Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. Form 10-Q August 07, 2015 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q (Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2015

or

... TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 1-11727

ENERGY TRANSFER PARTNERS, L.P.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 73-1493906
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

3738 Oak Lawn Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75219 (Address of principal executive offices) (zip code) (214) 981-0700

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ý No "Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ý No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer \circ Accelerated filer "Accelerated filer"

Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company "Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes " No ý

At July 31, 2015, the registrant had 509,952,838 Common Units outstanding.

Table of Contents

FORM 10-Q
ENERGY TRANSFER PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES
TABLE OF CONTENTS
PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Consolidated Balance Sheets	<u>1</u>
Consolidated Statements of Operations	<u>3</u>
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	4
Consolidated Statement of Equity	<u>5</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	<u>6</u>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	7
ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	<u>45</u>
ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	<u>66</u>
ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	<u>68</u>
PART II – OTHER INFORMATION	
ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	<u>70</u>
ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS	<u>70</u>
ITEM 6. EXHIBITS	<u>71</u>
<u>SIGNATURE</u>	<u>73</u>
i	

Table of Contents

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain matters discussed in this report, excluding historical information, as well as some statements by Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. (the "Partnership," or "ETP") in periodic press releases and some oral statements of the Partnership's officials during presentations about the Partnership, include forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are identified as any statement that does not relate strictly to historical or current facts. Statements using words such as "anticipate," "believe," "intend," "project," "plan," "expect," "continue," "estimate," "goal," "may," "will" or similar expressions help identify forward-looking statements. Although the Partnership and its general partner believe such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions and current expectations and projections about future events, no assurance can be given that such assumptions, expectations, or projections will prove to be correct. Forward-looking statements are subject to a variety of risks, uncertainties and assumptions. If one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or if underlying assumptions prove incorrect, the Partnership's actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, projected or expected, forecasted, estimated or expressed in forward-looking statements since many of the factors that determine these results are subject to uncertainties and risks that are difficult to predict and beyond management's control. For additional discussion of risks, uncertainties and assumptions, see "Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors" in the Partnership's Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 2, 2015.

Definitions

The following is a list of certain acronyms and terms generally used in the energy industry and throughout this document:

/d per day

Aqua – PVR Aqua – PVR Water Services, LLC

AmeriGas Partners, L.P.

AOCI accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)

Bbls barrels

Brush thermal unit, an energy measurement used by gas companies to convert the volume

of gas used to its heat equivalent, and thus calculate the actual energy used

capacity of a pipeline, processing plant or storage facility refers to the maximum capacity under normal operating conditions and, with respect to pipeline transportation capacity, is

Capacity subject to multiple factors (including natural gas injections and withdrawals at various

delivery points along the pipeline and the utilization of compression) which may reduce

the throughput capacity from specified capacity levels

Citrus Citrus, LLC

CrossCountry CrossCountry Energy, LLC

ELG Edwards Lime Gathering LLC

ETC Compression ETC Compression, LLC

ETC FEP ETC Fayetteville Express Pipeline, LLC

ETC OLP

La Grange Acquisition, L.P., which conducts business under the assumed name of Energy

Transfer Company

ETC Tiger ETC Tiger Pipeline, LLC

ETE Energy Transfer Equity, L.P., a publicly traded partnership and the owner of ETP LLC

ETE Holdings ETE Common Holdings, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of ETE

ET Interstate Energy Transfer Interstate Holdings, LLC

ETP Credit Facility ETP's \$3.75 billion revolving credit facility

ETP GP Energy Transfer Partners GP, L.P., the general partner of ETP

ETP Holdco Corporation

ETP LLC Energy Transfer Partners, L.L.C., the general partner of ETP GP

Exchange Act Securities Exchange Act of 1934

FEP Fayetteville Express Pipeline LLC

ii

Table of Contents

FERC Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

FGT Florida Gas Transmission Company, LLC

GAAP accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America

HPC RIGS Haynesville Partnership Co. and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Regency Intrastate

Gas LP

IDRs incentive distribution rights

Lake Charles LNG Company, LLC (previously named Trunkline LNG Company, LLC), a

subsidiary of ETE

LIBOR London Interbank Offered Rate

LNG liquefied natural gas

MEP Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC

MMBtu million British thermal units

MTBE methyl tertiary butyl ether

NGL natural gas liquid, such as propane, butane and natural gasoline

NYMEX New York Mercantile Exchange

ORS Ohio River System LLC

OSHA federal Occupational Safety and Health Act

OTC over-the-counter

Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, LP and its subsidiaries

PCBs polychlorinated biphenyls

PES Philadelphia Energy Solutions

PHMSA Pipeline Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

Regency Energy Partners LP

Retail Holdings LLC, a joint venture between subsidiaries of ETC OLP and Sunoco,

Inc.

Sea Robin Sea Robin Pipeline Company, LLC, a subsidiary of Panhandle

SEC Securities and Exchange Commission

Southern Union Southern Union Company

Sunoco GP LLC, the general partner of Sunoco LP

Sunoco Logistics Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.

Sunoco LP (previously named Susser Petroleum Partners, LP)

Sunoco Partners Sunoco Partners LLC, the general partner of Sunoco Logistics

Susser Holdings Corporation

Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC

Trunkline Gas Company, LLC, a subsidiary of Panhandle

Adjusted EBITDA is a term used throughout this document, which we define as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization and other non-cash items, such as non-cash compensation expense, gains and losses on disposals of assets, the allowance for equity funds used during construction, unrealized gains and losses on commodity risk management activities and other non-operating income or expense items. Unrealized gains and losses on commodity risk management activities include unrealized gains and losses on commodity derivatives and inventory fair value adjustments (excluding lower of cost or market adjustments). Adjusted EBITDA reflects amounts for less than wholly-owned subsidiaries based on 100% of the subsidiaries' results of operations and for unconsolidated affiliates based on the Partnership's proportionate ownership.

iii

Table of Contents

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS ENERGY TRANSFER PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Dollars in millions) (unaudited)

	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$1,615	\$663
Accounts receivable, net	3,168	3,360
Accounts receivable from related companies	201	139
Inventories	1,851	1,460
Exchanges receivable	57	44
Derivative assets	6	81
Other current assets	361	296
Total current assets	7,259	6,043
Property, plant and equipment	48,099	43,404
Accumulated depreciation and depletion	(5,242	(4,497)
	42,857	38,907
Advances to and investments in unconsolidated affiliates	3,667	3,760
Non-current derivative assets	1	10
Other non-current assets, net	801	786
Intangible assets, net	5,526	5,526
Goodwill	7,440	7,642
Total assets	\$67,551	\$62,674

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ENERGY TRANSFER PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in millions)

(unaudited)

	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable	\$3,005	\$3,348
Accounts payable to related companies	10	25
Exchanges payable	136	183
Derivative liabilities	12	21
Accrued and other current liabilities	1,983	2,099
Current maturities of long-term debt	15	1,008
Total current liabilities	5,161	6,684
Long-term debt, less current maturities	29,058	24,973
Non-current derivative liabilities	109	154
Deferred income taxes	4,104	4,246
Other non-current liabilities	1,220	1,258
Commitments and contingencies		
Series A Preferred Units	33	33
Redeemable noncontrolling interests	15	15
EQUITY:		
General Partner	294	184
Limited Partners:		
Common Unitholders	17,541	10,430
Class H Unitholder	3,460	1,512
Class I Unitholder	32	_
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	,) (56
Total partners' capital	21,313	12,070
Noncontrolling interest	6,538	5,153
Predecessor equity	_	8,088
Total equity	27,851	25,311
Total liabilities and equity	\$67,551	\$62,674

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ENERGY TRANSFER PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollars in millions, except per unit data) (unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		nded	ded Six Months Ended June 30,			ed	
	2015		2014		2015		2014	
REVENUES			-				-	
Natural gas sales	\$899		\$1,361		\$1,933		\$2,791	
NGL sales	988		1,400		1,969		2,654	
Crude sales	2,680		4,432		4,888		8,525	
Gathering, transportation and other fees	980		823		1,973		1,642	
Refined product sales	4,434		4,938		8,090		9,416	
Other	1,559		1,134		3,013		2,087	
Total revenues	11,540		14,088		21,866		27,115	
COSTS AND EXPENSES								
Cost of products sold	9,338		12,352		17,825		23,794	
Operating expenses	651		417		1,270		831	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	501		436		980		796	
Selling, general and administrative	162		115		295		220	
Total costs and expenses	10,652		13,320		20,370		25,641	
OPERATING INCOME	888		768		1,496		1,474	
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)								
Interest expense, net of interest capitalized	(336)	(295)	(646)	(569)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	117		77		174		181	
Gain on sale of AmeriGas common units			93				163	
Gains (losses) on interest rate derivatives	127		(46)	50		(48)
Other, net	(16)	(21)	(9)	(21)
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	780		576		1.065		1 100	
BEFORE INCOME TAX EXPENSE	780		370		1,065		1,180	
Income tax expense (benefit) from continuing	(59)	71		(42)	216	
operations	(39	,	/ 1		(42)	210	
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	839		505		1,107		964	
Income from discontinued operations			42				66	
NET INCOME	839		547		1,107		1,030	
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling	212		87		206		141	
interest	212		07		200		171	
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to predecessor	(27)	(11)	(34)	3	
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO PARTNERS	654		471		935		886	
General Partner's interest in net income	260		125		502		238	
Class H Unitholder's interest in net income	64		51		118		100	
Class I Unitholder's interest in net income	32		_		65		_	
Common Unitholders' interest in net income	\$298		\$295		\$250		\$548	
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS								
PER COMMON UNIT:								
Basic	\$0.67		\$0.79		\$0.63		\$1.47	
Diluted	\$0.67		\$0.79		\$0.63		\$1.47	
NET INCOME PER COMMON UNIT:								
Basic	\$0.67		\$0.92		\$0.63		\$1.67	

\$0.67 \$0.92 \$0.63 \$1.67

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ENERGY TRANSFER PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Dollars in millions) (unaudited)

	Three Mon- June 30,	ths E	Ended		Six Months June 30,	End	led	
	2015		2014		2015		2014	
Net income	\$839		\$547		\$1,107		\$1,030	
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:								
Reclassification to earnings of gains and losses on								
derivative instruments accounted for as cash flow	_		2		_		6	
hedges								
Change in value of derivative instruments accounte	d		(2)	1		(6)
for as cash flow hedges			(2	,	•		(0	,
Change in value of available-for-sale securities	(1)						
Actuarial gain (loss) relating to pension and other			_		45		(1)
postretirement benefit plans								,
Foreign currency translation adjustments			1		(2)	(2)
Change in other comprehensive income from			1		(2)	(6)
unconsolidated affiliates						,		,
	(1)	2		42		(9)
Comprehensive income	838		549		1,149		1,021	
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to	212		87		206		141	
noncontrolling interest								
Less: Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to predecessor	(27)	(11)	(34)	3	
Comprehensive income attributable to partners	\$653		\$473		\$977		\$877	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ENERGY TRANSFER PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EQUITY FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 (Dollars in millions) (unaudited)

(unaudited)		Limited Pa	artners						
	General Partner	Common Units	Class H Units	Class I Units	Accumulated Other Comprehensiv Income (Loss)		gPredecesson Equity	^r Total	
Balance, December 31, 2014	\$184	\$10,430	\$1,512	\$ —	\$ (56)	\$ 5,153	\$ 8,088	\$25,311	
Distributions to partners	(393)	(842)	(116)	(33)	_	_	_	(1,384)
Predecessor distributions to partners	_	_	_	_	_	_	(202)	(202)
Distributions to noncontrolling interest	_	_	_	_	_	(165)	_	(165)
Units issued for cash	_	724	_	_	_	_	_	724	
Subsidiary units issued for cash	1	101	_	_	_	911	_	1,013	
Predecessor units issued for cash	_	_	_		_	_	34	34	
Capital contributions from noncontrolling interest Other	_	_	_	_	_	398	_	398	
comprehensive	_	_	_	_	42	_	_	42	
income, net of tax Regency Merger	_	7,890	_	_	_	_	(7,890)	_	
Bakken Pipeline Transaction	_	(999)	1,946		_	72	_	1,019	
Sale of noncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to AE–Midco Rover, LLC	_	4	_	_	_	60	_	64	
Sunoco Logistics acquisition of noncontrolling interest	_	(30)	_	_	_	(99)	_	(129)
Other, net Net income (loss)		13 250	 118		_	2 206	4 (34)	19 1,107	

Balance, June 30, \$294 \$17,541 \$3,460 \$32 \$ (14) \$6,538 \$— \$27,851

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ENERGY TRANSFER PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollars in millions)

(unaudited)

(unaudited)			
	Six Months En	nded	
	June 30,		
	2015	2014	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net income	\$1,107	\$1,030	
Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	980	796	
Deferred income taxes	79	(112)
Amortization included in interest expense	(21) (33)
Inventory valuation adjustments	(150) (34)
Non-cash compensation expense	43	32	
Gain on sale of AmeriGas common units		(163)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	32	_	
Distributions on unvested awards	(7) (8)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	(174) (181)
Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates	162	143	
Other non-cash	20	(39)
Cash flow in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and	(020) 261	
deconsolidations	(938) 361	
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,133	1,792	
INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Cash proceeds from Bakken Pipeline Transaction	980		
Cash proceeds from sale of noncontrolling interest in Rover Pipeline LLC to	C 1		
AE-Midco Rover, LLC	64	_	
Cash proceeds from the sale of AmeriGas common units		759	
Cash paid for acquisition of a noncontrolling interest	(129) —	
Cash paid for all other acquisitions	(475) (407)
Capital expenditures (excluding allowance for equity funds used during construction)	(4,143) (2,104)
Contributions in aid of construction costs	12	25	ŕ
Contributions to unconsolidated affiliates	(43) (63)
Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates in excess of cumulative earnings	64	58	ŕ
Proceeds from sale of discontinued operations		79	
Proceeds from the sale of assets	15	18	
Change in restricted cash	8	7	
Other	(9) —	
Net cash used in investing activities	(3,656) (1,628)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Proceeds from borrowings	12,494	5,633	
Repayments of long-term debt) (4,913)
Net proceeds from issuance of Common Units	724	484	
Subsidiary equity offerings, net of issue costs	1,013	102	
Predecessor equity offerings, net of issue costs	34	465	
Capital contributions received from noncontrolling interest	398	6	
Distributions to partners	(1,384) (943)
Predecessor distributions to partners	(202) (256	í
		, (,

Distributions to noncontrolling interest	(165) (108)
Debt issuance costs	(50) (30)
Other	(1) (2)
Net cash provided by financing activities	3,475	438	
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	952	602	
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	663	568	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$1,615	\$1,170	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ENERGY TRANSFER PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Tabular dollar and unit amounts, except per unit data, are in millions) (unaudited)

1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Organization

Energy Transfer Partners, L.P., a publicly traded Delaware master limited partnership, and its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Partnership," "we," "us," "our" or "ETP") are managed by our general partner, ETP GP, which is in turn managed by its general partner, ETP LLC. ETE, a publicly traded master limited partnership, owns ETP LLC. The consolidated financial statements of the Partnership presented herein include our operating subsidiaries described below.

Our activities are primarily conducted through our operating subsidiaries (collectively, the "Operating Companies") as follows:

ETC OLP, a Texas limited partnership primarily engaged in midstream and intrastate transportation and storage natural gas operations. ETC OLP owns and operates, through its wholly and majority-owned subsidiaries, natural gas gathering systems, intrastate natural gas pipeline systems and gas processing plants and is engaged in the business of purchasing, gathering, transporting, processing, and marketing natural gas and NGLs in the states of Texas, Louisiana, New Mexico and West Virginia. ETC OLP's intrastate transportation and storage operations primarily focus on transporting natural gas in Texas through our Oasis pipeline, ET Fuel System, East Texas pipeline and HPL System. ETC OLP's midstream operations focus on the gathering, compression, treating, conditioning and processing of natural gas, primarily on or through our Southeast Texas System, Eagle Ford System, North Texas System and Northern Louisiana assets. Subsequent to its acquisition of Regency's 30% equity interest in Lone Star, as discussed below, ETC OLP now owns 100% of Lone Star.

ET Interstate, a Delaware limited liability company with revenues consisting primarily of fees earned from natural gas transportation services and operational gas sales. ET Interstate is the parent company of:

Transwestern, a Delaware limited liability company engaged in interstate transportation of natural gas. Transwestern's revenues consist primarily of fees earned from natural gas transportation services and operational gas sales.

ETC FEP, a Delaware limited liability company that directly owns a 50% interest in FEP, which owns 100% of the Fayetteville Express interstate natural gas pipeline.

ETC Tiger, a Delaware limited liability company engaged in interstate transportation of natural gas.

CrossCountry, a Delaware limited liability company that indirectly owns a 50% interest in Citrus, which owns 100% of the FGT interstate natural gas pipeline.

ETC Compression, a Delaware limited liability company engaged in natural gas compression services and related equipment sales.

ETP Holdco, a Delaware limited liability company that indirectly owns Panhandle and Sunoco, Inc. Panhandle and Sunoco, Inc. operations are described as follows:

Panhandle owns and operates assets in the regulated and unregulated natural gas industry and is primarily engaged in the transportation and storage of natural gas in the United States.

Sunoco, Inc. owns and operates retail marketing assets, which sell gasoline and middle distillates at retail locations and operates convenience stores primarily on the east coast and in the midwest region of the United States. Effective June 1, 2014, the Partnership combined certain Sunoco, Inc. retail assets with another wholly-owned subsidiary of ETP to form a limited liability company, Retail Holdings, owned by ETP and Sunoco, Inc.

Sunoco Logistics, a publicly traded Delaware limited partnership that owns and operates a logistics business, consisting of products, crude oil and NGL pipelines, terminalling and storage assets, and refined products, crude oil and NGL acquisition and marketing assets.

• As of June 30, 2015, ETP owned an indirect 100% equity interest in Susser and the general partner interest, incentive distribution rights and a 44% limited partner interest in Sunoco LP. As discussed in Note 2, in July 2015, ETP transferred its interest in Susser to Sunoco LP in exchange for cash and additional interests in Sunoco LP. Susser operates convenience stores in Texas, New Mexico and Oklahoma. Sunoco LP, is a publicly

traded Delaware limited partnership that distributes motor fuels to convenience stores and retail fuel outlets in Texas, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Kansas, Louisiana, Maryland, Virginia, Tennessee, Georgia and Hawaii and other commercial customers. These operations are reported within the retail marketing segment.

Table of Contents

Regency is a limited partnership engaged in the gathering and processing, compression, treating and transportation of natural gas; the gathering, transportation and terminalling of oil (crude and/or condensate, a lighter oil) received from producers; and the management of coal and natural resource properties in the United States. Regency focuses on providing midstream services in some of the most prolific natural gas producing regions in the United States, including the Eagle Ford, Haynesville, Barnett, Fayetteville, Marcellus, Utica, Bone Spring, Avalon and Granite Wash shales.

Our financial statements reflect the following reportable business segments:

- •intrastate transportation and storage;
- •interstate transportation and storage;
- •midstream;
- •liquids transportation and services;
- •investment in Sunoco Logistics;
- •retail marketing; and
- •all other.

Basis of Presentation

The unaudited financial information included in this Form 10-Q has been prepared on the same basis as the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, except that the consolidated financial statements have been retrospectively adjusted to reflect the consolidation of Regency, as discussed below. In the opinion of the Partnership's management, such financial information reflects all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and the results of operations for such interim periods in accordance with GAAP. All intercompany items and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC.

Merger with Regency. On April 30, 2015, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Partnership merged with Regency, with Regency continuing as the surviving entity (the "Regency Merger"). Each Regency common unit and Class F unit was converted into the right to receive 0.4124 Partnership common units. ETP issued 172.2 million Partnership common units to Regency unitholders, including 15.5 million units issued to Partnership subsidiaries. The 1.9 million outstanding Regency series A preferred units were converted into corresponding new Partnership Series A Preferred Units on a one-for-one basis.

In connection with the Regency Merger, ETE will reduce the incentive distributions it receives from the Partnership by a total of \$320 million over a five-year period. The IDR subsidy will be \$80 million in the first year post-closing and \$60 million per year for the following four years.

The Regency Merger was a combination of entities under common control; therefore Regency's assets and liabilities were not adjusted. The Partnership's consolidated financial statements have been retrospectively adjusted to reflect consolidation of Regency for all prior periods subsequent to May 26, 2010 (the date ETE acquired Regency's general partner). Predecessor equity included on the consolidated financial statements represents Regency's equity prior to the Regency Merger.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the revenues and net income for the previously separate entities and the combined amounts presented herein:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months	Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,		
	2015 (1)	2014	2015 (1)	2014	
Revenues:					
Partnership	\$11,253	\$13,029	\$20,783	\$25,261	
Regency	301	1,178	1,300	2,041	
Adjustments and eliminations	(14) (119) (217) (187)
Combined	\$11,540	\$14,088	\$21,866	\$27,115	
Net income (loss):					
Partnership	\$881	\$581	\$1,189	\$1,072	
Regency	(26) (4) (29) 8	
Adjustments and eliminations	(16) (30) (53) (50)
Combined	\$839	\$547	\$1,107	\$1,030	

⁽¹⁾ Amounts attributable to Regency subsequent to the Regency Merger on April 30, 2015 are reflected in the Partnership amounts.

Use of Estimates

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2015 presentation. These reclassifications had no impact on net income or total equity.

The unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with GAAP, which includes the use of estimates and assumptions made by management that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities that exist at the date of the consolidated financial statements. Although these estimates are based on management's available knowledge of current and expected future events, actual results could be different from those estimates.

Excise Taxes

The Partnership records the collection of taxes to be remitted to government authorities on a net basis except for the retail marketing segment in which consumer excise taxes on sales of refined products and merchandise are included in both revenues and cost of products sold in the consolidated statements of operations, with no net impact on net income. Excise taxes collected by the retail marketing segment were \$762 million and \$573 million for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and \$1.50 billion and \$1.10 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Subsidiary Common Unit Transactions. The Partnership accounts for the difference between the carrying amount of investments in Sunoco Logistics and Sunoco LP and the underlying book value arising from the issuance or redemption of units by the respective subsidiary (excluding transactions with us) as capital transactions. Recent Accounting Pronouncement. In February 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-02, Consolidation (Topic 810) ("ASU 2015-02"), which changed the requirements for consolidations analysis. Under ASU 2015-02, reporting entities are required to evaluate whether they should consolidate certain legal entities. ASU 2015-02 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and early adoption is permitted. The Partnership expects to adopt this standard for the year ending December 31, 2016, and we are currently evaluating the impact that it will have on the consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

2. ACQUISITIONS, DIVESTITURES AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS Sunoco LP

In April 2015, Sunoco LP acquired a 31.58% equity interest in Sunoco, LLC from Retail Holdings for \$816 million. Sunoco, LLC distributes approximately 5.3 billion gallons per year of motor fuel to customers in the east, midwest and southwest

Table of Contents

regions of the United States. Sunoco LP paid \$775 million in cash and issued \$41 million of Sunoco LP common units to Retail Holdings, based on the five-day volume weighted average price of Sunoco LP's common units as of March 20, 2015.

In July 2015, Sunoco LP acquired 100% of Susser from ETP in a transaction valued at \$1.93 billion. Sunoco LP paid approximately \$967 million in cash and issued 22 million Sunoco LP common units, valued at approximately \$967 million, to ETP. In addition, there will be an exchange for 11 million Sunoco LP units owned by Susser for another 11 million new Sunoco LP units to a subsidiary of ETP.

In July 2015, ETE entered into an exchange and repurchase agreement with ETP, pursuant to which ETE would acquire 100% of the membership interests of Sunoco GP, the general partner of Sunoco LP, and all of the IDRs of Sunoco LP from ETP, in exchange for the repurchase of 21 million ETP common units owned by ETE. In connection with ETP's 2014 acquisition of Susser, ETE agreed to provide ETP a \$35 million annual IDR subsidy for 10 years, which would terminate upon the closing of ETE's acquisition of Sunoco GP. In connection with the exchange and repurchase, ETE agreed to provide ETP a \$35 million annual IDR subsidy for two years. Following this transaction, Sunoco LP will no longer be consolidated for accounting purposes by ETP. This transaction is expected to close in August 2015.

Bakken Pipeline

In March 2015, ETE transferred 30.8 million Partnership common units, ETE's 45% interest in the Bakken Pipeline project, and \$879 million in cash to the Partnership in exchange for 30.8 million newly issued Partnership Class H Units of ETP that, when combined with the 50.2 million previously issued Class H Units, generally entitle ETE to receive 90.05% of the cash distributions and other economic attributes of the general partner interest and IDRs of Sunoco Logistics (the "Bakken Pipeline Transaction"). In connection with this transaction, the Partnership also issued to ETE 100 Class I Units that provide distributions to ETE to offset IDR subsidies previously provided to ETP. These IDR subsidies, including the impact from distributions on Class I Units, will be reduced by \$55 million in 2015 and \$30 million in 2016.

Discontinued Operations

Discontinued operations for the six months ended June 30, 2014 includes the results of operations for a marketing business that was sold effective April 1, 2014.

3. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash and cash equivalents include all cash on hand, demand deposits, and investments with original maturities of three months or less. We consider cash equivalents to include short-term, highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to known amounts of cash and that are subject to an insignificant risk of changes in value.

We place our cash deposits and temporary cash investments with high credit quality financial institutions. At times, our cash and cash equivalents may be uninsured or in deposit accounts that exceed the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance limit.

Table of Contents

11

The net change in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions and deconsolidations, included in cash flows from operating activities is comprised as follows:

from operating activities is comprised as follows:		
	Six Months En	ded
	June 30,	
	2015	2014
Accounts receivable	\$82	\$(891)
Accounts receivable from related companies	(53)	(78)
Inventories	(252)	294
Exchanges receivable	(14)	(26)
Other current assets	(96)	340
Other non-current assets, net	99	(25)
Accounts payable	(333)	538
Accounts payable to related companies	(262)	17
Exchanges payable	(47)	(11)
Accrued and other current liabilities	(122)	152
Other non-current liabilities	30	(33)
Derivative assets and liabilities, net	30	84
Net change in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and		
deconsolidations	\$(938)	\$361
Non-cash investing and financing activities are as follows:		
Then then in the same and in the same and th	Six Months En	ded
	June 30,	
	2015	2014
NON-CASH INVESTING ACTIVITIES:	2015	2011
Accrued capital expenditures	\$693	\$339
Accrued advances to unconsolidated affiliates	—	175
Net gains from subsidiary common unit issuances	102	14
NON-CASH FINANCING ACTIVITIES:	102	14
Issuance of common units in connection with the Regency Merger	9,250	
Issuance of Class H Units in connection with the Bakken Pipeline Transaction	1,946	
Subsidiary issuances of common units in connection with Regency's acquisitions		4,015
Long-term debt assumed in Regency's acquisitions		1,887
Redemption of common units in connection with the Bakken Pipeline Transaction	999	1,007
Redemption of common units in connection with the Lake Charles LNG Transaction		1,167
4. INVENTORIES		1,107
Inventories consisted of the following:		
inventories consisted of the following.		December 31,
	June 30, 2015	2014
Notural and and NCL a	\$425	\$392
Natural gas and NGLs Crude oil		
	599	364
Refined products	446	392
Other	381	312
Total inventories	\$1,851	\$1,460
11		

Table of Contents

We utilize commodity derivatives to manage price volatility associated with our natural gas inventory. Changes in fair value of designated hedged inventory are recorded in inventory on our consolidated balance sheets and cost of products sold in our consolidated statements of operations.

5. FAIR VALUE MEASURES

We have commodity derivatives, interest rate derivatives and embedded derivatives in the preferred units that are accounted for as assets and liabilities at fair value in our consolidated balance sheets. We determine the fair value of our assets and liabilities subject to fair value measurement by using the highest possible "level" of inputs. Level 1 inputs are observable quotes in an active market for identical assets and liabilities. We consider the valuation of marketable securities and commodity derivatives transacted through a clearing broker with a published price from the appropriate exchange as a Level 1 valuation. Level 2 inputs are inputs observable for similar assets and liabilities. We consider OTC commodity derivatives entered into directly with third parties as a Level 2 valuation since the values of these derivatives are quoted on an exchange for similar transactions. Additionally, we consider our options transacted through our clearing broker as having Level 2 inputs due to the level of activity of these contracts on the exchange in which they trade. We consider the valuation of our interest rate derivatives as Level 2 as the primary input, the LIBOR curve, is based on quotes from an active exchange of Eurodollar futures for the same period as the future interest swap settlements. Level 3 inputs are unobservable. Derivatives related to the preferred units were valued using a binomial lattice model. The market inputs utilized in the model include credit spread, probabilities of the occurrence of certain events, common unit price, dividend yield, and expected value, and are considered Level 3. During the six months ended June 30, 2015, no transfers were made between any levels within the fair value hierarchy. Based on the estimated borrowing rates currently available to us and our subsidiaries for loans with similar terms and average maturities, the aggregate fair value and carrying amount of our consolidated debt obligations at June 30, 2015 was \$29.24 billion and \$29.07 billion, respectively. As of December 31, 2014, the aggregate fair value and carrying amount of our consolidated debt obligations was \$26.91 billion and \$25.98 billion, respectively. The fair value of our consolidated debt obligations is a Level 2 valuation based on the observable inputs used for similar liabilities.

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize the fair value of our financial assets and liabilities measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 based on inputs used to derive their fair values:

Fair Value Measurements at

		June 30, 2015						
	Fair Value Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3				
Assets:								
Interest rate derivatives	\$1	\$ —	\$1	\$ —				
Commodity derivatives:								
Natural Gas:								
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX	7	7						
Swing Swaps IFERC	2		2					
Fixed Swaps/Futures	213	213	_	_				
Forward Physical Swaps	2	_	2					
Power:								
Forwards	4		4					
Futures	3	3						
Options – Calls	5	5	_	_				
Natural Gas Liquids – Forwards/Swaps	31	31	_					
Refined Products – Futures	6	6						
Total commodity derivatives	273	265	8					
Total assets	\$274	\$265	\$9	\$ —				
Liabilities:								
Interest rate derivatives	\$(105)) \$—	\$(105) \$—				
Embedded derivatives in the ETP Preferred Units	(12) —		(12)			
Commodity derivatives:								
Natural Gas:								
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX	(7) (7) —					
Swing Swaps IFERC	(2) (1) (1) —				
Fixed Swaps/Futures	(189	(189) —	_				
Forward Physical Swaps	(1) —	(1) —				
Power:								
Forwards	(3) —	(3) —				
Futures	(7) (7) —					
Options – Puts	(4) (4) —					
Natural Gas Liquids – Forwards/Swaps	(29) (29) —					
Refined Products – Futures	(6) (6) —					
Total commodity derivatives	(248) (243) (5) —				
Total liabilities	\$(365)	\$(243)) \$(110) \$(12)			

Table of Contents

		Fair Value Me December 31,				
	Fair Value Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		
Assets:						
Interest rate derivatives	\$3	\$ —	\$3	\$ —		
Commodity derivatives:						
Condensate – Forward Swaps	36		36			
Natural Gas:						
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX	19	19				
Swing Swaps IFERC	26	1	25			
Fixed Swaps/Futures	566	541	25			
Forward Physical Swaps	1		1			
Power:						
Forwards	3		3			
Futures	4	4	_			
Natural Gas Liquids – Forwards/Swaps	69	46	23			
Refined Products – Futures	21	21				
Total commodity derivatives	745	632	113			
Total assets	\$748	\$632	\$116	\$		
Liabilities:						
Interest rate derivatives	\$(155)) \$—	\$(155) \$—		
Embedded derivatives in the Regency Preferred	(16	`		(16	`	
Units	(10) —	_	(10)	
Commodity derivatives:						
Natural Gas:						
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX	(18) (18) —			
Swing Swaps IFERC	(25) (2) (23) —		
Fixed Swaps/Futures	(490) (490) —			
Power:						
Forwards	(4) —	(4) —		
Futures	(2) (2) —			
Natural Gas Liquids – Forwards/Swaps	(32) (32) —			
Refined Products – Futures	(7) (7) —	_		
Total commodity derivatives	(578) (551) (27) —		
Total liabilities	\$(749	\$(551)	\$(182)) \$(16)	

The following table presents the material unobservable inputs used to estimate the fair value of the Preferred Units and the embedded derivatives in the Preferred Units:

Embedded derivatives in the Preferred Units:

Unobservable Input June 30, 2015
Credit spread 3.57%
Volatility 24.90%

Table of Contents

The following table presents a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for our Level 3 financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs for the six months ended June 30, 2015.

Balance, December 31, 2014	\$(16)
Net unrealized gains included in other income (expense)	4	
Balance, June 30, 2015	\$(12)

6.NET INCOME PER LIMITED PARTNER UNIT

Net income for partners' capital and statement of operations presentation purposes is allocated to the General Partner and Limited Partners in accordance with their respective partnership percentages, after giving effect to priority income allocations for incentive distributions, if any, to the General Partner, the holder of the IDRs pursuant to the Partnership Agreement, which are declared and paid following the close of each quarter. Earnings in excess of distributions are allocated to the General Partner and Limited Partners based on their respective ownership interests. Earnings attributable to predecessor represents amounts allocated to the former Regency partners and have no impact on income from continuing operations per unit for the periods prior to the Regency Merger.

Table of Contents

A reconciliation of income from continuing operations and weighted average units used in computing basic and diluted income from continuing operations per unit is as follows:

	Three Month June 30,	s E	Ended		Six Months I June 30,	Enc	led	
In come from continuing an austions	2015		2014		2015		2014 \$964	
Income from continuing operations Less: Income from continuing operations	\$839		\$505		\$1,107		\$90 4	
attributable to noncontrolling interest	212		87		206		141	
Less: Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to predecessor	(27)	(11)	(34)	3	
Income from continuing operations, net of								
noncontrolling interest and predecessor income (loss)	654		429		935		820	
General Partner's interest in income from continuir operations	¹⁹ 260		125		502		238	
Class H Unitholder's interest in income from	64		51		118		100	
continuing operations Class I Unitholder's interest in income from	22				65			
continuing operations	32		_		65		_	
Common Unitholders' interest in income from continuing operations	298		253		250		482	
Additional earnings allocated from (to) General Partner	(2)	1		(4)	(2)
Distributions on employee unit awards, net of allocation to General Partner	(3)	(3)	(7)	(6)
Income from continuing operations available to Common Unitholders	\$293		\$251		\$239		\$474	
Weighted average Common Units - basic	434.8		318.5		379.6		321.4	
Basic income from continuing operations per Common Unit	\$0.67		\$0.79		\$0.63		\$1.47	
Dilutive effect of unvested Unit Awards	1.5		1.0		1.5		1.0	
Weighted average Common Units, assuming dilutive effect of unvested Unit Awards	436.3		319.5		381.1		322.4	
Diluted income from continuing operations per Common Unit	\$0.67		\$0.79		\$0.63		\$1.47	
Basic income from discontinued operations per Common Unit	\$0.00		\$0.13		\$0.00		\$0.20	
Diluted income from discontinued operations per Common Unit	\$0.00		\$0.13		\$0.00		\$0.20	

Table of Contents

7. DEBT OBLIGATIONS

Our debt obligations consist of the following:

our deat confidence consist of the following.	June 30, 2015	December 31,
ETD Contact Notes		2014
ETP Senior Notes	\$15,640	\$10,890
Transwestern Senior Notes	782	782
Panhandle Senior Notes	1,085	1,085
Sunoco, Inc. Senior Notes	465	715
Sunoco Logistics Senior Notes ⁽¹⁾	3,975	3,975
Sunoco LP Senior Notes	800	_
Regency Senior Notes:		
8.375% Senior Notes due June 1, 2019		499
8.375% Senior Notes due June 1, 2020	390	390
5.75% Senior Notes due September 1, 2020	400	400
6.5% Senior Notes due May 15, 2021	400	400
6.5% Senior Notes due July 15, 2021	500	500
5.875% Senior Notes due March 1, 2022	900	900
5.0% Senior Notes due October 1, 2022	700	700
5.5% Senior Notes due April 15, 2023	700	700
4.5% Senior Notes due November 1, 2023	600	600
Revolving credit facilities:		
ETP \$3.75 billion Revolving Credit Facility due November 2019		570
Sunoco Logistics' subsidiary \$35 million Revolving Credit Facility due April 2015	_	35
Sunoco Logistics \$2.50 billion Revolving Credit Facility due March 2020	550	150
Sunoco LP \$1.5 billion Revolving Credit Facility due September 2019	725	683
Regency \$2.5 billion Revolving Credit Facility due November 25, 2019 ⁽²⁾		1,504
Other long-term debt	202	223
Unamortized premiums, net of discounts and fair value adjustments	259	280
Total debt	29,073	25,981
Less: Current maturities of long-term debt	15	1,008
Long-term debt, less current maturities	\$29,058	\$24,973
Const. I a 'at' a ' C 1250' a min mater la Mari 15 2010 a min la c'fi al a la m	4 1.1.4 C I	20 2015

Sunoco Logistics' 6.125% senior notes due May 15, 2016 were classified as long-term debt as of June 30, 2015 as Sunoco Logistics has the ability and the intent to refinance such borrowings on a long-term basis.

The following table reflects future maturities of long-term debt for each of the next five years and thereafter. These amounts exclude \$259 million in unamortized premiums and fair value adjustments:

2015 (remainder)	\$15
2016	314
2017	1,228
2018	2,205
2019	1,729
Thereafter	23,323
Total	\$28,814

⁽²⁾ On April 30, 2015, in connection with the Regency Merger, the Regency Credit Facility was paid off in full and terminated.

Table of Contents

ETP Senior Notes

In June 2015, ETP issued \$650 million aggregate principal amount of 2.50% senior notes due June 2018, \$350 million aggregate principal amount of 4.15% senior notes due October 2020, \$1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 4.75% senior notes due January 2026 and \$1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 6.125% senior notes due December 2045. ETP used the net proceeds of \$2.98 billion from the offering to pay outstanding borrowings under the ETP Credit Facility, to fund growth capital expenditures and for general partnership purposes.

In March 2015, ETP issued \$1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 4.05% senior notes due March 2025, \$500 million aggregate principal amount of 4.90% senior notes due March 2035, and \$1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 5.15% senior notes due March 2045. ETP used the \$2.48 billion net proceeds from the offering to pay outstanding borrowings under the ETP Credit Facility, to fund growth capital expenditures and for general partnership purposes.

Sunoco LP Senior Notes

In April 2015, Sunoco LP issued \$800 million aggregate principal amount of 6.375% senior notes due April 2023. The net proceeds from the offering were used to fund the cash portion of the dropdown of Sunoco, LLC interests and to repay outstanding balances under the Sunoco LP revolving credit facility.

In July 2015, Sunoco LP issued \$600 million aggregate principal amount of 5.5% senior notes due August 2020. The net proceeds from the offering were used to fund a portion of the cash consideration for Sunoco LP's acquisition of Susser.

Regency Senior Notes

The following table reflects outstanding indebtedness assumed in the Regency Merger:

Regency Senior Notes	April 30, 2015 \$5,088
Regency \$2.5 billion Revolving Credit Facility due November 25, 2019 ⁽¹⁾	
Unamortized premiums, net of discounts and fair value adjustments	43
Total debt	\$5,131

(1) On April 30, 2015, in connection with the Regency Merger, the Regency Credit Facility was paid off in full and terminated.

On June 1, 2015, Regency redeemed all of the outstanding \$499 million aggregate principal amount of its 8.375% senior notes due June 2019.

In July 2015, Regency issued notices of redemption to the holders of the \$390 million aggregate principal amount of its 8.375% senior notes due June 2020, with a redemption date of August 13, 2015, and the \$400 million aggregate principal amount of its 6.50% senior notes due May 2021, with a redemption date of August 10, 2015.

The Regency senior notes were registered under the Securities Act of 1933 (as amended). Regency may redeem some or all of the Regency senior notes at any time, or from time to time, pursuant to the terms of the indenture and related indenture supplements related to the Regency senior notes. The balance is payable upon maturity and interest is payable semi-annually.

The senior notes issued by Regency are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, on a joint and several basis, by all of Regency's consolidated subsidiaries, except for ELG and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Aqua – PVR and ORS. As a result, excluding ELG, Aqua – PVR and ORS, the Regency senior notes effectively rank junior to any future indebtedness of Regency's or its subsidiaries that is both secured and unsubordinated to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness, and the Regency senior notes effectively rank junior to all indebtedness and other liabilities of Regency's existing and future subsidiaries.

Panhandle previously agreed to fully and unconditionally guarantee (the "Panhandle Guarantee") all of the payment obligations of Regency and Regency Energy Finance Corp. under their \$600 million in aggregate principal amount of 4.50% senior notes due November 2023. On May 28, 2015, ETP entered into a supplemental indenture relating to the senior notes pursuant to which it has agreed to become a co-obligor with respect to the payment obligations thereunder. Accordingly, pursuant to the terms of the senior notes, Panhandle's obligations under the Panhandle Guarantee have been released.

Table of Contents

The Regency senior notes contain various covenants that limit, among other things, Regency's ability, and the ability of certain of its subsidiaries, to:

- •incur additional indebtedness;
- •make certain investments;
- •incur liens;
- •enter into certain types of transactions with affiliates; and
- •sell assets or consolidate or merge with or into other companies.

Credit Facilities

ETP Credit Facility

The ETP Credit Facility allows for borrowings of up to \$3.75 billion and expires in November 2019. The indebtedness under the ETP Credit Facility is unsecured and not guaranteed by any of the Partnership's subsidiaries and has equal rights to holders of our current and future unsecured debt. As of June 30, 2015, the ETP Credit Facility had no outstanding borrowings.

Sunoco Logistics Credit Facilities

In March 2015, Sunoco Logistics amended and restated its \$1.5 billion unsecured credit facility, which was scheduled to mature in November 2018. The amended and restated credit facility is a \$2.5 billion unsecured revolving credit agreement (the "Sunoco Logistics Credit Facility"), which matures in March 2020. The Sunoco Logistics Credit Facility contains an accordion feature, under which the total aggregate commitment may be increased to \$3.25 billion under certain conditions. As of June 30, 2015, the Sunoco Logistics Credit Facility had \$550 million of outstanding borrowings.

Sunoco LP Credit Facility

Sunoco LP maintains a \$1.5 billion revolving credit facility (the "Sunoco LP Credit Facility"), which expires in September 2019. The Sunoco LP Credit Facility can be increased from time to time upon Sunoco LP's written request, subject to certain conditions, up to an additional \$250 million. As of June 30, 2015, the Sunoco LP Credit Facility had \$725 million of outstanding borrowings.

Compliance with Our Covenants

We were in compliance with all requirements, tests, limitations, and covenants related to our credit agreements as of June 30, 2015.

8. SERIES A PREFERRED UNITS

In connection with the closing of the Regency Merger as discussed in Note 1, 1.9 million of Regency's outstanding series A preferred units were converted into corresponding newly issued ETP Series A Preferred Units (the "Preferred Units") on a one-for-one basis. If outstanding, the Preferred Units are mandatorily redeemable on September 2, 2029 for \$35 million plus all accrued but unpaid distributions and interest thereon and are reflected as long-term liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets. The Preferred Units are entitled to a preferential quarterly cash distribution of \$0.445 per Preferred Unit if outstanding on the record dates of the Partnership's common unit distributions. Holders of the Preferred Units can elect to convert the ETP Preferred Units to ETP Common Units at any time in accordance with ETP's partnership agreement. The number of common units issuable upon conversion of the Preferred Units is equal to the issue price of \$18.30, plus all accrued but unpaid distributions and interest thereon, divided by the conversion price of \$44.37. As of June 30, 2015, the Preferred Units were convertible to 0.9 million ETP Common Units.

9. REDEEMABLE NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS

The noncontrolling interest holders in one of Sunoco Logistics' consolidated subsidiaries have the option to sell their interests to Sunoco Logistics. In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, the noncontrolling interest is excluded from total equity and reflected as redeemable interest on ETP's consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents

10.EQUITY

Class H Units and Class I Units

In March 2015, ETE transferred 30.8 million Partnership common units, ETE's 45% interest in the Bakken pipeline project, and \$879 million in cash to the Partnership in exchange for 30.8 million newly issued Class H Units of ETP that, when combined with the 50.2 million previously issued Class H Units, generally entitle ETE to receive 90.05% of the cash distributions and other economic attributes of the general partner interest and IDRs of Sunoco Logistics. In connection with this transaction, the Partnership also issued to ETE 100 Class I Units that provide distributions to ETE to offset IDR subsidies previously provided to the Partnership. These IDR subsidies, including the impact from distributions on Class I Units, will be reduced by \$55 million in 2015 and \$30 million in 2016.

The impact of (i) the IDR subsidy adjustments and (ii) the Class I Unit distributions, along with the currently effective IDR subsidies, is included in the table below under "Quarterly Distributions of Available Cash."

ETP Common Unit Activity

The changes in common units during the six months ended June 30, 2015 were as follows:

	Nulliber of	
	Units	
Number of common units at December 31, 2014	355.5	
Common units issued in connection with Equity Distribution Agreements	10.1	
Common units issued in connection with the Distribution Reinvestment Plan	2.8	
Common units issued in connection with the Regency Merger	172.2	
Common units redeemed in connection with the Bakken Pipeline Transaction	(30.8)
Issuance of common units under equity incentive plans	0.2	
Number of common units at June 30, 2015	510.0	

During the six months ended June 30, 2015, the Partnership received proceeds of \$569 million, net of commissions of \$6 million, from the issuance of common units pursuant to equity distribution agreements, which were used for general partnership purposes. As of June 30, 2015, \$832 million of the Partnership's common units remained available to be issued under an equity distribution agreement.

During the six months ended June 30, 2015, distributions of \$155 million were reinvested under the Distribution Reinvestment Plan resulting in the issuance of 2.8 million common units. As of June 30, 2015, a total of 4.5 million common units remain available to be issued under the existing registration statement in connection with the Distribution Reinvestment Plan.

Sales of Common Units by Sunoco Logistics

In 2014, Sunoco Logistics entered into equity distribution agreements pursuant to which Sunoco Logistics may sell from time to time common units having aggregate offering prices of up to \$1.25 billion. During the six months ended June 30, 2015, Sunoco Logistics received proceeds of \$385 million, net of commissions of \$4 million, which were used for general partnership purposes.

Additionally, Sunoco Logistics completed a public offering of 13.5 million common units for net proceeds of \$547 million in March 2015. The net proceeds were used to repay outstanding borrowings under the \$2.5 billion Sunoco Logistics Credit Facility and for general partnership purposes. In April 2015, an additional 2.0 million common units were issued for net proceeds of \$82 million related to the exercise of an option in connection with the March 2015 offering.

As a result of Sunoco Logistics' issuances of common units during the six months ended June 30, 2015, the Partnership recognized increases in partners' capital of \$102 million.

Sales of Common Units by Sunoco LP

In July 2015, Sunoco LP completed an offering of 5.5 million Sunoco LP common units for net proceeds of \$213 million. The net proceeds from the offering were used to repay outstanding balances under the Sunoco LP revolving credit facility.

Number of

Table of Contents

Quarterly Distributions of Available Cash

Following are distributions declared and/or paid by the Partnership subsequent to December 31, 2014:

Quarter Ended	Record Date	Payment Date	Rate
December 31, 2014	February 6, 2015	February 13, 2015	\$0.9950
March 31, 2015	May 8, 2015	May 15, 2015	1.0150
June 30, 2015	August 6, 2015	August 14, 2015	1.0350

ETE agreed to relinquish its right to the following amounts of incentive distributions in future periods, including distributions on Class I Units.

	Total Year
2015 (remainder)	\$56
2016	137
2017	128
2018	105
2019	95

Sunoco Logistics Quarterly Distributions of Available Cash

Following are distributions declared and/or paid by Sunoco Logistics subsequent to December 31, 2014:

Quarter Ended	Record Date	Payment Date	Rate
December 31, 2014	February 9, 2015	February 13, 2015	\$0.4000
March 31, 2015	May 11, 2015	May 15, 2015	0.4190
June 30, 2015	August 10, 2015	August 14, 2015	0.4380

Sunoco LP Quarterly Distributions of Available Cash

Following are distributions declared and/or paid by Sunoco LP subsequent to December 31, 2014:

Quarter Ended	Record Date	Payment Date	Rate
December 31, 2014	February 17, 2015	February 27, 2015	\$0.6000
March 31, 2015	May 19, 2015	May 29, 2015	0.6450
June 30, 2015	August 18, 2015	August 28, 2015	0.6934

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The following table presents the components of AOCI, net of tax:

	June 30, 2015		December 31 2014	٠,
Available-for-sale securities	\$3		\$3	
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(5)	(3)
Net loss on commodity related hedges	_		(1)
Actuarial loss related to pensions and other postretirement benefits	(12)	(57)
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates, net	_		2	
Total AOCI, net of tax	\$(14)	\$(56)

Table of Contents

11.INCOME TAXES

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, the Partnership's effective income tax rate decreased from the prior year primarily due to lower earnings among the Partnership's consolidated corporate subsidiaries. In addition, the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 also reflect a benefit of \$22 million related to the exclusion of a portion of the dividend income received by certain of our consolidated corporate subsidiaries. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, the Partnership's income tax expense was favorably impacted by \$11 million due to a reduction in the statutory Texas franchise tax rate which was enacted by the Texas legislature during the second quarter of 2015. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Partnership's income tax expense from continuing operations included unfavorable income tax adjustments of \$87 million related to the Lake Charles LNG Transaction, which was treated as a sale for tax purposes.

During the three months ended June 30, 2015, Sunoco, Inc. filed a petition for refund with the United States Court of Federal Claims in response to a notice of disallowance denying previously filed refund claims related to certain government incentive payments. Also, during the same period, Sunoco, Inc. filed amended state income tax returns in material jurisdictions based on the Federal claim. The state refund claim is \$87 million (\$57 million after Federal taxes). Consistent with treatment of Federal claims, Sunoco, Inc. has established a reserve for the full amount of the increase due to the uncertain nature of the claims.

On July 23, 2015, we reached a final settlement with the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") with regards to the IRS examination of Southern Union's tax years 2004 through 2009. For the 2006 tax year, the IRS had challenged \$545 million of the \$690 million deferred gain associated with the like kind exchange involving certain assets of Southern Union's distribution operations and gathering and processing operations. The terms of the settlement specify that our position with regards to the deferred gain on the like kind exchange was materially correct and as a result, we will receive refunds totaling approximately \$6 million for the periods under examination.

12. REGULATORY MATTERS, COMMITMENTS, CONTINGENCIES AND ENVIRONMENTAL LIABILITIES Contingent Matters Potentially Impacting the Partnership from Our Investment in Citrus

Florida Gas Pipeline Relocation Costs. The Florida Department of Transportation, Florida's Turnpike Enterprise ("FDOT/FTE") has various turnpike/State Road 91 widening projects that have impacted or may, over time, impact one or more of FGTs' mainline pipelines located in FDOT/FTE rights-of-way. Certain FDOT/FTE projects have been or are the subject of litigation in Broward County, Florida. On November 16, 2012, FDOT paid to FGT the sum of approximately \$100 million, representing the amount of the judgment, plus interest, in a case tried in 2011. On April 14, 2011, FGT filed suit against the FDOT/FTE and other defendants in Broward County, Florida seeking an injunction and damages as the result of the construction of a mechanically stabilized earth wall and other encroachments in FGT easements as part of FDOT/FTE's I-595 project. On August 21, 2013, FGT and FDOT/FTE entered into a settlement agreement pursuant to which, among other things, FDOT/FTE paid FGT approximately \$19 million in September 2013 in settlement of FGT's claims with respect to the I-595 project. The settlement agreement also provided for agreed easement widths for FDOT/FTE right-of-way and for cost sharing between FGT and FDOT/FTE for any future relocations. Also in September 2013, FDOT/FTE paid FGT an additional approximate \$1 million for costs related to the aforementioned turnpike/State Road 91 case tried in 2011.

FGT will continue to seek rate recovery in the future for these types of costs to the extent not reimbursed by the FDOT/FTE. There can be no assurance that FGT will be successful in obtaining complete reimbursement for any such relocation costs from the FDOT/FTE or from its customers or that the timing of such reimbursement will fully compensate FGT for its costs.

Contingent Residual Support Agreement – AmeriGas

In connection with the closing of the contribution of its propane operations in January 2012, ETP agreed to provide contingent, residual support of \$1.55 billion of intercompany borrowings made by AmeriGas and certain of its affiliates with maturities through 2022 from a finance subsidiary of AmeriGas that have maturity dates and repayment terms that mirror those of an equal principal amount of senior notes issued by this finance company subsidiary to third party purchasers.

Guarantee of Collection

Panhandle previously guaranteed the collections of the payment of \$600 million of Regency 4.50% senior notes due 2023. On May 28, 2015, ETP entered into a supplemental indenture relating to the senior notes pursuant to which it has agreed to become a co-obligor with respect to the payment obligations thereunder. Accordingly, pursuant to the terms of the senior notes, Panhandle's obligations under the Panhandle Guarantee have been released.

Table of Contents

On April 30, 2015, in connection with the Regency Merger, ETP entered into various supplemental indentures pursuant to which ETP has agreed to fully and unconditionally guarantee all payment obligations of Regency for all of its outstanding senior notes.

NGL Pipeline Regulation

We have interests in NGL pipelines located in Texas and New Mexico. We commenced the interstate transportation of NGLs in 2013, which is subject to the jurisdiction of the FERC under the Interstate Commerce Act ("ICA") and the Energy Policy Act of 1992. Under the ICA, tariff rates must be just and reasonable and not unduly discriminatory and pipelines may not confer any undue preference. The tariff rates established for interstate services were based on a negotiated agreement; however, the FERC's rate-making methodologies may limit our ability to set rates based on our actual costs, may delay or limit the use of rates that reflect increased costs and may subject us to potentially burdensome and expensive operational, reporting and other requirements. Any of the foregoing could adversely affect our business, revenues and cash flow.

Transwestern Rate Case

On October 1, 2014, Transwestern filed a general NGA Section 4 rate case pursuant to the 2011 settlement agreement with its shippers. On December 2, 2014, the FERC issued an order accepting and suspending the rates to be effective April 1, 2015, subject to refund, and setting a procedural schedule with a hearing scheduled in late 2015. On June 22, 2015, Transwestern filed a settlement with the Commission which resolved, or provided for the resolution of all issues set for hearing in the case. The settlement is subject to Commission approval.

FGT Rate Case

On October 31, 2014, FGT filed a general NGA Section 4 rate case pursuant to a 2010 settlement agreement with its shippers. On November 28, 2014, the FERC issued an order accepting and suspending the rates to be effective no earlier than May 1, 2015, subject to refund. Currently a procedural schedule is set with a hearing scheduled in early 2016.

Commitments

In the normal course of our business, we purchase, process and sell natural gas pursuant to long-term contracts and we enter into long-term transportation and storage agreements. Such contracts contain terms that are customary in the industry. We believe that the terms of these agreements are commercially reasonable and will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

We have certain non-cancelable leases for property and equipment, which require fixed monthly rental payments and expire at various dates through 2058. The table below reflects rental expense under these operating leases included in operating expenses in the accompanying statements of operations, which include contingent rentals, and rental expense recovered through related sublease rental income:

	Tillee Mid	onuis Ended	SIX MOHU	is Eliaea	
	June 30,		June 30,		
	2015	2014	2015	2014	
Rental expense ⁽¹⁾	\$54	\$27	\$106	\$59	
Less: Sublease rental income	(4) (10) (12) (18)
Rental expense, net	\$50	\$17	\$94	\$41	

⁽¹⁾ Includes contingent rentals totaling \$6 million and \$6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 and \$10 million and \$9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Our joint venture agreements require that we fund our proportionate share of capital contributions to our unconsolidated affiliates. Such contributions will depend upon our unconsolidated affiliates' capital requirements, such as for funding capital projects or repayment of long-term obligations.

Litigation and Contingencies

We may, from time to time, be involved in litigation and claims arising out of our operations in the normal course of business. Natural gas and crude oil are flammable and combustible. Serious personal injury and significant property damage can arise in connection with their transportation, storage or use. In the ordinary course of business, we are sometimes threatened with or named as a defendant in various lawsuits seeking actual and punitive damages for product liability, personal injury and

Six Months Endad

property damage. We maintain liability insurance with insurers in amounts and with coverage and deductibles management believes are reasonable and prudent, and which are generally accepted in the industry. However, there can be no assurance that the levels of insurance protection currently in effect will continue to be available at reasonable prices or that such levels will remain adequate to protect us from material expenses related to product liability, personal injury or property damage in the future.

Regency Merger Litigation

Following the January 26, 2015 announcement of the definitive merger agreement with Regency, purported Regency unitholders filed lawsuits in state and federal courts in Dallas, Texas and Delaware state court asserting claims relating to the proposed transaction.

On February 3, 2015, William Engel and Enno Seago, purported Regency unitholders, filed a class action petition on behalf of Regency's common unitholders and a derivative suit on behalf of Regency in the 162nd Judicial District Court of Dallas County, Texas (the "Engel Lawsuit"). The lawsuit names as defendants the Regency General Partner, the members of the Regency General Partner's board of directors, ETP, ETP GP, ETE, and, as a nominal party, Regency. The Engel Lawsuit alleges that (1) the Regency General Partner's directors breached duties to Regency and the Regency's unitholders by employing a conflicted and unfair process and failing to maximize the merger consideration; (2) the Regency General Partner's directors breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing by engaging in a flawed merger process; and (3) the non-director defendants aided and abetted in these claimed breaches. The plaintiffs seek an injunction preventing the defendants from closing the proposed transaction or an order rescinding the transaction if it has already been completed. The plaintiffs also seek money damages and court costs, including attorney's fees.

On February 9, 2015, Stuart Yeager, a purported Regency unitholder, filed a class action petition on behalf of the Regency's common unitholders and a derivative suit on behalf of Regency in the 134th Judicial District Court of Dallas County, Texas (the "Yeager Lawsuit"). The allegations, claims, and relief sought in the Yeager Lawsuit are nearly identical to those in the Engel Lawsuit.

On February 10, 2015, Lucien Coggia a purported Regency unitholder, filed a class action petition on behalf of Regency's common unitholders and a derivative suit on behalf of Regency in the 192nd Judicial District Court of Dallas County, Texas (the "Coggia Lawsuit"). The allegations, claims, and relief sought in the Coggia Lawsuit are nearly identical to those in the Engel Lawsuit.

On February 3, 2015, Linda Blankman, a purported Regency unitholder, filed a class action complaint on behalf of the Regency's common unitholders in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas (the "Blankman Lawsuit"). The allegations and claims in the Blankman Lawsuit are similar to those in the Engel Lawsuit. However, the Blankman Lawsuit does not allege any derivative claims and includes Regency as a defendant rather than a nominal party. The lawsuit also omits one of the Regency General Partner's directors, Richard Brannon, who was named in the Engel Lawsuit. The Blankman Lawsuit alleges that the Regency General Partner's directors breached their fiduciary duties to the unitholders by failing to maximize the value of Regency, failing to properly value Regency, and ignoring conflicts of interest. The plaintiff also asserts a claim against the non-director defendants for aiding and abetting the directors' alleged breach of fiduciary duty. The Blankman Lawsuit seeks the same relief that the plaintiffs seek in the Engel Lawsuit.

On February 6, 2015, Edwin Bazini, a purported Regency unitholder, filed a class action complaint on behalf of Regency's common unitholders in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas (the "Bazini Lawsuit"). The allegations, claims, and relief sought in the Bazini Lawsuit are nearly identical to those in the Blankman Lawsuit. On March 27, 2015, Plaintiff Bazini filed an amended complaint asserting additional claims under Sections 14(a) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

On February 11, 2015, Mark Hinnau, a purported Regency unitholder, filed a class action complaint on behalf of Regency's common unitholders in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas (the "Hinnau Lawsuit"). The allegations, claims, and relief sought in the Hinnau Lawsuit are nearly identical to those in the Blankman Lawsuit.

On February 11, 2015, Stephen Weaver, a purported Regency unitholder, filed a class action complaint on behalf of Regency's common unitholders in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas (the "Weaver

Lawsuit"). The allegations, claims, and relief sought in the Weaver Lawsuit are nearly identical to those in the Blankman Lawsuit.

On February 11, 2015, Adrian Dieckman, a purported Regency unitholder, filed a class action complaint on behalf of Regency's common unitholders in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas (the "Dieckman Lawsuit"). The allegations, claims, and relief sought in the Dieckman Lawsuit are similar to those in the Blankman Lawsuit, except that the Dieckman Lawsuit does not assert an aiding and abetting claim.

On February 13, 2015, Irwin Berlin, a purported Regency unitholder, filed a class action complaint on behalf of Regency's common unitholders in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas (the "Berlin Lawsuit"). The allegations, claims, and relief sought in the Berlin Lawsuit are similar to those in the Blankman Lawsuit.

On March 13, 2015, the Court in the 95th Judicial District Court of Dallas County, Texas transferred and consolidated the Yeager and Coggia Lawsuits into the Engel Lawsuit and captioned the consolidated lawsuit as Engel v. Regency GP, LP, et al. (the "Consolidated State Lawsuit").

On March 30, 2015, Leonard Cooperman, a purported Regency unitholder, filed a class action complaint on behalf of Regency's common unitholders in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas (the "Cooperman Lawsuit"). The allegations, claims, and relief sought in the Cooperman Lawsuit are similar to those in the Blankman Lawsuit.

On March 31, 2015, the Court in United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas consolidated the Blankman, Bazini, Hinnau, Weaver, Dieckman, and Berlin Lawsuits into a consolidated lawsuit captioned Bazini v. Bradley, et al. (the "Consolidated Federal Lawsuit"). On April 1, 2015, plaintiffs in the Consolidated Federal Lawsuit filed an Emergency Motion to Expedite Discovery. On April 9, 2015, by order of the Court, the parties submitted a joint submission wherein defendants opposed plaintiffs' request to expedite discovery. On April 17, 2015, the Court denied plaintiffs' motion to expedite discovery.

On June 10, 2015, Adrian Dieckman, a purported Regency unitholder, filed a class action complaint on behalf of Regency's common unitholders in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware (the "Dieckman DE Lawsuit"). The lawsuit alleges that the transaction did not comply with the Regency partnership agreement because the Conflicts Committee was not properly formed.

Each of these lawsuits is at a preliminary stage. ETP cannot predict the outcome of these or any other lawsuits that might be filed, nor can we predict the amount of time and expense that will be required to resolve these lawsuits. ETP and the other defendants named in the lawsuits intend to defend vigorously against these and any other actions. MTBE Litigation

Sunoco, Inc., along with other refiners, manufacturers and sellers of gasoline, is a defendant in lawsuits alleging MTBE contamination of groundwater. The plaintiffs typically include water purveyors and municipalities responsible for supplying drinking water and governmental authorities. The plaintiffs are asserting primarily product liability claims and additional claims including nuisance, trespass, negligence, violation of environmental laws and deceptive business practices. The plaintiffs in all of the cases are seeking to recover compensatory damages, and in some cases also seek natural resource damages, injunctive relief, punitive damages and attorneys' fees.

As of June 30, 2015, Sunoco, Inc. is a defendant in six cases, including cases initiated by the States of New Jersey, Vermont, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, two others by the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico with the more recent Puerto Rico action being a companion case alleging damages for additional sites beyond those at issue in the initial Puerto Rico action, and one case by the City of Breaux Bridge in the USDC Western District of Louisiana. Four of these cases are venued in a multidistrict litigation proceeding in a New York federal court. The New Jersey, Puerto Rico, Vermont, and Pennsylvania cases assert natural resource damage claims.

Fact discovery has concluded with respect to an initial set of 19 sites each that will be the subject of the first trial phase in the New Jersey case and the initial Puerto Rico case. Insufficient information has been developed about the plaintiffs' legal theories or the facts with respect to statewide natural resource damage claims to provide an analysis of the ultimate potential liability of Sunoco, Inc. in these matters. It is reasonably possible that a loss may be realized; however, we are unable to estimate the possible loss or range of loss in excess of amounts accrued. Management believes that an adverse determination with respect to one or more of the MTBE cases could have a significant impact on results of operations during the period in which any said adverse determination occurs, but does not believe that any such adverse determination would have a material adverse effect on the Partnership's consolidated financial position.

Enterprise Products Partners, L.P. and Enterprise Products Operating LLC Litigation
On January 27, 2014, a trial commenced between ETP against Enterprise Products Partners, L.P. and Enterprise
Products Operating LLC (collectively, "Enterprise") and Enbridge (US) Inc. Trial resulted in a verdict in favor of ETP

against Enterprise that consisted of \$319 million in compensatory damages and \$595 million in disgorgement to ETP. The jury also found that ETP owed Enterprise approximately \$1 million under a reimbursement agreement. On July 29, 2014, the trial court entered a final judgment in favor of ETP and awarded ETP \$536 million, consisting of compensatory damages, disgorgement, and pre-judgment interest. The trial court also ordered that ETP shall be entitled to recover post-judgment interest and costs of court and that Enterprise is not entitled to any net recovery on its counterclaims. Enterprise has filed a notice of appeal. In

accordance with GAAP, no amounts related to the original verdict or the July 29, 2014 final judgment will be recorded in our financial statements until the appeal process is completed.

Other Litigation and Contingencies

We or our subsidiaries are a party to various legal proceedings and/or regulatory proceedings incidental to our businesses. For each of these matters, we evaluate the merits of the case, our exposure to the matter, possible legal or settlement strategies, the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome and the availability of insurance coverage. If we determine that an unfavorable outcome of a particular matter is probable and can be estimated, we accrue the contingent obligation, as well as any expected insurance recoverable amounts related to the contingency. As of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, accruals of approximately \$38 million and \$37 million, respectively, were reflected on our consolidated balance sheets related to these contingent obligations. As new information becomes available, our estimates may change. The impact of these changes may have a significant effect on our results of operations in a single period.

The outcome of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty and there can be no assurance that the outcome of a particular matter will not result in the payment of amounts that have not been accrued for the matter. Furthermore, we may revise accrual amounts prior to resolution of a particular contingency based on changes in facts and circumstances or changes in the expected outcome. Currently, we are not able to estimate possible losses or a range of possible losses in excess of amounts accrued.

No amounts have been recorded in our June 30, 2015 or December 31, 2014 consolidated balance sheets for contingencies and current litigation, other than amounts disclosed herein.

Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. New England Gas Company.

On July 7, 2011, the Massachusetts Attorney General ("AG") filed a regulatory complaint with the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities ("MDPU") against New England Gas Company with respect to certain environmental cost recoveries. The AG is seeking a refund to New England Gas Company customers for alleged "excessive and imprudently incurred costs" related to legal fees associated with Southern Union's environmental response activities. In the complaint, the AG requests that the MDPU initiate an investigation into the New England Gas Company's collection and reconciliation of recoverable environmental costs including: (i) the prudence of any and all legal fees, totaling approximately \$19 million, that were charged by the Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman firm and passed through the recovery mechanism since 2005, the year when a partner in the firm, the Southern Union former Vice Chairman, President and Chief Operating Officer, joined Southern Union's management team; (ii) the prudence of any and all legal fees that were charged by the Bishop, London & Dodds firm and passed through the recovery mechanism since 2005, the period during which a member of the firm served as Southern Union's Chief Ethics Officer; and (iii) the propriety and allocation of certain legal fees charged that were passed through the recovery mechanism that the AG contends only qualify for a lesser, 50%, level of recovery. Southern Union has filed its answer denying the allegations and moved to dismiss the complaint, in part on a theory of collateral estoppel. The hearing officer has deferred consideration of Southern Union's motion to dismiss. The AG's motion to be reimbursed expert and consultant costs by Southern Union of up to \$150,000 was granted. By tariff, these costs are recoverable through rates charged to New England Gas Company customers. The hearing officer previously stayed discovery pending resolution of a dispute concerning the applicability of attorney-client privilege to legal billing invoices. The MDPU issued an interlocutory order on June 24, 2013 that lifted the stay, and discovery has resumed. Panhandle (as successor to Southern Union) believes it has complied with all applicable requirements regarding its filings for cost recovery and has not recorded any accrued liability; however, Panhandle will continue to assess its potential exposure for such cost recoveries as the matter progresses.

Environmental Matters

Our operations are subject to extensive federal, state and local environmental and safety laws and regulations that require expenditures to ensure compliance, including related to air emissions and wastewater discharges, at operating facilities and for remediation at current and former facilities as well as waste disposal sites. Although we believe our operations are in substantial compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations, risks of additional costs and liabilities are inherent in the business of transporting, storing, gathering, treating, compressing, blending and processing natural gas, natural gas liquids and other products. As a result, there can be no assurance that significant

costs and liabilities will not be incurred. Costs of planning, designing, constructing and operating pipelines, plants and other facilities must incorporate compliance with environmental laws and regulations and safety standards. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in the assessment of administrative, civil and criminal penalties, the imposition of remedial obligations, the issuance of injunctions and the filing of federally authorized citizen suits. Contingent losses related to all significant known environmental matters have been accrued and/or separately disclosed. However, we may revise accrual amounts prior to resolution of a particular contingency based on changes in facts and circumstances or changes in the expected outcome.

Environmental exposures and liabilities are difficult to assess and estimate due to unknown factors such as the magnitude of possible contamination, the timing and extent of remediation, the determination of our liability in proportion to other parties, improvements in cleanup technologies and the extent to which environmental laws and regulations may change in the future. Although environmental costs may have a significant impact on the results of operations for any single period, we believe that such costs will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position.

Based on information available at this time and reviews undertaken to identify potential exposure, we believe the amount reserved for environmental matters is adequate to cover the potential exposure for cleanup costs. Environmental Remediation

Our subsidiaries are responsible for environmental remediation at certain sites, including the following: Certain of our interstate pipelines conduct soil and groundwater remediation related to contamination from past uses of PCBs. PCB assessments are ongoing and, in some cases, our subsidiaries could potentially be held responsible for contamination caused by other parties.

Certain gathering and processing systems are responsible for soil and groundwater remediation related to releases of hydrocarbons.

Currently operating Sunoco, Inc. retail sites.

Legacy sites related to Sunoco, Inc., that are subject to environmental assessments include formerly owned terminals and other logistics assets, retail sites that Sunoco, Inc. no longer operates, closed and/or sold refineries and other formerly owned sites.

Sunoco, Inc. is potentially subject to joint and several liability for the costs of remediation at sites at which it has been identified as a potentially responsible party ("PRP"). As of June 30, 2015, Sunoco, Inc. had been named as a PRP at approximately 52 identified or potentially identifiable "Superfund" sites under federal and/or comparable state law. Sunoco, Inc. is usually one of a number of companies identified as a PRP at a site. Sunoco, Inc. has reviewed the nature and extent of its involvement at each site and other relevant circumstances and, based upon Sunoco, Inc.'s purported nexus to the sites, believes that its potential liability associated with such sites will not be significant. To the extent estimable, expected remediation costs are included in the amounts recorded for environmental matters in our consolidated balance sheets. In some circumstances, future costs cannot be reasonably estimated because remediation activities are undertaken as claims are made by customers and former customers. To the extent that an environmental remediation obligation is recorded by a subsidiary that applies regulatory accounting policies, amounts that are expected to be recoverable through tariffs or rates are recorded as regulatory assets on our consolidated balance sheets.

The table below reflects the amounts of accrued liabilities recorded in our consolidated balance sheets related to environmental matters that are considered to be probable and reasonably estimable. Currently, we are not able to estimate possible losses or a range of possible losses in excess of amounts accrued. Except for matters discussed above, we do not have any material environmental matters assessed as reasonably possible that would require disclosure in our consolidated financial statements.

	June 30, 2015	December 31,
	Julie 30, 2013	2014
Current	\$49	\$41
Non-current	334	360
Total environmental liabilities	\$383	\$401

In 2013, we established a wholly-owned captive insurance company to bear certain risks associated with environmental obligations related to certain sites that are no longer operating. The premiums paid to the captive insurance company include estimates for environmental claims that have been incurred but not reported, based on an actuarially determined fully developed claims expense estimate. In such cases, we accrue losses attributable to unasserted claims based on the discounted estimates that are used to develop the premiums paid to the captive insurance company.

During the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, Sunoco, Inc. recorded \$11 million and \$9 million, respectively, of expenditures related to environmental cleanup programs. During the six months ended June 30, 2015

and 2014, Sunoco, Inc. recorded \$18 million and \$17 million, respectively, of expenditures related to environmental cleanup programs.

On June 29, 2011, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency finalized a rule under the Clean Air Act that revised the new source performance standards for manufacturers, owners and operators of new, modified and reconstructed stationary internal

combustion engines. The rule became effective on August 29, 2011. The rule modifications may require us to undertake significant expenditures, including expenditures for purchasing, installing, monitoring and maintaining emissions control equipment, if we replace equipment or expand existing facilities in the future. At this point, we are not able to predict the cost to comply with the rule's requirements, because the rule applies only to changes we might make in the future.

Our pipeline operations are subject to regulation by the U.S. Department of Transportation under the PHMSA, pursuant to which the PHMSA has established requirements relating to the design, installation, testing, construction, operation, replacement and management of pipeline facilities. Moreover, the PHMSA, through the Office of Pipeline Safety, has promulgated a rule requiring pipeline operators to develop integrity management programs to comprehensively evaluate their pipelines, and take measures to protect pipeline segments located in what the rule refers to as "high consequence areas." Activities under these integrity management programs involve the performance of internal pipeline inspections, pressure testing or other effective means to assess the integrity of these regulated pipeline segments, and the regulations require prompt action to address integrity issues raised by the assessment and analysis. Integrity testing and assessment of all of these assets will continue, and the potential exists that results of such testing and assessment could cause us to incur future capital and operating expenditures for repairs or upgrades deemed necessary to ensure the continued safe and reliable operation of our pipelines; however, no estimate can be made at this time of the likely range of such expenditures.

Our operations are also subject to the requirements of the OSHA, and comparable state laws that regulate the protection of the health and safety of employees. In addition, OSHA's hazardous communication standard requires that information be maintained about hazardous materials used or produced in our operations and that this information be provided to employees, state and local government authorities and citizens. We believe that our operations are in substantial compliance with the OSHA requirements, including general industry standards, record keeping requirements, and monitoring of occupational exposure to regulated substances.

13. DERIVATIVE ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

Commodity Price Risk

We are exposed to market risks related to the volatility of commodity prices. To manage the impact of volatility from these prices, we utilize various exchange-traded and OTC commodity financial instrument contracts. These contracts consist primarily of futures, swaps and options and are recorded at fair value in our consolidated balance sheets. We inject and hold natural gas in our Bammel storage facility to take advantage of contango markets (i.e., when the price of natural gas is higher in the future than the current spot price). We use financial derivatives to hedge the natural gas held in connection with these arbitrage opportunities. At the inception of the hedge, we lock in a margin by purchasing gas in the spot market or off peak season and entering into a financial contract to lock in the sale price. If we designate the related financial contract as a fair value hedge for accounting purposes, we value the hedged natural gas inventory at current spot market prices along with the financial derivative we use to hedge it. Changes in the spread between the forward natural gas prices designated as fair value hedges and the physical inventory spot price result in unrealized gains or losses until the underlying physical gas is withdrawn and the related designated derivatives are settled. Once the gas is withdrawn and the designated derivatives are settled, the previously unrealized gains or losses associated with these positions are realized. Unrealized margins represent the unrealized gains or losses from our derivative instruments using mark-to-market accounting, with changes in the fair value of our derivatives being recorded directly in earnings. These margins fluctuate based upon changes in the spreads between the physical spot price and forward natural gas prices. If the spread narrows between the physical and financial prices, we will record unrealized gains or lower unrealized losses. If the spread widens, we will record unrealized losses or lower unrealized gains. Typically, as we enter the winter months, the spread converges so that we recognize in earnings the original locked-in spread through either mark-to-market adjustments or the physical withdraw of natural gas. We are also exposed to market risk on natural gas we retain for fees in our intrastate transportation and storage segment and operational gas sales on our interstate transportation and storage segment. We use financial derivatives to hedge the sales price of this gas, including futures, swaps and options. Certain contracts that qualify for hedge accounting are designated as cash flow hedges of the forecasted sale of natural gas. The change in value, to the extent the contracts are effective, remains in AOCI until the forecasted transaction occurs. When the forecasted transaction

occurs, any gain or loss associated with the derivative is recorded in cost of products sold in the consolidated statements of operations.

We are also exposed to commodity price risk on NGLs and residue gas we retain for fees in our midstream segment whereby our subsidiaries generally gather and process natural gas on behalf of producers, sell the resulting residue gas and NGL volumes at market prices and remit to producers an agreed upon percentage of the proceeds based on an index price for the residue gas and NGLs. We use NGL and crude derivative swap contracts to hedge forecasted sales of NGL and condensate equity volumes. Certain contracts that qualify for hedge accounting are accounted for as cash flow hedges. The change in value, to the extent the contracts are effective, remains in AOCI until the forecasted transaction occurs. When the forecasted

Table of Contents

transaction occurs, any gain or loss associated with the derivative is recorded in cost of products sold in the consolidated statement of operations.

We may use derivatives in our liquids transportation and services segment to manage our storage facilities and the purchase and sale of purity NGLs.

Sunoco Logistics utilizes derivatives such as swaps, futures and other derivative instruments to mitigate the risk associated with market movements in the price of refined products, crude and NGLs. These derivative contracts act as a hedging mechanism against the volatility of prices by allowing Sunoco Logistics to transfer this price risk to counterparties who are able and willing to bear it. Sunoco Logistics does not designate any of its derivative contracts as hedges for accounting purposes. Therefore, all realized and unrealized gains and losses from these derivative contracts are recognized in the consolidated statements of operations during the current period.

We also use derivatives to hedge a variety of price risks in our retail marketing segment. Futures and swaps are used to achieve ratable pricing of crude oil purchases, to convert certain expected refined product sales to fixed or floating prices, to lock in margins for certain refined products and to lock in the price of a portion of natural gas purchases or sales and transportation costs. The derivatives used in our retail marketing segment represent economic hedges; however, we have elected not to designate any of these derivative contracts as hedges in this business segment. Therefore, all realized and unrealized gains and losses from these derivative contracts are recognized in the consolidated statements of operations during the current period.

Our trading activities include the use of financial commodity derivatives to take advantage of market opportunities. These trading activities are a complement to our transportation and storage segment's operations and are netted in cost of products sold in our consolidated statements of operations. Additionally, we also have trading and marketing activities related to power and natural gas in our all other segment which are also netted in cost of products sold. As a result of our trading activities and the use of derivative financial instruments in our transportation and storage segment, the degree of earnings volatility that can occur may be significant, favorably or unfavorably, from period to period. We attempt to manage this volatility through the use of daily position and profit and loss reports provided to our risk oversight committee, which includes members of senior management, and the limits and authorizations set forth in our commodity risk management policy.

Table of Contents

The following table details our outstanding commodity-related derivatives:

•	June 30, 2015			December 31, 2014		
	Notional Volume		Maturity	Notional Volume		Maturity
Mark-to-Market Derivatives						
(Trading)						
Natural Gas (MMBtu):						
Fixed Swaps/Futures	(1,075,000)	2015-2016	(232,500)	2015
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX ⁽¹⁾	(4,527,500)	2015-2016	(13,907,500)	2015-2016
Options – Calls	5,000,000		2015	5,000,000		2015
Power (Megawatt):						
Forwards	373,357		2015-2016	288,775		2015
Futures	436,789		2015-2016	(156,000)	2015
Options – Puts	(581,328)	2015	(72,000)	2015
Options – Calls	(1,428,154)	2015	198,556		2015
(Non-Trading)						
Natural Gas (MMBtu):						
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX	10,327,500		2015-2016	57,500		2015
Swing Swaps IFERC	23,335,000		2015-2016	46,150,000		2015
Fixed Swaps/Futures	(11,577,500)	2015-2016	(34,304,000)	2015-2016
Forward Physical Contracts	4,424,847		2015	(9,116,777)	2015
Natural Gas Liquid and Crude (Bbls) –	(3,730,800	`	2015-2016	(4,417,400	`	2015-2016
Forwards/Swaps	(3,730,800	,	2013-2010	(4,417,400	,	2013-2010
Refined Products (Bbls) – Futures	(1,195,000)	2015-2016	13,745,755		2015
Fair Value Hedging Derivatives						
(Non-Trading)						
Natural Gas (MMBtu):						
Basis Swaps IFERC/NYMEX	(37,555,000)	2016	(39,287,500)	2015
Fixed Swaps/Futures	(37,555,000)	2016	(39,287,500)	2015
Hedged Item – Inventory	37,555,000		2016	39,287,500		2015

⁽¹⁾ Includes aggregate amounts for open positions related to Houston Ship Channel, Waha Hub, NGPL TexOk, West Louisiana Zone and Henry Hub locations.

Regency previously had swap contracts that settled against certain NGLs, condensate and natural gas market prices. In April 2015, in connection with the Regency Merger, Regency settled all outstanding swap contracts and received net proceeds of \$56 million.

Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to market risk for changes in interest rates. To maintain a cost effective capital structure, we borrow funds using a mix of fixed rate debt and variable rate debt. We also manage our interest rate exposure by utilizing interest rate swaps to achieve a desired mix of fixed and variable rate debt. We also utilize forward starting interest rate swaps to lock in the rate on a portion of our anticipated debt issuances.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our interest rate swaps outstanding, none of which were designated as hedges for accounting purposes:

		Notional Amount Outstanding		
Term	Type ⁽¹⁾	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014	
July 2015 ⁽²⁾	Forward-starting to pay a fixed rate of 3.40% and receive a floating rate	\$100	\$200	
July 2016 ⁽³⁾	Forward-starting to pay a fixed rate of 3.80% and receive a floating rate	200	200	
July 2017 ⁽⁴⁾	Forward-starting to pay a fixed rate of 3.84% and receive a floating rate	300	300	
July 2018 ⁽⁴⁾	Forward-starting to pay a fixed rate of 4.00% and receive a floating rate	200	200	
July 2019 ⁽⁴⁾	Forward-starting to pay a fixed rate of 3.25% and receive a floating rate	200	300	
December 2018	Pay a floating rate based on 3-month LIBOR and receive a fixed rate of 1.53%	1,200	_	
March 2019	Pay a floating rate based on 3-month LIBOR and receive a fixed rate of 1.42%	300	_	
February 2023	Pay a floating rate plus a spread of 1.73% and receive a fixed rate of 3.60%	_	200	

- (1) Floating rates are based on 3-month LIBOR.
- (2) Represents the effective date. These forward-starting swaps have terms of 10 years with a mandatory termination date the same as the effective date.
- (3) Represents the effective date. These forward-starting swaps have terms of 10 and 30 years with a mandatory termination date the same as the effective date.
- (4) Represents the effective date. These forward-starting swaps have terms of 30 years with a mandatory termination date the same as the effective date.

Credit Risk

Credit risk refers to the risk that a counterparty may default on its contractual obligations resulting in a loss to the Partnership. Credit policies have been approved and implemented to govern the Partnership's portfolio of counterparties with the objective of mitigating credit losses. These policies establish guidelines, controls and limits to manage credit risk within approved tolerances by mandating an appropriate evaluation of the financial condition of existing and potential counterparties, monitoring agency credit ratings, and by implementing credit practices that limit exposure according to the risk profiles of the counterparties. Furthermore, the Partnership may at times require collateral under certain circumstances to mitigate credit risk as necessary. We also implement the use of industry standard commercial agreements which allow for the netting of positive and negative exposures associated with transactions executed under a single commercial agreement. Additionally, we utilize master netting agreements to offset credit exposure across multiple commercial agreements with a single counterparty or affiliated group of counterparties.

The Partnership's counterparties consist of a diverse portfolio of customers across the energy industry, including petrochemical companies, commercial and industrials, oil and gas producers, motor fuel distributors, municipalities, gas and electric utilities and midstream companies. Our overall exposure may be affected positively or negatively by macroeconomic factors or regulatory changes that impact our counterparties to one extent or another. Currently, management does not anticipate a material adverse effect in our financial position or results of operations as a consequence of counterparty non-performance.

We have maintenance margin deposits with certain counterparties in the OTC market, primarily independent system operators, and with clearing brokers. Payments on margin deposits are required when the value of a derivative exceeds our pre-established credit limit with the counterparty. Margin deposits are returned to us on or about the settlement

date for non-exchange traded derivatives, and we exchange margin calls on a daily basis for exchange traded transactions. Since the margin calls are made daily with the exchange brokers, the fair value of the financial derivative instruments are deemed current and netted in deposits paid to vendors within other current assets in the consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents

For financial instruments, failure of a counterparty to perform on a contract could result in our inability to realize amounts that have been recorded on our consolidated balance sheets and recognized in net income or other comprehensive income.

Derivative Summary

The following table provides a summary of our derivative assets and liabilities:

	Fair Value of Derivative Instruments				
	Asset Derivativ	ves .	Liability Derivatives		
	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:					
Commodity derivatives (margin deposits)	\$3	\$43	\$ —	\$—	
	3	43			
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:					
Commodity derivatives (margin deposits)	265	617	(245)	(577)
Commodity derivatives	18	107	(16)	(23)
Interest rate derivatives	1	3	(105)	(155)
Embedded derivatives in ETP Preferred Units			(12)	(16)
	284	727	(378)	(771)
Total derivatives	\$287	\$770	\$(378)	\$(771)

The following table presents the fair value of our recognized derivative assets and liabilities on a gross basis and amounts offset on the consolidated balance sheets that are subject to enforceable master netting arrangements or similar arrangements:

· ·		Asset Derivatives		Liability Derivatives		
	Balance Sheet Location	June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014	June 30, 2015	December 3 2014	1,
Derivatives in offsetting a	greements:					
OTC contracts	Derivative assets (liabilities)	\$18	\$23	\$(16	\$(23))
Broker cleared derivative contracts	Other current assets	264	674	(248) (574)
		282	697	(264) (597)
Offsetting agreements:						
Counterparty netting	Derivative assets (liabilities)	(12)	(19)	12	19	
Payments on margin deposit	Other current assets	16	5	(9) (22)
		4	(14)	3	(3)
Net derivatives with offse	tting agreements	286	683	(261) (600)
Derivatives without offset	tting agreements	1	87	(117) (171)
Total derivatives		\$287	\$770	\$(378) \$(771)

We disclose the non-exchange traded financial derivative instruments as price risk management assets and liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets at fair value with amounts classified as either current or long-term depending on the anticipated settlement date.

Table of Contents

Embedded derivatives

Other expense

2

(9

) 4

The following tables summarize the amounts recognized with respect to our derivative financial instruments: Change in Value Recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion) Three Months Ended Six Months Ended June 30, June 30, 2015 2014 2015 2014 Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships: Commodity derivatives \$--\$(2) \$1 \$(6 Total \$---\$(2) \$1 \$(6 Location of Gain/(Loss) Amount of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income Reclassified from (Effective Portion) **AOCI** into Income (Effective Portion) Three Months Ended Six Months Ended June 30. June 30. 2015 2014 2015 2014 Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships: Commodity derivatives Cost of products sold \$---\$(2) \$— \$(6) \$— Total \$(2 \$(6 Location of Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income Representing Gain/(Loss) Hedge Ineffectiveness and Amount Excluded from the Recognized in Income Assessment of Effectiveness on Derivatives Three Months Ended Six Months Ended June 30, June 30, 2015 2014 2015 2014 Derivatives in fair value hedging relationships (including hedged item): Commodity derivatives Cost of products sold \$11 \$8 \$(6 **Total** \$11 \$8 \$(6 Location of Gain/(Loss) Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives Recognized in Income on Derivatives Three Months Ended Six Months Ended June 30, June 30, 2015 2015 2014 2014 Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments: Commodity derivatives -Cost of products sold) \$2 \$(6) \$(5) \$(8 **Trading** Commodity derivatives -Cost of products sold (40) (37) (48) (43) Non-trading Gains (losses) on Interest rate derivatives) 50 127 (46 (48) interest rate derivatives

(10)

	_				
Edgar Filing:	⊢nerav	Iraneter	Partners	ΙР.	- Form 10-()
Lagai i iiii ig.		I I al ISICI	i ditiois,	L 1 .	1 01111 10 🔾

Total \$83 \$(97) \$(2) \$(99)

34

14. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

ETE has agreements with subsidiaries to provide or receive various general and administrative services. ETE pays us to provide services on its behalf and on behalf of other subsidiaries of ETE, which includes the reimbursement of various operating and general and administrative expenses incurred by us on behalf of ETE and its subsidiaries. In connection with the Lake Charles LNG Transaction, ETP agreed to continue to provide management services for ETE through 2015 in relation to both Lake Charles LNG's regasification facility and the development of a liquefaction project at Lake Charles LNG's facility, for which ETE has agreed to pay incremental management fees to ETP of \$75 million per year for the years ending December 31, 2014 and 2015.

The Partnership also has related party transactions with several of its equity method investees. In addition to commercial transactions, these transactions include the provision of certain management services and leases of certain assets.

The following table summarizes the affiliate revenues on our consolidated statements of operations:

-	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Affiliated revenues	\$130	\$361	\$206	\$689
The following table summarizes the related compar	ny balances or	our consolidated	balance sheets:	
			June 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Accounts receivable from related companies:				
ETE			\$32	\$11
PES			27	6
FGT			9	9
Lake Charles LNG			35	3
Other			98	110
Total accounts receivable from related companies:			\$201	\$139
Accounts payable to related companies:				
PES			\$8	\$—
FGT			_	2
Lake Charles LNG			2	2
Other			_	21
Total accounts payable to related companies:			\$10	\$25

Table of Contents

15. OTHER INFORMATION

The following tables present additional detail for certain balance sheet captions.

Other Current Assets

Other current assets consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2015	December 31,
Deposits paid to vendors	\$36	2014 \$65
• •	\$30	
Deferred income taxes	_	14
Income taxes receivable	151	17
Prepaid expenses and other	174	200
Total other current assets	\$361	\$296
Accrued and Other Current Liabilities		
Accrued and other current liabilities consisted of the following:		
	I 20 2015	December 31,
	June 30, 2015	2014
Interest payable	\$397	\$382
Customer advances and deposits	100	103
Accrued capital expenditures	608	673
Accrued wages and benefits	156	233
Taxes payable other than income taxes	302	236
Income taxes payable	4	54
Deferred income taxes	99	99
Other	317	319
Total accrued and other current liabilities	\$1,983	\$2,099

16. REPORTABLE SEGMENTS

Our financial statements currently reflect the following reportable segments, which conduct their business in the United States, as follows:

- •intrastate transportation and storage;
- •interstate transportation and storage;
- •midstream;
- •liquids transportation and services;
- •investment in Sunoco Logistics;
- •retail marketing; and
- •all other.

Intersegment and intrasegment transactions are generally based on transactions made at market-related rates.

Consolidated revenues and expenses reflect the elimination of all material intercompany transactions.

Revenues from our intrastate transportation and storage segment are primarily reflected in natural gas sales and gathering, transportation and other fees. Revenues from our interstate transportation and storage segment are primarily reflected in gathering, transportation and other fees. Revenues from our midstream segment are primarily reflected in natural gas sales, NGL sales and gathering, transportation and other fees. Revenues from our liquids transportation and services segment are primarily reflected in NGL sales and gathering, transportation and other fees. Revenues from our investment in Sunoco

Table of Contents

Logistics segment are primarily reflected in crude sales. Revenues from our retail marketing segment are primarily reflected in refined product sales.

In connection with the Regency Merger, Regency's operations were aggregated into ETP's existing segments. Regency's gathering and processing operations were aggregated into our midstream segment. Regency's natural gas transportation operations were aggregated into our intrastate transportation and storage and interstate transportation and storage segments. Regency's contract services and natural resources operations were aggregated into our all other segment. Additionally, in June 2015 Regency's 30% equity interest in Lone Star was transferred to ETC OLP. We report Segment Adjusted EBITDA as a measure of segment performance. We define Segment Adjusted EBITDA as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization and other non-cash items, such as non-cash compensation expense, gains and losses on disposals of assets, the allowance for equity funds used during construction, unrealized gains and losses on commodity risk management activities, non-cash impairment charges, loss on extinguishment of debt, gain on deconsolidation and other non-operating income or expense items. Unrealized gains and losses on commodity risk management activities include unrealized gains and losses on commodity derivatives and inventory fair value adjustments (excluding lower of cost or market adjustments). Segment Adjusted EBITDA reflects amounts for unconsolidated affiliates based on the Partnership's proportionate ownership.

Table of Contents

The following tables present financial information by segment:

June 30, June 30, 2014 2015 2015	The following tables present financial inform	Three Months Ended		Six Months	Ended		
Revenues Sevenues Sevenues		June 30,		June 30,			
Intrastate transportation and storage: Revenues from external customers \$486 \$667 \$1,027 \$1,512 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1		2015	2014	2015	2014		
Revenues from external customers \$486 \$667 \$1,027 \$1,512 Intersegment revenues 83 45 128 134 106 569 712 1,155 1,646 Interstate transportation and storage: Revenues from external customers 239 245 510 540 Intersegment revenues 4 4 9 7 Midstream: Revenues from external customers 771 1,297 1,524 2,349 Intersegment revenues 473 501 875 908 Intersegment revenues 473 501 875 908 Liquids transportation and services: Revenues from external customers 779 867 1,587 1,659 Intersegment revenues 45 36 68 74 Investment in Sunoco Logistics: Revenues from external customers 3,121 4,766 5,647 9,218 Intersegment revenues 82 55 128 80 1ntersegment revenues	Revenues:						
Intersegment revenues	Intrastate transportation and storage:						
New Notes New	Revenues from external customers	\$486	\$667	\$1,027	\$1,512		
Interstate transportation and storage: Revenues from external customers 239 245 510 540 Intersegment revenues 4 4 9 7 243 249 519 547 Midstream: Revenues from external customers 771 1,297 1,524 2,349 Intersegment revenues 473 501 875 908 Intersegment revenues 473 501 875 908 Intersegment revenues 879 867 1,587 1,659 Intersegment revenues 45 36 68 74 Revenues from external customers 779 867 1,587 1,659 Intersegment revenues 45 36 68 74 Revenues from external customers 45 36 68 74 Revenues from external customers 3,121 4,766 5,647 9,218 Intersegment revenues 82 55 128 80 3,203 3,421 5,775 9,298 Retail marketing: Revenues from external customers 5,557 5,568 10,339 10,576 Intersegment revenues (20) — 3 3 Revenues from external customers 5,537 5,568 10,342 10,579 All other: Revenues from external customers 587 678 1,232 1,261 Intersegment revenues 587 678 1,232 1,261 Intersegment revenues 134 147 231 224 Feliminations (801) (788) (1,442) (1,430)	Intersegment revenues	83	45	128	134		
Revenues from external customers 239 245 510 540 Intersegment revenues 4 4 9 7 Midstream: Revenues from external customers 771 1,297 1,524 2,349 Intersegment revenues 473 501 875 908 Intersegment revenues 473 501 875 908 Revenues from external customers 779 867 1,587 1,659 Intersegment revenues 45 36 68 74 Investment in Sunoco Logistics: Revenues from external customers 3,121 4,766 5,647 9,218 Intersegment revenues 82 55 128 80 3,203 4,821 5,775 9,298 Retail marketing: Revenues from external customers 5,557 5,568 10,339 10,576 Intersegment revenues 20)— 3 3 6,537 5,568 10,342 10,579 All other: Revenues from external customers 587 678 1,232 1,261<		569	712	1,155	1,646		
Intersegment revenues	Interstate transportation and storage:						
Midstream: Revenues from external customers 771	Revenues from external customers	239	245	510	540		
Midstream: Revenues from external customers 771 1,297 1,524 2,349 Intersegment revenues 473 501 875 908 1,244 1,798 2,399 3,257 Liquids transportation and services: 887 1,587 1,659 Revenues from external customers 779 867 1,587 1,659 Intersegment revenues 45 36 68 74 Revenues from external customers 3,121 4,766 5,647 9,218 Intersegment revenues 82 55 128 80 Retail marketing: 82 55 128 80 Revenues from external customers 5,557 5,568 10,339 10,576 Intersegment revenues 20)— 3 3 All other: 82 5,568 10,342 10,579 All other: 82 678 1,232 1,261 Intersegment revenues 587 678 1,232 1,261 Intersegment revenues 134 147 231 224	Intersegment revenues	4	4	9	7		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		243	249	519	547		
Intersegment revenues 473 501 875 908 1,244 1,798 2,399 3,257 Liquids transportation and services: Revenues from external customers 779 867 1,587 1,659 Intersegment revenues 45 36 68 74 1 westment in Sunoco Logistics: Revenues from external customers 3,121 4,766 5,647 9,218 Intersegment revenues 82 55 128 80 1 marketing: Revenues from external customers 5,557 5,568 10,339 10,576 Intersegment revenues (20)— 3 3 5,537 5,568 10,342 10,579 All other: Revenues from external customers 587 678 1,232 1,261 Intersegment revenues 134 147 231 224 Intersegment revenues 134 147 231 224 Intersegment revenues 134 147 231 1,485 Eliminations (801) (7,88) (1,442) (1,430 <td>Midstream:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Midstream:						
1,244	Revenues from external customers	771	1,297	1,524	2,349		
Liquids transportation and services: Revenues from external customers 779 867 1,587 1,659 Intersegment revenues 45 36 68 74 Investment in Sunoco Logistics: 824 903 1,655 1,733 Investment in Sunoco Logistics: 82 5 1,655 1,733 Revenues from external customers 3,121 4,766 5,647 9,218 Intersegment revenues 82 55 128 80 Retail marketing: 82 5,557 5,568 10,339 10,576 Intersegment revenues (20)— 3 3 All other: 82 5,537 5,568 10,342 10,579 All other: 82 87 678 1,232 1,261 Intersegment revenues 134 147 231 224 Intersegment revenues 134 147 231 224 120 1,242 1,463 1,485 Eliminations (801) (7,88) (1,442) (1,430)	Intersegment revenues	473	501	875	908		
Revenues from external customers 779 867 1,587 1,659 Intersegment revenues 45 36 68 74 Investment in Sunoco Logistics: Revenues from external customers 3,121 4,766 5,647 9,218 Intersegment revenues 82 55 128 80 Intersegment revenues 3,203 4,821 5,775 9,298 Retail marketing: Revenues from external customers 5,557 5,568 10,339 10,576 Intersegment revenues (20)— 3 3 All other: Revenues from external customers 587 678 1,232 1,261 Intersegment revenues 134 147 231 224 721 825 1,463 1,485 Eliminations (801) (788) (1,442) (1,430)		1,244	1,798	2,399	3,257		
Intersegment revenues 45 36 68 74 B24 903 1,655 1,733 Investment in Sunoco Logistics: Revenues from external customers 3,121 4,766 5,647 9,218 Intersegment revenues 82 55 128 80 3,203 4,821 5,775 9,298 Retail marketing: Revenues from external customers 5,557 5,568 10,339 10,576 Intersegment revenues (20)— 3 3 5,537 5,568 10,342 10,579 All other: Revenues from external customers 587 678 1,232 1,261 Intersegment revenues 134 147 231 224 721 825 1,463 1,485 Eliminations (801) (788) (1,442) (1,430)	Liquids transportation and services:						
Revenues from external customers 3,121 4,766 5,647 9,218	Revenues from external customers	779	867	1,587	1,659		
Revenues from external customers 3,121 4,766 5,647 9,218	Intersegment revenues	45	36	68	74		
Revenues from external customers 3,121 4,766 5,647 9,218 Intersegment revenues 82 55 128 80 3,203 4,821 5,775 9,298 Retail marketing: Revenues from external customers 5,557 5,568 10,339 10,576 Intersegment revenues (20)— 3 3 All other: Revenues from external customers 587 678 1,232 1,261 Intersegment revenues 134 147 231 224 721 825 1,463 1,485 Eliminations (801) (788) (1,442) (1,430)		824	903	1,655	1,733		
Intersegment revenues	Investment in Sunoco Logistics:						
Retail marketing: 3,203 4,821 5,775 9,298 Revenues from external customers 5,557 5,568 10,339 10,576 Intersegment revenues (20)— 3 3 All other: 5,537 5,568 10,342 10,579 All other: 8evenues from external customers 587 678 1,232 1,261 Intersegment revenues 134 147 231 224 721 825 1,463 1,485 Eliminations (801) (788) (1,442) (1,430)	Revenues from external customers	3,121	4,766	5,647	9,218		
Retail marketing: Revenues from external customers 5,557 5,568 10,339 10,576 Intersegment revenues (20) — 3 3 5,537 5,568 10,342 10,579 All other: Revenues from external customers 587 678 1,232 1,261 Intersegment revenues 134 147 231 224 721 825 1,463 1,485 Eliminations (801) (788) (1,442) (1,430)	Intersegment revenues	82	55	128	80		
Revenues from external customers 5,557 5,568 10,339 10,576 Intersegment revenues (20) — 3 3 5,537 5,568 10,342 10,579 All other: Revenues from external customers 587 678 1,232 1,261 Intersegment revenues 134 147 231 224 721 825 1,463 1,485 Eliminations (801) (788) (1,442) (1,430)		3,203	4,821	5,775	9,298		
Intersegment revenues (20) — 3 3 5,537 5,568 10,342 10,579 All other: Revenues from external customers 587 678 1,232 1,261 Intersegment revenues 134 147 231 224 721 825 1,463 1,485 Eliminations (801) (788) (1,442) (1,430)	Retail marketing:						
5,537 5,568 10,342 10,579 All other: Revenues from external customers 587 678 1,232 1,261 Intersegment revenues 134 147 231 224 721 825 1,463 1,485 Eliminations (801) (788) (1,442) (1,430)	Revenues from external customers	5,557	5,568	10,339	10,576		
All other: Revenues from external customers 587 678 1,232 1,261 Intersegment revenues 134 147 231 224 721 825 1,463 1,485 Eliminations (801) (788) (1,442) (1,430)	Intersegment revenues	(20) —	3	3		
Revenues from external customers 587 678 1,232 1,261 Intersegment revenues 134 147 231 224 721 825 1,463 1,485 Eliminations (801) (788) (1,442) (1,430)		5,537	5,568	10,342	10,579		
Intersegment revenues 134 147 231 224 721 825 1,463 1,485 Eliminations (801) (788) (1,442) (1,430)	All other:						
721 825 1,463 1,485 Eliminations (801) (788) (1,442) (1,430)	Revenues from external customers	587	678	1,232	1,261		
Eliminations (801) (788) (1,442) (1,430)	Intersegment revenues	134	147	231	224		
		721	825	1,463	1,485		
Total revenues \$11,540 \$14,088 \$21,866 \$27,115	Eliminations	(801) (788) (1,442) (1,430)	
	Total revenues	\$11,540	\$14,088	\$21,866	\$27,115		

Table of Contents

	Three Month June 30,	ns I	Ended		Six Months E June 30,	inc	led	
	2015		2014		2015		2014	
Segment Adjusted EBITDA:								
Intrastate transportation and storage	\$117		\$124		\$294		\$315	
Interstate transportation and storage	285		291		586		617	
Midstream	376		356		689		592	
Liquids transportation and services	151		141		317		269	
Investment in Sunoco Logistics	326		280		547		488	
Retail marketing	140		136		269		245	
All other	93		65		152		205	
Total	1,488		1,393		2,854		2,731	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	(501)	(436)	(980)	(796)
Interest expense, net of interest capitalized	(336)	(295)	(646)	(569)
Gain on sale of AmeriGas common units	<u> </u>		93	Í	<u> </u>		163	•
Gains (losses) on interest rate derivatives	127		(46)	50		(48)
Non-cash unit-based compensation expense	(23))	(43)	(32)
Unrealized losses on commodity risk management		(,		,		(
activities	(42)	(1)	(119)	(33)
Inventory valuation adjustments	184		20		150		34	
Adjusted EBITDA related to discontinued							(27)
operations							(27	,
Adjusted EBITDA related to unconsolidated	(215)	(190)	(361)	(400)
affiliates	117		77		174		101	
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	117	`	77	`	174	,	181	`
Other, net	(19)	(24)	(14)	(24)
Income from continuing operations before income tax expense	\$780		\$576		\$1,065		\$1,180	
Assets:					June 30, 2015	5	December 31 2014	,
Intrastate transportation and storage					\$4,934		\$4,984	
-					11,452			
Interstate transportation and storage Midstream							10,779	
1.11000.0011					16,412		15,562	
Liquids transportation and services					6,322		4,568	
Investment in Sunoco Logistics					14,683		13,619	
Retail marketing					8,092		8,930	
All other					5,656		4,232	
Total assets					\$67,551		\$62,674	

17. CONSOLIDATING GUARANTOR FINANCIAL INFORMATION

On April 30, 2015, in connection with the Regency Merger, ETP entered into various supplemental indentures pursuant to which ETP has agreed to fully and unconditionally guarantee all payment obligations of Regency for all of its outstanding senior notes.

ELG, Aqua – PVR and ORS do not fully and unconditionally guarantee, on a joint and several basis, the Regency senior notes. Included in the Parent financial statements are the Partnership's intercompany investments in all consolidated subsidiaries. Included in the Issuer financial statements are Regency's intercompany investments in all consolidated subsidiaries and Regency's investments in unconsolidated affiliates. ELG, Aqua – PVR and ORS are included in the non-guarantor subsidiaries, as well as the unconsolidated subsidiaries of ETP.

The consolidating financial information for the Parent, Issuer, Guarantor Subsidiaries, and Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries are as follows:

	June 30, 201	5					
	Parent	Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guaranton Subsidiaries	Elimination	ıs	Consolidated Partnership
Cash and cash equivalents	\$306	\$—	\$—	\$ 1,317	\$(8)	\$1,615
All other current assets	2,988		419	2,902	(665)	5,644
Property, plant, and equipment, net	153	_	9,295	33,630	(221)	42,857
Investments in subsidiaries	36,273	19,545		6,664	(62,482)	
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	23	_	1,029	2,388	227		3,667
All other assets	3,177		4,620	9,599	(3,628)	13,768
Total assets	\$42,920	\$19,545	\$15,363	\$ 56,500	\$(66,777)	\$67,551
~	2.62		0.77				
Current liabilities	362		972	4,492	(665)	5,161
Non-current liabilities	16,156	4,634	67	17,310	(3,628)	34,539
Noncontrolling interest				35	6,503		6,538
Total partners' capital	26,402	14,911	14,324	34,663	(68,987)	21,313
Total liabilities and equity	\$42,920	\$19,545	\$15,363	\$ 56,500	\$(66,777)	\$67,551

Table of Contents

	December 3	1, 2014					
	Parent	Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guaranton Subsidiaries	Elimination	ıs	Consolidated Partnership
Cash and cash equivalents	\$17	\$ —	\$	\$ 654	\$(8)	\$663
All other current assets	273		667	4,587	(147)	5,380
Property, plant, and equipment, net	103	_	8,948	30,094	(238)	38,907
Investments in subsidiaries	24,361	19,829		6,755	(50,945)	
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates 63	_	2,252	2,441	(996)	3,760	
All other assets	3,826		4,765	10,047	(4,674)	13,964
Total assets	\$28,643	\$19,829	\$16,632	\$ 54,578	\$(57,008)	\$62,674
Current liabilities Non-current liabilities Noncontrolling interest Predecessor equity Total partners' capital	1,117 11,561 — — 15,965		723 1,575 — 14,334 —	5,073 16,952 60 358 32,135 \$ 54,578	(229 (4,594 5,093 (21,248 (36,030)))	6,684 30,679 5,153 8,088 12,070
Total liabilities and equity	\$28,643	\$19,829	\$16,632	\$ 54,578	\$(57,008)	\$62,674

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2015											
	Parent		Issuer		Guarantor Subsidiarie	es	Non-Guaran Subsidiaries	tor	Elimination	ıs	Consolidate Partnership	
Revenues	\$—		\$—		\$887		\$ 10,665		\$(12)	\$11,540	
Operating costs, expenses, and other	(12)	1		903		9,771		(11)	10,652	
Operating income (loss)	12		(1)	_)	894		(1)	888	
Interest expense, net	(190)	(74)	7		(125)	46		(336)
Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated affiliates	430		32		(6)	281		(620)	117	
Gains on interest rate derivatives			—								127	
Other, net	319		(21)	(12)	(256)	(46)	(16)
Income (loss) before income taxes	698		(64)	(27)	794		(621)	780	
Income tax benefit	(8)	(8)			(43)			(59)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	706		(56)	(27)	837		(621)	839	
Income from discontinued operations	_		_		48		_		(48)	_	
Net income (loss)	706		(56)	21		837		(669)	839	
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	_		_		_		220		(8)	212	
Less: Net loss attributable to predecessor	_		_		_		(26)	(1)	(27)
Net income (loss) attributable to partners	\$706		\$(56)	\$21		\$ 643		\$(660)	\$654	
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$(1)	\$—		\$—		\$ 1		\$(1)	\$(1)
Comprehensive income (loss)	705		(56)	21		838		(670)	838	
Comprehensive income	, 60		(20						(0,0	,		
attributable to noncontrolling interest	_		_		_		220		(8)	212	
Comprehensive loss attributable to predecessor	_		_		_		(27)	_		(27)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to partners	\$705		\$(56)	\$21		\$ 645		\$(662)	\$653	

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014											
	Parent		Issuer		Guarantor Subsidiarie	es	Non-Guarant Subsidiaries	tor	Elimination	S	Consolidat Partnership	
Revenues	\$ —		\$—		\$1,163		\$ 12,926		\$(1)	\$14,088	
Operating costs, expenses, and other	(68)	_		1,138		12,253		(3)	13,320	
Operating income	68		_		25		673		2		768	
Interest expense, net	(172)	65		(6)	(95)	(87)	(295)
Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated affiliates	520		(51)	(3)	293		(682)	77	
Gain on sale of AmeriGas common units	93		_		_		_		_		93	
Losses on interest rate derivatives	(39)	_		_		(7)	_		(46)
Other, net	39		(7)	_		(3)	(50)	(21)
Income before income taxes	509		7		16		861		(817)	576	
Income tax expense (benefit)	(6)	1		_		76		_		71	
Income from continuing operations	515		6		16		785		(817)	505	
Income from discontinued operations	_		_		51		42		(51)	42	
Net income	515		6		67		827		(868)	547	
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest			_		3		77		7		87	
Less: Net loss attributable to predecessor			_		_		(11)	_		(11)
Net income attributable to partners	\$515		\$6		\$64		\$ 761		\$(875)	\$471	
Other comprehensive income	\$2		\$		\$ —		\$ (2)	\$2		\$2	
(loss)	Φ 2		J —		J —		\$ (2)	Φ Δ		\$4	
Comprehensive income Comprehensive income	517		6		67		825		(866)	549	
attributable to noncontrolling interest			_		3		77		7		87	
Comprehensive loss attributable to predecessor	_		_		_		(11)	_		(11)
Comprehensive income attributable to partners	\$517		\$6		\$64		\$ 759		\$(873)	\$473	

Table of Contents

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2015											
	Parent		Issuer		Guarantor Subsidiarie	s	Non-Guarar Subsidiaries		Elimination	ıs	Consolidat Partnership	
Revenues	\$ —		\$—		\$1,869		\$ 20,009		\$(12)	\$21,866	
Operating costs, expenses, and other	(19)	1		1,864		18,537		(13)	20,370	
Operating income (loss) Interest expense, net	19 (358)	(1 (150)	5 1		1,472 (240)	1 101		1,496 (646)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	741		106		44		279		(996)	174	
Gains on interest rate derivatives	s 50		_		_		_		_		50	
Other, net	480		(19)	(11)	(358)	(101)	(9)
Income (loss) before income taxes	932		(64)	39		1,153		(995)	1,065	
Income tax benefit	(3)	(3)	_		(36)	_		(42)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	935		(61)	39		1,189		(995)	1,107	
Income from discontinued operations	_		_		48		_		(48)	_	
Net income (loss)	935		(61)	87		1,189		(1,043)	1,107	
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	_		_		_		210		(4)	206	
Less: Net loss attributable to predecessor	_		_		_		(34)	_		(34)
Net income (loss) attributable to partners	\$935		\$(61)	\$87		\$ 1,013		\$(1,039)	\$935	
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$42		\$ —		\$ —		\$ (42)	\$42		\$42	
Comprehensive income (loss) Comprehensive income	977		(61)	87		1,147		(1,001)	1,149	
attributable to noncontrolling interest	_		_		_		210		(4)	206	
Comprehensive loss attributable to predecessor	_		_		_		(34)	_		(34)

43

Comprehensive income (loss)

attributable to partners

\$977

\$(61

) \$87

\$ 971

\$(997

) \$977

Table of Contents

	Six Month	s E	Ended June 30	, 2014					
	Parent		Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	_	Non-Guaranto Subsidiaries	r Elimination	ıs	Consolidated
Revenues	\$ —		\$—	\$2,011	S	\$ 25,105	\$(1)	Partnership \$27,115
Operating costs, expenses, and other	(36)	<u> </u>	1,972		23,708	(3)	25,641
Operating income Interest expense, net	36 (349)	- 16	39 (13)	1,397 (181)	2 (42)	1,474 (569)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	985		_	40		320	(1,164)	181
Gain on sale of AmeriGas common units	163		_	_		_	_		163
Losses on interest rate derivatives	(35)	_	_		(13)	_		(48)
Other, net	82		(8)	3		(3)	(95)	(21)
Income before income taxes	882		8	69		1,520	(1,299)	1,180
Income tax expense (benefit)	(6)	1	(2)	223			216
Income from continuing operations	888		7	71		1,297	(1,299)	964
Income from discontinued operations	_		_	51		66	(51)	66
Net income	888		7	122		1,363	(1,350)	1,030
Less: Net income attributable to			,				•	,	
noncontrolling interest	_			_		134	7		141
Less: Net income attributable to						3			3
predecessor				_		3			3
Net income attributable to	\$888		\$7	\$122		\$ 1,226	\$(1,357)	\$886
partners	7 0 0 0		Ŧ.	7		+ -,===	+ (-,,	,	7 000
Other comprehensive income	\$(9)	\$—	\$—		\$ 9	\$(9)	\$(9)
(loss)		,		•				-	
Comprehensive income Comprehensive income	879		7	122		1,372	(1,359)	1,021
attributable to noncontrolling interest	_		_	_		134	7		141
Comprehensive income attributable to predecessor	_		_	_		3	_		3
Comprehensive income attributable to partners	\$879		\$7	\$122		\$ 1,235	\$(1,366)	\$877
	Six Month	s E	Ended June 30,						
	Parent		Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	s	Non-Guaranto Subsidiaries	r Eliminatior	ıs	Consolidated Partnership
Cash flows from operating activities	\$(2,719)	\$—	\$727		\$ 4,036	\$(911)	\$1,133
Cash flows from investing activities	(666)	_	(620)	(3,764)	1,394		(3,656)
Cash flows from financing activities	3,674		_	(107)	391	(483)	3,475
Change in cash	289		_	_		663	_		952

Cash at beginning of period	17			654	(8) 663
Cash at end of period	\$306	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1.317	\$(8) \$1.615

Table of Contents

Six Months Ended June 30, 2014

	Parent	Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guaranto Subsidiaries	er Eliminations	Consolidated Partnership
Cash flows from operating activities	\$514	\$—	\$234	\$ 2,299	\$(1,255)	\$1,792
Cash flows from investing activities	759	_	(653)	(1,791)	57	(1,628)
Cash flows from financing activities	(995) —	430	(195)	1,198	438
Change in cash	278		11	313		602
Cash at beginning of period				568		568
Cash at end of period	\$278	\$	\$11	\$ 881	\$ —	\$1,170

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Tabular dollar and unit amounts, except per unit data, are in millions)

The following is a discussion of our historical consolidated financial condition and results of operations, and should be read in conjunction with (i) our historical consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes thereto included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q; (ii) our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 filed with the SEC on March 2, 2015; and (iii) our management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations included in our 2014 Form 10-K. This discussion includes forward-looking statements that are subject to risk and uncertainties. Actual results may differ substantially from the statements we make in this section due to a number of factors that are discussed in "Part I – Item 1A. Risk Factors" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

References to "we," "us," "our," the "Partnership" and "ETP" shall mean Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. and its subsidiaries. OVERVIEW

The primary activities and operating subsidiaries through which we conduct those activities are as follows:

Natural gas operations, including the following:

natural gas midstream and intrastate transportation and storage through La Grange Acquisition, L.P., which we refer to as ETC OLP and Regency; and

interstate natural gas transportation and s