheet exposures (computed as the average for each quarter of the month-end ratios for the quarter).

Basel III also provides for a countercyclical capital buffer, generally to be imposed when national regulators determine that excess aggregate credit growth becomes associated with a buildup of systemic risk that would be a CET1 add-on to the capital conservation buffer in the range of 0% to 2.5% when fully implemented. The capital conservation buffer is designed to absorb losses during periods of economic stress.

Banking institutions with a ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets above the minimum but below the conservation buffer (or below the combined capital conservation buffer and countercyclical capital buffer, when the latter is applied) may face constraints on dividends, equity repurchases and compensation based on the amount of the shortfall.

The Basel III final framework provides for a number of new deductions from and adjustments to CET1. These include, for example, the requirement that mortgage servicing rights, deferred tax assets dependent upon future taxable income and significant investments in non-consolidated financial entities be deducted from CET1 to the extent that any one such category exceeds 10% of CET1 or all such categories in the aggregate exceed 15% of CET1.

Implementation of the deductions and other adjustments to CET1 will begin on January 1, 2014 and will be phased-in over a five-year period (20% per year). The implementation of the capital conservation buffer will begin on January 1, 2016 at 0.625% and be phased in over a four-year period (increasing by that amount on each subsequent January 1, until it reaches 2.5% on January 1, 2019).

The FRB, the FDIC and the OCC issued a joint Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in June 2012 (the Basel III Notice ), which proposes to implement Basel III under regulations substantially consistent with the above. One additional proposed change from current practice proposed in the Basel III Notice, included as part of the definition of CET1 capital, would require banking institutions to generally include the amount of Additional Other Comprehensive Income (which primarily consists of unrealized gains and losses on available for sale securities which are not required to be treated as OTTI, net of tax) in calculating regulatory capital. The Basel III Notice also proposes a 4% minimum leverage ratio.

Additionally, the FRB, the FDIC and the OCC issued a second Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in June 2012 (the Standardized Approach Notice ) which would change the manner of calculating risk weighted assets. Under this Notice new methodologies for determining risk-weighted assets in the general capital rules are proposed, including revisions to recognition of credit risk mitigation, including a greater recognition of financial collateral and a wider range of eligible guarantors. They also include risk weighting of equity exposures and past due

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

loans, potential changes in the weighting of residential mortgage loans depending on the risk characteristics of the loan; and higher (greater than 100%) risk weighting for certain commercial real estate exposures that have higher credit risk profiles, including higher loan to value and equity components.

The components of the Basel III framework remain subject to revision or amendment, as are the rules proposed by the U.S. regulatory agencies in the Basel III Notice and Standardized Approach Notice. Accordingly, the regulations ultimately applicable to us may be substantially different from the Basel III final framework as published in December 2010, and as proposed in the Basel III Notice and Standardized Approach Notice. Requirements to maintain higher levels of capital or to maintain higher levels of liquid assets, and changes in the manner of calculating risk weighted assets, could adversely impact our net income and return on equity.

*Prompt Corrective Action.* Under Section 38 of the FDIA, each federal banking agency is required to implement a system of prompt corrective action for institutions which it regulates. The federal banking agencies have promulgated substantially similar regulations to implement the system of prompt corrective action established by Section 38 of the FDIA. Under the regulations, a bank will be deemed to be: (i) well capitalized if it has a total risk based capital ratio of 10.0% or more, a Tier 1 risk based capital ratio of 6.0% or more, a leverage capital ratio of 5.0% or more and is not subject to any written capital order or directive; (ii) adequately capitalized if it has a total risk based capital ratio of 8.0% or more, a Tier 1 risk based capital ratio of 4.0% or more and a Tier 1 leverage capital ratio of 4.0% or more (3.0% under certain circumstances) and does not meet the definition of well capitalized; (iii) undercapitalized if it has a total risk based capital ratio that is less than 8.0%, a Tier 1 risk based capital ratio that is less than 4.0% or a leverage capital ratio that is



Table of Contents

less than 4.0% (3.0% under certain circumstances); (iv) significantly undercapitalized if it has a total risk based capital ratio that is less than 6.0%, a Tier 1 risk based capital ratio that is less than 3.0% or a leverage capital ratio that is less than 3.0%; and (v) critically undercapitalized if it has a ratio of tangible equity to total assets that is equal to or less than 2.0%.

The Basel III Notice also proposes a change in the prompt corrective action capital requirements, effective in 2015. Under the proposal, an institution would be deemed to be: (i) well capitalized if it has a total risk based capital ratio of 10.0% or more, a Tier 1 risk based capital ratio of 8.0% or more, a CET1 risk based capital ratio of 6.5% or more, and a leverage capital ratio of 5.0% or more; (ii) adequately capitalized if it has a total risk based capital ratio of 8.0% or more, a Tier 1 risk based capital ratio of 6.0% or more, a CET1 risk based capital ratio of 4.5% or more, and a leverage capital ratio of 4.0% or more; (iii) undercapitalized if it has a total risk based capital ratio of less than 8.0%, a Tier 1 risk based capital ratio of less than 6.0%, a CET1 risk based capital ratio of less than 4.5%, and a leverage capital ratio of less than 4.0%; (iv) significantly undercapitalized if it has a total risk based capital ratio of less than 6.0%, a Tier 1 risk based capital ratio of less than 4.0%, a CET1 risk based capital ratio of less than 3.0%, and a leverage capital ratio of less than 3.0%; and (v) critically undercapitalized if it has a ratio of tangible equity to total assets that is less than or equal to 2.0%. Tangible equity would be defined for this purpose as Tier 1 capital (common equity tier 1 capital plus any additional Tier 1 capital elements) plus any outstanding perpetual preferred stock that is not already included in Tier 1 capital.

An institution generally must file a written capital restoration plan which meets specified requirements with an appropriate federal banking agency within 45 days of the date the institution receives notice or is deemed to have notice that it is undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized or critically undercapitalized. A federal banking agency must provide the institution with written notice of approval or disapproval within 60 days after receiving a capital restoration plan, subject to extensions by the applicable agency.

An institution that is required to submit a capital restoration plan must concurrently submit a performance guaranty by each company that controls the institution. Such guaranty will be limited to the lesser of (i) an amount equal to 5.0% of the institution's total assets at the time the institution was notified or deemed to have notice that it was undercapitalized or (ii) the amount necessary at such time to restore the relevant capital measures of the institution to the levels required for the institution to be classified as adequately capitalized. Such a guaranty will expire after the federal banking agency notifies the institution that it has remained adequately capitalized for each of four consecutive calendar quarters. An institution that fails to submit a written capital restoration plan within the requisite period, including any required performance guaranty, or fails in any material respect to implement a capital restoration plan, will be subject to the restrictions in Section 38 of the FDIA applicable to significantly undercapitalized institutions.

A critically undercapitalized institution is to be placed in conservatorship or receivership within 90 days unless the FDIC formally determines that forbearance from such action would better protect the deposit insurance fund. Unless the FDIC or other appropriate federal banking regulatory agency makes specific further findings and certifies that the institution is viable and is not expected to fail, an institution that remains critically undercapitalized on average during the fourth calendar quarter after the date it becomes critically undercapitalized must be placed in receivership. The general rule is that the FDIC will be appointed as receiver within 90 days after a bank becomes critically undercapitalized unless extremely good cause is shown and an extension is agreed to by the federal regulators. In general, good cause is defined as capital which has been raised and is imminently available for infusion into the bank except for certain technical requirements which may delay the infusion for a period of time beyond the 90 day time period.

Immediately upon becoming undercapitalized, an institution becomes subject to the provisions of Section 38 of the FDIA, which (i) restrict payment of capital distributions and management fees; (ii) require that the appropriate federal banking agency monitor the condition of the institution and its efforts to restore its capital; (iii) require submission of a capital restoration plan; (iv) restrict the growth of the institution's assets; and (v) require prior approval of certain expansion proposals. The appropriate federal banking agency for an undercapitalized institution also may take any number of discretionary supervisory actions if the agency determines that any of these actions is necessary to resolve the

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

problems of the institution at the least possible long-term cost to the Deposit Insurance Fund, subject in certain cases to specified procedures. These discretionary supervisory actions include: requiring the institution to raise additional capital; restricting transactions with affiliates; requiring divestiture of the institution or the sale of the institution to a willing purchaser; and any other supervisory action that the agency deems appropriate. These and additional mandatory and permissive supervisory actions may be taken with respect to significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized institutions.

Additionally, under Section 11(c)(5) of the FDIA, a conservator or receiver may be appointed for an institution if: (i) an institution's obligations exceed its assets; (ii) there is substantial dissipation of the institution's assets or earnings as a result of any violation of law or any unsafe or unsound practice; (iii) the institution is in an unsafe or unsound condition; (iv) there is a willful violation of a cease-and-desist order; (v) the institution is unable to pay its obligations in the ordinary course of business; (vi) losses or threatened losses deplete all or substantially all of an institution's capital, and there is no reasonable prospect of becoming adequately capitalized without assistance; (vii) there is any violation of law or unsafe or unsound practice or condition that is likely to cause insolvency or substantial dissipation of assets or earnings, weaken the institution's condition, or otherwise seriously prejudice the interests of depositors or the insurance fund; (viii) an institution ceases to be insured; (ix) the institution is undercapitalized and has no reasonable prospect that it

Table of Contents

will become adequately capitalized, fails to become adequately capitalized when required to do so, or fails to submit or materially implement a capital restoration plan; or (x) the institution is critically undercapitalized or otherwise has substantially insufficient capital.

*Regulatory Enforcement Authority.* Federal banking law grants substantial enforcement powers to federal banking regulators. This enforcement authority includes, among other things, the ability to assess civil money penalties, to issue cease-and-desist or removal orders and to initiate injunctive actions against banking organizations and institution-affiliated parties. In general, these enforcement actions may be initiated for violations of laws and regulations and unsafe or unsound practices. Other actions or inactions may provide the basis for enforcement action, including misleading or untimely reports filed with regulatory authorities.

The Bank and its institution-affiliated parties, including its management, employees, agents, independent contractors, consultants such as attorneys and accountants and others who participate in the conduct of the financial institution's affairs, are subject to potential civil and criminal penalties for violations of law, regulations or written orders of a governmental agency. In addition, regulators are provided with greater flexibility to commence enforcement actions against institutions and institution-affiliated parties. Possible enforcement actions include the termination of deposit insurance and cease-and-desist orders. Such orders may, among other things, require affirmative action to correct any harm resulting from a violation or practice, including restitution, reimbursement, indemnifications or guarantees against loss. A financial institution may also be ordered to restrict its growth, dispose of certain assets, rescind agreements or contracts, or take other actions as determined by the ordering agency to be appropriate.

Under provisions of the federal securities laws, a determination by a court or regulatory agency that certain violations have occurred at a company or its affiliates can result in fines, restitution, a limitation of permitted activities, disqualification to continue to conduct certain activities and an inability to rely on certain favorable exemptions. Certain types of infractions and violations can also affect a public company in its timing and ability to expeditiously issue new securities into the capital markets.

The regulatory structure also gives the regulatory authorities extensive discretion in connection with their supervisory and enforcement activities and examination policies, including policies with respect to the classification of assets and the establishment of adequate loan loss allowances for regulatory purposes.

As a result of the volatility and instability in the financial system in recent years, Congress, the bank regulatory authorities and other government agencies have called for or proposed additional regulation and restrictions on the activities, practices and operations of banks and their holding companies. While many of these proposals relate to institutions that have accepted investments from, or sold troubled assets to, the Department of the Treasury or other government agencies, or otherwise participate in government programs intended to promote financial stabilization, Congress and the federal banking agencies have broad authority to require all banks and holding companies to adhere to more rigorous or costly operating procedures, corporate governance procedures, or to engage in activities or practices which they might not otherwise elect. Any such requirement could adversely affect the Company's business and results of operations. The Company did not accept an investment by the Treasury Department in its preferred stock or warrants to purchase common stock, and except for the temporary increases in deposit insurance for customer accounts, has not participated in any of the programs adopted by the Treasury Department, FDIC or Federal Reserve.

*The Dodd-Frank Act.* The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the Dodd-Frank Act) makes significant changes to the current bank regulatory structure and affects the lending, deposit, investment, trading and operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. The Dodd-Frank Act requires a number of federal agencies to adopt a broad range of new rules and regulations, and to prepare various studies and reports for Congress. The federal agencies are given significant discretion in drafting these rules and regulations, and consequently, many of the details and much of the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act may not be known for some time.

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Although it is not possible to determine the ultimate impact of this statute until the extensive rulemaking is complete, the following provisions are considered to be of greatest significance to the Company:

- Expands the authority of the FRB to examine bank holding companies and their subsidiaries, including insured depository institutions;
- Requires a bank holding company to be well capitalized and well managed to receive approval of an interstate bank acquisition;
- Changes standards for federal preemption of state laws related to national banks and their subsidiaries;
- Provides mortgage reform provisions regarding a customer's ability to pay and making more loans subject to provisions for higher-cost loans and new disclosures;
- Creates a new Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection that will have rulemaking authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that would apply to all banks and would have broad powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws;

Table of Contents

- Creates the Financial Stability Oversight Council with authority to identify institutions and practices that might pose a systemic risk;
- Introduces additional corporate governance and executive compensation requirements on companies subject to the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended;
- Permits FDIC-insured banks to pay interest on business demand deposits;
- Requires that holding companies and other companies that directly or indirectly control an insured depository institution to serve as a source of financial strength;
- Makes permanent the \$250 thousand limit for federal deposit insurance and provides unlimited federal deposit insurance until January 1, 2013 for non-interest bearing demand transaction accounts at all insured depository institutions; and
- Permits national and state banks to establish interstate branches to the same extent as the branch host state allows establishment of in-state branches.

*Consumer Financial Protection Bureau.* The Dodd-Frank Act created a new, independent federal agency, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau ( CFPB ) having broad rulemaking, supervisory and enforcement powers under various federal consumer financial protection laws, including the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, Truth in Lending Act, Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, Fair Credit Reporting Act, Fair Debt Collection Act, the Consumer Financial Privacy provisions of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and certain other statutes. The CFPB will have examination and primary enforcement authority with respect to depository institutions with \$10 billion or more in assets. Smaller institutions, including the Bank, will be subject to rules promulgated by the CFPB but will continue to be examined and supervised by federal banking regulators for consumer compliance purposes. The CFPB will have authority to prevent unfair, deceptive or abusive practices in connection with the offering of consumer financial products. The Dodd-Frank Act authorizes the CFPB to establish certain minimum standards for the origination of residential mortgages including a determination of the borrower's ability to repay. In addition, Dodd-Frank will allow borrowers to raise certain defenses to foreclosure if they receive any loan other than a qualified mortgage as defined by the CFPB. The Dodd-Frank Act permits states to adopt consumer protection laws and standards that are more stringent than those adopted at the federal level and, in certain circumstances, permits state attorneys general to enforce compliance with both the state and federal laws and regulations.

It is difficult to predict at this time the specific impact the Dodd-Frank Act will have on our business. The changes resulting from the Dodd-Frank Act may impact the profitability of our business activities, require us to change certain of our business practices, impose upon us more stringent capital, liquidity and leverage ratio requirements or otherwise adversely affect our business. The changes may also require us to dedicate significant management attention and resources to evaluate and make necessary changes to comply with the new statutory and regulatory requirements.

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

*FDIC Insurance Premiums.* The FDIC maintains a risk-based assessment system for determining deposit insurance premiums. Four risk categories (I-IV), each subject to different premium rates, are established based upon an institution's status as well capitalized, adequately capitalized or undercapitalized, and the institution's supervisory rating.

The Dodd-Frank Act permanently increased the maximum deposit insurance amount for banks, savings institutions and credit unions to \$250,000 per depositor, and extended unlimited deposit insurance to non-interest bearing transaction accounts through December 31, 2012. The Dodd-Frank Act also broadened the base for FDIC insurance assessments. Assessments are now based on a financial institution's average consolidated total assets less tangible equity capital. The Dodd-Frank Act requires the FDIC to increase the reserve ratio of the Deposit Insurance Fund from 1.15% to 1.35% of insured deposits by 2020 and eliminates the requirement that the FDIC pay dividends to insured depository institutions when the reserve ratio exceeds certain thresholds. The Dodd-Frank Act eliminated the statutory prohibition against the payment of interest on business checking accounts, effective in July 2011.

An insured institution is required to pay deposit insurance premiums on its assessment base in accordance with its risk category. There are three adjustments that can be made to an institution's initial base assessment rate: (1) a potential decrease for long-term unsecured debt, including senior and subordinated debt and, for small institutions, a portion of Tier 1 capital; (2) a potential increase for secured liabilities above a threshold amount; and (3) for non-Risk Category I institutions, a potential increase for brokered deposits above a threshold amount. The FDIC may also impose special assessments from time to time.

Additionally, the Bank has elected to participate in the FDIC program whereby noninterest-bearing transaction account deposits will be insured without limitation through at least December 31, 2012. At December 31, 2010, the Bank was required to pay an additional premium to the FDIC of .25 basis points on the amount of balances in noninterest-bearing transaction accounts that exceed the existing deposit insurance limit of \$250,000. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the assessment rate was .3191 basis points. Effective for the quarter ended December 31, 2011, the assessment base changed to average

Table of Contents

consolidated total assets less average tangible equity during the assessment period. The assessment rate during the quarter ended December 31, 2011 was .23 basis points.

**Dividend Restrictions**

The Company is a legal entity separate and distinct from the Bank. The Company's revenues (on a parent company only basis) result almost entirely from dividends paid by its subsidiary, the Bank, to the Company. The right of the Company, and consequently the right of creditors and shareholders of the Company, to participate in any distribution of the assets or earnings of any subsidiary through the payment of such dividends or otherwise is necessarily subject to the prior claims of creditors of the subsidiary (including depositors) except to the extent that claims of the Company, in its capacity as a creditor, may be recognized. Additionally, the ability of the Bank to pay dividends to the Company is subject to various regulatory restrictions. The Order currently prohibits the Bank from paying dividends to the Company and the Agreement further prohibits the Company from taking dividend payments from the Bank.

Federal and state laws regulate the payment of dividends by the Company. Federal banking regulators have the authority to prohibit banks and bank holding companies from paying a dividend if the regulators deem such payment to be an unsafe or unsound practice. Currently the Agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank prohibits the Company from paying dividends without prior approval from the Reserve Bank.

**Employees**

As of December 31, 2011, the Company and the Bank employed 336 persons, including 58 part-time employees.

**Available Information**

The Company files reports, proxy and information statements and other information electronically with the SEC. You may read and copy any materials that the Company files with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. Information may be obtained on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. The SEC's website site address is <http://www.sec.gov>. The Company's web site address is <http://www.fncb.com>. The Company makes its Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments thereto available on its website at [www.fncb.com](http://www.fncb.com). They may also be obtained free of charge as soon as practicable after filing or furnishing them to the SEC upon request by sending an email to [fncb@fncb.com](mailto:fncb@fncb.com). Further, the Company will provide electronic or paper copies of the Company's filings free of charge upon request. Information may also be obtained via written request to First National Community Bancorp, Inc. Attention: Chief Financial Officer, 102 East Drinker Street, Dunmore, PA 18512.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors.**

**We are subject to extensive government regulation, supervision and possible regulatory enforcement actions, which may subject us to higher costs and lower shareholder returns.**

The banking industry is subject to extensive regulation and supervision that govern almost all aspects of its operations. The extensive regulatory framework is primarily intended to protect the federal deposit insurance fund and depositors, not shareholders. The Company and Bank are regulated and supervised by the OCC and the FRB. Compliance with applicable laws and regulations can be difficult and costly and puts banks at a competitive disadvantage compared to less regulated competitors such as finance companies, mortgage banking companies and leasing companies. The Company's regulatory authorities have extensive discretion in connection with their supervisory and enforcement activities, including with respect to the imposition of restrictions on the operation of a bank or a bank holding company, the imposition of significant fines, the ability to delay or deny merger or other regulatory applications, the classification of assets by a bank, and the adequacy of a bank's allowance for loan losses, among other matters. The Company's industry is facing increased regulation and scrutiny as a result of the financial crisis in the banking and financial markets. The Company and the Bank are subject to the requirements of the Order and the Agreement, which regulatory agreements require that we take extra actions and meet certain standards by the dates set forth in these agreements. Neither the Bank nor the Company is yet in compliance with all the requirements. Any failure to comply with the Order or the Agreement and any failure to comply with, or any change in, any other applicable regulation and supervisory requirement, or change in regulation or enforcement by such authorities, whether in the form of policies, regulations, legislation, rules, orders, enforcement actions, or decisions, could have a material impact on the Company, its subsidiary bank and other affiliates, and its operations. Federal economic and monetary policy may also affect our ability to attract deposits and other funding sources, make loans and investments, and achieve satisfactory interest spreads. Any failure to comply with such regulation or supervision could result in sanctions by regulatory agencies, civil money penalties and/or reputation damage, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.



Table of Contents

The impact of recent legislation, proposed legislation, and government programs designed to stabilize the financial markets cannot be predicted at this time, and such legislation is subject to change. In addition, the failure of financial markets to stabilize and a continuation or worsening of current financial market conditions could materially and adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

**New or changed legislation or regulation and regulatory initiatives could subject us to increased regulation, increase our costs of doing business and adversely affect us.**

Changes in federal and state legislation and regulation may affect our operations. New and modified regulation, such as the Dodd-Frank Act and Basel III, may have unforeseen or unintended consequences on our industry. The Dodd-Frank Act has implemented, and is expected to further implement, significant changes to the U.S. financial system, including providing for the creation of a consumer protection division at the FRB that will have broad authority to issue regulations governing the services and products we provide to consumers. This additional regulation could increase our compliance costs and otherwise adversely affect our operations. The potential also exists for additional federal or state laws or regulations, or changes in policy or interpretations, affecting many of our operations, including capital levels, lending and funding practices, insurance assessments, and liquidity standards. The effect of any such changes and their interpretation and application by regulatory authorities cannot be predicted, may increase the Company's cost of doing business and otherwise affect our operations, may significantly affect the markets in which the Company does business, and could have a materially adverse effect on the Company.

In addition, recent government responses to the condition of the global financial markets and the banking industry has, among other things, increased our costs and may further increase our costs for items such as federal deposit insurance. The FDIC insures deposits at FDIC-insured institutions, such as the Bank, up to applicable limits. The FDIC charges the insured financial institutions premiums to maintain the Deposit Insurance Fund at a certain level. Current economic conditions have increased bank failures and expectations for further failures, in which case the FDIC would pay all deposits of a failed bank up to the insured amount from the Deposit Insurance Fund. Increases in deposit insurance premiums could adversely affect the Company's net income.

**We may not be able to successfully compete with others for business.**

The Company competes for loans, deposits and investment dollars with numerous regional and national banks and other community banking institutions, online divisions of banks located in other markets as well as other kinds of financial institutions and enterprises, such as securities firms, insurance companies, savings associations, credit unions, mortgage brokers, and private lenders. Many competitors have substantially greater resources than the Company does, and operate under less stringent regulatory environments. The differences in available resources and applicable regulations may make it harder for the Company to compete profitably, reduce the rates that it can earn on loans and investments, increase the rates it must offer on deposits and other funds, and adversely affect its overall financial condition and earnings.

**The current economic environment poses significant challenges for us and could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.**

The Company is operating in a challenging and uncertain economic environment. Financial institutions continue to be affected by sharp declines in the real estate market and constrained financial markets. Dramatic declines in the housing market over the past years, with falling home prices and high levels of foreclosures and unemployment, have resulted in significant write-downs of asset values by financial institutions. Continued

declines in real estate values, home sales volumes, and financial stress on borrowers as a result of the uncertain economic environment could have an adverse effect on our borrowers or their customers, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. A worsening of these conditions would likely exacerbate the adverse effects on us and others in the financial institutions industry. For example, non-performing assets, which are comprised of non-performing investments, non-performing loans and other real estate owned, totaled \$30.7 million and represented 76.9% of shareholders' equity as of December 31, 2011 as compared to \$41.0 million and 127.9% of equity as of December 31, 2010. Although non-performing assets as a percentage of shareholders' equity decreased, the percentage remains elevated, and further deterioration in economic conditions in our markets could drive loan losses beyond that which is provided for in the Company's ALLL, which would necessitate further increases in the provision for loan and lease losses, which, in turn, would reduce the Company's earnings and capital. In addition, further deterioration in general economic conditions could also negatively impact the financial condition of the banks and insurance companies on whose ability to pay interest on their debt that collateralizes our investment in trust preferred securities, which would necessitate further increases in impairment charges, which in turn, would also reduce the Company's earnings and capital. The Company may also face the following risks in connection with the economic environment:

- economic conditions that negatively affect housing prices and the job market have resulted, and may continue to result, in a deterioration in credit quality of our loan portfolios, and such deterioration in credit quality has had, and could continue to have, a negative impact on our business;

Table of Contents

- market developments may affect consumer confidence levels and may cause adverse changes in payment patterns, causing increases in delinquencies and default rates on loans and other credit facilities;
- the methodologies the Company used to establish the ALLL rely on complex judgments, including forecasts of economic conditions, that are inherently uncertain and may be inadequate;
- continued turmoil in the market, and loss of confidence in the banking system, could require the Bank to pay higher interest rates to obtain deposits to meet the needs of its depositors and borrowers, resulting in reduced margin and net interest income. If conditions worsen, it is possible that banks such as the Bank may be unable to meet the needs of their depositors and borrowers, which could, in the worst case, result in the Bank being placed into receivership; and
- compliance with increased regulation of the banking industry may increase our costs, limit our ability to pursue business opportunities, and divert management efforts.

If these conditions or similar ones continue to exist or worsen, the Company could experience continuing or increased adverse effects on its financial condition.

**We have identified material weaknesses in our internal controls.**

Management determined that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was not effective at December 31, 2011. Management previously determined that disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting were not effective as of December 31, 2010.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

In 2011, management identified a material weakness with respect to the financial close process. Management detected errors with respect to accounting for other real estate owned ( OREO ) property taxes and the evaluation of subsequent events related to OREO valuation. Corrections for these errors resulted in adjustments to increase expenses by approximately \$1.2 million. As a result of these errors, management concluded that the Company did not maintain effective controls over the financial close process.

The Company's remediation efforts with respect to the material weakness at December 31, 2011 are underway and are expected to be completed in the near future, however, the Company's material weakness will not be considered remediated until new internal controls are operational for a period of time and are tested, and management concludes that these controls are operating effectively. Additionally, although the Company has taken steps to make the necessary improvements to remediate the deficiencies it has identified, we cannot be certain that other deficiencies will not be identified or that our remediation efforts will ensure that our management designs, implements and maintains adequate controls over our financial processes and reporting in the future. Any additional deficiencies or material weaknesses that may be identified in the future could, among other things, have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, as well as impair our ability to meet our quarterly, annual and other reporting requirements under the 1934 Act in a timely manner, and require us to incur additional costs and

to divert management resources.

Additionally, any further ineffective internal controls over financial reporting could result in restatements in the future and further increased regulatory scrutiny as well as cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, which could have a negative effect on the trading value of our securities and our ability to raise capital.

**The Company is subject to lending risk.**

As of December 31, 2011, approximately 42.7% of the Company's loan portfolio consisted of commercial real estate loans and construction, land acquisition and development loans. These types of loans are generally viewed as having more risk of default than residential real estate loans or consumer loans. These types of loans are also typically larger than residential real estate loans and consumer loans. Because the Company's loan portfolio contains a significant number of commercial real estate loans with relatively large balances, the deterioration of one or a few of these loans could cause a significant increase in non-performing loans. All non-performing loans totaled \$19.9 million, or 2.9% of total gross loans, as of December 31, 2011, and \$28.3 million, or 3.7% of total gross loans, as of December 31, 2010. An increase in non-performing loans could result in an increase in the provision for possible loan losses and an increase in loan charge-offs, both of which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations. The lending activities in which the Bank engages carry the risk that the borrowers will be unable to perform on their obligations. As such, general economic conditions, nationally and in our primary market area, will have a significant impact on our results of operations. To the extent that economic conditions deteriorate, business and individual borrowers may be less able to meet their obligations to the Bank in full, in a timely manner, resulting in decreased earnings or losses to the Bank. To the extent that loans are secured by real estate, adverse conditions in the real estate market may reduce the ability of the borrower to generate the necessary cash flow for repayment of the loan, and reduce our ability to collect the full amount of the loan upon a default. To the extent that the Bank makes fixed rate loans, general increases in interest rates will tend to reduce our spread as the interest rates the Company must pay for deposits increase while interest income is flat. Economic conditions and interest rates may also adversely affect the value of property pledged as security for loans.

**Our concentrations of loans, including those to insiders and related parties, may create a greater risk of loan defaults and losses.**

A substantial portion of our loans are secured by real estate in the Northeastern Pennsylvania market, and substantially all of our loans are to borrowers in that area. The Company also has a significant amount of commercial real estate, commercial and industrial, construction, land acquisition and development loans and land related loans for residential and commercial developments. At December 31, 2011, \$416.7 million, or 61.3%, of our gross loans were secured by real estate, primarily commercial real estate. Management has taken steps to mitigate the Company's commercial real estate concentration risk by diversification among the types and characteristics of real estate collateral properties, sound underwriting practices, and ongoing portfolio monitoring and market analysis. Of total gross loans, \$33.5 million, or 4.9%, were construction, land acquisition and development loans. Construction, land acquisition and development loans have the highest risk of uncollectability. An additional \$174.2 million, or 25.6%, of portfolio loans were commercial and industrial loans not secured by real estate. Historically, commercial and industrial loans generally have had a higher risk of default than other categories of loans, such as single family residential mortgage loans. The repayments of these loans often depend on the successful operation of a business and are more likely to be adversely affected by adverse economic conditions. With respect to industry concentrations, in our commercial and industrial loans, loans to borrowers in the solid waste landfill industry totaled \$42.3 million of which 96.0% constitutes loans to related parties and is secured by cash. While the Company believes that our loan portfolio is well diversified in terms of borrowers and industries, these concentrations expose the Company to the risk that adverse developments in the real estate market, or in the general economic conditions in the Company's general market area, could increase the levels of non-performing loans and charge-offs, and reduce loan demand. In that event, the Company would likely experience lower earnings or losses. Additionally, if, for any reason, economic conditions in our market area deteriorate, or there is significant volatility or weakness in the economy or any significant sector of the area's economy, the Company's ability to develop our business relationships may be diminished, the quality and collectability of our loans may be adversely affected, the value of collateral may decline and loan demand may be reduced.



Table of Contents

Commercial real estate, commercial and industrial and construction, land acquisition and development loans tend to have larger balances than single family mortgages loans and other consumer loans. Because the loan portfolio contains a significant number of commercial and industrial loans, commercial real estate loans and construction, land acquisition and development loans with relatively large balances, the deterioration of one or a few of these loans may cause a significant increase in non-performing assets. An increase in non-performing loans could result in: a loss of earnings from these loans, an increase in the provision for loan losses, or an increase in loan charge-offs, which could have an adverse impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

Guidance adopted by federal banking regulators provides that banks having concentrations in construction, land development or commercial real estate loans are expected to have and maintain higher levels of risk management and, potentially, higher levels of capital, which may adversely affect shareholder returns, or require us to obtain additional capital sooner than the Company otherwise would. Excluded from the scope of this guidance are loans secured by non-farm nonresidential properties where the primary source of repayment is the cash flow from the ongoing operations and activities conducted by the party, or affiliate of the party, who owns the property.

Outstanding loans and line of credit balances to directors, officers and their related parties totaled \$87.4 million as of December 31, 2011, of which approximately 74.8% were secured by cash. Of those, loans in the amount of \$244 thousand were not performing in accordance with the terms of the loan agreements. Also, as of December 31, 2011, additional loans to directors, officers and their related parties in the amount of \$702 thousand were categorized as criticized loans within the Bank's risk rating system, meaning they are considered to present a higher risk of collection than other loans. (Please refer to Note 14 Related Party Transactions to our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report and Transactions with Related Persons included in Item 13 of this report for more detail.)

**Our financial condition and results of operations would be adversely affected if our ALLL is not sufficient to absorb actual losses or if we are required to increase our ALLL.**

The lending activities in which the Bank engages carry the risk that the borrowers will be unable to perform on their obligations, and that the collateral securing the payment of their obligations may be insufficient to assure repayment. The Company may experience significant credit losses, which could have a material adverse effect on its operating results. The Company makes various assumptions and judgments about the collectability of its loan portfolio, including the creditworthiness of its borrowers and the value of the real estate and other assets serving as collateral for the repayment of many of its loans, which it uses as a basis to estimate and establish its reserves for losses. In determining the amount of the ALLL, the Company reviews its loans and its loss and delinquency experience, and the Company evaluates economic conditions. If these assumptions prove to be incorrect, the ALLL may not cover inherent losses in its loan portfolio at the date of its financial statements. Material additions to the Company's allowance would materially decrease its net income. At December 31, 2011, the ALLL totaled \$20.8 million, representing 3.1% of total loans.

Although the Company believes it has underwriting standards to manage normal lending risks, it is difficult to assess the future performance of our loan portfolio due to the current economic environment and the state of the real estate market. The assessment of future performance of the loan portfolio is inherently uncertain. The Company can give no assurance that non-performing loans will not increase or that non-performing or delinquent loans will not adversely affect the Company's future performance.

In addition, federal regulators periodically review the Company's ALLL and may require increases to the ALLL or further loan charge-offs. In 2009, as a result of regulatory review, the Company revised its ALLL methodology and increased the ALLL. Any increase in ALLL or loan charge-offs as required by these regulatory agencies could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

**If we conclude that the decline in value of any of our investment securities is other than temporary, we are required to write-down the security, to reflect its credit-related impairments through a charge to earnings.**

The Company reviews its investment securities portfolio at each quarter-end reporting period to determine whether the fair value is below the current carrying value. When the fair value of any of the Company's investment securities has declined below its carrying value, the Company is required to assess whether the decline is other than temporary ( OTTI ). If the Company concludes that the decline is other than temporary, it is required to write-down the value of that security, to reflect its credit-related impairments through a charge to earnings. As of December 31, 2011, the Company's investment portfolio included four pooled trust preferred collateralized debt obligations ( PreTSLs ) with an amortized cost of \$10.6 million and an estimated fair value of \$3.8 million. Changes in the expected cash flows of these securities and/or prolonged price declines may result in additional impairment of these securities that is other than temporary in future periods, which would require a charge to earnings to write-down these securities to their fair value. Due to the complexity of the calculations and assumptions used in determining whether an asset, such as pooled trust preferred securities, is impaired, the impairment disclosed may not accurately reflect the actual impairment in the future. In addition, to the extent that the

Table of Contents

value of any of the Company's investment securities is sensitive to fluctuations in interest rates, any increase in interest rates may result in a decline in the value of such investment securities.

The Company recognized total OTTI charges of \$798 thousand on its PreTSL securities for 2011 primarily as a result of market developments, some of which became evident through subsequent events analyses after December 31, 2011.

The Company holds approximately \$8.4 million in capital stock of the Federal Home Loan of Pittsburgh ( FHLB ) as of December 31, 2011. The Company must own such capital stock to qualify for membership in the Federal Home Loan Bank system which enables it to borrow funds under the FHLB advance program. If FHLB were to cease operations, the Company's business, financial condition, liquidity, capital and results of operations may be materially and adversely affected.

**Changes in interest rates could reduce our income, cash flows and asset values.**

The Company's earnings and cash flows are largely dependent upon its net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between interest income earned on interest-earning assets such as loans and securities and interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities such as deposits and borrowed funds. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond the Company's control, including general economic conditions and policies of various governmental and regulatory agencies and, in particular, the FRB. Changes in monetary policy, including changes in interest rates, could influence not only the interest the Company receives on loans and securities and the amount of interest it pays on deposits and borrowings, but such changes could also affect (i) the Company's ability to originate loans and obtain deposits, (ii) the fair value of the Company's financial assets and liabilities, and (iii) the average duration of the Company's mortgage-backed securities portfolio.

If the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings increase at a faster rate than the interest rates received on loans and other investments, the Company's net interest income, and therefore earnings, could be adversely affected. Earnings could also be adversely affected if the interest rates received on loans and other investments fall more quickly than the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings. Any substantial, unexpected, prolonged change in market interest rates could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

**The Company will need to raise additional capital in the future, but that capital may not be available when it is needed and on terms favorable to current shareholders.**

Laws, regulations and banking regulators require the Company and Bank to maintain adequate levels of capital to support their operations. In addition, capital levels are also determined by the Company's management and Board of Directors based on capital levels that they believe are necessary to support the Company's business operations. Also, pursuant to the Order and the Agreement, the Company and the Bank are required to maintain increased capital levels in compliance with the Company's revised capital plan. The Company is evaluating its present and future capital requirements and needs and is also analyzing capital raising alternatives and options. Even if the Company succeeds in meeting the current regulatory capital requirements, the Company may need to raise additional capital in the future to support possible loan losses during future periods or to meet future regulatory capital requirements.



## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

The Board of Directors may determine from time to time that the Company needs to raise additional capital by issuing additional common shares or other securities. The Company is not restricted from issuing additional common shares, including securities that are convertible into or exchangeable for, or that represent the right to receive, common shares. Because the Company's decision to issue securities in any future offering will depend on market conditions and other factors beyond its control, the Company cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing or nature of any future offerings, or the prices at which such offerings may be affected. Such offerings will likely be dilutive to common shareholders from ownership, earnings and book value perspectives. New investors also may have rights, preferences and privileges that are senior to, and that adversely affect, our then current common shareholders. Additionally, if the Company raises additional capital by making additional offerings of debt or preferred equity securities, upon liquidation, holders of the Company's debt securities and shares of preferred shares, and lenders with respect to other borrowings, will receive distributions of the Company's available assets prior to the holders of the Company's common shares. Additional equity offerings may dilute the holdings of our existing shareholders or reduce the market price of the Company's common shares, or both. Holders of the Company's common shares are not entitled to preemptive rights or other protections against dilution.

The Company cannot assure that additional capital will be available on acceptable terms or at all. Any occurrence that may limit the Company's access to the capital markets may adversely affect the Company's capital costs and its ability to raise capital and, in turn, its liquidity. Moreover, if the Company needs to raise capital, it may have to do so when many other financial institutions are also seeking to raise capital and would have to compete with those institutions for investors. An inability to raise additional capital on acceptable terms when needed could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

**Interruptions or security breaches of our information systems could negatively affect our financial performance or reputation.**

In conducting its business, the Company relies heavily on its information systems. Maintaining and protecting those systems is difficult and expensive, as is dealing with any failure, interruption or breach of those systems. Any damage, failure or breach could cause an interruption in the Company's operations. Computer break-ins, phishing and other disruptions could also jeopardize the security of information stored in and transmitted through the Company's computer systems and network infrastructure. The occurrence of any failures, interruptions or breaches could damage the Company's reputation, cause the Company to incur additional expenses, result in a loss of customer business and data, or expose the Company to liability, any of which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

**As of the date of this report, we are not currently able to pay dividends on the common shares, or repurchase common shares.**

The Company conducts its principal business operations through the Bank and the cash that it uses to pay dividends is derived from dividends paid to the Company by the Bank; therefore, its ability to pay dividends is dependent on the performance of the Bank and on the Bank's capital requirements. The Bank's ability to pay dividends to the Company and the Company's ability to pay dividends to its shareholders are also limited by certain legal and regulatory restrictions. In particular, pursuant to the supervisory agreements that the Company and the Bank have entered into with their regulators, the Company and the Bank are prohibited from declaring or paying any dividends and the Company is also prohibited from taking dividends or other payments representing a reduction of the Bank's capital without prior regulatory approval.

**Our profitability depends significantly on economic conditions in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania specifically in Lackawanna, Luzerne, Wayne and Monroe counties.**

The Company's success depends primarily on the general economic conditions in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the specific local markets in which the Company operates. Unlike larger national or other regional banks that are more geographically diversified, the Company provides banking and financial services to customers primarily in the Lackawanna, Luzerne, Wayne and Monroe County markets. The local economic conditions in these areas have a significant impact on the demand for the Company's products and services as well as the ability of the Company's customers to repay loans, the value of the collateral securing loans, and the stability of the Company's deposit funding sources. A significant decline in general economic conditions, caused by inflation, recession, acts of terrorism, outbreak of hostilities or other international or domestic occurrences, unemployment, changes in securities markets or other factors could impact these local economic conditions and, in turn, have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

**We rely on our management and other key personnel and the loss of any of them and the increased turnover of management may adversely affect our operations.**

The Company hired its current President and Chief Executive Officer ( CEO ) in December 2011. From the first quarter of 2010, when the Company's President and CEO resigned, until December 2011, the Company operated without a permanent CEO. In May 2012, the Company announced that its current Chief Financial Officer ( CFO ), who has been CFO since he was hired in September 2010, gave notice of his intention to resign, though he also agreed to remain in his position while the Company completes certain regulatory filings, including the filing of this report. The Company is in the process of searching for his replacement. In addition, since August 2010, the Company hired a Chief Credit Officer, a Chief Risk Officer, an Internal Audit Manager, as well as numerous additional personnel. As a result of the senior management

turnover, until the Company integrates its new personnel, and such personnel are able to perform successfully their designated functions, it may be unable to successfully manage and grow the business and its financial condition and profitability may suffer. The Company believes each member of the senior management team is important to the Company's success and the unexpected loss of any of these persons could impair our day-to-day operations as well as its strategic direction.

The Company's success depends, in large part, on its ability to attract and retain key people. Competition for the best people in most activities engaged in by the Company can be intense and the Company may not be able to hire people or retain them. The unexpected loss of services of one or more of the Company's key personnel could have a material adverse impact on the Company's business due to the loss of their skills, knowledge of the Company's market, years of industry experience and to the difficulty of promptly finding qualified replacement personnel. The Company does not currently have employment agreements or non-competition agreements with any of its senior officers.

**We are subject to claims and litigation pertaining to fiduciary responsibility.**

From time to time, customers and shareholders make claims and take legal action pertaining to the Company's performance of its fiduciary responsibilities. Whether customer and shareholder claims and legal action related to the Company's performance of its fiduciary responsibilities are founded or unfounded, if such claims and legal actions are not resolved in a manner favorable to the

Table of Contents

Company they may result in significant financial liability and/or adversely affect the market perception of the Company and its products and services as well as impact customer demand for those products and services. Moreover, as a result of the restatement of its financial statements and revisions to many of its policies made for the periods ended December 31, 2009, March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010, the Company may be at increased risk for such litigation. For example, on May 24, 2012, a putative shareholder by the name of Lori Gray filed a complaint in the Court of Common Pleas in Lackawanna County against certain present and former directors of the Company (including all of the current directors except Steven R. Tokach and Thomas J. Melone) and Demetrius & Company, LLC ( Demetrius ) alleging, inter alia, breach of fiduciary duty, abuse of control, corporate waste, unjust enrichment and, in the case of Demetrius, professional negligence, negligent misrepresentation, breach of contract and aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty. The Company has been named as a nominal defendant.

Any financial liability or reputation damage could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

**The price of our common shares may fluctuate significantly, which may make it difficult for investors to resell common shares at a time or prices they find attractive.**

The Company's share price may fluctuate significantly as a result of a variety of factors, many of which are beyond our control. These factors include, in addition to those described above:

- actual or anticipated quarterly fluctuations in our operating results and financial condition;
- changes in financial estimates or publication of research reports and recommendations by financial analysts or actions taken by rating agencies with respect to the Company or other financial institutions;
- speculation in the press or investment community generally or relating to the Company's reputation or the financial services industry;
- strategic actions by the Company or its competitors, such as acquisitions, restructurings, dispositions or financings;
- fluctuations in the stock price and operating results of the Company's competitors;
- future sales of the Company's equity or equity-related securities;
- proposed or adopted regulatory changes or developments;
- anticipated or pending investigations, proceedings, audits or litigation that involve or affect us;
- domestic and international economic factors unrelated to the Company's performance; and
- general market conditions and, in particular, developments related to market conditions for the financial services industry.

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

In addition, in recent years, the stock market in general has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations. This volatility has had a significant effect on the market price of securities issued by many companies, including for reasons unrelated to their operating performance. These broad market fluctuations may adversely affect the Company's share price, notwithstanding the Company's operating results. The Company expects that the market price of its common shares will continue to fluctuate and there can be no assurances about the levels of the market prices for our common shares.

**An active public market for our common stock does not currently exist. As a result, shareholders may not be able to quickly and easily sell their common shares.**

The Company's common shares were quoted, through December 17, 2010, on the over the counter bulletin board market, and are currently quoted on OTC Markets Group, Inc. During the year ended December 31, 2011, an average of 1,758 shares traded on a daily basis. There can be no assurance that an active and liquid market for the Company's common shares will develop, or if one develops that it can be maintained. The absence of an active trading market may make it difficult to subsequently sell the Company's common shares at the prevailing price, particularly in large quantities. For a further discussion, see Item 5- Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities of this report.

### **Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.**

None.

Table of Contents

**Item 2. Properties.**

The Company currently conducts business from its main office located at 102 East Drinker Street, Dunmore, Pennsylvania, 18512 and from its additional 21 branches located throughout Lackawanna, Luzerne, Wayne and Monroe counties. At December 31, 2011, aggregate net book value of premises and equipment was \$18.8 million. With the exception of potential remodeling of certain facilities to provide for the efficient use of work space and/or to maintain an appropriate appearance, each property is considered reasonably adequate for current and anticipated needs.

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Property	Location	Ownership	Type of Use
1	102 East Drinker Street Dunmore, PA	Own	Main Office/Branch
2	419-421 Spruce Street Scranton, PA	Own	Scranton Branch
3	934 Main Street Dickson City, PA	Own	Dickson City Branch
4	1743 North Keyser Avenue  Scranton, PA	Lease	Keyser Village Branch
5	23 West Market Street Wilkes-Barre, PA	Lease	Wilkes-Barre Branch
6	1700 North Township Blvd. Pittston, PA	Lease	Pittston Plaza Branch
7	754 Wyoming Avenue Kingston, PA	Lease	Kingston Branch
8	1625 Wyoming Avenue Exeter, PA	Lease	Exeter Branch
9	Route 502 & 435 Daleville, PA	Lease	Daleville Branch
10	27 North River Road Plains, PA	Lease	Plains Branch
11	169 North Memorial Highway Shavertown, PA	Lease	Back Mountain Branch
12	269 East Grove Street Clarks Green, PA	Own	Clarks Green Branch
13	734 Sans Souci Parkway Hanover Township, PA	Lease	Hanover Township Branch

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

### Table of Contents

14	194 South Market Street Nanticoke, PA	Own	Nanticoke Branch
15	330-352 West Broad Street Hazleton, PA	Own	Hazleton Branch
16	3 Old Boston Road Pittston, PA	Lease	Route 315 Branch
17	1001 Main Street Honesdale, PA	Own	Honesdale Branch
18	301 McConnell Street Stroudsburg, PA	Own	Stroudsburg Branch
19	1127 Texas Palmyra Highway Honesdale, PA	Lease	Honesdale Route 6 Branch
20	5120 Milford Road East Stroudsburg, PA	Own	Marshalls Creek Branch
21	200 South Blakely Street Dunmore, PA	Lease	Administrative Center
22	107-109 South Blakely Street Dunmore, PA	Own	Parking Lot
23	114-116 South Blakely Street Dunmore, PA	Own	Parking Lot
24	1708 Tripp Avenue Dunmore, PA	Own	Parking Lot
25	119-123 South Blakely Street Dunmore, PA	Own	Parking Lot
26	Rt. 940 Blakeslee, PA	Own	Land
27	Route 611 Paradise Township, PA	Own	Land
28	Main Street Taylor, PA	Own	Land
29	Milford Road East Stroudsburg, PA	Own	Land



Table of Contents

30	1219 Wheeler Avenue Dunmore, PA	Lease	Wheeler Ave. Branch
31	280 Mundy Street Wilkes-Barre, PA	Own	Bank offices
32	785 Keystone Industrial Park Road Throop, PA	Lease	Bank Offices

**Item 3. Legal Proceedings.**

Periodically, the Company has been subject to tax audits and there have been various claims and lawsuits against the Company, such as claims to enforce liens, condemnation proceedings on properties in which the Company holds security interests, claims involving the making and servicing of real property loans and other issues incident to its business. On August 8, 2011, the Company announced that it had received document subpoenas from the SEC. The information requested generally relates to disclosure and financial reporting by the Company and the restatement of the Company's financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009, and the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010. The Company is presently cooperating with the SEC in this matter.

On May 24, 2012, a putative shareholder by the name of Lori Gray filed a complaint in the Court of Common Pleas in Lackawanna County against certain present and former directors of the Company (including all of the current directors except Steven R. Tokach and Thomas J. Melone) and Demetrius & Company, LLC ( Demetrius ) alleging, inter alia, breach of fiduciary duty, abuse of control, corporate waste, unjust enrichment and, in the case of Demetrius, professional negligence, negligent misrepresentation, breach of contract and aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty. The Company has been named as a nominal defendant. In January 2012, the Board appointed a special litigation committee to investigate the matters raised in the Gray complaint. The special litigation committee retained independent counsel to assist with its investigation. This matter is in a preliminary stage and the Company cannot determine the outcome or potential range of loss at this time.

The Company is also a party to routine litigation involving various aspects of its business, and is party to a trademark infringement claim, none of which is expected to have a material adverse impact on the consolidated financial condition, results of operations or liquidity of the Company.

**Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.**

Not Applicable

**PART II**

**Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.**

**Market Prices of Stock and Dividends Paid**

The Company's common shares are not actively traded. The principal market area for the Company's shares is northeastern Pennsylvania, although shares are held by residents of other states across the country. In the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company was notified by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) that the Company's common shares would cease to be eligible for continued quotation on the Over the Counter (OTC) Bulletin Board after December 17, 2010. This determination was based on the Company's delay in filing its quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the third quarter of 2010. The Company's common shares are currently quoted on the OTC Markets Group, Inc. (formerly referred to as the Pink Sheets) under the symbol FNCB. The Company intends to petition FINRA to return to full quotation status on the OTC Bulletin Board after becoming current with its reporting requirements under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the 1934 Act). Quarterly market highs and lows and dividends paid for each of the past two years are presented below. These prices represent actual transactions.

Table of Contents

QUARTER	MARKET PRICE			DIVIDENDS PAID PER SHARE		
	HIGH	2011	LOW			
First	\$	4.80	\$	3.20	\$	0.00
Second		3.99		3.05		0.00
Third		3.50		2.50		0.00
Fourth		2.99		2.00		0.00

QUARTER	2010					
	HIGH	2010	LOW			
First	\$	5.80	\$	4.51	\$	0.00
Second		5.34		4.00		0.00
Third		4.15		3.00		0.00
Fourth		4.05		3.00		0.00

**Holders**

As of August 6, 2012 there were 1,619 holders of record of the Company's common shares.

**Dividend Calendar**

Dividends on the Company's common shares, if approved by the Board of Directors, are customarily paid on or about March 15, June 15, September 15 and December 15. Record dates for dividends are customarily on or about March 1, June 1, September 1, and December 1. The Company did not pay any cash dividends in 2011 or 2010. As of February 26, 2010, the Company has suspended paying dividends indefinitely and, as a result of the Order and the Agreement, will not resume paying dividends without prior permission from the OCC and the Reserve Bank.

**Equity Compensation Plan**

The following table summarizes our equity compensation plan information as of December 31, 2011. These plans expired on August 30, 2010, and as such, no new grants of awards have been or will be made pursuant to these plans. Options, however, can be exercised up to ten years following their date of grant, accordingly, exercisable options remain outstanding. Information is included for both equity compensation plans approved by First National Community Bancorp, Inc. shareholders and equity compensation plans not approved by First National Community Bancorp, Inc. shareholders.

Plan Category	Number of shares to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (1) (2)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (1) (2)	Number of shares remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
			(2)

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

	(a)		(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by First National Community Bancorp, Inc. shareholders	188,193	\$	12.62	0
Equity compensation plans not approved by First National Community Bancorp, Inc. shareholders	0		0	0
<b>Totals</b>	<b>188,193</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>12.62</b>	<b>0</b>

---

(1) The number of shares to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options and the weighted average exercise price includes any options that become exercisable within sixty (60) days after December 31, 2011.

(2) The Company's equity compensation plans include the 2000 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan and the 2000 Employee Stock Incentive Plan which were approved by shareholders on May 16, 2001. All share and per share information has been adjusted to reflect prior stock dividends paid.

### Performance Graph

The following graph compares the cumulative total shareholder return (i.e. price change, reinvestment of cash dividends and stock dividends received) on our common shares against the cumulative total return of the NASDAQ Stock Market (U.S. Companies) Index

Table of Contents

and the SNL Bank Index. The stock performance graph assumes that \$100 was invested on December 31, 2006. The graph further assumes the reinvestment of dividends into additional shares of the same class of equity securities at the frequency with which dividends are paid on such securities during the relevant fiscal year. The yearly points marked on the horizontal axis correspond to December 31 of that year. The Company calculates each of the referenced indices in the same manner. All are market-capitalization-weighted indices, so companies judged by the market to be more important (i.e. more valuable) count for more in all indices.

Index	Period Ending					
	12/31/06	12/31/07	12/31/08	12/31/09	12/31/10	12/31/11
First National Community Bancorp, Inc.	100.00	83.83	49.57	28.05	14.05	11.67
NASDAQ Composite	100.00	110.66	66.42	96.54	114.06	113.16
SNL Bank \$1B-\$5B	100.00	72.84	60.42	43.31	49.09	44.77

(\*) Source: SNL Financial LC, Charlottesville, VA © 2011. SNL Securities is a research and publishing firm specializing in the collection and dissemination of data on the banking, thrift and financial services industries.

**Purchase of Equity Securities by the Issuer or Affiliates Purchasers**

None

**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The selected consolidated financial and other data and management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations set forth below and in Item 7 hereof is derived in part from, and should be read in conjunction with, the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained elsewhere herein. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior years' consolidated financial statements to conform to the current year's presentation. Those reclassifications did not impact net income.

Table of Contents**FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

(In thousands, except share data)	For the Years Ended December 31,				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Total assets	\$ 1,102,639	\$ 1,167,298	\$ 1,366,332	\$ 1,313,759	\$ 1,297,553
Securities, available-for-sale	185,475	251,072	252,946	245,900	295,727
Securities, held-to-maturity	2,094	1,994	1,899	1,808	1,722
Net loans	659,044	735,813	917,516	956,674	897,665
Total deposits	957,136	982,436	1,071,608	952,892	945,517
Borrowed funds	83,571	137,604	217,467	202,243	135,942
Shareholders' equity	39,925	32,055	63,084	100,342	107,142
Interest income	42,936	55,471	64,398	73,451	81,886
Interest expense	13,867	21,868	25,196	33,242	42,572
Net interest income before provision for loan and lease losses	29,069	33,603	39,202	40,209	39,314
Provision for loan and lease losses	523	25,041	42,089	1,804	2,200
Non-interest income (loss)	12,949	1,282	(12,001)	7,812	6,345
Non-interest expenses	41,830	41,564	38,022	26,530	23,797
Income (loss) before income taxes	(335)	(31,720)	(52,910)	19,687	19,662
Provision (credit) for income taxes			(8,594)	4,604	4,966
Net income (loss)	(335)	(31,720)	(44,316)	15,083	14,696
Cash dividends paid			2,738	7,294	6,614
Per share data (1):					
Earnings per share - basic	\$ (0.02)	\$ (1.94)	\$ (2.74)	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.94
Earnings per share - diluted	\$ (0.02)	\$ (1.94)	\$ (2.74)	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.93
Cash dividends (2)	\$	\$	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.42
Book value per share	\$ 2.43	\$ 1.95	\$ 3.87	\$ 5.59	\$ 6.25
Weighted average number of shares outstanding - basic	16,439,508	16,354,245	16,169,777	15,862,335	15,601,377
Weighted average number of shares outstanding - diluted	16,439,508	16,354,245	16,169,777	15,946,149	15,786,028
Average equity to average assets	3.04%	4.10%	6.89%	8.12%	8.23%

(1) All common share amounts reflect a 25% common stock dividend issued December 27, 2007.

(2) Cash dividends per share have been adjusted to reflect the 25% stock dividend paid December 27, 2007.

The following table identifies financial performance ratios for the years ended:

	2011	December 31, 2010	2009
Return on average assets	(0.03)%	(2.44)%	(3.29)%
Return on average equity	(0.98)%	(59.44)%	(47.78)%
Equity to assets ratio	3.04%	4.10%	6.89%

Dividend payout ratio	0.00%	0.00%	(6.18)%
-----------------------	-------	-------	---------

**Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

Management's discussion and analysis represents an overview of the financial condition and results of operations and should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Item 8 of this report and Risk Factors detailed in Item 1A of Part I of this report.

The Company plans to file in the near term its quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the quarterly periods ended March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012. This report should be read in conjunction with such reports and all such reports should be read in their entirety.

We are in the business of providing customary retail and commercial banking services to individuals and businesses. Our core market is northeastern Pennsylvania.



Table of Contents

**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

The Company may from time to time make written or oral forward-looking statements, including statements contained in the Company's filings with the SEC, in its reports to shareholders, and in other communications by the Company, which are made in good faith by the Company pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

These forward-looking statements include statements with respect to the Company's beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, expectations, anticipations, estimates and intentions, that are subject to significant risks and uncertainties, and are subject to change based on various factors (some of which are beyond the Company's control). The words may, could, should, would, believe, anticipate, estimate, expect, intend, expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. The following factors, among others, could cause the Company's financial performance to differ materially from the plans, objectives, expectations, estimates and intentions expressed in such forward-looking statements: the strength of the United States economy in general and the strength of the local economies in the Company's markets; the effects of, and changes in trade, monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including interest rate policies of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System; inflation, interest rate, market and monetary fluctuations; the timely development of and acceptance of new products and services; the impact of the Company's ability to comply with its regulatory agreements and orders; the effectiveness of the Company's revised system of internal controls; the ability of the Company to attract additional capital investment; the impact of changes in financial services laws and regulations (including laws concerning taxes, banking, securities and insurance); technological changes; changes in consumer spending and saving habits; the nature, extent, and timing of governmental actions and reforms, and the success of the Company at managing the risks involved in the foregoing.

The Company cautions that the foregoing list of important factors is not all inclusive. Readers are also cautioned not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements, which reflect management's analysis only as of the date of this report, even if subsequently made available by the Company on its website or otherwise. The Company does not undertake to update any forward-looking statement, whether written or oral, that may be made from time to time by or on behalf of the Company to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this report.

**CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management has made estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated statements of condition and results of operations for the periods indicated. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates.

The Company's accounting policies are fundamental to understanding management's discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operations. The Company's significant accounting policies are presented in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements. Management has identified the policies on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses ( ALLL ), securities valuation, goodwill and other intangible assets and income taxes to be critical as management is required to make subjective and/or complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain and could be most subject to revision as new information becomes available.

The judgments used by management in applying the critical accounting policies discussed below may be affected by a further and prolonged deterioration in the economic environment, which may result in changes to future financial results. Specifically, subsequent evaluations of the

loan portfolio, in light of the factors then prevailing, may result in significant changes in the ALLL in future periods, and the inability to collect on outstanding loans could result in increased loan losses. In addition, the valuation of certain securities in the Company's investment portfolio could be negatively impacted by illiquidity or dislocation in marketplaces resulting in significantly depressed market prices thus leading to further impairment losses.

*Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses*

The ALLL is established as losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision for loan losses charged to earnings, and is maintained at a level that management considers adequate to absorb losses in the loan portfolio. Loans are charged against the ALLL when management believes the uncollectability of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the ALLL.

The ALLL represents management's estimate of probable loan losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Determining the amount of the ALLL is considered a critical accounting estimate because it requires significant judgment and the use of estimates related to the amount and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, estimated losses on pools of homogeneous loans based on historical loss experience, qualitative factors, and consideration of current economic trends and conditions, all of which may be susceptible to significant change. Various banking regulators, as an integral part of their examination of the Company, also review the ALLL. Such regulators may require, based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination, that certain loan

Table of Contents

balances be charged off or require that adjustments be made to the ALLL. Additionally, the ALLL is determined, in part, by the composition and size of the loan portfolio.

The ALLL consists of specific and general components. The specific component relates to loans that are classified as impaired. For such loans an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows, collateral value or observable market price of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. The general component covers all other loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted by qualitative factors. The general reserve component of the ALLL is based on pools of unimpaired loans segregated generally by loan type and risk rating categories of Pass, Special Mention or Substandard and Accruing, and historical loss factors and varied qualitative factor basis point allocations are applied based on the risk profile in each pool to determine the appropriate reserve related to those loans. Substandard loans on nonaccrual status are included in impaired loans.

See Note 2- Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Note 5- Loans of the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8- Financial Statements and Supplementary Data for additional information about the ALLL.

*Securities Valuation*

Management utilizes various inputs to determine the fair value of its investment portfolio. To the extent they exist, unadjusted quoted market prices in active markets (level 1) or quoted prices on similar assets or models using inputs that are observable, either directly or indirectly (level 2) are utilized to determine the fair value of each investment in the portfolio. In the absence of observable inputs or if markets are illiquid, valuation techniques would be used to determine fair value of any investments that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement (level 3). For level 3 inputs, valuation techniques are based on various assumptions, including, but not limited to cash flows, discount rates, adjustments for nonperformance and liquidity, and liquidation values. A significant degree of judgment is involved in valuing investments using level 3 inputs. The use of different assumptions could have a positive or negative effect on the consolidated financial condition or results of operations. See Note 4- Securities and Note 18- Fair Value Measurements of the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 hereof for more information about our securities valuation techniques.

Management must periodically evaluate if unrealized losses (as determined based on the securities valuation methodologies discussed above) on individual securities classified as held-to-maturity or available-for-sale in the investment portfolio are considered to be OTTI. The analysis of OTTI requires the use of various assumptions, including but not limited to, the length of time an investment's fair value is less than book value, the severity of the investment's decline, any credit deterioration of the issuer, whether management intends to sell the security, and whether it is more-likely-than-not that the Company will be required to sell the security prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis. Debt investment securities deemed to be OTTI are written down by the impairment related to the estimated credit loss and the non-credit related impairment loss is recognized in other comprehensive income. The Company recognized OTTI charges on securities of \$0.8 million, \$4.3 million, and \$20.6 in 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively, within the consolidated statements of operations. For 2011, the OTTI charges relate to estimated credit losses on pooled trust preferred securities. See Note 4- Securities included in Item 8- Financial Statements and Supplementary Data to the consolidated financial statements for additional information about our OTTI charges.

*Other Real Estate Owned*

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Other real estate owned ( OREO ) consists of property acquired by foreclosure or deed in-lieu of foreclosure. It is held for sale and is initially recorded at fair value less cost to sell at the date of foreclosure, which establishes a new cost basis. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the assets are carried at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell. Upon acquisition of the property, any write-down to fair value less estimated selling costs is charged to the ALLL. This determination is made on an individual asset basis. Fair value is determined through external appraisals, current letters of intent, broker price opinions or executed agreements of sale. Costs relating to the development and improvement of the OREO properties may be capitalized; holding period costs and subsequent changes to the valuation allowance are charged to expense.

### *Goodwill Impairment*

The Company records all assets, liabilities, and non-controlling interests in purchase acquisitions, including goodwill and other intangible assets, at fair value as of the acquisition date, and expenses all acquisition related costs as incurred. Goodwill is not amortized but is subject to annual tests for impairment or more often if events or circumstances indicate it may be impaired. Other intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful lives and are subject to impairment tests if events or circumstances indicate a possible inability to realize the carrying amount. The initial recording of goodwill and other intangible assets requires subjective judgments concerning estimates of the fair value of the acquired assets.

Table of Contents

On an annual basis, if the Company has goodwill on its books, management evaluates goodwill for impairment. If circumstances are present that would indicate potential impairment of its goodwill, such as the trading value of the Company's common shares below its book value, adverse changes in the business or legal climate, actions by regulators, or loss of key personnel, management would test the carrying value of goodwill for impairment at an interim date.

The goodwill impairment test is performed in two phases. The first step compares the fair value of the reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is not considered impaired; however, if the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, an additional procedure must be performed.

In the second step, management calculates the implied value of goodwill by simulating a business combination for each reporting unit. This step subtracts the estimated fair value of net assets in the reporting unit from the step one estimated fair value to determine the implied value of goodwill. If the implied value of goodwill exceeds the carrying value of goodwill allocated to the reporting unit, goodwill is not impaired, but if the implied value of goodwill is less than the carrying value of the goodwill allocated to the reporting unit, a goodwill impairment charge for the difference is recognized in the consolidated statement of operations with a corresponding reduction to goodwill on the consolidated statement of financial condition.

In performing its evaluation of goodwill impairment, management makes significant judgments, particularly with respect to estimating the fair value of each reporting unit and if the second step test is required, estimating the fair value of net assets. The Company utilizes a third-party specialist who assists with valuation techniques to evaluate each reporting unit and estimate a fair value as though it were an acquirer. The estimate utilizes historical data, cash flows, and market and industry data. Industry and market data is used to develop material assumptions such as transaction multiples, required rates of return, control premiums, transaction costs and synergies of a transaction, and capitalization.

The impairment test in 2009 resulted in \$8.1 million of impairment, which reduced income by such amount and eliminated goodwill as of December 31, 2009. The Company did not have any goodwill and therefore did not perform a goodwill impairment valuation in 2010 or 2011.

Table of Contents

*Income Taxes*

The objectives of accounting for income taxes are to recognize the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in an entity's financial statements or tax returns. Judgment is required in assessing the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our consolidated financial statements or tax returns. Fluctuations in the actual outcome of these future tax consequences could impact our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

We record income tax provision or benefit based on the amount of tax currently payable or receivable and the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial and tax reporting purposes. We conduct quarterly assessments of all available evidence to determine the amount of deferred tax assets that are more-likely-than-not to be realized. The available evidence used in connection with these assessments includes taxable income in current and prior periods, cumulative losses in prior periods, projected future taxable income, potential tax-planning strategies, and projected future reversals of deferred tax items. Our assumptions and estimates take into consideration our interpretation of tax laws and possible outcomes of current and future audits conducted by tax authorities. These assessments involve a certain degree of subjectivity which may change significantly depending on the related circumstances.

In connection with determining our income tax provision or benefit, the Company considers maintaining liabilities for uncertain tax positions and tax strategies that management believes contain an element of uncertainty. Periodically, the Company evaluates each of its tax positions and strategies to determine whether a liability for uncertain tax benefits is required. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company determined that it did not have any uncertain tax positions or tax strategies and that no liability was required to be recorded. Note 2- Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Note 13 Income Taxes to the consolidated financial statements include additional discussion on the accounting for income taxes.

**New Authoritative Accounting Pronouncements**

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820) - Improving Disclosures About Fair Value Measurements, which requires new disclosures and clarifies certain existing disclosure requirements about fair value measurement. Specifically, the update requires an entity to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and to describe the reasons for such transfers. A reporting entity is required to present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the reconciliation of fair value measurements using Level 3 inputs. In addition, the update clarifies the following requirements of the existing disclosures: (i) for the purposes of reporting fair value measurement for each class of assets and liabilities, a reporting entity needs to use judgment in determining the appropriate classes of assets; and (ii) a reporting entity is required to include disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. The amendments were effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the separate disclosures of purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures were effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The disclosures required by ASU No. 2010-06 are included in Note 18 Fair Value Measurements to the consolidated financial statements.

In July 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-20, Receivables (Topic 310) - Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses, which required significant new disclosures about the credit quality of financing receivables and the allowance

for credit losses. The objective of these disclosures is to improve financial statement users' understanding of (i) the nature of an entity's credit risk associated with its financing receivables and (ii) the entity's assessment of that risk in estimating its allowance for credit losses as well as changes in the allowance and the reasons for those changes. The disclosures should be presented at the level of disaggregation that management uses when assessing and monitoring the portfolio's risk and performance. The required disclosures include, among other things, a roll forward of the allowance for credit losses as well as information about modified, impaired, non-accrual and past due loans and credit quality indicators. ASU No. 2010-20 disclosures related to period-end information (e.g., credit-quality information and the ending financing receivables balance segregated by impairment method) were required in all interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. Disclosures of activity that occurs during a reporting period (e.g., the roll forward of the allowance for credit losses by portfolio segment) were required in interim or annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. Comparative disclosures for reporting periods ending after initial adoption are required. Since the provisions of ASU No. 2010-20 are only disclosure related, our adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements. The disclosures required by ASU No. 2010-20 are included in Note 5 - Loans to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

In January 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-01, *Receivables (Topic 310) - Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings* in Update No. 2010-20, which postponed the effective date of new disclosure requirements for troubled debt restructurings. The new effective date for these disclosures about troubled debt restructurings was aligned with the finalization of the effective date of the exposure drafts *Clarifications to Accounting for Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors*, which was effective for interim and annual periods ending on or after June 15, 2011.

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-02, *A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring* (ASU 2011-02), an update to ASC Topic 310- *Receivables*. ASU No. 2011-02 provides guidance in evaluating whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring. In order to meet the requirements for a troubled debt restructuring, a creditor must separately conclude that both the restructuring constitutes a concession and the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. The amendments clarify the guidance on a creditor's evaluation of whether it has granted a concession and also clarify the guidance on a creditor's evaluation of whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. ASU No. 2011-02 was effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011 and was applied retrospectively to the beginning of the annual period of adoption. The adoption of ASU No. 2011-02 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, *Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS)*, an update to ASC Topic 820 - *Fair Value Measurement*. ASU 2011-04 results in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and IFRS. The amendments in ASU 2011-04 include clarifications about the application of existing fair value measurement requirements and changes to principles for measuring fair value. ASU 2011-04 also requires additional disclosures about fair value measurements. ASU 2011-04 is required to be applied prospectively and is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adoption of ASU 2011-04 on the Company's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, *Presentation of Comprehensive Income* - an update to ASC Topic 220 - *Comprehensive Income*. ASU No. 2011-05 was issued to improve the comparability, consistency and transparency of financial reporting. The amendment provides the entity an option to present the total of comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The amendments do not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income. ASU No. 2011-05 is required to be applied retrospectively and is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. ASU No. 2011-05 is an update only for presentation and as such will not impact the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-12 - *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) - Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income* in ASU No. 2011-05. This update defers only those changes in ASU No. 2011-05 that relate to the presentation of reclassification adjustments, the paragraphs in this update supersede certain pending paragraphs in ASU No. 2011-05. All other requirements in ASU No. 2011-05 are not affected by this update, including the requirement to report comprehensive income either in a single continuous financial statement or in two separate but consecutive financial statements and is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-11 - *Balance Sheet (Topic 210) - Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities*. The objective of this update is to provide enhanced disclosures that will enable users of its financial statements to evaluate the effect or potential effect of netting arrangements on an entity's financial position. This includes the effect or potential effect of rights of setoff associated with an entity's recognized assets and recognized liabilities within the scope of the update. The amendments require enhanced disclosures by requiring improved information about financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either (1) offset in accordance with either ASC 210-20-45 or ASC 815-10-45 or (2) subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement, irrespective of whether they are offset in accordance with either ASC 210-20-45 or ASC 815-10-45. An entity is required to apply the amendments for annual reporting periods beginning



on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. An entity should provide the disclosures required by those amendments retrospectively for all comparative periods presented.

**SUMMARY OF PERFORMANCE**

The Company reported a net loss of \$0.3 million in 2011 compared to a \$31.7 million net loss in 2010. Basic loss per share was \$(0.02) per share, a decrease of \$1.92 from the \$(1.94) per share loss reported in 2010.

Table of Contents

The Company recorded a \$0.5 million provision for loan and lease losses in 2011 as compared to the \$25.0 million provision recorded in 2010. The substantially reduced provision, needed to establish the ALLL at the amount the Company believes is adequate to absorb probable loan losses, resulted primarily from an \$8.4 million or 29.6% decrease in non-performing loans, a \$3.6 million increase in loan recoveries in 2011, and an overall reduction of the loan portfolio. The reduction in delinquent and non-performing loans is attributable to the Company's aggressive write-downs and liquidation of impaired assets during 2009 and 2010, a favorable interest rate environment and stabilizing real estate values that enabled an increased number of borrowers to service their debt during 2011. Other key items contributing to the 2011 results included an \$11.7 million increase in non-interest income, which increased from \$1.3 million in 2010 to \$13.0 million in 2011, and a \$0.3 million increase in non-interest expense. The \$11.7 million increase in non-interest income resulted from (i) a \$5.1 million gain on the sale of investment securities in 2011 compared to the \$1.7 million loss on the sale of investment securities in 2010, (ii) a \$3.5 million reduction in OTTI losses incurred on investment securities to \$0.8 million in 2011 from the \$4.3 million the Company recorded in 2010, and (iii) a \$2.1 million increase in net gains on the sale of OREO to \$2.5 million in 2011 from \$0.4 million in 2010. The \$0.3 million increase in non-interest expense resulted primarily from a \$3.8 million decrease in the OREO expense and a \$1.8 million decrease in other operating expenses partially offset by \$3.4 million increase in professional fees and a \$1.8 million increase in legal fees, both primarily attributable to increased audit, regulatory compliance, and restatement expenses, and a \$1.0 million increase in salary and benefits expenses.

The Company's return on average assets for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 was (0.03%) and (2.44%), respectively, while the return on average equity was (0.98%) and (59.44%), respectively.

**Net Interest Income**

Net interest income consists of interest income and fees on interest-earning assets less interest expense on deposits and borrowed funds. It represents the largest component of the Company's operating income and, as such, is the primary determinant of profitability. The net interest margin on a fully tax-equivalent basis is calculated by dividing tax-equivalent net interest income by average interest-earning assets and is a key measurement used in the banking industry to measure income from earning assets. The net interest margin was 3.10% for the year ended December 31, 2011, an increase of 3 basis points compared to the same period in 2010. This increase in the net interest margin was primarily due to a 15.9% decrease in interest-bearing liabilities, partially offset by a 14.8% decrease in interest-earning assets. Rate spread, the difference between the average yield on interest-earning assets and the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities shown on a fully tax-equivalent basis was 3.0% for 2011, an increase of 6 basis points versus 2010.

Net interest income on a tax-equivalent basis decreased \$5.2 million to \$32.5 million for 2011 compared with \$37.7 million for 2010. During 2011, lower average securities and loan balances and lower yields on interest-earning assets negatively impacted our net interest income. The yield on loans and investments declined 31 basis points and 21 basis points, respectively, partially offset by a 47 basis point decline in the cost of average interest-bearing liabilities and lower average interest-bearing liabilities as compared to 2010. The Federal Reserve kept interest rates stable during 2011 leaving the Federal Funds rate at an historic low of 25 basis points. The Company's floating rate loans are largely indexed to the national prime rate and many of these loans are now at their floors and will remain there until the prime rate moves up enough for their rates to move above their floors. In addition, most of the time deposits in the Company's funding portfolio matured and renewed at lower market rates in 2011.

Average loans totaled \$723.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, a decrease of \$155.3 million, or 17.7%, compared to the same period for 2010. The reduction is primarily due to the net pay downs of real estate loans and commercial and industrial loans of \$51.6 million and \$23.5 million, respectively; the transfer of \$4.0 million of foreclosed loans into OREO; and a smaller portfolio at the onset of 2011. During 2011, loan satisfactions continued to outpace originations and the Company continued to focus its efforts on reducing exposure to higher risk construction, land acquisition and development loans by allowing \$43.9 million of this segment of the portfolio to pay-off. Interest income on a tax equivalent basis for loans decreased \$10.3 million due to the decrease in average loans and a 31 basis point decrease in the average loan

yield as loans continued to reset at lower rates and new business was originated at lower market rates compared with 2010.

The interest income that would have been earned on non-accrual and restructured loans outstanding at December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 in accordance with their original terms approximated \$2.2 million, \$2.9 million, and \$2.8 million, respectively. Interest income on impaired loans of \$238 thousand, \$619 thousand, and \$976 thousand was recognized based on payments received in 2011, 2010 and 2009.

Average investment securities totaled \$232.8 million, a decrease of \$49.2 million, or 17.5%, in 2011 compared to 2010. Interest income on a tax equivalent basis for investment securities decreased \$2.9 million primarily due to reinvestment of pay downs and maturities into more liquid lower yielding securities. Average interest-bearing deposits in other banks increased \$23.0 million as the Company increased its holdings of liquid assets. Interest income on interest-bearing deposits in other banks increased \$17 thousand as the increase in volume more than offset the 4 basis point decline in yield earned.

Table of Contents

Average interest-bearing liabilities totaled \$969.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, a decrease of \$182.8 million, or 15.9%, during 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 due to a decrease in interest-bearing deposits of \$97.9 million, or 10.2%, and a decrease in borrowings of \$84.9 million, or 43.2%.

Average interest-bearing demand deposits, savings deposits, time deposits over \$100 thousand, and other time deposits decreased \$18.4 million, \$4.1 million, \$44.3 million and \$31.1 million, respectively. During 2011, the Company implemented a pricing strategy to reduce its cost of funds and to concentrate deposit growth on short-term maturities. The Company repositioned maturing longer term time deposits into short-term products, whenever possible, and allowed the residual to run-off. The Company used the net proceeds from the sale of investment securities and a portion of the funds provided from loan repayments to fund deposit withdrawals and the maturities of the higher cost time deposits. The cost of interest-bearing demand deposits, savings deposits, time deposits over \$100 thousand, and other time deposits decreased 49, 22, 31 and 56 basis points respectively, from the same period in 2010. The average cost of interest-bearing deposits decreased by 46 basis points to 1.02% in 2011 from 1.48% in 2010. The decrease in the rate on interest-bearing deposits was driven primarily by the pricing decreases that resulted from the Company's pricing strategy, and an overall decrease in market rates. Average borrowed funds and other interest-bearing liabilities totaled \$111.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, a decrease of \$84.9 million, or 43.2%, compared to 2010. During 2011, the Company continued to employ its funds management plan implemented in 2010 and to pay off term borrowings. The Company used a portion of the funds provided from loan repayments to pay off \$53.6 million of term borrowings. The Company did not enter into any new term borrowings in 2011. The Company borrowed and repaid short-term borrowings in the amount of \$60.0 million during 2011. The 67 basis point increase in the cost of borrowed funds for the year ended December 31, 2011 is primarily attributable to the repayment during 2011 of maturing FHLB advances that were at lower rates, resulting in higher-rate borrowings becoming a larger percentage of total borrowings and an increase in the cost of borrowed funds.

Average loans totaled \$879.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, a decrease of \$91.8 million, or 9.5%, compared to the same period for 2009 primarily due to the net pay downs of commercial real estate loans of \$62.6 million, sale of indirect auto loans of \$36.7 million and the transfer of \$9.9 million of foreclosed loans into Other Real Estate Owned (OREO). Interest income on a tax-equivalent basis for loans decreased \$6.9 million due to the decrease of \$91.8 million in average loans and a 22 basis point decrease in the average loan yield as loans continued to reset at lower rates and new business was originated at lower market rates compared with 2009. Average investment securities totaled \$282.1 million, an increase of \$6.7 million, or 2.4%, in 2010 compared to 2009. Interest income on a tax-equivalent basis for investment securities decreased \$1.8 million primarily due to reinvestment of pay downs and maturities into more liquid lower yielding securities. Average federal funds sold increased \$30.1 million as the Company increased its holdings of liquid assets. Interest income on federal funds sold increased \$63 thousand as the increase in volume more than offset the 2 basis point decline in yield earned.

Average interest-bearing liabilities totaled \$1.15 billion for the year ended December 31, 2010, a decrease of \$6.8 million, or 0.6%, compared to the same period in 2009 primarily due to a decrease in time deposits over \$100,000 of \$32.8 million, or 12.7%, and a decrease in borrowings of \$39.0 million, or 16.5%. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in interest-bearing demand deposits of \$41.3 million, or 13.2%, an increase in savings deposits of \$12.5 million, or 15.3%, and an increase in other time deposits of \$11.2 million, or 4.1%. The cost of interest-bearing demand deposits, savings deposits, time deposits over \$100 thousand, and other time deposits decreased 22, 19, 46, and 53 basis points respectively, from the same period in 2009. The average cost of interest-bearing deposits decreased by 41 basis points to 1.48% in 2010 from 1.89% in 2009. The decrease in the rate on interest-bearing deposits was driven primarily by pricing decreases from money markets and time deposits, which are sensitive to interest rate changes. The pricing decreases for these products resulted from an overall decrease in market rates. The rate paid for savings deposits decreased from 0.73% in 2009 to 0.54% in 2010 and the rate paid on time deposits decreased from 2.47% during 2009 to 2.01% during 2010.

Average borrowed funds and other interest-bearing liabilities totaled \$196.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 a decrease of \$39.0 million, or 16.5%, compared to 2009. The Company used the funds provided from loan repayments primarily to pay off borrowings. The 60 basis point increase in the cost of borrowed funds for the year ended December 31, 2010 was primarily attributable to the interest expense on the \$25 million of subordinated debentures the Company issued during the fourth quarter of 2009 and the first quarter of 2010.

The following table reflects the components of net interest income for each of the three years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

(amounts in thousands)	Year ended December 31, 2011			Year ended December 31, 2010			Year ended December 31, 2009		
	Average Balance	Interest	Yield/ Cost	Average Balance	Interest	Yield/ Cost	Average Balance	Interest	Yield/ Cost
<b>ASSETS</b>									
Earning Assets (2)(3)									
Loans-taxable (4)	\$ 688,546	\$ 32,831	4.77%	\$ 826,188	\$ 42,016	5.09%	\$ 919,560	\$ 49,015	5.33%
Loans-tax free (4)	35,150	2,479	7.05%	52,794	3,582	6.78%	51,206	3,520	6.87%
Total Loans (1)(2)	723,696	35,310	4.88%	878,982	45,598	5.19%	970,766	52,535	5.41%
Securities-taxable	123,854	3,198	2.58%	160,690	5,340	3.32%	161,094	7,759	4.82%
Securities-tax free	108,955	7,717	7.08%	121,367	8,470	6.98%	114,298	7,883	6.90%
Total Securities (1)(5)	232,809	10,915	4.69%	282,057	13,810	4.90%	275,392	15,642	5.68%
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks and federal funds sold									
	91,932	178	0.19%	68,949	161	0.23%	38,863	98	0.25%
Total Earning Assets	1,048,437	46,403	4.43%	1,229,988	59,569	4.84%	1,285,021	68,275	5.31%
Non-earning assets	103,685			97,793			73,911		
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(24,108)			(25,587)			(12,770)		
Total Assets	\$ 1,128,014			\$ 1,302,194			\$ 1,346,162		
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY</b>									
Interest-bearing Liabilities									
Interest-bearing demand deposits									
	335,201	1,615	0.48%	353,579	3,442	0.97%	312,285	\$ 3,725	1.19%
Savings deposits	89,494	287	0.32%	93,598	502	0.54%	81,149	589	0.73%
Time deposits over \$100,000	181,146	2,193	1.21%	225,446	3,416	1.52%	258,275	5,097	1.97%
Other time deposits	252,081	4,664	1.85%	283,214	6,832	2.41%	272,001	8,010	2.94%
Total Interest-bearing Deposits	857,922	8,759	1.02%	955,837	14,192	1.48%	923,710	17,421	1.89%
Borrowed funds and other interest-bearing liabilities									
	111,709	5,108	4.57%	196,606	7,676	3.90%	235,559	7,775	3.30%
Total Interest-Bearing Liabilities	969,631	13,867	1.43%	1,152,443	21,868	1.90%	1,159,269	25,196	2.17%
Demand deposits	107,763			82,400			81,081		
Other liabilities	16,301			13,982			13,070		
Shareholders equity	34,319			53,369			92,742		
Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity	\$ 1,128,014			\$ 1,302,194			\$ 1,346,162		
Net Interest Income/Interest Rate Spread (6)									
		32,536	3.00%		37,701	2.94%		43,079	3.14%
Tax equivalent adjustment		(3,467)			(4,098)			(3,877)	
Net interest income as reported		\$ 29,069			\$ 33,603			\$ 39,202	
Net Interest Margin (7)			3.10%			3.07%			3.35%

(1) Interest income is presented on a tax-equivalent basis using a 34% rate for 2011, 2010 and 2009.

(2) Loans are stated net of unearned income.

(3) Nonaccrual loans are included in loans within earning assets.

(4) Loan fees included in interest income are not significant.

(5) The yields for securities that are classified as available-for-sale is based on the average historical amortized cost.

- (6) Interest rate spread represents the difference between the average yield on interest earning assets and the cost of interest-bearing liabilities and is presented on a tax-equivalent basis.
- (7) Net interest income on a tax-equivalent basis as a percentage of total average interest-earning assets.

### **Rate Volume Analysis**

The most significant impact on net income between periods is derived from the interaction of changes in the volume and rates earned or paid on interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The volume of earning dollars in loans and investments, compared to the volume of interest-bearing liabilities represented by deposits and borrowings, combined with the spread, produces the changes in net interest income between periods. Components of interest income and interest expense are presented on a tax-equivalent basis using the statutory federal income tax rate of 34%.

The following table shows the effect of changes in volume and interest rates on net interest income. The variance in interest income or expense due to the combination of rate and volume has been allocated proportionately.

Table of Contents

	December 31, 2011 vs. 2010			December 31, 2010 vs. 2009		
	Increase (Decrease)		Total Change	Increase (Decrease)		Total Change
	Due to Volume	Due to Rate		Due to Volume	Due to Rate	
<b>Interest Income:</b>						
Loans - taxable	\$ (6,682)	\$ (2,503)	\$ (9,185)	\$ (4,820)	\$ (2,179)	\$ (6,999)
Loans - tax free	(1,239)	136	(1,103)	108	(46)	62
Total loans	(7,921)	(2,367)	(10,288)	(4,712)	(2,225)	(6,937)
Securities - taxable	(1,086)	(1,056)	(2,142)	(19)	(2,400)	(2,419)
Securities - tax free	(877)	124	(753)	492	95	587
Total securities	(1,963)	(932)	(2,895)	473	(2,305)	(1,832)
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks and federal funds sold	48	(31)	17	71	(8)	63
Total interest income	(9,836)	(3,330)	(13,166)	(4,168)	(4,538)	(8,706)
<b>Interest Expense:</b>						
Interest-bearing demand deposits	(4)	(1,823)	(1,827)	455	(738)	(283)
Savings deposits	(21)	(194)	(215)	82	(169)	(87)
Time deposits over \$100,000	(605)	(618)	(1,223)	(595)	(1,086)	(1,681)
Other time deposits	(695)	(1,473)	(2,168)	319	(1,497)	(1,178)
Total interest-bearing deposits	(1,325)	(4,108)	(5,433)	261	(3,490)	(3,229)
Borrowed funds and other interest-bearing liabilities	(3,721)	1,153	(2,568)	(1,398)	1,299	(99)
Total interest expense	(5,046)	(2,955)	(8,001)	(1,137)	(2,191)	(3,328)
Net Interest Income	\$ (4,790)	\$ (375)	\$ (5,165)	\$ (3,031)	\$ (2,347)	\$ (5,378)

(1) Changes in interest income and interest expense attributable to changes in both volume and rate have been allocated proportionately to changes due to volume and changes due to rate.

**Goodwill Impairment**

In connection with the purchase of the Honesdale branch completed in 2006, the Company acquired intangible assets of \$9.8 million. Of that amount, \$1.7 million resulted from core deposit premium subject to periodic amortization over the useful life of 10 years. Goodwill of \$8.1 million, which is not subject to amortization, arose in connection with the acquisition. In response to the significant loss reported by the Company in 2009 and the reduction in the market capitalization of the Company's common shares, the Company's goodwill was evaluated for impairment as of December 31, 2009. The analysis included a combination of a market approach based analysis of comparable transactions, change of control premium to peer market price approach, a discounted cash flow analysis of the potential dividends of the Company and the assessment of the fair value of the Company's statement of financial condition as of the measurement date. As a result of the analysis, the entire Goodwill balance of \$8.1 million was written off as of December 31, 2009.

The following table displays the changes in the carrying amount of goodwill, during the years ended December 31:

(in thousands)	2011	2010	2009
Balance as of January 1,	\$	\$	\$ 8,134
Impairment write-off			(8,134)



Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Balance as of December 31,	\$	\$	\$
----------------------------	----	----	----

The Company has determined that the core deposit premium was not impaired. Accordingly, the Company has not recorded any impairment charge on this asset in 2011, 2010 or 2009. For a further discussion, refer to Note 9- Goodwill and Intangibles to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Provision for Loan and Lease Losses**

Management closely monitors the loan portfolio and the adequacy of the ALLL considering underlying borrower financial performance and collateral values and increasing credit risks. Future material adjustments may be necessary to the provision for loan and lease losses and the ALLL if economic conditions or loan performance differ substantially from the assumptions management used in making its evaluation of the ALLL. The provision for loan and lease losses is an expense charged against net interest income to provide for estimated losses attributable to uncollectible loans and is based on management's analysis of the adequacy of the ALLL.

The provision for loan and lease losses was \$0.5 million in 2011 as compared to \$25.0 million in 2010. The decrease was primarily related to (i) the substantial provision taken in 2010, (ii) the \$78.4 million, or 10.3% reduction in gross loans, and (iii) a reduction in the number and volume of adversely classified credits in 2011.

The provision for loan and lease losses was \$25.0 million in 2010 as compared to \$42.1 million in 2009. The decrease was primarily related to (i) a reduction in charge-offs of classified credits, (ii) the \$181.6 million, or 19.3% reduction in gross loans, and (iii) a reduction in the number and volume of adversely classified credits in 2010.

**Non-Interest Income  
(in thousands)**

	2011	2010	2009
Deposit service charges	\$ 3,105	\$ 3,274	\$ 3,503
Net gain (loss) on the sale of securities	5,114	(1,714)	890
Other-than-temporary impairment loss on securities	(798)	(4,271)	(20,649)
Net gain on the sale of loans held for sale	755	1,198	1,481
Net gain on the sale of other real estate	2,528	403	309
Net gain (loss) on the sale of other assets	20	(60)	
Loan related fees	673	1,009	1,035
Income on bank-owned life insurance	787	740	321
Other	765	703	1,109
Total non-interest income (loss)	\$ 12,949	\$ 1,282	\$ (12,001)

During 2011, total non-interest income increased by \$11.7 million to \$13.0 million from \$1.3 million in 2010. The \$11.7 million increase in non-interest income primarily resulted from (i) a \$5.1 million gain on the sale of investment securities in 2011 compared to the \$1.7 million loss on the sale of investment securities in 2010, (ii) a \$3.5 million reduction in OTTI losses incurred on investment securities to \$0.8 million in 2011 from the \$4.3 million the Company recorded in 2010, and (iii) a \$2.1 million increase in net gains on the sale of OREO to \$2.5 million in 2011 from \$0.4 million in 2010.

During 2011, the Company recorded a \$5.1 million net gain on the sale of investment securities with an amortized cost of \$117.5 million. The sale was comprised of mortgage-backed securities in the amount of \$77.9 million, municipal securities in the amount of \$39.0 million and pooled trust preferred collateralized debt obligation securities (PreTSLs) in the amount of \$0.6 million. The Company sold these securities to improve the Bank's capital ratios as required by the Order and to reduce exposure to prepayment risk in the mortgage-backed securities portfolio and call risk in the municipal securities portfolio.

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

During 2011, the Company recorded a \$2.5 million net gain on the sale of 16 OREO properties. The net gain was primarily attributable to a \$1.8 million gain from the sale of a property in Florida as well as a \$0.7 million gain resulting from the bulk sale of a 129-lot land development property the Company acquired through foreclosure in 2010. The Company continues to aggressively seek buyers for its OREO properties. The Company did not provide financing for any of the properties that it sold during 2011.

During 2010, total non-interest income was \$1.3 million, a \$13.3 million increase from the \$12.0 million loss in 2009. The \$13.3 million increase in non-interest income resulted primarily from a \$16.4 million reduction in OTTI losses incurred on investment securities to \$4.3 million in 2010 from the \$20.6 million the Company recorded in 2009, partially offset by a \$2.6 million reduction in gains on the sale of investment securities from a \$900 thousand gain in 2009 to a \$1.7 million loss in 2010 and a \$283 thousand reduction in gains recognized on the sale of loans.

During 2010, the Company recorded a \$2.9 million loss on the sale of its entire portfolio of private label collateralized mortgage obligations ( PLCMOs ). The Company sold the PLCMOs during the third quarter of 2010 to better manage and improve credit risk in its investment portfolio. The loss on the PLCMOs was partially offset by net gains of \$1.2 million on the sale of agency mortgage-backed securities and municipal securities.

Table of Contents

<b>Non-Interest Expense (in thousands)</b>	<b>2011</b>		<b>2010</b>		<b>2009</b>	
Salaries and employee benefits	\$	14,117	\$	13,077	\$	12,155
Occupancy expense		2,890		3,228		2,218
Equipment expense		1,654		1,763		1,828
Advertising expense		629		712		713
Data processing expense		2,036		2,023		1,928
FDIC assessment		2,657		2,828		2,506
Bank shares tax		1,103		1,020		898
Expense of other real estate		3,720		7,521		1,250
Provision for off-balance sheet commitments		(423)		(678)		604
Legal expense		2,905		1,075		591
Professional fees		5,439		2,066		388
Goodwill impairment						8,134
Insurance expense		695		362		301
Loan collection expenses		242		647		236
Other operating expenses		4,166		5,920		4,272
Total non-interest expense	\$	41,830	\$	41,564	\$	38,022

During 2011, total non-interest expense increased by \$0.3 million or 0.6%, from 2010 primarily due to a \$3.8 million decrease in the other real estate expense, and a \$1.8 million decrease in other operating expenses. These decreases were offset by a \$3.4 million increase in professional fees, a \$1.8 million increase in legal fees, and a \$1.0 million increase in salaries and employee benefits. The increase in professional and legal fees is primarily attributable to increased audit, regulatory compliance, and restatement expenses. The increase in salary and employee benefits expense is primarily attributable to the hiring of new and replacement senior officers, merit increases, and increased insurance costs.

Other real estate expense decreased by \$3.8 million, or 50.5%, in 2011 as compared to 2010 primarily due to a \$3.6 million reduction in impairment charges and a \$0.2 million reduction in property-related operating expenses. The reduction in impairment is primarily attributable to the stabilization of real estate values and the sale of land development properties, which generally have a higher risk of exposure to changes in market value.

Other operating expenses decreased by \$1.8 million, or 29.6%, in 2011 as compared to 2010, primarily the result of the \$1.2 million fixed asset impairment charge that was recorded in 2010.

The above noted expense reductions were largely offset by the following expense increases:

- Professional fee expense increased \$3.4 million in 2011 as compared to 2010. The increase is primarily attributable to the expense incurred to complete the 2010 audit, the restatement of the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 and the Company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the quarterly periods ended March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010.
- Legal expense increased \$1.8 million in 2011 as compared to 2010 primarily related to increased regulatory oversight and compliance expenses.

- Salary and employee benefit costs accounted for 33.8% of total non-interest expenses in 2011 as compared to 31.5% in 2010. The increase in employee costs included a \$0.7 million increase in salaries expense primarily attributable to the hiring of new and replacement senior officers and merit increases. As of December 31, 2011, the Company had 336 full-time equivalent employees on staff as compared to 339 on December 31, 2010. Employee benefits expense increased by \$0.2 million or 12.0% in 2011 compared to 2010 primarily due to the increased health, social security and unemployment insurance costs.

In 2010, total non-interest expense increased \$3.6 million, or 9.4%, from 2009 primarily due to a \$6.3 million increase in OREO expense, a \$484 thousand increase in legal fees, primarily attributable to loan foreclosures, workouts and OREO sales, a \$1.7 million increase in professional fees primarily attributable to increased regulatory compliance expenses, a \$922 thousand increase in salary and benefits expenses, a \$1.0 million increase in occupancy expense, a \$411 thousand increase in loan collection expenses and a \$1.7 million increase in other expenses. These increases were partially offset by an \$8.1 million reduction in goodwill impairment expense and a \$1.3 million reduction in the provision for off-balance sheet commitments. During 2009, the Company wrote off all of the goodwill associated with its acquisition of its Honesdale branch.

Table of Contents

Salary and employee benefit costs accounted for 31.3% of total operating expenses in 2010 as compared to 31.8% in 2009. The increase in employee costs includes a \$1.2 million increase in salaries which reflects the cost of additional staff and merit increases. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had 339 full-time equivalent employees on staff as compared to 326 on December 31, 2009. Employee benefits expense decreased by \$194 thousand or 8.9% in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to the suspension of profit sharing payments partially offset by increased health insurance costs.

Occupancy expense increased \$1.0 million or 45.5% in 2010 as compared to 2009. The increase is primarily attributable to a \$612 thousand increase in accrued rent expense and the addition of two locations that were in operation for the full year in 2010 as compared to part of the year in 2009.

FDIC assessment expense increased \$322 thousand in 2010 as a result of the change in Bank's risk-profile from Category I to a Category III. This change resulted in an increase to the Bank's annual risk based premium of approximately \$1 million. The premium increase became effective October 1, 2010.

The provision for off-balance sheet commitments decreased by \$1.3 million in 2010 to a \$678 thousand recovery as compared to the \$604 thousand charge the Company recorded in 2009. The reduction in the provision is primarily attributable to the \$42.2 million reduction in the Bank's commitment to extend credit to \$183.6 million in 2010 as compared to \$225.8 million in 2009.

Net expense of OREO increased \$6.3 million to \$7.5 million in 2010 from \$1.2 million in 2009. The net increase is primarily attributable to impairment charges in the amount of \$5.9 million that were recorded in 2010 compared to \$435 thousand in 2009. The impairment charges resulted from the reduction in property values, based on updated appraisals. The impairment charge on two land development properties totaled \$3.2 million, or 54.6% of the total \$5.9 million dollar charge. Insurance, real estate taxes and other expenses associated with the operation of OREO totaled \$1.6 million in 2010 and \$815 thousand in 2009, respectively.

Loan collection expenses increased \$411 thousand to \$647 thousand in 2010 from \$236 thousand in 2009. Loan collection expenses primarily consist of real estate taxes the Company pays to protect its lien position in mortgage loans that are in the process of collection or workout.

**Provision for Income Taxes**

For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company did not record a provision or benefit for income taxes. In 2011, the Company recorded a \$2.5 million valuation charge against its deferred tax assets increasing the valuation allowance to \$27.8 million at December 31, 2011 from \$25.3 million at December 31, 2010. In future periods, the Company anticipates that it will have a minimal tax provision or benefit until such time as it is able to reverse the deferred tax asset valuation allowance.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company did not record a provision or benefit for income taxes as compared to the income tax benefit of \$(8.6) million recorded in 2009. In 2010, the Company recorded a \$13 million valuation charge against its deferred tax assets increasing the valuation allowance to \$25.3 million at December 31, 2010 from \$12.1 million at December 31, 2009.

The Company calculates its current and deferred tax provision based on estimates and assumptions that could differ from actual results reflected in income tax returns filed during the subsequent year. Any adjustments required based on filed returns are recorded when identified in the subsequent year.

## **FINANCIAL CONDITION**

Total assets decreased \$64.7 million, or 5.5% during 2011, primarily due to a \$76.8 million, or 10.4% decline in net loans, a \$65.6 million, or 26.1% decline in available-for-sale investment securities and a \$7.3 million, or 39.1% decline in other assets partially offset by a \$94.1 million or 126.4% increase in cash and cash equivalents. The Company did not pay any dividends in 2011 or 2010 as compared to the \$0.17 per share dividend paid in 2009. The Company suspended paying dividends in 2010 to conserve capital and comply with regulatory requirements.

### **Securities**

The Company holds debt securities primarily for liquidity, interest rate risk management needs, and to provide a source of interest income. Securities are classified as held-to-maturity and carried at amortized cost when the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold them to maturity. Securities not classified as held-to-maturity are classified as available-for-sale and are carried at fair value,

Table of Contents

with unrealized holding gains and losses reported in other comprehensive income, net of tax. The Company determines the appropriate classification of securities at the time of purchase. The decision to purchase or sell securities is based upon the current assessment of long- and short-term economic and financial conditions, including the interest rate environment and other statement of financial condition components. Securities with limited marketability and/or restrictions, such as Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stocks, are carried at cost. Federal Reserve Bank stock is included in other assets.

At December 31, 2011, the Company's investment portfolio was comprised of U.S. Government agency securities, taxable and tax-exempt obligations of states and political subdivisions, government sponsored agency collateralized mortgage obligations, private label collateralized mortgage obligations, residential mortgage-backed securities, pooled trust preferred securities ( PreTSLs ) principally collateralized by bank holding companies ( bank issuers ) and insurance companies, and corporate debt and equity securities.

Among other securities, the Company's investments in PreTSLs may pose a higher risk of future impairment charges by the Company as a result of the current downturn in the U.S. economy and its potential negative effect on the future performance of the bank issuers. Many of the bank issuers of PreTSLs within the Company's investment portfolio remain participants in the U.S. Treasury's TARP CPP. For TARP participants, dividend payments to trust preferred security holders are currently senior to and payable before dividends can be paid on the preferred stock issued under the TARP CPP. Some bank issuers may elect to defer future payments of interest on such securities either based upon recommendations by the U.S. Treasury and the banking regulators or management decisions driven by potential liquidity needs. Such elections by issuers of securities within our investment portfolio could adversely affect securities valuations and result in future impairment charges if collection of deferred and accrued interest (or principal upon maturity) is deemed unlikely by management. See the Other-Than-Temporary-Impairment section below for further details.

The following table sets forth the carrying value of available-for-sale securities, which are carried at fair value, and held-to-maturity securities, which are carried at amortized cost, at the dates indicated:

(in thousands)	2011	December 31,		2009
	2010	2010		2009
Obligations of U.S. government agencies	\$ 8,048	\$ 8,307	\$	27,089
Obligation of state and political subdivisions	98,255	113,347		120,569
Collateralized mortgage obligations				
Government sponsored agency	8,468	77,816		53,495
Private label	36,256			21,059
Residential mortgage-backed securities				
Government sponsored agency	31,393	49,120		27,442
Pooled trust preferred senior class	1,604	1,422		1,391
Pooled trust preferred mezzanine class	2,197	1,647		2,419
Corporate debt securities	342	395		356
Equity securities	1,006	1,012		1,025
Total	\$ 187,569	\$ 253,066	\$	254,845

There was no issuer of securities whose aggregate carrying value exceeded ten percent of Shareholders' equity as of December 31, 2011.

In 2011, the Company sold most of its U.S. Government agency collateralized mortgage obligations ( CMOs ) and reinvested the proceeds in private label CMOs ( PLCMOs ) to reduce its exposure to prepayments and improve yield. The Company also sold some of its obligations of



## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

state and political subdivisions that were callable and reinvested a portion of the proceeds in taxable municipal securities to reduce its interest rate risk.

During 2010, the Company sold its entire portfolio of previously owned PLCMOs. The Company had previously recorded OTTI charges on these instruments and believed there would be further erosion in the credit quality of the underlying collateral which would lead to additional impairment charges. The proceeds from these sales, along with cash provided by operations, were used to purchase U.S. Government guaranteed and Government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities.

The following table sets forth the maturities of available-for-sale securities and held-to-maturity securities, based on carrying value at December 31, 2011 and the weighted average yields of such securities calculated on the basis of the cost and effective yields weighted for the scheduled maturity of each security.

Table of Contents

(in thousands)	Within One Year	> 1 5 Years	6 - 10 Years	Over 10 Years	Mortgage-Backed Securities and Collateralized Mortgage Obligations	No Fixed Maturity	Total
<b>Available-for-sale securities</b>							
Obligations of U.S. government agencies	\$	\$	\$	\$ 8,048	\$	\$	\$ 8,048
Yield				5.62%			5.62%
Obligations of state and political subdivisions (1)		1,629	22,730	71,802			96,161
Yield		6.44%	5.17%	7.02%			6.59%
Corporate debt securities				342			342
Yield				0.99%			0.99%
Collateralized Mortgage Obligations:							
Government sponsored agencies					8,468		8,468
Yield					5.31%		5.31%
Private label					36,256		36,256
Yield					4.29%		4.29%
Residential mortgage-backed securities:							
Government sponsored agencies					31,393		31,393
Yield					4.90%		4.90%
Pooled Trust Preferred Senior Class				1,604			1,604
Yield				0.00%			0.00%
Pooled Trust Preferred Mezzanine Class				2,197			2,197
Yield				0.00%			0.00%
Equity securities (2)						1,006	1,006
Yield						4.72%	4.72%
Total available-for-sale maturities	\$	\$ 1,629	\$ 22,730	\$ 83,993	\$ 76,117	\$ 1,006	\$ 185,475
Weighted yield	0.00%	6.44%	5.17%	6.06%	4.65%	4.72%	5.40%
<b>Held-to-maturity securities</b>							
Obligations of state and political subdivisions			2,094				2,094
Yield			4.77%				4.77%
Total held-to-maturity securities	\$	\$	\$ 2,094	\$	\$	\$	\$ 2,094
Weighted yield	0.00%	0.00%	4.77%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	4.77%

(1) Yields on state and municipal securities have been adjusted to a tax equivalent yields using a 34% federal income tax rate.

(2) Yield represents 2011 actual return.

*Other-Than-Temporary Impairment ( OTTI )*

Management tests the Company's securities for OTTI using the guidance provided in ASC Topic 320, Investments-Debt and Equity Securities. Under this guidance, if management has no intent to sell the security and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost, then other-than-temporary declines in the fair value of the debt security that are related to credit losses must be recognized in earnings as realized losses and those that are related to other factors are recognized in other comprehensive income. Numerous factors, including lack of liquidity for re-sales of certain investment securities, absence of reliable pricing information for investment securities, adverse changes in business climate, adverse actions by regulators, or unanticipated changes in the competitive environment could have a negative effect on our investment portfolio and may result in OTTI on the Company's investment securities in future periods.

On a quarterly basis, management evaluates its investment securities for OTTI. Unrealized losses on securities are considered to be other-than-temporary when management believes the security's impairment is due to factors that could include the issuer's inability to pay interest or dividends, its potential for default, and/or other factors. Based on current authoritative guidance, when a held-to-maturity or available-for-sale debt security is assessed for OTTI, management must first consider (a) whether management intends to sell the security and (b) whether it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis. If one of these circumstances applies to a security, an OTTI loss is recognized in the statement of operations equal to the full amount of the decline in fair value below amortized cost. If neither of these circumstances applies to a security, but the Company does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis, an OTTI loss has occurred that must be separated into two categories: (a) the amount related to credit loss and (b) the amount related to other factors such as market risk. In assessing the level of OTTI attributable to credit loss, management compares the present value of cash flows expected to be collected with the amortized cost basis of the security. As discussed above, the portion of the total OTTI related to credit loss is recognized in earnings, while the amount related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income. The total OTTI loss is presented in the statement of operations, less the portion

Table of Contents

recognized in other comprehensive income. When a debt security becomes other-than-temporarily-impaired, its amortized cost basis is reduced to reflect the portion of the total impairment related to credit loss.

To determine whether a security's impairment is other-than-temporary, management considers factors that include:

- The causes of the decline in fair value, such as credit problems, interest rate fluctuations, or market volatility;
- The severity and duration of the decline;
- The Company's ability and intent to hold investments until they recover in value, as well as the likelihood of such a recovery in the near term;
- The Company's intent to sell security investments, or if it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell such securities before recovery of their individual amortized cost basis less any current-period credit loss.

For debt securities that the Company does not intend to sell or it does not expect it will be required to sell, the primary consideration in determining whether impairment is other-than-temporary is whether or not the Company expects to receive all contractual cash flows.

Based on the management's evaluation at December 31, 2011, management has determined that the decreases in estimated fair value of the securities it holds in its portfolio are temporary with the exception of four PreTSLs. The Company's estimate of discounted projected cash flows it expects to receive was less than the securities' carrying value, resulting in a credit-related impairment charge to earnings for the year ending December 31, 2011 of \$0.8 million.

*OTTI of Pooled Trust Preferred Collateralized Debt Obligations:*

As of December 31, 2011, the amortized cost of our PreTSLs totaled \$10.6 million with an estimated fair value of \$3.8 million and is comprised of four securities each of which is collateralized by debt issued by bank holding companies and insurance companies. The Company holds one senior tranche and three mezzanine tranches. All the tranches possess credit ratings below investment grade. During 2011, all of the pooled issues were downgraded further by either Moody's or Fitch rating services. At the time of initial issue, no more than 5% of any pooled security consisted of a security issued by any one institution. As of December 31, 2011, three of these securities had no excess subordination and one had excess subordination equal to 12.1% of the current performing collateral. Excess subordination is the amount by which the underlying performing collateral exceeds the outstanding bonds in the current class plus all senior classes. It can also be referred to as credit enhancement. As deferrals and defaults of underlying issuers occur, the excess subordination is reduced or eliminated, increasing the risk of the security experiencing principal or interest shortfalls. Conversely, subordination can be increased as collateral transitions from non-performing to performing. The coverage ratio, or overcollateralization, of a specific security measures the rate of performing collateral to a given class of notes. It is calculated by dividing the performing collateral in a transaction by the current balance of the class of notes plus all classes senior to that class.

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

The following table presents information about the Company's collateral and subordination for its PreTSLs as of December 31, 2011:

Security (in thousands)	Performing Collateral	Bonds Outstanding	Excess/ (Insufficient) Collateral	Coverage Ratio	Excess Subordination	Current Number of Performing Issuers	Actual Deferrals / of Current Collateral %	Expected Future Default Rate
PreTSL IX	\$ 303,520	\$ 322,024	\$ (18,504)	94.25%	N/A	35	31.0%	1.51%
PreTSL XI	388,465	439,829	(51,364)	88.32%	N/A	43	32.3%	1.80%
PreTSL XIX	470,931	537,266	(66,335)	87.65%	N/A	48	27.6%	1.46%
PreTSL XXVI	691,700	617,093	74,607	112.09%	12.09%	55	28.3%	1.31%

The following list details information for each of the Company's investments in PreTSLs as of December 31, 2011:

Security (in thousands)	Class	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Gain/Loss	Moody's / Fitch Ratings	Credit Impairment this period	Cumulative Credit Impairment
PreTSL IX	Mezzanine	\$ 1,256	\$ 547	\$ (709)	Ca/C	\$	\$ 1,680
PreTSL XI	Mezzanine	1,563	635	(928)	Ca/C		3,426
PreTSL XIX	Mezzanine	3,913	1,015	(2,898)	Ca/CC	798	3,262
PreTSL XXVI	Senior	3,833	1,604	(2,229)	B1/CCC		251
Total		\$ 10,565	\$ 3,801	\$ (6,764)		\$ 798	\$ 8,619

Table of Contents

The Company's PreTSLs are evaluated for OTTI within the scope of ASC Topic 325 Investments, Other by determining whether an adverse change in estimated cash flows has occurred. The Company uses a third-party service provider to perform this analysis. Determining whether there has been an adverse change in estimated cash flows from the cash flows previously projected involves comparing the present value of remaining cash flows previously projected against the present value of the cash flows estimated at December 31, 2011. The Company considers the discounted cash flow analysis to be its primary evidence when determining whether credit-related OTTI exists.

Results of a discounted cash flow test are significantly affected by variables such as the estimate of the probability of default, discount rates, prepayment rates and the creditworthiness of the underlying issuers. The following provides additional information for each of these variables:

- **Probability of Default** - An issuer-level approach is used to analyze each security and default and recovery assumptions are based on the credit quality of the underlying issuers (generally, bank holding companies or insurance companies). Each bank issuer is evaluated based upon an examination of the trends in its earnings, net interest margin, operating efficiency, liquidity, capital position, level of non-performing loans to total loans, apparent sufficiency of loan loss reserves, Texas ratio, and whether the bank received TARP monies. From this information, each issuer bank that is currently performing is assigned a category of Good, Average, Weak, or Troubled. Default rates are then assigned based upon the historical performance of each category. Additionally, because the information available to the Company regarding the underlying insurance company issuers is more limited than for bank issuers, rather than performing an analysis of each issuer's results and assigning insurance company issuers to these same categories, the Company uses the Moody's one year long-term default rate assumption for insurance companies. The historical default rates used in this analysis are:

Category	Default Rate			
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Thereafter
Good	0.50%	0.60%	0.60%	0.40%
Average	1.80%	2.30%	2.30%	1.50%
Insurance	1.00%	1.20%	1.20%	0.80%
Weak	5.80%	7.20%	7.20%	4.80%
Troubled	9.70%	12.20%	12.20%	8.10%

Each issuer in the collateral pool is assigned a probability of default for each year until maturity. Banks currently in default or deferring interest payments thus far are assumed to default immediately. A zero percent projected recovery rate is applied to both deferring and defaulted issuers. The probability of default is updated quarterly based upon changes in the creditworthiness of each underlying issuer. Timing of defaults and deferrals has a substantial impact on each valuation. As a result of this analysis, each issuer is assigned an expected default rate specific to that issuer.

- **Estimates of Future Cash Flows** - While understanding the composition and characteristics of each bank issuer is important in evaluating the security, certain issuers have a disproportionate impact (both positive and negative) based upon other attributes, such as the interest rate payable by each issuer. Each credit is assessed independently, and the timing and nature of each issuer's performance is assessed. Once assessed, the expected performance of each issuer is applied to a structural cash flow model. Due to the complexity of these transactions, the expected performance of each unique issuer requires an adherence to the governing documents of the securitization to derive a cash flow. A model produced by a third party is utilized to assist in determining cash flows. Utilization of third party cash flow modeling to derive cash flows from assumptions is a market convention for these types of securities.

- **Discount Rate** - The Company is discounting projected cash flows based upon its discount margin defined at the time of purchase, which constitutes a spread over 3-month LIBOR plus credit premium, consistent with our pre-purchase yield.

- Prepayment Rate - Lack of liquidity in the market for PreTSL securities, credit rating downgrades and market uncertainties related to the financial industry are factors contributing to the impairment on these securities. During the early years of PreTSL securities, prepayments were common as issuers were able to refinance into lower cost borrowings. Since the middle of 2007, however, this option has all but disappeared and the Company is operating in an environment which makes early redemption of these instruments unlikely. Accordingly, the Company has assumed zero prepayments when modeling the cash flows of these securities. The Company will reevaluate its prepayment assumptions from time to time as appropriate. The Company performed a sensitivity analysis using 1% and 3% prepayment assumptions. As a result of this analysis, the Company determined that employing a 1% and a 3% prepayment assumption rather than assuming zero prepayments would have resulted in an additional credit loss of approximately \$15 thousand and \$50 thousand, respectively, to the \$0.8 million impairment charge taken during 2011. Credit losses would increase as a result of an increase in the prepayment assumption because prepayments reduce the amount of excess subordination that would be available to absorb expected losses.

Table of Contents

- **Credit Analysis** - A quarterly credit evaluation is performed for each of the securities. While the underlying core component of these securities are the credit characteristics of the underlying issuers, typically banks, other characteristics of the securities and issuers are evaluated and stressed to determine cash flow. These include but are not limited to the interest rate payable by each issuer, certain derivative contracts, default timing, and interest rate volatility. Issuer level credit analysis considers all evidence available to us and includes the nature of the issuer's business, its years of operating history, corporate structure, loan composition, loan concentrations, deposit mix, asset growth rates, geographic footprint and local environment. Depending upon the security, and its place in the capital structure, certain analytical assumptions are isolated with greater scrutiny. The core analysis for each specific issuer focuses on profitability, return on assets, shareholders' equity, net interest margin, credit quality ratios, operating efficiency, capital adequacy and liquidity.

The Company has evaluated its PreTSLs considering all available evidence, including information received after the statement of financial condition date but before the filing date and determined that the estimated projected cash flows are less than the securities' carrying value, resulting in impairment charges to earnings for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009 of \$0.8 million, \$4.3 million, and \$20.6 million, respectively. The cumulative impairment charges for December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 amounted to \$8.6 million, \$22.6 million, and \$20.6 million, respectively. The decrease in cumulative impairment charges in 2011 relates to the sale during 2011 of four PreTSLs, on which OTTI had previously been recognized.

The table below provides a cumulative roll forward of credit losses recognized:

## Rollforward of Cumulative Credit Loss

(in thousands)	2011	2010	2009
Beginning Balance January 1	\$ 22,598	\$ 20,649	\$
Credit losses on debt securities for which OTTI was not previously recognized			20,649
Additional credit losses on debt securities for which OTTI was previously recognized	798	4,271	
Less: Sale of PLCMOs for which OTTI was previously recognized		(2,322)	
Less: Sale of PreTSLs for which OTTI was previously recognized	(14,777)		
Ending Balance, December 31	\$ 8,619	\$ 22,598	\$ 20,649

Investments in FHLB and FRB stock, which have limited marketability, are carried at cost and totaled \$9.7 million and \$11.6 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Management noted no indicators of impairment for the FHLB of Pittsburgh and the FRB during 2011.

**Loans**

The net loan balance declined in 2011 primarily as a result of construction, land acquisition and development loan payoffs and the competition for quality commercial and industrial and residential mortgage loans. Net loans declined \$76.8 million, or 10.4%, to \$659.0 million at December 31, 2011 from \$735.8 million as of December 31, 2010. Net loans represented 59.7% of total assets at December 31, 2011 compared to 63.0% at December 31, 2010. Historically, commercial lending activities have represented a significant portion of the Company's loan



## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

portfolio. This includes commercial and industrial loans, commercial real estate loans and construction, land acquisition and development loans. Furthermore, from a collateral standpoint, a majority of the Company's loan portfolio consisted of loans secured by real estate. Real estate secured loans, which includes commercial real estate, construction land acquisition and development, residential real estate and home equity loans declined by \$49.2 million, or 10.6%, to \$416.7 million at December 31, 2011, from \$465.9 million at December 31, 2010. Real estate secured loans as a percentage of total gross loans increased to 61.3% of the loan portfolio at December 31, 2011 from 55.6% as of December 31, 2010.

Commercial and industrial loans decreased \$23.5 million, or 11.9%, during the year to \$174.2 million at December 31, 2011 from \$197.7 million at December 31, 2010. Commercial and industrial loans consist primarily of equipment loans, working capital financing, revolving lines of credit and loans secured by cash and marketable securities. The decrease was primarily a reduction in borrowings under revolving line of credit facilities within the portfolio. Loans secured by commercial real estate increased \$0.2 million, or 0.8%, to \$256.5 million at December 31, 2011 from \$256.3 million at December 31, 2010. Commercial real estate loans include long-term commercial mortgage financing and are primarily secured by first or second lien mortgages. Construction, land acquisition and development loans decreased \$43.9 million, or 56.8%, during the year to \$33.5 million at December 31, 2011 from \$77.4 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease in construction, land acquisition and development loans is primarily related to loan payoffs as the Company decided to reduce its exposure to this portfolio segment and not pursue loan renewals.

Table of Contents

Residential real estate loans totaled \$80.1 million at December 31, 2011. This represents a decrease of \$7.9 million, or 9.0%, from \$87.9 million at December 31, 2010. The components of residential real estate loans include fixed rate mortgage loans and home equity loans and lines of credit. The Company continues to adhere to a philosophy of underwriting fixed rate purchase and refinance residential mortgage loans that are generally then sold in the secondary market to reduce interest rate risk and provide funding for additional loans. Consumer loans increased \$0.9 million, or 0.8%, during the year to \$111.8 million at December 31, 2011 from \$110.9 million at December 31, 2010. In 2010, the Company sold \$36.7 million in loans in its indirect auto loan portfolio. There were no such sales during 2011.

Loans to state and municipal governments totaled \$23.5 million at December 31, 2011, a decrease of \$4.2 million, or 15.3%, from \$27.7 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease resulted from state and municipal governments' ability to refinance their outstanding obligations with lower-cost funding and loan payments.

Details regarding the loan portfolio for each of the last five years ended December 31 are as follows:

**Loan Portfolio Detail****For the Years Ended December 31**

(in thousands)	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Residential real estate	\$ 80,056	\$ 87,925	\$ 98,517	\$ 140,067	\$ 142,807
Commercial real estate	256,508	256,327	321,326	320,302	436,861
Construction, land acquisition and development (1)	33,450	77,395	98,383	130,546	
Commercial and industrial	174,233	197,697	219,889	219,821	202,665
Consumer	111,778	110,853	164,670	119,909	91,052
State and political subdivisions	23,496	27,739	36,780	34,334	32,136
Total loans, gross	679,521	757,936	939,565	964,979	905,521
Unearned discount	(159)	(225)	(298)	(380)	(470)
Net deferred loan fees and costs	516	677	707	329	183
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(20,834)	(22,575)	(22,458)	(8,254)	(7,569)
Loans, net	\$ 659,044	\$ 735,813	\$ 917,516	\$ 956,674	\$ 897,665

(1) Prior to December 31, 2008 construction, land acquisition and development loans were included in the commercial real real estate portfolio.

Table of Contents

The following schedule shows the re-pricing distribution of loans outstanding as of December 31, 2011. Also provided are those amounts classified according to sensitivity to changes in interest rates:

**Loan Repricing Distribution****December 31, 2011**

(in thousands)	Within One Year	One to Five Years	Over Five Years	Total
Residential real estate	\$ 11,287	\$ 25,638	\$ 43,131	\$ 80,056
Commercial real estate	22,777	38,126	195,605	256,508
Construction, land acquisition and development	16,443	2,342	14,665	33,450
Commercial and Industrial	115,915	39,249	19,069	174,233
Consumer	30,756	62,238	18,784	111,778
State and political subdivisions	170	2,288	21,038	23,496
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 197,348</b>	<b>\$ 169,881</b>	<b>\$ 312,292</b>	<b>\$ 679,521</b>
Loans with predetermined interest rates	\$ 27,168	\$ 94,827	\$ 56,536	\$ 178,531
Loans with floating rates	170,180	75,054	255,756	500,990
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 197,348</b>	<b>\$ 169,881</b>	<b>\$ 312,292</b>	<b>\$ 679,521</b>

Loan Concentrations: At December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the Bank's loan portfolio was concentrated in loans in the following industries. Approximately 96.0% of loans included in the Solid Waste Landfills are fully secured by cash collateral on deposit at the Bank.

**Loan Concentrations****As of December 31,**

(in thousands)	2011		2010		2009	
	Amount	% of Gross Loans	Amount	% of Gross Loans	Amount	% of Gross Loans
Land subdivision	\$ 19,626	2.89%	\$ 29,518	3.89%	\$ 54,649	5.82%
Shopping centers/complexes	18,722	2.76%	26,298	3.47%	32,376	3.45%
Gas stations	17,118	2.52%	18,289	2.41%	22,606	2.41%
Office complexes/units	16,091	2.37%	16,842	2.22%	25,352	2.70%
Solid waste landfills	42,270	6.22%	52,270	6.90%	43,297	4.61%

**Asset Quality**

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff are stated at the amount of unpaid principal, net of unearned interest, deferred loan fees and costs, and reduced by the ALLL. The ALLL is established through a provision for loan losses charged to earnings.

The Company manages credit risk through the efforts of loan officers, the loan review function, and the Loan Quality and the ALLL management committees as well as oversight from the Board of Directors, along with the application of policies and procedures designed to foster sound underwriting and credit monitoring practices. The Company continually evaluates this process to ensure it is reacting to problems in the loan portfolio in a timely manner. Although, as is the case with any financial institution, a certain degree of credit risk is dependent in part on local and general economic conditions that are beyond the Company's control.

Under the Company's risk rating system, loans rated pass/watch, special mention, substandard, doubtful, or loss are reviewed regularly as part of the Company's risk management practices. The Company's Loan Quality Committee, which consists of key members of senior management and credit administration, meets monthly or more often as necessary to review individual problem credits and workout strategies and reports to the Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

A loan is considered impaired when it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect all amounts due (including principal and interest) according to the contractual terms of the note and loan agreement. For purposes of the Company's analysis, loans that are identified as troubled debt restructurings ( TDRs ) or are non-accrual and substandard or doubtful loans are considered impaired. Impaired loans are analyzed individually for the amount of impairment. The Company generally utilizes the fair value of collateral method for collateral dependent loans, which make up the majority of the Company's impaired loans. A loan is considered to be collateral dependent when repayment of the loan is anticipated to come from the liquidation of the collateral held. For loans that are secured by real estate, external appraisals are obtained annually, or more frequently as warranted, to ascertain a fair value so that the impairment analysis can be updated. Should a current appraisal not be available at the time of impairment analysis, other sources of valuation such as current letters of intent, broker price opinions or executed agreements of sale may be used. For non-collateral dependent loans, the Company measures impairment based on the present value of expected future cash flows, net of disposal costs, discounted at the loan's original effective interest rate.

Loans to borrowers that are experiencing financial difficulty that are modified and result in the Company granting concessions to the borrower are classified as TDRs and are considered to be impaired. Concessions granted under a troubled debt restructuring generally involve an extension of a loan's stated maturity date, a reduction of the rate, payment modifications, or a combination of these modifications. Non-accrual TDRs are restored to accrual status if principal and interest payments, under the modified terms, are current for six consecutive months after modification, and management believes that collection of the remaining interest and principal is probable.

Non-performing loans are monitored on an ongoing basis as part of the Company's loan review process. Additionally, work-out efforts continue and are actively monitored for non-performing loans and OREO through the Loan Quality Committee. Potential loss on non-performing assets is generally evaluated by comparing the outstanding loan balance to the fair market value of the pledged collateral.

Loans are placed on non-accrual when a loan is specifically determined to be impaired or when management believes that the collection of interest or principal is doubtful. This generally occurs when a default of interest or principal has existed for 90 days or more, unless such loan is well secured and in the process of collection, or when management becomes aware of facts or circumstances that the loan would default before 90 days. The Company determines delinquency status based on the number of days since the date of the borrower's last required contractual loan payment. When the interest accrual is discontinued, all unpaid interest income is reversed and charged back against current earnings. Any cash payments received are applied, first to the outstanding loan amounts, then to the recovery of any charged-off loan amounts. Any excess is treated as a recovery of lost interest. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured and are current for six consecutive months.

Management actively manages impaired loans in an effort to reduce loan balances by working with customers to develop strategies to resolve borrower difficulties, through sale or liquidation of collateral, foreclosure, and other appropriate means. If real estate values continue to decline, it is more likely that we would be required to further increase our provision for loan and lease losses, which in turn, could result in reduced earnings.

Under the fair value of collateral method, the impaired amount of the loan is deemed to be the difference between the loan amount and the fair value of the collateral, less the estimated costs to sell. For the Company's calculations on real estate secured loans, a factor of 10% is generally utilized to estimate costs to sell, which is based on typical cost factors, such as a 6% broker commission, 1% transfer taxes, and 3% various other miscellaneous costs associated with the sales process. If the valuation indicates that the fair value has deteriorated below the carrying value of the loan, either the entire loan is written off or the difference between the fair value and the principal balance is charged off. For loans which are considered to be impaired, but for which the value of the collateral less costs to sell exceeds the loan value, the impairment is considered to be zero.

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

The following schedule reflects non-performing loans (including non-performing TDRs), OREO and performing TDRs as of December 31 for each of the last five years:

Table of Contents

(in thousands)	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Non-accrual loans	\$ 19,914	\$ 28,267	\$ 25,865	\$ 22,263	\$ 3,106
Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing		99	117	1,151	904
Total Non-Performing Loans	19,914	28,366	25,982	23,414	4,010
Other Real Estate Owned	6,958	9,633	11,184	2,308	2,588
Total Non-Performing Loans and OREO	\$ 26,872	\$ 37,999	\$ 37,166	\$ 25,722	\$ 6,598
Performing TDRs	\$ 5,680	\$ 2,513	\$ 10,743	\$	\$
Non-performing loans as a percentage of gross loans	2.93%	3.74%	2.77%	2.40%	0.40%

In 2011, total non-performing loans and OREO decreased \$11.1 million, or 29.3%, to \$26.9 million at December 31, 2011 from \$38.0 million at December 31, 2010 as the Company continued to work-out non-performing loans and dispose of its holdings of foreclosed properties. Non-performing loans and OREO represented 67.3% of shareholders' equity as of December 31, 2011, as compared to 116.3% of shareholders' equity as of December 31, 2010. The decrease in non-performing loans and OREO as a percentage of shareholders' equity was driven primarily by \$6.7 million of charge-offs, \$2.5 million of payoffs, the sale of OREO properties and a \$7.9 million increase in shareholders' equity. Though non-performing loans as a percentage of shareholders' equity decreased, the percentage remains elevated and further deterioration in economic conditions could lead to additional increases in impaired loans.

At December 31, 2011, \$14.7 million, or 81%, of the total non-performing loan balances consist of the following six credits ranging from \$600 thousand to \$5.8 million:

- \$7.0 million This credit represents a commercial loan secured by commercial real estate and the guarantee of a portion of the loan by a government agency. This credit was written down to \$5.8 million as of December 31, 2011. Additionally, \$90 thousand of the allowance for loan losses is allocated to this credit.
- \$5.2 million This credit represents a commercial loan secured by financing receivables; this credit was paid down to \$4.1 million as of December 31, 2011. Due to sufficient collateral value, no allocation is provided for this credit in the allowance for loan losses.
- \$4.8 million This credit represents a land development loan secured by a residential subdivision located outside of the Company's general market area; this credit was written down to \$1.6 million as of December 31, 2011. Due to sufficient collateral value, no allocation is provided for this credit in the allowance for loan losses.
- \$1.6 million This credit represents a commercial loan secured by two residential real estate properties; \$10 thousand of the allowance for loan losses is allocated to this credit.
- \$1.4 million This credit represents a residential mortgage loan and home equity loan secured by a personal residence; this credit was written and paid down to \$657 thousand as of December 31, 2011. Additionally, \$43 thousand of the allowance for loan losses is allocated to this credit.

- \$1.2 million This credit represents a commercial mortgage loan secured by commercial real estate; this credit was written down to \$975 thousand as of December 31, 2011. Additionally, \$97 thousand of the allowance for loan losses is allocated to this credit.

In addition to the non-performing loans identified in the table above, at December 31, 2011, the Bank had potential problem loans consisting of substandard and accruing loans in the amount of \$50.2 million.

The Company has historically participated in loans with other financial institutions, the majority of which have been loans originated by financial institutions located in the Company's general market area. Over the past seven years, the Company has participated in seven (7) commercial real estate loans with a financial institution that was headquartered in Minneapolis, Minnesota. The majority of these loans were for out of market commercial real estate projects. Two (2) projects were located in Pennsylvania, one (1) project was located in New York and the remaining four (4) projects were located in Florida. The Company's original aggregate commitment for these various loans totaled approximately \$34 million. Two of these loans, one local Pennsylvania project and the New York project, were paid in full prior to 2011. Of the remaining five (5) credits, two of the Florida properties were held in OREO and the remaining three credits were rated substandard at December 31, 2010. During 2011, the two Florida credits rated substandard were paid off and one



Table of Contents

of the Florida properties held in OREO was sold. The outstanding balance of the two remaining loans, one Florida property held in OREO and the Pennsylvania credit rated substandard, had an aggregate balance of \$5.6 million at December 31, 2011. The Florida credit has been written down to the current fair value of the property and the Pennsylvania credit is currently performing.

The following table outlines delinquency and non-accrual loan information within the Company's loan portfolio:

	2011	2010	2009
Accruing:			
30-59 days	0.83%	0.52%	0.35%
60-89 days	0.27%	0.16%	0.05%
90+ days	0.00%	0.01%	0.01%
Non-accrual	2.93%	3.74%	2.75%
Total			
Delinquencies	4.03%	4.43%	3.16%

The decrease in total delinquencies as a percent of gross loans in 2011 was primarily due to the transfers of loans to OREO and more rigorous collections of non-performing loans. Delinquencies for accruing loans increased from \$5.3 million at December 31, 2010 to \$7.6 million at December 31, 2011, primarily due to an increase in commercial real estate loans that were 30 - 89 days past due at December 31, 2011. In its evaluation for the ALLL, management considers a variety of qualitative factors including changes in the volume and severity of delinquencies.

At December 31, 2011, the Company's ratio of non-performing loans to total gross loans was 2.9% compared to the 3.7% reported at December 31, 2010. The Company continues to acknowledge the weakness in local real estate markets, emphasizing strict underwriting standards to minimize the negative impact of the current environment. The decrease in the ratio as a percentage of total loans is primarily a result of the decrease in the loan portfolio.

OREO totaled \$7.0 million as of December 31, 2011, which is a decrease of \$2.6 million from \$9.6 million as of December 31, 2010. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, OREO consisted of 28 properties. Nine of the properties held in OREO as of December 31, 2011 represent approximately 69% of the total. Included in OREO are six properties totaling \$1.8 million, or 26%, of OREO, located outside of the Company's general market area. Additionally, \$4.6 million, or 66%, of OREO is located in the Pocono Mountains within the Company's primary market area that had been particularly hard hit during the recent economic recession.

The Company is actively marketing these properties for sale through a variety of channels including internal marketing and the use of outside brokers/realtors. The carrying value of OREO is generally calculated at an amount not greater than 90% of the most recent fair market appraised value. A 10% factor is generally used to estimate costs to sell, which is based on typical cost factors, such as 6% broker commission, 1% transfer taxes, and 3% various other miscellaneous costs associated with the sales process. This fair value is updated on an annual basis or more frequently if new valuation information is available. Further deterioration in the real estate market could result in additional losses on these properties.

The Company foreclosed on 15 properties during the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, four of which totaled \$2.9 million and represented a majority of the additions. In connection with the transfer to OREO, the Company charged off \$2.3 million of the loan balances against the ALLL to bring the properties down to their fair value less costs to sell of \$7.0 million.

The following schedule reflects the activity in OREO:

Table of Contents

(in thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,					
	2011		2010		2009	
Balance, beginning of year	\$	9,633	\$	11,184	\$	2,308
Additions		3,995		9,928		11,717
Write-downs		(2,318)		(5,906)		(434)
Carrying value of OREO sold		(4,352)		(5,573)		(72)
Transfer to bank premises						(2,335)
Balance, end of year	\$	6,958	\$	9,633	\$	11,184

The following schedule reflects a breakdown of OREO for the periods presented:

(in thousands)	December 31,					
	2011		2010		2009	
Land / Lots	\$	4,443	\$	8,357	\$	5,887
Commercial Real Estate		1,695		1,086		4,852
Residential Real Estate		820		190		445
Total Other Real Estate						
Owned	\$	6,958	\$	9,633	\$	11,184
					\$	2,308
					\$	2,588

The expenses related to maintaining OREO and the subsequent write-downs of the properties related to declines in value since foreclosure amounted to \$3.7 million, \$7.5 million, and \$1.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

**Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses**

The ALLL represents management's estimate of probable loan losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The ALLL is analyzed in accordance with GAAP and varies from year to year based on management's evaluation of the adequacy of the ALLL in relation to the risks inherent in the loan portfolio. Effective for 2009, the ALLL methodology was revised to include an enhanced impairment measurement process. Enhancements were also made to the historical loss analysis including an expanded and a more comprehensive loan pool analysis and a more detailed qualitative adjustment factor analysis.

In its evaluation management considers qualitative and environmental factors including but not limited to:

- Changes in national, local, and business economic conditions and developments, including the condition of various market segments;
- Changes in the nature and volume of the Company's loan portfolio;
- Changes in the Company's lending policies and procedures, including underwriting standards, collection, charge-off and recovery practices and results;
- Changes in the experience, ability and depth of the Company's management and staff;
- Changes in the quality of the Company's loan review system and the degree of oversight by the Company's Board of Directors;
- Changes in the trend of the volume and severity of past due and classified loans, including trends in the volume of non-accrual loans, troubled debt restructurings and other loan modifications;
- The existence and effect of any concentrations of credit and changes in the level of such concentrations;
-

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

The effect of external factors such as competition and legal and regulatory requirements on the level of estimated credit losses in the Company's current loan portfolio; and

- Analysis of our customers' credit quality.

Evaluations are intrinsically subjective, as the results are estimated based on management knowledge and experience and are subject to interpretation and modification as information becomes available or as future events occur. Management monitors the loan portfolio on an ongoing basis with emphasis on the declining real estate market and a weakened economy and its effect on repayment. Adjustments to the ALLL are made based on management's assessment of the factors noted above.

Doubtful loans, non-accrual and substandard loans, and troubled debt restructurings are considered to be impaired and are analyzed individually to determine the amount of impairment. Circumstances such as construction delays, declining real estate values, and the inability of the borrowers to make scheduled payments have resulted in these loan relationships being classified as impaired. The fair value of collateral method is generally used for this measurement unless the loan is non-collateral dependent in which case, a discounted

Table of Contents

cash flow analysis is performed. Appraisals are received at least annually to ensure that impairment measurements reflect current market conditions. Should a current appraisal not be available at the time of impairment analysis, other valuation sources including current letters of intent, broker price opinions or executed agreements of sale may be used. Only downward adjustments are made based on these supporting values. Included in all impairment calculations is a cost to sell adjustment of approximately 10%, which is based on typical cost factors, including a 6% broker commission, 1% transfer taxes and 3% various other miscellaneous costs associated with the sales process. Sales costs are periodically revised based on actual experience. The ALLL analysis is adjusted for subsequent events that may arise after the end of the reporting period but before the financial reports are filed.

The Company's ALLL consists of both specific and general components, which totaled \$0.7 million and \$20.1 million, respectively, at December 31, 2011. The ratio of the ALLL to total loans at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was 3.1% and 3.0%, respectively, based on total loans of \$679.5 million and \$757.9 million, respectively.

The following table presents an allocation of the ALLL and percent of loans in each category as of December 31:

**Allocation of the Allowance for Loan Losses**

(in thousands)	2011		2010		2009		2008		2007	
	Allowance	Percentage of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	Allowance	Percentage of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	Allowance	Percentage of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	Allowance	Percentage of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	Allowance	Percentage of Loans in Each Category to Total Loans
Residential Real Estate	\$ 1,489	11.78%	\$ 2,176	11.60%	\$ 696	10.49%	\$ 259	15.47%	\$ 91	15.77%
Commercial Real Estate (1)	11,213	37.75%	9,640	33.82%	8,397	34.20%		45.68%		48.24%
Construction, Land Acquisition and Development (2)	2,579	4.92%	4,170	10.21%	6,285	10.47%		0.00%		0.00%
Commercial & Industrial	3,285	25.64%	4,850	26.08%	4,507	23.40%	7,462	22.90%	7,019	22.38%
Consumer	1,925	16.45%	1,173	14.63%	1,980	17.53%	481	12.38%	405	10.06%
State & Political	343	3.46%	566	3.66%	593	3.91%	52	3.57%	54	3.55%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 20,834</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 22,575</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 22,458</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 8,254</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 7,569</b>	<b>100%</b>

(1) Prior to December 31, 2009, the commercial real estate allowance for loan and lease losses was combined with commercial and industrial loans.

(2) Prior to December 31, 2009, the construction, land acquisition and development allowance for loan and lease losses was combined with commercial real estate loans.

The following table presents an analysis of ALLL for each of the last five years:



Table of Contents**Five Year Analysis of Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses**

(in thousands)	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Balance, January 1,	\$ 22,575	\$ 22,458	\$ 8,254	\$ 7,569	\$ 7,538
Charge-Offs:					
Residential Real Estate	1,273	221	307	51	952
Commercial Real Estate	2,395	5,049	24,980	262	1,663
Construction, Land Acquisition and Development	1,857	12,893			
Commercial & Industrial	464	6,883	2,247	466	329
Consumer	691	736	483	548	452
State & Political Subdivision					
Total Charge-offs	6,680	25,782	28,017	1,327	3,396
Recoveries of Charged-off Loans:					
Residential Real Estate	57	32			5
Commercial Real Estate	93	152	33	17	1,018
Construction, Land Acquisition & Development	2,188	303			
Commercial & Industrial	1,852	151	22	6	6
Consumer	226	220	77	185	198
State & Political Subdivision					
Total Recoveries	4,416	858	132	208	1,227
Net Charge-offs (1)	2,264	24,924	27,885	1,119	2,169
Provision for loan and lease losses	523	25,041	42,089	1,804	2,200
Balance, December 31	\$ 20,834	\$ 22,575	\$ 22,458	\$ 8,254	\$ 7,569
Net Charge-Offs during the period as a percentage of average loans outstanding during the period	0.31%	2.84%	2.87%	0.12%	0.24%
Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of gross loans outstanding at end of period	3.07%	2.98%	2.39%	0.86%	0.84%

(1) Prior to December 31, 2010, the charge-offs and recoveries for construction, land acquisition and development allowance for loan and lease losses were combined with commercial real estate loans.

The ratio of the ALLL to total gross loans at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was 3.1% and 3.0%, respectively. Gross loans declined to \$679.5 million at December 31, 2011 from \$757.9 million at December 31, 2010. The ALLL decreased by \$1.8 million to \$20.8 million at December 31, 2011 from \$22.6 million at December 31, 2010.

Net charges-off decreased \$22.6 million from \$24.9 million in 2010 to \$2.3 million in 2011. Total charge-offs included \$2.0 million of commercial real estate loans and construction, land acquisition and development loans associated with properties located in the Pocono Mountains region. All other charge-off and recovery activity was consistent with the normal course of business. Management is actively pursuing work out and collection efforts to collect on these loans.





Table of Contents**Funding Sources**

The Company utilizes traditional deposit products, such as demand, savings, negotiable order of withdrawal ( NOW ), money market, and time as its primary funding sources to support the earning asset base and future growth. Other sources, such as short-term FHLB advances, federal funds purchased, brokered time deposits and long-term FHLB borrowings may be utilized as necessary to support the Company's growth in assets and to achieve interest rate sensitivity objectives. The average balance of interest-bearing liabilities decreased by \$182.8 million, or 15.9%, to \$969.6 million during 2011 from \$1.15 billion during 2010. The rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities decreased to 1.43% in 2011 from 1.90% in 2010. These decreases caused a reduction in interest expense of \$8.0 million, or 36.6%, to \$13.9 million in 2011 from \$21.9 million in 2010.

**Deposits**

Average interest-bearing deposits decreased \$97.9 million, or 10.2%, during 2011 compared to 2010. The Company experienced decreases in all of the components of interest-bearing deposits. During 2011, average interest-bearing demand deposits decreased \$18.4 million, or 5.2%, average savings deposits decreased \$4.1 million, or 4.4%, average time deposits over \$100,000 decreased \$44.3 million or 19.6% and average other time deposits decreased \$31.1 million, or 11.0%. The rate paid on average interest-bearing deposits decreased to 1.02% in 2011 from 1.48% during 2010. The decrease in the rate on interest-bearing deposits was driven primarily by pricing decreases from money markets and time deposits, which are sensitive to interest rate changes. The Company elected to allow higher cost time deposits to mature and chose to be more conservative in setting rates on new deposits and renewals. The pricing decreases for these products resulted from the Company's implementation of competitive rates. The rate paid on average interest-bearing demand deposits decreased to 0.48% in 2011 from 0.97% during 2010. The rate paid for savings deposits decreased to 0.32% in 2011 from 0.54% in 2010. The rate paid on time deposits over \$100 thousand decreased to 1.21% from 1.52% and the rate paid on other time deposits decreased to 1.85% during 2011 from 2.41% during 2010. The decrease in average interest-bearing deposits was partially offset by a \$25.4 million increase in non-interest-bearing demand deposits.

The average daily amount of deposits and rates paid on such deposits is summarized for the periods indicated in the following table:

(in thousands)	2011		Year Ended December 31, 2010		2009	
	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate
Non interest-bearing demand deposits	\$ 107,763		\$ 82,400		\$ 81,081	
Interest-bearing demand deposits	335,201	0.48%	353,579	0.97%	312,285	1.19%
Savings deposits	89,494	0.32%	93,598	0.54%	81,149	0.73%
Time deposits	433,227	1.58%	508,660	2.01%	530,276	2.47%
Total	\$ 965,685		\$ 1,038,237		\$ 1,004,791	

The following table presents the maturity distribution of time deposits of \$100,000 or more at December 31,

**Maturity Distribution of Time Deposits**

At December 31,

	2011		2010
3 months or less	\$ 95,635	\$	97,302
Over 3 through 6 months	13,455		22,465
Over 6 through 12 months	33,058		35,884
Over 12 months	57,642		33,875
Total	\$ 199,790	\$	189,526

**Borrowings**

The following table presents the maximum amount of the Company's short-term borrowings that were outstanding at any month end during the years ended December 31,

Table of Contents**Maximum Borrowings Outstanding****For the Years Ended December 31,**

(in thousands)	2011	2010	2009
Federal funds purchased	\$	\$	\$ 15,075
FHLB advances	40,000		10,000
FRB discount window borrowings			20,000
Total	\$ 40,000	\$	\$ 45,075

The Company did not have any outstanding short term borrowings at December 31, 2011, 2010, or 2009, respectively.

Average long-term debt decreased to \$111.7 million in 2011 from \$196.6 million in 2010 which was due to the maturity of FHLB advances and lower levels of outstanding debt in 2011. The average rate paid for long term debt in 2011 was 4.57%, an increase from 3.90% in 2010. The increase in rate on the long term debt is due primarily to its subordinated debentures comprising a higher percentage of total average long term debt in 2011 than in 2010. For further discussion of the Company's borrowings, see Note 11- Borrowed Funds to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8- Financial Statements and Supplementary Data hereof.

The average balance of junior subordinated debentures, a component of long-term debt, was \$10.3 million at both December 31, 2011 and 2010. The average rate paid for junior subordinated debentures in 2011 was 2.00%, relatively unchanged from 2.01% in 2010.

Short-term borrowings consist of Federal funds purchased which generally represent overnight borrowing transactions. The Company did not have a Federal funds line of credit as of December 31, 2011, but had an unused line of credit at the FHLB of approximately \$36 million at December 31, 2010. Long-term debt, which is comprised primarily of FHLB advances are collateralized by the FHLB stock owned by the Company, certain of its mortgage-backed securities and a blanket lien on its residential and commercial real estate mortgage loans.

The maximum amount of borrowings outstanding at any month end during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 were \$127.7 million and \$182.9 million, respectively. Federal funds purchased represent overnight borrowings providing for the short-term funding requirements of the Company's banking subsidiary and generally mature within one business day of the transaction. During 2011 the average outstanding balance for short-term FHLB advances amounted to \$6.6 million and the weighted average rate paid in 2011 was 0.53%. Federal Reserve Discount Window borrowings also represent overnight funding to meet the short-term liquidity requirements of the Bank and are fully collateralized with investment securities. During 2011, there were no borrowings from the Discount Window.

**Liquidity**

The term liquidity refers to the ability of the Company to generate sufficient amounts of cash to meet its cash flow needs. Liquidity is required to fulfill the borrowing needs of the Company's credit customers and the withdrawal and maturity requirements of its deposit customers, as well as to meet other financial commitments. Cash and cash equivalents (cash and due from banks, interest-bearing deposits in other banks and

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

federal funds sold) are the Company's most liquid assets. At December 31, 2011, cash and cash equivalents totaled \$168.6 million, compared to \$74.5 million at December 31, 2010, an increase of \$94.1 million. Cash flows from investing activities provided \$170.2 million and operating activities provided \$3.3 million of cash and cash equivalents during the year, while financing activities utilized \$79.3 million. The cash flows provided by investing activities were largely attributable to the \$74.0 million in net loan repayments by customers and \$88.7 million net reduction in investments. The \$79.3 million used by financing activities reflected \$53.6 million of repayments of FHLB advances, net of new advances, as well as the run-off of \$41.5 million of higher-cost time deposits. Cash flows from financing activities were positively affected by the \$16.2 million increase in lower-cost transaction and savings accounts.

Core deposits, which represent the Company's primary source of liquidity, averaged \$745 million in 2011, a decrease of \$34 million from the \$779 million in 2010. Core deposits are comprised of total deposit liabilities less: brokered deposits, deposits generated through the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service ( CDARs ) and all certificates of deposit accounts with balances greater than \$100 thousand.

The Company has other potential sources of liquidity including the ability to borrow on credit lines established at the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh and access to the Federal Reserve Discount Window.

Financial instruments whose contract amounts represent credit risk at December 31 are as follows:

Table of Contents

(in thousands)		2011		2010
Commitments to extend credit	\$	138,715	\$	125,981
Standby letters of credit		36,286		57,629

**Capital**

A strong capital base is essential to the continued growth and profitability of the Company and is therefore a management priority. The Company's principal capital planning goals are to provide an adequate return to shareholders while retaining a sufficient base from which to provide for future growth, while at the same time complying with all regulatory standards. As more fully described in Note 17 to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report, regulatory authorities have prescribed specified minimum capital ratios as guidelines for determining capital adequacy to help assure the safety and soundness of financial institutions.

The following schedules present information regarding the Company's risk-based capital at December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009 and selected other capital ratios:

## FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC.

## CAPITAL ANALYSIS

(in thousands)		December 31, 2011		December 31, 2010		December 31, 2009
<b>Company</b>						
Tier I Capital:						
Total Tier I Capital	\$	53,059	\$	53,297	\$	84,365
Tier II Capital:						
Subordinated notes	\$	25,000	\$	25,000	\$	23,100
Allowable portion of allowance for loan losses		9,823		11,201		14,594
Total Tier II Capital	\$	34,823	\$	36,201	\$	37,694
Total Risk-Based Capital	\$	87,882	\$	89,498	\$	122,059
Total Risk Weighted Assets	\$	774,452	\$	883,887	\$	1,158,157
<b>Bank</b>						
Tier I Capital:						
Total Tier I Capital	\$	80,976	\$	75,659	\$	103,453
Tier II Capital:						
Allowable portion of allowance for loan losses	\$	9,819	\$	11,197	\$	14,590
Total Tier II Capital	\$	9,819	\$	11,197	\$	14,590
Total Risk-Based Capital	\$	90,795	\$	86,856	\$	118,043
Total Risk Weighted Assets	\$	774,097	\$	883,535	\$	1,157,823

Table of Contents

## FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC.

## CAPITAL ANALYSIS

(amounts in thousands) As of December 31, 2011	Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes		To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provision	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
<b>Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)</b>						
Corporation	\$ 87,882	11.35%	\$ 61,956	>8.00%	N/A	N/A
Bank	\$ 90,795	11.73%	\$ 61,928	>8.00%	\$ 77,410	>10.00%
<b>Tier I Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)</b>						
Corporation	\$ 53,059	6.85%	\$ 30,978	>4.00%	N/A	N/A
Bank	\$ 80,976	10.46%	\$ 30,964	>4.00%	\$ 46,446	>6.00%
<b>Tier I Capital (to Average Assets)</b>						
Corporation	\$ 53,059	4.72%	\$ 44,992	>4.00%	N/A	N/A
Bank	\$ 80,976	7.20%	\$ 44,978	>4.00%	\$ 56,227	>5.00%
<b>As of December 31, 2010</b>						
<b>Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)</b>						
Corporation	\$ 89,498	10.13%	\$ 70,711	>8.00%	N/A	N/A
Bank	\$ 86,856	9.83%	\$ 70,683	>8.00%	\$ 88,354	>10.00%
<b>Tier I Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)</b>						
Corporation	\$ 53,297	6.03%	\$ 35,355	>4.00%	N/A	N/A
Bank	\$ 75,659	8.56%	\$ 35,341	>4.00%	\$ 53,012	>6.00%
<b>Tier I Capital (to Average Assets)</b>						
Corporation	\$ 53,297	4.27%	\$ 49,964	>4.00%	N/A	N/A
Bank	\$ 75,659	6.06%	\$ 49,950	>4.00%	\$ 62,438	>5.00%

Table of Contents

In 2011, the Company's total regulatory capital decreased \$1.6 million, primarily as a result of the decline in the allowable portion of the allowance for loan losses. As of December 31, 2011, there were 33,557,681 common shares available for future sale or share dividends. The number of shareholders of record at December 31, 2011 was 1,636. Quarterly market highs and lows, dividends paid and known market makers are highlighted in Part I, Item 5 of this report. Refer to Note 17 to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion of our capital requirements and dividend limitations. As a result of the Order, the Bank is required to achieve a total capital ratio of 13% and Tier I capital to average assets ratio of 9% by November 30, 2010. As of December 31, 2011, the Bank has not yet achieved these ratios. Furthermore, pursuant to the Order and the Agreement, the Bank and the Company are currently prohibited from declaring or paying any dividends without prior regulatory approval.

During 1999, the Company implemented a Dividend Reinvestment Plan ( DRIP ) which permits participants to automatically reinvest cash dividends on all of their shares and to make voluntary cash contributions under terms of the plan. Under the DRIP, participants purchase, at a 10% discount to the 10-day trading average, common shares that are either newly-issued by the Company or acquired by the plan administrator in the open market or privately.

The Company's operation of the DRIP Plan was suspended in 2011. New capital generated from shares issued under the DRIP totaled \$29 thousand and \$528 thousand during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The Board of Directors (the Board ) on February 26, 2010 voted to suspend payment of the Company's quarterly dividend indefinitely in an effort to conserve capital. The Board recognizes the importance of preserving cash and, given the challenging economic conditions that continue to impact the health and stability of many businesses within the region we serve, believes dividends should not be paid from current and anticipated earnings to prudently fund operations. Additionally, as a result of the Order and the Agreement, the Company is prohibited from paying dividends without the prior approval of the OCC and the Reserve Bank. Suspending the \$0.02 per share dividend will save the Company approximately \$1.3 million annually.

The suspension is among several initiatives in place to conserve cash reserves during the nation's protracted economic slump. In 2010 the Company raised \$1.9 million through the sale of subordinated debentures which will mature on September 1, 2019. A substantial portion of the net proceeds of the completed sale were used to strengthen the institution's capital position, improve liquidity, increase lending capacity and support the Company's continuing growth objectives.

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

In the normal course of operations, the Company engages in a variety of financial transactions that, in accordance with U.S. GAAP, are not recorded in our consolidated financial statements, or are recorded in amounts that differ from the notional amounts. These transactions involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit, interest rate and liquidity risk. Such transactions may be used for general corporate purposes or for customer needs. Corporate purpose transactions would be used to help manage credit, interest rate and liquidity risk or to optimize capital. Customer transactions are used to manage customers' requests for funding.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company did not engage in any off-balance sheet transactions that would have or would be reasonably likely to have a material effect on its consolidated financial condition. For a further discussion of the Company's off-balance sheet

arrangements, see Note 15 Commitments, Contingencies, and Concentrations to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data hereof.

**Contractual Obligations**

The following table details the Company's contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of December 31, 2011. Payments due by period in the following table are based on final maturity dates without consideration of early redemption.



Table of Contents

(in thousands) Contractual Obligations	Payments Due by Period				
	Total	Less Than one Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More Than 5 Years
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	\$ 48,261	\$ 13,315	\$ 28,904	\$ 3,230	\$ 2,812
Subordinated debentures	25,000			10,000	15,000
Junior subordinated debt	10,310				10,310
Other borrowings					
Operating lease obligations	2,010	656	1,034	230	90
Total contractual cash obligations	\$ 85,581	\$ 13,971	\$ 29,938	\$ 13,460	\$ 28,212

(in thousands) Contractual Obligations	Amount of Commitment Expirations by Period				
	Total Amounts Committed	Less Than one Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More Than 5 Years
Commitments to extend credit	\$ 138,715	\$ 124,313	\$ 259	\$ 4,182	\$ 9,961
Standby letters of credit	\$ 36,286	28,309	1,465	6,362	150
Total	\$ 175,001	\$ 152,622	\$ 1,724	\$ 10,544	\$ 10,111

The Company's Treasury unit proactively monitors the level of unused commitments against the Company's available sources of liquidity from its investment portfolio, from deposit gathering activities as well as available unused borrowing capacity from the FHLB and the Federal Reserve. The Treasury unit regularly reports the results of its actions to two of our management committees, the Asset/Liability Committee and the Senior Management Committee.

**Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.****Asset and Liability Management**

The major objectives of the Company's asset and liability management are to:

- (1) Manage exposure to changes in the interest rate environment by limiting the changes in net interest margin to an acceptable level within a reasonable range of interest rates;
- (2) Ensure adequate liquidity and funding;
- (3) Maintain a strong capital base; and
- (4) Maximize net interest income opportunities.

The Company manages these objectives through its Senior Management Committee and its Asset and Liability Management Committee (ALCO). ALCO consists of the members of senior management and the two treasury officers. Members of the committees meet regularly to develop balance sheet strategies affecting the future level of net interest income, liquidity and capital. Items that are considered in asset and liability management include balance sheet forecasts, the economic environment, the anticipated direction of interest rates and the Company's earnings sensitivity to changes in these rates.

### **Interest Rate Sensitivity**

The Company analyzes its interest rate sensitivity position to manage the risk associated with interest rate movements through the use of gap analysis and simulation modeling. Interest rate risk arises from mismatches in the re-pricing of assets and liabilities within a given time period. Gap analysis is an approach used to quantify these differences. A positive gap results when the amount of interest-sensitive assets exceeds that of interest-sensitive liabilities within a given time period. A negative gap results when the amount of interest-sensitive liabilities exceeds that of interest-sensitive assets.

While gap analysis is a general indicator of the potential effect that changing interest rates may have on net interest income, the gap report has some limitations and does not present a complete picture of interest rate sensitivity. First, changes in the general level of interest rates do not affect all categories of assets and liabilities equally or simultaneously. Second, assumptions must be made to construct a gap table. For example, non-maturity deposits are assigned a re-pricing interval based on internal assumptions. Management

Table of Contents

can influence the actual re-pricing of these deposits independent of the gap assumption. Third, the gap table represents a one-day position and cannot incorporate a changing mix of assets and liabilities over time as interest rates change.

Because of the limitations of the gap reports, the Company uses simulation modeling to project future net interest income streams incorporating the current gap position, the forecasted balance sheet mix, and the anticipated spread relationships between market rates and bank products under a variety of interest rate scenarios.

Table of Contents**Interest Rate Gap**

The following schedule illustrates the Company's interest rate gap position as of December 31, 2011 which measures sensitivity to interest rate fluctuations for certain interest sensitivity periods:

(in thousands)	Interest Rate Sensitivity Analysis as of December 31, 2011						Total
	1 to 90 Days	91 to 180 Days	181 to 365 Days	1 to 5 Years	Beyond 5 Years	Not Rate Sensitive	
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks	\$ 149,690	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 149,690
Performing loans, gross	404,024	22,149	39,147	155,744	38,544		659,608
Loans held for sale					94		94
Securities - taxable	23,197	8,024	7,160	30,607	21,095		90,083
Securities - tax free	825	1,320	585	10,325	84,431		97,486
Total securities	24,022	9,344	7,745	40,932	105,526		187,569
Total earning assets	577,736	31,493	46,892	196,676	144,164		996,961
Non-earning assets						126,512	126,512
Allowance for loan and lease losses						(20,834)	(20,834)
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 577,736</b>	<b>\$ 31,493</b>	<b>\$ 46,892</b>	<b>\$ 196,676</b>	<b>\$ 144,164</b>	<b>\$ 105,678</b>	<b>\$ 1,102,639</b>
Interest-bearing demand deposits	\$ 326,899	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 326,899
Savings deposits	86,400	671	641				87,712
Time deposits (\$100,000 and over)	50,747	30,604	41,006	72,745	4,688		199,790
Other time deposits	92,236	13,872	32,272	69,123	1,216		208,719
Total interest-bearing deposits	556,282	45,147	73,919	141,868	5,904		823,120
FHLB advances	3,547	8,572	12,861	22,273	1,008		48,261
Subordinated debentures				10,000	15,000		25,000
Junior subordinated debt	10,310						10,310
Other debt							
Total borrowed funds	13,857	8,572	12,861	32,273	16,008		83,571
Total interest-bearing liabilities	570,139	53,719	86,780	174,141	21,912		906,691
Demand deposits						134,016	134,016
Other liabilities						22,007	22,007
Shareholders' equity						39,925	39,925
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 570,139	\$ 53,719	\$ 86,780	\$ 174,141	\$ 21,912	\$ 195,948	\$ 1,102,639
<b>INTEREST RATE SENSITIVITY GAP</b>	<b>\$ 7,597</b>	<b>\$ (22,226)</b>	<b>\$ (39,888)</b>	<b>\$ 22,535</b>	<b>\$ 122,252</b>		
<b>CUMULATIVE GAP</b>	<b>\$ 7,597</b>	<b>\$ (14,629)</b>	<b>\$ (54,517)</b>	<b>\$ (31,982)</b>	<b>\$ 90,270</b>		

The Company's interest sensitivity at December 31, 2011 was essentially neutral within reasonable ranges and an interest rate fluctuation of up or down 200 basis points would not be expected to have a significant impact on net interest income.

**Earnings at risk and economic value at risk simulations**

The Company recognizes that more sophisticated tools exist for measuring the interest rate risk in the balance sheet beyond static gap analysis. Although it will continue to measure its static gap position, the Company utilizes additional modeling for identifying and measuring the interest rate risk in the overall balance sheet. ALCO is responsible for focusing on earnings at risk and economic value at risk, and how both relate to the risk-based capital position when analyzing interest rate risk.

Table of Contents*Earnings at Risk*

Earnings at risk simulation measures the change in net interest income and net income should interest rates rise and fall. The simulation recognizes that not all assets and liabilities re-price equally and simultaneously with market rates (i.e., savings rate). ALCO looks at earnings at risk to determine income changes from a base case scenario under an increase and decrease of 200 basis points in the interest rate simulation model.

*Economic Value at Risk*

Earnings at risk simulation measures the short-term risk in the balance sheet. Economic value (or portfolio equity) at risk measures the long-term risk by finding the net present value of the future cash flows from the Company's existing assets and liabilities. ALCO examines this ratio regularly utilizing a rate shock of + 200 basis points in the interest rate simulation model. The ALCO recognizes that, in some instances, this ratio may contradict the earnings at risk ratio.

The following table illustrates the simulated impact of a 200 basis point upward or downward movement in interest rates on net interest income and the change in economic value. This analysis assumed that interest-earning asset and interest-bearing liability levels at December 31, 2011 remained constant. The impact of the rate movements were developed by simulating the effect of rates changing over a twelve-month period from the December 31, 2011 levels.

	<b>RATES + 200</b>	<b>RATES - 200</b>
<b>Earnings at risk:</b>		
Percent change in net interest income	6.41%	(8.35)%
<b>Economic value at risk:</b>		
Percent change in economic value of equity	(4.89)%	16.21%

Table of Contents

**Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.**

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of  
First National Community Bancorp., Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of First National Community Bancorp., Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009 and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity and comprehensive loss, and cash flows for each of the years in the two year period ended December 31, 2009. First National Community Bancorp., Inc.'s management is responsible for these financial statements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of First National Community Bancorp., Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the two year periods ended December 31, 2009 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 3, the Company restated the 2009 financial statements to correct the accounting relating to its allowance for loan and lease losses (ALLL), other than temporary impairment (OTTI) in investments, the provision for off-balance sheet commitments, impairment of goodwill, deferred loan fees and costs and other related financial statement elements.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the First National Community Bancorp., Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated March 10, 2010 (except for the effects of the material weakness described in paragraphs six and seven of that report, as to which the date is December 1, 2011) expressed an adverse opinion.

/s/ Demetrius & Company, L.L.C.

Wayne, New Jersey

March 10, 2010, except for notes 3, 5, 6, 9, 13, 17, 18, 19 and 20, for  
which the date is December 1, 2011



Table of Contents

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders

First National Community Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition of First National Community Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of First National Community Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As explained in Note 17, the Bank is under a Consent Order from the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency whereby the Bank is required to achieve and maintain certain minimum regulatory capital ratios.

/s/ McGladrey LLP

New Haven, Connecticut  
August 10, 2012

Table of Contents**FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION**

<b>December 31, (in thousands, except share data)</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 18,956	\$ 18,934
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks	149,690	55,571
Total cash and cash equivalents	168,646	74,505
Securities		
Available-for-sale, at fair value	185,475	251,072
Held-to-maturity, at amortized cost (fair value \$1,857 and \$1,788)	2,094	1,994
Stock in Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh, at cost	8,399	10,311
Loans held for sale	94	3,557
Loans, net of allowance for loan and lease losses of \$20,834 and \$22,575	659,044	735,813
Bank premises and equipment, net	18,846	19,310
Accrued interest receivable	2,552	3,119
Refundable federal income taxes	11,612	12,409
Intangible assets	797	963
Bank-owned life insurance	26,769	25,982
Other real estate owned	6,958	9,633
Other assets	11,353	18,630
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,102,639</b>	<b>\$ 1,167,298</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>		
Deposits:		
Demand	134,016	\$ 93,215
Interest-bearing demand	326,899	349,185
Savings	87,712	90,037
Time (\$100,000 and over)	199,790	189,526
Other time	208,719	260,473
Total deposits	957,136	982,436
Borrowed funds:		
FHLB advances	48,261	101,887
Subordinated debentures	25,000	25,000
Junior subordinated debentures	10,310	10,310
Other debt		407
Total borrowed funds	83,571	137,604
Accrued interest payable	4,301	2,763
Other liabilities	17,706	12,440
Total liabilities	1,062,714	1,135,243
<b>Shareholders Equity</b>		
Common Shares (\$1.25 par)		
Authorized: 50,000,000 shares as of December 31, 2011 and 2010		
Issued and outstanding: 16,442,119 shares as of December 31, 2011 and 16,433,020 shares as of December 31, 2010		
	20,552	20,541
Additional paid-in capital	61,557	61,539
Retained earnings	(38,217)	(37,882)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		
Unrealized holding loss on available-for-sale securities, net of taxes	497	(6,174)
Unrealized non-credit holding loss on OTTI available-for-sale securities, net of taxes	(4,464)	(5,969)
Total accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of taxes	(3,967)	(12,143)

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Total shareholders equity		39,925	32,055
<b>Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity</b>	\$	1,102,639	\$ 1,167,298

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

<b>Year Ended December 31, (in thousands, except share data)</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
<b>Interest income</b>			
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 34,467	\$ 44,380	\$ 51,338
Interest and dividends on securities			
U.S. Treasury and government agencies	2,852	4,223	6,427
State and political subdivisions, tax-free	5,093	5,590	5,203
State and political subdivisions, taxable	112	58	
Other securities	234	1,059	1,332
Total interest and dividends on securities	8,291	10,930	12,962
Interest on interest-bearing deposits and federal funds sold	178	161	98
<b>Total interest income</b>	<b>42,936</b>	<b>55,471</b>	<b>64,398</b>
<b>Interest expense</b>			
Deposits			
Interest-bearing demand	1,615	3,442	3,725
Savings	287	502	589
Time (\$100,000 and over)	2,193	3,416	5,097
Other time	4,664	6,832	8,010
Total interest on deposits	8,759	14,192	17,421
Interest on borrowed funds			
Interest on FHLB advances	2,621	5,208	6,979
Interest on subordinated debentures	2,281	2,257	471
Interest on junior subordinated debentures	206	210	277
Interest on other debt		1	48
Total interest on borrowed funds	5,108	7,676	7,775
<b>Total interest expense</b>	<b>13,867</b>	<b>21,868</b>	<b>25,196</b>
<b>Net interest income before provision for loan and lease losses</b>	<b>29,069</b>	<b>33,603</b>	<b>39,202</b>
Provision for loan and lease losses	523	25,041	42,089
<b>Net interest income (loss) after provision for loan and lease losses</b>	<b>28,546</b>	<b>8,562</b>	<b>(2,887)</b>
<b>Non-interest income (loss)</b>			
Deposit service charges	3,105	3,274	3,503
Net (loss) gain on the sale of securities	5,114	(1,714)	890
Gross other-than-temporary impairment ( OTTI )gains (losses)	751	(805)	(35,684)
Portion of gain (loss) recognized in OCI (before taxes)	(1,549)	(3,466)	15,035
Other-than-temporary-impairment losses recognized in earnings	(798)	(4,271)	(20,649)
Net gain on the sale of loans held for sale	755	1,198	1,481
Net gain on the sale of other real estate owned	2,528	403	309
Net (loss) gain on the sale of other assets	20	(60)	
Loan related fees	673	1,009	1,035
Income on bank owned life insurance	787	740	321
Other	765	703	1,109
<b>Total non-interest income (loss)</b>	<b>12,949</b>	<b>1,282</b>	<b>(12,001)</b>
<b>Non-interest expense</b>			
Salaries and employee benefits	14,117	13,077	12,155
Occupancy expense	2,890	3,228	2,218
Equipment expense	1,654	1,763	1,828
Advertising expense	629	712	713
Data processing expense	2,036	2,023	1,928
FDIC assessment	2,657	2,828	2,506
Bank shares tax	1,103	1,020	898
Expense of other real estate	3,720	7,521	1,250

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Provision for off-balance sheet commitments	(423)	(678)	604
Legal expense	2,905	1,075	591
Professional fees	5,439	2,066	388
Goodwill impairment			8,134
Insurance expense	695	362	301
Loan collection expenses	242	647	236
Other operating expenses	4,166	5,920	4,272
<b>Total non-interest expense</b>	<b>41,830</b>	<b>41,564</b>	<b>38,022</b>
<b>Loss before income taxes</b>	<b>(335)</b>	<b>(31,720)</b>	<b>(52,910)</b>
Provision (credit) for income taxes			(8,594)
<b>Net loss</b>	<b>\$ (335)</b>	<b>\$ (31,720)</b>	<b>\$ (44,316)</b>
<b>Loss Per Share</b>			
Basic	\$ (0.02)	\$ (1.94)	\$ (2.74)
Diluted	\$ (0.02)	\$ (1.94)	\$ (2.74)
<b>Cash Dividends Declared Per Common Share</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 0.17</b>
<b>WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES OUTSTANDING:</b>			
Basic	16,439,508	16,354,245	16,169,777
Diluted	16,439,508	16,354,245	16,169,777

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY****For the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009**

(in thousands, except share data)	Number of Common Shares	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Total Shareholders Equity
Balances, December 31, 2008	16,047,928	\$ 20,060	\$ 59,591	\$ 40,892	\$ (20,201)	\$ 100,342
Net loss for the year				(44,316)		(44,316)
Other comprehensive gain (loss) net of tax:						
Net change in unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale (AFS) net of tax of \$7,798					14,482	
Non-credit related losses on OTTI securities not expected to be sold, net of tax benefit of \$3,858					(7,165)	
Reclassification adjustment for gains and (losses) included in net loss, net of tax of \$312					578	
Other comprehensive income					7,895	7,895
Total comprehensive loss						(36,421)
Cash dividend paid, \$0.17 per share				(2,738)		(2,738)
Stock based compensation - Stock Option Plans						
Proceeds from the issuance of common shares -			158			158
Stock option plans	15,500	19	74			93
Proceeds from issuance of common shares through dividend reinvestment plan	226,542	283	1,367			1,650
Balances, December 31, 2009	16,289,970	\$ 20,362	\$ 61,190	\$ (6,162)	\$ (12,306)	\$ 63,084
Net loss for the year				(31,720)		(31,720)
Other comprehensive gain (loss) net of tax:						
Net change in unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale (AFS) net of tax benefit of \$3,988					(7,741)	
Non-credit related gains on OTTI securities not expected to be sold, net of tax of \$2,037					3,954	
Reclassification adjustment for gains and (losses) included in net loss, net of tax of \$2,035					3,950	
Other comprehensive income					163	163
Total comprehensive loss						(31,557)
Proceeds from issuance of common shares through dividend reinvestment plan	143,050	179	349			528
Balances, December 31, 2010	16,433,020	20,541	61,539	(37,882)	(12,143)	32,055

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Net loss for the year				(335)		(335)
Other comprehensive gain (loss) net of tax:						
Net change in unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale (AFS) net of tax of \$5,117					9,933	
Non-credit related gains on OTTI securities not expected to be sold, net of tax of \$563					1,092	
Reclassification adjustment for gains and (losses) included in net loss, net of tax of \$1,467					(2,849)	
Other comprehensive income					8,176	8,176
Total comprehensive loss						7,841
Proceeds from issuance of common shares through dividend reinvestment plan	9,099	11	18			29
Balances, December 31, 2011	16,442,119 \$	20,552 \$	61,557 \$	(38,217) \$	(3,967) \$	39,925

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

**FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

For the Years Ended December 31, (in thousands)	2011	2010	2009
<b>Cash Flows from Operating activities:</b>			
Net loss	\$ (335)	\$ (31,720)	\$ (44,316)
<b>Adjustments to Reconcile Net Loss to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities:</b>			
Investment securities accretion, Net	(1,293)	(2,365)	(3,680)
Equity in trust	(4)	(6)	(5)
Depreciation and amortization	1,338	1,601	1,811
Stock based compensation - Stock Option Plans			158
Provision loan and lease losses	523	25,041	42,089
Provision for off balance sheet commitments	(423)	(678)	604
Provision for deferred taxes		3,512	1,620
(Gain) loss on sale of investment securities	(5,114)	1,714	(890)
Other-than temporary impairment losses	798	4,271	20,649
Gain on the sale of loans held for sale	(755)	(1,198)	(1,481)
(Gain) loss on sale of other assets	(20)	60	
Goodwill impairment			8,134
Gain on the sale of other real estate owned	(2,528)	(403)	(309)
Write-down of other real estate owned	2,318	5,906	434
Write-down of premises and equipment		1,196	
Income from bank owned life insurance	(787)	(740)	(890)
Proceeds from the sale of loans held for sale	28,573	44,837	62,651
Funds used to originate loans held for sale	(26,638)	(46,754)	(62,259)
Decrease in interest receivable	567	1,126	441
Decrease (increase) in refundable federal income taxes	797	(187)	(12,222)
Decrease (increase) in prepaid expenses and other assets	4,170	(1,129)	(6,947)
Increase (decrease) in interest payable	1,538	(117)	(1,152)
Increase (decrease) in accrued expenses and other liabilities	569	1,825	(76)
Total adjustments	3,629	37,512	48,680
<b>Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities</b>	<b>3,294</b>	<b>5,792</b>	<b>4,364</b>
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities:</b>			
Maturities, calls and principal payments of investment securities available-for-sale	29,449	51,145	35,461
Sales of securities available for sale	122,640	36,619	37,222
Purchases of securities available-for-sale	(63,409)	(89,442)	(84,174)
Purchases of Federal Reserve Bank stock		(336)	(269)
Redemption of Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh stock	1,912	543	
Net decrease (increase) in loans to customers	73,540	111,010	(10,404)
Proceeds from the sale of indirect loans		36,501	
Proceeds from the sale of other real estate owned	6,880	5,996	381
Purchases of property and equipment	(893)	(1,239)	
Proceeds from the sale of property and equipment	32	59	(4,377)
<b>Net Cash Provided/(Used) by Investing Activities</b>	<b>170,151</b>	<b>150,856</b>	<b>(26,160)</b>
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities:</b>			
Net increase in demand deposits, money market demand, interest-bearing demand accounts, and savings accounts	16,190	7,981	63,112
Net (decrease) increase in time deposits	(41,490)	(97,153)	55,603
Proceeds from issuance of subordinated debentures		1,900	23,100
Proceeds from FHLB advances	60,000	27,000	192,330



Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Repayment of FHLB advances	(113,626)	(108,943)	(227,058)
Proceeds from (repayment of) other borrowed funds	(407)	180	(16,103)
Proceeds from issuance of common shares, net of share issuance costs	29	528	1,650
Proceeds from issuance of common shares - share option plans			93
Dividends paid			(2,738)
<b>Net Cash (Used)/Provided by Financing Activities</b>	<b>(79,304)</b>	<b>(168,507)</b>	<b>89,989</b>
<b>Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents</b>	<b>94,141</b>	<b>(11,859)</b>	<b>68,193</b>
<b>Cash &amp; Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year</b>	<b>74,505</b>	<b>86,364</b>	<b>18,171</b>
<b>Cash &amp; Cash Equivalents at End of Year</b>	<b>\$ 168,646</b>	<b>\$ 74,505</b>	<b>\$ 86,364</b>
<b>Supplemental Cash Flow Information</b>			
Cash received paid (received) during the period for:			
Interest	\$ 12,329	\$ 21,985	\$ 26,514
Income taxes	(800)	(3,324)	1,864
Other transactions:			
Securities purchased but not settled	5,120		
Principal balance of loans transferred to OREO	3,995	9,928	11,717
Property transferred from OREO to premises & equipment			2,335
Transfer from loans held for sale to loans	1,289		
Transfer from loans held for sale to other assets	698	749	

The accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

**Note 1. Organization**

First National Community Bancorp, Inc., is a registered bank holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956. It was incorporated under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania in 1997. It is the parent company of First National Community Bank (the Bank) and the Bank's wholly owned subsidiaries FNCB Realty Company, Inc., FNCB Realty Company I, LLC, and FNCB Realty Company II, LLC. Unless the context otherwise requires, the term Company is used to refer to First National Community Bancorp, Inc., and its subsidiaries. In certain circumstances, however, the term Company refers to First National Community Bancorp, Inc., itself.

The Bank provides customary retail services to individuals and businesses through its twenty-one banking locations located in northeastern Pennsylvania.

FNCB Realty Company, Inc., FNCB Realty Company I, LLC, and FNCB Realty Company II, LLC were formed to hold real estate and/or operate businesses acquired in exchange for debt settlement or foreclosure.

During December 2006 the Bank created First National Community Statutory Trust I ( Issuing Trust ) which is wholly owned by the Company. The trust purpose is to provide an additional funding source for the Company through the issuance of pooled trust preferred securities.

The Company has adopted Accounting Standards Codification 810-10, Consolidation, for the issuing trust. Accordingly, this trust has not been consolidated with the accounts of the Company, because the Company is not the primary beneficiary of the trust.

**Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

**Basis of Presentation**

The consolidated financial statements of the Company include the accounts of First National Community Bancorp, Inc., the Bank, and the Bank's wholly-owned subsidiaries. All inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated. The accounting and reporting policies of the Company conform to U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ( GAAP ) and general practices within the financial services industry.

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management has made estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated statements of financial condition and results of operations for the periods indicated. Actual

results could differ significantly from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to change are the allowance for loan and lease losses ( ALLL ), securities valuations, and the evaluation of deferred income taxes and goodwill and the impairment of securities. The current economic environment has increased the degree of uncertainty inherent in these material estimates.

### **Cash Equivalents**

For purposes of reporting cash flows, cash equivalents include cash on hand, amounts due from banks and federal funds sold. Generally, federal funds are purchased and sold for one day periods.

### **Securities**

We classify investment securities as either held-to-maturity or available-for-sale at the time of purchase. Investment securities that are classified as held-to-maturity are carried at amortized cost when management has the positive intent and ability to hold them to maturity. Investment securities that are classified as available-for-sale are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses recognized as a component of shareholders' equity in accumulated other comprehensive income. Gains and losses on sales of investment securities are recognized using the specific identification method on a trade date basis. Interest income on investments includes amortization of purchase premiums and discounts. Realized gains and losses are derived based on the amortized cost of the security sold.

Quarterly, the Company evaluates its investment securities classified as held-to-maturity or available-for-sale for other-than-temporary-impairment ( OTTI ). Unrealized losses on securities are considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired when the Company believes the security's impairment is due to factors that could include the issuer's inability to pay interest or dividends, the issuer's potential for default, and/or other factors. Based on current authoritative guidance, when a held-to-maturity or available-for-sale debt security is

Table of Contents

assessed for OTTI, the Company must first consider (a) whether management intends to sell the security and (b) whether it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis. If one of these circumstances applies to a security, an OTTI loss is recognized in the statement of operations equal to the full amount of the decline in fair value below amortized cost. If neither of these circumstances applies to a security, but the Company does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis, an OTTI loss has occurred that must be separated into two categories: (a) the amount related to credit loss and (b) the amount related to other factors (such as market risk). In assessing the level of OTTI attributable to credit loss, the Company compares the present value of cash flows expected to be collected with the amortized cost basis of the security. The portion of the total OTTI related to credit loss is identified as the amount of principal cash flows not expected to be received over the remaining term of the security as estimated based on cash flow projections discounted at the applicable original yield of the security, and is recognized in earnings, while the amount related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income. The total OTTI loss is presented in the statement of operations less the portion recognized in other comprehensive income. When a debt security becomes other-than-temporarily impaired, its amortized cost basis is reduced to reflect the portion of the total impairment related to credit loss.

For equity securities, the entire decline in the value that is considered other-than-temporary is recognized in earnings.

Investments in the Federal Reserve Bank and Federal Home Loan Bank stock have limited marketability, are carried at cost and are evaluated for impairment based on the Company's determination of the ultimate recoverability of the par value of the stock. The investment in the Federal Reserve Bank stock is included in other assets.

**Loans and Loan Fees**

Loans receivable, other than loans held for sale, are stated at face value, net of unamortized loan fees and costs and the allowance for loan and lease losses. Interest income on all loans is recognized using the effective interest method. Loan origination and commitment fees, as well as certain direct loan origination costs, are deferred and the net amount is amortized as an adjustment of the related loan's yield. The Bank is generally amortizing these amounts over the life of the related loans except for residential mortgage loans, where the timing and amount of prepayments can be reasonably estimated. For these mortgage loans, the net deferred fees or costs are amortized over an estimated average life of five years. Amortization of deferred loan fees or costs is discontinued when a loan is placed on non-accrual status.

Loans are placed on non-accrual status when a loan is specifically determined to be impaired or when management believes that the collection of interest or principal is doubtful. This is generally when a default of interest or principal has existed for 90 days or more, unless such loan is fully secured and in the process of collection, or when management becomes aware of facts or circumstances that the loan would default before 90 days. The Company determines delinquency status based on the number of days since the date of the borrower's last required contractual loan payment. When the interest accrual is discontinued, all unpaid interest is reversed and charged back against interest income. Any cash payments received are applied, first to the outstanding loan amounts, then to the recovery of any charged-off loan amounts. Any excess is treated as a recovery of lost interest. Loans are returned to accrual status if principal and interest payments are brought current for six consecutive months and future payments are reasonably assured.

**Troubled Debt Restructurings**

Loans to borrowers that are experiencing financial difficulty that are modified and result in the Company granting concessions to the borrower are classified as troubled debt restructurings ( TDRs ) and are considered to be impaired. Concessions granted under a troubled debt restructuring generally involve a reduction of the rate, an extension of a loan s stated maturity date, or payment modifications. Non-accrual troubled debt restructurings are returned to accrual status if principal and interest payments, under the modified terms, are brought current for six consecutive months and future payments are reasonably assured.

The Bank was not committed to lend additional funds to any of the loans classified as troubled debt restructurings as of December 31, 2011.

### **Loan Impairment**

A loan is considered impaired when it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect all amounts due (including principal and interest) according to the contractual terms of the note and loan agreement. For purposes of the Company s analysis, loans which are identified as TDRs or are non-accrual and substandard or doubtful loans are considered impaired. Impaired loans are analyzed individually for the amount of impairment. The Company generally utilizes the fair value of collateral method for collateral dependent loans, which make up the majority of the Company s impaired loans. A loan is considered to be collateral dependent when repayment of the loan is anticipated to come from the liquidation of the collateral held. For loans that are secured by real estate, external appraisals are obtained annually, or more frequently as warranted, to ascertain a fair value so that the impairment analysis can be updated. Should a current appraisal not be available at the time of impairment analysis, other sources of valuation such as current letters of intent, broker price opinions or executed agreements of sale may be used. For non-collateral dependent loans, the Company measures impairment based on the present value of expected future cash flows, net of disposal costs, discounted at the loan s original effective interest rate.

Table of Contents

Generally all loans with balances of \$100 thousand or less are considered smaller homogeneous pools and not individually evaluated for impairment. However, individual loans with balances of \$100 thousand or less are individually evaluated for impairment if that loan is part of a larger impaired loan relationship that is greater than \$100 thousand.

Impaired loans or portions thereof are charged-off upon determination that all or a portion of any loan balance is uncollectible and exceeds the fair value of the collateral. A loan is considered uncollectible when the borrower is delinquent in principal or interest repayment and it is unlikely that the borrower will have the ability to pay the debt in a timely manner, collateral value is insufficient to cover the outstanding indebtedness and the guarantors (if applicable) do not provide adequate support for the loan.

**Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses**

The ALLL is established as losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision for loan losses charged to earnings and is maintained at a level that management considers adequate to absorb losses in the loan portfolio. Loans are charged against the ALLL when management believes the uncollectability of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the ALLL.

The ALLL represents management's estimate of probable loan losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Determining the amount of the ALLL is considered a critical accounting estimate because it requires significant judgment and the use of estimates related to the amount and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, estimated losses on pools of homogeneous loans based on historical loss experience and qualitative factors, and consideration of current economic trends and conditions, all of which may be susceptible to significant change. Various banking regulators, as an integral part of their examinations of the Company, also review the ALLL. Such regulators may require, based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination, that certain loan balances be charged off or require that adjustments be made to the ALLL. Additionally, the ALLL is determined, in part, by the composition and size of the loan portfolio.

The allowance consists of specific and general components. The specific component relates to loans that are classified as impaired. For such loans an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows, collateral value or observable market price of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. The general component covers all other loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted by qualitative factors. The general reserve component of the ALLL is based on pools of unimpaired loans segregated generally by loan type and risk rating categories of Pass, Special Mention or Substandard and Accruing, and historical loss factors and varied qualitative factor basis point allocations are applied based on the risk profile in each pool to determine the appropriate reserve related to those loans. Substandard loans on nonaccrual status are included in impaired loans.

When establishing the ALLL, management categorizes loans into segments generally based on the nature of the collateral and basis of repayment. These risk characteristics of the Company's loan segments are as follows:

**Construction, Land Acquisition and Development Loans** - These loans are secured by real estate for the purpose of constructing one-to-four family homes. The Bank also offers interim construction financing for the purpose of constructing residential developments and various commercial properties including shopping centers, office complexes and single purpose owner occupied structures and for land acquisition. The Bank's construction program offers either short term interest only loans that require the borrower to pay interest only during the construction phase with a balloon payment of the principal outstanding at the end of the construction period or interest only during construction with a

conversion to amortizing principal and interest when the construction is complete. Loans for undeveloped real estate are subject to a loan-to-value ratio not to exceed 65%. Construction loans are treated similarly to the developed real estate loans and are generally subject to an 80% loan to value ratio based upon an as-completed appraised value. Construction loans generally yield a higher interest rate than other mortgage loans but also carry more risk. If a construction loan defaults, the Bank would have to take control of the property, obtain title to it and categorize it as other real estate owned ( OREO ). The Bank will either find another contractor to complete the project, which may be at a higher cost, or seek to sell the property.

Commercial Real Estate Loans These loans represent the largest portion of the Bank's total loan portfolio and loans in this portfolio generally have larger loan balances. The commercial real estate mortgage loan portfolio is secured by a broad range of real estate, including but not limited to, office complexes, shopping centers, hotels, warehouses, gas stations/ convenience markets, residential care facilities, nursing care facilities, restaurants and multifamily housing. The Bank's commercial real estate portfolio consists of owner occupied properties and non-owner occupied properties and includes the personal guarantees of the principals where deemed necessary. The Bank offers various rates and terms for commercial mortgage loans secured by real estate. The interest rates associated with these types of loans are primarily priced as adjustable rate loans that adjust every three or five years or floating rate loans that adjust to a spread over the National Prime Rate ( NPR ) index. Loan pricing for most floating rate commercial mortgage loans generally has a minimum interest rate. The terms for commercial real estate loans typically do not exceed 20 years. Commercial real estate mortgage loans are originated under a comprehensive lending policy. In particular, these types of loans are subject to specific loan to value guidelines prior to the time of closing. The policy limits for developed real estate loans are subject to a maximum loan-to-value ratio of 80%. Commercial mortgage loans must also meet specific criteria that include the capacity, capital, credit worthiness and cash flow of

Table of Contents

the borrower and the project being financed. In order to make a decision on whether or not to make a commercial mortgage loan, the borrower(s) and guarantor(s) must provide the Bank with historical and current financial data. The Bank performs a review of the cash flow analysis of the borrower(s), guarantor(s) and the project. The Bank also considers the borrower's expertise, credit history, net worth and the value of the underlying property. The Bank generally requires that borrowers for loans secured by real estate have a debt service coverage ratio of at least 1.20 times.

Commercial and Industrial Loans - The Bank offers commercial loans to individuals and businesses located in its primary market area. The commercial loan portfolio includes lines of credit, dealer floor plan lines, equipment loans, vehicle loans, improvement loans and term loans. These loans are primarily secured by vehicles, machinery and equipment, inventory, accounts receivable, marketable securities, deposit accounts and real estate. The Bank offers various rates and terms for commercial loans. These loans also require the personal guarantee of the principals where deemed necessary. Most lines of credit are primarily issued for one year time periods and are renewable annually thereafter at the discretion of the Bank. Most other commercial loans range in terms from one year to seven years. The interest rates associated with these types of loans are primarily underwritten as fixed rate loans based upon the term of the loan or floating rate loans that adjust to a spread over the NPR index. Loan pricing for most floating rate commercial loans generally have a minimum interest rate floor. The interest rate for most lines of credit is issued on a floating rate basis. Finally, loans secured by deposit accounts are primarily underwritten at a spread over the interest rate of the deposit instrument used as collateral for the loan.

State and Political Subdivision Loans - The Bank originates loans to state and political subdivisions, which are primarily municipalities in the Bank's market area.

Residential Real Estate Loans - The Bank offers fixed and variable rate one-to-four-family residential loans. Residential first lien mortgages are generally subject to an 80% loan to value ratio based on the appraised value of the property. The Bank will generally require the mortgagee to purchase Private Mortgage Insurance (PMI) if the amount of the loan exceeds the 80% loan to value ratio. The interest rates for the variable rate loans are adjusted to a percentage above the one year treasury rate. The Bank may sell loans and retain servicing when warranted by market conditions. The Bank also offers a rate lock to customers that allows the borrowers to lock in their interest rates at the time of application as well as at time of commitment. Residential mortgage loans are generally smaller in size and are considered homogeneous as they exhibit similar characteristics.

Consumer Loans - Include both secured and unsecured installment loans, personal lines of credit and overdraft protection loans. The Bank is also in the business of underwriting indirect auto loans which are originated through various auto dealers in northeastern Pennsylvania and dealer floor plan loans. The Bank offers home equity loans and home equity lines of credit with a maximum combined loan-to-value ratio of 90% based on the appraised value of the property. Home equity loans have fixed rates of interest and are for terms up to 15 years. Home equity lines of credit have adjustable interest rates and are based upon the prime interest rate. Consumer loans are generally smaller in size and exhibit homogeneous characteristics.

**Reserve for Unfunded Commitments**

The liability for unfunded commitments provides for probable losses inherent in lending related commitments, including unused construction loan commitments to extend credit and letters of credit.



**Mortgage Banking Activities**

Mortgage loans originated by the Bank and intended for sale are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or fair value determined on an individual loan basis. Net unrealized losses are recorded as a valuation allowance and charged to earnings. Gains and losses on sales of mortgage loans are based on the difference between the selling price and the carrying value of the related loan sold and include the value assigned to the rights to service the loan. Gains on the sales of loans for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 were \$0.8 million, \$1.2 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. Loans held for sale are generally sold with loan servicing rights retained by the Company. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, loans held for sale amounted to \$94 thousand and \$3.6 million, respectively, and were included as a separate line item on the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition.

Table of Contents

**Servicing**

Servicing assets are reported in other assets and amortized in proportion to and over the period during which estimated servicing income will be received. Servicing loans for others consists of collecting mortgage payments, maintaining escrow accounts, disbursing payments to investors, and processing foreclosures. Loan servicing income is recorded when earned and represents servicing fees from investors and certain charges collected from borrowers, such as late payment fees. The Company has fiduciary responsibility for related escrow and custodial funds.

Servicing assets are recognized as separate assets when rights are acquired through purchase or through sale of financial assets. Generally, purchased servicing rights are capitalized at the cost to acquire the rights. For sales of mortgage loans originated by the Bank, a portion of the cost of originating the loan is allocated to the servicing retained right based on fair value. Fair value is based on market prices for comparable mortgage servicing contracts, when available, or alternately, is based on a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing income. The valuation model incorporates assumptions that market participants would use in estimating future net servicing income, such as the cost to service, the discount rate, the custodial earnings rate, an inflation rate, ancillary income, prepayment speeds and default rates and losses. Capitalized servicing rights are amortized into interest income in proportion to, and over the period of, the estimated future net servicing income of the underlying financial assets.

Servicing assets are evaluated for impairment based upon the fair value of the rights as compared to amortized cost. Impairment is determined by stratifying rights into tranches based on predominant risk characteristics, such as interest rate, loan type and investor type. Impairment is recognized through a valuation allowance for an individual tranche, to the extent that fair value is less than the capitalized amount for the tranche. If the Bank later determines that all or a portion of the impairment no longer exists for a particular tranche, a reduction of the allowance may be recorded as an increase to income. Servicing fee income is recorded for fees earned for servicing loans. The fees are based on a contractual percentage of the outstanding principal; or a fixed amount per loan and are recorded as income when earned.

**Transfers of Financial Assets**

Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales when control over the assets has been surrendered. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when (1) the assets have been isolated from the Company put presumptively beyond the reach of the transferor and its creditors, even in bankruptcy or other receivership, (2) the transferee obtains the right (free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets, and (3) the transferor does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through either (a) an agreement that both entitles and obligates the transferor to repurchase or redeem the assets before maturity or (b) the ability to unilaterally cause the holder to return specific assets, other than through a cleanup call.

**Other Real Estate Owned**

Other real estate owned ( OREO ) consists of property acquired by foreclosure or deed in-lieu of foreclosure that is held for sale and is initially recorded at fair value less cost to sell at the date of foreclosure, which establishes a new cost basis. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the assets are carried at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell. At the date OREO is acquired, any write-down to fair value less estimated selling costs is charged to the ALLL. This determination is made on an individual asset basis. Fair value is determined through external appraisals, current letters of intent, broker price opinions or executed agreements of sale.

Costs relating to the development and improvement of the OREO properties may be capitalized while holding period costs and subsequent changes to the valuation allowance are charged to expense.

**Bank Premises and Equipment**

Bank premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Routine maintenance and repair expenditures are expensed as incurred while significant expenditures for improvements are capitalized. Depreciation expense is determined on the straight-line method over the following ranges of useful lives:

Buildings and improvements	10 to 40 years
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	3 to 15 years
Leasehold improvements	5 to 30 years

Table of Contents

**Goodwill and Intangible Assets**

In connection with the purchase of the Honesdale branch completed in 2006, the Company acquired intangible assets of \$9.8 million. Of that amount, \$1.7 million results from a core deposit premium subject to periodic amortization over the useful life of 10 years. Goodwill of \$8.1 million, which was not subject to amortization, arose in connection with the acquisition. In response to the significant loss reported by the Company in 2009 and the reduction in the market capitalization of the Company's common shares, the Company's goodwill was evaluated for impairment as of December 31, 2009 (the Measurement Date). The analysis included a combination of a market approach based analysis of comparable transactions, change of control premiums paid, a discounted cash flow analysis of the potential dividends of the Company and the assessment of the fair value of the Company's statement of financial condition as of the Measurement Date. As a result of the analysis, the \$8.1 million, which constituted the entire balance of goodwill, was written off as of December 31, 2009. The Company did not record any goodwill in 2010 or 2011.

Intangible assets subject to amortization are reviewed by management at least annually for potential impairment and whenever events or circumstances indicate that carrying amounts may not be recoverable.

**Income Taxes**

The Bank recognizes income taxes under the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more-likely-than-not that all or some portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

The Company files a consolidated Federal income tax return. Under tax sharing agreements, each subsidiary provides for and settles income taxes with the Company as if it would have filed on a separate return basis.

When tax returns are filed, it is highly certain that some positions taken would be sustained upon examination by the taxing authorities, while others are subject to uncertainty about the merits of the position taken or the amount of the position that would be ultimately sustained. The benefit of a tax position is recognized in the financial statements in the period during which, based on all available evidence, management believes it is more-likely-than-not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including the resolution of appeals or litigation processes, if any. Tax positions taken are not offset or aggregated with other positions. Tax positions that meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold are measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that is more than 50% likely of being realized upon settlement with the applicable taxing authority. The portion of the benefits associated with tax positions taken that exceeds the amount measured as described above is reflected as a liability for unrecognized tax benefits along with any associated interest and penalties that would be payable to the taxing authorities upon examination. The Company determined that it had no liabilities for uncertain tax positions at December 31, 2011 and 2010.

Interest and penalties related to income taxes, if any, are presented within non-interest expense.

### **Earnings per Share**

For the Company, the numerator of both the basic and diluted earnings per common share is net income available to common shareholders. The weighted average number of common shares outstanding used in the denominator for basic earnings per common share is increased to determine the denominator used for diluted earnings per common share by the effect of potentially dilutive common stock equivalents utilizing the treasury stock method. For the Company, common share equivalents are outstanding stock options to purchase the Company's common shares.

### **Stock-Based Compensation**

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. All options are charged against income at their fair value. The entire expense of the award is recognized over the vesting period.

Table of Contents

**Bank-Owned Life Insurance**

Bank-owned life insurance ( BOLI ) represents the cash surrender value of life insurance policies on certain current and former directors and officers of the Company. The Company purchased the insurance as a future source of funding for the Company's liabilities, including the payment of employee benefits such as health care. BOLI is carried in the consolidated statements of financial position at its cash surrender value. Increases in the cash value of the policies, as well as proceeds received, are recorded in non-interest income, and are not subject to income taxes. Under some of these policies, the beneficiaries receive a portion of the death benefit. The net present value of the future death benefits scheduled to be paid to the beneficiaries was \$93 thousand and \$89 thousand at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and is reflected in Other Liabilities on the consolidated statements of financial condition.

**Fair Value Measurement**

The Company uses fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain financial assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. Available-for-sale securities are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Additionally, from time to time, the Company may be required to recognize adjustments to other assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, such as impaired loans, other securities, and OREO.

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. An orderly transaction is a transaction that assumes exposure to the market for a period prior to the measurement date to allow for marketing activities that are usual and customary for transactions involving such assets or liabilities: it is not a forced transaction.

Accounting standards define fair value, establish a framework for measuring fair value, establish a three-level hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurement and provide disclosure requirements about fair value measurements. The valuation hierarchy is based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date.

The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are:

- Level 1 valuation is based upon unadjusted quoted market prices for identical instruments traded in active markets.
- Level 2 valuation is based upon quoted market prices for similar instruments traded in active markets, quoted market prices for identical or similar instruments traded in markets that are not active and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market or can be corroborated by market data.

- Level 3 valuation is derived from other valuation methodologies including discounted cash flow models and similar techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in determining fair value.

### **Comprehensive Income**

Accounting principles generally require that recognized revenue, expenses, gains and losses be included in net income (loss). Although certain changes in assets and liabilities, such as unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities, are reported as a separate component of the shareholders' equity section of the statement of financial condition, such items, along with a net income (loss), are components of comprehensive income (loss).

### **New Authoritative Accounting Guidance**

Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820) Improving Disclosures About Fair Value Measurements, requires new disclosures and clarifies certain existing disclosure requirements about fair value measurement. Specifically, the update requires an entity to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for such transfers. A reporting entity is required to present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the reconciliation of fair value measurements using Level 3 inputs. In addition, the update clarifies the following requirements of the existing disclosures: (i) for the purposes of reporting fair value measurement for each class of assets and liabilities, a reporting entity needs to use judgment in determining the appropriate classes of assets; and (ii) a reporting entity is required to include disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. The amendments were effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the separate disclosures of purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures were effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The disclosures required by ASU No. 2010-06 are included in Note 18 to these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ASU No. 2010-20, *Receivables (Topic 310) - Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses*, requires significant new disclosures about the credit quality of financing receivables and the allowance for credit losses. The objective of these disclosures is to improve financial statement users' understanding of (i) the nature of an entity's credit risk associated with its financing receivables and (ii) the entity's assessment of that risk in estimating its allowance for credit losses as well as changes in the allowance and the reasons for those changes. The disclosures should be presented at the level of disaggregation that management uses when assessing and monitoring the portfolio's risk and performance. The required disclosures include, among other things, a roll forward of the allowance for credit losses as well as information about modified, impaired, non-accrual and past due loans and credit quality indicators. ASU No. 2010-20 disclosures related to period-end information (e.g., credit-quality information and the ending financing receivables balance segregated by impairment method) were required in all interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. Disclosures of activity that occurs during a reporting period (e.g., the roll forward of the allowance for credit losses by portfolio segment) were required in interim or annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. Comparative disclosures for reporting periods ending after initial adoption are required. Since the provisions of ASU No. 2010-20 are only disclosure related, our adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements. The disclosures required by ASU No. 2010-20 are included in Note 5 to these consolidated financial statements.

ASU No. 2011-01, *Receivables (Topic 310) - Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20*, was issued in January 2011 and postponed the effective date of new disclosure requirements for troubled debt restructurings. The new effective date for disclosures about troubled debt restructurings was aligned with the finalization of the effective date of the exposure drafts *Clarifications to Accounting for Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors*, which was effective for interim and annual periods ending on or after June 15, 2011.

ASU No. 2011-02, *A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring*, an update to ASC Topic 310-*Receivables*, provides guidance in evaluating whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring. In order to meet the requirements for a troubled debt restructuring, a creditor must separately conclude that both the restructuring constitutes a concession and the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. The amendments clarify the guidance on a creditor's evaluation of whether it has granted a concession and also clarify the guidance on a creditor's evaluation of whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. ASU No. 2011-02 was effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011 and was applied retrospectively to the beginning of the annual period of adoption. The adoption of ASU No. 2011-02 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

**Accounting Guidance to be Adopted In Future Periods**

ASU No. 2011-04, *Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS*, an update to ASC Topic 820 - *Fair Value Measurement*, results in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS. The amendments in ASU No. 2011-04 include clarifications about the application of existing fair value measurement requirements and changes to principles for measuring fair value. ASU No. 2011-04 also requires additional disclosures about fair value measurements. ASU No. 2011-04 is required to be applied prospectively and is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adoption of ASU No. 2011-04 on the Company's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

ASU No. 2011-05, *Presentation of Comprehensive Income*, an update to ASC Topic 220 - *Comprehensive Income*, was issued to improve the comparability, consistency and transparency of financial reporting. The amendment provides the entity an option to present the total of comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The amendments do not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income. ASU No. 2011-05 is required to be applied retrospectively and is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. ASU No. 2011-05 is an update only for



presentation and as such will not impact the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

ASU No. 2011-11, Balance Sheet (Topic 210) - Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities was issued in December 2011. The objective of this update is to provide enhanced disclosures that will enable users of its financial statements to evaluate the effect or potential effect of netting arrangements on an entity's financial position. This includes the effect or potential effect of rights of setoff associated with an entity's recognized assets and recognized liabilities within the scope of this update. The amendments require enhanced disclosures by requiring improved information about financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either (1) offset in accordance with either ASC 210-20-45 or ASC 815-10-45 or (2) subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement, irrespective of whether they are offset in accordance with either ASC 210-20-45 or ASC 815-10-45. An entity is required to apply the amendments for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. An entity should provide the disclosures required by those amendments retrospectively for all comparative periods presented.

Table of Contents

ASU No. 2011-12 Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) - Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update 2011-05 was issued in December 2011. This update defers only those changes in ASU No. 2011-05 that relate to the presentation of reclassification adjustments, the paragraphs in this update supersede certain pending paragraphs in ASU No. 2011-05. All other requirements in ASU No. 2011-05 are not affected by this update, including the requirement to report comprehensive income either in a single continuous financial statement or in two separate but consecutive financial statements and is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011.

**Reclassification of Prior Year Financial Statements**

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior year's consolidated financial statements that conform to the current year's presentation. Such reclassifications had no impact on the Company's results of operations.

**Note 3. RESTRICTED CASH BALANCES**

The Bank is required to maintain certain average reserve balances as established by the Federal Reserve Bank. The amount of those reserve balances for the reserve computation period which included December 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$1.7 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, which amount was satisfied through the restriction of vault cash and deposits maintained at the Federal Reserve Bank.

At December 31, 2011 the Bank was required to maintain a compensating balance at the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh in the amount of \$30 million to collateralize a letter of credit which the Bank had pledged to collateralize certain municipal deposits.

In addition, the Bank maintains compensating balances at correspondent banks, most of which are not required, but are used to offset specific charges for services. At December 31, 2011 and 2010 the amount of these balances was \$794 thousand and \$782 thousand, respectively.

**Note 4. SECURITIES**

Securities have been classified in the consolidated financial statements according to management's intent. The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, and the fair value of the Company's securities available-for-sale are as follows:

December 31, 2011 (in thousands)	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized holding gains	Gross unrealized holding losses	Fair value
Obligations of U.S. government agencies	\$ 7,893	\$ 155	\$	\$ 8,048
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	96,392	3,767	3,998	96,161

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Collateralized mortgage obligations:				
Government sponsored agency	8,093	380	5	8,468
Private Label	36,607	13	364	36,256
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Government sponsored agency	30,426	967		31,393
Pooled Trust Preferred Senior Class	3,833		2,229	1,604
Pooled Trust Preferred Mezzanine Class	6,732		4,535	2,197
Corporate debt securities	500		158	342
Equity securities	1,010		4	1,006
Total securities available-for-sale	\$ 191,486	\$ 5,282	\$ 11,293	\$ 185,475

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

December 31, 2010 (in thousands)	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized holding gains	Gross unrealized holding losses	Fair value
Obligations of U.S. government agencies	\$ 8,068	\$ 239	\$	\$ 8,307
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	121,157	723	10,527	111,353
Collateralized mortgage obligations:				
Government sponsored agency	77,172	1,057	413	77,816
Residential mortgage-backed securities				
Government sponsored agency	49,450	557	887	49,120
Pooled Trust Preferred Senior Class	3,863		2,441	1,422
Pooled Trust Preferred Mezzanine Class	8,250		6,603	1,647
Corporate debt securities	500		105	395
Equity securities	1,010	2		1,012
Total securities available-for-sale	\$ 269,470	\$ 2,578	\$ 20,976	\$ 251,072

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains or losses and the fair value of the Company's securities held-to-maturity at December 31, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

December 31, 2011 (in thousands)	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Holding Gains	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses	Fair Value
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	\$ 2,094	\$ 151	\$	\$ 2,245

December 31, 2010 (in thousands)	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Holding Gains	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses	Fair Value
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	\$ 1,994	\$	\$ 137	\$ 1,857

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, securities with a carrying amount of \$150.8 million and \$220.4 million, respectively, were pledged as collateral to secure public deposits and for other purposes.

The following table shows the approximate fair value of the Company's debt securities at December 31, 2011 using contractual maturities. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturity because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Because collateralized mortgage obligations and mortgage-backed securities are not due at a single maturity date, they are not included in the maturity categories in the following maturity summary.

(in thousands)	Available-for-sale		Held-to-maturity	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Amounts maturing in:				
One Year or Less	\$	\$	\$	\$
One Year through Five Years	1,715	1,629		
	21,916	22,730	2,094	2,245

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

After Five Years through Ten

Years

After Ten Years	91,719	83,993				
Collateralized mortgage obligations	44,700	44,724				
Mortgage-backed securities	30,426	31,393				
Total	\$ 190,476	\$ 184,469	\$ 2,094	\$ 2,245		

Gross proceeds from the sale of securities for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 were \$122.6 million, \$36.6 million and \$37.2 million, respectively, with the gross realized gains being \$5.3 million, \$1.2 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, and gross realized losses being \$2 thousand, \$2.9 million and \$486 thousand, respectively.

The table below indicates the length of time that individual securities held-to-maturity and available-for-sale have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2011:

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or Greater		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
<b>December 31, 2011 (in thousands)</b>						
Obligations of U.S. government agencies	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	11,129	241	25,910	3,757	37,039	3,998
Collateralized mortgage obligations						
Government sponsored agency	1,028	5			1,028	5
Private label	30,459	364			30,459	364
Residential mortgage-backed securities						
Government sponsored agency						
Pooled Trust Preferred Senior Class			1,604	2,229	1,604	2,229
Pooled Trust Preferred Mezzanine Class			2,197	4,535	2,197	4,535
Corporate debt securities			342	158	342	158
Equity Securities	996	4			996	4
	\$ 43,612	\$ 614	\$ 30,053	\$ 10,679	\$ 73,665	\$ 11,293

The table below indicates the length of time individual securities held-to-maturity and available-for-sale have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2010:

	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or Greater		Total	
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
<b>December 31, 2010 (in thousands)</b>						
Obligations of U.S. government agencies	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	56,751	3,199	23,425	7,465	80,176	10,664
Collateralized mortgage obligations						
Government sponsored agency	19,763	413			19,763	413
Residential mortgage-backed securities						
Government sponsored agency	32,957	887			32,957	887
Pooled Trust Preferred Senior Class			1,422	2,441	1,422	2,441
Pooled Trust Preferred Mezzanine Class			1,647	6,603	1,647	6,603
Corporate debt securities			395	105	395	105
Total	\$ 109,471	\$ 4,499	\$ 26,889	\$ 16,614	\$ 136,360	\$ 21,113

At December 31, 2011, excluding pooled trust preferred securities ( PreTSLs ), 106 of the Company's debt securities holdings having unrealized losses have depreciated 6.1% from their amortized cost basis. These securities are guaranteed by government sponsored agencies, other governments, or corporations and are considered investment grade. Seventy-three (73%) percent of the Company's investment in obligations of state and political subdivisions are also guaranteed by underlying insurance which further secures the safety of principal. These unrealized losses relate principally to current interest rates for similar types of securities. The Company does not intend to sell these securities and does not anticipate that it will be required to sell these securities before the full recovery of principal and interest due, which may be at maturity. Therefore, the Company did not consider the carrying value of these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2011.

At December 31, 2011, four of the Company's PreTSLs, having realized cumulative OTTI credit losses of \$8.6 million and unrealized OTTI losses of \$6.8 million, have depreciated 81.7% and 64.0% from their current face values and amortized cost, respectively.

On a quarterly basis, the Company evaluates its investment securities for OTTI. Unrealized losses on securities are considered to be other-than-temporarily-impaired when the Company believes the security's impairment is due to factors that could include the issuer's inability to pay interest or dividends, its potential for default, and/or other factors. Based on current authoritative guidance, when a held-to-maturity or available-for-sale debt security is assessed for OTTI, the Company must first consider (a) whether management intends to sell the security and (b) whether it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis. If one of these circumstances applies to a security, an OTTI loss is recognized in the statement of operations equal to the full amount of the decline in fair value below amortized cost. If neither of these circumstances applies to a security, but the Company does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis, an OTTI loss has occurred that must be separated into two categories: (a) the amount related to credit loss and (b) the amount related to other factors (such as market risk). In assessing the level of OTTI attributable to credit loss, the Company compares the present value of cash flows expected to be collected with the amortized cost basis of the security. As discussed previously, the portion of the total OTTI related to credit loss is recognized in earnings, while the amount related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income. The total OTTI loss is presented in the statement of operations, less the portion recognized in other comprehensive income. When a debt security becomes other-than-temporarily impaired, its amortized cost basis is reduced to reflect the portion of the total impairment related to credit loss.

Table of Contents

To determine whether a security's impairment is other than temporary, management considers factors that include:

- the causes of the decline in fair value, such as credit problems, interest rate fluctuations, or market volatility;
- the severity and duration of the decline;
- the Company's ability and intent to hold equity security investments until they recover in value, as well as the likelihood of such a recovery in the near term;
- the Company's intent to sell security investments, or if it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell such securities before recovery of their individual amortized cost basis less any current-period credit loss.

For debt securities that the Company does not intend to sell, or will not be required to sell, the primary consideration in determining whether impairment is other-than-temporary is whether or not the Company expects to receive all contractual cash flows.

Based on the Company's evaluation at December 31, 2011, the Company has determined that the decreases in estimated fair value of the securities it holds in its portfolio are temporary with the exception of four PreTSLs. The Company's estimate of projected discounted cash flows it expects to receive was less than the securities' carrying value resulting in a credit-related impairment charge to earnings for the year ended December 31, 2011 of \$798 thousand.

*OTTI of Pooled Trust Preferred Collateralized Debt Obligations:*

As of December 31, 2011, the amortized cost of the Company's PreTSLs totaled \$10.6 million with an estimated fair value of \$3.8 million and is comprised of four securities each of which is collateralized by debt issued by bank holding companies and insurance companies. The Company holds one senior tranche and three mezzanine tranches. All of the securities possess credit ratings below investment grade. At the time of initial issue, no more than 5% of any pooled security consisted of a security issued by any one institution. As of December 31, 2011, three of these securities had no excess subordination and one had excess subordination equal to 12.09% of the current performing collateral. Excess subordination is the amount by which the underlying performing collateral exceeds the outstanding bonds in the current class plus all senior classes. It can also be referred to as credit enhancement. As deferrals and defaults of underlying issuers occur, the excess subordination is reduced or eliminated, increasing the risk of the security experiencing principal or interest shortfalls. Conversely, subordination can be increased as collateral transitions from non-performing to performing. The coverage ratio, or overcollateralization, of a specific security measures the rate of performing collateral to a given class of notes. It is calculated by dividing the performing collateral in a transaction by the current balance of the class of notes plus all classes senior to that class.

The following table presents information about the Company's collateral and subordination for its PreTSLs as of December 31, 2011:

<b>Current</b>	<b>Actual Deferrals /</b>
----------------	-------------------------------



Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Security (in thousands)	Performing Collateral	Bonds Outstanding	Excess/ (Insufficient) Collateral	Coverage Ratio	Excess Subordination	Number of Performing Issuers	Defaults as a % of Current Collateral	Expected Future Default Rate
PreTSL IX	\$ 303,520	\$ 322,024	\$ (18,504)	94.25%	N/A	35	31.0%	1.51%
PreTSL XI	388,465	439,829	(51,364)	88.32%	N/A	43	32.3%	1.80%
PreTSL XIX	470,931	537,266	(66,335)	87.65%	N/A	48	27.6%	1.46%
PreTSL XXVI	691,700	617,093	74,607	112.09%	12.09%	55	28.3%	1.31%

The following list details information for each of the Company's PreTSLs as of December 31, 2011:

(in thousands) Security	Class	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Gain/Loss	Moody's / Fitch Ratings	Credit Impairment this period	Cumulative Credit Impairment
PreTSL IX	Mezzanine	\$ 1,256	\$ 547	\$ (709)	Ca/C	\$	\$ 1,680
PreTSL XI	Mezzanine	1,563	635	(928)	Ca/C		3,426
PreTSL XIX	Mezzanine	3,913	1,015	(2,898)	Ca/CC	798	3,262
PreTSL XXVI	Senior	3,833	1,604	(2,229)	B1/CCC		251
Total		\$ 10,565	\$ 3,801	\$ (6,764)		\$ 798	\$ 8,619

Table of Contents

The Company's PreTSLs are measured for OTTI by determining whether an adverse change in estimated cash flows has occurred. The Company uses a third-party service provider to perform this analysis. Determining whether there has been an adverse change in estimated cash flows from the cash flows previously projected involves comparing the present value of remaining cash flows previously projected against the present value of the cash flows estimated at December 31, 2011. The Company considers the discounted cash flow analysis to be its primary evidence when determining whether credit related OTTI exists.

Results of a discounted cash flow test are significantly affected by variables such as the estimate of the probability of default, discount rates, prepayment rates and the creditworthiness of the underlying issuers. The following provides additional information for each of these variables:

- **Probability of Default** - An issuer level approach is used to analyze each security and default and recovery assumptions are based on the credit quality of the underlying issuers (generally, bank holding companies or insurance companies). Each bank issuer is evaluated based upon an examination of the trends in its earnings, net interest margin, operating efficiency, liquidity, capital position, level of non-performing loans to total loans, apparent sufficiency of loan loss reserves, Texas ratio and whether the bank received TARP monies. From this information, each issuer bank that is currently performing is assigned a category of Good, Average, Weak, or Troubled. Default rates are then assigned based upon the historical performance of each category. Additionally, because the information available to the Company regarding the underlying insurance company issuers is more limited than for bank issuers, rather than performing an analysis of each issuer's results and assigning insurance company issuers to these same categories, the Company uses the Moody's one year long-term default rate assumption for insurance companies. The historical default rates used in this analysis are:

Category	Default Rate			
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Thereafter
Good	0.50%	0.60%	0.60%	0.40%
Average	1.80%	2.30%	2.30%	1.50%
Insurance	1.00%	1.20%	1.20%	0.80%
Weak	5.80%	7.20%	7.20%	4.80%
Troubled	9.70%	12.20%	12.20%	8.10%

Each issuer in the collateral pool is assigned a probability of default for each year until maturity. Banks currently in default or deferring interest payments thus far are assumed to default immediately. A zero percent projected recovery rate is applied to defaults and deferrals. The probability of default is updated quarterly based upon changes in the creditworthiness of each underlying issuer. Timing of defaults and deferrals has a substantial impact on each valuation. As a result of this analysis, each issuer is assigned an expected default rate specific to that issuer.

- **Estimates of Future Cash Flows** - While understanding the composition and characteristics of each bank issuer is important in evaluating the security, certain issuers have a disproportionate impact (both positive and negative) based upon other attributes, such as the interest rate payable by each issuer. Each credit is assessed independently, and the timing and nature of each issuer's performance is assessed. Once assessed, the expected performance of each issuer is applied to a structural cash flow model. Due to the complexity of these transactions, the expected performance of each unique issuer requires an adherence to the governing documents of the securitization to derive a cash flow. A model produced by a third party is utilized to assist in determining cash flows. Utilization of third party cash flow modeling to derive cash flows from assumptions is a market convention for these types of securities.

- **Discount Rate** - The Company is discounting projected cash flows based upon its discount margin defined at the time of purchase, which constitutes a spread over 3-month LIBOR plus credit premium, consistent with our pre-purchase yield.

- Prepayment Rate - Lack of liquidity in the market for PreTSL securities, credit rating downgrades and market uncertainties related to the financial industry are factors contributing to the impairment on these securities. During the early years of PreTSL securities, prepayments were common as issuers were able to refinance into lower cost borrowings. Since the middle of 2007, however, this option has all but disappeared and the Company is operating in an environment which makes early redemption of these instruments unlikely. Accordingly, the Company has assumed zero prepayments when modeling the cash flows of these securities. The Company will reevaluate its prepayment assumptions from time to time as appropriate. The Company performed a sensitivity analysis using 1% and 3% prepayment assumptions. As a result of this analysis, the Company determined that employing a 1% and a 3% prepayment assumption rather than assuming zero prepayments would have resulted in an additional credit loss of approximately \$15 thousand and \$50 thousand, respectively, to the \$798 thousand impairment charge taken during 2011. Credit losses would increase as a result of an increase in the prepayment assumption because prepayments reduce the amount of excess subordination that would be available to absorb expected losses.

Table of Contents

- Credit Analysis** A quarterly credit evaluation is performed for each of the securities. While the underlying core component of these securities are the credit characteristics of the underlying issuers, typically banks, other characteristics of the securities and issuers are evaluated and stressed to determine cash flow. These include but are not limited to the interest rate payable by each issuer, certain derivative contracts, default timing, and interest rate volatility. Issuer level credit analysis considers all evidence available to us and includes the nature of the issuer's business, its years of operating history, corporate structure, loan composition, loan concentrations, deposit mix, asset growth rates, geographic footprint and local environment. Depending upon the security, and its place in the capital structure, certain analytical assumptions are isolated with greater scrutiny. The core analysis for each specific issuer focuses on profitability, return on assets, shareholders equity, net interest margin, credit quality ratios, operating efficiency, capital adequacy and liquidity.

The Company has evaluated its PreTSLs considering all available evidence, including information received after the statement of financial condition date but before the filing date, and determined that the estimated projected cash flows are less than the securities' carrying value, resulting in impairment charges to earnings for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009 of \$0.8 million, \$4.3 million, and \$20.6 million, respectively.

The table below provides a cumulative roll forward of credit losses recognized:

## Rollforward of Cumulative Credit Loss

(in thousands)	2011	2010	2009
Beginning Balance, January 1	\$ 22,598	\$ 20,649	\$
Credit losses on debt securities for which OTTI was not previously recognized			20,649
Additional credit losses on debt securities for which OTTI was previously recognized	798	4,271	
Less: Sale of Private Label CMOs for which OTTI was previously recognized		(2,322)	
Less: Sale of PreTSLs for which OTTI was previously recognized	(14,777)		
Ending Balance, December 31	\$ 8,619	\$ 22,598	\$ 20,649

Investments in FHLB and FRB stock, which have limited marketability, are carried at cost and totaled \$9.7 million and \$11.6 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Management noted no indicators of impairment for the FHLB of Pittsburgh and the FRB of Philadelphia during 2011.

**Note 5. LOANS**

Loans receivable, net, consists of the following at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

(in thousands)	2011	2010
----------------	------	------

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Residential real estate	\$	80,056	\$	87,925
Commercial real estate		256,508		256,327
Construction, land acquisition and development		33,450		77,395
Commercial and industrial		174,233		197,697
Consumer		111,778		110,853
State and political subdivisions		23,496		27,739
Total loans, gross		679,521		757,936
Unearned discount		(159)		(225)
Net deferred loan fees and costs		516		677
Allowance for loan and lease losses		(20,834)		(22,575)
Loans, net	\$	659,044	\$	735,813

Table of Contents

The Company has granted loans, letters of credit and lines of credit to certain executive officers and directors of the Company as well as to certain related parties of executive officers and directors. These loans, letters of credit and lines of credit were made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with unrelated persons and, when made, did not involve more than normal risk of collectability. See Note 14 to these consolidated financial statements for more information about related party transactions. Also, refer to Note 15 to these consolidated statements for information about credit concentrations in the Company's loan portfolio.

The Company originates one-to-four family mortgage loans for sale in the secondary market. During the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the Company sold \$28.1 million, \$43.9 million and \$63.3 million of one-to-four family mortgages, respectively. The Company retains servicing rights on these mortgages.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company sold \$36.7 million in loans from its Indirect Auto Loan Portfolio. The Company retained the servicing rights to these loans. The Company did not sell any indirect auto loans in 2011.

The Company had \$94 thousand and \$3.6 million in loans held-for-sale at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. All loans held for sale are one-to-four family residential mortgage loans.

The Company does not have any lending programs commonly referred to as subprime lending. Subprime lending generally targets borrowers with weakened credit histories typically characterized by payment delinquencies, previous charge-offs, judgments, bankruptcies, or borrowers with questionable repayment capacity as evidenced by low credit scores or high debt-burden ratios.

The Company provides for loan losses based on the consistent application of its documented ALLL methodology. Loan losses are charged to the ALLL and recoveries are credited to it. Additions to the ALLL are provided by charges against income based on various factors which, in our judgment, deserve current recognition of estimated probable losses. Loan losses are charged-off in the period the loans, or portion thereof, are deemed uncollectible. Generally, the Company will record a loan charge-off (including a partial charge-off) to reduce a loan to the estimated recoverable amount based on our methodology detailed below. The Company regularly reviews the loan portfolio and makes adjustments for loan losses in order to maintain the ALLL in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The ALLL consists primarily of the following two components:

(1) Specific allowances are established for impaired loans (defined by the Company as all loans with an outstanding balance greater than \$100 thousand, rated doubtful or substandard and on non-accrual status, and all TDRs). The amount of impairment provided for as an allowance is represented by the deficiency, if any, between the carrying value of the loan and either (a) the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, (b) the loan's observable market price, or (c) the fair value of the underlying collateral, less estimated costs to sell, for collateral dependent loans. Impaired loans that have no impairment losses are not considered for general valuation allowances described below. If the Company determines that collection of the impairment amount is remote, the Company will record a charge-off.

(2) General allowances are established for loan losses on a portfolio basis for loans that do not meet the definition of impaired. The Company divides its portfolio into loan segments, with loans exhibiting similar characteristics. These segments are further disaggregated into classes. Loans rated special mention or substandard and accruing which are embedded in these loan segments are then separated from these

loan segments. These loans are then subject to an analysis placing increased emphasis on the credit risk associated with these loans. The Company applies an estimated loss rate to each loan group. The loss rates applied are primarily based on the Company's own historical loss experience based on the loss rate for each group of loans with similar risk characteristics in its portfolio. In addition management evaluates and applies certain qualitative or environmental factors that are likely to cause estimated credit losses associated with the Company's existing portfolio that may differ from historical experience, which are discussed below. This evaluation is inherently subjective, as it requires material estimates that may be susceptible to significant revisions based upon changes in economic and real estate market conditions. Actual loan losses may be significantly more than the ALLL that is established, which could have a material negative effect on the Company's financial results.

In underwriting a loan secured by real property (unless exempt based on legal requirements), the Company requires an appraisal of the property by an independent licensed appraiser approved by the Company's Board of Directors. The appraisal is either reviewed internally or by an independent third party hired by the Company. Generally, management obtains updated appraisals when a loan is deemed impaired. These appraisals may be more limited than those prepared for the underwriting of a new loan. In addition, when the Company acquires OREO upon foreclosure, it generally obtains a current appraisal to substantiate the net carrying value of the asset.

Management makes adjustments for loan losses based on its evaluation of several qualitative and environmental factors, including but not limited to:

Table of Contents

- Changes in national, local, and business economic conditions and developments, including the condition of various market segments;
- Changes in the nature and volume of the Company's loan portfolio;
- Changes in the Company's lending policies and procedures, including underwriting standards, collection, charge-off and recovery practices and results;
- Changes in the experience, ability and depth of the Company's lending management and staff;
- Changes in the quality of the Company's loan review system and the degree of oversight by the Company's Board of Directors;
- Changes in the trend of the volume and severity of past due and classified loans, including trends in the volume of non-accrual loans, troubled debt restructurings and other loan modifications;
- The existence and effect of any concentrations of credit and changes in the level of such concentrations;
- The effect of external factors such as competition and legal and regulatory requirements on the level of estimated credit losses in the Company's current loan portfolio; and
- Analysis of its customers' credit quality.

Management evaluates the ALLL based on the combined total of the impaired and general components. Generally, when the loan portfolio increases, absent other factors, the ALLL methodology results in a higher dollar amount of estimated probable losses. Conversely, when the loan portfolio decreases, absent other factors, the ALLL methodology results in a lower dollar amount of estimated probable losses.

Each quarter, management evaluates the ALLL and adjusts the ALLL as appropriate through a provision for loan losses. While the Company uses the best information available to make evaluations, future adjustments to the ALLL may be necessary if conditions differ substantially from the information used in making the evaluations. In addition, as an integral part of its examination process, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ( OCC ) periodically reviews the Company's ALLL. The OCC may require the Company to adjust the ALLL based on its analysis of information available to it at the time of its examination.

A summary of changes in the ALLL for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009 follows:

(in thousands)	2011		2010		2009	
Balance, beginning of year	\$	22,575	\$	22,458	\$	8,254
Recoveries credited to allowance		4,416		858		132
Provision for loan and lease losses		523		25,041		42,089
Losses charged to allowance		(6,680)		(25,782)		(28,017)
Balance, end of year	\$	20,834	\$	22,575	\$	22,458



## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

The following tables set forth activity in the ALLL, by loan type, for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 respectively. The following tables also detail the amount of gross loans receivable that are evaluated individually and collectively for impairment, and the related portion of ALLL that is allocated to each loan portfolio segment:

Table of Contents**Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses by Loan Category****December 31, 2011**

(in thousands)	Real Estate		Commercial & Industrial			Consumer		State and		Total
	Residential Real Estate	Commercial Real Estate	Construction, Land Acquisition and Development	Solid Waste Landfills	Other	Indirect Auto	Installment/ HELOC	Political Subdivisions		
<b>Allowance for loan losses:</b>										
Beginning Balance, December 31, 2010	\$ 2,176	\$ 9,640	\$ 4,170	11	\$ 4,839	597	\$ 576	\$ 566	\$ 22,575	
Charge-offs	(1,273)	(2,395)	(1,857)		(416)	(530)	(209)		(6,680)	
Recoveries	57	93	2,188		1,852	219	7		4,416	
Provisions	863	3,813	(1,911)	5	(2,999)	516	350	(114)	523	
Ending Balance, December 31, 2011	\$ 1,823	\$ 11,151	\$ 2,590	\$ 16	\$ 3,276	\$ 802	\$ 724	\$ 452	\$ 20,834	
Ending balance, December 31, 2011: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 65	545	91						\$ 701	
Ending balance, December 31, 2011: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,758	\$ 10,606	\$ 2,499	\$ 16	\$ 3,276	\$ 802	\$ 724	\$ 452	\$ 20,133	
<b>Loans receivable:</b>										
Ending balance, December 31, 2011	\$ 80,056	\$ 256,508	\$ 33,450	\$ 42,270	\$ 131,963	\$ 63,722	\$ 48,056	\$ 23,496	\$ 679,521	
Ending balance, December 31, 2011: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 3,615	13,012	2,979		4,066		31		\$ 23,703	
Ending balance, December 31, 2011: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 76,441	\$ 243,496	\$ 30,471	\$ 42,270	\$ 127,897	\$ 63,722	\$ 48,025	\$ 23,496	\$ 655,818	

**Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses by Loan Category****December 31, 2010**

(in thousands)	Real Estate		Commercial & Industrial			Consumer		State and		Total
	Residential Real Estate	Commercial Real Estate	Construction, Land Acquisition and Development	Solid Waste Landfills	Other	Indirect Auto	Installment/ HELOC	Political Subdivisions		
<b>Allowance for loan losses:</b>										

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Beginning Balance, December 31, 2009	\$ 696	\$ 8,397	\$ 6,285		\$ 4,507	938	\$ 1,069	\$ 566	\$ 22,458
Charge-offs	(221)	(5,049)	(12,893)		(6,883)	(507)	(229)		(25,782)
Recoveries	32	152	303		151	189	31		858
Provisions	1,669	6,140	10,475	11	7,064	(23)	(295)		25,041
Ending Balance, December 31, 2010	\$ 2,176	\$ 9,640	\$ 4,170	\$ 11	\$ 4,839	\$ 597	\$ 576	\$ 566	\$ 22,575

Ending balance, December 31, 2010: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 785	372	310		339				\$ 1,806
---	--------	-----	-----	--	-----	--	--	--	----------

Ending balance, December 31, 2010: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,391	\$ 9,268	\$ 3,860	\$ 11	\$ 4,500	\$ 597	\$ 576	\$ 566	\$ 20,769
---	----------	----------	----------	-------	----------	--------	--------	--------	-----------

**Loans receivable:**

Ending balance, December 31, 2010	\$ 87,925	\$ 256,327	\$ 77,395	\$ 52,270	\$ 145,427	\$ 63,509	\$ 47,344	\$ 27,739	\$ 757,936
--------------------------------------	-----------	------------	-----------	-----------	------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	------------

Ending balance, December 31, 2010: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 2,926	9,477	11,365		6,029		132		\$ 29,929
---	----------	-------	--------	--	-------	--	-----	--	-----------

Ending balance, December 31, 2010: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 84,999	\$ 246,850	\$ 66,030	\$ 52,270	\$ 139,398	\$ 63,509	\$ 47,212	\$ 27,739	\$ 728,007
---	-----------	------------	-----------	-----------	------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	------------

**Credit Quality Indicators Commercial Loans**

The Company continuously monitors the credit quality of its commercial loan receivables. Credit quality is monitored by reviewing certain credit quality indicators. Management has determined that internally assigned credit risk ratings by loan type are the key credit quality indicators that best help management monitor the credit quality of the Company's loan receivables.

The Bank's commercial loan classification and credit grading processes are part of the lending, underwriting, and credit administration functions to ensure an ongoing assessment of credit quality. Accurate and timely loan classification or credit grading is a critical component of loan portfolio management. Loan officers are required to review their loan portfolio risk ratings regularly for accuracy.

Table of Contents

The loan review function uses the same risk rating system in the loan review process. This allows an independent third party to assess the quality of the portfolio and compare the accuracy of ratings with the loan officer's and management's assessment.

A formal loan classification and credit grading system reflects the risk of default and credit losses. A written description of the risk ratings is maintained that includes a discussion of the factors used to assign appropriate classifications of credit grades to loans. The process identifies groups of loans that warrant the special attention of management. The risk grade groupings provide a mechanism to identify risk within the loan portfolio and provide management and the Board with periodic reports by risk category. The credit risk ratings play an important role in the establishment and evaluation of the provision for loan and lease losses and the ALLL. After determining the historical loss factor which is adjusted for qualitative and environmental factors for each portfolio segment, the portfolio segment balances that have been collectively evaluated for impairment are multiplied by the general reserve loss factor for the respective portfolio segments in order to determine the general reserve. Loans that have an internal credit rating of special mention or substandard follow the same process; however, the qualitative and environmental factors are further adjusted for the increased risk.

The Company utilizes a loan rating system that assigns a degree of risk to commercial loans based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes these non-homogeneous loans individually by grading the loans as to credit risk and probability of collection for each type of class. Commercial loans include commercial indirect auto loans which are not individually risk rated, and Construction, Land Acquisition and Development Loans include residential construction loans which are also not individually risk rated. These loans are monitored on a pool basis due to their homogeneous nature as described in Credit Quality Indicators Other Loans below. The grading system contains the following basic risk categories:

1. Minimal Risk
2. Above Average Credit Quality
3. Average Risk
4. Acceptable Risk
5. Pass - Watch
6. Special Mention
7. Substandard - Accruing
8. Substandard - Non-Accrual
9. Doubtful
10. Loss

This analysis is performed on a quarterly basis using the following definitions for risk ratings:

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Pass - Assets rated 1 through 5 are considered pass ratings. These assets show no current or potential problems and are considered fully collectible. All such loans are considered collectively for ALLL calculation purposes. However, accruing TDRs that have been performing for an extended period of time and do not represent a higher risk of loss, and those loans that have been upgraded to a pass rating will be evaluated individually for impairment.

Special Mention - Assets classified as special mention assets do not currently expose the Company to a sufficient degree of risk to warrant an adverse classification but do possess credit deficiencies or potential weaknesses deserving close attention. Special Mention assets have a potential weakness or pose an unwarranted financial risk which, if not corrected, could weaken the asset and increase risk in the future.

Substandard - Assets classified as substandard have well defined weaknesses based on objective evidence, and are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful - Assets classified as doubtful have all of the weaknesses inherent in those classified substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make collection or liquidation in full highly questionable and improbable based on current circumstances.

Loss - Assets classified as loss are those considered uncollectible and of such little value that their continuance as assets is not warranted.

The following tables detail the recorded investment in loans receivable by the aforementioned class of loan and credit quality indicator at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

Table of Contents**Commercial Credit Quality Indicators**

December 31, 2011

(in thousands)	Real Estate		Commercial & Industrial			Consumer		Total
	Residential Real Estate	Commercial Real Estate	Construction, Land Acquisition and Development	Solid Waste Landfills	Other	Installment/HELOC	State and Political Subdivisions	
<b>Internal Risk Rating</b>								
Pass	\$ 19,267	\$ 198,730	\$ 15,924	\$ 42,270	\$ 117,104	\$ 2,489	\$ 23,464	\$ 419,248
Special Mention	313	12,908	256		3,690	288		17,455
Substandard	3,906	44,870	14,090		5,532	144	32	68,574
Doubtful								
Loss								
<b>Total Loans Receivable</b>	\$ 23,486	\$ 256,508	\$ 30,270	\$ 42,270	\$ 126,326	\$ 2,921	\$ 23,496	\$ 505,277

**Commercial Credit Quality Indicators**

December 31, 2010

(in thousands)	Real Estate		Commercial & Industrial			Consumer		Total
	Residential Real Estate	Commercial Real Estate	Construction, Land Acquisition and Development	Solid Waste Landfills	Other	Installment/HELOC	State and Political Subdivisions	
<b>Internal Risk Rating</b>								
Pass	\$ 24,854	\$ 200,847	\$ 46,657	\$ 52,270	\$ 123,848	\$	\$ 17,481	\$ 465,957
Special Mention	1,633	18,455	14,001		6,061		10,258	50,408
Substandard	1,308	35,100	10,199		7,951			54,558
Doubtful		1,925	2,611					4,536
Loss								
<b>Total Loans Receivable</b>	\$ 27,795	\$ 256,327	\$ 73,468	\$ 52,270	\$ 137,860	\$	\$ 27,739	\$ 575,459

**Credit Quality Indicators - Other Loans**

Residential, consumer, and consumer indirect auto loans are monitored on a pool basis due to their homogeneous nature. Loans that are delinquent 90 days or more are placed on non-accrual status. The Company utilizes accruing vs. non-accruing status as the credit quality indicator for these loan pools. The following tables present the recorded investment in residential, consumer and indirect auto loans based on payment activity at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

**Other Loans Credit Quality Indicators**

December 31, 2011

(in thousands)	Accruing Loans	Non-accruing Loans	Total
Construction, Land Acquisition and Development - Residential	\$ 3,180	\$	\$ 3,180
Residential Real Estate	55,112	1,458	56,570
Indirect Auto - Consumer	63,718	4	63,722
Indirect Auto - Commercial	5,637		5,637
Installment/HELOC	45,103	32	45,135
Total	\$ 172,750	\$ 1,494	\$ 174,244

#### Other Loans Credit Quality Indicators

December 31, 2010

(in thousands)	Accruing Loans	Non-accruing Loans	Total
Construction, Land Acquisition and Development - Residential	\$ 3,927	\$	\$ 3,927
Residential Real Estate	57,665	2,465	60,130
Indirect Auto - Consumer	63,493	16	63,509
Indirect Auto - Commercial	7,445		7,445
Installment/HELOC	47,245	221	47,466
Total	\$ 179,775	\$ 2,702	\$ 182,477

Table of Contents

Included in loans receivable are loans for which the accrual of interest income has been discontinued due to deterioration in the financial condition of the borrowers. The recorded investment of these non-accrual loans was \$19.9 million and \$28.3 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Generally, loans are placed on non-accruing status when they become 90 days or more delinquent, and remain on non-accrual status until they are brought current, have six months of performance under the loan terms, and factors indicating reasonable doubt about the timely collection of payments no longer exists. Therefore, loans may be current in accordance with their loan terms, or may be less than 90 days delinquent and still be on a non-accruing status. Loans past due ninety days or more and still accruing interest were \$0 and \$99 thousand at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and consisted of loans that are well secured and in the process of renewal.

The following tables set forth the detail, and payment status, of past due and non-accrual loans at December 31, 2011 and 2010:



Table of Contents**Performing and Non-Performing Loan Delinquency Status**

December 31, 2011

(in thousands)	Performing (Accruing) Loans				Total Performing Loans
	0-29 Days Past Due	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	>= 90 Days Past Due	
<b>Real Estate</b>					
Residential Real Estate	\$ 74,379	\$ 1,293	\$ 248	\$	\$ 75,920
Commercial Real Estate	243,873	2,381	1,235		247,489
Construction, Land Acquisition and Development	30,945	241			31,186
<b>Total Real Estate</b>	<b>349,197</b>	<b>3,915</b>	<b>1,483</b>		<b>354,595</b>
<b>Commercial and Industrial</b>					
Solid Waste Landfills	42,270				42,270
Other	126,774	667	91	5	127,537
<b>Total Commercial and Industrial</b>	<b>169,044</b>	<b>667</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>169,807</b>
<b>Consumer</b>					
Indirect Auto	62,753	845	120		63,718
Installment/HELOC	47,617	244	163		48,024
<b>Total Consumer</b>	<b>110,370</b>	<b>1,089</b>	<b>283</b>		<b>111,742</b>
State and Political Subdivisions	23,464				23,464
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$ 652,075</b>	<b>\$ 5,671</b>	<b>\$ 1,857</b>	<b>\$ 5</b>	<b>\$ 659,608</b>

	Non-Performing Loans				Total Non-accrual Loans
	0-29 Days Past Due	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	>= 90 Days Past Due	
<b>Real Estate</b>					
Residential Real Estate	\$ 1,994	\$ 964	\$ 94	\$ 1,084	\$ 4,136
Commercial Real Estate	291	220		8,508	9,019
Construction, Land Acquisition and Development	426			1,838	2,264
<b>Total Real Estate</b>	<b>2,711</b>	<b>1,184</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>11,430</b>	<b>15,419</b>
<b>Commercial and Industrial</b>					
Solid Waste Landfills					
Other	4,114	4	126	182	4,426
<b>Total Commercial and Industrial</b>	<b>4,114</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>4,426</b>
<b>Consumer</b>					
Indirect Auto				4	4
Installment/HELOC				32	32
<b>Total Consumer</b>				<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>
State and Political Subdivisions				32	32
<b>Total Non-accruing loans</b>	<b>\$ 6,825</b>	<b>\$ 1,188</b>	<b>\$ 220</b>	<b>\$ 11,680</b>	<b>\$ 19,913</b>

<b>Total loans receivable</b>	\$	658,900	\$	6,859	\$	2,077	\$	11,685	\$	679,521
-------------------------------	----	---------	----	-------	----	-------	----	--------	----	---------

Table of Contents**Performing and Non-Performing Loan Delinquency Status**

December 31, 2010

(in thousands)	Performing (Accruing) Loans				Total Performing Loans
	0-29 Days Past Due	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	>= 90 Days Past Due	
<b>Real Estate</b>					
Residential Real Estate	\$ 83,371	\$ 1,095	\$ 465	\$	\$ 84,931
Commercial Real Estate	247,217	949	85		248,251
Construction, Land Acquisition and Development	65,785	285	231	99	66,400
<b>Total Real Estate</b>	<b>396,373</b>	<b>2,329</b>	<b>781</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>399,582</b>
<b>Commercial and Industrial</b>					
Solid Waste Landfills	52,270				52,270
Other	138,743	567	153		139,463
<b>Total Commercial and Industrial</b>	<b>191,013</b>	<b>567</b>	<b>153</b>		<b>191,733</b>
<b>Consumer</b>					
Indirect Auto	62,269	959	264		63,492
Installment/HELOC	47,000	112	11		47,123
<b>Total Consumer</b>	<b>109,269</b>	<b>1,071</b>	<b>275</b>		<b>110,615</b>
State and Political Subdivisions	27,739				27,739
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$ 724,394</b>	<b>\$ 3,967</b>	<b>\$ 1,209</b>	<b>\$ 99</b>	<b>\$ 729,669</b>

	Non-Performing Loans				Total Non-accrual Loans
	0-29 Days Past Due	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	>= 90 Days Past Due	
<b>Real Estate</b>					
Residential Real Estate	\$ 1,256	\$ 327	\$ 240	\$ 1,171	\$ 2,994
Commercial Real Estate	3,173		200	4,703	8,076
Construction, Land Acquisition and Development				10,995	10,995
<b>Total Real Estate</b>	<b>4,429</b>	<b>327</b>	<b>440</b>	<b>16,869</b>	<b>22,065</b>
<b>Commercial and Industrial</b>					
Solid Waste Landfills					
Other	5,319			645	5,964
<b>Total Commercial and Industrial</b>	<b>5,319</b>			<b>645</b>	<b>5,964</b>
<b>Consumer</b>					
Indirect Auto			12	5	17
Installment/HELOC			31	190	221
<b>Total Consumer</b>			<b>43</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>238</b>
State and Political Subdivisions					
<b>Total Non-accruing loans</b>	<b>\$ 9,748</b>	<b>\$ 327</b>	<b>\$ 483</b>	<b>\$ 17,709</b>	<b>\$ 28,267</b>

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

<b>Total loans receivable</b>	\$	734,142	\$	4,294	\$	1,692	\$	17,808	\$	757,936
-------------------------------	----	---------	----	-------	----	-------	----	--------	----	---------

The total recorded investment in impaired loans, which consists of non-accrual loans greater than \$100,000 and performing TDRs, amounted to \$23.7 million and \$29.9 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The related allowance on impaired loans was \$0.7 million and \$1.8 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following tables provide an analysis of our impaired loans as of December 31, 2011 and 2010:

**Impaired Loans****December 31, 2011**

(in thousands)	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Balance	Interest Income (2)
<b><u>With No Allowance Recorded:</u></b>					
Residential Real Estate	\$ 961	\$ 1,097			
Commercial Real Estate (3)	725	815			
Construction, Land Acquisition and Development	2,058	5,387			
Commercial and Industrial					
Solid Waste Landfills					
Other	4,066	4,601			
Total Commercial and Industrial	4,066	4,601			
Consumer					
Indirect Auto					
Installment/HELOC	31	35			
Total Consumer	31	35			
State and Political Subdivisions					
Total With No Allowance Recorded	\$ 7,841	\$ 11,935	\$		
<b><u>With a Related Allowance Recorded:</u></b>					
Residential Real Estate	\$ 2,654	\$ 3,274	\$ 65		
Commercial Real Estate	12,287	14,187	545		
Construction, Land Acquisition and Development	921	984	91		
Commercial and Industrial					
Solid Waste Landfills					
Other					
Total Commercial and Industrial					
Consumer					
Indirect Auto					
Installment/HELOC					
Total Consumer					
State and Political Subdivisions					
Total with Related Allowance	\$ 15,862	\$ 18,445	\$ 701		
<b><u>Total of impaired loans</u></b>					
Residential Real Estate	\$ 3,615	\$ 4,371	\$ 65	\$ 2,834	\$ 7
Commercial Real Estate	13,012	15,002	545	12,827	184
	2,979	6,371	91	6,445	38

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Construction, Land Acquisition and  
Development

Commercial and Industrial

Solid Waste Landfills

Other	4,066	4,601	4,971	9
<b>Total Commercial and Industrial</b>	<b>4,066</b>	<b>4,601</b>	<b>4,971</b>	<b>9</b>

Consumer

Indirect Auto

Installment/HELOC	31	35	58	
<b>Total Consumer</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>58</b>	

State and Political Subdivisions

<b>Total Impaired Loans (1)</b>	<b>\$ 23,703</b>	<b>\$ 30,380</b>	<b>\$ 701</b>	<b>\$ 27,135</b>	<b>\$ 238</b>
---------------------------------	------------------	------------------	---------------	------------------	---------------

(1) Non-accrual loans with outstanding balances of less than \$100 thousand are not considered for individual impairment evaluation and are accordingly not included in the table above. However, these loans are evaluated collectively as homogenous pools in the general allowance calculation under ASC Topic 310. Total non-accrual loans with individual balances of less than \$100 thousand equaled \$1.9 million at December 31, 2011.

(2) Interest income represents income recognized on performing TDRs.

(3) The Company holds an impaired loan of which 70% in principal is guaranteed by the US Department of Agriculture. The guaranteed portion has been included above in the loans with no recorded allowance as the Company believes it will be repaid for this portion in full from the USDA guarantee.

Table of Contents**Impaired Loans****December 31, 2010**

(in thousands)	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance		Average Balance	Interest Income (2)
<b><u>With No Allowance Recorded:</u></b>						
Residential Real Estate	\$ 1,530	\$ 1,780	\$			
Commercial Real Estate	4,839	5,693				
Construction, Land Acquisition and Development	7,936	19,921				
Commercial and Industrial						
Solid Waste Landfills						
Other	5,368	5,368				
Total Commercial and Industrial	5,368	5,368				
Consumer						
Indirect Auto						
Installation/HELOC	132	134				
Total Consumer	132	134				
State and Political Subdivisions						
Total With No Allowance Recorded	<b>\$ 19,805</b>	<b>\$ 32,896</b>	<b>\$</b>			
<b><u>With a Related Allowance Recorded:</u></b>						
Residential Real Estate	\$ 1,396	\$ 1,455	\$ 785			
Commercial Real Estate	4,638	12,115	372			
Construction, Land Acquisition and Development	3,429	5,077	310			
Commercial and Industrial						
Solid Waste Landfills						
Other	661	932	339			
Total Commercial and Industrial	661	932	339			
Consumer						
Indirect Auto						
Installation/HELOC						
Total Consumer						
State and Political Subdivisions						
Total with Related Allowance	<b>\$ 10,124</b>	<b>\$ 19,579</b>	<b>\$ 1,806</b>			
<b><u>Total of impaired loans</u></b>						
Residential Real Estate	\$ 2,926	\$ 3,235	\$ 785	\$ 2,491	\$	13
Commercial Real Estate	9,477	17,808	372	13,456	\$	393
Construction, Land Acquisition and Development	11,365	24,998	310	21,707	\$	53
Commercial and Industrial						
Solid Waste Landfills						

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Other	6,029	6,300	339	4,081	160
<b>Total Commercial and Industrial</b>	<b>6,029</b>	<b>6,300</b>	<b>339</b>	<b>4,081</b>	<b>160</b>
<b>Consumer</b>					
Indirect Auto				85	
Installment/HELOC	132	134		280	
<b>Total Consumer</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>134</b>		<b>365</b>	
State and Political Subdivisions				1,105	
<b>Total Impaired Loans (1)</b>	<b>\$ 29,929</b>	<b>\$ 52,475</b>	<b>\$ 1,806</b>	<b>\$ 43,205</b>	<b>\$ 619</b>

(1) Non-accrual loans with outstanding balances of less than \$100 thousand are not considered for individual impairment evaluation and are accordingly not included in the table above. However, these loans are evaluated collectively as homogenous pools in the general allowance calculation under ASC Topic 310. Total non-accrual loans with individual balances of less than \$100 thousand equaled \$838 thousand at December 31, 2010.

(2) Interest income represents income recognized on performing TDRs.



Table of Contents

Included in total impaired loans are performing TDRs of \$5.7 million and \$2.5 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The Bank was not committed to lend additional funds to loans classified as a troubled debt restructuring as of December 31, 2011.

The additional interest income that would have been earned on non-accrual and restructured loans for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009 in accordance with their original terms approximated \$2.2 million, \$2.9 million, and \$2.8 million, respectively. Interest income recognized on impaired loans for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009 approximated \$238 thousand, \$619 thousand, and \$976 thousand, respectively.

**Troubled Debt Restructured Loans**

Effective January 1, 2011, the Company adopted the provisions of Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-02, *A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring is a Troubled Debt Restructuring*. As such, the Company reassessed all loan modifications occurring since January 1, 2011 for identification as TDRs, but did not identify any new TDRs.

The book balance of TDRs at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$10.8 million and \$5.8 million, respectively. The balances at December 31, 2011 included approximately \$5.1 million of TDRs in non-accrual status and \$5.7 million of TDRs in accrual status compared to \$3.3 million of TDRs in non-accrual status and \$2.5 million of TDRs in accrual status at December 31, 2010. Approximately \$156 thousand and \$34 thousand in specific reserves have been established for these loans as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The modification of the terms of such loans included one or a combination of the following: a reduction of the stated interest rate of the loan, an extension of the maturity date at a stated rate of interest lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk; or a permanent reduction of the recorded investment in the loan. Non-accruing restructured loans remain in non-accrual status until there has been a period of sustained repayment performance for a reasonable period, usually six months. In some instances, where the Company modifies a loan that is delinquent but not on non-accrual status, the restructured loan remains on accrual status provided the repayment performance remains in accordance to the modified terms.

The following tables show the pre- and post- modification recorded investment in loans modified as TDRs by portfolio segment and class of financing receivable during the year ended December 31, 2011:

(In Thousands)	Number of Contracts	Pre- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investments	Post- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investments
<b><u>Troubled Debt Restructuring</u></b>			
Residential Real Estate	6	\$ 537	\$ 417
Commercial and Industrial	1	4,681	4,681
Construction, Land Acquisition and Development	6	1,373	1,373
Total New Troubled Debt Restructuring	13	\$ 6,591	\$ 6,471

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

The TDRs described above increased the allowance for loan losses by \$95 thousand through allocation of a specific reserve, and resulted in charge-offs of \$120 thousand during the year ended December 31, 2011.

The following table shows the types of modifications made during the year ended December 31, 2011:

(In thousands) Type of modification	Residential Real Estate	Commercial and Industrial	Construction Land Acquisition & Development	Total
Extension of Term	\$362	\$4,681	\$1,373	\$6,416
Extension of Term and Principal Forgiveness	55			55
Total TDRs	\$417	\$4,681	\$1,373	\$6,471

The following table summarizes TDRs which have re-defaulted (defined as past due 90 days) during the year ended December 31, 2011 that were restructured within the twelve months prior to such re-default:

Table of Contents

(In Thousands)	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment
Commercial Real Estate	1	\$ 145
Commercial and Industrial	1	90
Total	2	\$ 235

**Note 6. OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED**

The following schedule reflects the components of OREO:

(in thousands)	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Land / Lots	\$ 4,443	\$ 8,357
Commercial Real Estate	1,695	1,086
Residential Real Estate	820	190
Total Other Real Estate Owned	\$ 6,958	\$ 9,633

The following schedule reflects the activity in OREO:

(in thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 9,633	\$ 11,184	\$ 2,308
Additions	3,995	9,928	11,717
Write-downs	(2,318)	(5,906)	(434)
Carrying value of OREO sold	(4,352)	(5,573)	(72)
Transfer to bank premises			(2,335)
Balance, end of year	\$ 6,958	\$ 9,633	\$ 11,184

The following table details the components of net expense of OREO for the years ended December 31:

(in thousands)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Insurance	\$ 58	\$ 72	\$ 5
Legal fees	235	138	53
Maintenance	63	273	
Losses from the operation of foreclosed properties	22	685	7
Professional Fees	250	25	6
Real estate taxes	724	385	595
Utilities	48	25	15
Impairment charges	2,318	5,906	434
Other	2	12	135
Total	\$ 3,720	\$ 7,521	\$ 1,250



Table of Contents**Note 7. BANK PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT**

Bank premises and equipment are summarized as follows:

(in thousands)	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Land	\$ 6,779	\$ 6,770
Buildings and improvements	10,519	10,519
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	11,357	11,191
Leasehold improvements	5,169	5,071
Total	\$ 33,824	\$ 33,551
Less accumulated depreciation	14,978	14,241
Net	\$ 18,846	\$ 19,310

Depreciation and amortization expense amounted to \$1.3 million, \$1.4 million and \$1.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

**Note 8. SERVICING**

The Company originates one-to-four-family residential loans that it sells in the secondary market, and the Company retains the servicing of those loans. The Company also performs servicing for a pool of automobile loans sold in 2010. Loans serviced for others are not included in the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition, but the related servicing income and expenses are recognized in the consolidated statements of operations. The unpaid balances of mortgage and other loans serviced for others were \$180.0 million, \$193.9 million and \$147.6 million at December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

The one- to four-family residential mortgage real estate loans were underwritten to Freddie Mac guidelines and were subsequently assigned and delivered to Freddie Mac. At December 31, 2011, substantially all of the loans serviced for others were performing in accordance with their contractual terms.

The following summarizes the activity pertaining to mortgage servicing rights for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010:

(in thousands)	2011		2010	
Balance, beginning of year	\$	751	\$	666
Mortgage servicing rights capitalized		277		281
Mortgage servicing rights amortized		(251)		(196)
Provision for loss in fair value				
Balance, end of year	\$	777	\$	751

The fair value of all servicing assets was \$1.2 million at December 31, 2011. Fair value has been determined using discount rates ranging from 2.75% to 8.30% and prepayment speeds ranging from 272% to 550% PSA, depending upon the stratification of the specific right. Based upon this fair value, management has determined that no valuation allowance associated with these mortgage servicing rights is necessary at December 31, 2011.

**Note 9. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLES**

In connection with the purchase of the Honesdale branch completed in 2006, the Company acquired intangible assets of \$9.8 million. Of that amount \$1.7 million results from a core deposit premium subject to periodic amortization over the useful life of 10 years. Goodwill of \$8.1 million, which is not subject to amortization, arose in connection with the acquisition. In response to the significant loss reported by the Company in 2009 and the reduction in the market capitalization of the Company's common shares, the Company's goodwill was

Table of Contents

evaluated for impairment as of December 31, 2009 (the Measurement Date). The analysis included a combination of a market approach based analysis of comparable transactions, change of control premiums paid, a discounted cash flow analysis of the potential dividends of the Company and the assessment of the fair value of the Company's statement of financial condition as of the measurement date. As a result of the analysis, the \$8.1 million, which constituted the entire balance of goodwill, was charged off as of December 31, 2009.

A summary of core deposit intangible assets as of December 31:

(in thousands)	2011	2010
Gross carrying amount	\$ 1,650	\$ 1,650
Less: accumulated amortization	853	687
Net carrying amount	\$ 797	\$ 963

Amortization expense on core deposit intangible assets totaled \$166 thousand for 2011 and \$165 thousand for each of 2010 and 2009.

Amortization expense on core deposit intangible assets with definite useful lives is expected to total \$165 thousand for 2012, \$165 thousand for 2013, \$165 thousand for 2014, \$165 thousand for 2015, and \$138 thousand for 2016.

**Note 10. DEPOSITS**

Deposits are as follows as of December 31:

(in thousands)	2011	2010
Demand	\$ 134,016	\$ 93,215
Interest-bearing demand	326,899	349,185
Savings	87,712	90,037
Time (\$100,000 and over)	199,790	189,526
Other time	208,719	260,473
Total	\$ 957,136	\$ 982,436

The Company had brokered deposits (classified as time and other time in the above table) of \$30.7 million and \$53.2 million, at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

At December 31, 2011, time deposits including certificates of deposit and Individual Retirement Accounts have the following scheduled maturities:





Table of Contents

(in thousands)	Time Deposits \$100,000 and Over		Other Time Deposits		Total
2012	\$	142,147	\$	113,117	\$ 255,264
2013		30,191		44,428	74,619
2014		15,926		14,913	30,839
2015		6,483		17,273	23,756
2016		3,827		14,299	18,126
2017 and Thereafter		1,216		4,689	5,905
Total	\$	199,790	\$	208,719	\$ 408,509

Investment securities with a carrying value of \$150.8 million and \$147.4 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, were pledged to collateralize certain municipal deposits. At December 31, 2011, the Company had also pledged a \$30 million letter of credit to collateralize certain deposits.

**Note 11. BORROWED FUNDS**

Borrowed funds at December 31, 2011 and 2010 include the following:

(in thousands)	2011		2010	
Treasury tax and loan demand note	\$		\$	407
FHLB advances		48,261		101,887
Junior subordinated debentures		10,310		10,310
Subordinated debentures		25,000		25,000
Total	\$	83,571	\$	137,604

The Company also utilizes short-term Federal funds purchased which represent overnight borrowings providing for the short-term funding requirements of the Bank and generally mature within one business day of the transaction. The Company did not purchase any short-term Federal funds during the year ended December 31, 2011. Federal Reserve Discount Window borrowings also represent overnight funding to meet the short-term liquidity requirements of the Bank and are fully collateralized with investment securities. The Company did not borrow any Federal Reserve Discount Window funds during the year ended December 31, 2011.

The following table presents borrowed funds at their maturity dates:

Table of Contents

	December 31, 2011	
	Amount	Weighted Average Interest Rate
Within one year	13,315	4.35%
After one year but within two years	23,904	3.16%
After two years but within three years	5,000	3.47%
After three years but within four years	8,230	6.34%
After four years but within five years	5,000	9.00%
After five years	28,122	6.01%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 83,571</b>	<b>4.99%</b>

The FHLB of Pittsburgh borrowings of \$48.3 million are all fixed rate advances having maturities of more than 90 days. All advances are collateralized either under a blanket pledge agreement for commercial real estate loans, one-to-four family mortgage loans, or mortgage-backed securities. In addition, the Company is required to purchase FHLB stock based upon the amount of advances outstanding. The Company was in compliance with this requirement, having a stock investment in FHLB of Pittsburgh of \$8.4 million at December 31, 2011. Loans of \$142.7 million, at December 31, 2011, and investment securities with a carrying value of \$65.3 million, and loans of \$196.2 million, at December 31, 2010 were pledged to collateralize FHLB advances, respectively.

The maximum amount of borrowings outstanding at any month end during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 were \$127.7 million and \$182.9 million, respectively.

On December 14, 2006, First National Community Statutory Trust I (the Trust), a trust formed under Delaware law that is an unconsolidated subsidiary of the Company, issued \$10.0 million of trust preferred securities (the Trust Securities) at a variable interest rate of 7.02%, with a scheduled maturity of December 15, 2036. The Company owns all of the ownership interest in the Trust. The proceeds from the issue were invested in \$10.3 million, 7.02% Junior Subordinated Debentures (the Debentures) issued by the Company. The interest rate on the Trust Securities and the Debentures resets quarterly at a spread of 1.67% above the current 3-month Libor rate. The average interest rate paid on the Debentures was 2.00% in 2011, 2.01% in 2010, and 2.69% in 2009. The Debentures are unsecured and rank subordinate and junior in right to all indebtedness, liabilities and obligations of the Company. The Debentures represent the sole assets of the Trust. Interest on the Trust Securities is deferrable until a period of twenty consecutive quarters has elapsed. The Company has the option, subject to required regulatory approval of the Federal Reserve, to prepay the trust securities beginning December 15, 2011. The Company has, under the terms of the Debentures and the related Indenture, as well as, the other operative corporate documents, agreed to irrevocably and unconditionally guarantee the Trust's obligations under the Debentures. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, accrued and unpaid interest associated with the Debentures amounted to \$267 thousand and \$61 thousand, respectively.

The Company has reflected this investment on a deconsolidated basis. As a result, the Debentures totaling \$10.3 million, have been reflected in Borrowed Funds in the consolidated statements of financial condition at December 31, 2011 and 2010 under the caption Junior Subordinated Debentures. The Company records interest expense on the Debentures in its consolidated statement of operations. The Company also records its common stock investment issued by First National Community Statutory Trust I in Other Assets in its consolidated statements of financial condition at December 31, 2011 and 2010.

On September 1, 2009, the Company offered only to Accredited Investors up to \$25.0 million principal amount of unsecured Subordinated Notes Due September 1, 2019 at a fixed interest rate of 9% per annum (the Notes) in denominations of \$100 thousand and integral multiples of \$100 thousand in excess thereof. The Notes mature on September 1, 2019. For the first five years from issuance, the Company will pay interest only on the Notes. Commencing September 1, 2015, the Company is required to pay both interest and a portion of the principal calculated to return the entire principal amount of the Notes at maturity subject to deferral. Payments of interest are payable to registered holders of the Notes

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

(the Noteholders ) quarterly on the first of every third month, subject to deferral. Payments of principal will be payable to the Noteholders annually beginning on September 1, 2015. The principal balance outstanding for these notes was \$25.0 million at both December 31, 2011 and 2010. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, accrued and unpaid interest associated with the Notes amounted to \$3.0 million and \$762 thousand, respectively.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the November 24, 2010 written Agreement (the Agreement) with the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia (the Reserve Bank), the Company and its nonbank subsidiary may not make any payment of interest, principal or other amounts on the Company's subordinated debentures or trust preferred securities without the prior written approval of the Reserve Bank and the Director. See Note 17 to the consolidated financial statements Regulatory Matters.

The Company is currently deferring interest payments on the Company's Debentures and Notes. The last payment made on the Debentures was the payment due on September 14, 2010 and the last payment made on the Notes was the payment due on September 1, 2010.

**Note 12. BENEFIT PLANS**

The Bank has a defined contribution profit sharing plan which covers all eligible employees. The Bank's contribution to the plan is determined at management's discretion at the end of each year and funded. Contributions to the plan in 2011, 2010 and 2009 amounted to \$0, \$0 and \$375 thousand, respectively.

The Bank has an unfunded non-qualified deferred compensation plan covering all eligible Bank officers and directors as defined by the plan. This plan permits eligible participants to elect to defer a portion of their compensation. At both December 31, 2011 and 2010, elective deferred compensation and accrued earnings aggregating \$7.3 million, is included in other liabilities in the accompanying statement of financial condition. The Company had not funded the deferred compensation plans as of December 31, 2011 or 2010.

**Note 13. INCOME TAXES**

The provision (benefit) for income taxes included in the statement of operations is comprised of the following components:

(in thousands)	2011	2010	2009
Current	\$	\$ (3,512)	\$ (10,214)
Deferred		3,512	1,620
Total	\$	\$	\$ (8,594)

The provision (benefit) for income taxes differs from the amount of income tax determined by applying the applicable U.S. Statutory Federal Income Tax Rate (34% for 2011 and 2010 and 35% for 2009) to pre-tax income or loss as a result of the following differences:

(in thousands)	2011	2010	2009
Provision/(benefit) at statutory tax rates	\$ (114)	\$ (10,785)	\$ (18,519)
Add (deduct):			
Tax effects of non-taxable income	(2,288)	(2,704)	(2,644)

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Non-deductible interest expense	98	170	234
Stock options exercised			(11)
Bank owned life insurance	(268)	(252)	(309)
Stock option compensation			285
Change in valuation allowance	2,568	13,165	12,112
Other Items Net	4	406	258
Provision/(benefit) for income taxes	\$	\$	\$ (8,594)

The components of the net deferred tax asset, included in other assets, at December 31 are as follows:

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

### Table of Contents

(in thousands)	2011	2010
Allowance for loan and lease losses	\$ 7,299	\$ 8,076
Deferred compensation	2,497	2,470
Unrealized holding losses on securities available-for-sale	2,044	6,255
Other-than-temporary impairment	577	1,567
Other real estate owned valuation	1,308	1,608
Deferred intangible assets	1,735	1,944
Employee benefits	39	
Accrued interest	1,126	
AMT tax credits	2,215	2,215
Fixed asset valuation	407	407
Charitable contribution carryover	217	154
Accrued rent expense	210	208
Accrued real estate taxes	175	
Depreciation	13	
Net operating loss carryover	10,104	6,994
Gross deferred tax assets	29,966	31,898
Deferred loan origination fees	(77)	(131)
Depreciation		(235)
Gross deferred tax liabilities	(77)	(366)
Net deferred asset before valuation allowance	29,889	31,532
Valuation allowance	(27,845)	(25,277)
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 2,044	\$ 6,255

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company had recognized \$11.6 and \$12.4 million of refundable federal income taxes associated with its net operating losses incurred in 2010 and 2009.

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company has established a valuation allowance of \$27.8 million and \$25.3 million, respectively, related to deferred tax assets that would be realizable based only on future taxable income. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, no valuation allowance was recorded for the deferred tax assets related to the unrealized holding losses on securities available-for-sale because the Company has the intent and ability to hold these securities until recovery of the unrealized losses, which may be at maturity. The Company will continue to monitor the realizability of its deferred tax assets and may make changes to the valuation allowance recorded as circumstances change.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company had \$29.7 million of Net Operating Loss carryovers resulting in a deferred tax asset of \$10.1 million. These carryovers will expire beginning in 2030 if not utilized. As of December 31, 2011, the Company also had \$639 thousand of charitable contribution carryovers resulting in gross deferred tax assets of \$217 thousand. These carryovers will expire after December 31, 2014 if not utilized. In addition, the Company had alternative minimum tax credit carryovers of \$2.2 million as of December 31, 2011 that have an indefinite life.

The Company records interest and penalties on potential income tax deficiencies as part of non-interest expense. Federal tax years 2008 through 2011 remain subject to examination as of December 31, 2011, while tax years 2008 through 2011 remain subject to examination by state taxing jurisdictions.

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

In May 2012, the Company was contacted by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) for examination of its 2010 and 2009 income tax returns. The examinations are in the preliminary stages the Company can provide no assurance as to how these audits will be resolved.

Table of Contents**Note 14. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

The Company and the Bank have engaged in and intend to continue to engage in banking and financial transactions in the conduct of its business with directors and executive officers of the Company and the Bank and their related parties.

The Bank has granted loans, letters of credit and lines of credit to directors, executive officers and their related parties. The following table summarizes the changes in the total amounts of such outstanding loans, advances under lines of credit as well as repayments during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010:

(in thousands)	2011		2010	
Outstanding at beginning of the year	\$	92,217	\$	102,705
New loans and advances		68,288		88,713
Repayments		(72,365)		(83,644)
Charge-Offs				(7,861)
Other*		(698)		(7,696)
Outstanding at end of the year	\$	87,442	\$	92,217

\*Other represents loans to related parties that ceased being related parties during the year

At December 31, 2011, loans in the amount of \$244 thousand made to directors, executive officers and their related parties were not performing in accordance with the terms of the loan agreements. Also, as of December 31, 2011, additional loans in the amount of \$702 thousand to directors, executive officers and their related parties were categorized as criticized loans within the Bank's risk rating system, meaning they are considered to present a higher risk of collection than other loans.

Included in related party loans is a \$7.4 million, total aggregate amount outstanding under a commercial line of credit (line) to a company owned by a director. The Company also sold a participation interest in this line to the same director in the amount of \$5.2 million, of which \$3.0 million is outstanding. The Bank receives a 25 basis point annual servicing fee from this director on the participation balance. At December 31, 2010, the aggregate amount outstanding under the line was \$5.2 million and the participation interest sold under this line was \$4 million.

Deposits from directors, executive officers and their related parties held by the Bank at December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009 amounted to \$146.8 million, \$131.6 million, and \$136.5 million, respectively. Interest paid on the deposits amounted to \$446 thousand, \$862 thousand, and \$1.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

In the course of its operations, the Company acquires goods and services from and transacts business with various companies of related parties. The Company recorded payments for these services of \$1.8 million, \$1.1 million, and \$0.7 million in 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.



## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Subordinated notes held by officers and directors and/or their related parties totaled \$10 million and \$11 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The \$1 million reduction is attributable to notes held by related parties that ceased being related parties during 2011. Interest paid to directors on the notes totaled \$0 and \$685 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Interest accrued and unpaid on the notes totaled \$1.2 million and \$305 thousand at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The Company leases its Honesdale Route 6 branch location from a related party. Total lease payments were \$9 thousand for each of the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009.

### **Note 15. COMMITMENTS, CONTINGENCIES AND CONCENTRATIONS**

#### *Leases*

At December 31, 2011, the Company was obligated under certain non-cancelable leases with initial or remaining terms of one year or more. Minimum future obligations under non-cancelable leases in effect at December 31, 2011 are as follows:

Table of Contents

(in thousands)	Facilities	Equipment	Total
2012	\$ 585	\$ 71	\$ 656
2013	526	50	576
2014	427	31	458
2015	132	17	149
2016	81		81
2017 and thereafter	90		90
Total	\$ 1,841	\$ 169	\$ 2,010

Total rental expense under leases amounted to \$689 thousand, \$618 thousand and \$614 thousand in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

*Financial Instruments with off-balance sheet commitments*

The Bank is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet commitment in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. Such financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit that involve varying degrees of credit, interest rate or liquidity risk in excess of the amount recognized in the balance sheet. The Bank's exposure to credit loss from nonperformance by the other party to the financial instruments for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments.

Financial instruments whose contract amounts represent credit risk at December 31 are as follows:

(in thousands)	2011	2010
Commitments to extend credit	\$ 138,715	\$ 125,981
Standby letters of credit	36,286	57,629

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to customers in accordance with contractual provisions. These commitments usually are for specific periods or contain termination clauses and may require the payment of a fee. The total amounts of unused commitments do not necessarily represent future cash requirements, in that commitments often expire without being drawn upon.

Letters of credit and financial guarantees are agreements whereby the Company guarantees the performance of a customer to a third party. Collateral may be required to support letters of credit in accordance with management's evaluation of the creditworthiness of each customer. The credit exposure assumed in issuing letters of credit is essentially equal to that in other lending activities.

*Federal Home Loan Bank Mortgage Partnership Finance Program*

Under a secondary market loan servicing program with the FHLB, the Company, in exchange for a monthly fee, provides a credit enhancement guarantee to the FHLB for foreclosure losses in excess of 1% of original loan principal sold to the FHLB. At December 31, 2011, the Company

serviced payments on \$18.5 million of first lien residential loan principal under these terms for the FHLB. At December 31, 2011, the maximum obligation for such guarantees by the Company would be approximately \$1.5 million if total foreclosure losses on the entire pool of loans exceed approximately \$70 thousand. Management believes the likelihood of a reimbursement for loss payable to the FHLB beyond the monthly credit enhancement fee is remote.

*Concentrations of Credit Risk*

**Cash Concentrations:** The Bank maintains cash balances at several correspondent banks. The Company did not maintain any due from bank accounts in excess of the \$250 thousand limit covered by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation as of December 31, 2011 or 2010.

**Loan Concentrations:** The Company attempts to limit its exposure to concentrations of credit risk by diversifying its loan portfolio and closely monitoring any concentrations of credit risk. The commercial real estate and construction, land acquisition and development portfolios comprise \$290.0 million, or 42.7% of gross loans at December 31, 2011. The Company had commercial real estate and construction, land acquisition and development loans of \$42.1 million, or 6.2%, of net loans to customers outside of Pennsylvania. Geographic concentrations exist because the Company provides its services in its primary market area of Pennsylvania and conducts limited activities outside of that area.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Bank's loan portfolio was concentrated in loans in the following industries. Approximately ninety-seven percent of loans included in the Solid Waste Landfills are fully secured by cash collateral on deposit at the Bank.

(in thousands)	December 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Amount	% of gross loans	Amount	% of gross loans
Land subdivision	19,626	2.89%	29,518	3.89%
Shopping centers/complexes	18,722	2.76%	26,298	3.47%
Gas stations	17,118	2.52%	18,289	2.41%
Office complexes/units	16,091	2.37%	16,842	2.22%
Solid waste landfills	42,270	6.22%	52,270	6.90%

*Litigation*

On May 24, 2012, a putative shareholder by the name of Lori Gray filed a complaint in the Court of Common Pleas in Lackawanna County against certain present and former directors of the Company (including all of the current directors except Steven R. Tokach and Thomas J. Melone) and Demetrius & Company, LLC ( Demetrius ) alleging, inter alia, breach of fiduciary duty, abuse of control, corporate waste, unjust enrichment and, in the case of Demetrius, professional negligence, negligent misrepresentation, breach of contract and aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty. The Company has been named as a nominal defendant. This matter is in a preliminary stage and the Company cannot determine the outcome or potential range of loss at this time.

The Company is also a party to routine litigation involving various aspects of its business, and is party to a trademark infringement claim, none of which, in the opinion of management and its legal counsel, is expected to have a material adverse impact on the consolidated financial condition, results of operations or liquidity of the Company.

**Note 16. STOCK OPTION PLANS**

On August 30, 2000, the Company's Board adopted the 2000 Employee Stock Incentive Plan (the Stock Incentive Plan ) in which options may be granted to key officers and other employees of the Company. The aggregate number of shares which may be issued upon exercise of the options under the plan cannot exceed 1,100,000 shares. Options and rights granted under the Stock Incentive Plan become exercisable six months after the date the options are awarded and expire ten years after the award date. Upon exercise, the shares are issued from the Company's authorized but unissued stock. The Stock Incentive Plan expired on August 30, 2010 and from and after that date no further grants have been or will be made under the plan.

The Board also adopted on August 30, 2000, the 2000 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan (the Directors' Stock Plan ) for directors who are not officers or employees of the Company. The aggregate number of shares issuable under the Directors' Stock Plan cannot exceed 550,000 shares and are exercisable six months from the date the awards are granted and expire three years after the award date. Upon exercise, the shares are issued from the Company's authorized but unissued shares. The Directors' Stock Plan expired on August 30, 2010 and from and after that date no further grants have been or will be made under the plan.

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

The Company did not record a compensation expense related to options under either the Stock Incentive Plan or the Directors' Stock Plan in each of 2011, 2010, and 2009.

In accordance with current accounting guidance, all options are charged against income at their fair value. Awards granted under the plans vest immediately and the entire expense of the award is recognized in the year of grant.

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model average assumptions:

Table of Contents

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Dividend yield			3.87%
Expected life			10 years
Expected volatility			27.8%
Risk-free interest rate			2.99%

A summary of the status of the Company's stock option plans is presented below:

	2011		2010		2009	
	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at the beginning of the year	222,616	12.58	366,248	\$ 12.18	325,134	\$ 12.36
Granted					74,600	10.81
Exercised					(15,500)	5.97
Forfeited	(34,423)	12.37	(143,632)	\$ 11.55	(17,986)	\$ 15.21
Outstanding at the end of the year	188,193	\$ 12.62	222,616	\$ 12.58	366,248	\$ 12.18
Options exercisable at year end	188,193	\$ 12.62	222,616	\$ 12.58	366,248	\$ 12.18
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the year		\$		\$		\$ 2.13
Stock-Based Compensation Expense		\$		\$		\$

The total intrinsic values of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 were \$0, \$0, and \$34 thousand, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, there was no unrecognized compensation expense. Cash received from stock options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$0, \$0, and \$93 thousand, respectively.

Information pertaining to options outstanding at December 31, 2011 is as follows:

Range of Exercise Price	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$ 5.81-\$23.13	188,193	3.7 years	\$ 12.62	188,193	\$ 12.62

As of December 31, 2011, there was no aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding.

**Note 17. REGULATORY MATTERS**

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

The Bank is under a Consent Order (the *Order*) from the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ( *OCC* ) dated September 1, 2010. The Company is also subject to a written Agreement (the *Agreement* ) with the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia (the *Reserve Bank* ) dated November 24, 2010.

*OCC Consent Order.* The Bank, pursuant to a Stipulation and Consent to the Issuance of a Consent Order dated September 1, 2010 without admitting or denying any wrongdoing, consented and agreed to the issuance of the Order by the OCC, the Bank's primary regulator. The Order requires the Bank to undertake certain actions within designated timeframes, and to operate in compliance with the provisions thereof during its term. The Order is based on the results of an examination of the Bank as of March 31, 2009. Since the examination, management has engaged in discussions with the OCC and has taken steps to improve the condition, policies and procedures of the Bank. Compliance with the Order is monitored by a committee (the *Committee* ) of at least three directors, none of whom is an employee or controlling shareholder of the Bank or its affiliates or a family member of any such person. The Committee is required to submit written progress reports on a monthly basis and the Agreement requires the Bank to make periodic reports and filings with the OCC. The members of the Committee are John P. Moses, Joseph Coccia, Joseph J. Gentile and Thomas J. Melone. The material provisions of the Order are as follows:

(i) By October 31, 2010, the Board of Directors of the Bank (the *Board* ) is required to adopt and implement a three-year strategic plan which must be submitted to the OCC for review and prior determination of no supervisory objection; the strategic plan must establish objectives for the Bank's overall risk profile, earnings performance, growth, balance sheet mix, off-balance sheet activities, liability

Table of Contents

structure, capital adequacy, reduction in the volume of nonperforming assets, product line development, and market segments that the Bank intends to promote or develop, and is to include strategies to achieve those objectives; if the strategic plan involves the sale or merger of the Bank, it must address the timeline and steps to be followed to provide for a definitive agreement within 90 days after the receipt of a determination of no supervisory objection;

(ii) by October 31, 2010, the Board is required to adopt and implement a three year capital plan, which must be submitted to the OCC for review and prior determination of no supervisory objection;

(iii) by November 30, 2010, the Bank is required to achieve and thereafter maintain a total risk-based capital equal to at least 13% of risk-weighted assets and a Tier 1 capital equal to at least 9% of adjusted total assets;

(iv) the Bank may not pay any dividend or capital distribution unless it is in compliance with the higher capital requirements required by the Order, the Capital Plan, applicable legal requirements and, then only after receiving a determination of no supervisory objection from the OCC;

(v) by November 15, 2010, the Committee must review the Board and the Board's committee structure; by November 30, 2010, the Board must prepare or cause to be prepared an assessment of the capabilities of the Bank's executive officers to perform their past and current duties, including those required to respond to the most recent examination report, and to perform annual performance appraisals of each officer;

(vi) by October 31, 2010, the Board must adopt, implement and thereafter ensure compliance with a comprehensive conflict of interest policy applicable to the Bank's and the Company's directors, executive officers, principal shareholders and their affiliates and such persons' immediate family members and their related interests, employees, and by November 30, 2010, conduct a review of existing relationships with such persons to identify those, if any, not in compliance with the policy; and review all subsequent proposed transactions with such persons or modifications of transactions;

(vii) by October 31, 2010, the Board must develop, implement and ensure adherence to policies and procedures for Bank Secrecy Act (BSA) compliance; and account opening and monitoring procedures compliance;

(viii) by October 31, 2010, the Board must ensure the BSA audit function is supported by an adequately staffed department or third party firm; adopt, implement and ensure compliance with an independent BSA audit; and assess the capabilities of the BSA officer and supporting staff to perform present and anticipated duties;

(ix) by October 31, 2010, the Board is required to adopt, implement and ensure adherence to a written credit policy, including specified features, to improve the Bank's loan portfolio management;



## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

(x) the Board is required to take certain actions to resolve certain credit and collateral exceptions;

(xi) by October 31, 2010, the Board is required to establish an effective, independent and ongoing loan review system to review, at least quarterly, the Bank's loan and lease portfolios to assure the timely identification and categorization of problem credits; by October 31, 2010, to adopt and adhere to a program for the maintenance of an adequate ALLL, and to review the adequacy of the Bank's ALLL at least quarterly;

(xii) by October 31, 2010, the Board must adopt and the Bank implement and adhere to a program to protect the Bank's interest in criticized assets; and the Bank may only extend additional credit (including renewals) to a borrower whose loans are criticized under specified circumstances;

(xiii) by October 31, 2010, the Board must adopt and ensure adherence to action plans for each piece of other real estate owned;

(xiv) by November 30, 2010, the Board is required to develop, implement and ensure adherence to a policy for effective monitoring and management of concentrations of credit;

(xv) by October 31, 2010, the Board must revise and implement the Bank's other than temporary impairment policy;

(xvi) by October 31, 2010, the Board must take action to maintain adequate sources of stable funding and liquidity and a contingency funding plan; by October 31, 2010, the Board is required to adopt, implement and ensure compliance with an independent, internal audit program; and

Table of Contents

(xvii) take actions to correct cited violations of law; and adopt procedures to prevent future violations and address compliance management.

*Federal Reserve Agreement.* On November 24, 2010, the Company entered into a written Agreement (the Agreement) with the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia (the Reserve Bank). The Agreement requires the Company to undertake certain actions within designated timeframes, and to operate in compliance with the provisions thereof during its term. The material provisions of the Agreement include the following:

(i) the Company's Board must take appropriate steps to fully utilize the Company's financial and managerial resources to serve as a source of strength to the Bank, including taking steps to ensure that the Bank complies with its Consent Order entered into with the OCC;

(ii) the Company may not declare or pay any dividends without the prior written approval of the Reserve Bank and the Director of the Division of Banking Supervision and Regulation (the Director) of the Federal Reserve Board;

(iii) the Company may not take dividends or other payments representing a reduction of the Bank's capital without the prior written approval of the Reserve Bank;

(iv) the Company and its nonbank subsidiary may not make any payment of interest, principal or other amounts on the Company's subordinated debentures or trust preferred securities without the prior written approval of the Reserve Bank and the Director;

(v) the Company may not make any payment of interest, principal or other amounts on debt owed to insiders of the Company without the prior written approval of the Reserve Bank and Director;

(vi) the Company and its nonbank subsidiary may not incur, increase or guarantee any debt without the prior written approval of the Reserve Bank;

(vii) the Company may not purchase or redeem any shares of its stock without the prior written approval of the Reserve Bank;

(viii) the Company must submit to the Reserve Bank, by January 23, 2011, an acceptable written plan to maintain sufficient capital at the Company on a consolidated basis. Thereafter, the Company must notify the Reserve Bank within 45 days of the end of any quarter in which the Company's capital ratios fall below the approved capital plan's minimum ratios, and submit an acceptable written plan to increase the Company's capital ratios above the capital plan's minimums;

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

(ix) the Company must immediately take all actions necessary to ensure that: (1) each regulatory report accurately reflects the Company's condition on the date for which it is filed and all material transactions between the Company and its subsidiaries; (2) each such report is prepared in accordance with its instructions; and (3) all records indicating how the report was prepared are maintained for supervisory review;

(x) the Company must submit to the Reserve Bank, by January 23, 2011, acceptable written procedures to strengthen and maintain internal controls to ensure all required regulatory reports and notices filed with the Board of Governors are accurate and filed in accordance with the instructions for preparation;

(xi) the Company must submit to the Reserve Bank, by January 8, 2011, a cash flow projection for 2011, reflecting the Company's planned sources and uses of cash, and submit a cash flow projection for each subsequent calendar year at least one month prior to the beginning of such year;

(xii) the Company must comply with: (1) the notice provisions of Section 32 of the FDI Act and Subpart H of Regulation Y in appointing any new director or senior executive officer or changing the duties of any senior executive officer; and (2) the restrictions on indemnification and severance payments of Section 18(k) of the FDI Act and Part 359 of the FDIC's regulations; and

(xiii) the Board must submit written progress reports within 30 days of the end of each calendar quarter.

Banking regulations also limit the amount of dividends that may be paid without prior approval of the Bank's regulatory agency. At December 31, 2011, the Company and the Bank are restricted from paying any dividends, without regulatory approval.

The Company is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and

Table of Contents

the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices must be met. Capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier I capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier I capital (as defined) to average assets (as defined).

In accordance with the Order, the Bank is required to achieve and thereafter maintain a total risk-based capital equal to at least 13% of risk-weighted assets and a Tier 1 capital equal to at least 9% of adjusted total assets. As of December 31, 2011, the Bank did not meet these requirements. The minimum capital requirements under the Order take precedence over the standard regulatory capital adequacy definitions described in the tables below. The Company's and the Bank's actual capital positions and ratios as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 are presented in the following table:

## FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC.

## CAPITAL ANALYSIS

(in thousands)	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
<b>Company</b>			
Tier I Capital:			
Total Tier I Capital	\$ 53,059	\$ 53,297	\$ 84,365
Tier II Capital:			
Subordinated notes	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 23,100
Allowable portion of allowance for loan losses	9,823	11,201	14,594
Total Tier II Capital	\$ 34,823	\$ 36,201	\$ 37,694
Total Risk-Based Capital	\$ 87,882	\$ 89,498	\$ 122,059
Total Risk Weighted Assets	\$ 774,452	\$ 883,887	\$ 1,158,157
<b>Bank</b>			
Tier I Capital:			
Total Tier I Capital	\$ 80,976	\$ 75,659	\$ 103,453
Tier II Capital:			
Allowable portion of allowance for loan losses	\$ 9,819	\$ 11,197	\$ 14,590
Total Tier II Capital	\$ 9,819	\$ 11,197	\$ 14,590
Total Risk-Based Capital	\$ 90,795	\$ 86,856	\$ 118,043
Total Risk Weighted Assets	\$ 774,097	\$ 883,535	\$ 1,157,823

Table of Contents

## FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC.

## CAPITAL ANALYSIS

(amounts in thousands) As of December 31, 2011	Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes		To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provision	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)						
Corporation	\$ 87,882	11.35%	\$ 61,956	>8.00%	N/A	N/A
Bank	\$ 90,795	11.73%	\$ 61,928	>8.00%	\$ 77,410	>10.00%
Tier I Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)						
Corporation	\$ 53,059	6.85%	\$ 30,978	>4.00%	N/A	N/A
Bank	\$ 80,976	10.46%	\$ 30,964	>4.00%	\$ 46,446	>6.00%
Tier I Capital (to Average Assets)						
Corporation	\$ 53,059	4.72%	\$ 44,992	>4.00%	N/A	N/A
Bank	\$ 80,976	7.20%	\$ 44,978	>4.00%	\$ 56,227	>5.00%
As of December 31, 2010						
	Actual	Ratio	For Capital Adequacy Purposes	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)						
Corporation	\$ 89,498	10.13%	\$ 70,711	>8.00%	N/A	N/A
Bank	\$ 86,856	9.83%	\$ 70,683	>8.00%	\$ 88,354	>10.00%
Tier I Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)						
Corporation	\$ 53,297	6.03%	\$ 35,355	>4.00%	N/A	N/A
Bank	\$ 75,659	8.56%	\$ 35,341	>4.00%	\$ 53,012	>6.00%
Tier I Capital (to Average Assets)						
Corporation	\$ 53,297	4.27%	\$ 49,964	>4.00%	N/A	N/A
Bank	\$ 75,659	6.06%	\$ 49,950	>4.00%	\$ 62,438	>5.00%

Table of Contents

**Note 18. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS**

In determining fair value, the Company uses various valuation approaches, including market, income and cost approaches. Accounting standards established a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, which are developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs reflects the Company's assumptions about the assumptions the market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability, which are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances.

The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurement) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurement). The fair value hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the reliability of inputs as follows:

- Level 1 valuation is based upon unadjusted quoted market prices for identical instruments traded in active markets.
- Level 2 valuation is based upon quoted market prices for similar instruments traded in active markets, quoted market prices for identical or similar instruments traded in markets that are not active and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market or can be corroborated by market data.
- Level 3 valuation is derived from other valuation methodologies including discounted cash flow models and similar techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in determining fair value.

An asset or liability's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

A description of the valuation methodologies used for assets recorded at fair value, and for estimating fair value for financial instruments not recorded at fair value, is set forth below.

**Cash, Short-term Investments, Accrued Interest Receivable and Accrued Interest Payable**

For these short-term instruments, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

## Securities

The estimated fair values of available-for-sale equity securities are determined by obtaining quoted prices on nationally recognized exchanges (Level 1 inputs). The estimated fair values for the Company's investments in obligations of U.S. government agencies, obligations of state and political subdivisions, government sponsored agency collateralized mortgage obligations, private label collateralized mortgage obligations, government sponsored agency residential mortgage-backed securities, and corporate debt securities are obtained by the Company from a nationally-recognized pricing service. This pricing service develops estimated fair values by analyzing like securities and applying available market information through processes such as benchmark curves, benchmarking of like securities, sector groupings and matrix pricing (Level 2 inputs), to prepare valuations. Matrix pricing is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities, but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond's terms and conditions, among other things and are based on market data obtained from sources independent from the Company. The Level 2 investments in the Company's portfolio are priced using those inputs that, based on the analysis prepared by the pricing service, reflect the assumptions that market participants would use to price the assets. The Company has determined that the Level 2 designation is appropriate for these securities because, as with most fixed-income securities, those in the Company's portfolio are not exchange-traded, and such non-exchange-traded fixed income securities are typically priced by correlation to observed market data. The Company has reviewed the pricing service's methodology to confirm its understanding that such methodology results in a valuation based on quoted market prices for similar instruments traded in active markets, quoted markets for identical or similar instruments traded in markets that are not active and model-based valuation techniques for which the significant assumptions can be corroborated by market data as appropriate to a Level 2 designation.

For those securities for which the inputs used by an independent pricing service were derived from unobservable market information, the Company evaluated the appropriateness and quality of each price. The Company reviewed the volume and level of activity for all

Table of Contents

classes of securities and attempted to identify transactions which may not be orderly or reflective of a significant level of activity and volume. For securities meeting these criteria, the quoted prices received from either market participants or an independent pricing service may be adjusted, as necessary, to estimate fair value (fair values based on Level 3 inputs). If applicable, the adjustment to fair value was derived based on present value cash flow model projections prepared by the Company or obtained from third party providers utilizing assumptions similar to those incorporated by market participants. The estimated fair value of the PreTSLs and Private Label Collateralized Mortgage Obligations in the Company's securities portfolio are obtained from third-party service providers that prepared the valuation using a discounted cash flow approach with inputs derived from unobservable market information (Level 3 inputs). The valuation of PreTSLs is further described below and in Note 4- Securities of these consolidated financial statements.

At December 31, 2011, the Company owned PreTSLs having an amortized cost of \$10.6 million. The market for these securities at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was not active and markets for similar securities are also not active. PreTSLs were historically priced using Level 2 inputs. However, the decline in the level of observable inputs and market activity in this class of investments by the measurement date has been significant and resulted in unreliable external pricing. Broker pricing and bid/ask spreads, when available, vary widely. The once active market has become comparatively inactive. As such, the valuation of these investments is now determined using Level 3 inputs. The Company obtained the valuations from a third-party service provider that prepared the valuations using a discounted cash flows approach. The Company takes measures to validate the service provider's analysis and is actively involved in the valuation process, including reviewing and verifying the assumptions used in the valuation calculations. The difference between the discounted cash flow calculations for the purpose of estimating OTTI credit losses, described in Note 4, and the calculation used for fair value of the Company's PreTSL securities relates only to the discount rate used.

Results of a discounted cash flow test are significantly affected by variables such as the estimate of the probability of default, estimates of future cash flows, discount rates, prepayment rates and the creditworthiness of the underlying issuers. Refer to the discussion of these variables in Note 4- Securities. The Company considers these inputs to be unobservable Level 3 inputs because they are based on the Company's estimates about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing this type of asset and developed based on the best information available in the circumstances rather than on observable inputs. The Company continues to monitor the market for PreTSLs to assess the market activity and the availability of observable inputs and will continue to apply these controls and procedures to the valuations received from its third party service provider for the period it continues to use an outside valuation service. As it relates to fair value measurements, once each issuer is categorized and the forecasted default rates have been applied, the expected cash flows are modeled using the variables described above. The Company then applies a 10% discount rate to PreTSL XXVI, a 12% discount rate to PreTSL XIX, and a 15% discount rate to PreTSL IX and PreTSL XI to the expected cash flows to estimate fair value.

At December 31, 2011, the Company owned three securities issued by state and political subdivisions having an amortized cost of \$2.8 million that are valued using Level 3 inputs. Two of these securities, with an amortized cost of \$1.2 million, had their ratings withdrawn by nationally recognized credit rating agencies. The third security with an amortized cost of \$1.6 million was previously downgraded by several nationally recognized credit rating agencies. As a result of the ratings withdrawals and downgrade, the market for these securities at December 31, 2011 is no longer active. These securities were historically priced using Level 2 inputs. The credit ratings withdrawal and downgrade have resulted in a decline in the level of significant other observable inputs for these investment securities at the measurement date. Broker pricing and bid/ask spreads are very limited for these securities. The first two securities were valued based on similar nonrated Pennsylvania Sewer bonds adjusted for coupon and maturity. For the third security, the Company obtained a bid indication from a third-party municipal trading desk to determine the fair value of this security.

At December 31, 2011, the Company owned investment grade Private Label Collateralized Mortgage Obligations, (PLCMOs), having an amortized cost of \$36.6 million. PLCMOs are securitized products where payments from residential mortgage loans are pooled together and passed on to different classes of owners in various tranches. The markets for such securities are generally characterized by a limited number of new issuances, a significant reduction in trading volumes and wide bid-ask spreads, all driven by the lack of market participants. Although estimated prices can generally be obtained for such securities, the level of market observable assumptions used is severely limited in the



valuation. Specifically, market assumptions regarding credit adjusted cash flows and liquidity influences on discount rates were difficult to observe at the individual security level. Because of the inactivity in the markets and the lack of observable valuation inputs, seven of the eight PLCMOs were valued by a third party specialist using a discounted cash flow approach and proprietary pricing model. The model uses inputs such as estimated prepayment speeds, losses, recoveries, default rates that are implied by the underlying performance of collateral in the structure or similar structures, and discount rates that are implied by market prices for similar securities and collateral structure types. The eighth security with an amortized cost of \$5.1 million was a new issue purchased in December 2011 for settlement in January 1012. Because the security was a new issue, no data on the underlying collateral was available to the third party valuation service. The Company used the purchase price as the fair value for this security at December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

**Loans**

For non-impaired loans and non-collateral dependent impaired loans, fair values are estimated by discounting the projected future cash flows using market discount rates that reflect the credit, liquidity, and interest rate risk inherent in the loan. Projected future cash flows are calculated based upon contractual maturity or call dates, projected repayments and prepayments of principal. The estimated fair value of collateral dependent impaired loans is based on the appraised loan value or other reasonable offers less estimated costs to sell. The Company does not record loans at fair value on a recurring basis. However from time to time, a loan is considered impaired and an allowance for credit losses is established. The specific reserves for collateral dependent impaired loans are based on the fair value of the collateral less estimated costs to sell. The fair value of the collateral is generally based on appraisals. In some cases, adjustments are made to the appraised values due to various factors including age of the appraisal, age of comparables included in the appraisal, and known changes in the market and in the collateral. When significant adjustments are based on unobservable inputs, the resulting fair value measurement is categorized as a Level 3 measurement. See also, Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies-Loan Impairment and Note 5- Loans.

**Loans Held For Sale**

Fair values of mortgage loans held for sale are based on commitments on hand from investors or prevailing market prices.

**Mortgage Servicing Rights**

The fair value of mortgage servicing rights is estimated using a discounted cash flow model that applies current estimated prepayments derived from the mortgage-backed securities market and utilizes a current market discount rate for observable credit spreads. The Bank does not record mortgage servicing rights at fair value on a recurring basis.

**Federal Home Loan Bank ( FHLB ) and Federal Reserve Bank ( FRB ) Stock**

Ownership in equity securities of FHLB of Pittsburgh and the FRB is restricted and there is no established market for their resale. The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

**Deposits**

The fair value of demand deposits, savings deposits, and certain money market deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of fixed-maturity certificates of deposit is estimated based on discounted cash flows using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

**Borrowed funds**

The Bank uses discounted cash flows using rates currently available for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities are used to estimate fair value.

**Commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit**

The fair value of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit are estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the counterparties. For fixed-rate loan commitments, fair value also considers the difference between current levels of interest rates and the committed rates. The fair value of off-balance- sheet commitments is insignificant and therefore not included in the table for non-recurring assets and liabilities.

Table of Contents**Assets Measured on a Recurring Basis**

The following tables detail the financial asset amounts that are carried at fair value and measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and indicate the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine the fair value:

(in thousands)	Fair value	Fair value measurements at December 31, 2011		
		Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
<b>Available-for-sale securities:</b>				
Obligations of U.S. government agencies	\$ 8,048	\$	\$ 8,048	\$
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	96,161		93,350	2,811
Government sponsored agency CMOs	8,468		8,468	
Private label CMOs	36,256			36,256
Residential mortgage-backed securities	31,393		31,393	
Pooled trust preferred Senior Class	1,604			1,604
Pooled trust preferred Mezzanine Class	2,197			2,197
Corporate debt securities	342		342	
Equity securities	1,006	1,006		
Total securities available-for-sale	\$ 185,475	\$ 1,006	\$ 141,601	\$ 42,868

(in thousands)	Fair value	Fair value measurements at December 31, 2010		
		Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
<b>Available-for-sale securities:</b>				
Obligations of U.S. government agencies	\$ 8,307	\$	\$ 8,307	\$
Obligations of political and state subdivisions	111,353		109,108	2,245
Government sponsored agency CMOs	77,816		77,816	
Residential mortgage-backed securities	49,120		49,120	
Pooled trust preferred Senior Class	1,422			1,422
Pooled trust preferred Mezzanine Class	1,647			1,647
Corporate debt securities	395		395	
Equity securities	1,012	1,012		
Total securities available-for-sale	\$ 251,072	\$ 1,012	\$ 244,746	\$ 5,314

The table below presents reconciliation and statement of operations classifications of gains and losses for all assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010:



Table of Contents**Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)**

(in thousands)	PreTSLs	State and Political Subdivisions	Private Label CMOs	Total
<b>Balance at December 31, 2009</b>	\$ 3,810	\$	\$	\$ 3,810
Accretion of discount	15			15
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):				
Included in earnings	(4,271)			(4,271)
Included in other comprehensive income	3,515			3,515
Transfers in and out of Level 3		2,245		2,245
<b>Balance at December 31, 2010</b>	\$ 3,069	\$ 2,245	\$	\$ 5,314
Payments received	(106)	(530)		(636)
Sales	(19)			(19)
Purchases			36,256	36,256
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized):				
Included in earnings	(798)			(798)
Included in other comprehensive income	1,655	(86)		1,569
Transfers in and out of Level 3		1,182		1,182
<b>Balance at December 31, 2011</b>	\$ 3,801	\$ 2,811	\$ 36,256	\$ 42,868

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company held in its securities available-for-sale portfolio two and one state and political subdivision obligations, respectively, that were downgraded by several nationally recognized credit rating agencies. The Company is no longer able to value these instruments based upon quoted market prices for similar instruments traded in active markets, quoted market prices for identical or similar instruments traded in markets that are not active or model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market or can be corroborated by market data. Therefore, the Company considers these instruments to be Level 3.

**Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis**

Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are summarized below:

Table of Contents

(in thousands)	Fair Value Measurements at December 31					
	December 31, 2011			December 31, 2010		
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level I)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level II)	Unobservable Inputs (Level III)	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level I)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level II)	Unobservable Inputs (Level III)
Collateral-dependent impaired loans (1)			\$ 12,555			\$ 26,336
Other real estate owned			5,212			4,923

(1) Represents carrying value and related write-downs for which adjustments are based on appraised value. Management makes adjustments to the appraised values as necessary to consider declines in real estate values since the time of the appraisal. Such adjustments are based on management's knowledge of the local real estate markets.

Collateral-dependent impaired loans are classified as Level 3 assets and the estimated fair value of the collateral is based on the appraised loan value or other reasonable offers less estimated costs to sell. When the measure of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, the impairment is recorded through a valuation allowance or is charged off. The amount shown is the balance of impaired loans, net of any charge-offs and the related allowance for loan losses.

OREO properties are recorded at fair value less the estimated cost to sell at the date of foreclosure. Subsequent to foreclosure, the balance might be written down further. It is the Company's policy to obtain certified external appraisals of real estate collateral underlying impaired loans, including OREO, and it estimates fair value using those appraisals. Other valuation sources may be used, including broker price opinions, letters of intent and executed sale agreements.

The Company discloses fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the Statement of Financial Condition, for which it is practicable to estimate that value. The following estimated fair value amounts have been determined by the Company using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. However, management judgment is required to interpret data and develop fair value estimates. Accordingly, the estimates below are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could realize in a current market exchange. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments are as follows:

(in thousands)	December 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
Financial assets				
Cash and short term investments	\$ 168,646	\$ 168,646	\$ 74,505	\$ 74,505
Securities	187,569	187,720	253,066	252,929
FHLB and FRB Stock	9,659	9,659	11,572	11,572
Loans, net	659,044	661,833	735,813	745,762

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Loans held for sale	94	94	3,557	3,557
Accrued interest receivable	2,552	2,552	3,119	3,119
Mortgage servicing rights	777	1,185	751	1,000
Financial liabilities				
Deposits	957,136	964,238	982,436	983,831
Borrowed funds	83,571	89,628	137,604	143,025
Accrued interest payable	4,301	4,301	2,763	2,763



Table of Contents**Note 19. EARNINGS PER SHARE**

For the Company, the numerator of both the basic and diluted earnings per common share is net income available to common shareholders (which is equal to net income less dividends on preferred stock and related discount accretion). The weighted average number of common shares outstanding used in the denominator for basic earnings per common share is increased to determine the denominator used for diluted earnings per common share by the effect of potentially dilutive common share equivalents utilizing the treasury stock method. For the Company, common share equivalents are outstanding stock options to purchase the Company's common shares.

The following table shows the calculation of both basic and diluted earnings per common share for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

(in thousands, except share data)	2011	2010	2009
Net loss	\$ (335)	\$ (31,720)	\$ (44,316)
Basic weighted-average number of common shares outstanding	16,439,508	16,354,245	16,169,777
Plus: Common share equivalents			
Diluted weighted-average number of common shares outstanding	16,439,508	16,354,245	16,169,777
Loss per common share:			
Basic	\$ (0.02)	\$ (1.94)	\$ (2.74)
Diluted	\$ (0.02)	\$ (1.94)	\$ (2.74)

Common share equivalents, in the table above, exclude stock options with exercise prices that exceed the average market price of the Company's common shares during the periods presented. Inclusion of these stock options would be anti-dilutive to the diluted earnings per common share calculation. Antidilutive stock options equaled 188,193 shares, 222,616 shares, and 366,248 shares for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Earnings per Common Share: Basic earnings per common share is the net income (loss) divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. The denominator consisted of 16,439,508 shares, 16,354,245 shares, and 16,169,777 shares in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Diluted earnings per share includes the dilutive effect of additional potential common shares for stock options outstanding. The denominator consisted of 16,439,508 shares, 16,354,245 shares, and 16,169,777 shares in 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

**Note 20. CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION PARENT COMPANY ONLY**

Condensed parent company only financial information is as follows:



Table of Contents**Condensed Balance Sheets as of December 31,**

(in thousands)	2011		2010	
<b>Assets</b>				
Cash	\$	353	\$	3,425
Investment in statutory trust		351		347
Investment in subsidiary (equity method)		77,842		64,414
Total assets	\$	78,546	\$	68,186
<b>Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</b>				
Junior subordinated debentures	\$	10,310	\$	10,310
Subordinated debentures		25,000		25,000
Other liabilities		3,311		821
Shareholders' equity		39,925		32,055
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$	78,546	\$	68,186

**Condensed Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31,**

(in thousands)	2011		2010		2009	
<b>Income</b>						
Dividends from Subsidiary	\$		\$		\$	1,200
Equity in Undistributed Income of Subsidiary		2,248		(29,076)		(44,862)
Equity In Trust		4		6		
Total income/(loss)		2,252		(29,070)		(43,662)
<b>Expenses</b>						
Net income/(loss)	\$	(335)	\$	(31,720)	\$	(44,316)

**Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31,**

(in thousands)	2011		2010		2009	
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities:</b>						
Net loss	\$	(335)	\$	(31,720)	\$	(44,316)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:						
Equity in undistributed income of subsidiary		(2,248)		29,076		44,870
Equity in trust		(4)		(6)		(5)
Increase in other liabilities		2,486		628		177
Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Operating Activities		(101)	\$	(2,022)	\$	726
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities:</b>						
Investment in capital of subsidiary		(3,000)	\$	(1,520)	\$	(18,480)
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities		(3,000)	\$	(1,520)	\$	(18,480)
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities:</b>						
Increase in borrowed funds			\$	1,900	\$	23,100
Cash dividends						(2,738)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net of stock issuance costs		29		528		1,743
Net Cash Provided by Investing Activities		29	\$	2,428	\$	22,105

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

(Decrease) Increase in cash		(3,072)		(1,114)	\$	4,351
Cash at Beginning of Year		3,425		4,539		188
Cash at End of Year	\$	353	\$	3,425	\$	4,539

Table of Contents**Note 21. SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)**

(in thousands, except share data) 2011	Quarter Ending			
	March 31,	June 30,	September 30,	December 31,
Interest income	\$ 11,508	\$ 11,283	\$ 10,483	\$ 9,662
Interest expense	4,128	3,874	3,059	2,806
Net interest income	7,380	7,409	7,424	6,856
Provision for loan and lease losses	1,744	765	(462)	(1,524)
Net interest income after provision for loan and lease losses	5,636	6,644	7,886	8,380
Non-interest income	3,982	3,457	2,340	3,170
Non-interest expense	10,144	9,912	10,660	11,114
(Loss) income before income tax expense	(526)	189	(434)	436
Provision for income taxes				
Net (loss) income	\$ (526)	\$ 189	\$ (434)	\$ 436
(Loss) earnings per share:				
Basic	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.03
Diluted	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.03

(in thousands, except share data) 2010	Quarter Ending			
	March 31,	June 30,	September 30,	December 31
Interest income	\$ 15,159	\$ 14,389	\$ 13,562	\$ 12,361
Interest expense	6,072	5,633	5,364	4,799
Net interest income	9,087	8,756	8,198	7,562
Provision for loan and lease losses	5,108	4,574	7,311	8,048
Net interest income (loss) after provision for loan and lease losses	3,979	4,182	887	(486)
Non-interest income (loss)	2,581	(872)	(2,177)	1,946
Non-interest expense	7,385	8,505	10,539	15,331
Loss before income tax expense	(825)	(5,195)	(11,829)	(13,871)
Provision for income taxes				
Net loss	\$ (825)	\$ (5,195)	\$ (11,829)	\$ (13,871)
Loss per share:				
Basic	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.32)	\$ (0.72)	\$ (0.85)
Diluted	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.32)	\$ (0.72)	\$ (0.85)

**Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure**

There were no changes in or disagreements with accountants during 2011.

On October 27, 2010, the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company dismissed Demetrius & Company, L.L.C. ( Demetrius ), as the Company's independent public accountant.

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

The reports of Demetrius on the consolidated financial statements of the Company as of and for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, contained no adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion and were not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principles. During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, and in connection with the audit of the Company's financial statements for such periods, and for the period from January 1, 2010 to October 27, 2010, there were no disagreements between the Company and Demetrius on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, which, if not resolved to the satisfaction of Demetrius, would have caused Demetrius to make reference to such matter in connection with its audit reports on the Company's financial statements.

During the two fiscal year period ended December 31, 2009, and from January 1, 2010 to October 27, 2010, there were no reportable events as such term is used in Item 304(a)(v) of Regulation S-K.

Table of Contents

The change in independent public accountants was approved by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. The Company requested that Demetrius furnish it with a letter addressed to the SEC stating whether or not Demetrius agrees with the above statements. A copy of the letter was attached as Exhibit 16 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 28, 2010.

On December 15, 2010, the Company engaged McGladrey LLP ( McGladrey ) as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm to audit its financial statements. The engagement of McGladrey was approved by the Company's Audit Committee.

During the fiscal years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, and in the interim period from January 1, 2010 through December 15, 2010, there were no consultations between the Company, or any person acting on behalf of the Company, and McGladrey regarding: (1) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed; or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on the Company's financial statements, and neither a written report was provided to the Company nor oral advice was provided that McGladrey concluded was an important factor considered by the Company in reaching a decision as to any such accounting, auditing, or financial reporting issue; or (2) any matter that was either the subject of a disagreement, as that term is used in Item 304(a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K or a reportable event, as that term is used in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K.

**Item 9A. Controls and Procedures**

The Company's management has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as of December 31, 2011. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are only being made in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements. Due to inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting is not intended to provide absolute assurance that a misstatement of our financial statements would be prevented or detected.

Based on that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded, as a result of the material weakness described in Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting below, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of such date. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company's annual or interim consolidated financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

The Company continually seeks to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of its internal control over financial reporting, resulting in frequent process refinement. Except as described in Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, there have been no changes to the Company's internal control over financial reporting during 2011 and 2012 to date that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

As a result of a provision of the Dodd-Frank Act, which, among other things, permanently exempted non-accelerated filers such as the Company, from complying with the requirements of Section 404(b) of Sarbanes-Oxley, which requires an issuer to include an attestation report from an issuer's independent registered public accounting firm on the issuer's control over financial reporting, this Annual Report on Form 10-K does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding the Company's internal control over financial reporting.



Table of Contents

**Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for First National Community Bancorp, Inc. (the Company). Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are only being made in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Any control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. The design of a control system inherently has limitations and the benefits of controls must be weighed against their costs. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. Therefore, no assessment of a cost-effective system of internal controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, will be detected.

As of December 31, 2011, management of the Company conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (the Framework) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation under the criteria in the Framework, management identified a material weakness in its financial close process that is described below. Consequently, management has determined that internal control over financial reporting was not effective at December 31, 2011.

**Financial Close Process**

During the process of closing the financial records and conducting the audit for the year ended December 31, 2011, management detected and corrected errors with respect to the accounting for OREO property taxes and the evaluation of subsequent events related to OREO valuation. Corrections for these errors resulted in adjustments to increase expenses by approximately \$1.2 million. As a result of these errors, management concluded that the Company did not maintain effective controls over the financial close process.

**Remediation Efforts in 2012**

To remediate the material weakness in the financial close process, the Company and its management have implemented review controls to monitor the accruals of OREO expenses and are performing additional reviews of the Company's subsequent event processes and procedures and

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

expect to implement further improvements related to controls over evaluation of subsequent events and other identified errors. Management believes that these changes will contribute significantly to the remediation of the material weakness in the financial close process that was in existence as of December 31, 2011. Additional changes will be implemented as determined necessary.

Although the Company's remediation efforts are well underway and are expected to be completed in the near future, the Company's material weakness will not be considered remediated until new internal controls are operational for a period of time and are tested, and management concludes that these controls are operating effectively. The Company is not aware of any transactions that were improperly undertaken as a result of the material weakness noted above and therefore does not believe that the material weakness had any material impact on the Company's financial statements.

/s/ Steven R. Tokach  
Steven R. Tokach  
President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Edward J. Lipkus III  
Edward J. Lipkus III  
Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents

**Item 9B. Other Information**

None.

**PART III**

**Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.**

**Director Information**

Each person serving on our Board of Directors must possess the individual qualities of competence, collegiality, integrity, accountability and high performance standards. Directors are expected to devote the time and effort necessary to be productive members of the Board, including learning the Company's business and doing all that is necessary to attend and actively participate in meetings. Information about each director of the Company, including such person's specific experience, qualifications and skills, can be found in the biographical information below.

**Michael J. Cestone, Jr.** (Director of the Company since 1998)

Mr. Cestone, 80, has been CEO of Mastriani Realty Co., a company that he also owns, since 1959. Mr. Cestone has served as the Secretary of the Board of Directors of the Company since 1998, as Secretary of the Board of Directors of the Bank since 1971, and as a director of the Bank since 1969. He has intimate knowledge of the Company through his years of experience with the Company. Mr. Cestone's experience in the land development and the building industry, involvement in the community and service as a director of the Bank for over 42 years qualifies him to serve as a director of the Company. Mr. Cestone is a Class A director whose term expires at the 2011 annual meeting of shareholders, which meeting has not yet been held.

**Joseph Coccia** (Director of the Company since 1998)

Mr. Coccia, 58, is President of Coccia Ford, Inc. (doing business as Coccia Ford Lincoln Mercury), a car dealership in Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania, and President of Eastern Auto Exchange, Inc. Mr. Coccia's strong business background and knowledge of owning and operating a large local business, his broad community involvement, and his service as a director of the Company and Bank for over 13 years qualifies him to serve as a director of the Company. Mr. Coccia is a Class C director whose term expires in 2013.

**Dominick L. DeNaples** (Director of the Company since 1998)

Mr. Dominick L. DeNaples, 75, the Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company and Bank since 2010, is President of Rail Realty Corp., Vice President of DeNaples Auto Parts Inc., and Vice President of Keystone Landfill, Inc., each of which he is also co-owner with his brother Louis A. DeNaples, has been a director of the Bank since 1987, and previously served as Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company from December 2009 until he was elected Chairman in May 2010. Mr. DeNaples' extensive business background, years of community and charitable involvement, including serving on the Boards of St. Joseph's Foundation and Center, Red Cross, Lackawanna College, Geisinger, and serving as President of the Boy Scouts of America Northeast Pennsylvania Council, and service as a director of the Company and Bank for over 24 years qualifies him to serve as a director of the Company. Mr. DeNaples is the brother of director Louis A. DeNaples and the uncle of director Louis A. DeNaples, Jr. Mr. DeNaples is a Class C director whose term expires in 2013.

**Louis A. DeNaples, Jr. (Director of the Company since 2008)**

Dr. DeNaples, Jr., 45, is a licensed physician and Medical Director of the Community Medical Center Emergency Department in Scranton, Pennsylvania and also has served as a director on the Community Medical Center's Board since 2005. He has served as director of the Company and the Bank since 2008. Dr. DeNaples has served as Director and Vice Chairman for The Community's Bank of Bridgeport Connecticut since 2001. Dr. DeNaples' understanding of the banking industry, service as a bank director for more than nine years and considerable community and charitable involvement qualifies him to serve as a director of the Company. Dr. DeNaples is the son of director Louis A. DeNaples and the nephew of director Dominick L. DeNaples. Dr. DeNaples is a Class B director whose term expires at the 2012 annual meeting of shareholders.

**Joseph J. Gentile (Director of the Company since 1998)**

Mr. Gentile, 82, retired in 2010 as President of Dunmore Oil Company, Inc., a fuel distributor, after 30 years with that company. He also has been a director of Five Star Equipment, Inc., an industrial equipment dealer, since 1989. Mr. Gentile has served on the Board of Directors of the Bank since 1989. Mr. Gentile's strong business background, understanding of the local business and social community, community and charitable involvement and service as a director of the Bank for over 21 years qualifies him to serve as a director of the Company. Mr. Gentile is a Class A director whose term expires at the 2011 annual meeting of shareholders, which meeting has not yet been held.

Table of Contents

**Thomas J. Melone** (Director of the Company since 2011)

Thomas J. Melone, 54, was appointed as a Director of the Company in May 2011. Mr. Melone is a Partner at the Albert B. Melone Company where he has worked as a certified public accountant since 1984. Mr. Melone is also an owner of Pro-Data Processing, Inc. Mr. Melone brings extensive accounting experience and expertise with respect to reviewing financial statements and materials. Mr. Melone is a graduate of King's College. Mr. Melone's business and accounting experience qualify him to serve as a director of the Company. Mr. Melone is a Class B director whose term expires at the 2012 annual meeting of shareholders.

**John P. Moses** (Director of the Company since 1999)

Mr. Moses, 66, has served as the Chairman of BlueCross of Northeastern Pennsylvania since 1997, and as an attorney in private practice since 1971, including as Of Counsel at the law firm of Cozen & O'Connor since 2009. Mr. Moses has been a director of the Company and the Bank since 1999. During his career, he also has served as chair of the Northeast Pennsylvania Heart Association and as Chairman and President of the Board of Governors of St. Jude Children's Research Hospital (St. Jude), and as Chief Executive Officer for ALSAC, the fundraising arm of St. Jude. Mr. Moses also has had a distinguished career in public service, having served as a member of state commissions and as counsel to various state and local judicial, executive, legislative and administrative offices and non-profits. He has received numerous awards for his contributions in public service, business, the law and charitable causes, most recently in 2009 as recipient of the Hope Award from the American Cancer Society. He also serves on the boards of Villanova University School of Law, Wilkes Law School Initiative and The Commonwealth Medical College. Admitted to practice law in Pennsylvania and before the U.S. Supreme Court, he is a graduate of the Villanova University School of Law. Mr. Moses' extensive business and legal background, community and charitable involvement and service as a director of the Company and Bank for over 12 years qualifies him to serve as a director of the Company. Mr. Moses is a Class C director whose term expires in 2013.

**Steven R. Tokach** (Director of the Company since 2011)

Steven R. Tokach, 65, has served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and the Bank since December 5, 2011. Mr. Tokach also serves as a director of the Company and the Bank. Previously, Mr. Tokach was retired from banking since 2006. Prior to that, he was Senior Vice President and Chief Credit Administrator for Community Bank, N.A., a multi-billion dollar bank with locations in upstate New York and Northeastern Pennsylvania, from 2004 to 2006. Mr. Tokach served as Regional President of First Liberty Bank & Trust, N.A., the Pennsylvania bank division of Community Bank, N.A, from 2001 to 2004. He served as President of First Liberty Bank in Jermyn, Pennsylvania from 1996 to 2001 and as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer from 1991 to 1996. Prior to that, Mr. Tokach worked for three other banks located in Pennsylvania, serving as Vice President of First Eastern Bank from 1989 to 1991, as Vice President of Guaranty Bank, N.A. from 1986 to 1989 and as Vice President of The First National Bank of Jermyn from 1982 to 1986. Prior to his banking career, Mr. Tokach was a Bank Examiner with the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the OCC) from 1968 to 1982, supervising or assisting in the examination of national banks. Mr. Tokach is a graduate of King's College in Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania, where he earned his bachelor's degree in accounting. He is a former member of the boards of directors of the Scranton Chamber of Commerce and the United One Credit Bureau. Mr. Tokach's extensive banking experience, knowledge of bank regulatory matters from his time with the OCC and accounting and financial background qualify him to serve as a director of the Company. Mr. Tokach is a Class B director whose term expires in 2012.

***Directors during 2011 Who Are Not Currently Directors***

Mr. Louis A. DeNaples, 71, has been a director of the Bank from 1972 and had served as Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company from 1998 until he took a leave of absence from involvement with the Company and the Bank in February 2008. Shortly thereafter, the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia (the FRB ) and the OCC suspended Mr. DeNaples from office and prohibited him from any participation in the affairs of the Company or the Bank. Mr. DeNaples resigned as a director of the Company, effective May 12, 2012, however he remains a director of the Bank subject to suspension. Though Mr. DeNaples was listed as a director of the Company and the Bank, he did not actively serve as a director during 2011.

**Executive Officers Who Are Not Directors**

Information regarding persons who are executive officers of the Company or the Bank and who are not directors of the Company is set forth below. Except as otherwise indicated, the occupation listed has been such person's principal occupation for at least the last five years.

**James M. Bone, Jr.**

Mr. Bone, 50, is Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer of the Bank, a position he has held since May 2010. Mr. Bone has been an employee of the Bank since 1986, serving as First Senior Vice President and Administrative Services Division Manager from July 2000 to April 2010; as Senior Vice President and Branch Administrator from January 1995 to June 2000; Vice President and Community Office Manager/Commercial Loan Officer from May 1992 to December 1994; Vice President and Loan Administration/Compliance Division Manager from January 1989 to May 1992; and Internal Auditor from July 1986 to December 1988. Mr. Bone is a licensed Certified Public Accountant.

Table of Contents

**Gerard A. Champi**

Mr. Champi, 51, served as Interim President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and the Bank and as a director of the Bank from March 2010 until February 2011. Since that time, he has served as Chief Operating Officer of the Bank. Mr. Champi has been with the Bank since 1991 and has served in various leadership roles in the Retail and Commercial Sales Divisions. He also currently serves on the Boards of the Greater Pittston Chamber of Commerce and the Lackawanna branch of the Blind Association. Mr. Champi also serves as member of the Advisory Board of Penn State Worthington Scranton, a campus of the Pennsylvania State University.

**Joseph J. Earyes**

Mr. Earyes, 56, is First Senior Vice President and Retail Banking Officer of the Bank, a position he has held since February 2011. Prior to holding that position, he served as Senior Vice President and Retail Banking Officer of the Bank since December 2008. Mr. Earyes, who has FINRA Series 7 and 63 licenses, also is a financial services representative of Invest Financial Corporation through that entity's third party brokerage arrangement with the Bank. Prior to joining the Bank, Mr. Earyes was Chief Financial Officer of State Petroleum Distributors LLC, a wholesale fuel distributor from February 2006 to August 2008. Mr. Earyes has previous banking experience from his time as Executive Vice President and Chief Executive Officer of Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bancorp, Inc. of Dunmore, Pennsylvania, the holding company for The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank, from April 2001 to April 2004. Mr. Earyes is a licensed Certified Public Accountant and worked as a Partner in the Earyes/Alu LLP CPA firm that he co-owned from July 2004 to January 2006.

**David D. Keim**

Mr. Keim, 63, is the Chief Credit Officer of the Bank. Mr. Keim was the Chief Risk Officer of Heartland Financial USA, Inc., a holding company for multiple banks, from February 2009 until he joined the Bank in August 2010. From 1986 until October 2008, he held various positions at Susquehanna Bancshares, Inc. and Susquehanna Bank PA of Lititz, Pennsylvania, including both Chief Credit Officer and Chief Risk Officer.

**Lisa L. Kinney**

Ms. Kinney, 43, is Vice President and Retail Lending Officer & Consumer Lending Manager of the Bank, a position she has held since September 2008. Ms. Kinney has been an employee of the Bank since 1994, with her previous roles including Vice President and Indirect Lending Manager from January 2007 to August 2008; Vice President and Indirect Lending Officer from December 2005 to December 2006; and Assistant Cashier and Indirect Lending Officer from May 1998 to November 2005.

**Sandra E. Laughlin**

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Sandra E. Laughlin, 52, is the Chief Risk Officer and Executive Vice President of the Bank since January 2011. Prior to joining the Company, Ms. Laughlin served as the Partner In-Charge of Crowe Horwath LLP's Financial Institution practice's Risk Consulting group for the Northeast, specializing in risk management outsourcing and consulting. In that capacity Ms. Laughlin oversaw Corporate Governance, Internal Audit, Loan Review, Compliance, Trust and Regulatory Remediation engagements. Prior to joining Crowe Horwath LLP, a large public accounting and consulting firm, in 2000, Ms. Laughlin served as a Commissioned Bank Examiner for the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland.

### **Edward J. Lipkus, III**

Mr. Lipkus, 48, is the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company and the Bank. On May 17, 2012, the Company announced that Mr. Lipkus gave notice of his intention to resign from his position, though he also agreed to remain in his position until the Company completes certain regulatory filings, including the filing of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. Prior to joining the Company as CFO in September 2010, Mr. Lipkus served as Chief Executive Officer of the YMCA of Indiana County from September 2009 until January 2010. In addition, Mr. Lipkus served as Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President of First Commonwealth Financial Corporation (FCF), the holding company for First Commonwealth Bank headquartered in Indiana, Pennsylvania, from March 2007 until August 2009 and Senior Vice President, Controller and Principal Accounting Officer of FCF from August 2006 until February 2007. Prior to joining FCF, Mr. Lipkus served as First Vice President, Controller and Principal Accounting Officer for Valley National Bancorp (VNB), a bank holding company located in Wayne, New Jersey, from March 2003 until July 2006, and from July 2002 until March 2003 as Assistant Controller of VNB. A licensed CPA in Pennsylvania and New Jersey, he also formerly served as an instructor for the American Institute of Banking. Mr. Lipkus has a Master's of Business Administration from Rutgers University of New Jersey.

### **Robert J. Mancuso**

Mr. Mancuso, 55, is First Senior Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer of the Bank, a position he has held since June 2010. Mr. Mancuso has been an employee of the Bank since 1980, previously serving in positions including First Senior Vice President - Facilities and Human Resources Division Manager from January 2008 to May 2010 and Senior Vice President - Facilities and Human Resources Division Manager from January 2000 to December 2007. Mr. Mancuso has his undergraduate degree and a Master's of Business Administration, both in Accounting, from the University of Scranton and is a graduate of the BAI Graduate School for Bank Administration held at the University of Wisconsin. He has also served as an adjunct instructor at the University of Scranton teaching courses in Advanced Accounting and Managerial Accounting.



Table of Contents

**Donald H. Ryan**

Mr. Ryan, 60, is the Human Resources Officer and Senior Vice President of the Bank since November 2011. Before joining the Company, Mr. Ryan was the Operations Director at Johnson College with responsibility for human resources, information technology and facilities. From 1997 to 2009, he was President and principal of The Ryan Group, a Northeastern Pennsylvania based human resources consulting firm. Prior thereto, Mr. Ryan held senior human resources positions at National Westminster Bancorp, U.S. Trust Company of New York and The Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

*Executive Officers during 2011 Who Are Not Currently Executive Officers*

Thomas Tulaney served as Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Lending Officer of the Bank from May 2010 until his resignation in March 2011.

**Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance**

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company's officers and directors, and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of the Company's equity securities ( 10% Shareholders ) to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC. Officers, directors and 10% Shareholders are required to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

Based solely on the Company's review of the copies of such forms received and a written representation from certain reporting persons that no Forms 5 were required for those persons, the Company believes that, during the year ended December 31, 2011, all filing requirements applicable to its officers, directors and 10% Shareholders under Section 16(a) were complied with on a timely basis.

**Corporate Governance**

*General*

The Board has an Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Corporate Governance Committee, which also makes nominating decisions. The charters of these committees have been approved by the Board and are available on our corporate website at ([www.fnbc.com/InvestorRelations/](http://www.fnbc.com/InvestorRelations/)).

*Submission of Shareholder Proposals and Director Nominations*

The Company has not yet held its 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and presently intends to hold an annual meeting in 2012. The Company intends to promptly notify shareholders when a date is set for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders. In order for a shareholder proposal or director nomination to be considered for inclusion in the Company's proxy statement for the Annual Meeting, the written proposal must be received a reasonable time before the Company begins to print and send its proxy materials.

In addition, the advance notice provisions in the Company's Amended and Restated Bylaws, which were adopted on April 5, 2012, require that the following additional information must be provided to the Company by a shareholder submitting a shareholder proposal: (i) the shareholder's name and address; (ii) a representation that the shareholder is a holder of record of shares of the Company and intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to make the proposal; (iii) the number of and class of shares owned by the shareholder and any Shareholder Associated Person (as defined in the Bylaws), which information must be supplemented as of the record date; (iv) a description of any agreement, arrangement or understandings between the shareholder or any other person or persons, pursuant to which the business is to be proposed, which information must be supplemented as of the record date; (v) information regarding the shareholder's, Shareholder Associated Person's or certain affiliated partnership's ownership of derivative instruments (such as options, warrants, convertible security, etc.) or any other opportunity of the shareholder or Shareholder Associated Person to profit from a change in the value of shares of the Company and the existence of any hedging transactions, which information must be supplemented as of the record date; and (vi) any other information regarding the proposal that would be required under the SEC's proxy rules and regulations.

#### *Director Independence*

Currently, the Board of Directors has eight members. At the end of 2011, the Board had nine members, including Louis A. DeNaples, who resigned effective May 12, 2012. The Company evaluates the independence of directors under the SEC and NASDAQ stock market's standards for independence. The NASDAQ standards require the Board of Directors to be comprised of a majority of independent directors. The NASDAQ standards also require that, except for exceptional and limited circumstances, the Board of Directors maintain an audit committee comprised only of independent directors and that compensation and nomination decisions must be made only by independent directors.

Table of Contents

Independence is reviewed at least annually to determine whether all existing and potential committee members are independent. For 2011, the Board of Directors determined that Louis A. DeNaples, Jr., Joseph J. Gentile and John P. Moses and Thomas J. Melone met the standards for independence. Following an amendment to the Company's Bylaws in April 2012, the Board of Directors determined that Dominick L. DeNaples also meets the standards for independence.

In February 2011, the Board adopted charters for its Compensation Committee and for its Corporate Governance Committee, which also makes nomination decisions. During 2011, the Board appointed Michael J. Cestone, Jr., Joseph Coccia, Dominick L. DeNaples, Louis A. DeNaples, Jr., Joseph J. Gentile, Thomas J. Melone, John P. Moses and Steven R. Tokach to serve on both committees. Because the Corporate Governance Committee and the Compensation Committee were comprised of both independent and non-independent directors, neither committee met the NASDAQ standards for independence in 2011. Currently, the Corporate Governance Committee and Compensation Committees are comprised of Louis A. DeNaples, Jr., Joseph J. Gentile, Thomas J. Melone and John P. Moses, all of whom were determined to meet the standards for independence.

In making its independence determinations, the Board considered that in the ordinary course of business the Company and the Bank may provide commercial banking and other services to some of the independent directors and to business organizations and to individuals associated with them. The Board also considered that in the ordinary course of business some business organizations with which an independent director is associated may provide products and services to the Company and the Bank. The Board has determined that, based on the information available to the Board, none of these relationships were material.

***Audit Committee***

The Board of Directors has a standing Audit Committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act, which is currently comprised of Thomas J. Melone, John P. Moses and Joseph J. Gentile. In 2011, the Audit Committee was comprised of Thomas J. Melone, John P. Moses, Joseph J. Gentile, and, until his resignation from the Audit Committee in March 2011, Joseph Coccia. The Board of Directors determined, after the departure of Joseph Coccia from the Audit Committee, that each member of the Audit Committee was independent, as that term is defined by the SEC and in the NASDAQ listing standards related to audit committees. The Audit Committee met ten times in 2011. The Company adopted an amended and restated Audit Committee charter in 2011. The current Audit Committee charter is attached as an exhibit to this annual report and is available on the Company's website at [www.fnbc.com/InvestorRelations/](http://www.fnbc.com/InvestorRelations/) under the heading Audit Committee Charter. The principal duties of the Audit Committee, as set forth in its amended charter, include reviewing significant audit and accounting principles, policies and practices, reviewing performance of internal auditing procedures, reviewing reports of examination received from regulatory authorities, and recommending, annually, to the Board of Directors the engagement of an independent certified public accountant.

Currently, the Company has identified Thomas J. Melone and John P. Moses as the Audit Committee financial experts. Mr. Moses qualifies as the financial expert based on his knowledge of financial statements, internal controls, and Audit Committee functions resulting from his prior role as Chief Executive Officer of ALSAC/St. Jude Children's Research Hospital and his current position as Chairman of BlueCross of Northeastern Pennsylvania. Mr. Melone qualifies as a financial expert based on his extensive accounting experience as a certified public accountant and as a partner of the Albert B. Melone Company.

***Code of Business Conduct and Ethics***

The Company has had a written code of conduct for a number of years. Both our current Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (the Code ), which was adopted in October 2011, and the code of conduct and ethics that was in effect during 2010 and through October 2011 (the 2010 Code ) apply to the Company s directors and employees, including our President, Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer. The Code includes guidelines relating to compliance with laws, the ethical handling of actual or potential conflicts of interest, the use of corporate opportunities, protection and use of the Company s confidential information, accepting gifts and business courtesies, accurate financial and regulatory reporting, and procedures for promoting compliance with, and reporting violations of, the Code. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is available on the Company s website at [www.fnbc.com/InvestorRelations/](http://www.fnbc.com/InvestorRelations/) under the heading Code of Ethics. The Company intends to post any amendments to its Code of Conduct and Ethics on its website and also to disclose any waivers (to the extent applicable to the Company s President, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer or Principal Accounting Officer) on a Form 8-K within the prescribed time period.

**Item 11. Executive Compensation.**

**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

**Executive Officer Compensation**

Table of Contents

**Company Objectives**

The Company is committed to responsible compensation practices and strives to balance sound risk management with the need to attract, hire, motivate and retain executive team members who will maximize successful performance. FNCB intends to provide executives with a balanced mix of fixed and variable pay. The purpose of all incentive plans is to motivate, reward and reinforce performance and achievement of team and/or individual goals in support of FNCB's strategic objectives for growth and profitability. They provide the opportunity for reward for meeting and exceeding established financial goals as well as recognition of individual achievements.

Under the heading Executive Compensation Tables, you will find a series of tables containing specific information about the compensation earned or paid in 2011 to Mr. Steven R. Tokach, the current President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, Mr. Gerard A. Champi, the current Chief Operating Officer of the Bank and former interim Chief Executive Officer of the Company, Mr. Edward J. Lipkus, the Chief Financial Officer of the Company, and James M. Bone, Jr., Robert J. Mancuso and Sandra E. Laughlin, the three most highly compensated executive officers of the Company (including officers of the Bank) who received total compensation of \$100,000 or more during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, referred to as our named executive officers or named executives.

The overall executive compensation philosophy and strategy and primary objective of the Board of Directors with respect to executive compensation at FNCB is to provide a total compensation package that meets a number of interrelated goals. FNCB's compensation package is designed to:

1. Be balanced and competitive in the external market in a manner consistent with the Company's size and industry
2. Correlate with the Company's strategic business plan
3. Align the interests of executives with those of shareholders
4. Drive superior performance and reward executives for achievement
5. Enable FNCB to attract, motivate, develop and retain key executives whose experience, expertise and abilities will maximize the company's performance for the benefit of its shareholders without encouraging undue risk-taking that could materially threaten the safety and soundness of the Company
6. Achieve an appropriate mix of fixed and variable compensation
7. Equally support annual and long-term financial and strategic performance objectives as well as the stability of the organization
8. Ensure compliance with applicable regulations
9. Deliver executive compensation in a manner that is prudent and cost-effective
10. Support the mission, vision and values of the Company

**Design of Compensation Program**

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

In 2011, compensation decisions were made by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors' fundamental policy is to provide our executive officers with competitive compensation for their position and to provide opportunities based upon their contribution to the Company's development and financial success and their personal performance in accordance with the overall design of the Company's compensation package.

### *The Role of Consultants*

In 2011, the Company relied on the report (the 2010 Report) prepared in 2010 by two consulting firms, Millward Consulting and Mosteller & Associates. The 2010 Report included: (1) a market review of relevant benchmark positions against compensation levels of other similar financial institutions; (2) detailed analysis of the placement of positions from both an internal and external perspective; and (3) the recommendation of new and market competitive salary structures for both exempt and nonexempt positions, as well as policies and procedures to support the recommended structure.

The Company has engaged Mosteller & Associates, a Pennsylvania-based human resources consulting firm, to prepare a new personnel/salary administration study and assessment to be used in executive compensation decisions for the 2012 and 2013 fiscal years.

The results of the study reflected in the 2010 Report provided the Board and management a solid base of relevant information upon which to base appropriate compensation-related decisions. The Board, in turn, approved adoption of a new compensation program

Table of Contents

including market competitive structures for both exempt and nonexempt positions and new compensation policies and procedures to support the new structures. Implementation also included, where appropriate, adjustment of actual employee compensation levels to provide both internal equity as well as appropriate alignment against the external market. Subsequent to the Millward engagement for the 2010 Report, the Company continues to engage Mosteller & Associates ( Mosteller ) to provide consulting services on an ongoing basis, advising with regard to executive and broad-based compensation as well as a variety of human resources topics. During 2010, consulting services included variable pay plan design and risk assessment, organizational structure review and Human Resources policy design and implementation. The Board chose Mosteller because of its expertise in the field of Human Resources, the industry of financial services and the geographic footprint of the Bank and the base of understanding of the organization that was formed during the initial Millward project. However, the Company did not request Mosteller to update the 2010 report with respect to 2011.

***The Role of Management***

Input from senior management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Human Resources Officer, along with our external consultants was critical in order to permit the Board and Compensation Committee make informed and appropriate decisions. Input from senior management may include:

- Performance summaries for management team members and recommendations regarding compensation
  
- Results from executive compensation studies and related recommendations and analysis
  
- Data and recommendations for changes necessary to ensure continued market competitive nature of FNCB's overall compensation package and/or the individual components of executive compensation

Each member of senior management excuses himself or herself from all Board and Compensation Committee discussions of that individual's compensation level.

***Benchmarking and Peer Group***

During 2010, the Company utilized a peer group based on industry, asset size, organizational structure, performance levels and geographic location. Below is a listing of the peer group used by the Company to benchmark its executive compensation:

ACNB Corp.  
Alliance Financial Corp.  
AmeriServe Financial, Inc.

Citizens & Northern Corp.  
Dimeco, Inc.  
Fidelity D&D Bancorp, Inc.

Parkvale Financial Corp.  
Republic First Bancorp, Inc.  
Tompkins Financial Corporate

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Bryn Mawr Bank Corp.  
CNB Financial Corp.  
Canandaigua National Corp.

First United Corporate  
Norwood Financial Corp.  
Orrstown Financial Services Inc.

Univest Corp of Pennsylvania  
VIST Financial Corp.

This peer group reflects financial organizations from the Mid-Atlantic states with asset size ranging from approximately \$472 million to almost \$3 billion as of December 31, 2008. We believe these companies are an appropriate group against which to benchmark our compensation. Accordingly, no changes were made to the group used in 2011 nor to the methodologies used by the Company in determining executive compensation.

Broader market data was also collected from four published survey sources in order to provide comparative market data, also from financial organizations of similar asset size and geographic location, for a broader range of salary data with which to compare executive compensation pay levels.

Specific analysis and comment was provided for each of the executive pay components (base, bonus, long-term incentive, change in pension, and all other) as well as total compensation, upon which the Board was able to base executive compensation decisions throughout the year.

### *Material Differences in Named Executive Officers Compensation*

The overall factors that come into consideration when making executive compensation decisions include the following:

- Compensation philosophy and strategy
- Individual performance relative to goals
- Corporate performance
- Budgetary constraints



Table of Contents

- Regulatory requirements
- Competitive market data received from external compensation consultant including best practices in the marketplace
- Ability to retain and attract executive employees
- External economic and industry environment
- Risk assessment considerations

The named executive officers receive base salaries commensurate with their positions and responsibilities. In determining the appropriate types and amounts of compensation for the President and Chief Executive Officer each year, the Board of Directors evaluates both corporate and individual performance. The corporate factors include the financial performance of the Company, including return on stockholders' equity, return on assets, asset quality and trends in the foregoing measures, the performance of the Company's stock price, comparative results achieved by the Company's peer group institutions, and progress in realizing the Company's long-term business plan. The individual factors include initiation and implementation of successful business strategies, formation of an effective management team and various personal qualities, including leadership. In determining the appropriate types and amounts of compensation for the named executive officers, other than the President and Chief Executive Officer, the Board of Directors takes into consideration the officer's experience, expected personal performance and salary levels for comparable positions.

Compensation Components

The compensation package for each named executive officer is comprised primarily of base salary, short-term incentives in the form of cash bonuses and long-term stock-based incentive awards. The Company also offers executives who meet the eligibility requirements the opportunity to participate in a nonqualified deferred compensation plan, in addition to a 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan and health and welfare benefits available to all of the Bank's employees.

*Base Salary*

The Bank has established salary ranges that are competitive with the banking industry in our local region. Each job classification has been evaluated based upon the required skills, knowledge, responsibility and experience needed. Salary increases are based upon merit, performance, quality of work, and other job related factors. Salary increases are not guaranteed and a satisfactory performance review may not warrant an increase. The base salary for each named executive officer is determined based upon experience, personal performance, salary levels in effect for comparable positions both in and outside of the banking industry, internal base salary comparability considerations and the responsibilities assumed by the named executive officer. The weights given to these factors differ from individual to individual as the Board determines is appropriate. Base salaries are reviewed annually and adjusted from time to time, based on our review of market data and assessment of Company and individual executive performance.

Please refer to [Executive Compensation Tables](#) below for additional information on compensation of our named executive officers.

***Short-Term Incentive Program/Cash Bonuses***

Executives are eligible for annual short-term incentives through a discretionary program. The Board of Directors does not establish performance targets that, when reached, automatically provide a bonus, and instead cash bonuses are awarded at the conclusion of a fiscal year based upon the Board of Directors' subjective assessment of the Company's performance as compared to both budget and prior fiscal year performance, as well as the individual contributions of the executives. The amount of each individual bonus is not affected by nor does it affect any other form of compensation. Based on the Bank's overall performance levels in 2011, the Board did not award any cash bonuses.

***Long-Term Incentive Program***

The Company believes that stock ownership by management and equity-based performance compensation arrangements are useful tools to align the interests of management with those of the Company's shareholders. Where executives are shareholders themselves, the executives will realize a direct benefit by achieving the objective of maximizing shareholder value. The Company had in place two stock incentive plans: the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan (the "Stock Incentive Plan") and the 2000 Independent Directors' Stock Incentive Plan (the "Directors' Stock Plan," and together with the Stock Incentive Plan, the "Company Plans"), each of which expired on August 30, 2010 and, as such, no additional awards will be granted from either plan, though the expiration of these plans does not affect any awards outstanding as of the expiration date of the plan. The Company expects to consider the adoption of new stock option plans in the future.

Prior to the expiration of the Company Plans, the Company's executives were eligible to receive qualified stock options, non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock under the Company Plans, which have each been approved by the Company's shareholders. Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, generally denies publicly-held corporations a federal income tax deduction for compensation exceeding \$1,000,000 paid to the Chief Executive Officer or any of the four other highest paid executive officers, excluding performance-based compensation. The Stock Incentive Plan was designed and has been administered in a manner to enable the Company to deduct compensation attributable to options and without regard to such

Table of Contents

deduction limitation. The Company has outstanding awards of stock options issued under each of the Company Plans. During 2009, the Company granted 74,600 shares worth of stock options. No stock options or other equity based awards were granted in 2010 or 2011. In February 2011, the Board dissolved the Stock Option Committee, which had previously been authorized to award grants of stock options and other long-term incentives to key employees and officers, and the Compensation Committee assumed responsibility for the administration of the Company Stock Plans.

Under the Stock Incentive Plan, shares of common stock are subject to issuance upon the exercise of incentive stock options ( ISOs ), non-incentive stock options ( Non-ISOs ) and Stock Appreciation Rights ( SARs ), and the award of shares of restricted stock to such key officers or other employees of the Company or the Bank as the Committee may designate. However, only incentive stock options were ever awarded under the Stock Incentive Plan. The exercise price of qualified stock options under the Stock Incentive Plan was not permitted to be less than 100% of the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant, as determined in the Stock Incentive Plan.

If a participant who was awarded a qualified incentive stock option ceases to be employed by the Company or the Bank for any reason other than his or her death or disability, the participant may be permitted to exercise the option during its remaining term for a period of not more than three (3) months after cessation of employment to the extent that the qualified option was then and remains exercisable.

The grants are to be exercised at a fixed price of fair market value on the date of grant. The grant dates are based on the last Company meeting of the applicable year in which they were granted. The awards are not timed to the release of any information. Under the Stock Incentive Plan, the time period during which any qualified incentive stock option is exercisable, as determined by the Committee, does not commence before the expiration of six (6) months or continue beyond the expiration of ten (10) years after the date such option is awarded. As incentive compensation, the granting of stock options was not taken into account when determining other factors of compensation for the named executive officers.

The Company Plans provide that the aggregate number of shares of common stock remaining available for grant under the respective Company Plan may be adjusted for certain events, such as mergers, consolidations, stock dividends, stock splits, continuation or similar events in which the number or kind of shares is changed without receipt or payment of consideration by the Company.

***Profit Sharing and 401(k) Plan***

Supplemental compensation is provided in the forms of a profit sharing plan and a 401(k) plan. These items are structured to be moderately competitive within the peer market. The amount of money which the Bank contributed to the profit sharing and 401(k) plans is not taken into account when determining the amounts of other forms of compensation.

The Bank has adopted the First National Community Bank 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan (the 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan ), a tax qualified retirement savings plan pursuant to which our employees, including the named executive officers, are able to make pre-tax or Roth contributions from their cash compensation. Any employee who has completed one year of service and has attained the age of 21 is eligible to participate in the plan. The 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan provides for a discretionary employer contribution, which is determined each year by the Board of Directors, in the form of profit sharing.

For the year 2011, the Board of Directors did not declare a profit sharing contribution. In 2011, the plan did not allow matching contributions to the 401(k) plan. Effective as of September 1, 2012, the Bank has amended the Profit Sharing and 401(k) Plan to permit a Bank provided match to employee 401(k) contributions. The Board of Directors has approved a 50% match to an employee's first 4% of payroll contribution.

*Deferred Compensation Plan*

All officers of the Bank employed at the beginning of a year for at least two (2) years with earnings in excess of \$40,000 annually are entitled to participate in the Bank's Director's and Officer's Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended (Deferred Compensation Plan). The Deferred Compensation Plan allows named executive officers who have been employed by the Bank for ten or more years to defer up to 25% of their compensation, including base salary and cash bonuses. All other eligible named executive officers may defer up to 15% of their compensation. The Deferred Compensation Plan is designed to provide a long-term incentive to remain in the employ of the Bank.

An account for each participant is maintained and credited with deferred compensation on the date such compensation would have been paid to a participant had no election to defer been made. The interest rate to be credited on account balances in the Deferred Compensation Plan was reviewed by the Board during 2010 and the Deferred Compensation Plan was amended prior to December 31, 2010. The amendment provides for the interest rate to be determined each year based on the sum of 1% plus the average of the one-year U.S. Treasury Bill rates in effect on and between December 1 and December 15 of the Plan Year to which it applies. There is no minimum rate in this amendment. This amendment was adopted by the Board on December 29, 2010 and applied to accounts for the December 31, 2010 interest crediting and thereafter.

Table of Contents

The Bank's obligation under the Deferred Compensation Plan is an unfunded and unsecured promise to pay. The Bank is not obligated to fund any of such obligations, but it may, in its sole discretion, elect to fund the Deferred Compensation Plan in whole or in part. To date, the Company has not funded any Deferred Compensation Plan obligations. As such, executive officer and other participant account balances in the Deferred Compensation Plan are unsecured and at-risk, meaning the balances may be forfeited in the event of the Company's financial distress such as bankruptcy. The Bank does not match executive deferrals to the Deferred Compensation Plan.

Participants, including each of the named executive officers, are immediately vested in their account balance in the deferred compensation plan. The benefit payments to be made to a participant under the Deferred Compensation Plan begin on the first business day of the month after the participant's normal retirement date, which is the date on which a participant reaches age 60, the date on which he has been a participant for five years, or his or her termination of service, whichever is later. Termination of service means the participant's ceasing to serve as a Director or Officer of the Bank for any reason whatsoever, voluntary or involuntary, including by reason of death or disability. However, if the participant elects early retirement, payments to the participant's election shall begin on the first business day of the month after the participant reaches age 59-1/2 and the date on which he has been a participant for five years. Participants may elect to receive early retirement payments regardless if he/she is still an Employee or Officer of the Bank and/or he/she remains on the Board of Directors of the Bank. The participant will receive equal monthly or annual installments over the period chosen, the amount of such payments being determined by annuitizing the amount in his Deferred Compensation Plan account, plus interest.

Distributions are paid in a lump sum or in annual or monthly installments according to the participant's designation, provided, however, that the Bank may, subject to approval by the Board of Directors, pay the participant's Deferred Compensation account in annual payments upon such Participant's termination of service. All distributions are also subject to any regulatory requirements, as in effect from time to time.

***Additional Employee Benefit Plans***

The Bank also provides additional benefit programs to employees including health and dental insurance, life and long term and short term disability insurance.

***Supplemental Life Insurance for Certain Executive Officers***

Certain executive officers also participate in the Company's supplemental executive life insurance plan which provides a split-dollar share of death benefits to the executive's beneficiary, depending upon the executive's eligibility to receive payments. The plan is funded with bank-owned life insurance and is used to provide an additional benefit to certain executives with a minimal cost to the Company. Split-dollar life insurance plans are widely available in the banking industry, because it allows the employer to recover its plan costs upon the death of the executive, and the executive's beneficiary to receive a split of the insurance proceeds. This benefit provides additional incentive for continued employment with the Company.

These benefits are provided to further incent longevity with the Company and also to provide an executive benefit package that is fully competitive within our industry and market place. The costs of providing such benefits to all eligible employees are not considered when determining specific salaries of the Named Executives Officers and are seen as a worthwhile investment in employees that will help keep the employee productive and engaged.

*Perquisites*

The Company provides a Company-owned vehicle to several of the named executive officers, as their positions require travel offsite frequently for Bank business. The provision of a Company vehicle to these individuals is viewed by the Board as a normal benefit in the highly competitive financial services industry.

Country club memberships, the dues for which are paid by the Company, are provided to certain of our named executive officers and used as a vehicle to attract, retain and expand customer relationships. They are viewed as an important resource for certain named executive officers to further the business of the Bank.

*Employment and Severance Arrangements*

Other than as described below under the heading Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control, none of the executive officers or directors of the Company or the Bank is party to an individual employment contract or any other agreement with the Company or the Bank containing severance and/or change in control arrangements with the Company or the Bank.

Inter-Relationship of Elements of Total Compensation

FNCB's executive compensation philosophy and strategy is intended to be competitive in the marketplace and reward executives for strong performance through multiple compensation vehicles and to not reward executives for weak performance. All of the components are balanced, integrated, and designed to provide a total compensation environment which will enhance the executives' relationship with the Company and support the growth of overall shareholder value.

Table of Contents

The various elements of the total compensation package are subtly interrelated based on a variety of factors. While there is not a direct relationship between components, if in a given year the Board or Compensation Committee decides, for purposeful reasons, to overweight one component of total compensation (as compared to the market) they may underweight another so that total compensation remains competitive. The Company has not yet held its annual meeting for 2011 or 2012 and thus has not held or considered the results of a shareholder advisory vote on executive compensation. The Company plans to hold an annual meeting in 2012.

*Equity Ownership Guidelines*

We have no equity or security ownership requirements or guidelines for executive officers, however, all of the executive officers, except those who joined the Bank since 2010 (other than Steven R. Tokach who is required to own shares of the Company as a director of the Bank), own common stock or options to purchase common stock pursuant to our equity compensation plans. Pursuant to the Company's Bylaws, shareholders who submit proposals for inclusion in the Company's proxy statement must disclose details regarding hedged positions.

*Risk Analysis of Incentive Compensation Programs*

The Board of Directors evaluated the Company's compensation policies and practices for its employees and concluded that our compensation programs are appropriately structured to reward prudent risk taking, but do not encourage or promote inappropriate risk taking and do not pose a material risk to the Company, and are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION TABLES**

The following table sets forth a comprehensive overview of the compensation for the Company's named executive officers for 2011.

Table of Contents**Summary Compensation Table**

<b>Name and Principal Position</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Salary</b>	<b>Bonus (1)</b>	<b>Option Awards (2)</b>	<b>Change in pension value and non-qualified deferred compensation earnings (3)</b>	<b>All Other Compensation</b>
Steven R. Tokach, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and the Bank	2011	\$ 17,308(7)	\$	\$	\$	\$
Edward J. Lipkus, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company and the Bank	2011	\$ 165,000	\$	\$	\$	\$ 819(6)
	2010	45,692(5)				15,000(6)
Gerard A. Champi, Chief Operating Officer of the Bank and former Interim President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and Bank	2011	\$ 223,500	\$	\$	\$	\$ 11,430(4)
	2010	216,300				7,421(4)
	2009	184,500			13,504	19,385(4)
Sandra E. Laughlin, Executive Vice President and Chief Risk Officer	2011	\$ 153,577(9)	\$	\$	\$	\$ 10,000(9)
James M. Bone, Jr., Executive Vice President, Chief Information Officer	2011	\$ 148,000	\$	\$	\$	\$ 91(8)
	2010	132,239				341(8)
	2009	99,125			1,707	7,459(8)
Robert J. Mancuso, First Senior Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer	2011	\$ 145,388	\$	\$	\$	\$ 2,500(10)
	2010	132,354				4,201(10)
	2009	107,312			12,253	11,693(10)

(1) Reflects amounts earned pursuant to the discretionary awards under Company's Discretionary Cash Bonus Plan. Amounts shown are earned and accrue in the year indicated and are paid in the following year.

(2) The amounts listed represent stock options granted to the persons listed in the form of qualified incentive stock options which were granted at the fair market value on the date of grant. The amount shown represents the cost to the Company. For a discussion of the assumptions and accounting for stock options, see Note 16 – Stock Option Plans included in Item 8 hereof for additional information about the Company's stock option plans. For a description of the conditions of these awards, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis – Long-Term Incentive Program.

(3) The amounts listed represent interest earned on the balances in the named executive officers non-qualified deferred compensation plan accounts at rates that exceed 120% of the applicable federal long-term rate.

(4) For Mr. Champi, includes contributions to the Bank's 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan earned and accrued in the amounts of \$0, \$0 and \$13,081, country club dues in the amounts of \$8,553, \$4,279 and \$3,822, an auto allowance of \$2,687, \$2,680, and \$2,487, premiums paid to purchase



## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

split-dollar life insurance in the amounts of \$99, \$91, and \$86, and premiums paid to purchase disability income insurance in the amounts of \$0, \$371 and \$371, in each case, for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(5) For Mr. Lipkus, this amount represents the portion of his base salary earned from September 16, 2010, when he started with the Company, until the end of the year.

(6) For Mr. Lipkus, includes country club dues in the amount of \$819 for 2011 and includes \$15,000 of relocation expenses that the Company agreed to pay for 2010.

(7) For Mr. Tokach, this amount represents the portion of his base salary earned from December 5, 2011, when he started with the Company, until the end of the year.

(8) For Mr. Bone, includes contributions to the Bank's 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan earned and accrued in the amounts of \$0, \$0, and \$7,124, premiums paid to purchase split-dollar life insurance in the amounts of \$91, \$86, and \$80, and premiums paid to purchase disability income insurance in the amounts of \$0, \$255, and \$255, in each case, for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents

(9) For Ms. Laughlin, the amount listed in the salary column represents the portion of her base salary earned from January 20, 2011, when she started with the Company, until the end of the year. The amount listed in the All Other Compensation column represents \$10,000 of relocation expenses that the Company agreed to pay.

(10) For Mr. Mancuso, includes contributions to the Bank's 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan earned and accrued in the amounts of \$0, \$0, and \$7,247, country club dues in the amounts of \$2,161, \$2,997, and \$3,208, an auto allowance of \$204, \$842, and \$885, premiums paid to purchase split-dollar life insurance in the amounts of \$135, \$127, and \$118, and premiums paid to purchase disability income insurance in the amounts of \$0, \$235, and \$235, in each case, for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

As reflected in the table above, in keeping with the compensation philosophy to provide executives with a balanced mix of compensation components and to enable the Company to attract, retain and motivate key executives, base and bonus compensation components were realigned during 2010. The Company's compensation philosophy is to provide for base compensation levels that are in line with the market together with a more conservative bonus opportunity also in alignment with the market. Where appropriate, base salaries were increased to reflect market-competitive compensation levels and to recognize increased responsibilities and expectations. As the Company's performance did not warrant bonus payment with respect to 2010 or 2011, none were made. A structured executive incentive compensation program that provides for market-competitive bonus awards for achievement of defined corporate performance goals is under development for utilization in 2012 and future years.

**Grants of Plan-Based Awards**

The Company's equity compensation plans include the 2000 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan and the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan which were approved by shareholders on May 16, 2001. Both of the Company Stock Plans expired on August 30, 2010. No stock options were awarded in under either of the Company Stock Plans in 2010 or 2011.

**Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End**

The following table sets forth, on an award by award basis, information concerning all awards of stock options held by named executive officers at December 31, 2011. All options were granted with an exercise or base price of 100% of market value as determined in accordance with the applicable plan. The number of shares subject to each award as well as the exercise and/or base price has been adjusted to reflect all stock dividends and stock splits effected after the date of such award but have not otherwise been modified.

**Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End**

**Option Awards**

**December 31, 2011**

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date
Steven R. Tokach				
Edward J. Lipkus				
Gerard A. Champi	11,000	\$	5.81	8/28/2012
	5,500		10.01	11/26/2013
	1,925		16.71	11/24/2014
	2,337		19.31	11/23/2015
	2,337		23.13	11/29/2016
	3,500		16.90	11/13/2017
	5,600		10.81	1/15/2019
Sandra E. Laughlin				
James M. Bone, Jr.	4,125	\$	10.01	11/26/2013
	1,375		16.71	11/24/2014
	1,650		19.31	11/23/2015
	1,650		23.13	11/29/2016
	2,500		16.90	11/13/2017
	4,000		10.81	1/15/2019
Robert J. Mancuso	5,250	\$	5.81	8/28/2012
	4,125		10.01	11/26/2013
	1,375		16.71	11/24/2014
	1,650		19.31	11/23/2015
	1,650		23.13	11/29/2016
	2,500		16.90	11/13/2017
	4,000		10.81	1/15/2019

Table of Contents**Options Exercised and Stock Vested**

None of the named executive officers exercised any options during 2011. No awards other than stock options were outstanding under the Company's Stock Plans at December 31, 2011. The following table provides information as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011 for the named executive officers regarding their participation in the Officers' Deferred Compensation Plan.

**Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table****December 31, 2011**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Executive Contributions in Last FY</b>	<b>Registrant Contributions in Last FY</b>	<b>Aggregate Earnings in Last FY</b>	<b>Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions</b>	<b>Aggregate Balance at Last FYE</b>
Steven R. Tokach	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Edward J. Lipkus					
Gerard A. Champi			\$ 3,607		\$ 329,143
Sandra E. Laughlin					
James M. Bone, Jr.			\$ 456		\$ 41,616
Robert J. Mancuso			\$ 3,273		\$ 298,639

The contribution amounts listed in this table are included in the applicable columns of the Summary Compensation Table. The aggregate earnings listed in this table are included in the non-qualified deferred compensation earnings column of the Summary Compensation Table. For a discussion of the types of compensation permitted to be deferred (and any limitations), the measures for calculating interest and the material terms with respect to payouts, withdrawals and other distributions, see the above discussion under the heading Compensation Components' Deferred Compensation Plan.

**Potential Payments upon Termination or Change of Control*****Directors and Officers' Deferred Compensation Plan***

The named executive officers and all other participants are vested in their account balance in the deferred compensation plan. As such, upon ceasing to serve as an officer of the Bank for any reason whatsoever, voluntary or involuntary, including involuntary termination for cause, termination on a change of control, voluntary termination, early retirement or by reason of death or disability, the amount of the Deferred Compensation Plan account balance of such named executive officer will be payable pursuant to the terms of the Deferred Compensation Plan. Amounts payable under the Deferred Compensation Plan are unsecured, unfunded, obligations of the Bank. Information regarding amounts to which named executives would be entitled under any such termination scenario, in the event of a termination as of December 31, 2011, are set forth in the table below. See the narrative detail above under Compensation Disclosure and Analysis' Deferred Compensation Plan Information for additional information on the timing of such payments, which are subject in part to an election by such officer, and the age and length of such officer's service with the Bank.

In March 2011, Mr. Tulaney notified the Board of Directors that he was resigning from the Company and the Bank, effective April 1, 2011. At this time, Mr. Tulaney had deferred \$538,030 under the Deferred Compensation Plan which becomes payable, with interest at the applicable plan rate, starting the month after he reaches the age of 60 in May 2019.

**Deferred Compensation upon Severance**

**December 31, 2011**

Name	Amount Payable Upon Termination Event (1)
Steven R. Tokach	\$
Edward J. Lipkus	
Gerard A. Champi	329,143
Sandra E. Laughlin	
James M. Bone Jr.	41,616
Robert J. Mancuso	298,639

---

(1) Represents the amount payable to the indicated named executive officer under the Deferred Compensation Plan upon the following triggering events, assuming that such event occurred as of December 31, 2011: Voluntary Termination; Early Retirement; Normal Retirement, Involuntary Termination - Not for Cause; Involuntary Termination - For Cause; Involuntary Termination - For Good Reason (Change in Control); Disability; Death. Participants may elect to have their account paid commencing at the time prescribed

Table of Contents

by the Plan, in equal annual payments beginning with five (5) years and increasing in five (5) year increments up to thirty (30) years. Participants may also elect equal monthly payments which correspond to the annual yearly schedule.

*Company Stock Plans*

Options issued under the Company Stock Option Plans are subject to accelerated vesting and remain exercisable upon or in connection with the occurrence of certain change of control events. In addition, any such stock option awards may remain exercisable for up to three months (or longer in the case of death or disability) upon the termination of any of our named executive officers' employment for any reason. As of June 29, 2012 based on the closing market price of \$2.80 per share, the exercise price of all options held by executive officers is greater than the current market price per share. Therefore, we do not expect that the options would be exercised upon a change in control or termination of employment.

The foregoing narrative regarding payments on a change in control or other termination of employment does not reflect payments that would be provided to each named executive officer under the 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan following termination of employment, or under the Company's disability or life insurance plan in the event of death or disability, as applicable, on the last business day of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 because these plans are generally available to all regular employees similarly situated in age, years of service and date of hire and do not discriminate in favor of executive officers.

**Director Compensation**

The following table sets forth information regarding compensation paid to, or earned by, non-employee directors of the Company during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 for service as members of the Company and Bank Boards of Directors.

**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION TABLE**

**Director Compensation**

**December 31, 2011**

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Change in Pension value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings	All Other Compensation	Total
Michael J. Cestone	\$ 40,000(1)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 40,000
Joseph Coccia	30,000					30,000
Dominick L. DeNaples	30,000					30,000

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Louis A. DeNaples (2)		
Louis A. DeNaples, Jr.	30,000	30,000
Joseph J. Gentile	30,000	30,000
Thomas J. Melone (3)	17,500	17,500
John P. Moses	30,000	4,200
Steven R. Tokach (4)		34,200

---

(1) Includes a \$30,000 director's fee and \$10,000 for service as Secretary of the Board of Directors.

(2) Mr. DeNaples did not actively serve as a director during 2010 or 2011.

(3) Mr. Melone was appointed to the Board of Directors on May 25, 2011.

(4) Mr. Tokach does not receive compensation as a member of the Board of Directors.

Directors received no remuneration for attendance at the Company's board meetings. All nonemployee members of the Bank's Board of Directors receive an annual retainer of \$30,000, payable at a rate of \$2,500 per month, for each month or portion thereof that the director serves as a director of the Bank. The aggregate amount of director fees paid in 2011 was \$208 thousand. In 2011, Michael J. Cestone, Jr. was compensated \$10,000 for special services (Secretary of the Board of Directors) rendered to the Bank. Members of committees of the Board of Directors of the Company or the Bank, as applicable, do not receive fees for attending meetings of those committees.

Directors serving on the Board of the Bank are entitled to participate in the Bank's Deferred Compensation Plan. Under the Deferred Compensation Plan, directors may voluntarily defer up to 50% of the director fees paid to them for service on the Board of Directors of the Bank. Prior to December 31, 2010, the Bank paid interest on deferred amounts on December 31 of each year at a rate equal to 200% of the one-year Treasury Bill rate in effect not more than thirty (30) days nor less fifteen (15) days prior to the plan year to which the

Table of Contents

rates apply, but in no case less than 8% (the rate determined as described, the Plan Rate ). For all participants who have been in the Plan for over five years there also was an enhanced interest rate 1% greater than Plan Rate, beginning with the sixth year of participation in the Deferred Compensation Plan. An account for each participant is maintained and credited with deferred compensation on the date such compensation would have been paid to a participant had no election to defer been made. The Deferred Compensation Plan was amended on December 29, 2010 to provide for the interest rate to be determined each year based on the sum of 1% plus the average of the one-year U.S. Treasury Bill rates in effect on and between December 1 and December 15 of the Plan Year to which it applies, with no minimum rate. The amendment applied to accounts for December 31, 2010 and future interest crediting. For additional information regarding the Deferred Compensation Plan, please refer to Executive Compensation Compensation Disclosure and Analysis - Deferred Compensation Plan.

**Directors Stock Plan**

Directors who were not employees or officers of the Company were eligible to be granted options to purchase stock of the Company under the Directors Stock Plan. No awards were made under the Director s Stock Plan during 2010 and there were no awards outstanding thereunder at December 31, 2011. The Director s Stock Plan expired during 2010, and no additional awards may be made thereunder.

As previously discussed, in the beginning of 2010, the Board of Directors engaged an independent consultant to conduct an in-depth risk assessment of the Bank s compensation program. Based on this assessment and the Board s consideration of the consultant s findings and the Company s overall compensation philosophy and practices, the Board concluded that the program is balanced, does not motivate imprudent risk taking, and is not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Bank.

**Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation**

In 2010 the Company established a Compensation Committee and in 2011 appointed Michael J. Cestone, Jr., Joseph Coccia, Dominick L. DeNaples, Louis A. DeNaples, Jr., Joseph J. Gentile, Thomas J. Melone and John P. Moses to serve as its members. Certain employees of the Company, as discussed under the heading Role of Management, participated in the deliberations of the Board concerning executive officer compensation. No executive officer of the Company served as a director or a member of the compensation committee of another company, one of whose executive officers serves as a member of the Company s Board of Directors or participates in the Company s compensation decisions.

**Compensation Committee Report**

The following report is not deemed to be filed with the SEC or subject to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, and the report shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any prior or subsequent filing by the Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act ), or the Exchange Act. The Compensation Committee of the Company s Board of Directors (collectively, the Committee ) has submitted the following report for inclusion in this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

Our Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K with management. Based on our Committee s review of and the discussions with management with respect to the Compensation Discussion and



## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Analysis, our Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for filing with the SEC.

The foregoing report is provided by the following directors, who constitute the Compensation Committee as of June 30, 2012:

Louis A. DeNaples, Jr.

Joseph J. Gentile

Thomas J. Melone

John P. Moses

### **Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.**

#### **Equity Compensation Plan Information**

The following table summarizes our equity compensation plan information as of December 31, 2011. Information is included for both equity compensation plans approved by Company shareholders and equity compensation plans not approved by Company shareholders.

Table of Contents**Equity Compensation Plan Information**

December 31, 2011

<b>Plan Category</b>	<b>Number of Securities to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants, and Rights (1)</b> (a)	<b>Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants, and Rights (1)</b> (b)	<b>Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities in Column (a))</b> (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	188,193	\$ 12.62	
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders		\$	
<b>Total</b>	188,193	12.62	

(1) The Company's equity compensation plans include the Directors Stock Option Plan and the Stock Incentive Plan which were approved by shareholders on May 16, 2001. Amounts are subject to adjustment to reflect any stock dividends, stock split, share consideration or similar change in our capitalization.

(2) The term of each of these plans expired on August 30, 2010 and therefore no further equity awards are issuable thereunder.

**Securities Ownership of Directors, Nominees, Officers and Certain Beneficial Owners(1)**

The following table sets forth certain information concerning the number and percentage of whole shares of the Company's common stock beneficially owned by its directors and executive officers whose compensation is disclosed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, its principal shareholders and by its directors, its principal shareholders and all executive officers as a group, as of July 27, 2012. Except as otherwise indicated, all shares are owned directly, the named person possesses sole voting and sole investment power with respect to all such shares, and none of such shares are pledged as security and the address of each of the beneficial owners identified is 102 E. Drinker Street, Dunmore, PA 18512. Except as set forth below, the Company knows of no other person or persons who beneficially own in excess of five percent of the Company's common stock. Further, the Company is not aware of any arrangement which at a subsequent date may result in a change of control of the Company.

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Name	Position	Number of Shares	Percentage (11)
Michael J. Cestone, Jr. (1)	Director of the Company and Bank; Secretary of the Board of Directors	206,716	1.26%
Joseph Coccia (2)	Director of the Company and Bank	180,820	1.10%
Dominick L. DeNaples (3)	Director of the Company and Bank	283,134	1.72%
Louis A. DeNaples (4)	Shareholder and Director of the Bank	1,647,203	10.02%
Louis A. DeNaples, Jr. (5)	Director of the Company and Bank	44,576	*
Joseph J. Gentile (6)	Director of the Company and Bank	445,067	2.71%
Thomas J. Melone	Director of the Company and Bank	800	*
John P. Moses (7)	Director of the Company and Bank	84,876	*
Steven R. Tokach	Director of Company and Bank; President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company Bank	800	*
Edward J. Lipkus	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company and Bank		*
Gerard A. Champi (8)	Chief Operating Officer of the Bank; Former Interim President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and Bank	38,486	*
Sandra E. Laughlin	Executive Vice President and Chief Risk Officer of the Bank		*
James M. Bone, Jr. (9)	Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer of the Bank	22,007	*
Robert J. Mancuso (10)	First Senior Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer of the Bank	98,192	*
	All current directors and executive officers as a group (18 persons) (11)	3,058,761	18.60%

\*Indicates ownership of less than 1%.

(1) Includes 37,097 held individually by Mr. Cestone, 4,918 jointly held with Mr. Cestone's spouse, 44,495 shares held for the benefit of Mr. Cestone's spouse, 120,256 shares held by a family partnership.

(2) Includes 163,245 shares held individually by Mr. Coccia and 17,575 held in a partnership.

(3) Effective May 17, 2012, Dominick L. DeNaples transferred 1,191,540 shares to his children, reducing the shares he owns to 283,134. Includes 280,695 shares jointly held with Dominick L. DeNaples' spouse and 2,439 shares held by a business in which he is a 33.33% owner with his brother, Louis A. DeNaples.

(4) Includes 1,604,145 shares held individually by Louis A. DeNaples, 26,604 shares co-owned with Louis A. DeNaples' children (of which 1,639 shares are co-owned with his son, Louis A. DeNaples, Jr., who is also a director), 14,016 owned individually by his spouse, and 2,439 shares held by a business in which he is a 33.33% owner with his brother, Dominick L. DeNaples. The Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia (the FRB) and the OCC suspended Mr. DeNaples from office and prohibited him from any participation in the affairs of the Company or the Bank. Mr. DeNaples resigned as a director of the Company, effective May 12, 2012, however he remains a director of the Bank subject to suspension. Though Mr. DeNaples was listed as a director of the Company and the Bank, he did not actively serve as a director during 2011.

(5) Includes 17,720 shares held individually, 25,217 co-owned with Louis A. DeNaples, Jr.'s children, and 1,639 shares co-owned with his father, Louis A. DeNaples. Louis A. DeNaples, Jr. is the son of Louis A. DeNaples, and the nephew of Dominick L. DeNaples.

(6) Includes 344,326 shares held individually, 99,209 shares held individually by Mr. Gentile's spouse, and 1,532 shares held for the benefit of his spouse.

Table of Contents

(7) Includes 2,673 shares held individually and 82,204 shares held jointly with Mr. Moses' spouse.

(8) Includes 1,695 shares held by Mr. Champi, 2,857 shares jointly held with Mr. Champi's spouse, 1,735 shares held for the benefit of a minor, and presently exercisable options to purchase 32,199 shares.

(9) Includes 2,143 shares jointly held with Mr. Bone's spouse, 248 shares held for the benefit of minor children, 4,316 shares co-owned with Mr. Bone's father and three of his siblings through a family trust, and exercisable options to purchase 15,300 shares.

(10) Includes 66,068 shares jointly held with Mr. Mancuso's spouse, 10,574 shares co-owned with Mr. Mancuso's children, and presently exercisable options to purchase 21,550 shares.

(11) Represents percentage of 16,442,119 shares issued and outstanding as of March 30, 2012, except with respect to individuals holding options exercisable within 60 days of that date, in which event, represents percentage of shares issued and outstanding plus the number of shares for which that person holds options exercisable within 60 days of June 30, 2012, and except with respect to all directors and executive officers of the Company as a group, in which case represents percentage of shares issued and outstanding plus the number of shares for which those persons hold such options. Certain shares beneficially owned by the Company's directors and executive officers may be held in accounts with third-party firms, where such shares may from time to time be subject to a security interest for margin credit provided in accordance with such firm's policies.

**Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.**

The Company and the Bank have engaged in and intend to continue to engage in banking and financial transactions in the ordinary course of business with directors and officers of the Company and the Bank and their affiliates on comparable terms and with similar interest rates as those prevailing from time to time for other Bank customers not related to the Bank. Our Code applies to all directors, officers and employees of the Company and provides guidelines for those covered persons who may have a potential or apparent conflict of interest. Pursuant to the Code, a conflict of interest exists any time a covered person's private interest interferes/conflicts, or even appears to interfere/conflict, in any way with the interests of the Company. If a conflict of interest arises, it is important to act with great care to avoid even the appearance that any actions were not in the best interest of the Company. The Code requires that if a covered person finds himself or herself in a position where his or her objectivity may be questioned because of individual interest or family or personal relationships that the person immediately notify the Company's Compliance Officer.

Board of Directors approval is required for the Company to do business with a company in which a member of the Board of Directors, an officer, an employee or a family member of a director, officer or employee owns, directly or indirectly, an interest. To identify related party transactions, each year, we submit and require our directors and officers to complete Director and Officer Questionnaires identifying any transaction with the Company or any of its subsidiaries in which the officer or director or their family members have an interest. The Board of Directors reviews related party transactions due to the potential for a conflict of interest. Each year, our directors and executive officers also review our Code.

## Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Additionally, the Company has further obligations for the review and approval of loans that are made to directors and officers pursuant to Regulation O (Loans to Executive Officers, Directors and Principal Shareholders of Member Banks) and the Company's written Loan Policy. Any business dealing, including extensions of credit, between the Company or the Bank and a director or officer of the Company or the Bank, or with an affiliate of a director or officer, other than a deposit, trust service or other product or service provided by a bank in the ordinary course of business, is required to be approved by a majority of disinterested directors. In considering a proposed insider transaction, the disinterested directors are to reasonably determine whether the transaction would be in the best interest of the Company or the Bank and on the terms and conditions, including price, substantially, the same as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with non-insiders. The responsibility for monitoring compliance with Regulation O rests with the Bank's Credit Administration Unit and Internal Auditor as required by the Bank's loan policy.

There have been no loan transactions originated during 2011 which were required to be reported under this Item 13 where such policy and procedures were not followed. Except for those loans described in more detail below, loans to directors, executive officers and their related parties (i) were made in the ordinary course of business, (ii) were made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other persons not related to the Company or Bank and (iii) did not involve more than the normal risk of collection or present other unfavorable features. Each of these transactions was made in compliance with applicable law, including the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 and the Federal Reserve Board Regulation O.

Table of Contents

The Bank extended a line of credit ( line ) to a corporation wholly owned by Joseph Coccia, a director of the Company. The total aggregate outstanding amount of this line as of December 31, 2011 was \$7.4 million. Mr. Coccia has a participation agreement with the Bank to purchase up to a maximum of a \$5.2 million interest in this line from the Bank. The Bank does not have participation agreements with any other similarly situated borrowers. This line bears interest at a rate of 4.75%. The largest aggregate outstanding amount of principal outstanding under this line during 2011 was \$7.9 million. Under the line, \$43.3 million was advanced and \$40.9 million in principal and \$212 thousand in interest was paid during 2011. The total amount paid by the Bank to Mr. Coccia with respect to his participation in this line during 2011 was \$151 thousand. The Bank receives a 25 basis point annual servicing fee from Mr. Coccia on the participation balance. This credit is performing in accordance with the terms of the agreement.

The Bank extended three (3) loans to an entity which were guaranteed by several individuals, including Michael Conahan and Michael G. Cestone, both former directors of the Company. Mr. Cestone is the son of current Director Michael J. Cestone, Jr. The total aggregate outstanding amount of these loans as of December 31, 2011 was \$3.4 million. These loans bear interest at a rate of 4.25%. In 2011, the total amount of principal paid was \$29 thousand and the total amount of interest paid was \$0. The largest aggregate amount of principal outstanding under these loans during 2011 was \$3.4 million. As of May 31, 2012 the aggregate amount of principal outstanding on these loans was \$3.4 million.

The Bank extended loans to a limited liability company wholly owned by the daughter and son-in-law of Dominick DeNaples, a director of the Company. The total aggregate outstanding amount of these loans as of December 31, 2011 was \$212 thousand. These loans bear interest at rates ranging from 3.75% to 8.5%. The largest aggregate amount of principal outstanding under these loans during 2011 was \$222 thousand. In 2011, the total amount of principal paid was \$10 thousand and the total amount of interest paid was \$7 thousand. As of May 31, 2012, these loans were paid in full.

The Bank extended various loans to the brother-in-law of Jerry A. Champi, an executive officer of the Company and entities owned by the same brother-in-law of Mr. Champi. The total aggregate outstanding amount of these loans as of December 31, 2011 was \$656 thousand. These loans bear interest at rates ranging from 3.25% to 6.75%. In 2011, the total amount of principal paid was \$25 thousand and the total amount of interest paid was \$31 thousand. The largest aggregate amount of principal outstanding under these loans during 2011 was \$681 thousand. As of May 31, 2012, the aggregate amount of principal outstanding on these loans was \$629 thousand.

Subordinated notes held by officers and directors and/or their related parties totaled \$10 million and \$11 million at December 31, 2011, and 2010, respectively. Interest paid to officers and directors on these notes totaled \$0 and \$685 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Pursuant to the November 24, 2010 written Agreement (the Agreement ) with the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia (the Reserve Bank ) that the Company entered into, the Company and its nonbank subsidiary may not make any payment of interest, principal or other amounts on the Company s subordinated debentures or trust preferred securities without the prior written approval of the Reserve Bank and the Director. Accordingly, interest accrued and unpaid on loans to directors totaled \$1.2 million at December 31, 2011.

**Certain Business Relationships**

In the course of its operations, the Company acquires goods and services from and transacts business with various companies of related parties. The Company believes these transactions were made on the same terms as those for comparable transactions. The Company recorded aggregate payments for these services of \$1.8 million, \$1.1 million, and \$0.7 million in 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. None of these transactions exceeded \$120 thousand, except as described below.

Louis A. DeNaples son-in-law is a principal owner of an insurance agency that provides services for the Company. In 2011, the total amount paid by the Company for the services was approximately \$1.2 million and represents premiums paid for insurance coverage. Mr. DeNaples son-in-law acts as an agent and derives a commission on the placement of insurance coverage. These services were awarded based on the results of a competitive bidding process.

Dominick L. DeNaples son is an attorney at a law firm that provides legal services to the Company. In 2011, the total amount paid by the Company for these services was approximately \$191 thousand. Mr. DeNaples son does not receive any direct payment for these services. Additionally, he is not a partner in this firm and therefore, does not derive any interest in the law firm's profit from these payments.

Mr. Coccia, a director of the Company, wholly owns a company that provides automobile repossession and refurbishment services to the Bank. In 2011, the total amount paid by the Company for the services was approximately \$128 thousand. Mr. Coccia also owns another company that sells automobiles.

For a discussion of director independence see Item 10 Director Independence.



Table of Contents

**Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.**

**Fees Paid to Independent Accounting Firm**

We retained McGladrey LLP ( McGladrey ) to audit our consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. Demetrius & Company, L.L.C. ( Demetrius ) audited our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009.

*Audit Fees*

The aggregate amount of fees billed or expected to be billed to the Company by McGladrey for services rendered for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements and review of financial statements included in the Company's reports on Form 10-Q and for services normally provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 were \$610,000 and \$1,422,492, respectively. The aggregate amount of fees billed to the Company by Demetrius for services rendered for the audit of the Company's financial statements and review of financial statements included in the Company's reports on Form 10-Q and for services normally provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$71,032.

*Audit-Related Fees*

The aggregate amount of fees and expenses billed to the Company by McGladrey for services related to the performance of audit related services for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 were \$0 and \$30,248, respectively. The 2010 services were related to the audit of the Company's 401(k) plans for the year ended December 31, 2010. The aggregate amount of fees and expenses billed to the Company by Demetrius for services related to the performance of audit related services for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$12,465. These services included matters relating to communications with the SEC.

*Tax Fees*

The Company did not use McGladrey or Demetrius for tax advice, compliance or planning services for the years ended December 31, 2011 or 2010.

*All Other Fees*

Neither McGladrey nor Demetrius billed the Company any amounts for other services for the years ended December 31, 2011 or 2010.

*Pre-approval Policies*

The Audit Committee has the responsibility to pre-approve certain audit, audit-related, tax and other services up to specified aggregate fee levels for each service. In addition, the Audit Committee may delegate pre-approval authority to one or more of its members, who must report, for information purposes only, any pre-approval decisions to the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. The Audit Committee pre-approved all audit, audit-related and tax services provided by McGladrey for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

None of the engagements of McGladrey or Demetrius to provide services other than audit services was made pursuant to the *de minimus* exception to the pre-approval requirement contained in the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Company's audit charter.

**PART IV**

**Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules**

1. Financial Statements

The following financial statements are included by reference in Part II, Item 8 hereof:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition

Consolidated Statements of Operations

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

2. Financial Statement Schedules

Financial Statement Schedules are omitted because the required information is either not applicable, not required or is shown in the respective financial statements or in the notes thereto.

3. The following exhibits are filed herewith or incorporated by reference.

- EXHIBIT 3.1 Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation dated May 19, 2010 filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on May 19, 2010, is hereby incorporated by reference.
- EXHIBIT 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q as filed on April 6, 2012 is hereby incorporated by reference.
- EXHIBIT 4.1 Form of Common Stock Certificate filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, as filed on March 16, 2010, is hereby incorporated by reference.
- EXHIBIT 4.2 Form of Subordinated Note filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 28, 2009, is hereby incorporated by reference.
- EXHIBIT 10.1 Dividend Reinvestment Plan filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Amended Registration Statement on Form S-3 as filed on July 16, 2009, is hereby incorporated by reference.
- EXHIBIT 10.2 Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust by and among Wilmington Trust Company, First National Community Bancorp, Inc., Williams Lance, Stephen J. Kavulich and Robert J. Mancuso dated as of December 14, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's 8-K on December 19, 2006 is hereby incorporated by reference.
- EXHIBIT 10.3 Guarantee Agreement by and between First National Community Bancorp, Inc. and Wilmington Trust Company, dated as of December 14, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on December 19, 2006, is hereby incorporated by reference.
- EXHIBIT 10.4 Indenture by and between First National Community Bancorp, Inc. and Wilmington Trust Company, dated as of December 14, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on December 19, 2006, is hereby incorporated by reference.
- EXHIBIT 10.5 Treasury Tax and Loan Demand Note by and between First National Community Bank and the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia dated as of July 17, 1996, filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Form 10-K/A as filed on December 2, 2011, is hereby incorporated by reference.
- EXHIBIT 10.6 Credit Facility by and between First National Community Bancorp, Inc. and Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh, dated as of September 22, 1993, filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Form 10-K/A as filed on December 2, 2011, is hereby incorporated by reference.
- EXHIBIT 10.7 2000 Stock Incentive Plan-filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, as filed on March 16, 2005, is hereby incorporated by reference.
- EXHIBIT 10.8 2000 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, as filed on March 16, 2005, is hereby incorporated by reference.
- EXHIBIT 10.9

Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Directors and Officers Deferred Compensation Plan - filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, as filed on March 16, 2005, is hereby incorporated by reference.

EXHIBIT 10.10 Discretionary Cash Bonus Plan Description filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, as filed on March 16, 2010, is hereby incorporated by reference.

EXHIBIT 10.11 Consent Order - filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on September 7,

Table of Contents

2010 is hereby incorporated by reference.

EXHIBIT 10.12	Agreement with Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on December 1, 2010.
EXHIBIT 10.13	Professional Services Agreement dated April 5, 2010 by and between the Company and Eugene T. Subol filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on April 5, 2010, is hereby incorporated by reference.
EXHIBIT 14	Code of Business Conducts and Ethics filed as Exhibit 14 to the Company's Form 10-K as filed on April 6, 2012 is hereby incorporated by reference.
EXHIBIT 16	Letter of Demetrius & Co., L.L.C. pursuant to Item 304(a)(13) of Regulation S-K filed as Exhibit 16 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on October 28, 2010, is hereby incorporated by reference.
EXHIBIT 21	Subsidiaries filed as Exhibit 21 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, as filed on March 16, 2010, is hereby incorporated by reference.
EXHIBIT 23.1	Consent of Demetrius & Co., L.L.C. filed as Exhibit 23.1 to the Company's Form 10-K as filed on April 6, 2012 is hereby incorporated by reference.
EXHIBIT 31.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer
EXHIBIT 31.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer
EXHIBIT 32**	Section 1350 Certification Principal Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer
EXHIBIT 101.INS	XBRL INSTANCE DOCUMENT
EXHIBIT 101.SCH	XBRL TAXONOMY EXTENSION SCHEMA
EXHIBIT 101.CAL	XBRL TAXONOMY EXTENSION CALCULATION LINKBASE
EXHIBIT 101.DEF	XBRL TAXONOMY EXTENSION DEFINITION LINKBASE
EXHIBIT 101.LAB	XBRL TAXONOMY EXTENSION LABEL LINKBASE
EXHIBIT 101.PRE	XBRL TAXONOMY EXTENSION PRESENTATION LINKBASE

---

\* Filed herewith

\*\* Furnished herewith

# Edgar Filing: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

## Table of Contents

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized:

Registrant: FIRST NATIONAL COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC.

/s/ Steven R. Tokach  
Steven R. Tokach

President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Edward J. Lipkus  
Edward J. Lipkus

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer

Date: August 10, 2012

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Directors:

/s/Michael J. Cestone  
Michael J. Cestone, Jr.                      August 10, 2012  
Date

/s/ Joseph Coccia  
Joseph Coccia                                      August 10, 2012  
Date

/s/ Louis A. DeNaples, Jr.  
Louis A. DeNaples, Jr.                              August 10, 2012  
Date

/s/ Dominick L. DeNaples  
Dominick L. DeNaples                              August 10, 2012  
Date

/s/Joseph J. Gentile  
Joseph J. Gentile                                      August 10, 2012  
Date

/s/ Thomas J. Melone  
Thomas J. Melone                                      August 10, 2012  
Date

/s/ John P. Moses  
John P. Moses    August 10, 2012  
Date

/s/ Steven R. Tokach                                      August 10, 2012

Steven R. Tokach

Date