

ION GEOPHYSICAL CORP
Form DEF 14A
April 15, 2014

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

ION Geophysical Corporation

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - (5)

Edgar Filing: ION GEOPHYSICAL CORP - Form DEF 14A

Total fee paid:

- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
 - o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid:
 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - (3) Filing Party:
 - (4) Date Filed:
-

Table of Contents

ION GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION

**2105 CityWest Boulevard, Suite 400
Houston, Texas 77042-2839
(281) 933-3339**

**NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
To Be Held May 21, 2014**

To ION's Stockholders:

The 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of ION Geophysical Corporation will be held in the office of the company located at 2105 CityWest Boulevard, Houston, Texas, on Wednesday, May 21, 2014, at 10:30 a.m., local time, for the following purposes:

1. Elect the two directors named in the attached proxy statement to our Board of Directors, each to serve for a three-year term;
2. Advisory (non-binding) vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers;
3. Ratify the appointment of Grant Thornton LLC as our independent registered public accounting firm (independent auditors) for 2014; and
4. Consider any other business that may properly come before the annual meeting, or any postponement or adjournment of the meeting.

ION's Board of Directors has set April 1, 2014, as the record date for the meeting. This means that owners of ION common stock at the close of business on that date are entitled to receive this notice of meeting and vote at the meeting and any adjournments or postponements of the meeting.

Your vote is very important, and your prompt cooperation in voting your proxy is greatly appreciated. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, please sign, date and return your enclosed proxy card as soon as possible so that your shares can be voted at the meeting.

By Authorization of the Board of Directors,

David L. Roland
*Senior Vice President, General Counsel
and Corporate Secretary*

April 15, 2014
Houston, Texas

Table of Contents

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials
For the Annual Stockholders' Meeting to be held on May 21, 2014**

**The proxy statement, proxy card and our 2013 annual report to stockholders
are available at www.iongeo.com under "Investor Relations Investor Materials
Annual Report & Proxy Statement."**

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of ION Geophysical Corporation will be held on May 21, 2014, at the offices of the company located at 2105 CityWest Boulevard, Houston, Texas, beginning at 10:30 a.m., local time.

The matters intended to be acted upon are:

1. Elect the two directors named in the attached proxy statement to our Board of Directors, each to serve for a three-year term;
2. Advisory (non-binding) vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers;
3. Ratify the appointment of Grant Thornton LLC as our independent registered public accounting firm (independent auditors) for 2014; and
4. Consider any other business that may properly come before the annual meeting, or any postponement or adjournment of the meeting.

The Board of Directors recommends voting in favor of the nominees listed in the proxy statement, the compensation of our named executive officers and the ratification of the appointment of Grant Thornton LLC.

The following proxy materials are being made available at the website location specified above:

1. The proxy statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and the 2013 annual report to stockholders; and
2. The form of proxy card being distributed to stockholders in connection with the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Directions to the annual meeting are also provided in the accompanying proxy statement under "*About the Meeting Where will the Annual Meeting be held?*"

Table of Contents

ION GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION
2105 CityWest Boulevard, Suite 400
Houston, Texas 77042-2839
(281) 933-3339

April 15, 2014

PROXY STATEMENT
FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
To Be Held May 21, 2014

Our Board of Directors is furnishing you this proxy statement to solicit proxies on its behalf to be voted at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of ION Geophysical Corporation ("ION"). The meeting will be held at 2105 CityWest Boulevard, Houston, Texas, on May 21, 2014, at 10:30 a.m., local time. The proxies also may be voted at any adjournments or postponements of the meeting.

The mailing address of our principal executive offices is 2105 CityWest Boulevard, Suite 400, Houston, Texas 77042-2839. We are mailing the proxy materials to our stockholders beginning on or about April 15, 2014. All properly completed and returned proxies for the annual meeting will be voted at the meeting in accordance with the directions given in the proxy, unless the proxy is revoked before the meeting.

Only owners of record of our outstanding shares of common stock on April 1, 2014 are entitled to vote at the meeting, or at adjournments or postponements of the meeting. Each owner of common stock on the record date is entitled to one vote for each share of common stock held. On April 1, 2014, there were 165,286,432 shares of common stock issued and outstanding.

When used in this proxy statement, "ION Geophysical," "ION," "Company," "we," "our," "ours" and "us" refer to ION Geophysical Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries, except where the context otherwise requires or as otherwise indicated.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----------|
| <u>2014 PROXY STATEMENT HIGHLIGHTS</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>ABOUT THE MEETING</u> | <u>5</u> |
| <u>ITEM 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u> | <u>9</u> |
| <u>BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u> | <u>13</u> |
| <u>OWNERSHIP OF EQUITY SECURITIES OF ION</u> | <u>25</u> |
| <u>EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u> | <u>26</u> |
| <u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u> | <u>28</u> |
| <u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u> | <u>28</u> |
| <u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT</u> | <u>45</u> |
| <u>SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE</u> | <u>46</u> |
| <u>2013 GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS</u> | <u>48</u> |
| <u>EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS</u> | <u>49</u> |
| <u>OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END</u> | <u>51</u> |
| <u>2013 OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED</u> | <u>52</u> |
| <u>POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE OF CONTROL</u> | <u>53</u> |
| <u>2013 PENSION BENEFITS AND NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION</u> | <u>60</u> |
| <u>EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION</u> | <u>61</u> |
| <u>ITEM 2 ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u> | <u>62</u> |
| <u>ITEM 3 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS</u> | <u>64</u> |
| <u>REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE</u> | <u>64</u> |
| <u>CHANGE IN INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS</u> | <u>66</u> |
| <u>PRINCIPAL AUDITOR FEES AND SERVICES</u> | <u>67</u> |

Table of Contents**2014 PROXY STATEMENT HIGHLIGHTS**

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in our proxy statement. This summary does not contain all of the information that you should consider. You should read the entire proxy statement carefully before voting.

Board Nominees

| Name | Age | Director Since | Occupation | Independent | Committee Memberships | | | |
|---------------------|-----|-------------------|--|-------------|-----------------------|------|-----|-----|
| | | | | | Audit | Comp | Gov | Fin |
| Michael C. Jennings | 48 | 2010 | President, CEO and Chairman of the Board of Directors, HollyFrontier Corporation | ü | ü | | | ü |
| John N. Seitz | 62 | 2003 | Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of GulfSlope Energy, Inc. | ü | | ü | ü | |

Executive Compensation Highlights

ION is committed to paying for performance. We provide the majority of compensation through programs in which the amounts ultimately received vary to reflect our performance. Our executive compensation programs evolve and are adjusted over time to support our business goals and to promote both near-term and long-term profitable company growth.

The majority of cash compensation is paid through base salary and under our annual incentive cash plan based on company performance relative to financial goals and on individual performance. Under our incentive plan, cash compensation reflects near-term (annual) business performance.

Equity awards, consisting of stock options and restricted stock and restricted stock units, are used to align compensation with the long-term interests of our stockholders by focusing our executive officers on total stockholder return. Equity awards generally become fully vested in either three or four years after the grant date, so that compensation realized under the awards reflects the long-term performance of the company's stock.

In setting executive officer compensation, the Compensation Committee evaluates individual performance reviews of the executive officers and compensation of a "peer" group consisting of companies participating in various relevant compensation surveys, including Frost's 2013 Oilfield Manufacturing and Services Industry Executive Compensation Survey.

Total compensation for each executive officer varies with ION's performance in achieving financial objectives and with individual performance. Each executive officer's compensation is designed to reward his contribution to ION's results. Our executive officers' 2013 compensation also reflects adjustments arising from our normal annual process of assessing pay competitiveness.

Edgar Filing: ION GEOPHYSICAL CORP - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

The following table shows the total direct compensation granted by the Compensation Committee to our 2013 named executive officers in 2013 and 2012 (except for Mr. Hulme, who did not become a named executive officer until 2013):

| Name and Principal Position | Year | Salary (\$) | Bonus (\$) | Stock Awards (\$) | Option Awards (\$) | Non-Equity Incentive | Total Direct |
|--|------|----------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|
| | | | | | | Plan Compensation (\$) | Compensation (\$) |
| R. Brian Hanson President, CEO and Director | 2013 | 490,000 | | 214,800 | 235,000 | 395,000 | 1,334,800 |
| | 2012 | 450,000 | | 279,900 | 260,100 | 450,000 | 1,440,000 |
| Christopher T. Usher Executive Vice President and COO, GeoScience Division | 2013 | 350,000 | | 71,600 | 141,000 | 300,000 | 862,600 |
| | 2012 | 21,538 | 125,000 | 311,000 | 173,400 | | 630,938 |
| Ken Williamson Executive Vice President and COO, GeoVentures Division | 2013 | 358,000 | | 71,600 | 141,000 | 215,000 | 785,600 |
| | 2012 | 340,000 | | 93,300 | 173,408 | 300,000 | 906,708 |
| Gregory J. Heinlein Senior Vice President and CFO | 2013 | 312,000 | | 53,700 | 94,000 | 160,000 | 619,700 |
| | 2012 | 300,000 | | 31,100 | 86,700 | 150,000 | 567,800 |
| Colin Hulme Senior Vice President, Ocean Bottom Services | 2013 | 312,000 | | 53,700 | 117,500 | 187,200 | 670,400 |

For 2013, our financial performance exceeded the threshold financial performance criteria under our annual incentive plan but did not meet our plan target criteria. As a result, the cash bonus awards paid to employees under the plan were generally lower than in 2012, when our financial performance exceeded the target criteria. Year-over-year changes in salaries and equity award levels also reflect promotions, individual performance and competitive market adjustments.

Table of Contents

ABOUT THE MEETING

What is a proxy and proxy statement?

A proxy is your legal designation of another person to vote the stock you own on your behalf. That other person is referred to as a "proxy." Our Board of Directors has designated R. Brian Hanson and James M. Lapeyre, Jr. as proxies for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. By completing and submitting the enclosed proxy card, you are giving Mr. Hanson and Mr. Lapeyre the authority to vote your shares in the manner you indicate on your proxy card. A proxy statement is a document that the regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") require us to give you when we ask you to sign a proxy card designating individuals as proxies to vote on your behalf.

Who is soliciting my proxy?

Our Board of Directors is soliciting proxies on its behalf to be voted at the 2014 Annual Meeting. All costs of soliciting the proxies will be paid by ION. Copies of solicitation materials will be furnished to banks, brokers, nominees and other fiduciaries and custodians to forward to beneficial owners of ION's common stock held by such persons. ION will reimburse such persons for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses in forwarding solicitation materials. In addition to solicitations by mail, some of ION's directors, officers and other employees, without extra compensation, might supplement this solicitation by telephone, personal interview or other communication. ION has also retained Georgeson Inc. to assist with the solicitation of proxies from banks, brokers, nominees and other holders, for a fee not to exceed \$10,500 plus reimbursement for out-of-pocket expenses. We may also ask our proxy solicitor to solicit proxies on our behalf by telephone for a fixed fee of \$6 per phone call and \$3.50 per telephone vote, plus reimbursement for expenses.

What is the difference between a "stockholder of record" and a stockholder who holds stock in "street name"?

If your shares are registered directly in your name, you are a stockholder of record. If your shares are registered in the name of your broker, bank or similar organization, then you are the beneficial owner of shares held in street name.

Where will the Annual Meeting be held?

ION's 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be held on the 4th Floor of 2105 CityWest Boulevard in Houston, Texas.

Directions: The site for the meeting is located on CityWest Boulevard off of Beltway 8, near the intersection of Beltway 8 and Briar Forest Drive. Traveling south on the Beltway 8 feeder road after Briar Forest Drive, turn right on Del Monte Drive. Enter Garage Entrance 3 on your immediate left. Advise the guard that you are attending the ION Annual Meeting. You may be required to show your driver's license or other photo identification. The guard will then direct you where to park in the visitors section of the parking garage. The guard can also direct you to 2105 CityWest Boulevard, which is directly south of the garage. Once in the building, check in with the security desk and then take the elevators to the 4th floor.

What is the effect of not voting?

It depends on how ownership of your shares is registered. If you are a stockholder of record, your unvoted shares will not be represented at the meeting and will not count toward the quorum requirement. Assuming a quorum is obtained, your unvoted shares will not be treated as a vote for or against a proposal. Depending on the circumstances, if you own your shares in street name, your broker or bank may represent your shares at the meeting for purposes of obtaining a quorum. As

Table of Contents

described in the answer to the question immediately following, in the absence of your voting instruction, your broker may or may not vote your shares.

If I don't vote, will my broker vote for me?

If you own your shares in street name and you do not vote, your broker may vote your shares in its discretion on proposals determined to be "routine matters" under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE"). With respect to "non-routine matters," however, your broker may not vote your shares for you. Where a broker cannot vote your shares on non-routine matters because he has not received any instructions from you regarding how to vote, the number of unvoted shares on those matters is reported as "broker non-votes." These "broker non-vote" shares are counted toward the quorum requirement, but, generally speaking, they do not affect the determination of whether a matter is approved. See "*How are abstentions and broker non-votes counted?*" below. The election of directors and the advisory vote on executive compensation are not considered to be routine matters under current NYSE rules, so your broker will not have discretionary authority to vote your shares held in street name on those matters. The proposal to ratify the appointment of Grant Thornton LLC ("Grant Thornton") as our independent registered public accounting firm is considered to be a routine matter on which brokers will be permitted to vote your shares without instructions from you.

What is the record date and what does it mean?

The record date for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is April 1, 2014. The record date is established by the Board of Directors as required by Delaware law (the state in which we are incorporated). Holders of common stock at the close of business on the record date are entitled to receive notice of the meeting and vote at the meeting and any adjournments or postponements of the meeting.

How can I revoke a proxy?

A stockholder can revoke a proxy prior to the vote at the Annual Meeting by (a) giving written notice to the Corporate Secretary of ION, (b) delivering a later-dated proxy or (c) voting in person at the meeting. If you hold shares through a bank or broker, you must contact that bank or broker in order to revoke any prior voting instructions.

What constitutes a quorum?

The presence, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of common stock constitutes a quorum. We need a quorum of stockholders to hold a validly convened Annual Meeting. If you have submitted your proxy, your shares will be counted toward the quorum. If a quorum is not present, the chairman may adjourn the meeting, without notice other than by announcement at the meeting, until the required quorum is present. As of the record date, 165,286,432 shares of common stock were outstanding. Thus, the presence of the holders of common stock representing at least 82,643,217 shares will be required to establish a quorum.

What are my voting choices when voting for director nominees, and what vote is needed to elect directors?

In voting on the election of two director nominees to serve until the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, stockholders may vote in one of the following ways:

- (a) in favor of both nominees,
- (b) withhold votes as to both nominees or
- (c) withhold votes as to a specific nominee.

Table of Contents

Directors will be elected by a plurality of the votes of the shares of common stock present or represented by proxy at the meeting. This means that director nominees receiving the highest number of "for" votes will be elected as directors. Votes "for" and "withheld" are counted in determining whether a plurality has been cast in favor of a director. Under ION's Corporate Governance Guidelines, any director nominee who receives a greater number of votes "withheld" from his election than votes "for" such election shall promptly tender to the Board of Directors his resignation following certification of the results of the stockholder vote. For a more complete explanation of this requirement and process, please see "Item 1 Election of Directors Board of Directors and Corporate Governance Majority Voting Procedure for Directors" below.

You may not abstain from voting for purposes of the election of directors. Stockholders are not permitted to cumulate their votes in the election of directors.

The Board recommends a vote "**FOR**" all of the nominees.

What are my voting choices when casting an advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers?

In casting an advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers, stockholders may vote in one of the following ways:

- (a) in favor of the advisory vote to approve our executive compensation,
- (b) against the advisory vote to approve our executive compensation or
- (c) abstain from voting.

The advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers will be approved if the number of votes cast in favor of the proposal exceeds the number of votes cast against it.

The Board recommends a vote "**FOR**" this proposal.

What are my voting choices when voting on the ratification of the appointment of Grant Thornton as our independent registered public accounting firm or independent auditors and what vote is needed to ratify their appointment?

In voting to ratify the appointment of Grant Thornton as independent auditors for 2014, stockholders may vote in one of the following ways:

- (a) in favor of ratification,
- (b) against ratification or
- (c) abstain from voting on ratification.

The proposal to ratify the appointment of Grant Thornton will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast on the proposal by holders of common stock in person or represented by proxy at the meeting.

The Board recommends a vote "**FOR**" this proposal.

Will any other business be transacted at the meeting? If so, how will my proxy be voted?

We do not know of any business to be transacted at the Annual Meeting other than those matters described in this proxy statement. We believe that the periods specified in ION's Bylaws for submitting proposals to be considered at the meeting have passed and no proposals were submitted. However, should any other matters properly come before the meeting, and any adjournments or postponements of the meeting, shares with respect to which voting authority has been granted to the proxies will be voted by the proxies in accordance with their judgment.

Table of Contents

What if a stockholder does not specify a choice for a matter when submitting their proxy?

Stockholders should specify their choice for each matter on their proxy. If no instructions are given, proxies that are properly submitted will be voted "**FOR**" the election of all director nominees, "**FOR**" the non-binding advisory vote to approve our company's executive compensation and "**FOR**" the proposal to ratify the appointment of Grant Thornton as independent auditors for 2014.

How are abstentions and broker non-votes counted?

Abstentions are counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting. A properly submitted proxy marked "withhold" with respect to the election of one or more directors will not be voted with respect to the director or directors indicated, although it will be counted for purposes of determining whether there is a quorum.

With respect to (i) the proposal regarding the advisory vote on executive compensation and (ii) the proposal to ratify the appointment of the independent auditors, an abstention from voting on either such proposal will be counted as present in determining whether a quorum is present but will not be counted in determining the total votes cast on such proposal. Thus, abstentions will have no effect on the outcome of the vote on these proposals.

Broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of the vote on any of the proposals.

What is the deadline for submitting proposals to be considered for inclusion in the 2015 proxy statement and for submitting a nomination for director of ION for consideration at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders in 2015?

Stockholder proposals requested to be included in ION's 2015 proxy statement must be received by ION not later than December 16, 2014. A proper director nomination may be considered at ION's 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders only if the proposal for nomination is received by ION not later than December 16, 2014. Proposals and nominations should be directed to David L. Roland, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, ION Geophysical Corporation, 2105 CityWest Boulevard, Suite 400, Houston, Texas 77042-2839.

Will I have electronic access to the proxy materials and Annual Report?

The notice of Annual Meeting, proxy statement and 2013 Annual Report to Stockholders are posted on ION's Internet website in the Investor Relations section at www.iongeo.com.

How can I obtain a copy of ION's Annual Report on Form 10-K?

A copy of our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K (without schedules or exhibits) forms a part of our 2013 Annual Report to Stockholders, which is enclosed with our proxy statement. You may obtain an additional copy of our 2013 Form 10-K at no charge by sending a written request to David L. Roland, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, ION Geophysical Corporation, 2105 CityWest Boulevard, Suite 400, Houston, Texas 77042-2839. Our Form 10-K is also available (i) through the Investor Relations section of our website at www.iongeo.com and (ii) with exhibits on the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Please note that the contents of these and any other websites referenced in this proxy statement are not incorporated into this filing. Further, our references to the URLs for these and other websites listed in this proxy statement are intended to be inactive textual references only.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our Board of Directors consists of eight members. The Board is divided into three classes. Members of each class are elected for three-year terms and until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified, unless the director dies, resigns, retires, is disqualified or is removed. Our stockholders elect the directors in a designated class annually. Directors in Class III, which is the class of directors to be elected at this meeting, will serve on the Board until our Annual Meeting in 2017.

The current Class III directors are Michael C. Jennings and John N. Seitz, and their terms will expire when their successors are elected and qualified at the 2014 Annual Meeting. At its meeting on February 11, 2014, the Board approved the recommendation of the Governance Committee that Messrs. Jennings and Seitz be nominated to stand for reelection at the Annual Meeting to hold office until our 2017 Annual Meeting and until their successors are elected and qualified.

We have no reason to believe that either of the nominees will be unable or unwilling to serve if elected. However, if any nominee should become unable or unwilling to serve for any reason, proxies may be voted for another person nominated as a substitute by the Board of Directors, or the Board of Directors may reduce the number of Directors.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote "FOR" the election of Michael C. Jennings and John N. Seitz.

The biographies of each of the nominees and continuing directors below contains information regarding the person's service as a director, business experience, education, director positions and the experiences, qualifications, attributes or skills that caused the Governance Committee and the Board to determine that the person should serve as a director for the Company:

Class III Director Nominees For Re-Election for Term Expiring In 2017

MICHAEL C. JENNINGS

Director since 2010

Mr. Jennings, age 48, is the President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors of HollyFrontier Corporation, a NYSE-listed independent oil refining and marketing company. Prior to joining HollyFrontier, Mr. Jennings was the President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Frontier Oil Corporation, an independent oil refining and marketing company. Mr. Jennings joined HollyFrontier in July 2011 when Frontier Oil merged with Holly Corporation to form HollyFrontier. Prior to his appointment to President and Chief Executive Officer of Frontier in January 2009, Mr. Jennings served as Frontier's Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. From 2000 until joining Frontier in 2005, Mr. Jennings was employed by Cameron International Corporation as Vice President and Treasurer. From 1998 until 2000, he was Vice President Finance & Corporate Development of Unimin Corporation, a producer of industrial minerals. From 1995 to 1998, Mr. Jennings was employed by Cameron International Corporation as Director, Acquisitions and Corporate Finance. Mr. Jennings also serves as Chief Executive Officer and on the Board of Directors of Holly Energy Partners, a NYSE-listed master limited partnership partially owned by HollyFrontier Corporation. Mr. Jennings is a member of the Audit and Finance Committees of our Board of Directors. He holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in economics and government from Dartmouth College and a Master of Business Administration degree in finance and accounting from the University of Chicago.

Mr. Jennings' experience in the global oil refining, marketing and oilfield services businesses enables him to advise the Board on customer and industry issues and perspectives. Given his extensive experience in executive, financial, treasury and corporate development matters, Mr. Jennings is able to provide the Board with expertise in corporate leadership, financial management, corporate planning and strategic development, thereby supporting the Board's efforts in overseeing and advising on strategic and financial matters.

Table of Contents

JOHN N. SEITZ Director since 2003

Mr. Seitz, age 62, is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of GulfSlope Energy, Inc., an OTC-listed independent E&P company exploring for oil and gas using advanced seismic imaging. From 2003 until 2006, Mr. Seitz served as co-CEO of Endeavour International Corporation, an exploration and development company with activities in the North Sea and selected North American basins. From 1977 to 2003, Mr. Seitz held positions of increasing responsibility at Anadarko Petroleum Company, serving most recently as a Director and as President and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Seitz is a Trustee of the American Geological Institute Foundation and serves on the Board of Managers of Constellation Energy Partners LLC, a company focused on the acquisition, development and exploitation of oil and natural gas properties and related midstream assets. He also currently serves on the Board of Directors of Gulf United Energy, Inc., an OTC-listed independent energy company. Mr. Seitz is a member of the Compensation and Governance Committees of our Board of Directors. Mr. Seitz holds a Bachelor of Science degree in geology from the University of Pittsburgh, a Master of Science degree in geology from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute and is a Certified Professional Geoscientist in Texas. He also completed the Advanced Management Program at the Wharton School of Business.

Mr. Seitz' extensive experience as a leader of global exploration and production companies such as Endeavour and Anadarko has proven to be an important resource for our Board when considering industry and customer issues. In addition, Mr. Seitz' geology background and expertise assists the Board in better understanding industry trends and issues.

Class I Incumbent Directors Term Expiring In 2015

R. BRIAN HANSON Director since 2012

Mr. Hanson, age 49, has been our President and Chief Executive Officer since January 1, 2012. He joined ION in May 2006 as our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer and was appointed our President and Chief Operating Officer in August 2011. Prior to joining ION, Mr. Hanson served as the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Alliance Imaging, Inc., a NYSE-listed provider of diagnostic imaging services to hospitals and other healthcare providers, from July 2004 until November 2005. From 1998 to 2003, Mr. Hanson held a variety of positions at Fisher Scientific International, Inc., a NYSE-listed manufacturer and supplier of scientific and healthcare products and services, including Vice President Finance of the Healthcare group from 1998 to 2002 and Chief Operating Officer from 2002 to 2003. From 1986 until 1998, Mr. Hanson served in various positions with Culligan Water Conditioning, an international manufacturer of water treatment products and producer and retailer of bottled water products, most recently as Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Hanson received a Bachelor's degree in engineering from the University of New Brunswick and a Master of Business Administration degree from Concordia University in Montreal.

Mr. Hanson's day-to-day leadership and involvement with our company provides him with personal knowledge regarding our operations. In addition, Mr. Hanson's financial experience and skills and technical background enable the Board to better understand and be informed with regard to our company's operations and prospects and financial condition.

HAO HUIMIN Director since 2011

Mr. Hao, age 50, has been employed by China National Petroleum Corporation ("CNPC"), China's largest oil company, and its affiliates in various positions of increasing responsibility since 1984. Since 2006, Mr. Hao has been Chief Geophysicist of BGP Inc., China National Petroleum Corporation ("BGP"). BGP is a subsidiary of CNPC and is the world's largest land seismic contractor. From 2004 to 2006, Mr. Hao was Vice President of BGP, and from 2002 to 2004, he managed the marine department at BGP. Between 1984 and 2002, Mr. Hao served in various management positions at Dagang

Table of Contents

Geophysical Company, a seismic contractor company owned by CNPC. Mr. Hao is a member of the Finance Committee of our Board of Directors. He holds a Bachelor of Science degree in geophysical exploration from China Petroleum University and Masters of Business Administration degrees from the University of Houston and Nankai University in China.

Mr. Hao has over 25 years of experience in geophysical technology research and development, particularly in seismic data processing and seismic data acquisition system research and development management. Mr. Hao's position with BGP and his extensive knowledge of the global seismic industry enables our Board to receive current input and advice reflecting the perspectives of our seismic contractor customers. In addition, our land equipment joint venture with BGP and the ever-increasing importance of China in the global economy and the worldwide oil and gas industry has elevated our commercial involvement with China and Chinese companies. Mr. Hao's insights with regard to issues relating to China provide our Board with a valuable resource.

Mr. Hao was appointed to our Board of Directors under the terms of an agreement with BGP in connection with BGP's purchase of 23,789,536 shares of our common stock in March 2010. Under the agreement, BGP is entitled to designate one individual to serve as a member of our Board unless BGP's ownership of our common stock falls below 10%. In January 2011, Mr. Hao replaced Guo Yueliang, BGP's initial appointee to our Board.

JAMES M. LAPEYRE, JR. Director since 1998

Mr. Lapeyre, age 61, served as Chairman of our Board of Directors from 1999 until January 1, 2012, and again from January 1, 2013 until present. During 2012, Mr. Robert P. Peebler held the role of Executive Chairman and Mr. Lapeyre served as Lead Independent Director. Mr. Lapeyre has been President of Laitram L.L.C., a privately-owned, New Orleans-based manufacturer of food processing equipment and modular conveyor belts, and its predecessors since 1989. Mr. Lapeyre joined our Board of Directors when we bought the DigiCOURSE marine positioning products business from Laitram in 1998. Mr. Lapeyre is Chairman of the Governance Committee and a member of the Audit and Compensation Committees of our Board of Directors. He holds a Bachelor of Art degree in history from the University of Texas and Master of Business Administration and Juris Doctorate degrees from Tulane University.

Mr. Lapeyre's status as a significant stockholder of our company enables our Board to have direct access to the perspective of our stockholders and ensures that the Board will take into consideration the interests of our stockholders in all Board decisions. In addition, Mr. Lapeyre has extensive knowledge regarding the marine products and technology that we acquired from Laitram in 1998.

Class II Incumbent Directors Term Expiring In 2016

DAVID H. BARR Director since 2010

From May 2011 until December 2012, Mr. Barr, age 64, served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Logan International Inc., a Calgary-based Toronto Stock Exchange (TSX)-listed manufacturer and provider of oilfield tools and services. In 2009, Mr. Barr retired from Baker Hughes Incorporated, an oilfield services and equipment provider, after serving for 36 years in various manufacturing, marketing, engineering and product management functions. At the time of his retirement, Mr. Barr was Group President Eastern Hemisphere, responsible for all Baker Hughes products and services for Europe, Russia/Caspian, Middle East, Africa and Asia Pacific. From 2007 to 2009, he served as Group President Completion & Production, and from 2005 to 2007, as Group President Drilling and Evaluation. Mr. Barr served as President of Baker Atlas, a division of Baker Hughes Inc., from 2000 to 2005, and served as Vice President, Supply Chain Management for the Cameron division of Cameron International Corporation from 1999 to 2000. Prior to 1999, he held positions of increasing responsibility within Baker Hughes Inc. and its affiliates, including Vice

Table of Contents

President Business Process Development and various leadership positions with Hughes Tool Company and Hughes Christensen. Mr. Barr initially joined Hughes Tool Company in 1972 after graduating from Texas Tech University with a Bachelor of Science degree in mechanical engineering. Mr. Barr also currently serves on the Board of Directors and Compensation Committee of Logan International Inc., as the Chairman of the Board and on the Compensation Committee of Probe Holdings, Inc. (a designer and manufacturer of oilfield technology and tools) and on the Board of Directors and Compensation and Human Resources and Safety and Social Responsibility Committees of Enerplus Corporation (a NYSE- and TSX-listed independent oil and gas exploration and production company). He formerly served on the Board of Directors and Audit, Remuneration and Governance Committees of Hunting PLC, a London Stock Exchange-listed provider of energy services. Mr. Barr is a member of the Compensation and Governance Committees of our Board of Directors.

Mr. Barr's more than 36 years of experience in the oilfield equipment and services industry provides a uniquely valuable industry perspective for our Board. While at Baker Hughes, Mr. Barr obtained experience within a wide range of company functions, from engineering to group President. His breadth of experience enables him to better understand and inform the Board regarding a range of issues and decisions involved in the operation of our business, including development of business strategy.

FRANKLIN MYERS

Director since 2001

Mr. Myers, age 61, has served as a senior advisor of Quantum Energy Partners, a private equity firm for the global energy industry, since February 2013. From 2009 to 2012, he was an Operating Advisor with Paine & Partners, LLC, a private equity firm focused on leveraged buyout transactions. Prior to joining Paine & Partners, Mr. Myers was employed by Cameron International Corporation, an international manufacturer of oil and gas flow control equipment, as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary (from 1995 to 1999), President of the Cooper Energy Services Division (from 1998 until 2001), Senior Vice President (from 2001 to 2003), Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (from 2003 to 2008) and Senior Advisor (from 2008 to 2009). Prior to joining Cameron, he was Senior Vice President and General Counsel of Baker Hughes Incorporated, an oilfield services and equipment provider, and an attorney and partner with the law firm of Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P. in Houston, Texas. Mr. Myers also currently serves on the Boards of Directors of Comfort Systems USA, Inc. (a NYSE-listed provider of heating, ventilation and air conditioning services), HollyFrontier Corporation (a NYSE-listed independent oil refining and marketing company) and Forum Energy Technology, Inc. (a NYSE-listed oilfield equipment manufacturing company). Mr. Myers is Chairman of the Compensation Committee, co-Chairman of the Finance Committee and a member of the Governance Committee of our Board of Directors. He holds a Bachelor of Science degree in industrial engineering from Mississippi State University and a Juris Doctorate degree with Honors from the University of Mississippi.

Mr. Myers' extensive experience as both a financial and legal executive makes him uniquely qualified as a valuable member of our Board and the Chairman of our Compensation Committee. While at Cameron, Baker Hughes and Fulbright & Jaworski, Mr. Myers was responsible for numerous successful finance and acquisition transactions, and his expertise gained through those experiences have proved to be a significant resource for our Board. In addition, Mr. Myers' service on Boards of Directors of other NYSE-listed companies enables Mr. Myers to observe and advise on favorable governance practices pursued by other public companies.

Table of Contents

S. JAMES NELSON, JR. Director since 2004

Mr. Nelson, age 72, joined our Board of Directors in 2004. In 2004, Mr. Nelson retired from Cal Dive International, Inc. (now named Helix Energy Solutions Group, Inc.), a marine contractor and operator of offshore oil and gas properties and production facilities, where he was a founding shareholder, Chief Financial Officer (prior to 2000), Vice Chairman (from 2000 to 2004) and a Director (from 1990 to 2004). From 1985 to 1988, Mr. Nelson was the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Diversified Energies, Inc., a NYSE-traded company with \$1 billion in annual revenues and the former parent company of Cal Dive. From 1980 to 1985, Mr. Nelson served as Chief Financial Officer of Apache Corporation, an oil and gas exploration and production company. From 1966 to 1980, Mr. Nelson was employed with Arthur Andersen & Co. where, from 1976 to 1980, he was a partner serving on the firm's worldwide oil and gas industry team. Mr. Nelson also currently serves on the Board of Directors and Audit Committees of Oil States International, Inc. (a NYSE-listed diversified oilfield services company) and W&T Offshore, Inc. (a NYSE-listed oil and natural gas exploration and production company). From 2010 until October 2012, Mr. Nelson also served on the Board of Directors and Audit and Compensation Committees of the general partner of Genesis Energy LP, an operator of oil and natural gas pipelines and provider of services to refineries and industrial gas users. From 2005 until the company's sale in 2008, he served as a member of the Board of Directors and Audit and Compensation Committees of Quintana Maritime, Ltd., a provider of dry bulk cargo shipping services based in Athens, Greece. Mr. Nelson, who is also a Certified Public Accountant, is Chairman of the Audit Committee and co-Chairman of the Finance Committee of our Board of Directors. He holds a Bachelor of Science degree in accounting from Holy Cross College and a Master of Business Administration degree from Harvard University.

Mr. Nelson is an experienced financial leader with the skills necessary to lead our Audit Committee. His service as Chief Financial Officer of Cal Dive International, Inc., Diversified Energies, Inc. and Apache Corporation, as well as his years with Arthur Andersen & Co., make him a valuable asset to ION, both on our Board of Directors and as the Chairman of our Audit Committee, particularly with regard to financial and accounting matters. In addition, Mr. Nelson's service on audit committees of other companies enables Mr. Nelson to remain current on audit committee best practices and current financial reporting developments within the energy industry.

Board of Directors and Corporate Governance

Governance Initiatives. ION is committed to excellence in corporate governance and maintains clear practices and policies that promote good corporate governance. We review our governance practices and update them, as appropriate, based upon Delaware law, rules and listing standards of the NYSE, SEC regulations and practices recommended by our outside advisors.

Examples of our corporate governance initiatives include the following:

Seven of our eight Board members are independent of ION and its management. R. Brian Hanson, our President and Chief Executive Officer, is not independent because he is an employee of ION.

All members of the principal standing committees of our Board the Audit Committee, the Governance Committee and the Compensation Committee are independent.

The independent members of our Board and each of the principal committees of our Board meet regularly without the presence of management. The members of the Audit Committee meet regularly with representatives of our independent registered public accounting firm without the presence of management. The members of the Audit Committee also meet regularly with our manager of internal audit without the presence of other members of management.

Our Audit Committee has at least one member who qualifies as a "financial expert" in accordance with Section 407 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Edgar Filing: ION GEOPHYSICAL CORP - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

The Board has adopted written Corporate Governance Guidelines to assist its members in fulfilling their responsibilities.

Under our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Board members are required to offer their resignation from the Board if they retire or materially change the position they held when they began serving as a director on the Board.

We comply with and operate in a manner consistent with regulations prohibiting loans to our directors and executive officers.

Members of our Disclosure Committee, consisting of management employees and senior finance and accounting employees, review all quarterly and annual reports before filing with the SEC.

We have a dedicated hotline and website available to all employees to report ethics and compliance concerns, anonymously if preferred, including concerns related to accounting, accounting controls, financial reporting and auditing matters. The hotline and website are administered and monitored by an independent hotline monitoring company. The Board has adopted a policy and procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints and employee concerns received through the hotline or website. The policy is available on our website at <http://ir.iongeo.com/phoenix.zhtml?c=101545&p=irol-govhighlights>.

On an annual basis, each director and each executive officer is obligated to complete a questionnaire that requires disclosure of any transactions with ION in which the director or executive officer, or any member of his immediate family, has a direct or indirect material interest.

We have included as Exhibits 31.1 and 31.2 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, filed with the SEC, certificates of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, respectively, certifying as to the quality of our public disclosure. In addition, in 2013, we submitted to the NYSE a certificate of our Chief Executive Officer certifying that he is not aware of any violation by ION of the NYSE corporate governance listing standards.

Our internal audit controls function maintains critical oversight over the key areas of our business and financial processes and controls, and provides reports directly to the Audit Committee.

We have a compensation recoupment (clawback) policy that applies to our current and former executive officers. The policy is available on our website at <http://ir.iongeo.com/phoenix.zhtml?c=101545&p=irol-govhighlights>.

We have stock ownership guidelines for our non-employee directors and senior management.

Our employment contracts with our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and other employees do not contain a "single-trigger" change of control severance provision or entitle the employee to tax gross-up benefits.

Majority Voting Procedure for Directors. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines require a mandatory majority voting, director resignation procedure. Any director nominee in an uncontested election who receives a greater number of votes "withheld" from his election than votes "for" such election is required to promptly tender to the Board of Directors his resignation following certification of the stockholder vote. Upon receipt of the resignation, the Governance Committee will consider the resignation offer and recommend to the Board whether to accept it. The Board will act on the Governance Committee's recommendation within 120 days following certification of the stockholder vote. The Governance Committee and the Board may consider any factors they deem relevant in deciding whether to accept a Director's resignation. Thereafter, the Board will promptly disclose its

Table of Contents

decision whether to accept the Director's resignation offer (and the reasons for rejecting the resignation offer, if applicable) in a Current Report on Form 8-K furnished to the SEC.

Code of Ethics. We have adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to all members of our Board of Directors and all of our employees, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer and all other senior members of our finance and accounting departments. We require all employees to adhere to our Code of Ethics in addressing legal and ethical issues encountered in conducting their work. The Code of Ethics requires that our employees avoid conflicts of interest, comply with all laws and other legal requirements, conduct business in an honest and ethical manner, promote full and accurate financial reporting and otherwise act with integrity and in ION's best interest. Every year our management employees and senior finance and accounting employees affirm their compliance with our Code of Ethics and other principal compliance policies. New employees sign a written certification of compliance with these policies upon commencing employment.

We have made our Code of Ethics, corporate governance guidelines, charters for the principal standing committees of our Board and other information that may be of interest to investors available on the Investor Relations section of our website at <http://ir.iongeo.com/phoenix.zhtml?c=101545&p=irol-govhighlights>. Copies of this information may also be obtained by writing to us at ION Geophysical Corporation, Attention: Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, 2105 CityWest Boulevard, Suite 400, Houston, Texas 77042-2839. Amendments to, or waivers from, our Code of Ethics will also be available on our website and reported as may be required under SEC rules; however, any technical, administrative or other non-substantive amendments to our Code of Ethics may not be posted.

Please note that the preceding Internet address and all other Internet addresses referenced in this proxy statement are for information purposes only and are not intended to be a hyperlink. Accordingly, no information found or provided at such Internet addresses or at our website in general is intended or deemed to be incorporated by reference herein.

Lead Independent Director. James M. Lapeyre, Jr. serves as our Chairman of the Board of Directors. Under NYSE corporate governance listing standards, Mr. Lapeyre has also been designated as our Lead Independent Director and presiding non-management director to lead non-management directors meetings of the Board. Our non-management directors meet at regularly scheduled executive sessions without management, over which Mr. Lapeyre presides. The powers and authority of the Lead Independent Director also includes the following:

Advise and consult the Chief Executive Officer, senior management and the Chairperson of each Committee of the Board, as to the appropriate information, agendas and schedules of Board and Committee meetings;

Advise and consult with the Chief Executive Officer and senior management as to the quality, quantity and timeliness of the information submitted by the Company's management to the independent directors;

Recommend to the Chief Executive Officer and the Board the retention of advisers and consultants to report directly to the Board;

Call meetings of the Board or executive sessions of the independent directors;

Develop the agendas for and preside over executive sessions of the Board's independent directors;

Serve as principal liaison between the independent directors, and the Chief Executive Officer and senior management, on sensitive issues, including the review and evaluation of the Chief Executive Officer; and

Table of Contents

Coordinate with the independent directors in respect of each of the foregoing.

Certain of the duties and powers described above are to be conducted in conjunction with our Chairman of the Board if the Lead Independent Director is not also the Chairman of the Board.

Communications to Board and Lead Independent Director. Stockholders and other interested parties may communicate with the Board and our Lead Independent Director or non-management independent directors as a group by writing to "Chairman of the Board" or "Lead Independent Director," c/o Corporate Secretary, ION Geophysical Corporation, 2105 CityWest Boulevard, Suite 400, Houston, Texas 77042-2839. Inquiries sent by mail will be reviewed by our Corporate Secretary and, if they pertain to the functions of the Board or Board committees or if the Corporate Secretary otherwise determines that they should be brought to the intended recipient's attention, they will be forwarded to the intended recipient. Concerns relating to accounting, internal controls, auditing or compliance matters will be brought to the attention of our Audit Committee and handled in accordance with procedures established by the Audit Committee.

Our Corporate Secretary's review of these communications will be performed with a view that the integrity of this process be preserved. For example, items that are unrelated to the duties and responsibilities of the Board, such as personal employee complaints, product inquiries, new product suggestions, resumes and other forms of job inquiries, surveys, service or product complaints, requests for donations, business solicitations or advertisements, will not be forwarded to the directors. In addition, material that is considered to be hostile, threatening, illegal or similarly unsuitable will not be forwarded. Except for these types of items, the Corporate Secretary will promptly forward written communications to the intended recipient. Within the above guidelines, the independent directors have granted the Corporate Secretary discretion to decide what correspondence should be shared with ION management and independent directors.

2013 Meetings of the Board and Stockholders. During 2013, the Board of Directors held 11 meetings and the four standing committees of the Board of Directors held a total of 14 meetings. Overall, the rate of attendance by our directors at such meetings was 94% and four of our directors attended all of the meetings. The table below provides for each member of the Board the percentage of meetings of the Board and Board committees each director attended during 2013. No director attended less than 75% of these meetings. We do not require our Board members to attend our Annual Meeting of Stockholders; however, five of our directors were present at our Annual Meeting held in May 2013.

| Director | Board and Committee Meetings Attended During 2013 |
|-----------------------|--|
| James M. Lapeyre, Jr. | 96% |
| David H. Barr | 100% |
| R. Brian Hanson | 91% |
| Hao Huimin | 89% |
| Michael C. Jennings | 79% |
| Franklin Myers | 100% |
| S. James Nelson, Jr. | 100% |
| John N. Seitz | 100% |

Independence. In determining independence, each year the Board determines whether directors have any "material relationship" with ION. When assessing the "materiality" of a director's relationship with ION, the Board considers all relevant facts and circumstances, not merely from the director's standpoint, but from that of the persons or organizations with which the director has an affiliation, and the frequency or regularity of the services, whether the services are being carried out at arm's length in the ordinary course of business and whether the services are being provided substantially on the same terms to ION as those prevailing at the time from unrelated parties for comparable transactions.

Table of Contents

Material relationships can include commercial, banking, industrial, consulting, legal, accounting, charitable and familial relationships. Factors that the Board may consider when determining independence for purposes of this determination include (1) not being a current employee of ION or having been employed by ION within the last three years; (2) not having an immediate family member who is, or who has been within the last three years, an executive officer of ION; (3) not personally receiving or having an immediate family member who has received, during any 12-month period within the last three years, more than \$120,000 per year in direct compensation from ION other than director and committee fees; (4) not being employed or having an immediate family member employed within the last three years as an executive officer of another company of which any current executive officer of ION serves or has served, at the same time, on that company's compensation committee; (5) not being an employee of or a current partner of, or having an immediate family member who is a current partner of, a firm that is ION's internal or external auditor; (6) not having an immediate family member who is a current employee of such an audit firm who personally works on ION's audit; (7) not being or having an immediate family member who was within the last three years a partner or employee of such an audit firm and who personally worked on ION's audit within that time; (8) not being a current employee, or having an immediate family member who is a current executive officer, of a company that has made payments to, or received payments from, ION for property or services in an amount that, in any of the last three fiscal years, exceeds the greater of \$1 million or 2% of the other company's consolidated gross revenues; or (9) not being an executive officer of a charitable organization to which, within the preceding three years, ION has made charitable contributions in any single fiscal year that has exceeded the greater of \$1 million or 2% of such organization's consolidated gross revenues.

Our Board has affirmatively determined that, with the exception of R. Brian Hanson, who is our President and Chief Executive Officer and an employee of ION, no director has a material relationship with ION within the meaning of the NYSE's listing standards, and that each of our directors (other than Mr. Hanson) is independent from management and from our independent registered public accounting firm, as required by NYSE listing standard rules regarding director independence.

Our Chairman and Lead Independent Director, Mr. Lapeyre, is an executive officer and significant shareholder of Laitram, L.L.C., a company with which ION has ongoing contractual relationships, and Mr. Lapeyre and Laitram together owned approximately 6.3% of our outstanding common stock as of February 28, 2014. Our Board has determined that these contractual relationships have not interfered with Mr. Lapeyre's demonstrated independence from our management, and that the services performed by Laitram for ION are being provided at arm's length in the ordinary course of business and substantially on the same terms to ION as those prevailing at the time from unrelated parties for comparable transactions. In addition, the services provided by Laitram to ION resulted in payments by ION to Laitram in an amount less than 2% of Laitram's 2013 consolidated gross revenues. As a result of these factors, our Board has determined that Mr. Lapeyre, along with each of our other non-management directors, is independent within the meaning of the NYSE's director independence standards. For an explanation of the contractual relationship between Laitram and ION, please see "*Certain Transactions and Relationships*" below.

Our director, Mr. Hao, is employed as Chief Geophysicist of BGP. For an explanation of the relationships between BGP and ION, please see "*Certain Transactions and Relationships*" below.

Risk Oversight. Our Board oversees an enterprise-wide approach to risk management, designed to support the achievement of organizational objectives, including strategic objectives, to improve long-term organizational performance and enhance stockholder value. A fundamental part of risk management is not only understanding the risks a company faces and what steps management is taking to manage those risks, but also understanding what level of risk is appropriate for the company. The involvement of the full Board in setting ION's business strategy is a key part of its assessment of the company's appetite for risk and also a determination of what constitutes an appropriate level of risk for the company. The Board also regularly reviews information regarding the company's credit, liquidity

Table of Contents

and operations, as well as the risks associated with each. While the Board has the ultimate oversight responsibility for the risk management process, various committees of the Board also have responsibility for risk management. In particular, the Audit Committee focuses on financial risk, including internal controls, and receives an annual risk assessment report from ION's internal auditors. In addition, in setting compensation, the Compensation Committee strives to create incentives that encourage a level of risk-taking behavior consistent with ION's business strategies. While each committee is responsible for evaluating certain risks and overseeing the management of such risks, the entire Board is regularly informed through committee reports about such risks.

Board Leadership. Our current Board leadership structure consists of a Chairman of the Board (who is not our current CEO), a Lead Independent Director (who is also our Chairman of the Board) and strong independent committee chairs. The Board believes this structure provides independent Board leadership and engagement and strong independent oversight of management while providing the benefit of having our Chairman and Lead Independent Director lead regular Board meetings as we discuss key business and strategic issues. Mr. Lapeyre, a non-employee independent director, serves as our Chairman of the Board and Lead Independent Director. Mr. Hanson has served as our CEO since January 1, 2012. We separate the roles of CEO and Chairman of the Board in recognition of the differences between the two roles. The CEO is responsible for setting the strategic direction for the company and the day-to-day leadership and performance of the company, while the Chairman provides guidance to the CEO and sets the agenda for Board meetings and presides over the meetings of the full Board. Separating these positions allows our CEO to focus on our day-to-day business, while allowing the Chairman to lead the Board in its fundamental role of providing advice to, and independent oversight of, management. The Board recognizes the time, effort and energy that the CEO is required to devote to his position, as well as the commitment required to serve as our Chairman. The Board believes that having separate positions is the appropriate leadership structure for our company at this time and demonstrates our commitment to good corporate governance.

Political Contributions and Lobbying. Our Code of Ethics prohibits company contributions to political candidates or parties. In addition, we do not advertise in or purchase political publications, allow company assets to be used by political parties or candidates, use corporate funds to purchase seats at political fund raising events, or allow company trademarks to be used in political or campaign literature. ION is a member of certain trade associations that may use a portion of their membership dues for lobbying and/or political expenditures.

Committees of the Board

The Board of Directors has established four standing committees to facilitate and assist the Board in the execution of its responsibilities. The four standing committees are the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, the Governance Committee and the Finance Committee. Each standing committee operates under a written charter, which sets forth the functions and responsibilities of the committee. A copy of the charter for each of the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Governance Committee can be viewed on our website at <http://ir.iongeo.com/phoenix.zhtml?c=101545&p=irol-govhighlights>. A copy of each charter can also be obtained by writing to us at ION Geophysical Corporation, Attention: Corporate Secretary, 2105 CityWest Boulevard, Suite 400, Houston, Texas 77042-2839. The Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, Governance Committee and Finance Committee are composed entirely of non-employee directors. In addition, the Board establishes temporary special committees from time to time on an as-needed basis. During 2013, the Audit Committee met seven times, the Compensation Committee met three times, the Governance Committee met three times, and the Finance Committee met one time.

Table of Contents

The current members of the four standing committees of the Board of Directors are identified below.

| Director | Compensation Committee | Audit Committee | Governance Committee | Finance Committee |
|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| James M. Lapeyre, Jr. | * | * | Chair | |
| David H. Barr | * | | * | |
| R. Brian Hanson | | | | |
| Hao Huimin | | | | * |
| Michael C. Jennings | | * | | * |
| Franklin Myers | Chair | | * | Co-Chair |
| S. James Nelson, Jr. | | Chair | | Co-Chair |
| John N. Seitz | * | | * | |

*

Member

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is a separately-designated standing audit committee as defined in Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). The Audit Committee oversees matters relating to financial reporting, internal controls, risk management and compliance. These responsibilities include appointing, overseeing, evaluating and approving the fees of our independent auditors, reviewing financial information that is provided to our stockholders and others, reviewing with management our system of internal controls and financial reporting processes, and monitoring our compliance program and system.

The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is financially literate and satisfies the definition of "independent" as established under the NYSE corporate governance listing standards and Rule 10A-3 under the Exchange Act. In addition, the Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Nelson, the Chairman of the Audit Committee, is qualified as an audit committee financial expert within the meaning of SEC regulations, and that he has accounting and related financial management expertise within the meaning of the listing standards of the NYSE and Rule 10A-3.

Compensation Committee

General. The Compensation Committee has responsibility for the compensation of our executive officers, including our Chief Executive Officer, and the administration of our executive compensation and benefit plans. The Compensation Committee also has authority to retain or replace outside counsel, compensation and benefits consultants or other experts to provide it with independent advice, including the authority to approve the fees payable and any other terms of retention. All actions regarding executive officer compensation require Compensation Committee approval. The Compensation Committee completes a comprehensive review of all elements of compensation at least annually. If it is determined that any changes to any executive officer's total compensation are necessary or appropriate, the Compensation Committee obtains such input from management as it determines to be necessary or appropriate. All compensation decisions with respect to executives other than our Chief Executive Officer are determined in discussion with, and frequently based in part upon the recommendation of, our Chief Executive Officer. The Compensation Committee makes all determinations with respect to the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, including, but not limited to, establishing performance objectives and criteria related to the payment of his compensation, and determining the extent to which such objectives have been established, obtaining such input from the committee's independent compensation advisors as it deems necessary or appropriate.

Table of Contents

As part of its responsibility to administer our executive compensation plans and programs, the Compensation Committee, usually near the beginning of the calendar year, establishes the parameters of the annual incentive plan awards, including the performance goals relative to our performance that will be applicable to such awards and the similar awards for our other senior executives. It also reviews our performance against the objectives established for awards payable in respect of the prior calendar year, and confirms the extent, if any, to which such objectives have been obtained, and the amounts payable to each of our executive officers in respect of such achievement.

The Compensation Committee also determines the appropriate level and type of awards, if any, to be granted to each of our executive officers pursuant to our equity compensation plans, and approves the total annual grants to other key employees, to be granted in accordance with a delegation of authority to our corporate human resources officer.

The Compensation Committee reviews, and has the authority to recommend to the Board for adoption, any new executive compensation or benefit plans that are determined to be appropriate for adoption by ION, including those that are not otherwise subject to the approval of our stockholders. It reviews any contracts or other transactions with current or former elected officers of the corporation. In connection with the review of any such proposed plan or contract, the Compensation Committee may seek from its independent advisors such advice, counsel and information as it determines to be appropriate in the conduct of such review. The Compensation Committee will direct such outside advisors as to the information it requires in connection with any such review, including data regarding competitive practices among the companies with which ION generally compares itself for compensation purposes.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation. The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Compensation Committee satisfies the definition of "independent" as established under the NYSE corporate governance listing standards. No member of the committee is, or was during 2013, an officer or employee of ION. Mr. Lapeyre is President and Chief Executive Officer and a significant equity owner of Laitram, L.L.C, which has had a business relationship with ION since 1999. During 2013, we paid Laitram and its affiliates a total of approximately \$4.2 million, which consisted of approximately \$3.5 million for manufacturing services, \$0.4 million for rent and other pass-through third party facilities charges, and \$0.3 million for reimbursement of costs related to providing administrative and other back-office support services in connection with our Louisiana marine operations. See "*Certain Transactions and Relationships*" below. During 2013:

No executive officer of ION served as a member of the compensation committee of another entity, one of whose executive officers served as a director or on the Compensation Committee of ION; and

No executive officer of ION served as a director of another entity, one of whose executive officers served on the Compensation Committee of ION.

Governance Committee

The Governance Committee functions as the Board's nominating and corporate governance committee and advises the Board of Directors with regard to matters relating to governance practices and policies, management succession, and composition and operation of the Board and its committees, including reviewing potential candidates for membership on the Board and recommending to the Board nominees for election as directors of ION. In addition, the Governance Committee reviews annually with the full Board and our Chief Executive Officer the succession plans for senior executive officers and makes recommendations to the Board regarding the selection of individuals to occupy these positions. The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Governance Committee satisfies the definition of "independent" as established under the NYSE corporate governance listing standards.

Table of Contents

In identifying and selecting new director candidates, the Governance Committee considers the Board's current and anticipated strengths and needs and a candidate's experience, knowledge, skills, expertise, integrity, diversity, ability to make independent analytical inquiries, understanding of the company's business environment, willingness to devote adequate time and effort to Board responsibilities, and other relevant factors. The Governance Committee has not established specific minimum age, education, years of business experience or specific types of skills for potential director candidates, but, in general, expects that qualified candidates will have ample experience and a proven record of business success and leadership. The committee also seeks an appropriate balance of experience and expertise in accounting and finance, technology, management, international business, compensation, corporate governance, strategy, industry knowledge and general business matters. In addition, the committee seeks a diversity of experience, professions, skills, geographic representation and backgrounds. The committee may rely on various sources to identify potential director nominees, including input from directors, management and others the committee feels are reliable, and professional search firms.

Our Bylaws permit stockholders to nominate individuals for director for consideration at an annual stockholders' meeting. A proper director nomination may be considered at our 2015 Annual Meeting only if the proposal for nomination is received by ION not later than December 20, 2014. All nominations should be directed to David L. Roland, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, ION Geophysical Corporation, 2105 CityWest Boulevard, Suite 400, Houston, Texas 77042-2839.

The Governance Committee will consider properly submitted recommendations for director nominations made by a stockholder or other sources (including self-nominees) on the same basis as other candidates. For consideration by the Governance Committee, a recommendation of a candidate must be submitted timely and in writing to the Governance Committee in care of our Corporate Secretary at our principal executive offices. The submission must include sufficient details regarding the qualifications of the potential candidate. In general, nominees for election should possess (1) the highest level of integrity and ethical character, (2) strong personal and professional reputation, (3) sound judgment, (4) financial literacy, (5) independence, (6) significant experience and proven superior performance in professional endeavors, (7) an appreciation for board and team performance, (8) the commitment to devote the time necessary, (9) skills in areas that will benefit the Board and (10) the ability to make a long-term commitment to serve on the Board.

Finance Committee

The Finance Committee has responsibility for overseeing all areas of corporate finance for ION. The Finance Committee is responsible for reviewing with ION management, and has the power and authority to approve on behalf of the Board, ION's strategies, plans, policies and actions related to corporate finance, including, but not limited to, (a) capital structure plans and strategies and specific equity or debt financings, (b) capital expenditure plans and strategies and specific capital projects, (c) strategic and financial investment plans and strategies and specific investments, (d) cash management plans and strategies and activities relating to cash flow, cash accounts, working capital, cash investments and treasury activities, including the establishment and maintenance of bank, investment and brokerage accounts, (e) financial aspects of insurance and risk management, (f) tax planning and compliance, (g) dividend policy, (h) plans and strategies for managing foreign currency exchange exposure and other exposures to economic risks, including plans and strategies with respect to the use of derivatives, and (i) reviewing and making recommendations to the Board with respect to any proposal by ION to divest any asset, investment, real or personal property, or business interest if such divestiture is required to be approved by the Board. The Finance Committee does not have oversight responsibility with respect to ION's financial reporting, which is the responsibility of the Audit Committee. The Board of Directors has determined that a majority of the members of the Finance

Table of Contents

Committee (including its co-Chairmen) satisfies the definition of "independent" as established under the NYSE corporate governance listing standards.

Stock Ownership Requirements

The Board has adopted stock ownership requirements for ION's directors. The Board adopted these requirements in order to align the economic interests of the directors with those of our stockholders and further focus our emphasis on enhancing stockholder value. Under these requirements, each non-employee director is expected to own at least 36,000 shares of ION common stock, which, at the \$3.30 closing price per share of our common stock on the NYSE on December 31, 2013, equates to more than 2.5 times the \$46,000 annual retainer fee we pay to our non-employee directors. New and current directors will have three years to acquire and increase the director's ownership of ION common stock to satisfy the requirements. The stock ownership requirements are subject to modification by the Board in its discretion. The Board has also adopted stock ownership requirements for senior management of ION. See "*Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Compensation Stock Ownership Requirements; Hedging Policy*" below.

The Governance Committee and the Board regularly review and evaluate ION's directors' compensation program on the basis of current and emerging compensation practices for directors, emerging legal, regulatory and corporate compliance developments and comparisons with director compensation programs of other similarly-situated public companies.

Certain Transactions and Relationships

The Board of Directors has adopted a written policy and procedures to be followed prior to any transaction, arrangement or relationship, or series of similar transactions, arrangements or relationships, including any indebtedness or guarantee of indebtedness, between ION and a "Related Party" where the aggregate amount involved is expected to exceed \$120,000 in any calendar year. Under the policy, "Related Party" includes (a) any person who is or was an executive officer, director or nominee for election as a director (since the beginning of the last fiscal year); (b) any person or group who is a greater-than-5% beneficial owner of ION voting securities; or (c) any immediate family member of any of the foregoing, which means any child, stepchild, parent, stepparent, spouse, sibling, mother-in-law, father-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, brother-in-law, sister-in-law, and anyone residing in the home of an executive officer, director or nominee for election as a director (other than a tenant or employee). Under the policy, the Governance Committee of the Board is responsible for reviewing the material facts of any Related Party transaction and approving or ratifying the transaction. In making its determination to approve or ratify, the Governance Committee is required to consider such factors as (i) the extent of the Related Party's interest in the transaction, (ii) if applicable, the availability of other sources of comparable products or services, (iii) whether the terms of the Related Party transaction are no less favorable than terms generally available in unaffiliated transactions under like circumstances, (iv) the benefit to ION and (v) the aggregate value of the Related Party transaction.

Mr. Lapeyre is the President and Chief Executive Officer and a significant equity owner of Laitram, L.L.C. and has served as President of Laitram and its predecessors since 1989. Laitram is a privately-owned, New Orleans-based manufacturer of food processing equipment and modular conveyor belts. Mr. Lapeyre and Laitram together owned approximately 6.3% of our outstanding common stock as of February 28, 2014.

We acquired DigiCourse, Inc., our marine positioning products business, from Laitram in 1998. In connection with that acquisition, we entered into a Continued Services Agreement with Laitram under which Laitram agreed to provide us certain bookkeeping, software, manufacturing, and maintenance services. Manufacturing services consist primarily of machining of parts for our marine positioning systems. The term of this agreement expired in September 2001 but we continue to operate under its terms. In addition, from time to time, when we have requested, the legal staff of Laitram has advised

Table of Contents

us on certain intellectual property matters with regard to our marine positioning systems. Under an amended lease of commercial property dated February 1, 2006, between Lapeyre Properties, L.L.C. (an affiliate of Laitram) and ION, we have leased certain office and warehouse space from Lapeyre Properties through January 2014, with the right to terminate the lease sooner upon 12 months' notice. During 2012, we paid Laitram and its affiliates a total of approximately \$4.2 million, which consisted of approximately \$3.5 million for manufacturing services, \$0.4 million for rent and other pass-through third party facilities charges, and \$0.3 million for reimbursement for costs related to providing administrative and other back-office support services in connection with our Louisiana marine operations. In the opinion of our management, the terms of these services are fair and reasonable and as favorable to us as those that could have been obtained from unrelated third parties at the time of their performance.

Mr. Hao is Chief Geophysicist of BGP. BGP has been a customer of our products and services for many years. For our fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, BGP accounted for approximately 1.5% and 2.6% of our consolidated net sales, respectively. During 2013, we recorded revenues from sales to BGP of approximately \$8.0 million. Trade receivables due from BGP at December 31, 2013 were \$1.5 million.

In March 2010, prior to Mr. Hao being appointed to the Board, we entered into certain transactions with BGP that resulted in the commercial relationships between our company and BGP as described below:

We issued and sold 23,789,536 shares of our common stock to BGP for an effective purchase price of \$2.80 per share pursuant to (i) a Stock Purchase Agreement we entered into with BGP and (ii) the conversion of the principal balance of indebtedness outstanding under a Convertible Promissory Note dated as of October 23, 2009. As of February 28, 2014, BGP held beneficial ownership of approximately 14.5% of our outstanding shares of common stock. The shares of our common stock acquired by BGP are subject to the terms and conditions of an Investor Rights Agreement that we entered into with BGP in connection with its purchase of our shares. Under the Investor Rights Agreement, for so long as BGP owns at least 10% of our outstanding shares of common stock, BGP will have the right to nominate one director to serve on our Board. The appointment of Mr. Hao to our Board was made pursuant to this agreement. The Investor Rights Agreement also provides that whenever we may issue shares of our common stock or other securities convertible into, exercisable or exchangeable for our common stock, BGP will have certain pre-emptive rights to subscribe for a number of such shares or other securities as may be necessary to retain its proportionate ownership of our common stock that would exist before such issuance. These pre-emptive rights are subject to usual and customary exceptions, such as issuances of securities as equity compensation to our directors, employees and consultants, under employee stock purchase plans and under our currently outstanding convertible and exercisable securities.

We formed a joint venture with BGP, owned 49% by us and 51% by BGP, to design, develop, manufacture and sell land-based seismic data acquisition equipment for the petroleum industry. The name of the joint venture company is INOVA Geophysical Equipment Limited. Under the terms of the joint venture transaction, INOVA Geophysical was initially formed as a wholly-owned direct subsidiary of ION, and BGP acquired its interest in the joint venture by paying us aggregate consideration of (i) \$108.5 million in cash and (ii) 49% of certain assets owned by BGP relating to the business of the joint venture. In addition, INOVA Geophysical provided a bank stand-by letter of credit as credit support for our obligations under our commercial bank revolving and term loans.

Table of Contents

Director Compensation

ION employees who are also directors do not receive any fee or remuneration for services as members of our Board of Directors. We currently have seven non-employee directors who qualify for compensation as directors. In addition to being reimbursed for all reasonable out-of-pocket expenses that the director incurs attending Board meetings and functions, our outside directors receive an annual retainer fee of \$46,000. In addition, our Chairman of the Board receives an annual retainer fee of \$25,000, our Chairman of the Audit Committee receives an annual retainer fee of \$20,000, our Chairman of the Compensation Committee receives an annual retainer fee of \$15,000, our Chairman of the Governance Committee receives an annual retainer fee of \$10,000 and each co-Chairman of the Finance Committee receives an annual retainer fee of \$5,000. Our non-employee directors also receive, in cash, \$2,000 for each Board meeting attended and \$2,000 for each committee meeting attended (unless the committee meeting is held in conjunction with a Board meeting, in which case the fee for committee meeting attendance is \$1,000) and \$1,000 for each Board or committee meeting attended via teleconference.

Each non-employee director also receives an initial grant of 8,000 vested shares of our common stock on the first quarterly grant date after joining the Board and follow-on grants each year of a number of shares of our common stock equal in market value to \$110,000, up to an annual grant of 25,000 shares per director.

The following table summarizes the compensation earned by ION's non-employee directors in 2013:

| Name(1) | Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$) | Stock Awards (\$)(2) | Change in Pension Value and Non-Equity Nonqualified Incentive Deferred Plan Compensation All Other | | | Total (\$) |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|---|------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| | | | Compensation (\$) | Earnings (\$) | Compensation (\$) | |
| David H. Barr | 69,000 | 89,500 | | | | 158,500 |
| Hao Huimin | 55,000 | 89,500 | | | | 144,500 |
| Michael C. Jennings | 66,000 | 89,500 | | | | 155,500 |
| James M. Lapeyre, Jr. | 109,000 | 89,500 | | | | 198,500 |
| Franklin Myers | 89,000 | 89,500 | | | | 178,500 |
| S. James Nelson, Jr. | 96,000 | 89,500 | | | | 185,500 |
| John N. Seitz | 69,000 | 89,500 | | | | 158,500 |

(1) R. Brian Hanson, our President and Chief Executive Officer, is not included in this table because he was an employee of ION during 2013, and therefore received no compensation for his services as director. The compensation received by Mr. Hanson as an employee of ION during 2013 is shown in the Summary Compensation Table contained in " *Executive Compensation*" below.

(2) All of the amounts shown represent the value of common stock granted under our 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan ("2004 LTIP"). On December 1, 2013, each of our non-employee directors was granted an award of 25,000 shares of ION common stock. The values contained in the table are based on the grant-date fair value of awards of stock during the fiscal year.

As of December 31, 2013, our non-employee directors held the following unvested and unexercised ION equity awards:

| Name | Unvested Stock Awards(#) | Unexercised Option Awards(#) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| David H. Barr | | |
| Hao Huimin | | |
| Michael C. Jennings | | |
| James M. Lapeyre, Jr. | | 50,000 |
| Franklin Myers | | 25,000 |
| S. James Nelson, Jr. | | 70,000 |
| John N. Seitz | | 50,000 |

Table of Contents**OWNERSHIP OF EQUITY SECURITIES OF ION**

Except as otherwise set forth below, the following table sets forth information as of February 28, 2014, with respect to the number of shares of common stock owned by (i) each person known by us to be a beneficial owner of more than 5% of our common stock, (ii) each of our directors, (iii) each of our executive officers named in the 2013 Summary Compensation Table included in this proxy statement and (iv) all of our directors and executive officers as a group. Except where information was otherwise known by us, we have relied solely upon filings of Schedules 13D and 13G to determine the number of shares of our common stock owned by each person known to us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of our common stock as of such date.

| Name of Owner | Common Stock(1) | Rights to Acquire(2) | Restricted Stock(3) | Percent of Common Stock(4) |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Invesco Ltd.(5) | 31,667,181 | | | 19.4% |
| BGP Inc., China National Petroleum Corporation(6) | 23,789,536 | | | 14.4% |
| BlackRock, Inc.(7) | 12,698,946 | | | 7.8% |
| James M. Lapeyre, Jr.(8) | 10,250,538 | 50,000 | | 6.3% |
| Laitram, L.L.C.(9) | 7,605,345 | | | 4.6% |
| David H. Barr | 69,000 | | | * |
| R. Brian Hanson | 20,622 | 316,250 | 142,561 | * |
| Hao Huimin | 47,600 | | | * |
| Michael C. Jennings | 69,000 | | | * |
| Franklin Myers | 97,000 | 25,000 | | * |
| S. James Nelson, Jr. | 89,000 | 70,000 | | * |
| John N. Seitz | 118,895 | 50,000 | | * |
| Christopher T. Usher | 11,337 | 12,500 | 53,332 | * |
| Ken Williamson | 68,517 | 313,000 | 35,000 | * |
| Gregory J. Heinlein(10) | 16,159 | 92,250 | 27,898 | * |
| Colin T. Hulme | 8,766 | 20,000 | 34,998 | * |
| All directors and executive officers as a group (15 Persons) | 10,974,528 | 1,113,800 | 346,587 | 7.5% |

*

Less than 1%

(1)

Represents shares for which the named person (a) has sole voting and investment power or (b) has shared voting and investment power. Excluded are shares that (i) are unvested restricted stock holdings or (ii) may be acquired through stock option exercises.

(2)

Represents shares of common stock that may be acquired upon the exercise of stock options held by our officers and directors that are currently exercisable or will be exercisable on or before April 16, 2014.

(3)

Represents unvested shares subject to a vesting schedule, forfeiture risk and other restrictions. Although these shares are subject to risk of forfeiture, the holder has the right to vote the unvested shares unless and until they are forfeited.

(4)

Assumes shares subject to outstanding stock options that such person has rights to acquire upon exercise, presently and on or before April 16, 2014, are outstanding.

(5)

The address for Invesco Ltd. is 1555 Peachtree Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia, 30309.

(6)

The address for BGP Inc., China National Petroleum Corporation is No. 189 Fanyang Middle Road, ZhuoZhou City, HeBei Province 072750 P.R. China.

(7)

Edgar Filing: ION GEOPHYSICAL CORP - Form DEF 14A

The address for BlackRock, Inc. is 40 East 52nd Street, New York, New York 10022. Blackrock, Inc. reported that it has sole voting power with respect to 12,240,678 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 12,698,946 shares.

Table of Contents

- (8) These shares of common stock include 1,100,580 shares that Mr. Lapeyre holds as a custodian or trustee for the benefit of his children, 7,605,345 shares owned by Laitram, and 10,500 shares that Mr. Lapeyre holds as a co-trustee with his wife for the benefit of his children, in all of which Mr. Lapeyre disclaims any beneficial interest. Please read note 9 below. Mr. Lapeyre has sole voting power over only 1,534,113 of these shares of common stock.
- (9) The address for Laitram, L.L.C. is 220 Laitram Lane, Harahan, Louisiana 70123. Mr. Lapeyre is the President and Chief Executive Officer of Laitram. Please read note 8 above. Mr. Lapeyre disclaims beneficial ownership of any shares held by Laitram.
- (10) These shares of common stock include 1,000 shares owned by Mr. Heinlein's wife, in which Mr. Heinlein disclaims any beneficial interest.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires directors and certain officers of ION, and persons who own more than 10% of ION's common stock, to file with the SEC and the NYSE initial statements of beneficial ownership on Form 3 and changes in such ownership on Forms 4 and 5. Based on our review of the copies of such reports, we believe that during 2013 our directors, executive officers and stockholders holding greater than 10% of our outstanding shares complied with all applicable filing requirements under Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act, and that all of their filings were timely made.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Our executive officers are as follows:

| Name | Age | Position with ION |
|----------------------|-----|--|
| R. Brian Hanson | 49 | President and Chief Executive Officer and Director |
| Christopher T. Usher | 53 | Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, GeoScience Division |
| Ken Williamson | 49 | Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, GeoVentures Division |
| Steve Bate | 51 | Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, Systems Division |
| Gregory J. Heinlein | 50 | Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer |
| Colin Hulme | 61 | Senior Vice President, Ocean Bottom Services |
| David L. Roland | 52 | Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary |
| Scott Schwausch | 39 | Vice President and Corporate Controller |

For a description of the business background of Mr. Hanson, please see "Item 1 Election of Directors Class I Incumbent Directors Term Expiring in 2015" above.

Mr. Usher has been our Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, GeoScience Division, since November 2012. Prior to joining our company, Mr. Usher served as the Senior Vice President, Data Processing, Analysis and Interpretation and Chief Technology Officer of Global Geophysical Services, Inc., a NYSE-listed seismic products and services company, since January 2010. Prior to joining Global, Mr. Usher served from October 2005 to January 2010 as Senior Director at Landmark Software and Services, a division of Halliburton Company, an oilfield services company. From 2004 to 2005, he was Senior Corporate Vice President, Integrated Services, at Paradigm Geotechnology, an exploration and production software company. From 2000 to 2003, Mr. Usher served as President of the global data processing division of Petroleum Geo-Services (PGS), a marine geophysical contracting company. He began his career at Western Geophysical. Mr. Usher holds a Bachelor of Science degree in geology and geophysics from Yale University.

Mr. Williamson joined ION as Vice President of our GeoVentures business unit in September 2006, became a Senior Vice President in January 2007, and became Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, GeoVentures Division, in November 2012. Between 1987 and 2006, Mr. Williamson

Table of Contents

was employed by Western Geophysical, which in 2000 became part of WesternGeco, a seismic solutions and technology subsidiary of Schlumberger, Ltd., a global oilfield and information services company. While at WesternGeco, Mr Williamson served as Vice President, Marketing from 2001 to 2003, Vice President, Russia and Caspian Region, from 2003 to 2005 and Vice President, Marketing, Sales & Commercialization of WesternGeco's electromagnetic services and technology division from 2005 to 2006. Mr. Williamson holds a Bachelor of Science degree in geophysics from Cardiff University in Wales.

Mr. Bate rejoined ION in May 2013 as Senior Vice President, Systems Division, and in February 2014 became the Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, Systems Division. Mr. Bate originally joined ION in 2005 as Chief Financial Officer of our GX Technology business unit. In 2007, he was appointed Senior Vice President, Sensor business unit and in 2009 his area of responsibility broadened to our Land Imaging Systems Division. Following our formation in March 2010 of INOVA Geophysical, a land seismic equipment joint venture with BGP, Mr. Bate was appointed as INOVA Geophysical's first President and Chief Executive Officer, and served in that role until October 2012. Prior to joining ION in 2005, Mr. Bate founded a consulting business and served as President of a residential construction company. Mr. Bate holds a Bachelor of Business Administration degree from the University of Houston.

Mr. Heinlein has been our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since November 2011. Prior to joining ION, Mr. Heinlein served as the Chief Operating and Financial Officer of Genprex, Inc., a clinical-stage biopharmaceutical company. Prior to joining Genprex in 2011, Mr. Heinlein worked as an independent financial consultant and held a variety of senior management positions at Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., a NYSE-listed designer and manufacturer of embedded semiconductors for the automotive, consumer, industrial and networking markets, including Vice President and Treasurer from 2005 to 2008 and Vice President, Global Sales and Marketing, from 2008 to 2010. From 2001 to 2004, Mr. Heinlein served as Vice President and Treasurer of Fisher Scientific International Inc., a NYSE-listed manufacturer and supplier of scientific and healthcare products and services. From 1999 to 2001, he served as Vice President, Treasurer at Great Lakes Chemical Company, a NYSE-listed chemical research, production, sales and distribution company. Mr. Heinlein began his career in 1987 at The Dow Chemical Company, where he worked for more than 12 years in progressively challenging financial management positions, in both the treasury and control functions. Mr. Heinlein received a Bachelor of Business Administration degree from Saginaw Valley State University and a Master of Business Administration degree from Michigan State University.

Mr. Hulme joined ION in April 2012 as Senior Vice President, Strategic Marketing and in November 2013 was promoted to Senior Vice President, Ocean Bottom Services, and appointed to serve as the chief executive officer of OceanGeo B.V., a joint venture controlled by ION. Prior to joining ION, Mr. Hulme held a variety of senior management positions at Schlumberger, Ltd., a global oilfield and information services company, from 1989 through 2011, including serving as Technical Director - Deep Reading for Schlumberger Wireline from 2006 to 2011, Vice President and General Manager of Seismic Data Processing for WesternGeco, a seismic solutions and technology subsidiary of Schlumberger, from 2002 to 2006, Vice President and General Manager for Reservoir Products, Schlumberger Information Services, from 2000 to 2002, Vice President and Business Manager for Asia Region, Schlumberger Information Services, from 1998 to 2000, and Corporate Marketing and Commercialization Manager for WesternGeco from 1994 to 1998. Prior to joining Schlumberger, Mr Hulme began his career at Digicon Geophysical.

Mr. Roland joined ION as Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary in April 2004 and became a Senior Vice President in January 2007. Prior to joining ION, Mr. Roland held several positions within the legal department of Enron Corp., a multi-national energy trading and infrastructure development business, most recently as Vice President and Assistant General Counsel. Prior to joining Enron in 1998, Mr. Roland was an attorney with Caltex Corporation, an international oil and gas marketing and refining company. Mr. Roland was an attorney with the law firm of Gardere & Wynne (now Gardere Wynne Sewell LLP) from 1988 until 1994, when he joined Caltex. Mr. Roland holds a

Table of Contents

Bachelor of Business Administration degree from the University of Houston and a Juris Doctorate degree with Distinction from St. Mary's University.

Mr. Schwausch joined ION in 2006 as Assistant Controller and held that position until June 2010 when he became Director of Financial Reporting. In May 2012, he became Controller, Solutions Business Unit, and in May 2013 became Vice President and Corporate Controller. Mr. Schwausch held a variety of positions at Deloitte & Touche, LLP, a public accounting firm, from 2000 until he joined ION. Mr. Schwausch is a Certified Public Accountant and a Certified Management Accountant. He received a Bachelor of Science degree in accounting from Brigham Young University.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Introductory note: The following discussion of executive compensation contains descriptions of various employee benefit plans and employment-related agreements. These descriptions are qualified in their entirety by reference to the full text or detailed descriptions of the plans and agreements, which are filed or incorporated by reference as exhibits to our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. In this discussion, the terms "ION," "we," "our" and "us" refer to ION Geophysical Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries, except where the context otherwise requires or as otherwise indicated.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis provides an overview of the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors, a discussion of the background and objectives of our compensation programs for our senior executives, and a discussion of all material elements of the compensation of each of the executive officers identified in the following table, whom we refer to as our named executive officers:

| Name | Title |
|----------------------|--|
| R. Brian Hanson | President and Chief Executive Officer (our principal executive officer and former principal financial officer) |
| Christopher T. Usher | Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, GeoScience Division |
| Ken Williamson | Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, GeoVentures Division |
| Gregory J. Heinlein | Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (our principal financial officer) |
| Colin Hulme | Senior Vice President, Ocean Bottom Services |

Executive Summary

General. The objectives and major components of our executive compensation program did not materially change from 2013 to 2014. While we regularly review and fine-tune our compensation programs, we believe consistency in our compensation program and philosophy is important to effectively motivate and reward top-level management performance and for the creation of stockholder value. We continue to provide our named executive officers with total annual compensation that includes three principal elements: base salary, performance-based annual incentive cash compensation and long-term equity-based incentive awards. Elements of our compensation program continue to be performance-based, and a significant portion of each executive's total annual compensation is at risk and dependent upon our company's achievement of specific, measurable performance goals. Our performance-based pay is designed to align our executive officers' interests with those of our stockholders and to promote the creation of stockholder value, without encouraging excessive risk-taking. In addition, our equity programs, combined with our executive share ownership requirements, are designed to reward long-term stock performance.

Base salaries for several of our named executive officers were increased in January 2014, consistent with our usual base salary review process and practice. Payments under our annual bonus incentive plan for 2013 reflected our performance and the level of achievement of our 2013 plan performance goals.

Table of Contents

As discussed further in this Proxy Statement under the heading "2013 Bonus Incentive Plan," our 2013 adjusted operating income exceeded the threshold consolidated financial performance criteria under our 2013 bonus incentive plan but did not meet the target criteria under the plan. As a result, many of our eligible named executive officers and other eligible executives and employees received a cash bonus award under the 2013 plan that was lower in amount than the cash bonus they received for 2012, when our financial performance exceeded the applicable target financial performance criteria.

The annual grants made to our named executive officers under our long-term stock incentive plan on December 1, 2013 were generally consistent with grants made to named executive officers in previous years.

Principal Changes in Compensation during 2013. At our 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders held on May 22, 2013, our stockholders approved all of our director nominees and proposals, including a non-binding advisory ("say-on-pay") vote to approve the compensation of our executive officers. In the advisory executive compensation vote, over 98% of the votes cast on the proposal voted in favor of our compensation practices and policies. Our general goal since our 2013 Annual Meeting has been to continue to act consistently with the established practices that were overwhelmingly approved by our stockholders. We believe that we have accomplished that goal. In addition, because our stockholders voted in a non-binding advisory vote held at our 2011 Annual Meeting in favor of our holding an advisory ("say-on-frequency") vote on executive compensation every year, we will continue to hold an annual advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers. When and if our Board determines that it is in the best interest of our company to hold our say-on-pay vote with a different frequency, we will propose such a change to our stockholders at the next annual meeting of stockholders to be held following the Board's determination. Presently, under SEC rules, we are not required to hold another say-on-frequency vote again until our 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Introduction/Corporate Governance

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors reviews and approves, or recommends to the Board for approval, all salary and other remuneration for our executive officers and oversees matters relating to our employee compensation and benefit programs. No member of the committee is an employee of ION. The Board has determined that each member of the committee satisfies the definition of "independent" as established in the NYSE corporate governance listing standards. In determining the independence of each member of the committee, the Board considered all factors specifically relevant to determining whether the director has a relationship to our company that is material to the director's ability to be independent from management in the execution of his duties as a compensation committee member, including, but not limited to:

the source of compensation of the director, including any consulting, advisory or other compensatory fee paid by us to the director; and

whether the director is affiliated with our company, a subsidiary or affiliate.

When considering the director's affiliation with us for purposes of independence, the Board considered whether the affiliate relationship places the director under the direct or indirect control of our company or its senior management, or creates a direct relationship between the director and members of senior management, in each case, of a nature that would impair the director's ability to make independent judgments about our executive compensation.

The committee operates pursuant to a written charter that sets forth its functions and responsibilities. A copy of the charter can be viewed on our website at <http://ir.iongeo.com/phoenix.zhtml?c=101545&p=irol-govhighlights>. For a description of the responsibilities of the committee, see "Item 1. Election of Directors Committees of the Board Compensation Committee" above.

Table of Contents

During 2013, the committee met in person or by conference call three times. In addition, the committee took action by unanimous written consent, as permitted under Delaware law and our Bylaws, three times during 2013, primarily to approve individual non-executive employee grants of restricted stock and stock options. We believe that each of these individual grants made by unanimous written consent of the committee complied with the applicable grant date requirements under Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification Topic (ASC) 718, "Compensation - Stock Compensation" ("ASC Topic 718").

Compensation Consultants

The Compensation Committee has the authority and necessary funding to engage, terminate and pay compensation consultants, independent legal counsel and other advisors in its discretion. Prior to retaining any such compensation consultant or other advisor, the committee evaluates the independence of such advisor and also evaluates whether such advisor has a conflict of interest. During 2011, the committee engaged Performensation Consulting, an equity compensation consulting firm, to provide advisory services with regard to the preparation of our 2011 proxy statement and to provide the committee with analysis on the number of shares to propose to stockholders to add to our stock plan at our 2011 Annual Meeting for future grants to employees and directors. During 2011, the committee also engaged Aon Hewitt as its consultant in connection with the promotion of Mr. Hanson to Chief Executive Officer. During 2012 and 2013, at the recommendation of our management, the committee approved and engaged Performensation Consulting to provide advisory services with regard to the preparation of our 2012 and 2013 proxy statements, respectively.

From 2011 to date, neither of Performensation Consulting nor Aon Hewitt received compensation, or advised our company or our executive officers, on matters outside the scope of their respective engagements by the Compensation Committee.

The Compensation Committee has considered the independence of Performensation Consulting in light of SEC rules and NYSE listing standards. Among the factors considered by the committee were the following:

other services provided to our company by Performensation Consulting;

the amount of fees paid by us as a percentage of Performensation Consulting's total revenues;

policies or procedures maintained by Performensation Consulting that are designed to prevent a conflict of interest;

any business or personal relationships between the individual consultants involved in the engagement and any member of the committee;

any of our common stock owned by the individual consultants involved in the engagement; and

any business or personal relationships between our executive officers and Performensation Consulting or the individual consultants involved in the engagement.

The committee discussed these considerations and concluded that the work of Performensation Consulting did not raise any conflict of interest.

Role of Management in Establishing and Awarding Compensation

On an annual basis, our Chief Executive Officer, with the assistance of our Human Resources department, recommends to the Compensation Committee any proposed increases in base salary, bonus payments and equity awards for our executive officers other than himself. No executive officer is involved in determining his own salary increase, bonus payment or equity award. When making officer compensation recommendations, our Chief Executive Officer takes into consideration compensation

Table of Contents

benchmarks, which include industry standards for similar sized organizations serving similar markets, as well as comparable positions, the level of inherent importance and risk associated with the position and function, and the executive's job performance over the previous year. See " *Objectives of Our Executive Compensation Programs Benchmarking*" and " *Elements of Compensation Base Salary*" below.

Our Chief Executive Officer, with the assistance of our Human Resources department and input from our executive officers and other members of senior management, also formulates and proposes to the Compensation Committee an employee bonus incentive plan for the ensuing year. For a description of our process for formulating the employee bonus incentive plan and the factors that we consider, see " *Elements of Compensation Bonus Incentive Plan*" below.

The committee reviews and approves all compensation and awards to executive officers and all bonus incentive plans. With respect to equity compensation awarded to employees other than executive officers, the Compensation Committee reviews and approves all grants of restricted stock and stock options above 5,000 shares, generally based upon the recommendation of the Chief Executive Officer, and has delegated option and restricted stock granting authority to the Chief Executive Officer as permitted under Delaware law for grants to non-executive officers of up to 5,000 shares.

On its own initiative, at least once a year, the Compensation Committee reviews the performance and compensation of our Chief Executive Officer and, following discussions with the Chief Executive Officer and other members of the Board of Directors, establishes his compensation level. Where it deems appropriate, the Compensation Committee will also consider market compensation information from independent sources. See " *Objectives of Our Executive Compensation Programs Benchmarking*" below.

Certain members of our senior management generally attend most meetings of the Compensation Committee, including our Chief Executive Officer, our Senior Vice President Global Human Resources, and our General Counsel/Corporate Secretary. However, no member of management votes on items being considered by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee and Board of Directors do solicit the views of our Chief Executive Officer on compensation matters, particularly as they relate to the compensation of the other named executive officers and the other members of senior management reporting to the Chief Executive Officer. The committee often conducts an executive session during each meeting, during which members of management are not present.

Objectives of Our Executive Compensation Programs

General Compensation Philosophy and Policy

Through our compensation programs, we seek to achieve the following general goals:

attract and retain qualified and productive executive officers and key employees by providing total compensation competitive with that of other executives and key employees employed by companies of similar size, complexity and industry of business;

encourage our executives and key employees to achieve strong financial and operational performance;

structure compensation to create meaningful links between corporate performance, individual performance and financial rewards;

align the interests of our executives with those of our stockholders by providing a significant portion of total pay in the form of stock-based incentives;

encourage long-term commitment to our company; and

Table of Contents

limit corporate perquisites to seek to avoid perceptions both within and outside of our company of "soft" compensation.

Our governing principles in establishing executive compensation have been:

Long-Term and At-Risk Focus. Compensation opportunities should be composed of long-term, at-risk pay to focus our management on the long-term interests of our company. Base salary, annual incentives and employee benefits should be close to competitive levels when compared to similarly-situated companies.

Equity Orientation. Equity-based plans should comprise a major part of the at-risk portion of total compensation to instill ownership thinking and to link compensation to corporate performance and stockholder interests.

Competitive. We emphasize total compensation opportunities consistent on average with our peer group of companies. Competitiveness of annual base pay and annual incentives is independent of stock performance. However, overall competitiveness of total compensation is generally contingent on long-term, stock-based compensation programs.

Focus on Total Compensation. In making decisions with respect to any element of an executive officer's compensation, the Compensation Committee considers the total compensation that may be awarded to the executive officer, including salary, annual bonus and long-term incentive compensation. These total compensation reports are prepared by our Human Resources department and present the dollar amount of each component of the named executive officers' compensation, including current cash compensation (base salary, past bonus and eligibility for future bonus), equity awards and other compensation. The overall purpose of these total compensation reports is to bring together, in one place, all of the elements of actual and potential compensation of our named executive officers so that the Compensation Committee may analyze both the individual elements of compensation (including the compensation mix) as well as the aggregate total amount of actual and projected compensation. In its most recent review of total compensation reports, the committee determined that annual compensation amounts for our Chief Executive Officer and our other named executive officers remained generally consistent with the committee's expectations. However, the committee reserves the right to make changes that it believes are warranted.

Internal Pay Equity. Our core compensation philosophy is to pay our executive officers competitive levels of compensation that best reflect their individual responsibilities and contributions to our company, while providing incentives to achieve our business and financial objectives. While comparisons to compensation levels at other companies (discussed below) are helpful in assessing the overall competitiveness of our compensation program, we believe that our executive compensation program also must be internally consistent and equitable in order for our company to achieve our corporate objectives. Each year our Human Resources department reports to the Compensation Committee the total compensation paid to our Chief Executive Officer and all other senior executives, which includes a comparison for internal pay equity purposes. Over time, there have been variations in the comparative levels of compensation of executive officers and changes in the overall composition of the management team and the overall accountabilities of the individual executive officers; however, we and the committee are satisfied that total compensation received by executive officers reflects an appropriate differential for executive compensation.

These principles apply to compensation policies for all of our executive officers and key employees. We do not follow the principles in a mechanistic fashion; rather, we apply experience and judgment in determining the appropriate mix of compensation for each individual. This judgment also involves periodic review of discernible measures to determine the progress each individual is making toward agreed-upon goals and objectives.

Table of Contents**Benchmarking**

When making compensation decisions, we also look at the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers relative to the compensation paid to similarly-situated executives at companies that we consider to be our industry and market peers a practice often referred to as "benchmarking." We believe, however, that a benchmark should be just that a point of reference for measurement but not the determinative factor for our executives' compensation. The purpose of the comparison is not to supplant the analyses of internal pay equity, total wealth accumulation and the individual performance of the executive officers that we consider when making compensation decisions. Because the comparative compensation information is just one of the several analytic tools that are used in setting executive compensation, the Compensation Committee has discretion in determining the nature and extent of its use. Further, given the limitations associated with comparative pay information for setting individual executive compensation, including the difficulty of assessing and comparing wealth accumulation through equity gains, the committee may elect to not use the comparative compensation information at all in the course of making compensation decisions.

In most years, at least once each year, our Human Resources department, under the oversight of the Compensation Committee, reviews data from market surveys, independent consultants and other sources to assess our competitive position with respect to base salary, annual incentives and long-term incentive compensation. When reviewing compensation data in November 2013, we utilized data primarily from Radford salary surveys, the Mercer U.S. Compensation Planning Survey, TowersWatson executive salary surveys and Frost's 2013 Oilfield Manufacturing and Services Industry Executive Compensation Survey ("OFMS Survey"). The survey information from most of these resources covered a broad range of industries and companies. However, the 2013 OFMS Survey compiled proxy compensation data from 54 oilfield services companies and survey results from the following 20 oilfield services companies:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Aker Solutions ASA | ION Geophysical Corporation |
| Baker Hughes, Inc. | National Oilwell Varco, Inc. |
| Bristow Group, Inc. | Newpark Resources, Inc. |
| Calfrac Well Services Ltd. | Oil States International, Inc. |
| Core Laboratories NV | Shelf Drilling Offshore Holdings Ltd. |
| Enesco PLC | Superior Energy Services, Inc. |
| Enventure Global Technologies | T.D. Williamson Inc. |
| Exterran Holdings, Inc. | TETRA Technologies, Inc. |
| Helmerich & Payne, Inc. | Vantage Drilling Company |
| Hercules Offshore Services, Inc. | Weir Specialty Products Manufacturing |

Each year, the administrators of the OFMS Survey in their discretion make adjustments to the list of companies included in the survey. As a result, the above list of companies included in the 2013 OFMS Survey is slightly different from the list of companies included in the OFMS Survey for 2012 and previous years and will likely be different from the list of companies to be included in future OFMS Surveys.

The overall results of the compensation surveys provide the starting point for our compensation analysis. We believe that the surveys contain relevant compensation information from companies that are representative of the sector in which we operate, have relative size as measured by market capitalization and experience relative complexity in the business and the executives' roles and responsibilities. Beyond the survey numbers, we look extensively at a number of other factors, including our estimates of the compensation at our most comparable competitors and other companies that were closest to our company in size, profitability and complexity. We also consider an individual's current performance, the level of corporate responsibility, and the employee's skills and experience, collectively, in making compensation decisions.

Table of Contents

In the case of our Chief Executive Officer and some of our other executive officers, we also consider our company's performance during the person's tenure and the anticipated level of compensation that would be required to replace the person with someone of comparable experience and skill.

In addition to our periodic review of compensation, we also regularly monitor market conditions and will adjust compensation levels from time to time as necessary to remain competitive and retain our most valuable employees. When we experience a significant level of competition for retaining current employees or hiring new employees, we will typically reevaluate our compensation levels within that employee group in order to ensure our competitiveness.

Elements of Compensation

The primary components of our executive compensation program are as follows:

Below is a summary of each component:

Base Salary

General. The general purpose of base salary for our executive officers is to create a base of cash compensation for the officer that is consistent on average with the range of base salaries for executives in similar positions and with similar responsibilities at comparable companies. In addition to salary norms for persons in comparable positions at comparable companies, base salary amounts may also reflect the nature and scope of responsibility of the position, the expertise of the individual employee and the competitiveness of the market for the employee's services. Base salaries of executives other than our Chief Executive Officer may also reflect our Chief Executive Officer's evaluation of the individual executive officer's job performance. As a result, the base salary level for each individual may be above or below the target market value for the position. The Compensation Committee also recognizes that the Chief Executive Officer's compensation should reflect the greater policy- and decision-making authority that he holds and the higher level of responsibility he has with respect to our strategic direction and our financial and operating results. At December 31, 2013, our Chief Executive Officer's annual base salary was 37% higher than the annual base salary for the next highest-paid named executive officer and 47% higher than the average annual base salary for all of our other named executive officers. The committee does not intend for base salaries to be the vehicle for long-term capital and value accumulation for our executives.

2013 Actions. In typical years, base salaries are reviewed at least annually and may also be adjusted from time to time to realign salaries with market levels after taking into account individual

Edgar Filing: ION GEOPHYSICAL CORP - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

responsibilities and changes in responsibilities, performance and contribution to ION, experience, impact on total compensation, relationship of compensation to other ION officers and employees, and changes in external market levels. Salary increases for executive officers do not follow a preset schedule or formula but do take into account changes in the market and individual circumstances.

All of our named executive officers received an increase in base salary in January 2014, as described below:

| Named Executive Officer | Action |
|--------------------------------|--|
| R. Brian Hanson | In recognition of Mr. Hanson's performance during 2013, the Compensation Committee increased Mr. Hanson's base salary from \$490,000 to \$550,000, effective in January 2014. The 2013 OFMS Survey indicated that the weighted average 50th percentile for CEO base salary for surveyed companies having annual revenues of less than \$1 billion was \$601,500. |
| Christopher T. Usher | In recognition of Mr. Usher's performance during his first full year as the new leader of the GeoSciences Division, the Compensation Committee increased Mr. Usher's annual base salary from \$350,000 to \$364,000, effective in January 2014. Compensation surveys from Radford and the 2013 OFMS Survey indicate that the weighted average 50th percentile for base salary of the leader of a business unit for surveyed companies having annual business unit revenues of less than \$500 million is \$300,400. |
| Ken Williamson | Compensation surveys from Radford and the 2013 OFMS Survey indicate that the weighted average 50th percentile for base salary of the leader of a business unit for surveyed companies having annual business unit revenues of less than \$500 million is \$300,400. In recognition of Mr. Williamson's expertise, capabilities and performance as the leader of the GeoVentures Division, which contributed significantly to our overall financial results during 2013, the Compensation Committee increased Mr. Williamson's annual base salary from \$358,000 to \$372,320, effective in January 2014. |
| Gregory J. Heinlein | Compensation surveys from Radford, TowersWatson and the 2013 OFMS Survey indicate that the weighted average 50th percentile for Chief Financial Officer base salary for surveyed companies having annual revenues of less than \$1 billion is \$324,576. In recognition of Mr. Heinlein's job performance and experience and expertise in managing the finance and accounting departments during 2013, the Compensation Committee increased Mr. Heinlein's annual base salary from \$312,000 to \$330,000, effective in January 2014. |

Table of Contents**Named Executive Officer****Action**

Colin Hulme

In recognition of Mr. Hulme's promotion in November 2013 to Senior Vice President, Ocean Bottom Services, and his appointment to serve as the chief executive officer of OceanGeo B.V., a joint venture controlled by ION, the Compensation Committee increased Mr. Hulme's annual base salary from \$312,000 to \$330,000, effective in January 2014. Compensation surveys from Radford and the 2013 OFMS Survey indicate that the weighted average 50th percentile for base salary of the leader of a business unit for surveyed companies having annual business unit revenues of less than \$500 million is \$300,400.

Bonus Incentive Plan

Our employee annual bonus incentive plan is intended to promote the achievement each year of company performance objectives and performance objectives of the employee's particular business unit, and to recognize those employees who contributed to the company's achievements. The plan provides cash compensation that is at-risk on an annual basis and is contingent on achievement of annual business and operating objectives and individual performance. The plan provides all participating employees the opportunity to share in the company's performance through the achievement of established financial and individual objectives. The financial and individual objectives within the plan are intended to measure an increase in the value of our company and, in turn, our stock.

In recent years, we have adopted a bonus incentive plan with regard to each year. Performance under the annual bonus incentive plan is measured with respect to the designated plan fiscal year. Payments under the plan are paid in cash in an amount reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee and are ordinarily made in the first quarter following the completion of a fiscal year, after the financial results for that year have been determined.

Our annual bonus incentive plan is usually consistent with our operating plan for the same year. In late 2012, we prepared a consolidated company operating budget for 2013 and individual operating budgets for each operating unit. The budgets took into consideration our views on market opportunities, customer and sale opportunities, technology enhancements for new products, product manufacturing and delivery schedules and other operating factors known or foreseeable at the time. The Board of Directors analyzed the proposed budgets with management extensively and, after analysis and consideration, the Board approved the consolidated 2013 operating plan. During late 2012 and early 2013, our Chief Executive Officer worked with our Human Resources department and members of senior management to formulate our 2013 bonus incentive plan, consistent with the 2013 operating plans approved by the Board.

At the beginning of 2013, the Compensation Committee approved our 2013 bonus incentive plan for executives and certain designated non-executive employees. The computation of awards generated under the plan is required to be approved by the committee. In February 2014, the committee reviewed the company's actual performance against each of the plan performance goals established at the beginning of 2013 and evaluated the individual performance during the year of each participating named executive officer. The results of operations of the company for 2013 and individual performance evaluations determined the appropriate payouts under the annual bonus incentive plan.

The Compensation Committee has discretion in circumstances it determines are appropriate to authorize discretionary bonus awards that might exceed amounts that would otherwise be payable under the terms of the bonus incentive plan. These discretionary awards can be payable in cash, stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units or a combination thereof. Any stock options, restricted

Table of Contents

stock or restricted stock units awarded would be granted under one of our existing long-term equity compensation plans. The committee also has the discretion, in appropriate circumstances, to grant a lesser bonus award, or no bonus award at all, under the bonus incentive plan.

As described above, our bonus incentive plans are designed for payouts that generally track the financial performance of our company. The general intent of the plans is to reward key employees when the company and the employee perform well and not reward them when the company and the employee do not perform well. The graph shown below illustrates how the average amount of cash payments paid under our annual bonus incentive plans to named executive officers has varied over the years in relation to our financial performance. As demonstrated in the graph below, in most years when company financial performance is strong, cash bonus payments are generally higher. Likewise, when our financial performance is low as compared to our internal targets and plans, cash bonus payments are generally lower. There are occasionally exceptions to this general trend. For example, in 2008 we achieved an improved financial performance over the previous year, but average cash bonus awards under our 2008 annual bonus incentive plan were relatively lower because we did not achieve our internal financial and growth objectives for 2008. Likewise, in 2011 we grew adjusted operating income by 32% over 2010, but average cash bonus awards under our 2011 annual bonus incentive plan were lower than in 2010 because we did not achieve our internal financial objectives for 2011. In 2012, our adjusted operating income grew 40% over 2011 but our average bonus award paid to named executive officers remained at approximately the same level as 2011 because our internal financial objectives for 2012 were higher than in 2011. This history demonstrates a clear and consistent link between our executive officer bonus incentive compensation and our performance.

Below are general descriptions of our 2013 bonus incentive plan and our company performance criteria applicable to the plan:

2013 Bonus Incentive Plan

The purpose of the 2013 bonus incentive plan was to provide an incentive for our participating employees to achieve their highest level of individual and business unit performance and to align the

Table of Contents

employees to accomplish and share in the achievement of our company's 2013 strategic and financial goals.

Designated employees, including our named executive officers, were eligible to participate in our 2013 bonus incentive plan. Under the 2013 plan, approximately 25% of the funds allocated for distribution were available for awards to eligible employees regardless of the company's 2013 financial performance, and approximately 75% of the funds allocated for distribution were available for distribution to eligible employees only to the extent the company satisfied the designated 2013 financial performance criteria. In addition, the 2013 plan was structured so that the total amount of funds available for distribution increased as the company's financial performance increased. As a result, the amount of total dollars available for distribution under the bonus incentive plan was largely dependent on the company's achievement of financial objectives.

As reported in the chart below, our 2013 bonus incentive plan established a 2013 target consolidated operating income performance goal. Consolidated operating income was selected as the most appropriate performance goal for our 2013 plan because the committee believed that operating income was the best indicator of our company's overall business trends and performance at that time and evidenced a direct correlation with the interests of our stockholders and our company performance. When determining whether financial targets have been achieved under the 2013 plan, the committee has the discretion to modify or revise the targets as necessary to reflect any significant beneficial or adverse change that results in a substantial positive or negative effect on our performance as a whole, such as sales of assets, mergers, acquisitions, divestitures, spin-offs or unanticipated matters such as economic conditions, indicators of growth or recession in our business segments, nature of our operations or changes in or effect of applicable laws, regulations or accounting practices.

Under the plan, every participating named executive officer other than our Chief Executive Officer had the opportunity to earn up to 100% of his base salary depending on performance of our company against the designated performance goal and performance of the executive against personal criteria determined at the beginning of 2013 by our Chief Executive Officer. Under separate terms approved by the Compensation Committee and contained in his employment agreement, Mr. Hanson, who served as our Chief Executive Officer during 2013, participated in the plan with potential to earn a target incentive payment of 75% of his base salary, depending on achievement of the company's target consolidated performance goal and pre-designated personal critical success factors, and a maximum of 150% of his base salary upon achievement of the maximum consolidated performance goal and his personal goals. Our Chief Executive Officer typically carries a higher target and maximum bonus incentive plan percentage as compared to our other named executive officers as a result of his leadership role in setting company policy and strategic planning.

Performance Criteria. In 2013, the Compensation Committee approved the following corporate consolidated operating income performance criteria for consideration of bonus awards to the named executive officers and other covered employees under our 2013 bonus incentive plan:

| Threshold | Target | Maximum |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Operating Income \$59.3 million | Operating Income \$84.7 million | Operating Income \$99.7 million |

Where an employee is primarily involved in a particular business unit, the financial performance criteria under the bonus incentive plan are weighted toward the operational performance of the employee's business unit rather than consolidated company performance. The "*Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation*" column of the 2013 Summary Compensation Table below reflects the payments that our named executive officers earned and received under our 2013 bonus incentive plan, and the "*Bonus*" column of the same table reflects any discretionary cash bonus payments received by our named executive officers during 2013. During 2013, on a consolidated basis, we achieved adjusted

Table of Contents

consolidated operating income of \$69.3 million. Our 2013 adjusted operating income exceeded our threshold consolidated financial performance criteria under our 2013 bonus incentive plan but did not meet the target criteria under the plan. As a result, for 2013 many of our eligible named executive officers and other eligible executives and employees received a cash bonus award that was lower in amount than the cash bonus they received for 2012, when our financial performance exceeded the applicable target financial performance criteria.

In addition to overall company performance, when considering the 2013 bonus incentive plan awards paid to our named executive officers, the Compensation Committee also considered the individual performances and accomplishments of each officer. For example, when considering the bonus award paid to Mr. Hanson, among the factors the committee took into consideration was Mr. Hanson's effective leadership in our achievement of several important strategic objectives during the year, such as our further re-focusing the strategies and organization of the company through our GeoVentures and GeoScience divisions, our development of our seabed strategy and our acquisition of an interest in the OceanGeo ocean-bottom joint venture and our subsequent acquisition of a controlling interest in the joint venture. When considering the bonus award paid to Mr. Williamson, among the factors the committee took into consideration were the strong 2013 financial performance of his GeoVentures Division and his involvement and leadership in several successful collaborative projects during 2013. When considering the bonus award paid to Mr. Usher, among the factors the committee took into consideration were the positive 2013 financial results of his GeoScience Division and his role in reorganizing the Division to a more effective, efficient and strategic structure. When considering the bonus award paid to Mr. Heinlein, among the factors the committee took into consideration were his efforts in connection with several finance transactions during 2013 and strengthening our financial organization and capital structure. When considering the bonus award paid to Mr. Hulme, among the factors the committee took into consideration were his efforts to promote and increase the business of OceanGeo and his promotion to serve as Senior Vice President, Ocean Bottom Services, and appointment as chief executive officer of OceanGeo.

In February 2014, the Compensation Committee approved our 2014 bonus incentive plan. The general structure of our 2014 bonus incentive plan is similar to that of our 2013 plan, except the particular performance goals designated under our 2014 plan are based 50% on operating income and 50% on cash generation, rather than 100% on operating income. The committee believed that it was advisable to use both cash generation and operating income as appropriate measures of success during 2014 because we are emphasizing improvements in liquidity during 2014. The specific performance goals in our 2014 plan reflect our confidential strategic plans, and cannot be disclosed at this time because it would provide our competitors with confidential information regarding our market and segment outlook and strategies. We are currently unable to determine how difficult it will be for our company to meet the designated performance goals under our 2014 plan. Generally, the committee attempts to establish the threshold, target and maximum levels such that the relative difficulty of achieving each level is approximately consistent from year to year.

The Compensation Committee reviews the annual bonus incentive plan each year to ensure that the key elements of the plan continue to meet the objectives described above.

Long-Term Stock-Based Incentive Compensation

We have structured our long-term incentive compensation to provide for an appropriate balance between rewarding performance and encouraging employee retention and stock ownership. There is no pre-established policy or target for the allocation between either cash or non-cash or short-term and long-term incentive compensation; however, at executive management levels, the Compensation Committee strives for compensation to increasingly focus on longer-term incentives. In conjunction with the Board, executive management is responsible for setting and achieving long-term strategic goals. In support of this responsibility, compensation for executive management, and most particularly our Chief

Table of Contents

Executive Officer, tends to be weighted towards rewarding long-term value creation for stockholders. The below table illustrates the mix of total compensation received by Mr. Hanson, our CEO, and our other current named executive officers during 2013:

For 2013, there were three forms of long-term equity incentives utilized for executive officers and key employees: stock options, restricted stock, and restricted stock units. For 2014, we have again recommended that stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units be the principal forms of long-term equity-based incentives to be utilized for executive officers and key employees. Our long-term incentive plans have provided the principal method for our executive officers to acquire equity or equity-linked interests in our company.

Of the total stock option or restricted stock employee awards made by ION during 2013, 71% were in the form of stock options and 29% were in the form of restricted stock or restricted stock units. Our 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan ("2013 LTIP") limits the number of awards we can grant under the plan in the form of full-value awards, such as restricted stock and restricted stock units, to 1,300,000 shares, or less than 35% of the total shares authorized for grant under the plan.

Stock Options. Under our equity plans, stock options may be granted having exercise prices equal to the closing price of our stock on the date before the date of grant. In any event, all awards of stock options are made at or above the market price at the time of the award. The Compensation Committee will not grant stock options having exercise prices below the market price of our stock on the date of grant, and will not reduce the exercise price of stock options (except in connection with adjustments to reflect recapitalizations, stock or extraordinary dividends, stock splits, mergers, spin-offs and similar events, as required by the relevant plan) without the consent of our stockholders. Our stock options generally vest ratably over four years, based on continued employment, and the terms of our 2013 LTIP require stock options granted under that plan to follow that vesting schedule unless the Compensation Committee approves a different schedule when approving the grant. Prior to the exercise of an option, the holder has no rights as a stockholder with respect to the shares subject to such option, including voting rights and the right to receive dividends or dividend equivalents. New option grants normally have a term of ten years.

Table of Contents

The purpose of stock options is to provide equity compensation with value that has been traditionally treated as entirely at-risk, based on the increase in our stock price and the creation of stockholder value. Stock options also allow our executive officers and key employees to have equity ownership and to share in the appreciation of the value of our stock, thereby aligning their compensation directly with increases in stockholder value. Stock options only have value to their holder if the stock price appreciates in value from the date options are granted.

Stock option award decisions are generally based on past business and individual performance. In determining the number of options to be awarded, we also consider the grant recipient's qualitative and quantitative performance, the size of stock option and other stock based awards in the past, and expectations of the grant recipient's future performance. In 2013, a total of 150 employees received option awards, covering 1,788,300 shares of common stock. In 2013, the named executive officers received option awards for a total of 310,000 shares, or approximately 17% of the total options awarded in 2013.

Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units. We use restricted stock and restricted stock units to focus executives on our long-term performance and to help align their compensation more directly with stockholder value. Vesting of restricted stock and restricted stock units typically occurs ratably over three years, based solely on continued employment of the recipient-employee, and the terms of our 2013 LTIP require restricted stock and restricted stock units granted under that plan to follow that vesting schedule unless the Compensation Committee approves a different schedule when approving the grant. In 2013, 155 employees received restricted stock or restricted stock unit awards, covering an aggregate of 714,950 shares of restricted stock and shares underlying restricted stock units. The named executive officers received awards totaling 130,000 shares of restricted stock in 2013, or approximately 18% of the total shares of restricted stock awarded to employees in 2013.

Awards of restricted stock units have been made to certain of our foreign employees in lieu of awards of restricted stock. Restricted stock units provide certain tax benefits to our foreign employees as the result of foreign law considerations, so we expect to continue to award restricted stock units to designated foreign employees for the foreseeable future.

The Compensation Committee reviews the long-term incentive program each year to ensure that the key elements of this program continue to meet the objectives described above.

Approval and Granting Process. As described above, the Compensation Committee reviews and approves all stock option, restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards made to executive officers, regardless of amount. With respect to equity compensation awarded to employees other than executive officers, the committee reviews and approves all grants of restricted stock, stock options and restricted stock units above 5,000 shares, generally based upon the recommendation of our Chief Executive Officer. Committee approval is required for any grant to be made to an executive officer in any amount. The committee has granted to our Chief Executive Officer the authority to approve grants to any employee other than an executive officer of (i) up to 5,000 shares of restricted stock and (ii) stock options for not more than 5,000 shares. Our Chief Executive Officer is also required to provide a report to the committee of all awards of options and restricted stock made by him under this authority. We believe that this policy is beneficial because it enables smaller grants to be made more efficiently. This flexibility is particularly important with respect to attracting and hiring new employees, given the increasingly competitive market for talented and experienced technical and other personnel in locales in which our employees work.

All grants of restricted stock, restricted stock units and stock options to employees or directors are granted on one of four designated quarterly grant dates during the year: March 1, June 1, September 1 or December 1. The Compensation Committee approved these four dates because they are not close to any dates on which earnings announcements or other announcements of material events would normally be made by us. For an award to a current employee, the grant date for the award is the first

Table of Contents

designated quarterly grant date that occurs after approval of the award. For an award to a newly hired employee who is not yet employed by us at the time the award is approved, the grant date for the award is the first designated quarterly grant date that occurs after the new employee commences work. We believe that this process of fixed quarterly grant dates is beneficial because it serves to remove any perception that the grant date for an award could be capable of manipulation or change for the benefit of the recipient. In addition, having all grants occur on a maximum of four days during the year simplifies certain fair value accounting calculations related to the grants, thereby minimizing the administrative burden associated with tracking and calculating the fair values, vesting schedules and tax-related events upon vesting of restricted stock and also lessening the opportunity for inadvertent calculation errors.

With the exception of significant promotions, new hires or unusual circumstances, we have historically made most awards of equity compensation to employees on December 1 of each year. This date was originally selected because (i) it enables us to consider individual performance eleven months into the fiscal year, (ii) it simplifies the annual budget process by having the expense resulting from the equity award occur late in the year, (iii) the date is approximately three months before the date that we normally pay any annual incentive bonuses and (iv) generally speaking, December 1 is not close to any dates on which an earnings announcement or other announcement of a material event would normally be made by us. Until 2014, we also made annual awards of equity compensation to our non-employee directors on December 1 of each year. In 2013, the Governance Committee of our Board decided that, commencing in 2014, the annual grant date for our non-employee directors will be changed to March 1 of each year in order to maximize grants under our 2004 LTIP prior to its expiration in May 2014 and to move to a grant date closer to our annual stockholders' meeting, which is a practice common to many public companies. At its regular meeting on February 10, 2014, our Compensation Committee decided that, for 2014 only, the annual awards of equity compensation to employees for 2014 would be made on March 1 instead of December 1 in order to utilize all available shares remaining in the 2004 LTIP prior to its expiration in May 2014. In reaching its decision, the Compensation Committee also recognized that our announcement of our 2013 earnings is scheduled to occur more than two weeks prior to the March 1, 2014 grant date. Commencing in 2015, we intend for annual awards of equity compensation to employees to once again be made on December 1 of each year.

Clawback Policy

We have a Compensation Recoupment Policy (commonly referred to as a "clawback" policy), which provides that, in the event of a restatement of our financial results due to material noncompliance with applicable financial reporting requirements, the Board will, if it determines appropriate and subject to applicable laws and the terms and conditions of our applicable stock plans, programs or arrangements, seek reimbursement of the incremental portion of performance-based compensation, including performance-based bonuses and long-term incentive awards, paid to current or former executive officers within three years of the restatement date, in excess of the compensation that would have been paid had the compensation amount been based on the restated financial results.

Personal Benefits, Perquisites and Employee Benefits

Our Board of Directors and executives have concluded that we will not offer most perquisites traditionally offered to executives of similarly-sized companies. As a result, perquisites and any other similar personal benefits offered to our executive officers are substantially the same as those offered to our general salaried employee population. These offered benefits include medical and dental insurance, life insurance, disability insurance, a vision plan, charitable gift matching (up to designated limits), a 401(k) plan with a company match of certain levels of contributions, flexible spending accounts for healthcare and dependent care and other customary employee benefits. Business-related relocation benefits may be reimbursed on a case-by-case basis. We intend to continue applying our general policy

Table of Contents

of not providing specific personal benefits and perquisites to our executives; however, we may, in our discretion, revise or add to any executive's personal benefits and perquisites if we deem it advisable.

Risk Management Considerations

The Compensation Committee believes that our company's bonus and equity programs create incentives for employees to create long-term stockholder value. The committee has considered the concept of risk as it relates to the company's compensation programs and has concluded that the company's compensation programs do not encourage excessive or inappropriate risk-taking. Several elements of the compensation programs are designed to promote the creation of long-term value and thereby discourage behavior that leads to excessive risk:

The compensation programs consist of both fixed and variable compensation. The fixed (or salary) portion is designed to provide a steady income regardless of the company's stock price performance so that executives do not focus exclusively on stock price performance to the detriment of other important business metrics. The variable (cash bonus and equity) portions of compensation are designed to reward both short- and long-term corporate performance. The Compensation Committee believes that the variable elements of compensation are a sufficient percentage of overall compensation to motivate executives to produce positive short- and long-term corporate results, while the fixed element is also sufficiently high such that the executives are not encouraged to take unnecessary or excessive risks in doing so.

The financial metrics used to determine the amount of an executive's bonus are measures the committee believes contribute to long-term stockholder value and ensure the continued viability of the company. Moreover, the committee attempts to set ranges for these measures that encourage success without encouraging excessive risk taking to achieve short-term results. In addition, the overall maximum bonus for each participating named executive officer other than our Chief Executive Officer is not expected to exceed 100% of the executive's base salary under the bonus plan, and the overall bonus for our Chief Executive Officer under his employment agreement will not exceed 150% of his base salary under the bonus plan, in each case no matter how much the company's financial performance exceeds the ranges established at the beginning of the year.

We have strict internal controls over the measurement and calculation of the financial metrics that determine the amount of an executive's bonus, designed to keep it from being susceptible to manipulation by an employee, including our executives.

Stock options become exercisable over a four-year period and remain exercisable for up to ten years from the date of grant, encouraging executives to look to long-term appreciation in equity values.

Restricted stock becomes exercisable over a three-year period, again encouraging executives to look to long-term appreciation in equity values.

Senior executives, including our named executive officers, are required to acquire over time and hold shares of our company's stock having a value of between one and four times the executive's annual base salary, depending on the level of the executive. The Compensation Committee believes that the stock ownership guidelines provide a considerable incentive for management to consider the company's long-term interests, since a portion of their personal investment portfolio consists of company stock.

In addition, we do not permit any of our executive officers or directors to enter into any derivative or hedging transactions involving our stock, including short sales, market options, equity swaps and similar instruments, thereby preventing executives from insulating themselves from the effects of poor company stock price performance. Please refer to "*Stock Ownership Requirements; Hedging Policy*" below.

Table of Contents

We have a compensation recoupment (clawback) policy that provides, in the event of a restatement of our financial results due to material noncompliance with financial reporting requirements, for reimbursement of the incremental portion of performance-based compensation, including performance-based bonuses and long-term incentive awards, paid to current or former executive officers within three years of the restatement date, in excess of the compensation that would have been paid had such compensation amount been based on the restated financial results. Please refer to " *Clawback Policy*" above.

Indemnification of Directors and Executive Officers

Our Bylaws provide certain rights of indemnification to our directors and employees (including our executive officers) in connection with any legal action brought against them by reason of the fact that they are or were a director, officer, employee or agent of our company, to the full extent permitted by law. Our Bylaws also provide, however, that no such obligation to indemnify exists as to proceedings initiated by an employee or director against us or our directors unless (a) it is a proceeding (or part thereof) initiated to enforce a right to indemnification or (b) was authorized or consented to by our Board of Directors.

As discussed below, we have also entered into employment agreements with certain of our executive officers that provide for us to indemnify the executive to the fullest extent permitted by our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws. The agreements also provide that we will provide the executive with coverage under our directors' and officers' liability insurance policies to the same extent as provided to our other executives.

Stock Ownership Requirements; Hedging Policy

We believe that broad-based stock ownership by our employees (including our executive officers) enhances our ability to deliver superior stockholder returns by increasing the alignment between the interests of our employees and our stockholders. Accordingly, the Board has adopted stock ownership requirements applicable to each of our senior executives, including our named executive officers. The policy requires each executive to retain direct ownership of at least 50% of all shares of our company's stock received upon exercise of stock options and vesting of awards of restricted stock or restricted stock units until the executive owns shares having an aggregate value equal to the following multiples of the executive's annual base salary:

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----|
| President and Chief Executive Officer | 4x |
| Executive Vice President | 2x |
| Senior Vice President | 1x |

As of the date of this proxy statement, all of our senior executives were in compliance with the stock ownership requirements. In addition, we do not permit any of our executive officers or directors to enter into any derivative or hedging transactions with respect to our stock, including short sales, market options, equity swaps and similar instruments.

Impact of Regulatory Requirements and Accounting Principles on Compensation

The financial reporting and income tax consequences to our company of individual compensation elements are important considerations for the Compensation Committee when it is analyzing the overall level of compensation and the mix of compensation among individual elements. Under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code and the related federal treasury regulations, we may not deduct annual compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to certain employees – generally our Chief Executive Officer and our four other most highly compensated executive officers – unless that compensation qualifies as "performance-based" compensation. Overall, the committee seeks to balance its objective of ensuring an effective compensation package for the executive officers with the need to

Table of Contents

maximize the immediate deductibility of compensation while ensuring an appropriate (and transparent) impact on reported earnings and other closely followed financial measures.

In making its compensation decisions, the Compensation Committee has considered the limitations on deductibility within the requirements of Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m) and its related Treasury regulations. As a result, the committee has designed much of the total compensation packages for the executive officers to qualify for the exemption of "performance-based" compensation from the deductibility limit. However, the committee does have the discretion to design and use compensation elements that may not be deductible within the limitations under Section 162(m), if the committee considers the tax consequences and determines that those elements are in our best interests. To maintain flexibility in compensating executive officers in a manner designed to promote varying corporate goals, we have not adopted a policy that all compensation must be deductible.

Certain payments to our named executive officers under our 2013 annual incentive plan may not qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) because the awards were calculated and paid in a manner that may not meet the requirements under Section 162(m) and the related Treasury regulations. Given the rapid changes in our business and industry that have occurred during recent years and those that may occur in 2014 and subsequent years, we believe that we are better served in implementing a plan that provides for adjustments and discretionary elements for our senior executives' incentive compensation, rather than ensuring that we implement all of the requirements and limitations under Section 162(m) into these incentive plans.

Likewise, the impact of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code is taken into account, and our executive compensation plans and programs are, in general, designed to comply with the requirements of that section so as to avoid possible adverse tax consequences that may result from non-compliance.

For accounting purposes, we apply the guidance in ASC Topic 718 to record compensation expense for our equity-based compensation grants. ASC Topic 718 is used to develop the assumptions necessary and the model appropriate to value the awards as well as the timing of the expense recognition over the requisite service period, generally the vesting period, of the award.

Executive officers will generally recognize ordinary taxable income from stock option awards when a vested option is exercised. We generally receive a corresponding tax deduction for compensation expense in the year of exercise. The amount included in the executive officer's wages and the amount we may deduct is equal to the common stock price when the stock options are exercised less the exercise price, multiplied by the number of shares under the stock options exercised. We do not pay or reimburse any executive officer for any taxes due upon exercise of a stock option. We have not historically issued any tax-qualified incentive stock options under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Executives will generally recognize taxable ordinary income with respect to their shares of restricted stock at the time the restrictions lapse (unless the recipient elects to accelerate recognition as of the date of grant). Restricted stock unit awards are generally subject to ordinary income tax at the time of payment or issuance of unrestricted shares of stock. We are generally entitled to a corresponding federal income tax deduction at the same time the executive recognizes ordinary income.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis included in this proxy statement with management of ION. Based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee has recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement and incorporated into ION's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Franklin Myers, Chairman
David H. Barr
James M. Lapeyre, Jr.
John N. Seitz

Table of Contents**SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

The following table summarizes the compensation paid to or earned by our named executive officers at December 31, 2013.

| Name and Principal Position | Year | Salary (\$) | Bonus (\$) | Stock Awards (\$) | Option Awards (\$) | Non-Equity Incentive | | Total (\$) |
|---|------|-------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| | | | | | | Plan Compensation (\$) | All Other Compensation (\$) | |
| R. Brian Hanson President, Chief Executive Officer and Director | 2013 | 490,000 | | 214,800 | 235,000 | 395,000 | 5,813 | 1,340,613 |
| | 2012 | 450,000 | | 279,900 | 260,100 | 450,000 | 4,284 | 1,444,284 |
| | 2011 | 353,000 | | 766,628 | 1,130,500 | 300,000 | 8,058 | 2,558,186 |
| Christopher T. Usher Executive Vice President and COO, GeoScience Division | 2013 | 350,000 | | 71,600 | 141,000 | 300,000 | 6,202 | 868,802 |
| | 2012 | 21,538 | 125,000 | 311,000 | 173,400 | | 326 | 631,264 |
| Ken Williamson Executive Vice President and COO, GeoVentures Division | 2013 | 358,000 | | 71,600 | 141,000 | 215,000 | 7,650 | 793,250 |
| | 2012 | 340,000 | | 93,300 | 173,408 | 300,000 | 7,454 | 914,162 |
| | 2011 | 300,000 | | 87,150 | 192,700 | 300,000 | 8,250 | 888,100 |
| Gregory J. Heinlein Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer | 2013 | 312,000 | | 53,700 | 94,000 | 160,000 | 109,892 | 729,592 |
| | 2012 | 300,000 | | 31,100 | 86,700 | 150,000 | 5,192 | 572,992 |
| | 2011 | 23,077 | | 166,747 | 662,888 | | 692 | 853,404 |
| Colin Hulme Senior Vice President, Ocean Bottom Services | 2013 | 312,000 | | 53,700 | 117,500 | 187,200 | 6,390 | 676,790 |

Discussion of Summary Compensation Table

Stock Awards Column. All of the amounts in the "Stock Awards" column reflect the grant-date fair value of awards of restricted stock made during the applicable fiscal year (excluding any impact of assumed forfeiture rates) under our 2004 LTIP. While unvested, a holder of restricted stock is entitled to the same voting rights as all other holders of common stock. In each case, unless stated otherwise below, the awards of shares of restricted stock vest in one-third increments each year, over a three-year period. The values contained in the Summary Compensation Table under the Stock Awards column are based on the grant date fair value of all stock awards (excluding any impact of assumed forfeiture rates). In addition to the grants and awards in 2013 described in the "2013 Grants of Plan-Based Awards" table below:

Pursuant to his prior employment agreement then in effect, on March 1, 2011, Mr. Hanson received an award of 38,561 shares of restricted stock, which is equal to \$327,000 (the amount of cash incentive plan compensation that Mr. Hanson earned for fiscal 2010) divided by \$8.48, which was the average of the closing sales price per share on the NYSE of our shares of common stock for the last ten business days of 2010. The shares of restricted stock vested on March 1, 2014.

At the beginning of 2011, Mr. Hanson was serving as our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. In August 2011, Mr. Hanson was promoted to President and Chief Operating Officer in addition to his role as Chief Financial Officer. In November 2011, Mr. Heinlein was hired as our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer and Mr. Hanson continued as President and Chief Operating Officer. On January 1, 2012, Mr. Hanson was appointed as our President and Chief Executive Officer. In connection with his promotion to President and Chief Operating Officer in August 2011, on September 1, 2011, Mr. Hanson received an award of 42,000 shares of restricted stock.

On December 1, 2012, Mr. Hanson received an award of 45,000 shares of restricted stock.

Edgar Filing: ION GEOPHYSICAL CORP - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

In connection with his hire on November 30, 2012, as Executive Vice President & Chief Operating Officer, GeoScience Division, on December 1, 2012, Mr. Usher received an award of 50,000 shares of restricted stock.

On December 1, 2011, Mr. Williamson received an award of 15,000 shares of restricted stock.

On December 1, 2012, Mr. Williamson received an award of 15,000 shares of restricted stock.

In connection with his hire on November 28, 2011 as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, on December 1, 2011, Mr. Heinlein received an award of 28,700 shares of restricted stock.

On December 1, 2012, Mr. Heinlein received an award of 5,000 shares of restricted stock.

Option Awards Column. All of the amounts shown in the "Option Awards" column reflect stock options granted under our 2004 LTIP. In each case, unless stated otherwise below, the options vest 25% each year over a four-year period. The values contained in the Summary Compensation Table under the Stock Options column are based on the grant date fair value of all option awards (excluding any impact of assumed forfeiture rates). For a discussion of the valuation assumptions for the awards, see Note 9, *Stockholders' Equity and Stock-Based Compensation Valuation Assumptions*, in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. All of the exercise prices for the options equal or exceed the fair market value per share of ION common stock on the date of grant. In addition to the grants and awards in 2013 described in the "2013 Grants of Plan-Based Awards" table below:

In connection with his promotion to President and Chief Operating Officer in August 2011, on September 1, 2011, Mr. Hanson received an award of nonqualified stock options to purchase 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock for an exercise price of \$7.07 per share.

On December 1, 2012, Mr. Hanson received an award of options to purchase 75,000 shares of our common stock for an exercise price of \$5.96 per share.

In connection with his hire on November 30, 2012, as Executive Vice President & Chief Operating Officer, GeoScience Division, on December 1, 2012, Mr. Usher received an award of options to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock for an exercise price of \$5.96 per share.

On December 1, 2011, Mr. Williamson received an award of options to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock for an exercise price of \$5.81 per share.

On December 1, 2012, Mr. Williamson received an award of options to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock for an exercise price of \$5.96 per share.

In connection with his hire on November 28, 2011 as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, on December 1, 2011, Mr. Heinlein received an award of options to purchase 172,000 shares of our common stock for an exercise price of \$5.81 per share.

On December 1, 2012, Mr. Heinlein received an award of options to purchase 25,000 shares of our common stock for an exercise price of \$5.96 per share.

Edgar Filing: ION GEOPHYSICAL CORP - Form DEF 14A

Other Columns. Mr. Usher was hired as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, GeoScience Division, on November 30, 2012. In connection with his hire, Mr. Usher received a sign-on bonus of \$125,000.

All payments of non-equity incentive plan compensation reported for 2013 were made in February 2014 with regard to the 2013 fiscal year and were earned and paid pursuant to our 2013 incentive plan.

Table of Contents

We do not sponsor for our employees (i) any defined benefit or actuarial pension plans (including supplemental plans), (ii) any non-tax-qualified deferred compensation plans or arrangements or (iii) any nonqualified defined contribution plans.

Our general policy is that our executive officers do not receive any executive "perquisites," or any other similar personal benefits that are different from what our salaried employees are entitled to receive. We provide the named executive officers with certain group life, health, medical and other non-cash benefits generally available to all salaried employees, which are not included in the "All Other Compensation" column in the Summary Compensation Table pursuant to SEC rules. With the exception of reimbursements of moving expenses received by Mr. Heinlein, the amounts shown in the "All Other Compensation" column solely consist of employer matching contributions to ION's 401(k) plan. Mr. Heinlein was hired in November 2011 as our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer and was reimbursed a total of \$103,302 for moving expenses incurred in 2013.

2013 GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS

| Name | Grant Date | Threshold (\$) | Target (\$) | Maximum (\$) | Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)(2) | | | |
|----------------------|------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | | All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)(3) | All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)(4) | Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh) | Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)(5) |
| R. Brian Hanson | 12/1/13 | | 367,500 | 735,000 | 60,000 | 100,000 | 3.86 | 466,600 |
| Christopher T. Usher | 12/1/13 | 87,500 | 175,000 | 350,000 | 20,000 | 60,000 | 3.86 | 218,200 |
| Ken Williamson | 12/1/13 | 89,500 | 179,000 | 358,000 | 20,000 | 60,000 | 3.86 | 218,200 |
| Gregory J. Heinlein | 12/1/13 | 78,000 | 156,000 | 312,000 | 15,000 | 40,000 | 3.86 | 151,900 |
| Colin Hulme | 12/1/13 | 78,000 | 156,000 | 312,000 | 15,000 | 50,000 | 3.86 | 175,400 |

(1)

Reflects the estimated threshold, target and maximum award amounts for payouts under our 2013 incentive plan to our named executive officers. Under the plan, every participating executive other than Mr. Hanson, who served as our President and Chief Executive Officer during 2013, had the opportunity to earn a maximum of 100% of his base salary depending on performance of the company against the designated performance goal, and performance of the executive against personal performance criteria. Under separate terms approved by the Compensation Committee and contained in his employment agreement, Mr. Hanson participated in the plan with the potential to earn a target incentive payment of 75% of his base salary, depending on achievement of the company's target consolidated performance goal and pre-designated personal critical success factors, and a maximum of 150% of his base salary upon achievement of the maximum consolidated performance goal and the personal critical success factors. Mr. Hanson's employment agreement does not specify that he will earn a bonus upon achievement of a threshold consolidated performance goal. Because award determinations under the plan were based in part on outcomes of personal evaluations of employee performance by our Chief Executive Officer and the Compensation Committee, the computation of actual awards generated under the plan upon achievement of threshold and target company performance criteria differed from the above estimates. See " *Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Compensation - Bonus Incentive Plan*" above. For actual payout amounts to our named executive officers under our 2013 bonus incentive plan, see the " *Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation*" column in the " *Summary Compensation Table*" above.

Table of Contents

- (2) Our company does not offer or sponsor any "equity incentive plans" (as that term is defined in Item 402(a) of Regulation S-K) for employees.
- (3) All stock awards reflect the number of shares of restricted stock granted under our 2004 LTIP. While unvested, a holder of restricted stock is entitled to the same voting rights as all other holders of common stock. In each case, unless stated otherwise below, the awards of shares of restricted stock vest in one-third increments each year, over a three-year period.
- (4) All amounts reflect awards of stock options granted under our 2004 LTIP. In each case, unless stated otherwise below, the options vest 25% each year over a four-year period. All of the exercise prices for the options reflected in the above chart equal or exceed the fair market value per share of ION common stock on the date of grant (on November 29, 2013, the last completed trading day prior to the December 1, 2013 grant date, the closing price per share on the NYSE was \$3.86).
- (5) The values contained in the table are based on the grant date fair value of the award computed in accordance with ASC Topic 718 for financial statement reporting purposes, but exclude any impact of assumed forfeiture rates. For a discussion of valuation assumptions, see Note 9, *Stockholders' Equity and Stock-Based Compensation - Valuation Assumptions*, in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Employment Agreements

In recent years, we have not entered into employment agreements with employees other than our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. We have generally entered into employment agreements with employees only when the employee holds an executive officer position and the Compensation Committee has determined that an employment agreement is desirable for us to obtain a measure of assurance as to the executive's continued employment in light of prevailing market competition for the particular position held by the executive officer, or where the committee determines that an employment agreement is necessary and appropriate to attract an executive in light of market conditions, the prior experience of the executive or practices at ION with respect to other similarly situated employees.

The following discussion describes the material terms of our existing executive employment agreements with our named executive officers:

R. Brian Hanson

In connection with his appointment as our President and Chief Executive Officer on January 1, 2012, Mr. Hanson entered into a new employment agreement. The agreement provides for Mr. Hanson to serve as our President and Chief Executive Officer for an initial term of three years, with automatic two-year renewals thereafter. Any change of control of our company after January 1, 2013 will cause the remaining term of Mr. Hanson's employment agreement to automatically adjust to a term of three years, which will commence on the effective date of the change of control.

The agreement provides for Mr. Hanson to receive an initial base salary of \$450,000 per year and be eligible to receive an annual performance bonus under our incentive compensation plan, with a target incentive plan bonus amount equal to 75% of his base salary and with a maximum incentive plan bonus amount equal to 150% of his base salary.

Under the agreement, and as approved by the Compensation Committee, Mr. Hanson will be entitled to receive grants of (i) options to purchase shares of our common stock and (ii) shares of our restricted stock. Mr. Hanson will also be eligible to participate in other equity compensation plans that are established for our key executives, as approved by the Compensation Committee. In the agreement, we also agreed to indemnify Mr. Hanson to the fullest extent permitted by our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws, and to provide him coverage under our directors' and officers' liability insurance policies to the same extent as other company executives.

Table of Contents

We may at any time terminate our employment agreement with Mr. Hanson for "Cause" if Mr. Hanson (i) willfully and continuously fails to substantially perform his obligations, (ii) willfully engages in conduct materially and demonstrably injurious to our property or business (including fraud, misappropriation of funds or other property, other willful misconduct, gross negligence or conviction of a felony or any crime involving moral turpitude) or (iii) commits a material breach of the agreement. In addition, we may at any time terminate the agreement if Mr. Hanson suffers permanent and total disability for a period of at least 180 consecutive days, or if Mr. Hanson dies. Mr. Hanson may terminate his employment agreement for "Good Reason" if we breach any material provision of the agreement, we assign to Mr. Hanson any duties materially inconsistent with his position, we materially reduce his duties, functions, responsibilities, budgetary or other authority, or take other action that results in a diminution in his office, position, duties, functions, responsibilities or authority, we relocate his workplace by more than 50 miles, or we elect not to extend the term of his agreement.

In his agreement, Mr. Hanson agrees not to compete against us, assist any competitor, attempt to solicit any of our suppliers or customers, or solicit any of our employees, in any case during his employment and for a period of two years after his employment ends. The employment agreement also contains provisions relating to protection of our confidential information and intellectual property. The agreement does not contain any tax gross-up benefits.

For a discussion of the provisions of Mr. Hanson's employment agreement regarding compensation to Mr. Hanson in the event of a change of control affecting our company or his termination by us without cause or by him for good reason, see " *Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control - R. Brian Hanson*" below.

Gregory J. Heinlein

In connection with his hire as our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in November 2011, Mr. Heinlein entered into an employment agreement that will remain in effect for the duration that Mr. Heinlein serves in such capacity. In his agreement, Mr. Heinlein agrees not to compete against us, assist any competitor, attempt to solicit any of our suppliers or customers, or solicit any of our employees, in any case during his employment and for a period of one year after his employment ends. The employment agreement also contains provisions relating to protection of our confidential information and intellectual property. The agreement does not contain any change-in control provisions or tax gross-up benefits. For a discussion of the provisions of Mr. Heinlein's employment agreement regarding compensation to Mr. Heinlein in the event of a change of control affecting our company or his termination by us without cause or by him for good reason, see " *Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control - Gregory J. Heinlein*" below.

Table of Contents**OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END**

The following table sets forth information concerning unexercised stock options (including outstanding stock appreciation rights, or SARs) and shares of restricted stock held by our named executive officers at December 31, 2013:

| Name | Option Awards(1) | | | | Stock Awards(2) | |
|----------------------|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---|---|
| | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable | Option Exercise Price (\$) | Option Expiration Date | Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) | Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(3) |
| R. Brian Hanson | 75,000 | | 8.73 | 5/22/2016 | 142,561 | 470,451 |
| | 20,000 | | 9.97 | 9/1/2016 | | |
| | 60,000 | | 15.43 | 12/1/2017 | | |
| | 17,500 | | 3.00 | 12/1/2018 | | |
| | 140,000(4) | | 3.00 | 12/1/2018 | | |
| | 125,000 | 125,000 | 7.07 | 9/1/2021 | | |
| | 18,750 | 56,250 | 5.96 | 12/1/2022 | | |
| | 100,000 | 3.86 | 12/1/2023 | | | |
| Christopher T. Usher | 12,500 | 37,500 | 5.96 | 12/1/2022 | 53,332 | 175,996 |
| | | 60,000 | 3.86 | 12/1/2023 | | |
| Ken Williamson | 70,000 | | 10.85 | 12/1/2016 | 35,000 | 115,500 |
| | 16,000 | | 15.43 | 12/1/2017 | | |
| | 35,000 | | 3.00 | 12/1/2018 | | |
| | 50,000 | | 2.83 | 6/1/2019 | | |
| | 22,000 | | 5.44 | 12/1/2019 | | |
| | 56,250 | 18,750 | 4.58 | 3/1/2020 | | |
| | 26,250 | 8,750 | 7.19 | 12/1/2020 | | |
| | 25,000 | 25,000 | 5.81 | 12/1/2021 | | |
| | 12,500 | 37,500 | 5.96 | 12/1/2022 | | |
| | 60,000 | 3.86 | 12/1/2023 | | | |
| Gregory J. Heinlein | 86,000 | 86,000 | 5.81 | 12/1/2021 | 27,898 | 92,063 |
| | 6,250 | 18,750 | 5.96 | 12/1/2022 | | |
| | | 40,000 | 3.86 | 12/1/2023 | | |
| Colin Hulme | 12,500 | 37,500 | 6.06 | 6/1/2022 | 34,998 | 115,493 |
| | 7,500 | 22,500 | 5.96 | 12/1/2022 | | |
| | | 50,000 | 3.86 | 12/1/2023 | | |

(1) All stock option information in this table relates to nonqualified stock options granted under our 2004 LTIP. All of the unvested options in this table vest 25% each year over a four-year period.

(2) The amounts shown represent shares of restricted stock granted under our 2004 LTIP. While unvested, the holder is entitled to the same voting rights as all other holders of common stock. Except for certain shares of restricted stock held by Mr. Hanson, in each case the grants of shares of restricted stock vest in one-third increments each year, over a three-year period. See " *Discussion of Summary Compensation Table - Stock Awards Column*" above.

(3)

Edgar Filing: ION GEOPHYSICAL CORP - Form DEF 14A

Pursuant to SEC rules, the market value of each executive's shares of unvested restricted stock was calculated by multiplying the number of shares by \$3.30 (the closing price per share of our common stock on the NYSE on December 31, 2013).

Table of Contents

(4) The amounts shown reflect awards of cash-settled SARs granted to Mr. Hanson on December 1, 2008 under our Stock Appreciation Rights Plan. Mr. Hanson's SARs vested in full on December 1, 2011. See " *Summary Compensation Table Discussion of Summary Compensation Table*" above.

(5) We do not have outstanding any Equity Incentive Plan Awards as defined by the SEC rules. As a result, the above table omits the following columns:

Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options

Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested

Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested

2013 OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to option and stock exercises by the named executive officers during the year ended December 31, 2013:

| Name | Option Awards | | Stock Awards | |
|-------------------------|--|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| | Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise(#) | Value Realized on Exercise(\$) | Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting(#) | Value Realized on Vesting\$(1) |
| R. Brian Hanson(2) | | | 35,515 | 160,528 |
| Christopher T. Usher(3) | | | 16,668 | 59,671 |
| Ken Williamson(4) | | | 13,333 | 47,732 |
| Gregory J. Heinlein(5) | | | 11,234 | 40,217 |
| Colin Hulme(6) | | | 10,002 | 53,211 |

(1) The values realized upon vesting of stock awards contained in the table are based on the market value of our common stock on the date of vesting.

(2) The value realized by Mr. Hanson on the vesting of his restricted stock awards was calculated by multiplying (a) 6,515 shares by \$6.19 (the closing price per share of our common stock on the NYSE on June 3, 2013, the first NYSE trading date after his June 1, 2013 vesting date); (b) 14,000 shares by \$4.75 (the closing price per share of our common stock on the NYSE on September 3, 2013, the first NYSE trading date after his September 1, 2013 vesting date and (c) 15,000 shares by \$3.58 (the closing price per share of our common stock on the NYSE on December 2, 2013, the first NYSE trading date after his December 1, 2013 vesting date).

(3) The value realized by Mr. Usher on the vesting of his restricted stock awards was calculated by multiplying 16,668 shares by \$3.58 (the closing price per share of our common stock on the NYSE on December 2, 2013, the first NYSE trading date after his December 1, 2013 vesting date).

(4) The value realized by Mr. Williamson on the vesting of his restricted stock awards was calculated by multiplying 13,333 shares by \$3.58 (the closing price per share of our common stock on the NYSE on December 2, 2013, the first NYSE trading date after his December 1, 2013 vesting date).

(5)

Edgar Filing: ION GEOPHYSICAL CORP - Form DEF 14A

The value realized by Mr. Heinlein on the vesting of his restricted stock awards was calculated by multiplying 11,234 shares by \$3.58 (the closing price per share of our common stock on the NYSE on December 2, 2013, the first NYSE trading date after his December 1, 2013 vesting date).

(6)

The value realized by Mr. Hulme on the vesting of his restricted stock awards was calculated by multiplying (a) 6,668 shares by \$6.19 (the closing price per share of our common stock on the NYSE on June 3, 2013, the first NYSE trading date after his June 1, 2013 vesting date) and (b) 3,334 shares by \$3.58 (the closing price per share of our common on the NYSE on December 2, 2013, the first NYSE trading date after his December 1, 2013 vesting date).

Table of Contents**Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control**

Under the terms of our equity-based compensation plans and our employment agreements, our Chief Executive Officer and certain of our other named executive officers are entitled to payments and benefits upon the occurrence of specified events including termination of employment (with and without cause) and upon a change in control of our company. The specific terms of these arrangements, as well as an estimate of the compensation that would have been payable had they been triggered as of December 31, 2013, are described in detail below. In the case of each employment agreement, the terms of these arrangements were established through the course of arms-length negotiations with each executive officer, both at the time of hire and at the times of any later amendment. As part of these negotiations, the Compensation Committee analyzed the terms of the same or similar arrangements for comparable executives employed by companies in our industry group. This approach was used by the committee in setting the amounts payable and the triggering events under the arrangements. The termination of employment provisions of the employment agreements were entered into in order to address competitive concerns by providing those individuals with a fixed amount of compensation that would offset the potential risk of leaving their prior employer or foregoing other opportunities in order to join our company. At the time of entering into these arrangements, the committee considered the aggregate potential obligations of our company in the context of the desirability of hiring the individual and the expected compensation upon joining us. However, these contractual severance and post-termination arrangements have not affected the decisions the committee has made regarding other compensation elements and the rationale for compensation decisions made in connection with these arrangements.

The following summaries set forth estimated potential payments payable to each of our named executive officers upon termination of employment or a change of control of our company under their current employment agreements and our stock plans and other compensation programs as if his employment had so terminated for these reasons, or the change of control had so occurred, on December 31, 2013. The Compensation Committee may, in its discretion, agree to revise, amend or add to the benefits if it deems advisable. For purposes of the following summaries, dollar amounts are estimates based on annual base salary as of December 31, 2013, benefits paid to the named executive officer in fiscal 2013 and stock and option holdings of the named executive officer as of December 31, 2013. The summaries assume a price per share of ION common stock of \$3.30 per share, which was the closing price per share on December 31, 2013, as reported on the NYSE. The actual amounts to be paid to the named executive officers can only be determined at the time of each executive's separation from the company.

The amounts of potential future payments and benefits as set forth in the tables below, and the descriptions of the assumptions upon which such future payments and benefits are based and derived, may constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are estimates of payments and benefits to certain of our executives upon their termination of employment or a change in control, and actual payments and benefits may vary materially from these estimates. Actual amounts can only be determined at the time of such executive's actual separation from our company or the time of such change in control event. Factors that could affect these amounts and assumptions include the timing during the year of any such event, the company's stock price, unforeseen future changes in our company's benefits and compensation methodology and the age of the executive.

R. Brian Hanson

Termination and Change of Control. Mr. Hanson is entitled to certain benefits under his employment agreement upon the occurrence of any of the following events:

we terminate his employment other than for cause, death or disability;

Mr. Hanson resigns for "good reason"; or

Table of Contents

a "change in control" involving our company occurs and, within 12 months following the change in control, (a) we or our successor terminate Mr. Hanson's employment or (b) Mr. Hanson terminates his employment after we or our successor (i) elect not to extend the term of his employment agreement, (ii) assign to Mr. Hanson duties inconsistent with his CEO position, duties, functions, responsibilities, authority or reporting relationship to the Board under his employment agreement, (iii) become a privately-owned company as a result of a transaction in which Mr. Hanson does not participate within the acquiring group, (iv) are rendered a subsidiary or division or other unit of another company, or (v) take any action that would constitute "good reason" under his employment agreement.

Under Mr. Hanson's employment agreement, a "change in control" occurs upon any of the following:

- (1) the acquisition by a person or group of beneficial ownership of 40% or more of our outstanding shares of common stock other than any acquisitions directly from ION, acquisitions by ION or an employee benefit plan maintained by ION, or certain permitted acquisitions in connection with a "Merger" (as defined in sub-paragraph (3) below);
- (2) changes in directors on our board of directors such that the individuals that constitute the entire board cease to constitute at least a majority of directors of the board, other than new directors whose appointment or nomination for election was approved by a vote of at least a majority of the directors then constituting the entire board of directors (except in the case of election contests);
- (3) consummation of a "Merger" that is, a reorganization, merger, consolidation or similar business combination involving ION unless (i) owners of ION common stock immediately following such business combination together own more than 50% of the total outstanding stock or voting power of the entity resulting from the business combination in substantially the same proportion as their ownership of ION voting securities immediately prior to such Merger and (ii) at least a majority of the members of the board of directors of the corporation resulting from such Merger (or its parent corporation) were members of our board at the time of the execution of the initial agreement providing for the Merger; or
- (4) the sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of our assets.

Upon the occurrence of any of the above events and conditions, Mr. Hanson would be entitled to receive the following (less applicable withholding taxes and subject to compliance with non-compete, non-solicit and no-hire obligations):

over a two-year period, a cash amount equal to two times his annual base salary and two times his target bonus amount in effect for the year of termination;

a prorated portion of any unpaid target incentive plan bonus for the year of termination; and

continuation of insurance coverage for Mr. Hanson as of the date of his termination for a period of two years at the same cost to him as prior to the termination.

In addition, upon the occurrence of any of the above events or conditions, the vesting period for all of Mr. Hanson's unvested equity awards granted on or after January 1, 2012 having a remaining vesting period of two years or less as of the date of termination will immediately accelerate to vest in full. In such event, all restrictions on the awards will thereupon be immediately lifted and the exercise period of all outstanding vested stock options (including the option awards that have been so accelerated) granted on or after January 1, 2012 will continue in effect until the earlier of (a) two years after the date of termination or (b) the expiration of the full original term, as specified in each applicable stock option agreement.

Table of Contents

Change of Control Under Equity Compensation Plans. Mr. Hanson and our other named executive officers currently hold outstanding awards under one or more of the following two equity compensation plans: our 2004 LTIP and our Stock Appreciation Rights Plan. Under these plans, a "change of control" will be deemed to have occurred upon any of the following (which we refer to in this section as a "Plan Change of Control"):

- (1) the acquisition by a person or group of beneficial ownership of 40% or more of the outstanding shares of common stock other than acquisitions directly from ION, acquisitions by ION or an employee benefit plan maintained by ION, or certain permitted acquisitions in connection with a business combination described in sub-paragraph (3) below;
- (2) changes in directors such that the individuals that constitute the entire board of directors cease to constitute at least a majority of directors of the board, other than new directors whose appointment or nomination for election was approved by a vote of at least a majority of the directors then constituting the entire board of directors (except in the case of election contests);
- (3) consummation of a reorganization, merger, consolidation or similar business combination involving ION, unless (i) owners of our common stock immediately following such transaction together own more than 50% of the total outstanding stock or voting power of the entity resulting from the transaction and (ii) at least a majority of the members of the board of directors of the entity resulting from the transaction were members of our board of directors at the time the agreement for the transaction is signed; or
- (4) the sale of all or substantially all of our assets.

Upon any such "Plan Change of Control," all of Mr. Hanson's stock options granted to him under the 2004 LTIP will become fully exercisable, and all restricted stock awards granted to him under the 2004 LTIP will automatically accelerate and become fully vested. In addition, any change of control of our company will cause the remaining term of Mr. Hanson's employment agreement to automatically adjust to two years, commencing on the effective date of the change of control.

We believe the double-trigger change-of-control benefit referenced above maximizes stockholder value because it motivates Mr. Hanson to remain in his position for a sufficient period of time following a change of control to ensure a smoother integration and transition for the new owners. Given his experience with our company and within the seismic industry as our CFO and CEO, we believe Mr. Hanson's severance structure is in our best interest because it ensures that for a two-year period after leaving our employment, Mr. Hanson will not be in a position to compete against us or otherwise adversely affect our business.

Death, Disability or Retirement. Upon his death or disability, all options and restricted stock that Mr. Hanson holds would automatically accelerate and become fully vested. Upon his retirement, (a) all options that Mr. Hanson holds would automatically accelerate and become fully vested and (b) all shares of restricted stock that Mr. Hanson was granted prior to August 30, 2011 would automatically accelerate and become fully vested. On August 30, 2011, we amended the 2004 LTIP by deleting the provision that provided for the acceleration of vesting of restricted stock and restricted stock units granted under the 2004 LTIP after August 30, 2011 by reason of the retirement of a plan participant.

Termination by Us for Cause or by Mr. Hanson Other Than for Good Reason. Upon any termination by us for cause or any resignation by Mr. Hanson for any reason other than for "good reason" (as defined in his employment agreement), Mr. Hanson is not entitled to any payment or benefit other than the payment of unpaid salary and possibly accrued and unused vacation pay.

Mr. Hanson's currently-held vested stock options and SARs will remain exercisable after his termination of employment, death, disability or retirement for periods of between 180 days and one year following such event, depending on the event and the terms of the applicable plan and grant

Table of Contents

agreement. If Mr. Hanson is terminated for cause, all of his vested and unvested stock options and unvested restricted stock will be immediately forfeited. We have not agreed to provide Mr. Hanson any additional payments in the event any payment or benefit under his employment agreement is determined to be subject to the excise tax for "excess parachute payments" under U.S. federal income tax rules, or any other "tax gross-ups" under this employment agreement.

Assuming Mr. Hanson's employment was terminated under each of these circumstances or a change of control occurred on December 31, 2013, his payments and benefits would have an estimated value as follows (less applicable withholding taxes):

| Scenario | Cash Severance \$(1) | Bonus \$(2) | Insurance Continuation \$(3) | Tax Gross-Ups (\$) | Value of Accelerated Equity Awards \$(4) |
|--|----------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Without Cause or For Good Reason | 980,000 | 735,000 | 29,879 | | |
| Termination after change in control | 980,000 | 735,000 | 29,879 | | 470,451 |
| Change of Control (if not terminated), Death or Disability | | | | | 470,451 |
| Retirement | | | | | 127,251 |
| Voluntary Termination | | | | | |

- (1) Payable over a two-year period. In addition to the listed amounts, if Mr. Hanson resigns or his employment is terminated for any reason, he may be paid for his unused vacation days. Mr. Hanson is currently entitled to 20 vacation days per year. The above table assumes that there is no earned but unpaid base salary as of the time of termination.
- (2) Represents two times the estimate of the target bonus payment Mr. Hanson would be entitled to receive pursuant to our 2013 bonus incentive plan. The actual bonus payment he would be entitled to receive upon his termination may be different from the estimated amount, depending on the achievement of payment criteria under the bonus plan.
- (3) The value of insurance continuation contained in the above table is the total cost of COBRA continuation coverage for Mr. Hanson, maintaining his same levels of medical, dental and other insurance as in effect on December 31, 2013, less the amount of premiums to be paid by Mr. Hanson for such coverage.
- (4) As of December 31, 2013, Mr. Hanson held (i) 38,561 unvested shares of restricted stock granted prior to August 31, 2011, and 104,000 unvested shares of restricted stock granted after August 30, 2011 and (ii) unvested stock options to purchase 281,250 shares of common stock. Options held by him having an exercise price greater than \$3.30 were calculated as having a zero value. The value of the restricted stock that would accelerate and fully vest in the event of a Change in Control, death or disability was calculated by multiplying 142,561 shares by \$3.30. The value of unvested restricted stock to accelerate in the event of retirement was calculated by multiplying 38,561 shares by \$3.30.

Christopher T. Usher

Mr. Usher is not entitled to receive any contractual severance pay if we terminate his employment without cause. Upon a "Plan Change of Control" (see " *R. Brian Hanson Change of Control Under Equity Compensation Plans*" above), all of his unvested stock options granted to him under the 2004 LTIP will become fully exercisable and all restricted stock awards granted to him under the 2004 LTIP will automatically accelerate and become fully vested. Upon his death or disability, all options and restricted stock that Mr. Usher holds would automatically accelerate and become fully vested. Upon his retirement, all options that Mr. Usher holds would automatically accelerate and become fully vested. No shares of restricted stock held by Mr. Usher would automatically accelerate and become fully vested upon his retirement.

Table of Contents

The vested stock options held by Mr. Usher will remain exercisable after his termination of employment, death, disability or retirement for periods of between 180 days and one year following such event, depending on the event and the terms of the applicable stock plan and grant agreement. If Mr. Usher is terminated for cause, all of his vested and unvested stock options and unvested restricted stock will be immediately forfeited.

Assuming his employment was terminated under each of these circumstances or a change of control occurred on December 31, 2013, his payments and benefits would have an estimated value as follows (less applicable withholding taxes):

| Scenario | Cash Severance \$(1) | Value of Accelerated Equity Awards \$(2) |
|--|-------------------------|---|
| Without Cause | | |
| Change of Control (regardless of termination), Death or Disability | | 175,996 |
| Retirement | | |
| Voluntary Termination | | |

(1)

If Mr. Usher resigns or his employment is terminated for any reason, he may be paid for his unused vacation days. Mr. Usher is currently entitled to 20 vacation days per year. The above table assumes that there is no earned but unpaid base salary as of the time of termination.

(2)

As of December 31, 2013, Mr. Usher held 53,332 unvested shares of restricted stock and unvested stock options to purchase 97,500 shares of common stock. Options held by him having an exercise price greater than \$3.30 were calculated as having a zero value. The value of the restricted stock that would accelerate and fully vest in the event of a Change in Control, death or disability was calculated by multiplying 53,332 shares by \$3.30.

Ken Williamson

Mr. Williamson is not entitled to receive any contractual severance pay if we terminate his employment without cause. Upon a "Plan Change of Control" (see " *R. Brian Hanson Change of Control Under Equity Compensation Plans*" above), all of his unvested stock options granted to him under the 2004 LTIP will become fully exercisable and all restricted stock awards granted to him under the 2004 LTIP will automatically accelerate and become fully vested. Upon his death or disability, all options and restricted stock that Mr. Williamson holds would automatically accelerate and become fully vested. Upon his retirement, all options that Mr. Williamson holds would automatically accelerate and become fully vested. No shares of restricted stock held by Mr. Williamson would automatically accelerate and become fully vested upon his retirement.

The vested stock options held by Mr. Williamson will remain exercisable after his termination of employment, death, disability or retirement for periods of between 180 days and one year following such event, depending on the event and the terms of the applicable stock plan and grant agreement. If Mr. Williamson is terminated for cause, all of his vested and unvested stock options and unvested restricted stock will be immediately forfeited.

Edgar Filing: ION GEOPHYSICAL CORP - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

Assuming his employment was terminated under each of these circumstances or a change of control occurred on December 31, 2013, his payments and benefits would have an estimated value as follows (less applicable withholding taxes):

| Scenario | Cash Severance \$(1) | Value of Accelerated Equity Awards \$(2) |
|--|-------------------------|---|
| Without Cause | | |
| Change of Control (regardless of termination), Death or Disability | | 115,500 |
| Retirement | | |
| Voluntary Termination | | |

- (1) If Mr. Williamson resigns or his employment is terminated for any reason, he may be paid for his unused vacation days. Mr. Williamson is currently entitled to 20 vacation days per year. The above table assumes that there is no earned but unpaid base salary as of the time of termination.
- (2) As of December 31, 2013, Mr. Williamson held 35,000 unvested shares of restricted stock and unvested stock options to purchase 150,000 shares of common stock. Options held by him having an exercise price greater than \$3.30 were calculated as having a zero value. The value of the restricted stock that would accelerate and fully vest in the event of a Change in Control, death or disability was calculated by multiplying 35,000 shares by \$3.30.

Gregory J. Heinlein

Termination and Change of Control. Mr. Heinlein is entitled to certain benefits under his employment agreement upon any of the following events:

we terminate his employment for reasons other than for cause, death or disability; or

Mr. Heinlein resigns for "good reason."

In the above scenarios, Mr. Heinlein would be entitled to receive the following (less applicable withholding taxes):

over a two-year period, a cash amount equal to two times his annual base salary; and

any unpaid incentive plan bonuses earned by him pursuant to the terms of the relevant incentive compensation plan with respect to the year of termination.

Upon a "Plan Change of Control" (see "*R. Brian Hanson Change of Control Under Equity Compensation Plans*" above), all of Mr. Heinlein's unvested stock options granted to him under the 2004 LTIP will become fully exercisable, and all restricted stock granted to him under the 2004 LTIP will automatically accelerate and become fully vested. Mr. Heinlein's employment agreement contains no change-of-control severance payment rights.

Death, Disability or Retirement. Upon his death or disability, all options and restricted stock that Mr. Heinlein currently holds would automatically accelerate and become fully vested. Upon his retirement, all stock options that Mr. Heinlein holds would automatically accelerate and become fully vested. No shares of restricted stock held by Mr. Heinlein would automatically accelerate and become fully vested upon his retirement.

Termination by Us for Cause or by Mr. Heinlein Other Than for Good Reason. Upon any termination by us for cause or any resignation by Mr. Heinlein for any reason other than "good reason" (as defined in his employment agreement), Mr. Heinlein is not entitled to any payment or benefit other than the payment of unpaid salary and possibly accrued and unused vacation pay.

Table of Contents

Mr. Heinlein's vested stock options will remain exercisable after his termination of employment, death, disability or retirement for periods of between 180 days and one year following such event, depending on the event. If Mr. Heinlein is terminated for cause, all of his vested and unvested stock options and unvested restricted stock will be immediately forfeited.

Assuming Mr. Heinlein's employment was terminated under each of these circumstances or a change of control occurred on December 31, 2013, his payments and benefits would have an estimated value as follows (less applicable withholding taxes):

| Scenario | Cash Severance \$(1) | Value of Accelerated Equity Awards \$(2) |
|---|----------------------|--|
| Without Cause or For Good Reason | 624,000 | |
| Change of Control (regardless of termination), Death or Disability Retirement | | 92,063 |
| Voluntary Termination | | |

- (1) Payable over a two-year period. In addition to the listed amounts, if Mr. Heinlein resigns or his employment is terminated for any reason, he may be entitled to be paid for his unused vacation days. Mr. Heinlein is currently entitled to 20 vacation days per year. The above table assumes that there is no earned but unpaid base salary as of the time of termination.
- (2) As of December 31, 2013, Mr. Heinlein held 27,898 unvested shares of restricted stock and unvested stock options to purchase 144,750 shares of common stock. Options held by him having an exercise price greater than \$3.30 were calculated as having a zero value. The value of the restricted stock that would accelerate and fully vest in the event of a Change in Control, death or disability was calculated by multiplying 27,898 shares by \$3.30.

Colin Hulme

Mr. Hulme is not entitled to receive any contractual severance pay if we terminate his employment without cause. Upon a "Plan Change of Control" (see " *R. Brian Hanson Change of Control Under Equity Compensation Plans*" above), all of his unvested stock options granted to him under the 2004 LTIP will become fully exercisable and all restricted stock awards granted to him under the 2004 LTIP will automatically accelerate and become fully vested. Upon his death or disability, all options and restricted stock that Mr. Hulme holds would automatically accelerate and become fully vested. Upon his retirement, all options that Mr. Hulme holds would automatically accelerate and become fully vested. No shares of restricted stock held by Mr. Hulme would automatically accelerate and become fully vested upon his retirement.

The vested stock options held by Mr. Hulme will remain exercisable after his termination of employment, death, disability or retirement for periods of between 180 days and one year following such event, depending on the event and the terms of the applicable stock plan and grant agreement. If Mr. Hulme is terminated for cause, all of his vested and unvested stock options and unvested restricted stock will be immediately forfeited.

Edgar Filing: ION GEOPHYSICAL CORP - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

Assuming his employment was terminated under each of these circumstances or a change of control occurred on December 31, 2013, his payments and benefits would have an estimated value as follows (less applicable withholding taxes):

| Scenario | Cash Severance \$(1) | Value of Accelerated Equity Awards \$(2) |
|---|----------------------|--|
| Without Cause | | |
| Change of Control (regardless of termination), Death or Disability Retirement | | 115,493 |
| Voluntary Termination | | |

- (1) If Mr. Hulme resigns or his employment is terminated for any reason, he may be paid for his unused vacation days. Mr. Hulme is currently entitled to 20 vacation days per year. The above table assumes that there is no earned but unpaid base salary as of the time of termination.
- (2) As of December 31, 2013, Mr. Hulme held 34,998 unvested shares of restricted stock and unvested stock options to purchase 110,000 shares of common stock. Options held by him having an exercise price greater than \$3.30 were calculated as having a zero value. The value of the restricted stock that would accelerate and fully vest in the event of a Change in Control, death or disability was calculated by multiplying 34,998 shares by \$3.30.

2013 Pension Benefits And Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

None of our named executive officers participates or has account balances in (i) any qualified or non-qualified defined benefit plans or (ii) in any non-qualified defined contribution plans or other deferred compensation plans maintained by us.

Table of Contents

Equity Compensation Plan Information
(as of December 31, 2013)

The following table provides certain information regarding our equity compensation plans under which equity securities are authorized for issuance, categorized by (i) the equity compensation plans previously approved by our stockholders and (ii) the equity compensation plans not previously approved by our stockholders:

| Plan Category | Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a) | Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (b) | Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a)) (c) |
|--|--|---|---|
| Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Stockholders | | | |
| Amended and Restated 1996 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan | 95,000 | \$ 7.74 | |
| 2000 Long-Term Incentive Plan | 2,500 | \$ 9.01 | |
| 2003 Stock Option Plan | 40,000 | \$ 13.00 | |
| 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan ("2004 LTIP") | 7,855,625 | \$ 6.68 | 1,291,453 |
| 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan ("2013 LTIP") | | | 3,730,000 |
| 2010 Employee Stock Purchase Plan | | | 1,120,442 |
| Subtotal | 7,993,125 | | 6,141,895 |
| Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved by Stockholders | | | |
| ARAM Systems Employee Inducement Stock Option Program | 113,000 | \$ 14.10 | |
| Concept Systems Employment Inducement Stock Option Program | 4,000 | \$ 6.42 | |
| GX Technology Corporation Employment Inducement Stock Option Program | 148,375 | \$ 7.09 | |
| Subtotal | 265,375 | | |
| Total | 8,258,500 | | 6,141,895 |

Following are brief descriptions of the material terms of each equity compensation plan that was not approved by our stockholders:

ION Geophysical Corporation ARAM Systems Employee Inducement Stock Option Program. In connection with our acquisition of all of the capital stock of ARAM Systems, Ltd and its affiliates in September 2008, we entered into employment inducement stock option agreements with 48 key employees of ARAM as material inducements to their joining ION. The terms of these stock options are for 10 years, and the options become exercisable in four equal installments each year with respect to 25% of the shares each on the first, second, third and fourth consecutive anniversary dates of the date of grant. The options may be sooner exercised upon the occurrence of a "change of control" of ION. The number of shares of common stock covered by each option is subject to adjustment to prevent dilution resulting from stock dividends, stock splits, recapitalizations or similar transactions.

Table of Contents

ION Geophysical Corporation Concept Systems Employment Inducement Stock Option Program. In connection with our acquisition of the share capital of Concept Systems Holding Limited in February 2004, we entered into employment inducement stock option agreements with 12 key employees of Concept as material inducements to their joining ION. The terms of these stock options are for 10 years, and the options became exercisable in four equal installments each year with respect to 25% of the shares on the first, second, third and fourth consecutive anniversary dates of the date of grant. The number of shares of common stock covered by each option is subject to adjustment to prevent dilution resulting from stock dividends, stock splits, recapitalizations or similar transactions.

ION Geophysical Corporation GX Technology Corporation Employment Inducement Stock Option Program. In connection with our acquisition of all of the capital stock of GX Technology Corporation in June 2004, we entered into employment inducement stock option agreements with 29 key employees of GXT as material inducements to their joining ION. The terms of these stock options are for 10 years, and the options became exercisable in four equal installments each year with respect to 25% of the shares each on the first, second, third and fourth consecutive anniversary dates of the date of grant. The number of shares of common stock covered by each option is subject to adjustment to prevent dilution resulting from stock dividends, stock splits, recapitalizations or similar transactions.

A description of our Stock Appreciation Rights Plan has not been provided in this sub-section because awards of SARs made under that plan may be settled only in cash.

ITEM 2 ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

As required by Section 14A of the Exchange Act, we are asking our stockholders to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as we have described it in the "Executive Compensation" section of this proxy statement. This advisory vote is sometimes referred to as "Say on Pay." While this vote is not binding on our company, management and the Compensation Committee will review the voting results for purposes of obtaining information regarding investor sentiment about our executive compensation philosophy, policies and practices. If there are a significant number of negative votes, we will seek to understand the concerns that influenced the negative votes, and consider them in making decisions about our executive compensation programs in the future. At our 2013 Annual Meeting, our stockholders approved our non-binding advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers, with more than 98% of the votes cast on the proposal voting in favor of its approval.

We believe that the information we have provided within the Executive Compensation section of this proxy statement demonstrates that our executive compensation program is designed appropriately and is working to ensure management's interests are aligned with our stockholders' interests to support long-term value creation. As described above in detail under "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," our compensation program reflects a balance of short-term incentives (including performance-based cash bonus awards), long-term incentives (including equity awards that vest over up to four years), and protective measures, such as clawback and anti-hedging policies and stock ownership guidelines, that are designed to support our long-term business strategies and drive creation of stockholder value. We believe that our program is (i) aligned with the competitive market for talent, (ii) sensitive to our financial performance and (iii) oriented to long-term incentives, in order to maintain and improve our long-term profitability. We believe our program delivers reasonable pay that is strongly linked to our performance over time relative to peer companies and rewards sustained performance that is aligned with long-term stockholder interests. Our executive compensation program is also designed to attract and to retain highly-talented executive officers who are critical to the successful implementation of our company's strategic business plan.

We routinely evaluate the individual elements of our compensation program in light of market conditions and governance requirements and make changes as appropriate for our business. For example, in 2009 we reduced base salaries for most company employees, with the largest percentage

Table of Contents

reductions borne by our executives, including our named executive officers. In addition, our employment contract with our Chief Executive Officer does not contain tax gross-ups or single trigger change of control provisions. We are continuously seeking to improve our executive compensation programs and align our programs with stockholder interests. We believe that our executive compensation program continues to drive and promote superior financial performance for our company and our stockholders over the long term through a variety of business conditions.

We have regularly sought approval from our stockholders regarding portions of our compensation program that we have used to motivate, retain and reward our executives. Since 2000, our stockholders have voted on and approved our equity compensation plans (and amendments to those plans) eleven times, in addition to approving our overall executive compensation program for each of the last four years. Those incentive plans make up a significant portion of the overall compensation that we provide to our executives. Over the years, we have made numerous changes to our executive compensation program in response to stockholder input. Because the vote is advisory, however, it will not be binding upon our Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee, and neither our Board nor the Compensation Committee will be required to take any action as a result of the outcome of the vote on this proposal. The Compensation Committee will carefully evaluate the outcome of the vote when considering future executive compensation arrangements. After our Annual Meeting in May 2014, our next say-on-pay vote will occur at our next Annual Meeting scheduled to be held in May 2015.

Accordingly, the Board of Directors strongly endorses the Company's executive compensation program and recommends that stockholders vote in favor of the following advisory resolution:

RESOLVED, that the stockholders approve the compensation paid to the named executive officers of the Company, pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the compensation discussion and analysis, the compensation tables and any related material disclosed in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

We encourage our stockholders to closely review the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the accompanying compensation tables and the related narrative disclosure before voting on this proposal. The Compensation Discussion and Analysis describes and explains our executive compensation policies and practices and the process that was used by the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors to reach its decisions on the compensation of our named executive officers for 2013. It also contains a discussion and analysis of each of the primary components of our executive compensation program—base salary, annual cash incentive awards and long-term incentive awards—and the various post-employment arrangements that we have entered into with certain of our named executive officers.

The Board of Directors recommends that stockholders vote "FOR" the advisory (non-binding) vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers, as described in this proxy statement.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

We have appointed Grant Thornton as our independent registered public accounting firm (independent auditors) for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014. Grant Thornton has not served as our independent auditors prior to its recent appointment. Ernst & Young LLP ("E&Y") served as our independent auditor from 2005 through completion of the audit of our consolidated financial statements for 2013. Services provided by E&Y to our company in 2013 included the audit of our consolidated financial statements, review of our quarterly financial statements, statutory audits of our foreign subsidiaries, internal control audit services, review of our registration statements filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), during 2013 and consultations on various tax, accounting and due diligence matters.

The Board of Directors recommends that stockholders vote "FOR" ratification of the appointment of Grant Thornton as our independent auditors for 2014.

In the event stockholders do not ratify the appointment, the appointment will be reconsidered by the Audit Committee. Regardless of the outcome of the vote, however, the Audit Committee at all times has the authority within its discretion to recommend and approve any appointment, retention or dismissal of our independent auditors.

See "*Change in Independent Registered Public Accountants*" below.

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The following Report of the Audit Committee does not constitute soliciting material and shall not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any other filings under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except to the extent ION specifically incorporates this Report by reference therein.

ION's management is responsible for ION's internal controls, financial reporting process, compliance with laws, regulations and ethical business standards and the preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. ION's independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for performing an independent audit of ION's financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and the effectiveness of ION's internal control over financial reporting, and issuing an opinion thereon. The Board of Directors of ION appointed the undersigned directors as members of the Audit Committee and adopted a written charter setting forth the procedures and responsibilities of the Audit Committee. Each year the Audit Committee reviews its Charter and reports to the Board on its adequacy in light of applicable rules of the NYSE. In addition, each year ION furnishes a written affirmation to the NYSE relating to Audit Committee membership, the independence and financial management expertise of the Audit Committee and the adequacy of the Charter of the Audit Committee.

The Charter of the Audit Committee specifies that the primary purpose of the Audit Committee is to assist the Board in its oversight of: (1) the integrity of the financial statements of ION; (2) compliance by ION with legal and regulatory requirements; (3) the independence, qualifications and performance of ION's independent registered public accountants; and (4) the performance of ION's internal auditors and internal audit function. In carrying out these responsibilities during 2013, and early in 2014 in preparation for the filing with the SEC of ION's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, the Audit Committee, among other things:

reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management and ION's independent registered public accounting firm;

reviewed the overall scope and plans for the audit and the results of the examinations of ION's independent registered public accounting firm;

Edgar Filing: ION GEOPHYSICAL CORP - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

met with ION management periodically to consider the adequacy of ION's internal control over financial reporting and the quality of its financial reporting and discussed these matters with its independent registered public accounting firm and with appropriate ION financial personnel and internal auditors;

discussed with ION's senior management, independent registered public accounting firm and internal auditors the process used for ION's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer to make the certifications required by the SEC and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 in connection with the Form 10-K and other periodic filings with the SEC;

reviewed and discussed with ION's independent registered public accounting firm (1) their judgments as to the quality (and not just the acceptability) of ION's accounting policies, (2) the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accounting firm required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding such firm's communication with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and the independence of the independent registered public accounting firm, and (3) the matters required to be discussed with the Audit Committee under auditing standards generally accepted in the United States, including Statement on Auditing Standards No. 114, "Communication with Audit Committees;"

based on these reviews and discussions, as well as private discussions with ION's independent registered public accounting firm and internal auditors, recommended to the Board of Directors the inclusion of the audited financial statements of ION and its subsidiaries in the 2013 Form 10-K;

recommended the selection of Grant Thornton LLC as ION's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014; and

determined that the non-audit services provided to ION by its independent registered public accounting firm (discussed below under "Principal Auditor Fees and Services") are compatible with maintaining the independence of the independent auditors.

The Audit Committee met seven times during 2013. The committee schedules its meetings with a view to ensuring that it devotes appropriate attention to all of its tasks. The committee's meetings include, whenever appropriate, executive sessions with ION's independent registered public accountants and with ION's internal auditor, in each case without the presence of ION's management. The Audit Committee has also established procedures for (a) the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by ION regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters and (b) the confidential, anonymous submission by ION's employees of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters. However, this oversight does not provide the Audit Committee with an independent basis to determine that management has maintained appropriate accounting and financial reporting principles or policies, or appropriate internal controls and procedures designed to assure compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. Furthermore, the committee's consideration and discussions with management and the independent registered public accounting firm do not assure that ION's financial statements are presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles or that the audit of ION's financial statements has been carried out in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards.

S. James Nelson, Jr., Chairman
Michael C. Jennings
James M. Lapeyre, Jr.

Table of Contents

CHANGE IN INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

On March 19, 2014, we engaged Grant Thornton to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm to audit our consolidated financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2014. The decision to retain Grant Thornton as our independent registered public accounting firm was recommended and approved by our Audit Committee effective on March 19, 2014.

E&Y served as our independent auditor from 2005 through completion of the audit of our consolidated financial statements for 2013. The reports of E&Y on our financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013 did not contain an adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion and were not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles. The report of E&Y on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting for the year ended December 31, 2013, which was included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, was not qualified and did not contain an adverse opinion thereon.

During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013 and through March 20, 2014, the date of our dismissal of E&Y as our independent auditor, there were no disagreements as that term is defined in Item 304(a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K and the related instructions to Item 304 of Regulation S-K with E&Y on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, which disagreements, if not resolved to the satisfaction of E&Y, would have caused E&Y to make reference thereto in its reports on our financial statements for such years.

During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013 and through March 20, 2014, there were no "reportable events" as that term is defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K, except we reported a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2013, June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2013, in Item 4 of our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q/A for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and the six months ended June 30, 2013, and in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The material weakness related to the incorrect presentation of the investments in our SPANs in our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and the six months ended June 30, 2013. The material weakness was reported as remediated as of December 31, 2013, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

E&Y furnished a letter addressed to the SEC stating that it agreed with the above statements concerning E&Y, and a copy of that letter dated March 20, 2014 was filed as an exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K that we filed with the SEC on March 20, 2014.

During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013 and through March 19, 2014, we have not consulted with Grant Thornton regarding either (i) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed, or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on our financial statements, and neither a written report nor oral advice was provided to us that Grant Thornton concluded was an important factor considered by us in reaching a decision as to the accounting, auditing or financial reporting issue; or (ii) any matter that was either the subject of a disagreement (as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K and the related instructions to that Item) or a reportable event (as described in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K).

In deciding to engage Grant Thornton, our Audit Committee reviewed auditor independence issues and existing commercial relationships with Grant Thornton and concluded that Grant Thornton has no commercial relationship with our company that would impair its independence.

Table of Contents**PRINCIPAL AUDITOR FEES AND SERVICES**

In connection with the audit of the 2013 financial statements, we entered into an engagement agreement with E&Y that sets forth the terms by which E&Y would perform audit services for our company. The following two tables show the fees billed to us or accrued by us for the audit and other services provided by E&Y for 2013 and 2012:

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Audit Fees(a) | \$ 2,558,000 | \$ 1,744,000 |
| Audit-Related Fees(b) | 86,000 | 252,000 |
| Tax Fees(c) | 46,000 | |
| All Other Fees | | |
| Total | \$ 2,690,000 | \$ 1,996,000 |

-
- (a) Audit fees consist primarily of the audit and quarterly reviews of the consolidated financial statements, the audit of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, audits of subsidiaries, statutory audits of subsidiaries required by governmental or regulatory bodies, attestation services required by statute or regulation, comfort letters, consents, assistance with and review of documents filed with the SEC, work performed by tax professionals in connection with the audit and quarterly reviews, and accounting and financial reporting consultations and research work necessary to comply with generally accepted auditing standards.
- (b) Audit-related fees relate primarily to due diligence services. Also included are licensing fees related to accounting research software.
- (c) Tax fees consist of financial and tax due diligence services.

Our Audit Committee Charter provides that all audit services and non-audit services must be approved by the committee or a member of the committee. The Audit Committee has delegated to the Chairman of the committee the authority to pre-approve audit, audit-related and non-audit services not prohibited by law to be performed by our independent auditors and associated fees, so long as (i) the estimate of such fees does not exceed \$50,000, (ii) the Chairman reports any decisions to pre-approve those services and fees to the full Audit Committee at a future meeting and (iii) the term of any specific pre-approval given by the Chairman does not exceed 12 months from the date of pre-approval.

All non-audit services were reviewed with the Audit Committee or the Chairman, which concluded that the provision of such services by E&Y was compatible with the maintenance of such firm's independence in the conduct of its auditing functions.

Other Matters

A representative of Grant Thornton will be available at the annual meeting, will be afforded an opportunity to make a statement if he/she desires to do so and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

This proxy statement has been approved by the Board of Directors and is being made available to stockholders by its authority.

David L. Roland
*Senior Vice President, General Counsel and
Corporate Secretary*

Houston, Texas
April 15, 2014

The 2013 Annual Report to Stockholders includes our financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. We have mailed a notice of the 2013 Annual Report to Stockholders and this proxy statement to all of our stockholders of record. The 2013 Annual Report to Stockholders does not form any part of the material for the solicitation of proxies.

