

ECOLAB INC
Form 10-Q
August 02, 2012

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File No. 1-9328

ECOLAB INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

41-0231510
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

370 Wabasha Street N., St. Paul, Minnesota 55102

(Address of principal executive offices)(Zip Code)

1-800-232-6522

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Not Applicable)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year,
if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulations S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

| | |
|---|--|
| Large accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) | Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/> |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of June 30, 2012.

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

292,512,285 shares of common stock, par value \$1.00 per share.

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

ECOLAB INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME

| (millions, except per share amounts) | Second Quarter Ended | |
|---|----------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | June 30 | |
| | (unaudited) | |
| Net sales | \$ 2,958.7 | \$ 1,698.8 |
| Cost of sales (including special charges of \$3.1 in 2012) | 1,608.9 | 860.8 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 981.7 | 609.6 |
| Special (gains) and charges | 41.6 | 30.1 |
| Operating income | 326.5 | 198.3 |
| Interest expense, net | 63.9 | 13.1 |
| Income before income taxes | 262.6 | 185.2 |
| Provision for income taxes | 79.2 | 59.0 |
| Net income including noncontrolling interest | 183.4 | 126.2 |
| Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest | (1.1) | 0.3 |
| Net income attributable to Ecolab | \$ 184.5 | \$ 125.9 |
| Earnings attributable to Ecolab per common share | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.63 | \$ 0.54 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.62 | \$ 0.53 |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.2000 | \$ 0.1750 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding | | |
| Basic | 291.9 | 231.6 |
| Diluted | 298.2 | 236.1 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial information.

ECOLAB INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME

| (millions, except per share amounts) | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|--|-----------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (unaudited) | |
| Net sales | \$ 5,769.6 | \$ 3,217.1 |
| Cost of sales (including special charges of \$79.1 in 2012 and \$0.8 in 2011) | 3,222.9 | 1,631.2 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 1,971.4 | 1,191.2 |
| Special (gains) and charges | 83.0 | 44.7 |
| Operating income | 492.3 | 350.0 |
| Interest expense, net (including special charges of \$18.2 in 2012) | 150.0 | 26.6 |
| Income before income taxes | 342.3 | 323.4 |
| Provision for income taxes | 114.8 | 103.4 |
| Net income including noncontrolling interest | 227.5 | 220.0 |
| Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest (including special charges of \$4.5 in 2012) | (6.7) | 0.5 |
| Net income attributable to Ecolab | \$ 234.2 | \$ 219.5 |
| Earnings attributable to Ecolab per common share | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.80 | \$ 0.95 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.79 | \$ 0.93 |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.4000 | \$ 0.3500 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding | | |
| Basic | 291.7 | 231.8 |
| Diluted | 298.1 | 236.2 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial information.

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

ECOLAB INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

| (millions) | Second Quarter Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|---|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 (unaudited) | 2011 | 2012 (unaudited) | 2011 |
| Net income including noncontrolling interest | \$ 183.4 | \$ 126.2 | \$ 227.5 | \$ 220.0 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation | (251.3) | 59.6 | (168.9) | 139.4 |
| Gain (loss) on net investment hedge | 18.1 | (11.0) | 25.7 | (27.9) |
| | (233.2) | 48.6 | (143.2) | 111.5 |
| Derivatives & hedging instruments | | | | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) during the period | 4.4 | (10.1) | 1.5 | (13.4) |
| Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses included in net income | (1.4) | 1.9 | (0.1) | 3.9 |
| | 3.0 | (8.2) | 1.4 | (9.5) |
| Pension and postretirement benefits | | | | |
| Pension and postretirement benefit adjustment | (1.6) | | (1.6) | |
| Amortization of net actuarial loss and prior service cost included in net periodic pension cost | 7.6 | 5.3 | 14.6 | 10.4 |
| | 6.0 | 5.3 | 13.0 | 10.4 |
| Subtotal | (224.2) | 45.7 | (128.8) | 112.4 |
| Total comprehensive income (loss), including noncontrolling interest | (40.8) | 171.9 | 98.7 | 332.4 |
| Less: Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest | (2.6) | 0.2 | (7.9) | 0.4 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Ecolab | \$ (38.2) | \$ 171.7 | \$ 106.6 | \$ 332.0 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial information.

ECOLAB INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

| (millions) | June 30 2012 | December 31 2011 |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | (unaudited) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 304.9 | \$ 1,843.6 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 2,076.9 | 2,095.3 |
| Inventories | 1,071.7 | 1,069.6 |
| Deferred income taxes | 189.5 | 164.0 |
| Other current assets | 265.5 | 223.5 |
| Total current assets | 3,908.5 | 5,396.0 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 2,285.1 | 2,295.4 |
| Goodwill | 5,821.8 | 5,855.3 |
| Other intangible assets, net | 4,140.9 | 4,275.2 |
| Other assets | 309.1 | 362.8 |
| Total assets | \$ 16,465.4 | \$ 18,184.7 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial information.

(Continued)

ECOLAB INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET (continued)

| (millions, except shares and per share amounts) | June 30 2012 | December 31 2011 (unaudited) |
|---|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Short-term debt | \$ 1,445.3 | \$ 1,023.0 |
| Accounts payable | 785.1 | 815.7 |
| Compensation and benefits | 401.0 | 497.2 |
| Income taxes | 58.1 | 81.7 |
| Other current liabilities | 761.2 | 748.7 |
| Total current liabilities | 3,450.7 | 3,166.3 |
| Long-term debt | 4,879.2 | 6,613.2 |
| Postretirement health care and pension benefits | 994.0 | 1,173.4 |
| Other liabilities | 1,439.3 | 1,490.7 |
| Total liabilities | 10,763.2 | 12,443.6 |
| Equity (a) | | |
| Common stock | 339.7 | 336.1 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 4,137.4 | 3,980.8 |
| Retained earnings | 3,677.5 | 3,559.9 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (473.7) | (344.9) |
| Treasury stock | (2,059.1) | (1,865.2) |
| Total Ecolab shareholders' equity | 5,621.8 | 5,666.7 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 80.4 | 74.4 |
| Total equity | 5,702.2 | 5,741.1 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 16,465.4 | \$ 18,184.7 |

(a) Common stock, 800 million shares authorized, \$1.00 par value per share, 292.5 million shares outstanding at June 30, 2012, 292.0 million shares outstanding at December 31, 2011. Shares outstanding are net of treasury stock.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial information.

ECOLAB INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

| (millions) | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|---|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (unaudited) | |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net income including noncontrolling interest | \$ 227.5 | \$ 220.0 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income including noncontrolling interest to cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation | 231.8 | 162.4 |
| Amortization | 123.8 | 25.5 |
| Deferred income taxes | (26.1) | 7.3 |
| Share-based compensation expense | 38.3 | 21.0 |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements | (24.4) | (7.8) |
| Pension and postretirement plan contributions | (201.0) | (123.0) |
| Pension and postretirement plan expense | 55.4 | 40.1 |
| Restructuring, net of cash paid | 27.7 | 36.3 |
| Other, net | 6.4 | 4.0 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effect of acquisitions: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (73.0) | (34.3) |
| Inventories | (4.3) | (16.8) |
| Other assets | (34.0) | (26.1) |
| Accounts payable | (20.5) | 34.4 |
| Other liabilities | (116.5) | (40.9) |
| Cash provided by operating activities | \$ 211.1 | \$ 302.1 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial information.

(Continued)

ECOLAB INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (continued)

| (millions) | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (unaudited) | |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Capital expenditures | \$ (254.5) | \$ (145.8) |
| Capitalized software expenditures | (8.0) | (11.9) |
| Property and other assets sold | 8.0 | 2.5 |
| Businesses acquired and investments in affiliates, net of cash acquired | (27.4) | (281.1) |
| Sale of business | 0.8 | |
| Deposit into indemnification escrow | (1.3) | (28.1) |
| Receipt from indemnification escrow | 2.1 | |
| Cash used for investing activities | (280.3) | (464.4) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net issuances (repayments) of commercial paper and notes payable | 426.4 | 362.3 |
| Long-term debt repayments | (1,691.1) | (153.8) |
| Reacquired shares | (190.9) | (122.2) |
| Cash dividends on common stock | (120.9) | (81.2) |
| Exercise of employee stock options | 98.0 | 50.7 |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements | 24.4 | 7.8 |
| Other, net | | (0.1) |
| Cash provided by (used for) financing activities | (1,454.1) | 63.5 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | (15.4) | 19.7 |
| DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | (1,538.7) | (79.1) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 1,843.6 | 242.3 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 304.9 | \$ 163.2 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial information.

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Consolidated Financial Information

The unaudited consolidated financial information for the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 reflect, in the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations, comprehensive income (loss) and cash flows of Ecolab Inc. (Ecolab or the company) for the interim periods presented. The financial results for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year. The consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2011 was derived from the audited consolidated financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The unaudited consolidated financial information should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto incorporated in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

With respect to the unaudited financial information of the company for the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 included in this Form 10-Q, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP reported that they have applied limited procedures in accordance with professional standards for a review of such information. However, their separate report dated August 2, 2012 appearing herein states that they did not audit and they do not express an opinion on that unaudited financial information. Accordingly, the degree of reliance on their report on such information should be restricted in light of the limited nature of the review procedures applied. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is not subject to the liability provisions of Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Act), for their report on the unaudited financial information because that report is not a report or a part of a registration statement prepared or certified by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Act.

The company has revised its consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 to correct the jurisdictional netting of long-term deferred tax assets and liabilities. This revision decreased other assets and other liabilities by \$56.1 million and does not impact the consolidated statements of income or comprehensive income or the consolidated statement of cash flows for any period. This correction also impacted the March 31, 2012 interim financial statements. In addition to jurisdictional netting, additional classification differences primarily related to the January debt repayment were identified between deferred income taxes and income taxes payable which together had the net effect of reducing other assets by \$57.1 million, income taxes payable by \$64.9 million, and increasing other liabilities by \$7.8 million as of March 31, 2012. There was no impact to total cash provided by operations on the statement of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2012, but cash used by deferred income taxes was reduced by \$64.9 million with an offsetting impact to other liabilities within the components of operating cash flows. There was no impact on the consolidated statements of income or comprehensive income. The company believes that these revisions were immaterial to previously issued financial statements.

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2. Special (Gains) and Charges

Special (gains) and charges reported on the Consolidated Statement of Income include the following:

| (millions) | Second Quarter Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|--|---------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Cost of sales | | | | |
| Restructuring charges | \$ 5.8 | \$ | \$ 7.9 | \$ 0.8 |
| Recognition of Nalco inventory fair value step-up | (2.7) | | 71.2 | |
| Subtotal | 3.1 | | 79.1 | 0.8 |
| Special (gains) and charges | | | | |
| Restructuring charges | 25.9 | 29.8 | 52.4 | 40.2 |
| Business structure and optimization | | 0.3 | | 0.9 |
| Nalco merger and integration costs | 15.7 | | 30.6 | |
| Cleantec acquisition integration costs | | | | 3.6 |
| Subtotal | 41.6 | 30.1 | 83.0 | 44.7 |
| Operating income subtotal | 44.7 | 30.1 | 162.1 | 45.5 |
| Interest expense, net | | | | |
| Debt extinguishment costs | | | 18.2 | |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | | | | |
| Recognition of Nalco inventory fair value step-up | | | (4.5) | |
| Total special (gains) and charges | \$ 44.7 | \$ 30.1 | \$ 175.8 | \$ 45.5 |

For segment reporting purposes, special (gains) and charges are included in the Corporate segment, which is consistent with the company's internal management reporting.

Restructuring Charges

Restructuring actions generally include significant actions involving employee-related severance charges, contract termination costs and asset write-downs associated with such actions. Employee termination costs are largely based on policies and severance plans, and include personnel reductions and related costs for severance, benefits and outplacement services. These charges are reflected in the quarter when the actions are probable and the amounts are estimable, which typically is when management approves the associated actions. Asset disposals include leasehold improvement write-downs and other asset write-downs associated with combining operations. Other charges include lease terminations prior to

the end of their respective terms.

Restructuring charges have been included as a component of both cost of sales and special (gains) and charges on the Consolidated Statement of Income. Amounts included as a component of cost of sales include supply chain related severance and other asset write-downs associated with combining operations. Restructuring liabilities have been classified as a component of other current liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2. Special (Gains) and Charges (Continued)

2011 Restructuring Plan

In February 2011, the company commenced a comprehensive plan to substantially improve the efficiency and effectiveness of its European business, sharpen its competitiveness and accelerate its growth and profitability. Additionally, restructuring has been and will continue to be undertaken outside of Europe, the costs of which have not been and are not expected to be significant (collectively, the 2011 Restructuring Plan). Through the 2011 Restructuring Plan, approximately 750 positions are expected to be eliminated.

The company expects to incur pretax restructuring charges of approximately \$150 million (\$125 million after tax) under the 2011 Restructuring Plan through the completion of the Plan in 2013. Approximately \$60 million (\$50 million after tax) of those charges are expected to occur in 2012.

The company anticipates that approximately \$130 million of the pre-tax charge will represent cash expenditures. The remaining \$20 million of the pre-tax charges represent estimated asset disposals. No decisions have been made for any remaining asset disposals and estimates could vary depending on the actual actions taken.

As a result of restructuring activities under the 2011 Restructuring Plan, the company has recorded restructuring charges of \$100.3 million (\$79.4 million after tax) since the inception of the Plan. During the second quarter of 2012 and 2011, the company recorded restructuring charges of \$16.4 million (\$12.6 million after tax) and \$29.8 million (\$25.2 million after tax), respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the company recorded restructuring charges of \$32.2 million (\$25.2 million after tax) and \$41.0 million (\$34.2 million after tax), respectively.

Merger Restructuring Plan

In January 2012, following the merger with Nalco Holding Company (Nalco), the company formally commenced plans to undertake restructuring actions related to the reduction of its global workforce and optimization of its supply chain and office facilities, including planned reductions of plant and distribution center locations (the Merger Restructuring Plan). Actions associated with the merger to improve efficiency and effectiveness are expected to lead to a reduction of the company s workforce by 500 to 700 positions through 2012, with additional productivity and efficiency actions beyond 2012 expected to reduce the need for future positions by approximately 1,500.

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

The company expects that restructuring activities under the Merger Restructuring Plan will be completed by the end of 2013, with total costs through the end of 2013 anticipated to be approximately \$180 million (\$120 million after tax). Approximately \$60 to \$70 million (\$40 to \$50 million after tax) of those charges are expected to occur in 2012.

The company anticipates that approximately \$150 million of the pre-tax restructuring charges will represent cash expenditures. The remaining \$30 million of the pretax charges represent estimated asset disposals. No decisions have been made for any remaining asset disposals and estimates could vary depending on the actual actions taken.

As a result of restructuring activities under the Merger Restructuring Plan, the company has recorded restructuring charges of \$34.5 million (\$24.1 million after tax) since the inception of the Plan. The company recorded restructuring charges of \$15.2 million (\$11.2 million after tax) and \$27.9 million (\$20.0 million after tax) during the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. Special (Gains) and Charges (Continued)

Restructuring charges and subsequent activity related to the 2011 Restructuring Plan and the Merger Restructuring Plan, since the inception of each respective Plan, include the following:

| (millions) | 2011 Restructuring Plan | | | | Merger Restructuring Plan | | | | Total |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------|----------|---------|
| | Employee Termination Costs | Asset Disposals | Other | Subtotal | Employee Termination Costs | Asset Disposals | Other | Subtotal | |
| 2011 Activity: | | | | | | | | | |
| Recorded expense and accrual | \$ 60.5 | \$ 0.5 | \$ 7.1 | \$ 68.1 | \$ 6.6 | \$ | \$ | \$ 6.6 | \$ 74.7 |
| Cash payments | (22.2) | | (2.6) | (24.8) | (0.3) | | | (0.3) | (25.1) |
| Non-cash charges | | (0.5) | | (0.5) | | | | | (0.5) |
| Effect of foreign currency translation | (2.2) | | | (2.2) | | | | | (2.2) |
| Restructuring liability, December 31, 2011 | 36.1 | | 4.5 | 40.6 | 6.3 | | | 6.3 | 46.9 |
| 2012 Activity: | | | | | | | | | |
| Recorded expense and accrual | 30.7 | | 1.5 | 32.2 | 24.1 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 27.9 | 60.1 |
| Cash payments | (13.9) | | (4.9) | (18.8) | (8.8) | | (0.6) | (9.4) | (28.2) |
| Non-cash charges | | | (0.8) | (0.8) | | (1.6) | | (1.6) | (2.4) |
| Effect of foreign currency translation | (3.3) | | | (3.3) | (0.1) | | | (0.1) | (3.4) |
| Restructuring liability, June 30, 2012 | \$ 49.6 | \$ | \$ 0.3 | \$ 49.9 | \$ 21.5 | \$ 0.2 | \$ 1.4 | \$ 23.1 | \$ 73.0 |

Nalco Restructuring Plan

Prior to the Nalco merger, Nalco conducted various restructuring programs to redesign and optimize its business and work processes (the Nalco Restructuring Plan). As part of the Nalco merger, Ecolab assumed the Nalco Restructuring Plan liability balance of \$10.6 million, which was primarily related to accrued severance and termination benefits. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the remaining liability balance related to the Nalco Restructuring Plan was \$6.5 million and \$10.6 million, respectively. Cash payments during the first six months of 2012 related to this Plan were \$4.2 million. The company expects to utilize the remaining liability through 2013 as part of the run-out of this Plan.

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. Special (Gains) and Charges (Continued)

Non-restructuring Special (Gains) and Charges

As a result of the Nalco merger, the company incurred special charges of \$13.0 million (\$8.8 million after tax) and \$115.5 million (\$86.5 million after tax) during the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively. Nalco related special charges have been included as a component of cost of sales, special (gains) and charges, net interest expense and net income attributable to noncontrolling interest on the Consolidated Statement of Income. Amounts within cost of sales and net income attributable to noncontrolling interest include the recognition of fair value step-up of Nalco inventory. Amounts within special (gains) and charges include merger and integration charges. Amounts within net interest expense include a loss on the extinguishment of Nalco's senior notes, which were assumed as part of the merger. Further details related to the Nalco merger are included in Note 3.

In the first quarter of 2011, the company completed the purchase of the assets of the Cleantec business of Campbell Brothers Ltd., Brisbane, Queensland, Australia (Cleantec). Special (gains) and charges in 2011 include acquisition integration costs incurred to optimize the Cleantec business structure. Further details related to the Cleantec acquisition are included in Note 3.

3. Acquisitions and Dispositions

Nalco Merger

On December 1, 2011, the company completed its merger with Nalco, the leading water treatment and process improvement company. The total fair value of cash and stock consideration transferred to acquire all of Nalco's common stock was approximately \$5.5 billion.

The company incurred certain Nalco related special charges associated with the merger during 2012, which were expensed as incurred and are reflected in the Consolidated Statement of Income. During the first six months of 2012, a total of \$115.5 million were incurred with \$30.6 million included in special (gains) and charges related to merger and integration charges, \$71.2 million and a corresponding reduction of \$4.5 million included in cost of sales and net income attributable to Ecolab, respectively, related to recognition of fair value step-up in Nalco inventory and \$18.2 million included in net interest expense related to a loss on the extinguishment of Nalco's senior notes. During the second quarter of 2012, \$13.0 million of Nalco related special charges were incurred.

The merger has been accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting which requires, among other things, that most assets acquired and liabilities assumed be recognized at fair value as of the acquisition date. Certain estimated values are not yet finalized and are subject to

change, which could be significant.

The following table summarizes the value of Nalco assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the merger date. Also summarized in the table, subsequent to the merger, net adjustments of \$48 million have been made to the purchase price allocations of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, with a corresponding adjustment to goodwill, as part of finalizing the preliminary purchase price allocations. The additional consideration transferred in 2012 relates to the resolution of an appraisal action with respect to dissenting Nalco shares.

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

3. Acquisitions and Dispositions (Continued)

| (millions) | Initial Valuation | 2012 Adjustments to Fair Value | June 30 2012 |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Current assets | \$ 1,869.6 | \$ (0.1) | \$ 1,869.5 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 1,069.2 | (0.4) | 1,068.8 |
| Other assets | 97.3 | (3.3) | 94.0 |
| Identifiable intangible assets | | | |
| Customer relationships | 2,160.0 | | 2,160.0 |
| Patents | 321.0 | | 321.0 |
| Trade names | 1,230.0 | | 1,230.0 |
| Trademarks | 79.0 | | 79.0 |
| Other technology | 91.0 | | 91.0 |
| Total assets acquired | 6,917.1 | (3.8) | 6,913.3 |
| Current liabilities | 1,105.5 | 20.2 | 1,125.7 |
| Long-term debt | 2,858.4 | | 2,858.4 |
| Pension and postretirement benefits | 505.7 | 2.2 | 507.9 |
| Net deferred tax liability | 1,188.7 | (5.8) | 1,182.9 |
| Noncontrolling interest and other liabilities | 167.7 | 27.4 | 195.1 |
| Total liabilities and noncontrolling interests assumed | 5,826.0 | 44.0 | 5,870.0 |
| Goodwill | 4,403.9 | 49.9 | 4,453.8 |
| Total consideration transferred | \$ 5,495.0 | \$ 2.1 | \$ 5,497.1 |

The adjustments to the purchase price allocation during 2012 primarily relate to accruals, contingent liabilities, current and noncurrent deferred tax assets and liabilities and other assets and liabilities of non-wholly owned subsidiaries.

The company will finalize the amounts recognized as information necessary to complete the analyses is obtained. The company expects to finalize these amounts no later than one year from the merger date. Amounts for certain contingent liabilities, certain deferred tax assets and liabilities and goodwill remain subject to change.

The customer relationships, patents, finite-lived trademarks and other technology are being amortized over weighted average lives of 15, 14, 15 and 8 years, respectively. The Nalco trade name has been determined to have an indefinite life.

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

3. Acquisitions and Dispositions (continued)

The following table provides unaudited pro forma net sales and pro forma results of operations for the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2011, assuming the Nalco merger had been completed on January 1, 2010. The unaudited pro forma results reflect certain adjustments that are directly attributable to the merger, supportable and expected to have a continuing impact on the combined results. The unaudited pro forma results do not include any anticipated cost savings from operating efficiencies or synergies that could result from the merger. Accordingly, such unaudited pro forma amounts are not necessarily indicative of the results that actually would have occurred had the merger been completed on January 1, 2010, nor are they indicative of future operating results of the combined company.

| (millions) | Second Quarter Ended June 30, 2011 | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 | |
|--|--|---------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| Net sales | \$ | 2,866.7 | \$ | 5,452.3 |
| Net income attributable to Ecolab | | 178.0 | | 359.3 |
| Earnings attributable to Ecolab per common share | | | | |
| Basic | \$ | 0.59 | \$ | 1.20 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.58 | \$ | 1.17 |

Other significant acquisition activity

Other completed acquisitions during the first six months of 2012 and all of 2011 (excluding Nalco) were not material to the company's consolidated financial statements; therefore pro forma financial information is not presented. The aggregate purchase price of acquisitions has been reduced for any cash or cash equivalents acquired with the acquisitions. Based upon purchase price allocations, excluding the Nalco merger, the components of the aggregate purchase prices of completed acquisitions during the second quarter and first six months 2012 and 2011 are shown in the following table.

| (millions) | Second Quarter Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net tangible assets acquired | \$ (2.1) | \$ 3.5 | \$ (0.4) | \$ 57.4 |
| Identifiable intangible assets | | | | |
| Customer relationships | 6.1 | 1.9 | 8.4 | 144.7 |
| Trademarks | 0.4 | | 0.5 | 11.2 |
| Patents | | | 2.8 | 0.3 |
| Other technology | 0.1 | (0.7) | 0.3 | 8.4 |
| Total | 6.6 | 1.2 | 12.0 | 164.6 |
| Goodwill | 9.0 | 3.6 | 23.2 | 91.9 |
| Total aggregate purchase price | 13.5 | 8.3 | 34.8 | 313.9 |
| Contingent consideration | | (4.7) | (2.6) | (4.7) |
| Liability for indemnification | | | (0.8) | (28.1) |

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----|------|----|-----|----|------|----|-------|
| Net cash paid for acquisitions | \$ | 13.5 | \$ | 3.6 | \$ | 31.4 | \$ | 281.1 |
|--------------------------------|----|------|----|-----|----|------|----|-------|

The weighted average useful lives of identifiable intangible assets acquired in the above table during the first six months of 2012 and 2011 were 12 and 14 years, respectively.

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

3. Acquisitions and Dispositions (continued)

2012 Activity

In December 2011, subsequent to the company's fiscal year end for international operations, the company completed the acquisition of Esoform, an independent Italian healthcare manufacturer focused on infection prevention and personal care. Based outside of Venice, Italy, with annual sales of approximately \$12 million, the business became part of the company's International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services reportable segment during the first quarter of 2012.

Also in December 2011, the company completed the acquisition of the InsetCenter pest elimination business in Brazil. Annual sales of the acquired business are approximately \$6 million. The business operations and staff have been integrated with the company's existing Brazil Pest Elimination business, and became part of the company's International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services reportable segment during the first quarter of 2012.

In March 2012, the company acquired Econ Indústria e Comércio de Produtos de Higiene e Limpeza Ltda., a provider of cleaning and sanitizing products and services to the Brazilian foodservice industry. Based in Sao Paulo, Brazil, its annual sales are approximately \$9 million. The business operations have been integrated within the company's existing Brazil Institutional business and became part of the company's International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services reportable segment during the second quarter of 2012.

There were no significant business disposals during the first six months of 2012.

2011 Activity

In December 2010, subsequent to the company's fiscal year end for international operations, the company completed the purchase of the assets of Cleantec located in Brisbane, Queensland, Australia. Cleantec is a developer, manufacturer and marketer of cleaning and hygiene products principally within the Australian food and beverage processing, foodservice, hospitality and textile care markets. The total purchase price was approximately \$43 million, of which \$2 million remains payable and was placed in an escrow account for indemnification purposes. The business, which had annual sales of approximately \$55 million, became part of the company's International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services segment during the first quarter of 2011.

In March 2011, the company closed on the purchase of the assets of O.R. Solutions, Inc., a privately-held developer and marketer of surgical fluid warming and cooling systems in the U.S. The total purchase price was approximately \$260 million, of which \$26 million remains payable

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

and was placed in an escrow account for indemnification purposes related to general representations and warranties. The business, which had annual sales of approximately \$55 million, became part of the company's U.S. Cleaning & Sanitizing segment during the first quarter of 2011.

There were no business disposals during 2011.

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

4. Balance Sheet Information

| (millions) | June 30 2012 | December 31 2011 |
|---|-----------------|---------------------|
| | (unaudited) | |
| Accounts receivable, net | | |
| Accounts receivable | \$ 2,133.9 | \$ 2,144.6 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (57.0) | (49.3) |
| Total | \$ 2,076.9 | \$ 2,095.3 |
| Inventories | | |
| Finished goods | \$ 738.4 | \$ 745.5 |
| Raw materials and parts | 352.1 | 351.4 |
| Inventories at FIFO cost | 1,090.5 | 1,096.9 |
| Excess of FIFO cost over LIFO cost | (18.8) | (27.3) |
| Total | \$ 1,071.7 | \$ 1,069.6 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | | |
| Land | \$ 149.1 | \$ 158.8 |
| Buildings and improvements | 488.4 | 483.8 |
| Leasehold improvements | 78.0 | 77.3 |
| Machinery and equipment | 1,197.8 | 1,206.1 |
| Merchandising and customer equipment | 1,732.9 | 1,682.7 |
| Capitalized software | 355.8 | 385.7 |
| Construction in progress | 239.9 | 182.7 |
| | 4,241.9 | 4,177.1 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (1,956.8) | (1,881.7) |
| Total | \$ 2,285.1 | \$ 2,295.4 |
| Other intangible assets, net | | |
| Cost of intangible assets not subject to amortization | | |
| Trade names | \$ 1,230.0 | \$ 1,230.0 |
| Cost of intangible assets subject to amortization | | |
| Customer relationships | \$ 2,552.4 | \$ 2,593.2 |
| Trademarks | 201.3 | 201.0 |
| Patents | 411.0 | 404.4 |
| Other technology | 174.3 | 174.6 |
| | \$ 3,339.0 | \$ 3,373.2 |
| Accumulated amortization | | |
| Customer relationships | \$ (274.3) | \$ (204.8) |
| Trademarks | (54.3) | (48.6) |
| Patents | (50.9) | (36.3) |
| Other technology | (48.6) | (38.3) |
| Other intangible assets, net | \$ 4,140.9 | \$ 4,275.2 |
| Other assets | | |
| Deferred income taxes | \$ 45.5 | \$ 61.9 |
| Pension | 22.0 | 22.3 |
| Other | 241.6 | 278.6 |

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------|----|-------|----|-------|
| Total | \$ | 309.1 | \$ | 362.8 |
|-------|----|-------|----|-------|

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

4. Balance Sheet Information (Continued)

| (millions) | June 30 2012 | (unaudited) | December 31 2011 |
|---|-------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Other current liabilities | | | |
| Discounts and rebates | \$ 246.5 | | \$ 239.9 |
| Dividends payable | 58.5 | | 60.0 |
| Interest payable | 25.9 | | 51.0 |
| Taxes payable, other than income | 64.0 | | 74.1 |
| Derivative liabilities | 15.1 | | 3.3 |
| Restructuring | 79.5 | | 63.8 |
| Other | 271.7 | | 256.6 |
| Total | \$ 761.2 | | \$ 748.7 |
| Other liabilities | | | |
| Deferred income taxes | \$ 1,215.5 | | \$ 1,249.2 |
| Income taxes payable - non-current | 83.4 | | 80.8 |
| Other | 140.4 | | 160.7 |
| Total | \$ 1,439.3 | | \$ 1,490.7 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | | | |
| Unrealized loss on derivative financial instruments, net of tax | \$ (12.1) | | \$ (13.5) |
| Unrecognized pension and postretirement benefit expense, net of tax | (465.8) | | (481.3) |
| Cumulative translation, net of tax | 4.2 | | 149.9 |
| Total | \$ (473.7) | | \$ (344.9) |

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

5. Debt and Interest

| (millions) | June 30 2012 | (unaudited) | December 31 2011 |
|---|-------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Short-term debt | | | |
| Commercial paper | \$ 1,322.0 | | \$ 916.1 |
| Notes payable | 117.1 | | 100.3 |
| Long-term debt, current maturities | 6.2 | | 6.6 |
| Total | \$ 1,445.3 | | \$ 1,023.0 |
| Long-term debt | | | |
| Description / 2012 Principal Amount | | | |
| Series A senior euro notes (125 million euro) | \$ 154.6 | | \$ 168.1 |
| Series B senior euro notes (175 million euro) | 216.4 | | 235.3 |
| Senior notes (\$250 million) | 249.2 | | 249.1 |
| Series A private placement senior notes (\$250 million) | 250.0 | | 250.0 |
| Series B private placement senior notes (\$250 million) | 250.0 | | 250.0 |
| Three year 2011 senior notes (\$500 million) | 499.8 | | 499.7 |
| Five year 2011 senior notes (\$1.25 billion) | 1,247.8 | | 1,247.6 |
| Ten year 2011 senior notes (\$1.25 billion) | 1,249.2 | | 1,249.2 |
| Thirty year 2011 senior notes (\$750 million) | 742.4 | | 742.3 |
| Nalco senior notes (\$0) | | | 838.7 |
| Nalco senior euro notes (\$0) | | | 300.7 |
| Nalco senior notes (\$0) | | | 558.5 |
| Capital lease obligations | 14.9 | | 18.3 |
| Other | 11.1 | | 12.3 |
| Total debt | 4,885.4 | | 6,619.8 |
| Long-term debt, current maturities | (6.2) | | (6.6) |
| Total long-term debt | \$ 4,879.2 | | \$ 6,613.2 |

In January 2012, the company redeemed \$1.7 billion of Nalco senior notes, which were assumed in 2011 as part of the merger. As part of the redemption, the company recognized an \$18.2 million loss for debt extinguishment. As of December 31, 2011, the Nalco senior notes were fully and unconditionally guaranteed by certain Nalco subsidiaries. In conjunction with the redemption in January 2012, all guarantees in place as of December 31, 2011 were extinguished.

In April 2012, the company reduced its 364 day credit facility from \$2.0 billion to \$1.0 billion.

In February 2011, the company repaid its \$150 million 6.875% senior notes when they became due.

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

5. Debt and Interest (continued)

Interest expense and interest income recognized during the second quarter and first six months 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

| (millions) | Second Quarter Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Interest expense | \$ 66.1 | \$ 14.8 | \$ 154.8 | \$ 29.8 |
| Interest income | (2.2) | (1.7) | (4.8) | (3.2) |
| Interest expense, net | \$ 63.9 | \$ 13.1 | \$ 150.0 | \$ 26.6 |

The increase in interest expense was driven primarily by debt issued to fund the cash portion of the Nalco merger consideration, the repayment of Nalco debt and share repurchases. Interest expense for 2012 also includes an \$18.2 million loss on extinguishment of Nalco debt, recognized in the first quarter.

6. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The company tests goodwill for impairment on an annual basis during the second quarter. The company's reporting units are its operating units, which subsequent to the Nalco merger also include Global Water, Global Paper and Global Energy. If circumstances change significantly, the company would also test a reporting unit's goodwill for impairment during interim periods between its annual tests.

During the second quarter ended June 30, 2012, the company completed its annual test for goodwill impairment. The current year review incorporated the new qualitative assessment guidance as discussed in Note 14. In addition to the qualitative analysis, the company performed quantitative procedures including a review of sensitivities around key inputs, assumptions and business projections for certain reporting units. Supplemental quantitative procedures were performed on the EMEA reporting unit given the current European economic conditions as well as the Global Water, Global Paper and Global Energy reporting units given the recent closing of the Nalco merger on December 1, 2011.

As expected the estimated fair value exceeded the carrying value of Global Water, Global Paper and Global Energy reporting units by a low margin as these separate reporting units were acquired on December 1, 2011 when the carrying value equaled the fair value. As part of this analysis the company updated the discount rate assumptions used in the quantitative procedures for the reduction in risk free rates in 2012 and other reductions in risk given the successful integration to date. The company used a range of discount rates from 9.6% to 10.4% compared to the 11.5% discount rate used in the purchase price allocation. The combined effect of lower discount rates and the updated projections drove an increase in estimated fair value for these reporting units in all cases.

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

Based on this testing, no adjustment to the carrying value of goodwill was necessary. There has been no impairment of goodwill since the adoption of FASB guidance for goodwill and other intangibles on January 1, 2002.

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

6. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (continued)

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for each of the company's reportable segments during the six months ended June 30, 2012 were as follows:

| (millions) | U.S. Cleaning & Sanitizing | U.S. Other Services | Int 1 Cleaning Sanitizing & Other Services | Global Water(b) | Global Paper(b) | Global Energy(b) | Total |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Goodwill as of December 31, 2011 | \$ 543.6 | \$ 50.5 | \$ 857.3 | \$ 1,933.0 | \$ 179.3 | \$ 2,291.6 | \$ 5,855.3 |
| Current year business acquisitions(a) | | | 17.1 | 6.1 | | | 23.2 |
| Prior year business acquisitions | | | | 21.5 | 9.5 | 18.9 | 49.9 |
| Effect of foreign currency translation | | | (57.8) | (21.4) | (2.1) | (25.3) | (106.6) |
| Goodwill as of June 30, 2012 | \$ 543.6 | \$ 50.5 | \$ 816.6 | \$ 1,939.2 | \$ 186.7 | \$ 2,285.2 | \$ 5,821.8 |

(a) For 2012, none of the goodwill related to businesses acquired is expected to be tax deductible.

(b) The company completed its goodwill allocation related to the Nalco merger during the second quarter of 2012. As such, goodwill acquired through the Nalco merger has been disclosed for each legacy Nalco reportable segment beginning in the second quarter of 2012, with disclosure back to year end 2011.

The merger with Nalco resulted in the addition of \$4.4 billion of goodwill. Subsequent performance of the reporting units acquired through the Nalco merger relative to projections used for the purchase price allocation of goodwill could result in an impairment if there is either underperformance by the reporting unit or if the carrying value of the reporting unit were to fluctuate due to working capital changes or other reasons that did not proportionately increase fair value.

As part of the Nalco merger, the company added the Nalco trade name as an indefinite life intangible asset. The \$1.2 billion carrying value of this asset was subject to impairment testing during the second quarter. Based on this testing, no adjustment to the carrying value was necessary.

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

6. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (continued)

The company's other intangible assets subject to amortization primarily include customer relationships, trademarks, patents and other technology. Other intangible assets are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated economic lives. Total amortization expense related to other intangible assets during the second quarter ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$59.5 million and \$13.8 million, respectively. Total amortization expense related to other intangible assets during the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$118.7 million and \$25.3 million, respectively. The large increase from 2011 to 2012 is primarily due to the Nalco merger. As of June 30, 2012, future estimated amortization expense related to amortizable other identifiable intangible assets is expected to be:

(millions)

| | | |
|------------------------------------|----|-----|
| 2012 (Remainder: six-month period) | \$ | 117 |
| 2013 | | 234 |
| 2014 | | 223 |
| 2015 | | 220 |
| 2016 | | 216 |

7. Fair Value Measurements

The company's financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, money market funds in a rabbi trust, accounts receivable, accounts payable, contingent consideration obligations, commercial paper, notes payable, foreign currency forward contracts and long-term debt.

Fair value is defined as the exit price, or the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. A hierarchy has been established for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. The hierarchy is broken down into three levels:

Level 1 - Inputs are quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 - Inputs include observable inputs other than quoted prices in active markets.

Level 3 - Inputs are unobservable inputs for which there is little or no market data available.

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

7. Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

The carrying amount and the estimated fair value for assets and liabilities measured on a recurring basis were:

| June 30 (millions) | Carrying Amount | 2012 Fair Value Measurements | | |
|---|--------------------|---------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Money market funds held in rabbi trusts | \$ 0.9 | \$ 0.9 | \$ | \$ |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | 18.5 | | 18.5 | |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | 15.1 | | 15.1 | |
| Contingent consideration obligations | 24.5 | | | 24.5 |

| December 31 (millions) | Carrying Amount | 2011 Fair Value Measurements | | |
|---|--------------------|---------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Money market funds held in rabbi trusts | \$ 0.9 | \$ 0.9 | \$ | \$ |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | 10.4 | | 10.4 | |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | 3.3 | | 3.3 | |
| Contingent consideration obligations | 25.1 | | | 25.1 |

Money market funds held in rabbi trusts are classified within level 1 because they are valued using quoted prices in active markets. The carrying value of foreign currency forward contracts is at fair value, which is determined based on foreign currency exchange rates as of the balance sheet date, and is classified within level 2.

Contingent consideration liabilities are classified within level 3 because fair value is measured based on the probability-weighted present value of the consideration expected to be transferred. The consideration expected to be transferred is based on the company's expectations of various financial measures. The ultimate payment of contingent consideration could deviate from current estimates based on the actual results of these financial measures. Changes in the fair value of contingent consideration obligations for the six months ended June 30, 2012 were as follows:

| | |
|---|---------|
| (millions) | |
| Contingent consideration, December 31, 2011 | \$ 25.1 |
| Liabilities recognized at acquisition date | 2.4 |
| Loss (gain) recognized in earnings | (2.2) |
| Settlements | (0.7) |

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|----|-------|
| Foreign currency translation | | (0.1) |
| Contingent consideration, June 30, 2012 | \$ | 24.5 |

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

7. Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

The carrying values of accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value because of their short maturities. The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, commercial paper and notes payable approximate fair value because of their short maturities, and as such are classified within level 1.

The fair value of long-term debt is based on quoted market prices for the same or similar debt instruments and as such is classified within level 1. The carrying amount and the estimated fair value of long-term debt, including current maturities, held by the company were:

| (millions) | June 30, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|---|-----------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| Long-term debt (including current maturities) | \$ 4,885.4 | \$ 5,393.4 | \$ 6,619.8 | \$ 6,885.3 |

8. Derivatives and Hedging TransactionsDerivative Instruments and Hedging

The company uses foreign currency forward contracts, interest rate swaps and foreign currency debt to manage risks associated with foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates and net investments in foreign operations. The company does not hold derivative financial instruments of a speculative nature. The company records all derivatives as assets and liabilities on the balance sheet at fair value. Changes in fair value are recognized immediately in earnings unless the derivative qualifies and is designated as a hedge. The effective portion of changes in fair value of hedges is initially recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Amounts recorded in AOCI are reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transactions affect earnings. The company evaluates hedge effectiveness at inception and on an ongoing basis. If a derivative is no longer expected to be effective, hedge accounting is discontinued. Hedge ineffectiveness, if any, is recorded in earnings.

The company is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance of counterparties for foreign currency forward exchange contracts and interest rate swap agreements. The company monitors its exposure to credit risk by using credit approvals and credit limits and by selecting major international banks and financial institutions as counterparties. The company does not anticipate nonperformance by any of these counterparties.

Derivatives Designated as Cash Flow Hedges

The company utilizes foreign currency forward contracts to hedge the effect of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations on forecasted foreign currency transactions, including: sales, inventory purchases, and intercompany royalty and management fee payments. These forward contracts are designated as cash flow hedges. The effective portions of the changes in fair value of these contracts are recorded in AOCI until the hedged items affect earnings, at which time the gain or loss is reclassified into the same line item in the Consolidated Statement of Income as the underlying exposure being hedged. All hedged transactions are forecasted to occur within the next twelve months.

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8. Derivatives and Hedging Transactions (Continued)

The company occasionally enters into interest rate swap contracts to manage interest rate exposures. In 2011, the company entered into and subsequently closed six forward starting swap agreements in connection with the issuance of its private placement debt during the fourth quarter of 2011. The interest rate swap agreements were designated and effective as cash flow hedges of the expected interest payments related to the anticipated debt issuance. In 2006, the company entered into and subsequently closed two forward starting swap contracts related to the issuance of its senior euro notes. The amounts recorded in AOCI for both the 2011 and 2006 transactions are recognized as part of interest expense over the remaining life of the notes as the forecasted interest transactions occur.

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments

The company also uses foreign currency forward contracts to offset its exposure to the change in value of certain foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities, primarily receivables and payables. Although the contracts are effective economic hedges, they are not designated as accounting hedges. Therefore, changes in the value of these derivatives are recognized immediately in earnings, thereby offsetting the current earnings effect of the related foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities.

Derivative Summary

The following table summarizes the fair value of the company's outstanding derivatives. The amounts are included in other current assets and other current liabilities on the balance sheet.

| (millions) | Asset Derivatives | | Liability Derivatives | |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| | June 30 2012 | December 31 2011 | June 30 2012 | December 31 2011 |
| <u>Derivatives designated as hedging instruments</u> | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | \$ 3.5 | \$ 3.8 | \$ 0.8 | \$ 1.2 |
| <u>Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments</u> | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | 15.0 | 6.6 | 14.3 | 2.1 |
| Total | \$ 18.5 | \$ 10.4 | \$ 15.1 | \$ 3.3 |

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

The company had foreign currency forward exchange contracts with notional values that totaled approximately \$872 million at June 30, 2012, and \$586 million at December 31, 2011. The increase from December 31, 2011 is primarily driven by increased hedging activity as a result of the inclusion of Nalco operations in 2012.

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8. Derivatives and Hedging Transactions (Continued)

The impact on AOCI and earnings from derivative contracts that qualified as cash flow hedges was as follows:

| (millions) | Location | Second Quarter Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| <u>Unrealized gain (loss) recognized into AOCI</u> <u>(effective portion)</u> | | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | AOCI (equity) | \$ 4.4 | \$ (1.4) | \$ 1.5 | \$ (5.6) |
| Interest rate swap contracts | AOCI (equity) | | (8.7) | | (7.8) |
| <u>Gain (loss) reclassified from AOCI into income</u> <u>(effective portion)</u> | | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | Sales | \$ (0.1) | \$ (0.1) | \$ (0.1) | \$ (0.2) |
| | Cost of sales | 0.5 | (1.3) | 1.0 | (2.4) |
| | SG&A | 0.2 | (0.6) | 0.3 | (0.9) |
| | | 0.6 | (2.0) | 1.2 | (3.5) |
| Interest rate swap | Interest expense, net | (0.6) | (0.1) | (1.3) | (0.2) |
| | | \$ (2.1) | \$ (0.1) | \$ (0.1) | \$ (3.7) |
| <u>Gain (loss) recognized in income on derivative</u> <u>(ineffective portion)</u> | | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | Interest expense, net | \$ (0.2) | \$ (0.4) | \$ (0.6) | \$ (0.8) |

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8. Derivatives and Hedging Transactions (Continued)

The impact on earnings from derivative contracts that are not designated as hedging instruments was as follows:

| (millions) | Location | Second Quarter Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|--------|
| | | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| <u>Gain (loss) recognized in income</u> | | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | SG&A | \$ 3.1 | \$ 2.1 | \$ (0.8) | \$ 5.5 |
| | Interest expense, net | (1.3) | (1.3) | (3.5) | (2.8) |
| | | \$ 1.8 | \$ 0.8 | \$ (4.3) | \$ 2.7 |

The amounts recognized in SG&A above offset the earnings impact of the related foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities. The amounts recognized in interest expense above represent the component of the hedging gains (losses) attributable to the difference between the spot and forward rates of the hedges as a result of interest rate differentials.

Net Investment Hedge

The company designates its euro 300 million (\$371 million as of June 30, 2012) senior notes and related accrued interest as a hedge of existing foreign currency exposures related to net investments the company has in certain Euro functional subsidiaries. Prior to redemption in January 2012, the Nalco euro denominated borrowings were also designated as a hedge of existing foreign currency exposures. Accordingly, the transaction gains and losses on the euronotes which are designated and effective as hedges of the company's net investments have been included as a component of the cumulative translation adjustment account.

Total transaction gains and losses related to the euronotes charged to shareholders' equity were as follows:

| (millions) | Second Quarter Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Transaction gains (losses), net of tax | \$ 18.1 | \$ (11.0) | \$ 25.7 | \$ (27.9) |

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

9. Shareholders' Equity

In May 2011, the company's Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 15 million shares of common stock, including shares to be repurchased under Rule 10b5-1. In August 2011, the Finance Committee of the company's Board of Directors, via delegation by the company's Board of Directors, authorized the repurchase of an additional 10 million common shares which was contingent upon completion of the merger with Nalco.

In September 2011, under the existing Board authorization, subject to the completion of the Nalco merger, the company announced a \$1.0 billion share repurchase program. As part of this program, in December 2011, the company entered into an accelerated share repurchase (ASR) agreement with a financial institution to repurchase \$500 million of its common stock. Under the ASR, the company received 8,330,379 shares of its common stock in December 2011. The final per share purchase price and the total number of shares to be repurchased under the ASR agreement were generally based on the volume weighted average price of the company's common stock during the term of the agreement. The ASR agreement ended in the first quarter of 2012. In connection with the finalization of the ASR agreement, the company received an additional 122,314 shares of common stock. All shares acquired under the ASR agreement were recorded as treasury stock.

The company intends to repurchase all shares under its authorizations, for which no expiration date has been established, in open market or privately negotiated transactions, subject to market conditions. As of June 30, 2012, 15,810,096 shares remained to be repurchased under the company's repurchase authorization and approximately \$279 million remained to be purchased as part of the \$1 billion program discussed above.

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

10. Earnings Attributable to Ecolab Per Common Share

The computations of the basic and diluted earnings attributable to Ecolab per share amounts were as follows:

| (millions, except per share amounts) | Second Quarter Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|--|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income attributable to Ecolab | \$ 184.5 | \$ 125.9 | \$ 234.2 | \$ 219.5 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding | | | | |
| Basic | 291.9 | 231.6 | 291.7 | 231.8 |
| Effect of dilutive stock options, units and awards | 6.3 | 4.5 | 6.4 | 4.4 |
| Diluted | 298.2 | 236.1 | 298.1 | 236.2 |
| Earnings attributable to Ecolab per common share | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.63 | \$ 0.54 | \$ 0.80 | \$ 0.95 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.62 | \$ 0.53 | \$ 0.79 | \$ 0.93 |
| Anti-dilutive securities excluded from computation of earnings per share | 0.8 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 2.4 |

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

11. Pension and Postretirement Plans

As part of the merger with Nalco, the company assumed sponsorship of the Nalco qualified and non-qualified pension and other postretirement benefit plans.

The company has non-contributory qualified defined benefit pension plans covering most of its U.S. employees. The company also has U.S. non-contributory non-qualified defined benefit plans, which provide for benefits to employees in excess of limits permitted under its U.S. pension plans. Various international subsidiaries also have defined benefit pension plans. The company provides postretirement health care benefits to certain U.S. employees.

The components of net periodic pension and postretirement health care benefit costs for the second quarter ended June 30 are as follows:

| (millions) | U.S. Pension | | International Pension | | U.S. Postretirement Health Care | |
|--|--------------|---------|-----------------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Service cost | \$ 12.6 | \$ 11.7 | \$ 7.3 | \$ 5.9 | \$ 1.3 | \$ 0.5 |
| Interest cost on benefit obligation | 22.3 | 15.3 | 12.0 | 7.2 | 3.2 | 2.1 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (31.8) | (24.7) | (10.7) | (5.7) | (0.3) | (0.4) |
| Recognition of net actuarial loss | 11.3 | 8.0 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Amortization of prior service cost (benefit) | (1.0) | (1.1) | | | | |
| Settlements/curtailments | | | 0.8 | | | |
| | \$ 13.4 | \$ 9.2 | \$ 10.4 | \$ 8.8 | \$ 4.3 | \$ 2.3 |

The components of net periodic pension and postretirement health care benefit costs for the six months ended June 30 are as follows:

| (millions) | U.S. Pension | | International Pension | | U.S. Postretirement Health Care | |
|--|--------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|---------------------------------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Service cost | \$ 25.2 | \$ 23.4 | \$ 14.7 | \$ 11.5 | \$ 2.5 | \$ 1.0 |
| Interest cost on benefit obligation | 44.7 | 30.6 | 23.8 | 14.0 | 6.5 | 4.2 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (63.6) | (49.4) | (21.2) | (11.2) | (0.6) | (0.8) |
| Recognition of net actuarial loss | 22.6 | 16.0 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Amortization of prior service cost (benefit) | (2.1) | (2.2) | (0.1) | | | |
| Settlements/curtailments | | | 0.8 | | | |
| | \$ 26.8 | \$ 18.4 | \$ 20.0 | \$ 17.1 | \$ 8.6 | \$ 4.6 |

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

Based on the plan asset values as of December 31, 2011, the company is required to make contributions of \$38 million to its Nalco U.S. pension plan during 2012, of which \$16 million was funded during the first six months of 2012, with the remaining \$22 million expected to be contributed during the remainder of 2012. In April 2012, the company made a \$150 million voluntary contribution to its U.S. pension plans. In the second quarter of 2011, the company made a \$100 million voluntary contribution to its U.S. pension plan.

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

11. Pension and Postretirement Plans (continued)

During the first six months of 2012, the company made payments of \$5 million to its U.S. non-contributory non-qualified defined benefit plans, and estimates that it will make payments of approximately \$5 million more to such plans during the remainder of 2012.

The company contributed \$22 million to its international pension benefit plans during the first six months of 2012. The company currently estimates that it will contribute approximately \$22 million more to the international pension benefit plans during the remainder of 2012.

During the first six months of 2012, the company made payments of \$8 million to its U.S. postretirement health care benefit plans, and estimates that it will make payments of approximately \$8 million more to such plans during the remainder of 2012.

12. Operating Segments

Effective with the Nalco merger, the company added Nalco's three legacy operating units (Water Services, Paper Services and Energy Services) as additional reportable segments to the merged company's reporting structure.

Beginning in the first quarter of 2012, the Water Services, Paper Services and Energy Services reportable segments were renamed as the Global Water, Global Paper and Global Energy reportable segments, respectively. With the exception of the water treatment related business change discussed below, the underlying structure of the Global Water, Global Paper and Global Energy segments remains the same as 2011.

Beginning in the first quarter of 2012, the International reportable segment was renamed as the International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services reportable segment. With the exception of the water treatment related business change discussed below, the underlying structure of the International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services segment remains the same as 2011.

Beginning in the first quarter of 2012, due to changes in how the company internally manages and reports results within its legacy Ecolab Food & Beverage and Asia Pacific operating units, certain water treatment related businesses were moved from the U.S. Cleaning & Sanitizing and International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services reportable segments to the Global Water reportable segment. The movement of these businesses did not significantly impact year-over-year comparability; therefore, prior year reported segment information has not been restated to reflect this change.

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

12. Operating Segments (continued)

The company's fifteen operating units are aggregated into six reportable segments: U.S. Cleaning & Sanitizing, U.S. Other Services, International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services, Global Water, Global Paper and Global Energy. The profitability of the company's operating units is evaluated by management based on operating income. The company has no intersegment revenues. Financial information for each of the company's reportable segments is as follows:

| (millions) | Second Quarter Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|---|---------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net Sales | | | | |
| U.S. Cleaning & Sanitizing | \$ 760.9 | \$ 752.4 | \$ 1,469.8 | \$ 1,433.9 |
| U.S. Other Services | 121.5 | 116.5 | 232.9 | 223.7 |
| Int'l Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services | 796.5 | 771.9 | 1,529.2 | 1,480.6 |
| Global Water | 509.8 | | 1,008.3 | |
| Global Paper | 200.8 | | 399.8 | |
| Global Energy | 548.3 | | 1,085.2 | |
| Subtotal at fixed currency rates | 2,937.8 | 1,640.8 | 5,725.2 | 3,138.2 |
| Effect of foreign currency translation | 20.9 | 58.0 | 44.4 | 78.9 |
| Consolidated | \$ 2,958.7 | \$ 1,698.8 | \$ 5,769.6 | \$ 3,217.1 |
| Operating Income | | | | |
| U.S. Cleaning & Sanitizing | \$ 170.3 | \$ 143.0 | \$ 298.7 | \$ 254.9 |
| U.S. Other Services | 18.5 | 15.9 | 32.3 | 30.6 |
| Int'l Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services | 82.4 | 69.4 | 130.5 | 113.4 |
| Global Water | 54.8 | | 97.8 | |
| Global Paper | 18.7 | | 37.0 | |
| Global Energy | 78.8 | | 163.2 | |
| Corporate | (99.0) | (35.7) | (270.5) | (56.3) |
| Subtotal at fixed currency rates | 324.5 | 192.6 | 489.0 | 342.6 |
| Effect of foreign currency translation | 2.0 | 5.7 | 3.3 | 7.4 |
| Consolidated | \$ 326.5 | \$ 198.3 | \$ 492.3 | \$ 350.0 |

The international amounts included within the International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services, Global Water, Global Paper and Global Energy segments are based on translation into U.S. dollars at the fixed currency exchange rates used by management for 2012.

Consistent with the company's internal management reporting, the Corporate segment includes amortization specifically from the Nalco merger intangible assets, merger integration costs and investments the company is making in business systems and structure. The Corporate segment also includes special (gains) and charges reported on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

13. Commitments and Contingencies

The company is subject to various claims and contingencies related to, among other things, workers' compensation, general and product liability, automobile claims, health care claims, environmental matters, income taxes and lawsuits. The company also has contractual obligations including lease commitments.

The company records liabilities where a contingent loss is probable and can be reasonably estimated. If the reasonable estimate of a probable loss is a range, the company records the most probable estimate of the loss or the minimum amount when no amount within the range is a better estimate than any other amount. The company discloses a contingent liability even if the liability is not probable or the amount is not estimable, or both, if there is a reasonable possibility that a material loss may have been incurred.

The company and certain subsidiaries are party to various lawsuits, claims and environmental actions that have arisen in the ordinary course of business. These include from time to time antitrust, commercial, patent infringement, product liability and wage hour lawsuits, as well as possible obligations to investigate and mitigate the effects on the environment of the disposal or release of certain chemical substances at various sites, such as Superfund sites and other operating or closed facilities. The company has established accruals for certain lawsuits, claims and environmental matters. The company currently believes that there is not a reasonably possible risk of material loss in excess of the amounts accrued related to these legal matters. Because litigation is inherently uncertain, and unfavorable rulings or developments could occur, there can be no certainty that the company may not ultimately incur charges in excess of presently recorded liabilities. A future adverse ruling, settlement or unfavorable development could result in future charges that could have a material adverse effect on the company's results of operations or cash flows in the period in which they are recorded. The company currently believes that such future charges related to suits and legal claims, if any, would not have a material adverse effect on the company's consolidated financial position.

Matters Related to Wage Hour Claims

The company is a defendant in six wage hour lawsuits claiming violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act or a similar state law. Five of the suits seek certification of a state class of certain Institutional, Pest Elimination or Ecolab Equipment Care (formerly GCS) division associates. One of these actions, a federal action alleging various California state law claims, has been certified for class treatment of California Pest Elimination employees on certain of the claims and another, a California state action, has been certified for class treatment of California Institutional employees. None of the other suits based on state law causes of action have been certified for class-action status. The sixth suit, in which a settlement has been approved by the federal court, sought certification of a national class of certain independent contractors in the company's U.S. Other Services segment, as well as the granting of certain employment benefits. The settlement amount is not material.

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

13. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

Matters Related to the Merger Transaction with Nalco Holding Company

Following the announcement of the Nalco merger, four purported stockholders of Nalco filed putative class action lawsuits against the members of Nalco's board of directors and Ecolab, among other defendants, in the Circuit Court of the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit, DuPage County, State of Illinois. The court consolidated the four putative class action lawsuits into one action. The plaintiffs in the consolidated action filed a consolidated amended complaint. The consolidated amended complaint alleges, among other things, that the merger transaction was the result of an unfair and inadequate process, that the consideration to be received by Nalco stockholders in the merger was inadequate, that the preliminary joint proxy statement/prospectus (filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with the merger) contained misstatements and omissions and that the members of Nalco's board of directors breached their fiduciary duties to Nalco stockholders. The consolidated amended complaint additionally alleges that Nalco and Ecolab aided and abetted the Nalco board of directors in their alleged breach of fiduciary duties. The consolidated action sought, among other things, injunctive relief enjoining Ecolab and Nalco from proceeding with the merger.

On June 20, 2012, the court approved settlement of the consolidated action. Under the settlement, the consolidated action was dismissed with prejudice on the merits and all defendants were released from any and all claims relating to, among other things, the merger and any related disclosures. In exchange for the releases provided in the settlement, Ecolab and Nalco provided additional disclosure in the joint proxy statement/prospectus requested by plaintiffs in the consolidated action. The parties also agreed that the lead plaintiff could apply to the court for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses from Nalco. The court award for fees and expenses was immaterial.

Matters Related to Deepwater Horizon Incident Response

On April 22, 2010, the deepwater drilling platform, the Deepwater Horizon, operated by a subsidiary of BP plc, sank in the Gulf of Mexico after a catastrophic explosion and fire that began on April 20, 2010. A massive oil spill resulted. Approximately one week following the incident, subsidiaries of BP plc, under the authorization of the responding federal agencies, formally requested Nalco Company, now an indirect subsidiary of Ecolab, to supply large quantities of COREXIT® 9500, a Nalco oil dispersant product listed on the U.S. EPA National Contingency Plan Product Schedule. Nalco Company responded immediately by providing available COREXIT and increasing production to supply the product to BP's subsidiaries for use, as authorized and directed by agencies of the federal government throughout the incident. Prior to the incident, Nalco and its subsidiaries had not provided products or services or otherwise had any involvement with the Deepwater Horizon platform. On July 15, 2010, BP announced that it had capped the leaking well, and the application of dispersants by the responding parties ceased shortly thereafter.

On May 1, 2010, the President appointed retired U.S. Coast Guard Commandant Admiral Thad Allen to serve as the National Incident Commander in charge of the coordination of the response to the incident at the national level. The EPA directed numerous tests of all the dispersants on the National Contingency Plan Product Schedule, including those provided by Nalco Company, to ensure decisions about ongoing dispersant use in the Gulf of Mexico are grounded in the best available science. Nalco Company cooperated with this testing process and continued to supply COREXIT 9500, as requested by BP and government authorities. After review and testing of a number of dispersants, on

June 30, 2010, and on August 2, 2010, the EPA released toxicity data for eight oil dispersants.

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

13. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

The use of dispersants by the responding parties was one tool used by the government and BP to avoid and reduce damage to the Gulf area from the spill. Since the spill occurred, the EPA and other federal agencies have closely monitored conditions in areas where dispersant has been applied. Nalco Company has encouraged ongoing monitoring and review of COREXIT and other dispersants and have cooperated fully with the governmental review and approval process. However, in connection with its provision of COREXIT, Nalco Company has been named in several lawsuits as described below.

Putative Class Action Litigation

In June, July and August 2010, in April 2011 and in April 2012, Nalco Company was named, along with other unaffiliated defendants, in nine putative class action complaints filed in either the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana (Parker, et al. v. Nalco Company, et al., Civil Action No. 2:10-cv-01749-CJB-SS; Harris, et al. v. BP, plc, et al., Civil Action No. 2:10-cv-02078-CJB-SS; Irelan v. BP Products, Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 11-cv-00881; Adams v. Louisiana, et al., Civil Action No. 11-cv-01051; Elrod, et al. v. BP Exploration & Production Inc., et al., 12-cv-00981), the United States District Court for the Southern District of Alabama, Southern Division (Lavigne, et al. v. BP PLC, et al., Civil Action No. 1:10-cv-00222-KD-C; Wright, et al. v. BP, plc, et al., Civil Action No. 1:10-cv-00397-B) or the United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida, Pensacola Division (Walsh, et al. v. BP, PLC, et al., Civil Action No. 3:10-cv-00143-RV-MD; Petitjean, et al. v. BP, plc, et al., Case No. 3:10-cv-00316-RS-EMT) on behalf of various potential classes of persons who live and work in or derive income from the Coastal Zone. The Parker, Lavigne and Walsh cases have since been voluntarily dismissed. Each of the remaining actions contains substantially similar allegations, generally alleging, among other things, negligence relating to the use of our COREXIT dispersant in connection with the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. The plaintiffs in each of these putative class action lawsuits are generally seeking awards of unspecified compensatory and punitive damages, and attorneys' fees and costs.

Other Related Federal Claims

In July, August, September, October and December 2010, Nalco Company was also named, along with other unaffiliated defendants, in eight complaints filed by individuals in either the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana (Ezell v. BP, plc, et al., Case No. 2:10-cv-01920-KDE-JCW), the United States District Court for the Southern District of Alabama, Southern Division (Monroe v. BP, plc, et al., Case No. 1:10-cv-00472-M; Hill v. BP, plc, et al., Civil Action No. 1:10-cv-00471-CG-N; Hudley v. BP, plc, et al., Civil Action No. 10-cv-00532-N), the United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida, Tallahassee Division (Capt Ander, Inc. v. BP, plc, et al., Case No. 4:10-cv-00364-RH-WCS), the United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi, Southern Division (Trehern v. BP, plc, et al., Case No. 1:10-cv-00432-HSO-JMR) or the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas (Chatman v. BP Exploration & Production, Civil Action No. 10-cv-04329; Brooks v. Tidewater Marine LLC, et al., Civil Action No. 11-cv-00049).

In April 2011, Nalco Company was also named in Best v. British Petroleum plc, et al., Civil Action No. 11-cv-00772 (E.D. La.); Black v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., et al. Civil Action No. 2:11-cv-867, (E.D. La.); Pearson v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc., Civil Action No. 2:11-cv-863, (E.D. La.); Alexander, et al. v. BP Exploration & Production, et al., Civil Action No. 11-cv-00951 (E.D. La.); and Coco v. BP

Products North America, Inc., et al. (E.D. La.).

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

13. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

In October 2011, Nalco Company was also named in *Toups, et al. v Nalco Company, et al.*, No. 59-121 (25th Judicial District Court, Parish of Plaquemines, Louisiana). In November 2011, *Toups* was removed to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana. In April 2012, Nalco Company was named in *Eponge v. BP, P.L.C., et al.*, Case No. 0166367 (32nd Judicial District Court, Parish of Terrebonne, Louisiana); and *Hogan v. British Petroleum Exploration & Production, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 2012-22995 (District Court, Harris County, Texas). In April 2012, *Eponge* was removed to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana. In May 2012, *Hogan* was removed to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas. In June 2012, the Judicial Panel for Multidistrict Litigation transferred *Hogan* to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

The complaint in *Eponge* generally alleges, among other things, that oil and dispersants have caused and will continue to cause plaintiffs to lose revenue and/or earning capacity. The remaining complaints generally allege, among other things, negligence and injury resulting from the use of COREXIT dispersant in connection with the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. The complaints seek unspecified compensatory and punitive damages, and attorneys' fees and costs. The *Chatman* case was voluntarily dismissed.

In January 2012, Nalco Company was named, along with other unaffiliated defendants, in *Top Water Charters, LLC v. BP, P.L.C., et al.*, No. 0165708 (32nd Judicial District Court, Parish of Terrebonne, Louisiana). The complaint generally alleges, among other things, negligence and gross negligence relating to the Deepwater Horizon oil spill and use of chemical dispersants. The plaintiffs allege that the oil and dispersants have harmed their fishing charter businesses and seek unspecified compensatory damages, punitive damages and attorneys' fees and costs. In February 2012, *Top Water Charters* was removed to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

All of the above-referenced cases pending against Nalco Company have been administratively transferred for pre-trial purposes to a judge in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana with other related cases under *In Re: Oil Spill by the Oil Rig Deepwater Horizon in the Gulf of Mexico*, on April 20, 2010, Civil Action No. 10-md-02179 (E.D. La.) (MDL 2179). Pursuant to orders issued by Judge Barbier in MDL 2179, the claims have been consolidated in several master complaints, including one naming Nalco Company and others who responded to the Gulf Oil Spill (known as the B3 Bundle). Plaintiffs are required by Judge Barbier to prepare a list designating previously-filed lawsuits that assert claims within the B3 Bundle regardless of whether the lawsuit named each defendant named in the B3 Bundle master complaint. Nalco Company has received a draft list from the plaintiffs' steering committee. The draft list identifies fifteen cases in the B3 Bundle, some of which are putative class actions. Six cases previously filed against Nalco Company are not included in the B3 Bundle.

Pursuant to orders issued by Judge Barbier in MDL 2179, claimants wishing to assert causes of action subject to one or more of the master complaints were permitted to do so by filing a short-form joinder. A short-form joinder is deemed to be an intervention into one or more of the master complaints in MDL 2179. The deadline for filing short form joinders was April 20, 2011. Of the individuals who have filed short form joinders that intervene in the B3 Bundle, Nalco Company has no reason to believe that these individuals are different from those covered by the putative class actions described above. These plaintiffs who have intervened in the B3 Bundle seek to recover damages for alleged personal injuries, medical monitoring and/or property damage related to the oil spill clean-up efforts.

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

13. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

On April 18, 2012, BP and the Plaintiffs Steering Committee (PSC) for MDL 2179 filed motions for preliminary approval of two proposed class action settlements: (1) a proposed Medical Benefits Class Action Settlement; and (2) a proposed Economic and Property Damages Class Action Settlement. Pursuant to the proposed settlements, class members agree to release claims against BP and other released parties, including Nalco Energy Services, LP, Nalco Holding Company, Nalco Finance Holdings LLC, Nalco Finance Holdings Inc., Nalco Holdings LLC and Nalco Company. Potential class members will be permitted to opt-out of the settlements. BP and the PSC have requested that the opt-out period close by October 1, 2012.

On May 2, 2012, the Court preliminarily approved the Medical Benefits Class Action Settlement and Economic and Property Damages Class Action Settlement. A hearing to consider the fairness, reasonableness and adequacy of the proposed settlements is scheduled for November 8, 2012.

Nalco Company, the incident defendants and the other responder defendants have been named as third party defendants by Transocean Deepwater Drilling, Inc. and its affiliates (the Transocean Entities) (In re the Complaint and Petition of Triton Asset Leasing GmbH, et al, MDL No. 2179, Civil Action 10-2771). In April and May 2011, the Transocean Entities, Cameron International Corporation, Halliburton Energy Services, Inc., M-I L.L.C., Weatherford U.S., L.P. and Weatherford International, Inc. (collectively, the Cross Claimants) filed cross claims in MDL 2179 against Nalco Company and other unaffiliated cross defendants. The Cross Claimants generally allege, among other things, that if they are found liable for damages resulting from the Deepwater Horizon explosion, oil spill and/or spill response, they are entitled to indemnity or contribution from the cross defendants.

In April and June 2011, in support of its defense of the claims against it, Nalco Company filed counterclaims against the Cross Claimants. In its counterclaims, Nalco Company generally alleges that if it is found liable for damages resulting from the Deepwater Horizon explosion, oil spill and/or spill response, it is entitled to contribution or indemnity from the Cross Claimants.

Other Related State Court Actions

In March 2011, Nalco Company was named, along with other unaffiliated defendants, in an amended complaint filed by an individual in the Circuit Court of Harrison County, Mississippi, Second Judicial District (Franks v. Sea Tow of South Miss, Inc., et al., Cause No. A2402-10-228 (Circuit Court of Harrison County, Mississippi)). The amended complaint generally asserts, among other things, negligence and strict product liability claims relating to the plaintiff s alleged exposure to chemical dispersants manufactured by Nalco Company. The plaintiff seeks unspecified compensatory damages, medical expenses, and attorneys fees and costs.

The company believes the claims asserted against Nalco Company are without merit and intends to defend these lawsuits vigorously. The company also believes that it has rights to contribution and/or indemnification (including legal expenses) from third parties. However, the

company cannot predict the outcome of these lawsuits, the involvement it might have in these matters in the future, or the potential for future litigation.

ECOLAB INC.

CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

14. New Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued updated accounting guidance on fair value measurements. The updated guidance resulted in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements between U.S. GAAP and IFRS. The company adopted this guidance effective January 1, 2012. The adoption did not have a material impact on the disclosures of the company's consolidated financial information.

In June 2011, and subsequently amended in December 2011, the FASB issued final guidance on the presentation of comprehensive income. Under the newly issued guidance, net income and comprehensive income may only be presented either as one continuous statement or in two separate, but consecutive statements. The company adopted this guidance effective January 1, 2012, with comprehensive income shown on a separate statement immediately following the Consolidated Statement of Income.

In September 2011, the FASB amended its guidance on the testing of goodwill impairment to allow an entity the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether performing the current two-step process is necessary. Under the new option, the calculation of the reporting unit's fair value is not required unless as a result of the qualitative assessment, it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than the unit's carrying amount. The company adopted this guidance effective with its annual goodwill impairment testing during the second quarter of 2012. The adoption did not have a material impact on the company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued a final standard on balance sheet offsetting disclosures. The standard requires disclosures to provide information to help reconcile differences in the offsetting requirements under U.S. GAAP and IFRS. This guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. The company is currently evaluating the impact of adoption.

No other new accounting pronouncements issued or effective has had or is expected to have a material impact on the company's consolidated financial statements.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of Ecolab Inc.

We have reviewed the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Ecolab Inc. and its subsidiaries as of June 30, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income and statements of comprehensive income (loss) for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 and the consolidated statement of cash flows for six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income and equity, and of cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein), and in our report dated February 28, 2012, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet information as of December 31, 2011, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Minneapolis, Minnesota
August 2, 2012

ECOLAB INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion and analysis provides information that we believe is useful in understanding our operating results, cash flows and financial condition. The discussion should be read in conjunction with the (1) unaudited consolidated financial information and related notes included in this Form 10-Q, (2) Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 and (3) the unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of income for the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2011 and corresponding footnotes (the Second Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 Merger Pro Formas) included as a part of Exhibit 99.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 27, 2012. The Second Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 Merger Pro Formas are herein incorporated by reference.

This discussion contains various Non-GAAP Financial Measures and also contains various Forward-Looking Statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. We refer readers to the statements entitled Non-GAAP Financial Measures and Forward-Looking Statements located at the end of Part I of this report.

Comparability of Results

Our results of operations include Nalco since the close of the merger on December 1, 2011. Because Nalco's operations had such a significant impact on our operations, a comparison of our reported results for the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2012 against our reported results for the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2011 is not entirely meaningful. In order to provide a meaningful comparison of our results of operations, where applicable (generally net sales through operating income), we have supplemented our historical financial data with discussion and analysis that compares reported and adjusted results for the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2012 against the Second Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 Merger Pro Formas, which assume that the merger with Nalco had been consummated on January 1, 2010.

The Second Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 Merger Pro Formas are based on the historical consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes of both Ecolab and Nalco and were prepared to illustrate the effects of our merger with Nalco, assuming the merger had been consummated on January 1, 2010. The Second Quarter and Six Months Ended 2011 Merger Pro Formas also include the movement of certain legacy Ecolab water treatment related businesses from the U.S. Cleaning & Sanitizing and International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services reportable segments to the Global Water reportable segment, which resulted from changes in how we internally manage and report results within our legacy Ecolab Food & Beverage and Asia Pacific operating units. The movement of the water treatment related business did not significantly impact year-over-year comparability; therefore, prior year reported segment information has not been restated to reflect this change.

The unaudited adjusted pro forma results exclude special (gains) and charge items that are unusual in nature, significant in amount and important to the understanding of underlying business performance. The unaudited pro forma and adjusted pro forma results are not necessarily indicative

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

of the results of operations that would have actually occurred had the merger been completed as of the date indicated, nor are they indicative of future operating results of the combined company.

Pro forma data and comparison against applicable reported data included within this MD&A are shown in the following tables. Reconciliations of reported, pro forma, adjusted and pro forma adjusted amounts are provided on pages 44-51 of this report.

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

ECOLAB INC.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Comparability of Results (continued)

Selected Statement of Income lines:

| (millions) | Second Quarter Ended June 30 | | | Six Months Ended June 30 | | |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | Reported 2012 | Reported 2011 | Pro forma 2011 | Reported 2012 | Reported 2011 | Pro forma 2011 |
| Net sales | \$ 2,958.7 | \$ 1,698.8 | \$ 2,866.7 | \$ 5,769.6 | \$ 3,217.1 | \$ 5,452.3 |
| Cost of sales | 1,608.9 | 860.8 | 1,549.8 | 3,222.9 | 1,631.2 | 2,942.9 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 981.7 | 609.6 | 991.4 | 1,971.4 | 1,191.2 | 1,948.3 |
| Special (gains) and charges | 41.6 | 30.1 | 32.7 | 83.0 | 44.7 | (90.4) |
| Operating income | \$ 326.5 | \$ 198.3 | \$ 292.8 | \$ 492.3 | \$ 350.0 | \$ 651.5 |

Special (Gains) and Charges:

| (millions) | Second Quarter Ended June 30 | | | Six Months Ended June 30 | | |
|---|---------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | Reported 2012 | Reported 2011 | Pro forma 2011 | Reported 2012 | Reported 2011 | Pro forma 2011 |
| Cost of sales | | | | | | |
| Restructuring charges | \$ 5.8 | \$ | \$ | \$ 7.9 | \$ 0.8 | \$ 0.8 |
| Recognition of Nalco inventory fair value step-up | (2.7) | | | 71.2 | | |
| Subtotal | 3.1 | | | 79.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Special (gains) and charges | | | | | | |
| Restructuring charges | 25.9 | 29.8 | 32.4 | 52.4 | 40.2 | 41.1 |
| Business structure and optimization | | 0.3 | 0.3 | | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Nalco merger and integration costs | 15.7 | | | 30.6 | | |
| Cleantec acquisition integration costs | | | | | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| Gain on sale of business | | | | | | (136.0) |
| Subtotal | 41.6 | 30.1 | 32.7 | 83.0 | 44.7 | (90.4) |
| Operating (income) expense | \$ 44.7 | \$ 30.1 | \$ 32.7 | \$ 162.1 | \$ 45.5 | \$ (89.6) |

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

ECOLAB INC.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Comparability of Results (continued)

Reportable Segments:

| (millions) | Second Quarter Ended June 30 | | | Six Months Ended June 30 | | |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | Reported 2012 | Reported 2011 | Pro forma 2011 | Reported 2012 | Reported 2011 | Pro forma 2011 |
| Net Sales | | | | | | |
| U.S. Cleaning & Sanitizing | \$ 760.9 | \$ 752.4 | \$ 723.8 | \$ 1,469.8 | \$ 1,433.9 | \$ 1,384.9 |
| U.S. Other Services | 121.5 | 116.5 | 116.5 | 232.9 | 223.7 | 223.7 |
| International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services | 796.5 | 771.9 | 760.0 | 1,529.2 | 1,480.6 | 1,457.7 |
| Global Water | 509.8 | | 506.2 | 1,008.3 | | 976.0 |
| Global Paper | 200.8 | | 203.9 | 399.8 | | 399.7 |
| Global Energy | 548.3 | | 460.2 | 1,085.2 | | 877.6 |
| Subtotal at fixed currency rates | 2,937.8 | 1,640.8 | 2,770.6 | 5,725.2 | 3,138.2 | 5,319.6 |
| Effect of foreign currency translation | 20.9 | 58.0 | 96.1 | 44.4 | 78.9 | 132.7 |
| Consolidated | \$ 2,958.7 | \$ 1,698.8 | \$ 2,866.7 | \$ 5,769.6 | \$ 3,217.1 | \$ 5,452.3 |
| Operating Income | | | | | | |
| U.S. Cleaning & Sanitizing | \$ 170.3 | \$ 143.0 | \$ 145.1 | \$ 298.7 | \$ 254.9 | \$ 260.8 |
| U.S. Other Services | 18.5 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 32.3 | 30.6 | 30.6 |
| International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services | 82.4 | 69.4 | 67.9 | 130.5 | 113.4 | 110.9 |
| Global Water | 54.8 | | 52.4 | 97.8 | | 91.0 |
| Global Paper | 18.7 | | 20.5 | 37.0 | | 40.6 |
| Global Energy | 78.8 | | 67.0 | 163.2 | | 122.1 |
| Corporate | (99.0) | (35.7) | (85.4) | (270.5) | (56.3) | (15.9) |
| Subtotal at fixed currency rates | 324.5 | 192.6 | 283.4 | 489.0 | 342.6 | 640.1 |
| Effect of foreign currency translation | 2.0 | 5.7 | 9.4 | 3.3 | 7.4 | 11.4 |
| Consolidated | \$ 326.5 | \$ 198.3 | \$ 292.8 | \$ 492.3 | \$ 350.0 | \$ 651.5 |

Overview of the Second Quarter Ended June 30, 2012

Reported second quarter 2012 sales increased 74% compared to reported second quarter 2011 sales. Reported second quarter operating income increased 65% compared to reported second quarter 2011 operating income.

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

As previously discussed, the Nalco merger significantly impacted the comparability of our results of operations from 2011 to 2012. Additionally, both 2012 and 2011 results of operations included special (gains) and charges as well as discrete tax items which impact the year-over-year comparisons.

ECOLAB INC.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview of the Second Quarter Ended June 30, 2012 (continued)

Second quarter 2012 reported sales increased 3% when compared against second quarter 2011 pro forma sales, led by strong growth from Global Energy and Latin America, as well as solid results from Kay and Healthcare operations. Excluding special (gains) and charges from 2012 reported results and from 2011 pro forma results, 2012 adjusted operating income increased 14% when compared to 2011 adjusted pro forma operating income. Excluding special (gains) and charges and discrete tax items, adjusted diluted earnings per share attributable to Ecolab growth was strong at 13% in the second quarter.

Sales Performance

As summarized in the tables on pages 44 and 53:

- Reported second quarter 2012 net sales increased 74% to \$3.0 billion. Second quarter 2012 reported sales increased 3% when compared to second quarter 2011 pro forma sales of \$2.9 billion. Foreign currency exchange negatively impacted net sales growth during the quarter. Second quarter 2012 fixed currency sales grew 79%, while second quarter 2012 fixed currency sales growth was 6% when compared to second quarter 2011 pro forma fixed currency sales. All other acquisition activity, excluding the Nalco merger, had a minimal impact on sales growth for the quarter.
- U.S. Cleaning & Sanitizing second quarter 2012 reported sales increased 1% to \$761 million. Second quarter 2012 reported sales increased 5% when compared to second quarter 2011 pro forma sales. Institutional sales increased 3%, while Food & Beverage sales grew 6% when compared to 2011 pro forma sales.
- U.S. Other Services sales increased 4% to \$122 million.
- Fixed currency sales for our International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services segment increased 3% to \$796 million for the second quarter. Fixed currency sales increased 5% when compared to 2011 pro forma fixed currency sales. Excluding the impact of acquisitions, second quarter 2012 fixed currency sales rose 4% when compared to 2011 pro forma fixed currency sales. Europe/Middle East/Africa (EMEA) fixed currency sales increased by 2%. Asia Pacific fixed currency sales increased 5% compared to second quarter 2011 pro forma fixed currency sales. Latin America fixed currency sales growth was 20% for the quarter. Excluding the impact of acquisitions, second quarter 2012 Latin America fixed currency sales rose 14%. When measured at public currency rates, second quarter reported International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services sales decreased 2% against 2011 reported sales and 1% against 2011 pro forma sales.
- Global Water, Global Paper and Global Energy had \$510 million, \$201 million and \$548 million of second quarter 2012 fixed currency sales, respectively, which based on the closing date of the merger, compared against no reported sales for those segments in 2011.
- Second quarter fixed currency Global Water sales of \$510 million increased 1% when compared against second quarter 2011 pro forma fixed currency sales of \$506 million. When measured at public currency exchange rates, second quarter 2012 reported Global Water sales were \$514 million, a decrease of 3% against second quarter 2011 pro forma sales.

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

- Global Paper sales, when measured in fixed rates of currency exchange, decreased 2% to \$201 million when compared against second quarter 2011 pro forma fixed currency sales of \$204 million. When measured at public currency rates, Global Paper second quarter 2012 reported sales were \$202 million, a decrease of 4% against second quarter 2011 pro forma sales.
- Second quarter fixed currency Global Energy sales increased 19% to \$548 million versus second quarter 2011 pro forma fixed currency sales of \$460 million. Second quarter 2012 Global Energy reported sales measured at public currency rates were \$551 million, an increase of 17% against second quarter 2011 pro forma sales.

ECOLAB INC.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview of the Second Quarter Ended June 30, 2012 (continued)

Financial Performance

As summarized in the tables on pages 48 through 51:

- Reported second quarter 2012 operating income increased 65% to \$327 million compared to second quarter 2011 reported operating income of \$198 million. Reported second quarter 2012 operating income increased 12% when comparing against second quarter 2011 pro forma operating income of \$293 million. Excluding the impact of special (gains) and charges from 2012 reported results and from 2011 pro forma results, 2012 adjusted operating income increased 14% when compared to 2011 adjusted pro forma operating income. Foreign currency had a negative impact on operating income growth, as second quarter adjusted fixed currency operating income increased 17% when compared to 2011 adjusted pro forma fixed currency operating income.
- Reported net income attributable to Ecolab increased 47% to \$185 million. Excluding the impact of reported special (gains) and charges and discrete tax items from 2012 and 2011 reported results, adjusted net income attributable to Ecolab increased 43% compared to the prior year's second quarter.
- Reported diluted earnings per share attributable to Ecolab of \$0.62 increased 17% compared to the second quarter of 2011. Excluding the impact of reported special (gains) and charges and discrete tax items from 2012 and 2011 reported results, adjusted diluted earnings per share attributable to Ecolab increased 13% to \$0.72 for the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$0.64 in the second quarter of 2011.
- Our reported effective income tax rate was 30.2% for the second quarter of 2012 compared to 31.9% for the second quarter of 2011. Excluding the tax rate impact of reported special (gains) and charges and discrete tax items from 2012 and 2011 reported results, our adjusted effective income tax rate was 30.6% and 30.2% for the second quarter of 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Results of Operations – Second Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Net Sales

| (millions) | Second Quarter Ended | | | % Change | Six Months Ended | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----|-------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | June 30 2011 | | | 2012 | June 30 2011 | % Change |
| Reported GAAP net sales | \$ 2,958.7 | \$ 1,698.8 | 74% | \$ 5,769.6 | \$ 3,217.1 | 79% | |
| Adjustments: | | | | | | | |
| Nalco merger pro-forma adjustment | | 1,167.9 | | | 2,235.2 | | |

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|---------|----|----------|----|----|---------|----|----------|----|
| Net sales | | 2,958.7 | | 2,866.7* | 3% | | 5,769.6 | | 5,452.3* | 6% |
| Effect of foreign currency translation | | (20.9) | | (96.1)* | | | (44.4) | | (132.7)* | |
| Fixed currency net sales | \$ | 2,937.8 | \$ | 2,770.6* | 6% | \$ | 5,725.2 | \$ | 5,319.6* | 8% |

*Amounts represent the pro forma equivalent to the 2012 amounts presented.

ECOLAB INC.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Results of Operations - Second Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 (continued)

Net Sales (continued)

The increase in reported net sales for the second quarter and first six months of 2012 is due primarily to the Nalco merger. Reported second quarter 2012 sales increased 3% when compared to second quarter 2011 pro forma sales. For the first six months of 2012, reported sales increased 6% when compared to the first six months of 2011 pro forma sales. Foreign currency exchange negatively impacted net sales growth during the quarter and first six months of 2012. The change components of the period-over-period 2012 reported sales versus 2011 pro forma sales are as follows:

| (percent) | Second Quarter Ended June 30, 2012 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Volume | 3% | 5% |
| Price changes | 2 | 2 |
| Acquisitions & divestitures | | |
| Pro forma fixed currency sales increase | 6 | 8 |
| Foreign currency exchange | (3) | (2) |
| Total pro forma net sales increase | 3% | 6% |

Note: Amounts in the table above do not necessarily sum due to rounding.

Gross Profit Margin

The reported gross profit margin (gross margin), defined as the difference between net sales less cost of sales divided by net sales, was 45.6% and 49.3% for the second quarter of 2012 and 2011, respectively. Our reported gross margin for the first six months of 2012 and 2011 was 44.1% and 49.3%, respectively.

Our reported second quarter 2012 and 2011 and first six months of 2011 gross margins were not significantly impacted by special (gains) and charges. Our reported first six months of 2012 reported gross margin was negatively impacted by the recognition of fair value step-up in Nalco inventory of \$71.2 million and restructuring charges of \$7.9 million, the combination of which reduced our first six months of 2012 reported gross margin percentage by 1.4 percentage points. The inclusion of Nalco's business mix in 2012 also negatively impacted our 2012 reported gross margins when comparing against our reported 2011 gross margins.

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

Excluding the impact of restructuring charges from 2012 and 2011 results and the recognition of fair value step-up in Nalco inventory from 2012 results, our second quarter 2012 adjusted gross margin was 45.7% and our adjusted gross margin for the first six month of 2012 was 45.5%. These percentages compared against a second quarter 2011 adjusted pro forma gross margin of 45.9% and an adjusted pro forma gross margin of 46.0% for the first six month of 2011.

The decrease in the 2012 adjusted gross margin as compared to the 2011 adjusted pro forma gross margin was driven by the business mix impact of increased Global Energy sales partially offset by sales volumes and pricing gains, which outpaced increased delivered product costs.

ECOLAB INC.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Results of Operations - Second Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 (continued)

Selling, General and Administrative Expense

Reported selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expense as a percentage of reported net sales were 33.2% for the second quarter of 2012 compared to the reported equivalent of 35.9% in 2011. For the six month periods, reported SG&A expenses were 34.2% of reported net sales in 2012 and 37.0% of reported net sales in 2011. The inclusion of Nalco's business mix in 2012 positively impacted our 2012 reported SG&A expense ratio when comparing against our reported 2011 SG&A expense ratio.

As a percentage of consolidated net sales, our reported second quarter 2012 SG&A expense ratio of 33.2% compared against a second quarter 2011 pro forma SG&A expense ratio of 34.6%. For the six month periods, our 2012 reported SG&A expense ratio of 34.2% compared against a 2011 pro forma SG&A expense ratio of 35.7%.

The decrease in expense percentage during 2012 was driven by leverage from sales gains, along with cost savings efforts including those associated with restructuring actions, which more than offset other cost increases and investments.

Special (Gains) and Charges

Special gains and charges reported on the Consolidated Statement of Income included the following items:

| (millions) | Second Quarter Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|---|---------------------------------|------|-----------------------------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Cost of sales | | | | |
| Restructuring charges | \$ 5.8 | \$ | \$ 7.9 | \$ 0.8 |
| Recognition of Nalco inventory fair value step-up | (2.7) | | 71.2 | |
| Subtotal | 3.1 | | 79.1 | 0.8 |
| Special (gains) and charges | | | | |
| Restructuring charges | 25.9 | 29.8 | 52.4 | 40.2 |
| Business structure and optimization | | 0.3 | | 0.9 |
| Nalco merger and integration costs | 15.7 | | 30.6 | |
| Cleantec acquisition integration costs | | | | 3.6 |

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|----------|---------|
| Subtotal | 41.6 | 30.1 | 83.0 | 44.7 |
| Operating income subtotal | 44.7 | 30.1 | 162.1 | 45.5 |
| Interest expense, net | | | | |
| Debt extinguishment costs | | | 18.2 | |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | | | | |
| Recognition of Nalco inventory fair value step-up | | | (4.5) | |
| Total special (gains) and charges | \$ 44.7 | \$ 30.1 | \$ 175.8 | \$ 45.5 |

ECOLAB INC.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Results of Operations - Second Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 (continued)

Special (Gains) and Charges (continued)

Restructuring charges

In February 2011, we commenced a comprehensive plan to substantially improve the efficiency and effectiveness of our European business, sharpen its competitiveness and accelerate its growth and profitability. Additionally, restructuring has been and will continue to be undertaken outside of Europe, the costs of which have not been and are not expected to be significant (collectively, the 2011 Restructuring Plan). During the second quarter of 2012 and 2011, we recorded restructuring charges of \$16.4 million (\$12.6 million after tax) or \$0.04 per diluted share and \$29.8 million (\$25.2 million after tax) or \$0.11 per diluted share, respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, we recorded restructuring charges of \$32.2 million (\$25.2 million after tax) or \$0.08 per diluted share and \$41.0 million (\$34.2 million after tax) or \$0.15 per diluted share, respectively.

We expect to incur pretax restructuring charges of approximately \$150 million (\$125 million after tax) under the 2011 Restructuring Plan through the completion of the Plan in 2013. Approximately \$60 million (\$50 million after tax) of those charges are expected to occur in 2012.

We anticipate that approximately \$130 million of the pre-tax charge will represent cash expenditures. The remaining \$20 million of the pre-tax charges represent estimated asset disposals. No decisions have been made for any remaining asset disposals and estimates could vary depending on the actual actions taken.

Actions under the 2011 Restructuring Plan are expected to result in approximately \$120 million (\$100 million after tax) in annualized cost savings when fully realized, with approximately \$50 million (\$40 million after tax) realized in 2012.

In January 2012, following the merger with Nalco, we formally commenced plans to undertake restructuring actions related to the reduction of our global workforce and optimization of our supply chain and office facilities, including planned reductions of plant and distribution center locations (the Merger Restructuring Plan). As a result of restructuring activities under the Merger Restructuring Plan, we recorded restructuring charges of \$15.2 million (\$11.2 million after tax) or \$0.04 per diluted share and \$27.9 million (\$20.0 million after tax) or \$0.07 per diluted share during the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

We expect that restructuring activities under the Merger Restructuring Plan will be completed by the end of 2013, with total cost through the end of 2013 anticipated to be approximately \$180 million (\$120 million after tax). Approximately \$60 to \$70 million (\$40 to \$50 million after tax) of those charges are expected to occur in 2012.

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

We anticipate that approximately \$150 million of the total pre-tax restructuring charges will represent cash expenditures. The remaining \$30 million of the pretax charges represent estimated asset disposals. No decisions have been made for any remaining asset disposals and estimates could vary depending on the actual actions taken.

We anticipate savings from the Merger Restructuring Plan, along with cost synergies achieved in connection with the merger, will be approximately \$250 million on an annual basis with the annual run rate achieved by the end of 2014. We anticipate the corresponding savings and synergies will be approximately \$75 million in 2012.

Restructuring charges have been included as a component of both cost of sales and special (gains) and charges on the Consolidated Statement of Income. Further details related to our reported restructuring charges are included in Note 2.

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

ECOLAB INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Results of Operations – Second Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 (continued)

Special (Gains) and Charges (continued)

Non-restructuring special (gains) and charges

As a result of the Nalco merger, we incurred charges of \$13.0 million (\$8.8 million after tax), or \$0.03 per diluted share, and \$115.5 million (\$86.5 million after tax), or \$0.29 per diluted share, during the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively. Nalco merger and integration charges have been included as a component of cost of sales, special (gains) and charges, net interest expense and net income attributable to noncontrolling interest on the Consolidated Statement of Income. Amounts within cost of sales and net income attributable to noncontrolling interest include the recognition of fair value step-up in Nalco inventory. Amounts within special (gains) and charges include merger and integration charges. Amounts within net interest expense include a loss on the extinguishment of Nalco's senior notes, which were assumed as part of the merger.

Pro forma first six months of 2011 special (gains) and charges include the impact of the sale of Nalco's personal care products business and its marine chemicals business, which resulted in a gain of \$136.0 million.

Further details related to our reported non-restructuring special (gains) and charges are included in Note 2.

Operating Income

| (millions) | Second Quarter Ended June 30 | | | % Change | Six Months Ended June 30 | | |
|--|---------------------------------|----------|-----|-------------|-----------------------------|-------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | | 2012 | 2011 | % Change |
| Reported GAAP operating income | \$ 326.5 | \$ 198.3 | 65% | \$ 492.3 | \$ 350.0 | 41% | |
| Adjustments: | | | | | | | |
| Nalco merger pro forma adjustment | | 94.5 | | | 301.5 | | |
| Operating income | 326.5 | 292.8* | 12% | 492.3 | 651.5* | (24)% | |
| Adjustments: | | | | | | | |
| Special (gains) and charges | 44.7 | 32.7* | | 162.1 | (89.6)* | | |
| Non-GAAP adjusted operating income | 371.2 | 325.5* | 14% | 654.4 | 561.9* | 16% | |
| Effect of foreign currency translation | (2.0) | (9.4)* | | (3.3) | (11.4)* | | |

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|----|--------|-----|----|-------|----|--------|-----|
| Non-GAAP adjusted fixed currency operating income | \$ | 369.2 | \$ | 316.1* | 17% | \$ | 651.1 | \$ | 550.5* | 18% |
|---|----|-------|----|--------|-----|----|-------|----|--------|-----|

*Amounts represent the pro forma equivalent to the 2012 amounts presented.

Reported operating income increased 65% in the second quarter of 2012 compared to second quarter 2011 reported operating income. Reported operating income for the first six months of 2012 increased 41% compared to reported operating income for the same period of 2011.

Our second quarter 2012 reported operating income increased 12% when compared against second quarter 2011 pro forma operating income. Reported operating income for the first six months of 2012 decreased 24% compared to pro forma operating income for the same period of 2011.

ECOLAB INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Results of Operations – Second Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 (continued)

Operating Income (continued)

Our 2012 reported operating income and 2011 pro forma operating income were both impacted by special (gains) and charges. Excluding the impact of special (gains) and charges from 2012 reported and 2011 pro forma results, second quarter 2012 adjusted operating income increased 14% when compared against second quarter 2011 adjusted pro forma operating income, and adjusted operating income for the first six months of 2012 increased 16% when compared against adjusted pro forma operating income for the first six months of 2011.

Foreign currency had a negative impact on operating income growth as shown in the previous table. The 2012 adjusted fixed currency operating income increase as compared to 2011 adjusted pro forma fixed currency operating income was driven by sales volume gains and pricing which more than offset higher delivered product costs and investments in the business.

Interest Expense, Net

| (millions) | Second Quarter Ended | | | % Change | Six Months Ended | | | % Change |
|--|----------------------|-----------------|------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|--|-------------|
| | 2012 | June 30 2011 | | | 2012 | June 30 2011 | | |
| Reported GAAP net interest expense | \$ 63.9 | \$ 13.1 | 388% | \$ 150.0 | \$ 26.6 | 464% | | |
| Adjustments: | | | | | | | | |
| Special (gains) and charges | | | | 18.2 | | | | |
| Non-GAAP adjusted net interest expense | \$ 63.9 | \$ 13.1 | 388% | \$ 131.8 | \$ 26.6 | 395% | | |

Reported net interest expense totaled \$63.9 million in the second quarter of 2012, compared with \$13.1 million in the second quarter of 2011. Reported net interest expense for the first six months of 2012 and 2011 was \$150.0 million and \$26.6 million, respectively.

Special (gains) and charges reported within net interest expense during the first six months of 2012 included a net loss on the extinguishment of Nalco's senior notes, which were assumed as part of the merger. No special (gains) and charges were reported within net interest expense in the second quarter of 2012 or during the first six months of 2011.

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

Both reported and adjusted net interest expense increased during 2012, due primarily to debt issued to fund the cash portion of the Nalco merger consideration, the repayment of Nalco debt and share repurchases.

ECOLAB INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONSResults of Operations - Second Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 (continued)Provision for Income Taxes

The following table provides a summary of our tax rate:

| (percent) | Second Quarter Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|-------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Reported tax rate | 30.2% | 31.9% | 33.5% | 32.0% |
| Tax rate impact of: | | | | |
| Special gains and charges | (0.6) | (2.4) | (3.3) | (1.9) |
| Discrete tax net benefits | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Non-GAAP adjusted effective tax rate | 30.6% | 30.2% | 30.6% | 30.2% |

The reported tax rate for the second quarter of 2012 was 30.2% compared to a reported tax rate of 31.9% for the second quarter of 2011. The reported tax rate for the first six months of 2012 was 33.5% compared to a reported tax rate of 32.0% for the first six months of 2011.

Our reported tax rate for 2012 and 2011 includes the tax impact of special gains and charges and discrete tax items. Amounts included in our special gains and charges are derived from tax jurisdictions with rates that vary from our overall non-GAAP adjusted tax rate. As such, our reported tax rate is not consistent with our overall non-GAAP adjusted tax rate, nor consistent on a period to period basis.

The second quarter 2012 reported tax rate was impacted by \$14.7 million of reduced tax expense, including \$12.1 million of net tax benefits on special gains and charges and \$2.6 million of discrete tax net benefits. For the first six months of 2012, the reported tax rate was impacted by \$45.2 million of reduced tax expense, including \$44.0 million of net tax benefits on special gains and charges and \$1.2 million of discrete tax net benefits. Second quarter 2012 discrete tax net benefits primarily include the impact of remeasurement of certain foreign deferred tax assets and liabilities due to the impact of tax rate changes resulting from a change in tax jurisdictions, offset partially by foreign audit settlements and adjustments. First quarter 2012 discrete tax net expense was driven by various individually insignificant amounts.

The second quarter 2011 reported tax rate was impacted by \$6.0 million of reduced tax expense, including \$4.5 million of net tax benefits on special gains and charges and \$1.5 million of discrete tax net benefits. For the first six months of 2011, the reported tax rate was impacted by \$8.0 million of reduced tax expense, including \$7.6 million of net tax benefits on special gains and charges and \$0.4 million of discrete tax net benefits. Second quarter 2011 discrete tax net benefits primarily include the impact of recognizing settlements and adjustments related to prior year tax returns. First quarter 2011 discrete tax net expense was primarily driven by the remeasurement of our U.S. deferred tax assets due to the

impact of a change in our blended state tax rate, partially offset by a prior year state refund claim.

The increase in the 2012 non-GAAP adjusted effective tax rate compared to 2011 was due primarily to incorporating Nalco's tax rate mix into our overall operations, as well as the R&D credit not yet being extended for 2012.

ECOLAB INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONSResults of Operations – Second Quarter and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 (continued)Net Income Attributable to Ecolab

| (millions) | Second Quarter Ended June 30 | | | % Change | Six Months Ended June 30 | | | % Change |
|---|---------------------------------|----------|--|-------------|-----------------------------|----------|--|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| Reported GAAP net income | \$ 184.5 | \$ 125.9 | | 47% | \$ 234.2 | \$ 219.5 | | 7% |
| Adjustments: | | | | | | | | |
| Special (gains) and charges, net of tax | 32.6 | 25.6 | | | 131.8 | 37.9 | | |
| Discrete tax net benefits | (2.6) | (1.5) | | | (1.2) | (0.4) | | |
| Non-GAAP adjusted net income | \$ 214.5 | \$ 150.0 | | 43% | \$ 364.8 | \$ 257.0 | | 42% |

Diluted Earnings Per Share Attributable to Ecolab (EPS)

| (dollars) | Second Quarter Ended June 30 | | | % Change | Six Months Ended June 30 | | | % Change |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|--|-------------|-----------------------------|---------|--|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| Reported GAAP diluted EPS | \$ 0.62 | \$ 0.53 | | 17% | \$ 0.79 | \$ 0.93 | | (15)% |
| Adjustments: | | | | | | | | |
| Special (gains) and charges | 0.11 | 0.11 | | | 0.44 | 0.16 | | |
| Discrete tax net benefits | (0.01) | (0.01) | | | (0.00) | (0.00) | | |
| Non-GAAP adjusted diluted EPS | \$ 0.72 | \$ 0.64 | | 13% | \$ 1.22 | \$ 1.09 | | 12% |

Note: Per share amounts in table above do not necessarily sum due to changes in shares outstanding and rounding.

Currency translation had an unfavorable impact of approximately \$0.02 per share on diluted earnings per share for both the second quarter of 2012 compared to 2011, as well as the first six months of 2012 compared to 2011.

ECOLAB INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Segment Performance

Effective with the Nalco merger, we added Nalco's three legacy operating units (Water Services, Paper Services and Energy Services) as additional reportable segments to the merged company's reporting structure.

Beginning in the first quarter of 2012, the Water Services, Paper Services and Energy Services reportable segments have been renamed as the Global Water, Global Paper and Global Energy reportable segments, respectively. With the exception of the water treatment related business change discussed below, the underlying structure of the Global Water, Global Paper and Global Energy segments remains the same as 2011.

Beginning in the first quarter of 2012, the International reportable segment has been renamed as the International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services reportable segment. With the exception of the water treatment related business change discussed below, the underlying structure of the International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services segment remains the same as 2011.

Beginning in the first quarter of 2012, due to changes in how we internally manage and report results within our legacy Ecolab Food & Beverage and Asia Pacific operating units, certain water treatment related businesses were moved from the U.S. Cleaning & Sanitizing and International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services reportable segments to the Global Water reportable segment. The movement of these businesses did not significantly impact year-over-year comparability; therefore, prior year reported segment information has not been restated to reflect this change.

Our fifteen operating units are aggregated into six reportable segments: U.S. Cleaning & Sanitizing, U.S. Other Services, International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services, Global Water, Global Paper and Global Energy.

The international amounts included within the International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services, Global Water, Global Paper and Global Energy reportable segments are based on translation into U.S. dollars at the fixed currency exchange rates used by management for 2012.

ECOLAB INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONSSegment Performance (continued)Sales by Reportable Segment

Reported sales for the second quarter and first six months of 2012 and 2011 for each of our reportable segments were as follows:

| (millions) | Second Quarter Ended June 30 | | | % Change | Six Months Ended June 30 | | | % Change |
|--|---------------------------------|------------|--|-------------|-----------------------------|------------|--|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| U.S. Cleaning & Sanitizing | \$ 760.9 | \$ 752.4 | | 1% | \$ 1,469.8 | \$ 1,433.9 | | 3% |
| U.S. Other Services | 121.5 | 116.5 | | 4 | 232.9 | 223.7 | | 4 |
| Int'l Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services | 796.5 | 771.9 | | 3 | 1,529.2 | 1,480.6 | | 3 |
| Global Water | 509.8 | | | | 1,008.3 | | | |
| Global Paper | 200.8 | | | | 399.8 | | | |
| Global Energy | 548.3 | | | | 1,085.2 | | | |
| Subtotal at fixed currency rates | 2,937.8 | 1,640.8 | | 79 | 5,725.2 | 3,138.2 | | 82 |
| Effect of foreign currency translation | 20.9 | 58.0 | | | 44.4 | 78.9 | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 2,958.7 | \$ 1,698.8 | | 74% | \$ 5,769.6 | \$ 3,217.1 | | 79% |

Reported sales for the second quarter and first six months of 2012 and pro forma sales, including Nalco's results and reclassification of certain water treatment related businesses, for the second quarter and first six months of 2011 for each of our reportable segments were as follows:

| (millions) | Second Quarter Ended June 30 | | | % Change | Six Months Ended June 30 | | | % Change |
|--|---------------------------------|----------|--|-------------|-----------------------------|------------|--|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| U.S. Cleaning & Sanitizing | \$ 760.9 | \$ 723.8 | | 5% | \$ 1,469.8 | \$ 1,384.9 | | 6% |
| U.S. Other Services | 121.5 | 116.5 | | 4 | 232.9 | 223.7 | | 4 |
| Int'l Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services | 796.5 | 760.0 | | 5 | 1,529.2 | 1,457.7 | | 5 |
| Global Water | 509.8 | 506.2 | | 1 | 1,008.3 | 976.0 | | 3 |
| Global Paper | 200.8 | 203.9 | | (2) | 399.8 | 399.7 | | |
| Global Energy | 548.3 | 460.2 | | 19 | 1,085.2 | 877.6 | | 24 |
| Subtotal at fixed currency rates | 2,937.8 | 2,770.6 | | 6 | 5,725.2 | 5,319.6 | | 8 |
| Effect of foreign currency translation | 20.9 | 96.1 | | | 44.4 | 132.7 | | |

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|----|---------|----|---------|----|----|---------|----|---------|----|
| Consolidated | \$ | 2,958.7 | \$ | 2,866.7 | 3% | \$ | 5,769.6 | \$ | 5,452.3 | 6% |
|--------------|----|---------|----|---------|----|----|---------|----|---------|----|

ECOLAB INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Segment Performance (continued)

As discussed previously in this MD&A, in order to provide the most meaningful comparison of results by reportable segment, in addition to discussing changes in 2012 reported results versus 2011 reported results, the following discussion also provides analysis on 2012 reported results versus 2011 pro forma results.

U.S. Cleaning & Sanitizing

Reported U.S. Cleaning & Sanitizing sales increased 1% in the second quarter and 3% for the first six months of 2012. Second quarter 2012 reported sales increased 5% when compared to second quarter 2011 pro forma sales. Reported sales for the first six months of 2012 increased 6% when compared to pro forma sales for the first six months of 2011. Excluding the impact of acquisitions from all periods, second quarter 2012 sales growth was not impacted when compared to 2011 pro forma results while sales for the first six months of 2012 increased 5% when compared to 2011 pro forma results.

Sales for our larger U.S. Cleaning & Sanitizing operating units were as follows:

- Institutional Reported sales increased 3% for the second quarter and 5% for the first six months of 2012. Sales initiatives, effective product and service programs and new accounts continued to lead our results. Second quarter sales growth moderated as compared to the year to date period reflecting distributor inventory reduction following first quarter increases. Demand from our lodging customers continued to show growth, while overall foot traffic at our foodservice customers remained soft.
- Food & Beverage Reported sales in the second quarter and for the first six months of 2012 both increased 6% compared to pro forma sales for similar periods of 2011. Sales growth continued to be solid in all segments, led by corporate account wins, pricing and product penetration.
- Kay Reported sales increased 10% and 6% for the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively. The increase was led by strong growth from our food retail business which benefited from new accounts, and solid results from our quick service business.
- Healthcare Reported sales increased 7% for the second quarter and 15% for the first six months of 2012. Excluding the impact of acquisitions, second quarter sales growth was not impacted, while sales growth was 6% for the first six months of 2012. Sales growth from hand hygiene, environmental hygiene and surgical drapes led the increase.

U.S. Other Services

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

U.S. Other Services sales increased 4% for both the second quarter and first six months of 2012. Sales for our U.S. Other Services operating units were as follows:

- Pest Elimination Sales grew 4% for both the second quarter and first six months of 2012. Gains in the food & beverage and hospitality segments and improved results in the foodservice segment led the growth. Contract sales had modest growth compared to the prior year, while non-contract sales growth was strong.
- Equipment Care Sales increased 4% for both the second quarter and first six months of 2012. Service and installed parts sales increased, benefiting from new accounts and pricing gains. Direct parts sales decreased compared to results from 2011.

ECOLAB INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Segment Performance (continued)

International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services

Fixed currency sales for our International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services segment increased 3% for both the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, compared to fixed currency sales for the similar periods of 2011. Second quarter 2012 fixed currency sales increased 5% when compared to second quarter 2011 pro forma fixed currency sales. For the first six months of 2012, fixed currency sales also increased 5% when compared to pro forma fixed currency sales for the first six months of 2011. Excluding the impact of acquisitions from all periods, second quarter 2012 fixed currency sales rose 4% when compared to 2011 pro forma fixed currency results, while fixed currency sales for the first six months of 2012 also increased 4% when compared to 2011 pro forma fixed currency results.

Fixed currency sales changes for our International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services operating units were as follows:

- EMEA Sales increased 2% for both the second quarter and first six months of 2012. Sales growth in the UK, Germany, Italy and MEA offset lower sales in France. From a divisional perspective, Healthcare had good sales growth, while Institutional and Food & Beverage both showed modest sales increases.
- Asia Pacific Sales in the second quarter of 2012 increased 5% compared to second quarter 2011 pro forma sales, while sales for the first six months of 2012 increased 6% compared to pro forma sales for the similar period of 2011. Sales growth was driven primarily by increases in Japan with moderate growth in China and New Zealand. From a divisional perspective, both Institutional and Food & Beverage continued to show solid growth.
- Latin America Sales increased 20% and 19% for the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively. Excluding the impact of acquisitions, sales increased 14% for the second quarter of 2012 and 15% for the first six months of 2012. At a country level, Brazil, Chile and Mexico all showed double-digit sales growth. Our Institutional, Food & Beverage and Pest businesses all continued to report double-digit increases in sales.
- Canada Sales increased 6% for the second quarter and 8% for the first six months of 2012. Continued strong performances in Food & Beverage and Institutional led to the sales increase.

When measured at public currency rates, second quarter 2012 reported International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services sales decreased 2% against reported sales from 2011 and 1% against pro forma sales from 2011. For the first six months of 2012, reported public currency rate International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services sales were flat compared to reported sales from 2011 and increased 1% against pro forma sales from 2011.

Global Water

Global Water had \$510 million and \$1,008 million of fixed currency sales in the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, which based on the closing date of the merger, compared against no reported sales in 2011.

Second quarter 2012 Global Water fixed currency sales increased 1% compared to second quarter 2011 pro forma fixed currency sales of \$506 million. For the first six months of 2012, Global Water fixed currency sales increased 3% compared to pro forma fixed currency sales of \$976 million from the first six months of 2011. Excluding the impact of acquisitions and divestitures from all periods, second quarter 2012 fixed currency sales growth was not impacted when compared to 2011 pro forma fixed currency results while fixed currency sales for the first six months of 2012 increased 4% when compared to 2011 pro forma fixed currency results.

ECOLAB INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Segment Performance (continued)

When comparing fixed currency sales for 2012 against pro forma fixed currency sales for 2011, growth in the power, food & beverage and mining businesses was offset by reductions in primary metals. Additionally, second quarter 2011 pro forma sales benefited from a wastewater project sale, impacting comparison against the current period. At a regional level, Latin America sales continued to be strong, while Asia Pacific and the U.S. showed modest growth. EMEA's sales were lower, reflecting the weak economic conditions in that region.

When measured at public currency exchange rates, second quarter 2012 reported Global Water sales were \$514 million, a decrease of 3% against second quarter 2011 pro forma sales. For the first six months of 2012, reported public currency rate Global Water sales were \$1,019 million, an increase of 1% when compared to 2011 pro forma sales.

Global Paper

Global Paper had \$201 million and \$400 million of fixed currency sales in the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, which based on the closing date of the merger, compared against no reported sales in 2011.

Global Paper second quarter sales, when measured in fixed rates of currency exchange, decreased 2% when compared against second quarter 2011 pro forma fixed currency sales of \$204 million. For the first six months of 2012, Global Paper fixed currency sales were flat compared to pro forma fixed currency sales of \$400 million from the first six months of 2011.

When comparing fixed currency sales for 2012 against pro forma fixed currency sales for 2011, the decrease was driven by lower customer plant utilization and down time, as well as the strategic elimination of certain low margin business. From a regional perspective, modest growth in Latin America and EMEA was more than offset by sales declines in the U.S. and Asia Pacific.

When measured at public currency exchange rates, second quarter 2012 reported Global Paper sales were \$202 million, a decrease of 4% against second quarter 2011 pro forma sales. For the first six months of 2012, reported public currency rate Global Paper sales were \$402 million, a decrease of 2% when compared to 2011 pro forma sales.

Global Energy

Global Energy had \$548 million and \$1,085 million of fixed currency sales in the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, which based on the closing date of the merger, compared against no reported sales in 2011.

When measured in fixed rates of currency exchange, second quarter Global Energy sales increased 19% versus second quarter 2011 pro forma fixed currency sales of \$460 million. For the first six months of 2012, Global Energy fixed currency sales increased 24% compared to pro forma fixed currency sales of \$878 million from the first six months of 2011.

When comparing fixed currency sales for 2012 against pro forma fixed currency sales for the second quarter of 2011, the increase in sales reflected continued strong volume growth in our upstream business as a result of strong markets, share gains and a focus on high growth energy sources. We also continued to see market share gains in our downstream business in the Middle East and Asia Pacific regions.

When measured at public currency exchange rates, second quarter 2012 reported Global Energy sales were \$551 million, an increase of 17% against second quarter 2011 pro forma sales. For the first six months of 2012, reported public currency rate Global Energy sales were \$1,090 million, an increase of 22% when compared to 2011 pro forma sales.

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

ECOLAB INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Segment Results (Continued)

Operating Income by Reportable Segment

Reported operating income for the second quarter and first six months of 2012 and 2011 for each of our reportable segments was as follows:

| (millions) | Second Quarter Ended | | | % Change | Six Months Ended | | | % Change | | |
|---|----------------------|---------|------|-------------|------------------|---------|---------|-------------|--------|-----|
| | 2012 | June 30 | 2011 | | 2012 | June 30 | 2011 | | | |
| U.S. Cleaning & Sanitizing | \$ | 170.3 | \$ | 143.0 | 19% | \$ | 298.7 | \$ | 254.9 | 17% |
| U.S. Other Services | | 18.5 | | 15.9 | 16 | | 32.3 | | 30.6 | 6 |
| Int'l Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services | | 82.4 | | 69.4 | 19 | | 130.5 | | 113.4 | 15 |
| Global Water | | 54.8 | | | | | 97.8 | | | |
| Global Paper | | 18.7 | | | | | 37.0 | | | |
| Global Energy | | 78.8 | | | | | 163.2 | | | |
| Corporate | | (99.0) | | (35.7) | | | (270.5) | | (56.3) | |
| Subtotal at fixed currency rates | | 324.5 | | 192.6 | 68 | | 489.0 | | 342.6 | 43 |
| Effect of foreign currency translation | | 2.0 | | 5.7 | | | 3.3 | | 7.4 | |
| Consolidated | \$ | 326.5 | \$ | 198.3 | 65% | \$ | 492.3 | \$ | 350.0 | 41% |

Reported operating income for the second quarter and first six months of 2012 and pro forma operating income, including Nalco's results and reclassification of certain water treatment related businesses, for the second quarter and first six months of 2011 for each of our reportable segments was as follows:

| (millions) | Second Quarter Ended | | | % Change | Six Months Ended | | | % Change | | |
|---|----------------------|---------|------|-------------|------------------|---------|---------|-------------|--------|-----|
| | 2012 | June 30 | 2011 | | 2012 | June 30 | 2011 | | | |
| U.S. Cleaning & Sanitizing | \$ | 170.3 | \$ | 145.1 | 17% | \$ | 298.7 | \$ | 260.8 | 15% |
| U.S. Other Services | | 18.5 | | 15.9 | 16 | | 32.3 | | 30.6 | 6 |
| Int'l Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services | | 82.4 | | 67.9 | 21 | | 130.5 | | 110.9 | 18 |
| Global Water | | 54.8 | | 52.4 | 5 | | 97.8 | | 91.0 | 7 |
| Global Paper | | 18.7 | | 20.5 | (9) | | 37.0 | | 40.6 | (9) |
| Global Energy | | 78.8 | | 67.0 | 18 | | 163.2 | | 122.1 | 34 |
| Corporate | | (99.0) | | (85.4) | | | (270.5) | | (15.9) | |

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|-------|----|-------|-----|----|-------|----|-------|-------|
| Subtotal at fixed currency rates | | 324.5 | | 283.4 | 15 | | 489.0 | | 640.1 | (24) |
| Effect of foreign currency translation | | 2.0 | | 9.4 | | | 3.3 | | 11.4 | |
| Consolidated | \$ | 326.5 | \$ | 292.8 | 12% | \$ | 492.3 | \$ | 651.5 | (24)% |

ECOLAB INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Segment Results (Continued)

As discussed previously in this MD&A, in order to provide the most meaningful comparison of results by reportable segment, in addition to discussing changes in 2012 reported results versus 2011 reported results, the following discussion also provides analysis on 2012 reported results versus 2011 pro forma results.

U.S. Cleaning & Sanitizing

Reported U.S. Cleaning & Sanitizing operating income increased 19% in the second quarter and 17% for the first six months of 2012. Second quarter 2012 reported operating income increased 17% when compared to second quarter 2011 pro forma operating income. Reported operating income for the first six months of 2012 increased 15% when compared to pro forma operating income for the first six months of 2011. Excluding the impact of acquisitions from all periods, second quarter 2012 operating income was not impacted when compared to 2011 pro forma results while operating income for the first six months of 2012 increased 12% when compared to 2011 pro forma results.

The increase in operating income was driven by sales volume and pricing gains, which more than offset delivered product cost increases.

U.S. Other Services

U.S. Other Services operating income increased 16% and 6% for the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively.

The increase in operating income was driven primarily by pricing gains and expense management which for the year to date period, more than offset field service investments in our quality assurance business.

International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services

International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services operating income at fixed currency rates increased 19% and 15% for the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, when compared to fixed currency operating income for the similar periods of 2011. Second quarter 2012 fixed currency operating income increased 21% when compared to second quarter 2011 pro forma fixed currency operating income. For the first

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

six months of 2012, fixed currency operating income increased 18% when compared to pro forma fixed currency operating income for the first six months of 2011. Acquisitions did not have a significant impact on operating income growth for either the second quarter or six month periods.

Operating income growth was driven by sales volume and pricing gains as well as savings from our 2011 Restructuring Plan, which more than offset higher delivered product costs, continued investments in the business and other costs.

When measured at public currency rates, second quarter 2012 reported International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services operating income increased 11% against reported operating income from 2011 and 14% against pro forma operating income from 2011. For the first six months of 2012, reported public currency rate International Cleaning, Sanitizing & Other Services operating income increased 10% against reported operating income from 2011 and 12% against pro forma operating income from 2011.

ECOLAB INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Segment Results (Continued)

Global Water

Global Water had \$55 million and \$98 million of fixed currency operating income in the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, which based on the closing date of the merger, compared against no reported operating income in 2011.

Second quarter 2012 Global Water fixed currency operating income increased 5% compared to second quarter 2011 pro forma fixed currency operating income of \$52 million. For the first six months of 2012, Global Water fixed currency operating income increased 7% compared to pro forma fixed currency operating income of \$91 million from the first six months of 2011. Excluding the impact of acquisitions and divestitures from all periods, second quarter 2012 fixed currency operating income growth was unchanged at 5% when compared to 2011 pro forma fixed currency results while fixed currency operating income for the first six months of 2012 increased 14% when compared to 2011 pro forma fixed currency results.

When comparing fixed currency results for 2012 against pro forma fixed currency results for 2011, operating income growth was driven primarily by pricing gains, which more than offset higher delivered product costs.

When measured at public currency exchange rates, second quarter 2012 reported Global Water operating income was \$55 million. For the first six months of 2012, reported public currency rate Global Water operating income was \$98 million.

Global Paper

Global Paper had \$19 million and \$37 million of fixed currency operating income in the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, which based on the closing date of the merger, compared against no reported operating income in 2011.

Global Paper second quarter operating income, when measured in fixed rates of currency exchange, decreased 9% when compared against second quarter 2011 pro forma fixed currency operating income of \$21 million. For the first six months of 2012, Global Paper fixed currency operating income also decreased 9% compared to pro forma fixed currency operating income of \$41 million from the first six months of 2011.

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

When comparing fixed currency results for 2012 against pro forma fixed currency results for 2011, pricing gains were more than offset by volume decline and higher delivered product and other costs.

When measured at public currency exchange rates, second quarter 2012 reported Global Paper operating income was \$19 million. For the first six months of 2012, reported public currency rate Global Paper operating income was \$38 million.

Global Energy

Global Energy had \$79 million and \$163 million of fixed currency operating income in the second quarter and first six months of 2012, respectively, which based on the closing date of the merger, compared against no reported operating income in 2011.

When measured in fixed rates of currency exchange, second quarter Global Energy operating income increased 18% versus second quarter 2011 pro forma fixed currency operating income of \$67 million. For the first six months of 2012, Global Energy fixed currency operating income increased 34% compared to pro forma fixed currency operating income of \$122 million from the first six months of 2011.

ECOLAB INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Segment Results (Continued)

When comparing fixed currency results for 2012 against pro forma results for 2011, operating income growth was driven by continued strong sales volume gains and pricing gains, which more than offset higher delivered product costs and investments in the business.

When measured at public currency exchange rates, second quarter 2012 reported Global Energy operating income was \$79 million. For the first six months of 2012, reported public currency rate Global Energy operating income was \$164 million.

Corporate

Consistent with our internal management reporting, the Corporate segment includes amortization specifically from the Nalco merger intangible assets, merger integration costs and investments we are making in business systems and structure.

The Corporate segment also includes special (gains) and charges reported on the Consolidated Statement of Income. Items included within reported special (gains) and charges are shown in the table on page 46, and items included in pro forma special (gains) and charges are shown in the table on page 41.

Financial Position and Liquidity

Total assets were \$16.5 billion as of June 30, 2012, compared to total assets of \$18.2 billion at December 31, 2011. The decrease is primarily due to a reduction in cash, related to the redemption of \$1.7 billion of Nalco's senior notes in January 2012. The negative impact of foreign currency exchange rates on the value of our international assets was offset by the increase of assets through general business activities. Acquisitions during the first six months of 2012 did not have a significant impact on our total assets.

Total debt was \$6.3 billion as of June 30, 2012 and \$7.6 billion as of December 31, 2011. The ratio of total debt to capitalization (total equity plus total debt) decreased to 53% at June 30, 2012 compared to 57% at December 31, 2011, reflecting the redemption of \$1.7 billion of Nalco's senior notes in January 2012. We are in compliance with all of our debt covenants and believe we have sufficient borrowing capacity to meet our foreseeable operating needs.

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

Cash provided by operating activities totaled \$211 million for the first six months of 2012 compared to \$302 million in 2011. Year-over-year comparability was impacted by pension and postretirement plan contributions, as 2012 included contributions of \$201 million, whereas contributions in 2011 were \$123 million. Increased cash payments made in 2012 as part of our restructuring plans have also negatively impacted comparability with 2011. We continue to generate strong cash flow from operations which has allowed us to fund our ongoing operations, investments in the business, acquisitions and pension obligations and return cash to shareholders through share repurchases and dividend payments.

Cash used for investing activities was \$280 million in 2012 compared to \$464 million in 2011. Cash paid for acquisitions decreased in 2012 as 2012 acquisitions included Esoform, InsetCenter and Econ Indústria e Comércio de Produtos de Higiene e Limpeza whereas 2011 included the acquisitions of the Cleantec business of Campbell Brothers Ltd. and O.R. Solutions, Inc., which by comparison were larger. See Note 3 for further information on our business acquisition activity. Increases in capital expenditures compared to the prior year were due primarily to investments in Nalco business units.

ECOLAB INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Financial Position and Liquidity (continued)

Cash used for financing activities in 2012 included \$1.7 billion of long-term debt repayments, primarily related to the redemption of Nalco's senior notes in January 2012. Net issuances of commercial paper and notes payable led to an increase of \$426 million during 2012. Current year financing activities also included dividend payments, which increased when compared to 2011 due to a larger number of shares outstanding as a result of the Nalco merger as well as an increase in the dividend rate. During the first six months of 2012, we repurchased 2.7 million shares for approximately \$161 million under our share repurchase program. In connection with the finalization of the accelerated share repurchase agreement initially entered into in December 2011, we received an additional 122,314 shares of common stock, with no additional cash impact in 2012.

Cash provided by financing activities in 2011 included an increase of \$362 million related to net issuances of commercial paper and notes payable partially offset by the scheduled repayment of \$150 million of long-term debt. We also repurchased 2.4 million shares of our common stock for approximately \$120 million under our share repurchase program.

The schedule of contractual obligations included in the Financial Position and Liquidity section of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 disclosed total notes payable and long-term debt due within one year of \$1.0 billion. As of June 30, 2012, the total notes payable and long-term debt due within one year has increased to \$1.4 billion. The increase from year end is primarily due to an increase in our outstanding U.S. commercial paper. Our gross liability for uncertain tax positions was \$98 million as of June 30, 2012 and \$90 million as of December 31, 2011. The increase in the liability balance was due primarily to adjustments to foreign audit reserves made as part of Nalco purchase price allocations. We are not able to reasonably estimate the amount by which the liability will increase or decrease over time; however, at this time, we do not expect significant payments related to these obligations within the next year.

We currently expect to fund all of the cash requirements which are reasonably foreseeable for the next twelve months, including scheduled debt repayments, new investments in the business, share repurchases, dividend payments, possible business acquisitions and pension contributions with cash from operating activities, cash reserves and new short-term and/or long-term borrowings.

As of June 30, 2012, we had \$305 million of cash and cash equivalents on hand, of which \$246 million were held outside of the U.S. We continue to expect our operating cash flow to remain strong.

As of June 30, 2012 we had a \$1.5 billion multi-year credit facility, which expires in September 2016, as well as a \$1.0 billion, 364 day credit facility, which expires in September 2012. On April 10, 2012, we reduced the 364 day credit facility from \$2.0 billion to \$1.0 billion. Both credit facilities have been established with a diverse portfolio of banks. There were no borrowings under the credit facilities as of June 30, 2012 or December 31, 2011.

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form 10-Q

The credit facilities support our U.S. commercial paper program, which was reduced to \$2.5 billion subsequent to the reduction of our 364 day credit facility discussed above, and our \$200 million European commercial paper program. Subsequent to the reduction of our 364 day credit facility, combined borrowing under these two commercial paper programs may not exceed \$2.5 billion. As of June 30, 2012, we had \$1.3 billion in outstanding U.S. commercial paper, with an average annual interest rate of 0.5%, and no amounts outstanding under our European commercial paper program. As of June 30, 2012, both programs were rated A-2 by Standard & Poor's and P-2 by Moody's.

ECOLAB INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Global Environment

Venezuela Foreign Currency Translation

Venezuela is a highly inflationary economy under U.S. GAAP. As a result, the U.S. dollar is the functional currency for our subsidiaries in Venezuela. Any currency remeasurement adjustments for non-dollar denominated monetary assets and liabilities held by these subsidiaries and other transactional foreign exchange gains and losses are reflected in earnings. The impact of applying highly inflationary accounting for Venezuela on our consolidated financial statements is dependent upon movements in the official exchange rate between the Venezuelan bolivar fuerte and the U.S. dollar and the amount of net monetary assets and liabilities included in our subsidiary's balance sheet, which was approximately \$50 million at June 30, 2012.

Our ability to effectively manage sales and profit levels in Venezuela will be impacted by several factors, including our ability to mitigate the effect of any potential future devaluation, further actions of the Venezuelan government, economic conditions in Venezuela, the availability of raw materials, utilities and energy and the future state of exchange controls in Venezuela including the availability of U.S. dollars at the official foreign exchange rate. Sales and profit levels in Venezuela could also be impacted by any actions taken by the government under the recently passed law aimed at controlling market prices. Through the first six months of 2012, sales in Venezuela represented approximately 1% of our consolidated net sales.

European Economy

The current economic conditions in several European countries have deteriorated. Further weakening of the European economy may cause an additional drop in the value of the European currencies, including the Euro. One potential extreme outcome of the European financial situation is the re-introduction of individual currencies in one or more Eurozone countries or the dissolution of the Euro entirely. The potential dissolution of the Euro, or market perceptions concerning this and related issues, could adversely affect the value of our Euro-denominated assets and obligations and impact our future results of operations. Through the first six months of 2012, sales in Europe represent approximately 20% of our consolidated net sales.

Additionally, this crisis has caused instability in global credit markets, including diminished liquidity and credit availability, which could negatively impact our customers located in these and other geographic areas. We continue to monitor the situation and the creditworthiness of our customers. Although we do not currently foresee a credit risk associated with a material portion of these receivables, repayment is dependent upon the financial stability of the economies of those countries.

New Accounting Pronouncements

For information on new accounting pronouncements, see Note 14.

ECOLAB INC.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation in Item 2, contains financial measures that have not been calculated in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. (GAAP). These Non-GAAP measures include:

- Fixed currency sales
- Pro forma sales
- Pro forma fixed currency sales
- Adjusted gross margin
- Adjusted pro forma gross margin
- Fixed currency operating income
- Pro forma SG&A expense
- Pro forma operating income
- Pro forma fixed currency operating income
- Adjusted operating income
- Adjusted pro forma operating income
- Adjusted fixed currency operating income
- Adjusted pro forma fixed currency operating income
- Adjusted net interest expense
- Adjusted effective income tax rate
- Adjusted net income attributable to Ecolab
- Adjusted diluted earnings per share attributable to Ecolab

We provide these measures as additional information regarding our operating results. We use these Non-GAAP measures internally to evaluate our performance and in making financial and operational decisions, including with respect to incentive compensation. We believe that our presentation of these measures provides investors with greater transparency with respect to our results of operations and that these measures are useful for period-to-period comparison of results.

We include in special (gains) and charges items that are unusual in nature, significant in amount and important to an understanding of underlying business performance. In order to better allow investors to compare underlying business performance period-to-period, we provide adjusted gross margin, adjusted pro forma gross margin, adjusted operating income, adjusted pro forma operating income, adjusted net interest, adjusted net income attributable to Ecolab and adjusted diluted earnings per share attributable to Ecolab, which exclude special (gains) and charges and discrete tax items.

The adjusted effective tax rate measure promotes period-to-period comparability of the underlying effective tax rate because the amounts excluded do not necessarily reflect costs associated with historical trends or expected future costs.

We evaluate the performance of our international operations based on fixed currency rates of foreign exchange. Fixed currency sales, fixed currency operating income and adjusted fixed currency operating income measures (and the second quarter and first six months of 2011 pro forma equivalent for each) eliminate the impact of exchange rate fluctuations on our international sales, operating income and adjusted operating income, respectively, and promote a better understanding of our underlying sales and operating income trends. Fixed currency amounts are based on translation into U.S. dollars at fixed foreign currency exchange rates established by management at the beginning of 2012.

ECOLAB INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Non-GAAP Financial Measures (Continued)

In order to provide a meaningful comparison of our results of operations, where applicable, we have supplemented our historical financial data with discussion and analysis that compares reported and adjusted results for the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2012 against pro forma results for the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2012. The unaudited pro forma results are based on the historical consolidated results of operations of both Ecolab and Nalco and were prepared to illustrate the effects of our merger with Nalco, assuming the merger had been consummated on January 1, 2010. The unaudited pro forma and adjusted pro forma results are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that would have actually occurred had the merger been completed as of the date indicated, nor are they indicative of future operating results of the combined company.

These measures are not in accordance with, or an alternative to, GAAP and may be different from Non-GAAP measures used by other companies. Investors should not rely on any single financial measure when evaluating our business. We recommend that investors view these measures in conjunction with the GAAP measures included in this Financial Discussion and have provided reconciliations of reported GAAP amounts to the Non-GAAP amounts on pages 44-51.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation in Item 2, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements include expectations concerning the timing, costs, cash expenditures, benefits and headcount impact of our Merger Restructuring Plan; 2011 Restructuring Plan and other restructuring initiatives; purchase price allocations; contributions to pension and post-retirement health care benefit plans; tax deductibility of goodwill; amortization expense; share repurchases; the impact of new accounting pronouncements; the impact of potential lawsuits or claims; gross liability for unrecognized tax benefits or uncertain tax positions and payments related thereto; timing of hedged transactions; borrowing capacity; potential devaluation of Venezuelan currency; continued European economic uncertainty including euro currency issues; future cash flow; cash requirements; and sources of funding and nonperformance of financial counterparties.

Without limiting the foregoing, words or phrases such as "will likely result," "are expected to," "will continue," "is anticipated," "we believe," "estimate," "project" (including the negative or variations thereof) or similar terminology, generally identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements may also represent challenging goals for us. These statements, which represent the company's expectations or beliefs concerning various future events, are based on current expectations that involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those of such forward-looking statements. In particular, the ultimate results of any restructuring and business improvement actions, including cost synergies, depend on a number of factors, including the development of final plans, the impact of local regulatory requirements regarding employee terminations, the time necessary to develop and implement the restructuring and other business improvement initiatives and the level of success achieved through such actions in improving competitiveness, efficiency and effectiveness. We caution that undue reliance should not be placed on such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. Some of the factors which could cause results to differ from those expressed in any forward-looking statement are set forth under Part II, Item 1A of this Form 10-Q. Except as required under applicable law, we undertake no duty to update our Forward-Looking Statements.

ECOLAB INC.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We primarily use foreign currency forward contracts, foreign currency debt and interest rate swaps to manage risks generally associated with interest rate and foreign exchange rate volatility and net investments in our foreign operations. We do not hold derivative financial instruments of a speculative nature. For a more detailed discussion of derivative instruments, refer to Note 8, entitled "Derivatives and Hedging Transactions," of the consolidated financial statements located under Part I, Item 1 of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, beginning on page 24.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of June 30, 2012, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

During the period April 1 through June 30, 2012, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Note 13, entitled "Commitments and Contingencies" located under Part I, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q beginning on page 33, is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In our report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 28, 2012, we identify under Item 1A important factors which could affect our financial performance and could cause our actual results for future periods to differ materially from our anticipated results or other expectations, including those expressed in any forward-looking statements made in this Form 10-Q. See the section entitled Forward-Looking Statements located on page 64 of this Form 10-Q. We may also refer to such disclosure to identify factors that may cause results to differ from those expressed in other forward-looking statements made in oral presentations, including telephone conferences and/or webcasts open to the public.

The discussion below includes updates to these risk factor disclosures.

Our results are impacted by general worldwide economic factors:

Economic factors such as the worldwide economy, capital flows, interest rates and currency movements including, in particular, our exposure to foreign currency risk have affected our business in the past and may have a material adverse impact on our business in the future. In 2008 and 2009, the global economy experienced considerable disruption and volatility, and the disruption was particularly acute in the global credit markets. In 2011, the European Union's sovereign debt crisis negatively impacted economic activity in that region as well as the strength of the euro versus the U.S. dollar. Further turmoil in the European Union's sovereign debt market, or a similar financial crisis, could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated results of operations, financial position and cash flows by negatively impacting economic activity, including in our key end-markets, and by further weakening the euro versus the U.S. dollar, resulting in reduced sales and earnings from our European operations, which are generated in euros and other European currencies, and then translated to U.S. dollars. One potential extreme development in the European financial situation is the re-introduction of individual country currencies in one or more Eurozone countries. If certain Eurozone countries were to abandon the euro for sovereign currencies, the value of the euro could be further negatively impacted, exasperating the negative economic developments experienced to date. In addition, such a withdrawal from the euro by an individual country or countries would result in a revaluation of assets within that country or countries which could have an adverse affect on our business. Further, the economic troubles could also lead to political and social turmoil, including strikes and demonstrations, which would further dampen economic activity in the countries affected, including in the lodging and hospitality segments which drive our Institutional business.

Item 1A.

Risk Factors (continued)

Our significant non-U.S. operations expose us to global economic, political and legal risks that could impact our profitability:

We have significant operations outside the United States, including joint ventures and other alliances. We conduct business in approximately 160 countries and, in 2011, approximately 49% of our net sales originated outside the United States. There are inherent risks in our international operations, including:

- exchange controls and currency restrictions;
- currency fluctuations and devaluations;
- tariffs and trade barriers;
- export duties and quotas;
- changes in the availability and pricing of raw materials, energy and utilities;
- changes in local economic conditions;
- changes in laws and regulations;
- difficulties in managing international operations and the burden of complying with foreign laws;
- difficulties in collecting receivables or realizing other assets;
- requirements to include local ownership or management in our business;

- exposure to possible expropriation, nationalization or other government actions;
- restrictions on our ability to repatriate dividends from our subsidiaries;
- unsettled political conditions, military action, civil unrest, acts of terrorism, force majeure, war or other armed conflict; and
- countries whose governments have been hostile to U.S.-based businesses.

Our international operations also expose us to different local political and business risks and challenges. For example, we do business in Venezuela, which experienced a currency devaluation in 2010. Given current political and economic conditions in Venezuela, a further currency devaluation is possible and would have a negative impact on our financial performance.

Also, because of uncertainties regarding the interpretation and application of laws and regulations and the enforceability of intellectual property and contract rights, we face risks in some countries that our intellectual property rights and contract rights would not be enforced by local governments. We are also periodically faced with the risk of economic uncertainty, which has impacted our business in some countries. Other risks in international business also include difficulties in staffing and managing local operations, including managing credit risk to local customers and distributors.

Further, our operations outside the United States require us to comply with a number of United States and international regulations, including anti-corruption laws such as the United States Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the United Kingdom Bribery Act. We have internal policies and procedures relating to such regulations; however, there is risk that such policies and procedures will not always protect us from the reckless acts of employees or representatives, and violations of such laws could result in investigations of the Company and sanctions.

Item 1A.

Risk Factors (continued)

Our overall success as a global business depends, in part, upon our ability to succeed in differing economic, social, legal and political conditions. We may not continue to succeed in developing and implementing policies and strategies that are effective in each location where we do business, which could adversely affect our consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Item 2.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

| Period | (a) Total number of shares purchased(1) | (b) Average price paid per share(2) | (c) Number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs(3) | (d) Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs(3) |
|------------------|---|--|--|--|
| April 1-30, 2012 | 23,118 | \$ 62.2561 | 0 | 17,157,465 |
| May 1-31, 2012 | 1,542,467 | \$ 64.1638 | 1,347,369 | 15,810,096 |
| June 1-30, 2012 | 80,276 | \$ 64.7958 | 0 | 15,810,096 |
| Total | 1,645,861 | \$ 64.1679 | 1,347,369 | 15,810,096 |

(1) Includes 298,492 shares reacquired from employees and/or directors as swaps for the cost of stock options, or shares surrendered to satisfy minimum statutory tax obligations under our stock incentive plans.

(2) The average price paid per share includes brokerage commissions associated with publicly announced plan purchases plus the value of such other reacquired shares.

(3) As announced on May 5, 2011, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 15,000,000 shares of Common Stock, including shares to be repurchased under Rule 10b5-1. As announced on August 23, 2011, the Finance Committee, via delegation by our Board of Directors, authorized the repurchase of up to an additional 10,000,000 shares contingent upon completion of the merger with Nalco. On September 6, 2011, the Company announced a \$1.0 billion share repurchase program under the existing Board authorizations of which approximately \$279 million of shares remained as of June 30, 2012 to be purchased. We intend to repurchase all shares under these authorizations, for which no expiration date has been established, in open market or privately negotiated transactions, subject to market conditions.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) The following documents are filed as exhibits to this report:

(10.1) Reduction to \$1.0 billion of commitments under the 364-day Revolving Credit Facility, dated as of September 8, 2011, among Ecolab Inc., the lenders party thereto, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation and The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd., as co-syndication agents incorporated by reference to Item 1.01 of our Form 8-K filed April 13, 2012.

(10.2) Reduction to \$2.5 billion of the U.S. Commercial Paper Program incorporated by reference to Item 1.01 of our Form 8-K filed April 13, 2012.

(12) Statement regarding Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.

(15) Letter regarding unaudited interim financial information.

(31) Rule 13a - 14(a) Certifications.

(32) Section 1350 Certifications.

(99) Exhibit 99.1 of our form 8-K filed on April 27, 2012 - incorporated by reference to unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of income for the second quarter ended June 30, 2011 and corresponding footnotes included as part of Exhibit 99.1 of our Form 8-K filed on April 27, 2012.

(101) Interactive Data File.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ECOLAB INC.

Date: August 2, 2012

By:

/s/John J. Corkrean
John J. Corkrean
Senior Vice President & Corporate Controller
(duly authorized Officer and
Chief Accounting Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit No. | Document | Method of Filing |
|-------------|---|---|
| (10.1) | Reduction to \$1.0 billion of commitments under the 364-day Revolving Credit Facility, dated as of September 8, 2011, among Ecolab Inc., the lenders party thereto, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation and The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd., as co-syndication agents | Incorporated by reference to Item 1.01 of our Form 8-K filed on April 13, 2012 |
| (10.2) | Reduction to \$2.5 billion of the U.S. Commercial Paper Program | Incorporated by reference to Item 1.01 of our Form 8-K filed on April 13, 2012 |
| (12) | Statement regarding ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges. | Filed herewith electronically |
| (15) | Letter regarding unaudited interim financial information. | Filed herewith electronically |
| (31) | Rule 13a - 14(a) Certifications. | Filed herewith electronically |
| (32) | Section 1350 Certifications. | Filed herewith electronically |
| (99) | Exhibit 99.1 of our Form 8-K filed on April 27, 2012. | Incorporated by reference to unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of income for the second quarter ended June 30, 2011 and corresponding footnotes included as part of Exhibit 99.1 of our Form 8-K filed on April 27, 2012 |
| (101) | Interactive Data File. | Filed herewith electronically |